Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.scanner

2003-03 - 2003-04

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2003-03-01 through 2003-04-30

Source text: rec.radio.scanner.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 3,813

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 5

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. I'll post it till I see it on my server. Flaming cross lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 00:18:10 UTC
From: Arctic Cat <arcticcat@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sTydneslj4sGYcKjXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 35617

Sorry if this is double posted but it doesn't seem to have made it to my news server.

A few days ago Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com> posted the subject "Nightclub fire / Flaming Cross". In the post there was a referrence to the website http://www.resistanceradio.net , which claims the fire at the Station nightclub in West Warick, R.I. which took the lives of 97 people, began in the form of a flaming cross.

This is complete and utter rubish which I am about to demonstrate.

The first picture below is one taken from http://www.christiangallery.com/nightclub.html which can be accessed from the resistanceradio.net URL.

Click for non-html browsers - http://www.christiangallery.com/nightclubmakescross.jpg

The second picture is a screenshot from the same video footage taken from http://www.cbsnews.com/htdocs/videoplayer/newVid/framesource2.html?clip=/media/2003/02/21/video541469

Click for non-html browsers - http://mywebpages.comcast.net/arcticcat/realstation.jpg

Anyone with eyes can tell that the picture with the cross has been altered from the original. Where as the original the fire can be seen behind the lighting fixtures on the ceiling, the fire appears in front of the lighting fixtures and also in front of one of the band members in the altered picture.

It's just sick that anyone could call themselves a Christian and lie through their teeth like this.

There's also an NBC News audio clip on resistanceradio.net that has been altered to fit the site owners own demented purpose.

I know most of you kooks will still have it in your minds that the media is hiding something after reading this, but I just had to point it out that it's a sham.

--
(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gary Roselles of Rapid City, SD -
rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Gandalf Grey - gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
John Sabotta - johnsabotta@attbi.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave

--
(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gary Roselles of Rapid City, SD -
rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Gandalf Grey - gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
John Sabotta - johnsabotta@attbi.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave

2. RS Pro2067 Comments Pro-Con?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 00:56:22 UTC
From: David P <johnfam6@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <WyT7a.873$0J4.39189679@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35621

Any one have comments on this scanner? Radio Shack has it on sale for
$149.95

Thanks
Dave Johnson

3. Re: I'll post it till I see it on my server. Flaming cross lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 01:13:07 UTC
From: frater mus <fratermus@softhome.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9330C3BCC8CC8ssbmousetrapnet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 35618

28 Feb 2003: "Arctic Cat" <arcticcat@comcast.net> wrote

> It's just sick that anyone could call themselves a Christian and lie
> through their teeth like this.

The christiangalleery.com folks are certifiably psychotic, and have been for years.

--
L.V.X., brother mouse
http://www.mousetrap.net/otr/ Old Time Radio
http://greyhound.mousetrap.net/altus/ retired racer

"What's not fine is rarely is the question asked, are, is our children learning?" GWB. http://www.mousetrap.net/pie.txt

4. Re: Beware Of Santa Barbara, CA Thief

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 01:47:43 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3jU7a.276127$i73.53095877@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 35619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner

I have been emailed by four individuals who have been contacted by the thief in Santa Barbara, CA trying to steal their radios. Again the info is:
1120 Laguna ST
#14
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Phone 805-451-3042

This person has used the names Gabe Mariscal, Jeff Graham, and Jeff Kydd. At least two persons have been ripped off by this guy in trades. I would be leary of anyone in this area. He has used email addresses mojo-radio@cox.net, and gabe1972@cox.net . He has offered for trade a RS Pro 2006, Icom R75, RS Pro 43, and other equipment.
DeWayne K9KZ

5. Indoor Antenna -- What do I do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 02:02:17 UTC
From: twiz <you_can@notspam.me>
Message-ID: <m1506v84dhaqavfabovdf66vt9e1m7qtsj@4ax.com>
Source record: 35620

I've been looking for an indoor antenna for my PCR1000. Lately I've
been using a handheld antenna and it doesn't work as well as it
probably should.

There is a really nice looking one:
WiNRADiO AX-31B Active UHF Antenna

at http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT4.html

It's a little expensive and I wonder if it performs well. Does anyone
have one of these?

I live in an apartment in the city and can't really put an antenna on
my roof. Well I could, but it might cause trouble.

If anyone has any suggestions I would appreciate them.

-J

6. Re: Beware Of Santa Barbara, CA Thief

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 02:53:18 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b3p73c$2fjr$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 35622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner

You may want to call the FBI. A friend of mine, made a complaint about a similar situation with a guy in NY city. He did not think there would be anything that would happen with the complaint, but turns out they caught this guy and are getting him for mail fraud.

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message news:4xw7a.273132$i73.51696563@twister.neo.rr.com...
> Do not trade with anyone at the following address:
>
> 1120 Laguna ST
> #14
> Santa Barbara, CA 93101
>
> Phone 805-451-3042
>
> This person has used the names Gabe Mariscal, Jeff Graham, and Jeff Kydd. At
> least two persons have been ripped off by this guy in trades. I would be
> leary of anyone in this area. He has used email addresses
> mojo-radio@cox.net, and gabe1972@cox.net . He has offered for trade a RS Pro
> 2006, Icom R75, RS Pro 43, and other equipment.
> DeWayne K9KZ
>
>

7. Re: I'll post it till I see it on my server. Flaming cross lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 03:24:31 UTC
From: willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
Message-ID: <b3p91a$j9o$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 35623

"TW." <whoyou@whyme.net> wrote:
>X-No-Archive: yes
>
>In news:sTydnesIj4sGYcKjXTWcoA@comcast.com,
>Arctic Cat <arcticcat@comcast.net> briefly thought, then typed out:
>> Sorry if this is double posted but it doesn't seem to have made it to
>> my news server.
>>
>> A few days ago Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com> posted the subject
>> "Nightclub fire / Flaming Cross". In the post there was a referrence
>> to the website http://www.resistanceradio.net , which claims the fire
>> at the Station nightclub in West Warick, R.I. which took the lives of
>> 97 people, began in the form of a flaming cross.
>
>
>And this has what to do with scanners?
>
>
Didn't you know? Arctic Cat does whatever he wants.
Your rules and guidelines don't apply in his small world.
Careful, anyone that disagrees with him goes on 'the list'.

-----
willy-nilly
willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
Planet Resettlement Project 13
-
What city did you say this was?
I like my MAIL cooked, thanks...
-----

8. Re: pozillasoft.com not loading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 03:35:45 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20030228223545.19428.00000235@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 35624

They get your money, then treat you like a second class citizen.

Shucks, they don't even need your money to do that. Back in the days when they provided a trial version I downloaded it and couldn't get it to work right (it kept locking up my PC) either from my stupid-pidity or a problem with their software, guess I'll never know which. My e-mails regarding the problems went unanswered. They eventually removed trial versions. Wonder why?

9. Re: great lakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 04:01:22 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1FydnXA0b4AKrf2jXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 35626

Try these:

156.300 Intership Safety
156.350 Commercial
156.400 "
156.450 "
156.500 "
156.550 "
156.600 Port Operations
156.700 "
156.900 Commercial
156.950 "
157.000 Port Operations
156.275 "
156.325 "
156.375 Commercial
156.675 Port Operations
156.725 Port Operations
156.875 Commercial
156.975 "
157.025 "
157.425 "

Bill Crocker

"Speez" <cns100@nospam.ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:OTW7a.1976$se1.1431167@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Anyone come across frequencies of the ore carriers on the great lakes?
>
> Steve
>
>

10. 2003 Sebring 12 hours scanner codes ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 04:07:48 UTC
From: billprew@cox.net
Message-ID: <477625b6.0302282007.7b49a992@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35627
Cross-posted to: alt.test,rec.radio.scanner

Anybody have a list or a site of the scanner freqs for the upcoming 2003 12 Hours of Sebring race for the American Le Mans Series?

11. Re: I'll post it till I see it on my server. Flaming cross lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 04:15:05 UTC
From: Arctic Cat <arcticcat@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xZucnXRmdsa-qf2jXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 35628

"Willy" <willycrawford@hotSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:b3p91a$j9o$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Didn't you know? Arctic Cat does whatever he wants.
> Your rules and guidelines don't apply in his small world.
> Careful, anyone that disagrees with him goes on 'the list'.

Who's rules? This is an unmoderated group and the person, whoever it may be,
that started this group might have made a charter but I have yet to see it.
So since you seem to be the know-it-all about everything, who's rules
exactly am I supposed to be following?

By the way. TW. was already on the list before you even posted this so
there's no reason to warn him.

--
(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gary Roselles of Rapid City, SD -
rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Gandalf Grey - gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
John Sabotta - johnsabotta@attbi.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave

12. Re: great lakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 04:31:10 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Nd2cnVP7P5EOqv2jXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 35629

Just found this great site that should help too!
http://www.boatnerd.com/facts-figures/boatfreq.htm

Bill Crocker

"Speez" <cns100@nospam.ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:OTW7a.1976$se1.1431167@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Anyone come across frequencies of the ore carriers on the great lakes?
>
> Steve
>
>

13. great lakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 04:43:26 UTC
From: Speez <cns100@nospam.ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <OTW7a.1976$se1.1431167@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35625

Anyone come across frequencies of the ore carriers on the great lakes?

Steve

14. Re: RS Pro2067 Comments Pro-Con?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 06:13:12 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <pbY7a.1585$7V.229440@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 35630

Great conventional scanner but not a very good trunk-tracker.
One of the only scanners currently available to offer instant
PL/DPL decoding plus an alpha-numeric display. No backlit
keypad though. :-(

Jon

"David P" <johnfam6@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:WyT7a.873$0J4.39189679@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> Any one have comments on this scanner? Radio Shack has it on sale for
> $149.95
>
> Thanks
> Dave Johnson
>
>

15. Re: RS Pro2067 Comments Pro-Con?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 06:18:59 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nhY7a.7450$WI3.742444@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 35631

Mine does as well trunking the 2 LTR and Moto II systems in my area as my
BC780XLT does.

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply.
DX-392, 398, 440, YB400PE, FR-200,
Ray Jefferson 660ADF, R75, R2, VR-500,
SRIII, Halli S-38, 38e, Nc 60 special, H500, and too many Rat
Shack scanners to mention...

Who has a problem????
"Jon Van Allen" <kf7yn@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:pbY7a.1585$7V.229440@news.uswest.net...
> Great conventional scanner but not a very good trunk-tracker.
> One of the only scanners currently available to offer instant
> PL/DPL decoding plus an alpha-numeric display. No backlit
> keypad though. :-(
>
> Jon
>
>
>
> "David P" <johnfam6@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:WyT7a.873$0J4.39189679@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > Any one have comments on this scanner? Radio Shack has it on sale for
> > $149.95
> >
> > Thanks
> > Dave Johnson
> >
> >
>
>
>

16. Re: Discone, groundplane what to use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 06:32:08 UTC
From: seichorn@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030301013208.15206.00000078@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 35632

>WHAT BAND OR BANDS ??

The whole range of the scanner. say,30 to 950 MHz

17. Re: GE Monogram portable question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 06:37:24 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <thj06v0l6paeouge8ljhggkd40q7k0p0ft@4ax.com>
Source record: 35633

On Thu, 27 Feb 2003 16:19:27 GMT, "netrunner" <netrunner@cox.net>
wrote:

>While monitoring ..this led sometimes sporadically glows in yellow? Does
>this indicate other squelched traffic that I'd want to avoid transmitting
>during

10 channel units are the older type and are not capable of scanning.
4 and 16 channel units can be programmed to scan.

Red = transmit.
Green = signal with correct PL or DPL, speaker unmutes.
Yellow = signal without correct PL or DPL, speaker remains muted.
Flashing red = low batt.

Press the round button above the PTT to manually unmute the receiver.
If nothing happens, it has been disabled in the programming.

If you're on a community repeater, it's best to wait for the other
company to finish. Provoking a repeater war will negatively impact
everybody's ability to communicate. If the signals are weak, and their
coversations reveal they are several hundred miles away, go ahead and
key up.

While I'm at it.

Error tones:

One beep: the radio has lost programming. Bored security guards
playing with the radio will on occasion trigger this sequence: Hold
the monitor button, turn the radio on, wait for the LED to blink
twice, release the monitor button, press and release the monitor
button again. The programing is now wiped out.

Two beeps: synthesizer problems. If it occurs on every channel, the
radio has been dropped and one of the reference crystalls has
shattered. If some channels work ok, the vco needs to be tuned
better, or you are trying to use frequencies which are spaced too far
apart for the radio to handle them. If it occurs momentarily while
changing channels, this is a known bug, and nothing can be done about
it.

Three beeps: I don't remember the specific problem, but the radio is
beyond repair.

18. Re: great lakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 06:50:01 UTC
From: seichorn@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030301015001.15206.00000079@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 35634

>Anyone come across frequencies of the ore carriers on the great lakes?

THAT would be a great thing to hear! I would like to know also! Steve

19. Does anybody know.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 10:05:07 UTC
From: Rob Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <U108a.8895$kf7.1239505@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35635

If the new digital scanners out can interpolate M/A-COM? I'm not sure what it is but it appers to be some form of system used by the local police. Just wondering if it can, cuz if it is... time to pick me up one :D

FYI here's what i found on trusty google.....

1997-present Royal Newfoundland Constabulary/RCMP Mobile Radio Network Wireless Consultant - Integration and Implementation of Joint RCMP Digital Trunked Mobile Radio System - M/A-COM (formerly Comnet Ericsson)

thanks.

20. Pensacola freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 10:51:26 UTC
From: GH <gdh154@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3E6090B4.69033D3@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35636

I'll be around Pensacola in a few weeks.
looking for interesting frequencies to listen for.
I'm sure there must be some.
Not trunked freqs.
TIA
GH

21. Re: Indoor Antenna -- What do I do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 11:03:01 UTC
From: Frank <kpxcccjtoa@owzkwgkxlqedvjnx.com>
Message-ID: <01c2dfe2$1ad07ff0$0125250a@owzkwgkxlqedvjnx>
Source record: 35637

twiz <m1506v84dhaqavfabovdf66vt9e1m7qtsj@4ax.com>...

^ I've been looking for an indoor antenna ....
^ If anyone has any suggestions ....

I use a dipole run just under the ceiling in my living room. This seems to work well for everything except the weak VHF weather radio station that covers my area, so I ran a short vertical dipole along one of my windows. I keep my base scanner plugged into the horizontal dipole and the portable into the vertical dipole. Both cover all my local VHF and UHF needs.

Frank

22. Re: Pensacola freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 11:52:09 UTC
From: tester <tester@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Xns933145AB13E6Dtester@216.40.28.73>
Source record: 35638

GH <gdh154@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
news:3E6090B4.69033D3@worldnet.att.net:

Pensacola Public Safety is on a Motorola 800 trunked system. However, the
last time I was there, Escambia County was still using conventional. It
has been a few years since I verified these but give them a try:

Escambia EMS 462.975
Lifeflight Helicopter 462.950

Escambia Fire 154.430
Escambia CD 155.145
Santa Rosa Co. Fire 154.160
Santa Rosa Co. EMS 453.950

Escambia SO South 460.200
Escambia SO Info 460.500
Escambia SO Invest 460.150
Escambia SO TAC 460.550
Police Interagency 460.275

> I'll be around Pensacola in a few weeks.
> looking for interesting frequencies to listen for.
> I'm sure there must be some.
> Not trunked freqs.
> TIA
> GH
>
>

23. Yahoo! Group for BC250d

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 12:55:02 UTC
From: JohnW <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <Xns933150865EDBDJohnW@216.166.71.234>
Source record: 35639
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BearCat250D/join

Go to the above link to subscribe to BearCat250D!

-

(for those who support HTML:)

Click to subscribe to BearCat250D

24. antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 14:08:05 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3E60BEC1.5060702@analon.com>
Source record: 35640
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

how many antennas do you have on you vehcile, car or truck... I have 5
27mhz-CB, 2/440, 10/2, 150/450 and a 800/1900 (radio-mobile tel)
I may soon add a GPS and an XM radio antenna
most are lined up down the center of the roof....

the antennas is not the problem its, where to put the equipment
connected to them.
I constructed special brackets to hold the radios and a new extended
center console out of uni-strut material that's what electricians use to
mount stuff...

--
JOHN ... (jamoran@analon.com) 800-873-5884 http://www.analon.com Chemistry is fun.
Computer systems, software, networks, system security & Salvage.

25. Re: Anaheim Calif. Police Frequencies (Digital)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 14:15:27 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3E60C077.6080204@analon.com>
Source record: 35641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

My guess is that they are part of the OC fire system but not always
encrypted.
Maricopa county Sheriff in the Phoenix Az area went to an 856-869mhz
trunked system that is shared by all county agencies. much of the
traffic is clear.....you hear the dog pound or rabies/animal control,
flood control, roads, plus the sheriff.. ther is more to listen to..
plus you can hear phone patches....
problem is the data channels change one or more times a day, the data
channel is a buzz saw sound,just lock out those frequencies.
I have two aor-1000 scanners, and aor-8000, a regency mx-7000, a regency
HX-1000 plus a sony-2010 shortwave..... my truck has 4 antennas I often
have at least two scanners with me in the truck....
real time traffic info and real time news too
with the AOR radios, I can also listen to TV as well as broadcast AM and
FM stations and shortwave too
I even get the Mcdonalds drive through

Terry Kenny wrote:

>They along with the rest of OC is encrypted, the freq you would need are all
>part of the OC fire and PD combined, but since it non listenable stick with
>LAPD, the oc fries stuff is still ok to listen to. A freq that is still
>active is 460.025 for county wide information
>
>"Ben" <benf@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>news:<b29fev$7js$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
>
>
>>Can anyone please tell me what frequencies the new (Semi New) Anaheim
>>
>>
>Police
>
>
>
>>Frequencies are, they used to be in UHF (460.050, Etc;) but since they
>>
>>
>went
>
>
>
>>digital I have been unable to find a frequency to Program into my Digital

>>
>>
>
>
>
>>enabled Scanner, Thank you for any help.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>>Ben
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>"Ben" <benf@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>news:b29fev$7js$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
>
>
>>Can anyone please tell me what frequencies the new (Semi New) Anaheim
>>
>>
>Police
>
>
>>Frequencies are, they used to be in UHF (460.050, Etc;) but since they
>>
>>
>went
>
>
>>digital I have been unable to find a frequency to Program into my Digital
>>enabled Scanner, Thank you for any help.
>>
>>Ben
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

>
>

--
JOHN ... (jamoran@analon.com) 800-873-5884 http://www.analon.com Chemistry is fun.
Computer systems, software, networks, system security & Salvage.

26. Re: Radio Shack's current strategy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 14:19:33 UTC
From: "Inspector J. Lee" <4uwmeouvh001@sneakemail.com>
Message-ID: <Mj38a.6$c02.4945@news.abs.net>
Source record: 35642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

```
"John Shirley" <esthermofet@msn.com> wrote in message
news:Xns933044784EE12esthermofetmsncom@207.225.159.6...
: Sometime before Fri, 28 Feb 2003 11:19:04 GMT, "Chris"
: <chrisderuyte@otn.net> spewed out:
:
: >
: > Tony Volorti <tvolorti645@hotmail.com> wrote in message
: > news:3E511574.6F64038F@hotmail.com...
: >> > > Oddly enough, nobody demanded my name and address the last two
: >> > > times I was at my local Radio Shack. Until recently, they wanted
: >> > > this info for even the most insignificant purchases.
: >> >
: >> > They have advertised nationally that they no longer do this.
: >>
: >> What?? I have not seen any advertising to that effect. Where was
: >> it, TV commercials, or newspaper inserts? I want to see that with my
: >> own eyes before I believe it.
: >>
: > I think I saw something about it on the back of the latest mailer....
:
:
: In my area (Denver) there were also radio and television commercials
stating
: that they weren't going to ask for that information anymore.
:
: With the exception of the first purchase at a Radio Shack after I move to
a
: new town, I've been giving them fake addresses for the past fifteen years;
: it'll be nice not to have to worry about coming up with a new name or
: address every time I go to buy the most obscure thing; there are only so
: many ways to keep a straight face and say "Yeah, sure, last name's
'Mehoff',
: first is 'Jack'," or, "Hunt, Mike Hunt"
:
: I asked the guys about the address thing the last time I was in and they
: agreed that getting rid of that policy was a good idea. They mentioned
that
: at some point in the past, the intent was to build a single, large,
: interconnected marketing database. It never happened.
:
: Sure, I bought an RCA DVD player there about two years ago, assorted
: scanners and CB radios over the years, but who gives a rat's ass about the
: $1.19 spool of solder or the $0.29 little stereo/mono phono connectors?
```

:
: Glad they won't be asking anymore. Now, if we could just get them to get
: rid of some of the cutsie little radio controlled toys and stock more,
well,
: RADIO SHACK stuff, I'd be happy.

I can't believe all this false name idiocy. I just told them "no." What are
they going to do, not sell me the stuff? Charge me more? Put me on their
black helicoptic list? Are Americans SO afraid of not following "the rules"?

27. Cordless Phone 3510 Khz FM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 15:04:05 UTC
From: courir26@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a0dd5fc9.0303010704.700ddb18@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35643

Group,

I just copied a local cordless phone on 3510 khz FM. It is 35dB over S9 on an Icom R-71A.

Anyone ever heard of this band used for phones? I was dialing up for ham traffic when this thing busted through.

After the party hangs up, the transmitter stays keyed for several minutes, unlike other phones that drop off right away.

73 Tom N5OFF
Lafayette, LA

28. Re: Cordless Phone 3510 Khz FM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 15:15:52 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e60cd6a.148021275@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 35644

On 1 Mar 2003 07:04:05 -0800, courir26@yahoo.com (Tom M) wrote:

>Group,
>
>I just copied a local cordless phone on 3510 khz FM. It is 35dB over
>S9 on an Icom R-71A.
>
>Anyone ever heard of this band used for phones? I was dialing up for
>ham traffic when this thing busted through.
>
>After the party hangs up, the transmitter stays keyed for several
>minutes, unlike other phones that drop off right away.
>
>73 Tom N5OFF
>Lafayette, LA

How do you know it was a cordless ? Any chance it was
harmonics from a local AM BCB station just taking a call-in or such ?
In other words, RFI.

Andrew / KG4JBM
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

29. Re: Repost - Nightclub fire - Flaming Cross is a sham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 15:20:42 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e60ceda.148388713@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 35645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater

On Sat, 01 Mar 2003 02:44:38 GMT, "TW." <whoyou@whyme.net> wrote:

>X-No-Archive: yes
>
>
>"Arctic Cat" <arcticat@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:m3idnfgaUf-yZsKjXTWcrg@comcast.com...
>Sorry if this is double posted but it doesn't seem to have made it to my
>news server.
>
>A few days ago Al Patrick <arp@inet4u.com> posted the subject "Nightclub
>fire / Flaming Cross". In the post there was a referrence to the website
>http://www.resistanceradio.net , which claims the fire at the Station
>nightclub in West Warick, R.I. which took the lives of 97 people, began in
>the form of a flaming cross.
>
>This has what to do with scanners? And why do you post HTML in a newsgroup?
>
>

If you wore a hat made of tin-foil to keep the mind-controlling
radio waves out of your head then you too would understand.
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

30. Re: Discone, groundplane what to use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 16:05:25 UTC
From: DougSlug <w2dug@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <9T48a.636183$HG.117254167@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 35646

Multiple vertical elements was what I was thinking...but then I guess that's no longer, stricly speaking, a ground plane design! OK, it was stupid; I was inappropriately qualifying my post.

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message news:v5vofdipeu3373@corp.supernews.com...
> Would you be ever so kind as to provide me the information on how one
> designs a wide band ground plane?
>
> "DougSlug" <w2dug@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:WgR7a.625571$HG.114110061@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > You didn't mention what bands you listen to, but a discone is good for
> > general coverage. A ground plane would tend to be a monoband antenna
> > (unless specifically designed to be wideband), and wouldn't be as good for
> > general coverage. I was pretty happy with the RS discone as a general
> > coverage, omni-directional antenna.
>
>

31. Re: Radio Shack's current strategy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 17:19:05 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <pmp16vggshach1nr0fa8cmask5je4d22a1@4ax.com>
Source record: 35547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

"Inspector J. Lee" <4uwmeouvh001@sneakemail.com> wrote:

> I can't believe all this false name idiocy. I just told them "no." What are
> they going to do, not sell me the stuff?

Yes, actually. It did happen to me. Only once, though. Usually when
I start walking towards the door they back down, but once they didn't.

> Charge me more? Put me on their
> black helicoptic list? Are Americans SO afraid of not following "the rules"?
>

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dim.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

32. Re: RS Pro2067 Comments Pro-Con?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 17:27:42 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <H368a.1362$k31.61272@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 35548

```
Interesting observation Jim. My Pro-2067 does fine on smaller trunked
systems but on
our huge state Moto II system it is not as fast at grabbing talkgroups as my
BC-780/785,
so I miss some calls when the system is busy.

Jon

"Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:nhY7a.7450$Wl3.742444@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Mine does as well trunking the 2 LTR and Moto II systems in my area as my
> BC780XLT does.
>
> --
> Remove "beforeflight" to reply.
> DX-392, 398, 440, YB400PE, FR-200,
> Ray Jefferson 660ADF, R75, R2, VR-500,
> SRIII, Halli S-38, 38e, Nc 60 special, H500, and too many Rat
> Shack scanners to mention...
>
> Who has a problem????
> "Jon Van Allen" <kf7yn@qwest.net> wrote in message
> news:pbY7a.1585$7V.229440@news.uswest.net...
> > Great conventional scanner but not a very good trunk-tracker.
> > One of the only scanners currently available to offer instant
> > PL/DPL decoding plus an alpha-numeric display. No backlit
> > keypad though. :-(
> >
> > Jon
> >
> >
> >
> > "David P" <johnfam6@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:WyT7a.873$0J4.39189679@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > Any one have comments on this scanner? Radio Shack has it on sale for
> > > $149.95
> > >
> > > Thanks
> > > Dave Johnson
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
```

>

33. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 17:42:57 UTC
From: Wilson <Wilson@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3E60F121.50707@hotmail.com>
Source record: 35549
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have 14!!
One for AM/FM radio..... and the others to communicate with 'home planet'.
My problem is, everytime I turn on all the electronics, my engine stops
and the battery dies!!

JA Moran wrote:
> how many antennas do you have on you vehcile, car or truck... I have 5
> 27mhz-CB, 2/440, 10/2, 150/450 and a 800/1900 (radio-mobile tel)
> I may soon add a GPS and an XM radio antenna
> most are lined up down the center of the roof....
>
> the antennas is not the problem its, where to put the equipment
> connected to them.
> I constructed special brackets to hold the radios and a new extended
> center console out of uni-strut material that's what electricians use to
> mount stuff...
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

34. Re: Cordless Phone 3510 Khz FM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 17:46:29 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <il68a.1364$k31.65198@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 35550

It is possible that you are hearing the 2nd harmonic of an old 1.7 MHz cordless phone
base unit (the handsets are on 49 MHz). Look on 1.755 MHz +/- for the fundamental.
If it's not there, you might also be hearing the IF or an image from a 46/49 or 900 MHz
cordless phone that is very close to you.

The key to solving this is also use a receiver capable of 49 and 900 MHz to listen for
the handset side of the conversation. As I recall, the old 1.7 MHz cordless base units
stayed keyed briefly before dropping off.

One last possibility is you are hearing a high powered "export" cordless phone. I have
no idea what frequencies are used by some of these phones. I have a friend on Bequi
Island (St Vincent Islands) who uses one, but I think he said that one is VHF Hi band.

Let us know if you solve this!

Jon

"Tom M" <courir26@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a0dd5fc9.0303010704.700ddb18@posting.google.com...
> Group,
>
> I just copied a local cordless phone on 3510 khz FM. It is 35dB over
> S9 on an Icom R-71A.
>
> Anyone ever heard of this band used for phones? I was dialing up for
> ham traffic when this thing busted through.
>
> After the party hangs up, the transmitter stays keyed for several
> minutes, unlike other phones that drop off right away.
>
> 73 Tom N5OFF
> Lafayette, LA

35. Re: Cordless Phone 3510 Khz FM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 17:56:33 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <6w2dnb-mhp-FnvyjXTWcpw@ctc.net>
Source record: 35554

```
"> >> I just copied a local cordless phone on 3510 khz FM. It is 35dB over
> >> S9 on an Icom R-71A.
> >>
> >
> phone patch?
>

Doubt it was a phone patch in the CW segment of the band. No voice modes
are allowed.
```

36. Re: Cordless Phone 3510 Khz FM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 18:03:02 UTC
From: Adam <idonotwantspam@noneatall.none>
Message-ID: <qB68a.163803$K71.14810@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 35551

Hi, Tom. I've never heard of any manufacturer using that band for anything other than amateur radio transmissions. It's odd that the transmission would be in F.M. too. Like Reticulum said, perhaps it is a harmonic of a local radio station? Do you have a nearby radio station that broadcasts on 1170? Perhaps it is a third harmonic of that station, I don't know. In Tulsa, I can receive a local A.M. radio station on the second harmonic, but it is really weak.

That reminds me of something. Back in the late eighties, I remember tuning into the A.M. broadcast band, and I heard what really seemed to be a baby monitor. I cannot remember the exact frequency, but the loudest voice was of a baby, and the people in the background sounded weaker (which made me think it was a baby monitor). It was a continuous transmission that lasted for several months. Their house burned, so I never heard the transmissions again.

--Adam

37. Re: Cordless Phone 3510 Khz FM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 18:17:37 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v61u7u2ief4a7e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35552

"Jon Van Allen" <kf7yn@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:il68a.1364$k31.65198@news.uswest.net...
> One last possibility is you are hearing a high powered "export" cordless
> phone. I have
> no idea what frequencies are used by some of these phones. I have a friend
> on Bequi
> Island (St Vincent Islands) who uses one, but I think he said that one is
> VHF Hi band.
>

I heard that these operate on frequencies in or near the air band, which is why they are banned from operation in the US by the FCC... Yup, looks that way...

Here are the copied specs from the following site:
http://www.cordlessmart.com/HT388.htm

General Items | Base Unit | Handset Unit
Frequency | 380~400MHz | 250~270MHz
Power Output | 10 Watt | 1.2 Watt

PH

38. >>>> Radios for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 18:18:10 UTC
From: "Lloyd, N0VFP" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e60f5c3$1_4@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 35553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I have the following I would like to sell.

Radio Shack Pro-92 scanner. 500 ch scanner - comes with box and manual and a set of nicads and AC adaptor. $125

Radio Shack Pro 2050 Scanner 300 ch with Trunk tracker - with box/manual $125

DSL modem - For Bellsouth DSL only or compatible $80

Radio Shack HTX 252 - 2m mobile 10w/25w $75.00

The following are on E-bay

ADI HT 204 - Simalar to the ADI 600
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=3010675674&rd=1

Dual band HT antenna
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4672&item=3010658564&rd=1

Hallicrafters S-125
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=3010676101&rd=1

Radio Shack Version of Super Radio
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=3010704615&rd=1

Kodak DC-20 Digital Camera
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=31388&item=2914722992&rd=1

Please contact me at lloyd_vfp@msn.com to discuss sales

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

39. Re: Radio Shack's current strategy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 19:16:12 UTC
From: John Shirley <esthermofet@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Xns93317CCF68CC6esthermofetmsncom@207.225.159.8>
Source record: 35555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

> I can't believe all this false name idiocy. I just told them "no." What
> are they going to do, not sell me the stuff? Charge me more? Put me on
> their black helicoptic list? Are Americans SO afraid of not following
> "the rules"?
>
>

Idiocy to you. I call it a "sense of humor"--or did you not read what names I give them? Some people like to have a bit of completely harmless fun in life.

40. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 19:53:04 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b3r2r1$3hl4$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 35556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

2 on my car. AM/FM radio and 1 CB. I had my dentist put a couple in my mouth
to pick up various frq's

"Wilson" <Wilson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3E60F121.50707@hotmail.com...
> I have 14!!
> One for AM/FM radio..... and the others to communicate with 'home planet'.
> My problem is, everytime I turn on all the electronics, my engine stops
> and the battery dies!!
>
>
>
> JA Moran wrote:
> > how many antennas do you have on you vehcile, car or truck... I have 5
> > 27mhz-CB, 2/440, 10/2, 150/450 and a 800/1900 (radio-mobile tel)
> > I may soon add a GPS and an XM radio antenna
> > most are lined up down the center of the roof....
> >
> > the antennas is not the problem its, where to put the equipment
> > connected to them.
> > I constructed special brackets to hold the radios and a new extended
> > center console out of uni-strut material that's what electricians use to
> > mount stuff...
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

41. Is Radio Shack going to make a new scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 20:41:32 UTC
From: fch <na@hle.com>
Message-ID: <3E611AFC.D5E37C88@hle.com>
Source record: 35557

To compete with the BC-250D?

Are they going to make a drop in charger for
their new scanner?

42. Re: Looking for intructions for a PRO-63

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 21:33:56 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <wG98a.1372$k31.104851@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 35559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

It downloaded fine for me, you might try it again and wait awhile
for everything to download if you are using dialup.

Jon

"Wayne" <wayne@mail.com> wrote in message
news:nd826vohhl4beqfbjbl9ub2gjnmn23h9ak@4ax.com...
> Hi,
>
> New to scanning, just picked up a used handheld PRO-63. I'm looking
> for an owner's manual. This site:
>
> http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/26462.htm
>
> Has lots of information, but the actual owner's manual, a PDF document
> is missing.
>
> Does anyone have that PDF? Another source? Or the actual manual for
> photocopy/scanning? I'd really appreciate it.
>
> If you can help and have something to send, email me at:
> udo_gps@nospam.hotmail.com (remove the nospam)
>
> Thanks!
>
> Brad (in London, ON)

43. FS: Active antenna 50-2000Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 22:03:01 UTC
From: Mike <coachmb@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <p6a8a.538$3g.212020@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35558

I have for sale a Dressler ARA 2000 active antenna.

http://www.dressler.com/products/antenna
Specs
Frequency Range 50 - 2000 MHz
Gain 10 - 12.5 dB (Adjustable)
Noise figure 3.5 - 5.5 dB
Output impedance 50-75 Ohm
3rd order intercept point + 36 dBm
Dimensions 600mm high, 90mm diameter
Power requirement 12.5 VDC 120mA stabilized
about 50' of new RG58U coaxial cable.

This is a very good antenna for indoors or outdoors.
It takes up very little space.

The DC power adapter is for foreign voltage.
You will need to buy a 12V convertor from Radio Shack.

New $289. I am asking $150 plus $15 for shipping.

44. Chunks of frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 22:26:01 UTC
From: jfalgout2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1632d1bf.0303011426.375247d9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35560

I have a Uniden Bearcat BC60XLT
Is there a way to just scan a big chunk of frequencies? Like 136 -
137 MHz or something like that?

45. Re: Looking for intructions for a PRO-63

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 22:56:25 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v62ekqdudqe4b6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/doc62/62189.pdf

is the answer to your dilemma.

"Wayne" <wayne@mail.com> wrote in message
news:nd826vohhl4beqfbjbl9ub2gjnmn23h9ak@4ax.com...
> Hi,
>
> New to scanning, just picked up a used handheld PRO-63. I'm looking
> for an owner's manual. This site:
>
> http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/26462.htm
>
> Has lots of information, but the actual owner's manual, a PDF document
> is missing.
>
> Does anyone have that PDF? Another source? Or the actual manual for
> photocopy/scanning? I'd really appreciate it.
>
> If you can help and have something to send, email me at:
> udo_gps@nospam.hotmail.com (remove the nospam)
>
> Thanks!
>
> Brad (in London, ON)

46. Re: Is Radio Shack going to make a new scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 23:14:14 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <a9b8a.139$3l4.27@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35562

Radio Shack.....MAKE SOMETHING!!!!.....You are kidding, right?

47. Re: Trunking scanners in the UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-01 23:26:52 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <0lb8a.278030$SD6.14340@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 35563

Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK (http://www.javiation.co.uk) wrote:
> In article <b3ddag$df2$1@knossos.btinternet.com>, noway@nohow.com writes
>
>
>>What is actually up for grabs in the airwaves in the truncing spectrums.
>>Am I limited to the bearcat/radioshack scanners and scanners with band-
>>gaps?
>
>
> The only Trunking capable unit available in the UK is the UBC-780XLT.
>
>
>>Just trying to see if it's worth buying something like UBC-3000XLT
instead
>>of something like a yupi 9000mk2.
>
>
> The '3000XLT is a good model but it isn't really comparable to a MVT-
> 900Mk2 and doesn't offer trunking.
>

Let us not forget Uniden's UBC-895XLT (the predecessor to the 780).I'm
sure there should be some used 895's still being sold in the UK.The
trunking features in the 895 are top notch, considering the fact that
this was one of Uniden's first trunking scanners.

48. Re: Cordless Phone 3510 Khz FM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 00:12:21 UTC
From: BDK <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18cb166d929105079896ec@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 35564

In article <a0dd5fc9.0303010704.700ddb18@posting.google.com>, courir26
@yahoo.com says...
> Group,
>
> I just copied a local cordless phone on 3510 khz FM. It is 35dB over
> S9 on an Icom R-71A.
>
> Anyone ever heard of this band used for phones? I was dialing up for
> ham traffic when this thing busted through.
>
> After the party hangs up, the transmitter stays keyed for several
> minutes, unlike other phones that drop off right away.
>
> 73 Tom N5OFF
> Lafayette, LA
>

It's probably a harmonic from 1755KHZ. Try down there, it will probably
be even stronger. My neighbor's old cordless could be heard for MILES
(at least 7!) on a good day, at least a mile all the time. I wonder what
kind of power it put out?

BDK

49. Re: Cordless Phone 3510 Khz FM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 00:15:42 UTC
From: BDK <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18cb173bf1ab22f19896ed@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 35565

In article <qB68a.163803$K71.14810@news1.central.cox.net>,
idonotwantspam@noneatall.none says...
> Hi, Tom. I've never heard of any manufacturer using that band for
> anything other than amateur radio transmissions. It's odd that the
> transmission would be in F.M. too. Like Reticulum said, perhaps it is a
> harmonic of a local radio station? Do you have a nearby radio station that
> broadcasts on 1170? Perhaps it is a third harmonic of that station, I don't
> know. In Tulsa, I can receive a local A.M. radio station on the second
> harmonic, but it is really weak.
>
> That reminds me of something. Back in the late eighties, I remember
> tuning into the A.M. broadcast band, and I heard what really seemed to be a
> baby monitor. I cannot remember the exact frequency, but the loudest voice
> was of a baby, and the people in the background sounded weaker (which made
> me think it was a baby monitor). It was a continuous transmission that
> lasted for several months. Their house burned, so I never heard the
> transmissions again.
>
> --Adam
>
>
>

The OLD cordless used the area just above the AM broadcast band. In FM.
1755+1755=3510, the neighbor's could be heard all over the SW band.

BDK

50. FS: Icom PCR-1000 (latest version w/BONITO)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 00:45:32 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4JKdnVJwisqtyfyjXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 35566

FOR SALE:

Icom PCR-1000 Wide-Band, Multi-Mode, Computer Controlled, Communications Receiver.

This is the latest release, which included the famous BONITO software! Also included is a full, licensed version of TalkPCR, and the latest Icom software, that is now fully compatible with all versions of Microsoft Windows!

Everything is perfectly like new, with all original box, manual, software, cables, etc. I will even include the original receipt.

$275.00 firm. Sorry, no trades, and PayPal is not accepted. I'll pay shipping via FedEx Ground, or UPS Ground, within the U.S. No shipping out of U.S.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker
Michigan

References: Bob Parnass, Bob Grove, Dan Conti, Rich Wells, newsgroups, and 367 positives on eBay.

51. AOR 3000A scanner for sale on E-Bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 01:52:00 UTC
From: radiii@shaw.ca
Message-ID: <1uo26vghe6478ga42eqspp4ehie1d6pggc@4ax.com>
Source record: 35567

AOR 3000A scanner for sale on E-Bay
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3010996877

52. Re: Chunks of frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 02:10:05 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20030301211005.11169.00000059@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 35568

I have a Uniden Bearcat BC60XLT
Is there a way to just scan a big chunk of frequencies? ###

I'm not familar with the BC60 but suspect it has a search mode in which you can
set upper and lower limits and scan between those two points. RM~

53. Re: Cordless Phone 3510 Khz FM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 02:20:36 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e6168d7.187816585@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 35569

On Sat, 01 Mar 2003 18:03:02 GMT, "Adam" <idonotwantspam@noneatall.none> wrote:
<SNIP>
>
> That reminds me of something. Back in the late eighties, I remember
>tuning into the A.M. broadcast band, and I heard what really seemed to be a
>baby monitor. I cannot remember the exact frequency, but the loudest voice
>was of a baby, and the people in the background sounded weaker (which made
>me think it was a baby monitor). It was a continuous transmission that
>lasted for several months. Their house burned, so I never heard the
>transmissions again.
>
>--Adam
>
>
Where I used to live there was an old lady with a bad hip next door. Her
kids kept cordless monitors in all the rooms so she could always be heard from
any room in the house if she "fell out." I often used to listen on my AOR 8200
to her cooking in the kitchen with the Soaps on in the background while I
would fiddle with my Hamgear,etc. She always liked to hum while she cooked.
I moved and have not found a replacement. Alas !

Andrew
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

54. Re: Chunks of frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 03:05:51 UTC
From: Dan DeLion <lost@sea.net>
Message-ID: <d5t26v84igna5d215t7745li3bcbeujmlp@4ax.com>
Source record: 35570

On 1 Mar 2003 14:26:01 -0800, jfalgout2000@yahoo.com (Jason) wrote:

>I have a Uniden Bearcat BC60XLT
>Is there a way to just scan a big chunk of frequencies? Like 136 -
>137 MHz or something like that?

I don't own one, but the user's manual:
http://www.uniden.com/pdf/BC60XLTom.pdf
doesn't show search provisions.

55. Is this suitable for scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 03:22:30 UTC
From: FenderBender <fenderbender@softhomeNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <b3rtdmstd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 35571

I'm interested mainly in scanning police frequencies (149-157MHZ...not currently trunk tracked) and was wondering how this radio stacks up? The price looks wonderful ($129) but will this actually scan the frequencies it lists or am I missing something? I mean it covers everything from shortwave to ham to 900MHZ and beyond.

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/3120.html

56. [SOLD] Re: Icom PCR-1000 (latest version w/BONITO)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 03:23:03 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PK-dnWsGkOSB5PyjXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 35572

This item has been sold.

Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <wcrocker007@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:4JKdnVJwisqtyfyjXTWc3g@comcast.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> Icom PCR-1000 Wide-Band, Multi-Mode, Computer Controlled, Communications
> Receiver.
>
> This is the latest release, which included the famous BONITO software!
Also
> included is a full, licensed version of TalkPCR, and the latest Icom
> software, that is now fully compatible with all versions of Microsoft
> Windows!
>
> Everything is perfectly like new, with all original box, manual, software,
> cables, etc. I will even include the original receipt.
>
> $275.00 firm. Sorry, no trades, and PayPal is not accepted. I'll pay
> shipping via FedEx Ground, or UPS Ground, within the U.S. No shipping out
> of U.S.
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
> Michigan
>
> References: Bob Parnass, Bob Grove, Dan Conti, Rich Wells, newsgroups,
and
> 367 positives on eBay.
>
>

57. Re: pozillasoft.com not loading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 03:37:48 UTC
From: John Bacon <news@djbitz.com>
Message-ID: <g0f8a.104936$4F3.7700009@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 35573

Just goto the Butel Site and get there software. It's a Heck of a lot better. The only downfall you might have is the Virtual Interface. Not alot of people like it. But it does the job.

JOHN

Happy Butel software user.

58. Re: Is this suitable for scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 05:09:38 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com.goaway
Message-ID: <20030302000938.17218.00000145@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 35574

>(149-157MHZ

If you only want to monitor the above,
I would suggest looking for something a little cheaper.
I see scanners that will do what you want going for 50 bucks on Ebay or Yahoo auctions.
Keep looking around and you'll find a good deal...

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

59. Would like more information on VR120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 05:23:48 UTC
From: FenderBender <fenderbender@softhomeNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <b3s4h4jum0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 35575

If anyone that owns or has owned one of these receivers could answer a few questions for me, I think I may order one of these very soon.

As I stated above, I'm not worried about TrunkTracking since I live in a fairly rural area. My main use will be to monitor local fire and police, but I am interested somewhat in SW (if I only get the main international broadcaster thats okay with me) and having an AM/FM radio. However my concern is this....

If I want to just save six channels per memory bank, will this radio do it for me? In other words...I want it to save police/fire in one bank and scan just those 6 channels. Is that possible? I currently have a BC60XLT, and I enjoy having just those 6 channels saved in memory. (My BC60XLT is about to give out and I would like to replace it before it does). Instead of spending $60-$70 on a radio that will only pickup fire/police, I'd like something that covers SW, AM/FM, 900MHZ and such. I think this is the radio for me, but I would like to be 100% sure on the memory function.

Thanks
Rob

60. Re: I'll post it till I see it on my server. Flaming cross lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 05:41:12 UTC
From: willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
Message-ID: <b3s5di$13mk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 35576

"Arctic Cat" <arcticcat@comcast.net> wrote:
>"Willy" <willycrawford@hotSPAM.com> wrote in message
>news:b3p91a$j9o$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> Didn't you know? Arctic Cat does whatever he wants.
>> Your rules and guidelines don't apply in his small world.
>> Careful, anyone that disagrees with him goes on 'the list'.
>
>Who's rules? This is an unmoderated group and the person, whoever it may be,
>that started this group might have made a charter but I have yet to see it.
>So since you seem to be the know-it-all about everything, who's rules
>exactly am I supposed to be following?
>
>By the way. TW. was already on the list before you even posted this so
>there's no reason to warn him.
>
>
You were 'off-topic' for starters...
http://www.faqs.org/rfc/rfc1855.txt

You no longer entertain me.
'Plonk!'

-----
willy-nilly
willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
Planet Resettlement Project 13
-
What city did you say this was?
I like my MAIL cooked, thanks...
-----

61. Re: RS Pro2067 Comments Pro-Con?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 06:02:15 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <H7h8a.9020$Wl3.911361@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 35577

The Motorola system I listen to isn't very big. Maybe mine wouldn't handle a
LARGE system as well, either.

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply.
DX-392, 398, 440, YB400PE, FR-200,
Ray Jefferson 660ADF, R75, R2, VR-500,
SRIII, Halli S-38, 38e, Nc 60 special, H500, and too many Rat
Shack scanners to mention...

Who has a problem????
"Jon Van Allen" <kf7yn@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:H368a.1362$k31.61272@news.uswest.net...
> Interesting observation Jim. My Pro-2067 does fine on smaller trunked
> systems but on
> our huge state Moto II system it is not as fast at grabbing talkgroups as
my
> BC-780/785,
> so I miss some calls when the system is busy.
>
> Jon
>
>
> "Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:nhY7a.7450$Wl3.742444@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Mine does as well trunking the 2 LTR and Moto II systems in my area as
my
> > BC780XLT does.
> >
> > --
> > Remove "beforeflight" to reply.
> > DX-392, 398, 440, YB400PE, FR-200,
> > Ray Jefferson 660ADF, R75, R2, VR-500,
> > SRIII, Halli S-38, 38e, Nc 60 special, H500, and too many Rat
> > Shack scanners to mention...
> >
> > Who has a problem????
> > "Jon Van Allen" <kf7yn@qwest.net> wrote in message
> > news:pbY7a.1585$7V.229440@news.uswest.net...
> > > Great conventional scanner but not a very good trunk-tracker.
> > > One of the only scanners currently available to offer instant
> > > PL/DPL decoding plus an alpha-numeric display. No backlit
> > > keypad though. :-(
> > >
> > > Jon

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "David P" <johnfam6@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:WyT7a.873$0J4.39189679@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > Any one have comments on this scanner? Radio Shack has it on sale
for
> > > > $149.95
> > > >
> > > > Thanks
> > > > Dave Johnson
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

62. Re: I'll post it till I see it on my server. Flaming cross lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 07:01:28 UTC
From: Arctic Cat <arcticcat@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HBucnYN27NU5MfyjXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 35578

"Willy" <willycrawford@hotSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:b3s5di$13mk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> You were 'off-topic' for starters...
> http://www.faqs.org/rfc/rfc1855.txt
>
> You no longer entertain me.
> 'Plonk!'

Well you failed already. For starters I was asking for a charter for this
particular group, not a explaination of Netiquette.

Yes, plonk me. You've already served your purpose. You made the list.
Welcome to it moron.

--
(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gary Roselles of Rapid City, SD -
rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Gandalf Grey - gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
John Sabotta - johnsabotta@attbi.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave

"Willy" <willycrawford@hotSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:b3s5di$13mk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...

> "Arctic Cat" <arcticcat@comcast.net> wrote:
> >"Willy" <willycrawford@hotSPAM.com> wrote in message
> >news:b3p91a$j9o$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> >> Didn't you know? Arctic Cat does whatever he wants.
> >> Your rules and guidelines don't apply in his small world.
> >> Careful, anyone that disagrees with him goes on 'the list'.
> >
> >Who's rules? This is an unmoderated group and the person, whoever it may
be,
> >that started this group might have made a charter but I have yet to see
it.
> >So since you seem to be the know-it-all about everything, who's rules
> >exactly am I supposed to be following?
> >
> >By the way. TW. was already on the list before you even posted this so
> >there's no reason to warn him.
> >
> >
> You were 'off-topic' for starters...
> http://www.faqs.org/rfc/rfc1855.txt
>
> You no longer entertain me.
> 'Plonk!'
>
> -----
> willy-nilly
> willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
> Planet Resettlement Project 13
> -
> What city did you say this was?
> I like my MAIL cooked, thanks...
> -----

63. Re: Is Radio Shack going to make a new scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 07:18:13 UTC
From: jmacdon <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3E61B035.E66F3357@mitre.org>
Source record: 35579

Here's some info on a GRE-Radio Shack follow on digital scanner;
<http://www.strongsignals.net/access/news/news.cgi?type=single&section=radio&entry=987>

Other information has appeared over at Strongsignals.net about this
effort.
Just hope PL and DPL capability will be restored to this great line of
scanning receivers!

A drop in charger would be nice, however will settle for ability to
use AA's,
rather than a proprity drop-plug in battery pack.
Jim

fch wrote:

> To compete with the BC-250D?
>
> Are they going to make a drop in charger for
> their new scanner?

64. Re: Is this suitable for scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 07:25:52 UTC
From: jmacdon <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3E61B1FF.89852413@mitre.org>
Source record: 35580

You may have gaps in the coverage due to CELLPHONE harmonics blockages
"*cellulare/image/restriced frequeniess Blocked"
<http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/0120rang.jpg>
Try a Icom IC R2 or Groves bargin bin for a used unit.
<http://www.grove-ent.com/hmpgbbb.html>
Jim
FenderBender wrote:

> I'm interested mainly in scanning police frequencies (149-157MHZ...not
> currently trunk tracked) and was wondering how this radio stacks up? The
> price looks wonderful ($129) but will this actually scan the frequencies it
> lists or am I missing something? I mean it covers everything from shortwave
> to ham to 900MHZ and beyond.
>
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/3120.html

65. Fast food resteraunts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 07:47:32 UTC
From: hiker5@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19696-3E61B714-105@storefull-2255.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 35581
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> >> What is it that some people need to go listen to someone >> order a Big Mac and fries? You think monitoring fire, >> ham, medical would be more exciting. Other than non >> customer communications between 2 employess why would >> you?

well, sometimes you can hear one of the workers tell their co-worker where their co-worker's friend can stick their Big Mac. ;)

66. Re: Trunking scanners in the UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 08:34:14 UTC
From: "Troy R." <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3e61c285$0$12821$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 35582

895s will only trunk 800MHz Motorola systems and nothing else.

"Jeff Seale" <jseale18@insightbb.com> wrote in message
news:0lb8a.278030$SD6.14340@sccrnsc03...
> Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK (http://www.javiation.co.uk)
wrote:
> > In article <b3ddag$df2$1@knossos.btinternet.com>, noway@nohow.com
writes
> >
> >
> >>What is actually up for grabs in the airwaves in the truncing
spectrums.
> >>Am I limited to the bearcat/radioshack scanners and scanners with band-
> >>gaps?
> >
> >
> > The only Trunking capable unit available in the UK is the UBC-780XLT.
> >
> >
> >>Just trying to see if it's worth buying something like UBC-3000XLT
> instead
> >>of something like a yupi 9000mk2.
> >
> >
> > The '3000XLT is a good model but it isn't really comparable to a MVT-
> > 900Mk2 and doesn't offer trunking.
> >
>
> Let us not forget Uniden's UBC-895XLT (the predecessor to the 780).I'm
> sure there should be some used 895's still being sold in the UK.The
> trunking features in the 895 are top notch, considering the fact that
> this was one of Uniden's first trunking scanners.
>

67. Re: I'll post it till I see it on my server. Flaming cross lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 09:08:31 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v63igd49rb2jea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35583

Welcome to the group Willy, you are indeed with a select assemblage of
people who can not abide the juvenile actions of Arctic Cat.

Hopefully when he turns 15 he will realize that spreading graffiti over a
news group gains one negative attention.

"Arctic Cat" <arcticcat@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:HBucnYN27NU5MfyjXTWcow@comcast.com...
> "Willy" <willycrawford@hotSPAM.com> wrote in message
> news:b3s5di$13mk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> > You were 'off-topic' for starters...
> > http://www.faqs.org/rfc/rfc1855.txt
> >
> > You no longer entertain me.
> > 'Plonk!'
>
> Well you failed already. For starters I was asking for a charter for this
> particular group, not a explaination of Netiquette.
>
> Yes, plonk me. You've already served your purpose. You made the list.
> Welcome to it moron.
>
> --
> (Re-worded entirely)
> The following is a list of morons.
> That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
> what they've done or what they said,
> it's all been equally moronic.
>
> The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
> The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gary Roselles of Rapid City, SD -
> rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner
> banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Gandalf Grey - gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
> Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
> MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> John Sabotta - johnsabotta@attbi.com -

alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
> Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave
> GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
>
> "Willy" <willycrawford@hotSPAM.com> wrote in message
> news:b3s5di$13mk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> > "Arctic Cat" <arcticcat@comcast.net> wrote:
> > >"Willy" <willycrawford@hotSPAM.com> wrote in message
> > >news:b3p91a$j9o$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> > >> Didn't you know? Arctic Cat does whatever he wants.
> > >> Your rules and guidelines don't apply in his small world.
> > >> Careful, anyone that disagrees with him goes on 'the list'.
> > >
> > >Who's rules? This is an unmoderated group and the person, whoever it
may
> be,
> > >that started this group might have made a charter but I have yet to see
> it.
> > >So since you seem to be the know-it-all about everything, who's rules
> > >exactly am I supposed to be following?
> > >
> > >By the way. TW. was already on the list before you even posted this so
> > >there's no reason to warn him.
> > >
> > >
> > You were 'off-topic' for starters...
> > http://www.faqs.org/rfc/rfc1855.txt
> >
> > You no longer entertain me.
> > 'Plonk!'
> >
> > -----
> > willy-nilly
> > willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
> > Planet Resettlement Project 13
> > -
> > What city did you say this was?
> > I like my MAIL cooked, thanks...
> > -----
>
>

68. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 10:03:30 UTC
From: ThisIsMyName <sheck5001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <SFk8a.8842$hj.2185491@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 35584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:b3r2r1$3hl4$1@news3.infoave.net:

> 2 on my car. AM/FM radio and 1 CB. I had my dentist put a couple in my
> mouth to pick up various frq's
>

What ARE the resonant frequencies of braces? Are they directional
because of their shape? What about a retainer!?

69. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 13:10:08 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BA876CE0.11CA9%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 35585
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"JA Moran" wrote:

> how many antennas do you have on you vehcile, car or truck...

Besides the AM/FM antenna, one. I'm in a minimalist phase at the moment, simplifying everything in my life. It is amazing how comfortable fewer distractions can be.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

70. Re: Would like more information on VR120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 15:44:43 UTC
From: keith <mke65@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <ki946vcfc7eukpa3rul85odnj9ls8tsaqh@4ax.com>
Source record: 35586

To answer your question yes. I have the radio and it is nice but...
be forewarned, it is a bugger to learn to program. I have owned
yupiteru 9000, aor 8200, and alinco 2000 and this one gave me more
problems than those. But as far as the radio goes, I give it a strong
9 out of 10 and for the 135 delivered this is a bargain radio.

keith
On Sat, 1 Mar 2003 22:23:48 -0700, "FenderBender"
<fenderbender@softhomeNOSPAM.com> wrote:

>If anyone that owns or has owned one of these receivers could answer a few
>questions for me, I think I may order one of these very soon.
>
>As I stated above, I'm not worried about TrunkTracking since I live in a
>fairly rural area. My main use will be to monitor local fire and police, but
>I am interested somewhat in SW (if I only get the main international
>broadcaster thats okay with me) and having an AM/FM radio. However my
>concern is this....
>
>If I want to just save six channels per memory bank, will this radio do it
>for me? In other words...I want it to save police/fire in one bank and scan
>just those 6 channels. Is that possible? I currently have a BC60XLT, and I
>enjoy having just those 6 channels saved in memory. (My BC60XLT is about to
>give out and I would like to replace it before it does). Instead of spending
>$60-$70 on a radio that will only pickup fire/police, I'd like something
>that covers SW, AM/FM, 900MHZ and such. I think this is the radio for me,
>but I would like to be 100% sure on the memory function.
>
>Thanks
>Rob
>

71. Re: Chunks of frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 16:28:45 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030302112845.20619.00000071@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 35587

i use 1000 ch scanners and enter all frequencies into the memory im interested
in

72. Re: Would like more information on VR120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 16:54:36 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <not_for_mail@fake789.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.03.02.16.54.34.903383@fake789.invalid>
Source record: 35588

Rob,

The VR-120D can memorize up to 64 channels in each
of 10 banks.
I really enjoy the VR-120 and take it everywhere.

If you get a VR-120D, you are welcome to use
my free tk120 software. You can read about it
and download it from:

http://parnass.com

Suggest you join the Yahoo groups associated
with the VR-120 and VR-120D:

YaesuVR-120
YAESUVR120
VR-120

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User http://parnass.com

73. WANTED: 440 HT's, Standard/Icom/Kenwood & R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 17:00:19 UTC
From: 6MtrSSB <nospam@tome.com>
Message-ID: <DMq8a.77798$zF6.5544461@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35589
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm looking for the following radio's:

Standard C468A
Icom 4SAT
Icom R1
Kenwood TH45AT

I'm looking for complete working units. Boxes,manuals,chargers, etc.
If you have one of these and are looking to sell it please email direct.

thnx
Lou
RW613@lycos.com

74. Who has the best prices on digital scanners ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 17:07:05 UTC
From: majorwiseandhammer <pcarpenter@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v64git70kqei78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35590

I am most interested in the Uniden BC 250D. Thanks for reading this post,
Phil

75. Re: Indoor Antenna -- What do I do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 17:49:08 UTC
From: gjl0603@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030302124908.01375.00000074@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 35591

I use the tv dipole that comes with your tv set that everyone throws back in the box because they have cable. I have used this for at least 10 years. The dipole uses 300 ohm flatlead, so I bought a 300 ohm-to-75 ohm adapter and a female "f"-to-male bnc to connect to the scanner. If you need to extend the reach of the antenna, just add an "f" double female and a piece of 75 ohm tv coax.I got the idea from Grove Enterprises indoor 66" antenna. I use it on my PRO-2004 base unit, I've used it when I would take my hand-held out to the screened in patio. I think it works out great. I know it's illegal to do, but as a test when I first hooked it up, I checked the 49 MHz cordless phone frequencies. With the 18" or so stock whip antenna on the PRO-2004: nothing. With the tv dipole calls from all over the neighborhood would come in. My real boost was that I could start hearing ANG F16's out of Syracuse NY training out over Lake Ontario (I lived in SW Rochester NY at the time). And when the skip was running I was picking up 42 MHz CHIPs from California :) If you've got one kicking around try a tv dipole. Otherwise you can buy them at Radio Shack.

76. Re: Who has the best prices on digital scanners ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 18:23:38 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <%Zr8a.773$ld1.48996@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 35592

Try The Hobby Radio Stop in Ohio.
http://www.bearcat1.com/item0005.htm

Their cash price is the lowest I've found.
(outside a questionable seller on eBay)

Also check Freq of Nature who has a price
watch webpage on many dealers.
http://www.freqofnature.com

"majorwiseandhammer" <pcarpenter@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v64git70kqei78@corp.supernews.com...
> I am most interested in the Uniden BC 250D. Thanks for reading this post,
> Phil
>
>

77. DUH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 18:32:05 UTC
From: READING the MAIL <Respnd_VIA_News@news.Groups>
Message-ID: <F6s8a.7520$Uy4.638475@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35593

[No readable article body recovered]

78. DUH.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 18:32:18 UTC
From: READING the MAIL <Respnd_VIA_News@news.Groups>
Message-ID: <S6s8a.7521$Uy4.640712@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35594

[No readable article body recovered]

79. DUH....Jokes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 18:32:50 UTC
From: READING the MAIL <Respnd_VIA_News@news.Groups>
Message-ID: <m7s8a.7522$Uy4.640279@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35595

Do I need an Antenna on my Radio? DUUHhhh.....

80. DUH Jokes.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 18:33:18 UTC
From: READING the MAIL <Respnd_VIA_News@news.Groups>
Message-ID: <O7s8a.7523$Uy4.639667@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35596

How do I turn on my Radio...DUUhhh??

81. DUH Jokes.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 18:33:51 UTC
From: READING the MAIL <Respnd_VIA_News@news.Groups>
Message-ID: <j8s8a.7524$Uy4.640201@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35497

Why is my Scanner so hard to use? DUUhh....

82. DUH Jokes....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 18:35:05 UTC
From: READING the MAIL <Respnd_VIA_News@news.Groups>
Message-ID: <t9s8a.7526$Uy4.640531@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35498

Should I POST my DUH QUESTIONS on the News Groups? DUH...

83. Re: Who has the best prices on digital scanners ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 19:12:50 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com.goaway
Message-ID: <20030302141250.22317.00000128@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 35499

This is where I found the best price & good customer service & no sales tax.

http://www.national-electronics.com/

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

84. Re: Fast food resteraunts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 19:14:14 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <SIs8a.10799$RR1.8746@fe08.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35500
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<hiker5@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19696-3E61B714-105@storefull-2255.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >
> >> What is it that some people need to go listen to someone >> order a
> Big Mac and fries? You think monitoring fire, >> ham, medical would be
> more exciting. Other than non >> customer communications between 2
> employess why would >> you?
>
> well, sometimes you can hear one of the workers tell their co-worker
> where their co-worker's friend can stick their Big Mac. ;)
>
>

It's not always the content of the transmissions, it's the fact that you discovered it, have captured it, etc. Sort of like why some fishermen catch a fish only to release it afterward.

Ken

85. Digital Scanners now available in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 19:34:50 UTC
From: Joe Lockhart <ve5jl@ve5jl.com>
Message-ID: <u1t8a.373396$sV3.10858799@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 35501
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well sort of...

http://www.ve5jl.com

86. Re: Indoor Antenna -- What do I do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 19:42:11 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <J8t8a.394$Xo3.77301@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35502

If you're looking for a simple antennna, then I could suggest the following
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3010080882
I've recently bought one of these and even though it may seem that I paid
too much for it with the exchange and all, it's an awesome little antenna
and it's out of the way.

It's been actually pretty decent for me, and has picked up some good signals
from a good distance.

"twiz" <you_can@notspam.me> wrote in message
news:m1506v84dhaqavfabovdf66vt9e1m7qtsj@4ax.com...
>
> I've been looking for an indoor antenna for my PCR1000. Lately I've
> been using a handheld antenna and it doesn't work as well as it
> probably should.
>
> There is a really nice looking one:
> WiNRADiO AX-31B Active UHF Antenna
>
> at http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT4.html
>
> It's a little expensive and I wonder if it performs well. Does anyone
> have one of these?
>
> I live in an apartment in the city and can't really put an antenna on
> my roof. Well I could, but it might cause trouble.
>
> If anyone has any suggestions I would appreciate them.
>
> -J

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.459 / Virus Database: 258 - Release Date: 25/02/2003

87. Re: Digital Scanners now available in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 20:34:34 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <uVt8a.11225$E94.387772@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 35503
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Joe Lockhart" <ve5jl@ve5jl.com> wrote in message
news:u1t8a.373396$sV3.10858799@news3.calgary.shaw.ca...
> Well sort of...
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com
>

Usual confusion by the ranks of the snivel servants with the federal
gummint. Besides the new digital scanners are
expensive and unless your region uses APCO-25 (Vancouver uses Pro-Voice), in
a huge amount, there's no need
to spend the money.

I just bought a Uniden BC780XLT, and a BC245XLT off the counter at a radio
shop in Seattle.

As for licensing, no need for just scanning. Seems like alot of hoops to go
through just for scanning purposes.

Seems like Industry Canada is putting up a checkpoint where actually no
checkpoint is needed, since obviously the
scanners can only receive, not transmit. For transceivers I can see that,
but for scanners, it's a bit of a headscratcher.
Loks like they have intentionally made more work for CUPE members.

Save the money, buy an analogue scanner (or more ham gear) or use the money
to go to a Canadiens, Leafs, Senators, Oilers, Flames or Canucks home game
(if you live in Canadian NHL team towns).

PK

88. shortwave stations around 286 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 21:55:18 UTC
From: Dan Jacobson <jidanni@dman.ddts.net>
Message-ID: <871y1p1lix.fsf@jidanni.org>
Source record: 35510

What are a lot of shortwave stations doing around 286 Mhz as heard on
my Icom R2? E.g. VOA at 286.21 . Am I just hearing things or is this
some satellite rebroadcast etc.?
--
http://jidanni.org/ Taiwan(04)25854780

89. F/S Icom IC-R8500G

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 22:12:26 UTC
From: see-signature@nospam.net
Message-ID: <3e6281bb.23478279@news.east.cox.net>
Source record: 35504

For Sale
Icom IC-R8500 unblocked

Radio is about two years old and has had minimal use and is in new condition. I have all the original packaging, etc.

.jpg's available

$1175
Jeff.
Rhode Island
jad1@cox.net

90. Pro-94 multi trunking for auction low price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 22:14:37 UTC
From: keith <mke65@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <bh056vc5hr7s7f14939aj0u71fk18nunki@4ax.com>
Source record: 35505

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3010781678&rd=1

91. Re: Trunking scanners in the UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 22:43:22 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <eOv8a.320321$HN5.1393100@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 35506

```
Troy R. wrote:
> 895s will only trunk 800MHz Motorola systems and nothing else.
>
>
> "Jeff Seale" <jseale18@insightbb.com> wrote in message
> news:0lb8a.278030$SD6.14340@sccrnsc03...
>
>>Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK (http://www.javiation.co.uk)
>
> wrote:
>
>> > In article <b3ddag$df2$1@knossos.btinternet.com>, noway@nohow.com
>
> writes
>
>> >
>> >
>> >>What is actually up for grabs in the airwaves in the truncing
>
> spectrums.
>
>> >>Am I limited to the bearcat/radioshack scanners and scanners with
band-
>> >>gaps?
>> >
>> >
>> > The only Trunking capable unit available in the UK is the UBC-780XLT.
>> >
>> >
>> >>Just trying to see if it's worth buying something like UBC-3000XLT
>>instead
>> >>of something like a yupi 9000mk2.
>> >
>> >
>> > The '3000XLT is a good model but it isn't really comparable to a MVT-
>> > 900Mk2 and doesn't offer trunking.
>> >
>>
>>Let us not forget Uniden's UBC-895XLT (the predecessor to the 780).I'm
>>sure there should be some used 895's still being sold in the UK.The
>>trunking features in the 895 are top notch, considering the fact that
>>this was one of Uniden's first trunking scanners.
>>
>
```

>
>

And I suppose you've heard of the digital-capable UBC-785DXLT that has been mada available in the States recently. It's basically a duplicate of the UBC-780XLT plus digital scanning capability. However, that digital scanning capability will come at a price. You'll have to install a D/A converter card into this thing and it's sold seperately and is about as pricey as the scanner is. Caveat emptor, the D/A converter is not capable of decrypting scrambled signals.

92. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 22:51:48 UTC
From: kd5nrh@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <20030302175148.722$Ek@newsreader.com>
Source record: 35507
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com> wrote:
> how many antennas do you have on you vehcile, car or truck... I have 5
> 27mhz-CB, 2/440, 10/2, 150/450 and a 800/1900 (radio-mobile tel)

Well, let's see; the stock stereo antenna on the driver's side A-pillar, a 10m hamstick on a ball mount on the left fender, a 2m/70cm on an NMO mount dead center over the front interior light, and another dualbander on a magmount just ahead of the rear hatch for the scanner. Should I count the 10m dipoles and the 2m LPDA disassembled inside the hatch for field operation?

I'm thinking about adding another ball mount on the right fender for another HF monobander, and moving the scanner antenna to an NMO sometime in the not-too-distant future.

--
Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH

93. Re: Trunking scanners in the UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 22:53:20 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK (http://www.javiation.co.uk)" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <yJYNPCBgtoY+Ewme@javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 35509

In article <0lb8a.278030$SD6.14340@sccrnsc03>, Jeff Seale
<jseale18@insightbb.com> writes

>Let us not forget Uniden's UBC-895XLT (the predecessor to the 780).I'm
>sure there should be some used 895's still being sold in the UK.The
>trunking features in the 895 are top notch, considering the fact that
>this was one of Uniden's first trunking scanners.

There wasn't a UBC-895XLT.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
01274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

94. More Cordless Phone 3510 KHz FM, Found Source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-02 23:33:33 UTC
From: courir26@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a0dd5fc9.0303021533.57ffcce8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35508

Guys:

It is not a local AM station (I think I could tell the difference). I hear a lady and a child taking personal calls about going to a Mardi Gras parade, same one my kid went to. Since the thing is key-down almost all the time, I also heard the answering machine pickup.

There is no primary signal on 1755, but the 3510 signal is still 35dB over S9.

I went down the block with a Sony portable and found the source about two blocks away.

I scanned around with a R-7000 and could not find the other side. Since we're off for Mardi Gras I'll keep looking for the other side.

If I was a CW guy I'd be frosted as she is locking up good ham frequencies.

Seems like it would take some power to be 35 over from two blocks away. The local AM 5000W is 30 over.

73 Tom N5OFF
Lafayette, LA

courir26@yahoo.com (Tom M) wrote in message news:<a0dd5fc9.0303010704.700ddb18@posting.google.com>...
> Group,
>
> I just copied a local cordless phone on 3510 khz FM. It is 35dB over
> S9 on an Icom R-71A.
>
> Anyone ever heard of this band used for phones? I was dialing up for
> ham traffic when this thing busted through.
>
> After the party hangs up, the transmitter stays keyed for several
> minutes, unlike other phones that drop off right away.
>
> 73 Tom N5OFF
> Lafayette, LA

95. Re: shortwave stations around 286 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 01:23:50 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3E62AEA6.9F797BAC@iserv.net>
Source record: 35511

Dan Jacobson wrote:

> What are a lot of shortwave stations doing around 286 Mhz as heard on
> my Icom R2? E.g. VOA at 286.21 . Am I just hearing things or is this
> some satellite rebroadcast etc.?

Your radio has poor image rejection.

>
> --
> http://jidanni.org/ Taiwan(04)25854780

96. Re: shortwave stations around 286 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 01:25:34 UTC
From: xxxm@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <b3ub0e$4ph$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35512

What is the IF? You could be hearing an image frequency. Most SW only receivers (500 kc to 30 MHz) have an IF will above 30 MHz. That way any internal mixes are out of band. ie 7.410 MHz + 70.00 MHz = 77.410 MHZ. If the RX had an IF of 10.7 you would have 7.410 + 10.7 = 18.110.

97. Alinco DJ-X10 memories wiped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 01:54:48 UTC
From: Je Forget <nospam@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <BA882018.C508%nospam@nospam.org>
Source record: 35514

My X10 was in the drawer a bit too long and I had to reset the CPU to get it working again. As such, all the 'pre-loaded' memories with alpha tags were erased. Is there any way to restore them via a computer download. I know I could do a 'clone' with another unit, but I don't know anyone else in town with one.

Any suggestions?

Thanks,
Pat

98. BC780XLT discriminator and data slicer taps question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 02:00:03 UTC
From: Vic <nospam@bogusaddress.com>
Message-ID: <syy8a.150285$KZ1.117977@fe04.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35513

I'd like to install the discriminator tap and BOTH data slicer taps on this scanner. That means THREE wires coming off the PC board.
Would it make any difference whether three RCA jacks were installed on the back of the scanner, or one 6 pin DIN socket?

I'd really like to go with the DIN socket and have all three taps there. It seems it would make for a simpler, cleaner setup. A
standard shielded PS2 mouse cord could be used for a cable from the socket. I guess I'm concerned that the receiver might pick up
interference somehow with a six foot cord connected to all three taps ... but I'm not SURE that would happen.

Any thoughts on this topic?

Vic

99. 3510 Khz Phone, Gadget Identified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 02:18:14 UTC
From: courir26@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a0dd5fc9.0303021818.19f24888@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35515

A chap who knew about such things furnished me with the right answer.

It is a wireless phone line extender which uses HF freqs.

See attached.

http://www.phonex.com/prd_wj.htm

Tom

100. Places to find cheap BC780s ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 03:25:31 UTC
From: Dave Staples <dasflies1@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jN6cndv8jZmxVv-jXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 35516

Hello,

Im looking for legit places that sell used or new BC780s. I think ive decided to go with one of them, as opposed to forking over $360 for the scanner and $300 for the card (even though Philly is digital... im really in a bind as to what to do!)

Dave KB3HIU
dasflies1@comcast.net

101. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 06:14:12 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b3urr6$1qbkkh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 35517
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3E60BEC1.5060702@analon.com...
> how many antennas do you have on you vehcile, car or truck... I have 5
> 27mhz-CB, 2/440, 10/2, 150/450 and a 800/1900 (radio-mobile tel)
> I may soon add a GPS and an XM radio antenna
> most are lined up down the center of the roof....

I only have two, one 5/8 wave 2 meter antenna and a glass mount scanner
antenna. 3 if you count the OEM AM/FM antenna.

I know of a ham in my area who has no less than 23 antennas mounted all over
his car. I'm not sure he even has that many radios in it...

--
Tom Sevart N2UHC
Frontenac, KS

http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc

102. Re: I'll post it till I see it on my server. Flaming cross lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 07:04:16 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b3uup3$1pq7mr$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 35518

"Arctic Cat" <arcticcat@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:xZucnXRmdsa-qf2jXTWc2Q@comcast.com...

> Who's rules? This is an unmoderated group and the person, whoever it may
be,
> that started this group might have made a charter but I have yet to see
it.
> So since you seem to be the know-it-all about everything, who's rules
> exactly am I supposed to be following?

So why exactly do you make posts to a scanner newsgroup that have nothing to
do with scanners? Does it make sense to go to a cooking forum and talk
about radio-related subjects there?

Tom

103. Re: Indoor Antenna -- What do I do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 07:06:43 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b3uutm$1pdiha$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 35519

"twiz" <you_can@notspam.me> wrote in message
news:m1506v84dhaqavfabovdf66vt9e1m7qtsj@4ax.com...
>
> I've been looking for an indoor antenna for my PCR1000. Lately I've
> been using a handheld antenna and it doesn't work as well as it
> probably should.
>

One thing I've done is hook a length of coax to a set of TV rabbit ears.
You can probably find rabbit ear antennas at your local department store or
Radio Shack. You can lengthen or shorten them depending on the band of
interest. Just mount them vertically for a dipole.

Tom

104. Re: Cordless Phone 3510 Khz FM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 07:09:12 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b3uv2b$1pqgvk$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 35520

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net> wrote in message
news:6w2dnb-mhp-FnvyjXTWcpw@ctc.net...
>

> Doubt it was a phone patch in the CW segment of the band. No voice modes
> are allowed.

And certainly no FM used by hams on the HF bands below 10 meters.

Tom

105. Re: 3510 Khz Phone, Gadget Identified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 07:17:04 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b3uvhh$1q1dk9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 35521

"Tom M" <courir26@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a0dd5fc9.0303021818.19f24888@posting.google.com...
> A chap who knew about such things furnished me with the right answer.
>
> It is a wireless phone line extender which uses HF freqs.
>
> See attached.
>
> http://www.phonex.com/prd_wj.htm
>
> Tom

Glad you found out what it was. Seems I remember Radio Shack coming out with those a while back. The ones I remember used the house's power lines as carrier current radio to connect the phone to the phone line.

Maybe a complaint to the FCC will solve the problem. Or better yet, maybe if you tell the lady that you can hear all her conversations she'll get rid of the device.

Tom

106. Re: >>>> Radios for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 08:00:56 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b3viu875rt@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 35523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Did ya wind up selling the ZIP drive?? Never heard back from ya. Am still interested if you have it.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
--. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
.. --. .... - . .-. ...

"Lloyd, N0VFP" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3e60f5c3$1_4@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com...
> I have the following I would like to sell.
>
> Radio Shack Pro-92 scanner. 500 ch scanner - comes with box and manual
and
> a set of nicads and AC adaptor. $125
>
> Radio Shack Pro 2050 Scanner 300 ch with Trunk tracker - with box/manual
> $125
>
> DSL modem - For Bellsouth DSL only or compatible $80
>
> Radio Shack HTX 252 - 2m mobile 10w/25w $75.00
>
> The following are on E-bay
>
> ADI HT 204 - Simalar to the ADI 600
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=3010675674&
> rd=1
>
> Dual band HT antenna
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4672&item=3010658564&
> rd=1
>
> Hallicrafters S-125
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=3010676101
> &rd=1
>
> Radio Shack Version of Super Radio
>

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15051&item=3010704615
> &rd=1
>
> Kodak DC-20 Digital Camera
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=31388&item=2914722992
> &rd=1
>
>
>
> Please contact me at lloyd_vfp@msn.com to discuss sales
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

107. Do there exist an FCC URl where,...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 10:57:40 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e63344b.34462331@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 35522

Is there an FCC URL where I can just type in a freq.
and it will tell me who it's assigned to ?

I'm getting some traffic in both Police/Fire/Rescue
and Mil or Milair locally that don't pop up on my lists. I haven't
kept records as yet - thought I would ask this question first.

Thanks,

Andrew/ KG4JBM
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

108. FT-- BC245XLT TrunkTracker II for PRO92B or Pro 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 13:45:25 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nmar@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E635CCA.EC53C6C9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 35524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking to swap my Uniden BC245XLT Trunk Tracker II, with manuals, box, battery, charger, etc. for a Radio Shack Pro92B or possibly Pro 2067. The battery has no been charged more than 20 times since new.

My 245 is in like new condition and I would expect your radio to be the same both cosmetically and electronically.

email direct to nmar@earthlink.net

I am not looking for any other trades,

Nick

109. Re: Do there exist an FCC URI where,...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 14:08:54 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3E6361F6.5810BE26@iserv.net>
Source record: 35525

Reticulum wrote:

> Is there an FCC URL where I can just type in a freq.
> and it will tell me who it's assigned to ?
>
> I'm getting some traffic in both Police/Fire/Rescue
> and Mil or Milair locally that don't pop up on my lists. I haven't
> kept records as yet - thought I would ask this question first.

Try this:

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

>
>
> Thanks,
>
> Andrew/ KG4JBM
> Reticulum
>
> Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

110. Re: Discone, groundplane what to use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 14:42:15 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030303094215.24273.00000120@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 35526

I personally used a telescoping antenna off of the back of my Pro-2053 for a while and typically heard stations 40 miles away or more. Now I'm using a VHF/UHF discone at 15', and it works wonderful.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

111. Pro-94 auction ends 11:30 AM PST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 15:46:03 UTC
From: keith <mke65@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <53u66vsklhefl3qj0q0m4qnfig14aaasep@4ax.com>
Source record: 35527

Will ship today. Should receive it by Wednesday.
On Sun, 02 Mar 2003 22:14:37 GMT, keith <mke65@attbi.com> wrote:

>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3010781678&rd=1

112. Re: Do there exist an FCC URI where,...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 15:48:14 UTC
From: JohnW <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <Xns93336DE5F179FJohnW@216.166.71.234>
Source record: 35528

cathexis@yourhaterols.com (Reticulum) wrote in news:3e63344b.34462331
@news.starpower.net:

>
> Is there an FCC URL where I can just type in a freq.
> and it will tell me who it's assigned to ?
>
> I'm getting some traffic in both Police/Fire/Rescue
> and Mil or Milair locally that don't pop up on my lists. I haven't
> kept records as yet - thought I would ask this question first.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Andrew/ KG4JBM
> Reticulum
>
> Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail
>

http://www.fcc.gov/

or more precicely, since DIY doesn't seem your strong point:

http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm

113. For Sale: Radioshack Pro46 (unblocked 800)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 15:57:58 UTC
From: Pat's Sympatico <nospam@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <A_K8a.1024$6z.246223@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35529

I have a pair of Pro46 handheld scanners for sale. They are fully capable of receiving the 800MHz spectrum.
Will ship anywhere in Canada/Continental US. I will also be attending the Rochester Hamvention in May. Cosmetically 9 out of 10, I will happily email photos to prospective buyers.
Price: $100US / $150CDN plus shipping & insurance.

Reason for selling: I have too many scanners, and just bought an AR8200, wife is threatening to leave... :)

Thanks!

pat2002
at
foxhollow
dot
ca

114. Re: For Sale: Radioshack Pro46 (unblocked 800)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 16:03:33 UTC
From: Pat's Sympatico <nospam@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <P3L8a.1028$6z.247301@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35530

Follow up to previous post.
Price is 'each' however, I'd happily make a deal if someone wanted both of them.

Pat2002
at
foxhollow
dot
ca

115. best wideband scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 16:50:34 UTC
From: definity@heartz.com
Message-ID: <30ceeac3.0303030850.75b1f710@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35531

what the scanner that can go the widest for uder 300 dollors or pounds

116. Re: Does anybody know.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 17:38:03 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <%pM8a.26087$Pa.2466263@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35532

Looks like a resume. Email the guy and ask him if the system is APCO25 Phase I compliant. If not, then your answer is NOPE.

-me

"Rob Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:U108a.8895$kf7.1239505@news20.bellglobal.com...
> If the new digital scanners out can interpolate M/A-COM? I'm not sure what
> it is but it appers to be some form of system used by the local police. Just
> wondering if it can, cuz if it is... time to pick me up one :D
>
> FYI here's what i found on trusty google.....
>
> 1997-present Royal Newfoundland Constabulary/RCMP Mobile Radio Network
> Wireless Consultant - Integration and Implementation of Joint RCMP Digital
> Trunked Mobile Radio System - M/A-COM (formerly Comnet Ericsson)
>
>
>
> thanks.
>
>

117. Re: I'll post it till I see it on my server. Flaming cross lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 17:47:08 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <wyM8a.26132$Pa.2469158@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35533

"TW." <whoyou@whyme.net> wrote in message
news:e7V7a.274069$iG3.31835@sccrnsc02...
> And this has what to do with scanners?

Right before the flames became a cross, they resembled a dipole.... ;-)

-me

118. Re: Would like more information on VR120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 18:54:40 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QxN8a.79836$zF6.5685732@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35534

It is an excellent scanner, and does all the functions you ask about, BUT
BUT
BUT
Buy the cable and download the software. Programming is just about
impossible for anyone over the age of 9
"Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <not_for_mail@fake789.invalid> wrote in message
news:pan.2003.03.02.16.54.34.903383@fake789.invalid...
> Rob,
>
> The VR-120D can memorize up to 64 channels in each
> of 10 banks.
> I really enjoy the VR-120 and take it everywhere.
>
> If you get a VR-120D, you are welcome to use
> my free tk120 software. You can read about it
> and download it from:
>
> http://parnass.com
>
> Suggest you join the Yahoo groups associated
> with the VR-120 and VR-120D:
>
> YaesuVR-120
> YAESUVR120
> VR-120
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User http://parnass.com
>

119. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 19:07:54 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v679u55uhkbdd4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35535
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Currently have 3. Very soon in the future will be adding at least one more
for my MURS radio, possibly 2...

1 - CB
1 - Broad-band Scanner
1 - AM/FM Broadcast
1 - MURS (coming soon)
1 - External Cell antenna (Maybe)

PH

"JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3E60BEC1.5060702@analon.com...
> how many antennas do you have on you vehcile, car or truck... I have 5
> 27mhz-CB, 2/440, 10/2, 150/450 and a 800/1900 (radio-mobile tel)
> I may soon add a GPS and an XM radio antenna
> most are lined up down the center of the roof....
>
> the antennas is not the problem its, where to put the equipment
> connected to them.
> I constructed special brackets to hold the radios and a new extended
> center console out of uni-strut material that's what electricians use to
> mount stuff...
>
>
>
> --
> JOHN ... (jamoran@analon.com) 800-873-5884 http://www.analon.com Chemistry
is fun.
> Computer systems, software, networks, system security & Salvage.
>
>
>
>

120. Audio filter for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 19:53:35 UTC
From: cprstn54zz@att.net
Message-ID: <3e63b196.190269843@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 35536

Does anyone sell a decent, low-draw (under 150 ma) fillter that could be used on the audio-out of a scanner? Analog or digital (DSP). Low pass, high pass and notch filtering seem fundamental, with random noise reduction a plus.

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

121. Re: WATCH OUT FOR THIS EMAIL SCAM!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 21:28:36 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <v67i869f5htle9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanners

```
You just graduated to my twit filter, idiot
"shaun" <fromutt@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:yN96a.232106$vm2.175325@rwcrnsc54...
> IT IS A GROUP TRYING TO GET YOUR INFO! IF YOU GET IT? SEND IT TO :
> accessviolation@paypal.com <accessviolation@paypal.com>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Please verify your information today!
>
> Your account has been flagged in our system to be investigated
> about an issue concerning Fraud. We require members flagged with Fraud to
> verify their information.
> To verify your information, click here and enter the details.
>
> After you verify your information, your account will be taken
> out of Fraud and you will be allowed to make transfers again.
>
>
> Thanks for using PayPal!
>
>
>
> Please do not reply to this e-mail. Mail sent to this
> address cannot be answered.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

122. Re: Anti-War Week ~ February 13-21 ~ New York City, Chicago, San Francisco, Around the World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 21:47:47 UTC
From: DAVID LESSARD <DAVIDLESSARD@screwedU.com>
Message-ID: <74Q8a.299942$2H6.5706@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 35538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.iraq

aren't we all a little negative - "anti" "war" on "terror"

"Stephen M. H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:aFx3a.3561$_c6.318680@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Watcher" <watcher@watchtower1.org> wrote:
>
>
> > The US always seesmto like funding both sides in a war Isareal and
> > Pally both get funds from a Bush - much like Hitler got support from a
> > Bush
>
> Good point. I think the US should withdraw its support for the
> perpetually - diaperheaded Palestinians.
>
> 73 (not),
>
> Steve Lawrence
> Omaha
>
> BTW - I'm assuming you've got access to Spell-Check.
> You might want to use it - I've got a headache from attempting
> to read your posts. Too bad Logic - Check and Construct -
> Check haven't been invented as yet.....
>
>

123. Re: Places to find cheap BC780s ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 21:55:56 UTC
From: Vic <nospam@bogusaddress.com>
Message-ID: <Q4Q8a.207035$ED.42895@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35539

yeah, or you could save over $100 as I did and buy a used MINT 780! If a person has no need of the 785's digital option, why bother?

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:d1n56v871j22luash6lamv2cgtv3ibgglk@4ax.com...
> Scribbling with a stale candycane, "Dave Staples" <dasflies1@comcast.net> penned:
>
> > Im looking for legit places that sell used or new BC780s. I think ive
> >decided to go with one of them, as opposed to forking over $360 for the
> >scanner and $300 for the card (even though Philly is digital... im really in
> >a bind as to what to do!)
>
> The price difference is only about $35-40 at most places,
> you get double the number of channels, AND the ability
> t go digital in the future, should you ever need it,
>
> www.national-electronics.com , they sell both the 780 and 785.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

124. Re: Who has the best prices on digital scanners ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 22:50:51 UTC
From: Skip Dube <skips@ttlc.net>
Message-ID: <v67n0noe9sjs81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35540

Nation Electronics BC785D for $339 A25 card #289. I ordered last monday
received it on Fri UPS ground shipping.
http://www.national-electronics.com/

"majorwiseandhammer" <pcarpenter@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v64git70kqei78@corp.supernews.com...
> I am most interested in the Uniden BC 250D. Thanks for reading this post,
> Phil
>
>

125. Re: Fast food resteraunts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-03 23:33:45 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <tDR8a.28287$Pa.2573418@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35541
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Naah, for me its the sheer entertainment of listening to really stupid
people ask really stupid questions:

"What comes on a cheeseburger?"
"Meat and cheese, pickles, onions, ketchup and mustard."
"That's it?"
"That's it."
"Can I get one with no mayo?"
"Yes because they don't come with mayo anyway."
"Why not?"
etc.

-me

"Ken Roberts" <kenr55@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:SIs8a.10799$RR1.8746@fe08.atl2.webusenet.com...
>
> <hiker5@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:19696-3E61B714-105@storefull-2255.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > >
> > >> What is it that some people need to go listen to someone >> order a
> > Big Mac and fries? You think monitoring fire, >> ham, medical would be
> > more exciting. Other than non >> customer communications between 2
> > employess why would >> you?
> > >
> > well, sometimes you can hear one of the workers tell their co-worker
> > where their co-worker's friend can stick their Big Mac. ;)
> > >
> > >
> >
> It's not always the content of the transmissions, it's the fact that you
> discovered it, have captured it, etc. Sort of like why some fishermen
catch
> a fish only to release it afterward.
>
> Ken
>
>
>

126. 225 to 400 Mhz HT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 01:15:56 UTC
From: epn144@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030303201556.03651.00000345@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 35542

Hi,

IM looking for a handheld scanner that does108 to 138 and 225 to 400 MHz band.
It also most be able to search in 25 kHz increments and scan in AM mode.

If you happen to know the scan and search rate I would appreciate knowing this
too.

Thanks
John

127. Re: Audio filter for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 02:17:55 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <3q-cnZBffJOqgfmjXTWcqQ@ctc.net>
Source record: 35397

> Does anyone sell a decent, low-draw (under 150 ma) fillter that could
> be used on the audio-out of a scanner? Analog or digital (DSP). Low
> pass, high pass and notch filtering seem fundamental, with random
> noise reduction a plus.

Do you have a FM only scanner or is it multi-mode ? If FM there is not much
you can do at the audio level.

128. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 02:26:04 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <dDOTbxx@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <kl286v4q2ofnk5gm23ppl1sqnkobef4kdv@4ax.com>
Source record: 35543

I'm surprised no one mentioned their wife!

Mine doesn't like any antennas on the car.

I only have one...the AM/FM antenna. Planning on 3 more in the near
future.

On Sat, 01 Mar 2003 14:08:05 GMT, JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com> wrote:

>how many antennas do you have on you vehcile, car or truck... I have 5
>27mhz-CB, 2/440, 10/2, 150/450 and a 800/1900 (radio-mobile tel)
>I may soon add a GPS and an XM radio antenna
>most are lined up down the center of the roof....
>
>the antennas is not the problem its, where to put the equipment
>connected to them.
>I constructed special brackets to hold the radios and a new extended
>center console out of uni-strut material that's what electricians use to
>mount stuff...

129. Re: 225 to 400 Mhz HT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 02:28:20 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v683q29md50431@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35544

You might look at the Yupiteru 7100, complete specs and review may be found at www.strongsignals.com

"EPN144" <epn144@aol.com> wrote in message news:20030303201556.03651.00000345@mb-fr.aol.com...
> Hi,
>
> IM looking for a handheld scanner that does108 to 138 and 225 to 400 MHz band.
> It also most be able to search in 25 kHz increments and scan in AM mode.
>
> If you happen to know the scan and search rate I would appreciate knowing this
> too.
>
> Thanks
> John

130. Re: 225 to 400 Mhz HT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 02:43:07 UTC
From: Fred Shabec <kb9zyx@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E6412B2.9070406@earthlink.net>
Source record: 35545

BC250 does a great job!!

EPN144 wrote:
> Hi,
>
> IM looking for a handheld scanner that does108 to 138 and 225 to 400 MHz band.
> It also most be able to search in 25 kHz increments and scan in AM mode.
>
> If you happen to know the scan and search rate I would appreciate knowing this
> too.
>
> Thanks
> John

131. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 03:06:41 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v6861ttcd7l0ae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35546

Like a buddy of mine says "If I want to put an antenna on the car, you can
just live with it".

He claims it has worked on all 5 of his wives.

"DxxxxxBxx" <dDOTbxx@lycos.com> wrote in message
news:kl286v4q2ofnk5gm23ppl1sqnkobef4kdv@4ax.com...
> I'm surprised no one mentioned their wife!
>
> Mine doesn't like any antennas on the car.
>
> I only have one...the AM/FM antenna. Planning on 3 more in the near
> future.
>
> On Sat, 01 Mar 2003 14:08:05 GMT, JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com> wrote:
>
> >how many antennas do you have on you vehcile, car or truck... I have 5
> >27mhz-CB, 2/440, 10/2, 150/450 and a 800/1900 (radio-mobile tel)
> >I may soon add a GPS and an XM radio antenna
> >most are lined up down the center of the roof....
> >
> >the antennas is not the problem its, where to put the equipment
> >connected to them.
> >I constructed special brackets to hold the radios and a new extended
> >center console out of uni-strut material that's what electricians use to
> >mount stuff...
>

132. Re: 225 to 400 Mhz HT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 03:51:11 UTC
From: Bob <rareeder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E6422DF.502@earthlink.net>
Source record: 35398

Sony ICF-SC1 or the PC version
25-1300 MHZ continuous, no gaps (if you are good with an xacto knife and soldering iron)
Steps are 5,10,12.5, 25,50,100 khz
AM , NFM or WFM
Search and scan rate at 25 steps per second
Normally available on eBay for about $125.00 US

Bob

EPN144 wrote:

>Hi,
>
>IM looking for a handheld scanner that does108 to 138 and 225 to 400 MHz band.
>It also most be able to search in 25 kHz increments and scan in AM mode.
>
>If you happen to know the scan and search rate I would appreciate knowing this
>too.
>
>Thanks
>John
>
>

133. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 04:07:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BA8990CD.11E76%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 35399

"DxxxxxBxx" wrote:

> I'm surprised no one mentioned their wife!
>
> Mine doesn't like any antennas on the car.
>
> I only have one...the AM/FM antenna. Planning
> on 3 more in the near future.

Since the wife not liking antennas isn't likely to change, is that change
in antenna plans a hint that the wife is going to change soon? ;)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

134. FA - VHF Low receiver.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 05:57:55 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.comA1B2C3xx
Message-ID: <20030304005755.05066.00000286@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 35400

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=3011184812

135. Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 06:31:15 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <Egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <TKX8a.344496$HN5.1480280@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 35401
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would like to put an antenna in my attic. Although I be old enough to smoke, I must say I live at home with my parents. My ankle bracelet makes it impossible for me to leave the house to go up on the roof to run wiring, so the antenna will go in the attic.
The problem is, My mother does not want wires running from the ceiling to the basement where I live. Although she is a bitch , I must comply until she possibly she falls down the stairs.

So, I'm wondering if there exists some device which is a wireless antenna. I know there are wireless internet laptops because i stole a few haha. So what's the deal can I get wireless antenna access?

136. FA: Pro 94 Scanner, 1000 Ch, Dual-Trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 06:39:35 UTC
From: jets11 <jets11@cox.net>
Message-ID: <HSX8a.499$Ur1.276812@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35402
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For Auction: RS Pro 94 Dual-Trunking 1000 Channel Scanner.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3011002754

Thank you.

137. Trade for High end Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 07:52:41 UTC
From: Charles Newton <freebird@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <v68mq6flp3l3d7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35403

Hello all, I have the following:
1 Yaesu FT 757 GX
1 President Lincoln
1 Pyramid 36 amp ps
1 Shakspear Army stick
1 Sakspear Pogo stick
LMR coax
Mini 8 coax
2- 102 '' whips
Other mis items, I would like to trade for a high end scanner.
The yaesu was checked out last summer by yaesu, the president lincoln is only a couple months old.

freebird@cableone.net

138. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 13:33:33 UTC
From: conconemail@libero.it
Message-ID: <bcd1b798.0303040533.27073b65@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35405
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Emilio

give a look here for hidden and stealth antennas

http://www.dxzone.com/catalog/Technical_Reference/Antennas/Stealth/

max

139. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 13:37:03 UTC
From: Jay <bah@humbug.net>
Message-ID: <3E64ABFF.5020609@humbug.net>
Source record: 35406
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Emilio Goldstein wrote:
> I would like to put an antenna in my attic. Although I be old enough to
> smoke, I must say I live at home with my parents. My ankle bracelet makes
> it impossible for me to leave the house to go up on the roof to run wiring,
> so the antenna will go in the attic.
> The problem is, My mother does not want wires running from the ceiling to
> the basement where I live. Although she is a bitch , I must comply until she
> possibly she falls down the stairs.
>
> So, I'm wondering if there exists some device which is a wireless antenna.
> I know there are wireless internet laptops because i stole a few haha.
> So what's the deal can I get wireless antenna access?
>
>
Heres a novel approach to your problem.
Get a job,get a life,get a house then you can rig the dam thing up from
one end to the other with wires.
For real dim wit it seems to me a radio must be physically hooked up to
an antenna to recieve,as an antenna has no output as such.
Sure I bet the CIA could pull it off,but its a pipe dream for the
average bozo like you.
Hell just hire one of your ex cell mates for a couple rocks to climb the
roof for ya.
Hope this helps.

140. Re: 225 to 400 Mhz HT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 13:42:04 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E64AD2C.5010707@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35407

EPN144 wrote:
> Hi,
>
> IM looking for a handheld scanner that does108 to 138 and 225 to 400 MHz band.
> It also most be able to search in 25 kHz increments and scan in AM mode.
>
> If you happen to know the scan and search rate I would appreciate knowing this
> too.
>
> Thanks
> John

Your best choices are the PRO-26, excellent MilAir scanner if you're looking for a used scanner. About the only thing I know of new would be the new Uniden BC250. I have 5 of the BC780's and they without question are the best MilAir scanner made, if the BC250 is anything like the 780's then that's the way to go.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

141. Re: Audio filter for a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 13:57:12 UTC
From: cprstn54zz@att.net
Message-ID: <3e64b00c.255412328@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 35408

On Mon, 3 Mar 2003 22:17:55 -0400, "Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net>
wrote:
>Do you have a FM only scanner or is it multi-mode ? If FM there is not much
>you can do at the audio level.

It is all-mode, including SSB and AM.

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

142. Re: Do there exist an FCC URI where,...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 15:22:18 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e64c499.136955765@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 35409

Thanks to both of you.
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

143. Re: 225 to 400 Mhz HT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 15:25:34 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e64c516.137080807@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 35410

On Tue, 04 Mar 2003 08:42:04 -0500, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote:

>
>
>EPN144 wrote:
>> Hi,
>>
>> IM looking for a handheld scanner that does108 to 138 and 225 to 400 MHz band.
>> It also most be able to search in 25 kHz increments and scan in AM mode.
>>
>> If you happen to know the scan and search rate I would appreciate knowing this
>> too.
>>
>> Thanks
>> John
>
>Your best choices are the PRO-26, excellent MilAir scanner if you're
>looking for a used scanner. About the only thing I know of new would
>be the new Uniden BC250. I have 5 of the BC780's and they without
>question are the best MilAir scanner made, if the BC250 is anything like
>the 780's then that's the way to go.
>
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>
>
>
George,

What makes the BC780 the best Milair scanner ?
By the way, I'm using a AOR8200MKII. Fell free to compare
as I like to learn.

Andrew/ KG4JBM
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

144. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 15:27:28 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e64c5c6.137256616@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 35411
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Troll Bait. But funy.
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

145. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 15:31:17 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b426ne$42e$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35404
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

So that's why we haven't heard from our friend Emilio in a long time...he must have been in jail and now is paroled! Hooray!

146. Re: WATCH OUT FOR THIS EMAIL SCAM!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 16:23:53 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030304112353.11888.00000013@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 35412

Anyone that is stupid enough to enter their information on a webpage after receiving an email deserves to get zapped. Everyone that uses the internet, especially a service like PayPal, knows that if updated information is needed, it will be asked for next time they log onto the system in question.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

147. Re: Trade for High end Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 16:39:26 UTC
From: Charles Newton <freebird@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <v69llrebdal1b6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35413

Like maybe a Yaesu base scanner, an AOR base scanner or even handheld. I really don't care for the radio shack scanners, I have never had any luck with those. I think the Icoms are very nice but are also worth more then my gear????
"Charles Newton" <freebird@cableone.net> wrote in message news:v68mq6flp3l3d7@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello all, I have the following:
> 1 Yaesu FT 757 GX
> 1 President Lincoln
> 1 Pyramid 36 amp ps
> 1 Shakspear Army stick
> 1 Sakspear Pogo stick
> LMR coax
> Mini 8 coax
> 2- 102 '' whips
> Other mis items, I would like to trade for a high end scanner.
> The yaesu was checked out last summer by yaesu, the president lincoln is
> only a couple months old.
>
> freebird@cableone.net
>
>

148. Pro 2006 and a computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 18:21:39 UTC
From: inkaddict@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030304132139.19003.00000001@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 35414

I just dug my old pro2006 out of a box from the attic and I would like to know if it is possible to control it through my pc.
Thanks Bob

149. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 18:54:14 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <qD69a.15585$E94.785985@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 35415
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"TW." <whoyou@whyme.net> wrote in message
news:dh_8a.346149$HN5.1486293@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> In news:TKX8a.344496$HN5.1480280@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net,
> Emilio Goldstein <Egoldstien@sofa.net> briefly thought, then typed out:
> > I would like to put an antenna in my attic. Although I be old enough
> > to smoke, I must say I live at home with my parents. My ankle
> > bracelet makes it impossible for me to leave the house to go up on
> > the roof to run wiring
>
>
> What the hell did you do to get an ankle braclet?

This is indeed interesting. Here's a guy that has an ankle bracelet and
wants to have additional things given to him.

Makes me think of a recent series of events that led to two others getting a
nice ankle bracelet:

In my area, there were two guys street racing. Thing is they wiped out and
hit another car with a lady in it.
The judge sentenced both of them to conditional sentences, and ordered them
to be at home except for school,
church, going to the doctor.

The woman in the other car was killed instantly by the accident.

150. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 19:01:14 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v69tvndj1kf20a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35417
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I hope your happy, you are responsible for a severe case of incontinence...
Have you tried hooking the scanner to your bracelet? It has an antenna that
you can couple to with a simple transgronafacation circuit.

"Emilio Goldstein" <Egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:TKX8a.344496$HN5.1480280@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> I would like to put an antenna in my attic. Although I be old enough to
> smoke, I must say I live at home with my parents. My ankle bracelet makes
> it impossible for me to leave the house to go up on the roof to run
wiring,
> so the antenna will go in the attic.
> The problem is, My mother does not want wires running from the ceiling to
> the basement where I live. Although she is a bitch , I must comply until
she
> possibly she falls down the stairs.
>
> So, I'm wondering if there exists some device which is a wireless antenna.
> I know there are wireless internet laptops because i stole a few haha.
> So what's the deal can I get wireless antenna access?
>
>

151. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 19:14:08 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b42t3c$1r43bg$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 35416
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Nice troll.

152. Re: Uniden Bearcat 50XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 19:34:27 UTC
From: Sarge <huh@ev1.net>
Message-ID: <v69vugsja19j3d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,fr.rec.radio,it.hobby.radioamatori,es.rec.radio.amateur

Rick,
why not ask in alt.radio.scanner ? A lot of scanner experts in there.

Rick wrote:
> Dear people,
>
> I have a few questions about the Uniden Bearcat 50XL handscanner, and
> I hope that at least some of you can anwser them.
>
> First of all, I'll give you the statistics of this scanner, because
> some asked these in their posts:
>
> Freq. coverage: 66 - 88 MHz, 136 - 174 MHz and 406 - 512 MHz NFM.
> Memory: 10 Ch.
> Scanspeed: 16 ch/p/s
> Programming is easy: stop manualy at a channel, unlock the keypad,
> enter the frequencie and end by pressing E.
>
> Now my questions:
>
> Does anybody know the sensitivity of this scanner (in uV) in the
> various bands? And does anybody have a manual (online??)? And does
> anybody have some modifications for this receiver in terms of
> expanding frequencie coverage or expanding memory capacity? Or if you
> have anything else that you think could be something for me, than
> please tell me by sending me an e-mail at rick2910@lycos.nl
>
> Hopefully someone does know some of the things that I want to know.
>
> Yours faithfully,
>
> Rick.

153. MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 19:48:02 UTC
From: Odin <res0jmoj@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3e653818@news.greennet.net>
Source record: 35468

I thought someone here might know. I have a couple of old Motorola MDT 9100-10 units and I was wondering if they are good for anything other than cluttering up my garage. Can you just power them up and receive MDT transmissions? I'm not even sure I have all of the necessary parts to make a complete unit, I was just moving them around during clean up and wondered if they should hit the trash can or if I might actually do something interesting with them. (And save the lectures about legalities and such, I have no intention of answering calls on them.)

154. FS or Swap Yaesu FT-50

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 20:10:00 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <sK79a.2929$J51.309005@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 35419
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have an FT-50 with extra battery,box and all that come with it in real nice shape.I will sell it for $175.00 plus you ship or swap.
I am looking for a map type GPS,Wireless Router and pc card setupor a ATI All in Wonder 8500DV card..Let me know what you may have.Email me at n1rsp@qsl.net.

155. what to buy junked out pro-2006 need face plate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 20:48:17 UTC
From: bruceandkarla@ziggycom.net
Message-ID: <7192d525.0303041248.523f006b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35420

what to buy junked out pro-2006 need face plate

156. Re: 225 to 400 Mhz HT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 23:15:35 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb6acsm.2ci.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 35421

In article <3E64AD2C.5010707@licensed4fun.com>, GeorgeF wrote:
> Your best choices are the PRO-26, excellent MilAir scanner if you're
> looking for a used scanner. About the only thing I know of new would
> be the new Uniden BC250. I have 5 of the BC780's and they without
> question are the best MilAir scanner made, if the BC250 is anything like
> the 780's then that's the way to go.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com

Is it only in Europe the figther air-air and air-ground
is using frequency hopping ? I know the F-16s had that 10+
years ago.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

157. Re: best wideband scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 23:21:22 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb6ad7h.2ci.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 35422

In article <30ceeac3.0303030850.75b1f710@posting.google.com>, Cyber Punk wrote:
> what the scanner that can go the widest for uder 300 dollors or pounds

The one with the antenna in an all-weather balloon.

The antenna means a lot. I just ordered the ICOM IC-R5 as it
is supposedly one of the best of the compact units, and portability
is what matters for me. What fun is a unit you left at home ?

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

158. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-04 23:57:47 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b43egs$1rime1$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 35423
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Emilio Goldstein" <Egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:TKX8a.344496$HN5.1480280@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> I would like to put an antenna in my attic. Although I be old enough to
> smoke, I must say I live at home with my parents. My ankle bracelet makes
> it impossible for me to leave the house to go up on the roof to run
wiring,
> so the antenna will go in the attic.

Dude, see if you can scan around and find the frequency of the ankle
bracelet.

Tom

159. WTB Pro-26 200 Channel Scanner Leather Case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 00:07:37 UTC
From: argyria <nospam_spiceynebula@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ddb9a.197070$K71.31459@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 35424
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would like to buy a new or lightly used leather case for the above scanner. RS says they are discontinued and no longer available. Any help would be greatly appreciated. To reply remove nospam from email address or please post a follow-up here. Thanks!

160. Re: 225 to 400 Mhz HT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 00:36:24 UTC
From: Frank <lusnfallzd@dywiuktjgenwbixa.com>
Message-ID: <01c2e2af$3b23a0a0$0125250a@dywiuktjgenwbixa>
Source record: 35425

Povl H. Pedersen <slrnb6acsm.2ci.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>...

^ Is it only in Europe the figther air-air and air-ground
^ is using frequency hopping ?

The US uses it. I used it on the AN/PRC-113.

Frank

161. How broadcasts above 1300 MHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 01:05:51 UTC
From: "Rich W." <rdwidga@megavision.com>
Message-ID: <_1c9a.698$JO.53040@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 35426

What sort of activity is there above 1300 MHz. Is there enough activity to justify a radio with this higher range? Or is it a feature that is of limited value? Thanks for your comments.

Rich

162. Re: How broadcasts above 1300 MHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 02:21:28 UTC
From: tim odonnell <tim.odonnell@Wverizon.net>
Message-ID: <lad9a.37087$V42.16461@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 35427

Rich Change your back ground Or try just plain text.
"Rich W." <rdwidga@megavision.com> wrote in message
news:_1c9a.698$JO.53040@news.uswest.net...
What sort of activity is there above 1300 MHz. Is there enough activity to justify a radio with this higher range? Or is it a feature that is of limited value? Thanks for your comments.

Rich

163. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 02:37:45 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <0od9a.324$l5.5277@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 35428
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The Grand Troll strikes again! Thanks.
I won't bother to say why a wireless antenna is redundant. LMFAO.

John

"Emilio Goldstein" <Egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:TKX8a.344496$HN5.1480280@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> I would like to put an antenna in my attic. Although I be old enough to
> smoke, I must say I live at home with my parents. My ankle bracelet makes
> it impossible for me to leave the house to go up on the roof to run
wiring,
> so the antenna will go in the attic.
> The problem is, My mother does not want wires running from the ceiling to
> the basement where I live. Although she is a bitch , I must comply until
she
> possibly she falls down the stairs.
>
> So, I'm wondering if there exists some device which is a wireless antenna.
> I know there are wireless internet laptops because i stole a few haha.
> So what's the deal can I get wireless antenna access?
>
>

164. quickie_antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 03:38:26 UTC
From: 240_Robert <singram@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <Loe9a.54267$NV.1200967@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 35429

http://www.artscipub.com
Check this site out on how to build a "quickie antenna" for the ham bands.
It can be used for scanner reception, too.

I did and hooked it up to a Pro-34 and listened to the VHF aircraft and the
reception is pretty decent.
String it up in a nearby tree and you can sit in your car or in a tent if
camping out in the woods.

240-Robert.

165. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 03:50:08 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <dDOTbxx@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <htsa6v822lrvvhg8kfl8252jko455cokk6@4ax.com>
Source record: 35430

No. She's the first wife of 17 years and there will be no change.
I'd give up all my radios and antennas first.

However, she'll be gone all day some time soon and 'voila' there will
be some new antennas!

On Mon, 03 Mar 2003 23:07:58 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
wrote:

>"DxxxxxBxx" wrote:
>
>> I'm surprised no one mentioned their wife!
>>
>> Mine doesn't like any antennas on the car.
>>
>> I only have one...the AM/FM antenna. Planning
>> on 3 more in the near future.
>
>
> Since the wife not liking antennas isn't likely to change, is that change
>in antenna plans a hint that the wife is going to change soon? ;)
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
>------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

166. Re: How broadcasts above 1300 MHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 04:18:54 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <OUe9a.15411$X14.1184178@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 35431

Hey! Who turned off the lights?

Ooop, hey someone just goosed me..
I'm not that kind of a boy

I think I found a wire here, I'll just feel to see what it i-

bbzzzzzzttttttt

"Rich W." <rdwidga@megavision.com> wrote in message
news:_1c9a.698$JO.53040@news.uswest.net...
What sort of activity is there above 1300 MHz. Is there enough activity to justify a radio with this higher range? Or is it a feature that is of limited value? Thanks for your comments.

Rich

167. Need Battery Pro-64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 04:29:25 UTC
From: deadeye916 <deadeye916@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <v6av9jqqomjo94@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35432

I purchased a Pro-64 radio years ago. When I bought the radio I also purchased a rechargeable battery pack, Radio Shack Cat. No. 23-288-B. The battery will no longer hold a charge. Before I open this thing up and see what is inside and if it can be replaced, does anyone know of a supply outlet for this item? Is it possible to re-condition the battery?

168. That should be Who uses, not How.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 04:34:01 UTC
From: "Rich W." <rdwidga@megavision.com>
Message-ID: <85f9a.2327$b61.69678@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 35433

Sorry About That. My fingers don't always type what my mind is thinking.

"Rich W." <rdwidga@megavision.com> wrote in message
news:_1c9a.698$JO.53040@news.uswest.net...
What sort of activity is there above 1300 MHz. Is there enough activity to
justify a radio with this higher range? Or is it a feature that is of
limited value? Thanks for your comments.

Rich

169. AOR 5000 BRAND NEW SCANNER - for sale E-Bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 04:38:10 UTC
From: radiii@shaw.ca
Message-ID: <7qva6v4gnk76gkr2j8qelhfru0l98chr85@4ax.com>
Source record: 35434

AOR 5000 BRAND NEW SCANNER - for sale E-Bay
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3011418211&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

170. MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 04:49:35 UTC
From: Odin <res0jmoj@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <zlf9a.68834$ep5.16204@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 35435
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone here know anything about Motorola MDT 9100-10 units?

171. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 06:35:28 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BA8B04DF.11F6A%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 35436

"DxxxxxBxx" wrote:
>
> No. She's the first wife of 17 years and there
> will be no change. I'd give up all my radios
> and antennas first.

I wasn't really expecting an explanation. It was simply what I read into
your comments - added to be humorous.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

172. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 06:52:30 UTC
From: Adam <idonotwantspam@noneatall.none>
Message-ID: <O8h9a.200021$K71.165170@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 35437
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have two of them. On the roof, I have the 6 meter (or CB antenna, depending on my mood), and on the trunk, I have my 2m/70cm.

173. TRADE BC780XLT for mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 08:43:57 UTC
From: Browning Mark FourA <sky.fourfourfour@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <t5eb6vkqjgo4a5ij8n1m6oihl1ocah191l@4ax.com>
Source record: 35438

TRADE BC780XLT for mobile scanner

I am looking to trade a MINT BC780XLT in the box for a high end mobile
scanner.Prefer something smaller than the 780 but camparable...space
is limited and I have two 780's,appreciate you looking....

174. Re: Pensacola freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 12:25:50 UTC
From: GH <gdh154@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3E65ECE3.7C089196@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35439

Isn't there also a Navy base in the area?
That would be nice to listen to.
GH

tester wrote:

> GH <gdh154@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
> news:3E6090B4.69033D3@worldnet.att.net:
>
> Pensacola Public Safety is on a Motorola 800 trunked system. However, the
> last time I was there, Escambia County was still using conventional. It
> has been a few years since I verified these but give them a try:
>
> Escambia EMS 462.975
> Lifeflight Helicopter 462.950
>
> Escambia Fire 154.430
> Escambia CD 155.145
> Santa Rosa Co. Fire 154.160
> Santa Rosa Co. EMS 453.950
>
> Escambia SO South 460.200
> Escambia SO Info 460.500
> Escambia SO Invest 460.150
> Escambia SO TAC 460.550
> Police Interagency 460.275
>
> > I'll be around Pensacola in a few weeks.
> > looking for interesting frequencies to listen for.
> > I'm sure there must be some.
> > Not trunked freqs.
> > TIA
> > GH
> >
> >

175. Re: 225 to 400 Mhz HT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 14:32:25 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E660A79.3020500@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35440

Reticulum wrote:
> On Tue, 04 Mar 2003 08:42:04 -0500, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>EPN144 wrote:
>>
>>>Hi,
>>>
>>>IM looking for a handheld scanner that does108 to 138 and 225 to 400 MHz band.
>>>It also most be able to search in 25 kHz increments and scan in AM mode.
>>>
>>>If you happen to know the scan and search rate I would appreciate knowing this
>>>too.
>>>
>>>Thanks
>>>John
>>
>>Your best choices are the PRO-26, excellent MilAir scanner if you're
>>looking for a used scanner. About the only thing I know of new would
>>be the new Uniden BC250. I have 5 of the BC780's and they without
>>question are the best MilAir scanner made, if the BC250 is anything like
>>the 780's then that's the way to go.
>>
>>George
>>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> George,
>
> What makes the BC780 the best Milair scanner ?
> By the way, I'm using a AOR8200MKII. Fell free to compare
> as I like to learn.
>
> Andrew/ KG4JBM
> Reticulum

First you're compairing a Base scanner to a Handheld. However after
looking at the specs for the 8200 I wouldn't buy one if MilAir was my
primary interest. The main reason is the scan speed/search speed, the
ARO is only 37.5 steps/channels per second. For serious MilAir that's

not fast enough. The BC 780 is 100ch/sec. Here in Florida I'm scanning over 1000 frequencies broken up into 5 different BC 780's (shack photo: http://www.MilAirComms.com/shack.html). For speed's sake only each 780 is scanning 200 freqs. With MilAir most transmissions are very quick and if you're only sampling 200 frqes at 37/sec you'll miss a lot of comms if you're only sampling each freq every 5.4 seconds.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

176. Re: 225 to 400 Mhz HT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 15:11:05 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e66120b.222331138@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 35441

On Wed, 05 Mar 2003 09:32:25 -0500, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote:

>
>
>Reticulum wrote:
>> On Tue, 04 Mar 2003 08:42:04 -0500, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>EPN144 wrote:
>>>
>>>>Hi,
>>>>
>>>>IM looking for a handheld scanner that does108 to 138 and 225 to 400 MHz band.
>>>>It also most be able to search in 25 kHz increments and scan in AM mode.
>>>>
>>>>If you happen to know the scan and search rate I would appreciate knowing this
>>>>too.
>>>>
>>>>Thanks
>>>>John
>>>
>>>Your best choices are the PRO-26, excellent MilAir scanner if you're
>>>looking for a used scanner. About the only thing I know of new would
>>>be the new Uniden BC250. I have 5 of the BC780's and they without
>>>question are the best MilAir scanner made, if the BC250 is anything like
>>>the 780's then that's the way to go.
>>>
>>>George
>>>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> George,
>>
>> What makes the BC780 the best Milair scanner ?
>> By the way, I'm using a AOR8200MKII. Fell free to compare
>> as I like to learn.
>>
>> Andrew/ KG4JBM
>> Reticulum
>

>
>First you're compairing a Base scanner to a Handheld. However after
>looking at the specs for the 8200 I wouldn't buy one if MilAir was my
>primary interest. The main reason is the scan speed/search speed, the
>ARO is only 37.5 steps/channels per second. For serious MilAir that's
>not fast enough. The BC 780 is 100ch/sec. Here in Florida I'm
>scanning over 1000 frequencies broken up into 5 different BC 780's
>(shack photo: http://www.MilAirComms.com/shack.html). For speed's sake
>only each 780 is scanning 200 freqs. With MilAir most transmissions are
>very quick and if you're only sampling 200 frqes at 37/sec you'll miss a
>lot of comms if you're only sampling each freq every 5.4 seconds.
>
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

Thank you, George for replying. Those are good arguments.
At the time a handheld was my sole focus for scanning funds as most
of my radio money goes to Ham gear. The sampling rate has indeed
probably caused me to miss some comms. Otherwise, I'm very pleased
with the 8200 and glad I bought it. Now for my NEXT scanner,......

Andrew/ KG4JBM

PS I am curious; you're *scanning* a 1000, not *searching* a 1000 freqs.?
Based on web-based milair freq listings I don't think I could even get
to 300 in the DC area. Perhaps way less. Just curious.
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

177. NYC Trunk or no Trunk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 16:05:05 UTC
From: Jens <jens.news.invalid@web2news.net>
Message-ID: <17739N305@web2news.com>
Source record: 35442

I'm looking to get my first scanner in NYC.
Should I get a trunk tracker?
The Icom R5 sure looks good to me?
Any advice?
--
Direct access to this group with http://web2news.com
http://web2news.com/?rec.radio.scanner

178. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 16:44:20 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <EPp9a.38166$Pa.3665429@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35443
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

LOL

-me

"Emilio Goldstein" <Egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:TKX8a.344496$HN5.1480280@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> I would like to put an antenna in my attic. Although I be old enough to
> smoke, I must say I live at home with my parents. My ankle bracelet makes
> it impossible for me to leave the house to go up on the roof to run
wiring,
> so the antenna will go in the attic.
> The problem is, My mother does not want wires running from the ceiling to
> the basement where I live. Although she is a bitch , I must comply until
she
> possibly she falls down the stairs.
>
> So, I'm wondering if there exists some device which is a wireless antenna.
> I know there are wireless internet laptops because i stole a few haha.
> So what's the deal can I get wireless antenna access?
>
>

179. FS:Radio Shack PRO-2039

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 17:05:24 UTC
From: Bob Stamper <bobstamp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <F%p9a.228$ao1.166@fe07.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35444

I have a Radio Shack PRO-2039 scanner for sale.It is a direct entry programmable 200 channel scanner.A solid 9 of 10 in appearance and works 100%.It covers the following frequencies:
30-54 MHz,108-136.975 MHz,137-174 MHz,380-512 MHz,806-823.9375 MHz,851-868.9375 MHz,896-960 MHz.
Asking $65.00 shipped to lower 48 only.Accept USPS money order,certified bank check or personal check with a 7 day hold.
Regards
Bob Stamper
bobstamp@bellsouth.net

180. Re: 225 to 400 Mhz HT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 20:15:41 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E665AED.10806@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35445

>
>
> Thank you, George for replying. Those are good arguments.
> At the time a handheld was my sole focus for scanning funds as most
> of my radio money goes to Ham gear. The sampling rate has indeed
> probably caused me to miss some comms. Otherwise, I'm very pleased
> with the 8200 and glad I bought it. Now for my NEXT scanner,......
>
> Andrew/ KG4JBM
>
> PS I am curious; you're *scanning* a 1000, not *searching* a 1000 freqs.?
> Based on web-based milair freq listings I don't think I could even get
> to 300 in the DC area. Perhaps way less. Just curious.
> Reticulum
>

Oh my god, if I lived in the DC area I would probably have 2000+!
Being in DC you have all the mil bases ATC freqs, you have each
squadrens operational freqs. You have R-4005/6 - Patuxent's Restricted
area which is very active. Not to mention you are well within receiving
distance from all of FACSFAC - Vacapes, VA GIANTKILLer frequencies, that
is just 15 frequencies for control and not counting Air-Air 138-144
comms. DC also sets on the border of NewYork Center and Washington
Center ATC sectors, between those two you have over 70 freqs that you
should monitor. You're also close enough to Cleveland Center that I
would have 50 of Cleveland Centers UHF freqs scanning away (there's just
120 ATC Center freqs within receiption range of DC). Not to mention all
the Baltimore/Washington/Dullas Class B Airspace ATC UHF freqs, without
looking I'm sure there's at least 20 more. Oh, almost forgot, another
15 or so freqs just for Combat Air Patrol missions...

To have everthing logically organzied in scanning banks so you didn't
miss anything and everythign was logically organzied I would use 15
scanners. Here in Florida I usually have 9 scanners on at a time, some
of them scanning duplicate sets of freqs, again to avoid "misses".

I use to live in Pennsylvania (Lancaster, PA) and had always would rent
a summer house in Ocean City, MD and driver down 3-4 days per week. I
would always have a PRO-2035 in my pickup with about 300 freqs
programmed in. And always had something to listen to and that was just
with a mobile antenna.... DC and the Eastern Shore is really a "Target
Rich Environment".

It all comes down to "what do you want to listen to in this fine hobby
of scanning"... Theres something for everyone.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

181. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 23:08:28 UTC
From: Andrew Jones <andrewjjones@spluc.lineone.net>
Message-ID: <b4601c$p2q$1@venus.btinternet.com>
Source record: 35446
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Who is this "Emilio Goldstein" d**k?

--
Andrew Jones
[please remove 'spluc.' from my email address]
"The sellotape is always on the other side of the room."

182. Re: MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 23:33:15 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20030305183315.19058.00000037@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 35447

>Motorola MDT 9100-10 units?

You will need someone on the out side that will bake you a cake with a hacksaw in it.

183. Re: Pro 2006 and a computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-05 23:44:51 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20030305184451.19058.00000039@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 35448

>if it is possible

It's being done but you could probably buy a new high dollar trunk tracker that's already PC controllable and have a lot of money left over for what it would cost you to modify your 06.

184. FS: Test equipment manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 00:26:54 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b464c7$9qrk$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 35449

Make an offer. here is the list.

This is the list that i currently have for sale. I should have some more in the near future.

DC pwr supply MPB series, Model 6291A Serial# prefix 6G.
Telephone sets TA-312/PT (NSN5805-00-543-0012) & TA-312A/PT (NSN5805-01-217-7310) Operators & unit maintenance manual.
Direct support, general support, & depot maintenance manual telephone sets TA-312/TP & TA-312A/PT.
Operating & Service manual Model 204C/D oscillator including H20-204C.
TR-503 Tracking Generator instruction manual.
FG-502 Function Generator.
SC-504 Oscilloscope instruction manual.
Model 353A patch panel manual changes 7 Jan 1972.
HP 3550B Portable test set operating manual.
Multimeter model 260-AFP-1 op & service instructions w/IPB.
Instruction book for insulation test sets AN/PSM-1A & PSM-2A.
Insulation Breakdown test set AN/PSM-25.
Removal of batteries from test equipments in storage and or shipment.
Fabrication of cap analyzer adapter.
Electrical measuring meters.

cowboy67111_NOSPAM_@yahoo.com
Take out _NOSPAM_ Thanks for looking and have a great day!

185. Re: MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 01:07:35 UTC
From: Odin <res0jmoj@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <rbx9a.38573$V42.20938@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 35450

"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message

> >Motorola MDT 9100-10 units?
>
> You will need someone on the out side that will bake you a cake with a hacksaw
> in it.

I meant someone who actually knows something about the units. There is nothing inherently illegal about this hunk of plastic and parts. Thanks anyway.

186. Re: NYC Trunk or no Trunk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 01:16:20 UTC
From: KD2PM <kd2pm2@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qaOcnbSnb-3_PPujXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 35451

If you want to see what is trunked in NYC check out this site. It may help you decide what scanner you may want to purchase.

http://www.n2nov.net

"Spray On" <aerosol@can.exxon.au> wrote in message news:Y0v9a.4294$gF3.463668@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> On Wed, 05 Mar 2003 17:05:05 +0100, "Jens" <jens.news.invalid@web2news.net>
> wrote:
>
> >I'm looking to get my first scanner in NYC.
> >Should I get a trunk tracker?
> >The Icom R5 sure looks good to me?
> >Any advice?
>
> NYC PD and fire is not trunked, although FD ground may switch to digital,
> but they had problems so they are using analog right now. For other stations
> you might listen to on your receiver check with www.trunkedradio.net/ to see
> if a system is trunked and/or digital. If you buy the digital and trunked
> capable radios from Uniden if NYC changes to digital then you can buy the
> digital card and monitor the system if the control channel is 3600 bps or less
> then you can trunk track the system.
> Radio Shack/GRE are supposed to have a digital capable radio receiver for
> $500 by November, but I don't know if the receiver will trunk track 9600 bps
> systems. The weakness with Uniden is the price and the inability to TT 9600
> bps control channels.
>
>

187. Re: NYC Trunk or no Trunk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 01:25:50 UTC
From: dedline <dedline@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ysx9a.8902$gf7.2728511@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 35452

There are tons and tons of freqs to monitor in NYC, what specifically are
you looking to listen to??

"Spray On" <aerosol@can.exxon.au> wrote in message
news:Y0v9a.4294$gF3.463668@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> On Wed, 05 Mar 2003 17:05:05 +0100, "Jens"
<jens.news.invalid@web2news.net>
> wrote:
>
> >I'm looking to get my first scanner in NYC.
> >Should I get a trunk tracker?
> >The Icom R5 sure looks good to me?
> >Any advice?
>
> NYC PD and fire is not trunked, although FD ground may switch to digital,
> but they had problems so they are using analog right now. For other
stations
> you might listen to on your receiver check with www.trunkedradio.net/ to
see
> if a system is trunked and/or digital. If you buy the digital and trunked
> capable radios from Uniden if NYC changes to digital then you can buy the
> digital card and monitor the system if the control channel is 3600 bps or
less
> then you can trunk track the system.
> Radio Shack/GRE are supposed to have a digital capable radio receiver for
> $500 by November, but I don't know if the receiver will trunk track 9600
bps
> systems. The weakness with Uniden is the price and the inability to TT
9600
> bps control channels.
>
>

188. Trunked and Regular in same bank on BC250D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 02:55:05 UTC
From: Dustbunny <barfco@swill.com>
Message-ID: <rpdd6vg88u35juan4sj4enoed9lrvaiaj1@4ax.com>
Source record: 35453

The manual says this is possible, but it's unclear to me how you go about setting it up. Do you need to set the trunked frequencies at the beginning of the bank, and then the normal channels?

What I'm trying to do is create a single bank containing the trunked ARINC chatter and the normal aircraft and tower stuff.

Any guidance will be much appreciated.

Please respond here, addy is bogus to reduce spam.

Thanks

189. What's a good scanner for my old man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 03:08:49 UTC
From: "H. B. Essem" <netgold@club1man.com>
Message-ID: <netgold-4418C0.22083505032003@news3.ha-net.ptd.net>
Source record: 35454

I'm looking for a good home scanner for my dad. What is
a good one to buy?

190. FS: Full Coverage BC-2500XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 03:32:26 UTC
From: nospam@anti-spam.com
Message-ID: <3e66c0e2.9988672@news.covad.net>
Source record: 35455

Very nice condition with little use. Just wanting to use the $$$ to get a shortwave radio. Includes original box, manual, antenna, & a AC/Wall Adapter I picked up recently.

The scanner is a very early unit (from the BC-2500 family) and has a Uniden issued problem where the battery drains very slowly even when the unit is turned off. This can be remedied by removing the pack from the scanner when not in use for long periods of time. I heard this can be fixed by Uniden or by oneself with some wiring experience. Works great when used in shack setting, in a dedicated position.

This scanner covers these bands completely:

25-550, 760-1300

Please e-mail me with your offer price. I take best offer.

azXhummer@XhotXmail.com <-- Remove the 3 X's to e-mail me

191. Tried To Buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 03:43:44 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E66C46F.6000803@earthlink.net>
Source record: 35457

Tried to buy a BC250 today from Universal and they were out.

Who else sells them.

Burr

192. Re: What's a good scanner for my old man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 03:44:16 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e66c412$0$52926$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 35456

Try this one

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/3464.html

He'll be happy

Greg
"H. B. Essem" <netgold@club1man.com> wrote in message
news:netgold-4418C0.22083505032003@news3.ha-net.ptd.net...
> I'm looking for a good home scanner for my dad. What is
> a good one to buy?

193. Re: Pro 2006 and a computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 03:57:07 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nGz9a.2576$Oz1.204664@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35458

Optoelectronics makes an board you can install last I recall Aprox $150 Then try Probe or Scanstar for software another 80-140$ or so. Should make a great project.

--
Brett K Powell KG6DJX
"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message news:20030305184451.19058.00000039@mb-mb.aol.com...
> >if it is possible
>
> It's being done but you could probably buy a new high dollar trunk tracker
> that's already PC controllable and have a lot of money left over for what it
> would cost you to modify your 06.

194. Re: Tried To Buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 04:02:26 UTC
From: Adam <idonotwantspam@noneatall.none>
Message-ID: <mLz9a.208647$K71.128652@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 35459

You might check out these guys. I don't know much about this company, but it's a start. You might also check out companies like www.aesham.com or www.hamradio.com Though, I don't know whether or not there prices will be as cheap as Universal's.

http://www.bearcat1.com/item0005.htm is $332 + $9 shipping, is the cheapest place I have seen them so far (except for Universal Radio).

I just looked on Universal-Radio's website, and they said the 250D scanners were "in stock for immediate delivery." I guess they are a bit behind, haha.

195. Good prices indeed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 04:53:13 UTC
From: Adam <idonotwantspam@noneatall.none>
Message-ID: <ZuA9a.208697$K71.41946@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 35460

Ooh, yeah. Nice price on the BC780 and 785 as well.

196. Re: WATCH OUT FOR THIS EMAIL SCAM!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 05:10:47 UTC
From: wrstlgv@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5511e32.0303052110.6e4ed2f5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35461

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme (VHFRadioBuff) wrote in message
news:<20030304112353.11888.00000013@mb-ft.aol.com>...
> Anyone that is stupid enough to enter their information on a webpage after
> receiving an email deserves to get zapped.

That's a nasty statement. (Though I wouldn't expect better from a NO
CODE TECH like yourself.)

This scam was very tricky. I can see how people get duped. You get an
email with a return address of "info@paypal.com". Yes, that's right. A
valid PAYPAL return address.

You don't get redirected to a web page, your browser displays a form.
If you are naive (notice I didn't say "stupid") or uninformed enough
to press the submit button, the thief will get your info.

It's a shame the FCC has lowered its standards and let mean spirited
people like you get licences.

I left Amateur radio when NO CODE TECHs like you took over.

197. Info-Tech M-1200 Data Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 06:01:38 UTC
From: KK6PD <KK6PD@SBCGLOBAL.NET>
Message-ID: <6vB9a.37$Ny2.7264507@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35464
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If anyone is looking for a Universal InfoTech M-1200 Decoder Card I am offering one on ebay Item # 3011545462 with owners manual and software.

73 and thanks for looking Pat KK6PD

198. Problem connecting BC780XLT to PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 06:12:57 UTC
From: Odin <res0jmoj@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <JFB9a.81140$_J5.41050@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 35462
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I get a "no scanner detected" message when I try to connect. I have tried several software apps and 2 serial cables, same problem. The baud rate is correct (19200), there are no conflicts with my hardware and the scanner is in Remote mode. This problem has occurred every time I have tried to connect since the unit was new, but I guess it's possible that the unit has a problem? Any ideas?

199. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 07:13:40 UTC
From: KK6PD <KK6PD@SBCGLOBAL.NET>
Message-ID: <EyC9a.47$Si6.6621319@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35465

```
test post
```

200. INFO-TECH M-1200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 07:34:05 UTC
From: kk6pd <kk6pd@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3E66F9EC.2060101@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 35463

If anyone is looking for a Universal InfoTech M-1200 Decoder Card I am offering one on ebay Item # 3011545462 with owners manual and software.

73 and thanks for looking Pat KK6PD

201. Re: Problem connecting BC780XLT to PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 10:15:20 UTC
From: Fred Shabec <kb9zyx@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E672019.7050204@earthlink.net>
Source record: 35466
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

try holding down the enter "E" button down for a few seconds so you get
a "RMT" on your display.. I always forget to do that

Odin wrote:
> I get a "no scanner detected" message when I try to connect. I have tried
> several software apps and 2 serial cables, same problem. The baud rate is
> correct (19200), there are no conflicts with my hardware and the scanner is
> in Remote mode. This problem has occurred every time I have tried to
> connect since the unit was new, but I guess it's possible that the unit has
> a problem? Any ideas?
>
>

202. Re: MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 10:24:38 UTC
From: Frank <krqmkdmskz@fzlbtfddcjpsflla.com>
Message-ID: <01c2e3ca$92a10400$0125250a@fzlbtfddcjpsflla>
Source record: 35467

Odin <rbx9a.38573$V42.20938@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>...
^
^ "J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
^
^ > >Motorola MDT 9100-10 units?
^ >
^ > You will need someone on the out side that will bake you a cake with a
^ hacksaw
^ > in it.
^
^ I meant someone who actually knows something about the units. There is
^ nothing inherently illegal about this hunk of plastic and parts.

Nor is there anything illegal about decoding transmissions to them.

Frank

203. Re: Problem connecting BC780XLT to PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 11:15:21 UTC
From: Scott <nope@haha.com>
Message-ID: <d5G9a.29659$ki.1119745@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 35469
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try lowering your baud rate to 9600, I had the same problem.

"Fred Shabec" <kb9zyx@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E672019.7050204@earthlink.net...
> try holding down the enter "E" button down for a few seconds so you get
> a "RMT" on your display.. I always forget to do that
>
>
>
> Odin wrote:
> > I get a "no scanner detected" message when I try to connect. I have
tried
> > several software apps and 2 serial cables, same problem. The baud rate
is
> > correct (19200), there are no conflicts with my hardware and the scanner
is
> > in Remote mode. This problem has occurred every time I have tried to
> > connect since the unit was new, but I guess it's possible that the unit
has
> > a problem? Any ideas?
> >
> >
>

204. Re: MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 11:24:32 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v6ebvclhlgcdf0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35470

That was my read as well, despite the Bill Cheek debacle.

I have however been unable to locate any software on the web to do the decoding. I know it exists, but all the links to it are severed. At the time it was available, my town had no MDT service. Now that they do, I have no software.

Hopefully someone will take pity and e-mail me a copy. I want to use it with my soundcard. If necessary, I can build a signal slicer and feed the serial port.

"Frank" <krqmkdmskz@fzlbtfddcjpsflla.com> wrote in message news:01c2e3ca$92a10400
> Nor is there anything illegal about decoding transmissions to them.
>
> Frank
>

205. Re: Good prices indeed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 14:30:50 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E675C0F.6020604@earthlink.net>
Source record: 35471

Ya, Like $100 some dollar over!!

I'll just do with out. I can get the new one at Universal for $345 plus
the card.

Thanks you guys

Burr

Adam wrote:

> Ooh, yeah. Nice price on the BC780 and 785 as well.
>
>
>

206. Re: Tried To Buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 15:08:00 UTC
From: John Bacon <news@djbitz.com>
Message-ID: <kvJ9a.148913$4F3.9550920@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 35472

Some people on other groups i use say a company like GROVE
and a few others. http://www.national-electronics.com/unbc.htm and maybe
http://www.youdoitelectronics.com

Good luck.
JOHN

"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E66C46F.6000803@earthlink.net...
> Tried to buy a BC250 today from Universal and they were out.
>
> Who else sells them.
>
> Burr
>

207. Re: Problem connecting BC780XLT to PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 15:08:41 UTC
From: Odin <res0jmoj@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3e679999$1@news.greennet.net>
Source record: 35474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Fred Shabec" <kb9zyx@earthlink.net> wrote in message

> try holding down the enter "E" button down for a few
seconds so you get
> a "RMT" on your display.. I always forget to do that

It's in remote mode, no dice.

208. Re: MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 15:19:57 UTC
From: Odin <res0jmoj@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3e679c3e@news.greennet.net>
Source record: 35372

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message

> That was my read as well, despite the Bill Cheek debacle.
>
> I have however been unable to locate any software on the
web to do the
> decoding. I know it exists, but all the links to it are
severed. At the time
> it was available, my town had no MDT service. Now that
they do, I have no
> software.
>
> Hopefully someone will take pity and e-mail me a copy. I
want to use it with
> my soundcard. If necessary, I can build a signal slicer
and feed the serial
> port.

Why not just pick up an old Motorola radio from a police auction or scrounge one up on ebay and hook the thing up the way it was intended to be used? No need for a PC or software then. I'm pretty sure you could also use a scanner as the radio and feed that signal to the MDT. You obviously wouldn't be able to transmit but I think it could me made to receive (unless the decoding is done in the radio and not the MDT).

209. Re: Tried To Buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 15:47:37 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E676DAF.9010800@earthlink.net>
Source record: 35473

I'll check them when I get back this evening.

Thanks

John Bacon wrote:

> Some people on other groups i use say a company like GROVE
> and a few others. http://www.national-electronics.com/unbc.htm and maybe
> http://www.youdoitelectronics.com
>
> Good luck.
> JOHN
>
> "Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E66C46F.6000803@earthlink.net...
>
>>Tried to buy a BC250 today from Universal and they were out.
>>
>>Who else sells them.
>>
>>Burr
>>
>>
>
>

210. Re: VX-150 battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 16:04:15 UTC
From: Dale Lash <cwizard@merr.com>
Message-ID: <3kK9a.1941$ES3.1474235@kent.svc.tds.net>
Source record: 35475

Tom,

What do you have for a charger...this might help us determine your answer.

Dale

"tomcatgbmi" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030222004218.15784.00000074@mb-mm.aol.com...
> how long should I charge the standard battery for this radio?
>
> Tom

211. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 16:24:07 UTC
From: Sparks W4EAS <sparksw4eas@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3E677627.4798@mindspring.com>
Source record: 35476
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Some one who from time to time places "a baited" question on the
internet just to raise the hair on the back of some folks neck's. :-)
Sparks W4EAS

212. Re: MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 16:25:44 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20030306112544.04161.00000011@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 35478

>I have however been unable to locate any software on the web to do the decoding.<

That software was removed from the web just after Bill Cheek started having his legal problems. RM~

213. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 16:26:20 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b47sq5$1t37q5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 35477
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Andrew Jones" <andrewjjones@spluc.lineone.net> wrote in message
news:b4601c$p2q$1@venus.btinternet.com...
> Who is this "Emilio Goldstein" d**k?
>

Well-known troll. Or maybe not so well known, as shown by your question.

Tom

214. Re: Pro 2006 and a computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 16:38:47 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20030306113847.04161.00000012@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 35479

>Aprox $150 Then try Probe or Scanstar for software another 80-140$ or so<

Seems like a bundle to invest in a radio that you can't even purchase key parts for any more. I purchased one of the last 06's made and if memory serves me right it was in 90 or 91. RM~~

215. Re: Tried To Buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 17:24:20 UTC
From: Vic <nospam@bogusaddress.com>
Message-ID: <CtL9a.4025$7Z4.409@fe08.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35480

Why is everyone RUNNING to buy a scanner which may have problems? I've received a number of posts this week stating the BC250 and
785 may have a scanning issue!

check out: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden-bc780/

216. Re: Good prices indeed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 17:27:35 UTC
From: Adam <idonotwantspam@noneatall.none>
Message-ID: <byL9a.215082$K71.68582@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 35481

Hi. What do you mean by $100 over? Do you mean the one at
National-Electronics is $100 over the price at Universal? The price I see
at National, is $339 for the BC250D, and also $339 for the BC785D. Ugh, I
think Universal-radio must have gone up on their prices on the BC250D from
last night. The BC250D is now $369.

"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E675C0F.6020604@earthlink.net...
> Ya, Like $100 some dollar over!!
>
> I'll just do with out. I can get the new one at Universal for $345 plus
> the card.
>
> Thanks you guys
>
> Burr
>
> Adam wrote:
>
> > Ooh, yeah. Nice price on the BC780 and 785 as well.

217. Re: Good prices indeed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 17:28:40 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <czL9a.42160$Pa.4280519@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35482

What kind of new math is this?

$339 is $100 more than $345?

Wow. I guess I picked the wrong week to quit doing shrooms.

-me

"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E675C0F.6020604@earthlink.net...
> Ya, Like $100 some dollar over!!
>
> I'll just do with out. I can get the new one at Universal for $345 plus
> the card.
>
> Thanks you guys
>
> Burr
>
> Adam wrote:
>
> > Ooh, yeah. Nice price on the BC780 and 785 as well.
> >
> >
> >
>

218. AOR SDU-5000 or SDU-5500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 18:02:01 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <v6f34b914e450d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35483

Anyone had experience with either one, used with an AOR AR-5000 receiver??
Anyone have one for sale??

219. Re: What's a good scanner for my old man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 18:12:08 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030306131208.26922.00000010@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 35486

>I'm looking for a good home scanner for my dad. What is
>a good one to buy?

We need more information. What frequencies would he be listening to? Does he have a need to listen to any trunked systems? Where does he live? How close are the agencies he wants to listen to? Would he want a portable or a base?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

220. Re: WATCH OUT FOR THIS EMAIL SCAM!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 18:17:30 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030306131730.26922.00000011@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 35487

>That's a nasty statement. (Though I wouldn't expect better from a NO
>CODE TECH like yourself.)

What does my ham radio license have to do with being nasty? BTW - The FCC eliminated the different "Tech" class licenses back in April 2000. There is now just the "Technician class".

>You don't get redirected to a web page, your browser displays a form.

Again, anyone that has used the internet for more than a day should know that services such as PayPal, AOL, Ebay, etc... do not email you to ask you to supply information. When you log onto these systems, they will ask for the updated information. AOL has implimented a unique email system where if you receive an official email from AOL, it appears different from all the other email.

>If you are naive (notice I didn't say "stupid") or uninformed enough
>to press the submit button, the thief will get your info.

That's what I said.

>It's a shame the FCC has lowered its standards and let mean spirited
>people like you get licences.

That's pretty sad that you've got nothing better to do than look me up on QRZ so you can see if you can attempt to upset me with your 3rd grade insults.

>I left Amateur radio when NO CODE TECHs like you took over.

And we all thank you for it.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

221. IC-R1 & Discone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 18:17:36 UTC
From: hprice100@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3e678f58.204584@news.intnet.mu>
Source record: 35489

Has anyone ever used the Discone sold by Radio Shack with an Icom IC-R1? I was informed that it might saturate the front end circuitery of the IC-R1, is this true. I know the IC-R1 is old, but it is still serving its purpose!!

Thanks

Harry

222. Re: WATCH OUT FOR THIS EMAIL SCAM!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 18:18:31 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030306131831.26922.00000012@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 35488

>McAfee will detect these forms sometimes. I got a similar scam email for
>AOheLL.
>If you look at the html source you can see where the info is being emailed
>to.

A good way to fight this stuff is to get onto SpamCop. After you report spam,
SpamCop will trace the email to it's origin and will allow you to email the ISP
at the source.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

223. Re: Trunked and Regular in same bank on BC250D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 18:20:35 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <TjM9a.42241$Pa.4296262@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35490

Yup, you can do it exactly as you described. You just turn trunking on (menu+2+2), select your trunk type, then enter your freqs under the "trunk channel" menu option.

Then pop out of that mode for the non trunked stuff.

-me

"Dustbunny" <barfco@swill.com> wrote in message news:rpdd6vg88u35juan4sj4enoed9lrvaiaj1@4ax.com...
> The manual says this is possible, but it's unclear to me how you go
> about setting it up. Do you need to set the trunked frequencies at the
> beginning of the bank, and then the normal channels?
>
> What I'm trying to do is create a single bank containing the trunked
> ARINC chatter and the normal aircraft and tower stuff.
>
> Any guidance will be much appreciated.
>
> Please respond here, addy is bogus to reduce spam.
>
> Thanks

224. Re: WATCH OUT FOR THIS EMAIL SCAM!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 18:21:45 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b482qt$1shaer$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 35484

McAfee will detect these forms sometimes. I got a similar scam email for
AOheLL.
If you look at the html source you can see where the info is being emailed
to.

Usually the broken English and gifs with no links is a dead giveaway.
F'ing hackers.

"Robert S." <wrstlgv@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5511e32.0303052110.6e4ed2f5@posting.google.com...
> vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme (VHFRadioBuff) wrote in message
news:<20030304112353.11888.00000013@mb-ft.aol.com>...
> > Anyone that is stupid enough to enter their information on a webpage
after
> > receiving an email deserves to get zapped.
>
> That's a nasty statement. (Though I wouldn't expect better from a NO
> CODE TECH like yourself.)
>
> This scam was very tricky. I can see how people get duped. You get an
> email with a return address of "info@paypal.com". Yes, that's right. A
> valid PAYPAL return address.
>
> You don't get redirected to a web page, your browser displays a form.
> If you are naive (notice I didn't say "stupid") or uninformed enough
> to press the submit button, the thief will get your info.
>
> It's a shame the FCC has lowered its standards and let mean spirited
> people like you get licences.
>
> I left Amateur radio when NO CODE TECHs like you took over.

225. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 18:23:53 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b482us$1tht4m$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 35485
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A Meower. Infamous Usenet trolls.

"Andrew Jones" <andrewjjones@spluc.lineone.net> wrote in message
news:b4601c$p2q$1@venus.btinternet.com...
> Who is this "Emilio Goldstein" d**k?
>
>
> --
> Andrew Jones
> [please remove 'spluc.' from my email address]
> "The sellotape is always on the other side of the room."
>

226. Re: Problem connecting BC780XLT to PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 18:48:09 UTC
From: Peter Bjoern <PeterBjoern@mail.dk>
Message-ID: <pj5f6vgffe4t0vmvq5l0uvh49bki8b7912@4ax.com>
Source record: 35491
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 06 Mar 2003 06:12:57 GMT, "Odin" <res0jmoj@verizon.net> wrote in alt.radio.scanner:

>I get a "no scanner detected" message when I try to connect. I have tried
>several software apps and 2 serial cables, same problem. The baud rate is
>correct (19200), there are no conflicts with my hardware and the scanner is
>in Remote mode. This problem has occurred every time I have tried to
>connect since the unit was new, but I guess it's possible that the unit has
>a problem? Any ideas?
>

If you havn't checked it yet, make sure the serial cable is a "straight" cable with pin 2 and 3 routed straight thru and not at "null-modem" cable where pins 2 and 3 (TD & RD) are crossed.

See:
http://www.taltech.com/TALtech_web/support/pins.html

Regards

Peter

227. Re: Problem connecting BC780XLT to PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 19:16:26 UTC
From: JBO <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <b486sk$q91$1@reader11.wxs.nl>
Source record: 35492
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

And under which OS are you trying to connect to the scanner?
I've bad experiences with Windows XP and High speed PC's
Better use win 98 and an older pentium 1.

good luck,

JBO

"a dutchie"

"Peter Bjoern" <PeterBjoern@mail.dk> wrote in message
news:pj5f6vgffe4t0vmvq5l0uvh49bki8b7912@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 06 Mar 2003 06:12:57 GMT, "Odin" <res0jmoj@verizon.net> wrote in
> alt.radio.scanner:
>
> >I get a "no scanner detected" message when I try to connect. I have
tried
> >several software apps and 2 serial cables, same problem. The baud rate
is
> >correct (19200), there are no conflicts with my hardware and the scanner
is
> >in Remote mode. This problem has occurred every time I have tried to
> >connect since the unit was new, but I guess it's possible that the unit
has
> >a problem? Any ideas?
> >
>
> If you havn't checked it yet, make sure the serial cable is a "straight"
cable
> with pin 2 and 3 routed straight thru and not at "null-modem" cable where
pins
> 2 and 3 (TD & RD) are crossed.
>
> See:
> http://www.taltech.com/TALtech_web/support/pins.html
>
> Regards
>
> Peter

228. Re: Problem connecting BC780XLT to PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 19:16:29 UTC
From: Odin <res0jmoj@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3e67d3ac$1@news.greennet.net>
Source record: 35493
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Peter Bjoern" <PeterBjoern@mail.dk> wrote in message

> >I get a "no scanner detected" message when I try to
connect. I have tried
> >several software apps and 2 serial cables, same problem.
The baud rate is
> >correct (19200), there are no conflicts with my hardware
and the scanner is
> >in Remote mode. This problem has occurred every time I
have tried to
> >connect since the unit was new, but I guess it's possible
that the unit has
> >a problem? Any ideas?
> >
>
> If you havn't checked it yet, make sure the serial cable
is a "straight" cable
> with pin 2 and 3 routed straight thru and not at
"null-modem" cable where pins
> 2 and 3 (TD & RD) are crossed.

Thanks, I hope it's that simple. I have tried 2 serial
cables, but both from the same source so they could both be
null-modem cables.

229. Uniden scanners and air comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 20:08:46 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb6famd.2ij.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 35494

As far as I can see the Uniden scanners supports only stepsizes
of 5, 12.5 and 50KHz (at least it seems to be so here in Europe)

Does that mean there are lots of frequencies they will not
be able to receive compared to the ICOM IC-R5, which will
do
5, 6.25, 8.33, 9, 10, 12.5, 15, 20, 25, 30 , 50, 100 KHz ?

I know that 5, 12.5 and 50 also means it will tune frequencies
that is a multipla of 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 and 100

But the frequencies 6.25, 8.33 or 9 KHz spaced will be a
problem for Uniden as far as I see ???

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

230. Re: Problem connecting BC780XLT to PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 20:09:52 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <kWN9a.1002$Ty5.201551@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 35495
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Odin" <res0jmoj@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:3e67d3ac$1@news.greennet.net...
>
> "Peter Bjoern" <PeterBjoern@mail.dk> wrote in message
>
> > >I get a "no scanner detected" message when I try to
> connect. I have tried
> > >several software apps and 2 serial cables, same problem.
> The baud rate is
> > >correct (19200), there are no conflicts with my hardware
> and the scanner is
> > >in Remote mode. This problem has occurred every time I
> have tried to
> > >connect since the unit was new, but I guess it's possible
> that the unit has
> > >a problem? Any ideas?

I'll also go with an obvious one. Being a systems analyst I have found on
occaision that the obvious thing resolves a
big problem.

Be sure the software is set to either COM1 or COM2 as most computers have
two COM ports. I use COM1 for my BC780XLT and COM2 for my BC245XLT. Had it
hooked in in no time.

As the others have said a Null Modem cable will not work, you'll need a
standard 9 Pin cable available at any computer
store.

PK

231. Re: Tried To Buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 21:10:14 UTC
From: Vic <nospam@bogusaddress.com>
Message-ID: <JHO9a.6544$rz3.5084@fe05.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35496

Well DUH (showing your mentality eh?) why not consider saving some money and buying a radio which DOESN'T have scanning problems ...
like the 780? DUH!

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:tmbf6vcm8bed2l7v74qo8j1d4jtrmn7sqf@4ax.com...
> Scribbling with a stale candycane, "Vic" <nospam@bogusaddress.com> penned:
>
> >Why is everyone RUNNING to buy a scanner which may have problems? I've received a number of posts this week stating the BC250
and
> >785 may have a scanning issue!
>
> Well, DUH! Because there IS no problem for most of us,
> and the ONLY other choice is to spend 3-5 times as much
> for a LESS capable scanner, known as a Mototola radio!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

232. Pro 2037 discriminator tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 22:54:32 UTC
From: lawnjocke@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030306175432.15782.00000032@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 35348

Hi, Looking for information on the Pro 2037 discriminator output. I had info before and can't find it now. Thanks, Tom

233. Re: UNIDEN AT RADIOSHACK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 23:35:39 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Po2dnc1cir3TRvqjXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 35349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Not weird at all. Radio Shack has been selling Uniden manufactured scanners, with the Radio Shack name on them, for years. Besides, until they get their GRE to market, they want to cash in on the action.

Bill Crocker

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:n2R9a.7453$3g.1138686@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Just went to the Radioshack website, and they are selling the Uniden
> digital scanner and card. From previous posts I thought GRE was going to
> make a digital scanner for them to market. Am I wrong? Kind of wierd that
> they might sell both.
>
> ===Matt===
>
>

234. Re: Chunks of frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 23:40:18 UTC
From: Stefan Woroch <sworoch@interbaun.com>
Message-ID: <v6fpejls3n5o29@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35352

While the radio is on, press the "slct" button. Enter the first number (in your case 136 then E) then enter the second number (ie. 137 then E). After that is entered, press "srch"

Hope that helps.

"Jason" <jfalgout2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1632d1bf.0303011426.375247d9@posting.google.com...
> I have a Uniden Bearcat BC60XLT
> Is there a way to just scan a big chunk of frequencies? Like 136 -
> 137 MHz or something like that?

235. Re: Alinco DJ-X10 memories wiped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 23:41:19 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <M-SdnSn8i9o_QfqjXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 35350

I have files that can help you. With your permission, I can e-mail them to
you.

Bill Crocker

"Je Forget" <nospam@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:BA882018.C508%nospam@nospam.org...
> My X10 was in the drawer a bit too long and I had to reset the CPU to get
it
> working again. As such, all the 'pre-loaded' memories with alpha tags were
> erased. Is there any way to restore them via a computer download. I know I
> could do a 'clone' with another unit, but I don't know anyone else in town
> with one.
>
> Any suggestions?
>
> Thanks,
> Pat
>

236. UNIDEN AT RADIOSHACK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-06 23:43:15 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <n2R9a.7453$3g.1138686@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35347
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just went to the Radioshack website, and they are selling the Uniden digital scanner and card. From previous posts I thought GRE was going to make a digital scanner for them to market. Am I wrong? Kind of wierd that they might sell both.

===Matt===

237. Re: What's a good scanner for my old man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 00:37:59 UTC
From: BJR <skypilot@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <fcqf6v4dtr859spi9hah2b41bj0012tkka@4ax.com>
Source record: 35353

"H. B. Essem" <netgold@club1man.com> pounded on the keyboard and wrote:

>I'm looking for a good home scanner for my dad. What is
>a good one to buy?

What ever you do don't buy a Radio Shack trunking scanner. If you want a
trunking scanner get one of the Uniden scanners.

238. Re: UNIDEN AT RADIOSHACK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 00:43:04 UTC
From: "B a r r y B u r k e J r ." <"keep it in the newsgroup "@thankyou.com>
Message-ID: <3E67DDBD.DA3410E0@thankyou.com>
Source record: 35351
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Matt wrote:
>
> Kind of wierd that
> they might sell both.
>

Why? It's retail. Can't compete? Sell what the competition sells.
Even if/when they get their own, carrying both will gain many otherwise
lost sales. If the the buyer likes the GRE unit better, Radio Shack
wins. The customer likes the Uniden better? They win again.

The bike shop I work at used to get a lot of customers asking for a
particular brand of bike that we didn't carry. What did we do? We
brought in the other brand.

Barry

239. Re: Tried To Buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 00:46:08 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <kZR9a.45606$Pa.4395671@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35354

Bud -

I've been giving serious thought to this very idea.

In theory, it would be possible to connect the digital card to a 780 if you knew the pinouts. Before the digital scanner came to pass a partner of mine and I tried to retrofit a 895 with a card we talked DVSI into letting us "borrow" for a time.... it didn't work because the 895 did not allow us to select FM mode instead of NFM (plus we didn't know that would have an effect anyway) and DVSI gave literally no assistance in hooking it up. Just a cryptic document that gave the pinouts of that particular board (it was much larger than the current digital card, hence the reason we went with the 895 for a test bed - lotsa roooooom).

The only rub is there's no way on the 780 to adjust the sound settings... but if you hooked the right pins up to the right places... voila.

Too bad Bill is gone - if anyone could have figured that one out it would've been Bill.

-me
"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:50mf6v03gcotvmmit0lav82r9ok1v6fmms@4ax.com...
> Scribbling with a stale candycane, "Vic" <nospam@bogusaddress.com> penned:
>
> >Well DUH (showing your mentality eh?) why not consider saving some money and
> >uying a radio which DOESN'T have scanning problems ...
> >like the 780? DUH!
>
> Please DO tell me how to receive digital signals on a 780!
>
> BTW, I HAVE 2 780s.
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

240. R2 VS R5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 01:22:14 UTC
From: geo_jackson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <c21a06bc.0303061722.a066315@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I have owned the Icom R2. What does the R5 do better?

241. Re: Tried To Buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 02:02:39 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E67FE40.8090406@earthlink.net>
Source record: 35356

"""It was""'

I was talking about the BC 245, it was "MY mistake!!!!

SORRY

Burr

Burr wrote:

> Tried to buy a BC250 today from Universal and they were out.
>
> Who else sells them.
>
> Burr
>

242. Re: Problem solved (Was: Problem connecting BC780XLT to PC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 03:03:45 UTC
From: Odin <res0jmoj@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <I_T9a.39496$V42.14673@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 35357
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks to all who offered suggestions, I finally got it working. It was the cable. I didn't think it was the cable because I had tried 2 different cables. So I took the scanner to work and tried a 3rd cable, still not working. I tried a 4th cable and it worked fine. Took it home with cable #4 and everything works great. I have a pile of old serial cables at work and this is where I was getting the cables. All of the cables are good but aparent'y a bunch of them are "null modem" cables.

243. Re: Tried To Buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 03:08:55 UTC
From: Vic <nospam@bogusaddress.com>
Message-ID: <rXT9a.6675$4p.1909@fe03.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35358

Bud BABBLING AIMLESSLY BLURTED:
>
> Please DO tell me how to receive digital signals on a 780!
>
Did you read the posts I referred to in the original link, it would appear NOT! There is little indication the problem is limited to
following trunked systems and APCO25 use. It appears to apply to analog trunked signals as well!

see: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Uniden-bc780/message/1958

... some people

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:50mf6v03gcotvmmit0lav82r9ok1v6fmms@4ax.com...
> Scribbling with a stale candycane, "Vic" <nospam@bogusaddress.com> penned:
>
> >Well DUH (showing your mentality eh?) why not consider saving some money and
> >uying a radio which DOESN'T have scanning problems ...
> >like the 780? DUH!
>
> Please DO tell me how to receive digital signals on a 780!
>
> BTW, I HAVE 2 780s.
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

244. Re: IC-R1 & Discone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 03:30:35 UTC
From: BDK <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18d1dc67297a43ec9896fd@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 35359

In article <3e678f58.204584@news.intnet.mu>, hprice100@hotmail.com
says...
> Has anyone ever used the Discone sold by Radio Shack with an Icom
> IC-R1? I was informed that it might saturate the front end circuitery
> of the IC-R1, is this true. I know the IC-R1 is old, but it is still
> serving its purpose!!
>
> Thanks
>
> Harry
>

The one I had here was overloading on the antenna that came with it.
Anything bogger was a total disaster everywhere I tried it.

BDK

245. Re: What's a good scanner for my old man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 03:33:53 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030306223353.22261.00000012@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 35360

>What ever you do don't buy a Radio Shack trunking scanner. If you want a
>trunking scanner get one of the Uniden scanners.

Why do you say that? I have both the 2053 and the 93 and they are great.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

246. Re: UNIDEN AT RADIOSHACK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 04:58:13 UTC
From: John Bacon <news@djbitz.com>
Message-ID: <FFV9a.156198$4F3.9832563@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 35361
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ya it's been like 6 months since they started selling uniden. But I still
think they have high prices.
JOHN

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:n2R9a.7453$3g.1138686@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Just went to the Radioshack website, and they are selling the Uniden
> digital scanner and card. From previous posts I thought GRE was going to
> make a digital scanner for them to market. Am I wrong? Kind of wierd that
> they might sell both.
>
> ===Matt===
>
>

247. FA: PRO-2006 Package Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 05:24:31 UTC
From: Robert <nospam@me.cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <j2W9a.14690$lW3.230065@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 35362

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=3011983526

248. Re: Do there exist an FCC URI where,...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 05:25:51 UTC
From: Scott Thayer <n7ztiscott@hotpop.com>
Message-ID: <3E682D5F.6020307@hotpop.com>
Source record: 35363

Try this:

http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm

This will give you a list of different search choices, you should find
just the right one.

Scott

P.S.-- this is the official FCC search engine

249. How to record scanner on PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 05:52:56 UTC
From: Odin <res0jmoj@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <YsW9a.86390$_J5.86276@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 35364

"Odin" <res0jmoj@verizon.net> wrote in message

I'm sure this is an easy task, but I don't know the easiest way to do it.
I'm wanting to record what's I'm monitoring on my scanner onto my computer.
Other than going from the scanner earphone out into the computer soundcard
can this be done via the serial cable on the BC780XLT using software like
ARC780 (or other software)?

250. Re: WATCH OUT FOR THIS EMAIL SCAM!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 06:00:47 UTC
From: wrstlgv@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5511e32.0303062200.734629af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35365

No code techs like you spread AIDS.

251. Re: WATCH OUT FOR THIS EMAIL SCAM!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 06:02:19 UTC
From: wrstlgv@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5511e32.0303062202.692cb994@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35366

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme (VHFRadioBuff) wrote in message
news:<20030306131730.26922.00000011@mb-fw.aol.com>...
>
> That's pretty sad that you've got nothing better to do than look me up on QRZ
> so you can see if you can attempt to upset me with your 3rd grade insults.

At least I don't look like this:

http://www.eham.net/data/profile/kc2ssb/photo.jpeg

252. Re: Trunking scanners in the UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 07:11:57 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <mtgg6vgt7nh1h7ij98h41qvjjjjc5kr0jo@4ax.com>
Source record: 35367

A few questions about European Uniden scanners.

The US BC-780XLT has lousy 800 MHz receive sensitivity, because not only do they have to block the cellular range, they are required to supress cellular "images". The filtering required to do this seriously degrades the receiver's performance.

Does the UBC version use the same RF board as the BC, with the filtering, or can you get away from the problem by buying a UBC?

Any word if a UBC-785XLT is in the works?

253. Re: AOR SDU-5000 or SDU-5500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 07:19:39 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <0lhg6vkbedc6qfpldqs8mf03ed3c2b84fr@4ax.com>
Source record: 35368

On Thu, 6 Mar 2003 12:02:01 -0600, "Don Parker"
<tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote:

>Anyone had experience with either one, used with an AOR AR-5000 receiver??
>Anyone have one for sale??

Mine works great for catching voice or data streams. Too slow to
catch data bursts. I use it with an AR3000A.

Once I'm able to find an Avcom SDM-42A for a reasonable price, I'll be
putting my SDU-5000 up for sale. But that may take a while.

254. Re: Walkie-talkies are burglar's tools.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 07:26:11 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <j5ig6vkdd22b5l2kk5de9jvd59jtt9317c@4ax.com>
Source record: 35369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 06 Mar 2003 22:10:33 GMT, ss <ss@spamblock.ssx> wrote:

>Criminals use two-way radios to commit crimes.

So what do we do about it, ban them? Ban anything which could
possibly be misused by a criminal?

Your computer could be used by burglars to prop open a door. While
we're at it, let's ban your computer too!

255. Icom R 5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 07:39:16 UTC
From: majorwiseandhammer <pcarpenter@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v6gl6f3f22g61a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35370

F/S Icom R 5 scanner with little use. Complete nib. $165 shipped Conus.
USPO M/O. Thanks for reading the post. Phil

256. Re: WATCH OUT FOR THIS EMAIL SCAM!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 08:40:35 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v6gmnv3ck4gg2b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35371

Come on Sir, is this sort of nonsense necessary?

Speaking as a newcomer to ham radio, as I have only been licensed just over 50 years, I think not .

I think that anyone who has fulfilled the requirements of the FCC is every bit as much a ham as those of us who walked to school and back, up hill both ways, in the snow.

A person today has no choice but to accept the regs as they are, regardless if you, I, or my aunt Minny did it differently.

Me personally, I have never got over the fact we no longer hear the arc of a 3B24 or the singing of a loose modulation transformer. When my eyesight was perfect, I got to solder a wire to the socket of an 80 rectifier I could see twenty feet away. Now that I have to out on one pair of glasses to find the other they have all this SMT stuff.
I guess the world just isn't fair to any of us!

Welcome one and all to amateur radio, a bunch of great folks and one old curmudgeon. And I intend to hold the title until I am a SK.

73 Fred W4JLE

"Robert S." <wrstlgv@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5511e32.0303062200.734629af@posting.google.com...
> No code techs like you spread AIDS.

257. Re: Walkie-talkies are burglar's tools.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 12:38:29 UTC
From: Mike Copeland <mcopeland@ATpobox.com>
Message-ID: <3e68928e_2@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 35373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Criminals use two-way radios to commit crimes.

And cops use two way radios to catch them. What's your point?
Mike

258. Re: MDTMON - mdtmon161good.zip (7/7)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 12:46:36 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <Mw0aa.5498$1v.372346@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35374

Mr. Spleen wrote:

> M%"K";Z3?@"RP@A9J*SQ4%LS%$">Q_W!V]>J[X$/E*JM<99:K;'*5,72+2<_V
> M=:L;0)"V`5'RJT*\XIF!)ZPRLQ-./'[(&W]^(K>8F=MZ[A0K<]O.G6)#UQ60
> M.4*O5R*FVTR$0Y\53GS1E!U.G&F9T?FAGJQC"'P]%N]<1"[8SJY8C)\?G%`(
> M"Y'"DRQ,QF<QNA"W8&(T*CP6ERZ@BO`(P:KS:QH@D%2@]-7^<^LQ9+>55![4
> M@)YT&2N4N3E9)IQP$,3!?:;SUF)+UQ)*UA)NMNE$8ZC!=`9$?U1[4'<78'?[
> M6)61G:`R.#?KNZ'(TS.`Q>+G#K%_9KAC>Y'-!MG6.QSQHK9N!9[2;##VN@9M
> MI/4IF9E$]_!!AQEQ!5]F[\WI@_'L=1>02*0O/"/K"[^`?Z=E/2I5?+UZ3(?\
> M",'/#BFXH,-C>/`V?W""'F"<WT4/HPX$1T.<OJ,#;2,PV"9%OY2AD:%E=B/D
> MI["B/"M+B%,HY'<R&W*BX?W&[M!=]M/4!PZ-^.A!BA3Y'9$C2">1#05H^VQ3

Rest deleted...

And this became a "binaries" newsgroup when????

All dial-up users may unsubscribe now.......

Bye.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb (at) arrl (dot) net
Glendale, Arizona

259. Re: Walkie-talkies are burglar's tools.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 13:10:35 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <v6h6dtmtm1628@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is there an echo in here?
echo in here?
in here?
here?
?

"ss" <ss@spamblock.ssx> wrote in message
news:4rhf6voems4nlv3hkidhmdjmerv4qhj9h0@4ax.com...
> Criminals use two-way radios to commit crimes.
>
> > The boys, ages 14 and 15, entered through the woman's garage door.
> > A third boy, 16, stood as a lookout on the street, communicating through
> > a walkie-talkie, Thurston County sheriff's Capt. Dan Kimball said.
>
> > The two youngest boys were booked on suspicion of residential burglary.
> > The 16-year-old, who was arrested a few blocks away, was booked for
> > investigation of conspiracy to commit burglary, Kimball said.
>
> http://www.theolympian.com/home/news/20030305/southsound/14417.shtml

260. Re: WATCH OUT FOR THIS EMAIL SCAM!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 13:11:14 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030307081114.21692.00000026@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 35376

>No code techs like you spread AIDS.

Can you say TROLL? I knew you could.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

261. Re: WATCH OUT FOR THIS EMAIL SCAM!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 13:16:38 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030307081638.21692.00000027@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 35377

>At least I don't look like this:
>
>http://www.eham.net/data/profile/kc2ssb/photo.jpeg

Hey, we can't all be as handsome as me. After much searching on the internet, I found your picture as well:

http://sharune.com/guidebook/races/troll_picture.htm
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

262. Re: antennas on car/truck, how many

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 13:46:20 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3E68A322.6080808@analon.com>
Source record: 35378
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

HE'S THE nsa ON WHEELS.... CAN LISTEN TO ANYTING

TW. wrote:

>X-No-Archive: yes
>
>In news:b3urr6$1qbkkh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de,
>Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> briefly thought, then typed out:
>
>
>>I know of a ham in my area who has no less than 23 antennas mounted
>>all over his car. I'm not sure he even has that many radios in it...
>>
>>
>
>That's what I call a porcupine.
>
>
>
>

--
JOHN ... (jamoran@analon.com) 800-873-5884 http://www.analon.com Chemistry is fun.
Computer systems, software, networks, system security & Salvage.

263. antennas on car/truck, how many & GARAGES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 13:53:46 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3E68A4D3.1070909@analon.com>
Source record: 35379
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well, with all the antennas I do not go in parking structures unlerss
they have a guaranteed 9 ft clearance.
most urban garages are 6 or a max of 8 feet. the garage at my house I
built is 12 foot tall the truck fits under there fine sometimes every
antanna is connected to a radio.. other times, I select the antenna with
the best band performance to what I am most interested in. the 10m/2m
antenna or CB can be patched to the scanner and give good shortwave
performance. this lets me listen to international broadcasts on the open
road....

I'm planning on getting an XM system and a GPS soon.. both these
antennas are low profile in that they only stick up about 2 inches max.

The facility that installed my antennas in San mateo did an excellent
job..... My brother has an antenna on his pickup and complains that it
leaks when he washes his truck or when it rains.

Adam wrote:

> I have two of them. On the roof, I have the 6 meter (or CB antenna,
>depending on my mood), and on the trunk, I have my 2m/70cm.
>
>
>
>

--
JOHN ... (jamoran@analon.com) 800-873-5884 http://www.analon.com Chemistry is fun.
Computer systems, software, networks, system security & Salvage.

264. Re: Walkie-talkies are burglar's tools.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 14:02:34 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <ii9h6v4pd99gis9b69fkjqigovfq2un6o3@4ax.com>
Source record: 35380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 06 Mar 2003 22:10:33 GMT, ss <ss@spamblock.ssx> wrote:

>Criminals use two-way radios to commit crimes.

...And Peeping Toms use binoculars. Shall we ban those?

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

265. Re: any problems with scanners at the airport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 14:12:11 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3E68A924.1000203@analon.com>
Source record: 35381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

never a problem with a scanner (I usually hav 2 hand helds) in the US
but did have a problem at Stuttgart in Germany... though interestingly
enough not at Frankfurt which is a busier airport......

I was on An AmericaWest plane and it was like keystone cops.. we were
inside the plane but no one outside could figure out who was going to
fuel or load the plane.... the battereis on one scanner died, so I
switched to the other..... I kept the other passengers informed on
what was going on....

often on US flights I can listen to the scanner without much problem

wf3h@ptnospam.com wrote:

>On Sun, 16 Feb 2003 17:46:19 GMT, "rjfleming" <hfleming@wi.rr.com>
>wrote:
>
>
>
>>last trip thru newark, they were kind of nasty because I had my scanner with
>>me, anyone have any problems at newark the last week or so.... rj
>>
>>
>>
>>
>i travel cross continent all the time with my ham radio HT which
>covers aircraft-995 MHz. never had a problem.
>
>
>
>

--
JOHN ... (jamoran@analon.com) 800-873-5884 http://www.analon.com Chemistry is fun.
Computer systems, software, networks, system security & Salvage.

266. Yaesu VR-500 w/accessories $175 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 14:54:12 UTC
From: kb5fas@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030307095412.15782.00000039@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 35382

Yaesu VR-500 wideband receiver (100khz - 1300 mhz) - FM WFM USB LSB CW AM -
1000 Channels
Comes with AC/DC Power Supplies, Book, Nicads & original box.
10/10 Condition - Selling to upgrade to new Uniden Digital Scanner
$175 which includes shipping CONUS.

73's

KB5FAS
Joe Walker

267. E-Mail for Yaesu VR-500 $175

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 14:55:55 UTC
From: kb5fas@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030307095555.15702.00000034@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 35383

Forgot to include my E-Mail address

KB5FAS@aol.com

268. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 15:02:16 UTC
From: Joe Schmoe <joeschmoe@togotrain.org>
Message-ID: <%v2aa.319$2e.5245@news.more.net>
Source record: 35384

[No readable article body recovered]

269. Re: E-Mail for Yaesu VR-500 $175

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 15:03:07 UTC
From: Joe Schmoe <joeschmoe@togotrain.org>
Message-ID: <Ow2aa.320$2e.5406@news.more.net>
Source record: 35385

Testing
"KB5FAS" <kb5fas@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030307095555.15702.00000034@mb-co.aol.com...
> Forgot to include my E-Mail address
>
> KB5FAS@aol.com

270. FS Pro-2045 MILCOM Base Scanner $150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 15:12:48 UTC
From: kb5fas@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030307101248.27244.00000007@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 35386

Radio Shack Pro-2045 Base Scanner
Covers 29-54 & 108-174 & 216-512 & 806-1000 -- This includes the UHF Military Band!
AM & FM mode
200 Channels (10 banks @ 20 channels each)
10/10 - Comes with manual & AC Power Plug
Selling to upgrade to new Uniden Digital Scanner
$150 which includes shipping to CONUS

Thanks in advance.

73's
KB5FAS
Joe Walker

KB5FAS@aol.com

271. BC780XLT software for Windows CE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 16:38:17 UTC
From: hans__@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8d4a53b5.0303070838.4daba434@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35387

Hello,

Does anybody know software for win ce? I found some for win 98/2000/xp
and even for Palm OS but nothing for ce.

Thnx

Hans

272. Pro-95 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 16:58:19 UTC
From: core_dump <corenospamdump@corenodumpspamcentral.org>
Message-ID: <Xns933779CBF305Acoredumpcoredumpcent@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 35388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi, I just got my Pro-95 the other day. When I use the CB search bank with the squelch turned all the way down, sometimes the audio will blank as if the squelch is turned up. This only happens when an antenna is on, excluding the supplied antenna. When I use one of my outdoor ham radio antennas, the problem is solved by moving the coax around a bit. Note that it will work fine when entering the frequency of the CB channel into "Tune" mode.

TIA

273. TRADE BC780XLT for mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 17:29:14 UTC
From: Browning Mark FourA <sky.fourfourfour@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <7nlh6vg8udrvc836cibuqern98lfjb5u9q@4ax.com>
Source record: 35389

TRADE BC780XLT for mobile scanner

I am looking to trade a MINT BC780XLT in the box for a high end mobile
scanner.Prefer something smaller than the 780 but camparable...space
is limited and I have two 780's,appreciate you looking....

274. Re: BC780XLT software for Windows CE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 17:52:39 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <H%4aa.6252$J51.1293560@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 35390

I have a copy of Windows CE I will sell for $50.00.This is original and I never used it.
"Hans" <hans__@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:8d4a53b5.0303070838.4daba434@posting.google.com...
> Hello,
>
> Does anybody know software for win ce? I found some for win 98/2000/xp
> and even for Palm OS but nothing for ce.
>
> Thnx
>
> Hans

275. Re: Pro-95 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 18:07:02 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v6hntvn1h8gs7d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

They all do it if you are located somewhat near an FM radio station.

"core_dump" <corenospamdump@corenodumpspamcentral.org> wrote in message
news:Xns933779CBF305Acoredumpcoredumpcent@216.168.3.44...
> Hi, I just got my Pro-95 the other day. When I use the CB search bank with
> the squelch turned all the way down, sometimes the audio will blank as if
> the squelch is turned up. This only happens when an antenna is on,
> excluding the supplied antenna. When I use one of my outdoor ham radio
> antennas, the problem is solved by moving the coax around a bit. Note that
> it will work fine when entering the frequency of the CB channel into
> "Tune" mode.
>
> TIA

276. Re: BC780XLT software for Windows CE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 18:16:01 UTC
From: "Space Coast Electronics, Inc." <sce@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Bl5aa.15011$Aa.359235@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 35392

where was the palm os software fot the 780?

--
Mitchell Katz, CEO
Space Coast Electronics, Inc.
http://www.spacecoastelectronics.com
Low & High Powered Green DPSS Lasers & Surveillance Systems
Titusville, FL 32780

E-mail: sce@cfl.rr.com

277. FOR SALE: radio shack clip-on lapel speakers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 19:20:31 UTC
From: bobar8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030307142031.22188.00000056@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 35393

```
For sale:
(2) brand new radio shack clip-on lapel speakers cat. 20-009
(these are really hard to get)

$7.00 EACH plus shipping
```

278. Re: Problem connecting BC780XLT to PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 20:03:28 UTC
From: John Ashbee <john.ashbee@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <b4atuf$l92$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 35394
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I had the same trouble.
My modem was on COM1 so I tryed to use COM2 for my 780.
The COM2 socket was 25pin on the PC so I used a 25-9 converter that was
lying around (free with an old mouse?).
I then used a 9pin-9pin serial but couldn't get things to work....
it turned out to be the converter.
I replaced the 25 pin socket with a 9 pin straight into the motherboard and
it was fine..
good luck.
John

"Paul Keenleyside" <nospambcpl8z@telus.net> wrote in message
news:kWN9a.1002$Ty5.201551@news0.telusplanet.net...
>
> "Odin" <res0jmoj@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:3e67d3ac$1@news.greennet.net...
> >
> > "Peter Bjoern" <PeterBjoern@mail.dk> wrote in message
> >
> > > >I get a "no scanner detected" message when I try to
> > connect. I have tried
> > > >several software apps and 2 serial cables, same problem.
> > The baud rate is
> > > >correct (19200), there are no conflicts with my hardware
> > and the scanner is
> > > >in Remote mode. This problem has occurred every time I
> > have tried to
> > > >connect since the unit was new, but I guess it's possible
> > that the unit has
> > > >a problem? Any ideas?
>
> I'll also go with an obvious one. Being a systems analyst I have found on
> occaision that the obvious thing resolves a
> big problem.
>
> Be sure the software is set to either COM1 or COM2 as most computers have
> two COM ports. I use COM1 for my BC780XLT and COM2 for my BC245XLT. Had it
> hooked in in no time.
>
> As the others have said a Null Modem cable will not work, you'll need a
> standard 9 Pin cable available at any computer
> store.
>

> PK
>
>
>
>

279. Re: TK2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 20:17:42 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <not_for_mail@fake789.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.03.07.20.17.40.640154@fake789.invalid>
Source record: 35395
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

tk2 relies on an older version of Tcl/Tk than tk92 does.
But, the fix is simple if you installed tk92 before tk2.

Change the last line in the file C:\tk2\tk2.bat from this:

"C:\tcl\bin\wish83.exe" "C:\tk2\tk2.tcl"

to this:

"C:\tcl\bin\wish.exe" "C:\tk2\tk2.tcl"

On Fri, 07 Mar 2003 09:21:33 -0800, Scott Luther wrote:

> I'm having trouble getting Tk2 to work for my Icom R2. I installed Tcl on
> my root drive as documented, installed Tk92 also on my root drive (which
> works just fine), I then installed Tk2 on my root drive but get a
> "c:\Tk2>c:" - "Bad command or file name". Anyone have an idea on what
> might be going on?
> Thank you!
> --Scott
> sro@ix.netcom.com

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User http://parnass.com

280. Re: AOR SDU-5000 or SDU-5500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 20:22:01 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <not_for_mail@fake789.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.03.07.20.22.01.757030@fake789.invalid>
Source record: 35396

There is a used Avcom SDM-42A for sale here:

http://www.grove-ent.com/bbbtest.htm

On Fri, 07 Mar 2003 00:19:39 -0700, Gary wrote:

> On Thu, 6 Mar 2003 12:02:01 -0600, "Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com>
> wrote:
....
> Once I'm able to find an Avcom SDM-42A for a reasonable price, I'll be
> putting my SDU-5000 up for sale. But that may take a while.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User http://parnass.com

281. Re: How to record scanner on PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 20:49:04 UTC
From: Heywood <johnson304@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ec1i6vsfir9u76vv7otpbk0hl558hghp9f@4ax.com>
Source record: 35297

Try www.steaksandwich.com and check out Wintone for recording your
scanner through your soundcard. It is shareware and only records when
the squelch is open.

On Fri, 07 Mar 2003 05:52:56 GMT, "Odin" <res0jmoj@verizon.net> wrote:

>
>"Odin" <res0jmoj@verizon.net> wrote in message
>
>I'm sure this is an easy task, but I don't know the easiest way to do it.
>I'm wanting to record what's I'm monitoring on my scanner onto my computer.
>Other than going from the scanner earphone out into the computer soundcard
>can this be done via the serial cable on the BC780XLT using software like
>ARC780 (or other software)?
>
>

282. Re: Walkie-talkies are burglar's tools.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 21:22:34 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030307162234.20075.00000029@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 35298

yes this is a police state now

www.infowars.com

283. FS: sma-to-bnc custom fit antenna adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 22:35:39 UTC
From: gulyas@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <12235960.0303071435.4e9a2337@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Do you have a need for a high-quality SMA-to-BNC antenna adapter that
is custom made to fit and protect your H.T. or scanner radio?

Adapter allows you to:
Quickly change antennas to base, mobile or different style use.
Use the more popular and wider selection available of BNC antennas.
Prevent chassis connector wear or from coming loose due to antenna
changes.

Adapter features:
Gold plated contacts with a teflon insulator.
A low profile design with a rubber covering for a factory antenna look.
The rubber base seals to the top of the radio with no spacers needed
for moisture and dirt protection.
The base also provides an excellent support for any length of antenna
or application.
No need to remove adapter once installed to make a quick and safe
antenna change.

Sizes to fit radio models:
Yaesu: VX-5R, VX-7R, VX-1R, FT-50-R, VX-10, VX-150, VX-110,
VXA-100, VXA-120
Icom: IC-T90A, IC-T8A, IC-T81A, IC-Q7A, IC-R2, IC-R5
Kenwood: TH-D7, TH-G71, TH-F6A, TH-F7A
Alinco: DJ-V5, DJ-X3, DJ-S40
Standard: C508A, C510A
Radio Shack: HTX-420, HTX-400, HTX-245, HTX-200
Aor: AR-16

Previewed in the May 2000 Issue of CQ Magazine, and the Nov. 2000
Issue of QST Magazine.

$11.95 + $1.50 S+H(One to unlimited)
(Check or money order only in U.S.Funds)
(Specify-radio-model)

STEPHEN G.GULYAS
706 LALOR ST.
TRENTON, N.J. 08610
U.S.A.

A photo of the adapter is available upon request.

I welcome any questions or comments at my email:
gulyas@earthlink.net

Thanks for looking at my posting.
S.G.G. KC2BHO 73's

284. Problema con Radiocom 5.1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-07-07 22:43:50 UTC
From: dspg63 <dspg63@libero.it>
Message-ID: <Gg9aa.187513$ZE.5614452@twister2.libero.it>
Source record: 35300

Dopo aver acquistato il PCR1000 della ICOM e il Radiocom 5.1
ho installato il software del PCR1000 e non ho avuto alcun problema, mentre,
dopo aver installato il radiocom 5.1 all'apertura dello stesso, dopo la
schermata di
caricamento mi appare questo errore che non mi consente di proseguire:

Microsoft Visual C++ Runtime Library
Runtime Error!
Program: c:\programmi\bonito radiocom\rc50.exe

abnormal program termination

Qualcuno pu&#65533; aiutarmi ???

Grazie - dspg63

285. Re: Walkie-talkies are burglar's tools.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 22:45:39 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@home.scotland>
Message-ID: <b4b7ej$4uv$1@venus.btinternet.com>
Source record: 35301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"ss" <ss@spamblock.ssx> wrote in message
news:4rhf6voems4nlv3hkidhmdjmerv4qhj9h0@4ax.com...
> Criminals use two-way radios to commit crimes.

...and trolls use computers to annoy people.

286. Re: What's a good scanner for my old man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 23:14:51 UTC
From: BJR <skypilot@writeme.com>
Message-ID: <ns9i6v0jqc0p74vqtkuqasalkmbffgnsff@4ax.com>
Source record: 35302

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme (VHFRadioBuff) pounded on the keyboard and wrote:

>>What ever you do don't buy a Radio Shack trunking scanner. If you want a
>>trunking scanner get one of the Uniden scanners.
>
>Why do you say that? I have both the 2053 and the 93 and they are great.
>=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
>73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
>Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
>http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

I guess I should have elaborate more. They work good, after you get them programmed. However, they are very, very difficult to learn how to program and maintain.

Brian

287. static on scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 23:23:35 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <b4b9hlrij1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 35303

On certain frequencies that I have stored in my scanner for my local area, there is a lot of static making it hard to hear the audio. In some cases I am only 1-3 miles from the transmitting source. In other cases, I am closer than a mile from the transmitting source. In situations like these, why is the audio not clear? Is there something wrong with the scanner?

288. Re: BC780XLT software for Windows CE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 23:48:07 UTC
From: hans__@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8d4a53b5.0303071548.528bd388@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35304

"Space Coast Electronics, Inc." <sce@cfl.rr.com> wrote

> where was the palm os software fot the 780?

http://www.blackbagsoftware.com/bc780xlt.net/sp780.htm

Hans

289. Re: What's a good scanner for my old man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 23:49:26 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v6ic006csk1f80@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35305

Have you ever had a pro-95? Simple to operate,simple to program. And best of all there is a neat free program Win95 that allows you to setup the frequencies, labels, etc and upload to your scanner.

You can mix trunked and standard frequencies and scan them all.
I had a pro-2050 that was much more difficult.

"BJR" <skypilot@writeme.com> wrote in message news:ns9i6v0jqc0p74vqtkuqasalkmbffgnsff@4ax.com...
> vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme (VHFRadioBuff) pounded on the keyboard and wrote:
>
> >>What ever you do don't buy a Radio Shack trunking scanner. If you want a
> >>trunking scanner get one of the Uniden scanners.
> >
> >Why do you say that? I have both the 2053 and the 93 and they are great.
> >=-
> >73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> >Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> >http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
>
> I guess I should have elaborate more. They work good, after you get them
> programmed. However, they are very, very difficult to learn how to program
> and maintain.
>
> Brian

290. Re: BC780XLT software for Windows CE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 23:49:59 UTC
From: hans__@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8d4a53b5.0303071549.79d821fe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35306

"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<H%4aa.6252$J51.1293560@news1.news.adelphia.net>...

> I have a copy of Windows CE I will sell for $50.00.This is original and I
> never used it.

I'm not looking for a copy of Win CE itself but I'm looking for
BC780XLT-software for Win CE. Is that what you mean?

Hans

291. FA: Monitor America Frequency Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-07 23:55:34 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <U%gaa.9437$Or5.1128888@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35317
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3012093626

Good Luck

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.459 / Virus Database: 258 - Release Date: 25/02/2003

292. Re: static on scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 00:12:43 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0waaa.20843$cB3.119184@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 35307

Try attenuating the channel, the transmitter may be overloading the scanner.
Just a thought, could be wrong.

--
Brad.
FeeD_BaK

"Charlie Brookhart" <cbrookha@erols.com> wrote in message
news:b4b9hlrij1@bob.news.rcn.net...
| On certain frequencies that I have stored in my scanner for my local area,
| there is a lot of static making it hard to hear the audio. In some cases I
| am only 1-3 miles from the transmitting source. In other cases, I am
closer
| than a mile from the transmitting source. In situations like these, why is
| the audio not clear? Is there something wrong with the scanner?
|
|

293. for sale: radio shack pro-93-95 carry cases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 00:40:44 UTC
From: bobar8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030307194044.08554.00000046@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 35308

```
for sale:
(2) brand new never opened radio shack padded
fabric carry cases with velcro closure and belt
loop (these cases fit the pro-93-95 perfectly!!
even with the belt clip attached!!!)
black in color

will sell for $8.00 EACH plus shipping

thanks
bob
```

294. Re: TK2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 01:30:00 UTC
From: sro@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <sro-0703031730000001@dialup-209.245.78.132.dial1.losangeles1.level3.net>
Source record: 35309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bob!
Worked like a charm! Thanks! I'm in business!
--Scott

In article <pan.2003.03.07.20.17.40.640154@fake789.invalid>,
not_for_mail@fake789.invalid wrote:

> tk2 relies on an older version of Tcl/Tk than tk92 does.
> But, the fix is simple if you installed tk92 before tk2.
>
> Change the last line in the file C:\tk2\tk2.bat from this:
>
> "C:\tcl\bin\wish83.exe" "C:\tk2\tk2.tcl"
>
> to this:
>
> "C:\tcl\bin\wish.exe" "C:\tk2\tk2.tcl"
>
>
> On Fri, 07 Mar 2003 09:21:33 -0800, Scott Luther wrote:
>
> > I'm having trouble getting Tk2 to work for my Icom R2. I installed Tcl on
> > my root drive as documented, installed Tk92 also on my root drive (which
> > works just fine), I then installed Tk2 on my root drive but get a
> > "c:\Tk2>c:" - "Bad command or file name". Anyone have an idea on what
> > might be going on?
> > Thank you!
> > --Scott
> > sro@ix.netcom.com
>
> --
> ===
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User http://parnass.com

295. Re: What's a good scanner for my old man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 01:51:07 UTC
From: BDK <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18d316924cc20ac9896fe@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 35310

In article <ns9i6v0jqc0p74vqtkuqasalkmbffgnsff@4ax.com>,
skypilot@writeme.com says...
> vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme (VHFRadioBuff) pounded on the keyboard and wrote:
>
> >>What ever you do don't buy a Radio Shack trunking scanner. If you want a
> >>trunking scanner get one of the Uniden scanners.
> >
> >Why do you say that? I have both the 2053 and the 93 and they are great.
> >=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> >73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> >Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> >http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
>
> I guess I should have elaborate more. They work good, after you get them
> programmed. However, they are very, very difficult to learn how to program
> and maintain.
>
> Brian
>
Hmm, I would have to say the RS radios are a little EASIER to
use/program then the Unidens, but it's not all that big a deal.

BDK

296. Re: What's a good scanner for my old man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 02:08:40 UTC
From: "H. B. Essem" <stoney@club1man.com>
Message-ID: <stoney-8B26B0.21082107032003@news3.ha-net.ptd.net>
Source record: 35311

In article <20030306131208.26922.00000010@mb-fw.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

> >I'm looking for a good home scanner for my dad. What is
> >a good one to buy?
>
> We need more information. What frequencies would he be listening to? Does he
> have a need to listen to any trunked systems? Where does he live? How close
> are
> the agencies he wants to listen to? Would he want a portable or a base?

Definitely a base. Want to listen to regular stuff and whatever
is good. Want to receive cordless phones, FRS, police and fire. Cell
phones would be nice but I'm not sure how busy that band is any more.

297. Re: What's a good scanner for my old man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 02:10:52 UTC
From: "H. B. Essem" <stoney@club1man.com>
Message-ID: <stoney-7530D5.21103407032003@news3.ha-net.ptd.net>
Source record: 35312

Very cool. I think I'd like that. But I think it is too much
for my dad. His vision is not so hot. I need a scanner that
I can set up and leave for him to listen to.

In article <3e66c412$0$52926$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>,
"grenner" <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Try this one
>
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/3464.html
>
> He'll be happy
>
> Greg
> "H. B. Essem" <netgold@club1man.com> wrote in message
> news:netgold-4418C0.22083505032003@news3.ha-net.ptd.net...
> > I'm looking for a good home scanner for my dad. What is
> > a good one to buy?

298. FS: Radio Shack Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 03:00:42 UTC
From: Leon Plot <leonardp12@cox.net>
Message-ID: <21ni6v846b1tear7e2q4tkv9kufu583erv@4ax.com>
Source record: 35313

Have one of the last made Pro-43 for sale

800Mhz opened Asking $150 Shipping included

or best offer.

Have Manual In good condition and works great.

Leon WA7ZXZ

leonardp12@cox.net

299. Re: static on scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 04:56:51 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <b4bt2r$q7c$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 35314

How do I attenuate the channel?

"FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:0waaa.20843$cB3.119184@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> Try attenuating the channel, the transmitter may be overloading the
scanner.
> Just a thought, could be wrong.
>
> --
> Brad.
> FeeD_BaK
>
>
> "Charlie Brookhart" <cbrookha@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:b4b9hlrij1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> | On certain frequencies that I have stored in my scanner for my local
area,
> | there is a lot of static making it hard to hear the audio. In some cases
I
> | am only 1-3 miles from the transmitting source. In other cases, I am
> closer
> | than a mile from the transmitting source. In situations like these, why
is
> | the audio not clear? Is there something wrong with the scanner?
> |
> |
>
>

300. Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 04:57:46 UTC
From: radio <waver03@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b4bsjf$ld6$1@reader01.singnet.com.sg>
Source record: 35315
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi, I know how to utilize the frequencies in 8xx.xxxx below. But can someone tell me what about the frequencies without the decimal places? How do I use them? If they are in Khz how can 32 be possible?
Please help. Thanks.

Frequencies:
856.0625 856.1875 856.5625 856.8125 856.9375
857.0625 857.1875 857.5625 857.6875 857.8125
857.9375 858.0625 858.1875 858.3125 858.5625
858.8125 859.3125 859.5625 859.8125 860.3125
860.5625

Fire Operations:
Fire Airport Fire 5408

Police Operations:
Police Airport Police 32
Police Airport Police 400
Police Airport Police 416
Police Airport Police 480
Police Airport Police 688
Police Airport Police (Dispatch) 528
Police Airport Police & Customs 176
Police Airport Police & Customs 752

Other Operations:
Freight Airport Freight Services 7248
Parking Airport Parking enforcement 464

301. Re: Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 09:55:24 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b4c4hf$2jc$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35316
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My guess is that they are talkgroups that use the group of 800MHz
frequencies that you first list below...

radio <waver03@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b4bsjf$ld6$1@reader01.singnet.com.sg...
> Hi, I know how to utilize the frequencies in 8xx.xxxx below. But can
someone
> tell me what about the frequencies without the decimal places? How do I
use
> them? If they are in Khz how can 32 be possible?
> Please help. Thanks.
>
>
>
> Frequencies:
> 856.0625 856.1875 856.5625 856.8125 856.9375
> 857.0625 857.1875 857.5625 857.6875 857.8125
> 857.9375 858.0625 858.1875 858.3125 858.5625
> 858.8125 859.3125 859.5625 859.8125 860.3125
> 860.5625
>
> Fire Operations:
> Fire Airport Fire 5408
>
> Police Operations:
> Police Airport Police 32
> Police Airport Police 400
> Police Airport Police 416
> Police Airport Police 480
> Police Airport Police 688
> Police Airport Police (Dispatch) 528
> Police Airport Police & Customs 176
> Police Airport Police & Customs 752
>
> Other Operations:
> Freight Airport Freight Services 7248
> Parking Airport Parking enforcement 464
>
>
>

302. Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 10:16:36 UTC
From: geo_jackson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <c21a06bc.0303080031.25bd50cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Anyone know of problems with importing scanner into the USA? I would like to buy an unblocked Icom R5. Is this a dangerous venture?
Thanks!!!

George

303. Re: What's a good scanner for my old man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 13:18:07 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030308081807.18913.00000101@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 35319

>I guess I should have elaborate more. They work good, after you get them
>programmed. However, they are very, very difficult to learn how to program
>and maintain.

To be honest with you, I have always used the computer to program them. I
wouldn't attempt it any other way. My 2m mobile and my triband HT are the same,
all programmed by computer. You can't beat it.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

304. Re: What's a good scanner for my old man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 13:24:12 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030308082412.18913.00000103@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 35320

>Definitely a base. Want to listen to regular stuff and whatever
>is good. Want to receive cordless phones, FRS, police and fire. Cell
>phones would be nice but I'm not sure how busy that band is any more.

In a nutshell, there's no ONE specific scanner that you'd want. There are many older base units available, especially if you look on Ebay. You need to know if any frequencies he will listen to are above the 500 mhz range. If so, you have to make sure it's a little more modern. I'm assuming he won't be listening to any trunked systems, so that'll keep the price cheaper. If he doesn't have to listen to anything in the 800mhz range, the scanner will be even cheaper. As far as cell phones go, depending on where you're at, you might hear some Analog stuff, but it's been illegal to manufacture scanners capable of listening to cell phones since 1996, so chances are you won't find a pre-1996 scanner with that capability.

Do a search on ebay for "base scanner" and see what comes up. After you get model numbers, do a search on deja.com for past posts about the scanner you are considering to see if there's any negative or positive comments on it. Then, search on Yahoo.com for the scanner and again see what you find positive/negative. Lastly, post the list of scanners you found here on the newsgroup and I am sure you'll find people that have used that version before.

I hope that helps!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

305. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 15:48:55 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030308104855.11375.00000076@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 35321

I constantly buy unblocked scanners from Radioworld in Canada with no problems
awesome company

306. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 17:06:58 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0303080906.33f16039@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

i recently ordered an AR8200 from Javiation. He shipped it 2nd Day
Air by UPS. It was held up one extra day in Indianapolis at customs.
Got it on the 3rd day. UPS kept me informed of progress. I did get a
bill from UPS for 22.00 for custom processing. gill

307. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 17:29:33 UTC
From: Melv <melvyn.rattenburynospam@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <YLpaa.3208$t22.2280@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 35323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

The US is not the place to go for an UNBLOCKED scanner the UK is!
Melv
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UKMidlandscanner
http://www.ukmidlandscanner.co.uk
http://www.stewart-aviation.co.uk/rs/index.html
"George" <geo_jackson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:c21a06bc.0303080031.25bd50cf@posting.google.com...
> Anyone know of problems with importing scanner into the USA? I would
> like to buy an unblocked Icom R5. Is this a dangerous venture?
> Thanks!!!
>
> George

308. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 17:30:33 UTC
From: Melv <melvyn.rattenburynospam@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <VMpaa.3209$t22.1859@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 35324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

OOOps!! Sorry for the mistake I see you want to bring into the US as opposed
to the UK.--
Melv
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UKMidlandscanner
http://www.ukmidlandscanner.co.uk
http://www.stewart-aviation.co.uk/rs/index.html
"George" <geo_jackson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:c21a06bc.0303080031.25bd50cf@posting.google.com...
> Anyone know of problems with importing scanner into the USA? I would
> like to buy an unblocked Icom R5. Is this a dangerous venture?
> Thanks!!!
>
> George

309. WTT: Dual Band Fire/EMS HT for Small VHF only HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 19:09:10 UTC
From: "Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com>
Message-ID: <lnfk6v8ne6ql4apejn5uc7i964hql50o2m@4ax.com>
Source record: 35325

Looking to trade my dual band public safety HT, Yaesu FTH-2070, with high capacity battery, and rapid charger, (no key pad) and/or my EF Johnson "Scorpion" 16 channel UHF HT with 2 extra batteries and rapid charger for your SMALL 16 + channel 5 watt VHF (150-160 MHz) HT.

My equipment is in very good physical and working condition. I want a smaller and lighter radio for volunteer fire and EMS work. Would prefer one that is keyboard programmable or with supplied software and cable. . Radio MUST be FCC type accepted for fire and public safety use (no modified 2 meter HT's - already have one and the department won't allow me to use it) and be in excellent condition with rapid charger.

Several other radios and Vol. Fire/EMS lights listed at:
http://www.angelfire.com/in4/jnk47130
are also available. Make me an offer.

Can e-mail pictures if wanted. Questions or offers to:
w8ems@juno.com

310. AR86CTRL v1.26, AR8600/8200 control software update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 19:45:51 UTC
From: kbo <kbox2003@t-online.de>
Message-ID: <1fhk6vo7d259r70i9tvqddt11l12j9p5a9@4ax.com>
Source record: 35326

Hi,

a new version of the software is available for FREE at my homepage:

http://www.an-netz.de/home/kbo/

Mainly bugfixes, improved keyboard support, mem-file handling
better.

ciao
Klaus

311. The 'Bible' of Scanner Books?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 20:05:35 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17116-3E6A4D0F-505@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 35327

Is SCANNERS AND SECRET FREQUENCIES by Henry Whatshisname the best book on scanning out there? How up to date is this book anyway? Thoughts?

312. Pro -37

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 21:21:41 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b77caedf.0303081321.1f8b1908@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35328

Wanted to Buy:
Realistic Pro - 37 scanner, I live in Calgary, so only Calgary
residents please reply.
Thanks
Fred Burgess

313. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 21:24:02 UTC
From: geo_jackson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <c21a06bc.0303081324.1cc16aff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

glineberry@prodigy.net (Gill) wrote in message
news:<b234376e.0303080906.33f16039@posting.google.com>...
> i recently ordered an AR8200 from Javiation. He shipped it 2nd Day
> Air by UPS. It was held up one extra day in Indianapolis at customs.
> Got it on the 3rd day. UPS kept me informed of progress. I did get a
> bill from UPS for 22.00 for custom processing. gill

Thanks for the info, but I was wondering about possible prosecution, fine, etc.

314. Re: static on scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-08 21:57:13 UTC
From: goophy@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8503-3E6A6739-231@storefull-2134.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 35330

just a thought, is it possible the channel owner is "scrambling" the transmission.
My local police dept does this when talking about "sensitive" info

315. FOR SALE: bearcat bc3000xlt 400 ch. handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 02:11:20 UTC
From: bobar8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030308211120.17147.00000049@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 35331

FOR SALE:
uniden bearcat bc3000 xlt 400 channel 25-1300 mhz.
in "mint" condition with box and instructions
(2) batteries one ni-cd and ni-mh(NEW)
original antenna
ac adapter
will also include a professional bee leather case in mint cond.
with d-stud swivel belt clip (like the ones the police use)
this case was made by bee electronics for the bc3000xlt.

$180.00 including usps parcel post shipping

priority shipping extra $3.00
IM POSTING THIS FOR MY FRIEND WITH NO EMAIL
PLEASE CALL ALAN LILIEN
IN S. FLORIDA
(561)495-5706
THANKS

316. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 02:23:09 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v6l9c6oapv3rf7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35332

If you do not mind paying outrageous prices.

If you want a fair deal, may I suggest Bandercom.

A recent purchase that radioworld quoted at over $400.00 arrived delivered
to my door for $275.00. From Finland.

"GlobalCabl" <globalcabl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030308104855.11375.00000076@mb-cj.aol.com...
> I constantly buy unblocked scanners from Radioworld in Canada with no
problems
> awesome company

317. Re: Pro-95 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 02:26:15 UTC
From: core_dump <corenospamdump@corenodumpspamcentral.org>
Message-ID: <Xns9338DA183E15Acoredumpcoredumpcent@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 35333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in
news:v6hntvn1h8gs7d@corp.supernews.com:

> They all do it if you are located somewhat near an FM radio station.
>
>

The closest FM radio station is located in a town 16 miles away.

I never really got into CB, I just went straight from scanner monitoring to ham radio. Could this be caused by illegal CB activity from nearby? There are CB users within walking distance from my house.

Any other ideas?

318. Yaesu/Vertex FTH-2070 Review?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 02:41:54 UTC
From: cycleguy56@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b5820319.0303081841.7fd12cb9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner

Would anyone care to post his/her opinions on the performance of this radio in congested urban RF envionments? How does it perform? When taken to the ham bands, how does it do? Sensetivity? Will most examples go into the ham bands?

Any and all opinions welcome. Thanks

Dennis

319. Re: Yaesu/Vertex FTH-2070 Review?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 03:28:41 UTC
From: "Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com>
Message-ID: <v8cl6vs02o14g3m5uluj9olhs4a8kgqve7@4ax.com>
Source record: 35335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner

I've been using one of these for the past six months for Volunteer Fire and EMS work. In fact, I have it listed for sale/trade on some of the News Groups.

The following page gives a lot of information on this radio:
http://mmi-comm.tripod.com/fth2070.html

The one I have was programmed in the ham bands when I bought it and it seemed to a good job. I could hit just about every repeater, both 2 meter and 440, that it was programmed for.

It is now programmed on our VHF fire and EMS channels as well as the UHF Med channels. I use the radio just north of Louisville, KY and have had no problems with operation in a congested RF environment.

My negative comments on this radio are it's size and weight. With the high capacity battery, this thing is a pain to carry around for 8 to 12 hours. However, for Public Safety use, it's built like a tank and provides dual band capability. Much better than trying to carry two radios.

73 de Jim, W8EMS/9

On 8 Mar 2003 18:41:54 -0800, cycleguy56@yahoo.com (Dennis) wrote:

>Would anyone care to post his/her opinions on the performance of this
>radio in congested urban RF envionments? How does it perform? When
>taken to the ham bands, how does it do? Sensetivity? Will most
>examples go into the ham bands?
>
> Any and all opinions welcome. Thanks
>
> Dennis

320. Re: Pro 2006 and a computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 03:46:27 UTC
From: hv <hv@home>
Message-ID: <b4edel$i0q$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35336

Memory serves you wrong.
J Rob <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
news:20030306113847.04161.00000012@mb-mr.aol.com...
> >Aprox $150 Then try Probe or Scanstar for software another 80-140$ or so<
>
> Seems like a bundle to invest in a radio that you can't even purchase key
parts
> for any more. I purchased one of the last 06's made and if memory serves
me
> right it was in 90 or 91. RM~~
>
>

321. Re: Pro-95 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 04:22:43 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v6lgcdepigq4eb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have two of them and they both do it. Never gave it a lot of thought, I have an FM radio station up the street that desenses certain frequencies and off handedly attributed it to that.

Now that my curiosity is aroused I will pursue it further and let you know what I find out.

"core_dump" <corenospamdump@corenodumpspamcentral.org> wrote in message news:Xns9338DA183E15Acoredumpcoredumpcent@216.168.3.44...
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in
> news:v6hntvn1h8gs7d@corp.supernews.com:
>
> > They all do it if you are located somewhat near an FM radio station.
> >
> >
>
> The closest FM radio station is located in a town 16 miles away.
>
> I never really got into CB, I just went straight from scanner monitoring to
> ham radio. Could this be caused by illegal CB activity from nearby? There
> are CB users within walking distance from my house.
>
> Any other ideas?

322. Re: Need manual for Regency M400 Touch Scanner. Also, power problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 05:47:01 UTC
From: ad1958 <ad1958@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <v6lla6l5f8irde@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35338

I have that scanner and a manual. Will need your address to mail a fax copy.

323. Luggage check

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 08:09:39 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <7FCaa.20026$3D1.2140@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 35339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I had just passed through luggage check. That always makes me nervous, so I sat down in the smoking area and struck a match on my shoe.
Actually, I had to strike the match 4 times before I got it lit. When I stood up, a big white cloud of my diet supplement powder began emanating from my pants.
A guy in a uniform was looking at me down the barrel a vacuum cleaner tube, and he told me not to move. I don't want no stinking janitor to vacuum me so I pushed it aside.
I heard this loud buzzing alarm go off so I ran to the nearest gate to see what was going on. People were leaving the area quickly, so I went onto the plane to help those in need.
I yelled at the passengers and crew not to panic, be quiet and everything will be fine. I told them that it would probably be a good idea if we backed the plane away from the terminal just to be safe. They all agreed.
As the plane began to move down the runway, I noticed some helicopters right above the plane. I told the pilot to get this damn plane out of the way so the helicopters would have room to land.
To my surprise, the plane actually took off, and a passenger opened the door and two people jumped right out onto the runway. I couldn't believe it. I told the passengers that I hope for their sake no one else tries a stunt like that.

Once in the air we saw three military jets flying beside us. One of the pilots pulled out a bullhorn and was shouting Pull Over Emilio. He kept pointing toward the ground. I think it was Aloha Airlines and they were playing one of their infamous practical jokes.

Next thing I knew I the plane took a wild turn and the passengers jumped on top of each other. I found myself near the bottom of the pile. Since I had injured my leg, many of the passengers held me down so I would not be bounced around in the turbulence. We landed, and as we exited the plane there were hundreds of cameras and microphones and reporters on the tarmac. I felt like the Beatles. Everyone was yelling out hero, hero. Personally, I did not feel much like a hero. I'm just an ordinary guy and I just tried to do, well... what was right. I honestly just kept thinking about how that stewardess was going to be my future wife and how she and the kids would have to get along without me if we perished. That gave me strength. I waved to the crowd with my hands tied to the stretcher. There is a guard outside my door keeping the press away right now.

324. New Radio shack scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 14:20:02 UTC
From: gene <fearnotrout@att.net>
Message-ID: <BA90B6E0.2DB%fearnotrout@att.net>
Source record: 35340

Does it bother anybody that the new truncking scanners from radio shack are from China. I like made in Japan better. Am I alone in my thinking?

325. New kind of motorola trunking? LTR? continuous carrier.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 15:19:40 UTC
From: Imyselfandme <Opinionated@spam.com>
Message-ID: <b6mm6v4eqi3856hrljjsqv6oaacb58mo5u@4ax.com>
Source record: 35341

Reported by chris451.
Location is Cedar Rapids, Iowa but I have heard systems like this in southern and centeral MN.
A type 1/2 hybrid trunk 800 system had one control channel and 4 talk channels. Now all 5 channels in the system are keyed up all the time with subaudio control and a 900 Hz tone in the interval:
9.2 secs off 0.3 secs on
DPL or subaudio control is present all the time.
When someone uses the system the voice comes up on one of the channels.
Another county away, there is another set of 3 rather than 5 channels with the same thing on it.
And in the north counties of ia there are just single freqs with the DPL only no tone.
The user is alliant energy and a subsidary interstate power and light co, who had a patchwork of different motorola 800 sites scattered all over the place to allow them to cover the huge areas they must maintain. IF you want the audio sample email me at hotmail.
I dont think the bc250 can track this. And the continuous carriers with tones get old after awhile, maybe its their way of keeping nextel off their freqs.
Nextel should move to 700 meg instead of trying to bury 800 meg.

326. Re: ICOM ICR8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 15:28:12 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <not_for_mail@fake789.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.03.09.15.28.10.663615@fake789.invalid>
Source record: 35342
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You can use my free tk8500 software for the IC-R8500.
See Monitoring Times (April 2002) and the British SWL Magazine
(November 2002). tk8500 permits scanning multiple channel banks.

You can read about tk8500 and download it from http://parnass.com

On Sun, 09 Mar 2003 13:12:26 +0000, Henry wrote:
> I guys,
> i'm looking for a ICR8500 software, English or Italian version. Can I
> download it ? Where?

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User http://parnass.com

327. Re: Luggage check

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 15:32:22 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <a8Jaa.10173$gF3.1025171@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 35343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

When you get better, run for president. You're a shoe-in!

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply.
DX-392, 398, 440, YB400PE, FR-200,
Ray Jefferson 660ADF, R75, R2, VR-500,
SRIII, Halli S-38, 38e, Nc 60 special, H500, and too many Rat
Shack scanners to mention...

Who has a problem????
"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:7FCaa.20026$3D1.2140@sccrnsc01...
> I had just passed through luggage check. That always makes me nervous, so
I
> sat down in the smoking area and struck a match on my shoe.
> Actually, I had to strike the match 4 times before I got it lit. When I
> stood up, a big white cloud of my diet supplement powder began emanating
> from my pants.
> A guy in a uniform was looking at me down the barrel a vacuum cleaner
tube,
> and he told me not to move. I don't want no stinking janitor to vacuum me
so
> I pushed it aside.
> I heard this loud buzzing alarm go off so I ran to the nearest gate to see
> what was going on. People were leaving the area quickly, so I went onto
the
> plane to help those in need.
> I yelled at the passengers and crew not to panic, be quiet and everything
> will be fine. I told them that it would probably be a good idea if we
backed
> the plane away from the terminal just to be safe. They all agreed.
> As the plane began to move down the runway, I noticed some helicopters
right
> above the plane. I told the pilot to get this damn plane out of the way so
> the helicopters would have room to land.
> To my surprise, the plane actually took off, and a passenger opened the
door
> and two people jumped right out onto the runway. I couldn't believe it. I
> told the passengers that I hope for their sake no one else tries a stunt
> like that.
>
> Once in the air we saw three military jets flying beside us. One of the
> pilots pulled out a bullhorn and was shouting Pull Over Emilio. He kept
> pointing toward the ground. I think it was Aloha Airlines and they were

> playing one of their infamous practical jokes.
>
> Next thing I knew I the plane took a wild turn and the passengers jumped
on
> top of each other. I found myself near the bottom of the pile. Since I
had
> injured my leg, many of the passengers held me down so I would not be
> bounced around in the turbulence. We landed, and as we exited the plane
> there were hundreds of cameras and microphones and reporters on the
tarmac.
> I felt like the Beatles. Everyone was yelling out hero, hero. Personally,
I
> did not feel much like a hero. I'm just an ordinary guy and I just tried
to
> do, well... what was right. I honestly just kept thinking about how that
> stewardess was going to be my future wife and how she and the kids would
> have to get along without me if we perished. That gave me strength. I
waved
> to the crowd with my hands tied to the stretcher. There is a guard outside
> my door keeping the press away right now.
>
>
>

328. Re: BEST AlphaNumeric scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 15:39:33 UTC
From: Goose <Bob@no.com>
Message-ID: <r0om6vgjnr1kfaf3snq8et05klrld08dp3@4ax.com>
Source record: 35344

Where can you bet one of these scanners?

On Sat, 22 Feb 2003 13:31:38 -0500, "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr"
<w4jle@charter.net> wrote:

>Did you see some idiot on E-bay paid $3514.00 for one, he could have bought
>it new for $3513.00
>
>"Mike Burch" <mburch46@mchsi.com> wrote in message
>news:3E57B6CB.B8E634CA@mchsi.com...
>> >
>> > I've ordered the new Acme Super-Duel.
>> >
>> > 2 scanners in one case.
>> > They realize it should be called 'dual',
>> > but they say that it sounds like 2 audio
>> > streams fighting with each other.
>> >
>> > VERY high-tech!
>> >
>> > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>> >
>> > No way!! How much did you pay for yours? I bet I got ripped off.
>>
>

329. Re: New Radio shack scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 15:50:30 UTC
From: bobar8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030309105030.17766.00000157@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 35345

doesnt matter to me,
as long as their not made in north korea
:)

330. Re: New Radio shack scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 16:02:48 UTC
From: Frank <nojvttzlan@awnrxzibztbbnmnb.com>
Message-ID: <01c2e655$54312230$0125250a@mhqiayapojnfamoe>
Source record: 35346

gene <BA90B6E0.2DB%fearnotrout@att.net>...

^ Am I alone in my thinking?

Yes.

Frank

331. FS -- AOR 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 16:36:14 UTC
From: XXX <jopp2@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <24Kaa.10684$wJ1.1020901@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 35247

Mint AOR 8200. $400. Comes with all standard accessories and manual. sorry, box long gone.

332. Q: Why digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 16:40:10 UTC
From: "Mike T." <mbtins_at_yahoo_dot_com@no.spam.com>
Message-ID: <udrm6v0eboil495gejlmlqj97mqdqtd9se@4ax.com>
Source record: 35248

Why are some public agencies moving to digital? You can encrypt analog, so that must not be it. Is the FCC pushing this to get bandwidth back to auction off like they want to do with analog TV frequencies? Voice quality on digital seems inferior (much like digital cell phones, but that's another story). And there is a huge investment in time and money to get a digital system operational to replace a perfectly fine analog system.

So, what gives? Why are agencies going this route? Are they being sold a bill of goods by communications companies, or what?

Mike T

333. Re: FS -- AOR 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 16:44:02 UTC
From: XXX <jopp2@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mbKaa.10699$wJ1.1021489@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 35249

btw, this IS an unblocked unit!!!!!
"XXX" <jopp2@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:24Kaa.10684$wJ1.1020901@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Mint AOR 8200. $400. Comes with all standard accessories and manual.
sorry,
> box long gone.
>
>

334. Re: Pro-95 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 17:48:50 UTC
From: core_dump <corenospamdump@corenodumpspamcentral.org>
Message-ID: <Xns9339825F713A9coredumpcoredumpcent@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 35251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in
news:v6lgcdepigq4eb@corp.supernews.com:

> I have two of them and they both do it. Never gave it a lot of
> thought, I have an FM radio station up the street that desenses
> certain frequencies and off handedly attributed it to that.
>
> Now that my curiosity is aroused I will pursue it further and let you
> know what I find out.
>

I turned on the scanner at 1:30 last night (you won't get anything there
then) and went into the CB search bank and had no problems. It seems
strange that it only does this in the preset CB search bank and not Tune
mode.

335. Uniden 785/250 question - ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 17:54:54 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E6B7FEE.9000508@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Kennedy Space center has been slowly installing a 400 MHz ASTRO Smart Zone system. My question is this, will the new Uniden's with the Digital card be able to receive a 400 MHz Astro SmartZone system?

Thanks
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

336. Re: New Radio shack scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 18:37:31 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <LRLaa.8645$3g.1776301@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35250

China does fund a few terrorist though. I still don't care where it is from.

===Matt===

"BobAR8" <bobar8@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030309105030.17766.00000157@mb-fe.aol.com...
> doesnt matter to me,
> as long as their not made in north korea
> :)

337. Kenwood TH-F6A Opinions/experiance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 18:57:00 UTC
From: erikd65@attbi.com
Message-ID: <1e6a2d2f.0303091056.69d854ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35253

I am considering buying one of these rigs. Anyone have any experiance
with these radios? Is it worth buying? Thanks for any comments!

338. Re: Kenwood TH-F6A Opinions/experiance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 19:08:20 UTC
From: Dick <rhughes33@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <j54n6vgvrcqs797656vt239s617q44the3@4ax.com>
Source record: 35254

It's a wonderful little radio. A little weak in broadcast band
performance, but that's probably not what you want it for anyway.

Dick - W6CCD

On 9 Mar 2003 10:57:00 -0800, erikd65@attbi.com (DTE) wrote:

>I am considering buying one of these rigs. Anyone have any experiance
>with these radios? Is it worth buying? Thanks for any comments!

339. Re: The 'Bible' of Scanner Books?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 19:44:16 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5704-3E6B9990-589@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 35257

Funny you say that, when Bill Cheek at the time called it ''no nonsense'', Bob Grove called it ''authorative'', and Norm Schrein, past president of the Bearcat radio club called it, at the time ''absolutely the best''. Rave reviews in the book, at the time included quotes the Radio Communications Monitoring Association, 'Nuts and Volts', Uniden, Paladin Press, Northeast Scanning News, Popular Communications, etc. So, who are YOU??

340. increasing volume from my hand scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 20:08:35 UTC
From: wrfortm@aol.com
Message-ID: <9f398558.0303090919.222e8ef1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I am using a Radio Shack trunktracker hand held scanner. I am a little hard of hearing and would like to make the output a little louder. I have attached a small speaker to the output from the earphone outlet on the scanner and that helps some, but I would still like it a little louder. Is there some kind of small amplifier/preamplifier that I could buy and put in between the scanner and the speaker or what would you suggest? Thank you very much for your help,
wrfortm@aol.com

341. Garmin GPS II Plus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 20:23:26 UTC
From: Daniel Kear <dkear077@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2pNaa.32236$jP2.4812769@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 35258

I have this GPS unit for sale, comes with car adaptor, case, and manual.
Like new $130. shipped anywhere in the cont u.s. GPS has horizonal and
vertical display. You can get full specs on garmins web site.

Just lost my job and need the extra cash
I'll eccept money order, or certified check made out to myself.
Thnx
Dan

342. BC/uniden 855xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 20:34:37 UTC
From: Daniel Kear <dkear077@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xzNaa.32239$jP2.4825407@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 35259

I have a 50 channel table top scanner for sale, in good cond, excellent
audio and freq coverage. $65. shipped anywhere in cont.U.S.
I'll eccept cashiers check or money order, personal check will delay
shipping a few weeks
Thnx
Dan

343. Re: increasing volume from my hand scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 20:38:04 UTC
From: GS <nospam@suelze.de>
Message-ID: <pENaa.3866$EA6.811422@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 35260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Wesley Fortman wrote:
> I am using a Radio Shack trunktracker hand held scanner. I am a little
> hard of hearing and would like to make the output a little louder. I
> have attached a small speaker to the output from the earphone outlet
> on the scanner and that helps some, but I would still like it a little
> louder. Is there some kind of small amplifier/preamplifier that I
> could buy and put in between the scanner and the speaker or what would
> you suggest? Thank you very much for your help,
> wrfortm@aol.com

Hi,

I have used an amplified PC-Speaker. But you get also amplified speaker
that only work in the frequency of human voice. You should get it in any
good electronic shop. I got mine in Germany from a company called
"Conrad". You may want to look there as well (but I don't know if they
have an english website as well).

Cheers,
Goetz

(Sorry for the x-posting)

--
Due to the recent cutbacks in the organisation, the light at the end of
the tunnel, has been shut down until further notice.

344. Re: increasing volume from my hand scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 20:54:34 UTC
From: DougSlug <w2dug@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <eSNaa.78391$gf7.17223166@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 35261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Any amplified speaker of the type used on computers would work well for this. Usually these are stereo (the first speaker has the amplifier, the other is just a passive speaker that plugs into the first speaker), but you would only need the one with the power cord that the audio plugs into. Just run the audio cable from the headphone jack on the scanner into the speaker...this cable is almost always provided with the speaker. There are some battery-operated amplified speakers available as well. Just go to Radio Shack and ask for "portable amplified speakers" or "amplified speakers for a computer". You will have no problem at all finding these as they are quite common. Look for ones with larger speakers and at least 1 or 2 watts output power for best results.

- Doug

"Wesley Fortman" <wrfortm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9f398558.0303090919.222e8ef1@posting.google.com...
> I am using a Radio Shack trunktracker hand held scanner. I am a little
> hard of hearing and would like to make the output a little louder. I
> have attached a small speaker to the output from the earphone outlet
> on the scanner and that helps some, but I would still like it a little
> louder. Is there some kind of small amplifier/preamplifier that I
> could buy and put in between the scanner and the speaker or what would
> you suggest? Thank you very much for your help,
> wrfortm@aol.com

345. FT-530 Help???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 21:13:30 UTC
From: Radio <gadgetgru@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3E6BAE7A.3030003@netscape.net>
Source record: 35262

Hi,
Can anyone tell me how to show the voltage on the display for my FT530?...
Thanks...please respond to below address
gadgetgru@netscape.net

346. Does anyone know what Frequencies T/W Cable uses for their Digital cable, TIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 21:29:40 UTC
From: "A Big"@No.No
Message-ID: <v6nck61pgg5if9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35264

```
Does anyone know what Frequencies T/W Cable uses for their Digital
cable, TIA
```

347. Garmin Streetpilot GPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 21:33:33 UTC
From: Daniel Kear <dkear077@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NqOaa.32255$jP2.4892066@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 35263

I have a Garmin streetpilot and copy of manual forsale. unit is in good shape, no scratches, or scuffs, I'll also include a 8 meg memory card with your location uploaded so you'll see detailed streets, rivers, lakes, restaurants, hotels in your area, It amazes me how accurate they are. I believe the 8meg memory card covers about 70mi radius, don't worry though, once you exceed that area in the card the base map still shows the major interstates and displays up coming intersections and roads I'm asking $250. shipped anywhere in cont U.S.
Money order only, lost my job Friday and need the cash
Thnx
Dan

348. Re: Q: Why digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 21:46:19 UTC
From: "Mike T." <mbtins_at_yahoo_dot_com@no.spam.com>
Message-ID: <gddn6v405sh2b5h8ns2il5s54u1k9c6d1r@4ax.com>
Source record: 35265

Interesting. I know where I live (Indiana) the police set up a new
wiz bang digital system that lasted about a week before they reverted
to their old analog one. They were getting dropped all the time.
Eventually, the communications company determined that they needed a
new antenna in a different location to cover some gaps in the area.
They are getting ready to switch back over to it in a few weeks to see
if it's better now or not. I will be curious to see the results.

Talk to you later...

Mike t

On Sun, 09 Mar 2003 16:33:32 -0500, me@the.net wrote:

>Primary reason:
>A digital system when properly set up can handle 4 or 5 times the
>amount of radio traffic of an analog system using the same number of
>radio channels.
>
>Police agencies generally like the digital systems for other reasons-
>it's not easily listened to without several thousands of dollars worth
>of equipment, it easily supports data terminals in police vehicles
>which cuts down on the dispatch staff and speeds up everything from
>traffic records checks to getting stolen vehicle info to the patrol
>units, it identifies a unit without any extra chatter, it supports
>automatic position reporting of the vehicle and more.
>
>On Sun, 09 Mar 2003 11:40:10 -0500, Mike T.
><mbtins_at_yahoo_dot_com@no.spam.com> wrote:
>
>>Why are some public agencies moving to digital? You can encrypt
>>analog, so that must not be it. Is the FCC pushing this to get
>>bandwidth back to auction off like they want to do with analog TV
>>frequencies? Voice quality on digital seems inferior (much like
>>digital cell phones, but that's another story). And there is a huge
>>investment in time and money to get a digital system operational to
>>replace a perfectly fine analog system.
>>
>>So, what gives? Why are agencies going this route? Are they being
>>sold a bill of goods by communications companies, or what?
>>
>>Mike T
>

349. Re: Which CTCSS scanner to buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 22:28:58 UTC
From: Dave Staples <dasflies1@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bBKdnYcQ1dyyXfajXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 35266

if you dont need trunking try the Uniden SC200

dave

"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:b4g5hpfir1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Looking for recommendations for a CTCSS (DCS would be nice too) capable
> handheld...preferably under US$300.00.
>
>

350. Which CTCSS scanner to buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 22:37:09 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b4g5hpfir1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35255

Looking for recommendations for a CTCSS (DCS would be nice too) capable handheld...preferably under US$300.00.

351. Re: static on scanner frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 23:57:21 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <b4gk8v$8nf$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 35267

The specific frequencies where this is happening are:

156.800
157.100
157.175

Those frequencies are the marine band frequencies, and the marine band
doesn't have scrambling or encryption capabilites.
<goophy@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8503-3E6A6739-231@storefull-2134.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> just a thought, is it possible the channel owner is "scrambling" the
> transmission.
> My local police dept does this when talking about "sensitive" info
>

352. Re: Luggage check

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-09 23:59:40 UTC
From: Dthenewf <Darryl@guessmyemail.ca>
Message-ID: <G0Raa.522$4q6.131925@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yeah and then he woke up.....

"Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:a8Jaa.10173$gF3.1025171@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> When you get better, run for president. You're a shoe-in!
>
> --
> Remove "beforeflight" to reply.
> DX-392, 398, 440, YB400PE, FR-200,
> Ray Jefferson 660ADF, R75, R2, VR-500,
> SRIII, Halli S-38, 38e, Nc 60 special, H500, and too many Rat
> Shack scanners to mention...
>
> Who has a problem????
> "Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:7FCaa.20026$3D1.2140@sccrnsc01...
> > I had just passed through luggage check. That always makes me nervous,
so
> I
> > sat down in the smoking area and struck a match on my shoe.
> > Actually, I had to strike the match 4 times before I got it lit. When I
> > stood up, a big white cloud of my diet supplement powder began emanating
> > from my pants.
> > A guy in a uniform was looking at me down the barrel a vacuum cleaner
> tube,
> > and he told me not to move. I don't want no stinking janitor to vacuum
me
> so
> > I pushed it aside.
> > I heard this loud buzzing alarm go off so I ran to the nearest gate to
see
> > what was going on. People were leaving the area quickly, so I went onto
> the
> > plane to help those in need.
> > I yelled at the passengers and crew not to panic, be quiet and
everything
> > will be fine. I told them that it would probably be a good idea if we
> backed
> > the plane away from the terminal just to be safe. They all agreed.
> > As the plane began to move down the runway, I noticed some helicopters
> right
> > above the plane. I told the pilot to get this damn plane out of the way
so

> > the helicopters would have room to land.
> > To my surprise, the plane actually took off, and a passenger opened the
> door
> > and two people jumped right out onto the runway. I couldn't believe it.
I
> > told the passengers that I hope for their sake no one else tries a stunt
> > like that.
> >
> > Once in the air we saw three military jets flying beside us. One of the
> > pilots pulled out a bullhorn and was shouting Pull Over Emilio. He kept
> > pointing toward the ground. I think it was Aloha Airlines and they were
> > playing one of their infamous practical jokes.
> >
> > Next thing I knew I the plane took a wild turn and the passengers jumped
> on
> > top of each other. I found myself near the bottom of the pile. Since I
> had
> > injured my leg, many of the passengers held me down so I would not be
> > bounced around in the turbulence. We landed, and as we exited the plane
> > there were hundreds of cameras and microphones and reporters on the
> tarmac.
> > I felt like the Beatles. Everyone was yelling out hero, hero.
Personally,
> I
> > did not feel much like a hero. I'm just an ordinary guy and I just
tried
> to
> > do, well... what was right. I honestly just kept thinking about how that
> > stewardess was going to be my future wife and how she and the kids would
> > have to get along without me if we perished. That gave me strength. I
> waved
> > to the crowd with my hands tied to the stretcher. There is a guard
outside
> > my door keeping the press away right now.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

353. live tampa scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 00:18:48 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IRQaa.5178$qB5.4195@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 35268

Tune into my live fire and ems scanner Tampa Florida with realtime freq and
talkgroup video online tonight until 11pm
Tim
kg4jjs
Webmaster of the Tampa Bay Radio Page
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm

354. Re: MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 00:37:38 UTC
From: Frank <xrkgrfqxvi@xpbhskelolddzqvz.com>
Message-ID: <01c2e69d$3c75c400$0125250a@xpbhskelolddzqvz>
Source record: 35271

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <<v6ebvclhlgcdf0@corp.supernews.com>...

^ I have however been unable to locate any software on the web ...

The program is MDTMON[.EXE|.ZIP]. It's available somewhere; I downloaded it about two weeks ago. I'd mail you a copy but I no longer have the archive. When I download an archive I typically install the software then delete the archive, so all I have remaining is the installed programs, drivers, and etceteras.

If you're trying to read transmissions to EDACS terminals then this won't do it. From what I've been able to glean so far it appears that EDACS uses a Windows NT network and the remote Mobile Data Computer (MDC) is a node on the net. The radio serves as the network interface. These transmissions can still be decoded and read but it doesn't appear that anyone's done it yet.

Frank

355. Re: MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 00:37:41 UTC
From: Frank <xrkgrfqxvi@xpbhskelolddzqvz.com>
Message-ID: <01c2e69d$41de3210$0125250a@xpbhskelolddzqvz>
Source record: 35270

J Rob <20030306112544.04161.00000011@mb-mr.aol.com>...

^ That software was removed from the web ...

Are you a cop? If so you're a bad cop. You're deceitful and dishonest. This is why we need to monitor our police, to protect ourselves from cops like you. We can't have a secret police.

Regarding the interception of data transmissions there is plenty of precedence to justify it. People have been intercepting weather facsimile (FAX) transmissions for many years. Radio teletype transmissions can be and are intercepted and decoded. Every Morse code transmission can be intercepted and mentally decoded legally by anyone who wishes to. You can legally intercept everything the US military does, though much of it is encrypted and will be useless.

There are times when a cop needs to transmit confidential information and this should be permitted, but not everything a cop says on the radio should be confidential. If you need to send confidential information, encrypt it. The US military has been doing this for almost 100 years. But "encoding" is not "encrypting". Morse code is a form of encoding.

Frank

356. Re: MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 00:39:13 UTC
From: Frank <xrkgrfqxvi@xpbhskelolddzqvz.com>
Message-ID: <01c2e69d$767617e0$0125250a@xpbhskelolddzqvz>
Source record: 35272

Frank <01c2e569$704c4a90$0125250a@elidonhndvwoxkme>...

^ Regarding the interception of data transmissions there is plenty of
^ precedence to justify it.

Oops: "... there are plenty of precedents ..."

Frank

357. Re: Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 01:01:38 UTC
From: Scotty <none@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <0uRaa.1500$Mz.95931@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35273
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

radio wrote:

> Hi, I know how to utilize the frequencies in 8xx.xxxx below. But
> can someone tell me what about the frequencies without the
> decimal places? How do I use them? If they are in Khz how can 32
> be possible? Please help. Thanks.
>
>
>
> Frequencies:
> 856.0625 856.1875 856.5625 856.8125
> 856.9375
> 857.0625 857.1875 857.5625 857.6875
> 857.8125
> 857.9375 858.0625 858.1875 858.3125
> 858.5625
> 858.8125 859.3125 859.5625 859.8125
> 860.3125 860.5625
>
> Fire Operations:
> Fire Airport Fire
> 5408
>
> Police Operations:
> Police Airport Police 32
> Police Airport Police
> 400
> Police Airport Police
> 416
> Police Airport Police
> 480
> Police Airport Police
> 688
> Police Airport Police (Dispatch)
> 528
> Police Airport Police & Customs
> 176
> Police Airport Police & Customs
> 752
>
> Other Operations:
> Freight Airport Freight Services
> 7248

> Parking Airport Parking enforcement
> 464

You need a trunking scanner for this. The 800mhz freqs are entered
into the bank and the scanner set for trunk tracking. The other
numbers are what you'll see on your screen and represent the
individual 'talk groups' Read up on trunking here

http://www.valcoelectronics.com/Tips/trunk.htm

Good luck
--
, ,
("\''/").___..--''"`-._
`9_ 9 ) `-. ( ).`-.__.`)
(_Y_.)' ._) `._ `. ``-..-'
_..`--'_..-_/ /--'_.' .'
(il).-'' ((i).' ((!.-' meow...

bye for now :^)
Scotty

358. Re: Kenwood TH-F6A Opinions/experiance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 01:14:35 UTC
From: KF6DGN <davidtork@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <%FRaa.136252$XB3.76765@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35274

I seem to pick up the MW (AM stations) pretty good. It's the TV audio
that's sucks with my F6. Otherwise I love it!!!!!!!!!

David
KF^DGN
"Dick" <rhughes33@cableone.net> wrote in message
news:j54n6vgvrcqs797656vt239s617q44the3@4ax.com...
> It's a wonderful little radio. A little weak in broadcast band
> performance, but that's probably not what you want it for anyway.
>
> Dick - W6CCD
>
> On 9 Mar 2003 10:57:00 -0800, erikd65@attbi.com (DTE) wrote:
>
> >I am considering buying one of these rigs. Anyone have any experiance
> >with these radios? Is it worth buying? Thanks for any comments!
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.459 / Virus Database: 258 - Release Date: 02/25/2003

359. Re: MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 01:17:51 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20030309201751.21422.00000096@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 35275

>Are you a cop? If so you're a bad cop. You're deceitful and dishonest. This
>is why we need to monitor our police, to protect ourselves from cops like
>you. We can't have a secret police.

What have you been smoking? Do you also see little green people with horns??

Rob Mills ~~~

360. Re: AOR SDU-5000 or SDU-5500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 02:16:28 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <satn6vkrrecd0r33jbklj08oe9429ep6t1@4ax.com>
Source record: 35277

Thanks for pointing that out.

361. Uniden 780 XLT comparisons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 02:16:44 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <gASaa.10084$1v.699060@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35276

How does the Uniden 780 XLT compare with other professional receivers (AOR-3000A, Icom R-7000, R-7100, etc) as far as sensitivity, selectivity, intermod rejection? Anyone made a comparison?

Frank

362. Re: The 'Bible' of Scanner Books?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 02:20:51 UTC
From: Insight <Insight@inmymind.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9339CEFBB14AFrtc@216.166.71.235>
Source record: 35278

As I was searching the cosmos for some signss of intelligent life, I heard t1000@webtv.net (Vic Martinez) declare in news:5704-3E6B9990-589@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net:

> Funny you say that, when Bill Cheek at the time called it ''no
> nonsense'', Bob Grove called it ''authorative'', and Norm Schrein, past
> president of the Bearcat radio club called it, at the time ''absolutely
> the best''. Rave reviews in the book, at the time included quotes the
> Radio Communications Monitoring Association, 'Nuts and Volts', Uniden,
> Paladin Press, Northeast Scanning News, Popular Communications, etc. So,
> who are YOU??
>

Don't ask for opinions if you don't want them, Troll.

Geez!!

insight

--
"Well, I wasn't going to let the thing chomp us," she said. "What's the use of going on a quest if you just get eaten?"

Sorceress Iris

Geis Of The Gargoyle
by Piers Anthony

363. Re: Which CTCSS scanner to buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 02:25:25 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <hltn6vs62g4kocljud2cjcm55dqat8cp38@4ax.com>
Source record: 35279

For the best signalling decoding capabilities:

Handheld: Rat Shack Pro-92

Mobile/stand alone base: BC780XLT

Base computer controlled: Optoelectronics Optocom

364. Re: Kenwood TH-F6A Opinions/experiance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 03:27:01 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spectrumhog@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9339C6160319AFrog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 35280

> I am considering buying one of these rigs. Anyone have any
> experiance with these radios? Is it worth buying? Thanks for any
> comments!

I really like mine. I had a Yaesu VX-5 before and prefer the
Kenwood. I wanted the SSB receive capability but really find the
main advantage to be the easy to use menu/command system. It is
much much more intuitive and easier to use than the Yaesu's.

Ed WB6SAT

365. Uniden 785 Drowning in Intermod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 03:51:33 UTC
From: Anonymous@anonymous.net
Message-ID: <v6o32s66l71l65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35281

I just purchased a 785D by Uniden. Excellent radio, even the programming (the level that I need to do, attenuation, CTCSS, etc, I don't need the trunk tracking yet) is fairly straightforward once you get the hang of it.

Now to the Intermod. I have the Alinco DJ-X10T, the Yaesu VR-500, the Yaesu VX-5R. All of these radios suffer intermod, apparently I live in radio alley.

The methods that have proven beneficial in overcoming this with the other radios are as follows. The Yaesu VR-500, use attenuation and squelch max. The Yaesu VX-5R, use CTCSS, and bought a miniature antenna to attempt to attenuate signal, no attenuation is offered on this radio. The Alinco DJ-X10T, Attenuation as needed, Squelch max.

In order for me to use the 785D, I need to attenuate as needed, and I use CTCSS or max squelch as needed. I get Intermod from the 152 MHz Pager Systems, and interference from a local TV station, either VHF channel 13 or UHF channel 16. Unfortunately they are PBS and co-owned. They often simultaneously play the same show. I need to listen to the interference and watch the channels to discover which is the offender. The police frequencies I listen to are in the 453 to 458 MHz range.

I had hoped for better with the 785D, but my other radios are also blitzed by the intermod, so I'm not totally without hope yet. I took the Yaesu VX-5R and used the VFO setting, listening to one police frequency (that the 785D is having more problems with), and had the squelch set low. All went well for a while, then BLAM, something completely obliterated the VX-5R reception, lasting only a second or two. Perhaps a complex Intermod, since the 152 MHz pager signals are just about endless during the day, but the problem as described in this paragraph occurs only about once every 5 minutes. There are transmission towers (a pair of them) probably within a mile by air, and a cell tower within a quarter mile.

No matter how much I try, the 785 Intermod either blitzes the tail end of transmissions ended by the loss of the CTCSS tone, or can be heard as interference as the transmission is in progress.

I can actually listen to many of the police signals that I monitor without any antenna. In an attempt to further attenuate (beyond the abilities of the 785 Attenuation circuits) the incoming signal (over the telescoping antenna supplied by Uniden), was to purchase the following Antenna Stub from Radio Shack. All is well using this, I can hear all of the channels that I routinely monitor, though I still need to attenuate some and run the squelch high.
http://www.radioshack.com/searchsku.asp?find=20-288

Anyone with similar experiences? I intend on buying the PAR filter for 152 MHz pager interference. Someone mentioned that custom filters are available from

PAR, where can these be bought (I need to notch the Channel 13 or 16
Television Interference).

The 785D is a very nice radio, I just hope to get a little more use out of it.
I wanted to use my outdoor antenna, but at this point I dare not.

Many thanks.

De Gustibus Non Est Disputandum: -There's no arguing about matters of taste.
Multum in parvo: - Much in little (small but significant)
Sine qua non: -Indispensible part
Non sequitur: -It does not follow
Cogito ergo sum: - I think therefore I am.
Nota bene: - Note well
Tabula rasa: - Clean slate

366. Re: Why digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 04:47:52 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <YNUaa.7624$Ty5.771795@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 35282

One big reason is that they are almost interference free. The average Joe can't just pickup a $100.00 ham HT and jam the police like on the old analogue system. The radio dispatch center also has more control over the radio and can shut down one radio if it gets misplaced or stolen. The radio sends data like the built-in GPS so when the officer gets into a foot chase he doesn't have to call out cross streets and locations it is sent automatically to a map at dispatch. I have also found that the audio quality is 100 times better then analogue. One point to note, GE, (the owner of the Erickson system) has dropped the digital system and is no longer producing it. This will leave many police systems in the lurch for compatible equipment.

"Mike T." <mbtins_at_yahoo_dot_com@no.spam.com> wrote in message news:udrm6v0eboil495gejlmlqj97mqdqtd9se@4ax.com...
> Why are some public agencies moving to digital? You can encrypt
> analog, so that must not be it. Is the FCC pushing this to get
> bandwidth back to auction off like they want to do with analog TV
> frequencies? Voice quality on digital seems inferior (much like
> digital cell phones, but that's another story). And there is a huge
> investment in time and money to get a digital system operational to
> replace a perfectly fine analog system.
>
> So, what gives? Why are agencies going this route? Are they being
> sold a bill of goods by communications companies, or what?
>
> Mike T
>

367. Re: Kenwood TH-F6A Opinions/experiance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 05:31:45 UTC
From: Dick <rhughes33@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <3j8o6v4b68no6l297c1uhqj9f4avd4mt9k@4ax.com>
Source record: 35283

It picks up BC stations, but can't hold a candle to my el-cheapo $10
AM/FM portable that is about the same size with an internal antenna
also. I mean there is absolutely no comparison between the two. I
love it anyway, warts and all.

Dick - W6CCD

On Mon, 10 Mar 2003 01:14:35 GMT, "KF6DGN" <davidtork@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>I seem to pick up the MW (AM stations) pretty good. It's the TV audio
>that's sucks with my F6. Otherwise I love it!!!!!!!!!
>
>David
>KF^DGN
>"Dick" <rhughes33@cableone.net> wrote in message
>news:j54n6vgvrcqs797656vt239s617q44the3@4ax.com...
>> It's a wonderful little radio. A little weak in broadcast band
>> performance, but that's probably not what you want it for anyway.
>>
>> Dick - W6CCD
>>
>> On 9 Mar 2003 10:57:00 -0800, erikd65@attbi.com (DTE) wrote:
>>
>> >I am considering buying one of these rigs. Anyone have any experiance
>> >with these radios? Is it worth buying? Thanks for any comments!
>>
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.459 / Virus Database: 258 - Release Date: 02/25/2003
>

368. Antenna for the office

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 09:08:08 UTC
From: A <reply.to@group>
Message-ID: <7J2dnRbAMY7gy_GjXTWc-g@speakeasy.net>
Source record: 35284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I want to purchase/build an antenna to use in my office scanner. I'm on the first floor of my building. I'd prefer something that Radio Shack carries or I can build myself, as my BC250D came without an antenna (it won't arrive for a while I'm betting). I mostly need 800 mhz trunking. (~860 mhz) Infact that alone would be enough for me. There is a real ham store, but I don't want to deal with the crummy Seattle traffic to get to HamMall or ABC Communications. If they have something that would be "perfect" for a reasonable price, then I'll go for it.

The only other things I am interested in (having these would be nice, but not a deal breaker):
150-158 mhz
~450 mhz (more important than 150)

Thompson

369. Re: MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 11:00:51 UTC
From: Frank <pbvxuewamh@znxamgobsqyctvpf.com>
Message-ID: <01c2e6f4$4b62a580$0125250a@znxamgobsqyctvpf>
Source record: 35285

J Rob <20030309201751.21422.00000096@mb-da.aol.com>...

^ >Are you a cop?
^ What have you been smoking? Do you also see little green people with
horns??

That doesn't work anymore Rob; people see right through the attempt. But I'll
settle with the fact that you're avoiding the question.

Frank

370. Re: Q: Why digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 12:05:22 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <AMmdnTcNw6Ud4vGjXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 35286

Bud.... I currently work for a police dept here in southeast Michigan. Were not on a digital system as of yet, (still prehistoric on VHF), but we've been using a single channel, analog 800 mhz MDT system. Its served our purpose up until now, but its time to upgrade. We need to consider going digital, in order to get the higher baud rate. That way, it will allow us to send bigger bulks of data (bad guy photos, field reports, etc). Can't do it with the current system.

Chris

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:d9on6v4qt89aksi2vrfblcvshclmper216@4ax.com...
> Scribbling with a stale candycane, me@the.net penned:
>
> >Primary reason:
> >A digital system when properly set up can handle 4 or 5 times the
> >amount of radio traffic of an analog system using the same number of
> >radio channels.
>
> Wrong. WHEN the full implementation of APCO25 is used,
> that will be true, but it SURE isn't now.
>
> >Police agencies generally like the digital systems for other reasons-
> >it's not easily listened to
>
> Wrong. AND false sense of security, if any.
> Digital is NOT sold as being any more secure than analog, unless it's encrypted.
>
> > it easily supports data terminals in police vehicles
>
> No agency I know is using MDTs on their voice digital trunked systems,
> and MDTs are, by their very nature, digital.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

371. Re: Uniden 785 Drowning in Intermod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 12:40:29 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030310074029.15430.00000177@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 35287

>> I intend on buying the PAR filter for 152 MHz pager interference. Someone mentioned that custom filters are available from PAR, where can these be bought (I need to notch the Channel 13 or 16 Television Interference).

The custom filters can be bought through Grove Enterprises and other hobby vendors.

The custom filters are handled direct from PAR. Here's their web site: http://www.parelectronics.com/filters.html

The current issue of Monitoring Times has an article where the author describes daisy-chaining filters in a project box to address multiple intermod offenders. He also added an amp in-line.

372. Re: AOR SDU-5000 or SDU-5500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 13:43:53 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <v6p5g4amso1l24@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35288

Gary,
Bob likes you more than me, they also have a SDU-5500..... (;->))
Thanks Bob...

"Gary" <nospam@dontsendit.com> wrote in message
news:satn6vkrrecd0r33jbklj08oe9429ep6t1@4ax.com...
> Thanks for pointing that out.

373. FCC Issues Narrowband Mandate Below 512 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 14:04:34 UTC
From: Bay leaf <bay3leaves3@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e6c9b9d@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 35289

FCC Issues Narrowband Mandate Below 512 MHz

http://www.apco911.org/frequency/narrowbandmandate.htm

DAYTONA BEACH, Fla. (Feb. 25) The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) finally issued a long-awaited ruling regarding narrowband applications. The Second Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making under Docket 99-87, FCC document number 03-34, was adopted on Feb. 12 and released on Feb. 25. Public safety will have until Jan. 1, 2018, (for existing systems) to migrate to 12.5 kHz technology. Business users of spectrum below 512 MHz have a migration deadline of Jan. 1, 2013.

More urgently, new applications for frequencies below 512 MHz for bandwidths above 12.5 kHz will not be accepted six months after publication in the Federal Register. The following is an excerpt from paragraph 12: 12.

"Specifically, our amended rules will:

beginning six months after publication of this 2nd R&O in the Federal Register, prohibit any applications for new operations using 25 kHz channels, for any system operating in the 150-174 MHz or 421-512 MHz bands;

beginning six months after publication of this 2nd R&O in the Federal Register, allow incumbent 25 kHz Part 90 licensees in the 150-174 MHz and 421-512 MHz bands to make modifications to their systems, provided their respective authorized interference contours are not expanded as a result thereof;

beginning Jan. 1, 2005, prohibit the certification of any equipment capable of operating at one voice path per 25 kHz of spectrum, i.e., multi-mode equipment that includes a 25 kHz mode;

beginning Jan. 1, 2008, prohibit the manufacture and importation of any 25 kHz equipment (including multi-mode equipment that can operate on a 25 kHz bandwidth);

beginning Jan. 1, 2013, require non-public safety licensees using channels in these bands to deploy technology that achieves the equivalent of one voice path per 12.5 kHz of spectrum;

beginning Jan. 1, 2018, require public safety licensees using channels in these bands to deploy technology that achieves the equivalent of one voice path per 12.5 kHz of spectrum."

The FCC also expanded on the equipment-type certification for manufacturers. After Jan. 1, 2005, the FCC will prohibit the certification of new equipment that includes a 25 kHz mode. Equipment certified on previous dates will continue to be available, allowing system users to amortize their investment

in existing wideband equipment.

The FCC gave public safety an additional five years beyond the migration mandate for business users, recognizing the difficulty in obtaining funding and conversion for the public sector.

The move to prevent licensing of new systems or expansion of coverage for modifying existing systems starting in six months will have a major impact on public safety and public safety coordinators. The FCC believes continuing to accept new wideband applications would result in continued and expanded proliferation of wideband technologies counter to their intent. Additions to existing systems, additional frequencies, or new sites will be limited to operation within the interference contour of the existing system. No expansion of coverage area will be allowed using an excess of 12.5 kHz bandwidth.

Note: APCO had proposed a 10-year migration period with a five-year extension for designated rural areas. The FCC dismissed the proposal.

For more information, contact APCO AFC at (386) 322-2500 or visit our website at www.apcoafc.org. Automated Frequency Coordination, Inc. is a wholly owned, not-for-profit 503(c)(3) subsidiary of APCO International, Inc., located at 351 N. Williamson Blvd., Daytona Beach, FL 32114.

About APCO International: APCO International is the oldest and largest public safety communications organization in the world and is the only association that handles the entire operational scope of emergency communications. APCO's 16,000 members represent public safety agencies in all 50 states and the District of Columbia, as well as U.S. territories and 34 other countries. For more information, visit www.apcointl.org.

374. Re: Uniden 785 Drowning in Intermod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 14:06:25 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe+@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <3E6C9BE1.C0696F26@pitt.edu>
Source record: 35290

I had this exact problem only I'm running four radios through a Stridesburg multicoupler. A new paging company came to town and was all over the band, they must be very close. And I have yet to figure out where. But anyway I put a PAR 152 filter in front of the multicoupler and it worked perfect. All interference on all four radios gone without any signl loss that I can determin.

--Dan-- KE3UC

Soliloquy wrote:

> I just purchased a 785D by Uniden. Excellent radio, even the programming (the
> level that I need to do, attenuation, CTCSS, etc, I don't need the trunk
> tracking yet) is fairly straightforward once you get the hang of it.
>
> Now to the Intermod. I have the Alinco DJ-X10T, the Yaesu VR-500, the Yaesu
> VX-5R. All of these radios suffer intermod, apparently I live in radio alley.
>
> The methods that have proven beneficial in overcoming this with the other
> radios are as follows. The Yaesu VR-500, use attenuation and squelch max. The
> Yaesu VX-5R, use CTCSS, and bought a miniature antenna to attempt to attenuate
> signal, no attenuation is offered on this radio. The Alinco DJ-X10T,
> Attenuation as needed, Squelch max.
>
> In order for me to use the 785D, I need to attenuate as needed, and I use
> CTCSS or max squelch as needed. I get Intermod from the 152 MHz Pager Systems,
> and interference from a local TV station, either VHF channel 13 or UHF channel
> 16. Unfortunately they are PBS and co-owned. They often simultaneously play
> the same show. I need to listen to the interference and watch the channels to
> discover which is the offender. The police frequencies I listen to are in the
> 453 to 458 MHz range.
>
> I had hoped for better with the 785D, but my other radios are also blitzed by
> the intermod, so I'm not totally without hope yet. I took the Yaesu VX-5R and
> used the VFO setting, listening to one police frequency (that the 785D is
> having more problems with), and had the squelch set low. All went well for a
> while, then BLAM, something completely obliterated the VX-5R reception,
> lasting only a second or two. Perhaps a complex Intermod, since the 152 MHz
> pager signals are just about endless during the day, but the problem as
> described in this paragraph occurs only about once every 5 minutes. There are
> transmission towers (a pair of them) probably within a mile by air, and a cell
> tower within a quarter mile.
>
> No matter how much I try, the 785 Intermod either blitzes the tail end of
> transmissions ended by the loss of the CTCSS tone, or can be heard as
> interference as the transmission is in progress.

>
> I can actually listen to many of the police signals that I monitor without any
> antenna. In an attempt to further attenuate (beyond the abilities of the 785
> Attenuation circuits) the incoming signal (over the telescoping antenna
> supplied by Uniden), was to purchase the following Antenna Stub from Radio
> Shack. All is well using this, I can hear all of the channels that I routinely
> monitor, though I still need to attenuate some and run the squelch high.
> http://www.radioshack.com/searchsku.asp?find=20-288
>
> Anyone with similar experiences? I intend on buying the PAR filter for 152 MHz
> pager interference. Someone mentioned that custom filters are available from
> PAR, where can these be bought (I need to notch the Channel 13 or 16
> Television Interference).
>
> The 785D is a very nice radio, I just hope to get a little more use out of it.
> I wanted to use my outdoor antenna, but at this point I dare not.
>
> Many thanks.
>
> De Gustibus Non Est Disputandum: -There's no arguing about matters of taste.
> Multum in parvo: - Much in little (small but significant)
> Sine qua non: -Indispensible part
> Non sequitur: -It does not follow
> Cogito ergo sum: - I think therefore I am.
> Nota bene: - Note well
> Tabula rasa: - Clean slate

375. Great Handheld Scanner FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 14:24:04 UTC
From: rljames <me@home.org>
Message-ID: <3e6ca215$1@news.netacc.net>
Source record: 35292

Yupiteru MVT-7100 with No Reserve.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3011821640&rd=1

Especially excels at shortwave which could get interesting if things heat up with Iraq.

376. FS: AOR AR8000 *excellent*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 14:24:43 UTC
From: Vic <bogus@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ad1ba.63656$B66.5378@fe08.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35291

Spring cleaning the shack and decided to sell a bunch of items including the AR8000. This wideband receiver is in near mint
condition (the radio has some worn paint on the corners but is not real noticeable unless you're looking for it - the clear plastic
lens is perfect - clear digital photos available upon request!). It works perfectly!

Included with the radio is the belt clip, carrying strap, operator manual, 12v cigarette adapter and 110v AC wall adapter. Radio was
mod'ed to include rear battery cover slot for accessories. Also includes 1/8" accessory jack for programming from PC (which is what
I do because there's WAY too many memories).

Also included is a modified US bandplan (AOR's default was not too good) and a ton of pre-programmed memories:

HAM 2M
HAM 440
CB
NOAA Weather
various SW AM broadcast
various emergency (CB 9, MARINE, FEMA, RED CROSS, Mil AIR etc.)
local AIR traffic
GMRS
GM-FRS
standard FM broadcast
all TV channels
all MARINE channels
local fire and police

and there's still room to spare for more programmed memories!!!

$350.00 shipped
for reference check out my eBay feedback
http://cgi2.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewFeedback&userId=ke4qjk&page=1&items=0

PS: more feedback should be posted this week because I've just sold more recently

Vic

(NOTE: do NOT reply to this email as it will bounce - SPAM protection - use ke4qjk@qsl.net)
Also if the buyer is interested I will throw in QRZ 2001-2003 CDROM if it does not sell on eBay
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3011029898

377. PS re: AOR AR8000 *excellent*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 14:38:31 UTC
From: Vic <bogus@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <dj1ba.61187$TE6.57527@fe04.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35293

For detailed information on the AR8000, visit:
http://www.aorusa.com/ar8000.html

NOTE: althought the web page is for the 'B' (blocked) model, mine is NOT the 'B', spec's are otherwise much the same.

378. Original Price for the old Realistic Pro-16A Crystal Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 15:18:44 UTC
From: crayh50@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <c046a893.0303100718.129ac1d9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35294

Anyone remember or has a copy of an old (at least 20 years old) Radio Shack catalog that listed the price for the Pro-16A crystal scanner. I would like to know the listed price. I thought it was about $300.00.

Technology sure has come a long way.

Thanks in advance,
Ray

379. Re: Original Price for the old Realistic Pro-16A Crystal Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 17:18:09 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030310121809.25849.00000117@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 35295

>Anyone remember or has a copy of an old (at least 20 years old) Radio
>Shack catalog that listed the price for the Pro-16A crystal scanner.
>I would like to know the listed price. I thought it was about
>$300.00.

It was $299.95 and I had one myself. Just buying the 16 'rocks' for it was
kinda expensive <g>.

The Genuine, Original James S. Prine...accept no substitutes.

380. Re: More Cordless Phone 3510 KHz FM, Found Source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 17:51:22 UTC
From: M_K <radiobuff@NoSPAMnetzero.net>
Message-ID: <3E6CD09A.80D560CD@NoSPAMnetzero.net>
Source record: 35296

Tom M wrote:
>
> Guys:
>
> It is not a local AM station (I think I could tell the difference). I
> hear a lady and a child taking personal calls about going to a Mardi
> Gras parade, same one my kid went to. Since the thing is key-down
> almost all the time, I also heard the answering machine pickup.
>
> There is no primary signal on 1755, but the 3510 signal is still 35dB
> over S9.
>
> I went down the block with a Sony portable and found the source about
> two blocks away.
>
> I scanned around with a R-7000 and could not find the other side.
> Since we're off for Mardi Gras I'll keep looking for the other side.
>
> If I was a CW guy I'd be frosted as she is locking up good ham
> frequencies.
>
> Seems like it would take some power to be 35 over from two blocks
> away. The local AM 5000W is 30 over.
>
> 73 Tom N5OFF
> Lafayette, LA
>
> courir26@yahoo.com (Tom M) wrote in message
news:<a0dd5fc9.0303010704.700ddb18@posting.google.com>...
> > Group,
> > >
> > > I just copied a local cordless phone on 3510 khz FM. It is 35dB over
> > > S9 on an Icom R-71A.
> > >
> > > Anyone ever heard of this band used for phones? I was dialing up for
> > > ham traffic when this thing busted through.
> > >
> > > After the party hangs up, the transmitter stays keyed for several
> > > minutes, unlike other phones that drop off right away.
> > >
> > > 73 Tom N5OFF
> > > Lafayette, LA

You are hearing a "wireless" phone jack....many of them are in the 80 Meter
HAM band(3.5-4.0 Mhz).
They do indeed, use FM.
In some areas, the cable and DBS installers use these too(so the box can "call home".
The ARRL was SCREAMING about these units a few years back-most of the newer ones are out
of the ham band(try around 3.2 Mhz).
There are still many of the 80 Meter units out there(prehaps even new old stock).
BTW, these are quite "dirty", so you may find them on other frequencies as well.

As for what to do about it..well the unit is working as designed, although it's
questionable weither it conforms to the FCC part 15 regs.
One major cable company, after many complants, swapped every unit in a area,
BUT the FCC had to make threats to get them to do it.
You might try contacting your local ham radio club, or the ARRL-contacting
the FCC directly will be more difficult, at least as far as getting results
are concerned.

One other thought, you might consider taking up CW....CQ and phone calls don't mix well :-)

381. Re: AOR AR8000 *excellent*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 17:52:52 UTC
From: The Wizard <1wizard@fsmail.co.uk>
Message-ID: <b4ijah$45q$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 35147

And you call that *near mint*, sounds as if you been in and out of it like a
yo-yo!
no-thanks
KR

.
"Vic" <bogus@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:ad1ba.63656$B66.5378@fe08.atl2.webusenet.com...
> Spring cleaning the shack and decided to sell a bunch of items including
the AR8000. This wideband receiver is in near mint
> condition (the radio has some worn paint on the corners but is not real
noticeable unless you're looking for it - the clear plastic
> lens is perfect - clear digital photos available upon request!). It works
perfectly!
>
> Included with the radio is the belt clip, carrying strap, operator manual,
12v cigarette adapter and 110v AC wall adapter. Radio was
> mod'ed to include rear battery cover slot for accessories. Also includes
1/8" accessory jack for programming from PC (which is what
> I do because there's WAY too many memories).
>
> Also included is a modified US bandplan (AOR's default was not too good)
and a ton of pre-programmed memories:
>
> HAM 2M
> HAM 440
> CB
> NOAA Weather
> various SW AM broadcast
> various emergency (CB 9, MARINE, FEMA, RED CROSS, Mil AIR etc.)
> local AIR traffic
> GMRS
> GM-FRS
> standard FM broadcast
> all TV channels
> all MARINE channels
> local fire and police
>
> and there's still room to spare for more programmed memories!!!
>
> $350.00 shipped
> for reference check out my eBay feedback
>
http://cgi2.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewFeedback&userId=ke4qjk&page=1&

items=0
>
> PS: more feedback should be posted this week because I've just sold more
recently
>
> Vic
>
> (NOTE: do NOT reply to this email as it will bounce - SPAM protection -
use ke4qjk@qsl.net)
> Also if the buyer is interested I will throw in QRZ 2001-2003 CDROM if it
does not sell on eBay
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3011029898
>
>
>
>
>
>

382. Re: Luggage check

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 18:27:40 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <wO4ba.9521$937.6491@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Emilio, this has to be your best one yet. LMFAO!

-me

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:7FCaa.20026$3D1.2140@sccrnsc01...
> I had just passed through luggage check. That always makes me nervous, so
I
> sat down in the smoking area and struck a match on my shoe.
> Actually, I had to strike the match 4 times before I got it lit. When I
> stood up, a big white cloud of my diet supplement powder began emanating
> from my pants.
> A guy in a uniform was looking at me down the barrel a vacuum cleaner
tube,
> and he told me not to move. I don't want no stinking janitor to vacuum me
so
> I pushed it aside.
> I heard this loud buzzing alarm go off so I ran to the nearest gate to see
> what was going on. People were leaving the area quickly, so I went onto
the
> plane to help those in need.
> I yelled at the passengers and crew not to panic, be quiet and everything
> will be fine. I told them that it would probably be a good idea if we
backed
> the plane away from the terminal just to be safe. They all agreed.
> As the plane began to move down the runway, I noticed some helicopters
right
> above the plane. I told the pilot to get this damn plane out of the way so
> the helicopters would have room to land.
> To my surprise, the plane actually took off, and a passenger opened the
door
> and two people jumped right out onto the runway. I couldn't believe it. I
> told the passengers that I hope for their sake no one else tries a stunt
> like that.
>
> Once in the air we saw three military jets flying beside us. One of the
> pilots pulled out a bullhorn and was shouting Pull Over Emilio. He kept
> pointing toward the ground. I think it was Aloha Airlines and they were
> playing one of their infamous practical jokes.
>
> Next thing I knew I the plane took a wild turn and the passengers jumped
on
> top of each other. I found myself near the bottom of the pile. Since I
had

> injured my leg, many of the passengers held me down so I would not be
> bounced around in the turbulence. We landed, and as we exited the plane
> there were hundreds of cameras and microphones and reporters on the
tarmac.
> I felt like the Beatles. Everyone was yelling out hero, hero. Personally,
I
> did not feel much like a hero. I'm just an ordinary guy and I just tried
to
> do, well... what was right. I honestly just kept thinking about how that
> stewardess was going to be my future wife and how she and the kids would
> have to get along without me if we perished. That gave me strength. I
waved
> to the crowd with my hands tied to the stretcher. There is a guard outside
> my door keeping the press away right now.
>
>

383. Re: increasing volume from my hand scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 19:04:15 UTC
From: Barry <ve7ufp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g6op6vs4gkro9h30aj2volvda5ohs7dh6s@4ax.com>
Source record: 35149

If you can find them the unamplified speakers Radio Shack sold work well for me . I have two of them and with the volume turned up i can hear the sound all the way down the other end of the house.

On 9 Mar 2003 12:08:35 -0800, wrfortm@aol.com (Wesley Fortman) wrote:

>I am using a Radio Shack trunktracker hand held scanner. I am a little
>hard of hearing and would like to make the output a little louder. I
>have attached a small speaker to the output from the earphone outlet
>on the scanner and that helps some, but I would still like it a little
>louder. Is there some kind of small amplifier/preamplifier that I
>could buy and put in between the scanner and the speaker or what would
>you suggest? Thank you very much for your help,
>wrfortm@aol.com

384. Re: increasing volume from my hand scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 19:10:54 UTC
From: RN <RN@Nospam.net>
Message-ID: <20030310130709.4B61.RN@Nospam.net>
Source record: 35150

---> Here is my reply to what Barry wrote 3/10/2003 1:04:15 PM

You can find amplified speakers at Radio Shack, you could also use a old set of computer speakers that are amplified, I had a old but good sounding pair of speakers from a old computer that run on 12 volts, I feed my scanner into them and can adjust the base, treble (that I finds lets me understand the scanner better) and the volume.

One other idea is if you have a sound card and speakers on your computer you can plug the scanner into the 'line in' of your sound card and listen to the scanner through that.

Remember in both of these suggestions, the scanner is mono and the speakers (or line in of the sound card) is stereo so you need a small adapter from Radio Shack for 1/8" stereo to mono.

Hope this helps some

---------- Original Message -----------

If you can find them the unamplified speakers Radio Shack sold work well for me . I have two of them and with the volume turned up i can hear the sound all the way down the other end of the house.

On 9 Mar 2003 12:08:35 -0800, wrfortm@aol.com (Wesley Fortman) wrote:

>I am using a Radio Shack trunktracker hand held scanner. I am a little
>hard of hearing and would like to make the output a little louder. I
>have attached a small speaker to the output from the earphone outlet
>on the scanner and that helps some, but I would still like it a little
>louder. Is there some kind of small amplifier/preamplifier that I
>could buy and put in between the scanner and the speaker or what would
>you suggest? Thank you very much for your help,
>wrfortm@aol.com

[Some portions of this message may have been removed]

---------- Original Message Ends ----------

385. Re: AOR SDU-5000 or SDU-5500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 19:17:57 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <v6pp2djqn8t93c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35151

Gary,
The SDU-5500 and SDM-42A are both sold at Grove's. If by the wild chance
you bought the SDM, is your 5000 now for sale??

"Gary" <nospam@dontsendit.com> wrote in message
news:0lhg6vkbedc6qfpldqs8mf03ed3c2b84fr@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 6 Mar 2003 12:02:01 -0600, "Don Parker"
> <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote:
>
> >Anyone had experience with either one, used with an AOR AR-5000
receiver??
> >Anyone have one for sale??
>
> Mine works great for catching voice or data streams. Too slow to
> catch data bursts. I use it with an AR3000A.
>
> Once I'm able to find an Avcom SDM-42A for a reasonable price, I'll be
> putting my SDU-5000 up for sale. But that may take a while.

386. pro-2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 19:28:38 UTC
From: Daniel Kear <dkear077@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GH5ba.39129$jP2.6129132@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 35152

I have a Pro-2022 200ch Realistic base scanner with extended coverage,
scanner is like new, with box and manual. Will ship anywhere in the cont
U.S. for $150
Money order only, lost job friday & need the funds now.

Thnx
Dan

387. Re: Kenwood TH-F6A Opinions/experiance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 20:10:49 UTC
From: fch <na@hle.com>
Message-ID: <3E6CF149.4A4752FC@hle.com>
Source record: 35154

Dick,

Have you ever tried to screw on a long wire antenna to see
how well it worked?

Dick wrote:

> It picks up BC stations, but can't hold a candle to my el-cheapo $10
> AM/FM portable that is about the same size with an internal antenna
> also. I mean there is absolutely no comparison between the two. I
> love it anyway, warts and all.
>
> Dick - W6CCD
>
> On Mon, 10 Mar 2003 01:14:35 GMT, "KF6DGN" <davidtork@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I seem to pick up the MW (AM stations) pretty good. It's the TV audio
> >that's sucks with my F6. Otherwise I love it!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >David
> >KF^DGN
> >"Dick" <rhughes33@cableone.net> wrote in message
> >news:j54n6vgvrcqs797656vt239s617q44the3@4ax.com...
> >> It's a wonderful little radio. A little weak in broadcast band
> >> performance, but that's probably not what you want it for anyway.
> >>
> >> Dick - W6CCD
> >>
> >> On 9 Mar 2003 10:57:00 -0800, erikd65@attbi.com (DTE) wrote:
> >>
> >> >I am considering buying one of these rigs. Anyone have any experiance
> >> >with these radios? Is it worth buying? Thanks for any comments!
> >>
> >
> >
> >---
> >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >Version: 6.0.459 / Virus Database: 258 - Release Date: 02/25/2003
> >

388. Re: Cordless Phone 3510 Khz FM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 20:23:15 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <Tu6ba.5235$io.220290@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 35153

Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:

> And certainly no FM used by hams on the HF bands below 10 meters.

Narrow band FM is allowed in the HF phone bands.

Art Harris N2AH

389. Re: Uniden 785 Drowning in Intermod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 21:06:13 UTC
From: Anonymous@anonymous.net
Message-ID: <v6pvmpktmijs90@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35155

Thanks for the Info.

In article <20030310074029.15430.00000177@mb-fc.aol.com>, jamulter@aol.com
(Jeff Multer) wrote:
>
>>> I intend on buying the PAR filter for 152 MHz pager interference. Someone
>mentioned that custom filters are available from PAR, where can these be bought
>(I need to notch the Channel 13 or 16 Television Interference).
>
>The custom filters can be bought through Grove Enterprises and other hobby
>vendors.
>
>The custom filters are handled direct from PAR. Here's their web site:
>http://www.parelectronics.com/filters.html
>
>The current issue of Monitoring Times has an article where the author describes
>daisy-chaining filters in a project box to address multiple intermod offenders.
> He also added an amp in-line.

De Gustibus Non Est Disputandum: -There's no arguing about matters of taste.
Multum in parvo: - Much in little (small but significant)
Sine qua non: -Indispensible part
Non sequitur: -It does not follow
Cogito ergo sum: - I think therefore I am.
Nota bene: - Note well
Tabula rasa: - Clean slate

390. Re: Uniden 785 Drowning in Intermod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 21:08:30 UTC
From: Anonymous@anonymous.net
Message-ID: <v6pvr2dk7q8md8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35156

Thanks, looks like the PAR 152 will be my starting point.

In article <3E6C9BE1.C0696F26@pitt.edu>, "D. Ruhe" <ruhe+@pitt.edu> wrote:
>I had this exact problem only I'm running four radios through a Stridesburg
>multicoupler. A new paging company came to town and was all over the band, they
>must be very close. And I have yet to figure out where. But anyway I put a PAR
> 152
>filter in front of the multicoupler and it worked perfect. All interference on
> all
>four radios gone without any signl loss that I can determin.
>
>--Dan-- KE3UC
>
>*Soliloquy* wrote:
>
>> I just purchased a 785D by Uniden. Excellent radio, even the programming (the
>> level that I need to do, attenuation, CTCSS, etc, I don't need the trunk
>> tracking yet) is fairly straightforward once you get the hang of it.

De Gustibus Non Est Disputandum: -There's no arguing about matters of taste.
Multum in parvo: - Much in little (small but significant)
Sine qua non: -Indispensible part
Non sequitur: -It does not follow
Cogito ergo sum: - I think therefore I am.
Nota bene: - Note well
Tabula rasa: - Clean slate

391. Re: The end of K1MAN, AARA and IARN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 21:12:29 UTC
From: 5-day deodorant pads <goofyham3s@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3e6cffcd@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 35157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

K1MAN is COMPLETELY out of his tree.

He can get away with this kind of goofy ham radio crap
since he allegeded inherited a couple $$$ Millions
from his relatives and is an alleged shareholder in
Baxter Medical Instruments Corp - or so the rumors go.

He is, by all sense of the word, the classic archtype eccentric millionaire
with convoluted thought patterns having no basis whatsoever
in reality. He is Ham Radio's answer to Michael Jackson - complete
with his very own "Never-NeverLand" Compound, way up there in frozen
ice-bound-7-Months-out-of the-Year Belgrade Lakes Maine.

While we are on the topic of Maine, how would you like
to have some eye-opening fun...? Call up the toll-free
Maine State Dept of Tourism and ask em' for a free Maine
travel brochure package. When U get it you'll be amazed to see that
8 out of every 10 photos of the various Hotels and
Inns and Campgrounds features a photo of an in-ground
swimming pool, complete with cute (caucasian...grin) kids
in bathing suits running around, and mom & dad on the
lounge chairs poolside getting themselves a suntan...
Yeah right!! Perhaps for 8 weeks in the "Summer" before
Maine goes back into their normal "Little Ice Age" wx pattern!
I was up there once in June and it went down one
night to 38�F - Just what I needed in my bermuda shorts and
Harley Davidson of Indy cotton tee shirt. All kidding aside
we did have a great time, but Maine's Wx is just one step above
Nome AK !

Oh well, perhaps K1MAN should start a ham radio theme
parkjust like M.J. did too ?? Can you imagine for a
minute, ferris wheels with 6L6 vacuum tube shaped gondolas
and bumper-cars shaped like R-390A's! Then he can
run the place just like Michael Jackson does his.......nah!!
Better not give him any more kooky ideas....

:) :) :) :)

"The scientist. He will spend thirty years in building up
a mountain range of facts with the intent to prove a
certain theory; then he is so happy in his achievement
that as a rule he overlooks the main chief fact
of all--that his accumulation proves an entirely different thing..."

- Mark Twain

"Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@NOmsn.NOSPAMMMM.com> wrote in message
news:3e6cdb01_1@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com...
> It's around the corner.....
>
> http://users.adelphia.net/~k3vr/disc1_frm.htm
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL 7001 2510 0004 8162 4980
>
> Date: 8 March 2003
>
> To: Vincent F. Kajunski, District Director,
> Federal Communications Commission, Boston
>
> cc: John Ashcroft, United States Attorney General
> Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.
>
> Subjects: o Freedom of Information Act Request
>
> o Formal Request for Criminal Investigations
> (via copy to the Department of Justice)
>
> o Formal response to Commission letter dated
> 4 March 2003 (File EB-03-BS-004)
>
>
>
> Dear Mr. Kajunski:
>
> Your letter regarding amateur radio license K1MAN dated 4 March 2003
> references another Commission letter to K1MAN dated 29 January 2002. Your
4
> March 2003 letter states:
>
> "Commission monitoring information and numerous complaints filed with the
> Commission indicate that the problems outlined in January 2002 have not
been
> corrected......"
>
> This is a formal Freedom of Information Act Request for all information,
> monitoring, and communications of any kind, both internal and external,
> regarding K1MAN and including but not limited to: all notes, all
complaints,
> all letters, all E-mails, all information, notes and any transcripts or
> tapes of all telephone calls, all internal memos, and all other
information
> whatsoever regarding K1MAN.
>
> Secondly, please provide all information regarding the three FCC Notices
of

> Apparent Liability issued to K1MAN, the status of each, and specifically,
> the status and action taken on the Appeal to the full Commission, sitting
en
> banc, of the first Notice of Apparent Liability and Notice of Forfeiture
> issued to K1MAN.
>
> Third, numerous Felony Complaint Affidavits have been filed with the
>
>
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL 7001 2510 0004 8162 4980
> Date: 8 March 2003
> Page 2
>
>
>
> U.S. Attorney regarding criminal interference to K1MAN radio transmissions
> which were then forwarded to the Commission. Please supply all
> information, notes, internal memos, and other documents of every kind with
> regard to the status of these formal criminal charges
> so filed under the authority of the right to petition the government for a
> redress of grievances provision in the First Amendment to the United
States
> Constitution which states:
>
> "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or
> prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech,
> or the press; or of the right of the people to peaceably assemble, AND TO
> PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES."
>
>
> I remind you that failure to act on these formal criminal charges by any
and
> all individuals of the Commission is, itself, a felony under Sections 333
> and 501 of the 1934 Communications Act:
>
> Section 333: "No person shall willfully or maliciously interfere with or
> cause interference to any radio communications or any station licensed or
> authorized by or under this Act operated by the United States Government."
>
> Section 501: "Any person who willfully and knowingly does or causes or
> suffers to be done any act, matter or thing, in this Act prohibited or
> declared to be unlawful, or WHO WILLINGLY OR KNOWINGLY OMITS OR FAILS TO
DO
> ANY ACT MATTER OR THING IN THIS ACT REQUIRED TO BE DONE, OR WILLINGLY OR
> KNOWINGLY CAUSES OR SUFFERS SUCH OMISSION OR FAILURE, shall, upon
conviction
> thereof, be punished for such offense, for which no penalty (other than a
> forfeiture) is provided by this Act, by a fine of not more than $10,000 or
> by imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years, or both."

>
>
> Also, Please advise me as to the status of my formal Complaint Affidavit
> sent to the Commission via Certified Mail 7099 3400 0015 4631 8444,
> addressed to Darryl E. Duckworth, NN0W, and dated 7 February 2003.
>
> Fourth. Since 1991, I have experienced numerous similar and very serious
> irregularities with Certified Mail sent to the Commission both in
> Washington, D.C. and Gettysburg. I am now preparing a detailed complaint
> for the Postal Inspector with regard to requesting a
>
>
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL 7001 2510 0004 8162 4980
> Date: 8 March 2003
> Page 3
>
>
>
> criminal investigation of this, and I am asking other radio amateurs
> experiencing similar examples of FCC failure to follow U.S. Postal Service
> procedures, via the K1MAN bulletin service, to come forward and join in
this
> criminal complaint.
>
> Again, I hereby give you fair WARNING, that this letter constitutes a
formal
> Freedom of Information Act Request.
>
>
> * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
>
>
> Now, to your entitled request for information as specified in your letter
of
> 4 March 2003, IT IS TOTALLY INACCURATE AND FATALLY FLAWED. Amateur Radio
> Station K1MAN has ALWAYS BEEN OPERATED IN TOTAL AND FULLCOMPLIANCE WITH
ALL
> FCC RULES, FEDERAL LAWS, STATE LAWS, AND ALL OTHERAPPLICABLE LAWS AS WELL
AS
> LEGAL AND CIVIL REQUIREMENTS:
>
> 5. There has always been a control operator at the control point.
>
> 6. To date, there has been no requirement for K1MAN to keep a log, and
> therefore, a response to a, b, and c of your letter cannot be made with
the
> legal accuracy as required by Section 308(b).
>
> 7. All transmissions have been of direct interest to the Amateur radio

> Service.
>
> 8. No transmissions have ever been made to the general public.
>
> 9. No transmissions have EVER been made to cause deliberate interference
to
> other radio signals or to prevent others from using a frequency.
>
> 10. All FCC rules, state laws, federal laws, and other legal and civil
> requirements have always been fully and completely complied with.
>
> 11. Regarding your references to my felony complaint affidavits regarding
> criminal interference to K1MAN transmissions, the First Amendment to the
> United States Constitution (see above) to petition the government
guarantees
> my right to file such documents and the
> right to freedom of speech and freedom of the press guarantees my rights
to
> publish to anyone and everyone I desire anything I want
>
>
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL 7001 2510 0004 8162 4980
> Date: 8 March 2003
> Page 4
>
>
>
> regarding same.
>
> 12. I remind you that slander is defined in Webster's New Collegiate
> Dictionary as "A false report, maliciously uttered, and tending to injure
> the reputation of another." No slander has EVER been
> transmitted over station K1MAN. Any alleged "slander" transmitted over
> K1MAN would be subject to civil litigation, of course, and is not in the
> jurisdiction of the Commission.
>
> 13. No references of a pecuniary interest have EVER been transmitted over
> K1MAN or directed to the www.K1MAN.com web site. You are reminded that
FCC
> rules allow ordering of pizza via amateur radio as
> well as "talk ins" to a ham fest where admission is charged, other than
> amateur radio equipment is sold, and yet not considered to be a pecuniary
> interest under FCC rules since such are considered to be "incidental"
> effects of routine amateur radio communications.
>
> 14. No donation requests of a pecuniary interest have ever been
transmitted
> over K1MAN.
>

> Finally, per your entitled request, weekly reports on d, e, f, g, h, i, j,
> and k will be filed by E-mail with the Commission starting with
> transmissions from K1MAN beginning 17 March 2003.
>
> Thank you in advance for you full and complete compliance with this formal
> Freedom of Information Request.
>
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
> (signed)
>
> Glenn A. Baxter, P.P., K1MAN
> Registered Professional Engineer
> --------------------
>
> I PREDICT BAXTER is not long for the ham radio.......
>
> --
> Lloyd/N0VFP
> "And that's just the way it is"
> R-75 FT-817
>
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

392. Re: Why digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 21:50:29 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb6q256.19u.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 35158

In article <YNUaa.7624$Ty5.771795@news0.telusplanet.net>, WG wrote:
> One big reason is that they are almost interference free. The average Joe
> can't just pickup a $100.00 ham HT and jam the police like on the old
> analogue system.

Why not ? It is easy enough to jam GPS, Wireless LAN and other
digital signals. Just transmit stronger signals than the original,
and you are on top.

Of course, with spread spectrum or frequency hopping, you need
wideband jammers.

And, at least in Europe all the cops probably have a GSM phone
for bacup, possible their own.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

393. Digi Scanners & NID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 22:04:56 UTC
From: John <palnuncio@cs.com>
Message-ID: <c_7ba.96599$gf7.21321410@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 35159
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is there any plan in the works to include Network ID decode
by the so-called APCO digital scanners?

394. Re: pro-2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 22:30:40 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <im8ba.1995$VT.284381@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If you remove the diode "D44" you could probably fetch another couple of dollars for the radio.

As you know what "D44" can do for the radio.

"Daniel Kear" <dkear077@woh.rr.com> wrote in message news:GH5ba.39129$jP2.6129132@twister.neo.rr.com...
> I have a Pro-2022 200ch Realistic base scanner with extended coverage,
> scanner is like new, with box and manual. Will ship anywhere in the cont
> U.S. for $150
> Money order only, lost job friday & need the funds now.
>
> Thnx
> Dan
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.459 / Virus Database: 258 - Release Date: 25/02/2003

395. (BC250d) I-Calls while in ID Scan Mode?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 22:34:47 UTC
From: JohnW <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <Xns933AB2D4D7901JohnW@216.166.71.234>
Source record: 35161
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ok. Let's say I want to be able to get I-Calls while scanning my State Police in ID Scan mode (so that I can see alpha tags).
At first I thought all I had to do was assign alpha tags to the I-Call IDs... but then I noticed that the I-Call ID keeps changing! Is there a way around this? How many different IDs do I-Calls use?

Did this post make sense?

Hope it did!

396. WinScan 780 is junk that does not work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 23:05:01 UTC
From: no@spam.org
Message-ID: <933AB6639nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 35162

Anyone looking to buy this junk must be out of your mind!! check this out and you will see why!!

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm

This crap is not worth 2 cents let alone $70.00!!!

397. Re: F.S. BC 245XLT TrunkTracker-2 Hand/Held Scanners With Winscan Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 23:06:41 UTC
From: no@spam.org
Message-ID: <933ABCE42nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 35163

It don't work and no you do not want to get your hands on it, it's junk!!!
check this out..

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm

And you will change your mine about wanting a copy!!

pti@capnet.com (StarGazer) wrote in <3D308020.5E7F64B5@capnet.com>:

>How does the WinScan software work?
>
>How easy is it to use?
>
>
>
>Wilf wrote:
>
>> I have a 2 month old BC 245XLT like brand new in the box.Comes with
>> everything it did 2 months ago plus I will throw in the Winscan
>> Version 1.0 and 2.0 upgrade software.$180.00U.S. email me at (
>> wilfkelly@rogers.com) if interested.Thanks
>

398. Re: Importing Talkgroups into Winscan 780 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 23:08:51 UTC
From: no@spam.org
Message-ID: <933AB6B94nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 35164

Yes I do have some help!! Sell the crap to someone you do't like and buy TrunkStar780 or ARC780 :-)

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm

Winscan780 does not work!!

jweissmo@yahoo.com (Jan Weiss) wrote in <f25eb506.0208091849.237f36b2@posting.google.com>:

>Hello,
>I am trying to import talkgroup info for an EDACS system into Winscan
>780 from a CSV file. The file is in the form
>
>06-061,DENVER FD/EMS
>06-114,DENVER FD/EMS
>06-021,DENVER FD/EMS (DISP)
>
>and continues on that way. My problem is that it always imports into
>the frequency spaces and their respective alpha tags of the bank that
>I am trying to program the talkgroups for. I already have the bank
>programmed with the right frequencies which it then replaces with the
>talkgroup info... I am sure that I am selecting "Talkgroup Data" in
>the text file import window.
>
>Any help is very much appreciated.
>

399. Re: HELP: BC780XLT - WINSCAN -where can i download v2.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 23:11:10 UTC
From: no@spam.org
Message-ID: <933AB044Bnospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 35165

You can buy it but why would you want this crap??

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm

read that and you wont want anything to do with the junk!!

joekool(NoSpam)@lvcm.com (joe) wrote in
<GKic9.37633$Ic7.2513053@news2.west.cox.net>:

>hi all,
>
> i just bought a bc780xlt scanner .. is there a place where i can
> download
>WINSCAN for this scanner ?
> thanks joe KC7AAM
>
>PLEASE DROP THE (NO SPAM) FOR RETURN E MAILS
>

400. Re: (BC250d) I-Calls while in ID Scan Mode?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 23:21:12 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <I59ba.38$IH2.34@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35166
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I might be wrong, but I think I Call ID's are dynamically assigned.
Therefore your only option would be to enter in an icall ID of 700000
(press - then 0 then E) and tag that.

-me

"JohnW" <no@no.no> wrote in message
news:Xns933AB2D4D7901JohnW@216.166.71.234...
> Ok. Let's say I want to be able to get I-Calls while scanning my State
> Police in ID Scan mode (so that I can see alpha tags).
> At first I thought all I had to do was assign alpha tags to the I-Call
> IDs... but then I noticed that the I-Call ID keeps changing! Is there a
way
> around this? How many different IDs do I-Calls use?
>
> Did this post make sense?
>
> Hope it did!

401. Re: Antenna for the office

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 23:23:49 UTC
From: Paul Logan <paul.logan4@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <b4j6q4$7hg$1@sparta.btinternet.com>
Source record: 35167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A UK cellular phone antenna with a magnetic base should give good coverage
of 800-900 mhz traffic. try getting one on ebay

--
Paul Logan,
Lisnaskea, N. Ireland.

Low VHF and Scanner Skip Page:
www.geocities.com/yogi540

402. Re: New kind of motorola trunking? LTR? continuous carrier.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 23:34:40 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <ki9ba.48$IH2.40@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35168

Sounds like failsoft mode to me.

How long has it been doing that? I bet it reverts back to trunked operation
again soon.

-me

"Imyselfandme" <Opinionated@spam.com> wrote in message
news:b6mm6v4eqi3856hrljjsqv6oaacb58mo5u@4ax.com...
> Reported by chris451.
> Location is Cedar Rapids, Iowa but I have heard systems like this in
> southern and centeral MN.
> A type 1/2 hybrid trunk 800 system had one control channel and 4 talk
> channels. Now all 5 channels in the system are keyed up all the time
> with subaudio control and a 900 Hz tone in the interval:
> 9.2 secs off 0.3 secs on
> DPL or subaudio control is present all the time.
> When someone uses the system the voice comes up on one of the
> channels.
> Another county away, there is another set of 3 rather than 5 channels
> with the same thing on it.
> And in the north counties of ia there are just single freqs with the
> DPL only no tone.
> The user is alliant energy and a subsidary interstate power and light
> co, who had a patchwork of different motorola 800 sites scattered all
> over the place to allow them to cover the huge areas they must
> maintain. IF you want the audio sample email me at hotmail.
> I dont think the bc250 can track this. And the continuous carriers
> with tones get old after awhile, maybe its their way of keeping nextel
> off their freqs.
> Nextel should move to 700 meg instead of trying to bury 800 meg.
>

403. Re: (BC250d) I-Calls while in ID Scan Mode?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 23:35:43 UTC
From: JohnW <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <Xns933ABD2927B45JohnW@216.166.71.234>
Source record: 35169
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"me" <me@none.com> wrote in news:I59ba.38$IH2.34@news2.west.cox.net:

> I might be wrong, but I think I Call ID's are dynamically assigned.
> Therefore your only option would be to enter in an icall ID of 700000
> (press - then 0 then E) and tag that.
>
> -me
>
> "JohnW" <no@no.no> wrote in message
> news:Xns933AB2D4D7901JohnW@216.166.71.234...
>> Ok. Let's say I want to be able to get I-Calls while scanning my State
>> Police in ID Scan mode (so that I can see alpha tags).
>> At first I thought all I had to do was assign alpha tags to the I-Call
>> IDs... but then I noticed that the I-Call ID keeps changing! Is there a
> way
>> around this? How many different IDs do I-Calls use?
>>
>> Did this post make sense?
>>
>> Hope it did!
>
>
>

I'll try that and see how it goes...

404. Re: New Radio shack scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 23:35:51 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <rj9ba.49$IH2.44@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35170

MADE in China. DESIGNED in Japan.

And as others have basically said: So?

-me

"gene" <fearnotrout@att.net> wrote in message
news:BA90B6E0.2DB%fearnotrout@att.net...
> Does it bother anybody that the new truncking scanners from radio shack
are
> from China. I like made in Japan better. Am I alone in my thinking?
>

405. Re: The 'Bible' of Scanner Books?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-10 23:42:15 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <rp9ba.51$IH2.18@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35171

"Vic Martinez" <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5704-3E6B9990-589@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net...
<snip>
, and Norm Schrein, past
> president of the Bearcat radio club called it, at the time ''absolutely
> the best''.
LOL, Norm Schrein?! AKA Mr Scanner, author of the absolutely useless Betty
Bearcrap directory and the brains behind the crashware that comes in the box
with the new digital scanners? THAT Norm Schrein? Baw haw haw haw!!! You are
FUNNY!

>So,
> who are YOU??

I could tell you that... but then I'd have to "neuralize" you. ;-)

-me
This way to the Egress ->

406. Re: (BC250d) I-Calls while in ID Scan Mode?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 00:19:55 UTC
From: JohnW <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <Xns933AC4A7D8B9JohnW@216.166.71.234>
Source record: 35172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

JohnW <no@no.no> wrote in news:Xns933ABD2927B45JohnW@216.166.71.234:

> "me" <me@none.com> wrote in news:I59ba.38$IH2.34@news2.west.cox.net:
>
>> I might be wrong, but I think I Call ID's are dynamically assigned.
>> Therefore your only option would be to enter in an icall ID of 700000
>> (press - then 0 then E) and tag that.
>>
>> -me
>>
>> "JohnW" <no@no.no> wrote in message
>> news:Xns933AB2D4D7901JohnW@216.166.71.234...
>>> Ok. Let's say I want to be able to get I-Calls while scanning my
State
>>> Police in ID Scan mode (so that I can see alpha tags).
>>> At first I thought all I had to do was assign alpha tags to the I-
Call
>>> IDs... but then I noticed that the I-Call ID keeps changing! Is there
a
>> way
>>> around this? How many different IDs do I-Calls use?
>>>
>>> Did this post make sense?
>>>
>>> Hope it did!
>>
>>
>>
>
> I'll try that and see how it goes...
>

AND IT DID! AWESOME!!!!

MY HERO!

407. Needed: Schematic Diagram for a Pro-2004 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 00:20:52 UTC
From: Charter nntp <k7dxx@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v6qavb59o8vr06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35173

Will pay any fees to copy and send.

THanks

k7dxx@hotmail.com

408. Re: HELP: BC780XLT - WINSCAN -where can i download v2.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 00:22:28 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8%9ba.18558$1v.802643@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35174

http://www.fida.com/download.htm
--

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

409. bc350a antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 00:25:02 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <y1aba.18561$1v.803191@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35175

hooked up to my tv antenna, pickin stuff up 3 counties away !!!!!!

--

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

410. Re: Needed: Schematic Diagram for a Pro-2004 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 00:27:43 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <34aba.18562$1v.803358@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35176

i got pro-79...sorry, will try to locate for ya though

--

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

411. Re: AOR SDU-5000 or SDU-5500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 00:52:47 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <17cq6vs5hcmfr3taijftp4crq7gsti5e1f@4ax.com>
Source record: 35177

On Mon, 10 Mar 2003 13:17:57 -0600, "Don Parker"
<tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote:

>Gary,
>The SDU-5500 and SDM-42A are both sold at Grove's. If by the wild chance
>you bought the SDM, is your 5000 now for sale??

It wasn't me that bought it, but I will keep watching that page now
that I know about it.

Having just spent the weekend in Vegas, it probably would not have
been wise for me to make the purchase right now, anyway.

412. Unregulated Power Supplies, bah humbug!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 01:22:12 UTC
From: Anonymous@anonymous.net
Message-ID: <v6qemp5levq53b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35178

The wonders of unregulated power supplies. I have several Radio Shack desktop scanners, three of which run on 12 volt supplies. Of the 2 concerning my endeavors tonight, one is rated at 300ma, the other 500ma.

My understanding of this situation would be that, given the appropriate polarity and correct configuration of the plug, it would be permissible to use the 12V 500ma transformer to power the 12V 300ma radio. After all, the radio determines the actual current draw, the current being greater with the light on (not an option on this radio anyway), louder speakers consume more than headphones, etc.

So, for convenience sake, while testing a radio that I hadn't used in awhile, I decided to use the already plugged in 12V 500ma supply for the 12V 300ma radio. The damn thing didn't work.

http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm
http://scanpix1.home.att.net/RS/pro2030.jpg

What the hell, this is my fiasco radio, the Pro-2030, I bought it at a flea market, it was a model that was already modified for cellular restoration when I bought it. And it worked. Problem is that it had a peculiar problem of the reception cutting out in a very subtle way. I sent it to Radio Shack for repair. They fixed the problem great and also aligned the radio. The problem was in the RF board, supposedly a bad solder joint. (I couldn't find it, that's why I sent it to Radio Shack for repair). Anyway, when it was returned to me I opened it up to inspect the workmanship, and viola, discovered that the microprocessor board had also been replaced. Now the radio, still bearing the modification for cellular restoration, no longer receives cellular. The reason that it is my fiasco radio is that I paid $75 for the radio, then $75 to get it repaired. I now have a $150 radio that only has 80 channels and I would rather have spent the same money on a newer radio (the cellular part was the intriguing thing though).

Anyway, my fiasco radio wasn't working, damn thing. I took it apart, tapped some things while it was powered up, still no results. The radio worked fine otherwise, programmed ok, scanned ok, searched ok, but no reception. Ok, just for the hell of it I'll try the correct power supply. Viola, the radio now works fine, reception and all.

The problem must have been the slightly higher voltage available from the power supply that is capable of supplying more current, the voltage isn't dragged down as much.

Any thoughts on this issue?

Regards.

De Gustibus Non Est Disputandum: -There's no arguing about matters of taste.
Multum in parvo: - Much in little (small but significant)
Sine qua non: -Indispensible part
Non sequitur: -It does not follow
Cogito ergo sum: - I think therefore I am.
Nota bene: - Note well
Tabula rasa: - Clean slate

413. Re: Kenwood TH-F6A Opinions/experiance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 01:31:42 UTC
From: Dick <rhughes33@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <81fq6vkuolqcncahpeehu1nj5f5cu0otm3@4ax.com>
Source record: 35179

No, but then a long wire trailing behind me when I go for a walk would
be a little inconvenient. :-) I never use the F6 at home.

Dick

On Mon, 10 Mar 2003 12:10:49 -0800, fch <na@hle.com> wrote:

>Dick,
>
>Have you ever tried to screw on a long wire antenna to see
>how well it worked?
>
>
>
>Dick wrote:
>
>> It picks up BC stations, but can't hold a candle to my el-cheapo $10
>> AM/FM portable that is about the same size with an internal antenna
>> also. I mean there is absolutely no comparison between the two. I
>> love it anyway, warts and all.
>>
>> Dick - W6CCD
>>
>> On Mon, 10 Mar 2003 01:14:35 GMT, "KF6DGN" <davidtork@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >I seem to pick up the MW (AM stations) pretty good. It's the TV audio
>> >that's sucks with my F6. Otherwise I love it!!!!!!!!!
>> >
>> >David
>> >KF^DGN

414. Re: Unregulated Power Supplies, bah humbug!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 01:38:31 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <r6bba.22928$z54.1368981@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 35180

An unregulated power supply is just that. If the specs say 12 vdc at 500 ma
then that is what it wants to give you. If you don't need (draw) 500 ma but
only require say 200 ma, the voltage of the power supply my soar to 18, 20
or even higher voltage. Not uncommon at all. You radio may not like 18 vdc.
The rating is telling you that it can meet your need for 12vdc at 500 ma.
mark
"*Soliloquy*" <Anonymous@anonymous.net> wrote in message
news:v6qemp5levq53b@corp.supernews.com...
> The wonders of unregulated power supplies. I have several Radio Shack
desktop
> scanners, three of which run on 12 volt supplies. Of the 2 concerning my
> endeavors tonight, one is rated at 300ma, the other 500ma.
>
> My understanding of this situation would be that, given the appropriate
> polarity and correct configuration of the plug, it would be permissible to
use
> the 12V 500ma transformer to power the 12V 300ma radio. After all, the
radio
> determines the actual current draw, the current being greater with the
light
> on (not an option on this radio anyway), louder speakers consume more than
> headphones, etc.
>
> So, for convenience sake, while testing a radio that I hadn't used in
awhile,
> I decided to use the already plugged in 12V 500ma supply for the 12V 300ma
> radio. The damn thing didn't work.
>
>
> http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm
> http://scanpix1.home.att.net/RS/pro2030.jpg
>
> What the hell, this is my fiasco radio, the Pro-2030, I bought it at a
flea
> market, it was a model that was already modified for cellular restoration
when
> I bought it. And it worked. Problem is that it had a peculiar problem of
the
> reception cutting out in a very subtle way. I sent it to Radio Shack for
> repair. They fixed the problem great and also aligned the radio. The
problem
> was in the RF board, supposedly a bad solder joint. (I couldn't find it,
> that's why I sent it to Radio Shack for repair). Anyway, when it was
returned

> to me I opened it up to inspect the workmanship, and viola, discovered
that
> the microprocessor board had also been replaced. Now the radio, still
bearing
> the modification for cellular restoration, no longer receives cellular.
The
> reason that it is my fiasco radio is that I paid $75 for the radio, then
$75
> to get it repaired. I now have a $150 radio that only has 80 channels and
I
> would rather have spent the same money on a newer radio (the cellular part
was
> the intriguing thing though).
>
> Anyway, my fiasco radio wasn't working, damn thing. I took it apart,
tapped
> some things while it was powered up, still no results. The radio worked
fine
> otherwise, programmed ok, scanned ok, searched ok, but no reception. Ok,
just
> for the hell of it I'll try the correct power supply. Viola, the radio now
> works fine, reception and all.
>
> The problem must have been the slightly higher voltage available from the
> power supply that is capable of supplying more current, the voltage isn't
> dragged down as much.
>
> Any thoughts on this issue?
>
> Regards.
>
>
>
> De Gustibus Non Est Disputandum: -There's no arguing about matters of
taste.
> Multum in parvo: - Much in little (small but significant)
> Sine qua non: -Indispensible part
> Non sequitur: -It does not follow
> Cogito ergo sum: - I think therefore I am.
> Nota bene: - Note well
> Tabula rasa: - Clean slate
>
>
>

415. ARC780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 03:02:48 UTC
From: no@spam.org
Message-ID: <933AE9295nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 35181

Any one got a ARC780 reg number???? I have the fullWinScan780 ISO to trde
for it

416. Re: Kenwood TH-F6A Opinions/experiance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 03:12:21 UTC
From: urovision@cs.com
Message-ID: <20030310221221.16758.00000072@mb-ce.news.cs.com>
Source record: 35182

Great Radi. I have used it for a year.
PLUS:
1. Great Batt. Life (also have emergency 4AA pack)

2. ONLY HT to cover 144/220/440
3. Wideband ALL Mode coverage
4. Basic but effective computer up/download
5. SMALL (get inexpensibe Kenwod case)
6. True dual Freq. Receive (dual activity leds)
7. GREAT triband included antenna
8. Internal bar ant for AM
MINUS:
1. Needs external ant. for any real HF rec.
2. Tiny buttons for even avg. fingers
3. SMA ant. conn.(I know all use them now)
4. Tiny 2.5mm earphone jack

417. Re: Kenwood TH-F6A Opinions/experiance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 03:23:35 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spectrumhog@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns933AC58E12A0BFrog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 35183

Amongst the (below) listed plusses, you did not mention the one
which I find at the top of my list: The operation/menu system is
head and shoulders above the others in ease of use. Unlike the VX-5
radio and an Icom I owned, I never find a need to pack the manual
around in order to use this TH-F6A

Ed

> 1. Great Batt. Life (also have emergency 4AA pack)
>
> 2. ONLY HT to cover 144/220/440
> 3. Wideband ALL Mode coverage
> 4. Basic but effective computer up/download
> 5. SMALL (get inexpensibe Kenwod case)
> 6. True dual Freq. Receive (dual activity leds)
> 7. GREAT triband included antenna
> 8. Internal bar ant for AM
> MINUS:
> 1. Needs external ant. for any real HF rec.
> 2. Tiny buttons for even avg. fingers
> 3. SMA ant. conn.(I know all use them now)
> 4. Tiny 2.5mm earphone jack
>

418. Re: The end of K1MAN, AARA and IARN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 03:26:30 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spectrumhog@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns933AC60E4F131Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 35184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> K1MAN is COMPLETELY out of his tree.
>
> He is Ham Radio's answer to Michael
> Jackson - complete with his very own "Never-NeverLand" Compound,
> way up there in frozen ice-bound-7-Months-out-of the-Year
> Belgrade Lakes Maine.

Maybe so, but he doesn't have wierd stuff on the end of his
nose.....

Ed

419. Re: pro-2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 05:02:40 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <c6eba.3468$Mz.309268@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Da celly celly ;)

"Radio Demon" <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
news:im8ba.1995$VT.284381@news20.bellglobal.com...
> If you remove the diode "D44" you could probably fetch another couple of
> dollars for the radio.
>
> As you know what "D44" can do for the radio.
>
>
> "Daniel Kear" <dkear077@woh.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:GH5ba.39129$jP2.6129132@twister.neo.rr.com...
> > I have a Pro-2022 200ch Realistic base scanner with extended coverage,
> > scanner is like new, with box and manual. Will ship anywhere in the cont
> > U.S. for $150
> > Money order only, lost job friday & need the funds now.
> >
> > Thnx
> > Dan
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.459 / Virus Database: 258 - Release Date: 25/02/2003
>
>

420. Re: 2003 Sebring 12 hours scanner codes ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 05:25:12 UTC
From: r1duley@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030311002512.08607.00000106@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 35186

Were you able to find the sebring codes?
I am looking for them too

421. Re: HELP: BC780XLT - WINSCAN -where can i download v2.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 10:31:12 UTC
From: ThisIsMyName <sheck5001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <QViba.555$Ad2.526881@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 35187

no@spam.org (No Fucking Spam) wrote in news:933AB044Bnospamorg@
216.168.3.44:

<snip message, it's not important>

"No Fucking Spam"

I think many people on this group feel exactly the same.....so stop
doing it.

422. Bearcat 210XL parts FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 10:40:27 UTC
From: rljames <me@home.org>
Message-ID: <3e6dbf36$1@news.netacc.net>
Source record: 35188

Bearcat 210XL scanner, NOT WORKING.

Valuable for Parts.

Display intact and radio in generally good condition with all knobs,
buttons, etc. Service shop tells me failure is due to "cracked" circuit
board.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3011821614&r
d=1

423. Re: HELP: BC780XLT - WINSCAN -where can i download v2.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 12:04:19 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.org>
Message-ID: <7hkba.1851$IM3.1018@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 35189

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:8%9ba.18558$1v.802643@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> http://www.fida.com/download.htm
> --
>

Call me stupid, but I'm thinking that software for an optical scanner isn't
going to do much good with a BC780XLT....

424. Re: ARC780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 13:47:57 UTC
From: John Bacon <news@djbitz.com>
Message-ID: <hOlba.193725$4F3.11782433@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 35190

I really dont think anyone whould want Winscan. If you read many post people dont like Winscan. So why would they trade it. Save the 65 bucks and order it.

BTW the sn is easy to track to the owner.

"No Spam" <no@spam.org> wrote in message news:933AE9295nospamorg@216.168.3.44...
> Any one got a ARC780 reg number???? I have the fullWinScan780 ISO to trde
> for it

425. Undocumented Connecticut State Police Talkgroups?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 14:08:46 UTC
From: JohnW <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <Xns933B5D09F9444JohnW@216.166.71.234>
Source record: 35191
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey all...

While in ID SEARCH mode, I couldnt help but notice quite a bit of traffic coming through on talkgroup IDs that I dont see on the Trunked Radio Information Homepage. Can anyone help shed some light on these?

For example - These are the ones I saw with repeated activity while scanning (Site 005 Tolland Co 5W)(CC 868.9125) between 8 and 9 this morning:

688
1600
1968
2320
2352
2432
2544
2736
3200
3376
3664
4000
4496
6512
6544
8112
9808
10384
10608
11408
18800
19600
35184
45216
46096

I'd LOVE to know what they are, so that I could determine relevancy, ie: if I should assign an alphatag to them and include them in my scanning, if need be...

MUCH thanks in advance to anyone that can lend a hand!

426. Re: ARC780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 15:04:26 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@notreally.att.net>
Message-ID: <qhur6v8vfs6umjt67ok22vd7obk2jan7gi@4ax.com>
Source record: 35193

On Tue, 11 Mar 2003 03:02:48 -0000, no@spam.org (No Spam) wrote:

>Any one got a ARC780 reg number???? I have the fullWinScan780 ISO to trde
>for it

Why not try some honesty and pay for it?? Do you shoplift also?
Neil Bell

For e-mail replies change the "notreally." to "western"

427. FS:Optocom Package with Probe ver 7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 15:10:18 UTC
From: Gary Stocking <sNcOaSnPmAaMn@snet.net>
Message-ID: <u%mba.6328$se1.3581406@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35192

For sale. Wonderful Optocom package. This scanner is a 10 out of 10, not a single blemish on it. Comes with Probe version 7. You get everything you see in the picture plus the manual for Probe. Package is $450 shipped cont. USA. Paypal, Bank check or postal money order. Thanks for looking. Remove NOSPAM from my address to reply.

Package picture at: http://pages.cthome.net/stocking/optocom.jpg

428. Re: How to record scanner on PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 15:23:23 UTC
From: bruceandkarla@ziggycom.net
Message-ID: <7192d525.0303110723.2c4cac7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35194

"Odin" <res0jmoj@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:<YsW9a.86390$_J5.86276@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>...
> "Odin" <res0jmoj@verizon.net> wrote in message
>
> I'm sure this is an easy task, but I don't know the easiest way to do it.
> I'm wanting to record what's I'm monitoring on my scanner onto my computer.
> Other than going from the scanner earphone out into the computer soundcard
> can this be done via the serial cable on the BC780XLT using software like
> ARC780 (or other software)?

This is the one i like :o)

http://www.steaksandwich.com

429. New Orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 16:19:51 UTC
From: adoniscat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5147a47d.0303110819.63f95f6b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35195

Does anyone have a pro93 or pro95 data file for New Orleans? I'm going there tomorrow.

I've searched the Yahoo groups.

Thanks!

-sam

430. Re: New Orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 16:54:02 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030311115402.01434.00000135@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 35196

>Does anyone have a pro93 or pro95 data file for New Orleans? I'm
>going there tomorrow.
>
>I've searched the Yahoo groups.

The Genuine, Original James S. Prine...accept no substitutes.

431. Re: Kenwood TH-F6A Opinions/experiance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 16:58:57 UTC
From: fch <na@hle.com>
Message-ID: <3E6E15D1.24BCD6AF@hle.com>
Source record: 35197

How long does the battery last compared to NiCads or
NiMH??

Urovision wrote:

> Great Radi. I have used it for a year.
> PLUS:
> 1. Great Batt. Life (also have emergency 4AA pack)
>
> 2. ONLY HT to cover 144/220/440
> 3. Wideband ALL Mode coverage
> 4. Basic but effective computer up/download
> 5. SMALL (get inexpensibe Kenwod case)
> 6. True dual Freq. Receive (dual activity leds)
> 7. GREAT triband included antenna
> 8. Internal bar ant for AM
> MINUS:
> 1. Needs external ant. for any real HF rec.
> 2. Tiny buttons for even avg. fingers
> 3. SMA ant. conn.(I know all use them now)
> 4. Tiny 2.5mm earphone jack

432. Re: Why digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 17:44:35 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <7gpba.17510$UV6.1062342@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 35199

The thing with digital is that if the receive radio doesn't get the handshake from the transmitter then the audio will not pass and the receiver will sit quiet. A few years ago, back when our police were analog we had a guy declare war on them on the radio yelling profanities and jamming them when they were in high speed chases. His life on the radio and as a free man was cut very short and that was the main reason that our cops went trunked, encrypted, digital. Now the very second that someone keys up all the radios jump seamlessly to the next frequency and no one hears a thing except the transmission that they were intended to hear. So no jamming is possible. One of the sergeants that I have coffee with said that since they moved they have not had one complaint of interference or jamming.

"Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local> wrote in message news:slrnb6q256.19u.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local...
> In article <YNUaa.7624$Ty5.771795@news0.telusplanet.net>, WG wrote:
> > One big reason is that they are almost interference free. The average Joe
> > can't just pickup a $100.00 ham HT and jam the police like on the old
> > analogue system.
>
> Why not ? It is easy enough to jam GPS, Wireless LAN and other
> digital signals. Just transmit stronger signals than the original,
> and you are on top.
>
> Of course, with spread spectrum or frequency hopping, you need
> wideband jammers.
>
> And, at least in Europe all the cops probably have a GSM phone
> for bacup, possible their own.
>
> --
> To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
> Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
> Hvis du skriver til ovenst&#65533;ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

433. Re: Luggage check

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 17:50:15 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b4l7im$20ihjq$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 35200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:7FCaa.20026$3D1.2140@sccrnsc01...
> I had just passed through luggage check. That always makes me nervous, so
I
> sat down in the smoking area and struck a match on my shoe.

This is classic... hilarious.

Tom

434. Re: continuing buzz problem (chapter2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 19:12:57 UTC
From: Martin <medelec35@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <3zqba.20806$EN3.170285@newsfep4-glfd.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 35201

Sounds to me a switch mode power supply problem.
An alternative linear regulated power supply would solve the buzzing.
Switch modes are well known for generating electrical noise. normally too
low to cause a problem in calculators, But a headache with sensitive
amplifing crcuits like scanners.

435. Re: Why digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 19:28:17 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0303111128.7278b4e2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35202

GE sold their two way radio unit to Erickson many years ago. They never owned Erickson. Erickson in turn sold out to MACOM and now TYCO has bought out MACOM. Analog and digital radios are still being produced.

436. Boston Marathon monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 20:04:56 UTC
From: George Shea <gesheax@xattbi.com>
Message-ID: <ljrba.42997$F1.2120@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 35203

Does anyone have any good frequencies for the Boston Marathon, or tips for listening in during the race?

Many thanks,

shea

437. Re: Undocumented Connecticut State Police Talkgroups?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 20:24:55 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b4l6hqmsq1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35198
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is CTSP all digital? I thought they were on digital VHF high band with
repeaters...never heard of 800MHz trunked activity. Then again I don't
travel there as often as I used to...

JohnW <no@no.no> wrote in message
news:Xns933B5D09F9444JohnW@216.166.71.234...
> Hey all...
>
> While in ID SEARCH mode, I couldnt help but notice quite a bit of traffic
> coming through on talkgroup IDs that I dont see on the Trunked Radio
> Information Homepage. Can anyone help shed some light on these?
>
> For example - These are the ones I saw with repeated activity while
> scanning (Site 005 Tolland Co 5W)(CC 868.9125) between 8 and 9 this
> morning:
>
> 688
> 1600
> 1968
> 2320
> 2352
> 2432
> 2544
> 2736
> 3200
> 3376
> 3664
> 4000
> 4496
> 6512
> 6544
> 8112
> 9808
> 10384
> 10608
> 11408
> 18800
> 19600
> 35184
> 45216
> 46096
>
>

> I'd LOVE to know what they are, so that I could determine relevancy, ie:
> if I should assign an alphatag to them and include them in my scanning,
> if need be...
>
> MUCH thanks in advance to anyone that can lend a hand!

438. Re: MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 21:43:08 UTC
From: Kevin Wiley <kw918@nospam.cox.net>
Message-ID: <MLsba.843$fA.478@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35204
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

look at www.batlabs.com has basic info about the dash 10.
It is a radio transceiver hooked to a terminal...most are on 800 mhz but
will program down to 450mhz. .

kevin

--
I love defenseless animals, especially with a good gravy and a baked potato.
HHB 2/5 FA 1st Infantry Division Forward 1985-1987

439. Re: Unregulated Power Supplies, bah humbug!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 22:14:40 UTC
From: Anonymous@anonymous.net
Message-ID: <v6so32oi7pg51c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35205

I've often read the articles and postings against unregulated supplies, but this is the first time I have seen the consequences of it. Fortunately no damage though.

Are regulated supplies available in wall-wart designs, if so, where?

Thanks.

In article <r6bba.22928$z54.1368981@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Wiz" <mtaustin@onr.com> wrote:
>An unregulated power supply is just that. If the specs say 12 vdc at 500 ma
>then that is what it wants to give you. If you don't need (draw) 500 ma but
>only require say 200 ma, the voltage of the power supply my soar to 18, 20
>or even higher voltage. Not uncommon at all. You radio may not like 18 vdc.
>The rating is telling you that it can meet your need for 12vdc at 500 ma.
>mark

De Gustibus Non Est Disputandum: -There's no arguing about matters of taste.
Multum in parvo: - Much in little (small but significant)
Sine qua non: -Indispensible part
Non sequitur: -It does not follow
Cogito ergo sum: - I think therefore I am.
Nota bene: - Note well
Tabula rasa: - Clean slate

440. Re: Importing Talkgroups into Winscan 780 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 23:01:15 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <joOdnYQkP_cO9_OjXTWc3Q@thebiz.net>
Source record: 35206

As Bill Clinton might say, it depends what your definition of works is. On my underpowered Pentium laptop it sent garbage to the scanner when trying to program the memory. The faster desktop worked better but the program is a real resource hog. Its performance was less than I had hoped for and the lack of support earns it a 'do not recommend' rating. ARC780 is head and shoulders above Winscan with solid support and a lower price.

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:ftfq6v4905ecsipkhfg2ks653g8ccsui7u@4ax.com...
> Scribbling with a stale candycane, no@spam.org (No Fucking Spam) penned:
>
> >Winscan780 does not work!!
>
> It works fine for me.
>
> Maybe you just don't know HOW to use it?

441. FS: HT1000 WITH 16 CHANNELS HI CAP BATTERY AND VOICE SECURITY MODULE (AVS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-11 23:15:22 UTC
From: Parts guy <securit1951@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <e6uba.859$nJ5.78332@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 35207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello group I have a 16 channel HT1000 radio in excellent condition comes with high capacity battery, slow charger and a public safety speaker mic radio has an analog voice inversion board already installed but unprogrammed part# ntn8313a I will include an additional board they will only work in late model ht's that have an option mate board. Will ship nextday air upon reciept of payment i am asking $350.00 or best offer.

Thanks for your time

442. Re: Kenwood TH-F6A Opinions/experiance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 00:12:58 UTC
From: Dick <rhughes33@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <fqus6v8p7b60lkq40lg3n5aqgv2v7h33m7@4ax.com>
Source record: 35208

Something no one has mentioned is that, when using the alkaline
battery pack, the radio is only capable of 1/2-watt output. I didn't
believe that until I tried it.

Dick - W6CCD

On Tue, 11 Mar 2003 08:58:57 -0800, fch <na@hle.com> wrote:

>How long does the battery last compared to NiCads or
>NiMH??
>
>
>
>Urovision wrote:
>
>> Great Radi. I have used it for a year.
>> PLUS:
>> 1. Great Batt. Life (also have emergency 4AA pack)
>>
>> 2. ONLY HT to cover 144/220/440
>> 3. Wideband ALL Mode coverage
>> 4. Basic but effective computer up/download
>> 5. SMALL (get inexpensibe Kenwod case)
>> 6. True dual Freq. Receive (dual activity leds)
>> 7. GREAT triband included antenna
>> 8. Internal bar ant for AM
>> MINUS:
>> 1. Needs external ant. for any real HF rec.
>> 2. Tiny buttons for even avg. fingers
>> 3. SMA ant. conn.(I know all use them now)
>> 4. Tiny 2.5mm earphone jack

443. Universal Info-Tech M-1200 Decoder Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 01:08:26 UTC
From: kk6pd <kk6pd@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3E6E8892.20709@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 35209

Universal Info-Tech M-1200 Data Decoder card. Its features are as follows .. Shortwave Reception Morse Code.Baudot 45/50/57/75/100 baud, ASCII 110/150/300/600/1200 Baud, Sitor A/B, ARQ-M2 86/96/100/172/192/200 baud, Arq-M4 172/192/200 baud, ARQ-E 48/64/72/86/96/100/144/192 baud, ARQ-E3 48/64/72/86/96/100/192/200 baud, FEC-A 96/144/192 baud, ARQ-6-90 200 baud, Packet 300.1200 baud, FAX 60/90/120/240 LPM AM&FM ACARS, POCSAG, GOLAY, CTCSS PL Decode, DCS DPL Decode. Unit comes with Owners Manual and Ver 1.01 Software

Check it out ...

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3012826603

73 de Pat KK6PD

444. shack grounding questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 01:46:18 UTC
From: Vic <bogus@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <rbwba.14578$lo2.2063@fe04.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35210

If there is a better (or more appropriate) NG to post these questions please let me know.

After 8 years in the hobby I've finally decided to address GROUNDING. About all I know is equipment should be grounded and
everything in the house (including house electrical) should have a COMMON ground. Well, the shack is at the opposite end of the
house electrical ground. To couple the house electrical ground and a shack ground rod would require a trench approx. 80' long ...
it's not going to happen soon so I'd like to address just grounding what I can for now.

I am wondering exactly what equipment SHOULD be grounded
Equipment is as follows:
(1) HF transceiver(100w)
(1) HF antenna tuner
(2) VHF-UHF transceivers
(1) TNC
(1) 12v pwr supply (astron 35M)
(1) RigRunner power distribution block
(1) tabletop scanner
(1) PC used for digital mode operation

All above items (except PC) are powered from the one Astron pwr. supply
All radios above have different antennas
The PC and Astron are on different house AC circuits
(you may not need all this inf., please disregard what is not necessary)
If there is a web site with the appropriate information the link would be appreciated!

I would like to know what equipment should be grounded, ground wire sizes (for example; is 18 gage wire on the HF rig enough; is
18ga. sufficient for the TNC if the TNC should be grounded etc?

Would it be OK to have a 8' ground rod outside, coupled to the shack ground, even though it is NOT coupled to the house electrical
ground? Is 8' enough ... I can get a 10-12' rod if necessary? Is copper clad iron OK or should it be pure copper rod?

Is it OK to couple the common ground in the shack to the house wiring AC ground?

Also, I have heard certain metals are chemically reactive when touching (e.g. certain alum & copper)
but those are the only ones I'm familiar with. Is stainless and or steel (iron) ok to use with copper?

Lot's of questions I know, but any helpful information would be appreciated!

Vic / KE4QJK

445. Re: HELP: BC780XLT - WINSCAN -where can i download v2.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 01:48:03 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nlwba.1534$S%3.81390@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35211

http://www.pozillasoft.com/index2.htm
--

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

446. Re: Importing Talkgroups into Winscan 780 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 01:48:21 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Flwba.1535$S%3.80111@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35212

http://www.pozillasoft.com/index2.htm

--

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

447. Re: Importing Talkgroups into Winscan 780 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 01:49:17 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xmwba.1537$S%3.80327@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35213

```
check for updates
http://www.pozillasoft.com/index2.htm

--

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!
```

448. Re: increasing volume from my hand scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 01:52:03 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7pwba.1548$S%3.81834@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35214

try the computer speakers...works for me...AMPLIFIED...got input? mono to
stereo of course...availible at shit shack
buck two 98 cents

--

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

449. SORRY GUYS! wrong NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 02:00:41 UTC
From: Vic <bogus@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <qqwba.5826$Ja6.4388@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35215

[No readable article body recovered]

450. Re: shack grounding questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 02:14:29 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e6e9370.6712314@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 35216

On Tue, 11 Mar 2003 20:46:18 -0500, "Vic" <bogus@nospam.com> wrote:

>If there is a better (or more appropriate) NG to post these questions please let me know.
>
>After 8 years in the hobby I've finally decided to address GROUNDING. About all I know is equipment should be grounded and
>everything in the house (including house electrical) should have a COMMON ground. Well, the shack is at the opposite end of the
>house electrical ground. To couple the house electrical ground and a shack ground rod would require a trench approx. 80' long ...
>it's not going to happen soon so I'd like to address just grounding what I can for now.
>
>I am wondering exactly what equipment SHOULD be grounded
>Equipment is as follows:
>(1) HF transceiver(100w)
>(1) HF antenna tuner
>(2) VHF-UHF transceivers

Never use any of the above without ground, especially the Tx's.

>(1) TNC
>(1) 12v pwr supply (astron 35M)
>(1) RigRunner power distribution block

I'll let others debate this one.

>(1) tabletop scanner
>(1) PC used for digital mode operation

In general, they use hse.gnd. RFI from same?
Then consider separate gnd./ shielding.
Beware PC equip. RFI. A plague on some Hams.

>
>All above items (except PC) are powered from the one Astron pwr. supply

>All radios above have different antennas
Irrelevant for most gnd issues. If coax fed the gnd runs to your rig.
Always gnd your rigs !

>The PC and Astron are on different house AC circuits
>(you may not need all this inf., please disregard what is not necessary)
>If there is a web site with the appropriate information the link would be appreciated!
>
>I would like to know what equipment should be grounded, ground wire sizes (for example; is 18 gage wire on the HF rig enough; is
>18ga. sufficient for the TNC if the TNC should be grounded etc?

The "ideal" gnd for your rigs at least would be the shortest, strongest
path to gnd. Better than wire (which is OK) is to strip the BRAID from coax and
run outside to 8' gnd rod, copper-clad. Braid may be separately available places
but it may be a lot quicker to just strip it yourself. Remember to use the gnd.
clamps as sold in Home Depot,etc. Don't just wrap it around the gnd rod.

>
>Would it be OK to have a 8' ground rod outside, coupled to the shack ground, even though
it is NOT coupled to the house electrical
>ground? Is 8' enough ... I can get a 10-12' rod if necessary? Is copper clad iron OK or
should it be pure copper rod?

As above. Gnd your rigs to the outside if possible, copper-clad is fine.
In general, more rods are better - as in one rod per rig. Remember, shorter the
run to gnd the better.
>
>Is it OK to couple the common ground in the shack to the house wiring AC ground?

See above.
>
>Also, I have heard certain metals are chemically reactive when touching (e.g. certain alum
& copper)
>but those are the only ones I'm familiar with. Is stainless and or steel (iron) ok to use
with copper?

But buried in soil with all its chemicals, runoff, fertilizer,etc.
Who cares ?

>
>Lot's of questions I know, but any helpful information would be appreciated!
>
>Vic / KE4QJK

You're a Tech. and it expires next year. Go for Gen'l Class
and you'll have to know most of this anyway and have more fun
on the HF. Hi, Hi!

Andrew/ KG4JBM

>
>
>
>

Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

451. Re: SORRY GUYS! wrong NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 02:15:45 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e6e9818.7904401@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 35217

On Tue, 11 Mar 2003 21:00:41 -0500, "Vic" <bogus@nospam.com> wrote:

>
>
>
>

Now you tell me! After I typed all that stuff.
Oh well, See ya on the "ether-waves " .

Andrew/ KG4JBM
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

452. Re: Boston Marathon monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 02:25:46 UTC
From: John Bacon <news@djbitz.com>
Message-ID: <KUwba.195644$4F3.12037976@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 35218

Let me see:

I would actualy ask some people on the Scan-Mass East Group they are at Scan-Mass-East@yahoogroups.com. I would listen to any Ham repeaters. MEMA, MSP, Local PD and FD along the Line of the route and CH5,4,7 TV feeds. Last year they used NEXTEL I was told.

But Good Luck,
JOHN
#######

"George Shea" <gesheax@xattbi.com> wrote in message news:Ijrba.42997$F1.2120@sccrnsc04...
> Does anyone have any good frequencies for the Boston Marathon, or tips for
> listening in during the race?
>
> Many thanks,
>
> shea
>
>

453. Re: SORRY GUYS! wrong NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 02:54:44 UTC
From: Vic <bogus@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1jxba.16575$lo2.16286@fe04.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35220

"Reticulum" wrote:

> Now you tell me! After I typed all that stuff.
> Oh well, See ya on the "ether-waves " .
>

Not to fear reticulum, I've read your post ... replied below it (BTW: thanks for your reply!)

454. Re: Importing Talkgroups into Winscan 780 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 02:58:11 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E6EA2CE.8010202@earthlink.net>
Source record: 35219

Mine doesn't work, I'll check the down loads when I have time.

Thanks

Burr

455. Re: shack grounding questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 03:05:06 UTC
From: Vic <bogus@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <flxba.16629$lo2.2440@fe04.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35221

"Reticulum"
>
> Never use any of the above without ground, especially the Tx's.
>

Kinda what I figured although it';s funny because the VHF-UHF radios do NOT have ground lugs, only the HF rig!

> >(1) TNC
> >(1) 12v pwr supply (astron 35M)
> >(1) RigRunner power distribution block
>
> I'll let others debate this one.
>
> >(1) tabletop scanner
> >(1) PC used for digital mode operation
>
> In general, they use hse.gnd. RFI from same?
> Then consider separate gnd./ shielding.
> Beware PC equip. RFI. A plague on some Hams.
>

I have had numerous problem with spurious RF from the PC although believe it or not the PRINTER is the worse offender! I must say
though, I found networked PC's REALLY create a problem!

>
> >The PC and Astron are on different house AC circuits

I put this information in because I had heard it helped eliminate PC RFI problems ... don't remember where I read to have them on
seperate circuits. Well, actually I THINK I read it on RigBlaster installation instructions (RigBlaster by West Mountain Radio ...
nice product)

>
> You're a Tech. and it expires next year. Go for Gen'l Class
> and you'll have to know most of this anyway and have more fun
> on the HF. Hi, Hi!
>
> Andrew/ KG4JBM
>

Andrew, I've gone through the General Class Exam book. I have NO problem with learning the technical aspect of the hobby ... but me
and code just don't get along!

Thanks for your help!

TECH CLASS, Vic / KE4QJK

456. Re: Kenwood TH-F6A Opinions/experiance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 03:20:08 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3E6EA768.70AABBA4@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 35223

Dick wrote:
>
> It's a wonderful little radio. A little weak in broadcast band
> performance, but that's probably not what you want it for anyway.
>
> Dick - W6CCD
>
> On 9 Mar 2003 10:57:00 -0800, erikd65@attbi.com (DTE) wrote:
>
> >I am considering buying one of these rigs. Anyone have any experiance
> >with these radios? Is it worth buying? Thanks for any comments!

Does anyone have any experience with it on the 225-400MHz band? I've
seen a couple of comments from European users that it is deaf there and
wonder if it is just the European model or all of them?

Dave
VE3HLU

457. Re: Boston Marathon monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 03:22:12 UTC
From: Steve Reitter <sreitter@DELETETHISrcn.com>
Message-ID: <b4m95uiin1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 35222

Try going to http://www.scanboston.com/

They have exrtensive coverage

"John Bacon" <news@djbitz.com> wrote in message
news:KUwba.195644$4F3.12037976@news2.east.cox.net...
> Let me see:
>
> I would actualy ask some people on the Scan-Mass East Group they are at
> Scan-Mass-East@yahoogroups.com. I would listen to any Ham repeaters. MEMA,
> MSP, Local PD and FD along the Line of the route and CH5,4,7 TV feeds.
Last
> year they used NEXTEL I was told.
>
> But Good Luck,
> JOHN
> #######
>
> "George Shea" <gesheax@xattbi.com> wrote in message
> news:Ijrba.42997$F1.2120@sccrnsc04...
> > Does anyone have any good frequencies for the Boston Marathon, or tips
for
> > listening in during the race?
> >
> > Many thanks,
> >
> > shea
> >
> >
>
>

458. (O.T.) Question for the (Mil) Air Comm Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 03:52:14 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e6ea3fe.10950979@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 35224

Greetings,

Technically, this post is off-topic, but maybe by not too much.
If you were at the track with your racing-scanner, wouldn't you want to
match the comms with the vehicle ? Sure you would.

I have a long standing interest in Air comms that I'm going to try
to develop more fully this year. Going back to the time I still smoked, I've
always tried to combine a love of radio with just "sittin' out back". So it's
always been obvious to me that *most* air-traffic follows certains corridors
as they fly over. I've only been in my current house a few months, but I
was in the old place over 13 years; I could always spot Milair, for instance,
if they tracked through certain flight paths overhead because no one else
flew that way. Of course, lower altitude private craft tend to "wander" all
over. But the commercial and milair traffic tend to stay fixed on certain
routes.

In fact, this is so true that some of my best milair sightings have
come late at night when I noticed them because they did *not* follow those
paths. (Including what I once thought might've been an Aurora sighting !).
So as I was milling around in the backyard today watching a flight of 5
large twin-engine (possibly) military jets that went booking, and I mean
BOOKIN', overhead the following questions occurred to me and I decided
to ask them here:

Is there a good web-site that will teach you how to ID craft based
on looking up at them ?

How to learn to estimate altitude and speed ?

Is there some FAA-type site that will help me to match said air-routes
to the specific air-space over my house ?

Given that I have the freqs programmed corectly, how possible is it
to translate what I'm seeing to what I'm hearing ?

By way of information, I live in Fairfax,VA. which is nicely sandwiched between
Dulles and Reagan Nat'l. Andrews is only maybe 30 miles away. Bolling is
closer. I understand the use of a compass, taking bearings, and the concept
of Great Circle Routes.

In an IDEAL world my summer would go something like this: I'm sitting out
on my deck with an HF rig for Ham, a scanner for air comms and a speaker
run out from my PC for Internet-based Talk Radio. I've got a cooler by my side
with a few cold frosties in it. Some snacks and a pair of Binocs sits on the
table. A flight of F-16's shoots overhead and I tell the guy I'm talking to:
"Hey, two F-16's outta Andrews just soared past headed for Langley at about

10K feet and climbing. Go to 135.6 and see if you can hear anything."

I welcome your comments,

Andrew
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

459. Re: shack grounding questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 04:03:34 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e6eb00c.14037695@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 35225

<SNIP>

>>
>
>Andrew, I've gone through the General Class Exam book. I have NO problem with learning the technical aspect of the hobby ... but me
>and code just don't get along!

You only have to pass it once, but I do understand. Whenever I meet some old Ham that had to pass a 20WPM test given by some old Crone at the local Post Office or whatever prior to the Reagan years and played over some scratchy,tinny sounding Lafayette Cassette Deck, I always regard them with awe. We have it a lot easier. There's always hope !

73 de Andrew/ KG4JBM

>
>Thanks for your help!
>
>TECH CLASS, Vic / KE4QJK
>
>
>
>

Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

460. Regarding this typ of antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 04:08:29 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <3pyba.1117$S53.132118@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have this antenna and will be mounting it on my chimney later in the spring once we get rid of this God for Saken snow...

How well has this antenna proved to be to others in the newsgroups.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3012100641

And some tips on running some coax cable through the house would be good on this too.

Oh, and if anyone cares. I bought this antenna at radio shack last summer.

I paid, $3.99 (Canadian) for it. So I got it.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.459 / Virus Database: 258 - Release Date: 25/02/2003

461. Re: Why digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 04:16:19 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <nwyba.10881$Ty5.1112651@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 35227

This maybe true but I tend to trust a friend of mine that installs and repairs the systems and he was the one that told me that. He is currently installing the satellite 911 phone back-up systems in many small towns in British Columbia and services many of the repeter sights that we like to listen to both VHF and UHF.

"Gill" <glineberry@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:b234376e.0303111128.7278b4e2@posting.google.com...
> GE sold their two way radio unit to Erickson many years ago. They
> never owned Erickson. Erickson in turn sold out to MACOM and now TYCO
> has bought out MACOM. Analog and digital radios are still being
> produced.

462. Re: Walkie-talkies are burglar's tools.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 04:43:22 UTC
From: David Stucky <n7mfr@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <KVyba.16321$gF3.1444031@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 35228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
As are automobiles.
"ss" <ss@spamblock.ssx> wrote in message
news:4rhf6voems4nlv3hkidhmdjmerv4qhj9h0@4ax.com...
> Criminals use two-way radios to commit crimes.
>
> > The boys, ages 14 and 15, entered through the woman's garage door.
> > A third boy, 16, stood as a lookout on the street, communicating through
> > a walkie-talkie, Thurston County sheriff's Capt. Dan Kimball said.
>
> > The two youngest boys were booked on suspicion of residential burglary.
> > The 16-year-old, who was arrested a few blocks away, was booked for
> > investigation of conspiracy to commit burglary, Kimball said.
>
> http://www.theolympian.com/home/news/20030305/southsound/14417.shtml
>
```

463. Re: Universal Info-Tech M-1200 Decoder Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 05:18:57 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1BSdnY_A-uHVXvOjXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 35229

Make sure your winning bidder has an ISA bus slot in their computer...not
many of the newer systems do these days...they're all PCI.

Bill Crocker

"kk6pd" <kk6pd@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:3E6E8892.20709@sbcglobal.net...
> Universal Info-Tech M-1200 Data Decoder card. Its features are as
> follows .. Shortwave Reception Morse Code.Baudot 45/50/57/75/100 baud,
> ASCII 110/150/300/600/1200 Baud, Sitor A/B, ARQ-M2 86/96/100/172/192/200
> baud, Arq-M4 172/192/200 baud, ARQ-E 48/64/72/86/96/100/144/192 baud,
> ARQ-E3 48/64/72/86/96/100/192/200 baud, FEC-A 96/144/192 baud, ARQ-6-90
> 200 baud, Packet 300.1200 baud, FAX 60/90/120/240 LPM AM&FM ACARS,
> POCSAG, GOLAY, CTCSS PL Decode, DCS DPL Decode. Unit comes with Owners
> Manual and Ver 1.01 Software
>
> Check it out ...
>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3012826603
>
>
> 73 de Pat KK6PD
>

464. Yupitero MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 05:38:04 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <0Jzba.121980$gf7.26939423@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 35230

I have a Yupi in great condition (9.5 out of 10). Will ship with original box, manual, telescoping antenna, wrist strap, belt clip and ni-cads.

Please e-mail off-list if interested

John

--
www.geocities.com/theoldcop

465. Re: (O.T.) Question for the (Mil) Air Comm Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 06:29:17 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <dDOTbxx@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <oikt6v4v8ao55l98immi1shhqs5ckvr02e@4ax.com>
Source record: 35231

Some one will warn you that you're treading on "top secret" stuff that
should be left alone in this post 9/11/02 era!

I can't help you with Military stuff, but I used to listen to ACARS
transmissions from the commercial flights, then key the airline+flight
information in to flytecomm.com and get their course. I was able to
ID some flights overhead.

Toss one back for me!

On Wed, 12 Mar 2003 03:52:14 GMT, cathexis@yourhaterols.com
(Reticulum) wrote:

>
> Greetings,
>
> Technically, this post is off-topic, but maybe by not too much.
>If you were at the track with your racing-scanner, wouldn't you want to
>match the comms with the vehicle ? Sure you would.
>
> I have a long standing interest in Air comms that I'm going to try
>to develop more fully this year. Going back to the time I still smoked, I've
>always tried to combine a love of radio with just "sittin' out back". So it's
>always been obvious to me that *most* air-traffic follows certains corridors
>as they fly over. I've only been in my current house a few months, but I
>was in the old place over 13 years; I could always spot Milair, for instance,
>if they tracked through certain flight paths overhead because no one else
>flew that way. Of course, lower altitude private craft tend to "wander" all
>over. But the commercial and milair traffic tend to stay fixed on certain
>routes.
>
> In fact, this is so true that some of my best milair sightings have
>come late at night when I noticed them because they did *not* follow those
>paths. (Including what I once thought might've been an Aurora sighting !).
>So as I was milling around in the backyard today watching a flight of 5
>large twin-engine (possibly) military jets that went booking, and I mean
>BOOKIN', overhead the following questions occurred to me and I decided
>to ask them here:
>
> Is there a good web-site that will teach you how to ID craft based
> on looking up at them ?
>
> How to learn to estimate altitude and speed ?
>
> Is there some FAA-type site that will help me to match said air-routes

> to the specific air-space over my house ?
>
> Given that I have the freqs programmed corectly, how possible is it
> to translate what I'm seeing to what I'm hearing ?
>
>By way of information, I live in Fairfax,VA. which is nicely sandwiched between
>Dulles and Reagan Nat'l. Andrews is only maybe 30 miles away. Bolling is
>closer. I understand the use of a compass, taking bearings, and the concept
>of Great Circle Routes.
>
>In an IDEAL world my summer would go something like this: I'm sitting out
>on my deck with an HF rig for Ham, a scanner for air comms and a speaker
>run out from my PC for Internet-based Talk Radio. I've got a cooler by my side
>with a few cold frosties in it. Some snacks and a pair of Binocs sits on the
>table. A flight of F-16's shoots overhead and I tell the guy I'm talking to:
>"Hey, two F-16's outta Andrews just soared past headed for Langley at about
>10K feet and climbing. Go to 135.6 and see if you can hear anything."
>
> I welcome your comments,
>
> Andrew
> Reticulum
>
>Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

466. FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 06:30:29 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 35232
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.

$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.

PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order. Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.

eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.

E-mail if interested.

467. Re: Yupitero MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 06:41:26 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v6tlkf5n49i29e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35233

What is your asking price?

"John Schneider" <thecop@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:0Jzba.121980$gf7.26939423@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> I have a Yupi in great condition (9.5 out of 10). Will ship with original
> box, manual, telescoping antenna, wrist strap, belt clip and ni-cads.
>
> Please e-mail off-list if interested
>
> John
>
> --
> www.geocities.com/theoldcop
>
>

468. Re: MDT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 11:31:39 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3E6F1A9B.2DD4C5A3@mitre.org>
Source record: 35234

Odin;
Llook up a Motorola dealer in teh phone book to see if they can get
you up and running or
go to there web address <http://www.motorola.com/>

Look at this web address, they have some software dealers listed
<http://www.iacptechnology.org/Programs/DelrayBeachPD.htm>

This has more technical STUFF
<http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Lab/1067/MDT.HTM>

And another <http://www.decodesystems.com/projects.html>
You my just want to put them on Bay and be done with em!
Jim
Odin wrote:

> I thought someone here might know. I have a couple of old
> Motorola MDT 9100-10 units and I was wondering if they are
> good for anything other than cluttering up my garage. Can
> you just power them up and receive MDT transmissions? I'm
> not even sure I have all of the necessary parts to make a
> complete unit, I was just moving them around during clean up
> and wondered if they should hit the trash can or if I might
> actually do something interesting with them. (And save the
> lectures about legalities and such, I have no intention of
> answering calls on them.)

469. Re: (O.T.) Question for the (Mil) Air Comm Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 13:49:08 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E6F3AD4.8080708@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35235

DxxxxxBxx wrote:
> Some one will warn you that you're treading on "top secret" stuff that
> should be left alone in this post 9/11/02 era!

There's nothing secret about MilAir montoring. Heck in many cases they use the same freqs. as Private and Commercial aircraft. And its very rare you'll hear encrypted comms (had to through that in before someone comes on and say "you won't hear anything as its all encrypted").

For a start check out http://www.MilAirComms.com The first set of freqs you'll want to program in are those for "Washington Center". These are the guys controling aircraft above the Baltimore/Washington/Dullas Class B airspace (from about 10,000' up).

From there you'll hear aircraft saying "push to" than a number. That number will be the next freq they'll be using. That is a great way to start building a collection of freqs in your are.

Also, don't forget to setup you scanner in search mode in the 138.0 to 144 range. Use AM and 25 KHz steps. You'll be suprised at the MilAir activity you hear there.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

470. Re: (O.T.) Question for the (Mil) Air Comm Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 13:50:18 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E6F3B1A.2020309@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35236

Oh, almost forgot, in no way is this OffTopic. This is a very OnTopic post for this group. After all its about scanners and scanning....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

471. FS:HT1000 UHF 450-512 WITH 16 CHANNELS HI CAP BATTERY AND VOICE SECURITY MODULE (AVS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 14:24:22 UTC
From: Parts guy <securit1951@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qqHba.1867$nJ5.171191@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 35237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello group I have a 16 channel HT1000 radio in uhf 450-512 in excellent condition comes
with high capacity battery, slow charger and a public safety speaker mic radio has an analog voice inversion board already installed but unprogrammed
part# ntn8313a I will include an additional board they will only work in late model ht's that have an option mate board. Will ship nextday air upon reciept of payment i am asking $350.00 or best offer.

Thanks for your time

472. Re: (O.T.) Question for the (Mil) Air Comm Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 16:02:43 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E6F5A23.2000400@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35238

Mark wrote:
> On Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:29:17 GMT, DxxxxxBxx <dDOTbxx@lycos.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Some one will warn you that you're treading on "top secret" stuff that
>>should be left alone in this post 9/11/02 era!
>
>
> What happened on THAT date ?

It's top secret.....

473. Re: shack grounding questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 16:03:29 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <94lu6v07abqc85fcb1hpvakdn4j7n69kg4@4ax.com>
Source record: 35239

On Tue, 11 Mar 2003 20:46:18 -0500, "Vic" <bogus@nospam.com> wrote:

>After 8 years in the hobby I've finally decided to address GROUNDING. About all I know is equipment should be grounded and
>everything in the house (including house electrical) should have a COMMON ground. Well, the shack is at the opposite end of the
>house electrical ground. To couple the house electrical ground and a shack ground rod would require a trench approx. 80' long ...
>it's not going to happen soon so I'd like to address just grounding what I can for now.

There is a world of difference between 60 cycle grounding and protecting equipment from lightning and surges. That makes it fun when you hire electricians to do some of the install. They don't understand and argue with you.

A 60 cycle power ground is an open circuit to lightning. Figure lightning is around 70 megacycles for purposes of calculating.

The reason for a single point ground is to prevent voltage drop across ground. A nearby lightning hit induces a LOT of current in any nearby conductor. Multiple paths to ground induces a voltage difference between the different points, and this voltage will force its way to ground through your equipment.

Figure what a few hundred, or a few thousand, amps will do across a few Ohms' difference in ground.

You need a low impedance ground. That is very difficult to achieve. Lightning does not turn corners. You cannot bend conductors in less than a certain radius. Large solid conductors are worthless. Braid is slightly better, but still has far too much inductance to give you the low impedance path you need. Solid copper strap is the best. 1.5 inch is minimum. 3 inch is better. Wider is necessary for longer runs. It comes up to 6 inch in width. 1.5" will do for 95% of installations.

www.polyphaser.com is my source for all this stuff -- protectors, grounding stuff, etc. They publish a booklet on effective grounding which is worth the money.

You need proper protectors specific to each type of equipment. There are 60 cycle protectors for line voltage. There are modules for data, telephone and low voltage. Various inline types for coax. See Polyphaser's website.

Cheap protectors (99% of them regardless of price) are single or multi stage. They are of minimal use. Polyphaser uses typically 3 stages.

The idea is, you need to grab a very quick pulse before it gets to your equipment.

Devices which turn on quick enough cannot handle much current. The devices which handle adequate current take a long time to turn on. Therefore a properly designed protector will have three stages, to turn on early and start shunting and provide protection while the longer, higher current stages start to turn on.

You want protectors which fail in the SHORTED position, which is unusual, so when they fail you are forced to replace them. Separately fuse anything on a power buss for obvious reasons.

Then, once you grab this quick surge, you need to do something with it. Feeding it into the 60 cycle power ground is a worthless effort as mentioned above.

You need to get rid of it in a hurry, hence the low impedance ground.

The single point ground, usually a large copper plate on which all devices are mounted, gives you a common ground to prevent voltage drops across ground as mentioned. This single point ground is grounded to earth with copper strap. Up to 50 or 75 feet or so it OK for 1.5" strap. Ground the mains power going into the equipment with the appropriate protector. Don't worry about a long trench to ground the entire house electrical system.

Then this strap has to go to ground, using proper 'saddle' clamps and preparation of all copper (strap, clamp and rod) by polishing it to mirror bright with Scotchbrite and *immediately* applying copper antioxidant paste. Copper starts to oxidize in seconds.

A single ground rod is not adequate. A ground rod will 'saturate' the ground for a radius of twice its depth. The industry standard is three ground rods spaced twice their length apart, connected in a Delta, Tee or daisy chain fashion with the copper strap and saddle clamps. Use 7/8" copper plated steel ground rods.

If you happen to be on a mountain with a repeater site on rock, you don't even need ground rods. Simply lay a few hundred feet of 3 inch strap on the ground and it will couple adequately.

Remember with the strap, no 90 degree turns unless very gently radiused. Very quick pulses will hit that 90 and keep going straight. I've seen it in videos of testing. Impressive.

All this is not as difficult as it seems, HOWEVER, you cannot take ANY shortcuts. Do it properly according to Polyphaser's instructions and it will work. Take one shortcut, like something you don't understand or seems too difficult, and you're wasting your time.

I have no relationship with Polyphaser other than as a long time customer.

My company manufactures and installs man-rated commo and surveillance systems all over the world. I can't afford to send someone to Delhi to replace a piece of equipment damaged by lightning. We learned long ago how to do grounds properly, and if you do them properly, you won't have any problems. My own office has had lightning hit in the woods within a few hundred yards of the building. We had zero damage. Others in the area to half a mile away lost telephones, computers, video and other stuff. In demos, I've seen wires exploded going into a properly protected system, and consumer grade electronics on the protected side unaffected. We've got a lot of antennas and commo here, never disconnect it, and have never lost anything since putting in a proper grounding network some years ago.

If you want to discuss this in more detail, email me and we can get together on the phone. I don't have time to key in everything you need to know, but we could discuss it on the phone. Could also send you some photos of large ground networks from some of our jobs. In some cases, the grounding costs more than the equipment.

It's worth the effort to do it properly.

Regards .... Steve WA3SWS

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

474. Live fire scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 16:55:22 UTC
From: Marvin Suggs <msuggs@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_DJba.22974$mv.839390@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 35240

Just wanted to invite you to join our Houston FD Yahoo group:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/houstonfd/

Lots of interesting fire service conversation, and you can listen to our
live fire scanner. Please check us out!
Thanks,
Marvin Suggs
msuggs@houston.rr.com
--
Houston Fire Dept Yahoogroup:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/houstonfd/

Live Houston Area Fire Radio Communications:
www.groovyghosthunters.com/houstonfire.asx

475. how do i work my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 17:10:43 UTC
From: jcurl <jpeake@[NOSPAM]>
Message-ID: <b4nq63$2tkv$1@newsfeed.norlight.net>
Source record: 35241

So i wanted a scanner that I can connect to my PC. So i went ahead with the Uniden 780XLT. I got it connected, fired it up and everything seems to be in order. The lights come on, so i know it's getting power.

My question is this, where do I feed the documents to be scanned? I have some photos I'd like to scan, but they don't fit through the slots. My wife is yelling at me that I bought the wrong one. She doesn't know anything about computers. I tried to explain that this is the best one, it was like $300. Way better then the $100 HP scanners they have at Best Buy.

Someone please help, I can't wait to prove that bitch wrong.

Thanks

476. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 17:54:55 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <PvKba.126448$ma2.23827568@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 35242
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Just to clarify, it is a 2006, NOT a 2005.

Dan

> I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
> Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
> cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
>
> $250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
>
> PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
> Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
>
> eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
>
> E-mail if interested.
>
>

477. Re: Why digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 18:02:00 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <sCKba.76$s15.49@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35243

Wrong. If one were to jam the control channel with a strong enough signal to garble the data stream, the cop's HT becomes a very expensive brick.

-me

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:7gpba.17510$UV6.1062342@news1.telusplanet.net...
> The thing with digital is that if the receive radio doesn't get the
> handshake from the transmitter then the audio will not pass and the
receiver
> will sit quiet. A few years ago, back when our police were analog we had a
> guy declare war on them on the radio yelling profanities and jamming them
> when they were in high speed chases. His life on the radio and as a free
man
> was cut very short and that was the main reason that our cops went
trunked,
> encrypted, digital. Now the very second that someone keys up all the
radios
> jump seamlessly to the next frequency and no one hears a thing except the
> transmission that they were intended to hear. So no jamming is possible.
One
> of the sergeants that I have coffee with said that since they moved they
> have not had one complaint of interference or jamming.
>
> "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local> wrote in
message
> news:slrnb6q256.19u.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local...
> > In article <YNUaa.7624$Ty5.771795@news0.telusplanet.net>, WG wrote:
> > > One big reason is that they are almost interference free. The average
> Joe
> > > can't just pickup a $100.00 ham HT and jam the police like on the old
> > > analogue system.
> >
> > Why not ? It is easy enough to jam GPS, Wireless LAN and other
> > digital signals. Just transmit stronger signals than the original,
> > and you are on top.
> >
> > Of course, with spread spectrum or frequency hopping, you need
> > wideband jammers.
> >
> > And, at least in Europe all the cops probably have a GSM phone
> > for bacup, possible their own.
> >
> > --

> > To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
> > Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
> > Hvis du skriver til ovenst&#65533;ende e-mail bliver din mailserver
blacklistet.
>
>

478. Re: 2003 Sebring 12 hours scanner codes ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 18:12:13 UTC
From: gwheeler@vmguys.com
Message-ID: <e8bcf171.0303121012.73dbcc8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35244

r1duley@aol.com (R1Duley) wrote in message
news:<20030311002512.08607.00000106@mb-mm.aol.com>...
> Were you able to find the sebring codes?
> I am looking for them too

I have added the frequencies I found at last year's race to my web
page. Look at http://home.earthlink.net/~kg4nbb/scanner/scanner.html
for info. No guarantee this year's frequencies will be the same, but
they'll likely be close.

Gerry

479. Re: how do i work my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 18:24:42 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <v6uurafniuelee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35245

It's not April yet.....

"jcurl" <jpeake@[NOSPAM]new.rr.com> wrote in message
news:b4nq63$2tkv$1@newsfeed.norlight.net...
> So i wanted a scanner that I can connect to my PC. So i went ahead with
the
> Uniden 780XLT. I got it connected, fired it up and everything seems to be
> in order. The lights come on, so i know it's getting power.
>
> My question is this, where do I feed the documents to be scanned? I have
> some photos I'd like to scan, but they don't fit through the slots. My
wife
> is yelling at me that I bought the wrong one. She doesn't know anything
> about computers. I tried to explain that this is the best one, it was
like
> $300. Way better then the $100 HP scanners they have at Best Buy.
>
> Someone please help, I can't wait to prove that bitch wrong.
>
> Thanks
>
>

480. Re: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 21:10:54 UTC
From: dan <dantheman@aol.com>
Message-ID: <af8v6v82jcf5ke32lotif7eh334vua7qon@4ax.com>
Source record: 35246
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Is it a 2005 or 2006?

On Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
wrote:

>I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
>Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
>cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
>
>$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
>
>PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
>Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
>
>eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
>
>E-mail if interested.
>

481. Need info for HP-410C

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 21:17:43 UTC
From: Alfred Carlson <wa7uhr@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3E6FA405.E54FCF2D@gte.net>
Source record: 35097
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Anyone out there have a schematic or manual for a HP-410C VTVM.. de Fred..

482. FS: Radio Shack PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 22:13:23 UTC
From: Steve Webb <swebb1@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7iOba.55358$jP2.8688684@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 35098

I've this PRO-2006 for sale. No box or manual, but
have the fax-back sheets that explain the programming.
It is un-blocked and backlight is probably about medium.
In other words..not the brightest there is but still very
readable. Looks great and works great. $225 or might
trade for a VR-500 in good shape.
Replies via e-mail at swebb1@woh.rr.com

483. Re: how do i work my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 22:17:53 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <ljUba.5912$VT.696073@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35111

I think his Bells & Whistles are gone.....

Why is he trying to scan pictures thru his radio scanner?

"Mark" <marks@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:gkvu6vo9p98furvjmq0u3a1vnuk2sqcvne@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 12 Mar 2003 11:10:43 -0600, "jcurl" <jpeake@[NOSPAM]new.rr.com>
wrote:
>
> >So i wanted a scanner that I can connect to my PC. So i went ahead with
the
> >Uniden 780XLT. I got it connected, fired it up and everything seems to
be
> >in order. The lights come on, so i know it's getting power.
>
> Ahh, but did you hear the bells and whistles? If not, it most certainly
is
> defective.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.459 / Virus Database: 258 - Release Date: 25/02/2003

484. Re: Yupitero MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 22:42:09 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5JOba.55519$jP2.8714833@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 35099

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v6tlkf5n49i29e@corp.supernews.com...
> What is your asking price?

Too low to mention :)

>
> "John Schneider" <thecop@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:0Jzba.121980$gf7.26939423@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > I have a Yupi in great condition (9.5 out of 10). Will ship with
original
> > box, manual, telescoping antenna, wrist strap, belt clip and ni-cads.
> >
> > Please e-mail off-list if interested
> >
> > John
> >
> > --
> > www.geocities.com/theoldcop
> >
> >
>
>

485. WinScan780 Update Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 22:53:25 UTC
From: Pozilla Software <support.xxx.no.spam@pozillasoft.com>
Message-ID: <FTOba.578$JE5.490@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 35100

We have fixed the decimal point issue with WinScan780, as well as a couple of other issues in the software. We are aware of the resources WinScan780 uses and we are working to correct that and still have the program function in realtime.
To receive the update, please send e-mail to support@pozillasoft.com and please title the e-mail WinScan780 update. We will need the serial number of your program, which can be found on the about screen of the program.

We will then e-mail you the update for the software.

What caused this problem - Uniden made a change to the firmware of the radio about 6 months ago and this caused the software to start functioning erratically. Pozilla Software just found out about this firmware change and received a new radio last week and we have fixed the problem.

We apologize to all of our customers for the delay.

Warmest Regards,

Adam Goldman
President
Pozilla Software

486. Beta Testers wanted for Scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 23:02:19 UTC
From: Pozilla Software <support.xxx.no.spam@pozillasoft.com>
Message-ID: <%%Oba.580$JE5.521@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 35101
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pozilla Software has room for new beta testers to test the new WinScanDP. WinScanDP is the programming software for the BC785D and BC250D scanners. To join this beta test, please send e-mail to products@pozillasoft.com and entitle the e-mail WinScanDP Beta. In the e-mail, please include the following information :

* Name
* Address
* City, State, Zip
* Daytime Phone #
* E-mail Address
* Alternate E-mail Address (if applicable)
* Which scanners are being used (BC250D, BC785D, or both)
* Computer Specs (processor speed, ram, OS, etc)
* Briefly tell up why you would make a good beta tester...

We have a limited amount of space in the beta program, but we are looking for people who have a lot of scanner knowledge and can provide quality bug reports.

We are looking to complete WinScanDP and have it ready to ship by the beginning of April.

Thank you,

Adam Goldman
President
Pozilla Software

487. Re: Need info for HP-410C

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-12 23:25:35 UTC
From: mike <mike@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <v6vgrc37r1hh26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>"Alfred Carlson" <wa7uhr@gte.net> wrote in message
news:3E6FA405.E54FCF2D@gte.net...
> Anyone out there have a schematic or manual for a HP-410C VTVM.. de
Fred..<

Nope. But, I hear there's an RS 2006 for sale. (LOL)

Seriously, use 'reply group' when commenting on a specific thread, and 'new post' for, well, anything new. For what it's worth, I can't help ya on the HP schemo. Sorry.

Best regards
Mike

>
>
>

488. FS Bearcat 210xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 00:12:54 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b4oher$jd7$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35103

Will ship it for $40. in good shape works good.

Gil

489. Re: Need info for HP-410C

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 00:14:09 UTC
From: "John D. Farr" <jfarr62@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <EmidncExAM80UPKjXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 35104
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The manual may be downloaded at http://bama.sbc.edu/. gl, john
"Alfred Carlson" <wa7uhr@gte.net> wrote in message
news:3E6FA405.E54FCF2D@gte.net...
> Anyone out there have a schematic or manual for a HP-410C VTVM.. de
Fred..
>
>
>

490. FS: RS Pro 2048 and DX 399

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 00:59:44 UTC
From: dschopp@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <548df96e.0303121659.5464dddd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For sale: Radio Shack Pro 2048. 200 channel base scanner. Receives 800 mHz. Includes antenna and AC adapter. Radio is in good shape. Very good reception on VHF high. This is good base scanner for someone who doesn't need trunking.Manual can be dowloaded from Radio Shack website. This scanner was made by Uniden for Radio Shack. A review of this scanner can be found at:

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi

Scroll down to Pro 2048 and click on link to read review. $60 + $10 shipping.

Also for sale is a Radio Shack DX 399 SW/AM/FM radio. This small, compact radio, with great audio for it's size is the same radio as the Sangean 606. Comes in orginal box with leather carrying case, AC adapter, and manual.Radio is in excellent shape, looks brand new and has had little use. If you need a small, compact SW radio to carry with you this is the radio for you. $70 + $10 shipping.

491. BC80XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 01:16:16 UTC
From: Brian Hansen <chevelle454@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AZQba.2686$nJ5.241299@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 35106

Is there a mod for this so I can recieve the aircraft band? Any help would be appreciated! THanks

Brian

--
I'm gonna have to mix it up and change my complection, the cult of feelin' secure my depression. A shot of petrol is my bonafide method, lose control and get thuroughly distracted. I'm on the money! Here I come! Fuel for my engine! Then I'm gone! I'd like to begin why I feel this compulsive, toss tomorrows dreams in the garbage, what's important is a mind that's sicker, turn into jeckyl and hyde much quicker. I'm on the money! Here I come! Fuel for my engine! Then I'm gone. Kick start and turn my over, punch drunk but i'm still sober. Fourteen years is a whole lot more but I don't flinch. Hungry and I'll take the best, cuz I never wanted anything less. What doesn't kill me makes me stronger.

492. unexplained static

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 02:48:34 UTC
From: Steve Draft-Peppin <draftpeppin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <5kSba.67130$S_4.48502@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 35107

A while back, I started getting static on one of the primary channels I listen to with my handheld scanner. It only occurs when no one was talking on the channel, and the channel is perfectly clear when someone is talking on it.

I do not get this with my base scanner, so I have always assumed it was an issue with the handheld.

I eventually noticed that if I put the antenna on my lap or put my hand around the antenna, the problem would go away. Obviously, this isn't a permanent solution, so I started trying other things. What eventually worked was taking a narrow strip of aluminum foil, wrapping it once around the upper part of the antenna, taping that part in place, then taping the other end of the foil to the side of the scanner itself. I assume this has something either to do with grounding, or just blocking out whatever is causing the static. I'm just not knowledgable enough to know. I just got lucky with that solution.

Anyway, the foil is pretty ugly and makes it hard to use the belt clip, and also makes it important not to set the scanner down certain ways, because the foil gets bent or out of place.

So, I'm just wondering if anyone has heard of problems like this and has any solutions other than replacing the whole unit. I was thinking about trying a new antenna. Also, I'm thinking about getting an external antenna because I'm going to start using it in my car, but I'm not going to waste my money if I have to run foil from the scanner to the roof of my car, or wherever the external antenna will be.

The handheld is a Bearcat 80 and the base is a Bearcat 278. Also, the frequency that has the problem is 154.19.

If it doesn't sound like something a new antenna would fix, can anyone think of something I can do that would have the same effect as the foil but that wouldn't get in the way as much?

Thanks,

Steve

493. Re: unexplained static

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 03:17:31 UTC
From: xxxm@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <b4ot8q$523$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35108

154.19 is a common FD freq. If your hand held is more sensitive than the base you might be picking up dispatch tones from a distant FD. The tones use less bandwidth than voice and can break squelch or if they are strong enough. If they are just a bit stronger than what your squelch is set at they may sound like noise. They go away when the much stronger station you want to hear transmits. (Desired station over powers noise.)
Placing the unit at different angles (horizontal) puts the antenna 90 deg from vertical. Most public servivce comms are vertical polarization. This adds a little attenuation. Placing your hand around the antenna does the same thing. Sometimes it will even help reception. You could experiment with a higher squelch setting. Also if you are brave enough to open the unit you could place a peice of aluminum foil on the inside back of the unit. CAUTION!!! It would be best to cover all of the said foil with electrical tape; you do not want to blow the scanner up. If you do not want to do that look for screws that hold the board to the chassis/case I have heard that a couple of guys put one of those star washers under the screw and it helped with noise. So they said.

mc

494. Re: unexplained static

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 03:34:22 UTC
From: Steve Draft-Peppin <draftpeppin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <2%Sba.67462$S_4.49613@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 35109

Thanks for the info. By the way, I have done all I can with the squelch. Sometimes it works, other times, even when I turn it over all the way, it isn't enough. Some of those solutions might be beyond my comfort level, but I may have to think about giving them a try.

Also, I don't know if this changes your theory, but the base is a lot more sensitive than the handheld. I know this because it receives frequencies from a neighboring police dept. must more clearly than the handheld, yet the static (or whatever you want to call it) does not come through on it. Also, I should say that this did not happen for the first several months I had the handheld. Maybe a new department several miles away started using that frequency, or maybe I dropped the scanner. I just don't know.

Any further suggestions or theories would be appreciated.

Steve

<xxxm@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:b4ot8q$523$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> 154.19 is a common FD freq. If your hand held is more sensitive than the
> base you might be picking up dispatch tones from a distant FD. The tones
> use less bandwidth than voice and can break squelch or if they are strong
> enough. If they are just a bit stronger than what your squelch is set at
> they may sound like noise. They go away when the much stronger station
you
> want to hear transmits. (Desired station over powers noise.)
> Placing the unit at different angles (horizontal) puts the antenna 90 deg
> from vertical. Most public servivce comms are vertical polarization.
This
> adds a little attenuation. Placing your hand around the antenna does the
> same thing. Sometimes it will even help reception. You could experiment
> with a higher squelch setting. Also if you are brave enough to open the
> unit you could place a peice of aluminum foil on the inside back of the
> unit. CAUTION!!! It would be best to cover all of the said foil with
> electrical tape; you do not want to blow the scanner up. If you do not
want
> to do that look for screws that hold the board to the chassis/case I have
> heard that a couple of guys put one of those star washers under the screw
> and it helped with noise. So they said.
>
> mc

495. Illinois State Police Digital, Part II?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 04:47:44 UTC
From: drksky1056@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <41e7bb3b.0303122047.fa90598@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35110

So Bloomington-Normal is STILL mulling over options to replace the crappy multinet sytem they have. Most recent rejected idea was to put portable repeaters in the trunk of various county squad cars.

One new thing has been mentioned in recent news stories, though. They have been talking about a statewide digital Motorola system that they're titling "StarComm 21". Anyone heard of this or know just what the heck it is?

Should I start pricing BC 250d's with the APCO 25 add-on?

496. Winflex question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 05:13:09 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <FrUba.54757$wA2.2073655@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 35112

Anyone using Winflex with Win2000? Any problem getting slicer.vxd to load?
How did you fix it?

thanks,

497. McDonalds Mt Morris, MI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 05:55:50 UTC
From: tomcatgbmi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030313005550.07107.00000153@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 35113

anyone know the freq of the McDonalds drive thru in Mt. Morris, MI? i live across the street.

498. Re: McDonalds Mt Morris, MI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 07:29:03 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <3rWba.20101$wW.1987188@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 35114

"tomcatgbmi" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030313005550.07107.00000153@mb-fa.aol.com...
> anyone know the freq of the McDonalds drive thru in Mt. Morris, MI? i live
> across the street.

Should be the same as any other McD's as the regional McD's usually use the
same Tx and Rx frequencies for stores in
the area.

Want to find out if someone decides to order when at the McDonald's..

A Triple Cheese with a Great Biggie Fries and Great Biggie Coke?

499. Re: McDonalds Mt Morris, MI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 09:05:40 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v70iesssb2l69b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35115

Here is where you may find any frequency, for anything, with in any number
of miles from your home.
http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm

"tomcatgbmi" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030313005550.07107.00000153@mb-fa.aol.com...
> anyone know the freq of the McDonalds drive thru in Mt. Morris, MI? i live
> across the street.

500. Prosecution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 09:17:47 UTC
From: geo_jackson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <c21a06bc.0303130117.327d1d05@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone know of anyone being prosecuted for importing a scanner to the USA?

501. Re: Prosecution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 11:18:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <wcrocker007@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <aGWdnUdB3Ytt9e2jXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 35117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

No, but I recently read they're starting to enforce a new law regarding this, and taking it very seriously. Personally, I wouldn't risk it.

Bill Crocker

"George" <geo_jackson@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:c21a06bc.0303130117.327d1d05@posting.google.com...
> Anyone know of anyone being prosecuted for importing a scanner to the USA?

502. Beta Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 12:33:15 UTC
From: Skip Dube <skipd@ttlc.net>
Message-ID: <v70uj86ra8qu26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35118

Name Roland Dube

* Address 9 Towle Rd

* City, State, Zip Kingston NH 03848

* Daytime Phone #603-642-4739

* E-mail Address skipd@ttlc.net

I recently purchased a BC785XLT with Digital Card

My Computer is a Pentium III 850Mhz 256M Ram Dual Boot O/S Win 98 and Win 2000 Running on my own Win 2003 Beta Server. Also use a Dell 750Mhz Laptop with 256 Ram. Win2000.

I am a Network Administrator and have in-depth knowledge of O/S and PC's. I am Currently Beta Testing Win 2003 Enterprise server and understand that Beta testing requires feedback to developers

I have been a scanner enthusiast for 20 Years. I monitor Trunked Systems "Ma SP", Digital and conventional analog. Prior to owning the BC785D I used the BC895XLT and also have a BC235XLT.
If I can be of assistance please contact me.

Thanks Roland

503. Re: how do i work my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 15:34:18 UTC
From: Charles Newton <freebird@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <v7197e7tni6h8e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35119

well first he has to get a framstat & furbulator and plug them into the YSB port on the back of the pc, then it transvert the documents into invertable text and bam....its working!!!
"Mark" <marks@nospam.org> wrote in message news:svv07v8rjtp9ots0jaagt7b5uh4tcfba38@4ax.com...
> Because it's a scanner.
>
> On Wed, 12 Mar 2003 17:17:53 -0500, "Radio Demon" <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I think his Bells & Whistles are gone.....
> >
> >Why is he trying to scan pictures thru his radio scanner?
> >
> >
> >"Mark" <marks@nospam.org> wrote in message
> >news:gkvu6vo9p98furvjmq0u3a1vnuk2sqcvne@4ax.com...
> >> On Wed, 12 Mar 2003 11:10:43 -0600, "jcurl" <jpeake@[NOSPAM]new.rr.com>
> >wrote:
> >>
> >> >So i wanted a scanner that I can connect to my PC. So i went ahead with
> >the
> >> >Uniden 780XLT. I got it connected, fired it up and everything seems to
> >be
> >> >in order. The lights come on, so i know it's getting power.
> >>
> >> Ahh, but did you hear the bells and whistles? If not, it most certainly
> >is
> >> defective.
> >>
> >>
> >>---
> >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >Version: 6.0.459 / Virus Database: 258 - Release Date: 25/02/2003
> >
>

504. Re: The end of K1MAN, AARA and IARN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 16:10:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b4qah6sfi1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If he is so rich....then why don't he have a signal? ANYONE and I mean
ANYONE can take him out ANYWHERE in the world.

Dan/W4NTI

"5-day deodorant pads" <goofyham3s@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3e6cffcd@snipnews.snip.net...
> K1MAN is COMPLETELY out of his tree.
>
> He can get away with this kind of goofy ham radio crap
> since he allegeded inherited a couple $$$ Millions
> from his relatives and is an alleged shareholder in
> Baxter Medical Instruments Corp - or so the rumors go.
>
> He is, by all sense of the word, the classic archtype eccentric
millionaire
> with convoluted thought patterns having no basis whatsoever
> in reality. He is Ham Radio's answer to Michael Jackson - complete
> with his very own "Never-NeverLand" Compound, way up there in frozen
> ice-bound-7-Months-out-of the-Year Belgrade Lakes Maine.
>
> While we are on the topic of Maine, how would you like
> to have some eye-opening fun...? Call up the toll-free
> Maine State Dept of Tourism and ask em' for a free Maine
> travel brochure package. When U get it you'll be amazed to see that
> 8 out of every 10 photos of the various Hotels and
> Inns and Campgrounds features a photo of an in-ground
> swimming pool, complete with cute (caucasian...grin) kids
> in bathing suits running around, and mom & dad on the
> lounge chairs poolside getting themselves a suntan...
> Yeah right!! Perhaps for 8 weeks in the "Summer" before
> Maine goes back into their normal "Little Ice Age" wx pattern!
> I was up there once in June and it went down one
> night to 38�F - Just what I needed in my bermuda shorts and
> Harley Davidson of Indy cotton tee shirt. All kidding aside
> we did have a great time, but Maine's Wx is just one step above
> Nome AK !
>
> Oh well, perhaps K1MAN should start a ham radio theme
> parkjust like M.J. did too ?? Can you imagine for a
> minute, ferris wheels with 6L6 vacuum tube shaped gondolas
> and bumper-cars shaped like R-390A's! Then he can
> run the place just like Michael Jackson does his.....nah!!

> Better not give him any more kooky ideas....
>
> :) :) :) :)
>
> "The scientist. He will spend thirty years in building up
> a mountain range of facts with the intent to prove a
> certain theory; then he is so happy in his achievement
> that as a rule he overlooks the main chief fact
> of all--that his accumulation proves an entirely different thing..."
>
> - Mark Twain
>
>
> "Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@NOmsn.NOSPAMMMM.com> wrote in message
> news:3e6cdb01_1@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com...
> > It's around the corner.....
> >
> > http://users.adelphia.net/~k3vr/disc1_frm.htm
> >
> > CERTIFIED MAIL 7001 2510 0004 8162 4980
> >
> > Date: 8 March 2003
> >
> > To: Vincent F. Kajunski, District Director,
> > Federal Communications Commission, Boston
> >
> > cc: John Ashcroft, United States Attorney General
> > Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.
> >
> > Subjects: o Freedom of Information Act Request
> >
> > o Formal Request for Criminal Investigations
> > (via copy to the Department of Justice)
> >
> > o Formal response to Commission letter dated
> > 4 March 2003 (File EB-03-BS-004)
> >
> >
> >
> > Dear Mr. Kajunski:
> >
> > Your letter regarding amateur radio license K1MAN dated 4 March 2003
> > references another Commission letter to K1MAN dated 29 January 2002.
Your
> 4
> > March 2003 letter states:
> >
> > "Commission monitoring information and numerous complaints filed with
the

> > Commission indicate that the problems outlined in January 2002 have not
> been
> > corrected....."
> >
> > This is a formal Freedom of Information Act Request for all information,
> > monitoring, and communications of any kind, both internal and external,
> > regarding K1MAN and including but not limited to: all notes, all
> complaints,
> > all letters, all E-mails, all information, notes and any transcripts or
> > tapes of all telephone calls, all internal memos, and all other
> information
> > whatsoever regarding K1MAN.
> >
> > Secondly, please provide all information regarding the three FCC Notices
> of
> > Apparent Liability issued to K1MAN, the status of each, and
specifically,
> > the status and action taken on the Appeal to the full Commission,
sitting
> en
> > banc, of the first Notice of Apparent Liability and Notice of Forfeiture
> > issued to K1MAN.
> >
> > Third, numerous Felony Complaint Affidavits have been filed with the
> >
> >
> >
> > CERTIFIED MAIL 7001 2510 0004 8162 4980
> > Date: 8 March 2003
> > Page 2
> >
> >
> >
> > U.S. Attorney regarding criminal interference to K1MAN radio
transmissions
> > which were then forwarded to the Commission. Please supply all
> > information, notes, internal memos, and other documents of every kind
with
> > regard to the status of these formal criminal charges
> > so filed under the authority of the right to petition the government for
a
> > redress of grievances provision in the First Amendment to the United
> States
> > Constitution which states:
> >
> > "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or
> > prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of
speech,
> > or the press; or of the right of the people to peaceably assemble, AND

TO
> > PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES."
> >
> >
> > I remind you that failure to act on these formal criminal charges by any
> and
> > all individuals of the Commission is, itself, a felony under Sections
333
> > and 501 of the 1934 Communications Act:
> >
> > Section 333: "No person shall willfully or maliciously interfere with
or
> > cause interference to any radio communications or any station licensed
or
> > authorized by or under this Act operated by the United States
Government."
> >
> > Section 501: "Any person who willfully and knowingly does or causes or
> > suffers to be done any act, matter or thing, in this Act prohibited or
> > declared to be unlawful, or WHO WILLINGLY OR KNOWINGLY OMITS OR FAILS TO
> DO
> > ANY ACT MATTER OR THING IN THIS ACT REQUIRED TO BE DONE, OR WILLINGLY
OR
> > KNOWINGLY CAUSES OR SUFFERS SUCH OMISSION OR FAILURE, shall, upon
> conviction
> > thereof, be punished for such offense, for which no penalty (other than
a
> > forfeiture) is provided by this Act, by a fine of not more than $10,000
or
> > by imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years, or both."
> >
> >
> > Also, Please advise me as to the status of my formal Complaint Affidavit
> > sent to the Commission via Certified Mail 7099 3400 0015 4631 8444,
> > addressed to Darryl E. Duckworth, NN0W, and dated 7 February 2003.
> >
> > Fourth. Since 1991, I have experienced numerous similar and very
serious
> > irregularities with Certified Mail sent to the Commission both in
> > Washington, D.C. and Gettysburg. I am now preparing a detailed
complaint
> > for the Postal Inspector with regard to requesting a
> >
> >
> >
> > CERTIFIED MAIL 7001 2510 0004 8162 4980
> > Date: 8 March 2003
> > Page 3
> >

> >
> >
> > criminal investigation of this, and I am asking other radio amateurs
> > experiencing similar examples of FCC failure to follow U.S. Postal
Service
> > procedures, via the K1MAN bulletin service, to come forward and join in
> this
> > criminal complaint.
> >
> > Again, I hereby give you fair WARNING, that this letter constitutes a
> formal
> > Freedom of Information Act Request.
> >
> >
> > * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
> >
> >
> > Now, to your entitled request for information as specified in your
letter
> of
> > 4 March 2003, IT IS TOTALLY INACCURATE AND FATALLY FLAWED. Amateur Radio
> > Station K1MAN has ALWAYS BEEN OPERATED IN TOTAL AND FULLCOMPLIANCE WITH
> ALL
> > FCC RULES, FEDERAL LAWS, STATE LAWS, AND ALL OTHERAPPLICABLE LAWS AS
WELL
> AS
> > LEGAL AND CIVIL REQUIREMENTS:
> >
> > 5. There has always been a control operator at the control point.
> >
> > 6. To date, there has been no requirement for K1MAN to keep a log, and
> > therefore, a response to a, b, and c of your letter cannot be made with
> the
> > legal accuracy as required by Section 308(b).
> >
> > 7. All transmissions have been of direct interest to the Amateur radio
> > Service.
> >
> > 8. No transmissions have ever been made to the general public.
> >
> > 9. No transmissions have EVER been made to cause deliberate
interference
> to
> > other radio signals or to prevent others from using a frequency.
> >
> > 10. All FCC rules, state laws, federal laws, and other legal and civil
> > requirements have always been fully and completely complied with.
> >
> > 11. Regarding your references to my felony complaint affidavits

regarding
> > criminal interference to K1MAN transmissions, the First Amendment to the
> > United States Constitution (see above) to petition the government
> guarantees
> > my right to file such documents and the
> > right to freedom of speech and freedom of the press guarantees my rights
> to
> > publish to anyone and everyone I desire anything I want
> >
> >
> >
> > CERTIFIED MAIL 7001 2510 0004 8162 4980
> > Date: 8 March 2003
> > Page 4
> >
> >
> >
> > regarding same.
> >
> > 12. I remind you that slander is defined in Webster's New Collegiate
> > Dictionary as "A false report, maliciously uttered, and tending to
injure
> > the reputation of another." No slander has EVER been
> > transmitted over station K1MAN. Any alleged "slander" transmitted over
> > K1MAN would be subject to civil litigation, of course, and is not in the
> > jurisdiction of the Commission.
> >
> > 13. No references of a pecuniary interest have EVER been transmitted
over
> > K1MAN or directed to the www.K1MAN.com web site. You are reminded that
> FCC
> > rules allow ordering of pizza via amateur radio as
> > well as "talk ins" to a ham fest where admission is charged, other than
> > amateur radio equipment is sold, and yet not considered to be a
pecuniary
> > interest under FCC rules since such are considered to be "incidental"
> > effects of routine amateur radio communications.
> >
> > 14. No donation requests of a pecuniary interest have ever been
> transmitted
> > over K1MAN.
> >
> > Finally, per your entitled request, weekly reports on d, e, f, g, h, i,
j,
> > and k will be filed by E-mail with the Commission starting with
> > transmissions from K1MAN beginning 17 March 2003.
> >
> > Thank you in advance for you full and complete compliance with this
formal

> > Freedom of Information Request.
> >
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> >
> > (signed)
> >
> > Glenn A. Baxter, P.P., K1MAN
> > Registered Professional Engineer
> > ----------
> >
> > I PREDICT BAXTER is not long for the ham radio.....
> >
> > --
> > Lloyd/N0VFP
> > "And that's just the way it is"
> > R-75 FT-817
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
> ==----------
> > http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
> =-----
>
>

505. Re: Prosecution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 16:16:08 UTC
From: rock_bustin@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <879bfb06.0303130816.9451d4d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

geo_jackson@hotmail.com (George) wrote in message
news:<c21a06bc.0303130117.327d1d05@posting.google.com>...
> Anyone know of anyone being prosecuted for importing a scanner to the USA?

George,

If you're that worried just have it mailed to your wife.
After all, there are priorities !

Andrew

506. FS:Optocom and Probe 7 Package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 16:44:35 UTC
From: Gary Stocking <sNcOaSnPmAaMn@snet.net>
Message-ID: <Tz2ca.6175$834.1111930944@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35122

I've dropped the price on my Optocom scanner and Probe 7.0 package. Picture is at http://pages.cthome.net/stocking/optocom.jpg This was the last revision of the Optocom before it was discontinued, includes the bitbanger option. Price is $400 shipped continental US. If it remains unsold next week you can find it on ebay. Thanks! Please remove NOSPAM from my email address to reply.

507. Re: WinScan780 Update Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 19:35:39 UTC
From: Michael <mvp67@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <f45ca.55010$IW3.1769321@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 35123

So whats the excuse for BC780 bought a year or so ago. Why wouldn't work then. That crappy software never work with any fireware version. I will never buy any software made by this company again.

Go and get these programs, they answer E-mail's and is well worth it.

ACR 780 http://www.butel.nl/

Trunkstar 780 http://scanstar.com/products/trunkstar780.htm

Enjoy
Mike

"Pozilla Software" <support.xxx.no.spam@pozillasoft.com> wrote in message news:FTOba.578$JE5.490@news2.central.cox.net...
We have fixed the decimal point issue with WinScan780, as well as a couple of other issues in the software. We are aware of the resources WinScan780 uses and we are working to correct that and still have the program function in realtime.
To receive the update, please send e-mail to support@pozillasoft.com and please title the e-mail WinScan780 update. We will need the serial number of your program, which can be found on the about screen of the program.

We will then e-mail you the update for the software.

What caused this problem - Uniden made a change to the firmware of the radio about 6 months ago and this caused the software to start functioning erratically. Pozilla Software just found out about this firmware change and received a new radio last week and we have fixed the problem.

We apologize to all of our customers for the delay.

Warmest Regards,

Adam Goldman
President
Pozilla Software

508. Re: WinScan780 Update Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 20:48:34 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <C86ca.4406$yr5.3499@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35124

Equipment smarter than operator probably.

-me
"Michael" <mvp67@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:f45ca.55010$lW3.1769321@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
So whats the excuse for BC780 bought a year or so ago. Why wouldn't work then. That crappy software never work with any fireware version. I will never buy any software made by this company again.

Go and get these programs, they answer E-mail's and is well worth it.

ACR 780 http://www.butel.nl/

Trunkstar 780 http://scanstar.com/products/trunkstar780.htm

Enjoy
Mike

"Pozilla Software" <support.xxx.no.spam@pozillasoft.com> wrote in message
news:FTOba.578$JE5.490@news2.central.cox.net...
We have fixed the decimal point issue with WinScan780, as well as a couple of other issues in the software. We are aware of the resources WinScan780 uses and we are working to correct that and still have the program function in realtime.
To receive the update, please send e-mail to support@pozillasoft.com and please title the e-mail WinScan780 update. We will need the serial number of your program, which can be found on the about screen of the program.

We will then e-mail you the update for the software.

What caused this problem - Uniden made a change to the firmware of the radio about 6 months ago and this caused the software to start functioning erratically. Pozilla Software just found out about this firmware change and received a new radio last week and we have fixed the problem.

We apologize to all of our customers for the delay.

Warmest Regards,

Adam Goldman
President
Pozilla Software

509. Re: Beta Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 20:50:23 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <ja6ca.68645$eG2.9998@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 35125

Do you leave your windows open at nite

"Skip Dube" <skipd@ttlc.net> wrote in message
news:v70uj86ra8qu26@corp.supernews.com...
> Name Roland Dube
>
> * Address 9 Towle Rd
>
> * City, State, Zip Kingston NH 03848
>
> * Daytime Phone #603-642-4739
>
> * E-mail Address skipd@ttlc.net
>
> I recently purchased a BC785XLT with Digital Card
>
> My Computer is a Pentium III 850Mhz 256M Ram Dual Boot O/S Win 98 and Win
> 2000 Running on my own Win 2003 Beta Server. Also use a Dell 750Mhz Laptop
> with 256 Ram. Win2000.
>
> I am a Network Administrator and have in-depth knowledge of O/S and PC's.
I
> am Currently Beta Testing Win 2003 Enterprise server and understand that
> Beta testing requires feedback to developers
>
> I have been a scanner enthusiast for 20 Years. I monitor Trunked Systems
"Ma
> SP", Digital and conventional analog. Prior to owning the BC785D I used
the
> BC895XLT and also have a BC235XLT.
> If I can be of assistance please contact me.
>
> Thanks Roland
>
>
>
>
>
>

510. Re: Beta Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 20:54:06 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <Od6ca.4409$yr5.3006@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35126

Uh oh. Looks like a job for Captain Scanner!

-me

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:ja6ca.68645$eG2.9998@sccrnsc03...
> Do you leave your windows open at nite
>
> "Skip Dube" <skipd@ttlc.net> wrote in message
> news:v70uj86ra8qu26@corp.supernews.com...
> > Name Roland Dube
> >
> > * Address 9 Towle Rd
> >
> > * City, State, Zip Kingston NH 03848
> >
> > * Daytime Phone #603-642-4739
> >
> > * E-mail Address skipd@ttlc.net
> >
> > I recently purchased a BC785XLT with Digital Card
> >
> > My Computer is a Pentium III 850Mhz 256M Ram Dual Boot O/S Win 98 and
Win
> > 2000 Running on my own Win 2003 Beta Server. Also use a Dell 750Mhz
Laptop
> > with 256 Ram. Win2000.
> >
> > I am a Network Administrator and have in-depth knowledge of O/S and
PC's.
> I
> > am Currently Beta Testing Win 2003 Enterprise server and understand that
> > Beta testing requires feedback to developers
> >
> > I have been a scanner enthusiast for 20 Years. I monitor Trunked Systems
> "Ma
> > SP", Digital and conventional analog. Prior to owning the BC785D I used
> the
> > BC895XLT and also have a BC235XLT.
> > If I can be of assistance please contact me.
> >
> > Thanks Roland
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
>
>

511. Re: Beta Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 20:55:05 UTC
From: GaryS@ILUVSPAM.com
Message-ID: <asr17vc7dvt8skuau7ckjeeruqap3a8238@4ax.com>
Source record: 35127

On Thu, 13 Mar 2003 20:50:23 GMT, "Emilio Goldstein"
<egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote:

>Do you leave your windows open at nite

LOL! I was going to ask what hours he's not home. The only thing he
didn't list is the deactivation code for his alarm. Assuming he has
one.
>

512. FS: Pro-2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 22:52:00 UTC
From: For Rent <duckie74@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kY7ca.6208$km7.1125458076@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35128
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am selling a used Radio Shack Pro 2006 Scanner. This scanner is wonderful. Excellent condition. 400 channel capacity. Two speeds for scanning through bands. It has 10 banks to store channels. Much more. Original box & manual included.

Price is $200 or best offer. I'd accept payment through Yahoo, Ebay's payment system, or United States Postal Money Order. Verified seller through Ebay and Yahoo's auctions (this item is not listed on auction sites yet).

If interested, reply via email.

Thanks!

513. Re: Uniden 780 XLT comparisons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-13 23:39:19 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <HE8ca.4476$yr5.799@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35129

Well, the apple has a nice flavor, and makes for easy eating (no peeling involved) but the orange is far superior to the apple for making orange juice, which only the orange is capable of.

-me

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:gASaa.10084$1v.699060@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> How does the Uniden 780 XLT compare with other professional receivers
> (AOR-3000A, Icom R-7000, R-7100, etc) as far as sensitivity, selectivity,
> intermod rejection? Anyone made a comparison?
>
> Frank
>
>

514. SRP Arizona - Helicopter freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 00:12:49 UTC
From: antispam@fake-email.com
Message-ID: <3e711dc1.71929449@news.covad.net>
Source record: 35130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Theyre flying over me weekly and I have not heard them on the SRP 900MHz EDACS freqs. I'm trying all SRP licensed freqs from the FCC including 2 aero freqs (122.825 and 122.850) with still no luck.. They were once reported to be on 451.475, again no luck here.

Anyone know what freqs they talk to dispatch on?

Better yet, any employees for SRP-AZ on the group? :)

TIA

-ScanMan

515. Bearcat 250 Appears Dead Help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 02:06:20 UTC
From: Nick <nvavro@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <95e27vcrrbe8qdd8cs61tihlmuho29qut9@4ax.com>
Source record: 35132

My friend's old 20 year circa for sure appears dead. What can I look for to possibly solve and fix the problem. Would it be an exclusive Bearcat part or can aftermarket electronics work. There is no display or volume. Nick

516. Newbie Question - How Do I Find Out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 02:13:39 UTC
From: Dave <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <TKaca.9583$Re4.2664@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35131

How would I go about finding the right kind of equipment needed to listen to police/fire/etc. in my local area.

If I understand correctly, there are different kind of systems that require different types of scanners. Is this correct?

517. Re: Bearcat 250 Appears Dead Help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 02:41:55 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@nowhere.edu>
Message-ID: <3E71416D.5090807@nowhere.edu>
Source record: 35133

http://www.repairfaq.org/REPAIR/F_BC_Repair.html

Hope this helps
GB

Nick wrote:

>My friend's old 20 year circa for sure appears dead. What can I look
>for to possibly solve and fix the problem. Would it be an exclusive
>Bearcat part or can aftermarket electronics work. There is no display
>or volume. Nick
>
>

518. Re: Prosecution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 03:10:00 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030313221000.07025.00000012@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 35135

I've never had a bad experience

519. Re: Newbie Question - How Do I Find Out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 03:26:09 UTC
From: Dave <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <aUbca.9278$TO2.2925@fe08.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35136

I'm in the Monroe, Louisiana Area.

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:cocca.101$we1.51736@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Dave,
>
> What city are you in? Also, try a google search of your city +
frequencies.
> If there are trunked radio systems, usually in the 800mhz range, you need
a
> trunking scanner. You just need to find out what kind of trunked radio
> system it is (ex. Ericsson, Motorola, etc.) to get the correct kind of
> scanner you need. Another major factor is range of frequencies. From the
> freq. you found, what is the range? Then once you've figured all of this
out
> I would recommend you visit radioshack or uniden's website. The only other
> factor is cost. How much are you willing to pay.
>
> ===Matt===
>
> "Dave" <nospam@nospam.net> wrote in message
> news:TKaca.9583$Re4.2664@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > How would I go about finding the right kind of equipment needed to
listen
> to
> > police/fire/etc. in my local area.
> >
> > If I understand correctly, there are different kind of systems that
> require
> > different types of scanners. Is this correct?
> >
> >
> >
>
>

520. Re: Newbie Question - How Do I Find Out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 03:46:58 UTC
From: Steve Draft-Peppin <draftpeppin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Sgcca.73916$L1.13373@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 35137

Give this a try.......

http://www.cityfreq.com:81/la/monroe/

"Dave" <nospam@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:aUbca.9278$TO2.2925@fe08.atl2.webusenet.com...
> I'm in the Monroe, Louisiana Area.
>
> "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:cocca.101$we1.51736@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > Dave,
> >
> > What city are you in? Also, try a google search of your city +
> frequencies.
> > If there are trunked radio systems, usually in the 800mhz range, you
need
> a
> > trunking scanner. You just need to find out what kind of trunked radio
> > system it is (ex. Ericsson, Motorola, etc.) to get the correct kind of
> > scanner you need. Another major factor is range of frequencies. From the
> > freq. you found, what is the range? Then once you've figured all of this
> out
> > I would recommend you visit radioshack or uniden's website. The only
other
> > factor is cost. How much are you willing to pay.
> >
> > ===Matt===
> >
> > "Dave" <nospam@nospam.net> wrote in message
> > news:TKaca.9583$Re4.2664@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > > How would I go about finding the right kind of equipment needed to
> listen
> > to
> > > police/fire/etc. in my local area.
> > >
> > > If I understand correctly, there are different kind of systems that
> > require
> > > different types of scanners. Is this correct?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

>

521. Re: Newbie Question - How Do I Find Out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 03:54:48 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <cocca.101$we1.51736@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35134

Dave,

What city are you in? Also, try a google search of your city + frequencies. If there are trunked radio systems, usually in the 800mhz range, you need a trunking scanner. You just need to find out what kind of trunked radio system it is (ex. Ericsson, Motorola, etc.) to get the correct kind of scanner you need. Another major factor is range of frequencies. From the freq. you found, what is the range? Then once you've figured all of this out I would recommend you visit radioshack or uniden's website. The only other factor is cost. How much are you willing to pay.

===Matt===

"Dave" <nospam@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:TKaca.9583$Re4.2664@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com...
> How would I go about finding the right kind of equipment needed to listen
to
> police/fire/etc. in my local area.
>
> If I understand correctly, there are different kind of systems that
require
> different types of scanners. Is this correct?
>
>
>

522. Re: Newbie Question - How Do I Find Out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 04:22:40 UTC
From: Dave <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <1Dcca.4129$pi1.3959@fe07.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35138

So all those 800. frequencies are trunked? How do I know what reciever to get?

"Steve Draft-Peppin" <draftpeppin@attbi.com> wrote in message news:Sgcca.73916$L1.13373@sccrnsc02...
> Give this a try.....
>
> http://www.cityfreq.com:81/la/monroe/
>
> "Dave" <nospam@nospam.net> wrote in message
> news:aUbca.9278$TO2.2925@fe08.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > I'm in the Monroe, Louisiana Area.
> >
> > "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> > news:cocca.101$we1.51736@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > > Dave,
> > >
> > > What city are you in? Also, try a google search of your city +
> > frequencies.
> > > If there are trunked radio systems, usually in the 800mhz range, you
> need
> > a
> > > trunking scanner. You just need to find out what kind of trunked radio
> > > system it is (ex. Ericsson, Motorola, etc.) to get the correct kind of
> > > scanner you need. Another major factor is range of frequencies. From
the
> > > freq. you found, what is the range? Then once you've figured all of
this
> > out
> > > I would recommend you visit radioshack or uniden's website. The only
> other
> > > factor is cost. How much are you willing to pay.
> > >
> > > ===Matt===
> > >
> > > "Dave" <nospam@nospam.net> wrote in message
> > > news:TKaca.9583$Re4.2664@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > > > How would I go about finding the right kind of equipment needed to
> > listen
> > > to
> > > > police/fire/etc. in my local area.
> > > >
> > > > If I understand correctly, there are different kind of systems that
> > > require

> > > > different types of scanners. Is this correct?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

523. Re: Newbie Question - How Do I Find Out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 04:57:51 UTC
From: Steve Draft-Peppin <draftpeppin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <jjdca.85391$6b3.296612@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 35139

I don't know, actually. There are some 800's listed in my area, but I've never bothered to try listening to them. All my police/fire/ems stuff around here is in the 150's, so I just have a really basic scanner. At first glance, I don't see much in the 800's for you that look particularly interesting, but I'm not sure what you're interested in following. Maybe someone with experience with trunked systems can tell you more about that type of thing.

Steve

"Dave" <nospam@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:1Dcca.4129$pi1.3959@fe07.atl2.webusenet.com...
> So all those 800. frequencies are trunked? How do I know what reciever to
> get?
>
> "Steve Draft-Peppin" <draftpeppin@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:Sgcca.73916$L1.13373@sccrnsc02...
> > Give this a try.....
> >
> > http://www.cityfreq.com:81/la/monroe/
> >
> > "Dave" <nospam@nospam.net> wrote in message
> > news:aUbca.9278$TO2.2925@fe08.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > > I'm in the Monroe, Louisiana Area.
> > >
> > > "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> > > news:cocca.101$we1.51736@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > Dave,
> > > >
> > > > What city are you in? Also, try a google search of your city +
> > > frequencies.
> > > > If there are trunked radio systems, usually in the 800mhz range, you
> > need
> > > a
> > > > trunking scanner. You just need to find out what kind of trunked
radio
> > > > system it is (ex. Ericsson, Motorola, etc.) to get the correct kind
of
> > > > scanner you need. Another major factor is range of frequencies. From
> the
> > > > freq. you found, what is the range? Then once you've figured all of
> this
> > > out
> > > > I would recommend you visit radioshack or uniden's website. The only
> > other

> > > > factor is cost. How much are you willing to pay.
> > > >
> > > > ===Matt===
> > > >
> > > > "Dave" <nospam@nospam.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:TKaca.9583$Re4.2664@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > > > > How would I go about finding the right kind of equipment needed to
> > listen
> > > > to
> > > > > police/fire/etc. in my local area.
> > > > >
> > > > > If I understand correctly, there are different kind of systems
that
> > > > require
> > > > > different types of scanners. Is this correct?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

524. Re: unexplained static

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 04:58:32 UTC
From: Steve Draft-Peppin <draftpeppin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Yjdca.85904$qi4.51878@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 35140

I just e-mailed Uniden repairs with my problem. We'll see what they have to say.

Steve

<xxxm@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:b4ot8q$523$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> 154.19 is a common FD freq. If your hand held is more sensitive than the
> base you might be picking up dispatch tones from a distant FD. The tones
> use less bandwidth than voice and can break squelch or if they are strong
> enough. If they are just a bit stronger than what your squelch is set at
> they may sound like noise. They go away when the much stronger station you
> want to hear transmits. (Desired station over powers noise.)
> Placing the unit at different angles (horizontal) puts the antenna 90 deg
> from vertical. Most public servivce comms are vertical polarization. This
> adds a little attenuation. Placing your hand around the antenna does the
> same thing. Sometimes it will even help reception. You could experiment
> with a higher squelch setting. Also if you are brave enough to open the
> unit you could place a peice of aluminum foil on the inside back of the
> unit. CAUTION!!! It would be best to cover all of the said foil with
> electrical tape; you do not want to blow the scanner up. If you do not want
> to do that look for screws that hold the board to the chassis/case I have
> heard that a couple of guys put one of those star washers under the screw
> and it helped with noise. So they said.
>
> mc

525. newb q on BC800XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 05:15:03 UTC
From: Evets <themtx@foo.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Qqdca.9191$Rx4.109@fe09.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35141

Hey folks,

I recently acquired my mom's BC800XLT that collected dust for 10 years, and to my surprise, it works fine. Got WX, and heard some snippets of local fire rescue, ER dispatch stuff, and what sounded like some hams (from freq. lists found via google). I have no manual, but it seems like a pretty simple scanner to operate, though I have a few questions for those of you with the knowledge and inclination to help:

1) is there a reset feature on the unit? I'd like to get the scanner back to virgin condition memory-wise.

2) I found out how to do manual freq range scans (very helpful) by using lower freq., limit, upper freq., limit, then search, but... I don't know how to program these freq. ranges into channels for easier scanning. Also, are the radio's 40 channels empty in its virgin state, or are some preprogrammed? If they're blank to start, my mom programmed about 25 ch. with some weird freqs (probably unintentionally). Anyone willing to give me a quick primer on this function?

3) Air band - What am I missing here? When I attempt to enter 108, limit, xxx limit, then search, the unit errors out when I plug in 108. I do realize the search range should be in the same band, but what gives?

4) I found some online manual ordering sources and will probably spend the $6 to get one, unless a kind soul want to help me out *wink*

Thanks from a scanner newbie. I SWL as well, and figured with a freebie 800XLT, I could try out scanning...

Steve

526. Saginaw County, Michigan Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 05:47:03 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b4st1632pmp@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 35056
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Am curious to find if there is anyone in either newsgroup I am posting to, that monitors the Saginaw County, Michigan public/emergency services frequencies??

Please email if you can, (remove the "NOSPAM") in the email address to reply.

Many thanks in advance!
--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
--. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
.. --. .... - . .-. ...

527. Re: newb q on BC800XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 06:00:58 UTC
From: Paul P <splicer65@go.com>
Message-ID: <3e7170bd_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 35143

You loose, your e-mail bounced :o(

"Evets" <themtx@foo.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Qqdca.9191$Rx4.109@fe09.atl2.webusenet.com...
> Hey folks,
>
> I recently acquired my mom's BC800XLT that collected dust for 10 years,
and
> to my surprise, it works fine. Got WX, and heard some snippets of local
> fire rescue, ER dispatch stuff, and what sounded like some hams (from
freq.
> lists found via google). I have no manual, but it seems like a pretty
> simple scanner to operate, though I have a few questions for those of you
> with the knowledge and inclination to help:
>
> 1) is there a reset feature on the unit? I'd like to get the scanner back
> to virgin condition memory-wise.
>
> 2) I found out how to do manual freq range scans (very helpful) by using
> lower freq., limit, upper freq., limit, then search, but... I don't know
how
> to program these freq. ranges into channels for easier scanning. Also,
are
> the radio's 40 channels empty in its virgin state, or are some
> preprogrammed? If they're blank to start, my mom programmed about 25 ch.
> with some weird freqs (probably unintentionally). Anyone willing to give
me
> a quick primer on this function?
>
> 3) Air band - What am I missing here? When I attempt to enter 108,
limit,
> xxx limit, then search, the unit errors out when I plug in 108. I do
> realize the search range should be in the same band, but what gives?
>
> 4) I found some online manual ordering sources and will probably spend the
> $6 to get one, unless a kind soul want to help me out *wink*
>
> Thanks from a scanner newbie. I SWL as well, and figured with a freebie
> 800XLT, I could try out scanning...
>
> Steve
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

528. Re: newb q on BC800XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 06:09:12 UTC
From: Evets <themtx@foo.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pdeca.11509$o62.5583@fe10.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35144

should have mentioned to de-foo the address.

and I am loose. :-)

thanks paul!

"Paul P" <splicer65@go.com> wrote in message
news:3e7170bd_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> You loose, your e-mail bounced :o(
>
> "Evets" <themtx@foo.yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Qqdca.9191$Rx4.109@fe09.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > Hey folks,
> >
> > I recently acquired my mom's BC800XLT that collected dust for 10 years,
> and
> > to my surprise, it works fine. Got WX, and heard some snippets of local
> > fire rescue, ER dispatch stuff, and what sounded like some hams (from
> freq.
> > lists found via google). I have no manual, but it seems like a pretty
> > simple scanner to operate, though I have a few questions for those of
you
> > with the knowledge and inclination to help:
> >
> > 1) is there a reset feature on the unit? I'd like to get the scanner
back
> > to virgin condition memory-wise.
> >
> > 2) I found out how to do manual freq range scans (very helpful) by using
> > lower freq., limit, upper freq., limit, then search, but... I don't know
> how
> > to program these freq. ranges into channels for easier scanning. Also,
> are
> > the radio's 40 channels empty in its virgin state, or are some
> > preprogrammed? If they're blank to start, my mom programmed about 25
ch.
> > with some weird freqs (probably unintentionally). Anyone willing to
give
> me
> > a quick primer on this function?
> >
> > 3) Air band - What am I missing here? When I attempt to enter 108,
> limit,
> > xxx limit, then search, the unit errors out when I plug in 108. I do
> > realize the search range should be in the same band, but what gives?

> >
> > 4) I found some online manual ordering sources and will probably spend
the
> > $6 to get one, unless a kind soul want to help me out *wink*
> >
> > Thanks from a scanner newbie. I SWL as well, and figured with a freebie
> > 800XLT, I could try out scanning...
> >
> > Steve
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

529. Everyone who is not Waldo is Nutso

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 06:45:18 UTC
From: Ephimerol <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com>
Message-ID: <2Ueca.75097$F1.2671@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 35145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

They can't find Osama, or the weapons of mass destruction, or the hijackers in time.
They can't find Elizabeth Smart who was dressed like a medieval clown having a milkshake at the mall.

GWB's answer is to wreck everything from the economy to the deficit, to all international relations, to the lives of every person in uniform and his or her loved ones who confuse loyalty to the soldiers as loyalty to whatever war he wants to start.
They went after Clinton, they are going after Iraq, and they are increasingly going after you.

You elected him, now you stop him.

530. Re: newb q on BC800XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 07:55:34 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v732nctcclbobe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35146

Because the coverage doesn't start until 118.

For more info on specs see:
http://strongsignals.net/access/content/rr_bc800.html

"Evets" <themtx@foo.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Qqdca.9191$Rx4.109@fe09.atl2.webusenet.com...
> Hey folks,
>
> I recently acquired my mom's BC800XLT that collected dust for 10 years,
and
> to my surprise, it works fine. Got WX, and heard some snippets of local
> fire rescue, ER dispatch stuff, and what sounded like some hams (from
freq.
> lists found via google). I have no manual, but it seems like a pretty
> simple scanner to operate, though I have a few questions for those of you
> with the knowledge and inclination to help:
>
> 1) is there a reset feature on the unit? I'd like to get the scanner back
> to virgin condition memory-wise.
>
> 2) I found out how to do manual freq range scans (very helpful) by using
> lower freq., limit, upper freq., limit, then search, but... I don't know
how
> to program these freq. ranges into channels for easier scanning. Also,
are
> the radio's 40 channels empty in its virgin state, or are some
> preprogrammed? If they're blank to start, my mom programmed about 25 ch.
> with some weird freqs (probably unintentionally). Anyone willing to give
me
> a quick primer on this function?
>
> 3) Air band - What am I missing here? When I attempt to enter 108,
limit,
> xxx limit, then search, the unit errors out when I plug in 108. I do
> realize the search range should be in the same band, but what gives?
>
> 4) I found some online manual ordering sources and will probably spend the
> $6 to get one, unless a kind soul want to help me out *wink*
>
> Thanks from a scanner newbie. I SWL as well, and figured with a freebie
> 800XLT, I could try out scanning...
>
> Steve
>

>
>

531. digital scanners for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 08:11:03 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g9gca.6395$4q6.963213@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35047

does anybody know where i could get a digital scanner and its apco card via COD in canada? preferably as low cost as possible.

much thanks.

532. Considering a PRO92...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 08:40:24 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b4rqd6rde1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35142

Looking for comments on the PRO92, anything I should know, current Rat Shack pricing (clearance???), does it decode both CTCSS and DCS? Thanks to any who respond!

533. Re: McDonalds Mt Morris, MI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 11:42:54 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3E71C03E.C45C0AB8@mitre.org>
Source record: 35048

Some of the Mc D□s and Donut shops in New Hampshire
have gone to 920- 930 MHz using Wide band FM. They still come
in on narrow band FM, it just doesn□t sound very clear.
This is an unlicensed band and may not show up
in the FCC or other databases. Look around 151, 154 MHz,
do a search around those frequencies,
for the older headsets.

Tried several Mc D□s
in southern NH along I 93 and didn□t find the drive
through on any band. The head sets may be hard wired or up on 2.3 GHz
Try this list- site for an in depth looks a fast food frequencies.
http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/fastfood.html

<http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/fastfd2.html>
Jim

tomcatgbmi wrote:

> anyone know the freq of the McDonalds drive thru in Mt. Morris, MI? i live
> across the street.

534. Re: Newbie Question - How Do I Find Out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 13:22:02 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb73lrr.vg.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 35050

In article <TKaca.9583$Re4.2664@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com>, Dave wrote:
> How would I go about finding the right kind of equipment needed to listen to
> police/fire/etc. in my local area.
>
> If I understand correctly, there are different kind of systems that require
> different types of scanners. Is this correct?

No. You can get more or less full-range scanners, and a digital
module for some models. If it is encrypted, you have to steal
a working receiver.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

535. Re: Everyone who is not Waldo is Nutso

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 13:52:09 UTC
From: Jesse <samiam@thecan.com>
Message-ID: <3E71DE89.6040003@thecan.com>
Source record: 35051
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ephimerol wrote:
> They can't find Osama, or the weapons of mass destruction, or the hijackers
> in time.
> They can't find Elizabeth Smart who was dressed like a medieval clown having
> a milkshake at the mall.
>
> GWB's answer is to wreck everything from the economy to the deficit, to all
> international relations, to the lives of every person in uniform and his or
> her loved ones who confuse loyalty to the soldiers as loyalty to whatever
> war he wants to start.
> They went after Clinton, they are going after Iraq, and they are
> increasingly going after you.
>
> You elected him, now you stop him.
>
>
Try to keep it on topic bud theres enough political newsgroups out there.
Besides if you think you can do better than Bush your free to make a run
in 2004.

536. Re: Considering a PRO92...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 13:57:40 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b4scvn$l5o$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35049

Okay...based on the emails I have received in response, perhaps I should look at the BC245XLT. Comments? This is for a low-cost scanner for bringing to work and all I am concerned with is CTCSS/DCS recognition and the ability to monitor both conventional and trunked frequencies at once.

Thanks!

Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:b4rqd6rde1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> Looking for comments on the PRO92, anything I should know, current Rat Shack
> pricing (clearance???), does it decode both CTCSS and DCS? Thanks to any who
> respond!
>
>

537. Re: McDonalds Mt Morris, MI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 14:01:04 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b4sn8i$21k6hg$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 35052

"tomcatgbmi" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030313005550.07107.00000153@mb-fa.aol.com...
> anyone know the freq of the McDonalds drive thru in Mt. Morris, MI? i live
> across the street.

Most McDonald's drive-thus use the freq of 35.020, but it may use a
different freq.

Tom

538. Re: Yaesu/Vertex FTH-2070 Review?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 15:12:30 UTC
From: Blank <No_one@example.net>
Message-ID: <yjmca.81837$3D1.2850@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 35053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,alt.firefighters,rec.radio.scanner

I have an FTH-2070. It works just fine in the ham bands, both VHF and UHF. However it is an OLD radio design and only has 32 channels. The programming software is also very old, and will only run on older technology PC's (486 or less) in true DOS mode. The radio is physically large, pretty rugged. I would not consider it seriously unless you truly need an FCC certificated dual band radio. For the price (of a new one) you can get two newer radios, one on each band, with much more capability.

I have not experienced intermod problems with mine, and I do live, and use it, in a tough environment.

So in summary, if the price is right, and you really need a certificated dual band, go for it. Otherwise, buy newer and better. There have been rumors that Vertex might introduce a dual band radio based on the VX-900, which would be fantastic. But those are unsubstantiated rumors, and I've seen no indication that it is true.

Carl

"Dennis" <cycleguy56@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b5820319.0303081841.7fd12cb9@posting.google.com...
> Would anyone care to post his/her opinions on the performance of this
> radio in congested urban RF envionments? How does it perform? When
> taken to the ham bands, how does it do? Sensetivity? Will most
> examples go into the ham bands?
>
> Any and all opinions welcome. Thanks
>
> Dennis

539. Re: newb q on BC800XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 15:49:48 UTC
From: Evets <themtx@foo.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ALmca.388$955.242@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 35054

Thanks for the link. That would explain the error msg.

Steve

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v732nctcclbobe@corp.supernews.com...
> Because the coverage doesn't start until 118.
>
> For more info on specs see:
> http://strongsignals.net/access/content/rr_bc800.html
>
>
> "Evets" <themtx@foo.yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Qqdca.9191$Rx4.109@fe09.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > Hey folks,
> >
> > I recently acquired my mom's BC800XLT that collected dust for 10 years,
> and
> > to my surprise, it works fine. Got WX, and heard some snippets of local
> > fire rescue, ER dispatch stuff, and what sounded like some hams (from
> freq.
> > lists found via google). I have no manual, but it seems like a pretty
> > simple scanner to operate, though I have a few questions for those of
you
> > with the knowledge and inclination to help:
> >
> > 1) is there a reset feature on the unit? I'd like to get the scanner
back
> > to virgin condition memory-wise.
> >
> > 2) I found out how to do manual freq range scans (very helpful) by using
> > lower freq., limit, upper freq., limit, then search, but... I don't know
> how
> > to program these freq. ranges into channels for easier scanning. Also,
> are
> > the radio's 40 channels empty in its virgin state, or are some
> > preprogrammed? If they're blank to start, my mom programmed about 25
ch.
> > with some weird freqs (probably unintentionally). Anyone willing to
give
> me
> > a quick primer on this function?
> >
> > 3) Air band - What am I missing here? When I attempt to enter 108,
> limit,

> > xxx limit, then search, the unit errors out when I plug in 108. I do
> > realize the search range should be in the same band, but what gives?
> >
> > 4) I found some online manual ordering sources and will probably spend
the
> > $6 to get one, unless a kind soul want to help me out *wink*
> >
> > Thanks from a scanner newbie. I SWL as well, and figured with a freebie
> > 800XLT, I could try out scanning...
> >
> > Steve
> >
> >
> >
>
>

540. Re: how do i work my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 16:00:12 UTC
From: xxxm@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <b4suc0$l0v$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35055

No, no. He needs a frack-o-stat. The left handed one, connect that to an Acme model 1 dis-combobulator. Plug that into the "phone" jack, this will turn the whole thing into a fax/scanner.

541. Re: Prosecution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 17:01:03 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BA9774FE.130CF%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 35057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Reticulum" wrote:

> If you're that worried just have it mailed to
> your wife. After all, there are priorities !

Man, that is too funny. :)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

542. FS:HT1000 UHF 450-512 WITH 16 CHANNELS HI CAP BATTERY AND RIB BOX WITH CABLES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 17:33:16 UTC
From: Parts guy <securit1951@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wnoca.498$pK4.49785@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 35058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello group I have a 16 channel HT1000 radio in uhf 450-512 in excellent condition comes
with high capacity battery, slow charger and a public safety speaker mic
radio has an analog voice inversion board already installed but unprogrammed
part# ntn8313a I will include an additional board they will only work in
late model ht's that have an option mate board.
I will also throw in a rib box with programming cable will e-mail RSS if needed.
I Will ship nextday air upon
reciept of payment i am asking $350.00 or best offer.
I would consider a trade for a GE MRK III portable in uhf.

Thanks for your time

543. Re: unexplained static

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 17:38:57 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BA977DE0.130D1%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 35059

"Steve Draft-Peppin" wrote:
>
> A while back, I started getting static on one
> of the primary channels I listen to with my
> handheld scanner. (snip)
>
> I eventually noticed that if I put the antenna
> on my lap or put my hand around the antenna,
> the problem would go away. (snip)

Have you tried the obvious - like replacing the antenna? It is fairly easy to break the antenna on a handheld. If this happens, the antenna will be seriously detuned, with all kinds of possible results. If the base scanner has an external antenna, try hooking the handheld to it for a while to see if the problem goes away.

If you don't have access to an external antenna, run a wire (about 20') around the room with one end stuck in the center of the antenna connector on the handheld. That should give you a decent enough antenna to compare performance to the antenna on the handheld. If the noise disappears, get a new antenna.

This may also be a good time to clean the antenna contacts. Spray the antenna contacts, and the antenna connector, with a contact cleaner (available at Radio Shack). After doing so (don't over-spray), work the antenna in the connector for a few minutes (remove, replace, twist, repeat).

Finally, while you have the antenna removed, check the connector. If it moves at all, get it tightened down. You'll have to take the scanner apart to do this. If you don't know how, don't try it yourself - get someone to do it for you or send it in for repair.

Anything else suggests a trip to the repair center.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

544. Pozilla Says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 19:31:00 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E722E77.2090605@earthlink.net>
Source record: 35060

Burr,

The update available is only for users of WinScan780. We are working on a new version of the software for the 895/245 radios, but that software is not schedule for release until late April / Early May.

If you have any further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to send us e-mail.

Thank you,

John
Technical Support
Pozilla Software

Sure am glad I own this fine software, wish someone else did.

Burr

545. Re: Burr Says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 20:03:00 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <Uzqca.7259$yr5.3119@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35061

I missed it. What's the problem you're having?

-me
"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E722E77.2090605@earthlink.net...
> Burr,
>
> The update available is only for users of WinScan780. We are working on a
> new version of the software for the 895/245 radios, but that software is
not
> schedule for release until late April / Early May.
>
> If you have any further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to
> send us e-mail.
>
> Thank you,
>
> John
> Technical Support
> Pozilla Software
>
> Sure am glad I own this fine software, wish someone else did.
>
> Burr
>

546. Live MilAir Broadcast, very active in Florida!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 20:31:07 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E723C0B.10006@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

This past week has been VERY active for MilAir activties in Florida. Today is no different. I am currently broadcasting 4 out of 13 scanners of mine live. You can listen at http://www.MilAirComms.com Avon Park and SEALORD areas is very active right now at 1530 hrs EST. If you've never heard bombing runs or dog fights this is your chance.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

547. Re: Live MilAir Broadcast, very active in Florida!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 20:46:10 UTC
From: wf3h@ptnospam.com
Message-ID: <3e723f34.58325777@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 35064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 14 Mar 2003 15:31:07 -0500, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

>This past week has been VERY active for MilAir activties in Florida.
>Today is no different. I am currently broadcasting 4 out of 13 scanners
>of mine live. You can listen at http://www.MilAirComms.com Avon Park
>and SEALORD areas is very active right now at 1530 hrs EST. If you've
>never heard bombing runs or dog fights this is your chance.
>
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>
mom lives in avon park...sez this is a bombing range used to replace
vieques
----
to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
and enter 'wf3h' in the field

548. Re: digital scanners for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 20:50:10 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <6grca.7346$yr5.6036@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35063

Are there any agencies in Canada that are using APCO25? I thought they had some sort of TETRA derivative... I could be wrong, but I'd hate to see someone to spend all that $$$ on a scanner that won't work for them..

-me

"Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:g9gca.6395$4q6.963213@news20.bellglobal.com...
> does anybody know where i could get a digital scanner and its apco card via
> COD in canada? preferably as low cost as possible.
>
> much thanks.
>
>

549. Re: unexplained static

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 20:58:26 UTC
From: Steve Draft-Peppin <draftpeppin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Snrca.81373$F1.3359@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 35065

I tried putting my base unit antenna on it about a week ago with no improvement. I'll try your idea of cleaning it out.

The following is the reply from Uniden. For this cost, I might as well just buy one of the refurbished ones I've seen for $80.

Steve,
The problem, sounds like a bad capacitor or diode. The scanner is in need of repair

The total repair cost including shipping, will be $56.95

Please sent to
Uniden Service Inc
Attn: Repair
4700 Amon Carter Blvd
Fort Worth, TX 76155

Please include a note explaining the problem
-----Original Message-----
From: Sanford, Susan
Sent: Friday, March 14, 2003 1:04 PM
To: Parmes, Michael
Subject: FW: possible problem with BC80XLT

-----Original Message-----
From: Steve Draft-Peppin [mailto:draftpeppin@attbi.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2003 10:55 PM
To: Repair
Subject: possible problem with BC80XLT

Hi,

I'm just writing because my handheld scanner (BC80XLT) seems to have developed a problem which neither I, nor anyone in several online scanner discussion groups can explain.

I've had the scanner for about two years now. About 9 months or so ago, I began getting static on one of the main channels I listen to (fire dispatch, 154.19 mhz.) Occasionally it only required that I set the squelch to maximum, but many times, that wasn't enough. I noticed that if I touched the antenna to certain surfaces (primarily my arm or hand), the problem would go away, even on lower squelch settings. I eventually got the idea to put a thin piece of aluminum foil going from about an inch from the top of the antenna, down to the radio itself. That seemed to have the same effect as touching the antenna to my hand.

Just to be clear, the static is not always present. I'm not sure if it comes and goes with humidity, other conditions, or by chance. When it is present, it is only present when no one is talking on the channel. The channel is perfectly clear whenever people are talking on it. Also, I have used the scanner in two different locations which are about 10 miles apart, and the problem occurs in both places.

Also, I am reasonably confident that it is not related to an actual transmission in the area for two reasons. 1) The fact that it seems to be a problem in two different locations (as I said above.) 2) Because I also have a base scanner (BC278CLT) which has never had these problems, even though it picks up signals better than the handheld (which would make me think it would pick up whatever is making the static even more than the handheld.)

I should also mention that sometimes the scanner does not stop on the channel and pick up the static, but when it stops on another channel and someone is talking, it will switch over to the problem channel and there will be temporary static (the channel in question is set as a priority channel.) This made me wonder if somehow the wires to the speaker were affecting this frequency.

Finally, strangely enough, at the times when the problem occurs, turning the backlight on seems to make it worse.

Again, it did not happen the first year or so that I had the scanner.

I don't know if this is a defect that just took a long time to show up, or just a result of heavy use or if I damaged it in some way.

Anyway, I realize this was a long e-mail, but I was trying to anticipate any questions you might come back with and I wanted to be complete. I would appreciate it if you could tell me about your repair services, costs, etc., and what I would end up paying to have you look at it even if it turned out to not be a problem with my scanner. Any other advice would be appreciated as well.

Thanks for any help you can provide,

Steve Draft-Peppin

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BA977DE0.130D1%stewart@say.net...
> "Steve Draft-Peppin" wrote:
> >
> > A while back, I started getting static on one
> > of the primary channels I listen to with my
> > handheld scanner. (snip)
> >
> > I eventually noticed that if I put the antenna
> > on my lap or put my hand around the antenna,
> > the problem would go away. (snip)
>
>
> Have you tried the obvious - like replacing the antenna? It is fairly

easy
> to break the antenna on a handheld. If this happens, the antenna will be
> seriously detuned, with all kinds of possible results. If the base scanner
> has an external antenna, try hooking the handheld to it for a while to see
> if the problem goes away.
>
> If you don't have access to an external antenna, run a wire (about 20')
> around the room with one end stuck in the center of the antenna connector
on
> the handheld. That should give you a decent enough antenna to compare
> performance to the antenna on the handheld. If the noise disappears, get a
> new antenna.
>
> This may also be a good time to clean the antenna contacts. Spray the
> antenna contacts, and the antenna connector, with a contact cleaner
> (available at Radio Shack). After doing so (don't over-spray), work the
> antenna in the connector for a few minutes (remove, replace, twist,
repeat).
>
> Finally, while you have the antenna removed, check the connector. If it
> moves at all, get it tightened down. You'll have to take the scanner apart
> to do this. If you don't know how, don't try it yourself - get someone to
do
> it for you or send it in for repair.
>
> Anything else suggests a trip to the repair center.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

550. Re: digital scanners for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 21:02:44 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ksrca.98$yX1.17768@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35067

yeah thats what i was trying to figure out, i have no idea as to what it is
and nobody around here will tell me. its almost as if its illegal to listen
to it heh........

"me" <me@none.com> wrote in message
news:6grca.7346$yr5.6036@news2.west.cox.net...
> Are there any agencies in Canada that are using APCO25? I thought they had
> some sort of TETRA derivative... I could be wrong, but I'd hate to see
> someone to spend all that $$$ on a scanner that won't work for them..
>
> -me
>
> "Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:g9gca.6395$4q6.963213@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > does anybody know where i could get a digital scanner and its apco card
> via
> > COD in canada? preferably as low cost as possible.
> >
> > much thanks.
> >
> >
>
>

551. Re: Burr Says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 21:05:51 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E724458.9050808@earthlink.net>
Source record: 35066

The software "don't" work for my BC895.

Burr

me wrote:

> I missed it. What's the problem you're having?
>
> -me
> "Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E722E77.2090605@earthlink.net...
>
>>Burr,
>>
>>The update available is only for users of WinScan780. We are working on a
>>new version of the software for the 895/245 radios, but that software is
>>
> not
>
>>schedule for release until late April / Early May.
>>
>>If you have any further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to
>>send us e-mail.
>>
>>Thank you,
>>
>>John
>>Technical Support
>>Pozilla Software
>>
>>Sure am glad I own this fine software, wish someone else did.
>>
>>Burr
>>
>>
>
>

552. Equipment for Sale or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 21:06:57 UTC
From: "Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com>
Message-ID: <k2h47vgtou1nnqcas5702kaogm4p0a3jug@4ax.com>
Source record: 35069

Everything listed on my web page is now up for trade on Ham equipment
or ???? Take a look and make me an offer:

http://www.angelfire.com/in4/47130

Tnx es 73 de Jim, W8EMS/9

553. lost half of the channels AOR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 21:27:57 UTC
From: Ronald Prins <prins@foxxxxxxxx-it.com>
Message-ID: <3e724a4d$0$49110$e4fe514c@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 35070

Hi,

My ar-8000 seems to have lost half of the memory channels. I can now only scan the uppercase banks: A,B,C.

If I press the . dot nothing it doesn't change to lower case.

Is this a known issue?

Thanks,

Ronald

554. Re: Everyone who is not Waldo is Nutso

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 22:02:00 UTC
From: Ephimerol <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com>
Message-ID: <sjsca.84304$3D1.3443@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 35072
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I didn't say vote for me you asshole i said you stop GWB before he ruins everything you moral moron

"Jesse" <samiam@thecan.com> wrote in message news:3E71DE89.6040003@thecan.com...
>
>
> Ephimerol wrote:
> > They can't find Osama, or the weapons of mass destruction, or the hijackers
> > in time.
> > They can't find Elizabeth Smart who was dressed like a medieval clown having
> > a milkshake at the mall.
> >
> > GWB's answer is to wreck everything from the economy to the deficit, to all
> > international relations, to the lives of every person in uniform and his or
> > her loved ones who confuse loyalty to the soldiers as loyalty to whatever
> > war he wants to start.
> > They went after Clinton, they are going after Iraq, and they are
> > increasingly going after you.
> >
> > You elected him, now you stop him.
> >
> >
> Try to keep it on topic bud theres enough political newsgroups out there.
> Besides if you think you can do better than Bush your free to make a run
> in 2004.
>
>

555. Re: lost half of the channels AOR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 22:05:06 UTC
From: Ephimerol <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com>
Message-ID: <mmsca.84317$3D1.3279@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 35073

no it's not an issue. It's because you set a password and the lowercase
banks are invisible until you hit"pass" and then enter the password.

"Ronald Prins" <prins@foxxxxxxxx-it.com> wrote in message
news:3e724a4d$0$49110$e4fe514c@news.xs4all.nl...
> Hi,
>
> My ar-8000 seems to have lost half of the memory channels. I can now only
> scan the uppercase banks: A,B,C.
>
> If I press the . dot nothing it doesn't change to lower case.
>
> Is this a known issue?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Ronald
>
>
>
>

556. Re: Newbie Question - How Do I Find Out...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 22:05:23 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Dmsca.284$we1.196111@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35068

Cityfreq is an OK resource to use. I have looked up my town and I got about the same # of freqs, but I can only hear stuff on about 5. It gives you a good idea of range though. If anyone else listens to these freqs, they could tell you what type of TRS the 800mhz freqs are. Note that not all of them are trunked, saying that 800mhz freqs are trunked is a generalization. Until we know what kind of trs the 800mhz freqs are, and what the range of freqs you want to listen to are, it is hard to pick a good scanner.

====Matt====

"Dave" <nospam@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:1Dcca.4129$pi1.3959@fe07.atl2.webusenet.com...
> So all those 800. frequencies are trunked? How do I know what reciever to
> get?
>
> "Steve Draft-Peppin" <draftpeppin@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:Sgcca.73916$L1.13373@sccrnsc02...
> > Give this a try.....
> >
> > http://www.cityfreq.com:81/la/monroe/
> >
> > "Dave" <nospam@nospam.net> wrote in message
> > news:aUbca.9278$TO2.2925@fe08.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > > I'm in the Monroe, Louisiana Area.
> > >
> > > "Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> > > news:cocca.101$we1.51736@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > Dave,
> > > >
> > > > What city are you in? Also, try a google search of your city +
> > > frequencies.
> > > > If there are trunked radio systems, usually in the 800mhz range, you
> > need
> > > a
> > > > trunking scanner. You just need to find out what kind of trunked
radio
> > > > system it is (ex. Ericsson, Motorola, etc.) to get the correct kind
of
> > > > scanner you need. Another major factor is range of frequencies. From
> the
> > > > freq. you found, what is the range? Then once you've figured all of
> this
> > > out
> > > > I would recommend you visit radioshack or uniden's website. The only
> > other

> > > > factor is cost. How much are you willing to pay.
> > > >
> > > > ===Matt===
> > > >
> > > > "Dave" <nospam@nospam.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:TKaca.9583$Re4.2664@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > > > > How would I go about finding the right kind of equipment needed to
> > listen
> > > > to
> > > > > police/fire/etc. in my local area.
> > > > >
> > > > > If I understand correctly, there are different kind of systems
that
> > > > require
> > > > > different types of scanners. Is this correct?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>

557. Re: Equipment for Sale or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 22:11:30 UTC
From: "Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com>
Message-ID: <6sk47v09ogcqca2jp5lictceu1ivks595d@4ax.com>
Source record: 35074

Lesson Number One. Never post a usenet message when you first wake up. Sorry about the URL. It should have read: http://www.angelfire.com/in4/jnk47130

Thanks to those who wrote and told me about the error.

Jim, W8EMS/9

558. Re: Live MilAir Broadcast, very active in Florida!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 22:30:12 UTC
From: Ken Finney <kenneth.c.finney@boeing.com>
Message-ID: <HBrFuA.FHy@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 35077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3E723C0B.10006@licensed4fun.com...
> This past week has been VERY active for MilAir activties in Florida.
> Today is no different. I am currently broadcasting 4 out of 13 scanners
> of mine live. You can listen at http://www.MilAirComms.com Avon Park
> and SEALORD areas is very active right now at 1530 hrs EST. If you've
> never heard bombing runs or dog fights this is your chance.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

Can you say a little about the hardware and software you use to get the
scanner audio through the PC to live365?

559. Re: Burr Says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 22:44:26 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <eXsca.7584$yr5.5336@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35075

Gee, that's real specific.... Thanks for the detailed description of your alleged problem.

OK class,
"It don't work" he says...

"It works for me" says I.

Lets see here: Both of us own Windows compatible computers, have the same scanner and use the same software. The only variable in the equation is the people themselves. Mine works, his doesn't. Hmm... what would you think?

Yup. Sounds like an I.D.10 T. error to me too... but I could be wrong. However, since "don't work" tells me nada, that's about as helpful as I can be.

Yes, Emilio? You had your hand up in the back? No you cannot go to the bathroom now - you have to wait until recess.

-me
"Please pardon me while I take a wicked wag." - Dogbert

"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E724458.9050808@earthlink.net...
> The software "don't" work for my BC895.
>
> Burr
>
> me wrote:
>
> > I missed it. What's the problem you're having?
> >
> > -me
> > "Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3E722E77.2090605@earthlink.net...
> >
> >>Burr,
> >>
> >>The update available is only for users of WinScan780. We are working on
a
> >>new version of the software for the 895/245 radios, but that software is
> >>
> > not
> >
> >>schedule for release until late April / Early May.
> >>

> >>If you have any further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to
> >>send us e-mail.
> >>
> >>Thank you,
> >>
> >>John
> >>Technical Support
> >>Pozilla Software
> >>
> >>Sure am glad I own this fine software, wish someone else did.
> >>
> >>Burr
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>

560. Re: Everyone who is not Waldo is Nutso

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 22:45:56 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <EYsca.7585$yr5.1777@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 35076
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Naah. I'm too lazy for that. I'll participate in an online poll however, but only if I don't have to get up off the couch.

-me
For the love of soup.

"Ephimerol" <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com> wrote in message news:sjsca.84304$3D1.3443@sccrnsc01...
> I didn't say vote for me you asshole i said you stop GWB before he ruins
> everything you moral moron
>
> "Jesse" <samiam@thecan.com> wrote in message
> news:3E71DE89.6040003@thecan.com...
> >
> >
> > Ephimerol wrote:
> > > They can't find Osama, or the weapons of mass destruction, or the
> hijackers
> > > in time.
> > > They can't find Elizabeth Smart who was dressed like a medieval clown
> having
> > > a milkshake at the mall.
> > >
> > > GWB's answer is to wreck everything from the economy to the deficit,
to
> all
> > > international relations, to the lives of every person in uniform and
his
> or
> > > her loved ones who confuse loyalty to the soldiers as loyalty to
> whatever
> > > war he wants to start.
> > > They went after Clinton, they are going after Iraq, and they are
> > > increasingly going after you.
> > >
> > > You elected him, now you stop him.
> > >
> > >
> > Try to keep it on topic bud theres enough political newsgroups out
there.
> > Besides if you think you can do better than Bush your free to make a run
> > in 2004.
> >
> >

>
>

561. Re: Prosecution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 23:04:27 UTC
From: bill <scalp2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <%dtca.59306$lW3.2150788@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 35079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
good advice
"Reticulum" <rock_bustin@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:879bfb06.0303130816.9451d4d@posting.google.com...
> geo_jackson@hotmail.com (George) wrote in message
news:<c21a06bc.0303130117.327d1d05@posting.google.com>...
> > Anyone know of anyone being prosecuted for importing a scanner to the
USA?
>
> George,
>
> If you're that worried just have it mailed to your wife.
> After all, there are priorities !
>
> Andrew
>
```

562. Pro 82 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 23:07:58 UTC
From: Darren Higgins <sccs@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <1htca.572$KB1.240225@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 35080

does anybody know of any mods for these scanners
looking to add more range of freq

also any free software for downloading frequencies to the scanner.
I have ez-scan 98 but u got to pay to reg it

thanks for any help

Darren

563. Jim M-75/M-80 preamplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 23:12:01 UTC
From: Troy <spam.vk2hxy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Aitca.46$jq5.2177@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 35081

Anyone heard of these preamplifiers, are they any good? I understand all about signal to noise ratio and intermod and all other problems associated with the use of pre-amps on scanners, but has anyone used this particular brand of pre-amp? If so, feedback please.... I want to use this for Satcom and Milsat work. Cheers.

Troy

remove Spam. from address to reply direct...

564. Re: Live MilAir Broadcast, very active in Florida!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 23:21:48 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E72640C.4000908@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Ken Finney wrote:
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3E723C0B.10006@licensed4fun.com...
>
>>This past week has been VERY active for MilAir activties in Florida.
>>Today is no different. I am currently broadcasting 4 out of 13 scanners
>>of mine live. You can listen at http://www.MilAirComms.com Avon Park
>>and SEALORD areas is very active right now at 1530 hrs EST. If you've
>>never heard bombing runs or dog fights this is your chance.
>>
>>George
>>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>>
>>
>
>
> Can you say a little about the hardware and software you use to get the
> scanner audio through the PC to live365?
>
>

I simply feed the audio output of my audio mixer into the PC's sound card. Then I use LIVE365's own software (you can download from their site) to send the audio to the LIVE365 server. Very simple to setup, just download the Live365 software. Live365 has a few different software downloads, you need to make sure you download the one for Live Broadcasting....

Thanks
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

565. Re: Jim M-75/M-80 preamplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 23:23:33 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E726475.8000903@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35083

Troy wrote:
> Anyone heard of these preamplifiers, are they any good? I understand all
> about signal to noise ratio and intermod and all other problems associated
> with the use of pre-amps on scanners, but has anyone used this particular
> brand of pre-amp? If so, feedback please.... I want to use this for Satcom
> and Milsat work. Cheers.
>
> Troy

I too am looking for some pre-amps to experiment with for both MilAir
and MilSat work. Where did you learn of the M-75/M-80's? Did you find
them on a website? Please share. Sorry I haven't heard of them before
but am willing to experiment.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF/VHF/UHF Military Freqs, Maps, Pictures
and more!

566. Re: Jim M-75/M-80 preamplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 23:38:52 UTC
From: Troy <spam.vk2hxy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KHtca.62$jq5.2472@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 35084

George, G'day from Downunder mate...

Follow this link, although it doesn't give you too much info...

http://www.durhamradio.ca/jim-scanner-preamplifiers.htm

These pre-amps have been used by some Aussie guys here for a while, but I haven't heard much from them for ages, that's why asked here!!!!! Thought you gurus would be the ones to ask.

Thanks for the reply George, nice site too by the way, I visit often!

All the best, take care and speak again soon.

Troy

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message news:3E726475.8000903@licensed4fun.com...
>
>
> Troy wrote:
> > Anyone heard of these preamplifiers, are they any good? I understand all
> > about signal to noise ratio and intermod and all other problems associated
> > with the use of pre-amps on scanners, but has anyone used this particular
> > brand of pre-amp? If so, feedback please.... I want to use this for Satcom
> > and Milsat work. Cheers.
> >
> > Troy
>
> I too am looking for some pre-amps to experiment with for both MilAir
> and MilSat work. Where did you learn of the M-75/M-80's? Did you find
> them on a website? Please share. Sorry I haven't heard of them before
> but am willing to experiment.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF/VHF/UHF Military Freqs, Maps, Pictures
> and more!
>
>

567. Re: Everyone who is not Waldo is Nutso

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 23:48:28 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <gTtca.309$we1.211318@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35078
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would like to see you do a better job. It is the senators who elect the president, and chances are theat you voted for that senator. It seems every newsgroup has a conspiritor or activist. It is okay to have a certian view, but that doesn't mean everybody else has to think like you. How is the government comming after me? The economy and budget sucks because that was the way Clinton left it. Clinton nocked over the trash can and Bush is stuck cleaning all the trash up....

===MATT===

568. Re: Bearcat 250 Appears Dead Help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-14 23:55:12 UTC
From: Nick <nvavro@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9tq47vof3sfj8segvh1661r32djkoac7lo@4ax.com>
Source record: 35085

On Fri, 14 Mar 2003 02:41:55 GMT, gray-beard <gray-beard@nowhere.edu>
wrote:

>http://www.repairfaq.org/REPAIR/F_BC_Repair.html
>
>Hope this helps
>GB
>
>Nick wrote:
>
>>My friend's old 20 year circa for sure appears dead. What can I look
>>for to possibly solve and fix the problem. Would it be an exclusive
>>Bearcat part or can aftermarket electronics work. There is no display
>>or volume. Nick
>>
>>
Thanks for the sight, Great help and will investigate further. Nick

569. Re: Equipment for Sale or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 00:41:26 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b4timv$785$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35071

I purchased a set of HTs from Jim last month and it was a great transaction
and the items arrived as promised, very well-packed. He gets a
recommendation in my book!

~ KB1ILS

Jim Wardrip, W8EMS <w8ems@juno.com> wrote in message
news:k2h47vgtou1nnqcas5702kaogm4p0a3jug@4ax.com...
> Everything listed on my web page is now up for trade on Ham equipment
> or ???? Take a look and make me an offer:
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/in4/47130
>
> Tnx es 73 de Jim, W8EMS/9

570. Re: Jim M-75/M-80 preamplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 00:49:34 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E72789E.4060406@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35086

Troy wrote:
> George, G'day from Downunder mate...
>
> Follow this link, although it doesn't give you too much info...
>
> http://www.durhamradio.ca/jim-scanner-preamplifiers.htm
>
> These pre-amps have been used by some Aussie guys here for a while, but I
> haven't heard much from them for ages, that's why asked here!!!!! Thought
> you gurus would be the ones to ask.
>
> Thanks for the reply George, nice site too by the way, I visit often!
>
> All the best, take care and speak again soon.
>
> Troy

Hi Troy,
Thanks for the link. I have used the GRE Pre-Amp which is simular. I do like one thing about the JimPreamp and that is there is a switch for different bands. The GRE was "broadbanded". So the Jim version would be better.

However there is a draw back, especially for both of us as we're both looking for something for the MilSats. That is that both the Jim and GRE is not designed to be installed at the antenna but they're intended to be installed at the scanner. The problem is that the PreAmp is going to amplify the Signal but also all the noice which the coax has picked up. That was a problem I experienced with the GRE Pre-Amp.

What is better for MilAir and MilSats so to use a Pre-Amp which is designed to be mounted at the antenna, that way you're amplifying just signal, not the noise picked up by the coax. I've seen many people use RadioShack MastMounted TV-PreAmps. These have adjustable gain and I've heard of people using this with good results. Its just that I have yet to try. I guess I was hoping to find something better than "RadioShack".

Glad you enjoy the website Tony!
Greetings from the US.
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF/VHF/UHF Military Freqs, Maps, Pictures and more!

571. Re: Everyone who is not Waldo is Nutso

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 00:50:42 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v74u6t427datb7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35087
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Young scholar, please do a google search on the Electoral College, then come back and correct your statement.

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:gTtca.309$we1.211318@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> I would like to see you do a better job. It is the senators who elect the
> president, and chances are theat you voted for that senator. It seems every
> newsgroup has a conspiritor or activist. It is okay to have a certian view,
> but that doesn't mean everybody else has to think like you. How is the
> government comming after me? The economy and budget sucks because that was
> the way Clinton left it. Clinton nocked over the trash can and Bush is stuck
> cleaning all the trash up....
>
> ===MATT===
>
>

572. Re: digital scanners for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 00:58:52 UTC
From: Southside <john.quinn@nospan.ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <tju47vg0ukd1aho5l7u5am50ifom7v45le@4ax.com>
Source record: 35088

On Fri, 14 Mar 2003 17:32:44 -0330, "Woolridge"
<robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote:

>yeah thats what i was trying to figure out, i have no idea as to what it is
>and nobody around here will tell me. its almost as if its illegal to listen
>to it heh........
>
>"me" <me@none.com> wrote in message
>news:6grca.7346$yr5.6036@news2.west.cox.net...
>> Are there any agencies in Canada that are using APCO25? I thought they had
>> some sort of TETRA derivative... I could be wrong, but I'd hate to see
>> someone to spend all that $$$ on a scanner that won't work for them..
>>
>> -me
>>
>> "Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:g9gca.6395$4q6.963213@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> > does anybody know where i could get a digital scanner and its apco card
>> via
>> > COD in canada? preferably as low cost as possible.
>> >
>> > much thanks.
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
R.C.M.P here in Nova Scotia

John

573. Re: Jim M-75/M-80 preamplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 01:21:20 UTC
From: Troy <spam.vk2hxy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Obvca.79$jq5.3908@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 35089

I have heard that this Amp has given some excellent results with regard to weather satellites, so much so that when the amp is not used no imagery can be gained, the resulting imagery has been excellent - not much distortion due to noise and the like. I am going to manufacture a yagi antenna coupled with the Jim to attempt to hear the Milsat stuff. All indications so far have been positive, looks like I'm on the right track.

Looks like I have been able to acquire a Jim second hand, so I'll report back with my findings to the hoards. Just using a discone here to monitor local Mil aviation, with excellent results, vide a Pro2006 and AOR 8000, nothing too flash, but effective nonetheless... We'll see about the addition of the Jim and yagi.

If anyone else out there would like to share their experiences please feel free do so, that's what this NG is all about, sharing the knowledge and having fun with the hobby...

All the best, stay safe my friend.

Troy
VK2HXY
Windsor NSW
Australia

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3E72789E.4060406@licensed4fun.com...
>
>
> Troy wrote:
> > George, G'day from Downunder mate...
> >
> > Follow this link, although it doesn't give you too much info...
> >
> > http://www.durhamradio.ca/jim-scanner-preamplifiers.htm
> >
> > These pre-amps have been used by some Aussie guys here for a while, but
I
> > haven't heard much from them for ages, that's why asked here!!!!!
Thought
> > you gurus would be the ones to ask.
> >
> > Thanks for the reply George, nice site too by the way, I visit often!
> >
> > All the best, take care and speak again soon.
> >
> > Troy

>
> Hi Troy,
> Thanks for the link. I have used the GRE Pre-Amp which is simular. I do
> like one thing about the JimPreamp and that is there is a switch for
> different bands. The GRE was "broadbanded". So the Jim version would
> be better.
>
> However there is a draw back, especially for both of us as we're both
> looking for something for the MilSats. That is that both the Jim and
> GRE is not designed to be installed at the antenna but they're intended
> to be installed at the scanner. The problem is that the PreAmp is going
> to amplify the Signal but also all the noice which the coax has picked
> up. That was a problem I experienced with the GRE Pre-Amp.
>
> What is better for MilAir and MilSats so to use a Pre-Amp which is
> designed to be mounted at the antenna, that way you're amplifying just
> signal, not the noise picked up by the coax. I've seen many people use
> RadioShack MastMounted TV-PreAmps. These have adjustable gain and I've
> heard of people using this with good results. Its just that I have yet
> to try. I guess I was hoping to find something better than "RadioShack".
>
> Glad you enjoy the website Tony!
> Greetings from the US.
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF/VHF/UHF Military Freqs, Maps, Pictures
> and more!
>
>

574. Re: Equipment for Sale or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 01:49:35 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <PEvca.1701$z_3.755091@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 35090

Where is the stuff on the page?????????????
"Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com> wrote in message
news:k2h47vgtou1nnqcas5702kaogm4p0a3jug@4ax.com...
> Everything listed on my web page is now up for trade on Ham equipment
> or ???? Take a look and make me an offer:
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/in4/47130
>
> Tnx es 73 de Jim, W8EMS/9

575. Re: Equipment for Sale or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 02:11:48 UTC
From: "Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com>
Message-ID: <sr257v044jddb8np7ulffotidagr4ffvi4@4ax.com>
Source record: 35091

The page has had over 100 hits since I posted about it this afternoon.
I just brought it up with no problems. I'm guessing that too many
people were trying to access it at the same time. Please try it
again.

Jim, W8EMS/9

On Sat, 15 Mar 2003 01:49:35 GMT, "Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:

>Where is the stuff on the page?????????????
>"Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:k2h47vgtou1nnqcas5702kaogm4p0a3jug@4ax.com...
>> Everything listed on my web page is now up for trade on Ham equipment
>> or ???? Take a look and make me an offer:
>>
>> http://www.angelfire.com/in4/47130
>>
>> Tnx es 73 de Jim, W8EMS/9
>

576. Re: Burr Says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 02:30:04 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E7290B9.9020504@earthlink.net>
Source record: 35092

Well Smart Ass,
When you decide to use your name on your post maybe someone will take you
serious.

Burr

me wrote:

> Gee, that's real specific.... Thanks for the detailed description of your
> alleged problem.
>
> OK class,
> "It don't work" he says...
>
> "It works for me" says I.
>
> Lets see here: Both of us own Windows compatible computers, have the same
> scanner and use the same software. The only variable in the equation is the
> people themselves. Mine works, his doesn't. Hmm... what would you think?
>
> Yup. Sounds like an I.D.10 T. error to me too... but I could be wrong.
> However, since "don't work" tells me nada, that's about as helpful as I can
> be.
>
> Yes, Emilio? You had your hand up in the back? No you cannot go to the
> bathroom now - you have to wait until recess.
>
> -me
> "Please pardon me while I take a wicked wag." - Dogbert
>
> "Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E724458.9050808@earthlink.net...
>
>>The software "don't" work for my BC895.
>>
>>Burr
>>
>>me wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I missed it. What's the problem you're having?
>>>
>>>-me
>>>"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>>news:3E722E77.2090605@earthlink.net...

>>>
>>>
>>>>Burr,
>>>>
>>>>The update available is only for users of WinScan780. We are working on
>>>>
> a
>
>>>>new version of the software for the 895/245 radios, but that software is
>>>>
>>>>
>>>not
>>>
>>>
>>>>schedule for release until late April / Early May.
>>>>
>>>>If you have any further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to
>>>>send us e-mail.
>>>>
>>>>Thank you,
>>>>
>>>>John
>>>>Technical Support
>>>>Pozilla Software
>>>>
>>>>Sure am glad I own this fine software, wish someone else did.
>>>>
>>>>Burr
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>
>

577. Re: Jim M-75/M-80 preamplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 02:36:41 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E7291B9.4050705@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35093

Troy wrote:
> I have heard that this Amp has given some excellent results with regard to
> weather satellites, so much so that when the amp is not used no imagery can
> be gained, the resulting imagery has been excellent - not much distortion
> due to noise and the like. I am going to manufacture a yagi antenna coupled
> with the Jim to attempt to hear the Milsat stuff. All indications so far
> have been positive, looks like I'm on the right track.
>
> Looks like I have been able to acquire a Jim second hand, so I'll report
> back with my findings to the hoards. Just using a discone here to monitor
> local Mil aviation, with excellent results, vide a Pro2006 and AOR 8000,
> nothing too flash, but effective nonetheless... We'll see about the addition
> of the Jim and yagi.
>
> If anyone else out there would like to share their experiences please feel
> free do so, that's what this NG is all about, sharing the knowledge and
> having fun with the hobby...
>
> All the best, stay safe my friend.
>
> Troy
> VK2HXY
> Windsor NSW
> Australia
>

Troy,
Please keep us updated on how that works out! I'm very excited of what you've heard so far about the Jim. Until your post I've never seen one and like I said I do like the fact that its switchable for what band you're interested in.

I have several different antennas. I really love my Antennawarehouse.com ScannerBeam and for an excellent Omni-directional I ahve the Antennawarehouse.com ScanTenna which blows my discones away! I also have a very expensive ($300.00) Create Log Periodic. Excellent antenna, can pickup aircraft nearly 300 miles away at altitude. But its a bit overpriced in my opinon.

I do have a 9 element homemade Yagi centered on 300 MHz I would like to put it to good use for MilSats.....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

578. FS: 2006 Scanner BRAND NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 03:27:06 UTC
From: Steve Reitter <sreitter@DELETETHISrcn.com>
Message-ID: <b4u6jhper1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 35095

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3012236243&ssPageName=ADM
E:L:DS:US:8

This is Brand New.....Pristine condition. They do not come any better than
this.

Let me know if you would like additional photos

579. Re: Everyone who is not Waldo is Nutso

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 03:41:33 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Nhxca.345$we1.245893@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35094
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v74u6t427datb7@corp.supernews.com...
> Young scholar, please do a google search on the Electoral College, then
come
> back and correct your statement.

Okay, I was way off, so forget the statement. Don't know what I was
thinking...

===MATT===

580. Re: Everyone who is not Waldo is Nutso

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 03:46:37 UTC
From: Jesse <samiam@thecan.com>
Message-ID: <3E72A21D.9020504@thecan.com>
Source record: 35096
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ephimerol wrote:
> I didn't say vote for me you asshole i said you stop GWB before he ruins
> everything you moral moron

If I need the opinion of an asshole I'll just fart ok bitch and if you
wanna "stop" GWB have at it fuckface whats stopping you do you think
whining in a newsgroup like a little girl scout who lost her cookies is
really gonna stop him ?

>
> "Jesse" <samiam@thecan.com> wrote in message
> news:3E71DE89.6040003@thecan.com...
>
>>
>>Ephimerol wrote:
>>
>>>They can't find Osama, or the weapons of mass destruction, or the
>>
> hijackers
>
>>>in time.
>>>They can't find Elizabeth Smart who was dressed like a medieval clown
>>
> having
>
>>>a milkshake at the mall.
>>>
>>>GWB's answer is to wreck everything from the economy to the deficit, to
>>
> all
>
>>>international relations, to the lives of every person in uniform and his
>>
> or
>
>>>her loved ones who confuse loyalty to the soldiers as loyalty to
>>
> whatever
>
>>>war he wants to start.
>>>They went after Clinton, they are going after Iraq, and they are
>>>increasingly going after you.
>>>
>>>You elected him, now you stop him.

>>>
>>>
>>
>>Try to keep it on topic bud theres enough political newsgroups out there.
>>Besides if you think you can do better than Bush your free to make a run
>>in 2004.
>>
>>
>
>
>

581. Re: 2006 Scanner BRAND NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 03:59:44 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Qyxca.5839$ja4.418394@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34997

Who would rate it a "9"?

"Steve Reitter" <sreitter@DELETETHISrcn.com> wrote in message
news:b4u6jhper1@bob.news.rcn.net...
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3012236243&ssPageName=ADM
> E:L:DS:US:8
>
> This is Brand New.....Pristine condition. They do not come any better
than
> this.
>
> Let me know if you would like additional photos
>
>

582. Re: Everyone who is not Waldo is Nutso

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 05:38:38 UTC
From: Ephimerol <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com>
Message-ID: <y%yca.87234$L1.14744@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34998
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yah, Clinton built up this big garbage can then kicked it over on his way out. That explains everything.
That is about as great as Freedom Fries which is just another way of calling people names, which is another way of treating people with disrepect, which is why everyone hates us and GWB's position.
Arrogant nonsense!
Where does this "We are moral" stuff even come from?? Fat stupid lazy gluttonous couch potatos. Hope that helps cause I'm speaking to your world.

"Matt" <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:Nhxca.345$we1.245893@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v74u6t427datb7@corp.supernews.com...
> > Young scholar, please do a google search on the Electoral College, then
> come
> > back and correct your statement.
>
> Okay, I was way off, so forget the statement. Don't know what I was
> thinking...
>
> ===MATT===
>
>

583. Re: Undocumented Connecticut State Police Talkgroups?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 05:58:36 UTC
From: A <reply.to@group>
Message-ID: <vsKdnfSa6b0-XO-jXTWc-w@speakeasy.net>
Source record: 34999
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Welcome to the fun of scanning. Looking on the net and downloading
frequencies is for eavesdroppers. Scanners like to find new stuff and
figure out what it is. Listen to them.

If you are saying
"Them there drain be clogged" they are probably public works
"one is custody" sounds like a cop

Usually listening to the radio traffic will give you a good idea of what you
are listening to, you might not get the correct lable like "tac" or
whatever, but you can at least figure out what service it is.

Our police trunking system also carries public works, fire, schools,
hospitals, and who knows what else.

"JohnW" <no@no.no> wrote in message
news:Xns933B5D09F9444JohnW@216.166.71.234...
> Hey all...
>
> While in ID SEARCH mode, I couldnt help but notice quite a bit of traffic
> coming through on talkgroup IDs that I dont see on the Trunked Radio
> Information Homepage. Can anyone help shed some light on these?
>
> For example - These are the ones I saw with repeated activity while
> scanning (Site 005 Tolland Co 5W)(CC 868.9125) between 8 and 9 this
> morning:
>
> 688
> 1600
> 1968
> 2320
> 2352
> 2432
> 2544
> 2736
> 3200
> 3376
> 3664
> 4000
> 4496
> 6512
> 6544
> 8112
> 9808

> 10384
> 10608
> 11408
> 18800
> 19600
> 35184
> 45216
> 46096
>
>
> I'd LOVE to know what they are, so that I could determine relevancy, ie:
> if I should assign an alphatag to them and include them in my scanning,
> if need be...
>
> MUCH thanks in advance to anyone that can lend a hand!

584. Online manual for Uniden BC147XLT ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 06:34:03 UTC
From: will7370@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <e88e9b5a.0303142234.7a4f892c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35000

I've researched enough on the web to know how to program it, I just wanted to know if there was a pdf manual online anywhere????

Rob

585. Re: Considering a PRO92...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 06:38:21 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22227-3E72CA5D-18@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 35001

Wasn't the '92 made in Japan and China? I read the one to get was the model that was from Japan, or was that China???

586. FS ICOM R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 07:05:16 UTC
From: cuibel <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <MgAca.89905$3D1.2816@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 35002
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I hardly used this R3 at all. Rechargeable batteries have never been used.
I've picked up news helicopter cams.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3013425182

More on this radio:
http://www.icomamerica.com/receivers/handheld/

Hiya - Wife says I must sell radio if I am to get new gadget - Garmin Etrex
Vista GPS because I get lost in my own bathroom.

BID WITH CONFIDENCE BECAUSE I WOULDN'T BE SELLING IT IF MY WIFE DIDN'T WANT
YOU TO BUY IT

587. Re: Prosecution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 08:18:01 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZkBca.70547$jP2.11069327@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 35003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"bill" <scalp2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:%dtca.59306$lW3.2150788@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> good advice
> "Reticulum" <rock_bustin@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:879bfb06.0303130816.9451d4d@posting.google.com...
> > geo_jackson@hotmail.com (George) wrote in message
> news:<c21a06bc.0303130117.327d1d05@posting.google.com>...
> > > Anyone know of anyone being prosecuted for importing a scanner to the
> USA?
> >
> > George,
> >
> > If you're that worried just have it mailed to your wife.
> > After all, there are priorities !

I tried that but she's still here.

> >
> > Andrew
> >
>
>

588. Re: lost half of the channels AOR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 10:27:46 UTC
From: Ronald Prins <prins@foxxxxxxxx-it.com>
Message-ID: <3e730de4$0$49099$e4fe514c@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 35004

> no it's not an issue. It's because you set a password and the lowercase
> banks are invisible until you hit"pass" and then enter the password.

That worked! I was even able to guess the password correctly.

Thanks!

Ronald.

>
> "Ronald Prins" <prins@foxxxxxxxx-it.com> wrote in message
> news:3e724a4d$0$49110$e4fe514c@news.xs4all.nl...
> > Hi,
> >
> > My ar-8000 seems to have lost half of the memory channels. I can now
only
> > scan the uppercase banks: A,B,C.
> >
> > If I press the . dot nothing it doesn't change to lower case.
> >
> > Is this a known issue?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Ronald
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

589. Re: Considering a PRO92...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 12:57:13 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnb769at.bhd.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 35005

On Sat, 15 Mar 2003 00:38:21 -0600 (CST), Vic Martinez <t1000@webtv.net> wrote:
> Wasn't the '92 made in Japan and China? I read the one to get was the
> model that was from Japan, or was that China???
>
- three 92 models - orig, A and B... IIRC, orig and A made in Japan,
while B was made in the Peoples Republic... no difference AFAIK,
except in the firmware (which affects certain aspects)... orig
had alpha-tag bug...

- i have a B (you can tell by looking at model # on back)... it's
not a real sensitive recv'r, and doesn't track the trunking system
where i live (my 95 does), but i like the 92 because it works with
Linux (can be programmed by tk92 from bob parnass)... i use my 92
for tracking conventional freqs, and its utility may depend on
where you live and what systems are used (the 92 can track systems
that the later GRE models cannot)

hth

590. scanner ques.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 13:30:54 UTC
From: piglaris <piglaris@att.net>
Message-ID: <BA989459.FBB%piglaris@att.net>
Source record: 35006

When digital scanners come out does that make the scanners we use today obsolete and will there always be something to listen to with our old ones?

591. Re: Equipment for Sale or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 14:30:48 UTC
From: "Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com>
Message-ID: <f7e67vgo7n2g3bmhjdq5jgtso4i2u9m6ae@4ax.com>
Source record: 35007

Can't figure Angelfire out. It says that my page is using excessive bandwith, however out of the 1 gig I'm allowed they report that I'm using 67%. My apologies to those who attempted to access my page but couldn't get through. Here's some of what I offer for trade:

1. A Yaesu FTH-2070 dual band public safety HT and rapid charger, very good condition. $200.00

2. Motorola MPD 48 channel VHF HT, good condition, with battery and antenna, on ham and PS channels, $75.00

3. Pair of Radio Shack BTX-128 UHF HT's, new in boxes, $40.00

4. Pair of Motorola HT-440 HT's, UHF 2 channel, on a business repeater channel and simplex. Radios will talk to each other on simplex. Have one drop in charger, 2 extra batteries, and an HT-90 (same radio, different front cover) for parts. $50.00

5. GE "Scorpion" UHF HT, 16 channel, drop in charger and two extra batteries. On business and FRS channels. Good condition $100.00

6, Several volunteer fire lights, headlight flashers and strobes, misc radio gear, GMRS HT, frequency counter, ham/cb power, swr, mod meter.

Want ham equipment such as a dual band mobile or HT,
HF trans, general coverage HF receiver, or
Small VHF hi band HT, Low band (39 and 46 MHz) mobile or HT, or ????
Make me an offer.

73 de Jim, W8EMS/9
w8ems@juno.com

592. Re: scanner ques.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 14:36:05 UTC
From: jcurl <jpeake@[NOSPAM]>
Message-ID: <pTGca.10375$ZW4.234491@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 35008

yes that's right.

because when the digital scanners come out, instantly all radio
communication becomes digital.

"piglaris" <piglaris@att.net> wrote in message
news:BA989459.FBB%piglaris@att.net...
> When digital scanners come out does that make the scanners we use today
> obsolete and will there always be something to listen to with our old
ones?
>

593. Re: scanner ques.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 17:08:53 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E735E25.50009@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35009

TW. wrote:
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> In news:BA989459.FBB%piglaris@att.net,
> piglaris <piglaris@att.net> briefly thought, then typed out:
>
>>When digital scanners come out does that make the scanners we use
>>today obsolete and will there always be something to listen to with
>>our old ones?
>
>
>
> Digital scanners are already out. Anyway, the answer to your question is no.
> A lot of agenices can not afford to go digital. Meaning that you will still
> be able to listen to analog transmissions.

Civil and Military Air Traffic will never go digital in our lifetime (or
that of your children) Heck they won't even go FM in our lifetime.....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

594. Re: Considering a PRO92...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 17:55:54 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12884-3E73692A-171@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 35011

I don't know, too many 'bugs' for me to consider, the 'Bearcat' handheld multi-trunker the '780, sounds like a more solid unit to consider, 'alpha tags' aren't a must for me anyway, sensitivity is.

595. Re: Screwdrivers are burglars tools.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 18:03:17 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12884-3E736AE5-172@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 35010

lets ban screwdrivers too.

596. Re: Live MilAir Broadcast, very active in Florida!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 18:10:06 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <UiKca.9365$VT.1133539@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

It say's that's it's not broadcasting. What's the direct linkage to the broadcast?

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3E72640C.4000908@licensed4fun.com...
>
>
> Ken Finney wrote:
> > "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E723C0B.10006@licensed4fun.com...
> >
> >>This past week has been VERY active for MilAir activties in Florida.
> >>Today is no different. I am currently broadcasting 4 out of 13 scanners
> >>of mine live. You can listen at http://www.MilAirComms.com Avon Park
> >>and SEALORD areas is very active right now at 1530 hrs EST. If you've
> >>never heard bombing runs or dog fights this is your chance.
> >>
> >>George
> >>http://www.MilAirComms.com
> >>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.462 / Virus Database: 261 - Release Date: 13/03/2003

597. Re: Lock picks are burglars tools too!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 18:18:34 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12884-3E736E7A-173@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 35013

Hmm, now lockpicks, 'slimjims' and the like I have somewhat of an issue with. Those should be 'resticted' to locksmiths, law enforcement personnel. Too easy for criminals too get, and the general public has no NEED to purchase. For burglars, just INSERTNG a lockpick device into a lock even without unlocking the device consititutes 'breaking and entering'.

598. Car repossesor Freq???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 18:43:26 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22226-3E73744E-149@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 35015

In Illinois, Repossesors have 3 digit Lic. plates that say 'repossesor'.
'Just wondering if they just use any of the FRS freqs. for
communications.

599. Re: Why digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 19:13:13 UTC
From: David Harmon <source@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3e847a27.142168709@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 35014

On Tue, 11 Mar 2003 17:44:35 GMT in rec.radio.scanner,
"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote:

> One
>of the sergeants that I have coffee with said that since they moved they
>have not had one complaint of interference or jamming.

When a digital system is jammed, the typical user will have
absolutely no indication of what is causing the problem. He just
gets degraded reception or no reception at all. So it's no surprise
they have no complaints of jamming, but we have heard LOTS of
complaints of degraded reception or no reception at all. It's
impossible to tell if it is due to jamming or other problems without
diagnostic equipment.

That's not all bad, as the lack of interaction with he people he is
jamming probably removes a lot of the motivation of the ordinary
jammer to continue.

600. Re: what planes are flying route M-750 today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 19:14:02 UTC
From: Dan Jacobson <jidanni@dman.ddts.net>
Message-ID: <87k7f0mohx.fsf@jidanni.org>
Source record: 35034
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.ifr,rec.radio.scanner

```
> It's 3:00 A.M. and every few minutes a plane goes by my house on air
> route M-750 here in Taiwan. Let's say I am curious for more info on
> those planes than just looking at them in binoculars. Well, I won't
> hear them on the radio, as their reporting points are far away at the
> FIR boundaries.

# Is there any way to map Mode-C or Mode-S transponder return data to
# the actual flight? Mode-C will contain altitude and 4 octal digit
# codes. Mode-S might contain more such as the transponder unique
# identifier.
#
# I'm currently in the design phase of building a homebrew Mode-C
# transponder decoder. Initially it will consist of a scanner and a PC.
# I will tap the discriminator output and feed it into the PC's sound
# card. From there I will attempt to decode any signals.

dan@debian$ apt-cache show multimon
Description: Linux Radio Transmission Decoder
The multimon software can decode a variety of digital transmission modes
commonly found on UHF radio. A standard PC soundcard is used...

Maybe you can add onto that.
--
http://jidanni.org/ Taiwan(04)25854780
```

601. Re: Online manual for Uniden BC147XLT ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 20:05:26 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <26a0f230.0303151205.78367229@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35016

will7370@yahoo.com (Robert Williams) wrote in message
news:<e88e9b5a.0303142234.7a4f892c@posting.google.com>...

> ... pdf manual online anywhere????

I tried Uniden at
http://www.uniden.com/docs/store/accdetail.cfm?item=OWNERSMANUAL

It says "If you cannot find a listing for your model, please email
accessories@uniden.com to inquire about availability."

Maybe that means they will try to put it online. OTOH, maybe that
means they will try to sell you one.

Best wishes.

602. Re: Online manual for Uniden BC147XLT ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 20:15:34 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <26a0f230.0303151215.3ee934cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35017

will7370@yahoo.com (Robert Williams) wrote in message
news:<e88e9b5a.0303142234.7a4f892c@posting.google.com>...
[snip]

Me again. Sounds like a PRO-2025. I tried
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/26447.htm , but no dice
there either. Bob Grove has one for sale right now.
http://www.grove-ent.com/bbbscanners.htm , item 2SBC147. No mention of
a manual.

Best wishes.

603. BC785D & Smartnet IIi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 20:18:04 UTC
From: KeeBird <KeeBird@MURS.gov>
Message-ID: <3c277vgpmvt2jef96jd7d52ob10lcmppn4@4ax.com>
Source record: 35018

Does anybody know if the new Uniden BC785D can follow a Motorola Smartnet IIi analog trunk radio system? I am interested in listening to Culver City, California's Police and Fire.

Thanks!

KeeBird

604. Re: Why digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 22:21:18 UTC
From: Frank <apqwadwbvc@hmeghedfhejzgiqc.com>
Message-ID: <01c2eb41$2c3a8730$0125250a@hmeghedfhejzgiqc>
Source record: 35019

David Harmon <3e847a27.142168709@news.earthlink.net>...

^ It's impossible to tell if it is due to jamming or other
^ problems without diagnostic equipment.

Most jamming can be detected by listening to the frequencies with a standard (no digital modulation) scanner. An S-meter may help to detect empty high-power carriers but squelch adjustment should be sufficient. But then the scanner becomes the "diagnostic equipment" that you are referring to.

^ That's not all bad, as the lack of interaction with he people he is
^ jamming probably removes a lot of the motivation of the ordinary
^ jammer to continue.

Unless the jamming is intended to mask dispatched calls during a certain time interval. Dispatch centers can minimize this by ensuring that they are using sufficient power. Units further away from the jamming should be able to hear the message over the jamming and take the necessary action.

I just jumped into this thread so my apologies if I'm off track.

Frank

605. Re: Everyone who is not Waldo is Nutso

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 22:47:06 UTC
From: Ben <benf@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b50aha$5bg$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 35020
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Stop him?....Why?...He's doing a great job, leave him alone.

"Ephimerol" <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com> wrote in message
news:2Ueca.75097$F1.2671@sccrnsc04...
> They can't find Osama, or the weapons of mass destruction, or the
hijackers
> in time.
> They can't find Elizabeth Smart who was dressed like a medieval clown
having
> a milkshake at the mall.
>
> GWB's answer is to wreck everything from the economy to the deficit, to
all
> international relations, to the lives of every person in uniform and his
or
> her loved ones who confuse loyalty to the soldiers as loyalty to whatever
> war he wants to start.
> They went after Clinton, they are going after Iraq, and they are
> increasingly going after you.
>
> You elected him, now you stop him.
>
>

606. Re: BC785D & Smartnet IIi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 23:11:37 UTC
From: No <123@noone.net>
Message-ID: <JqOca.55527$b8.9488369@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 35021

Yes it can.

I have mine tracking the NJSP.

George

"KeeBird" <KeeBird@MURS.gov> wrote in message
news:3c277vgpmvt2jef96jd7d52ob10lcmppn4@4ax.com...
> Does anybody know if the new Uniden BC785D can follow a Motorola
> Smartnet IIi analog trunk radio system? I am interested in listening
> to Culver City, California's Police and Fire.
>
>
> Thanks!
>
> KeeBird

607. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 23:12:19 UTC
From: skip@hotzone1.com
Message-ID: <dc07a0f5914f63c7432fece52d26b776@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 35022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On 8 Mar 2003 02:16:36 -0800, geo_jackson@hotmail.com (George) wrote:

>Anyone know of problems with importing scanner into the USA? I would
>like to buy an unblocked Icom R5. Is this a dangerous venture?
>Thanks!!!
>
>George

Bander is a good company to do business with and never got a bill from
Custums.

608. Re: Uniden 785/250 question - ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-15 23:28:33 UTC
From: Teranews <N/A>
Message-ID: <e8dfc87b62629a316627099ed0530db0@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 35023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What are you talking about? Most Federal agencies don't use it and have no plans on upgrading to Project 25. Where did you get this information and where do you think ALL OF THESE FEDERAL AGENCIES are going to get the BIG MONEY to buy these expensive radios? This information is total nonsense!

"Spray On" <aerosol@can.exxon.au> wrote in message news:3n2ba.12816$gF3.1183747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> On Sun, 09 Mar 2003 12:54:54 -0500, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote:
>
> >Kennedy Space center has been slowly installing a 400 MHz ASTRO Smart
> >Zone system. My question is this, will the new Uniden's with the
> >Digital card be able to receive a 400 MHz Astro SmartZone system?
>
> Federal Agencies are required to use P25, they may use encryption also it is
> what ever their needs are.
>

609. FOR SALE: uniden bearcat 3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 00:02:54 UTC
From: bobar8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030315190254.28021.00000101@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 35024

FOR SALE:
uniden bearcat bc3000 xlt 400 channel handheld 25-1300 mhz.
in "mint" condition with box and instructions
(2) batteries one ni-cd and ni-mh(NEW)
original antenna
ac adapter
will also include a professional bee leather case in mint cond.
with d-stud swivel belt clip (like the ones the police use)
this case was made by bee electronics for the bc3000xlt.

$180.00 INCLUDING SHIPPING CONUS ONLY

IM POSTING THIS FOR MY FRIEND WITH NO EMAIL
PLEASE CALL ALAN LILIEN
IN S. FLORIDA
(561)495-5706
THANKS

610. Winscan 2.1 with BC245

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 00:35:41 UTC
From: GET NJ <info@getnj.com>
Message-ID: <3E73BCC5.626D5227@getnj.com>
Source record: 35025

I've got a BC245 that I've tried to use with Winscan 2.1.

I've installed it on a Windows ME machine with 256 meg RAM and a Windows 98 machine with 64 megs RAM.

On both computers, no matter what banks are activated, intermittently all banks go off and bank one goes on.

This happens on both computers when the scanner is on battery power or plugged into the AC power adapter.

The scanner radio never does this except when used with Winscan 2.1.

Due to this "feature," I've not been able to use Winscan 2.1.

Anthony Olszewski

me wrote:

> I missed it. What's the problem you're having?
>
> -me
> "Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E722E77.2090605@earthlink.net...
> > Burr,
> >
> > The update available is only for users of WinScan780. We are working on a
> > new version of the software for the 895/245 radios, but that software is
> not
> > schedule for release until late April / Early May.
> >
> > If you have any further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to
> > send us e-mail.
> >
> > Thank you,
> >
> > John
> > Technical Support
> > Pozilla Software
> >
> > Sure am glad I own this fine software, wish someone else did.
> >
> > Burr
> >

--
GET NJ

FREE Classified Ads -- AND LOTS MORE!
http://www.getnj.com

611. Re: Live MilAir Broadcast, very active in Florida!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 00:45:46 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0303151645.35b774ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 35026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

> It say's that's it's not broadcasting. What's the direct linkage to the
> broadcast?

who cares as long a we can hear it. Sheesh

612. Re: Live MilAir Broadcast, very active in Florida!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 00:48:25 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <NTPca.9744$VT.1177678@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 35027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

ok smart ass. So what's the link to the broadcast. The website's working
but not the link for the broadcast.

"Gill" <glineberry@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:b234376e.0303151645.35b774ab@posting.google.com...
> > It say's that's it's not broadcasting. What's the direct linkage to the
> > broadcast?
>
>
> who cares as long a we can hear it. Sheesh

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.462 / Virus Database: 261 - Release Date: 13/03/2003

613. Battery Pack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 01:24:48 UTC
From: skip@hotzone1.com
Message-ID: <fb88467c6ed88c38d217a09b8c662d29@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 35028

Can I use a 245 bat pack for the BC250?

614. Tom Cruise/Nicole Kidman Scanner Eavesdropper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 01:57:09 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <VRQca.7912$ja4.534937@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 35029

Here is the sentencing info:

http://www.eonline.com/News/Items/0,1,4775,00.html

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic
~~~~~~~

615. Re: Battery Pack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 02:13:36 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v77ne682hlqae6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 35031

Depends, How many bats do you plan to pack besides the scanner? ;>)

"Skip T" <skip@hotzone1.com> wrote in message
news:fb88467c6ed88c38d217a09b8c662d29@news.teranews.com...
> Can I use a 245 bat pack for the BC250?

616. FA: PRO-2006 LINKALL Memory Controller & Chips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 02:16:26 UTC
From: Robert <nospam@me.cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_7Rca.65440$lW3.2537354@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 35032

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3013553178

617. Re: Tom Cruise/Nicole Kidman Scanner Eavesdropper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 03:01:15 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <%NRca.531$we1.434644@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 35030

This is just the behavior that will get this hobby banned.

===Matt===

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:VRQca.7912$ja4.534937@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Here is the sentencing info:
>
> http://www.eonline.com/News/Items/0,1,4775,00.html
>
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic
>
>
>

618. Re: ICOM IC-R10 or Yaesu VR-500 or ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 03:18:04 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <not_for_mail@fake789.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.03.16.03.18.00.971893@fake789.invalid>
Source record: 35033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Both the IC-R10 and VR-500 are interesting radios.

The fit and finish of the IC-R10 are very good, but
it weighs more and requires twice as many
batteries. I measured the IC-R10 current drain
while scanning at over twice that of the VR-500
(158 vs 73 mA).

Both the VR-500's frequency and alpha label are
displayed simultaneously.

The VR-500 scans faster than the IC-R10.
Both models scan slowly, though VR-500 scans
faster (9 vs. 6 channes/sec.).

The VR-500 can scan combinations of memory banks
but the IC-R10 cannot.

VR-500 drawbacks include anemic audio
and a shortwave front
end with limited dynamic range (easily overloaded
by stronger signals).

You can download free, open source software for
the VR-500, VR-120, IC-R2, IC-R3, IC-Q7A, and
other radios from my web site -- http://parnass.com
--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

619. Re: Everyone who is not Waldo is Nutso

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 03:34:14 UTC
From: Ephimerol <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com>
Message-ID: <WgSca.98129$L1.15671@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 35035
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

He's doing everything that we claim we are against are you nuts?

"Ben" <benf@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:b50aha$5bg$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> Stop him?....Why?...He's doing a great job, leave him alone.
>
> "Ephimerol" <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com> wrote in message
> news:2Ueca.75097$F1.2671@sccrnsc04...
> > They can't find Osama, or the weapons of mass destruction, or the
> hijackers
> > in time.
> > They can't find Elizabeth Smart who was dressed like a medieval clown
> having
> > a milkshake at the mall.
> >
> > GWB's answer is to wreck everything from the economy to the deficit, to
> all
> > international relations, to the lives of every person in uniform and his
> or
> > her loved ones who confuse loyalty to the soldiers as loyalty to
whatever
> > war he wants to start.
> > They went after Clinton, they are going after Iraq, and they are
> > increasingly going after you.
> >
> > You elected him, now you stop him.
> >
> >
>
>

620. Re: Live MilAir Broadcast, very active in Florida!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 03:51:18 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E73F4B6.2040106@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 35036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Radio Demon wrote:
> ok smart ass. So what's the link to the broadcast. The website's working
> but not the link for the broadcast.
>
>
> "Gill" <glineberry@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:b234376e.0303151645.35b774ab@posting.google.com...
>
>>>It say's that's it's not broadcasting. What's the direct linkage to the
>>>broadcast?
>>
>>
>>who cares as long a we can hear it. Sheesh

The "link" didn't work because at the time you tried I wasn't
broadcasting. When I'm broadcasting the link works....

Since I use Live365 for broadcasting I don't know what the actually
linke may be because the website needs to setup JavaScript to start the
broadcast so its not as easy as just using a direct link.

If you actually go to Live365.com you might be able to find the
broadcast by doing a search on Daytona Beach. Personally I've never
done it before since I never had to find my own broadcast. When I test
to see if its working I just access it through my website.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

621. any trunk id codes fot slc utah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 04:01:18 UTC
From: shaun <fromutt@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <iGSca.122825$sf5.69377@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 35037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

does any one have any trunking id codes for salt lake city Utah ?????????

622. Re: scanner ques.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 04:10:45 UTC
From: ku4uvham@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030315231045.23752.00000032@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 35038

My guess would be they will be obsolete, at least for digital comms, unless manufacturers like Uniden or Radio Shack sell D/A converters for older scanners. This is what is happening to T.V. right now.

Michael Brown KU4UV
Lexington, KY.

623. Re: how do i work my scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 04:22:06 UTC
From: "Clifton T. Sharp Jr." <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <3E73FBEE.7EEA5ADF@clifto.com>
Source record: 35039

xxxm@ix.netcom.com wrote:
> Charles Newton wrote:
> > well first he has to get a framstat & furbulator and plug them into the YSB
> > port on the back of the pc, then it transvert the documents into invertable
> > text and bam....its working!!!
>
> No, no. He needs a frack-o-stat. The left handed one, connect that to an
> Acme model 1 dis-combobulator. Plug that into the "phone" jack, this will
> turn the whole thing into a fax/scanner.

You guys both forgot the constrampulator.

--
If a big enough company wants to piss on your lawn, the courts will
restrain you from building a waterproof fence. -- David Canzi

624. SonyWavehawk ON EBAY No reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 04:39:01 UTC
From: cuibel <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <FdTca.98633$L1.15454@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 35040
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Hardly used at all because i am apparently too "STOOPID"
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3013576234

625. Re: Tom Cruise/Nicole Kidman Scanner Eavesdropper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 04:40:49 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <3E7400AA.9DEC686@mchsi.com>
Source record: 35041

He was a complete asshole for doing that. I hope they hang him.
Fuck that guy....

626. What's on 9096 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 04:49:42 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e73fdf6.361716135@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 35042

I've heard this one before and it takes up a huge bandwidth
compared to most 'Ute-stations. Can anyone ID it ? The FCC
site is giving me : GLOBAL2WAY ACQUISITION LLC .
Which means nothing to me. The sig seems to be spilling
from 9092 all the way to 9102 - a huge bandwidth.

TIA,

Andrew
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

627. Oh my god! check this one out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 05:04:23 UTC
From: "R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E7405D7.8010904@dccnet.com>
Source record: 35043

Holly shit!
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3011693368&category=14956

628. Re: More eBay insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 05:28:25 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZXTca.2759$pK4.299702@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 35044
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Unbelievable! I gave one to my ex-wife's son. I think I paid $5 for it at
the flea mkt...

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply.
Grundig S350, 780XLT, DX-392, 398, 440, YB400PE, FR-200,
Ray Jefferson 660ADF, R75, R2, VR-500,
SRIII, Halli S-38, 38e, Nc 60 special, H500, and too many Rat
Shack scanners to mention...

Who has a problem????
"Darrin" <darrin99@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cgt77vg6dbv9631p5ogr858hnqklu359ne@4ax.com...
> This is actually a decent performing UHF/VHF scanner, if you pay less
> than $40 for it. Not what this guy bought it for. <shaking head>
>
>
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3011693368&category=14956

629. Re: More eBay insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 05:31:25 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e740c34$0$80700$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 35045
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Look at the person's buying history he is buying 2 scanners per day in some cases. . I think he has more scanners than I do.

GR
"Darrin" <darrin99@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cgt77vg6dbv9631p5ogr858hnqklu359ne@4ax.com...
> This is actually a decent performing UHF/VHF scanner, if you pay less
> than $40 for it. Not what this guy bought it for. <shaking head>
>
>
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3011693368&category=14956

630. CB Frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 06:27:18 UTC
From: Leonard Beecroft <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <aPUca.147869$em1.12337@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 35046

Hello All,

I have a DJ-X3 is it possible to listen to cb frequencies on it, As I can hear frs frequencies on it.

thank-you

631. Re: Live MilAir Broadcast, very active in Florida!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 07:26:21 UTC
From: dxlover@adelphia.net
Message-ID: <xGVca.1442$FN.827941@news2.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 34897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Nice website George. Just accessed it last night, spend a while in there.
:-)

--
~*~*~*Monitoring the Airwaves~*~*~*
<>**********GO BEARCATS!!**********<>
Hammarlund HQ129X / 140X
**********Heathkit Q Multiplier**************
Received with
Alpha Delta DX Sloper
700 ft. of *Eavesdropping Wire*
numerous other receivers and scanners
"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3E73F4B6.2040106@licensed4fun.com...
>
>
> Radio Demon wrote:
> > ok smart ass. So what's the link to the broadcast. The website's
working
> > but not the link for the broadcast.
> >
> >
> > "Gill" <glineberry@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:b234376e.0303151645.35b774ab@posting.google.com...
> >
> >>>It say's that's it's not broadcasting. What's the direct linkage to
the
> >>>broadcast?
> >>
> >>
> >>who cares as long a we can hear it. Sheesh
>
> The "link" didn't work because at the time you tried I wasn't
> broadcasting. When I'm broadcasting the link works....
>
> Since I use Live365 for broadcasting I don't know what the actually
> linke may be because the website needs to setup JavaScript to start the
> broadcast so its not as easy as just using a direct link.
>
> If you actually go to Live365.com you might be able to find the
> broadcast by doing a search on Daytona Beach. Personally I've never
> done it before since I never had to find my own broadcast. When I test
> to see if its working I just access it through my website.

>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

632. Re: any trunk id codes fot slc utah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 10:02:34 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <eri87v8bbeuhu77n8vauv2bbnhk8dcrumg@4ax.com>
Source record: 34898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 16 Mar 2003 04:01:18 GMT, "shaun" <fromutt@attbi.com> wrote:

>does any one have any trunking id codes for salt lake city Utah ?????????
>

http://www.qsl.net/kd7rto/utah/index.html

633. Re: Jim M-75/M-80 preamplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 13:02:51 UTC
From: SSgt Mo <molvakjj@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns93405287DD688SSggSSggcom@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 34899

Greetings all;
I have a link that you may be interested in. this company will make a pre-amp, that mounts at the antenna. they will also make a unit to your specs for right around $100 (US). I can say from expirence, that you should center your antenna and pre-amp around 260MHz. This will give the best results from the Middle East. 80% is covered, but the there is Msg Traffic that is in the clear.

Just my 2 cents

http://www.advancedreceiver.com/index1.html

SSgt Mo Sends

634. Re: Live MilAir Broadcast, very active in Florida!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 13:27:50 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3E747BD6.67EA3D8C@USA.net>
Source record: 34900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Gill wrote:

> > It say's that's it's not broadcasting. What's the direct linkage to the
> > broadcast?
>
> who cares as long a we can hear it. Sheesh

We all care. I would be nice to receive direct through your own equipment.

Don't be so testy.

635. Re: Oh my god! check this one out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 14:15:33 UTC
From: Hipot Al <hipot230@shamltis.net>
Message-ID: <fG%ca.234$F21.9996@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 34901

"R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
news:3E7405D7.8010904@dccnet.com...
> Holly shit!
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3011693368&category=14956

Holly Shit???????????Must be some sort of Christmas decoration!

636. Re: Live MilAir Broadcast, very active in Florida!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 14:53:23 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E748FE3.2090604@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Pappy@USA.net wrote:
>
> Gill wrote:
>
>
>>>It say's that's it's not broadcasting. What's the direct linkage to the
>>>broadcast?
>>
>>who cares as long a we can hear it. Sheesh
>
>
> We all care. I would be nice to receive direct through your own equipment.
>
> Don't be so testy.
>

Since I Broadcast via Live365.com I don't know if you can use your own equipment or direct link.

I've had several people asking me why I use Live365 (who charges me $19.90/month) instead of a free service like shoutcast.com. The answer is this, with the free services there is a bandwidth limit. You can usually only have 4-10 Simultaneous listeners. In a typical day that would be fine. However when I broadcast NASA Comms from a rocket or shuttle launch I'll have 40-60 simultaneous listeners. And when I broadcast the Daytona 500 or Pepsi 400 (NASCAR Crew/Driver Scanner Audios) I'll have over 200 simultaneous listeners. So far my record was 238 simultaneous listeners during this years Daytona 500. Live365 handled it perfectly, no slowness, no dropouts and excellent audio quality. Shoutcast or any of the free services would have choked at about 5 simultaneous listeners.... So that is why I use Live365.

Thanks
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

637. Re: Oh my god! check this one out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 15:14:17 UTC
From: Ozzie Nelson <ozzie@heaven.org>
Message-ID: <v7956cnk1f0m65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34903

Looks like a couple of scanner radio collectors had a bidding war. Check of the bid history.

Ozzie

638. Re: Oh my god! check this one out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 16:01:49 UTC
From: RAR <iowaboy46@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns934065DE4E810iowaboy46hotmailcom@204.127.204.17>
Source record: 34904

"R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in news:3E7405D7.8010904@dccnet.com:

> Holly shit!
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3011693368&category=
> 14956
>

damn, anyone wanna buy a bc-210xl for $200. original box. free shiping. :)

639. Daytona Beach - Spring Break Police/Fire Comms Now Live

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 16:10:33 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E74A1F9.9030404@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Since Military Traffic is usually very light on Sundays I've put one of my scanners on the Daytona Beach Trunk system and am broadcasting live.

Should get interesting as this is "Spring Break" week and all the drunken college students should soon be waking up.....

Currently via a 4 input audio mixer I have 4 scanners being broadcast via one link. One scanner is scanning the Daytona Beach Trunk system while the other three are on Military frequencies. So if you hear a mix of aircraft it will be our military getting ready for war.

To listen live go to http://www.MilAirComms.com

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

640. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 16:17:23 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <v798ne2tha8g16@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I think your only problem will be the confiscation of the radio, and that's Very Rare (the few incidents I've heard about happened in Chicago). The sellers usually warn you they aren't liable BTW. I got a handheld Yupiteru from Javiation ~6 yr ago sent through the postal service with no problem or custom fee, but did get a fee charged when a desktop AR5000 was sent via UPS 2 yr ago. FYI, Javiation is a GREAT company to deal with!!!!

"George" <geo_jackson@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:c21a06bc.0303081324.1cc16aff@posting.google.com...
> glineberry@prodigy.net (Gill) wrote in message news:<b234376e.0303080906.33f16039@posting.google.com>...
> > i recently ordered an AR8200 from Javiation. He shipped it 2nd Day
> > Air by UPS. It was held up one extra day in Indianapolis at customs.
> > Got it on the 3rd day. UPS kept me informed of progress. I did get a
> > bill from UPS for 22.00 for custom processing. gill
>
> Thanks for the info, but I was wondering about possible prosecution, fine, etc.

641. Re: SonyWavehawk ON EBAY No reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 16:24:49 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <lz1da.141722$ma2.26498489@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 34907
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"STOOPID?"

You gotta read this post....

"Popular radio discontinued"

"Several people were ticked"

TICKED?

8)

"cuibel" <me@here.com> wrote in message
news:FdTca.98633$L1.15454@sccrnsc02...
> Hardly used at all because i am apparently too "STOOPID"
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3013576234
>
>

642. Need help: Pro-93/2053 cloning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 16:59:38 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030316115938.27985.00000105@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 34908

Hello. Up until recently I have been able to use my computer and Win93 to program my 2053 and 93. Now, when I try sending to either scanner, the program looks like it's working, but nothing happens on the scanner end. If I try downloading from the scanner, the scanner appears to be sending on the scanner end, but I time out on the computer end.

I recently upgraded to Win XP, but I don't remember if I've successfully cloned since then. Any ideas? Is there a special version of Win93 for XP?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

643. Re: More eBay insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 17:58:38 UTC
From: John Walker <jhw2@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v79ev3is4n1p6f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34909
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

And paying way to much for most of them, too.

--
John Walker
jhw2.nospam@charter.net
"grenner" <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3e740c34$0$80700$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> Look at the person's buying history he is buying 2 scanners per day in
some
> cases. . I think he has more scanners than I do.
>
> GR
> "Darrin" <darrin99@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:cgt77vg6dbv9631p5ogr858hnqklu359ne@4ax.com...
> > This is actually a decent performing UHF/VHF scanner, if you pay less
> > than $40 for it. Not what this guy bought it for. <shaking head>
> >
> >
> >
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3011693368&category=14956
>
>

644. close out trunker at rad shack...which model is it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 19:15:10 UTC
From: n0jam@excite.com
Message-ID: <b6447889.0303161115.13571fbc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34911

Hello all,
I heard they are closing out a trunking 200 ch scanner for $50. Does
anyone know what model numer it is, since the clerks don't?
Thanks

645. PLEASE NEED HELP with 54-108 Mhz frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 19:29:07 UTC
From: Romulan <tarantaska@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7g4da.105124$L1.15960@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34912

I have Radio Shack PRO-94 scanner. Is it possible to reprogram it, so I can listen to 54-108 MHz frequencies? Thank You.

646. Re: close out trunker at rad shack...which model is it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 19:46:32 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20030316144632.20623.00000089@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 34913

>, since the clerks don't?

All they have to do is scan them for a price. If it's a closeout it will show
at the register.
If it's not a company owned store they most likely won't honor the close out
price anyway. I know of one ma and pa store that had closeout items on their
shelves at the original price two years after the closeout. RM~

647. Re: CB Frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 19:47:08 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <0x4da.2005$we1.583622@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34910

I'm not sure if the scanner has that capability, but when I listen to a cb freq. I usually turn off the squelch so people with weaker radios come through.

----Matt---

"Leonard Beecroft" <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com> wrote in message news:aPUca.147869$em1.12337@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Hello All,
>
> I have a DJ-X3 is it possible to listen to cb frequencies on it, As I can
> hear frs frequencies on it.
>
> thank-you
>
>

648. Re: close out trunker at rad shack...which model is it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 19:55:44 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20030316145544.29616.00000020@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 34914

You might have them scan the 20-196 500 ch mobile scanner. I purchased one of those back before Xmas at a near close out price. They said it wasn't a close out but it sure smelled like the start of one.. RM~

649. Re: Need help: Pro-93/2053 cloning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 20:14:13 UTC
From: Frank <smfvokhbbs@huksgotesdkkjwru.com>
Message-ID: <01c2ebf8$95b1a7e0$0125250a@huksgotesdkkjwru>
Source record: 34915

VHFRadioBuff <20030316115938.27985.00000105@mb-mp.aol.com>...

^ ... program my 2053 and 93. ... when I try sending to either scanner ...
^ nothing happens on the scanner end. ... downloading from the scanner ...
^ I time out on the computer end.

It's probably the system (COM port) or the link cable, not the scanner or the scanner related program. It may be that the scanner program (Win93?) wants to use standard COM port settings (i.e., COM1: IEQ4, 3F8) and that XP uses something different.

Frank

650. does any one have any trunking id ????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 20:19:14 UTC
From: shaun <fromutt@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <6%4da.105518$L1.16037@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34916

does any one have any trunking id codes for salt lake city Utah ?????????

651. Re: SonyWavehawk ON EBAY No reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 21:38:25 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <v79rha730vuq1f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34917
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Comon Dan, the guy's trying to SELL it, what do you want him to say?
Wouldn't you be ticked off if you were trying to peddle a discontinued
model, that was too confusing to use?? Of course Sony is discontinuing a
host of hobby radio stuff, but that's beside the point........

BTW, how's the Yupi 9000 doin??

Don

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:lz1da.141722$ma2.26498489@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> "STOOPID?"
>
> You gotta read this post....
>
> "Popular radio discontinued"
>
> "Several people were ticked"
>
> TICKED?
>
> 8)
>
>
> "cuibel" <me@here.com> wrote in message
> news:FdTca.98633$L1.15454@sccrnsc02...
> > Hardly used at all because i am apparently too "STOOPID"
> >
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3013576234
> >
> >
>
>

652. Need to know!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 22:23:45 UTC
From: Charles & Melanie Frazier <rfrazr@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3E74F954.8C8980EB@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 34918

Does anyone know the best antenna for my handheld scanner? I's a Radio Shack PRO-95 that I use for 108-136 MHz only. Thanks, Charles

653. SALT LAKE CITY FREQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 22:58:02 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@qzip.net>
Message-ID: <3e74f42f@news.qzip.net>
Source record: 34919

TRY CITYFREQ.COM

654. Re: does any one have any trunking id ????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 22:59:58 UTC
From: rickey456@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030316175958.17453.00000101@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 34920

Go to trunkedradio.net and join up -- it's free and there's tons of ifothere.
Then contribute so we can allshare too

Regards

Rickey Stein
x-RCMA International Editor
RickeyStein rickey456@aol.com

655. Re: Need to know!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 23:07:45 UTC
From: please-nospam <nobody@home.com>
Message-ID: <5t7da.9302$ja4.646126@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34921

you are using it to scan for various aircraft frequencies... if that's all you really want, I'd suggest that you check some of the pilot and aircraft supply stores (as I recall, there was one called "scotties" a few years ago), and see if they have an antenna specifically for that band. I'm sure there a "rubber duck" antenna available....

Marvin, in Atlanta

656. BC785D Weather Alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 23:44:10 UTC
From: deadeye916 <deadeye916>
Message-ID: <v7a32e6324rnf6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34922

Having severe weather here locally today and I am curious if the BC785 will pick-up a NWR-Same alert if the radio is not set to Service Search Weather?

657. Re: Need help: Pro-93/2053 cloning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-16 23:45:46 UTC
From: Darren Higgins <sccs@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <l08da.432$Fy5.396@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 34923

may have a problem as xp will not allow direct access to com ports
"Frank" <smfvokhbbs@huksgotesdkkjwru.com> wrote in message
news:01c2ebf8$95b1a7e0$0125250a@huksgotesdkkjwru...
> VHFRadioBuff <20030316115938.27985.00000105@mb-mp.aol.com>...
>
> ^ ... program my 2053 and 93. ... when I try sending to either scanner ...
> ^ nothing happens on the scanner end. ... downloading from the scanner ...
> ^ I time out on the computer end.
>
> It's probably the system (COM port) or the link cable, not the scanner or
the
> scanner related program. It may be that the scanner program (Win93?) wants
to
> use standard COM port settings (i.e., COM1: IEQ4, 3F8) and that XP uses
> something different.
>
> Frank
>

658. ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 00:48:48 UTC
From: Max224 <Max224@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QX8da.65371$gi1.27148@nwrdny02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34924

going to mount antanna 60'high and a little over 40' from house, ,what cable to use?

659. Re: Need to know!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 00:52:25 UTC
From: Charles & Melanie Frazier <rfrazr@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3E751C2C.AC33307B@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 34925

Hi Marvin,
Years ago, I purchased a Sony Air-8 from "Sporty's Pilot Shop". The
Rubber Duckie antenna that adorned the Sony radio was not very good, at
all. This is why I am looking upward toward a better antenna for what
is, in fact, a better radio. Thanks anyway, Charles

please-nospam wrote:
>
> you are using it to scan for various aircraft frequencies... if that's all
> you really want, I'd suggest that you check some of the pilot and aircraft
> supply stores (as I recall, there was one called "scotties" a few years
> ago), and see if they have an antenna specifically for that band. I'm sure
> there a "rubber duck" antenna available....
>
> Marvin, in Atlanta

660. Re: CB Frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 01:04:21 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b5373c$fs0$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34926

CB and FRS frequencies and their modes are both very different. CB is AM mode, around the 27MHz region, just below the lowest programmable frequency of most scanners (usually 30MHz). FRS is FM mode, around the 462 and 467MHz range, which most scanners can receive.

The thing is that not all scanners can receive in AM mode (except in the aircraft band 113-137MHz), and that not all scanners can receive below 30MHz.

Mike

"Leonard Beecroft" <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com> wrote in message news:aPUca.147869$em1.12337@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Hello All,
>
> I have a DJ-X3 is it possible to listen to cb frequencies on it, As I can
> hear frs frequencies on it.
>
> thank-you
>
>

661. Re: SonyWavehawk ON EBAY No reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 01:09:52 UTC
From: cuibel <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <Af9da.133906$6b3.390313@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34927
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I have too many radios. As a radio it pretty good.
"cuibel" <me@here.com> wrote in message
news:FdTca.98633$L1.15454@sccrnsc02...
> Hardly used at all because i am apparently too "STOOPID"
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3013576234
>
>

662. AOR AR5000 - lasr day E-Bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 02:10:34 UTC
From: radiii@shaw.ca
Message-ID: <pkba7vgsp80leoh7jv3rsrp1s8h4obua07@4ax.com>
Source record: 34928

AOR AR5000 - lasr day E-Bay
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3012118089

663. Re: CB Frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 02:29:24 UTC
From: Leonard Beecroft <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <8qada.52946$a41.17470@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 34929

Thank-You Radio Check, Matt, and Mike.. I have Uniden's ecotrek frs radio...
and i found all 14 frequencies, Plus I have the 38 CTCSS codes too, Still
working on the cb frequencies again Thank-You.
"Mike Mayer" <mayermh@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:b5373c$fs0$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> CB and FRS frequencies and their modes are both very different. CB is AM
> mode, around the 27MHz region, just below the lowest programmable
frequency
> of most scanners (usually 30MHz). FRS is FM mode, around the 462 and
467MHz
> range, which most scanners can receive.
>
> The thing is that not all scanners can receive in AM mode (except in the
> aircraft band 113-137MHz), and that not all scanners can receive below
> 30MHz.
>
> Mike
>
> "Leonard Beecroft" <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:aPUca.147869$em1.12337@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > Hello All,
> >
> > I have a DJ-X3 is it possible to listen to cb frequencies on it, As I
can
> > hear frs frequencies on it.
> >
> > thank-you
> >
> >
>
>

664. Re: ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 02:52:18 UTC
From: kilmister <kilmister@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CLada.157091$em1.80623@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 34930

RG-213 good to uhf. what is the primary use? vhf-lo to uhf-hi?

"Max224" <Max224@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:QX8da.65371$gi1.27148@nwrdny02.gnilink.net...
> going to mount antanna 60'high and a little over 40' from house, ,what
cable
> to use?
>
>

665. FA : Radio Shack Pro-2006 base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 03:33:01 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NIbda.9702$5I6.1574863@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 34932
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3013771581

666. Re: CB Frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 04:23:44 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <j5cda.2172$we1.676429@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34931

CB frequencies: http://home.attbi.com/~mnjcsm861/cb_frequencies.htm

===Matt===

667. Re: SonyWavehawk ON EBAY No reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 05:06:37 UTC
From: lgman <lgman@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6gKdncVGgZerx-ijXTWcqw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 34933
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Don't feel bad... the Wavehawk has to be in the top 5 of the hardest radios to operate of all time. Hard to believe Sony would even market such a beast...

Hopefully you'll get a good price. They are getting rather scarce.

"cuibel" <me@here.com> wrote in message news:FdTca.98633$L1.15454@sccrnsc02...

> Hardly used at all because i am apparently too "STOOPID"

668. Re: ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 05:21:51 UTC
From: Steve <ec135@nospamexcite.com>
Message-ID: <PXcda.113463$3D1.4127@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34934

I would buy LMR 400 it is big $ but is great stuff or try RG 6

669. Re: unexplained static

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 05:27:54 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BA992254.131CC%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 34935

"Steve Draft-Peppin" wrote:

> I tried putting my base unit antenna on it
> about a week ago with no improvement. I'll
> try your idea of cleaning it out.

Well, if switching antennas didn't help, that rules out the antenna. That
pretty much leaves only something internal.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

670. Re: SonyWavehawk ON EBAY No reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 05:29:16 UTC
From: cuibel <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <M2dda.137893$qi4.67752@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 34936
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Maybeifyouallsaidsomethingniceforachangeaboutititwouldhelp!

"lgman" <lgman@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:6gKdncVGgZerx-ijXTWcqw@gbronline.com...
> Don't feel bad... the Wavehawk has to be in the top 5 of the hardest
radios
> to operate of all time. Hard to believe Sony would even market such a
> beast...
>
> Hopefully you'll get a good price. They are getting rather scarce.
>
> "cuibel" <me@here.com> wrote in message
> news:FdTca.98633$L1.15454@sccrnsc02...
>
> > Hardly used at all because i am apparently too "STOOPID"
>
>
>

671. Best place to get ICOM R3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 05:42:28 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <AmeriChicken@AmeriChicken.com>
Message-ID: <8fdda.138757$sf5.76171@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34937
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I've read all the info on the R3.
Where can I get a nice used one? Ebay or where?

672. Re: More eBay insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 05:44:45 UTC
From: Harding <Harding@Harding.com>
Message-ID: <hhdda.138786$sf5.76000@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34938
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Your ex-wife's son doen't know what he's sitting on!
For him it's like finding a nude picture of Paula Jones hidden behind a
Picasso!

"Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ZXTca.2759$pK4.299702@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Unbelievable! I gave one to my ex-wife's son. I think I paid $5 for it
at
> the flea mkt...
>
> --
> Remove "beforeflight" to reply.
> Grundig S350, 780XLT, DX-392, 398, 440, YB400PE, FR-200,
> Ray Jefferson 660ADF, R75, R2, VR-500,
> SRIII, Halli S-38, 38e, Nc 60 special, H500, and too many Rat
> Shack scanners to mention...
>
> Who has a problem????
> "Darrin" <darrin99@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:cgt77vg6dbv9631p5ogr858hnqklu359ne@4ax.com...
> > This is actually a decent performing UHF/VHF scanner, if you pay less
> > than $40 for it. Not what this guy bought it for. <shaking head>
> >
> >
> >
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3011693368&category=14956
>
>

673. Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 05:48:05 UTC
From: Harding <Harding@Harding.com>
Message-ID: <pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 34939
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'

If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
If the terrorists are frisky,
Pakistan is looking shifty,
North Korea is too risky,
Bomb Iraq.

If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
So to hell with the inspections,
Let's look tough for the elections,
Close your mind and take directions,
Bomb Iraq.

It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
They've got weapons we can't see,
And that's all the proof we need,
If they're not there, they must be,
Bomb Iraq.

If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
If you think Saddam's gone mad,
With the weapons that he had,
And he flicked the bird your dad,
Bomb Iraq.

Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
Disagree? We'll call it treason,
Let's make war not love this season,
Even if we have no reason,
Bomb Iraq.

The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
Tony Blair is quite a friend,
3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
Saddams tyranny is about to end
Bomb Iraq

:)
IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S NEXT?

674. saddam's drone plane. pics here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 05:48:55 UTC
From: Harding <Harding@Harding.com>
Message-ID: <bldda.138839$sf5.75526@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34940
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

at last the US goverment have released pictures of the drone plane that
saddam
was hiding from the west
see pic here:
http://www.rudystoys.com/detailh.asp?product_id=2645

675. New gun developed in SA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 05:50:53 UTC
From: Harding <Harding@Harding.com>
Message-ID: <1ndda.138864$sf5.76042@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34941
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

http://iol.co.za/index.php?click_id=31&art_id=iol1047630378830C416&set_id=1

uses finger print recognition to prevent unauthorised users

awesome ramifications, esp for someone like me form SA who grew up with
AK47s costing about US$3 on the street.

:)

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.462 / Virus Database: 261 - Release Date: 13/03/2003

676. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 06:11:24 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v7apo24ofqctc2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34942
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I prefer the image of Saddam in a little red dress with his white collar
singing;

-You can bet your bottom dollar
- their gonna bomb tomorrow
- Tomorrow, tomorrow, tomorrow

"Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'
>
> If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> If the terrorists are frisky,
> Pakistan is looking shifty,
> North Korea is too risky,
> Bomb Iraq.

677. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 06:14:00 UTC
From: Harding <Harding@Harding.com>
Message-ID: <IIdda.138316$qi4.68878@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 34943
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

very cute i must say!

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v7apo24ofqctc2@corp.supernews.com...
> I prefer the image of Saddam in a little red dress with his white collar
> singing;
>
> -You can bet your bottom dollar
> - their gonna bomb tomorrow
> - Tomorrow, tomorrow, tomorrow
>
>
> "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'
> >
> > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > North Korea is too risky,
> > Bomb Iraq.
>
>

678. Re: saddam's drone plane. pics here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 06:45:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BA9AD955.13289%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 34944
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Harding" wrote:
>
> at last the US goverment have released pictures of
> the drone plane that saddam was hiding from the west
> see pic here:

Amazing Iraq would have such technology. Looks exactly like the drone
prototypes developed by several American companies just a few years ago.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

679. Re: Jim M-75/M-80 preamplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 07:22:17 UTC
From: VK2HXY - Troy <spam.vk2hxy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ICfda.171$kf.7685@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 34947

Would really appreciate a freq list for the 260Mhz Milsat range... Would you care to share SSGT?

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message news:3E7291B9.4050705@licensed4fun.com...

Troy wrote:
> I have heard that this Amp has given some excellent results with regard to
> weather satellites, so much so that when the amp is not used no imagery can
> be gained, the resulting imagery has been excellent - not much distortion
> due to noise and the like. I am going to manufacture a yagi antenna coupled
> with the Jim to attempt to hear the Milsat stuff. All indications so far
> have been positive, looks like I'm on the right track.
>
> Looks like I have been able to acquire a Jim second hand, so I'll report
> back with my findings to the hoards. Just using a discone here to monitor
> local Mil aviation, with excellent results, vide a Pro2006 and AOR 8000,
> nothing too flash, but effective nonetheless... We'll see about the addition
> of the Jim and yagi.
>
> If anyone else out there would like to share their experiences please feel
> free do so, that's what this NG is all about, sharing the knowledge and
> having fun with the hobby...
>
> All the best, stay safe my friend.
>
> Troy
> VK2HXY
> Windsor NSW
> Australia
>

Troy,
Please keep us updated on how that works out! I'm very excited of what you've heard so far about the Jim. Until your post I've never seen one and like I said I do like the fact that its switchable for what band you're interested in.

I have several different antennas. I really love my Antennawarehouse.com ScannerBeam and for an excellent Omni-directional I ahve the Antennawarehouse.com ScanTenna which blows my discones away! I also have a very expensive ($300.00) Create Log Periodic. Excellent

antenna, can pickup aircraft nearly 300 miles away at altitude. But its a bit overpriced in my opinon.

I do have a 9 element homemade Yagi centered on 300 MHz I would like to put it to good use for MilSats.....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

680. Re: New gun developed in SA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 07:55:56 UTC
From: Matt <piss.off@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <X1fda.2001$dE2.4813@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 34945
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

and wtf has this got to do with amateur radio or even scanners?

"Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
news:1ndda.138864$sf5.76042@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
>
http://iol.co.za/index.php?click_id=31&art_id=iol1047630378830C416&set_id=1
>
> uses finger print recognition to prevent unauthorised users
>
> awesome ramifications, esp for someone like me form SA who grew up with
> AK47s costing about US$3 on the street.
>
> :)
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.462 / Virus Database: 261 - Release Date: 13/03/2003
>
>
>

681. Re: More eBay insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 08:04:39 UTC
From: Frog Dan <daniel@lilypad.com>
Message-ID: <v7b0a8rbonlq9c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34946
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

WOW... And I have a Radio Shack Pro 2004 with 300 channels - wonder what I could get for it ? I guess it all depends what a person want to pay for something than what it really is worth.

Dan

"Darrin" <darrin99@nj.rr.com> wrote in message news:cgt77vg6dbv9631p5ogr858hnqklu359ne@4ax.com...
> This is actually a decent performing UHF/VHF scanner, if you pay less
> than $40 for it. Not what this guy bought it for. <shaking head>
>
>
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3011693368&category=14956

682. Re: Illinois State Police Digital, Part II?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 11:35:42 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-856392.05340317032003@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 34948

In article <41e7bb3b.0303122047.fa90598@posting.google.com>,
drksky1056@hotmail.com (Tony) wrote:

> So Bloomington-Normal is STILL mulling over options to replace the
> crappy multinet sytem they have. Most recent rejected idea was to put
> portable repeaters in the trunk of various county squad cars.
>
> One new thing has been mentioned in recent news stories, though. They
> have been talking about a statewide digital Motorola system that
> they're titling "StarComm 21". Anyone heard of this or know just what
> the heck it is?
>
> Should I start pricing BC 250d's with the APCO 25 add-on?

StarCom21 is going to be a statewide Motorola Astro25 digital OmniLink
system, using 9600 baud data control channels. While the audio will be
able to be heard on BC250D and BC785D scanners the system will not be
tracked by them.

Since the system is at least a year from the first site being active and
3 or more from completion I would wait until the second generation
digital scanners are available unless you otherwise need a current
digital scanner.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG rich (at) n9jig.com
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

In Deo speramus, ceteros omnes observamus

683. FA: Optpelectronics Xplorer Test Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 11:44:32 UTC
From: p <nospam@thanks.com>
Message-ID: <3e75b562$0$29611$cc9e4d1f@news.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 34949

Ebay auction of Optoelectronics Xplorer Test Receiver

Click below:

http://tinyurl.com/7ma9

684. Re: Best place to get ICOM R3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 12:19:43 UTC
From: Den <Dennis@me.com>
Message-ID: <z3jda.14009$S%3.727588@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34950
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

R-3 see post in this NG dated 3/15,R-3 for sale
Den

"AmeriChicken" <AmeriChicken@AmeriChicken.com> wrote in message
news:8fdda.138757$sf5.76171@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I've read all the info on the R3.
> Where can I get a nice used one? Ebay or where?
>
>

685. Re: New gun developed in SA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 13:08:21 UTC
From: "Mike G." <tazanator1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v7bi66pc1th494@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34951
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

boy you are way off ....
now if I want my wife to shoot with me she *has* to buy a gun of her own.
And I can never sell the gun (unless they have a way to defeat the
fingerprint scan .... but that would sell better in the black market than it
would in a pawn shop.)
Basically this is bullsh#t for legal gun owners to put up with and criminals
to still break the law.
(by the way get me one of those AK47's in a 5.56 cal. the rest of the
country wants $1K for them legally .....)

"Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
news:1ndda.138864$sf5.76042@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
>
http://iol.co.za/index.php?click_id=31&art_id=iol1047630378830C416&set_id=1
>
> uses finger print recognition to prevent unauthorised users
>
> awesome ramifications, esp for someone like me form SA who grew up with
> AK47s costing about US$3 on the street.
>
> :)
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.462 / Virus Database: 261 - Release Date: 13/03/2003
>
>
>

686. Re: SonyWavehawk ON EBAY No reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 14:07:53 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <v7blgidbrb3831@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34952
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

somethingniceforachangeaboutititwouldhelp!

itfeelbetternow?

thatnice.

"cuibel" <me@here.com> wrote in message
news:M2dda.137893$qi4.67752@rwcrnsc54...
> Maybeifyouallsaidsomethingniceforachangeaboutititwouldhelp!
>
> "lgman" <lgman@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:6gKdncVGgZerx-ijXTWcqw@gbronline.com...
> > Don't feel bad... the Wavehawk has to be in the top 5 of the hardest
> radios
> > to operate of all time. Hard to believe Sony would even market such a
> > beast...
> >
> > Hopefully you'll get a good price. They are getting rather scarce.
> >
> > "cuibel" <me@here.com> wrote in message
> > news:FdTca.98633$L1.15454@sccrnsc02...
> >
> > > Hardly used at all because i am apparently too "STOOPID"
> >
> >
> >
>
>

687. Re: Need help: Pro-93/2053 cloning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 14:59:37 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030317095937.27780.00000135@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 34953

>It's probably the system (COM port) or the link cable, not the scanner or the
>scanner related program. It may be that the scanner program (Win93?) wants to
>use standard COM port settings (i.e., COM1: IEQ4, 3F8) and that XP uses
>something different.

I don't think it's anything with the COM ports because I can still use my TNC
just fine on the same COM port. I may end up buying another cable in case
that's the problem.

Does anyone know for sure? Anyone else every experience this problem?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

688. Re: New gun developed in SA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 15:02:38 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v7bos4srrd2k8b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34954
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Scenerio: Gun purchased for self-defense..

You have a burgler in your house... You go for the gun... He starts shooting at you... You try to to shoot him in self-defense... Oh, shit, it won't fire! Batteries are dead... It can't scan your finger print let alone activate the firing laser... Ain't that a bitch!

PH

P.S. It can be programed to recognise more than one person. I like the part about storing the owner(s)'s personal information in the chip, along with the micro camera that takes a picture every time the gun is fired... Sounds like a bunch of shit to me!

"Mike G." <tazanator1@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:v7bi66pc1th494@corp.supernews.com...
> now if I want my wife to shoot with me she *has* to buy a gun of her own.
> And I can never sell the gun (unless they have a way to defeat the
> fingerprint scan .... but that would sell better in the black market than it
> would in a pawn shop.)
> Basically this is bullsh#t for legal gun owners to put up with and criminals
> to still break the law.

689. American Anthem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 15:23:42 UTC
From: "R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E75E87E.1080102@dccnet.com>
Source record: 34955

THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'

If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
If the terrorists are frisky,
Pakistan is looking shifty,
North Korea is too risky,
Bomb Iraq.

If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
So to hell with the inspections,
Let's look tough for the elections,
Close your mind and take directions,
Bomb Iraq.

It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
They've got weapons we can't see,
And that's all the proof we need,
If they're not there, they must be,
Bomb Iraq.

If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
If you think Saddam's gone mad,
With the weapons that he had,
And he flicked the bird your dad,
Bomb Iraq.

Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
Disagree? We'll call it treason,
Let's make war not love this season,
Even if we have no reason,
Bomb Iraq.

The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
Tony Blair is quite a friend,
3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
Saddams tyranny is about to end
Bomb Iraq

:)

690. Good Morning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 15:26:33 UTC
From: "R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E75E929.8090804@dccnet.com>
Source record: 34956

Did you have a good weekend?
I will not be in the office today as I am coming in on Friday for the REPC meeting. I spoke to Guy on Friday and didn't paint the job with a bright color.....Mike Emri called also but seems he wants it for the $$$ as he thinks it will be a Lui's wage?..Don't think it is for someone who is only been on for less than 2 years?..Hope Michelle is still interested in the job?...
Talk to ya later Skipper
-Russ

691. Re: American Anthem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 15:33:48 UTC
From: "R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E75EADC.4020205@dccnet.com>
Source record: 34957

OOOOOPS.......how did that happen?

R. Smith wrote:
> THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'
>
> If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> If the terrorists are frisky,
> Pakistan is looking shifty,
> North Korea is too risky,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> So to hell with the inspections,
> Let's look tough for the elections,
> Close your mind and take directions,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> They've got weapons we can't see,
> And that's all the proof we need,
> If they're not there, they must be,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> With the weapons that he had,
> And he flicked the bird your dad,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> Let's make war not love this season,
> Even if we have no reason,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> Tony Blair is quite a friend,

> 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> Saddams tyranny is about to end
> Bomb Iraq
>
> :)
>

692. Re: Good Morning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 15:34:25 UTC
From: cuibel <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <5Wlda.116057$F1.4090@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 34958

Sounds good Russ,
By the way you are fired for screwing around on newsgroups using company time , company computers.
You are fired for mismanaging the paint job.
No joke, Get yourself into my office ASAP
-Skipper

"R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message news:3E75E929.8090804@dccnet.com...
> Did you have a good weekend?
> I will not be in the office today as I am coming in on Friday for the
> REPC meeting. I spoke to Guy on Friday and didn't paint the job with a
> bright color.....Mike Emri called also but seems he wants it for the $$$
> as he thinks it will be a Lui's wage?..Don't think it is for someone who
> is only been on for less than 2 years?..Hope Michelle is still
> interested in the job?...
> Talk to ya later Skipper
> -Russ
>

693. Re: Good Morning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 15:47:52 UTC
From: Ozzie Nelson <ozzie@heaven.org>
Message-ID: <v7brhabmssf5c7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34959

> Did you have a good weekend?
> I will not be in the office today as I am coming in on Friday for the
> REPC meeting. I spoke to Guy on Friday and didn't paint the job with a
> bright color.....Mike Emri called also but seems he wants it for the
> $$$ as he thinks it will be a Lui's wage?..Don't think it is for
> someone who is only been on for less than 2 years?..Hope Michelle is
> still interested in the job?...
> Talk to ya later Skipper
> -Russ

Hey Russ Smith, why don't you spend more time learning how to use your computer rather than spending the time making up asinine rhymes and posting them along with your eMail message in the newsgroups?

What a dork...

Please don't spam this address. It belongs to a dork:

rusty@dccnet.com

694. Sorry - You jackasses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 15:57:32 UTC
From: "R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <Mfmda.118872$3D1.4835@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34960

You can all go to hell !!! Hell ! !!!
You can bite me hard!!
Eat shit then die!!!
Jackasses

Rusty Smith
rusty@dccnet.com
skipper@dccnet.com

695. Re: (BC250d) I-Calls while in ID Scan Mode?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 15:57:51 UTC
From: UnderSerf <k0ld666@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dtrb7vkejfd4ho2c08r67c0p8en6c09f4n@4ax.com>
Source record: 34963

On Mon, 10 Mar 2003 18:19:55 -0600, JohnW <no@no.no> wrote:

>
>>
>> I'll try that and see how it goes...
>>
>
>AND IT DID! AWESOME!!!!
>
>MY HERO!

I (like you) have had ICALL progammed since getting my radio... but whenever it stops on an ICALL channel, all I hear is static, no matter what number comes up

Using IDTrackers' hit-and-hold function, I logged over a half-dozen differnet numbers, and some of these stayed active for several minutes but I still heard only static

696. Re: Sorry - You jackasses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 16:06:53 UTC
From: "R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E75F29D.6080307@dccnet.com>
Source record: 34961

Nice guy who ever is using my e-mail address!!!!....I did not post the
above or below foul language!

R. Smith wrote:
> You can all go to hell !!! Hell ! !!!
> You can bite me hard!!
> Eat shit then die!!!
> Jackasses
>
> Rusty Smith
> rusty@dccnet.com
> skipper@dccnet.com
>
>

697. Re: Sorry - You jackasses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 16:09:59 UTC
From: "R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <rrmda.118982$3D1.4046@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34962

Oops. Sorry How did that happen?

"R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
news:Mfmda.118872$3D1.4835@sccrnsc01...
> You can all go to hell !!! Hell ! !!!
> You can bite me hard!!
> Eat shit then die!!!
> Jackasses
>
> Rusty Smith
> rusty@dccnet.com
> skipper@dccnet.com
>
>

698. Re: Burr Says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 16:17:23 UTC
From: UnderSerf <k0ld666@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4nsb7v08sg21i9k31bdffvlsiu6cdbaejk@4ax.com>
Source record: 34964

On Sat, 15 Mar 2003 02:30:04 GMT, Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote:

Welp, considering that since the inception of home computers,
individual systems varied widely from the "standard", it's not at all
surprising to hear one machine does run something and another
doesn't...

I bought RadioMax for my 2052 - on a very plain-vanilla Pentium Slot-1
box running Win95 OSr2, it freaked out completely. Ran weird, looked
like hell

Fortunately, the guy did give me a refund, so I can't bitch too much,
but the whole time he insisted it "ran fine" on everything else he
ever tried it on and had no complaints before...

Unless two computers are identical down to the BIOS leve (and every on
the various busses are identical) you can't be certain anything
beyond the OS (and of course, software made by the OS supplier ;) will
run the same, ever.

A really good example is and always has been computer gaming

=US=

>Well Smart Ass,
> When you decide to use your name on your post maybe someone will take you
>serious.
>
>Burr
>
>me wrote:
>
>> Gee, that's real specific.... Thanks for the detailed description of your
>> alleged problem.
>>
>> OK class,
>> "It don't work" he says...
>>
>> "It works for me" says I.
>>

699. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 16:51:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b54uf4$d56$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34965
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Real cute 'Harding'...hey how about going over to Palestine and stand in
front of a bull dozer? That will show us wont it.

Dan/W4NTI

"Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'
>
> If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> If the terrorists are frisky,
> Pakistan is looking shifty,
> North Korea is too risky,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> So to hell with the inspections,
> Let's look tough for the elections,
> Close your mind and take directions,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> They've got weapons we can't see,
> And that's all the proof we need,
> If they're not there, they must be,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> With the weapons that he had,
> And he flicked the bird your dad,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> Let's make war not love this season,
> Even if we have no reason,
> Bomb Iraq.

>
> The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> Saddams tyranny is about to end
> Bomb Iraq
>
> :)
> IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S
NEXT?
>
>

700. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 17:02:07 UTC
From: GI Jackass <gi@whitehouse.gov>
Message-ID: <jcnda.115544$eG2.15879@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 34966
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Hey if you don't like it - Bomb iraq.
If you can't find Osama bomb iraq.
If you can't find the weapons of mass destruction - bomb Iraq
If you can't find the Anthrax mailer bomb Iraq.
If you can't stop the hijackers in time, bomb Iraq.
If people get wise to you moving the "threat index" up and down like it
means something, bomb iraq!

But you were going to bomb Iraq all along anyway so nevermind!!!!!

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:b54uf4$d56$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Real cute 'Harding'...hey how about going over to Palestine and stand in
> front of a bull dozer? That will show us wont it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'
> >
> > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > North Korea is too risky,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > So to hell with the inspections,
> > Let's look tough for the elections,
> > Close your mind and take directions,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > And that's all the proof we need,
> > If they're not there, they must be,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >

> > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > With the weapons that he had,
> > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> > Let's make war not love this season,
> > Even if we have no reason,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > Bomb Iraq
> >
> > :)
> > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S
> NEXT?
> >
> >
>
>

701. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 17:07:32 UTC
From: Ephimerol <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com>
Message-ID: <ohnda.117111$L1.17362@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34967
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I think george bush was going to bomb Iraq anyway even if 9-11 hadn't happened. -
He hired all the old gulf war personnel! What did people expect was going to happen???

DUH. DOH!!! DUH!!!

9-11 just helped him out, even if he can't actually make the case that Sadaam has something to do with 9-11.
No one buys that argument.

SO he has to rely on calling people un-american!!!

I like the one where Colon says "We can't go on looking for Weopons of Mass Desruction forever and not finding anything - therefore we need to bomb iraq"!!!! hahahah what a joke!

DOH.

At least we're not listening to the SAME republicans go after clinton - what an embarrassment they are!

"GI Jackass" <gi@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
news:jcnda.115544$eG2.15879@sccrnsc03...
> Hey if you don't like it - Bomb iraq.
> If you can't find Osama bomb iraq.
> If you can't find the weapons of mass destruction - bomb Iraq
> If you can't find the Anthrax mailer bomb Iraq.
> If you can't stop the hijackers in time, bomb Iraq.
> If people get wise to you moving the "threat index" up and down like it
> means something, bomb iraq!
> ---
> But you were going to bomb Iraq all along anyway so nevermind!!!!!
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:b54uf4$d56$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Real cute 'Harding'...hey how about going over to Palestine and stand in
> > front of a bull dozer? That will show us wont it.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> > news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:

> > > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'
> > >
> > > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > > North Korea is too risky,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > > So to hell with the inspections,
> > > Let's look tough for the elections,
> > > Close your mind and take directions,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> > > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > > And that's all the proof we need,
> > > If they're not there, they must be,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> > > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > > With the weapons that he had,
> > > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> > > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> > > Let's make war not love this season,
> > > Even if we have no reason,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> > > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > > Bomb Iraq
> > >
> > > :)
> > > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S
> > NEXT?
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
>
>

702. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 18:08:09 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0303171008.77188188@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34968
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> while on recreational drugs, puked up
this message:<pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53>...

> THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:

<SNIPPED bunch of Bullcrap>

> IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S NEXT?

To paraphrase Maxine from the comic strip Crabby Road;
"Hey it's either Love America, or bite my ASS and just leave"

Guess which I hope YOU choose, Harding!!!

from your friends at; http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/

703. Re: Jim M-75/M-80 preamplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 18:56:33 UTC
From: SSGG <molvakjj@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns93418E7F8A729SSggSSggcom@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 34969

"VK2HXY - Troy" <spam.vk2hxy@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:ICfda.171$kf.7685@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au:

> Would really appreciate a freq list for the 260Mhz Milsat range...
> Would you care to share SSGT?
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3E7291B9.4050705@licensed4fun.com...
>
>
> Troy wrote:
>> I have heard that this Amp has given some excellent results with
>> regard to weather satellites, so much so that when the amp is not
>> used no imagery
> can
>> be gained, the resulting imagery has been excellent - not much
>> distortion due to noise and the like. I am going to manufacture a
>> yagi antenna
> coupled
>> with the Jim to attempt to hear the Milsat stuff. All indications so
>> far have been positive, looks like I'm on the right track.
>>
>> Looks like I have been able to acquire a Jim second hand, so I'll
>> report back with my findings to the hoards. Just using a discone
>> here to monitor local Mil aviation, with excellent results, vide a
>> Pro2006 and AOR 8000, nothing too flash, but effective nonetheless...
>> We'll see about the
> addition
>> of the Jim and yagi.
>>
>> If anyone else out there would like to share their experiences please
>> feel free do so, that's what this NG is all about, sharing the
>> knowledge and having fun with the hobby...
>>
>> All the best, stay safe my friend.
>>
>> Troy
>> VK2HXY
>> Windsor NSW
>> Australia
>>
>
> Troy,
> Please keep us updated on how that works out! I'm very excited of

> what you've heard so far about the Jim. Until your post I've never
> seen one and like I said I do like the fact that its switchable for
> what band you're interested in.
>
> I have several different antennas. I really love my
> Antennawarehouse.com ScannerBeam and for an excellent Omni-directional
> I ahve the Antennawarehouse.com ScanTenna which blows my discones
> away! I also have a very expensive ($300.00) Create Log Periodic.
> Excellent antenna, can pickup aircraft nearly 300 miles away at
> altitude. But its a bit overpriced in my opinon.
>
> I do have a 9 element homemade Yagi centered on 300 MHz I would like
> to put it to good use for MilSats.....
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>
>
>

242 TO 270 MHz. on some days you can actually pick up some signals just
on a discone or ground plane. Hope this helps.

SSgt Mo Sends

704. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 19:43:49 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Vzpda.14617$S%3.760384@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34970
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Dear Mr. Harding: Lets see he signed a cease fire but has been trying to kill our airmen on a daily basis. OH DOH wake up DS were still at war with him from the first round!

--
Brett K
"One Angry Man" <oneangryman@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:8c61e9d6.0303171008.77188188@posting.google.com...
> "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> while on recreational drugs, puked up
> this message:<pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53>...
>
> > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
>
> <SNIPPED bunch of Bullcrap>
>
> > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S NEXT?
>
> To paraphrase Maxine from the comic strip Crabby Road;
> "Hey it's either Love America, or bite my ASS and just leave"
>
> Guess which I hope YOU choose, Harding!!!
>
> from your friends at; http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/

705. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 20:07:16 UTC
From: fch <na@hle.com>
Message-ID: <3E762AF4.BE55FDC3@hle.com>
Source record: 34971
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner

I'd pay for the privilege of running over a human shield.

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Real cute 'Harding'...hey how about going over to Palestine and stand in
> front of a bull dozer? That will show us wont it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'
> >
> > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > North Korea is too risky,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > So to hell with the inspections,
> > Let's look tough for the elections,
> > Close your mind and take directions,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > And that's all the proof we need,
> > If they're not there, they must be,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > With the weapons that he had,
> > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.

> > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> > Let's make war not love this season,
> > Even if we have no reason,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > Bomb Iraq
> >
> > :)
> > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S
> NEXT?
> >
> >

706. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2006 base scanner - SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 21:42:45 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pjrda.10338$5I6.2031947@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 34973
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thanks to eBay this item has been SOLD!

Thanks to all who took a look...

Dan

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Nlbda.9702$5I6.1574863@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3013771581

707. Re: Sorry - You jackasses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 21:44:59 UTC
From: "R. Smith" <MrPeabody@MrPeabody.gov>
Message-ID: <vlrda.119664$L1.17500@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34972

Really sorry about that - kid issues. Won't happen again.

"R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
news:Mfmda.118872$3D1.4835@sccrnsc01...
> You can all go to hell !!! Hell ! !!!
> You can bite me hard!!
> Eat shit then die!!!
> Jackasses
>
> Rusty Smith
> rusty@dccnet.com
> skipper@dccnet.com
>
>

708. Frequencies for Traffic Copters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 22:05:35 UTC
From: ku4uvham@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030317170535.15343.00000022@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 34974

Does anyone know of any good frequencies to monitor for traffic reporters Marti unit comms between the station. We have several traffic planes here in Lexington and it would be neat to listen to what some talk about when they're not on the air. Any suggestions for where I need to start looking would be appreciated. Thanks!

Michael Brown KU4UV
Lexington, KY.

709. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 22:21:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b55hoedaa1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34975
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

You liberals continue to amaze me. Dont confuse me with the facts, Ill just make up some and spin the rest. Is this your motto? Well it should be.

First off the job of the inspectors was not to find weapons. Its purpose was to determine if the Iraqi regiem was in compliance with the many UN demands over the years. They were not.

If you can not see a connection to terror from Iraq when they were found to be the source of the rissen found in England and there admitting to paying 20000bux per suicide / murder bomber that attacked the Israelies then I can see no need in discussing this much further.

Oh and I heard on the news a few minutes ago that SoDamInsane is moving chemical/biological weapons up to the Kuwait border. You know the ones he has denied he had.

Wake up and smell the roses. Whether you like it or not the USA is about to do its soveriegn duty and that is to defend itself.

If you dont like it....how about standing in front of a Isralie bulldozer and giving us all a break?

Have a nice day.

Dan/W4NTI

"Ephimerol" <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com> wrote in message news:ohnda.117111$L1.17362@sccrnsc02...
> I think george bush was going to bomb Iraq anyway even if 9-11 hadn't
> happened. -
> He hired all the old gulf war personnel! What did people expect was going
> to happen???
>
> DUH. DOH!!! DUH!!!
>
> 9-11 just helped him out, even if he can't actually make the case that
> Sadaam has something to do with 9-11.
> No one buys that argument.
>
> SO he has to rely on calling people un-american!!!
>
> I like the one where Colon says "We can't go on looking for Weopons of
Mass
> Desruction forever and not finding anything - therefore we need to bomb
> iraq"!!!! hahahah what a joke!

>
> DOH.
>
> At least we're not listening to the SAME republicans go after clinton -
what
> an embarrassment they are!
>
>
> "GI Jackass" <gi@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
> news:jcnda.115544$eG2.15879@sccrnsc03...
> > Hey if you don't like it - Bomb iraq.
> > If you can't find Osama bomb iraq.
> > If you can't find the weapons of mass destruction - bomb Iraq
> > If you can't find the Anthrax mailer bomb Iraq.
> > If you can't stop the hijackers in time, bomb Iraq.
> > If people get wise to you moving the "threat index" up and down like it
> > means something, bomb iraq!
> > ---
> > But you were going to bomb Iraq all along anyway so nevermind!!!!!
> >
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:b54uf4$d56$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Real cute 'Harding'...hey how about going over to Palestine and stand
in
> > > front of a bull dozer? That will show us wont it.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> > > news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > > > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your
hands'
> > > >
> > > > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > > > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > > > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > > > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > > > North Korea is too risky,
> > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > >
> > > > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > > > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > > > So to hell with the inspections,
> > > > Let's look tough for the elections,
> > > > Close your mind and take directions,
> > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > >
> > > > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.

> > > > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > > > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > > > And that's all the proof we need,
> > > > If they're not there, they must be,
> > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > >
> > > > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> > > > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > > > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > > > With the weapons that he had,
> > > > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > >
> > > > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > > > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> > > > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> > > > Let's make war not love this season,
> > > > Even if we have no reason,
> > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > >
> > > > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > > > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > > > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > > > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> > > > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > > > Bomb Iraq
> > > >
> > > > :)
> > > > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT.....
WHO'S
> > > NEXT?
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

710. I dong get my scanner.. At all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 22:22:53 UTC
From: summitlt@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <aac782c8.0303171422.372b1543@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34976

Ok, I have a Uniden Bearcat 210 XL. I am using a CB antenna just so you know. I have buttons that say "Pri" "DLY" "L/O" "WX" "LIMIT(down arrow)" "SEARCH" "HOLD(up arrow)" "SCAN" and "HOLD"
Question 1=I was trying to put in frequenices for cordless phones made by V-Tech, and I put in a frequency like 905.600 and it says error, i can put my own codes in, but not these.
Question 2=Is there a way I can scan a range? I bought the scanner from a garage sale and I have no manual.

anything you can tell me about this scanner, and anything you can help me with is very apprechiated.

711. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 22:33:02 UTC
From: Harding <harding@harding.com>
Message-ID: <x2sda.122310$3D1.4139@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34977
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

You sound like you have been listing to hannity for years - word for word -
recitiation of the party line. Heil Hitler!

You heard that "Our government fears that the Iraquis MAY use chemical
weapons" .
That is not a fact you idiot, because it hasn't happened yet. When you see
the actual chemical weapons then it will be a fact. Get it?
You even used the spin word and the liberal word.

Hahahaha just like the programmed you to. hahaah

You don't even know if I'm liberal or not - just that I disagree with having
a war. Republicans can disagree can't they? OR are they not following the
party line?
Your hannity programming is deep my friend.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:b55hoedaa1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> You liberals continue to amaze me. Dont confuse me with the facts, Ill
> just make up some and spin the rest. Is this your motto? Well it should
> be.
>
> First off the job of the inspectors was not to find weapons. Its purpose
> was to determine if the Iraqi regiem was in compliance with the many UN
> demands over the years. They were not.
>
> If you can not see a connection to terror from Iraq when they were found
to
> be the source of the rissen found in England and there admitting to paying
> 20000bux per suicide / murder bomber that attacked the Israelies then I
can
> see no need in discussing this much further.
>
> Oh and I heard on the news a few minutes ago that SoDamInsane is moving
> chemical/biological weapons up to the Kuwait border. You know the ones
he
> has denied he had.
>
> Wake up and smell the roses. Whether you like it or not the USA is about
> to do its soveriegn duty and that is to defend itself.
>
> If you dont like it....how about standing in front of a Isralie bulldozer
> and giving us all a break?
>

> Have a nice day.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Ephimerol" <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com> wrote in message
> news:ohnda.117111$L1.17362@sccrnsc02...
> > I think george bush was going to bomb Iraq anyway even if 9-11 hadn't
> > happened. -
> > He hired all the old gulf war personnel! What did people expect was
going
> > to happen???
> >
> > DUH. DOH!!! DUH!!!
> >
> > 9-11 just helped him out, even if he can't actually make the case that
> > Sadaam has something to do with 9-11.
> > No one buys that argument.
> >
> > SO he has to rely on calling people un-american!!!
> >
> > I like the one where Colon says "We can't go on looking for Weopons of
> Mass
> > Desruction forever and not finding anything - therefore we need to bomb
> > iraq"!!!! hahahah what a joke!
> >
> > DOH.
> >
> > At least we're not listening to the SAME republicans go after clinton -
> what
> > an embarrassment they are!
> >
> >
> > "GI Jackass" <gi@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
> > news:jcnda.115544$eG2.15879@sccrnsc03...
> > > Hey if you don't like it - Bomb iraq.
> > > If you can't find Osama bomb iraq.
> > > If you can't find the weapons of mass destruction - bomb Iraq
> > > If you can't find the Anthrax mailer bomb Iraq.
> > > If you can't stop the hijackers in time, bomb Iraq.
> > > If people get wise to you moving the "threat index" up and down like
it
> > > means something, bomb iraq!
> > > ---
> > > But you were going to bomb Iraq all along anyway so nevermind!!!!!
> > >
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:b54uf4$d56$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > Real cute 'Harding'...hey how about going over to Palestine and
stand

> in
> > > > front of a bull dozer? That will show us wont it.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > > "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > > > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > > > > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your
> hands'
> > > > >
> > > > > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > > > > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > > > > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > > > > North Korea is too risky,
> > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > >
> > > > > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > So to hell with the inspections,
> > > > > Let's look tough for the elections,
> > > > > Close your mind and take directions,
> > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > >
> > > > > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > > > > And that's all the proof we need,
> > > > > If they're not there, they must be,
> > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > > > > With the weapons that he had,
> > > > > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > >
> > > > > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> > > > > Let's make war not love this season,
> > > > > Even if we have no reason,
> > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > >
> > > > > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > > > > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > > > > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > > > > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,

> > > > > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > > > > Bomb Iraq
> > > > >
> > > > > :)
> > > > > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT.....
> WHO'S
> > > > NEXT?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >

712. Re: New gun developed in SA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 23:10:49 UTC
From: Tazanator <kb9ymx@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZBsda.120331$L1.17480@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34980
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

yeah ... Thats why I love the old muzzle loaders, after the movie young guns
I checked and dimes do fit my muzzle loader "best doller fifty I every
spent" after splattering the sheriff. and no safty to screw with!

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
in message news:v7bos4srrd2k8b@corp.supernews.com...
> Scenerio: Gun purchased for self-defense..
>
> You have a burgler in your house... You go for the gun... He starts
> shooting at you... You try to to shoot him in self-defense... Oh, shit,
it
> won't fire! Batteries are dead... It can't scan your finger print let
alone
> activate the firing laser... Ain't that a bitch!
>
> PH
>
> P.S. It can be programed to recognise more than one person. I like the
> part about storing the owner(s)'s personal information in the chip, along
> with the micro camera that takes a picture every time the gun is fired...
> Sounds like a bunch of shit to me!
>
>
> "Mike G." <tazanator1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:v7bi66pc1th494@corp.supernews.com...
> > now if I want my wife to shoot with me she *has* to buy a gun of her
own.
> > And I can never sell the gun (unless they have a way to defeat the
> > fingerprint scan .... but that would sell better in the black market
than
> it
> > would in a pawn shop.)
> > Basically this is bullsh#t for legal gun owners to put up with and
> criminals
> > to still break the law.
>
>

713. Re: I dong get my scanner.. At all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 23:22:49 UTC
From: "J. Farnsworth Wallaby" <alaininvc@86'DASPAMnetscape.net>
Message-ID: <1047943285.211101@news-1.nethere.net>
Source record: 34981

I have a BC 210; my scanner does not receive the 900 Meg spectrum, so that might be your problem too. If you were going to scan the 30-50 Meg band, for example, try this...

Press MANUAL. Enter 30.00. Press LIMIT. Enter 50.00. Press LIMIT. Press SCAN.

Do a web search and you'll find more info. You'd probably do better with a screw-in replacement telescoping antenna from Radio Shack, unless all your scanning is in the 30-50 Meg bands.

Bonne Chance!

Here are some websites:
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm
http://www.rigpix.com/
http://www.webdeals.net/scanpix.htm

TJ Poseno wrote:

> Ok, I have a Uniden Bearcat 210 XL. I am using a CB antenna just so
> you know. I have buttons that say "Pri" "DLY" "L/O" "WX" "LIMIT(down
> arrow)" "SEARCH" "HOLD(up arrow)" "SCAN" and "HOLD"
> Question 1=I was trying to put in frequenices for cordless phones made
> by V-Tech, and I put in a frequency like 905.600 and it says error, i
> can put my own codes in, but not these.
> Question 2=Is there a way I can scan a range? I bought the scanner
> from a garage sale and I have no manual.
>
> anything you can tell me about this scanner, and anything you can help
> me with is very apprechiated.

714. Re: New gun developed in SA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 23:34:55 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <zYsda.2350$we1.854694@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34978
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Great job...Copy what he says. LEARN TO SNIP!

===Matt===

715. Re: Sorry - You jackasses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 23:43:29 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <B4tda.2352$we1.856112@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34979

What is going on?

===Matt===

"R. Smith" <MrPeabody@MrPeabody.gov> wrote in message
news:vlrda.119664$L1.17500@sccrnsc02...
> Really sorry about that - kid issues. Won't happen again.
>
> "R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
> news:Mfmda.118872$3D1.4835@sccrnsc01...
> > You can all go to hell !!! Hell ! !!!
> > You can bite me hard!!
> > Eat shit then die!!!
> > Jackasses
> >
> > Rusty Smith
> > rusty@dccnet.com
> > skipper@dccnet.com
> >
> >
>
>

716. Re: Sorry - You jackasses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 23:50:41 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3E765F50.8E06CE6E@USA.net>
Source record: 34983

> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> In news:Mfmda.118872$3D1.4835@sccrnsc01,
> R. Smith <rusty@dccnet.com> briefly thought, then typed out:
> > You can all go to hell !!! Hell ! !!!
> > You can bite me hard!!
> > Eat shit then die!!!
> > Jackasses
> >
> > Rusty Smith
> > rusty@dccnet.com
> > skipper@dccnet.com

I was there but I chose to come home to the freedom of these United States of America.

717. Re: saddam's drone plane. pics here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-17 23:57:56 UTC
From: Shephed <roll1up@smkey.com>
Message-ID: <8itda.82757$jP2.12937226@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 34982
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Here is a current picture of Saddam's elite Republican Guard. God help us!!!
Ahhhhhhhh....
http://homepage.mac.com/cfj/.Pictures/pal-kids-for-saddam-01.jpg

"Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
news:bldda.138839$sf5.75526@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> at last the US goverment have released pictures of the drone plane that
> saddam
> was hiding from the west
> see pic here:
> http://www.rudystoys.com/detailh.asp?product_id=2645
>
>

718. Re: Frequencies for Traffic Copters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 00:09:05 UTC
From: Me <xxx@sss.com>
Message-ID: <9noc7vs1jf70hovc2fetniobbd5b5ch3cv@4ax.com>
Source record: 34984

I would check between 450-451 for repeater outputs from the TV
stations. Sometimes they turn the repeater off and you can hear the
copter from 455-456. There are a few high band freqs too but most are
UHF. Of course a lot are using cellphones now...

Ben
W4WSM
Bowling Green

On 17 Mar 2003 22:05:35 GMT, ku4uvham@aol.com (ku4uv) wrote:

>Does anyone know of any good frequencies to monitor for traffic reporters Marti
>unit comms between the station. We have several traffic planes here in
>Lexington and it would be neat to listen to what some talk about when they're
>not on the air. Any suggestions for where I need to start looking would be
>appreciated. Thanks!
>
>Michael Brown KU4UV
>Lexington, KY.

719. Re: Illinois State Police Digital, Part II?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 00:09:16 UTC
From: drksky1056@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <41e7bb3b.0303171609.7f142fed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34985

Thanks...question tho. I've been thinking of buying a 250D cause I'd like to have a portable version of the 780. Will the next version of the digital cards be usable in the current version of the scanner, or will they only work in the next model scanner also. That'd be kind of a kick in the teeth that bought the 250D...

"Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com> wrote in message news:<rich-856392.05340317032003@netnews.attbi.com>...
> In article <41e7bb3b.0303122047.fa90598@posting.google.com>,
> drksky1056@hotmail.com (Tony) wrote:
>
> > So Bloomington-Normal is STILL mulling over options to replace the
> > crappy multinet sytem they have. Most recent rejected idea was to put
> > portable repeaters in the trunk of various county squad cars.
> >
> > One new thing has been mentioned in recent news stories, though. They
> > have been talking about a statewide digital Motorola system that
> > they're titling "StarComm 21". Anyone heard of this or know just what
> > the heck it is?
> >
> > Should I start pricing BC 250d's with the APCO 25 add-on?
>
> StarCom21 is going to be a statewide Motorola Astro25 digital OmniLink
> system, using 9600 baud data control channels. While the audio will be
> able to be heard on BC250D and BC785D scanners the system will not be
> tracked by them.
>
> Since the system is at least a year from the first site being active and
> 3 or more from completion I would wait until the second generation
> digital scanners are available unless you otherwise need a current
> digital scanner.

720. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 00:22:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b55orjpdd1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34986
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

My oh My 'Harding'....bit touchy aint ya? I don't really watch "Hannity"
all that often. But I do pay attention to reality.

Oh and can you explain the reports on the boob tube that said our buddies
the Iraquees are moving CHem/Bio closer to our troops staging area? You
know the ones they dont have and said they destroyed even tho the didnt have
them??????

It don't much matter tho...we are gonna kick their raghead butts back to
Babylon.....trouble is were gonna have to clear all those 'front line'
troops out of the way ....you know the ones that tried to surrender couple
of days back when the Marines fired a few practice rounds?

Dan/W4NTI

"Harding" <harding@harding.com> wrote in message
news:x2sda.122310$3D1.4139@sccrnsc01...
> You sound like you have been listing to hannity for years - word for
word -
> recitiation of the party line. Heil Hitler!
>
> You heard that "Our government fears that the Iraquis MAY use chemical
> weapons" .
> That is not a fact you idiot, because it hasn't happened yet. When you
see
> the actual chemical weapons then it will be a fact. Get it?
> You even used the spin word and the liberal word.
>
> Hahahaha just like the programmed you to. hahaah
>
> You don't even know if I'm liberal or not - just that I disagree with
having
> a war. Republicans can disagree can't they? OR are they not following the
> party line?
> Your hannity programming is deep my friend.
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:b55hoedaa1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > You liberals continue to amaze me. Dont confuse me with the facts, Ill
> > just make up some and spin the rest. Is this your motto? Well it
should
> > be.
> >

> > First off the job of the inspectors was not to find weapons. Its
purpose
> > was to determine if the Iraqi regiem was in compliance with the many UN
> > demands over the years. They were not.
> >
> > If you can not see a connection to terror from Iraq when they were found
> to
> > be the source of the rissen found in England and there admitting to
paying
> > 20000bux per suicide / murder bomber that attacked the Israelies then I
> can
> > see no need in discussing this much further.
> >
> > Oh and I heard on the news a few minutes ago that SoDamInsane is moving
> > chemical/biological weapons up to the Kuwait border. You know the ones
> he
> > has denied he had.
> >
> > Wake up and smell the roses. Whether you like it or not the USA is
about
> > to do its soveriegn duty and that is to defend itself.
> >
> > If you dont like it....how about standing in front of a Isralie
bulldozer
> > and giving us all a break?
> >
> > Have a nice day.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Ephimerol" <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com> wrote in message
> > news:ohnda.117111$L1.17362@sccrnsc02...
> > > I think george bush was going to bomb Iraq anyway even if 9-11 hadn't
> > > happened. -
> > > He hired all the old gulf war personnel! What did people expect was
> going
> > > to happen???
> > >
> > > DUH. DOH!!! DUH!!!
> > >
> > > 9-11 just helped him out, even if he can't actually make the case that
> > > Sadaam has something to do with 9-11.
> > > No one buys that argument.
> > >
> > > SO he has to rely on calling people un-american!!!
> > >
> > > I like the one where Colon says "We can't go on looking for Weopons of
> > Mass
> > > Desruction forever and not finding anything - therefore we need to
bomb

> > > iraq"!!!! hahahah what a joke!
> > >
> > > DOH.
> > >
> > > At least we're not listening to the SAME republicans go after
clinton -
> > what
> > > an embarrassment they are!
> > >
> > >
> > > "GI Jackass" <gi@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
> > > news:jcnda.115544$eG2.15879@sccrnsc03...
> > > > Hey if you don't like it - Bomb iraq.
> > > > If you can't find Osama bomb iraq.
> > > > If you can't find the weapons of mass destruction - bomb Iraq
> > > > If you can't find the Anthrax mailer bomb Iraq.
> > > > If you can't stop the hijackers in time, bomb Iraq.
> > > > If people get wise to you moving the "threat index" up and down like
> it
> > > > means something, bomb iraq!
> > > > ---
> > > > But you were going to bomb Iraq all along anyway so nevermind!!!!!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:b54uf4$d56$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > > Real cute 'Harding'...hey how about going over to Palestine and
> stand
> > in
> > > > > front of a bull dozer? That will show us wont it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > > >
> > > > > "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > > > > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > > > > > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your
> > hands'
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > > > > > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > > > > > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > > > > > North Korea is too risky,
> > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > So to hell with the inspections,
> > > > > > Let's look tough for the elections,

> > > > > > Close your mind and take directions,
> > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > > > > > And that's all the proof we need,
> > > > > > If they're not there, they must be,
> > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > > > > > With the weapons that he had,
> > > > > > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> > > > > > Let's make war not love this season,
> > > > > > Even if we have no reason,
> > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > > > > > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > > > > > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > > > > > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> > > > > > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > > > > > Bomb Iraq
> > > > > >
> > > > > > :)
> > > > > > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT.....
> > WHO'S
> > > > > > NEXT?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>

721. Listen To Police/Fire/EMS Scanners Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 00:30:06 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <iMtda.1185$K37.272160@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 34987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Why are we broadcasting the Police, Fire and EMS Radio Transmissions ?

General Public Interest : There are many people interested in the workings of the communities Public Safety Departments. Scanner sites are located throughout the United States and Canada that broadcast their city's Police, Fire and EMS dispatch transmissions. We decided to join them.

Appreciation of the Public Safety Departments: Few people realize just how busy both the Public Safety Departments can get, especially during the day. The broadcasting of the dispatch gives you a picture that the spending of your tax dollars on the safety of our city is well worth it. The one thing that should stick out in your mind is the professionalism of our Police and Fire Departments. Under sometimes stressful emergency situations they remain calm and fully under control. That is the sign of a well trained department.

Listen to Live Public Safety Scanners online from across the U.S. and Canada.

Place your scanner online and let us know about it.

Police, Fire, EMS, Tactical
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LiveScannerAudio/

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.462 / Virus Database: 261 - Release Date: 13/03/2003

722. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 00:44:59 UTC
From: "D.A. Hood" <nitwitrhymer@earsahurtin.com>
Message-ID: <hrqc7vskbuktd7he8neal6dlf1klqjhfeu@4ax.com>
Source record: 34988
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

You're too far gone, Dan. Let's try a new approach. Think of this whole scenario as a movie plot, and then ask yourself if you would believe something as far fetched. Can you sell tickets to it? Is it entertaining? Now ask yourself how many other folks (besides your circle of friends) are in agrement with you. And please stop calling everyone that can see this president for the idiot he really is, a "liberal".

On Mon, 17 Mar 2003 16:21:11 -0600, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote:

>You liberals continue to amaze me. Dont confuse me with the facts, Ill
>just make up some and spin the rest. Is this your motto? Well it should
>be.
>
>First off the job of the inspectors was not to find weapons. Its purpose
>was to determine if the Iraqi regiem was in compliance with the many UN
>demands over the years. They were not.
>
>If you can not see a connection to terror from Iraq when they were found to
>be the source of the rissen found in England and there admitting to paying
>20000bux per suicide / murder bomber that attacked the Israelies then I can
>see no need in discussing this much further.
>
>Oh and I heard on the news a few minutes ago that SoDamInsane is moving
>chemical/biological weapons up to the Kuwait border. You know the ones he
>has denied he had.
>
>Wake up and smell the roses. Whether you like it or not the USA is about
>to do its soveriegn duty and that is to defend itself.
>
>If you dont like it....how about standing in front of a Isralie bulldozer
>and giving us all a break?
>
>Have a nice day.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>"Ephimerol" <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com> wrote in message
>news:ohnda.117111$L1.17362@sccrnsc02...
>> I think george bush was going to bomb Iraq anyway even if 9-11 hadn't
>> happened. -
>> He hired all the old gulf war personnel! What did people expect was going
>> to happen???

>>
>> DUH. DOH!!! DUH!!!
>>
>> 9-11 just helped him out, even if he can't actually make the case that
>> Sadaam has something to do with 9-11.
>> No one buys that argument.
>>
>> SO he has to rely on calling people un-american!!!
>>
>> I like the one where Colon says "We can't go on looking for Weopons of
>Mass
>> Desruction forever and not finding anything - therefore we need to bomb
>> iraq"!!!! hahahah what a joke!
>>
>> DOH.
>>
>> At least we're not listening to the SAME republicans go after clinton -
>what
>> an embarrassment they are!
>>
>>
>> "GI Jackass" <gi@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
>> news:jcnda.115544$eG2.15879@sccrnsc03...
>> > Hey if you don't like it - Bomb iraq.
>> > If you can't find Osama bomb iraq.
>> > If you can't find the weapons of mass destruction - bomb Iraq
>> > If you can't find the Anthrax mailer bomb Iraq.
>> > If you can't stop the hijackers in time, bomb Iraq.
>> > If people get wise to you moving the "threat index" up and down like it
>> > means something, bomb iraq!
>> > ---
>> > But you were going to bomb Iraq all along anyway so nevermind!!!!!
>> >
>> >
>> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
>> > news:b54uf4$d56$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
>> > > Real cute 'Harding'...hey how about going over to Palestine and stand
>in
>> > > front of a bull dozer? That will show us wont it.
>> > >
>> > > Dan/W4NTI
>> > >
>> > > "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
>> > > > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
>> > > > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your
>hands'
>> > > >
>> > > > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
>> > > > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.

>> > > > If the terrorists are frisky,
>> > > > Pakistan is looking shifty,
>> > > > North Korea is too risky,
>> > > > Bomb Iraq.
>> > > >
>> > > > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
>> > > > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
>> > > > So to hell with the inspections,
>> > > > Let's look tough for the elections,
>> > > > Close your mind and take directions,
>> > > > Bomb Iraq.
>> > > >
>> > > > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
>> > > > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
>> > > > They've got weapons we can't see,
>> > > > And that's all the proof we need,
>> > > > If they're not there, they must be,
>> > > > Bomb Iraq.
>> > > >
>> > > > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
>> > > > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
>> > > > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
>> > > > With the weapons that he had,
>> > > > And he flicked the bird your dad,
>> > > > Bomb Iraq.
>> > > >
>> > > > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
>> > > > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
>> > > > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
>> > > > Let's make war not love this season,
>> > > > Even if we have no reason,
>> > > > Bomb Iraq.
>> > > >
>> > > > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
>> > > > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
>> > > > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
>> > > > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
>> > > > Saddams tyranny is about to end
>> > > > Bomb Iraq
>> > > >
>> > > > :)
>> > > > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT.....
>WHO'S
>> > > NEXT?
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > >
>> > >
>> >
>> >

>>
>>
>

723. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 00:48:18 UTC
From: "D.A. Hood" <nitwitrhymer@earsahurtin.com>
Message-ID: <32rc7v016120np3fbc892v2khk2la7g6g7@4ax.com>
Source record: 34989
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

This angry man has forgotten what America is all about. I suggest you
live with the same people as Hussain for a while to get a taste of
real one sided government. Upon your return, you'll be kissing the
shoes of a JFK statue, rather than kissing the ass of idiots because
they apparently think they're fooling everyone.

On 17 Mar 2003 10:08:09 -0800, oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
wrote:

>"Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> while on recreational drugs, puked up
>this message:<pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53>...
>
>> THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
>
><SNIPPED bunch of Bullcrap>
>
>> IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S NEXT?
>
>To paraphrase Maxine from the comic strip Crabby Road;
>"Hey it's either Love America, or bite my ASS and just leave"
>
>Guess which I hope YOU choose, Harding!!!
>
>from your friends at; http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/

724. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 00:51:16 UTC
From: "D.A. Hood" <nitwitrhymer@earsahurtin.com>
Message-ID: <t6rc7vkhkje6m6g4bht3kgisur6cqtdckp@4ax.com>
Source record: 34990
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Not defending that towel-headed scum bag, but what would happen if
your government wanted to send policemen into your house to take your
precious guns? He can't cry to the NRA who will bribe elected
officials with pac money. This is not that far of an analogy. But
for the record, let's do him and take the oil so dubya's buddies can
get richer and we don't have to pay big dollars for gas!

On Mon, 17 Mar 2003 19:43:49 GMT, "Brett Powell"
<powell.brett@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Dear Mr. Harding: Lets see he signed a cease fire but has been trying to
>kill our airmen on a daily basis. OH DOH wake up DS were still at war with
>him from the first round!

725. Recommend Scanning Software for BC785d?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 00:58:38 UTC
From: Daniel Kuttner <Kut-Rite@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <2buda.11097$ja4.768989@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34991

Hi.

I just bought a Uniden BC785d. I am trying various demo versions of trunking and up/downloading software. They all, so far, seem unnecessarily complex.

Any recommendations?

I can't find a demo of WinScan... they are afraid of cracks. I don't want to spend the dough w/o being able to try one first.

Thanks for any input.

Dan N5ZXX

726. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 01:03:55 UTC
From: Harding <harding@harding.com>
Message-ID: <%fuda.121325$F1.3895@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 34992
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

the reports said the saw the troops miving rockets that are capable of
carying chem weapons.
They also said they are capable of carying anthrax.
They are also capable of carying M&Ms -
Your government is telling you that because it wants you to beleive it!

You made up the spin word and the liberal world and the rush limbaugh
rhetoric on your own?
I don't think so! HAHAH

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:b55orjpdd1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> My oh My 'Harding'....bit touchy aint ya? I don't really watch "Hannity"
> all that often. But I do pay attention to reality.
>
> Oh and can you explain the reports on the boob tube that said our buddies
> the Iraquees are moving CHem/Bio closer to our troops staging area? You
> know the ones they dont have and said they destroyed even tho the didnt
have
> them??????
>
> It don't much matter tho...we are gonna kick their raghead butts back to
> Babylon.....trouble is were gonna have to clear all those 'front line'
> troops out of the way ....you know the ones that tried to surrender couple
> of days back when the Marines fired a few practice rounds?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Harding" <harding@harding.com> wrote in message
> news:x2sda.122310$3D1.4139@sccrnsc01...
> > You sound like you have been listing to hannity for years - word for
> word -
> > recitiation of the party line. Heil Hitler!
> >
> > You heard that "Our government fears that the Iraquis MAY use chemical
> > weapons" .
> > That is not a fact you idiot, because it hasn't happened yet. When you
> see
> > the actual chemical weapons then it will be a fact. Get it?
> > You even used the spin word and the liberal word.
> >
> > Hahahaha just like the programmed you to. hahaah
> >
> > You don't even know if I'm liberal or not - just that I disagree with

> having
> > a war. Republicans can disagree can't they? OR are they not following
the
> > party line?
> > Your hannity programming is deep my friend.
> >
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:b55hoedaa1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > You liberals continue to amaze me. Dont confuse me with the facts,
Ill
> > > just make up some and spin the rest. Is this your motto? Well it
> should
> > > be.
> > >
> > > First off the job of the inspectors was not to find weapons. Its
> purpose
> > > was to determine if the Iraqi regiem was in compliance with the many
UN
> > > demands over the years. They were not.
> > >
> > > If you can not see a connection to terror from Iraq when they were
found
> > to
> > > be the source of the rissen found in England and there admitting to
> paying
> > > 20000bux per suicide / murder bomber that attacked the Israelies then
I
> > can
> > > see no need in discussing this much further.
> > >
> > > Oh and I heard on the news a few minutes ago that SoDamInsane is
moving
> > > chemical/biological weapons up to the Kuwait border. You know the
ones
> > he
> > > has denied he had.
> > >
> > > Wake up and smell the roses. Whether you like it or not the USA is
> about
> > > to do its soveriegn duty and that is to defend itself.
> > >
> > > If you dont like it....how about standing in front of a Isralie
> bulldozer
> > > and giving us all a break?
> > >
> > > Have a nice day.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >

> > > "Ephimerol" <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com> wrote in
message
> > > news:ohnda.117111$L1.17362@sccrnsc02...
> > > > I think george bush was going to bomb Iraq anyway even if 9-11
hadn't
> > > > happened. -
> > > > He hired all the old gulf war personnel! What did people expect was
> > going
> > > > to happen???
> > > >
> > > > DUH. DOH!!! DUH!!!
> > > >
> > > > 9-11 just helped him out, even if he can't actually make the case
that
> > > > Sadaam has something to do with 9-11.
> > > > No one buys that argument.
> > > >
> > > > SO he has to rely on calling people un-american!!!
> > > >
> > > > I like the one where Colon says "We can't go on looking for Weopons
of
> > > Mass
> > > > Desruction forever and not finding anything - therefore we need to
> bomb
> > > > iraq"!!!! hahahah what a joke!
> > > >
> > > > DOH.
> > > >
> > > > At least we're not listening to the SAME republicans go after
> clinton -
> > > what
> > > > an embarrassment they are!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "GI Jackass" <gi@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
> > > > news:jcnda.115544$eG2.15879@sccrnsc03...
> > > > > Hey if you don't like it - Bomb iraq.
> > > > > If you can't find Osama bomb iraq.
> > > > > If you can't find the weapons of mass destruction - bomb Iraq
> > > > > If you can't find the Anthrax mailer bomb Iraq.
> > > > > If you can't stop the hijackers in time, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > If people get wise to you moving the "threat index" up and down
like
> > it
> > > > > means something, bomb iraq!
> > > > > ---
> > > > > But you were going to bomb Iraq all along anyway so nevermind!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message

> > > > > news:b54uf4$d56$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > > > Real cute 'Harding'...hey how about going over to Palestine and
> > stand
> > > in
> > > > > > front of a bull dozer? That will show us wont it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > > > > > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > > > > > > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap
your
> > > hands'
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > > > > > > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > > > > > > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > > > > > > North Korea is too risky,
> > > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > So to hell with the inspections,
> > > > > > > Let's look tough for the elections,
> > > > > > > Close your mind and take directions,
> > > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > > > > > > And that's all the proof we need,
> > > > > > > If they're not there, they must be,
> > > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > > > > > > With the weapons that he had,
> > > > > > > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> > > > > > > Let's make war not love this season,
> > > > > > > Even if we have no reason,
> > > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > > > > > > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > > > > > > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > > > > > > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> > > > > > > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > > > > > > Bomb Iraq
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > :)
> > > > > > > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO
WAIT.....
> > > WHO'S
> > > > > > NEXT?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
>

727. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 01:07:56 UTC
From: Harding <harding@harding.com>
Message-ID: <Mjuda.121571$L1.17591@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34993
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Many of you make excellent cases for war. But when you call those opposed
to your point of view "unamerican", it just shows that you don't get it!

Calling those people opposed to your point of view "unamerican" is as
unamerican as you are capable of getting.

It makes people skeptical of you, your argument, and your argument for war
because people can sense that you arent; using good sense.

"Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'
>
> If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> If the terrorists are frisky,
> Pakistan is looking shifty,
> North Korea is too risky,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> So to hell with the inspections,
> Let's look tough for the elections,
> Close your mind and take directions,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> They've got weapons we can't see,
> And that's all the proof we need,
> If they're not there, they must be,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> With the weapons that he had,
> And he flicked the bird your dad,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.

> Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> Let's make war not love this season,
> Even if we have no reason,
> Bomb Iraq.
>
> The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> Saddams tyranny is about to end
> Bomb Iraq
>
> :)
> IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S
NEXT?
>
>

728. Re: Sorry - You jackasses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 01:32:01 UTC
From: spamme0826@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8ddf3ec0.0303171732.56737afe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34994

"R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message news:<3E75F29D.6080307@dccnet.com>...
> Nice guy who ever is using my e-mail address!!!!....I did not post the
> above or below foul language!

Let's look at the headers...

This is the "forged message":

Reply-To: "R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
From: "R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Sorry - You jackasses
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
Message-ID: <Mfmda.118872$3D1.4835@sccrnsc01>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.254.181.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@attbi.com
X-Trace: sccrnsc01 1047916652 12.254.181.38 (Mon, 17 Mar 2003 15:57:32
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 17 Mar 2003 15:57:32 GMT
Organization: AT&T Broadband
Date: Mon, 17 Mar 2003 15:57:32 GMT

This is the "real message":

From: "R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: Sorry - You jackasses
Date: Mon, 17 Mar 2003 08:06:53 -0800
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3E75F29D.6080307@dccnet.com>
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows; U; Windows NT 5.1; en-US; rv:1.0.2)
Gecko/20021120 Netscape/7.01
X-Accept-Language: en-us, en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <Mfmda.118872$3D1.4835@sccrnsc01>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@supernews.com
Lines: 15

Looks like you need to contact abuse@attbi.com about someone
falsifying headers. That is against most ISP's TOS.

729. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 01:57:07 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v7cv78ehiid9e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34995
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Invoking Godwins law, I declare you the loser and the thread to be officially ended...

"Harding" <harding@harding.com> wrote in message news:x2sda.122310$3D1.4139@sccrnsc01...
> You sound like you have been listing to hannity for years - word for word -
> recitiation of the party line. Heil Hitler!
>
> You heard that "Our government fears that the Iraquis MAY use chemical
> weapons" .
> That is not a fact you idiot, because it hasn't happened yet. When you see
> the actual chemical weapons then it will be a fact. Get it?
> You even used the spin word and the liberal word.
>
> Hahahaha just like the programmed you to. hahaah
>
> You don't even know if I'm liberal or not - just that I disagree with having
> a war. Republicans can disagree can't they? OR are they not following the
> party line?
> Your hannity programming is deep my friend.
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:b55hoedaa1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > You liberals continue to amaze me. Dont confuse me with the facts, Ill
> > just make up some and spin the rest. Is this your motto? Well it should
> > be.
> >
> > First off the job of the inspectors was not to find weapons. Its purpose
> > was to determine if the Iraqi regiem was in compliance with the many UN
> > demands over the years. They were not.
> >
> > If you can not see a connection to terror from Iraq when they were found
> > to
> > be the source of the rissen found in England and there admitting to paying
> > 20000bux per suicide / murder bomber that attacked the Israelies then I
> > can

> > see no need in discussing this much further.
> >
> > Oh and I heard on the news a few minutes ago that SoDamInsane is moving
> > chemical/biological weapons up to the Kuwait border. You know the ones
> he
> > has denied he had.
> >
> > Wake up and smell the roses. Whether you like it or not the USA is
about
> > to do its soveriegn duty and that is to defend itself.
> >
> > If you dont like it....how about standing in front of a Isralie
bulldozer
> > and giving us all a break?
> >
> > Have a nice day.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Ephimerol" <bushIsMoreMoralThanClinton@hahahahah.com> wrote in message
> > news:ohnda.117111$L1.17362@sccrnsc02...
> > > I think george bush was going to bomb Iraq anyway even if 9-11 hadn't
> > > happened. -
> > > He hired all the old gulf war personnel! What did people expect was
> going
> > > to happen???
> > >
> > > DUH. DOH!!! DUH!!!
> > >
> > > 9-11 just helped him out, even if he can't actually make the case that
> > > Sadaam has something to do with 9-11.
> > > No one buys that argument.
> > >
> > > SO he has to rely on calling people un-american!!!
> > >
> > > I like the one where Colon says "We can't go on looking for Weopons of
> > Mass
> > > Desruction forever and not finding anything - therefore we need to
bomb
> > > iraq"!!!! hahahah what a joke!
> > >
> > > DOH.
> > >
> > > At least we're not listening to the SAME republicans go after
clinton -
> > what
> > > an embarrassment they are!
> > >
> > >
> > > "GI Jackass" <gi@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message

> > > news:jcnda.115544$eG2.15879@sccrnsc03...
> > > > Hey if you don't like it - Bomb iraq.
> > > > If you can't find Osama bomb iraq.
> > > > If you can't find the weapons of mass destruction - bomb Iraq
> > > > If you can't find the Anthrax mailer bomb Iraq.
> > > > If you can't stop the hijackers in time, bomb Iraq.
> > > > If people get wise to you moving the "threat index" up and down like
> it
> > > > means something, bomb iraq!
> > > > ---
> > > > But you were going to bomb Iraq all along anyway so nevermind!!!!!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:b54uf4$d56$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > > Real cute 'Harding'...hey how about going over to Palestine and
> stand
> > in
> > > > > front of a bull dozer? That will show us wont it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > > >
> > > > > "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > > > > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > > > > > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your
> > hands'
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > > > > > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > > > > > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > > > > > North Korea is too risky,
> > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > So to hell with the inspections,
> > > > > > Let's look tough for the elections,
> > > > > > Close your mind and take directions,
> > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > > > > > And that's all the proof we need,
> > > > > > If they're not there, they must be,
> > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.

> > > > > > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > > > > > With the weapons that he had,
> > > > > > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> > > > > > Let's make war not love this season,
> > > > > > Even if we have no reason,
> > > > > > Bomb Iraq.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > > > > > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > > > > > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > > > > > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> > > > > > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > > > > > Bomb Iraq
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > :)
> > > > > > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT.....
> > WHO'S
> > > > > > NEXT?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

730. Re: Illinois State Police Digital, Part II?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 02:31:31 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-3DB906.20313317032003@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 34996

Whether the 2nd generation trunktrackers will use the same card or not,
or whether the card will be updated is anyone's guess at this time. Who
knows, maybe the next generation will not require a card at all...

In article <41e7bb3b.0303171609.7f142fed@posting.google.com>,
drksky1056@hotmail.com (Tony) wrote:

> Thanks...question tho. I've been thinking of buying a 250D cause I'd
> like to have a portable version of the 780. Will the next version of
> the digital cards be usable in the current version of the scanner, or
> will they only work in the next model scanner also. That'd be kind of
> a kick in the teeth that bought the 250D...
>
>
> "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com> wrote in message
> news:<rich-856392.05340317032003@netnews.attbi.com>...
> > In article <41e7bb3b.0303122047.fa90598@posting.google.com>,
> > drksky1056@hotmail.com (Tony) wrote:
> >
> > > So Bloomington-Normal is STILL mulling over options to replace the
> > > crappy multinet sytem they have. Most recent rejected idea was to put
> > > portable repeaters in the trunk of various county squad cars.
> > >
> > > One new thing has been mentioned in recent news stories, though. They
> > > have been talking about a statewide digital Motorola system that
> > > they're titling "StarComm 21". Anyone heard of this or know just what
> > > the heck it is?
> > >
> > > Should I start pricing BC 250d's with the APCO 25 add-on?
> >
> > StarCom21 is going to be a statewide Motorola Astro25 digital OmniLink
> > system, using 9600 baud data control channels. While the audio will be
> > able to be heard on BC250D and BC785D scanners the system will not be
> > tracked by them.
> >
> > Since the system is at least a year from the first site being active and
> > 3 or more from completion I would wait until the second generation
> > digital scanners are available unless you otherwise need a current
> > digital scanner.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG rich (at) n9jig.com
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

In Deo speramus, ceteros omnes observamus

731. Clandestine Radio - Info on US Psyop's in Iraq ! Real Audio too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 02:58:23 UTC
From: Radio Illuminataion <gaspipelites3@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3e768b48@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 34847
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.europe

CLICK HERE http://www.clandestineradio.com/

Some fantastic SWL goodies online NOW at the above URL:

"U.S. Mil Central Command quietly shifts its psyop
broadcasts to the Iraqi public, signaling a major
development in military strategy..." (LISTEN IN REAL AUDIO !!!)

"Washington and London Turn to 'Black' Psyop War Strategy
Against Saddam - Ba'ath Party members and Republican
Guard officers targeted by covert Radio Tikrit broadcasts
from CIA transmitter in Kuwait that is tied to the Iraqi National
Liberation Front..." (LISTEN IN REAL AUDIO !!!)

732. Re: Best place to get ICOM R3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 03:23:26 UTC
From: DaddyDog <Wiseguy@clever.com>
Message-ID: <Oiwda.354$Wu7.152@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34848
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Are you SURE you want one? pretty sloppy, battery eating RCVR. nothing special about it really. Does nothing exceptionally well. Its kind of like a toaster/can opener/knife sharpener and compass all in one.
Seriously, look at other RCVR's for much better performance.......Eddie

"AmeriChicken" <AmeriChicken@AmeriChicken.com> wrote in message news:8fdda.138757$sf5.76171@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I've read all the info on the R3.
> Where can I get a nice used one? Ebay or where?
>
>

733. Clandestine Radio - Info on US Psyop ops in Iraq ! Real Audio Live !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 03:35:25 UTC
From: Radio Illuminataion <gaspipelites3@juno.com>
Message-ID: <b5645tkek1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 34850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

CLICK HERE http://www.clandestineradio.com/

Some fantastic SWL goodies online NOW at the above URL:

"U.S. Mil Central Command quietly shifts its psyop
broadcasts to the Iraqi public, signaling a major
development in military strategy..." (LISTEN IN REAL AUDIO !!!)

"Washington and London Turn to 'Black' Psyop War Strategy
Against Saddam - Ba'ath Party members and Republican
Guard officers targeted by covert Radio Tikrit broadcasts
from CIA transmitter in Kuwait that is tied to the Iraqi National
Liberation Front..." (LISTEN IN REAL AUDIO !!!)

734. Re: Best place to get ICOM R3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 03:35:52 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <suwda.123211$L1.17451@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34849
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

didn't i say that i read all the info about R3?
Let's see.. what was my question.. oh yah where is the best place to get
one.
thanks!

"AmeriChicken" <AmeriChicken@AmeriChicken.com> wrote in message
news:8fdda.138757$sf5.76171@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I've read all the info on the R3.
> Where can I get a nice used one? Ebay or where?
>
>

735. Re: BC80XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 03:46:25 UTC
From: Brian Hansen <chevelle454@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lEwda.5843$jA2.599562@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 34851

yes? no? no one care to answer?

--
I'm gonna have to mix it up and change my complection, the cult of feelin'
secure my depression. A shot of petrol is my bonafide method, lose control
and get thuroughly distracted. I'm on the money! Here I come! Fuel for my
engine! Then I'm gone! I'd like to begin why I feel this compulsive, toss
tomorrows dreams in the garbage, what's important is a mind that's sicker,
turn into jeckyl and hyde much quicker. I'm on the money! Here I come!
Fuel for my engine! Then I'm gone. Kick start and turn my over, punch
drunk but i'm still sober. Fourteen years is a whole lot more but I don't
flinch. Hungry and I'll take the best, cuz I never wanted anything less.
What doesn't kill me makes me stronger.
"Brian Hansen" <chevelle454@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:AZQba.2686$nJ5.241299@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Is there a mod for this so I can recieve the aircraft band? Any help
would
> be appreciated! THanks
>
> Brian
>
> --
> I'm gonna have to mix it up and change my complection, the cult of feelin'
> secure my depression. A shot of petrol is my bonafide method, lose
control
> and get thuroughly distracted. I'm on the money! Here I come! Fuel for
my
> engine! Then I'm gone! I'd like to begin why I feel this compulsive,
toss
> tomorrows dreams in the garbage, what's important is a mind that's sicker,
> turn into jeckyl and hyde much quicker. I'm on the money! Here I come!
> Fuel for my engine! Then I'm gone. Kick start and turn my over, punch
> drunk but i'm still sober. Fourteen years is a whole lot more but I don't
> flinch. Hungry and I'll take the best, cuz I never wanted anything less.
> What doesn't kill me makes me stronger.
>
>
>

736. Re: I dong get my scanner.. At all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 03:48:19 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <26a0f230.0303171948.15f6d542@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34852

summitlt@yahoo.com (TJ Poseno) wrote in message
news:<aac782c8.0303171422.372b1543@posting.google.com>...

> Ok, I have a Uniden Bearcat 210 XL.

Me too.

> Question 2=Is there a way I can scan a range? I bought the scanner
> from a garage sale and I have no manual.

I bought my BC210XL at a garage sale, and I do have the manual. A lot
of it consists of stuff that's not terribly important. Here's some
that is:

>>
> **
> Specifications:
>
> VHF Low Band 30-50 MHz (the manual says 32-50 MHz, but mine goes down
> to 30)
> 2-Meter Amateur 144-148 MHz
> VHF High Band 148-174 MHz
> 70 cm Amateur 420.462-450 MHz
> UHF Band 450-470 MHz
> UHF T-band 470.0125--512.0 MHz
>
> **
> "Programming Frequencies Into the Receiver"
>
> To program 162.255 MHz in channel 14:
>
> PRESS: "Manual" enough times so that channel 14 is displayed
> PRESS: 1 6 2 . 5 5 E
> READ: 14 162.550
> PRESS: "Manual" once, and the scanner steps to the next channel, 15
>
> To program 471.1375 MHz in the next channel (15):
>
> PRESS: 4 7 1 . 1 3 7 5 E
> READ: 15 471.137 (rounded off to 6 places)
>
> **
> "Search"
>
> To search for unknown signals between two frequencies in the same band:
> manually step to the channel you desire to use, (example Channel8),

> then, for example, from 146.500 to 146.950 MHz:
>
> PRESS: "Manual" enought times that you step to Channel 8
> PRESS: 1 4 6 . 5 "Limit"
> READ: 8 146.500 (One limit has been entered)
> PRESS: 1 4 6 . 9 5 "Limit"
> READ: 8 146.950 (The other limit has been entered)
> PRESS: "Search"
>
> **
> Your BC210XL is terribly slow at scanning and not great by modern
> standards. You'll learn quickly that 18 channels is just not enough, and
> that the 9 volt battery will run down in one day if the radio is not
> plugged in all the time, either to 120 vac or a car battery. Other than
> that, I can't complain.
>
> Write if you have any more questions. I have the manual right here.
<<

Scanning a range: I did exactly this just a week ago. I was in Hagerstown MD adjacent to a RR yard. I didn't know what freqs were in use, so I figured I'd scan the entire range. RR frequencies in the US are mostly from 160.215 MHz to 161.565 MHz. So, I turned the scanner on and hit the "manual" button. The scanner stopped on a a channel. I entered 1 6 0 . 2 1 5 and then pressed the "limit" button. I entered 1 6 1 . 5 6 5 and pressed the "limit" button again. Then I pressed the "search" button. The scanner started searching for active frequencies in the range 160.215-161.565 MHz.

That's all there is to it. If necessary, I can send a copy of the manual to you. I have no computer-type scanner, so I can't e-mail you a file.

You cannot write me at Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com; that account was disabled by spammers several months ago. When I go skiing in Switzerland, I use the e-mail account of the fellow whose villa is next door. His account is
mahatmakanejeeves at swissinfo dot org
Either post the questions here or write him.

737. Re: BC80XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 03:51:33 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v7d5tq4u9hcse0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34853

If you are looking for a critique, it sounds like it was written by a 14
year old African American wanna-be

yes? No? No one care to answer?
"Brian Hansen" <chevelle454@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:lEwda.5843$jA2.599562@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> yes? no? no one care to answer?
>
> --
> I'm gonna have to mix it up and change my complection, the cult of feelin'
> secure my depression. A shot of petrol is my bonafide method, lose
control
> and get thuroughly distracted. I'm on the money! Here I come! Fuel for
my
> engine! Then I'm gone! I'd like to begin why I feel this compulsive,
toss
> tomorrows dreams in the garbage, what's important is a mind that's sicker,
> turn into jeckyl and hyde much quicker. I'm on the money! Here I come!
> Fuel for my engine! Then I'm gone. Kick start and turn my over, punch
> drunk but i'm still sober. Fourteen years is a whole lot more but I don't
> flinch. Hungry and I'll take the best, cuz I never wanted anything less.
> What doesn't kill me makes me stronger.
> "Brian Hansen" <chevelle454@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:AZQba.2686$nJ5.241299@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Is there a mod for this so I can recieve the aircraft band? Any help
> would
> > be appreciated! THanks
> >
> > Brian
> >
> > --
> > I'm gonna have to mix it up and change my complection, the cult of
feelin'
> > secure my depression. A shot of petrol is my bonafide method, lose
> control
> > and get thuroughly distracted. I'm on the money! Here I come! Fuel
for
> my
> > engine! Then I'm gone! I'd like to begin why I feel this compulsive,
> toss
> > tomorrows dreams in the garbage, what's important is a mind that's
sicker,
> > turn into jeckyl and hyde much quicker. I'm on the money! Here I come!
> > Fuel for my engine! Then I'm gone. Kick start and turn my over, punch

> > drunk but i'm still sober. Fourteen years is a whole lot more but I
don't
> > flinch. Hungry and I'll take the best, cuz I never wanted anything
less.
> > What doesn't kill me makes me stronger.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

738. Re: I dong get my scanner.. At all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 03:52:00 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <26a0f230.0303171952.6f4a4be9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34854

"J. Farnsworth Wallaby" <alaininvc@86'DASPAMnetscape.net> wrote in message news:<1047943285.211101@news-1.nethere.net>...
> I have a BC 210; my scanner does not receive the 900 Meg spectrum, so that
> might be your problem too. If you were going to scan the 30-50 Meg band,
> for example, try this...
>
> Press MANUAL. Enter 30.00. Press LIMIT. Enter 50.00. Press LIMIT. Press
> SCAN.

I'm sure you meant to say "press SEARCH" rather than "press SCAN".

739. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 04:03:21 UTC
From: "Wayne N." <nelmsw@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dUwda.9560$IM3.2675@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34855
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Dan,

You can't reason with the unreasonable fools.. Perhaps they will finally get a clue after we've kicked the baby killing, civilan slaughtering, little hitler's butt. What doesn't register with Harding is the fact that SoDAM officially declared war on the US and any other country not in line with his tyrannical whims. This isn't a war we have declared, this is a war that we're finally responding to. Gee - do these people remind you of vietnam peace demonstrations? If they really want to do the world a service, then they need to make their peace case to the tyrant. I think twelve years is enough time for Iraq to disarm and stand down.. Instead, they have flexed their muscle in a motion of "I dare you", while fueling the Al-Qada machine to be the thugs and goons just like an enboldened street gang... We don;t tolerate street gangs, why do we need to tolerate and be subjected to the hostility of a street thug gang leader equivelant like SoDAM and bin-Laden the raghead. They have made clear their intentions to strike US and US friendly targets because they JUST PLAIN HATE US!... They proved their hate on 9/11!!! I am glad we are taking a stand and action against this kind of evil rather than just standby while they find more ways to infiltrate our shores and bomb civilan targets. If they want to believe that Iraq would just sit back and leave the US alone if we pulled our troops home, then I'm certain they also believe in the tooth-fairy.

Wayne

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:b54uf4$d56$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Real cute 'Harding'...hey how about going over to Palestine and stand in
> front of a bull dozer? That will show us wont it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'
> >
> > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > North Korea is too risky,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >

> > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > So to hell with the inspections,
> > Let's look tough for the elections,
> > Close your mind and take directions,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > And that's all the proof we need,
> > If they're not there, they must be,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > With the weapons that he had,
> > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> > Let's make war not love this season,
> > Even if we have no reason,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > Bomb Iraq
> >
> > :)
> > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S
> NEXT?
> >
> >
>
>

740. Re: saddam's drone plane. pics here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 04:07:03 UTC
From: Jesse <samiam@thecan.com>
Message-ID: <3E769B67.1060004@thecan.com>
Source record: 34857
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Harding wrote:
> at last the US goverment have released pictures of the drone plane that
> saddam
> was hiding from the west
> see pic here:
> http://www.rudystoys.com/detailh.asp?product_id=2645
>
>

Holy shit I had no idea they were so advanced.
With technology like that we might just as well surrender right now and
get it over with .
I'm truly suprised the government would release such shocking info and
risk panicking the public.
Out the door I go for another case of duct tape ,then off to canada,whom
no one ever gets really pissed off at !

741. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 04:21:24 UTC
From: "Wayne N." <nelmsw@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <89xda.25810$Ad6.15064@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34856
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Gee - SoDam is not a threat? is he?
http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,3284-614607,00.html

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:b54uf4$d56$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Real cute 'Harding'...hey how about going over to Palestine and stand in
> front of a bull dozer? That will show us wont it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'
> >
> > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > North Korea is too risky,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > So to hell with the inspections,
> > Let's look tough for the elections,
> > Close your mind and take directions,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > And that's all the proof we need,
> > If they're not there, they must be,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > With the weapons that he had,
> > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >

> > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> > Let's make war not love this season,
> > Even if we have no reason,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > Bomb Iraq
> >
> > :)
> > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S
> NEXT?
> >
> >
>
>

742. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 04:30:30 UTC
From: "Wayne N." <nelmsw@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Ghxda.25821$Ad6.21276@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34858
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"In 1991 Saddam killed 500,000 people when they rose against him. Nobody demonstrated against him then. But now the United States wants to get rid of the dictator, people are demonstrating against it."
-one of the Iraqi liberation soldiers the U.S. is training at "Camp Freedom" in Hungary
"The Iraqi regime and its weapons of mass destruction represent a clear threat to world security. This danger has been explicitly recognized by the U.N."
-Letter by Eight European leaders in support of the United States
"At the end of all of the academic arguments is whether we are willing to pay the price to bring freedom to the people of Iraq. If we are, we will not regret it."
-Vietnam veteran and former Democratic Senator Bob Kerrey
"We are praying you will stick to your resolve to liberate our country from a dictatorial tyranny over 30 years which has caused the deaths of nearly 2 million men and women, sons and daughters." -Letter to UK Prime Minister Tony Blair from Iraqi Exiles
"If Saddam Hussein fails to comply and we fail to act or we take some ambiguous third route, which gives him yet more opportunities to develop his program of weapons of mass destruction and continue to press for the release of sanctions and ignore the commitments he's made? Well, he will conclude that the international community's lost its will. He will then conclude that he can go right on doing more to build an arsenal of devastating destruction. If we fail to respond today, Saddam and all those who would follow in his footsteps will be emboldened tomorrow. The stakes could not be higher. Some way, someday, I guarantee you he'll use the arsenal."
-President Bill Clinton in 1998

More evidence for the mentally challenged "peace-niks"
Systematic torture of political prisoners
http://web.amnesty.org/ai.nsf/print/MDE140082001?OpenDocument
Saddam 'killed missile chief' to thwart UN team
http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/main.jhtml?xml=/news/2003/03/02/wirq102.xml
Secretary Powell at the UN: Iraq's Failure to Disarm
http://www.state.gov/p/nea/disarm/
CAABU Campaigns Section Iraq - The Threat of War
http://www.caabu.org/campaigns/iraqi-exiles-letter.html

743. Re: Best place to get ICOM R3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 05:52:37 UTC
From: pverrando@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <4587596a.0303172152.9db88a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34859
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

www.gigaparts.com has them for $329 new, which is about what they
go for on ebay used.
"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message

744. Re: Best place to get ICOM R3?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 06:05:02 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <hGyda.124685$L1.17905@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34860
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

cool thanks. I'll compare ebay and gigaparts.com
"Pete Verrando" <pverrando@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:4587596a.0303172152.9db88a9@posting.google.com...
> www.gigaparts.com has them for $329 new, which is about what they
> go for on ebay used.
> "AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message

745. Re: BC785D Weather Alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 06:20:27 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com.goaway
Message-ID: <20030318012027.15548.00000082@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 34861

>Having severe weather here locally today and I am curious if the BC785 will
>pick-up a NWR-Same alert if the radio is not set to Service Search Weather?
>
>

Not sure if the 250 manual is the same as the 785, but pages 44 & 45 details
something about entering a FIPS code.
Check it out and see...
Good luck...

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

746. FS: Universal M-400 Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 07:10:58 UTC
From: pverrando@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <4587596a.0303172310.4c764aeb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34862

Excellent condition. Power supply and manual included. This unit has a 40 character LCD display, and I will also include a small Okidata 180 dot matrix tractor feed printer with the sale, which is indispensable when using this decoder.

This will decode pager modes POCSAG, GOLAY and Super POCSAG from your scanner.
Monitor pager phone #'s, pager text messaging, news, sports and business updates, stock quotes, etc. Some truly spectacular listening (reading!)
This has been my primary use for this unit, it is very easy to use and a very enjoyable use of a scanner! $300 for all.

The M-400 looks exactly like Universal's current M-450 which can be seen at:

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/decoders/0450.html

The M-450 does NOT offer pager decoding. This one does.

For scanner users, this device will decode:
ACARS aviation mode.
POCSAG Digital Pager mode
Super POCSAG pagers
GOLAY pagers
DTMF tones
CTCSS
DCS

For HF folks, it will decode:
Baudot 45, 50, 57, 100 baud
ASCII
SITOR A&B
FEC-A
SWED-ARQ
FAX 120 LPM 576 IOC

The printer does work, uses tractor feed paper, ribbon may need replacing. Printer cable and power cable included.
I have an ebay account, spiffy1238 - if you'd like some sort of a reference check. Paypal or money order accepted.

pverrando@yahoo.com

747. Re: Jim M-75/M-80 preamplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 07:56:32 UTC
From: VK2HXY - Troy <spam.vk2hxy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ngAda.271$om1.7638@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 34863

Cheers, Ssgt, have a great day..

Thank you

Troy

"SSGG" <molvakjj@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns93418E7F8A729SSggSSggcom@199.45.49.11...
"VK2HXY - Troy" <spam.vk2hxy@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:ICfda.171$kf.7685@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au:

> Would really appreciate a freq list for the 260Mhz Milsat range...
> Would you care to share SSGT?
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3E7291B9.4050705@licensed4fun.com...
>
>
> Troy wrote:
>> I have heard that this Amp has given some excellent results with
>> regard to weather satellites, so much so that when the amp is not
>> used no imagery
> can
>> be gained, the resulting imagery has been excellent - not much
>> distortion due to noise and the like. I am going to manufacture a
>> yagi antenna
> coupled
>> with the Jim to attempt to hear the Milsat stuff. All indications so
>> far have been positive, looks like I'm on the right track.
>>
>> Looks like I have been able to acquire a Jim second hand, so I'll
>> report back with my findings to the hoards. Just using a discone
>> here to monitor local Mil aviation, with excellent results, vide a
>> Pro2006 and AOR 8000, nothing too flash, but effective nonetheless...
>> We'll see about the
> addition
>> of the Jim and yagi.
>>
>> If anyone else out there would like to share their experiences please
>> feel free do so, that's what this NG is all about, sharing the
>> knowledge and having fun with the hobby...
>>
>> All the best, stay safe my friend.
>>
>> Troy

>> VK2HXY
>> Windsor NSW
>> Australia
>>
>
> Troy,
> Please keep us updated on how that works out! I'm very excited of
> what you've heard so far about the Jim. Until your post I've never
> seen one and like I said I do like the fact that its switchable for
> what band you're interested in.
>
> I have several different antennas. I really love my
> Antennawarehouse.com ScannerBeam and for an excellent Omni-directional
> I ahve the Antennawarehouse.com ScanTenna which blows my discones
> away! I also have a very expensive ($300.00) Create Log Periodic.
> Excellent antenna, can pickup aircraft nearly 300 miles away at
> altitude. But its a bit overpriced in my opinon.
>
> I do have a 9 element homemade Yagi centered on 300 MHz I would like
> to put it to good use for MilSats.....
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>
>
>

242 TO 270 MHz. on some days you can actually pick up some signals just
on a discone or ground plane. Hope this helps.

SSgt Mo Sends

748. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 09:06:25 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030318040625.18193.00000138@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 34864

WE HAVE NO BUSINESS OVER THERE ..... UNLESS YOU COUNT OIL

WE ARE NOT THE WORLD'S COPS !!!!!

749. Re: I dong get my scanner.. At all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 11:54:36 UTC
From: summitlt@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <aac782c8.0303180354.7c2c32eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34865

It seems like you are talking about a handheld scanner, mine is a desktop scanner. But thnaks for the info, a bit after I posted this I found the specs of this and realized it doesnt go to 900mhz. So thanks everyone, I think Ill be looking around for a new(er) scanner. THANKS

750. Re: saddam's drone plane. pics here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 12:35:57 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <b57401pft1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 34866
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

A pic alone isn't worth much to us hams! If you could get hold of the remote control/telemetry frequencies, modes, modulation, decryption keys, etc. we might be able to have some fun "hijacking" them and doing a "9/11" on his Baghdad bunker!

"Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message news:bldda.138839$sf5.75526@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> at last the US goverment have released pictures of the drone plane that
> saddam
> was hiding from the west
> see pic here:
> http://www.rudystoys.com/detailh.asp?product_id=2645
>
>

751. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 12:43:06 UTC
From: Rhycher <bif0315@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e7713a9$0$39745$45beb828@newscene.com>
Source record: 34867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Skip is correct. Check out Bander. Had a Yaesu VR500 delivered with no problems.

"Skip T" <skip@hotzone1.com> wrote in message news:dc07a0f5914f63c7432fece52d26b776@news.teranews.com...
> On 8 Mar 2003 02:16:36 -0800, geo_jackson@hotmail.com (George) wrote:
>
> >Anyone know of problems with importing scanner into the USA? I would
> >like to buy an unblocked Icom R5. Is this a dangerous venture?
> >Thanks!!!
> >
> >George
>
> Bander is a good company to do business with and never got a bill from
> Custums.

752. FA: Pro-2006 400 channel scanner - excellent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 13:28:26 UTC
From: For Rent <duckie74@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <_9Fda.6955$MM3.1398464581@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi gang... Yes, I'm parting with one of my babies.. Selling it via Ebay.

Thanks for the support and help over the years guys!!

It's the Pro-2006 400 channel scanner. Excellent condition. 400 channels.

Here's the link if interested:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3013949759&category=14956

753. Base Type Scanner Recommendation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 14:17:07 UTC
From: mcmanusmacdg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030318091707.23965.00000193@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 34869

My old Pro-2006 bit the dust and I am looking for a new scanner. I prefer a larger backlighted area. Trunked is OK but not essential. Any recommendations?
Mike

754. Re: Recommend Scanning Software for BC785d?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 14:25:55 UTC
From: andyth2002@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <448e2c0d.0303180625.1b8bf1e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34870

Check out the new BuTel software for 250/785 at butelsoftware.com or scannermaster.com

Winscan is junk.

"Daniel Kuttner" <Kut-Rite@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:<2buda.11097$ja4.768989@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Hi.
>
> I just bought a Uniden BC785d. I am trying various demo versions of
> trunking and up/downloading software. They all, so far, seem unnecessarily
> complex.
>
> Any recommendations?
>
> I can't find a demo of WinScan... they are afraid of cracks. I don't want
> to spend the dough w/o being able to try one first.
>
> Thanks for any input.
>
> Dan N5ZXX

755. Re: saddam's drone plane. pics here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 14:55:16 UTC
From: PyroDave <daveo@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <6pce7vkn4d59jemco4u0pq1g4f6nf7k660@4ax.com>
Source record: 34871
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Reminds me of the Hitler Youth Group

On Mon, 17 Mar 2003 23:57:56 GMT, "Shephed" <roll1up@smkey.com> wrote:

>http://www.rudystoys.com/detailh.asp?product_id=2645

756. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 15:55:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b57fjahmp1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34872
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Bingo...I I know. Im really looking forward to the wannabee hippies coming out and doing their demonstration thing. I missed my chance in the sixties, I was busy defending their right to act stupid in public. But now...Im here and looking forward to confronting these pukes.

Dan/W4NTI

"Wayne N." <nelmsw@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:dUwda.9560$IM3.2675@nwrddc03.gnilink.net...
> Dan,
>
> You can't reason with the unreasonable fools.. Perhaps they will finally get
> a clue after we've kicked the baby killing, civilan slaughtering, little
> hitler's butt. What doesn't register with Harding is the fact that SoDAM
> officially declared war on the US and any other country not in line with his
> tyrannical whims. This isn't a war we have declared, this is a war that
> we're finally responding to. Gee - do these people remind you of vietnam
> peace demonstrations? If they really want to do the world a service, then
> they need to make their peace case to the tyrant. I think twelve years is
> enough time for Iraq to disarm and stand down.. Instead, they have flexed
> their muscle in a motion of "I dare you", while fueling the Al-Qada machine
> to be the thugs and goons just like an enboldened street gang... We don;t
> tolerate street gangs, why do we need to tolerate and be subjected to the
> hostility of a street thug gang leader equivelant like SoDAM and bin-Laden
> the raghead. They have made clear their intentions to strike US and US
> friendly targets because they JUST PLAIN HATE US!... They proved their hate
> on 9/11!!! I am glad we are taking a stand and action against this kind of
> evil rather than just standby while they find more ways to infiltrate our
> shores and bomb civilan targets. If they want to believe that Iraq would
> just sit back and leave the US alone if we pulled our troops home, then I'm
> certain they also believe in the tooth-fairy.
>
> Wayne
>
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:b54uf4$d56$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Real cute 'Harding'...hey how about going over to Palestine and stand in

> > front of a bull dozer? That will show us wont it.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> > news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'
> > >
> > > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > > North Korea is too risky,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > > So to hell with the inspections,
> > > Let's look tough for the elections,
> > > Close your mind and take directions,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> > > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > > And that's all the proof we need,
> > > If they're not there, they must be,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> > > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > > With the weapons that he had,
> > > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> > > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> > > Let's make war not love this season,
> > > Even if we have no reason,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> > > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > > Bomb Iraq

> > >
> > > :)
> > > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S
> > NEXT?
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

757. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 16:19:24 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0303180819.6f07f266@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34873
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

D.A. Hood <nitwitrhymer@earsahurtin.com> who doesn't know how to read
a newsgroup posting to see who wrote it
wrote:<32rc7v016120np3fbc892v2khk2la7g6g7@4ax.com>...
> This angry man has forgotten what America is all about.

This Angry Man hasn't forgotten, but some of you have.
If you don't like what America does it's still a free
country. You can LEAVE anytime you like, so don't let
the door hit you in the ass on your way out!

>I suggest you
> live with the same people as Hussain for a while to get a taste of
> real one sided government.

Sorry I'm stayin right here, I'm crazy not stoopid!

> Upon your return, you'll be kissing the
> shoes of a JFK statue, rather than kissing the ass of idiots because
> they apparently think they're fooling everyone.

Your the fool who thinks One Angry Man is Harding!

One Angry Man but still 110% pro AMERICA!
For those who don't agree please visit;
http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/

>
> On 17 Mar 2003 10:08:09 -0800, oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
> wrote:
>
> >"Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> while on recreational drugs, puked up
> >this message:<pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53>...
> >
> >> THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> >
> ><SNIPPED bunch of Bullcrap>
> >
> >> IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S NEXT?
> >
> >To paraphrase Maxine from the comic strip Crabby Road;
> >"Hey it's either Love America, or bite my ASS and just leave"
> >
> >Guess which I hope YOU choose, Harding!!!
> >
> >from your friends at; http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/

758. Re: any trunk id codes fot slc utah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 17:01:22 UTC
From: BHall <bhall7NOSPAM@email.com>
Message-ID: <b57jml$e1s$1@acs2.byu.edu>
Source record: 34874

Visit the Yahoo! group SaltLake Scanner. You can access it here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/SaltLakeScanner/

I think you'll find the latest, most updated info there for the TRS's in SLC
and all of Utah.

Regards,

-BHall

"shaun" <fromutt@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:iGSca.122825$sf5.69377@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> does any one have any trunking id codes for salt lake city Utah ?????????
>
>

759. Re: Wanted: Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 18:58:44 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b57pfb$25uj1h$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34875

Howdy Stacey,
what is not a lot of money? Base or handheld?

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1xIda.12243$ja4.842410@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi Everyone,
>
> I'm new to the radio hobby. Just started listening to shortwave radio on
> Christmas of last year. I'm interested in buying a trunking-capable
scanner
> with a wide range of frequencies. I don't want to spend a lot of money,
> though.
>
> If anyone has anything for sale, please email me at
> internetstuff47129@nospam.yahoo.com (please remove nospam to email me
> @yahoo.com)
>
> Thanks!
>
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic
> In the process of moving homepage from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
>
>

760. Re: American Anthem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 19:02:17 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b57plv$247car$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34876

Umm, you hit the send button, duh.

"R. Smith" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
news:3E75EADC.4020205@dccnet.com...
> OOOOOPS.......how did that happen?
>
> R. Smith wrote:
> > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'
> >
> > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > North Korea is too risky,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > So to hell with the inspections,
> > Let's look tough for the elections,
> > Close your mind and take directions,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > And that's all the proof we need,
> > If they're not there, they must be,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > With the weapons that he had,
> > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> > Let's make war not love this season,
> > Even if we have no reason,
> > Bomb Iraq.

> >
> > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > Bomb Iraq
> >
> > :)
> >
>

761. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 19:07:45 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b57q08$25v3js$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34877

Thanks to the useless, spineless UN we are.

"JER1538A" <jer1538a@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030318040625.18193.00000138@mb-fg.aol.com...
> WE HAVE NO BUSINESS OVER THERE ..... UNLESS YOU COUNT OIL
>
> WE ARE NOT THE WORLD'S COPS !!!!!

762. Re: saddam's drone plane. pics here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 20:34:53 UTC
From: Harding <harding@harding.com>
Message-ID: <NpLda.162321$sf5.86204@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34878
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

That's sick hahah!

"Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:b57401pft1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
> A pic alone isn't worth much to us hams! If you could get hold of the
remote
> control/telemetry frequencies, modes, modulation, decryption keys, etc. we
> might be able to have some fun "hijacking" them and doing a "9/11" on his
> Baghdad bunker!
>
>
> "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> news:bldda.138839$sf5.75526@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > at last the US goverment have released pictures of the drone plane that
> > saddam
> > was hiding from the west
> > see pic here:
> > http://www.rudystoys.com/detailh.asp?product_id=2645
> >
> >
>
>

763. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 20:35:55 UTC
From: Harding <harding@harding.com>
Message-ID: <LqLda.162335$sf5.86010@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34879
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

america is protesting or don't you understand that?

"One Angry Man" <oneangryman@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8c61e9d6.0303180819.6f07f266@posting.google.com...
> D.A. Hood <nitwitrhymer@earsahurtin.com> who doesn't know how to read
> a newsgroup posting to see who wrote it
> wrote:<32rc7v016120np3fbc892v2khk2la7g6g7@4ax.com>...
> > This angry man has forgotten what America is all about.
>
> This Angry Man hasn't forgotten, but some of you have.
> If you don't like what America does it's still a free
> country. You can LEAVE anytime you like, so don't let
> the door hit you in the ass on your way out!
>
> >I suggest you
> > live with the same people as Hussain for a while to get a taste of
> > real one sided government.
>
> Sorry I'm stayin right here, I'm crazy not stoopid!
>
> > Upon your return, you'll be kissing the
> > shoes of a JFK statue, rather than kissing the ass of idiots because
> > they apparently think they're fooling everyone.
>
>
> Your the fool who thinks One Angry Man is Harding!
>
> One Angry Man but still 110% pro AMERICA!
> For those who don't agree please visit;
> http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/
>
>
> >
> > On 17 Mar 2003 10:08:09 -0800, oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
> > wrote:
> >
> > >"Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> while on recreational drugs, puked up
> > >this message:<pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53>...
> > >
> > >> THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > >
> > ><SNIPPED bunch of Bullcrap>
> > >

> > >> IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S NEXT?
> > >
> > >To paraphrase Maxine from the comic strip Crabby Road;
> > >"Hey it's either Love America, or bite my ASS and just leave"
> > >
> > >Guess which I hope YOU choose, Harding!!!
> > >
> > >from your friends at; http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/

764. Need Help for SW Antenna (Kenwood R-5000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 20:45:41 UTC
From: sunildp@lycos.com
Message-ID: <4a7929a8.0303181245.b097c39@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34880

Hi Folks,

Sorry for asking what is a definite repeat question in the radio scanner group but here we go any way ï¿½ how can I improve SW reception ?

I live in Boston metro area and listening to Air traffic control using scanner interests me a lot. My acquaintance with scanner is limited to VHF scanner 110-130 range for ATC (Ground, Tower, Departure, Approach, ATIS etc.)

I recently received one Kenwood model R-5000 and have been trying to use it to listen to Transatlantic ATC. The model has capability to scan USB and LSB in 1-30 MHz range. Unfortunately, I don't know much about scanners or how to make a good antenna. I recently connected radio shack outdoor antenna (Catalog #: 278-758) which is basically a 70 ft antenna wire with a 50 ft insulated lead-in wire. Unfortunately, the reception hasn't improved a lot and I still have problem. I'd appreciate ideas on what I could do to improve the reception. My house is on a wooded lot on north side of a small hill, almost to the top of the hill. The antenna wire is about 10 ft above ground, stretched to 70 ft, from house to a tree There are many trees on way but I did remove branches. The lead-in wire is connected to it using insulated tapes and I left the extra wire looped so that the copper antenna can be moved further away if necessary.

Would greatly appreciate suggestions on how to improve receptions.

Sunil

765. Re: I dong get my scanner.. At all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 21:33:23 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <26a0f230.0303181333.7d0e19ec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34881

summitlt@yahoo.com (TJ Poseno) wrote in message
news:<aac782c8.0303180354.7c2c32eb@posting.google.com>...

> It seems like you are talking about a handheld scanner, mine is a
> desktop scanner.

Mine is a base/mobile scanner. There was a BC210, a BC210XL, and a
BC210XLT. Mine is the BC210XL. To see what mine looks like, go to
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm , click on "Uniden",
and scroll down to the BC210XL.

766. Re: Wanted: Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 21:47:35 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b583bu$27kp0p$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34882

Humm, going to be tough to get a trunker for under $100.00. You could
probably
get a Pro-91 or a Pro-92 for around $75-$100. Check Ebay, there quite a few
Pro-91's out there now for under $50.

I use my Pro-91 daily, not a bad radio. Though once you get your first
scanner, it wont be your last. :-)

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7pLda.12486$ja4.855069@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I prefer handheld, but I am also willing to purchase a base.
>
> I don't want to spend over $100.00.
>
> Thanks,
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic
> In the process of moving homepage from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
>
> MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:b57pfb$25uj1h$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> > Howdy Stacey,
> > what is not a lot of money? Base or handheld?
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1xIda.12243$ja4.842410@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Hi Everyone,
> > >
> > > I'm new to the radio hobby. Just started listening to shortwave radio
> on
> > > Christmas of last year. I'm interested in buying a trunking-capable
> > scanner
> > > with a wide range of frequencies. I don't want to spend a lot of
money,
> > > though.
> > >
> > > If anyone has anything for sale, please email me at
> > > internetstuff47129@nospam.yahoo.com (please remove nospam to email me
> > > @yahoo.com)
> > >
> > > Thanks!
> > >

> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic
> > > In the process of moving homepage from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

767. Re: Wanted: Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 22:42:08 UTC
From: Matt <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <4hNda.334$U96.87019@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34883

I've seen some pro-91 for $45 on e-bay. I have one, they're not the best but good for the money.

-=-=Matt=-=-

"MnMikew" <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message news:b583bu$27kp0p$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> Humm, going to be tough to get a trunker for under $100.00. You could probably
> get a Pro-91 or a Pro-92 for around $75-$100. Check Ebay, there quite a few
> Pro-91's out there now for under $50.
>
> I use my Pro-91 daily, not a bad radio. Though once you get your first
> scanner, it wont be your last. :-)
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:7pLda.12486$ja4.855069@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > I prefer handheld, but I am also willing to purchase a base.
> >
> > I don't want to spend over $100.00.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic
> > In the process of moving homepage from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> >
> > MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:b57pfb$25uj1h$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> > > Howdy Stacey,
> > > what is not a lot of money? Base or handheld?
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1xIda.12243$ja4.842410@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Hi Everyone,
> > > >
> > > > I'm new to the radio hobby. Just started listening to shortwave radio
> > on
> > > > Christmas of last year. I'm interested in buying a trunking-capable
> > > scanner
> > > > with a wide range of frequencies. I don't want to spend a lot of

> money,
> > > > though.
> > > >
> > > > If anyone has anything for sale, please email me at
> > > > internetstuff47129@nospam.yahoo.com (please remove nospam to email
me
> > > > @yahoo.com)
> > > >
> > > > Thanks!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic
> > > > In the process of moving homepage from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

768. Uniden Bearcat 55xlt Scanner 4 Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 23:23:42 UTC
From: "Rodger N. Bird" <rbird2@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18e17486fa61cecf9896ce@trialnews.peoplepc.com>
Source record: 34884

I have a Uniden Bearcat 55xlt 10 channel scanner for sale.
Includes manual, antenna, and the original box

Asking $30.00 for it which includes shipping within the Continental
United States.

email me if interested.

Thank you,
Rodger
rbird2@peoplepc.com

769. BayGen Freeplay 360 AM/FM Self Powered Radio 4 sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 23:26:14 UTC
From: "Rodger N. Bird" <rbird2@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18e175254d32dcd99896cf@trialnews.peoplepc.com>
Source record: 34885

I have a BayGen Freeplay 360 AM/FM Self Powered Radio 4 sale. This is a self power
radio and will run on the following power Sources:

Internal - B-Motor textured carbon spring driving a DC generator through a transmission.

Solar - High performance thin film solar panel

External - Optional DC adapter (center pin positive) 4.5 V 150mA (minimum)

The Frequency Range is:

FM - 88 to 108 MHz

AM - 520 to 1700 KHz

For more information see the following web pages:

http://windupradio.com/freeplay3.htm

http://www.ogormans.co.uk/freeplay360.htm

http://www.productsforanywhere.com/gear/details/fp_360.html

The Freeplay 360 is in excellent condition and works fine. The selling price of $30.00 includes shipping costs within the continental United States.

Please email me direct if you are interested. Do not reply to this message. My email address is: rbird2@peoplepc.com

Thank you,

Rodger

770. Re: Pro-2006 400 channel scanner - excellent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 23:27:09 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hXNda.93$DQ.386223@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 34773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Isn't eBay great?

I recently posted one of MY prize 2006 scanners on the newsgroups for $250.00 SHIPPED, and not one bite.

One day on eBay and it went with BUY IT NOW for $275.00 plus shipping. I LOVE EBAY!

Dan

> Hi gang... Yes, I'm parting with one of my babies.. Selling it via Ebay.
>
> Thanks for the support and help over the years guys!!
>
> It's the Pro-2006 400 channel scanner. Excellent condition. 400 channels.
>
> Here's the link if interested:
>
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3013949759&category=14956
>
>

771. Panasonic RF-B11 4 Sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 23:28:20 UTC
From: "Rodger N. Bird" <rbird2@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18e1759c505d1c029896d3@trialnews.peoplepc.com>
Source record: 34886

I have a Panasonic RF-B11 4 Sale.

Panasonic RF-B11 Information:
FM/LW/MW/SW 12-Band Receiver.
9 shortwave bands.
3" Dynamic Speaker for outstanding sound.
FM Stereo with Optional Stereo Headphones.
DC-in Jack.
AC Operation with Optional AC Adaptor.
Tone Switch (high/low).
LED Tuning Indicator.
LED FM Stereo Indicator.
Stylish Design.
Band Indicators.
Stand and Hand Strap Included.
Batteries 2 x AA

The Panasonic RF-B11 is like new and in excellent condition. No modifications have been done to it.

The selling price of $40.00 includes shipping and insurance costs within the continental United States via the U.S. POSTAL Service. Payment with a

U.S. POSTAL Service MONEY ORDER is preferred.

Please email me direct if you are interested. Do not reply to this message. My email address is: rbird2@peoplepc.com

Thank you,

Rodger

772. Winflex with Windows XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-18 23:34:59 UTC
From: paulbryan@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030318183459.14410.00000099@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 34887

Sorry if it's already been asked, but will winflex run on XP OS? Doesn't seem to want to run on new computer. Thanks.

pj

773. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 00:27:26 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BA9D239E.13F4C%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 34888
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
>
> Bingo...I I know. Im really looking forward
> to the wannabee hippies coming out and doing
> their demonstration thing. I missed my chance
> in the sixties, I was busy defending their
> right to act stupid in public. But now...Im
> here and looking forward to confronting
> these pukes.

I also served my country in defense of liberty for all. However, when I got out, I didn't throw away the beliefs I held during my service - the right of all to participate in free political speech, to protest, and so on. I also didn't trash the oath I took back then - to protect and defend those rights. Perhaps that is why I find insults and ridicule directed at Americans exercising their rights so repulsive.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

774. West Coast Scanner Guide & World Radio TV Handbook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 00:37:25 UTC
From: Legend56bc <panel56@intergate.ca>
Message-ID: <98df4719ebe66046f00856423c8c46bf@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 34889
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner

BlankPolice Call Radio Guide Wash Org Cal 1993
http://tinyurl.com/7b12

1994 World Radio TV Handbook WRTH Great Info!
http://tinyurl.com/7b17
If auctions have closed just email me..

--
My Cool Links!
My Auction Pages: http://modena.intergate.ca/personal/panel56/
My Classic Chevy Club Page: http://www.coastalclassicchevy.homestead.com/

775. Re: Base Type Scanner Recommendation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 00:50:11 UTC
From: jcurl <jpeake@[NOSPAM]>
Message-ID: <79Pda.19371$ZW4.522326@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 34890

I'd say you'll have good luck with either an Icom RS-R8500 or Yaesu VR-5000.
Both are fine radios.

"Mcmanusmacdg" <mcmanusmacdg@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030318091707.23965.00000193@mb-fi.aol.com...
> My old Pro-2006 bit the dust and I am looking for a new scanner. I prefer
a
> larger backlighted area. Trunked is OK but not essential. Any
> recommendations?
> Mike

776. Re: Base Type Scanner Recommendation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 00:52:43 UTC
From: jcurl <jpeake@[NOSPAM]>
Message-ID: <vbPda.19372$ZW4.522663@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 34891

i mean ICR8500. RSxxx is the software.

"Mcmanusmacdg" <mcmanusmacdg@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030318091707.23965.00000193@mb-fi.aol.com...
> My old Pro-2006 bit the dust and I am looking for a new scanner. I prefer
a
> larger backlighted area. Trunked is OK but not essential. Any
> recommendations?
> Mike

777. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 01:00:46 UTC
From: "D.A. Hood" <nitwitrhymer@earsahurtin.com>
Message-ID: <o9gf7v041qcf70vs6oadt4ccu5o4ddbuaq@4ax.com>
Source record: 34892
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Well said!

On Tue, 18 Mar 2003 19:27:26 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
wrote:

>"Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
>>
>> Bingo...I I know. Im really looking forward
>> to the wannabee hippies coming out and doing
>> their demonstration thing. I missed my chance
>> in the sixties, I was busy defending their
>> right to act stupid in public. But now...Im
>> here and looking forward to confronting
>> these pukes.
>
>
> I also served my country in defense of liberty for all. However, when I
>got out, I didn't throw away the beliefs I held during my service - the
>right of all to participate in free political speech, to protest, and so on.
>I also didn't trash the oath I took back then - to protect and defend those
>rights. Perhaps that is why I find insults and ridicule directed at
>Americans exercising their rights so repulsive.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
>------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

778. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 01:02:44 UTC
From: "D.A. Hood" <nitwitrhymer@earsahurtin.com>
Message-ID: <gagf7v8louqtsvi139tvjdh8ogckr9mehf@4ax.com>
Source record: 34893
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Mar 2003 08:19:24 -0800, oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
wrote:

>D.A. Hood <nitwitrhymer@earsahurtin.com> who doesn't know how to read
>a newsgroup posting to see who wrote it
>wrote:<32rc7v016120np3fbc892v2khk2la7g6g7@4ax.com>...
>> This angry man has forgotten what America is all about.
>
>This Angry Man hasn't forgotten, but some of you have.
>If you don't like what America does it's still a free
>country. You can LEAVE anytime you like, so don't let
>the door hit you in the ass on your way out!

Typical ignorant reply. You've shown your intelligence by that one,
my friend.
>
>>I suggest you
>> live with the same people as Hussain for a while to get a taste of
>> real one sided government.
>
>Sorry I'm stayin right here, I'm crazy not stoopid!
Then you kow what I'm saying.>
>> Upon your return, you'll be kissing the
>> shoes of a JFK statue, rather than kissing the ass of idiots because
>> they apparently think they're fooling everyone.
>
>Your the fool who thinks One Angry Man is Harding!
Let me go back through my notes and see if that's what I think!

>One Angry Man but still 110% pro AMERICA!
>For those who don't agree please visit;
>http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/
>
>
>>
>> On 17 Mar 2003 10:08:09 -0800, oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
>> wrote:
>>
>> >"Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> while on recreational drugs, puked up
>> >this message:<pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53>...
>> >
>> >> THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
>> >
>> ><SNIPPED bunch of Bullcrap>

>> >
>> >> IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S NEXT?
>> >
>> >To paraphrase Maxine from the comic strip Crabby Road;
>> >"Hey it's either Love America, or bite my ASS and just leave"
>> >
>> >Guess which I hope YOU choose, Harding!!!
>> >
>> >from your friends at; http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/

779. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 01:13:36 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b58g98oma1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34894
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Point well taken Dwight....but you know something? When those hippie pukes trashed the troops is when I drew the line. Screw them...and thats my right.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message news:BA9D239E.13F4C%stewart@say.net...
> "Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
> >
> > Bingo...I I know. Im really looking forward
> > to the wannabee hippies coming out and doing
> > their demonstration thing. I missed my chance
> > in the sixties, I was busy defending their
> > right to act stupid in public. But now...Im
> > here and looking forward to confronting
> > these pukes.
>
>
> I also served my country in defense of liberty for all. However, when I
> got out, I didn't throw away the beliefs I held during my service - the
> right of all to participate in free political speech, to protest, and so on.
> I also didn't trash the oath I took back then - to protect and defend those
> rights. Perhaps that is why I find insults and ridicule directed at
> Americans exercising their rights so repulsive.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

780. Re: Winflex with Windows XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 01:22:21 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <UF6dnU_TFuWVW-qjXTWcqg@thebiz.net>
Source record: 34895

It will not work - NT based systems cannot use VXD's.

"Paulbryan" <paulbryan@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030318183459.14410.00000099@mb-fi.aol.com...
> Sorry if it's already been asked, but will winflex run on XP OS? Doesn't
seem
> to want to run on new computer. Thanks.
>
> pj

781. (*) Bearcat 3000xlt wideband SCANNER for sale (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 01:25:51 UTC
From: Usenet User <pkeller@mil.edu>
Message-ID: <zGPda.42727$yc5.1027@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 34896

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3014051608
&rd=1

782. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 01:45:26 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <WYPda.164798$sf5.86428@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34797
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

well said dwight!

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:b58g98oma1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> Point well taken Dwight....but you know something? When those hippie
pukes
> trashed the troops is when I drew the line. Screw them...and thats my
> right.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
> news:BA9D239E.13F4C%stewart@say.net...
> > "Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
> > >
> > > Bingo...I I know. Im really looking forward
> > > to the wannabee hippies coming out and doing
> > > their demonstration thing. I missed my chance
> > > in the sixties, I was busy defending their
> > > right to act stupid in public. But now...Im
> > > here and looking forward to confronting
> > > these pukes.
> > >
> > >
> > I also served my country in defense of liberty for all. However, when
I
> > got out, I didn't throw away the beliefs I held during my service - the
> > right of all to participate in free political speech, to protest, and so
> on.
> > I also didn't trash the oath I took back then - to protect and defend
> those
> > rights. Perhaps that is why I find insults and ridicule directed at
> > Americans exercising their rights so repulsive.
> > >
> > >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> > >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > ----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
> ==----------

> > http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
> =-----
>
>

783. FA: PRO-26 Package Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 02:09:34 UTC
From: Robert <nospam@me.cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <yjQda.1358$j8.91516@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 34798

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014110340

784. FS: PRO-2004 Keyboard Overlay - 400 Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 03:44:02 UTC
From: Robert <nospam@me.cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6IRda.1537$j8.104066@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 34799

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014131024

785. Re: Need Help for SW Antenna (Kenwood R-5000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 04:11:52 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3E77EE08.9FC6CBE1@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 34800

Sunil wrote:
>
> Hi Folks,
>
> Sorry for asking what is a definite repeat question in the radio
> scanner group but here we go any way ï¿½ how can I improve SW reception
> ?
>
> I live in Boston metro area and listening to Air traffic control using
> scanner interests me a lot. My acquaintance with scanner is limited to
> VHF scanner 110-130 range for ATC (Ground, Tower, Departure, Approach,
> ATIS etc.)
>
> I recently received one Kenwood model R-5000 and have been trying to
> use it to listen to Transatlantic ATC. The model has capability to
> scan USB and LSB in 1-30 MHz range. Unfortunately, I don't know much
> about scanners or how to make a good antenna. I recently connected
> radio shack outdoor antenna (Catalog #: 278-758) which is basically a
> 70 ft antenna wire with a 50 ft insulated lead-in wire. Unfortunately,
> the reception hasn't improved a lot and I still have problem. I'd
> appreciate ideas on what I could do to improve the reception. My house
> is on a wooded lot on north side of a small hill, almost to the top of
> the hill. The antenna wire is about 10 ft above ground, stretched to
> 70 ft, from house to a tree There are many trees on way but I did
> remove branches. The lead-in wire is connected to it using insulated
> tapes and I left the extra wire looped so that the copper antenna can
> be moved further away if necessary.
>
> Would greatly appreciate suggestions on how to improve receptions.
>
> Sunil

Maybe you could be a bit more specific about the problems you are
encountering. I had no problem monitoring Transatlatic traffic using
about 30 feet of wire hanging out of a hotel window and clipped onto the
whip of my Radio Shack DX-398 from Florida over the last few weeks. My
R-5000 does a magnificient job with an 18 foot whip or a 66 foot random
wire.

Dave

786. colorado weather scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 06:08:08 UTC
From: FFronc@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16380-3E780948-428@storefull-2218.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 34802

anybod out and about in the mountains or metro denver scannng???
listning to csp or c-dot
freqs???
whats gong on out there

787. how to find Radar Detector Tester "Highway Zapper"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 06:08:31 UTC
From: anothersutherner@charter.net
Message-ID: <c215420f.0303182208.3594bea0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34801

I am looking to possibly build one of these devices that set off a radar detector. If you can help with a schematic, or just have one for sell, please let me know. Your help is much appreciated.

788. FS: Alinco DJ-V5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 07:47:46 UTC
From: KC2KSZ <rad@sprintpcs.com>
Message-ID: <CgVda.130678$b8.22794338@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 34803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Alinco DJV5T/TH/TDC/TDCH
VHF/UHF Dual Band FM Transceiver
Star Performer!

Asking $135.00

http://www.alinco.com/usa.html

Only 3mos. Old
Perfect Condition, No Scratches
Have Original Box and Original Manual
Ground Shipping Incl. from NJ

MUST HAVE FCC LICENSE

Only Serious Please!!

kc2ksz@optonline.net

789. Re: saddam's drone plane. pics here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 08:18:01 UTC
From: Matt <piss.off@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <AyVda.3454$dE2.8590@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 34804
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Nope it would be payback. How about taking your spam shit off the
newsgroups that have no relation to the topic dickhead.

"Harding" <harding@harding.com> wrote in message
news:NpLda.162321$sf5.86204@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> That's sick hahah!
>
> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:b57401pft1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
> > A pic alone isn't worth much to us hams! If you could get hold of the
> remote
> > control/telemetry frequencies, modes, modulation, decryption keys, etc.
we
> > might be able to have some fun "hijacking" them and doing a "9/11" on
his
> > Baghdad bunker!
> >
> >
> > "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> > news:bldda.138839$sf5.75526@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > at last the US goverment have released pictures of the drone plane
that
> > > saddam
> > > was hiding from the west
> > > see pic here:
> > > http://www.rudystoys.com/detailh.asp?product_id=2645
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

790. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 08:18:08 UTC
From: Matt <piss.off@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <HyVda.3455$dE2.8590@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 34805
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Well put Dan - being uniformed one cannot really retaliate against the scum when they trash you. You are lucky enough to be in a position to stop them - having said that, I doubt I could or would stop retaliation from my people if the scum had a go at them.

Matt

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message news:b58g98oma1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> Point well taken Dwight....but you know something? When those hippie pukes
> trashed the troops is when I drew the line. Screw them...and thats my
> right.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
> news:BA9D239E.13F4C%stewart@say.net...
> > "Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
> > >
> > > Bingo...I I know. Im really looking forward
> > > to the wannabee hippies coming out and doing
> > > their demonstration thing. I missed my chance
> > > in the sixties, I was busy defending their
> > > right to act stupid in public. But now...Im
> > > here and looking forward to confronting
> > > these pukes.
> > >
> > >
> > > I also served my country in defense of liberty for all. However, when I
> > > got out, I didn't throw away the beliefs I held during my service - the
> > > right of all to participate in free political speech, to protest, and so
> on.
> > > I also didn't trash the oath I took back then - to protect and defend
> those
> > > rights. Perhaps that is why I find insults and ridicule directed at
> > > Americans exercising their rights so repulsive.
> > >
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> > >
> > > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
> > >

> >
> >
> > ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
> ==----------
> > http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
> =-----
>
>

791. Re: Considering a PRO92...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 11:43:18 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3E7857D6.6C5A4E98@mitre.org>
Source record: 34806

Vic;
The Radio Shack PRO-92 and PR0-2067 and Bearcat BC 780 XLT
all have CTCSS and DCS as do the new Uniden BC 250D and
BC 785D.

GRE made PRO-93, PRO-2053, PRO-95 and Uniden
Bearcat BC 245 XLT; these radios DO NOT have CTCSS/DCS capabilities!
The squelch tone decode-searches with the 92 and 2067 is instantaneous.
Searching for tone codes with the Uniden BC 780,785D and 250D is slower.
The Uniden trunk tracker radios are stronger trunk tracker receivers on 800
MHz Motorola
systems, than are the GRE made Radio Shack PRO-92 and PRO-2067.
Check out this web site for more
info<http://www.strongsignals.net/index.cgi>
I personally do not own any of the above radios, and have learned most of
the above
from strong signals!
Jim

Vic Martinez wrote:

> I don't know, too many 'bugs' for me to consider, the 'Bearcat' handheld
> multi-trunker the '780, sounds like a more solid unit to consider,
> 'alpha tags' aren't a must for me anyway, sensitivity is.

792. AOR AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 12:43:43 UTC
From: Tbub874 <xTbub874@castle.shore.net>
Message-ID: <3CZda.171158$sf5.91296@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34807

Hi I'm a somewhat newbie to scanners and I've recently aquired an AOR AR8000. Can anyone give me advice on if this scanner is good / bad / outdated, or what interesting things I might be able to do with it.

Thanks

793. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 14:37:04 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BA9DEAC0.13F61%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 34809
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"Dan/W4NTI" wrote:

> Point well taken Dwight....but you know something?
> When those hippie pukes trashed the troops is
> when I drew the line. Screw them...and thats my
> right.

Actually, many of those "hippy trashing the troops" stories (hippies spitting on returning troops and so on) from the Vietnam war era are mostly false. For example, if you served overseas back then, you know that most soldiers flew in and out of secure military bases (on military aircraft) to and from overseas assignments - especially those in Vietnam (commercial planes don't generally fly in and out of war zones). Since those military bases were secure, there would have been no hippies standing on the runway tarmac to spit at the soldiers returning.

Further, to associate all hippies with Jane Fonda would be like associating all soldiers to those involved in the Mi Lai massacre. Such comparisons would be neither fair or accurate.

Again, I served during that period, and traveled throughout this country in uniform, and never experienced any resentment from the hippies (or anyone else for that matter). In fact, most Americans back then went out of the way to help a soldier. The hippies, and many other Americans, were opposed to the war, not the soldiers. Perhaps your experience is different, or perhaps you've been listening to too many barracks-rumors.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

794. FS>> Yupiter MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 15:14:12 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <8P%da.131140$b8.23864955@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 34810

Previous deal fell through (prospective buyer didn't sell his radio)...

Yupiter MVT-7100 in as new condition with original stock accessories: box, manual, rechargable ni-cads, telescoping whip antenna, wrist strap and cigarette lighter power adaptor.

This radio is wide-band (not cellular blocked) and receives AM, FM, USB, LSB and sounds like no other handheld I've ever used.

It is considered by many to be one of the best handheld scanners ever produced.

$300.00 O.B.O.

Please e-mail me off list if interested.

John

795. pro 93 scanner loader software doesn't show pro 93 model!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 15:46:08 UTC
From: ash477@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e3b3e2b5.0303190746.74b077f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34811

I bought a Pro-93 scanner at RadioShack. They also sold me a "scanner loader" software & cable. When I loaded the software, it asked me what kind of scanner I have. The dropdown shows the Pro 76, 79, 89, 2016 & 2017. It doesn't show the 93 or 95 models!

Did RadioShack do their typical "well it looks like the jack fits" sale and give me something thats outdated or incorrect.

Thanks
Jason Shohet

796. Re: Considering a PRO92...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 15:48:50 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b59pc6m1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34808

Thanks Jim!

Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org> wrote in message
news:3E7857D6.6C5A4E98@mitre.org...
> Vic;
> The Radio Shack PRO-92 and PR0-2067 and Bearcat BC 780 XLT
> all have CTCSS and DCS as do the new Uniden BC 250D and
> BC 785D.
>
> GRE made PRO-93, PRO-2053, PRO-95 and Uniden
> Bearcat BC 245 XLT; these radios DO NOT have CTCSS/DCS capabilities!
> The squelch tone decode-searches with the 92 and 2067 is instantaneous.
> Searching for tone codes with the Uniden BC 780,785D and 250D is slower.
> The Uniden trunk tracker radios are stronger trunk tracker receivers on
800
> MHz Motorola
> systems, than are the GRE made Radio Shack PRO-92 and PRO-2067.
> Check out this web site for more
> info<http://www.strongsignals.net/index.cgi>
> I personally do not own any of the above radios, and have learned most of
> the above
> from strong signals!
> Jim
>
>
> Vic Martinez wrote:
>
> > I don't know, too many 'bugs' for me to consider, the 'Bearcat' handheld
> > multi-trunker the '780, sounds like a more solid unit to consider,
> > 'alpha tags' aren't a must for me anyway, sensitivity is.
>

797. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 15:52:52 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BA9DFC84.13F91%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 34814
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"Matt" wrote:
>
> (snip) being uniformed one cannot really retaliate
> against the scum when they trash you. (snip)
> (snip) having said that, I doubt I could or would
> stop retaliation from my people if the scum had a
> go at them.

If your "people" involves military personnel, I recommend you find another career where "retaliation" against Americans is acceptable - it is not in the United States military (placing your doubts you "would stop retaliation" in direct opposition to policy).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

798. Re: pro 93 scanner loader software doesn't show pro 93 model!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 15:56:40 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Yq0ea.8564$jA2.826286@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 34812

Yes. They don't have a clue. It WON"T work on the 92, 93, 95, 2053, 2052.
Take it back...

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply.
Grundig S350, 780XLT, DX-392, 398, 440, YB400PE, FR-200,
Ray Jefferson 660ADF, R75, R2, VR-500,
SRIII, Halli S-38, 38e, Nc 60 special, H500, and too many Rat
Shack scanners to mention...

Who has a problem????
"jason" <ash477@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e3b3e2b5.0303190746.74b077f0@posting.google.com...
> I bought a Pro-93 scanner at RadioShack. They also sold me a "scanner
> loader" software & cable. When I loaded the software, it asked me
> what kind of scanner I have. The dropdown shows the Pro 76, 79, 89,
> 2016 & 2017. It doesn't show the 93 or 95 models!
>
> Did RadioShack do their typical "well it looks like the jack fits"
> sale and give me something thats outdated or incorrect.
>
> Thanks
> Jason Shohet

799. Re: pro 93 scanner loader software doesn't show pro 93 model!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 15:59:50 UTC
From: Bob <rareeder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E78944E.30304@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34813

Take the cable and software back to Rat Shack and get a refund. They
don't work on the Pro-93 or 95.
Get the best software here, It's free.
http://www.starrsoft.com/
Order a cable here
http://www.pro-93.com/
If you are good with a soldering iron, a schematic is available on the
internet to make your own cable for less then $10.00
Bob

jason wrote:

>I bought a Pro-93 scanner at RadioShack. They also sold me a "scanner
>loader" software & cable. When I loaded the software, it asked me
>what kind of scanner I have. The dropdown shows the Pro 76, 79, 89,
>2016 & 2017. It doesn't show the 93 or 95 models!
>
>Did RadioShack do their typical "well it looks like the jack fits"
>sale and give me something thats outdated or incorrect.
>
>Thanks
>Jason Shohet
>
>

800. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 16:14:27 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v7h5qn9qj93a50@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34815
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Dan, my group came back on commercial air. We landed at Juneau for refueling and then on to San Francisco. I am sure I am not alone in that travel route. Departure to Nam did indeed take place from a military base, but again on commercial carriers under charter.

The flower children knew which flights were arriving and did indeed meet them. While any one spitting on me would have caused them some serious discomfort, I was indeed greeted with "Baby Killer" and other leftwing rhetoric.

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BA9DEAC0.13F61%stewart@say.net...
> "Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
>
> > Point well taken Dwight....but you know something?
> > When those hippie pukes trashed the troops is
> > when I drew the line. Screw them...and thats my
> > right.
>
>
> Actually, many of those "hippy trashing the troops" stories (hippies
> spitting on returning troops and so on) from the Vietnam war era are
mostly
> false. For example, if you served overseas back then, you know that most
> soldiers flew in and out of secure military bases (on military aircraft)
to
> and from overseas assignments - especially those in Vietnam (commercial
> planes don't generally fly in and out of war zones). Since those military
> bases were secure, there would have been no hippies standing on the runway
> tarmac to spit at the soldiers returning.
>
> Further, to associate all hippies with Jane Fonda would be like
> associating all soldiers to those involved in the Mi Lai massacre. Such
> comparisons would be neither fair or accurate.
>
> Again, I served during that period, and traveled throughout this country
> in uniform, and never experienced any resentment from the hippies (or
anyone
> else for that matter). In fact, most Americans back then went out of the
way
> to help a soldier. The hippies, and many other Americans, were opposed to
> the war, not the soldiers. Perhaps your experience is different, or
perhaps
> you've been listening to too many barracks-rumors.

>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

801. Re: colorado weather scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 16:15:19 UTC
From: Bob <rareeder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E7897EE.7080904@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34816

C-DOT I think has gone 9600baud digital so nobody is listening to them
that I know of.
Listening to Denver PD and Fire as I type this. Many collapsed buildings
and fire trucks are getting stuck in the snow all over town.
The police have run out of tire chains so only about half of them are
able to move.
The suburbs are even worse.
All in all it is a pretty good early spring day in Denver.
Bob

ron david wrote:

>anybod out and about in the mountains or metro denver scannng???
>listning to csp or c-dot
>freqs???
>whats gong on out there
>
>
>

802. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 16:18:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b5a581rqe1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34817
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Yeah thats right Dwight....all barrack roomers. I especially like your ignorance of military contract flights during nam.

Without getting into too many details...please invision this oh non-believer.

Braniff International, you know the Polka-dot painted airplanes. Uploaded on a stretch DC-8, Had to hit overdrive out of Bien Hoa AB, RVN to avoid ground fire...which we didnt.

Landed at Tokyo International to change aircraft. Ended up at Travis...which has a shared runway with the civilians. Nice anti-war demonstration just off the Tarmac.

Gunny sgt had to hold some of his 'troops' back.

Got inside and the orange robed goofballs layed in front of us to stop us and 'MAKE US TALK TO THEM'. Cops just turned their backs as the fisticuffs proceeded.

I don't believe you have any idea of what your talking about Dwight. At least not the war I was in anyway.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BA9DEAC0.13F61%stewart@say.net...
> "Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
>
> > Point well taken Dwight....but you know something?
> > When those hippie pukes trashed the troops is
> > when I drew the line. Screw them...and thats my
> > right.
>
>
> Actually, many of those "hippy trashing the troops" stories (hippies
> spitting on returning troops and so on) from the Vietnam war era are
mostly
> false. For example, if you served overseas back then, you know that most
> soldiers flew in and out of secure military bases (on military aircraft)
to
> and from overseas assignments - especially those in Vietnam (commercial
> planes don't generally fly in and out of war zones). Since those military
> bases were secure, there would have been no hippies standing on the runway
> tarmac to spit at the soldiers returning.
>

> Further, to associate all hippies with Jane Fonda would be like
> associating all soldiers to those involved in the Mi Lai massacre. Such
> comparisons would be neither fair or accurate.
>
> Again, I served during that period, and traveled throughout this country
> in uniform, and never experienced any resentment from the hippies (or
anyone
> else for that matter). In fact, most Americans back then went out of the
way
> to help a soldier. The hippies, and many other Americans, were opposed to
> the war, not the soldiers. Perhaps your experience is different, or
perhaps
> you've been listening to too many barracks-rumors.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

803. Re: saddam's drone plane. pics here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 16:37:54 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <S11ea.18$NS3.1680@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 34818
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Corporate greed gives the evil people our "exclusive" tech again. Ending OT thread now.

John

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message news:BA9AD955.13289%stewart@say.net...
> "Harding" wrote:
> >
> > at last the US goverment have released pictures of
> > the drone plane that saddam was hiding from the west
> > see pic here:
>
>
> Amazing Iraq would have such technology. Looks exactly like the drone
> prototypes developed by several American companies just a few years ago.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

804. Re: ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 17:27:52 UTC
From: Dave Schmidt <n9nlu@NO_SPAM_charter.net>
Message-ID: <v7ha9764jtdv4b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34819

1/2 inch heliax is cheap and somewhat easy to find. Compaired to the run of the mill RG8, or Belden 9913, LMR400 etc ... the heliax wins with the lowest loss. The lower the loss, the more you hear. Plus the heliax has 100% solid shielding - meaning - you wont have any noise entering the cable. The only RF entering will be at the antenna. Connectors are relitively cheap. you can find them new and used on e-bay or at swapfests. Putting them on can be a challenge, but if you have the instruction sheet, that tells you what to do. I would run the heliax from the location of the scanner to within a foot of the antenna - then take a short piece of 9913 or LMR400 and make a jumper from the heliax to the antenna, then another jumper from the heliax to the scanner. You never want to connect the heliax directly to the scanner or the antenna, the stuff is just toooooo stiff and can break connectors on the antenna or scanner.

Dave
N9NLU
Kewaskum, WI
www.kmcnet.net

"Max224" <Max224@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:QX8da.65371$gi1.27148@nwrdny02.gnilink.net...
> going to mount antanna 60'high and a little over 40' from house, ,what
cable
> to use?
>
>

805. Re: pro 93 scanner loader software doesn't show pro 93 model!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 17:45:11 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <H02ea.141581$L1.19814@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34820

Yes, they "Bent you over and did you dry" as the saying goes.
Give my regards to your doctor.

"jason" <ash477@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e3b3e2b5.0303190746.74b077f0@posting.google.com...
> I bought a Pro-93 scanner at RadioShack. They also sold me a "scanner
> loader" software & cable. When I loaded the software, it asked me
> what kind of scanner I have. The dropdown shows the Pro 76, 79, 89,
> 2016 & 2017. It doesn't show the 93 or 95 models!
>
> Did RadioShack do their typical "well it looks like the jack fits"
> sale and give me something thats outdated or incorrect.
>
> Thanks
> Jason Shohet

806. Re: Need Help for SW Antenna (Kenwood R-5000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 17:48:07 UTC
From: Richard G Amirault <amirault@shell01.TheWorld.com>
Message-ID: <b5aagn$d0f$2@pcls4.std.com>
Source record: 34821

Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:

I don't have a 5000, but is there more than one way to hook up a wire
antenna on this radio?? Maybe it's attached to the wrong terminals, or
it's shorted out, or ....??

Richard in Boston, MA, USA

: Sunil wrote:

: Maybe you could be a bit more specific about the problems you are
: encountering. I had no problem monitoring Transatlatic traffic using
: about 30 feet of wire hanging out of a hotel window and clipped onto the
: whip of my Radio Shack DX-398 from Florida over the last few weeks. My
: R-5000 does a magnificient job with an 18 foot whip or a 66 foot random
: wire.

: Dave

807. Re: Warning Santa Barbara Thief!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 19:48:48 UTC
From: Jim Menning <jmenning@new.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3P3ea.10814$ug5.570276@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 34823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

What??? Again???

jm

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:tR3ea.90561$jP2.14595014@twister.neo.rr.com...
> There is a thief who lives in Santa Barbara, CA. He/she tries to make
> 'trades' for radio equipment. He has advertised a Pro 2006, Pro 43, Icom
> R75, and some others for trade. He also said he was blind. I am not the only
> one he has stolen from. He has used the names Jeff Kydd, Gabe Mariscal, and
> Jeff Graham. Here is the info he used with me:
>
> Jeff Graham
> 1120 Laguna ST
> #14
> Santa Barbara
> CA
> 93101
>
> Phone 805-451-3042
>
> Hope this keep someone else from getting burned!
> DeWayne K9KZ
>
>

808. Warning Santa Barbara Thief!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 19:49:45 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tR3ea.90561$jP2.14595014@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 34822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

There is a thief who lives in Santa Barbara, CA. He/she tries to make 'trades' for radio equipment. He has advertised a Pro 2006, Pro 43, Icom R75, and some others for trade. He also said he was blind. I am not the only one he has stolen from. He has used the names Jeff Kydd, Gabe Mariscal, and Jeff Graham. Here is the info he used with me:

Jeff Graham
1120 Laguna ST
#14
Santa Barbara
CA
93101

Phone 805-451-3042

Hope this keep someone else from getting burned!
DeWayne K9KZ

809. Re: how to find Radar Detector Tester "Highway Zapper"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 21:05:27 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v7hms61t4gjgbc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34824

It's a start...

http://exo.com/~rbarron/zapper.html
http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Thinktank/3978/p156.htm (Second Section)

PH

"AnotherSutherner" <anothersutherner@charter.net> wrote in message news:c215420f.0303182208.3594bea0@posting.google.com...
> I am looking to possibly build one of these devices that set off a
> radar detector. If you can help with a schematic, or just have one
> for sell, please let me know. Your help is much appreciated.

810. Iraq freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 21:26:00 UTC
From: definity@heartz.com
Message-ID: <30ceeac3.0303191326.396d3bff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34825
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

are there any freqs for the war that anybody know of any millitry
freqs i live in london so i u have found any could you tell me please
thanks

811. i need a pro 26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 21:34:17 UTC
From: fish5967@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d362d714.0303191334.22fe7f44@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34826

hey if anyone knows where to find a realistic pro 26 scanner i need one like any used scanner stores or if anyone knows where to buy any unblocked police scanners that would be great

812. Re: Lock picks are burglars tools too!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 22:02:27 UTC
From: Neil Maxwell <neil.maxwell@nospam.intel.com>
Message-ID: <4mph7v0uhshvr1tdmqp387sovcpaorm0q2@4ax.com>
Source record: 34830

On Sat, 15 Mar 2003 12:18:34 -0600 (CST), t1000@webtv.net (Vic Martinez) wrote:
>Hmm, now lockpicks, 'slimjims' and the like I have somewhat of an issue
>with. Those should be 'resticted' to locksmiths, law enforcement
>personnel. Too easy for criminals too get, and the general public has no
>NEED to purchase. For burglars, just INSERTNG a lockpick device into a
>lock even without unlocking the device consititutes 'breaking and
>entering'.

I recently locked my garage door without the key available at 11 pm. It took me about 2 minutes to open it with simple picks (mostly because I picked it the wrong direction the first time). My other option would have been to call a locksmith (expensive, wait 'till the next day or pay a big premium, stay home from work to meet him, etc), since all my tools were also in the garage. I suppose I could have broken out a window, risking injury and requiring replacement.

I certainly had a need for them, and was quite happy I had them. They're very easy to make, too. Making them restricted only keeps them out of the hands of law-abiding citizens; the criminals will still have them. They're just tools.

Neil Maxwell - I don't speak for my employer

813. looking for a realistic pro26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 22:03:53 UTC
From: fish5967@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d362d714.0303191403.3e5f6720@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34827

ive have been looking for one of theses scanners and was wonderin
where i might find one and if it is a good choice also if you dont
know where to find one of these could you please tell me where i could
find a cheap unblocked scaner with a good freq range

814. Re: i need a pro 26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 22:08:55 UTC
From: Robert <nospam@me.cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XT5ea.4782$M7.290210@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 34828

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=3014110340

"jack" <fish5967@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d362d714.0303191334.22fe7f44@posting.google.com...
> hey if anyone knows where to find a realistic pro 26 scanner i need
> one like any used scanner stores or if anyone knows where to buy any
> unblocked police scanners that would be great
>

815. Re: looking for a realistic pro26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 22:09:10 UTC
From: Robert <nospam@me.cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <aU5ea.4788$M7.290240@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 34829

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=3014110340

"jack" <fish5967@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d362d714.0303191403.3e5f6720@posting.google.com...
> ive have been looking for one of theses scanners and was wonderin
> where i might find one and if it is a good choice also if you dont
> know where to find one of these could you please tell me where i could
> find a cheap unblocked scaner with a good freq range
>

816. Re: Iraq freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 22:32:42 UTC
From: michel <m.goorden@chello.nl>
Message-ID: <ee6ea.135$IN5.6559@nlnews00.chello.com>
Source record: 34833
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

try here
http://members.lycos.nl/m4786/
73 from michel the nederlands
"Cyber Punk" <definity@heartz.com> schreef in bericht
news:30ceeac3.0303191326.396d3bff@posting.google.com...
> are there any freqs for the war that anybody know of any millitry
> freqs i live in london so i u have found any could you tell me please
> thanks

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.461 / Virus Database: 260 - Release Date: 13-3-2003

817. Re: Warning Santa Barbara Thief!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 22:33:55 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <jim.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <nf6ea.142929$F1.4552@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 34831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I would hope that everyone got the message a month ago about this individual. I would of expected someone just to go by and kick his ad$# by now.

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message news:tR3ea.90561$jP2.14595014@twister.neo.rr.com...
> There is a thief who lives in Santa Barbara, CA. He/she tries to make
> 'trades' for radio equipment. He has advertised a Pro 2006, Pro 43, Icom
> R75, and some others for trade. He also said he was blind. I am not the only
> one he has stolen from. He has used the names Jeff Kydd, Gabe Mariscal, and
> Jeff Graham. Here is the info he used with me:
>
> Jeff Graham
> 1120 Laguna ST
> #14
> Santa Barbara
> CA
> 93101
>
> Phone 805-451-3042
>
> Hope this keep someone else from getting burned!
> DeWayne K9KZ
>
>

818. Updated Detailed Iraq Maps and Frequencies now Online at MilAirComms.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 22:35:41 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E78F0BD.7010207@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34832

Iï¿½ve been making updates to the MilAirComms.com page in preparation for the Iraq War. Earlier this afternoon I make updates to freqs and Shortwave Schedules/freqs.

Iï¿½ve just added a new section ï¿½ ï¿½The Iraq Map Roomï¿½. Here you can view and download detailed city maps of Baghdad, maps of the entire country of Iraq as well as the entire Middle Eastern area. Also included are maps depicting where Chemical Weapons facilities are located. Some of these maps are as recent as 2003.

No need to worry, no top secret information as been compromised as these maps are in the public domain.

You can get to the ï¿½The Iraq Map Roomï¿½ from http://www.MilAirComms.com

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

819. Re: Warning Santa Barbara Thief!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-19 22:47:13 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <Rr6ea.174773$qi4.77508@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 34834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

the blind leading the blind
"Jim Douglas" <jim.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
news:nf6ea.142929$F1.4552@sccrnsc04...
> I would hope that everyone got the message a month ago about this
> individual. I would of expected someone just to go by and kick his ad$# by
> now.
>
>
> "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:tR3ea.90561$jP2.14595014@twister.neo.rr.com...
> > There is a thief who lives in Santa Barbara, CA. He/she tries to make
> > 'trades' for radio equipment. He has advertised a Pro 2006, Pro 43, Icom
> > R75, and some others for trade. He also said he was blind. I am not the
> only
> > one he has stolen from. He has used the names Jeff Kydd, Gabe Mariscal,
> and
> > Jeff Graham. Here is the info he used with me:
> >
> > Jeff Graham
> > 1120 Laguna ST
> > #14
> > Santa Barbara
> > CA
> > 93101
> >
> > Phone 805-451-3042
> >
> > Hope this keep someone else from getting burned!
> > DeWayne K9KZ
> >
> >
>
>

820. Re: Need Help for SW Antenna (Kenwood R-5000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 00:33:11 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3E790C47.2E9187ED@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 34835

Yes,

you connect the wire antenna to the binding bosts on the back and then
select ANT 2 on the keyboard - Otherwise you will be lucky to hear
anything.

Dave

Richard G Amirault wrote:
>
> Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>
> I don't have a 5000, but is there more than one way to hook up a wire
> antenna on this radio?? Maybe it's attached to the wrong terminals, or
> it's shorted out, or ....??
>
> Richard in Boston, MA, USA
>
> : Sunil wrote:
>
> : Maybe you could be a bit more specific about the problems you are
> : encountering. I had no problem monitoring Transatlatic traffic using
> : about 30 feet of wire hanging out of a hotel window and clipped onto the
> : whip of my Radio Shack DX-398 from Florida over the last few weeks. My
> : R-5000 does a magnificient job with an 18 foot whip or a 66 foot random
> : wire.
>
> : Dave

821. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 01:44:45 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BA9E873D.1403F%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 34837
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
>
> Braniff International, you know the Polka-dot
> painted airplanes. Uploaded on a stretch DC-8,
> Had to hit overdrive out of Bien Hoa AB, RVN
> to avoid ground fire...which we didnt.
>
> Landed at Tokyo International to change aircraft.
> Ended up at Travis...which has a shared runway
> with the civilians. Nice anti-war demonstration
> just off the Tarmac. (snip)

You took off from a military base and landed at a military base. Whether you flew on military aircraft or on military chartered aircraft, you didn't travel on a commercial flight using commercial airports (which was my point).

> Got inside and the orange robed goofballs layed
> in front of us to stop us and 'MAKE US TALK TO
> THEM'. Cops just turned their backs as the
> fisticuffs proceeded.

The military facilities where soldiers got on and off flights at Travis were separate from the civilian facilities. And those facilities were protected by military police. Demonstrators would not have been allowed into those facilities and I seriously doubt police (military or otherwise) would have turned their backs to fighting.

> I don't believe you have any idea of what your
> talking about Dwight. At least not the war I
> was in anyway.

If your recollection of that war was fantasy fighting with "orange robed goofball" civilians at Travis, then you're absolutely right - I have no idea about the war you were in.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

822. Dutch website?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 01:45:15 UTC
From: nedflanders@psu.edu
Message-ID: <53ff595c.0303191745.f9d2a0b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34836

Hello:

I had a Dutch website that I found months ago, that one could listen to streaming audio for Allied Air Command in Europe/Middleeast. Does anyone know if this site? I cannot locate it, I've tried all the search engines, etc. A posting here of the web address would be gratefully appreciated.

Thank you in advance for your help!

Cheers,

Ned

823. Trident TR-980 question....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 02:11:59 UTC
From: KG4FBG <kg4fbg_ns@onemain.com>
Message-ID: <Pr9ea.9482$jA2.892618@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 34838

I just picked up a Trident TR-980.. It's in very good condition, and works well with a 12 vdc wall wart, but there was no battery pack with it..
Would someone have the info on this model that could provide me with the battery voltage and the pin out to the 3 pin battery connector?
With the radio lying (Face) down and looking into the back at the battery connector, from what testing I have done, I believe pin 3, right is (+), pin 2, center is (-), pin 1 left is (?)

Pin Pin Pin
1 2 3
? - +

Any help would be appreciated....
Thanks,
Darrell kg4fbg@onemain.com

824. Re: More eBay insanity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 03:02:12 UTC
From: updatelee <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <Uaaea.34072$UV6.2522337@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 34839
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

sell it on ebay and you should have enought to buy a BC 785 plus a APCO card brand new and still have change left over :)

--
Chris Lee
mrclee@telus.net

"Frog Dan" <daniel@lilypad.com> wrote in message
news:v7b0a8rbonlq9c@corp.supernews.com...
> WOW... And I have a Radio Shack Pro 2004 with 300 channels - wonder what I
> could get for it ? I guess it all depends what a person want to pay for
> something than what it really is worth.
>
> Dan
>
> "Darrin" <darrin99@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:cgt77vg6dbv9631p5ogr858hnqklu359ne@4ax.com...
> > This is actually a decent performing UHF/VHF scanner, if you pay less
> > than $40 for it. Not what this guy bought it for. <shaking head>
> >
> >
> >
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3011693368&category=14956
>
>

825. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 03:13:02 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BA9E9BEE.14105%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 34841
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"L Rod Hubbard" wrote:
>
> And you can backpedal all you want, but the
> argument is over. You lost it a long time ago.

No backpedaling at all. I wrote...

"Actually, many of those "hippy trashing
the troops" stories (hippies spitting on
returning troops and so on) from the
Vietnam war era are mostly false. For
example, if you served overseas back then,
you know that most soldiers flew in and
out of secure military bases (on military
aircraft) to and from overseas assignments -
especially those in Vietnam (commercial
planes don't generally fly in and out of
war zones)."

If the only argument one can make against that paragraph is a fine distinction between military aircraft versus military chartered aircraft, I'll give you (and/or him) that point if you really want it. However, the key of that paragraph remains intact - stories of hippies spitting on returning troops and so on from the Vietnam war era are mostly false. He (Dan) has not denied that. Fred has not denied that. And you have not denied that.

But, you are right - the argument is over. I have no desire to get into a tit for tat argument with any of you. Good day, gentlemen.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

826. Re: Winflex with Windows XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 03:13:18 UTC
From: updatelee <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <ilaea.34081$UV6.2525708@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 34840

PDW110 should work though

--
updatelee
updatelee@hotmail.com

"Paulbryan" <paulbryan@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030318183459.14410.00000099@mb-fi.aol.com...
> Sorry if it's already been asked, but will winflex run on XP OS? Doesn't
seem
> to want to run on new computer. Thanks.
>
> pj

827. HF Broadcasting Online of The War On Iraq!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 03:28:49 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <Vzaea.3446$sK6.658811@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 34842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Is there any HF Shortwave Military broadcasts available online?

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.462 / Virus Database: 261 - Release Date: 13/03/2003

828. Re: About to buy a scanner....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 03:39:38 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e7937fc$0$83871$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 34843

I would not get a trunked scanner unless what is in your area is trunked.
If it is not trunked now it will probably go digital in the future. Digital
scanners are available now but do not work on all systems and are really
expensive.

If the stuff you intend to listen to in your area is mostly conventional I
would get a conventional scanner. Conventional scanners can be picked up
now for well under the $200 you plan to spend on the Pro 95.

Greg
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:m39ea.18449$S%3.1020482@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi Everyone,
>
> I'm about to buy a new Radio Shack Pro-95 Scanner. I've been obsessing
over
> getting a scanner for a while now and I think my husband is about to give
> in. The price is O.K., $199.99, and while not perfect, at least I know
I'm
> getting a brand new scanner that works and has a warranty. I'm totally
new
> to scanning, and I've never used one before. I've heard that I should get
a
> trunk-tracker because many systems in the future may be trunked. I also
> want a wide range of frequencies. The more the better. However, I heard
> that this scanner is not modifiable. Even if it was, I wouldn't know how
to
> modify the thing. Before I buy this, which I plan to do within the next
> week or so, does anyone recommend anything instead of this? I can't go
over
> $199.99. In fact, if something is cheaper, that is even better! And the
> more frequencies, the better. Of course I want a trunking capable
scanner.
> BUT, I wouldn't mind having the extra convenience of an unblocked scanner.
> Please help and give any recommendations! I don't like buying on EBay as
I
> always get frustrated as the prices go up and up on something I want to
buy,
> and I really don't like waiting days to buy something, anyway. When I
want
> something...I want it NOW! ;) (That's the one thing my husband
> hates....hehehee!)
>
> Thanks for any ideas!
>

>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
>
>
>

829. Live video camera from Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 03:44:15 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jOaea.11069$tO3.9578@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34844

Live video from Iraq, also live video from BBC, and AlJazeer tv on my web
site below.
Tim
kg4jjs
Webmaster of the Tampa Bay Radio Page
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm

830. APCO Project 25 - Stochastic Clock Recovery Routine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 03:48:22 UTC
From: aud_80714@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b7133326.0303191948.421b7e3e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34845

I am currently working on a project that requires me to extract symbols from the 4level FSK signal in APCO Project 25.

What I have now is the 4level FSK signal. I need to build a software routine to recover timing synchronisation for symbol extraction. The APCO standards suggest using a stochastic clock recovery routine. However, I am really lost... I can't find relevant information on stochastic gradient clock recovery.

Can anyone help please? Would greatly appreciate it.

Audrey

831. Re: About to buy a scanner....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 03:58:39 UTC
From: Bob <rareeder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E793CC7.4010606@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34846

While you could spend much more $ for a trunking scanner and also get digital capabilities, I think a Pro-95 is a good choice.
Do not buy the cable or software from Radio Shack though because they do not work.
Since you said this is going to be your first scanner, it will take you sometime to learn to program and use.
The Yahoo Pro-93 95 user group is helpful and worth joining for help.
The best software is available here: (The best part is that it is free.)
http://www.starrsoft.com/
A good programming cable is here: (The Pro-93 & 95 use the same cable)
http://www.pro-93.com/
As far as an unblocked scanner, there is really no reason to have one. Cell phones are on the most part digital and unavailable to an unblocked unit.
Welcome to the scanning hobby. Hope you get as much out of it as the rest of us do.
Bob

Celt Chic wrote:

>Hi Everyone,
>
>I'm about to buy a new Radio Shack Pro-95 Scanner. I've been obsessing over
>getting a scanner for a while now and I think my husband is about to give
>in. The price is O.K., $199.99, and while not perfect, at least I know I'm
>getting a brand new scanner that works and has a warranty. I'm totally new
>to scanning, and I've never used one before. I've heard that I should get a
>trunk-tracker because many systems in the future may be trunked. I also
>want a wide range of frequencies. The more the better. However, I heard
>that this scanner is not modifiable. Even if it was, I wouldn't know how to
>modify the thing. Before I buy this, which I plan to do within the next
>week or so, does anyone recommend anything instead of this? I can't go over
>$199.99. In fact, if something is cheaper, that is even better! And the
>more frequencies, the better. Of course I want a trunking capable scanner.
>BUT, I wouldn't mind having the extra convenience of an unblocked scanner.
>Please help and give any recommendations! I don't like buying on EBay as I
>always get frustrated as the prices go up and up on something I want to buy,
>and I really don't like waiting days to buy something, anyway. When I want
>something...I want it NOW! ;) (That's the one thing my husband
>hates....hehehee!)
>
>Thanks for any ideas!
>
>
>--

>~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic
>email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
>In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
>http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
>
>
>
>
>

832. Re: About to buy a scanner....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 04:35:43 UTC
From: Jim Mitchell <jnjmitch@nospamremove.swbell.net>
Message-ID: <zybea.11$Fv.908346@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34747

I'm in St. Louis, and you can still find the Pro-93 on clearance for about $120. As far as I can tell the only difference is 300 channels for the 93 as opposed to 1000 for the 95. Don't know if the extra 700 channels make a difference, but I'm very pleased with the 93 and have not come close to filling up the memory.

Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:m39ea.18449$S%3.1020482@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi Everyone,
>
> I'm about to buy a new Radio Shack Pro-95 Scanner. I've been obsessing over
> getting a scanner for a while now and I think my husband is about to give
> in. The price is O.K., $199.99, and while not perfect, at least I know I'm
> getting a brand new scanner that works and has a warranty. I'm totally new
> to scanning, and I've never used one before. I've heard that I should get a
> trunk-tracker because many systems in the future may be trunked. I also
> want a wide range of frequencies. The more the better. However, I heard
> that this scanner is not modifiable. Even if it was, I wouldn't know how to
> modify the thing. Before I buy this, which I plan to do within the next
> week or so, does anyone recommend anything instead of this? I can't go over
> $199.99. In fact, if something is cheaper, that is even better! And the
> more frequencies, the better. Of course I want a trunking capable scanner.
> BUT, I wouldn't mind having the extra convenience of an unblocked scanner.
> Please help and give any recommendations! I don't like buying on EBay as I
> always get frustrated as the prices go up and up on something I want to buy,
> and I really don't like waiting days to buy something, anyway. When I want
> something...I want it NOW! ;) (That's the one thing my husband
> hates....hehehee!)
>
> Thanks for any ideas!
>
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~

> Celt Chic
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
>
>
>

833. Re: About to buy a scanner....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 05:01:16 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wWbea.15002$ja4.1015248@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34748

Thanks for all the information. Is there anyway to tell if my area uses
trunking systems? I live in the Louisville, KY area in Southern Indiana.
Is the Pro-95 capable of picking up on digital systems?

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/

Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:m39ea.18449$S%3.1020482@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi Everyone,
>
> I'm about to buy a new Radio Shack Pro-95 Scanner. I've been obsessing
over
> getting a scanner for a while now and I think my husband is about to give
> in. The price is O.K., $199.99, and while not perfect, at least I know
I'm
> getting a brand new scanner that works and has a warranty. I'm totally
new
> to scanning, and I've never used one before. I've heard that I should get
a
> trunk-tracker because many systems in the future may be trunked. I also
> want a wide range of frequencies. The more the better. However, I heard
> that this scanner is not modifiable. Even if it was, I wouldn't know how
to
> modify the thing. Before I buy this, which I plan to do within the next
> week or so, does anyone recommend anything instead of this? I can't go
over
> $199.99. In fact, if something is cheaper, that is even better! And the
> more frequencies, the better. Of course I want a trunking capable
scanner.
> BUT, I wouldn't mind having the extra convenience of an unblocked scanner.
> Please help and give any recommendations! I don't like buying on EBay as
I
> always get frustrated as the prices go up and up on something I want to
buy,
> and I really don't like waiting days to buy something, anyway. When I
want
> something...I want it NOW! ;) (That's the one thing my husband
> hates....hehehee!)
>
> Thanks for any ideas!
~~~~~~~

>
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
>
>
>

834. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 05:17:33 UTC
From: willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
Message-ID: <b5biot$1dhe$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 34749

jer1538a@aol.com (JER1538A) wrote:
>WE HAVE NO BUSINESS OVER THERE ..... UNLESS YOU COUNT OIL
>
>WE ARE NOT THE WORLD'S COPS !!!!!

we are now...

-----
willy-nilly
willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
Planet Resettlement Project 13
-
What city did you say this was?
I'd like my e-MAIL cooked, thanks.
-----

835. BC785D/BC250D RS-232 Protocol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 05:17:42 UTC
From: Tom Thornton <TRThornton@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E794EC4.E2BC8F87@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34750

Hi,

I have tried to get the protocol from Uniden, but they flat refuse to release something as simple as the protocol. Has anyone already reverse engineered this, or do I have to do it, and publish it too?

Tom

836. Re: About to buy a scanner....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 05:23:55 UTC
From: Bob <rareeder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E7950C2.3060102@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34751

Another site to join and check out:

http://www.trunkedradio.net/

Louisville and Louisville County appear to be trunked Motorola systems and not digital. The Pro-95 does not do digital. For that you would need the new Uniden scanner for about $600.00
Bob

Celt Chic wrote:

>Thanks for all the information. Is there anyway to tell if my area uses
>trunking systems? I live in the Louisville, KY area in Southern Indiana.
>Is the Pro-95 capable of picking up on digital systems?
>
>--
>~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic
>email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
>In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
>http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
>
>
>Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:m39ea.18449$S%3.1020482@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
>
>>Hi Everyone,
>>
>>I'm about to buy a new Radio Shack Pro-95 Scanner. I've been obsessing
>>
>>
>over
>
>
>>getting a scanner for a while now and I think my husband is about to give
>>in. The price is O.K., $199.99, and while not perfect, at least I know
>>
>>
>I'm
>
>
>>getting a brand new scanner that works and has a warranty. I'm totally
>>
>>

>new
>
>
>>to scanning, and I've never used one before. I've heard that I should get
>>
>>
>a
>
>
>>trunk-tracker because many systems in the future may be trunked. I also
>>want a wide range of frequencies. The more the better. However, I heard
>>that this scanner is not modifiable. Even if it was, I wouldn't know how
>>
>>
>to
>
>
>>modify the thing. Before I buy this, which I plan to do within the next
>>week or so, does anyone recommend anything instead of this? I can't go
>>
>>
>over
>
>
>>$199.99. In fact, if something is cheaper, that is even better! And the
>>more frequencies, the better. Of course I want a trunking capable
>>
>>
>scanner.
>
>
>>BUT, I wouldn't mind having the extra convenience of an unblocked scanner.
>>Please help and give any recommendations! I don't like buying on EBay as
>>
>>
>I
>
>
>>always get frustrated as the prices go up and up on something I want to
>>
>>
>buy,
>
>
>>and I really don't like waiting days to buy something, anyway. When I
>>
>>
>want
>
>

>>something...I want it NOW! ;) (That's the one thing my husband
>>hates....hehehee!)
>>
>>Thanks for any ideas!
>>
>>
>>--
>>~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
>> Celt Chic
>>email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
>>In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
>>http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

837. Re: Live MilAir Broadcast, very active in Florida!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 06:02:16 UTC
From: dave911 <vfa192@dave911REMOVE.com>
Message-ID: <IPcea.44842$fa.2310334@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 34752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3E723C0B.10006@licensed4fun.com...
> This past week has been VERY active for MilAir activties in Florida.
> Today is no different. I am currently broadcasting 4 out of 13 scanners
> of mine live. You can listen at http://www.MilAirComms.com Avon Park
> and SEALORD areas is very active right now at 1530 hrs EST. If you've
> never heard bombing runs or dog fights this is your chance.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>

George,

Outstanding site. I'm jealous of your home setup. :-)

Keep up the great work!

Dave

838. Re: Dutch website?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 07:01:58 UTC
From: Hans Verhoeven <HansVerhoeven@chello.nl>
Message-ID: <GHdea.2228$qP5.153842@nlnews00.chello.com>
Source record: 34753

"Ned Flanders" <nedflanders@psu.edu> schreef in bericht
news:53ff595c.0303191745.f9d2a0b@posting.google.com...
> Hello:
>
> I had a Dutch website that I found months ago, that one could listen
> to streaming audio for Allied Air Command in Europe/Middleeast. Does
> anyone know if this site? I cannot locate it, I've tried all the
> search engines, etc. A posting here of the web address would be
> gratefully appreciated.
>
> Thank you in advance for your help!
>
> Cheers,
>
http://www.898vbdbat.nl/receiver/

839. Re: FS>> Yupiter MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 07:04:33 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15697-3E796801-149@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 34754

Yupiter? Isn't that just before Neptune? Good price, However it doesn't Trunk Track, and it is FAST becoming a neccessity in these United States to buy a Scanner that at LEAST does Trunk Tracking.

840. Re: HF Broadcasting Online of The War On Iraq!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 07:19:12 UTC
From: Theo Zandbergen <zandbergen-Th@hetnet.nl>
Message-ID: <3e796a8d$0$49105$e4fe514c@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 34755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try this one http://www.898vbdbat.nl/receiver/ it's dutch site but you can
listen to the HF 11.175.
Or this one via Mediaplayer http://nl3dhg.xs4all.nl:8080

Regards,

Evert

"Radio Demon" <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com> schreef in bericht
news:Vzaea.3446$sK6.658811@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Is there any HF Shortwave Military broadcasts available online?
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.462 / Virus Database: 261 - Release Date: 13/03/2003
>
>

841. Re: New kind of motorola trunking? LTR? continuous carrier.no failsoft or isolated nodes.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 08:40:44 UTC
From: Imyselfandme <Opinionated@spam.com>
Message-ID: <bgvi7vk9qhklrrbbph4v49j5efagbkg73h@4ax.com>
Source record: 34756

You are correct later that day various radio techs were
testing,,,,,,,, it went in and out of failsoft then back to normal.
Also there seem to be single or double channel sites that run that
mode all the time. Cant seem to trunk track something like that, and
conventional scanners dont work well with it either. Vox detected
squelch seems to be all that works.
-Chris451
On Mon, 10 Mar 2003 23:34:40 GMT, "me" <me@none.com> wrote:

>Sounds like failsoft mode to me.
>
>How long has it been doing that? I bet it reverts back to trunked operation
>again soon.
>
>-me
>
>"Imyselfandme" <Opinionated@spam.com> wrote in message
>news:b6mm6v4eqi3856hrljjsqv6oaacb58mo5u@4ax.com...
>> Reported by chris451.
>> Location is Cedar Rapids, Iowa but I have heard systems like this in
>> southern and centeral MN.
>> A type 1/2 hybrid trunk 800 system had one control channel and 4 talk
>> channels. Now all 5 channels in the system are keyed up all the time
>> with subaudio control and a 900 Hz tone in the interval:
>> 9.2 secs off 0.3 secs on
>> DPL or subaudio control is present all the time.
>> When someone uses the system the voice comes up on one of the
>> channels.
>> Another county away, there is another set of 3 rather than 5 channels
>> with the same thing on it.
>> And in the north counties of ia there are just single freqs with the
>> DPL only no tone.
>> The user is alliant energy and a subsidary interstate power and light
>> co, who had a patchwork of different motorola 800 sites scattered all
>> over the place to allow them to cover the huge areas they must
>> maintain. IF you want the audio sample email me at hotmail.
>> I dont think the bc250 can track this. And the continuous carriers
>> with tones get old after awhile, maybe its their way of keeping nextel
>> off their freqs.
>> Nextel should move to 700 meg instead of trying to bury 800 meg.
>>
>

842. BC785D best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 10:09:26 UTC
From: SeZ <bootaman@bway.net>
Message-ID: <qrgea.39897$Ad6.14890@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34757

Hello group, I'm shopping for a scanner to replace my ancient BearCat 150.
Is the BC785D still the best? Please help.

-T

843. Re: scanner ques.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 10:40:26 UTC
From: soldtome@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15697-3E799A9A-157@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 34758

alll trunking will go digital by 2006

844. Re: scanner ques.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 10:43:22 UTC
From: soldtome@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15697-3E799B4A-158@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 34759

the fcc said all trunking will have to digital my
2006 or face fine

845. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 11:50:34 UTC
From: Matt <piss.off@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <wLhea.4228$dE2.10143@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 34760
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Get your facts right Dwight - believe it or not, there are other countries
than the US that are currently involved. I don't give a shit if the
arsehole who takes a swing at me, or dumps a bucket of shit (literally) on
me is of my own country or not - he/she incurs no special rights to do that.

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BA9DFC84.13F91%stewart@say.net...
> "Matt" wrote:
> >
> > (snip) being uniformed one cannot really retaliate
> > against the scum when they trash you. (snip)
> > (snip) having said that, I doubt I could or would
> > stop retaliation from my people if the scum had a
> > go at them.
>
>
> If your "people" involves military personnel, I recommend you find
another
> career where "retaliation" against Americans is acceptable - it is not in
> the United States military (placing your doubts you "would stop
retaliation"
> in direct opposition to policy).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

846. Re: scanner ques.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 12:10:02 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v7jbseeb0cmt4a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34761

Could you point me to the actual source of this data?

"William Green" <soldtome@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15697-3E799B4A-158@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> the fcc said all trunking will have to digital my
> 2006 or face fine
>

847. Re: looking for a realistic pro26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 12:25:48 UTC
From: Walter <radio@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <qhcj7vght8es9o31i6gqt2ahvpi665egth@4ax.com>
Source record: 34762

FYI, the 26 is blocked and cannot be unblocked. You can modify it to receive images. If you want unblocked without mods you're going to have to spend the $ or get a Euro one. Do some research on Google and you can learn a lot.

On 19 Mar 2003 14:03:53 -0800, fish5967@hotmail.com (jack) wrote:

>ive have been looking for one of theses scanners and was wonderin
>where i might find one and if it is a good choice also if you dont
>know where to find one of these could you please tell me where i could
>find a cheap unblocked scaner with a good freq range

848. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 13:19:36 UTC
From: "D.A. Hood" <nitwitrhymer@earsahurtin.com>
Message-ID: <gjfj7vg3ocomaopeesij66inolre9o667l@4ax.com>
Source record: 34763
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Sometimes people become very closed minded when they believe something
is true. Seems that this is happening with more than one belief in
the recent threads. Ignorance is bliss, thanks for showing that there
are some intelligent people out there that are willing to discuss,
debate and learn.

On Wed, 19 Mar 2003 22:13:02 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
wrote:

> But, you are right - the argument is over. I have no desire to get into a
>tit for tat argument with any of you. Good day, gentlemen.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

849. Re: looking for a realistic pro26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 14:27:59 UTC
From: Randy <Bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <b5cj7mprj1@news.astound.net>
Source record: 34764

goto www.grove-ent.com and look in the message forum-scanners for sale. saw
a pro 26 for sale there. Nice radio. Had mine for 5 years and still kicking
butt.
Randy
"jack" <fish5967@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d362d714.0303191403.3e5f6720@posting.google.com...
> ive have been looking for one of theses scanners and was wonderin
> where i might find one and if it is a good choice also if you dont
> know where to find one of these could you please tell me where i could
> find a cheap unblocked scaner with a good freq range

850. Bug in Win93 v00.31?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 15:39:47 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030320103947.05924.00000217@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 34765

I had a strange thing happen and I figured some of you on the newsgroup might be interested. I'd email it directly to the author of the software, but it appears he's too busy to reply to his email...

I had a bank setup for Motorola trunking in the 400 mhz range. I recently deleted the channels in this bank in Win93 by holding down Shift, then going to the last frequency and clicking on it, highlighting all the frequencies I wanted to get rid of. I then cut and paste frequencies from another bank into this one. I loaded this new file into the Pro-93, and started scanning. When it got to where the old trunked frequencies used to be, instead of having the text tag that I had entered, it showed "Bank 0/Ch #" (With # corresponding to different channel numbers), just like when trunked frequencies are programmed in. The bank that it's scanning when I get this is Bank 9. None of the channels referenced in the text tag have anything programmed in them.

The other strange thing is that although the frequencies programmed in the channels that never had trunked frequencies programmed in them display a text tag, they display the WRONG text tag. It just so happens that these frequencies are duplicated elsewhere on the scanner, under different names (example: One frequency is programmed in another bacnk as a police department in Ocean County, and it's programmed in this bank as a fire frequency in Burlington County). I am not sure why the scanner would be giving them tags from another bank.

I've done a reset and reinitialization of the scanner. I deleted the frequencies, saved the file and uploaded the new file without anything in bank 9, then pasted the frequencies back into bank 9, then uploaded again and the results are the same. I manually entered the name of one of the frequencies in the old trunked channel and it still didn't work. I also cleared all trunked data and made sure al the modes for these channels are FM and not MOT.

About the only thing I haven't done yet is to delete all the frequencies in this bank and manually enter them without cutting and pasting.

Any ideas would be helpful!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

851. Re: BC785D best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 15:50:17 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <Zqlea.34707$Ty5.2574448@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 34766

"SeZ" <bootaman@bway.net> wrote in message
news:qrgea.39897$Ad6.14890@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Hello group, I'm shopping for a scanner to replace my ancient BearCat 150.
> Is the BC785D still the best? Please help.

Only if your area uses an APCO-25 digital system. if not, go for a BC780XLT
instead and save money.
The 780D is expensive, and then there's the additional $300.00 required for
the APCO-25 card.
The 780D will not be of much help in areas that use Pro-Voice or another
type of digital system.

You could buy a 780D to get the additional channel capacity and still hear
analog frequencies, but its alot of additional
money to go that way.

852. Re: BC785D best?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 15:57:37 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E79E4F1.7000803@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34767

Paul Keenleyside wrote:
> "SeZ" <bootaman@bway.net> wrote in message
> news:qrgea.39897$Ad6.14890@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
>
>>Hello group, I'm shopping for a scanner to replace my ancient BearCat 150.
>>Is the BC785D still the best? Please help.
>
>
> Only if your area uses an APCO-25 digital system. if not, go for a BC780XLT
> instead and save money.
> The 780D is expensive, and then there's the additional $300.00 required for
> the APCO-25 card.
> The 780D will not be of much help in areas that use Pro-Voice or another
> type of digital system.
>
> You could buy a 780D to get the additional channel capacity and still hear
> analog frequencies, but its alot of additional
> money to go that way.
>

I believe the 785 is only about $20.00 more than the 780. I would say
get the 785 and if you don't care about digital don't buy the digital
card. That way you have the newest scanner, a great scanner and on with
more channels. Plus the ability to later install a digital card if
needed for another $280. I think its worth the extra $20.00 for those
features.
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

853. z

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 16:22:00 UTC
From: Dan Weiss <dwusDONTSPAMME484@bellNOSPAMsouth.net>
Message-ID: <DDlea.45895$Ev6.13703@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 34768

d

854. PLEASE DESPERATE TIMES :(Does Anyone Have AnyGood Software i can use for my "IC-PCR100" please with a crack or patch tia :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 16:28:01 UTC
From: D_E_B_S <deb@psxchip.globalnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Xns9344A7D6D58C7markhbtinternetcom@64.154.60.185>
Source record: 34769

Please mail me it if you can thnx a lot guys :)

855. Anybody do business with spinn@northshells.net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 16:38:28 UTC
From: pleybold@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8ce84a88.0303200838.3bdb5f25@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34770
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.gymnastics,alt.energy.homepower,alt.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi:

Has anyone previous bought items from spinn@northshells.net? I am planning to buy a rather expensive item from him and searching throught the archives I found a few negative comments about him. I am posting to the newsgroups that he was advertising in. Thanks.

Peter

856. Re: Anybody do business with spinn@northshells.net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 16:47:19 UTC
From: Steve Spence <sspence@green-trust.org>
Message-ID: <rgmea.146286$b8.27855986@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 34771
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.gymnastics,alt.energy.homepower,alt.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

nothing bad on google.

--
Steve Spence
Subscribe to the Renewable Energy Newsletter
& Discussion Boards. Read about Sustainable Technology:
http://www.green-trust.org
sspence@green-trust.org
"pleybold" <pleybold@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8ce84a88.0303200838.3bdb5f25@posting.google.com...
> Hi:
>
> Has anyone previous bought items from spinn@northshells.net? I am
> planning to buy a rather expensive item from him and searching
> throught the archives I found a few negative comments about him. I am
> posting to the newsgroups that he was advertising in. Thanks.
>
> Peter

857. Re: About to buy a scanner....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 17:00:33 UTC
From: Anthony Bonacarti <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <SQCdnYHA3qH0buSjXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 34772

I got my Uniden BC895XLT trunktraker online for $199 delivered.
Anthony

"Bob" <rareeder@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E793CC7.4010606@earthlink.net...
> While you could spend much more $ for a trunking scanner and also get
> digital capabilities, I think a Pro-95 is a good choice.
> Do not buy the cable or software from Radio Shack though because they do
> not work.
> Since you said this is going to be your first scanner, it will take you
> sometime to learn to program and use.
> The Yahoo Pro-93 95 user group is helpful and worth joining for help.
> The best software is available here: (The best part is that it is free.)
> http://www.starrsoft.com/
> A good programming cable is here: (The Pro-93 & 95 use the same cable)
> http://www.pro-93.com/
> As far as an unblocked scanner, there is really no reason to have one.
> Cell phones are on the most part digital and unavailable to an unblocked
> unit.
> Welcome to the scanning hobby. Hope you get as much out of it as the
> rest of us do.
> Bob
>
> Celt Chic wrote:
>
> >Hi Everyone,
> >
> >I'm about to buy a new Radio Shack Pro-95 Scanner. I've been obsessing
over
> >getting a scanner for a while now and I think my husband is about to give
> >in. The price is O.K., $199.99, and while not perfect, at least I know
I'm
> >getting a brand new scanner that works and has a warranty. I'm totally
new
> >to scanning, and I've never used one before. I've heard that I should
get a
> >trunk-tracker because many systems in the future may be trunked. I also
> >want a wide range of frequencies. The more the better. However, I heard
> >that this scanner is not modifiable. Even if it was, I wouldn't know how
to
> >modify the thing. Before I buy this, which I plan to do within the next
> >week or so, does anyone recommend anything instead of this? I can't go
over
> >$199.99. In fact, if something is cheaper, that is even better! And the

> >more frequencies, the better. Of course I want a trunking capable
scanner.
> >BUT, I wouldn't mind having the extra convenience of an unblocked
scanner.
> >Please help and give any recommendations! I don't like buying on EBay as
I
> >always get frustrated as the prices go up and up on something I want to
buy,
> >and I really don't like waiting days to buy something, anyway. When I
want
> >something...I want it NOW! ;) (That's the one thing my husband
> >hates....hehehee!)
> >
> >Thanks for any ideas!
> >
> >
> >--
> >~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic
> >email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> >In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> >http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

858. Live Military Communications Now OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 17:37:17 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E79FC4D.6050003@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34774

Now broadcasting live Military Air Communications from Florida. You'll be hearing numerous aircraft dropping bombs, firing missile, and engaging in mock dogfights. Its been busy during the day hours.

Most of what I'm broadcasting is 4 scanners of VHF/UHF MilAir freqs. If/when there is action on the HF freqs I'm monitoring I'll patch that in as well. Also if Radio Kuwait starts issuing warnings on their 1800-2100 UTC English broadcast I'll patch them in as well (Radio Kuwait broadcasts on 11.990 MHz)

Since most of our local MilAir action is daytime I will try something different tonight. If local activity dies down Iï¿½ll replay the afternoons mil action. Since I record all I hear digitally I can then rebroadcast the days action at night for those working folks who canï¿½t listen live. During the rebroadcast youï¿½ll notice that there is no long periods of silence. This is due to when recording the days activity my recorder is on VOX mode meaning it doesnï¿½t record the silence between transmissions. From some of you you may find this more interesting as it is constant actionï¿½.

You can hear the live and recorded broadcasts at http://www.MilAirComms.com. Most likely Iï¿½ll start the recorded broadcast at about 2000 EST (0100 UTC). However live broadcasting is taking place now.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

859. Re: Anybody do business with spinn@northshells.net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 17:55:33 UTC
From: dtedrick <dtedrick@erehwon.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9344643FA26ADdtedrickerehwonnet@208.201.224.154>
Source record: 34775
Cross-posted to: alt.sports.gymnastics,alt.energy.homepower,alt.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

if you must do buisness with him, use some sort of escrow service.
however, I would seriously recomend not doing buisiness with him.

I am currently pursuing a civil suit against him, though I never expect to
see my money or what I supposedly purchased (some solar panels). I sent him
a money order like an idiot. this was Nov of 2002.

do a google groups search on "FRAUD ALERT - 'DAVE MUNROE'"
and "BEWARE of David Munroe"

also see http://www.trentu.ca/csd/newsarchives/trentu/csp/general/602

Dan Tedrick

860. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 18:18:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b5d0mb$ii6$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34776
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I gave up arguing with his type long ago. I know what I did, and what I saw. If he don't want to belive me...then I could care less.

Dan/W4NTI

"L Rod Hubbard" <LRod@removethispart.com> wrote in message news:stewart.loses.again@removethispart.com...
> On Wed, 19 Mar 2003 20:44:45 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net> wrote:
> > "Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
> > >
> > > Braniff International, you know the Polka-dot
> > > painted airplanes. Uploaded on a stretch DC-8,
> > > Had to hit overdrive out of Bien Hoa AB, RVN
> > > to avoid ground fire...which we didnt.
> > >
> > > Landed at Tokyo International to change aircraft.
> > > Ended up at Travis...which has a shared runway
> > > with the civilians. Nice anti-war demonstration
> > > just off the Tarmac. (snip)
> >
> >
> > You took off from a military base and landed at a military base. Whether
> > you flew on military aircraft or on military chartered aircraft, you didn't
> > travel on a commercial flight using commercial airports (which was my
> > point).
>
> And you can backpedal all you want, but the argument is over. You
> lost it a long time ago.

861. Re: Warning Santa Barbara Thief!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 19:06:46 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ajoea.95784$jP2.15691475@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 34777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Mark" <marks@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:dgmj7vsn6lh68nroiicoi6dqj8tqu63pns@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Mar 2003 19:49:45 GMT, "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >There is a thief who lives in Santa Barbara, CA. He/she tries to make
> >'trades' for radio equipment. He has advertised a Pro 2006, Pro 43, Icom
> >R75, and some others for trade. He also said he was blind. I am not the
only
> >one he has stolen from. He has used the names Jeff Kydd, Gabe Mariscal,
and
> >Jeff Graham. Here is the info he used with me:
> >
> >Jeff Graham
> >1120 Laguna ST
> >#14
> >Santa Barbara
> >CA
> >93101
> >
> >Phone 805-451-3042
> >
> >Hope this keep someone else from getting burned!
> >DeWayne K9KZ
>
>
> If you have all that info, why haven't you gone to the police? Or have
you?

Yes I have. I am just trying to prevent it from happening to someone else.

862. Re: Bug in Win93 v00.31?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 19:12:39 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3E7A1271.32E2DF9B@optonline.net>
Source record: 34778

Sounds like you have a PRO-93 with version 1.0 firmware, the version
with the text tag bug in it. Is this the case? If so, this text tag
bug is well documented, and it is a problem with the PRO-93 firmware,
not the Win93 software. The bug is that the Bank 0 text tags overwrite
the Bank 9 text tags which you may have entered. The bug is fixed by
having RS update the firmware to version 1.03.

There is a way to check the firmware version, I believe it is to hold
down the "3" key while you turn the scanner on. If that is not correct,
the correct method is documented somewhere on these newsgroups.

Walt

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> I had a strange thing happen and I figured some of you on the newsgroup might
> be interested. I'd email it directly to the author of the software, but it
> appears he's too busy to reply to his email...
>
> I had a bank setup for Motorola trunking in the 400 mhz range. I recently
> deleted the channels in this bank in Win93 by holding down Shift, then going to
> the last frequency and clicking on it, highlighting all the frequencies I
> wanted to get rid of. I then cut and paste frequencies from another bank into
> this one. I loaded this new file into the Pro-93, and started scanning. When it
> got to where the old trunked frequencies used to be, instead of having the text
> tag that I had entered, it showed "Bank 0/Ch #" (With # corresponding to
> different channel numbers), just like when trunked frequencies are programmed
> in. The bank that it's scanning when I get this is Bank 9. None of the channels
> referenced in the text tag have anything programmed in them.
>
> The other strange thing is that although the frequencies programmed in the
> channels that never had trunked frequencies programmed in them display a text
> tag, they display the WRONG text tag. It just so happens that these frequencies
> are duplicated elsewhere on the scanner, under different names (example: One
> frequency is programmed in another bacnk as a police department in Ocean
> County, and it's programmed in this bank as a fire frequency in Burlington
> County). I am not sure why the scanner would be giving them tags from another
> bank.
>
> I've done a reset and reinitialization of the scanner. I deleted the
> frequencies, saved the file and uploaded the new file without anything in bank
> 9, then pasted the frequencies back into bank 9, then uploaded again and the
> results are the same. I manually entered the name of one of the frequencies in
> the old trunked channel and it still didn't work. I also cleared all trunked
> data and made sure al the modes for these channels are FM and not MOT.

>
> About the only thing I haven't done yet is to delete all the frequencies in
> this bank and manually enter them without cutting and pasting.
>
> Any ideas would be helpful!
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

863. Digital scanners. Do they scan on the same freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 19:47:45 UTC
From: esco@icyspicy.com
Message-ID: <19b4e9dc.0303201147.25f98a46@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34779

Or no? Is there a digital and analog channel on every frequancy? I
heard that the michigan police switched to digital freq. Will they
still be on the same numeric frequancy or are these new frequancies?
Basically I was facts.
When I searched I found the same crap over and over again.

864. Re: About to buy a scanner....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 22:17:09 UTC
From: Jim Mitchell <jnjmitch@nospamremove.swbell.net>
Message-ID: <F5rea.106$Xd1.36716639@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34780

You would think that this would be the case, but it ain't so anymore (at least around here in St. Louis). Not to bash Radio Shack, because I've found them helpful on lots of things, but no one I talked to when looking for this scanner could give me any information other than "buy the book".

Mark <marks@nospam.org> wrote in message news:uamj7vcm7mh2u2t3s4nla99u3eekotc2b8@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 20 Mar 2003 05:01:16 GMT, "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Thanks for all the information. Is there anyway to tell if my area uses
> >trunking systems? I live in the Louisville, KY area in Southern Indiana.
> >Is the Pro-95 capable of picking up on digital systems?
>
> One of the best ways is to ask the guys at the Radio Shack near you. Most of
> them have frequency sheets they hand out to scanner purchasers. That list
> will surely tell you if what you want is trunked or not.
>
> As for digital, no the pro-95 can't do that. There are only 2 digital capable
> scanners on the market today and each is about $600 with the digital addon
> card.

865. Re: BC785D/BC250D RS-232 Protocol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 22:21:52 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <-rOcnZnqdKguo-ejXTWcow@thebiz.net>
Source record: 34781

There is some info at http://www.freqofnature.com/software/protocols.html

If you find errors or updates, please notify the webmaster.

"Tom Thornton" <TRThornton@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E794EC4.E2BC8F87@earthlink.net...
> Hi,
>
> I have tried to get the protocol from Uniden, but they flat refuse to
> release something as simple as the protocol. Has anyone already reverse
> engineered this, or do I have to do it, and publish it too?
>
> Tom
>

866. Re: Bug in Win93 v00.31?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 22:32:10 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <8FOdnWue7viB3OejXTWcrg@thebiz.net>
Source record: 34782

It does sound like you have 1.00 firmware as Walt suggested. Here is a detailed description of the bug.

Alpha tags for channels 816 - 929 are mirrored in channels 000 - 113. It appears that only one byte was used for the address of the channel number and that covers 256 channels rather than 300. Channel 816 is the 257th channel and its tag is wrapping back to the first channel, 000. All channels up to 929 are affected, with 929 wrapping back to 113.
This affects all version 1.00 firmware PRO-93's. The PRO-2053 has version 1.01 firmware and is not afflicted with this bug.
If channel alpha tags are not entered in channels 816-929 the tags in channels 000-113 are not corrupted.

This problem affects version 1.00 but was fixed in 1.01 and 1.03.

If you belong to the Yahoogroups PRO-93_95 group, in the files area there is a test file called bugtest.p93 which will illustrate the problem. The URL (which will wrap due to length) is
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PRO-93_95/files/PRO-93/P93%20FREQUENCY%20Files/P93%20Test%20Files/

867. Re: Bug in Win93 v00.31?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 22:34:33 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030320173433.05781.00000215@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 34783

>Sounds like you have a PRO-93 with version 1.0 firmware, the version
>with the text tag bug in it. Is this the case? If so, this text tag
>bug is well documented, and it is a problem with the PRO-93 firmware,
>not the Win93 software. The bug is that the Bank 0 text tags overwrite
>the Bank 9 text tags which you may have entered. The bug is fixed by
>having RS update the firmware to version 1.03.

I checked, and I do have version 1.0. What does RS charge to update the
firmware? I probably won't get it done because I've decided to buy another
cheap scanner. I was going to bring the Pro-93 with me to work and scan
frequencies there in banks 7 - 9, but I think I'll just by a cheap scanner for
that...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

868. Re: Warning Santa Barbara Thief!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 22:44:23 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <jim.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <bvrea.155389$3D1.18217@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

It was a joke, but I guess as a Chicken you don't get alot of human jokes!
If you get you head cut off do you run around......

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:Rr6ea.174773$qi4.77508@rwcrnsc54...
> the blind leading the blind
> "Jim Douglas" <jim.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote in message
> news:nf6ea.142929$F1.4552@sccrnsc04...
> > I would hope that everyone got the message a month ago about this
> > individual. I would of expected someone just to go by and kick his ad$#
by
> > now.
> >
> >
> > "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:tR3ea.90561$jP2.14595014@twister.neo.rr.com...
> > > There is a thief who lives in Santa Barbara, CA. He/she tries to make
> > > 'trades' for radio equipment. He has advertised a Pro 2006, Pro 43,
Icom
> > > R75, and some others for trade. He also said he was blind. I am not
the
> > only
> > > one he has stolen from. He has used the names Jeff Kydd, Gabe
Mariscal,
> > and
> > > Jeff Graham. Here is the info he used with me:
> > >
> > > Jeff Graham
> > > 1120 Laguna ST
> > > #14
> > > Santa Barbara
> > > CA
> > > 93101
> > >
> > > Phone 805-451-3042
> > >
> > > Hope this keep someone else from getting burned!
> > > DeWayne K9KZ
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>

>

869. Re: Digital scanners. Do they scan on the same freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 23:08:06 UTC
From: BDK <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18e413bd28a5fe08989718@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 34785

In article <19b4e9dc.0303201147.25f98a46@posting.google.com>,
esco@icyspicy.com says...
> Or no? Is there a digital and analog channel on every frequancy? I
> heard that the michigan police switched to digital freq. Will they
> still be on the same numeric frequancy or are these new frequancies?
> Basically I was facts.
> When I searched I found the same crap over and over again.
>

You're getting confused. Freqs are freqs, ditital is a MODE, to keep it
simple, like AM, FM, or SSB. You have to have a radio that can receive
the correct mode to listen to it.

BDF

870. Re: Bug in Win93 v00.31?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 23:26:01 UTC
From: Frank <yxedarrafu@rapejnxbvrpzmivg.com>
Message-ID: <01c2ef38$0cc0e390$0125250a@rapejnxbvrpzmivg>
Source record: 34786

Frank346 <8FOdnWue7viB3OejXTWcrg@thebiz.net>...

^ It does sound like you have 1.00 firmware as Walt suggested.

I didn't get Walt's message so I appreciate you describing the problem. I have the same problem with my PRO-93 and I had assumed that it was the WIN93 application too. Radio Shack doesn't offer any help on this do they?

Frank(unnumbered)

871. All Right Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 23:29:46 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E7A4F78.7070104@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34787

I need some help with frequencies in west San Bernardino County and east Los Angles County for the sheriffs and fire department in Pomona area.

Need to program my BC 245.

Home 2
Burr
Pomona, Ca. 91766
Sony AN-1
N 34-0261
W 117-4387

872. Re: Digital scanners. Do they scan on the same freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-20 23:44:34 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0303201544.10743986@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34788

a frequency is a frequency. Digital is a mode. No such thing as a digital or analog channel. Technically there are no channels. But people use channel and frequency interchangeable. Hope that answers your question. An analog scanner can pick up the frequency, but if the emission mode is digital it will not produce a voice sound from the speaker.

873. Re: Live Military Communications Now OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 00:22:01 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3E7A5B29.EC3255F7@USA.net>
Source record: 34789

Geo. you must have your antenna hanging off the moon to hear the mideast on a scanner.

Great web site. Thank you.

GeorgeF wrote:

> Now broadcasting live Military Air Communications from Florida. You'll
> be hearing numerous aircraft dropping bombs, firing missile, and
> engaging in mock dogfights. Its been busy during the day hours.
>
> Most of what I'm broadcasting is 4 scanners of VHF/UHF MilAir freqs.
> If/when there is action on the HF freqs I'm monitoring I'll patch that
> in as well. Also if Radio Kuwait starts issuing warnings on their
> 1800-2100 UTC English broadcast I'll patch them in as well (Radio Kuwait
> broadcasts on 11.990 MHz)
>
> Since most of our local MilAir action is daytime I will try something
> different tonight. If local activity dies down Iï¿½ll replay the
> afternoons mil action. Since I record all I hear digitally I can then
> rebroadcast the days action at night for those working folks who canï¿½t
> listen live. During the rebroadcast youï¿½ll notice that there is no
> long periods of silence. This is due to when recording the days
> activity my recorder is on VOX mode meaning it doesnï¿½t record the
> silence between transmissions. From some of you you may find this more
> interesting as it is constant actionï¿½.
>
> You can hear the live and recorded broadcasts at
> http://www.MilAirComms.com. Most likely Iï¿½ll start the recorded
> broadcast at about 2000 EST (0100 UTC). However live broadcasting is
> taking place now.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com

874. My new radio web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 00:33:12 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c5tea.16098$ja4.1098848@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34790

Hi everyone,

I'm working on a radio webpage. Please take a peek and if you can think of anything I can add to it, please let me know. The more stuff, the better!

http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/radio.htm

Thanks!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
~~~~~~~

875. Re: Bug in Win93 v00.31?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 00:57:12 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <RZidnWuQHoWB_uejXTWc3Q@thebiz.net>
Source record: 34791

Take the radio to your nearest Radio Shack store and have them send it to a regional repair center for a firmware upgrade. It will be done at no cost and takes two or three weeks.

"Frank" <yxedarrafu@rapejnxbvrpzmivg.com> wrote in message news:01c2ef38$0cc0e390$0125250a@rapejnxbvrpzmivg...
> Frank346 <8FOdnWue7viB3OejXTWcrg@thebiz.net>...
>
> ^ It does sound like you have 1.00 firmware as Walt suggested.
>
> I didn't get Walt's message so I appreciate you describing the problem. I
> have the same problem with my PRO-93 and I had assumed that it was the WIN93
> application too. Radio Shack doesn't offer any help on this do they?
>
> Frank(unnumbered)
>

876. Pro-95 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 01:32:34 UTC
From: Robert C Long <longrc2@icomnet.com>
Message-ID: <ZLydnRBqFM8q9uejXTWcow@portbridge.com>
Source record: 34792

Was in Radio Shack today and sales person told me the Pro-95 was on sale for $199. Not as goodd as last sale but still a good buy

877. I feel sorry for you!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 01:32:52 UTC
From: willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
Message-ID: <b5dpvi$of4$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 34793

```
..proma1
You are a sad little man.Your mother never loved you.

-----
willy-nilly
willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
Planet Resettlement Project 13
-
What city did you say this was?
I'd like my e-MAIL cooked, thanks.
-----
```

878. Re: All Right Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 01:35:14 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@qzip.net>
Message-ID: <3e7a6287@news.qzip.net>
Source record: 34794

TRY cityfreq.com
"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E7A4F78.7070104@earthlink.net...
> I need some help with frequencies in west San Bernardino County and east
> Los Angles County for the sheriffs and fire department in Pomona area.
>
> Need to program my BC 245.
>
> Home 2
> Burr
> Pomona, Ca. 91766
> Sony AN-1
> N 34-0261
> W 117-4387
>

879. Re: Warning Santa Barbara Thief!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 02:45:31 UTC
From: David Snyder Hale <david@isi9.isinet.mtwilson.edu>
Message-ID: <b5ducb$2no6$2@agate.berkeley.edu>
Source record: 34795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Even better, if you haven't already, is to file a USPS mail fraud report,
especially if the address is valid. I think that's a pretty serious crime.

good luck

In rec.radio.swap DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:

> "Mark" <marks@nospam.org> wrote in message
> news:dgmj7vsn6lh68nroiicoi6dqj8tqu63pns@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 19 Mar 2003 19:49:45 GMT, "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>> >There is a thief who lives in Santa Barbara, CA. He/she tries to make
>> >'trades' for radio equipment. He has advertised a Pro 2006, Pro 43, Icom
>> >R75, and some others for trade. He also said he was blind. I am not the
> only
>> >one he has stolen from. He has used the names Jeff Kydd, Gabe Mariscal,
> and
>> >Jeff Graham. Here is the info he used with me:
>> >
>> >Jeff Graham
>> >1120 Laguna ST
>> >#14
>> >Santa Barbara
>> >CA
>> >93101
>> >
>> >Phone 805-451-3042
>> >
>> >Hope this keep someone else from getting burned!
>> >DeWayne K9KZ
>>
>>
>> If you have all that info, why haven't you gone to the police? Or have
> you?

> Yes I have. I am just trying to prevent it from happening to someone else.

--
Dave * N3WTK (DM04xf) * http://isi.mtwilson.edu * n3wtk@arrl.net

880. Need antenna recommendations for BC250D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 03:34:15 UTC
From: Dustbunny <barfco@swill.com>
Message-ID: <vn1l7vcclbfgbdjhka0kgfehoki87dtkbg@4ax.com>
Source record: 34796

I live in the San Fran bay area, and my factory antenna seems to be a POS. So I'm looking to get a good replacement. I listen to San Fran, Alameda, and SFO trunked systems, as well San Mateo public safety (400MHz), and aircraft. The Austin Condor that ScannerMaster lists seems to have good specs.

Anyone have experience with this antenna, or have other recommendations?

Please respond here, email addy is invalid.

Thanks

881. Re: Live Military Communications Now OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 03:34:53 UTC
From: Dave <Dave4davesdeli@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3e7a88b8@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 34648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.electronics.misc

Great Site!!!!!

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3E79FC4D.6050003@licensed4fun.com...
> Now broadcasting live Military Air Communications from Florida. You'll
> be hearing numerous aircraft dropping bombs, firing missile, and
> engaging in mock dogfights. Its been busy during the day hours.
>
> Most of what I'm broadcasting is 4 scanners of VHF/UHF MilAir freqs.
> If/when there is action on the HF freqs I'm monitoring I'll patch that
> in as well. Also if Radio Kuwait starts issuing warnings on their
> 1800-2100 UTC English broadcast I'll patch them in as well (Radio Kuwait
> broadcasts on 11.990 MHz)
>
> Since most of our local MilAir action is daytime I will try something
> different tonight. If local activity dies down I'll replay the
> afternoons mil action. Since I record all I hear digitally I can then
> rebroadcast the days action at night for those working folks who can't
> listen live. During the rebroadcast you'll notice that there is no
> long periods of silence. This is due to when recording the days
> activity my recorder is on VOX mode meaning it doesn't record the
> silence between transmissions. From some of you you may find this more
> interesting as it is constant action..
>
> You can hear the live and recorded broadcasts at
> http://www.MilAirComms.com. Most likely I'll start the recorded
> broadcast at about 2000 EST (0100 UTC). However live broadcasting is
> taking place now.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

882. Re: Pro-95 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 03:54:25 UTC
From: core_dump <corenospamdump@corenodumpspamcentral.org>
Message-ID: <Xns9344E909046FAcoredumpcoredumpcent@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 34650

"Robert C Long" <longrc2@icomnet.com> wrote in
news:ZLydnRBqFM8q9uejXTWcow@portbridge.com:

> Was in Radio Shack today and sales person told me the Pro-95 was on
> sale for $199. Not as goodd as last sale but still a good buy
>
>
>

Dammit! I just bought one a few weeks ago for the full price.

883. Live video from Baghdad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 04:20:01 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Rpwea.44434$Ad6.42168@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34651

Live cnn feed video from Baghdad Visit the url below to watch
Tim
kg4jjs
Webmaster of the Tampa Bay Radio Page
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm

884. Re: HF Broadcasting Online of The War On Iraq!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 04:32:29 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <Colombo@Ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3E7A8907.50702@Ameritech.net>
Source record: 34647

If you want to know what's going on, watch CNN. They have a live video feed running with the 1st calvary (M1A1 tanks) as they make a run towards Baghdad. If/when anything happens, I guess we'll be able to watch it live on TV. This is one giant leap beyond what we had during the Vietnam War. -Papa Rick

---

Radio Demon wrote:

> Is there any HF Shortwave Military broadcasts available online?
>
>

--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov

885. Re: HF Broadcasting Online of The War On Iraq!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 04:49:13 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <Colombo@Ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3E7A8CF4.9010502@Ameritech.net>
Source record: 34649

```
Sorry, that's the 7th Calvary. Papa Rick
----------------------------------------

Rick Colombo wrote:
> If you want to know what's going on, watch CNN. They have a live video
> feed running with the 1st calvary (M1A1 tanks) as they make a run
> towards Baghdad. If/when anything happens, I guess we'll be able to
> watch it live on TV. This is one giant leap beyond what we had during
> the Vietnam War. -Papa Rick
> ------------------------------------------------------------------------
>
> Radio Demon wrote:
>
>> Is there any HF Shortwave Military broadcasts available online?
>>
>>
>
>

--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov
'93 Bronco 351EFI E4OD/BW1356 D44-Ford8.8/open 2"-lift 35"-tires
```

886. looking for East Coast military channels to monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 04:55:33 UTC
From: anothersutherner@charter.net
Message-ID: <c215420f.0303202055.2d4e46d6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34652

I live in Western North Carolina, and I was wondering if anybody has found any active military channels that can be received in this area. I am mainly interested in what war-related signals I can get, but anything related would be nice. I am using a trunk-tracker too, so if you have any trunkd systems to recomend, i can ust them too. I appreciate the help!

887. Re: looking for a realistic pro26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 04:57:35 UTC
From: Robert <nospam@me.cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3Zwea.17047$o8.286744@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 34653

Walter,

You are sort of correct. It's actually a virtual downconversion technique.
This mod is so simple that it was actually performed before a US
Congressional subcommittee hearing. Read the full story here:

http://www.durhamradio.com/pro26r.htm

That's why it was subsequently discontinued. ;-)

Robert

"Walter" <radio@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:qhcj7vght8es9o31i6gqt2ahvpi665egth@4ax.com...
> FYI, the 26 is blocked and cannot be unblocked. You can modify it to
> receive images. If you want unblocked without mods you're going to
> have to spend the $ or get a Euro one. Do some research on Google and
> you can learn a lot.
>
> On 19 Mar 2003 14:03:53 -0800, fish5967@hotmail.com (jack) wrote:
>
> >ive have been looking for one of theses scanners and was wonderin
> >where i might find one and if it is a good choice also if you dont
> >know where to find one of these could you please tell me where i could
> >find a cheap unblocked scaner with a good freq range
>
>

888. 1 Discone into 2 PRO-2067 Scanners ... HOW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 05:02:04 UTC
From: Dave Schmidt <n9nlu@NO_SPAM_charter.net>
Message-ID: <v7l7b5ijif4nb4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34654

I have 2 - PRO 2067 scanners and 1 discone antenna fed with Belden 9913. I would like to feed both scanners off the same antenna, but if I put a " T " inline, I would throw the impedance off and possably introduce some db loss from the mismatch. Anyone have any ideas on how to make a 50 ohm splitter or know if there is a commercially built 50 ohm splitter available?

Thanks

Dave
N9NLU
Kewaskum, WI
www.kmcnet.net

889. Re: 1 Discone into 2 PRO-2067 Scanners ... HOW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 05:13:12 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E7A9F68.4010106@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34655

Dave Schmidt wrote:
> I have 2 - PRO 2067 scanners and 1 discone antenna fed with Belden 9913. I
> would like to feed both scanners off the same antenna, but if I put a " T "
> inline, I would throw the impedance off and possably introduce some db loss
> from the mismatch. Anyone have any ideas on how to make a 50 ohm splitter or
> know if there is a commercially built 50 ohm splitter available?

Check out this link, it will give you all the info you need:
http://www.milaircomms.com/review_stridsberg_mca204.html

Thanks
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

890. Re: (*) Islam's Peace (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 05:16:12 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030321001612.19811.00000205@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 34656

>That Islam is "peace and tolerance" is the most popular lie in the world
>today. Intellectuals in the West who defame Christ parrot the most fatuous
>praise of Muham--mad, in spite of his legacy of murder, pillage and rape.

Are they doing this on a scanner? If not, take it off the newsgroup, you wacko!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

891. Re: BC785D Weather Alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 05:49:03 UTC
From: deadeye <deadeye916NO_SPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <v7l9vq808km6a7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34657

Yes, the 785D has the info on pgs. 43-44. I have the codes programmed.
My area did not receive an alert during the last storm so I don't know if it
actually works or not...yet.

What I would like to know is if the radio will receive the weather alert if
the
radio is performing normal scanning of bank frequencies?

At my location I don't get many weather alerts so I have not been able to
test this out myself. I was hoping someone in an area where alerts are more
likely could help me out.

"TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com.goaway> wrote in message
news:20030318012027.15548.00000082@mb-fq.aol.com...
> >Having severe weather here locally today and I am curious if the BC785
will
> >pick-up a NWR-Same alert if the radio is not set to Service Search
Weather?
> >
> >
>
> Not sure if the 250 manual is the same as the 785, but pages 44 & 45
details
> something about entering a FIPS code.
> Check it out and see...
> Good luck...
>
> ** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
> **Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...**
> {{:-})> <({-:}}

892. Re: Last icom r3 day at eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 06:55:17 UTC
From: cuibel <cuibel@asdfa.com>
Message-ID: <b5ecn7boi1@terabinaries.xmission.com>
Source record: 34658
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"cuibel" <cuibel@asdfa.com> wrote in message news:...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3013425182
>
> Thanks for looking.
>
>

893. Re: Pro-95 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 09:26:49 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v7lmmc29d5qc91@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34659

Dump him darlin, we can head to RadioShack this afternoon! ;>)

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:RBAea.20540$S%3.1176434@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Yep. I've been looking into buying one. Just waiting on hubby to fork
out
> cash. Might take a while, though. ;)
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>
> Robert C Long <longrc2@icomnet.com> wrote in message
> news:ZLydnRBqFM8q9uejXTWcow@portbridge.com...
> > Was in Radio Shack today and sales person told me the Pro-95 was on sale
> for
> > $199. Not as goodd as last sale but still a good buy
> >
> >
>
>

894. Construct your own BAL-UN.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 11:07:17 UTC
From: dutchmill <dutchmill@zeelandnet.nl>
Message-ID: <3E7AF265.6040300@zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 34660

Hello,

Intresting to construct your own low-cost longwire BAL-UN?

And if so.. take a look at: http://www.inter.nl.net/hcc/Shortwave

Best regards and good dx-ing.

Dutchmill

895. Construct your own BAL-UN.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 11:09:25 UTC
From: dutchmill <dutchmill@zeelandnet.nl>
Message-ID: <3E7AF2E5.6080701@zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 34661
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

Intresting to construct your own low-cost longwire BAL-UN?

And if so.. take a look at: http://www.inter.nl.net/hcc/Shortwave

Best regards and good dx-ing.

Dutchmill

896. Re: Base Type Scanner Recommendation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 11:55:38 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3E7AFDBA.84401D@mitre.org>
Source record: 34662

The Radio Shack PRO-2053 or PRO-2067 and the
Uniden BC 780 XLT are all great conventional and trunk tracker
scanner base radios.

Check at <http://www.strongsignals.net>
go to FAVORITE PAGES then click on MESSAGE BOARDS
down at the bottom of the trunking board are lists of
trunking radios, look there for more details and specs.

They can be programmed via computer.
The Uniden 780 can also be controlled via the computer.
Using a computer to work with a radio is great, and time saving,
it also helps keep the radio's keyboard from wearing out!
The PRO-2067 and Uniden BC 7809 XLT do tone coded squelch
which is a must! If you have any APCO-25 Digital systems then
the new Uniden BC 785D is the way to go. You may want to buy this
radio then get the digital card later when and if it's needed.

Check the trunking frequency data bases at the bottom of the
trunking board at strongsignals to find out if any one has gone digital
in your area.

Jim

Mcmanusmacdg wrote:

> My old Pro-2006 bit the dust and I am looking for a new scanner. I prefer a
> larger backlighted area. Trunked is OK but not essential. Any
> recommendations?
> Mike

897. Re: Pro-2006 400 channel scanner - excellent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 12:47:10 UTC
From: "Reginald P. Carstairs II" <"Reginald P.Carstairs II"@mail.com>
Message-ID: <ta2m7vsk7so8fb5s039nnpfgpagem430d8@4ax.com>
Source record: 34663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I posted my Sony 2010 for 255.00on usenet, after a week I put on Ebay
and got 275.00..Now if I could only get the buyer to send the money
all would be great.
On Thu, 20 Mar 2003 14:28:43 -0500, Joe <joe@notformail.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 18 Mar 2003 23:27:09 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Isn't eBay great?
>>
>>I recently posted one of MY prize 2006 scanners on the newsgroups for
>>$250.00 SHIPPED, and not one bite.
>>
>>One day on eBay and it went with BUY IT NOW for $275.00 plus shipping. I
>>LOVE EBAY!
>>
>
>I posted my Sony 2010 for $275 on usenet, after 3 days I put it on
>eBay and got $390 + shipping.

898. Re: All Right Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 15:07:49 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E7B2AF5.50206@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34664

I looked all over cityfreq.com and didn't find anything that looked like
it will help.
Maybe one of the radio stores will have some kind of book.

Burr

wleamons wrote:

> TRY cityfreq.com
> "Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E7A4F78.7070104@earthlink.net...
>
>>I need some help with frequencies in west San Bernardino County and east
>> Los Angles County for the sheriffs and fire department in Pomona area.
>>
>>Need to program my BC 245.
>>
>>Home 2
>>Burr
>>Pomona, Ca. 91766
>>Sony AN-1
>>N 34-0261
>>W 117-4387
>>
>>
>
>

899. Re: Construct your own BAL-UN.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 16:11:33 UTC
From: Michalkun <no.spam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <Xns934568E2599E7michalkunyahoocom@128.233.3.7>
Source record: 34665

Can anyone tell me what are bulun`s for? How do they work with long wire?

900. Live video news feeds baghdad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 16:19:41 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xYGea.70930$iq1.30167@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34666

Updated feeds on the Tampa bay radio page.
Now have MSNBC live video raw news feeds
Now have low and high bandwidth video live feed nightscope in Baghdad
Tim
kg4jjs
Webmaster of the Tampa Bay Radio Page
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm

901. Live Iraq Satellite Feed on your PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 17:45:52 UTC
From: Dave <Dave4davesdeli@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3e7b502b@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 34667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
----- Original Message -----
From: "MXStreamPortal" <info@pi6alk.nl>
Newsgroups: alt.news-media,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.gw-bush
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2003 10:47 AM
Subject: IRAQ satellite channel live on your PC

clik here> www.mxstreamplanet.com
```

902. Re: My new radio web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 17:50:44 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b5fja1$28tli6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34668

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5tea.16098$ja4.1098848@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi everyone,
>
> I'm working on a radio webpage. Please take a peek and if you can think
of
> anything I can add to it, please let me know. The more stuff, the better!

You can add a link to my website if you wish:
http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc

Tom

903. Items for Sale - UHF/VHF HT's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 18:53:17 UTC
From: "Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com>
Message-ID: <5snm7vol6q8bpqvg767lu647ehjvgip1lm@4ax.com>
Source record: 34669

Yeasu FTH-2070 dual band HT, Drop-in Charger, Good battery. $150.00
ICOM IC-U12 UHF, 12 channel HT, good battery, no charger. $75.00
GE Scorpion UHF 16 channel HT, Drop-in Charger, 3 batteries $75.00
Pair of Radio Shack UHF 2 channel, 1 wt HT's, new in boxes $40.00
Pair of Motorola HT-440 UHF HT's, 2 channel, 1 drop-in Charger $40.00
Radio Shack mini 2 meter HT, HTX-200, like new. $50.00

Several Volunteer Fire lights, strobe package, headlight flasher unit.
Some Items pictured on my web page:
http://www.angelfire.com/in4/jnk47130

May consider trades on equipment that I PERSONALLY WANT.
Not looking for items to sell.

Questions or offers to: w8ems@juno.com

Thanks,
Jim

904. Re: 1 Discone into 2 PRO-2067 Scanners ... HOW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 20:03:11 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3eKea.801$iE4.850908@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 34670

Pick up a Stridsberg Multi-Coupler, hand down the ONLY way to go for this application.

Dan

> I have 2 - PRO 2067 scanners and 1 discone antenna fed with Belden 9913. I
> would like to feed both scanners off the same antenna, but if I put a " T
"
> inline, I would throw the impedance off and possably introduce some db
loss
> from the mismatch. Anyone have any ideas on how to make a 50 ohm splitter
or
> know if there is a commercially built 50 ohm splitter available?
>
> Thanks
>
> Dave
> N9NLU
> Kewaskum, WI
> www.kmcnet.net
>
>
>

905. Re: Pro-95 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 20:38:12 UTC
From: adoniscat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5147a47d.0303211238.48e5d009@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34671

Bring it back and ask for a refund of the difference. If you've had
it less then 30 days they'll do it (or at least should, since you
could just return it for a full refund and then buy a new one at the
discounted price).

"core_dump" <corenospamdump@corenodumpspamcentral.org> wrote in message
news:<Xns9344E909046FAcoredumpcoredumpcent@216.168.3.44>...

>
> Dammit! I just bought one a few weeks ago for the full price.

906. Re: (*) Islam's Peace (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 20:52:19 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e7b7b88$0$80696$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 34673

Take your crap elsewhere. This has nothing to do with scanning.

"Usenet User" <pkeller@mil.edu> wrote in message
news:pgvea.11229$e8.9134@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>
> That Islam is "peace and tolerance" is the most popular lie in the world
> today. Intellectuals in the West who defame Christ parrot the most fatuous
> praise of Muham--mad, in spite of his legacy of murder, pillage and rape.
A
> Sesame Street-type Arabic TV program features children training to be
> suicide bombers and chanting "Death to Israel" -- for peace, of course.
> Reporter Ann Coulter suggests, "Inasmuch as liberals are demanding that
> Americans ritualistically proclaim, 'Islam is a religion of peace,'
Muslims
> might do their part by not killing people all the time." 1
>
> That our leaders promote this lie, and that so many believe it without one
> fact to support it, bodes ill for America and the world. We only ask
Muslims
> for one example of where and when Islam ever brought peace and
tolerance --
> and please don't threaten us with death (the standard Islamic persuasion)
> for asking!
>
> Never forget that Muslims slaughtered and conquered "for Allah" from Spain
> to China. These Arab conquests (defensive battles, they claim) were "more
> rapid than the Roman, more lasting than the Mongol...the most amazing feat
> in military history." 2
>
> Islam's founder, Muhammad, began his career attacking rich caravans
passing
> near his base in Medina. The first three assaults failed. The fourth
> succeeded because the victims were surprised by an ambush during Ramadan.
> Arab tribes had long refrained from aggression in that "holy month."
> Muhammad, however, had received a "revelation" authorizing plunder and
> murder in Allah's name during this special time of peace (Surah 2:217).
> Another absolved the Muslims of killing: "Ye slew them not, but Allah slew
> them" (Surah 8:17).
>
> Most Muslims don't realize that in observing Ramadan and the annual
> pilgrimage (hajj) to Mecca, they follow what pagan Arabs practiced for
> centuries before Muhammad was born (see TBC Feb '00). Had President Bush
> known the truth instead of the misinformation fed to him by Cleveland
State

> University law professor David F. Forte, 3 he might not have hosted a
White
> House dinner honoring "the holy month Ramadan," nor flattered Muslims
saying
> "all the world continues to benefit from this faith and its achievements."
> Benefit?
>
> Challenged to do miracles like Christ, Muhammad could do none. On March
16,
> 624, near Badr, he led 300 warriors in a vicious attack against a large
> Meccan caravan protected by a force of 800. Some 40 Meccans were killed
and
> 60 taken prisoner to a loss of only 14 Muslims. This amazing victory was
> seen as the attesting miracle Muhammad needed. As a result, the ranks of
> Muslims swelled with those eager to share in future plunder.
>
> Having proved himself the prophet of Allah with the sword, Muhammad sealed
> his apostleship with more than twenty murders, beginning with al-Nadr, an
> old enemy from Mecca. Taken captive in the battle at Badr, he pleaded that
> the Meccan Qur'aish tribe would never kill captives. Muhammad had him
> beheaded anyway, justifying the deed with another "revelation": "It is not
> for any Prophet to have captives until he hath made slaughter in the land"
> (Surah 8:67).
>
> Much of the growing Muslim wealth came from robbing and killing Jews,
> causing "the disappearance of these Jewish communities from Arabia
> proper"4 -- justified by a further "revelation" (Surah 33:26,27). To this
> day, by law no Jew may set foot in Saudi Arabia.
>
> A number of poets were murdered at Muhammad's behest for having mocked him
> in verse. The first was the poetess Asma bint Marwan, stabbed to death by
> Umayr while she was nursing her youngest child. The poet Abu Afak
> (reportedly more than 100 years old) was murdered next. Then came the
Jewish
> poet Ka'b bin al-Ashraf. A timely "revelation" said all poets were
inspired
> of Satan (Surah 26:221-227).
>
> Does it bother today's Muslims that murder, rape, plunder and slavery of
> innocent people were the accepted way of life upon which Islam was founded
> and still operates? Apparently not. Ka'b's murder (the account slanted
with
> fictitious details) is justified on a popular Muslim website, revealing
> Islam's peculiar meaning of "peace" and "justice":
>
>
> Ka'b had become a real danger to the state of peace and mutual trust
which
> the Prophet was struggling to achieve in Madinah....The Prophet was quite
> exasperated with him....This was all part of the great process...which

> helped to make Islam spread and establish it on foundations of justice and
> piety. 5 [Emphasis added]
>
>
> Christ left Christians "an example, that ye should follow his steps: who
did
> no sin, neither was guile found in his mouth: who, when he was reviled,
> reviled not again; when he suffered, he threatened not...[but] bare our
sins
> in his own body on the tree, that we, being dead to sins, should live unto
> righteousness..." (1 Pt 2:21-24). But the Muslim must follow the example
of
> Muhammad who killed all who dared to disagree with him!
>
> A Christian must "know" God (Jer 9:24; Jn 17:3), "love" God with all his
> heart (Dt 6:5; Mt 22:37, etc.) and "believe" in Christ in his heart (Acts
> 8:37; Rom 10:9). The God of the Bible wants man's trust and affection
> without coercion.
>
> In contrast, Allah can be neither known nor loved. Nor does one even have
to
> believe to become a Muslim. Under threat of death, one merely recites
aloud,
> "There is no ila (god) but Allah and Muhammad is his prophet." This
> "conversion without faith" was established when Abu Sufyan, a Qur'aish
> leader, upon surrendering Mecca in 630 to Muhammad and his superior army,
> admitted that he doubted the latter's prophethood. He was warned, "'Accept
> Islam and testify that Muhammad is the apostle of Allah before your neck
is
> cut off by the sword.' Thus [without believing] he professed the faith of
> Islam and became a Muslim." 6 This pattern is followed today: confess or
> die!
>
> Upon Muhammad's death in A.D. 632, many Arabs attempted to abandon Islam.
> Abu Bakr (the first caliph to succeed Muham-mad) and his warriors in the
> infamous Wars of Apostasy killed tens of thousands of ex-Muslims, forcing
> Arabia back into Islam. Muhammad had commanded, "Whoever relinquishes his
> faith, kill him." Islam is still enforced this way under shari'a (Islamic
> law) in Saudi Arabia and wherever Muslims are able to do so. This is peace
> and tolerance?!
>
> The Universal Islamic Declaration of Human Rights was announced at the
> International Conference on the Prophet Muhammad and his Message held in
> London in April 1980. It declares, "Islam gave to mankind an ideal code of
> human rights fourteen centuries ago...based on the Qur'an and the Sunnah
> [teachings and practice of Muhammad]...." Human rights? What deceit!
>
> Abu Bakr was succeeded as caliph by Umar Abu Hafsa. His armies took
Damascus
> in 635, Antioch in 636, Jerusalem in 638, Syria in 640, Egypt and Persia

in
> 641. Entire cities were massacred, such as Behnesa, Fayum, Nikiu and Aboit
> in Egypt, Tripoli in North Africa and Euchaita in Armenia. Carthage was
> razed to the ground. In 644, Umar was murdered.
>
> Uthman ibn Affan, the third caliph, consolidated and expanded the growing
> Islamic empire. A son-in-law of Muhammad, he standardized the Qur'an,
> burning all rival copies over protests of those still alive who remembered
> different readings and missing verses. Among these was Muhammad's favorite
> wife Aisha who, by the way, never veiled her face. Uthman, too, was
murdered
> by a rival Muslim faction. Prevented from being buried in a Muslim
cemetery,
> he was buried at night by friends, ironically, in a Jewish cemetery.
>
> Islam divides the world into dar al-Islam (the house of peace) and dar
> al-Harb (the house of war). To bring "peace," Allah commands, "I shall
cast
> terror into the hearts of the infidels. Strike off their heads! (8:13);
Slay
> the idolaters wherever you find them...(9:5); O Prophet, struggle with the
> unbelievers and hypocrites and be thou harsh with them...(9:73);
Believers,
> make war on the infidels that dwell around you..." (9:123). Perpetual
jihad
> is commanded until all the world is under shari'a. Nor would that bring
> peace, because Muslims fight among themselves, as history testifies.
>
> The fourth and last of the "rightly guided" caliphs was Ali, Muhammad's
> cousin and son-in-law. Accused of complicity in Uthman's murder, he never
> fully established his rule. Aisha supported a rebellion against him,
> resulting in the Battle of the Camel in which 10,000 were killed. Ali won,
> but was murdered in 661.
>
> Wars of succession pitted Qur'aish against Bedouins, Umayyads against the
> Hashimite followers of Ali, etc. Most of Ali's family were killed by rival
> Muslims in 680. Mecca was besieged by troops of Yezid, an Umayyad; the Ka'
> aba (later restored) was burned to the ground, its Black Stone split into
> three pieces. Mecca was taken in 692 by Abd-al-Malik (who in 691 had built
> the Dome of the Rock in Jerusalem to replace the Ka'aba). He united
Muslims
> once again by force and Islam continued its conquests.
>
> In 712, Muslim raiders under Muhammad Qasun began the invasion of India,
> demolishing temples and palaces and massacring, as in Constantinople,
where
> the streets ran with blood. "The massacres perpetrated by Muslims in India
> are unparalleled in history, bigger in sheer numbers than the
> Holocaust...."7 But Islam is "peace!"
>

> The Umayyad caliphate ruled the Muslim world until 749 when all of the
> Umayyads were murdered by the rival Abbasids, except one survivor,
> abd-al-Rahman, who fled to Spain where he established an independent
> caliphate. Thus began the Abbasid caliphate, which lasted until 1258 in
> spite of intrigue, assassinations and uprisings -- all peaceful, of
course.
>
> The double-cross and murder of Muslims at the hands of Muslims continues
to
> this day. Scarcely a Muslim regime is not ruled by a dictator who seized
> power from other Muslims, as in Syria and Iraq. The ten-year revolution in
> Algeria has cost 100,000 lives. In Afghanistan, rival Muslim warlords
fight
> one another. "Infidels" have to intervene there as in the Gulf, to enforce
> peace among "peaceful" Muslims.
>
> Muslims loyal to the murdered Ali and his sons are called Shi'ites (the
> majority in Iran). The others are called Sunnis and comprise the majority
> elsewhere. These two factions have long demonstrated that "Islam is peace"
> by fighting one another, as in the eight-year war between Iran and Iraq
when
> more people were killed than in World War I.
>
> Muslim conquests involving multiple massacres of literally millions
> continued for more than 1,300 years. Under the Abbasids the Islamic empire
> reached its zenith of power, prosperity and learning.
>
> In Spain (to which Muslims point as an example of their tolerance) the
> garrison of Muez was slaughtered in 920; Pamplona was put to the sword in
> 923; then Cordova, Zaragoza and Mereda, with all adult males killed and
> women and children enslaved. The Jews of Granada were butchered in 1066,
34
> years after 6,000 Jews had been slaughtered in Fez, Morocco. In 1146,
> Islamic Fez was put to the sword by rival Muslims, the Almohads, who
> conquered much of North Africa after annihilating the Almoravides (another
> Muslim faction) with about 100,000 massacred, another 120,000 killed in
> Marrakesh, and similar slaughters elsewhere -- all gestures of "peace."
>
> The 400-year rule of the Ottoman Turks saw forced kidnappings of young
boys
> into Islam and slavery, causing parents to mutilate children to make them
> undesirable. Under the Ottomans, being Greek, Armenian, Serb or any other
> non-Muslim was to live in daily fear of murder, rape, torture, genocide.
To
> this day, Serbs and Bulgarians loathe Turks and Bosnians.
>
> When Sultan Murad III died, his son Muhammad had all nineteen of his
> brothers murdered and the seven of his father's concubines who were
pregnant
> sewn into sacks and thrown into the sea. The successor of Murad IV had all

> 300 women in his harem sewn into sacks and thrown into the Bosphorus. Like
> so many other Muslim leaders, he was murdered- -- peacefully.
>
> The persecution of Jews in Roman Catholic Europe was mild compared to what
> Ottoman Christians endured for four centuries. More than a million
Armenians
> were slaughtered in the last decades of the nineteenth and the first of
the
> twentieth centuries, as well as many thousands of Jews, Greeks, Assyrians,
> Lebanese, et al. Tragically, the oppression and bloodshed were often
> condoned by Western powers, particularly England and at times America. In
> the great 1915 genocide, "women came with butcher knives [to] gain that
> merit in Allah's eyes that comes from killing a Christian." 8 The
> destruction of Smyrna in September 1922 with the deliberate massacre of
> nearly 300,000 inhabitants is another example of Islamic "peace." English,
> American, Italian and French battleships anchored in the harbor repelled
> fleeing victims who swam out to them for help.9
>
> The popular "explanation" that Osama bin Laden and other terrorists are
not
> Muslims, but fanatics, is a rebuke to the Qur'an, to Muhammad, and to
Islam
> itself. As Trifkovic writes (p. 127), "Thirteen centuries of...suffering
and
> death of countless millions, have been covered by the myth of Islamic
> 'tolerance'...." The West winks at the blatant denial of basic human
rights
> and support of terrorism by Saudi Arabia and other Muslim countries --
even
> favors Islamic terrorists in Chechnya, Cyprus, Bosnia, Kashmir, Kosovo,
> Macedonia, Sudan and East Timor.
>
> Our politically correct delusion is leading to disaster. Tragically, the
> gospel is kept from Islamic countries by the failure of Western
governments
> to admit and confront the truth about Islam. Please continue to inform
> yourselves, to protest to our leaders, to pray for God's intervention, and
> to be witnesses for Christ to Muslims in our country. TBC
>
> 1 www.jewishworldreview.com/cols/coulter.html
>
> 2 Will Durant, The Story of Civilization (Simon and Schuster, 1950), IV:
> 188.
>
> 3 Imprimis (Oct 2002), 2-6; Serge Trifkovic, The Sword of the Prophet: The
> Politically Incorrect Guide to Islam (Regina Orthodox Press, 2002), 83-84
> (See offering list).
>
> 4 W.N. Arafat, Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and
> Ireland, 1976, 100-107.

>
> 5 www.islam101.com/people/companions/maslamah.html
>
> 6 Cf. Ibn Hisham, part 4 of his Biography of the Prophet, cited in
> Trifkovic, Sword, 48.
>
> 7 Trifkovic, Sword, 112.
>
> 8 Michael J. Arlen, Passage to Ararat (Ruminator Books, 2002), 224.
>
> 9 Nicholas Gage, Greek Fire (Alfred A. Knopf, 2000), cited in Trifkovic,
> 125.
>
>
>
>
>

907. Re: 1 Discone into 2 PRO-2067 Scanners ... HOW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 20:59:05 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b5ftko$28a6q4$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34672

Why are these things so danged expensive? Arnt they just a fancy splitter?

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:3eKea.801$iE4.850908@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Pick up a Stridsberg Multi-Coupler, hand down the ONLY way to go for this
> application.
>
> Dan
>
> > I have 2 - PRO 2067 scanners and 1 discone antenna fed with Belden 9913.
I
> > would like to feed both scanners off the same antenna, but if I put a "
T
> "
> > inline, I would throw the impedance off and possably introduce some db
> loss
> > from the mismatch. Anyone have any ideas on how to make a 50 ohm
splitter
> or
> > know if there is a commercially built 50 ohm splitter available?
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > Dave
> > N9NLU
> > Kewaskum, WI
> > www.kmcnet.net
> >
> >
> >
>
>

908. Re: 1 Discone into 2 PRO-2067 Scanners ... HOW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 21:34:50 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E7B857A.4080206@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34674

MnMikew wrote:
> Why are these things so danged expensive? Arnt they just a fancy splitter?
>

Yes, a very effective signal splitter! A normal signal splitter at a minium will have a 3 dB loss at each scanner you add. The Stridesberg will make up the difference with a 4 dB amp.

I've seen some TV splitter which state right on the package: Min Insertion Loss: 10 dB! You get what you pay for when it comes to signal splitting....

I have two Stridesberg and will be order about 2 more very soon..

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

909. Re: 1 Discone into 2 PRO-2067 Scanners ... HOW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-21 23:55:46 UTC
From: Walter <radio@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <r09n7vs9d1gfo70qkrdkusvn2n8ujnse9o@4ax.com>
Source record: 34675

I went through the same thing. There are two alternatives I've tried.

1. I bought a fairly cheap amped TV splitter (RCA) that did a very
good job. Ran 4 radios off of it, got a little extra noise, which is
to be expected. No lockups. It is 75 ohm of course, so RG6 might be
the ticket, although I'm running one 50 ohm line without any
noticeable loss. If it doesn't work, take it back to Walmart.

2. Industrial Communication Engineers, LTD at 1-800-ICE-COMM, sells a
4 way multicoupler for less than $60. I have a HF one, they can make
whatever you want, with any connectors you need. It's amped, +6db,
and works like a champ.

On Thu, 20 Mar 2003 23:02:04 -0600, "Dave Schmidt"
<n9nlu@NO_SPAM_charter.net> wrote:

>I have 2 - PRO 2067 scanners and 1 discone antenna fed with Belden 9913. I
>would like to feed both scanners off the same antenna, but if I put a " T "
>inline, I would throw the impedance off and possably introduce some db loss
>from the mismatch. Anyone have any ideas on how to make a 50 ohm splitter or
>know if there is a commercially built 50 ohm splitter available?
>
>Thanks
>
>Dave
>N9NLU
>Kewaskum, WI
>www.kmcnet.net
>
>

910. BC 245

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 01:11:21 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E7BB90C.5060109@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34680

Got my new BC 245 today. So far so good, think I am going to like it
for traveling.
I'll pickup a freq. book at RC or some store when I get down south Monday.

911. Military audio recorded Live now playing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 01:11:37 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E7BB849.5070101@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34679

Since there isnï¿½t much live military comms in the evening Iï¿½ve taking the recording of comms caught today and am replaying them at http://www.MilAircomms.com.

Since when I record Iï¿½m using a VOX type recorder there is no space between comms, in other words it constant action.

These comms were from various military training missions and military aircraft flying through the Florida area. Have fun and enjoy.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

912. Alinco DJ-X2000 bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 01:21:49 UTC
From: haxtemp@btopenworld.com
Message-ID: <lbdn7v8ldqtrn1k7gldosd6ot9iut33n3s@4ax.com>
Source record: 34682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Got a DJ-X2000 two days ago. Particularly interested in this make due to its alleged bug finding capabilities. Unfortunately while these look nice in the advertising blurb and instructions, they are not very good in practice, in my experience, unless there's something wrong with this particular unit.

Suppose for the sake of this post that there is a transmitting radio bug somewhere in the tested location. We are trying to find it. The scanner provides a number of functions which are relevant to such activity.

"Flash Tune / Frequency Counter" seems to be a dodo. Flash Tune sits for half an hour with CHECKING grinning all over its screen and doesn't actually tune into anything at all. Frequency Counter finds frequencies in the cellphone (UK) range, 901-908 particularly, so if there is no other local transmission perhaps that bit works.

Transweeper is a disaster. It emits a constant loud tone (good), locks into a frequency and finds it all over my house at a distance of one meter. (It does this for numerous frequencies). It declares as "bug" frequencies normal broadcast FM and TV stations. It finds so many false positives (in VFO + PMS scans) that it is impossible to tell whether there any real positives at all.

Does Transweeper only detect regular unencrypted audio bugs, or can it also find more sophisticated devices which use encoding or spread-spectrum/frequency hopping? That would be too much to expect?

Field Strength Meter doesn't work either. Meter display mode doesn't show any RF signal at all, even when next to a cordless phone. Set-Level mode initially buzzes madly on level three for ten seconds, then even at level one cannot find any signals higher than that level, even when next to cordless phone.

Is my unit jinxed, or are all these scanners the same way? This is not what I paid ï¿½500 for.

913. SC180B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 01:31:56 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <g2Pea.2998$U96.747176@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34676
Cross-posted to: alt.internet.p2p,rec.radio.scanner

What does everyone think of the SC180B used for in town scanning. I'm in need of a small channel scanner and saw this at Best Buy for $79.00. What is its sensitivity and other features like? Is it worth buying? Any input is apreciated.

===Matt===

914. FS Bearcat 210xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 01:35:28 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b5gdknbqe2@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34681

Works good no manual, $35. shipped

Gil

915. IGNORE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 01:36:31 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <z6Pea.2999$U96.747793@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34677
Cross-posted to: alt.internet.p2p,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry, wrong group.

"Matt O." <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:g2Pea.2998$U96.747176@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> What does everyone think of the SC180B used for in town scanning. I'm in
> need of a small channel scanner and saw this at Best Buy for $79.00. What
is
> its sensitivity and other features like? Is it worth buying? Any input is
> apreciated.
>
> ===Matt===
>
>

916. Re: IGNORE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 01:38:02 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <_7Pea.3000$U96.748051@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34678

What am I doing? I won't be doing any more cross-posting. This is the right group but I accedently sent it to a p2p group. Please read the original post...

----Matt----

"Matt O." <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:z6Pea.2999$U96.747793@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Sorry, wrong group.
>
>
> "Matt O." <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:g2Pea.2998$U96.747176@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > What does everyone think of the SC180B used for in town scanning. I'm in
> > need of a small channel scanner and saw this at Best Buy for $79.00.
What
> is
> > its sensitivity and other features like? Is it worth buying? Any input
is
> > apreciated.
> >
> > ===Matt===
> >
> >
>
>

917. F/S Uniden 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 01:51:38 UTC
From: see-signature@nospam.net
Message-ID: <3e7bc110.266413772@news.east.cox.net>
Source record: 34683

For Sale:
Uniden 780xlt

Radio is in new condition and has a discriminator output
connector(RCA). Comes with all original packaging and manual.

$250

.jpg available
Jeff.
Rhode Island
jad1@cox.net

918. Any handheld scanners other than Alinco DJ-X10T and Yupiteru MVT-7300 that include 24 hour clock/timer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 02:30:30 UTC
From: scharf@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4f153f94.0303211830.16a79b2a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Any handheld scanners other than Alinco DJ-X10T and Yupiteru MVT-7300 that include 24 hour clock/timer?

I was looking for a small AM/FM/SW/WX radio, but they do not exist (only Radio Shack has anything close, and it's too big and SW bands are limited). So I thought instead I'd get a handheld scanner, but so far the only U.S. model I've found with the features I want is the Alinco DJ-X10T. A little expensive at $320. The Yupiteru isn't sold in the U.S. as far as I've seen.

I guess since the weather bands are U.S. only, most of the SW receivers don't bother to add that band, and most scanners don't include any sort of clock/timer.

919. Re: Live Iraq Satellite Feed on your PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 04:17:06 UTC
From: Road Warrior <rd@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <6tRea.6396$cj5.1887794@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 34685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

How come Iraqi Satellite TV repeats it's programming over and over again?

"Dave" <Dave4davesdeli@juno.com> wrote in message
news:3e7b502b@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "MXStreamPortal" <info@pi6alk.nl>
> Newsgroups: alt.news-media,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.gw-bush
> Sent: Friday, March 21, 2003 10:47 AM
> Subject: IRAQ satellite channel live on your PC
>
>
> clik here> www.mxstreamplanet.com
>
>
>

920. I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 04:39:50 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qOREa.18456$ja4.1244590@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34686

My husband finally went out and got my Radio Shack Pro-95!!! Yeah!!! I took one look at the manual, though, and I'm totally clueless! I was that way when he first got my shortwave radio, but I finally learned. I guess it just takes time. :)

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
~~~~~~~

921. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 05:11:54 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <ugSea.168216$eG2.22397@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 34687

Are blondes allowed to have scanners?

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:qORea.18456$ja4.1244590@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> My husband finally went out and got my Radio Shack Pro-95!!! Yeah!!! I
> took one look at the manual, though, and I'm totally clueless! I was that
> way when he first got my shortwave radio, but I finally learned. I guess
it
> just takes time. :)
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>
>
>

922. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 05:58:08 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3E7BFB70.AFFCF1D0@mitre.org>
Source record: 34688

Get the software and cable to program the PRO-95 via
computer and using this scanner will be easy. Also if you lose the data
or travel to other locations, memories can be loaded from computer files.
Here's a site that supports GRE/Radio Shack trunk trackers
<http://www.pro-92.com/>
another great site is <http://www.strongsignals.net/index.cgi>
Jim

Celt Chic wrote:

> My husband finally went out and got my Radio Shack Pro-95!!! Yeah!!! I
> took one look at the manual, though, and I'm totally clueless! I was that
> way when he first got my shortwave radio, but I finally learned. I guess it
> just takes time. :)
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

923. Best Price On Icom R2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 09:14:54 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iQVea.99428$jP2.17479551@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 34689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Where's the best price on a new Icom R2? Thanks!
DeWayne

924. More war tv stations live on your PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 09:35:50 UTC
From: MXStreamPortal <info@pi6alk.nl>
Message-ID: <3e7c2e8e$0$57468$1b62eedf@news.euronet.nl>
Source record: 34690

www.mxstreamplanet.com

New additions daily

925. Re: BC 245

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 12:46:10 UTC
From: Per Ek <perlek@telia.com>
Message-ID: <mWYea.1740$sJ3.6518@newsb.telia.net>
Source record: 34691

Hi!
Good luck, I think it's a great scanner, I also have a 780 and it's great
too.
The only problem it's the low battery capacity. Maybe I shall by a larger mA
pack.
/ 73 Per

"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> skrev i meddelandet
news:3E7BB90C.5060109@earthlink.net...
> Got my new BC 245 today. So far so good, think I am going to like it
> for traveling.
> I'll pickup a freq. book at RC or some store when I get down south Monday.
>

926. Re: Best Price On Icom R2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 13:22:03 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnb7opfl.vee.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 34692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 22 Mar 2003 09:14:54 GMT, DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:
> Where's the best price on a new Icom R2? Thanks!
> DeWayne
>
>

aesham.com - $99

927. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 13:23:57 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnb7opj7.vee.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 34693

- your husband's next job is to get you a copy of Police Call
for your region...

On Sat, 22 Mar 2003 04:39:50 GMT, Celt Chic
<internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:

> My husband finally went out and got my Radio Shack Pro-95!!! Yeah!!! I
> took one look at the manual, though, and I'm totally clueless! I was that
> way when he first got my shortwave radio, but I finally learned. I guess it
> just takes time. :)
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>
>
>

928. Two Radio Scanning Book for Sale on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 13:27:16 UTC
From: Pat Mikum <na@na.na>
Message-ID: <3E7C64B4.C1663DB9@na.na>
Source record: 34694

[1] International Air Band Radio Handbook: The Guide to World-Wide
AirTraffic Control by David J. Smith
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=29375&item=3508809659

[2] Radio Monitoring : The How-To Guide by T.J. Arey WB2GHA
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=29375&item=3508809896

Thank you for taking a look.

929. Re: software links for pro92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 13:30:02 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <not_for_mail@fake789.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.03.22.13.30.00.36712@fake789.invalid>
Source record: 34695
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My tk92 open source software will let you specify
something other than a COM port, but I don't know
if it will work with your USB adapter.

You can download tk92 (and other radio software) free
from http://parnass.com but I don't warrant the software.

On Sat, 22 Mar 2003 01:35:49 -0800, steve wrote:

> Can someone please help me find where to get a software program for pro
> 92? Here's what Iv'e got..I'm using a ti usb connectivity cable instead
> of a serial cable,...
--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

930. BC 245 Power

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 14:45:32 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E7C77A2.9060803@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34696

All right here is the problem,
I have two batteries for the BC245 and one charger AC and one charge DC/car.
I want to fix up another charger so I can charge the single pack while
using the other.
What I want is to buy or make a plug that will fit the little flat female
plug of the Nicad (1954-ACTV-PT-MQ--QP.

Also I would like to have a unit/adapter that will go from the cigar plug
to the battery while I am driving.
I hope some one has faced this problem before and will share.

Home 1
Burr
Ridgecrest, Ca 93555
USA
Long Wire
W117.4157
N35.3582

931. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000 bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 14:55:42 UTC
From: M3*** <happyraveruk@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b5hthe$2949j8$1@ID-155271.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34697
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry, but thats Alinco.

Que Paul, who will now tell you how good it is (despite the fact that everyone else thinks they are crap), he will insist it's an excellent unit, that battery life is excellent (lmfao), and try and convince himself that a radio for under £500 can be a good performer.

<haxtemp@btopenworld.com> wrote in message news:lbdn7v8ldqtrn1k7gldosd6ot9iut33n3s@4ax.com...
> Got a DJ-X2000 two days ago. Particularly interested in this make due
> to its alleged bug finding capabilities. Unfortunately while these
> look nice in the advertising blurb and instructions, they are not very
> good in practice, in my experience, unless there's something wrong
> with this particular unit.
>
> Suppose for the sake of this post that there is a transmitting radio
> bug somewhere in the tested location. We are trying to find it. The
> scanner provides a number of functions which are relevant to such
> activity.
>
> "Flash Tune / Frequency Counter" seems to be a dodo. Flash Tune sits
> for half an hour with CHECKING grinning all over its screen and
> doesn't actually tune into anything at all. Frequency Counter finds
> frequencies in the cellphone (UK) range, 901-908 particularly, so if
> there is no other local transmission perhaps that bit works.
>
> Transweeper is a disaster. It emits a constant loud tone (good), locks
> into a frequency and finds it all over my house at a distance of one
> meter. (It does this for numerous frequencies). It declares as "bug"
> frequencies normal broadcast FM and TV stations. It finds so many
> false positives (in VFO + PMS scans) that it is impossible to tell
> whether there any real positives at all.
>
> Does Transweeper only detect regular unencrypted audio bugs, or can it
> also find more sophisticated devices which use encoding or
> spread-spectrum/frequency hopping? That would be too much to expect?
>
> Field Strength Meter doesn't work either. Meter display mode doesn't
> show any RF signal at all, even when next to a cordless phone.
> Set-Level mode initially buzzes madly on level three for ten seconds,
> then even at level one cannot find any signals higher than that level,
> even when next to cordless phone.
>

> Is my unit jinxed, or are all these scanners the same way? This is not
> what I paid ?500 for.

932. Re: BC785D Weather Alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 15:00:21 UTC
From: Greg <Greg-don't-spam-me-@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <shuo7v4aekrencaeutp0sjptouhih7gdm4@4ax.com>
Source record: 34698

On Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:49:03 -0800, "deadeye"
<deadeye916NO_SPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Yes, the 785D has the info on pgs. 43-44. I have the codes programmed.
>My area did not receive an alert during the last storm so I don't know if it
>actually works or not...yet.
>
>What I would like to know is if the radio will receive the weather alert if
>the
>radio is performing normal scanning of bank frequencies?
>
>At my location I don't get many weather alerts so I have not been able to
>test this out myself. I was hoping someone in an area where alerts are more
>likely could help me out.

I haven't got my BC780xlt manual in front of my, but if I remember
correctly, the weather alerts only come through if you push the WX
button twice. It'll go into standby and only do anything if theres an
alert for your area.

It won't receive weather alerts in regular scanning mode.

933. Pro-2053 or Pro-2067 Scanner info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 15:46:19 UTC
From: Radio <gadgetgru@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3E7C854B.6010005@netscape.net>
Source record: 34699

Hi,
Just wondering if anyone could tell me which is a better radio between
the 2053 or 2067?
What are the differance? other than number of channels?
How does it compare to the BC-780?
I see the 2053 is quite a bit cheaper to purchase than the BC780
Does R.S. still sell the 2053? if so how $$$
I do not need Digital in my town..
Please e-mail me directly not to Ng.
Thanks very much for any info!

934. Warning - Please Share This!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 16:08:53 UTC
From: invalidsyntax@unknowndomain.com
Message-ID: <3e7c873c.4567122@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 34700

My sister-in-law, who is an ER nurse at the UCLA Medical Center, called me last night with the following warning. Please share it with anyone who might benefit.

A man who works for City of Los Angeles was using the toilet and decided to flush while he was still seated. This is a practice he had done numerous times as a courtesy to others using the restroom. He had entered the restroom immediately after the janitorial staff had cleaned it. When the man entered the restroom stall, he noticed that the janitor had left some toilet cleaner in the toilet. He did not think anything of it because the janitors always left some cleaner in the toilets to make the restroom smell fresh.

What the man didn't realize was that the cleaning solution in the toilet was an extremely caustic chemical, similar to drain cleaner, used to sanitize the toilet. The cleaning product instructions were printed on the container only in English, but the janitorial staff spoke and read only Spanish. Leaving the chemical in the toilet allowed more time for the cleaner to work, and the janitorial staff assumed that the person who used the restroom would flush the toilet before siting down.

When the man flushed to toilet while he was seated, a small amount of the cleaning product splashed on to his backside and rectal area. When he wiped, this spread the chemical. Unfortunately, the man was on his way to a meeting that lasted an hour or more. During the meeting he felt some burning sensation, but he attributed it to some spicy food he had eaten the night before and he though he had not wiped properly after using the toilet. By the time the meeting was over, the man was in great pain. He went to one of the restroom stalls and tried to inspect the area as best he could. He decided to go home, where his wife took a look. It was then that she saw his red, blistered skin. She immediately took him to the emergency room where he was treated for second degree chemical burns. Luckily the product has been partially diluted by the water in the toilet, otherwise the chemical would have eaten away his flesh.

My sister-in-law said this type of incident happens several time each year. She thinks there should be a law that business owners must post warnings in each stall not to flush the toilet while seated.

935. Re: Antenna Grounded?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 16:40:02 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <CuOcnZTUd8RePeGjXTWcqQ@ctc.net>
Source record: 34709

> Should a scanner antenna be grounded?
>
> If I install the mast on the roof it will not be grounded.
> If I install the mast up the side of the house it will be in
> contact with the ground.
>
> Does it matter if the antenna is grounded or not? How does
> grounding affect reception?
>
For reception it does not mater at all. If going to an outside antenna, you will want to ground it for lightning protection.

936. Uniden Bearcat BC200XLT 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 16:49:04 UTC
From: "Rodger N. Bird" <rbird2@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18e65e0e735eb6db9896d7@trialnews.peoplepc.com>
Source record: 34701

I have a Uniden Bearcat 200XLT handheld scanner (with cellular coverage) for sale. A few features listed below:

Channels 200, Banks 10
Coverage 29-54 118-174 406-512 806-956 MHz
Priority 10 channels, Delay, Lockout, Keypad Lock
Instant Weather
Display Light
Power: 12 volts
Size: 2.75(W) x 1.25(D) x 7.5(H) inches
Weight: 20 ounces

I have the antenna, case, 2 BP-200 rechargeable battery packs, wall charger/adapter, car charger.adapter, and a copy of the manual. I do not have the original box or original owners manual.

The 200XLT is in fair condition and works fine. Both batteries are good and hold a charge, one of the BP-200 batteries is brand new. There are some scratches on the case and display. The selling price of $80.00 includes shipping costs within the continental United States.

Please email me direct if you are interested. Do not reply to this message. My email address is: rbird2@peoplepc.com

Thank you,

Rodger

937. Re: Warning - Please Share This!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 16:53:43 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v7p589oim29630@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34702

Sounds like a national emergency alert situation to me...

<invalidsyntax@unknowndomain.com> wrote in message
news:3e7c873c.4567122@netnews.attbi.com...
> My sister-in-law, who is an ER nurse at the UCLA Medical Center,
> called me last night with the following warning. Please share it with
> anyone who might benefit.
>
> A man who works for City of Los Angeles was using the toilet and
> decided to flush while he was still seated. This is a practice he had
> done numerous times as a courtesy to others using the restroom. He
> had entered the restroom immediately after the janitorial staff had
> cleaned it. When the man entered the restroom stall, he noticed that
> the janitor had left some toilet cleaner in the toilet. He did not
> think anything of it because the janitors always left some cleaner in
> the toilets to make the restroom smell fresh.
>
> What the man didn't realize was that the cleaning solution in the
> toilet was an extremely caustic chemical, similar to drain cleaner,
> used to sanitize the toilet. The cleaning product instructions were
> printed on the container only in English, but the janitorial staff
> spoke and read only Spanish. Leaving the chemical in the toilet
> allowed more time for the cleaner to work, and the janitorial staff
> assumed that the person who used the restroom would flush the toilet
> before siting down.
>
> When the man flushed to toilet while he was seated, a small amount of
> the cleaning product splashed on to his backside and rectal area.
> When he wiped, this spread the chemical. Unfortunately, the man was
> on his way to a meeting that lasted an hour or more. During the
> meeting he felt some burning sensation, but he attributed it to some
> spicy food he had eaten the night before and he though he had not
> wiped properly after using the toilet. By the time the meeting was
> over, the man was in great pain. He went to one of the restroom
> stalls and tried to inspect the area as best he could. He decided to
> go home, where his wife took a look. It was then that she saw his
> red, blistered skin. She immediately took him to the emergency room
> where he was treated for second degree chemical burns. Luckily the
> product has been partially diluted by the water in the toilet,
> otherwise the chemical would have eaten away his flesh.
>
> My sister-in-law said this type of incident happens several time each
> year. She thinks there should be a law that business owners must post
> warnings in each stall not to flush the toilet while seated.

>

938. Re: More war tv stations live on your PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 17:11:01 UTC
From: KF6DGN <davidtork@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GO0fa.1540$fs4.302@fed1read01>
Source record: 34703

This looks like a great site. I can't get Sky news to work, but the rest
seem to be okay. Too much traffic on Sky, probably. Thanks for posting it.

David
"MXStreamPortal" <info@pi6alk.nl> wrote in message
news:3e7c2e8e$0$57468$1b62eedf@news.euronet.nl...
> www.mxstreamplanet.com
>
> New additions daily
>
>

939. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 17:13:38 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <6R0fa.178901$F1.7548@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 34704

TAKE IT EASY GUYS>
"Police call", "Computer cable". Gee such big manly words to use on a girl.
She'd be totally lost with out your help. She has no idea what a pro 95 is
or why she got it.
She'd never have guessed that it uses "frequencies" or a "computer".
Thanks for coming to her rescue!!

Your next job is to put "gas" in that new "automobile"

<bball@green.home.org> wrote in message
news:slrnb7opj7.vee.bball@green.home.org...
>
> - your husband's next job is to get you a copy of Police Call
> for your region...
>
>
> On Sat, 22 Mar 2003 04:39:50 GMT, Celt Chic
> <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > My husband finally went out and got my Radio Shack Pro-95!!! Yeah!!! I
> > took one look at the manual, though, and I'm totally clueless! I was
that
> > way when he first got my shortwave radio, but I finally learned. I
guess it
> > just takes time. :)
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

940. Re: Best Price On Icom R2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 17:23:44 UTC
From: RustY&#65533; <No-Mail>
Message-ID: <c363dd317637272f2be96347112635c1@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 34706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:iQVea.99428$jP2.17479551@twister.neo.rr.com...
> Where's the best price on a new Icom R2? Thanks!
> DeWayne

You will be lucky to find an R2 new at any price.
--
RustY

for Welsh Military Flying visit..... http://groups.yahoo.com/group/V-A-S/

941. Re: BC785D Weather Alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 17:25:03 UTC
From: deadeye <deadeye916NO_SPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <v7p79us7r8ai01@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34705

Not good news. Thanks for the reply.

"Greg" <Greg-don't-spam-me-@insightbb.com> wrote in message
news:shuo7v4aekrencaeutp0sjptouhih7gdm4@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 20 Mar 2003 21:49:03 -0800, "deadeye"
> <deadeye916NO_SPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Yes, the 785D has the info on pgs. 43-44. I have the codes programmed.
> >My area did not receive an alert during the last storm so I don't know if
it
> >actually works or not...yet.
> >
> >What I would like to know is if the radio will receive the weather alert
if
> >the
> >radio is performing normal scanning of bank frequencies?
> >
> >At my location I don't get many weather alerts so I have not been able to
> >test this out myself. I was hoping someone in an area where alerts are
more
> >likely could help me out.
>
>
> I haven't got my BC780xlt manual in front of my, but if I remember
> correctly, the weather alerts only come through if you push the WX
> button twice. It'll go into standby and only do anything if theres an
> alert for your area.
>
> It won't receive weather alerts in regular scanning mode.

942. Antenna Grounded?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 17:28:04 UTC
From: deadeye <deadeye916NO_SPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <v7p7f05cl25347@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34708

Should a scanner antenna be grounded?

If I install the mast on the roof it will not be grounded.
If I install the mast up the side of the house it will be in
contact with the ground.

Does it matter if the antenna is grounded or not? How does
grounding affect reception?

943. Re: Warning - Please Share This!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 17:30:09 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.org>
Message-ID: <B41fa.78513$iq1.27254@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34707

invalidsyntax@unknowndomain.com wrote:
> My sister-in-law, who is an ER nurse at the UCLA Medical Center,
> called me last night with the following warning. Please share it with
> anyone who might benefit.
>
[much deleted]
> My sister-in-law said this type of incident happens several time each
> year. She thinks there should be a law that business owners must post
> warnings in each stall not to flush the toilet while seated.

Sorry, but you're not even close to being in the same league as Emilio
Goldstein.

--
Mark J...

"...The enemy is at the gates. In fact his name
may even be Gates." - Red Green

944. Re: Antenna Grounded?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 17:46:50 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E7CA1AE.4070804@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34710

You need to gnd it where ever you put it. Get a protector and install it on the line.

945. FS Request list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 18:10:19 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <b5i8uj$30bj$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 34711

FS. Scanner crystals and more. Please request list.

--
Lou/Tech@DP.NET

946. Re: Warning - Please Share This!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 18:19:11 UTC
From: Frank <khlpfedvqp@gxcdywgikikjdvpx.com>
Message-ID: <01c2f09f$8440a2d0$0125250a@gxcdywgikikjdvpx>
Source record: 34712

^ news:3e7c873c.4567122@netnews.attbi.com...
^ > A man who works for City of Los Angeles was using the toilet and
^ > decided to flush while he was still seated.

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <v7p589oim29630@corp.supernews.com>...
^ Sounds like a national emergency alert situation to me...
^ ... his wife took a look. It was then that she saw his
> red, blistered skin.

I heard about it on weather alert radio. It was a Red alert.

Frank

947. Re: Antenna Grounded?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 20:34:27 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <nN3fa.14571$pK4.1279621@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 34713

Get that antenna as high in the air as possible. Dont worry about grounding the radio, and ground the antenna mast if you are in an area that gets lots of lightning. I live in the west where Tstorms are rare and do not bother grounding the masts. When Tstorms do show up, I diconnect everything. Have fun!

--
Dave/N7MFR
TS-570, FT-101, Drake 2B,
HT-37, Pro 2053, Pro 2046
VX5R

"deadeye" <deadeye916NO_SPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:v7p7f05cl25347@corp.supernews.com...
> Should a scanner antenna be grounded?
>
> If I install the mast on the roof it will not be grounded.
> If I install the mast up the side of the house it will be in
> contact with the ground.
>
> Does it matter if the antenna is grounded or not? How does
> grounding affect reception?
>
>

948. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 21:59:06 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <K05fa.19358$ja4.1331478@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34714

Hehehe.... They can put gas in it if they want to, I guess. ;) Anyway, it's definitely not a new automobile. It's a rugged jeep! But they can still put gas in it!

No, seriously. Thanks for all the help. My husband bought me a CD called Police Call that was on clearance for $4.97 at Radio Shack. I realized later why it was so cheap, it was copyrighted in 2000! I guess that's better than paying $19.99 for the book, especially since I'm new at this. I will admit it took me a while to learn the whole shortwave radio thing, but my goodness, the manual to the scanner is Greek to me! And I will say I'm an intelligent girl, I have a degree in mathematics with a minor in computer science, and that manual is still way above me! I played with the scanner until 3:00am this morning and I think that by just playing with it I am learning a lot more than reading the manual, but I still should read it so I don't miss out on any thing. I would like some new frequencies. I tried to input the frequencies for my old high school and college, but they apparently are now towing services! If anyone has new frequencies for the Louisville, KY area, Clarksville, IN, New Albany, IN, Jeffersonville, IN and Floyds Knobs, IN, I'd be thankful! (AmeriChicken may be right....I do have lots of requests...)

Someday I plan on getting a cord that will go into my computer because programming it by hand is proving to take a lot of time! Are they expensive?

Thanks,

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message news:6R0fa.178901$F1.7548@sccrnsc04...
> TAKE IT EASY GUYS>
> "Police call", "Computer cable". Gee such big manly words to use on a girl.
> She'd be totally lost with out your help. She has no idea what a pro 95 is
> or why she got it.
> She'd never have guessed that it uses "frequencies" or a "computer".
> Thanks for coming to her rescue!!
>
~~~~~~~

> Your next job is to put "gas" in that new "automobile"
>
>
> <bball@green.home.org> wrote in message
> news:slrnb7opj7.vee.bball@green.home.org...
> >
> > - your husband's next job is to get you a copy of Police Call
> > for your region...
> >
> >
> > On Sat, 22 Mar 2003 04:39:50 GMT, Celt Chic
> > <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > My husband finally went out and got my Radio Shack Pro-95!!! Yeah!!!
I
> > > took one look at the manual, though, and I'm totally clueless! I was
> that
> > > way when he first got my shortwave radio, but I finally learned. I
> guess it
> > > just takes time. :)
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

949. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 22:00:27 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <%15fa.19359$ja4.1328963@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34715

I guess as long as I don't get pulled over with it, we are!

Speaking of which, the guy at Radio Shack said I am allowed to take it with me as long as I have a Ham Operator's license. Is that true? Does anyone know about the rules for my area? I live in Clarksville, IN right across the river from Louisville, KY.

Thanks,

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:ugSea.168216$eG2.22397@sccrnsc03...
> Are blondes allowed to have scanners?
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:qORea.18456$ja4.1244590@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > My husband finally went out and got my Radio Shack Pro-95!!! Yeah!!! I
> > took one look at the manual, though, and I'm totally clueless! I was
that
> > way when he first got my shortwave radio, but I finally learned. I
guess
> it
> > just takes time. :)
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
~~~~~~~

950. WOW What A Crazy Radio Guy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 22:16:51 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <yh5fa.6763$IQ4.1390277@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 34716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Washington Whispers"
Monitoring Times® March 2003

Fugitive Radio Operator Arrested in NC

Steve Anderson, 55, of Somerset, Kentucky, a right-wing extremist pirate radio broadcaster and former member of the Kentucky State Militia, was arrested in southwestern North Carolina after eluding authorities for over a year. He previously held the Extra Class AA8DP Amateur Radio call sign.

A tip received following a November 2nd Fox television episode of America's Most Wanted and forwarded to federal officials led to his arrest. It was the second time that the show had featured Anderson, an alleged racist and anti-Semite accused of talking about killing federal law enforcement officials on the unlicensed radio station he operated from his home. (See April 2002 MT for background story.) Fox-TV first covered the case in April 2002.

Anderson is the former operator of the clandestine KSMR (Kentucky State Militia Radio) and a man on the FBI's most wanted list. He was arrested by officers of the Federal Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms and the North Carolina State Bureau of Investigation.

Anderson is accused of shooting an automatic weapon at an off-duty Bell County sheriff's deputy on October 14, 2001; the deputy had pulled Anderson's truck over for driving his pickup with broken tail lights. The officer saw a magazine to a gun in his cup holder and asked if he had any weapons. At that point, according to authorities, Anderson stepped out of his vehicle and opened fire with an AK-47 at the officer and his patrol car. The officer was unharmed although his cruiser was struck about 25 times.

Police said Anderson then drove his 4-wheel-drive Kentucky Militia truck into the Eastern Kentucky mountains. When authorities found the truck abandoned the next day, they discovered a pipe bomb and ammunition in it. A search of his home uncovered a huge arsenal of explosives, ammunition and guns. He was indicted on federal weapons charges after his extradition back to Kentucky.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.463 / Virus Database: 262 - Release Date: 17/03/2003

951. Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 23:00:31 UTC
From: Swampthing <Swampthing@mac.com>
Message-ID: <220320031800312164%Swampthing@mac.com>
Source record: 34717
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Watching all those interesting commercials for hands-free operation of cell phones these days makes me wonder. They tell you to just tune into a certain station on your FM dial, and you'll hear your conversation perfectly... If that is the case, shouldn't it also be easy for a scanner to pick up that same FM signal? Has anyone tried this? Any idea what freqs are they using?

--
Swampthing

Computer: Apple iMac (G4 800MHz w/SuperDrive), MacOS X (10.2.4)
Scanner: Radio Shack Dual Trunking PRO-95

Visit the Lair of the Swampthing at http://www.speakeasy.org/~swmpthng
& The Stony Man Farm at http://www.speakeasy.org/~swmpthng/StonyMan.html
---

952. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 23:32:21 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kp6fa.6777$IQ4.1401768@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 34719
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

HEH!

i was thinking the same.

most likely you could buuut.. you'd have to be in a pretty well populated
area in order to hear a good number of conversations per day.

i would assume the transmitting range would be short.
the same goes for the number of which the signal is sent out. for example.
if a local station uses 87.7 (which is a frequency advertised for these
device[s]), wouldn't you theortically be listening to britney spears instead
of joe blow yammer to his wife?
or perhaps it would override the signal? i really am not sure.

"Swampthing" <Swampthing@mac.com> wrote in message
news:220320031800312164%Swampthing@mac.com...
>
> Watching all those interesting commercials for hands-free operation of
> cell phones these days makes me wonder. They tell you to just tune
> into a certain station on your FM dial, and you'll hear your
> conversation perfectly... If that is the case, shouldn't it also be
> easy for a scanner to pick up that same FM signal? Has anyone tried
> this? Any idea what freqs are they using?
>
> --
> Swampthing
>
> --
-
> Computer: Apple iMac (G4 800MHz w/SuperDrive), MacOS X (10.2.4)
> Scanner: Radio Shack Dual Trunking PRO-95
>
> Visit the Lair of the Swampthing at http://www.speakeasy.org/~swmpthng
> & The Stony Man Farm at http://www.speakeasy.org/~swmpthng/StonyMan.html
> --
--

953. Re: WOW What A Crazy Radio Guy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-22 23:37:10 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Rt6fa.6779$lQ4.1402073@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 34720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

TAKE IT TO THE EXTREMEEEEE

well not that extreme

"Radio Demon" <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
news:yh5fa.6763$lQ4.1390277@news20.bellglobal.com...
> "Washington Whispers"
> Monitoring Times March 2003
>
>
>
>
>
> Fugitive Radio Operator Arrested in NC
>
>
> Steve Anderson, 55, of Somerset, Kentucky, a right-wing
> extremist pirate radio broadcaster and former member of the Kentucky State
> Militia, was arrested in southwestern North Carolina after eluding
> authorities for over a year. He previously held the Extra Class AA8DP
> Amateur Radio call sign.
>
> A tip received following a November 2nd Fox television episode
> of America's Most Wanted and forwarded to federal officials led to his
> arrest. It was the second time that the show had featured Anderson, an
> alleged racist and anti-Semite accused of talking about killing federal
law
> enforcement officials on the unlicensed radio station he operated from his
> home. (See April 2002 MT for background story.) Fox-TV first covered the
> case in April 2002.
>
> Anderson is the former operator of the clandestine KSMR
> (Kentucky State Militia Radio) and a man on the FBI's most wanted list. He
> was arrested by officers of the Federal Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and
> Firearms and the North Carolina State Bureau of Investigation.
>
> Anderson is accused of shooting an automatic weapon at an
> off-duty Bell County sheriff's deputy on October 14, 2001; the deputy had
> pulled Anderson's truck over for driving his pickup with broken tail
lights.
> The officer saw a magazine to a gun in his cup holder and asked if he had
> any weapons. At that point, according to authorities, Anderson stepped out
> of his vehicle and opened fire with an AK-47 at the officer and his patrol

> car. The officer was unharmed although his cruiser was struck about 25
> times.
>
> Police said Anderson then drove his 4-wheel-drive Kentucky
> Militia truck into the Eastern Kentucky mountains. When authorities found
> the truck abandoned the next day, they discovered a pipe bomb and
ammunition
> in it. A search of his home uncovered a huge arsenal of explosives,
> ammunition and guns. He was indicted on federal weapons charges after his
> extradition back to Kentucky.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.463 / Virus Database: 262 - Release Date: 17/03/2003
>
>

954. Re: Antenna Grounded?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 00:02:37 UTC
From: deadeye <deadeye916NO_SPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <v7puqodbrpe5ba@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34721

Thanks all...I appreciate the advice.

If I move the antenna up to the roof I will run a gnd wire.

"N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:nN3fa.14571$pK4.1279621@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Get that antenna as high in the air as possible. Dont worry about
grounding
> the radio, and ground the antenna mast if you are in an area that gets
lots
> of lightning. I live in the west where Tstorms are rare and do not bother
> grounding the masts. When Tstorms do show up, I diconnect everything. Have
> fun!
>
>
> --
> Dave/N7MFR
> TS-570, FT-101, Drake 2B,
> HT-37, Pro 2053, Pro 2046
> VX5R
>
>
> "deadeye" <deadeye916NO_SPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:v7p7f05cl25347@corp.supernews.com...
> > Should a scanner antenna be grounded?
> >
> > If I install the mast on the roof it will not be grounded.
> > If I install the mast up the side of the house it will be in
> > contact with the ground.
> >
> > Does it matter if the antenna is grounded or not? How does
> > grounding affect reception?
> >
> >
>
>

955. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 01:30:26 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <usenet@endymionsystems.com>
Message-ID: <-tSdnaH4Qp6XkuCjXTWcrg@psknet.com>
Source record: 34722

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:K05fa.19358$ja4.1331478@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Someday I plan on getting a cord that will go into my computer because
> programming it by hand is proving to take a lot of time! Are they
> expensive?

Nope. $23 at www.pro95.com (BlackBag Software). I ordered mine in January, and it works flawlessly.

The free computer software is at http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win95/default.asp .

An easier-to-read manual in HTML: http://marksscanners.home.att.net/95-1.html .

Answers to frequently asked questions: http://www.equisport.com/cgi-bin/pro93_95/faqmanager.cgi .

The online database of FCC frequency allocations is at http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm . This will let you search for frequencies in your area. It's the same information that you'll find on the CD-ROM that comes with Police Call 2002.

To find trunked radio listings, you'll definitely want to visit L. C. Blanton's excellent trunked radio site at http://www.trunkedradio.net/ .

A nice accessory for your scanner is a pair of headphones. I bought a pair of KOSS SpeedZone monophonic headphones a few years ago, and they are great for listening when in public places (but see below), or to help you hear noisy or faint signals.

Earlier, you wrote:

> the guy at Radio Shack said I am allowed to take it with me [in the car] as long as I have a Ham Operator's license. Is that true? Does anyone know about the rules for my area? I live in Clarksville, IN right across the river from Louisville, KY.

Visit http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/index.html . It says that legislation was passed in Indiana in 2002 that makes it a Class B Misdemeanor to use a scanner -anywhere, at any time- unless you meet one of several exemptions. Some of these are:

a. Get permission from "written permission from the chief executive officer of a law enforcement agency".

b. Be "a person who holds an amateur radio license issued by the Federal Communications Commission if the person is not transmitting over a frequency assigned for police emergency purposes".

c. Use the scanner "only in the person's dwelling or place of business".

The same site says that similar restrictions are in effect in Kentucky.

-- Wayne (PRO-95 owner)

956. VR 500 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 01:54:15 UTC
From: dk <dkhong@po.jaring.my>
Message-ID: <jt4q7v4lp5h6i00dkva5a70qtiqbjaqeks@4ax.com>
Source record: 34723

Hi all.

Does anyone know how or where I can get a copy of the Yaesu VR 500
owner's manual? I somehow misplaced it. Don't ask me how I did that!

Thaks in advance.

957. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 01:59:44 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <26a0f230.0303221759.87f17f7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34724

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<%15fa.19359$ja4.1328963@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...

> Does anyone
> know about the rules for my area? I live in Clarksville, IN right across
> the river from Louisville, KY.

I thought Indiana had some weird laws prohibiting mobile use of a
scanner. Try this page:
http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

958. Re: Warning - Please Share This!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 02:15:20 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <26a0f230.0303221815.60a9ef19@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34725

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:<v7p589oim29630@corp.supernews.com>...

> Sounds like a national emergency alert situation to me...

Code brown.

959. need help picking a handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 02:32:24 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <UdecncEal6g0geCjXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 34726

Looking for an inexpensive handheld scanner.
I need 800MHZ and would like it to get FM radio.
Would prefer a Uniden but a RS unit will be ok.
any idea's? I am having trouble finding one the receives fm radio.
thanks
--
Anthony

960. Iraq War update: RAF Fairfield B-52 Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 02:45:10 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E7D1FB6.5010000@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34727

For those who live in the UK I've posted a current list of Fairfield RAF's UHF/VHF frequencies. These were current as of Feb. 2003. The list can be found at http://www.milaircomms.com/war_iraq.html

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

961. Re: Iraq War update: RAF Fairfield B-52 Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 03:34:49 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E7D2C9C.5080605@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34728

George,
You do a good job.

Thank You

Burr

962. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 04:07:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E7D330B.50804@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 34735
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Harding wrote:
>
>
> You don't even know if I'm liberal or not -

Well let's see, every liberal I have ever known was stupid, you
seem to be stupid, so good chance you are a liberal.

963. Re: Chunks of frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 04:22:28 UTC
From: sean <singram@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <SEafa.58443$NV.1330867@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 34729

With the scanner on,
Press Manual
enter the lowest range 118.0
<press down arrow.
enter 136.0
<press up arrow>
press 'search'

That should be the correct sequence as I remember on my 235XLT TrunkTracker.

"Stefan Woroch" <sworoch@interbaun.com> wrote in message
news:v6fpejls3n5o29@corp.supernews.com...
> While the radio is on, press the "slct" button. Enter the first number
(in
> your case 136 then E) then enter the second number (ie. 137 then E).
After
> that is entered, press "srch"
>
> Hope that helps.
>
> "Jason" <jfalgout2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1632d1bf.0303011426.375247d9@posting.google.com...
> > I have a Uniden Bearcat BC60XLT
> > Is there a way to just scan a big chunk of frequencies? Like 136 -
> > 137 MHz or something like that?
>
>

964. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 04:22:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E7D369E.9010009@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 34736
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Wayne N. wrote:
> Gee - do these people remind you of vietnam
> peace demonstrations? If they really want to do the world a service, then
> they need to make their peace case to the tyrant.

These stupid liberal anti-war demonstrator fools don't realize
that they are playing right into the hands of Saddam and aiding
his cause. Every time he sees them on television he is laughing
and applauding there efforts for helping his cause.
They also don't realize the jeopardy they are possibly placing
themselves and others. All these crowds of demonstrators marching
down a street could be a prime target for some terrorists. Our
police and other law enforcement agencies are stretched to the
limit trying to defend against any possible terrorist attack, and
the last thing they need to be doing is trying to protect these
misguided idiot demonstrators.
They keep yelling and screaming about all the lives that will be
lost because of the war, they haven't the brains to figure out
that if Saddam and his sadistic sons are taken from power that
tens of thousands of lives will be saved from his torture chambers.
I am in full agreement that anyone can be for or oppose to the
war, but these stupid demonstrations by these simple minded
dumbbells are doing much more harm than good and I am sure Saddam
thanks them for their contribution to his cause.

965. Re: Warning - Please Share This!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 04:36:08 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030322233608.07060.00000240@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 34730

>My sister-in-law, who is an ER nurse at the UCLA Medical Center,
>called me last night with the following warning. Please share it with
>anyone who might benefit.

Was this information broadcast on a scanner? If not, WE DON'T CARE!

Post it someplace appropriate. Don't SPAM the newsgroups.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

966. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 04:37:48 UTC
From: bikertech <easyrider@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <wSafa.279566$na.17900217@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 34731
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Gentlemen, after reading all of these messages I think you both have lost sight of what "America" really is. In the societies of North America we can all say whats on our minds and live to see another day. We have all lived our whole live with freedom of speak and movement. We all take the right of our "Freedom" for granit, but the Iraqi people have no such idea what "freedom" is. Living in the kind of terror these people live with every day is not something we can comprehend. Knowing that your life could come to a very horrific end at any moment is beyond the meaning of having a "stressfull day". While we all sit around perpetuating idile conversation of the pro's and con's on this war, such as the UN has done for the last 12 years, thousands of Iraqi people have died at the hands of this tyrant. The UN is nothing more than a bunch of politicains sitting around making excuses and threats against each others and their countries. Have you even know two politcians to agree on anything? Well, fill a room full of them and do you honestly thing you could get a decision on where you should have lunch let alone save a country and who knows how many lives.
This war is about lives and people, theirs (Iraqi's) and ours. We could site around and discuss this till the fires of hell freeze, but the bottom line here is "freedom" and for that we would all lay down our lives.
There is an old saying, "you can please some of the people some of the time, but not all of the people all of the time."

Have a good weekend gentlemen.
And yes, I'm a Canadian and I believe Canada should be supporting and participating. But then the whimpy ass liberals who are in power don't agree with me either.
73 VE7AGW

"Harding" <harding@harding.com> wrote in message
news:Mjuda.121571$L1.17591@sccrnsc02...
> Many of you make excellent cases for war. But when you call those opposed
> to your point of view "unamerican", it just shows that you don't get it!
>
> Calling those people opposed to your point of view "unamerican" is as
> unamerican as you are capable of getting.
>
> It makes people skeptical of you, your argument, and your argument for war
> because people can sense that you arent; using good sense.
>
>
> "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'

> >
> > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > North Korea is too risky,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > So to hell with the inspections,
> > Let's look tough for the elections,
> > Close your mind and take directions,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > And that's all the proof we need,
> > If they're not there, they must be,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > With the weapons that he had,
> > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> > Disagree? We'll call it treason,
> > Let's make war not love this season,
> > Even if we have no reason,
> > Bomb Iraq.
> >
> > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > Bomb Iraq
> >
> > :)
> > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S
> NEXT?
> >
> >
>
>

967. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 04:40:49 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030322234049.07060.00000241@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 34732

I have a feeling that these devices have very very low output, but if you tune to whatever frequency these things say to tune to as you drive to work (I think it's at the bottom of the broadcast FM band), it is very possible you will hear some cell phones.

These devices probably fall under the low power broadcast band FM device class, which allows unlicensed broadcasting in the typical FM broadcast band at very low powers. The kits are available online in many places.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

968. Re: Warning Santa Barbara Thief!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 04:47:49 UTC
From: paul_thomas <ibe@yodoor.com>
Message-ID: <C0bfa.58446$NV.1330703@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 34733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Write his name and the phone number on the restroom walls at a Truck Stop or
Klan Mart, or Wally World, or Bar.
"I Looking for a REAL GOOD TIME!!
(Jeff Graham, Jeff Kydd, Gabe Mariscal) at 805-451-3042
365/24/7
I am The "Founder Of Manly Love"
I may Be blind but I can suck some serious COCK!!!

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:tR3ea.90561$jP2.14595014@twister.neo.rr.com...
> There is a thief who lives in Santa Barbara, CA. He/she tries to make
> 'trades' for radio equipment. He has advertised a Pro 2006, Pro 43, Icom
> R75, and some others for trade. He also said he was blind. I am not the
only
> one he has stolen from. He has used the names Jeff Kydd, Gabe Mariscal,
and
> Jeff Graham. Here is the info he used with me:
>
> Jeff Graham
> 1120 Laguna ST
> #14
> Santa Barbara
> CA
> 93101
>
> Phone 805-451-3042
>
> Hope this keep someone else from getting burned!
> DeWayne K9KZ
>
>

969. FA: Pro-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 05:48:11 UTC
From: Bob <rareeder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E7D4B19.5050702@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34734
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have my spare Pro-93 available on eBay.
Works like new. Minor signs of use.
Complete in original box with booklets, both battery holders and antenna.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3014813514&rd=1

Bob

970. Re: VR 500 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 06:26:16 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <not_for_mail@fake789.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.03.23.06.26.14.504038@fake789.invalid>
Source record: 34737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Join the Yahoo VR-500 group. Then you
can download this file:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/VR-500/files/vr_500.pdf

On Sun, 23 Mar 2003 09:54:15 +0800, dk wrote:

> Does anyone know how or where I can get a copy of the Yaesu VR 500 owner's
> manual? I somehow misplaced it. Don't ask me how I did that!

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

971. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 06:57:58 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <WVcfa.210772$sf5.123453@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34738
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

When you use things, you manually tune to a freq that is not in use in your area. You can pick any freq on the FM dial. You just look for a clear spot.

"Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:kp6fa.6777$lQ4.1401768@news20.bellglobal.com...
> HEH!
>
> i was thinking the same.
>
> most likely you could buuut.. you'd have to be in a pretty well populated
> area in order to hear a good number of conversations per day.
>
> i would assume the transmitting range would be short.
> the same goes for the number of which the signal is sent out. for example.
> if a local station uses 87.7 (which is a frequency advertised for these
> device[s]), wouldn't you theortically be listening to britney spears
instead
> of joe blow yammer to his wife?
> or perhaps it would override the signal? i really am not sure.
>
> "Swampthing" <Swampthing@mac.com> wrote in message
> news:220320031800312164%Swampthing@mac.com...
> >
> > Watching all those interesting commercials for hands-free operation of
> > cell phones these days makes me wonder. They tell you to just tune
> > into a certain station on your FM dial, and you'll hear your
> > conversation perfectly... If that is the case, shouldn't it also be
> > easy for a scanner to pick up that same FM signal? Has anyone tried
> > this? Any idea what freqs are they using?
> >
> > --
> > Swampthing
> >
>
> ---
> -
> > Computer: Apple iMac (G4 800MHz w/SuperDrive), MacOS X (10.2.4)
> > Scanner: Radio Shack Dual Trunking PRO-95
> >
> > Visit the Lair of the Swampthing at http://www.speakeasy.org/~swmpthng
> > & The Stony Man Farm at http://www.speakeasy.org/~swmpthng/StonyMan.html
>
> ---

> --
>
>

972. Re: Iraq War update: RAF Fairfield B-52 Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 09:01:18 UTC
From: "Troy R." <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3e7d77b9$0$5551$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 34739

The airfield name is Fairford.

"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E7D2C9C.5080605@earthlink.net...
> George,
> You do a good job.
>
> Thank You
>
> Burr
>
>

973. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000 bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 09:51:08 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <b5k02c$2a7stf$1@ID-172472.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34740
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

not only i think its good many people on the DJ-X2000 think its good

what are you trying to do with the transweeper,most people dont use that,how many members of the public are bugged??????

but you can turn down the volume to get rid of the loud ear piercing noise.

the Flash tune however is much more useful,not as good as a normal counter/reaction tune,it is very much more nearfield,but it does work.

i used again succesfeully outside the Grosvenor Hotel in London,security out front transmitted on there radio,i had a little diamond stubby on the Alinco,and straight away 460.100 went into the Alinco,which i paired up with 453.600 the actual repeater output.

audio could be better,but on listening to an AOR8200 recently it appeared about the same,not only that the sensitivity was equal,the one that beat both on senstivity on uhf and vhf was the Icom R5,.

if when programming make sure you program in freq order as this really does make a differance on this set.

as for batter pack,supplied pack gave me 7 hours yesterday,of constant use with battery save on short setting,but you can imagine the amount of freqs i was scanning in central London.

btw sometimes the flash tune/counter does read wrong,but no one should use a counter at all,if they dont know there bands and freq splits,so if a freq registers at 481 Mhz you should know its wrong,if it does register wrong i move position/change aerials.

--
--
Paul Wey
PROMA1
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

due to current world circumstances all files are request only from myself for main proma files sent to your inbox monthly join

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promafiles
For good prices on scanners go to

Stewart Aviation

http://www.stewart-aviation.co.uk/rs/index.html
"M3***" <happyraveruk@yahoo.com> wrote in message

news:b5hthe$2949j8$1@ID-155271.news.dfncis.de...
> Sorry, but thats Alinco.
>
> Que Paul, who will now tell you how good it is (despite the fact that
> everyone else thinks they are crap), he will insist it's an excellent
unit,
> that battery life is excellent (lmfao), and try and convince himself that
a
> radio for under £500 can be a good performer.
>
>
>
> <haxtemp@btopenworld.com> wrote in message
> news:lbdn7v8ldqtrn1k7gldosd6ot9iut33n3s@4ax.com...
> > Got a DJ-X2000 two days ago. Particularly interested in this make due
> > to its alleged bug finding capabilities. Unfortunately while these
> > look nice in the advertising blurb and instructions, they are not very
> > good in practice, in my experience, unless there's something wrong
> > with this particular unit.
> >
> > Suppose for the sake of this post that there is a transmitting radio
> > bug somewhere in the tested location. We are trying to find it. The
> > scanner provides a number of functions which are relevant to such
> > activity.
> >
> > "Flash Tune / Frequency Counter" seems to be a dodo. Flash Tune sits
> > for half an hour with CHECKING grinning all over its screen and
> > doesn't actually tune into anything at all. Frequency Counter finds
> > frequencies in the cellphone (UK) range, 901-908 particularly, so if
> > there is no other local transmission perhaps that bit works.
> >
> > Transweeper is a disaster. It emits a constant loud tone (good), locks
> > into a frequency and finds it all over my house at a distance of one
> > meter. (It does this for numerous frequencies). It declares as "bug"
> > frequencies normal broadcast FM and TV stations. It finds so many
> > false positives (in VFO + PMS scans) that it is impossible to tell
> > whether there any real positives at all.
> >
> > Does Transweeper only detect regular unencrypted audio bugs, or can it
> > also find more sophisticated devices which use encoding or
> > spread-spectrum/frequency hopping? That would be too much to expect?
> >
> > Field Strength Meter doesn't work either. Meter display mode doesn't
> > show any RF signal at all, even when next to a cordless phone.
> > Set-Level mode initially buzzes madly on level three for ten seconds,
> > then even at level one cannot find any signals higher than that level,
> > even when next to cordless phone.
> >
> > Is my unit jinxed, or are all these scanners the same way? This is not

> > what I paid ?500 for.
>
>

974. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000 bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 10:33:04 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <b5k2gs$2a93qc$1@ID-172472.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34741
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

there are plenty of good radios for under £500,as all other handhelds are
under £500 i take it you dont rate any of them,the fact is most people will
use a handy for conveniance,but so far no one had produced one with all the
features,i would like,but the DJ-X2000 is the nearest i have found,then
followed by Icoms R2 or R5.

but all will have problems in various areas,but with wideband scanners then
thats only to be expected.

--
--
Paul Wey
PROMA1
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

due to current world circumstances all files are request only from myself
for main proma files sent to your inbox monthly join

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promafiles
For good prices on scanners go to

Stewart Aviation

http://www.stewart-aviation.co.uk/rs/index.html
"M3***" <happyraveruk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b5hthe$2949j8$1@ID-155271.news.dfncis.de...
> Sorry, but thats Alinco.
>
> Que Paul, who will now tell you how good it is (despite the fact that
> everyone else thinks they are crap), he will insist it's an excellent
unit,
> that battery life is excellent (lmfao), and try and convince himself that
a
> radio for under £500 can be a good performer.
>
>
>
> <haxtemp@btopenworld.com> wrote in message
> news:lbdn7v8ldqtrn1k7gldosd6ot9iut33n3s@4ax.com...
> > Got a DJ-X2000 two days ago. Particularly interested in this make due
> > to its alleged bug finding capabilities. Unfortunately while these
> > look nice in the advertising blurb and instructions, they are not very
> > good in practice, in my experience, unless there's something wrong
> > with this particular unit.

> >
> > Suppose for the sake of this post that there is a transmitting radio
> > bug somewhere in the tested location. We are trying to find it. The
> > scanner provides a number of functions which are relevant to such
> > activity.
> >
> > "Flash Tune / Frequency Counter" seems to be a dodo. Flash Tune sits
> > for half an hour with CHECKING grinning all over its screen and
> > doesn't actually tune into anything at all. Frequency Counter finds
> > frequencies in the cellphone (UK) range, 901-908 particularly, so if
> > there is no other local transmission perhaps that bit works.
> >
> > Transweeper is a disaster. It emits a constant loud tone (good), locks
> > into a frequency and finds it all over my house at a distance of one
> > meter. (It does this for numerous frequencies). It declares as "bug"
> > frequencies normal broadcast FM and TV stations. It finds so many
> > false positives (in VFO + PMS scans) that it is impossible to tell
> > whether there any real positives at all.
> >
> > Does Transweeper only detect regular unencrypted audio bugs, or can it
> > also find more sophisticated devices which use encoding or
> > spread-spectrum/frequency hopping? That would be too much to expect?
> >
> > Field Strength Meter doesn't work either. Meter display mode doesn't
> > show any RF signal at all, even when next to a cordless phone.
> > Set-Level mode initially buzzes madly on level three for ten seconds,
> > then even at level one cannot find any signals higher than that level,
> > even when next to cordless phone.
> >
> > Is my unit jinxed, or are all these scanners the same way? This is not
> > what I paid ?500 for.
>
>

975. Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 10:47:58 UTC
From: geo_jackson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <c21a06bc.0303230247.67675be3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've been thinking of importing an Icom R5. Anyone else done this?
Heard of any problems? Thanks!

976. FS: 80CH UHF PORTABLES & EXTRA'S!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 11:14:22 UTC
From: David & Daphne Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <ql5r7vc8kjc5lehvfnrm30ihhumo6b2oau@4ax.com>
Source record: 34718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

(2) Midland Model 70-255B 80ch *UHF* SCAN 2/5watt 450-470mhz, (2) Model 70-C06 Rapid Chargers, (2) Leather Cases with belt-loop design, (2) Model 70-M188 Lapel Spkr-mics with clip on back, (1) Model 70-C14 -DC Mobile Drop-In Radio/Battery charger, (4total) 70-B34 High Capacity Batteries 7.5v @ 1800mha!! (2) NEW-IN BOX, (2) Used approx. 40hrs, (2) stubby UHF antennas.

All items are in excellent to perfect condition rates 9-10 or better. Org. boxes and instructions for chargers. Radios are "FULLY PROGRAMABLE" and include options like a back-lit display and priority function. Full spec sheet included. Radios are fully functional "except" the DTMF pad of which is only decorative cover. Ch's 1-3 are on repeater freqs while Ch 4-11 are on "talk-around" or "simplex" freqs. The 12v mobile car charger is a bonus and radio/batt can be charged. Multi-digital pics avail. Perfect for hunting, fishing, security and many other applications. $300USD + ship

977. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000 bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 11:16:10 UTC
From: M3*** <happyraveruk@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b5k51o$29e9po$1@ID-155271.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34743
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

told you so

"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:b5k2gs$2a93qc$1@ID-172472.news.dfncis.de...
> there are plenty of good radios for under £500,as all other handhelds are
> under £500 i take it you dont rate any of them,the fact is most people
will
> use a handy for conveniance,but so far no one had produced one with all
the
> features,i would like,but the DJ-X2000 is the nearest i have found,then
> followed by Icoms R2 or R5.
>
> but all will have problems in various areas,but with wideband scanners
then
> thats only to be expected.
>
>
>
> --
> --
> Paul Wey
> PROMA1
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
>
> due to current world circumstances all files are request only from myself
> for main proma files sent to your inbox monthly join
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promafiles
> For good prices on scanners go to
>
> Stewart Aviation
>
> http://www.stewart-aviation.co.uk/rs/index.html
> "M3***" <happyraveruk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b5hthe$2949j8$1@ID-155271.news.dfncis.de...
> > Sorry, but thats Alinco.
> >
> > Que Paul, who will now tell you how good it is (despite the fact that
> > everyone else thinks they are crap), he will insist it's an excellent
> unit,
> > that battery life is excellent (lmfao), and try and convince himself
that
> a

> > radio for under £500 can be a good performer.
> >
> >
> >
> > <haxtemp@btopenworld.com> wrote in message
> > news:lbdn7v8ldqtrn1k7gldosd6ot9iut33n3s@4ax.com...
> > > Got a DJ-X2000 two days ago. Particularly interested in this make due
> > > to its alleged bug finding capabilities. Unfortunately while these
> > > look nice in the advertising blurb and instructions, they are not very
> > > good in practice, in my experience, unless there's something wrong
> > > with this particular unit.
> > >
> > > Suppose for the sake of this post that there is a transmitting radio
> > > bug somewhere in the tested location. We are trying to find it. The
> > > scanner provides a number of functions which are relevant to such
> > > activity.
> > >
> > > "Flash Tune / Frequency Counter" seems to be a dodo. Flash Tune sits
> > > for half an hour with CHECKING grinning all over its screen and
> > > doesn't actually tune into anything at all. Frequency Counter finds
> > > frequencies in the cellphone (UK) range, 901-908 particularly, so if
> > > there is no other local transmission perhaps that bit works.
> > >
> > > Transweeper is a disaster. It emits a constant loud tone (good), locks
> > > into a frequency and finds it all over my house at a distance of one
> > > meter. (It does this for numerous frequencies). It declares as "bug"
> > > frequencies normal broadcast FM and TV stations. It finds so many
> > > false positives (in VFO + PMS scans) that it is impossible to tell
> > > whether there any real positives at all.
> > >
> > > Does Transweeper only detect regular unencrypted audio bugs, or can it
> > > also find more sophisticated devices which use encoding or
> > > spread-spectrum/frequency hopping? That would be too much to expect?
> > >
> > > Field Strength Meter doesn't work either. Meter display mode doesn't
> > > show any RF signal at all, even when next to a cordless phone.
> > > Set-Level mode initially buzzes madly on level three for ten seconds,
> > > then even at level one cannot find any signals higher than that level,
> > > even when next to cordless phone.
> > >
> > > Is my unit jinxed, or are all these scanners the same way? This is not
> > > what I paid £500 for.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

978. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 11:30:42 UTC
From: "D.A. Hood" <nitwitrhymer@earsahurtin.com>
Message-ID: <pj6r7vsovksm4rjuou5sdv8qld83dnttdl@4ax.com>
Source record: 34744
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Well let's see, every conservative I have ever known was stupid, you
seem to be stupid, so good chance you are a conservative.

I wonder who the "stoopid" one is here!

On Sat, 22 Mar 2003 23:07:39 -0500, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
wrote:

>
>
>Harding wrote:
>>
>>
>> You don't even know if I'm liberal or not -
>
>Well let's see, every liberal I have ever known was stupid, you
>seem to be stupid, so good chance you are a liberal.

979. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000 bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 11:49:06 UTC
From: haxtemp@btopenworld.com
Message-ID: <267r7vcs50dp4v5auhag6g6i7aeeuir3fp@4ax.com>
Source record: 34745
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 Mar 2003 09:51:08 -0000, "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com>
wrote:

>not only i think its good many people on the DJ-X2000 think its good

I don't think it's worth the money, since some of the functions don't
work.

>what are you trying to do with the transweeper,most people dont use that,how
>many members of the public are bugged??????

I was looking to see whether it could detect transmissions which I
know are there, such as a cordless phone. Transweeper plain doesn't
work. It keeps "finding" bugs at broadcast frequencies (FM radio, TV)
and it keeps finding false positives; it will find a bug at 431MHz (or
whatever) and then it will find that frequency all over the house, at
a distance of one meter. Obviously there cannot be bugs all over the
house on the same frequency at the same distance, right? Have you
tried Transweeper at all, a lurker told me in email he found the same
thing.

I heard that Transweeper is "listening for unit-generated audio on RF
as unit sweeps", so if the transmission is encoded in any way, then
the unit won't find it, is that right?

>the Flash tune however is much more useful,not as good as a normal
>counter/reaction tune,it is very much more nearfield,but it does work.
>
>i used again succesfeully outside the Grosvenor Hotel in London,security out
>front transmitted on there radio,i had a little diamond stubby on the
>Alinco,and straight away 460.100 went into the Alinco,which i paired up with
>453.600 the actual repeater output.

I can't get the flash tune to work. It just sits there for half and
hour or an hour with CHECKING displayed. When I try it in F.COUNTER
mode it finds frequencies all right, cellphone transmissions.

The Alinco can't even detect a cordless phone when it's physically
next to it, so it seems disappointing.

980. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 12:37:47 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PUhfa.22$D24.14218@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 34746
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ah. very interesting.... that would make things a bit mroe complicated to pick up on then.

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message news:WVcfa.210772$sf5.123453@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> When you use things, you manually tune to a freq that is not in use in your
> area. You can pick any freq on the FM dial. You just look for a clear spot.
>
> "Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:kp6fa.6777$lQ4.1401768@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > HEH!
> >
> > i was thinking the same.
> >
> > most likely you could buuut.. you'd have to be in a pretty well populated
> > area in order to hear a good number of conversations per day.
> >
> > i would assume the transmitting range would be short.
> > the same goes for the number of which the signal is sent out. for example.
> > if a local station uses 87.7 (which is a frequency advertised for these
> > device[s]), wouldn't you theortically be listening to britney spears
> instead
> > of joe blow yammer to his wife?
> > or perhaps it would override the signal? i really am not sure.
> >
> > "Swampthing" <Swampthing@mac.com> wrote in message
> > news:220320031800312164%Swampthing@mac.com...
> > >
> > > Watching all those interesting commercials for hands-free operation of
> > > cell phones these days makes me wonder. They tell you to just tune
> > > into a certain station on your FM dial, and you'll hear your
> > > conversation perfectly... If that is the case, shouldn't it also be
> > > easy for a scanner to pick up that same FM signal? Has anyone tried
> > > this? Any idea what freqs are they using?
> > >
> > > --
> > > Swampthing
> > >
> >

>
> ---
> > -
> > > Computer: Apple iMac (G4 800MHz w/SuperDrive), MacOS X (10.2.4)
> > > Scanner: Radio Shack Dual Trunking PRO-95
> > >
> > > Visit the Lair of the Swampthing at http://www.speakeasy.org/~swmpthng
> > > & The Stony Man Farm at
http://www.speakeasy.org/~swmpthng/StonyMan.html
> >
>
> ---
> > --
> >
> >
>
>

981. Re: Digital scanners. Do they scan on the same freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 12:54:30 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb7rbk6.1be.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 34597

In article <b234376e.0303201544.10743986@posting.google.com>, Gill wrote:
> a frequency is a frequency. Digital is a mode. No such thing as a
> digital or analog channel. Technically there are no channels. But
> people use channel and frequency interchangeable. Hope that answers
> your question. An analog scanner can pick up the frequency, but if
> the emission mode is digital it will not produce a voice sound from
> the speaker.

For digital, you actually could say that there is a channel, if
multiple transmissions share timeslots on the same transponder.

I.e. with satelllite-TV, you have the analog signals, with one
channel per frequency. With digital TV, you can bundlle 4 or 8
channels on the same frequency by dividing the time between them.

With WiFi wireless LAN, channels = a number assigned based on the
middle frequency (they use multiple frequencies for one signal).

When you start looking at digital, there are many ways to do things.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

982. Re: Live Military Communications Now OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 13:19:51 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb7rd3o.1be.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 34598

In article <3E7A5B29.EC3255F7@USA.net>, Pappy@USA.net wrote:
> Geo. you must have your antenna hanging off the moon to hear the mideast on
> a scanner.

I thought shortwave could run around the world.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

983. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000 bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 14:38:58 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <b5kgts$28uujl$1@ID-172472.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34599
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

m3 i cant help it if someone is not using the radio correctly

cordless phones are very low power,so not much chance of that being picked up on the counter or flashtune,also is your cordless phone digital or analogue,if digitial then no chance of picking up at all.

forget the transweeper,on counter it will randomly hover around 900 Mhz ,it is not picking mobile phones as again they are digital.

the whole point of both counter and flashtune is you go to say you local shopping mall,find a security guard,stand nearby with flash tune on,i always turn vloume down and normally place radio in inside pocket,wait till he speak for few seconds and see what is displayed on radio,sometimes it will misread and possibly show exactly double the actual freq,so if you see 945 displayed then divide by 2 and check the freq for audio,to confirm correct,this does happen if a lot of rf in the area or the tx power being used is quite high.

pmr446 500 MW on flashtune with stubby aerial 5/6 metres

typical pmr handled 1-5 w 11 metres plus

depending on rf in area

but if you are sitting at home expecting it to work then you will be saddened.

btw M3 the guy needs useful advice not sarcasm that offers no help,there are thousands of happy owners of the DJ-X2000 all across the world,it does not suit all as no radio base/mobile or handy will do that.

--
--
Paul Wey
PROMA1
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

due to current world circumstances all files are request only from myself for main proma files sent to your inbox monthly join

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promafiles
For good prices on scanners go to

Stewart Aviation

http://www.stewart-aviation.co.uk/rs/index.html
<haxtemp@btopenworld.com> wrote in message

news:267r7vcs50dp4v5auhag6g6i7aeeuir3fp@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 23 Mar 2003 09:51:08 -0000, "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com>
> wrote:
>
> >not only i think its good many people on the DJ-X2000 think its good
>
> I don't think it's worth the money, since some of the functions don't
> work.
>
> >what are you trying to do with the transweeper,most people dont use
that,how
> >many members of the public are bugged??????
>
> I was looking to see whether it could detect transmissions which I
> know are there, such as a cordless phone. Transweeper plain doesn't
> work. It keeps "finding" bugs at broadcast frequencies (FM radio, TV)
> and it keeps finding false positives; it will find a bug at 431MHz (or
> whatever) and then it will find that frequency all over the house, at
> a distance of one meter. Obviously there cannot be bugs all over the
> house on the same frequency at the same distance, right? Have you
> tried Transweeper at all, a lurker told me in email he found the same
> thing.
>
> I heard that Transweeper is "listening for unit-generated audio on RF
> as unit sweeps", so if the transmission is encoded in any way, then
> the unit won't find it, is that right?
>
>
> >the Flash tune however is much more useful,not as good as a normal
> >counter/reaction tune,it is very much more nearfield,but it does work.
> >
> >i used again succesfeully outside the Grosvenor Hotel in London,security
out
> >front transmitted on there radio,i had a little diamond stubby on the
> >Alinco,and straight away 460.100 went into the Alinco,which i paired up
with
> >453.600 the actual repeater output.
>
> I can't get the flash tune to work. It just sits there for half and
> hour or an hour with CHECKING displayed. When I try it in F.COUNTER
> mode it finds frequencies all right, cellphone transmissions.
>
> The Alinco can't even detect a cordless phone when it's physically
> next to it, so it seems disappointing.

984. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 14:54:24 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E7DCAA0.9060504@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 34604
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Never anonymous Bud wrote:
> Scribbling with a stale candycane, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> penned:
>
>
>>These stupid liberal anti-war demonstrator fools don't realize
>>that they are playing right into the hands of Saddam and aiding
>>his cause.
>
>
> AND expressing their right under the 1st Amendment.

And Saddam thanks the idiots for their contribution.

985. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000 bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 15:06:54 UTC
From: M3*** <happyraveruk@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b5kiic$29do3h$1@ID-155271.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34600
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

> cordless phones are very low power,so not much chance of that being picked
> up on the counter or flashtune,

So are most bugs.

986. Combat Air Patrol Live Broadcast of Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 16:07:31 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E7DDBC3.8000300@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Combat Air Patrol Aircraft are beginning CAP cover of the Orlando, FL area at 1100 AM. They are scheduled to fly CAP for 1 ï¿½ hours. You can hear the live broadcast at http://www.MilAirComms.com

Callsign CLAW ## are the flighters flying CAP (I believe these are F16ï¿½s). Callsign OAKGROVE is NORAD.

CAP is flying a line between Orlando, FL and Ormond Beach, FL due to weather.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

987. Trade: BC 780 XLT for PRO-2004/6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 16:07:53 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tZkfa.20469$ja4.1417208@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34602

Looking for a mint condition PRO-2004 or PRO-2006 (later model preferred) with bright backlight. The 780XLT is mint and includes box and manual. Let me know what you have.

Frank

988. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000 bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 16:38:04 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <b5kntf$2a6qhe$1@ID-172472.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34603
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

its was the counter and flashtune he was trying with the cordless phone

the cordless phone if a 31 Mhz may be a good thing to test on,set up a PMS search 31-32 Mhz and then use the transweeper and see if it works.

--
--
Paul Wey
PROMA1
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

due to current world circumstances all files are request only from myself for main proma files sent to your inbox monthly join

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promafiles
For good prices on scanners go to

Stewart Aviation

http://www.stewart-aviation.co.uk/rs/index.html
"M3***" <happyraveruk@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:b5kiic$29do3h$1@ID-155271.news.dfncis.de...
>
> > cordless phones are very low power,so not much chance of that being picked
> > up on the counter or flashtune,
>
> So are most bugs.
>
>

989. whats a better AOR scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 17:16:58 UTC
From: Tbub874 <xTbub874@castle.shore.net>
Message-ID: <e_lfa.194683$3D1.39762@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone tell me what is better out of the AOR AR8000 and AR8200?

990. Re: Live Military Communications Now OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 17:17:03 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3E7DEC0F.79D110B5@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 34606

"Povl H. Pedersen" wrote:
>
> In article <3E7A5B29.EC3255F7@USA.net>, Pappy@USA.net wrote:
> > Geo. you must have your antenna hanging off the moon to hear the mideast on
> > a scanner.
>
> I thought shortwave could run around the world.
>

If your scanner covers shortwave you might have a chance - with an
external antenna. But, few scanners cover shortwave.

Dave

991. Re: Combat Air Patrol Live Broadcast of Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 17:23:13 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BAA358F1.B1C3%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 34607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

George, What's the freq? I've entered your CAP freq's into my scanner but haven't been able to hear anything yet from here in Lakeland.

Greg

2006 w/discone in the attic

in article 3E7DDBC3.8000300@licensed4fun.com, GeorgeF at av8tor@licensed4fun.com wrote on 3/23/03 11:07 AM:

> Combat Air Patrol Aircraft are beginning CAP cover of the Orlando, FL
> area at 1100 AM. They are scheduled to fly CAP for 1 1ï¿½2 hours. You can
> hear the live broadcast at http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
> Callsign CLAW ## are the flighters flying CAP (I believe these are
> F16ï¿½s). Callsign OAKGROVE is NORAD.
>
> CAP is flying a line between Orlando, FL and Ormond Beach, FL due to
> weather.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

992. Re: Combat Air Patrol Live Broadcast of Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 17:37:11 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E7DF0C7.2010300@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Greg wrote:
> George, What's the freq? I've entered your CAP freq's into my scanner but
> haven't been able to hear anything yet from here in Lakeland.
>
> Greg

265.4 (NORAD) and 346.25 (JAX Center). However they're about to go RTB
(Return to Base). Short mission today....

George
http://www.MilAircomms.com

993. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 17:42:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b5krmcjqn1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34609
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Exactly what General Giap (North Vietnamese Commander during the 'American War') said.

People just never learn do they?

Dan/W4NTI

> Wayne N. wrote:
> These stupid liberal anti-war demonstrator fools don't realize
> that they are playing right into the hands of Saddam and aiding
> his cause. Every time he sees them on television he is laughing
> and applauding there efforts for helping his cause.

994. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 17:43:38 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b5krpc$l64$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34610
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Sometimes 'rights' can be treasonable.

Dan/W4NTI

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:dalq7vk7pirovg2hq9mhol0p5oe7fj5d3o@4ax.com...
> Scribbling with a stale candycane, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> penned:
>
> >These stupid liberal anti-war demonstrator fools don't realize
> >that they are playing right into the hands of Saddam and aiding
> >his cause.
>
> AND expressing their right under the 1st Amendment.
>
> Which is NOT, of course, an appropriate topic for this newsgroup.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

995. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 17:46:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b5krtp$9a8$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34611
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Nice to know someone in Canada has a set of them.

Dan/W4NTI

"bikertech" <easyrider@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:wSafa.279566$na.17900217@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> Gentlemen, after reading all of these messages I think you both have lost
> sight of what "America" really is. In the societies of North America we
can
> all say whats on our minds and live to see another day. We have all lived
> our whole live with freedom of speak and movement. We all take the right
of
> our "Freedom" for granit, but the Iraqi people have no such idea what
> "freedom" is. Living in the kind of terror these people live with every
day
> is not something we can comprehend. Knowing that your life could come to a
> very horrific end at any moment is beyond the meaning of having a
> "stressfull day". While we all sit around perpetuating idile
conversation
> of the pro's and con's on this war, such as the UN has done for the last
12
> years, thousands of Iraqi people have died at the hands of this tyrant.
The
> UN is nothing more than a bunch of politicains sitting around making
excuses
> and threats against each others and their countries. Have you even know
two
> politcians to agree on anything? Well, fill a room full of them and do you
> honestly thing you could get a decision on where you should have lunch let
> alone save a country and who knows how many lives.
> This war is about lives and people, theirs (Iraqi's) and ours. We
could
> site around and discuss this till the fires of hell freeze, but the bottom
> line here is "freedom" and for that we would all lay down our lives.
> There is an old saying, "you can please some of the people some of the
> time, but not all of the people all of the time."
>
> Have a good weekend gentlemen.
> And yes, I'm a Canadian and I believe Canada should be supporting and
> participating. But then the whimpy ass liberals who are in power don't
agree
> with me either.
> 73 VE7AGW
>

> "Harding" <harding@harding.com> wrote in message
> news:Mjuda.121571$L1.17591@sccrnsc02...
> > Many of you make excellent cases for war. But when you call those
opposed
> > to your point of view "unamerican", it just shows that you don't get it!
> >
> > Calling those people opposed to your point of view "unamerican" is as
> > unamerican as you are capable of getting.
> >
> > It makes people skeptical of you, your argument, and your argument for
war
> > because people can sense that you arent; using good sense.
> >
> >
> > "Harding" <Harding@Harding.com> wrote in message
> > news:pkdda.136253$S_4.80739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > THE NEW REVISED AMERICAN NATIONAL ANTHEM:
> > > Sung to the tune of 'when your happy and you know it, clap your hands'
> > >
> > > If You're Happy And You Know It - Bomb Iraq
> > > If you cannot find bin laden, bomb Iraq.
> > > If the terrorists are frisky,
> > > Pakistan is looking shifty,
> > > North Korea is too risky,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > If we have no allies with us, bomb Iraq.
> > > If we think that someone's dissed us, bomb Iraq.
> > > So to hell with the inspections,
> > > Let's look tough for the elections,
> > > Close your mind and take directions,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > It's pre-emptive non-aggression, bomb Iraq.
> > > To prevent this mass destruction, bomb Iraq.
> > > They've got weapons we can't see,
> > > And that's all the proof we need,
> > > If they're not there, they must be,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > If you were lucky to be elected, bomb Iraq.
> > > If your mood is quite dejected, bomb Iraq.
> > > If you think Saddam's gone mad,
> > > With the weapons that he had,
> > > And he flicked the bird your dad,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > Fall in line and follow orders, bomb Iraq.
> > > For our might knows not our borders, bomb Iraq.
> > > Disagree? We'll call it treason,

> > > Let's make war not love this season,
> > > Even if we have no reason,
> > > Bomb Iraq.
> > >
> > > The French have oil contracts galore - FROM IRAQ
> > > The Russians are owed 8 billion dollars - FROM IRAQ
> > > Tony Blair is quite a friend,
> > > 3000 Cruise Missiles we will send,
> > > Saddams tyranny is about to end
> > > Bomb Iraq
> > >
> > > :)
> > > IF THEY TAKE AWAY YOUR SCANNER BOMB SAUDIA ARABIA, NO WAIT..... WHO'S
> > NEXT?
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

996. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 17:47:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b5ks00$463$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34612
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Thats not possible "D.A. Hood". Conservatives are able to think.

Dan/W4NTI

"D.A. Hood" <nitwitrhymer@earsahurtin.com> wrote in message
news:pj6r7vsovksm4rjuou5sdv8qld83dnttdl@4ax.com...
> Well let's see, every conservative I have ever known was stupid, you
> seem to be stupid, so good chance you are a conservative.
>
> I wonder who the "stoopid" one is here!
>
>
> On Sat, 22 Mar 2003 23:07:39 -0500, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Harding wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> You don't even know if I'm liberal or not -
> >
> >Well let's see, every liberal I have ever known was stupid, you
> >seem to be stupid, so good chance you are a liberal.
>

997. BC-235XLT vs. PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 17:54:37 UTC
From: Tom Pamin <scnrfrq@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3E7DF4DF.5060707@adelphia.net>
Source record: 34613

Can anyone give me a comparison between the BC-235XLT and PRO-92? I have
a chance to get either one and I'm not sure which one is the better scanner.

998. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 18:04:32 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <QGmfa.190692$eG2.26301@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 34614
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I think it makes it easy if you can scan from 88 - 108, and block out all
the FM radio stations in your scanner - then you are in the clear!

"Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:PUhfa.22$D24.14218@news20.bellglobal.com...
> ah. very interesting.... that would make things a bit mroe complicated to
> pick up on then.
>
> "AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
> news:WVcfa.210772$sf5.123453@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > When you use things, you manually tune to a freq that is not in use in
> your
> > area. You can pick any freq on the FM dial. You just look for a clear
> spot.
> >
> > "Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:kp6fa.6777$lQ4.1401768@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > HEH!
> > >
> > > i was thinking the same.
> > >
> > > most likely you could buuut.. you'd have to be in a pretty well
> populated
> > > area in order to hear a good number of conversations per day.
> > >
> > > i would assume the transmitting range would be short.
> > > the same goes for the number of which the signal is sent out. for
> example.
> > > if a local station uses 87.7 (which is a frequency advertised for
these
> > > device[s]), wouldn't you theortically be listening to britney spears
> > instead
> > > of joe blow yammer to his wife?
> > > or perhaps it would override the signal? i really am not sure.
> > >
> > > "Swampthing" <Swampthing@mac.com> wrote in message
> > > news:220320031800312164%Swampthing@mac.com...
> > > >
> > > > Watching all those interesting commercials for hands-free operation
of
> > > > cell phones these days makes me wonder. They tell you to just tune
> > > > into a certain station on your FM dial, and you'll hear your
> > > > conversation perfectly... If that is the case, shouldn't it also be

> > > > easy for a scanner to pick up that same FM signal? Has anyone tried
> > > > this? Any idea what freqs are they using?
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Swampthing
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
> ---
> > > -
> > > > Computer: Apple iMac (G4 800MHz w/SuperDrive), MacOS X (10.2.4)
> > > > Scanner: Radio Shack Dual Trunking PRO-95
> > > >
> > > > Visit the Lair of the Swampthing at
http://www.speakeasy.org/~swmpthng
> > > > & The Stony Man Farm at
> http://www.speakeasy.org/~swmpthng/StonyMan.html
> > >
> >
>
> ---
> > > --
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

999. The Uniden BC785D and BC250D arrive in Canada!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 18:26:33 UTC
From: ve5jl <ve5jl_no_spam_@ve5jl.com>
Message-ID: <t%mfa.281043$na.18250288@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 34615
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I picked up one last week...

Check it out on my website.

http://www.ve5jl.com

73's

VE4 & 5 JL

1000. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 18:50:24 UTC
From: QRP <LowPower@anon.com>
Message-ID: <b5kvlh$ie9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 34616
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"D.A. Hood" <nitwitrhymer@earsahurtin.com> wrote in message
news:pj6r7vsovksm4rjuou5sdv8qld83dnttdl@4ax.com...
> Well let's see, every conservative I have ever known was stupid, you
> seem to be stupid, so good chance you are a conservative.
>
> I wonder who the "stoopid" one is here!

Let's see. Every Liberal I've ever talked to only seems to repeat that what
he has been told. Original thoughts from a Liberal usually boil down to,
"What day is it?" and, "Who does Helen Thomas hate today?".

1001. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000 bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 19:12:09 UTC
From: RS <the-wizard@fsmail.net>
Message-ID: <b5l0rlvom1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 34617
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hey Paul,
Ignore the rambling fool, he lurks about the NG all the time making
pointless remarks, the guy knows absolutely sod all about scanners or
scanning.
RS

.
"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:b5kntf$2a6qhe$1@ID-172472.news.dfncis.de...
> its was the counter and flashtune he was trying with the cordless phone
>
>
>
>
> the cordless phone if a 31 Mhz may be a good thing to test on,set up a PMS
> search 31-32 Mhz and then use the transweeper and see if it works.
>
>
>
>
> --
> --
> Paul Wey
> PROMA1
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
>
> due to current world circumstances all files are request only from myself
> for main proma files sent to your inbox monthly join
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promafiles
> For good prices on scanners go to
>
> Stewart Aviation
>
> http://www.stewart-aviation.co.uk/rs/index.html
> "M3***" <happyraveruk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b5kiic$29do3h$1@ID-155271.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > > cordless phones are very low power,so not much chance of that being
> picked
> > > up on the counter or flashtune,
> >
> > So are most bugs.

> >
> >
>
>

1002. Re: BC-235XLT vs. PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 19:18:49 UTC
From: Me <m@e.com>
Message-ID: <7p1s7vkcll7v86v00uajejv2u462efng85@4ax.com>
Source record: 34618

The biggest problem with the Pro-92 is that the latter models (B versions) are somewhat deaf up in the 800 band. Compared to the 235, this is the *only* problem. The 92 can, and the 235 can't:

- Trunk and conventional scan at the same time
- Use alpha-numeric labels
- Use CTCSS and DCS squelch decoding
- Use regular AA batteries
- Be programmed via computer

If you had said the 92 -vs- the 245, this would have been a harder decision. While the 245 will not do alpha-numerics, CTCSS/DCS, or use regular batteries, it is my *personal* opinion that the 300 channel 245 can beat the 500 channel 92 hands down any day!!!

Me

On Sun, 23 Mar 2003 17:54:37 GMT, Tom Pamin <scnrfrq@adelphia.net> wrote:

>Can anyone give me a comparison between the BC-235XLT and PRO-92? I have
>a chance to get either one and I'm not sure which one is the better scanner.

1003. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 19:27:42 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <pjensen@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <v7s2lgn6oot009@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34619

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message
news:20030322234049.07060.00000241@mb-mh.aol.com...
> I have a feeling that these devices have very very low output, but if you
tune
> to whatever frequency these things say to tune to as you drive to work (I
think
> it's at the bottom of the broadcast FM band), it is very possible you will
hear
> some cell phones.

I would think your best bet would be someone who is going your way and are
right in front of, back of, or beside you. Would be interesting if anyone
did this and shared with the group.

1004. Re: The Uniden BC785D and BC250D arrive in Canada!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 20:37:37 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jXofa.642$062.86418@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 34620
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ouch. $699 canadian? then tax/shipping? double ouch... heh

"ve5jl" <ve5jl_no_spam_@ve5jl.com> wrote in message
news:t%mfa.281043$na.18250288@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
>
> I picked up one last week...
>
> Check it out on my website.
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com
>
> 73's
>
> VE4 & 5 JL
>
>

1005. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000 bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 20:58:15 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <ll7s7v8fnh5n4hmhaoghv0f3qmrvcg0h2t@4ax.com>
Source record: 34621
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 Mar 2003 09:51:08 -0000, "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com>
wrote:

>what are you trying to do with the transweeper,most people dont use that,how
>many members of the public are bugged??????

Bugged? Not too many. Buggered? Now that would describe just about
all taxpayers.

If somebody is really worried about hidden transmitters, they need to
use a spectrum analyzer. There's no cheap substitute.

1006. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000 bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 21:14:53 UTC
From: haxtemp@btopenworld.com
Message-ID: <fn8s7vkdlav4trvubpi0h4qvh27m92aj7a@4ax.com>
Source record: 34622
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 Mar 2003 16:38:04 -0000, "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com>
wrote:

>the cordless phone if a 31 Mhz may be a good thing to test on,set up a PMS
>search 31-32 Mhz and then use the transweeper and see if it works.

My cordless phone is digital so the transweeper won't work, if it only
detects normal unencoded analogue transmitters - that is the case,
right?

But I also tried the Alinco's radio field strength meter with the
cordless phone, and it didn't detect anything at all, even when held
right next to the phone. I don't think this radio is as brilliant as I
was hoping.

1007. Re: BC-235XLT vs. PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 21:15:04 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E7E24AE.4080903@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34623

I have a BC 245 and it works well for me.

About $219 from Unverisal.

Burr

Tom Pamin wrote:
> Can anyone give me a comparison between the BC-235XLT and PRO-92? I have
> a chance to get either one and I'm not sure which one is the better scanner.
>

1008. Re: Live Military Communications Now OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 21:30:45 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3E7E2785.F61713C9@USA.net>
Source record: 34624

Dave Holford wrote:

> "Povl H. Pedersen" wrote:
> >
> > In article <3E7A5B29.EC3255F7@USA.net>, Pappy@USA.net wrote:
> > > Geo. you must have your antenna hanging off the moon to hear the mideast on
> > > a scanner.
> >
> > I thought shortwave could run around the world.
> >
>
> If your scanner covers shortwave you might have a chance - with an
> external antenna. But, few scanners cover shortwave.
>
> Dave

Dave I have a Kenwood 570 ham rig here with a vertical up at 40' and I can't hear them. But on the other hand I have transmitted & received 1/2 way around the world. I was kidding George about his antenna hanging off the moon.

1009. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 21:52:18 UTC
From: ThisIsMyName <sheck5001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <m0qfa.4396$Ad2.2515110@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 34625
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in
news:QGmfa.190692$eG2.26301@sccrnsc03:

> I think it makes it easy if you can scan from 88 - 108, and block
out
> all the FM radio stations in your scanner - then you are in the
clear!

Along this line of thinking... What about the new cell phones with
that 'walkie-talkie' feature? I'm just curious as to how that
function works. Are they on normal cell frequencies or do they use
an FRS channel or something like that? Does listening to someone
using a phone's walkie-talkie feature constitute 'cellular
eavesdropping'?

If it happens to be that the cell phones are using common frequencies
for that feature, I wonder if the companies are telling their
customers that it is 'safe and private'.

1010. researching scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 22:34:27 UTC
From: Jeff Pollard <jpollard@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <D0qdnWirVrziq-OjXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 34626

Was wondering what the abbreviations for the different bands meant and also
can you scan shortwave radio stations.
Any responding thank you.

1011. Live video from Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 22:35:16 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <EEqfa.1098$Oc.370@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34627

Updated war feeds.. added Saudi Arabia Live tv and Al Jazzera Tv
Tim
kg4jjs
Webmaster of the Tampa Bay Radio Page
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm

1012. How military radio communications are intercepted? (Article of interest)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 22:45:19 UTC
From: rock_bustin@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <879bfb06.0303231445.6df97f2f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34628

Greetings,

The following article (with pix) can be found here:

http://www.aeronautics.ru/news/news002/news071.htm

I found it referenced on Rense.com. It is posted here

FWIW. All credit to "Venik".

Enjoy,

Andrew

Begin Post:

How military radio communications are intercepted?

March 21, 2003
www.aeronautics.ru

The US military is using SINCGARS (Single Channel Ground And Airborne Radio System) frequency-hopping radios in the field. These radio sets are categorized as Low Probability of Intercept (LPI) Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS) transceivers. The FHSS method is not new: it originated from the Second World War and, simply stated, it employs a narrow band carrier, shifting frequency in a pattern known only to the transmitter and the receiver. The frequency can be changed several hundred times per second.

The FHSS military radios are synchronized daily to use the same frequency modulation algorithm. The synchronization process occurs either through a direct physical connection of the radio sets to each other or to a special device known as the programmer. Some radios can also synchronize frequency modulation algorithms via an encrypted transmission of the frequency modulation algorithm in a non-frequency-hopping mode, although this method is generally considered to be less secure.

The military radios in the US armed forces commonly use encryption and the frequency hopping methods provide an additional layer of security during transmission of the encrypted signal. An example of a frequency-hopping field VHF/FM transceiver used by US Special Forces would be the Caracal RPM 4740 manufactured by Thales Communications of France.

The Caracal covers the 30 to 87.975 MHz frequency range. It also has 10 programmable simplex or half-duplex channels out of its repertoire

of 2,320. Hopping in narrowband (6.4 MHz) and wideband (30 to 87.975 MHz) orthogonal modes, Caracal contains high-grade internal digital encryption and has an output of 1 W. Insertion of frequency and security codes is accomplished using the MA 4073B programmer or MA 4083B fill gun. A reset switch on each radio is used to erase codes rapidly. The synchronization function is broadcast, requiring about 6 seconds. Other features include receive-only selective calling, frequency barring and `hailing' by fixed-frequency radios when in the hopping mode.

However, security afforded by frequency-hopping methods is very dependant on the strict adherence to protocols for operating such radios. The US troops and other operators of frequency-hopping radio sets frequently disregard these protocols. An example would be an artillery unit passing digital traffic in the frequency-hopping mode, which would enable an unauthorized listener to determine the frequency-hopping algorithm and eavesdrop on the transmission.

Even when proper protocols for using frequency-hopping radios are being adhered to interception and decryption of these signals is still possible. The frequency-hopping interceptors are special advanced reconnaissance wideband receivers capable of simultaneously tracking a large number of frequency-hopping encrypted transmissions even in high background noise environments.

An example of such a reconnaissance device would be the FH-1 frequency-hopping interceptor manufactured by VIDEOTON-MECHLABOR Manufacturing and Development Ltd of Hungary. The FH-1 frequency-hopping interceptor is a modern reconnaissance system based on parallel signal processing technology.

The equipment has 160 independent receiving channels covering a 4 MHz wide IF band with 25 kHz channel spacing, 60 dB channel selection and 60 dB intermodulation suppression. The 4 MHz wide IF band is the IF output of a special high-speed front-end receiver which has a 20 to 1,000 MHz frequency range.

The digitized output signals of the channels are multiplexed and fed as 1 Mbits/s data to a fast dedicated signal-processing computer. As the processing time of the 160 channels is 200 ï¿½s with the front-end receiver 4 MHz frequency setting time, the processing speed of this interceptor is 4 MHz/200 ï¿½s or 20 GHz/s. This high speed makes it possible to process the complete 30 to 80 MHz ground-to-ground VHF band within a 2.5 ms time slot.

The system's processing algorithm filters out noise spikes and stationary transmissions and in this way hopping transmissions can be classified either in the traditional frequency versus amplitude mode or in a waterfall-like frequency versus time display mode. Optional software modules are available for direction-finding the FH transmission and for controlling a remote follower/jammer.

End Post.

1013. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 23:32:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b5lg5jial1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34631
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Your right....especially when it means I have to like butt pirates and all that breeds. Oh and lets not forget about 'gun control'. Hey I tried to buy a gun on Sep 12, 2002....None to be found. Can you say Hypocrite?

The thing about conservatives is we know the difference between right and wrong. Decent and bad. Good and Evil. And yes we do tend to stick with what works. You should try it. Maybe you wont screw up the next generation if you do.

Dan/W4NTI

"Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net> wrote in message news:QTrfa.4686$U96.1164677@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> >Let's see. Every Liberal I've ever talked to only >>seems to repeat that
> what
> >he has been told.
>
> How are conservatives any different. They all follow their same chain of
> thought. I see conservatives as those who are afraid of any change, ones
who
> don't care about advancing.
>
> --
> ===Matt===
> Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
> Remove "{nospam}" when replying.
>
>

1014. Re: FA: Pro-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 23:53:23 UTC
From: Bob <rareeder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E7E497C.3060904@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34632
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

1.00
Bob

Jim G wrote:

>Bob <rareeder@earthlink.net> wrote in
>news:3E7D4B19.5050702@earthlink.net:
>
>
>
>>I have my spare Pro-93 available on eBay.
>>Works like new. Minor signs of use.
>>Complete in original box with booklets, both battery holders and
>>antenna.
>>
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=30148
>>13514&rd=1
>>
>>Bob
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>What version is it?
>
>

1015. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 23:53:51 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <jOrfa.4671$U96.1164001@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34629
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you are talking about the Nokia DirectConnect, then you have no chance of listening.

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.

1016. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-23 23:59:45 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <QTrfa.4686$U96.1164677@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34630
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

>Let's see. Every Liberal I've ever talked to only >>seems to repeat that
what
>he has been told.

How are conservatives any different. They all follow their same chain of
thought. I see conservatives as those who are afraid of any change, ones who
don't care about advancing.

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.

1017. Re: Need Help for SW Antenna (Kenwood R-5000)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 00:19:00 UTC
From: sunildp@lycos.com
Message-ID: <4a7929a8.0303231619.280f1733@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34633

Dave and Richard,

Thanks very much. The antenna cable is connected to 50 Ohms
connector of the ant-1 of R-5000. Today I was able to listen
to 5598 fairly clearly. I'm also seeking suggestions on what other
frequencies I can try in Boston. The other frequencies I try
are 5616, 5649, 8879 and 8891.

Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:<3E790C47.2E9187ED@sympatico.ca>...
> Yes,
>
> you connect the wire antenna to the binding bosts on the back and then
> select ANT 2 on the keyboard - Otherwise you will be lucky to hear
> anything.
>
> Dave
>
> Richard G Amirault wrote:
> >
> > Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:
> >
> > I don't have a 5000, but is there more than one way to hook up a wire
> > antenna on this radio?? Maybe it's attached to the wrong terminals, or
> > it's shorted out, or ....??
> >
> > Richard in Boston, MA, USA
> >
> > : Sunil wrote:
>
> > : Maybe you could be a bit more specific about the problems you are
> > : encountering. I had no problem monitoring Transatlatic traffic using
> > : about 30 feet of wire hanging out of a hotel window and clipped onto the
> > : whip of my Radio Shack DX-398 from Florida over the last few weeks. My
> > : R-5000 does a magnificient job with an 18 foot whip or a 66 foot random
> > : wire.
>
> > : Dave

1018. Re: Importing Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 00:23:38 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v7sjvrr2cafe31@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

With security being what it is, I think I would wait a bit before trying to
import anything that may raise an eyebrow.

"George" <geo_jackson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:c21a06bc.0303230247.67675be3@posting.google.com...
> I've been thinking of importing an Icom R5. Anyone else done this?
> Heard of any problems? Thanks!

1019. Re: Live video from Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 00:27:01 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <phsfa.195260$F1.9622@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 34635

Where's the hostage video?

"mitbr" <mitbr@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:EEqfa.1098$Oc.370@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Updated war feeds.. added Saudi Arabia Live tv and Al Jazzera Tv
> Tim
> kg4jjs
> Webmaster of the Tampa Bay Radio Page
> http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm
>
>

1020. why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 00:29:29 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34636

Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our soldiers are fighting for?

1021. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 00:35:01 UTC
From: Ron Sitnick <rsitnick@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Vosfa.181$rw.120@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34637

No criticism here... As long as they realize that they're right to throw
their fist ends at my nose.
Protesting is FINE as long as it doesn't tread on the rights of others and
many of the protesters have forgotten that.
They don't get to attack police, (or anyone else for that matter) or damage
property. When they cross the line all bets are off and THEY become the
criminals.

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
> Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
> soldiers are fighting for?
>
>

1022. Re: Live Military Communications Now OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 00:41:01 UTC
From: W3BNR <w3bnr@[REMOVETHIS]>
Message-ID: <xusfa.41$Nm1.7@nwrdny02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34638

On or about 3/23/2003 4:30 PM, Pappy@USA.net penned the following:
>
> Dave Holford wrote:
>
>
>>"Povl H. Pedersen" wrote:
>>
>>>In article <3E7A5B29.EC3255F7@USA.net>, Pappy@USA.net wrote:
>>>
>>>>Geo. you must have your antenna hanging off the moon to hear the mideast on
>>>>a scanner.
>>>
>>>I thought shortwave could run around the world.
>>>
>>
>>If your scanner covers shortwave you might have a chance - with an
>>external antenna. But, few scanners cover shortwave.
>>
>>Dave
>
>
> Dave I have a Kenwood 570 ham rig here with a vertical up at 40' and I can't hear
> them. But on the other hand I have transmitted & received 1/2 way around the
> world. I was kidding George about his antenna hanging off the moon.
>
>

I use an Icom IC-R75 for scanning 30KC to 60MHz AM/CW/SSB/FM.

Ed

1023. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 00:45:57 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spectrumhog@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9347AADC63F8EFrog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 34639

> Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights
> that our soldiers are fighting for?
>

I criticize many of them for their behavior.... out here in
California many of them are damaging public and private property,
vandalizing cars, public works, etc, and creating a vast nusiance to
those of us who are trying to go about our business.

They are also syphoning off a substantial portion of public safety
manpower which is needed for both public safety and homeland defense.

Ed

1024. Re: The Uniden BC785D and BC250D arrive in Canada!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 01:04:38 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <GQsfa.42452$UV6.3293752@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 34640
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:jXofa.642$062.86418@news20.bellglobal.com...
> ouch. $699 canadian? then tax/shipping? double ouch... heh

yep, better to save the loonies and head down across the line and grab a
BC780XLT.

That is if you're no further north than 160 km from the border.

You'll pay only GST when you arrive at da borda. Some of the U.S. stores
won't ding you their state taxes
if you show your Canadian ID. The place I went to was nice enough to pass on
the state's sales tax.

Forget the cost of the trip going down there. Enjoy the U.S.A. in your
Chevrolet.

1025. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 01:20:13 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b5lmgktlt1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34641

Because those same rights are what allow us to criticize protesters.

And what are protesters doing anyway?

Criticizing.

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
> Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
> soldiers are fighting for?
>
>

1026. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 01:23:48 UTC
From: wf3h@ptnospam.com
Message-ID: <3e7e5ddd.50478154@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 34642

On Mon, 24 Mar 2003 00:29:29 GMT, "AmeriChicken"
<Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote:

>Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
>soldiers are fighting for?
>
>
because they are exercising their rights that our soldiers are
fighting for.

---
to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
and enter 'wf3h' in the field

1027. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 02:26:05 UTC
From: Randy <Bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <b5lqed$s8q$1@news.astound.net>
Source record: 34643

Go to cityfreq.com. Should have the freq's for your area. Enjoy
Randy

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:K05fa.19358$ja4.1331478@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hehehe.... They can put gas in it if they want to, I guess. ;) Anyway,
> it's definitely not a new automobile. It's a rugged jeep! But they can
> still put gas in it!
>
> No, seriously. Thanks for all the help. My husband bought me a CD called
> Police Call that was on clearance for $4.97 at Radio Shack. I realized
> later why it was so cheap, it was copyrighted in 2000! I guess that's
> better than paying $19.99 for the book, especially since I'm new at this.
I
> will admit it took me a while to learn the whole shortwave radio thing,
but
> my goodness, the manual to the scanner is Greek to me! And I will say I'm
> an intelligent girl, I have a degree in mathematics with a minor in
computer
> science, and that manual is still way above me! I played with the scanner
> until 3:00am this morning and I think that by just playing with it I am
> learning a lot more than reading the manual, but I still should read it so
I
> don't miss out on any thing. I would like some new frequencies. I tried
to
> input the frequencies for my old high school and college, but they
> apparently are now towing services! If anyone has new frequencies for the
> Louisville, KY area, Clarksville, IN, New Albany, IN, Jeffersonville, IN
and
> Floyds Knobs, IN, I'd be thankful! (AmeriChicken may be right....I do
have
> lots of requests...)
>
> Someday I plan on getting a cord that will go into my computer because
> programming it by hand is proving to take a lot of time! Are they
> expensive?
>
> Thanks,
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to

> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>
> AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
> news:6R0fa.178901$F1.7548@sccrnsc04...
> > TAKE IT EASY GUYS>
> > "Police call", "Computer cable". Gee such big manly words to use on a
> girl.
> > She'd be totally lost with out your help. She has no idea what a pro 95
> is
> > or why she got it.
> > She'd never have guessed that it uses "frequencies" or a "computer".
> > Thanks for coming to her rescue!!
> >
> > Your next job is to put "gas" in that new "automobile"
> >
> >
> > <bball@green.home.org> wrote in message
> > news:slrnb7opj7.vee.bball@green.home.org...
> > >
> > > - your husband's next job is to get you a copy of Police Call
> > > for your region...
> > >
> > >
> > > On Sat, 22 Mar 2003 04:39:50 GMT, Celt Chic
> > > <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > My husband finally went out and got my Radio Shack Pro-95!!!
Yeah!!!
> I
> > > > took one look at the manual, though, and I'm totally clueless! I
was
> > that
> > > > way when he first got my shortwave radio, but I finally learned. I
> > guess it
> > > > just takes time. :)
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> >
>
>

1028. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 02:29:38 UTC
From: M <m44444444@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e7e6d86@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 34644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

"Diverd4777" <diverd4777@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030323192125.04035.00000001@mb-fw.aol.com...
> In article <1686-3E7E41C0-77@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net>,
> t1000@webtv.net (Vic Martinez) writes:
>
> >
> >If you can 'stomach' this, THE DRUDGE REPORT online already has several
> >images of the Al-Jazeera feed shown all over the Arab World. Another web
> >site, not war related but similar, is www.rotten.com You have been
> >warned.
> >
> >
>
> Thanks, I'll pass...

...from the Videograph World Web News:

The complete un-edited and un-cut (POW) video
as was presented earlier today on Al Jazeera
TV has been copied and uploaded, in it's
entirety, to the worldwide file sharing site: http://www.gnutelliums.com/

There is also word out now that the
same uncut file is also available on a limited
basis at the other file-sharing site: www.kazaa.com

1029. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 03:11:23 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <pjensen@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <v7str1bg17id2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34646

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
> Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
> soldiers are fighting for?

I don't think the right to protest is the issue. The protestors are
criticized because how could any intelligent, clear-thinking individual not
think it's a good idea to get rid of a baby-killing mass murderer who has
violated U.N. resolutions and may very well be supplying weapons of mass
destruction to terrorists who will kill Americans?

1030. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 03:16:11 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <%Lufa.218907$qi4.107641@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 34548

I support the troops. Bush put them in harm's way not me
"Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:qyvfa.33$jl4.23816@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> I understand their right to protest, but what are the protesters hoping to
> do. Bush doesn't care if a city has a protest. The troops are over in
Iraq,
> and that won't change for a while. Don't you think we should support them?
>
> --
> ===Matt===
> Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
> Remove "{nospam}" when replying.
>
>

1031. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 03:21:39 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <7Rufa.217709$S_4.123992@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 34549

It is the agreements made by men that keep mankind above the animals. Those who throw out that law are the animals.

HEY GEORGE BUSH - - - - FOLLOW THE LAW !!!!!!!!!!

"Paul Jensen" <pjensen@gnt.net> wrote in message
news:v7str1bg17id2@corp.supernews.com...
> "AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
> news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
> > Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
> > soldiers are fighting for?
>
> I don't think the right to protest is the issue. The protestors are
> criticized because how could any intelligent, clear-thinking individual
not
> think it's a good idea to get rid of a baby-killing mass murderer who has
> violated U.N. resolutions and may very well be supplying weapons of mass
> destruction to terrorists who will kill Americans?
>
>

1032. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 03:28:52 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <UXufa.217759$S_4.124228@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 34550

Here in civilization, we don't go kill people who are "suspected of "
something. That way of thinking and those who perpetuate it are exactly
what this country was designed to stop.

"Paul Jensen" <pjensen@gnt.net> wrote in message
news:v7str1bg17id2@corp.supernews.com...
> "AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
> news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
> > Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
> > soldiers are fighting for?
>
> I don't think the right to protest is the issue. The protestors are
> criticized because how could any intelligent, clear-thinking individual
not
> think it's a good idea to get rid of a baby-killing mass murderer who has
> violated U.N. resolutions and may very well be supplying weapons of mass
> destruction to terrorists who will kill Americans?
>
>

1033. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 04:04:26 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <etvfa.29$jl4.22262@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34645
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

> The thing about conservatives is we know the difference between right and
> wrong. Decent and bad. Good and Evil. And

The difference between good and evil? So then if you truly think you know that difference, why is it that your people don't support a war with Iraq? Saddam is evil, right?

>yes we do tend to stick with
> what works. You should try it. Maybe you wont screw up the next
generation
> if you do.

Think about what the world would be like if everyone thought that way. There would be no America (except for natives). Back in that time most people thought there was no America. But they changed their ideas. You don't want the world to have the same ideas and technology as today in 50 years, do you?

===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.

1034. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 04:07:24 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAA3EEAB.14520%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 34554
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"bikertech" wrote:

> (snip) While we all sit around perpetuating idile
> conversation of the pro's and con's on this war,
> such as the UN has done for the last 12 years,
> thousands of Iraqi people have died at the hands
> of this tyrant. (snip)

Thousands of the Iraqi people are dying this week - and it is not "the tyrant" killing them.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1035. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 04:09:58 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <qyvfa.33$jl4.23816@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34547

I understand their right to protest, but what are the protesters hoping to do. Bush doesn't care if a city has a protest. The troops are over in Iraq, and that won't change for a while. Don't you think we should support them?

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.

1036. scanners to car laptops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 04:25:08 UTC
From: sweetmanto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030323232508.22863.00000228@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 34551

is there anywhy to find out what is being sent. Most police air broadcast are gone in MA.

1037. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 04:36:27 UTC
From: Colin <truth@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9347D22EE9843infocoffeecrewcom@199.175.106.247>
Source record: 34552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

Let's hope

their Geneva

convention rights

are being as

closely observed

at the 600 men

at Guantanamo Bay...

Almost 2 years in confinement.
No Lawyers. No Light. No Rights

1038. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 04:51:15 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030323235115.03516.00000222@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 34553

>Along this line of thinking... What about the new cell phones with
>that 'walkie-talkie' feature? I'm just curious as to how that
>function works

From what I've heard, these are standard analog transmissions with the Nextel system, so if you find the frequencies, you should be able to listen in.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

1039. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 05:15:43 UTC
From: "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
Message-ID: <3E7E947F.6080506@bmas.org>
Source record: 34555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

Colin wrote:
> Let's hope
>
> their Geneva
>
> convention rights
>
> are being as
>
> closely observed
>
> at the 600 men
>
> at Guantanamo Bay...
>
> Almost 2 years in confinement.
> No Lawyers. No Light. No Rights

The 600 at gitmo are not soldiers, they're terrorists.

And in Iraq they're executing our soldiers, and showing it on TV.

So are you a student, or a teacher?

And why do you seem to prefer Saddam to President Bush?

1040. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 05:26:18 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <_Fwfa.219738$qi4.108147@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 34556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

Because you guys and BUSH have ignored the LAW. The thing upon which this civilization is built.
You have bought into their "get the other guy at any cost" outlaw -sim

FOLLOW THE LAW

"Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org> wrote in message news:3E7E947F.6080506@bmas.org...
> Colin wrote:
> > Let's hope
> >
> > their Geneva
> >
> > convention rights
> >
> > are being as
> >
> > closely observed
> >
> > at the 600 men
> >
> > at Guantanamo Bay...
> >
> > Almost 2 years in confinement.
> > No Lawyers. No Light. No Rights
>
> The 600 at gitmo are not soldiers, they're terrorists.
>
> And in Iraq they're executing our soldiers, and showing it on TV.
>
> So are you a student, or a teacher?
>
> And why do you seem to prefer Saddam to President Bush?
>

1041. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 05:28:56 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <slwfa.218511$S_4.125074@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 34557

no they found what they "think may have" produced chem weapons. When will
you stick to the Law and the Facts.

You go after beople based on your suspicion. THat's what we're talking about
STOP IT!!~!!

"t" <t@t.org> wrote in message news:EMvfa.196159$L1.33131@sccrnsc02...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> AmeriChicken wrote:
> > I support the troops. Bush put them in harm's way not me
> > "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net> wrote in message
> > news:qyvfa.33$jl4.23816@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> >> I understand their right to protest, but what are the protesters
> >> hoping to do. Bush doesn't care if a city has a protest. The troops
> >> are over in Iraq, and that won't change for a while. Don't you think
> >> we should support them?
>
>
> Bush isn't the only leader to put troops into Iraq. PM Blair and PM Howard
> sent troops in. Also, the troops were sent in to forcefully eject Saddam,
> his regime, and his military from Iraq. Why? Because Saddam has violated
UN
> resolutions and inhumanely killed his own people, Kurds, and Jews. He also
> has created anthrax and has had WMD. The troops just found an 100 acre
> chem/bio facility in Iraq.
>
>

1042. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 05:31:29 UTC
From: AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
Message-ID: <RKwfa.218537$S_4.125289@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 34558

THAT'S WHAT ALL THIS "LAW" STUFF IS ABOUT - TO STOP PEOPLE LIKE YOU FROM
GOING AFTER THOSE YOU "SUSPECT" OR WHO "PROBABNLY DESERVE IT".

EVEN WHEN THEY DO DESERVE IT YOU NEED TO:
FOLLOW --THE --LAW-
EVEN IF IT IS AN INTERNATIONAL LAW
WHY DON'T YOU GET IT?

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:sIwfa.218511$S_4.125074@rwcrnsc53...
> no they found what they "think may have" produced chem weapons. When will
> you stick to the Law and the Facts.
>
> You go after beople based on your suspicion. THat's what we're talking
about
> STOP IT!!~!!
>
> "t" <t@t.org> wrote in message news:EMvfa.196159$L1.33131@sccrnsc02...
> > X-No-Archive: yes
> >
> > AmeriChicken wrote:
> > > I support the troops. Bush put them in harm's way not me
> > > "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net> wrote in message
> > > news:qyvfa.33$jl4.23816@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > >> I understand their right to protest, but what are the protesters
> > >> hoping to do. Bush doesn't care if a city has a protest. The troops
> > >> are over in Iraq, and that won't change for a while. Don't you think
> > >> we should support them?
> >
> >
> > Bush isn't the only leader to put troops into Iraq. PM Blair and PM
Howard
> > sent troops in. Also, the troops were sent in to forcefully eject
Saddam,
> > his regime, and his military from Iraq. Why? Because Saddam has violated
> UN
> > resolutions and inhumanely killed his own people, Kurds, and Jews. He
also
> > has created anthrax and has had WMD. The troops just found an 100 acre
> > chem/bio facility in Iraq.
> >
> >
>
>

1043. FS/FT: Sangean ATS 909

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 05:40:14 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2Twfa.114516$jP2.19674354@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 34559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sangean ATS 909 in very good cond. with minor wear, comes with AC adapter, manual, reel antenna, $160 shipped CONUS. Satisfaction guaranteed or money back less shipping. Paypal or postal money order. Will consider a trade for an Icom R5 or?
DeWayne K9KZ

1044. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 06:20:55 UTC
From: "Xraal[rot13]" <eidolon@polarbbs.mine.nu>
Message-ID: <pn8t7vsunoddts855j2839svdo8vtae6f1@4ax.com>
Source record: 34563

On Mon, 24 Mar 2003 00:15:43 -0500, "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
scribbled randomly:

>Colin wrote:
>> Let's hope
>>
>> their Geneva
>>
>> convention rights
>>
>> are being as
>>
>> closely observed
>>
>> at the 600 men
>>
>> at Guantanamo Bay...
>>
>> Almost 2 years in confinement.
>> No Lawyers. No Light. No Rights
>
>The 600 at gitmo are not soldiers, they're terrorists.
>
>And in Iraq they're executing our soldiers, and showing it on TV.
>
>So are you a student, or a teacher?
>
>And why do you seem to prefer Saddam to President Bush?

I think you're wrong about the people at Guantanamo. They are
soldiers, without question, just for a different cause/country.

--
Reality.sys corrupt. Reboot Universe?(y/n/q)
http://polarbbs.mine.nu/
telnet://polarbbs.mine.nu/

1045. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 06:29:11 UTC
From: "Xraal[rot13]" <eidolon@polarbbs.mine.nu>
Message-ID: <b09t7v0pgm732c0j7ou9n77aro0m2br6f0@4ax.com>
Source record: 34564

On Sun, 23 Mar 2003 21:11:23 -0600, "Paul Jensen" <pjensen@gnt.net>
scribbled randomly:

>"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
>news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
>> Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
>> soldiers are fighting for?
>
>I don't think the right to protest is the issue. The protestors are
>criticized because how could any intelligent, clear-thinking individual not
>think it's a good idea to get rid of a baby-killing mass murderer who has
>violated U.N. resolutions and may very well be supplying weapons of mass
>destruction to terrorists who will kill Americans?
>

Hate to break it to you, but there are *much* worse people than Saddam
out there, a lot of them are either too powerful for the US to tackle,
or have broken to our will and are too useful at the moment to destroy
just yet. Saddam had the bad luck of having previously angered the US,
and then on top of that being in possession of resources which we
would like to get our hands on, but couldn't do so without breaking
our own sanctions against him. I think the WMD reason for going to war
with Iraq is, besides hypocritical, a crock of shit because of the
number of other countries who could possibly have nuclear or
biological weapons at their disposal, and pose a greater potential
threat to the US, and would hence make better targets.

--
Reality.sys corrupt. Reboot Universe?(y/n/q)
http://polarbbs.mine.nu/
telnet://polarbbs.mine.nu/

1046. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 06:31:34 UTC
From: "Xraal[rot13]" <eidolon@polarbbs.mine.nu>
Message-ID: <4h9t7vkjpbhuletou4i4ae44lqvppiq65u@4ax.com>
Source record: 34565

On Mon, 24 Mar 2003 05:31:29 GMT, "AmeriChicken"
<Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> scribbled randomly:

>THAT'S WHAT ALL THIS "LAW" STUFF IS ABOUT - TO STOP PEOPLE LIKE YOU FROM
>GOING AFTER THOSE YOU "SUSPECT" OR WHO "PROBABNLY DESERVE IT".
>
>EVEN WHEN THEY DO DESERVE IT YOU NEED TO:
>FOLLOW --THE --LAW-
>EVEN IF IT IS AN INTERNATIONAL LAW
>WHY DON'T YOU GET IT?
>

I think your caps lock key is broken.

--
Reality.sys corrupt. Reboot Universe?(y/n/q)
http://polarbbs.mine.nu/
telnet://polarbbs.mine.nu/

1047. Re: WOW What A Crazy Radio Guy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 06:38:53 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b5m91r$2adasd$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Radio Demon" <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
news:yh5fa.6763$lQ4.1390277@news20.bellglobal.com...

> Steve Anderson, 55, of Somerset, Kentucky, a right-wing
> extremist pirate radio broadcaster and former member of the Kentucky State
> Militia, was arrested in southwestern North Carolina after eluding
> authorities for over a year.

Old news. But if you think he was crazy from what you read in this article,
you should have heard his live broadcasts back before he went on the lam.

Tom

1048. Re: Scanner Interface Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 06:42:02 UTC
From: Don Starr <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <13at7vsc91q30f36p9hdf2dc0m9uqs0n0r@4ax.com>
Source record: 34561

It's not "exactly" like it... from a visual inspection, the TI cable is terminated with a stereo
plug, while the PRO-95 cable is mono.

The TI GraphLink cable is not compatible with the PRO-95. The TI cable is not a "serial" cable in
the common sense.

On Sun, 23 Mar 2003 20:29:49 GMT, "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Hi,
>
>I just noticed that the cable for the Pro-95 scanner looks exactly like the
>cable I had to buy for my calculator. The Pro-95 cable is shown here:
>
>http://www.blackbagsoftware.com/pro92.com/2waycable.jpg
>
>The TI calculator interface cable is shown here:
>
>http://www.vernier.com/calc/images/glc-win.jpg
>
>Do you think it is safe to try? That would save me $20 something!
>
>Thanks,

1049. Re: My new radio web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 07:01:20 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b5mabu$2aach8$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34562

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:hNIea.21461$S%3.1216898@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Tom, I LOVE your webpage. Your shack is awesome. I need to get some
photos
> of my shack, but it's mostly computer stuff right now! Thanks for a
> brilliant web page. I will post a link to your page on my webpage.

Thank you very much. That's my first effort at building a website.

--
Tom Sevart N2UHC
Frontenac, KS

http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc

1050. FS: ALINCO DJV5T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 07:32:16 UTC
From: KC2KSZ <rad@sprintpcs.com>
Message-ID: <4wyfa.191147$b8.41731690@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 34566
Cross-posted to: nj.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Alinco DJV5T/TH/TDC/TDCH
VHF/UHF Dual Band FM Transceiver
Star Performer!

Asking $135.00

http://www.alinco.com/usa.html

Only 3mos. Old
Perfect Condition, No Scratches
Have Original Box and Original Manual
Ground Shipping Incl. from NJ

MUST HAVE FCC LICENSE

1051. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 10:04:07 UTC
From: Walt127@world.net
Message-ID: <3E7ED817.DC2004E4@world.net>
Source record: 34567

AmeriChicken wrote:

> no they found what they "think may have" produced chem weapons. When will
> you stick to the Law and the Facts.
>
> You go after beople based on your suspicion. THat's what we're talking about
> STOP IT!!~!!
>

Ya, Stop it you guys, your upsetting the Chicken.

1052. Opotelectronics Scout Model 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 10:35:39 UTC
From: derek@idldata.com
Message-ID: <MPG.18e8efd488aec74e989683@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 34568

Usual problem somebody reversed to polarity.

Component at U13 has exploded.

Can anybody point me to a schematic or diagram for this
?

Alternatively can you tell me what the part is. ?

Or I suppose a repairer in the UK might be an option.

Regards

Derekat

--
derekat

1053. PRO-2066 on airband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 11:32:16 UTC
From: "Troy R." <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3e7eec9f$0$5555$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 34569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am after a small base scanner for airband use. I can pick up a used PRO-2066 pretty cheaply. I was wondering how well this scanner works on the airband?

Would a 2053 or a 2067 be a better option?

Thanks all.

1054. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 11:40:18 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0303240340.5ca50c98@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

AC, (One More IH*3)

The Geneva Convention (International Law) set forth the term
"Un-Law-Ful Combatants" and describes what they are in-fact as a point
of law by treaty.

Those individuals that are currently being held at Guantanamo Bay are
so 'categorized' as "Un-Law-Ful Combatants" by the USA Government.
Even the 'most holly' French Government has not place a 'question'
before the World Court or the International War Crimes Tribunal to
bring this matter up for judicial interpretation.

God {Allah} Bless Our American Fighting Men and Women -Amen

May God's {Allah's} Strength, Kindness, Mercy and Wisdom 'abide' with
America's Leaders - Amen.

~ RHF

IH*3 = I Hate Bush. I Hate Americans. I Hate the USA

= = = "AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
= = = wrote in message news:<_Fwfa.219738$qi4.108147@rwcrnsc54>...
> Because you guys and BUSH have ignored the LAW. The thing upon which this
> civilization is built.
> You have bought into their "get the other guy at any cost" outlaw -sim
>
> FOLLOW THE LAW
>
> "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org> wrote in message
> news:3E7E947F.6080506@bmas.org...
> > Colin wrote:
> > > Let's hope
> > >
> > > their Geneva
> > >
> > > convention rights
> > >
> > > are being as
> > >
> > > closely observed
> > >
> > > at the 600 men
> > >

> > > at Guantanamo Bay...
> > >
> > > Almost 2 years in confinement.
> > > No Lawyers. No Light. No Rights
> >
> > The 600 at gitmo are not soldiers, they're terrorists.
> >
> > And in Iraq they're executing our soldiers, and showing it on TV.
> >
> > So are you a student, or a teacher?
> >
> > And why do you seem to prefer Saddam to President Bush?
> >

1055. Re: scanners to car laptops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 12:20:52 UTC
From: No <123@noone.net>
Message-ID: <EKCfa.193340$b8.42387151@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 34571

Mass State PD uses RDLAP protocol. There is nothing on the market to decode it.

George

"SweetManTo" <sweetmanto@aol.com> wrote in message news:20030323232508.22863.00000228@mb-ca.aol.com...
> is there anywhy to find out what is being sent. Most police air broadcast are
> gone in MA.

1056. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 12:49:18 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d17275c.0303240449.5c4ee996@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

Colin <truth@NOSPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<Xns9347D22EE9843infocoffeecrewcom@199.175.106.247>...
> Let's hope
>
> their Geneva
>
> convention rights
>
> are being as
>
> closely observed
>
> at the 600 men
>
> at Guantanamo Bay...
>
> Almost 2 years in confinement.
> No Lawyers. No Light. No Rights

Not that simple. As soldiers with no nation state sponser they
are more similar to pirates then soldiers.
For an overview of why POW status does not aply, and why
we, the USA, can hold, and then try by military tribunal see:
http://writ.news.findlaw.com/dorf/20020123.html
And for the complete "Rules and conventions of land warfare":
http://www.asociety.com/geneva1.html
But I will warn you the complete convention is not light reading.
In short becuase of the way they operated in Afgansistan, we can
damn well do whatever we like to these pirates. A quick tribunal,
a blindfold, a short fall with a rope to stop them and they get
to go straight to paridise.
If you don't like it, you might warn other warriors for god that
if they fail to meet the requirements set forth in the convtentions,
they too can be treated like pirates.

Terry

1057. Bearcat 10 channel handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 12:59:09 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030324075909.03802.00000189@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 34573

Can anyone tell me the model number of the Uniden Bearcat 10 channel handheld scanner that is basically the equivelant of the Radio Shack Pro-41?

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

1058. PA main Emergency Communications Freqs by County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 14:30:26 UTC
From: kb3fxi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3e7f1401.1862458031@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 34574
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello all,

My PA-SitRep website features a PA County Map whereby visitors may click on an particular County in the Commonwealth to get basic emergency communications information for each County.

Here's the address for the clickable map:
http://www.pa-sitrep.com/#MAP

I've made great progress over the last several years with this project but I still need a lot of fills.

If you think you may be able to provide emergency communications information for a particular County in PA (i.e. ARES/RACES repeaters, County EMA freqs and or web addresses, etc.), please take a moment to check out the map and see if you have any information to add to any of my PA County pages.

I'm also always looking for more PA-SitRep Contacts (scanner enthusiasts are a perfect fit). Here's where you can learn more about the PA-SitRep Contact project:
http://www.pa-sitrep.com/contact.htm

PA-SitRep is a Pennsylvania Emegency Communications information website and is a 100% volunteer/non-commercial project.

tnx es 73

-David Kleber, KB3FXI
www.PA-SitRep.com

1059. Live Military Broadcasts – Florida Areas are Hot!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 14:36:24 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E7F17E8.9090705@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34575

Its Monday morning and the MOAï¿½s, Warning Areas, and Ranges are all open for business. Been lots of Mil Comms today and weï¿½re broadcasting live at http://www.MilAirComms.com

This evening as weï¿½ve done before weï¿½ll replay todayï¿½s activities for the benefit of those who work and never get to hear an active weekday afternoon. During the replay there will be no breaks between individual comms as its recorded using a VOX recorder. A VOX recorder only records voice, not the dead air between transmission. The rebroadcast will start at about 2000 hrs EST unless there is still Live comms happening in the area.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1060. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 14:40:48 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v7u672h1sj7p28@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34576

Because they are exercising their rights. Freedom of speech is not a one way street.

The "freespeech movement" is anything but...

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
> Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
> soldiers are fighting for?
>
>

1061. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 14:56:37 UTC
From: adoniscat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5147a47d.0303240656.2a22f9cc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34577

Hi Celt Chic,

If you haven't already, join these Yahoo! user groups:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PRO-95owners/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PRO-93_95/

You can search the message archives and find answers to many of your questions. Be sure to look in the "Files" section to see if someone has uploaded a file containing frequencies for your area.

I've had a Pro-95 since October and love it - great scanner. The advice you've read about getting the software and a cable is very good - programming by hand will take forever. The site for the free (and extremely good) software is:

http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win95/

You'll probably find that it takes slightly longer to get used to your scanner then your shortwave, but it's really pretty easy once you understand the basics.

Enjoy!

-sam

1062. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 15:04:11 UTC
From: Rudi <wiedemann@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <L7Ffa.222981$qi4.110119@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 34578

These people are nothing more than 'adult' children throwing a temper tantrum because they didn't get the red truck they wanted, so they start lashing out and breaking things.

I guess they never got a good spanking when they were young (can't say children, because they never really grew up).

They haven't yet figured out that rather than acting like spoiled brats, they could organize into a political action group and lobby the government for change using our system of government. We all know that Congress pays attention to masses of voters with a common viewpoint.

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
> Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
> soldiers are fighting for?
>
>

1063. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 15:14:43 UTC
From: JohnW <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <Xns934868379C012JohnW@216.166.71.234>
Source record: 34579

"Rudi" <wiedemann@attbi.com> wrote in
news:L7Ffa.222981$qi4.110119@rwcrnsc54:

> These people are nothing more than 'adult' children throwing a temper
> tantrum because they didn't get the red truck they wanted, so they
> start lashing out and breaking things.
>
> I guess they never got a good spanking when they were young (can't say
> children, because they never really grew up).
>
> They haven't yet figured out that rather than acting like spoiled
> brats, they could organize into a political action group and lobby the
> government for change using our system of government. We all know
> that Congress pays attention to masses of voters with a common
> viewpoint.
>
> "AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
> news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
>> Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that
>> our soldiers are fighting for?
>>
>>
>
>
>

Or maybe they are nothing more than AMERICANS doing what EVERY AMERICAN
has a RIGHT to do. No one said you had to like what they say.

1064. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 15:27:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b5n84b$41$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34580
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Spin Spin Spin....change the subject. Confuse it all.

What the heck are you talking about that 'my people' dont support the war in Iraq? What are you smoking?

Dan/W4NTI

"Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net> wrote in message news:etvfa.29$jl4.22262@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > The thing about conservatives is we know the difference between right and
> > wrong. Decent and bad. Good and Evil. And
>
> The difference between good and evil? So then if you truly think you know
> that difference, why is it that your people don't support a war with Iraq?
> Saddam is evil, right?
>
> >yes we do tend to stick with
> > what works. You should try it. Maybe you wont screw up the next
> generation
> > if you do.
>
> Think about what the world would be like if everyone thought that way.
There
> would be no America (except for natives). Back in that time most people
> thought there was no America. But they changed their ideas. You don't want
> the world to have the same ideas and technology as today in 50 years, do
> you?
>
> ===Matt===
> Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
> Remove "{nospam}" when replying.
>
>

1065. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 16:44:49 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v7udfiq2c6ok97@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34581

And an additional thought from Charlie Daniel's:

February 24, 2003
An Open Letter To The Hollywood Bunch
Ok let's just say for a moment you bunch of pampered, overpaid, unrealistic children had your way and the U.S.A. didn't go into Iraq.
Let's say that you really get your way and we destroy all our nuclear weapons and stick daisies in our gun barrels and sit around with some white wine and cheese and pat ourselves on the back, so proud of what we've done for world peace.
Let's say that we cut the military budget to just enough to keep the National Guard on hand to help out with floods and fires.
Let's say that we close down our military bases all over the world and bring the troops home, increase our foreign aid and drop all the trade sanctions against everybody.
I suppose that in your fantasy world this would create a Utopian world where everybody would live in peace. After all, the great monster, the United States of America, the cause of all the world's trouble would have disbanded it's horrible military and certainly all the other countries of the world would follow suit.
After all, they only arm themselves to defend their countries from the mean old U.S.A.
Why you bunch of pitiful, hypocritical, idiotic, spoiled mugwumps.
get your head out of the sand and smell the Trade Towers burning.
Do you think that a trip to Iraq by Sean Penn did anything but encourage a wanton murderer to think that the people of the U.S.A. didn't have the nerve or the guts to fight him?
Barbara Streisand's fanatical and hateful rankings about George Bush makes about as much sense as Michael Jackson hanging a baby over a railing.
You people need to get out of Hollywood once in a while and get out into the real world. You'd be surprised at the hostility you would find out here.
Stop in at a truck stop and tell an overworked, long distance truck driver that you don't think Saddam Hussein is doing anything wrong.
Tell a farmer with a couple of sons in the military that you think the United States has no right to defend itself.
Go down to Baxley, Georgia and hold an anti-war rally and see what the folks down there think about you.
You people are some of the most disgusting examples of a waste of protoplasm I've ever had the displeasure to hear about.
Sean Penn, you're a traitor to the United States of America. You gave aid and comfort to the enemy. How many American lives will your little, "fact finding trip" to Iraq cost? You encouraged Saddam to

think that we didn't have the stomach for war.
You people protect one of the most evil men on the face of this earth and won't lift a finger to save the life of an unborn baby. Freedom of choice you say?
Well I'm going to exercise some freedom of choice of my own. If I see any of your names on a marquee, I'm going to boycott the movie. I will completely stop going to movies if I have to. In most cases it certainly wouldn't be much of a loss.
You scoff at our military who's boots you're not even worthy to shine. They go to battle and risk their lives so ingrates like you can live in luxury. The day of reckoning is coming when you will be faced with the undeniable truth that the war against Saddam Hussein is the war on terrorism.
America is in imminent danger. You're either for her or against her. There is no middle ground.
I think we all know where you stand.
What do you think?
God Bless America
Charlie Daniels

Copyright 0 2003 Charlie Daniels
All rights under copyright reserved. Used by permission.

"JohnW" <no@no.no> wrote in message
news:Xns934868379C012JohnW@216.166.71.234...
> "Rudi" <wiedemann@attbi.com> wrote in
> Or maybe they are nothing more than AMERICANS doing what EVERY AMERICAN
> has a RIGHT to do. No one said you had to like what they say.

1066. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 18:29:43 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b5ni0h$2b2fho$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34582

Because their wrong.

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
> Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
> soldiers are fighting for?
>
>

1067. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 18:35:48 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b5nibt$2a1l3s$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34583

Can idiots post to Usenet? You just confirmed it.

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:ugSea.168216$eG2.22397@sccrnsc03...
> Are blondes allowed to have scanners?
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:qORea.18456$ja4.1244590@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > My husband finally went out and got my Radio Shack Pro-95!!! Yeah!!! I
> > took one look at the manual, though, and I'm totally clueless! I was
that
> > way when he first got my shortwave radio, but I finally learned. I
guess
> it
> > just takes time. :)
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1068. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 18:36:50 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b5nidq$2b0a39$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34584

Have same law in MN. Best get studying. AARL's "Look Whos Talking Now" is a
good study book.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:%15fa.19359$ja4.1328963@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I guess as long as I don't get pulled over with it, we are!
>
> Speaking of which, the guy at Radio Shack said I am allowed to take it
with
> me as long as I have a Ham Operator's license. Is that true? Does anyone
> know about the rules for my area? I live in Clarksville, IN right across
> the river from Louisville, KY.
>
> Thanks,
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>
> AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
> news:ugSea.168216$eG2.22397@sccrnsc03...
> > Are blondes allowed to have scanners?
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:qORea.18456$ja4.1244590@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > My husband finally went out and got my Radio Shack Pro-95!!! Yeah!!!
I
> > > took one look at the manual, though, and I'm totally clueless! I was
> that
> > > way when he first got my shortwave radio, but I finally learned. I
> guess
> > it
> > > just takes time. :)
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove

nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1069. Scanner Supplies in the Wilkes Barre /Scranton PA area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 18:56:50 UTC
From: mcmanusmacdg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030324135650.13314.00000234@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 34585

Hi,
Anyone know of any electronic stores in the area above besides Radio Shack?
Thanks,
Mike

1070. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 19:13:16 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <gNIfa.27270$S%3.1590458@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34588

Thanks, Beloved!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Beloved Leader <Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com> wrote in message
news:26a0f230.0303221759.87f17f7@posting.google.com...
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<%15fa.19359$ja4.1328963@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
>
> > Does anyone
> > know about the rules for my area? I live in Clarksville, IN right
across
> > the river from Louisville, KY.
>
> I thought Indiana had some weird laws prohibiting mobile use of a
> scanner. Try this page:
> http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/
~~~~~~~

1071. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 19:13:17 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <hNIfa.27271$S%3.1590658@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34586

I do want to get it and I was going to get it anyway because I'm interested
in becoming a ham. I'd like to study for it without spending lots of money
so I'm going to surf the web and see what's out there in the line of online
study pages. I'm sure learning Morse is going to be a pain, but a friend
said you only have to learn five words/min.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
news:b5nidq$2b0a39$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> Have same law in MN. Best get studying. AARL's "Look Whos Talking Now" is
a
> good study book.
>
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:%15fa.19359$ja4.1328963@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > I guess as long as I don't get pulled over with it, we are!
> >
> > Speaking of which, the guy at Radio Shack said I am allowed to take it
> with
> > me as long as I have a Ham Operator's license. Is that true? Does
anyone
> > know about the rules for my area? I live in Clarksville, IN right
across
> > the river from Louisville, KY.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
~~~~~~~

> >
> > AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
> > news:ugSea.168216$eG2.22397@sccrnsc03...
> > > Are blondes allowed to have scanners?
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:qORea.18456$ja4.1244590@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > My husband finally went out and got my Radio Shack Pro-95!!!
Yeah!!!
> I
> > > > took one look at the manual, though, and I'm totally clueless! I
was
> > that
> > > > way when he first got my shortwave radio, but I finally learned. I
> > guess
> > > it
> > > > just takes time. :)
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1072. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 19:13:18 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <iNIfa.27272$S%3.1589652@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34587

Hi Wayne,

Thanks for the nice set of links and for posting the scanner law for my state. So far I've used it only in my house, but I'd like to take it to work with me to listen with headphones at my desk, and I'd hate to get pulled over with one in my car! I don't have a trunk to put it in, either, because I drive a Jeep Wagoneer so they'd probably definitely give me a ticket for having it in my car. :(

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Wayne Farmer <usenet@endymionsystems.com> wrote in message
news:-tSdnaH4Qp6XkuCjXTWcrg@psknet.com...
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:K05fa.19358$ja4.1331478@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > Someday I plan on getting a cord that will go into my computer because
> > programming it by hand is proving to take a lot of time! Are they
> > expensive?
>
> Nope. $23 at www.pro95.com (BlackBag Software). I ordered mine in
January,
> and it works flawlessly.
>
> The free computer software is at
> http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win95/default.asp .
>
> An easier-to-read manual in HTML:
> http://marksscanners.home.att.net/95-1.html .
>
> Answers to frequently asked questions:
> http://www.equisport.com/cgi-bin/pro93_95/faqmanager.cgi .
>
> The online database of FCC frequency allocations is at
> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm . This will let you search
for
> frequencies in your area. It's the same information that you'll find on
the
~~~~~~~

> CD-ROM that comes with Police Call 2002.
>
> To find trunked radio listings, you'll definitely want to visit L. C.
> Blanton's excellent trunked radio site at http://www.trunkedradio.net/ .
>
> A nice accessory for your scanner is a pair of headphones. I bought a
pair
> of KOSS SpeedZone monophonic headphones a few years ago, and they are
great
> for listening when in public places (but see below), or to help you hear
> noisy or faint signals.
>
> Earlier, you wrote:
>
> > the guy at Radio Shack said I am allowed to take it with me [in the car]
> as long as I have a Ham Operator's license. Is that true? Does anyone
know
> about the rules for my area? I live in Clarksville, IN right across the
> river from Louisville, KY.
>
> Visit http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/index.html . It says that
> legislation was passed in Indiana in 2002 that makes it a Class B
> Misdemeanor to use a scanner -anywhere, at any time- unless you meet one
of
> several exemptions. Some of these are:
>
> a. Get permission from "written permission from the chief executive
officer
> of a law enforcement agency".
>
> b. Be "a person who holds an amateur radio license issued by the Federal
> Communications Commission if the person is not transmitting over a
frequency
> assigned for police emergency purposes".
>
> c. Use the scanner "only in the person's dwelling or place of business".
>
> The same site says that similar restrictions are in effect in Kentucky.
>
> -- Wayne (PRO-95 owner)
>
>

1073. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 19:13:19 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jNIfa.27274$S%3.1590389@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34589

Thanks, Randy! The more freqs I can download, the better! I like my collections to be big!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Randy <Bearcat@astound.net> wrote in message news:b5lqed$s8q$1@news.astound.net...
> Go to cityfreq.com. Should have the freq's for your area. Enjoy
> Randy
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:K05fa.19358$ja4.1331478@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Hehehe.... They can put gas in it if they want to, I guess. ;)
Anyway,
> > it's definitely not a new automobile. It's a rugged jeep! But they can
> > still put gas in it!
> >
> > No, seriously. Thanks for all the help. My husband bought me a CD called
> > Police Call that was on clearance for $4.97 at Radio Shack. I realized
> > later why it was so cheap, it was copyrighted in 2000! I guess that's
> > better than paying $19.99 for the book, especially since I'm new at this.
> I
> > will admit it took me a while to learn the whole shortwave radio thing,
> but
> > my goodness, the manual to the scanner is Greek to me! And I will say I'm
> > an intelligent girl, I have a degree in mathematics with a minor in
> computer
> > science, and that manual is still way above me! I played with the scanner
> > until 3:00am this morning and I think that by just playing with it I am
> > learning a lot more than reading the manual, but I still should read it so
> I
> > don't miss out on any thing. I would like some new frequencies. I tried
> to
~~~~~~~

> > input the frequencies for my old high school and college, but they
> > apparently are now towing services! If anyone has new frequencies for
the
> > Louisville, KY area, Clarksville, IN, New Albany, IN, Jeffersonville, IN
> and
> > Floyds Knobs, IN, I'd be thankful! (AmeriChicken may be right....I do
> have
> > lots of requests...)
> >
> > Someday I plan on getting a cord that will go into my computer because
> > programming it by hand is proving to take a lot of time! Are they
> > expensive?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
> >
> > AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
> > news:6R0fa.178901$F1.7548@sccrnsc04...
> > > TAKE IT EASY GUYS>
> > > "Police call", "Computer cable". Gee such big manly words to use on a
> > girl.
> > > She'd be totally lost with out your help. She has no idea what a pro
95
> > is
> > > or why she got it.
> > > She'd never have guessed that it uses "frequencies" or a "computer".
> > > Thanks for coming to her rescue!!
> > >
> > > Your next job is to put "gas" in that new "automobile"
> > >
> > >
> > > <bball@green.home.org> wrote in message
> > > news:slrnb7opj7.vee.bball@green.home.org...
> > > >
> > > > - your husband's next job is to get you a copy of Police Call
> > > > for your region...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > On Sat, 22 Mar 2003 04:39:50 GMT, Celt Chic
> > > > <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > >

> > > > > My husband finally went out and got my Radio Shack Pro-95!!!
> Yeah!!!
> > I
> > > > > took one look at the manual, though, and I'm totally clueless! I
> was
> > > that
> > > > > way when he first got my shortwave radio, but I finally learned.
I
> > > guess it
> > > > > just takes time. :)
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1074. Re: Bearcat 10 channel handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 19:28:16 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <26a0f230.0303241128.7ee1c2b1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34590

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme (VHFRadioBuff) wrote in message news:<20030324075909.03802.00000189@mb-cq.aol.com>...

> Uniden Bearcat 10 channel handheld

Give this a try:
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm

1075. Phila Police with Uniden 250D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 19:31:44 UTC
From: Ron Resh <rwresh@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <8D49A.6000609@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 34591
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have the new Uniden 250d scanner and I am having a problem hearing the transmissions. I have programed the control channels for both Fire and police and I am able to identify all the call groups. For some reason I only hear the first syllables of a conversation and cannot hear the whole conversation. I have tried to adjust the sound quality and have had some improvement but I still cannot hear the total conversation. Does anyone have any suggestions?

1076. Re: Alinco DJ-X2000 bug hunting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 20:04:59 UTC
From: "btinternet.com" <ill_get_that_done@dreckley.com>
Message-ID: <3e7f647c$0$59851$65c69314@mercury.nildram.net>
Source record: 34592
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I bought an Alinco some time back and attempted to use it many times. Had a nervous breakdown because of it . It was bought for me as an Xmas present fortunately the supplier could not make it work and refunded the purchase price. Leslie now posting as woople

"M3***" <happyraveruk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b5k51o$29e9po$1@ID-155271.news.dfncis.de...
> told you so
>
>
> "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:b5k2gs$2a93qc$1@ID-172472.news.dfncis.de...
> > there are plenty of good radios for under ?500,as all other handhelds
are
> > under ?500 i take it you dont rate any of them,the fact is most people
> will
> > use a handy for conveniance,but so far no one had produced one with all
> the
> > features,i would like,but the DJ-X2000 is the nearest i have found,then
> > followed by Icoms R2 or R5.
> >
> > but all will have problems in various areas,but with wideband scanners
> then
> > thats only to be expected.
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > --
> > Paul Wey
> > PROMA1
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
> >
> > due to current world circumstances all files are request only from
myself
> > for main proma files sent to your inbox monthly join
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promafiles
> > For good prices on scanners go to
> >
> > Stewart Aviation
> >
> > http://www.stewart-aviation.co.uk/rs/index.html

> > "M3***" <happyraveruk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:b5hthe$2949j8$1@ID-155271.news.dfncis.de...
> > > Sorry, but thats Alinco.
> > >
> > > Que Paul, who will now tell you how good it is (despite the fact that
> > > everyone else thinks they are crap), he will insist it's an excellent
> > unit,
> > > that battery life is excellent (lmfao), and try and convince himself
> that
> > a
> > > radio for under £500 can be a good performer.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > <haxtemp@btopenworld.com> wrote in message
> > > news:lbdn7v8ldqtrn1k7gldosd6ot9iut33n3s@4ax.com...
> > > > Got a DJ-X2000 two days ago. Particularly interested in this make
due
> > > > to its alleged bug finding capabilities. Unfortunately while these
> > > > look nice in the advertising blurb and instructions, they are not
very
> > > > good in practice, in my experience, unless there's something wrong
> > > > with this particular unit.
> > > >
> > > > Suppose for the sake of this post that there is a transmitting radio
> > > > bug somewhere in the tested location. We are trying to find it. The
> > > > scanner provides a number of functions which are relevant to such
> > > > activity.
> > > >
> > > > "Flash Tune / Frequency Counter" seems to be a dodo. Flash Tune sits
> > > > for half an hour with CHECKING grinning all over its screen and
> > > > doesn't actually tune into anything at all. Frequency Counter finds
> > > > frequencies in the cellphone (UK) range, 901-908 particularly, so if
> > > > there is no other local transmission perhaps that bit works.
> > > >
> > > > Transweeper is a disaster. It emits a constant loud tone (good),
locks
> > > > into a frequency and finds it all over my house at a distance of one
> > > > meter. (It does this for numerous frequencies). It declares as "bug"
> > > > frequencies normal broadcast FM and TV stations. It finds so many
> > > > false positives (in VFO + PMS scans) that it is impossible to tell
> > > > whether there any real positives at all.
> > > >
> > > > Does Transweeper only detect regular unencrypted audio bugs, or can
it
> > > > also find more sophisticated devices which use encoding or
> > > > spread-spectrum/frequency hopping? That would be too much to expect?
> > > >
> > > > Field Strength Meter doesn't work either. Meter display mode doesn't

> > > > show any RF signal at all, even when next to a cordless phone.
> > > > Set-Level mode initially buzzes madly on level three for ten
seconds,
> > > > then even at level one cannot find any signals higher than that
level,
> > > > even when next to cordless phone.
> > > >
> > > > Is my unit jinxed, or are all these scanners the same way? This is
not
> > > > what I paid ?500 for.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1077. FS: 'Canadian' Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 20:35:45 UTC
From: Jet <jet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <B_Jfa.2592$945.7999@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 34594

For Sale:

Canadian version with no blocked frequencies. Price is in Canadian dollars.

http://www.halifax-arc.org/cgi-bin/classifieds.pl?search_and_display_db_butt
on=on&db_id=1398&query=retrieval

1078. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 20:55:00 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0303241255.199798a2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

= = = rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) wrote in message
= = = news:<3ef6beca.0303240340.5ca50c98@posting.google.com>...
> AC, (One More IH*3)
>
> The Geneva Convention (International Law) set forth the term
> "Un-Law-Ful Combatants" and describes what they are in-fact as a point
> of law by treaty.
>

AC,

WHAT IS AN "UNLAWFUL COMBATANT," AND WHY IT MATTERS:
The Status Of Detained Al Qaeda And Taliban Fighters
Written by MICHAEL C. DORF
GoTo=> http://writ.news.findlaw.com/dorf/20020123.html

~ RHF

>
> Those individuals that are currently being held at Guantanamo Bay are
> so 'categorized' as "Un-Law-Ful Combatants" by the USA Government.
> Even the 'most holly' French Government has not place a 'question'
> before the World Court or the International War Crimes Tribunal to
> bring this matter up for judicial interpretation.
>
> God {Allah} Bless Our American Fighting Men and Women -Amen
>
> May God's {Allah's} Strength, Kindness, Mercy and Wisdom 'abide' with
> America's Leaders - Amen.
>
>
> ~ RHF
>
>
> IH*3 = I Hate Bush. I Hate Americans. I Hate the USA
>
>
> = = = "AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com>
> = = = wrote in message news:<_Fwfa.219738$qi4.108147@rwcrnsc54>...
> > Because you guys and BUSH have ignored the LAW. The thing upon which this
> > civilization is built.
> > You have bought into their "get the other guy at any cost" outlaw -sim
> >

> > FOLLOW THE LAW
> >
> > "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org> wrote in message
> > news:3E7E947F.6080506@bmas.org...
> > > Colin wrote:
> > > > Let's hope
> > > >
> > > > their Geneva
> > > >
> > > > convention rights
> > > >
> > > > are being as
> > > >
> > > > closely observed
> > > >
> > > > at the 600 men
> > > >
> > > > at Guantanamo Bay...
> > > >
> > > > Almost 2 years in confinement.
> > > > No Lawyers. No Light. No Rights
> > >
> > > The 600 at gitmo are not soldiers, they're terrorists.
> > >
> > > And in Iraq they're executing our soldiers, and showing it on TV.
> > >
> > > So are you a student, or a teacher?
> > >
> > > And why do you seem to prefer Saddam to President Bush?
> > >

1079. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 21:04:48 UTC
From: Richard Dreyfuss <jquimby@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns93488CD98EFA2jquimbyhotmailcom@206.141.192.32>
Source record: 34593

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:hNIfa.27271$S%3.1590658@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:

> I do want to get it and I was going to get it anyway because I'm
> interested in becoming a ham. I'd like to study for it without
> spending lots of money so I'm going to surf the web and see what's out
> there in the line of online study pages. I'm sure learning Morse is
> going to be a pain, but a friend said you only have to learn five
> words/min.

You can start a little earlier with a technician class "no-code" license.
This won't provide you access to the bands below 30mhz but it's a good way
to start out, and very easy to get (easier than some hams would like).
Pick up the book at radio shack for $10-$15 dollars, it lists all the
multiple choice questions that they are allowed to ask you, and all the
answers. Study it and you'll have no problems, and it will allow you to
carry a scanner in your state.

Ben

1080. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 22:06:09 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <IjLfa.473$jl4.199094@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34596

> Pick up the book at radio shack for $10-$15 dollars, it lists all the

All books are clearanced for $8 and less.

===Matt===

1081. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 22:09:37 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <BmLfa.475$jl4.199601@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34497

Off topic, but I've been very curious to what you have your doctrine in.

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.

1082. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 22:14:27 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb7v0q4.1lf.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 34500

In article <hNIfa.27271$S%3.1590658@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, Celt Chic wrote:
> I do want to get it and I was going to get it anyway because I'm interested
> in becoming a ham. I'd like to study for it without spending lots of money
> so I'm going to surf the web and see what's out there in the line of online
> study pages. I'm sure learning Morse is going to be a pain, but a friend
> said you only have to learn five words/min.

5 words/min = 12 seconds/word. The reference word is Paris.
.--.ï¿½.-ï¿½.-.ï¿½..ï¿½...
This is 14 key-presses, so slightly faster than 1 press
every second. It means you need to be fluid in your keying.

You can listen to morse at 5 wpm and other speeds here:
http://www.soton.ac.uk/~scp93ch/morse/index.html

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

1083. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 22:14:28 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <8rLfa.476$jl4.200435@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34498

>we don't go kill people who are "suspected of "
> something.

True, but what should happen if we wait for Iraq. Do they need to bomb us
and kill MILLIONS for us to react, or should we take them out before they
are able to do this? If a person pulls a gun on an officer, the officer will
shoot before they get shot at.
That is what America is doing, being the officer.

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.

1084. Re: Bearcat 10 channel handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 22:17:21 UTC
From: Ken <kencaz@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <RtLfa.477$jl4.200609@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34499

BC60XLT

http://www.uniden.com/productsupport.cfm?product=BC60XLT

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message
news:20030324075909.03802.00000189@mb-cq.aol.com...
> Can anyone tell me the model number of the Uniden Bearcat 10 channel
handheld
> scanner that is basically the equivelant of the Radio Shack Pro-41?
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

1085. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 22:44:52 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b5o0us$2b2ikf$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34501

Its only illegal if you get caught. :-)
If you have it tucked away in a bag or something out of sight, and turned
off I dont think you'll have problems. I do this all the time.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:iNIfa.27272$S%3.1589652@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi Wayne,
>
> Thanks for the nice set of links and for posting the scanner law for my
> state. So far I've used it only in my house, but I'd like to take it to
> work with me to listen with headphones at my desk, and I'd hate to get
> pulled over with one in my car! I don't have a trunk to put it in,
either,
> because I drive a Jeep Wagoneer so they'd probably definitely give me a
> ticket for having it in my car. :(
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>
> Wayne Farmer <usenet@endymionsystems.com> wrote in message
> news:-tSdnaH4Qp6XkuCjXTWcrg@psknet.com...
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:K05fa.19358$ja4.1331478@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > >
> > > Someday I plan on getting a cord that will go into my computer because
> > > programming it by hand is proving to take a lot of time! Are they
> > > expensive?
> >
> > Nope. $23 at www.pro95.com (BlackBag Software). I ordered mine in
> January,
> > and it works flawlessly.
> >
> > The free computer software is at
> > http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win95/default.asp .
> >
> > An easier-to-read manual in HTML:

> > http://marksscanners.home.att.net/95-1.html .
> >
> > Answers to frequently asked questions:
> > http://www.equisport.com/cgi-bin/pro93_95/faqmanager.cgi .
> >
> > The online database of FCC frequency allocations is at
> > http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm . This will let you search
> for
> > frequencies in your area. It's the same information that you'll find on
> the
> > CD-ROM that comes with Police Call 2002.
> >
> > To find trunked radio listings, you'll definitely want to visit L. C.
> > Blanton's excellent trunked radio site at http://www.trunkedradio.net/ .
> >
> > A nice accessory for your scanner is a pair of headphones. I bought a
> pair
> > of KOSS SpeedZone monophonic headphones a few years ago, and they are
> great
> > for listening when in public places (but see below), or to help you hear
> > noisy or faint signals.
> >
> > Earlier, you wrote:
> >
> > > the guy at Radio Shack said I am allowed to take it with me [in the
car]
> > as long as I have a Ham Operator's license. Is that true? Does anyone
> know
> > about the rules for my area? I live in Clarksville, IN right across the
> > river from Louisville, KY.
> >
> > Visit http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/index.html . It says that
> > legislation was passed in Indiana in 2002 that makes it a Class B
> > Misdemeanor to use a scanner -anywhere, at any time- unless you meet one
> of
> > several exemptions. Some of these are:
> >
> > a. Get permission from "written permission from the chief executive
> officer
> > of a law enforcement agency".
> >
> > b. Be "a person who holds an amateur radio license issued by the
Federal
> > Communications Commission if the person is not transmitting over a
> frequency
> > assigned for police emergency purposes".
> >
> > c. Use the scanner "only in the person's dwelling or place of
business".
> >

> > The same site says that similar restrictions are in effect in Kentucky.
> >
> > -- Wayne (PRO-95 owner)
> >
> >
>
>

1086. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-24 22:49:32 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b5o17k$2ampcb$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34502

With those 1000 channels you'll want to use the PC to set them up. I lost all 200 channels in my Pro-51 recently when a buddy unplugged the AC adaptor while it was running. 1500 some keystrokes later, I got most of them back. :-(

The cityfreq site is great for outstate/camping freqs like DNR, local sheriffs dept,ect.
I have my "cabin" banks set for sheriff, local cops, DNR/game warden. FRS/GMRS to get local hunting,fishing hotspots.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:jNIfa.27274$S%3.1590389@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Thanks, Randy! The more freqs I can download, the better! I like my
> collections to be big!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>
> Randy <Bearcat@astound.net> wrote in message
> news:b5lqed$s8q$1@news.astound.net...
> > Go to cityfreq.com. Should have the freq's for your area. Enjoy
> > Randy
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:K05fa.19358$ja4.1331478@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Hehehe.... They can put gas in it if they want to, I guess. ;)
> Anyway,
> > > it's definitely not a new automobile. It's a rugged jeep! But they
can
> > > still put gas in it!
> > >
> > > No, seriously. Thanks for all the help. My husband bought me a CD
> called
> > > Police Call that was on clearance for $4.97 at Radio Shack. I
realized
> > > later why it was so cheap, it was copyrighted in 2000! I guess that's
> > > better than paying $19.99 for the book, especially since I'm new at
> this.

> > I
> > > will admit it took me a while to learn the whole shortwave radio
thing,
> > but
> > > my goodness, the manual to the scanner is Greek to me! And I will say
> I'm
> > > an intelligent girl, I have a degree in mathematics with a minor in
> > computer
> > > science, and that manual is still way above me! I played with the
> scanner
> > > until 3:00am this morning and I think that by just playing with it I
am
> > > learning a lot more than reading the manual, but I still should read
it
> so
> > I
> > > don't miss out on any thing. I would like some new frequencies. I
> tried
> > to
> > > input the frequencies for my old high school and college, but they
> > > apparently are now towing services! If anyone has new frequencies for
> the
> > > Louisville, KY area, Clarksville, IN, New Albany, IN, Jeffersonville,
IN
> > and
> > > Floyds Knobs, IN, I'd be thankful! (AmeriChicken may be right....I do
> > have
> > > lots of requests...)
> > >
> > > Someday I plan on getting a cord that will go into my computer because
> > > programming it by hand is proving to take a lot of time! Are they
> > > expensive?
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > AmeriChicken <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
> > > news:6R0fa.178901$F1.7548@sccrnsc04...
> > > > TAKE IT EASY GUYS>
> > > > "Police call", "Computer cable". Gee such big manly words to use on

a
> > > girl.
> > > > She'd be totally lost with out your help. She has no idea what a
pro
> 95
> > > is
> > > > or why she got it.
> > > > She'd never have guessed that it uses "frequencies" or a "computer".
> > > > Thanks for coming to her rescue!!
> > > >
> > > > Your next job is to put "gas" in that new "automobile"
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > <bball@green.home.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:slrnb7opj7.vee.bball@green.home.org...
> > > > >
> > > > > - your husband's next job is to get you a copy of Police Call
> > > > > for your region...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > On Sat, 22 Mar 2003 04:39:50 GMT, Celt Chic
> > > > > <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > My husband finally went out and got my Radio Shack Pro-95!!!
> > Yeah!!!
> > > I
> > > > > took one look at the manual, though, and I'm totally clueless!
I
> > was
> > > > that
> > > > > > way when he first got my shortwave radio, but I finally learned.
> I
> > > > guess it
> > > > > > just takes time. :)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > > nospam)
> > > > > > In the process of moving my web page from
http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > to
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1087. Realistic PRO 31 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 00:24:52 UTC
From: Robert Foote <rfoote@nb.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <olNfa.7585$Jf.603544@ursa-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 34503

Looking for the owner's manual for the Realistic PRO 31. I tried downloading it from Radio Shack's web site but it didn't work. Anyone know where I can find it??

Thanks!

Robert

1088. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 00:32:20 UTC
From: buo <hkl@er.com>
Message-ID: <3E7FA394.2D006414@er.com>
Source record: 34509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

Tell you what... we'll follow the terrorist's laws as we deal
with them.

AmeriChicken wrote:

> Because you guys and BUSH have ignored the LAW. The thing upon which this
> civilization is built.
> You have bought into their "get the other guy at any cost" outlaw -sim
>
> FOLLOW THE LAW
>
> "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org> wrote in message
> news:3E7E947F.6080506@bmas.org...
> > Colin wrote:
> > > Let's hope
> > >
> > > their Geneva
> > >
> > > convention rights
> > >
> > > are being as
> > >
> > > closely observed
> > >
> > > at the 600 men
> > >
> > > at Guantanamo Bay...
> > >
> > > Almost 2 years in confinement.
> > > No Lawyers. No Light. No Rights
> >
> > The 600 at gitmo are not soldiers, they're terrorists.
> >
> > And in Iraq they're executing our soldiers, and showing it on TV.
> >
> > So are you a student, or a teacher?
> >
> > And why do you seem to prefer Saddam to President Bush?
> >

1089. ICOM WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 00:33:26 UTC
From: MikeW <wolobmp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qtNfa.120139$jP2.20422269@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 34504

Hello, am looking for the Icom RC12 IR Remote Control. This was used with an Icom R7000 wide band radio. If you might have one and no longer need , I would be willing to pay a good price for one. Please email me at wolobmp@hotmail.com with your info. Thanks.....Mike

1090. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 01:13:55 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <pjensen@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <v7vbdbo1qvmo5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34506

Some people can stick their head in the sand and ignore the thousands Sadam has killed, but I do not. Now he's killing our POW's. That's a class guy you're defending.

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message news:UXufa.217759$S_4.124228@rwcrnsc53...
> Here in civilization, we don't go kill people who are "suspected of "
> something. That way of thinking and those who perpetuate it are exactly
> what this country was designed to stop.
>
>
> "Paul Jensen" <pjensen@gnt.net> wrote in message
> news:v7str1bg17id2@corp.supernews.com...
> > "AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
> > news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
> > > Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that
our
> > > soldiers are fighting for?
> >
> > I don't think the right to protest is the issue. The protestors are
> > criticized because how could any intelligent, clear-thinking individual
> not
> > think it's a good idea to get rid of a baby-killing mass murderer who
has
> > violated U.N. resolutions and may very well be supplying weapons of mass
> > destruction to terrorists who will kill Americans?
> >
> >
>
>

1091. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 01:15:17 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <pjensen@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <v7vbdgicnln95c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34507

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:%Lufa.218907$qi4.107641@rwcrnsc54...
> I support the troops. Bush put them in harm's way not me

Sadam put them in harm's way. Had Sadam done what he agreed to do, there
would be no war.

1092. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 01:16:46 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <pjensen@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <v7vbg7q2r6ci81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34508

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:sIwfa.218511$S_4.125074@rwcrnsc53...
> no they found what they "think may have" produced chem weapons. When will
> you stick to the Law and the Facts.

FACT - Iraq's first shot of the war was a banned Scud missle. Why do you
support this murderer?

1093. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 01:39:06 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <_qOfa.542$jl4.231853@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

What do you want to give the 600 prisoners? Just because they don't have TV, internet access and a 3 course meal doesn't mean we violate any laws. They are probably being treated better than a murderer in life sentence.

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.

1094. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 01:56:25 UTC
From: Q <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <dHOfa.59974$s75.19893771@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34510

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
> Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
> soldiers are fighting for?

Why do the protestors, who enjoy peace and safety, protest the actions that
would allow others to do the same?
Go figure...

1095. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:00:58 UTC
From: Q <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <uLOfa.59975$s75.19897926@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34511

> I criticize many of them for their behavior.... out here in
> California many of them are damaging public and private property,
> vandalizing cars, public works, etc, and creating a vast nusiance to
> those of us who are trying to go about our business.

> They are also syphoning off a substantial portion of public safety
> manpower which is needed for both public safety and homeland defense.
>
> Ed

Not just the manpower, but the resources - literally millions of dollars to pull law enforcement and other officials away from their duties - mainly, especially now, in the arena of terrorism.
There are those that are just cooks and anarchists - nothing will change that. And those are the criminals. For people who claim to abhor the "violence" and the USA pushing "our ideas" on others, what the fuc* do they think THEY are doing? Imposing their ill-informed ideas, and needless violence and property damage on their OWN society.
Don't let these sub-humans disturb you. They are irrelevant...

1096. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:01:30 UTC
From: Q <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <_LOfa.59976$s75.19898060@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34512

"Mike Mayer" <mayermh@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:b5lmgktlt1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Because those same rights are what allow us to criticize protesters.
>
> And what are protesters doing anyway?
>
> Criticizing.

Why ask why?
Drink Bud Dry...
lol...

1097. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:02:46 UTC
From: Q <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <aNOfa.59977$s75.19899485@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34513

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:7Rufa.217709$S_4.123992@rwcrnsc53...
> It is the agreements made by men that keep mankind above the animals.
Those
> who throw out that law are the animals.
>
>
> HEY GEORGE BUSH - - - - FOLLOW THE LAW !!!!!!!!!!

He is - he's enforcing UN resolutions that have been in effect for over 12
years.
Go figure...

1098. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:05:42 UTC
From: Q <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <WPOfa.59978$s75.19902611@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34514

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:UXufa.217759$S_4.124228@rwcrnsc53...
> Here in civilization, we don't go kill people who are "suspected of "
> something. That way of thinking and those who perpetuate it are exactly
> what this country was designed to stop.

Well, he's not just "suspected" of using chemical weapons on the Kurds,
killing thousands of them.
Not just speculation that he has banned weapons - he's already used SCUDs
(Russian made, incidentally, along with the Russian made arms and Russian
advisors - weapons that were shipped, against UN sanctions.)
Not just suspected of ethnic cleansing. Not just suspected of killing those
that would disagree with him - along with their families - wives and
children.

1099. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:06:38 UTC
From: Steve <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org>
Message-ID: <OQOfa.2697$MP5.766@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 34515

If you were fighting in a foreign land, and back home you know people
are protesting the war. How would you feel.

"AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
> Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
> soldiers are fighting for?
>
>

1100. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:08:00 UTC
From: Q <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <4SOfa.59979$s75.19904900@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34516

"Paul Jensen" <pjensen@gnt.net> wrote in message
news:v7vbdbo1qvmo5a@corp.supernews.com...
> Some people can stick their head in the sand and ignore the thousands
Sadam
> has killed, but I do not. Now he's killing our POW's. That's a class guy
> you're defending.

Not just killing - executing. Shot to the head. Sending his minions to act
like they're surrendering, then killing. Great believer in the Geneva
conventions. Great moral leader. The God of tyranny and oppression.
Go figure...

1101. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:13:07 UTC
From: Q <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <TWOfa.59981$s75.19909553@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34517

> Correct me if I'm wrong....but does anyone else get the feeling that
> we've (US) got more nuclear/chemical weapons than any other country?
>
> I also feel that most people fail to see that CNN, MSNBC, etc. are
> all just spreading pro-war 'propaganda' to America, the same as the
> Iraqi government/media is reportedly (saw it on CNN) doing to the
> citizens of Iraq. The only people who know the whole true story
> about anything are the troops themselves. I especially enjoy the big
> flashy names for everything they've been reporting: 'OPERATION IRAQI
> FREEDOM', 'WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION', 'SHOCK AND AWE'. Very, very
> catchy.

<sigh>
You REALLY don't know the difference?
Does the US sell WMD to terrorists? Point them at their neighbors? Did we have to USE our arsenal to bring down the Soviet Union? Did you go to school beyond the third grade?
How do you figure any troops know anything about the "true story"? They know this:
1) They are all volunteers
2) They follow orders.

The first applies to the US troops. The second applies to both.

1102. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:14:29 UTC
From: Q <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <9YOfa.59982$s75.19910919@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34518

"Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:qyvfa.33$jl4.23816@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> I understand their right to protest, but what are the protesters hoping to
> do. Bush doesn't care if a city has a protest. The troops are over in
Iraq,
> and that won't change for a while. Don't you think we should support them?

Look, the protestors are the cowards that are afraid they might get drafted.
These are the same people, the SAME, who think we shouldn't take out Iraqi
mass-murderers, but thing it's okay to kill unborn children.
Go figure...

1103. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:17:01 UTC
From: Q <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <x_Ofa.59983$s75.19913830@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34519

> Bush isn't the only leader to put troops into Iraq. PM Blair and PM Howard
> sent troops in. Also, the troops were sent in to forcefully eject Saddam,
> his regime, and his military from Iraq. Why? Because Saddam has violated
UN
> resolutions and inhumanely killed his own people, Kurds, and Jews. He also
> has created anthrax and has had WMD. The troops just found an 100 acre
> chem/bio facility in Iraq.

What's important to remember is that it's not a war against the Iraqi
PEOPLE - we (the allies) have made it a point to secure Iraqi resources for
the Iraqi PEOPLE.
It is because of the respect for human life and the conventions of war that
those American prisoners find themselves in the position they are in - they
accepted the white flag as a surrender, and were then attacked - indeed,
several executed.

1104. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:18:53 UTC
From: Q <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <h0Pfa.59984$s75.19915994@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34520

> THAT'S WHAT ALL THIS "LAW" STUFF IS ABOUT - TO STOP PEOPLE LIKE YOU FROM
> GOING AFTER THOSE YOU "SUSPECT" OR WHO "PROBABNLY DESERVE IT".
>
> EVEN WHEN THEY DO DESERVE IT YOU NEED TO:
> FOLLOW --THE --LAW-
> EVEN IF IT IS AN INTERNATIONAL LAW
> WHY DON'T YOU GET IT?

Ahem...since you obviously only understand screaming, I'll put it in your own terms...

THE ALLIES ARE ENFORCING UN RESOLUTIONS - THE "LAW" YOU SPEAK OF - THAT HAVE BEEN IN PLACE FOR OVER 12 YEARS!!! INTERNATIONAL LAW!!!
WHY DON'T YOU GET IT??????????????????

1105. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:20:26 UTC
From: Q <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <K1Pfa.59986$s75.19917288@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34521

> I go by the law and facts. It's people like you that don't.

Read UN Resolutions 1441, 678 and 687...

1106. ID Tracker Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:22:02 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <tWOfa.3382$Ca5.1090@fe09.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 34522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ID Tracker has been updated to version 2.3.1.

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

See the version history for change details.

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTrackerVersion.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net or @arrl.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

1107. FA: voice activated logging cassette recorder 4 hrs/side

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:26:17 UTC
From: spiffy1238@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <bfc8ffaa.0303241826.3df150a5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34523

Please see:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=25324&item=3408635735

Records 4 hours per side of cassette. Excellent for scanner logging while you're away!
Thanks for looking!

1108. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 02:43:11 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spectrumhog@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9348BEBC511DDFrog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 34524

> Don't let these sub-humans disturb you. They are irrelevant...
>

Yup. What ought to irritate most people is that the SF police and other public safety personnel are spending $900,000.00* EACH day tending to these jerks who are parading around acting like asses and disrupting traffic.

(* Reported on numerous SF news outlets the past couple days.)

Ed

1109. Here's how Russian/Iraqi decode SINCGARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 03:21:15 UTC
From: UB <ububu7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e7fcb21@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 34525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

....so much for "secure" military communications ???

clik: http://www.aeronautics.ru/news/news002/news071.htm

March 21, 2003
www.aeronautics.ru

The US military is using SINCGARS (Single Channel Ground And Airborne Radio System) frequency-hopping radios in the field. These radio sets are categorized as Low Probability of Intercept (LPI) Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS) transceivers. The FHSS method is not new: it originated from the Second World War and, simply stated, it employs a narrow band carrier, shifting frequency in a pattern known only to the transmitter and the receiver. The frequency can be changed several hundred times per second.

The FHSS military radios are synchronized daily to use the same frequency modulation algorithm. The synchronization process occurs either through a direct physical connection of the radio sets to each other or to a special device known as the programmer. Some radios can also synchronize frequency modulation algorithms via an encrypted transmission of the frequency modulation algorithm in a non-frequency-hopping mode, although this method is generally considered to be less secure.

The military radios in the US armed forces commonly use encryption and the frequency hopping methods provide an additional layer of security during transmission of the encrypted signal. An example of a frequency-hopping field VHF/FM transceiver used by US Special Forces would be the Caracal RPM 4740 manufactured by Thales Communications of France.

The Caracal covers the 30 to 87.975 MHz frequency range. It also has 10 programmable simplex or half-duplex channels out of its repertoire of 2,320. Hopping in narrowband (6.4 MHz) and wideband (30 to 87.975 MHz) orthogonal modes, Caracal contains high-grade internal digital encryption and has an output of 1 W. Insertion of frequency and security codes is accomplished using the MA 4073B programmer or MA 4083B fill gun. A reset switch on each radio is used to erase codes rapidly. The synchronization function is broadcast, requiring about 6 seconds. Other features include receive-only selective calling, frequency barring and `hailing' by fixed-frequency radios when in the hopping mode.

However, security afforded by frequency-hopping methods is very dependant on the strict adherence to protocols for operating such radios. The US troops and other operators of frequency-hopping radio sets frequently disregard these protocols. An example would be an artillery unit passing digital traffic in the frequency-hopping mode, which would enable an unauthorized

listener to determine the frequency-hopping algorithm and eavesdrop on the transmission.

Even when proper protocols for using frequency-hopping radios are being adhered to interception and decryption of these signals is still possible. The frequency-hopping interceptors are special advanced reconnaissance wideband receivers capable of simultaneously tracking a large number of frequency-hopping encrypted transmissions even in high background noise environments.

An example of such a reconnaissance device would be the FH-1 frequency-hopping interceptor manufactured by VIDEOTON-MECHLABOR Manufacturing and Development Ltd of Hungary. The FH-1 frequency-hopping interceptor is a modern reconnaissance system based on parallel signal processing technology.

The equipment has 160 independent receiving channels covering a 4 MHz wide IF band with 25 kHz channel spacing, 60 dB channel selection and 60 dB intermodulation suppression. The 4 MHz wide IF band is the IF output of a special high-speed front-end receiver which has a 20 to 1,000 MHz frequency range.

The digitized output signals of the channels are multiplexed and fed as 1 Mbits/s data to a fast dedicated signal-processing computer. As the processing time of the 160 channels is 200 µs with the front-end receiver 4 MHz frequency setting time, the processing speed of this interceptor is 4 MHz/200 µs or 20 GHz/s. This high speed makes it possible to process the complete 30 to 80 MHz ground-to-ground VHF band within a 2.5 ms time slot.

The system's processing algorithm filters out noise spikes and stationary transmissions and in this way hopping transmissions can be classified either in the traditional frequency versus amplitude mode or in a waterfall-like frequency versus time display mode. Optional software modules are available for direction-finding the FH transmission and for controlling a remote follower/jammer.

Venik

1110. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 03:45:54 UTC
From: Colin <spik@span>
Message-ID: <Xns9348C99D4EE4Finfocoffeecrewcom@199.175.106.247>
Source record: 34526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

"Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org> wrote in news:3E7E947F.6080506@bmas.org:

>
> The 600 at gitmo are not soldiers, they're terrorists.
>
> And in Iraq they're executing our soldiers, and showing it on TV.
>
> So are you a student, or a teacher?
>
> And why do you seem to prefer Saddam to President Bush?
>
>

I see. Human Rights only apply to
the people that you want to apply them to?

they are not executing your soldiers, mate, so relax.

Student? Teacher? Both, Son..

Prefer Saddam? Are you kidding?
But If you need a couple of Ex-Canadian Army extraction
specialists to nip into Iraq, to grab Saddam alive
and kicking, I can make a few calls... :-)

I think that your boys and girls have it under control.

God bless,

1111. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 03:50:39 UTC
From: Colin <fruitloop@sugar.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9348CA6BD49C1infocoffeecrewcom@199.175.106.247>
Source record: 34527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) wrote in
news:3ef6beca.0303240340.5ca50c98@posting.google.com:

> AC, (One More IH*3)
>
> The Geneva Convention (International Law) set forth the term
> "Un-Law-Ful Combatants" and describes what they are in-fact as a point
> of law by treaty.
>

I think in some circles, the American Boys
and Girls, being held by the Iraqi's
are considered illegal combatants....

That is not my opinion, but I think
that they (IRAQ) may hold that
opinion..

Think about it.
Geneva convention notwithstanding..
How would you treat an IRAQI
invading New York???

I know exactly what you would do.
You would send them to Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
to rot.

Personally, I pray for a safe return
for the American boys and girls.

God Bless 'em.

1112. Re: Scanner Supplies in the Wilkes Barre /Scranton PA area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 04:00:55 UTC
From: Nick <nvavro@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <s0lv7v4fpapvnsrhsdmgnltstibprlpbmk@4ax.com>
Source record: 34528

On 24 Mar 2003 18:56:50 GMT, mcmanusmacdg@aol.com (Mcmanusmacdg)
wrote:

>Hi,
> Anyone know of any electronic stores in the area above besides Radio Shack?
>Thanks,
>Mike
I know there is a Moyer Electronics in Hazleton. They sell alot of
little hard to find parts that even Radio Shack does not have. Nick

1113. newbie trunking question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 04:54:47 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <riRfa.27984$S%3.1644725@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34529

Hi everyone,

I'm sure everyone is going to sigh in boredom when they hear this question, but I just bought a Pro-95 Scanner and I'm completely lost to the whole trunking thing. I've read the manual three times, I've checked out trunking web sites, and even read a site that pretty much rewrote the manual. None of these help. I think my brain is in a stage of rot. Can someone start me off from the beginning, say, by teaching me how to program a basic Mot II trunking system in my scanner? What all do I need to do? Please give it to me step by step, and maybe then I can advance on to Edacs, and then possibly to Mot I where it also requires fleet maps. They need a trunking book for dummies because I really have no clue and would appreciate the most basic help I can get.

For example, here is a set of frequencies that is published on the trunkedradio.net for Radioland in Floyds Knobs, IN. What would I do with it starting from the beginning?

System Name: Radioland 900Mhz (Indiana)
Location: Floyds Knobs, IN
County: Floyd
System Type: Motorola Type II Smartzone
Last Updated: 03-16-02 17:01
Hits: 14

System Frequencies
Site Description Freqs
001 Site-1 936.6875 936.7125 936.7250 936.7375 936.7500 937.6375 937.6500 937.6625 937.6750 937.6875

Thanks so much! Once I get the hang of this I know I could do everything else on my own!

Another question, do all of the trunked systems have to start on channel 00 in the banks, or can I have multiple trunked systems in the banks? I believe I can only have motorla in one band and edacs in another, but can I have multiple systems in each bank? For instance, since edacs must be in order, can I have 12 frequencies of edacs starting from channel 400 going to channel 411, and then have another edacs system starting from channel 412 to channel 430?

Muchas gracias!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
~~~~~~~

email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

1114. Re: newbie trunking question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 05:30:49 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v7vqca294hq756@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34530

Step one: Go to http://cityfreq.com and get a list of frequencies for you
town.

Step two: To be sure you have a trunking system with in reach, go to
http://trunktracker.com and get the frequencies.

Step three: Enter each of the 8 or 10 frequencies listed, setting the mode
of each to the proper system i.e. Motorola.

Step four: Scan and listen. As each of the conversations takes place, at the
bottom of the screen you will see MOT 1130 or MOTXXXX these numbers are the
talk group, you may add a tag so that instead of 1130 it will read Mytown
Police.

Next go to http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win95/default.asp
get the free software and then proceed to
http://www.pro-95.com/ and get a cable.

This will allow you to set everything up in easy format, add labels, etc and
upload it to the scanner.

Hope this helps.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:riRfa.27984$S%3.1644725@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi everyone,
>
> I'm sure everyone is going to sigh in boredom when they hear this
> question, but I just bought a Pro-95 Scanner and I'm completely lost
> to the whole trunking thing. I've read the manual three times, I've
> checked out trunking web sites, and even read a site that pretty much
> rewrote the manual. None of these help. I think my brain is in a
> stage of rot. Can someone start me off from the beginning, say, by
> teaching me how to program a basic Mot II trunking system in my
> scanner? What all do I need to do? Please give it to me step by
> step, and maybe then I can advance on to Edacs, and then possibly to
> Mot I where it also requires fleet maps. They need a trunking book
> for dummies because I really have no clue and would appreciate the
> most basic help I can get.
>
> For example, here is a set of frequencies that is published on the
> trunkedradio.net for Radioland in Floyds Knobs, IN. What would I do
> with it starting from the beginning?
>
> System Name: Radioland 900Mhz (Indiana)
> Location: Floyds Knobs, IN

> County: Floyd
> System Type: Motorola Type II Smartzone
> Last Updated: 03-16-02 17:01
> Hits: 14
>
> System Frequencies
> Site Description Freqs
> 001 Site-1 936.6875 936.7125 936.7250 936.7375 936.7500 937.6375
> 937.6500 937.6625 937.6750 937.6875
>
> Thanks so much! Once I get the hang of this I know I could do
> everything else on my own!
>
> Another question, do all of the trunked systems have to start on
> channel 00 in the banks, or can I have multiple trunked systems in
> the banks? I believe I can only have motorla in one band and edacs
> in another, but can I have multiple systems in each bank? For
> instance, since edacs must be in order, can I have 12 frequencies of
> edacs starting from channel 400 going to channel 411, and then have
> another edacs system starting from channel 412 to channel 430?
>
> Muchas gracias!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>
>
>

1115. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 05:34:01 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <dDOTbxx@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <cbov7v40ef4pfmc24egatcnkkj60rt0vuc@4ax.com>
Source record: 34531

To my knowledge (I'm not a lawyer, but I can read)...

>Almost 2 years in confinement.

...the Geneva Conventions do not address duration of captivity.

>No Lawyers.

...the Geneva Conventions do not cover legal aid for prisoners.

> No Light.

...the Geneva Conventions do not cover matches for cigarettes.

> No Rights

...to which rights are you referring.

On Mon, 24 Mar 2003 04:36:27 -0000, Colin <truth@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
wrote:

>Let's hope
>
>their Geneva
>
>convention rights
>
>are being as
>
>closely observed
>
>at the 600 men
>
>at Guantanamo Bay...
>

1116. Re: scanners to car laptops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 05:37:27 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <dDOTbxx@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <llov7v8841i840jcj7hn8prjrgudcpvqbn@4ax.com>
Source record: 34532

Is there anyone actively trying to decode this stuff? Maybe we can
start an effort.

On Mon, 24 Mar 2003 12:20:52 GMT, "No" <123@noone.net> wrote:

>Mass State PD uses RDLAP protocol. There is nothing on the market to decode
>it.
>
>George
>
>
>"SweetManTo" <sweetmanto@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20030323232508.22863.00000228@mb-ca.aol.com...
>> is there anywhy to find out what is being sent. Most police air broadcast
>are
>> gone in MA.
>

1117. Re: newbie trunking question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 05:45:32 UTC
From: Bob <rareeder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E7FECE5.6060708@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34533

I know this will sound way too simplistic, but get the cable and the
software.
I had owned at least 10 scanners before got my first trunking one and
believe me it is a whole new world of programming. I needed the cable
and software.
Find some conventional frequencies and go ahead and put them in one bank
so you will at least have something to listen to until the cable gets
delivered. Put your local airport frequencies in another bank.
Download WIN95 from;
http://www.starrsoft.com
and load it into your computer.
Start putting trunked groups into it so when the cable gets there all
you have to do is upload.
On trunked group per bank.
Motorola starts with 0
Edacs starts with 1 and have to be in logical order
You can put conventional frequencies in the same bank with a trunked
group if you want to listen to them at the same time.
Enjoy,
Bob

Celt Chic wrote:

>Hi everyone,
>
>I'm sure everyone is going to sigh in boredom when they hear this
>question, but I just bought a Pro-95 Scanner and I'm completely lost
>to the whole trunking thing. I've read the manual three times, I've
>checked out trunking web sites, and even read a site that pretty much
>rewrote the manual. None of these help. I think my brain is in a
>stage of rot. Can someone start me off from the beginning, say, by
>teaching me how to program a basic Mot II trunking system in my
>scanner? What all do I need to do? Please give it to me step by
>step, and maybe then I can advance on to Edacs, and then possibly to
>Mot I where it also requires fleet maps. They need a trunking book
>for dummies because I really have no clue and would appreciate the
>most basic help I can get.
>
>For example, here is a set of frequencies that is published on the
>trunkedradio.net for Radioland in Floyds Knobs, IN. What would I do
>with it starting from the beginning?
>
>System Name: Radioland 900Mhz (Indiana)
>Location: Floyds Knobs, IN
>County: Floyd

>System Type: Motorola Type II Smartzone
>Last Updated: 03-16-02 17:01
>Hits: 14
>
>System Frequencies
>Site Description Freqs
>001 Site-1 936.6875 936.7125 936.7250 936.7375 936.7500 937.6375
>937.6500 937.6625 937.6750 937.6875
>
>Thanks so much! Once I get the hang of this I know I could do
>everything else on my own!
>
>Another question, do all of the trunked systems have to start on
>channel 00 in the banks, or can I have multiple trunked systems in
>the banks? I believe I can only have motorla in one band and edacs
>in another, but can I have multiple systems in each bank? For
>instance, since edacs must be in order, can I have 12 frequencies of
>edacs starting from channel 400 going to channel 411, and then have
>another edacs system starting from channel 412 to channel 430?
>
>Muchas gracias!
>
>--
>~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
>email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
>In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
>http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
>Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1118. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 07:18:40 UTC
From: cuibel <cuibel@asdfa.com>
Message-ID: <kpTfa.206082$eG2.30732@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 34534

Many think the iraquis are just waiting to sing kum ba ya AFTER we invade.
Fat chance

"Steve" <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org> wrote in message
news:OQOfa.2697$MP5.766@news1.central.cox.net...
> If you were fighting in a foreign land, and back home you know people
> are protesting the war. How would you feel.
>
>
> "AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
> news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
> > Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
> > soldiers are fighting for?
> >
> >
>
>

1119. Re: PRO-2066 on airband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 07:26:17 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <twTfa.31025$S%3.1657076@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

cheaply is best...enjoy

--

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

1120. Re: newbie trunking question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 07:33:03 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PCTfa.291$HX7.28346421@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34536

Celtic Chic,

Thanks for asking this question. I'm in the same boat. But somehow lost the original manual, and downloaded that other one you read, and still don't know what I'm doing. Maybe someday I'll get my '95 working.

Eric KA6USJ

1121. Re: I got my scanner, finally!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 08:37:44 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <usenet@endymionsystems.com>
Message-ID: <rqGcnZ0wLN6jix2jXTWcpg@psknet.com>
Source record: 34537

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:iNIfa.27272$S%3.1589652@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi Wayne,
>
> Thanks for the nice set of links and for posting the scanner law for my
> state. So far I've used it only in my house, but I'd like to take it to
> work with me to listen with headphones at my desk, and I'd hate to get
> pulled over with one in my car! I don't have a trunk to put it in,
either,
> because I drive a Jeep Wagoneer so they'd probably definitely give me a
> ticket for having it in my car. :(
>

Try the alternative I suggested:

> "written permission from the chief executive officer of a law enforcement
agency".

I think it shouldn't be too hard for you get permission if you visit your
local police or sheriff's office and tell them that you are interested in
becoming an amateur radio operator.

Wayne

1122. Re: newbie trunking question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 09:15:00 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <usenet@endymionsystems.com>
Message-ID: <HdCdnYVqa5Zrgx2jXTWcqA@psknet.com>
Source record: 34538

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:riRfa.27984$S%3.1644725@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> For example, here is a set of frequencies that is published on the
> trunkedradio.net for Radioland in Floyds Knobs, IN. What would I do
> with it starting from the beginning?
>
> System Frequencies
> Site Description Freqs
> 001 Site-1 936.6875 936.7125 936.7250 936.7375 936.7500 937.6375
> 937.6500 937.6625 937.6750 937.6875

Pick a bank, and enter the above frequencies into it, starting at location 0 in the bank. Use the procedure at
http://marksscanners.home.att.net/95-4.html#Programming_a_Motorola_Type_II_System to do this.

After doing that, scan using the procedure at
http://marksscanners.home.att.net/95-5.html#Trunking_a_Trunked_Bank .

> Another question, do all of the trunked systems have to start on
> channel 00 in the banks, or can I have multiple trunked systems in
> the banks? I believe I can only have Motorola in one band and edacs
> in another, but can I have multiple systems in each bank? For
> instance, since edacs must be in order, can I have 12 frequencies of
> edacs starting from channel 400 going to channel 411, and then have
> another edacs system starting from channel 412 to channel 430?

For EDACS, you can truly have only one trunked system per bank, because each EDACS system has to be stored starting at Channel 1, not 0, in that bank.

For Motorola, you can program multiple trunked systems into a bank, but the scanner will only track the first one that it can hear. (It starts at Channel 0 in the bank, and scans upwards.) This might be useful if you travel around, where only one of the systems you programmed into the bank is in range at any given time.

Another clue: for Motorola, you really only have to program the _control_channel_ for the system. This is the one that sounds like a diesel engine running. The PRO-95 will automatically figure out which frequency is carrying the voice at any given time, and will switch to it and display it, even if you haven't programmed that frequency into the bank! This is a big improvement over earlier trunked scanners, such as the PRO-91. To find control channels, you can use the SEARCH mode to scan up through the Police/Fire bands where trunked systems are likely to be found, or use a limit search for a specific range of frequencies. Ignore voice transmissions, and listen for the diesel engine sound instead. When you find one, use FUNC ENTER to store it into an empty bank instead, and then go change the mode of that bank to Motorola, and the mode of the frequency you just stored to

Motorola. In manual mode, when listening to that channel, you should hear the diesel noise, and the scanner should say MOT: CTRL. Scan that bank, and you'll start hearing any voice traffic for that trunked system. :) You can then look up the control channel frequency in trunkedradio.net to find out what system you're listening to, and whether you need to make it Type I instead of Type II, etc.

Warning: Motorola systems (and EDACS systems) often rotate the control channel among several frequencies, typically changing to a new frequency at midnight. So you have to program all of the control channel frequencies into the bank if you want to stay with the system. The frequencies used by the control channel will be among those listed on trunkedradio.net for that system.

Wayne

1123. FS>> Mint Icom R75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 12:15:55 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <%LXfa.1012$ZC6.559837@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 34539

Absolutely mint R75 with original box, manual, power supply.

Has had Rado's S-AM mod performed, and includes DSP.

$475.00 + shipping from 11786 (firm)

Please e-mail off list if interested.

Thank you,
John, KB2COP

--

www.geocities.com/theoldcop

1124. Re: Scanner Supplies in the Wilkes Barre /Scranton PA area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 12:29:58 UTC
From: mcmanusmacdg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030325072958.02838.00000245@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 34540

>>Mike
>I know there is a Moyer Electronics in Hazleton.

Thank you Nick. I'll look it up.
Mike

1125. Re: Warning - Please Share This!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 12:34:28 UTC
From: mcmanusmacdg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030325073428.02838.00000246@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 34541

For relief he should have taken some ass-burns.

1126. Re: Need antenna recommendations for BC250D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 12:41:04 UTC
From: mcmanusmacdg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030325074104.02838.00000247@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 34543

>The Austin Condor that ScannerMaster lists
>seems to have good specs.
>
>Anyone have experience with this antenna, or have other
>recommendations?

The Austin Condor wasn't that great with any hand held antennas I have used it with.
Mike

1127. Re: PRO-2066 on airband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 15:03:05 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v80rtchm56bs19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Here is the info on the 2066, it does not cover the mil-air band.
26.965-27.405 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
29.000-54 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
108-136.975 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
137-174 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
406-512 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
806-823.9375 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
851-868.9875 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
896.1125-956 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)

"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:b5pim4hno1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> I own a 2066 and I love it (for in my vehicle). I am not sure if it
receives
> what I assume you are asking to be MilAir (200-400MHz area), but if it
does
> I can test its performance for you. I will look into it and post the
> results.
>
> Troy R. <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3e7eec9f$0$5555$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > I am after a small base scanner for airband use. I can pick up a used
> > PRO-2066 pretty cheaply. I was wondering how well this scanner works on
> the
> > airband?
> >
> > Would a 2053 or a 2067 be a better option?
> >
> > Thanks all.
> >
> >
>
>

1128. I Bought a Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 15:11:10 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E8071C2.4020602@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34545

I bought Radio shacks "Police Call Freq. Guide" (9) and can't say enough good things about the book.

The CD that came with it is great, it covers all of the USA and also has a "short wave" guide.

The only drawback is the size of the print in the book. With these old eyes it's a little hard to read.

Home 1
Burr
Ridgecrest, Ca 93555
USA
Long Wire
W117.4157
N35.3582

Home 2

Pomona, Ca. 91766
Sony AN-1
N 34-0261
W 117-4387

1129. Re: PRO-2066 on airband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 15:32:47 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b5pim4hno1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I own a 2066 and I love it (for in my vehicle). I am not sure if it receives what I assume you are asking to be MilAir (200-400MHz area), but if it does I can test its performance for you. I will look into it and post the results.

Troy R. <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message news:3e7eec9f$0$5555$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> I am after a small base scanner for airband use. I can pick up a used
> PRO-2066 pretty cheaply. I was wondering how well this scanner works on the
> airband?
>
> Would a 2053 or a 2067 be a better option?
>
> Thanks all.
>
>

1130. F/S Uniden 780xlt with Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 15:49:33 UTC
From: see-signature@nospam.net
Message-ID: <3e80792a.793290@news.east.cox.net>
Source record: 34546

For Sale:
Uniden 780xlt

Radio is in new condition and has a discriminator output
connector(RCA). Comes with all original packaging and manual.

I will also include a CD with WinScan, AFS 780, Police Call 2003 and
computer to radio cable...

.jpg available

$250
Jeff.
Rhode Island
jad1@cox.net

1131. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 15:55:56 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d17275c.0303250755.57b316f5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34397

DxxxxxBxx <dDOTbxx@lycos.com> wrote in message
news:<cbov7v40ef4pfmc24egatcnkkj60rt0vuc@4ax.com>...
> To my knowledge (I'm not a lawyer, but I can read)...
>
> >Almost 2 years in confinement.
>
> ...the Geneva Conventions do not address duration of captivity.
>
> >No Lawyers.
>
> ...the Geneva Conventions do not cover legal aid for prisoners.
>
> > No Light.
>
> ...the Geneva Conventions do not cover matches for cigarettes.

If these guys are real POWs and not UC/pirates then yes the Geneva Convention
does allow them to have tobaco products. From talking with a former POW
(WWII) who said even the Germans allowed our guys to smoke. Outside
and away from the barracks.
But to me these guys fall outside the protection of the GC because
they were not regular soldiers. Thier lack of uniform, command structure,
or lack of it, and the lack of a nation state place them in the same
group as pirates. The GC only cover soldiers who are in the army of
a recognised state. The Taliban was only recognised by Pakistan.
Therefore Taliban warriors are not soldiers but memebers of a large gang.
They should have been tried at the rear edge of battle and hanged by the
neck until dead. Bullets would have been waste of resources.

Terry

> > No Rights
>
> ...to which rights are you referring.
>
>
>
> On Mon, 24 Mar 2003 04:36:27 -0000, Colin <truth@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Let's hope
> >
> >their Geneva
> >

> >convention rights
> >
> >are being as
> >
> >closely observed
> >
> >at the 600 men
> >
> >at Guantanamo Bay...
> >

1132. Any Live LAPD Audio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 17:33:57 UTC
From: JT <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <9q0ga.233639$sf5.147356@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34398

Are there any sites up that are broadcasting the digital audio for LAPD? I have been looking without any luck.

Thanks.

JT

1133. Re: Here's how Russian/Iraqi decode SINCGARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 19:16:46 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <yW1ga.6858$io.258996@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 34399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.shortwave UB <ububu7@yahoo.com> wrote:

> These radio sets are
> categorized as Low Probability of Intercept (LPI) Frequency Hopping Spread
> Spectrum (FHSS) transceivers. The FHSS method is not new: it originated from
> the Second World War and, simply stated, it employs a narrow band carrier,
> shifting frequency in a pattern known only to the transmitter and the
> receiver. The frequency can be changed several hundred times per second.

An the frequency hopping scheme was invented by none other than actress
Hedy Lamarr!

See:
http://www.inventions.org/culture/female/lamarr.html

Art Harris N2AH

1134. Re: Here's how Russian/Iraqi decode SINCGARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 19:30:32 UTC
From: Tom2000 <tom2000@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <sfb18v0v7kcta4j0mreskjglcovm3a3v7q@4ax.com>
Source record: 34401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 25 Mar 2003 19:16:46 GMT, Harris
<aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us> wrote:

>In rec.radio.shortwave UB <ububu7@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> These radio sets are
>> categorized as Low Probability of Intercept (LPI) Frequency Hopping Spread
>> Spectrum (FHSS) transceivers. The FHSS method is not new: it originated from
>> the Second World War and, simply stated, it employs a narrow band carrier,
>> shifting frequency in a pattern known only to the transmitter and the
>> receiver. The frequency can be changed several hundred times per second.
>
>An the frequency hopping scheme was invented by none other than actress
>Hedy Lamarr!
>
>See:
>http://www.inventions.org/culture/female/lamarr.html
>
>Art Harris N2AH
>

Thanks, Art. I knew that she had invented something fundamental to
military communications, but I'd forgotten just what her contribution
had been.

Very 73,

Tom

1135. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 19:31:56 UTC
From: Sjoerd <geen@idee.nl>
Message-ID: <1048620646.67919@cache1>
Source record: 34402
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"the West won the world not by the superiority of its ideas or values or
religion but rather by its superiority in applying organized violence.
Westerners often forget this fact, non-Westerners never do."

Samuel P. Huntington

--

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> schreef in bericht
news:b5n84b$41$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Spin Spin Spin....change the subject. Confuse it all.
>
> What the heck are you talking about that 'my people' dont support the war
in
> Iraq? What are you smoking?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:etvfa.29$jl4.22262@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > > The thing about conservatives is we know the difference between right
> and
> > > wrong. Decent and bad. Good and Evil. And
> >
> > The difference between good and evil? So then if you truly think you
know
> > that difference, why is it that your people don't support a war with
Iraq?
> > Saddam is evil, right?
> >
> > >yes we do tend to stick with
> > > what works. You should try it. Maybe you wont screw up the next
> > generation
> > > if you do.
> >
> > Think about what the world would be like if everyone thought that way.
> There
> > would be no America (except for natives). Back in that time most people
> > thought there was no America. But they changed their ideas. You don't
want
> > the world to have the same ideas and technology as today in 50 years, do
> > you?
> >

> > ===Matt===
> > Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
> > Remove "{nospam}" when replying.
> >
> >
>
>

1136. Re: I dong get my scanner.. At all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 20:54:38 UTC
From: Anonymous@anonymous.net
Message-ID: <v81gf520f0v965@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34403

http://scanpix2.home.att.net/BC/bc210.jpg
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Images/bc210xl.jpg
http://scanpix2.home.att.net/BC/bc210xlt.jpg
http://scanpix2.home.att.net/BC/bc210xw.jpg

Appears to be a 210, 210XL, 210XLT, and a 210XW.

I have two 210XL radios, one requires a slight offset to get UHF frequencies intelligibly. Other then this (does anyone know how to tweak the UHF section of the 210XL?) the radio are great.

I live in an area saturated by RF interference. I just bought the Uniden 785D, which suffers from intermod, as does my Yaesu and Alinco handhelds. Funny thing is that the 210XLs have no problem with intermod, at least on the frequencies that I use. (around 450MHz).

Is it me, or are radios becoming progressively poorer made, therefore more susceptible to intermod?

Which relatively recent models of radio have high intermod resistance, which radios are models to avoid?

Regards.

In article <26a0f230.0303181333.7d0e19ec@posting.google.com>,
Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com (Beloved Leader) wrote:
>summitlt@yahoo.com (TJ Poseno) wrote in message
> news:<aac782c8.0303180354.7c2c32eb@posting.google.com>...
>
>> It seems like you are talking about a handheld scanner, mine is a
>> desktop scanner.
>
>Mine is a base/mobile scanner. There was a BC210, a BC210XL, and a
>BC210XLT. Mine is the BC210XL. To see what mine looks like, go to
>http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm , click on "Uniden",
>and scroll down to the BC210XL.

De Gustibus Non Est Disputandum: -There's no arguing about matters of taste.
Multum in parvo: - Much in little (small but significant)
Sine qua non: -Indispensible part
Non sequitur: -It does not follow
Cogito ergo sum: - I think therefore I am.
Nota bene: - Note well
Tabula rasa: - Clean slate

1137. New Versus old Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 20:57:37 UTC
From: Anonymous@anonymous.net
Message-ID: <v81gknrhi8e405@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34404

This posting is part of another post that I have made in this NG concerning 210XL radios. The following is the part that concerns the performance of my Uniden 210XLs versus my 785D.

I live in an area saturated by RF interference. I just bought the Uniden 785D, which suffers from intermod, as does my Yaesu and Alinco handhelds. Funny thing is that the 210XLs have no problem with intermod, at least on the frequencies that I use. (around 450MHz).

Is it me, or are radios becoming progressively poorer made, therefore more susceptible to intermod?

Which relatively recent models of radio have high intermod resistance, which radios are models to avoid?

Regards.

De Gustibus Non Est Disputandum: -There's no arguing about matters of taste.
Multum in parvo: - Much in little (small but significant)
Sine qua non: -Indispensible part
Non sequitur: -It does not follow
Cogito ergo sum: - I think therefore I am.
Nota bene: - Note well
Tabula rasa: - Clean slate

1138. Re: Here's how Russian/Iraqi decode SINCGARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 21:07:03 UTC
From: "M. J. Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <$gFUhSG3TMg+EwlW@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 34406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In message <sfb18v0v7kcta4j0mreskjglcovm3a3v7q@4ax.com>, Tom2000
<tom2000@mindspring.com> writes
>On Tue, 25 Mar 2003 19:16:46 GMT, Harris
><aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us> wrote:
>
>>In rec.radio.shortwave UB <ububu7@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>> These radio sets are
>>> categorized as Low Probability of Intercept (LPI) Frequency Hopping Spread
>>> Spectrum (FHSS) transceivers. The FHSS method is not new: it originated from
>>> the Second World War and, simply stated, it employs a narrow band carrier,
>>> shifting frequency in a pattern known only to the transmitter and the
>>> receiver. The frequency can be changed several hundred times per second.
>>
>>An the frequency hopping scheme was invented by none other than actress
>>Hedy Lamarr!
>>
>>See:
>>http://www.inventions.org/culture/female/lamarr.html
>>
>>Art Harris N2AH
>>
>
>Thanks, Art. I knew that she had invented something fundamental to
>military communications, but I'd forgotten just what her contribution
>had been.

Plus the radio-controlled torpedo!

Mike
--
M.J.Powell

1139. Re: Live Military Communications Now OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 21:12:46 UTC
From: conconemail@libero.it
Message-ID: <bcd1b798.0303251312.3d41c46f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,sci.electronics.misc

Got some cool links on military scanning here
they have some info on IRAQ war frequencies notes too....

http://www.dxzone.com/catalog/SWL_BCL/Military/

Ken

1140. Re: I Bought a Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 22:18:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b5qadv$v4o$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34400

Wow! Unless something has radically changed, AFAIK there are plenty of
drawbacks:

1) No CTCSS or DCS tones listed.
2) Very limited Federal info
3) Licensed frequencies only, no discreet police freqs, etc.
4) Lack of telemetry freqs
5) Not enough different ways to search (ie by lat/long, TX city/licensee
city, service,
no of mobiles, etc)

Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E8071C2.4020602@earthlink.net...
> I bought Radio shacks "Police Call Freq. Guide" (9) and can't say
> enough good things about the book.
>
> The CD that came with it is great, it covers all of the USA and also has
> a "short wave" guide.
>
> The only drawback is the size of the print in the book. With these old
> eyes it's a little hard to read.
>
> Home 1
> Burr
> Ridgecrest, Ca 93555
> USA
> Long Wire
> W117.4157
> N35.3582
>
> Home 2
>
> Pomona, Ca. 91766
> Sony AN-1
> N 34-0261
> W 117-4387
>

1141. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 22:21:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b5qkod$e92$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34407
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

And your point is? By stating that the 'West' forces its ideas on the rest of the world by force of arms means nothing. That is the history of the world. It is what we humans are best at.

Im just glad I live in the country that has the biggest and the best. And for once in a LONG TIME is willing to use it.

We didnt start this little war. The ragheads did. Now its time for a little payback.

Dan/W4NTI

"Sjoerd" <geen@idee.nl> wrote in message news:1048620646.67919@cache1...
> "the West won the world not by the superiority of its ideas or values or
> religion but rather by its superiority in applying organized violence.
> Westerners often forget this fact, non-Westerners never do."
> ----------
>
> Samuel P. Huntington
>
> --
>
>
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> schreef in bericht
> news:b5n84b$41$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Spin Spin Spin....change the subject. Confuse it all.
> >
> > What the heck are you talking about that 'my people' dont support the
war
> in
> > Iraq? What are you smoking?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net> wrote in message
> > news:etvfa.29$jl4.22262@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > The thing about conservatives is we know the difference between
right
> > and
> > > > > wrong. Decent and bad. Good and Evil. And
> > >
> > > The difference between good and evil? So then if you truly think you

> know
> > > that difference, why is it that your people don't support a war with
> Iraq?
> > > Saddam is evil, right?
> > >
> > > >yes we do tend to stick with
> > > > what works. You should try it. Maybe you wont screw up the next
> > > generation
> > > > if you do.
> > >
> > > Think about what the world would be like if everyone thought that way.
> > There
> > > would be no America (except for natives). Back in that time most
people
> > > thought there was no America. But they changed their ideas. You don't
> want
> > > the world to have the same ideas and technology as today in 50 years,
do
> > > you?
> > >
> > > ===Matt===
> > > Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
> > > Remove "{nospam}" when replying.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1142. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 22:34:09 UTC
From: KB9TMP <NoMoreSpam4Me@antisocial.com>
Message-ID: <v81ma4mml4lp5f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34408
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"Sjoerd" <geen@idee.nl> wrote in message news:1048620646.67919@cache1...
> "the West won the world not by the superiority of its ideas or values or
> religion but rather by its superiority in applying organized violence.
> Westerners often forget this fact, non-Westerners never do."

And that is why the "non-Westerners" have been beating a path to
our door for the last 100+ years. All of them looking for that
"freedom" that other countries seem to lack.

"What A Country"!
-Yakov Smirnoff

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
kb9tmp "at" juno.com

> ----------
>
> Samuel P. Huntington
>
> --
>
>
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> schreef in bericht
> news:b5n84b$41$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Spin Spin Spin....change the subject. Confuse it all.
> >
> > What the heck are you talking about that 'my people' dont support the
war
> in
> > Iraq? What are you smoking?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net> wrote in message
> > news:etvfa.29$jl4.22262@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > The thing about conservatives is we know the difference between
right

> > and
> > > > wrong. Decent and bad. Good and Evil. And
> > >
> > > The difference between good and evil? So then if you truly think you
> know
> > > that difference, why is it that your people don't support a war with
> Iraq?
> > > Saddam is evil, right?
> > >
> > > >yes we do tend to stick with
> > > > what works. You should try it. Maybe you wont screw up the next
> > > generation
> > > > if you do.
> > >
> > > Think about what the world would be like if everyone thought that way.
> > There
> > > would be no America (except for natives). Back in that time most
people
> > > thought there was no America. But they changed their ideas. You don't
> want
> > > the world to have the same ideas and technology as today in 50 years,
do
> > > you?
> > >
> > > ===Matt===
> > > Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
> > > Remove "{nospam}" when replying.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1143. Re: PRO-2066 on airband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 22:34:09 UTC
From: "Troy R." <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3e80d943$0$9538$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 34409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am just after civil airband (108-137 MHz), in particular ACARS reception.

"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:b5pim4hno1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> I own a 2066 and I love it (for in my vehicle). I am not sure if it
receives
> what I assume you are asking to be MilAir (200-400MHz area), but if it
does
> I can test its performance for you. I will look into it and post the
> results.
>
> Troy R. <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3e7eec9f$0$5555$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > I am after a small base scanner for airband use. I can pick up a used
> > PRO-2066 pretty cheaply. I was wondering how well this scanner works on
> the
> > airband?
> >
> > Would a 2053 or a 2067 be a better option?
> >
> > Thanks all.
> >
> >
>
>

1144. Re: Scanner Supplies in the Wilkes Barre /Scranton PA area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-25 23:27:58 UTC
From: Nick <nvavro@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tdp18v4aom73pjflpj5k8bfa0bjd3a43qa@4ax.com>
Source record: 34410

On 24 Mar 2003 18:56:50 GMT, mcmanusmacdg@aol.com (Mcmanusmacdg)
wrote:

>Hi,
> Anyone know of any electronic stores in the area above besides Radio Shack?
>Thanks,
>Mike

Mike. Here is the info: Moyer Electronics has 3 stores:

758 North locust Street
Hazleton, Pa.18201
570-455-3631

310 North Second Street
Sunbury, Pa. 17801
570-286-6707

330 East Norweigian Street
P.O. Box 1164
Pottsville, Pa. 17901-7164
570-622-7866

Hope this info helps. Hazleton looks the closet from Wilkes Barre. Use
Mapquest for directions. I could try but might confuse you. You take
the West Hazleton exit off the interstate 81 South. Nick

1145. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 00:49:17 UTC
From: Steve <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org>
Message-ID: <hO6ga.24424$MP5.22584@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 34411

Not a chance

"cuibel" <cuibel@asdfa.com> wrote in message
news:kpTfa.206082$eG2.30732@sccrnsc03...
> Many think the iraquis are just waiting to sing kum ba ya AFTER we invade.
> Fat chance
>
> "Steve" <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org> wrote in message
> news:OQOfa.2697$MP5.766@news1.central.cox.net...
> > If you were fighting in a foreign land, and back home you know people
> > are protesting the war. How would you feel.
> >
> >
> > "AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in message
> > news:Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02...
> > > Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that
our
> > > soldiers are fighting for?
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1146. WTB Icom R75 or SAT 800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 01:17:58 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ad7ga.124395$jP2.21635035@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 34412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking for an Icom R75 with or without Kiwa or similar mods. DSP is not necessary. Must be in NEW condition. Or an SAT 800 without the hum problem, in NEW condition! I won't pay a new price, so if you're reasonable on price let me know what you have. MUST BE LIKE NEW with no problems!
DeWayne K9KZ

1147. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 01:23:17 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8208797kiio08@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34413
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

And you suppose that 30 more years of his reign over those people is actually going to be one of peace, love, and understanding. Hardly the fact when you consider the past 30 years of his bloody rule. Actually longer than that considering that he first gained prominence in the coup of Al-Bakr back in 1968.

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message news:BAA3EEAB.14520%stewart@say.net...
> "bikertech" wrote:
>
> > (snip) While we all sit around perpetuating idile
> > conversation of the pro's and con's on this war,
> > such as the UN has done for the last 12 years,
> > thousands of Iraqi people have died at the hands
> > of this tyrant. (snip)
>
>
> Thousands of the Iraqi people are dying this week - and it is not "the
> tyrant" killing them.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1148. Re: Harding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 01:38:57 UTC
From: Steve KA4TMB <sheyde@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KSqdna0fuf0zmRyjXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 34414
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

"Sjoerd" <geen@idee.nl> wrote in message news:1048620646.67919@cache1...
> "the West won the world not by the superiority of its ideas or values or
> religion but rather by its superiority in applying organized violence.
> Westerners often forget this fact, non-Westerners never do."
> ----------
>
> Samuel P. Huntington

Religion itself *never* won a war, even if there are those who think it did.

"superiority in applying organized violence"? Of course! But did you honestly think that we "won the
world" with UNorganized violence?

And what do you mean by "won the world"? The world is a very large place and does not belong to any
one country (or person).

Before you go tossing around words, like "Westerners", I suggest you try studying American history and
finding out all you can about it, instead of the propaganda you have obviously been reading. I live
in the GREAT! country of the United States of America, and if you want to include me in your label of
"Westerners", that's up to you - BUT DON'T! - because you are wrong to assume that "Westerners" means
all of us from the 'west'. Personally, I have never tried to win the world through any means. And
what one "Westerner" thinks may not be what another "Westerner" thinks. That's what "America" is all
about - the freedom to choose, or not choose, or choose whatever way you desire (within reason).

Steve

P. S. The name of this newsgroup is "alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf",
not "alt.the.netherlands.vs.westerners".

1149. BC245XLT Hybrid Tracking / NORVA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 01:39:43 UTC
From: Annette Dumas <nettski@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zx7ga.25056$ja4.1687420@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34415

Greetings.

Have above scanner, works fine tracking my EDACS systems at home (Orange County, NY). I've been recalled by Uncle Sam to Norfolk, VA, having no luck getting Norfolk and Va Beach hybrid trunking systems to work (no problem with Portsmouth's system). Is 245's ability to track hybrid's overrated, or am I programming Fleet Maps incorrectly, or making other error (i.e. should I use E2-800 versus E1 Usr, etc...).

TIA,

LJD

1150. Re: Pro-95 Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 01:47:38 UTC
From: Bob <rareeder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E8106FA.8020706@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34416

The cheap one looks good to me. Go for it.
Bob

Celt Chic wrote:

>Greetings!
>
>I'm about to buy that cable that everyone has been recommending and I wanted
>to get your opinion. I'm going to get it off EBay since it is so much
>cheaper than anywhere else. There are two different cables for sale when I
>do a search for "pro 95 cable." Does anyone know if it's ok for me to get
>the cheaper one? Here are the two different types that show up:
>
>The cheapest one:
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014828334&category=14956
>
>The more expensive one:
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014571353&category=14956
>
>If anyone knows where I can get one REALLY cheap that REALLY works, let me
>know. I like the $8.99 + $2.00 s/h, but hey, if it exists anywhere for any
>amount less, I'm a bargain hunter!
>
>Thanks for helping me on this and everything else you've helped me with!
>
>
>--
>~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
>Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
>Radio Shack DX-402
>Radio Shack Pro-95
>Radio Shack TRC-499
>COBY CX CB12 (cute!)
>email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
>In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
>http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
>Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1151. Strange signal heard.... Ottawa Canada.. 432.1-432.7 jamming ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 02:01:30 UTC
From: tomzinck@rogers.com
Message-ID: <5f72835a.0303251741.12019505@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hello !

I am hearing a LOUD, very strange signal between 432.1 and 432.7 or so here in the Ottawa, Ontario, Canada area. Been on for about 30 minutes now (0137 UTC).

Here is a recording (SSB mode on radio):

http://members.rogers.com/tomzinck/ham/jammer.mp3

Radar?

Never heard it before.

Tom
VA3NFA

1152. Re: Strange signal heard.... Ottawa Canada.. 432.1-432.7 jamming ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 02:18:54 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3E810E0E.3490E2CF@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 34418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Tom Zinck wrote:
>
> Hello !
>
> I am hearing a LOUD, very strange signal between 432.1 and 432.7 or so
> here in the Ottawa, Ontario, Canada area. Been on for about 30 minutes
> now (0137 UTC).
>
> Here is a recording (SSB mode on radio):
>
> http://members.rogers.com/tomzinck/ham/jammer.mp3
>
> Radar?
>
> Never heard it before.
>
> Tom
> VA3NFA

Sure it is not the ATV repeater?

Dave
VE3HLU

1153. WTB Alinco DJ-X2000K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 02:42:08 UTC
From: Vicky Bagwalla <sw@u214.net>
Message-ID: <1s8ga.4079$062.566420@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 34419

Please email me with price and included accessories

Thanks

Vicky

1154. Re: newbie trunking question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 03:19:46 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <m%8ga.50049$S%3.1745000@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34420

Hi Eric,

Someday we'll both be trunkin' it! :) I don't know if you already know
this or not, but you can download the Pro-95 manual from this site, since
you lost yours:

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/68645.htm

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:PCTfa.291$HX7.28346421@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> Celtic Chic,
>
> Thanks for asking this question. I'm in the same boat. But somehow lost
the
> original manual, and downloaded that other one you read, and still don't
> know what I'm doing. Maybe someday I'll get my '95 working.
>
> Eric KA6USJ
>
>
~~~~~~~

1155. Re: newbie trunking question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 03:22:18 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <K19ga.50056$S%3.1745752@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34421

Thanks to everyone who helped me understand Trunking a little more. I'm going to try to program an easy Motorola II system in first. I'll let you know how it turns out!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:riRfa.27984$S%3.1644725@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi everyone,
>
> I'm sure everyone is going to sigh in boredom when they hear this
> question, but I just bought a Pro-95 Scanner and I'm completely lost
> to the whole trunking thing. I've read the manual three times, I've
> checked out trunking web sites, and even read a site that pretty much
> rewrote the manual. None of these help. I think my brain is in a
> stage of rot. Can someone start me off from the beginning, say, by
> teaching me how to program a basic Mot II trunking system in my
> scanner? What all do I need to do? Please give it to me step by
> step, and maybe then I can advance on to Edacs, and then possibly to
> Mot I where it also requires fleet maps. They need a trunking book
> for dummies because I really have no clue and would appreciate the
> most basic help I can get.
>
> For example, here is a set of frequencies that is published on the
> trunkedradio.net for Radioland in Floyds Knobs, IN. What would I do
> with it starting from the beginning?
>
> System Name: Radioland 900Mhz (Indiana)
> Location: Floyds Knobs, IN
> County: Floyd
> System Type: Motorola Type II Smartzone
> Last Updated: 03-16-02 17:01
> Hits: 14
>
> System Frequencies
~~~~~~~

> Site Description Freqs
> 001 Site-1 936.6875 936.7125 936.7250 936.7375 936.7500 937.6375
> 937.6500 937.6625 937.6750 937.6875
>
> Thanks so much! Once I get the hang of this I know I could do
> everything else on my own!
>
> Another question, do all of the trunked systems have to start on
> channel 00 in the banks, or can I have multiple trunked systems in
> the banks? I believe I can only have motorla in one band and edacs
> in another, but can I have multiple systems in each bank? For
> instance, since edacs must be in order, can I have 12 frequencies of
> edacs starting from channel 400 going to channel 411, and then have
> another edacs system starting from channel 412 to channel 430?
>
> Muchas gracias!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>
>
>

1156. Re: Pro-95 Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 03:28:49 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3E811E74.E2BE43D5@optonline.net>
Source record: 34422

Are they both 2-way cables?

Celt Chic wrote:
> Greetings!
>
> I'm about to buy that cable that everyone has been recommending and I wanted
> to get your opinion. I'm going to get it off EBay since it is so much
> cheaper than anywhere else. There are two different cables for sale when I
> do a search for "pro 95 cable." Does anyone know if it's ok for me to get
> the cheaper one? Here are the two different types that show up:
>
> The cheapest one:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014828334&category=14956
>
> The more expensive one:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014571353&category=14956
>
> If anyone knows where I can get one REALLY cheap that REALLY works, let me
> know. I like the $8.99 + $2.00 s/h, but hey, if it exists anywhere for any
> amount less, I'm a bargain hunter!
>
> Thanks for helping me on this and everything else you've helped me with!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> COBY CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

1157. Re: newbie trunking question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 03:39:12 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Ah9ga.50096$S%3.1749112@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34423

Ok, I have a control channel programmed into a bank. However, now when I scan, it always stops on the channel with the diesel sound and stays there. How can I get it to pick up voice transmissions without always having to stop on the control channel during a scan?

Thanks,

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Wayne Farmer <usenet@endymionsystems.com> wrote in message
news:HdCdnYVqa5Zrgx2jXTWcqA@psknet.com...

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:riRfa.27984$S%3.1644725@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> For example, here is a set of frequencies that is published on the
> trunkedradio.net for Radioland in Floyds Knobs, IN. What would I do
> with it starting from the beginning?
>
> System Frequencies
> Site Description Freqs
> 001 Site-1 936.6875 936.7125 936.7250 936.7375 936.7500 937.6375
> 937.6500 937.6625 937.6750 937.6875

Pick a bank, and enter the above frequencies into it, starting at location 0 in the bank. Use the procedure at
http://marksscanners.home.att.net/95-4.html#Programming_a_Motorola_Type_II_System to do this.

After doing that, scan using the procedure at
http://marksscanners.home.att.net/95-5.html#Trunking_a_Trunked_Bank .

> Another question, do all of the trunked systems have to start on
> channel 00 in the banks, or can I have multiple trunked systems in
> the banks? I believe I can only have Motorola in one band and edacs
> in another, but can I have multiple systems in each bank? For
~~~~~~~

> instance, since edacs must be in order, can I have 12 frequencies of
> edacs starting from channel 400 going to channel 411, and then have
> another edacs system starting from channel 412 to channel 430?

For EDACS, you can truly have only one trunked system per bank, because each EDACS system has to be stored starting at Channel 1, not 0, in that bank.

For Motorola, you can program multiple trunked systems into a bank, but the scanner will only track the first one that it can hear. (It starts at Channel 0 in the bank, and scans upwards.) This might be useful if you travel around, where only one of the systems you programmed into the bank is in range at any given time.

Another clue: for Motorola, you really only have to program the _control_channel_ for the system. This is the one that sounds like a diesel engine running. The PRO-95 will automatically figure out which frequency is carrying the voice at any given time, and will switch to it and display it, even if you haven't programmed that frequency into the bank! This is a big improvement over earlier trunked scanners, such as the PRO-91. To find control channels, you can use the SEARCH mode to scan up through the Police/Fire bands where trunked systems are likely to be found, or use a limit search for a specific range of frequencies. Ignore voice transmissions, and listen for the diesel engine sound instead. When you find one, use FUNC ENTER to store it into an empty bank instead, and then go change the mode of that bank to Motorola, and the mode of the frequency you just stored to Motorola. In manual mode, when listening to that channel, you should hear the diesel noise, and the scanner should say MOT: CTRL. Scan that bank, and you'll start hearing any voice traffic for that trunked system. :) You can then look up the control channel frequency in trunkedradio.net to find out what system you're listening to, and whether you need to make it Type I instead of Type II, etc.

Warning: Motorola systems (and EDACS systems) often rotate the control channel among several frequencies, typically changing to a new frequency at midnight. So you have to program all of the control channel frequencies into the bank if you want to stay with the system. The frequencies used by the control channel will be among those listed on trunkedradio.net for that system.

Wayne

1158. Re: Pro-95 Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 04:43:29 UTC
From: Dave Schmidt <n9nlu@NO_SPAM_charter.net>
Message-ID: <v83p4skvj6q3c4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34443

Could always build one --- go real cheap!

Dave

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:m47ga.49852$S%3.1728736@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Greetings!
>
> I'm about to buy that cable that everyone has been recommending and I
wanted
> to get your opinion. I'm going to get it off EBay since it is so much
> cheaper than anywhere else. There are two different cables for sale when
I
> do a search for "pro 95 cable." Does anyone know if it's ok for me to get
> the cheaper one? Here are the two different types that show up:
>
> The cheapest one:
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014828334&category=14956
>
> The more expensive one:
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014571353&category=14956
>
> If anyone knows where I can get one REALLY cheap that REALLY works, let me
> know. I like the $8.99 + $2.00 s/h, but hey, if it exists anywhere for
any
> amount less, I'm a bargain hunter!
>
> Thanks for helping me on this and everything else you've helped me with!
>
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> COBY CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>

>
>
>

1159. Re: Where To Buy New R75?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 04:57:40 UTC
From: Howard Kowall <hkowall@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <8raga.304008$na.20952332@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 34425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

just don't buy it in canada
we don't get all the coupons and free dsp units like you yanks get,.good
luck
sold mine
sorry i did
lol
howard

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:huaga.124764$jP2.21907973@twister.neo.rr.com...
> Where's the best price on a new R75, in case I don't find a good
> deal on a used radio? Thanks!
> DeWayne
>
>

1160. Where To Buy New R75?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 05:01:01 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <huaga.124764$jP2.21907973@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 34424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Where's the best price on a new R75, in case I don't find a good
deal on a used radio? Thanks!
DeWayne

1161. FA : Motorola GP2000 UHF HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 06:07:12 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ksbga.1$zh4.0@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 34426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3015404455

1162. Southern LINC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 06:50:08 UTC
From: dave911 <vfa192@dave911REMOVE.com>
Message-ID: <A4cga.5865$k8.205405@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 34427
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is there a scanner that can decode Southern LINC radios? There are more and more emergency services getting on the system around here and I would really like to be able to pick them up again.

Thanks in advance.

1163. TrunkTracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 10:54:50 UTC
From: radio <waver03@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b5s073$pk5$1@reader01.singnet.com.sg>
Source record: 34428
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

I wish to ask if trunk systems only occupy 800Mhz or does it fall under other band of frequencies too?

Thanks.

1164. Re: ALINCO DJV5T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 11:15:01 UTC
From: mcg <kc2hcw@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <f0ydnRWSJ7c4FhyjXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 34429
Cross-posted to: nj.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Is this an ht or mobile also your e-mail does not work
"KC2KSZ" <rad@sprintpcs.com> wrote in message
news:4wyfa.191147$b8.41731690@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Alinco DJV5T/TH/TDC/TDCH
> VHF/UHF Dual Band FM Transceiver
> Star Performer!
>
> **Asking $135.00**
>
> http://www.alinco.com/usa.html
>
> Only 3mos. Old
> Perfect Condition, No Scratches
> Have Original Box and Original Manual
> Ground Shipping Incl. from NJ
>
> MUST HAVE FCC LICENSE
>
>

1165. Re: TrunkTracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 12:09:26 UTC
From: No <123@noone.net>
Message-ID: <WLgga.10308$ZC6.4227177@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 34430
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

900 MHz and UHF also

George

"radio" <waver03@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b5s073$pk5$1@reader01.singnet.com.sg...
> Hello,
>
> I wish to ask if trunk systems only occupy 800Mhz or does it fall under
> other band of frequencies too?
>
> Thanks.
>
>

1166. Re: Strange signal heard.... Ottawa Canada.. 432.1-432.7 jamming ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 13:11:11 UTC
From: V65MagnaFan <v65magnafan@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <PFhga.13582$KIE.8608@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 34431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I think it was our Prime Minister getting his brain-wave-refill from Venus.

1167. Re: Extended Frequencies, huh??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 13:40:17 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v83be0t1gah722@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34432

Yes, you may enter frequencies beyond those normally entered via keyboard. That being said, a caveat is in order, the internal filters can cause the signal to be attenuated severely.

A good example is the Military Air Band. You can enter 331.5, but the internal filter ends at 225.0. Signals above 225 are almost impossible to pick up.

Another case is an unavailable mode, for example you can enter "Rock 93" but because wideband FM is not an available mode, you can't listen to it.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:n18ga.49926$S%3.1737832@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I read this on Don Starr's Win95 web page. Am I reading correctly that I
> can upload extended frequencies onto my scanner? This is what I read:
>
> "Extended Frequency Ranges!
> As of version 00.06, Win95 allows you to enter any frequency between 5 kHz
> and 1.3 GHz, excluding the cellular ranges. This fills the "gaps" in the
> PRO-95's coverage (e.g. between 54 MHz and 108 MHz). For ranges below 460
> MHz, the step size is 5 kHz; for ranges above 460 MHz, the step size is
6.25
> kHz.
> This feature can only be used in programmed channels - it does not work in
> the user-defined Limit Search (SR5). The scanner makes additional checks
for
> "valid" frequencies. It has to, so that it can jump between its valid
ranges
> as it's scanning.
> Also, reception in some ranges may be affected by filtering and other
> characteristics of the scanner. For example, while the scanner will tune
and
> receive commercial FM broadcasts, reception will be distorted. FM
broadcasts
> are wideband, while the scanner is a narrowband receiver.
> NOTE: This does not enable any cellular frequencies. The scanner appears
to
> block any such frequencies."
>
> If I'm understanding this correctly, that's awesome! If not, :(.....
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95

> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>

1168. Re: Pro-95 Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 13:46:14 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v83bp676on1od5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34433

Be careful, because of voltage differences, many of the cables that worked fine on the Pro-93 will not work on the Pro-95.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:m47ga.49852$S%3.1728736@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Greetings!
>
> I'm about to buy that cable that everyone has been recommending and I wanted
> to get your opinion. I'm going to get it off EBay since it is so much
> cheaper than anywhere else. There are two different cables for sale when I
> do a search for "pro 95 cable." Does anyone know if it's ok for me to get
> the cheaper one? Here are the two different types that show up:
>
> The cheapest one:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014828334&category=14956
>
> The more expensive one:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014571353&category=14956
>
> If anyone knows where I can get one REALLY cheap that REALLY works, let me
> know. I like the $8.99 + $2.00 s/h, but hey, if it exists anywhere for any
> amount less, I'm a bargain hunter!
>
> Thanks for helping me on this and everything else you've helped me with!
>
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> COBY CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>

>
>
>

1169. frg-7000 schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 14:18:26 UTC
From: "maurizio.bonfanti" <maurizio.bonfanti@libero.it>
Message-ID: <SEiga.50022$7y3.1580038@twister1.libero.it>
Source record: 34434

Can someone send to me yaesu FRG-7000 schematic diagram?

Thank you

Maurizio

1170. Airforce One up on JAX Center Freq enroute to Tampa, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 14:50:22 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E81BE2E.30609@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34435
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

At 0946 Airforce one checked in with JAX Center on 126.3500 now switched to 134.000 JAX Center. Level at FL 240. POTUS is in the area....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com
Monitoring from Daytona Beach, FL

1171. OPP Mobile radio and tone codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 15:24:41 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xyjga.35400$cB3.205609@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 34436

I am not sure if they use tones on their mobile's or not, I have not been quick enough with the tone search when they are using them. Anyone know?

If they do not how do they eliminate interference on their mobiles?

--
Brad.
FeeD_BaK

1172. Re: Extended Frequencies, huh??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 15:28:57 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0303260728.27f40187@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34437

Well good news/bad news. You can program frequencies that are outside of the ranges in the scanner manual. The downside is they are not very sensitive. I have programed in UHF military air and only receive really strong signals from planes nearby. The cellular freqs can be programmed but the scanner will not pick them up. I still think this is one of the best scanners around and the best buy for the money.

1173. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 15:35:10 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0303260735.4f95d23e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34438

Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our soldiers are fighting for?

Let me ask the question a little differently. Why do people protest against soldiers fight for their rights? Seems stupid to me. Rights are not free!

1174. Re: Airforce One up on JAX Center Freq enroute to Tampa, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 16:13:38 UTC
From: Markus Walther <markus@removethis.erfaring.com>
Message-ID: <nlkga.45301$Rc7.662502@news2.e.nsc.no>
Source record: 34439
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3E81BE2E.30609@licensed4fun.com...
> POTUS is in the area....

Yep, I just saw him speaking live on cnn. Some military camp visit.

markus

>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
> Monitoring from Daytona Beach, FL
>
>

1175. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 16:31:59 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <3lk38vg9h0p2ja1o05ea2ja2s61fssevg0@4ax.com>
Source record: 34441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,soc.culture.usa

Colin <fruitloop@sugar.com> wrote:

> rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) wrote in
> news:3ef6beca.0303240340.5ca50c98@posting.google.com:
>
> > AC, (One More IH*3)
> >
> > The Geneva Convention (International Law) set forth the term
> > "Un-Law-Ful Combatants" and describes what they are in-fact as a point
> > of law by treaty.
> >
>
> I think in some circles, the American Boys
> and Girls, being held by the Iraqi's
> are considered illegal combatants....
>
> That is not my opinion, but I think
> that they (IRAQ) may hold that
> opinion..
>
> Think about it.
> Geneva convention notwithstanding..
> How would you treat an IRAQI
> invading New York???
>
> I know exactly what you would do.
> You would send them to Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
> to rot.

Yes, which is why that so-called "enemy combatant" designation should
never have been created.

>
> Personally, I pray for a safe return
> for the American boys and girls.
>
> God Bless 'em.

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dim.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

1176. Re: TrunkTracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 16:32:13 UTC
From: internetstuff47129@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <39a30175.0303260832.71932c51@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34440
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Trunked Systems can fall under other bands of frequencies, too.

I don't know if there is a "defined range" of frequencies where
different trunked systems reside, but there are different frequency
ranges where trunked systems can be found.

-Celt Chic (scanner newbie....always get a second opinion!)

"radio" <waver03@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<b5s073$pk5$1@reader01.singnet.com.sg>...
> Hello,
>
> I wish to ask if trunk systems only occupy 800Mhz or does it fall under
> other band of frequencies too?
>
> Thanks.

1177. DJ-X3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 16:51:13 UTC
From: Leonard Beecroft <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <5Ukga.15431$KIE.1271@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 34442

DJ-X3... Sucks life out of batteries fast.

I put in fresh batteries 20 minutes later low battery icon comes on.

Sometimes when i scan it will turns off in the middle of a scanning and turns back on.

However i have no problem with the DJ-X3 when i use an adaptor I'm still learning it's functions.

Also when i check the batteries on the tester they are good... So is the DJ-X3 defective or do i try another type of battery.

thank-you

1178. Re: Graphic Images Of Captured Soldiers....(THE UNCUT VIDEO LINK ONLINE IS HERE)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 17:52:06 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d17275c.0303260952.31dccb67@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34444

"Xraal[rot13]" <eidolon@polarbbs.mine.nu> wrote in message
>
> I think you're wrong about the people at Guantanamo. They are
> soldiers, without question, just for a different cause/country.

Geneva Conventions only apply to certain "classes" of people.
Non combatants are clearly spelled out.
Soldiers are defined and if a fighter does not meet the
definition, then they are not a "soldier" but an "UC".
In the current Iraq situation it is being reported that
Iraqis are wearing USA uniforms, if true, and any such fighters
are caught, they are not POWs and can be legally shot on the spot.
All quite correct under the conventions.
No trial, no fuss, no paper work and no worry.
The same most likley will apply to our special forces who are
operating in Northen Iraq and dress in Kurdish style clothing.
If any of the guys are caught, the same rule applies.
The situation in Afganistan was murky. There was no lawfull, as in
recognised by any country other then Paksitan, so there was no lawfull
chain of command, etc.
In a simlar light, Iraqis, either uniformed or dressed as
civilians who show a white flag then start firing can also
be shot on the spot. Again perfectly correct. We do not
have to accept a second surender atempt from fighters who
have violated one surrender.
As a side point, the Taliban and friends who surrendered in
Afganistan, then attmepted to start fighting again should
have been eliminated. Period. A MOAB direct on their location.
No negatiation. Surrender, and fail to honor that surrender
and BAM you die.
Unlawful Combatants are more akin to pirates then soldiers.
And as such, enjoy NO RIGHTS under the Conventions governing
land warfare.
In short they can be tried in any way the winners decide is legal.
It may, or may not be "fair", but if we are going to argue let us
at least decide what frame work we will work in. As far as the
Geneva Conventions go these guys fail on many points are are
therefore pirates and should be dealt with in a prompt, humane
and permanent manor. They are all rather lucky that we decided to
take them to Cuba instead of having drum head courts and killing
them in a legal way in the field.
The basic rule here is to not be caught in enemy terrotory in
civilian clothing and not to fight for a gang of criminals that a

enjoy no international acceptance.
To compare what we have done to the people we captured in Afganistan
with the apparent murder of POWs who clearly meet the requirements
of the conventions is to ignore the reality of the situation.
Typical of the liberal mindset.

Terry

1179. MAINSAIL (11175) Up and Quite Active Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 18:15:32 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e81ed14.145625036@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 34445

Lots of chatter on 11175 now. S/N ration here
in D.C. area makes reading a little difficult. -- Perhaps
just propagation here. Offutt is up and working flights.

"Mainsail, Mainsaiil Lima Delta Yankee 207 check "

LOTS to hear !

Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

1180. Re: Airforce One up on JAX Center Freq enroute to Tampa, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 18:17:36 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e81ee8a.145999052@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 34446
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 26 Mar 2003 17:13:38 +0100, "Markus Walther"
<markus@removethis.erfaring.com> wrote:

>"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
>news:3E81BE2E.30609@licensed4fun.com...
>> POTUS is in the area....
>
>Yep, I just saw him speaking live on cnn. Some military camp visit.
>

MacGill AFB -- Rallying the troops and chowin' down with the Grunts.
Good catch, George.

>markus
>
>>
>> George
>> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>> Monitoring from Daytona Beach, FL
>>
>>
>
>

Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

1181. MAINSAIL Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 18:24:49 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e81efe4.146345602@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 34447

Propagation clear as a bell now.
"Leisurely" comms -- Possible Background
Pilot - Co-Pilot chit-chat !

LOTS of chatter from Offutt. Lots.

Enjoy,

Andrew
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

1182. FA : MOTOROLA GP-68 VHF HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 19:25:23 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <D8nga.5$zh4.4@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 34448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3015467651

1183. Re: Airforce One up on JAX Center Freq enroute to Tampa, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 20:00:22 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E8206D6.6040909@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34449
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Reticulum wrote:

> MacGill AFB -- Rallying the troops and chowin' down with the Grunts.
> Good catch, George.
>

I just put up the audio I recorded of Airforce One. This was recorded off 3 different Jacksonville Center (ATC) freqs as Airforce One was enroute to MacDill.

You can find the audio at http://www.milaircomms.com/audio_library.html

Later tonight I'll have the audio uploaded of the CAP (Combat Air Patrol) flights what was enforcing the TFR (Temporary flight restriction) area around POTUS. This will include at least one warning to an inturder violating the TFR area....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1184. STOP MAD COWBOY DISEASE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 20:28:47 UTC
From: Pointing North <PointingNorth@smellivision.com>
Message-ID: <34oga.247290$sf5.157635@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34450
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

[No readable article body recovered]

1185. Re: Any Live LAPD Audio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 22:02:51 UTC
From: JvE <spotter@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <b5t81jrgn1@reader1.tiscali.nl>
Source record: 34451

JT,
Open in windows media player:
mms://64.89.232.178/scanner

Or go to:

http://home.tiscali.nl/~johnnye/index.html
And Click on the left,
US online radioscanner

JvE

"JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> schreef in bericht
news:9q0ga.233639$sf5.147356@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Are there any sites up that are broadcasting the digital audio for LAPD? I
> have been looking without any luck.
>
> Thanks.
>
> JT
>
>

1186. Re: STOP MAD COWBOY DISEASE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 22:47:16 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b5tag8$6a6d$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 34452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

BANG!>>>>>>>>>>>>> ;}

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:34oga.247290$sf5.157635@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
>
>

1187. Re: Pro-95 Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 23:22:04 UTC
From: "Joe M. Wade" <nascar@gtopower.com>
Message-ID: <3E823791.9000007@gtopower.com>
Source record: 34453

I've got the one from BlackBag. It works just fine with my pro-95 and
also my 2067. I just have to use different software for each.
Unfortunately, I ordered mine from the pro-95.com web site and paid the
"retail" price. They were very helpful though. A pleasure to do business
with. (and that's saying something these days)

If you want to verify before buying on Ebay, just e-mail them. They
answered my e-mail within a half hour or less.

- Joe

Celt Chic wrote:
> Greetings!
>
> I'm about to buy that cable that everyone has been recommending and I wanted
> to get your opinion. I'm going to get it off EBay since it is so much
> cheaper than anywhere else. There are two different cables for sale when I
> do a search for "pro 95 cable." Does anyone know if it's ok for me to get
> the cheaper one? Here are the two different types that show up:
>
> The cheapest one:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014828334&category=14956
>
> The more expensive one:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014571353&category=14956
>
> If anyone knows where I can get one REALLY cheap that REALLY works, let me
> know. I like the $8.99 + $2.00 s/h, but hey, if it exists anywhere for any
> amount less, I'm a bargain hunter!
>
> Thanks for helping me on this and everything else you've helped me with!
>
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> COBY CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>

>
>
>
>

1188. Re: OPP Mobile radio and tone codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 23:27:26 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <BHqga.5847$D24.560263@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 34454

No, the mobiles do not need the tone, just the base, that would be 107.2

"FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xyjga.35400$cB3.205609@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> I am not sure if they use tones on their mobile's or not, I have not been
> quick enough with the tone search when they are using them. Anyone know?
>
> If they do not how do they eliminate interference on their mobiles?
>
> --
> Brad.
> FeeD_BaK
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.463 / Virus Database: 262 - Release Date: 17/03/2003

1189. Re: Pro-95 Cable Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 23:48:27 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f%qga.26355$ja4.1789050@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34455

Cable has been bought! Ordered it today from EBay. When I emailed the
address ebay provided, a response came from BlackBag, so I guess it's really
them selling that cable. I trust it will be the right one since I recognize
the name.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Joe M. Wade <nascar@gtopower.com> wrote in message
news:3E823791.9000007@gtopower.com...
> I've got the one from BlackBag. It works just fine with my pro-95 and
> also my 2067. I just have to use different software for each.
> Unfortunately, I ordered mine from the pro-95.com web site and paid the
> "retail" price. They were very helpful though. A pleasure to do business
> with. (and that's saying something these days)
>
> If you want to verify before buying on Ebay, just e-mail them. They
> answered my e-mail within a half hour or less.
>
> - Joe
>
>
> Celt Chic wrote:
> > Greetings!
> >
> > I'm about to buy that cable that everyone has been recommending and I
wanted
> > to get your opinion. I'm going to get it off EBay since it is so much
> > cheaper than anywhere else. There are two different cables for sale
when I
> > do a search for "pro 95 cable." Does anyone know if it's ok for me to
get
> > the cheaper one? Here are the two different types that show up:
> >
> > The cheapest one:
> >
~~~~~~~

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014828334&category=14956
> >
> > The more expensive one:
> >
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3014571353&category=14956
> >
> > If anyone knows where I can get one REALLY cheap that REALLY works, let
me
> > know. I like the $8.99 + $2.00 s/h, but hey, if it exists anywhere for
any
> > amount less, I'm a bargain hunter!
> >
> > Thanks for helping me on this and everything else you've helped me with!
> >
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > COBY CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

1190. Re: Any Live LAPD Audio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 23:54:38 UTC
From: jeffl@sigecom.net
Message-ID: <3e823c3e$1_3@newsfeed>
Source record: 34456

is it me NEITHER of these links have LAPD

Jeff

In article <b5t81jrgn1@reader1.tiscali.nl>, "JvE" <spotter@hotmail.com>
wrote:
>JT,
>Open in windows media player:
>mms://64.89.232.178/scanner
>
>Or go to:
>
>http://home.tiscali.nl/~johnnye/index.html
>And Click on the left,
>US online radioscanner
>
>JvE
>
>
>
>"JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> schreef in bericht
>news:9q0ga.233639$sf5.147356@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
>> Are there any sites up that are broadcasting the digital audio for LAPD? I
>> have been looking without any luck.
>>
>> Thanks.
>>
>> JT
>>
>>
>
>

1191. Re: Southern LINC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-26 23:58:53 UTC
From: KD2PM <kd2pm2@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_UydnfNtEYEuox-jXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 34457
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Nope. They use the iDEN system like Nextel. Not worth the effort to tune any frequencies that they have.

"dave911" <vfa192@dave911REMOVE.com> wrote in message news:A4cga.5865$k8.205405@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Is there a scanner that can decode Southern LINC radios? There are more and
> more emergency services getting on the system around here and I would really
> like to be able to pick them up again.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
>

1192. Re: Any Live LAPD Audio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 01:18:05 UTC
From: JT <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <hjsga.244270$6b3.715986@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34459

Didn't see it on the website, but I think I am getting the audio from the
cut and paste you suggested. Thanks.

JT
"JvE" <spotter@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:b5t81jrgn1@reader1.tiscali.nl...
> JT,
> Open in windows media player:
> mms://64.89.232.178/scanner
>
> Or go to:
>
> http://home.tiscali.nl/~johnnye/index.html
> And Click on the left,
> US online radioscanner
>
> JvE
>
>
>
> "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> schreef in bericht
> news:9q0ga.233639$sf5.147356@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > Are there any sites up that are broadcasting the digital audio for LAPD?
I
> > have been looking without any luck.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > JT
> >
> >
>
>

1193. Re: DJ-X3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 01:40:20 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <8Esga.249642$sf5.160514@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34460

Leonard Beecroft wrote:

> DJ-X3... Sucks life out of batteries fast.
>
> I put in fresh batteries 20 minutes later low battery icon comes on.
>
> Sometimes when i scan it will turns off in the middle of a scanning and
> turns back on.
>
> However i have no problem with the DJ-X3 when i use an adaptor I'm still
> learning it's functions.
>
> Also when i check the batteries on the tester they are good... So is the
> DJ-X3 defective or do i try another type of battery.
>
> thank-you

20 minutes is pretty bad. For me, a set of fresh alkalines lasts about a week of two 45-minute listening sessions per day. Still pretty bad, but I now use rechargeable NiMHs.

My biggest complaint about my DJ-X3 is the microphonics. Especially on strong signals and with less than freshly-charged batteries, the unit will break into audio oscillation. The loud screeeeching can be stopped by a sharp rap on the front of the case but it is very annoying. Anyone else have this problem?

Otherwise, I'm happy with mine.

--
*
* This Space For Hire
*

1194. Airforce One & CAP comms now online from todays visit.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 02:18:09 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E825F61.2090403@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34461

For the benefit of those who didnï¿½t have the time or ability to hear Airforce One today I have uploaded the audio from todayï¿½s Airforce Oneï¿½s visit to Tampa, FL. Also uploaded are comms of the Combat Air Patrol giving a ï¿½sternï¿½ warning to a civil aircraft for getting too close to the TFR (Temporary Flight Restriction) area. You can hear the audio here: file:///C:/George/Webpage/MilComms/audio_library.html

Thanks
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1195. Re: CORRECTION Airforce One & CAP comms now online from todays visit.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 02:25:35 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E82611F.5080805@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34462

GeorgeF wrote:
> For the benefit of those who didnï¿½t have the time or ability to hear
> Airforce One today I have uploaded the audio from todayï¿½s Airforce Oneï¿½s
> visit to Tampa, FL. Also uploaded are comms of the Combat Air Patrol
> giving a ï¿½sternï¿½ warning to a civil aircraft for getting too close to
> the TFR (Temporary Flight Restriction) area. You can hear the audio
> here: file:///C:/George/Webpage/MilComms/audio_library.html
>

I need some sleep, the correct URL is:
http://www.milaircomms.com/audio_library.html

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1196. trying to find co. name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 04:29:49 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <17vga.52003$S%3.1875310@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34464

How would I go about finding the company name that goes with the frequency 860.83750mHz that could either be in Clarksville, IN, Jeffersonville, IN, or Louisville, KY? It sounds like a taxi cab service.

Thanks,

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
~~~~~~~

1197. Re: trying to find co. name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 04:42:45 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v850a4f9lloo93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34465

http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm will give you what you seek grasshopper...

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:17vga.52003$S%3.1875310@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> How would I go about finding the company name that goes with the frequency
> 860.83750mHz that could either be in Clarksville, IN, Jeffersonville, IN, or
> Louisville, KY? It sounds like a taxi cab service.
>
> Thanks,
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>

1198. Re: PRO-2066 on airband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 05:32:16 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b5to85$6ea$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Then give me a few days and I will get back to you...I live on an approach route about 1/2 mile from an airport and should have no trouble testing w/various antennae.

Troy R. <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message news:3e80d943$0$9538$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> I am just after civil airband (108-137 MHz), in particular ACARS reception.
>
>
> "Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:b5pim4hno1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > I own a 2066 and I love it (for in my vehicle). I am not sure if it
> receives
> > what I assume you are asking to be MilAir (200-400MHz area), but if it
> does
> > I can test its performance for you. I will look into it and post the
> > results.
> >
> > Troy R. <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
> > news:3e7eec9f$0$5555$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > > I am after a small base scanner for airband use. I can pick up a used
> > > PRO-2066 pretty cheaply. I was wondering how well this scanner works
on
> > the
> > > airband?
> > >
> > > Would a 2053 or a 2067 be a better option?
> > >
> > > Thanks all.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1199. Re: Here's how Russian/Iraqi decode SINCGARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 05:56:58 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <Jowga.3621$c41.163744941@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Harris" <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us> wrote in message
news:yW1ga.6858$io.258996@iad-read.news.verio.net...
> In rec.radio.shortwave UB <ububu7@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > These radio sets are
> > categorized as Low Probability of Intercept (LPI) Frequency Hopping Spread
> > Spectrum (FHSS) transceivers.

Actually, I'd prefer direct sequence digital phase shift keying to FHSS for
security, especially if you're just hopping a conventional AM or FM voice
signal. If you've got a receiver that receives broadbandedly across the whole
stepping range, and you're close enough to the source, you might decode the
signal with a conventional demodulator!

At least with DS, the signal is digital and mangulated with the sequence code,
so it requires a knowledge of the spreading sequence as well as the
encryption/encoding method to intercept and decode the signal.

Comments?

P.S.: Yeah, that Hedy Lamarr story below is true. At least, they printed up
quite a story in the local newspaper about her and her idea to guide torpedos
using this technique.

> The FHSS method is not new: it originated from
> > the Second World War and, simply stated, it employs a narrow band carrier,
> > shifting frequency in a pattern known only to the transmitter and the
> > receiver. The frequency can be changed several hundred times per second.
>
> An the frequency hopping scheme was invented by none other than actress
> Hedy Lamarr!
>
> See:
> http://www.inventions.org/culture/female/lamarr.html
>
> Art Harris N2AH
>
>

1200. Re: Here's how Russian/Iraqi decode SINCGARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 06:47:19 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <gr658vsnc95odsfebvrfdm7nl4q25g4smd@4ax.com>
Source record: 34467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ross Archer" <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote:

>
> "Harris" <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us> wrote in message
> news:yW1ga.6858$io.258996@iad-read.news.verio.net...
> > In rec.radio.shortwave UB <ububu7@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > These radio sets are
> > > categorized as Low Probability of Intercept (LPI) Frequency Hopping Spread
> > > Spectrum (FHSS) transceivers.
>
> Actually, I'd prefer direct sequence digital phase shift keying to FHSS for
> security, especially if you're just hopping a conventional AM or FM voice
> signal. If you've got a receiver that receives broadbandedly across the whole
> stepping range, and you're close enough to the source, you might decode the
> signal with a conventional demodulator!
>
> At least with DS, the signal is digital and mangulated with the sequence code,
> so it requires a knowledge of the spreading sequence as well as the
> encryption/encoding method to intercept and decode the signal.
>
> Comments?
>
> P.S.: Yeah, that Hedy Lamarr story below is true. At least, they printed up
> quite a story in the local newspaper about her and her idea to guide torpedos
> using this technique.
>

Yes, and the IEEE gave her an award a couple years back; her response
was, "It's about time!" She also played the "dumb-blonde" hanging on
the arm of German generals and passed intelligence back to the allies.

Tough cookie, that lady.

> > The FHSS method is not new: it originated from
> > > the Second World War and, simply stated, it employs a narrow band carrier,
> > > shifting frequency in a pattern known only to the transmitter and the
> > > receiver. The frequency can be changed several hundred times per second.
> >
> > An the frequency hopping scheme was invented by none other than actress
> > Hedy Lamarr!
> >
> > See:
> > http://www.inventions.org/culture/female/lamarr.html

> >
> > Art Harris N2AH
> >
> >
>

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dim.com
"Don't destroy the Earth! That's where I keep all of my stuff!"
- Squidd on www.fark.com

1201. FS: 80CH UHF PORTABLES & EXTRA'S!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 12:14:33 UTC
From: David & Daphne Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <7oq58vk61cb77b6jemfi3cst58vm1nvobu@4ax.com>
Source record: 34458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

(2) Midland Model 70-255B 80ch *UHF* SCAN 2/5watt 450-470mhz,
(2) Model 70-C06 Rapid Chargers, (2) Leather Cases with belt-loop
design, (2) Model 70-M188 Lapel Spkr-mics with clip on back,
(4total) 70-B34 High Capacity Batteries 7.5v @ 1800mha!!
(2) NEW-IN BOX, (2) Used approx. 40hrs, (2) stubby UHF antennas.

All items are in excellent to perfect condition rates 9-10 or better.
Org. boxes and instructions for chargers. Radios are "FULLY
PROGRAMABLE" and include options like a back-lit display and priority
function. Full spec sheet included. Radios are fully functional
"except" the DTMF pad of which is only decorative cover. Ch's 1-3 are
on repeater freqs while Ch 4-11 are on "talk-around" or "simplex"
freqs. The 12v mobile car charger is a bonus and radio/batt can be
charged. Multi-digital pics avail. Perfect for hunting, fishing,
security and many other applications. $300USD + ship

1202. Schematic for Radio Shack PRO-2030

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 12:52:30 UTC
From: bob31439 <bob31439@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3E82F40E.39B9A753@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 34468

I would like a copy of the schematic for the Radio Shack PRO-2030. Wish
to build a digital-to-analog interface.

Bob Gaudio
WD8AWS

****
"Living at risk is jumping off the cliff
and building your wings on the way down" (Ray Bradbury)
****

1203. How modify 137-174 FM mode in AM Mode????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 13:57:17 UTC
From: stabeu2@yahoo.fr
Message-ID: <a8a6857a.0303270557.2963ca8e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34469

Good morning,

I have a UBC 280 XLT (or SC 200 for USA readers) and i would like to tune aero mil communication in 137-144 Mhz range. Does some one know? any URL with pictures? I've found the modification for the 220 XLT but is that work on 280XLT?
Is it hard to build the computer cable to control the SC200? here in Europe the scanner is cable free and then we don't know if the firmware allows it!!!

Thanks for anwsers.

1204. Re: OPP Mobile radio and tone codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 14:49:54 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <k8Ega.35914$cB3.210544@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 34470

Thanks, I was using Tone Search last night and could not find one for the mobiles. I am not sure why they would not use tones on the mobiles and repeaters though. How do they stop interference and such?
How do they access the repeaters without codes?

I apologize if there are dumb questions.

--
Brad.
FeeD_BaK

"Radio Demon" <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com> wrote in message news:BHqga.5847$D24.560263@news20.bellglobal.com...
| No, the mobiles do not need the tone, just the base, that would be 107.2
|
|
| "FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
| news:Xyjga.35400$cB3.205609@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
| > I am not sure if they use tones on their mobile's or not, I have not been
| > quick enough with the tone search when they are using them. Anyone know?
| >
| > If they do not how do they eliminate interference on their mobiles?
| >
| > --
| > Brad.
| > FeeD_BaK
| >
| >
| >
|
|
| ---
| Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
| Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
| Version: 6.0.463 / Virus Database: 262 - Release Date: 17/03/2003
|
|

1205. Re: TW is a FAGGOT HAHAHAHA Re: What's the coolest thing you've ever heard on a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 16:13:38 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9p868vk32e30v24hg08op6t90tq5st89r1@4ax.com>
Source record: 34471

On Sun, 16 Feb 2003 09:59:20 -0800, fch <na@hle.com> wrote:

>Why don't you go suck on your rubber girlfriend.

Pardon my confusion, but why would someone who is allegedly gay have a rubber girlfriend? I think you're suffering from some gender confusion that needs work.

Rich

1206. FA : Brand New Motorola GP2000 low reserve!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 16:17:42 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GuFga.1585$yY.527918@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 34472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3015404455

1207. A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 16:28:52 UTC
From: Pointing North <PointingNorth@smellivision.com>
Message-ID: <8FFga.230027$F1.15567@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 34473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A WARMONGER EXPLAINS WAR TO A PEACENIK By Bill Davidson

PeaceNik: Why did you say we are invading Iraq?

WarMonger: We are invading Iraq because it is in violation of Security Council resolution 1441. A country cannot be allowed to violate Security Council resolutions.

PN: But I thought many of our allies, including Israel, were in violation of more security council resolutions than Iraq.

WM: It's not just about UN resolutions. The main point is that Iraq could have weapons of mass destruction, and the first sign of a smoking gun could well be a mushroom cloud over New York.

PN: Mushroom cloud? But I thought the weapons inspectors said Iraq had no nuclear weapons.

WM: Yes, but biological and chemical weapons are the issue.

PN: But I thought Iraq did not have any long range missiles for attacking us or our allies with such weapons.

WM: The risk is not Iraq directly attacking us, but rather terrorist networks that Iraq could sell the weapons to.

PN: But couldn't virtually any country sell chemical or biological materials? We sold quite a bit to Iraq in the Eighties ourselves, didn't we?

WM: That's ancient history. Look, Saddam Hussein is an evil man that has an undeniable track record of repressing his own people since the early Eighties. He gasses his enemies. Everyone agrees that he is a power-hungry lunatic murderer.

PN: We sold chemical and biological materials to a power-hungry lunatic murderer?

WM: The issue is not what we sold, but rather what Saddam did. He is the one that launched a pre-emptive first strike on Kuwait.

PN: A pre-emptive first strike does sound bad. But didn't our ambassador to Iraq, April Glaspie, know about and green-light the invasion of Kuwait?

WM: Let's deal with the present, shall we? As of today, Iraq could sell its biological and chemical weapons to Al Qaida. Osama Bin Laden himself released an audio tape calling on Iraqis to suicide-attack us, proving a partnership between the two.

PN: Osama Bin Laden? Wasn't the point of invading Afghanistan to kill him?

WM: Actually, it's not 100% certain that it's really Osama Bin Laden on the tapes. But the lesson from the tape is the same: there could easily be a partnership between Al Qaida and Saddam Hussein unless we act.

PN: Is this the same audio tape where Osama Bin Laden labels Saddam a secular infidel?

WM: You're missing the point by just focusing on the tape. Powell presented a strong case against Iraq.

PN: He did?

WM: Yes, he showed satellite pictures of an Al Qaida poison factory in Iraq.

PN: But didn't that turn out to be a harmless shack in the part of Iraq controlled by the Kurdish opposition?

WM: And a British intelligence report...

PN: Didn't that turn out to be copied from an out-of-date graduate student paper?

WM: And reports of mobile weapons labs...

PN: Weren't those just artistic renderings?

WM: And reports of Iraqis scuttling and hiding evidence from inspectors...

PN: Wasn't that evidence contradicted by the chief weapons inspector, Hans Blix?

WM: Yes, but there is plenty of other hard evidence that cannot be revealed because it would compromise our security.

PN: So there is no publicly available evidence of weapons of mass destruction in Iraq?

WM: The inspectors are not detectives, it's not their JOB to find evidence. You're missing the point.

PN: So what is the point?

WM: The main point is that we are invading Iraq because Resolution 1441 threatened "severe consequences." If we do not act, the Security Council will become an irrelevant debating society.

PN: So the main point is to uphold the rulings of the Security Council?

WM: Absolutely. ...unless it rules against us.

PN: And what if it does rule against us?

WM: In that case, we must lead a coalition of the willing to invade Iraq.

PN: Coalition of the willing? Who's that?

WM: Britain, Turkey, Bulgaria, Spain, and Italy, for starters.

PN: I thought Turkey refused to help us unless we gave them tens of billions of dollars.

WM: Nevertheless, they may now be willing.

PN: I thought public opinion in all those countries was against war.

WM: Current public opinion is irrelevant. The majority expresses its will by electing leaders to make decisions.

PN: So it's the decisions of leaders elected by the majority that is important?

WM: Yes.

PN: But George Bush wasn't elected by voters. He was selected by the U.S. Supreme C...

WM: I mean, we must support the decisions of our leaders, however they were elected, because they are acting in our best interest. This is about being a patriot. That's the bottom line.

PN: So if we do not support the decisions of the president, we are not patriotic?

WM: I never said that.

PN: So what are you saying? Why are we invading Iraq?

WM: As I said, because there is a chance that they have weapons of mass destruction that threaten us and our allies.

PN: But the inspectors have not been able to find any such weapons.

WM: Iraq is obviously hiding them.

PN: You know this? How?

WM: Because we know they had the weapons ten years ago, and they are still unaccounted for.

PN: The weapons we sold them, you mean?

WM: Precisely.

PN: But I thought those biological and chemical weapons would degrade to an unusable state over ten years.

WM: But there is a chance that some have not degraded.

PN: So as long as there is even a small chance that such weapons exist, we must invade?

WM: Exactly.

PN: But North Korea actually has large amounts of usable chemical, biological, AND nuclear weapons, AND long range missiles that can reach the west coast AND it has expelled nuclear weapons inspectors, AND threatened to turn America into a sea of fire.

WM: That's a diplomatic issue.

PN: So why are we invading Iraq instead of using diplomacy?

WM: Aren't you listening? We are invading Iraq because we cannot allow the inspections to drag on indefinitely. Iraq has been delaying, deceiving, and denying for over ten years, and inspections cost us tens of millions.

PN: But I thought war would cost us tens of billions.

WM: Yes, but this is not about money. This is about security.

PN: But wouldn't a pre-emptive war against Iraq ignite radical Muslim sentiments against us, and decrease our security?

WM: Possibly, but we must not allow the terrorists to change the way we live. Once we do that, the terrorists have already won.

PN: So what is the purpose of the Department of Homeland Security, color-coded terror alerts, and the Patriot Act? Don't these change the way we live?

WM: I thought you had questions about Iraq.

PN: I do. Why are we invading Iraq?

WM: For the last time, we are invading Iraq because the world has called on Saddam Hussein to disarm, and he has failed to do so. He must now face the consequences.

PN: So, likewise, if the world called on us to do something, such as find a peaceful solution, we would have an obligation to listen?

WM: By "world", I meant the United Nations.

PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the United Nations?

WM: By "United Nations" I meant the Security Council.

PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the Security Council?

WM: I meant the majority of the Security Council.

PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the majority of the Security Council?

WM: Well... there could be an unreasonable veto.

PN: In which case?

WM: In which case, we have an obligation to ignore the veto.

PN: And if the majority of the Security Council does not support us at all?

WM: Then we have an obligation to ignore the Security Council.

PN: That makes no sense.

WM: If you love Iraq so much, you should move there. Or maybe France, with all the other cheese-eating surrender monkeys. It's time to boycott their wine and cheese, no doubt about that.

PN: Here... have a pretzel, instead.But the international adventurism, the conjuring up of enemies that areabout to destroy us, that's second nature, very familiar. They didn'tinventit, others have done the same thing, others have done it in history buttheybecame masters of this art and are now doing it again.Noam Chomsky2-04-2003

1208. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 16:38:56 UTC
From: JT <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <AOFga.230111$F1.15637@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 34474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wow, what scanner was that about?

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:8FFga.230027$F1.15567@sccrnsc04...
> A WARMONGER EXPLAINS WAR TO A PEACENIK By Bill Davidson
>
>
>
> PeaceNik: Why did you say we are invading Iraq?
>
>
>
> WarMonger: We are invading Iraq because it is in violation of Security
> Council resolution 1441. A country cannot be allowed to violate Security
> Council resolutions.
>
> PN: But I thought many of our allies, including Israel, were in
violation
> of more security council resolutions than Iraq.
>
> WM: It's not just about UN resolutions. The main point is that Iraq could
> have weapons of mass destruction, and the first sign of a smoking gun
could
> well be a mushroom cloud over New York.
>
> PN: Mushroom cloud? But I thought the weapons inspectors said Iraq had
no
> nuclear weapons.
>
> WM: Yes, but biological and chemical weapons are the issue.
>
> PN: But I thought Iraq did not have any long range missiles for
attacking
> us or our allies with such weapons.
>
> WM: The risk is not Iraq directly attacking us, but rather terrorist
> networks that Iraq could sell the weapons to.
>
> PN: But couldn't virtually any country sell chemical or biological
> materials? We sold quite a bit to Iraq in the Eighties ourselves, didn't
we?
>
> WM: That's ancient history. Look, Saddam Hussein is an evil man that has

an
> undeniable track record of repressing his own people since the early
> Eighties. He gasses his enemies. Everyone agrees that he is a power-hungry
> lunatic murderer.
>
> PN: We sold chemical and biological materials to a power-hungry lunatic
> murderer?
>
> WM: The issue is not what we sold, but rather what Saddam did. He is the
> one that launched a pre-emptive first strike on Kuwait.
>
> PN: A pre-emptive first strike does sound bad. But didn't our ambassador
> to Iraq, April Glaspie, know about and green-light the invasion of Kuwait?
>
> WM: Let's deal with the present, shall we? As of today, Iraq could sell
its
> biological and chemical weapons to Al Qaida. Osama Bin Laden himself
> released an audio tape calling on Iraqis to suicide-attack us, proving a
> partnership between the two.
>
> PN: Osama Bin Laden? Wasn't the point of invading Afghanistan to kill
him?
>
> WM: Actually, it's not 100% certain that it's really Osama Bin Laden on
the
> tapes. But the lesson from the tape is the same: there could easily be a
> partnership between Al Qaida and Saddam Hussein unless we act.
>
> PN: Is this the same audio tape where Osama Bin Laden labels Saddam a
> secular infidel?
>
> WM: You're missing the point by just focusing on the tape. Powell
presented
> a strong case against Iraq.
>
> PN: He did?
>
> WM: Yes, he showed satellite pictures of an Al Qaida poison factory in
> Iraq.
>
> PN: But didn't that turn out to be a harmless shack in the part of Iraq
> controlled by the Kurdish opposition?
>
> WM: And a British intelligence report...
>
> PN: Didn't that turn out to be copied from an out-of-date graduate
student
> paper?
>
> WM: And reports of mobile weapons labs...

>
> PN: Weren't those just artistic renderings?
>
> WM: And reports of Iraqis scuttling and hiding evidence from
inspectors...
>
> PN: Wasn't that evidence contradicted by the chief weapons inspector,
Hans
> Blix?
>
> WM: Yes, but there is plenty of other hard evidence that cannot be
revealed
> because it would compromise our security.
>
> PN: So there is no publicly available evidence of weapons of mass
> destruction in Iraq?
>
> WM: The inspectors are not detectives, it's not their JOB to find
evidence.
> You're missing the point.
>
> PN: So what is the point?
>
> WM: The main point is that we are invading Iraq because Resolution 1441
> threatened "severe consequences." If we do not act, the Security Council
> will become an irrelevant debating society.
>
> PN: So the main point is to uphold the rulings of the Security Council?
>
> WM: Absolutely. ...unless it rules against us.
>
> PN: And what if it does rule against us?
>
> WM: In that case, we must lead a coalition of the willing to invade Iraq.
>
> PN: Coalition of the willing? Who's that?
>
> WM: Britain, Turkey, Bulgaria, Spain, and Italy, for starters.
>
> PN: I thought Turkey refused to help us unless we gave them tens of
> billions of dollars.
>
> WM: Nevertheless, they may now be willing.
>
> PN: I thought public opinion in all those countries was against war.
>
> WM: Current public opinion is irrelevant. The majority expresses its will
> by electing leaders to make decisions.
>
> PN: So it's the decisions of leaders elected by the majority that is

> important?
>
> WM: Yes.
>
> PN: But George Bush wasn't elected by voters. He was selected by the
U.S.
> Supreme C...
>
> WM: I mean, we must support the decisions of our leaders, however they
were
> elected, because they are acting in our best interest. This is about being
a
> patriot. That's the bottom line.
>
> PN: So if we do not support the decisions of the president, we are not
> patriotic?
>
> WM: I never said that.
>
> PN: So what are you saying? Why are we invading Iraq?
>
> WM: As I said, because there is a chance that they have weapons of mass
> destruction that threaten us and our allies.
>
> PN: But the inspectors have not been able to find any such weapons.
>
> WM: Iraq is obviously hiding them.
>
> PN: You know this? How?
>
> WM: Because we know they had the weapons ten years ago, and they are
still
> unaccounted for.
>
> PN: The weapons we sold them, you mean?
>
> WM: Precisely.
>
> PN: But I thought those biological and chemical weapons would degrade to
> an unusable state over ten years.
>
> WM: But there is a chance that some have not degraded.
>
> PN: So as long as there is even a small chance that such weapons exist,
we
> must invade?
>
> WM: Exactly.
>
> PN: But North Korea actually has large amounts of usable chemical,

> biological, AND nuclear weapons, AND long range missiles that can reach
the
> west coast AND it has expelled nuclear weapons inspectors, AND threatened
to
> turn America into a sea of fire.
>
> WM: That's a diplomatic issue.
>
> PN: So why are we invading Iraq instead of using diplomacy?
>
> WM: Aren't you listening? We are invading Iraq because we cannot allow
the
> inspections to drag on indefinitely. Iraq has been delaying, deceiving,
and
> denying for over ten years, and inspections cost us tens of millions.
>
> PN: But I thought war would cost us tens of billions.
>
> WM: Yes, but this is not about money. This is about security.
>
> PN: But wouldn't a pre-emptive war against Iraq ignite radical Muslim
> sentiments against us, and decrease our security?
>
> WM: Possibly, but we must not allow the terrorists to change the way we
> live. Once we do that, the terrorists have already won.
>
> PN: So what is the purpose of the Department of Homeland Security,
> color-coded terror alerts, and the Patriot Act? Don't these change the way
> we live?
>
> WM: I thought you had questions about Iraq.
>
> PN: I do. Why are we invading Iraq?
>
> WM: For the last time, we are invading Iraq because the world has called
on
> Saddam Hussein to disarm, and he has failed to do so. He must now face the
> consequences.
>
> PN: So, likewise, if the world called on us to do something, such as
find
> a peaceful solution, we would have an obligation to listen?
>
> WM: By "world", I meant the United Nations.
>
> PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the United Nations?
>
> WM: By "United Nations" I meant the Security Council.
>
> PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the Security Council?

>
> WM: I meant the majority of the Security Council.
>
> PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the majority of the Security
> Council?
>
> WM: Well... there could be an unreasonable veto.
>
> PN: In which case?
>
> WM: In which case, we have an obligation to ignore the veto.
>
> PN: And if the majority of the Security Council does not support us at
> all?
>
> WM: Then we have an obligation to ignore the Security Council.
>
> PN: That makes no sense.
>
> WM: If you love Iraq so much, you should move there. Or maybe France,
with
> all the other cheese-eating surrender monkeys. It's time to boycott their
> wine and cheese, no doubt about that.
>
> PN: Here... have a pretzel, instead.But the international adventurism,
the
> conjuring up of enemies that areabout to destroy us, that's second nature,
> very familiar. They didn'tinventit, others have done the same thing,
others
> have done it in history buttheybecame masters of this art and are now
doing
> it again.Noam Chomsky2-04-2003
>
>
>
>
>
>

1209. FS>> Icom R75 (price reduction)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 16:52:28 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <g%Fga.29164$ZC6.8650565@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 34475

I am offering an as new Icom R75 with DSP and Rado's Sync-AM mod. I am the original owner (purchased from Grove) and the radio has been in a smoke free environment). Radio will be shipped double boxed in original box with manual and original stock accessories.

I have been advised (and I thank those who took the time to let me know) that I had been asking too much.

$425.00

Please e-mail off list if interested.

Thank you,
John, KB2COP

--

www.geocities.com/theoldcop

1210. Re: ALINCO DJV5T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 17:35:54 UTC
From: KC2KSZ <rad@sprintpcs.com>
Message-ID: <_DGga.29472$ZC6.8755151@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 34476
Cross-posted to: nj.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

It's an HT

"mcg" <kc2hcw@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:f0ydnRWSJ7c4FhyjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> Is this an ht or mobile also your e-mail does not work
> "KC2KSZ" <rad@sprintpcs.com> wrote in message
> news:4wyfa.191147$b8.41731690@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > Alinco DJV5T/TH/TDC/TDCH
> > VHF/UHF Dual Band FM Transceiver
> > Star Performer!
> >
> > **Asking $135.00**
> >
> > http://www.alinco.com/usa.html
> >
> > Only 3mos. Old
> > Perfect Condition, No Scratches
> > Have Original Box and Original Manual
> > Ground Shipping Incl. from NJ
> >
> > MUST HAVE FCC LICENSE
> >
> >
>
>

1211. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 17:40:02 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <SHGga.1096$0X.444933@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34477
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
news:AOFga.230111$F1.15637@sccrnsc04...
> Wow, what scanner was that about?

Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and transmit negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially sensitive to signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only one band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT be modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time frequency - just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and over. It's portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.

1212. FS>> Icom PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 17:47:26 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <OOGga.29601$ZC6.8785225@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 34478

Recently acquired via trade, a PCR-1000 (U.S. version) w/DSP, purchased originally in 12/02 and guaranteed not to be DOA. Radio is in absolutely mint condition. Original box, manual, antenna, power cube and Win 98/XP/2000 burned to CD included.

Asking $300.00

Please e-mail off list if interested.

Thank you,

John, KB2COP

1213. Asking for Freqs, Was: Re: trying to find co. name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 18:16:29 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1eHga.27553$ja4.1891469@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34479

I can't even find it in that database. Is it possible that a business won't be listed?

Also, is it O.K. to telephone some companies asking for their freqs? Has anyone ever tried this? Do you think the business would be leary of giving the freq to me? I thought about calling a few cab companies and seeing if that was their freq but I wanted to see if everyone thought that was ok. It might seem funny, I don't know.

Thanks!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message news:v850a4f9lloo93@corp.supernews.com...
> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm will give you what you seek
> grasshopper...
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:17vga.52003$S%3.1875310@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > How would I go about finding the company name that goes with the frequency
> > 860.83750mHz that could either be in Clarksville, IN, Jeffersonville, IN,
> or
> > Louisville, KY? It sounds like a taxi cab service.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
~~~~~~~

> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1214. Re: Southern LINC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 18:20:39 UTC
From: dave911 <vfa192@dave911REMOVE.com>
Message-ID: <XhHga.11908$qs.985078@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 34480
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Figures... thanks

"KD2PM" <kd2pm2@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:_UydnfNtEYEuox-jXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> Nope. They use the iDEN system like Nextel. Not worth the effort to tune
any
> frequencies that they have.

1215. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 18:43:40 UTC
From: Pointing North <PointingNorth@smellivision.com>
Message-ID: <wDHga.230618$L1.47158@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

but you can't dispute the truth only create insults and spin
YOU'VE BEEN PROGRAMMED BY RUSH !!

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:SHGga.1096$0X.444933@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> news:AOFga.230111$F1.15637@sccrnsc04...
> > Wow, what scanner was that about?
>
> Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and transmit
> negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially sensitive to
> signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only one
> band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT be
> modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time frequency -
> just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and over. It's
> portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.
>
>

1216. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 18:50:02 UTC
From: JT <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <uJHga.230673$L1.46603@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34482
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Kook.

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:wDHga.230618$L1.47158@sccrnsc02...
> but you can't dispute the truth only create insults and spin
> YOU'VE BEEN PROGRAMMED BY RUSH !!
>
> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:SHGga.1096$0X.444933@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> > news:AOFga.230111$F1.15637@sccrnsc04...
> > > Wow, what scanner was that about?
> >
> > Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and transmit
> > negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially sensitive to
> > signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only one
> > band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT be
> > modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time frequency -
> > just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and over.
It's
> > portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.
> >
> >
>
>

1217. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 18:50:10 UTC
From: JT <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <CJHga.233033$3D1.75361@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34483
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That was great!
"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:SHGga.1096$0X.444933@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> news:AOFga.230111$F1.15637@sccrnsc04...
> > Wow, what scanner was that about?
>
> Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and transmit
> negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially sensitive to
> signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only one
> band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT be
> modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time frequency -
> just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and over. It's
> portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.
>
>

1218. Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 19:00:20 UTC
From: Pointing North <PointingNorth@smellivision.com>
Message-ID: <8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 34484
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
intellectual people in the media?
I'd like to hear it!
haha can't wait!

1219. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 19:11:24 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <w1Iga.1120$0X.507155@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34485
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> > Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and transmit
> > negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially sensitive to
> > signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only one
> > band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT be
> > modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time frequency -
> > just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and over.
It's
> > portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.

1220. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 19:15:23 UTC
From: Pointing North <PointingNorth@smellivision.com>
Message-ID: <f5Iga.228983$eG2.36787@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 34486
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

gee ya said that already!
Let's stick to the topic or use some facts. Not RL propoganda nonsense.

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:w1Iga.1120$0X.507155@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > > Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and transmit
> > > negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially sensitive
to
> > > signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only
one
> > > band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT be
> > > modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time
frequency -
> > > just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and over.
> It's
> > > portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.
>
>

1221. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 19:15:40 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <w5lga.1121$0X.510142@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34487
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
news:CJHga.233033$3D1.75361@sccrnsc01...
> That was great!
> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:SHGga.1096$0X.444933@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> > news:AOFga.230111$F1.15637@sccrnsc04...
> > > Wow, what scanner was that about?
> >
> > Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and transmit
> > negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially sensitive to
> > signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only one
> > band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT be
> > modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time frequency -
> > just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and over.
It's
> > portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.

ORDER YOURS TODAY!!!

Just send everything you made last year to the DNC, and YOURS will be in the
MAIL!!!
Can't afford it cuz we taxed you too much?
NO PROBLEM!
We'll ship you one FREE!!!
As an added bonus, we'll give you the frequencies for Al-Jazeera, and an
autographed picture of Peter Jennings and Phil Donahue!!

ACT NOW!!!

1222. WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 19:25:02 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ielga.3555$JI.497372@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 34488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free phone number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's that for service?
DeWayne K9KZ

1223. Re: OPP Mobile radio and tone codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 19:30:38 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030327143038.25787.00000023@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 34489

DCS ???

1224. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 19:38:24 UTC
From: JT <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <QqIga.229270$eG2.36848@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 34490
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You rock Q.

JT

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:w5Iga.1121$0X.510142@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> news:CJHga.233033$3D1.75361@sccrnsc01...
> > That was great!
> > "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> > news:SHGga.1096$0X.444933@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > > "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> > > news:AOFga.230111$F1.15637@sccrnsc04...
> > > > Wow, what scanner was that about?
> > >
> > > Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and transmit
> > > negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially sensitive
to
> > > signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only
one
> > > band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT be
> > > modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time
frequency -
> > > just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and over.
> It's
> > > portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.
>
> ORDER YOURS TODAY!!!
>
> Just send everything you made last year to the DNC, and YOURS will be in
the
> MAIL!!!
> Can't afford it cuz we taxed you too much?
> NO PROBLEM!
> We'll ship you one FREE!!!
> As an added bonus, we'll give you the frequencies for Al-Jazeera, and an
> autographed picture of Peter Jennings and Phil Donahue!!
>
> ACT NOW!!!
>
>

1225. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 19:46:18 UTC
From: Pointing North <PointingNorth@smellivision.com>
Message-ID: <eyIga.231274$L1.47105@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34491
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yah you're a real diplomat. A real grasp of the issues. A real patriot.

"JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
news:QqIga.229270$eG2.36848@sccrnsc03...
> You rock Q.
>
> JT
>
> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:w5Iga.1121$0X.510142@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> > news:CJHga.233033$3D1.75361@sccrnsc01...
> > > That was great!
> > > "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> > > news:SHGga.1096$0X.444933@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > > > "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:AOFga.230111$F1.15637@sccrnsc04...
> > > > > Wow, what scanner was that about?
> > > >
> > > > Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and
transmit
> > > > negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially sensitive
> to
> > > > signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only
> one
> > > > band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT
be
> > > > modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time
> frequency -
> > > > just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and
over.
> > It's
> > > > portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.
> > >
> > ORDER YOURS TODAY!!!
> >
> > Just send everything you made last year to the DNC, and YOURS will be in
> the
> > MAIL!!!
> > Can't afford it cuz we taxed you too much?
> > NO PROBLEM!
> > We'll ship you one FREE!!!
> > As an added bonus, we'll give you the frequencies for Al-Jazeera, and an

> > autographed picture of Peter Jennings and Phil Donahue!!
> >
> > ACT NOW!!!
> >
> >
>
>

1226. Re: OPP Mobile radio and tone codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 20:09:23 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RPIga.36026$cB3.211773@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 34492

Thats what I thought too, but I could not even find a DCS code on it either....hmmmm.

--
Brad.
FeeD_BaK

"JER1538A" <jer1538a@aol.com> wrote in message news:20030327143038.25787.00000023@mb-fg.aol.com...
| DCS ???

1227. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 20:21:03 UTC
From: Art Lee <wolfe@wolfe.net>
Message-ID: <Xns934B9C272C7FBwolfimicroconnectnet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 34493
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in
news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03:

> Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> intellectual people in the media?
> I'd like to hear it!
> haha can't wait!
>
>
>

I tend to agree with you and let me ask this question.
Rush and O'Rielly have been blasting the peaceniks.
Where Were Rush, Bush and Bill during VeitNam?

an antiwar nam vet
wolf

1228. Re: WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 20:36:45 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnb86osc.83f.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 34494

- hey, that's not bad....

- from my experience with radio dealers, you must live next door to
the store?

:-)

on Thu, 27 Mar 2003 19:25:02 GMT, DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:
> I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free phone
> number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's that
> for service?
> DeWayne K9KZ
>
>
>

1229. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 20:43:09 UTC
From: Jeff Santee <Jeff352@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <xnJga.229923$eG2.36060@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 34495
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> intellectual people in the media?
> I'd like to hear it!
> haha can't wait!
==========

I think I smell a troll---------plonk

Jeff

1230. Re: WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 20:51:30 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mvJga.4234$JI.561264@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 34496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

<bball@green.home.org> wrote in message
news:slrnb86osc.83f.bball@green.home.org...
>
> - hey, that's not bad....
>
> - from my experience with radio dealers, you must live next door to
> the store?

128 miles

>
> :-)
>
> on Thu, 27 Mar 2003 19:25:02 GMT, DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:
> > I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free phone
> > number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's
that
> > for service?
> > DeWayne K9KZ
> >
> >
> >

1231. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 20:55:20 UTC
From: Lenny <latvia_lenny@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b5voff$o32$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 34347
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the
least
> intellectual people in the media?
> I'd like to hear it!
> haha can't wait!

I suspect that they have enough intellect to reserve their trolling
for more on-topic groups.

1232. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 21:13:46 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E8369C0.9D1D5EB9@emai.com>
Source record: 34348
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> PeaceNik: Why did you say we are invading Iraq?
>
> WarMonger: We are invading Iraq because it is in violation of Security
> Council resolution 1441. A country cannot be allowed to violate Security
> Council resolutions.
>
> PN: But I thought many of our allies, including Israel, were in violation
> of more security council resolutions than Iraq.

Actually, most of those resolutions were VETOED by the USA.

> WM: It's not just about UN resolutions. The main point is that Iraq could
> have weapons of mass destruction,

"could have"? We KNOW that they USA has weapons of mass destruction including Atomic bombs, but the UN and the world does nothing about that!

> and the first sign of a smoking gun could
> well be a mushroom cloud over New York.

What about the mushroom clouds in other countries set off by the United States?? Instead of worrying about what someone could, would and might do, why not punish the US for what it has, has done, and is continuing to do? All the while that Iraq has done nothing the past 12 years, the attack on them is exactly what we are supposed to be trying to prevent happening, yet WE ARE DOING IT!!

> PN: Mushroom cloud? But I thought the weapons inspectors said Iraq had no
> nuclear weapons.

But ISRAEL DOES!

> WM: Yes, but biological and chemical weapons are the issue.

Then WHY did we GIVE them to Saddam in the first place?!?! Why was it OK for him to attack Iran when it was in OUR interest?

> PN: But I thought Iraq did not have any long range missiles for attacking
> us or our allies with such weapons.

Iraq doesn't even have weapons to defend themselves with now! Where are the chemical weapons? Where is their air force? Why are they not able to fight back? Why is it that all the missles that are hitting Iran and Turkey this month from the US military and not Iraq???

> WM: The risk is not Iraq directly attacking us, but rather terrorist
> networks that Iraq could sell the weapons to.

Why would terrorists buy useless outdated old weapons from Iraq when they could buy NUCLEAR weapons from Israel? Or the USA? Or Russia?

> PN: But couldn't virtually any country sell chemical or biological
> materials? We sold quite a bit to Iraq in the Eighties ourselves, didn't we?

Where do you think they got them from in the first place? The USA STILL has more chemical weapons in the continental states than the rest of the world combined. We were SUPPOSED to destroy them, but still have over 75% of the original stockpile.

> WM: That's ancient history. Look, Saddam Hussein is an evil man that has an
> undeniable track record of repressing his own people since the early
> Eighties.

They why did his people vote for him, and why are they fighting for him now?

> He gasses his enemies. Everyone agrees that he is a power-hungry
> lunatic murderer.

Only under the direct order and supervision of the United States.

> PN: We sold chemical and biological materials to a power-hungry lunatic
> murderer?

Bush is the power hungry murderer. While Bush makes accusations against and slanders Saddam, he actually is DOING what he accuses Saddam of possibly perhaps maybe is going to someday do, he thinks.

> WM: The issue is not what we sold, but rather what Saddam did. He is the
> one that launched a pre-emptive first strike on Kuwait.

ONLY AFTER consulting the USA and getting our approval first! Don't forget, Kuwait was SLANT DRILLING into Iraq's oil wells and stealing Iraq's oil.

> PN: A pre-emptive first strike does sound bad. But didn't our ambassador
> to Iraq, April Glaspie, know about and green-light the invasion of Kuwait?

YES! That was her name, that is what I was reffering to in my last statement.

> WM: Let's deal with the present, shall we? As of today, Iraq could sell its
> biological and chemical weapons to Al Qaida.

WHAT biological and chemical weapons? They don't have them anymore. UN resolutions required them all to be destroyed years ago, and they were.

> Osama Bin Laden himself
> released an audio tape calling on Iraqis to suicide-attack us, proving a
> partnership between the two.

WHAT? When was this? I heard the tapes and Osama critizises Iraq and admits they want nothing to do with them. Saddam says the same about Osama. It is BUSH who keeps confusing the two, because he thinks they "all look alike" and are all the same.

> PN: Osama Bin Laden? Wasn't the point of invading Afghanistan to kill him?

YES. BEFORE 9/11 even happened! That is WHY 9/11 happened. And it happened because the US allowed it to happen so it could be used as an excuse to make the war against Afghanistan public and strike it harder and have a pretend reason to go after Osama and kill him. Osama was an agent of the US CIA.

> WM: Actually, it's not 100% certain that it's really Osama Bin Laden on the
> tapes. But the lesson from the tape is the same: there could easily be a
> partnership between Al Qaida and Saddam Hussein unless we act.

Osama is in US custody and can't do anything. His capture will made to appear as if it will occur just before the US presidential elections.

> WM: You're missing the point by just focusing on the tape. Powell presented
> a strong case against Iraq.

And Powell was able to tell the world WHAT the tapes would say before they were even given to Al Jazeera television and were first heard a day later!! How did he know what they would say before they existed?

> PN: He did?

He sure did. I would still like an explanation to how that was possible.

> WM: And reports of mobile weapons labs...
>
> PN: Weren't those just artistic renderings?

"Cartoon drawings" if you will.

> WM: And reports of Iraqis scuttling and hiding evidence from inspectors...
>
> PN: Wasn't that evidence contradicted by the chief weapons inspector, Hans
> Blix?

CONSTANTLY. Blix repeatedly stated the cooperation of Iraq.

> WM: Yes, but there is plenty of other hard evidence that cannot be revealed
> because it would compromise our security.

What a convienient excuse. Now that we are attacking and that "security" excuse no longer applies, how about sharing that info with the UN and the rest of the world? But this time, no forgeries and fake documents like the US keeps giving to the UN.

> PN: So there is no publicly available evidence of weapons of mass
> destruction in Iraq?

If there was, the UN inspectors had the opertunity to go to where Bush said it was and find it.

> WM: The inspectors are not detectives, it's not their JOB to find evidence.
> You're missing the point.

That is what INSPECTORS do. INSPECTORS are DETECTIVES. Please explain what their job WAS then if not to find weapons? See how stupid this argument is now?

> PN: So what is the point?

The point is, the US wants control of the world's oil.

> PN: Coalition of the willing? Who's that?

That is the new name of the country formerly known as the United States of America.

> PN: But George Bush wasn't elected by voters. He was selected by the U.S.
> Supreme C...

Don't anyone ever forget this. He was the fourth US president to take power without getting the majority of the people's votes. Yet be sure to go out and vote in the next election because "everyone's vote counts" How?

> WM: I mean, we must support the decisions of our leaders, however they were
> elected,

Then the Germans should have supported Hitler, he won the majority of votes in that democratic election before he turned it into a dictatorship like Bush is doing now. The Iraqi's should support Saddam as he is their leader. And they MUST support their Iraqi troops no matter how they feel about the war, for the same stupid reason we are told to support our troops no matter how we feel about the war. Can't be a hypocrite.

> because they are acting in our best interest. This is about being a
> patriot. That's the bottom line.

Patriotism for an evil dictator like Bush is not a good thing, it is a bad thing. Being UNpatriotic is good.

> PN: So if we do not support the decisions of the president, we are not
> patriotic?
>
> WM: I never said that.

The US government said it.

> PN: So what are you saying? Why are we invading Iraq?

OIL! Pay attention.

> WM: As I said, because there is a chance that they have weapons of mass
> destruction that threaten us and our allies.

Iraq has never in it's history threatened us or our allies. The US has weapons of mass destruction and constantly USES them against Iraq.

> PN: But the inspectors have not been able to find any such weapons.
>
> WM: Iraq is obviously hiding them.
>
> PN: You know this? How?

Because if he did have them, he would be using them against our troops now, and he is unable to because we made him destroy them over a decade ago.

> WM: Because we know they had the weapons ten years ago, and they are still
> unaccounted for.

My toys I had as a baby are unaccounted for, therefore I must be hiding them somewhere in my house. You will never find them anywhere in my house, but it is up to me to prove I don't have what I don't have. How do you prove you DON'T have something? It is an IMPOSSIBLE thing to do.

If you can not PROVE you don't have chemical weapons in your house, does that give me the right to attack your house and kill you? I guess you will have to die then because there is no way you can PROVE you don't have any. Think about this and see how war with Iraq was inevitable.

Heads I win, Tails you lose.

1233. Uniden Scanners of mass destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 21:22:40 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E836BD7.A921CEFA@emai.com>
Source record: 34349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I want you to PROVE that you don't have don't have 12 BC-200XLT scanners with mods to receive cellular phone calls hidden in your house.

It will not be our jobs to inspect your house and try to find them, you could just keep moving them around or have them deeply buried or something. It is also not up to us to prove that you have them. I could, and I can assure everyone on this newsgroup that you have them, but I can't show you this proof because it would jeopardize security.

However, if you do not give us those 12 BC-200XLT scanners in 48 hours, we will have to declare war on your house and start killing your family. You are not able to PROVE that you don't have these scanners (an impossible feat) And I predict you are too evil of a dictator to hand them over, so it looks like you and your evil family will be taken out of your house.

You have the capability of listening to cellular phone calls, and we are not going to sit around and wait for you to one day decide to listen to them, we are going to disarm you of those 12 scanners NOW!

1234. Re: WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 21:23:16 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E836BFB.5FA68B2A@emai.com>
Source record: 34350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

DeWayne wrote:

> I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free phone
> number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's that
> for service?
> DeWayne K9KZ

What does this have to do with the war against Iraq?

1235. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 21:26:02 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E836CA0.8ED64B1D@emai.com>
Source record: 34351
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> intellectual people in the media?
> I'd like to hear it!
> haha can't wait!

The people who read the teleprompters on TV everyday are the least
intellectual people in the media.
Rush Limbaugh is not on any list of intellectuals, so he can't even be on
the bottom.

1236. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 21:35:01 UTC
From: Dick <rhughes33@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <bvq68v4g3r7jq3n93d67fg6gii9sonktmk@4ax.com>
Source record: 34352

Well let's see. Rush was 14 years-old when the war started, so I
guess he would have been doing what other 8th graders do.

Dick

On Thu, 27 Mar 2003 20:21:03 -0000, Art Lee <wolfe@wolfe.net> wrote:

>"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in
>news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03:
>
>> Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
>> intellectual people in the media?
>> I'd like to hear it!
>> haha can't wait!
>>
>>
>>
>
>I tend to agree with you and let me ask this question.
>Rush and O'Rielly have been blasting the peaceniks.
>Where Were Rush, Bush and Bill during VeitNam?
>
>
>an antiwar nam vet
>wolf

1237. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 21:48:32 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <QkKga.1570$M6.209197@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 34354
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> intellectual people in the media?

Rush Limbaugh is over-rated compared to the hosts we have here in Canada
(particuarly Peter Warren). Peter
Warren makes Limbaugh look like a wimp. The other prominent host in Western
Canada is Rafe Mair who
is conservative but slimes any government he does not like, even
conservative ones. He makes Rush Limbaugh
look like a university radio station talk host. Both Warren and Mair have
been around the block while Limbaugh
still sat in front of the TV.

Larry King and Aaron Brown (used to be ABC now CNN) is much better at it
than this Limbaugh guy.

Just my three cents U.S. (two cents Canadian at current exchange).

PK

1238. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 21:50:15 UTC
From: Jesse <www@www.net>
Message-ID: <d532fb56ca7de95fcfc8d8059c6b03ba@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 34356
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ive never listened to word one from RL in my life and I think your a
weak kneed trolling kook.
And talk about needing some original material and facts I've seen that
same little peacknik ditty on about 12 different NG's the past week.
How does copying and pasting someone elses material somehow make you
enlightened,witty and informed ?

Pointing North wrote:
> gee ya said that already!
> Let's stick to the topic or use some facts. Not RL propoganda nonsense.
>
> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:w1Iga.1120$0X.507155@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>
>>>>Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and transmit
>>>>negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially sensitive
>>>
> to
>
>>>>signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only
>>>
> one
>
>>>>band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT be
>>>>modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time
>>>
> frequency -
>
>>>>just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and over.
>>>
>>It's
>>
>>>>portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.
>>>
>>
>
>

1239. Re: IGNORE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 21:56:52 UTC
From: Goldenpi <goldenpi@softhome.net>
Message-ID: <EsKga.1810$is.11671670@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 34357
Cross-posted to: alt.internet.p2p,rec.radio.scanner

Ive got one of those mythical DC-to-daylight setups - There are a few gaps,
but ive got equipment to recieve just about any electromagnetic signal :-)

"Matt O." <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:z6Pea.2999$U96.747793@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Sorry, wrong group.
>
>
> "Matt O." <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:g2Pea.2998$U96.747176@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > What does everyone think of the SC180B used for in town scanning. I'm in
> > need of a small channel scanner and saw this at Best Buy for $79.00.
What
> is
> > its sensitivity and other features like? Is it worth buying? Any input
is
> > apreciated.
> >
> > ===Matt===
> >
> >
>
>

1240. Re: WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 21:58:55 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E83741F.2000105@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 34358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

John Simmons wrote:
> DeWayne wrote:
>
>
>>I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free phone
>>number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's that
>>for service?
>>DeWayne K9KZ
>
>
> What does this have to do with the war against Iraq?
>

Where is R&L? Website?

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1241. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 21:59:39 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <v86t10duc8g0fc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34359
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
news:3E8369C0.9D1D5EB9@emai.com...
<nothing>

You should go be a human shield.

1242. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 22:01:11 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <v86t3sot70052b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A troll direct from the DNC or the ACP posting their downloaded talking points.

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> intellectual people in the media?
> I'd like to hear it!
> haha can't wait!
>
>

1243. Re: Talk Group ID's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 22:01:48 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3E8374D0.BAD0A3D9@optonline.net>
Source record: 34361

Look at www.trunkedradio.net

Go to your state, and all known systems and info should be listed.

Walt

Celt Chic wrote:
>
> Ok, I've got a few motorola channels programmed into my scanner. Question,
> when the talk group ID shows at the bottom, I write down what I see. How do
> I find out what agency those ID's belong to? Is there a web page?
>
> Also, if I hit manual to pause the scan when it hits a motorola frequency,
> the voice stops and goes back to that diesel sound. Is there no way to get
> it to pause on just the trunked system without that diesel sound?
>
> Thanks!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

1244. Re: Uniden Scanners of mass destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 22:04:41 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b5vrna$9bf0$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34355
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Aw shuddap liberal.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
news:3E836BD7.A921CEFA@emai.com...
>
> I want you to PROVE that you don't have don't have 12 BC-200XLT scanners
with
> mods to receive cellular phone calls hidden in your house.
>
> It will not be our jobs to inspect your house and try to find them, you
could
> just keep moving them around or have them deeply buried or something.
It is
> also not up to us to prove that you have them. I could, and I can assure
> everyone on this newsgroup that you have them, but I can't show you this
proof
> because it would jeopardize security.
>
> However, if you do not give us those 12 BC-200XLT scanners in 48 hours, we
will
> have to declare war on your house and start killing your family. You
are not
> able to PROVE that you don't have these scanners (an impossible feat)
And I
> predict you are too evil of a dictator to hand them over, so it looks
like you
> and your evil family will be taken out of your house.
>
> You have the capability of listening to cellular phone calls, and we are
not
> going to sit around and wait for you to one day decide to listen to them,
we are
> going to disarm you of those 12 scanners NOW!
>
>
>

1245. Re: A Warmonger Explains scanners to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 22:18:49 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030327171849.00142.00000040@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 34362

Ah, it's nice to see an off topic post turned around to scanner related! I got quite a kick out of it. I just can't figure out why people choose radio newsgroups to talk about everything but!

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

1246. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 22:36:24 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v86v77k33ju55e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Who's salary would you rather take home?

I suspect that Rush makes more money than the whole group you quoted. Rush has more listeners daily than the entire population of Canada...

"Paul Keenleyside" <nospambcpl8z@telus.net> wrote in message news:QkKga.1570$M6.209197@news2.telusplanet.net...
>
> "Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
> news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> > Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> > intellectual people in the media?
>
> Rush Limbaugh is over-rated compared to the hosts we have here in Canada
> (particuarly Peter Warren). Peter
> Warren makes Limbaugh look like a wimp. The other prominent host in Western
> Canada is Rafe Mair who
> is conservative but slimes any government he does not like, even
> conservative ones. He makes Rush Limbaugh
> look like a university radio station talk host. Both Warren and Mair have
> been around the block while Limbaugh
> still sat in front of the TV.
>
> Larry King and Aaron Brown (used to be ABC now CNN) is much better at it
> than this Limbaugh guy.
>
> Just my three cents U.S. (two cents Canadian at current exchange).
>
> PK
>
>
>

1247. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 22:42:27 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E837E89.99B45EE6@emai.com>
Source record: 34364
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> I think I smell a troll---------plonk

Since smells don't travel through the internet, one has to wonder what the source of the smell was.

1248. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 22:45:36 UTC
From: Pointing North <PointingNorth@smellivision.com>
Message-ID: <kaLga.234891$3D1.76164@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34365
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ANd your comments benefit the radio scanner community how?

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:8FFga.230027$F1.15567@sccrnsc04...
> A WARMONGER EXPLAINS WAR TO A PEACENIK By Bill Davidson
>
>
>
> PeaceNik: Why did you say we are invading Iraq?
>
>
>
> WarMonger: We are invading Iraq because it is in violation of Security
> Council resolution 1441. A country cannot be allowed to violate Security
> Council resolutions.
>
> PN: But I thought many of our allies, including Israel, were in
violation
> of more security council resolutions than Iraq.
>
> WM: It's not just about UN resolutions. The main point is that Iraq could
> have weapons of mass destruction, and the first sign of a smoking gun
could
> well be a mushroom cloud over New York.
>
> PN: Mushroom cloud? But I thought the weapons inspectors said Iraq had
no
> nuclear weapons.
>
> WM: Yes, but biological and chemical weapons are the issue.
>
> PN: But I thought Iraq did not have any long range missiles for
attacking
> us or our allies with such weapons.
>
> WM: The risk is not Iraq directly attacking us, but rather terrorist
> networks that Iraq could sell the weapons to.
>
> PN: But couldn't virtually any country sell chemical or biological
> materials? We sold quite a bit to Iraq in the Eighties ourselves, didn't
we?
>
> WM: That's ancient history. Look, Saddam Hussein is an evil man that has

an
> undeniable track record of repressing his own people since the early
> Eighties. He gasses his enemies. Everyone agrees that he is a power-hungry
> lunatic murderer.
>
> PN: We sold chemical and biological materials to a power-hungry lunatic
> murderer?
>
> WM: The issue is not what we sold, but rather what Saddam did. He is the
> one that launched a pre-emptive first strike on Kuwait.
>
> PN: A pre-emptive first strike does sound bad. But didn't our ambassador
> to Iraq, April Glaspie, know about and green-light the invasion of Kuwait?
>
> WM: Let's deal with the present, shall we? As of today, Iraq could sell
its
> biological and chemical weapons to Al Qaida. Osama Bin Laden himself
> released an audio tape calling on Iraqis to suicide-attack us, proving a
> partnership between the two.
>
> PN: Osama Bin Laden? Wasn't the point of invading Afghanistan to kill
him?
>
> WM: Actually, it's not 100% certain that it's really Osama Bin Laden on
the
> tapes. But the lesson from the tape is the same: there could easily be a
> partnership between Al Qaida and Saddam Hussein unless we act.
>
> PN: Is this the same audio tape where Osama Bin Laden labels Saddam a
> secular infidel?
>
> WM: You're missing the point by just focusing on the tape. Powell
presented
> a strong case against Iraq.
>
> PN: He did?
>
> WM: Yes, he showed satellite pictures of an Al Qaida poison factory in
> Iraq.
>
> PN: But didn't that turn out to be a harmless shack in the part of Iraq
> controlled by the Kurdish opposition?
>
> WM: And a British intelligence report...
>
> PN: Didn't that turn out to be copied from an out-of-date graduate
student
> paper?
>
> WM: And reports of mobile weapons labs...

>
> PN: Weren't those just artistic renderings?
>
> WM: And reports of Iraqis scuttling and hiding evidence from
inspectors...
>
> PN: Wasn't that evidence contradicted by the chief weapons inspector,
Hans
> Blix?
>
> WM: Yes, but there is plenty of other hard evidence that cannot be
revealed
> because it would compromise our security.
>
> PN: So there is no publicly available evidence of weapons of mass
> destruction in Iraq?
>
> WM: The inspectors are not detectives, it's not their JOB to find
evidence.
> You're missing the point.
>
> PN: So what is the point?
>
> WM: The main point is that we are invading Iraq because Resolution 1441
> threatened "severe consequences." If we do not act, the Security Council
> will become an irrelevant debating society.
>
> PN: So the main point is to uphold the rulings of the Security Council?
>
> WM: Absolutely. ...unless it rules against us.
>
> PN: And what if it does rule against us?
>
> WM: In that case, we must lead a coalition of the willing to invade Iraq.
>
> PN: Coalition of the willing? Who's that?
>
> WM: Britain, Turkey, Bulgaria, Spain, and Italy, for starters.
>
> PN: I thought Turkey refused to help us unless we gave them tens of
> billions of dollars.
>
> WM: Nevertheless, they may now be willing.
>
> PN: I thought public opinion in all those countries was against war.
>
> WM: Current public opinion is irrelevant. The majority expresses its will
> by electing leaders to make decisions.
>
> PN: So it's the decisions of leaders elected by the majority that is

> important?
>
> WM: Yes.
>
> PN: But George Bush wasn't elected by voters. He was selected by the
U.S.
> Supreme C...
>
> WM: I mean, we must support the decisions of our leaders, however they
were
> elected, because they are acting in our best interest. This is about being
a
> patriot. That's the bottom line.
>
> PN: So if we do not support the decisions of the president, we are not
> patriotic?
>
> WM: I never said that.
>
> PN: So what are you saying? Why are we invading Iraq?
>
> WM: As I said, because there is a chance that they have weapons of mass
> destruction that threaten us and our allies.
>
> PN: But the inspectors have not been able to find any such weapons.
>
> WM: Iraq is obviously hiding them.
>
> PN: You know this? How?
>
> WM: Because we know they had the weapons ten years ago, and they are
still
> unaccounted for.
>
> PN: The weapons we sold them, you mean?
>
> WM: Precisely.
>
> PN: But I thought those biological and chemical weapons would degrade to
> an unusable state over ten years.
>
> WM: But there is a chance that some have not degraded.
>
> PN: So as long as there is even a small chance that such weapons exist,
we
> must invade?
>
> WM: Exactly.
>
> PN: But North Korea actually has large amounts of usable chemical,

> biological, AND nuclear weapons, AND long range missiles that can reach
the
> west coast AND it has expelled nuclear weapons inspectors, AND threatened
to
> turn America into a sea of fire.
>
> WM: That's a diplomatic issue.
>
> PN: So why are we invading Iraq instead of using diplomacy?
>
> WM: Aren't you listening? We are invading Iraq because we cannot allow
the
> inspections to drag on indefinitely. Iraq has been delaying, deceiving,
and
> denying for over ten years, and inspections cost us tens of millions.
>
> PN: But I thought war would cost us tens of billions.
>
> WM: Yes, but this is not about money. This is about security.
>
> PN: But wouldn't a pre-emptive war against Iraq ignite radical Muslim
> sentiments against us, and decrease our security?
>
> WM: Possibly, but we must not allow the terrorists to change the way we
> live. Once we do that, the terrorists have already won.
>
> PN: So what is the purpose of the Department of Homeland Security,
> color-coded terror alerts, and the Patriot Act? Don't these change the way
> we live?
>
> WM: I thought you had questions about Iraq.
>
> PN: I do. Why are we invading Iraq?
>
> WM: For the last time, we are invading Iraq because the world has called
on
> Saddam Hussein to disarm, and he has failed to do so. He must now face the
> consequences.
>
> PN: So, likewise, if the world called on us to do something, such as
find
> a peaceful solution, we would have an obligation to listen?
>
> WM: By "world", I meant the United Nations.
>
> PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the United Nations?
>
> WM: By "United Nations" I meant the Security Council.
>
> PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the Security Council?

>
> WM: I meant the majority of the Security Council.
>
> PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the majority of the Security
> Council?
>
> WM: Well... there could be an unreasonable veto.
>
> PN: In which case?
>
> WM: In which case, we have an obligation to ignore the veto.
>
> PN: And if the majority of the Security Council does not support us at
> all?
>
> WM: Then we have an obligation to ignore the Security Council.
>
> PN: That makes no sense.
>
> WM: If you love Iraq so much, you should move there. Or maybe France,
with
> all the other cheese-eating surrender monkeys. It's time to boycott their
> wine and cheese, no doubt about that.
>
> PN: Here... have a pretzel, instead.But the international adventurism,
the
> conjuring up of enemies that areabout to destroy us, that's second nature,
> very familiar. They didn'tinventit, others have done the same thing,
others
> have done it in history buttheybecame masters of this art and are now
doing
> it again.Noam Chomsky2-04-2003
>
>
>
>
>
>

1249. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 22:46:44 UTC
From: Pointing North <PointingNorth@smellivision.com>
Message-ID: <obLga.232487$L1.47689@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34366
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

who said it was my material you qwack jackoff?
I gave the guy credit.
What - seeing it 12 times is OK, but the guy who posts it the thirteenth
time in your world is the jerk?

"Jesse" <www@www.net> wrote in message
news:d532fb56ca7de95fcfc8d8059c6b03ba@news.teranews.com...
> Ive never listened to word one from RL in my life and I think your a
> weak kneed trolling kook.
> And talk about needing some original material and facts I've seen that
> same little peacknik ditty on about 12 different NG's the past week.
> How does copying and pasting someone elses material somehow make you
> enlightened,witty and informed ?
>
> Pointing North wrote:
> > gee ya said that already!
> > Let's stick to the topic or use some facts. Not RL propoganda nonsense.
> >
> > "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> > news:w1Iga.1120$0X.507155@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> >
> >>>>Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and transmit
> >>>>negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially sensitive
> >>>
> > to
> >
> >>>>signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only
> >>>
> > one
> >
> >>>>band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT be
> >>>>modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time
> >>>
> > frequency -
> >
> >>>>just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and over.
> >>>
> >>It's
> >>
> >>>>portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.
> >>>
> >>
> >

> >
>

1250. Re: Uniden Scanners of mass destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 22:46:53 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E837F94.F814AEB6@emai.com>
Source record: 34367
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Aw shuddap liberal.

You are showing your intelligence level. I am not a liberal or a democrat.
But of course anyone who makes a statement you don't like must be, right?

I would find it as insulting to be a liberal or democrat as I would if you
called me a conservative or republican.

Believe it or not, there are more than just 2 types of people in the world.
Even astrology says there are 12 different kinds of people. Yet most Americans
think everyone has to be one of two types of retarded personalities. "Hey I'm
a conservative, what sign are you?"

> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
> news:3E836BD7.A921CEFA@emai.com...
> >
> > I want you to PROVE that you don't have don't have 12 BC-200XLT scanners
> with
> > mods to receive cellular phone calls hidden in your house.
> >
> > It will not be our jobs to inspect your house and try to find them, you
> could
> > just keep moving them around or have them deeply buried or something.
> It is
> > also not up to us to prove that you have them. I could, and I can assure
> > everyone on this newsgroup that you have them, but I can't show you this
> proof
> > because it would jeopardize security.
> >
> > However, if you do not give us those 12 BC-200XLT scanners in 48 hours, we
> will
> > have to declare war on your house and start killing your family. You
> are not
> > able to PROVE that you don't have these scanners (an impossible feat)
> And I
> > predict you are too evil of a dictator to hand them over, so it looks
> like you
> > and your evil family will be taken out of your house.
> >
> > You have the capability of listening to cellular phone calls, and we are
> not
> > going to sit around and wait for you to one day decide to listen to them,
> we are
> > going to disarm you of those 12 scanners NOW!

> >
> >
> >

1251. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 22:50:14 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E83805C.5B057D7B@emai.com>
Source record: 34368
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Justin Time wrote:

> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8369C0.9D1D5EB9@emai.com...
> <nothing>
>
> You should go be a human shield.

Actually the POPE should go and be a human shield. Bush would not dare drop any bombs if he knew there was a chance that he would hit and kill the pope! The pope claims to be against the war, but does not do the one thing that would put an END to it. Invite Saddam into the Vatican embassy still open in Baghdad and the pope be there with him as a human shield.

That would STOP THE WAR. But the pope does not do this. Why? Is he afraid to die? He would just got to go to heaven with Jesus and God!

The POPE is a hypocrite scum that has the power to end the war he claims he is against, but does not use his power to end this war. He does not believe in God or he would do this.

1252. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 22:58:46 UTC
From: Pointing North <PointingNorth@smellivision.com>
Message-ID: <GmLga.233221$F1.15869@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 34370
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That is correct, Lone Rider.

"Lone Rider" <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:SeKga.8775$_g3.23333@news1.mts.net...
> Rush Limbaugh is an asshole.
>
> --
> The older I get the better I was!
> "Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
> news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> > Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> > intellectual people in the media?
> > I'd like to hear it!
> > haha can't wait!
> >
> >
>
>

1253. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 23:00:01 UTC
From: Pointing North <PointingNorth@smellivision.com>
Message-ID: <RnLga.232543$L1.47648@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34371
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Gee guess why it is posted all over the internet?
I don't see your thoughts all over the internet unlesss you are the
manufacturer of those tiny little wireless video cameras.

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:obLga.232487$L1.47689@sccrnsc02...
> who said it was my material you qwack jackoff?
> I gave the guy credit.
> What - seeing it 12 times is OK, but the guy who posts it the thirteenth
> time in your world is the jerk?
>
>
> "Jesse" <www@www.net> wrote in message
> news:d532fb56ca7de95fcfc8d8059c6b03ba@news.teranews.com...
> > Ive never listened to word one from RL in my life and I think your a
> > weak kneed trolling kook.
> > And talk about needing some original material and facts I've seen that
> > same little peacknik ditty on about 12 different NG's the past week.
> > How does copying and pasting someone elses material somehow make you
> > enlightened,witty and informed ?
> >
> > Pointing North wrote:
> > > gee ya said that already!
> > > Let's stick to the topic or use some facts. Not RL propoganda
nonsense.
> > >
> > > "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> > > news:w1Iga.1120$0X.507155@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > >
> > >>>>Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and
transmit
> > >>>>negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially sensitive
> > >>>
> > > to
> > >
> > >>>>signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only
> > >>>
> > > one
> > >
> > >>>>band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT
be
> > >>>>modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time
> > >>>

> > > frequency -
> > >
> > >>>>just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and
over.
> > >>>
> > >>It's
> > >>
> > >>>>portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.
> > >>>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

1254. Re: Uniden Scanners of mass destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 23:05:03 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b5vv8g$dvis$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34369
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Astrology? You just showed us YOUR intelligence level.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
news:3E837F94.F814AEB6@emai.com...
> > Aw shuddap liberal.
>
> You are showing your intelligence level. I am not a liberal or a
democrat.
> But of course anyone who makes a statement you don't like must be, right?
>
>
> I would find it as insulting to be a liberal or democrat as I would if you
> called me a conservative or republican.
>
> Believe it or not, there are more than just 2 types of people in the
world.
> Even astrology says there are 12 different kinds of people. Yet most
Americans
> think everyone has to be one of two types of retarded personalities.
"Hey I'm
> a conservative, what sign are you?"
>
>
>
> > "John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E836BD7.A921CEFA@emai.com...
> > >
> > > I want you to PROVE that you don't have don't have 12 BC-200XLT
scanners
> > with
> > > mods to receive cellular phone calls hidden in your house.
> > >
> > > It will not be our jobs to inspect your house and try to find them,
you
> > could
> > > just keep moving them around or have them deeply buried or something.
> > It is
> > > also not up to us to prove that you have them. I could, and I can
assure
> > > everyone on this newsgroup that you have them, but I can't show you
this
> > proof
> > > because it would jeopardize security.

> > >
> > > However, if you do not give us those 12 BC-200XLT scanners in 48
hours, we
> > will
> > > have to declare war on your house and start killing your family.
You
> > are not
> > > able to PROVE that you don't have these scanners (an impossible
feat)
> > And I
> > > predict you are too evil of a dictator to hand them over, so it looks
> > like you
> > > and your evil family will be taken out of your house.
> > >
> > > You have the capability of listening to cellular phone calls, and we
are
> > not
> > > going to sit around and wait for you to one day decide to listen to
them,
> > we are
> > > going to disarm you of those 12 scanners NOW!
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>
>
>

1255. Re: WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 23:07:56 UTC
From: Rich Griffiths <richgrif@one.net>
Message-ID: <3E83844C.B8890B29@one.net>
Source record: 34373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

When you order from R&L, make sure they're shipping from stock.
Otherwise, forget it.

I've shopped at R&L a lot since moving to Cincinnati from 2-land. I
love visiting the store, I've bought many thousands of dollars of stuff
there, and I've always found the store folks to be courteous and
helpful.

But three times I've had to wait for back-ordered items that the store
didn't have in stock. And I waited, and waited, and waited ....
Several months the first two times. I gave up after one month the third
time. In each of the three cases, when I contacted the supplier (Rohn,
Icom, M-squared) directly, they expressed surprise that I had been
waiting so long, and they shipped the item to me the next day. R&L
should be performing this kind of follow-up, but they don't.

... Rich W2RG

DeWayne wrote:
>
> I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free phone
> number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's that
> for service?
> DeWayne K9KZ

1256. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 23:08:54 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v87145g8gb3q06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34372
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

And I thought you were just a racist and an anti-Semite. I guess you hate everybody.

Mores the pity...

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message news:3E83805C.5B057D7B@emai.com...
> Justin Time wrote:
>
> > "John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E8369C0.9D1D5EB9@emai.com...
> > <nothing>
> >
> > You should go be a human shield.
>
> Actually the POPE should go and be a human shield. Bush would not
> dare drop any bombs if he knew there was a chance that he would hit and
> kill the pope! The pope claims to be against the war, but does not
> do the one thing that would put an END to it. Invite Saddam into the
> Vatican embassy still open in Baghdad and the pope be there with him as
> a human shield.
>
> That would STOP THE WAR. But the pope does not do this. Why? Is
> he afraid to die? He would just got to go to heaven with Jesus and
> God!
>
> The POPE is a hypocrite scum that has the power to end the war he claims
> he is against, but does not use his power to end this war. He does
> not believe in God or he would do this.
>
>
>

1257. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 23:42:44 UTC
From: Lone Rider <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SeKga.8775$_g3.23333@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 34353
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Rush Limbaugh is an asshole.

--
The older I get the better I was!
"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> intellectual people in the media?
> I'd like to hear it!
> haha can't wait!
>
>

1258. Re: WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-27 23:59:31 UTC
From: Keith V <kavan@neb.rr.com>
Message-ID: <DfMga.42490$ZW4.1203623@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 34374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

www.randl.com

Van,
K0KAV

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3E83741F.2000105@licensed4fun.com...
>
>
> John Simmons wrote:
> > DeWayne wrote:
> >
> >
> >>I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free phone
> >>number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's
that
> >>for service?
> >>DeWayne K9KZ
> >
> >
> > What does this have to do with the war against Iraq?
> >
>
> Where is R&L? Website?
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>
>
>

1259. Re: WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 00:00:40 UTC
From: Keith V <kavan@neb.rr.com>
Message-ID: <IgMga.42492$ZW4.1203902@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 34375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

www.randl.com

Van,
K0KAV

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3E83741F.2000105@licensed4fun.com...
>
>
> John Simmons wrote:
> > DeWayne wrote:
> >
> >
> >>I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free phone
> >>number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's
that
> >>for service?
> >>DeWayne K9KZ
> >
> >
> > What does this have to do with the war against Iraq?
> >
>
> Where is R&L? Website?
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>
>
>

1260. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 00:43:06 UTC
From: JT <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <tUMga.235358$3D1.76130@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34377
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You think you have a grasp of the issues when you post the nonsense that SOMEONE ELSE wrote? Because I disagree with you I do not have a grasp of the issues? Whose the diplomat here? You are a rhetoric-spewing ass.

BTW, I think Rush is a blowhard, but I am in favor of this war. Form an opinion for yourself, and stop listening to actors and other celebrities. Personally, I feel that our government, most of which were Ivy-League educated probably know a bit more about this than some dropouts who pretend to be other people for a living, and then think that they have the right to tell the rest of us what to think.

Get a grip.

JT
"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:eyIga.231274$L1.47105@sccrnsc02...
> Yah you're a real diplomat. A real grasp of the issues. A real patriot.
>
> "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> news:QqIga.229270$eG2.36848@sccrnsc03...
> > You rock Q.
> >
> > JT
> >
> > "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> > news:w5Iga.1121$0X.510142@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > > "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> > > news:CJHga.233033$3D1.75361@sccrnsc01...
> > > > That was great!
> > > > "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:SHGga.1096$0X.444933@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > > > > "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:AOFga.230111$F1.15637@sccrnsc04...
> > > > > > Wow, what scanner was that about?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and
> transmit
> > > > > negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially
sensitive
> > to
> > > > > signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has
only
> > one
> > > > > band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT

> be
> > > > > modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time
> > frequency -
> > > > > just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and
> over.
> > > It's
> > > > > portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.
> > >
> > > ORDER YOURS TODAY!!!
> > >
> > > Just send everything you made last year to the DNC, and YOURS will be
in
> > the
> > > MAIL!!!
> > > Can't afford it cuz we taxed you too much?
> > > NO PROBLEM!
> > > We'll ship you one FREE!!!
> > > As an added bonus, we'll give you the frequencies for Al-Jazeera, and
an
> > > autographed picture of Peter Jennings and Phil Donahue!!
> > >
> > > ACT NOW!!!
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1261. Re: TW is a FAGGOT HAHAHAHA Re: What's the coolest thing you've ever heard on a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 00:54:24 UTC
From: buo <hkl@er.com>
Message-ID: <3E839D40.60FC5B00@er.com>
Source record: 34379

maybe nobody gives a shit.

Rich Wood wrote:

> On Sun, 16 Feb 2003 09:59:20 -0800, fch <na@hle.com> wrote:
>
> >Why don't you go suck on your rubber girlfriend.
>
> Pardon my confusion, but why would someone who is allegedly gay have a
> rubber girlfriend? I think you're suffering from some gender confusion
> that needs work.
>
> Rich

1262. Just out of curiosity.... (Trunking Questions)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 01:05:32 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wdNga.28100$ja4.1923168@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34378

I know that when you're tuning on the scanner and run into that "diesel engine sound" that you have a motorola type trunking system control channel. Is it type I or II, or do I have to search to find out? (I didn't know if type I has a different noise than type II.)

On the same note, is there a giveaway sign that lets me know I've hit an EDACs system?

For you Pro-95 owners, can it pick up on the LTR type trunking system?

I swear there was something else I was going to ask but I've already forgotten! Aren't you lucky?? If I remember it I'll post another question later.

Thanks for putting up with me!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
~~~~~~~

1263. Re: Uniden Scanners of mass destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 01:08:19 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <Colombo@Ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3E8393C1.507@Ameritech.net>
Source record: 34376

Well then come and get me. Papa Rick

John Simmons wrote:
> I want you to PROVE that you don't have don't have 12 BC-200XLT scanners with
> mods to receive cellular phone calls hidden in your house.
>
> It will not be our jobs to inspect your house and try to find them, you could
> just keep moving them around or have them deeply buried or something. It is
> also not up to us to prove that you have them. I could, and I can assure
> everyone on this newsgroup that you have them, but I can't show you this proof
> because it would jeopardize security.
>
> However, if you do not give us those 12 BC-200XLT scanners in 48 hours, we will
> have to declare war on your house and start killing your family. You are not
> able to PROVE that you don't have these scanners (an impossible feat) And I
> predict you are too evil of a dictator to hand them over, so it looks like you
> and your evil family will be taken out of your house.
>
> You have the capability of listening to cellular phone calls, and we are not
> going to sit around and wait for you to one day decide to listen to them, we are
> going to disarm you of those 12 scanners NOW!
>
>
>

--

Rick Colombo@Ameritech.net

1264. Re: Just out of curiosity.... (Trunking Questions)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 01:26:57 UTC
From: Ken <deputydiver@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8796qds8hbg91@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34380

Well I'm not a pro, but monitor motorola Type II here in MI with the PRO 92. I entered the freqs in the trunk system, and the radio automatically started "trunking" and working properly. If I might ask, do you have all the frequencies entered for the trunked system you are monitoring? Also, what area are you trying to copy on a trunked system? Finally, have you been able to successfully copy a trunked system? The trunk trackers are great radios...I thought we had reached the end of monitoring VHF/UHF when trunking started, but the PRO 92-93-95 work great. I hope you enjoy copying the trunking!
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:wdNga.28100$ja4.1923168@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I know that when you're tuning on the scanner and run into that "diesel
> engine sound" that you have a motorola type trunking system control channel.
> Is it type I or II, or do I have to search to find out? (I didn't know if
> type I has a different noise than type II.)
>
> On the same note, is there a giveaway sign that lets me know I've hit an
> EDACs system?
>
> For you Pro-95 owners, can it pick up on the LTR type trunking system?
>
> I swear there was something else I was going to ask but I've already
> forgotten! Aren't you lucky?? If I remember it I'll post another question
> later.
>
> Thanks for putting up with me!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>

1265. Re: trying to find co. name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 01:40:32 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-5AD5F7.19402927032003@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 34381

In article
<17vga.52003$S%3.1875310@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:

> How would I go about finding the company name that goes with the frequency
> 860.83750mHz that could either be in Clarksville, IN, Jeffersonville, IN, or
> Louisville, KY? It sounds like a taxi cab service.
>
> Thanks,
>

Chances are that it is part of a trunked system owned by Nextel. Nextel
often buys existing analog trunked systems and operates them until they
get absorbed by the digital Iden systems.

WNLC858, WPSV606 are a couple calls on this freq in the area.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG rich (at) n9jig.com
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

In Deo speramus, ceteros omnes observamus

1266. Re: Just out of curiosity.... (Trunking Questions)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 01:42:28 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-6A9BA2.19422727032003@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 34382

In article
<wdNga.28100$ja4.1923168@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:

> I know that when you're tuning on the scanner and run into that "diesel
> engine sound" that you have a motorola type trunking system control channel.
> Is it type I or II, or do I have to search to find out? (I didn't know if
> type I has a different noise than type II.)
>
> On the same note, is there a giveaway sign that lets me know I've hit an
> EDACs system?
>
> For you Pro-95 owners, can it pick up on the LTR type trunking system?
>
> I swear there was something else I was going to ask but I've already
> forgotten! Aren't you lucky?? If I remember it I'll post another question
> later.
>
> Thanks for putting up with me!
>

Go to http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/ and compare what you are hearing to the clips there.
This will help you
figure out the trunking mode used.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG rich (at) n9jig.com
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

In Deo speramus, ceteros omnes observamus

1267. Re: Uniden Scanners of mass destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 01:56:31 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <jZNga.1310$0X.887199@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34383
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

And this spew makes sense...how?

1268. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 01:56:44 UTC
From: Steve <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org>
Message-ID: <wZNga.59021$MP5.54378@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 34384
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What does this have to do with radio scanner?

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> intellectual people in the media?
> I'd like to hear it!
> haha can't wait!
>
>

1269. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 02:01:52 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <k2Oga.1335$0X.893688@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34385
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<sigh>
THE WAY THINGS REALLY WORK: Who Really Armed Saddam

March 20, 2003: SIPRI, a veteran Swedish disarmament group, compiles data on arms sales. Despite information published during the 1980s about where Iraq was getting its weapons from, the myth that Iraq was armed by the United States continues to survive. In fact, the major arms supplier for Iraq was the Soviet Union. And Iraq still owes the Russians over five billion dollars for arms delivered and not paid for. France and China are owed lesser amounts. Below are best estimates of the dollar amount of weapons sold to Iraq between 1973 and 1990.

Soviet Union- Over 25 billion dollars
France and China - at least five billion dollars each.
Czechoslovakia and Poland - about two billion dollars each
Brazil, Egypt and Romania - between $500 million and one billion dollars each.
Denmark- Over $200 million.

Since 1990, there has been an arms embargo on Iraq. But weapons, and spare parts, are still smuggled in. Many of these appear to have come from Eastern Europe, and France.

March 16, 2003: The U.S. Marines brought some fifty chickens with them to Kuwait. The birds, which are easy to care for, but sensitive to chemical weapons, were thought to be perfect as live chemical attack monitors. If the bird drops, the thinking went, it's a chemical attack. But within days of arriving in Kuwait, most of the birds died anyway. A few marines figured out what was happening and saved the rest. It seems that chickens spend most of their time pecking at the ground for stray bits of food. But these American chickens had never been in the desert before and their encounter with the Kuwaiti sand led to them gobbling up enough of the sand to kill them. Birds are not very bright. So the surviving birds were saved by keeping them off the sand. With most of their two footed "chemical attack detectors" gone, the marines looked around for a replacement. And found one, a critter nearly as clueless (at least in a combat zone) as chickens. It seems that many, if not most, of the hundreds of journalists assigned to combat units can't be bothered with carrying around their chemical warfare equipment. So many reporters plan to use the journalists (at least the ones who refuse to take their chemical protection gear with them) as chicken replacements. On a more practical level, the marines are bringing in several hundred homing pigeons for chemical attack detection duty. The pigeons are smaller, easier to take care of and are known to survive quite well in the Persian Gulf area.

February 20, 2003: With war in Iraq looming, experts are showing up everywhere telling us how many people are going to be killed. What makes this interesting is that there is still no agreement on how many were killed in the 1991 war. The higher estimates (up to 100,000 Iraqi dead) were pure guesses made at the end of the fighting. When the battlefield, and Iraqi cities, were examined, it was found that the number of Iraqi military dead was probably less than 5,000 (remember all those Iraqis trying to surrender, very few actually fought, and when they did, the majority surrendered after some of their buddies were killed.) Civilian deaths were probably less than 1,000. Even anti-American advocacy groups that visited Iraq after the war were surprised at how precise the US bombing was, and how few civilians casualties resulted. But a lot of experts estimating deaths from the war like to add deaths from the Shia/Kurdish rebellion right after the war, and the food and medicine shortages of the 1990s. In these cases, the deaths were probably in the hundreds of thousands. But what is missed here is these deaths were caused by Iraqis killing other Iraqis. The rebellions right after the war were not the first by the Shias or Kurds. Both groups had rebelled against the Sunni minority many times in the previous decades (and centuries, for that matter.) The deaths from starvation and lack of medicine were deliberate moves by Saddam to suppress rebellious attitudes among Iraqis who didn't like him. Noting the pattern of deaths in the 1991 war, Saddam is openly stationing troops and weapons in residential neighborhoods of non-Sunni Iraqis. How that will play out in the next war is hard to say. U.S. psychological warfare messages sent into Iraq over the last few months has made it clear that any officers hiding their troops among civilians will be held personally responsible if there are dead Iraqi civilians as a result. This could cause some strange military maneuvers when the fighting starts. The US is determined to limit civilian casualties in any new Iraq war, and has more precise weapons to achieve that goal. So it's a contest between Saddam trying to use Iraqi civilians as shields for his troops, and the US trying to avoid killing civilians.

February 14, 2003: A Maginot Line of Inspectors- There are many theories to explain the French position on Iraq. Some believe France is trying to retain its access to Iraqi oil. Others believe France is trying to hide its involvement in supplying Saddam's nuclear, biological, and chemical weapons. There are even reports of cash bribes to President Chirac from Baghdad. Many are simply ascribed to based on how low an observer's opinions are of the French. If this is not part of a duplicitous plot, what could the French be thinking?

The French have proven time and again throughout the 20th Century that their tactical and strategic vision is woefully outdated. During World War I, the French annihilated a generation of their own youth by relying on human wave attacks against machine-guns and modern artillery. Following the war, France used the peace process to so cripple Germany's economy that the nation was ripe for Hitler's hate mongering. The French insisted on carving up old empires and creating new countries that have lead to many of today's problems in the Balkans and the Middle-East.

Prior to World War II the French constructed massive concrete fortifications known as the Maginot Line to stop the Germans. The Germans simply drove

around the Maginot Line and conquered France in only six weeks. France also had the largest and most modern army in the world; but its outdated tactics could not withstand the German blitzkrieg.

The democratic allies defeated imperialism in Italy, Germany, and Japan. The French response to their liberation was to enlist Nazi Prisoners of War into the Foreign Legion and dispatch them to enslave a new French Empire in Africa and Asia. This convinced many in the Third-World that the West intended to keep democracy and freedom for itself. In 1956 the French convinced Britain and Israel to join in its unilateral invasion of Egypt. This invasion was launched to embarrass President Nasser of Egypt for supporting Muslim rebels in the French colony Algeria. The only time in UN history that France used its Security Council veto power was to foil a UN resolution to stop their invasion of Egypt. The French unilateral wars against Algeria and Egypt are one of the primary causes of Arab distrust of the West.

Now the country that blundered its way through the 20th Century wants to decide how to deal with the Iraq crisis. They believe that inspectors and sanctions will contain Iraq's Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD)program. They are trying to build a Maginot Line of UN Inspectors to protect themselves from WMD attacks. While this line of defenses, like the last one, may look impressively strong; Saddam has simply mounted his production on trucks and is driving them around the new Maginot Line.

Once again France's strategic thinking is decades out of date. In the past WMD production and research required huge industrial complexes. Today's facilities are truck mounted and can be hidden in a million places the inspectors will never look. In the first seven years of inspections the UN found documents to verify that Iraq may have produced ten billion lethal doses of biological toxins. The Iraqis have been able to hide these huge stockpiles from inspectors for twelve years.

In previous wars it took large artillery bombardments to make chemical weapons effective. Today the weapons are more potent and terrorists provide an effective delivery system. Al Qaeda has been caught with plans to add chemical weapons into building ventilation systems. We could assign a UN Inspector to guard every air conditioner in the free world; but would they have the will to stop the slaughter, or would they stand aside and watch the massacre like UN Peacekeepers did at UN Safe Havens in Bosnia.

During their 12 years of inspections the UN has proved Saddam had 36,000 WMD delivery systems he will not account for. The new round of inspections have found 18 of these weapons, with continued inspections they are on pace to find all of Saddam's weapons by September the 11th, of the year 2301. Only a fool or a Frenchman could believe we could contain the weapons for that long.

The French still don't realize that nations no longer need fleets of inspectable bombers and intercontinental missiles to attack their enemies. For the cost of 19 plane tickets the world's only superpower was brought to its knees. Now what if those 19 attackers had WMD, how much damage could

they do? A Defense Department analyst found that one plane spraying 440 pounds of anthrax over Manhattan would kill 1,000,000 people. Zacarias Moussaoui had the research on his laptop and Mohamed Atta tried to buy the cropduster. Why would Atta try to buy the cropduster unless he had access to WMD? The Czech Republic still affirms that Mohamed Atta met with an Iraqi intelligence chief in early 2001. The Czechs expelled this "diplomat" BEFORE 9-11-01 for providing assistance to terrorists who were planning to attack American and Jewish targets. An intelligence agent, from a hostile nation that is hiding WMD, was assisting Islamic terrorists and met with a suicide bomber who was trying to buy a crop duster to spray WMD. These actions occurred two years ago; yet the French don't believe their ally Saddam and his alliance with terrorists is an "imminent" threat.

The French have proven they have no interest in containment. They have signed agreements with Saddam to develop Iraqi oil fields when UN sanctions are lifted. Even though the inspectors have proven that Saddam is still hiding WMD; the French have tried on several occasion to have the inspections and sanctions lifted. Hans Blix has stated that he will submit a plan in March to end inspections and lift the sanctions; ending the containment the French and their apologists say will work.

The Maginot Line did not protect France from Germany. It only forced Germany to invade three other countries to get around it. The Maginot Line of Inspectors has failed to stop Saddam for twelve years, and their current pace requires 300 more years. The only question is which countries will be attacked with Iraq's Weapons of Mass Destruction, while they drive around this new Maginot Line to France. Recent terror alerts suggest Al Qaeda is planning to used WMD. Police throughout the world are on the look out for terrorists using light bulbs and fire extinguishers to spread deadly toxins. It's beginning to look like the Maginot Line has already been breached.--
Ted Wade

1270. Re: Just out of curiosity.... (Trunking Questions)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 02:12:01 UTC
From: Ken <deputydiver@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v87brabmqmkfad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34386

Rich great job!! ..your site has helped me on a few of the digital signals.
Celt, sorry for interfering on your post, but Rich does have a great
site...thanks Ken
"Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com> wrote in message
news:rich-6A9BA2.19422727032003@netnews.attbi.com...
> In article
> <wdNga.28100$ja4.1923168@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > I know that when you're tuning on the scanner and run into that "diesel
> > engine sound" that you have a motorola type trunking system control
channel.
> > Is it type I or II, or do I have to search to find out? (I didn't know
if
> > type I has a different noise than type II.)
> >
> > On the same note, is there a giveaway sign that lets me know I've hit an
> > EDACs system?
> >
> > For you Pro-95 owners, can it pick up on the LTR type trunking system?
> >
> > I swear there was something else I was going to ask but I've already
> > forgotten! Aren't you lucky?? If I remember it I'll post another
question
> > later.
> >
> > Thanks for putting up with me!
> >
>
>
> Go to http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/ and compare what you are hearing to
the clips there. This will help you
> figure out the trunking mode used.
>
> --
> Rich Carlson, N9JIG rich (at) n9jig.com
> IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
> Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association:
http://www.carmachicago.com
>
> In Deo speramus, ceteros omnes observamus

1271. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 02:12:56 UTC
From: Jesse <www@www.net>
Message-ID: <e78fb1ca0bd0c9a0bcdb12cd5591d2fb@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 34387
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pointing North wrote:
> Gee guess why it is posted all over the internet?
> I don't see your thoughts all over the internet unlesss you are the
> manufacturer of those tiny little wireless video cameras.

Hmmm maybe the reason why its posted all over the internet is because
mindless copycat trolls like yourself have nothing much better to do
than to spend half your waking hours on a computer.
You wouldn't know an original thought if it smacked you in the face.
Why bother when you can just have people think for you huh ?
Hope this helped.

>
>
> "Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
> news:obLga.232487$L1.47689@sccrnsc02...
>
>>who said it was my material you qwack jackoff?
>>I gave the guy credit.
>>What - seeing it 12 times is OK, but the guy who posts it the thirteenth
>>time in your world is the jerk?
>>
>>
>>"Jesse" <www@www.net> wrote in message
>>news:d532fb56ca7de95fcfc8d8059c6b03ba@news.teranews.com...
>>
>>>Ive never listened to word one from RL in my life and I think your a
>>>weak kneed trolling kook.
>>>And talk about needing some original material and facts I've seen that
>>>same little peacknik ditty on about 12 different NG's the past week.
>>>How does copying and pasting someone elses material somehow make you
>>>enlightened,witty and informed ?
>>>
>>>Pointing North wrote:
>>>
>>>>gee ya said that already!
>>>>Let's stick to the topic or use some facts. Not RL propoganda
>>>
> nonsense.
>
>>>>"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:w1Iga.1120$0X.507155@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>>>>Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and
>>>>>>
> transmit
>
>>>>>>>negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially sensitive
>>>>>>
>>>>to
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only
>>>>>>
>>>>one
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT
>>>>>>
> be
>
>>>>>>>modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time
>>>>>>
>>>>frequency -
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and
>>>>>>
> over.
>
>>>>It's
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.
>>>>>>
>>>>
>>
>
>

1272. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 02:23:16 UTC
From: Pointing North <PointingNorth@smellivision.com>
Message-ID: <omOga.234360$F1.25924@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 34388
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That helped a lot. Thanks.
I am a mindless moron who has others think for me. That is way up the
evolution ladder from you guys who have others die for you.
Why don't you go die, and I'll start thinking.

How was that?

"Jesse" <www@www.net> wrote in message
news:e78fb1ca0bd0c9a0bcdb12cd5591d2fb@news.teranews.com...
>
>
> Pointing North wrote:
> > Gee guess why it is posted all over the internet?
> > I don't see your thoughts all over the internet unlesss you are the
> > manufacturer of those tiny little wireless video cameras.
>
> Hmmm maybe the reason why its posted all over the internet is because
> mindless copycat trolls like yourself have nothing much better to do
> than to spend half your waking hours on a computer.
> You wouldn't know an original thought if it smacked you in the face.
> Why bother when you can just have people think for you huh ?
> Hope this helped.
>
> >
> >
> > "Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
> > news:obLga.232487$L1.47689@sccrnsc02...
> >
> >>who said it was my material you qwack jackoff?
> >>I gave the guy credit.
> >>What - seeing it 12 times is OK, but the guy who posts it the thirteenth
> >>time in your world is the jerk?
> >>
> >>
> >>"Jesse" <www@www.net> wrote in message
> >>news:d532fb56ca7de95fcfc8d8059c6b03ba@news.teranews.com...
> >>
> >>>Ive never listened to word one from RL in my life and I think your a
> >>>weak kneed trolling kook.
> >>>And talk about needing some original material and facts I've seen that
> >>>same little peacknik ditty on about 12 different NG's the past week.
> >>>How does copying and pasting someone elses material somehow make you
> >>>enlightened,witty and informed ?
> >>>

> >>>Pointing North wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>gee ya said that already!
> >>>>Let's stick to the topic or use some facts. Not RL propoganda
> >>>
> > nonsense.
> >
> >>>>"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> >>>>news:w1Iga.1120$0X.507155@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>>>Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and
> >>>>>>
> > transmit
> >
> >>>>>>>negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially
sensitive
> >>>>>>
> >>>>to
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>>>signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only
> >>>>>>
> >>>>one
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>>>band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT
> >>>>>>
> > be
> >
> >>>>>>>modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time
> >>>>>>
> >>>>frequency -
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>>>just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and
> >>>>>>
> > over.
> >
> >>>>>It's
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>
> >>
> >
> >

1273. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 02:45:14 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <_GOga.54260$UV6.4000507@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 34390
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v86v77k33ju55e@corp.supernews.com...
> Who's salary would you rather take home?

In terms of working on the basis of quality of the presentation, I'd say
Peter Warren's.

Smaller than Limbaugh's, but we pay higher incone taxes than the United
States, and the higer income you have the more
Revenue Canada (our answer to the IRS) vacuums out of the paycheque ("pay
check" in the United States).

> I suspect that Rush makes more money than the whole group you quoted. Rush
> has more listeners daily than the entire population of Canada...

Not much of an arguement there, since the U.S. has ten times the population
of Canada, and there's more of a
Republican/Conservative bloc of supporters in the U.S. as a total percentage
of the U.S. population than there is of Progressive
Conservative/Alliance/conservative bloc as a total percentage of the
Canadian population (most of that is in Western Canada, but not in huge
amounts except Alberta and British Columbia, with some in Eastern Canada
such as new Brunswick and Nova Scotia, but not in large pockets) Besides
Peter Warren broadcasts nationally only on weekends. The other one - Rafe
Mair - is local, but is heard within an allotted time frame in Western
Canada.

But having said that, time to get back to radio scanner equipment and
associated stuff. U.S. AM Radio can wait until
I've acrossed the border and switched from a Canadian radio station to a
local U.S. one in the car.

Cheers from Canuckistan!
PK

1274. ID Tracker Updated - Scanner Recording Supported

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 02:49:40 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <dEOga.48504$d15.7203@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 34391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ID Tracker has been updated to version 2.4.0. The biggest
improvement is that recording to WAV files is now supported.

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

See the version history for change details.

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTrackerVersion.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net or @arrl.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

1275. ARE SCANNERS HISTORY NOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 03:00:12 UTC
From: BABYJSMAMA@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22375-3E83C8CC-314@storefull-2191.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 34303

i was just reading a article that says the police stations have redone the systems and the public will no longer be able to hear the police transmissions except for fire and ambulance,they have gone 800 mgh(did i say that right)i have been a scanner listener for 21 years..is there going to be a scanner made in the future where we can still hear the police?Susie

Susie/Michigan-Mom of Jackie Harrison-born 1-11-80---killed 06-08-02 by drunk driver while riding her bike home from store-please vist her website and sign her guestbook -without music
http://community.webtv.net/BABYJSMAMA/DRUNKDRIVERSNEEDTO
(with music)
http://community.webtv.net/BABYJSMAMA/DRUNKDRIVERSNEEDTO0

1276. FS: PRO-2004 Keyboard 400 channel overlays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 03:08:22 UTC
From: Robert <nospam@me.cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <G0Pga.48837$o8.987716@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 34392

Provided the same buyer doesn't buy all of them again (he bought all 12!),
I have another batch available for those interested:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=3015649409

1277. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 03:16:04 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030327221604.28126.00000015@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 34393

NOTHING

1278. Re: CORRECTION Airforce One & CAP comms now online from todays visit.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 03:36:06 UTC
From: MrsCat1 <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <GqPga.13224$se1.6457084@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34389

Hi I'm new to this group and I have a bearcat scanner I need to find and pull up lol. It is a handheld how far away can you pick up signals. Like I am from Columbus Ohio would I be able to pick up this kind of stuff. I haven't used it in awhile.

Lisha
"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3E82611F.5080805@licensed4fun.com...
GeorgeF wrote:
> For the benefit of those who didn’t have the time or ability to hear
> Airforce One today I have uploaded the audio from today’s Airforce One’s
> visit to Tampa, FL. Also uploaded are comms of the Combat Air Patrol
> giving a “stern” warning to a civil aircraft for getting too close to
> the TFR (Temporary Flight Restriction) area. You can hear the audio
> here: file:///C:/George/Webpage/MilComms/audio_library.html
>

I need some sleep, the correct URL is:
http://www.milaircomms.com/audio_library.html

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1279. Re: WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 03:45:13 UTC
From: TellTheTruth <tellthetruth@every.net>
Message-ID: <dzPga.28405$ja4.1938804@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Did you tell R&L this?

"Rich Griffiths" <richgrif@one.net> wrote in message
news:3E83844C.B8890B29@one.net...
> When you order from R&L, make sure they're shipping from stock.
> Otherwise, forget it.
>
> I've shopped at R&L a lot since moving to Cincinnati from 2-land. I
> love visiting the store, I've bought many thousands of dollars of stuff
> there, and I've always found the store folks to be courteous and
> helpful.
>
> But three times I've had to wait for back-ordered items that the store
> didn't have in stock. And I waited, and waited, and waited ....
> Several months the first two times. I gave up after one month the third
> time. In each of the three cases, when I contacted the supplier (Rohn,
> Icom, M-squared) directly, they expressed surprise that I had been
> waiting so long, and they shipped the item to me the next day. R&L
> should be performing this kind of follow-up, but they don't.
>
>
> ... Rich W2RG
>
>
> DeWayne wrote:
> >
> > I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free phone
> > number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's
that
> > for service?
> > DeWayne K9KZ

1280. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 03:50:57 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <BEPga.1385$0X.1038036@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34396
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> But having said that, time to get back to radio scanner equipment and
> associated stuff. U.S. AM Radio can wait until
> I've acrossed the border and switched from a Canadian radio station to a
> local U.S. one in the car.
>
> Cheers from Canuckistan!
> PK

I have to agree. No point in beating each other up over all this other stuff
(there's other forums for that).
Let's get back to the business at hand - scanners.
(although, if you WANT to keep fighting, I'm bigger than YOU are, so nah-ner
nah-ner NAH-ner!!) :-)

"Q"

1281. Re: Beautiful Agreement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 03:55:00 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e83c796$0$83885$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 34298

There are scanners that can be hooked to a computer and you can computer control them. There are also scanners like the Icom PCR 1000 that need the computer to run. It is just a little black box that you serially connect to the computer and there is software that gives a radio look interface. Many scanners though like the Pro 95 can have the memory loaded by computer but cannot be operated by computer.

Greg
"MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:ddQga.13242$se1.6473616@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
can a scanner be hooked to a computer and can you see what the freqs are on the computer is there sometype of software for that
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:mtMga.27984$ja4.1918122@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
Hubby and I are now happy as two peas in a pod. He's watching basketball on
television and I'm sitting at the computer listening to my scanner through
headphones. Awwwww.....peace at last! I don't have to hear basketball and
he doesn't have to hear me whine about him not paying any attention to me!
:) (And he doesn't have to hear the scanner.)

Life is great, isn't it? :)

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
~~~~~~~

1282. anyone have the CHP air discreet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 04:08:29 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030327230829.17921.00000036@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 34301

I have heard the local CHP talk on the car-to-car channel with aircraft telling eachother to go to the 'discreet' channel. Anyone know the frequency of this?
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

1283. live war coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 04:11:55 UTC
From: John Robertson <jlr20@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3E83CEED.3020003@attbi.com>
Source record: 34302

http://www.898vbdbat.nl/receiver/
or
http://nl3dhg.xs4all.nl:8080
or
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm
another good sight for military stuff is
http://www.MilAirComms.com.
or
http://www.dxzone.com/catalog/SWL_BCL/Military/

1284. Re: Beautiful Agreement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 04:30:01 UTC
From: MrsCat1 <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <ddQga.13242$se1.6473616@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34394

can a scanner be hooked to a computer and can you see what the freqs are on the computer is there sometype of software for that
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:mtMga.27984$ja4.1918122@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
Hubby and I are now happy as two peas in a pod. He's watching basketball on television and I'm sitting at the computer listening to my scanner through headphones. Awwwww.....peace at last! I don't have to hear basketball and he doesn't have to hear me whine about him not paying any attention to me! :) (And he doesn't have to hear the scanner.)

Life is great, isn't it? :)

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
~~~~~~~

1285. Re: WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 04:46:40 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <QsQga.1737$yY.817952@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 34304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Is the R-75 a SCANNER?

Thanks for notifying every radio group anout your purchase of a SHORTWAVE radio...

Dan
]
> I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free phone
> number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's that
> for service?
> DeWayne K9KZ
>
>
>

1286. Re: Beautiful Agreement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 04:50:07 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <Colombo@Ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3E83C7BC.9070300@Ameritech.net>
Source record: 34297

That's too funny ;-) It's exactly the opposite of what me and my SO are doing, but I feel the same way... She sits {brain-dead} in front of the TV) all day and me, I goof around on the 'puter all day/work and all night/play... Life is Good :-)

Celt Chic wrote:
> Hubby and I are now happy as two peas in a pod. He's watching basketball on
> television and I'm sitting at the computer listening to my scanner through
> headphones. Awwwww.....peace at last! I don't have to hear basketball and
> he doesn't have to hear me whine about him not paying any attention to me!
> :) (And he doesn't have to hear the scanner.)
>
> Life is great, isn't it? :)
>

--

Rick

1287. Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 04:53:17 UTC
From: MrsCat1 <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <1zQga.13253$se1.6483017@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34299

Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I have a bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have to find it Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup tonight and I'm fairly new to newsgroups too.

Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get the most out of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now to see and find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to pick up from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs from?

--
Lisha

All incoming/outgoing email is checked
with Norton's Anti Virus 2003

1288. Re: Beautiful Agreement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 05:02:14 UTC
From: MrsCat1 <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <qHQga.13256$se1.6486593@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34300

Thanks Greg are they exspensive? Sounds interesting. How far away can someone pick up stuff on these? I sure would like to get more involved with my scanner I am retired and home all day would be neat to have it here on the computer

Lisha
"grenner" <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3e83c796$0$83885$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
There are scanners that can be hooked to a computer and you can computer control them. There are also scanners like the Icom PCR 1000 that need the computer to run. It is just a little black box that you serially connect to the computer and there is software that gives a radio look interface. Many scanners though like the Pro 95 can have the memory loaded by computer but cannot be operated by computer.

Greg
"MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:ddQga.13242$se1.6473616@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
can a scanner be hooked to a computer and can you see what the freqs are on the computer is there sometype of software for that
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:mtMga.27984$ja4.1918122@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
Hubby and I are now happy as two peas in a pod. He's watching basketball on
television and I'm sitting at the computer listening to my scanner through
headphones. Awwwww.....peace at last! I don't have to hear basketball and
he doesn't have to hear me whine about him not paying any attention to me!
:) (And he doesn't have to hear the scanner.)

Life is great, isn't it? :)

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
~~~~~~~

1289. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 05:07:12 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <1NQga.219$jy.10892@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 34305
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In the words of a Steve Farley character, "absofrickinloutley nothing!"

John

"Steve" <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org> wrote in message
news:wZNga.59021$MP5.54378@news1.central.cox.net...
> What does this have to do with radio scanner?
>
>
> "Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
> news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> > Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> > intellectual people in the media?
> > I'd like to hear it!
> > haha can't wait!
> >
> >
>
>

1290. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 05:13:22 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <KVQga.222$jy.10862@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 34306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
news:3E83805C.5B057D7B@emai.com...
> Justin Time wrote:
>
> > "John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E8369C0.9D1D5EB9@emai.com...
> > <nothing>
> >
> > You should go be a human shield.
>
> Actually the POPE should go and be a human shield. Bush would not
> dare drop any bombs if he knew there was a chance that he would hit and
> kill the pope! The pope claims to be against the war, but does not
> do the one thing that would put an END to it. Invite Saddam into the
> Vatican embassy still open in Baghdad and the pope be there with him as
> a human shield.
>
> That would STOP THE WAR. But the pope does not do this. Why? Is
> he afraid to die? He would just got to go to heaven with Jesus and
> God!
>
> The POPE is a hypocrite scum that has the power to end the war he claims
> he is against, but does not use his power to end this war. He does
> not believe in God or he would do this.
>
>
>

You know how shitty of a health condition the Pope is in? Hey would likely
die on the plane because of the rigor of cabin pressurization, high
altitude, recycled air, etc.

1291. Newbie quest about squelch modes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 05:21:48 UTC
From: Dustbunny <barfco@swill.com>
Message-ID: <77m78vc4e5st99m0t1kh7kdf2br24ruanp@4ax.com>
Source record: 34308

I've gotten somewhat of a handle on trunk scanning with my BC250D for now, but was wondering how and when do you know when to use CTCSS or DCS for a given frequency. And how does that relate to the various scan modes available?

Thanks for any tips or pointers.

1292. Re: Uniden Scanners of mass destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 05:22:26 UTC
From: dave911 <vfa192@dave911REMOVE.com>
Message-ID: <m_Qga.896$C86.57485@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 34310
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sister Cleo? Is that you? I want my money back!

<snip>
> Even astrology says there are 12 different kinds of people. Yet most
Americans
> think everyone has to be one of two types of retarded personalities.
"Hey I'm
> a conservative, what sign are you?"

1293. Re: STOP MAD COWBOY DISEASE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 05:23:53 UTC
From: dave911 <vfa192@dave911REMOVE.com>
Message-ID: <J%Qga.929$C86.56394@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 34311
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Problem solved :-)

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b5tag8$6a6d$1@news3.infoave.net...
> BANG!>>>>>>>>>>>>> ;}
>

1294. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 05:24:48 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b60m6f$h9pb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:8FFga.230027$F1.15567@sccrnsc04...

> WarMonger: We are invading Iraq because it is in violation of Security
> Council resolution 1441. A country cannot be allowed to violate Security
> Council resolutions.
>
> PN: But I thought many of our allies, including Israel, were in
violation
> of more security council resolutions than Iraq.

So I guess two wrongs make a right?

Tom "or was that three lefts?" Sevart

1295. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 05:26:12 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <U1Rga.58543$Ty5.3887638@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 34312
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:BEPga.1385$0X.1038036@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> I have to agree. No point in beating each other up over all this other
stuff
> (there's other forums for that).

Thank you.

> Let's get back to the business at hand - scanners.
> (although, if you WANT to keep fighting, I'm bigger than YOU are, so
nah-ner
> nah-ner NAH-ner!!) :-)

Is that on the basis of feet or metric height?

Go ahead, hit me. 8-)

At least up here for emergency medical treatment, it's almost free to Canadian citizens (I don't pay for the hopsital and medical bills. Medical premiums are very low). The ambulance ride is only $50.00 (versus an easy $600.00 for an ambulance ride in the U.S) . 8-)

I'm sure my barrister would like to determine the current balance in your chequing and savings account too, eh? 8-)

Well back to scanning and frequencies (and the hockey game) 8-) The Canucks have creamed the Phoenix AZ Coyotes.

Cheers from Canuckstan!
PK Clear
Time twenty one twenty three.

1296. Re: WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 05:28:07 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <H3Rga.6291$JI.1116244@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 34307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:QsQga.1737$yY.817952@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Is the R-75 a SCANNER?

It covers 30 - 60 MHz.

>
> Thanks for notifying every radio group anout your purchase of a SHORTWAVE
> radio...

You're welcome.
DeWayne

>
> Dan
>]
> > I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free phone
> > number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's
> that
> > for service?
> > DeWayne K9KZ
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1297. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 05:28:19 UTC
From: Jesse <www@www.net>
Message-ID: <04b2ae0d742a3dd0693abf19ccc1ad33@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 34313
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pointing North wrote:
> That helped a lot. Thanks.
> I am a mindless moron who has others think for me. That is way up the
> evolution ladder from you guys who have others die for you.
> Why don't you go die, and I'll start thinking.
>
> How was that?

For your info I spent 14 years in the U.S. Marines Corps,God bless
em,including a hitch in Desert Storm.
Don't know about you jack but I did my time.
Seems to me trolls like you can't quite even handle themselves in
political/military newsgroups, so they post off topic.
There are literally hundreds of groups where you can post your reposted
drivel and remain on topic - yet you don't.
I am about to hand you one of the greatest accomplishments you will ever
achieve in life - put you in my killfile.
If I need to argue with someone with the mentality of a drunken girl
scout I'll try yahoo chat thanks.

>
> "Jesse" <www@www.net> wrote in message
> news:e78fb1ca0bd0c9a0bcdb12cd5591d2fb@news.teranews.com...
>
>>
>>Pointing North wrote:
>>
>>>Gee guess why it is posted all over the internet?
>>>I don't see your thoughts all over the internet unlesss you are the
>>>manufacturer of those tiny little wireless video cameras.
>>
>>Hmmm maybe the reason why its posted all over the internet is because
>>mindless copycat trolls like yourself have nothing much better to do
>>than to spend half your waking hours on a computer.
>>You wouldn't know an original thought if it smacked you in the face.
>>Why bother when you can just have people think for you huh ?
>>Hope this helped.
>>
>>
>>>
>>>"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
>>>news:obLga.232487$L1.47689@sccrnsc02...
>>>
>>>

>>>>who said it was my material you qwack jackoff?
>>>>I gave the guy credit.
>>>>What - seeing it 12 times is OK, but the guy who posts it the thirteenth
>>>>time in your world is the jerk?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Jesse" <www@www.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:d532fb56ca7de95fcfc8d8059c6b03ba@news.teranews.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Ive never listened to word one from RL in my life and I think your a
>>>>>weak kneed trolling kook.
>>>>>And talk about needing some original material and facts I've seen that
>>>>>same little peacknik ditty on about 12 different NG's the past week.
>>>>>How does copying and pasting someone elses material somehow make you
>>>>>enlightened,witty and informed ?
>>>>>
>>>>>Pointing North wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>gee ya said that already!
>>>>>>Let's stick to the topic or use some facts. Not RL propoganda
>>>>>
>>>nonsense.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:w1Iga.1120$0X.507155@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Umm...about the "BrainDead866XLT". new model - can detect and
>>>>>>>>
>>>transmit
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>>negative brain waves. Plays any bilge you want. Especially
>>>>>>>>
> sensitive
>
>>>>>>to
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>signals from liberals, whiners, cooks, and the uneducated. Has only
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>one
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>band - picks up signals in the Clinton/Gore spectrum only. Can NOT
>>>>>>>>
>>>be
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>>modified to pick up intelligence. Receives NPR. Has one time
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>frequency -
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>just listen for the Loony Tunes melody being repeated, over and
>>>>>>>>
>>>over.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>It's
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>portable - carry your ignorance ANYWHERE.
>>>>>>>>
>>>
>
>

1298. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 05:35:53 UTC
From: Jesse <www@www.net>
Message-ID: <663a22951e9a4942d3914aac3a265d1c@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 34314
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Q wrote:
> <sigh>
> THE WAY THINGS REALLY WORK: Who Really Armed Saddam
>
>
--
> Ted Wade
> ----------
>
>
Nice piece.
Where did you get this from and who is Ted Wade ?

Thanx

1299. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 06:36:59 UTC
From: Mike Beauchamp <newsgroups@mikebeauchamp.com>
Message-ID: <w4Sga.2072$es1.750224@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 34315
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Oh that Noam Chomsky, he so controversial.

Mike
http://mikebeauchamp.com

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:8FFga.230027$F1.15567@sccrnsc04...
> A WARMONGER EXPLAINS WAR TO A PEACENIK By Bill Davidson
>
>
>
> PeaceNik: Why did you say we are invading Iraq?
>
>
>
> WarMonger: We are invading Iraq because it is in violation of Security
> Council resolution 1441. A country cannot be allowed to violate Security
> Council resolutions.
>
> PN: But I thought many of our allies, including Israel, were in
violation
> of more security council resolutions than Iraq.
>
> WM: It's not just about UN resolutions. The main point is that Iraq could
> have weapons of mass destruction, and the first sign of a smoking gun
could
> well be a mushroom cloud over New York.
>
> PN: Mushroom cloud? But I thought the weapons inspectors said Iraq had
no
> nuclear weapons.
>
> WM: Yes, but biological and chemical weapons are the issue.
>
> PN: But I thought Iraq did not have any long range missiles for
attacking
> us or our allies with such weapons.
>
> WM: The risk is not Iraq directly attacking us, but rather terrorist
> networks that Iraq could sell the weapons to.
>
> PN: But couldn't virtually any country sell chemical or biological
> materials? We sold quite a bit to Iraq in the Eighties ourselves, didn't
we?

>
> WM: That's ancient history. Look, Saddam Hussein is an evil man that has
an
> undeniable track record of repressing his own people since the early
> Eighties. He gasses his enemies. Everyone agrees that he is a power-hungry
> lunatic murderer.
>
> PN: We sold chemical and biological materials to a power-hungry lunatic
> murderer?
>
> WM: The issue is not what we sold, but rather what Saddam did. He is the
> one that launched a pre-emptive first strike on Kuwait.
>
> PN: A pre-emptive first strike does sound bad. But didn't our ambassador
> to Iraq, April Glaspie, know about and green-light the invasion of Kuwait?
>
> WM: Let's deal with the present, shall we? As of today, Iraq could sell
its
> biological and chemical weapons to Al Qaida. Osama Bin Laden himself
> released an audio tape calling on Iraqis to suicide-attack us, proving a
> partnership between the two.
>
> PN: Osama Bin Laden? Wasn't the point of invading Afghanistan to kill
him?
>
> WM: Actually, it's not 100% certain that it's really Osama Bin Laden on
the
> tapes. But the lesson from the tape is the same: there could easily be a
> partnership between Al Qaida and Saddam Hussein unless we act.
>
> PN: Is this the same audio tape where Osama Bin Laden labels Saddam a
> secular infidel?
>
> WM: You're missing the point by just focusing on the tape. Powell
presented
> a strong case against Iraq.
>
> PN: He did?
>
> WM: Yes, he showed satellite pictures of an Al Qaida poison factory in
> Iraq.
>
> PN: But didn't that turn out to be a harmless shack in the part of Iraq
> controlled by the Kurdish opposition?
>
> WM: And a British intelligence report...
>
> PN: Didn't that turn out to be copied from an out-of-date graduate
student
> paper?

>
> WM: And reports of mobile weapons labs...
>
> PN: Weren't those just artistic renderings?
>
> WM: And reports of Iraqis scuttling and hiding evidence from
inspectors...
>
> PN: Wasn't that evidence contradicted by the chief weapons inspector,
Hans
> Blix?
>
> WM: Yes, but there is plenty of other hard evidence that cannot be
revealed
> because it would compromise our security.
>
> PN: So there is no publicly available evidence of weapons of mass
> destruction in Iraq?
>
> WM: The inspectors are not detectives, it's not their JOB to find
evidence.
> You're missing the point.
>
> PN: So what is the point?
>
> WM: The main point is that we are invading Iraq because Resolution 1441
> threatened "severe consequences." If we do not act, the Security Council
> will become an irrelevant debating society.
>
> PN: So the main point is to uphold the rulings of the Security Council?
>
> WM: Absolutely. ...unless it rules against us.
>
> PN: And what if it does rule against us?
>
> WM: In that case, we must lead a coalition of the willing to invade Iraq.
>
> PN: Coalition of the willing? Who's that?
>
> WM: Britain, Turkey, Bulgaria, Spain, and Italy, for starters.
>
> PN: I thought Turkey refused to help us unless we gave them tens of
> billions of dollars.
>
> WM: Nevertheless, they may now be willing.
>
> PN: I thought public opinion in all those countries was against war.
>
> WM: Current public opinion is irrelevant. The majority expresses its will
> by electing leaders to make decisions.

>
> PN: So it's the decisions of leaders elected by the majority that is
> important?
>
> WM: Yes.
>
> PN: But George Bush wasn't elected by voters. He was selected by the
U.S.
> Supreme C...
>
> WM: I mean, we must support the decisions of our leaders, however they
were
> elected, because they are acting in our best interest. This is about being
a
> patriot. That's the bottom line.
>
> PN: So if we do not support the decisions of the president, we are not
> patriotic?
>
> WM: I never said that.
>
> PN: So what are you saying? Why are we invading Iraq?
>
> WM: As I said, because there is a chance that they have weapons of mass
> destruction that threaten us and our allies.
>
> PN: But the inspectors have not been able to find any such weapons.
>
> WM: Iraq is obviously hiding them.
>
> PN: You know this? How?
>
> WM: Because we know they had the weapons ten years ago, and they are
still
> unaccounted for.
>
> PN: The weapons we sold them, you mean?
>
> WM: Precisely.
>
> PN: But I thought those biological and chemical weapons would degrade to
> an unusable state over ten years.
>
> WM: But there is a chance that some have not degraded.
>
> PN: So as long as there is even a small chance that such weapons exist,
we
> must invade?
>
> WM: Exactly.

>
> PN: But North Korea actually has large amounts of usable chemical,
> biological, AND nuclear weapons, AND long range missiles that can reach
the
> west coast AND it has expelled nuclear weapons inspectors, AND threatened
to
> turn America into a sea of fire.
>
> WM: That's a diplomatic issue.
>
> PN: So why are we invading Iraq instead of using diplomacy?
>
> WM: Aren't you listening? We are invading Iraq because we cannot allow
the
> inspections to drag on indefinitely. Iraq has been delaying, deceiving,
and
> denying for over ten years, and inspections cost us tens of millions.
>
> PN: But I thought war would cost us tens of billions.
>
> WM: Yes, but this is not about money. This is about security.
>
> PN: But wouldn't a pre-emptive war against Iraq ignite radical Muslim
> sentiments against us, and decrease our security?
>
> WM: Possibly, but we must not allow the terrorists to change the way we
> live. Once we do that, the terrorists have already won.
>
> PN: So what is the purpose of the Department of Homeland Security,
> color-coded terror alerts, and the Patriot Act? Don't these change the way
> we live?
>
> WM: I thought you had questions about Iraq.
>
> PN: I do. Why are we invading Iraq?
>
> WM: For the last time, we are invading Iraq because the world has called
on
> Saddam Hussein to disarm, and he has failed to do so. He must now face the
> consequences.
>
> PN: So, likewise, if the world called on us to do something, such as
find
> a peaceful solution, we would have an obligation to listen?
>
> WM: By "world", I meant the United Nations.
>
> PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the United Nations?
>
> WM: By "United Nations" I meant the Security Council.

>
> PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the Security Council?
>
> WM: I meant the majority of the Security Council.
>
> PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the majority of the Security
> Council?
>
> WM: Well... there could be an unreasonable veto.
>
> PN: In which case?
>
> WM: In which case, we have an obligation to ignore the veto.
>
> PN: And if the majority of the Security Council does not support us at
> all?
>
> WM: Then we have an obligation to ignore the Security Council.
>
> PN: That makes no sense.
>
> WM: If you love Iraq so much, you should move there. Or maybe France,
with
> all the other cheese-eating surrender monkeys. It's time to boycott their
> wine and cheese, no doubt about that.
>
> PN: Here... have a pretzel, instead.But the international adventurism,
the
> conjuring up of enemies that areabout to destroy us, that's second nature,
> very familiar. They didn'tinventit, others have done the same thing,
others
> have done it in history buttheybecame masters of this art and are now
doing
> it again.Noam Chomsky2-04-2003
>
>
>
>
>
>

1300. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 07:26:13 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <pOSga.2651$0X.1243727@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34316
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A few links:

http://www.strategypage.com/fyeo/howtomakewar/default.asp?target=HTMURPH.HTM

http://www.rferl.org/nca/features/2003/03/27032003132425.asp

http://www.guardian.co.uk/international/story/0,3604,314700,00.html

http://www.defendamerica.mil/nmam.html

http://www.tucsoncitizen.com/local/3_24_03lieberman.html

http://www.msnbc.com/news/890181_asp.htm?0cv=CB10

http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2003/03/27/MN290930.DTL

http://www.opinionjournal.com/editorial/feature.html?id=110003248

http://www.punning_pundit.blogspot.com/

http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,5944-619488,00.html

http://www.upi.com/view.cfm?StoryID=20030321-023627-5923r

I was a naive fool to be a human shield for Saddam - By Daniel Pepper in the Telegraph

I wanted to join the human shields in Baghdad because it was direct action which had a chance of bringing the anti-war movement to the forefront of world attention. It was inspiring: the human shield volunteers were making a sacrifice for their political views - much more of a personal investment than going to a demonstration in Washington or London. It was simple - you get on the bus and you represent yourself.
So that is exactly what I did on the morning of Saturday, January 25. I am a 23-year-old Jewish-American photographer living in Islington, north London. I had travelled in the Middle East before: as a student, I went to the Palestinian West Bank during the intifada. I also went to Afghanistan as a photographer for Newsweek.

The human shields appealed to my anti-war stance, but by the time I had left Baghdad five weeks later my views had changed drastically. I wouldn't say that I was exactly pro-war - no, I am ambivalent - but I have a strong desire to see Saddam removed.

We on the bus felt that we were sympathetic to the views of the Iraqi civilians, even though we didn't actually know any. The group was less interested in standing up for their rights than protesting against the US and UK governments.

I was shocked when I first met a pro-war Iraqi in Baghdad - a taxi driver taking me back to my hotel late at night. I explained that I was American and said, as we shields always did, "Bush bad, war bad, Iraq good". He looked at me with an expression of incredulity.

As he realised I was serious, he slowed down and started to speak in broken English about the evils of Saddam's regime. Until then I had only heard the President spoken of with respect, but now this guy was telling me how all of Iraq's oil money went into Saddam's pocket and that if you opposed him politically he would kill your whole family.

It scared the hell out of me. First I was thinking that maybe it was the secret police trying to trick me but later I got the impression that he wanted me to help him escape. I felt so bad. I told him: "Listen, I am just a schmuck from the United States, I am not with the UN, I'm not with the CIA - I just can't help you."

Of course I had read reports that Iraqis hated Saddam Hussein, but this was the real thing. Someone had explained it to me face to face. I told a few journalists who I knew. They said that this sort of thing often happened - spontaneous, emotional, and secretive outbursts imploring visitors to free them from Saddam's tyrannical Iraq.

I became increasingly concerned about the way the Iraqi regime was restricting the movement of the shields, so a few days later I left Baghdad for Jordan by taxi with five others. Once over the border we felt comfortable enough to ask our driver what he felt about the regime and the threat of an aerial bombardment.

"Don't you listen to Powell on Voice of America radio?" he said. "Of course the Americans don't want to bomb civilians. They want to bomb government and Saddam's palaces. We want America to bomb Saddam."

We just sat, listening, our mouths open wide. Jake, one of the others, just kept saying, "Oh my God" as the driver described the horrors of the regime. Jake was so shocked at how naive he had been. We all were. It hadn't occurred to anyone that the Iraqis might actually be pro-war.

The driver's most emphatic statement was: "All Iraqi people want this war." He seemed convinced that civilian casualties would be small; he had such enormous faith in the American war machine to follow through on its promises. Certainly more faith than any of us had.

Perhaps the most crushing thing we learned was that most ordinary Iraqis thought Saddam Hussein had paid us to come to protest in Iraq. Although we explained that this was categorically not the case, I don't think he believed us. Later he asked me: "Really, how much did Saddam pay you to come?"

It hit me on visceral and emotional levels: this was a real portrayal of Iraq life. After the first conversation, I completely rethought my view of the Iraqi situation. My understanding changed on intellectual, emotional, psychological levels. I remembered the experience of seeing Saddam's egomaniacal portraits everywhere for the past two weeks and tried to place

myself in the shoes of someone who had been subjected to seeing them every day for the last 20 or so years.

Last Thursday night I went to photograph the anti-war rally in Parliament Square. Thousands of people were shouting "No war" but without thinking about the implications for Iraqis. Some of them were drinking, dancing to Samba music and sparring with the police. It was as if the protesters were talking about a different country where the ruling government is perfectly acceptable. It really upset me.

Anyone with half a brain must see that Saddam has to be taken out. It is extraordinarily ironic that the anti-war protesters are marching to defend a government which stops its people exercising that freedom.

USWAR/Baghdad warns people not to leave city

Baghdad, March 25, IRNA -- The ruling Ba'ath Party of Iraq has warned its people not to evacuate the city or their houses and property or these would be confiscated by the government.
According to IRNA correspondents, the Baghdad government has announced that those who leave the city will not be allowed to return.
It further referred to the increasing number of bunkers that are being dug out all over the city including in streets, schools, governmental and non-governmental buildings and medical centers.
But the IRNA correspondents quoted a foreign reporter as saying the US-led coalition forces have set a number of days for civilians to leave Baghdad after which they will announce the city as a military region.
FM/LS
End

--

Lots of good reading...

1301. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 07:53:24 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <B4Tga.68$Z3.636@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 34317
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

As I expected, this idiot is hiding behind a bogus email address. So my question is, what does this have to do with police scanners?

Mark

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message news:8FFga.230027$F1.15567@sccrnsc04...
> A WARMONGER EXPLAINS WAR TO A PEACENIK By Bill Davidson
>
>
>
> PeaceNik: Why did you say we are invading Iraq?
>
>
>
> WarMonger: We are invading Iraq because it is in violation of Security
> Council resolution 1441. A country cannot be allowed to violate Security
> Council resolutions.
>
> PN: But I thought many of our allies, including Israel, were in violation
> of more security council resolutions than Iraq.
>
> WM: It's not just about UN resolutions. The main point is that Iraq could
> have weapons of mass destruction, and the first sign of a smoking gun could
> well be a mushroom cloud over New York.
>
> PN: Mushroom cloud? But I thought the weapons inspectors said Iraq had no
> nuclear weapons.
>
> WM: Yes, but biological and chemical weapons are the issue.
>
> PN: But I thought Iraq did not have any long range missiles for attacking
> us or our allies with such weapons.
>
> WM: The risk is not Iraq directly attacking us, but rather terrorist
> networks that Iraq could sell the weapons to.
>
> PN: But couldn't virtually any country sell chemical or biological
> materials? We sold quite a bit to Iraq in the Eighties ourselves, didn't we?

>
> WM: That's ancient history. Look, Saddam Hussein is an evil man that has
an
> undeniable track record of repressing his own people since the early
> Eighties. He gasses his enemies. Everyone agrees that he is a power-hungry
> lunatic murderer.
>
> PN: We sold chemical and biological materials to a power-hungry lunatic
> murderer?
>
> WM: The issue is not what we sold, but rather what Saddam did. He is the
> one that launched a pre-emptive first strike on Kuwait.
>
> PN: A pre-emptive first strike does sound bad. But didn't our ambassador
> to Iraq, April Glaspie, know about and green-light the invasion of Kuwait?
>
> WM: Let's deal with the present, shall we? As of today, Iraq could sell
its
> biological and chemical weapons to Al Qaida. Osama Bin Laden himself
> released an audio tape calling on Iraqis to suicide-attack us, proving a
> partnership between the two.
>
> PN: Osama Bin Laden? Wasn't the point of invading Afghanistan to kill
him?
>
> WM: Actually, it's not 100% certain that it's really Osama Bin Laden on
the
> tapes. But the lesson from the tape is the same: there could easily be a
> partnership between Al Qaida and Saddam Hussein unless we act.
>
> PN: Is this the same audio tape where Osama Bin Laden labels Saddam a
> secular infidel?
>
> WM: You're missing the point by just focusing on the tape. Powell
presented
> a strong case against Iraq.
>
> PN: He did?
>
> WM: Yes, he showed satellite pictures of an Al Qaida poison factory in
> Iraq.
>
> PN: But didn't that turn out to be a harmless shack in the part of Iraq
> controlled by the Kurdish opposition?
>
> WM: And a British intelligence report...
>
> PN: Didn't that turn out to be copied from an out-of-date graduate
student
> paper?

>
> WM: And reports of mobile weapons labs...
>
> PN: Weren't those just artistic renderings?
>
> WM: And reports of Iraqis scuttling and hiding evidence from
inspectors...
>
> PN: Wasn't that evidence contradicted by the chief weapons inspector,
Hans
> Blix?
>
> WM: Yes, but there is plenty of other hard evidence that cannot be
revealed
> because it would compromise our security.
>
> PN: So there is no publicly available evidence of weapons of mass
> destruction in Iraq?
>
> WM: The inspectors are not detectives, it's not their JOB to find
evidence.
> You're missing the point.
>
> PN: So what is the point?
>
> WM: The main point is that we are invading Iraq because Resolution 1441
> threatened "severe consequences." If we do not act, the Security Council
> will become an irrelevant debating society.
>
> PN: So the main point is to uphold the rulings of the Security Council?
>
> WM: Absolutely. ...unless it rules against us.
>
> PN: And what if it does rule against us?
>
> WM: In that case, we must lead a coalition of the willing to invade Iraq.
>
> PN: Coalition of the willing? Who's that?
>
> WM: Britain, Turkey, Bulgaria, Spain, and Italy, for starters.
>
> PN: I thought Turkey refused to help us unless we gave them tens of
> billions of dollars.
>
> WM: Nevertheless, they may now be willing.
>
> PN: I thought public opinion in all those countries was against war.
>
> WM: Current public opinion is irrelevant. The majority expresses its will
> by electing leaders to make decisions.

>
> PN: So it's the decisions of leaders elected by the majority that is
> important?
>
> WM: Yes.
>
> PN: But George Bush wasn't elected by voters. He was selected by the
U.S.
> Supreme C...
>
> WM: I mean, we must support the decisions of our leaders, however they
were
> elected, because they are acting in our best interest. This is about being
a
> patriot. That's the bottom line.
>
> PN: So if we do not support the decisions of the president, we are not
> patriotic?
>
> WM: I never said that.
>
> PN: So what are you saying? Why are we invading Iraq?
>
> WM: As I said, because there is a chance that they have weapons of mass
> destruction that threaten us and our allies.
>
> PN: But the inspectors have not been able to find any such weapons.
>
> WM: Iraq is obviously hiding them.
>
> PN: You know this? How?
>
> WM: Because we know they had the weapons ten years ago, and they are
still
> unaccounted for.
>
> PN: The weapons we sold them, you mean?
>
> WM: Precisely.
>
> PN: But I thought those biological and chemical weapons would degrade to
> an unusable state over ten years.
>
> WM: But there is a chance that some have not degraded.
>
> PN: So as long as there is even a small chance that such weapons exist,
we
> must invade?
>
> WM: Exactly.

>
> PN: But North Korea actually has large amounts of usable chemical,
> biological, AND nuclear weapons, AND long range missiles that can reach
the
> west coast AND it has expelled nuclear weapons inspectors, AND threatened
to
> turn America into a sea of fire.
>
> WM: That's a diplomatic issue.
>
> PN: So why are we invading Iraq instead of using diplomacy?
>
> WM: Aren't you listening? We are invading Iraq because we cannot allow
the
> inspections to drag on indefinitely. Iraq has been delaying, deceiving,
and
> denying for over ten years, and inspections cost us tens of millions.
>
> PN: But I thought war would cost us tens of billions.
>
> WM: Yes, but this is not about money. This is about security.
>
> PN: But wouldn't a pre-emptive war against Iraq ignite radical Muslim
> sentiments against us, and decrease our security?
>
> WM: Possibly, but we must not allow the terrorists to change the way we
> live. Once we do that, the terrorists have already won.
>
> PN: So what is the purpose of the Department of Homeland Security,
> color-coded terror alerts, and the Patriot Act? Don't these change the way
> we live?
>
> WM: I thought you had questions about Iraq.
>
> PN: I do. Why are we invading Iraq?
>
> WM: For the last time, we are invading Iraq because the world has called
on
> Saddam Hussein to disarm, and he has failed to do so. He must now face the
> consequences.
>
> PN: So, likewise, if the world called on us to do something, such as
find
> a peaceful solution, we would have an obligation to listen?
>
> WM: By "world", I meant the United Nations.
>
> PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the United Nations?
>
> WM: By "United Nations" I meant the Security Council.

>
> PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the Security Council?
>
> WM: I meant the majority of the Security Council.
>
> PN: So, we have an obligation to listen to the majority of the Security
> Council?
>
> WM: Well... there could be an unreasonable veto.
>
> PN: In which case?
>
> WM: In which case, we have an obligation to ignore the veto.
>
> PN: And if the majority of the Security Council does not support us at
> all?
>
> WM: Then we have an obligation to ignore the Security Council.
>
> PN: That makes no sense.
>
> WM: If you love Iraq so much, you should move there. Or maybe France,
with
> all the other cheese-eating surrender monkeys. It's time to boycott their
> wine and cheese, no doubt about that.
>
> PN: Here... have a pretzel, instead.But the international adventurism,
the
> conjuring up of enemies that areabout to destroy us, that's second nature,
> very familiar. They didn'tinventit, others have done the same thing,
others
> have done it in history buttheybecame masters of this art and are now
doing
> it again.Noam Chomsky2-04-2003
>
>
>
>
>
>

1302. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 08:02:04 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <0kTga.2743$0X.1261197@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34318
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:B4Tga.68$Z3.636@sooner.brightok.net...
> As I expected, this idiot is hiding behind a bogus email address. So my
> question is, what does this have to do with police scanners?
>
> Mark
>
> "Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
> news:8FFga.230027$F1.15567@sccrnsc04...
> > A WARMONGER EXPLAINS WAR TO A PEACENIK By Bill Davidson

<bilge snipped>

Well, nobody ELSE will listen to him - he has to have a captive audience. he
has my attention - in the KILL file...

1303. REFCOM MV2000D pre-amplifier schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 09:16:31 UTC
From: marcnews2003@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <f2d9ef2c.0303280116.7a9196c1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34319
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

Sorry this mail is in English for a German newsgroups as well, but the question
is about a pre-amplifier made in Germany. Hope you can help me.....

I have a pre-amplifier from the German brand 'REFCOM'.
The type is MV2000D. It's a broadband pre-amplifier (20-2000MHZ) with 5
selectable bandpass ranges (range is selected by a switch on the indoor unit).
The bandpass filters are built-in in the outdoor unit, before the antenna
signal is being amplified. I think it's a very good amplifier....
One of these bandpass ranges (500-2000 MHz) I want to modify now so that it covers a larger range (should be modified to 120-2000 MHz.)

I opened the case of the outdoor unit and it seems that the bandpass filters
are just built by putting a high pass filter and a low pass filter in series,
so that it forms a bandpass filter.
By looking how the components are placed it looks like Butterworth filters.
It seems that I only need to modify the HPF filter part of the
500-2000 MHz bandpass filter from 500MHz into 120 MHz, so that it covers 120-2000 MHz.
The filters are built by means of SMD components. The values of the inductors
are readable from the SMD components, but unfortunately not the values of
the capacitors :-(
All the capacitors look the same, but I reckon thay have different values.

Therefore I am looking for the schematic of this pre-aplifier. Does anybody know the company REFCOM in Germany? It doesn't seem to exist anymore (pre-amplifier is already quite some years old....)
What happened to REFCOM and is it possible at all to get the schematic?

Many thanks in advance,
Marc

1304. Re: Newbie quest about squelch modes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 10:16:39 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3E842107.92A3EE8@mitre.org>
Source record: 34320

Here's a source of information, it's at http://www.strongsignals.net

<http://www.strongsignals.net/access/content/new_user.html#SEC09>

The better scanner frequency list/books will have the CTCSS or DCS listed

along with the frequency and call sign. Sometimes a tone will be used
system wide and
only mentioned in text, describing the agency(s) that us it, This is so

it can be entered into radios capable of using the tone coded squelch
feature!

If you post or pass along ANY frequency information ,PLEASE include
the tone code(s)!
Some scanner radios DO NOT have the tone search feature, but can still
us the tone
along with the frequency, if the tone is known! Having the proper tone is
always a great help to folks with radio(s) using tone codes.

The tone codes are mostly used on FM in the land mobile bands. They
are usually not used
on the AM VHF aircraft or the VHF Marine bands.
Jim

Dustbunny wrote:

> I've gotten somewhat of a handle on trunk scanning with my BC250D for
> now, but was wondering how and when do you know when to use CTCSS or
> DCS for a given frequency. And how does that relate to the various
> scan modes available?
>
> Thanks for any tips or pointers.

1305. Re: REFCOM MV2000D pre-amplifier schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 10:29:09 UTC
From: Frank Hucklenbroich <Hucklenbroich01@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b6185b$n9mg$1@ID-151689.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34321
Cross-posted to: de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Marc" <marcnews2003@yahoo.com> schrieb

> Therefore I am looking for the schematic of this pre-aplifier. Does
> anybody know the company REFCOM in Germany? It doesn't seem to exist
> anymore (pre-amplifier is already quite some years old....)

Yes, I used to have a shortwave-active antenne from them, but unfortunately
they went bankrupt years ago.

> What happened to REFCOM and is it possible at all to get the
> schematic?

Sorry, can't help you with that.

Maybe you can contact Charly Hardt, he runs a shop in Remscheid /Germany, he
once repaired my Refcom-Antenna.

http://www.charly-hardt.de/

or e-mail:
chardt1025@aol.com

As I said, he is not associated with Refcom, but he did repair some of their
equipment, so maybe he can point you in the right direction.

Vy 73,

Frank

1306. Re: PRO-2066 on airband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 11:04:58 UTC
From: "Troy R." <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3e842c3f$0$11664$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 34322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
Thanks for your help.

"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:b5to85$6ea$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Then give me a few days and I will get back to you...I live on an approach
> route about 1/2 mile from an airport and should have no trouble testing
> w/various antennae.
>
>
> Troy R. <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3e80d943$0$9538$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > I am just after civil airband (108-137 MHz), in particular ACARS
> reception.
> >
> >
> > "Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > news:b5pim4$hno$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > I own a 2066 and I love it (for in my vehicle). I am not sure if it
> > receives
> > > what I assume you are asking to be MilAir (200-400MHz area), but if it
> > does
> > > I can test its performance for you. I will look into it and post the
> > > results.
> > >
> > > Troy R. <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
> > > news:3e7eec9f$0$5555$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > > > I am after a small base scanner for airband use. I can pick up a
used
> > > > PRO-2066 pretty cheaply. I was wondering how well this scanner
works
> on
> > > the
> > > > airband?
> > > >
> > > > Would a 2053 or a 2067 be a better option?
> > > >
> > > > Thanks all.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
```

>
>

1307. Re: TrunkTracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 11:53:45 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3E8437C8.78A04209@mitre.org>
Source record: 34323
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Go to http://www.strongsignals.net
and look under New users FAQ for info on trunking.
Then check out the trunking board, down the bottm are resources
to find out if any trunking systems are on the air, in town
and if any trunk tracker scanner radios can follow them!
Jim
radio wrote:

> Hello,
>
> I wish to ask if trunk systems only occupy 800Mhz or does it fall under
> other band of frequencies too?
>
> Thanks.

1308. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 12:21:13 UTC
From: willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
Message-ID: <b61eiv$1o2u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 34324

"MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote:
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>
>------=_NextPart_000_0069_01C2F4B4.0855DA50
>Content-Type: text/plain;
> charset="Windows-1252"
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
>
>Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I have a =
>bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have to find it =
>Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup tonight and I'm =
>fairly new to newsgroups too.
>
>Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get the most out =
>of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now to see and =
>find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to pick up =
>from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs from?
>
>--=20
>Lisha
>
Show us your tits!

-----
willy-nilly
willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
Planet Resettlement Project 13
-
What city did you say this was?
I'd like my e-MAIL cooked, thanks.

1309. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 13:02:33 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v88huh8qplspaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34325

Boy, your an ignorant ass aren't you!
PH

"Willy" <willycrawford@hotSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:b61eiv$1o2u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Show us your tits!
>
> -----
> willy-nilly
> willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
> Planet Resettlement Project 13
> -
> What city did you say this was?
> I'd like my e-MAIL cooked, thanks.
> -----

1310. Re: ARE SCANNERS HISTORY NOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 13:08:27 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030328080827.28094.00000014@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 34326

>i was just reading a article that says the police stations have redone
>the systems and the public will no longer be able to hear the police
>transmissions except for fire and ambulance,they have gone 800 mgh(did i
>say that right)i have been a scanner listener for 21 years..is there
>going to be a scanner made in the future where we can still hear the
>police?Susie

Scanners capable of listening to 800 mhz have been around for a long time.
Trunking scanners also exist to listen to trunked radio systems. There are even
scanners out there to listen to digital systems, although I am not sure how
well they work.

Many police departments are still on standard VHF frequencies, particularly
here in NJ. Most of the county north of me (Monmouth county) uses frequencies
in the 155 mhz range.

So, to answer your question, if you buy a scanner that has been manufacturered
in the last few years, there will still be plenty to listen to.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

1311. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 14:23:39 UTC
From: HankG <none@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <eEWdnR9BneVIxxmjXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 34327
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Paul Keenleyside" <nospambcpl8z@telus.net> wrote in message
news:QkKga.1570$M6.209197@news2.telusplanet.net...
>
> "Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
> news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> > Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> > intellectual people in the media?
>
> Rush Limbaugh is over-rated compared to the hosts we have here in Canada
> (particuarly Peter Warren). Peter
> Warren makes Limbaugh look like a wimp. The other prominent host in
Western
> Canada is Rafe Mair who
> is conservative but slimes any government he does not like, even
> conservative ones. He makes Rush Limbaugh
> look like a university radio station talk host. Both Warren and Mair have
> been around the block while Limbaugh
> still sat in front of the TV.
>
> Larry King and Aaron Brown (used to be ABC now CNN) is much better at it
> than this Limbaugh guy.

Larry King and Aaron Brown--you've got to be kidding.

>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.465 / Virus Database: 263 - Release Date: 3/25/03

1312. Re: WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 14:44:38 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3E845FD5.3CF5B342@iserv.net>
Source record: 34330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

GeorgeF wrote:

> John Simmons wrote:
> > DeWayne wrote:
> >
> >
> >>I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free phone
> >>number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's that
> >>for service?
> >>DeWayne K9KZ
> >
> >
> > What does this have to do with the war against Iraq?
> >
>
> Where is R&L? Website?

Can't you do a Google search George? You amaze me.

>
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com

1313. Re: WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 14:47:33 UTC
From: Al <al@aol.net>
Message-ID: <9gZga.3$yh1.2291@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 34331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Here you are George.
http://www.randl.com/

.
"N8KDV" <n8kdv@iserv.net> wrote in message
news:3E845FD5.3CF5B342@iserv.net...
>
>
> GeorgeF wrote:
>
> > John Simmons wrote:
> > > DeWayne wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >>I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free
phone
> > >>number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's
that
> > >>for service?
> > >>DeWayne K9KZ
> > >
> > >
> > > What does this have to do with the war against Iraq?
> > >
> >
> > Where is R&L? Website?
>
> Can't you do a Google search George? You amaze me.
>
> >
> >
> > George
> > http://www.MilAirComms.com
>

1314. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 14:49:21 UTC
From: MnMikeW <mnmikex1x@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b61nb7$rguu$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34332

Lisha, can you turn off your html when you post?
thanks

Welcome to the group!.

1315. WTB: Active Duck Amplified Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 14:52:04 UTC
From: rimb06@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6542c925.0303280652.429389cc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34333

If anyone has an "Active Duck Amplified" handheld antenna they want to sell, I'd love to buy it.

Please e-mail me at: rimb06@yahoo.com

Thanks,
RB

1316. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 14:52:52 UTC
From: MnMikeW <mnmikex1x@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b61nhq$tbjl$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34334
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> intellectual people in the media?
> I'd like to hear it!
> haha can't wait!
>

His followers are part of the media? Least intellectual, right behind you.
Put that bong down
hippie.

1317. MVT7100 or IC-R10 or ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 14:58:59 UTC
From: Phil Hawker <ppzph@unix.ccc.nottingham.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.21.0303281453460.23673-100000@granby.ccc.nottingham.ac.uk>
Source record: 34335

I'm thinking of buying an all mode scanner. Looking around the mvt7100 (old but good?) and the rather newer ic-r10 both seem to fit my requirements. Does anyone have experience of these two? I'd be grateful for you comments, particularly on rf performance. Or should I be considering other models/makes?

Any advice much appreciated.
Phil

1318. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 15:20:08 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030328102008.01323.00000029@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 34336

http://www.nhgazette.com/chickenhawks.html

1319. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 15:27:16 UTC
From: MrsCat1 <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <oRZga.13473$se1.6621286@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34328

Columbus, Ohio

Lisha
"Willy" <willycrawford@hotSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:b61eiv$1o2u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
"MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote:
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>
>------=_NextPart_000_0069_01C2F4B4.0855DA50
>Content-Type: text/plain;
> charset="Windows-1252"
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
>
>Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I have a =
>bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have to find it =
>Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup tonight and I'm =
>fairly new to newsgroups too.
>
>Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get the most out =
>of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now to see and =
>find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to pick up =
>from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs from?
>
>--=20
>Lisha
>
Show us your tits!

-----
willy-nilly
willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
Planet Resettlement Project 13
-
What city did you say this was?
I'd like my e-MAIL cooked, thanks.

1320. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 15:29:03 UTC
From: MrsCat1 <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <3TZga.13476$se1.6622061@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34329

Thanks Stephen
"Stephan W.-Larsen" <swl@c.dk> wrote in message
news:1al88vsra1j8e2pqgbq6qukoagbl8d2vpn@4ax.com...
On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 04:53:17 GMT, "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com>
wrote:

>Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I have a bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have to find it Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup tonight and I'm fairly new to newsgroups too.
>
>Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get the most out of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now to see and find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to pick up from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs from?

Lisha.

Take a look at www.strongsignals.net for general info of what is hot
and not

Best Regards / Med Venlig Hilsen
Stephan W.-Larsen

Listening to the World from Denmark.
Radio:NRD545G,HP3586A,ICR8500,WR-G303i/PD,UBC9000,AOR8200.
Antenna: Wellbrook ALA1530,Dressler ARA2000,Discone.
and Longwire plus various "testers"
Software: Radioraft 3.21,Spectrogram,SkySpy/Hammc. Argo & Spectran.

1321. Re: Beautiful Agreement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 15:50:42 UTC
From: internetstuff47129@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <39a30175.0303280750.df7274c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34338

Hi MrsCat,

Some of the lovely computer controlled scanners can be costly, but what costly is to me may be pennies to someone else. With my Pro-95 I can hook it up to the computer to program the scanner, but that's about as "computer controlled" as it gets, at least to my knowledge. Like Greg mentioned there are some that exist but I know my pocket book doesn't have the cash to buy one.

As far as how far you can pick up with a scanner, usually it's about 25-50 miles radius from your location, in other words, "line of site." I've heard some people say they can pick up other strange things depending on signals bouncing off the atmosphere, but I don't know too much about that myself as I am kinda new to this.

I'm posting this at 10:45am eastern time using google, so it probably won't show up until tonight. GRRRRR.... Sorry if it turns out this message will be pretty much what other responders say. I just don't see them as Google groups is slow as molasses!!! When I'm at home I use my Outlook Express to read news messages and it's instantaneous.

Have fun!

-Celt Chic (Scanner Newbie - always get second opinion!!!)

"MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:<qHQga.13256$se1.6486593@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> Thanks Greg are they exspensive? Sounds interesting. How far away can
> someone pick up stuff on these? I sure would like to get more involved
> with my scanner I am retired and home all day would be neat to have it
> here on the computer
>
> Lisha
> "grenner" <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3e83c796$0$83885$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> There are scanners that can be hooked to a computer and you can
> computer control them. There are also scanners like the Icom PCR 1000
> that need the computer to run. It is just a little black box that you
> serially connect to the computer and there is software that gives a
> radio look interface. Many scanners though like the Pro 95 can have the
> memory loaded by computer but cannot be operated by computer.
>
> Greg
> "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> news:ddQga.13242$se1.6473616@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> can a scanner be hooked to a computer and can you see what the freqs

> are on the computer is there sometype of software for that
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:mtMga.27984$ja4.1918122@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hubby and I are now happy as two peas in a pod. He's watching
> basketball on
> television and I'm sitting at the computer listening to my scanner
> through
> headphones. Awwwww.....peace at last! I don't have to hear
> basketball and
> he doesn't have to hear me whine about him not paying any
> attention to me!
> :) (And he doesn't have to hear the scanner.)
>
> Life is great, isn't it? :)
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>
> --

1322. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 16:26:48 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v88tudo0bun71b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34341

In Outlook Express, click the TOOLS buton, then at the bottom of that drop-down menu, choose OPTIONS. Click on the SEND tab after the options window opens. At the very bottom of that window, you will find, "News Sending Format." Click the button next to "Plain Text". Then click on OK and you should be all set...

PH

"MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:b__ga.13511$se1.6643102@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
What do I turn off and how

Lisha
"MnMikeW" <mnmikex1x@aol.com> wrote in message
news:b61nb7$rguu$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
Lisha, can you turn off your html when you post?
thanks

Welcome to the group!.

1323. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 16:44:55 UTC
From: MrsCat1 <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <b__ga.13511$se1.6643102@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34337

What do I turn off and how

Lisha
"MnMikeW" <mnmikex1x@aol.com> wrote in message
news:b61nb7$rguu$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
Lisha, can you turn off your html when you post?
thanks

Welcome to the group!.

1324. PRO-2026 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 16:51:59 UTC
From: Browning Mark FourA <sky.fourfourfour@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <pcv88vsk6q7e4745fh6sspi78krip2thim@4ax.com>
Source record: 34343

PRO-2026 FS

Non modifiable pro 2026 100 channel mobile scanner in decent shape.Has 800 mhz but no L201 for cell mod.20-148A but has the b board in it......Nice scanner works great 7 on a 10 scale for cosmetics - 10 on 10 operational,does the job,got another don't need - make me a decent offer.
remove <SPAMSUCKS> to reply

1325. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 17:05:06 UTC
From: MnMikeW <mnmikex1x@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b61v9o$vl0t$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34344

Looks like plain text to me, thanks Lisha. Welcome to the evil world of radios.
Scanners are like crack, one rock is never enough. :-)
Don't listen to Stacey, you'll end up wth shortwaves and ham radios before you
know it. :-)

"Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:Bu%ga.13518$se1.6649601@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Thanks again Stacey I had my scanner for many year and I started with a
> BC100 but never had a newsgroup to help me with knowing what to really do
> and stuff with it so I am glad I found this one last night. this is MrsCat
> I changed the setting to Lisha lol and I think I got the html off now I hope
> lol
>
> Lisha
> "Stacey Whaley" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:39a30175.0303280801.1377c607@posting.google.com...
> > Hi MrsCat,
> >
> > Nice to meet you and welcome aboard. I'm a recent newbie to the whole
> > scanner thing and I've been having a blast! Everyone here has been
> > very helpful. I'm sure you'll see this website pop up a million times
> > through everyone's emails and posts, but I'll give it to you anyway!
> > The best site I've seen so far is
> > http://www.cityfreq.com
> >
> > Also, go to http://www.google.com and type in your city, state, and
> > "scanner frequencies," and you'll get a lot of pages that will give
> > some frequencies that are active in your area. Another thing, Radio
> > Shack sells a book called "Police Call" that will list tons of
> > frequencies for your area as well, and I believe there is a CD that
> > comes with it. I myself only bought the CD as it was on clearance at
> > my local RS but it didn't come with the book. :(
> >
> > Enjoy!
> >
> > -Celt Chic (posting using google groups, so this message may take a
> > few hours to show up.)
> >
> > "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> news:<1zQga.13253$se1.6483017@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...

> > > Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I have a
> > > bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have to find
it
> > > Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup tonight and
I'm
> > > fairly new to newsgroups too.
> > >
> > > Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get the most
out
> > > of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now to see and
> > > find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to pick up
> > > from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs from?
>
>

1326. Re: Beautiful Agreement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 17:15:18 UTC
From: MrsCat1 <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <Gq%ga.13517$se1.6649537@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34339

Hi you can call me Lisha lol thanks for the info.

Lisha
"Stacey Whaley" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:39a30175.0303280750.df7274c@posting.google.com...
> Hi MrsCat,
>
> Some of the lovely computer controlled scanners can be costly, but
> what costly is to me may be pennies to someone else. With my Pro-95 I
> can hook it up to the computer to program the scanner, but that's
> about as "computer controlled" as it gets, at least to my knowledge.
> Like Greg mentioned there are some that exist but I know my pocket
> book doesn't have the cash to buy one.
>
> As far as how far you can pick up with a scanner, usually it's about
> 25-50 miles radius from your location, in other words, "line of site."
> I've heard some people say they can pick up other strange things
> depending on signals bouncing off the atmosphere, but I don't know too
> much about that myself as I am kinda new to this.
>
> I'm posting this at 10:45am eastern time using google, so it probably
> won't show up until tonight. GRRRRR.... Sorry if it turns out this
> message will be pretty much what other responders say. I just don't
> see them as Google groups is slow as molasses!!! When I'm at home I
> use my Outlook Express to read news messages and it's instantaneous.
>
> Have fun!
>
> -Celt Chic (Scanner Newbie - always get second opinion!!!)
>
>
> "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:<qHQga.13256$se1.6486593@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > Thanks Greg are they exspensive? Sounds interesting. How far away can
> > someone pick up stuff on these? I sure would like to get more involved
> > with my scanner I am retired and home all day would be neat to have it
> > here on the computer
> >
> > Lisha
> > "grenner" <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e83c796$0$83885$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> > There are scanners that can be hooked to a computer and you can
> > computer control them. There are also scanners like the Icom PCR 1000
> > that need the computer to run. It is just a little black box that you

> > serially connect to the computer and there is software that gives a
> > radio look interface. Many scanners though like the Pro 95 can have the
> > memory loaded by computer but cannot be operated by computer.
> >
> > Greg
> > "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> > news:ddQga.13242$se1.6473616@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > can a scanner be hooked to a computer and can you see what the freqs
> > are on the computer is there sometype of software for that
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:mtMga.27984$ja4.1918122@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Hubby and I are now happy as two peas in a pod. He's watching
> > basketball on
> > television and I'm sitting at the computer listening to my scanner
> > through
> > headphones. Awwwww.....peace at last! I don't have to hear
> > basketball and
> > he doesn't have to hear me whine about him not paying any
> > attention to me!
> > :) (And he doesn't have to hear the scanner.)
> >
> > Life is great, isn't it? :)
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
> >
> > --

1327. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 17:19:29 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <Bu%ga.13518$se1.6649601@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34340

Thanks again Stacey I had my scanner for many year and I started with a
BC100 but never had a newsgroup to help me with knowing what to really do
and stuff with it so I am glad I found this one last night. this is MrsCat
I changed the setting to Lisha lol and I think I got the html off now I hope
lol

Lisha
"Stacey Whaley" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:39a30175.0303280801.1377c607@posting.google.com...
> Hi MrsCat,
>
> Nice to meet you and welcome aboard. I'm a recent newbie to the whole
> scanner thing and I've been having a blast! Everyone here has been
> very helpful. I'm sure you'll see this website pop up a million times
> through everyone's emails and posts, but I'll give it to you anyway!
> The best site I've seen so far is
> http://www.cityfreq.com
>
> Also, go to http://www.google.com and type in your city, state, and
> "scanner frequencies," and you'll get a lot of pages that will give
> some frequencies that are active in your area. Another thing, Radio
> Shack sells a book called "Police Call" that will list tons of
> frequencies for your area as well, and I believe there is a CD that
> comes with it. I myself only bought the CD as it was on clearance at
> my local RS but it didn't come with the book. :(
>
> Enjoy!
>
> -Celt Chic (posting using google groups, so this message may take a
> few hours to show up.)
>
> "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:<1zQga.13253$se1.6483017@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I have a
> > bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have to find it
> > Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup tonight and I'm
> > fairly new to newsgroups too.
> >
> > Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get the most out
> > of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now to see and
> > find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to pick up
> > from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs from?

1328. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 17:25:16 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v891br8ilfqpaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34346

I have talked to the system administrator at Penn State, and suspect Willy will rue the juvenile comments.

"MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:oRZga.13473$se1.6621286@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
Columbus, Ohio

Lisha
"Willy" <willycrawford@hotSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:b61eiv$1o2u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
"MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote:
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>
>------=_NextPart_000_0069_01C2F4B4.0855DA50
>Content-Type: text/plain;
> charset="Windows-1252"
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
>
>Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I have a =
>bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have to find it =
>Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup tonight and I'm =
>fairly new to newsgroups too.
>
>Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get the most out =
>of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now to see and =
>find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to pick up =
>from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs from?
>
>--=20
>Lisha
>
Show us your tits!

-----
willy-nilly
willycrawford@hotSPAM.com
Planet Resettlement Project 13
-
What city did you say this was?
I'd like my e-MAIL cooked, thanks.

1329. Re: MVT7100 or IC-R10 or ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 17:31:56 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v891oahnrhll3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34247

I have both and the 7100 wins hands down. The r-10 is plagued with intermods
and the sensitivity leaves a lot to be desired.

I replaced the 600 mAh NiCads with 1800 NiMh and with normal listening I
recharge every other day..

Check the reviews of the two at http://strongsignals.com

Good luck!

"Phil Hawker" <ppzph@unix.ccc.nottingham.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:Pine.GSO.4.21.0303281453460.23673-100000@granby.ccc.nottingham.ac.uk...
> I'm thinking of buying an all mode scanner. Looking around the mvt7100
> (old but good?) and the rather newer ic-r10 both seem to fit my
> requirements. Does anyone have experience of these two? I'd be grateful
> for you comments, particularly on rf performance. Or should I be
> considering other models/makes?
>
> Any advice much appreciated.
> Phil
>
>

1330. Re: newbie trunking question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 17:36:14 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8920d9vm7t09e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34248

Trucking is the process where boxes and cargo are moved by large motor conveyances. ;>)

Trunking on the other hand is a method of distributing conversations over a group of frequencies. Each user has a group number and may jump from frequency to frequency during a conversation. A trunk tracker allows you to follow the conversation.

For more info that you can possible use check out http://trunktracker.com

"Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message news:cd0ha.13527$se1.6663398@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> This might sound like a stupid question but I never understood what trucking
> actually mean???
>
> Lisha
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:riRfa.27984$S%3.1644725@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Hi everyone,
> >
> > I'm sure everyone is going to sigh in boredom when they hear this
> > question, but I just bought a Pro-95 Scanner and I'm completely lost
> > to the whole trunking thing. I've read the manual three times, I've
> > checked out trunking web sites, and even read a site that pretty much
> > rewrote the manual. None of these help. I think my brain is in a
> > stage of rot. Can someone start me off from the beginning, say, by
> > teaching me how to program a basic Mot II trunking system in my
> > scanner? What all do I need to do? Please give it to me step by
> > step, and maybe then I can advance on to Edacs, and then possibly to
> > Mot I where it also requires fleet maps. They need a trunking book
> > for dummies because I really have no clue and would appreciate the
> > most basic help I can get.
> >
> > For example, here is a set of frequencies that is published on the
> > trunkedradio.net for Radioland in Floyds Knobs, IN. What would I do
> > with it starting from the beginning?
> >
> > System Name: Radioland 900Mhz (Indiana)
> > Location: Floyds Knobs, IN
> > County: Floyd
> > System Type: Motorola Type II Smartzone
> > Last Updated: 03-16-02 17:01
> > Hits: 14
> >

> > System Frequencies
> > Site Description Freqs
> > 001 Site-1 936.6875 936.7125 936.7250 936.7375 936.7500 937.6375
> > 937.6500 937.6625 937.6750 937.6875
> >
> > Thanks so much! Once I get the hang of this I know I could do
> > everything else on my own!
> >
> > Another question, do all of the trunked systems have to start on
> > channel 00 in the banks, or can I have multiple trunked systems in
> > the banks? I believe I can only have motorla in one band and edacs
> > in another, but can I have multiple systems in each bank? For
> > instance, since edacs must be in order, can I have 12 frequencies of
> > edacs starting from channel 400 going to channel 411, and then have
> > another edacs system starting from channel 412 to channel 430?
> >
> > Muchas gracias!
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1331. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 17:37:17 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <hL%ga.13522$se1.6654875@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34342

OK did that thanks for the help PH new to outlook express also lol

Lisha
"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
in message news:v88tudo0bun71b@corp.supernews.com...
> In Outlook Express, click the TOOLS buton, then at the bottom of that
> drop-down menu, choose OPTIONS. Click on the SEND tab after the options
> window opens. At the very bottom of that window, you will find, "News
> Sending Format." Click the button next to "Plain Text". Then click on OK
> and you should be all set...
>
> PH
>
>
>
> "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> news:b__ga.13511$se1.6643102@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> What do I turn off and how
>
> Lisha
> "MnMikeW" <mnmikex1x@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:b61nb7$rguu$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> Lisha, can you turn off your html when you post?
> thanks
>
> Welcome to the group!.
>
>

1332. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 17:49:31 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v892pa69r75r92@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34252

PCR 1000 is a fine receiver with a lot of features. I think you would really
enjoy it.

"Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:hC0ha.13534$se1.6669114@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> I told hubby I want a new scanner for my birthday which is in May so have
> plenty of time to find the right one. Now my question is which is the best
> to use to get thing over the computer. I usually have this thing on from
the
> time I get up (around 530) til I go to bed (which is about anytime around
10
> or later lol) I have it right in our living room the computer I mean lol
But
> I would like something I can hook up and find and hear on the computer but
> cheap lol Greg said something about Icom PCR1000 what else is there?
>
> Lisha
>
>
> "MnMikeW" <mnmikex1x@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:b61v9o$vl0t$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> > Looks like plain text to me, thanks Lisha. Welcome to the evil world of
> > radios.
> > Scanners are like crack, one rock is never enough. :-)
> > Don't listen to Stacey, you'll end up wth shortwaves and ham radios
before
> > you
> > know it. :-)
> >
> >
> > "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> > news:Bu%ga.13518$se1.6649601@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > Thanks again Stacey I had my scanner for many year and I started with
a
> > > BC100 but never had a newsgroup to help me with knowing what to really
> do
> > > and stuff with it so I am glad I found this one last night. this is
> > MrsCat
> > > I changed the setting to Lisha lol and I think I got the html off now
I
> > hope
> > > lol
> > >
> > > Lisha

> > > "Stacey Whaley" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:39a30175.0303280801.1377c607@posting.google.com...
> > > > Hi MrsCat,
> > > >
> > > > Nice to meet you and welcome aboard. I'm a recent newbie to the
whole
> > > > scanner thing and I've been having a blast! Everyone here has been
> > > > very helpful. I'm sure you'll see this website pop up a million
times
> > > > through everyone's emails and posts, but I'll give it to you anyway!
> > > > The best site I've seen so far is
> > > > http://www.cityfreq.com
> > > >
> > > > Also, go to http://www.google.com and type in your city, state, and
> > > > "scanner frequencies," and you'll get a lot of pages that will give
> > > > some frequencies that are active in your area. Another thing, Radio
> > > > Shack sells a book called "Police Call" that will list tons of
> > > > frequencies for your area as well, and I believe there is a CD that
> > > > comes with it. I myself only bought the CD as it was on clearance
at
> > > > my local RS but it didn't come with the book. :(
> > > >
> > > > Enjoy!
> > > >
> > > > -Celt Chic (posting using google groups, so this message may take a
> > > > few hours to show up.)
> > > >
> > > > "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> > > news:<1zQga.13253$se1.6483017@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > > Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I
have
> a
> > > > > bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have to
find
> > it
> > > > > Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup tonight
and
> > I'm
> > > > > fairly new to newsgroups too.
> > > > >
> > > > > Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get the
most
> > out
> > > > > of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now to see
> and
> > > > > find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to pick
up
> > > > > from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs from?
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
>
>

1333. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 17:59:02 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v893b58tomfb07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34255

As my daddy used to say, call me anything except late to supper... :>)

Good to have you here, and hope you have enjoyable days ahead with your scanner.

"Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message news:BP0ha.13540$se1.6673420@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Thanks Dr. Fred is that ok to call you that?!? I will look into it and see
> what I can find thanks again!
>
> Lisha
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v892pa69r75r92@corp.supernews.com...
> > PCR 1000 is a fine receiver with a lot of features. I think you would
> really
> > enjoy it.
> >
> > "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> > news:hC0ha.13534$se1.6669114@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > I told hubby I want a new scanner for my birthday which is in May so
> have
> > > plenty of time to find the right one. Now my question is which is the
> best
> > > to use to get thing over the computer. I usually have this thing on
from
> > the
> > > time I get up (around 530) til I go to bed (which is about anytime
> around
> > 10
> > > or later lol) I have it right in our living room the computer I mean
lol
> > But
> > > I would like something I can hook up and find and hear on the computer
> but
> > > cheap lol Greg said something about Icom PCR1000 what else is there?
> > >
> > > Lisha
> > >
> > >
> > > "MnMikeW" <mnmikex1x@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:b61v9o$vl0t$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> > > > Looks like plain text to me, thanks Lisha. Welcome to the evil world
> of
> > > > radios.

> > > > Scanners are like crack, one rock is never enough. :-)
> > > > Don't listen to Stacey, you'll end up wth shortwaves and ham radios
> > before
> > > > you
> > > > know it. :-)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:Bu%ga.13518$se1.6649601@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > Thanks again Stacey I had my scanner for many year and I started
> with
> > a
> > > > > BC100 but never had a newsgroup to help me with knowing what to
> really
> > > do
> > > > > and stuff with it so I am glad I found this one last night. this
is
> > > > MrsCat
> > > > > I changed the setting to Lisha lol and I think I got the html off
> now
> > I
> > > > hope
> > > > > lol
> > > > >
> > > > > Lisha
> > > > > "Stacey Whaley" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:39a30175.0303280801.1377c607@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > Hi MrsCat,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nice to meet you and welcome aboard. I'm a recent newbie to the
> > whole
> > > > > > scanner thing and I've been having a blast! Everyone here has
> been
> > > > > > very helpful. I'm sure you'll see this website pop up a million
> > times
> > > > > > through everyone's emails and posts, but I'll give it to you
> anyway!
> > > > > > The best site I've seen so far is
> > > > > > http://www.cityfreq.com
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Also, go to http://www.google.com and type in your city, state,
> and
> > > > > > "scanner frequencies," and you'll get a lot of pages that will
> give
> > > > > > some frequencies that are active in your area. Another thing,
> Radio
> > > > > > Shack sells a book called "Police Call" that will list tons of
> > > > > > frequencies for your area as well, and I believe there is a CD
> that
> > > > > > comes with it. I myself only bought the CD as it was on

clearance
> > at
> > > > > > my local RS but it didn't come with the book. :(
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Enjoy!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > -Celt Chic (posting using google groups, so this message may
take
> a
> > > > > > few hours to show up.)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:<1zQga.13253$se1.6483017@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > > > > Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I
> > have
> > > > a
> > > > > > > bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have
to
> > find
> > > > > it
> > > > > > > Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup
tonight
> > and
> > > > > I'm
> > > > > > > fairly new to newsgroups too.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get the
> > most
> > > > > out
> > > > > > > of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now to
> see
> > > > and
> > > > > > > find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to
pick
> > up
> > > > > > > from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs
> from?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1334. Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:09:02 UTC
From: Pointing North <PointingNorth@Bendover.com>
Message-ID: <2d0ha.243279$3D1.131698@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34256
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

NPR Commentary: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

March 13, 2003

Peter Freundlich

All right, let me see if I understand the logic of this correctly. We are going to ignore the United Nations in order to make clear to Saddam Hussein that the United Nations cannot be ignored. We're going to wage war to preserve the UN's ability to avert war . The paramount principle is that the UN's word must be taken seriously, and if we have to subvert its word to guarantee that it is, then by gum, we will. Peace is too important not to take up arms to defend. Am I getting this right?

Further, if the only way to bring democracy to Iraq is to vitiate the democracy of the Security Council, then we are honor-bound to do that too, because democracy, as we define it, is too important to be stopped by a little thing like democracy as they define it.

Also, in dealing with a man who brooks no dissension at home, we cannot afford dissension among ourselves. We must speak with one voice against Saddam Hussein's failure to allow opposing voices to be heard. We are sending our gathered might to the Persian Gulf to make the point that might does not make right, as Saddam Hussein seems to think it does. And we are twisting the arms of the opposition until it agrees to let us oust a regime that twists the arms of the opposition. We cannot leave in power a dictator who ignores his own people. And if our people, and people elsewhere in the world, fail to understand that, then we have no choice but to ignore them.

Listen. Don't misunderstand. I think it is a good thing that the members of the Bush administration seem to have been reading Lewis Carroll. I only wish someone had pointed out that "Alice in Wonderland" and "Through the Looking Glass" are meditations on paradox and puzzle and illogic and on the strangeness of things, not templates for foreign policy. It is amusing for the Mad Hatter to say something like, `We must make war on him because he is a threat to peace,' but not amusing for someone who actually commands an army to say that.

As a collector of laughable arguments, I'd be enjoying all this were it not for the fact that I know--we all know--that lives are going to be lost in what amounts to a freak, circular reasoning accident.

Peter Freundlich is a freelance journalist in New York .

1335. Re: newbie trunking question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:09:12 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <cd0ha.13527$se1.6663398@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34345

This might sound like a stupid question but I never understood what trucking actually mean???

Lisha
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:riRfa.27984$S%3.1644725@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi everyone,
>
> I'm sure everyone is going to sigh in boredom when they hear this
> question, but I just bought a Pro-95 Scanner and I'm completely lost
> to the whole trunking thing. I've read the manual three times, I've
> checked out trunking web sites, and even read a site that pretty much
> rewrote the manual. None of these help. I think my brain is in a
> stage of rot. Can someone start me off from the beginning, say, by
> teaching me how to program a basic Mot II trunking system in my
> scanner? What all do I need to do? Please give it to me step by
> step, and maybe then I can advance on to Edacs, and then possibly to
> Mot I where it also requires fleet maps. They need a trunking book
> for dummies because I really have no clue and would appreciate the
> most basic help I can get.
>
> For example, here is a set of frequencies that is published on the
> trunkedradio.net for Radioland in Floyds Knobs, IN. What would I do
> with it starting from the beginning?
>
> System Name: Radioland 900Mhz (Indiana)
> Location: Floyds Knobs, IN
> County: Floyd
> System Type: Motorola Type II Smartzone
> Last Updated: 03-16-02 17:01
> Hits: 14
>
> System Frequencies
> Site Description Freqs
> 001 Site-1 936.6875 936.7125 936.7250 936.7375 936.7500 937.6375
> 937.6500 937.6625 937.6750 937.6875
>
> Thanks so much! Once I get the hang of this I know I could do
> everything else on my own!
>
> Another question, do all of the trunked systems have to start on
> channel 00 in the banks, or can I have multiple trunked systems in
> the banks? I believe I can only have motorla in one band and edacs
> in another, but can I have multiple systems in each bank? For

> instance, since edacs must be in order, can I have 12 frequencies of
> edacs starting from channel 400 going to channel 411, and then have
> another edacs system starting from channel 412 to channel 430?
>
> Muchas gracias!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>
>
>

1336. Re: ARE SCANNERS HISTORY NOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:13:46 UTC
From: BABYJSMAMA@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1709-3E8490DA-19@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 34263

The scanner i have is a Bearcat 100 channel,12 band -800 mhz-BC 860 XLT , Twin Turbo..can trunked frquencies be put in this scanner ?Susie

Susie/Michigan-Mom of Jackie Harrison-born 1-11-80---killed 06-08-02 by drunk driver while riding her bike home from store-please vist her website and sign her guestbook -without music
http://community.webtv.net/BABYJSMAMA/DRUNKDRIVERSNEEDTO
(with music)
http://community.webtv.net/BABYJSMAMA/DRUNKDRIVERSNEEDTO0

1337. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:15:18 UTC
From: 0 <0@0.com>
Message-ID: <Wi0ha.241836$F1.45493@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 34257
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Look out Pointing North!.
Here comes the "You liberals are all alike" , programming from Rush Limbaugh and Hannity robot followers!!!

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@Bendover.com> wrote in message news:2d0ha.243279$3D1.131698@sccrnsc01...
> NPR Commentary: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq
>
> March 13, 2003
>
> Peter Freundlich
>
> All right, let me see if I understand the logic of this correctly. We
> are going to ignore the United Nations in order to make clear to
> Saddam Hussein that the United Nations cannot be ignored. We're going
> to wage war to preserve the UN's ability to avert war . The paramount
> principle is that the UN's word must be taken seriously, and if we
> have to subvert its word to guarantee that it is, then by gum, we
> will. Peace is too important not to take up arms to defend. Am I
> getting this right?
>
> Further, if the only way to bring democracy to Iraq is to vitiate the
> democracy of the Security Council, then we are honor-bound to do that
> too, because democracy, as we define it, is too important to be
> stopped by a little thing like democracy as they define it.
>
> Also, in dealing with a man who brooks no dissension at home, we
> cannot afford dissension among ourselves. We must speak with one
> voice against Saddam Hussein's failure to allow opposing voices to be
> heard. We are sending our gathered might to the Persian Gulf to make
> the point that might does not make right, as Saddam Hussein seems to
> think it does. And we are twisting the arms of the opposition until
> it agrees to let us oust a regime that twists the arms of the
> opposition. We cannot leave in power a dictator who ignores his own
> people. And if our people, and people elsewhere in the world, fail to
> understand that, then we have no choice but to ignore them.
>
> Listen. Don't misunderstand. I think it is a good thing that the
> members of the Bush administration seem to have been reading Lewis
> Carroll. I only wish someone had pointed out that "Alice in
> Wonderland" and "Through the Looking Glass" are meditations on
> paradox and puzzle and illogic and on the strangeness of things, not
> templates for foreign policy. It is amusing for the Mad Hatter to say

> something like, `We must make war on him because he is a threat to
> peace,' but not amusing for someone who actually commands an army to
> say that.
>
> As a collector of laughable arguments, I'd be enjoying all this were
> it not for the fact that I know--we all know--that lives are going to
> be lost in what amounts to a freak, circular reasoning accident.
>
> Peter Freundlich is a freelance journalist in New York .
>
>

1338. MICHIGAN CHANGING THE WAY WE LISTEN TO SCANNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:23:30 UTC
From: BABYJSMAMA@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1708-3E849322-121@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 34262

I had posted earlier about this..and wondered with my 100 channel..12 band -800mhz-BC 860XLT-Twin Turbo..how is it going to be affected..please read the following..... Civilian police scanners around Michigan are going silent, but not because crime has come to a stop.
The state's new law enforcement communications system will be up and running within two weeks. That means the old VHF system that people could pick up at home will be turned off.
Local fire departments and ambulance services will continue using the old system. But the new, 800-megahertz system will scramble central dispatch communications -- and won't be available to the general public.

Susie/Michigan-Mom of Jackie Harrison-born 1-11-80---killed 06-08-02 by drunk driver while riding her bike home from store-please vist her website and sign her guestbook -without music
http://community.webtv.net/BABYJSMAMA/DRUNKDRIVERSNEEDTO
(with music)
http://community.webtv.net/BABYJSMAMA/DRUNKDRIVERSNEEDTO0

1339. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:26:32 UTC
From: MnMikeW <mnmikex1x@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b6242d$uttk$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34258
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

NPR and illogical in the same sentence, how fitting.

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@Bendover.com> wrote in message
news:2d0ha.243279$3D1.131698@sccrnsc01...
> NPR Commentary: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq
>
> March 13, 2003
>
> Peter Freundlich
>
> All right, let me see if I understand the logic of this correctly. We
> are going to ignore the United Nations in order to make clear to
> Saddam Hussein that the United Nations cannot be ignored. We're going
> to wage war to preserve the UN's ability to avert war . The paramount
> principle is that the UN's word must be taken seriously, and if we
> have to subvert its word to guarantee that it is, then by gum, we
> will. Peace is too important not to take up arms to defend. Am I
> getting this right?
>
> Further, if the only way to bring democracy to Iraq is to vitiate the
> democracy of the Security Council, then we are honor-bound to do that
> too, because democracy, as we define it, is too important to be
> stopped by a little thing like democracy as they define it.
>
> Also, in dealing with a man who brooks no dissension at home, we
> cannot afford dissension among ourselves. We must speak with one
> voice against Saddam Hussein's failure to allow opposing voices to be
> heard. We are sending our gathered might to the Persian Gulf to make
> the point that might does not make right, as Saddam Hussein seems to
> think it does. And we are twisting the arms of the opposition until
> it agrees to let us oust a regime that twists the arms of the
> opposition. We cannot leave in power a dictator who ignores his own
> people. And if our people, and people elsewhere in the world, fail to
> understand that, then we have no choice but to ignore them.
>
> Listen. Don't misunderstand. I think it is a good thing that the
> members of the Bush administration seem to have been reading Lewis
> Carroll. I only wish someone had pointed out that "Alice in
> Wonderland" and "Through the Looking Glass" are meditations on
> paradox and puzzle and illogic and on the strangeness of things, not
> templates for foreign policy. It is amusing for the Mad Hatter to say
> something like, `We must make war on him because he is a threat to
> peace,' but not amusing for someone who actually commands an army to

> say that.
>
> As a collector of laughable arguments, I'd be enjoying all this were
> it not for the fact that I know--we all know--that lives are going to
> be lost in what amounts to a freak, circular reasoning accident.
>
> Peter Freundlich is a freelance journalist in New York .
>
>

1340. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:27:51 UTC
From: 0 <0@0.com>
Message-ID: <Hu0ha.241237$L1.67468@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34259
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

BINGO! There's the first one!

"MnMikeW" <mnmikex1x@aol.com> wrote in message
news:b6242d$uttk$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> NPR and illogical in the same sentence, how fitting.
>
> "Pointing North" <PointingNorth@Bendover.com> wrote in message
> news:2d0ha.243279$3D1.131698@sccrnsc01...
> > NPR Commentary: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq
> >
> > March 13, 2003
> >
> > Peter Freundlich
> >
> > All right, let me see if I understand the logic of this correctly. We
> > are going to ignore the United Nations in order to make clear to
> > Saddam Hussein that the United Nations cannot be ignored. We're going
> > to wage war to preserve the UN's ability to avert war . The paramount
> > principle is that the UN's word must be taken seriously, and if we
> > have to subvert its word to guarantee that it is, then by gum, we
> > will. Peace is too important not to take up arms to defend. Am I
> > getting this right?
> >
> > Further, if the only way to bring democracy to Iraq is to vitiate the
> > democracy of the Security Council, then we are honor-bound to do that
> > too, because democracy, as we define it, is too important to be
> > stopped by a little thing like democracy as they define it.
> >
> > Also, in dealing with a man who brooks no dissension at home, we
> > cannot afford dissension among ourselves. We must speak with one
> > voice against Saddam Hussein's failure to allow opposing voices to be
> > heard. We are sending our gathered might to the Persian Gulf to make
> > the point that might does not make right, as Saddam Hussein seems to
> > think it does. And we are twisting the arms of the opposition until
> > it agrees to let us oust a regime that twists the arms of the
> > opposition. We cannot leave in power a dictator who ignores his own
> > people. And if our people, and people elsewhere in the world, fail to
> > understand that, then we have no choice but to ignore them.
> >
> > Listen. Don't misunderstand. I think it is a good thing that the
> > members of the Bush administration seem to have been reading Lewis
> > Carroll. I only wish someone had pointed out that "Alice in
> > Wonderland" and "Through the Looking Glass" are meditations on

> > paradox and puzzle and illogic and on the strangeness of things, not
> > templates for foreign policy. It is amusing for the Mad Hatter to say
> > something like, `We must make war on him because he is a threat to
> > peace,' but not amusing for someone who actually commands an army to
> > say that.
> >
> > As a collector of laughable arguments, I'd be enjoying all this were
> > it not for the fact that I know--we all know--that lives are going to
> > be lost in what amounts to a freak, circular reasoning accident.
> >
> > Peter Freundlich is a freelance journalist in New York .
> >
> >
>
>

1341. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:29:55 UTC
From: 0 <0@0.com>
Message-ID: <Dw0ha.243391$3D1.131535@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34260
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Notice they have trouble grasping and arguing the issues, they just spit out
what they heard on the radio from the Rush and Hannity shows.
NPR, Liberals, all those words. That the've been using for 15 years.

"MnMikeW" <mnmikex1x@aol.com> wrote in message
news:b6242d$uttk$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> NPR and illogical in the same sentence, how fitting.
>
> "Pointing North" <PointingNorth@Bendover.com> wrote in message
> news:2d0ha.243279$3D1.131698@sccrnsc01...
> > NPR Commentary: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq
> >
> > March 13, 2003
> >
> > Peter Freundlich
> >
> > All right, let me see if I understand the logic of this correctly. We
> > are going to ignore the United Nations in order to make clear to
> > Saddam Hussein that the United Nations cannot be ignored. We're going
> > to wage war to preserve the UN's ability to avert war . The paramount
> > principle is that the UN's word must be taken seriously, and if we
> > have to subvert its word to guarantee that it is, then by gum, we
> > will. Peace is too important not to take up arms to defend. Am I
> > getting this right?
> >
> > Further, if the only way to bring democracy to Iraq is to vitiate the
> > democracy of the Security Council, then we are honor-bound to do that
> > too, because democracy, as we define it, is too important to be
> > stopped by a little thing like democracy as they define it.
> >
> > Also, in dealing with a man who brooks no dissension at home, we
> > cannot afford dissension among ourselves. We must speak with one
> > voice against Saddam Hussein's failure to allow opposing voices to be
> > heard. We are sending our gathered might to the Persian Gulf to make
> > the point that might does not make right, as Saddam Hussein seems to
> > think it does. And we are twisting the arms of the opposition until
> > it agrees to let us oust a regime that twists the arms of the
> > opposition. We cannot leave in power a dictator who ignores his own
> > people. And if our people, and people elsewhere in the world, fail to
> > understand that, then we have no choice but to ignore them.
> >
> > Listen. Don't misunderstand. I think it is a good thing that the
> > members of the Bush administration seem to have been reading Lewis

> > Carroll. I only wish someone had pointed out that "Alice in
> > Wonderland" and "Through the Looking Glass" are meditations on
> > paradox and puzzle and illogic and on the strangeness of things, not
> > templates for foreign policy. It is amusing for the Mad Hatter to say
> > something like, `We must make war on him because he is a threat to
> > peace,' but not amusing for someone who actually commands an army to
> > say that.
> >
> > As a collector of laughable arguments, I'd be enjoying all this were
> > it not for the fact that I know--we all know--that lives are going to
> > be lost in what amounts to a freak, circular reasoning accident.
> >
> > Peter Freundlich is a freelance journalist in New York .
> >
> >
>
>

1342. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:33:24 UTC
From: 0 <0@0.com>
Message-ID: <Uz0ha.243415$3D1.131860@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34261
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My dentist replaced his Dentist office Music with Rush limbaugh. That tells you how bad things are getting.

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> intellectual people in the media?
> I'd like to hear it!
> haha can't wait!
>
>

1343. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:35:57 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <hC0ha.13534$se1.6669114@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34249

I told hubby I want a new scanner for my birthday which is in May so have plenty of time to find the right one. Now my question is which is the best to use to get thing over the computer. I usually have this thing on from the time I get up (around 530) til I go to bed (which is about anytime around 10 or later lol) I have it right in our living room the computer I mean lol But I would like something I can hook up and find and hear on the computer but cheap lol Greg said something about Icom PCR1000 what else is there?

Lisha

"MnMikeW" <mnmikex1x@aol.com> wrote in message
news:b61v9o$vl0t$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> Looks like plain text to me, thanks Lisha. Welcome to the evil world of
> radios.
> Scanners are like crack, one rock is never enough. :-)
> Don't listen to Stacey, you'll end up wth shortwaves and ham radios before
> you
> know it. :-)
>
>
> "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> news:Bu%ga.13518$se1.6649601@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > Thanks again Stacey I had my scanner for many year and I started with a
> > BC100 but never had a newsgroup to help me with knowing what to really
do
> > and stuff with it so I am glad I found this one last night. this is
> MrsCat
> > I changed the setting to Lisha lol and I think I got the html off now I
> hope
> > lol
> >
> > Lisha
> > "Stacey Whaley" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:39a30175.0303280801.1377c607@posting.google.com...
> > > Hi MrsCat,
> > >
> > > Nice to meet you and welcome aboard. I'm a recent newbie to the whole
> > > scanner thing and I've been having a blast! Everyone here has been
> > > very helpful. I'm sure you'll see this website pop up a million times
> > > through everyone's emails and posts, but I'll give it to you anyway!
> > > The best site I've seen so far is
> > > http://www.cityfreq.com
> > >
> > > Also, go to http://www.google.com and type in your city, state, and
> > > "scanner frequencies," and you'll get a lot of pages that will give

> > > some frequencies that are active in your area. Another thing, Radio
> > > Shack sells a book called "Police Call" that will list tons of
> > > frequencies for your area as well, and I believe there is a CD that
> > > comes with it. I myself only bought the CD as it was on clearance at
> > > my local RS but it didn't come with the book. :(
> > >
> > > Enjoy!
> > >
> > > -Celt Chic (posting using google groups, so this message may take a
> > > few hours to show up.)
> > >
> > > "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> > news:<1zQga.13253$se1.6483017@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I have
a
> > > > bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have to find
> it
> > > > Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup tonight and
> I'm
> > > > fairly new to newsgroups too.
> > > >
> > > > Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get the most
> out
> > > > of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now to see
and
> > > > find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to pick up
> > > > from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs from?
> >
> >
>
>

1344. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:40:00 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <4G0ha.13536$se1.6670471@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34250

Dr. Fred I didn't even notice it till someone else said something thanks

Lisha
"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v891br8ilfqpaf@corp.supernews.com...
I have talked to the system administrator at Penn State, and suspect Willy
will rue the juvenile comments.

"MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:oRZga.13473$se1.6621286@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
Columbus, Ohio

Lisha
"Willy" <willycrawford@hotSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:b61eiv$1o2u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
"MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote:
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>
>------=_NextPart_000_0069_01C2F4B4.0855DA50
>Content-Type: text/plain;
> charset="Windows-1252"
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
>
>Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I have a =
>bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have to find it
=
>Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup tonight and
I'm =
>fairly new to newsgroups too.
>
>Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get the most out
=
>of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now to see and
=
>find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to pick up =
>from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs from?
>
>--=20
>Lisha
>
Show us your tits!

-----
willy-nilly
willycrawford@hotSPAM.com

Planet Resettlement Project 13
-
What city did you say this was?
I'd like my e-MAIL cooked, thanks.

1345. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:41:21 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <lH0ha.13537$se1.6671012@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34251
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Nextell with walkie talkie so does my hubby we just got them lol
maybe I can try it with my scanner but not sure how to start

Lisha
"ThisIsMyName" <sheck5001@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:m0qfa.4396$Ad2.2515110@nnrp1.ptd.net...
> "AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote in
> news:QGmfa.190692$eG2.26301@sccrnsc03:
>
> > I think it makes it easy if you can scan from 88 - 108, and block
> out
> > all the FM radio stations in your scanner - then you are in the
> clear!
>
>
> Along this line of thinking... What about the new cell phones with
> that 'walkie-talkie' feature? I'm just curious as to how that
> function works. Are they on normal cell frequencies or do they use
> an FRS channel or something like that? Does listening to someone
> using a phone's walkie-talkie feature constitute 'cellular
> eavesdropping'?
>
> If it happens to be that the cell phones are using common frequencies
> for that feature, I wonder if the companies are telling their
> customers that it is 'safe and private'.
>

1346. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:50:09 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <BP0ha.13540$se1.6673420@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34253

Thanks Dr. Fred is that ok to call you that?!? I will look into it and see what I can find thanks again!

Lisha

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message news:v892pa69r75r92@corp.supernews.com...
> PCR 1000 is a fine receiver with a lot of features. I think you would really
> enjoy it.
>
> "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> news:hC0ha.13534$se1.6669114@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > I told hubby I want a new scanner for my birthday which is in May so have
> > plenty of time to find the right one. Now my question is which is the best
> > to use to get thing over the computer. I usually have this thing on from
> the
> > time I get up (around 530) til I go to bed (which is about anytime around
> 10
> > or later lol) I have it right in our living room the computer I mean lol
> But
> > I would like something I can hook up and find and hear on the computer but
> > cheap lol Greg said something about Icom PCR1000 what else is there?
> >
> > Lisha
> >
> >
> > "MnMikeW" <mnmikex1x@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:b61v9o$vl0t$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> > > Looks like plain text to me, thanks Lisha. Welcome to the evil world of
> > > radios.
> > > Scanners are like crack, one rock is never enough. :-)
> > > Don't listen to Stacey, you'll end up wth shortwaves and ham radios
> before
> > > you
> > > know it. :-)
> > >
> > >
> > > "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> > > news:Bu%ga.13518$se1.6649601@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...

> > > > Thanks again Stacey I had my scanner for many year and I started
with
> a
> > > > BC100 but never had a newsgroup to help me with knowing what to
really
> > do
> > > > and stuff with it so I am glad I found this one last night. this is
> > > MrsCat
> > > > I changed the setting to Lisha lol and I think I got the html off
now
> I
> > > hope
> > > > lol
> > > >
> > > > Lisha
> > > > "Stacey Whaley" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:39a30175.0303280801.1377c607@posting.google.com...
> > > > > Hi MrsCat,
> > > > >
> > > > > Nice to meet you and welcome aboard. I'm a recent newbie to the
> whole
> > > > > scanner thing and I've been having a blast! Everyone here has
been
> > > > > very helpful. I'm sure you'll see this website pop up a million
> times
> > > > > through everyone's emails and posts, but I'll give it to you
anyway!
> > > > > The best site I've seen so far is
> > > > > http://www.cityfreq.com
> > > > >
> > > > > Also, go to http://www.google.com and type in your city, state,
and
> > > > > "scanner frequencies," and you'll get a lot of pages that will
give
> > > > > some frequencies that are active in your area. Another thing,
Radio
> > > > > Shack sells a book called "Police Call" that will list tons of
> > > > > frequencies for your area as well, and I believe there is a CD
that
> > > > > comes with it. I myself only bought the CD as it was on clearance
> at
> > > > > my local RS but it didn't come with the book. :(
> > > > >
> > > > > Enjoy!
> > > > >
> > > > > -Celt Chic (posting using google groups, so this message may take
a
> > > > > few hours to show up.)
> > > > >
> > > > > "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message

> > > > news:<1zQga.13253$se1.6483017@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > > > Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I
> have
> > a
> > > > > > bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have to
> find
> > > it
> > > > > > Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup tonight
> and
> > > I'm
> > > > > > fairly new to newsgroups too.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get the
> most
> > > out
> > > > > > of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now to
see
> > and
> > > > > > find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to pick
> up
> > > > > > from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs
from?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1347. Re: newbie trunking question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 18:54:53 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <1U0ha.13542$se1.6674352@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34254

Thanks again that helped alot will read it better later I have over 1100
post to look at on here but I'm enjoying it lol

Lisha
"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v8920d9vm7t09e@corp.supernews.com...
> Trucking is the process where boxes and cargo are moved by large motor
> conveyances. ;>)
>
> Trunking on the other hand is a method of distributing conversations over
a
> group of frequencies. Each user has a group number and may jump from
> frequency to frequency during a conversation. A trunk tracker allows you
to
> follow the conversation.
>
> For more info that you can possible use check out http://trunktracker.com
>
> "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> news:cd0ha.13527$se1.6663398@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > This might sound like a stupid question but I never understood what
> trucking
> > actually mean???
> >
> > Lisha
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:riRfa.27984$S%3.1644725@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Hi everyone,
> > >
> > > I'm sure everyone is going to sigh in boredom when they hear this
> > > question, but I just bought a Pro-95 Scanner and I'm completely lost
> > > to the whole trunking thing. I've read the manual three times, I've
> > > checked out trunking web sites, and even read a site that pretty much
> > > rewrote the manual. None of these help. I think my brain is in a
> > > stage of rot. Can someone start me off from the beginning, say, by
> > > teaching me how to program a basic Mot II trunking system in my
> > > scanner? What all do I need to do? Please give it to me step by
> > > step, and maybe then I can advance on to Edacs, and then possibly to
> > > Mot I where it also requires fleet maps. They need a trunking book
> > > for dummies because I really have no clue and would appreciate the
> > > most basic help I can get.
> > >
> > > For example, here is a set of frequencies that is published on the
> > > trunkedradio.net for Radioland in Floyds Knobs, IN. What would I do

> > > with it starting from the beginning?
> > >
> > > System Name: Radioland 900Mhz (Indiana)
> > > Location: Floyds Knobs, IN
> > > County: Floyd
> > > System Type: Motorola Type II Smartzone
> > > Last Updated: 03-16-02 17:01
> > > Hits: 14
> > >
> > > System Frequencies
> > > Site Description Freqs
> > > 001 Site-1 936.6875 936.7125 936.7250 936.7375 936.7500 937.6375
> > > 937.6500 937.6625 937.6750 937.6875
> > >
> > > Thanks so much! Once I get the hang of this I know I could do
> > > everything else on my own!
> > >
> > > Another question, do all of the trunked systems have to start on
> > > channel 00 in the banks, or can I have multiple trunked systems in
> > > the banks? I believe I can only have motorla in one band and edacs
> > > in another, but can I have multiple systems in each bank? For
> > > instance, since edacs must be in order, can I have 12 frequencies of
> > > edacs starting from channel 400 going to channel 411, and then have
> > > another edacs system starting from channel 412 to channel 430?
> > >
> > > Muchas gracias!
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1348. Re: Any Live LAPD Audio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 19:00:58 UTC
From: JvE <spotter@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <b6264c$e8j$1@reader1.tiscali.nl>
Source record: 34264

JT,
If you could not see the button it means that you don't have a flash plugin.

JvE

"JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> schreef in bericht
news:hjsga.244270$6b3.715986@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Didn't see it on the website, but I think I am getting the audio from the
> cut and paste you suggested. Thanks.
>
> JT
> "JvE" <spotter@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:b5t81jrgn1@reader1.tiscali.nl...
> > JT,
> > Open in windows media player:
> > mms://64.89.232.178/scanner
> >
> > Or go to:
> >
> > http://home.tiscali.nl/~johnnye/index.html
> > And Click on the left,
> > US online radioscanner
> >
> > JvE
> >
> >
> >
> > "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> schreef in bericht
> > news:9q0ga.233639$sf5.147356@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > Are there any sites up that are broadcasting the digital audio for
LAPD?
> I
> > > have been looking without any luck.
> > >
> > > Thanks.
> > >
> > > JT
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1349. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 19:49:02 UTC
From: Tad Early <fenwick_island@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b628v8lld1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 34267
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"0" <0@0.com> wrote in message
news:Dw0ha.243391$3D1.131535@sccrnsc01...
> Notice they have trouble grasping and arguing the issues, they just
spit out
> what they heard on the radio from the Rush and Hannity shows.
> NPR, Liberals, all those words. That the've been using for 15 years.

Do I get labeled a dittohead if I point out to both you and "Pointing
North" that this isn't a "war in Iraq" newsgroup? Or is reading
comprehension just not your strong suit?

1350. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 19:49:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E84A730.5010306@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 34280
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:
>>I was shocked when I first met a pro-war Iraqi in Baghdad - a taxi driver
>>taking me back to my hotel late at night. I explained that I was American
>>and said, as we shields always did, "Bush bad, war bad, Iraq good". He
>>looked at me with an expression of incredulity.
>>
>>As he realised I was serious, he slowed down and started to speak in broken
>>English about the evils of Saddam's regime.
>
>
> Interesting how a cab driver in Iraq (a NON-English speaking country) knows how
> to speak English when most cab drivers in the USA don't know how to speak
> English, don't you think?
>
>
>>"Don't you listen to Powell on Voice of America radio?" he said. "Of course
>>the Americans don't want to bomb civilians. They want to bomb government and
>>Saddam's palaces. We want America to bomb Saddam."
>
>
> Not only do Iraqis know how to speak English, they listen to the VOA too.

Are you really so stupid to think that the VOA only broadcasts in
English?

>
> Why is it all the real Iraqis statements against the US have to be translated
> into English first, but all the fictional Iraqis that hate Saddam all know how
> to speak English when a giant portion of people in the USA don't know how to
> speak English?
>
> If this cab driver really existed, Saddam would not have had 100% of the
> people's votes like he did.

I guess when your name is the only one on the ballot it hard not
to get 100% of the votes. Are you for real?

1351. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 19:54:06 UTC
From: MnMikeW <mnmikex1x@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b6296j$11qf5$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 34268
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've listened to NPR, talk about trouble grasping and arguing the issues.
And don't even get me started on that Garrision Keillor loser.

"0" <0@0.com> wrote in message news:Dw0ha.243391$3D1.131535@sccrnsc01...
> Notice they have trouble grasping and arguing the issues, they just spit
out
> what they heard on the radio from the Rush and Hannity shows.
> NPR, Liberals, all those words. That the've been using for 15 years.
>
> "MnMikeW" <mnmikex1x@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:b6242d$uttk$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> > NPR and illogical in the same sentence, how fitting.
> >
> > "Pointing North" <PointingNorth@Bendover.com> wrote in message
> > news:2d0ha.243279$3D1.131698@sccrnsc01...
> > > NPR Commentary: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq
> > >
> > > March 13, 2003
> > >
> > > Peter Freundlich
> > >
> > > All right, let me see if I understand the logic of this correctly. We
> > > are going to ignore the United Nations in order to make clear to
> > > Saddam Hussein that the United Nations cannot be ignored. We're going
> > > to wage war to preserve the UN's ability to avert war . The paramount
> > > principle is that the UN's word must be taken seriously, and if we
> > > have to subvert its word to guarantee that it is, then by gum, we
> > > will. Peace is too important not to take up arms to defend. Am I
> > > getting this right?
> > >
> > > Further, if the only way to bring democracy to Iraq is to vitiate the
> > > democracy of the Security Council, then we are honor-bound to do that
> > > too, because democracy, as we define it, is too important to be
> > > stopped by a little thing like democracy as they define it.
> > >
> > > Also, in dealing with a man who brooks no dissension at home, we
> > > cannot afford dissension among ourselves. We must speak with one
> > > voice against Saddam Hussein's failure to allow opposing voices to be
> > > heard. We are sending our gathered might to the Persian Gulf to make
> > > the point that might does not make right, as Saddam Hussein seems to
> > > think it does. And we are twisting the arms of the opposition until
> > > it agrees to let us oust a regime that twists the arms of the
> > > opposition. We cannot leave in power a dictator who ignores his own

> > > people. And if our people, and people elsewhere in the world, fail to
> > > understand that, then we have no choice but to ignore them.
> > >
> > > Listen. Don't misunderstand. I think it is a good thing that the
> > > members of the Bush administration seem to have been reading Lewis
> > > Carroll. I only wish someone had pointed out that "Alice in
> > > Wonderland" and "Through the Looking Glass" are meditations on
> > > paradox and puzzle and illogic and on the strangeness of things, not
> > > templates for foreign policy. It is amusing for the Mad Hatter to say
> > > something like, `We must make war on him because he is a threat to
> > > peace,' but not amusing for someone who actually commands an army to
> > > say that.
> > >
> > > As a collector of laughable arguments, I'd be enjoying all this were
> > > it not for the fact that I know--we all know--that lives are going to
> > > be lost in what amounts to a freak, circular reasoning accident.
> > >
> > > Peter Freundlich is a freelance journalist in New York .
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1352. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 19:59:44 UTC
From: Tad Early <fenwick_island@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b629j9nbp1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 34269
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Tad Early" <fenwick_island@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b628v8lld1@bob.news.rcn.net...
>
> "0" <0@0.com> wrote in message
> news:Dw0ha.243391$3D1.131535@sccrnsc01...
> > Notice they have trouble grasping and arguing the issues, they
just
> spit out
> > what they heard on the radio from the Rush and Hannity shows.
> > NPR, Liberals, all those words. That the've been using for 15
years.
>
> Do I get labeled a dittohead if I point out to both you and
"Pointing
> North" that this isn't a "war in Iraq" newsgroup? Or is reading
> comprehension just not your strong suit?
>

Listen, let me apologize for mischaracterizing you in my earlier
post--I was in a hurry. If I'd had to time to check out what should
have been obvious--that you're one guy pathetically supporting your
own post out of insecurity--I wouldn't have suggested that there were
two of you. Again, sorry for the mistake.

1353. Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 20:07:55 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb89ast.115.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 34270

According to slashdot:
http://yro.slashdot.org/article.pl?sid=03/03/28/1541230

There is a bill on the way that will make it illegal to
tamper with or steal communications services. But early
on in it is also says it will be illegal to use a communications
service without the authorization from the provider.

This is where I see scanning, becoming illegal in:
MA, TX, SC, FL, GA, AK, TN, and CO

Anybody else here who as any views on this ?
--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

1354. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 20:08:57 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb89aus.115.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 34271
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
No Saddam = No War
```

1355. Re: Beautiful Agreement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 20:17:50 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <Y52ha.24512$lf3.696223@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 34272

I'm sure it's much the same for us scanner geeks out here. LOL

"Rick Colombo" <Colombo@Ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:3E83C7BC.9070300@Ameritech.net...
> That's too funny ;-) It's exactly the opposite of what me and my SO are
> doing, but I feel the same way... She sits {brain-dead} in front of the
> TV) all day and me, I goof around on the 'puter all day/work and all
> night/play... Life is Good :-)
>
> ---
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.465 / Virus Database: 263 - Release Date: 25/03/2003

1356. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 20:21:59 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <H92ha.13579$se1.6697085@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34265

Sure Stephan you paying for it lol
<winks at Stephan>

Lisha

"Stephan W.-Larsen" <swl@c.dk> wrote in message
news:gd398vocbame9v1enn4tcgrjtkbncr9sco@4ax.com...
> Lisha
>
> Tell your hubby to get you a Icom R-8500...if he really loves you
> ...<evil grin>
>
>
>
> that said the icom PCR 1000 (which i used to own) is a nice and well
> build external blackbox.
>
> Be sure to "not" use the native software...it looks good but lacks
> lot..get TalkPCR for the icom.
>
> On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 18:35:57 GMT, "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote:
>
> >I told hubby I want a new scanner for my birthday which is in May so have
> >plenty of time to find the right one. Now my question is which is the
best
> >to use to get thing over the computer. I usually have this thing on from
the
> >time I get up (around 530) til I go to bed (which is about anytime around
10
> >or later lol) I have it right in our living room the computer I mean lol
But
> >I would like something I can hook up and find and hear on the computer
but
> >cheap lol Greg said something about Icom PCR1000 what else is there?
> >
> >Lisha
> >
> >
> >"MnMikeW" <mnmikex1x@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:b61v9o$vl0t$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> >> Looks like plain text to me, thanks Lisha. Welcome to the evil world of
> >> radios.
> >> Scanners are like crack, one rock is never enough. :-)
> >> Don't listen to Stacey, you'll end up wth shortwaves and ham radios
before

> >> you
> >> know it. :-)
> >>
> >>
> >> "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> >> news:Bu%ga.13518$se1.6649601@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> >> > Thanks again Stacey I had my scanner for many year and I started with
a
> >> > BC100 but never had a newsgroup to help me with knowing what to
really
> >do
> >> > and stuff with it so I am glad I found this one last night. this is
> >> MrsCat
> >> > I changed the setting to Lisha lol and I think I got the html off now
I
> >> hope
> >> > lol
> >> >
> >> > Lisha
> >> > "Stacey Whaley" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:39a30175.0303280801.1377c607@posting.google.com...
> >> > > Hi MrsCat,
> >> > >
> >> > > Nice to meet you and welcome aboard. I'm a recent newbie to the
whole
> >> > > scanner thing and I've been having a blast! Everyone here has been
> >> > > very helpful. I'm sure you'll see this website pop up a million
times
> >> > > through everyone's emails and posts, but I'll give it to you
anyway!
> >> > > The best site I've seen so far is
> >> > > http://www.cityfreq.com
> >> > >
> >> > > Also, go to http://www.google.com and type in your city, state, and
> >> > > "scanner frequencies," and you'll get a lot of pages that will give
> >> > > some frequencies that are active in your area. Another thing,
Radio
> >> > > Shack sells a book called "Police Call" that will list tons of
> >> > > frequencies for your area as well, and I believe there is a CD that
> >> > > comes with it. I myself only bought the CD as it was on clearance
at
> >> > > my local RS but it didn't come with the book. :(
> >> > >
> >> > > Enjoy!
> >> > >
> >> > > -Celt Chic (posting using google groups, so this message may take a
> >> > > few hours to show up.)
> >> > >
> >> > > "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:<1zQga.13253$se1.6483017@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...

> >> > > > Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I
have
> >a
> >> > > > bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have to
find
> >> it
> >> > > > Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup tonight
and
> >> I'm
> >> > > > fairly new to newsgroups too.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get the
most
> >> out
> >> > > > of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now to
see
> >and
> >> > > > find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to pick
up
> >> > > > from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs
from?
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> Best Regards / Med Venlig Hilsen
> Stephan W.-Larsen
> --
> Listening to the World from Denmark.
> Radio:NRD545G,HP3586A,ICR8500,WR-G303i/PD,UBC9000,AOR8200.
> Antenna: Wellbrook ALA1530,Dressler ARA2000,Discone.
> and Longwire plus various "testers"
> Software: Radioraft 3.21,Spectrogram,SkySpy/Hammc. Argo & Spectran.

1357. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 20:23:31 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <7b2ha.13580$se1.6697612@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34266

Thanks Dr. Fred!! I am still waiting for my BC200XLT to get charged lol

Maybe I will sell this one once I get the other one lol

Lisha
"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v893b58tomfb07@corp.supernews.com...
> As my daddy used to say, call me anything except late to supper... :>)
>
> Good to have you here, and hope you have enjoyable days ahead with your
> scanner.
>
>
> "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> news:BP0ha.13540$se1.6673420@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > Thanks Dr. Fred is that ok to call you that?!? I will look into it and
see
> > what I can find thanks again!
> >
> > Lisha
> >
> > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:v892pa69r75r92@corp.supernews.com...
> > > PCR 1000 is a fine receiver with a lot of features. I think you would
> > really
> > > enjoy it.
> > >
> > > "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> > > news:hC0ha.13534$se1.6669114@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > I told hubby I want a new scanner for my birthday which is in May so
> > have
> > > > plenty of time to find the right one. Now my question is which is
the
> > best
> > > > to use to get thing over the computer. I usually have this thing on
> from
> > > the
> > > > time I get up (around 530) til I go to bed (which is about anytime
> > around
> > > 10
> > > > or later lol) I have it right in our living room the computer I mean
> lol
> > > But
> > > > I would like something I can hook up and find and hear on the
computer

> > but
> > > > cheap lol Greg said something about Icom PCR1000 what else is there?
> > > >
> > > > Lisha
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "MnMikeW" <mnmikex1x@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:b61v9o$vl0t$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> > > > > Looks like plain text to me, thanks Lisha. Welcome to the evil
world
> > of
> > > > > radios.
> > > > > Scanners are like crack, one rock is never enough. :-)
> > > > > Don't listen to Stacey, you'll end up wth shortwaves and ham
radios
> > > before
> > > > > you
> > > > > know it. :-)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:Bu%ga.13518$se1.6649601@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > Thanks again Stacey I had my scanner for many year and I started
> > with
> > > a
> > > > > > BC100 but never had a newsgroup to help me with knowing what to
> > really
> > > > do
> > > > > > and stuff with it so I am glad I found this one last night.
this
> is
> > > > MrsCat
> > > > > > I changed the setting to Lisha lol and I think I got the html
off
> > now
> > > I
> > > > > > hope
> > > > > > lol
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Lisha
> > > > > > "Stacey Whaley" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:39a30175.0303280801.1377c607@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > > Hi MrsCat,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nice to meet you and welcome aboard. I'm a recent newbie to
the
> > > whole
> > > > > > > scanner thing and I've been having a blast! Everyone here has
> > been
> > > > > > > very helpful. I'm sure you'll see this website pop up a

million
> > > times
> > > > > > > through everyone's emails and posts, but I'll give it to you
> > anyway!
> > > > > > > The best site I've seen so far is
> > > > > > > http://www.cityfreq.com
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Also, go to http://www.google.com and type in your city,
state,
> > and
> > > > > > > "scanner frequencies," and you'll get a lot of pages that will
> > give
> > > > > > > some frequencies that are active in your area. Another thing,
> > Radio
> > > > > > > Shack sells a book called "Police Call" that will list tons of
> > > > > > > frequencies for your area as well, and I believe there is a CD
> > that
> > > > > > > comes with it. I myself only bought the CD as it was on
> clearance
> > > at
> > > > > > > my local RS but it didn't come with the book. :(
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Enjoy!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > -Celt Chic (posting using google groups, so this message may
> take
> > a
> > > > > > > few hours to show up.)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:<1zQga.13253$se1.6483017@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > > > > > Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio.
I
> > > have
> > > > > a
> > > > > > > > bearcat 200 I think it is it somewhere around here will have
> to
> > > find
> > > > > > it
> > > > > > > > Haven't used it in years. I came acrossed this newsgroup
> tonight
> > > and
> > > > > > I'm
> > > > > > > > fairly new to newsgroups too.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Where and how should I start using my scanner again to get
the
> > > most
> > > > > > out
> > > > > > > > of it?!? Is there software to hook them to the computer now

to
> > see
> > > > and
> > > > > > > > > find friq. With the handheld how far should you be able to
> pick
> > > up
> > > > > > > > > from. And are there any good websites to start getting freqs
> > from?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >

1358. Re: ARE SCANNERS HISTORY NOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 20:29:01 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030328152901.27755.00000077@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 34274

>The scanner i have is a Bearcat 100 channel,12 band -800 mhz-BC 860 XLT
>, Twin Turbo..can trunked frquencies be put in this scanner ?Susie

You could put trunked frequencies into it, but it wouldn't scan the properly.
You'd need a special scanner made for trunking, such as the Radio Shack
Pro-2053, 93, etc... There's Bearcat versions too...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

1359. Re: MVT7100 or IC-R10 or ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 20:32:36 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb89cb5.115.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 34275

In article <v891oahnrhll3c@corp.supernews.com>, Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
> I have both and the 7100 wins hands down. The r-10 is plagued with intermods
> and the sensitivity leaves a lot to be desired.
>
> I replaced the 600 mAh NiCads with 1800 NiMh and with normal listening I
> recharge every other day..
>
> Check the reviews of the two at http://strongsignals.com

You might also want to look at the Trident TRX200 aka Camnis HSC-350
aka Stabo XR1810.

It is newer, has all the software features you want like
band scope, 1000 memories, 50 skip memories, SSB, CW, all the
frequency steps you might want, including 8.33 kHz (new airband in
Europe), S-meter, can be fully remote controlled from computer with free
software. And it is pretty light. Frequency range 0.1 - 2149 MHz.

Its weak side is the average shortwave reception. But I guess
a Sony ICF-SW7600GR is better than most/all hand scanners.

I am waiting on my unit. It is what the dealer recommended over the
other small units like the 7300, VR500, ic-r10, and what he is using
himself.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

1360. Re: Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 20:36:35 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <dpb98vohhhi65o7aentsoi6un7jdfiqf9t@4ax.com>
Source record: 34276

On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 20:07:55 +0000 (UTC), "Povl H. Pedersen"
<povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local> wrote:

>According to slashdot:
>http://yro.slashdot.org/article.pl?sid=03/03/28/1541230
>
>There is a bill on the way that will make it illegal to
>tamper with or steal communications services. But early
>on in it is also says it will be illegal to use a communications
>service without the authorization from the provider.
>
>This is where I see scanning, becoming illegal in:
>MA, TX, SC, FL, GA, AK, TN, and CO
>
>Anybody else here who as any views on this ?

Yeah. Federal pre-emption. Yes, the FCC is screwed up. But at least
it's just ONE screw-up and not 8, going on 50.

I posted http://yro.slashdot.org/comments.pl?sid=58794&cid=5617835

This political sausage appears to have been started by MPAA. And I
have news for the rest of you readers: If you think your
congressional representatives are ignorant, you ought to see what many
state houses are like. Not only do they not understand important
issues such as jurisdiction, they don't even understand the
technologies affected by these broad bills.

If you want the full story without the ignorance you often see in
Slashdot, see http://www.freedom-to-tinker.com/

And finally, if you live in these states, please call your
representatives to complain!

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1361. FA>> Peet Bros. Ultimeter 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 21:07:08 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <0Q2ha.41181$ZC6.13225176@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 34277

Weather Station (great for APRS)

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3015788886

--

www.geocities.com/theoldcop

1362. Re: Beautiful Agreement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 21:20:58 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <_03ha.13597$se1.6712999@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34273

Thanks Stacey I really like scanners

Lisha
"Stacey Whaley" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:39a30175.0303281216.2b421041@posting.google.com...
> Hi MrsCat,
>
> I forgot to mention in my other post that if you're looking into
> picking up signals from far away, might I recommend a Shortwave radio?
> You can pick up stuff from all around the world although you won't
> hear police, fire, ems and stuff. But there is music, news, talk
> shows etc. You can hear almost any country you'd like!
>
> Have fun!
>
> -Stacey Whaley
>
>
> "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:<qHQga.13256$se1.6486593@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > Thanks Greg are they exspensive? Sounds interesting. How far away can
> > someone pick up stuff on these? I sure would like to get more involved
> > with my scanner I am retired and home all day would be neat to have it
> > here on the computer
> >
> > Lisha
> > "grenner" <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e83c796$0$83885$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> > There are scanners that can be hooked to a computer and you can
> > computer control them. There are also scanners like the Icom PCR 1000
> > that need the computer to run. It is just a little black box that you
> > serially connect to the computer and there is software that gives a
> > radio look interface. Many scanners though like the Pro 95 can have the
> > memory loaded by computer but cannot be operated by computer.
> >
> > Greg
> > "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> > news:ddQga.13242$se1.6473616@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > can a scanner be hooked to a computer and can you see what the freqs
> > are on the computer is there sometype of software for that
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:mtMga.27984$ja4.1918122@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Hubby and I are now happy as two peas in a pod. He's watching
> > basketball on

> > television and I'm sitting at the computer listening to my scanner
> > through
> > headphones. Awwwww.....peace at last! I don't have to hear
> > basketball and
> > he doesn't have to hear me whine about him not paying any
> > attention to me!
> > :) (And he doesn't have to hear the scanner.)
> >
> > Life is great, isn't it? :)
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
> >
> > --

1363. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 21:34:42 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E84C02A.2E9C4FD1@email.com>
Source record: 34278
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> > > You should go be a human shield.
> >
> > Actually the POPE should go and be a human shield. Bush would not
> > dare drop any bombs if he knew there was a chance that he would hit and
> > kill the pope! The pope claims to be against the war, but does not
> > do the one thing that would put an END to it. Invite Saddam into the
> > Vatican embassy still open in Baghdad and the pope be there with him as
> > a human shield.
> >
> > That would STOP THE WAR. But the pope does not do this. Why? Is
> > he afraid to die? He would just got to go to heaven with Jesus and
> > God!
> >
> > The POPE is a hypocrite scum that has the power to end the war he claims
> > he is against, but does not use his power to end this war. He does
> > not believe in God or he would do this.
> >
>
> You know how shitty of a health condition the Pope is in? Hey would likely
> die on the plane because of the rigor of cabin pressurization, high
> altitude, recycled air, etc.

Nonsense! The good lord would look over him and make sure he made it in good health! Especially when the Pope prayed to god for helping him with such a noble cause, don't you agree?

The Pope could not lose. He and god have the power to stop the war, yet neither make any effort to do so.

Are you saying the Pope does not really believe in god and see's your point and does not want to chance it and die? Perhaps going to heaven is not a comforting enough a fantasy to truly believe in himself?

1364. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 21:43:41 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E84C245.DE221760@email.com>
Source record: 34279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> I was shocked when I first met a pro-war Iraqi in Baghdad - a taxi driver
> taking me back to my hotel late at night. I explained that I was American
> and said, as we shields always did, "Bush bad, war bad, Iraq good". He
> looked at me with an expression of incredulity.
>
> As he realised I was serious, he slowed down and started to speak in broken
> English about the evils of Saddam's regime.

Interesting how a cab driver in Iraq (a NON-English speaking country) knows how to speak English when most cab drivers in the USA don't know how to speak English, don't you think?

> "Don't you listen to Powell on Voice of America radio?" he said. "Of course
> the Americans don't want to bomb civilians. They want to bomb government and
> Saddam's palaces. We want America to bomb Saddam."

Not only do Iraqis know how to speak English, they listen to the VOA too.

Why is it all the real Iraqis statements against the US have to be translated into English first, but all the fictional Iraqis that hate Saddam all know how to speak English when a giant portion of people in the USA don't know how to speak English?

If this cab driver really existed, Saddam would not have had 100% of the people's votes like he did. This cab driver sounds like someone who would have voted against him, but that's not how it turned out.

1365. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 22:12:31 UTC
From: sven@gordsven.com
Message-ID: <slrnb89ieu.ecc.sven@gordsven.com>
Source record: 34282
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

In article <3E84C245.DE221760@email.com>, John Simmons wrote:
> Not only do Iraqis know how to speak English, they listen to the VOA too.

VOA has various shows in different languages - even complete stations
transmitting in Spanish, Chinese, Korean, etc.

--
Sven

1366. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 22:15:01 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E84C99D.4593E938@email.com>
Source record: 34281
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> >>I was shocked when I first met a pro-war Iraqi in Baghdad - a taxi driver
> >>taking me back to my hotel late at night. I explained that I was American
> >>and said, as we shields always did, "Bush bad, war bad, Iraq good". He
> >>looked at me with an expression of incredulity.
> >>
> >>As he realised I was serious, he slowed down and started to speak in broken
> >>English about the evils of Saddam's regime.
> >
> >
> > Interesting how a cab driver in Iraq (a NON-English speaking country) knows how
> > to speak English when most cab drivers in the USA don't know how to speak
> > English, don't you think?
> >
> >
> >>"Don't you listen to Powell on Voice of America radio?" he said. "Of course
> >>the Americans don't want to bomb civilians. They want to bomb government and
> >>Saddam's palaces. We want America to bomb Saddam."
> >
> >
> > Not only do Iraqis know how to speak English, they listen to the VOA too.
>
> Are you really so stupid to think that the VOA only broadcasts in
> English?

No, are you so stupid to think that an Iraqi would be listening to the VOA in any language? How many Americans do you know that listen to Radio Iraq's English broadcasts. None. Because the signal is always jammed by our government. They do that over there too. Thus how we know this story is bullshit.

> > Why is it all the real Iraqis statements against the US have to be translated
> > into English first, but all the fictional Iraqis that hate Saddam all know how
> > to speak English when a giant portion of people in the USA don't know how to
> > speak English?
> >
> > If this cab driver really existed, Saddam would not have had 100% of the
> > people's votes like he did.
>
> I guess when your name is the only one on the ballot it hard not
> to get 100% of the votes. Are you for real?

There was a NO box that you could check. The same thing I see on many of the ballots here in the USA when I voted. Many local offices had only ONE CANDIDATE on my USA ballot, the only difference is, there was NO "NO" hole to punch, so no matter what anyone did, the guy would win.

In Iraq, if everyone checked the NO box, Saddam would have lost. In a previous election, there were actually about 5 or 15 (forget the exact number off hand) people that did not vote for Saddam. The very last election, 100% of the people checked the YES box.

Did you ever stop to think that if everyone is happy with a leader, that there would not be anyone running against him?

How many people voted for Bush? How many people voted for Gore? How many people voted for Browne?
How many electoral votes did Browne get? ZERO. Who is now in the white house?

And you are making fun of Iraq's election process?

Fix your own country before you try and screw up someone else's.

1367. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 22:18:12 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <26a0f230.0303281418.7fb7102a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34283

"MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:<1zQga.13253$se1.6483017@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I have a
> bearcat 200 I think

> Is there software to hook them to the computer now to see and
> find friq.

Not one that old, I think. Go to
http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm and click on
"Uniden". Scroll down to BC200XLT. Is that yours? Does it use the
accursed Uniden proprietary battery pack? Yeah, I know you don't know
what that means, but we'll get to that.

> With the handheld how far should you be able to pick up
> from.

Location, location, location. Also antenna, antenna, antenna. And
weather conditions,... In other words, depends.

I don't know right off the bat of any Columbus scanner pages. Maybe
this will help: http://b.webring.com/hub?sid=&ring=radioscanner&id=&list
. Even better, try http://strongsignals.net/access/boards/frequency/board.cgi
. Scroll down to the bottom of the page. There are six links for Ohio:

http://www.aosc.org/
http://www.siscom.net/~mmeece/monix.html
http://www.ohioscan.com/
http://members.tripod.com/columbus_east/index.shtml (Columbus)
http://www.qsl.net/kb8vju/ (Cleveland)
http://home.fuse.net/rwtrue00/Index.htm (Kentucky, Ohio, Indiana)

1368. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 22:22:50 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <_W3ha.17$ID1.7@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34284
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E84C245.DE221760@email.com...

> > As he realised I was serious, he slowed down and started to speak in
broken
> > English about the evils of Saddam's regime.
>
> Interesting how a cab driver in Iraq (a NON-English speaking country)
knows how
> to speak English when most cab drivers in the USA don't know how to speak
> English, don't you think?

Iraq, a totalitarian regime, does not encourage immigration, especially form
non-Arabic speaking nations.

> > "Don't you listen to Powell on Voice of America radio?" he said. "Of
course
> > the Americans don't want to bomb civilians. They want to bomb government
and
> > Saddam's palaces. We want America to bomb Saddam."
>
> Not only do Iraqis know how to speak English, they listen to the VOA too.

Most working class Iraqis don't speak English. The listen, in some degree,
to the USIS Radio Sawa, headed by Westwood One's Norm Pattiz. However, they
know that it is not socially acceptable to do this, so any listening would
be low profile.

> Why is it all the real Iraqis statements against the US have to be
translated
> into English first, but all the fictional Iraqis that hate Saddam all know
how
> to speak English when a giant portion of people in the USA don't know how
to
> speak English?

What is a "giant portion?" To me, giant would be 25% or more. "Giant" seems
to mean "large" in my vocabulary.

About 5% of people in the US have limited or no English skills. I would
think that this was similar to any other time in our history when there was
an ongoing flow of immigrants, whether they be German, Italian or whatever.

>
> If this cab driver really existed, Saddam would not have had 100% of the

> people's votes like he did. This cab driver sounds like someone who
would have
> voted against him, but that's not how it turned out.

Fidel Castro gets 100% of the votes in Cuba. Those who might vote against are either in Combinado del Este prison or swimming with the fishes. Papa Doc in Haiti did the same thing, but added a dose of torture in the formula. Hoxha did it for 40 years in Albania. Pol Pot did it in Cambodia... do you think anyone spoke out against old Pol and survived?

This is not exactly an uncommon state in totalitarian, repressive regimes. You obviously have not lived under one or experienced one close up.

1369. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 22:34:48 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <c64ha.20$OP1.0@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34285
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E84C99D.4593E938@email.com...
>
> No, are you so stupid to think that an Iraqi would be listening to the VOA
in any
> language? How many Americans do you know that listen to Radio Iraq's
English
> broadcasts. None. Because the signal is always jammed by our
government. They do
> that over there too. Thus how we know this story is bullshit.

I checked with Glen Hauser's World of Radio site, and found absolutely no monitoring reports about jamming of the primary international frequency used by Iraq up through yesterday. While we may be bombing the communications infrastructure to kingdom come, there is no jamming.

To wit:

"** IRAQ. The Republic of Iraq Radio shortwave transmitter on 11787 kHz continues to be heard despite the continuing American-led attack on Iraq. Yesterday afternoon (22 March) I tuned in at 1604 and heard patriotic songs and strident rhetoric until past 1650. Unfortunately, as usual with this transmitter, despite a fair strength carrier, the modulation level is very low so the programming is barely audible at times. Yesterday around 1600 a strong "noise" on 11790 did not help reception, although 11785 was fairly clear (apart from a weak station on 11784.9 - Indonesia?). This morning 11787 (23 March) the strong carrier on 11787 is still present.

Iraq has also been reported (see DX Listening Digests) on 6175 kHz, but I have not heard that channel here (Alan Pennington, BDXC-UK, AOR 7030+ / longwire/beverage, Caversham, UK, Mar 23, via DXLD)

** IRAQ. 11787, Republic of Iraq Radio Main Service, 0800 UT 22/03: Music and irate crowd shouting in Arabic. Very difficult to read any of the audio so unable to make positive ID. Also heard until S/off at 1535 on 23/03 and from 0645 UT 24/03. Heard on the 25/03 until 0715 UT when Carrier disappeared. No sign of Transmission when checking at 0800 hours on 26th March Graham Powell Editor - Online DX Logbook via DXLD)
A full list of English Broadcasts from the Middle East is available
Free at http://www.shortwave.org.uk

11787.0 - 1030 UT March 26, Strong signal 9+20 at this time; modulation seems to be better than previous days. Commentaries and

interviews in Arabic by male and female announcers + songs - Nothing heard on 6175.

Despite the bombing of the radio and TV building in Baghdad last night, Republic of Iraq Radio Main Service has been received this morning on 11787. The signal was good. I noticed that Iraq Satellite channel on Hot Bird 13 degrees east (TPS) was interrupted at 1200 UT. So let's keep on monitoring ... 73 (Pascal, Tours, France. March 26, hard-core-dx via DXLD) "

1370. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 22:35:20 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <I64ha.21$9Q1.7@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34286
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Sven Franklyn Weil" <sven@gordsven.com> wrote in message
news:slrnb89ieu.ecc.sven@gordsven.com...
> In article <3E84C245.DE221760@email.com>, John Simmons wrote:
> > Not only do Iraqis know how to speak English, they listen to the VOA
too.
>
> VOA has various shows in different languages - even complete stations
> transmitting in Spanish, Chinese, Korean, etc.

Sawa is in Arabic,a nd there is a Farsi station, too.

1371. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 22:49:40 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.org>
Message-ID: <8k4ha.2442$gp1.2325@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34287
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons babbled incessantly:

> In Iraq, if everyone checked the NO box, Saddam would have lost. In
> a previous election, there were actually about 5 or 15 (forget the
> exact number off hand) people that did not vote for Saddam. The
> very last election, 100% of the people checked the YES box.
>

Here's a link to an Iraqi ballot form:
http://www.geetrish.com/pictures2/121802.shtml
--
Mark J...

"...The enemy is at the gates. In fact his name
may even be Gates." - Red Green

1372. Re: Beautiful Agreement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 22:51:23 UTC
From: Ken Finney <kenneth.c.finney@boeing.com>
Message-ID: <HCHE5L.ABA@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 34290

I've heard a lot of good things about the Ten-Tec RX-320 receiver, and will probably buy one myself in the future.

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/commrxvr/0320.html

"Stacey Whaley" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:39a30175.0303281216.2b421041@posting.google.com...
> Hi MrsCat,
>
> I forgot to mention in my other post that if you're looking into
> picking up signals from far away, might I recommend a Shortwave radio?
> You can pick up stuff from all around the world although you won't
> hear police, fire, ems and stuff. But there is music, news, talk
> shows etc. You can hear almost any country you'd like!
>
> Have fun!
>
> -Stacey Whaley
>
>
> "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message news:<qHQga.13256$se1.6486593@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > Thanks Greg are they exspensive? Sounds interesting. How far away can
> > someone pick up stuff on these? I sure would like to get more involved
> > with my scanner I am retired and home all day would be neat to have it
> > here on the computer
> >
> > Lisha
> > "grenner" <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e83c796$0$83885$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> > There are scanners that can be hooked to a computer and you can
> > computer control them. There are also scanners like the Icom PCR 1000
> > that need the computer to run. It is just a little black box that you
> > serially connect to the computer and there is software that gives a
> > radio look interface. Many scanners though like the Pro 95 can have the
> > memory loaded by computer but cannot be operated by computer.
> >
> > Greg
> > "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> > news:ddQga.13242$se1.6473616@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > can a scanner be hooked to a computer and can you see what the freqs
> > are on the computer is there sometype of software for that
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:mtMga.27984$ja4.1918122@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Hubby and I are now happy as two peas in a pod. He's watching

> > basketball on
> > television and I'm sitting at the computer listening to my scanner
> > through
> > headphones. Awwwww.....peace at last! I don't have to hear
> > basketball and
> > he doesn't have to hear me whine about him not paying any
> > attention to me!
> > :) (And he doesn't have to hear the scanner.)
> >
> > Life is great, isn't it? :)
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
> >
> > --

1373. FA :Universal M400 Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 22:52:25 UTC
From: spiffy1238@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <bfc8ffaa.0303281452.43f7367d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34288

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3014778153

Excellent condition. Power supply and manual included. This unit has a
40 character LCD display, and I will also include a small Okidata 180
dot matrix tractor feed printer, which is indispensable
when using this decoder.

This will decode pager modes POCSAG, GOLAY and Super POCSAG from your
scanner.
Monitor pager phone #'s, pager text messaging, news, sports and
business updates, stock quotes, etc. Some truly spectacular listening
(reading!)
This has been my primary use for this unit, it is very easy to use and
a very enjoyable use of a scanner! $300 for all.

The M-400 looks exactly like Universal's current M-450 which can be
seen at:

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/decoders/0450.html

The M-450 does NOT offer pager decoding. This one does.

For scanner users, this device will decode:
ACARS aviation mode.
POCSAG Digital Pager mode
Super POCSAG pagers
GOLAY pagers
DTMF tones
CTCSS
DCS

For HF folks, it will decode:
Baudot 45, 50, 57, 100 baud
ASCII
SITOR A&B
FEC-A
SWED-ARQ
FAX 120 LPM 576 IOC

The printer does work, uses tractor feed paper, ribbon may need
replacing. Printer cable and power cable included.

1374. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 23:04:00 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b62ihu$9u6$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 34289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E84C99D.4593E938@email.com...
>
> No, are you so stupid to think that an Iraqi would be listening to the VOA
in any
> language? How many Americans do you know that listen to Radio Iraq's
English
> broadcasts. None. Because the signal is always jammed by our
government. They do
> that over there too. Thus how we know this story is bullshit.

The US government does not jam the external radio broadcasts of any country. Americans are free to listen to their propaganda from any source they wish. For instance, Radio Havana Cuba is one of the most popular shortwave radio services amongst SWL's in the US. This used to be the case with R. Moscow during the cold war. Other popular shortwave services include R. Korea (North), China Radio International (formerly R. Peking), Voice of Russia (formerly R. Moscow), along with perennial favorites such as the BBC World Service, R. Netherlands, Radio Canada International (CBC), et. al.

Just because people in totalitarian countries are not SUPPOSED to listen to external radio services, does not mean that they do not. There will always be people who are curious, or push the envelope. Be happy you live in a country where you do not have to sneak around to listen to what's going on in the rest of the world.

1375. FS: Books and manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 23:49:36 UTC
From: 6MtrSSB <nospam@tome.com>
Message-ID: <kc5ha.4021$cO3.148147@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34291
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

1-Motorola Price and Availability List. Feb.12,1995
1-Scanner-Monitor Servicing Data book, by SAMS.
covers the following makes & models
Bearcat IV
Craig 4350 & 4350A
Kris Tri-band & Hand-scan
Lafayette Porta-Scan 4
99-26213W
99-26221W
Midland 13-903,13-904,13-916,13-934
13-940,13-944
Realistic Pro-4,Pro-7A,Pro-10,Pro-77,Pro-88
Robyn 100-B & HL 8+8

Make me an offer.....
thnx

1376. Re: MICHIGAN CHANGING THE WAY WE LISTEN TO SCANNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-28 23:56:55 UTC
From: David Starr <davestarr2@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <38o98vg72i1s4bq2sl8d2pq799m8rr5td2@4ax.com>
Source record: 34292

On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 13:23:30 -0500 (EST), BABYJSMAMA@webtv.net (susie)
wrote:

>I had posted earlier about this..and wondered with my 100 channel..12
>band -800mhz-BC 860XLT-Twin Turbo..how is it going to be
>affected..please read the
>following..... Civilian
>police scanners around Michigan are going silent, but not because crime
>has come to a stop.
>The state's new law enforcement communications system will be up and
>running within two weeks. That means the old VHF system that people
>could pick up at home will be turned off.
>Local fire departments and ambulance services will continue using the
>old system. But the new, 800-megahertz system will scramble central
>dispatch communications -- and won't be available to the general public.

I'm in Flint & still get all the local police calls. The State police
went digital, but as soon as there's a digital 9600 scanner, I'll be
listening to them as well.

- -
Professional Shop Rat: 13,930 days in a GM plant.
- -

1377. Re: MICHIGAN CHANGING THE WAY WE LISTEN TO SCANNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 00:49:56 UTC
From: BABYJSMAMA@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1769-3E84EDB4-79@storefull-2194.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 34294

David..this article was wrote on March 27..so i guess its supposed to take effect in 2 weeks..if it happens..what kind of scanner would be available..Susie

Susie/Michigan-Mom of Jackie Harrison-born 1-11-80---killed 06-08-02 by drunk driver while riding her bike home from store-please vist her website and sign her guestbook -without music
http://community.webtv.net/BABYJSMAMA/DRUNKDRIVERSNEEDTO
(with music)
http://community.webtv.net/BABYJSMAMA/DRUNKDRIVERSNEEDTO0

1378. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 01:04:16 UTC
From: Vector <vector@vector.net>
Message-ID: <01s98vgem9masb93ce1h7ej7hdhskv3eln@4ax.com>
Source record: 34293
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 22:15:01 GMT, John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
wrote:

>How many people voted for Bush? How many people voted for Gore? How many people
>voted for Browne?
>How many electoral votes did Browne get? ZERO. Who is now in the white house?
>
>And you are making fun of Iraq's election process?
>
>Fix your own country before you try and screw up someone else's.

Apparently you are not a US citizen. I'm glad that you are aware that
Bush did not win in a landslide victory, in fact losing the popular
vote on the national totals. I chat regularly with a New Zealand
woman who had that impression that Bush won by a large percentage and
was an extremely popular President. The way he came off as though he
had a mandate, you'd think he had.

1379. GMRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 01:23:06 UTC
From: old-radio-guy@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28789-3E84F57A-128@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 34295

I like to monitor GMRS freqs.
Can anybody tell me what GMRS call signs are?
I have heard ham call letters and a combination
of sounds like old CB call
Any ideas?
thank you
peace
Dana

1380. Re: Just out of curiosity.... (Trunking Questions)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 01:48:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-2AF519.19480028032003@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 34296

I wish it were my site. It does belong to a friend of mine who has
meticulously collected these samples and figured out what they are. Gary
Hahn is a master t digital modes!

Thanks!

In article <v87brabmqmkfad@corp.supernews.com>,
"Ken" <deputydiver@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Rich great job!! ..your site has helped me on a few of the digital signals.
> Celt, sorry for interfering on your post, but Rich does have a great
> site...thanks Ken
> "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com> wrote in message
> news:rich-6A9BA2.19422727032003@netnews.attbi.com...
> > In article
> > <wdNga.28100$ja4.1923168@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > I know that when you're tuning on the scanner and run into that "diesel
> > > engine sound" that you have a motorola type trunking system control
> channel.
> > > Is it type I or II, or do I have to search to find out? (I didn't know
> if
> > > type I has a different noise than type II.)
> > >
> > > On the same note, is there a giveaway sign that lets me know I've hit an
> > > EDACs system?
> > >
> > > For you Pro-95 owners, can it pick up on the LTR type trunking system?
> > >
> > > I swear there was something else I was going to ask but I've already
> > > forgotten! Aren't you lucky?? If I remember it I'll post another
> question
> > > later.
> > >
> > > Thanks for putting up with me!
> > >
> >
> >
> > Go to http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/ and compare what you are hearing to
> the clips there. This will help you
> > figure out the trunking mode used.
> >

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG rich (at) n9jig.com
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

In Deo speramus, ceteros omnes observamus

1381. Re: MICHIGAN CHANGING THE WAY WE LISTEN TO SCANNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 01:53:26 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-995976.19532628032003@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 34197

In article <1708-3E849322-121@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net>,
BABYJSMAMA@webtv.net (susie) wrote:

> I had posted earlier about this..and wondered with my 100 channel..12
> band -800mhz-BC 860XLT-Twin Turbo..how is it going to be
> affected..please read the
> following..... Civilian
> police scanners around Michigan are going silent, but not because crime
> has come to a stop.
> The state's new law enforcement communications system will be up and
> running within two weeks. That means the old VHF system that people
> could pick up at home will be turned off.
> Local fire departments and ambulance services will continue using the
> old system. But the new, 800-megahertz system will scramble central
> dispatch communications -- and won't be available to the general public.
>

Michigan's 800 MHz system can be heard on currently available Uniden
digital scanners (BC250D and BC785D). While the system has actually been
in place for a couple years the rollout has been slow.

The current scanners can not however track the trunked system. The audio
can be heard, but in a conventional/non-trunked mode. The next
generation of digital scanners should be able to track the 9600 baud
trunked system.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG rich (at) n9jig.com
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

In Deo speramus, ceteros omnes observamus

1382. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 02:06:26 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E84FFA2.7090709@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 34210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:

+
>
> In Iraq, if everyone checked the NO box, Saddam would have lost.

HAHAHA!!! Lost what? Are you really so dumb as to think he would
step down from power?

> In a previous
> election, there were actually about 5 or 15 (forget the exact number off hand) people
> that did not vote for Saddam.

And they probably did not live to vote again.

The very last election, 100% of the people checked
> the YES box.

Sure, if you wanted to live you voted yes.

>
> Did you ever stop to think that if everyone is happy with a leader, that there would
> not be anyone running against him?

Just who do you think Saddam would allow to run against him?

>
> How many people voted for Bush?

More than voted for Gore.

> How many people voted for Gore?

Less than voted for Bush.

> And you are making fun of Iraq's election process?

No, you can't make fun of something that doesn't exist. Having
only one name on the ballot and being forced to vote yes is not an
election.

1383. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 02:08:38 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E850026.7000804@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 34211
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Brenda Ann wrote:

>
> The US government does not jam the external radio broadcasts of any country.
> Americans are free to listen to their propaganda from any source they wish.

SSSSSHHHHHH!!! You are upsetting the liberal anti-government
conspiracy nuts.

1384. FA : KENWOOD TK-805D UHF mobile transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 02:53:12 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <sU7ha.1814$yY.1217615@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 34200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3015891424

1385. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 03:06:24 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <Q48ha.13912$se1.6818786@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34198

Thank you for the help Beloved Leader will check out the sites. I found my scanner it is a BC200XLT. I have had it a quite a few yrs got it new. Use to have the 100, Hopefully get a new one for my birthday lol

Thanks again Lisha

"Beloved Leader" <Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com> wrote in message news:26a0f230.0303281418.7fb7102a@posting.google.com...
> "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message news:<1zQga.13253$se1.6483017@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > Hi all I am Lisha 52 yrs old from Whitehall (Columbus) Ohio. I have a
> > bearcat 200 I think
>
> > Is there software to hook them to the computer now to see and
> > find friq.
>
> Not one that old, I think. Go to
> http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm and click on
> "Uniden". Scroll down to BC200XLT. Is that yours? Does it use the
> accursed Uniden proprietary battery pack? Yeah, I know you don't know
> what that means, but we'll get to that.
>
> > With the handheld how far should you be able to pick up
> > from.
>
> Location, location, location. Also antenna, antenna, antenna. And
> weather conditions,... In other words, depends.
>
> I don't know right off the bat of any Columbus scanner pages. Maybe
> this will help: http://b.webring.com/hub?sid=&ring=radioscanner&id=&list
> . Even better, try http://strongsignals.net/access/boards/frequency/board.cgi
> . Scroll down to the bottom of the page. There are six links for Ohio:
>
> http://www.aosc.org/
> http://www.siscom.net/~mmeece/monix.html
> http://www.ohioscan.com/
> http://members.tripod.com/columbus_east/index.shtml (Columbus)
> http://www.qsl.net/kb8vju/ (Cleveland)
> http://home.fuse.net/rwtrue00/Index.htm (Kentucky, Ohio, Indiana)

1386. Re: Talk Group ID's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 03:11:58 UTC
From: Ed <edjr44@copper.net>
Message-ID: <3e850d7f_2@newsfeed>
Source record: 34201

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:JFrga.26401$ja4.1794610@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Ok, I've got a few motorola channels programmed into my scanner.
Question,
> when the talk group ID shows at the bottom, I write down what I see. How
do
> I find out what agency those ID's belong to? Is there a web page?
>
> Also, if I hit manual to pause the scan when it hits a motorola frequency,
> the voice stops and goes back to that diesel sound. Is there no way to
get
> it to pause on just the trunked system without that diesel sound?
>
> Thanks!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
What scanner do you have?

1387. Re: ID Tracker Updated - Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 03:14:45 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <d38ha.34947$pw3.29849@fe02.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 34202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

To address some items I found when using the latest version
of ID Tracker, I have released version 2.4.1.

"David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net> wrote in message
news:dEOga.48504$d15.7203@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com...
> ID Tracker has been updated to version 2.4.0. The biggest
> improvement is that recording to WAV files is now supported.
>
> http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm
>
> See the version history for change details.
>
> http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTrackerVersion.htm
>
> --
> David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
> Baton Rouge, LA
>
> Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh
>
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net or @arrl.net.
>
> Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!
>
>
>
>

1388. Re: Pro-95 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 03:32:03 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Ts8ha.30641$ja4.2045785@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34203

Hmmmm.... does that mean we can get some two-ways, ham radios, crystal radio sets, antennas, XM radio, etc? Ok, let's go! ;) (Warning, I'm expensive.) Oh yeah, I need a new computer, too. :) And one more thing, when we're done at RS, let's go to www.aorusa.com and buy me that AR8200 Mark IIIb, unblocked version, with some accessories! :) Thanks! (Still want me to dump him? Heheheeee!)

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v7lmmc29d5qc91@corp.supernews.com...
> Dump him darlin, we can head to RadioShack this afternoon! ;>)
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:RBAea.20540$S%3.1176434@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Yep. I've been looking into buying one. Just waiting on hubby to fork
> out
> > cash. Might take a while, though. ;)
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
> >
> > Robert C Long <longrc2@icomnet.com> wrote in message
> > news:ZLydnRBqFM8q9uejXTWcow@portbridge.com...
> > > Was in Radio Shack today and sales person told me the Pro-95 was on
sale
> > for
~~~~~~~

> > > $199. Not as goodd as last sale but still a good buy
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1389. Cleveland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 03:40:07 UTC
From: Speez <cns100@nospam.ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <rA8ha.13918$se1.6829335@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34199

I know that Cleveland Ohio changed their radio police to Motorola Digital, but what type is it? I know it is trunked but there is another name that I cannot remember.

Any help on this one?
Thanks!

1390. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 03:41:21 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <BB8ha.5928$0X.2127135@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34204
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Tad Early" <fenwick_island@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b628v8lld1@bob.news.rcn.net...

> Do I get labeled a dittohead if I point out to both you and "Pointing
> North" that this isn't a "war in Iraq" newsgroup? Or is reading
> comprehension just not your strong suit?

Correction - reading OR comprehension.
Get it right.

1391. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 03:42:37 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <NC8ha.5939$0X.2128315@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34205
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Tad Early" <fenwick_island@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b629j9nbp1@bob.news.rcn.net...

> Listen, let me apologize for mischaracterizing you in my earlier
> post--I was in a hurry. If I'd had to time to check out what should
> have been obvious--that you're one guy pathetically supporting your
> own post out of insecurity--I wouldn't have suggested that there were
> two of you. Again, sorry for the mistake.

Roses are red,
Violets are blue,
he's schitsophrenic,
AND SO IS HE!!!

1392. Re: GMRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 03:49:00 UTC
From: Dick <rhughes33@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <jr5a8vooda646c2ve2e6vqvcroneit9ugh@4ax.com>
Source record: 34206

The newer ones at least are 4 letters followed by 3 digits. Like
WABC123.

Dick

On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 17:23:06 -0800 (PST), old-radio-guy@webtv.net
wrote:

>I like to monitor GMRS freqs.
>Can anybody tell me what GMRS call signs are?
>I have heard ham call letters and a combination
>of sounds like old CB call
>Any ideas?
>thank you
>peace
>Dana

1393. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 03:49:30 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <eJ8ha.5979$0X.2135241@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34207
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"HankG" <none@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:eEWdnR9BneVlxxmjXTWcqA@comcast.com...

> Larry King and Aaron Brown--you've got to be kidding.

What a ROAR!!! Larry King??????????? The same Larry King that hangs up on
EVERYONE who disagrees with him and his views/ THAT Larry King?
I'm no "ditto-head", but Mr. Limbaugh ENCOURAGES people to disagree with
him, and has NEVER hung up on someone!
I tried to Listen to Larry King, but was sickened by his attitudes,
assumptions, and MAINLY because of the fact that if he disagrees with you or
can't intelligently refute a point someone makes, he unceremoniously dumps
the call and moves on, looking for someone to agree with him.

Larry King?????????

Get real...

1394. Re: GMRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 03:51:01 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <dDOTbxx@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <ha5a8vc9v7sisres06fc7a0eeik4gi047g@4ax.com>
Source record: 34209

Old GMRS callsigns are KXX1234 like the old CB callsigns. I believe these can still be renewed and kept with no modification, but I'm not certain.

New GMRS callsigns are like most land mobile services now WXXX123.

Since some GMRS frequencies are shared with FRS, you may also here whatever the user desires as a callsign.

On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 17:23:06 -0800 (PST), old-radio-guy@webtv.net wrote:

>I like to monitor GMRS freqs.
>Can anybody tell me what GMRS call signs are?
>I have heard ham call letters and a combination
>of sounds like old CB call
>Any ideas?
>thank you
>peace
>Dana

1395. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 03:51:11 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <PK8ha.5989$0X.2137196@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34208
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"0" <0@0.com> spewed from his anus...

> My dentist replaced his Dentist office Music with Rush limbaugh. That
tells
> you how bad things are getting.

Well, reasonable discussion and entertainment is less painful than elevator
music...

1396. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 04:09:36 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <409ha.6103$0X.2157930@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34212
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" spewed from his anus...

> > I was shocked when I first met a pro-war Iraqi in Baghdad - a taxi
driver
> > taking me back to my hotel late at night. I explained that I was
American
> > and said, as we shields always did, "Bush bad, war bad, Iraq good". He
> > looked at me with an expression of incredulity.
> >
> > As he realised I was serious, he slowed down and started to speak in
broken
> > English about the evils of Saddam's regime.
>
> Interesting how a cab driver in Iraq (a NON-English speaking country)
knows how
> to speak English when most cab drivers in the USA don't know how to speak
> English, don't you think?

Hate to bust yer bubble, chum, but those cab drivers in New York know
English quite well - they feign ignorance when it suits them, as do the
Puerto Ricans there.
Ask yourself this - if they knew no English, and were a cab driver in New
York City,
HOW WOULD ANYONE GET ANYPLACE???

duh...

> Not only do Iraqis know how to speak English, they listen to the VOA too.
>
> Why is it all the real Iraqis statements against the US have to be
translated
> into English first, but all the fictional Iraqis that hate Saddam all know
how
> to speak English when a giant portion of people in the USA don't know how
to
> speak English?

Huh? A "giant" portion of Americans can't speak English?

English as First Language (L1 speakers)

English is profoundly dominant (and is often the only language) in over 30
territories including: Anguilla, Antigua and Barbuda, Ascension, Australia,
The Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Bermuda, Dominica, England, The Falklands,
Grenada, Guyana, the Isle of Man, Jamaica, Montserrat, Northern Ireland, St

Kitts & Nevis, St Helena, St Vincent & the Grenadines, Trinidad & Tobago, the USA, and the Virgin Islands. In the following countries it is dominant but at least one other language is significant: Canada, the Channel Islands, Eire, Gibraltar, Liberia, New Zealand, St Lucia, Scotland, South Africa, and Wales. Some believe that Spanish will soon be as significant in the USA as English.

Most recent estimates suggest that 377 million people speak English as a first language (Crystal 1997). The two largest concentrations are the USA (226 million) and the UK (56 million). As a percentage of the world's population, this means that 6.2% use English as their mother tongue, second only to Chinese.

English as a Second Language (L2 speakers)

There are no official figures available on the number of people who use English as a second language, that is, people whose mother tongue is not English but who live in countries in which English has official or joint official status and who therefore use English for official business. The estimate often quoted is 300 million, around one-third of the world's population. English is the official or joint official language of over 70 countries, but in some of these countries the language is little used by the majority of the population.

English as a Foreign Language (EFL speakers)

There are no official figures available on the number of people who speak English as a foreign language (that is, in countries in which English has no official status). Estimates range from 300 million to 750 million. Clearly such figures can only be treated as approximate. There is no way of quantifying the number of people worldwide who may have learnt some English at school.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Then there's:

English was inherited from British colonization and it is spoken by the vast majority of the population, although more than 80 percent Americans have no British ancestors. It serves as the de facto official language, for instance as that in which government business is carried out. According to the 1990 census, 97 percent of U.S. residents speak English 'well' or 'very well.' Only 0.8 of one percent speak no English at all, as compared with 3.6 percent in 1890.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

> If this cab driver really existed, Saddam would not have had 100% of the
> people's votes like he did. This cab driver sounds like someone who
> would have voted against him, but that's not how it turned out.

You really ARE ignorant - funny, but ignorant - 100% of the vote - in a country where opposition is forbidden - what the hell kind of percentage do you EXPECT him to have???

Go figure...

1397. Re: GMRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 04:18:05 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <lj9ha.7952$D24.934524@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 34214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Hey Billy Bob, Ya got my cattle all rounded up down o'r there boy?"

"10-fer good buddy."

"DxxxxxBxx" <dDOTbxx@lycos.com> wrote in message
news:ha5a8vc9v7sisres06fc7a0eeik4gi047g@4ax.com...
> Old GMRS callsigns are KXX1234 like the old CB callsigns. I believe
> these can still be renewed and kept with no modification, but I'm not
> certain.
>
> New GMRS callsigns are like most land mobile services now WXXX123.
>
> Since some GMRS frequencies are shared with FRS, you may also here
> whatever the user desires as a callsign.
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.465 / Virus Database: 263 - Release Date: 25/03/2003

1398. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 04:20:04 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <U99ha.6208$0X.2169627@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34213
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E84A730.5010306@mailcity.moc...

> John Simmons spewed from his anus...
<bilge snipped>

> Are you really so stupid to think that the VOA only broadcasts in
> English?

Obviously, he is...

> > If this cab driver really existed, Saddam would not have had 100% of the
> > people's votes like he did.
>
> I guess when your name is the only one on the ballot it hard not
> to get 100% of the votes. Are you for real?

In his own mind, and the twisted world HE lives in, he's about the only
thing that IS real...

"Q"

1399. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 04:35:16 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <vo9ha.320$jy.15879@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 34215
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E84C02A.2E9C4FD1@email.com...
> > > > You should go be a human shield.
> > >
> > > Actually the POPE should go and be a human shield. Bush would not
> > > dare drop any bombs if he knew there was a chance that he would hit
and
> > > kill the pope! The pope claims to be against the war, but does not
> > > do the one thing that would put an END to it. Invite Saddam into
the
> > > Vatican embassy still open in Baghdad and the pope be there with him
as
> > > a human shield.
> > >
> > > That would STOP THE WAR. But the pope does not do this. Why?
Is
> > > he afraid to die? He would just got to go to heaven with Jesus and
> > > God!
> > >
> > > The POPE is a hypocrite scum that has the power to end the war he
claims
> > > he is against, but does not use his power to end this war. He does
> > > not believe in God or he would do this.
> > >
> >
> > You know how shitty of a health condition the Pope is in? Hey would
likely
> > die on the plane because of the rigor of cabin pressurization, high
> > altitude, recycled air, etc.
>
> Nonsense! The good lord would look over him and make sure he made it in
good
> health! Especially when the Pope prayed to god for helping him with such
a
> noble cause, don't you agree?

Just because I pray to god for a iBook to fall in my lap every day does not
mean I will get it. And nobility is for the middle ages. God does what God
does for whatever reason. Don't question him/her. There's a bigger picture.

>
> The Pope could not lose. He and god have the power to stop the war, yet
> neither make any effort to do so.

And you can tell with your special "god-is-powerful-in-the-world-meter"?

> Are you saying the Pope does not really believe in god and see's your point
> and does not want to chance it and die? Perhaps going to heaven is not a
> comforting enough a fantasy to truly believe in himself?

No. I'm saying MAYBE he isn't meant to be a martyr, whether he dies en route or in Iraq itself. I'm sure God is guiding him in whatever he does.

John

1400. 137.225Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 05:06:11 UTC
From: ed@ebrady.net
Message-ID: <7655b591.0303282106.36460405@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34216

Hello, I was scanning the 136.00 - 138.00 MHZ frequencies last night and came across frequent FSK on 137.225 Mhz. This group of frequencies is for sattelite and sattelite rebroadcasting. At first I thought this was EMWIN (NOAA Weather) data, but after speaking with a tech representative at TigerTronics, he indicated that most EMWIN systems will received 1.69GHZ from sattelite and downconvert to 137.225 MHZ, but the data is never rebroadcasted on this frequency. He also, said that he is not aware of any EMWIN rebroadcasters in the Delaware, OH area. (Or all of Ohio for that matter.) So, this leaves a mystery as to the source of this signal.

Update: As I am writing this post I am now seeing the same data on 137.735MHZ.

Any help appreciated.

Ed

1401. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 05:14:50 UTC
From: Vector <vector@vector.net>
Message-ID: <0taa8vsr3o9fmo6gl7i89il9reg9p0ol6f@4ax.com>
Source record: 34217
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 21:06:26 -0500, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
wrote:

>> How many people voted for Bush?
>
>More than voted for Gore.
>
>> How many people voted for Gore?
>
>Less than voted for Bush.

Incorrect. Off by 300,000 votes ... Bush won the election, but not
the vote.

1402. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 05:53:59 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Xxaha.7082$0X.2268171@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34218
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com>, brother of Richard, spewed from his anus...

> No, are you so stupid to think that an Iraqi would be listening to the VOA in any
> language? How many Americans do you know that listen to Radio Iraq's English
> broadcasts. None. Because the signal is always jammed by our government. They do
> that over there too. Thus how we know this story is bullshit.

Now, this is interesting - something a bit on-topic.
This is a scanner group, but I'm assuming most here do a bit of SWLing as well. I hate to disagree with you (well, okay - I don't hate that) but lots of SWLers listen to English broadcasts from that region - including myself.
WHEN Iraq had a station that was CAPABLE of such transmissions (and that's been gone long before the war) there was no attempt to block it's English-speaking programming (of which there was very little).
During the Cold War, many people listened to the Russian powerhouses and their English transmissions, as many STILL do today. To say that the US government jams English-speaking signals from foreign nations is beyond ludicrous, and a testament to your lack of knowledge and basic ignorance.

> > I guess when your name is the only one on the ballot it hard not
> > to get 100% of the votes. Are you for real?

Somehow, his statements make sense...in HIS mind...

> There was a NO box that you could check.
> The same thing I see on many of the
> ballots here in the USA when I voted. Many
> local offices had only ONE CANDIDATE on
> my USA ballot, the only difference is, there was
> NO "NO" hole to punch, so no matter what
> anyone did, the guy would win.

<sigh>
Do you know ANYTHING about elections, and/or the voting process?
In the one-candidate scenario you mention, there is no other name simply because nobody else WANTS to run for that particular office. NOT because everyone in the district has been told, at gun-point, that they MUST vote for the ONE candidate, or they, and their family, would be dead.
Also, you have the option to WRITE IN a candidate of your choice. I would suggest that the next time you go to the polls, do a bit of research first, and understand how the voting process works.

> In Iraq, if everyone checked the NO box, Saddam
> would have lost.

Right. IN YOUR DREAMS. Are you REALLY THAT naive? How can a dictator "lose"?
Even most 4th graders understand that the "elections" in Iraq are a PR ploy,
and mean NOTHING.
If you'd like, I'll post here (or where ever you'd like) the explanation of
how the government works in Iraq. Any government body, besides Saddam
Hussein, is simply a rubber-stamp for Hussein.

> In a previous election, there were actually about 5
> or 15 (forget the exact number off hand) people
> that did not vote for Saddam. The very last election,
> 100% of the people checked the YES box.

Like there was a NO box - and anybody who thought they'd live to remember
checking it if there were. Use your head. Try.
The questions you should ask are these:
1) Was there REALLY "5 or 15" people who voted AGAINST Saddam (although I
have no IDEA who they would vote for, as nobody is insane enough to contest
him), and
2) Assuming those people DID exist, is there any empirical evidence to show
that they are still alive?

> Did you ever stop to think that if everyone
> is happy with a leader, that there would
> not be anyone running against him?

Did you ever stop to think, why do I even get up in the morning, when my
brain is incapable of assimilating even ONE coherent thought? What dictator,
in the history of the world, was ever "voted" into being their dictator? Who
voted to keep Hussein in power for all of these DECADES? Only people in
Washington, D.C. vote to put somebody in office after they've served prison
time (as Hussein has done on SEVERAL occasions). If someone, for example,
put a gun to your head, and said, say, "vote for Al Sharpton for president,
or you and your family will die!", are you honestly going to tell us that
you'd say, "No, it's my right to make a choice, and I want somebody ELSE,"
and then, perhaps, stick your tongue out at them to emphasize your point?
Not bloody likely...

> How many people voted for Bush?
> How many people voted for Gore?
> How many people voted for Browne?
> How many electoral votes did Browne get?
> ZERO. Who is now in the white house?

The duly elected President, you dolt. I knew this bilge was coming, I just
wondered WHEN.
Algore and his legal people recounted the Florida votes several times, and
each time he STILL lost. They blamed the confusion on the butterfly ballots.
Incidentally, do you realize that that particular ballot was designed by a
Democrat?

> And you are making fun
> of Iraq's election process?

<sigh>

WHAT election process, you imbecile? DICTATORS DON'T NEED TO BE ELECTED.

> Fix your own country before you
> try and screw up someone else's.

Oh, yeah - giving the people the freedom to express themselves and make their OWN choices and benefit and prosper from their country's vast resources is REALLY going to screw them up...

Unfortunately, I'm certain these points are lost on you, and you'll NEVER get it. Rather than educate yourself and get REAL FACTS, you parrot what the brain-dead Hollywood types blab.

How sad...

1403. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 06:07:54 UTC
From: "Mr. Frankenstien" <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com>
Message-ID: <_Kaha.7448$Zo.4991@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 34219
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Nice try.
They are the same thing.
Elevator music is to music
as
Rush limbaugh is to intellectual, informed discourse

Can't you see that?

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:PK8ha.5989$0X.2137196@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "0" <0@0.com> spewed from his anus...
>
> > My dentist replaced his Dentist office Music with Rush limbaugh. That
> tells
> > you how bad things are getting.
>
> Well, reasonable discussion and entertainment is less painful than
elevator
> music...
>
>

1404. How's the war going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 06:13:46 UTC
From: "Mr. Frankenstien" <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com>
Message-ID: <uQaha.7475$Zo.5192@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 34220

Not too well. Wah Wah. And who's going to pay the price?

Rush limbaugh / Sean Hannity crowd told us all that we shouldn't wait for the Iraquis to sing kum-ba-yah and give us big hugs. We even saw cartoons on the internet.
Instead we need to play hardball - We need to go in and take out Sadaam.
THEN the Iraquis will come out joyously sing and greet our troops.

Whoops ya got fooled again!

1405. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 07:29:17 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <hXbha.7351$0X.2351715@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34221
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:c64ha.20$OP1.0@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...

> I checked with Glen Hauser's World of Radio site, and found absolutely no
> monitoring reports about jamming of the primary international frequency
used
> by Iraq up through yesterday. While we may be bombing the communications
> infrastructure to kingdom come, there is no jamming.

Don't waste your time on this idiot - he/she will continue to blurt out
ignorance, no matter what you say...

> To wit:
>
> "** IRAQ. The Republic of Iraq Radio shortwave transmitter on 11787
> kHz continues to be heard despite the continuing American-led attack
> on Iraq. Yesterday afternoon (22 March) I tuned in at 1604 and heard
> patriotic songs and strident rhetoric until past 1650. Unfortunately,
> as usual with this transmitter, despite a fair strength carrier, the
> modulation level is very low so the programming is barely audible at
> times. Yesterday around 1600 a strong "noise" on 11790 did not help
> reception, although 11785 was fairly clear (apart from a weak station
> on 11784.9 - Indonesia?). This morning 11787 (23 March) the strong
> carrier on 11787 is still present.
>
> Iraq has also been reported (see DX Listening Digests) on 6175 kHz,
> but I have not heard that channel here (Alan Pennington, BDXC-UK, AOR
> 7030+ / longwire/beverage, Caversham, UK, Mar 23, via DXLD)
>
> ** IRAQ. 11787, Republic of Iraq Radio Main Service, 0800 UT 22/03:
> Music and irate crowd shouting in Arabic. Very difficult to read any
> of the audio so unable to make positive ID. Also heard until S/off at
> 1535 on 23/03 and from 0645 UT 24/03. Heard on the 25/03 until 0715
> UT when Carrier disappeared. No sign of Transmission when checking at
> 0800 hours on 26th March Graham Powell Editor - Online DX Logbook via
> DXLD)
> A full list of English Broadcasts from the Middle East is available
> Free at http://www.shortwave.org.uk
>
> 11787.0 - 1030 UT March 26, Strong signal 9+20 at this time;
> modulation seems to be better than previous days. Commentaries and
> interviews in Arabic by male and female announcers + songs - Nothing
> heard on 6175.
>

> Despite the bombing of the radio and TV building in Baghdad last
> night, Republic of Iraq Radio Main Service has been received this
> morning on 11787. The signal was good. I noticed that Iraq Satellite
> channel on Hot Bird 13 degrees east (TPS) was interrupted at 1200 UT.
> So let's keep on monitoring ... 73 (Pascal, Tours, France. March 26,
> hard-core-dx via DXLD) "

1406. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 07:32:50 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <C_bha.7364$0X.2354039@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34222
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> stated quite intelligently...

> The US government does not jam the external radio broadcasts of any
country.
> Americans are free to listen to their propaganda from any source they
wish.
> For instance, Radio Havana Cuba is one of the most popular shortwave radio
> services amongst SWL's in the US. This used to be the case with R. Moscow
> during the cold war. Other popular shortwave services include R. Korea
> (North), China Radio International (formerly R. Peking), Voice of Russia
> (formerly R. Moscow), along with perennial favorites such as the BBC World
> Service, R. Netherlands, Radio Canada International (CBC), et. al.
>
> Just because people in totalitarian countries are not SUPPOSED to listen
to
> external radio services, does not mean that they do not. There will
always
> be people who are curious, or push the envelope. Be happy you live in a
> country where you do not have to sneak around to listen to what's going on
> in the rest of the world.

The problem is that people like this will NEVER be happy - they are
incapable of it. They can "feel your pain", and things like that, but don't
have the mental capacity to enjoy all that life has to offer.

1407. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 07:38:21 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <N3cha.7377$0X.2358196@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34223
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Vector" <vector@vector.net> wrote in message
news:01s98vgem9masb93ce1h7ej7hdhskv3eln@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 22:15:01 GMT, John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
> wrote:

> Apparently you are not a US citizen. I'm glad that you are aware that
> Bush did not win in a landslide victory, in fact losing the popular
> vote on the national totals.

<sigh>
This again? Even Algore's lawyers recounted the votes - SEVERAL times, and each time, Algore STILL lost. To be certain, Bush did not win by a "landslide", but you should keep in mind that Clinton was the LEAST voted for President in the history of the Unied States that STILL was elected into office. The turnout for his last election was the lowest in history, as people didn't necessarily want to vote for HIM, but felt they had no real choice, so many chose not to bother.

> I chat regularly with a New Zealand woman who had that
> impression that Bush won by a large percentage and
> was an extremely popular President. The way he came
> off as though he had a mandate, you'd think he had.

Now that's just out-right garbage, and you KNOW that. bush made a point of telling those around him NOT to gloat, and never ONCE (as Clinton did) claimed to have some kind of "mandate". Please don't fabricate such nonsense - let us believe you have some intelligence...

1408. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 07:44:26 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <u9cha.7393$0X.2361129@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34224
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E84FFA2.7090709@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> John Simmons spewed from his anus:
>
> +
> >
> > In Iraq, if everyone checked the NO box, Saddam would have lost.
>
> HAHAHA!!! Lost what? Are you really so dumb as to think he would
> step down from power?

I can see it now - Hussein walks out of one of the MANY palaces he had built in HIS honor, after many years of being dictator, and says,

"My people - you have chosen not to have me as your leader any longer, so I humbly return to you, the people of Iraq, after many decades of me being in control of every aspect of your miserable lives, this country and government to rule as you see fit. I shall return EVERYTHING I have plundered from you, and make reparations for the hundreds of thousands of your families taht I have gassed or otherwise murdered.
I gladly yield to the will of the people...
NOT!!!!!!!!!!"

> > In a previous
> > election, there were actually about 5 or 15 (forget the exact number off hand) people
> > that did not vote for Saddam.
>
> And they probably did not live to vote again.

> The very last election, 100% of the people checked
> > the YES box.
>
> Sure, if you wanted to live you voted yes.

> > Did you ever stop to think that if everyone is happy with a leader, that there would
> > not be anyone running against him?
>
> Just who do you think Saddam would allow to run against him?

And who, other than his Republican Guard, which will be strung-up by their testicles once Hussein is confirmed to be gone, is "happy" with him?

> > How many people voted for Bush?

> More than voted for Gore.

> > How many people voted for Gore?
>
> Less than voted for Bush.

He'she will never believe it - they'll be in a state of denial for the rest
of their lives...

> > And you are making fun of Iraq's election process?
>
> No, you can't make fun of something that doesn't exist. Having
> only one name on the ballot and being forced to vote yes is not an
> election.

A difference that makes no difference IS no difference...

1409. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 07:57:29 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Jlcha.7425$0X.2369206@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34225
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Sven Franklyn Weil" <sven@gordsven.com> wrote in message
news:slrnb89ieu.ecc.sven@gordsven.com...
> In article <3E84C245.DE221760@email.com>, John Simmons wrote:
> > Not only do Iraqis know how to speak English, they listen to the VOA
too.
>
> VOA has various shows in different languages - even complete stations
> transmitting in Spanish, Chinese, Korean, etc.
>
> --
> Sven

Correct. At one time, YEARS ago, it was a PR and propaganda tool of the US
government, but that was YEARS ago (of course, there are those that will
NEVER be convinced of that...)

1410. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 09:10:38 UTC
From: RustY&#65533; <No-Mail>
Message-ID: <2ad45400d80c838059d392020e2c06f3@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 34226

"MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:1zQga.13253$se1.6483017@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
Hi all I am Lisha ..

One thing that you can do Lisha is to change your Outlook Express settings to send plain text only e-mails. Lots of readers here will not be impressed by big bold writing at the expense of their phone bill. Go to tools/options/send and select plain text for both. Now good luck with the scanning.
--
RustY

for Welsh Military Flying visit..... http://groups.yahoo.com/group/V-A-S/

1411. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 10:27:29 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b63qjd$l1h$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 34019
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

The VOA still is a propaganda tool. It still transmits in many languages to various parts of the world.

The word propaganda does not mean the contemporary assumption of it's meaning (though it could be argued that common usage changes or alters the meaning of a word). It simply means the propagation (dissemination, spreading) of a particular belief, tenet, doctrine or political point-of-view. All such are skewed to one extent or another. I reference the following example from the cold war:

The Americans and Russians were the only ones in a race. The American news report said that the Americans won the race, the Russian press said that the the Russians came in second, the Americans came in next to the last.

VOA does much the same thing, but by virtue of omission. For instance, they tend to play down poor economic conditions and high unemployment in favor of talking about conditions in other countries. They tout mainly the positive aspects of American life... just a sales tool.

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:Jlcha.7425$0X.2369206@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "Sven Franklyn Weil" <sven@gordsven.com> wrote in message
> news:slrnb89ieu.ecc.sven@gordsven.com...
> > In article <3E84C245.DE221760@email.com>, John Simmons wrote:
> > > Not only do Iraqis know how to speak English, they listen to the VOA
> too.
> >
> > VOA has various shows in different languages - even complete stations
> > transmitting in Spanish, Chinese, Korean, etc.
> >
> > --
> > Sven
>
> Correct. At one time, YEARS ago, it was a PR and propaganda tool of the US
> government, but that was YEARS ago (of course, there are those that will
> NEVER be convinced of that...)
>
>

1412. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 12:03:08 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8b2rrbvtuqsf6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34227
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

This is the worst case of flawed logic I have observed on the internet. You are to be commended, because I see some really stupid stuff.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message news:3E84C245.DE221760@email.com...
> > I was shocked when I first met a pro-war Iraqi in Baghdad - a taxi driver
> > taking me back to my hotel late at night. I explained that I was American
> > and said, as we shields always did, "Bush bad, war bad, Iraq good". He
> > looked at me with an expression of incredulity.
> >
> > As he realised I was serious, he slowed down and started to speak in broken
> > English about the evils of Saddam's regime.
>
> Interesting how a cab driver in Iraq (a NON-English speaking country) knows how
> to speak English when most cab drivers in the USA don't know how to speak
> English, don't you think?
>
> > "Don't you listen to Powell on Voice of America radio?" he said. "Of course
> > the Americans don't want to bomb civilians. They want to bomb government and
> > Saddam's palaces. We want America to bomb Saddam."
>
> Not only do Iraqis know how to speak English, they listen to the VOA too.
>
> Why is it all the real Iraqis statements against the US have to be translated
> into English first, but all the fictional Iraqis that hate Saddam all know how
> to speak English when a giant portion of people in the USA don't know how to
> speak English?
>
> If this cab driver really existed, Saddam would not have had 100% of the
> people's votes like he did. This cab driver sounds like someone who would have
> voted against him, but that's not how it turned out.
>
>

1413. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 12:10:05 UTC
From: wf3h@ptnospam.com
Message-ID: <3e858bf5.119590016@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 34230
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 18:09:02 GMT, "Pointing North"
<PointingNorth@Bendover.com> wrote:

> NPR Commentary: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq
>
> March 13, 2003
>
> Peter Freundlich
>
> All right, let me see if I understand the logic of this correctly. We
> are going to ignore the United Nations in order to make clear to
> Saddam Hussein that the United Nations cannot be ignored.

ah, no.

we're going to war to make it clear to the UN that the UN cannot be
ignored, especially when it involves US security. the raison d'etre
for UN resolution 1441 was the general recognition that possession of
WMD's by terrorist regimes was unacceptable. however, when the UN was
faced with the responsibility to enforce its own resolution, it
balked. even chirac, hussein's whore in waiting, admitted it was ONLY
the presence of US troops that got minimal compliance from hussein.

get the facts straight. NPR generally doesnt on this issue.

>
> Also, in dealing with a man who brooks no dissension at home, we
> cannot afford dissension among ourselves. We must speak with one
> voice against Saddam Hussein's failure to allow opposing voices to be
> heard. We are sending our gathered might to the Persian Gulf to make
> the point that might does not make right, as Saddam Hussein seems to
> think it does. And we are twisting the arms of the opposition until
> it agrees to let us oust a regime that twists the arms of the
> opposition. We cannot leave in power a dictator who ignores his own
> people. And if our people, and people elsewhere in the world, fail to
> understand that, then we have no choice but to ignore them.

of course this twisted, orwellian logic makes sense only to those who
believe the UN is a talking shop, and that dictators like hussein are
terrified of UN resolutions.

>
>
> Peter Freundlich is a freelance journalist in New York .
>

>

i hope he writes better fiction than this piece.

---
to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
and enter 'wf3h' in the field

1414. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 12:11:02 UTC
From: wf3h@ptnospam.com
Message-ID: <3e858ce7.119831614@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 34232
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 18:29:55 GMT, "0" <0@0.com> wrote:

>Notice they have trouble grasping and arguing the issues, they just spit out
>what they heard on the radio from the Rush and Hannity shows.
>NPR, Liberals, all those words. That the've been using for 15 years.
>

of course, there are many of us liberals who DO support the war. read
christopher hitchens, for example. compare him with the america hating
right like joe sobran, pat buchanan, etc.

----
to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
and enter 'wf3h' in the field

1415. Re: 137.225Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 12:17:00 UTC
From: Pat Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3E858EBC.F467A583@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 34228

Seems to me I remember hearing uplinks for portable satellite telephones from McMurdo Station, Antartica, in this frequency range in the early to mid-1990's. Weekends were very busy. It was very distinctive because of the AT&T jingle or tune that preceded each call. Pat, K4AVO (All-Icom)

Ed Brady wrote:

> Hello, I was scanning the 136.00 - 138.00 MHZ frequencies last night
> and came across frequent FSK on 137.225 Mhz. This group of
> frequencies is for sattelite and sattelite rebroadcasting. At first I
> thought this was EMWIN (NOAA Weather) data, but after speaking with a
> tech representative at TigerTronics, he indicated that most EMWIN
> systems will received 1.69GHZ from sattelite and downconvert to
> 137.225 MHZ, but the data is never rebroadcasted on this frequency.
> He also, said that he is not aware of any EMWIN rebroadcasters in the
> Delaware, OH area. (Or all of Ohio for that matter.) So, this leaves
> a mystery as to the source of this signal.
>
> Update: As I am writing this post I am now seeing the same data on
> 137.735MHZ.
>
> Any help appreciated.
>
> Ed

1416. Re: Pro-95 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 12:17:50 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8b3ndf4lpn82c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34229

Absolutely, nothing is to good for you.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Ts8ha.30641$ja4.2045785@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hmmmm.... does that mean we can get some two-ways, ham radios, crystal
> radio sets, antennas, XM radio, etc? Ok, let's go! ;) (Warning, I'm
> expensive.) Oh yeah, I need a new computer, too. :) And one more thing,
> when we're done at RS, let's go to www.aorusa.com and buy me that AR8200
> Mark IIIb, unblocked version, with some accessories! :) Thanks! (Still
> want me to dump him? Heheheeee!)
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v7lmmc29d5qc91@corp.supernews.com...
> > Dump him darlin, we can head to RadioShack this afternoon! ;>)
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:RBAea.20540$S%3.1176434@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Yep. I've been looking into buying one. Just waiting on hubby to
fork
> > out
> > > cash. Might take a while, though. ;)
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > Robert C Long <longrc2@icomnet.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ZLydnRBqFM8q9uejXTWcow@portbridge.com...
> > > > Was in Radio Shack today and sales person told me the Pro-95 was on
> sale
> > > for
> > > > $199. Not as goodd as last sale but still a good buy
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> >
>
>

1417. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 12:19:56 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Mbgha.7786$0X.2503746@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34231
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v8b2rrbvtuqsf6@corp.supernews.com...
> This is the worst case of flawed logic I have observed on the internet.
You
> are to be commended, because I see some really stupid stuff.
>
>
>
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed..
> news:3E84C245.DE221760@email.com...
<spew snipped>

Oh, this is just the TIP of his moronic iceberg. You've missed much of
his/her better stuff...
How can it be "flawed" logic, when there's NO logic to it?

"Q"

1418. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 12:27:20 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <ligha.7788$0X.2507598@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34234
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<wf3h@ptnospam.com> wrote in message
news:3e858bf5.119590016@news.ptdprolog.net...
> On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 18:09:02 GMT, "Pointing North"
> <PointingNorth@Bendover.com> wrote:
>
> > NPR Commentary: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq
<snip>
> > Peter Freundlich
<snip>
> we're going to war to make it clear to the UN that the UN cannot be
> ignored, especially when it involves US security. the raison d'etre
> for UN resolution 1441 was the general recognition that possession of
> WMD's by terrorist regimes was unacceptable. however, when the UN was
> faced with the responsibility to enforce its own resolution, it
> balked. even chirac, hussein's whore in waiting, admitted it was ONLY
> the presence of US troops that got minimal compliance from hussein.
>
> get the facts straight. NPR generally doesnt on this issue.

Just on "this" issue? C'mon, be fair... :-)

> > Also, in dealing with a man who brooks no dissension at home, we
> > cannot afford dissension among ourselves. We must speak with one
> > voice against Saddam Hussein's failure to allow opposing voices to be
> > heard. We are sending our gathered might to the Persian Gulf to make
> > the point that might does not make right, as Saddam Hussein seems to
> > think it does. And we are twisting the arms of the opposition until
> > it agrees to let us oust a regime that twists the arms of the
> > opposition. We cannot leave in power a dictator who ignores his own
> > people. And if our people, and people elsewhere in the world, fail to
> > understand that, then we have no choice but to ignore them.
>
> of course this twisted, orwellian logic makes sense only to those who
> believe the UN is a talking shop, and that dictators like hussein are
> terrified of UN resolutions.

To them, it DOES make sense. That's the really unfortunate part.

> > Peter Freundlich is a freelance journalist in New York .
> i hope he writes better fiction than this piece.

I'm waiting for his first best seller...

> ---------------------------
> to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"

> and enter 'wf3h' in the field

1419. FA: 3-position coax switch with box $3.25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 12:29:45 UTC
From: 6MtrSSB <nospam@tome.com>
Message-ID: <Zkgha.31350$ja4.2086138@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34236
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

check it out
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4672&item=3014768342&
rd=1

1420. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 12:31:45 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <HaqdnYiH6rSCPBijXTWc3g@ctc.net>
Source record: 34241

> Someone tell me about shortwave please! I'm not to sure what the big
> differenaces are but I know there are alot. You can talk on shortwave
right?
> But what is all involved in getting one like licenses what ever? Thanks!!!
>
Short wave is usually thought of as just the frequecny range from 3 to 30
mhz. There are all kinds of signals and stations operating there.

The part that is mainly used for people to just get on and talk to each
other is called ham or amateur radio. To transmitt there you will need a
license. In the US it is a multichoice test and a 5 word per minuit code
test. The code can be learned in a very short time by most at that speed.
For the test you listen to prerecorded code and answer a few juestions
about what is sent. At 5 wpm it is only about 25 characters being sent in
one minuit.
The tests are given in many cities many times each year by other hams that
have already passed the tests.

YOu may want to go to www.arrl.org and check that out. It is a national
club for the ham operators.

1421. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 13:14:01 UTC
From: "Mr. Frankenstien" <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com>
Message-ID: <t_gha.277309$S_4.175603@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 34237
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Please someone discuss the issues. Why is it illogical? Or you can just
repeat oft-used "Rush Limbaugh" -type insults. You know, the kind he throws
out without proof. - "You liberals are all alike" .

or

"This is the worst case of flawed logic I have observed on the internet.
> You
> > are to be commended, because I see some really stupid stuff.
"

Cotton candy brains!

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:Mbgha.7786$0X.2503746@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v8b2rrbvtuqsf6@corp.supernews.com...
> > This is the worst case of flawed logic I have observed on the internet.
> You
> > are to be commended, because I see some really stupid stuff.
> >
> >
> >
> > "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed..
> > news:3E84C245.DE221760@email.com...
> <spew snipped>
>
> Oh, this is just the TIP of his moronic iceberg. You've missed much of
> his/her better stuff...
> How can it be "flawed" logic, when there's NO logic to it?
>
> "Q"
>
>

1422. "Rush Limbaugh" - It's the professional wrestling of thinking!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 13:14:38 UTC
From: "Mr. Frankenstien" <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com>
Message-ID: <2%gha.279632$sf5.196544@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34238
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

That's an insult by the way!

1423. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 13:15:09 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <x%gha.5552$7L.133311@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 34239

Short-wave is from about 2 MHz to 30 MHz and because of the Ionosphere signals can skip around the world. Most of the broadcasts are in AM or SSB. Most of the commercial users are moving out of the short-wave bands and moving to satellite but you can still find some good ones. I haven't heard the Voice of America, Radio Canada, Radio Moscow or Radio Cuba in some time and the BBC has stopped its North American broadcasts sometime ago. The big users on short-wave are the Hams and are almost all on SSB or CW with some FM up in the 10 meter band. If you use a CB radio you are talking in the high end of the short-wave bands. Some countries do require a license to own a short-wave radio but Canada and the US don't. The big thing with short-wave is a good antenna and height above the ground.

"Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:z8hha.14168$se1.6972359@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Someone tell me about shortwave please! I'm not to sure what the big
> differenaces are but I know there are alot. You can talk on shortwave
right?
> But what is all involved in getting one like licenses what ever? Thanks!!!
>
> --
> Lisha
>
> All incoming/outgoing email is checked
> with Norton's Anti Virus 2003
>
>

1424. Re: Hi Everyone I'm New Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 13:17:48 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <02hha.14164$se1.6971756@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34233

Rusty thanks I already changed it thanks for the help

Lisha

"RustY" <No-Mail> wrote in message
news:2ad45400d80c838059d392020e2c06f3@news.teranews.com...
>
> "MrsCat1" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> news:1zQga.13253$se1.6483017@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Hi all I am Lisha ..
>
> One thing that you can do Lisha is to change your Outlook Express settings
> to send plain text only e-mails. Lots of readers here will not be
impressed
> by big bold writing at the expense of their phone bill. Go to
> tools/options/send and select plain text for both. Now good luck with the
> scanning.
> --
> RustY
>
> for Welsh Military Flying visit..... http://groups.yahoo.com/group/V-A-S/
>
>

1425. Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 13:24:47 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <z8hha.14168$se1.6972359@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34235

Someone tell me about shortwave please! I'm not to sure what the big differenaces are but I know there are alot. You can talk on shortwave right? But what is all involved in getting one like licenses what ever? Thanks!!!

--
Lisha

All incoming/outgoing email is checked
with Norton's Anti Virus 2003

1426. Sounds like Rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 13:32:38 UTC
From: "Mr. Frankenstien" <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com>
Message-ID: <Wfhha.277470$S_4.175290@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 34240
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

If only Iraq realized we were doing it for her own good.
When she says No, deep inside she means Yes.
Someday she'll welcome me with open arms.
I know what she wants better than she does.
I gotta wear this rubber protective gear.
Can't start shooting blanks now.
She looks like the one who knocked down my erections last year.
I "may have" underestimated her resistance.
The world will be better off if she's less powerful than me.
Rush Limbaugh dorked me - the cycle must repeat iteself.

1427. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 13:43:07 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <pjensen@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <v8b8ne6a2uf14a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34242

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:x%gha.5552$7L.133311@news0.telusplanet.net...
> Short-wave is from about 2 MHz to 30 MHz and because of the Ionosphere
> signals can skip around the world. Most of the broadcasts are in AM or
SSB.
> Most of the commercial users are moving out of the short-wave bands and
> moving to satellite but you can still find some good ones. I haven't heard
> the Voice of America, Radio Canada, Radio Moscow or Radio Cuba in some
time
> and the BBC has stopped its North American broadcasts sometime ago.

I'm not sure which service I was listening to, but the BBC was coming in
loud and clear here in Florida the other night with war news on shortwave.

1428. Re: "Rush Limbaugh" - It's the professional wrestling of thinking!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 13:43:44 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <pjensen@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <v8b8ok67vro65c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34243
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

And your I.Q. would be....?

"Mr. Frankenstien" <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com> wrote in message
news:2%gha.279632$sf5.196544@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> That's an insult by the way!
>
>

1429. FS: AOR 8200 - $300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 14:11:42 UTC
From: Mike Lynn <mlynn@rglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3E85A99E.22EA@rglobal.net>
Source record: 34246

AOR 8200 in good shape. This is a MK1 version in the original box with
all accessories and manual. It also has a clear vinyl cover/case.
Email me for more info and/or pics.
Thanks,
-Mike
mlynn@rglobal.net

1430. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 14:25:21 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <k1iha.114$iA5.52@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34244

In the "shortwaves" many services. Many countries broadcast programs in the International Broadcast Bands. Then there are utility stations, some Marine (ship to shore, ship to ship) but that's being replaced somewhat by satellite comms. Another thing you can listen to is aircraft flying over the oceans. Then there are the Hams. You don't need a license to listen, but do if you want to transmit. Also the Military have comms in here too. The Broadcasters use AM (like your AM radio), but everyone else use Single Side Band (SSB). Most use Upper Side Band (USB) and in some Ham bands they use Lower Side Band (LSB). They are lists and documents on the web showing where to find these things. One is put out by a guy named McFadden if I remember the name right. Sort of a general overall list. If I can find the website, I'll post it. Oh yeah, there are also Time Stations. The Unites States have two, one around Boulder Colorado (WWV) and one in Hawaii (WWVH). These are found on 2.5, 5, 10, 15, and 20 Mhz. The Shortwaves as a group are officially from 3-30 Mhz but one ham band starts at 1.8 Mhz. Hope this wasn't to confusing. Eric KA6USJ

1431. Re: MICHIGAN CHANGING THE WAY WE LISTEN TO SCANNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 14:39:24 UTC
From: David Starr <davestarr2@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hvbb8v8uavmkrmm94k8jsc5buoh3p46h0f@4ax.com>
Source record: 34245

On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 19:49:56 -0500 (EST), BABYJSMAMA@webtv.net (susie)
wrote:

>David..this article was wrote on March 27..so i guess its supposed to
>take effect in 2 weeks..if it happens..what kind of scanner would be
>available..Susie

In case you missed it, this is a post on your question.

>Michigan's 800 MHz system can be heard on currently available Uniden
>digital scanners (BC250D and BC785D). While the system has actually been
>in place for a couple years the rollout has been slow.
>
>The current scanners can not however track the trunked system. The audio
>can be heard, but in a conventional/non-trunked mode. The next
>generation of digital scanners should be able to track the 9600 baud
>trunked system.
>
>--
>Rich Carlson, N9JIG rich (at) n9jig.com
>IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
>Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

- -
Professional Shop Rat: 13,930 days in a GM plant.
- -

1432. aircraft frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 14:50:34 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <sNWcncEI2do3LxijXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 34147

In NJ Newark and Teterboro airports are who I would like to hear. I have a list of Frequencies for both these airports but it seem that I only get anything on two sometimes three frequencies. Is anyone listening to these two airports? if so what are the frequencies that I should be listening to?
thanks
-
Anthony

1433. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 15:02:10 UTC
From: Vector <vector@vector.net>
Message-ID: <g9db8vklgv0canckvgdp091s18qehqf9h8@4ax.com>
Source record: 34148
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Sat, 29 Mar 2003 07:38:21 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>This again? Even Algore's lawyers recounted the votes - SEVERAL times, and
>each time, Algore STILL lost.

He lost the popular vote in Florida (perhaps), I didn't say otherwise.
I was refering to the 300,000 popular vote victory in the country as a
whole. I did not mention Florida.

1434. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 15:03:31 UTC
From: Vector <vector@vector.net>
Message-ID: <tbdb8v0l3jklag6rkh7u9dl8c68pie8pr3@4ax.com>
Source record: 34149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Sat, 29 Mar 2003 07:38:21 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>> I chat regularly with a New Zealand woman who had that
>> impression that Bush won by a large percentage and
>> was an extremely popular President. The way he came
>> off as though he had a mandate, you'd think he had.
>
>Now that's just out-right garbage, and you KNOW that. bush made a point of
>telling those around him NOT to gloat, and never ONCE (as Clinton did)
>claimed to have some kind of "mandate". Please don't fabricate such
>nonsense - let us believe you have some intelligence...

<yawn> uh huh, sure sure. Looked like gangbusters coming out of the
gate to me!!!!! With a questionable win, he had a lot of damn gall.

1435. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 15:16:15 UTC
From: Art Lee <wolfe@wolfe.net>
Message-ID: <Xns934D6879A824Ewolfimicroconnectnet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 34150
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Spray On <aerosol@can.exxon.au> wrote in
news:7MTga.23226$pK4.2050231@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net:

> Art Lee <wolfe@wolfe.net> wrote:
>
>>Where Were Rush,
> 4F
>>Bush
> National Guard Pilot
>>Bill during VeitNam?
> College deferment then he become a school teacher which excluded him
> from the draft.
>
>

Is that why bill never attacked clinton as a draft dodger because Billy O
was in College in England the same time Bill C was there?

As for bush being n the national guard, how come he didn't leave the
states?

and rush, well he's a joke.

wolf

1436. Re: Cleveland Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 15:22:01 UTC
From: Scott Berringer <scanman@scanland.org>
Message-ID: <Xns934D6976341BEscanmanscanlandorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 34151

"Speez" <cns100@nospam.ameritech.net> wrote in
news:rA8ha.13918$se1.6829335@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com:

> I know that Cleveland Ohio changed their radio police to Motorola
> Digital, but what type is it? I know it is trunked but there is
> another name that I cannot remember.
>
> Any help on this one?
> Thanks!
>
>
>

It's First Generation Digital. It's VSELP and can NOT be monitored by the
current Uniden Scanners.

1437. Re: Pro-95 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 16:11:10 UTC
From: tim odonnell <tim.odonnell@Wverizon.net>
Message-ID: <yAjha.4691$pW1.3564@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34152

Watch yerself Fred, This one sounds dangerous! (grin)

Tim
"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v8b3ndf4lpn82c@corp.supernews.com...
> Absolutely, nothing is to good for you.
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Ts8ha.30641$ja4.2045785@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Hmmmm.... does that mean we can get some two-ways, ham radios, crystal
> > radio sets, antennas, XM radio, etc? Ok, let's go! ;) (Warning, I'm
> > expensive.) Oh yeah, I need a new computer, too. :) And one more
thing,
> > when we're done at RS, let's go to www.aorusa.com and buy me that AR8200
> > Mark IIIb, unblocked version, with some accessories! :) Thanks!
(Still
> > want me to dump him? Heheheeee!)
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:v7lmmc29d5qc91@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Dump him darlin, we can head to RadioShack this afternoon! ;>)
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:RBAea.20540$S%3.1176434@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Yep. I've been looking into buying one. Just waiting on hubby to
> fork
> > > out
> > > > cash. Might take a while, though. ;)
> > > >
> > > > --

> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Robert C Long <longrc2@icomnet.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:ZLydnRBqFM8q9uejXTWcow@portbridge.com...
> > > > > Was in Radio Shack today and sales person told me the Pro-95 was
on
> > sale
> > > > for
> > > > > $199. Not as goodd as last sale but still a good buy
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1438. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 16:14:25 UTC
From: tim odonnell <tim.odonnell@Wverizon.net>
Message-ID: <BDjha.4695$pW1.325@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34153

Lisha,

Try rec.radio.shortwave there is a lot there and then some. A word of caution, it occasionally is a pretty rough group with a lot of OT and ranting.

Tim

"Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message news:z8hha.14168$se1.6972359@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Someone tell me about shortwave please! I'm not to sure what the big
> differenaces are but I know there are alot. You can talk on shortwave right?
> But what is all involved in getting one like licenses what ever? Thanks!!!
>
> --
> Lisha
>
> All incoming/outgoing email is checked
> with Norton's Anti Virus 2003
>
>

1439. Re: "Rush Limbaugh" - It's the professional wrestling of thinking!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 16:26:08 UTC
From: Tad Early <fenwick_island@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b64heo$9ce$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 34155
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Paul Jensen" <pjensen@gnt.net> wrote in message
news:v8b8ok67vro65c@corp.supernews.com...
> And your I.Q. would be....?
>

Not surprisingly, it's the identical abysmally low IQ as off topic
troll "Pointing North and "0," who "honored" us with a few other brain
dead posts (below). This guy keeps changing his handle, but the
uniform stupidity in what he writes can't be disguised.

1440. Re: Where To Buy New R75?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 16:30:05 UTC
From: James Arnold <turniponion@canoemail.comNoSpam>
Message-ID: <v8biifphccla0c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Try Grove (grove-ent.com) or Universal. They have the same prices,
Grove is a little more personal in terms of service but the prices
match. I've ordered from both The free DSP coupons say for USA only,
but they will send to Canada if the R75 was bought in the USA and sent
to Cda. For Canada, get them to ship by postal service not a courier
service to avoid 30 or 40 bucks of customs brokerage (you may have to
insist on this depending on who you talk to when ordering. The delivery
charge is not much different, post is slower, but only by a day or two.
I get things in about 5 work days).

Radio equipment is duty free to Cda in all situations. You will get a
GST bill about 1/2 the time if the originating company doesn't have an
account. This is payable on delivery most of the time. Canadian price
has dropped 200 to 1199 or so, but this is still more than the USA
discounted price, the Cdn dollar is a little stronger lately, and you
don't get the give aways. The filter prices compare for Cda and US.

Howard Kowall wrote:
> just don't buy it in canada
> we don't get all the coupons and free dsp units like you yanks get,.good
> luck
> sold mine
> sorry i did
> lol
> howard
>
> "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:huaga.124764$jP2.21907973@twister.neo.rr.com...
>
>>Where's the best price on a new R75, in case I don't find a good
>>deal on a used radio? Thanks!
>>DeWayne
>>
>>
>
>
>

1441. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 16:50:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E85CEE3.5040506@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 34163
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

David Eduardo wrote:
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E84C99D.4593E938@email.com...
>
>>No, are you so stupid to think that an Iraqi would be listening to the VOA
>
> in any
>
>>language? How many Americans do you know that listen to Radio Iraq's
>
> English
>
>>broadcasts. None. Because the signal is always jammed by our
>
> government. They do
>
>>that over there too. Thus how we know this story is bullshit.
>
>
> I checked with Glen Hauser's World of Radio site, and found absolutely no
> monitoring reports about jamming of the primary international frequency used
> by Iraq up through yesterday. While we may be bombing the communications
> infrastructure to kingdom come, there is no jamming.

Now there you go, upsetting the liberal anti-government nitwits
that like to think our government is jamming those transmissions.
They don't like to hear facts which upset their anti-everything
theories.

1442. Re: "Rush Limbaugh" - It's the professional wrestling of thinking!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 16:54:36 UTC
From: Vector <vector@vector.net>
Message-ID: <qtjb8vktl903d2dam5jvm97n4rs01b3kgq@4ax.com>
Source record: 34157
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Sat, 29 Mar 2003 13:14:38 GMT, "Mr. Frankenstien"
<Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com> wrote:

>That's an insult by the way!
>
And it is giving Limbaugh way too much credit.

1443. Re: "Rush Limbaugh" - It's the professional wrestling of thinking!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 16:56:00 UTC
From: Vector <vector@vector.net>
Message-ID: <7vjb8v4diho1da3mu3gb2l2r5ddcdrnjhr@4ax.com>
Source record: 34158
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Sat, 29 Mar 2003 07:43:44 -0600, "Paul Jensen" <pjensen@gnt.net>
wrote:

>And your I.Q. would be

I'm sure some of the professional wrestler's IQs are fairly high.
I know they make a lot more money than I do. Their IQ doesn't
validate their occupation as a true sport though.

1444. ID Tracker Bug Fix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 17:04:17 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <zekha.122674$3m6.88766@fe07.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 34159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If you have been experiencing problems with no files being
created when recording audio, there is an update available
to correct the problem.

ID Tracker Version 2.4.2

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net or @arrl.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

1445. Re: aircraft frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 17:13:52 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <kvkha.413$kd1.389143@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34154

Sometimes these frequencies are for airplane routing on the ground, which doesn't transmit on too much power.

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.
"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message news:sNWcncEI2do3LxijXTWcrg@comcast.com...
> In NJ Newark and Teterboro airports are who I would like to hear. I have a
> list of Frequencies for both these airports but it seem that I only get
> anything on two sometimes three frequencies. Is anyone listening to these
> two airports? if so what are the frequencies that I should be listening to?
> thanks
> -
> Anthony
>
>

1446. PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 17:57:04 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Q7lha.4045$VM3.2235842@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 34160

Thank you for all of the inquiries...the radio has been sold.

--

www.geocities.com/theoldcop

1447. Re: WOW R&L Great Service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 18:04:27 UTC
From: geo_jackson@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <c21a06bc.0303291004.7938522@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<QsQga.1737$yY.817952@twister.nyc.rr.com>...
> Is the R-75 a SCANNER?
>
> Thanks for notifying every radio group anout your purchase of a SHORTWAVE
> radio...

Does the R75 cover 30-60 MHz? Does it scan? Does R & L sell scanners?
What's YOUR problem???

>
> Dan
>]
> > I ordered a new Icom R75 yesterday at 2:00 PM via their toll free phone
> > number and received it five minutes ago via UPS ground shipping. How's
> that
> > for service?
> > DeWayne K9KZ
> >
> >
> >

1448. Re: Where To Buy New R75?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 18:06:05 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hglha.12718$JI.2786349@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 34161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I bought from R&L. They were close to me and had a considerably lower price
than most, $509 shipped. I ordered it at 2 PM and got it the next day at 2PM
via UPS ground!!! They are only 128 miles from me.
DeWayne

"James Arnold" <turniponion@canoemail.comNoSpam> wrote in message
news:v8biifphccla0c@corp.supernews.com...
> Try Grove (grove-ent.com) or Universal. They have the same prices,
> Grove is a little more personal in terms of service but the prices
> match. I've ordered from both The free DSP coupons say for USA only,
> but they will send to Canada if the R75 was bought in the USA and sent
> to Cda. For Canada, get them to ship by postal service not a courier
> service to avoid 30 or 40 bucks of customs brokerage (you may have to
> insist on this depending on who you talk to when ordering. The delivery
> charge is not much different, post is slower, but only by a day or two.
> I get things in about 5 work days).
>
> Radio equipment is duty free to Cda in all situations. You will get a
> GST bill about 1/2 the time if the originating company doesn't have an
> account. This is payable on delivery most of the time. Canadian price
> has dropped 200 to 1199 or so, but this is still more than the USA
> discounted price, the Cdn dollar is a little stronger lately, and you
> don't get the give aways. The filter prices compare for Cda and US.
>
> Howard Kowall wrote:
> > just don't buy it in canada
> > we don't get all the coupons and free dsp units like you yanks get,.good
> > luck
> > sold mine
> > sorry i did
> > lol
> > howard
> >
> > "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:huaga.124764$jP2.21907973@twister.neo.rr.com...
> >
> >>Where's the best price on a new R75, in case I don't find a good
> >>deal on a used radio? Thanks!
> >>DeWayne
> >>
> >>
> >
> >

> >
>

1449. Re: Where To Buy New R75?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 18:54:40 UTC
From: Howard Kowall <hkowall@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <QZlha.335779$na.25163839@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 34164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

i thought the coupons are only valid with a usa address
howard

"James Arnold" <turniponion@canoemail.comNoSpam> wrote in message
news:v8biifphccla0c@corp.supernews.com...
> Try Grove (grove-ent.com) or Universal. They have the same prices,
> Grove is a little more personal in terms of service but the prices
> match. I've ordered from both The free DSP coupons say for USA only,
> but they will send to Canada if the R75 was bought in the USA and sent
> to Cda. For Canada, get them to ship by postal service not a courier
> service to avoid 30 or 40 bucks of customs brokerage (you may have to
> insist on this depending on who you talk to when ordering. The delivery
> charge is not much different, post is slower, but only by a day or two.
> I get things in about 5 work days).
>
> Radio equipment is duty free to Cda in all situations. You will get a
> GST bill about 1/2 the time if the originating company doesn't have an
> account. This is payable on delivery most of the time. Canadian price
> has dropped 200 to 1199 or so, but this is still more than the USA
> discounted price, the Cdn dollar is a little stronger lately, and you
> don't get the give aways. The filter prices compare for Cda and US.
>
> Howard Kowall wrote:
> > just don't buy it in canada
> > we don't get all the coupons and free dsp units like you yanks get,.good
> > luck
> > sold mine
> > sorry i did
> > lol
> > howard
> >
> > "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:huaga.124764$jP2.21907973@twister.neo.rr.com...
> >
> >>Where's the best price on a new R75, in case I don't find a good
> >>deal on a used radio? Thanks!
> >>DeWayne
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

1450. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 19:22:39 UTC
From: Steve <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org>
Message-ID: <3omha.29081$4v3.23280@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 34165

> satellite comms. Another thing you can listen to is aircraft flying over
the
> oceans. Then there are the Hams. You don't need a license to listen, but
do
> if you want to transmit. Also the Military have comms in here too.

Where in the shortwave band would one find aircraft flying over the oceans,
Hams, & Military?

1451. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 20:06:12 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030329150612.17815.00000042@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 34167

>Will the little radios you see with shortwave band be any good
>just to listen to things???

Well, yes and no. Most of the smaller, less-expensive (actually cheapo!) portable radios that have FM, AM and SW (and sometimes TV and Weather) will do fine for local stations, the main international broadcasters, and other easy-to-hear signals, but they typically have weak or otherwise poor audio, terrible selectivity (loud stations tend to show up all over the radio, not just the frequency they're assigned to, and makes it very difficult to hear other stations), poor sensitivity, and do not usually have the same range of coverage as slightly more expensive and larger models.
On one hand, if you're looking for a quick, cheap way to start out, a mini-cheapie multi-band would be fine for a short while. And you're only out $20 to $50, most of the time.
On the other hand, you may well find yourself wanting to hear more and hear better, and using one of those cheap models can turn you off of trying altogether.
It's like driving a tractor on the highway... it MAY or may not get you where you want to go, but it won't get you anywhere quickly or pleasantly.
I'd say for around $150 or thereabouts (less if you can find a good sale), you can get a decent portable radio that has full SW coverage plus FM and AM, and sideband capability, with performance light-years away from the tiny Coby/Kaito/Insert-cheap-brand-name-copy-here radios. Paying for a cheap one is almost a guarantee of wasting a few $20 bills, whereas spending $100 or more on a real radio almost guarantees that you will not have wasted any money, and also guarantees that your start in the hobby will be of high enough quality that you won't WANT to have wasted it.
It's a self-fulfilling prophecy. You get what you pay for. And I'd rather spend more and keep what I bought, than spend less and simply throw the $50 in the trash can.
Linus

1452. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 20:33:38 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Cqnha.355$_43.196@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34169

For both Lisha and Steve, go to http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc/ and scroll down to the Scanner and Shortwave sections. In the Shortwave section, you'll find a "Handy Shortwave Chart". This will list the International Shortwave Bands, Ham Bands, Marine Bands, and Aviation Bands. Also is a very handy 24 hour conversion chart converting from Local time (PST/MST/CST/EST to GMT/UTC/Zulu. The frequency charts are all generalized, as you can find some of the comms beyond the "official" limits, except on the Ham bands.

What you spend on a radio, is of course, up to you, but I also would suggest, the more the better radio you get. I have a Sony 2010, Sangean 909, and a Yaesu VR-500. The last is a receiver that goes from 100 Khz to 1300 Mhz with the usual gaps. It has AM/NAM/WFM/NFM/USB/LSB. All this in a package that literally fits in the palm of your hand. Good luck in the hobby! rec.radio.shortwave is a good news group, but gets rough around the edges and many an argument comes up on OT and On topic subjects. Just asking about a certain company, or radio can start flame wars. But what the heck, I read it any way :^) Hope some of this is of some help. Eric KA6USJ

1453. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 20:42:28 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <Tynha.14304$se1.7111996@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34166

Thanks everyone thought I would say thanks to all you in one email lol being lazy today. Will the little radios you see with shortwave band be any good just to listen to things???

Lisha

"tim odonnell" <tim.odonnell@Wverizon.net> wrote in message news:BDjha.4695$pW1.325@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Lisha,
>
> Try rec.radio.shortwave there is a lot there and then some. A word of
> caution, it occasionally is a pretty rough group with a lot of OT and
> ranting.
>
> Tim
>
> "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> news:z8hha.14168$se1.6972359@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > Someone tell me about shortwave please! I'm not to sure what the big
> > differenaces are but I know there are alot. You can talk on shortwave
> right?
> > But what is all involved in getting one like licenses what ever?
Thanks!!!
> >
> > --
> > Lisha
> >
> > All incoming/outgoing email is checked
> > with Norton's Anti Virus 2003
> >
> >
>
>

1454. Radio Shack PRO-2067 Multi Trunking Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:03:23 UTC
From: Dave Schmidt <n9nlu@NO_SPAM_charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8c2m446p0tnbc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34173

Dont know if my posts are going through ... I haven't seen the previous messages post on the group yet...so I'll post it again...

FOR SALE:

*** Radio Shack PRO-2067 Base / Mobile Scanner ***

500 Channels
Trunk Tracking - Motorola type I, II. I/II Hybrid, LTR, EDACS
SAME Technology for Weather alerting
PC Programmable
Direct keypad frequency input
CTCSS / DPL decode
4 line Alpha display
BNC antenna connector
Plus much much more features

*** Comes with the the following: ***
Mounting bracket
Original user manual
Wall wart power pack - for base use
DC power cord - for mobile use
Serial programming cable
Programming software
and a Kenwood mobile extention speaker.

This scanner has been tested and works just beautifully. Condition is Very good - non-smoker, never mobile. I bought 2 of them, I just need one... so one has to go.

I'm asking $200 for the whole setup ready to plug and play...shipped 2-3 day priority.

I accept Paypal online payments and US Postal Money Orders

Thanks

Dave Schmidt
n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net *** Delete the "_REMOVE_THIS_" when responding. ***

1455. Re: Asking for Freqs, Was: Re: trying to find co. name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:05:00 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <0Unha.519$kd1.441901@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34168

I can imagine some companies don't even know.

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1eHga.27553$ja4.1891469@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I can't even find it in that database. Is it possible that a business
won't
> be listed?
>
> Also, is it O.K. to telephone some companies asking for their freqs? Has
> anyone ever tried this? Do you think the business would be leary of
giving
> the freq to me? I thought about calling a few cab companies and seeing if
> that was their freq but I wanted to see if everyone thought that was ok.
It
> might seem funny, I don't know.
>
> Thanks!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v850a4f9lloo93@corp.supernews.com...
> > http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm will give you what you seek
> > grasshopper...
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:17vga.52003$S%3.1875310@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > How would I go about finding the company name that goes with the
> frequency

> > > 860.83750mHz that could either be in Clarksville, IN, Jeffersonville,
> IN,
> > or
> > > Louisville, KY? It sounds like a taxi cab service.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1456. Got it from the radio -

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:05:17 UTC
From: "Mr. Frankenstien" <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com>
Message-ID: <hUnha.14884$Zo.5873@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 34172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Do you ever hear people use worn out phrases like:

"Now there you go, upsetting the liberal anti-government nitwits "

That's straight off the Rush Limbaugh radio.

hahah
they are so PROGRAMMED.

The University of RL:

"Now there you go" - From Reagan's debate with Carter
"Liberal" - Rush Limbaugh made this into the "Nigger" word.
"anti-government " - Gun owners know this one well.
"nitwits" RL fans can relate

They take a dozen or two of these phrases that they hear from the radio ,
and mix and match them when ever they need to disagree.
Rush never needed to argue the facts. These phrases did the job in many
people's minds.

Now these guys are in power and the deficit went straight down the toilet.
So did the economy, our international reputation, all in the name of a false
patriotism that they invented.

It's all gone!!!!

They actually think they are the patriotic ones.
You know how you can tell that they are not the patriotic ones?
Because they call someone unpatriotic for disagreeing with them, here in the
land of free speech.

They don't get it!

IF you are one of these stupid stupid people who are so intolerant, god help
us all.

1457. Re: 137.225Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:30:24 UTC
From: David Bate <davebate@scannerdesk.com>
Message-ID: <3E861070.2080307@scannerdesk.com>
Source record: 34175

Probably the OrbComm sats..

OrbComm ..... 137.2000 MHz ComData Operational
OrbComm ..... 137.2250 MHz ComData Operational
OrbComm ..... 137.2500 MHz ComData Operational
OrbComm ..... 137.4400 MHz ComData Operational
OrbComm ..... 137.4600 MHz ComData Operational
OrbComm ..... 137.6685 MHz ComData Operational
OrbComm ..... 137.6875 MHz ComData Operational
OrbComm ..... 137.7175 MHz ComData Operational
OrbComm ..... 137.7375 MHz ComData Operational
OrbComm ..... 137.8000 MHz ComData Operational
OrbComm ..... 400.1000 MHz Bcn/Comm Operational*
OrbComm ..... 401.1000 MHz Bcn/Comm Operational

On stardate 3/29/2003 07:17, Pat Cox wrote the following:
> Seems to me I remember hearing uplinks for portable satellite telephones
> from McMurdo Station, Antartica, in this frequency range in the early to
> mid-1990's. Weekends were very busy. It was very distinctive because of
> the AT&T jingle or tune that preceded each call. Pat, K4AVO (All-Icom)
>
> Ed Brady wrote:
>
>
>>Hello, I was scanning the 136.00 - 138.00 MHZ frequencies last night
>>and came across frequent FSK on 137.225 Mhz. This group of
>>frequencies is for sattelite and sattelite rebroadcasting. At first I
>>thought this was EMWIN (NOAA Weather) data, but after speaking with a
>>tech representative at TigerTronics, he indicated that most EMWIN
>>systems will received 1.69GHZ from sattelite and downconvert to
>>137.225 MHZ, but the data is never rebroadcasted on this frequency.
>>He also, said that he is not aware of any EMWIN rebroadcasters in the
>>Delaware, OH area. (Or all of Ohio for that matter.) So, this leaves
>>a mystery as to the source of this signal.
>>
>>Update: As I am writing this post I am now seeing the same data on
>>137.735MHZ.

Dave....

1458. FS>>Bearcat 210xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:30:52 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b6529dmom2@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 34174

Good condition works good $35. shipped

Gil

1459. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:41:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E861316.3050303@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 34186
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

jesseg wrote:
> our government IS jamming those frequencies you idiot.
>
> AND our government also has electromagnetic bombs which disrupt ALL
> electronic devices, doing damange like completely erasing all hard-drives
> within 20-30 miles of the target. the USA hasnt started using them yet
> (that the us media tells you about) but who's to say that they
> wont??????????? ???????????????????? ???????????????????? ??????????

The e-bomb will not disrupt tube equipment, it doesn't necessarily
completely erase all hard drives, and yes they have started using
them, they exploded one near the Iraqi television station that did
take it out for a while.

1460. Re: "Rush Limbaugh" - It's the professional wrestling of thinking!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:41:54 UTC
From: jesseg <some@thing.com>
Message-ID: <Cqoha.253185$L1.72128@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 34176
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

People who think IQ means anything are just plain... stupid.

Besides, I think my great great great

1461. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:45:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E8613DE.1010209@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 34187
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

jesseg wrote:
> calling consiracy nuts...
>
>
> you're the fucking retard who believes everything they are told without
> questioning or reasoning anything for yourself, retard. dude... 400 years
> ago people still thought the world was flat. when france helped america's
> forefathers leave the country, they HONESTLY THOUGHT they were sending us
> off the edge of the world.
>
>
> 400 years obviously doesnt make a difference.... and you're proving the
> point very well.

You can sure tell when a point hits the mark, the liberal
conspiracy nuts really jump and yell and start using profanity.
Guess you have to consider the intelligence level which is pretty low.

1462. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:45:38 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E861402.802@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 34188
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

David Eduardo wrote:
> "jesseg" <some@thing.com> wrote in message
> news:6Doha.254000$F1.46972@sccrnsc04...
>
>>our government IS jamming those frequencies you idiot.
>>
>
>
> There is no report of US... or anyone's jamming... of Iraqi SW broadcasts in
> any DX club or SW newsgroup.
>
> So what is your source?

The voices in his head told him so.

1463. Re: "Rush Limbaugh" - It's the professional wrestling of thinking!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:46:38 UTC
From: jesseg <some@thing.com>
Message-ID: <2voha.255370$3D1.139129@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Vector" <vector@vector.net> wrote
> <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com> wrote:
> >That's an insult by the way!
>
> And it is giving Limbaugh way too much credit.

I thought the same thing from the word "professional" onward.

1464. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:50:18 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E86151A.7070607@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 34189
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

jesseg wrote:
> our government IS jamming those frequencies you idiot.

Post the frequencies you think the government is jamming, I would
like to hear it.

1465. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:51:49 UTC
From: jesseg <some@thing.com>
Message-ID: <Vzoha.253970$F1.46990@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 34178
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q = PRICK

me = /ignore

=D

1466. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:52:24 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <sAoha.14330$se1.7138538@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34170

Thanks Linus I will be looking for something

LIsha

"GrtPmpkin32" <grtpmpkin32@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030329150612.17815.00000042@mb-fy.aol.com...
> >Will the little radios you see with shortwave band be any good
> >just to listen to things???
>
> Well, yes and no. Most of the smaller, less-expensive (actually cheapo!)
> portable radios that have FM, AM and SW (and sometimes TV and Weather)
will do
> fine for local stations, the main international broadcasters, and other
> easy-to-hear signals, but they typically have weak or otherwise poor
audio,
> terrible selectivity (loud stations tend to show up all over the radio,
not
> just the frequency they're assigned to, and makes it very difficult to
hear
> other stations), poor sensitivity, and do not usually have the same range
of
> coverage as slightly more expensive and larger models.
> On one hand, if you're looking for a quick, cheap way to start out, a
> mini-cheapie multi-band would be fine for a short while. And you're only
out
> $20 to $50, most of the time.
> On the other hand, you may well find yourself wanting to hear more and
hear
> better, and using one of those cheap models can turn you off of trying
> altogether.
> It's like driving a tractor on the highway... it MAY or may not get you
where
> you want to go, but it won't get you anywhere quickly or pleasantly.
> I'd say for around $150 or thereabouts (less if you can find a good sale),
you
> can get a decent portable radio that has full SW coverage plus FM and AM,
and
> sideband capability, with performance light-years away from the tiny
> Coby/Kaito/Insert-cheap-brand-name-copy-here radios. Paying for a cheap
one is
> almost a guarantee of wasting a few $20 bills, whereas spending $100 or
more on
> a real radio almost guarantees that you will not have wasted any money,
and
> also guarantees that your start in the hobby will be of high enough

quality
> that you won't WANT to have wasted it.
> It's a self-fulfilling prophecy. You get what you pay for. And I'd rather
spend
> more and keep what I bought, than spend less and simply throw the $50 in
the
> trash can.
> Linus
>

1467. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:55:14 UTC
From: jesseg <some@thing.com>
Message-ID: <6Doha.254000$F1.46972@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 34179
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

our government IS jamming those frequencies you idiot.

AND our government also has electromagnetic bombs which disrupt ALL electronic devices, doing damange like completely erasing all hard-drives within 20-30 miles of the target. the USA hasnt started using them yet (that the us media tells you about) but who's to say that they wont??????????? ?????????????????? ?????????????????? ??????????

and you have no proof that our government hasnt done this do you?

i dont have "proof" that they did, except for it's been on every major news carrier and news network on the face of the earth... i dont know how much more proof you need than that.

1468. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:57:33 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <gFoha.14332$se1.7140452@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34171

Thanks Eric going to start looking maybe find something on ebay lol

Lisha

"Eric Ferguson" <GEFERG@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:Cqnha.355$_43.196@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> For both Lisha and Steve, go to http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc/ and
> scroll down to the Scanner and Shortwave sections. In the Shortwave
section,
> you'll find a "Handy Shortwave Chart". This will list the International
> Shortwave Bands, Ham Bands, Marine Bands, and Aviation Bands. Also is a
very
> handy 24 hour conversion chart converting from Local time (PST/MST/CST/EST
> to GMT/UTC/Zulu. The frequency charts are all generalized, as you can find
> some of the comms beyond the "official" limits, except on the Ham bands.
>
> What you spend on a radio, is of course, up to you, but I also would
> suggest, the more the better radio you get. I have a Sony 2010, Sangean
909,
> and a Yaesu VR-500. The last is a receiver that goes from 100 Khz to 1300
> Mhz with the usual gaps. It has AM/NAM/WFM/NFM/USB/LSB. All this in a
> package that literally fits in the palm of your hand. Good luck in the
> hobby! rec.radio.shortwave is a good news group, but gets rough around the
> edges and many an argument comes up on OT and On topic subjects. Just
asking
> about a certain company, or radio can start flame wars. But what the heck,
I
> read it any way :^) Hope some of this is of some help. Eric KA6USJ
>
>

1469. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 21:59:55 UTC
From: jesseg <some@thing.com>
Message-ID: <vHoha.254049$F1.46324@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 34180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

calling consiracy nuts...

you're the fucking retard who believes everything they are told without questioning or reasoning anything for yourself, retard. dude... 400 years ago people still thought the world was flat. when france helped america's forefathers leave the country, they HONESTLY THOUGHT they were sending us off the edge of the world.

400 years obviously doesnt make a difference.... and you're proving the point very well.

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message news:3E850026.7000804@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> >
> > The US government does not jam the external radio broadcasts of any country.
> > Americans are free to listen to their propaganda from any source they wish.
>
>
> SSSSSHHHHHH!!! You are upsetting the liberal anti-government
> conspiracy nuts.
>

1470. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 22:28:37 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030329172837.25861.00000105@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 34181

>Thanks Linus I will be looking for something

No problem, and take your time. There's a lot to absorb where features/specs/comparisons are concerned, and it can get a little overwhelming at times. With scanners, shortwave, any receiver, it really pays to do your homework and look around for the best overall compromise between your budget, the features you want, the features you *need*, size/space considerations, etc. You'll find it, there's a lot of good equipment out there!
Good luck-
Linus

1471. Re: aircraft frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 22:45:54 UTC
From: RustY&#65533; <RustY@no-mail.anytime>
Message-ID: <Unpha.3006$8s6.21873@newsfep4-glfd.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 34183

"Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:kvkha.413$kd1.389143@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Sometimes these frequencies are for airplane routing on the ground, which
> doesn't transmit on too much power.
>
Also many listed freqs will be backups and seldom used. At a major
international airport there will still only be one tower freq - one ground
etc. But probably lots of less interesting stuff like baggage handlers etc.

1472. Re: Got it from the radio -

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 22:46:29 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <qnpha.67$ie2.3521@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 34182
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yup.

"Mr. Frankenstien" <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com> wrote in message
news:hUnha.14884$Zo.5873@sccrnsc03...
> Do you ever hear people use worn out phrases like:
>
> "Now there you go, upsetting the liberal anti-government nitwits "
>
> That's straight off the Rush Limbaugh radio.
>
> hahah
> they are so PROGRAMMED.
>
> The University of RL:
>
> "Now there you go" - From Reagan's debate with Carter
> "Liberal" - Rush Limbaugh made this into the "Nigger" word.
> "anti-government " - Gun owners know this one well.
> "nitwits" RL fans can relate
>
> They take a dozen or two of these phrases that they hear from the radio ,
> and mix and match them when ever they need to disagree.
> Rush never needed to argue the facts. These phrases did the job in many
> people's minds.
>
> Now these guys are in power and the deficit went straight down the toilet.
> So did the economy, our international reputation, all in the name of a
false
> patriotism that they invented.
>
> It's all gone!!!!
>
> They actually think they are the patriotic ones.
> You know how you can tell that they are not the patriotic ones?
> Because they call someone unpatriotic for disagreeing with them, here in
the
> land of free speech.
>
> They don't get it!
>
> IF you are one of these stupid stupid people who are so intolerant, god
help
> us all.

>
>
>

1473. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 23:01:07 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <TApha.190$Vo.132@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34184
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"jesseg" <some@thing.com> wrote in message
news:6Doha.254000$F1.46972@sccrnsc04...
> our government IS jamming those frequencies you idiot.
>

There is no report of US... or anyone's jamming... of Iraqi SW broadcasts in
any DX club or SW newsgroup.

So what is your source?

1474. Re: MAINSAIL (11175) Up and Quite Active Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-29 23:11:50 UTC
From: Casey <spamland@delete.com>
Message-ID: <WKpha.202$Fe.17548416@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34185

Is the reason you ration S/N so that you will have some left over for later?

Casey

"Reticulum" <cathexis@yourhaterols.com> wrote in message
news:3e81ed14.145625036@news.starpower.net...
>
> Lots of chatter on 11175 now. S/N ration here
> in D.C. area makes reading a little difficult. -- Perhaps
> just propagation here. Offutt is up and working flights.
>
> "Mainsail, Mainsaiil Lima Delta Yankee 207 check "
>
> LOTS to hear !
>
>
>
> Reticulum
>
> Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail
>

1475. Re: MICHIGAN CHANGING THE WAY WE LISTEN TO SCANNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 00:16:59 UTC
From: kc8bdk <kc8bdk@wa8mac.net>
Message-ID: <aPSdnRQsKvreqhujXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 34190

Susie;

here in Macomb County where I live, this fall they voted down the millage to upgrade to an 800mHz system. They were trying to replace the 40 year old system that they currently have.

Looks like unless they can come up with the $$$ Macomb is gonna be able to be heard on 460mHz for some time

73
Paul

"susie" <BABYJSMAMA@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:1708-3E849322-121@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I had posted earlier about this..and wondered with my 100 channel..12
> band -800mhz-BC 860XLT-Twin Turbo..how is it going to be
> affected..please read the
> following..... Civilian
> police scanners around Michigan are going silent, but not because crime
> has come to a stop.
> The state's new law enforcement communications system will be up and
> running within two weeks. That means the old VHF system that people
> could pick up at home will be turned off.
> Local fire departments and ambulance services will continue using the
> old system. But the new, 800-megahertz system will scramble central
> dispatch communications -- and won't be available to the general public.
>
> Susie/Michigan-Mom of Jackie Harrison-born 1-11-80---killed 06-08-02 by
> drunk driver while riding her bike home from store-please vist her
> website and sign her guestbook -without music
> http://community.webtv.net/BABYJSMAMA/DRUNKDRIVERSNEEDTO
> (with music)
> http://community.webtv.net/BABYJSMAMA/DRUNKDRIVERSNEEDTO0
>

1476. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 01:00:38 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <i1gc8vk2h3k8prk69febi0uuqi25pfqpu4@4ax.com>
Source record: 34191
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 22:15:01 GMT, John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
wrote:

>No, are you so stupid to think that an Iraqi would be listening to the VOA in any
>language? How many Americans do you know that listen to Radio Iraq's English
>broadcasts. None. Because the signal is always jammed by our government. They do
>that over there too. Thus how we know this story is bullshit.

My sources tell me the Voice of America and the BBC are among the most
popular shortwave services. I have several excellent showrtwave
receivers and I hear no jamming. I don't believe I've ever heard of
the US jamming anyone. Even during the cold war Radio Moscow came in
loud and clear. Radio Havana is still one of the best signals.

Where are these jamming transmitters located? Where are you getting
your information? The US is one of the few countries whose citizens
don't use shortwave very often. There are too many alternatives.

In Afghanistan almost everyone had a shortwave radio. I think you need
to do a little more research.

Rich

1477. Re: 137.225Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 01:30:23 UTC
From: MechCD <mechcd@STUPIDSPAMbluebottle.com>
Message-ID: <PMrha.281736$6b3.1177331@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34192

Would there be any chance of decoding these packets? I get quite interested
in digital stuff, but i can't ever find a way to decode it :(

"David Bate" <davebate@scannerdesk.com> wrote in message
news:3E861070.2080307@scannerdesk.com...
> Probably the OrbComm sats..
>
> OrbComm ..... 137.2000 MHz ComData Operational
> OrbComm ..... 137.2250 MHz ComData Operational
> OrbComm ..... 137.2500 MHz ComData Operational
> OrbComm ..... 137.4400 MHz ComData Operational
> OrbComm ..... 137.4600 MHz ComData Operational
> OrbComm ..... 137.6685 MHz ComData Operational
> OrbComm ..... 137.6875 MHz ComData Operational
> OrbComm ..... 137.7175 MHz ComData Operational
> OrbComm ..... 137.7375 MHz ComData Operational
> OrbComm ..... 137.8000 MHz ComData Operational
> OrbComm ..... 400.1000 MHz Bcn/Comm Operational*
> OrbComm ..... 401.1000 MHz Bcn/Comm Operational
>
snip

1478. Re: WHo?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 01:32:28 UTC
From: MechCD <mechcd@STUPIDSPAMbluebottle.com>
Message-ID: <MOrha.287748$sf5.246161@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I think Jesus would have been assassinated in today's times.

"O" <O@o.com> wrote in message news:AhUga.239476$3D1.129919@sccrnsc01...
>
>
>

1479. Re: 137.225Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 01:53:14 UTC
From: David Bate <davebate@scannerdesk.com>
Message-ID: <e6sha.8096$Xw1.627@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 34194

Not to my knowledge..

On stardate 3/29/2003 20:30, MechCD wrote the following:

> Would there be any chance of decoding these packets? I get quite interested
> in digital stuff, but i can't ever find a way to decode it :(
>
> "David Bate" <davebate@scannerdesk.com> wrote in message
> news:3E861070.2080307@scannerdesk.com...
>
>>Probably the OrbComm sats..
>>
>>OrbComm ..... 137.2000 MHz ComData Operational
>>OrbComm ..... 137.2250 MHz ComData Operational
>>OrbComm ..... 137.2500 MHz ComData Operational
>>OrbComm ..... 137.4400 MHz ComData Operational
>>OrbComm ..... 137.4600 MHz ComData Operational
>>OrbComm ..... 137.6685 MHz ComData Operational
>>OrbComm ..... 137.6875 MHz ComData Operational
>>OrbComm ..... 137.7175 MHz ComData Operational
>>OrbComm ..... 137.7375 MHz ComData Operational
>>OrbComm ..... 137.8000 MHz ComData Operational
>>OrbComm ..... 400.1000 MHz Bcn/Comm Operational*
>>OrbComm ..... 401.1000 MHz Bcn/Comm Operational
>>

Dave...

1480. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 02:54:06 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030329215406.24034.00000131@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 34196

> do you know anything about Icom PCR 1000 I would like something like
>it to listen through the computer I was wondering with one you get freq from
>the computer how far away can you pick from?

I am not familiar with the PCR1000, and can't comment with any authority. But if you're wondering how far your reception range will be, it really won't be any different than any other radio you use, as it still needs an antenna. The computer is needed to display all information about the radio (tuning, frequency display, mode, all the bells and whistles) and it will control the functions of the receiver, and stores all memories/frequencies, modes, etc. But you still need a decent antenna, and the computer will have nothing to do with how well the antenna performs. It doesn't get its signals from the computer. You can pick any frequency within the PCR1000's range (which should be at least 500 kHz to 30MHz, you'll have to see their specs for the actual range), but you won't hear any of them without a real antenna.
I believe the radio comes with an on board telescoping whip antenna, like on a portable, and also an external antenna jack to hook up a better antenna.
Linus

1481. WTB Old Regency Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 03:04:00 UTC
From: BiteFace <w6ibl@juno.com>
Message-ID: <A8tha.2084$lZ2.200221@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 34097

Still looking for Regency single-frequency receivers ACT-R1 VHF Hi-Band or UHF. These are 20+ year old receivers which are probably collecting dust somewhere. Prefer working units with no mods.

Thanks,

John

1482. Re: "Rush Limbaugh" - It's the professional wrestling of thinking!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 03:09:33 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb8cnvd.1d7.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 34098
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

In article <7vjb8v4diho1da3mu3gb2l2r5ddcdrnjhr@4ax.com>, Vector wrote:
> On Sat, 29 Mar 2003 07:43:44 -0600, "Paul Jensen" <pjensen@gnt.net>
> wrote:
>
>>And your I.Q. would be
>
> I'm sure some of the professional wrestler's IQs are fairly high.
> I know they make a lot more money than I do. Their IQ doesn't
> validate their occupation as a true sport though.

Wrestling on TV has never been a sport. It is showbiz. If it was
a sport, you would be able to be on the outcome.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

1483. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 03:11:40 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d17275c.0303291911.25af5cb3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34099

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:<x%gha.5552$7L.133311@news0.telusplanet.net>...
> Short-wave is from about 2 MHz to 30 MHz and because of the Ionosphere
> signals can skip around the world. Most of the broadcasts are in AM or SSB.
> Most of the commercial users are moving out of the short-wave bands and
> moving to satellite but you can still find some good ones. I haven't heard
> the Voice of America, Radio Canada, Radio Moscow or Radio Cuba in some time
> and the BBC has stopped its North American broadcasts sometime ago. The big
> users on short-wave are the Hams and are almost all on SSB or CW with some
> FM up in the 10 meter band. If you use a CB radio you are talking in the
> high end of the short-wave bands. Some countries do require a license to own
> a short-wave radio but Canada and the US don't. The big thing with
> short-wave is a good antenna and height above the ground.

The BBC may have stopped their "beaming" to the USA, but I still
listen on 5.975MHz at night and 15.4MHz during the afternoon.
Radio Havana Cuba, "The voice of liberation", is still going stron.
Radio Moscow has been replaced by Radio Russia. Not nearly as much fun.
I listened to Swiss Radio this afternoon at 15.nnn. I don't have
my log with me right now, it is on another Pc that has crashed.
I listen the "Vinyl Cafe" ever Saturday morning, 10:05EST on 13.650
on the CBC.
While a lot of SW services have left, there are still a lot of
interesting SW stations left.
I have the BBC on right now.
as you might guess from my email addy, r2000swler@.., I really
enjoy listening to SW on my Kenwood R2000.
Terry

1484. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 03:13:35 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <zhtha.14396$se1.7237562@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34195

Linus do you know anything about Icom PCR 1000 I would like something like it to listen through the computer I was wondering with one you get freq from the computer how far away can you pick from?

Thanks again

Lisha

"GrtPmpkin32" <grtpmpkin32@aol.com> wrote in message news:20030329172837.25861.00000105@mb-fg.aol.com...
> >Thanks Linus I will be looking for something
>
> No problem, and take your time. There's a lot to absorb where
> features/specs/comparisons are concerned, and it can get a little overwhelming
> at times. With scanners, shortwave, any receiver, it really pays to do your
> homework and look around for the best overall compromise between your budget,
> the features you want, the features you *need*, size/space considerations, etc.
> You'll find it, there's a lot of good equipment out there!
> Good luck-
> Linus

1485. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 03:15:29 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d17275c.0303291915.4e666056@posting.google.com>
Source record: 34100

"tim odonnell" <tim.odonnell@Wverizon.net> wrote in message
news:<BDjha.4695$pW1.325@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>...
> Lisha,
>
> Try rec.radio.shortwave there is a lot there and then some. A word of
> caution, it occasionally is a pretty rough group with a lot of OT and
> ranting.
>
> Tim
>
"a pretty rough group"??????
Do you work for the state department?
If not, you might have a future as a diplomat!
On good days, when the inmates are playing nice,
rrs is more like a bar fight then anything else that comes
to mind. When the flame wars start, it can be a very mean
spirited place.

Terry

1486. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 03:22:02 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dgoc8v0aqjc81vd62s6ui4v6qb7csp5mu7@4ax.com>
Source record: 34101
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Sat, 29 Mar 2003 07:38:21 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>This again? Even Algore's lawyers recounted the votes - SEVERAL times, and
>each time, Algore STILL lost. To be certain, Bush did not win by a
>"landslide",

Gore recounted the Florida votes. Gore won the popular vote. Bush won
the Electoral College vote. More people voted for Gore, just not in
the right states. The Electoral College determines who gets to be
President. More American citizens voted for Gore than Bush.

Rich

1487. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 03:40:41 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8cppogrrotg3f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

My aluminum foil hat thwarts the governments attempts to jam my brainwaves.
You need to rapidly construct and don one.

The past few messages you have posted show scrambled thought, caused by the
jamming waves. Get your Reynolds wrap out before it is too late...

"jesseg" <some@thing.com> wrote in message
news:6Doha.254000$F1.46972@sccrnsc04...
> our government IS jamming those frequencies you idiot.
>
> AND our government also has electromagnetic bombs which disrupt ALL
> electronic devices, doing damange like completely erasing all hard-drives
> within 20-30 miles of the target. the USA hasnt started using them yet
> (that the us media tells you about) but who's to say that they
> wont??????????? ???????????????????? ???????????????????? ??????????
>
>
>
> and you have no proof that our government hasnt done this do you?
>
> i dont have "proof" that they did, except for it's been on every major
news
> carrier and news network on the face of the earth... i dont know how
much
> more proof you need than that.
>
>

1488. Re: "Rush Limbaugh" - It's the professional wrestling of thinking!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 04:04:33 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <27rc8vkq4d3mi5oi146buro2vgm072oid9@4ax.com>
Source record: 34103
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 03:09:33 +0000 (UTC), "Povl H. Pedersen"
<povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local> wrote:

>Wrestling on TV has never been a sport. It is showbiz. If it was
>a sport, you would be able to be on the outcome.

Don't ever say that in front of Hulk Hogan. John Stossell of ABC made
that suggestion and nearly got his face rearranged.

Rich

1489. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 04:12:52 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7drc8v8oodgch74vtlhciqlq1gjtgr6gdc@4ax.com>
Source record: 34104
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Sat, 29 Mar 2003 22:40:41 -0500, "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr"
<w4jle@charter.net> wrote:

>My aluminum foil hat thwarts the governments attempts to jam my brainwaves.
>You need to rapidly construct and don one.
>
>The past few messages you have posted show scrambled thought, caused by the
>jamming waves. Get your Reynolds wrap out before it is too late...
>
>"jesseg" <some@thing.com> wrote in message
>news:6Doha.254000$F1.46972@sccrnsc04...
>> our government IS jamming those frequencies you idiot.
>>
>> AND our government also has electromagnetic bombs which disrupt ALL
>> electronic devices, doing damange like completely erasing all hard-drives
>> within 20-30 miles of the target. the USA hasnt started using them yet
>> (that the us media tells you about) but who's to say that they
>> wont??

Actually, the military admits to having microwave bombs that simulate
the electronic shock that would be experienced in a nuclear blast. I
was watching several news channels the other night and all of them
claimed the US had used one of those bombs near the Ministry of
Information.

There has been a running debate about the use of solid state equipment
because of its sensitivity to such shock waves. Many claim that the
military should use tube equipment because it's not as sensitive to
such shocks. It's the same sensitivity that causes us to ship solid
state equipment in anti-static pouches.

Rich

1490. FA : Motorola GP-68 VHF HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 04:37:05 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Rvuha.2594$yY.1640908@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 34106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

20 Channels, will do 2M amateur band, low reserve!
Take a look!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3015467651

1491. FA : Brand New Motorola GP2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 04:41:58 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qAuha.2595$yY.1642462@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 34107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

99 Channels, field programmable, will do 440 amateur band thru 480, take a look.....

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3015404455

1492. Re: MICHIGAN CHANGING THE WAY WE LISTEN TO SCANNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 05:10:00 UTC
From: MESKI <skii@home.com>
Message-ID: <s0vc8v4dahv3lr24uuc4de7hkqlketsj9k@4ax.com>
Source record: 34108

THIS LINK FROM THE DETROIT NEWS explains it all ! --

http://www.detnews.com/2003/metro/0303/20/d01-113849.htm

On Sat, 29 Mar 2003 19:16:59 -0500, "kc8bdk" <kc8bdk@wa8mac.net>
wrote:

>Susie;
>
>here in Macomb County where I live, this fall they voted down the millage to
>upgrade to an 800mHz system. They were trying to replace the 40 year old
>system that they currently have.
>
>Looks like unless they can come up with the $$$ Macomb is gonna be able to
>be heard on 460mHz for some time
>
>73
>Paul
>
>
>"susie" <BABYJSMAMA@webtv.net> wrote in message
>news:1708-3E849322-121@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>> I had posted earlier about this..and wondered with my 100 channel..12
>> band -800mhz-BC 860XLT-Twin Turbo..how is it going to be
>> affected..please read the
>> following..... Civilian
>> police scanners around Michigan are going silent, but not because crime
>> has come to a stop.
>> The state's new law enforcement communications system will be up and
>> running within two weeks. That means the old VHF system that people
>> could pick up at home will be turned off.
>> Local fire departments and ambulance services will continue using the
>> old system. But the new, 800-megahertz system will scramble central
>> dispatch communications -- and won't be available to the general public.
>>
>> Susie/Michigan-Mom of Jackie Harrison-born 1-11-80---killed 06-08-02 by
>> drunk driver while riding her bike home from store-please vist her
>> website and sign her guestbook -without music
>> http://community.webtv.net/BABYJSMAMA/DRUNKDRIVERSNEEDTO
>> (with music)
>> http://community.webtv.net/BABYJSMAMA/DRUNKDRIVERSNEEDTO0
>>
>

1493. Q heard this on the radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 05:20:33 UTC
From: Fu Q II <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com>
Message-ID: <B8vha.287897$S_4.237089@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 34109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Saddam gives the families of suicide bombers thousands of dollars. The
suicide bombers kill themselves and others instantly.

The US pays soldiers to sacrifice their lives and to kill iraquis.
The only difference is that it happens more slooowly.

Each side considers the others to be criminals.

They both are right.

1494. FA : ALINCO DR-135T VHF (2M) transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 05:26:40 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kevha.2722$yY.1656683@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 34110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3016077424

1495. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 05:30:47 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <bivha.14420$se1.7276085@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34105

Thanks again Linus that does help. I guess I'll have to learn how to put and antenna up and get it working too lol I have never done anything like that before.I want to learn what trucking is all about. Not really sure.

Lisha

"GrtPmpkin32" <grtpmpkin32@aol.com> wrote in message news:20030329215406.24034.00000131@mb-ck.aol.com...
> > do you know anything about Icom PCR 1000 I would like something like
> >it to listen through the computer I was wondering with one you get freq from
> >the computer how far away can you pick from?
>
> I am not familiar with the PCR1000, and can't comment with any authority. But
> if you're wondering how far your reception range will be, it really won't be
> any different than any other radio you use, as it still needs an antenna. The
> computer is needed to display all information about the radio (tuning,
> frequency display, mode, all the bells and whistles) and it will control the
> functions of the receiver, and stores all memories/frequencies, modes, etc. But
> you still need a decent antenna, and the computer will have nothing to do with
> how well the antenna performs. It doesn't get its signals from the computer.
> You can pick any frequency within the PCR1000's range (which should be at least
> 500 kHz to 30MHz, you'll have to see their specs for the actual range), but you
> won't hear any of them without a real antenna.
> I believe the radio comes with an on board telescoping whip antenna, like on a
> portable, and also an external antenna jack to hook up a better antenna.
> Linus

1496. Re: WTB Old Regency Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 06:04:58 UTC
From: Arne <arne@cox.net>
Message-ID: <p12d8vch24u9hvag2fngbltsnktjmnr6t3@4ax.com>
Source record: 34111

On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 03:04:00 GMT, "BiteFace" <w6ibl@juno.com> wrote:
>Still looking for Regency single-frequency receivers ACT-R1 VHF Hi-Band or
>UHF. These are 20+ year old receivers which are probably collecting dust
>somewhere. Prefer working units with no mods.
>Thanks,
>John

I don't have an ACT-R1 but I do have the instruction manual for one.

1497. Re: "Rush Limbaugh" - It's the professional wrestling of thinking!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 06:12:42 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAABF509.151C6%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 34112
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Povl H. Pedersen" wrote:

> Wrestling on TV has never been a sport. It
> is showbiz. If it was a sport, you would be
> able to be on the outcome.

It certainly isn't a sport. It isn't very good showbiz either. I'd like to see a real wrestling event, with true athletes. Sadly, that never makes it to television.

By the way, I think you meant to say "BET on the outcome."

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1498. Re: Pro-95 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 06:27:53 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24314-3E868E69-116@storefull-2333.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 34113

A 'Mark IIIB'? Why would anyone want to get an 'unblocked' Scanner
nowadays that doesn't do any trunk tracking?

1499. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 08:53:28 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <cgyha.9888$0X.3542807@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34114
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Rich Wood" <richbwood@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:i1gc8vk2h3k8prk69febi0uuqi25pfqpu4@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 22:15:01 GMT, John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
> wrote:
>
> >No, are you so stupid to think that an Iraqi would be listening to the
VOA in any
> >language? How many Americans do you know that listen to Radio Iraq's
English
> >broadcasts. None. Because the signal is always jammed by our
government. They do
> >that over there too. Thus how we know this story is bullshit.
>
> My sources tell me the Voice of America and the BBC are among the most
> popular shortwave services. I have several excellent showrtwave
> receivers and I hear no jamming. I don't believe I've ever heard of
> the US jamming anyone. Even during the cold war Radio Moscow came in
> loud and clear. Radio Havana is still one of the best signals.
>
> Where are these jamming transmitters located? Where are you getting
> your information? The US is one of the few countries whose citizens
> don't use shortwave very often. There are too many alternatives.
>
> In Afghanistan almost everyone had a shortwave radio. I think you need
> to do a little more research.
>
> Rich

He doesn't DO research. He listens to the voices in his head...

1500. Re: aircraft frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 10:33:41 UTC
From: Den6N <den6n@rcn.com>
Message-ID: <b66h67$k83$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 34115

Have you gone to http://www.airnav.com ? Complete lists for any airport. Also keep in mind that an aircraft will use these frequencies for several minutes and then they're on a departure freq, on to local ATC, and then perhaps with NY or Boston Center if they're large/ travelling to other areas of the country. In oher words, as they move, they're going frequency to frequency along the way.

You can find the Center frequencies at http://www.milaircomms.com

den6n
==========
"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message
news:sNWcncEI2do3LxijXTWcrg@comcast.com...
> In NJ Newark and Teterboro airports are who I would like to hear. I have a
> list of Frequencies for both these airports but it seem that I only get
> anything on two sometimes three frequencies. Is anyone listening to these
> two airports? if so what are the frequencies that I should be listening to?
> thanks
> -
> Anthony
>
>

1501. Re: Q heard this on the radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 11:39:13 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030330063913.28525.00000078@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 34116

So now people are going to bring up off topic BS by saying you heard it on the radio!? Isn't there some political newsgroup where you can rant and rave about things or are we just going to turn this into another rec.radio.cb?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

1502. R5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 12:20:10 UTC
From: Nuno Ferrao <nunoferrao@netcabo.pt>
Message-ID: <3e86e0c3$0$15686$a729d347@news.telepac.pt>
Source record: 34117

Hi
Any sugestions about this antennas for R5.
Maldol SMA 209 and Pryme RD-9SMA.
Thank,s in advance
Regards
Nuno

1503. entering frequencies in bc250d

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 12:32:45 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <b66o2e$1n1$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 34118

I am trying to enter a certain frequency in the bc250d, but the scanner is rounding off the frequency. I am trying to enter 162.3200, but the scanner is rounding it to 162.3500. On the sc150b, i can enter 162.3200 without it getting rounded. Can anyone help?

1504. Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 12:57:15 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com>
Source record: 34119
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello all.

I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a private and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a "Realistic" brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle east. I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that "time of day" announcer.

I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to get him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using some of our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his voice and know that he is OK or not.
For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a lawn"
But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in the room"

That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to him. If he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news or that al-Jazeeera place.

So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to hear him?
and how do I talk back to him?
Thanks for your help.
EG

1505. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 13:13:59 UTC
From: Brian <houge@REMOVEsmart-tech.dk>
Message-ID: <3e86ed95$0$238$edfadb0f@dread15.news.tele.dk>
Source record: 34120
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

First of all, you should never try broadcasting on a freq you dont have a licens for, surely
not on a army/navy or airforce freq, you could get into alot of trubble.
Secound, most battlefield radios are VHF, that means your chance to listen in are very slim, becourse the VHF signals dont reach the US unless your very lucky one day.
Third, most of the radios use encryption, so eaven IF you could get the signal, you would
not understand any of it.

The best chance to hear anything is on HF, 0-30mhz, but thats not where the major
battlefield radios work. Most of the trafic there is Airforce trafic control, not real battle
radio.

Sorry to say it, but thats the facts.

I wish you son the best and hope he is safe home soon.

Regards
Brian.

1506. Re: Shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 13:33:55 UTC
From: tim odonnell <tim.odonnell@Wverizon.net>
Message-ID: <7nCha.1561$HJ3.960@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34121

Hmm, I guess my description was a bit lacking? I wanted her to have a look at the group,,,, not scare her away. However, you are correct it can get down right ugly in there sometimes.

Tim

"Terry" <r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:d17275c.0303291915.4e666056@posting.google.com...
> "tim odonnell" <tim.odonnell@Wverizon.net> wrote in message news:<BDjha.4695$pW1.325@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>...
> > Lisha,
> >
> > Try rec.radio.shortwave there is a lot there and then some. A word of
> > caution, it occasionally is a pretty rough group with a lot of OT and
> > ranting.
> >
> > Tim
> >
> "a pretty rough group"??????
> Do you work for the state department?
> If not, you might have a future as a diplomat!
> On good days, when the inmates are playing nice,
> rrs is more like a bar fight then anything else that comes
> to mind. When the flame wars start, it can be a very mean
> spirited place.
>
> Terry

1507. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 13:45:08 UTC
From: Jeff Santee <Jeff352@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <ExCha.24196$Zo.7372@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 34122
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> Hello all.
>
> I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a private
> and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a "Realistic"
> brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle east.
> I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that "time of
> day" announcer.
>
> I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to get
> him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using some of
> our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his voice
> and know that he is OK or not.
> For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a lawn"
> But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in the
> room"
>
> That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to him. If
> he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news or that
> al-Jazeeera place.
>
> So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to hear
> him?
> and how do I talk back to him?
> Thanks for your help.
==========

A mind is a terrible thing to waste. But it was a good one Emilio.

Jeff

1508. Re: entering frequencies in bc250d

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 14:02:56 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com.goaway
Message-ID: <20030330090256.12421.00000075@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 34123

Try changing the scanning steps as pure your manual.
This may work for you...

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

1509. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 14:15:24 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b66trd$6sl$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 34124
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> Hello all.
>
> I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a
private
> and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a "Realistic"
> brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle east.
> I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that "time of
> day" announcer.
>
> I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to get
> him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using some
of
> our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his
voice
> and know that he is OK or not.
> For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a lawn"
> But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in the
> room"
>
> That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to him.
If
> he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news or
that
> al-Jazeeera place.
>
> So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to hear
> him?
> and how do I talk back to him?
> Thanks for your help.
> EG

How is your kid gonna tell you if he is being tortured? Don't you think that
if the current POW's had a way to communicate they would? Get real and stop
torturing us with these dumb questions.

1510. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 14:24:42 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <K6Dha.34468$ja4.2218317@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34125
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Emilio,

Bless you.... Your message really touched me. I wish there was a way I knew of that you could keep in touch with your son directly through a radio contact. But I know of none. The only connection I could ever think of is through cell phone and I don't even know if they work from Iraq to the U.S. Your heart is big and sweet, Emilio. May your son be safe and home before you even know it. I'm sure he makes you proud.

I did a search to see what frequencies you could listen to in Iraq, and these came from the milaircomms web page:

http://www.milaircomms.com/middle_east_shortwave.html - Schedule of broadcasts
http://www.milaircomms.com/war_iraq.html - Main Iraq war page
http://www.rferl.org/shortwave/shortwave-iq.asp - Radio Free Iraq listings

I have not listened to these myself, so I do not know exactly what you'll hear, but good luck to you in your search.

A friend,

Stacey

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> Hello all.
>
> I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a
private
> and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a "Realistic"
> brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle east.
> I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that "time of
~~~~~~~

> day" announcer.
>
> I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to get
> him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using some
of
> our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his
voice
> and know that he is OK or not.
> For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a lawn"
> But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in the
> room"
>
> That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to him.
If
> he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news or
that
> al-Jazeeera place.
>
> So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to hear
> him?
> and how do I talk back to him?
> Thanks for your help.
> EG
>
>

1511. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 14:36:11 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34126
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to someone who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and doesn't need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to help him. His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion! His question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for help. He only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You should be ashamed. He is feeling pain over not knowing the fate of his own flesh and blood. If you had a child in Iraq you would spend sleepness nights wondering what your child was doing that very moment, whether or not they were safe! You would try ANYTHING and EVERYTHING to get a direct contact to your child!

Obviously you don't have any children or you NEVER would have posted such noise!!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b66trd$6sl$1@news3.infoave.net...
>
> "Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > Hello all.
> >
> > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a
> private
> > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a "Realistic"
> > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle
east.
> > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that "time
of
> > day" announcer.
> >
~~~~~~~

> > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to
get
> > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using
some
> of
> > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his
> voice
> > and know that he is OK or not.
> > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a
lawn"
> > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in the
> > room"
> >
> > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to him.
> If
> > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news or
> that
> > al-Jazeeera place.
> >
> > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to hear
> > him?
> > and how do I talk back to him?
> > Thanks for your help.
> > EG
>
> How is your kid gonna tell you if he is being tortured? Don't you think
that
> if the current POW's had a way to communicate they would? Get real and
stop
> torturing us with these dumb questions.
>
>

1512. Watertown N.Y.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 14:40:26 UTC
From: Jeff <jeff.watkins@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns934E62674B0E8jeffwatkinssympatico@206.172.150.14>
Source record: 34128

Hi
Any one Know of a HAM/Scanner store in Watertown N.Y.?

1513. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 14:43:49 UTC
From: Brian <houge@REMOVEsmart-tech.dk>
Message-ID: <3e8702a2$0$202$edfadb0f@dread15.news.tele.dk>
Source record: 34127
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b66trd$6sl$1@news3.infoave.net...

BLAP BLAP BLAP, DOOH!!!

My god your are an idiot cowboy67.

/Brian

1514. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 14:52:48 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4xDha.34587$ja4.2221059@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34129
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My sentiments, exactly.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Brian <houge@REMOVEsmart-tech.dk> wrote in message
news:3e8702a2$0$202$edfadb0f@dread15.news.tele.dk...
>
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b66trd$6sl$1@news3.infoave.net...
>
> BLAP BLAP BLAP, DOOH!!!
>
> My god your are an idiot cowboy67.
>
>
> /Brian
>
>
~~~~~~~

1515. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 15:37:11 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e870f2d$0$83865$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 34130
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You obviously have not been around here. Emilio does this kind of crap on a regular basis. His posts are nowhere near real. It is Emilio who is doing the insulting by making a joke out of the unfortunate soldiers who are POW's.
It is truely very sad when some one like this Emilio person finds sick humor in the horror and sadness of war and in particular having a son or daugter at war and possibly being killed or captured. Do some Google research on this sick idiot and you will see what I mean.

Greg
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to someone
> who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and doesn't
> need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to help him.
> His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion! His
> question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for help. He
> only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You should
> be ashamed. He is feeling pain over not knowing the fate of his own flesh
> and blood. If you had a child in Iraq you would spend sleepness nights
> wondering what your child was doing that very moment, whether or not they
> were safe! You would try ANYTHING and EVERYTHING to get a direct contact to
> your child!
>
> Obviously you don't have any children or you NEVER would have posted such
> noise!!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

>
> Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b66trd$6sl$1@news3.infoave.net...
> >
> > "Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > > Hello all.
> > >
> > > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a
> > private
> > > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a
"Realistic"
> > > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle
> east.
> > > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that
"time
> of
> > > day" announcer.
> > >
> > > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to
> get
> > > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using
> some
> > of
> > > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his
> > voice
> > > and know that he is OK or not.
> > > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a
> lawn"
> > > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in
the
> > > room"
> > >
> > > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to
him.
> > If
> > > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news
or
> > that
> > > al-Jazeeera place.
> > >
> > > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to
hear
> > > him?
> > > and how do I talk back to him?
> > > Thanks for your help.
> > > EG
> >
> > How is your kid gonna tell you if he is being tortured? Don't you think

> that
> > if the current POW's had a way to communicate they would? Get real and
> stop
> > torturing us with these dumb questions.
> >
> >
>
>

1516. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 15:48:13 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1lEha.34668$ja4.2227166@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34131
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cowboy,

IF what I hear is true and this Emilio Goldstein doesn't really have a child at war and was playing a sick joke and making fun of the war, then you have my apology. I scolded you simply because I believed what Emilio said was true. I had no idea he had a history of "playing around" with sensitive issues. Forgive me!

(And to think I went and did a search of frequencies for him!)

Cowboy, if this Emilio guy is fraud, I'm really truly sorry. Will you forgive me? (Time to take out that six-shooter!!)

I just have gotten used to the rudeness of some people on the newsgroups, especially in the shortwave group, that I automatically assumed you were one of them. It hurts me to see that people can be so cruel to one another. Don't get me wrong, there have been a number of friendly people on these groups, in fact, the kind posters far outnumber the rude posters. It just seems the groups are being overwhelmed by them (rude people) because it is they who bother me the most!!

So, sorry! Sorry to everyone else, too. I didn't know the history on this issue.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b66trd$6sl$1@news3.infoave.net...
>
> "Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > Hello all.
> >
> > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a
> private
~~~~~~~

> > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a "Realistic"
> > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle
east.
> > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that "time
of
> > day" announcer.
> >
> > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to
get
> > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using
some
> of
> > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his
> voice
> > and know that he is OK or not.
> > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a
lawn"
> > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in the
> > room"
> >
> > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to him.
> If
> > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news or
> that
> > al-Jazeeera place.
> >
> > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to hear
> > him?
> > and how do I talk back to him?
> > Thanks for your help.
> > EG
>
> How is your kid gonna tell you if he is being tortured? Don't you think
that
> if the current POW's had a way to communicate they would? Get real and
stop
> torturing us with these dumb questions.
>
>

1517. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 16:15:01 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b674ro$a4h$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 34132
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

BOOO HOOO. That means a lot too me. I will try to live my life according to you from now on Dumb A... I mean brian, "the almighty"

I can't believe I just about called him Dumb A... Did I really do that? Oh look at me...I am writting down my thoughts. Maybe brian, "the almighty" is right!

Naw, he is a Dumb A$$.

Get real or get lost.

"Brian" <houge@REMOVEsmart-tech.dk> wrote in message
news:3e8702a2$0$202$edfadb0f@dread15.news.tele.dk...
>
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b66trd$6sl$1@news3.infoave.net...
>
> BLAP BLAP BLAP, DOOH!!!
>
> My god your are an idiot cowboy67.
>
>
> /Brian
>
>

1518. Re: Got it from the radio -

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 16:21:53 UTC
From: nltplk <bubba@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <OPEha.370$5L5.44987@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 34133
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

And this is all relevant here ?

"Mr. Frankenstien" <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com> wrote in message
news:hUnha.14884$Zo.5873@sccrnsc03...
> Do you ever hear people use worn out phrases like:
>
> "Now there you go, upsetting the liberal anti-government nitwits "
>
> That's straight off the Rush Limbaugh radio.
>
> hahah
> they are so PROGRAMMED.
>
> The University of RL:
>
> "Now there you go" - From Reagan's debate with Carter
> "Liberal" - Rush Limbaugh made this into the "Nigger" word.
> "anti-government " - Gun owners know this one well.
> "nitwits" RL fans can relate
>
> They take a dozen or two of these phrases that they hear from the radio ,
> and mix and match them when ever they need to disagree.
> Rush never needed to argue the facts. These phrases did the job in many
> people's minds.
>
> Now these guys are in power and the deficit went straight down the toilet.
> So did the economy, our international reputation, all in the name of a
false
> patriotism that they invented.
>
> It's all gone!!!!
>
> They actually think they are the patriotic ones.
> You know how you can tell that they are not the patriotic ones?
> Because they call someone unpatriotic for disagreeing with them, here in
the
> land of free speech.
>
> They don't get it!
>
> IF you are one of these stupid stupid people who are so intolerant, god
help
> us all.

>
>
>

1519. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 16:49:19 UTC
From: Ruud Poeze <radiocaroline@wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <3E87200F.4926B56E@wxs.nl>
Source record: 34134
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Celt Chic schreef:
>
> Emilio,
>
> Bless you.... Your message really touched me. I wish there was a way I
> knew of that you could keep in touch with your son directly through a radio
> contact. But I know of none. The only connection I could ever think of is
> through cell phone and I don't even know if they work from Iraq to the U.S.

I'm afraid this wont work either.
Cell Phones need relay stations, a mast every few miles or so, the network comprises of cells (where the name come from), in the centre of each cell a base post. Those are not likely to be there in the desert. I wonder if Iraq has GSM, if so it might be blocked for other cell phones than from the Iraqis themselves..

What might work is the Iridium system by Motorola, This is a "cell phone" hand held type but it uses satellites; this should work worldwide.
But I have heard it has been switched off, due to lack of interest from the public. It is extremely expensive.
Other alternative is a satellite phone, Inmarsat system, widely used by reporters. Will you be able to take such a set with you in the army?
Can you take your TRX with you when you are a Ham operator??
Ruud

> Your heart is big and sweet, Emilio. May your son be safe and home before
> you even know it. I'm sure he makes you proud.
>
> I did a search to see what frequencies you could listen to in Iraq, and
> these came from the milaircomms web page:
>
> http://www.milaircomms.com/middle_east_shortwave.html - Schedule of
> broadcasts
> http://www.milaircomms.com/war_iraq.html - Main Iraq war page
> http://www.rferl.org/shortwave/shortwave-iq.asp - Radio Free Iraq listings
>
> I have not listened to these myself, so I do not know exactly what you'll
> hear, but good luck to you in your search.
>
> A friend,
>
> Stacey
>

> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > Hello all.
> >
> > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a
> private
> > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a "Realistic"
> > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle east.
> > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that "time of
> > day" announcer.
> >
> > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to get
> > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using some
> of
> > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his
> voice
> > and know that he is OK or not.
> > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a lawn"
> > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in the
> > room"
> >
> > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to him.
> If
> > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news or
> that
> > al-Jazeeera place.
> >
> > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to hear
> > him?
> > and how do I talk back to him?
> > Thanks for your help.
> > EG
> >
> >

1520. Re: MAINSAIL (11175) Up and Quite Active Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 16:51:56 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e87207e.306521006@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 34135

On Sat, 29 Mar 2003 23:11:50 GMT, "Casey" <spamland@delete.com> wrote:

>Is the reason you ration S/N so that you will have some left over for later?
>
>Casey

Must be. Hi,hi.
>
>

Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

1521. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 17:00:52 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3e872261.307004117@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 34136
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You've given Emilio exactly what he wanted.
The more you respond the happier he/she/it
will be. My polite advice is that you cease and
desist immediately.
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

1522. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 17:09:35 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jxFha.34787$ja4.2235295@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34137
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't think neither Ruud Poeze nor I knew exactly who Emilio was/is and
took him/her/it seriously.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Reticulum <cathexis@yourhaterols.com> wrote in message
news:3e872261.307004117@news.starpower.net...
>
> You've given Emilio exactly what he wanted.
> The more you respond the happier he/she/it
> will be. My polite advice is that you cease and
> desist immediately.
> Reticulum
>
> Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail
~~~~~~~

1523. Panasonic RF-B11 4 Sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 17:38:12 UTC
From: "Rodger N. Bird" <rbird2@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18f0f58f2e09d37d9896e3@trialnews.peoplepc.com>
Source record: 34139

I have a Panasonic RF-B11 4 Sale.

Panasonic RF-B11 Information:
FM/LW/MW/SW 12-Band Receiver.
9 shortwave bands.
3" Dynamic Speaker for outstanding sound.
FM Stereo with Optional Stereo Headphones.
DC-in Jack.
AC Operation with Optional AC Adaptor.
Tone Switch (high/low).
LED Tuning Indicator.
LED FM Stereo Indicator.
Stylish Design.
Band Indicators.
Stand and Hand Strap Included.
Batteries 2 x AA

The Panasonic RF-B11 is like new and in excellent condition. No modifications have been done to it.

The selling price of $35.00 includes shipping and insurance costs within the continental United States via the U.S. POSTAL Service. Payment with a

U.S. POSTAL Service MONEY ORDER is preferred.

Please email me direct if you are interested. Do not reply to this message. My email address is: rbird2@peoplepc.com

Thank you,

Rodger

1524. Uniden Bearcat 55xlt Scanner 4 Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 17:40:35 UTC
From: "Rodger N. Bird" <rbird2@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18f0f620c6412ffd9896e6@trialnews.peoplepc.com>
Source record: 34140

Uniden Bearcat 55xlt Scanner 4 Sale

I have a Uniden Bearcat 55xlt 10 channel scanner for sale.
Includes manual, antenna, and the original box

Asking $30.00 for it which includes shipping within the Continental
United States.

email me if interested.

Thank you,
Rodger
rbird2@peoplepc.com

1525. Uniden Bearcat BC200XLT 4-Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 17:42:43 UTC
From: "Rodger N. Bird" <rbird2@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18f0f69eeac6f5bb9896ed@trialnews.peoplepc.com>
Source record: 34141

I have a Uniden Bearcat 200XLT handheld scanner (with cellular coverage) for sale. A few features listed below:

Channels 200, Banks 10
Coverage 29-54 118-174 406-512 806-956 MHz
Priority 10 channels, Delay, Lockout, Keypad Lock
Instant Weather
Display Light
Power: 12 volts
Size: 2.75(W) x 1.25(D) x 7.5(H) inches
Weight: 20 ounces

I have the antenna, case, 2 BP-200 rechargeable battery packs, wall charger/adapter, car charger.adapter, and a copy of the manual. I do not have the original box or original owners manual.

The 200XLT is in fair condition and works fine. Both batteries are good and hold a charge, one of the BP-200 batteries is brand new. There are some scratches on the case and display. The selling price of $80.00 includes shipping costs within the continental United States.

Please email me direct if you are interested. Do not reply to this message. My email address is: rbird2@peoplepc.com

Thank you,

Rodger

1526. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 18:25:51 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b67ch4$dp6$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 34142
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ruud Poeze" <radiocaroline@wxs.nl> wrote in message
news:3E87200F.4926B56E@wxs.nl...
> Celt Chic schreef:
> >
> > Emilio,
> >
> > Bless you.... Your message really touched me. I wish there was a way I
> > knew of that you could keep in touch with your son directly through a
radio
> > contact. But I know of none. The only connection I could ever think of
is
> > through cell phone and I don't even know if they work from Iraq to the
U.S.
>
> I'm afraid this wont work either.
> Cell Phones need relay stations, a mast every few miles or so, the
> network comprises of cells (where the name come from), in the centre of
> each cell a base post. Those are not likely to be there in the desert. I
> wonder if Iraq has GSM, if so it might be blocked for other cell phones
> than from the Iraqis themselves..

I do know that Saudi has GSM. Been there and done that.

>
> What might work is the Iridium system by Motorola, This is a "cell
> phone" hand held type but it uses satellites; this should work
> worldwide.
> But I have heard it has been switched off, due to lack of interest from
> the public. It is extremely expensive.
> Other alternative is a satellite phone, Inmarsat system, widely used by
> reporters. Will you be able to take such a set with you in the army?
> Can you take your TRX with you when you are a Ham operator??
> Ruud
>
>
> > Your heart is big and sweet, Emilio. May your son be safe and home
before
> > you even know it. I'm sure he makes you proud.
> >
> > I did a search to see what frequencies you could listen to in Iraq, and
> > these came from the milaircomms web page:
> >
> > http://www.milaircomms.com/middle_east_shortwave.html - Schedule of
> > broadcasts

> > http://www.milaircomms.com/war_iraq.html - Main Iraq war page
> > http://www.rferl.org/shortwave/shortwave-iq.asp - Radio Free Iraq
listings
> >
> > I have not listened to these myself, so I do not know exactly what
you'll
> > hear, but good luck to you in your search.
> >
> > A friend,
> >
> > Stacey
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > > Hello all.
> > >
> > > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a
> > private
> > > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a
"Realistic"
> > > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle
east.
> > > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that
"time of
> > > day" announcer.
> > >
> > > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to
get
> > > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using
some
> > of
> > > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his
> > voice
> > > and know that he is OK or not.
> > > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a
lawn"
> > > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in

the
> > > room"
> > >
> > > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to
him.
> > If
> > > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news
or
> > that
> > > al-Jazeeera place.
> > >
> > > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to
hear
> > > him?
> > > and how do I talk back to him?
> > > Thanks for your help.
> > > EG
> > >
> > >

1527. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 18:27:11 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b67cjkdpi1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 34143
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Apology accepted!

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1lEha.34668$ja4.2227166@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Cowboy,
>
> IF what I hear is true and this Emilio Goldstein doesn't really have a
child
> at war and was playing a sick joke and making fun of the war, then you
have
> my apology. I scolded you simply because I believed what Emilio said was
> true. I had no idea he had a history of "playing around" with sensitive
> issues. Forgive me!
>
> (And to think I went and did a search of frequencies for him!)
>
> Cowboy, if this Emilio guy is fraud, I'm really truly sorry. Will you
> forgive me? (Time to take out that six-shooter!!)
>
> I just have gotten used to the rudeness of some people on the newsgroups,
> especially in the shortwave group, that I automatically assumed you were
one
> of them. It hurts me to see that people can be so cruel to one another.
> Don't get me wrong, there have been a number of friendly people on these
> groups, in fact, the kind posters far outnumber the rude posters. It just
> seems the groups are being overwhelmed by them (rude people) because it is
> they who bother me the most!!
>
> So, sorry! Sorry to everyone else, too. I didn't know the history on
this
> issue.
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

>
> Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b66trd$6sl$1@news3.infoave.net...
> >
> > "Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > > Hello all.
> > >
> > > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a
> > private
> > > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a
"Realistic"
> > > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle
> east.
> > > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that
"time
> of
> > > day" announcer.
> > >
> > > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to
> get
> > > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using
> some
> > of
> > > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his
> > voice
> > > and know that he is OK or not.
> > > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a
> lawn"
> > > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in
the
> > > room"
> > >
> > > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to
him.
> > If
> > > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news
or
> > that
> > > al-Jazeeera place.
> > >
> > > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to
hear
> > > him?
> > > and how do I talk back to him?
> > > Thanks for your help.
> > > EG
> >
> > How is your kid gonna tell you if he is being tortured? Don't you think

> that
> > if the current POW's had a way to communicate they would? Get real and
> stop
> > torturing us with these dumb questions.
> >
> >
>
>

1528. Re: Q heard this on the radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 18:27:15 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <7GGha.676$kd1.659443@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34138

>or are we just going to turn this into another >rec.radio.cb?
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

LOL!

1529. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 18:29:09 UTC
From: Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 34144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be forgiven for that, of course.

Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed, when you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your bills and buy you toys.

If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's website:

http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm

Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.

This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what you want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort of moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger naive.

Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn bills and buy your own damn toys?

Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your radios if you're in a financial bind?

Sickening...

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to someone
> who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and doesn't
> need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to help him.
> His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion! His
> question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for help. He
> only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You should
> be ashamed.

1530. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 18:46:58 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <CYGha.5420$9K7.35874934@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 34145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:

> Interesting how a cab driver in Iraq (a NON-English speaking country)
> knows how to speak English when most cab drivers in the USA don't know how
> to speak English, don't you think?

Americans are always amazed that "foreigners" can often speak English. The majority of Americans, however, are unable to speak any languages other than their own.....

BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice, except getting up in the morning!

1531. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 18:50:54 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <i0Hha.5424$9K7.35874934@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 34146
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Sven Franklyn Weil wrote:

> In article <3E84C245.DE221760@email.com>, John Simmons wrote:
>> Not only do Iraqis know how to speak English, they listen to the VOA too.
>
> VOA has various shows in different languages - even complete stations
> transmitting in Spanish, Chinese, Korean, etc.

The BBC World Service (now sadly decimated by the current "government")
still broadcasts in a huge number of languages, including Arabic.

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice, except getting up in the morning!

1532. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 18:56:09 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <d5Hha.5429$9K7.35874934@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 34047
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:

> No, are you so stupid to think that an Iraqi would be listening to the VOA
> in any
> language? How many Americans do you know that listen to Radio Iraq's
> English
> broadcasts. None. Because the signal is always jammed by our
> government. They do
> that over there too. Thus how we know this story is bullshit.

No - until the "brave" American asshole Air Farce destroyed the Iraqi Shortwave stations, their English language broadcasts were perfectly audible in Western Europe. Undoubtedly the American "government" would jam these broadcasts within the USA, but the free world was still allowed to listen!

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice, except getting up in the morning!

1533. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 19:11:45 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34048
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least I have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The only reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was the next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it. Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries that have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy. Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't give you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy will give you one: PLONK!

;)

I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB and you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being nice to losers like you.

I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry to Mommy.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be forgiven
> for that, of course.
>
> Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed, when
> you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
bills
> and buy you toys.
>
> If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
website:
>
~~~~~~~

> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
>
> Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
>
> This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what you
> want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort of
> moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger naive.
>
> Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn
> bills and buy your own damn toys?
>
> Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> radios if you're in a financial bind?
>
> Sickening...
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> someone
> > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
doesn't
> > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to help
> him.
> > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion! His
> > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for help.
> He
> > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> should
> > be ashamed.
>
>

1534. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 19:12:40 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <IkHha.35065$ja4.2248385@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34049
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks, Cowboy! Now, I'm on the watch for this "Emilio" character. To
think of making fun of a war at a time like this!! It's people like that
that just raise my blood pressure!!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b67cjkdpi1@news3.infoave.net...
> Apology accepted!
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1lEha.34668$ja4.2227166@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Cowboy,
> >
> > IF what I hear is true and this Emilio Goldstein doesn't really have a
> child
> > at war and was playing a sick joke and making fun of the war, then you
> have
> > my apology. I scolded you simply because I believed what Emilio said
was
> > true. I had no idea he had a history of "playing around" with sensitive
> > issues. Forgive me!
> >
> > (And to think I went and did a search of frequencies for him!)
> >
> > Cowboy, if this Emilio guy is fraud, I'm really truly sorry. Will you
> > forgive me? (Time to take out that six-shooter!!)
> >
> > I just have gotten used to the rudeness of some people on the
newsgroups,
> > especially in the shortwave group, that I automatically assumed you were
> one
> > of them. It hurts me to see that people can be so cruel to one another.
~~~~~~~

> > Don't get me wrong, there have been a number of friendly people on these
> > groups, in fact, the kind posters far outnumber the rude posters. It
just
> > seems the groups are being overwhelmed by them (rude people) because it
is
> > they who bother me the most!!
> >
> > So, sorry! Sorry to everyone else, too. I didn't know the history on
> this
> > issue.
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:b66trd$6sl$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > >
> > > "Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > > news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > > > Hello all.
> > > >
> > > > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a
> > > private
> > > > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > > > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a
> "Realistic"
> > > > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle
> > east.
> > > > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that
> "time
> > of
> > > > day" announcer.
> > > >
> > > > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat
to
> > get
> > > > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using
> > some
> > > of
> > > > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up
his

> > > voice
> > > > and know that he is OK or not.
> > > > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a
> > lawn"
> > > > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in
> the
> > > > room"
> > > >
> > > > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to
> him.
> > > If
> > > > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news
> or
> > > that
> > > > al-Jazeeera place.
> > > >
> > > > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to
> hear
> > > > him?
> > > > and how do I talk back to him?
> > > > Thanks for your help.
> > > > EG
> > >
> > > How is your kid gonna tell you if he is being tortured? Don't you
think
> > that
> > > if the current POW's had a way to communicate they would? Get real and
> > stop
> > > torturing us with these dumb questions.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1535. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 19:16:40 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 34050
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet is a nasty place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they real or not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost that peace loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome

Greg
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least I
> have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The only
> reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
that
> have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
give
> you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
will
> give you one: PLONK!
>
> ;)
>
> I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
and
> you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being nice
> to losers like you.
>
> I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> to Mommy.
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
forgiven
> > for that, of course.
> >
> > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
when
> > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> bills
> > and buy you toys.
> >
> > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> website:
> >
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> >
> > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> >
> > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
you
> > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort
of
> > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
naive.
> >
> > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn
> > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> >
> > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> >
> > Sickening...
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> > someone
> > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> doesn't
> > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
help
> > him.
> > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion! His
> > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
help.
> > He
> > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You

> > should
> > > be ashamed.
> >
> >
>
>

1536. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 19:19:01 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <FqHha.35070$ja4.2248375@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34051
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

grin It took me some time but I figured, hey, what the heck, I had enough and I had to let that guy have it!!! I've seen what the world is really like through talking to these creeps so I'm just going to let them know how I feel. If they want to mess with me BRING IT ON. Come face me in person, Clip, if you're a big enough man! (You're probably 350 lbs!!!)

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet is a nasty
> place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they real or
> not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost that peace
> loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome
>
> Greg
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least I
> > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The only
> > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
> that
> > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
> give
> > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
> will
> > give you one: PLONK!
~~~~~~~

> >
> > ;)
> >
> > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
> and
> > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
nice
> > to losers like you.
> >
> > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go
cry
> > to Mommy.
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> forgiven
> > > for that, of course.
> > >
> > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> when
> > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> > bills
> > > and buy you toys.
> > >
> > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > website:
> > >
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > >
> > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> > >
> > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
> you
> > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
sort
> of

> > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> naive.
> > >
> > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
damn
> > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > >
> > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > >
> > > Sickening...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> > > someone
> > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> > doesn't
> > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
> help
> > > him.
> > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion!
His
> > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
> help.
> > > He
> > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> > > should
> > > > be ashamed.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1537. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 19:24:39 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34052
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician. Read That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a level below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL of mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a mathematical genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like to see what you do!!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be forgiven
> for that, of course.
>
> Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed, when
> you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your bills
> and buy you toys.
>
> If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's website:
>
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
>
> Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
>
> This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what you
> want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort of
> moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger naive.
>
> Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn
> bills and buy your own damn toys?
>
~~~~~~~

> Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> radios if you're in a financial bind?
>
> Sickening...
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> someone
> > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
doesn't
> > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to help
> him.
> > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion! His
> > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for help.
> He
> > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> should
> > be ashamed.
>
>

1538. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 19:25:01 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <hwHha.35079$ja4.2250320@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34053
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing around with the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've had people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's useless. There are too many JERKS on the internet!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet is a
nasty
> place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they real or
> not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost that
peace
> loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome
>
> Greg
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least I
> > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
only
> > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was
the
> > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
> that
> > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
> give
> > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
> will
> > give you one: PLONK!
~~~~~~~

> >
> > ;)
> >
> > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
> and
> > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
nice
> > to losers like you.
> >
> > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go
cry
> > to Mommy.
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> forgiven
> > > for that, of course.
> > >
> > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> when
> > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> > bills
> > > and buy you toys.
> > >
> > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > website:
> > >
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > >
> > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> > >
> > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
> you
> > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
sort
> of

> > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> naive.
> > >
> > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
damn
> > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > >
> > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > >
> > > Sickening...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> > > someone
> > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> > doesn't
> > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
> help
> > > him.
> > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion!
His
> > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
> help.
> > > He
> > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> > > should
> > > > be ashamed.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1539. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 19:25:22 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8eh4vqsf6v712@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34054
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"I only put up a beg page because it was the..." What a load of bovine excrement. You claim to have a high paying job, that one assumes comes with medical benefits, and you feel it is OK to beg because it "is the next big thing on the internet".

What a sorry individual! No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on permanent ignore...

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least I
> have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The only
> reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries that
> have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't give
> you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy will
> give you one: PLONK!
>
> ;)
>
> I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB and
> you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being nice
> to losers like you.
>
> I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> to Mommy.
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/

> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
forgiven
> > for that, of course.
> >
> > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
when
> > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> bills
> > and buy you toys.
> >
> > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> website:
> >
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> >
> > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> >
> > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
you
> > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort
of
> > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
naive.
> >
> > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn
> > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> >
> > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> >
> > Sickening...
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> > someone
> > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> doesn't
> > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
help
> > him.
> > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion! His
> > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
help.
> > He

> > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> > should
> > > be ashamed.
> >
> >
>
>

1540. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 19:26:10 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <mxHha.35080$ja4.2250403@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34055
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Fred, how sad. I really liked you. :(

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v8eh4vqsf6v712@corp.supernews.com...
> "I only put up a beg page because it was the..." What a load of bovine
> excrement. You claim to have a high paying job, that one assumes comes
with
> medical benefits, and you feel it is OK to beg because it "is the next big
> thing on the internet".
>
> What a sorry individual! No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on
> permanent ignore...
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least I
> > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
only
> > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was
the
> > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
> that
> > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
> give
> > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
> will
> > give you one: PLONK!
> >
> > ;)
> >
~~~~~~~

> > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
> and
> > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
nice
> > to losers like you.
> >
> > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go
cry
> > to Mommy.
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> forgiven
> > > for that, of course.
> > >
> > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> when
> > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> > bills
> > > and buy you toys.
> > >
> > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > website:
> > >
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > >
> > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> > >
> > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
> you
> > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
sort
> of
> > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> naive.
> > >

> > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
damn
> > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > >
> > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > >
> > > Sickening...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> > > someone
> > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> > doesn't
> > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
> help
> > > him.
> > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion!
His
> > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
> help.
> > > He
> > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> > > should
> > > > be ashamed.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1541. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 19:28:35 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <DzHha.35084$ja4.2250410@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34056
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I didn't have medical benifits when I was in college. THAT was when I had
medical problems. I now have wonderful benifits, but that doesn't help pay
for everything that happened when I was in college. My debt is not
something I wanted to bring on the board. I had it on my PERSONAL web page
that I use to describe myself. I didn't bring my personal health problems
on this board. If someone goes to my personal web page that's fine. But
Fred, doll, that hurt. I really liked you. :(

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v8eh4vqsf6v712@corp.supernews.com...
> "I only put up a beg page because it was the..." What a load of bovine
> excrement. You claim to have a high paying job, that one assumes comes
with
> medical benefits, and you feel it is OK to beg because it "is the next big
> thing on the internet".
>
> What a sorry individual! No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on
> permanent ignore...
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least I
> > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
only
> > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was
the
> > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
> that
> > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
> give
~~~~~~~

> > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
> will
> > give you one: PLONK!
> >
> > ;)
> >
> > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
> and
> > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
nice
> > to losers like you.
> >
> > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go
cry
> > to Mommy.
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> forgiven
> > > for that, of course.
> > >
> > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> when
> > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> > bills
> > > and buy you toys.
> > >
> > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > website:
> > >
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > >
> > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> > >
> > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
> you

> > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
sort
> of
> > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> naive.
> > >
> > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
damn
> > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > >
> > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > >
> > > Sickening...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> > > someone
> > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> > doesn't
> > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
> help
> > > him.
> > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion!
His
> > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
> help.
> > > He
> > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> > > should
> > > > be ashamed.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1542. scanner online nederland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 20:08:47 UTC
From: "h.reijnierse" <reijnierse@home.nl>
Message-ID: <b67ism$997$1@news4.tilbu1.nb.home.nl>
Source record: 34057

www.geocities.com/pe1mau

1543. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 20:17:39 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <64ke8vknl5hdpp3g78br3scaeb3n864lav@4ax.com>
Source record: 34058
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:

> I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing around with
> the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've had
> people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's useless.
> There are too many JERKS on the internet!

Hey, C.C.,

Don't let him get to you. That's what floats his boat.

And, yes, anyone can hide behind a keyboard and say things they'd
never say in person.

Take care of yourself, and best of luck on the actuarial exams. I
took one as an advanced stats final, almost 20 years ago, and my head
still hurts!

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dim.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

1544. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 20:23:36 UTC
From: Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 34059
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?

No, I'm an IT professional, actually.

>At least I
>have a high paying job

Hence the need to beg.

> with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?

It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have money, then
why are you begging for handouts, exactly?

> The only
>reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)

It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted originally.

>a cyber begging page up was because it was the
>next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.

That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is going to
catch on. I hope not.

>Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries that
>have put me in the bind.

Sorry to hear that.

>Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.

If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks, I have my
toy?" Or pocket the cash?

>Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't give
>you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy will
>give you one: PLONK!

Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig. Seems I hit a
nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is that true?

>;)

>I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB and
>you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being nice
>to losers like you.

Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on the
ignorant. They are the real losers.

>I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
>business.

It's not, so why are you telling me?

>I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
>to Mommy.

Ugly talk won't solicit donations.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be forgiven
> for that, of course.
>
> Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed, when
> you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
bills
> and buy you toys.
>
> If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
website:
>
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
>
> Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
>
> This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what you
> want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort of
> moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger naive.
>
> Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn
> bills and buy your own damn toys?
>
> Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> radios if you're in a financial bind?
>
> Sickening...
~~~~~~~

1545. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 20:32:20 UTC
From: Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <rEydnUMYn-75yRqjXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 34060
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician. Read
> That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain.

See my previous post. Again I ask, if this is true, why do you solicit
donations?

Statisticians are a level
> below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL of
> mathematics which you probably flunked in high school.

My HS GPA was 4.23 (I had taken some weighted classes for college credit)
and my college GPA was 3.23, from Penn State. Are you sure you want to go
here?

Good luck with your studies.

I'm a mathematical
> genius

Do mathematical geniuses have to beg, even though they are smart and
highly-paid? Glad I'm not one, then.

You know, it's beginning to seem that you take other people's money for fun,
rather than out of any real need.

so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like to see
> what you do!!

See my previous post.

1546. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 20:35:12 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4yIha.35150$ja4.2257002@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34061
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Clip, did you see me asking for donations on this newsgroup? Chill, brother, grow up. I was only p*ssed that some guy came on the group making a joke of the men and women who are fighting and DYING for our country. Why you thought it would be cute to respond in the way you did confuses the heck out of me! It came from NO WHERE. Making a joke of our troops has NOTHING to do with my web page.
--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
>
>
>
> No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
>
>
>
> >At least I
> >have a high paying job
>
>
>
> Hence the need to beg.
>
>
>
> > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
>
>
>
> It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have money, then
~~~~~~~

> why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
>
>
>
> > The only
> >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
>
>
>
> It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted originally.
>
>
>
> >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
>
>
>
> That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is going
to
> catch on. I hope not.
>
>
> >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
that
> >have put me in the bind.
>
>
>
> Sorry to hear that.
>
>
>
> >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
>
>
>
> If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks, I have
my
> toy?" Or pocket the cash?
>
>
> >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
give
> >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
will
> >give you one: PLONK!
>
>
>

> Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig. Seems I hit
a
> nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is that true?
>
> >;)
>
> >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
and
> >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
nice
> >to losers like you.
>
>
>
> Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on the
> ignorant. They are the real losers.
>
> >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> >business.
>
>
>
> It's not, so why are you telling me?
>
>
>
> >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> >to Mommy.
>
>
>
> Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
>
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
forgiven

> > for that, of course.
> >
> > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
when
> > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> bills
> > and buy you toys.
> >
> > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> website:
> >
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> >
> > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> >
> > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
you
> > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort
of
> > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
naive.
> >
> > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn
> > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> >
> > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> >
> > Sickening...
>
>
>
>

1547. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 20:36:39 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <rzIha.35151$ja4.2256687@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34062
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Eric,

Thanks. You made me feel better. :)

I'm worried about those exams because there was always one girl in my math classes who seemed to know everything. She took the first Actuarial exam three times and gave up trying. She gave me her study materials so I think I'm going to give it a try! My head hurts just thinking about studying for the thing!

Of course, spending all my free time playing with my electronic gadgets isn't helping me study for the exams, that's for sure!!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Eric F. Richards <efricha@dim.com> wrote in message
news:64ke8vknl5hdpp3g78br3scaeb3n864lav@4ax.com...
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing around
with
> > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've
had
> > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
useless.
> > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
>
> Hey, C.C.,
>
> Don't let him get to you. That's what floats his boat.
>
> And, yes, anyone can hide behind a keyboard and say things they'd
> never say in person.
>
~~~~~~~

> Take care of yourself, and best of luck on the actuarial exams. I
> took one as an advanced stats final, almost 20 years ago, and my head
> still hurts!
>
> --
> Eric F. Richards
> efricha@dim.com
> "The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> - Dilbert

1548. Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 20:36:52 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <EzIha.35152$ja4.2256567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34063
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ok, I think I have this figured out. After a few emails sent to me explaining the nature of some male newsgroup posters, it appears the few men that have attacked me on this board use the internet to make them feel "bad, tough, big, smart, and dominant." In real life they are nothing more than men with what is known as the Napolean-Complex, thus they use the newsgroups to attack others to make their pee-on lives seem better and bigger than they really are. In other words, they NEED to get a life. In real life they wouldn't have the courage to confront me or they wouldn't know what was coming! So, little boys, stop trying to make yourselves feel like grown ups, and attacking me doesn't bother me at all. In fact, it makes me laugh at the low-level IQ you choose to display on these newsgroups. You're probably all wife-beaters, alcholics, burger-flippers, greasy rednecks anyway!!

This kinda sucks because I really enjoy radios and scanners and out of all the newsgroups I've used, it's these two groups that come off as being populated with the socioeconomic downfalls known as rednecks. I don't want to be assocated with that! So, if I am a radio enthusiast, do I automatically fall under the redneck catagory? If so I'd give up this hobby in no time! I didn't know it was like that!!! But that is what I've seen on these groups. I've seen more Nazis in these groups than anywhere else and that helps to prove my theory! I don't want to be associated with redneck Nazis, riding around in the pick-up truck with the State Militia symbol on a decal, as well as the Dixie flag flying out the back with a swastika hanging from their rear-view mirror! You probably bruise your wives!

(Please note, this is ONLY directed to the few men who have attacked me on these newsgroups. Some of you have been kind to me and I hate showing you this side of me as I'd LIKE to be known as a kindred spirit, but I've had enough. I've TRULY had enough. I can only hold it in for so long. I now understand why some lurkers have emailed me explaining why they never post!) I never should have taken up radios because it appears there is a huge association to a social group I don't want to belong to!

You guys can't even get it up! Stop using the internet to make yourselves feel good about yourselves. If you have to use the internet to raise your self esteem then you NEED to get a life. If picking on a woman makes you feel sexual gratification you're truly sickos! That's the ONLY gratification you'll EVER get!

Pick on me because I have a Shortwave Call Sign? Come and pick on me face to face, losers! Pick on me because of my web page? Come and pick on me face to face, creeps!

High school dropouts are what you are!

****AHHHHHH***** I had to get that out of my system. OK. I feel better now. Yep, I feel good. I feel very good to know I'm not the few nasty men I've bumped into on these groups. I'd HATE to be you guys, that's for sure! At least I don't have to use the net to make myself feel big. At least I don't have to pick on different races, religions, political beliefs, or women to make me feel good. I can just be myself and know that's good enough!

Go ahead and respond whatever you will, LET THEM FLY, I'm done talking to you. You will not see any response FROM me TO your messages full of childish antics. Will I read them? Probably, simply so I can get a big ego-boost out of seeing how much better I am than you! One thing's for sure, I'll get a big laugh out of you!!!

I'm going to continue to enjoy these groups. I am NOT going to continue in this war you seem so keen on beginning and continuing. I will only post this ONCE and I am THROUGH with this OFF TOPIC CRAP. Quit trying to pull me into your little games. I know I'm not the only one you've tried to P*** off, I've seen some of you do it to others. You think it is a cute little game!!

(I will still continue to respond and post messages directed to the nice men and women who have shown they can be mature and have a good head on their shoulders, as well as who have helped me grow far in this hobby.)

How on earth a well-cultured person like me got mixed in with a hobby associated with rednecks is beyond me!

PEACE, BROTHERS AND SISTERS! HA! ;)

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:hwHha.35079$ja4.2250320@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing around
with
> the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've had
> people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's useless.
> There are too many JERKS on the internet!
>
> --
~~~~~~~

> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> > Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet is a
> nasty
> > place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they real or
> > not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost that
> peace
> > loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome
> >
> > Greg
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least
I
> > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
> only
> > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was
> the
> > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing
it.
> > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
> > that
> > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
> > give
> > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
> > will
> > > give you one: PLONK!
> > >
> > > ;)
> > >
> > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an
SOB
> > and
> > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
> nice
> > > to losers like you.
> > >
> > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your

> > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go
> cry
> > > to Mommy.
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > forgiven
> > > > for that, of course.
> > > >
> > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> > when
> > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
your
> > > bills
> > > > and buy you toys.
> > > >
> > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > website:
> > > >
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > >
> > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
guess.
> > > >
> > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
what
> > you
> > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> sort
> > of
> > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > naive.
> > > >
> > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
> damn
> > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?

> > > >
> > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
your
> > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > >
> > > > Sickening...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE
to
> > > > someone
> > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> > > doesn't
> > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
> > help
> > > > him.
> > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion!
> His
> > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
> > help.
> > > > He
> > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much!
You
> > > > should
> > > > > be ashamed.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1549. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 20:50:34 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uMIha.35171$ja4.2257933@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34064
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
"No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on
permanent ignore..."

Oh, you need to learn grammar, Dr. Fred. Dr. of what?? There is no Doctor
I know of that says "your" instead of "you're" where it's appropriate!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v8eh4vqsf6v712@corp.supernews.com...
> "I only put up a beg page because it was the..." What a load of bovine
> excrement. You claim to have a high paying job, that one assumes comes
with
> medical benefits, and you feel it is OK to beg because it "is the next big
> thing on the internet".
>
> What a sorry individual! No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on
> permanent ignore...
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least I
> > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
only
> > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was
the
> > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
> that
> > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
> give
> > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
~~~~~~~

> will
> > give you one: PLONK!
> >
> > ;)
> >
> > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
> and
> > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
nice
> > to losers like you.
> >
> > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go
cry
> > to Mommy.
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> forgiven
> > > for that, of course.
> > >
> > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> when
> > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> > bills
> > > and buy you toys.
> > >
> > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > website:
> > >
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > >
> > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> > >
> > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
> you
> > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any

sort
> of
> > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> naive.
> > >
> > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
damn
> > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > >
> > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > >
> > > Sickening...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> > > someone
> > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> > doesn't
> > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
> help
> > > him.
> > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion!
His
> > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
> help.
> > > He
> > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> > > should
> > > > be ashamed.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1550. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:10:34 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34065
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all that matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my league. No more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group back on topic.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
>
>
>
> No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
>
>
>
> >At least I
> >have a high paying job
>
>
>
> Hence the need to beg.
>
>
>
> > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
>
>
>
> It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have money, then
> why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
>
~~~~~~~

>
>
> > The only
> >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
>
>
>
> It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted originally.
>
>
>
> >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
>
>
>
> That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is going
to
> catch on. I hope not.
>
>
> >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
that
> >have put me in the bind.
>
>
>
> Sorry to hear that.
>
>
>
> >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
>
>
>
> If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks, I have
my
> toy?" Or pocket the cash?
>
>
> >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
give
> >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
will
> >give you one: PLONK!
>
>
>
> Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig. Seems I hit
a

> nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is that true?
>
> >;)
>
> >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
and
> >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
nice
> >to losers like you.
>
>
>
> Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on the
> ignorant. They are the real losers.
>
> >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> >business.
>
>
>
> It's not, so why are you telling me?
>
>
>
> >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> >to Mommy.
>
>
>
> Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
>
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
forgiven
> > for that, of course.
> >

> > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
when
> > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> bills
> > and buy you toys.
> >
> > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> website:
> >
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> >
> > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> >
> > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
you
> > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort
of
> > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
naive.
> >
> > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn
> > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> >
> > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> >
> > Sickening...
>
>
>
>

1551. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:12:13 UTC
From: Back Scatter <back_scatter@milkyway.gov.eu>
Message-ID: <slrnb8encs.nlh.back_scatter@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 34066
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 20:36:52 GMT,
Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> This kinda sucks because I really enjoy radios and scanners and out of all
> the newsgroups I've used, it's these two groups that come off as being
> populated with the socioeconomic downfalls known as rednecks. I don't want
> to be assocated with that! So, if I am a radio enthusiast, do I
> automatically fall under the redneck catagory?

Try these links
http://strongsignals.net check out the drop down menu for the message boards.
http://groups.yahoo.com/ and type in police scanner or a radio model number
you are interested in or a State name with police scanner.
Usenet is dying out and it won't be around in a couple of years because of
all the lunatics and rejects here.

BTW, when you respond to an article use proper etiquette and don't write
at the top of the post, it makes it easier to follow a conversion when you
write your response under the paragraph or sentence you are responding to.

Don't join these boards or email lists and start insulting or bad
mouthing people or you will find yourself banned from them very quickly.
You are acting no different then the people you criticize with the rant you
just posted.

1552. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:19:03 UTC
From: Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <SuednTAqJs7KwhqjXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 34067
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Fred, I'm sure you know, the grammatical-and-spelling-mistake attack is the most common one taken by those with no ground to stand on.

In spite of that:

To get technical, Celt Chic - if you wanted to be correct, you should have said, "There is no doctor ('doctor' is not capitalized in this sense) I know of that *writes* (not says) 'your' instead of 'you're' where it's appropriate!" You see, it just doesn't make any sense if you say "says" instead of "writes." Think about it.

See how easy it is to tear apart someone else's grammar and spelling?

Thanks for playing.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:uMIha.35171$ja4.2257933@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
> "No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on
> permanent ignore..."
>
>
> Oh, you need to learn grammar, Dr. Fred. Dr. of what?? There is no
Doctor
> I know of that says "your" instead of "you're" where it's appropriate!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v8eh4vqsf6v712@corp.supernews.com...
> > "I only put up a beg page because it was the..." What a load of bovine
> > excrement. You claim to have a high paying job, that one assumes comes
> with
> > medical benefits, and you feel it is OK to beg because it "is the next
big

> > thing on the internet".
> >
> > What a sorry individual! No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on
> > permanent ignore...
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least
I
> > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
> only
> > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was
> the
> > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing
it.
> > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
> > that
> > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
> > give
> > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
> > will
> > > give you one: PLONK!
> > >
> > > ;)
> > >
> > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an
SOB
> > and
> > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
> nice
> > > to losers like you.
> > >
> > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go
> cry
> > > to Mommy.
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

> > >
> > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > forgiven
> > > > for that, of course.
> > > >
> > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> > when
> > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
your
> > > bills
> > > > and buy you toys.
> > > >
> > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > website:
> > > >
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > >
> > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
guess.
> > > >
> > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
what
> > you
> > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> > sort
> > > of
> > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > naive.
> > > >
> > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
> > damn
> > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > >
> > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
your
> > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > >
> > > > Sickening...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE
to
> > > > someone
> > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> > > doesn't
> > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to

> > help
> > > > him.
> > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion!
> His
> > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
> > help.
> > > > He
> > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much!
You
> > > > should
> > > > > be ashamed.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1553. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:21:59 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <XdJha.35205$ja4.2261607@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34068
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Back Scatter <back_scatter@milkyway.gov.eu> wrote in message
news:slrnb8encs.nlh.back_scatter@localhost.localdomain...
> On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 20:36:52 GMT,
> Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > This kinda sucks because I really enjoy radios and scanners and out of
all
> > the newsgroups I've used, it's these two groups that come off as being
> > populated with the socioeconomic downfalls known as rednecks. I don't
want
> > to be assocated with that! So, if I am a radio enthusiast, do I
> > automatically fall under the redneck catagory?
>
> Try these links
> http://strongsignals.net check out the drop down menu for the message
boards.
> http://groups.yahoo.com/ and type in police scanner or a radio model
number
> you are interested in or a State name with police scanner.
> Usenet is dying out and it won't be around in a couple of years because
of
> all the lunatics and rejects here.
>
> BTW, when you respond to an article use proper etiquette and don't write
> at the top of the post, it makes it easier to follow a conversion when you
> write your response under the paragraph or sentence you are responding to.
>
> Don't join these boards or email lists and start insulting or bad
> mouthing people or you will find yourself banned from them very quickly.
> You are acting no different then the people you criticize with the rant
you
> just posted.
>
>

Hey Back,

Is this better? ;) I didn't know it was such a pain to read messages on top instead of below the original message. I've been doing it all along and no one ever said anything. Had I known before I would have done what is more commonly excepted. Yes, I am getting out of Usenet. You are right. They have pulled me down to their level. Usenet is a facade of people who pretend to be something they're not, and that is exactly what just happened to me! In real life I am not someone who would just rant at someone. I was trying to take up for myself. I felt my toes were stepped on and by golly

they had me down to their level going back at them! I'm a sensitive person in real life who loves people and when someone has something against me it hurts my feelings. I like to be liked by everyone. Apparently on Usenet that will never happen. I had spent time taking up for everyone else that was getting picked on and then when I was the butt of the pranks it backfired and I got, shall we say....just a tad bit upset? ;)

I signed up for the Yahoo groups that include my particular scanner. Now they just need one for shortwave radios so I can get out of here.

Peace,

~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
~~~~~~~

1554. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:26:52 UTC
From: Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <9smcnWa2Caex_BqjXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 34070
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I am not a societal leech. I've got that going for me.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all that
> matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my league. No
> more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group back on
> topic.
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> >
> >
> >
> > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> >
> >
> >
> > >At least I
> > >have a high paying job
> >
> >
> >
> > Hence the need to beg.
> >
> >
> >
> > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> >
> >

> >
> > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have money,
> then
> > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> >
> >
> >
> > > The only
> > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> >
> >
> >
> > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted originally.
> >
> >
> >
> > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> >
> >
> >
> > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is going
> to
> > catch on. I hope not.
> >
> >
> > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
> that
> > >have put me in the bind.
> >
> >
> >
> > Sorry to hear that.
> >
> >
> >
> > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> >
> >
> >
> > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks, I have
> my
> > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> >
> >
> > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
> give
> > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
> will
> > >give you one: PLONK!

> >
> >
> >
> > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig. Seems I
hit
> a
> > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is that
true?
> >
> > >;)
> >
> > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
> and
> > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
> nice
> > >to losers like you.
> >
> >
> >
> > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on the
> > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> >
> > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> > >business.
> >
> >
> >
> > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> >
> >
> >
> > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > >to Mommy.
> >
> >
> >
> > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> >
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> forgiven
> > > for that, of course.
> > >
> > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> when
> > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> > bills
> > > and buy you toys.
> > >
> > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > website:
> > >
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > >
> > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> > >
> > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
> you
> > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
sort
> of
> > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> naive.
> > >
> > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
damn
> > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > >
> > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > >
> > > Sickening...
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1555. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:26:54 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <yiJha.35213$ja4.2261225@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34069
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My dearest sweetest IT man Clip:

I come home in the morning light
My mother says when you gonna live your life right
Oh mother dear we're not the fortunate ones
And girls they want to have fun
Oh girls just want to have fun

The phone rings in the middle of the night
My father yells what you gonna do with your life
Oh daddy dear you know you're still number one
But girls they want to have fun
Oh girls just want to have--

That's all they really want
Some fun
When the working day is done
Girls-- they want to have fun
Oh girls just want to have fun

Some boys take a beautiful girl
And hide her away from the rest of the world
I want to be the one to walk in the sun
Oh girls they want to have fun
Oh girls just want to have

That's all they really want
Some fun
When the working day is done
Girls--they want to have fun
Oh girls just want to have fun,
They want to have fun,
They want to have fun...

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
~~~~~~~

Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:SuednTAqJs7KwhqjXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> Fred, I'm sure you know, the grammatical-and-spelling-mistake attack is
the
> most common one taken by those with no ground to stand on.
>
> In spite of that:
>
> To get technical, Celt Chic - if you wanted to be correct, you should have
> said, "There is no doctor ('doctor' is not capitalized in this sense) I
know
> of that *writes* (not says) 'your' instead of 'you're' where it's
> appropriate!" You see, it just doesn't make any sense if you say "says"
> instead of "writes." Think about it.
>
> See how easy it is to tear apart someone else's grammar and spelling?
>
> Thanks for playing.
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:uMIha.35171$ja4.2257933@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
> > "No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on
> > permanent ignore..."
> >
> >
> > Oh, you need to learn grammar, Dr. Fred. Dr. of what?? There is no
> Doctor
> > I know of that says "your" instead of "you're" where it's appropriate!
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:v8eh4vqsf6v712@corp.supernews.com...
> > > "I only put up a beg page because it was the..." What a load of bovine
> > > excrement. You claim to have a high paying job, that one assumes comes
> > with
> > > medical benefits, and you feel it is OK to beg because it "is the next
> big
> > > thing on the internet".

> > >
> > > What a sorry individual! No need to plunk me, your from this moment,
on
> > > permanent ignore...
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
least
> I
> > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
> > only
> > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it
was
> > the
> > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing
> it.
> > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
surgeries
> > > that
> > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
didn't
> > > give
> > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
Mommy
> > > will
> > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > >
> > > > ;)
> > > >
> > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an
> SOB
> > > and
> > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
being
> > nice
> > > > to losers like you.
> > > >
> > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
your
> > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy.
Go
> > cry
> > > > to Mommy.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402

> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > >
> > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> your
> > > > bills
> > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > >
> > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> guess.
> > > > >
> > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
> what
> > > you
> > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> > sort
> > > of
> > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > > naive.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
own
> > damn
> > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > >
> > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
> your
> > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > >

> > > > > Sickening...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
RUDE
> to
> > > > > someone
> > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
and
> > > > doesn't
> > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us
to
> > > help
> > > > > him.
> > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
compassion!
> > His
> > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
for
> > > help.
> > > > > He
> > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much!
> You
> > > > > should
> > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1556. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:30:18 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <KlJha.35224$ja4.2261748@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34071
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well then, at least you have one thing going for you then! *Clapping my
hands*

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:9smcnWa2Caex_BqjXTWcow@comcast.com...
> I am not a societal leech. I've got that going for me.
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all that
> > matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my league. No
> > more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group back
on
> > topic.
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > >
> > >
~~~~~~~

> > >
> > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >At least I
> > > >have a high paying job
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Hence the need to beg.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have money,
> > then
> > > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > The only
> > > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted originally.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing
it.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is
going
> > to
> > > catch on. I hope not.
> > >
> > >
> > > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
surgeries
> > that
> > > >have put me in the bind.
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > Sorry to hear that.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks, I
have
> > my
> > > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> > >
> > >
> > > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
didn't
> > give
> > > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
Mommy
> > will
> > > >give you one: PLONK!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig. Seems I
> hit
> > a
> > > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is that
> true?
> > >
> > > >;)
> > >
> > > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an
SOB
> > and
> > > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
> > nice
> > > >to losers like you.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on the
> > > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> > >
> > > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
your
> > > >business.
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > > >to Mommy.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > forgiven
> > > > for that, of course.
> > > >
> > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> > when
> > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
your
> > > bills
> > > > and buy you toys.
> > > >
> > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > website:
> > > >
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > >
> > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
guess.
> > > >
> > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
what

> > you
> > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> sort
> > of
> > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > naive.
> > > >
> > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
> damn
> > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > >
> > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
your
> > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > >
> > > > Sickening...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1557. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:33:51 UTC
From: mikey <mikey@rrs.com>
Message-ID: <v8ep27bkoqkgfa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34073
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You are a fraud.
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:EzIha.35152$ja4.2256567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Ok, I think I have this figured out. After a few emails sent to me
> explaining the nature of some male newsgroup posters, it appears the few
men
> that have attacked me on this board use the internet to make them feel
"bad,
> tough, big, smart, and dominant." In real life they are nothing more than
> men with what is known as the Napolean-Complex, thus they use the
newsgroups
> to attack others to make their pee-on lives seem better and bigger than
they
> really are. In other words, they NEED to get a life. In real life they
> wouldn't have the courage to confront me or they wouldn't know what was
> coming! So, little boys, stop trying to make yourselves feel like grown
> ups, and attacking me doesn't bother me at all. In fact, it makes me
laugh
> at the low-level IQ you choose to display on these newsgroups. You're
> probably all wife-beaters, alcholics, burger-flippers, greasy rednecks
> anyway!!
>
> This kinda sucks because I really enjoy radios and scanners and out of all
> the newsgroups I've used, it's these two groups that come off as being
> populated with the socioeconomic downfalls known as rednecks. I don't
want
> to be assocated with that! So, if I am a radio enthusiast, do I
> automatically fall under the redneck catagory? If so I'd give up this
hobby
> in no time! I didn't know it was like that!!! But that is what I've seen
> on these groups. I've seen more Nazis in these groups than anywhere else
> and that helps to prove my theory! I don't want to be associated with
> redneck Nazis, riding around in the pick-up truck with the State Militia
> symbol on a decal, as well as the Dixie flag flying out the back with a
> swastika hanging from their rear-view mirror! You probably bruise your
> wives!
>
> (Please note, this is ONLY directed to the few men who have attacked me on
> these newsgroups. Some of you have been kind to me and I hate showing you
> this side of me as I'd LIKE to be known as a kindred spirit, but I've had
> enough. I've TRULY had enough. I can only hold it in for so long. I now
> understand why some lurkers have emailed me explaining why they never
post!)

> I never should have taken up radios because it appears there is a huge
> association to a social group I don't want to belong to!
>
> You guys can't even get it up! Stop using the internet to make yourselves
> feel good about yourselves. If you have to use the internet to raise your
> self esteem then you NEED to get a life. If picking on a woman makes you
> feel sexual gratification you're truly sickos! That's the ONLY
> gratification you'll EVER get!
>
> Pick on me because I have a Shortwave Call Sign? Come and pick on me face
> to face, losers! Pick on me because of my web page? Come and pick on me
> face to face, creeps!
>
> High school dropouts are what you are!
>
> ****AHHHHHH***** I had to get that out of my system. OK. I feel better
> now. Yep, I feel good. I feel very good to know I'm not the few nasty
men
> I've bumped into on these groups. I'd HATE to be you guys, that's for
sure!
> At least I don't have to use the net to make myself feel big. At least I
> don't have to pick on different races, religions, political beliefs, or
> women to make me feel good. I can just be myself and know that's good
> enough!
>
> Go ahead and respond whatever you will, LET THEM FLY, I'm done talking to
> you. You will not see any response FROM me TO your messages full of
> childish antics. Will I read them? Probably, simply so I can get a big
> ego-boost out of seeing how much better I am than you! One thing's for
> sure, I'll get a big laugh out of you!!!
>
> I'm going to continue to enjoy these groups. I am NOT going to continue
in
> this war you seem so keen on beginning and continuing. I will only post
> this ONCE and I am THROUGH with this OFF TOPIC CRAP. Quit trying to pull
me
> into your little games. I know I'm not the only one you've tried to P***
> off, I've seen some of you do it to others. You think it is a cute little
> game!!
>
> (I will still continue to respond and post messages directed to the nice
men
> and women who have shown they can be mature and have a good head on their
> shoulders, as well as who have helped me grow far in this hobby.)
>
> How on earth a well-cultured person like me got mixed in with a hobby
> associated with rednecks is beyond me!
>
> PEACE, BROTHERS AND SISTERS! HA! ;)
>

> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:hwHha.35079$ja4.2250320@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing around
> with
> > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've
had
> > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
useless.
> > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> > > Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet is a
> > nasty
> > > place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they real
or
> > > not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost that
> > peace
> > > loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome
> > >
> > > Greg
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
least

> I
> > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
> > only
> > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it
was
> > the
> > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing
> it.
> > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
surgeries
> > > that
> > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
didn't
> > > give
> > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
Mommy
> > > will
> > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > >
> > > > ;)
> > > >
> > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an
> SOB
> > > and
> > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
being
> > nice
> > > > to losers like you.
> > > >
> > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
your
> > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy.
Go
> > cry
> > > > to Mommy.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

> > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > >
> > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> your
> > > > bills
> > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > >
> > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> guess.
> > > > >
> > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
> what
> > > you
> > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> > sort
> > > > of
> > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > > naive.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
own
> > damn
> > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > >
> > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
> your
> > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > >
> > > > > Sickening...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
RUDE
> to
> > > > > someone

> > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
and
> > > > doesn't
> > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us
to
> > > help
> > > > > him.
> > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
compassion!
> > His
> > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
for
> > > help.
> > > > > He
> > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much!
> You
> > > > > should
> > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1558. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:38:17 UTC
From: Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <yTGdnQrCFZdB_hqjXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 34072
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You say more about yourself in each post, than I could possible say in return.

Bravo.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:KlJha.35224$ja4.2261748@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Well then, at least you have one thing going for you then! *Clapping my
> hands*
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:9smcnWa2Caex_BqjXTWcow@comcast.com...
> > I am not a societal leech. I've got that going for me.
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all that
> > > matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my league.
No
> > > more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group
back
> on
> > > topic.
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove

nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >At least I
> > > > > >have a high paying job
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Hence the need to beg.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have
money,
> > > then
> > > > > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > The only
> > > > > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted originally.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > > > > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing
> it.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is
> going
> > > to
> > > > catch on. I hope not.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> surgeries
> > > that
> > > > >have put me in the bind.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sorry to hear that.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks, I
> have
> > > my
> > > > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> didn't
> > > give
> > > > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> Mommy
> > > will
> > > > >give you one: PLONK!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig. Seems
I
> > hit
> > > a
> > > > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is that
> > true?
> > > >
> > > > >;)
> > > >
> > > > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an
> SOB
> > > and
> > > > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done

being
> > > nice
> > > > >to losers like you.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on the
> > > > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> > > >
> > > > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> your
> > > > >business.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > > > >to Mommy.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > >
> > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
ashamed,
> > > when

> > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> your
> > > > bills
> > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > >
> > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> guess.
> > > > >
> > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
> what
> > > you
> > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> > sort
> > > of
> > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > > naive.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
own
> > damn
> > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > >
> > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
> your
> > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > >
> > > > > Sickening...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1559. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:40:34 UTC
From: Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <BbycnenBT9D_-RqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 34074
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I am confused. Anyway, have fun, then.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:yiJha.35213$ja4.2261225@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> My dearest sweetest IT man Clip:
>
> I come home in the morning light
> My mother says when you gonna live your life right
> Oh mother dear we're not the fortunate ones
> And girls they want to have fun
> Oh girls just want to have fun
>
> The phone rings in the middle of the night
> My father yells what you gonna do with your life
> Oh daddy dear you know you're still number one
> But girls they want to have fun
> Oh girls just want to have--
>
> That's all they really want
> Some fun
> When the working day is done
> Girls-- they want to have fun
> Oh girls just want to have fun
>
> Some boys take a beautiful girl
> And hide her away from the rest of the world
> I want to be the one to walk in the sun
> Oh girls they want to have fun
> Oh girls just want to have
>
> That's all they really want
> Some fun
> When the working day is done
> Girls--they want to have fun
> Oh girls just want to have fun,
> They want to have fun,
> They want to have fun...
>
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402

> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:SuednTAqJs7KwhqjXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> > Fred, I'm sure you know, the grammatical-and-spelling-mistake attack is
> the
> > most common one taken by those with no ground to stand on.
> >
> > In spite of that:
> >
> > To get technical, Celt Chic - if you wanted to be correct, you should
have
> > said, "There is no doctor ('doctor' is not capitalized in this sense) I
> know
> > of that *writes* (not says) 'your' instead of 'you're' where it's
> > appropriate!" You see, it just doesn't make any sense if you say "says"
> > instead of "writes." Think about it.
> >
> > See how easy it is to tear apart someone else's grammar and spelling?
> >
> > Thanks for playing.
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:uMIha.35171$ja4.2257933@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
> > > "No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on
> > > permanent ignore..."
> > >
> > >
> > > Oh, you need to learn grammar, Dr. Fred. Dr. of what?? There is no
> > Doctor
> > > I know of that says "your" instead of "you're" where it's appropriate!
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to

> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > news:v8eh4vqsf6v712@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > "I only put up a beg page because it was the..." What a load of
bovine
> > > > excrement. You claim to have a high paying job, that one assumes
comes
> > > with
> > > > medical benefits, and you feel it is OK to beg because it "is the
next
> > big
> > > > thing on the internet".
> > > >
> > > > What a sorry individual! No need to plunk me, your from this moment,
> on
> > > > permanent ignore...
> > > >
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
> least
> > I
> > > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
The
> > > only
> > > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it
> was
> > > the
> > > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
doing
> > it.
> > > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> surgeries
> > > > that
> > > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my
toy.
> > > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> didn't
> > > > give
> > > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> Mommy
> > > > will
> > > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > > >
> > > > > ;)
> > > > >
> > > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
an

> > SOB
> > > > and
> > > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> being
> > > nice
> > > > > to losers like you.
> > > > >
> > > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> your
> > > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy.
> Go
> > > cry
> > > > > to Mommy.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> > your
> > > > > bills
> > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
Chic's
> > > > > website:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I

> > guess.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
do
> > what
> > > > you
> > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
any
> > > sort
> > > > of
> > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
bigger
> > > > naive.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
> own
> > > damn
> > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
sell
> > your
> > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > >
news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
> RUDE
> > to
> > > > > > someone
> > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
> and
> > > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs
us
> to
> > > > help
> > > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
> compassion!
> > > His
> > > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
> for
> > > > help.
> > > > > > > He
> > > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY

much!
> > You
> > > > > > should
> > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1560. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:41:23 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7wJha.35251$ja4.2262902@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34075
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why thanks, Clip. You're not such a bad guy after all.

Oh no-there's got to be a better way
Say it again
There's got to be a better way-yeah
What is it good for?
*War has caused unrest
Among the younger generation
Induction then destruction
Who wants to die?
War-huh
What is it good for?
Absolutely nothing
Say it again
War-huh
What is it good for?
Absolutely nothing
Yeah
War-I despise
'Cos it means destruction
Of innocent lives
War means tears
To thousands of mothers how
When their sons go off to fight
And lose their lives
I said
War-huh
It's an enemy of all mankind
No point of war
'Cos you're a man
*(Repeat)
Give it to me one time-now
Give it to me one time-now
War has shattered
Many young men's dreams
We've got no place for it today
They say we must fight to keep our freedom
But Lord, there's just got to be a better way
It ain't nothing but a heartbreaker
War
Friend only to the undertaker
War
War

War-Good God, now
Now
Give it to me one time now
Now now
What is it good for?

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:yTGdnQrCFZdB_hqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> You say more about yourself in each post, than I could possible say in
> return.
>
> Bravo.
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:KlJha.35224$ja4.2261748@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Well then, at least you have one thing going for you then! *Clapping my
> > hands*
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:9smcnWa2Caex_BqjXTWcow@comcast.com...
> > > I am not a societal leech. I've got that going for me.
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all that
> > > > matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my league.
> No
~~~~~~~

> > > > more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group
> back
> > on
> > > > topic.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > > > > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >At least I
> > > > > > >have a high paying job
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hence the need to beg.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have
> money,
> > > > > then
> > > > > > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > The only

> > > > > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted
originally.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > > > > > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
doing
> > it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is
> > going
> > > > > to
> > > > > > catch on. I hope not.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> > surgeries
> > > > > that
> > > > > > > >have put me in the bind.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sorry to hear that.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks,
I
> > have
> > > > > my
> > > > > > > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> > didn't
> > > > > give
> > > > > > > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> > Mommy
> > > > > will

> > > > > >give you one: PLONK!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig.
Seems
> I
> > > hit
> > > > a
> > > > > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is
that
> > > true?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >;)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
an
> > SOB
> > > > and
> > > > > > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> being
> > > > nice
> > > > > > >to losers like you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on
the
> > > > > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> > your
> > > > > > >business.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > > > > > >to Mommy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~

> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> > your
> > > > > bills
> > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
Chic's
> > > website:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > guess.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
do
> > what
> > > > you
> > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
any
> > > sort
> > > > > of
> > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
bigger
> > > naive.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
> own

> > > damn
> > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
sell
> > your
> > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1561. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:43:29 UTC
From: mikey <mikey@rrs.com>
Message-ID: <v8epk84fmps089@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Anyone who frequents this list knows Emilio's posts are usually jokes. Often very funny and topical.

Your so-called "Hi-IQ" didn't seem to catch this. Thus, you might have a lower IQ than you thought. Worse, you brought personal issues into a silly pseudo-flame-fest.

Hey chicy, get smart. You may be smart in your social circle, but the internet, for better or worse, is the leveler of all people. You don't impress me....

..you amuse me. (Barely)
Mikey

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:K6Dha.34468$ja4.2218317@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Emilio,
>
> Bless you.... Your message really touched me. I wish there was a way I
> knew of that you could keep in touch with your son directly through a
radio
> contact. But I know of none. The only connection I could ever think of
is
> through cell phone and I don't even know if they work from Iraq to the
U.S.
> Your heart is big and sweet, Emilio. May your son be safe and home before
> you even know it. I'm sure he makes you proud.
>
> I did a search to see what frequencies you could listen to in Iraq, and
> these came from the milaircomms web page:
>
> http://www.milaircomms.com/middle_east_shortwave.html - Schedule of
> broadcasts
> http://www.milaircomms.com/war_iraq.html - Main Iraq war page
> http://www.rferl.org/shortwave/shortwave-iq.asp - Radio Free Iraq listings
>
> I have not listened to these myself, so I do not know exactly what you'll
> hear, but good luck to you in your search.
>
> A friend,
>
> Stacey
>
> --

> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > Hello all.
> >
> > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a
> private
> > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a "Realistic"
> > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle
east.
> > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that "time
of
> > day" announcer.
> >
> > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to
get
> > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using
some
> of
> > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his
> voice
> > and know that he is OK or not.
> > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a
lawn"
> > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in the
> > room"
> >
> > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to him.
> If
> > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news or
> that
> > al-Jazeeera place.
> >
> > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to hear
> > him?
> > and how do I talk back to him?
> > Thanks for your help.
> > EG
> >

> >
>
>

1562. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:43:54 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uyJha.35256$ja4.2262853@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34076
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I always do, friend.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:BbycnenBT9D_-RqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> I am confused. Anyway, have fun, then.
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:yiJha.35213$ja4.2261225@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > My dearest sweetest IT man Clip:
> >
> > I come home in the morning light
> > My mother says when you gonna live your life right
> > Oh mother dear we're not the fortunate ones
> > And girls they want to have fun
> > Oh girls just want to have fun
> >
> > The phone rings in the middle of the night
> > My father yells what you gonna do with your life
> > Oh daddy dear you know you're still number one
> > But girls they want to have fun
> > Oh girls just want to have--
> >
> > That's all they really want
> > Some fun
> > When the working day is done
> > Girls-- they want to have fun
> > Oh girls just want to have fun
> >
> > Some boys take a beautiful girl
> > And hide her away from the rest of the world
> > I want to be the one to walk in the sun
> > Oh girls they want to have fun
~~~~~~~

> > Oh girls just want to have
> >
> > That's all they really want
> > Some fun
> > When the working day is done
> > Girls--they want to have fun
> > Oh girls just want to have fun,
> > They want to have fun,
> > They want to have fun...
> >
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:SuednTAqJs7KwhqjXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> > > Fred, I'm sure you know, the grammatical-and-spelling-mistake attack
is
> > the
> > > most common one taken by those with no ground to stand on.
> > >
> > > In spite of that:
> > >
> > > To get technical, Celt Chic - if you wanted to be correct, you should
> have
> > > said, "There is no doctor ('doctor' is not capitalized in this sense)
I
> > know
> > > of that *writes* (not says) 'your' instead of 'you're' where it's
> > > appropriate!" You see, it just doesn't make any sense if you say
"says"
> > > instead of "writes." Think about it.
> > >
> > > See how easy it is to tear apart someone else's grammar and spelling?
> > >
> > > Thanks for playing.
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:uMIha.35171$ja4.2257933@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:

> > > > "No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on
> > > > permanent ignore..."
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Oh, you need to learn grammar, Dr. Fred. Dr. of what?? There is no
> > > Doctor
> > > > I know of that says "your" instead of "you're" where it's
appropriate!
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:v8eh4vqsf6v712@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > "I only put up a beg page because it was the..." What a load of
> bovine
> > > > > excrement. You claim to have a high paying job, that one assumes
> comes
> > > > with
> > > > > medical benefits, and you feel it is OK to beg because it "is the
> next
> > > big
> > > > > thing on the internet".
> > > > >
> > > > > What a sorry individual! No need to plunk me, your from this
moment,
> > on
> > > > > permanent ignore...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
> > least
> > > I
> > > > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> The
> > > > only
> > > > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because
it

> > was
> > > > the
> > > > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
> doing
> > > it.
> > > > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> > surgeries
> > > > > that
> > > > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my
> toy.
> > > > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> > didn't
> > > > > give
> > > > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> > Mommy
> > > > > will
> > > > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ;)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
> an
> > > SOB
> > > > > and
> > > > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> > being
> > > > nice
> > > > > > to losers like you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any
of
> > your
> > > > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little
boy.
> > Go
> > > > cry
> > > > > > to Mommy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > > nospam)
> > > > > > In the process of moving my web page from
http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > to

> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
> be
> > > > > > forgiven
> > > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> > ashamed,
> > > > > > when
> > > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help
pay
> > > your
> > > > > > bills
> > > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
> Chic's
> > > > > > website:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > > guess.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
> do
> > > what
> > > > > > you
> > > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
> any
> > > > sort
> > > > > > of
> > > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
> bigger
> > > > > > naive.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay
your
> > own
> > > > > damn
> > > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
> sell
> > > your
> > > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > >
> news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
> > RUDE
> > > to
> > > > > > > > someone
> > > > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling
pain
> > and
> > > > > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs
> us
> > to
> > > > > > help
> > > > > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
> > compassion!
> > > > > His
> > > > > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and
ask
> > for
> > > > > > help.
> > > > > > > > > He
> > > > > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY
> much!
> > > You
> > > > > > > > should
> > > > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >

1563. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:45:03 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <zzJha.35258$ja4.2263759@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34077
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mikey is such a cute little name. How adorable!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
news:v8ep27bkoqkgfa@corp.supernews.com...
> You are a fraud.
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:EzIha.35152$ja4.2256567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Ok, I think I have this figured out. After a few emails sent to me
> > explaining the nature of some male newsgroup posters, it appears the few
> men
> > that have attacked me on this board use the internet to make them feel
> "bad,
> > tough, big, smart, and dominant." In real life they are nothing more
than
> > men with what is known as the Napolean-Complex, thus they use the
> newsgroups
> > to attack others to make their pee-on lives seem better and bigger than
> they
> > really are. In other words, they NEED to get a life. In real life they
> > wouldn't have the courage to confront me or they wouldn't know what was
> > coming! So, little boys, stop trying to make yourselves feel like grown
> > ups, and attacking me doesn't bother me at all. In fact, it makes me
> laugh
> > at the low-level IQ you choose to display on these newsgroups. You're
> > probably all wife-beaters, alcholics, burger-flippers, greasy rednecks
> > anyway!!
> >
> > This kinda sucks because I really enjoy radios and scanners and out of
all
> > the newsgroups I've used, it's these two groups that come off as being
> > populated with the socioeconomic downfalls known as rednecks. I don't
> want
~~~~~~~

> > to be assocated with that! So, if I am a radio enthusiast, do I
> > automatically fall under the redneck catagory? If so I'd give up this
> hobby
> > in no time! I didn't know it was like that!!! But that is what I've
seen
> > on these groups. I've seen more Nazis in these groups than anywhere
else
> > and that helps to prove my theory! I don't want to be associated with
> > redneck Nazis, riding around in the pick-up truck with the State Militia
> > symbol on a decal, as well as the Dixie flag flying out the back with a
> > swastika hanging from their rear-view mirror! You probably bruise your
> > wives!
> >
> > (Please note, this is ONLY directed to the few men who have attacked me
on
> > these newsgroups. Some of you have been kind to me and I hate showing
you
> > this side of me as I'd LIKE to be known as a kindred spirit, but I've
had
> > enough. I've TRULY had enough. I can only hold it in for so long. I
now
> > understand why some lurkers have emailed me explaining why they never
> post!)
> > I never should have taken up radios because it appears there is a huge
> > association to a social group I don't want to belong to!
> >
> > You guys can't even get it up! Stop using the internet to make
yourselves
> > feel good about yourselves. If you have to use the internet to raise
your
> > self esteem then you NEED to get a life. If picking on a woman makes
you
> > feel sexual gratification you're truly sickos! That's the ONLY
> > gratification you'll EVER get!
> >
> > Pick on me because I have a Shortwave Call Sign? Come and pick on me
face
> > to face, losers! Pick on me because of my web page? Come and pick on
me
> > face to face, creeps!
> >
> > High school dropouts are what you are!
> >
> > ****AHHHHHH***** I had to get that out of my system. OK. I feel
better
> > now. Yep, I feel good. I feel very good to know I'm not the few nasty
> men
> > I've bumped into on these groups. I'd HATE to be you guys, that's for
> sure!
> > At least I don't have to use the net to make myself feel big. At least

I
> > don't have to pick on different races, religions, political beliefs, or
> > women to make me feel good. I can just be myself and know that's good
> > enough!
> >
> > Go ahead and respond whatever you will, LET THEM FLY, I'm done talking
to
> > you. You will not see any response FROM me TO your messages full of
> > childish antics. Will I read them? Probably, simply so I can get a big
> > ego-boost out of seeing how much better I am than you! One thing's for
> > sure, I'll get a big laugh out of you!!!
> >
> > I'm going to continue to enjoy these groups. I am NOT going to continue
> in
> > this war you seem so keen on beginning and continuing. I will only post
> > this ONCE and I am THROUGH with this OFF TOPIC CRAP. Quit trying to
pull
> me
> > into your little games. I know I'm not the only one you've tried to
P***
> > off, I've seen some of you do it to others. You think it is a cute
little
> > game!!
> >
> > (I will still continue to respond and post messages directed to the nice
> men
> > and women who have shown they can be mature and have a good head on
their
> > shoulders, as well as who have helped me grow far in this hobby.)
> >
> > How on earth a well-cultured person like me got mixed in with a hobby
> > associated with rednecks is beyond me!
> >
> > PEACE, BROTHERS AND SISTERS! HA! ;)
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:hwHha.35079$ja4.2250320@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing

around
> > with
> > > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've
> had
> > > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
> useless.
> > > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> > > > Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet is
a
> > > nasty
> > > > place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they
real
> or
> > > > not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost
that
> > > peace
> > > > loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome
> > > >
> > > > Greg
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
> least
> > I
> > > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
The
> > > only
> > > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it
> was
> > > the
> > > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
doing
> > it.

> > > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> surgeries
> > > > that
> > > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my
toy.
> > > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> didn't
> > > > give
> > > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> Mommy
> > > > will
> > > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > > >
> > > > > ;)
> > > > >
> > > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
an
> > SOB
> > > > and
> > > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> being
> > > nice
> > > > > to losers like you.
> > > > >
> > > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> your
> > > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy.
> Go
> > > cry
> > > > > to Mommy.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
be
> > > forgiven

> > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> ashamed,
> > > > when
> > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> > your
> > > > > bills
> > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > guess.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
do
> > what
> > > > you
> > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
any
> > > sort
> > > > of
> > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
bigger
> > > > naive.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
> own
> > > damn
> > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
sell
> > your
> > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >
news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
> RUDE
> > > to

> > > > > > someone
> > > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
> and
> > > > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs
us
> to
> > > > help
> > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
> compassion!
> > > His
> > > > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
> for
> > > > help.
> > > > > > He
> > > > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY
much!
> > You
> > > > > > should
> > > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>

1564. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:48:03 UTC
From: Back Scatter <back_scatter@milkyway.gov.eu>
Message-ID: <slrnb8epjm.nlh.back_scatter@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 34079
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 21:21:59 GMT,
Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>
>>
>
> Hey Back,
>
> Is this better? ;)

Yes, this is much better to follow for other people reading your message.
In private email writing on top is fine, but on email lists and newsgroups
it makes it a lot easier for everyone that read the message(s) to know what
you are responding to.

>
> I signed up for the Yahoo groups that include my particular scanner. Now
> they just need one for shortwave radios so I can get out of here.
>
> Peace,

Visit the http://www.hard-core-dx.com/ web site they have a email lists
and newsletter. If you are also interested in monitoring ship, airplanes and
military on HF/Shortwave then check out the WUN club http://www.wunclub.com
they have a monthly electronic news letter and a email lists that people use
to post their logs and other tidbits.

Welcome abroad and this can be a very interesting hobby that will soon fade
into the sunset by 2010 or so. :-(

--

1565. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 21:48:52 UTC
From: Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <Peydnd6sdN_J-xqjXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 34078
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My work here is done! Someone sees the light!

Anyway, the cyber-begging page was taken down. No one will get suckered
now.

"mikey" <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
news:v8ep27bkoqkgfa@corp.supernews.com...
> You are a fraud.

> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:EzIha.35152$ja4.2256567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Ok, I think I have this figured out. After a few emails sent to me
> > explaining the nature of some male newsgroup posters, it appears the few
> men
> > that have attacked me on this board use the internet to make them feel
> "bad,
> > tough, big, smart, and dominant." In real life they are nothing more
than
> > men with what is known as the Napolean-Complex, thus they use the
> newsgroups
> > to attack others to make their pee-on lives seem better and bigger than
> they
> > really are. In other words, they NEED to get a life. In real life they
> > wouldn't have the courage to confront me or they wouldn't know what was
> > coming! So, little boys, stop trying to make yourselves feel like grown
> > ups, and attacking me doesn't bother me at all. In fact, it makes me
> laugh
> > at the low-level IQ you choose to display on these newsgroups. You're
> > probably all wife-beaters, alcholics, burger-flippers, greasy rednecks
> > anyway!!
> >
> > This kinda sucks because I really enjoy radios and scanners and out of
all
> > the newsgroups I've used, it's these two groups that come off as being
> > populated with the socioeconomic downfalls known as rednecks. I don't
> want
> > to be assocated with that! So, if I am a radio enthusiast, do I
> > automatically fall under the redneck catagory? If so I'd give up this
> hobby
> > in no time! I didn't know it was like that!!! But that is what I've
seen
> > on these groups. I've seen more Nazis in these groups than anywhere
else
> > and that helps to prove my theory! I don't want to be associated with

> > redneck Nazis, riding around in the pick-up truck with the State Militia
> > symbol on a decal, as well as the Dixie flag flying out the back with a
> > swastika hanging from their rear-view mirror! You probably bruise your
> > wives!
> >
> > (Please note, this is ONLY directed to the few men who have attacked me
on
> > these newsgroups. Some of you have been kind to me and I hate showing
you
> > this side of me as I'd LIKE to be known as a kindred spirit, but I've
had
> > enough. I've TRULY had enough. I can only hold it in for so long. I
now
> > understand why some lurkers have emailed me explaining why they never
> post!)
> > I never should have taken up radios because it appears there is a huge
> > association to a social group I don't want to belong to!
> >
> > You guys can't even get it up! Stop using the internet to make
yourselves
> > feel good about yourselves. If you have to use the internet to raise
your
> > self esteem then you NEED to get a life. If picking on a woman makes
you
> > feel sexual gratification you're truly sickos! That's the ONLY
> > gratification you'll EVER get!
> >
> > Pick on me because I have a Shortwave Call Sign? Come and pick on me
face
> > to face, losers! Pick on me because of my web page? Come and pick on
me
> > face to face, creeps!
> >
> > High school dropouts are what you are!
> >
> > ****AHHHHHH***** I had to get that out of my system. OK. I feel
better
> > now. Yep, I feel good. I feel very good to know I'm not the few nasty
> men
> > I've bumped into on these groups. I'd HATE to be you guys, that's for
> sure!
> > At least I don't have to use the net to make myself feel big. At least
I
> > don't have to pick on different races, religions, political beliefs, or
> > women to make me feel good. I can just be myself and know that's good
> > enough!
> >
> > Go ahead and respond whatever you will, LET THEM FLY, I'm done talking
to
> > you. You will not see any response FROM me TO your messages full of

> > childish antics. Will I read them? Probably, simply so I can get a big
> > ego-boost out of seeing how much better I am than you! One thing's for
> > sure, I'll get a big laugh out of you!!!
> >
> > I'm going to continue to enjoy these groups. I am NOT going to continue
> in
> > this war you seem so keen on beginning and continuing. I will only post
> > this ONCE and I am THROUGH with this OFF TOPIC CRAP. Quit trying to
pull
> me
> > into your little games. I know I'm not the only one you've tried to
P***
> > off, I've seen some of you do it to others. You think it is a cute
little
> > game!!
> >
> > (I will still continue to respond and post messages directed to the nice
> men
> > and women who have shown they can be mature and have a good head on
their
> > shoulders, as well as who have helped me grow far in this hobby.)
> >
> > How on earth a well-cultured person like me got mixed in with a hobby
> > associated with rednecks is beyond me!
> >
> > PEACE, BROTHERS AND SISTERS! HA! ;)
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:hwHha.35079$ja4.2250320@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing
around
> > with
> > > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've
> had
> > > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
> useless.
> > > There are too many JERKS on the internet!

> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> > > > Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet is
a
> > > nasty
> > > > place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they
real
> or
> > > > not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost
that
> > > peace
> > > > loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome
> > > >
> > > > Greg
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
> least
> > I
> > > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
The
> > > only
> > > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it
> was
> > > the
> > > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
doing
> > it.
> > > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> surgeries
> > > > that
> > > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my
toy.
> > > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> didn't
> > > > give

> > > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> Mommy
> > > > will
> > > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > > >
> > > > > ;)
> > > > >
> > > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
an
> > SOB
> > > > and
> > > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> being
> > > nice
> > > > > to losers like you.
> > > > >
> > > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> your
> > > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy.
> Go
> > > cry
> > > > > to Mommy.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> > your
> > > > > bills

> > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
Chic's
> > > > > website:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > guess.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
do
> > what
> > > > > you
> > > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
any
> > > sort
> > > > > of
> > > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
bigger
> > > > naive.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
> own
> > > damn
> > > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
sell
> > your
> > > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > >
news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
> RUDE
> > to
> > > > > > someone
> > > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
> and
> > > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs
us
> to
> > > > > help

> > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
> compassion!
> > > His
> > > > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
> for
> > > > > help.
> > > > > > > He
> > > > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY
much!
> > You
> > > > > > > should
> > > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>

1566. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:00:13 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <NNJha.35296$ja4.2264711@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34081
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Back Scatter <back_scatter@milkyway.gov.eu> wrote in message
news:slrnb8epjm.nlh.back_scatter@localhost.localdomain...
> On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 21:21:59 GMT,
> Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > > Hey Back,
> > >
> > > Is this better? ;)
>
> Yes, this is much better to follow for other people reading your message.
> In private email writing on top is fine, but on email lists and newsgroups
> it makes it a lot easier for everyone that read the message(s) to know
what
> you are responding to.
>
>
> > >
> > > I signed up for the Yahoo groups that include my particular scanner.
Now
> > they just need one for shortwave radios so I can get out of here.
> > >
> > > Peace,
>
> Visit the http://www.hard-core-dx.com/ web site they have a email lists
> and newsletter. If you are also interested in monitoring ship, airplanes
and
> military on HF/Shortwave then check out the WUN club
http://www.wunclub.com
> they have a monthly electronic news letter and a email lists that people
use
> to post their logs and other tidbits.
>
> Welcome abroad and this can be a very interesting hobby that will soon
fade
> into the sunset by 2010 or so. :-(
>
>
> --
Hi Back,

Thanks for the links. Yes, I am also interested in aircraft freqs. I caught my first airplanes the other day on my scanner and I jumped for joy it was so exciting! I have a few airports around me so the traffic is really nice to listen to. I also live close to the river so I'm hoping to hear something on that band. I will check out those links and sign up for the newsletters.

I also heard something interesting on 11,175kHz SW although I don't know what it is. Is that aircraft?

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
~~~~~~~

1567. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:00:40 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cOJha.35297$ja4.2264746@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34082
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Clip, be careful or I'll put it back up.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:Peydnd6sdN_J-xqjXTWc3A@comcast.com...
> My work here is done! Someone sees the light!
>
> Anyway, the cyber-begging page was taken down. No one will get suckered
> now.
>
> "mikey" <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
> news:v8ep27bkoqkgfa@corp.supernews.com...
> > You are a fraud.
>
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:EzIha.35152$ja4.2256567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Ok, I think I have this figured out. After a few emails sent to me
> > > explaining the nature of some male newsgroup posters, it appears the
few
> > men
> > > that have attacked me on this board use the internet to make them feel
> > "bad,
> > > tough, big, smart, and dominant." In real life they are nothing more
> than
> > > men with what is known as the Napolean-Complex, thus they use the
> > newsgroups
> > > to attack others to make their pee-on lives seem better and bigger
than
> > they
> > > really are. In other words, they NEED to get a life. In real life
they
> > > wouldn't have the courage to confront me or they wouldn't know what
was
~~~~~~~

> > > coming! So, little boys, stop trying to make yourselves feel like
grown
> > > ups, and attacking me doesn't bother me at all. In fact, it makes me
> > laugh
> > > at the low-level IQ you choose to display on these newsgroups. You're
> > > probably all wife-beaters, alcholics, burger-flippers, greasy rednecks
> > > anyway!!
> > >
> > > This kinda sucks because I really enjoy radios and scanners and out of
> all
> > > the newsgroups I've used, it's these two groups that come off as being
> > > populated with the socioeconomic downfalls known as rednecks. I don't
> > want
> > > to be assocated with that! So, if I am a radio enthusiast, do I
> > > automatically fall under the redneck catagory? If so I'd give up this
> > hobby
> > > in no time! I didn't know it was like that!!! But that is what I've
> seen
> > > on these groups. I've seen more Nazis in these groups than anywhere
> else
> > > and that helps to prove my theory! I don't want to be associated with
> > > redneck Nazis, riding around in the pick-up truck with the State
Militia
> > > symbol on a decal, as well as the Dixie flag flying out the back with
a
> > > swastika hanging from their rear-view mirror! You probably bruise
your
> > > wives!
> > >
> > > (Please note, this is ONLY directed to the few men who have attacked
me
> on
> > > these newsgroups. Some of you have been kind to me and I hate showing
> you
> > > this side of me as I'd LIKE to be known as a kindred spirit, but I've
> had
> > > enough. I've TRULY had enough. I can only hold it in for so long. I
> now
> > > understand why some lurkers have emailed me explaining why they never
> > post!)
> > > I never should have taken up radios because it appears there is a huge
> > > association to a social group I don't want to belong to!
> > >
> > > You guys can't even get it up! Stop using the internet to make
> yourselves
> > > feel good about yourselves. If you have to use the internet to raise
> your
> > > self esteem then you NEED to get a life. If picking on a woman makes
> you
> > > feel sexual gratification you're truly sickos! That's the ONLY

> > > gratification you'll EVER get!
> > >
> > > Pick on me because I have a Shortwave Call Sign? Come and pick on me
> face
> > > to face, losers! Pick on me because of my web page? Come and pick on
> me
> > > face to face, creeps!
> > >
> > > High school dropouts are what you are!
> > >
> > > ****AHHHHHH***** I had to get that out of my system. OK. I feel
> better
> > > now. Yep, I feel good. I feel very good to know I'm not the few
nasty
> > men
> > > I've bumped into on these groups. I'd HATE to be you guys, that's for
> > sure!
> > > At least I don't have to use the net to make myself feel big. At
least
> I
> > > don't have to pick on different races, religions, political beliefs,
or
> > > women to make me feel good. I can just be myself and know that's good
> > > enough!
> > >
> > > Go ahead and respond whatever you will, LET THEM FLY, I'm done talking
> to
> > > you. You will not see any response FROM me TO your messages full of
> > > childish antics. Will I read them? Probably, simply so I can get a
big
> > > ego-boost out of seeing how much better I am than you! One thing's
for
> > > sure, I'll get a big laugh out of you!!!
> > >
> > > I'm going to continue to enjoy these groups. I am NOT going to
continue
> > in
> > > this war you seem so keen on beginning and continuing. I will only
post
> > > this ONCE and I am THROUGH with this OFF TOPIC CRAP. Quit trying to
> pull
> > me
> > > into your little games. I know I'm not the only one you've tried to
> P***
> > > off, I've seen some of you do it to others. You think it is a cute
> little
> > > game!!
> > >
> > > (I will still continue to respond and post messages directed to the
nice

> > men
> > > and women who have shown they can be mature and have a good head on
> their
> > > shoulders, as well as who have helped me grow far in this hobby.)
> > >
> > > How on earth a well-cultured person like me got mixed in with a hobby
> > > associated with rednecks is beyond me!
> > >
> > > PEACE, BROTHERS AND SISTERS! HA! ;)
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:hwHha.35079$ja4.2250320@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing
> around
> > > with
> > > > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war.
I've
> > had
> > > > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > > > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
> > useless.
> > > > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >

> > > > grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> > > > > Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet
is
> a
> > > > nasty
> > > > > place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they
> real
> > or
> > > > > not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost
> that
> > > > peace
> > > > > loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome
> > > > >
> > > > > Greg
> > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
> > least
> > > I
> > > > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> The
> > > > only
> > > > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because
it
> > was
> > > > the
> > > > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
> doing
> > > it.
> > > > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> > surgeries
> > > > that
> > > > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my
> toy.
> > > > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> > didn't
> > > > give
> > > > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> > Mommy
> > > > will
> > > > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ;)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
> an
> > > SOB
> > > > and
> > > > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done

> > being
> > > > nice
> > > > > > to losers like you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any
of
> > your
> > > > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little
boy.
> > Go
> > > > cry
> > > > > > to Mommy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > > nospam)
> > > > > > In the process of moving my web page from
http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > to
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
> be
> > > > > forgiven
> > > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> > ashamed,
> > > > > when
> > > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help
pay
> > > your
> > > > > > > bills
> > > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
> Chic's
> > > > > website:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > > guess.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
> do
> > > what
> > > > > you
> > > > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
> any
> > > > sort
> > > > > of
> > > > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
> bigger
> > > > naive.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay
your
> > own
> > > > > damn
> > > > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
> sell
> > > your
> > > > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > >
> news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
> > RUDE
> > > > to
> > > > > > > > someone
> > > > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling
pain
> > and
> > > > > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs
> us
> > to
> > > > > > help
> > > > > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
> > compassion!
> > > > > His
> > > > > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and
ask

> > for
> > > > > help.
> > > > > > > > He
> > > > > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY
> much!
> > > You
> > > > > > > > should
> > > > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1568. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:01:11 UTC
From: Donald Reeve <don236@nojunkprodigy.net>
Message-ID: <67qe8vce4kvpri57hbktn9sauhjrjd0uda@4ax.com>
Source record: 34083
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 20:36:52 GMT, "Celt Chic"
<internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Ok, I think I have this figured out. <rest snipped>

Goodness, didn't take you all that long before you became a troll
yourself....

dr

1569. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:06:32 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ITJha.35304$ja4.2265395@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34084
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't think high IQ's have anything to do with how long I've been on this newsgroup. I'm not psychic. Now that is one thing that has nothing to do with IQ. By reading a message I'm supposed to know that it's genuine? If there is someone on this planet who can do that I'd sure like to meet them, because I have a good use for them right here! They could interpret all of these newsgroup messages and tell me that less than 10% of them are genuine!

In any case, I don't think anyone should post a message intended as a joke about someone having a son in the war. That isn't funny at all. If we have to sink that low to find humour, then comedy is all out of ideas.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
news:v8epk84fmps089@corp.supernews.com...
> Anyone who frequents this list knows Emilio's posts are usually jokes.
Often
> very funny and topical.
>
> Your so-called "Hi-IQ" didn't seem to catch this. Thus, you might have a
> lower IQ than you thought. Worse, you brought personal issues into a silly
> pseudo-flame-fest.
>
> Hey chicy, get smart. You may be smart in your social circle, but the
> internet, for better or worse, is the leveler of all people. You don't
> impress me....
>
> ..you amuse me. (Barely)
> Mikey
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:K6Dha.34468$ja4.2218317@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Emilio,
~~~~~~~

> >
> > Bless you.... Your message really touched me. I wish there was a way I
> > knew of that you could keep in touch with your son directly through a
> radio
> > contact. But I know of none. The only connection I could ever think of
> is
> > through cell phone and I don't even know if they work from Iraq to the
> U.S.
> > Your heart is big and sweet, Emilio. May your son be safe and home
before
> > you even know it. I'm sure he makes you proud.
> >
> > I did a search to see what frequencies you could listen to in Iraq, and
> > these came from the milaircomms web page:
> >
> > http://www.milaircomms.com/middle_east_shortwave.html - Schedule of
> > broadcasts
> > http://www.milaircomms.com/war_iraq.html - Main Iraq war page
> > http://www.rferl.org/shortwave/shortwave-iq.asp - Radio Free Iraq
listings
> >
> > I have not listened to these myself, so I do not know exactly what
you'll
> > hear, but good luck to you in your search.
> >
> > A friend,
> >
> > Stacey
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > > Hello all.
> > >
> > > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a
> > private
> > > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a
"Realistic"

> > > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle
> east.
> > > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that
"time
> of
> > > day" announcer.
> > >
> > > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to
> get
> > > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using
> some
> > of
> > > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his
> > voice
> > > and know that he is OK or not.
> > > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a
> lawn"
> > > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in
the
> > > room"
> > >
> > > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to
him.
> > If
> > > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news
or
> > that
> > > al-Jazeeera place.
> > >
> > > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to
hear
> > > him?
> > > and how do I talk back to him?
> > > Thanks for your help.
> > > EG
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1570. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:08:55 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <XVJha.35312$ja4.2265808@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34085
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Heee.... wanna see the wart on my nose? I have been made a troll thanks to
this newsgroup!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Donald Reeve <don236@nojunkprodigy.net> wrote in message
news:67qe8vce4kvpri57hbktn9sauhjrjd0uda@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 20:36:52 GMT, "Celt Chic"
> <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Ok, I think I have this figured out. <rest snipped>
>
> Goodness, didn't take you all that long before you became a troll
> yourself....
>
> dr
>
~~~~~~~

1571. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:09:30 UTC
From: Back Scatter <back_scatter@milkyway.gov.eu>
Message-ID: <slrnb8eqo9.nlh.back_scatter@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 34086
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 22:00:13 GMT,
Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I also heard something interesting on 11,175kHz SW although I don't know
> what it is. Is that aircraft?
>

That is the main Armed forces global network frequency. You will hear aircraft, military bases, ships and one way broadcasts that consists of numbers and/or letter groups.

--

1572. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:10:36 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wXJha.35317$ja4.2266063@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34087
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey, I'll do anything to protect myself from people who try to pick on me.
That IS my right. I was taught to always take up for myself. If that makes
me a troll then welcome to my cave, lad! :)

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Donald Reeve <don236@nojunkprodigy.net> wrote in message
news:67qe8vce4kvpri57hbktn9sauhjrjd0uda@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 20:36:52 GMT, "Celt Chic"
> <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Ok, I think I have this figured out. <rest snipped>
>
> Goodness, didn't take you all that long before you became a troll
> yourself....
>
> dr
>
~~~~~~~

1573. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:12:24 UTC
From: mikey <mikey@rrs.com>
Message-ID: <v8erafcc7oauc4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34089
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ITJha.35304$ja4.2265395@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I don't think high IQ's have anything to do with how long I've been on
this
> newsgroup. I'm not psychic. Now that is one thing that has nothing to do
> with IQ. By reading a message I'm supposed to know that it's genuine? If
> there is someone on this planet who can do that I'd sure like to meet
them,
> because I have a good use for them right here! They could interpret all
of
> these newsgroup messages and tell me that less than 10% of them are
genuine!<

Well, you *could* have perused the older messages to ascertain the veracity of Mr Goldstein's track record. In fact, you've exposed yourself by being ignorant of his 'fun' posts in the past. No matter, as I'm sure you'll have a long-winded answer for everything for that too. And, GOOD for YOU. I disagree with what you've been writing, but..you are an articulate poster. I appreciate that.

> In any case, I don't think anyone should post a message intended as a joke
> about someone having a son in the war. That isn't funny at all. If we
have
> to sink that low to find humour, then comedy is all out of ideas.<

It's free speech, you are for free speech right? You seem to exercise your wanton right to it as I see. Hey kid, you may have good vocabulary, which is a very jejune thing to brag about, but when in Rome...We talk scanners and radios here. Do likewise or be prepared to be ignored. (Or laughed at)

Mikey..the cutest name in town

>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>

> mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
> news:v8epk84fmps089@corp.supernews.com...
> > Anyone who frequents this list knows Emilio's posts are usually jokes.
> Often
> > very funny and topical.
> >
> > Your so-called "Hi-IQ" didn't seem to catch this. Thus, you might have a
> > lower IQ than you thought. Worse, you brought personal issues into a
silly
> > pseudo-flame-fest.
> >
> > Hey chicy, get smart. You may be smart in your social circle, but the
> > internet, for better or worse, is the leveler of all people. You don't
> > impress me....
> >
> > ..you amuse me. (Barely)
> > Mikey
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:K6Dha.34468$ja4.2218317@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Emilio,
> > >
> > > Bless you.... Your message really touched me. I wish there was a way
I
> > > knew of that you could keep in touch with your son directly through a
> > radio
> > > contact. But I know of none. The only connection I could ever think
of
> > is
> > > through cell phone and I don't even know if they work from Iraq to the
> > U.S.
> > > Your heart is big and sweet, Emilio. May your son be safe and home
> before
> > > you even know it. I'm sure he makes you proud.
> > >
> > > I did a search to see what frequencies you could listen to in Iraq,
and
> > > these came from the milaircomms web page:
> > >
> > > http://www.milaircomms.com/middle_east_shortwave.html - Schedule of
> > > broadcasts
> > > http://www.milaircomms.com/war_iraq.html - Main Iraq war page
> > > http://www.rferl.org/shortwave/shortwave-iq.asp - Radio Free Iraq
> listings
> > >
> > > I have not listened to these myself, so I do not know exactly what
> you'll
> > > hear, but good luck to you in your search.
> > >

> > > A friend,
> > >
> > > Stacey
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > > news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > > > Hello all.
> > > >
> > > > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a
> > > private
> > > > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > > > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a
> "Realistic"
> > > > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle
> > east.
> > > > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that
> "time
> > of
> > > > day" announcer.
> > > >
> > > > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat
to
> > get
> > > > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using
> > some
> > > of
> > > > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up
his
> > > voice
> > > > and know that he is OK or not.
> > > > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a
> > lawn"
> > > > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in
> the
> > > > room"
> > > >
> > > > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to

> him.
> > > If
> > > > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news
> or
> > > that
> > > > al-Jazeeera place.
> > > >
> > > > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to
> hear
> > > > him?
> > > > and how do I talk back to him?
> > > > Thanks for your help.
> > > > EG
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1574. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:13:09 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <VZJha.35325$ja4.2266538@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34088
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Back Scatter <back_scatter@milkyway.gov.eu> wrote in message
news:slrnb8eqo9.nlh.back_scatter@localhost.localdomain...
> On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 22:00:13 GMT,
> Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > I also heard something interesting on 11,175kHz SW although I don't know
> > what it is. Is that aircraft?
> >
>
> That is the main Armed forces global network frequency. You will hear
aircraft,
> military bases, ships and one way broadcasts that consists of numbers
and/or
> letter groups.
>
Yep, that's what I heard! I have no idea how to interpret that stuff but
that is one of my goals. Just like the other night when I made a few weird
loggings of people using the phonetic alphabet with numbers that sounded
like secret codes.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
~~~~~~~

1575. F/S BC-780xlt and Software package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:27:50 UTC
From: see-signature@nospam.net
Message-ID: <3e876f06.57742699@news.east.cox.net>
Source record: 34090

For Sale:
Uniden 780xlt

Radio is in excellent condition and has a discriminator output connector(RCA). Comes with all original packaging and manual.

I will also include a CD with WinScan, AFS 780, Police Call 2003 and computer to radio cable...

.jpg available

$250
Jeff.
Rhode Island
jad1@cox.net

1576. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:28:24 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ccKha.35350$ja4.2267913@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34091
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Mikey you're so fine, you're so fine you blow my mind, hey Mikey!
(Yeah, I know it's supposed to be Mickey, but I believe in free speech!)

Urban legend:
Claim: Little Mikey of LIFE cereal fame died from the explosive effects of
mixing Pop Rocks candy with soda pop.
Status: False.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
news:v8erafcc7oauc4@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ITJha.35304$ja4.2265395@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > I don't think high IQ's have anything to do with how long I've been on
> this
> > newsgroup. I'm not psychic. Now that is one thing that has nothing to
do
> > with IQ. By reading a message I'm supposed to know that it's genuine?
If
> > there is someone on this planet who can do that I'd sure like to meet
> them,
> > because I have a good use for them right here! They could interpret all
> of
> > these newsgroup messages and tell me that less than 10% of them are
> genuine!<
>
> Well, you *could* have perused the older messages to ascertain the
veracity
> of Mr Goldstein's track record. In fact, you've exposed yourself by being
> ignorant of his 'fun' posts in the past. No matter, as I'm sure you'll
have
> a long-winded answer for everything for that too. And, GOOD for YOU. I
~~~~~~~

> disagree with what you've been writing, but..you are an articulate poster.
I
> appreciate that.
>
> > In any case, I don't think anyone should post a message intended as a
joke
> > about someone having a son in the war. That isn't funny at all. If we
> have
> > to sink that low to find humour, then comedy is all out of ideas.<
>
> It's free speech, you are for free speech right? You seem to exercise your
> wanton right to it as I see. Hey kid, you may have good vocabulary, which
is
> a very jejune thing to brag about, but when in Rome...We talk scanners and
> radios here. Do likewise or be prepared to be ignored. (Or laughed at)
>
> Mikey..the cutest name in town
>
>
>
>
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
> > news:v8epk84fmps089@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Anyone who frequents this list knows Emilio's posts are usually jokes.
> > Often
> > > very funny and topical.
> > >
> > > Your so-called "Hi-IQ" didn't seem to catch this. Thus, you might have
a
> > > lower IQ than you thought. Worse, you brought personal issues into a
> silly
> > > pseudo-flame-fest.
> > >
> > > Hey chicy, get smart. You may be smart in your social circle, but the
> > > internet, for better or worse, is the leveler of all people. You don't
> > > impress me....
> > >

> > > ..you amuse me. (Barely)
> > > Mikey
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:K6Dha.34468$ja4.2218317@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Emilio,
> > > >
> > > > Bless you.... Your message really touched me. I wish there was a
way
> I
> > > > knew of that you could keep in touch with your son directly through
a
> > > radio
> > > > contact. But I know of none. The only connection I could ever
think
> of
> > > is
> > > > through cell phone and I don't even know if they work from Iraq to
the
> > > U.S.
> > > > Your heart is big and sweet, Emilio. May your son be safe and home
> > before
> > > > you even know it. I'm sure he makes you proud.
> > > >
> > > > I did a search to see what frequencies you could listen to in Iraq,
> and
> > > > these came from the milaircomms web page:
> > > >
> > > > http://www.milaircomms.com/middle_east_shortwave.html - Schedule of
> > > > broadcasts
> > > > http://www.milaircomms.com/war_iraq.html - Main Iraq war page
> > > > http://www.rferl.org/shortwave/shortwave-iq.asp - Radio Free Iraq
> > listings
> > > >
> > > > I have not listened to these myself, so I do not know exactly what
> > you'll
> > > > hear, but good luck to you in your search.
> > > >
> > > > A friend,
> > > >
> > > > Stacey
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)

> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > > > > Hello all.
> > > > >
> > > > > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's
a
> > > > private
> > > > > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > > > > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a
> > "Realistic"
> > > > > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the
middle
> > > east.
> > > > > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that
> > "time
> > > of
> > > > > day" announcer.
> > > > >
> > > > > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be
neat
> to
> > > get
> > > > > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night
(using
> > > some
> > > > of
> > > > > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up
> his
> > > > voice
> > > > > and know that he is OK or not.
> > > > > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and
a
> > > lawn"
> > > > > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries
in
> > the
> > > > > room"
> > > > >
> > > > > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening
to
> > him.
> > > > If
> > > > > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the

news
> > or
> > > > that
> > > > > al-Jazeeera place.
> > > > >
> > > > > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies
to
> > hear
> > > > > him?
> > > > > and how do I talk back to him?
> > > > > Thanks for your help.
> > > > > EG
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1577. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:28:37 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e876f9b$0$83873$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 34092
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What you see on usenet is a very small fraction of radio hobbyists and definately does not represent the radio hobby group. Generally speaking the majority of the best of the best do not or rarely show their faces here probably due to all of the noise. Head over to the message boards on www.strongsignals.net if you want to see how a functional board works. This is mostly a scanner board but there is a small sw group. The Yahoo groups while full of ads tend to be a bit more civil too. There is still good info on the rec. radio groups but you have to put up with the cheap shots and noise, foul mouths etc. This is usenet.

You are involved in a great hobby, don't let a few of those who hide behind the Internet discourage you. Many have left here for the more calm and quiet of Yahoo type groups of Strongsignals.

Greg
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:EzIha.35152$ja4.2256567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Ok, I think I have this figured out. After a few emails sent to me
> explaining the nature of some male newsgroup posters, it appears the few
men
> that have attacked me on this board use the internet to make them feel
"bad,
> tough, big, smart, and dominant." In real life they are nothing more than
> men with what is known as the Napolean-Complex, thus they use the
newsgroups
> to attack others to make their pee-on lives seem better and bigger than
they
> really are. In other words, they NEED to get a life. In real life they
> wouldn't have the courage to confront me or they wouldn't know what was
> coming! So, little boys, stop trying to make yourselves feel like grown
> ups, and attacking me doesn't bother me at all. In fact, it makes me
laugh
> at the low-level IQ you choose to display on these newsgroups. You're
> probably all wife-beaters, alcholics, burger-flippers, greasy rednecks
> anyway!!
>
> This kinda sucks because I really enjoy radios and scanners and out of all
> the newsgroups I've used, it's these two groups that come off as being
> populated with the socioeconomic downfalls known as rednecks. I don't
want
> to be assocated with that! So, if I am a radio enthusiast, do I
> automatically fall under the redneck catagory? If so I'd give up this
hobby
> in no time! I didn't know it was like that!!! But that is what I've seen

> on these groups. I've seen more Nazis in these groups than anywhere else
> and that helps to prove my theory! I don't want to be associated with
> redneck Nazis, riding around in the pick-up truck with the State Militia
> symbol on a decal, as well as the Dixie flag flying out the back with a
> swastika hanging from their rear-view mirror! You probably bruise your
> wives!
>
> (Please note, this is ONLY directed to the few men who have attacked me on
> these newsgroups. Some of you have been kind to me and I hate showing you
> this side of me as I'd LIKE to be known as a kindred spirit, but I've had
> enough. I've TRULY had enough. I can only hold it in for so long. I now
> understand why some lurkers have emailed me explaining why they never
post!)
> I never should have taken up radios because it appears there is a huge
> association to a social group I don't want to belong to!
>
> You guys can't even get it up! Stop using the internet to make yourselves
> feel good about yourselves. If you have to use the internet to raise your
> self esteem then you NEED to get a life. If picking on a woman makes you
> feel sexual gratification you're truly sickos! That's the ONLY
> gratification you'll EVER get!
>
> Pick on me because I have a Shortwave Call Sign? Come and pick on me face
> to face, losers! Pick on me because of my web page? Come and pick on me
> face to face, creeps!
>
> High school dropouts are what you are!
>
> ****AHHHHHH***** I had to get that out of my system. OK. I feel better
> now. Yep, I feel good. I feel very good to know I'm not the few nasty
men
> I've bumped into on these groups. I'd HATE to be you guys, that's for
sure!
> At least I don't have to use the net to make myself feel big. At least I
> don't have to pick on different races, religions, political beliefs, or
> women to make me feel good. I can just be myself and know that's good
> enough!
>
> Go ahead and respond whatever you will, LET THEM FLY, I'm done talking to
> you. You will not see any response FROM me TO your messages full of
> childish antics. Will I read them? Probably, simply so I can get a big
> ego-boost out of seeing how much better I am than you! One thing's for
> sure, I'll get a big laugh out of you!!!
>
> I'm going to continue to enjoy these groups. I am NOT going to continue
in
> this war you seem so keen on beginning and continuing. I will only post
> this ONCE and I am THROUGH with this OFF TOPIC CRAP. Quit trying to pull
me
> into your little games. I know I'm not the only one you've tried to P***

> off, I've seen some of you do it to others. You think it is a cute little
> game!!
>
> (I will still continue to respond and post messages directed to the nice
men
> and women who have shown they can be mature and have a good head on their
> shoulders, as well as who have helped me grow far in this hobby.)
>
> How on earth a well-cultured person like me got mixed in with a hobby
> associated with rednecks is beyond me!
>
> PEACE, BROTHERS AND SISTERS! HA! ;)
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:hwHha.35079$ja4.2250320@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing around
> with
> > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've
had
> > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
useless.
> > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...

> > > Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet is a
> > nasty
> > > place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they real
or
> > > not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost that
> > peace
> > > loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome
> > >
> > > Greg
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
least
> I
> > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
> > only
> > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it
was
> > the
> > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing
> it.
> > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
surgeries
> > > that
> > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
didn't
> > > give
> > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
Mommy
> > > will
> > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > >
> > > > ;)
> > > >
> > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an
> SOB
> > > and
> > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
being
> > nice
> > > > to losers like you.
> > > >
> > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
your
> > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy.
Go
> > cry
> > > > to Mommy.
> > > >

> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > >
> > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> your
> > > > bills
> > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > >
> > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> guess.
> > > > >
> > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
> what
> > > you
> > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> > sort
> > > of
> > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > > naive.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
own
> > damn
> > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > >

> > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
> your
> > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > >
> > > > > Sickening...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
RUDE
> to
> > > > > someone
> > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
and
> > > > doesn't
> > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us
to
> > > help
> > > > > him.
> > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
compassion!
> > His
> > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
for
> > > help.
> > > > > He
> > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much!
> You
> > > > > should
> > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1578. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:35:18 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GiKha.35360$ja4.2267535@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 34094
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hugs Thanks, Lisha! I'll need all the luck I can get, that's for sure!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:z5Lha.289$lV1.166662@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Good luck with your exam when do you take it
>
> Lisha
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:rzIha.35151$ja4.2256687@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Eric,
> >
> > Thanks. You made me feel better. :)
> >
> > I'm worried about those exams because there was always one girl in my
math
> > classes who seemed to know everything. She took the first Actuarial
exam
> > three times and gave up trying. She gave me her study materials so I
> think
> > I'm going to give it a try! My head hurts just thinking about studying
> for
> > the thing!
> >
> > Of course, spending all my free time playing with my electronic gadgets
> > isn't helping me study for the exams, that's for sure!!
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
~~~~~~~

> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Eric F. Richards <efricha@dim.com> wrote in message
> > news:64ke8vknl5hdpp3g78br3scaeb3n864lav@4ax.com...
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing
> around
> > with
> > > > > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war.
I've
> > had
> > > > > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > > > > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
> > useless.
> > > > > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
> > > >
> > > Hey, C.C.,
> > >
> > > Don't let him get to you. That's what floats his boat.
> > >
> > > And, yes, anyone can hide behind a keyboard and say things they'd
> > > never say in person.
> > >
> > > Take care of yourself, and best of luck on the actuarial exams. I
> > > took one as an advanced stats final, almost 20 years ago, and my head
> > > still hurts!
> > >
> > > --
> > > Eric F. Richards
> > > efricha@dim.com
> > > "The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> > > - Dilbert
> >
> >
>
>

1579. Re: WHo?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:54:38 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <OAKha.48125$ZW4.1405723@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 34096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

He was assassinated in HIS time as well.

"MechCD" <mechcd@STUPIDSPAMbluebottle.com> wrote in message
news:MOrha.287748$sf5.246161@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I think Jesus would have been assassinated in today's times.
>
> "O" <O@o.com> wrote in message news:AhUga.239476$3D1.129919@sccrnsc01...
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1580. Re: Sounds like Rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 22:57:46 UTC
From: Drewdawg <ajc@trw.com>
Message-ID: <v8etmga9ofvkc3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33998
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Mr. Frankenstien" <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com> wrote in message
news:Wfhha.277470$S_4.175290@rwcrnsc53...
<snip>
>
Don't you mean all the people being raped by Saddam's rape squads (his
victims and their families)

Yea, just tell yourself they all deserved it. Makes your anti-war
statements easier to deal with. Sleeping well now?

1581. FS/T: UHF and Dual Band HT's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 23:01:12 UTC
From: "Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com>
Message-ID: <2pte8v8qbmqf0mehubtle6fr27vf8m0ulg@4ax.com>
Source record: 33997

Icom IC-12U 12 Channel 460 Mhz HT, no charger.* Currently on UHF med channels. Diode programmable.

EF Johnson "Scropion" 16 channel UHF HT, charger 2 extra batteries. Programmed on business and FRS channels.

2 Motorola HT-440, 2 channel UHF with 1 charger, 2 extra batteries and 2 microphones. Also 1 non working HT-90 for parts.

*will charge directly from 13.8 v. jack in back of battery pack.

All radios are in good physical and operating condition. Will trade all the above for a small 5 watt, 16 channel VHF (150-160 Mhz) HT and rapid charger or sell all for highest offer. I cannot reprogram radios. Prefer to sell all to one buyer rather than ship individually.

Questions or offers to: w8ems@juno.com

1582. Re: FS/T: UHF and Dual Band HT's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 23:08:32 UTC
From: "Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com>
Message-ID: <j5ue8v4d2lueegm9hj20eojqkcbc39jtmc@4ax.com>
Source record: 33999

First listing was accidently left off previous post. Should read as follows:

Yaesu FTH-2070 32 channel dual band, drop in rapid charger. Currently on VHF Fire, EMS and UHF fire and med channels. Will do ham bands.

Icom IC-12U 12 Channel 460 Mhz HT, no charger.* Currently on UHF med channels. Diode programmable.

EF Johnson "Scropion" 16 channel UHF HT, charger 2 extra batteries. Programmed on business and FRS channels.

2 Motorola HT-440, 2 channel UHF with 1 charger, 2 extra batteries and 2 microphones. Also 1 non working HT-90 for parts.

*will charge directly from 13.8 v. jack in back of battery pack.

All radios are in good physical and operating condition. Will trade all the above for a small 5 watt, 16 channel VHF (150-160 Mhz) HT and rapid charger or sell all for highest offer. I cannot reprogram radios. Prefer to sell all to one buyer rather than ship individually.

Questions or offers to: w8ems@juno.com

1583. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 23:29:35 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <z5Lha.289$lV1.166662@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34093
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Good luck with your exam when do you take it

Lisha

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:rzIha.35151$ja4.2256687@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Eric,
>
> Thanks. You made me feel better. :)
>
> I'm worried about those exams because there was always one girl in my math
> classes who seemed to know everything. She took the first Actuarial exam
> three times and gave up trying. She gave me her study materials so I
think
> I'm going to give it a try! My head hurts just thinking about studying
for
> the thing!
>
> Of course, spending all my free time playing with my electronic gadgets
> isn't helping me study for the exams, that's for sure!!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Eric F. Richards <efricha@dim.com> wrote in message
> news:64ke8vknl5hdpp3g78br3scaeb3n864lav@4ax.com...
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing
around
> with
> > > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've
> had
> > > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's

> useless.
> > > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
> >
> > Hey, C.C.,
> >
> > Don't let him get to you. That's what floats his boat.
> >
> > And, yes, anyone can hide behind a keyboard and say things they'd
> > never say in person.
> >
> > Take care of yourself, and best of luck on the actuarial exams. I
> > took one as an advanced stats final, almost 20 years ago, and my head
> > still hurts!
> >
> > --
> > Eric F. Richards
> > efricha@dim.com
> > "The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> > - Dilbert
>
>

1584. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 23:37:02 UTC
From: mikey <mikey@rrs.com>
Message-ID: <v8f096hn3aql9b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34000
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeez, you're weird. "Blow your mind?" what does that mean anyway?

ROFLMAO!

Seriously, you've posted, something like ten messages to prove..what?

ROFLMAO!

You quote a dumb lyric and think it's 'clever'?

ROTFLMAO!

Hey chic, or whatever...you've made a fool of yourself.

ROTFLMAO!!!

Mikey "mind blower"

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ccKha.35350$ja4.2267913@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hey Mikey you're so fine, you're so fine you blow my mind, hey Mikey!
> (Yeah, I know it's supposed to be Mickey, but I believe in free speech!)
>
> Urban legend:
> Claim: Little Mikey of LIFE cereal fame died from the explosive effects
of
> mixing Pop Rocks candy with soda pop.
> Status: False.
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
> news:v8erafcc7oauc4@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:ITJha.35304$ja4.2265395@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> > > I don't think high IQ's have anything to do with how long I've been on
> > this
> > > newsgroup. I'm not psychic. Now that is one thing that has nothing
to
> do
> > > with IQ. By reading a message I'm supposed to know that it's genuine?
> If
> > > there is someone on this planet who can do that I'd sure like to meet
> > them,
> > > because I have a good use for them right here! They could interpret
all
> > of
> > > these newsgroup messages and tell me that less than 10% of them are
> > genuine!<
> >
> > Well, you *could* have perused the older messages to ascertain the
> veracity
> > of Mr Goldstein's track record. In fact, you've exposed yourself by
being
> > ignorant of his 'fun' posts in the past. No matter, as I'm sure you'll
> have
> > a long-winded answer for everything for that too. And, GOOD for YOU. I
> > disagree with what you've been writing, but..you are an articulate
poster.
> I
> > appreciate that.
> >
> > > In any case, I don't think anyone should post a message intended as a
> joke
> > > about someone having a son in the war. That isn't funny at all. If
we
> > have
> > > to sink that low to find humour, then comedy is all out of ideas.<
> >
> > It's free speech, you are for free speech right? You seem to exercise
your
> > wanton right to it as I see. Hey kid, you may have good vocabulary,
which
> is
> > a very jejune thing to brag about, but when in Rome...We talk scanners
and
> > radios here. Do likewise or be prepared to be ignored. (Or laughed at)
> >
> > Mikey..the cutest name in town
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > --

> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
> > > news:v8epk84fmps089@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Anyone who frequents this list knows Emilio's posts are usually
jokes.
> > > Often
> > > > very funny and topical.
> > > >
> > > > Your so-called "Hi-IQ" didn't seem to catch this. Thus, you might
have
> a
> > > > lower IQ than you thought. Worse, you brought personal issues into a
> > silly
> > > > pseudo-flame-fest.
> > > >
> > > > Hey chicy, get smart. You may be smart in your social circle, but
the
> > > > internet, for better or worse, is the leveler of all people. You
don't
> > > > impress me....
> > > >
> > > > ..you amuse me. (Barely)
> > > > Mikey
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:K6Dha.34468$ja4.2218317@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > Emilio,
> > > > >
> > > > > Bless you.... Your message really touched me. I wish there was a
> way
> > I
> > > > > knew of that you could keep in touch with your son directly
through
> a
> > > > radio
> > > > > contact. But I know of none. The only connection I could ever
> think
> > of

> > > > is
> > > > > through cell phone and I don't even know if they work from Iraq to
> the
> > > > > U.S.
> > > > > > Your heart is big and sweet, Emilio. May your son be safe and
home
> > > before
> > > > > you even know it. I'm sure he makes you proud.
> > > > >
> > > > > > I did a search to see what frequencies you could listen to in
Iraq,
> > and
> > > > > > these came from the milaircomms web page:
> > > > >
> > > > > > http://www.milaircomms.com/middle_east_shortwave.html - Schedule
of
> > > > > broadcasts
> > > > > > http://www.milaircomms.com/war_iraq.html - Main Iraq war page
> > > > > > http://www.rferl.org/shortwave/shortwave-iq.asp - Radio Free Iraq
> > > listings
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I have not listened to these myself, so I do not know exactly what
> > > you'll
> > > > > > hear, but good luck to you in your search.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > A friend,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Stacey
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > > > > > > Hello all.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now.
He's
> a

> > > > > private
> > > > > > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > > > > > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a
> > > "Realistic"
> > > > > > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the
> middle
> > > > east.
> > > > > > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is
that
> > > "time
> > > > of
> > > > > > day" announcer.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be
> neat
> > to
> > > > > get
> > > > > > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night
> (using
> > > > some
> > > > > of
> > > > > > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick
up
> > his
> > > > > voice
> > > > > > and know that he is OK or not.
> > > > > > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees
and
> a
> > > > lawn"
> > > > > > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries
> in
> > > the
> > > > > > room"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening
> to
> > > him.
> > > > > If
> > > > > > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the
> news
> > > or
> > > > > that
> > > > > > al-Jazeeera place.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies
> to
> > > hear
> > > > > > him?
> > > > > > and how do I talk back to him?

> > > > > > Thanks for your help.
> > > > > > EG
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1585. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 23:42:25 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <BhLha.311$lV1.170701@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 34095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"grenner" <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3e876f9b$0$83873$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> What you see on usenet is a very small fraction of radio hobbyists and
> definately does not represent the radio hobby group. Generally speaking
the
> majority of the best of the best do not or rarely show their faces here
> probably due to all of the noise. Head over to the message boards on
> www.strongsignals.net if you want to see how a functional board works.
This
> is mostly a scanner board but there is a small sw group. The Yahoo groups
> while full of ads tend to be a bit more civil too. There is still good
info
> on the rec. radio groups but you have to put up with the cheap shots and
> noise, foul mouths etc. This is usenet.
>
> You are involved in a great hobby, don't let a few of those who hide
behind
> the Internet discourage you. Many have left here for the more calm and
> quiet of Yahoo type groups of Strongsignals.
>
> Greg
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:EzIha.35152$ja4.2256567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Ok, I think I have this figured out. After a few emails sent to me
> > explaining the nature of some male newsgroup posters, it appears the few
> men
> > that have attacked me on this board use the internet to make them feel
> "bad,
> > tough, big, smart, and dominant." In real life they are nothing more
than
> > men with what is known as the Napolean-Complex, thus they use the
> newsgroups
> > to attack others to make their pee-on lives seem better and bigger than
> they
> > really are. In other words, they NEED to get a life. In real life they
> > wouldn't have the courage to confront me or they wouldn't know what was
> > coming! So, little boys, stop trying to make yourselves feel like grown
> > ups, and attacking me doesn't bother me at all. In fact, it makes me
> laugh
> > at the low-level IQ you choose to display on these newsgroups. You're
> > probably all wife-beaters, alcholics, burger-flippers, greasy rednecks
> > anyway!!
> >

> > This kinda sucks because I really enjoy radios and scanners and out of
all
> > the newsgroups I've used, it's these two groups that come off as being
> > populated with the socioeconomic downfalls known as rednecks. I don't
> want
> > to be assocated with that! So, if I am a radio enthusiast, do I
> > automatically fall under the redneck catagory? If so I'd give up this
> hobby
> > in no time! I didn't know it was like that!!! But that is what I've
seen
> > on these groups. I've seen more Nazis in these groups than anywhere
else
> > and that helps to prove my theory! I don't want to be associated with
> > redneck Nazis, riding around in the pick-up truck with the State Militia
> > symbol on a decal, as well as the Dixie flag flying out the back with a
> > swastika hanging from their rear-view mirror! You probably bruise your
> > wives!
> >
> > (Please note, this is ONLY directed to the few men who have attacked me
on
> > these newsgroups. Some of you have been kind to me and I hate showing
you
> > this side of me as I'd LIKE to be known as a kindred spirit, but I've
had
> > enough. I've TRULY had enough. I can only hold it in for so long. I
now
> > understand why some lurkers have emailed me explaining why they never
> post!)
> > I never should have taken up radios because it appears there is a huge
> > association to a social group I don't want to belong to!
> >
> > You guys can't even get it up! Stop using the internet to make
yourselves
> > feel good about yourselves. If you have to use the internet to raise
your
> > self esteem then you NEED to get a life. If picking on a woman makes
you
> > feel sexual gratification you're truly sickos! That's the ONLY
> > gratification you'll EVER get!
> >
> > Pick on me because I have a Shortwave Call Sign? Come and pick on me
face
> > to face, losers! Pick on me because of my web page? Come and pick on
me
> > face to face, creeps!
> >
> > High school dropouts are what you are!
> >
> > ****AHHHHHH***** I had to get that out of my system. OK. I feel
better

> > now. Yep, I feel good. I feel very good to know I'm not the few nasty
> men
> > I've bumped into on these groups. I'd HATE to be you guys, that's for
> sure!
> > At least I don't have to use the net to make myself feel big. At least
I
> > don't have to pick on different races, religions, political beliefs, or
> > women to make me feel good. I can just be myself and know that's good
> > enough!
> >
> > Go ahead and respond whatever you will, LET THEM FLY, I'm done talking
to
> > you. You will not see any response FROM me TO your messages full of
> > childish antics. Will I read them? Probably, simply so I can get a big
> > ego-boost out of seeing how much better I am than you! One thing's for
> > sure, I'll get a big laugh out of you!!!
> >
> > I'm going to continue to enjoy these groups. I am NOT going to continue
> in
> > this war you seem so keen on beginning and continuing. I will only post
> > this ONCE and I am THROUGH with this OFF TOPIC CRAP. Quit trying to
pull
> me
> > into your little games. I know I'm not the only one you've tried to
P***
> > off, I've seen some of you do it to others. You think it is a cute
little
> > game!!
> >
> > (I will still continue to respond and post messages directed to the nice
> men
> > and women who have shown they can be mature and have a good head on
their
> > shoulders, as well as who have helped me grow far in this hobby.)
> >
> > How on earth a well-cultured person like me got mixed in with a hobby
> > associated with rednecks is beyond me!
> >
> > PEACE, BROTHERS AND SISTERS! HA! ;)
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/

> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:hwHha.35079$ja4.2250320@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing
around
> > with
> > > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've
> had
> > > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
> useless.
> > > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> > > > Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet is
a
> > > nasty
> > > > place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they
real
> or
> > > > not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost
that
> > > peace
> > > > loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome
> > > >
> > > > Greg
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
> least
> > I
> > > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
The
> > > only
> > > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it

> was
> > > the
> > > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
doing
> > it.
> > > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> surgeries
> > > > that
> > > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my
toy.
> > > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> didn't
> > > > give
> > > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> Mommy
> > > will
> > > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > > >
> > > > > ;)
> > > > >
> > > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
an
> > SOB
> > > > and
> > > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> being
> > > nice
> > > > > to losers like you.
> > > > >
> > > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> your
> > > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy.
> Go
> > > cry
> > > > > to Mommy.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >

> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
be
> > > > forgiven
> > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> ashamed,
> > > > when
> > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> > your
> > > > > bills
> > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > guess.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
do
> > what
> > > > you
> > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
any
> > > sort
> > > > of
> > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
bigger
> > > > naive.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
> own
> > > damn
> > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
sell
> > your
> > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> > > > > >
news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
> RUDE
> > to
> > > > > > > someone
> > > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
> and
> > > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs
us
> to
> > > > help
> > > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
> compassion!
> > > His
> > > > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
> for
> > > > help.
> > > > > > He
> > > > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY
much!
> > You
> > > > > > should
> > > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >

Greg I am going looking for yahoo groups this evening. thought the newsgroups were great but don't want all that crap to read through. You guys have been a big help to me and I want to thank you for it....

Lisha

1586. Re: F/S BC-780xlt and Software package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 23:49:14 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_nLha.48230$ZW4.1409160@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 34001

"Jeff" <see-signature@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:3e876f06.57742699@news.east.cox.net...
> For Sale:
> Uniden 780xlt
>
> Radio is in excellent condition and has a discriminator output
> connector(RCA). Comes with all original packaging and manual.
>
> I will also include a CD with WinScan, AFS 780, Police Call 2003 and
> computer to radio cable...
>
> .jpg available
>
> $250
> Jeff.
> Rhode Island
> jad1@cox.net

Give e-bay a try. I just bought mine there two days ago. Same stuff your selling and I paid $275. Several have sold there around that price in just the last couple of weeks. You can't find them NEW right now. I looked everyone and they are all on back order. Good Luck.

1587. WTB: ICOM PCR-100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-30 23:58:59 UTC
From: Joe Esposito <joest73@notsohotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g51f8vk0lf9s3krmprqsvu9t55r139u5vq@4ax.com>
Source record: 34002

I am looking for a used ICOM PCR-100. I plan to set up a personal web controlled radio for mostly AM and FM BCB listening.

If your think of selling one, e-mail me at (mwradio at gmx.net) or (joest73 at hotmail.com)

Thanks,

Joe Esposito

1588. Realistic Pro-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 00:11:23 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <LILha.48231$ZW4.1410179@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 34003

I have an old realistic Pro-46 hand held scanner with the following frequencies. I have been told that this radio has frequencies that are no longer available after 1994. I bought this radio just before then. Is there any value to this radio because of it's status? What might those frequencies be and what would I hear on them? Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks,

Jim

Frequency Coverage:

```
Ham.....................................29 - 29.7 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
VHF-Lo..................................29.7 50 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
Ham.....................................50 - 54 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
Aircraft.............................108 - 136.975 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
Government...........................137 - 144 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
Ham.....................................144 - 148 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
VHF - Hi............................148 - 174 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
Government.........................406 - 420 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
Ham.................................420 - 450 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
UHF-Lo..............................450 - 470 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
UHF-TV..............................470 - 512 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
UHF-H.....................806.0000 - 823.9375 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
...........................851.0000 - 868.9375 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
...............................896.1125 - - 956 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
```

1589. Re: Sounds like Rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 00:26:31 UTC
From: Clyde <clyde@rest>
Message-ID: <v8f2ruoqacem98@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34004
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Drewdawg" <ajc@trw.com> wrote in message
news:v8etmga9ofvkc3@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Mr. Frankenstien" <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com> wrote in
message
> news:Wfhha.277470$S_4.175290@rwcrnsc53...
> <snip>
> >
> Don't you mean all the people being raped by Saddam's rape squads (his
> victims and their families)
>
> Yea, just tell yourself they all deserved it. Makes your anti-war
> statements easier to deal with. Sleeping well now?
>
>
I am anti-this war and anti rape. I sleep well. Saddam is an evil
bastard and needs his ass kicked. I hope someone close to him takes care
of business. I hope our military does not suffer much in this political
screw-up.

clyde

1590. Re: Realistic Pro-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 00:45:13 UTC
From: Bob <user@example.com>
Message-ID: <tcMha.346065$na.26685651@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 34005

Hi Jim,

What you may be referring to is a modification that can be done to this scanner to allow it to recieve cellular as well as some TV frequencies. It is a simple keyboard mod. No soldering or any of that crap.

Check hear for the instructions.

http://web2.airmail.net/mattj/radio/pro51.htm

Use the same instructions as the PRO-51. I've done it to my PRO-46 and it works.

Don

"Jim Cassidy" <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com> wrote in message
news:LILha.48231$ZW4.1410179@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com...
> I have an old realistic Pro-46 hand held scanner with the following
> frequencies. I have been told that this radio has frequencies that are no
> longer available after 1994. I bought this radio just before then. Is
there
> any value to this radio because of it's status? What might those
frequencies
> be and what would I hear on them? Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Jim
>
> Frequency Coverage:
>
> Ham.....29 - 29.7 MHz (in 5 kHz
steps)
> VHF-Lo.....29.7 50 MHz (in 5 kHz
steps)
> Ham.....50 - 54 MHz (in 5 kHz
steps)
> Aircraft.....108 - 136.975 MHz (in 12.5 kHz
steps)
> Government.....137 - 144 MHz (in 5 kHz
steps)
> Ham.....144 - 148 MHz (in 5 kHz
steps)
> VHF - Hi.....148 - 174 MHz (in 5 kHz
steps)
> Government.....406 - 420 MHz (in 12.5 kHz

steps)
> Ham.....420 - 450 MHz (in 12.5 kHz
steps)
> UHF-Lo.....450 - 470 MHz (in 12.5 kHz
steps)
> UHF-TV.....470 - 512 MHz (in 12.5 kHz
steps)
> UHF-H.....806.0000 - 823.9375 MHz (in 12.5 kHz
steps)
> .....851.0000 - 868.9375 MHz (in 12.5 kHz
steps)
> .....896.1125 - - 956 MHz (in 12.5 kHz
steps)
>
>

1591. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 00:46:02 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.org>
Message-ID: <edMha.7118$pW1.383@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34006
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Celt Chic wrote:
> Heee.... wanna see the wart on my nose? I have been made a troll
> thanks to this newsgroup!
>
>
[snip]
>> Goodness, didn't take you all that long before you became a troll
>> yourself....

--
No, what made you a troll was your inability to control yourself when confronted by trolls. The only person that is responsible for your actions is you, not me nor anyone else using these newsgroups.

It's just so much easier to lash back and then blame the other guy. Hmmmmm, where have I seen that before, the grade school playground and/or usenet news groups.

Trolls live by the 'Mine is bigger than yours game', which you appear to play fairly well. The only way to win the game with trolls is not to play.

It's like my father told me, "Son never get into a battle of wits with an unarmed opponent".
--
Mark J...

"...The enemy is at the gates. In fact his name may even be Gates." - Red Green

1592. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 00:51:11 UTC
From: Erol Gray <egray@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <2iMha.303200$S_4.263319@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 34007
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AND WHO DECIDED THAT WAY TO ORGANIZE THESE LANGUAGES IN ALPABETICAL ORDER
WAS BY USING THE ENGLISH ALPHABET?

How arrogant!!!!

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:ffHha.10338$0X.3961220@twister.columbus.rr.com...

>
> According to 1990 U.S. Census Data, at least 329 languages are spoken in
the
> United States. Listed alphabetically, they are:
> Achinese, Achumawi, African, Afrikaans, Ahtena, Alabama, Albanian, Aleut,
> Algonquian, American Indian, Amharic, Apache, Arabic, Arapaho, Arawakian,
> Arikara, Armenian, Assamese, Athapascan, Atsina, Aymara, Azerbaijani,
> Aztecan, Balinese, Balochi, Bantu, Basque, Bengali, Berber, Bielorussian,
> Bihari, Bikol, Bisayan, Blackfoot, Brahui, Bulgarian, Burmese, Caddo,
> Cahuilla, Cajun, Carolinian, Catalonian, Caucasian, Cayuga, Chadic, Cham,
> Chamorro, Chasta Costa, Chemehuevi, Cherokee, Cheyenne, Chibchan, Chinese,
> Chinook Jargon, Chiricahua, Chiwere, Choctaw, Clallam, Cocomaricopa, Coeur
> d'Alene, Columbia, Comanche, Cree, Croatian, Crow, Cupeno, Cushite, Czech,
> Dakota, Danish, Delaware, Delta River Yuman, Diegueno, Dravidian, Dutch,
> Efik, English, Eskimo, Estonian, Faroese, Fijian, Finnish, Foothill No.
> Yokuts, Formosan, Fox, French, French Cree, French Creole, Frisian,
Fuchow,
> Fulani, German, Gilbertese, Gondi, Greek, Gujarathi, Gullah, Gur, Haida,
> Hakka, Han, Havasupai, Hawaiian, Hawaiian Pidgin, Hebrew, Hidatsa, Hindi
> (Urdu), Hopi, Hungarian, Hupa, Icelandic, Ilocano, Indonesian, Inupik,
Irish
> Gaelic, Iroquois, Italian, Jamaican Creole, Japanese, Javanese, Jicarilla,
> Kachin, Kalispel, Kannada, Kansa, Karachay, Karen, Karok, Kashmiri,
Kazakh,
> Keres, Khoisan, Kickapoo, Kiowa, Kiowa-Apache, Kirghiz, Klamath, Koasati,
> Korean, Koyukon, Krio, Kru, Kuchin, Kurdish, Kurukh, Kusaiean, Kutenai,
> Kwakiutl, Ladino, Lapp, Lettish, Lithuanian, Luiseno, Lusatian,
> Luxembourgian, Macedonian, Makah, Malagasy, Malay, Malayalam, Mandan,
> Mandarin, Mande, Maori, Mapuche, Marathi, Marquesan, Marshallese, Mayan
> Languages, Mbum (and Related), Melanesian, Menomini, Miami, Miao (Hmong),
> Miao-Yao, Micmac, Micronesian, Mikasuki, Minangkabau, Misumalpan, Mohave,
> Mohawk, Mokilese, Mon-Khmer (Cambodian), Mongolian, Mono, Mountain Maidu,
> Munda, Muong, Muskogee, Navaho, Nepali, Nez Perce, Nilo-Hamitic,
> Nilo-Sharan, Nilotic, Niuean, Nootsack, Northern Paiute, Norwegian,
Nubian,
> Nukuoro, Ojibwa, Okanogan, Omaha, Oneida, Onondaga, Oriya, Osage, Other

> Athapascan-Eyak, Other Uralic Languages, Oto-Manguen, Ottowa, Paiute,
Palau,
> Paleo-Siberian, Pampangan, Pangasinan, Panjabi, Papia Mentae, Pashto,
> Passamaquoddy, Patois, Pawnee, Pennsylvania Dutch, Penobscot, Persian,
> Picuris, Pidgin, Pima, Polish, Polynesian, Pomo, Ponapean, Ponca,
> Portuguese, Potawatomi, Provencal, Puget Sound Salish, Quapaw, Quechua,
> Quinault, Rajasthani, Rhaeto-Romanic, Romany, Rumanian, Russian,
Sahaptian,
> Saharan, Salish, Samoan, San Carlos, Sandia, Sanskrit, Saramacca, Scottic
> Gaelic, Sebuano, Seneca, Serbian, Serbo-Croatian, Serrano, Shastan,
Shawnee,
> Shoshoni, Sierra Miwok, Sindhi, Sinhalese, Siuslaw, Slovak, Slovene,
Sonoran
> Nec, Spanish, Spokane, St. Lawrence Is. Yupik, Sudanese, Sudanic, Swahili,
> Swedish, Syriac, Tachi, Tagalog, Tamil, Tanaina, Tarascan, Telugu, Tewa,
> Thai (Laotian), Tibetan, Tillamook, Tiwa, Tlingit, Tokelauan, Tongan,
> Tonkawa, Towa, Trukese, Tsimshian, Tungus, Tupi-Guarani, Turkish, Turkmen,
> Tuscarora, Twana, Uighur, Ukrainian, Ulithean, Upper Chehalis, Upper
> Chinook, Ute, Vietnamese, Walapai, Washo, Welsh, Wichita, Winnebago,
Wintun,
> Woleai-Ulithi, Wu, Yapese, Yaqui, Yavapai, Yiddish, Yuchi, Yuki, Yuma,
> Yupik, Yurok, Zuni, and Other (not specified).
> Data taken from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census,
> Population Division, Economic and Social Stratification Branch, 1990
Census
> Special Tabulation, "Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English
> For United States, Regions and States: 1990."
>
> Spanish, of course, is the second most commonly spoken language in the
> United States-there are about 17.3 million native Spanish speakers. After
> English and Spanish, however, few realize that French is spoken regularly
in
> more American homes more than any other language (1.7 million).
>
> More than a million Americans also regularly speak German, Italian, and
> Chinese. And among the top 25 languages spoken by Americans at home is one
> that has been spoken in this country long before English and Spanish
> arrived-Navajo.
>
>
>

1593. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 01:02:32 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.org>
Message-ID: <IsMha.7140$pW1.2310@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 34008
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Erol Gray wrote:
> AND WHO DECIDED THAT WAY TO ORGANIZE THESE LANGUAGES IN ALPABETICAL
> ORDER WAS BY USING THE ENGLISH ALPHABET?
>
> How arrogant!!!!
>
>
>
> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:ffHha.10338$0X.3961220@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>
>>
>> According to 1990 U.S. Census Data, at least 329 languages are
>> spoken in the United States. Listed alphabetically, they are:
>> Achinese, Achumawi, African, Afrikaans, Ahtena, Alabama, Albanian,
[list deleted]
--
And the order you prefer to see them in would be based upon what? Appears
they are in English, so ordering them that way makes some sense, don't ya
think?

How ignorant!!!!!
--
Mark J...

"...The enemy is at the gates. In fact his name
may even be Gates." - Red Green

1594. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 01:04:05 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <9uMha.10434$0X.4339152@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34009
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:2iMha.303200$S_4.263319@rwcrnsc53...
> AND WHO DECIDED THAT WAY TO ORGANIZE THESE LANGUAGES IN ALPABETICAL ORDER
> WAS BY USING THE ENGLISH ALPHABET?
>
> How arrogant!!!!

Good grief...

Want it in Swahili, perhaps? What language are we speaking here? What language was the original post made in? What language did YOU respond in?

What a troll.

> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:ffHha.10338$0X.3961220@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>
> >
> > According to 1990 U.S. Census Data, at least 329 languages are spoken in
> the
> > United States. Listed alphabetically, they are:
> > Achinese, Achumawi, African, Afrikaans, Ahtena, Alabama, Albanian,
Aleut,
> > Algonquian, American Indian, Amharic, Apache, Arabic, Arapaho,
Arawakian,
> > Arikara, Armenian, Assamese, Athapascan, Atsina, Aymara, Azerbaijani,
> > Aztecan, Balinese, Balochi, Bantu, Basque, Bengali, Berber,
Bielorussian,
> > Bihari, Bikol, Bisayan, Blackfoot, Brahui, Bulgarian, Burmese, Caddo,
> > Cahuilla, Cajun, Carolinian, Catalonian, Caucasian, Cayuga, Chadic,
Cham,
> > Chamorro, Chasta Costa, Chemehuevi, Cherokee, Cheyenne, Chibchan,
Chinese,
> > Chinook Jargon, Chiricahua, Chiwere, Choctaw, Clallam, Cocomaricopa,
Coeur
> > d'Alene, Columbia, Comanche, Cree, Croatian, Crow, Cupeno, Cushite,
Czech,
> > Dakota, Danish, Delaware, Delta River Yuman, Diegueno, Dravidian, Dutch,
> > Efik, English, Eskimo, Estonian, Faroese, Fijian, Finnish, Foothill No.
> > Yokuts, Formosan, Fox, French, French Cree, French Creole, Frisian,
> Fuchow,
> > Fulani, German, Gilbertese, Gondi, Greek, Gujarathi, Gullah, Gur, Haida,
> > Hakka, Han, Havasupai, Hawaiian, Hawaiian Pidgin, Hebrew, Hidatsa, Hindi
> > (Urdu), Hopi, Hungarian, Hupa, Icelandic, Ilocano, Indonesian, Inupik,

> Irish
> > Gaelic, Iroquois, Italian, Jamaican Creole, Japanese, Javanese,
Jicarilla,
> > Kachin, Kalispel, Kannada, Kansa, Karachay, Karen, Karok, Kashmiri,
> Kazakh,
> > Keres, Khoisan, Kickapoo, Kiowa, Kiowa-Apache, Kirghiz, Klamath,
Koasati,
> > Korean, Koyukon, Krio, Kru, Kuchin, Kurdish, Kurukh, Kusaiean, Kutenai,
> > Kwakiutl, Ladino, Lapp, Lettish, Lithuanian, Luiseno, Lusatian,
> > Luxembourgian, Macedonian, Makah, Malagasy, Malay, Malayalam, Mandan,
> > Mandarin, Mande, Maori, Mapuche, Marathi, Marquesan, Marshallese, Mayan
> > Languages, Mbum (and Related), Melanesian, Menomini, Miami, Miao
(Hmong),
> > Miao-Yao, Micmac, Micronesian, Mikasuki, Minangkabau, Misumalpan,
Mohave,
> > Mohawk, Mokilese, Mon-Khmer (Cambodian), Mongolian, Mono, Mountain
Maidu,
> > Munda, Muong, Muskogee, Navaho, Nepali, Nez Perce, Nilo-Hamitic,
> > Nilo-Sharan, Nilotic, Niuean, Nootsack, Northern Paiute, Norwegian,
> Nubian,
> > Nukuoro, Ojibwa, Okanogan, Omaha, Oneida, Onondaga, Oriya, Osage, Other
> > Athapascan-Eyak, Other Uralic Languages, Oto-Manguen, Ottowa, Paiute,
> Palau,
> > Paleo-Siberian, Pampangan, Pangasinan, Panjabi, Papia Mentae, Pashto,
> > Passamaquoddy, Patois, Pawnee, Pennsylvania Dutch, Penobscot, Persian,
> > Picuris, Pidgin, Pima, Polish, Polynesian, Pomo, Ponapean, Ponca,
> > Portuguese, Potawatomi, Provencal, Puget Sound Salish, Quapaw, Quechua,
> > Quinault, Rajasthani, Rhaeto-Romanic, Romany, Rumanian, Russian,
> Sahaptian,
> > Saharan, Salish, Samoan, San Carlos, Sandia, Sanskrit, Saramacca,
Scottic
> > Gaelic, Sebuano, Seneca, Serbian, Serbo-Croatian, Serrano, Shastan,
> Shawnee,
> > Shoshoni, Sierra Miwok, Sindhi, Sinhalese, Siuslaw, Slovak, Slovene,
> Sonoran
> > Nec, Spanish, Spokane, St. Lawrence Is. Yupik, Sudanese, Sudanic,
Swahili,
> > Swedish, Syriac, Tachi, Tagalog, Tamil, Tanaina, Tarascan, Telugu, Tewa,
> > Thai (Laotian), Tibetan, Tillamook, Tiwa, Tlingit, Tokelauan, Tongan,
> > Tonkawa, Towa, Trukese, Tsimshian, Tungus, Tupi-Guarani, Turkish,
Turkmen,
> > Tuscarora, Twana, Uighur, Ukrainian, Ulithean, Upper Chehalis, Upper
> > Chinook, Ute, Vietnamese, Walapai, Washo, Welsh, Wichita, Winnebago,
> Wintun,
> > Woleai-Ulithi, Wu, Yapese, Yaqui, Yavapai, Yiddish, Yuchi, Yuki, Yuma,
> > Yupik, Yurok, Zuni, and Other (not specified).
> > Data taken from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census,
> > Population Division, Economic and Social Stratification Branch, 1990
> Census
> > Special Tabulation, "Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak

English
> > For United States, Regions and States: 1990."
> >
> > Spanish, of course, is the second most commonly spoken language in the
> > United States-there are about 17.3 million native Spanish speakers.
After
> > English and Spanish, however, few realize that French is spoken
regularly
> in
> > more American homes more than any other language (1.7 million).
> >
> > More than a million Americans also regularly speak German, Italian, and
> > Chinese. And among the top 25 languages spoken by Americans at home is
one
> > that has been spoken in this country long before English and Spanish
> > arrived-Navajo.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1595. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 01:07:34 UTC
From: funkbastler <funkbastler@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <105f8v06jd61cn89bunq6lucl71en5486p@4ax.com>
Source record: 34010
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 31 Mar 2003 00:51:11 GMT, "Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote:

>AND WHO DECIDED THAT WAY TO ORGANIZE THESE LANGUAGES IN ALPABETICAL ORDER
>WAS BY USING THE ENGLISH ALPHABET?
>
>How arrogant!!!!
>

IS THAT ALL YOU HAVE TO BITCH ABOUT???? GET A DAMNED LIFE!!!!!!

-fb-

1596. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 01:34:33 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b685l7qeu1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 34011
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I think her ole man needs to pay her more attention. Seems I seen her post earlier that she had it made 'cause her ole man can watch TV and she can play on her ""electronic toys"" if ya get my drift.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:yiJha.35213$ja4.2261225@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> My dearest sweetest IT man Clip:
>
> I come home in the morning light
> My mother says when you gonna live your life right
> Oh mother dear we're not the fortunate ones
> And girls they want to have fun
> Oh girls just want to have fun
>
> The phone rings in the middle of the night
> My father yells what you gonna do with your life
> Oh daddy dear you know you're still number one
> But girls they want to have fun
> Oh girls just want to have--
>
> That's all they really want
> Some fun
> When the working day is done
> Girls-- they want to have fun
> Oh girls just want to have fun
>
> Some boys take a beautiful girl
> And hide her away from the rest of the world
> I want to be the one to walk in the sun
> Oh girls they want to have fun
> Oh girls just want to have
>
> That's all they really want
> Some fun
> When the working day is done
> Girls--they want to have fun
> Oh girls just want to have fun,
> They want to have fun,
> They want to have fun...
>
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~

> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:SuednTAqJs7KwhqjXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> > Fred, I'm sure you know, the grammatical-and-spelling-mistake attack is
> the
> > most common one taken by those with no ground to stand on.
> >
> > In spite of that:
> >
> > To get technical, Celt Chic - if you wanted to be correct, you should
have
> > said, "There is no doctor ('doctor' is not capitalized in this sense) I
> know
> > of that *writes* (not says) 'your' instead of 'you're' where it's
> > appropriate!" You see, it just doesn't make any sense if you say "says"
> > instead of "writes." Think about it.
> >
> > See how easy it is to tear apart someone else's grammar and spelling?
> >
> > Thanks for playing.
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:uMIha.35171$ja4.2257933@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
> > > "No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on
> > > permanent ignore..."
> > >
> > >
> > > Oh, you need to learn grammar, Dr. Fred. Dr. of what?? There is no
> > Doctor
> > > I know of that says "your" instead of "you're" where it's appropriate!
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove

nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > news:v8eh4vqsf6v712@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > "I only put up a beg page because it was the..." What a load of
bovine
> > > > excrement. You claim to have a high paying job, that one assumes
comes
> > > with
> > > > medical benefits, and you feel it is OK to beg because it "is the
next
> > big
> > > > thing on the internet".
> > > >
> > > > What a sorry individual! No need to plunk me, your from this moment,
> on
> > > > permanent ignore...
> > > >
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
> least
> > I
> > > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
The
> > > only
> > > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it
> was
> > > the
> > > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
doing
> > it.
> > > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> surgeries
> > > > that
> > > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my
toy.
> > > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> didn't
> > > > give
> > > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> Mommy
> > > > will
> > > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > > >
> > > > > ;)
> > > > >

> > > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
an
> > SOB
> > > > and
> > > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> being
> > > nice
> > > > > to losers like you.
> > > > >
> > > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> your
> > > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy.
> Go
> > > cry
> > > > > to Mommy.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> > your
> > > > > > bills
> > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
Chic's
> > > > > > website:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm

> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > guess.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
do
> > what
> > > > > you
> > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
any
> > > sort
> > > > > of
> > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
bigger
> > > > naive.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
> own
> > > damn
> > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
sell
> > your
> > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >
news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
> RUDE
> > to
> > > > > > someone
> > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
> and
> > > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs
us
> to
> > > > help
> > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
> compassion!
> > > His
> > > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
> for
> > > > help.

> > > > > > He
> > > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY
much!
> > You
> > > > > > should
> > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>

1597. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 01:36:49 UTC
From: Kent <kaw61@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <RYMha.48581$ZW4.1415084@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 34012
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Chill out Francis! How the hell would you like them ordered then????

"Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:2iMha.303200$S_4.263319@rwcrnsc53...
> AND WHO DECIDED THAT WAY TO ORGANIZE THESE LANGUAGES IN ALPABETICAL ORDER
> WAS BY USING THE ENGLISH ALPHABET?
>
> How arrogant!!!!
>
>
>
> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:ffHha.10338$0X.3961220@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>
> >
> > According to 1990 U.S. Census Data, at least 329 languages are spoken in
> the
> > United States. Listed alphabetically, they are:
> > Achinese, Achumawi, African, Afrikaans, Ahtena, Alabama, Albanian,
Aleut,
> > Algonquian, American Indian, Amharic, Apache, Arabic, Arapaho,
Arawakian,
> > Arikara, Armenian, Assamese, Athapascan, Atsina, Aymara, Azerbaijani,
> > Aztecan, Balinese, Balochi, Bantu, Basque, Bengali, Berber,
Bielorussian,
> > Bihari, Bikol, Bisayan, Blackfoot, Brahui, Bulgarian, Burmese, Caddo,
> > Cahuilla, Cajun, Carolinian, Catalonian, Caucasian, Cayuga, Chadic,
Cham,
> > Chamorro, Chasta Costa, Chemehuevi, Cherokee, Cheyenne, Chibchan,
Chinese,
> > Chinook Jargon, Chiricahua, Chiwere, Choctaw, Clallam, Cocomaricopa,
Coeur
> > d'Alene, Columbia, Comanche, Cree, Croatian, Crow, Cupeno, Cushite,
Czech,
> > Dakota, Danish, Delaware, Delta River Yuman, Diegueno, Dravidian, Dutch,
> > Efik, English, Eskimo, Estonian, Faroese, Fijian, Finnish, Foothill No.
> > Yokuts, Formosan, Fox, French, French Cree, French Creole, Frisian,
> Fuchow,
> > Fulani, German, Gilbertese, Gondi, Greek, Gujarathi, Gullah, Gur, Haida,
> > Hakka, Han, Havasupai, Hawaiian, Hawaiian Pidgin, Hebrew, Hidatsa, Hindi
> > (Urdu), Hopi, Hungarian, Hupa, Icelandic, Ilocano, Indonesian, Inupik,
> Irish
> > Gaelic, Iroquois, Italian, Jamaican Creole, Japanese, Javanese,

Jicarilla,
> > Kachin, Kalispel, Kannada, Kansa, Karachay, Karen, Karok, Kashmiri,
> Kazakh,
> > Keres, Khoisan, Kickapoo, Kiowa, Kiowa-Apache, Kirghiz, Klamath,
Koasati,
> > Korean, Koyukon, Krio, Kru, Kuchin, Kurdish, Kurukh, Kusaiean, Kutenai,
> > Kwakiutl, Ladino, Lapp, Lettish, Lithuanian, Luiseno, Lusatian,
> > Luxembourgian, Macedonian, Makah, Malagasy, Malay, Malayalam, Mandan,
> > Mandarin, Mande, Maori, Mapuche, Marathi, Marquesan, Marshallese, Mayan
> > Languages, Mbum (and Related), Melanesian, Menomini, Miami, Miao
(Hmong),
> > Miao-Yao, Micmac, Micronesian, Mikasuki, Minangkabau, Misumalpan,
Mohave,
> > Mohawk, Mokilese, Mon-Khmer (Cambodian), Mongolian, Mono, Mountain
Maidu,
> > Munda, Muong, Muskogee, Navaho, Nepali, Nez Perce, Nilo-Hamitic,
> > Nilo-Sharan, Nilotic, Niuean, Nootsack, Northern Paiute, Norwegian,
> Nubian,
> > Nukuoro, Ojibwa, Okanogan, Omaha, Oneida, Onondaga, Oriya, Osage, Other
> > Athapascan-Eyak, Other Uralic Languages, Oto-Manguen, Ottowa, Paiute,
> Palau,
> > Paleo-Siberian, Pampangan, Pangasinan, Panjabi, Papia Mentae, Pashto,
> > Passamaquoddy, Patois, Pawnee, Pennsylvania Dutch, Penobscot, Persian,
> > Picuris, Pidgin, Pima, Polish, Polynesian, Pomo, Ponapean, Ponca,
> > Portuguese, Potawatomi, Provencal, Puget Sound Salish, Quapaw, Quechua,
> > Quinault, Rajasthani, Rhaeto-Romanic, Romany, Rumanian, Russian,
> Sahaptian,
> > Saharan, Salish, Samoan, San Carlos, Sandia, Sanskrit, Saramacca,
Scottic
> > Gaelic, Sebuano, Seneca, Serbian, Serbo-Croatian, Serrano, Shastan,
> Shawnee,
> > Shoshoni, Sierra Miwok, Sindhi, Sinhalese, Siuslaw, Slovak, Slovene,
> Sonoran
> > Nec, Spanish, Spokane, St. Lawrence Is. Yupik, Sudanese, Sudanic,
Swahili,
> > Swedish, Syriac, Tachi, Tagalog, Tamil, Tanaina, Tarascan, Telugu, Tewa,
> > Thai (Laotian), Tibetan, Tillamook, Tiwa, Tlingit, Tokelauan, Tongan,
> > Tonkawa, Towa, Trukese, Tsimshian, Tungus, Tupi-Guarani, Turkish,
Turkmen,
> > Tuscarora, Twana, Uighur, Ukrainian, Ulithean, Upper Chehalis, Upper
> > Chinook, Ute, Vietnamese, Walapai, Washo, Welsh, Wichita, Winnebago,
> Wintun,
> > Woleai-Ulithi, Wu, Yapese, Yaqui, Yavapai, Yiddish, Yuchi, Yuki, Yuma,
> > Yupik, Yurok, Zuni, and Other (not specified).
> > Data taken from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census,
> > Population Division, Economic and Social Stratification Branch, 1990
> Census
> > Special Tabulation, "Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak
English
> > For United States, Regions and States: 1990."

> >
> > Spanish, of course, is the second most commonly spoken language in the
> > United States-there are about 17.3 million native Spanish speakers.
After
> > English and Spanish, however, few realize that French is spoken
regularly
> in
> > more American homes more than any other language (1.7 million).
> >
> > More than a million Americans also regularly speak German, Italian, and
> > Chinese. And among the top 25 languages spoken by Americans at home is
one
> > that has been spoken in this country long before English and Spanish
> > arrived-Navajo.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1598. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 01:41:43 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b6862lqil1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 34013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I am smarter than you, na na na na. Get real. Prove it. Besides the grammar, the IQ or smarter than you thing is the dumbest thing I have ever heard and If you make so much money, what the hell are you doing in a newsgroup causing so much trouble? Give a tea party, or maybe even a cotillion. By the way, I had to look that one up, 'cause my IQ hasn't been tested and I am not worried about my IQ like you are. I have seen so many dip shits in my life with the so called high IQ. Can you even wipe your A$$ with that IQ of yours?

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all that
> matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my league. No
> more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group back on
> topic.
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >At least I
> > > >have a high paying job
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > Hence the need to beg.
> >
> >
> >
> > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> >
> >
> >
> > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have money,
> then
> > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> >
> >
> >
> > > The only
> > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> >
> >
> >
> > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted originally.
> >
> >
> >
> > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> >
> >
> >
> > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is going
> to
> > catch on. I hope not.
> >
> >
> > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
> that
> > >have put me in the bind.
> >
> >
> >
> > Sorry to hear that.
> >
> >
> >
> > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> >
> >
> >
> > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks, I have
> my
> > toy?" Or pocket the cash?

> >
> >
> > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
> give
> > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
> will
> > >give you one: PLONK!
> >
> >
> >
> > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig. Seems I
hit
> a
> > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is that
true?
> >
> > >;)
> >
> > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
> and
> > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
> nice
> > >to losers like you.
> >
> >
> >
> > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on the
> > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> >
> > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> > >business.
> >
> >
> >
> > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> >
> >
> >
> > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > >to Mommy.
> >
> >
> >
> > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> >
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402

> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> forgiven
> > > for that, of course.
> > >
> > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> when
> > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> > bills
> > > and buy you toys.
> > >
> > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > website:
> > >
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > >
> > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> > >
> > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
> you
> > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
sort
> of
> > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> naive.
> > >
> > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
damn
> > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > >
> > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > >
> > > Sickening...
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1599. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 02:06:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E87A292.3060204@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 34024
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

JJ wrote:
>
>
> jesseg wrote:
>
>> calling consiracy nuts...
>>
>>
>> you're the fucking retard who believes everything they are told without
>> questioning or reasoning anything for yourself, retard. dude... 400
>> years
>> ago people still thought the world was flat. when france helped
>> america's
>> forefathers leave the country, they HONESTLY THOUGHT they were sending us
>> off the edge of the world.
>>
>>
>> 400 years obviously doesnt make a difference.... and you're proving the
>> point very well.
>
>
>
> You can sure tell when a point hits the mark, the liberal conspiracy
> nuts really jump and yell and start using profanity. Guess you have to
> consider the intelligence level which is pretty low.

And you know for sure when a point hits the mark when the idiot emails you personally and calls you a bitch (guess he/it thinks I am female). Brags about a 160 IQ, well good buddy, a high IQ isn't necessarily a sign of intelligence, certainly in your case. If you want to respond to my post, do it here on the group, do not email me again.

>

1600. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 02:16:25 UTC
From: Jesse <www@www.net>
Message-ID: <6e1974417281b01b055e889ba0680673@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 34014
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Emilio Goldstein wrote:
> Hello all.
>
> I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a private
> and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a "Realistic"
> brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle east.
> I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that "time of
> day" announcer.
>
> I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to get
> him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using some of
> our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his voice
> and know that he is OK or not.
> For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a lawn"
> But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in the
> room"
>
> That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to him. If
> he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news or that
> al-Jazeeera place.
>
> So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to hear
> him?
> and how do I talk back to him?
> Thanks for your help.
> EG
>
>

Try this. Stick a toilet plunger up your ass.
Then take a lamp wire and snip it off. Strip the wire so that the wires
are exposed,then separate the wires so they can reach 2 ft. or so away
from eachother..
Plug it in. Immerse your feet in some bath water,or toilet water as you
prefer.
Whistle dixie as you stick one wire under each armpit.
Reception will be astounding.
You can thank me later.
Hope this helps.

1601. Re: why oh why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 02:22:45 UTC
From: Anonymous@anonymous.net
Message-ID: <v8f9i4kqauned@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 34015

Where the hell were they when Clinton unjustly invaded Yugoslavia et al? According to Janeane Garofalo, the comedian, it wasn't "HIP" to protest against Clinton. As many reservations that I have about the loss of our men and women in Iraq, I realize that much of the protests surrounding this situation stem more from a desire to denigrate Bush than to express true outrage.

Regards.

In article <Jjsfa.194489$L1.32615@sccrnsc02>, "AmeriChicken" <Americhicken@Americhickeddn.com> wrote:
>Why do people criticize the protestors who exercise the rights that our
>soldiers are fighting for?
>
>

De Gustibus Non Est Disputandum: -There's no arguing about matters of taste.
Multum in parvo: - Much in little (small but significant)
Sine qua non: -Indispensible part
Non sequitur: -It does not follow
Cogito ergo sum: - I think therefore I am.
Nota bene: - Note well
Tabula rasa: - Clean slate

1602. FS: 'Canadian' Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 02:37:01 UTC
From: DP <darrylperrin@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hRNha.11010$Jf.1054669@ursa-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 34017

For more information see:

<http://www.halifax-arc.org/cgi-bin/classifieds.pl?search_and_display_db_but
ton=on&db_id=1398&query=retrieval>

This receiver has no blocked frequencies.

DP

1603. bc250d remote cable connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 02:38:27 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <b689k4$p7u$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 34016

I am trying to connect the bc250d to my computer so that I can enter trunked frequencies more easily. The problem I am having is that I cannot figure out how to remove the cover on the right side of the scanner so that I can connect the small end of the cable. The large end of the cable is already connected to the computer. I've tried using my finger nail and a knife to open the rubber cover on the right side, but have not had any luck. Can anyone help out?

1604. Re: Realistic Pro-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 03:29:13 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAD2038.152F1%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 34018

"Jim Cassidy" wrote:
>
> I have an old realistic Pro-46 hand held scanner
> with the following frequencies. I have been told
> that this radio has frequencies that are no longer
> available after 1994. I bought this radio just
> before then. Is there any value to this radio
> because of it's status? (snip)

If you want to figure out the average street price of a used scanner, check auction sites like eBay (www.ebay.com). Don't expect a huge value increase - there are plenty of scanners available to receive cellular phone frequencies (the blocked frequencies on newer scanners after 1994) and the Pro-46 may not have some of the features found on later scanners.

Modifications for this scanner, if available, can be found on web sites covering modifications - use a search engine to look for "Pro-46 modifications."

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1605. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 03:48:33 UTC
From: Erol Gray <egray@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <IUOha.310551$S_4.265435@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 34020
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Now that story is a made up troll!

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:pOSga.2651$0X.1243727@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> A few links:
>
>
http://www.strategypage.com/fyeo/howtomakewar/default.asp?target=HTMURPH.HTM
>
> http://www.rferl.org/nca/features/2003/03/27032003132425.asp
>
> http://www.guardian.co.uk/international/story/0,3604,314700,00.html
>
> http://www.defendamerica.mil/nmam.html
>
> http://www.tucsoncitizen.com/local/3_24_03lieberman.html
>
> http://www.msnbc.com/news/890181_asp.htm?0cv=CB10
>
> http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2003/03/27/MN290930.DTL
>
> http://www.opinionjournal.com/editorial/feature.html?id=110003248
>
> http://www.punning_pundit.blogspot.com/
>
> http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,5944-619488,00.html
>
> http://www.upi.com/view.cfm?StoryID=20030321-023627-5923r
>
> I was a naive fool to be a human shield for Saddam - By Daniel Pepper in
> the Telegraph
>
> I wanted to join the human shields in Baghdad because it was direct action
> which had a chance of bringing the anti-war movement to the forefront of
> world attention. It was inspiring: the human shield volunteers were making
a
> sacrifice for their political views - much more of a personal investment
> than going to a demonstration in Washington or London. It was simple - you
> get on the bus and you represent yourself.
> So that is exactly what I did on the morning of Saturday, January 25. I am
a
> 23-year-old Jewish-American photographer living in Islington, north
London.

> I had travelled in the Middle East before: as a student, I went to the
> Palestinian West Bank during the intifada. I also went to Afghanistan as a
> photographer for Newsweek.
>
> The human shields appealed to my anti-war stance, but by the time I had
left
> Baghdad five weeks later my views had changed drastically. I wouldn't say
> that I was exactly pro-war - no, I am ambivalent - but I have a strong
> desire to see Saddam removed.
>
> We on the bus felt that we were sympathetic to the views of the Iraqi
> civilians, even though we didn't actually know any. The group was less
> interested in standing up for their rights than protesting against the US
> and UK governments.
>
> I was shocked when I first met a pro-war Iraqi in Baghdad - a taxi driver
> taking me back to my hotel late at night. I explained that I was American
> and said, as we shields always did, "Bush bad, war bad, Iraq good". He
> looked at me with an expression of incredulity.
>
> As he realised I was serious, he slowed down and started to speak in
broken
> English about the evils of Saddam's regime. Until then I had only heard
the
> President spoken of with respect, but now this guy was telling me how all
of
> Iraq's oil money went into Saddam's pocket and that if you opposed him
> politically he would kill your whole family.
>
> It scared the hell out of me. First I was thinking that maybe it was the
> secret police trying to trick me but later I got the impression that he
> wanted me to help him escape. I felt so bad. I told him: "Listen, I am
just
> a schmuck from the United States, I am not with the UN, I'm not with the
> CIA - I just can't help you."
>
> Of course I had read reports that Iraqis hated Saddam Hussein, but this
was
> the real thing. Someone had explained it to me face to face. I told a few
> journalists who I knew. They said that this sort of thing often happened -
> spontaneous, emotional, and secretive outbursts imploring visitors to free
> them from Saddam's tyrannical Iraq.
>
> I became increasingly concerned about the way the Iraqi regime was
> restricting the movement of the shields, so a few days later I left
Baghdad
> for Jordan by taxi with five others. Once over the border we felt
> comfortable enough to ask our driver what he felt about the regime and the
> threat of an aerial bombardment.
>

> "Don't you listen to Powell on Voice of America radio?" he said. "Of
course
> the Americans don't want to bomb civilians. They want to bomb government
and
> Saddam's palaces. We want America to bomb Saddam."
>
> We just sat, listening, our mouths open wide. Jake, one of the others,
just
> kept saying, "Oh my God" as the driver described the horrors of the
regime.
> Jake was so shocked at how naive he had been. We all were. It hadn't
> occurred to anyone that the Iraqis might actually be pro-war.
>
> The driver's most emphatic statement was: "All Iraqi people want this
war."
> He seemed convinced that civilian casualties would be small; he had such
> enormous faith in the American war machine to follow through on its
> promises. Certainly more faith than any of us had.
>
> Perhaps the most crushing thing we learned was that most ordinary Iraqis
> thought Saddam Hussein had paid us to come to protest in Iraq. Although we
> explained that this was categorically not the case, I don't think he
> believed us. Later he asked me: "Really, how much did Saddam pay you to
> come?"
>
> It hit me on visceral and emotional levels: this was a real portrayal of
> Iraq life. After the first conversation, I completely rethought my view of
> the Iraqi situation. My understanding changed on intellectual, emotional,
> psychological levels. I remembered the experience of seeing Saddam's
> egomaniacal portraits everywhere for the past two weeks and tried to place
> myself in the shoes of someone who had been subjected to seeing them every
> day for the last 20 or so years.
>
> Last Thursday night I went to photograph the anti-war rally in Parliament
> Square. Thousands of people were shouting "No war" but without thinking
> about the implications for Iraqis. Some of them were drinking, dancing to
> Samba music and sparring with the police. It was as if the protesters were
> talking about a different country where the ruling government is perfectly
> acceptable. It really upset me.
>
> Anyone with half a brain must see that Saddam has to be taken out. It is
> extraordinarily ironic that the anti-war protesters are marching to defend
a
> government which stops its people exercising that freedom.
> --
--
> ----
> USWAR/Baghdad warns people not to leave city
>
> Baghdad, March 25, IRNA -- The ruling Ba'ath Party of Iraq has warned

> its people not to evacuate the city or their houses and property or
> these would be confiscated by the government.
> According to IRNA correspondents, the Baghdad government has
> announced that those who leave the city will not be allowed to return.
> It further referred to the increasing number of bunkers that are
> being dug out all over the city including in streets, schools,
> governmental and non-governmental buildings and medical centers.
> But the IRNA correspondents quoted a foreign reporter as saying
> the US-led coalition forces have set a number of days for civilians to
> leave Baghdad after which they will announce the city as a military
> region.
> FM/LS
> End
> --
--
> --
>
> Lots of good reading...
>
>
>

1606. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 03:51:33 UTC
From: Erol Gray <egray@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <9XOha.312914$sf5.292911@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Colon problems? Were you making the broomstick antenna?

"Frank White" <fwhite*NOSPAM*@colfax.com> wrote in message
news:b68ck9$1h93$5@news.fsr.net...
> In article <RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> internetstuff47129@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least I
> >have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The only
> >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
that
> >have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
give
> >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
will
> >give you one: PLONK!
> >
> >;)
> >
> >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
and
> >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
nice
> >to losers like you.
> >
> >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> >business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go
cry
> >to Mommy.
>
> Now, now, don't mince words; How do you REALLY feel about him?
>
> ^_^
>
> FW
>

1607. Re: Realistic Pro-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 04:00:23 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <r3Pha.49490$ZW4.1426400@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 34022

I took a look at e-bay and it shocked me to see this radio going for $100 in some cases. That's all I paid for it 10 years ago.

I just purchased a BC780XLT and I started reading groups like this one to learn more and I saw people talking about radios before 1994. I remember the salesman at the time talking about this being one of the last ones you could buy, but I didn't know what he was talking about until now.

I went to the web site for modification instructions for this radio. Has this always needed modification, or does it do something more right out of the box? He made it sound like it picked up frequencies that would be blocked on future radios.

It sounds like they are blocked on this one as well without the modification. Does that mean pre '94 could be modified and post '94 can not? Just curious. Trying to get into the hobby.

Thanks for all the info,

Jim

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BAAD2038.152F1%stewart@say.net...
> "Jim Cassidy" wrote:
> >
> > I have an old realistic Pro-46 hand held scanner
> > with the following frequencies. I have been told
> > that this radio has frequencies that are no longer
> > available after 1994. I bought this radio just
> > before then. Is there any value to this radio
> > because of it's status? (snip)
>
>
> If you want to figure out the average street price of a used scanner,
> check auction sites like eBay (www.ebay.com). Don't expect a huge value
> increase - there are plenty of scanners available to receive cellular
phone
> frequencies (the blocked frequencies on newer scanners after 1994) and the
> Pro-46 may not have some of the features found on later scanners.
>
> Modifications for this scanner, if available, can be found on web sites
> covering modifications - use a search engine to look for "Pro-46
> modifications."
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

1608. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 04:14:19 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030330231419.28056.00000081@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 34025

It's really too bad that posting off topic posts on newsgroups isn't against
any TOS's.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

1609. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 04:45:33 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E87C89B.6050609@earthlink.net>
Source record: 34026
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Good one Emilio, you got'em good.

Hang in there!!!

Burr

1610. RS Pro-93, $125 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 05:00:49 UTC
From: "Paul D." <pauld59@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <mhff8v0vi6noqqs53kijidu87c493lsr95@4ax.com>
Source record: 34023

Like new (really!) Pro-93, still under warranty, with all original packaging, parts plus some extras.

PayPal quickest. Otherwise money order or check (longest).

Email for info.

1611. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 05:04:38 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAD3695.152F5%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 34027
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Celt Chic" wrote:
>
> Ok, I think I have this figured out. After a few
> emails sent to me explaining the nature of some
> male newsgroup posters, it appears the few men
> that have attacked me on this board use the
> internet to make them feel "bad, tough, big, smart,
> and dominant." (snip)

Stacey, you appear to be a very nice person. Don't lower yourself to the same level by responding with similar garbage. Sit back, take a deep breath, and ignore the negative comments.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1612. Re: Pro-95 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 05:26:25 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAD3BB1.152F7%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 34028

"Vic Martinez" wrote:
>
> A 'Mark IIIB'? Why would anyone want to get an
> 'unblocked' Scanner nowadays that doesn't do
> any trunk tracking?

In many parts of the country, they can still be used. I'm listening right now to a trunk system with a scanner that does not do trunk tracking - there are only a few frequencies that can be monitored with any scanner. In other locations, another scanner handles trunk tracking while this scanner is used for other frequencies. But I prefer this scanner because it scans faster, which makes it ideal for casual background listening while I'm doing other things.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1613. Re: Realistic Pro-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 05:58:53 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xOQha.50258$ZW4.1433935@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 34029

I went to the web site and got the instructions to modify. I now have the cell frequencies, but so far nothing to listen to. I wonder if most people are on digital systems now.

"Justice Gustine" <justicegustine@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:p0lf8v0hbotcl7359mlh030t6ivrk29aol@4ax.com...
> I've had a 46 since it came out, still a great 100 channel portable
> scanner. The 51 replaced it, the 51 has a real fast scan rate, but an
> awful sounding squelch break. (I had both, sold the 51 and kept the
> 46)
>
> Both of these scanners could get cell phone reception with a
> keyboard-power cycle sequence, but the early 46 can be hardware
> modified fairly early to enable cell reception.
>
> "Jim Cassidy" <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >I took a look at e-bay and it shocked me to see this radio going for $100
in
> >some cases. That's all I paid for it 10 years ago.
> >
> >I just purchased a BC780XLT and I started reading groups like this one to
> >learn more and I saw people talking about radios before 1994. I remember
> >the salesman at the time talking about this being one of the last ones
you
> >could buy, but I didn't know what he was talking about until now.
> >
> >I went to the web site for modification instructions for this radio. Has
> >this always needed modification, or does it do something more right out
of
> >the box? He made it sound like it picked up frequencies that would be
> >blocked on future radios.
> >
> >It sounds like they are blocked on this one as well without the
> >modification. Does that mean pre '94 could be modified and post '94 can
not?
> >Just curious. Trying to get into the hobby.
> >
>
> --
> "A Sound Mind. A Healthy Body. Pick One" Mr. Hedge

1614. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 06:18:28 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <U4Rha.13568$0X.4666955@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34030
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:b63qjd$l1h$1@news1.kornet.net...
> The VOA still is a propaganda tool. It still transmits in many languages
to
> various parts of the world.
>
> The word propaganda does not mean the contemporary assumption of it's
> meaning (though it could be argued that common usage changes or alters the
> meaning of a word). It simply means the propagation (dissemination,
> spreading) of a particular belief, tenet, doctrine or political
> point-of-view. All such are skewed to one extent or another. I
reference
> the following example from the cold war:

What particular belief does the VOA propagate?
And...after all, what does VOA stand for? Overall, I'd have to say that
their transmissions are fairly generic. Many listen to that outlet.

> The Americans and Russians were the only ones in a race. The American
news
> report said that the Americans won the race, the Russian press said that
the
> the Russians came in second, the Americans came in next to the last.

A point that makes no point IS no point. Nobody needs to listen to any
particular station or nation to know the outcome of the cold war. The wall
came down in Berlin, the Eastern Bloc nations gained their independence, and
the Soviet Union crumbled (or maybe I missed something, and it was just
propaganda?)

> VOA does much the same thing, but by virtue of omission. For instance,
they
> tend to play down poor economic conditions and high unemployment in favor
of
> talking about conditions in other countries.

High unemployment? As compared to...? And there analysis of the conditions
in other countries doesn't sound like propaganda.

> They tout mainly the positive aspects of American life... just a sales
tool.

Oh? And the positive aspects of American life are merely a sales tool?
That's the mentality of the old Soviet Union - ask anyone who served in the
Soviet military during that era - most loathed the party hacks that were, by

law, in their midst, and these party hacks would downplay the "positive aspects" of living in America, claiming it was all propaganda.

Or do you believe as well that the positive aspects of American life are just a sales tol as well?

> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:Jlcha.7425$0X.2369206@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > "Sven Franklyn Weil" <sven@gordsven.com> wrote in message
> > news:slrnb89ieu.ecc.sven@gordsven.com...
> > > In article <3E84C245.DE221760@email.com>, John Simmons wrote:
> > > > Not only do Iraqis know how to speak English, they listen to the VOA
> > too.
> > >
> > > VOA has various shows in different languages - even complete stations
> > > transmitting in Spanish, Chinese, Korean, etc.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Sven
> >
> > Correct. At one time, YEARS ago, it was a PR and propaganda tool of the
US
> > government, but that was YEARS ago (of course, there are those that will
> > NEVER be convinced of that...)
> >
> >
>
>

1615. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 06:21:10 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <q7Rha.13618$0X.4669821@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34031
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Kent" <kaw61@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:RYMha.48581$ZW4.1415084@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com...
> Chill out Francis! How the hell would you like them ordered then????

You must understand - you have to expect such a response when trolls like
that are faced with FACTS. It's incomprehensible to them.

> "Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:2iMha.303200$S_4.263319@rwcrnsc53...
> > AND WHO DECIDED THAT WAY TO ORGANIZE THESE LANGUAGES IN ALPABETICAL
ORDER
> > WAS BY USING THE ENGLISH ALPHABET?
> >
> > How arrogant!!!!
> >
> >
> >
> > "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> > news:ffHha.10338$0X.3961220@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> >
> > >
> > > According to 1990 U.S. Census Data, at least 329 languages are spoken
in
> > the
> > > United States. Listed alphabetically, they are:
> > > Achinese, Achumawi, African, Afrikaans, Ahtena, Alabama, Albanian,
> Aleut,
> > > Algonquian, American Indian, Amharic, Apache, Arabic, Arapaho,
> Arawakian,
> > > Arikara, Armenian, Assamese, Athapascan, Atsina, Aymara, Azerbaijani,
> > > Aztecan, Balinese, Balochi, Bantu, Basque, Bengali, Berber,
> Bielorussian,
> > > Bihari, Bikol, Bisayan, Blackfoot, Brahui, Bulgarian, Burmese, Caddo,
> > > Cahuilla, Cajun, Carolinian, Catalonian, Caucasian, Cayuga, Chadic,
> Cham,
> > > Chamorro, Chasta Costa, Chemehuevi, Cherokee, Cheyenne, Chibchan,
> Chinese,
> > > Chinook Jargon, Chiricahua, Chiwere, Choctaw, Clallam, Cocomaricopa,
> Coeur
> > > d'Alene, Columbia, Comanche, Cree, Croatian, Crow, Cupeno, Cushite,
> Czech,
> > > Dakota, Danish, Delaware, Delta River Yuman, Diegueno, Dravidian,
Dutch,
> > > Efik, English, Eskimo, Estonian, Faroese, Fijian, Finnish, Foothill

No.
> > > Yokuts, Formosan, Fox, French, French Cree, French Creole, Frisian,
> > Fuchow,
> > > Fulani, German, Gilbertese, Gondi, Greek, Gujarathi, Gullah, Gur,
Haida,
> > > Hakka, Han, Havasupai, Hawaiian, Hawaiian Pidgin, Hebrew, Hidatsa,
Hindi
> > > (Urdu), Hopi, Hungarian, Hupa, Icelandic, Ilocano, Indonesian, Inupik,
> > Irish
> > > Gaelic, Iroquois, Italian, Jamaican Creole, Japanese, Javanese,
> Jicarilla,
> > > Kachin, Kalispel, Kannada, Kansa, Karachay, Karen, Karok, Kashmiri,
> > Kazakh,
> > > Keres, Khoisan, Kickapoo, Kiowa, Kiowa-Apache, Kirghiz, Klamath,
> Koasati,
> > > Korean, Koyukon, Krio, Kru, Kuchin, Kurdish, Kurukh, Kusaiean,
Kutenai,
> > > Kwakiutl, Ladino, Lapp, Lettish, Lithuanian, Luiseno, Lusatian,
> > > Luxembourgian, Macedonian, Makah, Malagasy, Malay, Malayalam, Mandan,
> > > Mandarin, Mande, Maori, Mapuche, Marathi, Marquesan, Marshallese,
Mayan
> > > Languages, Mbum (and Related), Melanesian, Menomini, Miami, Miao
> (Hmong),
> > > Miao-Yao, Micmac, Micronesian, Mikasuki, Minangkabau, Misumalpan,
> Mohave,
> > > Mohawk, Mokilese, Mon-Khmer (Cambodian), Mongolian, Mono, Mountain
> Maidu,
> > > Munda, Muong, Muskogee, Navaho, Nepali, Nez Perce, Nilo-Hamitic,
> > > Nilo-Sharan, Nilotic, Niuean, Nootsack, Northern Paiute, Norwegian,
> > Nubian,
> > > Nukuoro, Ojibwa, Okanogan, Omaha, Oneida, Onondaga, Oriya, Osage,
Other
> > > Athapascan-Eyak, Other Uralic Languages, Oto-Manguen, Ottowa, Paiute,
> > Palau,
> > > Paleo-Siberian, Pampangan, Pangasinan, Panjabi, Papia Mentae, Pashto,
> > > Passamaquoddy, Patois, Pawnee, Pennsylvania Dutch, Penobscot, Persian,
> > > Picuris, Pidgin, Pima, Polish, Polynesian, Pomo, Ponapean, Ponca,
> > > Portuguese, Potawatomi, Provencal, Puget Sound Salish, Quapaw,
Quechua,
> > > Quinault, Rajasthani, Rhaeto-Romanic, Romany, Rumanian, Russian,
> > Sahaptian,
> > > Saharan, Salish, Samoan, San Carlos, Sandia, Sanskrit, Saramacca,
> Scottic
> > > Gaelic, Sebuano, Seneca, Serbian, Serbo-Croatian, Serrano, Shastan,
> > Shawnee,
> > > Shoshoni, Sierra Miwok, Sindhi, Sinhalese, Siuslaw, Slovak, Slovene,
> > Sonoran
> > > Nec, Spanish, Spokane, St. Lawrence Is. Yupik, Sudanese, Sudanic,
> Swahili,
> > > Swedish, Syriac, Tachi, Tagalog, Tamil, Tanaina, Tarascan, Telugu,

Tewa,
> > > Thai (Laotian), Tibetan, Tillamook, Tiwa, Tlingit, Tokelauan, Tongan,
> > > Tonkawa, Towa, Trukese, Tsimshian, Tungus, Tupi-Guarani, Turkish,
> Turkmen,
> > > Tuscarora, Twana, Uighur, Ukrainian, Ulithean, Upper Chehalis, Upper
> > > Chinook, Ute, Vietnamese, Walapai, Washo, Welsh, Wichita, Winnebago,
> > Wintun,
> > > Woleai-Ulithi, Wu, Yapese, Yaqui, Yavapai, Yiddish, Yuchi, Yuki, Yuma,
> > > Yupik, Yurok, Zuni, and Other (not specified).
> > > Data taken from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census,
> > > Population Division, Economic and Social Stratification Branch, 1990
> > Census
> > > Special Tabulation, "Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak
> English
> > > For United States, Regions and States: 1990."
> > >
> > > Spanish, of course, is the second most commonly spoken language in the
> > > United States-there are about 17.3 million native Spanish speakers.
> After
> > > English and Spanish, however, few realize that French is spoken
> regularly
> > in
> > > more American homes more than any other language (1.7 million).
> > >
> > > More than a million Americans also regularly speak German, Italian,
and
> > > Chinese. And among the top 25 languages spoken by Americans at home is
> one
> > > that has been spoken in this country long before English and Spanish
> > > arrived-Navajo.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1616. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 06:23:53 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Z9Rha.13666$0X.4671917@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34032
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:lUOha.310551$S_4.265435@rwcrnsc53...
> Now that story is a made up troll!

What 'story' would THAT be? Care to check and refute my information? Feel
free. Otherwise, come up with something to substantiate your allegations.

Perhaps, like Francis, the truth has no place in your mindset.

> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:pOSga.2651$0X.1243727@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > A few links:
> >
> >
>
http://www.strategypage.com/fyeo/howtomakewar/default.asp?target=HTMURPH.HTM
> >
> > http://www.rferl.org/nca/features/2003/03/27032003132425.asp
> >
> > http://www.guardian.co.uk/international/story/0,3604,314700,00.html
> >
> > http://www.defendamerica.mil/nmam.html
> >
> > http://www.tucsoncitizen.com/local/3_24_03lieberman.html
> >
> > http://www.msnbc.com/news/890181_asp.htm?0cv=CB10
> >
> > http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2003/03/27/MN290930.DTL
> >
> > http://www.opinionjournal.com/editorial/feature.html?id=110003248
> >
> > http://www.punning_pundit.blogspot.com/
> >
> > http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,5944-619488,00.html
> >
> > http://www.upi.com/view.cfm?StoryID=20030321-023627-5923r
> >
> > I was a naive fool to be a human shield for Saddam - By Daniel Pepper
in
> > the Telegraph
> >
> > I wanted to join the human shields in Baghdad because it was direct
action
> > which had a chance of bringing the anti-war movement to the forefront of

> > world attention. It was inspiring: the human shield volunteers were
making
> a
> > sacrifice for their political views - much more of a personal investment
> > than going to a demonstration in Washington or London. It was simple -
you
> > get on the bus and you represent yourself.
> > So that is exactly what I did on the morning of Saturday, January 25. I
am
> a
> > 23-year-old Jewish-American photographer living in Islington, north
> London.
> > I had travelled in the Middle East before: as a student, I went to the
> > Palestinian West Bank during the intifada. I also went to Afghanistan as
a
> > photographer for Newsweek.
> >
> > The human shields appealed to my anti-war stance, but by the time I had
> left
> > Baghdad five weeks later my views had changed drastically. I wouldn't
say
> > that I was exactly pro-war - no, I am ambivalent - but I have a strong
> > desire to see Saddam removed.
> >
> > We on the bus felt that we were sympathetic to the views of the Iraqi
> > civilians, even though we didn't actually know any. The group was less
> > interested in standing up for their rights than protesting against the
US
> > and UK governments.
> >
> > I was shocked when I first met a pro-war Iraqi in Baghdad - a taxi
driver
> > taking me back to my hotel late at night. I explained that I was
American
> > and said, as we shields always did, "Bush bad, war bad, Iraq good". He
> > looked at me with an expression of incredulity.
> >
> > As he realised I was serious, he slowed down and started to speak in
> broken
> > English about the evils of Saddam's regime. Until then I had only heard
> the
> > President spoken of with respect, but now this guy was telling me how
all
> of
> > Iraq's oil money went into Saddam's pocket and that if you opposed him
> > politically he would kill your whole family.
> >
> > It scared the hell out of me. First I was thinking that maybe it was the
> > secret police trying to trick me but later I got the impression that he
> > wanted me to help him escape. I felt so bad. I told him: "Listen, I am

> just
> > a schmuck from the United States, I am not with the UN, I'm not with the
> > CIA - I just can't help you."
> >
> > Of course I had read reports that Iraqis hated Saddam Hussein, but this
> was
> > the real thing. Someone had explained it to me face to face. I told a
few
> > journalists who I knew. They said that this sort of thing often
happened -
> > spontaneous, emotional, and secretive outbursts imploring visitors to
free
> > them from Saddam's tyrannical Iraq.
> >
> > I became increasingly concerned about the way the Iraqi regime was
> > restricting the movement of the shields, so a few days later I left
> Baghdad
> > for Jordan by taxi with five others. Once over the border we felt
> > comfortable enough to ask our driver what he felt about the regime and
the
> > threat of an aerial bombardment.
> >
> > "Don't you listen to Powell on Voice of America radio?" he said. "Of
> course
> > the Americans don't want to bomb civilians. They want to bomb government
> and
> > Saddam's palaces. We want America to bomb Saddam."
> >
> > We just sat, listening, our mouths open wide. Jake, one of the others,
> just
> > kept saying, "Oh my God" as the driver described the horrors of the
> regime.
> > Jake was so shocked at how naive he had been. We all were. It hadn't
> > occurred to anyone that the Iraqis might actually be pro-war.
> >
> > The driver's most emphatic statement was: "All Iraqi people want this
> war."
> > He seemed convinced that civilian casualties would be small; he had such
> > enormous faith in the American war machine to follow through on its
> > promises. Certainly more faith than any of us had.
> >
> > Perhaps the most crushing thing we learned was that most ordinary Iraqis
> > thought Saddam Hussein had paid us to come to protest in Iraq. Although
we
> > explained that this was categorically not the case, I don't think he
> > believed us. Later he asked me: "Really, how much did Saddam pay you to
> > come?"
> >
> > It hit me on visceral and emotional levels: this was a real portrayal of
> > Iraq life. After the first conversation, I completely rethought my view

of
> > the Iraqi situation. My understanding changed on intellectual,
emotional,
> > psychological levels. I remembered the experience of seeing Saddam's
> > egomaniacal portraits everywhere for the past two weeks and tried to
place
> > myself in the shoes of someone who had been subjected to seeing them
every
> > day for the last 20 or so years.
> >
> > Last Thursday night I went to photograph the anti-war rally in
Parliament
> > Square. Thousands of people were shouting "No war" but without thinking
> > about the implications for Iraqis. Some of them were drinking, dancing
to
> > Samba music and sparring with the police. It was as if the protesters
were
> > talking about a different country where the ruling government is
perfectly
> > acceptable. It really upset me.
> >
> > Anyone with half a brain must see that Saddam has to be taken out. It is
> > extraordinarily ironic that the anti-war protesters are marching to
defend
> a
> > government which stops its people exercising that freedom.
>
> ---
> --
> > ----
> > USWAR/Baghdad warns people not to leave city
> >
> > Baghdad, March 25, IRNA -- The ruling Ba'ath Party of Iraq has warned
> > its people not to evacuate the city or their houses and property or
> > these would be confiscated by the government.
> > According to IRNA correspondents, the Baghdad government has
> > announced that those who leave the city will not be allowed to return.
> > It further referred to the increasing number of bunkers that are
> > being dug out all over the city including in streets, schools,
> > governmental and non-governmental buildings and medical centers.
> > But the IRNA correspondents quoted a foreign reporter as saying
> > the US-led coalition forces have set a number of days for civilians to
> > leave Baghdad after which they will announce the city as a military
> > region.
> > FM/LS
> > End
>
> ---
> --
> > --

> >
> > Lots of good reading...

1617. What is a good scanner to buy ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 06:31:27 UTC
From: Leonard Beecroft <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <3hRha.56538$KIE.49788@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 34033

Hello,

Since I live in Toronto,Ontario what would be a good scanner to buy ?.

What would you people of rec.radio.scanner suggest ?

At Radio Shack they have the BC-245XLT (Trunk Tracking Handheld Scanner)
going for $ 499.99...

At Radioworld the have it going for the price of $449.00 , Also at
Radioworld they have used equipment, So we have the same scanner (BC-245XLT)
going for $335.00.

Which one to buy at Radioworld the "New" or the "Used" ?

Thank-you for the help and the suggestions.

P.S. I use to own the PRO-51, Now I have the DJ-X3.

1618. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 06:51:46 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <kARha.227$ie2.12152@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 34034
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> Hello all.
>
> I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now. He's a
private
> and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a "Realistic"
> brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the middle east.
> I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is that "time of
> day" announcer.
>
> I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be neat to get
> him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night (using some
of
> our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick up his
voice
> and know that he is OK or not.
> For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees and a lawn"
> But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car batteries in the
> room"
>
> That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's happening to him.
If
> he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on the news or
that
> al-Jazeeera place.
>
> So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq frequencies to hear
> him?
> and how do I talk back to him?
> Thanks for your help.
> EG
>
>

Good troll Emilio. I think the appropriate thing to say is: "A waste is a
terrible thing to mind."

This is the thread that never ends. It keeps going on and on my friends.

John

1619. Re: Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 06:53:14 UTC
From: "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b69lma$31pgl$5@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33950

Stating this as my personal opinion..... no one has a right to tell me what I can listen to. As long as I don't act on that information and keep it to myself... whats the problem?

Stating this more as fact..... As long as you are RECIEVING ONLY, albeit considered illegal, you are not causing any harm unless you utilize that information in a bad way.

Stating this clearly as fact.... Intereference or transmitting on frequencies that a person does not have licensure (or free access like CB, MURS or FRS) is illegal and should be dealt with accordingly.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
--. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
.. --. .... - . .-. ...

"Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local> wrote in message news:slrnb89ast.115.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local...
> According to slashdot:
> http://yro.slashdot.org/article.pl?sid=03/03/28/1541230
>
> There is a bill on the way that will make it illegal to
> tamper with or steal communications services. But early
> on in it is also says it will be illegal to use a communications
> service without the authorization from the provider.
>
> This is where I see scanning, becoming illegal in:
> MA, TX, SC, FL, GA, AK, TN, and CO
>
> Anybody else here who as any views on this ?
> --
> To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
> Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
> Hvis du skriver til ovenst&#65533;ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

1620. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 06:54:00 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b68mqu$g22$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 34035
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text; Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: obreve.gif; Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: abreve.gif

"Q" wrote in message
news:U4Rha.13568$0X.4666955@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "Brenda Ann" wrote in message
> news:b63qjd$l1h$1@news1.kornet.net...
> > The VOA still is a propaganda tool. It still transmits in many
languages
> to
> > various parts of the world.
> >
> > The word propaganda does not mean the contemporary assumption of it's
> > meaning (though it could be argued that common usage changes or alters
the
> > meaning of a word). It simply means the propagation (dissemination,
> > spreading) of a particular belief, tenet, doctrine or political
> > point-of-view. All such are skewed to one extent or another. I
> reference
> > the following example from the cold war:
>
> What particular belief does the VOA propagate?
> And...after all, what does VOA stand for? Overall, I'd have to say that
> their transmissions are fairly generic. Many listen to that outlet.
>
> > The Americans and Russians were the only ones in a race. The American
> news
> > report said that the Americans won the race, the Russian press said that
> the
> > the Russians came in second, the Americans came in next to the last.
>
> A point that makes no point IS no point. Nobody needs to listen to any
> particular station or nation to know the outcome of the cold war. The wall
> came down in Berlin, the Eastern Bloc nations gained their independence,
and
> the Soviet Union crumbled (or maybe I missed something, and it was just
> propaganda?)
>
> > VOA does much the same thing, but by virtue of omission. For instance,
> they
> > tend to play down poor economic conditions and high unemployment in
favor
> of
> > talking about conditions in other countries.

>
> High unemployment? As compared to...? And there analysis of the conditions
> in other countries doesn't sound like propaganda.
>
> > They tout mainly the positive aspects of American life... just a sales
> tool.
>
> Oh? And the positive aspects of American life are merely a sales tool?
> That's the mentality of the old Soviet Union - ask anyone who served in
the
> Soviet military during that era - most loathed the party hacks that were,
by
> law, in their midst, and these party hacks would downplay the "positive
> aspects" of living in America, claiming it was all propaganda.
>
> Or do you believe as well that the positive aspects of American life are
> just a sales tol as well?
>
> > "Q" wrote in message
> > news:Jlcha.7425$0X.2369206@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > > "Sven Franklyn Weil" wrote in message
> > > news:slrnb89ieu.ecc.sven@gordsven.com...
> > > > In article <3E84C245.DE221760@email.com>, John Simmons wrote:
> > > > > Not only do Iraqis know how to speak English, they listen to the
VOA
> > > too.
> > > >
> > > > VOA has various shows in different languages - even complete
stations
> > > > transmitting in Spanish, Chinese, Korean, etc.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Sven
> > >
> > > Correct. At one time, YEARS ago, it was a PR and propaganda tool of
the
> US
> > > government, but that was YEARS ago (of course, there are those that
will
> > > NEVER be convinced of that...)
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

ALL external radio services are sales tools. They are trying to sell someone
on the benefits of their particular system of government. And all such
stress the more positive aspects of said government, and, to one extent or

another, downplay other systems.

The American/Russian race joke has nothing whatsoever to do with the outcome of the Cold War, it was merely an example of propaganda, how the same thing can be said in more than one way, still be accurate, but yet elicit a different image.

propaganda
n : information that is spread for the purpose of promoting some cause

Also:

SYLLABICATION: prop&#65533;a&#65533;gan&#65533;da
PRONUNCIATION: prp-gnd
NOUN: 1. The systematic propagation of a doctrine or cause or of information reflecting the views and interests of those advocating such a doctrine or cause. 2. Material disseminated by the advocates or opponents of a doctrine or cause

In other words, propaganda does not hold the sinister definition that most people tend to attribute to it. The VOA is every bit as much propaganda as is R. Havana, they are simply propagandizing the American system of government and economy as opposed to the socialist one.

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: obreve.gif]

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: abreve.gif]

1621. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 07:11:04 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAD5438.1539E%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 34036
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Brenda Ann" wrote:

> The VOA still is a propaganda tool. It still transmits
> in many languages to various parts of the world.
>
> The word propaganda does not mean the contemporary
> assumption of it's meaning (though it could be argued
> that common usage changes or alters the meaning of a
> word). It simply means the propagation (dissemination,
> spreading) of a particular belief, tenet, doctrine
> or political point-of-view. (snip)
>
> VOA does much the same thing, but by virtue of omission.
> For instance, they tend to play down poor economic
> conditions and high unemployment in favor of
> talking about conditions in other countries. They tout
> mainly the positive aspects of American life... Just
> a sales tool.

I lost respect for the VOA after listening to many of its English language broadcasts targeted at Eastern Europe (repeated in many languages) towards the end of the cold war (mid 80's). If the VOA were believed, one would expect most Americans to own motor homes, vacation cabins in the mountains, yachts to cruise the Caribbean, and expensive Sport Utility Vehicles to drive. The picture they painted of typical American life was absolutely amazing, and completely false. Yes, other countries did this also, but I somehow expected our propaganda to be a little more truthful.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1622. Re: Here's an Iraqi who is prepared to surrender to "Q"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 07:20:18 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <S_Rha.14478$0X.4713652@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:foRha.309049$6b3.1441176@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...

...and you have pictures on your computer of naked Iraqis for what purpose,
exactly?

lol...

1623. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 07:26:31 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <H4Sha.14568$0X.4718104@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34038
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:b68mqu$g22$1@news1.kornet.net...

> ALL external radio services are sales tools. They are trying to sell
someone
> on the benefits of their particular system of government. And all such
> stress the more positive aspects of said government, and, to one extent or
> another, downplay other systems.

<snip>

Okay, so then EVERYTHING is propaganda.

So what?

lol...

:-)

1624. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 07:31:40 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <w9Sha.14638$0X.4721269@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34039
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BAAD5438.1539E%stewart@say.net...
> "Brenda Ann" wrote:

> I lost respect for the VOA after listening to many of its English
language
> broadcasts targeted at Eastern Europe (repeated in many languages) towards
> the end of the cold war (mid 80's). If the VOA were believed, one would
> expect most Americans to own motor homes, vacation cabins in the
mountains,
> yachts to cruise the Caribbean, and expensive Sport Utility Vehicles to
> drive. The picture they painted of typical American life was absolutely
> amazing, and completely false. Yes, other countries did this also, but I
> somehow expected our propaganda to be a little more truthful.

You must understand - propaganda is propaganda. IT, in and of itself, is a
tool. To only tap lightly with a hammer when your neighbor uses the same
hammer but hits harder only means your neighbor finishes building his house
first.
Ever listen to the propaganda that came out of the old Soviet Union?
During WWII, it was reported to be "illegal" for Americans to listen to VOA
BECAUSE of the propaganda it presented.
This is no longer the 80's. Things change. have you even LISTENED to VOA
lately? it's fairly sanitized and dull...

1625. Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 08:57:16 UTC
From: Erol Gray <egray@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <MpTha.316793$sf5.298353@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 34040
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Rush Limbaugh 3/31/2003: "The world watches in AWE as our American troops uncover Sadaam's WMD!"

That's what Rush would be saying if there were any WMD.

Because Bush would tell our troops where the WMD are and save his sinking crock of crap.

Why don't you read this one and get back to me:

http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1

Please don't reply with Rush-ims, try to stick to "ideas", or "facts".
I especially don't want to hear "There you go again you liberals are all alike."
It's about as stupid as writing a country music song and actually rhyming "bin Lauden" with "Forgotten."
Holy crap what a dumb thing to do.

-Disneyland patriotism.

1626. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 09:04:02 UTC
From: jesseg <some@thing.com>
Message-ID: <6wTha.275536$3D1.151141@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 34041
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

```
i did not call you a bitch, i sent you an mp3...

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E87A292.3060204@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> >
> > jesseg wrote:
> >
> >> calling consiracy nuts...
> >>
> >>
> >> you're the fucking retard who believes everything they are told without
> >> questioning or reasoning anything for yourself, retard. dude... 400
> >> years
> >> ago people still thought the world was flat. when france helped
> >> america's
> >> forefathers leave the country, they HONESTLY THOUGHT they were sending
us
> >> off the edge of the world.
> >>
> >>
> >> 400 years obviously doesnt make a difference.... and you're proving
the
> >> point very well.
> >
> >
> >
> > You can sure tell when a point hits the mark, the liberal conspiracy
> > nuts really jump and yell and start using profanity. Guess you have to
> > consider the intelligence level which is pretty low.
>
> And you know for sure when a point hits the mark when the idiot
> emails you personally and calls you a bitch (guess he/it thinks I
> am female). Brags about a 160 IQ, well good buddy, a high IQ isn't
> necessarily a sign of intelligence, certainly in your case. If you
> want to respond to my post, do it here on the group, do not email
> me again.
>
> >
>
```

1627. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 10:56:33 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@home.scotland>
Message-ID: <b696t0ckj1@sparta.btinternet.com>
Source record: 34042
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:KlJha.35224$ja4.2261748@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Well then, at least you have one thing going for you then! *Clapping my
> hands*
>

You're 24 years old and married a bloke who is 56? Something's not correct
there. I wonder how heavily money figured in the equation?

1628. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 10:58:48 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@home.scotland>
Message-ID: <b69718cqa1@sparta.btinternet.com>
Source record: 34043
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician. Read
> That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a level
> below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL of
> mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a mathematical
> genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like to
see
> what you do!!
>
>

All you do is brag about your high IQ and label people as "burger flippers."
It seems that a high IQ is no guarantee of cognitive or argumentative
capability...

1629. Re: bc250d remote cable connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 11:47:28 UTC
From: jmacdon <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3E882AD0.D701B049@mitre.org>
Source record: 34044

Thanks for this information, just got a
Uniden BC250D with the BCi25D card
and have not been able to get the
computer comm port cover off either!
Jim

Never anonymous Bud wrote:

> Scribbling with a stale candycane, "Charlie Brookhart" <cbrookha@erols.com> penned:
>
> >I am trying to connect the bc250d to my computer so that I can enter trunked
> >frequencies more easily. The problem I am having is that I cannot figure out
> >how to remove the cover on the right side of the scanner so that I can
> >connect the small end of the cable. The large end of the cable is already
> >connected to the computer. I've tried using my finger nail and a knife to
> >open the rubber cover on the right side, but have not had any luck. Can
> >anyone help out?
>
> You need something with a point on it.
>
> The tab over the connector opens from the top,
> GENTLY pry it out with a pair of tweezers or
> something similar.
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1630. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 12:26:31 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <XtWha.16298$0X.4857341@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 34045
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:MpTha.316793$sf5.298353@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Rush Limbaugh 3/31/2003: "The world watches in AWE as our American troops
> uncover Sadaam's WMD!"
>
> That's what Rush would be saying if there were any WMD.
>
> Because Bush would tell our troops where the WMD are and save his sinking
> crock of crap.
>
> Why don't you read this one and get back to me:
>
> http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1
>
> Please don't reply with Rush-ims, try to stick to "ideas", or "facts".
> I especially don't want to hear "There you go again you liberals are all
> alike."
> It's about as stupid as writing a country music song and actually rhyming
> "bin Lauden" with "Forgotten."
> Holy crap what a dumb thing to do.
>
> -Disneyland patriotism.

Rush-isms? The author of this article is a left-wing conspiracy nut - and if you want to call that a "Rush-ism", note this: he also believes in all kinds of government cover-ups regarding UFOs, Kennedy cover-ups, how Israel bought, lied and stole their way to the nuclear bomb, the fall of the CIA, omits relevant information regarding the Clinton Administration, and, in general, as OTHERS have noted, presents a "selective use - and misuse - of intelligence information"

Other comments regarding this author:

"Seymour Hersh's Target Is Destroyed is: 1.) one-third usable early-1980s history, 2.) one-third irrelevant conjecture, 3.) one-third calculated falsehood."

Regarding "The Samson Option": "If you are looking for an exciting true life spy story then this is not the book for you."
and "In his opinion, the Israeli nuclear program could not have existed without the continuous aid of the U.S." (Like Israel was broke...)

Regarding "Price of Power: Kissinger in the Nixon White House": "Hersh is great at seeking dirt, which is a public service as he uncovers so much of it. Guys like him serve a function only as long as we keep his role in

perspective. He found My-Lai, yes, but does he approve of anything whatsoever? This book is a 500-page indictment of Kissinger that follows no standard other than to show that the guy was really really bad. There is little if any acknowledgment of what Kissinger accomplished, virtually no consistent standards discussed of what would have been better, and no suggestions offered."

Regarding "Against All Enemies:": "Paper will put up with anything that is written on it."

He's listed on conspiracyplanet.com. He fuels the flames on all types of conspiracies, including the M16 nonsense.

As was described on CNN's Wolf Blitzer: "the closest thing American journalism has to a terrorist . because he sets out to do damage and he will do it by whatever innuendo, whatever distortion he can-look, he hasn't written a serious piece since My Lai."

Mr. Hersh has called John Ashcroft "demented" for discussing the confession of John Walker Lindh. Mr Hersh has complained about the treatment of Zacarias Moussaoui, the famous twentieth man, arrested just about a month before 9/11, complaining that the courts were "too conservative". This statement he made even after Moussaoui stood up in court and began " talking about death to the Israelis, death to Americans." Hersh claims, "They truly aren't worried about it, because they would have stopped him." What bilge.

He has stated: "I can tell you right now, the military, below the chairman's level, they don't like it. Smart officers don't like it. There's an awful lot of dissent.... It's not a popular war in the military among certain officers in certain services, which include the Marines...."
Where has anybody heard or seen any proof of such ramblings?

Regarding the current war: "I don't quite know how we're here. I don't know why we're here. I really don't know. "
Well, at least he got THAT part right - he's clueless.

He also rambled, "If you've got a contract for a book on the war and you're covering the war, don't you think that might impact how you would write about the war? No, absolutely not. I don't know. I don't know how we got here. All I know is that we're all going to be real sorry soon...."
He sure IS sorry...

His friend and confident, France's Bernard Kouchner, spews the same bilge and is a Chirac apologist. That the French refer to him as "Collaborateur du New Yorker" speaks volumes to most in and of itself.

Hersh, in an interview, said:

Question: What got you into journalism?
Seymour Hersh: I flunked out of law school.

He thrives on creating controversy, much as other hate-mongers. In comments he's made, he has defended Clinton and his Administration, but out of the other side of his mouth says this: "Clinton has obvious character flaws.

How much do the character flaws weigh on the Presidency? As with Kennedy's sexual obsession, Clinton's flaws with Lewinsky are profound and you can't stop there. There's a grandiosity and lack of reality to the man, which also becomes a serious weakness. So Clinton's a flawed and perhaps a dangerous man. When you have a weakness of this kind, it can become a danger. Take the "retaliatory" bombing raids against suspected terrorists in the Sudan and Afghanistan. Are they really a sign of strength on the part of the Administration, or are they a symptom of a crippled and floundering President?"

So which of his arguments is one to lend credence to?
He prints what he knows will sell - no matter WHO it sells TO. To think of him as anything more, if you will, as an "entertainer" is ludicrous.

Don't bother to mention the awards he's won - the liberal actors et. al. in Hollywood receive awards, too.

And Peter Arnett has won awards. Now, he's about galvanized his position as being persona non grata, and, as giving aid and comfort to the enemy, should be considered a legitimate military target. He was fired from CNN for his bilge, and, as I've told others, it was only a matter of time before he showed his true colors once again - he just couldn't control himself.

Proof of Hersh's willingness to commit libel and print whatever sells for him can be found in
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 94-2120

RICHARD C. FUISZ,

Plaintiff- Appellant,

v.

SELECTIVE INSURANCE COMPANY OF

AMERICA,

(Note: I won't print the entire boring thing here, just the relevant parts)

On April 17, 1992, Terex Corporation, Terex Equipment Limited and KCS Industries (collectively "Terex"), filed a complaint against Fuisz and Seymour M. Hersh in United States District Court for the District of Columbia alleging claims of libel and slander against both defendants. In its complaint, Terex alleges that Fuisz "embarked on a scheme and plan to injure plaintiffs by publicly disseminating false accusations designed to tarnish their good name and reputation in the business community." Terex further alleges that"[i]n or about 1987,"

First, the complaint relates how
Fuisz endeavored, unsuccessfully, to act as Terex's sales representative in Saudi Arabia. It alleges that Fuisz thereupon "determined to

retaliate against [Terex] for the loss of a business opportunity" and engaged in a "vendetta" against Terex. In furtherance of his scheme, Fuisz is alleged to have contacted journalists to spread false informa-
16
tion as part of a "smear campaign" against Terex, "falsely linking [Terex] to the sale of military equipment, including scud missile launching equipment, to Iraq," and implying that Terex aided Saddam Hussein in firing dozens of scud missiles at Israel and Saudi Arabia.

(here comes the good part)

The complaint chronicles Fuisz's initial efforts to contact congressional committees and "responsible and ethical members of the media" to spread this false information. When nothing was found to support the charges, the complaint describes how Fuisz then turned to less scrupulous journalists who specialized in "sensationalistic exposes" to spread the information and ultimately get it into the general press.

Terex demanded that Fuisz retract the false publications but Fuisz refused to do so. Summarizing Fuisz's deliberate intent to injure Terex, the complaint alleges, "in publishing these false and defamatory statements set forth above, Fuisz was motivated by actual malice and wrongfully and willfully intended to injure plaintiffs."

The conduct which is described in the complaint can only be characterized as deliberate. There is not a single series of "facts and circumstances" in the complaint which, if true, could have been pursued accidentally or recklessly.

In concluding that the complaint also alleges "reckless" conduct - - which Selective Insurance's policy would not exclude- - the majority opinion relies on the single allegation made with respect to each defamatory publication that "Fuisz knew that the statements were false, or published the statements with reckless disregard as to whether they were true or false" (emphasis added). From this the majority concludes that even if "the evidence at trial fails to establish that Fuisz intentionally harmed Terex, the complaint permits Terex nonetheless to prevail on its claims by proving that Fuisz intended no harm, but acted with reckless disregard for the falsity of his statements."

Indeed, if the facts alleged are true, which
we must assume in this analysis, it is impossible that Fuisz acted without intent to injure Terex. Moreover, whether Fuisz spread information knowing it to be false or spread it while recklessly indifferent to its truth is irrelevant to the question of whether the injury caused was deliberately inflicted. On that question, the complaint is not ambiguous. Terex alleges that Fuisz purposely spread false information and
17
that Fuisz did so with the single- minded intent of injuring Terex as a "vendetta" for failing to enter into a business relationship with him. The complaint alleges only one type of conduct - - a vendetta pursued

intentionally to injure - - and it never wavers from the accusation that Fuisz's activities were precalculated, planned, and deliberate, and thus that Fuisz "wrongfully and willfully intended" to injure Terex.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

This is not the first or ONLY time that Hersh has been indicated in libel suits. Seymour M. Hersh was listed as one of the defendants being sued by the Cusack and Cloud Plaintiffs in the ABC Action (and was served in Washington, D.C. on December 8, 1997, at 6:10 p.m.). Hersh made the following public statement on the CNBC Hardball Show (in segment entitled "The Kennedy Legacy", with Host Christopher Matthews) concerning the Cusack/Kennedy Documents:

Quest. by Host "What about the documents which purported to show that she [i.e., Marilyn Monroe] was about to accept a payoff from Kennedy? What do you think of those documents now?"

Ans. by Hersh "As you know... The public is beginning to learn,... it's a close call. I mean, you know, 60 Minutes , you know, did a show the other night [ed. note: 11/23/97]. But for the longest time, they thought that actually that some of the handwritten Kennedy documents might be accurate, so I understand. But the bottom line is, even if some of those documents are real, which is a possibility ... [then Hersh goes on with defamatory statements about Lex Cusack and the documents]."
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
There is, more recently, his comments against Perle. While working with his French counterpart, Hersh made libelous statements against Perle regarding a lunch he had with several Saudis. By Hersh's OWN admission, nothing came of the meeting. But, to play the part Hersh plays so well, he indicted Perle on the APPEARANCE of impropriety. This type of "journalism" is bet summed up below:

Regarding Mr. Hersh, Paul A. Rahe, Jay P. Walker Professor of History at the University of Tulsa had this to say:

Years ago, Seymour Hersh scored a journalistic coup when he broke the story of the Mylai Massacre. Since that time, however, his record has been spotty. He has reported many an event that seems never to have transpired. His motto seems to have been the directive issued by the editor in Evelyn Waugh's novel Scoop: "If there is no news, send rumors instead."

Hersh is a favorite with the anti-war cooks. They laud his "journalism", even though he contradicts himself.

Said of Hersh regarding his comments on the first Gulf War: ""Hersh has the safe luxury of armchair quarterbacking the every move of the 24th Infantry during the Gulf War -- 10 years after the fact. We did not have that luxury. We were out there fighting the fourth-largest army in the world, which was armed with biological and chemical weapons ..."

Hersh and his article lack integrity. That's the bottom line. He maligns the characters of 26,000 great young soldiers who conducted a 400-kilometer attack successfully, where thank god we only lost eight killed and 36

wounded.

What he did was recycling charges that were investigated in '91. Here's the front page of the newspaper, Savannah newspaper, in those months.

A total of 2,000 pages of investigative material, hundreds of interviews, there is no truth to this stuff, and this is a nonobjective attack on the character of these great young soldiers.

The two scout platoon leaders involved in both these incidents, they were thoroughly investigated, he went to the same people, cleared two elements of all wrongdoing. They simply did not harm Iraqi soldiers or civilians. The allegations, the bottom line, are not true.

The investigation revealed that the machine gun fire they heard was directed at Iraqi vehicles.

It was not directed at these poor Iraqis, who were treated with enormous compassion and discipline all throughout this battle force.

The incidents did not occur according to exhaustive investigation at the time.

The American people are very proud of their behavior, and they ought to be.

Thank god for the discipline and courage of these 26,000 troops.
~~~~~~

As regards Mr. Hersh the liberal rag where this article was found, :

David Remnick's Military Snafu

New Yorker editor-in-chief David Remnick might want to take a cue from his magazine's famed fact-checking department. When Command Sergeant Major James D. Randolph (retired) got wind of a story that Seymour M. Hersh was writing about his infantry division's actions in the Gulf War, he fired off a letter to Remnick voicing concerns about the article's accuracy. Hersh's account, published last month, accuses the 24th Division of killing POWs, gunning down civilians, and slaughtering a fleeing Iraqi column after the cease-fire was declared. But in his letter, Randolph stresses that, as a noncommissioned officer, he would have known if his troops had acted inappropriately -- and he insists that they did not. In a terse, three-sentence response faxed to Randolph, Remnick guarantees he's read the detailed letter "with due seriousness." Serious or no, he didn't quite manage to get the veteran's title right. Remnick addressed him as Major James D. Randolph -- quite a boost in rank -- and gave the old soldier an even bigger promotion on his fax cover letter, bestowing Randolph with the rank of major general. We were kind enough to point out these slipups to Remnick. "Noted," he replied.

Hersh's lack of professionalism and even the simple idea of using his HEAD was noted here:
~~~~~~

"Indeed, an even more serious incident occurred on Tuesday when the magazine New Yorker published a 4,900-word article by Seymour M. Hersh alleging covert plans by U.S. military specialists - reportedly assisted by Israeli commandos - to seize Pakistan's inventory of nuclear weapons should the Musharraf regime be threatened by a takeover from radical Islamic militants. Mr. Hersh and the magazine editors clearly failed to understand - or, more likely, consciously chose to ignore - that the act itself of publishing those allegations is extremely dangerous. "

"At the very least, the Hersh article poses a threat to the fragile partnership between Washington and Islamabad, provides a powerful propaganda weapon to Osama bin Laden and Pakistani militants, and increases the threat of mission failure and extensive American casualties if such a scenario indeed were to occur. "

A link on his book about Kennedy:
http://www.boston.com/globe/search/stories/books/books97/seymour_hersh.htm

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
The bottom line is, you need to do better than one liberal flame by Seymour Hersh.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1631. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 14:13:04 UTC
From: Tad Early <fenwick_island@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b69id2jqs1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 34046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Justice Gustine" <justicegustine@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ugig8v8eq5a9nt7ibsimret1h8hkvupjkp@4ax.com...
> Can I reply with a radio-abortion and ask why in the heck are you
> spewing this OT stuff on these NGs?

In a word or two: basic stupidity. He has such confidence in his
opinions that he's on about his fifth "handle." Just the kind of
person you want to believe: a troll that's too dumb to disguise
multiple id's.

1632. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 14:32:47 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030331093247.15691.00000101@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 33947

>Rush Limbaugh 3/31/2003: "The world watches in AWE as our American troops
>uncover Sadaam's WMD!"

Did you find them in your scanner? If not, take it somewhere else, wacko!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

1633. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 14:58:09 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8glrvhmmvsmfa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33948
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

By all means, we must implore people to abandon facts.

The fact that Rush Limbaugh or anyone else for that matter says stick to the facts, seems to this writer to be good advise.

I can see how those skating on thin ice with their arguments would eschew facts.

"Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message news:MpTha.316793$sf5.298353@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Rush Limbaugh 3/31/2003: "The world watches in AWE as our American troops
> uncover Sadaam's WMD!"
>
> That's what Rush would be saying if there were any WMD.
>
> Because Bush would tell our troops where the WMD are and save his sinking
> crock of crap.
>
> Why don't you read this one and get back to me:
>
> http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1
>
> Please don't reply with Rush-ims, try to stick to "ideas", or "facts".
> I especially don't want to hear "There you go again you liberals are all
> alike."
> It's about as stupid as writing a country music song and actually rhyming
> "bin Lauden" with "Forgotten."
> Holy crap what a dumb thing to do.
>
> -Disneyland patriotism.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1634. Re: trying to find co. name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 15:05:15 UTC
From: Scott Thayer <n7ztiscott@hotpop.com>
Message-ID: <3E88592B.6050603@hotpop.com>
Source record: 33949

The FCC has a search tool that may be able to hellp with that, check it
out at http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm

Scott

Celt Chic wrote:
> How would I go about finding the company name that goes with the frequency
> 860.83750mHz that could either be in Clarksville, IN, Jeffersonville, IN, or
> Louisville, KY? It sounds like a taxi cab service.
>
> Thanks,
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
>
>

1635. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 15:10:31 UTC
From: xxxm@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <b69lq5$5fc$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 33951
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Forgive them Marconi, for they know not what they are using.

1636. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 15:17:44 UTC
From: fwhite*NOSPAM*@colfax.com
Message-ID: <b69m6o$2m8g$3@news.fsr.net>
Source record: 33953
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <MpTha.316793$sf5.298353@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>,
egray@sofa.net says...
>
>Rush Limbaugh 3/31/2003: "The world watches in AWE as our American
troops
>uncover Sadaam's WMD!"
>
>That's what Rush would be saying if there were any WMD.
>
>Because Bush would tell our troops where the WMD are and save his
sinking
>crock of crap.

Actually, this was a problem the weapons inspectors had
with our intelligence information, too. Our people would
tell them "There! There! Go to that location, we're sure
there are WMD THERE!" and they'd go there and find...
nothing.

We don't know where Saddam has his WMD, if indeed he has
them. It's blind man's bluff. And so far, we haven't
touched anyone yet.

>Why don't you read this one and get back to me:
>
>http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1
>
>Please don't reply with Rush-ims, try to stick to "ideas", or "facts".
>I especially don't want to hear "There you go again you liberals are all
>alike."
>It's about as stupid as writing a country music song and actually
rhyming
>"bin Lauden" with "Forgotten."
>Holy crap what a dumb thing to do.

But the rhyme and rhythm DO work...

FW

1637. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 15:22:50 UTC
From: fwhite*NOSPAM*@colfax.com
Message-ID: <b69mga$2m8g$4@news.fsr.net>
Source record: 33952
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
internetstuff47129@yahoo.com says...
>
>Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician. Read
>That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
>intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a level
>below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL of
>mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a mathematical
>genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like to
see
>what you do!!

If you do not mind a mild critique...

In a flame war, it's a mistake to try to justify
yourself or give out information on yourself; the
other side can easily twist things to their own
advantage. Take the fight to them and try to force
THEM to prove they know what they're talking about.

Or, more devastatingly, subject them to ridicule
and/or humor. Most flamers react to that the way
vampires do garlic.

FW

1638. FS: AOR-AR8000 (no-gaps) & Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 15:42:35 UTC
From: Garrett W <GarrettW@techemail.com>
Message-ID: <LlZha.1433$4P1.126035@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

FS: AOR AR-8000. Radio is very clean and works 100%.
Includes original box, manual, antenna, unopened belt '
clip/carrying strap, 4 new RS hi-capacity ni-cads,
leather case, and an optional hi performance wide
freq. cverage BNC antenna. Radio covers .5-1900 MHz
with NO GAPS. Asking $335 shipped to your door.
Please send all inquiries to my email.

1639. Re: Sounds like Rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 16:01:14 UTC
From: andrewcheadle@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <96608ac6.0303310801.7aad7e0c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33955
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Clyde" <clyde@rest> wrote in message news:<v8f2ruoqacem98@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Drewdawg" <ajc@trw.com> wrote in message
> news:v8etmga9ofvkc3@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Mr. Frankenstien" <Frankenstien@godonlyknowswhereok.com> wrote in
> message
> > news:Wfhha.277470$S_4.175290@rwcrnsc53...
> > <snip>
> > >
> > Don't you mean all the people being raped by Saddam's rape squads (his
> > victims and their families)
> >
> > Yea, just tell yourself they all deserved it. Makes your anti-war
> > statements easier to deal with. Sleeping well now?
> >
> >
> I am anti-this war and anti rape. I sleep well. Saddam is an evil
> bastard and needs his ass kicked. I hope someone close to him takes care
> of business. I hope our military does not suffer much in this political
> screw-up.
>
> clyde
Good for you clyde, I'd rather we didn't have to do this either. My
slam at his "anti-war" stance was mostly against his retorick, I am
not pro-war by any length. I'm sure if the UN presented a unified
front your senario would have been true, but France & Germany f**ked
that up. Now we (US UK Aus) have to do the job with a gun & bomb that
the UN could have done with one voice and a solid conviction.

1640. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 16:24:14 UTC
From: Erol Gray <egray@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <NYZha.279594$F1.48751@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 33956
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Q- Thank you for staying up all night and cutting and pasting. You must have
invested quite a lot of money in online - subscriptions.
You really need to assign credit to those whom you plagiarize:
http://www.worldpaper.com/
http://www.newyorkmetro.com/nymetro/news/people/columns/intelligencer/3369/
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/tg/detail/-/0671506889/104-0762275-2317537
?vi=glance
and to those who compiled it for you.

Still you successfully pointed out that this person may be capable of
unscrupulous journalistic behavior.
But I didn't ask about that. I asked about the article and the facts as they
are described in it. I asked that you not impart insults or other
"Rush-isms".

You tell us of a man who would rearrange the facts to gain money and fame
and to defame those whom he opposes.
That is an accurate portrayal of "Rush". But I did not ask about Rush.

You did not talk about the article:
http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1

Grade: D-

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:XtWha.16298$0X.4857341@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:MpTha.316793$sf5.298353@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > Rush Limbaugh 3/31/2003: "The world watches in AWE as our American
troops
> > uncover Sadaam's WMD!"
> >
> > That's what Rush would be saying if there were any WMD.
> >
> > Because Bush would tell our troops where the WMD are and save his
sinking
> > crock of crap.
> >
> > Why don't you read this one and get back to me:
> >
> > http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1
> >
> > Please don't reply with Rush-ims, try to stick to "ideas", or "facts".
> > I especially don't want to hear "There you go again you liberals are all
> > alike."

> > It's about as stupid as writing a country music song and actually
rhyming
> > "bin Lauden" with "Forgotten."
> > Holy crap what a dumb thing to do.
> >
> > -Disneyland patriotism.
>
> Rush-isms? The author of this article is a left-wing conspiracy nut - and
if
> you want to call that a "Rush-ism", note this: he also believes in all
kinds
> of government cover-ups regarding UFOs, Kennedy cover-ups, how Israel
> bought, lied and stole their way to the nuclear bomb, the fall of the CIA,
> omits relevant information regarding the Clinton Administration, and, in
> general, as OTHERS have noted, presents a "selective use - and misuse - of
> intelligence information"
>
> Other comments regarding this author:
>
> "Seymour Hersh's Target Is Destroyed is: 1.) one-third usable early-1980s
> history, 2.) one-third irrelevant conjecture, 3.) one-third calculated
> falsehood."
>
> Regarding "The Samson Option": "If you are looking for an exciting true
> life spy story then this is not the book for you."
> and "In his opinion, the Israeli nuclear program could not have existed
> without the continuous aid of the U.S." (Like Israel was broke...)
>
> Regarding "Price of Power: Kissinger in the Nixon White House": "Hersh is
> great at seeking dirt, which is a public service as he uncovers so much of
> it. Guys like him serve a function only as long as we keep his role in
> perspective. He found My-Lai, yes, but does he approve of anything
> whatsoever? This book is a 500-page indictment of Kissinger that follows
no
> standard other than to show that the guy was really really bad. There is
> little if any acknowledgment of what Kissinger accomplished, virtually no
> consistent standards discussed of what would have been better, and no
> suggestions offered."
>
> Regarding "Against All Enemies:": "Paper will put up with anything that
is
> written on it."
>
> He's listed on conspiracyplanet.com. He fuels the flames on all types of
> conspiracies, including the M16 nonsense.
>
> As was described on CNN's Wolf Blitzer: "the closest thing American
> journalism has to a terrorist . because he sets out to do damage and he
will
> do it by whatever innuendo, whatever distortion he can-look, he hasn't

> written a serious piece since My Lai."
>
> Mr. Hersh has called John Ashcroft "demented" for discussing the
confession
> of John Walker Lindh. Mr Hersh has complained about the treatment of
> Zacarias Moussaoui, the famous twentieth man, arrested just about a month
> before 9/11, complaining that the courts were "too conservative". This
> statement he made even after Moussaoui stood up in court and began "
talking
> about death to the Israelis, death to Americans." Hersh claims, "They
truly
> aren't worried about it, because they would have stopped him." What bilge.
>
> He has stated: "I can tell you right now, the military, below the
chairman's
> level, they don't like it. Smart officers don't like it. There's an awful
> lot of dissent.... It's not a popular war in the military among certain
> officers in certain services, which include the Marines...."
> Where has anybody heard or seen any proof of such ramblings?
>
> Regarding the current war: "I don't quite know how we're here. I don't
know
> why we're here. I really don't know. "
> Well, at least he got THAT part right - he's clueless.
>
> He also rambled, "If you've got a contract for a book on the war and
you're
> covering the war, don't you think that might impact how you would write
> about the war? No, absolutely not. I don't know. I don't know how we got
> here. All I know is that we're all going to be real sorry soon...."
> He sure IS sorry...
>
> His friend and confident, France's Bernard Kouchner, spews the same bilge
> and is a Chirac apologist. That the French refer to him as "Collaborateur
du
> New Yorker" speaks volumes to most in and of itself.
>
> Hersh, in an interview, said:
>
> Question: What got you into journalism?
> Seymour Hersh: I flunked out of law school.
>
> He thrives on creating controversy, much as other hate-mongers. In
comments
> he's made, he has defended Clinton and his Administration, but out of the
> other side of his mouth says this: "Clinton has obvious character flaws.
> How much do the character flaws weigh on the Presidency? As with Kennedy's
> sexual obsession, Clinton's flaws with Lewinsky are profound and you can't
> stop there. There's a grandiosity and lack of reality to the man, which
also

> becomes a serious weakness. So Clinton's a flawed and perhaps a dangerous
> man. When you have a weakness of this kind, it can become a danger. Take
the
> "retaliatory" bombing raids against suspected terrorists in the Sudan and
> Afghanistan. Are they really a sign of strength on the part of the
> Administration, or are they a symptom of a crippled and floundering
> President?"
>
> So which of his arguments is one to lend credence to?
> He prints what he knows will sell - no matter WHO it sells TO. To think of
> him as anything more, if you will, as an "entertainer" is ludicrous.
>
> Don't bother to mention the awards he's won - the liberal actors et. al.
in
> Hollywood receive awards, too.
>
> And Peter Arnett has won awards. Now, he's about galvanized his position
as
> being persona non grata, and, as giving aid and comfort to the enemy,
should
> be considered a legitimate military target. He was fired from CNN for his
> bilge, and, as I've told others, it was only a matter of time before he
> showed his true colors once again - he just couldn't control himself.
>
> Proof of Hersh's willingness to commit libel and print whatever sells for
> him can be found in
> UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
>
>
> FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT
>
> No. 94-2120
>
> RICHARD C. FUISZ,
>
> Plaintiff- Appellant,
>
>
> v.
>
> SELECTIVE INSURANCE COMPANY OF
>
> AMERICA,
>
> (Note: I won't print the entire boring thing here, just the relevant
parts)
>
> On April 17, 1992, Terex Corporation, Terex Equipment Limited
> and KCS Industries (collectively "Terex"), filed a complaint against
> Fuisz and Seymour M. Hersh in United States District Court for the

> District of Columbia alleging claims of libel and slander against both
> defendants. In its complaint, Terex alleges that Fuisz "embarked on
> a scheme and plan to injure plaintiffs by publicly disseminating false
> accusations designed to tarnish their good name and reputation in the
> business community." Terex further alleges that"[i]n or about 1987,"
>
> First, the complaint relates how
> Fuisz endeavored, unsuccessfully, to act as Terex's sales representa-
> tive in Saudi Arabia. It alleges that Fuisz thereupon "determined to
> retaliate against [Terex] for the loss of a business opportunity" and
> engaged in a "vendetta" against Terex. In furtherance of his scheme,
> Fuisz is alleged to have contacted journalists to spread false informa-
> 16
> tion as part of a "smear campaign" against Terex, "falsely linking
> [Terex] to the sale of military equipment, including scud missile
> launching equipment, to Iraq," and implying that Terex aided Saddam
> Hussein in firing dozens of scud missiles at Israel and Saudi Arabia.
>
> (here comes the good part)
>
> The complaint chronicles Fuisz's initial efforts to contact congressio-
> nal committees and "responsible and ethical members of the media"
> to spread this false information. When nothing was found to support
> the charges, the complaint describes how Fuisz then turned to less
> scrupulous journalists who specialized in "sensationalistic exposes" to
> spread the information and ultimately get it into the general press.
>
> Terex demanded that Fuisz retract the false publications but Fuisz
> refused to do so. Summarizing Fuisz's deliberate intent to injure
> Terex, the complaint alleges, "in publishing these false and defama-
> tory statements set forth above, Fuisz was motivated by actual malice
> and wrongfully and willfully intended to injure plaintiffs."
>
> The conduct which is described in the complaint can only be char-
> acterized as deliberate. There is not a single series of "facts and cir-
> cumstances" in the complaint which, if true, could have been pursued
> accidentally or recklessly.
>
> In concluding that the complaint also alleges "reckless" conduct - -
> which Selective Insurance's policy would not exclude- - the majority
> opinion relies on the single allegation made with respect to each
> defamatory publication that "Fuisz knew that the statements were
> false, or published the statements with reckless disregard as to
> whether they were true or false" (emphasis added). From this the
> majority concludes that even if "the evidence at trial fails to establish
> that Fuisz intentionally harmed Terex, the complaint permits Terex
> nonetheless to prevail on its claims by proving that Fuisz intended no
> harm, but acted with reckless disregard for the falsity of his state-
> ments."
>

> Indeed, if the facts alleged are true, which
> we must assume in this analysis, it is impossible that Fuisz acted with-
> out intent to injure Terex. Moreover, whether Fuisz spread informa-
> tion knowing it to be false or spread it while recklessly indifferent to
> its truth is irrelevant to the question of whether the injury caused was
> deliberately inflicted. On that question, the complaint is not ambigu-
> ous. Terex alleges that Fuisz purposely spread false information and
> 17
> that Fuisz did so with the single- minded intent of injuring Terex as
> a "vendetta" for failing to enter into a business relationship with him.
> The complaint alleges only one type of conduct - - a vendetta pursued
> intentionally to injure - - and it never wavers from the accusation that
> Fuisz's activities were precalculated, planned, and deliberate, and
> thus that Fuisz "wrongfully and willfully intended" to injure Terex.
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
>
> This is not the first or ONLY time that Hersh has been indicated in libel
> suits. Seymour M. Hersh was listed as one of the defendants being sued by
> the Cusack and Cloud Plaintiffs in the ABC Action (and was served in
> Washington, D.C. on December 8, 1997, at 6:10 p.m.). Hersh made the
> following public statement on the CNBC Hardball Show (in segment entitled
> "The Kennedy Legacy", with Host Christopher Matthews) concerning the
> Cusack/Kennedy Documents:
>
> Quest. by Host "What about the documents which purported to show that she
> [i.e., Marilyn Monroe] was about to accept a payoff from Kennedy? What do
> you think of those documents now?"
>
> Ans. by Hersh "As you know... The public is beginning to learn,... it's a
> close call. I mean, you know, 60 Minutes , you know, did a show the other
> night [ed. note: 11/23/97]. But for the longest time, they thought that
> actually that some of the handwritten Kennedy documents might be accurate,
> so I understand. But the bottom line is, even if some of those documents
are
> real, which is a possibility ... [then Hersh goes on with defamatory
> statements about Lex Cusack and the documents]."
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> There is, more recently, his comments against Perle. While working with
his
> French counterpart, Hersh made libelous statements against Perle regarding
a
> lunch he had with several Saudis. By Hersh's OWN admission, nothing came
of
> the meeting. But, to play the part Hersh plays so well, he indicted Perle
on
> the APPEARANCE of impropriety. This type of "journalism" is bet summed up
> below:
>
> Regarding Mr. Hersh, Paul A. Rahe, Jay P. Walker Professor of History at
the

> University of Tulsa had this to say:
>
> Years ago, Seymour Hersh scored a journalistic coup when he broke the
story
> of the Mylai Massacre. Since that time, however, his record has been
spotty.
> He has reported many an event that seems never to have transpired. His
motto
> seems to have been the directive issued by the editor in Evelyn Waugh's
> novel Scoop: "If there is no news, send rumors instead."
>
> Hersh is a favorite with the anti-war cooks. They laud his "journalism",
> even though he contradicts himself.
>
> Said of Hersh regarding his comments on the first Gulf War: ""Hersh has
the
> safe luxury of armchair quarterbacking the every move of the 24th Infantry
> during the Gulf War -- 10 years after the fact. We did not have that
luxury.
> We were out there fighting the fourth-largest army in the world, which was
> armed with biological and chemical weapons ..."
>
> Hersh and his article lack integrity. That's the bottom line. He maligns
the
> characters of 26,000 great young soldiers who conducted a 400-kilometer
> attack successfully, where thank god we only lost eight killed and 36
> wounded.
>
> What he did was recycling charges that were investigated in '91. Here's
the
> front page of the newspaper, Savannah newspaper, in those months.
>
> A total of 2,000 pages of investigative material, hundreds of interviews,
> there is no truth to this stuff, and this is a nonobjective attack on the
> character of these great young soldiers.
>
> The two scout platoon leaders involved in both these incidents, they were
> thoroughly investigated, he went to the same people, cleared two elements
of
> all wrongdoing. They simply did not harm Iraqi soldiers or civilians. The
> allegations, the bottom line, are not true.
>
> The investigation revealed that the machine gun fire they heard was
directed
> at Iraqi vehicles.
>
> It was not directed at these poor Iraqis, who were treated with enormous
> compassion and discipline all throughout this battle force.
>
> The incidents did not occur according to exhaustive investigation at the

> time.
>
> The American people are very proud of their behavior, and they ought to
be.
>
> Thank god for the discipline and courage of these 26,000 troops.
> ~~~~~~
>
> As regards Mr. Hersh the liberal rag where this article was found, :
>
> David Remnick's Military Snafu
>
> New Yorker editor-in-chief David Remnick might want to take a cue from his
> magazine's famed fact-checking department. When Command Sergeant Major
James
> D. Randolph (retired) got wind of a story that Seymour M. Hersh was
writing
> about his infantry division's actions in the Gulf War, he fired off a
letter
> to Remnick voicing concerns about the article's accuracy. Hersh's account,
> published last month, accuses the 24th Division of killing POWs, gunning
> down civilians, and slaughtering a fleeing Iraqi column after the
cease-fire
> was declared. But in his letter, Randolph stresses that, as a
> noncommissioned officer, he would have known if his troops had acted
> inappropriately -- and he insists that they did not. In a terse,
> three-sentence response faxed to Randolph, Remnick guarantees he's read
the
> detailed letter "with due seriousness." Serious or no, he didn't quite
> manage to get the veteran's title right. Remnick addressed him as Major
> James D. Randolph -- quite a boost in rank -- and gave the old soldier an
> even bigger promotion on his fax cover letter, bestowing Randolph with the
> rank of major general. We were kind enough to point out these slipups to
> Remnick. "Noted," he replied.
>
> Hersh's lack of professionalism and even the simple idea of using his HEAD
> was noted here:
>
> "Indeed, an even more serious incident occurred on Tuesday when the
magazine
> New Yorker published a 4,900-word article by Seymour M. Hersh alleging
> covert plans by U.S. military specialists - reportedly assisted by Israeli
> commandos - to seize Pakistan's inventory of nuclear weapons should the
> Musharraf regime be threatened by a takeover from radical Islamic
militants.
> Mr. Hersh and the magazine editors clearly failed to understand - or, more
> likely, consciously chose to ignore - that the act itself of publishing
> those allegations is extremely dangerous. "
>
> "At the very least, the Hersh article poses a threat to the fragile

> partnership between Washington and Islamabad, provides a powerful
propaganda
> weapon to Osama bin Laden and Pakistani militants, and increases the
threat
> of mission failure and extensive American casualties if such a scenario
> indeed were to occur. "
>
> A link on his book about Kennedy:
> http://www.boston.com/globe/search/stories/books/books97/seymour_hersh.htm
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> The bottom line is, you need to do better than one liberal flame by
Seymour
> Hersh.
>
>

1641. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 16:51:03 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <b69v66$36nms$1@ID-172472.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33959
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

what you call trolling,many call informed debate

we may not agree with each other and we all on both sides on the Iraq problem go off at the mouth a bit,but its fun and long may it continue.

you say you dont play,so how come you have by responding,let us who like to have a bit of fun go to it,those who dont,simple dont read and dont reply,the vast majority of messages on any usenet group i dont read,even if on topic,i look at the subject and take my pick.

--
--
Paul Wey
PROMA1
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

due to current world circumstances all files are request only from myself for main proma files sent to your inbox monthly join

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promafiles
For good prices on scanners go to

Stewart Aviation

http://www.stewart-aviation.co.uk/rs/index.html
"Lord Floyd" <nospam@biteme.org> wrote in message
news:edMha.7118$pW1.383@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Celt Chic wrote:
> > Heee.... wanna see the wart on my nose? I have been made a troll
> > thanks to this newsgroup!
> >
> >
> [snip]
> >> Goodness, didn't take you all that long before you became a troll
> >> yourself....
>
> --
> No, what made you a troll was your inability to control yourself when
> confronted by trolls. The only person that is responsible for your
actions
> is you, not me nor anyone else using these newsgroups.
>
> It's just so much easier to lash back and then blame the other guy.
Hmmmmm,
> where have I seen that before, the grade school playground and/or usenet

> news groups.
>
> Trolls live by the 'Mine is bigger than yours game', which you appear to
> play fairly well. The only way to win the game with trolls is not to
play.
>
> It's like my father told me, "Son never get into a battle of wits with an
> unarmed opponent".
> --
> Mark J...
>
> "...The enemy is at the gates. In fact his name
> may even be Gates." - Red Green
>
>

1642. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 16:59:33 UTC
From: Tad Early <fenwick_island@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b69s55$gv8$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 33957
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:NYZha.279594$F1.48751@sccrnsc04...
> Grade: D-
>
>
>
Trolling under a bunch of different "handles" like "Pointing North and
"Dr. Frankenstien" (sic) and then mentioning "facts."

Grade: F-

1643. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 17:27:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E887A72.4060901@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33965
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Erol Gray wrote:

> I especially don't want to hear "There you go again you liberals are all
> alike."

Whats the matter, can't handle the truth?

1644. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 17:29:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E887B0A.3050701@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33966
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Erol Gray wrote:

>
> Why don't you read this one and get back to me:
>
> http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1

The New Yorker is as reliable a source as the National Inquirer or
any of the other rag mags you see at the grocery store checkout
counter.

1645. FS > UnBlocked ICOM IC- PCR 1000 near Mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 17:39:37 UTC
From: Mychael <mychael@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <t3%ha.1393$ey1.124647@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33958

Selling a Icom IC- PCR 1000 , unblocked, with cellular coverage on Ebay.

Near Mint, all manuals and software in English. Original box , cables etc.
Used once to make sure it worked.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3016159811&rd=1

Starting bid $300, No reserve, instant buy at $525.

Thank you.

1646. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 17:44:53 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E887E95.9030405@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33969
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

jesseg wrote:
> i did not call you a bitch

You don't have a very good memory for someone who claims an IQ of 160. Here is the exact email you sent me.

****
Date: Sun, 30 Mar 2003 15:04:43 -0600
From: "jesse" <jesse@hmbmk.com> [add to address book] [protect or block sender]
Subject: Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik
To: <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>

Text Size: S M L XL

The only reason I didnt get a 160 on my IQ score.... I got one answer
wrong. Later I found out that the answer I gave was also correct.

u dont know me bitch
****

Is that the word bitch I see there? Also I would think someone with an IQ of 160 would know how to spell "didnt" as didn't and "dont" correctly as don't.

, i sent you an mp3...

In the second email, and I took the liberty of forwarding it to your ISP along with your first email.

1647. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 18:11:55 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b69vij$31orj$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33960
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You're arguing with people on Usenet. Now theres some intelligence.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician. Read
> That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a level
> below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL of
> mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a mathematical
> genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like to
see
> what you do!!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
forgiven
> > for that, of course.
> >
> > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
when
> > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> bills
> > and buy you toys.
> >
> > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> website:
> >
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> >
> > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.

> >
> > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
you
> > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort
of
> > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
naive.
> >
> > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn
> > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> >
> > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> >
> > Sickening...
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> > someone
> > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> doesn't
> > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
help
> > him.
> > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion! His
> > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
help.
> > He
> > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> > should
> > > be ashamed.
> >
> >
>
>

1648. Re: Motorola GP-68 VHF HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 18:23:43 UTC
From: Kenwood <noneya@biz.net>
Message-ID: <PI%ha.8988$1B.3649550@news3.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 33961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Just curious of this radio has been FCC type accepted?
Motorola GP-68. I think NOT.

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Rvuha.2594$yY.1640908@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> 20 Channels, will do 2M amateur band, low reserve!
> Take a look!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3015467651
>
>

1649. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 19:02:50 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <th0ia.19619$yU1.12243@fed1read02>
Source record: 33962
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A cyber begging page? THAT'S the next big thing?

Sigh... all that time I wasted learning ASP, .Net and Java....

If I had only known that all I had to do was find a vacant offramp off the information superhighway and hold up my virtual "will work for food" sign.

That's it. It's obvious I've been hoodwinked. I'm gonna SUE!
-me

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least I
> have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The only
> reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries that
> have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't give
> you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy will
> give you one: PLONK!
>
> ;)
>
> I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB and
> you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being nice
> to losers like you.
>
> I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> to Mommy.
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)

> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
forgiven
> > for that, of course.
> >
> > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
when
> > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> bills
> > and buy you toys.
> >
> > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> website:
> >
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> >
> > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> >
> > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
you
> > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort
of
> > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
naive.
> >
> > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn
> > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> >
> > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> >
> > Sickening...
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> > someone
> > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> doesn't
> > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
help
> > him.
> > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion! His
> > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for

help.
> > He
> > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> > should
> > > be ashamed.
> >
> >
>
>

1650. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 19:06:58 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <ml0ia.19627$yU1.2645@fed1read02>
Source record: 33963
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You broke my trollometer!

TROLL CONTENT:
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| <overflow error>
0%----------50%---------100%

Now I need to put up a begging page to get a new one! Dammit!

-me

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:EzIha.35152$ja4.2256567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Ok, I think I have this figured out. After a few emails sent to me
> explaining the nature of some male newsgroup posters, it appears the few
men
> that have attacked me on this board use the internet to make them feel
"bad,
> tough, big, smart, and dominant." In real life they are nothing more than
> men with what is known as the Napolean-Complex, thus they use the
newsgroups
> to attack others to make their pee-on lives seem better and bigger than
they
> really are. In other words, they NEED to get a life. In real life they
> wouldn't have the courage to confront me or they wouldn't know what was
> coming! So, little boys, stop trying to make yourselves feel like grown
> ups, and attacking me doesn't bother me at all. In fact, it makes me
laugh
> at the low-level IQ you choose to display on these newsgroups. You're
> probably all wife-beaters, alcholics, burger-flippers, greasy rednecks
> anyway!!
>
> This kinda sucks because I really enjoy radios and scanners and out of all
> the newsgroups I've used, it's these two groups that come off as being
> populated with the socioeconomic downfalls known as rednecks. I don't
want
> to be assocated with that! So, if I am a radio enthusiast, do I
> automatically fall under the redneck catagory? If so I'd give up this
hobby
> in no time! I didn't know it was like that!!! But that is what I've seen
> on these groups. I've seen more Nazis in these groups than anywhere else
> and that helps to prove my theory! I don't want to be associated with
> redneck Nazis, riding around in the pick-up truck with the State Militia
> symbol on a decal, as well as the Dixie flag flying out the back with a

> swastika hanging from their rear-view mirror! You probably bruise your
> wives!
>
> (Please note, this is ONLY directed to the few men who have attacked me on
> these newsgroups. Some of you have been kind to me and I hate showing you
> this side of me as I'd LIKE to be known as a kindred spirit, but I've had
> enough. I've TRULY had enough. I can only hold it in for so long. I now
> understand why some lurkers have emailed me explaining why they never
post!)
> I never should have taken up radios because it appears there is a huge
> association to a social group I don't want to belong to!
>
> You guys can't even get it up! Stop using the internet to make yourselves
> feel good about yourselves. If you have to use the internet to raise your
> self esteem then you NEED to get a life. If picking on a woman makes you
> feel sexual gratification you're truly sickos! That's the ONLY
> gratification you'll EVER get!
>
> Pick on me because I have a Shortwave Call Sign? Come and pick on me face
> to face, losers! Pick on me because of my web page? Come and pick on me
> face to face, creeps!
>
> High school dropouts are what you are!
>
> ****AHHHHHH***** I had to get that out of my system. OK. I feel better
> now. Yep, I feel good. I feel very good to know I'm not the few nasty
men
> I've bumped into on these groups. I'd HATE to be you guys, that's for
sure!
> At least I don't have to use the net to make myself feel big. At least I
> don't have to pick on different races, religions, political beliefs, or
> women to make me feel good. I can just be myself and know that's good
> enough!
>
> Go ahead and respond whatever you will, LET THEM FLY, I'm done talking to
> you. You will not see any response FROM me TO your messages full of
> childish antics. Will I read them? Probably, simply so I can get a big
> ego-boost out of seeing how much better I am than you! One thing's for
> sure, I'll get a big laugh out of you!!!
>
> I'm going to continue to enjoy these groups. I am NOT going to continue
in
> this war you seem so keen on beginning and continuing. I will only post
> this ONCE and I am THROUGH with this OFF TOPIC CRAP. Quit trying to pull
me
> into your little games. I know I'm not the only one you've tried to P***
> off, I've seen some of you do it to others. You think it is a cute little
> game!!
>
> (I will still continue to respond and post messages directed to the nice

men
> and women who have shown they can be mature and have a good head on their
> shoulders, as well as who have helped me grow far in this hobby.)
>
> How on earth a well-cultured person like me got mixed in with a hobby
> associated with rednecks is beyond me!
>
> PEACE, BROTHERS AND SISTERS! HA! ;)
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:hwHha.35079$ja4.2250320@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing around
> with
> > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've
had
> > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
useless.
> > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> > > Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet is a
> > nasty
> > > place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they real
or

> > > not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost that
> > peace
> > > loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome
> > >
> > > Greg
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
least
> I
> > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
> > only
> > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it
was
> > the
> > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing
> it.
> > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
surgeries
> > > that
> > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
didn't
> > > give
> > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
Mommy
> > > will
> > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > >
> > > > ;)
> > > >
> > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an
> SOB
> > > and
> > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
being
> > nice
> > > > to losers like you.
> > > >
> > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
your
> > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy.
Go
> > cry
> > > > to Mommy.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402

> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > >
> > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> your
> > > > bills
> > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > >
> > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> guess.
> > > > >
> > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
> what
> > > you
> > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> > sort
> > > of
> > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > > naive.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
own
> > damn
> > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > >
> > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
> your
> > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > >

> > > > > Sickening...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
RUDE
> to
> > > > > someone
> > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
and
> > > > doesn't
> > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us
to
> > > help
> > > > > him.
> > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
compassion!
> > His
> > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
for
> > > help.
> > > > > He
> > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much!
> You
> > > > > should
> > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1651. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 19:11:29 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0303311111.6023d8bc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33964
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

M2CW,

If Math "Experts" are so 'Smart'.
- Why do they say "Pie Are Squared"
- - When it is Common Knowledge that
- - - "Pie are Round and Cake are Square"

Just for the Fun of It...
- WHO ME ?
- - Just another
- - - 'Diet Brain' !

sgly ~ RHF

= = = "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
= = = wrote in message
news:<XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician. Read
> That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a level
> below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL of
> mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a mathematical
> genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like to see
> what you do!!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be forgiven
> > for that, of course.
> >
> > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed, when
> > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your

> bills
> > and buy you toys.
> >
> > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> website:
> >
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> >
> > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> >
> > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what you
> > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort of
> > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger naive.
> >
> > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn
> > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> >
> > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> >
> > Sickening...
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> someone
> > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> doesn't
> > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to help
> him.
> > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion! His
> > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for help.
> He
> > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> should
> > > be ashamed.
> >
> >

1652. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 19:36:17 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAE02E0.15416%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33967
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Erol Gray" wrote:

> (snip) That's what Rush would be saying if there
> were any WMD. (snip)

Trust me. There will be weapons of mass destruction - even if we have to
build them here and fly them over. ;)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1653. Re: Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 19:41:26 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAE0415.15418%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33968

"Povl H. Pedersen" wrote:

> (snip) This is where I see scanning becoming illegal in:
> MA, TX, SC, FL, GA, AK, TN, and CO

What evidence do you have that SC would be involved in banning scanners,
Povl? I would vocally oppose such legislation if made aware of it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1654. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 19:44:44 UTC
From: Walt127@world.net
Message-ID: <3E889AAC.EE16FFC2@world.net>
Source record: 33970

Art Lee wrote:

>
> I tend to agree with you and let me ask this question.
> Rush and O'Rielly have been blasting the peaceniks.
> Where Were Rush, Bush and Bill during VeitNam?
>
> an antiwar nam vet
> wolf

The real question is where were YOU? I didn't see you climbing behind a piece of bark in the bush with ME dodging rounds from an AK-47..

If I have to explain it you wouldn't understand what it was like.

What's a REAL Veteran to do with all of these liberals. I don't give a good dump where anyone else really was, you and they have to live with your own thoughts.

1655. Re: WinScan780 Update Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 19:53:39 UTC
From: no@spam.org
Message-ID: <934F91460nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 33971

This crap still does not work!!! We got the new patch and it ran for a total of 30sec before it to locked up, Check out:

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm

And get the real deal on controll software for your BC 780XLT and we are now testing a BC785D so look in to get the lowdown on that as well. We have the patch for WinCrap780 I mean WinShit I mean WinScan780 and we are thinking about just uploading it here. it's a socalled patch not the real socalled software so there is nothing they can do about us uping it to the new group :-)

1656. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 19:54:41 UTC
From: Erol Gray <JJ@alliswell.com>
Message-ID: <521ia.280959$F1.48706@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 33972
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Q- Thank you for staying up all night and cutting and pasting. You must have invested quite a lot of money in online - subscriptions.
You really need to assign credit to those whom you plagiarize:
http://www.worldpaper.com/
http://www.newyorkmetro.com/nymetro/news/people/columns/intelligencer/3369/
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/tg/detail/-/0671506889/104-0762275-2317537?vi=glance
and to those who compiled it for you.

Still you successfully pointed out that this person may be capable of unscrupulous journalistic behavior.
But I didn't ask about that. I asked about the article and the facts as they are described in it. I asked that you not impart insults or other "Rush-isms".

You tell us of a man who would rearrange the facts to gain money and fame and to defame those whom he opposes.
That is an accurate portrayal of "Rush". But I did not ask about Rush.

You did not talk about the article:
http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1

Grade: D-

1657. WinScan780 Patch - File 0 of 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 19:55:31 UTC
From: no@spam.org
Message-ID: <934F9B223nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 33973

```
Here is the socalled WinScan780 patch.
```

1658. Re: Watertown N.Y.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 19:58:42 UTC
From: Walt127@world.net
Message-ID: <3E889DF2.2EB7BEF9@world.net>
Source record: 33974

Jeff wrote:

> Hi
> Any one Know of a HAM/Scanner store in Watertown N.Y.?

Anything you think you could purchase in Wattertown you can get twice as much on the internet.

Do a search like the rest of us would do.

1659. Shack Cleaning Sale!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 20:04:56 UTC
From: n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net
Message-ID: <v8h8065scu6l5d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33976

Spring cleaning - SHACK SALE!

March 31, 2003

ORIGINAL POST - Watch For Updates & Additions

*** Communication Specialists TP-154 Repeater Controller ***

Encodes / Decodes PL, DPL and I think inverted PL

Has a courtesy tone

Has an ID'er

Programmable time limits for system and for each tone/code

Lots of other features

Manual with programming, operation and hook up data included

Programmable over the air or via programming jack - uses a touchtone phone.

A great controller for starter / basic systems

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-027S.JPG

*** Asking $165 Shipped - OR OFFER ***

-------- ------------- ----------- -------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Radio Shack PRO-2067 Base / Mobile Scanner ***

500 Channels
Trunk Tracking - Motorola type I, II. I/II Hybrid, LTR, EDACS
SAME Technology for Weather alerting
PC Programmable
Direct keypad frequency input
CTCSS / DPL decode
4 line Alpha display
BNC antenna connector
Plus much much more features

*** Comes with the following: ***
Mounting bracket
Original user manual
Wall wart power pack - for base use
Serial programming cable

Programming software

This scanner has been tested and works just beautifully. Condition is Very good - non-smoker, never mobile. I bought 2 of them, I just need one now... so
one has to go.

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-018S.JPG

*** I'm asking $180 Shipped - OR OFFER for the whole setup ready to plug and play... sent 2-3 day priority mail ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- -------- -------- -------------

*** Motorola P200 UHF 2 Channel Handheld Radio***

Only problem .. the antenna has some shrink tubing on it because the plastic on the antenna is cracking.

Has been tested - is in good working condition

Physical condition is VERY GOOD with exception to the antenna.

Battery condition is EXCELLENT!

Currently programmed in the 460Mhz area

Easily converts to 6 channel

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-019S.JPG

*** Asking $60.00 or offer - shipped ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- -------- -------- -------------

*** Palm IIIx PDA ***

Just your basic Palm PDA

WORKS GREAT!

Maybe it could find a use on APRS or used with a GPS unit.

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-021S.JPG

*** $40.00 shipped - or offer ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- -------- -------- -------------

*** Kenwood TM-742A Multi Bander Mobile Radio ***

This radio has a problem. I only can get a little blip of the backlight when the power button is pressed. I have not worked on it, I just do not have the time and I do not have a service manual .so I have no clue what the problem is.

Comes with a Kenwood DTMF mic, 2M module and 440 module.

These radios typically go for over $500 when working

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-023S.JPG

*** Asking $250 shipped - or offer ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Kenwood TS-700SP 2 Meter ALL MODE ***

Built in digital display along with analog dial

10W output - perfect for feeding amplifiers

Comes with the 120V AC cord, manual and the original hand mic.

Works good, however the display flickers once in a while when tuning.
Rocking the tuning dial up and down in freqs clears the problem.

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-024S.JPG

*** Asking $150.00 Shipped - Or offer ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Scott Air Pack SCBA unit (Fire Fighters air pack) ***

Comes with carry / storage case, mask, regulator, tank frame and tank . the whole complete unit.

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-025S.JPG

*** Asking $135.00 Shipped or offer ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Setcom 7-PR4SB Headset with Boom Mic ***

I'm not sure what radio it is wired for, but it was used with an HT for auto racing (Pit Crew)

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-030S.JPG

*** Asking $45.00 shipped or offer ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** TX-RX 900MHz Vari-Notch Cavity filters / (Duplexer?) ***

Quantity - 4

Filter Type - Vari-Notch

Model - 15-88-01

Freq - 890 - 960MHz

There is 4 of these filters on a rack mount frame. No interconnecting cables. I was going to send these to TX-RX to have them convert 2 of them to Pass High and make the interconnecting cables - But I went with a different duplexer.

PICTURES AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-028S.JPG

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-029S.JPG

*** Asking $175 shipped - or offer ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

There's more to be listed... Keep an eye open for my posts.

I accept Paypal online payments, US Postal Money Orders and TRADES. I don't have any specific list of what I'm looking for in trade.so if you want to offer a trade, send me your "trade list" for what item(s).

Please note, included shipping is for continental US addresses ONLY, Hawaii, Alaska and international addresses, I will calculate the additional shipping needed.

Thanks

Dave Schmidt

N9NLU

Kewaskum, WI
n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net

*** Delete the "_REMOVE_THIS_" when responding. ***

1660. Re: Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 20:05:17 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <m77h8v0u7vh1h9fme1nqdj478muj0trddb@4ax.com>
Source record: 33975

On Mon, 31 Mar 2003 14:41:26 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
wrote:

According to http://www.freedom-to-tinker.com/superdmca.html
it's called the "Theft of Communications Services Act"

It's supposed to be a version of the hated DMCA on steroids --only
it's scope is much broader than the DMCA to include all sorts of
things which appear to be quite legitimate.

Here it is:
==========
ARTICLE 8

THEFT OF COMMUNICATION SERVICES ACT

SECTION 16-11-810. Short title.

This article may be cited as the Theft of Communication Services Act.

SECTION 16-11-815. Definitions.

As used in this article

(1) "Communication device" means

(i) Any type of electronic mechanism, transmission lines, cables,
or connections and appurtenances thereto, instrument, device, machine,
equipment, technology or software which is capable of intercepting,
transmitting, re-transmitting, acquiring, decrypting or receiving any
communication service or the facilitation thereof,

(ii) Any component thereof, including any cable modem, computer
circuit, splitter, connectors, switches, transmission hardware,
security module, point-of-deployment (POD) module, conditional access
card, smart card, software, computer chip, electronic mechanism or any
component, accessory or part of any communication device which is
capable of facilitating the interception, transmission,
re-transmission, decryption, acquisition or reception of any
communication service; and

(iii) Any electronic serial number, mobile identification number,
personal identification number or any communication device that is
capable of acquiring or facilitating the acquisition of a
communication service.

(2) "Communication service" means (i) services provided by or through
the facilities of any cable television system or closed circuit

coaxial cable communication system, and (ii) any transmission service used in connection with any cable television system or similar closed circuit coaxial cable communication system, and (iii) any service lawfully provided for a charge or compensation to facilitate the lawful origination, transmission, emission or reception of signs, signals, data, writings, images and sounds or intelligence of any nature by telephone, including cellular or other wireless telephones, wire, broadband, wireless, radio, electromagnetic, photoelectronic or photo-optical systems networks or facilities; and any service lawfully provided by any radio, telephone, photo-optical, electromagnetic, photoelectronic, fiber optic, satellite, microwave, data transmission, wireless or Internet-based distribution system, network or facility, including, but not limited to, any and all electronic, data, information, video, audio, Internet access, telephonic, microwave and radio communications, transmissions, signals and services, and any such communications, transmissions, signals and services lawfully provided directly or indirectly by or through any of the aforementioned systems, networks or facilities;

(3) "Communication service provider" means (i) any person or entity owning or operating any fiber optic, photo-optical, electromagnetic, photoelectronic, cable television, information, broadband, satellite, Internet-based, telephone, wireless, microwave, data transmission or radio distribution system, network or facility; and (ii) any person or entity providing a communication service, whether directly or indirectly as a reseller including, but not limited to, a cellular, paging or other wireless communications company or other person or entity which, for a fee, supplies the facility, cell site, mobile telephone switching office or other equipment or communication service; and (iii) any person or entity providing any communication service directly or indirectly by or through any such distribution systems, networks or facilities.

(4) "Manufacture, assembly or development of a communication deviceï¿½ means to make, produce, develop or assemble a communication device, or to knowingly assist others in those activities.

(5) "Manufacture, develop or assemble an unlawful access device" means to make, develop, produce or assemble an unlawful access device or modify, alter, program or reprogram any instrument, device, machine, equipment, technology or software so that it is capable of defeating or circumventing any technology, device or software used by the provider, owner or licensee of a communication service, or of any data, audio or video programs or transmissions, to protect any such communication, data, audio or video services, programs or transmissions from unauthorized receipt, interception, acquisition, access, decryption, disclosure, communication, transmission or re-transmission, or to knowingly assist others in those activities.

(6) "Unlawful access device" means any type of instrument, device, machine, equipment, technology or software which is primarily

designed, developed, assembled, manufactured, sold, distributed, possessed, used or offered, promoted or advertised, for the purpose of defeating or circumventing any technology, device or software, or any component or part thereof, used by the provider, owner or licensee of any communication service or of any data, audio or video programs or transmissions, to protect any such communication, data, audio or video services, programs or transmissions from unauthorized receipt, acquisition, interception, access, decryption, disclosure, communication, transmission or re-transmission.

SECTION 16-11-820 Unlawful Communication and Access Devices

It is unlawful for any person knowingly to:

(1) Possess, use, manufacture, make, develop, assemble, sell, distribute, lease, license, transfer, import into this state or offer, promote or advertise for sale, use or distribution any communication device:

(i) For the unauthorized acquisition or theft of any communication service or to receive, intercept, disrupt, transmit, re-transmit, decrypt, acquire or facilitate the receipt, interception, disruption, transmission, re-transmission, decryption or acquisition of any communication service without the express consent or express authorization of the communication service provider; or

(ii) To conceal or assist another to conceal from any communication service provider or from any lawful authority, the existence or place of origin or of destination of any communication; or

(2) Modify, alter, program or reprogram a communication device designed, adapted or used for the purposes prohibited in subsections (1)(i) and (ii) above; or

(3) Possess, use, manufacture, assemble, develop, distribute, import into this state, license, transfer, sell, lease or offer, promote or advertise for sale, use, or distribution, or publish any advertisement for any unlawful access device; or

(4) Possess, use, distribute, sell, give, publish, transfer or offer, promote or advertise for sale, use or distribution any:

(i) Plans or instructions for making or assembling any communication or unlawful access device, under circumstances evidencing an intent to use or employ the communication or unlawful access device, or to allow the same to be used or employed, for a purpose prohibited by this section, or knowing or having reason to believe that the same is intended to be so used, or that the aforesaid plans or instructions are intended to be used for manufacturing or assembling such communication or unlawful access device for a purpose prohibited by this section; or

(ii) Material, including hardware, cables, tools, data, computer software or other information or equipment, knowing that the purchaser or a third person intends to use the material in the manufacture, development or assembly of a communication or unlawful access device for a purpose prohibited by this section, or for use in the manufacture, assembly or development of an unlawful access device.

(5) Obtain or use a communication service without the authorization of, or payment to, the communication service provider;

(6) Make or maintain a connection or connections, whether physical, electrical, mechanical, acoustical or by other means, tamper with or otherwise interfere with any cables, wires, components, modems, lock boxes, pedestals, or other devices or equipment of a communication service provider used for the distribution of a communication service without the express consent or express authorization of the communication service provider;

(7) Obtain a communication service by false pretense or representation with intent to cheat or defraud the communications service provider; or

(8) Assist others in committing any of the acts prohibited by this article.

SECTION 16-11-850. Receipt of signals from air by use of satellite dish or antenna.

Nothing in this article makes it unlawful to receive or capture signals from the air by use of a satellite dish, antenna, or otherwise.

SECTION 16-11-855. Criminal Penalties.

(1) Except for violations of this article as provided for in paragraph (2), any person who violates any section of this article is guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction for a first offense shall be fined not more than five hundred dollars and/or imprisoned for not more than thirty days.

(2) An offense under this article is a Class F felony if:

(i) the defendant has been convicted previously on one or more occasions under this article or convicted of any similar crime in this or any Federal or other state jurisdiction; or

(ii) the violation of this article involves at least 5, but not more than 25, communication or unlawful access devices.

Any person convicted under this paragraph (2), shall be fined not more than one thousand dollars and/or imprisoned for not more than five years.

(3) An offense under this article is a Class E felony if:

(i) the defendant has been convicted previously on two or more occasions for offenses under this article or for any similar crime in this or any Federal or other state jurisdiction; or
(ii) the violation of this article involves more than 25 communication or unlawful access devices.

Any person convicted under this paragraph (3), shall be fined not more than five thousand dollars and/or imprisoned for not more than ten years.

(4) For purposes of grading an offense based upon a prior conviction under this article or for any similar crime pursuant to paragraphs (2)(i) and (3)(i), a prior conviction shall consist of convictions upon separate indictments or criminal complaints for offenses under this article or any similar crime in this or any Federal or other state jurisdiction. The entry of a plea of guilty, the forfeiture of any bail or bond posted or the entry of plea of nolo contendre for a violation of this article shall have the same effect as a conviction after trial.

(5) As provided for in paragraphs (2)(i) and (3)(i), in grading an offense under this article based upon a prior conviction, the term "any similar crime" shall include, but not be limited to, offenses involving theft of service or fraud, including violations of the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 (Public Law 98-549, 98 Stat. 2779).

(6) Separate offenses. For purposes of all criminal penalties or fines established for violations of this article, the prohibited activity established herein as it applies to each communication or unlawful access device shall be deemed a separate offense. Each day a person is in violation of this article also constitutes a separate offense.

(7) Fines. For purposes of imposing fines upon conviction of a defendant for an offense under this article, all fines shall be imposed as authorized by law for each day a person is in violation of this article and for each communication or unlawful access device involved in the violation.

(8) Restitution. The court shall, in addition to any other sentence authorized by law, sentence a person convicted of violating this article to make restitution as authorized by law.

(9) Forfeiture of communication or unlawful access devices. Upon conviction of any person for any violation under this article, such instrument, apparatus, equipment, device, plan or instructions shall be either destroyed as contraband by the sheriff of the county in which such person was convicted or turned over to the communication service provider in whose service area such instrument, apparatus, device, plan or instruction was seized.

(10) Venue. An offense or violation under subsection (a) of this article may be deemed to have been committed at either place where the defendant manufactures, develops or assembles a communication or

unlawful access device or assists others in doing so, or the places where the communication or unlawful access device is sold or delivered to a purchaser or recipient. It shall be no defense to a violation of subsection (a) of this article that some of the acts constituting the violation occurred outside of the State of South Carolina.

(11) Inferences. In any criminal prosecution under this article or civil action under Section 16-11-856, any of the following shall create an inference that the defendant intended to violate this section:

(a) The presence of an unauthorized connection of any kind between the defendant's property or the premises occupied by the defendant, and any network, system or facility owned or operated by a communication service provider; or

(b) The presence on the defendant's property or the premises occupied by the defendant, or in the defendant's possession or control, of any communication device which is connected in such a manner as would permit the unauthorized receipt, interception, acquisition, decryption, transmission or re-transmission of a communication service; or

(c) The defendant's possession of five (5) or more communication or unlawful access devices for any purpose prohibited by this section; or

(d) The existence on the defendant's property or the premises occupied by the defendant of any connection, wire conductor, microwave antenna, lockbox key, pedestal key, lockbox tool, or any device whatsoever, or connection in any pedestal or lockbox, which is connected in such a manner as would permit the receipt of a communication service without such service being reported for payment to and specifically authorized by the communication service provider; or

(e) The giving of a false name or identity to a communication service provider in order for the communication service provider to provision a communication service to the defendant's property or the premises occupied by the defendant.

Where a communication service provider notifies the owner or the occupant of the premises of the circumstances described in subparagraphs (a) through (e) above and the same circumstances are found to exist within a reasonable time thereafter, an inference shall be created that the defendant or owner and/or occupant of the premises acted willfully in violation of this article.

SECTION 16-11-856 Civil Actions.

(1) Any person aggrieved by a violation of this section may bring a civil action in any court of competent jurisdiction. "Any person aggrieved" shall include any communication service provider. The inferences set forth in Section 16-11-855(11) shall apply in any action under this article. For purposes of establishing liability,

the entry of a plea of guilty, the forfeiture of any bail or bond posted or the entry of plea of nolo contendre for a violation of this article shall have the same effect as a conviction after trial.

(2) The court:

(i) may award declaratory relief and other equitable remedies, including preliminary and final injunctions to prevent or restrain violations of this article, without requiring proof that the plaintiff has suffered, or will suffer, actual damages, irreparable harm or lacks an adequate remedy at law;

(ii) may at any time while an action is pending, order the impounding, on such terms as it deems reasonable, of any communication or unlawful access device that is in the custody or control of the violator and that the court has reasonable cause to believe was involved in the alleged violation of this article;

(iii) may award damages as described in subsection (3) below;

(iv) shall award reasonable attorney fees and costs, including, but not limited to, costs for investigation, testing and expert witness fees, to an aggrieved party who prevails; and

(v) may as part of a final judgment or decree finding a violation of this section, order the remedial modification or destruction of any communication or unlawful access device, or any other devices or equipment involved in the violation, that is in the custody or control of the violator, or has been impounded under subparagraph (ii) above.

(3) Types of damages recoverable. --Damages awarded by a court under this section shall be computed as either of the following:

(i) Upon his election of such damages at any time before final judgment is entered, the complaining party may recover the actual damages suffered by him as a result of the violation of this article and any profits of the violator that are attributable to the violation and are not taken into account in computing the actual damages. Actual damages include the retail value of any communication services illegally available to those persons to whom the violator directly or indirectly provided or distributed any communication or unlawful access devices. In proving actual damages, the complaining party shall be required to prove only that the violator manufactured, distributed or sold any communication or unlawful access devices, but shall not be required to prove that those devices were actually used in violation of this article. In determining the violator's profits, the complaining party shall be required to prove only the violator's gross revenue, and the violator shall be required to prove his deductible expenses and the elements of profit attributable to factors other than the violation; or

(ii) Upon election by the complaining party at any time before final judgment is entered, that party may recover in lieu of actual damages

an award of statutory damages of between $1,500 to $10,000 for each communication or unlawful access device involved in the action, with the amount of statutory damages to be determined by the court, not the jury, as the court considers just.

(4) In any case where the court finds that any of the violations of this article were committed willfully and for purposes of commercial advantage or private financial gain, the court in its discretion may increase the total award of any damages amended under subparagraphs (i) and (ii) above, by an amount of not more than $50,000 for each communication or unlawful access device involved in the action and for each day the defendant was in violation of this article.

SECTION 16-11-860 Severability.

The provisions of this Article 8 are severable. If any part of this Article is declared invalid or unconstitutional, such declaration shall not affect the part that remains.

SECTION 16-11-861.

The provisions of this Article 8 shall not apply to any state or local law enforcement agency, any state or local government agency, municipality, or authority, or any communication service provider, lawfully acting as such in accordance with any other state or federal law.

==========

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1661. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 20:09:43 UTC
From: Tad Early <fenwick_island@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b6a79t$l8o$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 33977
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BAAE02E0.15416%stewart@say.net...
> "Erol Gray" wrote:
>
> > (snip) That's what Rush would be saying if there
> > were any WMD. (snip)
>
>
> Trust me. There will be weapons of mass destruction - even if we
have to
> build them here and fly them over. ;)
>
>

You know I wondering where those skuds came from that were shot at
Kuwait. Pretty dumb of us to build them and then let them use 'em.
:)

1662. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 20:10:10 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Cg1ia.18010$0X.5216310@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:NYZha.279594$F1.48751@sccrnsc04...

> Q- Thank you for staying up all night and cutting and pasting. You must
have
> invested quite a lot of money in online - subscriptions.

First of all, I did not "stay up all night", or "invest" anything but a
little time.

Second, I don't understand how quoting is considered plagiarism. Everyone
else quotes, it's just a quote. I quote, it's plagiarism. Go figure.

Next, I don't even know what this link is:

> http://www.worldpaper.com/

Next, I didn't know that I was required (as nobody else has been held to
that) to create a bibliography of my sources, but yes - I DID get the info
about "David Remnick's Military Snafu" from the following link:

>
http://www.newyorkmetro.com/nymetro/news/people/columns/intelligencer/3369/

Next...although psrt of my information can be found here:

>
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/tg/detail/-/0671506889/104-0762275-2317537

I did not use this link for it - and don't ask me WHAT link I used - I have
no idea - as I said - I wasn't aware of the bibliographic requirement. So
many post here making ludicrous accusations and are never required to relate
any quotes or reveal the alleged source of their information. Again, I
didn't realize that I was held to a different standard.

> Still you successfully pointed out that this person may be capable of
> unscrupulous journalistic behavior.
> But I didn't ask about that. I asked about the article and the facts as
they
> are described in it. I asked that you not impart insults or other
> "Rush-isms".

I believe my remarks here answered that. To YOU, what he presents are
"facts". I merely pointed out that his "facts" should be taken with a grain
of salt, as, by his own admission on at least ONE occassion, he wanted to
"hit the big one" and "get out" as he was tired of writing.

> You tell us of a man who would rearrange the facts to gain money and fame
> and to defame those whom he opposes.
> That is an accurate portrayal of "Rush". But I did not ask about Rush.

Rush Limbaugh does not present himself as a "journalist" - by his own admission, he's an entertainer. That he makes big money from his entertainment is a testimony to his effectiveness as an entertainer.

> You did not talk about the article:
> http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1

Umm...okay, if I wasn't plain enough...
I can only take that article with a grain of salt, especially considering Mr. Hersh's lack of credibility - remember, even those that LIKE him say he hasn't really done anything since his Viet Nam piece that got him the Pulitzer. That it was presented in a liberal rag like the New Yorker, that is anti-capitalist (relates to ads that are "decadent consumerism", etc.) and considered a liberal rag even by most New Yorkers, a magazine that spent more time on articles about the "horrors" of the atomic bomb being dropped on Hiroshima, and not even a fraction of as much print on the "horrors" of Pearl Harbor, and has hired other "muck-rakers" to boost their ratings.
To be fair, the New Yorker WAS a great publication, say, 50 years ago, but much of that has changed. Not that everyone doesn't go for ratings, but there should be some standards that they follow in that regard. They STILL have some interesting reading, and it's a shame that they feel it necessary to ruin their publication by giving a forum to such people. Like Peter Arnett, he cannot rest on the laurels of what he accomplished YEARS ago.
As for the specifics of the article itself...doesn't it strike even YOU as odd that Algore criticized the current Administration vis-a-vis Iraq when he supported Clinton's actions there?
Like others that somehow think that they have "expertise" and some other kind of mystical knowledge of what goes on in the Pentagon and other parts of the government, he presents as "fact" second-hand information regarding a "highly classsified" meeting that took place at the Senate Foreign Relations Committee - again, not being cleared for "highly classified" information from the SFRC, where could he get such information? As previously stated, if he can't find it, he'll make it up - whatever sells.
That's not to dispute that the documents in question didn't turn out to be alleged forgeries, but one must try to think beyond what is obvious and ask who may have forged them and to what end? That the "yellow cake" in question comes from "two uranium mines controlled by a French company," may shed some light on that. As the French were already by that time arguing against any action in Iraq, and considering other evidence of France supplying Iraq with banned weapons and technology, one might make the argument that France had something to gain by embarrassing the US and UK.
Before you attack that last statement, let me remind you that this is an extrapolation/assumption on my part and the part of others. At least I PRESENT it as such, and not as "fact", as I am making deductions from observations of available data, as Mr. Hersh does, the only difference being he would present it as "fact".
As for the conclusions of the documents in question being fake, it wouldn't be the first time that a government of ANY country has acted on bad intel.

But as you should know the actions in Iraq were not based on those documents - if true, they would have bolstered the Administrations position, but in and of themselves were not the basis for the decisions made regarding Iraq.
If, indeed these documents WERE designed to embarrass the US/UK, they were successful in that regard. But then Hersh goes on to say:

"The Bush Administration's reliance on the Niger documents may, however, have stemmed from more than bureaucratic carelessness or political overreaching. Forged documents and false accusations have been an element in U.S. and British policy toward Iraq at least since the fall of 1997, after an impasse over U.N. inspections."

Again, he would imply that the Administration was using these documents as some type of primary justification for war with Iraq, and he goes on to make unsubstantiated remarks about such false documents and accusations being an "element of policy" since the fall of 1997.
Caertainly there were desenting voices from France, Russia and China - countries that have, again, supplied Iraq with banned weapons and technology. Their interests in NOT wanting to depose Hussein are obvious. To say that "then as now, the British and the Americans were losing the battle for international public opinion." is once again something that is blatantly not true - the opposition was just supplied by those illegal suppliers to Iraq and radical Muslims in the middle east. Before you debate this, keep in mind that the coalition is growing - not shrinking. Those that oppose the war point to polls in countries that agree with their opposition, but worldwide, on the whole, the actions in Iraq have more support than dissent.
Again, Hersh quotes a "former Clinton Administration official" that told him that London had resorted to, among other things, spreading false information about Iraq. He then goes on to say that "Over the next year, a former American intelligence officer told me, at least one member of the U.N. inspection team who supported the American and British position arranged for dozens of unverified and unverifiable intelligence reports and tips-data known as inactionable intelligence-to be funnelled to MI6 operatives and quietly passed along to newspapers in London and elsewhere."
It goes on, but suffice it to say that he keeps quoting unknown entities to bolster his argument. He goes on with other paranoid ramblings, not even attempting to shed the light on the slightest bit of proof for his allegations. Anyone can make allegations - I, for example, could say that a "source" that was once close to you told me that you like to dress in womens clothing and sniff little boys bicycle seats. Just as there are those that respect your opinion - and you can count me among them, though I may not agree with you on all things, there are those that respect MY opinion, and would be inclined to believe this, regardless of the fact that it's not true. You could do the same of ME, and get the same results. I can't speak for you, but without empirical evidence that such allegations were true, such as, say, a video tape that was confirmed to be authentic, showing you wearing a pink tutu and committing the other aforementioned acts, and even THEN would question the use of presenting such information, I, at least, wouldn't jump right out into any forum that would print what I had to say and call it "facts".

As you know, the events leading up to this moved quite quickly. That the documents were false and thus intelligence bad is nothing new. Information cannot be assimilated instantaneously, which somehow STILL hasn't got through to the journalists who keep asking the same questions of CentCom and the Pentagon, like "when will it be over?" "How long is it going to take?" What should be noted is that the documents WERE funneled out, and taken out of the loop, so to speak.
Again, Hersh says, "A former intelligence officer told me that some questions about the authenticity of the Niger documents were raised inside the government by analysts at the Department of Energy and the State Department's Bureau of Intelligence and Research."
As can be noted by the libel suits Hersh has faced and, indeed is STILL facing, regarding his penchant to take whatever information is presented to him and put them out as "facts", these statements can, again, only be taken with a grain of salt. That he's known to be a magnet for whatever information someone wants to get out only tends to put his credibility in question.
He goes on to rightfully point out that the Administration's decisions regarding Iraq were not based solely on those documents, but then uses his twisted logic to support his argument, and bring the credibility of the FBI into question.
Funny, I don't recall Mr. Hersh doing that under the last Administration.

It's important to keep in mind that we ARE in a state of war. As others have pointed out, this is not the time to disclose such allegations. There will be plenty of time when the smoke clears to analyze all information and propriety. But to continue to do so now only makes the job more difficult than it already is, and bolsters Hussein's megalomaniac delusions.

> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:XtWha.16298$0X.4857341@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > "Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > news:MpTha.316793$sf5.298353@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > Rush Limbaugh 3/31/2003: "The world watches in AWE as our American
> troops
> > > uncover Sadaam's WMD!"
> > >
> > > That's what Rush would be saying if there were any WMD.
> > >
> > > Because Bush would tell our troops where the WMD are and save his
> sinking
> > > crock of crap.
> > >
> > > Why don't you read this one and get back to me:
> > >
> > > http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1
> > >
> > > Please don't reply with Rush-ims, try to stick to "ideas", or "facts".
> > > I especially don't want to hear "There you go again you liberals are
> all
> > > alike."

> > > It's about as stupid as writing a country music song and actually
> rhyming
> > > "bin Lauden" with "Forgotten."
> > > Holy crap what a dumb thing to do.
> > >
> > > -Disneyland patriotism.
> >
> > Rush-isms? The author of this article is a left-wing conspiracy nut -
and
> if
> > you want to call that a "Rush-ism", note this: he also believes in all
> kinds
> > of government cover-ups regarding UFOs, Kennedy cover-ups, how Israel
> > bought, lied and stole their way to the nuclear bomb, the fall of the
CIA,
> > omits relevant information regarding the Clinton Administration, and, in
> > general, as OTHERS have noted, presents a "selective use - and misuse -
of
> > intelligence information"
> >
> > Other comments regarding this author:
> >
> > "Seymour Hersh's Target Is Destroyed is: 1.) one-third usable
early-1980s
> > history, 2.) one-third irrelevant conjecture, 3.) one-third calculated
> > falsehood."
> >
> > Regarding "The Samson Option": "If you are looking for an exciting true
> > life spy story then this is not the book for you."
> > and "In his opinion, the Israeli nuclear program could not have existed
> > without the continuous aid of the U.S." (Like Israel was broke...)
> >
> > Regarding "Price of Power: Kissinger in the Nixon White House": "Hersh
is
> > great at seeking dirt, which is a public service as he uncovers so much
of
> > it. Guys like him serve a function only as long as we keep his role in
> > perspective. He found My-Lai, yes, but does he approve of anything
> > whatsoever? This book is a 500-page indictment of Kissinger that follows
> no
> > standard other than to show that the guy was really really bad. There is
> > little if any acknowledgment of what Kissinger accomplished, virtually
no
> > consistent standards discussed of what would have been better, and no
> > suggestions offered."
> >
> > Regarding "Against All Enemies:": "Paper will put up with anything that
> is
> > written on it."
> >

> > He's listed on conspiracyplanet.com. He fuels the flames on all types of
> > conspiracies, including the M16 nonsense.
> >
> > As was described on CNN's Wolf Blitzer: "the closest thing American
> > journalism has to a terrorist . because he sets out to do damage and he
> will
> > do it by whatever innuendo, whatever distortion he can-look, he hasn't
> > written a serious piece since My Lai."
> >
> > Mr. Hersh has called John Ashcroft "demented" for discussing the
> confession
> > of John Walker Lindh. Mr Hersh has complained about the treatment of
> > Zacarias Moussaoui, the famous twentieth man, arrested just about a
month
> > before 9/11, complaining that the courts were "too conservative". This
> > statement he made even after Moussaoui stood up in court and began "
> talking
> > about death to the Israelis, death to Americans." Hersh claims, "They
> truly
> > aren't worried about it, because they would have stopped him." What
bilge.
> >
> > He has stated: "I can tell you right now, the military, below the
> chairman's
> > level, they don't like it. Smart officers don't like it. There's an
awful
> > lot of dissent.... It's not a popular war in the military among certain
> > officers in certain services, which include the Marines...."
> > Where has anybody heard or seen any proof of such ramblings?
> >
> > Regarding the current war: "I don't quite know how we're here. I don't
> know
> > why we're here. I really don't know. "
> > Well, at least he got THAT part right - he's clueless.
> >
> > He also rambled, "If you've got a contract for a book on the war and
> you're
> > covering the war, don't you think that might impact how you would write
> > about the war? No, absolutely not. I don't know. I don't know how we got
> > here. All I know is that we're all going to be real sorry soon...."
> > He sure IS sorry...
> >
> > His friend and confident, France's Bernard Kouchner, spews the same
bilge
> > and is a Chirac apologist. That the French refer to him as
"Collaborateur
> du
> > New Yorker" speaks volumes to most in and of itself.
> >
> > Hersh, in an interview, said:

> >
> > Question: What got you into journalism?
> > Seymour Hersh: I flunked out of law school.
> >
> > He thrives on creating controversy, much as other hate-mongers. In
> comments
> > he's made, he has defended Clinton and his Administration, but out of
the
> > other side of his mouth says this: "Clinton has obvious character
flaws.
> > How much do the character flaws weigh on the Presidency? As with
Kennedy's
> > sexual obsession, Clinton's flaws with Lewinsky are profound and you
can't
> > stop there. There's a grandiosity and lack of reality to the man, which
> also
> > becomes a serious weakness. So Clinton's a flawed and perhaps a
dangerous
> > man. When you have a weakness of this kind, it can become a danger. Take
> the
> > "retaliatory" bombing raids against suspected terrorists in the Sudan
and
> > Afghanistan. Are they really a sign of strength on the part of the
> > Administration, or are they a symptom of a crippled and floundering
> > President?"
> >
> > So which of his arguments is one to lend credence to?
> > He prints what he knows will sell - no matter WHO it sells TO. To think
of
> > him as anything more, if you will, as an "entertainer" is ludicrous.
> >
> > Don't bother to mention the awards he's won - the liberal actors et. al.
> in
> > Hollywood receive awards, too.
> >
> > And Peter Arnett has won awards. Now, he's about galvanized his position
> as
> > being persona non grata, and, as giving aid and comfort to the enemy,
> should
> > be considered a legitimate military target. He was fired from CNN for
his
> > bilge, and, as I've told others, it was only a matter of time before he
> > showed his true colors once again - he just couldn't control himself.
> >
> > Proof of Hersh's willingness to commit libel and print whatever sells
for
> > him can be found in
> > UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
> >
> >

> > FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT
> >
> > No. 94-2120
> >
> > RICHARD C. FUISZ,
> >
> > Plaintiff- Appellant,
> >
> >
> > v.
> >
> > SELECTIVE INSURANCE COMPANY OF
> >
> > AMERICA,
> >
> > (Note: I won't print the entire boring thing here, just the relevant
> parts)
> >
> > On April 17, 1992, Terex Corporation, Terex Equipment Limited
> > and KCS Industries (collectively "Terex"), filed a complaint against
> > Fuisz and Seymour M. Hersh in United States District Court for the
> > District of Columbia alleging claims of libel and slander against both
> > defendants. In its complaint, Terex alleges that Fuisz "embarked on
> > a scheme and plan to injure plaintiffs by publicly disseminating false
> > accusations designed to tarnish their good name and reputation in the
> > business community." Terex further alleges that"[i]n or about 1987,"
> >
> > First, the complaint relates how
> > Fuisz endeavored, unsuccessfully, to act as Terex's sales representa-
> > tive in Saudi Arabia. It alleges that Fuisz thereupon "determined to
> > retaliate against [Terex] for the loss of a business opportunity" and
> > engaged in a "vendetta" against Terex. In furtherance of his scheme,
> > Fuisz is alleged to have contacted journalists to spread false informa-
> > 16
> > tion as part of a "smear campaign" against Terex, "falsely linking
> > [Terex] to the sale of military equipment, including scud missile
> > launching equipment, to Iraq," and implying that Terex aided Saddam
> > Hussein in firing dozens of scud missiles at Israel and Saudi Arabia.
> >
> > (here comes the good part)
> >
> > The complaint chronicles Fuisz's initial efforts to contact congressio-
> > nal committees and "responsible and ethical members of the media"
> > to spread this false information. When nothing was found to support
> > the charges, the complaint describes how Fuisz then turned to less
> > scrupulous journalists who specialized in "sensationalistic exposes" to
> > spread the information and ultimately get it into the general press.
> >
> > Terex demanded that Fuisz retract the false publications but Fuisz
> > refused to do so. Summarizing Fuisz's deliberate intent to injure

> > Terex, the complaint alleges, "in publishing these false and defama-
> > tory statements set forth above, Fuisz was motivated by actual malice
> > and wrongfully and willfully intended to injure plaintiffs."
> >
> > The conduct which is described in the complaint can only be char-
> > acterized as deliberate. There is not a single series of "facts and cir-
> > cumstances" in the complaint which, if true, could have been pursued
> > accidentally or recklessly.
> >
> > In concluding that the complaint also alleges "reckless" conduct - -
> > which Selective Insurance's policy would not exclude- - the majority
> > opinion relies on the single allegation made with respect to each
> > defamatory publication that "Fuisz knew that the statements were
> > false, or published the statements with reckless disregard as to
> > whether they were true or false" (emphasis added). From this the
> > majority concludes that even if "the evidence at trial fails to
establish
> > that Fuisz intentionally harmed Terex, the complaint permits Terex
> > nonetheless to prevail on its claims by proving that Fuisz intended no
> > harm, but acted with reckless disregard for the falsity of his state-
> > ments."
> >
> > Indeed, if the facts alleged are true, which
> > we must assume in this analysis, it is impossible that Fuisz acted with-
> > out intent to injure Terex. Moreover, whether Fuisz spread informa-
> > tion knowing it to be false or spread it while recklessly indifferent to
> > its truth is irrelevant to the question of whether the injury caused was
> > deliberately inflicted. On that question, the complaint is not ambigu-
> > ous. Terex alleges that Fuisz purposely spread false information and
> > 17
> > that Fuisz did so with the single- minded intent of injuring Terex as
> > a "vendetta" for failing to enter into a business relationship with him.
> > The complaint alleges only one type of conduct - - a vendetta pursued
> > intentionally to injure - - and it never wavers from the accusation that
> > Fuisz's activities were precalculated, planned, and deliberate, and
> > thus that Fuisz "wrongfully and willfully intended" to injure Terex.
> > ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> >
> > This is not the first or ONLY time that Hersh has been indicated in
libel
> > suits. Seymour M. Hersh was listed as one of the defendants being sued
by
> > the Cusack and Cloud Plaintiffs in the ABC Action (and was served in
> > Washington, D.C. on December 8, 1997, at 6:10 p.m.). Hersh made the
> > following public statement on the CNBC Hardball Show (in segment
entitled
> > "The Kennedy Legacy", with Host Christopher Matthews) concerning the
> > Cusack/Kennedy Documents:
> >
> > Quest. by Host "What about the documents which purported to show that

she
> > [i.e., Marilyn Monroe] was about to accept a payoff from Kennedy? What
do
> > you think of those documents now?"
> >
> > Ans. by Hersh "As you know... The public is beginning to learn,... it's
a
> > close call. I mean, you know, 60 Minutes , you know, did a show the
other
> > night [ed. note: 11/23/97]. But for the longest time, they thought that
> > actually that some of the handwritten Kennedy documents might be
accurate,
> > so I understand. But the bottom line is, even if some of those documents
> are
> > real, which is a possibility ... [then Hersh goes on with defamatory
> > statements about Lex Cusack and the documents]."
> > ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> > There is, more recently, his comments against Perle. While working with
> his
> > French counterpart, Hersh made libelous statements against Perle
regarding
> a
> > lunch he had with several Saudis. By Hersh's OWN admission, nothing came
> of
> > the meeting. But, to play the part Hersh plays so well, he indicted
Perle
> on
> > the APPEARANCE of impropriety. This type of "journalism" is bet summed
up
> > below:
> >
> > Regarding Mr. Hersh, Paul A. Rahe, Jay P. Walker Professor of History at
> the
> > University of Tulsa had this to say:
> >
> > Years ago, Seymour Hersh scored a journalistic coup when he broke the
> story
> > of the Mylai Massacre. Since that time, however, his record has been
> spotty.
> > He has reported many an event that seems never to have transpired. His
> motto
> > seems to have been the directive issued by the editor in Evelyn Waugh's
> > novel Scoop: "If there is no news, send rumors instead."
> >
> > Hersh is a favorite with the anti-war cooks. They laud his "journalism",
> > even though he contradicts himself.
> >
> > Said of Hersh regarding his comments on the first Gulf War: ""Hersh has
> the
> > safe luxury of armchair quarterbacking the every move of the 24th

Infantry
> > during the Gulf War -- 10 years after the fact. We did not have that
> luxury.
> > We were out there fighting the fourth-largest army in the world, which
was
> > armed with biological and chemical weapons ..."
> >
> > Hersh and his article lack integrity. That's the bottom line. He maligns
> the
> > characters of 26,000 great young soldiers who conducted a 400-kilometer
> > attack successfully, where thank god we only lost eight killed and 36
> > wounded.
> >
> > What he did was recycling charges that were investigated in '91. Here's
> the
> > front page of the newspaper, Savannah newspaper, in those months.
> >
> > A total of 2,000 pages of investigative material, hundreds of
interviews,
> > there is no truth to this stuff, and this is a nonobjective attack on
the
> > character of these great young soldiers.
> >
> > The two scout platoon leaders involved in both these incidents, they
were
> > thoroughly investigated, he went to the same people, cleared two
elements
> of
> > all wrongdoing. They simply did not harm Iraqi soldiers or civilians.
The
> > allegations, the bottom line, are not true.
> >
> > The investigation revealed that the machine gun fire they heard was
> directed
> > at Iraqi vehicles.
> >
> > It was not directed at these poor Iraqis, who were treated with enormous
> > compassion and discipline all throughout this battle force.
> >
> > The incidents did not occur according to exhaustive investigation at the
> > time.
> >
> > The American people are very proud of their behavior, and they ought to
> be.
> >
> > Thank god for the discipline and courage of these 26,000 troops.
> > ~~~~~~
> >
> > As regards Mr. Hersh the liberal rag where this article was found, :
> >

> > David Remnick's Military Snafu
> >
> > New Yorker editor-in-chief David Remnick might want to take a cue from
his
> > magazine's famed fact-checking department. When Command Sergeant Major
> James
> > D. Randolph (retired) got wind of a story that Seymour M. Hersh was
> writing
> > about his infantry division's actions in the Gulf War, he fired off a
> letter
> > to Remnick voicing concerns about the article's accuracy. Hersh's
account,
> > published last month, accuses the 24th Division of killing POWs, gunning
> > down civilians, and slaughtering a fleeing Iraqi column after the
> cease-fire
> > was declared. But in his letter, Randolph stresses that, as a
> > noncommissioned officer, he would have known if his troops had acted
> > inappropriately -- and he insists that they did not. In a terse,
> > three-sentence response faxed to Randolph, Remnick guarantees he's read
> the
> > detailed letter "with due seriousness." Serious or no, he didn't quite
> > manage to get the veteran's title right. Remnick addressed him as Major
> > James D. Randolph -- quite a boost in rank -- and gave the old soldier
an
> > even bigger promotion on his fax cover letter, bestowing Randolph with
the
> > rank of major general. We were kind enough to point out these slipups to
> > Remnick. "Noted," he replied.
> >
> > Hersh's lack of professionalism and even the simple idea of using his
HEAD
> > was noted here:
> >
> > "Indeed, an even more serious incident occurred on Tuesday when the
> magazine
> > New Yorker published a 4,900-word article by Seymour M. Hersh alleging
> > covert plans by U.S. military specialists - reportedly assisted by
Israeli
> > commandos - to seize Pakistan's inventory of nuclear weapons should the
> > Musharraf regime be threatened by a takeover from radical Islamic
> militants.
> > Mr. Hersh and the magazine editors clearly failed to understand - or,
more
> > likely, consciously chose to ignore - that the act itself of publishing
> > those allegations is extremely dangerous. "
> >
> > "At the very least, the Hersh article poses a threat to the fragile
> > partnership between Washington and Islamabad, provides a powerful
> propaganda
> > weapon to Osama bin Laden and Pakistani militants, and increases the

> threat
> > of mission failure and extensive American casualties if such a scenario
> > indeed were to occur. "
> >
> > A link on his book about Kennedy:
> >
http://www.boston.com/globe/search/stories/books/books97/seymour_hersh.htm
> >
> > ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> > The bottom line is, you need to do better than one liberal flame by
> Seymour
> > Hersh.
> >
> >
>
>

1663. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 20:13:59 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <bk1ia.18023$0X.5219194@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E887B0A.3050701@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> Erol Gray wrote:
>
> >
> > Why don't you read this one and get back to me:
> >
> > http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1
>
> The New Yorker is as reliable a source as the National Inquirer or
> any of the other rag mags you see at the grocery store checkout
> counter.

Someone else that gets it...

1664. Re: Motorola GP-68 VHF HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 20:35:10 UTC
From: "R. Belcher" <randy.belcher@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <2E1ia.18311$gp1.13721@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 33980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The UHF GP-68 model is type accepted, so the VHF may well be also. But what does it matter.....??? If you are in the USA, don't bid.
rb

"Kenwood" <noneya@biz.net> wrote in message news:PI%ha.8988$1B.3649550@news3.news.adelphia.net...
> Just curious of this radio has been FCC type accepted?
> Motorola GP-68. I think NOT.
>
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:Rvuha.2594$yY.1640908@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> > 20 Channels, will do 2M amateur band, low reserve!
> > Take a look!
> >
> >
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3015467651
> >
> >
>
>

1665. Re: Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 20:44:18 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAE12D2.1541E%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33981

"Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" wrote:
>
> Stating this more as fact..... As long as you are
> RECIEVING ONLY, albeit considered illegal, you are
> not causing any harm unless you utilize that
> information in a bad way.
>
> Stating this clearly as fact.... Intereference or
> transmitting on frequencies that a person does not
> have licensure (or free access like CB, MURS or
> FRS) is illegal and should be dealt with accordingly.

Actually, after looking over the SC legislation, VCR's and music cassette recorders may be more threatened than the scanner. Scanners (and other radio devices - ham radios, CB's, etc) only seem threatened if they have been modified to receive frequencies outside their original design (including cellular phone, television, broadcast radio, and similar frequencies). The legislation excludes reception by air using a dish or antenna, but not modification of such equipment.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1666. info swl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 20:53:46 UTC
From: dspg63 <dspg63@libero.it>
Message-ID: <uV1ia.1218$nD4.38594@twister1.libero.it>
Source record: 33983

Ciao a tutti,
sono un neofita del radioascolto e sto cercando
qualche pubblicazione o sito o altro che possa con un linguaggio da
bambino di sei anni , spiegarmi un po' di cose utili per cominciare a
masticare della materia senza brancolare nel buio, tipo ....
differenza tra uhf, vhf ecc.. ecc... le onde lunghe, medie corte ....
... rtty, usb, lsb ... ecccccccc.....
insomma un po' di tutto senza dare per scontato che uno sia gi&#65533; un
ingeniere informatico.
Mi piacerebbe approfondire un po' le mie scarse conoscenze, cos&#65533; magari
un giorno capir&#65533; anche che cosa sto ascoltando, come e perch&#65533;.
Grazie a tutti coloro che vorranno rispondermi.

```
Ciao - dspg63
```

1667. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 20:56:43 UTC
From: Erol Gray <JJ@alliswell.com>
Message-ID: <fY1ia.281912$3D1.153191@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 33984
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well that was extremely interesting, and thanks for taking the time to give
a reasonable representation of your point of view.

I agree with practically everything you say, but I do think that when the
smoke clears, that in as much as things can be proven, the evidence points
to the fact that our government mislead us in this case in order to bolster
support for starting this war.

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:Cg1ia.18010$0X.5216310@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:NYZha.279594$F1.48751@sccrnsc04...
>
> > Q- Thank you for staying up all night and cutting and pasting. You must
> have
> > invested quite a lot of money in online - subscriptions.
>
> First of all, I did not "stay up all night", or "invest" anything but a
> little time.
>
> Second, I don't understand how quoting is considered plagiarism. Everyone
> else quotes, it's just a quote. I quote, it's plagiarism. Go figure.
>
> Next, I don't even know what this link is:
>
> > http://www.worldpaper.com/
>
> Next, I didn't know that I was required (as nobody else has been held to
> that) to create a bibliography of my sources, but yes - I DID get the info
> about "David Remnick's Military Snafu" from the following link:
>
> >
>
http://www.newyorkmetro.com/nymetro/news/people/columns/intelligencer/3369/
>
> Next...although psrt of my information can be found here:
>
> >
>
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/tg/detail/-/0671506889/104-0762275-2317537
>
> I did not use this link for it - and don't ask me WHAT link I used - I
have
> no idea - as I said - I wasn't aware of the bibliographic requirement. So

> many post here making ludicrous accusations and are never required to
relate
> any quotes or reveal the alleged source of their information. Again, I
> didn't realize that I was held to a different standard.
>
> > Still you successfully pointed out that this person may be capable of
> > unscrupulous journalistic behavior.
> > But I didn't ask about that. I asked about the article and the facts as
> they
> > are described in it. I asked that you not impart insults or other
> > "Rush-isms".
>
> I believe my remarks here answered that. To YOU, what he presents are
> "facts". I merely pointed out that his "facts" should be taken with a
grain
> of salt, as, by his own admission on at least ONE occassion, he wanted to
> "hit the big one" and "get out" as he was tired of writing.
>
> > You tell us of a man who would rearrange the facts to gain money and
fame
> > and to defame those whom he opposes.
> > That is an accurate portrayal of "Rush". But I did not ask about Rush.
>
> Rush Limbaugh does not present himself as a "journalist" - by his own
> admission, he's an entertainer. That he makes big money from his
> entertainment is a testimony to his effectiveness as an entertainer.
>
> > You did not talk about the article:
> > http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1
>
> Umm...okay, if I wasn't plain enough...
> I can only take that article with a grain of salt, especially considering
> Mr. Hersh's lack of credibility - remember, even those that LIKE him say
he
> hasn't really done anything since his Viet Nam piece that got him the
> Pulitzer. That it was presented in a liberal rag like the New Yorker, that
> is anti-capitalist (relates to ads that are "decadent consumerism", etc.)
> and considered a liberal rag even by most New Yorkers, a magazine that
spent
> more time on articles about the "horrors" of the atomic bomb being dropped
> on Hiroshima, and not even a fraction of as much print on the "horrors" of
> Pearl Harbor, and has hired other "muck-rakers" to boost their ratings.
> To be fair, the New Yorker WAS a great publication, say, 50 years ago,
but
> much of that has changed. Not that everyone doesn't go for ratings, but
> there should be some standards that they follow in that regard. They STILL
> have some interesting reading, and it's a shame that they feel it
necessary
> to ruin their publication by giving a forum to such people. Like Peter
> Arnett, he cannot rest on the laurels of what he accomplished YEARS ago.

> As for the specifics of the article itself...doesn't it strike even YOU
as
> odd that Algore criticized the current Administration vis-a-vis Iraq when
he
> supported Clinton's actions there?
> Like others that somehow think that they have "expertise" and some other
> kind of mystical knowledge of what goes on in the Pentagon and other parts
> of the government, he presents as "fact" second-hand information regarding
a
> "highly classsified" meeting that took place at the Senate Foreign
Relations
> Committee - again, not being cleared for "highly classified" information
> from the SFRC, where could he get such information? As previously stated,
if
> he can't find it, he'll make it up - whatever sells.
> That's not to dispute that the documents in question didn't turn out to
be
> alleged forgeries, but one must try to think beyond what is obvious and
ask
> who may have forged them and to what end? That the "yellow cake" in
question
> comes from "two uranium mines controlled by a French company," may shed
some
> light on that. As the French were already by that time arguing against any
> action in Iraq, and considering other evidence of France supplying Iraq
with
> banned weapons and technology, one might make the argument that France had
> something to gain by embarrassing the US and UK.
> Before you attack that last statement, let me remind you that this is an
> extrapolation/assumption on my part and the part of others. At least I
> PRESENT it as such, and not as "fact", as I am making deductions from
> observations of available data, as Mr. Hersh does, the only difference
being
> he would present it as "fact".
> As for the conclusions of the documents in question being fake, it
wouldn't
> be the first time that a government of ANY country has acted on bad intel.
> But as you should know the actions in Iraq were not based on those
> documents - if true, they would have bolstered the Administrations
position,
> but in and of themselves were not the basis for the decisions made
regarding
> Iraq.
> If, indeed these documents WERE designed to embarrass the US/UK, they
were
> successful in that regard. But then Hersh goes on to say:
>
> "The Bush Administration's reliance on the Niger documents may, however,
> have stemmed from more than bureaucratic carelessness or political
> overreaching. Forged documents and false accusations have been an element

in
> U.S. and British policy toward Iraq at least since the fall of 1997, after
> an impasse over U.N. inspections."
>
> Again, he would imply that the Administration was using these documents
as
> some type of primary justification for war with Iraq, and he goes on to
make
> unsubstantiated remarks about such false documents and accusations being
an
> "element of policy" since the fall of 1997.
> Caertainly there were desenting voices from France, Russia and China -
> countries that have, again, supplied Iraq with banned weapons and
> technology. Their interests in NOT wanting to depose Hussein are obvious.
To
> say that "then as now, the British and the Americans were losing the
battle
> for international public opinion." is once again something that is
blatantly
> not true - the opposition was just supplied by those illegal suppliers to
> Iraq and radical Muslims in the middle east. Before you debate this, keep
in
> mind that the coalition is growing - not shrinking. Those that oppose the
> war point to polls in countries that agree with their opposition, but
> worldwide, on the whole, the actions in Iraq have more support than
dissent.
> Again, Hersh quotes a "former Clinton Administration official" that told
> him that London had resorted to, among other things, spreading false
> information about Iraq. He then goes on to say that "Over the next year, a
> former American intelligence officer told me, at least one member of the
> U.N. inspection team who supported the American and British position
> arranged for dozens of unverified and unverifiable intelligence reports
and
> tips-data known as inactionable intelligence-to be funnelled to MI6
> operatives and quietly passed along to newspapers in London and
elsewhere."
> It goes on, but suffice it to say that he keeps quoting unknown entities
to
> bolster his argument. He goes on with other paranoid ramblings, not even
> attempting to shed the light on the slightest bit of proof for his
> allegations. Anyone can make allegations - I, for example, could say that
a
> "source" that was once close to you told me that you like to dress in
womens
> clothing and sniff little boys bicycle seats. Just as there are those that
> respect your opinion - and you can count me among them, though I may not
> agree with you on all things, there are those that respect MY opinion, and
> would be inclined to believe this, regardless of the fact that it's not
> true. You could do the same of ME, and get the same results. I can't speak
> for you, but without empirical evidence that such allegations were true,

> such as, say, a video tape that was confirmed to be authentic, showing you
> wearing a pink tutu and committing the other aforementioned acts, and even
> THEN would question the use of presenting such information, I, at least,
> wouldn't jump right out into any forum that would print what I had to say
> and call it "facts".
> As you know, the events leading up to this moved quite quickly. That the
> documents were false and thus intelligence bad is nothing new. Information
> cannot be assimilated instantaneously, which somehow STILL hasn't got
> through to the journalists who keep asking the same questions of CentCom
and
> the Pentagon, like "when will it be over?" "How long is it going to take?"
> What should be noted is that the documents WERE funneled out, and taken
out
> of the loop, so to speak.
> Again, Hersh says, "A former intelligence officer told me that some
> questions about the authenticity of the Niger documents were raised inside
> the government by analysts at the Department of Energy and the State
> Department's Bureau of Intelligence and Research."
> As can be noted by the libel suits Hersh has faced and, indeed is STILL
> facing, regarding his penchant to take whatever information is presented
to
> him and put them out as "facts", these statements can, again, only be
taken
> with a grain of salt. That he's known to be a magnet for whatever
> information someone wants to get out only tends to put his credibility in
> question.
> He goes on to rightfully point out that the Administration's decisions
> regarding Iraq were not based solely on those documents, but then uses his
> twisted logic to support his argument, and bring the credibility of the
FBI
> into question.
> Funny, I don't recall Mr. Hersh doing that under the last Administration.
>
> It's important to keep in mind that we ARE in a state of war. As others
> have pointed out, this is not the time to disclose such allegations. There
> will be plenty of time when the smoke clears to analyze all information
and
> propriety. But to continue to do so now only makes the job more difficult
> than it already is, and bolsters Hussein's megalomaniac delusions.
>
> > "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> > news:XtWha.16298$0X.4857341@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > > "Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > > news:MpTha.316793$sf5.298353@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > > Rush Limbaugh 3/31/2003: "The world watches in AWE as our American
> > troops
> > > > uncover Sadaam's WMD!"
> > > >
> > > > That's what Rush would be saying if there were any WMD.
> > > >

> > > > Because Bush would tell our troops where the WMD are and save his
> > sinking
> > > > > crock of crap.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you read this one and get back to me:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1
> > > > >
> > > > > Please don't reply with Rush-ims, try to stick to "ideas", or
"facts".
> > > > > I especially don't want to hear "There you go again you liberals are
> > all
> > > > > alike."
> > > > > It's about as stupid as writing a country music song and actually
> > > rhyming
> > > > > "bin Lauden" with "Forgotten."
> > > > > Holy crap what a dumb thing to do.
> > > > >
> > > > > -Disneyland patriotism.
> > > >
> > > > Rush-isms? The author of this article is a left-wing conspiracy nut -
> > and
> > > if
> > > > you want to call that a "Rush-ism", note this: he also believes in all
> > > kinds
> > > > of government cover-ups regarding UFOs, Kennedy cover-ups, how Israel
> > > > bought, lied and stole their way to the nuclear bomb, the fall of the
> > CIA,
> > > > omits relevant information regarding the Clinton Administration, and,
in
> > > > general, as OTHERS have noted, presents a "selective use - and
misuse -
> > of
> > > > intelligence information"
> > > >
> > > > Other comments regarding this author:
> > > >
> > > > "Seymour Hersh's Target Is Destroyed is: 1.) one-third usable
> > early-1980s
> > > > history, 2.) one-third irrelevant conjecture, 3.) one-third calculated
> > > > falsehood."
> > > >
> > > > Regarding "The Samson Option": "If you are looking for an exciting
true
> > > > life spy story then this is not the book for you."
> > > > and "In his opinion, the Israeli nuclear program could not have
existed
> > > > without the continuous aid of the U.S." (Like Israel was broke...)
> > > >
> > > > Regarding "Price of Power: Kissinger in the Nixon White House":

"Hersh
> is
> > > great at seeking dirt, which is a public service as he uncovers so
much
> of
> > > it. Guys like him serve a function only as long as we keep his role in
> > > perspective. He found My-Lai, yes, but does he approve of anything
> > > whatsoever? This book is a 500-page indictment of Kissinger that
follows
> > no
> > > standard other than to show that the guy was really really bad. There
is
> > > little if any acknowledgment of what Kissinger accomplished, virtually
> no
> > > consistent standards discussed of what would have been better, and no
> > > suggestions offered."
> > >
> > > Regarding "Against All Enemies:": "Paper will put up with anything
that
> > is
> > > written on it."
> > >
> > > He's listed on conspiracyplanet.com. He fuels the flames on all types
of
> > > conspiracies, including the M16 nonsense.
> > >
> > > As was described on CNN's Wolf Blitzer: "the closest thing American
> > > journalism has to a terrorist . because he sets out to do damage and
he
> > will
> > > do it by whatever innuendo, whatever distortion he can-look, he hasn't
> > > written a serious piece since My Lai."
> > >
> > > Mr. Hersh has called John Ashcroft "demented" for discussing the
> > confession
> > > of John Walker Lindh. Mr Hersh has complained about the treatment of
> > > Zacarias Moussaoui, the famous twentieth man, arrested just about a
> month
> > > before 9/11, complaining that the courts were "too conservative". This
> > > statement he made even after Moussaoui stood up in court and began "
> > talking
> > > about death to the Israelis, death to Americans." Hersh claims, "They
> > truly
> > > aren't worried about it, because they would have stopped him." What
> bilge.
> > >
> > > He has stated: "I can tell you right now, the military, below the
> > chairman's
> > > level, they don't like it. Smart officers don't like it. There's an
> awful

> > > lot of dissent.... It's not a popular war in the military among
certain
> > > officers in certain services, which include the Marines...."
> > > Where has anybody heard or seen any proof of such ramblings?
> > >
> > > Regarding the current war: "I don't quite know how we're here. I don't
> > know
> > > why we're here. I really don't know. "
> > > Well, at least he got THAT part right - he's clueless.
> > >
> > > He also rambled, "If you've got a contract for a book on the war and
> > you're
> > > covering the war, don't you think that might impact how you would
write
> > > about the war? No, absolutely not. I don't know. I don't know how we
got
> > > here. All I know is that we're all going to be real sorry soon...."
> > > He sure IS sorry...
> > >
> > > His friend and confident, France's Bernard Kouchner, spews the same
> bilge
> > > and is a Chirac apologist. That the French refer to him as
> "Collaborateur
> > du
> > > New Yorker" speaks volumes to most in and of itself.
> > >
> > > Hersh, in an interview, said:
> > >
> > > Question: What got you into journalism?
> > > Seymour Hersh: I flunked out of law school.
> > >
> > > He thrives on creating controversy, much as other hate-mongers. In
> > comments
> > > he's made, he has defended Clinton and his Administration, but out of
> the
> > > other side of his mouth says this: "Clinton has obvious character
> flaws.
> > > How much do the character flaws weigh on the Presidency? As with
> Kennedy's
> > > sexual obsession, Clinton's flaws with Lewinsky are profound and you
> can't
> > > stop there. There's a grandiosity and lack of reality to the man,
which
> > also
> > > becomes a serious weakness. So Clinton's a flawed and perhaps a
> dangerous
> > > man. When you have a weakness of this kind, it can become a danger.
Take
> > the
> > > "retaliatory" bombing raids against suspected terrorists in the Sudan

> and
> > > Afghanistan. Are they really a sign of strength on the part of the
> > > Administration, or are they a symptom of a crippled and floundering
> > > President?"
> > >
> > > So which of his arguments is one to lend credence to?
> > > He prints what he knows will sell - no matter WHO it sells TO. To
think
> of
> > > him as anything more, if you will, as an "entertainer" is ludicrous.
> > >
> > > Don't bother to mention the awards he's won - the liberal actors et.
al.
> > in
> > > Hollywood receive awards, too.
> > >
> > > And Peter Arnett has won awards. Now, he's about galvanized his
position
> > as
> > > being persona non grata, and, as giving aid and comfort to the enemy,
> > should
> > > be considered a legitimate military target. He was fired from CNN for
> his
> > > bilge, and, as I've told others, it was only a matter of time before
he
> > > showed his true colors once again - he just couldn't control himself.
> > >
> > > Proof of Hersh's willingness to commit libel and print whatever sells
> for
> > > him can be found in
> > > UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
> > >
> > >
> > > FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT
> > >
> > > No. 94-2120
> > >
> > > RICHARD C. FUISZ,
> > >
> > > Plaintiff- Appellant,
> > >
> > >
> > > v.
> > >
> > > SELECTIVE INSURANCE COMPANY OF
> > >
> > > AMERICA,
> > >
> > > (Note: I won't print the entire boring thing here, just the relevant
> > parts)

> > >
> > > On April 17, 1992, Terex Corporation, Terex Equipment Limited
> > > and KCS Industries (collectively "Terex"), filed a complaint against
> > > Fuisz and Seymour M. Hersh in United States District Court for the
> > > District of Columbia alleging claims of libel and slander against both
> > > defendants. In its complaint, Terex alleges that Fuisz "embarked on
> > > a scheme and plan to injure plaintiffs by publicly disseminating false
> > > accusations designed to tarnish their good name and reputation in the
> > > business community." Terex further alleges that"[i]n or about 1987,"
> > >
> > > First, the complaint relates how
> > > Fuisz endeavored, unsuccessfully, to act as Terex's sales representa-
> > > tive in Saudi Arabia. It alleges that Fuisz thereupon "determined to
> > > retaliate against [Terex] for the loss of a business opportunity" and
> > > engaged in a "vendetta" against Terex. In furtherance of his scheme,
> > > Fuisz is alleged to have contacted journalists to spread false
informa-
> > > 16
> > > tion as part of a "smear campaign" against Terex, "falsely linking
> > > [Terex] to the sale of military equipment, including scud missile
> > > launching equipment, to Iraq," and implying that Terex aided Saddam
> > > Hussein in firing dozens of scud missiles at Israel and Saudi Arabia.
> > >
> > > (here comes the good part)
> > >
> > > The complaint chronicles Fuisz's initial efforts to contact
congressio-
> > > nal committees and "responsible and ethical members of the media"
> > > to spread this false information. When nothing was found to support
> > > the charges, the complaint describes how Fuisz then turned to less
> > > scrupulous journalists who specialized in "sensationalistic exposes"
to
> > > spread the information and ultimately get it into the general press.
> > >
> > > Terex demanded that Fuisz retract the false publications but Fuisz
> > > refused to do so. Summarizing Fuisz's deliberate intent to injure
> > > Terex, the complaint alleges, "in publishing these false and defama-
> > > tory statements set forth above, Fuisz was motivated by actual malice
> > > and wrongfully and willfully intended to injure plaintiffs."
> > >
> > > The conduct which is described in the complaint can only be char-
> > > acterized as deliberate. There is not a single series of "facts and
cir-
> > > cumstances" in the complaint which, if true, could have been pursued
> > > accidentally or recklessly.
> > >
> > > In concluding that the complaint also alleges "reckless" conduct - -
> > > which Selective Insurance's policy would not exclude- - the majority
> > > opinion relies on the single allegation made with respect to each
> > > defamatory publication that "Fuisz knew that the statements were

> > > false, or published the statements with reckless disregard as to
> > > whether they were true or false" (emphasis added). From this the
> > > majority concludes that even if "the evidence at trial fails to
> establish
> > > that Fuisz intentionally harmed Terex, the complaint permits Terex
> > > nonetheless to prevail on its claims by proving that Fuisz intended no
> > > harm, but acted with reckless disregard for the falsity of his state-
> > > ments."
> > >
> > > Indeed, if the facts alleged are true, which
> > > we must assume in this analysis, it is impossible that Fuisz acted
with-
> > > out intent to injure Terex. Moreover, whether Fuisz spread informa-
> > > tion knowing it to be false or spread it while recklessly indifferent
to
> > > its truth is irrelevant to the question of whether the injury caused
was
> > > deliberately inflicted. On that question, the complaint is not ambigu-
> > > ous. Terex alleges that Fuisz purposely spread false information and
> > > 17
> > > that Fuisz did so with the single- minded intent of injuring Terex as
> > > a "vendetta" for failing to enter into a business relationship with
him.
> > > The complaint alleges only one type of conduct - - a vendetta pursued
> > > intentionally to injure - - and it never wavers from the accusation
that
> > > Fuisz's activities were precalculated, planned, and deliberate, and
> > > thus that Fuisz "wrongfully and willfully intended" to injure Terex.
> > > ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> > >
> > > This is not the first or ONLY time that Hersh has been indicated in
> libel
> > > suits. Seymour M. Hersh was listed as one of the defendants being sued
> by
> > > the Cusack and Cloud Plaintiffs in the ABC Action (and was served in
> > > Washington, D.C. on December 8, 1997, at 6:10 p.m.). Hersh made the
> > > following public statement on the CNBC Hardball Show (in segment
> entitled
> > > "The Kennedy Legacy", with Host Christopher Matthews) concerning the
> > > Cusack/Kennedy Documents:
> > >
> > > Quest. by Host "What about the documents which purported to show that
> she
> > > [i.e., Marilyn Monroe] was about to accept a payoff from Kennedy? What
> do
> > > you think of those documents now?"
> > >
> > > Ans. by Hersh "As you know... The public is beginning to learn,...
it's
> a

> > > close call. I mean, you know, 60 Minutes , you know, did a show the
> other
> > > night [ed. note: 11/23/97]. But for the longest time, they thought
that
> > > actually that some of the handwritten Kennedy documents might be
> accurate,
> > > so I understand. But the bottom line is, even if some of those
documents
> > are
> > > real, which is a possibility ... [then Hersh goes on with defamatory
> > > statements about Lex Cusack and the documents]."
> > > ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> > > There is, more recently, his comments against Perle. While working
with
> > his
> > > French counterpart, Hersh made libelous statements against Perle
> regarding
> > a
> > > lunch he had with several Saudis. By Hersh's OWN admission, nothing
came
> > of
> > > the meeting. But, to play the part Hersh plays so well, he indicted
> Perle
> > on
> > > the APPEARANCE of impropriety. This type of "journalism" is bet summed
> up
> > > below:
> > >
> > > Regarding Mr. Hersh, Paul A. Rahe, Jay P. Walker Professor of History
at
> > the
> > > University of Tulsa had this to say:
> > >
> > > Years ago, Seymour Hersh scored a journalistic coup when he broke the
> > story
> > > of the Mylai Massacre. Since that time, however, his record has been
> > spotty.
> > > He has reported many an event that seems never to have transpired. His
> > motto
> > > seems to have been the directive issued by the editor in Evelyn
Waugh's
> > > novel Scoop: "If there is no news, send rumors instead."
> > >
> > > Hersh is a favorite with the anti-war cooks. They laud his
"journalism",
> > > even though he contradicts himself.
> > >
> > > Said of Hersh regarding his comments on the first Gulf War: ""Hersh
has
> > the

> > > safe luxury of armchair quarterbacking the every move of the 24th
> Infantry
> > > during the Gulf War -- 10 years after the fact. We did not have that
> > luxury.
> > > We were out there fighting the fourth-largest army in the world, which
> was
> > > armed with biological and chemical weapons ..."
> > >
> > > Hersh and his article lack integrity. That's the bottom line. He
maligns
> > the
> > > characters of 26,000 great young soldiers who conducted a
400-kilometer
> > > attack successfully, where thank god we only lost eight killed and 36
> > > wounded.
> > >
> > > What he did was recycling charges that were investigated in '91.
Here's
> > the
> > > front page of the newspaper, Savannah newspaper, in those months.
> > >
> > > A total of 2,000 pages of investigative material, hundreds of
> interviews,
> > > there is no truth to this stuff, and this is a nonobjective attack on
> the
> > > character of these great young soldiers.
> > >
> > > The two scout platoon leaders involved in both these incidents, they
> were
> > > thoroughly investigated, he went to the same people, cleared two
> elements
> > of
> > > all wrongdoing. They simply did not harm Iraqi soldiers or civilians.
> The
> > > allegations, the bottom line, are not true.
> > >
> > > The investigation revealed that the machine gun fire they heard was
> > directed
> > > at Iraqi vehicles.
> > >
> > > It was not directed at these poor Iraqis, who were treated with
enormous
> > > compassion and discipline all throughout this battle force.
> > >
> > > The incidents did not occur according to exhaustive investigation at
the
> > > time.
> > >
> > > The American people are very proud of their behavior, and they ought
to

> > be.
> > >
> > > Thank god for the discipline and courage of these 26,000 troops.
> > > ~~~~~~
> > >
> > > As regards Mr. Hersh the liberal rag where this article was found, :
> > >
> > > David Remnick's Military Snafu
> > >
> > > New Yorker editor-in-chief David Remnick might want to take a cue from
> his
> > > magazine's famed fact-checking department. When Command Sergeant Major
> > James
> > > D. Randolph (retired) got wind of a story that Seymour M. Hersh was
> > writing
> > > about his infantry division's actions in the Gulf War, he fired off a
> > letter
> > > to Remnick voicing concerns about the article's accuracy. Hersh's
> account,
> > > published last month, accuses the 24th Division of killing POWs,
gunning
> > > down civilians, and slaughtering a fleeing Iraqi column after the
> > cease-fire
> > > was declared. But in his letter, Randolph stresses that, as a
> > > noncommissioned officer, he would have known if his troops had acted
> > > inappropriately -- and he insists that they did not. In a terse,
> > > three-sentence response faxed to Randolph, Remnick guarantees he's
read
> > the
> > > detailed letter "with due seriousness." Serious or no, he didn't quite
> > > manage to get the veteran's title right. Remnick addressed him as
Major
> > > James D. Randolph -- quite a boost in rank -- and gave the old soldier
> an
> > > even bigger promotion on his fax cover letter, bestowing Randolph with
> the
> > > rank of major general. We were kind enough to point out these slipups
to
> > > Remnick. "Noted," he replied.
> > >
> > > Hersh's lack of professionalism and even the simple idea of using his
> HEAD
> > > was noted here:
> > >
> > > "Indeed, an even more serious incident occurred on Tuesday when the
> > magazine
> > > New Yorker published a 4,900-word article by Seymour M. Hersh alleging
> > > covert plans by U.S. military specialists - reportedly assisted by
> Israeli
> > > commandos - to seize Pakistan's inventory of nuclear weapons should

the
> > > Musharraf regime be threatened by a takeover from radical Islamic
> > militants.
> > > Mr. Hersh and the magazine editors clearly failed to understand - or,
> more
> > > likely, consciously chose to ignore - that the act itself of
publishing
> > > those allegations is extremely dangerous. "
> > >
> > > "At the very least, the Hersh article poses a threat to the fragile
> > > partnership between Washington and Islamabad, provides a powerful
> > propaganda
> > > weapon to Osama bin Laden and Pakistani militants, and increases the
> > threat
> > > of mission failure and extensive American casualties if such a
scenario
> > > indeed were to occur. "
> > >
> > > A link on his book about Kennedy:
> > >
> http://www.boston.com/globe/search/stories/books/books97/seymour_hersh.htm
> > >
> > > ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> > > The bottom line is, you need to do better than one liberal flame by
> > Seymour
> > > Hersh.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1668. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 21:00:54 UTC
From: Erol Gray <JJ@alliswell.com>
Message-ID: <a02ia.281370$F1.49373@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 33985
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

But I do take exception to your use of the "liberal". It is taking what once was an ordinary word and turning it into a word that describes something unspeakable. Like the word nigger. It is a cheap tactic. Those who do that are doing a disservice in the eyes of many, including many republicans.

"Tad Early" <fenwick_island@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:b6a79t$l8o$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
> news:BAAE02E0.15416%stewart@say.net...
> > "Erol Gray" wrote:
> >
> > > (snip) That's what Rush would be saying if there
> > > were any WMD. (snip)
> >
> >
> > Trust me. There will be weapons of mass destruction - even if we
> have to
> > build them here and fly them over. ;)
> >
> >
>
> You know I wondering where those skuds came from that were shot at
> Kuwait. Pretty dumb of us to build them and then let them use 'em.
> :)
>
>

1669. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 21:04:26 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E88AD5A.20502@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33914
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

jesseg wrote:
> ok, so i did. sorry...
>
Too late.

>
> here's the deal dude,

There is no deal.

> i didnt post any of my messages relating to anything
> your even talking about, not to mention all the last ten posts that you and
> Q are posting in here are totaly spam, that's besides the point i was trying
> to prove.
>
On what basis are you saying our posts are spam? We are simply
replying to other posts. I suggest you search the internet and
learn the definition of spam. You are not very bright for someone
who claims an IQ of 160.

>
> The thing that's wrong is you and him keep talking as if your opinions are
> fact, and keep defending them by attacking everyone else... its' just
> ridiculous. Look, over half of the messages are from you two. Youre just
> throwing gas into the fire....

And like you don't think your opinions are fact.

>
> Now think about this: I dont have an IQ of 160... I toatly lied to help
> prove my point that ALL OF THIS IS STUPID and that its all a joke. But you
> *very* unexpectedly actually believed me about the IQ joke.... in the same
> email with a file called "youre proving my point.mp3"

Don't flatter yourself that I really believed you have an IQ of
160. I said you *claim* to have an IQ of 160, it is evident to the
most casual of observers that you don't. Your IQ is most likely
about half your shoe size.

>
> Really, have you *ever* heard of sarcasm??
>
> Of course you have, but the question I just asked, that was sarcasm too. Of
> course I know you've heard of sarcasm... but yet you still dont get the
> joke. You probably thought the line above was me insulting you... well...
>

> You really are proving my point, and I'm not being sarcastic.

So far you have had no point.

>
>
> Not only that, but you still are posting around attacking other people's
> opinions that are different than yours, and I think that's pointless.

And they don't attack my opinions I suppose.

>
> For one thing, what value should be placed on an opinion of someone who
> believes everything they are told??? (like 160 iq, rofl) What would you
> believe that people people who apear to have clout, talk radio, the news,
> and politicians tell you??

Again, don't flatter yourself.

>
> now... prove the point to yourself. I'm not starting any wars here, I was
> making light of the situation, that's why I sent you that mp3 about
> mediocrity, I'm not calling you mediocre dude. Or Q either.
>
> the joke simply went over your heads... so i'll stop now.
>
> besides i have alot of packing to finish.
> later

Dare we hope you are moving to Iraq and taking Simmions with you?

1670. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 21:05:45 UTC
From: jesseg <some@thing.com>
Message-ID: <J42ia.280872$L1.79561@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 33986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

ok, so i did. sorry...

here's the deal dude, i didnt post any of my messages relating to anything your even talking about, not to mention all the last ten posts that you and Q are posting in here are totaly spam, that's besides the point i was trying to prove.

The thing that's wrong is you and him keep talking as if your opinions are fact, and keep defending them by attacking everyone else... its' just ridiculous. Look, over half of the messages are from you two. Youre just throwing gas into the fire....

Now think about this: I dont have an IQ of 160... I toatly lied to help prove my point that ALL OF THIS IS STUPID and that its all a joke. But you *very* unexpectedly actually believed me about the IQ joke.... in the same email with a file called "youre proving my point.mp3"

Really, have you *ever* heard of sarcasm??

Of course you have, but the question I just asked, that was sarcasm too. Of course I know you've heard of sarcasm... but yet you still dont get the joke. You probably thought the line above was me insulting you... well...

You really are proving my point, and I'm not being sarcastic.

Not only that, but you still are posting around attacking other people's opinions that are different than yours, and I think that's pointless.

For one thing, what value should be placed on an opinion of someone who believes everything they are told??? (like 160 iq, rofl) What would you believe that people people who apear to have clout, talk radio, the news, and politicians tell you??

now... prove the point to yourself. I'm not starting any wars here, I was making light of the situation, that's why I sent you that mp3 about mediocrity, I'm not calling you mediocre dude. Or Q either.

the joke simply went over your heads... so i'll stop now.

besides i have alot of packing to finish.
later

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E887E95.9030405@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> jesseg wrote:

> > i did not call you a bitch
>
> You don't have a very good memory for someone who claims an IQ of
> 160. Here is the exact email you sent me.
>
> ************
> Date: Sun, 30 Mar 2003 15:04:43 -0600
> From: "jesse" <jesse@hmbmk.com> [add to address book] [protect or
> block sender]
> Subject: Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik
> To: <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
>
> Text Size: S M L XL
>
> The only reason I didnt get a 160 on my IQ score.... I got one
> answer
> wrong. Later I found out that the answer I gave was also correct.
>
>
> u dont know me bitch
> ***********
>
> Is that the word bitch I see there? Also I would think someone
> with an IQ of 160 would know how to spell "didnt" as didn't and
> "dont" correctly as don't.
>
> , i sent you an mp3...
>
> In the second email, and I took the liberty of forwarding it to
> your ISP along with your first email.
>

1671. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 21:18:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E88B0AF.40300@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33925
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:

>
> Now, back to the excellent statement that was made. People did believe the world
> was flat based just on what they were told. Like the idiots today who believe in
> the Big Bang Theory and that the Universe (existence) had a beginning. As if
> you could create a Universe without there being any existence to create one in.
> What explosion can occur if there is no Universe (or existence) for it to explode
> in? Yet, no matter how ridiculous the theory, it must be believed because that
> is what they told us in school, like the earth being flat centuries ago.

Ah, so now you are an expert on how the universe came to be as
well. You know much more than all the astronomers and physicist
who have studied the universe for hundreds of years.
So please explain to us the mysteries of just how the universe
came to be. I am waiting for this revelation that the astronomers
have been searching for all these years. I am sure they would like
to know as well, it will save them many more years of research.
It is obvious you simply know everything there is to know, so
please enlighten us.
With all your great knowledge I just can't understand why you are
not in charge of the country, in fact, in charge of everything.
Have you written to Washington to tell them just how smart you
are, that you have all the answers to everything, and they should
put you in charge? No, why not? I am sure Bush would gladly step
aside if he only realized that you could solve all the world's
problems with your vast knowledge. I bet your IQ is even higher
than jesseq's. Wow, how privileged we are to be able to exchange
barbs with such an all knowing person. Oh, just had a though,
maybe you really are not a person, maybe you are God him/herself!!!!
Shhhhheeeeeeeessssssssss!!!

1672. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 21:19:35 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3E88B0E7.8D8E108@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 33987
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

RHF wrote:
>
> M2CW,
>

M2CW South & Mid Wales Regional Crime Squad Callsign ???

Just curious since this is a radio group.
Dave

1673. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 21:42:39 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <jD2ia.945$kd1.975343@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33982
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

LOL!

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.
"me" <me@none.com> wrote in message news:ml0ia.19627$yU1.2645@fed1read02...
> You broke my trollometer!
>
> TROLL CONTENT:
>
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| <overflow error>
> 0%----------50%---------100%
>
> Now I need to put up a begging page to get a new one! Dammit!
>
> -me
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:EzIha.35152$ja4.2256567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Ok, I think I have this figured out. After a few emails sent to me
> > explaining the nature of some male newsgroup posters, it appears the few
> men
> > that have attacked me on this board use the internet to make them feel
> "bad,
> > tough, big, smart, and dominant." In real life they are nothing more
than
> > men with what is known as the Napolean-Complex, thus they use the
> newsgroups
> > to attack others to make their pee-on lives seem better and bigger than
> they
> > really are. In other words, they NEED to get a life. In real life they
> > wouldn't have the courage to confront me or they wouldn't know what was
> > coming! So, little boys, stop trying to make yourselves feel like grown
> > ups, and attacking me doesn't bother me at all. In fact, it makes me
> laugh
> > at the low-level IQ you choose to display on these newsgroups. You're
> > probably all wife-beaters, alcholics, burger-flippers, greasy rednecks
> > anyway!!
> >
> > This kinda sucks because I really enjoy radios and scanners and out of
all

> > the newsgroups I've used, it's these two groups that come off as being
> > populated with the socioeconomic downfalls known as rednecks. I don't
> want
> > to be assocated with that! So, if I am a radio enthusiast, do I
> > automatically fall under the redneck catagory? If so I'd give up this
> hobby
> > in no time! I didn't know it was like that!!! But that is what I've
seen
> > on these groups. I've seen more Nazis in these groups than anywhere
else
> > and that helps to prove my theory! I don't want to be associated with
> > redneck Nazis, riding around in the pick-up truck with the State Militia
> > symbol on a decal, as well as the Dixie flag flying out the back with a
> > swastika hanging from their rear-view mirror! You probably bruise your
> > wives!
> >
> > (Please note, this is ONLY directed to the few men who have attacked me
on
> > these newsgroups. Some of you have been kind to me and I hate showing
you
> > this side of me as I'd LIKE to be known as a kindred spirit, but I've
had
> > enough. I've TRULY had enough. I can only hold it in for so long. I
now
> > understand why some lurkers have emailed me explaining why they never
> post!)
> > I never should have taken up radios because it appears there is a huge
> > association to a social group I don't want to belong to!
> >
> > You guys can't even get it up! Stop using the internet to make
yourselves
> > feel good about yourselves. If you have to use the internet to raise
your
> > self esteem then you NEED to get a life. If picking on a woman makes
you
> > feel sexual gratification you're truly sickos! That's the ONLY
> > gratification you'll EVER get!
> >
> > Pick on me because I have a Shortwave Call Sign? Come and pick on me
face
> > to face, losers! Pick on me because of my web page? Come and pick on
me
> > face to face, creeps!
> >
> > High school dropouts are what you are!
> >
> > ****AHHHHHH***** I had to get that out of my system. OK. I feel
better
> > now. Yep, I feel good. I feel very good to know I'm not the few nasty
> men

> > I've bumped into on these groups. I'd HATE to be you guys, that's for
> sure!
> > At least I don't have to use the net to make myself feel big. At least
I
> > don't have to pick on different races, religions, political beliefs, or
> > women to make me feel good. I can just be myself and know that's good
> > enough!
> >
> > Go ahead and respond whatever you will, LET THEM FLY, I'm done talking
to
> > you. You will not see any response FROM me TO your messages full of
> > childish antics. Will I read them? Probably, simply so I can get a big
> > ego-boost out of seeing how much better I am than you! One thing's for
> > sure, I'll get a big laugh out of you!!!
> >
> > I'm going to continue to enjoy these groups. I am NOT going to continue
> in
> > this war you seem so keen on beginning and continuing. I will only post
> > this ONCE and I am THROUGH with this OFF TOPIC CRAP. Quit trying to
pull
> me
> > into your little games. I know I'm not the only one you've tried to
P***
> > off, I've seen some of you do it to others. You think it is a cute
little
> > game!!
> >
> > (I will still continue to respond and post messages directed to the nice
> men
> > and women who have shown they can be mature and have a good head on
their
> > shoulders, as well as who have helped me grow far in this hobby.)
> >
> > How on earth a well-cultured person like me got mixed in with a hobby
> > associated with rednecks is beyond me!
> >
> > PEACE, BROTHERS AND SISTERS! HA! ;)
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >

> > Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:hwHha.35079$ja4.2250320@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing
around
> > with
> > > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've
> had
> > > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
> useless.
> > > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> > > > Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet is
a
> > > nasty
> > > > place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they
real
> or
> > > > not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost
that
> > > peace
> > > > loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome
> > > >
> > > > Greg
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
> least
> > I
> > > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
The
> > > only
> > > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it
> was
> > > the

> > > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
doing
> > it.
> > > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> surgeries
> > > > that
> > > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my
toy.
> > > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> didn't
> > > > give
> > > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> Mommy
> > > > will
> > > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > > >
> > > > > ;)
> > > > >
> > > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
an
> > SOB
> > > > and
> > > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> being
> > > nice
> > > > > to losers like you.
> > > > >
> > > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> your
> > > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy.
> Go
> > > cry
> > > > > to Mommy.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...

> > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
be
> > > > forgiven
> > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> ashamed,
> > > > when
> > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> > your
> > > > > bills
> > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > guess.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
do
> > what
> > > > you
> > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
any
> > > sort
> > > > of
> > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
bigger
> > > naive.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
> own
> > > damn
> > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
sell
> > your
> > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >
news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
> RUDE
> > to
> > > > > > someone
> > > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
> and
> > > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs
us
> to
> > > > help
> > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
> compassion!
> > > His
> > > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
> for
> > > > help.
> > > > > > He
> > > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY
much!
> > You
> > > > > > should
> > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

1674. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 21:58:41 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E88BA4B.95D10BFF@email.com>
Source record: 33988
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> > > > Actually the POPE should go and be a human shield. Bush would not
> > > > dare drop any bombs if he knew there was a chance that he would hit
> and
> > > > kill the pope! The pope claims to be against the war, but does not
> > > > do the one thing that would put an END to it. Invite Saddam into
> the
> > > > Vatican embassy still open in Baghdad and the pope be there with him
> as
> > > > a human shield.
> > > >
> > > > That would STOP THE WAR. But the pope does not do this. Why?
> Is
> > > > he afraid to die? He would just got to go to heaven with Jesus and
> > > > God!
> > > >
> > > > The POPE is a hypocrite scum that has the power to end the war he
> claims
> > > > he is against, but does not use his power to end this war. He does
> > > > not believe in God or he would do this.
> > > >
> > >
> > > You know how shitty of a health condition the Pope is in? Hey would
> likely
> > > die on the plane because of the rigor of cabin pressurization, high
> > > altitude, recycled air, etc.
> >
> > Nonsense! The good lord would look over him and make sure he made it in
> good
> > health! Especially when the Pope prayed to god for helping him with such
> a
> > noble cause, don't you agree?
>
> >
> > The Pope could not lose. He and god have the power to stop the war, yet
> > neither make any effort to do so.
>
> >
> > Are you saying the Pope does not really believe in god and see's your
> point
> > and does not want to chance it and die? Perhaps going to heaven is not
> a
> > comforting enough a fantasy to truly believe in himself?
> >

> >
>
> No. I'm saying MAYBE he isn't meant to be a martyr, whether he dies en route
> or in Iraq itself. I'm sure God is guiding him in whatever he does.

You believe in gods. You just lost all credibility of being intelligent. If the Pope believed in his god, he would have gone to Iraq and did exactly as suggested above.

No one in the church government believes in god. If priests did, they would not be molesting little boys, because they would know that god would know this and punish them. They know it is all a bunch of bullshit to make tax free money with. If they believed it, they would not rape little boys.

If the Pope believed in god, he would go to Iraq now.

1675. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:06:12 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E88BC0E.6B7469D5@email.com>
Source record: 33989
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner

> The e-bomb will not disrupt tube equipment, it doesn't necessarily
> completely erase all hard drives, and yes they have started using
> them, they exploded one near the Iraqi television station that did
> take it out for a while.

It doesn't take it out for a while, it would have been permanent. No one in Baghdad would be watching the station either if they used it. They can't use it in Baghdad for many reasons. One is that all the equipment the press is using in Baghdad would get destroyed. Since we still see them live from Baghdad every day (Oh, sorry, YOU won't because CNN and Fox News got kicked out of Baghdad for spouting too much propaganda, yet the BBC was allowed to stay and they are the enemy too.)

The day the e-bomb is used near Baghdad, is the day you no longer get that live image from Baghdad anymore.

In many cases, having the international press in Baghdad is a plus for Iraq.

1676. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:23:56 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E88C035.B615346D@email.com>
Source record: 33990
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> Actually, the military admits to having microwave bombs that simulate
> the electronic shock that would be experienced in a nuclear blast. I
> was watching several news channels the other night and all of them
> claimed the US had used one of those bombs near the Ministry of
> Information.

It was tested in 1958 over the Pacific Ocean. And caused damage in Hawaii as well as disrupted communications as far as Australia. There was testing and photographs of the e-bomb in the 1980s, from AVIATION WEEK & AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY

Yet of course, General Franks claims he has no idea what this is:

WASHINGTON, March 5 (UPI) -- At least three senior defense officials in the last two weeks have denied any
knowledge of the rumored, so-called "E-bomb" -- a device that is reported to deliver a powerful electronic pulse that could fry the circuits of enemy weaponry and computers without directly harming humans.

The latest denial came from U.S. Central Command Chief Gen. Tommy Franks, who would head a possible
war with Iraq where various news reports suggests the weapon could make its debut.

"I can't talk to you about that because I don't know anything about it," Franks said.

Air Force Chief of Staff Gen. John Jumper told reporters in February he knew nothing about the device.
Another senior official said Wednesday that he could offer no details as he had none.

Defense officials, including Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld, have confirmed high-powered electronic pulses are unde study, but none has confirmed that such a device has been made into a weapon and is ready for a debut in Iraq.

Really Mr Rumsfeld?
[E-bombs] can unleash in a flash as much electrical powerï¿½2 billion watts or moreï¿½as the Hoover Dam
generates in 24 hours. [And], although the Pentagon prefers not to use [E-bomb] weapons on the battlefield,
"the world intervenes from time to time," - Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld.
-Time Magazine, 1-19-03

"Citing unnamed sources, U.S. military officials reported yesterday that a preliminary Iraq battle plan outlined for

President Bush...calls for the most extensive use of electronic warfare in history -- including secret new electromagnetic pulse
weapons to disable Saddam (Hussein)'s entire command and control structure."
-New York Post, 8-14-02

Be assured that we have not used them yet, as the press are still using all their equipment without problem.

It will be obvious when they are used. For one, the young rambo kids in our military that are sending missles into Kuwati malls and dropping bombs on British tanks, would most likely blow out all of our own electronic equipment with the first use.

1677. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:26:41 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <Bg3ia.20335$yU1.17231@fed1read02>
Source record: 33991
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

They weren't scuds. They were chinese silkworm cruise missiles. But of course, just ask the LIBERALS, that makes it OK.

"Poor Saddam, you see, is only defending himself against big bad us. It's all dubyas fault. He just wants the oil."

Gawd, I wish they'd stop. I left my hipwaders and s--tshovel in my other truck.

-me

"Tad Early" <fenwick_island@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:b6a79t$l8o$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
> news:BAAE02E0.15416%stewart@say.net...
> > "Erol Gray" wrote:
> >
> > > (snip) That's what Rush would be saying if there
> > > were any WMD. (snip)
> >
> >
> > Trust me. There will be weapons of mass destruction - even if we
> have to
> > build them here and fly them over. ;)
> >
> >
>
> You know I wondering where those skuds came from that were shot at
> Kuwait. Pretty dumb of us to build them and then let them use 'em.
> :)
>
>

1678. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:31:07 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <Lk3ia.20337$yU1.309@fed1read02>
Source record: 33992
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh no, she's one of those freaks that stop you in the mall and asks you to take their survey! EEK! RUN AWAAAAAY!!!

-me

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician. Read
> That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a level
> below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL of
> mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a mathematical
> genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like to see
> what you do!!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be forgiven
> > for that, of course.
> >
> > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed, when
> > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> bills
> > and buy you toys.
> >
> > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> website:
> >
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm

> >
> > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> >
> > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
you
> > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort
of
> > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
naive.
> >
> > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn
> > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> >
> > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> >
> > Sickening...
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> > someone
> > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> doesn't
> > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
help
> > him.
> > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion! His
> > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
help.
> > He
> > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> > should
> > > be ashamed.
> >
> >
>
>

1679. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:32:34 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <6m3ia.20339$yU1.14603@fed1read02>
Source record: 33993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:9XOha.312914$sf5.292911@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Colon problems?

I hear that afflicts arseholes everywhere.

-me

1680. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:34:28 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Un3ia.37506$ja4.2378755@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33994
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.org> wrote in message
news:edMha.7118$pW1.383@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Celt Chic wrote:
> > Heee.... wanna see the wart on my nose? I have been made a troll
> > thanks to this newsgroup!
> >
> >
> [snip]
> >> Goodness, didn't take you all that long before you became a troll
> >> yourself....
>
> --
> No, what made you a troll was your inability to control yourself when
> confronted by trolls. The only person that is responsible for your
actions
> is you, not me nor anyone else using these newsgroups.
>
> It's just so much easier to lash back and then blame the other guy.
Hmmmmm,
> where have I seen that before, the grade school playground and/or usenet
> news groups.
>
> Trolls live by the 'Mine is bigger than yours game', which you appear to
> play fairly well. The only way to win the game with trolls is not to
play.
>
> It's like my father told me, "Son never get into a battle of wits with an
> unarmed opponent".
~~~~~~~

> --
> Mark J...
>
> "...The enemy is at the gates. In fact his name
> may even be Gates." - Red Green
>
>

1681. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:34:38 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <1o3ia.20340$yU1.8980@fed1read02>
Source record: 33995
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Imagine that - the mathematical genius has never heard of google...

Look, I'm writing on top! NEWMAN! NEWMAN! WE'VE GOT NEWMAN HERE!

See? No one cares.

-me (with a guest appearance from Wayne Knight)

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:VZJha.35325$ja4.2266538@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Back Scatter <back_scatter@milkyway.gov.eu> wrote in message
> news:slrnb8eqo9.nlh.back_scatter@localhost.localdomain...
> > On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 22:00:13 GMT,
> > Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > I also heard something interesting on 11,175kHz SW although I don't
know
> > > what it is. Is that aircraft?
> > >
> >
> > That is the main Armed forces global network frequency. You will hear
> aircraft,
> > military bases, ships and one way broadcasts that consists of numbers
> and/or
> > letter groups.
> >
> Yep, that's what I heard! I have no idea how to interpret that stuff but
> that is one of my goals. Just like the other night when I made a few
weird
> loggings of people using the phonetic alphabet with numbers that sounded
> like secret codes.
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>

>

1682. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:34:48 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <co3ia.37507$ja4.2378380@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33996

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message news:20030330231419.28056.00000081@mb-ci.aol.com...
> It's really too bad that posting off topic posts on newsgroups isn't against
> any TOS's.
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
~~~~~~~

1683. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:36:31 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Pp3ia.37511$ja4.2376736@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33897
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:ml0ia.19627$yU1.2645@fed1read02...
> You broke my trollometer!
>
> TROLL CONTENT:
>
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| <overflow error>
> 0%----------50%---------100%
>
> Now I need to put up a begging page to get a new one! Dammit!
>
> -me
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:EzIha.35152$ja4.2256567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Ok, I think I have this figured out. After a few emails sent to me
> > explaining the nature of some male newsgroup posters, it appears the few
> men
> > that have attacked me on this board use the internet to make them feel
> "bad,
> > tough, big, smart, and dominant." In real life they are nothing more
than
> > men with what is known as the Napolean-Complex, thus they use the
> newsgroups
> > to attack others to make their pee-on lives seem better and bigger than
> they
> > really are. In other words, they NEED to get a life. In real life they
> > wouldn't have the courage to confront me or they wouldn't know what was
~~~~~~~

> > coming! So, little boys, stop trying to make yourselves feel like grown
> > ups, and attacking me doesn't bother me at all. In fact, it makes me
> laugh
> > at the low-level IQ you choose to display on these newsgroups. You're
> > probably all wife-beaters, alcholics, burger-flippers, greasy rednecks
> > anyway!!
> >
> > This kinda sucks because I really enjoy radios and scanners and out of
all
> > the newsgroups I've used, it's these two groups that come off as being
> > populated with the socioeconomic downfalls known as rednecks. I don't
> want
> > to be assocated with that! So, if I am a radio enthusiast, do I
> > automatically fall under the redneck catagory? If so I'd give up this
> hobby
> > in no time! I didn't know it was like that!!! But that is what I've
seen
> > on these groups. I've seen more Nazis in these groups than anywhere
else
> > and that helps to prove my theory! I don't want to be associated with
> > redneck Nazis, riding around in the pick-up truck with the State Militia
> > symbol on a decal, as well as the Dixie flag flying out the back with a
> > swastika hanging from their rear-view mirror! You probably bruise your
> > wives!
> >
> > (Please note, this is ONLY directed to the few men who have attacked me
on
> > these newsgroups. Some of you have been kind to me and I hate showing
you
> > this side of me as I'd LIKE to be known as a kindred spirit, but I've
had
> > enough. I've TRULY had enough. I can only hold it in for so long. I
now
> > understand why some lurkers have emailed me explaining why they never
> post!)
> > I never should have taken up radios because it appears there is a huge
> > association to a social group I don't want to belong to!
> >
> > You guys can't even get it up! Stop using the internet to make
yourselves
> > feel good about yourselves. If you have to use the internet to raise
your
> > self esteem then you NEED to get a life. If picking on a woman makes
you
> > feel sexual gratification you're truly sickos! That's the ONLY
> > gratification you'll EVER get!
> >
> > Pick on me because I have a Shortwave Call Sign? Come and pick on me
face
> > to face, losers! Pick on me because of my web page? Come and pick on

me
> > face to face, creeps!
> >
> > High school dropouts are what you are!
> >
> > ****AHHHHHH***** I had to get that out of my system. OK. I feel
better
> > now. Yep, I feel good. I feel very good to know I'm not the few nasty
> men
> > I've bumped into on these groups. I'd HATE to be you guys, that's for
> sure!
> > At least I don't have to use the net to make myself feel big. At least
I
> > don't have to pick on different races, religions, political beliefs, or
> > women to make me feel good. I can just be myself and know that's good
> > enough!
> >
> > Go ahead and respond whatever you will, LET THEM FLY, I'm done talking
to
> > you. You will not see any response FROM me TO your messages full of
> > childish antics. Will I read them? Probably, simply so I can get a big
> > ego-boost out of seeing how much better I am than you! One thing's for
> > sure, I'll get a big laugh out of you!!!
> >
> > I'm going to continue to enjoy these groups. I am NOT going to continue
> in
> > this war you seem so keen on beginning and continuing. I will only post
> > this ONCE and I am THROUGH with this OFF TOPIC CRAP. Quit trying to
pull
> me
> > into your little games. I know I'm not the only one you've tried to
P***
> > off, I've seen some of you do it to others. You think it is a cute
little
> > game!!
> >
> > (I will still continue to respond and post messages directed to the nice
> men
> > and women who have shown they can be mature and have a good head on
their
> > shoulders, as well as who have helped me grow far in this hobby.)
> >
> > How on earth a well-cultured person like me got mixed in with a hobby
> > associated with rednecks is beyond me!
> >
> > PEACE, BROTHERS AND SISTERS! HA! ;)
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!

> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:hwHha.35079$ja4.2250320@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing
around
> > with
> > > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've
> had
> > > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
> useless.
> > > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> > > > Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet is
a
> > > nasty
> > > > place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they
real
> or
> > > > not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost
that
> > > peace
> > > > loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome
> > > >
> > > > Greg
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> > > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
> least
> > I
> > > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
The
> > > only
> > > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it
> was
> > > the
> > > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
doing
> > it.
> > > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> surgeries
> > > > that
> > > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my
toy.
> > > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> didn't
> > > > give
> > > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> Mommy
> > > will
> > > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > > >
> > > > > ;)
> > > > >
> > > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
an
> > SOB
> > > > and
> > > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> being
> > > nice
> > > > > to losers like you.
> > > > >
> > > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> your
> > > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy.
> Go
> > > cry
> > > > > to Mommy.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)

> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
be
> > > > forgiven
> > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> ashamed,
> > > > when
> > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> > your
> > > > > bills
> > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > guess.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
do
> > what
> > > > you
> > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
any
> > > sort
> > > > of
> > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
bigger
> > > > naive.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
> own
> > > damn
> > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
sell

> > your
> > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > >
news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
> RUDE
> > to
> > > > > > someone
> > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
> and
> > > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs
us
> to
> > > > help
> > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
> compassion!
> > > His
> > > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
> for
> > > > help.
> > > > > > > He
> > > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY
much!
> > You
> > > > > > should
> > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1684. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:36:39 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xp3ia.37513$ja4.2379071@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33898
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Matt O. <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:jD2ia.945$kd1.975343@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> LOL!
>
> --
> ===Matt===
> Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
> Remove "{nospam}" when replying.
> "me" <me@none.com> wrote in message
news:ml0ia.19627$yU1.2645@fed1read02...
> > You broke my trollometer!
> >
> > TROLL CONTENT:
> >
>
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||| <overflow error>
> > 0%----------50%---------100%
> >
> > Now I need to put up a begging page to get a new one! Dammit!
> >
> > -me
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:EzIha.35152$ja4.2256567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Ok, I think I have this figured out. After a few emails sent to me
> > > explaining the nature of some male newsgroup posters, it appears the
few
~~~~~~~

> > men
> > > that have attacked me on this board use the internet to make them feel
> > "bad,
> > > tough, big, smart, and dominant." In real life they are nothing more
> than
> > > men with what is known as the Napolean-Complex, thus they use the
> > newsgroups
> > > to attack others to make their pee-on lives seem better and bigger
than
> > they
> > > really are. In other words, they NEED to get a life. In real life
they
> > > wouldn't have the courage to confront me or they wouldn't know what
was
> > > coming! So, little boys, stop trying to make yourselves feel like
grown
> > > ups, and attacking me doesn't bother me at all. In fact, it makes me
> > laugh
> > > at the low-level IQ you choose to display on these newsgroups. You're
> > > probably all wife-beaters, alcholics, burger-flippers, greasy rednecks
> > > anyway!!
> > >
> > > This kinda sucks because I really enjoy radios and scanners and out of
> all
> > > the newsgroups I've used, it's these two groups that come off as being
> > > populated with the socioeconomic downfalls known as rednecks. I don't
> > want
> > > to be assocated with that! So, if I am a radio enthusiast, do I
> > > automatically fall under the redneck catagory? If so I'd give up this
> > hobby
> > > in no time! I didn't know it was like that!!! But that is what I've
> seen
> > > on these groups. I've seen more Nazis in these groups than anywhere
> else
> > > and that helps to prove my theory! I don't want to be associated with
> > > redneck Nazis, riding around in the pick-up truck with the State
Militia
> > > symbol on a decal, as well as the Dixie flag flying out the back with
a
> > > swastika hanging from their rear-view mirror! You probably bruise
your
> > > wives!
> > >
> > > (Please note, this is ONLY directed to the few men who have attacked
me
> on
> > > these newsgroups. Some of you have been kind to me and I hate showing
> you
> > > this side of me as I'd LIKE to be known as a kindred spirit, but I've
> had

> > > enough. I've TRULY had enough. I can only hold it in for so long. I
> now
> > > understand why some lurkers have emailed me explaining why they never
> > post!)
> > > I never should have taken up radios because it appears there is a huge
> > > association to a social group I don't want to belong to!
> > >
> > > You guys can't even get it up! Stop using the internet to make
> yourselves
> > > feel good about yourselves. If you have to use the internet to raise
> your
> > > self esteem then you NEED to get a life. If picking on a woman makes
> you
> > > feel sexual gratification you're truly sickos! That's the ONLY
> > > gratification you'll EVER get!
> > >
> > > Pick on me because I have a Shortwave Call Sign? Come and pick on me
> face
> > > to face, losers! Pick on me because of my web page? Come and pick on
> me
> > > face to face, creeps!
> > >
> > > High school dropouts are what you are!
> > >
> > > ****AHHHHHH***** I had to get that out of my system. OK. I feel
> better
> > > now. Yep, I feel good. I feel very good to know I'm not the few
nasty
> > men
> > > I've bumped into on these groups. I'd HATE to be you guys, that's for
> > sure!
> > > At least I don't have to use the net to make myself feel big. At
least
> I
> > > don't have to pick on different races, religions, political beliefs,
or
> > > women to make me feel good. I can just be myself and know that's good
> > > enough!
> > >
> > > Go ahead and respond whatever you will, LET THEM FLY, I'm done talking
> to
> > > you. You will not see any response FROM me TO your messages full of
> > > childish antics. Will I read them? Probably, simply so I can get a
big
> > > ego-boost out of seeing how much better I am than you! One thing's
for
> > > sure, I'll get a big laugh out of you!!!
> > >
> > > I'm going to continue to enjoy these groups. I am NOT going to
continue

> > in
> > > this war you seem so keen on beginning and continuing. I will only
post
> > > this ONCE and I am THROUGH with this OFF TOPIC CRAP. Quit trying to
> pull
> > me
> > > into your little games. I know I'm not the only one you've tried to
> P***
> > > off, I've seen some of you do it to others. You think it is a cute
> little
> > > game!!
> > >
> > > (I will still continue to respond and post messages directed to the
nice
> > men
> > > and women who have shown they can be mature and have a good head on
> their
> > > shoulders, as well as who have helped me grow far in this hobby.)
> > >
> > > How on earth a well-cultured person like me got mixed in with a hobby
> > > associated with rednecks is beyond me!
> > >
> > > PEACE, BROTHERS AND SISTERS! HA! ;)
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:hwHha.35079$ja4.2250320@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing
> around
> > > with
> > > > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war.
I've
> > had
> > > > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > > > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
> > useless.
> > > > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
> > > >

> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3e87429e$0$83860$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com...
> > > > > Now you are getting the appropriate news group attitude. Usenet
is
> a
> > > > nasty
> > > > > place full of us people who get to hide behind usernames be they
> real
> > or
> > > > > not. We never have to confront anyone in person. You have lost
> that
> > > > peace
> > > > > loving cant we all get along attitude and have the edge. Welcome
> > > > >
> > > > > Greg
> > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
> > least
> > > > I
> > > > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> The
> > > > only
> > > > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because
it
> > was
> > > > the
> > > > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
> doing
> > > it.
> > > > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> > surgeries
> > > > > that
> > > > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my
> toy.
> > > > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy

> > didn't
> > > > > give
> > > > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> > Mommy
> > > > > will
> > > > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ;)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
> an
> > > SOB
> > > > > and
> > > > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> > being
> > > > nice
> > > > > > to losers like you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any
of
> > your
> > > > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little
boy.
> > Go
> > > > cry
> > > > > > to Mommy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > > nospam)
> > > > > > In the process of moving my web page from
http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > to
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
> be
> > > > forgiven
> > > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be

> > ashamed,
> > > > > when
> > > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help
pay
> > > your
> > > > > > bills
> > > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
> Chic's
> > > > > > website:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > > guess.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
> do
> > > what
> > > > > > you
> > > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
> any
> > > > sort
> > > > > > of
> > > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
> bigger
> > > > > naive.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay
your
> > own
> > > > > damn
> > > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
> sell
> > > your
> > > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > >
> news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
> > RUDE
> > > > > to
> > > > > > > someone

> > > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling
pain
> > and
> > > > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs
> us
> > to
> > > > > help
> > > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
> > compassion!
> > > > His
> > > > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and
ask
> > for
> > > > > help.
> > > > > > > He
> > > > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY
> much!
> > > You
> > > > > > should
> > > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

1685. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:37:38 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Sq3ia.37517$ja4.2379396@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33899
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

LOL, Cowboy! He was watching too much basketball! I had to play with my
radios! ;) You little devil you!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b685l7qeu1@news3.infoave.net...
> I think her ole man needs to pay her more attention. Seems I seen her post
> earlier that she had it made 'cause her ole man can watch TV and she can
> play on her ""electronic toys"" if ya get my drift.
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:yiJha.35213$ja4.2261225@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > My dearest sweetest IT man Clip:
> >
> > I come home in the morning light
> > My mother says when you gonna live your life right
> > Oh mother dear we're not the fortunate ones
> > And girls they want to have fun
> > Oh girls just want to have fun
> >
> > The phone rings in the middle of the night
> > My father yells what you gonna do with your life
> > Oh daddy dear you know you're still number one
> > But girls they want to have fun
> > Oh girls just want to have--
> >
> > That's all they really want
> > Some fun
> > When the working day is done
> > Girls-- they want to have fun
> > Oh girls just want to have fun
> >
~~~~~~~

> > Some boys take a beautiful girl
> > And hide her away from the rest of the world
> > I want to be the one to walk in the sun
> > Oh girls they want to have fun
> > Oh girls just want to have
> >
> > That's all they really want
> > Some fun
> > When the working day is done
> > Girls--they want to have fun
> > Oh girls just want to have fun,
> > They want to have fun,
> > They want to have fun...
> >
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:SuednTAqJs7KwhqjXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> > > Fred, I'm sure you know, the grammatical-and-spelling-mistake attack
is
> > the
> > > most common one taken by those with no ground to stand on.
> > >
> > > In spite of that:
> > >
> > > To get technical, Celt Chic - if you wanted to be correct, you should
> have
> > > said, "There is no doctor ('doctor' is not capitalized in this sense)
I
> > know
> > > of that *writes* (not says) 'your' instead of 'you're' where it's
> > > appropriate!" You see, it just doesn't make any sense if you say
"says"
> > > instead of "writes." Think about it.
> > >
> > > See how easy it is to tear apart someone else's grammar and spelling?
> > >
> > > Thanks for playing.
> > >

> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:uMIha.35171$ja4.2257933@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
> > > > "No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on
> > > > permanent ignore..."
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Oh, you need to learn grammar, Dr. Fred. Dr. of what?? There is no
> > > Doctor
> > > > I know of that says "your" instead of "you're" where it's
appropriate!
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:v8eh4vqsf6v712@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > "I only put up a beg page because it was the..." What a load of
> bovine
> > > > > excrement. You claim to have a high paying job, that one assumes
> comes
> > > > with
> > > > > medical benefits, and you feel it is OK to beg because it "is the
> next
> > > big
> > > > > thing on the internet".
> > > > >
> > > > > What a sorry individual! No need to plunk me, your from this
moment,
> > on
> > > > > permanent ignore...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
> > least
> > > I
> > > > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?

> The
> > > > only
> > > > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because
it
> > was
> > > > the
> > > > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
> doing
> > > it.
> > > > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> > surgeries
> > > > > that
> > > > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my
> toy.
> > > > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> > didn't
> > > > > give
> > > > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> > Mommy
> > > > > will
> > > > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ;)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
> an
> > > SOB
> > > > > and
> > > > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> > being
> > > > nice
> > > > > > to losers like you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any
of
> > your
> > > > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little
boy.
> > Go
> > > > cry
> > > > > > to Mommy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove

> > > nospam)
> > > > > > In the process of moving my web page from
http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > to
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
> be
> > > > > forgiven
> > > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> > ashamed,
> > > > > when
> > > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help
pay
> > > your
> > > > > > bills
> > > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
> Chic's
> > > > > website:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > > guess.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
> do
> > > what
> > > > > you
> > > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
> any
> > > > sort
> > > > > of
> > > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
> bigger
> > > > > naive.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay
your
> > own
> > > > damn
> > > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
> sell
> > > your
> > > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > >
> news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
> > RUDE
> > > > to
> > > > > > > someone
> > > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling
pain
> > and
> > > > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs
> us
> > to
> > > > > help
> > > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
> > compassion!
> > > > His
> > > > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and
ask
> > for
> > > > > > help.
> > > > > > > > He
> > > > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY
> much!
> > > You
> > > > > > > should
> > > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>

>

1686. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:39:18 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qs3ia.37523$ja4.2378728@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33900
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It is love...sweet sweet love. Honestly, my "bloke," Matie, is a tad bit poor, himself! But it's better to be poor and in love than rich and depressed! :) I often tease him that I married an older gentleman and I didn't even get anything out of it. Nothing but love, but that is worth all the money in the world to me. :)

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Mefix <mefix@home.scotland> wrote in message
news:b696t0ckj1@sparta.btinternet.com...
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:KlJha.35224$ja4.2261748@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Well then, at least you have one thing going for you then! *Clapping my
> > hands*
> >
>
> You're 24 years old and married a bloke who is 56? Something's not correct
> there. I wonder how heavily money figured in the equation?
>
>
~~~~~~~

1687. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:39:43 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Ps3ia.37526$ja4.2379556@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:b6862lqil1@news3.infoave.net...
> I am smarter than you, na na na na. Get real. Prove it. Besides the grammar,
> the IQ or smarter than you thing is the dumbest thing I have ever heard and
> If you make so much money, what the hell are you doing in a newsgroup
> causing so much trouble? Give a tea party, or maybe even a cotillion. By the
> way, I had to look that one up, 'cause my IQ hasn't been tested and I am not
> worried about my IQ like you are. I have seen so many dip shits in my life
> with the so called high IQ. Can you even wipe your A$$ with that IQ of
> yours?
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all that
> > matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my league. No
> > more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group back on
> > topic.
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
~~~~~~~

> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >At least I
> > > >have a high paying job
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Hence the need to beg.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have money,
> > then
> > > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > The only
> > > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted originally.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing
it.
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is
going
> > to
> > > catch on. I hope not.
> > >
> > >
> > > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
surgeries
> > that
> > > > >have put me in the bind.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sorry to hear that.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks, I
have
> > my
> > > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> > >
> > >
> > > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
didn't
> > give
> > > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
Mommy
> > will
> > > >give you one: PLONK!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig. Seems I
> hit
> > a
> > > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is that
> true?
> > >
> > > >;)
> > >
> > > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an
SOB
> > and

> > > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
> > nice
> > > >to losers like you.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on the
> > > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> > >
> > > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
your
> > > >business.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > > >to Mommy.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > forgiven
> > > > for that, of course.
> > > >
> > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> > when
> > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
your

> > > bills
> > > > and buy you toys.
> > > >
> > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > website:
> > > >
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > >
> > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
guess.
> > > >
> > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
what
> > you
> > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> sort
> > of
> > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > naive.
> > > >
> > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
> damn
> > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > >
> > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
your
> > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > >
> > > > Sickening...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1688. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:39:55 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <%s3ia.37528$ja4.2379605@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Erol Gray <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:9XOha.312914$sf5.292911@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Colon problems? Were you making the broomstick antenna?
>
> "Frank White" <fwhite*NOSPAM*@colfax.com> wrote in message
> news:b68ck9$1h93$5@news.fsr.net...
> > In article <RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > internetstuff47129@yahoo.com says...
> > >
> > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least
I
> > >have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
only
> > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was
the
> > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
> that
> > >have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
> give
> > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
> will
> > >give you one: PLONK!
> > >
> > >;)
> > >
> > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
~~~~~~~

> and
> > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
> nice
> > >to losers like you.
> > >
> > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> > >business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go
> cry
> > >to Mommy.
> >
> > Now, now, don't mince words; How do you REALLY feel about him?
> >
> > ^_^
> >
> > FW
> >
>
>

1689. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:40:15 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jt3ia.37531$ja4.2378378@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:th0ia.19619$yU1.12243@fed1read02...
> A cyber begging page? THAT'S the next big thing?
>
> Sigh... all that time I wasted learning ASP, .Net and Java....
>
> If I had only known that all I had to do was find a vacant offramp off the
> information superhighway and hold up my virtual "will work for food" sign.
>
> That's it. It's obvious I've been hoodwinked. I'm gonna SUE!
> -me
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least I
> > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
only
> > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was
the
> > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
> that
> > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
> give
> > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
> will
> > give you one: PLONK!
~~~~~~~

> >
> > ;)
> >
> > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
> and
> > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
nice
> > to losers like you.
> >
> > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go
cry
> > to Mommy.
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> forgiven
> > > for that, of course.
> > >
> > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> when
> > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> > bills
> > > and buy you toys.
> > >
> > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > website:
> > >
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > >
> > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> > >
> > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
> you
> > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
sort
> of

> > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> naive.
> > >
> > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
damn
> > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > >
> > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > >
> > > Sickening...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> > > someone
> > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> > doesn't
> > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
> help
> > > him.
> > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion!
His
> > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
> help.
> > > He
> > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> > > should
> > > > be ashamed.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1690. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:40:26 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ut3ia.37532$ja4.2378055@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33904
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Mefix <mefix@home.scotland> wrote in message
news:b69718cqa1@sparta.btinternet.com...
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician.
Read
> > That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> > intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a level
> > below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL
of
> > mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a
mathematical
> > genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like to
> see
> > what you do!!
> >
> >
>
> All you do is brag about your high IQ and label people as "burger
flippers."
> It seems that a high IQ is no guarantee of cognitive or argumentative
> capability...
>
>
~~~~~~~

1691. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:40:54 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <Wt3ia.20343$yU1.4162@fed1read02>
Source record: 33905
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Watch out clip. She's a self proclaimed genius survey statistician with poor spelling and grammar. She'll kick your butt!

LOL, too funny!!!!!

-me

"Clip" <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:SuednTAqJs7KwhqjXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> Fred, I'm sure you know, the grammatical-and-spelling-mistake attack is
the
> most common one taken by those with no ground to stand on.
>
> In spite of that:
>
> To get technical, Celt Chic - if you wanted to be correct, you should have
> said, "There is no doctor ('doctor' is not capitalized in this sense) I
know
> of that *writes* (not says) 'your' instead of 'you're' where it's
> appropriate!" You see, it just doesn't make any sense if you say "says"
> instead of "writes." Think about it.
>
> See how easy it is to tear apart someone else's grammar and spelling?
>
> Thanks for playing.
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:uMIha.35171$ja4.2257933@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
> > "No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on
> > permanent ignore..."
> >
> >
> > Oh, you need to learn grammar, Dr. Fred. Dr. of what?? There is no
> Doctor
> > I know of that says "your" instead of "you're" where it's appropriate!
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499

> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:v8eh4vqsf6v712@corp.supernews.com...
> > > "I only put up a beg page because it was the..." What a load of bovine
> > > excrement. You claim to have a high paying job, that one assumes comes
> > with
> > > medical benefits, and you feel it is OK to beg because it "is the next
> big
> > > thing on the internet".
> > >
> > > What a sorry individual! No need to plunk me, your from this moment,
on
> > > permanent ignore...
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
least
> I
> > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
> > only
> > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it
was
> > the
> > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing
> it.
> > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
surgeries
> > > that
> > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
didn't
> > > give
> > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
Mommy
> > > will
> > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > >
> > > > ;)
> > > >
> > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an
> SOB
> > > and
> > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
being

> > nice
> > > > to losers like you.
> > > >
> > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
your
> > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy.
Go
> > cry
> > > > to Mommy.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > >
> > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> your
> > > > bills
> > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > >
> > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> guess.
> > > > >
> > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
> what
> > > you
> > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any

> > sort
> > > of
> > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > > naive.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
own
> > damn
> > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > >
> > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
> your
> > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > >
> > > > > Sickening...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
RUDE
> to
> > > > > someone
> > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
and
> > > > doesn't
> > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us
to
> > > help
> > > > > him.
> > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
compassion!
> > His
> > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
for
> > > help.
> > > > > He
> > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much!
> You
> > > > > should
> > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>

>

1692. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:41:07 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E88C43D.7CB77A26@email.com>
Source record: 33906
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> The US government does not jam the external radio broadcasts of any country.

Oh yes they do. The distinctive beep-beep-beep is nothing else but a jamming signal, and sources of these signals have been located to US military sites by such magazines as Monitoring Times and Popular Communications. Sometimes they even use thier own VOA on different frequencies to jam other stations from being heard.

The fact that Radio Marti exists should put a quick end to any fantasies that the US is above this type of activity.

> Americans are free to listen to their propaganda from any source they wish.
> For instance, Radio Havana Cuba is one of the most popular shortwave radio
> services amongst SWL's in the US.

And that is the very station I first heard the US jamming. As soon as the anti-American news story came on, the loud beeping started. I heard it more than once, and always during non-favorable political news stories. They usually leave the guy with his ham radio show on that station alone. That is one of their best programs.
I forget the guy's name. The one that starts out with him calling CQ like a typical ham might, but it is a broadcast program from Radio Havana.

> Just because people in totalitarian countries are not SUPPOSED to listen to
> external radio services, does not mean that they do not.

Unless they are jammed. The fact that the US does it, makes it not to unprobable that Iraq might do it. All governments are protective about what their citizens get to see and hear. Thus why our news of the war is so censored in the US. The BBC shows more, and has more of "the other side" and they are fighting against Iraq too!

> There will always
> be people who are curious, or push the envelope. Be happy you live in a
> country where you do not have to sneak around to listen to what's going on
> in the rest of the world.

I don't live in such a country, I live in the USA. I have to keep searching the web and shortwave to get the news my government is hiding from me. Some websites get shut down or hacked into that have this news, yet none of the American pro-war sites ever seem to get shut down or hacked into. Interesting, isn't it?

The rest of the world is lucky. The subscriptions for Al Jazeera television has skyrocketed in Europe as they look for something other than CNN to get their news from now. They are to come out with an English service soon, but I won't

hold my breath that I will see it on my TV set anytime soon.

Remember, it is a law now that we can't ever show our US soldiers being brought home in the flag draped coffins anymore after Bush #1 got pissed off about it. The reasoning is that if too many Americans see stuff like this, the support for the war may drop. My thinking is that if the only way to get American support for war is by hiding information and the truth from them, then no wonder the rest of the world is against this war and Americans are so for it.

It is because I am working so hard to find news I am not supposed to be seeing, that I understand what the rest of the world understands.

Hey, it is no different that when a jury makes a conviction but was not allowed to hear or see all the evidence, only what the judge wanted them to see and hear, then the news stories about afterwards when they found out everything the rest of us knew and wished they would never have voted guilty.

What a crooked mess-up government.

Regime change and liberation starts at home!

"I watch the Fox
News Channel,
because they're
unbiased and
support the war 100
percent."

1693. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:44:24 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cx3ia.37547$ja4.2378615@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33907
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Frank, ;)

Unfortunately one thing I never learned in life was how to hurt someone's feelings. I don't do a good job at it. My parents should have worked harder at teaching me to hurt others than love them, as I would have been much better to protect myself against such things as internet "trolls." But, I have to give them credit, love gets me much more than hate. :) So, I've given up my flame war with those that seem to enjoy picking on a girl who is probably half their age!

Their parents should have taught them that gentlemen don't do that to women. If their parents are dead I'm sure they're rolling in their graves! I don't care what I say to them, a gentleman should just tip his hat and keep strolling along.

But, I'm just not skilled at hurting people, obviously. I'll just stick to what I'm used to and keep on LOVING everyone! *SMMMMOOOOOOOCH*

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Frank White <fwhite*NOSPAM*@colfax.com> wrote in message news:b69mga$2m8g$4@news.fsr.net...
> In article <XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> internetstuff47129@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician. Read
> >That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> >intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a level
> >below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL of
> >mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a mathematical
> >genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like to
> see
> >what you do!!
~~~~~~~

>
> If you do not mind a mild critique...
>
> In a flame war, it's a mistake to try to justify
> yourself or give out information on yourself; the
> other side can easily twist things to their own
> advantage. Take the fight to them and try to force
> THEM to prove they know what they're talking about.
>
> Or, more devastatingly, subject them to ridicule
> and/or humor. Most flamers react to that the way
> vampires do garlic.
>
> FW
>

1694. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:46:09 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Ry3ia.37550$ja4.2379650@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33908
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

MnMikew, I thought about that myself just today. Gee, how ignorant of me to waste my time arguing with people who sit behind their computers trying to be someone else! After all, these are just words written on a screen. Ah! Words.... Nothing more than little binary digits floating through cyberspace. No ouchies here anymore!

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
news:b69vij$31orj$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> You're arguing with people on Usenet. Now theres some intelligence.
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician.
Read
> > That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> > intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a level
> > below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL
of
> > mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a
mathematical
> > genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like to
> see
> > what you do!!
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
~~~~~~~

> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> forgiven
> > > for that, of course.
> > >
> > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> when
> > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> > bills
> > > and buy you toys.
> > >
> > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > website:
> > >
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > >
> > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> > >
> > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
> you
> > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
sort
> of
> > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> naive.
> > >
> > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
damn
> > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > >
> > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > >
> > > Sickening...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> > > someone
> > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> > doesn't
> > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
> help
> > > him.

> > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion!
His
> > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
> help.
> > > He
> > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> > > should
> > > > be ashamed.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1695. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:47:31 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7A3ia.37555$ja4.2380143@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33909
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Those are polling agents. Not survey statisticians. Get your jobs right!

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:Lk3ia.20337$yU1.309@fed1read02...
> Oh no, she's one of those freaks that stop you in the mall and asks you to
> take their survey! EEK! RUN AWAAAAAY!!!
>
> -me
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician.
Read
> > That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> > intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a level
> > below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL
of
> > mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a
mathematical
> > genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like to
> see
> > what you do!!
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
~~~~~~~

> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> forgiven
> > > for that, of course.
> > >
> > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> when
> > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> > bills
> > > and buy you toys.
> > >
> > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > website:
> > >
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > >
> > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> > >
> > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
> you
> > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
sort
> of
> > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> naive.
> > >
> > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
damn
> > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > >
> > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > >
> > > Sickening...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> > > someone
> > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> > doesn't
> > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to

> help
> > > him.
> > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion!
His
> > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
> help.
> > > He
> > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> > > should
> > > > be ashamed.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1696. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:47:51 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <rA3ia.37557$ja4.2380148@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33910
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message news:v8f096hn3aql9b@corp.supernews.com...
> Jeez, you're weird. "Blow your mind?" what does that mean anyway?
>
> ROFLMAO!
>
> Seriously, you've posted, something like ten messages to prove..what?
>
> ROFLMAO!
>
> You quote a dumb lyric and think it's 'clever'?
>
> ROTFLMAO!
>
> Hey chic, or whatever...you've made a fool of yourself.
>
> ROTFLMAO!!!
>
> Mikey "mind blower"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
~~~~~~~

> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ccKha.35350$ja4.2267913@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Hey Mikey you're so fine, you're so fine you blow my mind, hey Mikey!
> > (Yeah, I know it's supposed to be Mickey, but I believe in free speech!)
> >
> > Urban legend:
> > Claim: Little Mikey of LIFE cereal fame died from the explosive
effects
> of
> > mixing Pop Rocks candy with soda pop.
> > Status: False.
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
> > news:v8erafcc7oauc4@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ITJha.35304$ja4.2265395@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > I don't think high IQ's have anything to do with how long I've been
on
> > > this
> > > > newsgroup. I'm not psychic. Now that is one thing that has nothing
> to
> > do
> > > > with IQ. By reading a message I'm supposed to know that it's
genuine?
> > If
> > > > there is someone on this planet who can do that I'd sure like to
meet
> > > them,
> > > > because I have a good use for them right here! They could interpret
> all
> > > of
> > > > these newsgroup messages and tell me that less than 10% of them are
> > > genuine!<
> > >
> > > Well, you *could* have perused the older messages to ascertain the
> > veracity
> > > of Mr Goldstein's track record. In fact, you've exposed yourself by

> being
> > > ignorant of his 'fun' posts in the past. No matter, as I'm sure you'll
> > have
> > > a long-winded answer for everything for that too. And, GOOD for YOU. I
> > > disagree with what you've been writing, but..you are an articulate
> poster.
> > I
> > > appreciate that.
> > >
> > > > In any case, I don't think anyone should post a message intended as
a
> > joke
> > > > about someone having a son in the war. That isn't funny at all. If
> we
> > > have
> > > > to sink that low to find humour, then comedy is all out of ideas.<
> > >
> > > It's free speech, you are for free speech right? You seem to exercise
> your
> > > wanton right to it as I see. Hey kid, you may have good vocabulary,
> which
> > is
> > > a very jejune thing to brag about, but when in Rome...We talk scanners
> and
> > > radios here. Do likewise or be prepared to be ignored. (Or laughed at)
> > >
> > > Mikey..the cutest name in town
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
> > > news:v8epk84fmps089@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Anyone who frequents this list knows Emilio's posts are usually
> jokes.

> > > > Often
> > > > > very funny and topical.
> > > > >
> > > > > Your so-called "Hi-IQ" didn't seem to catch this. Thus, you might
> have
> > a
> > > > > lower IQ than you thought. Worse, you brought personal issues into
a
> > > silly
> > > > > pseudo-flame-fest.
> > > > >
> > > > > Hey chicy, get smart. You may be smart in your social circle, but
> the
> > > > > internet, for better or worse, is the leveler of all people. You
> don't
> > > > > impress me....
> > > > >
> > > > > ..you amuse me. (Barely)
> > > > > Mikey
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:K6Dha.34468$ja4.2218317@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > Emilio,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Bless you.... Your message really touched me. I wish there was
a
> > way
> > > I
> > > > > > knew of that you could keep in touch with your son directly
> through
> > a
> > > > > radio
> > > > > > contact. But I know of none. The only connection I could ever
> > think
> > > of
> > > > > is
> > > > > > through cell phone and I don't even know if they work from Iraq
to
> > the
> > > > > U.S.
> > > > > > Your heart is big and sweet, Emilio. May your son be safe and
> home
> > > before
> > > > > > you even know it. I'm sure he makes you proud.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I did a search to see what frequencies you could listen to in
> Iraq,
> > > and
> > > > > > these came from the milaircomms web page:

> > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://www.milaircomms.com/middle_east_shortwave.html - Schedule
> of
> > > > > > > broadcasts
> > > > > > > http://www.milaircomms.com/war_iraq.html - Main Iraq war page
> > > > > > > http://www.rferl.org/shortwave/shortwave-iq.asp - Radio Free
Iraq
> > > > listings
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I have not listened to these myself, so I do not know exactly
what
> > > > you'll
> > > > > > > hear, but good luck to you in your search.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > A friend,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Stacey
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > > nospam)
> > > > > > > In the process of moving my web page from
http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > to
> > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > > > > > > > Hello all.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq now.
> He's
> > a
> > > > > > > private
> > > > > > > > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > > > > > > > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a
> > > > "Realistic"
> > > > > > > > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the
> > middle
> > > > > > east.
> > > > > > > > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is
> that
> > > > > "time

> > > > > of
> > > > > > > > day" announcer.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would be
> > neat
> > > to
> > > > > get
> > > > > > > > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night
> > (using
> > > > > > some
> > > > > > > of
> > > > > > > > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can pick
> up
> > > his
> > > > > > > voice
> > > > > > > > and know that he is OK or not.
> > > > > > > > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful trees
> and
> > a
> > > > > lawn"
> > > > > > > > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car
batteries
> > in
> > > > the
> > > > > > > > room"
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's
happening
> > to
> > > > > him.
> > > > > > > If
> > > > > > > > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on
the
> > news
> > > > or
> > > > > > > that
> > > > > > > > al-Jazeeera place.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq
frequencies
> > to
> > > > > hear
> > > > > > > > him?
> > > > > > > > and how do I talk back to him?
> > > > > > > > Thanks for your help.
> > > > > > > > EG
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1697. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:48:18 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E88C5EC.7CF18C19@email.com>
Source record: 33911
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

JJ wrote:

> jesseg wrote:
> > calling consiracy nuts...
> >
> >
> > you're the fucking retard who believes everything they are told without
> > questioning or reasoning anything for yourself, retard. dude... 400 years
> > ago people still thought the world was flat. when france helped america's
> > forefathers leave the country, they HONESTLY THOUGHT they were sending us
> > off the edge of the world.
> >
> >
> > 400 years obviously doesnt make a difference.... and you're proving the
> > point very well.
>
> You can sure tell when a point hits the mark, the liberal
> conspiracy nuts really jump and yell and start using profanity.

Good way to avoid the statement you will never be able to find fault with, by twisting the subject to language, spelling, using all caps, etc.

Now, back to the excellent statement that was made. People did believe the world was flat based just on what they were told. Like the idiots today who believe in the Big Bang Theory and that the Universe (existence) had a beginning. As if you could create a Universe without there being any existence to create one in. What explosion can occur if there is no Universe (or existence) for it to explode in? Yet, no matter how ridiculous the theory, it must be believed because that is what they told us in school, like the earth being flat centuries ago.

Hey CNN didn't get enough satisfaction for firing Peter Arnett for telling people the truth, now they broadcast a story on him to get people all mad at him and got him fired from NBC. What assholes. I thought we are supposed to be free and have free speech? What a crock of bullshit!

1698. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:56:06 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <al3ia.1665$yD7.149771530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33913
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E88C43D.7CB77A26@email.com...
> > The US government does not jam the external radio broadcasts of any
country.
>
> Oh yes they do. The distinctive beep-beep-beep is nothing else but a
jamming
> signal, and sources of these signals have been located to US military
sites by
> such magazines as Monitoring Times and Popular Communications.
Sometimes they
> even use thier own VOA on different frequencies to jam other stations from
being
> heard.

Monitoring TImes and Popular Communications are pretty widely rejected by the experienced SW DX community, like Cumbre DX. They are hotbeds of conspiracy theories and clandestine radio opinions. The best one was the idea that Radio Swan/Radio Americas was not on Swan Island, but somewhere else. Which was funny, since I personally saw the transmitter on Isla de Cisne.

> The fact that Radio Marti exists should put a quick end to any fantasies
that
> the US is above this type of activity.

Radio Mart&#65533; proves nothing. Radio Mart&#65533; is the name of a program service of the USIA, not a separate orgnaization. It operates on what was a US Clear Channel, 1180, which was clear in Cuba per the NARBA agreement that was never rejected although often violated by Cuba (shuch as in the JAmming of WAQI, WQBA and other US Spanish stations that do not even program for Cuba). If anyone should be upset, it is WHAM in Rochester, the NARBA-chartered occupant of 1180 in North America.

Similarly, the SW frequency used by Marti has never been used in Cuba during any momento of the Castro dictatorship.

>
> > Americans are free to listen to their propaganda from any source they
wish.
> > For instance, Radio Havana Cuba is one of the most popular shortwave
radio
> > services amongst SWL's in the US.
>

> And that is the very station I first heard the US jamming. As soon as
the
> anti-American news story came on, the loud beeping started.

Funny, but what you are reporting is definitely not what the reliable radio clubs and actual monitoring supports. I know of a number of radi news departments that use Radio Habana as comic fill, and they never have any reports of jamming... even in Miami.

> Unless they are jammed. The fact that the US does it, makes it not to
> unprobable that Iraq might do it. All governments are protective about
what
> their citizens get to see and hear. Thus why our news of the war is so
> censored in the US. The BBC shows more, and has more of "the other
side" and
> they are fighting against Iraq too!

Please cite some evidence other than your fertile imagination that the US jams any radio broadcasts.

1699. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 22:56:12 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E88C7C5.69B60AC7@email.com>
Source record: 33912
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> >How many people voted for Bush? How many people voted for Gore? How many people
> >voted for Browne?
> >How many electoral votes did Browne get? ZERO. Who is now in the white house?
> >
> >And you are making fun of Iraq's election process?
> >
> >Fix your own country before you try and screw up someone else's.
>

> I'm glad that you are aware that
> Bush did not win in a landslide victory, in fact losing the popular
> vote on the national totals. I chat regularly with a New Zealand
> woman who had that impression that Bush won by a large percentage and
> was an extremely popular President. The way he came off as though he
> had a mandate, you'd think he had.

What was the number again? Something around a half a million votes behind Gore? But then they tell you to make sure you go out and vote, because EVERY VOTE COUNTS. Man, half a million people's votes didn't count! Then they say if you don't vote, you don't have the right to complain. So now that people voted for Gore and Browne, do they have the right to complain now?

Two bumper stickers I have seen:

Don't blame me, I voted with the majority.
Don't blame me, my vote didn't count.

1700. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:06:03 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vR3ia.37602$ja4.2381852@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33915
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:1o3ia.20340$yU1.8980@fed1read02...
> Imagine that - the mathematical genius has never heard of google...
>
> Look, I'm writing on top! NEWMAN! NEWMAN! WE'VE GOT NEWMAN HERE!
>
> See? No one cares.
>
> -me (with a guest appearance from Wayne Knight)
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:VZJha.35325$ja4.2266538@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > Back Scatter <back_scatter@milkyway.gov.eu> wrote in message
> > news:slrnb8eqo9.nlh.back_scatter@localhost.localdomain...
> > > On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 22:00:13 GMT,
> > > Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > I also heard something interesting on 11,175kHz SW although I don't
> know
> > > > what it is. Is that aircraft?
> > > >
> > >
> > > That is the main Armed forces global network frequency. You will hear
> > aircraft,
> > > military bases, ships and one way broadcasts that consists of numbers
> > and/or
> > > letter groups.
> > >
> > Yep, that's what I heard! I have no idea how to interpret that stuff
~~~~~~~

but
> > that is one of my goals. Just like the other night when I made a few
> weird
> > loggings of people using the phonetic alphabet with numbers that sounded
> > like secret codes.
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> >
>
>

1701. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:06:26 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E88CA2B.72F11062@email.com>
Source record: 33916
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> This again? Even Algore's lawyers recounted the votes - SEVERAL times, and
> each time, Algore STILL lost.

How did they keep missing half a million votes that Gore had over Bush? Oh, that's right, the rest of the country doesn't count, only the state of Florida since Jeb Bush could see to it that the votes came out in his brother's favor.

> To be certain, Bush did not win by a
> "landslide",

No he didn't, but Gore won by a half a million votes.

> but you should keep in mind that Clinton was the LEAST voted
> for President in the history of the Unied States that STILL was elected into
> office.

It doesn't matter if you only get 3 votes. If only 5 people bothered to vote, then that is the majority of votes.
At least Clinton got the majority. In BOTH his elections. Bush still has never gotten a majority. And when his dad tried to start a war before the election to hope that he would get reelected, it didn't work for him, yet Junior doesn't learn from his pop's mistakes, just repeats history.

> The turnout for his last election was the lowest in history, as
> people didn't necessarily want to vote for HIM, but felt they had no real
> choice, so many chose not to bother.

And even fewer will bother now that they learned their votes didn't count at all anyway.

Seriously. Why bother? If one candidate can get a half a million votes more than the next highest candidate and still not get elected, then what is a person supposed to think about their one single vote?

I think the government just has voting to see how many people are still stupid enough to show up and believe the system works. The day no one shows up, they will get the message. Or if people would at least wake up and vote for one of the other 12 guys on the ballot for once. What have you got to lose? The electoral college will see to it that they don't win anyway, and at least we can all show the government we are not going to support your two "government approved party" system anymore.

1702. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:06:44 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8S3ia.37604$ja4.2381396@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33917
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:Wt3ia.20343$yU1.4162@fed1read02...
> Watch out clip. She's a self proclaimed genius survey statistician with poor
> spelling and grammar. She'll kick your butt!
>
> LOL, too funny!!!!!
>
> -me
>
> "Clip" <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:SuednTAqJs7KwhqjXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> > Fred, I'm sure you know, the grammatical-and-spelling-mistake attack is
> the
> > most common one taken by those with no ground to stand on.
> >
> > In spite of that:
> >
> > To get technical, Celt Chic - if you wanted to be correct, you should
have
> > said, "There is no doctor ('doctor' is not capitalized in this sense) I
> know
> > of that *writes* (not says) 'your' instead of 'you're' where it's
> > appropriate!" You see, it just doesn't make any sense if you say "says"
> > instead of "writes." Think about it.
> >
> > See how easy it is to tear apart someone else's grammar and spelling?
> >
> > Thanks for playing.
~~~~~~~

> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:uMIha.35171$ja4.2257933@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
> > > "No need to plunk me, your from this moment, on
> > > permanent ignore..."
> > >
> > >
> > > Oh, you need to learn grammar, Dr. Fred. Dr. of what?? There is no
> > Doctor
> > > I know of that says "your" instead of "you're" where it's appropriate!
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > news:v8eh4vqsf6v712@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > "I only put up a beg page because it was the..." What a load of
bovine
> > > > excrement. You claim to have a high paying job, that one assumes
comes
> > > with
> > > > medical benefits, and you feel it is OK to beg because it "is the
next
> > big
> > > > thing on the internet".
> > > >
> > > > What a sorry individual! No need to plunk me, your from this moment,
> > on
> > > > permanent ignore...
> > > >
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:RjHha.35063$ja4.2247608@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At
> > least
> > > I
> > > > > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
The
> > > only

> > > > > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it
> was
> > > the
> > > > > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
doing
> > it.
> > > > > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> surgeries
> > > > that
> > > > > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my
toy.
> > > > > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> didn't
> > > > give
> > > > > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> Mommy
> > > will
> > > > > give you one: PLONK!
> > > > >
> > > > > ;)
> > > > >
> > > > > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
an
> > SOB
> > > > and
> > > > > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> being
> > > nice
> > > > > to losers like you.
> > > > >
> > > > > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> your
> > > > > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy.
> Go
> > > cry
> > > > > to Mommy.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
be
> > > > forgiven
> > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> ashamed,
> > > > when
> > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> > your
> > > > > bills
> > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > guess.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
do
> > what
> > > > you
> > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
any
> > > sort
> > > > of
> > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
bigger
> > > naive.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
> own
> > > damn
> > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
sell
> > your
> > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >

> > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >
news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
> RUDE
> > to
> > > > > > someone
> > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
> and
> > > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs
us
> to
> > > > help
> > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
> compassion!
> > > His
> > > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
> for
> > > > help.
> > > > > > He
> > > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY
much!
> > You
> > > > > > should
> > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

1703. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:07:59 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jT3ia.37608$ja4.2381691@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:6m3ia.20339$yU1.14603@fed1read02...
>
> "Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:9XOha.312914$sf5.292911@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > Colon problems?
>
> I hear that afflicts arseholes everywhere.
>
> -me
>
>
~~~~~~~

1704. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:08:00 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E88CA8A.5E9709A0@email.com>
Source record: 33919
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> Gore recounted the Florida votes. Gore won the popular vote. Bush won
> the Electoral College vote. More people voted for Gore, just not in
> the right states. The Electoral College determines who gets to be
> President. More American citizens voted for Gore than Bush.
>
> Rich

Hey Rich! Right on! That is 100% right. We agree again. What is this, 4 times in a row?

1705. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:08:01 UTC
From: sven@gordsven.com
Message-ID: <slrnb8hir2.si7.sven@gordsven.com>
Source record: 33922
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

In article <3E88C43D.7CB77A26@email.com>, John Simmons wrote: >> The US
> Oh yes they do. The distinctive beep-beep-beep is nothing else but a
> jamming signal, and sources of these signals have been located to US

Those are beacons and other assorted electronic hash - probably from
electronic gadets!!! I once heard a modem over one of the SW bands - at
least it had that squeal-beep-beep that modems do when they're
"handshaking."

> I forget the guy's name. The one that starts out with him calling CQ like a
> typical ham might, but it is a broadcast program from Radio Havana.

I have been listening to Radio Havana Cuba's English and Spanish shows
since 1991 when my uncle gave me his Zenith Tranoceanic Royal D7000Y.

I also would listen to Radio Marti, but they're pretty boring.

There is no jamming of Radio Havana. You're full of it.

I also listen to Radio Reloj (all news) and Radio Rebelde's webcasts at
work occasionally to catch up on news and stuff....

The USA government doesn't jam that either (they could potentially block
access to .cu domains just like France and other countries do to certain
websites).

The first overseas (Canada not included) radio station I remember tuning
into as a child was Radio Kiev (not the now-defunct one in Los Angeles) on
a half-dead portable radio/cassette recorder.

They were doing English news broadcasts and the signal was coming very
clear.

This was back in 1988/89. The Soviet Union was still in existence.

--
Sven

1706. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:09:12 UTC
From: Tad Early <fenwick_island@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b6ahqdnqt1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 33920
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"me" <me@none.com> wrote in message
news:Bg3ia.20335$yU1.17231@fed1read02...
> They weren't scuds. They were chinese silkworm cruise missiles. But
of
> course, just ask the LIBERALS, that makes it OK.
>
> "Poor Saddam, you see, is only defending himself against big bad us.
It's
> all dubyas fault. He just wants the oil."
>
> Gawd, I wish they'd stop. I left my hipwaders and s--tshovel in my
other
> truck.
>
> -me

Yeah, I stand corrected. I was actually thinking of the Al--Sabboud
2s, which I guess are not skuds technicially.

http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A39782-2003Mar27.html

1707. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:10:41 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <RV3ia.37614$ja4.2382191@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33921
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dwight, thanks. Breathing a little better today. I figured out what it
was yesterday that I was responding to. It was just a little bit of radio
noise and what I should have done was switch it off! *Click* Then it's all
gone. In fact, I hardly remember any of it. :)

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BAAD3695.152F5%stewart@say.net...
> "Celt Chic" wrote:
> >
> > Ok, I think I have this figured out. After a few
> > emails sent to me explaining the nature of some
> > male newsgroup posters, it appears the few men
> > that have attacked me on this board use the
> > internet to make them feel "bad, tough, big, smart,
> > and dominant." (snip)
>
>
> Stacey, you appear to be a very nice person. Don't lower yourself to the
> same level by responding with similar garbage. Sit back, take a deep
breath,
> and ignore the negative comments.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
~~~~~~~

> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

1708. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:14:39 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <zZ3ia.20149$0X.5385609@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33923
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:aI3ia.1665$yD7.149771530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...

> Please cite some evidence other than your fertile imagination that the US
> jams any radio broadcasts.

Hey, this Simmons is the SAME ignorant, uneducated troll that claims Israel
is a "recent" nation.

1709. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:17:05 UTC
From: sven@gordsven.com
Message-ID: <slrnb8hjc1.si7.sven@gordsven.com>
Source record: 33927
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

In article <zZ3ia.20149$0X.5385609@twister.columbus.rr.com>, Q wrote:
> Hey, this Simmons is the SAME ignorant, uneducated troll that claims Israel
> is a "recent" nation.

Well..the country of Israel as we know it today is fairly recent. It was established in what...the late 40s, 50s or something.

Shortly after the Second Worldwide Slaughter...the Brits divvied all of that up. Then the neighboring countries (Egypt, Jordan, etc.) weren't all to happy to have a bunch of Jews living in their back yard and they tried to raise Cain.

The Jews fought back...and beat the holy crap out of their agressors and took some of their land (parts of which they have returned). :-) :-)

Of course if you believe the Bible, the ethnic group that lays claim to this strip of land has been around for thousands of years.

There have been wars, invasions and occupations over the years - Medes, Persians, Philistines, Romans....etc.

Goes with the territory, I guess.

--
Sven

1710. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:17:22 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <604ia.1667$oL7.150222004@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33924
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:zZ3ia.20149$0X.5385609@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:aI3ia.1665$yD7.149771530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> > Please cite some evidence other than your fertile imagination that the
US
> > jams any radio broadcasts.
>
> Hey, this Simmons is the SAME ignorant, uneducated troll that claims
Israel
> is a "recent" nation.

Moses was a "recent" leader, too?

1711. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:21:53 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <l44ia.1668$nA7.149595348@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33926
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Sven Franklyn Weil" <sven@gordsven.com> wrote in message
news:slrnb8hir2.si7.sven@gordsven.com...
> In article <3E88C43D.7CB77A26@email.com>, John Simmons wrote: >> The US
> > Oh yes they do. The distinctive beep-beep-beep is nothing else but a
> > jamming signal, and sources of these signals have been located to US
>
> Those are beacons and other assorted electronic hash - probably from
> electronic gadets!!! I once heard a modem over one of the SW bands - at
> least it had that squeal-beep-beep that modems do when they're
> "handshaking."

Not forgetting Over The Horizon radar, which is, or was, notorious for sweeping up and down the band with its distinctive noise. The USSR had many of these installations.
>
> I have been listening to Radio Havana Cuba's English and Spanish shows
> since 1991 when my uncle gave me his Zenith Tranoceanic Royal D7000Y.

My newsroom in Ecuador in the late 60's always checked RHC, and, as long as propagation was favorable, we never heard jamming at all. Generally, interference was from Argentine or Brazilian SW stations in regular operation.

A dozen or so Cuban AMs are regularly listenable in South Florida; none is jammed. I have heard about 60 of them at night from the western edges of Miami.

1712. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:25:58 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a84ia.37650$ja4.2383693@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33929
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b6aioe$204e$1@news3.infoave.net...
> Now she sounds like a damn broken record with that this is my
simple...crap.
> She is starting to remind me of Buford T. Pusser from Smokey and the
Bandit.
> Where he was always going.,...OHM, OHM, OHM to keep his heart rate down.
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Ps3ia.37526$ja4.2379556@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> > complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> > philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
~~~~~~~

> > news:b6862lqil1@news3.infoave.net...
> > > I am smarter than you, na na na na. Get real. Prove it. Besides the
> > grammar,
> > > the IQ or smarter than you thing is the dumbest thing I have ever
heard
> > and
> > > If you make so much money, what the hell are you doing in a newsgroup
> > > causing so much trouble? Give a tea party, or maybe even a cotillion.
By
> > the
> > > way, I had to look that one up, 'cause my IQ hasn't been tested and I
am
> > not
> > > worried about my IQ like you are. I have seen so many dip shits in my
> life
> > > with the so called high IQ. Can you even wipe your A$$ with that IQ of
> > > yours?
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all that
> > > > matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my league.
> No
> > > > more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group
> back
> > on
> > > > topic.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > > > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >At least I
> > > > > >have a high paying job
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Hence the need to beg.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have
> money,
> > > > then
> > > > > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > The only
> > > > > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted
originally.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > > > > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
doing
> > it.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is
> > going
> > > > to
> > > > > catch on. I hope not.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> > surgeries
> > > > that
> > > > > >have put me in the bind.

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry to hear that.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks,
I
> > have
> > > > my
> > > > > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> > didn't
> > > > give
> > > > > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> > Mommy
> > > > will
> > > > > >give you one: PLONK!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig.
Seems
> I
> > > hit
> > > > a
> > > > > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is
that
> > > true?
> > > > >
> > > > > >;)
> > > > >
> > > > > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
an
> > SOB
> > > > and
> > > > > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> being
> > > > nice
> > > > > >to losers like you.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on
the
> > > > > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> > > > >
> > > > > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> > your
> > > > > > >business.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > > > > > >to Mommy.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
be
> > > > forgiven
> > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> ashamed,
> > > > when
> > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> > your
> > > > > bills
> > > > > > and buy you toys.

> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
Chic's
> > > > > website:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > guess.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
do
> > what
> > > > > you
> > > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
any
> > > sort
> > > > > of
> > > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
bigger
> > > > naive.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
> own
> > > damn
> > > > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
sell
> > your
> > > > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>

1713. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:30:09 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b6aioe$204e$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 33928
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Now she sounds like a damn broken record with that this is my simple...crap.
She is starting to remind me of Buford T. Pusser from Smokey and the Bandit.
Where he was always going.,...OHM, OHM, OHM to keep his heart rate down.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Ps3ia.37526$ja4.2379556@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b6862lqil1@news3.infoave.net...
> > I am smarter than you, na na na na. Get real. Prove it. Besides the
> grammar,
> > the IQ or smarter than you thing is the dumbest thing I have ever heard
> and
> > If you make so much money, what the hell are you doing in a newsgroup
> > causing so much trouble? Give a tea party, or maybe even a cotillion. By
> the
> > way, I had to look that one up, 'cause my IQ hasn't been tested and I am
> not
> > worried about my IQ like you are. I have seen so many dip shits in my
life
> > with the so called high IQ. Can you even wipe your A$$ with that IQ of
> > yours?
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all that
> > > matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my league.

No
> > > more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group
back
> on
> > > topic.
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >At least I
> > > > >have a high paying job
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Hence the need to beg.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have
money,
> > > then
> > > > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > The only

> > > > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted originally.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > > > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing
> it.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is
> going
> > > to
> > > > catch on. I hope not.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> surgeries
> > > that
> > > > >have put me in the bind.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sorry to hear that.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks, I
> have
> > > my
> > > > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> didn't
> > > give
> > > > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> Mommy
> > > will
> > > > >give you one: PLONK!
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig. Seems
I
> > hit
> > > a
> > > > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is that
> > true?
> > > >
> > > > >;)
> > > >
> > > > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an
> SOB
> > > and
> > > > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
being
> > > nice
> > > > >to losers like you.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on the
> > > > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> > > >
> > > > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> your
> > > > >business.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > > > >to Mommy.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)

> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > >
> > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> your
> > > > bills
> > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > >
> > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> guess.
> > > > >
> > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
> what
> > > you
> > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> > sort
> > > of
> > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > > naive.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
own
> > damn
> > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > >
> > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
> your
> > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > >
> > > > > Sickening...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >

> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1714. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:32:34 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <ve4ia.293$ie2.15327@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 33930
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Says you, god.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E88BA4B.95D10BFF@email.com...
> > > > > Actually the POPE should go and be a human shield. Bush would
not
> > > > > dare drop any bombs if he knew there was a chance that he would
hit
> > and
> > > > > kill the pope! The pope claims to be against the war, but does
not
> > > > > do the one thing that would put an END to it. Invite Saddam
into
> > the
> > > > > Vatican embassy still open in Baghdad and the pope be there with
him
> > as
> > > > > a human shield.
> > > > >
> > > > > That would STOP THE WAR. But the pope does not do this. Why?
> > Is
> > > > > he afraid to die? He would just got to go to heaven with Jesus
and
> > > > > God!
> > > > >
> > > > > The POPE is a hypocrite scum that has the power to end the war he
> > claims
> > > > > he is against, but does not use his power to end this war. He
does
> > > > > not believe in God or he would do this.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > You know how shitty of a health condition the Pope is in? Hey would
> > likely
> > > > die on the plane because of the rigor of cabin pressurization, high
> > > > altitude, recycled air, etc.
> > >
> > > Nonsense! The good lord would look over him and make sure he made it
in
> > good
> > > health! Especially when the Pope prayed to god for helping him with
such
> > a

> > > noble cause, don't you agree?
> >
> > >
> > > The Pope could not lose. He and god have the power to stop the war,
yet
> > > neither make any effort to do so.
> >
> > >
> > > Are you saying the Pope does not really believe in god and see's your
> > point
> > > and does not want to chance it and die? Perhaps going to heaven is
not
> > a
> > > comforting enough a fantasy to truly believe in himself?
> > >
> > >
> >
> > No. I'm saying MAYBE he isn't meant to be a martyr, whether he dies en
route
> > or in Iraq itself. I'm sure God is guiding him in whatever he does.
>
> You believe in gods. You just lost all credibility of being intelligent.
If
> the Pope believed in his god, he would have gone to Iraq and did exactly
as
> suggested above.
>
> No one in the church government believes in god. If priests did, they
would
> not be molesting little boys, because they would know that god would know
this
> and punish them. They know it is all a bunch of bullshit to make tax
free
> money with. If they believed it, they would not rape little boys.
>
> If the Pope believed in god, he would go to Iraq now.
>
>
>
>

1715. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:54:17 UTC
From: Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <dXSdnbFYsOqkSBWjXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 33931
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:cx3ia.37547$ja4.2378615@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Frank, ;)
>
> Unfortunately one thing I never learned in life was how to hurt someone's
> feelings. I don't do a good job at it. My parents should have worked
> harder at teaching me to hurt others than love them, as I would have been
> much better to protect myself against such things as internet "trolls."
> But, I have to give them credit, love gets me much more than hate. :)
So,
> I've given up my flame war with those that seem to enjoy picking on a girl
> who is probably half their age!
>
> Their parents should have taught them that gentlemen don't do that to
women.
> If their parents are dead I'm sure they're rolling in their graves! I
don't
> care what I say to them, a gentleman should just tip his hat and keep
> strolling along.
>
> But, I'm just not skilled at hurting people, obviously. I'll just stick
to
> what I'm used to and keep on LOVING everyone! *SMMMMOOOOOOOCH*

I don't care what sex you are. If you're a con artist, a phony and a fake,
I'll call you on it.

I personally think you're all of the above.

1716. Re: Here's an Iraqi who is prepared to surrender to "Q"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:54:18 UTC
From: Victor Newman <vsnewman@juno.net>
Message-ID: <Ky4ia.1803$ey1.162871@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

OMG

You need to get a life!

Or a Boyfriend from Iraq!

LOL!!!!!

"Erol Gray" <egray@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:foRha.309049$6b3.1441176@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>
>
>

1717. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:55:10 UTC
From: jesseg <some@thing.com>
Message-ID: <yz4ia.283322$3D1.154253@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 33932
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

EXACTLY what i originally said, I dont have a point...

but you're proving it...

do you get it yet??

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E88AD5A.20502@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> jesseg wrote:
> > ok, so i did. sorry...
> >
> Too late.
>
> >
> > here's the deal dude,
>
> There is no deal.
>
> > i didnt post any of my messages relating to anything
> > your even talking about, not to mention all the last ten posts that you
and
> > Q are posting in here are totaly spam, that's besides the point i was
trying
> > to prove.
> >
> On what basis are you saying our posts are spam? We are simply
> replying to other posts. I suggest you search the internet and
> learn the definition of spam. You are not very bright for someone
> who claims an IQ of 160.
>
> >
> > The thing that's wrong is you and him keep talking as if your opinions
are
> > fact, and keep defending them by attacking everyone else... its' just
> > ridiculous. Look, over half of the messages are from you two. Youre
just
> > throwing gas into the fire....
>
> And like you don't think your opinions are fact.
>
> >
> > Now think about this: I dont have an IQ of 160... I toatly lied to

help
> > prove my point that ALL OF THIS IS STUPID and that its all a joke. But
you
> > *very* unexpectedly actually believed me about the IQ joke.... in the
same
> > email with a file called "youre proving my point.mp3"
>
> Don't flatter yourself that I really believed you have an IQ of
> 160. I said you *claim* to have an IQ of 160, it is evident to the
> most casual of observers that you don't. Your IQ is most likely
> about half your shoe size.
>
> >
> > Really, have you *ever* heard of sarcasm??
> >
> > Of course you have, but the question I just asked, that was sarcasm too.
Of
> > course I know you've heard of sarcasm... but yet you still dont get the
> > joke. You probably thought the line above was me insulting you...
well...
> >
> > You really are proving my point, and I'm not being sarcastic.
>
> So far you have had no point.
>
> >
> >
> > Not only that, but you still are posting around attacking other people's
> > opinions that are different than yours, and I think that's pointless.
>
> And they don't attack my opinions I suppose.
>
> >
> > For one thing, what value should be placed on an opinion of someone who
> > believes everything they are told??? (like 160 iq, rofl) What would
you
> > believe that people people who apear to have clout, talk radio, the
news,
> > and politicians tell you??
>
> Again, don't flatter yourself.
>
> >
> > now... prove the point to yourself. I'm not starting any wars here, I
was
> > making light of the situation, that's why I sent you that mp3 about
> > mediocrity, I'm not calling you mediocre dude. Or Q either.
> >
> > the joke simply went over your heads... so i'll stop now.
> >

> > besides i have alot of packing to finish.
> > later
>
> Dare we hope you are moving to Iraq and taking Simmions with you?
>

1718. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-03-31 23:55:15 UTC
From: Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <jgadnbMbN47pSBWjXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 33933
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I take back the part about the con artist. To your credit, you did take down the cyber-begging page.

1719. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:01:33 UTC
From: jesseg <some@thing.com>
Message-ID: <xF4ia.283377$3D1.154298@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 33935
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

JJ you have it all wrong dude... John was not saying he knows anything about the universe.

I got the point though, he was simply making a point of the fact that NOBODY knows anything about the universe really, its ALL speculation.

Why you go on posting against him and me, for not having an opinion.. in fact saying that we DONT know what were talking about.... thats whats futile.

I believe John and I (correct me if im wrong john) is that nobody can know anything for sure to be fact in the war on Iraq. Not even the highest of the high in the chain of command on the US or Iraqi side.

So for you to be continually posting about your opinions as if they are fact is reduculous. You dont know for a FACT anything of what you say to be true... just as much as NOBODY knows anything about the universe to be the truth.

is that how you feel too John?

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message news:3E88B0AF.40300@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> John Simmons wrote:
>
>
> >
> > Now, back to the excellent statement that was made. People did believe the world
> > was flat based just on what they were told. Like the idiots today who believe in
> > the Big Bang Theory and that the Universe (existence) had a beginning. As if
> > you could create a Universe without there being any existence to create one in.
> > What explosion can occur if there is no Universe (or existence) for it to explode
> > in? Yet, no matter how ridiculous the theory, it must be believed because that
> > is what they told us in school, like the earth being flat centuries ago.
>
> Ah, so now you are an expert on how the universe came to be as
> well. You know much more than all the astronomers and physicist

> who have studied the universe for hundreds of years.
> So please explain to us the mysteries of just how the universe
> came to be. I am waiting for this revelation that the astronomers
> have been searching for all these years. I am sure they would like
> to know as well, it will save them many more years of research.
> It is obvious you simply know everything there is to know, so
> please enlighten us.
> With all your great knowledge I just can't understand why you are
> not in charge of the country, in fact, in charge of everything.
> Have you written to Washington to tell them just how smart you
> are, that you have all the answers to everything, and they should
> put you in charge? No, why not? I am sure Bush would gladly step
> aside if he only realized that you could solve all the world's
> problems with your vast knowledge. I bet your IQ is even higher
> than jesseq's. Wow, how privileged we are to be able to exchange
> barbs with such an all knowing person. Oh, just had a though,
> maybe you really are not a person, maybe you are God him/herself!!!!
> Shhhhheeeeeeeessssssssss!!!
>

1720. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:09:31 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <_M4ia.20366$yU1.15562@fed1read02>
Source record: 33936
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No, that was you that wanted me to try that new Pepsi Blue/windex flavored soda. I know it was.

What does that pay anyhow? 5, 6 bucks an hour? Awful. I'd be begging too.

-me

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:7A3ia.37555$ja4.2380143@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Those are polling agents. Not survey statisticians. Get your jobs right!
>
> This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:Lk3ia.20337$yU1.309@fed1read02...
> > Oh no, she's one of those freaks that stop you in the mall and asks you to
> > take their survey! EEK! RUN AWAAAAAY!!!
> >
> > -me
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician.
> Read
> > > That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> > > intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a level
> > > below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL
> > of

> > > mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a
> mathematical
> > > genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like
to
> > see
> > > what you do!!
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > forgiven
> > > > for that, of course.
> > > >
> > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> > when
> > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
your
> > > bills
> > > > and buy you toys.
> > > >
> > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > website:
> > > >
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > >
> > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
guess.
> > > >
> > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
what
> > you
> > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> sort
> > of
> > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > naive.
> > > >

> > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
> damn
> > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > >
> > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
your
> > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > >
> > > > Sickening...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE
to
> > > > someone
> > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> > > doesn't
> > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
> > help
> > > > him.
> > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion!
> His
> > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
> > help.
> > > > He
> > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much!
You
> > > > should
> > > > > be ashamed.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1721. Re: info swl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:11:29 UTC
From: Anonymous@anonymous.net
Message-ID: <v8hm7sp3s7m2a1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33937

Hello to all, they are a neophyte of the radioascolto and I am trying some situated publication or or other that can with a language from child of six years, to explain to me a little things useful in order to begin to chew of the matter without brancolare in the buio, type.... difference between uhf, vhf etc. etc... the long-wave, medium court..... rtty, usb, lsb... ecccccc..... insomma a little all without giving for discounted that one is already to ingeniere computer science. It would appeal to to me to deepen po' my insufficient acquaintances, therefore a day I will even understand also that what I am listening, like and why. Thanks to all those who will want to answer to me. Hello - dspg63

http://babelfish.altavista.com/

Translation Web Site, I use it for such occasions. Not perfect, but close enough, certainly better than that below.

Regards.

In article <uV1ia.1218$nD4.38594@twister1.libero.it>, "dspg63"
<dspg63@libero.it> wrote:
>Ciao a tutti,
>sono un neofita del radioascolto e sto cercando
>qualche pubblicazione o sito o altro che possa con un linguaggio da
>bambino di sei anni , spiegarmi un po' di cose utili per cominciare a
>masticare della materia senza brancolare nel buio, tipo ....
>differenza tra uhf, vhf ecc.. ecc... le onde lunghe, medie corte ....
>.... rtty, usb, lsb ... ecccccc.....
>insomma un po' di tutto senza dare per scontato che uno sia gi&#65533; un
>ingeniere informatico.
>Mi piacerebbe approfondire un po' le mie scarse conoscenze, cos&#65533; magari
>un giorno capir&#65533; anche che cosa sto ascoltando, come e perch&#65533;.
>Grazie a tutti coloro che vorranno rispondermi.
>
>Ciao - dspg63
>
>

De Gustibus Non Est Disputandum: -There's no arguing about matters of taste.
Multum in parvo: - Much in little (small but significant)
Sine qua non: -Indispensible part
Non sequitur: -It does not follow
Cogito ergo sum: - I think therefore I am.
Nota bene: - Note well
Tabula rasa: - Clean slate

1722. Re: Motorola GP-68 VHF HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:12:43 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <v8hmbr6064undd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <2E1ia.18311$gp1.13721@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>,
R. Belcher <randy.belcher@verizon.net> wrote:

>> Just curious of this radio has been FCC type accepted?
>> Motorola GP-68. I think NOT.

>The UHF GP-68 model is type accepted, so the VHF may well be also. But what
>does it matter.....??? If you are in the USA, don't bid.

If it's being used in the amateur 2-meter band, certification (nee
"type acceptance") is not required in the U.S.. It's necessary for
amateur equipment to comply with the power, spurious-emissions, and
other limits specified in Part 97, but it is _not_ necessary for the
equipment to have been formally certified or tested to meet those
limits.

--
Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

1723. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:13:33 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E88D9E6.FE47A692@email.com>
Source record: 33939
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> > In Iraq, if everyone checked the NO box, Saddam would have lost.
>
> HAHAHA!!! Lost what? Are you really so dumb as to think he would
> step down from power?

Bush hasn't yet. But you still don't see that 100% of the people voted for Saddam to stay, do you? This is something Bush can only DREAM about. Hitler also got a majority of the vote while the government was still a democratic one!

Considering only a handful of people voted no in a prior election, and how Bush forced the Iraqi people to love Saddam even more by Bush's actions, what idiot would waste time running against Saddam? Since 100% voted for Saddam, that would mean that no one else thought they were better than he was or there would have been one NO vote, and an extra man on the ballot.

> > In a previous
> > election, there were actually about 5 or 15 (forget the exact number off hand) people
> > that did not vote for Saddam.
>
> And they probably did not live to vote again.

Why do you say that? It was a secret ballot and no names were on them. How would he know out of millions of people how each voted? The elections were televised and I saw people putting ballots in the box without anyone checking it first.

> > Did you ever stop to think that if everyone is happy with a leader, that there would
> > not be anyone running against him?
>
> Just who do you think Saddam would allow to run against him?

Sure, he would just do what Bush did, have other people run against him, then take power anyway. See? Why couldn't Saddam do the same thing? He could have an electoral college system too. They could consist of his two sons, and their votes would count, and the people's wouldn't. Just like in the United States!

> > How many people voted for Bush?
>
> More than voted for Gore.

Gore had about a half a million more votes than Bush. How can you even argue this without doing the research first if you didn't know about this?

> > And you are making fun of Iraq's election process?
>
> No, you can't make fun of something that doesn't exist. Having
> only one name on the ballot and being forced to vote yes is not an

> election.

You have never voted before then. I see at least 2 or 3 offices on the ballot every time where there is only one candidate running. Unfortunately there is no "NO" hole to punch, so he ends up winning anyone if just one idiot punches the hole. What kind of election is that?

You need to start looking at your own election ballot before you start making fun of something that is not much different on another country's ballot.

1724. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:15:17 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E88DA4E.AF8B2E84@email.com>
Source record: 33940
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> > > In Iraq, if everyone checked the NO box, Saddam would have lost.
> >
> > HAHAHA!!! Lost what? Are you really so dumb as to think he would
> > step down from power?
>
> I can see it now - Hussein walks out of one of the MANY palaces he had built
> in HIS honor, after many years of being dictator, and says,
>
> "My people - you have chosen not to have me as your leader any longer, so I
> humbly return to you, the people of Iraq, after many decades of me being in
> control of every aspect of your miserable lives, this country and government
> to rule as you see fit. I shall return EVERYTHING I have plundered from you,
> and make reparations for the hundreds of thousands of your families taht I
> have gassed or otherwise murdered.
> I gladly yield to the will of the people...
> NOT!!!!!!!!!!"

Hey I don't see Bush stepping down from his Palaces (have you ever seen the inside of the White House and Camp David?) because the people didn't vote for him. "NOT!!!!!!" yourself!

1725. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:19:08 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E88DB35.88CD06D1@email.com>
Source record: 33941
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> This is a scanner group, but I'm assuming most here do a bit of SWLing as
> well. I hate to disagree with you (well, okay - I don't hate that) but lots
> of SWLers listen to English broadcasts from that region - including myself.
> WHEN Iraq had a station that was CAPABLE of such transmissions (and that's
> been gone long before the war)

HELLOOO! Thank you! The ultimate jamming is to bomb it away like we are doing to their radio and TV stations now. But, the US doesn't ever do this at all according to someone else on here.

> there was no attempt to block it's
> English-speaking programming (of which there was very little).

No, of course not. That beep beep beep originating with a much more powerful signal originating from the United States that I heard was just a dream. And that article in Monitoring Times or Pop Com that I read backing it up was all fantasy too.

Gees people. Wake up, you government DOES do this type of stuff!

1726. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:20:05 UTC
From: jesseg <some@thing.com>
Message-ID: <VW4ia.282932$F1.49369@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 33942
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

well i have to go move, now unpluggin my box for a few days. anywho just wanted to say you're right John... Regime change and liberation starts at home!

but thank god for the internet.

I got a great laugh out of this statement, whoever wrote this - thanks you made my day:

> "I watch the Fox
> News Channel,
> because they're
> unbiased and
> support the war 100
> percent."

Not only do I disagree with that statement, the statement itself is an idiom. If you dont see that... which you obvously dont, then you probably also dont think that your news is censored.

"BHhhaaaaaa.... Mmoooooo..... BHhhaaaaaa......"

later John boy,
cheers - keep seeking the full truth

1727. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:20:10 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <_W4ia.376$fy7.371@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33943
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E88DA4E.AF8B2E84@email.com...
> > > > In Iraq, if everyone checked the NO box, Saddam would have lost.
> > > >
> > > HAHAHA!!! Lost what? Are you really so dumb as to think he would
> > > step down from power?
> > >
> > I can see it now - Hussein walks out of one of the MANY palaces he had
built
> > in HIS honor, after many years of being dictator, and says,
> > >
> > "My people - you have chosen not to have me as your leader any longer,
so I
> > humbly return to you, the people of Iraq, after many decades of me being
in
> > control of every aspect of your miserable lives, this country and
government
> > to rule as you see fit. I shall return EVERYTHING I have plundered from
you,
> > and make reparations for the hundreds of thousands of your families taht
I
> > have gassed or otherwise murdered.
> > I gladly yield to the will of the people...
> > NOT!!!!!!!!!!"
>
> Hey I don't see Bush stepping down from his Palaces (have you ever seen
the
> inside of the White House and Camp David?) because the people didn't vote
for
> him. "NOT!!!!!!" yourself!

Compared to the real palaces of many nations, including some with far less riches than the USA, the White House is truly egalitarian. Camp David is near-Spartan by world "palace standards."

Most folks would agree that it is conducive to good decision making to have the decision makers in a good environment. Even Pol Pot and Papa Doc believed that.

1728. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:32:57 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <Z65ia.20506$yU1.18510@fed1read02>
Source record: 33944
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Don't worry about it Clip. She's one of those "self proclaimed" geniuses that feels she's above reproach because she's so smart.

She says she's a genius, demands to know your IQ then just noses up saying "Well mines higher than that." Yeah right. Prove it missy. So far all she's proven is that she can act like a pompous little snot without a clue about life in the real world. I can't believe people like that actually find and keep employment anywhere but in fast food restaurants. Go figure that she accuses us of the same!

-me

"Clip" <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:9smcnWa2Caex_BqjXTWcow@comcast.com...
> I am not a societal leech. I've got that going for me.
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all that
> > matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my league. No
> > more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group back
on
> > topic.
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > >At least I
> > > >have a high paying job
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Hence the need to beg.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have money,
> > then
> > > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > The only
> > > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted originally.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing
it.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is
going
> > to
> > > catch on. I hope not.
> > >
> > >
> > > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
surgeries
> > that
> > > >have put me in the bind.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sorry to hear that.

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks, I
have
> > my
> > > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> > >
> > >
> > > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
didn't
> > give
> > > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
Mommy
> > will
> > > >give you one: PLONK!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig. Seems I
> hit
> > a
> > > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is that
> true?
> > >
> > > >;)
> > >
> > > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an
SOB
> > and
> > > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
> > nice
> > > >to losers like you.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on the
> > > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> > >
> > > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
your
> > > >business.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > It's not, so why are you telling me?

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > > >to Mommy.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > forgiven
> > > > for that, of course.
> > > >
> > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> > when
> > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
your
> > > bills
> > > > and buy you toys.
> > > >
> > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > website:
> > > >
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > >
> > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
guess.
> > > >
> > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
what
> > you
> > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> > sort

> > of
> > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > naive.
> > > >
> > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
> damn
> > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > >
> > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
your
> > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > >
> > > > Sickening...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1729. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:33:34 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8hnis4428no2d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33945
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I think you mean Bufort T. Justice, Sheriff Pusser was a real person from Tennessee. He was immortalized in "Walking Tall".

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:b6aioe$204e$1@news3.infoave.net...
> Now she sounds like a damn broken record with that this is my simple...crap.
> She is starting to remind me of Buford T. Pusser from Smokey and the Bandit.
> Where he was always going.,...OHM, OHM, OHM to keep his heart rate down.
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Ps3ia.37526$ja4.2379556@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> > complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> > philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:b6862lqil1@news3.infoave.net...
> > > I am smarter than you, na na na na. Get real. Prove it. Besides the
> > grammar,
> > > the IQ or smarter than you thing is the dumbest thing I have ever heard
> > and
> > > If you make so much money, what the hell are you doing in a newsgroup
> > > causing so much trouble? Give a tea party, or maybe even a cotillion. By
> > the
> > > way, I had to look that one up, 'cause my IQ hasn't been tested and I am

> > not
> > > worried about my IQ like you are. I have seen so many dip shits in my
> life
> > > with the so called high IQ. Can you even wipe your A$$ with that IQ of
> > > yours?
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all that
> > > > matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my league.
> No
> > > > more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group
> back
> > on
> > > > topic.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > > > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >At least I
> > > > > >have a high paying job
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Hence the need to beg.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have
> money,
> > > > > then
> > > > > > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > The only
> > > > > > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted
originally.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > > > > > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
doing
> > it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is
> > going
> > > > > to
> > > > > > catch on. I hope not.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> > surgeries
> > > > > that
> > > > > > >have put me in the bind.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sorry to hear that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks,

I
> > have
> > > > my
> > > > > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> > didn't
> > > > give
> > > > > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> > Mommy
> > > > will
> > > > > >give you one: PLONK!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig.
Seems
> I
> > > hit
> > > > a
> > > > > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is
that
> > > true?
> > > > >
> > > > > >;)
> > > > >
> > > > > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
an
> > SOB
> > > > and
> > > > > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> being
> > > > nice
> > > > > >to losers like you.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on
the
> > > > > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> > > > >
> > > > > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> > your
> > > > > >business.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > > > > >to Mommy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
be
> > > > forgiven
> > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> ashamed,
> > > > when
> > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> > your
> > > > > bills
> > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > guess.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
do

> > what
> > > > you
> > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
any
> > > sort
> > > > of
> > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
bigger
> > > > naive.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
> own
> > > damn
> > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
sell
> > your
> > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1730. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:37:44 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E88DF91.9C04994E@email.com>
Source record: 33946
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> First of all, you should never try broadcasting on a freq you dont have a
> licens for, surely

they said it was a shortwave radio, not a transmitter.

1731. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:38:51 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <vc5ia.20543$yU1.5075@fed1read02>
Source record: 33847
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The below must be her way of crying "Owie, owie! Stop it! I'm not hearing you! I'm not hearing you!"

Sounds more like idiot savant than mathematical genius....

-me
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:rA3ia.37557$ja4.2380148@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
> news:v8f096hn3aql9b@corp.supernews.com...
> > Jeez, you're weird. "Blow your mind?" what does that mean anyway?
> >
> > ROFLMAO!
> >
> > Seriously, you've posted, something like ten messages to prove..what?
> >
> > ROFLMAO!
> >
> > You quote a dumb lyric and think it's 'clever'?
> >
> > ROTFLMAO!
> >
> > Hey chic, or whatever...you've made a fool of yourself.
> >
> > ROTFLMAO!!!
> >
> > Mikey "mind blower"
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:ccKha.35350$ja4.2267913@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Hey Mikey you're so fine, you're so fine you blow my mind, hey Mikey!
> > > (Yeah, I know it's supposed to be Mickey, but I believe in free
speech!)
> > >
> > > Urban legend:
> > > Claim: Little Mikey of LIFE cereal fame died from the explosive
> effects
> > of
> > > mixing Pop Rocks candy with soda pop.
> > > Status: False.
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
> > > news:v8erafcc7oauc4@corp.supernews.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:ITJha.35304$ja4.2265395@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > I don't think high IQ's have anything to do with how long I've
been
> on
> > > > this
> > > > > newsgroup. I'm not psychic. Now that is one thing that has
nothing
> > to
> > > do
> > > > > with IQ. By reading a message I'm supposed to know that it's
> genuine?
> > > If

> > > > > there is someone on this planet who can do that I'd sure like to
> meet
> > > > > them,
> > > > > > because I have a good use for them right here! They could
interpret
> > all
> > > > > of
> > > > > > these newsgroup messages and tell me that less than 10% of them
are
> > > > > genuine!<
> > > > >
> > > > > Well, you *could* have perused the older messages to ascertain the
> > > veracity
> > > > > of Mr Goldstein's track record. In fact, you've exposed yourself by
> > being
> > > > > ignorant of his 'fun' posts in the past. No matter, as I'm sure
you'll
> > > have
> > > > > a long-winded answer for everything for that too. And, GOOD for YOU.
I
> > > > > disagree with what you've been writing, but..you are an articulate
> > poster.
> > > I
> > > > > appreciate that.
> > > > >
> > > > > > In any case, I don't think anyone should post a message intended
as
> a
> > > joke
> > > > > > about someone having a son in the war. That isn't funny at all.
If
> > we
> > > > > have
> > > > > > to sink that low to find humour, then comedy is all out of ideas.<
> > > > >
> > > > > It's free speech, you are for free speech right? You seem to
exercise
> > your
> > > > > wanton right to it as I see. Hey kid, you may have good vocabulary,
> > which
> > > is
> > > > > a very jejune thing to brag about, but when in Rome...We talk
scanners
> > and
> > > > > radios here. Do likewise or be prepared to be ignored. (Or laughed
at)
> > > > >
> > > > > Mikey..the cutest name in town
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:v8epk84fmps089@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > Anyone who frequents this list knows Emilio's posts are usually
> > jokes.
> > > > > Often
> > > > > > very funny and topical.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Your so-called "Hi-IQ" didn't seem to catch this. Thus, you
might
> > have
> > > a
> > > > > > lower IQ than you thought. Worse, you brought personal issues
into
> a
> > > > silly
> > > > > > pseudo-flame-fest.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hey chicy, get smart. You may be smart in your social circle,
but
> > the
> > > > > > internet, for better or worse, is the leveler of all people. You
> > don't
> > > > > > impress me....
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ..you amuse me. (Barely)
> > > > > > Mikey
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >
news:K6Dha.34468$ja4.2218317@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > Emilio,
> > > > > >

> > > > > > > Bless you.... Your message really touched me. I wish there
was
> a
> > > way
> > > > I
> > > > > > > knew of that you could keep in touch with your son directly
> > through
> > > a
> > > > > > radio
> > > > > > > contact. But I know of none. The only connection I could
ever
> > > think
> > > > of
> > > > > > is
> > > > > > > through cell phone and I don't even know if they work from
Iraq
> to
> > > the
> > > > > > U.S.
> > > > > > > Your heart is big and sweet, Emilio. May your son be safe and
> > home
> > > > > before
> > > > > > > you even know it. I'm sure he makes you proud.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I did a search to see what frequencies you could listen to in
> > Iraq,
> > > > and
> > > > > > > these came from the milaircomms web page:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://www.milaircomms.com/middle_east_shortwave.html -
Schedule
> > of
> > > > > > broadcasts
> > > > > > > http://www.milaircomms.com/war_iraq.html - Main Iraq war page
> > > > > > > http://www.rferl.org/shortwave/shortwave-iq.asp - Radio Free
> Iraq
> > > > > listings
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I have not listened to these myself, so I do not know exactly
> what
> > > > you'll
> > > > > > > hear, but good luck to you in your search.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > A friend,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Stacey
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!

> > > > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first
remove
> > > > nospam)
> > > > > > > In the process of moving my web page from
> http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > > to
> > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > > > > > > > Hello all.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq
now.
> > He's
> > > a
> > > > > > > private
> > > > > > > > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > > > > > > > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me a
> > > > > "Realistic"
> > > > > > > > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of the
> > > middle
> > > > > > east.
> > > > > > > > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get is
> > that
> > > > > "time
> > > > > > > of
> > > > > > > > day" announcer.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It would
be
> > > neat
> > > > > to
> > > > > > > get
> > > > > > > > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each night
> > > (using
> > > > > > some
> > > > > > > > of
> > > > > > > > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can
pick
> > up
> > > > > his
> > > > > > > voice
> > > > > > > > and know that he is OK or not.
> > > > > > > > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful

trees
> > and
> > > a
> > > > > > lawn"
> > > > > > > > > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car
> batteries
> > > in
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > > > room"
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's
> happening
> > > to
> > > > > him.
> > > > > > > > If
> > > > > > > > > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than on
> the
> > > news
> > > > > or
> > > > > > > > that
> > > > > > > > > al-Jazeeera place.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq
> frequencies
> > > to
> > > > > hear
> > > > > > > > > him?
> > > > > > > > > and how do I talk back to him?
> > > > > > > > > Thanks for your help.
> > > > > > > > > EG
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >

1732. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:39:41 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8hnub2ui63u39@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33848
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

No one this ignorant has a right to be using air, that could be put to good use by others.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message news:3E88DB35.88CD06D1@email.com...
> > This is a scanner group, but I'm assuming most here do a bit of SWLing as
> > well. I hate to disagree with you (well, okay - I don't hate that) but lots
> > of SWLers listen to English broadcasts from that region - including myself.
> > WHEN Iraq had a station that was CAPABLE of such transmissions (and that's
> > been gone long before the war)
>
> HELLOOO! Thank you! The ultimate jamming is to bomb it away like we are
> doing to their radio and TV stations now. But, the US doesn't ever do this at
> all according to someone else on here.
>
> > there was no attempt to block it's
> > English-speaking programming (of which there was very little).
>
> No, of course not. That beep beep beep originating with a much more powerful
> signal originating from the United States that I heard was just a dream. And
> that article in Monitoring Times or Pop Com that I read backing it up was all
> fantasy too.
>
> Gees people. Wake up, you government DOES do this type of stuff!
>
>

1733. What kind of radio do American soldiers use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:49:44 UTC
From: buo <hkl@er.com>
Message-ID: <3E88E228.EAADEE85@er.com>
Source record: 33852

Are walkie talkies they use encrypted and what freq are they on.

1734. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:50:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E88E246.6080508@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33861
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons blathered again:
>>>In Iraq, if everyone checked the NO box, Saddam would have lost.
>>
>>HAHAHA!!! Lost what? Are you really so dumb as to think he would
>>step down from power?
>
>
> Bush hasn't yet. But you still don't see that 100% of the people voted for Saddam to
> stay, do you? This is something Bush can only DREAM about. Hitler also got a majority
> of the vote while the government was still a democratic one!

And you would have voted for Bush too if he had been the only one
on the ballot and you knew that a no vote would probably mean you
would not live to vote again. By the way, 100% of the people did
not vote for Saddam, many did not vote because they knew there was
only one choice on the ballot and they knew a no vote could mean
death, so they just did not vote. Anyone who voted knew they had
better vote yes.

>
> Considering only a handful of people voted no in a prior election, and how Bush forced
the
> Iraqi people to love Saddam even more by Bush's actions, what idiot would waste time
> running against Saddam? Since 100% voted for Saddam, that would mean that no one else
> thought they were better than he was or there would have been one NO vote, and an extra
man
> on the ballot.

You still don't get it, anyone foolish enough to check the no box
would not live to tell about it.

Lets see, you keep claiming that the Iraqis are not shooting their
own people. Well here is what is being reported.

***
Iraqi forces Monday tried to push women and children onto a bridge
between
Hilla and Karbala that the forces had rigged with explosives,
shooting a woman
who tried to escape, Brooks said at Central Command. Coalition
officials have
accused the Iraqi regime of using civilians as human shields, a
tactic Iraq denies.

In areas around Nasiriya, civilians told CNN they had been terrorized for years by Baath Party operatives.

One Iraqi, who goes by the name Hayat, said through an interpreter that Baath Party "thugs" shot him three times and left him for dead when he refused to fight in the Persian Gulf War. He said he has been trying to eke out a living between subsistence farming and odd jobs since to support his wife and three children.

Baath Party members come by all neighborhoods in Nasiriya on a regular basis to extort money and test loyalty, Hayat said. If neither is forthcoming, he said, "You will be shot."
****

But you still hold on to the illusion that Saddam does not shoot his own people.

And Saddam did not gas his own people. Well here it is.

***
Al Majeed, the commander of Iraqi forces in southern Iraq, is widely known as "Chemical Ali" for ordering Iraqi forces to use chemical weapons on Kurds in northern Iraq in 1988.
***
Notice it did not anything about say Iranian forces using chemical weapons on Iraqis.

Oh, I know, you don't believe any of it though, after all it wasn't reported by your approved news source, the Nation Inquirer. Yessir, that Saddam is a real nice guy, he and you make a real pair.

1735. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 00:57:27 UTC
From: Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <ioSdnU8ZMKGVeRWjXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 33849
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Here's a quote from you in a previous post:

"Hey, I'll do anything to protect myself from people who try to pick on me.
That IS my right. I was taught to always take up for myself."

And now you say:

"My parents should have worked harder at teaching me to hurt others than
love them, as I would have been
much better to protect myself against such things as internet 'trolls.'"

You're a phony.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:cx3ia.37547$ja4.2378615@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Frank, ;)
>
> Unfortunately one thing I never learned in life was how to hurt someone's
> feelings. I don't do a good job at it. My parents should have worked
> harder at teaching me to hurt others than love them, as I would have been
> much better to protect myself against such things as internet "trolls."
> But, I have to give them credit, love gets me much more than hate. :)
So,
> I've given up my flame war with those that seem to enjoy picking on a girl
> who is probably half their age!
>
> Their parents should have taught them that gentlemen don't do that to
women.
> If their parents are dead I'm sure they're rolling in their graves! I
don't
> care what I say to them, a gentleman should just tip his hat and keep
> strolling along.
>
> But, I'm just not skilled at hurting people, obviously. I'll just stick
to
> what I'm used to and keep on LOVING everyone! *SMMMMOOOOOOOCH*
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)

> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Frank White <fwhite*NOSPAM*@colfax.com> wrote in message
> news:b69mga$2m8g$4@news.fsr.net...
> > In article <XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > internetstuff47129@yahoo.com says...
> > >
> > >Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician.
Read
> > >That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> > >intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a level
> > >below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL
> of
> > >mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a
> mathematical
> > >genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like
to
> > see
> > >what you do!!
> >
> > If you do not mind a mild critique...
> >
> > In a flame war, it's a mistake to try to justify
> > yourself or give out information on yourself; the
> > other side can easily twist things to their own
> > advantage. Take the fight to them and try to force
> > THEM to prove they know what they're talking about.
> >
> > Or, more devastatingly, subject them to ridicule
> > and/or humor. Most flamers react to that the way
> > vampires do garlic.
> >
> > FW
> >
>
>

1736. Re: WinScan780 Update Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 01:07:25 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <gD5ia.20626$yU1.12473@fed1read02>
Source record: 33850

Big words from an anonymous troll. You're an idiot. Do not pass go, do not collect 200 dollars. I will laugh and laugh when you get sued for slander and copyright infringement.

Really.

Take your pills, drop the web site and go back to bed. The doctor told you not to strain yourself.

Post the patch - let's see some guts from the anonymous troll!

Yeah right - all talk and nothing more. Yawn. Next!

-me
So many morons... so little time.

"No Spam" <no@spam.org> wrote in message
news:934F91460nospamorg@216.168.3.44...
> This crap still does not work!!! We got the new patch and it ran for a
> total of 30sec before it to locked up, Check out:
>
> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm
>
> And get the real deal on controll software for your BC 780XLT and we are
> now testing a BC785D so look in to get the lowdown on that as well. We
have
> the patch for WinCrap780 I mean WinShit I mean WinScan780 and we are
> thinking about just uploading it here. it's a socalled patch not the real
> socalled software so there is nothing they can do about us uping it to the
> new group :-)

1737. Re: WinScan780 Patch - File 0 of 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 01:17:17 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <wM5ia.20634$yU1.9001@fed1read02>
Source record: 33851

Yeah right you gutless clod. The day you post the patch is the day I eat my hat.

By the way... lookie what I found in alt.binaries.radio.scanner:
Your post!
Path:
news1.west.cox.net!west.cox.net!cox.net!pd2nf1so.cg.shawcable.net!residentia
l.shaw.ca!sjc70.webusenet.com!news.webusenet.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-
01!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: no@spam.org (No Spam)
Newsgroups: alt.binaries.radio-scanner
Subject: ARC780
Date: Mon, 31 Mar 2003 21:24:02 -0000
Organization: The No Spam Org
Message-ID: <934FA5AC4nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@supernews.com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@supernews.com
Lines: 1
Xref: cox.net alt.binaries.radio-scanner:101589
X-Received-Date: Mon, 31 Mar 2003 16:24:04 EST (news1.west.cox.net)

Anyone have a crak or the reg # for ARC780???
<end>

So I guess Arc780 is good enough for you to recommend, but not good enough to BUY??? Uh oh.

And before you ask me to PROVE IT, I submit the following as Exhibit B: Your post!
Path:
news1.west.cox.net!west.cox.net!cox.net!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-06!sn-post-01!super
news.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: no@spam.org (No Spam)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: WinScan780 Update Now Available
Date: Mon, 31 Mar 2003 19:53:39 -0000
Organization: The No Spam Org
Message-ID: <934F91460nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
References: <FTOba.578$JE5.490@news2.central.cox.net>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@supernews.com
Lines: 11
Xref: cox.net rec.radio.scanner:208621
X-Received-Date: Mon, 31 Mar 2003 14:53:38 EST (news1.west.cox.net)

This crap still does not work!!! We got the new patch and it ran for a total of 30sec before it to locked up, Check out:
<snip>

As you can see, we have a PERFECT MATCH on the headers. What say you now you anonymous little punk? I got you dead to rights - I even have a website AND an IP address that can be used to trace you right down to that little keyboard you hide behind! Hee hee...

I hope the gang at Pozilla gets wind of this. Maybe I'll just be a dick and send them some email today. Or maybe tomorrow.

What a choice - alert them now or wait and watch you squirm? Decisions, decisions.....

-me

"No Spam" <no@spam.org> wrote in message
news:934F9B223nospamorg@216.168.3.44...
> Here is the socalled WinScan780 patch.

1738. West Coast Scanner Freq Manual & World Radio WRTH Handbook FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 01:26:29 UTC
From: Legend56bc <panel56@intergate.ca>
Message-ID: <ce213690f7331e8ceae5a79c64ac189b@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 33853
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

BlankPolice Call Radio Guide Wash Org Cal 1993
http://tinyurl.com/7b12

1994 World Radio TV Handbook WRTH Great Info!
http://tinyurl.com/7b17
If auctions have closed just email me..

--
My Cool Links!
My Auction Pages: http://modena.intergate.ca/personal/panel56/
My Classic Chevy Club Page: http://www.coastalclassicchevy.homestead.com/

1739. uniden e-scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 01:49:41 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <b6ar4qnfk1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 33854

I downloaded the e-scanner software version 2.0. I have opened the program up and selected the connection tab. I select the bc250d radio and then click auto configure. The software then checks the four com ports at 9600 baud and 19200 baud. At the end of this check, the software states that the radio cannot be detected. The small end of the remote cable is connected to the scanner and the larger end is connected to the back of the computer. There are no adapters in use for the cable. The scanner is turned on. If the scanner is turned on and connected, why doesn't the software configure itself for uploading? I can try the manual settings, but since auto configure didn't work, I don't know what to select for a manual connection. Has anyone else experienced this problem? How can it be corrected?

1740. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 02:00:59 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3E88F2DB.4811510F@topnow.com>
Source record: 33856
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JJ wrote:
>
> Erol Gray wrote:
>
> >
> > Why don't you read this one and get back to me:
> >
> > http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1
>
> The New Yorker is as reliable a source as the National Inquirer or
> any of the other rag mags you see at the grocery store checkout
> counter.

Or as reliable as that mouthpiece of the Administration, Fox
News.
I love how they spin everything and have the unmitigated
gall to claim objectivity.

1741. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 02:02:03 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b6arld$24ed$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 33855
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeah, That's it, got my redneck movies all wrong didn't I?

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v8hnis4428no2d@corp.supernews.com...
> I think you mean Bufort T. Justice, Sheriff Pusser was a real person from
> Tennessee. He was immortalized in "Walking Tall".
>
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b6aioe$204e$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > Now she sounds like a damn broken record with that this is my
> simple...crap.
> > She is starting to remind me of Buford T. Pusser from Smokey and the
> Bandit.
> > Where he was always going.,...OHM, OHM, OHM to keep his heart rate down.
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:Ps3ia.37526$ja4.2379556@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> > > complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple;
the
> > > philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:b68621qil1@news3.infoave.net...
> > > > I am smarter than you, na na na na. Get real. Prove it. Besides the
> > > grammar,
> > > > the IQ or smarter than you thing is the dumbest thing I have ever
> heard
> > > and

> > > > If you make so much money, what the hell are you doing in a
newsgroup
> > > > causing so much trouble? Give a tea party, or maybe even a
cotillion.
> By
> > > the
> > > > way, I had to look that one up, 'cause my IQ hasn't been tested and
I
> am
> > > not
> > > > worried about my IQ like you are. I have seen so many dip shits in
my
> > life
> > > > with the so called high IQ. Can you even wipe your A$$ with that IQ
of
> > > > yours?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all
that
> > > > > matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my
league.
> > No
> > > > > more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group
> > back
> > > on
> > > > > topic.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > > > > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >

> > > > > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >At least I
> > > > > > >have a high paying job
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hence the need to beg.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have
> > money,
> > > > > > then
> > > > > > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > The only
> > > > > > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted
> originally.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > > > > > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
> doing
> > > it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging
is
> > > going
> > > > > > to
> > > > > > catch on. I hope not.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> > > surgeries

> > > > > that
> > > > > > >have put me in the bind.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sorry to hear that.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No,
thanks,
> I
> > > have
> > > > > my
> > > > > > > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> > > didn't
> > > > > give
> > > > > > > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby
doll,
> > > Mommy
> > > > > will
> > > > > > > >give you one: PLONK!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig.
> Seems
> > I
> > > > > hit
> > > > > > > a
> > > > > > > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is
> that
> > > > > true?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >;)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip
you're
> an
> > > SOB
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> > being

> > > > > nice
> > > > > > >to losers like you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on
> the
> > > > > > > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any
of
> > > your
> > > > > > > >business.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > > > > > > >to Mommy.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > > nospam)
> > > > > > > In the process of moving my web page from
http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > to
> > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
> be
> > > > > forgiven
> > > > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> > ashamed,
> > > > > > when
> > > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help
pay
> > > your
> > > > > > bills
> > > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
> Chic's
> > > > > > website:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > > guess.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
> do
> > > what
> > > > > > you
> > > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
> any
> > > > sort
> > > > > > of
> > > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
> bigger
> > > > > naive.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay
your
> > own
> > > > > damn
> > > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
> sell
> > > your
> > > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >

> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1742. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 02:09:11 UTC
From: Erol Gray <JJ@alliswell.com>
Message-ID: <bx6ia.45329$Zo.11405@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 33858
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm with you there especialy that Bill o'reilly guy. He aregues with every
single guest. How is that "we report you decide".
It's always his opinion wins.

"Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:3E88F2DB.4811510F@topnow.com...
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Erol Gray wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Why don't you read this one and get back to me:
> > >
> > > http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1
> >
> > The New Yorker is as reliable a source as the National Inquirer or
> > any of the other rag mags you see at the grocery store checkout
> > counter.
>
> Or as reliable as that mouthpiece of the Administration, Fox
> News.
> I love how they spin everything and have the unmitigated
> gall to claim objectivity.

1743. Re: uniden e-scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 02:23:29 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E88F8D4.1040202@earthlink.net>
Source record: 33859

Evening,
You may have something using the serial port, like a Palm or a backup
unit even though you unplugged them. You have to turn them off.

Burr

Charlie Brookhart wrote:

> I downloaded the e-scanner software version 2.0. I have opened the program
> up and selected the connection tab. I select the bc250d radio and then click
> auto configure. The software then checks the four com ports at 9600 baud and
> 19200 baud. At the end of this check, the software states that the radio
> cannot be detected. The small end of the remote cable is connected to the
> scanner and the larger end is connected to the back of the computer. There
> are no adapters in use for the cable. The scanner is turned on. If the
> scanner is turned on and connected, why doesn't the software configure
> itself for uploading? I can try the manual settings, but since auto
> configure didn't work, I don't know what to select for a manual connection.
> Has anyone else experienced this problem? How can it be corrected?
>
>
>

1744. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 02:24:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3E88F84B.70408@mailcity.com>
Source record: 33880
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

David Eduardo wrote:
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E88C43D.7CB77A26@email.com...
>
>>>The US government does not jam the external radio broadcasts of any
>>
> country.
>
>>Oh yes they do. The distinctive beep-beep-beep is nothing else but a
>
> jamming
>
>>signal, and sources of these signals have been located to US military
>
> sites by
>
>>such magazines as Monitoring Times and Popular Communications.
>
> Sometimes they
>
>>even use thier own VOA on different frequencies to jam other stations from
>
> being
>
>>heard.
>
>
> Monitoring TImes and Popular Communications are pretty widely rejected by
> the experienced SW DX community, like Cumbre DX. They are hotbeds of
> conspiracy theories and clandestine radio opinions. The best one was the
> idea that Radio Swan/Radio Americas was not on Swan Island, but somewhere
> else. Which was funny, since I personally saw the transmitter on Isla de
> Cisne.

Oh my!! Are you casting doubts upon Johnnyboy's sources he refers
to as being bastions of truth?

1745. Re: WinScan780 Update Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 02:28:04 UTC
From: Erol Gray <JJ@alliswell.com>
Message-ID: <UO6ia.284676$F1.49640@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 33860

I can't fathom why someone would by software for a radio that looks like a radio. Just get a radio.
Or i fyou wanna use software, make it user friendly instead of "it looks like the radio you already have" friendly. Why put a big tuning knob on the screen. A tuning knob is for fingers not a mouse.

"No Spam" <no@spam.org> wrote in message news:934F91460nospamorg@216.168.3.44...
> This crap still does not work!!! We got the new patch and it ran for a
> total of 30sec before it to locked up, Check out:
>
> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm
>
> And get the real deal on controll software for your BC 780XLT and we are
> now testing a BC785D so look in to get the lowdown on that as well. We have
> the patch for WinCrap780 I mean WinShit I mean WinScan780 and we are
> thinking about just uploading it here. it's a socalled patch not the real
> socalled software so there is nothing they can do about us uping it to the
> new group :-)

1746. FA : Last Day! Motorola GP2000 UHF HT Brand New

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:02:11 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Ti7ia.82$DT2.228629@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

24 hours left, don't miss this one!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3015404455

1747. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:06:16 UTC
From: Speez <cns100@nospam.ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Im7ia.99$Xp3.57623@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33857
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Go for it! You'll do just fine. Just relax, take a deep breath and think
logically.
And in regards to the jerk on the internet, you handled that situation just
GREAT!'
Best of luck to you Celt!
Steve

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:rzIha.35151$ja4.2256687@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Eric,
>
> Thanks. You made me feel better. :)
>
> I'm worried about those exams because there was always one girl in my math
> classes who seemed to know everything. She took the first Actuarial exam
> three times and gave up trying. She gave me her study materials so I
think
> I'm going to give it a try! My head hurts just thinking about studying
for
> the thing!
>
> Of course, spending all my free time playing with my electronic gadgets
> isn't helping me study for the exams, that's for sure!!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Eric F. Richards <efricha@dim.com> wrote in message
> news:64ke8vknl5hdpp3g78br3scaeb3n864lav@4ax.com...
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing
around
> with

> > > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war. I've
> had
> > > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
> useless.
> > > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
> >
> > Hey, C.C.,
> >
> > Don't let him get to you. That's what floats his boat.
> >
> > And, yes, anyone can hide behind a keyboard and say things they'd
> > never say in person.
> >
> > Take care of yourself, and best of luck on the actuarial exams. I
> > took one as an advanced stats final, almost 20 years ago, and my head
> > still hurts!
> >
> > --
> > Eric F. Richards
> > efricha@dim.com
> > "The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> > - Dilbert
>
>

1748. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:06:34 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <_m7ia.38306$ja4.2409173@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33862
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks, Steve! Good luck to you too in all that you do! :)

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Speez <cns100@nospam.ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:Im7ia.99$Xp3.57623@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Go for it! You'll do just fine. Just relax, take a deep breath and think
> logically.
> And in regards to the jerk on the internet, you handled that situation
just
> GREAT!'
> Best of luck to you Celt!
> Steve
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:rzIha.35151$ja4.2256687@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Eric,
> >
> > Thanks. You made me feel better. :)
> >
> > I'm worried about those exams because there was always one girl in my
math
> > classes who seemed to know everything. She took the first Actuarial
exam
> > three times and gave up trying. She gave me her study materials so I
> think
> > I'm going to give it a try! My head hurts just thinking about studying
> for
> > the thing!
> >
> > Of course, spending all my free time playing with my electronic gadgets
> > isn't helping me study for the exams, that's for sure!!
> >
~~~~~~~

> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Eric F. Richards <efricha@dim.com> wrote in message
> > news:64ke8vknl5hdpp3g78br3scaeb3n864lav@4ax.com...
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > I've been in a bad mood ever since that guy came on here playing
> around
> > with
> > > > the war and making a joke over parents with children in the war.
I've
> > had
> > > > people in the shortwave newsgroup pick on me for getting a shortwave
> > > > listening call sign. I tried to make it peaceful there but it's
> > useless.
> > > > There are too many JERKS on the internet!
> > >
> > > Hey, C.C.,
> > >
> > > Don't let him get to you. That's what floats his boat.
> > >
> > > And, yes, anyone can hide behind a keyboard and say things they'd
> > > never say in person.
> > >
> > > Take care of yourself, and best of luck on the actuarial exams. I
> > > took one as an advanced stats final, almost 20 years ago, and my head
> > > still hurts!
> > >
> > > --
> > > Eric F. Richards
> > > efricha@dim.com
> > > "The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> > > - Dilbert
> >
> >
>
>

1749. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:08:29 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <No7ia.38314$ja4.2409498@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33864
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v8hnis4428no2d@corp.supernews.com...
> I think you mean Bufort T. Justice, Sheriff Pusser was a real person from
> Tennessee. He was immortalized in "Walking Tall".
>
> "Cowboy67" <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b6aioe$204e$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > Now she sounds like a damn broken record with that this is my
> simple...crap.
> > She is starting to remind me of Buford T. Pusser from Smokey and the
> Bandit.
> > Where he was always going.,...OHM, OHM, OHM to keep his heart rate down.
> >
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:Ps3ia.37526$ja4.2379556@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> > > complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple;
the
> > > philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
~~~~~~~

> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Cowboy67 <cowboy67111NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:b6862lqil1@news3.infoave.net...
> > > > I am smarter than you, na na na na. Get real. Prove it. Besides the
> > > grammar,
> > > > the IQ or smarter than you thing is the dumbest thing I have ever
> heard
> > > and
> > > > If you make so much money, what the hell are you doing in a
newsgroup
> > > > causing so much trouble? Give a tea party, or maybe even a
cotillion.
> By
> > > the
> > > > way, I had to look that one up, 'cause my IQ hasn't been tested and
I
> am
> > > not
> > > > worried about my IQ like you are. I have seen so many dip shits in
my
> > life
> > > > with the so called high IQ. Can you even wipe your A$$ with that IQ
of
> > > > yours?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all
that
> > > > > matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my
league.
> > No
> > > > > more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group
> > back
> > > on
> > > > > topic.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)

> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > > > > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >At least I
> > > > > > >have a high paying job
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hence the need to beg.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have
> > money,
> > > > > > then
> > > > > > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > The only
> > > > > > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted
> originally.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > > > > > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
> doing

> > > it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging
is
> > > going
> > > > > to
> > > > > > catch on. I hope not.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> > > surgeries
> > > > > that
> > > > > > > >have put me in the bind.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sorry to hear that.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No,
thanks,
> I
> > > have
> > > > > my
> > > > > > > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> > > didn't
> > > > > give
> > > > > > > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby
doll,
> > > Mommy
> > > > > will
> > > > > > > >give you one: PLONK!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig.
> Seems
> > I
> > > > hit
> > > > > > a

> > > > > > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is
> that
> > > > > true?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >;)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip
you're
> an
> > > > SOB
> > > > > > and
> > > > > > > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> > being
> > > > > > nice
> > > > > > > >to losers like you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on
> the
> > > > > > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any
of
> > > your
> > > > > > > >business.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > > > > > > >to Mommy.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > > nospam)

> > > > > > In the process of moving my web page from
http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > to
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
> be
> > > > > forgiven
> > > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> > ashamed,
> > > > > when
> > > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help
pay
> > > your
> > > > > > > bills
> > > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
> Chic's
> > > > > website:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > > guess.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
> do
> > > what
> > > > > > you
> > > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
> any
> > > > sort
> > > > > > of
> > > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
> bigger
> > > > > naive.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay
your
> > own
> > > > > damn
> > > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and

> sell
> > > your
> > > > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> >
>

1750. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:08:49 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5p7ia.38316$ja4.2409609@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33865
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:Z65ia.20506$yU1.18510@fed1read02...
> Don't worry about it Clip. She's one of those "self proclaimed" geniuses
> that feels she's above reproach because she's so smart.
>
> She says she's a genius, demands to know your IQ then just noses up saying
> "Well mines higher than that." Yeah right. Prove it missy. So far all
she's
> proven is that she can act like a pompous little snot without a clue about
> life in the real world. I can't believe people like that actually find and
> keep employment anywhere but in fast food restaurants. Go figure that she
> accuses us of the same!
>
> -me
>
> "Clip" <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:9smcnWa2Caex_BqjXTWcow@comcast.com...
> > I am not a societal leech. I've got that going for me.
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all that
> > > matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my league.
No
> > > more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group
back
> on
> > > topic.
> > >
~~~~~~~

> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >At least I
> > > > >have a high paying job
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Hence the need to beg.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have
money,
> > > then
> > > > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > The only
> > > > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted originally.
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > > > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing
> it.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is
> going
> > > to
> > > > > catch on. I hope not.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> surgeries
> > > that
> > > > > >have put me in the bind.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry to hear that.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks, I
> have
> > > my
> > > > > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> didn't
> > > give
> > > > > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> Mommy
> > > will
> > > > > >give you one: PLONK!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig. Seems
I
> > hit
> > > a
> > > > > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is that

> > true?
> > > >
> > > > >;)
> > > >
> > > > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an
> SOB
> > > and
> > > > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
being
> > > nice
> > > > >to losers like you.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on the
> > > > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> > > >
> > > > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> your
> > > > >business.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > > > >to Mommy.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message

> > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > >
> > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> your
> > > > bills
> > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > website:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > >
> > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> guess.
> > > > >
> > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
> what
> > > you
> > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> > sort
> > > of
> > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > > naive.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
own
> > damn
> > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > >
> > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
> your
> > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > >
> > > > > Sickening...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1751. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:09:06 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <mp7ia.38320$ja4.2409528@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33866
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:dXSdnbFYsOqkSBWjXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:cx3ia.37547$ja4.2378615@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Frank, ;)
> >
> > Unfortunately one thing I never learned in life was how to hurt
someone's
> > feelings. I don't do a good job at it. My parents should have worked
> > harder at teaching me to hurt others than love them, as I would have
been
> > much better to protect myself against such things as internet "trolls."
> > But, I have to give them credit, love gets me much more than hate. :)
> So,
> > I've given up my flame war with those that seem to enjoy picking on a
girl
> > who is probably half their age!
> >
> > Their parents should have taught them that gentlemen don't do that to
> women.
> > If their parents are dead I'm sure they're rolling in their graves! I
> don't
> > care what I say to them, a gentleman should just tip his hat and keep
> > strolling along.
> >
> > But, I'm just not skilled at hurting people, obviously. I'll just stick
> to
~~~~~~~

> > what I'm used to and keep on LOVING everyone! *SMMMMOOOOOOOCH*
>
> I don't care what sex you are. If you're a con artist, a phony and a
fake,
> I'll call you on it.
>
> I personally think you're all of the above.
>
>

1752. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:09:16 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wp7ia.38321$ja4.2409705@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33867
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message news:jgadnbMbN47pSBWjXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
> I take back the part about the con artist. To your credit, you did take
> down the cyber-begging page.
>
>
~~~~~~~

1753. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:09:30 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Kp7ia.38323$ja4.2409071@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33868
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message news:ioSdnU8ZMKGVeRWjXTWcoA@comcast.com...
> Here's a quote from you in a previous post:
>
> "Hey, I'll do anything to protect myself from people who try to pick on me.
> That IS my right. I was taught to always take up for myself."
>
> And now you say:
>
> "My parents should have worked harder at teaching me to hurt others than
> love them, as I would have been
> much better to protect myself against such things as internet 'trolls.'"
>
> You're a phony.
>
>
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:cx3ia.37547$ja4.2378615@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Frank, ;)
> >
> > Unfortunately one thing I never learned in life was how to hurt someone's
> > feelings. I don't do a good job at it. My parents should have worked
> > harder at teaching me to hurt others than love them, as I would have been
~~~~~~~

> > much better to protect myself against such things as internet "trolls."
> > But, I have to give them credit, love gets me much more than hate. :)
> So,
> > I've given up my flame war with those that seem to enjoy picking on a
girl
> > who is probably half their age!
> >
> > Their parents should have taught them that gentlemen don't do that to
> women.
> > If their parents are dead I'm sure they're rolling in their graves! I
> don't
> > care what I say to them, a gentleman should just tip his hat and keep
> > strolling along.
> >
> > But, I'm just not skilled at hurting people, obviously. I'll just stick
> to
> > what I'm used to and keep on LOVING everyone! *SMMMMOOOOOOOCH*
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Frank White <fwhite*NOSPAM*@colfax.com> wrote in message
> > news:b69mga$2m8g$4@news.fsr.net...
> > > In article
<XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > > internetstuff47129@yahoo.com says...
> > > >
> > > >Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician.
> Read
> > > >That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> > > >intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a
level
> > > >below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH
LEVEL
> > of
> > > >mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a
> > mathematical
> > > >genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like
> to
> > > see
> > > >what you do!!

> > >
> > > If you do not mind a mild critique...
> > >
> > > In a flame war, it's a mistake to try to justify
> > > yourself or give out information on yourself; the
> > > other side can easily twist things to their own
> > > advantage. Take the fight to them and try to force
> > > THEM to prove they know what they're talking about.
> > >
> > > Or, more devastatingly, subject them to ridicule
> > > and/or humor. Most flamers react to that the way
> > > vampires do garlic.
> > >
> > > FW
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1754. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:09:47 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <%p7ia.38325$ja4.2409481@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33869
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:_M4ia.20366$yU1.15562@fed1read02...
> No, that was you that wanted me to try that new Pepsi Blue/windex flavored
> soda. I know it was.
>
> What does that pay anyhow? 5, 6 bucks an hour? Awful. I'd be begging too.
>
> -me
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:7A3ia.37555$ja4.2380143@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Those are polling agents. Not survey statisticians. Get your jobs
right!
> >
> > This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> > complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> > philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
~~~~~~~

> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:Lk3ia.20337$yU1.309@fed1read02...
> > > Oh no, she's one of those freaks that stop you in the mall and asks
you
> to
> > > take their survey! EEK! RUN AWAAAAAY!!!
> > >
> > > -me
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician.
> > Read
> > > > That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> > > > intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a
> level
> > > > below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH
> LEVEL
> > of
> > > > mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a
> > mathematical
> > > > genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd
like
> to
> > > see
> > > > what you do!!
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > >
> > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
ashamed,

> > > when
> > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> your
> > > > > bills
> > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > > > website:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> guess.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
> what
> > > > you
> > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> > > sort
> > > > of
> > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > > > naive.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
own
> > damn
> > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
> your
> > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
RUDE
> to
> > > > > someone
> > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
and
> > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us
to
> > > help
> > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
compassion!

> > His
> > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
for
> > > help.
> > > > > He
> > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much!
> You
> > > > > > should
> > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>

1755. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:10:03 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fq7ia.38329$ja4.2408237@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33870
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:vc5ia.20543$yU1.5075@fed1read02...
> The below must be her way of crying "Owie, owie! Stop it! I'm not hearing
> you! I'm not hearing you!"
>
> Sounds more like idiot savant than mathematical genius....
>
> -me
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:rA3ia.37557$ja4.2380148@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> > complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> > philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
> > news:v8f096hn3aql9b@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Jeez, you're weird. "Blow your mind?" what does that mean anyway?
~~~~~~~

> > >
> > > ROFLMAO!
> > >
> > > Seriously, you've posted, something like ten messages to prove..what?
> > >
> > > ROFLMAO!
> > >
> > > You quote a dumb lyric and think it's 'clever'?
> > >
> > > ROTFLMAO!
> > >
> > > Hey chic, or whatever...you've made a fool of yourself.
> > >
> > > ROTFLMAO!!!
> > >
> > > Mikey "mind blower"
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ccKha.35350$ja4.2267913@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Hey Mikey you're so fine, you're so fine you blow my mind, hey
Mikey!
> > > > (Yeah, I know it's supposed to be Mickey, but I believe in free
> speech!)
> > > >
> > > > Urban legend:
> > > > Claim: Little Mikey of LIFE cereal fame died from the explosive
> > effects
> > > of
> > > > mixing Pop Rocks candy with soda pop.
> > > > Status: False.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to

> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:v8erafcc7oauc4@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:ITJha.35304$ja4.2265395@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > I don't think high IQ's have anything to do with how long I've
> been
> > on
> > > > > this
> > > > > > newsgroup. I'm not psychic. Now that is one thing that has
> nothing
> > > to
> > > > > do
> > > > > > with IQ. By reading a message I'm supposed to know that it's
> > genuine?
> > > > If
> > > > > > there is someone on this planet who can do that I'd sure like to
> > meet
> > > > > them,
> > > > > > because I have a good use for them right here! They could
> interpret
> > > all
> > > > > of
> > > > > > these newsgroup messages and tell me that less than 10% of them
> are
> > > > > genuine!<
> > > > >
> > > > > Well, you *could* have perused the older messages to ascertain the
> > > > veracity
> > > > > of Mr Goldstein's track record. In fact, you've exposed yourself
by
> > > being
> > > > > ignorant of his 'fun' posts in the past. No matter, as I'm sure
> you'll
> > > > have
> > > > > a long-winded answer for everything for that too. And, GOOD for
YOU.
> I
> > > > > disagree with what you've been writing, but..you are an articulate
> > > poster.
> > > > I
> > > > > appreciate that.
> > > > >
> > > > > In any case, I don't think anyone should post a message intended
> as
> > a
> > > > joke

> > > > > > about someone having a son in the war. That isn't funny at all.
> If
> > > we
> > > > > have
> > > > > > to sink that low to find humour, then comedy is all out of
ideas.<
> > > > >
> > > > > It's free speech, you are for free speech right? You seem to
> exercise
> > > your
> > > > > wanton right to it as I see. Hey kid, you may have good
vocabulary,
> > > which
> > > > > is
> > > > > a very jejune thing to brag about, but when in Rome...We talk
> scanners
> > > and
> > > > > radios here. Do likewise or be prepared to be ignored. (Or laughed
> at)
> > > > >
> > > > > Mikey..the cutest name in town
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > > nospam)
> > > > > > > In the process of moving my web page from
http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > to
> > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > mikey <mikey@rrs.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:v8epk84fmps089@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > > > Anyone who frequents this list knows Emilio's posts are
usually
> > > jokes.
> > > > > > > Often
> > > > > > > > very funny and topical.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Your so-called "Hi-IQ" didn't seem to catch this. Thus, you

> might
> > > have
> > > > a
> > > > > > > lower IQ than you thought. Worse, you brought personal issues
> into
> > a
> > > > > silly
> > > > > > > pseudo-flame-fest.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Hey chicy, get smart. You may be smart in your social circle,
> but
> > > the
> > > > > > > internet, for better or worse, is the leveler of all people.
You
> > > don't
> > > > > > > impress me....
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ..you amuse me. (Barely)
> > > > > > > Mikey
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > >
> news:K6Dha.34468$ja4.2218317@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > > Emilio,
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Bless you.... Your message really touched me. I wish there
> was
> > a
> > > > > way
> > > > > > I
> > > > > > > > > knew of that you could keep in touch with your son directly
> > > through
> > > > > a
> > > > > > > > radio
> > > > > > > > > contact. But I know of none. The only connection I could
> ever
> > > > > think
> > > > > > of
> > > > > > > > is
> > > > > > > > > through cell phone and I don't even know if they work from
> Iraq
> > to
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > > U.S.
> > > > > > > > > Your heart is big and sweet, Emilio. May your son be safe
and
> > > home
> > > > > > before
> > > > > > > > > you even know it. I'm sure he makes you proud.

> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I did a search to see what frequencies you could listen to
in
> > > Iraq,
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > > > these came from the milaircomms web page:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > http://www.milaircomms.com/middle_east_shortwave.html -
> Schedule
> > > of
> > > > > > > > broadcasts
> > > > > > > > > http://www.milaircomms.com/war_iraq.html - Main Iraq war
page
> > > > > > > > > http://www.rferl.org/shortwave/shortwave-iq.asp - Radio Free
> > Iraq
> > > > > > listings
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I have not listened to these myself, so I do not know
exactly
> > what
> > > > > > you'll
> > > > > > > > > hear, but good luck to you in your search.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > A friend,
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Stacey
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first
> remove
> > > > > > nospam)
> > > > > > > > > In the process of moving my web page from
> > http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > > > > to
> > > > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:b66p9okmp1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > > > > > > > > > Hello all.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I wonder if you can give me some help. My son is in Iraq
> now.
> > > He's

> > > > a
> > > > > > > > > private
> > > > > > > > > > and works on communications systems (that is radio gear) .
> > > > > > > > > > My neighbors pitched in together and bought my wife and me
a
> > > > > > "Realistic"
> > > > > > > > > > brand shortwave radio to listen to reports coming out of
the
> > > > middle
> > > > > > > > east.
> > > > > > > > > > I'd like to be able to pick up some broadcasts, all I get
is
> > > that
> > > > > > "time
> > > > > > > > of
> > > > > > > > > > day" announcer.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I had a thought - If I can get this radio working, It
would
> be
> > > > neat
> > > > > to
> > > > > > > > get
> > > > > > > > > > him to broadcast a few words from the battle zone each
night
> > > (using
> > > > > > > some
> > > > > > > > > of
> > > > > > > > > > our family code words if necessary) , and hopefully I can
> pick
> > > up
> > > > > his
> > > > > > > > > voice
> > > > > > > > > > and know that he is OK or not.
> > > > > > > > > > For instance - if he is OK, he will say "I see beautiful
> trees
> > > and
> > > > > a
> > > > > > > > lawn"
> > > > > > > > > > But if he's being tortured he might say "There are car
> > batteries
> > > > > in
> > > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > > > room"
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > That way my wife and I can sleep easier knowing what's
> > happening
> > > > to
> > > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > > > > If

> > > > > > > > > > he is in trouble, I want to hear it from him rather than
on
> > the
> > > > news
> > > > > > or
> > > > > > > > that
> > > > > > > > > > al-Jazeeera place.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > So my basic question is, how do I tune into the Iraq
> > frequencies
> > > > to
> > > > > > hear
> > > > > > > > > > him?
> > > > > > > > > > and how do I talk back to him?
> > > > > > > > > > Thanks for your help.
> > > > > > > > > > EG
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
>
>

1756. FA : Last Day! Motorola GP-68 VHF HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:10:24 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Aq7ia.83$DT2.231675@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Last day for this one!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3015467651

1757. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:27:30 UTC
From: Tad Early <fenwick_island@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b6b0ukdfo1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 33872
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:3E88F2DB.4811510F@topnow.com...
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Erol Gray wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > > Why don't you read this one and get back to me:
> > >
> > > > http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1
> >
> > The New Yorker is as reliable a source as the National Inquirer or
> > any of the other rag mags you see at the grocery store checkout
> > counter.
>
> Or as reliable as that mouthpiece of the Administration, Fox
> News.
> I love how they spin everything and have the unmitigated
> gall to claim objectivity.

Is your problem the fact that they *claim* to be objective and aren't?
Or the fact that they just aren't? All news broadcasts at least
implicitly hold themselves out as objective, and the voluminous number
of left-leaning ones have never gotten any criticism from the same
people who are now taking on Fox. I agree that Fox tilts right; it's
just the double standard I find curious.

1758. Another one wakes up and smells the coffee burning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:28:11 UTC
From: Erol Gray <JJ@alliswell.com>
Message-ID: <fH7ia.285785$3D1.157405@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 33873
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Another one wakes up and smells the coffee burnin:

http://www.msnbc.com/news/891794.asp#BODY

1759. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:37:23 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0303311937.487c2f61@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33874
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:<3E88B0E7.8D8E108@sympatico.ca>...
> RHF wrote:
> >
> > M2CW,
> >
>
>
>
> M2CW South & Mid Wales Regional Crime Squad Callsign ???
>
> Just curious since this is a radio group.
> Dave

Dave.

Not Really - Simply "My 2 Cent Worth" (M2CW)
- - - Being a 'Butt-In-Ski' with a Comment.

~ RHF
Old Inspectors Never Die...
- - - They Simply Check-Out !

.

1760. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:41:59 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3E890A85.C882F948@topnow.com>
Source record: 33875
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Erol Gray wrote:
>
> Rush Limbaugh 3/31/2003: "The world watches in AWE as our American troops
> uncover Sadaam's WMD!"
>
> That's what Rush would be saying if there were any WMD.
>
> Because Bush would tell our troops where the WMD are and save his sinking
> crock of crap.

There are several vital things that time will tell. Watch
closely to see how they unfold:

1. Liberation vs. Invasion and occupation

This is the scariest one. Only time will tell whether the
bulk of Iraqis see us as liberators or invaders to be
repulsed at all costs. This will determine in large measure
whether the coalition will be fighting only elite soldiers,
or will be constantly surrounded and engaged by an armed
population of ordinary folks who are defending their home
against invaders.

The ramifications of which way this will go are literally
titanic. The stability of the region is at stake.

2. WMD status

Although informed people never believed wild claims that
Saddam had the ability to threaten other countries with his
WMDs, it seems likely he does have *some* WMDs that survived
the Gulf I bombing, and some percentage (maybe large, maybe
very small) that may still be potent. These are truly
unknown.

Given the pressure to present proof of these WMDs, watch for
Administration "re-classification" of non-WMDs as WMDs to
avoid embarassment, if they fail to find substantial
stockpiles. If this happens, it confirms that the actual
threat was minor. On the other hand, if large stockpiles of
WMDs (as classified before the war started) are found, it
would strongly bolster the case that the war was necessary.
Here, watch to be sure the news reports say the found WMDs
are still *potent*/active, rather than degraded and
useless. If they don't say, it's very possible they found

deteriorated material that actually wasn't a threat but try to pass it off as a real threat.

IF reports come out about large stockpiles of still-active chemical or biological agents, this would be very convincing if reported from multiple sources including non-US sources. Reports of stockpiles with no mention of potency should be viewed with extreme suspicion, however.

3. Aftermath

If the Iraqi people turn out to be largely grateful for being rid of Saddam, governing Iraq ought to be easy. Otherwise, it will be like being in-between tribes of squabbling enemies.

Given the "calm and peaceful liberated Iraq" scenario, the first thing to watch is, are ALL profits from rebuilding and oil sales going to the Iraqi people? Or are there contracts for US oil firms to build filling stations, rebuilding stuff we blew up, etc. that steals the lion's share of money from Iraqis and puts it into the pockets of ultra-rich oil and construction concerns? After all, Bush and others have said that the oil belongs to Iraq, but only time will tell whether these were truthful words. The rebuilding of Iraq cannot be a profit center or it casts grave doubts on our motives.

Another thing to watch is US prestige in the rest of the world, especially our European friends. If it rises over time in the post-war era, this is a great sign.

The other place to look is how will Arab nations view this after it's over? Will their perception change when (if) they see a US/UK genuinely rebuilding Iraq in Iraq's best interest as well as the interest of the region? Or will our behavior confirm their suspicions that this is a neo-colonial conquest at least in part motivated by huge oil profits?
The former case bolsters our credibility in negotiating with Israel and Palestinians. The latter virtually guarantees that radicals will be attacking US interests in retaliation for a long time to come.

Hopefully, it will all be over in a few weeks, and casualties will be well below projections. I can see anything from this to a gradual escalation to near-nuclear war occurring in some scenarios.

>
> Why don't you read this one and get back to me:
>
> http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1

>
> Please don't reply with Rush-ims, try to stick to "ideas", or "facts".
> I especially don't want to hear "There you go again you liberals are all
> alike."
> It's about as stupid as writing a country music song and actually rhyming
> "bin Lauden" with "Forgotten."
> Holy crap what a dumb thing to do.
>
> -Disneyland patriotism.

1761. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:52:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E890CE4.7050709@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33894
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ross Archer wrote:

>
>
> There are several vital things that time will tell. Watch
> closely to see how they unfold:
>
> 1. Liberation vs. Invasion and occupation

Good post!

1762. Re: Motorola GP-68 VHF HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 03:59:44 UTC
From: "R. Belcher" <randy.belcher@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Q88ia.11355$pW1.9646@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 33878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

And Amen to that too.... I wasn't thinking of HAM, only the original posters bent on it not being for commercial use. Too many panties in a bunch... so it's a ham radio that's already MARS modified. :) Check and see, though and email me direct, I was under the impression it was Part 15 for ham stuff acceptance (as you said, tested only for crappy signal output).
thanks for pointing that out as well, Dave.
rb

"Dave Platt" <dplatt@radagast.org> wrote in message
news:v8hmbr6064undd@corp.supernews.com...
>
> In article <2E1ia.18311$gp1.13721@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>,
> R. Belcher <randy.belcher@verizon.net> wrote:
>
> >> Just curious of this radio has been FCC type accepted?
> >> Motorola GP-68. I think NOT.
>
> >The UHF GP-68 model is type accepted, so the VHF may well be also. But
what
> >does it matter.....??? If you are in the USA, don't bid.
>
> If it's being used in the amateur 2-meter band, certification (nee
> "type acceptance") is not required in the U.S.. It's necessary for
> amateur equipment to comply with the power, spurious-emissions, and
> other limits specified in Part 97, but it is _not_ necessary for the
> equipment to have been formally certified or tested to meet those
> limits.
>
> --
> Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
> Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
> I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
> boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

1763. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 04:00:26 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <u98ia.7399$3K3.49384494@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 33877
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:

>> The US government does not jam the external radio broadcasts of any
>> country.
>
> Oh yes they do.

A recent report from the BBC Monitoring Station at Caversham described "substantial American jamming" of several Shortwave Broadcast frequencies that was rendering the reception of several countries virtually impossible.

GCHQ (the British Government Monitoring Station) has also reported the same thing.

The BBC reported attempts by the American Airforce to jam Iraqi TV in the first few days of the "war".

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice, except getting up in the morning!

1764. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 04:14:13 UTC
From: Erol Gray <JJ@alliswell.com>
Message-ID: <pm8ia.285011$L1.81931@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 33879
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ross>>>That's about the only decent post in this looooooooooooooong thread
(except the first one)

"Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:3E890A85.C882F948@topnow.com...
> Erol Gray wrote:
> >
> > Rush Limbaugh 3/31/2003: "The world watches in AWE as our American
troops
> > uncover Sadaam's WMD!"
> >
> > That's what Rush would be saying if there were any WMD.
> >
> > Because Bush would tell our troops where the WMD are and save his
sinking
> > crock of crap.
>
> There are several vital things that time will tell. Watch
> closely to see how they unfold:
>
> 1. Liberation vs. Invasion and occupation
>
> This is the scariest one. Only time will tell whether the
> bulk of Iraqis see us as liberators or invaders to be
> repulsed at all costs. This will determine in large measure
> whether the coalition will be fighting only elite soldiers,
> or will be constantly surrounded and engaged by an armed
> population of ordinary folks who are defending their home
> against invaders.
>
> The ramifications of which way this will go are literally
> titanic. The stability of the region is at stake.
>
> 2. WMD status
>
> Although informed people never believed wild claims that
> Saddam had the ability to threaten other countries with his
> WMDs, it seems likely he does have *some* WMDs that survived
> the Gulf I bombing, and some percentage (maybe large, maybe
> very small) that may still be potent. These are truly
> unknown.
>
> Given the pressure to present proof of these WMDs, watch for

> Administration "re-classification" of non-WMDs as WMDs to
> avoid embarassment, if they fail to find substantial
> stockpiles. If this happens, it confirms that the actual
> threat was minor. On the other hand, if large stockpiles of
> WMDs (as classified before the war started) are found, it
> would strongly bolster the case that the war was necessary.
> Here, watch to be sure the news reports say the found WMDs
> are still *potent*/active, rather than degraded and
> useless. If they don't say, it's very possible they found
> deteriorated material that actually wasn't a threat but try
> to pass it off as a real threat.
>
> *IF* reports come out about large stockpiles of still-active
> chemical or biological agents, this would be very convincing
> if reported from multiple sources including non-US sources.
> Reports of stockpiles with no mention of potency should be
> viewed with extreme suspicion, however.
>
> 3. Aftermath
>
> If the Iraqi people turn out to be largely grateful for
> being rid of Saddam, governing Iraq ought to be easy.
> Otherwise, it will be like being in-between tribes of
> squabbling enemies.
>
> Given the "calm and peaceful liberated Iraq" scenario, the
> first thing to watch is, are ALL profits from rebuilding and
> oil sales going to the Iraqi people? Or are there contracts
> for US oil firms to build filling stations, rebuilding stuff
> we blew up, etc. that steals the lion's share of money from
> Iraqis and puts it into the pockets of ultra-rich oil and
> construction concerns? After all, Bush and others have said
> that the oil belongs to Iraq, but only time will tell
> whether these were truthful words. The rebuilding of Iraq
> cannot be a profit center or it casts grave doubts on our
> motives.
>
> Another thing to watch is US prestige in the rest of the
> world, especially our European friends. If it rises over
> time in the post-war era, this is a great sign.
>
> The other place to look is how will Arab nations view this
> after it's over? Will their perception change when (if)
> they see a US/UK genuinely rebuilding Iraq in Iraq's best
> interest as well as the interest of the region? Or will our
> behavior confirm their suspicions that this is a
> neo-colonial conquest at least in part motivated by huge oil
> profits?
> The former case bolsters our credibility in negotiating with
> Israel and Palestinians. The latter virtually guarantees

> that radicals will be attacking US interests in retaliation
> for a long time to come.
>
> Hopefully, it will all be over in a few weeks, and
> casualties will be well below projections. I can see
> anything from this to a gradual escalation to near-nuclear
> war occurring in some scenarios.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> > Why don't you read this one and get back to me:
> >
> > http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/?030331fa_fact1
> >
> > Please don't reply with Rush-ims, try to stick to "ideas", or "facts".
> > I especially don't want to hear "There you go again you liberals are all
> > alike."
> > It's about as stupid as writing a country music song and actually
rhyming
> > "bin Lauden" with "Forgotten."
> > Holy crap what a dumb thing to do.
> >
> > -Disneyland patriotism.

1765. Re: Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 04:25:04 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3e8914a8.8145788@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 33881

PLEASE read what you posted
It does not have one damn thing to do with scanners.
It is to prevent the theft of satellite TV/cable service/cell service.
Which is ALREADY ILLEGAL in most places.

On Mon, 31 Mar 2003 15:05:17 -0500, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
wrote:

>On Mon, 31 Mar 2003 14:41:26 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
>wrote:
>
>According to http://www.freedom-to-tinker.com/superdmca.html
>it's called the "Theft of Communications Services Act"
>
>It's supposed to be a version of the hated DMCA on steroids --only
>it's scope is much broader than the DMCA to include all sorts of
>things which appear to be quite legitimate.
>
>Here it is:
>==========
>ARTICLE 8
>
>THEFT OF COMMUNICATION SERVICES ACT
>
>SECTION 16-11-810. Short title.
>
>This article may be cited as the Theft of Communication Services Act.
>
>SECTION 16-11-815. Definitions.
>
>As used in this article
>
>(1) "Communication device" means
>
>(i) Any type of electronic mechanism, transmission lines, cables,
>or connections and appurtenances thereto, instrument, device, machine,
>equipment, technology or software which is capable of intercepting,
>transmitting, re-transmitting, acquiring, decrypting or receiving any
>communication service or the facilitation thereof,
>
>(ii) Any component thereof, including any cable modem, computer
>circuit, splitter, connectors, switches, transmission hardware,
>security module, point-of-deployment (POD) module, conditional access
>card, smart card, software, computer chip, electronic mechanism or any

>component, accessory or part of any communication device which is
>capable of facilitating the interception, transmission,
>re-transmission, decryption, acquisition or reception of any
>communication service; and
>
>(iii) Any electronic serial number, mobile identification number,
>personal identification number or any communication device that is
>capable of acquiring or facilitating the acquisition of a
>communication service.
>
>(2) "Communication service" means (i) services provided by or through
>the facilities of any cable television system or closed circuit
>coaxial cable communication system, and (ii) any transmission service
>used in connection with any cable television system or similar closed
>circuit coaxial cable communication system, and (iii) any service
>lawfully provided for a charge or compensation to facilitate the
>lawful origination, transmission, emission or reception of signs,
>signals, data, writings, images and sounds or intelligence of any
>nature by telephone, including cellular or other wireless telephones,
>wire, broadband, wireless, radio, electromagnetic, photoelectronic or
>photo-optical systems networks or facilities; and any service lawfully
>provided by any radio, telephone, photo-optical, electromagnetic,
>photoelectronic, fiber optic, satellite, microwave, data transmission,
>wireless or Internet-based distribution system, network or facility,
>including, but not limited to, any and all electronic, data,
>information, video, audio, Internet access, telephonic, microwave and
>radio communications, transmissions, signals and services, and any
>such communications, transmissions, signals and services lawfully
>provided directly or indirectly by or through any of the
>aforementioned systems, networks or facilities;
>
>(3) "Communication service provider" means (i) any person or entity
>owning or operating any fiber optic, photo-optical, electromagnetic,
>photoelectronic, cable television, information, broadband, satellite,
>Internet-based, telephone, wireless, microwave, data transmission or
>radio distribution system, network or facility; and (ii) any person or
>entity providing a communication service, whether directly or
>indirectly as a reseller including, but not limited to, a cellular,
>paging or other wireless communications company or other person or
>entity which, for a fee, supplies the facility, cell site, mobile
>telephone switching office or other equipment or communication
>service; and (iii) any person or entity providing any communication
>service directly or indirectly by or through any such distribution
>systems, networks or facilities.
>
>(4) "Manufacture, assembly or development of a communication device"
>means to make, produce, develop or assemble a communication device, or
>to knowingly assist others in those activities.
>

>(5) "Manufacture, develop or assemble an unlawful access device" means
>to make, develop, produce or assemble an unlawful access device or
>modify, alter, program or reprogram any instrument, device, machine,
>equipment, technology or software so that it is capable of defeating
>or circumventing any technology, device or software used by the
>provider, owner or licensee of a communication service, or of any
>data, audio or video programs or transmissions, to protect any such
>communication, data, audio or video services, programs or
>transmissions from unauthorized receipt, interception, acquisition,
>access, decryption, disclosure, communication, transmission or
>re-transmission, or to knowingly assist others in those activities.
>
>(6) "Unlawful access device" means any type of instrument, device,
>machine, equipment, technology or software which is primarily
>designed, developed, assembled, manufactured, sold, distributed,
>possessed, used or offered, promoted or advertised, for the purpose of
>defeating or circumventing any technology, device or software, or any
>component or part thereof, used by the provider, owner or licensee of
>any communication service or of any data, audio or video programs or
>transmissions, to protect any such communication, data, audio or
>video services, programs or transmissions from unauthorized receipt,
>acquisition, interception, access, decryption, disclosure,
>communication, transmission or re-transmission.
>
>SECTION 16-11-820 Unlawful Communication and Access Devices
>
>It is unlawful for any person knowingly to:
>
>(1) Possess, use, manufacture, make, develop, assemble, sell,
>distribute, lease, license, transfer, import into this state or offer,
>promote or advertise for sale, use or distribution any communication
>device:
>
>(i) For the unauthorized acquisition or theft of any communication
>service or to receive, intercept, disrupt, transmit, re-transmit,
>decrypt, acquire or facilitate the receipt, interception, disruption,
>transmission, re-transmission, decryption or acquisition of any
>communication service without the express consent or express
>authorization of the communication service provider; or
>
>(ii) To conceal or assist another to conceal from any communication
>service provider or from any lawful authority, the existence or place
>of origin or of destination of any communication; or
>
>(2) Modify, alter, program or reprogram a communication device
>designed, adapted or used for the purposes prohibited in subsections
>(1)(i) and (ii) above; or
>
>(3) Possess, use, manufacture, assemble, develop, distribute, import

>into this state, license, transfer, sell, lease or offer, promote or
>advertise for sale, use, or distribution, or publish any advertisement
>for any unlawful access device; or
>
>(4) Possess, use, distribute, sell, give, publish, transfer or offer,
>promote or advertise for sale, use or distribution any:
>
>(i) Plans or instructions for making or assembling any communication
>or unlawful access device, under circumstances evidencing an intent to
>use or employ the communication or unlawful access device, or to allow
>the same to be used or employed, for a purpose prohibited by this
>section, or knowing or having reason to believe that the same is
>intended to be so used, or that the aforesaid plans or instructions
>are intended to be used for manufacturing or assembling such
>communication or unlawful access device for a purpose prohibited by
>this section; or
>
>(ii) Material, including hardware, cables, tools, data, computer
>software or other information or equipment, knowing that the purchaser
>or a third person intends to use the material in the manufacture,
>development or assembly of a communication or unlawful access device
>for a purpose prohibited by this section, or for use in the
>manufacture, assembly or development of an unlawful access device.
>
>(5) Obtain or use a communication service without the authorization
>of, or payment to, the communication service provider;
>
>(6) Make or maintain a connection or connections, whether physical,
>electrical, mechanical, acoustical or by other means, tamper with or
>otherwise interfere with any cables, wires, components, modems, lock
>boxes, pedestals, or other devices or equipment of a communication
>service provider used for the distribution of a communication service
>without the express consent or express authorization of the
>communication service provider;
>
>(7) Obtain a communication service by false pretense or representation
>with intent to cheat or defraud the communications service provider;
>or
>
>(8) Assist others in committing any of the acts prohibited by this
>article.
>
>
>SECTION 16-11-850. Receipt of signals from air by use of satellite
>dish or antenna.
>
>Nothing in this article makes it unlawful to receive or capture
>signals from the air by use of a satellite dish, antenna, or
>otherwise.

>
>SECTION 16-11-855. Criminal Penalties.
>
>(1) Except for violations of this article as provided for in paragraph
>(2), any person who violates any section of this article is guilty of
>a misdemeanor and upon conviction for a first offense shall be fined
>not more than five hundred dollars and/or imprisoned for not more than
>thirty days.
>
>(2) An offense under this article is a Class F felony if:
>
> (i) the defendant has been convicted previously on one or more
>occasions under this article or convicted of any similar crime in this
>or any Federal or other state jurisdiction; or
>
>(ii) the violation of this article involves at least 5, but not more
>than 25, communication or unlawful access devices.
>
>Any person convicted under this paragraph (2), shall be fined not more
>than one thousand dollars and/or imprisoned for not more than five
>years.
>
>(3) An offense under this article is a Class E felony if:
>
>(i) the defendant has been convicted previously on two or more
>occasions for offenses under this article or for any similar crime in
>this or any Federal or other state jurisdiction; or
>(ii) the violation of this article involves more than 25
>communication or unlawful access devices.
>
>Any person convicted under this paragraph (3), shall be fined not more
>than five thousand dollars and/or imprisoned for not more than ten
>years.
>
>(4) For purposes of grading an offense based upon a prior conviction
>under this article or for any similar crime pursuant to paragraphs
>(2)(i) and (3)(i), a prior conviction shall consist of convictions
>upon separate indictments or criminal complaints for offenses under
>this article or any similar crime in this or any Federal or other
>state jurisdiction. The entry of a plea of guilty, the forfeiture of
>any bail or bond posted or the entry of plea of nolo contendre for a
>violation of this article shall have the same effect as a conviction
>after trial.
>
>(5) As provided for in paragraphs (2)(i) and (3)(i), in grading an
>offense under this article based upon a prior conviction, the term
>"any similar crime" shall include, but not be limited to, offenses
>involving theft of service or fraud, including violations of the Cable
>Communications Policy Act of 1984 (Public Law 98-549, 98 Stat. 2779).

>
>(6) Separate offenses. For purposes of all criminal penalties or
>fines established for violations of this article, the prohibited
>activity established herein as it applies to each communication or
>unlawful access device shall be deemed a separate offense. Each day a
>person is in violation of this article also constitutes a separate
>offense.
>
>(7) Fines. For purposes of imposing fines upon conviction of a
>defendant for an offense under this article, all fines shall be
>imposed as authorized by law for each day a person is in violation of
>this article and for each communication or unlawful access device
>involved in the violation.
>
>(8) Restitution. The court shall, in addition to any other sentence
>authorized by law, sentence a person convicted of violating this
>article to make restitution as authorized by law.
>
>(9) Forfeiture of communication or unlawful access devices. Upon
>conviction of any person for any violation under this article, such
>instrument, apparatus, equipment, device, plan or instructions shall
>be either destroyed as contraband by the sheriff of the county in
>which such person was convicted or turned over to the communication
>service provider in whose service area such instrument, apparatus,
>device, plan or instruction was seized.
>
>(10) Venue. An offense or violation under subsection (a) of this
>article may be deemed to have been committed at either place where the
>defendant manufactures, develops or assembles a communication or
>unlawful access device or assists others in doing so, or the places
>where the communication or unlawful access device is sold or delivered
>to a purchaser or recipient. It shall be no defense to a violation of
>subsection (a) of this article that some of the acts constituting the
>violation occurred outside of the State of South Carolina.
>
>(11) Inferences. In any criminal prosecution under this article or
>civil action under Section 16-11-856, any of the following shall
>create an inference that the defendant intended to violate this
>section:
>
>(a) The presence of an unauthorized connection of any kind between the
>defendant's property or the premises occupied by the defendant, and
>any network, system or facility owned or operated by a communication
>service provider; or
>
>(b) The presence on the defendant's property or the premises occupied
>by the defendant, or in the defendant's possession or control, of any
>communication device which is connected in such a manner as would
>permit the unauthorized receipt, interception, acquisition,

>decryption, transmission or re-transmission of a communication
>service; or
>
>(c) The defendant's possession of five (5) or more communication or
>unlawful access devices for any purpose prohibited by this section; or
>
>(d) The existence on the defendant's property or the premises occupied
>by the defendant of any connection, wire conductor, microwave antenna,
>lockbox key, pedestal key, lockbox tool, or any device whatsoever, or
>connection in any pedestal or lockbox, which is connected in such a
>manner as would permit the receipt of a communication service without
>such service being reported for payment to and specifically authorized
>by the communication service provider; or
>
>(e) The giving of a false name or identity to a communication service
>provider in order for the communication service provider to provision
>a communication service to the defendant's property or the premises
>occupied by the defendant.
>
>Where a communication service provider notifies the owner or the
>occupant of the premises of the circumstances described in
>subparagraphs (a) through (e) above and the same circumstances are
>found to exist within a reasonable time thereafter, an inference shall
>be created that the defendant or owner and/or occupant of the premises
>acted willfully in violation of this article.
>
>SECTION 16-11-856 Civil Actions.
>
>(1) Any person aggrieved by a violation of this section may bring a
>civil action in any court of competent jurisdiction. "Any person
>aggrieved" shall include any communication service provider. The
>inferences set forth in Section 16-11-855(11) shall apply in any
>action under this article. For purposes of establishing liability,
>the entry of a plea of guilty, the forfeiture of any bail or bond
>posted or the entry of plea of nolo contendre for a violation of this
>article shall have the same effect as a conviction after trial.
>
>(2) The court:
>
>(i) may award declaratory relief and other equitable remedies,
>including preliminary and final injunctions to prevent or restrain
>violations of this article, without requiring proof that the plaintiff
>has suffered, or will suffer, actual damages, irreparable harm or
>lacks an adequate remedy at law;
>
>(ii) may at any time while an action is pending, order the impounding,
>on such terms as it deems reasonable, of any communication or unlawful
>access device that is in the custody or control of the violator and
>that the court has reasonable cause to believe was involved in the

>alleged violation of this article;
>
>(iii) may award damages as described in subsection (3) below;
>
>(iv) shall award reasonable attorney fees and costs, including, but
>not limited to, costs for investigation, testing and expert witness
>fees, to an aggrieved party who prevails; and
>
>(v) may as part of a final judgment or decree finding a violation of
>this section, order the remedial modification or destruction of any
>communication or unlawful access device, or any other devices or
>equipment involved in the violation, that is in the custody or control
>of the violator, or has been impounded under subparagraph (ii) above.
>
>(3) Types of damages recoverable. --Damages awarded by a court under
>this section shall be computed as either of the following:
>
> (i) Upon his election of such damages at any time before final
>judgment is entered, the complaining party may recover the actual
>damages suffered by him as a result of the violation of this article
>and any profits of the violator that are attributable to the violation
>and are not taken into account in computing the actual damages. Actual
>damages include the retail value of any communication services
>illegally available to those persons to whom the violator directly or
>indirectly provided or distributed any communication or unlawful
>access devices. In proving actual damages, the complaining party
>shall be required to prove only that the violator manufactured,
>distributed or sold any communication or unlawful access devices, but
>shall not be required to prove that those devices were actually used
>in violation of this article. In determining the violator's profits,
>the complaining party shall be required to prove only the violator's
>gross revenue, and the violator shall be required to prove his
>deductible expenses and the elements of profit attributable to factors
>other than the violation; or
>
>(ii) Upon election by the complaining party at any time before final
>judgment is entered, that party may recover in lieu of actual damages
>an award of statutory damages of between $1,500 to $10,000 for each
>communication or unlawful access device involved in the action, with
>the amount of statutory damages to be determined by the court, not the
>jury, as the court considers just.
>
>(4) In any case where the court finds that any of the violations of
>this article were committed willfully and for purposes of commercial
>advantage or private financial gain, the court in its discretion may
>increase the total award of any damages amended under subparagraphs
>(i) and (ii) above, by an amount of not more than $50,000 for each
>communication or unlawful access device involved in the action and for
>each day the defendant was in violation of this article.

>
>SECTION 16-11-860 Severability.
>
>The provisions of this Article 8 are severable. If any part of this
>Article is declared invalid or unconstitutional, such declaration
>shall not affect the part that remains.
>
>SECTION 16-11-861.
>
>The provisions of this Article 8 shall not apply to any state or local
>law enforcement agency, any state or local government agency,
>municipality, or authority, or any communication service provider,
>lawfully acting as such in accordance with any other state or federal
>law.
>
>
>==========
>
>73,
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1766. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 04:36:29 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <hH8ia.469$Gb1.369@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33882
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3E88F84B.70408@mailcity.com...
>
>
> David Eduardo wrote:
> > "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E88C43D.7CB77A26@email.com...
> >
> >>>The US government does not jam the external radio broadcasts of any
> >>
> > country.
> >
> >>Oh yes they do. The distinctive beep-beep-beep is nothing else but a
> >
> > jamming
> >
> >>signal, and sources of these signals have been located to US military
> >
> > sites by
> >
> >>such magazines as Monitoring Times and Popular Communications.
> >
> > Sometimes they
> >
> >>even use their own VOA on different frequencies to jam other stations
from
> >
> > being
> >
> >>heard.
> >
> >
> > Monitoring TImes and Popular Communications are pretty widely rejected
by
> > the experienced SW DX community, like Cumbre DX. They are hotbeds of
> > conspiracy theories and clandestine radio opinions. The best one was the
> > idea that Radio Swan/Radio Americas was not on Swan Island, but
somewhere
> > else. Which was funny, since I personally saw the transmitter on Isla de
> > Cisne.
>
> Oh my!! Are you casting doubts upon Johnnyboy's sources he refers
> to as being bastions of truth?

Heaven forbid!

1767. Re: uniden e-scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 04:41:11 UTC
From: Ken <kencaz@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <HL8ia.1034$kd1.1049989@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33876

Sounds like an IRQ conflict somewhere. If you have an older system, you probably have a hardware controlled modem (not Winmodem) and that could be causing it. As well your mouse if it is not USB could be taking the interupt as well.

Most likely the scanner SW's default configuration is to use com1 irq4. If it can be changed try these settings below and see if it connects.

COM1 3F8h irq4
COM2 2F8h irq3
COM3 3E8h irq4
COM4 2E8h irq3

With most systems I have worked on; com1 is set to the DB9 connector and com2 to the DB25
Even if both of those ports seem to be free. It may be a PS2 type mouse or the modem causing the problem.

I would first adapt the DB9 cable from the scanner to fit the DB25 on the computer and see if it picks up the scanner on com2. If not you may want to try and find out what com port your modem is using if internal and go from there. unfortunatally there is not easy answer just have to play with it. but it should work out...

Ken

"Charlie Brookhart" <cbrookha@erols.com> wrote in message news:b6ar4qnfk1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> I downloaded the e-scanner software version 2.0. I have opened the program
> up and selected the connection tab. I select the bc250d radio and then click
> auto configure. The software then checks the four com ports at 9600 baud and
> 19200 baud. At the end of this check, the software states that the radio
> cannot be detected. The small end of the remote cable is connected to the
> scanner and the larger end is connected to the back of the computer. There
> are no adapters in use for the cable. The scanner is turned on. If the
> scanner is turned on and connected, why doesn't the software configure
> itself for uploading? I can try the manual settings, but since auto
> configure didn't work, I don't know what to select for a manual connection.
> Has anyone else experienced this problem? How can it be corrected?
>
>

1768. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 04:51:36 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <sV8ia.487$Fi1.228@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33885
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"gaffo" <gaffo@usenet.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2003.04.01.06.50.46.443295@usenet.net...
> > Even Pol Pot and Papa Doc
> > believed that.
>
>
>
> I see Bu$h has good role models. LOL

I find it reprehensible that people like you, who very obviously have no experience with the conditions in foreign lands, have decided to compare the US government and the nation's leaders with everyone form Adolf Hitler to Papa Doc. Until you have seen friends beaten, others murdered (in one case, a friend's head was thrown into his family's patio), all kinds of basic-to-an-American rights abused over and over, you are sitting in your Ivory Tower out in Kansas, with about as close a touch to reality as Dorothy had.

It's not Kansas. It's the world of petty dictators, generalissimos, death squads, ballots with one choice, forced military services, gulags of many names, racial and ethnic genocide and other abhorrent things.

Are we doing it the right and the best way? Maybe not. Does it need doing? Yes. I only wish it had been done elsewhere and some of my friends would be alive today.

1769. Trunk Tracking PCR-1000 v0.5.40 Beta Now Available...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 04:51:56 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <MV8ia.48892$XA4.36847@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 33884

Hi all,
I have the beta version available for download now...

This version supports the Motorola Trunking formats.
TypeI are treated as TypeII for now.

You can also Backup & Verify your EEprom.
(taken from my PcrPro1k program)

You can check it out here:
http://104452.home.icq.com/trunkpcr/TrunkPcr1k.html

The Help page shows some Screen Shots of the program in operation.

Regards,
Jay

1770. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 05:07:00 UTC
From: Tad Early <fenwick_island@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b6b6p9$58a$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 33886
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
news:pm8ia.285011$L1.81931@sccrnsc02...
> Ross>>>That's about the only decent post in this looooooooooooooooong
thread
> (except the first one)
>

And that's the first sensible thing you've said in the thread (other
than the part about "the first one"). You've stuck with that ID for a
whole day now, so I guess I'm getting used to it.

I agree about M. Archer's post, well done, other than the presumption
inherent in the use of the term "informed people." However, it's a
good rhetorical device for sure.

1771. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 05:08:57 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <wb9ia.101$Q5.24@fed1read03>
Source record: 33887
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my simple reply. There's no need for the continued debate; no need for continued denial.. Your own brain is small, and in your heart you are a troll. Your only philosophy is to have the last word no matter how foolish. It's the domain of Celt Chic the Bimbo Troll.

-me
It's the return of the "Oh wait. No way. You're Kidding. He didn't just say what I think he did, did he?"

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:5p7ia.38316$ja4.2409609@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
>
>
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:Z65ia.20506$yU1.18510@fed1read02...
> > Don't worry about it Clip. She's one of those "self proclaimed" geniuses
> > that feels she's above reproach because she's so smart.
> >
> > She says she's a genius, demands to know your IQ then just noses up saying
> > "Well mines higher than that." Yeah right. Prove it missy. So far all she's
> > proven is that she can act like a pompous little snot without a clue about
> > life in the real world. I can't believe people like that actually find and
> > keep employment anywhere but in fast food restaurants. Go figure that she
> > accuses us of the same!

> >
> > -me
> >
> > "Clip" <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:9smcnWa2Caex_BqjXTWcow@comcast.com...
> > > I am not a societal leech. I've got that going for me.
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all that
> > > > matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my league.
> No
> > > > more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group
> back
> > on
> > > > topic.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > > > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >At least I
> > > > > >have a high paying job
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Hence the need to beg.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have
> money,
> > > > > then
> > > > > > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > The only
> > > > > > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted
originally.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > > > > > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
doing
> > it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging is
> > going
> > > > > to
> > > > > > catch on. I hope not.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> > surgeries
> > > > > that
> > > > > > >have put me in the bind.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sorry to hear that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No, thanks,

I
> > have
> > > > my
> > > > > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> > didn't
> > > > give
> > > > > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll,
> > Mommy
> > > > will
> > > > > >give you one: PLONK!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig.
Seems
> I
> > > hit
> > > > a
> > > > > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is
that
> > > true?
> > > > >
> > > > > >;)
> > > > >
> > > > > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're
an
> > SOB
> > > > and
> > > > > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> being
> > > > nice
> > > > > >to losers like you.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on
the
> > > > > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> > > > >
> > > > > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of
> > your
> > > > > >business.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > > > > >to Mommy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> > your
> > > > > bills
> > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
Chic's
> > > > > website:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > guess.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
do

> > what
> > > > you
> > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
any
> > > sort
> > > > of
> > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
bigger
> > > > naive.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
> own
> > > damn
> > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
sell
> > your
> > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1772. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 05:16:33 UTC
From: Erol Gray <JJ@alliswell.com>
Message-ID: <Rg9ia.27838$OV.129086@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 33888
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey I liked your BIG post too, I thought it was in a different thread.

I don't think of things in terms of whether they are liberal or not. I don't think I'm going to dinner with liberal friends, or my ethnic friends, or my tall friends. I just don't categorize the press in terms of liberal press / right wing press.

The rush limbaugh crowd promotes such thinking because it sells. I don't pay attention too it. I think bill o'reilly is full of it, but not because he is right wing, but because he accuses people of unproven things which are immoral "in his opinion", but only when it sells. Fair and balance reporing?

I listed to a voice I can relate to and trust. that's all.

I don't read the New Yorker because it's what you call liberal. I read it cause it challenges me. Rush limboaugh does not. He annoys me, and so do the people who actually listen to it and then repeat it.

I wish you would stop promoting such >politicaly racist < terms like "Liberals". It's just a stick to hit people with. It really stinks, when you ,of all people, with your intellect and ability to type fast, could just stick to the ideas. The rest of us don't want to hear it.

Did it ever occur to the Rush Limbaugh crowd, that he puts down NPR because it is his marketshare competition?

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:3Z8ia.23793$0X.5830149@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> news:797ia.284199$L1.81169@sccrnsc02...
> > yes but they claim that that they report and then I can decide.
> > Right off the bat it's a lie.
>
> Why? You can decide to listen or not, and decide in what context to take
> their reports. I never heard you complaining about "news" shows like
> '20/20'. And, like I said before, never heard any of you liberal
> panty-wastes whine about the other networks making the SAME claims, or
> complaining that they're too liberal or anti-American.
>
>
> > then they have shows with names like "special report" another lie. You
> can't
> > have a special report everyday. just marketing and i resent it.
>
> So, listen to/watch something else - here, you DO have a choice, othr than

> the Peter Arnett Network (aka Al-Jazeera).
>
> > In fact I'm going to blow my head o..
>
> Oh, goodie - can I hold the gun to steady it for you?
>
> > "Robert Mack" <gradvmedusa@aol.comBLOCK> wrote in message
> > news:20030331214612.08816.00000165@mb-fw.aol.com...
> > > >
> > > >I'm with you there especialy that Bill o'reilly guy. He aregues with
> > every
> > > >single guest. How is that "we report you decide".
> > > >It's always his opinion wins.
> > >
> > > News...News Commentary...Bill isn't reporting he is giving his opinion
> on
> > what
> > > has been reporting, if you can't see that your not very perceptive.
The
> > only
> > > hard news on any cable tv news chanells is what is reported at the top
> of
> > the
> > > hour, or every 15(30) minutes. Everything else is commentary or
> anylasis,
> > it
> > > would be impossible to fill more then an hour or two of programming
with
> > > straight news. If you want just news with nothing else read the wire
> > services.
> > >
> > > Hear Me Rant!!!
> > > www.livejournal.com/~gradvmedusa
> > > "Past this point of no return, your only choice is freeze or burn!"
> >
> >
>
>

1773. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 05:22:08 UTC
From: Erol Gray <JJ@alliswell.com>
Message-ID: <4m9ia.326041$sf5.312934@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33889
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You get points and applause from everyone who has fallen at your feet in awe for pointing that i use different IDs, as if it is somehow built in to the nature of computer electrons that a person should only use one. But you really have no idea at all how many different IDs I use. Second, you have no idea how many people use this ID.

"Tad Early" <fenwick_island@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:b6b6p9$58a$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
>
> "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> news:pm8ia.285011$L1.81931@sccrnsc02...
> > Ross>>>That's about the only decent post in this looooooooooooooong
> thread
> > (except the first one)
> >
>
> And that's the first sensible thing you've said in the thread (other
> than the part about "the first one"). You've stuck with that ID for a
> whole day now, so I guess I'm getting used to it.
>
> I agree about M. Archer's post, well done, other than the presumption
> inherent in the use of the term "informed people." However, it's a
> good rhetorical device for sure.
>
>

1774. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 05:22:44 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b6b5rk$o61$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 33890
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

David,

I agree with you that the Bush administration is not in the same league as the Pol Pot's and the Papa/Baby Doc DuValier's of the world. Their regimes were no doubt evil and murderous beyond the comprehension of most Americans, who have never had to live with such conditions.

However, there are serious parallels to be drawn between the current administration and Germany in the years just prior to WWII (the parallels, at the present time, would have to stop there, since we are not killing millions).

In the name of national security, many rights have already been taken away. If the rumored (and I say rumored because I have read only the one report on it thusfar) Patriot Act II is passed, then a large amount of our freedoms will be gone, with no sunset clause (further, Patriot II would reportedly remove the sunset clause from Patriot I).

Further disturbing is a news report I heard yesterday stating that the administration will hold asylum seekers from Iraq and 35 other Muslim nations in incarceration until further notice.. shades of the Japanese internment camps of WWII. The only difference I see so far is that supposedly this does not affect Muslims already in the US, so no land grabs yet as there were then.

I only hope that this war does not do what it appears by all accounts to be doing, which is to galvanize all Muslim nations, as well as a significant part of the rest of the world against us. We think ourselves omnipotent, but we can ill afford to be on everyone's blacklist.

Take care, all, and stay well.
"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:sV8ia.487$Fi1.228@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "gaffo" <gaffo@usenet.net> wrote in message
> news:pan.2003.04.01.06.50.46.443295@usenet.net...
> > > Even Pol Pot and Papa Doc
> > > believed that.
> >
> >
> >
> > I see Bu$h has good role models. LOL
>
> I find it reprehensible that people like you, who very obviously have no
> experience with the conditions in foreign lands, have decided to compare

the
> US government and the nation's leaders with everyone form Adolf Hitler to
> Papa Doc. Until you have seen friends beaten, others murdered (in one
case,
> a friend's head was thrown into his family's patio), all kinds of
> basic-to-an-American rights abused over and over, you are sitting in your
> Ivory Tower out in Kansas, with about as close a touch to reality as
Dorothy
> had.
>
> It's not Kansas. It's the world of petty dictators, generalissimos, death
> squads, ballots with one choice, forced military services, gulags of many
> names, racial and ethnic genocide and other abhorrent things.
>
> Are we doing it the right and the best way? Maybe not. Does it need doing?
> Yes. I only wish it had been done elsewhere and some of my friends would
be
> alive today.
>
>

1775. Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 05:24:16 UTC
From: Erol Gray <JJ@alliswell.com>
Message-ID: <4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 33891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Hey, I can see my house from here"

1776. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 05:26:09 UTC
From: Erol Gray <JJ@alliswell.com>
Message-ID: <Rp9ia.319646$6b3.1494840@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33892
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

yes!
"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:b6b5rk$o61$1@news1.kornet.net...
> David,
>
> I agree with you that the Bush administration is not in the same league as
> the Pol Pot's and the Papa/Baby Doc DuValier's of the world. Their
regimes
> were no doubt evil and murderous beyond the comprehension of most
Americans,
> who have never had to live with such conditions.
>
> However, there are serious parallels to be drawn between the current
> administration and Germany in the years just prior to WWII (the parallels,
> at the present time, would have to stop there, since we are not killing
> millions).
>
> In the name of national security, many rights have already been taken
away.
> If the rumored (and I say rumored because I have read only the one report
on
> it thusfar) Patriot Act II is passed, then a large amount of our freedoms
> will be gone, with no sunset clause (further, Patriot II would reportedly
> remove the sunset clause from Patriot I).
>
> Further disturbing is a news report I heard yesterday stating that the
> administration will hold asylum seekers from Iraq and 35 other Muslim
> nations in incarceration until further notice.. shades of the Japanese
> internment camps of WWII. The only difference I see so far is that
> supposedly this does not affect Muslims already in the US, so no land
grabs
> yet as there were then.
>
> I only hope that this war does not do what it appears by all accounts to
be
> doing, which is to galvanize all Muslim nations, as well as a significant
> part of the rest of the world against us. We think ourselves omnipotent,
> but we can ill afford to be on everyone's blacklist.
>
> Take care, all, and stay well.
> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:sV8ia.487$Fi1.228@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> >

> > "gaffo" <gaffo@usenet.net> wrote in message
> > news:pan.2003.04.01.06.50.46.443295@usenet.net...
> > > > Even Pol Pot and Papa Doc
> > > > believed that.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > I see Bu$h has good role models. LOL
> >
> > I find it reprehensible that people like you, who very obviously have no
> > experience with the conditions in foreign lands, have decided to compare
> the
> > US government and the nation's leaders with everyone form Adolf Hitler
to
> > Papa Doc. Until you have seen friends beaten, others murdered (in one
> case,
> > a friend's head was thrown into his family's patio), all kinds of
> > basic-to-an-American rights abused over and over, you are sitting in
your
> > Ivory Tower out in Kansas, with about as close a touch to reality as
> Dorothy
> > had.
> >
> > It's not Kansas. It's the world of petty dictators, generalissimos,
death
> > squads, ballots with one choice, forced military services, gulags of
many
> > names, racial and ethnic genocide and other abhorrent things.
> >
> > Are we doing it the right and the best way? Maybe not. Does it need
doing?
> > Yes. I only wish it had been done elsewhere and some of my friends would
> be
> > alive today.
> >
> >
>
>

1777. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 05:29:21 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Rs9ia.23930$0X.5871226@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33893
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v8hnub2ui63u39@corp.supernews.com...
> No one this ignorant has a right to be using air, that could be put to
good
> use by others.

He's not using air - he is sustained by methane...

>
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E88DB35.88CD06D1@email.com...
> > > This is a scanner group, but I'm assuming most here do a bit of SWLing
> as
> > > well. I hate to disagree with you (well, okay - I don't hate that) but
> lots
> > > of SWLers listen to English broadcasts from that region - including
> myself.
> > > WHEN Iraq had a station that was CAPABLE of such transmissions (and
> that's
> > > been gone long before the war)
> >
> > HELLOOO! Thank you! The ultimate jamming is to bomb it away like
we
> are
> > doing to their radio and TV stations now. But, the US doesn't ever do
> this at
> > all according to someone else on here.
> >
> > > there was no attempt to block it's
> > > English-speaking programming (of which there was very little).
> >
> > No, of course not. That beep beep beep originating with a much more
> powerful
> > signal originating from the United States that I heard was just a dream.
> And
> > that article in Monitoring Times or Pop Com that I read backing it up
was
> all
> > fantasy too.
> >
> > Gees people. Wake up, you government DOES do this type of stuff!
> >
> >
>

>

1778. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 06:07:20 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030401010720.00724.00000079@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 33895

>"Hey, I can see my house from here"

Did he have a scanner with him? No. Shut up.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

1779. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 06:38:13 UTC
From: JT <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <ptaia.323646$S_4.286835@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 33896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I guess I'll never play piano again.....

"Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> "Hey, I can see my house from here"
>
>

1780. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 06:50:48 UTC
From: gaffo <gaffo@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.01.06.50.46.443295@usenet.net>
Source record: 33883
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> Even Pol Pot and Papa Doc
> believed that.

I see Bu$h has good role models. LOL

1781. Re: uniden e-scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 07:00:48 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <mejd29@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <btdi8v8nl6epmriso8u5c79tbonc11uqv0@4ax.com>
Source record: 33797

You need to set the scanner to hook up remotely from the menu. Read
the manual for instructions on how to do it.

On Mon, 31 Mar 2003 20:49:41 -0500, "Charlie Brookhart"
<cbrookha@erols.com> wrote:

>I downloaded the e-scanner software version 2.0. I have opened the program
>up and selected the connection tab. I select the bc250d radio and then click
>auto configure. The software then checks the four com ports at 9600 baud and
>19200 baud. At the end of this check, the software states that the radio
>cannot be detected. The small end of the remote cable is connected to the
>scanner and the larger end is connected to the back of the computer. There
>are no adapters in use for the cable. The scanner is turned on. If the
>scanner is turned on and connected, why doesn't the software configure
>itself for uploading? I can try the manual settings, but since auto
>configure didn't work, I don't know what to select for a manual connection.
>Has anyone else experienced this problem? How can it be corrected?
>

1782. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 07:02:50 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14873-3E89399A-545@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 33799

Awww lighten up slick, it was just a joke. Rumor has it he owned a PRO-95, a Sony 2010, and as he turned the Hubble telescope the other way around in Outer Space the other day to monitor you, he told me to tell you to stop playing with yourself!

1783. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 07:03:51 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <rRaia.102$ZO1.3472512@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33798
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:b6b5rk$o61$1@news1.kornet.net...
> David,
>
> I agree with you that the Bush administration is not in the same league as
> the Pol Pot's and the Papa/Baby Doc DuValier's of the world. Their
regimes
> were no doubt evil and murderous beyond the comprehension of most
Americans,
> who have never had to live with such conditions.
>
> However, there are serious parallels to be drawn between the current
> administration and Germany in the years just prior to WWII (the parallels,
> at the present time, would have to stop there, since we are not killing
> millions).
>
> In the name of national security, many rights have already been taken
away.
> If the rumored (and I say rumored because I have read only the one report
on
> it thusfar) Patriot Act II is passed, then a large amount of our freedoms
> will be gone, with no sunset clause (further, Patriot II would reportedly
> remove the sunset clause from Patriot I).
>
> Further disturbing is a news report I heard yesterday stating that the
> administration will hold asylum seekers from Iraq and 35 other Muslim
> nations in incarceration until further notice.. shades of the Japanese
> internment camps of WWII. The only difference I see so far is that
> supposedly this does not affect Muslims already in the US, so no land
grabs
> yet as there were then.
>
> I only hope that this war does not do what it appears by all accounts to
be
> doing, which is to galvanize all Muslim nations, as well as a significant
> part of the rest of the world against us. We think ourselves omnipotent,
> but we can ill afford to be on everyone's blacklist.
>
> Take care, all, and stay well.

Very nicely expressed. In my experience, which includes being threatened
with death by a government I did not publicly (and on the air) agree with, I
still see a vast difference between limitations on the most liberal freedoms

in the world and fascism or totalitarianism. On the other hand, I am not naive enough to believe that the armed changes of governments I have lived through, the dictators I have seen supported and the military influence on civilian leadership during the last 5 decades has nothing to do with US manipulation. I see the differences and similarities. But, even with today's news, I see our system as so infinitely better it is hard to be wholly critical.

A holding camp with full rations is very different from the Bataan death march or a Nazi camp or a Russian gulag. I hope.

But I have to say your points are well taken, nicely reasoned and eloquent. Hopefully, none of us willhave long range and long term reasons for concern.

1784. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 10:24:49 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304010224.1ee90b38@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message news:<ptaia.323646$S_4.286835@rwcrnsc53>...
> I guess I'll never play piano again.....
>
> "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> >
> >

JT & EG,

This is the Great Thing about being able to say (write) this type of
anti-christian humor in a so called 'Christian Nation' like the USA.
You are tolerated and some people actually will enjoy your humor.

Had you said (written) the same type of anti-islamic humor in an
Islamic Nation (pick any one). These could have possibly been your
Last Words...

May God {Allah} Watch Over You - Amen.

~ RHF

.

1785. FA: 80CH UHF PORTABLES & EXTRA'S!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 12:23:16 UTC
From: David & Daphne Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <n31j8voloeo078nn3vrctlhi900k5m9hqu@4ax.com>
Source record: 33771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3015698459

(2) Midland Model 70-255B 80ch *UHF* SCAN 2/5watt 450-470mhz,
(2) Model 70-C06 Rapid Chargers, (2) Leather Cases with belt-loop
design, (2) Model 70-M188 Lapel Spkr-mics with clip on back,
(4total) 70-B34 High Capacity Batteries 7.5v @ 1800mha!!
(2) NEW-IN BOX, (2) Used approx. 40hrs, (2) stubby UHF antennas.

All items are in excellent to perfect condition rates 9-10 or better.
Org. boxes and instructions for chargers. Radios are "FULLY
PROGRAMABLE" and include options like a back-lit display and priority
function. Full spec sheet included. Radios are fully functional
"except" the DTMF pad of which is only decorative cover. Ch's 1-3 are
on repeater freqs while Ch 4-11 are on "talk-around" or "simplex"
freqs. Multi-digital pics avail. Perfect for hunting, fishing,
security and many other applications.

1786. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 14:16:58 UTC
From: fd347@aol.compostpile
Message-ID: <20030401091658.08619.00000258@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 33801

>Had you said (written) the same type of anti-islamic humor in an
>Islamic Nation (pick any one). These could have possibly been your
>Last Words...

Or, at the very least, castigated a la Salmon Rushdie.

Frank Raffa
Supv. Dispatcher FDNY
www.FDNewYork.com

1787. Spring Cleaning - Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 16:10:32 UTC
From: n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net
Message-ID: <v8jel6dp5vfr8f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33802

Spring cleaning - SHACK SALE!

April 1, 2003

UPDATE - Watch For Updates & Additions

*** Communication Specialists TP-154 Repeater Controller ***

Encodes / Decodes PL, DPL and I think inverted PL

Has a courtesy tone

Has an ID'er

Programmable time limits for system and for each tone/code

Lots of other features

Manual with programming, operation and hook up data included

Programmable over the air or via programming jack - uses a touchtone phone.

A great controller for starter / basic systems

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-027S.JPG

Price LOWERED!

*** Asking $145 Shipped - OR OFFER ***

-------- ------------- ----------- -------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Radio Shack PRO-2067 Base / Mobile Scanner ***

500 Channels
Trunk Tracking - Motorola type I, II. I/II Hybrid, LTR, EDACS
SAME Technology for Weather alerting
PC Programmable
Direct keypad frequency input
CTCSS / DPL decode
4 line Alpha display
BNC antenna connector
Plus much much more features

*** Comes with the following: ***
Mounting bracket
Original user manual

Wall wart power pack - for base use
Serial programming cable
Programming software

This scanner has been tested and works just beautifully. Condition is Very good - non-smoker, never mobile. I bought 2 of them, I just need one now... so
one has to go.

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-018S.JPG

*** I'm asking $175 Shipped - OR OFFER for the whole setup ready to plug and play... sent 2-3 day priority mail ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- -------- -------- -------------

*** Motorola P200 UHF 2 Channel Handheld Radio***

Only problem .. the antenna has some shrink tubing on it because the plastic on the antenna is cracking.

Has been tested - is in good working condition

Physical condition is VERY GOOD with exception to the antenna.

Battery condition is EXCELLENT!

Currently programmed in the 460Mhz area

Easily converts to 6 channel

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-019S.JPG

*** Asking $60.00 or offer - shipped ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- -------- -------- -------------

*** Palm IIIx PDA ***

Just your basic Palm PDA

WORKS GREAT!

Maybe it could find a use on APRS or used with a GPS unit.

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-021S.JPG

*** $40.00 shipped - or offer ***

-------- ------------ ---------- ------------- ---------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Kenwood TM-742A Multi Bander Mobile Radio ***

SOLD!

-------- ------------ ---------- ------------- ---------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Kenwood TS-700SP 2 Meter ALL MODE ***

Built in digital display along with analog dial

10W output - perfect for feeding amplifiers

Comes with the 120V AC cord, manual and the original hand mic.

Works good, however the display flickers once in a while when tuning.
Rocking the tuning dial up and down in freqs clears the problem.

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-024S.JPG

*** Asking $150.00 Shipped - Or offer ***

-------- ------------ ---------- ------------- ---------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Scott Air Pack SCBA unit (Fire Fighters air pack) ***

Comes with carry / storage case, mask, regulator, tank frame and tank . the
whole complete unit.

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-025S.JPG

*** Asking $135.00 Shipped or offer ***

-------- ------------ ---------- ------------- ---------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Setcom 7-PR4SB Headset with Boom Mic ***

I'm not sure what radio it is wired for, but it was used with an HT for auto
racing (Pit Crew)

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-030S.JPG

*** Asking $45.00 shipped or offer ***

-------- ------------ ---------- ------------- ---------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** TX-RX 900MHz Vari-Notch Cavity filters / (Duplexer?) ***

Quantity - 4

Filter Type - Vari-Notch

Model - 15-88-01

Freq - 890 - 960MHz

There is 4 of these filters on a rack mount frame. No interconnecting cables. I was going to send these to TX-RX to have them convert 2 of them to Pass High and make the interconnecting cables - But I went with a different duplexer.

PICTURES AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-028S.JPG

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-029S.JPG

PRICE LOWERED!

*** Asking $160 shipped - or offer ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ---------------- --------
-------- -------------

There's more to be listed... Keep an eye open for my posts.

I accept Paypal online payments, US Postal Money Orders and TRADES. I don't have any specific list of what I'm looking for in trade.so if you want to offer a trade, send me your "trade list" for what item(s).

Please note, included shipping is for continental US addresses ONLY, Hawaii, Alaska and international addresses, I will calculate the additional shipping needed.

Thanks

Dave Schmidt

N9NLU

Kewaskum, WI
n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net

*** Delete the "_REMOVE_THIS_" when responding. ***

1788. Live scanner audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 16:20:31 UTC
From: Marvin Suggs <msuggs@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <j%iia.21897$C86.1119745@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 33803

Just wanted to invite you to join our Houston FD Yahoo group:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/houstonfd/

Lots of interesting fire service conversation, and you can listen to our
live fire scanner. Please check us out!
Thanks,
Marvin Suggs
msuggs@houston.rr.com
--
Houston Fire Dept Yahoogroup:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/houstonfd/

Live Houston Area Fire Radio Communications:
www.groovyghosthunters.com/houstonfire.asx

1789. Mobile scanners, what available?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 16:37:47 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <_wKdnammA8q_XRSjXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 33804

looking for a scanner for my truck. I want it to fit in a DIN size opening
if possible. I need the following, 800mhz NO trunking NO digital something
simple I guess,
is there anything around that fits the bill?
if nothing for the opening then something relatively small.
thanks

--
Anthony
anthony@mostlyrockets.com
www.mostlyrockets.com

1790. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 16:56:54 UTC
From: Mysterious@nowhere.net
Message-ID: <v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:

>As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish garoting a =
>native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul ... for =
>them I guess it was just fun.

I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying on rewritten text books to glean this information. These same books usually fail to mention the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged in before contact with European men.

The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that die in grace will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a difference. Carl Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious. Unconscious implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the subconscious implies that the content is there but not currently being utilized by the mind. If God were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect health. We don't.

Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys at work. Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a surprise.

>Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming destruction of =
>the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God will =
>impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything and =
>everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for the right =
>moment, and punishes everyone.
>The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite beyond =
>imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause people to =
>seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's life, and =
>give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we are the =
>providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving sinners all =
>we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little mercy =
>and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
>
>Earthlink
>May you live as long as you want,
>and never want as long as you live.

Never say never.
Nothing is absolute.

1791. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 17:36:37 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <usij8vk4f8f1n98eg55r9025cfalp2vbed@4ax.com>
Source record: 33806
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Mon, 31 Mar 2003 22:56:06 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote:

>
>"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
>news:3E88C43D.7CB77A26@email.com...
>> > The US government does not jam the external radio broadcasts of any
>country.
>>
>> Oh yes they do. The distinctive beep-beep-beep is nothing else but a
>jamming signal, and sources of these signals have been located to US military
>sites by such magazines as Monitoring Times and Popular Communications.
>Sometimes they even use thier own VOA on different frequencies to jam other stations from
>being heard.
>
> Monitoring TImes and Popular Communications are pretty widely rejected by
>the experienced SW DX community, like Cumbre DX. They are hotbeds of
>conspiracy theories and clandestine radio opinions. The best one was the
>idea that Radio Swan/Radio Americas was not on Swan Island, but somewhere
>else. Which was funny, since I personally saw the transmitter on Isla de
>Cisne.

This is strange jamming. Normally a jamming signal is a square wave
that generates plenty of noise. Why do I think the "beep, beep, beep"
is WWV's time signals this guy is mistaking for jamming?

Rich

1792. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 17:45:36 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1njj8v4uc1m042kjvl8o5l8pu1dodg9hac@4ax.com>
Source record: 33807
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Mon, 31 Mar 2003 23:14:39 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>news:aI3ia.1665$yD7.149771530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
>> Please cite some evidence other than your fertile imagination that the US
>> jams any radio broadcasts.
>
>Hey, this Simmons is the SAME ignorant, uneducated troll that claims Israel
>is a "recent" nation.

Don't get upset with the guy. What he means is that, since the dawn of
time, Israel is recent. Of course, in that frame of reference, so is
homo sapiens (no, John, that doesn't refer to gay people)

The US is a "recent" nation, also, when you compare it to China, the
rest of Asia, Europe and most of the Middle East..

Rich

1793. Delay on BC-50XL ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 17:47:25 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <3e89d2d7$0$152$e4fe514c@dreader8.news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 33808
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I own an old Uniden Bearcat 50XL which doesn't have
a delay option, the delay is ON by default.

Now I'd like to make the delay switchable, does anyone
have experience on this with these old Bearcats?

Bye, Peter Hunt.

1794. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 17:55:06 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <thkj8vorpr4o5lgbkmkjjkocgjp9uic574@4ax.com>
Source record: 33809
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Mon, 31 Mar 2003 23:17:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote:

>
>"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
>news:zZ3ia.20149$0X.5385609@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>> news:aI3ia.1665$yD7.149771530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> > Please cite some evidence other than your fertile imagination that the
>US
>> > jams any radio broadcasts.
>>
>> Hey, this Simmons is the SAME ignorant, uneducated troll that claims
>Israel
>> is a "recent" nation.
>
>Moses was a "recent" leader, too?

Yes. Robert Moses. He was responsible for much of New York City's
public construction

Rich

1795. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 18:13:09 UTC
From: Erol Gray <JJ@alliswell.com>
Message-ID: <VEkia.332550$sf5.319212@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

well no shit shirlock.

"RHF" <rhf-mail@usa.com> wrote in message
news:3ef6beca.0304010224.1ee90b38@posting.google.com...
> "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
news:<ptaia.323646$S_4.286835@rwcrnsc53>...
> > I guess I'll never play piano again.....
> >
> > "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> > news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> > >
> > >
>
> JT & EG,
>
> This is the Great Thing about being able to say (write) this type of
> anti-christian humor in a so called 'Christian Nation' like the USA.
> You are tolerated and some people actually will enjoy your humor.
>
> Had you said (written) the same type of anti-islamic humor in an
> Islamic Nation (pick any one). These could have possibly been your
> Last Words...
>
> May God {Allah} Watch Over You - Amen.
>
> ~ RHF
>
> .

1796. Re: Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 18:18:00 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <o6lj8vo1csl34s9cht0rn2oiupl8kr1kk9@4ax.com>
Source record: 33811

On Tue, 01 Apr 2003 04:25:04 GMT, scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce Markowitz) wrote:

>PLEASE read what you posted

Please THINK about what I posted. Go to the freedom to tinker web site and read the stuff there. The opinions there are not your typical Usenet drivel. They come from the likes of Professor Lawrence Lessig (who recently argued a copyright case before the Supreme Court).

This is very broad legislation, it sweeps all over the place. If we aren't careful it will get applied to scanners as well. After all, if it is used to set limits on what a TIVO box can do, how long do you think it will be before they apply it to scanners?

I mean, if this stuff goes through, what's to keep NASCAR from declaring that they "own" their radio traffic and therefore we can't listen to it in an unrestricted manner?

Going even further, what's to keep a police department dispatch from doing the same?

Take a day, think hard, and then you can tell me how wrong I am.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1797. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 18:25:12 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <cQkia.102$_c4.8369299@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33812
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Rich Wood" <richbwood@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:usij8vk4f8f1n98eg55r9025cfalp2vbed@4ax.com...
>
> This is strange jamming. Normally a jamming signal is a square wave
> that generates plenty of noise. Why do I think the "beep, beep, beep"
> is WWV's time signals this guy is mistaking for jamming?

It is a conspiracy: they embedded a microchip in a filling. It creates a
heterodyne with anti-American broadcasts.

1798. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 18:45:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E89DE43.4030804@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Texas Steven wrote:
> Too bad......Some people just don't have NO sense of humor (That GOD gave
> them)

"don't have no" is a double negative which means they do have a
sense of humor.

1799. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 18:55:09 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@home.scotland>
Message-ID: <b6cnaddrh1@titan.btinternet.com>
Source record: 33813
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ut3ia.37532$ja4.2378055@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama

Given that you're now taken to responding to postings merely by quoting the above gibberish I take it that my original remark ("It seems that a high IQ is no guarantee of cognitive or argumentative capability.") now holds even more water. :^)

1800. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 18:58:37 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E89E199.BB2435B4@email.com>
Source record: 33814
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Rich Wood wrote:

> On Mon, 31 Mar 2003 23:14:39 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:
>
> >"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> >news:aI3ia.1665$yD7.149771530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> >> Please cite some evidence other than your fertile imagination that the US
> >> jams any radio broadcasts.
> >
> >Hey, this Simmons is the SAME ignorant, uneducated troll that claims Israel
> >is a "recent" nation.
>
> Don't get upset with the guy. What he means is that, since the dawn of
> time, Israel is recent. Of course, in that frame of reference, so is
> homo sapiens (no, John, that doesn't refer to gay people)
>
> The US is a "recent" nation, also, when you compare it to China, the
> rest of Asia, Europe and most of the Middle East..
>
> Rich

Israel was established on My 14th, 1948
http://www.mfa.gov.il/mfa/go.asp?MFAH00hb0

Some of you need to research your history!

1801. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 18:59:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E89E19E.4040104@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33836
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
> What is amazing, is that a google search for the entities you quoted found
> nothing. Another search of Jamming had numerous hits, not a single one
> relating to the US jamming anyone.
>
> Can you provide definitive jamming information? I think you are totally
> incorrect, but am open to any definitive proof. Popcomm and others are not a
> definitive source as they publish the anecdotal evidence presented to them
> by people that make wrong assumptions.

But the misinformation presented by Popcomm agrees with what he
thinks, so therefore it must be correct.

1802. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 19:05:12 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E89E324.58C79A54@email.com>
Source record: 33815
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> This is strange jamming. Normally a jamming signal is a square wave
> that generates plenty of noise. Why do I think the "beep, beep, beep"
> is WWV's time signals this guy is mistaking for jamming?

Because it was not on WWV's frequencies for one, plus I know what those sound like, plus they
don't just occur during anti-American newscasts, then go away (along with the strong carrier)
when the anti-American newscast is over. Many others reported this as well, I was not the only one to hear it.

Is it REALLY that hard to believe that this could actually happen? We are not talking about people with wings (angels) flying into the sky, or people rising from the dead or walking on
water here. Of course many people don't have any trouble believe stuff like that, only that any shortwave broadcast could EVER possibly have been jammed. That is completely impossible to
some people. What a society.

1803. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 19:08:43 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E89E3F7.FE170C05@email.com>
Source record: 33816
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> >>>In Iraq, if everyone checked the NO box, Saddam would have lost.
> >>
> >>HAHAHA!!! Lost what? Are you really so dumb as to think he would
> >>step down from power?
> >
> >
> > Bush hasn't yet. But you still don't see that 100% of the people voted for Saddam to
> > stay, do you? This is something Bush can only DREAM about. Hitler also got a majority
> > of the vote while the government was still a democratic one!
>
> And you would have voted for Bush too if he had been the only one
> on the ballot and you knew that a no vote would probably mean you
> would not live to vote again.

Why? when he didn't get the majority of votes, he just took power anyway. No killing was necessary. Why would Saddam have to kill anyone, he could just do the same thing Bush did.

1804. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 19:19:41 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304011119.5cdfe65a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33817

FD,

Remember for awhile 'Salmon Rushdie' had a Millions of Dollars Price
on his Head for his writtings. As In "WANTED DEAD - NOT ALIVE"

~ RHF

= = = fd347@aol.compostpile (Frank Raffa)
= = = wrote in message news:<20030401091658.08619.00000258@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> >Had you said (written) the same type of anti-islamic humor in an
> >Islamic Nation (pick any one). These could have possibly been your
> >Last Words...
>
> Or, at the very least, castigated a la Salmon Rushdie.
>
>
> Frank Raffa
> Supv. Dispatcher FDNY
> www.FDNewYork.com

1805. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 19:21:01 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E89E69D.80203@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33839
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:

>
> It did not come to be. If you don't have anything that exists, then you can't have any
> explosions, "big bangs" or any occurrences of any kind to create anything because
> nothing exists. If you can create existence, then there must have been some sort of
> existence in order to create the existence with, thus you didn't create existence, it
> was already there.

So there was no existence then, so there can be no existence now,
so we really aren't here. (some, I won't name names but the
initials are JS, certainly aren't "here")

>
> This is so simple a child can figure it out, and that is when I figured it out, when I
> was a child. You don't need to be a genius to just think about how impossible it
> would be for the Universe or existence to have a beginning.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!! ROTFLMAO. Out of the mouth of babes, this
genius child figured out what science as been trying to for years.
Now I think I get it. The universe does not exist or ever had a
beginning so this war, Bush, the Iraqis, and everything else is
all just an illusion folks. The only thing that really exists is
Johnnyboy. YEEEESSSSSHHHHHH!

>
> Which came first, the chicken or the egg? Neither. The species evolved over time,
> there was no magical day when a chicken or egg just appeared out of nowhere.
>
> Another ridiculous argument is that everything that exists is so amazing and complex
> that only a great intelligent mind or god could have created it. Yet, something even
> MORE amazing and complex like the brain of this intelligent mind or god people have no
> problem believing needs the same explanation in order to exist. He just popped out of
> nowhere by randon chance. Wouldn't an even MORE amazing and complex being be required
> to create something as amazing and complex as the creator of our universe and all
> existence? And so you have an endless infinite line of gods and creators without a
> beginning.
>
> So no matter how you choose to look at it, there is no beginning to existence. That
> would be impossible.
>
>
>>With all your great knowledge I just can't understand why you are
>>not in charge of the country,
>

>
> Because we live in a democratic type of society. In a democracy, you have 3 wolves
> and a sheep voting on what to have for lunch. Very few people are geniuses in any
> given society, most people are idiots.

You are living proof of that.

> How many people do you know that can design and
> create a VCR?

Most people don't need to know how to design and build a VCR. That
is what some people get engineering degrees for. So you say if
someone does not know how to do that then they are stupid. Can you
do it?
How many people know how to do a heart transplant? Not many
compared to the overall population. Bet you don't know how, so you
must be one of those idiots.
Most people don't even know how to set the CLOCKS on a VCR. Have
> you ever seen a genius as president of our country? Of course not, and you never will
> in a democracy. The majority idiots rule and tell the minority geniuses how it is
> going to be.

And you are one of the minority geniuses I suppose.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!! Again ROTFLMAO!!!!!

So you are the genius that should be running the country. If that
happens *I* will go to Iraq, it would be lot safer there.

>
> Why can't a genius figure out a way to trick the people into voting for him? Because
> no one in this country who is intelligent is ever allowed to speak to a large enough of
> the population to ever reach them in the first place. Hitler was able to do it and
> realized that if you can reach and talk to the entire population at once, you can
> program them anyway you want to. He was able to get them to all vote for him, before
> forbidding anyone else to ever get the opportunity to speak to the people again and
> quickly turned the country into a dictatorship. Our country has done the same. No
> other political party is allowed in the presidential debates anymore, for the fact that
> they could speak to all of the people without censorship. Someone might actually get
> elected that is not part of the two party system.
>
> So, you will never have Einstein as president, only people like Clinton and Bush.
>
>
>>Have you written to Washington to tell them just how smart you
>>are, that you have all the answers to everything, and they should
>>put you in charge? No, why not? I am sure Bush would gladly step
>>aside if he only realized that you could solve all the world's
>>problems with your vast knowledge.
>
>
> You have some more learning to do then.

Unlike you who knows EVERYTHING.

>
>
>>I bet your IQ is even higher
>>than jesseq's. Wow, how privileged we are to be able to exchange
>>barbs with such an all knowing person. Oh, just had a though,
>>maybe you really are not a person, maybe you are God him/herself!!!!
>
>
> Then I would be unable to post messages on newsgroups. No god has ever been able to
> accomplish that feat.

How do you know God does not post to newsgroups? You have proof of
that?

1806. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 19:28:55 UTC
From: buo <hkl@er.com>
Message-ID: <3E89E877.DAF4F623@er.com>
Source record: 33821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

They would have cut his tongue out.
And then he wouldn't be such a smart ass.

RHF wrote:

> "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
news:<ptaia.323646$S_4.286835@rwcrnsc53>...
> > I guess I'll never play piano again.....
> >
> > "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> > news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> > >
> > >
>
> JT & EG,
>
> This is the Great Thing about being able to say (write) this type of
> anti-christian humor in a so called 'Christian Nation' like the USA.
> You are tolerated and some people actually will enjoy your humor.
>
> Had you said (written) the same type of anti-islamic humor in an
> Islamic Nation (pick any one). These could have possibly been your
> Last Words...
>
> May God {Allah} Watch Over You - Amen.
>
> ~ RHF
>
> .

1807. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 19:46:46 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8jr52otenvsc9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33818
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

What is amazing, is that a google search for the entities you quoted found nothing. Another search of Jamming had numerous hits, not a single one relating to the US jamming anyone.

Can you provide definitive jamming information? I think you are totally incorrect, but am open to any definitive proof. Popcomm and others are not a definitive source as they publish the anecdotal evidence presented to them by people that make wrong assumptions.

A search of jamming equipment in the US arsenal shows RADAR and missile jamming equipment, but I was unable to locate any short-wave jamming equipment.

Anyone familiar with the art will explain the JS/JT ratios involved and the antenna requirements to be successful.

Personally, I feel that this is more of the bovine excrement you are so fond of propagating.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message news:3E89E324.58C79A54@email.com...
> > This is strange jamming. Normally a jamming signal is a square wave
> > that generates plenty of noise. Why do I think the "beep, beep, beep"
> > is WWV's time signals this guy is mistaking for jamming?
>
> Because it was not on WWV's frequencies for one, plus I know what those sound like, plus they
> don't just occur during anti-American newscasts, then go away (along with the strong carrier)
> when the anti-American newscast is over. Many others reported this as well, I was not the
> only one to hear it.
>
> Is it REALLY that hard to believe that this could actually happen? We are not talking about
> people with wings (angels) flying into the sky, or people rising from the dead or walking on
> water here. Of course many people don't have any trouble believe stuff like that, only that
> any shortwave broadcast could EVER possibly have been jammed. That is completely impossible to
> some people. What a society.
>
>
>

1808. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 19:48:37 UTC
From: Texas Steven <rothrock@stic.net>
Message-ID: <3e89ecf0$0$43848$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 33819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Too bad......Some people just don't have NO sense of humor (That GOD gave them)
Wait a minute....if GOD gave it to them....then it looks as if THEY DON"T USE IT!

TexasSteven
Food For Thought!

1809. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 19:51:51 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <r5mia.1395$hI5.403@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33822
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E89E199.BB2435B4@email.com...
> Rich Wood wrote:
>
> > On Mon, 31 Mar 2003 23:14:39 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:
> >
> > >"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > >news:aI3ia.1665$yD7.149771530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > >> Please cite some evidence other than your fertile imagination that
the US
> > >> jams any radio broadcasts.
> > >
> > >Hey, this Simmons is the SAME ignorant, uneducated troll that claims
Israel
> > >is a "recent" nation.
> >
> > Don't get upset with the guy. What he means is that, since the dawn of
> > time, Israel is recent. Of course, in that frame of reference, so is
> > homo sapiens (no, John, that doesn't refer to gay people)
> >
> > The US is a "recent" nation, also, when you compare it to China, the
> > rest of Asia, Europe and most of the Middle East..
> >
> > Rich
>
> Israel was established on My 14th, 1948
> http://www.mfa.gov.il/mfa/go.asp?MFAH00hb0
>
> Some of you need to research your history!

I guess my Bible is wrong, then.

1810. Re: trying to find co. name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 20:03:11 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b6cqf3$44qju$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33820

GMRS is the next CB radio. I wouldnt bother with the license. Theres so many out there now theres no way to enforce it anyway. The panel van with all the antennas hasent pulled up to my house yet. :-)

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:wD3ia.37567$ja4.2379947@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > The FCC has some good and some bad. Like, I have to pay $75.00 just so I
> can use GMRS! It's a seven mile range Motorola but I'm only going to be
> using it while my husband is out on the boat and I'm sitting at the
> campsite. Good news is that it lasts five years, though.

1811. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 20:09:47 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <e8sj8v4m7sp0m6belpptak36s4hb4utfn7@4ax.com>
Source record: 33823
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Tue, 01 Apr 2003 18:58:37 GMT, John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
wrote:

>> The US is a "recent" nation, also, when you compare it to China, the
>> rest of Asia, Europe and most of the Middle East..
>>
>> Rich
>
>Israel was established on My 14th, 1948
>http://www.mfa.gov.il/mfa/go.asp?MFAH00hb0
>
>Some of you need to research your history!

My history is wrong? There are many "recent" countries. Bangladesh was established within my adult lifetime (which still has a long time to go). I knew the brother of the first Prime Minister who was assasinated. He was my next door neighbor in Boston and borrowed my shortwave receiver when he found there were problems at home. That's how he discovered his brother was dead.

Rich

1812. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 20:27:58 UTC
From: sven@gordsven.com
Message-ID: <slrnb8jtqv.in3.sven@gordsven.com>
Source record: 33825
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

In article <r5mia.1395$hI5.403@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, David Eduardo wrote:
> I guess my Bible is wrong, then.

David,

I said this before on here. The political construct known as the state of Israel (AS WE KNOW IT) is modern. It was carved out by the British in the mid 20th century..

The identity and the ethnic group that claim that this piece of land was "given by God" to Abraham have been around for hundreds (if not thousands) of years.

Likewise, there never really was a "Palestine," politically speaking.

These were all independent tribes.

--
Sven

1813. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 20:28:37 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b6cqu3$3fv$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 33824
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

My question is...

If this ONLY happens during the anti-American broadcasts, then how would the jammer know when to stop?

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message news:v8jr52otenvsc9@corp.supernews.com...
> What is amazing, is that a google search for the entities you quoted found
> nothing. Another search of Jamming had numerous hits, not a single one
> relating to the US jamming anyone.
>
> Can you provide definitive jamming information? I think you are totally
> incorrect, but am open to any definitive proof. Popcomm and others are not a
> definitive source as they publish the anecdotal evidence presented to them
> by people that make wrong assumptions.
>
> A search of jamming equipment in the US arsenal shows RADAR and missile
> jamming equipment, but I was unable to locate any short-wave jamming
> equipment.
>
> Anyone familiar with the art will explain the JS/JT ratios involved and the
> antenna requirements to be successful.
>
> Personally, I feel that this is more of the bovine excrement you are so fond
> of propagating.
>
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E89E324.58C79A54@email.com...
> > > This is strange jamming. Normally a jamming signal is a square wave
> > > that generates plenty of noise. Why do I think the "beep, beep, beep"
> > > is WWV's time signals this guy is mistaking for jamming?
> > >
> > Because it was not on WWV's frequencies for one, plus I know what those
> sound like, plus they
> > don't just occur during anti-American newscasts, then go away (along with
> the strong carrier)
> > when the anti-American newscast is over. Many others reported this as
> well, I was not the
> > only one to hear it.
> > >

> > Is it REALLY that hard to believe that this could actually happen? We
> are not talking about
> > people with wings (angels) flying into the sky, or people rising from
the
> dead or walking on
> > water here. Of course many people don't have any trouble believe stuff
> like that, only that
> > any shortwave broadcast could EVER possibly have been jammed. That is
> completely impossible to
> > some people. What a society.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1814. Re: Watertown N.Y.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 20:37:07 UTC
From: Jeff <jeff.watkins@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns93509EE24413Fjeffwatkinssympatico@207.35.177.135>
Source record: 33827

THanks
I already did that and got a decent response from someone else.

Walt127@world.net wrote in news:3E889DF2.2EB7BEF9@world.net:

>
>
> Jeff wrote:
>
>> Hi
>> Any one Know of a HAM/Scanner store in Watertown N.Y.?
>
> Anything you think you could purchase in Wattertown you can get twice
> as much on the internet.
>
> Do a search like the rest of us would do.
>
>

1815. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 20:40:33 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8ju9ternkua96@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33826
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Now Brenda, don't be asking questions that would poke holes in his idiotic ravings...

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message news:b6cqu3$3fv$1@news1.kornet.net...
> My question is...
>
> If this ONLY happens during the anti-American broadcasts, then how would the
> jammer know when to stop?
>
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v8jr52otenvsc9@corp.supernews.com...
> > What is amazing, is that a google search for the entities you quoted found
> > nothing. Another search of Jamming had numerous hits, not a single one
> > relating to the US jamming anyone.
> >
> > Can you provide definitive jamming information? I think you are totally
> > incorrect, but am open to any definitive proof. Popcomm and others are not
> a
> > definitive source as they publish the anecdotal evidence presented to them
> > by people that make wrong assumptions.
> >
> > A search of jamming equipment in the US arsenal shows RADAR and missile
> > jamming equipment, but I was unable to locate any short-wave jamming
> > equipment.
> >
> > Anyone familiar with the art will explain the JS/JT ratios involved and
> the
> > antenna requirements to be successful.
> >
> > Personally, I feel that this is more of the bovine excrement you are so
> fond
> > of propagating.
> >
> > "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E89E324.58C79A54@email.com...
> > > > This is strange jamming. Normally a jamming signal is a square wave
> > > > that generates plenty of noise. Why do I think the "beep, beep,

beep"
> > > > is WWV's time signals this guy is mistaking for jamming?
> > >
> > > Because it was not on WWV's frequencies for one, plus I know what
those
> > sound like, plus they
> > > don't just occur during anti-American newscasts, then go away (along
> with
> > the strong carrier)
> > > when the anti-American newscast is over. Many others reported this
as
> > well, I was not the
> > > only one to hear it.
> > >
> > > Is it REALLY that hard to believe that this could actually happen?
We
> > are not talking about
> > > people with wings (angels) flying into the sky, or people rising from
> the
> > dead or walking on
> > > water here. Of course many people don't have any trouble believe
stuff
> > like that, only that
> > > any shortwave broadcast could EVER possibly have been jammed. That is
> > completely impossible to
> > > some people. What a society.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1816. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 20:46:19 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E89FAD6.38D975EB@email.com>
Source record: 33828
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> > Now, back to the excellent statement that was made. People did believe the world
> > was flat based just on what they were told. Like the idiots today who believe in
> > the Big Bang Theory and that the Universe (existence) had a beginning. As if
> > you could create a Universe without there being any existence to create one in.
> > What explosion can occur if there is no Universe (or existence) for it to explode
> > in? Yet, no matter how ridiculous the theory, it must be believed because that
> > is what they told us in school, like the earth being flat centuries ago.
>
> Ah, so now you are an expert on how the universe came to be as
> well. You know much more than all the astronomers and physicist
> who have studied the universe for hundreds of years.

Columbus knew more than all of the astronomers and "experts" of his time, right? That is the whole point here. And even after it is made, you are laughing again just like they all laughed at Columbus.

> So please explain to us the mysteries of just how the universe
> came to be.

It did not come to be. If you don't have anything that exists, then you can't have any explosions, "big bangs" or any occurrences of any kind to create anything because nothing exists. If you can create existence, then there must have been some sort of existence in order to create the existence with, thus you didn't create existence, it was already there.

This is so simple a child can figure it out, and that is when I figured it out, when I was a child. You don't need to be a genius to just think about how impossible it would be for the Universe or existence to have a beginning.

Which came first, the chicken or the egg? Neither. The species evolved over time, there was no magical day when a chicken or egg just appeared out of nowhere.

Another ridiculous argument is that everything that exists is so amazing and complex that only a great intelligent mind or god could have created it. Yet, something even MORE amazing and complex like the brain of this intelligent mind or god people have no problem believing needs the same explanation in order to exist. He just popped out of nowhere by randon chance. Wouldn't an even MORE amazing and complex being be required to create something as amazing and complex as the creator of our universe and all existence? And so you have an endless infinite line of gods and creators without a beginning.

So no matter how you choose to look at it, there is no beginning to existence. That would be impossible.

> With all your great knowledge I just can't understand why you are
> not in charge of the country,

Because we live in a democratic type of society. In a democracy, you have 3 wolves and a sheep voting on what to have for lunch. Very few people are geniuses in any given society, most people are idiots. How many people do you know that can design and create a VCR? Most people don't even know how to set the CLOCKS on a VCR. Have you ever seen a genius as president of our country? Of course not, and you never will in a democracy. The majority idiots rule and tell the minority geniuses how it is going to be.

Why can't a genius figure out a way to trick the people into voting for him? Because no one in this country who is intelligent is ever allowed to speak to a large enough of the population to ever reach them in the first place. Hitler was able to do it and realized that if you can reach and talk to the entire population at once, you can program them anyway you want to. He was able to get them to all vote for him, before forbidding anyone else to ever get the opportunity to speak to the people again and quickly turned the country into a dictatorship. Our country has done the same. No other political party is allowed in the presidential debates anymore, for the fact that they could speak to all of the people without censorship. Someone might actually get elected that is not part of the two party system.

So, you will never have Einstein as president, only people like Clinton and Bush.

> Have you written to Washington to tell them just how smart you
> are, that you have all the answers to everything, and they should
> put you in charge? No, why not? I am sure Bush would gladly step
> aside if he only realized that you could solve all the world's
> problems with your vast knowledge.

You have some more learning to do then.

> I bet your IQ is even higher
> than jesseq's. Wow, how privileged we are to be able to exchange
> barbs with such an all knowing person. Oh, just had a though,
> maybe you really are not a person, maybe you are God him/herself!!!!

Then I would be unable to post messages on newsgroups. No god has ever been able to accomplish that feat.

1817. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 20:46:40 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <QUmia.1547$v%5.7@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33829
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Sven Franklyn Weil" <sven@gordsven.com> wrote in message
news:slrnb8jtqv.in3.sven@gordsven.com...
> In article <r5mia.1395$hI5.403@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, David Eduardo
wrote:
> > I guess my Bible is wrong, then.
>
> David,
>
> I said this before on here. The political construct known as the state of
> Israel (AS WE KNOW IT) is modern. It was carved out by the British in the
> mid 20th century..
>
> The identity and the ethnic group that claim that this piece of land was
> "given by God" to Abraham have been around for hundreds (if not thousands)
> of years.
>
> Likewise, there never really was a "Palestine," politically speaking.
>
> These were all independent tribes.

The concept of the strictly bordered nation is also a new construct in history. In fact, in some languages the term tribe and nation are the same. Boundaries were, to say the least, fluid. The Aztec "empire" (a misnomer, since it was in essence a theocracy with a civilian-military leader) had different borders nearly every day as influences and alliances waxed and waned.

Tribal lands evolved into nation-states or principalities, and then into contemporary nations. Most of what we consider ethnic lands in the Biblical territories would be considered nations today; Israel came and went several times.

1818. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 20:47:18 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <qVmia.1550$v%5.781@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33831
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:b6cqu3$3fv$1@news1.kornet.net...
> My question is...
>
> If this ONLY happens during the anti-American broadcasts, then how would
the
> jammer know when to stop?

Awww. Could you avoid the use of logic in your posts?

Good question.

1819. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 20:48:28 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E89FB58.7EF4BCCF@email.com>
Source record: 33832
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> > Hey, this Simmons is the SAME ignorant, uneducated troll that claims Israel
> > is a "recent" nation.
>
> Well..the country of Israel as we know it today is fairly recent. It was
> established in what...the late 40s, 50s or something.

May 14, 1948
http://www.mfa.gov.il/mfa/go.asp?MFAH00hb0

1820. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 20:53:10 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAF6666.1550C%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33835
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tad Early" wrote:
>
> You know I wondering where those skuds came from
> that were shot at Kuwait. Pretty dumb of us to
> build them and then let them use 'em. :)

I think the spelling is "Scuds." And we didn't build them - the Russian did, in the late 50's and early 60's (about 35-45 years ago).

Anyway, it is amazing these things keep flying around. I remember a General at a press conference early in the last Iraq war saying all the Scud launchers had been knocked out. Over the next 24 hours, about a dozen Scuds were launched. Almost week later, another General said nearly the same thing, with more Scuds launched afterward. When asked about that, the military said mobile launchers are difficult to find and said nothing more publicly about Scud launchers being destroyed after that. Perhaps it is a good thing because we now see missiles, probably Scuds, being launched yet again.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1821. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 21:02:51 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b6ctv0$4448j$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33833
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

To quote a famous but very poor taste cartoon (from the internet of course).

Arguing on the internet is like winning the special olympics. Even if you win, you're
still retarded.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Ry3ia.37550$ja4.2379650@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> MnMikew, I thought about that myself just today. Gee, how ignorant of me to
> waste my time arguing with people who sit behind their computers trying to
> be someone else! After all, these are just words written on a screen. Ah!
> Words.... Nothing more than little binary digits floating through
> cyberspace. No ouchies here anymore!
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:b69vij$31orj$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> > You're arguing with people on Usenet. Now theres some intelligence.
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician. Read
> > > That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> > > intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a level
> > > below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH LEVEL
> of
> > > mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a
> mathematical

> > > genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd like
to
> > see
> > > what you do!!
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > forgiven
> > > > for that, of course.
> > > >
> > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
> > when
> > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
your
> > > bills
> > > > and buy you toys.
> > > >
> > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > website:
> > > >
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > >
> > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
guess.
> > > >
> > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
what
> > you
> > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> sort
> > of
> > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > naive.
> > > >
> > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own
> damn

> > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > >
> > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
your
> > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > >
> > > > Sickening...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE
to
> > > > someone
> > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> > > doesn't
> > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to
> > help
> > > > him.
> > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion!
> His
> > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
> > help.
> > > > He
> > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much!
You
> > > > should
> > > > > be ashamed.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1822. Re: Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 21:03:51 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb8jvln.gg.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 33837

In article <X6lia.293337$3D1.161175@sccrnsc01>, t wrote:
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> This new DMCA thing also covers internet firewalls. Firewalls may become
> illegal as well.

Wrong, they just want you to tear down your firewall so that
anybody can make sure you do not use 2 computers on the connection
if you only paid for one, and then there is the bonus that the
music industri can gain access to your computer and see if you have
pirate spftware on it.

And your only protection against viirus and hackers will be the law.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

1823. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 21:06:04 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b6cu51$46775$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33834

STFU already sheese. Quit crossposting too.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Un3ia.37506$ja4.2378755@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.org> wrote in message
> news:edMha.7118$pW1.383@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> > Celt Chic wrote:
> > > Heee.... wanna see the wart on my nose? I have been made a troll
> > > thanks to this newsgroup!
> > >
> > >
> > [snip]
> > >> Goodness, didn't take you all that long before you became a troll
> > >> yourself....
> > >
> > --
> > No, what made you a troll was your inability to control yourself when
> > confronted by trolls. The only person that is responsible for your
> actions
> > is you, not me nor anyone else using these newsgroups.
> >
> > It's just so much easier to lash back and then blame the other guy.
> Hmmmmm,
> > where have I seen that before, the grade school playground and/or usenet
> > news groups.
> >
> > Trolls live by the 'Mine is bigger than yours game', which you appear to
> > play fairly well. The only way to win the game with trolls is not to

> play.
> >
> > It's like my father told me, "Son never get into a battle of wits with
an
> > unarmed opponent".
> > --
> > Mark J...
> >
> > "...The enemy is at the gates. In fact his name
> > may even be Gates." - Red Green
> >
> >
>
>

1824. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 21:10:09 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E8A0031.8080308@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33753
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:
>
>
> I sure can.

Yea right.

> I can even set the clock on one. Can you even do the later?

Sure can.

> Because that
> was the point. Not that everyone needs to know how to design a VCR, but that there are
very
> few people that are intelligent enough to even know how the hell it works and be able to
> explain how it works and stores video information, forget even designing one.

Why do they need to be able to know how it works or how it stores
video information? I suppose you know how everything works.
How many people do you think can explain how the automatic
transmission is their car works? Why do they need to be able to?
They drive the car and it works. Probably the heart transplant
doctor can't. Would you call him unintelligent?

> Very few
> geniuses, but millions of people that are idiots.

I see, you consider yourself to be a genius and everyone else are
idiots. What a pompous moron!

> THAT was the point, but you not
> understanding the point no matter how one explains it is what is actually proving the
> point. Now go and help me prove it some more with another reply.

You are doing a good job of proving what a pompous a** you are on
your own.
>
>
>>How many people know how to do a heart transplant? Not many
>>compared to the overall population.
>
>
> EXACTLY. But how many people don't know how to set the clock on a VCR, or can't explain
how
> a video picture gets from the TV station to your TV screen? MILLIONS of people can't.

And they don't need to stupid.

>
> There are more UNintelligent people than intelligent people.

You among them.

>
>
>>Bet you don't know how, so you
>>must be one of those idiots.
>
>
> Actually I do know how.

Oh boy, this guy knows the secrets of the universe that has so far
eluded the scientist, can design and build his own VCR and now the
guy is a heart transplant surgeon as well. Where did you get your
medical degree, you send off to one of those web sites for it?
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>
>
>>Most people don't even know how to set the CLOCKS on a VCR. Have
>>
>>>you ever seen a genius as president of our country? Of course not, and you never will
>>>in a democracy. The majority idiots rule and tell the minority geniuses how it is
>>>going to be.
>>
>>So you are the genius that should be running the country.
>
>
> The thought of being King of the idiots is not that appealing, but thanks for suggesting
that
> I was a genius. I don't even consider myself one. There is still lots to learn, these are
> just the basics I figured out many years ago.

You need to go back to figuring, you basics are a bit off target.

>
>

>>>
>>>
>>>>Have you written to Washington to tell them just how smart you
>>>>are, that you have all the answers to everything, and they should
>>>>put you in charge? No, why not? I am sure Bush would gladly step
>>>>aside if he only realized that you could solve all the world's
>>>>problems with your vast knowledge.
>>>
>>>
>>>You have some more learning to do then.
>>

>>Unlike you who knows EVERYTHING.
>
>
> More bullshit. No one can know everything. I have no idea how many pairs of underwear you
> have at home.

But you would like to.

>>
>>How do you know God does not post to newsgroups? You have proof of
>>that?
>
>
> Do you have proof that a 7000 ton invisible 2 mile wide monster is not at the bottom of
the
> Atlantic Ocean?
>
> Of course not. You can't disprove someone else's fantasy,

There you hit the nail on the head, your fantasies.

it is up to the person who makes
> up the fantasy to prove his claim.

OK, lots of notable scientist, much more educated than you,
believe in the Big Bang theory of the universe. You say it isn't
so, so you have the burden of proving they are wrong and you are
correct, so go ahead, prove it.

> YOU have the burden of proving that god posts on
> newsgroups, not I.

I say he does. If you disagree either accept the fact or prove it.

>
> This is the same idiot logic that required Saddam to PROVE he DIDN'T have any chemical
> weapons. How the hell do you prove that you DON'T have something?

I don't have a space ship in my back yard. Come over and I will
prove it, you can see for yourself.

>
> You start by PROVING to everyone on this newsgroup that YOU don't have any chemical
weapons of
> mass destruction in your house, and show us how Saddam could do it.

I will do what Saddam should have done, come over, search my house
from top to bottom, my back yard and front yard, dig anywhere you
want, you will find proof that I do not have any chemical weapons
of mass destruction, unless you want to count the can of ant killer.

>
> And when you CAN'T do it, we will have the authority to bomb your house and take it away
from

> you.

If I don't let you see for yourself, maybe, but I CAN prove it,
see above.

>
> How the MAJORITY of Americans can not see how ridiculous this is, is just as baffling as
how
> the MAJORITY of Americans are not outraged that Bush is president even though he had half
a
> million votes LESS than Gore did.

Because the MAJORITY of Americans don't live in your fantasy world.

>
> Absolutely amazing.

You sure are.

1825. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 21:16:37 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8A01F0.853E151A@email.com>
Source record: 33838
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> tall friends. I just don't categorize the press in terms of liberal press /
> right wing press.

That's because you are smarter than those that do. "liberal" and "right wing" are just fictional titles given to people who say something against their beliefs when they themselves consider to belong to the opposite group.

You say something against Clinton, you are called "right wing" But wait, you then say something against Bush, and you are called a "liberal". Therefore, they are both the same exact thing.

So you can choose to call the government propaganda news as either "liberal" or "right wing", it really doesn't matter, there is really no difference. BOTH liberals and right wing groups support our troops now that the war has started, right? See? Shouldn't one group still be against the troops if there really was a difference?

What do you call a one sided brainwashed American with no self thought opinions? A liberal right-wing Republicat.

> The rush limbaugh crowd promotes such thinking because it sells. I don't
> pay attention too it. I think bill o'reilly is full of it, but not because
> he is right wing, but because he accuses people of unproven things which are
> immoral "in his opinion", but only when it sells. Fair and balance reporing?

He is not reporting. Telling all his viewers to boycott any French goods is not a news report or any fair and balanced reporting of any facts, it is directing the viewers and telling them what to do. They don't let you "decide for yourself", they tell you that anyone who doesn't support the war is not an American and does not deserve any constitutional protection.

> I don't read the New Yorker because it's what you call liberal. I read it
> cause it challenges me.

Here, read this and see if it challenges you too:
http://www.antiwar.com/latest.html
http://www.truthaboutwar.org/home.shtml

> I wish you would stop promoting such >politicaly racist < terms like
> "Liberals". It's just a stick to hit people with. It really stinks

And it never fits the intended target. I get called a liberal AND a right wing conservative all the time, and I am neither.

> Did it ever occur to the Rush Limbaugh crowd, that he puts down NPR because
> it is his marketshare competition?

That is how broadcasting works, yes. One day if he gets a job at NPR, he will then start bashing the other stations he worked at. Just look at your own local radio personalities. One DJ calls a rival station's DJ names, then a few years later works at the same station and they are both great buddies promoting each other's shows.

No different than if you change jobs from working at one company or store to it's competitor. Suddenly they are not the enemy, but the good guys.

1826. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 21:25:12 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAF6DE8.1550E%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33840
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Celt Chic" wrote:
>
> Dwight, thanks. Breathing a little better today.
> I figured out what it was yesterday that I was
> responding to. It was just a little bit of radio
> noise and what I should have done was switch it off!
> *Click* Then it's all gone. In fact, I hardly
> remember any of it. :)

Exactly. If you don't like what is being said, simply tune to another frequency (message). I do like the use of the "simple religion" phrase, but you need to vary it occasionally - I get bored easily. Regardless, enough of that will end ANY debate.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1827. [OT] Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 21:45:59 UTC
From: Piotr Balcerzak <bpiotrek@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2Jnia.38312$cB3.235436@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 33843
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BAAF6666.1550C%stewart@say.net...
>
> Anyway, it is amazing these things keep flying around. I remember a
> General at a press conference early in the last Iraq war saying all the
Scud
> launchers had been knocked out. Over the next 24 hours, about a dozen
Scuds
> were launched. Almost week later, another General said nearly the same
> thing, with more Scuds launched afterward. When asked about that, the
> military said mobile launchers are difficult to find and said nothing more
> publicly about Scud launchers being destroyed after that. Perhaps it is a
> good thing because we now see missiles, probably Scuds, being launched yet
> again.
>

They modified original Scuds. The new Scuds can be re-fueled
in the air. Once launched, such Scuds can be hidden in clouds.
And that's what military face now: the scuds that were launched
long before the invasion. Destroying launchers will not help
because the scuds are already out there (hidden and hard to
locate)...

Piotr

1828. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 21:55:31 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E8A0AD3.5000806@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33763
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:

>
>>so we really aren't here.
>
>
> You are showing your ignorance. Other people are reading this too you know, not just me.
> They see what statements you make too.

Your are showing you ignorance, I am being sarcastic, something
only you seem to miss.

1829. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 21:57:22 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8A0B7D.97487D00@email.com>
Source record: 33844
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> 1. Liberation vs. Invasion and occupation
>
> This is the scariest one. Only time will tell whether the
> bulk of Iraqis see us as liberators or invaders to be
> repulsed at all costs.

I will save you the time. They will never accept our takeover. Turn the tables around and think of it this way... What if China and Russia teamed up and came over here started bombing your house, killing your friends and family and tried to take Bush out of power and put in thier own communist leader and form of government to liberate us? Would you finnaly just give in and thank them for all the destruction they caused and for burning your constitution?

I don't like Bush as president. I didn't like Clinton as president either. But if this situation were to occur, I would fight with everyone else here to get them out of our country and protect the life of our president.

The people of Iraq are no different. Even those that might not like Saddam are not going to let any other country come in and take over.

> This will determine in large measure
> whether the coalition will be fighting only elite soldiers,

Stop saying "coalition", there is no coalition this time. That word has become a synonym for the "USA"

> or will be constantly surrounded and engaged by an armed
> population of ordinary folks who are defending their home
> against invaders.

And this will never end. Did Palestine ever give up when the Jews took over their coutnry and turned it into Israel in 1948? No, they are still fighting to get their country back decades later.

> 2. WMD status
>
> Although informed people never believed wild claims that
> Saddam had the ability to threaten other countries with his
> WMDs, it seems likely he does have *some* WMDs that survived
> the Gulf I bombing, and some percentage (maybe large, maybe
> very small) that may still be potent.

If this was true, they would be using them now against us. What good will it have been to have them when he is out of power? Why is he not using them to fight back against our invasion? When will people finally beleive that they disarmed over a decade ago? The USA waited 12 years to attack for what

reason? Because they wanted to make sure they had no weapons to fight back with. They used the UN inspectors to check what was inside certain facilities, used U2 spy planes with Iraq's consent to stake out targets only weeks before the attacks were to begins. After all this, they still can't take over a country the size of one of our states with all our weapons of mass destruction and technology. The USA is not all that it boasted it was. Russia, China, North Korea are all taking note of this and are going to come and kick our ass soon.

> Given the pressure to present proof of these WMDs, watch for
> Administration "re-classification" of non-WMDs as WMDs to
> avoid embarassment, if they fail to find substantial
> stockpiles.

No, we have the stockpiles to plant there! We have over 75% of chemical weapons we were supposed to have completely destroyed ourselves and still have not got around to getting rid of them. Since Iraq got their chemical weapons from us to begin with, they are the same type we have in our storage facilities across our country. Once we would have Iraq under our control (IF that ever even happens) we would just bring in some of our plants and "find" them. Don't think Bush would ever not "find" any, he already thought of that. Just like when the UN wanted proof and he came up with those fake documents.

> 3. Aftermath
>
> If the Iraqi people turn out to be largely grateful for
> being rid of Saddam,

Get that out of your mind now. They are not surrendering and welcoming our troops as your government told you are they? The generals and people we claimed surrendered to us have since been on Al Jazeera TV and made us look like idiots saying they are not captured at all. We took over Umm Qasr during the first or second day, yet now we find out we don't have control of it at all. This is all propaganda so Saddam thought we already had him and he might as well give up. Now they know, as we do, that this was a trick and they are fighting stronger than ever knowing we were just bluffing.

Here is another thing, reporters are never allowed to say where they are in Iraq, yet CNN shows animated maps every hour showing exactly where our troops are and how we are comming up from the south towards Baghdad.

This bit of information tells me (and Saddam) that they are really comming in from the NORTH from Turkey and they never refused to let us attack from their country after all.

Why else would the Pentagon allow CNN to show all our locations and broadcast to the world and Iraq where we are and what direction we are comming from?

Just as Bush thought the Iraqis were so stupid that they would surrender from our lies about "shock and awe", Bush now thinks that Iraq will bring all their troops and tanks out 50 to 100 miles in the southern desert so that our real troops in the North can come down and take Baghdad? If I can figure this out, I would hope that the Iraqi intelligence could figure it out too.

They shouldn't have allowed CNN to show those maps, that was the dead giveaway. I fell for the Turkey not allowing us to be based there even though we were already unloading and setting up there, but it is CNN showing exactly where we are everyday without the Pentagon telling them to stop that blew the plan. What idiots, they almost pulled it off.

Also, there are no imbedded press in the Northern troops, so no one will see the chemical weapons we will be using. Why else do you think we have all those protective chemical gear? Rumsfeld stated himlsef to congress on C-span that we use them in Afghanistan, and will use them in Iraq. Whoops! There is video and audio of this on the web if you want to see and hear this for yourself.

> Given the "calm and peaceful liberated Iraq" scenario, the
> first thing to watch is, are ALL profits from rebuilding and
> oil sales going to the Iraqi people? Or are there contracts
> for US oil firms to build filling stations, rebuilding stuff
> we blew up, etc. that steals the lion's share of money from
> Iraqis and puts it into the pockets of ultra-rich oil and
> construction concerns?

Two major contracts have allready been awarded to US companies, one of which Vice President Cheny was former CEO of. Even Britian is angry that they are not getting any of these contracts. (and why else would they have gone in on this war with us?)

> After all, Bush and others have said
> that the oil belongs to Iraq, but only time will tell
> whether these were truthful words.

Remember when the US marine took down the Iraqi flag and put up the US flag? Yeah, the Iraqi people will never forget that either. That shows what our motives are. We want a 51st state.

> The other place to look is how will Arab nations view this
> after it's over?

Saudi Arabia allready said they want us out of the middle east as soon as it is over. That stament put them on the list of countries we will be taking over next.

> Will their perception change when (if)
> they see a US/UK genuinely rebuilding Iraq in Iraq's best
> interest as well as the interest of the region?

No, because that will never happen. Why do you think our military bases keep getting attacked by the Kuwati people who are not very pleased with our take over of their country?

> Or will our
> behavior confirm their suspicions that this is a
> neo-colonial conquest at least in part motivated by huge oil
> profits?

Why did we take over Afghanistan? So we could get that oil pipeline built that we need to go through that country, that's why. We had troops there before 9/11, and just used that as a great excuse to start something we were going to do anyway. Why did we just now invade Iraq? We were hoping there would be another attack (thus the code orange thing) but it never came, so we just had to go in anyway as all our troops were already there.

> The former case bolsters our credibility in negotiating with
> Israel and Palestinians.

What negotiating? Did we negotiate for Hitler to get out of the countries he invaded? Why are the Jews still allowed to call part of Palestine "Isreal" and still be in Palestine since 1948?

> Hopefully, it will all be over in a few weeks,

Wow you really beleive that huh? This war may outlast your own life.

1830. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 22:00:50 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8A0C4D.5A0E68F0@email.com>
Source record: 33846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jesus:

"Why didn't the Pope go to Iraq and be a human shield? He could have stopped the war as there is no way Bush would have dropped a bomb on the Pope, and even if he did, I would then welcome the Pope into heaven as a saint for what he did. Hmmmm, perhaps the Pope doesn't believe in me after all"

"Also, if priests really believed in me and my father, why would they be molesting and raping little boys? If they believed in god, they would know he could always see them when they were committing this sin, right? They obviously don't believe in me either, only in the holy tax free collection plate."

1831. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 22:01:37 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8A0C7C.99482E5B@email.com>
Source record: 33747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jesus:

"Am I a Christian or a Jew?"

1832. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 22:03:33 UTC
From: Piotr Balcerzak <bpiotrek@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wZnia.38318$cB3.235929@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 33845
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:les.locklear.loves.me@taranews.net...
> On Tue, 01 Apr 2003 15:53:10 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
wrote:
> > "Tad Early" wrote:
> > >
> > > You know I wondering where those skuds came from
> > > that were shot at Kuwait. Pretty dumb of us to
> > > build them and then let them use 'em. :)
> > >
> > >
> > > I think the spelling is "Scuds." And we didn't build them - the
Russian
> > did ..
> >
> We need to find that fucking Russian and lock him up.

Not everything at once. There is already a list of countries
supporting terrorism and waiting to be bombed by U.S.:
Syria, Iran, N.Korea, France, Canada, Mexico, China,
Germany, Italy, Egypt, Turkey (and many more)...
Then you can take care of Russia.

BTW, I didn't realize there were so many terrorists in
the world...

1833. Re: Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 22:16:17 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAF79E1.15512%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33748

"Jake Brodsky" wrote:
>
> (snip) This is very broad legislation, it sweeps
> all over the place. If we aren't careful it will
> get applied to scanners as well. After all, if
> it is used to set limits on what a TIVO box can do,
> how long do you think it will be before they apply
> it to scanners? (snip)

I agree this legislation appears to be all over the place. As such, it does pose a potential threat - as most sweeping legislation often does.

While section 16-11-850 does address reception of signals from air by dish or antenna, it does not offer an exclusion to any other part of this legislation, including possession of equipment capable of receiving the signals described, modification of equipment to receive the signals described, or the criminal offenses associated with same. As such, this legislation could easily be used against scanner owners (and many other radio owners).

One more note of caution, while only eight states are affected so far, there appears to be discussion of similar legislation in other states. Such discussion was mentioned on the SC legislation web site.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1834. Re: Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 22:17:26 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAF7A26.15514%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33749

"Bruce Markowitz" wrote:

> It does not have one damn thing to do with scanners.

Please read my comments to Jake for a response to this.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1835. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 22:22:47 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Xioia.1187$kd1.1208638@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33841
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I thought more of you when you first came to this group. Now you have my
[PASSED] stamp on the troll test form. Congrats!

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:wp7ia.38321$ja4.2409705@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
>
>
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:jgadnbMbN47pSBWjXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
> > I take back the part about the con artist. To your credit, you did take
> > down the cyber-begging page.
> >
> >
>
>

1836. Re: trying to find co. name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 22:23:57 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <1koia.1188$kd1.1209139@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33842

Just go with MURS.

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:wD3ia.37567$ja4.2379947@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi Scott,
>
> Thanks so much for sharing and taking the time to respond! It seems
> everyone really likes that site. I've just learned about it and have
> started using it myself. I especially enjoy the feature that allows you
to
> post your lat. & lon., a radius, and get freqs! :) I don't know what I'd
> do now if that site was ever taken down!
>
> The FCC has some good and some bad. Like, I have to pay $75.00 just so I
> can use GMRS! It's a seven mile range Motorola but I'm only going to be
> using it while my husband is out on the boat and I'm sitting at the
> campsite. Good news is that it lasts five years, though.
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Scott Thayer <n7ztiscott@hotpop.com> wrote in message
> news:3E88592B.6050603@hotpop.com...
> > The FCC has a search tool that may be able to hellp with that, check it
> > out at http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
> >
> > Scott
> >
> > Celt Chic wrote:
> > > How would I go about finding the company name that goes with the
> frequency

> > > 860.83750mHz that could either be in Clarksville, IN, Jeffersonville,
> IN, or
> > > Louisville, KY? It sounds like a taxi cab service.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

1837. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 22:29:04 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8A12EA.C612B4A6@email.com>
Source record: 33750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> > It did not come to be. If you don't have anything that exists, then you can't have any
> > explosions, "big bangs" or any occurrences of any kind to create anything because
> > nothing exists. If you can create existence, then there must have been some sort of
> > existence in order to create the existence with, thus you didn't create existence, it
> > was already there.
>
> So there was no existence then,

No, read again CAREFULLY and SLOWLY comprehending what you read this time.
It did not come to be, because it always was.

> so there can be no existence now,

Sure there is, we all know this as FACT.

> so we really aren't here.

You are showing your ignorance. Other people are reading this too you know, not just me.
They see what statements you make too.

> Now I think I get it. The universe does not exist or ever had a
> beginning so this war, Bush, the Iraqis, and everything else is
> all just an illusion folks.

Wow, you are really really lost.

> > Which came first, the chicken or the egg? Neither. The species evolved over time,
> > there was no magical day when a chicken or egg just appeared out of nowhere.
> >
> > Another ridiculous argument is that everything that exists is so amazing and complex
> > that only a great intelligent mind or god could have created it. Yet, something even
> > MORE amazing and complex like the brain of this intelligent mind or god people have no
> > problem believing needs the same explanation in order to exist. He just popped out of
> > nowhere by randon chance. Wouldn't an even MORE amazing and complex being be required
> > to create something as amazing and complex as the creator of our universe and all
> > existence? And so you have an endless infinite line of gods and creators without a
> > beginning.
> >
> > So no matter how you choose to look at it, there is no beginning to existence. That
> > would be impossible.
> >
> >
> >>With all your great knowledge I just can't understand why you are
> >>not in charge of the country,
> >
> >

> > Because we live in a democratic type of society. In a democracy, you have 3 wolves
> > and a sheep voting on what to have for lunch. Very few people are geniuses in any
> > given society, most people are idiots.
>
> > How many people do you know that can design and
> > create a VCR?
>
> Most people don't need to know how to design and build a VCR. That
> is what some people get engineering degrees for. So you say if
> someone does not know how to do that then they are stupid. Can you
> do it?

I sure can. I can even set the clock on one. Can you even do the later? Because that was the point. Not that everyone needs to know how to design a VCR, but that there are very few people that are intelligent enough to even know how the hell it works and be able to explain how it works and stores video information, forget even designing one. Very few geniuses, but millions of people that are idiots. THAT was the point, but you not understanding the point no matter how one explains it is what is actually proving the point. Now go and help me prove it some more with another reply.

> How many people know how to do a heart transplant? Not many
> compared to the overall population.

EXACTLY. But how many people don't know how to set the clock on a VCR, or can't explain how a video picture gets from the TV station to your TV screen? MILLIONS of people can't.

There are more UNintelligent people than intelligent people.

> Bet you don't know how, so you
> must be one of those idiots.

Actually I do know how.

> Most people don't even know how to set the CLOCKS on a VCR. Have
> > you ever seen a genius as president of our country? Of course not, and you never will
> > in a democracy. The majority idiots rule and tell the minority geniuses how it is
> > going to be.
>
> So you are the genius that should be running the country.

The thought of being King of the idiots is not that appealing, but thanks for suggesting that
I was a genius. I don't even consider myself one. There is still lots to learn, these are just the basics I figured out many years ago.

> > Why can't a genius figure out a way to trick the people into voting for him? Because
> > no one in this country who is intelligent is ever allowed to speak to a large enough of
> > the population to ever reach them in the first place. Hitler was able to do it and
> > realized that if you can reach and talk to the entire population at once, you can
> > program them anyway you want to. He was able to get them to all vote for him, before
> > forbidding anyone else to ever get the opportunity to speak to the people again and
> > quickly turned the country into a dictatorship. Our country has done the same. No
> > other political party is allowed in the presidential debates anymore, for the fact that

> > they could speak to all of the people without censorship. Someone might actually get
> > elected that is not part of the two party system.
> >
> > So, you will never have Einstein as president, only people like Clinton and Bush.
> >
> >
> >>Have you written to Washington to tell them just how smart you
> >>are, that you have all the answers to everything, and they should
> >>put you in charge? No, why not? I am sure Bush would gladly step
> >>aside if he only realized that you could solve all the world's
> >>problems with your vast knowledge.
> >
> >
> > You have some more learning to do then.
>
> Unlike you who knows EVERYTHING.

More bullshit. No one can know everything. I have no idea how many pairs of underwear you have at home.

> >>I bet your IQ is even higher
> >>than jesseq's. Wow, how privileged we are to be able to exchange
> >>barbs with such an all knowing person. Oh, just had a though,
> >>maybe you really are not a person, maybe you are God him/herself!!!!
> >
> >
> > Then I would be unable to post messages on newsgroups. No god has ever been able to
> > accomplish that feat.
>
> How do you know God does not post to newsgroups? You have proof of
> that?

Do you have proof that a 7000 ton invisible 2 mile wide monster is not at the bottom of the Atlantic Ocean?

Of course not. You can't disprove someone else's fantasy, it is up to the person who makes up the fantasy to prove his claim. YOU have the burden of proving that god posts on newsgroups, not I.

This is the same idiot logic that required Saddam to PROVE he DIDN'T have any chemical weapons. How the hell do you prove that you DON'T have something?

You start by PROVING to everyone on this newsgroup that YOU don't have any chemical weapons of
mass destruction in your house, and show us how Saddam could do it.

And when you CAN'T do it, we will have the authority to bomb your house and take it away from
you.

How the MAJORITY of Americans can not see how ridiculous this is, is just as baffling as how
the MAJORITY of Americans are not outraged that Bush is president even though he had half a

million votes LESS than Gore did.

Absolutely amazing.

1838. How to Kill a Newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 22:47:14 UTC
From: Frank <tlxcifdckz@pelpaektdqgvumvl.com>
Message-ID: <01c2f8a0$9ef223c0$0125250a@pelpaektdqgvumvl>
Source record: 33751

To kill a newsgroup that you wish to not exist post an occasional message with controversial content that is sure to instigate flames. When each thread begins to die begin another thread with another controversial topic. After a few weeks the people with on-topic knowledge which they wish only to share will become so frustrated that they will abandon the group and it becomes worthless.

In radio business it's called jamming.

Frank

1839. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:00:48 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b6d3re$8k9$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 33752
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Being a genius, and having a large font of knowledge, are not one and the same. A genius, defined by Mensa as having an IQ in the 98th percentile or higher (132 or higher on Stanford-Binet test). A person can attain this score, or higher, and still be ignorant, that is, lack any base of knowledge.

As an example, let's use yours of how many people cannot program their VCR's. This phenomenon is not due to the average person lacking the intelligence to do so, but rather the basic knowledge or cognitive skills (or just plain laziness of not reading the manual) to do so. IQ is not a measure of how much a person knows, it is a measure of how easily a person is able to learn and assimilate new things. In the case of our VCR programming, the fault lies mostly with the latter explanation of laziness, with some blame to be placed upon the writers or translators of the users manuals because such manuals are not clearly written, and some machines are decidedly less intuitive than others. I for one have never had a problem programming any of my VCR's, even without the manual. This does not qualify me to be a genius. :)

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E8A12EA.C612B4A6@email.com...
> > > It did not come to be. If you don't have anything that exists, then
you can't have any
> > > explosions, "big bangs" or any occurrences of any kind to create
anything because
> > > nothing exists. If you can create existence, then there must have
been some sort of
> > > existence in order to create the existence with, thus you didn't
create existence, it
> > > was already there.
> >
> > So there was no existence then,
>
> No, read again CAREFULLY and SLOWLY comprehending what you read this time.
> It did not come to be, because it always was.
>
> > so there can be no existence now,
>
> Sure there is, we all know this as FACT.
>
> > so we really aren't here.
>
> You are showing your ignorance. Other people are reading this too you
know, not just me.

> They see what statements you make too.
>
> > Now I think I get it. The universe does not exist or ever had a
> > beginning so this war, Bush, the Iraqis, and everything else is
> > all just an illusion folks.
>
> Wow, you are really really lost.
>
> > > Which came first, the chicken or the egg? Neither. The species
evolved over time,
> > > there was no magical day when a chicken or egg just appeared out of
nowhere.
> > >
> > > Another ridiculous argument is that everything that exists is so
amazing and complex
> > > that only a great intelligent mind or god could have created it. Yet,
something even
> > > MORE amazing and complex like the brain of this intelligent mind or
god people have no
> > > problem believing needs the same explanation in order to exist. He
just popped out of
> > > nowhere by randon chance. Wouldn't an even MORE amazing and complex
being be required
> > > to create something as amazing and complex as the creator of our
universe and all
> > > existence? And so you have an endless infinite line of gods and
creators without a
> > > beginning.
> > >
> > > So no matter how you choose to look at it, there is no beginning to
existence. That
> > > would be impossible.
> > >
> > >
> > >>With all your great knowledge I just can't understand why you are
> > >>not in charge of the country,
> > >
> > >
> > > Because we live in a democratic type of society. In a democracy,
you have 3 wolves
> > > and a sheep voting on what to have for lunch. Very few people are
geniuses in any
> > > given society, most people are idiots.
> >
> > > How many people do you know that can design and
> > > create a VCR?
> >
> > Most people don't need to know how to design and build a VCR. That
> > is what some people get engineering degrees for. So you say if
> > someone does not know how to do that then they are stupid. Can you

> > do it?
>
> I sure can. I can even set the clock on one. Can you even do the
later? Because that
> was the point. Not that everyone needs to know how to design a VCR, but
that there are very
> few people that are intelligent enough to even know how the hell it works
and be able to
> explain how it works and stores video information, forget even designing
one. Very few
> geniuses, but millions of people that are idiots. THAT was the point,
but you not
> understanding the point no matter how one explains it is what is actually
proving the
> point. Now go and help me prove it some more with another reply.
>
> > How many people know how to do a heart transplant? Not many
> > compared to the overall population.
>
> EXACTLY. But how many people don't know how to set the clock on a VCR,
or can't explain how
> a video picture gets from the TV station to your TV screen? MILLIONS of
people can't.
>
> There are more UNintelligent people than intelligent people.
>
> > Bet you don't know how, so you
> > must be one of those idiots.
>
> Actually I do know how.
>
> > Most people don't even know how to set the CLOCKS on a VCR. Have
> > > you ever seen a genius as president of our country? Of course not,
and you never will
> > > in a democracy. The majority idiots rule and tell the minority
geniuses how it is
> > > going to be.
> >
> > So you are the genius that should be running the country.
>
> The thought of being King of the idiots is not that appealing, but thanks
for suggesting that
> I was a genius. I don't even consider myself one. There is still lots
to learn, these are
> just the basics I figured out many years ago.
>
> > > Why can't a genius figure out a way to trick the people into voting
for him? Because
> > > no one in this country who is intelligent is ever allowed to speak to
a large enough of

> > > the population to ever reach them in the first place. Hitler was
able to do it and
> > > realized that if you can reach and talk to the entire population at
once, you can
> > > program them anyway you want to. He was able to get them to all vote
for him, before
> > > forbidding anyone else to ever get the opportunity to speak to the
people again and
> > > quickly turned the country into a dictatorship. Our country has
done the same. No
> > > other political party is allowed in the presidential debates anymore,
for the fact that
> > > they could speak to all of the people without censorship. Someone
might actually get
> > > elected that is not part of the two party system.
> > >
> > > So, you will never have Einstein as president, only people like
Clinton and Bush.
> > >
> > >
> > >>Have you written to Washington to tell them just how smart you
> > >>are, that you have all the answers to everything, and they should
> > >>put you in charge? No, why not? I am sure Bush would gladly step
> > >>aside if he only realized that you could solve all the world's
> > >>problems with your vast knowledge.
> > >
> > >
> > > You have some more learning to do then.
> >
> > Unlike you who knows EVERYTHING.
>
> More bullshit. No one can know everything. I have no idea how many
pairs of underwear you
> have at home.
>
> > >>I bet your IQ is even higher
> > >>than jesseq's. Wow, how privileged we are to be able to exchange
> > >>barbs with such an all knowing person. Oh, just had a though,
> > >>maybe you really are not a person, maybe you are God him/herself!!!!
> > >
> > >
> > > Then I would be unable to post messages on newsgroups. No god has
ever been able to
> > > accomplish that feat.
> >
> > How do you know God does not post to newsgroups? You have proof of
> > that?
>
> Do you have proof that a 7000 ton invisible 2 mile wide monster is not at
the bottom of the

> Atlantic Ocean?
>
> Of course not. You can't disprove someone else's fantasy, it is up to
the person who makes
> up the fantasy to prove his claim. YOU have the burden of proving that
god posts on
> newsgroups, not I.
>
> This is the same idiot logic that required Saddam to PROVE he DIDN'T have
any chemical
> weapons. How the hell do you prove that you DON'T have something?
>
> You start by PROVING to everyone on this newsgroup that YOU don't have any
chemical weapons of
> mass destruction in your house, and show us how Saddam could do it.
>
> And when you CAN'T do it, we will have the authority to bomb your house
and take it away from
> you.
>
> How the MAJORITY of Americans can not see how ridiculous this is, is just
as baffling as how
> the MAJORITY of Americans are not outraged that Bush is president even
though he had half a
> million votes LESS than Gore did.
>
> Absolutely amazing.
>
>
>
>

1840. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:13:23 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <s47k8vsp28klne9uok8h8fnv3mg7d9s98a@4ax.com>
Source record: 33754
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Tue, 01 Apr 2003 14:21:01 -0500, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
wrote:

>John Simmons wrote:

>> It did not come to be. If you don't have anything that exists, then you can't have any
>> explosions, "big bangs" or any occurrences of any kind to create anything because
>> nothing exists. If you can create existence, then there must have been some sort of
>> existence in order to create the existence with, thus you didn't create existence, it
>> was already there.

Yogi Berra is getting old. I'm comforted to know we'll have a
successor, then we can have Deja Vu all over again, all over again.

Rich

1841. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:22:14 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3n7k8vs1nq9tp5h26ibsnonilqfp6hvlfq@4ax.com>
Source record: 33755
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Tue, 01 Apr 2003 20:47:18 GMT, "David Eduardo"
<amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote:

>> If this ONLY happens during the anti-American broadcasts, then how would
>the jammer know when to stop?
>
>Awww. Could you avoid the use of logic in your posts?
>
>Good question.

Easy answer. He'd read Popular Communications and Monitoring Times.

Rich

1842. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:24:02 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <mcpia.33105$0X.6628848@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33756
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wasn't that around the time that Clinton was in Moscow?

Curious...

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:les.locklear.loves.me@taranews.net...
> On Tue, 01 Apr 2003 15:53:10 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
wrote:
> > "Tad Early" wrote:
> > >
> > > You know I wondering where those skuds came from
> > > that were shot at Kuwait. Pretty dumb of us to
> > > build them and then let them use 'em. :)
> > >
> > >
> > I think the spelling is "Scuds." And we didn't build them - the
Russian
> > did ..
> >
> We need to find that fucking Russian and lock him up.

1843. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:24:48 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <4dpia.33107$0X.6630235@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33757
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Piotr Balcerzak" <bpiotrek@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:wZnia.38318$cB3.235929@nnrp1.uunet.ca...

> BTW, I didn't realize there were so many terrorists in
> the world...

You been sleepin' under a rock?

:-)

1844. Re: [OT] Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:25:32 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Mdpia.33109$0X.6630955@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33758
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Piotr Balcerzak" <bpiotrek@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:2Jnia.38312$cB3.235436@nnrp1.uunet.ca...

> They modified original Scuds. The new Scuds can be re-fueled
> in the air. Once launched, such Scuds can be hidden in clouds.
> And that's what military face now: the scuds that were launched
> long before the invasion. Destroying launchers will not help
> because the scuds are already out there (hidden and hard to
> locate)...
>
> Piotr

Hidden in the clouds?

Oh, brother...

1845. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:28:28 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <wgpia.24085$yU1.9449@fed1read02>
Source record: 33759
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

So what more must that say about those who lose?
-me

"MnMikew" <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
news:b6ctv0$4448j$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> To quote a famous but very poor taste cartoon (from the internet of
course).
>
> Arguing on the internet is like winning the special olympics. Even if you
> win, you're
> still retarded.
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Ry3ia.37550$ja4.2379650@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > MnMikew, I thought about that myself just today. Gee, how ignorant of
me
> to
> > waste my time arguing with people who sit behind their computers trying
to
> > be someone else! After all, these are just words written on a screen.
> Ah!
> > Words.... Nothing more than little binary digits floating through
> > cyberspace. No ouchies here anymore!
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:b69vij$31orj$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> > > You're arguing with people on Usenet. Now theres some intelligence.
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician.

> > Read
> > > > That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> > > > intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a
> level
> > > > below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH
> LEVEL
> > of
> > > > mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a
> > mathematical
> > > > genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd
like
> to
> > > see
> > > > what you do!!
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > >
> > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> your
> > > > bills
> > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > >
> > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> guess.

> > > > >
> > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
> what
> > > you
> > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> > sort
> > > of
> > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > > naive.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
own
> > damn
> > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > >
> > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
> your
> > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > >
> > > > > Sickening...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
RUDE
> to
> > > > > someone
> > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
and
> > > doesn't
> > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us
to
> > > help
> > > > > him.
> > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
compassion!
> > His
> > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
for
> > > help.
> > > > > He
> > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much!
> You
> > > > > should
> > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >

> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1846. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:55:45 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5Gpia.41101$ja4.2499684@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33760

Love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love.
There's nothing you can do that can't be done.
Nothing you can sing that can't be sung.
Nothing you can say but you can learn how to play the game
It's easy.
There's nothing you can make that can't be made.
No one you can save that can't be saved.
Nothing you can do but you can learn how to be in time
It's easy.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
Love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
There's nothing you can know that isn't known.
Nothing you can see that isn't shown.
Nowhere you can be that isn't where you're meant to be.
It's easy.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
All you need is love (all together now)
All you need is love (everybody)
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
news:b6cu51$46775$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> STFU already sheese. Quit crossposting too.
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Un3ia.37506$ja4.2378755@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> > complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> > philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
~~~~~~~

> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Lord Floyd <nospam@biteme.org> wrote in message
> > news:edMha.7118$pW1.383@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> > > Celt Chic wrote:
> > > > Heee.... wanna see the wart on my nose? I have been made a troll
> > > > thanks to this newsgroup!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > [snip]
> > > >> Goodness, didn't take you all that long before you became a troll
> > > >> yourself....
> > > >
> > > --
> > > No, what made you a troll was your inability to control yourself when
> > > confronted by trolls. The only person that is responsible for your
> > actions
> > > is you, not me nor anyone else using these newsgroups.
> > > >
> > > It's just so much easier to lash back and then blame the other guy.
> > Hmmmmm,
> > > where have I seen that before, the grade school playground and/or
usenet
> > > news groups.
> > > >
> > > Trolls live by the 'Mine is bigger than yours game', which you appear
to
> > > play fairly well. The only way to win the game with trolls is not to
> > play.
> > > >
> > > It's like my father told me, "Son never get into a battle of wits with
> an
> > > unarmed opponent".
> > > --
> > > Mark J...
> > > >
> > > "...The enemy is at the gates. In fact his name
> > > may even be Gates." - Red Green
> > >

> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1847. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:56:42 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <_Gpia.41105$ja4.2498897@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33761
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love.
There's nothing you can do that can't be done.
Nothing you can sing that can't be sung.
Nothing you can say but you can learn how to play the game
It's easy.
There's nothing you can make that can't be made.
No one you can save that can't be saved.
Nothing you can do but you can learn how to be in time
It's easy.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
Love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
There's nothing you can know that isn't known.
Nothing you can see that isn't shown.
Nowhere you can be that isn't where you're meant to be.
It's easy.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
All you need is love (all together now)
All you need is love (everybody)
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:wb9ia.101$Q5.24@fed1read03...
> This is my simple reply. There's no need for the continued debate; no need
> for continued denial.. Your own brain is small, and in your heart you are
a
> troll. Your only philosophy is to have the last word no matter how
foolish.
> It's the domain of Celt Chic the Bimbo Troll.
>
~~~~~~~

> -me
> It's the return of the "Oh wait. No way. You're Kidding. He didn't just
say
> what I think he did, did he?"
>
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:5p7ia.38316$ja4.2409609@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> > complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> > philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > me <me@none.com> wrote in message
news:Z65ia.20506$yU1.18510@fed1read02...
> > > Don't worry about it Clip. She's one of those "self proclaimed"
geniuses
> > > that feels she's above reproach because she's so smart.
> > >
> > > She says she's a genius, demands to know your IQ then just noses up
> saying
> > > "Well mines higher than that." Yeah right. Prove it missy. So far all
> > she's
> > > proven is that she can act like a pompous little snot without a clue
> about
> > > life in the real world. I can't believe people like that actually find
> and
> > > keep employment anywhere but in fast food restaurants. Go figure that
> she
> > > accuses us of the same!
> > >
> > > -me
> > >
> > > "Clip" <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > news:9smcnWa2Caex_BqjXTWcow@comcast.com...
> > > > I am not a societal leech. I've got that going for me.
> > > >

> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:e3Jha.35192$ja4.2260462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > Oh, well I'm much higher than you on the IQ status. That's all
that
> > > > > matters. I'm done arguing with someone who isn't even in my
league.
> > No
> > > > > more responses to you, Clip. Besides, it's time to get this group
> > back
> > > on
> > > > > topic.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:N2udnYqdv-_FzxqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > > > > >Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, I'm an IT professional, actually.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >At least I
> > > > > > >have a high paying job
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hence the need to beg.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's 133, taken unscientifically. If you're smart AND you have

> > money,
> > > > > then
> > > > > > why are you begging for handouts, exactly?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > The only
> > > > > > >reason I HAD (repeat, HAD)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's still up, Celt Chic; at least it was when I posted
> originally.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> > > > > > >next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money
> doing
> > > it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > That is a lame excuse, and I really don't think virtual begging
is
> > > going
> > > > > > to
> > > > > > > catch on. I hope not.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my
> > > surgeries
> > > > > > that
> > > > > > > >have put me in the bind.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sorry to hear that.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If someone donates to your "toy fund", will you say, "No,
thanks,
> I
> > > have
> > > > > > my

> > > > > > toy?" Or pocket the cash?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy
> > > didn't
> > > > > give
> > > > > > >you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby
doll,
> > > Mommy
> > > > > will
> > > > > > >give you one: PLONK!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sorry for pointing out the website that you tout in your sig.
> Seems
> > I
> > > > hit
> > > > > a
> > > > > > nerve. It says you're not ashamed to beg on your website. Is
> that
> > > > true?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >;)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip
you're
> an
> > > SOB
> > > > > and
> > > > > > >you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done
> > being
> > > > > nice
> > > > > > >to losers like you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nah, I'm only a prick to society's leeches, the ones who prey on
> the
> > > > > ignorant. They are the real losers.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any
of
> > > your
> > > > > > >business.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's not, so why are you telling me?
> > > > > >

> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> > > > > > >to Mommy.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Ugly talk won't solicit donations.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > > nospam)
> > > > > > > In the process of moving my web page from
http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> > to
> > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
> be
> > > > > > forgiven
> > > > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> > ashamed,
> > > > > > when
> > > > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help
pay
> > > your
> > > > > > > bills
> > > > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
> Chic's
> > > > > > > website:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > > guess.
> > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
> do
> > > what
> > > > > you
> > > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
> any
> > > > sort
> > > > > of
> > > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
> bigger
> > > > > naive.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay
your
> > own
> > > > > damn
> > > > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
> sell
> > > your
> > > > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>

1848. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:57:14 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uHpia.41107$ja4.2499845@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33762
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love.
There's nothing you can do that can't be done.
Nothing you can sing that can't be sung.
Nothing you can say but you can learn how to play the game
It's easy.
There's nothing you can make that can't be made.
No one you can save that can't be saved.
Nothing you can do but you can learn how to be in time
It's easy.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
Love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
There's nothing you can know that isn't known.
Nothing you can see that isn't shown.
Nowhere you can be that isn't where you're meant to be.
It's easy.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
All you need is love (all together now)
All you need is love (everybody)
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Mefix <mefix@home.scotland> wrote in message
news:b6cnaddrh1@titan.btinternet.com...
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ut3ia.37532$ja4.2378055@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> > complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> > philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
~~~~~~~

>
>
> Given that you're now taken to responding to postings merely by quoting
the
> above gibberish I take it that my original remark ("It seems that a high
IQ
> is no guarantee of cognitive or argumentative capability.") now holds even
> more water. :^)
>
>

1849. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:57:38 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <SHpia.41108$ja4.2499657@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33764
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love.
There's nothing you can do that can't be done.
Nothing you can sing that can't be sung.
Nothing you can say but you can learn how to play the game
It's easy.
There's nothing you can make that can't be made.
No one you can save that can't be saved.
Nothing you can do but you can learn how to be in time
It's easy.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
Love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
There's nothing you can know that isn't known.
Nothing you can see that isn't shown.
Nowhere you can be that isn't where you're meant to be.
It's easy.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
All you need is love (all together now)
All you need is love (everybody)
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Matt O. <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:Xioia.1187$kd1.1208638@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> I thought more of you when you first came to this group. Now you have my
> [PASSED] stamp on the troll test form. Congrats!
>
> --
> ===Matt===
> Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
~~~~~~~

> Remove "{nospam}" when replying.
>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:wp7ia.38321$ja4.2409705@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> > complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> > philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:jgadnbMbN47pSBWjXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
> > > I take back the part about the con artist. To your credit, you did
take
> > > down the cyber-begging page.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1850. Re: [OT] Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:58:02 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3E8A2789.7458525@topnow.com>
Source record: 33767
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Piotr Balcerzak wrote:
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
> news:BAAF6666.1550C%stewart@say.net...
> >
> > Anyway, it is amazing these things keep flying around. I remember a
> > General at a press conference early in the last Iraq war saying all the
> Scud
> > launchers had been knocked out. Over the next 24 hours, about a dozen
> Scuds
> > were launched. Almost week later, another General said nearly the same
> > thing, with more Scuds launched afterward. When asked about that, the
> > military said mobile launchers are difficult to find and said nothing more
> > publicly about Scud launchers being destroyed after that. Perhaps it is a
> > good thing because we now see missiles, probably Scuds, being launched yet
> > again.
> >
>
> They modified original Scuds. The new Scuds can be re-fueled
> in the air. Once launched, such Scuds can be hidden in clouds.
> And that's what military face now: the scuds that were launched
> long before the invasion. Destroying launchers will not help
> because the scuds are already out there (hidden and hard to
> locate)...
>
> Piotr

Yes, I heard about that. Cool tech! Just append "CH" to
your order code (cloud-hider) if you want that.
If you also want the solar power option, to keep it up
longer, append -S too.

Their most popular option is -DOY ("drops on Yankees.")
There is a long lead-time on that option due to a sudden
upsurge in demand, which may be linked to Bush's warning
France, Germany, and Turkey and Belgium and Denmark and
Sweden, and Norway, and The Netherlands, and China they have
been added to the Axis of Evil and face imminent invasion if
they refuse to disarm.

1851. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:58:09 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Ilpia.41109$ja4.2500289@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33765
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love.
There's nothing you can do that can't be done.
Nothing you can sing that can't be sung.
Nothing you can say but you can learn how to play the game
It's easy.
There's nothing you can make that can't be made.
No one you can save that can't be saved.
Nothing you can do but you can learn how to be in time
It's easy.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
Love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
There's nothing you can know that isn't known.
Nothing you can see that isn't shown.
Nowhere you can be that isn't where you're meant to be.
It's easy.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
All you need is love (all together now)
All you need is love (everybody)
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
news:b6ctv0$4448j$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> To quote a famous but very poor taste cartoon (from the internet of
course).
>
> Arguing on the internet is like winning the special olympics. Even if you
> win, you're
> still retarded.
~~~~~~~

>
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Ry3ia.37550$ja4.2379650@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > MnMikew, I thought about that myself just today. Gee, how ignorant of
me
> to
> > waste my time arguing with people who sit behind their computers trying
to
> > be someone else! After all, these are just words written on a screen.
> Ah!
> > Words.... Nothing more than little binary digits floating through
> > cyberspace. No ouchies here anymore!
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:b69vij$31orj$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> > > You're arguing with people on Usenet. Now theres some intelligence.
> > >
> > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey Statistician.
> > Read
> > > > That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> > > > intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a
> level
> > > > below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH
> LEVEL
> > of
> > > > mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a
> > mathematical
> > > > genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd
like
> to
> > > see
> > > > what you do!!
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!

> > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> nospam)
> > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
to
> > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > >
> > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
> > > forgiven
> > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > >
> > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
ashamed,
> > > when
> > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> your
> > > > bills
> > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > >
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > >
> > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> guess.
> > > > >
> > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do
> what
> > > you
> > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any
> > sort
> > > of
> > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
> > > naive.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
own
> > damn
> > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > >
> > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell
> your
> > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?

> > > > >
> > > > > Sickening...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
RUDE
> to
> > > > > someone
> > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
and
> > > > doesn't
> > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us
to
> > > help
> > > > > him.
> > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
compassion!
> > His
> > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
for
> > > help.
> > > > > He
> > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much!
> You
> > > > > should
> > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1852. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-01 23:58:27 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <DIpia.41110$ja4.2500422@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33766
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love.
There's nothing you can do that can't be done.
Nothing you can sing that can't be sung.
Nothing you can say but you can learn how to play the game
It's easy.
There's nothing you can make that can't be made.
No one you can save that can't be saved.
Nothing you can do but you can learn how to be in time
It's easy.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
Love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love, love.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
There's nothing you can know that isn't known.
Nothing you can see that isn't shown.
Nowhere you can be that isn't where you're meant to be.
It's easy.
All you need is love, all you need is love,
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.
All you need is love (all together now)
All you need is love (everybody)
All you need is love, love, love is all you need.

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

me <me@none.com> wrote in message news:wgpia.24085$yU1.9449@fed1read02...
> So what more must that say about those who lose?
> -me
>
> "MnMikew" <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:b6ctv0$4448j$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> > To quote a famous but very poor taste cartoon (from the internet of
> course).
~~~~~~~

> >
> > Arguing on the internet is like winning the special olympics. Even if
you
> > win, you're
> > still retarded.
> >
> > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:Ry3ia.37550$ja4.2379650@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > MnMikew, I thought about that myself just today. Gee, how ignorant of
> me
> > to
> > > waste my time arguing with people who sit behind their computers
trying
> to
> > > be someone else! After all, these are just words written on a screen.
> > Ah!
> > > Words.... Nothing more than little binary digits floating through
> > > cyberspace. No ouchies here anymore!
> > >
> > > --
> > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
nospam)
> > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > >
> > > MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:b69vij$31orj$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> > > > You're arguing with people on Usenet. Now theres some intelligence.
> > > >
> > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:XvHha.35078$ja4.2249638@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > Clip, I have a job, you burger flipper. I'm a Survey
Statistician.
> > > Read
> > > > > That??? That's a HIGH PAYING job that requires a higher level of
> > > > > intelligence then you'll EVER claim or gain. Statisticians are a
> > level
> > > > > below Actuaries of which I am studying to be which require a HIGH
> > LEVEL
> > > of
> > > > > mathematics which you probably flunked in high school. I'm a
> > > mathematical
> > > > > genius so don't go telling me to get a job, burger-flipper. I'd

> like
> > to
> > > > see
> > > > > what you do!!
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > > > > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > > > > Radio Shack DX-402
> > > > > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > > > > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > > > > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > > > > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove
> > nospam)
> > > > > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean
> to
> > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > > > > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > > > > > Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can
be
> > > > forgiven
> > > > > > for that, of course.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be
> ashamed,
> > > > when
> > > > > > you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay
> > your
> > > > > bills
> > > > > > and buy you toys.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt
Chic's
> > > > website:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I
> > guess.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can
do
> > what
> > > > you
> > > > > > want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take
any
> > > sort

> > > > of
> > > > > > moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even
bigger
> > > > naive.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your
> own
> > > damn
> > > > > > bills and buy your own damn toys?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and
sell
> > your
> > > > > > radios if you're in a financial bind?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Sickening...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > >
news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so
> RUDE
> > to
> > > > > > someone
> > > > > > > who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain
> and
> > > > > doesn't
> > > > > > > need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs
us
> to
> > > > help
> > > > > > him.
> > > > > > > His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little
> compassion!
> > > His
> > > > > > > question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask
> for
> > > > help.
> > > > > > He
> > > > > > > only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY
much!
> > You
> > > > > > should
> > > > > > > be ashamed.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >

> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1853. Re: [OT] Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 00:03:03 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAF92E6.1560E%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33768
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Piotr Balcerzak" wrote:
>
> They modified original Scuds. The new Scuds can
> be re-fueled in the air. Once launched, such
> Scuds can be hidden in clouds. And that's what
> military face now: the scuds that were launched
> long before the invasion. Destroying launchers
> will not help because the scuds are already out
> there (hidden and hard to locate)...

Refueled in the air? Look, I know Iraq has plenty of oil, but endlessly refueling Scuds in the air would be tedious at best. Are you sure they haven't developed a balloon-based launcher or helium-filled Scud? For possible evidence to support this, look at the dictionary definition of the word "scud" - to sail with a gale or strong wind blowing from behind. Did our military know something we didn't when they named this particular missile? ;)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1854. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 00:13:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAF956D.15610%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33769
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd" wrote:

> We need to find that fucking Russian and lock him up.

Heck, those things are so old, the guy is probably 70+ years old by now. Given a few more years, he'll be locked up in an old folks home. With the "retirement" homes in Russia, he would probably thank you if you locked him up in a prison here.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1855. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 00:23:03 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAAF9797.15612%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33770
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Q" wrote:

> Wasn't that around the time that Clinton was in Moscow?
>
> Curious...

I don't know. What year did Clinton go to Moscow? Regardless, visions of Scuds could have influenced his behavior with Monika later in the White House - they do say missiles are phallic symbols. Perhaps that's why Saddam has such old, worn out, beat up, missiles. :)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1856. Re: How to Kill a Newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 00:39:58 UTC
From: JohnW <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <Xns9350C80CC907CJohnW@216.166.71.234>
Source record: 33772

Back Scatter <thomas!@earth-west-.org> wrote in
news:dRpia.4192$4P1.325651@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net:

> "Frank" <tlxcifdckz@pelpaektdqgvumvl.com> wrote:
>
>>To kill a newsgroup that you wish to not exist post an occasional
>>message with controversial content that is sure to instigate flames.
>>When each thread begins to die begin another thread with another
>>controversial topic. After a few weeks the people with on-topic
>>knowledge which they wish only to share will become so frustrated that
>>they will abandon the group and it becomes worthless.
>
> That is what kill files are for.
>
>

amen to THAT! (plonk this thread :))

1857. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 01:29:37 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8kf8469mn3e4d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

From the record, Jesus was a Jew and so was Paul. Actually, Jesus was from the Essene tribe.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message news:3E8A0C7C.99482E5B@email.com...
> Jesus:
>
> "Am I a Christian or a Jew?"
>
>

1858. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 01:47:09 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304011747.1195da4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mysterious from No Where in the Net to continue the Monologue.

What All of the People of the World Need Now...
- May You Live as Long as You Want,
- - and Never Want as Long as You Live.
- - - an Old Irish Toast ?

~ RHF

= = = Mysterious@nowhere.net (Monologue)
= = = wrote in message news:<v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com>...
> In article <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Reverend Berilac
of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish garoting a =
> >native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul ... for =
> >them I guess it was just fun.
>
> I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying on rewritten
> text books to glean this information. These same books usually fail to mention
> the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged in before
> contact with European men.
>
>
> The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that die in grace
> will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a difference. Carl
> Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious. Unconscious
> implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the subconscious implies
> that the content is there but not currently being utilized by the mind. If God
> were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect health. We don't.
>
> Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys at work.
> Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a surprise.
>
>
> >Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming destruction of =
> >the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God will =
> >impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything and =
> >everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for the right =
> >moment, and punishes everyone.
> >The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite beyond =
> >imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause people to =
> >seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's life, and =
> >give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we are the =

> >providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving sinners all =
> >we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little mercy =
> >and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> >
> >Earthlink
> >May you live as long as you want,
> >and never want as long as you live.
>
> Never say never.
> Nothing is absolute.

1859. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 02:04:36 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304011804.259caa7e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33777
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

DS,

The 'original' SCUD design was from the old USSR. North Korea is the principle manufacture and supplier of SCUDs in today's International Arms Market. (A CASH CROP)

The 'original' SCUD was basically a Medium Range Ballistic Battle Field Rocket for 'tactical' use beyond the range of regular field artillery.

~ RHF

= = = Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
= = = wrote in message news:<BAAF6666.1550C%stewart@say.net>...
> "Tad Early" wrote:
> >
> > You know I wondering where those skuds came from
> > that were shot at Kuwait. Pretty dumb of us to
> > build them and then let them use 'em. :)
>
>
> I think the spelling is "Scuds." And we didn't build them - the Russian
> did, in the late 50's and early 60's (about 35-45 years ago).
>
> Anyway, it is amazing these things keep flying around. I remember a
> General at a press conference early in the last Iraq war saying all the Scud
> launchers had been knocked out. Over the next 24 hours, about a dozen Scuds
> were launched. Almost week later, another General said nearly the same
> thing, with more Scuds launched afterward. When asked about that, the
> military said mobile launchers are difficult to find and said nothing more
> publicly about Scud launchers being destroyed after that. Perhaps it is a
> good thing because we now see missiles, probably Scuds, being launched yet
> again.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1860. rd lap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 02:17:09 UTC
From: Bill <kma371@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <FKria.296689$3D1.162939@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 33778

Anyone have RD Lap software for 19200 baud systems??

1861. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 02:18:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E8A4883.8020903@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33792
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:

>
>
> You are showing your ignorance. Other people are reading this too you know, not just me.
> They see what statements you make too.

And they are getting just a big a laugh out of your statements as
I am.

1862. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 02:51:06 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <uesia.37690$OV.135207@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 33779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

They'll never catchup to the Christians.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html
"Reverend Berilac of the Lake :)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

As for Islam, it has nothing to complain about - they killed enough people
in their past "jihads" to more than qualify them for hell.

1863. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 02:54:40 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Qhsia.333040$S_4.292035@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 33780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And John the baptist was considered the Teacher of Light, I believe, by the
Essenes.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:gsik8v87mnlhfnm02f4megid06dm701ajt@4ax.com...
> Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, "signalchaser"
<williamsmith208@hotmail.com> belched:
>
> >From the record, Jesus was a Jew and so was Paul. Actually, Jesus was
from
> >the Essene tribe.
>
> There is NO evidence that Jesus was an Essene.
>
> In fact, given what we DO know about that period,
> it would be far more likely that Jesus would have
> been considered to be the 'Teacher of Darkness'
> by the Essenes.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1864. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 03:09:33 UTC
From: gaffo <gaffo@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.02.03.08.59.507761@usenet.net>
Source record: 33773
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Tue, 01 Apr 2003 04:51:36 +0000, David Eduardo wrote:

> Path:
> sn-us!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!sn-xit-08!supernews.com!64.152.100.70.MISMATCH!sj
> c70.webusenet.com!news.webusenet.com!pd2nf1so.cg.shawcable.net!residential.
> shaw.ca!prodigy.com!newsmst01.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!postmaster.news.
> prodigy.com!newssvr19.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!e719d3f7!not-for-mail
> From: "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net>
> Newsgroups:
> alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate
>
> References: <8FFga.230027$F1.15567@sccrnsc04> <3E8369C0.9D1D5EB9@emai.com>
> <k2Oga.1335$0X.893688@twister.columbus.rr.com>
> <663a22951e9a4942d3914aac3a265d1c@news.teranews.com>
> <pOSga.2651$0X.1243727@twister.columbus.rr.com>
> <3E84C245.DE221760@email.com> <3E84A730.5010306@mailcity.moc>
> <3E84C99D.4593E938@email.com> <3E84FFA2.7090709@mailcity.moc>
> <u9cha.7393$0X.2361129@twister.columbus.rr.com>
> <3E88DA4E.AF8B2E84@email.com>
> <_W4ia.376$fy7.371@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
> <pan.2003.04.01.06.50.46.443295@usenet.net>
> Subject: Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik
> Lines: 28
> X-Priority: 3
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> Message-ID: <sV8ia.487$Fi1.228@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.160.235.142
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
> X-Trace: newssvr19.news.prodigy.com 1049172696 ST000 64.160.235.142 (Mon, 31
> Mar 2003 23:51:36 EST)
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 31 Mar 2003 23:51:36 EST
> Organization: Prodigy Internet http://www.prodigy.com
> X-UserInfo1:
> Q[R_PJONTJU[RVP[GBJDM^P@VZ\LPCXLLBWLOOAFWIWTEPIB_NVUAH_[BL[\IRKIANGGJBFNJF_
> DOLSCENSY^U@FRFUEXR@KFXYDBPWBCDQJA@X_DCBHXR[C@\EOKCJLED_SZ@RMWYXYWE_P@\\GOI
> W^@SYFFSWHFIXMADO@^[ADPRPETLBJ]RDGENSKQQZN
> Date: Tue, 01 Apr 2003 04:51:36 GMT
> Xref: sn-us alt.radio.scanner:175882 rec.radio.scanner:257823
> alt.radio.broadcasting:35935 alt.radio.pirate:87034
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain

>
>
>
> "gaffo" <gaffo@usenet.net> wrote in message
> news:pan.2003.04.01.06.50.46.443295@usenet.net...
>> > Even Pol Pot and Papa Doc
>> > believed that.
>>
>>
>>
>> I see Bu$h has good role models. LOL
>
> I find it reprehensible that people like you, who very obviously have no
> experience with the conditions in foreign lands, have decided to compare the
> US government and the nation's leaders with everyone form Adolf Hitler to
> Papa Doc. Until you have seen friends beaten, others murdered (in one case,
> a friend's head was thrown into his family's patio), all kinds of
> basic-to-an-American rights abused over and over, you are sitting in your
> Ivory Tower out in Kansas, with about as close a touch to reality as Dorothy
> had.
>
> It's not Kansas. It's the world of petty dictators, generalissimos, death
> squads, ballots with one choice, forced military services, gulags of many
> names, racial and ethnic genocide and other abhorrent things.
>
> Are we doing it the right and the best way? Maybe not. Does it need doing?
> Yes. I only wish it had been done elsewhere and some of my friends would be
> alive today.

Don't get all self-rightious on me Davo. With more folks like me and less like you in Germany in 1933, the whole rise of Nazism would have been stopped in its infancy.

You just keep looking "out there" until it is too late here - like a good little Reichbot.

Zig Heil!

1865. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 03:14:43 UTC
From: fwhite*NOSPAM*@colfax.com
Message-ID: <b6dkj3$26h7$3@news.fsr.net>
Source record: 33781
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <BAAF6666.1550C%stewart@say.net>, stewart@say.net says...
>
>"Tad Early" wrote:
>>
>> You know I wondering where those skuds came from
>> that were shot at Kuwait. Pretty dumb of us to
>> build them and then let them use 'em. :)
>
>
> I think the spelling is "Scuds." And we didn't build them - the Russian
>did, in the late 50's and early 60's (about 35-45 years ago).

Those were the originals. Nowadays North Korea builds and
sells these worldwide by the thousands. Indeed, the U.S.
stopped a N.Korean ship full of scuds not that long ago
when it entered Middle East waters. Only to have to let it
go when they found out the missles weren't bound for Iraq,
but rather Qatar...

FW

1866. Icom IC-R3 the right scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 03:21:26 UTC
From: robo111@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <cfae55fa.0304011921.3970108b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33782

I am searching for a portable scanner that captures 2.4GHZ video, and was looking at the ICOM IC-R3 until I realized that it misses most of the 800 band. I am looking to also capture those freqs as my local county services are running a trunked system in that band. Does anyone have any thoughts on a scanner that captures the video (2.4 GHZ, tv broadcast, etc) as well as the 800 band? I hope there's one out there!!!

Thx,
Rob

1867. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 03:22:29 UTC
From: gaffo <gaffo@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.02.03.22.13.554806@usenet.net>
Source record: 33774
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Tue, 01 Apr 2003 14:22:44 +0900, Brenda Ann wrote:

> Path:
> sn-us!sn-xit-06!sn-xit-08!supernews.com!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed.kreon
> et.re.kr!nntp.kreonet.re.kr!kreonet.re.kr!xfer1.gngidc.net!feeder.kornet.ne
> t!news1.kornet.net!not-for-mail
> From: "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com>
> Newsgroups:
> alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate
>
> Subject: Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik
> Date: Tue, 1 Apr 2003 14:22:44 +0900
> Organization: Korea Telecom
> Lines: 67
> Message-ID: <b6b5rk$o61$1@news1.kornet.net>
> References: <8FFga.230027$F1.15567@sccrnsc04> <3E8369C0.9D1D5EB9@emai.com>
> <k2Oga.1335$0X.893688@twister.columbus.rr.com>
> <663a22951e9a4942d3914aac3a265d1c@news.teranews.com>
> <pOSga.2651$0X.1243727@twister.columbus.rr.com>
> <3E84C245.DE221760@email.com> <3E84A730.5010306@mailcity.moc>
> <3E84C99D.4593E938@email.com> <3E84FFA2.7090709@mailcity.moc>
> <u9cha.7393$0X.2361129@twister.columbus.rr.com>
> <3E88DA4E.AF8B2E84@email.com>
> <_W4ia.376$fy7.371@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
> <pan.2003.04.01.06.50.46.443295@usenet.net>
> <sV8ia.487$Fi1.228@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 218.155.102.16
> X-Trace: news1.kornet.net 1049172660 24769 218.155.102.16 (1 Apr 2003
> 04:51:00 GMT)
> X-Complaints-To: usenet@feeder.kornet.net
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 1 Apr 2003 04:51:00 +0000 (UTC)
> X-Priority: 3
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> Xref: sn-us alt.radio.scanner:175888 rec.radio.scanner:257828
> alt.radio.broadcasting:35937 alt.radio.pirate:87035
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
>
>
> David,
>

> I agree with you that the Bush administration is not in the same league as
> the Pol Pot's and the Papa/Baby Doc DuValier's of the world. Their regimes
> were no doubt evil and murderous beyond the comprehension of most Americans,
> who have never had to live with such conditions.
>
> However, there are serious parallels to be drawn between the current
> administration and Germany in the years just prior to WWII (the parallels,
> at the present time, would have to stop there, since we are not killing
> millions).
>
> In the name of national security, many rights have already been taken away.
> If the rumored (and I say rumored because I have read only the one report on
> it thusfar) Patriot Act II is passed, then a large amount of our freedoms
> will be gone, with no sunset clause (further, Patriot II would reportedly
> remove the sunset clause from Patriot I).
>
> Further disturbing is a news report I heard yesterday stating that the
> administration will hold asylum seekers from Iraq and 35 other Muslim
> nations in incarceration until further notice.. shades of the Japanese
> internment camps of WWII. The only difference I see so far is that
> supposedly this does not affect Muslims already in the US, so no land grabs
> yet as there were then.
>
> I only hope that this war does not do what it appears by all accounts to be
> doing, which is to galvanize all Muslim nations, as well as a significant
> part of the rest of the world against us. We think ourselves omnipotent,
> but we can ill afford to be on everyone's blacklist.
>
> Take care, all, and stay well.

thank you Brenda for the wise post. Devo is clueless. He sees only what he wants and when he sees what we see it will be too late.

The Patriot Act (The Domestic Security and Enhancement Act) also will allow secret arrests, and revoction of citizenship with trial.

Unconstitutional - but what the fuck, Ashcroft never bothered with the constitution yet.

I probably know about the PNAC report of 98 written by Paul Wolfowitz, Dick Cheney, Richard Perle, Rummy, Rice, and the Afghani dissodent who apointed Karzi.

I'm sure you know about Unocal (not Unical as I posted to Davo last week - my mistake) and the pipline.

I'm sure you know about Haliberton and the Brown and Root construction contracts formalized TWO months ago!

I'm sure you've just read that Paul Wolfowitz has gotton full control over who and how many are appointed to rule inthe "new" Iraq. General Garner no longer has hiring authority. so we know who the "real" king of Iraq will be. i

don't think the Iraqi people will like king Wolfowitz too much.

I'm sure you know that the UN will play NO role in the management of Iraq after the bloody war.

I'm sure you know that the USA has just decided to exclude the IAEA in looking for Woepons of Mass DEstruction inthe post war Iraq - all "investigations" will be done by the US of A's military. I'm sure we will "manufacture" some sort of WMD to satify the American Sheople. Of course the rest of the world will see the whole thing as a sham - but we said "fuck the world" two weeks ago. (or two yrs ago with the election depending upon your vision)

peace!.

1868. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 03:23:02 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <pIsia.329822$6b3.1528718@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I often tease those I love, in fact that's who I do almost all my teasing with.
I don't think the apostles were as stone-cold serious as satan would have you believe.

"Antenna Head" <DXER@Radio> wrote in message news:bm9ub3RtZQ==.162b98b330a75ed9c3b52d422de5a2b8@1049252514.cotse.net...
> Erol Gray wrote:
>
> > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> >
>
> A few points:
>
> 1. Since Jesus arose from the dead, and currently exists, He has yet to
> utter His last words. As a matter of fact, since He is eternal, He will
> never have any last words.
>
> 2. Some day you'll actually meet Jesus face-to-face, at which time you
> can try and convince Him that you meant no disrespect by your little
> juvenile "joke". Good luck. I strongly suggest you repent and follow
> Him before then.
>
>

1869. Re: Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 03:30:08 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3e8a596b.20479678@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 33784

Oh wait...
"IF we're not CAREFULL it COULD apply to scanners"????
Come on, don't you think your post is a bit misleading?

On Tue, 01 Apr 2003 13:18:00 -0500, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
wrote:

>On Tue, 01 Apr 2003 04:25:04 GMT, scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce
>Markowitz) wrote:
>
>>PLEASE read what you posted
>
>Please THINK about what I posted. Go to the freedom to tinker web
>site and read the stuff there. The opinions there are not your
>typical Usenet drivel. They come from the likes of Professor Lawrence
>Lessig (who recently argued a copyright case before the Supreme
>Court).
>
>This is very broad legislation, it sweeps all over the place. If we
>aren't careful it will get applied to scanners as well. After all, if
>it is used to set limits on what a TIVO box can do, how long do you
>think it will be before they apply it to scanners?
>
>I mean, if this stuff goes through, what's to keep NASCAR from
>declaring that they "own" their radio traffic and therefore we can't
>listen to it in an unrestricted manner?
>
>Going even further, what's to keep a police department dispatch from
>doing the same?
>
>Take a day, think hard, and then you can tell me how wrong I am.
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1870. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 03:55:06 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <uatia.8750$vy6.58866837@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 33785
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

David Eduardo wrote:

>>
>> Israel was established on My 14th, 1948
>> http://www.mfa.gov.il/mfa/go.asp?MFAH00hb0
>>
>> Some of you need to research your history!
>
> I guess my Bible is wrong, then.

No - your interpretation of it is wrong. There NEVER was a "Jewish" country at any time. It was a political construct. It was also convenient for the USA as a political presence in the Middle East - the Jewish influence on the American government has always been immense.

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice, except getting up in the morning!

1871. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 03:57:46 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <usenet@endymionsystems.com>
Message-ID: <n-qdnYzFRK02_RejXTWcqw@psknet.com>
Source record: 33787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"buo" <hkl@er.com> wrote in message news:3E89E877.DAF4F623@er.com...
> They would have cut his tongue out.
> And then he wouldn't be such a smart ass.

Christians, too, have shown themselves quite capable of zealously cutting out the tongues of those they consider to be heretics. For example, you can read here about the extermination of the leaders of the Moravian church, along with other Protestants, by Roman Catholics in Prague in June of 1621: http://www.everydaycounselor.com/hutton/i15.htm .

> RHF wrote:
>
> > "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
news:<ptaia.323646$S_4.286835@rwcrnsc53>...
> > > I guess I'll never play piano again.....
> > >
> > > "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> > > news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> > JT & EG,
> >
> > This is the Great Thing about being able to say (write) this type of
> > anti-christian humor in a so called 'Christian Nation' like the USA.
> > You are tolerated and some people actually will enjoy your humor.
> >
> > Had you said (written) the same type of anti-islamic humor in an
> > Islamic Nation (pick any one). These could have possibly been your
> > Last Words...
> >
> > May God {Allah} Watch Over You - Amen.
> >
> > ~ RHF

1872. Re: Icom IC-R3 the right scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 04:00:00 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <4ftia.330154$6b3.1532567@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33786

Check this out- beats the r3:
http://www.tvham.com/
click recievers
Also:
www.TVHAM.com will shortly be announcing a new microwave video receiver which is a 2.2-2.7GHz video receiver with memories and various scanning modes. The new receiver is more sensitive and much more flexible. Its output is via RCA jacks for display on an existing monitor. The cost is likely to be about the same as the Videoscanner.

"Rob" <robo111@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:cfae55fa.0304011921.3970108b@posting.google.com...
> I am searching for a portable scanner that captures 2.4GHZ video, and
> was looking at the ICOM IC-R3 until I realized that it misses most of
> the 800 band. I am looking to also capture those freqs as my local
> county services are running a trunked system in that band. Does
> anyone have any thoughts on a scanner that captures the video (2.4
> GHZ, tv broadcast, etc) as well as the 800 band? I hope there's one
> out there!!!
>
> Thx,
> Rob

1873. FA : C Crane Justice AM Antenna With Twin Coil Ferrite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 04:03:21 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ditia.4369$an1.4337@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

C. Crane Justice AM antenna with additional 25 feet of coax lead. Item in like new condition, in original packaging, instructions, etc.

$75.00 shipped via UPS ground, insured.

I will accept PAYPAL, Postal money order, bank / cashier's check, or personal check. (Personal check will delay shipping until it clears).

eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

E-mail if interested.

Dan

1874. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 04:07:36 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b6dlqljqt1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 33789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

As a former Catholic (I have recently broken from the Church due to several recent decisions made by the Vatican, as well as those made by regional Cardinals) I find myself an apologist for the past sins of the Church. This includes, but is by no means limited to, the Crusades, the Inquisition and their roll in the Holocaust. Indeed, true Christians are few and far between. This is the real problem. If Christians actually behaved as Christ did, and urged his followers to, then Christians and Christianity would not have the reputation as hypocrites that they currently suffer.

"Wayne Farmer" <usenet@endymionsystems.com> wrote in message
news:n-qdnYzFRK02_RejXTWcqw@psknet.com...
>
> "buo" <hkl@er.com> wrote in message news:3E89E877.DAF4F623@er.com...
> > They would have cut his tongue out.
> > And then he wouldn't be such a smart ass.
>
> Christians, too, have shown themselves quite capable of zealously cutting
> out the tongues of those they consider to be heretics. For example, you
can
> read here about the extermination of the leaders of the Moravian church,
> along with other Protestants, by Roman Catholics in Prague in June of
1621:
> http://www.everydaycounselor.com/hutton/i15.htm .
>
> > RHF wrote:
> >
> > > "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> news:<ptaia.323646$S_4.286835@rwcrnsc53>...
> > > > I guess I'll never play piano again.....
> > > >
> > > > "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > > > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> > > JT & EG,
> > >
> > > This is the Great Thing about being able to say (write) this type of
> > > anti-christian humor in a so called 'Christian Nation' like the USA.
> > > You are tolerated and some people actually will enjoy your humor.
> > >
> > > Had you said (written) the same type of anti-islamic humor in an

> > > Islamic Nation (pick any one). These could have possibly been your
> > > Last Words...
> > >
> > > May God {Allah} Watch Over You - Amen.
> > >
> > > ~ RHF
>
>

1875. FS: Radio SHack Pro-92 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 04:11:16 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Eptia.4370$an1.372@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33790
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack Pro-92 scanner in excellent condition with antenna and manual.
Serial number 008821, date code 07A99.

$100.00 shipped via UPS ground, insured.

I will accept PAYPAL, Postal money order, bank / cashier's check, or
personal check. (Personal check will delay shipping until it clears).

eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

E-mail if interested.

Dan

1876. FS : Radio Shack Pro-95 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 04:18:46 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Gwtia.4371$an1.652@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33791
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack Pro-95 scanner, in like new condition, hardly used in box with manual, original accessories and optional RS soft leather case.

$150.00 shipped via UPS ground, insured.

I will accept PAYPAL, Postal money order, bank / cashier's check, or personal check. (Personal check will delay shipping until it clears).

eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

E-mail if interested.

Dan

1877. FS : Radio Shack Pro-43 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 04:22:07 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Pztia.4373$an1.621@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33793
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack Pro-43 scanner, in excellent condition, with manual, antenna and optional RS soft leather case.

(Yes, this one has been modified).

$200.00 shipped via UPS ground, insured.

I will accept PAYPAL, Postal money order, bank / cashier's check, or personal check. (Personal check will delay shipping until it clears).

eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

E-mail if interested.

Dan

1878. FS : RELM RE2000 Alpha scanner (Red)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 04:27:19 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <HEtia.4374$an1.889@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33794
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This is the RE2000 Alpha scanner made by RELM. Same as the popular RELM HS200 scanner, but these will do Alpha tagging, and are PC programmable. Mint condition, in box with manual, accessories. Also includes custom soft-leather case, programming software, and cable.

$200.00 shipped via UPS ground, insured.

I will accept PAYPAL, Postal money order, bank / cashier's check, or personal check. (Personal check will delay shipping until it clears).

eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

E-mail if interested.

Dan

1879. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 05:05:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E8A6F9D.9060905@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N7MFR wrote:

> We are all sinners and have fallen short of the glory of God. The only way
> to please Him is to be 100% holy. The penalty for being unholy is death. I
> don't know about you, but I am not able to live up to the standards of the
> 10 commandments. (If you think you are going to heaven cause you have been
> "basically a good person" think again.) He requires full adherence to those
> commandments. Not one of us has lived up to those standards.

Goodness, guess no one is going to get to heaven.

1880. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 05:37:02 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2Guia.4283$ey1.339180@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

God's truth is absolute.

"Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
> In article <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish garoting a =
> >native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul ... for =
> >them I guess it was just fun.
>
> I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying on
rewritten
> text books to glean this information. These same books usually fail to
mention
> the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged in before
> contact with European men.
>
>
> The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that die in
grace
> will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a difference.
Carl
> Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious.
Unconscious
> implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the subconscious
implies
> that the content is there but not currently being utilized by the mind. If
God
> were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect health. We
don't.
>
> Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys at work.
> Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a surprise.
>
>
> >Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming destruction of
=
> >the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God will =
> >impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything and =
> >everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for the right =
> >moment, and punishes everyone.
> >The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite beyond =

> >imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause people to =
> >seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's life, and =
> >give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we are the =
> >providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving sinners all =
> >we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little mercy =
> >and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> >
> >Earthlink
> >May you live as long as you want,
> >and never want as long as you live.
>
> Never say never.
> Nothing is absolute.
>

1881. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 05:57:24 UTC
From: dxlover <dxlover@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8Zuia.9466$FN.5670089@news2.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 33796
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Celt Chic,
You are a breathe of fresh air in a group that 'sometimes' gets a little stagnant...lol. I hope you don't get upset with what I'm getting ready to say, because it's not my intent, honestly. :-)

But I keep clicking on your posts and I'm wasting a lot of time with your 'prayer/belief' whatever it is .....and now songs. PLEASE try an not add to the craziness that is already here...lol. I want to read what you have to say, if it's related to the hobby......but you're kinda sorta maybe turning into a troll.....and I say that will all the respect in the world to you.

You've been here long enough to know who the instigators are, just 'choose' not to read what they say because it isn't radio related ninety nine percent of the time anyhow, and you replying is just doing exactly what they want. :-(

Welcome to the group and have fun, but *try* to be 'somewhat' on topic if at all possible. :-D

*No hard feelings. (?)

1882. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 06:05:17 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3E8A7D9D.319D0BE6@topnow.com>
Source record: 33647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N7MFR wrote:
>
> God's truth is absolute.

You DO realize this is only a statement of opinion? No
matter how strongly stated or believed?

You'd be very hard-pressed to collect up any large number of
Christians from different denominations and find agreement
on what "God's Truth" actually was about much of anything,
due to interpretations, translations, context, and different
starting frameworks. So given that God's Truth is in fact
absolute, given that there are so many different opinions
out there, all claiming to be the truth, there's a
vanishingly small chance that you are the one who possess
that actual "God's truth." That doesn't even begin to
address the chance that God's Truth might be Muslim, or
Jewish, or Hindu, or Bhuddist.

Face it, your views are philosophy and opinion. You may be
right, but odds are your views are mostly wrong about what
God wants unless you're VERY special.

-- Ross

>
> "Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
> > In article <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> > >As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish garoting a =
> > >native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul ... for =
> > >them I guess it was just fun.
> >
> > I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying on
> rewritten
> > text books to glean this information. These same books usually fail to
> mention
> > the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged in before
> > contact with European men.
> >
> >
> > The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that die in
> grace

> > will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a difference.
> Carl
> > Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious.
> Unconscious
> > implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the subconscious
> implies
> > that the content is there but not currently being utilized by the mind. If
> God
> > were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect health. We
> don't.
> >
> > Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys at work.
> > Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a surprise.
> >
> >
> > >Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming destruction of
> =
> > >the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God will =
> > >impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything and =
> > >everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for the right =
> > >moment, and punishes everyone.
> > >The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite beyond =
> > >imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause people to =
> > >seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's life, and =
> > >give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we are the =
> > >providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving sinners all =
> > >we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little mercy =
> > >and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> > >
> > >Earthlink
> > >May you live as long as you want,
> > >and never want as long as you live.
> >
> > Never say never.
> > Nothing is absolute.
> >

1883. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 06:10:09 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <59via.4316$ey1.342437@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

There is a good reason why the mere mention of Jesus elicits so much response. The mention of His name requires everyone to make a decision. What will we do with Him? Will we accept the free gift of God? Or will we reject it ? Will we humble ourselves before God? Or will we continue in our sin? We have people here who think the whole thing is a big joke. We have people who intellectualize their way around the truth. We have people who think they are Christians and are not. All have one thing in common. They are running from the truth. The result of this disobedience will be certain destruction. JESUS IS LORD. Repent and believe, or perish with the rest of Satan's army. One truth. One God. One Saviour. Its really not complicated at all. It is so easy, a child can believe it.
We are all sinners and have fallen short of the glory of God. The only way to please Him is to be 100% holy. The penalty for being unholy is death. I don't know about you, but I am not able to live up to the standards of the 10 commandments. (If you think you are going to heaven cause you have been "basically a good person" think again.) He requires full adherence to those commandments. Not one of us has lived up to those standards. The Bible says if you are guilty of one, you are guilty of all. Jesus said if you lust after a woman in your heart, you are guilty of adultery. Whoops. Guilty. Case closed. But thankfully, He has given us a way out. Accept the sacrifice for our sins that He provided for us. His only Son, and our Saviour Jesus Christ.
Its real easy. My 10 year old can do it. So can you. Just believe it. Read your Bible, get on your knees and ask for forgiveness (and mean it) make a decision to live by God's rules instead of your own. The world cant save you. Not church, not school, not your intellect, not your bank account. Just you...His holy Word, and your humility. Amen and Amen.

Dave

"Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> "Hey, I can see my house from here"
>
>

1884. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 06:18:28 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Ugvia.4785$4P1.373721@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Essenes were not a tribe. They were a sect. A group of philosophers that many in error claim that Jesus spent time with. His teachings are clearly not in line with what the Essenes taught.

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:v8kf8469mn3e4d@corp.supernews.com...
> From the record, Jesus was a Jew and so was Paul. Actually, Jesus was from
> the Essene tribe.
>
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8A0C7C.99482E5B@email.com...
> > Jesus:
> >
> > "Am I a Christian or a Jew?"
> >
> >
>
>

1885. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 06:21:07 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <njvia.4792$4P1.373843@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Indeed. They do not resemble Jesus because they are of their father Satan.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E8A0C4D.5A0E68F0@email.com...
> Jesus:
>
> "Why didn't the Pope go to Iraq and be a human shield? He could have
> stopped the war as there is no way Bush would have dropped a bomb on the
> Pope, and even if he did, I would then welcome the Pope into heaven as a
> saint for what he did. Hmmmm, perhaps the Pope doesn't believe in me
> after all"
>
>
> "Also, if priests really believed in me and my father, why would they be
> molesting and raping little boys? If they believed in god, they would
> know he could always see them when they were committing this sin,
> right? They obviously don't believe in me either, only in the holy tax
> free collection plate."
>
>
>
>
>

1886. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 06:21:53 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5kvia.4794$4P1.374022@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

AMEN AND AMEN!!!!!!

"Antenna Head" <DXER@Radio> wrote in message
news:bm9ub3RtZQ==.162b98b330a75ed9c3b52d422de5a2b8@1049252514.cotse.net...
> Erol Gray wrote:
>
> > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> >
>
> A few points:
>
> 1. Since Jesus arose from the dead, and currently exists, He has yet to
> utter His last words. As a matter of fact, since He is eternal, He will
> never have any last words.
>
> 2. Some day you'll actually meet Jesus face-to-face, at which time you
> can try and convince Him that you meant no disrespect by your little
> juvenile "joke". Good luck. I strongly suggest you repent and follow
> Him before then.
>
>
>

1887. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 06:32:52 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3E8A8414.538D66B7@topnow.com>
Source record: 33653
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Never anonymous Bud wrote:
>
> Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) belched:
>
> >DS,
> >
> >The 'original' SCUD design was from the old USSR. North Korea is the
> >principle manufacture and supplier of SCUDs in today's International
> >Arms Market. (A CASH CROP)
>
> My SCUDs were delivered by Black Helos,
> I have no idea where they were made, and don't even care.

I hope you got some with the Cloud-hiding feature. :)

>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1888. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 06:33:19 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Puvia.4810$4P1.375000@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I did not say that my opinion is absolute. I said God's truth is absolute.
And His Word through scripture is absolute, whether understood fully or not.
Obviously, since there is one truth, we best get about finding out what God
wants from us. I am NOT special. I am a sinner and my righteousness is as
dirty rags. So is yours.
I do not have all of the answers. But one thing I do know is that JESUS
IS LORD. And the Muslims, Hindus and Buddhists reject that. When those
groups confess that Jesus is the only way to salvation and into the kingdom
of God, then they will know the truth and the truth will set them free.
AMEN.
"Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:3E8A7D9D.319D0BE6@topnow.com...
> N7MFR wrote:
> >
> > God's truth is absolute.
>
> You DO realize this is only a statement of opinion? No
> matter how strongly stated or believed?
>
> You'd be very hard-pressed to collect up any large number of
> Christians from different denominations and find agreement
> on what "God's Truth" actually was about much of anything,
> due to interpretations, translations, context, and different
> starting frameworks. So given that God's Truth is in fact
> absolute, given that there are so many different opinions
> out there, all claiming to be the truth, there's a
> vanishingly small chance that you are the one who possess
> that actual "God's truth." That doesn't even begin to
> address the chance that God's Truth might be Muslim, or
> Jewish, or Hindu, or Bhuddist.
>
> Face it, your views are philosophy and opinion. You may be
> right, but odds are your views are mostly wrong about what
> God wants unless you're VERY special.
>
> -- Ross
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >

> > "Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> > news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > In article <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> > "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish garoting a =
> > > >native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul ... for
=
> > > >them I guess it was just fun.
> > >
> > > I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying on
> > rewritten
> > > text books to glean this information. These same books usually fail to
> > mention
> > > the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged in
before
> > > contact with European men.
> > >
> > >
> > > The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that die in
> > grace
> > > will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a
difference.
> > Carl
> > > Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious.
> > Unconscious
> > > implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the subconscious
> > implies
> > > that the content is there but not currently being utilized by the
mind. If
> > God
> > > were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect health. We
> > don't.
> > >
> > > Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys at
work.
> > > Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a surprise.
> > >
> > >
> > > >Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming destruction
of
> > =
> > > >the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God will =
> > > >impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything and =
> > > >everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for the
right =
> > > >moment, and punishes everyone.
> > > >The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite beyond
=
> > > >imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause people to

=
> > > >seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's life, and
=
> > > >give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we are the
=
> > > >providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving sinners
all =
> > > >we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little mercy
=
> > > >and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> > > >
> > > >Earthlink
> > > >May you live as long as you want,
> > > >and never want as long as you live.
> > >
> > > Never say never.
> > > Nothing is absolute.
> > >
>

1889. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 06:34:19 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3E8A846A.BC570B2D@topnow.com>
Source record: 33654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N7MFR wrote:
>
> I did not say that my opinion is absolute. I said God's truth is absolute.

Precisely. And that statement, "God's truth is absolute", is
just your opinion.

> And His Word through scripture is absolute, whether understood fully or not.
> Obviously, since there is one truth, we best get about finding out what God
> wants from us. I am NOT special. I am a sinner and my righteousness is as
> dirty rags. So is yours.
> I do not have all of the answers. But one thing I do know is that JESUS
> IS LORD. And the Muslims, Hindus and Buddhists reject that. When those
> groups confess that Jesus is the only way to salvation and into the kingdom
> of God, then they will know the truth and the truth will set them free.
> AMEN.
> "Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8A7D9D.319D0BE6@topnow.com...
> > N7MFR wrote:
> > >
> > > God's truth is absolute.
> >
> > You DO realize this is only a statement of opinion? No
> > matter how strongly stated or believed?
> >
> > You'd be very hard-pressed to collect up any large number of
> > Christians from different denominations and find agreement
> > on what "God's Truth" actually was about much of anything,
> > due to interpretations, translations, context, and different
> > starting frameworks. So given that God's Truth is in fact
> > absolute, given that there are so many different opinions
> > out there, all claiming to be the truth, there's a
> > vanishingly small chance that you are the one who possess
> > that actual "God's truth." That doesn't even begin to
> > address the chance that God's Truth might be Muslim, or
> > Jewish, or Hindu, or Bhuddist.
> >
> > Face it, your views are philosophy and opinion. You may be
> > right, but odds are your views are mostly wrong about what
> > God wants unless you're VERY special.
> >
> > -- Ross
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > "Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> > > news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > In article <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> > > "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish garoting a =
> > > > >native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul ... for
> =
> > > > >them I guess it was just fun.
> > > >
> > > > I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying on
> > > rewritten
> > > > text books to glean this information. These same books usually fail to
> > > mention
> > > > the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged in
> before
> > > > contact with European men.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that die in
> > > grace
> > > > will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a
> difference.
> > > Carl
> > > > Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious.
> > > Unconscious
> > > > implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the subconscious
> > > implies
> > > > that the content is there but not currently being utilized by the
> mind. If
> > > God
> > > > were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect health. We
> > > don't.
> > > >
> > > > Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys at
> work.
> > > > Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a surprise.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming destruction
> of
> > > =
> > > > >the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God will =
> > > > >impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything and =
> > > > >everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for the

> right =
> > > > >moment, and punishes everyone.
> > > > >The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite beyond
> =
> > > > >imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause people to
> =
> > > > >seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's life, and
> =
> > > > >give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we are the
> =
> > > > >providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving sinners
> all =
> > > > >we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little mercy
> =
> > > > >and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> > > > > >
> > > > >Earthlink
> > > > >May you live as long as you want,
> > > > >and never want as long as you live.
> > > > >
> > > > Never say never.
> > > > Nothing is absolute.
> > > >
> >

1890. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 06:35:46 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304012235.41a73250@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

WF,

Lets see the Year 1621.
- When We All Lift Our Heads Up Out of the History Books and Look Around.
- - What Do We See...
- - - The Islama Baddies Doing the Dirty Deeds Today in 2003!

[Reality Check] Christians and Buddhist are Not the Ones Going Around with Machine Guns, Grenades and Bombs on Fridays Attacking Islamic Mosques. But this is a regular 'happening in many Islamic Countries for Muslims to Attack Christian Churches on Sundays. Especially in Pakistan and Indonesia. In Indonesia over 20 years 1000 Christian Churches were in-fact attacked. In these "ATTACKS" People were Murdered and buildings destroyed. Think Of It: That is one Attack a Week, Every Week for 20 Years. So is there a pattern here? Some reasonable people think so.

God {Allah} Help Me to Know My Friends and My Enemies.
- - - And Give Me the Wisdom to Know the Difference - Amen

~ RHF

= = = "Wayne Farmer" <usenet@endymionsystems.com> wrote in message
= = = news:<n-qdnYzFRK02_RejXTWcqw@psknet.com>...
> "buo" <hkl@er.com> wrote in message news:3E89E877.DAF4F623@er.com...
> > They would have cut his tongue out.
> > And then he wouldn't be such a smart ass.
>
> Christians, too, have shown themselves quite capable of zealously cutting
> out the tongues of those they consider to be heretics. For example, you can
> read here about the extermination of the leaders of the Moravian church,
> along with other Protestants, by Roman Catholics in Prague in June of 1621:
> http://www.everydaycounselor.com/hutton/i15.htm .
>
> > >
> > > May God {Allah} Watch Over You - Amen.
> > >
> > > ~ RHF

1891. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 06:40:46 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3E8A85EE.56D1B5E5@topnow.com>
Source record: 33656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

RHF wrote:
>
> WF,
>
> Lets see the Year 1621.
> - When We All Lift Our Heads Up Out of the History Books and Look
> Around.
> - - What Do We See...
> - - - The Islama Baddies Doing the Dirty Deeds Today in 2003!
>
> [Reality Check] Christians and Buddhist are Not the Ones Going Around
> with Machine Guns, Grenades and Bombs on Fridays Attacking Islamic
> Mosques. But this is a regular 'happening in many Islamic Countries
> for Muslims to Attack Christian Churches on Sundays. Especially in
> Pakistan and Indonesia. In Indonesia over 20 years 1000 Christian
> Churches were in-fact attacked. In these "ATTACKS" People were
> Murdered and buildings destroyed. Think Of It: That is one Attack a
> Week, Every Week for 20 Years. So is there a pattern here? Some
> reasonable people think so.

You have a good point, BUT you can't seriously point to the
history of Christianity and deny that a lot of people (and
cats!) were killed for their "errant" beliefs, worship, or
practices, or that people were not tortured in the most
barbaric of ways to admit to witchcraft, or to convert to
Christianity?

I think it's partly due to the deplorable level of education
among some nations that are primarily Islamic, and part to
the fact that Islam is a newer religion, and hasn't
completely worked through how to tolerate the existence of
those who disagree with its tenets.

>
> God {Allah} Help Me to Know My Friends and My Enemies.
> - - - And Give Me the Wisdom to Know the Difference - Amen
>
> ~ RHF
>
> = = = "Wayne Farmer" <usenet@endymionsystems.com> wrote in message
> = = = news:<n-qdnYzFRK02_RejXTWcqw@psknet.com>...
> > "buo" <hkl@er.com> wrote in message news:3E89E877.DAF4F623@er.com...
> > > They would have cut his tongue out.
> > > And then he wouldn't be such a smart ass.

> >
> > Christians, too, have shown themselves quite capable of zealously cutting
> > out the tongues of those they consider to be heretics. For example, you can
> > read here about the extermination of the leaders of the Moravian church,
> > along with other Protestants, by Roman Catholics in Prague in June of 1621:
> > http://www.everydaycounselor.com/hutton/i15.htm .
> >
> > > >
> > > > May God {Allah} Watch Over You - Amen.
> > > > >
> > > > > ~ RHF

1892. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 07:10:01 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b6e0gm$rj9$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 33658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:3E8A85EE.56D1B5E5@topnow.com...
>
> You have a good point, BUT you can't seriously point to the
> history of Christianity and deny that a lot of people (and
> cats!) were killed for their "errant" beliefs, worship, or
> practices, or that people were not tortured in the most
> barbaric of ways to admit to witchcraft, or to convert to
> Christianity?
>
> I think it's partly due to the deplorable level of education
> among some nations that are primarily Islamic, and part to
> the fact that Islam is a newer religion, and hasn't
> completely worked through how to tolerate the existence of
> those who disagree with its tenets.

Actually, the relative uneducated state of Islamic countries is itself a result of Christian activity (the Crusades). During the Crusades Christian armies sacked and destroyed much of Islamic civilization (which was far ahead of Christians socially and economically), resulting in the beginning of the Dark Ages. Islamic countries had vast universities and libraries at the time, and education, at least among the male population, was very high. Those institutions were completely and utterly destroyed.

As for Islam being a "newer" religion, I would say no.. as it has been around at least since the 9th century, making it one of the oldest practiced religions.

1893. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 07:20:03 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <Dawia.297869$L1.86130@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 33659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It's the people who stand so high and tell us all what god really means that gets everyone in trouble or killed.

God doesn't need an interpreter. He can and does speak to each of us directly.

"N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:59via.4316$ey1.342437@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> There is a good reason why the mere mention of Jesus elicits so much
> response. The mention of His name requires everyone to make a decision. What
> will we do with Him? Will we accept the free gift of God? Or will we reject
> it ? Will we humble ourselves before God? Or will we continue in our sin? We
> have people here who think the whole thing is a big joke. We have people who
> intellectualize their way around the truth. We have people who think they
> are Christians and are not. All have one thing in common. They are running
> from the truth. The result of this disobedience will be certain destruction.
> JESUS IS LORD. Repent and believe, or perish with the rest of Satan's army.
> One truth. One God. One Saviour. Its really not complicated at all. It is so
> easy, a child can believe it.
> We are all sinners and have fallen short of the glory of God. The only way
> to please Him is to be 100% holy. The penalty for being unholy is death. I
> don't know about you, but I am not able to live up to the standards of the
> 10 commandments. (If you think you are going to heaven cause you have been
> "basically a good person" think again.) He requires full adherence to those
> commandments. Not one of us has lived up to those standards. The Bible says
> if you are guilty of one, you are guilty of all. Jesus said if you lust
> after a woman in your heart, you are guilty of adultery. Whoops. Guilty.
> Case closed. But thankfully, He has given us a way out. Accept the sacrifice
> for our sins that He provided for us. His only Son, and our Saviour Jesus
> Christ.
> Its real easy. My 10 year old can do it. So can you. Just believe it.

Read
> your Bible, get on your knees and ask for forgiveness (and mean it) make a
> decision to live by God's rules instead of your own. The world cant save
> you. Not church, not school, not your intellect, not your bank account.
> Just you...His holy Word, and your humility. Amen and Amen.
>
> Dave
>
>
> "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> >
> >
>
>

1894. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 07:33:07 UTC
From: Tra+cey <tracey12_12@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E8A9257.4EFAF784@yahoo.com>
Source record: 33660
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sort of like Bill and Hillary Clinton and their followers, isn't it?
I mean, what nuts would vote for a pair of radical, gun-hating, freedom hating leftists?
But, how insane would those people have to be to vote for the pair plus algore twice!?!

Yep, there are nuts in America, and the above group describes them well.

Pointing North wrote:

> Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> intellectual people in the media?
> I'd like to hear it!
> haha can't wait!

1895. Attention Commies: This is Rec.Radio.Scanner...Not "Im Still Pissed Cuz The Republicans Won"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 07:37:03 UTC
From: Tra+cey <tracey12_12@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E8A9343.49294924@yahoo.com>
Source record: 33661

Get it? Stop with your political BS and lets talk scanners.

If you want to rave on about how you hate Bush, and how you still have a picture of Hillary over your bed,
fine. Just do it somewhere else so we wont have to stumble over your junk in this group.

Free speech is great. If you don't like what I just said, too bad.

1896. Re: Jesus' last words and Jack Van Impe, Hal Lindsey.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 07:39:31 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14477-3E8A93B3-958@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 33663

The LaLonde's, and other 'preachers' who preach 'end time' scenarios IMO, are dangerous to Christiandom worldwide. They are utterly convinced that 'the end is nigh', any moment in 'our lifetime'. Truth is, NO ONE KNOWS. This 'rapture' theory is even more dangerous, it's a false, relatively new doctrine that's decieving many. Convince me, Show me in scripture where Christ comes in 'secret' to snatch away Christians before earth's last days. The 'last days' began when Jesus acsended!

1897. This Group Is Officially Dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 07:40:09 UTC
From: Tra+cey <tracey12_12@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E8A93FC.482A7C53@yahoo.com>
Source record: 33662

Yep, I've been coming by here for a few years, but as you can see,
there is far more political bs and name calling
than there is info on scanners, so I declare this scanner newsgroup Dead
On Arrival.

1898. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 07:52:28 UTC
From: Gray Shockley <gray7a6@cybercoffee.org>
Message-ID: <0001HW.BAAFF2DC0000B95715E6D6C0@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 33664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 1 Apr 2003 23:37:02 -0600, N7MFR wrote
(in message <2Guia.4283$ey1.339180@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>):

> God's truth is absolute.

So are my killfilters.

I "lose" ("loose" via internet) about 60 percent of the messages on this ng
because of my profanity and related filters.

Thanks to you, "God" just got placed within my profanity filters.

You make God to be ugly.

Gray Shockley

They laughed at Columbus, they laughed at Fulton,
they laughed at the Wright brothers. But they
also laughed at Bozo the Clown." - Carl Sagan

>
> "Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
>> In article <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>
>>> As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish garoting a =
>>> native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul ... for =
>>> them I guess it was just fun.
>>
>> I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying on
> rewritten
>> text books to glean this information. These same books usually fail to
> mention
>> the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged in before
>> contact with European men.
>>
>>
>> The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that die in
> grace
>> will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a difference.
> Carl
>> Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious.
> Unconscious
>> implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the subconscious

> implies
>> that the content is there but not currently being utilized by the mind. If
> God
>> were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect health. We
> don't.
>>
>> Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys at work.
>> Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a surprise.
>>
>>
>>> Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming destruction of
> =
>>> the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God will =
>>> impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything and =
>>> everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for the right =
>>> moment, and punishes everyone.
>>> The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite beyond =
>>> imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause people to =
>>> seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's life, and =
>>> give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we are the =
>>> providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving sinners all =
>>> we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little mercy =
>>> and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
>>>
>>> Earthlink
>>> May you live as long as you want,
>>> and never want as long as you live.
>>
>> Never say never.
>> Nothing is absolute.
>>
>
>

1899. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 08:00:21 UTC
From: Texas Steven <rothrock@stic.net>
Message-ID: <3e8a986e$0$43855$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 33665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Someone wrote:

Jesus:

"Am I a Christian or a Jew?"

Answer:

Jesus was a teacher (a prophet) of God

Someone else wrote:

God doesn't need an interpreter. He can and does speak to each of us directly.

This statement is true. We keep forgetting that when Jesus was in the flesh he was telling us about his father (GOD) to however would listen while he was here in this world. A teacher. Yes , some say, a prophet.

TexasSteven

Food For Thought

1900. Re: What kind of radio do American soldiers use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 10:06:39 UTC
From: Hipot Al <hipot230@shamltis.net>
Message-ID: <NCyia.83$Ru4.6553@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 33666

What a moron!
"buo" <hkl@er.com> wrote in message news:3E88E228.EAADEE85@er.com...
> Are walkie talkies they use encrypted and what freq are they on.
>

1901. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 10:08:18 UTC
From: Hipot Al <hipot230@shamltis.net>
Message-ID: <kEyia.84$Ru4.6663@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 33667

And this relates to scanners in what way?
"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:co3ia.37507$ja4.2378380@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message
> news:20030330231419.28056.00000081@mb-ci.aol.com...
> > It's really too bad that posting off topic posts on newsgroups isn't
> against
> > any TOS's.
> > =-
> > 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> > Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> > http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> > Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
>
>

1902. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 10:33:19 UTC
From: Rory <rory@cairdiuil.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.18f5839c5a867dee989681@news.iconz.co.nz>
Source record: 33668
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <8Zuia.9466$FN.5670089@news2.news.adelphia.net>,
dxlover@adelphia.net says...
> Hey Celt Chic,
> You are a breathe of fresh air in a group that 'sometimes' gets a little
> stagnant...lol. I hope you don't get upset with what I'm getting ready to
> say, because it's not my intent, honestly. :-)
>
> But I keep clicking on your posts and I'm wasting a lot of time with your
> 'prayer/belief' whatever it is .....and now songs. PLEASE try an not add to
> the craziness that is already here...lol. I want to read what you have to
> say, if it's related to the hobby......but you're kinda sorta maybe turning
> into a troll.....and I say that will all the respect in the world to you.
>
> You've been here long enough to know who the instigators are, just 'choose'
> not to read what they say because it isn't radio related ninety nine percent
> of the time anyhow, and you replying is just doing exactly what they want.
> :-(
>
> Welcome to the group and have fun, but *try* to be 'somewhat' on topic if
> at all possible. :-D
>
> *No hard feelings. (?)

Mind if I second that? :) CeltChic was doing great to start with. I'd
hate to see her descend to a similar level to some of the others.

1903. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 10:42:11 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB028B2.15696%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33669
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"RHF" wrote:

> (snip) North Korea is the principle manufacture
> and supplier of SCUDs in today's International
> Arms Market. (A CASH CROP)

I stand corrected. Thanks.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1904. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 10:43:31 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB02903.15697%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33670
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Frank White" wrote:

> Those were the originals. Nowadays North Korea builds and
> sells these worldwide by the thousands. (snip)

Thanks for the information and correction.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1905. Re: [OT] Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 11:21:36 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB031EF.156EA%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Piotr Balcerzak" wrote:
>
> They modified original Scuds. The new Scuds can
> be re-fueled in the air. Once launched, such
> Scuds can be hidden in clouds. And that's what
> military face now: the scuds that were launched
> long before the invasion. Destroying launchers
> will not help because the scuds are already out
> there (hidden and hard to locate)...

Refueled in the air? Look, I know Iraq has plenty of oil, but endlessly refueling Scuds in the air would be tedious at best. Are you sure they haven't developed a balloon-based launcher or helium-filled Scud? For possible evidence to support this, look at the dictionary definition of the word "scud" - to sail with a gale or strong wind blowing from behind. Did our military know something we didn't when they named this particular missile? ;)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1906. Re: This Group Is Officially Dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 12:47:41 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YZAia.6408$9I.547819@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 33673

haha :(

might as well be.

alt.radio.scanner seems a little bit more lively then rec.radio.scanner

dont know why either.....

outlook shows more posts in rec. then alt. heh

"Tra+cey" <tracey12_12@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3E8A93FC.482A7C53@yahoo.com...
> Yep, I've been coming by here for a few years, but as you can see,
> there is far more political bs and name calling
> than there is info on scanners, so I declare this scanner newsgroup Dead
> On Arrival.
>

1907. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 12:54:36 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304020454.7c3c1abf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:<b6e0gm$rj9$1@news1.kornet.net>...
> "Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8A85EE.56D1B5E5@topnow.com...
> >
> > You have a good point, BUT you can't seriously point to the
> > history of Christianity and deny that a lot of people (and
> > cats!) were killed for their "errant" beliefs, worship, or
> > practices, or that people were not tortured in the most
> > barbaric of ways to admit to witchcraft, or to convert to
> > Christianity?
>
>

RA,

YES - That was then 100 to 300 years ago. That is 'part' of the
Christian History; but not the Entire Christian History.

TODAY - Islamic History is being Written and it is not the True Islam
but a twisted perverted exploitation of the true words of the Prophet
Mohammed.

QUESTION - Did Jesus (The Christ) Lead an Army into Battle against his
neighbors NO! But the Prophet Mohammed Did [.]

>
> > I think it's partly due to the deplorable level of education
> > among some nations that are primarily Islamic, and part to
> > the fact that Islam is a newer religion, and hasn't
> > completely worked through how to tolerate the existence of
> > those who disagree with its tenets.
>

BILLIONS of Petro-Dollars and poor schools and poverty for the masses
of many Islamic Nations. So Blame the Christians -or- More Correctly
Blame the Islamic Leaders of those nations. Most Islamic Nations fall
into two groupings: Royalist Regimes and National Socialist Regimes.
Both Exist for the Leadership not for the people.

>
> Actually, the relative uneducated state of Islamic countries is itself a
> result of Christian activity (the Crusades). During the Crusades Christian
> armies sacked and destroyed much of Islamic civilization (which was far
> ahead of Christians socially and economically), resulting in the beginning

> of the Dark Ages. Islamic countries had vast universities and libraries at
> the time, and education, at least among the male population, was very high.
> Those institutions were completely and utterly destroyed.
>

BA,

So in the same time period of hundreds of years the United States was Founded and Built and is the Leading Power of the World. What has held the Islamic Peoples back is Islamic Leadership. Quit making excuses for Islamic People and Accept them as Equals. The Current Problem in the education of Islamic Peoples and the Youth of Islam will not change over night it will take a generation, or two or three or more. To have them gain the introspective to focus on building a modern Islamic Society that nurtures it people vice teaching them to focus on hating the West (Christian Infidels). It is easier to teach HATE them to Educate and Enlighten a Young Mind. Emotion Comes Easily and Thinking for Yourself is Hard Work. .

>
> As for Islam being a "newer" religion, I would say no.. as it has been
> around at least since the 9th century, making it one of the oldest practiced
> religions.

One "FIX" for many Islamic Nations is an Elected Legislature (Parliamentary System) made up of Two Chambers.

* A Large Elected Body of Women (Only) about 250-500 Representatives that is based on population. Their primary responsibility would be for: Primary and Secondary Schools, Higher Education Colleges and Universities, Health Care and Hospitals, Drugs and Medicine, Food and Agriculture and Related Taxes. Like a Parliamentary System the Ministers for these Government Functions Departments would be from this Body

* A Smaller Elected Body of Men (Only) about 50-100 Representatives that is based on geographic regions. Their primary responsibility would be for: Military, Boarders, Foreign Relations, International Trade, Transportation, Science and Industry and Related Taxes. Like a Parliamentary System the Ministers for these Government Functions Departments would be from this Body

NOTE: The President (Direct Election) and the Prime Minister (Parliamentary Election) could be a Women or a Men.

IF I RULED THE WORLD ~ RHF
(I Would Be Shot - Or In Jail)

.

1908. Re: What kind of radio do American soldiers use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 13:38:20 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@nowhere.edu>
Message-ID: <3E8AE7C7.2060600@nowhere.edu>
Source record: 33674

http://www2.thalescomminc.com/default.asp?cart_id=

buo wrote:

>Are walkie talkies they use encrypted and what freq are they on.
>
>
>

1909. Re: [OT] Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 14:25:11 UTC
From: Piotr Balcerzak <bpiotrek@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <NICia.38591$cB3.238993@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 33675
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BAAF92E6.1560E%stewart@say.net...
> "Piotr Balcerzak" wrote:
> >
> > They modified original Scuds. The new Scuds can
> > be re-fueled in the air. Once launched, such
> > Scuds can be hidden in clouds. And that's what
> > military face now: the scuds that were launched
> > long before the invasion. Destroying launchers
> > will not help because the scuds are already out
> > there (hidden and hard to locate)...
>
>
> Refueled in the air? Look, I know Iraq has plenty of oil, but endlessly
> refueling Scuds in the air would be tedious at best. Are you sure they
> haven't developed a balloon-based launcher or helium-filled Scud? For
> possible evidence to support this, look at the dictionary definition of
the
> word "scud" - to sail with a gale or strong wind blowing from behind. Did
> our military know something we didn't when they named this particular
> missile? ;)
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>

I admit, I haven't thought of that. Indeed, they can be using
balloon launchers. They could use specially trained geese to
conceal the presence of the balloons from AWACS...

Actually, when one thinks about that, it becomes clear why
US military seem to be confused. ;-)

Piotr

1910. BC780 and PRO2026 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 15:35:37 UTC
From: Browning Mark FourA <sky.fourfourfour@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <jo0m8v8s3f9gfpqffm661uji5u8k9pvd7h@4ax.com>
Source record: 33676

BC780 and PRO2026 FS

BC780 MIB(opened but brand new) and a PRO2026 mobile scanner(8-10) (no cell) $325 + shipping

email me and we can go from there.....thanks

remove <SPAMSUCKS> to reply

1911. Re: [OT] Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 15:36:21 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <VrDia.37512$0X.7426564@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33677
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:3E8A2789.7458525@topnow.com...
> Piotr Balcerzak wrote:
> >
> > "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
> > news:BAAF6666.1550C%stewart@say.net...
> > >
> > > Anyway, it is amazing these things keep flying around. I remember a
> > > General at a press conference early in the last Iraq war saying all
the
> > Scud
> > > launchers had been knocked out. Over the next 24 hours, about a dozen
> > Scuds
> > > were launched. Almost week later, another General said nearly the same
> > > thing, with more Scuds launched afterward. When asked about that, the
> > > military said mobile launchers are difficult to find and said nothing
more
> > > publicly about Scud launchers being destroyed after that. Perhaps it
is a
> > > good thing because we now see missiles, probably Scuds, being launched
yet
> > > again.
> > >
> >
> > They modified original Scuds. The new Scuds can be re-fueled
> > in the air. Once launched, such Scuds can be hidden in clouds.
> > And that's what military face now: the scuds that were launched
> > long before the invasion. Destroying launchers will not help
> > because the scuds are already out there (hidden and hard to
> > locate)...
> >
> > Piotr
>
> Yes, I heard about that. Cool tech! Just append "CH" to
> your order code (cloud-hider) if you want that.
> If you also want the solar power option, to keep it up
> longer, append -S too.

Does Viagara have the solar power option as well?

> Their most popular option is -DOY ("drops on Yankees.")
> There is a long lead-time on that option due to a sudden
> upsurge in demand, which may be linked to Bush's warning
> France, Germany, and Turkey and Belgium and Denmark and

> Sweden, and Norway, and The Netherlands, and China they have
> been added to the Axis of Evil and face imminent invasion if
> they refuse to disarm.

You forgot Disney World...

1912. Re: [OT] Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 15:37:38 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <6tDia.37513$0X.7427262@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33678
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Floating old Russian missiles...wow, and WE won the Cold War...good thing -
that's some AMAZING tech there...

;-)

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BAAF92E6.1560E%stewart@say.net...
> "Piotr Balcerzak" wrote:
> >
> > They modified original Scuds. The new Scuds can
> > be re-fueled in the air. Once launched, such
> > Scuds can be hidden in clouds. And that's what
> > military face now: the scuds that were launched
> > long before the invasion. Destroying launchers
> > will not help because the scuds are already out
> > there (hidden and hard to locate)...
>
>
> Refueled in the air? Look, I know Iraq has plenty of oil, but endlessly
> refueling Scuds in the air would be tedious at best. Are you sure they
> haven't developed a balloon-based launcher or helium-filled Scud? For
> possible evidence to support this, look at the dictionary definition of
the
> word "scud" - to sail with a gale or strong wind blowing from behind. Did
> our military know something we didn't when they named this particular
> missile? ;)
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

1913. Re: Attention Commies: This is Rec.Radio.Scanner...Not "Im Still Pissed Cuz The Republicans Won"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 15:37:39 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <7tDia.301979$F1.52060@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 33679

Really sorry that your war is interrupting your entertainment.

"Tra+cey" <tracey12_12@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3E8A9343.49294924@yahoo.com...
> Get it? Stop with your political BS and lets talk scanners.
>
> If you want to rave on about how you hate Bush, and how you still have a
> picture of Hillary over your bed,
> fine. Just do it somewhere else so we wont have to stumble over your
> junk in this group.
>
> Free speech is great. If you don't like what I just said, too bad.
>
>
>

1914. Re: [OT] Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 15:39:51 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <bvDia.37514$0X.7429594@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33680
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Piotr Balcerzak" <bpiotrek@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:NlCia.38591$cB3.238993@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
> news:BAAF92E6.1560E%stewart@say.net...
> > "Piotr Balcerzak" wrote:
> > >
> > > They modified original Scuds. The new Scuds can
> > > be re-fueled in the air. Once launched, such
> > > Scuds can be hidden in clouds. And that's what
> > > military face now: the scuds that were launched
> > > long before the invasion. Destroying launchers
> > > will not help because the scuds are already out
> > > there (hidden and hard to locate)...
> > >
> > >
> > Refueled in the air? Look, I know Iraq has plenty of oil, but
endlessly
> > refueling Scuds in the air would be tedious at best. Are you sure they
> > haven't developed a balloon-based launcher or helium-filled Scud? For
> > possible evidence to support this, look at the dictionary definition of
> the
> > word "scud" - to sail with a gale or strong wind blowing from behind.
Did
> > our military know something we didn't when they named this particular
> > missile? ;)
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
>
> I admit, I haven't thought of that. Indeed, they can be using
> balloon launchers. They could use specially trained geese to
> conceal the presence of the balloons from AWACS...
>
> Actually, when one thinks about that, it becomes clear why
> US military seem to be confused. ;-)
>
> Piotr

Yeah...no WONDER the allies are losing, and haven't taken a single
city...incredible. Guess we all ought to start hanging up pictures of
Saddam. I have two already, but must remove the darts from one and try to
patch the bullet holes from the other on the firing range...

1915. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 15:52:14 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <OGDia.37517$0X.7438830@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33681
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E8A0031.8080308@mailcity.moc...

> > I can even set the clock on one. Can you even do the later?

> Sure can.

WOW - a ROCKET SCIENTISIT!!!

> > Very few
> > geniuses, but millions of people that are idiots.
>
> I see, you consider yourself to be a genius and everyone else are
> idiots. What a pompous moron!

Hey - this is the 'genius' who says Israel was just formed in this century
by steraling land from a "country" that never existed.

> > THAT was the point, but you not
> > understanding the point no matter how one explains it is what is
actually proving the
> > point. Now go and help me prove it some more with another reply.
>
> You are doing a good job of proving what a pompous a** you are on
> your own.

So, NEAH! (he says, while sticking out his tongue...)

> >>How many people know how to do a heart transplant? Not many
> >>compared to the overall population.
> >
> >
> > EXACTLY. But how many people don't know how to set the clock on a
VCR, or can't explain how
> > a video picture gets from the TV station to your TV screen? MILLIONS
of people can't.
>
> And they don't need to stupid.

But YOU are proof that they CAN be...

> >>Bet you don't know how, so you
> >>must be one of those idiots.
> >
> >
> > Actually I do know how.

ROCKET SCIENTIST!!! ROCKET SCIENTIST!!!

> Oh boy, this guy knows the secrets of the universe that has so far
> eluded the scientist, can design and build his own VCR and now the
> guy is a heart transplant surgeon as well. Where did you get your
> medical degree, you send off to one of those web sites for it?
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
> ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> >>>>Have you written to Washington to tell them just how smart you
> >>>>are, that you have all the answers to everything, and they should
> >>>>put you in charge? No, why not? I am sure Bush would gladly step
> >>>>aside if he only realized that you could solve all the world's
> >>>>problems with your vast knowledge.

> > More bullshit. No one can know everything. I have no idea how many
pairs of underwear you
> > have at home.

Sure you do - ONE - his brain would hemorrhage if he had to count any
higher.

> it is up to the person who makes
> > up the fantasy to prove his claim.

Then why haven't you proven even ONE of your little fantasies?

> I say he does. If you disagree either accept the fact or prove it.

And I say you have no brain, as most would agree with your posts as
evidence, and you have the burden of proof to support any allegation that my
statement is false - which you haven't done YET...

> > How the MAJORITY of Americans can not see how ridiculous this is, is
just as baffling as how
> > the MAJORITY of Americans are not outraged that Bush is president even
though he had half a
> > million votes LESS than Gore did.
>
> Because the MAJORITY of Americans don't live in your fantasy world.

> > Absolutely amazing.
>
> You sure are.

1916. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 15:55:09 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <xJDia.37519$0X.7440998@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E89FB58.7EF4BCCF@email.com...
> > > Hey, this Simmons is the SAME ignorant, uneducated troll that claims
Israel
> > > is a "recent" nation.
> >
> > Well..the country of Israel as we know it today is fairly recent. It
was
> > established in what...the late 40s, 50s or something.

From the History of Palestine:

The only time "Palestine" was ruled by "Palestinians" or any people from the Arabian Peninsula was briefly around 635 A.D.
"The only Arab domination since the Conquest in 635 A.D. hardly lasted, as such, 22 years...," the Muslim chairman of the Syrian Delegation attested in his remarks to the Paris Peace Conference in February 1919.
"Yes, the existence of a separate Palestinian identity serves only tactical purposes. The founding of a Palestinian state is a new tool in the continuing battle against Israel... " Zuheir Muhsin, late Military Department head of the PLO and member of its Executive Council, Dutch daily Trouw, March 1977

The History and Meaning Of "Palestine" and "Palestinians"
"There is no such thing as a Palestinian Arab nation . . . Palestine is a name the Romans gave to Eretz Yisrael with the express purpose of infuriating the Jews . . . .

"The British chose to call the land they mandated Palestine, and the Arabs picked it up as their nation's supposed ancient name, though they couldn't even pronounce it correctly and turned it into Falastin a fictional entity."

-- Golda Meir quoted by Sarah Honig,
Jerusalem Post, 25 November 1995

Palestine has never existed . . . as an autonomous entity.
There is no language known as Palestinian.
There is no distinct Palestinian culture.

There has never been a land known as Palestine
governed by Palestinians.

Palestinians are Arabs, indistinguishable from Jordanians
(another recent invention), Syrians, Lebanese, Iraqis, etc.

Keep in mind that the Arabs control 99.9 percent of the Middle East lands. Israel represents one-tenth of one percent of the landmass. But that's too much for the Arabs. They want it all. And that is ultimately what the fighting in Israel is about today . . . No matter how many land concessions the Israelis make, it will never be enough.
-- from "Myths of the Middle East", Joseph Farah,
Arab-American editor and journalist,
WorldNetDaily.Com, 11 October 2000

From the end of the Jewish state in antiquity to the beginning of British rule, the area now designated by the name Palestine was not a country and had no frontiers, only administrative boundaries..
-- Professor Bernard Lewis,
Commentary Magazine, January 1975

Talk and writing about Israel and the Middle East feature the nouns "Palestine" and Palestinian", and the phrases "Palestinian territory" and even "Israeli-occupied Palestinian territory". All too often, these terms are used with regard to their historical or geographical meaning, so that the usage creates illusions rather than clarifies reality.

WHAT DOES "PALESTINE" MEAN?

It has never been the name of a nation or state. It is a geographical term, used to designate the region at those times in history when there is no nation or state there.

The word itself derives from "Peleshet", a name that appears frequently in the Bible and has come into English as "Philistine". The Philistines were mediterranean people originating from Asia Minor and Greek localities. They reached the southern coast of Israel in several waves. One group arrived in the pre-patriarchal period and settled south of Beersheba in Gerar where they came into conflict with Abraham, Isaac and Ishmael. Another group, coming from Crete after being repulsed from an attempted invasion of Egypt by Rameses III in 1194 BCE, seized the southern coastal area, where they founded five settlements (Gaza, Ascalon, Ashdod, Ekron and Gat). In the Persian and Greek periods, foreign settlers - chiefly from the Mediterranean islands - overran the Philistine districts. From the time of Herodotus, Greeks called the eastern coast of the Mediterranean "Syria Palaestina".

The Philistines were not Arabs nor even Semites, they were most closely related to the Greeks. They did not speak Arabic. They had no connection, ethnic, linguistic or historical with Arabia or Arabs. The name "Falastin" that Arabs today use for "Palestine" is not an Arabic name. It is the Arab pronunciation of the Greco-Roman "Palastina"; which is derived from the Peleshet, (root Pelesh) which was a general term meaning "dividers", "penetrators" or "invaders". This referred to the Philistine's invasion and conquest of the coast from the sea.

The use of the term "Palestinian" for an Arab ethnic group is a modern political creation which has no basis in fact - and had never had any international or academic credibility before 1967.

HOW DID THE LAND OF ISRAEL BECOME "PALESTINE"?

In the First Century CE, the Romans crushed the independent kingdom of Judea. After the failed rebellion of Bar Kokhba in the Second Century CE, the Roman Emperor Hadrian determined to wipe out the identity of Israel-Judah-Judea. Therefore, he took the name Palastina and imposed it on all the Land of Israel. At the same time, he changed the name of Jerusalem to Aelia Capitolina.

The Romans killed many Jews and sold many more in slavery. Some of those who survived still alive and free left the devastated country, but there was never a complete abandonment of the Land. There was never a time when there were not Jews and Jewish communities, though the size and conditions of those communities fluctuated greatly.

THE HISTORY OF PALESTINE

Thousands of years before the Romans invented "Palastina" the land had been known as "Canaan". The Canaanites had many tiny city-states, each one at times independent and at times a vassal of an Egyptian or Hittite king. The Canaanites never united into a state. After the Exodus from Egypt probably in the Thirteenth Century BCE but perhaps earlier -- , the Children of Israel settled in the land of Canaan. There they formed first a tribal confederation, and then the biblical kingdoms of Israel and Judah, and the post-biblical kingdom of Judea.

From the beginning of history to this day, Israel-Judah-Judea has the only united, independent, sovereign nation-state that ever existed in "Palestine" west of the Jordan River. (In biblical times, Ammon, Moab and Edom as well as Israel had land east of the Jordan, but they disappeared in antiquity and no other nation took their place until the British invented Trans-Jordan in the 1920s.)

After the Roman conquest of Judea, "Palastina" became a province of the pagan Roman Empire and then of the Christian Byzantine Empire, and very briefly of the Zoroastrian Persian Empire. In 638 CE, an Arab-Muslim Caliph took Palastina away from the Byzantine Empire and made it part of an Arab-Muslim Empire. The Arabs, who had no name of their own for this region, adopted the Greco-Roman name Palastina, that they pronounced "Falastin".

In that period, much of the mixed population of Palastina was forced to convert to Islam and adopted the Arabic language. They were subjects of a distant Caliph who ruled them from his capital, that was first in Damascus and later in Baghdad. They did not become a nation or an independent state, or develop a distinct society or culture.

In 1099, Christian Crusaders from Europe conquered Palestina-Falastin. After 1099, it was never again under Arab rule. The Christian Crusader kingdom was politically independent, but never developed a national identity. It remained a military outpost of Christian Europe, and lasted less than 100 years. Thereafter, Palestine was joined to Syria as a subject province first of the Mameluks, ethnically mixed slave-warriors whose center was in Egypt, and then of the Ottoman Turks, whose capital was in Istanbul.

During the First World War, the British took Palestine from the Ottoman Turks. At the end of the war, the Ottoman Empire collapsed and among its

subject provinces "Palestine" was assigned to the British, to govern temporarily as a mandate from the League of Nations.

THE JEWISH NATIONAL HOME

Travelers to Palestine from the Western world left records of what they saw there. The theme throughout their reports is dismal: The land was empty, neglected, abandoned, desolate, fallen into ruins.

Nothing there [Jerusalem] to be seen but a little of the old walls which is yet remaining and all the rest is grass, moss and weeds
-- English pilgrim in 1590

The country is in a considerable degree empty of inhabitants and therefore its greatest need is of a body of population"
-- British consul in 1857

There is not a solitary village throughout its whole extent [valley of Jezreel] -- not for 30 miles in either direction. . . . One may ride ten miles hereabouts and not see ten human beings.

For the sort of solitude to make one dreary, come to Galilee . . .

Nazareth is forlorn . . . Jericho lies a moldering ruin . . . Bethlehem and Bethany, in their poverty and humiliation... untenanted by any living creature . . .

A desolate country whose soil is rich enough, but is given over wholly to weeds . . a silent, mournful expanse . . . a desolation . . . . We never saw a human being on the whole route . . . . Hardly a tree or shrub anywhere. Even the olive tree and the cactus, those fast friends of a worthless soil had almost deserted the country . . . .

Palestine sits in sackcloth and ashes . . . desolate and unlovely . . .

-- Mark Twain, The Innocents Abroad, 1867

The restoration of the "desolate and unlovely" land began in the latter half of the Nineteenth Century with the first Jewish pioneers. Their labors created newer and better conditions and opportunities, which in turn attracted migrants from many parts of the Middle East, both Arabs and others.
The Balfour Declaration of 1917, confirmed by the League of Nations Mandate, commited the British Government to the principle that "His Majesty's government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a Jewish National Home, and will use their best endeavors to facilitate the achievement of this object. . . . " It was specified both that this area be open to "close Jewish settlement" and that the rights of all inhabitants already in the country be preserved and protected.

Mandate Palestine originally included all of what is now Jordan, as well as all of what is now Israel, and the territories between them. However, when Great Britain's prot?g? Emir Abdullah was forced to leave the ancestral Hashemite domain in Arabia, the British created a realm for him that

included all of Manfate Palestine east of the Jordan River. There was no traditional or historic Arab name for this land, so it was called after the river: first Trans-Jordan and later Jordan.

By this political act, that violated the conditions of the Balfour Declaration and the Mandate, the British cut more than 75 percent out of the Jewish National Home. No Jew has ever been permitted to reside in Trans-Jordan/Jordan.

Less than 25 percent then remained of Mandate Palestine, and even in this remnant, the British violated the Balfour and Mandate requirements for a "Jewish National Home" and for "close Jewish settlement". They progressively restricted where Jews could buy land, where they could live, build, farm or work.

After the Six-Day War in 1967, Israel was finally able to settle some small part of those lands from which the Jews had been debarred by the British. Successive British governments regularly condemn their settlement as "illegal". In truth, it was the British who had acted illegally in banning Jews from these parts of the Jewish National Home.

WHO IS A PALESTINIAN?

During the period of the Mandate, it was the Jewish population that was known as "Palestinians" including those who served in the British Army in World War II.

British policy was to curtail their numbers and progressively limit Jewish immigration. By 1939, the White Paper virtually put an end to admission of Jews to Palestine. This policy was imposed the most stringently at the very time this Home was most desperately needed -- after the rise of Nazi power in Europe. Jews who might have developed the empty lands of Palestine and left progeny there, instead died in the gas chambers of Europe or in the seas they were trying to cross to the Promised Land.

At the same time that the British slammed the gates on Jews, they permitted or ignored massive illegal immigration into Western Palestine from Arab countries Jordan, Syria, Egypt, North Africa. In 1939, Winston Churchill noted that "So far from being persecuted, the Arabs have crowded into the country and multiplied . . . ." Exact population statistics may be problematic, but it seems that by 1947 the number of Arabs west of the Jordan River was approximately triple of what it had been in 1900.

The current myth is that these Arabs were long established in Palestine, until the Jews came and "displaced" them. The fact is, that recent Arab immigration into Palestine "displaced" the Jews. That the massive increase in Arab population was very recent is attested by the ruling of the United Nations: That any Arab who had lived in Palestine for two years and then left in 1948 qualifies as a "Palestinian refugees".

Casual use of population statistics for Jews and Arabs in Palestine rarely consider how the proportions came to be. One factor was the British policy of keeping out Jews while bringing in Arabs. Another factor was the violence

used to kill or drive out Jews even where they had been long established.

For one example: The Jewish connection with Hebron goes back to Abraham, and there has been an Israelite/Jewish community there since Joshua long before it was King David's first capital. In 1929, Arab rioters with the passive consent of the British -- killed or drove out virtually the entire Jewish community.

For another example: In 1948, Trans-Jordan seized much of Judea and Samaria (which they called The West Bank) and East Jerusalem and the Old City. They killed or drove out every Jew.

It is now often proposed as a principle of international law and morality that all places that the British and the Arabs rendered Judenrein must forever remain so. In contrast, Israel eventually allotted 17 percent of Mandate Palestine has a large and growing population of Arab citizens.

FROM PALESTINE TO ISRAEL

What was to become of "Palestine" after the Mandate? This question was taken up by various British and international commissions and other bodies, culminating with the United Nations in 1947. During the various deliberations, Arab officials, spokesmen and writers expressed their views on "Palestine".

"There is no such country as Palestine. 'Palestine' is a term the Zionists invented. . . . Our country was for centuries part of Syria. 'Palestine' is alien to us. It is the Zionists who introduced it."

-- Local Arab leader to British Peel Commission, 1937

"There is no such thing as Palestine in history, absolutely not"

-- Professor Philip Hitti, Arab historian to
Anglo-American Committee of Inquiry, 1946

"It is common knowledge that Palestine is nothing but southern Syria."
-- Delegate of Saudi Arabia to the
United Nations Security Council, 1956,

By 1948, the Arabs had still not yet discovered their ancient nation of Falastin. When they were offered half of Palestine west of the Jordan River for a state, the offer was violently rejected. Six Arab states launched a war of annihilation against the nascent State of Israel. Their purpose was not to establish an independent Falastin. Their aim was to partition western Palestine amongst themselves.

They did not succeed in killing Israel, but Trans-Jordan succeeded in taking Judea and Samaria (West Bank) and East Jerusalem, killing or driving out all the Jews who had lived in those places, and banning Jews of all nations from Jewish holy places. Egypt succeeded in taking the Gaza Strip. These two Arab states held these lands until 1967. Then they launched another war of annihilation against Israel, and in consequence lost the lands they had taken by war in 1948.

During those 19 years, 1948-1967, Jordan and Egypt never offered to surrendar those lands to make up an independent state of Falastin. The "Palestinians" never sought it. Nobody in the world ever suggested it, much less demanded it.

Finally, in 1964, the Palestine Liberation Movement was founded, with a charter that proclaimed its sole purpose to be the destruction of Israel. To that end it helped to precipitate the Arab attack on Israel in 1967.

The outcome of that attack then inspired an alteration in public rhetoric. As propaganda, it sounds better to speak of the liberation of Falastin than of the destruction of Israel. Much of the world, governments and media and public opinion, accept virtually without question of serious analysis the new-sprung myth of an Arab nation of Falastin, whose territory is unlawfully occupied by the Jews.

Since the end of World War I, the Arabs of the Middle East and North Africa have been given independent states in 99.5 percent of the land they claimed. Lord Balfour once expressed his hope that when the Arabs had been given so much, they would "not begrudge" the Jews the "little notch" promised to them.

[Some of the material cited above is drawn from the book From Time Immemorial by Joan Peters.].

Source: This essay is from the 15 February issue of "Time to Speak", except the paragraphs from "Is Jordan Palerstine...1967" was contributed later to EretzYisroel.Org
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
How many times do you need to read it before you understand - if you are even CAPABLE of understanding ANYTHING???
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1917. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 15:55:30 UTC
From: r lee <me@you.edu>
Message-ID: <b6f15lkbc1@iruka.swcp.com>
Source record: 33683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The pope is of satan? Thanks for unmasking yourself.

N7MFR wrote in message ...
>Indeed. They do not resemble Jesus because they are of their father Satan.
>
>
>"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
>news:3E8A0C4D.5A0E68F0@email.com...
>> Jesus:
>>
>> "Why didn't the Pope go to Iraq and be a human shield?

1918. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:03:08 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <0RDia.37522$0X.7446706@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"r lee" <me@you.edu> wrote in message news:b6f15lkbc1@iruka.swcp.com...
> The pope is of satan? Thanks for unmasking yourself.
>
> N7MFR wrote in message ...
> >Indeed. They do not resemble Jesus because they are of their father
Satan.

Sure. So was the founder of that church, Peter. Don't you read the Bible?
:-)

> >"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> >news:3E8A0C4D.5A0E68F0@email.com...
> >> Jesus:
> >>
> >> "Why didn't the Pope go to Iraq and be a human shield?
>

1919. RADIOS - RADIOS - RADIOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:05:03 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3E8B0A2F.B1B5AC4D@USA.net>
Source record: 33686

I was under the impression that this group was about "RADIOS".

Was I wrong?

There are groups that are set up for other items of discussion.

Now back to "RADIOS".

1920. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:06:12 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <UTDia.37523$0X.7448886@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33685
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Rich Wood" <richbwood@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:thkj8vorpr4o5lgbkmkjjkocgjp9uic574@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 31 Mar 2003 23:17:22 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> >news:zZ3ia.20149$0X.5385609@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> >> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> >> news:aI3ia.1665$yD7.149771530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> > Please cite some evidence other than your fertile imagination that
the
> >US
> >> > jams any radio broadcasts.
> >>
> >> Hey, this Simmons is the SAME ignorant, uneducated troll that claims
> >Israel
> >> is a "recent" nation.
> >
> >Moses was a "recent" leader, too?
>
> Yes. Robert Moses. He was responsible for much of New York City's
> public construction
>
> Rich

Cool - did he part the Hudson River?

1921. Re: Attention Commies: This is Rec.Radio.Scanner...Not "Im Still Pissed Cuz The Republicans Won"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:06:41 UTC
From: Shut 'Em Down <debunk@myth.com>
Message-ID: <kUDia.783$qB.703@fed1read04>
Source record: 33687

I just wish we'd get on with it and blow up more stuff. I'm down to my last bag of microwave popcorn.

-SMD
"Tra+cey" <tracey12_12@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3E8A9343.49294924@yahoo.com...
> Get it? Stop with your political BS and lets talk scanners.
>
> If you want to rave on about how you hate Bush, and how you still have a
> picture of Hillary over your bed,
> fine. Just do it somewhere else so we wont have to stumble over your
> junk in this group.
>
> Free speech is great. If you don't like what I just said, too bad.
>
>
>

1922. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:07:11 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <PUDia.37524$0X.7449727@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33688
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Rich Wood" <richbwood@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1njj8v4uc1m042kjvl8o5l8pu1dodg9hac@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 31 Mar 2003 23:14:39 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:
>
> >"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> >news:aI3ia.1665$yD7.149771530@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> >> Please cite some evidence other than your fertile imagination that the
US
> >> jams any radio broadcasts.
> >
> >Hey, this Simmons is the SAME ignorant, uneducated troll that claims
Israel
> >is a "recent" nation.
>
> Don't get upset with the guy. What he means is that, since the dawn of
> time, Israel is recent. Of course, in that frame of reference, so is
> homo sapiens (no, John, that doesn't refer to gay people)

Good thing you clarified that, lest he get offended...

> The US is a "recent" nation, also, when you compare it to China, the
> rest of Asia, Europe and most of the Middle East..
>
> Rich

1923. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:08:42 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <eWDia.37525$0X.7450503@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33689
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed from his anus...

> Israel was established on My 14th, 1948
> http://www.mfa.gov.il/mfa/go.asp?MFAH00hb0
>
> Some of you need to research your history!

<sigh>

You truly are hopeless. CAN'T you READ???

From the History of Palestine:

The only time "Palestine" was ruled by "Palestinians" or any people from the Arabian Peninsula was briefly around 635 A.D.
"The only Arab domination since the Conquest in 635 A.D. hardly lasted, as such, 22 years...," the Muslim chairman of the Syrian Delegation attested in his remarks to the Paris Peace Conference in February 1919.
"Yes, the existence of a separate Palestinian identity serves only tactical purposes. The founding of a Palestinian state is a new tool in the continuing battle against Israel... " Zuheir Muhsin, late Military Department head of the PLO and member of its Executive Council, Dutch daily Trouw, March 1977

The History and Meaning Of "Palestine" and "Palestinians"
"There is no such thing as a Palestinian Arab nation . . . Palestine is a name the Romans gave to Eretz Yisrael with the express purpose of infuriating the Jews . . . .

"The British chose to call the land they mandated Palestine, and the Arabs picked it up as their nation's supposed ancient name, though they couldn't even pronounce it correctly and turned it into Falastin a fictional entity."

-- Golda Meir quoted by Sarah Honig,
Jerusalem Post, 25 November 1995

Palestine has never existed . . . as an autonomous entity.
There is no language known as Palestinian.
There is no distinct Palestinian culture.

There has never been a land known as Palestine
governed by Palestinians.

Palestinians are Arabs, indistinguishable from Jordanians
(another recent invention), Syrians, Lebanese, Iraqis, etc.

Keep in mind that the Arabs control 99.9 percent of the Middle East lands. Israel represents one-tenth of one percent of the landmass. But that's too much for the Arabs. They want it all. And that is ultimately what the fighting in Israel is about today . . . No matter how many land concessions the Israelis make, it will never be enough.
-- from "Myths of the Middle East", Joseph Farah,
Arab-American editor and journalist,
WorldNetDaily.Com, 11 October 2000

From the end of the Jewish state in antiquity to the beginning of British rule, the area now designated by the name Palestine was not a country and had no frontiers, only administrative boundaries..
-- Professor Bernard Lewis,
Commentary Magazine, January 1975

Talk and writing about Israel and the Middle East feature the nouns "Palestine" and Palestinian", and the phrases "Palestinian territory" and even "Israeli-occupied Palestinian territory". All too often, these terms are used with regard to their historical or geographical meaning, so that the usage creates illusions rather than clarifies reality.

WHAT DOES "PALESTINE" MEAN?

It has never been the name of a nation or state. It is a geographical term, used to designate the region at those times in history when there is no nation or state there.

The word itself derives from "Peleshet", a name that appears frequently in the Bible and has come into English as "Philistine". The Philistines were mediterranean people originating from Asia Minor and Greek localities. They reached the southern coast of Israel in several waves. One group arrived in the pre-patriarchal period and settled south of Beersheba in Gerar where they came into conflict with Abraham, Isaac and Ishmael. Another group, coming from Crete after being repulsed from an attempted invasion of Egypt by Rameses III in 1194 BCE, seized the southern coastal area, where they founded five settlements (Gaza, Ascalon, Ashdod, Ekron and Gat). In the Persian and Greek periods, foreign settlers - chiefly from the Mediterranean islands - overran the Philistine districts. From the time of Herodotus, Greeks called the eastern coast of the Mediterranean "Syria Palaestina".

The Philistines were not Arabs nor even Semites, they were most closely related to the Greeks. They did not speak Arabic. They had no connection, ethnic, linguistic or historical with Arabia or Arabs. The name "Falastin" that Arabs today use for "Palestine" is not an Arabic name. It is the Arab pronunciation of the Greco-Roman "Palastina"; which is derived from the Peleshet, (root Pelesh) which was a general term meaning "dividers", "penetrators" or "invaders". This referred to the Philistine's invasion and conquest of the coast from the sea.

The use of the term "Palestinian" for an Arab ethnic group is a modern political creation which has no basis in fact - and had never had any international or academic credibility before 1967.

HOW DID THE LAND OF ISRAEL BECOME "PALESTINE"?

In the First Century CE, the Romans crushed the independent kingdom of Judea. After the failed rebellion of Bar Kokhba in the Second Century CE, the Roman Emperor Hadrian determined to wipe out the identity of Israel-Judah-Judea. Therefore, he took the name Palastina and imposed it on all the Land of Israel. At the same time, he changed the name of Jerusalem to Aelia Capitolina.

The Romans killed many Jews and sold many more in slavery. Some of those who survived still alive and free left the devastated country, but there was never a complete abandonment of the Land. There was never a time when there were not Jews and Jewish communities, though the size and conditions of those communities fluctuated greatly.

THE HISTORY OF PALESTINE

Thousands of years before the Romans invented "Palastina" the land had been known as "Canaan". The Canaanites had many tiny city-states, each one at times independent and at times a vassal of an Egyptian or Hittite king. The Canaanites never united into a state. After the Exodus from Egypt probably in the Thirteenth Century BCE but perhaps earlier -- , the Children of Israel settled in the land of Canaan. There they formed first a tribal confederation, and then the biblical kingdoms of Israel and Judah, and the post-biblical kingdom of Judea.

From the beginning of history to this day, Israel-Judah-Judea has the only united, independent, sovereign nation-state that ever existed in "Palestine" west of the Jordan River. (In biblical times, Ammon, Moab and Edom as well as Israel had land east of the Jordan, but they disappeared in antiquity and no other nation took their place until the British invented Trans-Jordan in the 1920s.)

After the Roman conquest of Judea, "Palastina" became a province of the pagan Roman Empire and then of the Christian Byzantine Empire, and very briefly of the Zoroastrian Persian Empire. In 638 CE, an Arab-Muslim Caliph took Palastina away from the Byzantine Empire and made it part of an Arab-Muslim Empire. The Arabs, who had no name of their own for this region, adopted the Greco-Roman name Palastina, that they pronounced "Falastin".

In that period, much of the mixed population of Palastina was forced to convert to Islam and adopted the Arabic language. They were subjects of a distant Caliph who ruled them from his capital, that was first in Damascus and later in Baghdad. They did not become a nation or an independent state, or develop a distinct society or culture.

In 1099, Christian Crusaders from Europe conquered Palestina-Falastin. After 1099, it was never again under Arab rule. The Christian Crusader kingdom was politically independent, but never developed a national identity. It remained a military outpost of Christian Europe, and lasted less than 100 years. Thereafter, Palestine was joined to Syria as a subject province first of the Mameluks, ethnically mixed slave-warriors whose center was in Egypt, and then of the Ottoman Turks, whose capital was in Istanbul.

During the First World War, the British took Palestine from the Ottoman Turks. At the end of the war, the Ottoman Empire collapsed and among its

subject provinces "Palestine" was assigned to the British, to govern temporarily as a mandate from the League of Nations.

THE JEWISH NATIONAL HOME

Travelers to Palestine from the Western world left records of what they saw there. The theme throughout their reports is dismal: The land was empty, neglected, abandoned, desolate, fallen into ruins.

Nothing there [Jerusalem] to be seen but a little of the old walls which is yet remaining and all the rest is grass, moss and weeds
-- English pilgrim in 1590

The country is in a considerable degree empty of inhabitants and therefore its greatest need is of a body of population"
-- British consul in 1857

There is not a solitary village throughout its whole extent [valley of Jezreel] -- not for 30 miles in either direction. . . . One may ride ten miles hereabouts and not see ten human beings.

For the sort of solitude to make one dreary, come to Galilee . . .

Nazareth is forlorn . . . Jericho lies a moldering ruin . . . Bethlehem and Bethany, in their poverty and humiliation... untenanted by any living creature . . .

A desolate country whose soil is rich enough, but is given over wholly to weeds . . a silent, mournful expanse . . . a desolation . . . . We never saw a human being on the whole route . . . . Hardly a tree or shrub anywhere. Even the olive tree and the cactus, those fast friends of a worthless soil had almost deserted the country . . . .

Palestine sits in sackcloth and ashes . . . desolate and unlovely . . .

-- Mark Twain, The Innocents Abroad, 1867

The restoration of the "desolate and unlovely" land began in the latter half of the Nineteenth Century with the first Jewish pioneers. Their labors created newer and better conditions and opportunities, which in turn attracted migrants from many parts of the Middle East, both Arabs and others.
The Balfour Declaration of 1917, confirmed by the League of Nations Mandate, commited the British Government to the principle that "His Majesty's government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a Jewish National Home, and will use their best endeavors to facilitate the achievement of this object. . . . " It was specified both that this area be open to "close Jewish settlement" and that the rights of all inhabitants already in the country be preserved and protected.

Mandate Palestine originally included all of what is now Jordan, as well as all of what is now Israel, and the territories between them. However, when Great Britain's prot?g? Emir Abdullah was forced to leave the ancestral Hashemite domain in Arabia, the British created a realm for him that

included all of Manfate Palestine east of the Jordan River. There was no traditional or historic Arab name for this land, so it was called after the river: first Trans-Jordan and later Jordan.

By this political act, that violated the conditions of the Balfour Declaration and the Mandate, the British cut more than 75 percent out of the Jewish National Home. No Jew has ever been permitted to reside in Trans-Jordan/Jordan.

Less than 25 percent then remained of Mandate Palestine, and even in this remnant, the British violated the Balfour and Mandate requirements for a "Jewish National Home" and for "close Jewish settlement". They progressively restricted where Jews could buy land, where they could live, build, farm or work.

After the Six-Day War in 1967, Israel was finally able to settle some small part of those lands from which the Jews had been debarred by the British. Successive British governments regularly condemn their settlement as "illegal". In truth, it was the British who had acted illegally in banning Jews from these parts of the Jewish National Home.

WHO IS A PALESTINIAN?

During the period of the Mandate, it was the Jewish population that was known as "Palestinians" including those who served in the British Army in World War II.

British policy was to curtail their numbers and progressively limit Jewish immigration. By 1939, the White Paper virtually put an end to admission of Jews to Palestine. This policy was imposed the most stringently at the very time this Home was most desperately needed -- after the rise of Nazi power in Europe. Jews who might have developed the empty lands of Palestine and left progeny there, instead died in the gas chambers of Europe or in the seas they were trying to cross to the Promised Land.

At the same time that the British slammed the gates on Jews, they permitted or ignored massive illegal immigration into Western Palestine from Arab countries Jordan, Syria, Egypt, North Africa. In 1939, Winston Churchill noted that "So far from being persecuted, the Arabs have crowded into the country and multiplied . . . ." Exact population statistics may be problematic, but it seems that by 1947 the number of Arabs west of the Jordan River was approximately triple of what it had been in 1900.

The current myth is that these Arabs were long established in Palestine, until the Jews came and "displaced" them. The fact is, that recent Arab immigration into Palestine "displaced" the Jews. That the massive increase in Arab population was very recent is attested by the ruling of the United Nations: That any Arab who had lived in Palestine for two years and then left in 1948 qualifies as a "Palestinian refugees".

Casual use of population statistics for Jews and Arabs in Palestine rarely consider how the proportions came to be. One factor was the British policy of keeping out Jews while bringing in Arabs. Another factor was the violence

used to kill or drive out Jews even where they had been long established.

For one example: The Jewish connection with Hebron goes back to Abraham, and there has been an Israelite/Jewish community there since Joshua long before it was King David's first capital. In 1929, Arab rioters with the passive consent of the British -- killed or drove out virtually the entire Jewish community.

For another example: In 1948, Trans-Jordan seized much of Judea and Samaria (which they called The West Bank) and East Jerusalem and the Old City. They killed or drove out every Jew.

It is now often proposed as a principle of international law and morality that all places that the British and the Arabs rendered Judenrein must forever remain so. In contrast, Israel eventually allotted 17 percent of Mandate Palestine has a large and growing population of Arab citizens.

FROM PALESTINE TO ISRAEL

What was to become of "Palestine" after the Mandate? This question was taken up by various British and international commissions and other bodies, culminating with the United Nations in 1947. During the various deliberations, Arab officials, spokesmen and writers expressed their views on "Palestine".

"There is no such country as Palestine. 'Palestine' is a term the Zionists invented. . . . Our country was for centuries part of Syria. 'Palestine' is alien to us. It is the Zionists who introduced it."

-- Local Arab leader to British Peel Commission, 1937

"There is no such thing as Palestine in history, absolutely not"

-- Professor Philip Hitti, Arab historian to
Anglo-American Committee of Inquiry, 1946

"It is common knowledge that Palestine is nothing but southern Syria."
-- Delegate of Saudi Arabia to the
United Nations Security Council, 1956,

By 1948, the Arabs had still not yet discovered their ancient nation of Falastin. When they were offered half of Palestine west of the Jordan River for a state, the offer was violently rejected. Six Arab states launched a war of annihilation against the nascent State of Israel. Their purpose was not to establish an independent Falastin. Their aim was to partition western Palestine amongst themselves.

They did not succeed in killing Israel, but Trans-Jordan succeeded in taking Judea and Samaria (West Bank) and East Jerusalem, killing or driving out all the Jews who had lived in those places, and banning Jews of all nations from Jewish holy places. Egypt succeeded in taking the Gaza Strip. These two Arab states held these lands until 1967. Then they launched another war of annihilation against Israel, and in consequence lost the lands they had taken by war in 1948.

During those 19 years, 1948-1967, Jordan and Egypt never offered to surrendar those lands to make up an independent state of Falastin. The "Palestinians" never sought it. Nobody in the world ever suggested it, much less demanded it.

Finally, in 1964, the Palestine Liberation Movement was founded, with a charter that proclaimed its sole purpose to be the destruction of Israel. To that end it helped to precipitate the Arab attack on Israel in 1967.

The outcome of that attack then inspired an alteration in public rhetoric. As propaganda, it sounds better to speak of the liberation of Falastin than of the destruction of Israel. Much of the world, governments and media and public opinion, accept virtually without question of serious analysis the new-sprung myth of an Arab nation of Falastin, whose territory is unlawfully occupied by the Jews.

Since the end of World War I, the Arabs of the Middle East and North Africa have been given independent states in 99.5 percent of the land they claimed. Lord Balfour once expressed his hope that when the Arabs had been given so much, they would "not begrudge" the Jews the "little notch" promised to them.

[Some of the material cited above is drawn from the book From Time Immemorial by Joan Peters.].

Source: This essay is from the 15 February issue of "Time to Speak", except the paragraphs from "Is Jordan Palerstine...1967" was contributed later to EretzYisroel.Org
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1924. Re: RADIOS - RADIOS - RADIOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:09:40 UTC
From: Shut 'Em Down <debunk@myth.com>
Message-ID: <7XDia.787$qB.282@fed1read04>
Source record: 33690

I turned on my radio and heard that we are at war. Needless to say, I was shocked.

-SMD
<Pappy@USA.net> wrote in message news:3E8B0A2F.B1B5AC4D@USA.net...
> I was under the impression that this group was about "RADIOS".
>
> Was I wrong?
>
> There are groups that are set up for other items of discussion.
>
> Now back to "RADIOS".
>

1925. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:10:30 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <WXDia.37527$0X.7452212@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33691
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:r5mia.1395$hI5.403@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...

> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed from his anus...

<flushed>

> I guess my Bible is wrong, then.

Sure it is - just ask johnny or Saddam!!!

1926. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:12:06 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <qZDia.37528$0X.7453510@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33692
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Sven Franklyn Weil" <sven@gordsven.com> wrote in message
news:slrnb8jtqv.in3.sven@gordsven.com...
> In article <r5mia.1395$hI5.403@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>, David Eduardo
wrote:
> > I guess my Bible is wrong, then.
>
> David,
>
> I said this before on here. The political construct known as the state of
> Israel (AS WE KNOW IT) is modern. It was carved out by the British in the
> mid 20th century..
>
> The identity and the ethnic group that claim that this piece of land was
> "given by God" to Abraham have been around for hundreds (if not thousands)
> of years.
>
> Likewise, there never really was a "Palestine," politically speaking.
>
> These were all independent tribes.

Israel DID exist, and HAS existed, in one form or another, for CENTURIES,
whereas Palestine NEVER really existed.

1927. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:15:26 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <y0Eia.37529$0X.7456155@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33693
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Rich Wood" <richbwood@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:usij8vk4f8f1n98eg55r9025cfalp2vbed@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 31 Mar 2003 22:56:06 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> >news:3E88C43D.7CB77A26@email.com...
> >> > The US government does not jam the external radio broadcasts of any
> >country.
> >>
> >> Oh yes they do. The distinctive beep-beep-beep is nothing else but a
> >jamming signal, and sources of these signals have been located to US
military
> >sites by such magazines as Monitoring Times and Popular Communications.
> >Sometimes they even use thier own VOA on different frequencies to jam
other stations from
> >being heard.
> >
> > Monitoring TImes and Popular Communications are pretty widely rejected
by
> >the experienced SW DX community, like Cumbre DX. They are hotbeds of
> >conspiracy theories and clandestine radio opinions. The best one was the
> >idea that Radio Swan/Radio Americas was not on Swan Island, but somewhere
> >else. Which was funny, since I personally saw the transmitter on Isla de
> >Cisne.
>
> This is strange jamming. Normally a jamming signal is a square wave
> that generates plenty of noise. Why do I think the "beep, beep, beep"
> is WWV's time signals this guy is mistaking for jamming?
>
> Rich

NO, NO - it's the jamming signal, with a sub-sonic carrier wave carrying
subliminal messages that is forcing people all over the WORLD to listen to
anybody but Saddam Hussein!!!

1928. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:17:30 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <u2Eia.37530$0X.7456926@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33694
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E89E324.58C79A54@email.com...
> > This is strange jamming. Normally a jamming signal is a square wave
> > that generates plenty of noise. Why do I think the "beep, beep, beep"
> > is WWV's time signals this guy is mistaking for jamming?
>
> Because it was not on WWV's frequencies for one, plus I know what those
sound like, plus they
> don't just occur during anti-American newscasts, then go away (along with
the strong carrier)
> when the anti-American newscast is over. Many others reported this as
well, I was not the
> only one to hear it.

Really? Name one of these "many others"?

> Is it REALLY that hard to believe that this could actually happen? We
are not talking about
> people with wings (angels) flying into the sky, or people rising from the
dead or walking on
> water here. Of course many people don't have any trouble believe stuff
like that, only that
> any shortwave broadcast could EVER possibly have been jammed. That is
completely impossible to
> some people. What a society.

johnny, it's people like you that think all of their conspiracy theories are
based on any kind of "truth" that really amazes society.

1929. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:21:27 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <b6Eia.37532$0X.7460667@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33695
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v8jr52otenvsc9@corp.supernews.com...
> What is amazing, is that a google search for the entities you quoted found
> nothing. Another search of Jamming had numerous hits, not a single one
> relating to the US jamming anyone.

Perhaps he was confused, and looked at data regarding jamming things up his
anus...

> Can you provide definitive jamming information? I think you are totally
> incorrect, but am open to any definitive proof. Popcomm and others are not
a
> definitive source as they publish the anecdotal evidence presented to them
> by people that make wrong assumptions.

What, you mean to tell me you don't get UFO magazine? ;-)

> A search of jamming equipment in the US arsenal shows RADAR and missile
> jamming equipment, but I was unable to locate any short-wave jamming
> equipment.

I beg to differ - SOMETHING must be jamming the SHORT WAVE that passes for
his brain activity...

> Anyone familiar with the art will explain the JS/JT ratios involved and
the
> antenna requirements to be successful.
>
> Personally, I feel that this is more of the bovine excrement you are so
fond
> of propagating.

How DARE you dispute his FACTS???
The nerve...

> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E89E324.58C79A54@email.com...
> > > This is strange jamming. Normally a jamming signal is a square wave
> > > that generates plenty of noise. Why do I think the "beep, beep, beep"
> > > is WWV's time signals this guy is mistaking for jamming?
> >
> > Because it was not on WWV's frequencies for one, plus I know what those
> > sound like, plus they
> > > don't just occur during anti-American newscasts, then go away (along
with
> > the strong carrier)

> > when the anti-American newscast is over. Many others reported this as
> well, I was not the
> > only one to hear it.
> >
> > Is it REALLY that hard to believe that this could actually happen? We
> are not talking about
> > people with wings (angels) flying into the sky, or people rising from
the
> dead or walking on
> > water here. Of course many people don't have any trouble believe stuff
> like that, only that
> > any shortwave broadcast could EVER possibly have been jammed. That is
> completely impossible to
> > some people. What a society.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1930. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:24:37 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <99Eia.37534$0X.7463172@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33696
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E89E19E.4040104@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
> > What is amazing, is that a google search for the entities you quoted
found
> > nothing. Another search of Jamming had numerous hits, not a single one
> > relating to the US jamming anyone.
> >
> > Can you provide definitive jamming information? I think you are totally
> > incorrect, but am open to any definitive proof. Popcomm and others are
not a
> > definitive source as they publish the anecdotal evidence presented to
them
> > by people that make wrong assumptions.
>
> But the misinformation presented by Popcomm agrees with what he
> thinks, so therefore it must be correct.

I don't know - I have many back issues of Popcomm, and don't recall ANYTHING
in there that shows any "proof" of the US jamming any signals - perhaps he
would care to enlighten us with a link to an article that shows this? I mean
a FACTUAL article, with scientific facts, not the babblings of another
johnny...?
I mean, if he's going to smear a magazine, he should have proof to back up
his claims, no?

1931. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:25:12 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <I9Eia.37535$0X.7463272@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33697
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:b6cqu3$3fv$1@news1.kornet.net...
> My question is...
>
> If this ONLY happens during the anti-American broadcasts, then how would
the
> jammer know when to stop?

The guys in the black helicopters are standing by the switch...

> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v8jr52otenvsc9@corp.supernews.com...
> > What is amazing, is that a google search for the entities you quoted
found
> > nothing. Another search of Jamming had numerous hits, not a single one
> > relating to the US jamming anyone.
> >
> > Can you provide definitive jamming information? I think you are totally
> > incorrect, but am open to any definitive proof. Popcomm and others are
not
> a
> > definitive source as they publish the anecdotal evidence presented to
them
> > by people that make wrong assumptions.
> >
> > A search of jamming equipment in the US arsenal shows RADAR and missile
> > jamming equipment, but I was unable to locate any short-wave jamming
> > equipment.
> >
> > Anyone familiar with the art will explain the JS/JT ratios involved and
> the
> > antenna requirements to be successful.
> >
> > Personally, I feel that this is more of the bovine excrement you are so
> fond
> > of propagating.
> >
> > "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E89E324.58C79A54@email.com...
> > > > This is strange jamming. Normally a jamming signal is a square wave
> > > > that generates plenty of noise. Why do I think the "beep, beep,
beep"
> > > > is WWV's time signals this guy is mistaking for jamming?
> > >

> > > Because it was not on WWV's frequencies for one, plus I know what
those
> > sound like, plus they
> > > don't just occur during anti-American newscasts, then go away (along
> with
> > the strong carrier)
> > > when the anti-American newscast is over. Many others reported this
as
> > well, I was not the
> > > only one to hear it.
> > >
> > > Is it REALLY that hard to believe that this could actually happen?
We
> > are not talking about
> > > people with wings (angels) flying into the sky, or people rising from
> the
> > dead or walking on
> > > water here. Of course many people don't have any trouble believe
stuff
> > like that, only that
> > > any shortwave broadcast could EVER possibly have been jammed. That is
> > completely impossible to
> > > some people. What a society.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1932. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:26:31 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <XaEia.37536$0X.7464578@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33698
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:qVmia.1550$v%5.781@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:b6cqu3$3fv$1@news1.kornet.net...
> > My question is...
> >
> > If this ONLY happens during the anti-American broadcasts, then how would
> the
> > jammer know when to stop?
>
> Awww. Could you avoid the use of logic in your posts?
>
> Good question.

What does logic have to do with it? What do FACTS have to do with anything?
This is johnny's little fantasy world we're talking about here...

1933. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:28:58 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <edEia.37537$0X.7465987@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33699
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Rich Wood" <richbwood@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3n7k8vs1nq9tp5h26ibsnonilqfp6hvlfq@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 01 Apr 2003 20:47:18 GMT, "David Eduardo"
> <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> >> If this ONLY happens during the anti-American broadcasts, then how
would
> >the jammer know when to stop?
> >
> >Awww. Could you avoid the use of logic in your posts?
> >
> >Good question.
>
> Easy answer. He'd read Popular Communications and Monitoring Times.
>
> Rich

Now, stop that - just because he names those mags is no reason to smear
them. Sure, lots of stuff in there is conjecture, but they DO have SOME
useful stuff, and they're great magazines for kids just getting into the
hobby. I don't think anybody is going to start hating chocolate because
johhny uses THAT in one of his arguments (just wait)...

1934. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:55:54 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <uCEia.37550$0X.7484439@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33700
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"gaffo" <gaffo@usenet.net> spewed from his anus...

> Don't get all self-rightious on me Davo. With more folks like me and less
> like you in Germany in 1933, the whole rise of Nazism would have been
> stopped in its infancy.

> You just keep looking "out there" until it is too late here - like a good
> little Reichbot.

> Zig Heil!

<sigh>

Letters to the Editor
- Arlington, VA

During the past several months in the American press, the Democrats have frequently denounced the Republicans as Nazis due to their attempts to control runaway federal spending. How very ironic. I remember the Nazis. Let me share a little about them and recall some of their exploits.

First of all, "Nazi" was gutter slang for the verb "to nationalize". The Bider-Mienhoff gang gave themselves this moniker during their early struggles. The official title of the Nazi Party was "The National Socialist Workers Party of Germany". Hitler and the Brownshirts advocated the nationalization of education, health care, transportation, national resources, manufacturing, distribution and law enforcement.

Hitler came to power by turning the working class, unemployed, and academic elite against the conservative republic. After Der Fuhrer's election ceased being a political conspiracy and was transformed into a fashionable social phenomenon, party membership was especially popular with educators, bureaucrats, and the press.

Being a Nazi was "politically correct". They called themselves "The Children of the New Age of World Order" and looked down their noses at everyone else. As Hitler acquired more power, he referred to his critics as "The Dark Forces of Anarchy and Hatred". Anyone who questioned Nazi high-handedness in the German press was branded a "Conservative Reactionary". Joseph Goebbels, minister of communications, proclaimed a "New World Order".

The Nazi reign of terror began with false news reports on the Jews, Bohemians and Gypses who were said to be arming themselves to

overthrow the "New World Order" and Hitler demanded that all good people register their guns so that they wouldn't fall into the hands of "terrorists and madmen".Right-wing fanatics of the "Old Order" who protested firearms registration were arrested by the S.S. and put in jail for "fomenting hatred against the Government of the German people".

Then the Reichstag (government building) was blown up and Hitler ram-rodded an "Emergency Anti-Terrorist Act" through Parliament that gave the Gestapo extraordinary powers. The leader then declared that for the well-being of the German people, all private firearms were to be confiscated by the Gestapo and the Wermotten (federal law enforcement and military). German citizens who refused to surrender their guns when the "jack-boots" (Gestapo) came calling, were murdered in their homes. By the way, the Gestapo were the federal marshals' service of the Third Reich. The S.W.A.T. team was invented and perfected by the Gestapo to break into the homes of the enemies of the German people.

When the Policia Bewakken, or local police, refused to take away guns from townsfolk, they themselves were disarmed and dragged out into the street and shot to death by the S.A. and the S.S. Those were Nazi versions of the B.A.T.F. and the F.B.I. When several local ministers spoke out against these atrocities, they were imprisoned and never seen again.

The Gestapo began to confiscate and seize the homes, businesses, bank accounts, and personal belongings of wealthy conservative citizens who had prospered in the old Republic. Pamphleteers who urged revolt against the Nazis were shot on site by national law enforcement and the military. Gypsies and Jews were detained and sent to labor camps. Mountain roads throughout central Europe were closed to prevent the escape of fugitives into the wilderness, and to prevent the movement and concealment of partisan resistance fighters.

Public schools rewrote history and Hitler youth groups taught the children to report their parents to their teachers for anti-Nazi remarks. Such parents disappeared. Pagan animism became the state religion of the Third Reich and Christians were widely condemned as "right wing fanatics".

Millions of books were burned first and then people. Millions of them burned in huge ovens after they were first gassed to death. Unmarried women were paid large sums of money to have babies out of wedlock and then given medals for it. Evil was declared as being good, and good was condemned as being evil. World Order was coming and the German people were going to be the "peacekeepers".

Yes, indeed, I remember the Nazis and they weren't Republicans, or "right wing", or "patriots" or "militias". They were Socialist monsters.

-- Thomas Colton Ruthford
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Buford Furrow's bloody rampage is over, and now begins the predictable propaganda campaign to score political points. With egregious sleight of hand, the American "right wing" will be demonized. No doubt, the media will get around to blaming talk radio and the Internet.

Notice that some events precipitate wide-ranging discussions on political philosophy, while others do not. After a series of school-yard killings in which the murderers were linked to violent Satanic cults, and even after it was shown that the deranged kids set out to kill students who believe in God, there was no hunt for atheistic "left-wing extremists." That would have been an unseemly attempt to use tragedy to advance a partisan agenda.

But Furrow, a deranged criminal, gives the media an opportunity to do what they love best: attack those who oppose the ever-increasing government control of our lives. Furrow, then, is being called "right wing" because he was associated with Neo-Nazi groups that imagine themselves as successors to Hitler. Their acts of violence are designed to set off a domestic war that would lead to the establishment of a New Order run by them.

But what, in Heaven's name, does any of this have to do with "right-wing" theory? By "right wing," the media can mean one of these killer Nazi thugs, or they can mean someone who believes in private property, free enterprise, and bourgeois social norms. The blurring of the difference -- they are really polar opposites -- is wildly dishonest but obviously purposeful.

Of course, the media are free to define terms however they like, but the fact is that the ideological origins of Nazism are with the left. The term Nazi itself is short for the National Socialist German Workers Party. Nazism was fashioned as a totalitarian nationalist alternative to the totalitarian international socialism of the Lenin model. But national or international, the relevant word is socialist, which should be the first tip-off to Nazism's leftist origins.

It was no accident that the Nazi flag was a red banner; it was taken from the flag of socialism. As Erik von Kuehnelt-Leddihn showed in his book, "Leftism" (1974 and 1990), Hitler and all his top lieutenants were hard-core socialists who hated everything about the old Europe, including small states, the monarchs, the Church, the landed aristocracy, peace, and the free economy of the 19th century. They imagined themselves running a centralized, protectionist, and statist Germany under the executive-branch "leadership principle." They talked constantly of a proletarian revolution that would destroy the bourgeois class.

Furthermore, as Robert Proctor showed in "Racial Hygiene: Medicine Under the Nazis" (1988), the Nazis were health fanatics who banned cigarette smoking, promoted vegetarianism and organic gardening, engaged in abortion and euthanasia, frowned on all capitalist excess,
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

and even promoted animal rights. They were environmentalists who locked up land from development to promote paganism.

The Nazi government introduced socialized medicine and government-mandated vacations at government spas, imposed handgun control, and expanded unemployment "insurance" and Social Security. The Nazis opposed the traditional calendar and wanted to replace it with one centered on race and nation rather than faith and family.

A new study of Nazi make-work programs of the 1930s by Dan P. Silverman ("Hitler's Economy," 1998) shows that Hitler's government pursued a program of "public investment" even more far reaching than the U.S. New Deal. This government imagined itself as the employer of every citizen, the planner of every production decision, and the redistributor of every accumulated pocket of wealth in society. From the Nazi point of view, full glory came during the war when they took over the economy completely, Soviet-style.

Whatever you want to call a violent movement that idealizes Hitler's socialist Third Reich, "right-wing" doesn't cut it. Consider also the politics of the Neo-Nazi novel that inspires many of these killers. It is called the Turner Diaries. The book got a lot of attention after the bombing of the Oklahoma federal building because it was a favorite of Timothy McVeigh's.

It has been said that the book advocates the killing of federal officials. In fact, that's just the initial hook. Conspirators wipe out all their enemies, which include anyone who opposes their rise to total power. After taking over, they restart the calendar at the year zero, a goal associated with every socialist thinker from Rousseau to Pol Pot.

Also in the book, businessmen are portrayed as a greedy class that puts money before race, and Christians are demonized as stupid and evil. In the U.S. of the future, all free enterprise and free trade are abolished. Instead, we get a central-planning regime that distributes all resources, including food, on an equal basis. The citizens are pliable subjects of the socialist elite who exercise total power. The book ends with nuclear bombs, the invention of the socialist FDR, destroying all of Africa, China, and South America.

The plot, however crude, isn't entirely unfamiliar. It is just a version of the nightmarish dream of every variety of socialism: millennialist imaginings of a new age of history, hatred of businessmen, opposition to established religion, a belief in central planning, a love of central power, and a world government that crushes all opposition to the revolution.

No matter what they call themselves, the people who have similar dreams of total social and economic control today are on the left, not the right. (That there exists a venerable non-socialist tradition on the left is another issue for another day.) The uncomfortable truth is

this: the differences between the fevered imaginings of Furrow, and those advanced in the academic socialist literature, do not concern ideological substance, but its particular shading and application.

Llewellyn H. Rockwell Jr. is president of the Ludwig von Mises Institute in Auburn, Alabama. He also edits a daily news site, LewRockwell.com.

1935. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 16:57:36 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <4EEia.37551$0X.7485292@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Please stop poting HTML in the newsgroups (read the FAQs).

Thank you.

1936. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 17:19:52 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B1BF5.70BFAD2B@email.com>
Source record: 33702
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

JJ wrote:

> Yea right.

> Sure can.

> What a pompous moron!

> You are doing a good job of proving what a pompous a** you are.

> And they don't need to stupid.

> You among them.

> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
> ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> You sure are.

I can't find anyway to debate such fine and astute arguments. You win!

1937. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 17:37:36 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B201C.2BF49A87@email.com>
Source record: 33703
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Brenda Ann wrote:

> My question is...
>
> If this ONLY happens during the anti-American broadcasts, then how would the
> jammer know when to stop?

Why not ask any teenager with a CB radio how this is done? If the person they are trying to jam is still talking when they stop, they start back up again.

Shortwave stations are even easier as they follow strict programing schedules. You can tell when the news portion of the broadcast is going to be over without even listening, but just watching the clock. Sort of like turning your radio to another station during the commercial breaks and being able to know when it will be safe to go back to that station. You learn how long their commercial breaks are, and you can time it to the minute.

All very complex and sophisticated, but the government has the special equipment and rocket scientists needed to figure this out too.

Here is a science experiment for you:

WHAT YOU WILL NEED:

A VACUUM CLEANER
A RADIO
A PERSON TO HELP YOU WITH THE EXPERIMENT

WHAT TO DO:

Have the person sit in a room. On the other side of the room turn on the radio to an AM news station.
Place the Vacuum cleaner right next to the person. When the weather report comes on (there should be one every 15 minutes) turn on the vacuum cleaner until the weather report is over. Then ask the person what the weather report said. Then come back to the newsgroup and admit that it actually IS possible.

1938. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 17:43:39 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <fjFia.37563$0X.7514010@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33704
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed from his anus...

<blah, blah, blah...>

> Then come back to the newsgroup and admit that
> it actually IS possible.

Many things are possible, but why must you insist on posting your paranoid conjecture as fact?

1939. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 18:34:52 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0dbm8vkgub1fc8gtvpun17tbqi63om2f1g@4ax.com>
Source record: 33705
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Wed, 02 Apr 2003 16:24:37 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

> I mean, if he's going to smear a magazine, he should have proof to back up
>his claims, no?

This is the Internet. No proof or accuracy is required.

Rich

1940. Re: SAME weather radios sold by radio shack, test schedules?? help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 18:46:33 UTC
From: Saddam's Teddy Bear <4fhwxcaree@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3e8b336d@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 33707

Wednesdays between 11:00 AM and 2:00 PM
depending on region f the USA

"Just Bob" <Pills4ills@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3e9527a9.361941694@news-central.giganews.com...
> Do any of you know where I can find the test schedules for
> those same weather radios that are sold by radio shack?
>
> They claim every wednesday but where can I find an answer on
> the web. I already tried google but nothing was found.
>
> thanks..

1941. PRICES LOWERED - Shack Cleaning - Radios & More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 18:50:06 UTC
From: n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net
Message-ID: <v8mcc6cl8unk5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33706

Spring cleaning - SHACK SALE!

April 2, 2003

UPDATE - Watch For Updates & Additions

Everything has to go ASAP! Make me offers!!!

*** Communication Specialists TP-154 Repeater Controller ***

Encodes / Decodes PL, DPL and I think inverted PL

Has a courtesy tone

Has a programmable ID'er

Programmable time limits for system and for each tone/code

Lots of other features

Manual with programming, operation and hook up data included

Programmable over the air or via programming jack - uses a touchtone phone.

A great controller for starter / basic systems

TESTED - was used on my system.

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-027S.JPG

PRICE LOWERED!

*** Asking $125 Shipped - OR OFFER ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Radio Shack PRO-2067 Base / Mobile Scanner ***

500 Channels
Trunk Tracking - Motorola type I, II. I/II Hybrid, LTR, EDACS
SAME Technology for Weather alerting
PC Programmable
Direct keypad frequency input
CTCSS / DPL decode
4 line Alpha display
BNC antenna connector
Plus much much more features

*** Comes with the following: ***
Mounting bracket
Original user manual
Wall wart power pack - for base use
Serial programming cable
Programming software

This scanner has been tested and works just beautifully. Condition is Very
good - non-smoker, never mobile. I bought 2 of them, I just need one now...
so
one has to go.

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-018S.JPG

PRICE LOWERED!
*** I'm asking $175 Shipped - OR OFFER for the whole setup ready to plug and
play... sent 2-3 day priority mail ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Motorola P200 UHF 2 Channel Handheld Radio***

SOLD

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Palm IIIx PDA ***

Just your basic Palm PDA

WORKS GREAT!

Maybe it could find a use on APRS or used with a GPS unit.

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-021S.JPG

*** $40.00 shipped - or offer ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Kenwood TM-742A Multi Bander Mobile Radio ***

SOLD!

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Kenwood TS-700SP 2 Meter ALL MODE ***

Built in digital display along with analog dial

10W output - perfect for feeding amplifiers

Comes with the 120V AC cord, manual and the original hand mic.

Works good, however the display flickers once in a while when tuning.
Rocking the tuning dial up and down in freqs clears the problem.

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-024S.JPG

PRICE LOWERED!

*** Asking $130.00 Shipped - Or offer ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Scott Air Pack SCBA unit (Fire Fighters air pack) ***

Comes with carry / storage case, mask, regulator, tank frame and tank . the
whole complete unit.

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-025S.JPG

PRICE LOWERED!

*** Asking $100.00 ***

LARGE item - please send city & zip for shipping calculation

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** Setcom 7-PR4SB Headset with Boom Mic ***

I'm not sure what radio it is wired for, but it was used with an HT for auto
racing (Pit Crew)

PICTURE AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-030S.JPG

PRICE LOWERED!

*** Asking $35.00 shipped or offer ***

-------- ------------ ----------- ------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

*** TX-RX 900MHz Vari-Notch Cavity filters / (Duplexer?) ***

Quantity - 4

Filter Type - Vari-Notch

Model - 15-88-01

Freq - 890 - 960MHz

There is 4 of these filters on a rack mount frame. No interconnecting cables. I was going to send these to TX-RX to have them convert 2 of them to Pass High and make the interconnecting cables - But I went with a different duplexer. GREAT FOR 900MHz HAM SYSTEMS!

PICTURES AT:

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-028S.JPG

http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-029S.JPG

PRICE LOWERED!

*** Asking $140 shipped - or offer ***

-------- ------------ ----------- -------------- ----------------- --------
-------- -------------

There's more to be listed... Keep an eye open for my posts.

I accept Paypal online payments, US Postal Money Orders and TRADES. I don't have any specific list of what I'm looking for in trade.so if you want to offer a trade, send me your "trade list" for what item(s).

Please note, included shipping is for continental US addresses ONLY, Hawaii, Alaska and international addresses, I will calculate the additional shipping needed.

Thanks

Dave Schmidt

N9NLU

Kewaskum, WI
n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net

*** Delete the "_REMOVE_THIS_" when responding. ***

1942. Re: SAME weather radios sold by radio shack, test schedules?? help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 19:17:30 UTC
From: n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net
Message-ID: <v8mdvjkj4nm00a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33708

Also depends on local weather ... if its stormy, or conditions are right for
severe WX, they will not do the test. Wednesday at noon my SAME receivers
go into text alert.

Dave
Kewaskum, WI
162.400 Sulivan WX & 162.500 Fondulac WX

"Saddam's Teddy Bear" <4fhwxcaree@juno.com> wrote in message
news:3e8b336d@snipnews.snip.net...
> Wednesdays between 11:00 AM and 2:00 PM
> depending on region f the USA
>
> "Just Bob" <Pills4ills@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3e9527a9.361941694@news-central.giganews.com...
> > Do any of you know where I can find the test schedules for
> > those same weather radios that are sold by radio shack?
> >
> > They claim every wednesday but where can I find an answer on
> > the web. I already tried google but nothing was found.
> >
> > thanks..
>
>

1943. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 19:28:00 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B39FC.45B0C968@email.com>
Source record: 33709
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> Being a genius, and having a large font of knowledge, are not one and the
> same. A genius, defined by Mensa as having an IQ in the 98th percentile or
> higher (132 or higher on Stanford-Binet test). A person can attain this
> score, or higher, and still be ignorant, that is, lack any base of
> knowledge.

There is no physical written test you can take to judge IQ or intelligence. Any intelligent person would know that. I can devise an IQ test that you would fail and I would master, someone could devise a test that I would fail and you would master. Someone can ace an IQ test, and still not know or figure out what is happening with the US and it's war on countries like Iraq.

> As an example, let's use yours of how many people cannot program their
> VCR's. This phenomenon is not due to the average person lacking the
> intelligence to do so, but rather the basic knowledge or cognitive skills
> (or just plain laziness of not reading the manual) to do so.

Shit it was just a quick example, not the perfect example, and I would never have intended an instruction manual to be included in the example as you have done.

The population of stupid people far far outnumber the population of intelligent people. That was the point that I was trying to make, but there are too many stupid people out there that are not able to understand the point that was being made. This only further proves the point.

> IQ is not a
> measure of how much a person knows, it is a measure of how easily a person
> is able to learn and assimilate new things.

Changing this into an argument of legal definitions of IQ and intelligence is just as much of a time waster as pleading with a supreme court judge to try and follow the constitution that is written very clearly and was meant to be taken literally, not to be interpreted some other way or be up for discussion and opinions about it.

> In the case of our VCR
> programming, the fault lies mostly with the latter explanation of laziness,
> with some blame to be placed upon the writers or translators of the users
> manuals because such manuals are not clearly written,

The point that someone would need to even read a manual to figure out how to use a VCR just goes to show you how stupid so many people are, and was the point I was TRYING to make. You wouldn't NEED a manual if everyone was intelligent enough to understand how to figure out what all the labeled buttons on the remote are for, and just follow the on screen menu directions. Yet we include

the manuals for what? Because MOST people are too stupid to figure this basic stuff out.

Have you ever READ one of those manuals just for fun? My favorite part is the troubleshooting sections. Like if the unit does not light up or do anything, make sure to check that the power cord is plugged into an electrical outlet. If you can't receive any channels, make sure that you have the antenna or cable connected to the VCR. Do we REALLY need to include stuff like this in the manuals? YES WE DO! Talking to people on newsgroups shows us real fast WHY we do.

> and some machines are
> decidedly less intuitive than others. I for one have never had a problem
> programming any of my VCR's, even without the manual.

I should hope not, but for a moment there you were scaring me into thinking you might.

> This does not qualify
> me to be a genius. :)

Of course not, but it puts you above the millions of idiots. It costs companies lots of money to include a manual in each box for every set they sell, right? Why not just not have a manual and save money if you and I don't need it anyway? Because the MAJORITY of people are idiots and need a manual to tell them to check and see if the unit is plugged in if the unit is not working and nothing happens when you turn the power button on.

Being able to operate the VCR puts you and I above all the millions of idiots. But being able to design and create the VCR is yet a step higher and even fewer people can do that than can use a VCR without a manual. See where this is going? A Genius is even a step above the guy who can design the VCR.

I don't place myself at the Genius level, but well above the idiot level. There are more than just two levels (idiot and genius) yet there are actually people here that assumed I must consider myself a genius, just because I consider myself more intelligent than the idiots. See, even THIS has to be explained to people.

Why is it I have no problem explaining things to young children, yet most adults never seem to understand anything? I know the answer to that, I am just pointing out how sad that is.

We all start out with a basic program and instinct to question everything and try to figure things out. Then we get sent through a school system that punishes those that think on their own instead of thinks as they are told and taught to think. Society programs people against the design of nature and evolution. Thus young children still have some of the ability to think for themselves and are always able to ask their parents questions that the parents are not able to answer. Like why do you have to sit at a red traffic light in the middle of nowhere at 3 in the morning when there are no other cars on the road. Well, dear, that's just because it is the law and just the way it is. But WHY mommy or daddy, that makes no sense and is STUPID.

The older most people get, the less they keep of their common sense and logical reasoning, and the more they just do things because that is just the way it is done without ever sitting back and thinking how stupid it really is.

Like the street sign around schools that says: "No turn on red on school days when children are present."
So if it is not a school day and a hundred children are present, I can turn on red. That makes soooo much sense it makes me want to pull out all of my hair. But let us say that they made the signs properly so that it would just say: "No turn on red when people are present" We ALREADY know that you can only turn on red when and if it is safe to do so! Is this sign even needed at all? Apparently so.

Just like all the ridiculous gun laws. We already have a law that says it is illegal to kill someone.

Just go into your town and sit down and watch people and society in action. Then THINK about everything you see and question everything. If you don't find anything wrong or against logic or nature in 5 minutes, just go back home and be happy. You are a fine upstanding citizen and the government is proud of you.

Has anyone here ever read Mein Kampf? If not, ask yourself WHY you have not. I mean REALLY ask yourself why you have not read it or why you would have no desire to read it. Knowing that the government doesn't want me to read it and how bad and evil I am always told by my government that the author was, I figured this should be on everyone's must read list just for that reason. I mean what is it that is so powerful that the government doesn't want me to read it. Many countries (including Germany) you can't even buy it or be allowed to have it anymore!

Before you say, isn't that a great thing about the US, keep in mind that if you buy it from a book store your name and this information must be given to the government under the Patriot Act.

Check out the warning some libraries are now posting informing their patrons about this:

Although the Santa
Cruz Library makes
every effort to protect
your privacy, under the
federal USA
PATRIOT ACT
(Public Law 107-56),
records of the books
and other materials you
borrow from this
library may be
obtained by federal
agents. That federal law
prohibits library
workers from informing

you if federal agents
have obtained records
about you. Questions
about policy should be
directed to Attorney
General John Ashcroft,
Department of Justice,
Washington D.C.
20530.

I had to get this from the google search CACHED feature, as for some reason, they have removed this warning, no doubt from government pressure to remove it.

Go to Google and do a search for Santa Cruz Library, Patriot Act, and Ashcroft and then click on CACHED to see the actual webpage.

Also, many books on Hitler are no longer in print and hard to find in the US. Not because of low demand, just see how much they sell for on Ebay or how fast they sell at used book stores when a copy becomes available.

You will find tons of books on Hitler written by Jewish authors, but you can't get the one Hitler wrote called "My New Order" or the one by Kubizek that knew Hitler as a child. Those are out of print and unavailable in the US by that method of censorship.

Want to buy a book containing some of Hitler's speeches? Got $300 or more? That's how much the books cost. Check Amazon.com or have your local bookstore see if they can order you one and watch their face when they see how much it costs. Is it a real big book, leather bound and wrapped in gold? No. Why does it cost hundreds of dollars? Because the government doesn't want people reading it that can't afford this price. If someone can afford to throw that much away on a book about Hitler's speeches, then you don't have to worry about them having this information anyway, they have been well programed already.

I read Mein Kampf, and I was really really shocked at what I read. But not in the way I thought I would be shocked. I recommend everyone read that book. Even though it was written so long ago, you think you are reading about the United States the way the United States is today, by an observer today explaining about the US congress and the US government and how it works. Absolutely amazing.

Definitely recommended reading. And don't be afraid to read the book. Surely you have a brain and mind strong enough that it can't be changed and programmed by reading just one book, can it?

1944. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 19:41:27 UTC
From: "Cooperstown.Net" <mrslote@nospam.aol.com>
Message-ID: <H1Hia.1532$J8.915@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 33710
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E8B39FC.45B0C968@email.com...
> > Being a genius, and having a large font of knowledge, are not one and the
> > same. A genius, defined by Mensa as having an IQ in the 98th percentile or
> > higher (132 or higher on Stanford-Binet test). A person can attain this
> > score, or higher, and still be ignorant, that is, lack any base of
> > knowledge.
>
> There is no physical written test you can take to judge IQ or intelligence.
> Any intelligent person would know that. I can devise an IQ test that you
would
> fail and I would master, someone could devise a test that I would fail and you
> would master. Someone can ace an IQ test, and still not know or figure out
> what is happening with the US and it's war on countries like Iraq.

Just a note: Mensa takes you in on performance in the top 2% on any of
several intelligence tests, past or present. Thus eligibility for the genius
label is likely available to several times 2% of the population.

Jerome

1945. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 19:49:08 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <U8Hia.9597$un.64603352@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 33711
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q wrote:

> Israel DID exist, and HAS existed, in one form or another, for CENTURIES,
> whereas Palestine NEVER really existed.

You're NEARLY right - neither Palestine nor Israel existed historically -
both are quite recent political constructs, though the Romans called an
area "Palestine" about 2000 years ago. However, there have never actually
been "Palestinians", just as there can't really be any "Israelis"!

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice, except getting up in the morning!

1946. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 19:51:54 UTC
From: buo <hkl@er.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B3F5A.E4A65315@er.com>
Source record: 33715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lets keep it more recent... like in the last 200 years.

Wayne Farmer wrote:

> "buo" <hkl@er.com> wrote in message news:3E89E877.DAF4F623@er.com...
> > They would have cut his tongue out.
> > And then he wouldn't be such a smart ass.
>
> Christians, too, have shown themselves quite capable of zealously cutting
> out the tongues of those they consider to be heretics. For example, you can
> read here about the extermination of the leaders of the Moravian church,
> along with other Protestants, by Roman Catholics in Prague in June of 1621:
> http://www.everydaycounselor.com/hutton/i15.htm .
>
> > RHF wrote:
> >
> > > "JT" <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> news:<ptaia.323646$S_4.286835@rwcrnsc53>...
> > > > I guess I'll never play piano again.....
> > > >
> > > > "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > > > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > JT & EG,
> > >
> > > This is the Great Thing about being able to say (write) this type of
> > > anti-christian humor in a so called 'Christian Nation' like the USA.
> > > You are tolerated and some people actually will enjoy your humor.
> > >
> > > Had you said (written) the same type of anti-islamic humor in an
> > > Islamic Nation (pick any one). These could have possibly been your
> > > Last Words...
> > >
> > > May God {Allah} Watch Over You - Amen.
> > >
> > > ~ RHF

1947. fuck off Hipot Al Re: What kind of radio do American soldiers use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 19:53:02 UTC
From: buo <hkl@er.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B3F9E.BF0C7534@er.com>
Source record: 33714

fuck off Hipot Al

Hipot Al wrote:

> What a moron!
> "buo" <hkl@er.com> wrote in message news:3E88E228.EAADEE85@er.com...
> > Are walkie talkies they use encrypted and what freq are they on.
> >

1948. Re: Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 19:53:49 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com.goaway
Message-ID: <20030402145349.14605.00000382@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 33712

I have to agree,
Come on, it's like guns...
You don't know who has them or how they will be used...
Yes, more read into it than needs to be.

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

1949. Hipot Al the Village Idiot Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 19:54:21 UTC
From: buo <hkl@er.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B3FED.9C797AC8@er.com>
Source record: 33716

It doesn't relate to scanners in anyway you fucking
dumbass.

Hipot Al wrote:

> And this relates to scanners in what way?
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:co3ia.37507$ja4.2378380@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> > complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> > philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message
> > news:20030330231419.28056.00000081@mb-ci.aol.com...
> > > It's really too bad that posting off topic posts on newsgroups isn't
> > against
> > > any TOS's.
> > > =-
> > > 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> > > Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> > > http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> > > Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
> >
> >

1950. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 19:57:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuffo@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B4093.9040007@mailcity.com>
Source record: 33740
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:
> JJ wrote:
>
>
>>Yea right.
>
>
>>Sure can.
>
>
>>What a pompous moron!
>
>
>>You are doing a good job of proving what a pompous a** you are.
>
>
>>And they don't need to stupid.
>
>
>>You among them.
>
>
>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
>>ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>>You sure are.
>
>
> I can't find anyway to debate such fine and astute arguments. You win!

But you do know how to do heart transplant surgery I suppose, and
even without looking at the manual I bet. Why don't you tell how
you would do a transplant for those of us who are too lazy to read
the manual.

1951. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 20:15:27 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <zxHia.37591$0X.7602911@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33713
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Rich Wood" <richbwood@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:0dbm8vkgub1fc8gtvpun17tbqi63om2f1g@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 02 Apr 2003 16:24:37 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:
>
> > I mean, if he's going to smear a magazine, he should have proof to back
up
> >his claims, no?
>
> This is the Internet. No proof or accuracy is required.
>
> Rich

I suppose you have a point...gotta go - black helicopters hovering again...

1952. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 20:27:00 UTC
From: Clyde <clyde@rest>
Message-ID: <v8mi5323qvdlb8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"r lee" <me@you.edu> wrote in message
news:b6f15lkbc1@iruka.swcp.com...
> The pope is of satan? Thanks for unmasking yourself.
>
> N7MFR wrote in message ...
> >Indeed. They do not resemble Jesus because they are of their father
Satan.
> >
> >
> >"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> >news:3E8A0C4D.5A0E68F0@email.com...
> >> Jesus:
> >>
> >> "Why didn't the Pope go to Iraq and be a human shield?
>

Jesus blessed my scanner and it is now not only unblocked but also
receives digital cell phones and MDTs! Haleluya!

1953. Re: SAME weather radios sold by radio shack, test schedules?? help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 20:34:39 UTC
From: Jeffrey Arnold <RWJeffA@atbi.com>
Message-ID: <yPHia.342793$sf5.331482@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33718

the SAME tests are every Wednesday morning
try looking on http://www.skywarn.org/
or on the National Weather Web site.

--

****
Jeffrey Arnold

RWJeffA@attbi.com
N1FWV@amsat.org
http://home.attbi.com/~rwjeffa/
****

1954. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 20:50:30 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mj8qdcmbv76f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Not only that. But if the Bible is 100 percent God's truth. Then why hasn't it been presented to all people across the world now and in the past 2000 years or so. Not only that fact but I know that some of my ancestry was American Indian and they didn't know anything about Christianity until the Europeans came here during the 1600's. You will also find that phenomenon occured in a multitude of cultures in the past 2000 years. Now how can something be absolute truth if hasn't been brought up to the mass of people. For example, the Bible talks about eternal hell but how can you damn someone to hell for an eternity for not knowing anything about that religion. If you go back and read, you will find that most cultures across the world did not have any contact with Christianity until the past 300 years or so as the colonialists moved into Asia, Africa, and South America. People have existed in Vietnam, China, Burkina Faso, Congo, etc for thousands of years yet have never heard anything of Christianity until the past 300 to 400 years at best. I suspect there are still some individuals in tribes in South America or in the Pacific/Asia sphere that don't even have a Bible where they can study Gods word.

"Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:3E8A846A.BC570B2D@topnow.com...
> N7MFR wrote:
> >
> > I did not say that my opinion is absolute. I said God's truth is
absolute.
>
> Precisely. And that statement, "God's truth is absolute", is
> just your opinion.
>
>
> > And His Word through scripture is absolute, whether understood fully or
not.
> > Obviously, since there is one truth, we best get about finding out what
God
> > wants from us. I am NOT special. I am a sinner and my righteousness is
as
> > dirty rags. So is yours.
> > I do not have all of the answers. But one thing I do know is that
JESUS
> > IS LORD. And the Muslims, Hindus and Buddhists reject that. When those
> > groups confess that Jesus is the only way to salvation and into the
kingdom
> > of God, then they will know the truth and the truth will set them free.
> > AMEN.

> > "Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E8A7D9D.319D0BE6@topnow.com...
> > > N7MFR wrote:
> > > >
> > > > God's truth is absolute.
> > > >
> > > You DO realize this is only a statement of opinion? No
> > > matter how strongly stated or believed?
> > > >
> > > You'd be very hard-pressed to collect up any large number of
> > > Christians from different denominations and find agreement
> > > on what "God's Truth" actually was about much of anything,
> > > due to interpretations, translations, context, and different
> > > starting frameworks. So given that God's Truth is in fact
> > > absolute, given that there are so many different opinions
> > > out there, all claiming to be the truth, there's a
> > > vanishingly small chance that you are the one who possess
> > > that actual "God's truth." That doesn't even begin to
> > > address the chance that God's Truth might be Muslim, or
> > > Jewish, or Hindu, or Bhuddist.
> > > >
> > > Face it, your views are philosophy and opinion. You may be
> > > right, but odds are your views are mostly wrong about what
> > > God wants unless you're VERY special.
> > > >
> > > -- Ross
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > "Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > In article
<Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> > > > > "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish garoting
a =
> > > > > >native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul ...
for
> > =
> > > > > >them I guess it was just fun.
> > > > >
> > > > > I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying on
> > > > rewritten
> > > > > text books to glean this information. These same books usually
fail to

> > > > mention
> > > > > the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged in
> > before
> > > > > contact with European men.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that die
in
> > > > grace
> > > > > will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a
> > difference.
> > > > Carl
> > > > > Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious.
> > > > Unconscious
> > > > > implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the
subconscious
> > > > implies
> > > > > that the content is there but not currently being utilized by the
> > mind. If
> > > > God
> > > > > were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect health.
We
> > > > don't.
> > > > >
> > > > > Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys
at
> > work.
> > > > > Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a
surprise.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming
destruction
> > of
> > > > =
> > > > > >the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God
will =
> > > > > >impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything
and =
> > > > > >everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for the
> > right =
> > > > > >moment, and punishes everyone.
> > > > > >The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite
beyond
> > =
> > > > > >imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause people
to
> > =
> > > > > >seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's life,
and

> > =
> > > > > >give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we are
the
> > =
> > > > > >providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving
sinners
> > all =
> > > > > >we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little
mercy
> > =
> > > > > >and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >Earthlink
> > > > > >May you live as long as you want,
> > > > > >and never want as long as you live.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Never say never.
> > > > > Nothing is absolute.
> > > > > >
> > >

1955. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 20:51:06 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mj9s19toj37b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

As John Adams said, "Belief in cruel God makes a cruel man"

"Gray Shockley" <gray7a6@cybercoffee.org> wrote in message
news:0001HW.BAAFF2DC0000B95715E6D6C0@news-central.giganews.com...
> On Tue, 1 Apr 2003 23:37:02 -0600, N7MFR wrote
> (in message <2Guia.4283$ey1.339180@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>):
>
> > God's truth is absolute.
>
>
> So are my killfilters.
>
> I "lose" ("loose" via internet) about 60 percent of the messages on this
ng
> because of my profanity and related filters.
>
> Thanks to you, "God" just got placed within my profanity filters.
>
> You make God to be ugly.
>
>
>
>
> Gray Shockley
> ---
> They laughed at Columbus, they laughed at Fulton,
> they laughed at the Wright brothers. But they
> also laughed at Bozo the Clown." - Carl Sagan
>
>
>
>
> >
> > "Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> > news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
> >> In article <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> > "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>> As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish garoting a =
> >>> native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul ... for =
> >>> them I guess it was just fun.
> >>
> >> I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying on

> > rewritten
> >> text books to glean this information. These same books usually fail to
> > mention
> >> the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged in
before
> >> contact with European men.
> >>
> >>
> >> The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that die in
> > grace
> >> will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a difference.
> > Carl
> >> Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious.
> > Unconscious
> >> implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the subconscious
> > implies
> >> that the content is there but not currently being utilized by the mind.
If
> > God
> >> were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect health. We
> > don't.
> >>
> >> Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys at
work.
> >> Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a surprise.
> >>
> >>
> >>> Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming destruction
of
> > =
> >>> the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God will =
> >>> impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything and =
> >>> everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for the
right =
> >>> moment, and punishes everyone.
> >>> The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite beyond =
> >>> imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause people to =
> >>> seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's life, and =
> >>> give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we are the =
> >>> providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving sinners all
=
> >>> we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little mercy
=
> >>> and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> >>>
> >>> Earthlink
> >>> May you live as long as you want,
> >>> and never want as long as you live.
> >>
> >> Never say never.

> >> Nothing is absolute.
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

1956. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 20:52:52 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mjd52n0qhia7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Spanish Inquistion was a fine example of human barbarity not to mention the Crusades. What is interesting with the Crusades is that the Crusaders believed they were on a mission from God to take back the holy places yet they failed in the long run. They were able to repulse the Muslims at the first but eventually they were defeated again. Yet, despite the fact it was a mission from God, they lost.

"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:uesia.37690$OV.135207@rwcrnsc54...
> They'll never catchup to the Christians.
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html
> "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> As for Islam, it has nothing to complain about - they killed enough people
> in their past "jihads" to more than qualify them for hell.
>
>

1957. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 20:55:37 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mjibir67kme7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

As Mark Twain once said, "Faith is believing what you know ain't so."

"Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:3E8A7D9D.319D0BE6@topnow.com...
> N7MFR wrote:
> >
> > God's truth is absolute.
>
> You DO realize this is only a statement of opinion? No
> matter how strongly stated or believed?
>
> You'd be very hard-pressed to collect up any large number of
> Christians from different denominations and find agreement
> on what "God's Truth" actually was about much of anything,
> due to interpretations, translations, context, and different
> starting frameworks. So given that God's Truth is in fact
> absolute, given that there are so many different opinions
> out there, all claiming to be the truth, there's a
> vanishingly small chance that you are the one who possess
> that actual "God's truth." That doesn't even begin to
> address the chance that God's Truth might be Muslim, or
> Jewish, or Hindu, or Bhuddist.
>
> Face it, your views are philosophy and opinion. You may be
> right, but odds are your views are mostly wrong about what
> God wants unless you're VERY special.
>
> -- Ross
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> > "Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> > news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > In article <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> > "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish garoting a =
> > > >native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul ... for
> =

> > > >them I guess it was just fun.
> > >
> > > I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying on
> > rewritten
> > > text books to glean this information. These same books usually fail to
> > mention
> > > the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged in
before
> > > contact with European men.
> > >
> > >
> > > The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that die in
> > grace
> > > will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a
difference.
> > Carl
> > > Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious.
> > Unconscious
> > > implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the subconscious
> > implies
> > > that the content is there but not currently being utilized by the
mind. If
> > God
> > > were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect health. We
> > don't.
> > >
> > > Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys at
work.
> > > Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a surprise.
> > >
> > >
> > > >Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming destruction
of
> > =
> > > >the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God will =
> > > >impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything and =
> > > >everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for the
right =
> > > >moment, and punishes everyone.
> > > >The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite beyond
=
> > > >imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause people to
=
> > > >seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's life, and
=
> > > >give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we are the
=
> > > >providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving sinners
all =
> > > >we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little mercy

=
> > > >and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> > > >
> > > >Earthlink
> > > >May you live as long as you want,
> > > >and never want as long as you live.
> > >
> > > Never say never.
> > > Nothing is absolute.
> > >

1958. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 20:58:34 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mjnr7o0nl82f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yep, everyone is of Satan including all of the people who aren't even the slightest bit familiar with Christianity. I suspect all of the American Indians are of Satan as well as the other indigenous tribes. Not only that but all the other tribal people across the world. They are all of Satan arent they. Those people were here before the Christians but they are all of satan Right

"N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:njvia.4792$4P1.373843@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Indeed. They do not resemble Jesus because they are of their father Satan.
>
>
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8A0C4D.5A0E68F0@email.com...
> > Jesus:
> >
> > "Why didn't the Pope go to Iraq and be a human shield? He could have
> > stopped the war as there is no way Bush would have dropped a bomb on the
> > Pope, and even if he did, I would then welcome the Pope into heaven as a
> > saint for what he did. Hmmmm, perhaps the Pope doesn't believe in me
> > after all"
> >
> >
> > "Also, if priests really believed in me and my father, why would they be
> > molesting and raping little boys? If they believed in god, they would
> > know he could always see them when they were committing this sin,
> > right? They obviously don't believe in me either, only in the holy tax
> > free collection plate."
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1959. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:01:12 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mjsq4b2rgj6a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Actually Jesus told a lot of the believers that they wouldnt make it to heaven either. Matter of fact, he told them that they should go away. Even though, they prophesized and did good works for Him, he told they away from me, because you never knew me

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message news:3E8A6F9D.9060905@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> N7MFR wrote:
>
> > We are all sinners and have fallen short of the glory of God. The only way
> > to please Him is to be 100% holy. The penalty for being unholy is death. I
> > don't know about you, but I am not able to live up to the standards of the
> > 10 commandments. (If you think you are going to heaven cause you have been
> > "basically a good person" think again.) He requires full adherence to those
> > commandments. Not one of us has lived up to those standards.
>
> Goodness, guess no one is going to get to heaven.
>

1960. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:03:40 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mk1dn1mpb1a8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Its kinda like God giving you a mind to think and your fundamentalist doesnt use it. The earth is also flat according to the church. Also, the fact is that Jesus never intended for his followers to start a church. In fact, the word church is only brought up twice in the Bible.

"Texas Steven" <rothrock@stic.net> wrote in message news:3e89ecf0$0$43848$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> Too bad......Some people just don't have NO sense of humor (That GOD gave
> them)
> Wait a minute....if GOD gave it to them....then it looks as if THEY DON"T
> USE IT!
>
>
>
> TexasSteven
> Food For Thought!
>
>

1961. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:12:06 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mkh7pbie5772@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Good point. One can look at the Salem witch trials, Crusades, Spanish Inquisition, etc for examples of murderous behavior and persecution. Of course, the secular world has it share of barbarity yet these behaviors came from an organized religion

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:Dawia.297869$L1.86130@sccrnsc02...
> It's the people who stand so high and tell us all what god really means
that
> gets everyone in trouble or killed.
>
> God doesn't need an interpreter. He can and does speak to each of us
> directly.
>
>
> "N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:59via.4316$ey1.342437@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > There is a good reason why the mere mention of Jesus elicits so much
> > response. The mention of His name requires everyone to make a decision.
> What
> > will we do with Him? Will we accept the free gift of God? Or will we
> reject
> > it ? Will we humble ourselves before God? Or will we continue in our
sin?
> We
> > have people here who think the whole thing is a big joke. We have people
> who
> > intellectualize their way around the truth. We have people who think
they
> > are Christians and are not. All have one thing in common. They are
running
> > from the truth. The result of this disobedience will be certain
> destruction.
> > JESUS IS LORD. Repent and believe, or perish with the rest of Satan's
> army.
> > One truth. One God. One Saviour. Its really not complicated at all. It
is
> so
> > easy, a child can believe it.
> > We are all sinners and have fallen short of the glory of God. The only
> way
> > to please Him is to be 100% holy. The penalty for being unholy is death.
> I

> > don't know about you, but I am not able to live up to the standards of
the
> > 10 commandments. (If you think you are going to heaven cause you have
> been
> > "basically a good person" think again.) He requires full adherence to
> those
> > commandments. Not one of us has lived up to those standards. The Bible
> says
> > if you are guilty of one, you are guilty of all. Jesus said if you lust
> > after a woman in your heart, you are guilty of adultery. Whoops. Guilty.
> > Case closed. But thankfully, He has given us a way out. Accept the
> sacrifice
> > for our sins that He provided for us. His only Son, and our Saviour
Jesus
> > Christ.
> > Its real easy. My 10 year old can do it. So can you. Just believe it.
> Read
> > your Bible, get on your knees and ask for forgiveness (and mean it) make
a
> > decision to live by God's rules instead of your own. The world cant save
> > you. Not church, not school, not your intellect, not your bank account.
> > Just you...His holy Word, and your humility. Amen and Amen.
> >
> > Dave
> >
> >
> > "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> > news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1962. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:15:09 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuffo@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B52DD.2020103@mailcity.com>
Source record: 33557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Shut 'Em Down wrote:
> So if that's all there is to it how come I see so many TV preachers begging
> for money?

Because it is a profitable business that has made many a TV
preacher rich.

1963. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:16:11 UTC
From: Shut 'Em Down <debunk@myth.com>
Message-ID: <sqlia.1631$EO.890@fed1read07>
Source record: 33730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

So if that's all there is to it how come I see so many TV preachers begging for money?

-SMD

"N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:59via.4316$ey1.342437@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> There is a good reason why the mere mention of Jesus elicits so much
> response. The mention of His name requires everyone to make a decision. What
> will we do with Him? Will we accept the free gift of God? Or will we reject
> it ? Will we humble ourselves before God? Or will we continue in our sin? We
> have people here who think the whole thing is a big joke. We have people who
> intellectualize their way around the truth. We have people who think they
> are Christians and are not. All have one thing in common. They are running
> from the truth. The result of this disobedience will be certain destruction.
> JESUS IS LORD. Repent and believe, or perish with the rest of Satan's army.
> One truth. One God. One Saviour. Its really not complicated at all. It is so
> easy, a child can believe it.
> We are all sinners and have fallen short of the glory of God. The only way
> to please Him is to be 100% holy. The penalty for being unholy is death. I
> don't know about you, but I am not able to live up to the standards of the
> 10 commandments. (If you think you are going to heaven cause you have been
> "basically a good person" think again.) He requires full adherence to those
> commandments. Not one of us has lived up to those standards. The Bible says
> if you are guilty of one, you are guilty of all. Jesus said if you lust
> after a woman in your heart, you are guilty of adultery. Whoops. Guilty.
> Case closed. But thankfully, He has given us a way out. Accept the sacrifice
> for our sins that He provided for us. His only Son, and our Saviour Jesus
> Christ.
> Its real easy. My 10 year old can do it. So can you. Just believe it. Read

> your Bible, get on your knees and ask for forgiveness (and mean it) make a
> decision to live by God's rules instead of your own. The world cant save
> you. Not church, not school, not your intellect, not your bank account.
> Just you...His holy Word, and your humility. Amen and Amen.
>
> Dave
>
>
> "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> >
> >
>
>

1964. Re: Icom IC-R3 the right scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:19:05 UTC
From: eS* <eS@bredband$.net>
Message-ID: <Uvlia.3189$sg3.691@news1.bredband.com>
Source record: 33731

The r3 DOES capture the 800 band...

"Rob" <robo111@earthlink.net> skrev i meddelandet
news:cfae55fa.0304011921.3970108b@posting.google.com...
> I am searching for a portable scanner that captures 2.4GHZ video, and
> was looking at the ICOM IC-R3 until I realized that it misses most of
> the 800 band. I am looking to also capture those freqs as my local
> county services are running a trunked system in that band. Does
> anyone have any thoughts on a scanner that captures the video (2.4
> GHZ, tv broadcast, etc) as well as the 800 band? I hope there's one
> out there!!!
>
> Thx,
> Rob
>

1965. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:27:33 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B5601.F4378CD4@emai.com>
Source record: 33732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Never anonymous Bud wrote:

> Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
belched:
>
> >From the record, Jesus was a Jew and so was Paul. Actually, Jesus was from
> >the Essene tribe.
>
> There is NO evidence that Jesus was an Essene.

To argue about "evidence" to support something about a fictional character of mythology, is like
trying to find evidence supporting that Santa Clause voted Republican.

The fact that you are even having this debate is hilarious. What "evidence" can be provided to
show that Jesus could walk on water, rise from the dead and fly into outer space, or that Santa Could
make reindeer fly?

These are fairy tales to make children behave. "Obey thy mother and father" "Be good or no presents"

When you grow up to be an adult, you realize that Jesus and Santa are make-believe.
Well, some of us anyway.

1966. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:28:06 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B5623.24B96AF7@emai.com>
Source record: 33733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N7MFR wrote:

> Indeed. They do not resemble Jesus because they are of their father Satan.

And Satan is real too.

1967. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:31:11 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B56DB.41617C86@emai.com>
Source record: 33734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

signalchaser wrote:

> Yep, everyone is of Satan.

It sure was nice of god to create and give us Satan, wasn't it? Thank you lord. And thank you for not getting rid of Satan and allowing him to exist to cause so much evil and grief.

You flooded the world and murdered almost all of humanity once, yet Satan you never once made an effort to get rid of. Thank you oh wise and powerful lord of the universe!

1968. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:50:27 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B5B5F.2F0EB551@emai.com>
Source record: 33735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> There is a good reason why the mere mention of Jesus elicits so much
> response. The mention of His name requires everyone to make a decision. What
> will we do with Him?

The same thing we do with Santa. Put a statue of him up once a year, and put
him back in the closet for the rest of the year.

> Will we accept the free gift of God?

Nothing is free. (except AOL CDs) He makes you work hard for every single
thing you get.

> We have people here who think the whole thing is a big joke.

No, everyone believes that people can walk on water and rise from the dead and
fly up into outerspace.

> We have people who think they are Christians and are not.

THAT you have right. Bush is one of them, the Pope is another. Bush sends
people to kill. The Pope does not go to Iraq and stand as a human shield.
Priests continue to molest and rape little boys. If they believed in god and
jesus, and that god and jesus could KNOW what they are doing to those little
boys, why would they do it in the first place? Because they DON'T believe in
it. They just like the almighty collection plate and the tax free money it
provides them.

> JESUS IS LORD.

I thought he was the Lord's son? You people can't even agree on that! If
they are both the same, then Jesus impregnated his own mother, and you know what
that makes him... a mother........

God told you to worship no one but him. Yet you worship Jesus and the Pope.
SINS!

> One truth. One God.

MANY gods. Read Genesis where God speaks to the other gods and has fear that
eating from the tree of knowledge has made Adam and Eve like them. (gods
plural)

> Its real easy. My 10 year old can do it.

And a 10 year old son of Buddhist parents can understand about Buddha. And a
10 year old son of parents from another cult can understand why sacrificing
animals and humans is the right thing to do.

It is not hard to brainwash a 10 year old, it is just a very sick and twisted thing to do.

Isn't it amazing that people happen to believe in the gods from the same religion their parents did? Somehow, children in Iraq or Afghanistan never see angels or believe in Jesus. What political party do you belong to? Do your parents belong to the same party? Huh. Amazing, what a coincidence.

> So can you. Just believe it. Read
> your Bible, get on your knees and ask for forgiveness

Forgiveness for what crime? Not believing in someone who doesn't have the decency to introduce himself and is hiding from everyone? Eternal pain in hell seems like a just punishment to fit that crime, don't you think?

Jesus:
"If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and wife and children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot be my disciple." - Luke 14:26

So I hope you hate your own mother, father, children, etc., or Jesus wants nothing to do with you.

Read the book instead of waving it around, and you will see that you are not even following what it tells you to do. Do you know what you call a Christian after he actually READS the bible? An Atheist.

1969. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:51:11 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <jXIia.1469$kd1.1427156@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33722
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> > Hey Celt Chic,
> > You are a breathe of fresh air in a group that 'sometimes' gets a little
> > stagnant...lol. I hope you don't get upset with what I'm getting ready
to
> > say, because it's not my intent, honestly. :-)
> >
> > But I keep clicking on your posts and I'm wasting a lot of time with
your
> > 'prayer/belief' whatever it is .....and now songs. PLEASE try an not
add to
> > the craziness that is already here...lol. I want to read what you have
to
> > say, if it's related to the hobby......but you're kinda sorta maybe
turning
> > into a troll.....and I say that will all the respect in the world to
you.
> >
> > You've been here long enough to know who the instigators are, just
'choose'
> > not to read what they say because it isn't radio related ninety nine
percent
> > of the time anyhow, and you replying is just doing exactly what they
want.
> > :-(
> >
> > Welcome to the group and have fun, but *try* to be 'somewhat' on topic
if
> > at all possible. :-D
> >
> > *No hard feelings. (?)
>
> Mind if I second that? :) CeltChic was doing great to start with. I'd
> hate to see her descend to a similar level to some of the others.

True. At first her troll responding was fine, but now I don't know if I
should read her posts or not.

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.

1970. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:52:52 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B5BF0.1B7272CD@emai.com>
Source record: 33736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JJ wrote:

> N7MFR wrote:
>
> > We are all sinners and have fallen short of the glory of God. The only way
> > to please Him is to be 100% holy. The penalty for being unholy is death. I
> > don't know about you, but I am not able to live up to the standards of the
> > 10 commandments. (If you think you are going to heaven cause you have been
> > "basically a good person" think again.) He requires full adherence to those
> > commandments. Not one of us has lived up to those standards.
>
> Goodness, guess no one is going to get to heaven.

Not only that, note how God is just like Bush. Orders everyone in the world to follow his orders and commandments or else be doomed to great punishment.

If I was a god, I would allow everyone to have freewill and do as they please, not dictate to them how they have to live like you would to slaves. But that is just me.

1971. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:53:29 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B5C15.FF7AE6DC@emai.com>
Source record: 33737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

signalchaser wrote:

> Actually Jesus told a lot of the believers that they wouldnt make it to
> heaven either.

He also said this:
"If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and wife and children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot be my disciple." - Luke 14:26

1972. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:54:23 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B5C4C.3AB122BE@emai.com>
Source record: 33738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> It's the people who stand so high and tell us all what god really means that
> gets everyone in trouble or killed.
>
> God doesn't need an interpreter. He can and does speak to each of us
> directly.

He has never spoken to you, and you know it. He has never spoken to anyone.

1973. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:57:54 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B5D1F.553EF95E@emai.com>
Source record: 33739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Jesus:
>
> "Am I a Christian or a Jew?"
> -------------------------
>
> Answer:
>
> Jesus was a teacher (a prophet) of God

Was he a Christian teacher, or a Jewish teacher? First of all, he was a friggin Carpenter, not a teacher, unless he taught shop class at some time in his life that the Bible forgot to mention.

Is Jesus the son of god, or is Jesus god himself? Why worship Jesus, when you can worship God himself? If they are both the same, then what is the son of god stuff all about?

Where the hell did Cain's wife come from? If he had sex with one of his sisters, then let's give that sister a name like we do for the rest of the family.

1974. Re: RADIOS - RADIOS - RADIOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 21:59:21 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Z2Jia.1472$kd1.1428116@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33726

Yea, there are more responses to trolls than there are to real questions.

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.

<Pappy@USA.net> wrote in message news:3E8B0A2F.B1B5AC4D@USA.net...
> I was under the impression that this group was about "RADIOS".
>
> Was I wrong?
>
> There are groups that are set up for other items of discussion.
>
> Now back to "RADIOS".
>

1975. Re: This Group Is Officially Dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:00:51 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <n4Jia.1473$kd1.1428325@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33728

More on topic, but less interesting compered to on topic posts here. Sad.
Maybe we should rename this rec.radio.cb or rec.radio.shortwave.

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.

"Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:YZAia.6408$9I.547819@news20.bellglobal.com...
> haha :(
>
> might as well be.
>
> alt.radio.scanner seems a little bit more lively then rec.radio.scanner
>
> dont know why either.....
>
> outlook shows more posts in rec. then alt. heh
>
> "Tra+cey" <tracey12_12@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8A93FC.482A7C53@yahoo.com...
> > Yep, I've been coming by here for a few years, but as you can see,
> > there is far more political bs and name calling
> > than there is info on scanners, so I declare this scanner newsgroup Dead
> > On Arrival.
> >
>
>

1976. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:02:03 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mnerp6m79o3e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Its like the Biblical story of Jonah being swallowed by a whale and living in the whales belly for 3 days and being finally spat on the land. Not only that but the story of Noah where Noah was a sinless man who built the Ark yet after the Ark found its resting place he was found drunken and naked by his son. Its stories like that really hurt the moral message of the Bible. Its like in the Old Testament when God commanded Moses to kill, yet when one thinks about it, since God has all the power then why doesnt he kill them instead of commanding Moses. Or is it Moses trying to cover his own actions.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
news:3E8B5601.F4378CD4@emai.com...
> Never anonymous Bud wrote:
>
> > Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, "signalchaser"
<williamsmith208@hotmail.com> belched:
> >
> > >From the record, Jesus was a Jew and so was Paul. Actually, Jesus was
from
> > >the Essene tribe.
> >
> > There is NO evidence that Jesus was an Essene.
>
> To argue about "evidence" to support something about a fictional character
of mythology, is like
> trying to find evidence supporting that Santa Clause voted Republican.
>
> The fact that you are even having this debate is hilarious. What
"evidence" can be provided to
> show that Jesus could walk on water, rise from the dead and fly into outer
space, or that Santa Could
> make reindeer fly?
>
> These are fairy tales to make children behave. "Obey thy mother and
father" "Be good or no
> presents"
>
> When you grow up to be an adult, you realize that Jesus and Santa are
make-believe.
> Well, some of us anyway.
>
>

1977. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:04:17 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B5E9D.67437DC7@emai.com>
Source record: 33742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Shut 'Em Down wrote:

> So if that's all there is to it how come I see so many TV preachers begging
> for money?

Because they don't believe in God. We all know that the mythology goes like this... You can't fool God and he can see everything we do and know everytime we sin, right?

So how do you explain all the priests that molest and rape little boys? If they believed in God, they would know that God saw them having sex with the little boys, right? Obviously priests don't believe in the product they are selling, they are just looking for suckers to take money from.

They kneel and worship the almighty collection plate that gives them tax free cash, without having to provide any real service or product. Money for free.

1978. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:05:12 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mnl175oln389@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sometimes, I wonder how much of the Bible has been mixed with other myths which ends up polluting the moral message. I find it strange that God creates 90 percent of his creation of humans so they can be damned to an eternal hell where the fire never quenches just because they don't believe in a book.. However, I think that doctrine came about during the 3rd century when the Christian church was trying to convert the Roman Pagans. Interesting too that the Sabbath day is supposed to be on Saturday yet all the churches have it on Sunday. Isnt that a violation of the Ten Commandments?

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message news:3E8B56DB.41617C86@emai.com...
> signalchaser wrote:
>
> > Yep, everyone is of Satan.
>
> It sure was nice of god to create and give us Satan, wasn't it? Thank you
> lord. And thank you for not getting rid of Satan and allowing him to exist to
> cause so much evil and grief.
>
> You flooded the world and murdered almost all of humanity once, yet Satan you
> never once made an effort to get rid of. Thank you oh wise and powerful lord
> of the universe!
>
>
>

1979. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:10:53 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mnvdsk5ev719@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Funny that God creates his people so that they can end up being agents of Satan when the Bible says he is all knowing and all powerful. The Bible has never been a universal truth to all races, peoples, or tribes. Not all have been exposed to it nor have they even known anything about it. The Oubangui of Central Africa probably didnt find out about it until this century. The first English language Bible wasn't written until the 1500's after Gutenberg invented the printing press. So therefore, the word of God was in the clergy until that time for the most part. That in itself limits who will know the truth or alleged truth since most of the European societies were of lower educational levels and doubtfully could even read. Christianity wasnt introduced to Africa until the 1600s when the Dutch went to South Africa. Asia didnt witness Christianity until much of the same time for the most part as the Europeans colonized much of those areas. Same goes for South America, where the Yanomami Indians lives this day without much if any human contact and no knowledge of Christian teachings.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
news:3E8B5623.24B96AF7@emai.com...
> N7MFR wrote:
>
> > Indeed. They do not resemble Jesus because they are of their father
Satan.
>
> And Satan is real too.
>
>
>

1980. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:11:53 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mo1a8rfvnl3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Another good point that I missed. Yet during that comment it points to me
that he tells people to hate their own families yet one commandment is To
Honor your Father and Mother

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
news:3E8B5C15.FF7AE6DC@emai.com...
> signalchaser wrote:
>
> > Actually Jesus told a lot of the believers that they wouldnt make it to
> > heaven either.
>
> He also said this:
> "If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and
wife and
> children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot
be my
> disciple." - Luke 14:26
>
>

1981. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:14:16 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mo5qlqr5dn86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Really the Bible only accounts for a few years of his life anyway. Another good point about the fact that Cains wife was the effect of some level of what appears to be interbreeding. Yet later in the Bible it gives teachings against interbreeding. Ever noticed how interbreeding also leads to mental retardation. That fact can be seen in certain places in the US in regards to that matter.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
news:3E8B5D1F.553EF95E@emai.com...
> > Jesus:
> >
> > "Am I a Christian or a Jew?"
> > ------------------------
> >
> > Answer:
> >
> > Jesus was a teacher (a prophet) of God
>
> Was he a Christian teacher, or a Jewish teacher? First of all, he was a
> friggin Carpenter, not a teacher, unless he taught shop class at some time
in
> his life that the Bible forgot to mention.
>
> Is Jesus the son of god, or is Jesus god himself? Why worship Jesus,
when you
> can worship God himself? If they are both the same, then what is the son
of
> god stuff all about?
>
> Where the hell did Cain's wife come from? If he had sex with one of
his
> sisters, then let's give that sister a name like we do for the rest of the
> family.
>
>

1982. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:15:40 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mo8djrplakac@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Pope Leo said "How well we know what a profitable superstition : this fable
of Christ has been for us."
: -- Pope Leo X (1513 - 1521)

"Shut 'Em Down" <debunk@myth.com> wrote in message
news:sqIia.1631$EO.890@fed1read07...
> So if that's all there is to it how come I see so many TV preachers
begging
> for money?
>
> -SMD
>
> "N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:59via.4316$ey1.342437@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > There is a good reason why the mere mention of Jesus elicits so much
> > response. The mention of His name requires everyone to make a decision.
> What
> > will we do with Him? Will we accept the free gift of God? Or will we
> reject
> > it ? Will we humble ourselves before God? Or will we continue in our
sin?
> We
> > have people here who think the whole thing is a big joke. We have people
> who
> > intellectualize their way around the truth. We have people who think
they
> > are Christians and are not. All have one thing in common. They are
running
> > from the truth. The result of this disobedience will be certain
> destruction.
> > JESUS IS LORD. Repent and believe, or perish with the rest of Satan's
> army.
> > One truth. One God. One Saviour. Its really not complicated at all. It
is
> so
> > easy, a child can believe it.
> > We are all sinners and have fallen short of the glory of God. The only
> way
> > to please Him is to be 100% holy. The penalty for being unholy is death.
> I
> > don't know about you, but I am not able to live up to the standards of
the
> > 10 commandments. (If you think you are going to heaven cause you have

> been
> > "basically a good person" think again.) He requires full adherence to
> those
> > commandments. Not one of us has lived up to those standards. The Bible
> says
> > if you are guilty of one, you are guilty of all. Jesus said if you lust
> > after a woman in your heart, you are guilty of adultery. Whoops. Guilty.
> > Case closed. But thankfully, He has given us a way out. Accept the
> sacrifice
> > for our sins that He provided for us. His only Son, and our Saviour
Jesus
> > Christ.
> > Its real easy. My 10 year old can do it. So can you. Just believe it.
> Read
> > your Bible, get on your knees and ask for forgiveness (and mean it) make
a
> > decision to live by God's rules instead of your own. The world cant save
> > you. Not church, not school, not your intellect, not your bank account.
> > Just you...His holy Word, and your humility. Amen and Amen.
> >
> > Dave
> >
> >
> > "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> > news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1983. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:19:14 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mof8sfgr7s0c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A Challenge to All Christians
Kenneth Harding
1998

--

Mark 16:18

"They will pick up snakes with their hands; and when they drink deadly poison, it will not hurt them at all; they will place their hands on sick people, and they will get well." (NIV)

"They shall take up serpents; and if they drink any deadly thing, it shall not hurt them; they shall lay hands on the sick, and they shall recover." (KJV)

According to the New Testament of the Christian Bible, they (believers in Jesus Christ) can handle snakes, presumably poisonous ones, without harm. With this claim, religious faith enters the realm of testable science, and I hereby call the bluff.

Is the Bible true? Are its verses correct in every word, being inspired by God? Or is the bible mythology, the flawed word of primitive men? Or are some parts inspired, and some parts flawed? If so, which parts are true and which parts are false, and how can one determine which is which?

Is Mark 16:18 a lie? Does the Holy Bible contain a falsehood? Is it telling you that you, as a true believer, can do something extremely dangerous without risk? All Christians who truly believe in Jesus Christ are hereby challenged to handle a rattlesnake without physical protection, or drink a glass of arsenic (or any positively lethal substance), and seek no medical assistance afterward. The act must be performed in the presence of skeptical observers, and for authenticity, skeptics should provide the snake and/or poison as well. Well, is your faith strong enough? Do you really believe as strongly as you think you do?

--

The following article first appeared in Freethought Today, Vol. 15, No. 9.

Bite Kills Snake-handling Minister

A preacher, whose wife died three years ago from a snakebite, succumbed himself on Oct. 3, after handling a 3-foot-long timber rattler during a prayer meeting.

John Wayne "Punkin" Brown Jr., 34, of Tennessee, was bitten on the hand while preaching at the Rocky House Holiness Church in rural Alabama. He continued preaching for ten or 15 minutes after being bitten, then fell dead from the pulpit. His wife Melinda, 28, died in 1994 two days after refusing medical attention following a rattlesnake bite during a church service in Kentucky.

"He was really looking forward to that day anyway" when he could see his wife again, opined the Rev. Carl Porter of Kingston, Georgia, to the Birmingham News. Porter plans to pass along Brown's two rattlers to Brown's brother, by the way.

The Browns had five children.

There have been at least 75 snake-handling deaths among fundamentalists in the United States during this Century.

--

This event is submitted as EVIDENCE that the sacred scriptures are untrue.

Some may say, "He was not a true believer." Well, I submit that he was. He believed strongly enough to handle the snake. He was a minister. He had given over his life for his beliefs. He followed the word of God to the letter, and put his complete trust in the scripture. HE certainly thought that he believed. What more proof of belief could be provided? If that is not true belief, what is? Is your belief so strong?

Others may protest that he should not have tested the Lord. Well, it was the Lord, presumably, who inspired Mark (or whoever really wrote that book) to include that verse. After all, it is claimed that the scriptures are the inspired word of God. If God did not intend that people should make use of that verse as a guide for their lives, why did He include it at all? What other purpose could it possibly serve?

Some people suggest that this verse only applied to the original Apostles. BUT, that statement finds no support in scripture. If one reads verses 16 and 17, it becomes clear that Jesus was speaking about "them that believe", those that are baptized and saved. It does not say anything about the original Apostles being the only ones who can drink poison and handle snakes.

Preachers certainly make use of the second half of the verse "they will place their hands on sick people, and they will get well." You need only to turn on late night TV to see the proliferation of faith-healing charlatans, milking the public of their hard-earned funds, by pretending to heal their sicknesses. Why then should the first half of the verse Mark 16:18 be metaphorical, and the second half of it be literal? Such an

argument is an avoidance of the irrationality of the philosophy. This is one more example of the harm that literal belief in the bible can produce.

Just food for thought.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message news:3E8B5B5F.2F0EB551@emai.com...
> > There is a good reason why the mere mention of Jesus elicits so much
> > response. The mention of His name requires everyone to make a decision. What
> > will we do with Him?
>
> The same thing we do with Santa. Put a statue of him up once a year, and put
> him back in the closet for the rest of the year.
>
> > Will we accept the free gift of God?
>
> Nothing is free. (except AOL CDs) He makes you work hard for every single
> thing you get.
>
> > We have people here who think the whole thing is a big joke.
>
> No, everyone believes that people can walk on water and rise from the dead and
> fly up into outerspace.
>
> > We have people who think they are Christians and are not.
>
> THAT you have right. Bush is one of them, the Pope is another. Bush sends
> people to kill. The Pope does not go to Iraq and stand as a human shield.
> Priests continue to molest and rape little boys. If they believed in god and
> jesus, and that god and jesus could KNOW what they are doing to those little
> boys, why would they do it in the first place? Because they DON'T believe in
> it. They just like the almighty collection plate and the tax free money it
> provides them.
>
> > JESUS IS LORD.
>
> I thought he was the Lord's son? You people can't even agree on that! If
> they are both the same, then Jesus impregnated his own mother, and you know what
> that makes him... a mother........

>
> God told you to worship no one but him. Yet you worship Jesus and the
Pope.
> SINS!
>
> > One truth. One God.
>
> MANY gods. Read Genesis where God speaks to the other gods and has fear
that
> eating from the tree of knowledge has made Adam and Eve like them. (gods
> plural)
>
> > Its real easy. My 10 year old can do it.
>
> And a 10 year old son of Buddhist parents can understand about Buddha.
And a
> 10 year old son of parents from another cult can understand why
sacrificing
> animals and humans is the right thing to do.
>
> It is not hard to brainwash a 10 year old, it is just a very sick and
twisted
> thing to do.
>
> Isn't it amazing that people happen to believe in the gods from the same
> religion their parents did? Somehow, children in Iraq or Afghanistan
never
> see angels or believe in Jesus. What political party do you belong
to? Do
> your parents belong to the same party? Huh. Amazing, what a
coincidence.
>
> > So can you. Just believe it. Read
> > your Bible, get on your knees and ask for forgiveness
>
> Forgiveness for what crime? Not believing in someone who doesn't have
the
> decency to introduce himself and is hiding from everyone? Eternal pain
in
> hell seems like a just punishment to fit that crime, don't you think?
>
> Jesus:
> "If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and
wife and
> children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot
be my
> disciple." - Luke 14:26
>
> So I hope you hate your own mother, father, children, etc., or Jesus wants
> nothing to do with you.

>
> Read the book instead of waving it around, and you will see that you are
not
> even following what it tells you to do. Do you know what you call a
> Christian after he actually READS the bible? An Atheist.
>
>

1984. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:19:32 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mofns066b114@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A Challenge to All Christians
Kenneth Harding
1998

--

Mark 16:18

"They will pick up snakes with their hands; and when they drink
deadly poison, it will not hurt them at all; they will place their hands on
sick people, and they will get well." (NIV)

"They shall take up serpents; and if they drink any deadly thing, it
shall not hurt them; they shall lay hands on the sick, and they shall
recover." (KJV)

According to the New Testament of the Christian Bible, they (believers
in Jesus Christ) can handle snakes, presumably poisonous ones, without harm.
With this claim, religious faith enters the realm of testable science, and I
hereby call the bluff.

Is the Bible true? Are its verses correct in every word, being
inspired by God? Or is the bible mythology, the flawed word of primitive
men? Or are some parts inspired, and some parts flawed? If so, which parts
are true and which parts are false, and how can one determine which is
which?

Is Mark 16:18 a lie? Does the Holy Bible contain a falsehood? Is it
telling you that you, as a true believer, can do something extremely
dangerous without risk? All Christians who truly believe in Jesus Christ
are hereby challenged to handle a rattlesnake without physical protection,
or drink a glass of arsenic (or any positively lethal substance), and seek
no medical assistance afterward. The act must be performed in the presence
of skeptical observers, and for authenticity, skeptics should provide the
snake and/or poison as well. Well, is your faith strong enough? Do you
really believe as strongly as you think you do?

--

The following article first appeared in Freethought Today, Vol. 15, No.
9.

Bite Kills Snake-handling Minister

A preacher, whose wife died three years ago from a snakebite, succumbed himself on Oct. 3, after handling a 3-foot-long timber rattler during a prayer meeting.

John Wayne "Punkin" Brown Jr., 34, of Tennessee, was bitten on the hand while preaching at the Rocky House Holiness Church in rural Alabama. He continued preaching for ten or 15 minutes after being bitten, then fell dead from the pulpit. His wife Melinda, 28, died in 1994 two days after refusing medical attention following a rattlesnake bite during a church service in Kentucky.

"He was really looking forward to that day anyway" when he could see his wife again, opined the Rev. Carl Porter of Kingston, Georgia, to the Birmingham News. Porter plans to pass along Brown's two rattlers to Brown's brother, by the way.

The Browns had five children.

There have been at least 75 snake-handling deaths among fundamentalists in the United States during this Century.

--

This event is submitted as EVIDENCE that the sacred scriptures are untrue.

Some may say, "He was not a true believer." Well, I submit that he was. He believed strongly enough to handle the snake. He was a minister. He had given over his life for his beliefs. He followed the word of God to the letter, and put his complete trust in the scripture. HE certainly thought that he believed. What more proof of belief could be provided? If that is not true belief, what is? Is your belief so strong?

Others may protest that he should not have tested the Lord. Well, it was the Lord, presumably, who inspired Mark (or whoever really wrote that book) to include that verse. After all, it is claimed that the scriptures are the inspired word of God. If God did not intend that people should make use of that verse as a guide for their lives, why did He include it at all? What other purpose could it possibly serve?

Some people suggest that this verse only applied to the original Apostles. BUT, that statement finds no support in scripture. If one reads verses 16 and 17, it becomes clear that Jesus was speaking about "them that believe", those that are baptized and saved. It does not say anything about the original Apostles being the only ones who can drink poison and handle snakes.

Preachers certainly make use of the second half of the verse "they will place their hands on sick people, and they will get well." You need only to turn on late night TV to see the proliferation of faith-healing charlatans, milking the public of their hard-earned funds, by pretending to heal their sicknesses. Why then should the first half of the verse Mark 16:18 be metaphorical, and the second half of it be literal? Such an

argument is an avoidance of the irrationality of the philosophy. This is one more example of the harm that literal belief in the bible can produce.

Just food for thought.

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:v8mj8qdcmbv76f@corp.supernews.com...
> Not only that. But if the Bible is 100 percent God's truth. Then why hasn't
> it been presented to all people across the world now and in the past 2000
> years or so. Not only that fact but I know that some of my ancestry was
> American Indian and they didn't know anything about Christianity until the
> Europeans came here during the 1600's. You will also find that phenomenon
> occured in a multitude of cultures in the past 2000 years. Now how can
> something be absolute truth if hasn't been brought up to the mass of people.
> For example, the Bible talks about eternal hell but how can you damn someone
> to hell for an eternity for not knowing anything about that religion. If you
> go back and read, you will find that most cultures across the world did not
> have any contact with Christianity until the past 300 years or so as the
> colonialists moved into Asia, Africa, and South America. People have existed
> in Vietnam, China, Burkina Faso, Congo, etc for thousands of years yet have
> never heard anything of Christianity until the past 300 to 400 years at
> best. I suspect there are still some individuals in tribes in South America
> or in the Pacific/Asia sphere that don't even have a Bible where they can
> study Gods word.
>
> "Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8A846A.BC570B2D@topnow.com...
> > N7MFR wrote:
> > >
> > > I did not say that my opinion is absolute. I said God's truth is
> absolute.
> >
> > Precisely. And that statement, "God's truth is absolute", is
> > just your opinion.
> >
> >
> > > And His Word through scripture is absolute, whether understood fully or
> not.
> > > Obviously, since there is one truth, we best get about finding out what
> God
> > > wants from us. I am NOT special. I am a sinner and my righteousness is

> as
> > > dirty rags. So is yours.
> > > I do not have all of the answers. But one thing I do know is that
> JESUS
> > > IS LORD. And the Muslims, Hindus and Buddhists reject that. When those
> > > groups confess that Jesus is the only way to salvation and into the
> kingdom
> > > of God, then they will know the truth and the truth will set them
free.
> > > AMEN.
> > > "Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3E8A7D9D.319D0BE6@topnow.com...
> > > > N7MFR wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > God's truth is absolute.
> > > > >
> > > > You DO realize this is only a statement of opinion? No
> > > > matter how strongly stated or believed?
> > > > >
> > > > You'd be very hard-pressed to collect up any large number of
> > > > Christians from different denominations and find agreement
> > > > on what "God's Truth" actually was about much of anything,
> > > > due to interpretations, translations, context, and different
> > > > starting frameworks. So given that God's Truth is in fact
> > > > absolute, given that there are so many different opinions
> > > > out there, all claiming to be the truth, there's a
> > > > vanishingly small chance that you are the one who possess
> > > > that actual "God's truth." That doesn't even begin to
> > > > address the chance that God's Truth might be Muslim, or
> > > > Jewish, or Hindu, or Bhuddist.
> > > > >
> > > > Face it, your views are philosophy and opinion. You may be
> > > > right, but odds are your views are mostly wrong about what
> > > > God wants unless you're VERY special.
> > > > >
> > > > -- Ross
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > "Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > In article
> <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> > > > > "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish

garoting
> a =
> > > > > > >native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul
...
> for
> > > =
> > > > > > >them I guess it was just fun.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying
on
> > > > > rewritten
> > > > > > text books to glean this information. These same books usually
> fail to
> > > > > mention
> > > > > > the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged
in
> > > before
> > > > > > contact with European men.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that
die
> in
> > > > > grace
> > > > > > will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a
> > > difference.
> > > > > Carl
> > > > > > Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious.
> > > > > Unconscious
> > > > > > implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the
> subconscious
> > > > > implies
> > > > > > that the content is there but not currently being utilized by
the
> > > mind. If
> > > > > God
> > > > > > were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect
health.
> We
> > > > > don't.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys
> at
> > > work.
> > > > > > Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a
> surprise.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming
> destruction

> > > of
> > > > > =
> > > > > > >the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God
> will =
> > > > > > >impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything
> and =
> > > > > > >everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for
the
> > > right =
> > > > > > >moment, and punishes everyone.
> > > > > > >The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite
> beyond
> > > =
> > > > > > >imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause
people
> to
> > > =
> > > > > > >seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's
life,
> and
> > > =
> > > > > > >give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we
are
> the
> > > =
> > > > > > >providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving
> sinners
> > > all =
> > > > > > >we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little
> mercy
> > > =
> > > > > > >and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >Earthlink
> > > > > > >May you live as long as you want,
> > > > > > >and never want as long as you live.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Never say never.
> > > > > > Nothing is absolute.
> > > > > > >
> > > > >
>
>

1985. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:19:42 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mog02cbs2c1a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A Challenge to All Christians
Kenneth Harding
1998

--

Mark 16:18

"They will pick up snakes with their hands; and when they drink deadly poison, it will not hurt them at all; they will place their hands on sick people, and they will get well." (NIV)

"They shall take up serpents; and if they drink any deadly thing, it shall not hurt them; they shall lay hands on the sick, and they shall recover." (KJV)

According to the New Testament of the Christian Bible, they (believers in Jesus Christ) can handle snakes, presumably poisonous ones, without harm. With this claim, religious faith enters the realm of testable science, and I hereby call the bluff.

Is the Bible true? Are its verses correct in every word, being inspired by God? Or is the bible mythology, the flawed word of primitive men? Or are some parts inspired, and some parts flawed? If so, which parts are true and which parts are false, and how can one determine which is which?

Is Mark 16:18 a lie? Does the Holy Bible contain a falsehood? Is it telling you that you, as a true believer, can do something extremely dangerous without risk? All Christians who truly believe in Jesus Christ are hereby challenged to handle a rattlesnake without physical protection, or drink a glass of arsenic (or any positively lethal substance), and seek no medical assistance afterward. The act must be performed in the presence of skeptical observers, and for authenticity, skeptics should provide the snake and/or poison as well. Well, is your faith strong enough? Do you really believe as strongly as you think you do?

--

The following article first appeared in Freethought Today, Vol. 15, No. 9.

Bite Kills Snake-handling Minister

A preacher, whose wife died three years ago from a snakebite, succumbed himself on Oct. 3, after handling a 3-foot-long timber rattler during a prayer meeting.

John Wayne "Punkin" Brown Jr., 34, of Tennessee, was bitten on the hand while preaching at the Rocky House Holiness Church in rural Alabama. He continued preaching for ten or 15 minutes after being bitten, then fell dead from the pulpit. His wife Melinda, 28, died in 1994 two days after refusing medical attention following a rattlesnake bite during a church service in Kentucky.

"He was really looking forward to that day anyway" when he could see his wife again, opined the Rev. Carl Porter of Kingston, Georgia, to the Birmingham News. Porter plans to pass along Brown's two rattlers to Brown's brother, by the way.

The Browns had five children.

There have been at least 75 snake-handling deaths among fundamentalists in the United States during this Century.

--

This event is submitted as EVIDENCE that the sacred scriptures are untrue.

Some may say, "He was not a true believer." Well, I submit that he was. He believed strongly enough to handle the snake. He was a minister. He had given over his life for his beliefs. He followed the word of God to the letter, and put his complete trust in the scripture. HE certainly thought that he believed. What more proof of belief could be provided? If that is not true belief, what is? Is your belief so strong?

Others may protest that he should not have tested the Lord. Well, it was the Lord, presumably, who inspired Mark (or whoever really wrote that book) to include that verse. After all, it is claimed that the scriptures are the inspired word of God. If God did not intend that people should make use of that verse as a guide for their lives, why did He include it at all? What other purpose could it possibly serve?

Some people suggest that this verse only applied to the original Apostles. BUT, that statement finds no support in scripture. If one reads verses 16 and 17, it becomes clear that Jesus was speaking about "them that believe", those that are baptized and saved. It does not say anything about the original Apostles being the only ones who can drink poison and handle snakes.

Preachers certainly make use of the second half of the verse "they will place their hands on sick people, and they will get well." You need only to turn on late night TV to see the proliferation of faith-healing charlatans, milking the public of their hard-earned funds, by pretending to heal their sicknesses. Why then should the first half of the verse Mark 16:18 be metaphorical, and the second half of it be literal? Such an

argument is an avoidance of the irrationality of the philosophy. This is one more example of the harm that literal belief in the bible can produce.

Just food for thought.

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:v8mk1dn1mpb1a8@corp.supernews.com...
> Its kinda like God giving you a mind to think and your fundamentalist doesnt
> use it. The earth is also flat according to the church. Also, the fact is
> that Jesus never intended for his followers to start a church. In fact, the
> word church is only brought up twice in the Bible.
>
> "Texas Steven" <rothrock@stic.net> wrote in message
> news:3e89ecf0$0$43848$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> > Too bad......Some people just don't have NO sense of humor (That GOD gave
> > them)
> > Wait a minute....if GOD gave it to them....then it looks as if THEY DON"T
> > USE IT!
> >
> >
> >
> > TexasSteven
> > Food For Thought!
> >
> >
>
>

1986. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:19:53 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8mogao4ias222@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A Challenge to All Christians
Kenneth Harding
1998

--

Mark 16:18

"They will pick up snakes with their hands; and when they drink
deadly poison, it will not hurt them at all; they will place their hands on
sick people, and they will get well." (NIV)

"They shall take up serpents; and if they drink any deadly thing, it
shall not hurt them; they shall lay hands on the sick, and they shall
recover." (KJV)

According to the New Testament of the Christian Bible, they (believers
in Jesus Christ) can handle snakes, presumably poisonous ones, without harm.
With this claim, religious faith enters the realm of testable science, and I
hereby call the bluff.

Is the Bible true? Are its verses correct in every word, being
inspired by God? Or is the bible mythology, the flawed word of primitive
men? Or are some parts inspired, and some parts flawed? If so, which parts
are true and which parts are false, and how can one determine which is
which?

Is Mark 16:18 a lie? Does the Holy Bible contain a falsehood? Is it
telling you that you, as a true believer, can do something extremely
dangerous without risk? All Christians who truly believe in Jesus Christ
are hereby challenged to handle a rattlesnake without physical protection,
or drink a glass of arsenic (or any positively lethal substance), and seek
no medical assistance afterward. The act must be performed in the presence
of skeptical observers, and for authenticity, skeptics should provide the
snake and/or poison as well. Well, is your faith strong enough? Do you
really believe as strongly as you think you do?

--

The following article first appeared in Freethought Today, Vol. 15, No.
9.

Bite Kills Snake-handling Minister

A preacher, whose wife died three years ago from a snakebite, succumbed himself on Oct. 3, after handling a 3-foot-long timber rattler during a prayer meeting.

John Wayne "Punkin" Brown Jr., 34, of Tennessee, was bitten on the hand while preaching at the Rocky House Holiness Church in rural Alabama. He continued preaching for ten or 15 minutes after being bitten, then fell dead from the pulpit. His wife Melinda, 28, died in 1994 two days after refusing medical attention following a rattlesnake bite during a church service in Kentucky.

"He was really looking forward to that day anyway" when he could see his wife again, opined the Rev. Carl Porter of Kingston, Georgia, to the Birmingham News. Porter plans to pass along Brown's two rattlers to Brown's brother, by the way.

The Browns had five children.

There have been at least 75 snake-handling deaths among fundamentalists in the United States during this Century.

This event is submitted as EVIDENCE that the sacred scriptures are untrue.

Some may say, "He was not a true believer." Well, I submit that he was. He believed strongly enough to handle the snake. He was a minister. He had given over his life for his beliefs. He followed the word of God to the letter, and put his complete trust in the scripture. HE certainly thought that he believed. What more proof of belief could be provided? If that is not true belief, what is? Is your belief so strong?

Others may protest that he should not have tested the Lord. Well, it was the Lord, presumably, who inspired Mark (or whoever really wrote that book) to include that verse. After all, it is claimed that the scriptures are the inspired word of God. If God did not intend that people should make use of that verse as a guide for their lives, why did He include it at all? What other purpose could it possibly serve?

Some people suggest that this verse only applied to the original Apostles. BUT, that statement finds no support in scripture. If one reads verses 16 and 17, it becomes clear that Jesus was speaking about "them that believe", those that are baptized and saved. It does not say anything about the original Apostles being the only ones who can drink poison and handle snakes.

Preachers certainly make use of the second half of the verse "they will place their hands on sick people, and they will get well." You need only to turn on late night TV to see the proliferation of faith-healing charlatans, milking the public of their hard-earned funds, by pretending to heal their sicknesses. Why then should the first half of the verse Mark 16:18 be metaphorical, and the second half of it be literal? Such an

argument is an avoidance of the irrationality of the philosophy. This is one more example of the harm that literal belief in the bible can produce.

Just food for thought.

"N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Ugvia.4785$4P1.373721@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> The Essenes were not a tribe. They were a sect. A group of philosophers
> that many in error claim that Jesus spent time with. His teachings are
> clearly not in line with what the Essenes taught.
>
>
>
>
> "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:v8kf8469mn3e4d@corp.supernews.com...
> > From the record, Jesus was a Jew and so was Paul. Actually, Jesus was
from
> > the Essene tribe.
> >
> > "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E8A0C7C.99482E5B@email.com...
> > > Jesus:
> > >
> > > "Am I a Christian or a Jew?"
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1987. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:21:08 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8moiqi99p6t45@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Are you a true believer?

A Challenge to All Christians
Kenneth Harding
1998

--

Mark 16:18

"They will pick up snakes with their hands; and when they drink deadly poison, it will not hurt them at all; they will place their hands on sick people, and they will get well." (NIV)

"They shall take up serpents; and if they drink any deadly thing, it shall not hurt them; they shall lay hands on the sick, and they shall recover." (KJV)

According to the New Testament of the Christian Bible, they (believers in Jesus Christ) can handle snakes, presumably poisonous ones, without harm. With this claim, religious faith enters the realm of testable science, and I hereby call the bluff.

Is the Bible true? Are its verses correct in every word, being inspired by God? Or is the bible mythology, the flawed word of primitive men? Or are some parts inspired, and some parts flawed? If so, which parts are true and which parts are false, and how can one determine which is which?

Is Mark 16:18 a lie? Does the Holy Bible contain a falsehood? Is it telling you that you, as a true believer, can do something extremely dangerous without risk? All Christians who truly believe in Jesus Christ are hereby challenged to handle a rattlesnake without physical protection, or drink a glass of arsenic (or any positively lethal substance), and seek no medical assistance afterward. The act must be performed in the presence of skeptical observers, and for authenticity, skeptics should provide the snake and/or poison as well. Well, is your faith strong enough? Do you really believe as strongly as you think you do?

--

The following article first appeared in Freethought Today, Vol. 15, No. 9.

Bite Kills Snake-handling Minister

A preacher, whose wife died three years ago from a snakebite, succumbed himself on Oct. 3, after handling a 3-foot-long timber rattler during a prayer meeting.

John Wayne "Punkin" Brown Jr., 34, of Tennessee, was bitten on the hand while preaching at the Rocky House Holiness Church in rural Alabama. He continued preaching for ten or 15 minutes after being bitten, then fell dead from the pulpit. His wife Melinda, 28, died in 1994 two days after refusing medical attention following a rattlesnake bite during a church service in Kentucky.

"He was really looking forward to that day anyway" when he could see his wife again, opined the Rev. Carl Porter of Kingston, Georgia, to the Birmingham News. Porter plans to pass along Brown's two rattlers to Brown's brother, by the way.

The Browns had five children.

There have been at least 75 snake-handling deaths among fundamentalists in the United States during this Century.

This event is submitted as EVIDENCE that the sacred scriptures are untrue.

Some may say, "He was not a true believer." Well, I submit that he was. He believed strongly enough to handle the snake. He was a minister. He had given over his life for his beliefs. He followed the word of God to the letter, and put his complete trust in the scripture. HE certainly thought that he believed. What more proof of belief could be provided? If that is not true belief, what is? Is your belief so strong?

Others may protest that he should not have tested the Lord. Well, it was the Lord, presumably, who inspired Mark (or whoever really wrote that book) to include that verse. After all, it is claimed that the scriptures are the inspired word of God. If God did not intend that people should make use of that verse as a guide for their lives, why did He include it at all? What other purpose could it possibly serve?

Some people suggest that this verse only applied to the original Apostles. BUT, that statement finds no support in scripture. If one reads verses 16 and 17, it becomes clear that Jesus was speaking about "them that believe", those that are baptized and saved. It does not say anything about the original Apostles being the only ones who can drink poison and handle snakes.

Preachers certainly make use of the second half of the verse "they will place their hands on sick people, and they will get well." You need only to turn on late night TV to see the proliferation of faith-healing charlatans, milking the public of their hard-earned funds, by pretending to heal their sicknesses. Why then should the first half of the verse Mark 16:18 be metaphorical, and the second half of it be literal? Such an

argument is an avoidance of the irrationality of the philosophy. This is one more example of the harm that literal belief in the bible can produce.

Just food for thought.

"N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:njvia.4792$4P1.373843@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Indeed. They do not resemble Jesus because they are of their father Satan.
>
>
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8A0C4D.5A0E68F0@email.com...
> > Jesus:
> >
> > "Why didn't the Pope go to Iraq and be a human shield? He could have
> > stopped the war as there is no way Bush would have dropped a bomb on the
> > Pope, and even if he did, I would then welcome the Pope into heaven as a
> > saint for what he did. Hmmmm, perhaps the Pope doesn't believe in me
> > after all"
> >
> >
> > "Also, if priests really believed in me and my father, why would they be
> > molesting and raping little boys? If they believed in god, they would
> > know he could always see them when they were committing this sin,
> > right? They obviously don't believe in me either, only in the holy tax
> > free collection plate."
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1988. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:37:21 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E8B665D.64436ED2@emai.com>
Source record: 33553
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

JJ wrote:

> John Simmons wrote:
> > JJ wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Yea right.
> >
> >
> >>Sure can.
> >
> >
> >>What a pompous moron!
> >
> >
> >>You are doing a good job of proving what a pompous a** you are.
> >
> >
> >>And they don't need to stupid.
> >
> >
> >>You among them.
> >
> >
> >>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
> >>ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> >>You sure are.
> >
> >
> > I can't find anyway to debate such fine and astute arguments. You win!
>
> But you do know how to do heart transplant surgery I suppose, and
> even without looking at the manual I bet. Why don't you tell how
> you would do a transplant for those of us who are too lazy to read
> the manual.

I actually have no idea how to do this or which of the two types of sutures would be used or anything like that. You found me out. I said you win. What more do you want? I can't find anyway to argue your statements and am here admitting it. Why are you wasting time with someone like me that can no longer match your debating skills? Time to find someone else now who is more on your level of debating. With me you are just going to win every

argument from now on and how challenging will that be for you?

1989. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:50:45 UTC
From: Shut 'Em Down <debunk@myth.com>
Message-ID: <7PJia.1639$EO.191@fed1read07>
Source record: 33554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My question is if someone is dumb enough to try this and gets sick, will Kenneth Harding get sued or will Jesus?

-SMD
"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:v8mog02cbs2c1a@corp.supernews.com...
> A Challenge to All Christians
> Kenneth Harding
> 1998
>
>
>
> ---
--
> --
>
> Mark 16:18
>
> "They will pick up snakes with their hands; and when they
drink
> deadly poison, it will not hurt them at all; they will place their hands
on
> sick people, and they will get well." (NIV)
>
> "They shall take up serpents; and if they drink any deadly thing, it
> shall not hurt them; they shall lay hands on the sick, and they shall
> recover." (KJV)
>
> According to the New Testament of the Christian Bible, they
(believers
> in Jesus Christ) can handle snakes, presumably poisonous ones, without
harm.
> With this claim, religious faith enters the realm of testable science, and
I
> hereby call the bluff.
>
> Is the Bible true? Are its verses correct in every word, being
> inspired by God? Or is the bible mythology, the flawed word of primitive
> men? Or are some parts inspired, and some parts flawed? If so, which
parts
> are true and which parts are false, and how can one determine which is
> which?
>

> Is Mark 16:18 a lie? Does the Holy Bible contain a falsehood? Is
it
> telling you that you, as a true believer, can do something extremely
> dangerous without risk? All Christians who truly believe in Jesus Christ
> are hereby challenged to handle a rattlesnake without physical protection,
> or drink a glass of arsenic (or any positively lethal substance), and seek
> no medical assistance afterward. The act must be performed in the
presence
> of skeptical observers, and for authenticity, skeptics should provide the
> snake and/or poison as well. Well, is your faith strong enough? Do you
> really believe as strongly as you think you do?
>
>
>
> ---
--
> --
>
> The following article first appeared in Freethought Today, Vol. 15,
No.
> 9.
>
> Bite Kills Snake-handling Minister
>
> A preacher, whose wife died three years ago from a snakebite,
succumbed
> himself on Oct. 3, after handling a 3-foot-long timber rattler during a
> prayer meeting.
>
> John Wayne "Punkin" Brown Jr., 34, of Tennessee, was bitten on the
hand
> while preaching at the Rocky House Holiness Church in rural Alabama. He
> continued preaching for ten or 15 minutes after being bitten, then fell
dead
> from the pulpit. His wife Melinda, 28, died in 1994 two days after
refusing
> medical attention following a rattlesnake bite during a church service in
> Kentucky.
>
> "He was really looking forward to that day anyway" when he could see
his
> wife again, opined the Rev. Carl Porter of Kingston, Georgia, to the
> Birmingham News. Porter plans to pass along Brown's two rattlers to
Brown's
> brother, by the way.
>
> The Browns had five children.
>
> There have been at least 75 snake-handling deaths among
fundamentalists

> in the United States during this Century.
>
>
> ---
--
> --
>
> This event is submitted as EVIDENCE that the sacred scriptures are
> untrue.
>
> Some may say, "He was not a true believer." Well, I submit that he
> was. He believed strongly enough to handle the snake. He was a minister.
> He had given over his life for his beliefs. He followed the word of God
to
> the letter, and put his complete trust in the scripture. HE certainly
> thought that he believed. What more proof of belief could be provided?
If
> that is not true belief, what is? Is your belief so strong?
>
> Others may protest that he should not have tested the Lord. Well,
it
> was the Lord, presumably, who inspired Mark (or whoever really wrote that
> book) to include that verse. After all, it is claimed that the scriptures
> are the inspired word of God. If God did not intend that people should
make
> use of that verse as a guide for their lives, why did He include it at
all?
> What other purpose could it possibly serve?
>
> Some people suggest that this verse only applied to the original
Apostles.
> BUT, that statement finds no support in scripture. If one reads verses 16
> and 17, it becomes clear that Jesus was speaking about "them that
believe",
> those that are baptized and saved. It does not say anything about the
> original Apostles being the only ones who can drink poison and handle
> snakes.
>
>
>
> Preachers certainly make use of the second half of the verse "they
> will place their hands on sick people, and they will get well." You need
> only to turn on late night TV to see the proliferation of faith-healing
> charlatans, milking the public of their hard-earned funds, by pretending
to
> heal their sicknesses. Why then should the first half of the verse Mark
> 16:18 be metaphorical, and the second half of it be literal? Such an
> argument is an avoidance of the irrationality of the philosophy. This is
one
> more example of the harm that literal belief in the bible can produce.

>
> Just food for thought.
>
>
> "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:v8mk1dn1mpb1a8@corp.supernews.com...
> > Its kinda like God giving you a mind to think and your fundamentalist
> doesnt
> > use it. The earth is also flat according to the church. Also, the fact
is
> > that Jesus never intended for his followers to start a church. In fact,
> the
> > word church is only brought up twice in the Bible.
> >
> > "Texas Steven" <rothrock@stic.net> wrote in message
> > news:3e89ecf0$0$43848$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> > > Too bad......Some people just don't have NO sense of humor (That GOD
> gave
> > > them)
> > > Wait a minute....if GOD gave it to them....then it looks as if THEY
> DON"T
> > > USE IT!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > TexasSteven
> > > Food For Thought!
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1990. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:54:31 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8mqh3frvep031@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Interestingly, this story may give proof of the flood. Picture if you will the earth surrounded with a cloud of gases keeping the oxygen at a high level.

Now the firmament condenses and has two effects, first the flood and second, Noah getting drunk from his normal measure of wine. Why? because of the reduced pressure, creating the effect of a higher elevation. If you have had a drink on an airplane (elevation of cabin about 8000 feet) it has a much greater effect than sea level.

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:v8mnerp6m79o3e@corp.supernews.com...
> Its like the Biblical story of Jonah being swallowed by a whale and living
> in the whales belly for 3 days and being finally spat on the land. Not only
> that but the story of Noah where Noah was a sinless man who built the Ark
> yet after the Ark found its resting place he was found drunken and naked by
> his son. Its stories like that really hurt the moral message of the Bible.
> Its like in the Old Testament when God commanded Moses to kill, yet when one
> thinks about it, since God has all the power then why doesnt he kill them
> instead of commanding Moses. Or is it Moses trying to cover his own actions.
>
>
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8B5601.F4378CD4@emai.com...
> > Never anonymous Bud wrote:
> >
> > > Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, "signalchaser"
> <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> belched:
> > >
> > > >From the record, Jesus was a Jew and so was Paul. Actually, Jesus was
> from
> > > >the Essene tribe.
> > >
> > > There is NO evidence that Jesus was an Essene.
> >
> > To argue about "evidence" to support something about a fictional character
> of mythology, is like
> > trying to find evidence supporting that Santa Clause voted Republican.
> >

> > The fact that you are even having this debate is hilarious. What
> "evidence" can be provided to
> > show that Jesus could walk on water, rise from the dead and fly into
outer
> space, or that Santa Could
> > make reindeer fly?
> >
> > These are fairy tales to make children behave. "Obey thy mother and
> father" "Be good or no
> > presents"
> >
> > When you grow up to be an adult, you realize that Jesus and Santa are
> make-believe.
> > Well, some of us anyway.
> >
> >
>
>

1991. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 22:57:51 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8mqnba8sjepa4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

There are several churches in the south that do just that.

One of the TV shows called it bunk and had the arsenic tested, and sure
enough it was sufficient to kill you. The believers suffered no ill effects.
They are bitten by snakes, and again no ill effect.

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:v8moiqi99p6t45@corp.supernews.com...
> Are you a true believer?
>
>
>
>
>
> A Challenge to All Christians
> Kenneth Harding
> 1998
>
>
>
> --
--
> --
>
> Mark 16:18
>
> "They will pick up snakes with their hands; and when they
drink
> deadly poison, it will not hurt them at all; they will place their hands
on
> sick people, and they will get well." (NIV)
>
> "They shall take up serpents; and if they drink any deadly thing, it
> shall not hurt them; they shall lay hands on the sick, and they shall
> recover." (KJV)
>
> According to the New Testament of the Christian Bible, they
(believers
> in Jesus Christ) can handle snakes, presumably poisonous ones, without
harm.
> With this claim, religious faith enters the realm of testable science, and
I
> hereby call the bluff.
>

> Is the Bible true? Are its verses correct in every word, being
> inspired by God? Or is the bible mythology, the flawed word of primitive
> men? Or are some parts inspired, and some parts flawed? If so, which
parts
> are true and which parts are false, and how can one determine which is
> which?
>
> Is Mark 16:18 a lie? Does the Holy Bible contain a falsehood? Is
it
> telling you that you, as a true believer, can do something extremely
> dangerous without risk? All Christians who truly believe in Jesus Christ
> are hereby challenged to handle a rattlesnake without physical protection,
> or drink a glass of arsenic (or any positively lethal substance), and seek
> no medical assistance afterward. The act must be performed in the
presence
> of skeptical observers, and for authenticity, skeptics should provide the
> snake and/or poison as well. Well, is your faith strong enough? Do you
> really believe as strongly as you think you do?
>
>
>
> ---
--
> --
>
> The following article first appeared in Freethought Today, Vol. 15,
No.
> 9.
>
> Bite Kills Snake-handling Minister
>
> A preacher, whose wife died three years ago from a snakebite,
succumbed
> himself on Oct. 3, after handling a 3-foot-long timber rattler during a
> prayer meeting.
>
> John Wayne "Punkin" Brown Jr., 34, of Tennessee, was bitten on the
hand
> while preaching at the Rocky House Holiness Church in rural Alabama. He
> continued preaching for ten or 15 minutes after being bitten, then fell
dead
> from the pulpit. His wife Melinda, 28, died in 1994 two days after
refusing
> medical attention following a rattlesnake bite during a church service in
> Kentucky.
>
> "He was really looking forward to that day anyway" when he could see
his
> wife again, opined the Rev. Carl Porter of Kingston, Georgia, to the
> Birmingham News. Porter plans to pass along Brown's two rattlers to

Brown's
> brother, by the way.
>
> The Browns had five children.
>
> There have been at least 75 snake-handling deaths among
fundamentalists
> in the United States during this Century.
>
>
> --
--
> --
>
> This event is submitted as EVIDENCE that the sacred scriptures are
> untrue.
>
> Some may say, "He was not a true believer." Well, I submit that he
> was. He believed strongly enough to handle the snake. He was a minister.
> He had given over his life for his beliefs. He followed the word of God
to
> the letter, and put his complete trust in the scripture. HE certainly
> thought that he believed. What more proof of belief could be provided?
If
> that is not true belief, what is? Is your belief so strong?
>
> Others may protest that he should not have tested the Lord. Well,
it
> was the Lord, presumably, who inspired Mark (or whoever really wrote that
> book) to include that verse. After all, it is claimed that the scriptures
> are the inspired word of God. If God did not intend that people should
make
> use of that verse as a guide for their lives, why did He include it at
all?
> What other purpose could it possibly serve?
>
> Some people suggest that this verse only applied to the original
Apostles.
> BUT, that statement finds no support in scripture. If one reads verses 16
> and 17, it becomes clear that Jesus was speaking about "them that
believe",
> those that are baptized and saved. It does not say anything about the
> original Apostles being the only ones who can drink poison and handle
> snakes.
>
>
>
> Preachers certainly make use of the second half of the verse "they
> will place their hands on sick people, and they will get well." You need
> only to turn on late night TV to see the proliferation of faith-healing

> charlatans, milking the public of their hard-earned funds, by pretending
to
> heal their sicknesses. Why then should the first half of the verse Mark
> 16:18 be metaphorical, and the second half of it be literal? Such an
> argument is an avoidance of the irrationality of the philosophy. This is
one
> more example of the harm that literal belief in the bible can produce.
>
> Just food for thought.
>
>
> "N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:njvia.4792$4P1.373843@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Indeed. They do not resemble Jesus because they are of their father
Satan.
> >
> >
> > "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E8A0C4D.5A0E68F0@email.com...
> > > Jesus:
> > >
> > > "Why didn't the Pope go to Iraq and be a human shield? He could have
> > > stopped the war as there is no way Bush would have dropped a bomb on
the
> > > Pope, and even if he did, I would then welcome the Pope into heaven as
a
> > > saint for what he did. Hmmmm, perhaps the Pope doesn't believe in
me
> > > after all"
> > >
> > >
> > > "Also, if priests really believed in me and my father, why would they
be
> > > molesting and raping little boys? If they believed in god, they
would
> > > know he could always see them when they were committing this sin,
> > > right? They obviously don't believe in me either, only in the holy
tax
> > > free collection plate."
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1992. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 23:18:13 UTC
From: Shut 'Em Down <debunk@myth.com>
Message-ID: <ScKia.1649$EO.679@fed1read07>
Source record: 33558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

So then, when one of your children needs to do their homework, you will do it for them since you are much wiser and more educated than they are.

Forgive my saying so, but it sounds like you will have very stupid kids. Why even bother to have them? They're so much work and generally a big pain.... Get a puppy instead.

-SMD

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:v8mnvdsk5ev719@corp.supernews.com...
> Funny that God creates his people so that they can end up being agents of
> Satan when the Bible says he is all knowing and all powerful.

1993. Re: What kind of radio do American soldiers use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 23:21:50 UTC
From: Shut 'Em Down <debunk@myth.com>
Message-ID: <ggKia.1650$EO.840@fed1read07>
Source record: 33559

I think they are using these:

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F009%5F011%5F000%5F000&product%5Fid=60%2D2625

-SMD

"buo" <hkl@er.com> wrote in message news:3E88E228.EAADEE85@er.com...
> Are walkie talkies they use encrypted and what freq are they on.
>

1994. Re: What kind of radio do American soldiers use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 23:22:52 UTC
From: Shut 'Em Down <debunk@myth.com>
Message-ID: <ehKia.1651$EO.1000@fed1read07>
Source record: 33560

"Shut 'Em Down" <debunk@myth.com> wrote in message
news:ggKia.1650$EO.840@fed1read07...
> I think they are using these:
>
>
http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CT
LG%5F009%5F011%5F000%5F000&product%5Fid=60%2D2625
>
> -SMD
>
> "buo" <hkl@er.com> wrote in message news:3E88E228.EAADEE85@er.com...
> > Are walkie talkies they use encrypted and what freq are they on.
> >
>
>

1995. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 23:28:29 UTC
From: Shut 'Em Down <debunk@myth.com>
Message-ID: <umKia.1652$EO.1353@fed1read07>
Source record: 33561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That's what the doctor keeps telling me, but then He pops into my head and says "He's a blasphemer. Kick him in the shin" so I do.

Then they just give me my pills and leave. They're so nice - they even lock the door behind them so no one will interrupt me while I place my tiny ads in the newspapers.

-SMD
"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message news:3E8B5C4C.3AB122BE@emai.com...
> > It's the people who stand so high and tell us all what god really means that
> > gets everyone in trouble or killed.
> >
> > God doesn't need an interpreter. He can and does speak to each of us
> > directly.
>
> He has never spoken to you, and you know it. He has never spoken to anyone.
>
>

1996. Re: Trunk Tracking PCR-1000 v0.5.40 Beta Now Available...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 23:37:22 UTC
From: skadeed <skadeed@net.com>
Message-ID: <b6fs7d$59e$1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 33562

Is this program compatable with XP Pro?

"CrazyC" <crazy__c@hot_mail.com> wrote in message
news:MV8ia.48892$XA4.36847@news2.central.cox.net...
> Hi all,
> I have the beta version available for download now...
>
> This version supports the Motorola Trunking formats.
> TypeI are treated as TypeII for now.
>
> You can also Backup & Verify your EEprom.
> (taken from my PcrPro1k program)
>
> You can check it out here:
> http://104452.home.icq.com/trunkpcr/TrunkPcr1k.html
>
> The Help page shows some Screen Shots of the program in operation.
>
> Regards,
> Jay
>
>

1997. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 23:49:40 UTC
From: Shut 'Em Down <debunk@myth.com>
Message-ID: <IGKia.1653$EO.644@fed1read07>
Source record: 33563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:v8moiqi99p6t45@corp.supernews.com...
> Are you a true believer?
> Mark 16:18
>
> "They will pick up snakes with their hands; and when they
drink
> deadly poison, it will not hurt them at all;

I've drank Olde English 800 Malt Liquor and eaten at Taco Bell before. Does
that count?

-SMD

1998. Re: What kind of radio do American soldiers use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-02 23:55:14 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8mu2ukrt18mc1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33564

They use SINGARS radios. See the link below:

http://www.acd.itt.com/singars.htm

"Shut 'Em Down" <debunk@myth.com> wrote in message
news:ehKia.1651$EO.1000@fed1read07...
>
> "Shut 'Em Down" <debunk@myth.com> wrote in message
> news:ggKia.1650$EO.840@fed1read07...
> > I think they are using these:
> >
> >
>
http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CT
> LG%5F009%5F011%5F000%5F000&product%5Fid=60%2D2625
> >
> > -SMD
> >
> > "buo" <hkl@er.com> wrote in message news:3E88E228.EAADEE85@er.com...
> > > Are walkie talkies they use encrypted and what freq are they on.
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1999. Re: This Group Is Officially Dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 00:08:48 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030402190848.27576.00000103@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 33565

>Maybe we should rename this rec.radio.cb or rec.radio.shortwave.
>

Rec.radio.cb might be right on target. Rec.radio.shortwave is actually providing me with a lot better s/n ratio than rrscanner and infinitely better than the abysmal rrcb.
I've stuck with rrsw over the last few months (and off and on over the years) but tend to avoid rrcb for months at a time, knowing it'll be the same useless forum for boneheads as it has always been.
This group isn't doing a whole lot better lately, but is usually more informative, and I don't avoid it as often or for as long a stretch as with rrcb.
I'd rather do my own research, and find my own answers to my radio questions, than dive into the trash of some of these newsgroups.
How about
rec.radio.cb.scanner.non-communication.redneck.mindless.grudgematch.pissin
g.contest?... +spam.
Linus

2000. Re: Little Boys on the Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 00:12:28 UTC
From: Celt Chic <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <M%Kia.42682$ja4.2618001@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33566

"I'm as confused as the next guy. I have so many more questions than
answers. A closed mind is an empty mind. There is good and bad in the world.
Expect dissapointment. Expect hardship. Expect loss. Expect your
expectations to be demolished over and over and over again. But more than
any of this..... love."
-http://www.drtune.net/philosophycorner.html

--
~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
Radio Shack DX-402
Radio Shack Pro-95
Radio Shack TRC-499
Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
Web page last updated March 20, 2003.

Hipot Al <hipot230@shamltis.net> wrote in message
news:kEyia.84$Ru4.6663@eagle.america.net...
> And this relates to scanners in what way?
> "Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:co3ia.37507$ja4.2378380@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > This is my simple religion. There is no need for temples; no need for
> > complicated philosophy. Our own brain, our own heart is our temple; the
> > philosophy is kindness. Dalai Lama
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402
> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message
> > news:20030330231419.28056.00000081@mb-ci.aol.com...
> > > It's really too bad that posting off topic posts on newsgroups isn't
> > against
> > > any TOS's.
~~~~~~~

> > > =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> > > 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> > > Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> > > http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> > > Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
> >
> >
>
>

2001. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 00:36:39 UTC
From: Jim Barrett <jbarrett@REMOVE.stny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9351C781B86EA03160612@24.24.2.166>
Source record: 33568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:v8mof8sfgr7s0c@corp.supernews.com:

> Mark 16:18
>
>"They will pick up snakes with their hands; and when they
> drink deadly poison, it will not hurt them at all..."
>

Hard to tell who is the subject and object in the above. It could be interpreted that after being handled by a believer, they (the snakes) could then drink deadly poison with no ill effects!

And, in both versions of the passage, it doesn't specifically say "deadly" snakes (serpents). It just says "snakes". (Most of which are non-poisonous).

2002. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 01:00:49 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8n1u2afvee02c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Every is ignorant who doesnt necessarily follow your worldview. Actually thats true ignorance in action. Kinda like calling the kettle pot black isn't it Mr. Asshole

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:k50n8vopc5dp71vk3p6bsilm9cpdi2t7nh@4ax.com...
> Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> belched:
>
> >Yep, everyone is of Satan including all of the people who aren't even the
> >slightest bit familiar with Christianity.
>
> You are a TOTALLY ignorant asshole.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2003. Re: This Group Is Officially Dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 01:26:01 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <J4Mia.1499$kd1.1459275@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33567

"GrtPmpkin32" <grtpmpkin32@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030402190848.27576.00000103@mb-cm.aol.com...
> >Maybe we should rename this rec.radio.cb or rec.radio.shortwave.
> >
>
> Rec.radio.cb might be right on target. Rec.radio.shortwave is actually
> providing me with a lot better s/n ratio than rrscanner and infinitely
better
> than the abysmal rrcb.
> I've stuck with rrsw over the last few months (and off and on over the
years)
> but tend to avoid rrcb for months at a time, knowing it'll be the same
useless
> forum for boneheads as it has always been.

A few are knoledgable, but most just know that it is "cool" to have an amp.
Most probably don't even know what an amp is or how it works. For all we
know, they might not even know what a cb is.

> This group isn't doing a whole lot better lately, but is usually more
> informative, and I don't avoid it as often or for as long a stretch as
with
> rrcb.
> I'd rather do my own research, and find my own answers to my radio
questions,
> than dive into the trash of some of these newsgroups.

You can find anything on the internet, but sometimes it is just easier to
find it here.

> How about
> rec.radio.cb.scanner.non-communication.redneck.mindless.grudgematch.pissin
> g.contest?... +spam.
> Linus
>
>
This group used to be on-topic, but since the war, this group is "Off Topic"
certified.

p.s. -I just realized that this is pretty off topic itself.
--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying

2004. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 02:04:43 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304021804.5a78d801@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:<4EEia.37551$0X.7485292@twister.columbus.rr.com>...
> Please stop poting HTML in the newsgroups (read the FAQs).
>
> Thank you.

"Q"

Say WHO ? [ME ?]
- - - and WHAT ?

~ RHF

.

2005. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 02:25:01 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1YMia.5670$ey1.442728@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:v8mj8qdcmbv76f@corp.supernews.com...
> Not only that. But if the Bible is 100 percent God's truth. Then why
hasn't
> it been presented to all people across the world now and in the past 2000
> years or so. Not only that fact but I know that some of my ancestry was
> American Indian and they didn't know anything about Christianity until the
> Europeans came here during the 1600's. You will also find that phenomenon
> occured in a multitude of cultures in the past 2000 years. Now how can
> something be absolute truth if hasn't been brought up to the mass of
people.
> For example, the Bible talks about eternal hell but how can you damn
someone
> to hell for an eternity for not knowing anything about that religion. If
you
> go back and read, you will find that most cultures across the world did
not
> have any contact with Christianity until the past 300 years or so as the
> colonialists moved into Asia, Africa, and South America. People have
existed
> in Vietnam, China, Burkina Faso, Congo, etc for thousands of years yet
have
> never heard anything of Christianity until the past 300 to 400 years at
> best. I suspect there are still some individuals in tribes in South
America
> or in the Pacific/Asia sphere that don't even have a Bible where they can
> study Gods word.
>

Romans 1:18-22 For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all
ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who hold the truth in
unrighteousness; Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them;
for God hath shewed it unto them. For the invisible things of him from the
creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that
are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without
excuse: Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not as God,
neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their
foolish heart was darkened. Professing themselves to be wise, they became
fools,

2006. WTB: Old scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 02:33:47 UTC
From: BiteFace <w6ibl@juno.com>
Message-ID: <f4Nia.5680$ey1.439275@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33574

Looking for old Regency ACT-R106 8 channel scanner. Also need single channel Regency VHF High Band or UHF receiver.

TNX

John

2007. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 02:42:05 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1cNia.5689$ey1.444690@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Romans 1:18-32 For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who hold the truth in unrighteousness; Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse: Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools, And changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and fourfooted beasts, and creeping things. Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to dishonour their own bodies between themselves: Who changed the truth of God into a lie, and worshipped and served the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed for ever. Amen. For this cause God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature: And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet. And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient; Being filled with all unrighteousness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy, murder, debate, deceit, malignity; whisperers, Backbiters, haters of God, despiteful, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents, Without understanding, covenantbreakers, without natural affection, implacable, unmerciful: Who knowing the judgment of God, that they which commit such things are worthy of death, not only do the same, but have pleasure in them that do them.

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:v8mjnr7o0nl82f@corp.supernews.com...
> Yep, everyone is of Satan including all of the people who aren't even the
> slightest bit familiar with Christianity. I suspect all of the American
> Indians are of Satan as well as the other indigenous tribes. Not only that
> but all the other tribal people across the world. They are all of Satan
> arent they. Those people were here before the Christians but they are all
of
> satan Right
>
> "N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:njvia.4792$4P1.373843@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Indeed. They do not resemble Jesus because they are of their father

Satan.
> >
> >
> > "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E8A0C4D.5A0E68F0@email.com...
> > > Jesus:
> > >
> > > "Why didn't the Pope go to Iraq and be a human shield? He could have
> > > stopped the war as there is no way Bush would have dropped a bomb on
the
> > > Pope, and even if he did, I would then welcome the Pope into heaven as
a
> > > saint for what he did. Hmmmm, perhaps the Pope doesn't believe in
me
> > > after all"
> > >
> > >
> > > "Also, if priests really believed in me and my father, why would they
be
> > > molesting and raping little boys? If they believed in god, they
would
> > > know he could always see them when they were committing this sin,
> > > right? They obviously don't believe in me either, only in the holy
tax
> > > free collection plate."
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2008. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 02:43:53 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <JdNia.5697$ey1.444941@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jude 1:17-25 But, beloved, remember ye the words which were spoken before
of the apostles of our Lord Jesus Christ; How that they told you there
should be mockers in the last time, who should walk after their own ungodly
lusts. These be they who separate themselves, sensual, having not the
Spirit. But ye, beloved, building up yourselves on your most holy faith,
praying in the Holy Ghost, Keep yourselves in the love of God, looking for
the mercy of our Lord Jesus Christ unto eternal life. And of some have
compassion, making a difference: And others save with fear, pulling them out
of the fire; hating even the garment spotted by the flesh. Now unto him that
is able to keep you from falling, and to present you faultless before the
presence of his glory with exceeding joy, To the only wise God our Saviour,
be glory and majesty, dominion and power, both now and ever. Amen.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
news:3E8B56DB.41617C86@emai.com...
> signalchaser wrote:
>
> > Yep, everyone is of Satan.
>
> It sure was nice of god to create and give us Satan, wasn't it? Thank
you
> lord. And thank you for not getting rid of Satan and allowing him to
exist to
> cause so much evil and grief.
>
> You flooded the world and murdered almost all of humanity once, yet Satan
you
> never once made an effort to get rid of. Thank you oh wise and powerful
lord
> of the universe!
>
>
>
>

2009. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 02:47:50 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qhNia.5710$ey1.442925@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Should the Lord run out of patience with you, you and Satan will have plenty of time to decide whether you are in eternal reality or eternal make believe. I pray that you will not have to go through that.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message news:3E8B5623.24B96AF7@emai.com...
> N7MFR wrote:
>
> > Indeed. They do not resemble Jesus because they are of their father Satan.
>
> And Satan is real too.
>
>
>

2010. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 02:48:28 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0iNia.5713$ey1.445459@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

So what will your exuse be?

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:v8mnvdsk5ev719@corp.supernews.com...
> Funny that God creates his people so that they can end up being agents of
> Satan when the Bible says he is all knowing and all powerful. The Bible
has
> never been a universal truth to all races, peoples, or tribes. Not all
have
> been exposed to it nor have they even known anything about it. The
Oubangui
> of Central Africa probably didnt find out about it until this century. The
> first English language Bible wasn't written until the 1500's after
Gutenberg
> invented the printing press. So therefore, the word of God was in the
clergy
> until that time for the most part. That in itself limits who will know the
> truth or alleged truth since most of the European societies were of lower
> educational levels and doubtfully could even read. Christianity wasnt
> introduced to Africa until the 1600s when the Dutch went to South Africa.
> Asia didnt witness Christianity until much of the same time for the most
> part as the Europeans colonized much of those areas. Same goes for South
> America, where the Yanomami Indians lives this day without much if any
human
> contact and no knowledge of Christian teachings.
>
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8B5623.24B96AF7@emai.com...
> > N7MFR wrote:
> >
> > > Indeed. They do not resemble Jesus because they are of their father
> Satan.
> >
> > And Satan is real too.
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2011. Re: WTB: Old scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 02:56:23 UTC
From: arne@no.spam
Message-ID: <jh8n8vspckcjgmdbraa4sqp0e4vnobud1m@4ax.com>
Source record: 33579

On Thu, 03 Apr 2003 02:33:47 GMT, "BiteFace" <w6ibl@juno.com> wrote:
>Looking for old Regency ACT-R106 8 channel scanner.

The ACT-R-106 is 10 channels.

2012. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 03:01:31 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fuNia.5761$ey1.447328@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Go ahead and think this one through.....they never new Him. They were never saved, they never humbled themselves. Jesus said:

Matthew 7:13-14 Enter ye in at the strait gate: for wide is the gate, and broad is the way, that leadeth to destruction, and many there be which go in thereat: Because strait is the gate, and narrow is the way, which leadeth unto life, and few there be that find it.

The unfortunate fact is that many who call themselves Christians are not. This is why there is so much hypocrisy, and corruption in the so called Christian churches.

There is a difference between a truly saved person, and one who thinks he is saved and is not. God knows which one has truly believed, and which is a pretender. Jesus also reaffirmed the position of the pretender here:

Matthew 7:21-23 Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven. Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works? And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity.

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:v8mjsq4b2rgj6a@corp.supernews.com...
> Actually Jesus told a lot of the believers that they wouldnt make it to
> heaven either. Matter of fact, he told them that they should go away. Even
> though, they prophesized and did good works for Him, he told they away
from
> me, because you never knew me
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
> news:3E8A6F9D.9060905@mailcity.moc...
> >
> >
> > N7MFR wrote:
> >
> > > We are all sinners and have fallen short of the glory of God. The
only
> way
> > > to please Him is to be 100% holy. The penalty for being unholy is
death.
> I
> > > don't know about you, but I am not able to live up to the standards of
> the

> > > 10 commandments. (If you think you are going to heaven cause you have
> been
> > > "basically a good person" think again.) He requires full adherence to
> those
> > > commandments. Not one of us has lived up to those standards.
> >
> > Goodness, guess no one is going to get to heaven.
> >
>
>
>

2013. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 03:06:56 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kzNia.5772$ey1.447997@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

They are liars and cheats and are not God's people.

Matthew 7:21-23 Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven. Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works? And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity.

"Shut 'Em Down" <debunk@myth.com> wrote in message
news:sqIia.1631$EO.890@fed1read07...
> So if that's all there is to it how come I see so many TV preachers
begging
> for money?
>
> -SMD
>
> "N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:59via.4316$ey1.342437@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > There is a good reason why the mere mention of Jesus elicits so much
> > response. The mention of His name requires everyone to make a decision.
> What
> > will we do with Him? Will we accept the free gift of God? Or will we
> reject
> > it ? Will we humble ourselves before God? Or will we continue in our
sin?
> We
> > have people here who think the whole thing is a big joke. We have people
> who
> > intellectualize their way around the truth. We have people who think
they
> > are Christians and are not. All have one thing in common. They are
running
> > from the truth. The result of this disobedience will be certain
> destruction.
> > JESUS IS LORD. Repent and believe, or perish with the rest of Satan's
> army.
> > One truth. One God. One Saviour. Its really not complicated at all. It
is
> so
> > easy, a child can believe it.
> > We are all sinners and have fallen short of the glory of God. The only
> way
> > to please Him is to be 100% holy. The penalty for being unholy is death.

> I
> > don't know about you, but I am not able to live up to the standards of
the
> > 10 commandments. (If you think you are going to heaven cause you have
> been
> > "basically a good person" think again.) He requires full adherence to
> those
> > commandments. Not one of us has lived up to those standards. The Bible
> says
> > if you are guilty of one, you are guilty of all. Jesus said if you lust
> > after a woman in your heart, you are guilty of adultery. Whoops. Guilty.
> > Case closed. But thankfully, He has given us a way out. Accept the
> sacrifice
> > for our sins that He provided for us. His only Son, and our Saviour
Jesus
> > Christ.
> > Its real easy. My 10 year old can do it. So can you. Just believe it.
> Read
> > your Bible, get on your knees and ask for forgiveness (and mean it) make
a
> > decision to live by God's rules instead of your own. The world cant save
> > you. Not church, not school, not your intellect, not your bank account.
> > Just you...His holy Word, and your humility. Amen and Amen.
> >
> > Dave
> >
> >
> > "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> > news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2014. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 03:09:32 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MBNia.5778$ey1.448385@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Exactly....they do not know God. They are fakes.

Matthew 7:17-20 Even so every good tree bringeth forth good fruit; but a corrupt tree bringeth forth evil fruit. A good tree cannot bring forth evil fruit, neither can a corrupt tree bring forth good fruit. Every tree that bringeth not forth good fruit is hewn down, and cast into the fire. Wherefore by their fruits ye shall know them.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message news:3E8B5E9D.67437DC7@emai.com...
> Shut 'Em Down wrote:
>
> > So if that's all there is to it how come I see so many TV preachers begging
> > for money?
>
> Because they don't believe in God. We all know that the mythology goes like
> this... You can't fool God and he can see everything we do and know everytime
> we sin, right?
>
> So how do you explain all the priests that molest and rape little boys? If
> they believed in God, they would know that God saw them having sex with the
> little boys, right? Obviously priests don't believe in the product they are
> selling, they are just looking for suckers to take money from.
>
> They kneel and worship the almighty collection plate that gives them tax free
> cash, without having to provide any real service or product. Money for free.
>
>
>
>
>
>

2015. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 03:15:37 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <tHNia.5789$ey1.449036@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I was just teaching my son about John Calvin, and this statement by Pope Leo X was mentioned. Again, a man not of God. I urge you to not associate the nosense you see on television, the heresy you see in the Catholic church and all of the pretenders. Just you, the Bible and a heart that is humble and looking for answers.

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:v8mo8djrplakac@corp.supernews.com...
> Pope Leo said "How well we know what a profitable superstition : this fable
> of Christ has been for us."
> : -- Pope Leo X (1513 - 1521)
>
>
> "Shut 'Em Down" <debunk@myth.com> wrote in message
> news:sqIia.1631$EO.890@fed1read07...
> > So if that's all there is to it how come I see so many TV preachers
> begging
> > for money?
> >
> > -SMD
> >
> > "N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:59via.4316$ey1.342437@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > There is a good reason why the mere mention of Jesus elicits so much
> > > response. The mention of His name requires everyone to make a decision.
> > What
> > > will we do with Him? Will we accept the free gift of God? Or will we
> > reject
> > > it ? Will we humble ourselves before God? Or will we continue in our
> sin?
> > We
> > > have people here who think the whole thing is a big joke. We have people
> > who
> > > intellectualize their way around the truth. We have people who think
> they
> > > are Christians and are not. All have one thing in common. They are
> running
> > > from the truth. The result of this disobedience will be certain
> > destruction.
> > > JESUS IS LORD. Repent and believe, or perish with the rest of Satan's

> > army.
> > > One truth. One God. One Saviour. Its really not complicated at all. It
> is
> > so
> > > easy, a child can believe it.
> > > We are all sinners and have fallen short of the glory of God. The
only
> > way
> > > to please Him is to be 100% holy. The penalty for being unholy is
death.
> > I
> > > don't know about you, but I am not able to live up to the standards of
> the
> > > 10 commandments. (If you think you are going to heaven cause you have
> > been
> > > "basically a good person" think again.) He requires full adherence to
> > those
> > > commandments. Not one of us has lived up to those standards. The Bible
> > says
> > > if you are guilty of one, you are guilty of all. Jesus said if you
lust
> > > after a woman in your heart, you are guilty of adultery. Whoops.
Guilty.
> > > Case closed. But thankfully, He has given us a way out. Accept the
> > sacrifice
> > > for our sins that He provided for us. His only Son, and our Saviour
> Jesus
> > > Christ.
> > > Its real easy. My 10 year old can do it. So can you. Just believe
it.
> > Read
> > > your Bible, get on your knees and ask for forgiveness (and mean it)
make
> a
> > > decision to live by God's rules instead of your own. The world cant
save
> > > you. Not church, not school, not your intellect, not your bank
account.
> > > Just you...His holy Word, and your humility. Amen and Amen.
> > >
> > > Dave
> > >
> > >
> > > "Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
> > > news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> > > > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
>
>
>

2016. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 03:35:31 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7_Nia.9985$Ak1.67995793@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 33584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

gaffo wrote:

//snip//

> In fact there is nothing "clear" in the way our Constitution is written.
> There are many IMPLIED rights (try reading our 9th for one thing!). It was
> written this way so as to not become "outmoded"

Remember who wrote your Constitution - an earlier post described them as "Deists". Your Constitution, just like the Bible, is open to interpretation.

The very vagueness of the American Constitution has made American Lawyers very rich!

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice, except getting up in the morning!

2017. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 03:37:20 UTC
From: gaffo <gaffo@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.03.03.37.18.763269@usenet.net>
Source record: 33570
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> You will find tons of books on Hitler written by Jewish authors, but you can't
> get the one Hitler wrote called "My New Order"

I saw it here at B&N last yr.

> or the one by Kubizek that knew
> Hitler as a child.

Yep read that book when I was in middle school. He was his best friend
when they were kids. Good book AFAIK. Been over 25 yrs.

In fact that book was checked out from my middle schools library!!!! - of
course this was in the late 70's and before Political Correctness destroyed
freedom of thought.

Fact is Nazism and the group think is not about intelligence (Goerbells
was intelligent) - but psychology.

FEAR is the linchpin! Blame others (like our Bu$h administration likes to
do) for you troubles and encourge fear (yellow/oragne/red -alerts).

2018. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 03:42:37 UTC
From: gaffo <gaffo@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.03.03.42.35.158030@usenet.net>
Source record: 33571
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> Changing this into an argument of legal definitions of IQ and intelligence is
> just as much of a time waster as pleading with a supreme court judge to try and
> follow the constitution that is written very clearly and was meant to be taken
> literally, not to be interpreted some other way or be up for discussion and
> opinions about it.

Sorry - could'nt let this go:

In fact there is nothing "clear" in the way our Constitution is written.
There are many IMPLIED rights (try reading our 9th for one thing!). It was
written this way so as to not become "outmoded"

it worked! sadly Ashcroft and his henchmen simply ingore it.

2019. Re: C Crane Justice AM Antenna With Twin Coil Ferrite- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 03:43:55 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%5Oia.1671$n95.1013717@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Believe it or not, I was actually able to sell an item here without a MAJOR hassle.

It's been sold.

Dan

> C. Crane Justice AM antenna with additional 25 feet of coax lead. Item in
> like new condition, in original packaging, instructions, etc.
>
> $75.00 shipped via UPS ground, insured.
>
> I will accept PAYPAL, Postal money order, bank / cashier's check, or
> personal check. (Personal check will delay shipping until it clears).
>
> eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
>
> E-mail if interested.
>
> Dan
>
>

2020. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 04:04:46 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ypOia.5858$ey1.454583@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
news:3E8B5B5F.2F0EB551@emai.com...
> > There is a good reason why the mere mention of Jesus elicits so much
> > response. The mention of His name requires everyone to make a decision.
What
> > will we do with Him?
>
> The same thing we do with Santa. Put a statue of him up once a year, and
put
> him back in the closet for the rest of the year.
>
> > Will we accept the free gift of God?
>
> Nothing is free. (except AOL CDs) He makes you work hard for every
single
> thing you get.

Ephesians 2:8-9 For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of
yourselves: it is the gift of God: Not of works, lest any man should boast.

> > We have people here who think the whole thing is a big joke.
>
> No, everyone believes that people can walk on water and rise from the dead
and
> fly up into outerspace.

Sir do you believe in any world history? Why? Because you read it in a
book written by witnesses to the facts. Are you aware of any other ancient
book that has more manuscripts than the Bible? Or a greater body of
witnesses?

> > We have people who think they are Christians and are not.
>
> THAT you have right. Bush is one of them, the Pope is another. Bush
sends
> people to kill. The Pope does not go to Iraq and stand as a human
shield.
> Priests continue to molest and rape little boys. If they believed in god
and
> jesus, and that god and jesus could KNOW what they are doing to those
little
> boys, why would they do it in the first place? Because they DON'T
believe in
> it. They just like the almighty collection plate and the tax free money

it
> provides them.

The Pope comment and the fact that these ungodly men are molesting children
are correct, as is your comment about the collection plate. However, God has
a long and storied history of moving armies against each other and using
mankind to bring His wrath on people whom He thinks need a whoopin.

> > JESUS IS LORD.
>
> I thought he was the Lord's son? You people can't even agree on that!
If
> they are both the same, then Jesus impregnated his own mother, and you
know what
> that makes him... a mother........
>
> God told you to worship no one but him. Yet you worship Jesus and the
Pope.
> SINS!

I dont worship the Pope, nor do I support the Catholic religion in any way.
Ever heard of the Reformation? Or Protestants?

1 John 5:7 For there are three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the
Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one.

John 10:30 I and my Father are one.

John 14:9 Jesus saith unto him, Have I been so long time with you, and yet
hast thou not known me, Philip? he that hath seen me hath seen the Father;
and how sayest thou then, Shew us the Father?

> > One truth. One God.
>
> MANY gods. Read Genesis where God speaks to the other gods and has fear
that
> eating from the tree of knowledge has made Adam and Eve like them. (gods
> plural)

Yes, God's plural. The Father the Son and the Holy Spirit.

> > Its real easy. My 10 year old can do it.
>
> And a 10 year old son of Buddhist parents can understand about Buddha.
And a
> 10 year old son of parents from another cult can understand why
sacrificing
> animals and humans is the right thing to do.
>
> It is not hard to brainwash a 10 year old, it is just a very sick and
twisted
> thing to do.

Proverbs 22:6 Train up a child in the way he should go: and when he is old, he will not depart from it.

> Isn't it amazing that people happen to believe in the gods from the same
> religion their parents did? Somehow, children in Iraq or Afghanistan never
> see angels or believe in Jesus. What political party do you belong to? Do
> your parents belong to the same party? Huh. Amazing, what a coincidence.

I am a Conservative, and my parents are flaming Liberals.

> > So can you. Just believe it. Read
> > your Bible, get on your knees and ask for forgiveness
>
> Forgiveness for what crime?

Romans 3:23 For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God;

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one point, he is guilty of all.

>Not believing in someone who doesn't have the
> decency to introduce himself and is hiding from everyone? Eternal pain in
> hell seems like a just punishment to fit that crime, don't you think?

Matthew 12:36-37 But I say unto you, That every idle word that men shall speak, they shall give account thereof in the day of judgment. For by thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be condemned.

> Jesus:
> "If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and wife and
> children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot be my
> disciple." - Luke 14:26
>
> So I hope you hate your own mother, father, children, etc., or Jesus wants
> nothing to do with you.

Lets finish the scripture here:

Luke 14:26-35 If any man come to me, and hate not his father, and mother, and wife, and children, and brethren, and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he cannot be my disciple. And whosoever doth not bear his cross, and come after me, cannot be my disciple. For which of you, intending to build a tower, sitteth not down first, and counteth the cost, whether he have sufficient to finish it? Lest haply, after he hath laid the foundation, and is not able to finish it, all that behold it begin to mock him, Saying, This man began to build, and was not able to finish. Or what king, going to make war against another king, sitteth not down first, and consulteth whether he be able with ten thousand to meet him that cometh against him with twenty

thousand? Or else, while the other is yet a great way off, he sendeth an ambassage, and desireth conditions of peace. So likewise, whosoever he be of you that forsaketh not all that he hath, he cannot be my disciple. Salt is good: but if the salt have lost his savour, wherewith shall it be seasoned? It is neither fit for the land, nor yet for the dunghill; but men cast it out. He that hath ears to hear, let him hear.

The message is that if you are not willing to give up everything to follow him, you will not be saved. It is not easy being a real Christian. It allienates you from the world in many ways, including your family and friends if they have not also been changed.

> Read the book instead of waving it around, and you will see that you are not
> even following what it tells you to do. Do you know what you call a
> Christian after he actually READS the bible? An Atheist.

That is real cute and all, but false. I do read the Bible. And I quote the Bible. I do follow to the best of my ability what it tells me to do. And I will continue to wave it around. The Bible tells me to. It is my sword.

Ephesians 6:17 And take the helmet of salvation, and the sword of the Spirit, which is the word of God:

Hebrews 4:12 For the word of God is quick, and powerful, and sharper than any twoedged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit, and of the joints and marrow, and is a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart.

Indeed a discerner of your heart too.

AMEN and AMEN.

2021. Hey! Does anyone like cooking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 04:05:35 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <jqOia.48806$OV.164992@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 33586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm looking for a recipe for rice crispees and peanut butter.
Thanks!

2022. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 04:28:51 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7MOia.6561$4P1.498636@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ANYWAY......so whats on shortwave lately?

--
Dave/N7MFR
TS-570, FT-101, Drake 2B,
HT-37, Pro 2053, Pro 2046
VX5R

"Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message
news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> "Hey, I can see my house from here"
>
>

2023. Re: Hey! Does anyone like cooking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 04:34:49 UTC
From: Shut 'Em Down <debunk@myth.org>
Message-ID: <mTOia.2076$EO.1684@fed1read07>
Source record: 33589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Easy! Take the rubber duck off your scanner, dip it into the jar of Skippy (creamy works best) and then run it around inside the box of rice crispys.

A tempting treat that doubles as a marital aid! You can't go wrong....

-SMD

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message news:jqOia.48806$OV.164992@rwcrnsc54...
> I'm looking for a recipe for rice crispees and peanut butter.
> Thanks!
>
>

2024. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 04:51:42 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3E8BBDDE.1090105@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 33590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

How about:

"God bless... God damn."

or

"Woe is me. Me thinks I'm turning into a god."

or

"You sons of bitches. Give my love to Mother."

or

"Today is a good day to die."

2025. Re: This Group Is Officially Dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 05:50:48 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030403005048.25751.00000225@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 33591

>p.s. -I just realized that this is pretty off topic itself.

Hahahah! Well, actually, it DOES concern the discussion of the Group itself, so it's not OT in that regard, but yes, it's just so much griping about the sorry state of the forum.
Onward and upward, I think! :-)
Linus

2026. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 06:36:09 UTC
From: r lee <me@you.edu>
Message-ID: <b6gkot$rd5$1@iruka.swcp.com>
Source record: 33592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why think for yourself when you can quote scripture?

N7MFR wrote in message
<1cNia.5689$ey1.444690@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>Romans 1:18-32 For the wrath of god.....

2027. Fs AOR 1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 06:39:28 UTC
From: discboy321 <discboy321@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8nlstg7cu1o70@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33593

I have a AOR 1500 great handheld work horse . Any bidders ? What would pay for this ? Ed

2028. Re: Hey! Does anyone like cooking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 06:56:31 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <TWQia.169$Ru4.12055@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 33594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Shut 'Em Down" <debunk@myth.org> wrote in message
news:mTOia.2076$EO.1684@fed1read07...
> Easy! Take the rubber duck off your scanner, dip it into the jar of Skippy
> (creamy works best) and then run it around inside the box of rice crispys.
>
> A tempting treat that doubles as a marital aid! You can't go wrong....
>
> -SMD
>
>
> "Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
> news:jqOia.48806$OV.164992@rwcrnsc54...
> > I'm looking for a recipe for rice crispees and peanut butter.
> > Thanks!
> >
> >
>
>

Shudders. And no, I don't [like cooking].

John

2029. Re: Trunk Tracking PCR-1000 v0.5.40 Beta Now Available...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 09:42:14 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <WITia.65701$XA4.46985@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 33595

"skadeed" <skadeed@net.com> wrote in message
news:b6fs7d$59e$1@news.monmouth.com...
> Is this program compatable with XP Pro?

Not at the moment it seems...
I've had a couple of reports saying that it crashes on power-up...
I'll be look'n into it soon...

Regards,
Jay

2030. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 12:50:52 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8obhd97mhk989@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I want to see if N7MFR can actually do this since he is such a expert on the Bible and Scripture. And if he cant do it, then obviously hes not a true believer either.

"Shut 'Em Down" <debunk@myth.com> wrote in message news:7PJia.1639$EO.191@fed1read07...
> My question is if someone is dumb enough to try this and gets sick, will
> Kenneth Harding get sued or will Jesus?
>
> -SMD
> "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:v8mog02cbs2c1a@corp.supernews.com...
> > A Challenge to All Christians
> > Kenneth Harding
> > 1998
> >
> >
> >
>
> ---
> --
> > --
> >
> > Mark 16:18
> >
> > "They will pick up snakes with their hands; and when they
> drink
> > deadly poison, it will not hurt them at all; they will place their hands
> on
> > sick people, and they will get well." (NIV)
> >
> > "They shall take up serpents; and if they drink any deadly thing, it
> > shall not hurt them; they shall lay hands on the sick, and they shall
> > recover." (KJV)
> >
> > According to the New Testament of the Christian Bible, they
> (believers
> > in Jesus Christ) can handle snakes, presumably poisonous ones, without
> harm.
> > With this claim, religious faith enters the realm of testable science,
and
> I
> > hereby call the bluff.

> >
> > Is the Bible true? Are its verses correct in every word, being
> > inspired by God? Or is the bible mythology, the flawed word of
primitive
> > men? Or are some parts inspired, and some parts flawed? If so, which
> parts
> > are true and which parts are false, and how can one determine which is
> > which?
> >
> > Is Mark 16:18 a lie? Does the Holy Bible contain a falsehood? Is
> it
> > telling you that you, as a true believer, can do something extremely
> > dangerous without risk? All Christians who truly believe in Jesus
Christ
> > are hereby challenged to handle a rattlesnake without physical
protection,
> > or drink a glass of arsenic (or any positively lethal substance), and
seek
> > no medical assistance afterward. The act must be performed in the
> presence
> > of skeptical observers, and for authenticity, skeptics should provide
the
> > snake and/or poison as well. Well, is your faith strong enough? Do you
> > really believe as strongly as you think you do?
> >
> >
> >
>
> ---
> --
> > --
> >
> > The following article first appeared in Freethought Today, Vol. 15,
> No.
> > 9.
> >
> > Bite Kills Snake-handling Minister
> >
> > A preacher, whose wife died three years ago from a snakebite,
> succumbed
> > himself on Oct. 3, after handling a 3-foot-long timber rattler during a
> > prayer meeting.
> >
> > John Wayne "Punkin" Brown Jr., 34, of Tennessee, was bitten on the
> hand
> > while preaching at the Rocky House Holiness Church in rural Alabama. He
> > continued preaching for ten or 15 minutes after being bitten, then fell
> dead
> > from the pulpit. His wife Melinda, 28, died in 1994 two days after
> refusing

> > medical attention following a rattlesnake bite during a church service
in
> > Kentucky.
> >
> > "He was really looking forward to that day anyway" when he could see
> his
> > wife again, opined the Rev. Carl Porter of Kingston, Georgia, to the
> > Birmingham News. Porter plans to pass along Brown's two rattlers to
> Brown's
> > brother, by the way.
> >
> > The Browns had five children.
> >
> > There have been at least 75 snake-handling deaths among
> fundamentalists
> > in the United States during this Century.
> >
> >
>
> ---
> --
> > --
> >
> > This event is submitted as EVIDENCE that the sacred scriptures are
> > untrue.
> >
> > Some may say, "He was not a true believer." Well, I submit that
he
> > was. He believed strongly enough to handle the snake. He was a
minister.
> > He had given over his life for his beliefs. He followed the word of God
> to
> > the letter, and put his complete trust in the scripture. HE certainly
> > thought that he believed. What more proof of belief could be provided?
> If
> > that is not true belief, what is? Is your belief so strong?
> >
> > Others may protest that he should not have tested the Lord. Well,
> it
> > was the Lord, presumably, who inspired Mark (or whoever really wrote
that
> > book) to include that verse. After all, it is claimed that the
scriptures
> > are the inspired word of God. If God did not intend that people should
> make
> > use of that verse as a guide for their lives, why did He include it at
> all?
> > What other purpose could it possibly serve?
> >
> > Some people suggest that this verse only applied to the original

> Apostles.
> > BUT, that statement finds no support in scripture. If one reads verses
16
> > and 17, it becomes clear that Jesus was speaking about "them that
> believe",
> > those that are baptized and saved. It does not say anything about the
> > original Apostles being the only ones who can drink poison and handle
> > snakes.
> >
> >
> >
> > Preachers certainly make use of the second half of the verse "they
> > will place their hands on sick people, and they will get well." You
need
> > only to turn on late night TV to see the proliferation of faith-healing
> > charlatans, milking the public of their hard-earned funds, by pretending
> to
> > heal their sicknesses. Why then should the first half of the verse Mark
> > 16:18 be metaphorical, and the second half of it be literal? Such an
> > argument is an avoidance of the irrationality of the philosophy. This is
> one
> > more example of the harm that literal belief in the bible can produce.
> >
> > Just food for thought.
> >
> >
> > "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:v8mk1dn1mpb1a8@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Its kinda like God giving you a mind to think and your fundamentalist
> > doesnt
> > > use it. The earth is also flat according to the church. Also, the fact
> is
> > > that Jesus never intended for his followers to start a church. In
fact,
> > the
> > > word church is only brought up twice in the Bible.
> > >
> > > "Texas Steven" <rothrock@stic.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3e89ecf0$0$43848$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> > > > Too bad......Some people just don't have NO sense of humor (That GOD
> > gave
> > > > them)
> > > > Wait a minute....if GOD gave it to them....then it looks as if THEY
> > DON"T
> > > > USE IT!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > TexasSteven
> > > > Food For Thought!

> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2031. ARC780 Registation code :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 13:41:36 UTC
From: no@spam.org
Message-ID: <93525D674nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 33597

download the demo and use this to register it

name: Registered
key: 468360

Thats it, it's a full working ARC780 now

2032. Re: Jesus' last words- TROOL Time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 13:43:03 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3E8C3A66.8B9AC452@USA.net>
Source record: 33598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"TROLL" elsewhere.

Shut 'Em Down wrote:

> "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:v8moiqi99p6t45@corp.supernews.com...
> > Are you a true believer?
> > Mark 16:18
> >
> > "They will pick up snakes with their hands; and when they
> drink
> > deadly poison, it will not hurt them at all;
>
> I've drank Olde English 800 Malt Liquor and eaten at Taco Bell before. Does
> that count?
>
> -SMD

2033. winshit 780 patch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 13:51:27 UTC
From: no@spam.org
Message-ID: <9352507DEnospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 33599

can't upload a zip or a exe file here *me* so I guess you will have to get it from the bull shit people that make the not working junk!!! O'pps it's not on there web-page for download????

Troll indead.. Well I guess when you have a 49 IQ the best you can do is call people names.... and the best I can do is give the crack for ARC780

name: Registered
key: 468360

these guys rock.. they to can't get winshit working nor can the other 10,000 people that use a Pentium III or faster computer!! So I guess if you have and old ass 486 it works just fine

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winjunk.htm

These guy are telling the truth with pictures!!! If I hd found these web-site before I bought this wincarp I would have bought TrunkStar780 from the get..

The Dude CWO/5 U.S. Army

2034. Re: WinScan780 Patch - File 0 of 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 13:58:16 UTC
From: no@spam.org
Message-ID: <93525235Bnospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 33600

me@none.com (me) wrote in <wM5ia.20634$yU1.9001@fed1read02>:

>recommend

recommend?? I don't recommend a thing, I spented $70.00 on shit that don't
work and the patch does not work ether. So yea I downloaded ARC780 and
heres the crack for it , and oh yeah, it work D'oh..
name: Registered
key: 468360
but what I don't recommend to anyone is WinShit I mean WinJunk O'pps
WinCrap D'oh WinScan780 and one of the reasons I don't recommend it is look
at the people who use it.. You 49IQ 486/33 computer, name calling..
downloading kiddy pron, yeah you..

The Dude CWO/5 U.S. Army

2035. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 14:59:26 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <i%Xia.6347$ey1.506300@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Exactly.

The scripture answered the statement. God answers for Himself, I do not need to do it for Him. Thats why He is God, and I am dust.

"r lee" <me@you.edu> wrote in message news:b6gkot$rd5$1@iruka.swcp.com...
> Why think for yourself when you can quote scripture?
>
> N7MFR wrote in message
> <1cNia.5689$ey1.444690@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >Romans 1:18-32 For the wrath of god.....
>
>
>
>

2036. Re: ARC780 Registation code :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 15:32:27 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@notreally.att.net>
Message-ID: <05d3ffea197ae9bc7606c05934531ebc@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 33603

On Thu, 03 Apr 2003 13:41:36 -0000, no@spam.org (No Spam) wrote:

>download the demo and use this to register it
>
>name: Registered
>key: 468360
>
>Thats it, it's a full working ARC780 now

So you punish the BuTel people with giving out this information - what
a jerk you are!
Neil Bell

For e-mail replies remove the "notreally."

2037. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 15:33:36 UTC
From: W4PHM
Message-ID: <b6hjupdaq1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 33602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

God gave us humor.

Some may not think it in the best taste. I giggled at it,
and I am sure a loving God will not damn me to hell
for giggling at a joke.

Patrick
A Roman Catholic Christian,

"Antenna Head" <DXER@Radio> wrote in message
news:bm9ub3RtZQ==.162b98b330a75ed9c3b52d422de5a2b8@1049252514.cotse.net...
> Erol Gray wrote:
>
> > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> >
>
> A few points:
>
> 1. Since Jesus arose from the dead, and currently exists, He has yet to
> utter His last words. As a matter of fact, since He is eternal, He will
> never have any last words.
>
> 2. Some day you'll actually meet Jesus face-to-face, at which time you
> can try and convince Him that you meant no disrespect by your little
> juvenile "joke". Good luck. I strongly suggest you repent and follow
> Him before then.
>
>

2038. Bearcat 210XL Parts FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 15:36:35 UTC
From: rljames <me@home.org>
Message-ID: <3e8c5712$1@news.netacc.net>
Source record: 33604

Not working but good for various parts included display screen.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3016858764&rd=1

2039. trunking scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 16:27:14 UTC
From: Kenneth Oakman <keno@cac.washington.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.WNT.4.53.0304030824120.376@brooklynxp_tc.nebula.washington.edu>
Source record: 33605

I have not been keeping up with the hobby for a few years so
apologize if this has been answered here already. I would like
recommendation on scanners that pick up the trunking police systems
(Seattle for specifics). I have seen several models, radioshack and uniden
that have several trunking systems they follow.

Does anybody know which the Seattle and other police depts use?
What is the benefit of the $299 digital option for some unden scanners?
What will that allow you to listen to that the others dont.

Ken, Seattle

2040. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 16:34:33 UTC
From: Tyrcadia Von Nettesheim <tyrcadia@tamesis.cx>
Message-ID: <rg4ul-vq5.ln1@finnguala.tamesis.cx>
Source record: 33607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N7MFR wrote:

> They are liars and cheats and are not God's people.
>
> Matthew 7:21-23 Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter
> into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is
> in heaven. Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not
> prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy
> name done many wonderful works? And then will I profess unto them, I never
> knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity.

It's been *years* since I've been on UseNet, recently making a return to participate in groups of technical interest to me and keep in touch with other coders and radio enthusiasts around the world...

I rolled my eyes as I read this series of posts, seeing that after so much time, flaming still goes on and on.

Folks, this is an inappropriate forum to discuss religion, Christianity, or tangential intersections between those topics and radio on and on forever and ever. I'm a devout American and firmly believe in your right to express your opinion, but at the same time I pay good money for my bandwidth and bible quotes is not what I signed up for in this group.

Please move your heated conversation elsewhere so the rest of us can talk about tinfoil antennas. ;)

2041. Re: WinScan780 Patch - File 0 of 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 17:02:41 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <OOZia.2149$EO.245@fed1read07>
Source record: 33606

Yawn, all talk. Still no post from the gutless clod.

You know about the binaries groups but you don't know how to post a binary.
Who's got the 49 IQ now?

And just what the hell is "kiddy pron" anyway? You really need to stop
drooling when you type - I think you broke your keyboard.

Tell you what I think. I think you're a delusional idiotic moron. You're too
stupid to own a toaster let alone a computer. You spit when you talk. You're
ugly and your mother dresses you funny. Your web site is a joke. And so are
you.

You need to pay buTel for the software you stole from them. If you don't,
you're also a thief, in addition to the above.

Go ahead, deny it all. Everyone here can see the truth.

-me

"No Spam" <no@spam.org> wrote in message
news:93525235Bnospamorg@216.168.3.44...
> me@none.com (me) wrote in <wM5ia.20634$yU1.9001@fed1read02>:
>
> >recommend
>
> recommend?? I don't recommend a thing, I spented $70.00 on shit that don't
> work and the patch does not work ether. So yea I downloaded ARC780 and
> heres the crack for it , and oh yeah, it work D'oh..
> name: Registered
> key: 468360
> but what I don't recommend to anyone is WinShit I mean WinJunk O'pps
> WinCrap D'oh WinScan780 and one of the reasons I don't recommend it is
look
> at the people who use it.. You 49IQ 486/33 computer, name calling..
> downloading kiddy pron, yeah you..
>
> The Dude CWO/5 U.S. Army

2042. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 17:52:18 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8C7510.D731B324@email.com>
Source record: 33608
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

gaffo wrote:

> > You will find tons of books on Hitler written by Jewish authors, but you can't
> > get the one Hitler wrote called "My New Order"
>
> I saw it here at B&N last yr.

It is out of print. Even on Amazon.com you can only find used versions from individuals selling them, not new ones.

2043. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 17:55:56 UTC
From: r lee <me@you.edu>
Message-ID: <b6hsjg$146$1@iruka.swcp.com>
Source record: 33612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

As long as god's people see themselves as dust they will never, ever rise above their lot in life. They will always be controlled and manipulated. They will never be free. They will never use their mind to think for themselves. They will always be slaves to myth and legend written long ago for a political aim and continue to be used as a tool of political manipulation. You may be dust, I am a human being. I hope one day you and yours can see that.

N7MFR wrote in message ...
> Exactly.
>
> The scripture answered the statement. God answers for Himself, I do not
>need to do it for Him. Thats why He is God, and I am dust.
>
>
>"r lee" <me@you.edu> wrote in message news:b6gkot$rd5$1@iruka.swcp.com...
>> Why think for yourself when you can quote scripture?

2044. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 17:56:50 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8C7620.C2412089@email.com>
Source record: 33609
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> In fact there is nothing "clear" in the way our Constitution is written.

It seems very very clear to me. But then again, you can have two lawyers looking at the same law and saying it means two different things, so why don't I find it surprising that the same is being done with the Constitution.

If it was not clear, then it would be completely worthless. Thus the effort that goes into the wording of any contract you sign, to make sure no one is going to be able to interpret it in any way other than it is carefully stated. Yet, people still go to court to have a judge read the clearly stated contract to the idiot who doesn't understand it. Then you have to hope the Judge knows how to read it.
What a mess.

2045. Re: What freq is this ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 18:41:24 UTC
From: coaststation@go.com
Message-ID: <5a77581d.0304031041.24361310@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Doug Smith W9WI <w9wi@invalid.invalid> wrote in message
news:<3E8A8255.3050302@invalid.invalid>...

>
> 144.9 or 145.9?
>
> The OSCAR low-earth-orbit satellites transmit between 145.8 and 146.0MHz
> , and would account for European signals being heard in Asia on these
> frequencies. To my knowledge there aren't any transmitting as low as
> 144.9 though. I would expect to hear closer (Asia, Australia, etc.)
> hams on the same frequencies occasionally.

You read it right the first time. It is 144.900 Mhz. Traffic is
very active (almost non-stop) from 10.00 till 23.00 UTC. Mostly it's
European and American Ham's. Signal is weak but readable.I'm using a
Diamond 130J as antenna. I've already checked AMSAT.org but found
nothing. Never heard Satellites doing this low freqs.

And Thank You All...

Coast Station.
PD, Malaysia

2046. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 18:45:46 UTC
From: Dr Pepper <DrPepper@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <e70p8v8apu79p3o3ivq9qogafto1rdb2ec@4ax.com>
Source record: 33611

Well, it seems to me that this thread is properly tiotled,
This guy is about as illogical as that which he rails against.

On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 18:09:02 GMT, "Pointing North"
<PointingNorth@Bendover.com> wrote:

> NPR Commentary: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq
>
> March 13, 2003
>
> Peter Freundlich
>
> All right, let me see if I understand the logic of this correctly. We
> are going to ignore the United Nations in order to make clear to
> Saddam Hussein that the United Nations cannot be ignored. We're going
> to wage war to preserve the UN's ability to avert war . The paramount
> principle is that the UN's word must be taken seriously, and if we
> have to subvert its word to guarantee that it is, then by gum, we
> will. Peace is too important not to take up arms to defend. Am I
> getting this right?
>
> Further, if the only way to bring democracy to Iraq is to vitiate the
> democracy of the Security Council, then we are honor-bound to do that
> too, because democracy, as we define it, is too important to be
> stopped by a little thing like democracy as they define it.
>
> Also, in dealing with a man who brooks no dissension at home, we
> cannot afford dissension among ourselves. We must speak with one
> voice against Saddam Hussein's failure to allow opposing voices to be
> heard. We are sending our gathered might to the Persian Gulf to make
> the point that might does not make right, as Saddam Hussein seems to
> think it does. And we are twisting the arms of the opposition until
> it agrees to let us oust a regime that twists the arms of the
> opposition. We cannot leave in power a dictator who ignores his own
> people. And if our people, and people elsewhere in the world, fail to
> understand that, then we have no choice but to ignore them.
>
> Listen. Don't misunderstand. I think it is a good thing that the
> members of the Bush administration seem to have been reading Lewis
> Carroll. I only wish someone had pointed out that "Alice in
> Wonderland" and "Through the Looking Glass" are meditations on
> paradox and puzzle and illogic and on the strangeness of things, not
> templates for foreign policy. It is amusing for the Mad Hatter to say
> something like, `We must make war on him because he is a threat to
> peace,' but not amusing for someone who actually commands an army to

> say that.
>
> As a collector of laughable arguments, I'd be enjoying all this were
> it not for the fact that I know--we all know--that lives are going to
> be lost in what amounts to a freak, circular reasoning accident.
>
> Peter Freundlich is a freelance journalist in New York .
>

2047. Large pawn shop selling modified PRO-2006 on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 19:01:49 UTC
From: awhitemale@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030403140149.28749.00000383@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 33613

Funny how eBay's "power sellers" are allowed to get away with things the rest of us cannot.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3015723976

Item Description clearly makes reference to:

"Easy full 800 Mhz".

"Highly modifiable"

"Ease of Modifications"

Which is in complete violation of eBay's policy on scanners:

Electronics Equipment

Scanners

Generally, scanning receivers and radios may be listed on eBay. However, you may not list any scanning receiver or radio that receives cellular transmissions or has "full 800mz" coverage.

If you or I had listed this, it would have been cancelled. Guess large pawn shops that spend big $$$$$ with eBay are okay, though.

2048. Re: trunking scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 19:14:26 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8p20j2m5bl3d1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33614

Spend a bit of time on http://strongsignals.com and all will become apparent grasshopper...

"Kenneth Oakman" <keno@cac.washington.edu> wrote in message news:Pine.WNT.4.53.0304030824120.376@brooklynxp_tc.nebula.washington.edu...
>
>
> I have not been keeping up with the hobby for a few years so
> apologize if this has been answered here already. I would like
> recommendation on scanners that pick up the trunking police systems
> (Seattle for specifics). I have seen several models, radioshack and uniden
> that have several trunking systems they follow.
>
> Does anybody know which the Seattle and other police depts use?
> What is the benefit of the $299 digital option for some unden scanners?
> What will that allow you to listen to that the others dont.
>
> Ken, Seattle
>

2049. Re: WinScan780 Patch - File 0 of 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 19:18:10 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8p27jj7ptk015@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33615

As the father of a CWO3 Army Aviator, I think you are full of crap. No CWO5 would have this little honor

"No Spam" <no@spam.org> wrote in message news:93525235Bnospamorg@216.168.3.44...
> me@none.com (me) wrote in <wM5ia.20634$yU1.9001@fed1read02>:
>
> >recommend
>
> recommend?? I don't recommend a thing, I spented $70.00 on shit that don't
> work and the patch does not work ether. So yea I downloaded ARC780 and
> heres the crack for it , and oh yeah, it work D'oh..
> name: Registered
> key: 468360
> but what I don't recommend to anyone is WinShit I mean WinJunk O'pps
> WinCrap D'oh WinScan780 and one of the reasons I don't recommend it is look
> at the people who use it.. You 49IQ 486/33 computer, name calling..
> downloading kiddy pron, yeah you..
>
> The Dude CWO/5 U.S. Army

2050. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 19:22:57 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8C8A4D.A8102DC1@email.com>
Source record: 33616
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

jesseg wrote:

> well i have to go move, now unpluggin my box for a few days. anywho just
> wanted to say you're right John... Regime change and liberation starts at
> home!
>
> but thank god for the internet.
>
> I got a great laugh out of this statement, whoever wrote this - thanks you
> made my day:
>
> > "I watch the Fox
> > News Channel,
> > because they're
> > unbiased and
> > support the war 100
> > percent."
>
> Not only do I disagree with that statement, the statement itself is an
> idiom. If you dont see that... which you obvously dont, then you probably
> also dont think that your news is censored.
>
> "BHhhaaaaaa.... Mmoooooo..... BHhhaaaaaa......"
>
> later John boy,
> cheers - keep seeking the full truth

Have a good one. I may join you. Tired of talking to brick walls.

2051. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 19:29:25 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8C8BD2.295D4F4E@email.com>
Source record: 33617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Its like the Biblical story of Jonah being swallowed by a whale and living
> in the whales belly for 3 days and being finally spat on the land. Not only
> that but the story of Noah where Noah was a sinless man who built the Ark
> yet after the Ark found its resting place he was found drunken and naked by
> his son. Its stories like that really hurt the moral message of the Bible.

What moral message? You mean filth like this:

Isaiah 36:12
Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the wall, that they may eat their
own dung, and drink their
own piss with you?

Malachi 2:3
Behold, I will corrupt your seed, and spread dung upon your faces!

And thou shalt eat it as barely cakes, and thou shalt bake it
with dung that cometh out of man..." - Ezekiel 4:12

"Lo, I have given thee cow's dung for man's dung and thou
shalt prepare thy bread therewith" - Ezekiel 4:15

Or do you mean the moral message from Jesus that we should all hate our mothers,
fathers, children, etc?

"If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and wife and
children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot be my
disciple." - Luke 14:26

> Its like in the Old Testament when God commanded Moses to kill, yet when one
> thinks about it, since God has all the power then why doesnt he kill them
> instead of commanding Moses.

Why doesn't God just get rid of Satan? That would end all of our problems.

If god can prevent evil, but doesn't, then He isn't all-loving.
If god intends to prevent evil, but cannot, then He isn't omnipotent.
If god both intends to prevent evil and is capable of doing so, then how can
evil exist?

One of the following two statements MUST be true:

1) There is no god.
2) God is evil.

2052. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 19:35:48 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8C8D50.D04BFFAA@email.com>
Source record: 33618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:

> Interestingly, this story may give proof of the flood. Picture if you will
> the earth surrounded with a cloud of gases keeping the oxygen at a high
> level.
>
> Now the firmament condenses and has two effects, first the flood and second,
> Noah getting drunk from his normal measure of wine. Why? because of the
> reduced pressure, creating the effect of a higher elevation. If you have had
> a drink on an airplane (elevation of cabin about 8000 feet) it has a much
> greater effect than sea level.

Yes, I see your point. Now can you use SCIENCE to explain how Jesus could walk on water, rise from the dead, and fly into outer space?

How about explaining where the hell Cain's wife came from? Or how the earth was flat with 4 corners when God created it, and now it is like a sphere?

Also how during prohibition alcohol was illegal, yet how bad could alcohol be if Jesus and Noah were allowed to drink it? And were they 21 when they did?

So many things for you to explain on every page of that mythology science fiction book.

2053. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 19:40:42 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8C8E76.DBB9B033@email.com>
Source record: 33619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> I find it strange that God creates 90 percent of his creation of humans so
> they can be damned to an
> eternal hell where the fire never quenches just because they don't believe
> in a book..

Or in someone who never shows himself, yet we read of how people in the past like Noah were spoken to and given exact measurements to construct an Ark. He doesn't speak to any of us today, so we are supposed to believe why? And why is he hiding from us? Is he afraid we would try to triangulate where his voice or presence was coming from and find him with the Hubbell telescope?

> Interesting too that the Sabbath day is supposed to be on Saturday yet all
> the churches have it on Sunday. Isnt that a violation of the Ten
> Commandments?

God violates all those commandments. "Thou Shalt not kill" But flood the earth and drown most every human life on the flat four cornered planet. Is god now in hell for all his sins?

2054. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 19:43:23 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8C8F17.7928ED68@email.com>
Source record: 33620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N7MFR wrote:

> Jude 1:17-25 But, beloved, remember ye the words which were spoken before
> of the apostles of our Lord Jesus Christ; How that they told you there
> should be mockers in the last time, who should walk after their own ungodly

If you are just going to quote the Bible, then we can all find parts we like and quote them too:

2 Kings 18:27
But Rabshakeh said unto them, Hath my master sent me to
thy master, and to thee, to speak these words? hath he not sent me to
the men which sit on the wall, that they may eat their own dung, and
drink their own piss with you?

That one is so important, the book mentions it twice:

Isaiah 36:12
But Rabshakeh said, Hath my master sent me to thy master
and to thee to speak these words? hath he not sent me to the men that
sit upon the wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their
own piss with you?

Malachi 2:3
Behold, I will corrupt your seed, and spread dung upon your faces!

And thou shalt eat it as barely cakes, and thou shalt bake it
with dung that cometh out of man..." - Ezekiel 4:12

"Lo, I have given thee cow's dung for man's dung and thou
shalt prepare thy bread therewith" - Ezekiel 4:15

JOB 41:19.....
Out of this mouth go burning lamps, and sparks of fire leap out. Out of his
nostrils goeth smoke, as out of a seething pot of caldron. His breath kindleth
coals, and a flame goeth out of his mouth.

Isaiah 34:7
And the unicorns shall come down with them, and the bullocks with the bulls; and
their land shall be soaked with blood, and their dust made fat with fatness.

Revelation 12:3 "...and behold a GREAT RED DRAGON, having SEVEN HEADS and ten
horns, and seven crowns upon his heads."

"If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and wife and
children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot be my
disciple." - Luke 14:26

(Jesus)

2055. Re: What freq is this ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 19:43:35 UTC
From: Ray Radloff <r.radloff@gmx.de>
Message-ID: <lb3p8voj27coqf70nd3go073pfo9eugftq@4ax.com>
Source record: 33621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

Hi !
coaststation@go.com (Coast Station) wrote:

> You read it right the first time. It is 144.900 Mhz. Traffic is
>very active (almost non-stop) from 10.00 till 23.00 UTC. Mostly it's
>European and American Ham's. Signal is weak but readable.I'm using a
>Diamond 130J as antenna. I've already checked AMSAT.org but found
>nothing. Never heard Satellites doing this low freqs.
>

Believe me! It is an EchoLink-Connection to the HAM-Frequency you are listening
to.

It's NOT a satellite-signal what you are receiving !

Look at http://www.synergenics.com/el/

CUL

Ray

http://hfdx.com

2056. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 19:45:44 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8C8FA4.AE5DA68D@email.com>
Source record: 33622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

r lee wrote:

> Why think for yourself when you can quote scripture?

Isaiah 36:12
But Rabshakeh said, Hath my master sent me to thy master
and to thee to speak these words? hath he not sent me to the men that
sit upon the wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their
own piss with you?

Malachi 2:3
Behold, I will corrupt your seed, and spread dung upon your faces!

And thou shalt eat it as barely cakes, and thou shalt bake it
with dung that cometh out of man..." - Ezekiel 4:12

"Lo, I have given thee cow's dung for man's dung and thou
shalt prepare thy bread therewith" - Ezekiel 4:15

JOB 41:19.....
Out of this mouth go burning lamps, and sparks of fire leap out. Out of
his nostrils goeth smoke, as out of a seething pot of caldron. His
breath kindleth coals, and a flame goeth out of his mouth.

Isaiah 34:7
And the unicorns shall come down with them, and the bullocks with the
bulls; and their land shall be soaked with blood, and their dust made
fat with fatness.

Revelation 12:3 "...and behold a GREAT RED DRAGON, having SEVEN HEADS
and ten horns, and seven crowns upon his heads."

"If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and
wife and children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life,
he cannot be my disciple." - Luke 14:26
(Jesus)

2057. FA : Kenwood TK-805D UHF mobile transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 19:55:53 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dl0ja.540$Tu4.414970@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Just listed another one of these beauties, take a look!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3016909760

2058. Re: "Rush Limbaugh" - It's the professional wrestling of thinking!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 20:02:50 UTC
From: Nonymous <anonymous@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Xr0ja.206$Ru4.14160@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 33624
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

REALLY?!! What an "eye-opener"!! WOW! Why are you wasting your time at whatever you're doing when you could be "BREAKING NEWS" at CNN, Fox News Channel or MSNBC? Thanks for the "obvious".
-N
"Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local> wrote in message news:slrnb8cnvd.1d7.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local...
> In article <7vjb8v4diho1da3mu3gb2l2r5ddcdrnjhr@4ax.com>, Vector wrote:
> > On Sat, 29 Mar 2003 07:43:44 -0600, "Paul Jensen" <pjensen@gnt.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>And your I.Q. would be
> >
> > I'm sure some of the professional wrestler's IQs are fairly high.
> > I know they make a lot more money than I do. Their IQ doesn't
> > validate their occupation as a true sport though.
>
> Wrestling on TV has never been a sport. It is showbiz. If it was
> a sport, you would be able to be on the outcome.
>
> --
> To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
> Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
> Hvis du skriver til ovenst&#65533;ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

2059. Re: "Rush Limbaugh" - It's the professional wrestling of thinking!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 20:05:59 UTC
From: Nonymous <anonymous@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Uu0ja.207$Ru4.14153@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 33625
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

--And "Stone Cold" Steve Austin is gonna open up a can of Good ole "Austin
3:16 WHOOP-ASS" on ya, as well, Povl!!!
And THAT's The BOTTOM LINE--'cause Stone Cold said so!!
"Rich Wood" <richbwood@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:27rc8vkq4d3mi5oi146buro2vgm072oid9@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 30 Mar 2003 03:09:33 +0000 (UTC), "Povl H. Pedersen"
> <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local> wrote:
>
> >Wrestling on TV has never been a sport. It is showbiz. If it was
> >a sport, you would be able to be on the outcome.
>
> Don't ever say that in front of Hulk Hogan. John Stossell of ABC made
> that suggestion and nearly got his face rearranged.
>
> Rich
>

2060. Re: trunking scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 20:19:37 UTC
From: n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net
Message-ID: <v8p5vsajic00a2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33626

Hello,

I have for sale a Radio Shack Pro-2067 scanner that has Motorola Type I, II & I/II Hybrid, EDACS and LTR trunking capabilities, 500 channels, 4 line text display, PC programmable - comes with a programming cable and programming software. Asking $175.00 Shipped Priority mail.

Let me know if interested.

Dave
n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net

"Kenneth Oakman" <keno@cac.washington.edu> wrote in message news:Pine.WNT.4.53.0304030824120.376@brooklynxp_tc.nebula.washington.edu...
>
>
> I have not been keeping up with the hobby for a few years so
> apologize if this has been answered here already. I would like
> recommendation on scanners that pick up the trunking police systems
> (Seattle for specifics). I have seen several models, radioshack and uniden
> that have several trunking systems they follow.
>
> Does anybody know which the Seattle and other police depts use?
> What is the benefit of the $299 digital option for some unden scanners?
> What will that allow you to listen to that the others dont.
>
> Ken, Seattle
>

2061. Pro-2067 PL Decode Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 20:28:28 UTC
From: n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net
Message-ID: <v8p6gfm6i6d83@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33627

Does anyone have a Radio Shack PRO-2067 scanner? I have 2 of them (One for sale - $175)... both do the same thing. The county I'm in and few of the surounding counties run DVP encryption occasionally on the regular sheriff freqs when they need to pass sensitive information. I figured that running PL decode would eliminate the racket that the DVP makes when they run it... but it seems that the DVP is falsing the PL Decode. Has anyone ran into this problem with a 2067? Or is it just a fluke instance that the DVP falses the 192.8 hz PL Decode.

Thanks

Dave

2062. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 20:32:57 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8p6jphode5eab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I see your point and what you quoted as Scripture is in fact in that Book. I find it odd that such moral filth would be in a book stated as Holy. Not to mention the Song of Solomon etc. My comment in regards to the morals in the Bible regards a few different stories and teachings. I find a lot of the book to be that of a cruel God who creates his people so that they can eventually fail and suffer in some lake of fire even if they do not know his son. I find that all strange. Its just like the fact that there is a verse where soldiers are commanded to smash babies heads into the wall and Moses is ordered to kill all kinds of people. In addition, if God needed Moses to kill those people, then why didnt he do them himself

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E8C8BD2.295D4F4E@email.com...
> > Its like the Biblical story of Jonah being swallowed by a whale and
living
> > in the whales belly for 3 days and being finally spat on the land. Not
only
> > that but the story of Noah where Noah was a sinless man who built the
Ark
> > yet after the Ark found its resting place he was found drunken and naked
by
> > his son. Its stories like that really hurt the moral message of the
Bible.
>
> What moral message? You mean filth like this:
>
> Isaiah 36:12
> Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the wall, that they may eat
their
> own dung, and drink their
> own piss with you?
>
> Malachi 2:3
> Behold, I will corrupt your seed, and spread dung upon your faces!
>
> And thou shalt eat it as barely cakes, and thou shalt bake it
> with dung that cometh out of man..." - Ezekiel 4:12
>
> "Lo, I have given thee cow's dung for man's dung and thou
> shalt prepare thy bread therewith" - Ezekiel 4:15
>
> Or do you mean the moral message from Jesus that we should all hate our
mothers,

> fathers, children, etc?
>
> "If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and
wife and
> children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot
be my
> disciple." - Luke 14:26
>
> > Its like in the Old Testament when God commanded Moses to kill, yet when
one
> > thinks about it, since God has all the power then why doesnt he kill
them
> > instead of commanding Moses.
>
> Why doesn't God just get rid of Satan? That would end all of our
problems.
>
> If god can prevent evil, but doesn't, then He isn't all-loving.
> If god intends to prevent evil, but cannot, then He isn't omnipotent.
> If god both intends to prevent evil and is capable of doing so, then how
can
> evil exist?
>
> One of the following two statements MUST be true:
>
> 1) There is no god.
> 2) God is evil.
>
>

2063. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 20:33:53 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8p6lhtac0d9c9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Provide the proof. Show us you can handle serpents, drink deadly poison, heal the sick, or anything else. If you can, then you arent a true believer as stated in Matthew.

"N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:2Guia.4283$ey1.339180@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> God's truth is absolute.
>
>
> "Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
> > In article <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> > >As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish garoting a =
> > >native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul ... for =
> > >them I guess it was just fun.
> >
> > I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying on
> rewritten
> > text books to glean this information. These same books usually fail to
> mention
> > the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged in before
> > contact with European men.
> >
> >
> > The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that die in
> grace
> > will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a difference.
> Carl
> > Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious.
> Unconscious
> > implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the subconscious
> implies
> > that the content is there but not currently being utilized by the mind.
If
> God
> > were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect health. We
> don't.
> >
> > Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys at
work.
> > Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a surprise.

> >
> >
> > >Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming destruction
of
> =
> > >the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God will =
> > >impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything and =
> > >everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for the right
=
> > >moment, and punishes everyone.
> > >The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite beyond =
> > >imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause people to =
> > >seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's life, and =
> > >give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we are the =
> > >providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving sinners all
=
> > >we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little mercy =
> > >and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> > >
> > >Earthlink
> > >May you live as long as you want,
> > >and never want as long as you live.
> >
> > Never say never.
> > Nothing is absolute.
> >
>
>

2064. Re: What freq is this ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 20:47:25 UTC
From: n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net
Message-ID: <v8p7k01cs5918b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

It could be echolink ... or it could be a WIRES-II system on a simplex freq or it may be a IRLP node. Do you ever hear them mentioning IRLP NODE numbers (Like Node 755, Node 852 etc etc), WIRES ID numbers (like 1148D, 7110D, 4102D, 5105D ..or something like that) or Echolink Node numbers (like 63231, 74849 or similar etc.) .... If they do say a number ... what do they say ... usually the 3 digit node numbers will be IRLP, the 4 digit and or numbers that end with a D are WIRES-II nodes, and the 5 digit numbers are echolink type nodes.

Dave
N9NLU

"Ray Radloff" <r.radloff@gmx.de> wrote in message
news:lb3p8voj27coqf70nd3go073pfo9eugftq@4ax.com...
> Hi !
> coaststation@go.com (Coast Station) wrote:
>
>
> > You read it right the first time. It is 144.900 Mhz. Traffic is
> >very active (almost non-stop) from 10.00 till 23.00 UTC. Mostly it's
> >European and American Ham's. Signal is weak but readable.I'm using a
> >Diamond 130J as antenna. I've already checked AMSAT.org but found
> >nothing. Never heard Satellites doing this low freqs.
> >
>
> Believe me! It is an EchoLink-Connection to the HAM-Frequency you are
listening
> to.
>
> It's NOT a satellite-signal what you are receiving !
>
> Look at http://www.synergenics.com/el/
>
> CUL
>
> Ray
>
>
> http://hfdx.com
>
>
>
>

2065. Re: Large pawn shop selling modified PRO-2006 on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 20:51:59 UTC
From: No <123@noone.net>
Message-ID: <P91ja.14052$B8.3751772@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 33630

Doesn't say it has already been modified.

George

"AWhiteMale" <awhitemale@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030403140149.28749.00000383@mb-cg.aol.com...
> Funny how eBay's "power sellers" are allowed to get away with things the
rest
> of us cannot.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3015723976
>
> Item Description clearly makes reference to:
>
> "Easy full 800 Mhz".
>
> "Highly modifiable"
>
> "Ease of Modifications"
>
>
>
> Which is in complete violation of eBay's policy on scanners:
>
>
> Electronics Equipment
>
> Scanners
>
> Generally, scanning receivers and radios may be listed on eBay. However,
you
> may not list any scanning receiver or radio that receives cellular
> transmissions or has "full 800mz" coverage.
>
>
> If you or I had listed this, it would have been cancelled. Guess large
pawn
> shops that spend big $$$$$ with eBay are okay, though.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2066. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 21:02:36 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8CA1A8.87DF4CBD@email.com>
Source record: 33631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> So then, when one of your children needs to do their homework, you will do
> it for them since you are much wiser and more educated than they are.

You teach kids facts. Things you can show them when they ask how and why.
None of their homework contains anything about gods or religion. You should
never force your kids to your own religion, you should let them figure it out
for themselves when they are old enough to make that decision.

I find it just as wrong to turn children into Atheists by Atheist parents as it
is to turn them into believing in their patent's version of any god or
gods. Teaching Math, Spelling, etc. have nothing to do with this. You
need to know those things if you want to get by in life, you don't need religion
or gods. Many of us do just fine without those things.

> Forgive my saying so, but it sounds like you will have very stupid kids.

God wanted all his creation to be stupid and not eat from the tree of
knowledge. When humans ate from the tree (not knowing it was wrong because
they didn't have knowledge yet - and god knew this) giving themselves knowledge,
god was angry and made life much more miserable for all the rest of us.

> Why
> even bother to have them?

Good point. Why indeed! Because god told us to have them and be fruitful and
multiply? I don't have children because it would be a very evil act to bring
someone into a world such as this one against their own will and choosing.
What right do I have to make that choice for another being?

If you look around, only stupid people have kids, and a lot of kids too.
Intelligent scientists rarely have any kids. The amount of kids you have shows
your intelligence level.

Keep this in mind too:

WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?

He would need us more than we would need him, or else he would have no one to
boss around and treat like shit. If we did not exist, what the hell would he
DO? What would his purpose for existing be?

If you think about this enough, you realize that it is not god who created man,
but man who created god. As a way to answer questions from children that could
not be answered by primitive man.

If man can only exist by creation from a superior intelligent being, then so too
can a superior intelligent being as complex and amazing as god, only exist by

creation from an even MORE superior and intelligent being or god!

God could not just exist from some sort of random accident, evolution, or from apes, right? Only a super god could be the explanation for the existence of god. But then you have to go on and on into infinity with this bullshit form or reasoning that is used to explain man's existence.

2067. uniden mr-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 21:02:49 UTC
From: cwc12178@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030403160249.03568.00000303@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 33637

Any know where i can get the programmng software & cable to program the mr-8100
via computer????? also looking for a used vertical radio
rack...anyone??????????
thanks chris

2068. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 21:04:47 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8CA22B.6A236608@email.com>
Source record: 33638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> The scripture answered the statement. God answers for Himself, I do not
> need to do it for Him.

Someone else did it for him when they published that book. The Bible was written by man, not god.

He is unable to speak, because he either does not exist, or chooses to hide from his creation.

> Thats why He is God, and I am dust.

And without man, what would god's purpose be?

2069. Is this a RS PRO-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 21:06:02 UTC
From: Jeffrey Loomis <jloomis2k@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KM6dnVbbXIAgPxGjXTWcqw@wideopenwest.com>
Source record: 33633

I thought that the front of the PRO-2035 and the PRO-2042 were the same.

I was looking at this auction on ebay and the description says it's a 2035 but the picture doesn't look like it to me. Did RS release the 2035 with more than one design?

Jeffrey

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3016278916&category=296

2070. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 21:06:42 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8CA29E.BFA3E772@email.com>
Source record: 33632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N7MFR wrote:

> Should the Lord run out of patience with you, you and Satan will have plenty
> of time to decide whether you are in eternal reality or eternal make
> believe. I pray that you will not have to go through that.

Great! If prayer really works, and you pray for me, then I will have nothing to worry about either way. You pray for me, and I will go on knowing it is all bullshit and we both will end up in heaven together anyway.

Don't forget to pray now, I am counting on you. My life is in your hands. Don't let me down.

2071. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 21:10:47 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8CA393.62F4DCF6@email.com>
Source record: 33634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> God gave us humor.
> Some may not think it in the best taste. I giggled at it,
> and I am sure a loving God will not damn me to hell
> for giggling at a joke.
>
> Patrick
> A Roman Catholic Christian,

Amazing how each Christian is sure about how god thinks and rules, even when all of their gods contradict each other's gods. Why is it each individual is allowed to know and be sure of what god finds acceptable or not?

How does the Vatican get to VOTE on what is acceptable behavior in the church? Why not just ask god instead of voting and making the decision of men be the rules of the church? Because he never answers anyone? That should tell you something really really important about god.

2072. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 21:19:32 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8CA5A0.12962E3E@email.com>
Source record: 33635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

signalchaser wrote:

> Another good point that I missed. Yet during that comment it points to me
> that he tells people to hate their own families yet one commandment is To
> Honor your Father and Mother

That is a real important commandment, as it shows why the religion was created to begin with. To keep children to behave and be good and do as their parents tell them to. The Santa Claus mythology is no different. If you are not good and don't do as mommy and daddy say, Santa won't bring you any presents.

Why would an adult have to obey his mother and father?!

Funny how when people grow up, they understand the reason for the Santa mythology and don't believe in it anymore, yet they cling to the other one for some reason. Even after they read the commandment about having to obey your mother and father. This is a mythology to keep children to obey their parents, that is all. Except instead of threatening them with no presents or a lump of coal in their stocking, these sadistic child tormentors threaten their children with burning in eternal hell.

At least with Santa, you get presents if you are good and there is a reward. These cheap bastards never have any rewards, just threats of eternal punishment. Heaven? Yeah great, you will get that after you are dead. Meanwhile Santa gives you cool stuff every year.

Santa is a generous giver of gifts, Jesus is a Jew.

2073. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 21:24:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E8CA69E.6060907@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33501
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:
> jesseg wrote:
>
>
>>well i have to go move, now unpluggin my box for a few days. anywho just
>>wanted to say you're right John... Regime change and liberation starts at
>>home!
>>
>>but thank god for the internet.
>>
>>I got a great laugh out of this statement, whoever wrote this - thanks you
>>made my day:
>>
>>
>>> "I watch the Fox
>>> News Channel,
>>> because they're
>>> unbiased and
>>> support the war 100
>>> percent."
>>
>>Not only do I disagree with that statement, the statement itself is an
>>idiom. If you dont see that... which you obvously dont, then you probably
>>also dont think that your news is censored.
>>
>>"BHhhaaaaaa.... Mmoooooo..... BHhhaaaaaa......"
>>
>>later John boy,
>>cheers - keep seeking the full truth
>
>
> Have a good one. I may join you. Tired of talking to brick walls.

Go, you would make a good pair.

2074. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 21:38:10 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8CA9FE.88F98827@email.com>
Source record: 33639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> saved, they never humbled themselves. Jesus said:

Jesus didn't say anything the science fiction writer who created his character made him to say in the story.

What about what Santa said? Does that hold as much weight too? Of course not.

> The unfortunate fact is that many who call themselves Christians are not.

A perfect example of this would be all the priests and church leaders.

> This is why there is so much hypocrisy, and corruption in the so called
> Christian churches.

If you can see that, you should be able to figure out the rest.

> There is a difference between a truly saved person, and one who thinks he is
> saved and is not. God knows which one has truly believed, and which is a
> pretender.

Kids can truly believe in ghosts and monsters under their beds and in their closets. They believe this with all their heart. Yet those things don't exist either. Sure people can really really believe in god, but they just have not grown up mentally yet, they are still children.

> Jesus also reaffirmed the position of the pretender here:

If you can take text in the Bible as proof, then I can prove to you that there are fire breathing dragons, unicorns, and dragons with seven heads. See... your god tells you this himself:

JOB 41:19.....
Out of this mouth go burning lamps, and sparks of fire leap out. Out of his nostrils goeth smoke, as out of a seething pot of caldron. His breath kindleth coals, and a flame goeth out of his mouth.

Isaiah 34:7
And the unicorns shall come down with them, and the bullocks with the bulls; and their land shall be soaked with blood, and their dust made fat with fatness.

Revelation 12:3 "...and behold a GREAT RED DRAGON, having SEVEN HEADS and ten horns, and seven crowns upon his heads."

Unless you are going to believe all of this bullshit too, then can you see how ridiculous it is to keep showing other quotes from the same science fiction novel as if it were some sort of proof of reality?

All the ridiculous poetry you are quoting from the same book I got this stuff makes you look just as silly for stating it is true and real as if I said the above quotes are proof that those silly things are real.

Grow up. You're not a child anymore. You no longer have to "obey your mother and father"

2075. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 21:48:18 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8CAC5E.39F2D710@email.com>
Source record: 33640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Really the Bible only accounts for a few years of his life anyway.

And books rarely account for more than a few years of Santa's life too. You rarely read about Santa as a child.

> Another
> good point about the fact that Cains wife was the effect of some level of
> what appears to be interbreeding.

Obviously. How else to get all our population from only Adam and Eve? The fact that we don't give a NAME to Cain's wife or hide from the story where she came from, just shows that they are embarrassed to admit how our entire population could come from only two people. The fact that most Christians never even thought of this before, or questioned where Cain's wife came from, show how easy it is to fool someone into this cult. Smart people catch that part of the story right at the beginning of the bible and question it right away.

What do you call a Christian that actually reads the Bible and comprehends what he/she is reading?
An Atheist.

> Yet later in the Bible it gives teachings
> against interbreeding. Ever noticed how interbreeding also leads to mental
> retardation.

The Bible mentions after a certain population exists, that god no longer wants any incest. It was ok before, now it is a sin. Just like you can't eat meat on Good Friday, but not a sin on other days. Such bullshit. Either something is ok to do, or it is not. Imagine if murder and rape was ok on certain days of the year, and not on others.

2076. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 21:53:12 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8CAD84.6FF1D822@email.com>
Source record: 33641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> I was just teaching my son about John Calvin, and this statement by Pope Leo
> X was mentioned. Again, a man not of God.

> I urge you to not associate the
> nosense you see on television, the heresy you see in the Catholic church and
> all of the pretenders. Just you, the Bible

The Bible is nonsense to. There are no such things as fire breathing dragons or unicorns. There are no such things as people with white wings that can fly in the clouds.

Do you believe as strongly in the characters of Greek Mythology as you do those of Christian Mythology?

2077. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 22:00:10 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8CAF26.ED2ABD27@email.com>
Source record: 33642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> to express your opinion, but at the same time I pay good money for my
> bandwidth and bible quotes is not what I signed up for in this group.

Then why did you click on a post with the subject heading Re: Jesus' last words?

The rest of us usually don't click on the threads that contain subject lines that are not of interest to us. The fact that this thread is the longest one on the group, MOST people here are participating in it and don't feel as you do or it wouldn't be this long. Think about it.

If I don't want to read a post about some scanner I don't have, or anything else, I skip over it. I don't chime in that everyone should stop talking about it because it is of no interest to me.

I can just picture you around the water cooler at work. As soon as any topic comes up that is not work related, you tell them to shut up as you don't work at that company so that you have to listen to conversation about birds, politics, religion, or whatever off topic they are discussing at the time.

> Please move your heated conversation elsewhere so the rest of us can

The rest of us are talking about this right now, YOU can move elsewhere. Why not start your own thread? Don't expect anyone else to join you on it, the rest of us are all interested in this debate right now.

Please take your ORDERS and DEMANDS elsewhere, perhaps in some communist country or newsgroup.

FREE SPEECH, not censorship.

2078. Re: uniden mr-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 22:11:59 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <83Sdnc5Luqy4LBGjXTWc3Q@thebiz.net>
Source record: 33643

http://www.accessv.com/~sven/mr8100/index.htm

"CWC12178" <cwc12178@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030403160249.03568.00000303@mb-fm.aol.com...
> Any know where i can get the programmng software & cable to program the
mr-8100
> via computer????? also looking for a used vertical radio
> rack...anyone??????????
> thanks chris

2079. Re: Is this a RS PRO-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 22:38:10 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b6ic9i$5gbe0$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33644

Looks like a Pro-2053 to me.

"Jeffrey Loomis" <jloomis2k@NOSPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:KM6dnVbbXIAgPxGjXTWcqw@wideopenwest.com...
> I thought that the front of the PRO-2035 and the PRO-2042 were the same.
>
> I was looking at this auction on ebay and the description says it's a 2035
> but the picture doesn't look like it to me. Did RS release the 2035 with
> more than one design?
>
> Jeffrey
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3016278916&category=296
>
>
>

2080. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 22:43:21 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8CB945.28E7B5B6@email.com>
Source record: 33646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Sir do you believe in any world history? Why? Because you read it in a
> book written by witnesses to the facts.

Depends. I read in school books that George Washington chopped down a cherry tree. Now I read in books that he never did this at all. Did he have wooden teeth or not? You can read books that say yes and no. If we can't even have accurate agreeable history of George Washington from 200 years ago, then how the hell can you believe anything from 2000 years ago?

> Are you aware of any other ancient
> book that has more manuscripts than the Bible? Or a greater body of
> witnesses?

Any book that has a witness that saw a dragon that breaths fire, a unicorn, and a dragon with seven heads is not a credible witness. You must now discount any of the other bullshit you read in the same book as we know and can prove other parts of it are false. The earth is NOT flat and does NOT have four corners as your "witnesses" have documented in this book you seem to think is a "history book" instead of the science fiction novel it really is.

> The Pope comment and the fact that these ungodly men are molesting children
> are correct, as is your comment about the collection plate. However, God has
> a long and storied history of moving armies against each other and using
> mankind to bring His wrath on people whom He thinks need a whoopin.

God has no history at all. Just because someone wrote a book about Santa Clause does not make him real either. According to your "history book" Bible, God spoke to people like Adam and Eve, and even had to speak exact dimensions to construct an Ark to Noah, so he is capable of speaking exact numbers and measurements to humans. Why do you suppose he is not able to speak to YOU? Surely as much faith as you have in God and how dedicated you are to him he would speak to YOU. But he doesn't.

You need to admit that to yourself and grow up. Santa, Jesus, Tooth Fairy, God, boogie monster, ghosts... they are all things fun for children to believe in, but not a grown up adult.

> > > JESUS IS LORD.
> >
> > I thought he was the Lord's son? You people can't even agree on that!
> If
> > they are both the same, then Jesus impregnated his own mother, and you
> know what
> > that makes him... a mother........
> >

> > God told you to worship no one but him.

God has not told me SHIT! Neither has he told YOU anything!

> Yet you worship Jesus and the
> Pope.
> > SINS!
>
> I dont worship the Pope, nor do I support the Catholic religion in any way.
> Ever heard of the Reformation? Or Protestants?

Yeah, when is god going to pick one and tell everyone else to start worshiping the right religion? All the other people wasting their time in the wrong churches are going to end up in hell and all along they thought they were doing the right thing like you do.

Don't you see that if god really existed, you wouldn't have all these offshoot religions but only one?

Do you have children then hide from them all their life and expect them to know it is wrong to play with matches and not burn down the house, or do they know you exist and exactly what you forbid them to do?

God is not a very good parent of his children, is he?

> 1 John 5:7 For there are three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the
> Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one.
>
> John 10:30 I and my Father are one.

You find nothing ridiculous about this? How can someone be his own father or son?

> John 14:9 Jesus saith unto him,

Why do you keep quoting more of this shit when I show you quotes like this?:

Isaiah 36:12
Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their
own piss with you?

Should we all be drinking piss and eating shit because the bible tells us so? What if children read this stuff and start doing the stuff in this book? Perhaps we should only allow those over 21 to read it, and put a warning sticker on it that says: "Don't try any of this shit at home"

> > MANY gods. Read Genesis where God speaks to the other gods and has fear
> that
> > eating from the tree of knowledge has made Adam and Eve like them. (gods
> > plural)
>
> Yes, God's plural. The Father the Son and the Holy Spirit.

No, Jesus did not even exist yet. You don't even know your own bible stories. He was talking to his other god friends. I know you people don't like it that the bible has all that shit in it you don't want the rest of us to know about, and it appears have not even read or noticed it yourself, but if you are going to accept part of the book as fact, then you need to accept all the rest of the story too.

There is more than one god in the bible. He talks to them from the very first section in Genesis.

> > > Its real easy. My 10 year old can do it.
> >
> > And a 10 year old son of Buddhist parents can understand about Buddha.
> And a
> > 10 year old son of parents from another cult can understand why
> sacrificing
> > animals and humans is the right thing to do.
> >
> > It is not hard to brainwash a 10 year old, it is just a very sick and
> twisted
> > thing to do.
>
> Proverbs 22:6 Train up a child in the way he should go: and when he is
> old, he will not depart from it.

The fact that people are teaching their kids based on science fiction novels is very very disturbing.

> > Isn't it amazing that people happen to believe in the gods from the same
> > religion their parents did? Somehow, children in Iraq or Afghanistan
> never
> > see angels or believe in Jesus. What political party do you belong
> to? Do
> > your parents belong to the same party? Huh. Amazing, what a
> coincidence.
>
> I am a Conservative, and my parents are flaming Liberals.

That is the same thing! The Republicat one party system. There is no difference between a Clinton and a Bush. They both start wars, pass laws taking away more of our rights, and praise god and go to church.

Are your parent's Buddhists, Jews, or Christians like you are?

> > > So can you. Just believe it. Read
> > > your Bible, get on your knees and ask for forgiveness
> >
> > Forgiveness for what crime?
>
> Romans 3:23 For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God;

If EVERYONE has sinned, and God created everyone, what the hell does that say about God?

He created Satan too.

Perhaps it would have been best if he never created anything. But then he would have nothing to boss around and rule over, right?

WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?

> James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one
> point, he is guilty of all.

John 2003: Whithout man, what would God's purpose be?

> >Not believing in someone who doesn't have the
> > decency to introduce himself and is hiding from everyone? Eternal pain
> in
> > hell seems like a just punishment to fit that crime, don't you think?
>
> Matthew 12:36-37 But I say unto you, That every idle word that men shall
> speak, they shall give account thereof in the day of judgment. For by thy
> words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be condemned.

You can't even answer the questions. This doesn't even make any sense and is not even in real English. If you would answer a teacher's questions with shit like this, you would get it back with red marks and a giant F.

Answer the questions YOURSELF with YOUR BRAIN. You can't and are even afraid to think.

> > Jesus:
> > "If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and
> wife and
> > children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot
> be my
> > disciple." - Luke 14:26
> >
> > So I hope you hate your own mother, father, children, etc., or Jesus wants
> > nothing to do with you.
>
> Lets finish the scripture here:

No, I want to discuss THIS scripture, not some other one you bring up to avoid all my bible quotes.

Why are none of the scripture quotes I bring up ever read in church or discussed by Christians?

2081. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 22:45:49 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8CB9D9.A55AC3BB@email.com>
Source record: 33497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

N7MFR wrote:

> ANYWAY......so whats on shortwave lately?

Mostly, from the strongest stations all coming from the US, nothing but religious stuff like we are discussing here. That is why it comes up so much.

You never answered why Jesus wants us to hate our mothers, fathers, children and such? Or why the bible tells us the world is flat with four corners and that there are fire breathing dragons and unicorns?

2082. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 23:03:54 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3E8CBDD9.F5E5A700@topnow.com>
Source record: 33498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Simmons wrote:
>
> > Sir do you believe in any world history? Why? Because you read it in a
> > book written by witnesses to the facts.
>
> Depends. I read in school books that George Washington chopped down a cherry
> tree. Now I read in books that he never did this at all. Did he have wooden
> teeth or not? You can read books that say yes and no. If we can't even have
> accurate agreeable history of George Washington from 200 years ago, then how the
> hell can you believe anything from 2000 years ago?
>
> > Are you aware of any other ancient
> > book that has more manuscripts than the Bible? Or a greater body of
> > witnesses?
>
> Any book that has a witness that saw a dragon that breaths fire, a unicorn, and
> a dragon with seven heads is not a credible witness. You must now discount any
> of the other bullshit you read in the same book as we know and can prove other
> parts of it are false. The earth is NOT flat and does NOT have four corners
> as your "witnesses" have documented in this book you seem to think is a "history
> book" instead of the science fiction novel it really is.

Treating the Bible as one book, that is either true or
false, is IMHO a fallacy. You're just as groundless, saying
it's all ficton, as someone is who says it's all fact. At
most, one can prove that some parts of some books -- as
preserved over millenia and translated many times -- contain
contradictions in places.

I suspect many bible scholars approach the Bible as it is,
as a collection of writings from many different sources,
spanning a vast expanse of time. Just as you wouldn't
dismiss a collection of short stories based on what's in
some of the chapters, so also it's unreasonable to judge the
Bible as just one book.

Beyond concluding that literal interpretation of the Bible
is not reasonable, you really can't discount the whole book
on the basis of this or that logical inconsistency.

It seems to me that an atheistic viewpoint is as
unsupportable by fact as a theistic one.

-- Ross

>
> > The Pope comment and the fact that these ungodly men are molesting children
> > are correct, as is your comment about the collection plate. However, God has
> > a long and storied history of moving armies against each other and using
> > mankind to bring His wrath on people whom He thinks need a whoopin.
>
> God has no history at all. Just because someone wrote a book about Santa
> Clause does not make him real either. According to your "history book" Bible,
> God spoke to people like Adam and Eve, and even had to speak exact dimensions
> to construct an Ark to Noah, so he is capable of speaking exact numbers and
> measurements to humans. Why do you suppose he is not able to speak to
> YOU? Surely as much faith as you have in God and how dedicated you are to him
> he would speak to YOU. But he doesn't.
>
> You need to admit that to yourself and grow up. Santa, Jesus, Tooth Fairy,
> God, boogie monster, ghosts... they are all things fun for children to believe
> in, but not a grown up adult.
>
> > > > JESUS IS LORD.
> > >
> > > I thought he was the Lord's son? You people can't even agree on that!
> > If
> > > they are both the same, then Jesus impregnated his own mother, and you
> > know what
> > > that makes him... a mother........
> > >
> > > God told you to worship no one but him.
>
> God has not told me SHIT! Neither has he told YOU anything!
>
> > Yet you worship Jesus and the
> > Pope.
> > > SINS!
> >
> > I dont worship the Pope, nor do I support the Catholic religion in any way.
> > Ever heard of the Reformation? Or Protestants?
>
> Yeah, when is god going to pick one and tell everyone else to start worshiping
> the right religion? All the other people wasting their time in the wrong
> churches are going to end up in hell and all along they thought they were doing
> the right thing like you do.
>
> Don't you see that if god really existed, you wouldn't have all these offshoot
> religions but only one?
>
> Do you have children then hide from them all their life and expect them to know
> it is wrong to play with matches and not burn down the house, or do they know
> you exist and exactly what you forbid them to do?
>
> God is not a very good parent of his children, is he?
>

> > 1 John 5:7 For there are three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the
> > Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one.
> >
> > John 10:30 I and my Father are one.
>
> You find nothing ridiculous about this? How can someone be his own father or
> son?
>
> > John 14:9 Jesus saith unto him,
>
> Why do you keep quoting more of this shit when I show you quotes like this?:
>
> Isaiah 36:12
> Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the wall, that they may eat their
> own dung, and drink their
> own piss with you?
>
> Should we all be drinking piss and eating shit because the bible tells us so?
> What if children read this stuff and start doing the stuff in this book?
> Perhaps we should only allow those over 21 to read it, and put a warning sticker
> on it that says: "Don't try any of this shit at home"
>
> > > MANY gods. Read Genesis where God speaks to the other gods and has fear
> > that
> > > eating from the tree of knowledge has made Adam and Eve like them. (gods
> > > plural)
> >
> > Yes, God's plural. The Father the Son and the Holy Spirit.
>
> No, Jesus did not even exist yet. You don't even know your own bible stories.
> He was talking to his other god friends. I know you people don't like it that
> the bible has all that shit in it you don't want the rest of us to know about,
> and it appears have not even read or noticed it yourself, but if you are going
> to accept part of the book as fact, then you need to accept all the rest of the
> story too.
>
> There is more than one god in the bible. He talks to them from the very first
> section in Genesis.
>
> > > > Its real easy. My 10 year old can do it.
> > >
> > > And a 10 year old son of Buddhist parents can understand about Buddha.
> > And a
> > > 10 year old son of parents from another cult can understand why
> > sacrificing
> > > animals and humans is the right thing to do.
> > >
> > > It is not hard to brainwash a 10 year old, it is just a very sick and
> > twisted
> > > thing to do.

> >
> > Proverbs 22:6 Train up a child in the way he should go: and when he is
> > old, he will not depart from it.
>
> The fact that people are teaching their kids based on science fiction novels is
> very very disturbing.
>
> > > Isn't it amazing that people happen to believe in the gods from the same
> > > religion their parents did? Somehow, children in Iraq or Afghanistan
> > never
> > > see angels or believe in Jesus. What political party do you belong
> > to? Do
> > > your parents belong to the same party? Huh. Amazing, what a
> > coincidence.
> >
> > I am a Conservative, and my parents are flaming Liberals.
>
> That is the same thing! The Republicat one party system. There is no
> difference between a Clinton and a Bush. They both start wars, pass laws
> taking away more of our rights, and praise god and go to church.
>
> Are your parent's Buddhists, Jews, or Christians like you are?
>
> > > > So can you. Just believe it. Read
> > > > your Bible, get on your knees and ask for forgiveness
> > >
> > > Forgiveness for what crime?
> >
> > Romans 3:23 For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God;
>
> If EVERYONE has sinned, and God created everyone, what the hell does that say
> about God?
>
> He created Satan too.
>
> Perhaps it would have been best if he never created anything. But then he
> would have nothing to boss around and rule over, right?
>
> WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
>
> > James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one
> > point, he is guilty of all.
>
> John 2003: Whithout man, what would God's purpose be?
>
> > >Not believing in someone who doesn't have the
> > > decency to introduce himself and is hiding from everyone? Eternal pain
> > in
> > > hell seems like a just punishment to fit that crime, don't you think?
> >

> > Matthew 12:36-37 But I say unto you, That every idle word that men shall
> > speak, they shall give account thereof in the day of judgment. For by thy
> > words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be condemned.
>
> You can't even answer the questions. This doesn't even make any sense and is
> not even in real English. If you would answer a teacher's questions with shit
> like this, you would get it back with red marks and a giant F.
>
> Answer the questions YOURSELF with YOUR BRAIN. You can't and are even afraid
> to think.
>
> > > Jesus:
> > > "If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and
> > wife and
> > > children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot
> > be my
> > > disciple." - Luke 14:26
> > >
> > > So I hope you hate your own mother, father, children, etc., or Jesus wants
> > > nothing to do with you.
> >
> > Lets finish the scripture here:
>
> No, I want to discuss THIS scripture, not some other one you bring up to avoid
> all my bible quotes.
>
> Why are none of the scripture quotes I bring up ever read in church or discussed
> by Christians?

2083. Re: WinScan780 Patch - File 0 of 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 23:17:37 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <ii3ja.53$xh.27@fed1read07>
Source record: 33499

That's just it - this guy is as genuine as a 3 dollar bill. Didn't he claim to be a treasury agent too? I seem to recall hearing that somewhere. Dude needs help. Books, pills, rubber room, something.

"I highly recommend you save your $70 and steal this software instead because you can download it for free! Then you can be a jackass just like me and send email to my stupid webpage that accomplishes nothing! You'd better do as I say because I'm a <insert impressive BS claim here> so there! yuk, yuk, yuk, yuk!" -NoSpam, on any given day of the week.

-me
NoSpam - April 2003 r.r.s.'s Retard of the Month.

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message news:v8p27jj7ptk015@corp.supernews.com...
> As the father of a CWO3 Army Aviator, I think you are full of crap. No CWO5
> would have this little honor
>
>
> "No Spam" <no@spam.org> wrote in message
> news:93525235Bnospamorg@216.168.3.44...
> > me@none.com (me) wrote in <wM5ia.20634$yU1.9001@fed1read02>:
> > >
> > >recommend
> > >
> > recommend?? I don't recommend a thing, I spented $70.00 on shit that don't
> > work and the patch does not work ether. So yea I downloaded ARC780 and
> > heres the crack for it , and oh yeah, it work D'oh..
> > name: Registered
> > key: 468360
> > but what I don't recommend to anyone is WinShit I mean WinJunk O'pps
> > WinCrap D'oh WinScan780 and one of the reasons I don't recommend it is look
> > at the people who use it.. You 49IQ 486/33 computer, name calling..
> > downloading kiddy pron, yeah you..
> > >
> > The Dude CWO/5 U.S. Army
>
>

2084. Looking for 800MHz Handheld Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 23:22:44 UTC
From: Michael <mvp67@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8n3ja.65311$j8.1976054@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 33500

I have the Bearcat 250 now, great scanner but want to get a better 800 Mhz antenna for it. I had one for the Pro 43 but cant remeber where I bought it. It was about 2 to 3 inch tall and work great. Any help.

Thanks
Mike

2085. Re: Large pawn shop selling modified PRO-2006 on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 23:25:50 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3E8CC2FE.B798C99B@USA.net>
Source record: 33504

I agree but posting your comment here does no good. You should tell ebay.

AWhiteMale wrote:

> Funny how eBay's "power sellers" are allowed to get away with things the rest
> of us cannot.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3015723976
>
> Item Description clearly makes reference to:
>
> "Easy full 800 Mhz".
>
> "Highly modifiable"
>
> "Ease of Modifications"
>
> Which is in complete violation of eBay's policy on scanners:
>
> Electronics Equipment
>
> Scanners
>
> Generally, scanning receivers and radios may be listed on eBay. However, you
> may not list any scanning receiver or radio that receives cellular
> transmissions or has "full 800mz" coverage.
>
> If you or I had listed this, it would have been cancelled. Guess large pawn
> shops that spend big $$$$$ with eBay are okay, though.
>
>

2086. Re: Is this a RS PRO-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 23:26:04 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e8cc30e$0$163$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 33502

Look at the box in the picture it is for a 300 channel trunking scanner.
The picture is a PRO 2053

Greg
"Jeffrey Loomis" <jloomis2k@NOSPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:KM6dnVbbXIAgPxGjXTWcqw@wideopenwest.com...
> I thought that the front of the PRO-2035 and the PRO-2042 were the same.
>
> I was looking at this auction on ebay and the description says it's a 2035
> but the picture doesn't look like it to me. Did RS release the 2035 with
> more than one design?
>
> Jeffrey
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3016278916&category=296
>
>
>

2087. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 23:26:11 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3E8CC312.7486F43@topnow.com>
Source record: 33503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

r lee wrote:
>
> As long as god's people see themselves as dust they will never, ever rise
> above their lot in life. They will always be controlled and manipulated.
> They will never be free. They will never use their mind to think for
> themselves. They will always be slaves to myth and legend written long ago
> for a political aim and continue to be used as a tool of political
> manipulation. You may be dust, I am a human being. I hope one day you and
> yours can see that.

Does it seem extraordinary that man has the phenomenal
capacity to reason, imagine future consequences of his
actions, and make moral choices from that awareness, if God
wanted us to be slavish, ignorant drones that just follow a
set of rules in a book?

It seems to me that if God really wanted mindless dogmatic
devotion, He would have given created intelligent doggies.
It's *really* hard to imagine a worse choice than mankind.
Maybe cats. :)

-- Ross

>
> N7MFR wrote in message ...
> > Exactly.
> >
> > The scripture answered the statement. God answers for Himself, I do not
> >need to do it for Him. Thats why He is God, and I am dust.
> >
> >
> >"r lee" <me@you.edu> wrote in message news:b6gkot$rd5$1@iruka.swcp.com...
> >> Why think for yourself when you can quote scripture?

2088. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 23:28:07 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3E8CC386.FAD6297@USA.net>
Source record: 33505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Regarding the subject line:

He said get back to RADIO and please stop trolling these off topic subjects in radio groups.

2089. Re: Is this a RS PRO-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-03 23:34:20 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <R6-dnU4Cmtg4WRGjXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 33506

If you look at the box, it says 2053 it's faint but it's there.
Anthony
"MnMikew" <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
news:b6ic9i$5gbe0$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> Looks like a Pro-2053 to me.
>
> "Jeffrey Loomis" <jloomis2k@NOSPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:KM6dnVbbXIAgPxGjXTWcqw@wideopenwest.com...
> > I thought that the front of the PRO-2035 and the PRO-2042 were the same.
> >
> > I was looking at this auction on ebay and the description says it's a
2035
> > but the picture doesn't look like it to me. Did RS release the 2035
with
> > more than one design?
> >
> > Jeffrey
> >
> >
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3016278916&category=296
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2090. Re: This Group Is Officially Dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 00:34:08 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <v8pknsm5hhjk8e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33507

So when you going to learn to use your filters in the news reader ? That's what they are for - filter the flakes, and those that repeatedly respond to trolls and flakes - it's easy and it works.

"Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:YZAia.6408$9I.547819@news20.bellglobal.com...
> haha :(
>
> might as well be.
>
> alt.radio.scanner seems a little bit more lively then rec.radio.scanner
>
> dont know why either.....
>
> outlook shows more posts in rec. then alt. heh
>
> "Tra+cey" <tracey12_12@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8A93FC.482A7C53@yahoo.com...
> > Yep, I've been coming by here for a few years, but as you can see,
> > there is far more political bs and name calling
> > than there is info on scanners, so I declare this scanner newsgroup Dead
> > On Arrival.
> >
>
>

2091. need uniden ctcss boards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 00:37:27 UTC
From: Lone Rider <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RH2ja.12846$_g3.41156@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 33645

Looking for ctcss tone boards for SPU 21 radios. Anyone know where I might find them?

--
The older I get the better I was!

2092. FA: Radio Shack PRO-95 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 01:33:55 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7i5ja.5362$an1.88@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33509
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3016967854

2093. FA : Radio Shack PRO-92 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 01:34:59 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7j5ja.5363$an1.3338@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33510
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3016967197

2094. FA: RELM RE2000 Alpha scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 01:35:41 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Nj5ja.5364$an1.2162@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33511
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3016966306

2095. FA: Radio Shack Pro-43 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 01:36:18 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mk5ja.5365$an1.224@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33512
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3016965312

2096. Re: This Group Is Officially Dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 01:48:05 UTC
From: "Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <pv5ja.1736$kd1.1691396@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33508

I don't like to filter out a person. What happens if they decide to become troll-free and have something intelligent to say? Nobody will know. I do filter out words like sex, money, etc. but it still doesn't stop everything. I don't filter out "war" because I like to hear new announcements that I didn't hear about but somebody else did.

--
===Matt===
Jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net
Remove "{nospam}" when replying.

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote in message
news:v8pknsm5hhjk8e@corp.supernews.com...
> So when you going to learn to use your filters in the news reader ? That's
> what they are for - filter the flakes, and those that repeatedly respond
to
> trolls and flakes - it's easy and it works.
>
> "Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:YZAia.6408$9I.547819@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > haha :(
> >
> > might as well be.
> >
> > alt.radio.scanner seems a little bit more lively then rec.radio.scanner
> >
> > dont know why either.....
> >
> > outlook shows more posts in rec. then alt. heh
> >
> > "Tra+cey" <tracey12_12@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E8A93FC.482A7C53@yahoo.com...
> > > Yep, I've been coming by here for a few years, but as you can see,
> > > there is far more political bs and name calling
> > > than there is info on scanners, so I declare this scanner newsgroup
Dead
> > > On Arrival.
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2097. Re: WTB: Old scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 02:54:15 UTC
From: James Barclay <barclaya@stargate.net>
Message-ID: <v8pt2liu9udm10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33514

Yep, 10 channels. I still use one in my car. No, not looking to sell mine.
<arne@no.spam> wrote in message
news:jh8n8vspckcjgmdbraa4sqp0e4vnobud1m@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 03 Apr 2003 02:33:47 GMT, "BiteFace" <w6ibl@juno.com> wrote:
> >Looking for old Regency ACT-R106 8 channel scanner.
>
> The ACT-R-106 is 10 channels.
>

2098. Re: This Group Is Officially Dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 03:09:03 UTC
From: JohnW <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <Xns9352E1530482JohnW@216.166.71.234>
Source record: 33515

"Matt O." <jokermatt@{nospam}ameritech.net> wrote in
news:pv5ja.1736$kd1.1691396@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com:

> I don't like to filter out a person. What happens if they decide to
> become troll-free and have something intelligent to say? Nobody will
> know. I do filter out words like sex, money, etc. but it still doesn't
> stop everything. I don't filter out "war" because I like to hear new
> announcements that I didn't hear about but somebody else did.
>

Then do what I do: Filter out THREADS.

2099. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 03:33:36 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <k27ja.47341$0X.9088208@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33516
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E8CA69E.6060907@mailcity.moc...

> >>later John boy,
> > Have a good one. I may join you. Tired of talking to brick walls.
>
> Go, you would make a good pair.

...of what?

2100. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 03:35:36 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <c47ja.47346$0X.9090864@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33517
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:b3jp8v4aibsil8qobbekh9hjgun5iluk79@4ax.com...
> Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, John Simmons
<jsimmons@email.com> belched:
>
> >gaffo wrote:
> >
> >> > You will find tons of books on Hitler written by Jewish authors, but
you can't
> >> > get the one Hitler wrote called "My New Order"
> >>
> >> I saw it here at B&N last yr.
> >
> >It is out of print. Even on Amazon.com you can only find used versions
from
> >individuals selling them, not new ones.
>
> I just did a search, and found several copies on eBay, AND this link...
>
>
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/0374939187/inktomi-bkasin-20/102-2514
916-8237726
>
> to new AND used copies on Amazon.

NO! NO! That's not TRUE! It's just PROPAGANDA!

2101. Re: Constitution is one of many "pillars" of our system of rights/justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 04:02:25 UTC
From: Dave Fouchey <dafouchey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9t0q8vg09m0asmir599qp5p051je8uvt5l@4ax.com>
Source record: 33518
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Well since this is the document under discussion perhaps a link to it
would help?

http://www.law.emory.edu/FEDERAL/usconst.html

On Thu, 03 Apr 2003 20:24:56 -0800, "gaffo" <gaffo@usenet.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 03 Apr 2003 17:56:50 +0000, John Simmons wrote:
>
>> Path:
>> sn-us!sn-xit-01!sn-xit-09!supernews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn14feed!wn13f
>> eed!worldnet.att.net!204.127.198.203!attbi_feed3!attbi.com!sccrnsc02.POSTED
>> !not-for-mail
>> Message-ID: <3E8C7620.C2412089@email.com>
>> From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
>> Organization: http://www.antiwar.com/latest.html
>> X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
>> X-Accept-Language: en
>> Newsgroups:
>> alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate
>>
>> Subject: Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik
>> References: <8FFga.230027$F1.15567@sccrnsc04> <3E8369C0.9D1D5EB9@emai.com>
>> <k2Oga.1335$0X.893688@twister.columbus.rr.com>
>> <663a22951e9a4942d3914aac3a265d1c@news.teranews.com>
>> <pOSga.2651$0X.1243727@twister.columbus.rr.com>
>> <3E84C245.DE221760@email.com> <3E84A730.5010306@mailcity.moc>
>> <3E84C99D.4593E938@email.com> <b62ihu$9u6$1@news1.kornet.net>
>> <3E850026.7000804@mailcity.moc> <vHoha.254049$F1.46324@sccrnsc04>
>> <3E8613DE.1010209@mailcity.moc> <3E88C5EC.7CF18C19@email.com>
>> <3E88B0AF.40300@mailcity.moc> <3E89FAD6.38D975EB@email.com>
>> <3E89E69D.80203@mailcity.moc> <3E8A12EA.C612B4A6@email.com>
>> <b6d3re$8k9$1@news1.kornet.net> <3E8B39FC.45B0C968@email.com>
>> <pan.2003.04.03.03.42.35.158030@usenet.net>
>> Lines: 14
>> NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.248.244.222
>> X-Complaints-To: abuse@attbi.com
>> X-Trace: sccrnsc02 1049392610 12.248.244.222 (Thu, 03 Apr 2003 17:56:50
>> GMT)
>> NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 Apr 2003 17:56:50 GMT
>> Date: Thu, 03 Apr 2003 17:56:50 GMT
>> Xref: sn-us alt.radio.scanner:176165 rec.radio.scanner:258116
>> alt.radio.broadcasting:36160 alt.radio.pirate:87196
>> MIME-Version: 1.0

>> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>>
>>
>>> In fact there is nothing "clear" in the way our Constitution is written.
>>
>> It seems very very clear to me. But then again, you can have two lawyers looking at
>> the same law and saying it means two different things, so why don't I find it
>> surprising that the same is being done with the Constitution.
>>
>> If it was not clear, then it would be completely worthless. Thus the effort that
>> goes into the wording of any contract you sign, to make sure no one is going to be
>> able to interpret it in any way other than it is carefully stated. Yet, people
>> still go to court to have a judge read the clearly stated contract to the idiot who
>> doesn't understand it. Then you have to hope the Judge knows how to read it.
>> What a mess.
>
>
>
>
>
>For one thing, the constitution is only one of four or five foundations of
>our legal system.
>
>
>Here are the major elements which i know of.
>
>1. Inalienable rights - mentioned in our Declairation of Independence,
>mentioned by Locke and Bacon and Jefferson and Frankiln and Mason in
>personal letters and writtings. Also IMPLIED via our Ninth Amendment to
>the Constitution.
>
>2. Jury Power - notably the right of the jury to nullify. (render the
>accused - who is beleived by the jury to be guily of breaking the law in
>question - innocent because the members of the jury judge the law itself
>as immoral and thus invalid). This right pre-dates the Constitution and is
>also IMPLIED in our Nineth as one of the several "others" (rights)
>affirmed. The British Magna Charta affirmed Jury rights and basic precepts
>of rough equity (at least for the Lords)
>
>
>3. Common Law Rights/Court rulings - both pre-1776 and post. (including Colonial
>rullings in principle at least). It is important to note that wea re
>talking about rulings (precident). These rulings have more power on the
>whole than our Constitution. This is just how our system works. Rulings
>can get reversed and the Supreme Court rulling have more wieght than
>dictrict or State Court rulings.
>
>Can't think of any other "rights" off hand.
>

>
>4. We have State Constitutions also - mostly ignored I suspect however. My
>State has an Amendment which allows for impeaching any representative for
>drunkeness!!!!! lol.
>
>The Original Road Island Constitution was the Colonial charter. This State
>Constitution was valid under the court system from the 1650's up through
>the mid 19th century!!! Even though a British document and pre-dating the
>US Constitution!! It's fairness was questional, one had to own land to
>vote, and Mr. Dorr (Dorr's rebellion - a small civil war in RI) and his
>men drafted a new fairer constitution - ruled invalid and thus ignored by
>th ewealthy in RI.....anyway after a short war, Dorr was tried for
>treason and jailed and within a couple of yrs Dorr's State constituton was
>voted in and the old one voted out!!! Well, enough history!
>
>The Constitution implies many rights and explicitly affirms only a small
>fraction of the rights men are granted by the higher authority (higher
>than government or man). The Constitution doesn't "Grant" rights to The
>People, but it does grant powers to the federal Government - VERY VERY limited ones,
>which have been usurped over the many decades. (i.e.
>federalism).....probably unconstituional, but hey what can ya
>do?.....
>
>
>Our Constitution is pro-People and anti-fed/government. The Ninth Amendment is
>the core and spirit of the entire document. Read the Nineth and you know
>the Constitution.
>
>
>
>peace
>

2102. Re: Constitution is one of many "pillars" of our system of rights/justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 04:08:34 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <6z7ja.47421$0X.9127731@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33519
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dave Fouchey" <dafouchey@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:9t0q8vg09m0asmir599qp5p051je8uvt5l@4ax.com...
> Well since this is the document under discussion perhaps a link to it
> would help?
>
> http://www.law.emory.edu/FEDERAL/usconst.html

Don't confuse johnny, gaffo et. al. with the facts. All they'll do is
selectively pick and choose those parts they wish to decimate.

2103. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 04:23:26 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2N7ja.7394$ey1.591595@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I was not aware that it comes up so much. I am new to the group. I still do find it interesting that it fires everyone up so much.

I did answer your question about Jesus NOT telling us to hate our fathers and mothers...etc. You look at the Bible as a collection of statements....verses if you will that stand alone. This is simply not the case. Just like any other book, information must be taken in context and with whatever else is written about the subject. Read the rest of the commentary by Jesus in the above mentioned verses....just go back to my previous message, and see the answer to your question. If you cannot understand the scripture.......only God can help you with that.

Where exactly does the Bible say that the world is flat with four corners? I have not seen, or otherwise do not remember reading that.

I am familiar with the dragons and unicorns.....both of which were seen in dreams and visions. Are all of your dreams true to life? I like to dunk basketballs in my dreams, and I could not be further from doing that in real life. The Bible is literal in places, figurative or allegorical in others. In my own experience, and that of many many others, the only way to understand it is to have been enlightened by God.

I am sorry that you do not hear God. I know of no one who hears an audible voice. I do not hear one either. The best way for me to describe the communication is more like an intuitive thought or feeling where you just know something is true or happening. Conscience is also (IMHO) tied to God. I believe that through your conscience God communicates to you. He is a part of everything he created. I also know that I can turn my conscience off. It is real easy for us to ignore it, and go about doing whatever we feel like doing. I think that this is exactly what most people do. I also think that God only tries to reel you in for so long, then he leaves you to the school of hard knocks. I once read a thought by some random philosopher that read something like: "Why is it that we feel guilty about certain behavior even if we have not been taught that it is wrong?" It is a very good question.

I have been down this road with other Atheists before. You are not (nor am I) someone who's mind will be changed here. I write for the benefit of others. All I can tell you is 10 years ago I was searching for answers. It was very obvious to me that the world was not here by mistake. That who or whatever was responsible is so much wiser and more powerful than I am. Even before I believed what the bible teaches, it seemed extremely arrogant for me to question God. Call me crazy if you like, but waving my fist in God's face did not seem prudent. Since he brought me into the world .....he can certainly take me out. It seemed wise for me to find out who He was, and get about trying to figure out what He wanted from me.

I understand that you find the whole concept of God nonsense. It is not possible in my mind (however feeble you may believe it to be) that we are just here.....and will just die, the end. I think most people believe something is going on after this for a reason. I think it is because God gave them the desire to find out....to seek Him.
As I studied it all while taking philosophy courses, world religion courses etc....I saw that (as Ross Archer alluded to) the scientific explanations were every bit as and even more untenable than faith in a God. The more of the Bible I read, and the more genuinely humble I was towards God, the more I started to understand what I was reading.

Now, I would love to write all night but need to close this for now. I have tried to explain to you in my language just a little of how I came to where I am. I can support any of what I said with scripture for anyone interested, but since you believe the Bible to be mythology, I would not expect you to be interested in that. There are others however who are reading and getting things from our debate. I know that God's word is much better at explaining the truth than I am, so I try to leave it to Him and His word to do His work. Scripture speaks to people, deep in their hearts. By design. Just as our conscience does. The question God asks is: Will we listen? Or will we be self absorbed. I am not accusing you in particular of being self absorbed, but most everyone I know is. I certainly am, and fight every day to change that. All I want to do is play with the radios, and satisfy myself. I believe God wants us to take our minds off of ourselves and focus it on Him.

Galatians 5:14 For all the law is fulfilled in one word, even in this; Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E8CB9D9.A55AC3BB@email.com...
> N7MFR wrote:
>
> > ANYWAY......so whats on shortwave lately?
>
> Mostly, from the strongest stations all coming from the US, nothing but
> religious stuff like we are discussing here. That is why it comes up
> so much.
>
> You never answered why Jesus wants us to hate our mothers, fathers,
> children and such? Or why the bible tells us the world is flat with
> four corners and that there are fire breathing dragons and unicorns?
>
>
>
>

2104. Constitution is one of many "pillars" of our system of rights/justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 04:24:56 UTC
From: gaffo <gaffo@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.04.04.24.54.531153@usenet.net>
Source record: 33513
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Thu, 03 Apr 2003 17:56:50 +0000, John Simmons wrote:

> Path:
> sn-us!sn-xit-01!sn-xit-09!supernews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn14feed!wn13f
> eed!worldnet.att.net!204.127.198.203!attbi_feed3!attbi.com!sccrnsc02.POSTED
> !not-for-mail
> Message-ID: <3E8C7620.C2412089@email.com>
> From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
> Organization: http://www.antiwar.com/latest.html
> X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
> X-Accept-Language: en
> Newsgroups:
> alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate
>
> Subject: Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik
> References: <8FFga.230027$F1.15567@sccrnsc04> <3E8369C0.9D1D5EB9@emai.com>
> <k2Oga.1335$0X.893688@twister.columbus.rr.com>
> <663a22951e9a4942d3914aac3a265d1c@news.teranews.com>
> <pOSga.2651$0X.1243727@twister.columbus.rr.com>
> <3E84C245.DE221760@email.com> <3E84A730.5010306@mailcity.moc>
> <3E84C99D.4593E938@email.com> <b62ihu$9u6$1@news1.kornet.net>
> <3E850026.7000804@mailcity.moc> <vHoha.254049$F1.46324@sccrnsc04>
> <3E8613DE.1010209@mailcity.moc> <3E88C5EC.7CF18C19@email.com>
> <3E88B0AF.40300@mailcity.moc> <3E89FAD6.38D975EB@email.com>
> <3E89E69D.80203@mailcity.moc> <3E8A12EA.C612B4A6@email.com>
> <b6d3re$8k9$1@news1.kornet.net> <3E8B39FC.45B0C968@email.com>
> <pan.2003.04.03.03.42.35.158030@usenet.net>
> Lines: 14
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.248.244.222
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@attbi.com
> X-Trace: sccrnsc02 1049392610 12.248.244.222 (Thu, 03 Apr 2003 17:56:50
> GMT)
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 Apr 2003 17:56:50 GMT
> Date: Thu, 03 Apr 2003 17:56:50 GMT
> Xref: sn-us alt.radio.scanner:176165 rec.radio.scanner:258116
> alt.radio.broadcasting:36160 alt.radio.pirate:87196
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>
>> In fact there is nothing "clear" in the way our Constitution is written.
>

> It seems very very clear to me. But then again, you can have two lawyers looking at
> the same law and saying it means two different things, so why don't I find it
> surprising that the same is being done with the Constitution.
>
> If it was not clear, then it would be completely worthless. Thus the effort that
> goes into the wording of any contract you sign, to make sure no one is going to be
> able to interpret it in any way other than it is carefully stated. Yet, people
> still go to court to have a judge read the clearly stated contract to the idiot who
> doesn't understand it. Then you have to hope the Judge knows how to read it.
> What a mess.

For one thing, the constitution is only one of four or five foundations of
our legal system.

Here are the major elements which i know of.

1. Inalienable rights - mentioned in our Declairation of Independence,
mentioned by Locke and Bacon and Jefferson and Frankiln and Mason in
personal letters and writtings. Also IMPLIED via our Ninth Amendment to
the Constitution.

2. Jury Power - notably the right of the jury to nullify. (render the
accused - who is beleived by the jury to be guily of breaking the law in
question - innocent because the members of the jury judge the law itself
as immoral and thus invalid). This right pre-dates the Constitution and is
also IMPLIED in our Nineth as one of the several "others" (rights)
affirmed. The British Magna Charta affirmed Jury rights and basic precepts
of rough equity (at least for the Lords)

3. Common Law Rights/Court rulings - both pre-1776 and post. (including Colonial
rullings in principle at least). It is important to note that wea re
talking about rulings (precident). These rulings have more power on the
whole than our Constitution. This is just how our system works. Rulings
can get reversed and the Supreme Court rulling have more wieght than
dictrict or State Court rulings.

Can't think of any other "rights" off hand.

4. We have State Constitutions also - mostly ignored I suspect however. My
State has an Amendment which allows for impeaching any representative for
drunkeness!!!!! lol.

The Original Road Island Constitution was the Colonial charter. This State
Constitution was valid under the court system from the 1650's up through
the mid 19th century!!! Even though a British document and pre-dating the
US Constitution!! It's fairness was questional, one had to own land to
vote, and Mr. Dorr (Dorr's rebellion - a small civil war in RI) and his
men drafted a new fairer constitution - ruled invalid and thus ignored by
th ewealthy in RI.....anyway after a short war, Dorr was tried for
treason and jailed and within a couple of yrs Dorr's State constituton was
voted in and the old one voted out!!! Well, enough history!

The Constitution implies many rights and explicitly affirms only a small fraction of the rights men are granted by the higher authority (higher than government or man). The Constitution doesn't "Grant" rights to The People, but it does grant powers to the federal Government - VERY VERY limited ones, which have been usurped over the many decades. (i.e. federalism).....probably unconstituional, but hey what can ya do?.....

Our Constitution is pro-People and anti-fed/government. The Ninth Amendment is the core and spirit of the entire document. Read the Nineth and you know the Constitution.

peace

2105. Re: Constitution is one of many "pillars" of our system of rights/justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 04:25:42 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030403232542.19257.00000236@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 33522

And this has WHAT to do with scanners?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

2106. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 04:34:23 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jX7ja.7404$ey1.592829@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And as long as a man will not humble himself.....he will not see God.

Proverbs 16:18-19 Pride goeth before destruction, and an haughty spirit
before a fall. Better it is to be of an humble spirit with the lowly, than
to divide the spoil with the proud.

r lee, respectfuly, what prize awaits you for being a "human being"? And
what does my pride gain me if in the end I am dust?

"r lee" <me@you.edu> wrote in message news:b6hsjg$146$1@iruka.swcp.com...
> As long as god's people see themselves as dust they will never, ever rise
> above their lot in life. They will always be controlled and manipulated.
> They will never be free. They will never use their mind to think for
> themselves. They will always be slaves to myth and legend written long
ago
> for a political aim and continue to be used as a tool of political
> manipulation. You may be dust, I am a human being. I hope one day you
and
> yours can see that.
>
>
> N7MFR wrote in message ...
> > Exactly.
> >
> > The scripture answered the statement. God answers for Himself, I do not
> >need to do it for Him. Thats why He is God, and I am dust.
> >
> >
> >"r lee" <me@you.edu> wrote in message news:b6gkot$rd5$1@iruka.swcp.com...
> >> Why think for yourself when you can quote scripture?
>
>
>

2107. Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 04:35:13 UTC
From: MR <nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ipqcnXVIvogblhCjXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 33523
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm thinking about selling two scanners I have (Uniden BC890XLT and Radio Shack Pro-2026) to buy one of the receivers listed in the subject line. I realize the RX320 is HF only and the PCR-1000 goes through 1.3GHz. What I'm more interested in is the receive quality of the two. The assumption would be that the Ten-Tec receiver would be much better (noise suppression w/ DSP). I will be using the receiver w/ a laptop in a truck, so noise can be a problem, especially on HF. I would appreciate anyone's input on this...

Thanks for your time!

Mike

nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com

.

2108. Re: WTB: Old scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 05:24:33 UTC
From: Elephant <elephant@the-zoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E8D1711.76A5@the-zoo.com>
Source record: 33525

BiteFace wrote:
>
> Looking for old Regency ACT-R106 8 channel scanner. Also need single channel

Do a search on e-bay under "Regency Scanner". Always have those
on auction. I picked mine up for $10 and it looks and works like new.

2109. Re: Constitution is one of many "pillars" of our system of rights/justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 06:25:15 UTC
From: gaffo <gaffo@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.04.06.25.12.177682@usenet.net>
Source record: 33520
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Fri, 04 Apr 2003 04:08:34 +0000, Q wrote:

> Path:
> sn-us!sn-xit-04!sn-xit-01!sn-xit-09!supernews.com!newshosting.com!news-xfer
> 2.atl.newshosting.com!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed2.easynews.com!newsfeed1
> .easynews.com!easynews.com!easynews!news-west.rr.com!cyclone.kc.rr.com!cycl
> one2.kc.rr.com!news2.kc.rr.com!twister.columbus.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
> Reply-To: "Q" <Q@Q.everywhere>
> From: "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
> Newsgroups:
> alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate
>
> References: <b62ihu$9u6$1@news1.kornet.net> <3E850026.7000804@mailcity.moc>
> <vHoha.254049$F1.46324@sccrnsc04> <3E8613DE.1010209@mailcity.moc>
> <3E88C5EC.7CF18C19@email.com> <3E88B0AF.40300@mailcity.moc>
> <3E89FAD6.38D975EB@email.com> <3E89E69D.80203@mailcity.moc>
> <3E8A12EA.C612B4A6@email.com> <b6d3re$8k9$1@news1.kornet.net>
> <3E8B39FC.45B0C968@email.com> <pan.2003.04.03.03.42.35.158030@usenet.net>
> <3E8C7620.C2412089@email.com> <pan.2003.04.04.04.24.54.531153@usenet.net>
> <9t0q8vg09m0asmir599qp5p051je8uvt5l@4ax.com>
> Subject: Re: Constitution is one of many "pillars" of our system of
> rights/justice
> Lines: 11
> X-Priority: 3
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> Message-ID: <6z7ja.47421$0X.9127731@twister.columbus.rr.com>
> Date: Fri, 04 Apr 2003 04:08:34 GMT
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.210.84.106
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
> X-Trace: twister.columbus.rr.com 1049429314 24.210.84.106 (Thu, 03 Apr 2003
> 23:08:34 EST)
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 Apr 2003 23:08:34 EST
> Organization: Road Runner High Speed Online -- Columbus
> Xref: sn-us alt.radio.scanner:176211 rec.radio.scanner:258177
> alt.radio.broadcasting:36205 alt.radio.pirate:87230
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
>
>
> "Dave Fouchey" <dafouchey@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:9t0q8vg09m0asmir599qp5p051je8uvt5l@4ax.com...

>> Well since this is the document under discussion perhaps a link to it
>> would help?
>>
>> http://www.law.emory.edu/FEDERAL/usconst.html
>
> Don't confuse johnny, gaffo et. al. with the facts. All they'll do is
> selectively pick and choose those parts they wish to decimate.

decimate?

I only see you worshiping Fascism and deficating on our
Constitution.

2110. Need AM mode for VHF mil

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 06:57:00 UTC
From: stabeu2@yahoo.fr
Message-ID: <a8a6857a.0304032257.47e4cf67@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33526

good morning,

i have a SC 200 (exactly a european version which is called UBC 280
XLT) and i'd like to listen to aero mil on VHF but how to do it?I need
AM mode in 137-174 Mhz band. I've found the modification for the UBC
120 XLT but not for mine :-(
Some one has an idea???

Thank you. 73. Fabien

2111. Re: C Crane Justice AM Antenna With Twin Coil Ferrite- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 07:07:11 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304032307.50d7ada9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

DC,

So You Don't Feel Neglected.

hassle, Hassle. HASSLE !

Just For The Fun Of It ;o}

~ RHF

= = = "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
= = = news:<%5Oia.1671$n95.1013717@twister.nyc.rr.com>...
> Believe it or not, I was actually able to sell an item here without a MAJOR
> hassle.
>
> It's been sold.
>
> Dan
>
> > C. Crane Justice AM antenna with additional 25 feet of coax lead. Item in
> > like new condition, in original packaging, instructions, etc.
> >
> > $75.00 shipped via UPS ground, insured.
> >
> > I will accept PAYPAL, Postal money order, bank / cashier's check, or
> > personal check. (Personal check will delay shipping until it clears).
> >
> > eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
> >
> > E-mail if interested.
> >
> > Dan
> >
> >

2112. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 07:14:39 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <zhaja.13011$de.1632272@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 33528

I just wonder if the US and England will be charged with war crimes as that they are the invading countries and they invaded without provocation. I do note that they have almost the entire country and have not found any chemical or biological weapons and that was their only justification. Also Iraq has never done anything to the US or any of its treaty allies. Thus this makes it an illegal invasion subject to war crimes charges.

"Dr Pepper" <DrPepper@iwvisp.com> wrote in message news:e70p8v8apu79p3o3ivq9qogafto1rdb2ec@4ax.com...
> Well, it seems to me that this thread is properly tiotled,
> This guy is about as illogical as that which he rails against.
>
>
>
> On Fri, 28 Mar 2003 18:09:02 GMT, "Pointing North"
> <PointingNorth@Bendover.com> wrote:
>
> > NPR Commentary: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq
> >
> > March 13, 2003
> >
> > Peter Freundlich
> >
> > All right, let me see if I understand the logic of this correctly. We
> > are going to ignore the United Nations in order to make clear to
> > Saddam Hussein that the United Nations cannot be ignored. We're going
> > to wage war to preserve the UN's ability to avert war . The paramount
> > principle is that the UN's word must be taken seriously, and if we
> > have to subvert its word to guarantee that it is, then by gum, we
> > will. Peace is too important not to take up arms to defend. Am I
> > getting this right?
> >
> > Further, if the only way to bring democracy to Iraq is to vitiate the
> > democracy of the Security Council, then we are honor-bound to do that
> > too, because democracy, as we define it, is too important to be
> > stopped by a little thing like democracy as they define it.
> >
> > Also, in dealing with a man who brooks no dissension at home, we
> > cannot afford dissension among ourselves. We must speak with one
> > voice against Saddam Hussein's failure to allow opposing voices to be
> > heard. We are sending our gathered might to the Persian Gulf to make
> > the point that might does not make right, as Saddam Hussein seems to
> > think it does. And we are twisting the arms of the opposition until
> > it agrees to let us oust a regime that twists the arms of the

> > opposition. We cannot leave in power a dictator who ignores his own
> > people. And if our people, and people elsewhere in the world, fail to
> > understand that, then we have no choice but to ignore them.
> >
> > Listen. Don't misunderstand. I think it is a good thing that the
> > members of the Bush administration seem to have been reading Lewis
> > Carroll. I only wish someone had pointed out that "Alice in
> > Wonderland" and "Through the Looking Glass" are meditations on
> > paradox and puzzle and illogic and on the strangeness of things, not
> > templates for foreign policy. It is amusing for the Mad Hatter to say
> > something like, `We must make war on him because he is a threat to
> > peace,' but not amusing for someone who actually commands an army to
> > say that.
> >
> > As a collector of laughable arguments, I'd be enjoying all this were
> > it not for the fact that I know--we all know--that lives are going to
> > be lost in what amounts to a freak, circular reasoning accident.
> >
> > Peter Freundlich is a freelance journalist in New York .
> >
>

2113. Re: C Crane Justice AM Antenna With Twin Coil Ferrite- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 08:06:46 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <q2bja.5985$an1.3862@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for making my point.

> DC,
>
> So You Don't Feel Neglected.
>
> hassle, Hassle. HASSLE !
>
> Just For The Fun Of It ;o}
>
> ~ RHF
>
> = = = "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> = = = news:<%5Oia.1671$n95.1013717@twister.nyc.rr.com>...
> > Believe it or not, I was actually able to sell an item here without a
MAJOR
> > hassle.
> >
> > It's been sold.
> >
> > Dan
> >
> > > C. Crane Justice AM antenna with additional 25 feet of coax lead.
Item in
> > > like new condition, in original packaging, instructions, etc.
> > >
> > > $75.00 shipped via UPS ground, insured.
> > >
> > > I will accept PAYPAL, Postal money order, bank / cashier's check, or
> > > personal check. (Personal check will delay shipping until it clears).
> > >
> > > eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
> > >
> > > E-mail if interested.
> > >
> > > Dan
> > >
> > >

2114. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 12:29:24 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <pnsq8vs5osnku0p6149q7vvnhp5dpinki0@4ax.com>
Source record: 33530
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"MR" <nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com> wrote:

> I'm thinking about selling two scanners I have (Uniden BC890XLT and
> Radio Shack Pro-2026) to buy one of the receivers listed in the subject
> line. I realize the RX320 is HF only and the PCR-1000 goes through 1.3GHz.
> What I'm more interested in is the receive quality of the two. The
> assumption would be that the Ten-Tec receiver would be much better (noise
> suppression w/ DSP). I will be using the receiver w/ a laptop in a truck, so
> noise can be a problem, especially on HF. I would appreciate anyone's input
> on this...

No question, the '320 is a far better receiver.

In fact, it is probably the best price/performance ratio of ANY
shortwave receiver available. Yes, it is that good.

> Thanks for your time!
> Mike
> nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com
> .

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dim.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

2115. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 12:43:41 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3e8d7bac.13708065@news-server>
Source record: 33531
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I tried both, but swear by my new WinRadio WR-1550e.
IMHO this is a much better alternative to PCR. (Which I sold after
acquiring the WinRadio.)

See http://www.winradio.com/home/1550e.htm .

Hope this helps
Rich

On Thu, 3 Apr 2003 21:35:13 -0700, "MR"
<nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
> I'm thinking about selling two scanners I have (Uniden BC890XLT and
>Radio Shack Pro-2026) to buy one of the receivers listed in the subject
>line. I realize the RX320 is HF only and the PCR-1000 goes through 1.3GHz.
>What I'm more interested in is the receive quality of the two. The
>assumption would be that the Ten-Tec receiver would be much better (noise
>suppression w/ DSP). I will be using the receiver w/ a laptop in a truck, so
>noise can be a problem, especially on HF. I would appreciate anyone's input
>on this...
>
>
>
>Thanks for your time!
>
>
>
>Mike
>
>nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com
>
>.
>
>

2116. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 12:52:24 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d17275c.0304040452.2f20cabe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33532
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"MR" <nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<ipqcnXVlvogblhCjXTWcrg@comcast.com>...
> I'm thinking about selling two scanners I have (Uniden BC890XLT and
> Radio Shack Pro-2026) to buy one of the receivers listed in the subject
> line. I realize the RX320 is HF only and the PCR-1000 goes through 1.3GHz.
> What I'm more interested in is the receive quality of the two. The
> assumption would be that the Ten-Tec receiver would be much better (noise
> suppression w/ DSP). I will be using the receiver w/ a laptop in a truck, so
> noise can be a problem, especially on HF. I would appreciate anyone's input
> on this...
>
>
>
> Thanks for your time!
>
>
>
> Mike
>
> nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com

The RX320 is a GREAT SW receiver.
The PCR1000 is an OK SW receiver.
If your goal is the BEST SW, the the RX320 is the clear winner.
If you can accept SW reception on a par with a "good" portable,
then the PRC1000 will work fine. Unless you need SSB/CW you might
check out the PCR100.
Icom's control software is really, really, olympic class really
bad. There are several good 3rd party programs, and Icom supplies
the Bonito application for the PCR1000. But it requires a dongle,
and that means you laptop will need to have a parallel port.

But modern vehicals are really mobile noise sources. Unless you
are planning on parking each and every time you want to listen
to SW you are in for a BIG disapointment.

VHF and UHF reception will be somewhat better, but again
modern vehicals are mobile noise sources.

Terry

2117. Re: C Crane Justice AM Antenna With Twin Coil Ferrite- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 13:49:55 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304040549.344c08fc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

DC,

-E-X-A-C-T-L-Y-

To Beg the Question . . .
- - - Is to Suffer the Answer [.]

~ RHF

= = = "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
= = = news:<q2bja.5985$an1.3862@twister.nyc.rr.com>...
> Thanks for making my point.
>

2118. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 14:25:37 UTC
From: Robert <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <b6k4kqnbv1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 33434
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"MR" <nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ipqcnXVlvogblhCjXTWcrg@comcast.com...
>
> I'm thinking about selling two scanners I have (Uniden BC890XLT and
> Radio Shack Pro-2026) to buy one of the receivers listed in the subject
> line.

I recently narrowed my choices down to your exact two. ;) I went
with the Ten-Tec because...
1. I have a BC-780, which gives me about the same freq coverage with
the 320 as the 1000 alone.
2. The price/performance ratio is mind-boggling. Do some Googles.
3. It's made in the USA. By rednecks. (JOKE!)
4. The code is open-source and there's no squabbling going on about
writing software for the 320.

I'm more than happy with the thing - it makes my Sang 818 _seem_
deaf as a post (not knocking Sangean; I like it).

If you really need a wideband single box product, I'd guess the 1000
is about the best buy out there; I'd like to have a good wideband reciever,
myself, but, to be honest, to get the sort of quality I've got with these
two radios (the 870 and the 320) you've really got to spend a lot more money

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.467 / Virus Database: 266 - Release Date: 4/1/2003

2119. Pozilla Software O'pps Web-Site Gone Again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 14:26:18 UTC
From: no@spam.org
Message-ID: <935361434nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 33534

I only have one thing to say... Click the link...

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winjunk.htm

The old addage a picture tells a thousand words.. Well
ME are you still running your mouth nd calling people names??? Your
mommy needs to give you a spanking and send you to your room...

The Dude CWO/5 US Army

2120. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 14:53:58 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3E8D9C86.7040404@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 33536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ross Archer wrote:
> It seems to me that if God really wanted mindless dogmatic
> devotion, He would have given created intelligent doggies.
> It's *really* hard to imagine a worse choice than mankind.
> Maybe cats. :)

Divine purpose is nothing but the projection of human need. Our vanity prevents us from accepting that we are no more than cosmic accidents. We need to know that we are here for some lofty purpose. Hence, the creation of a divine purpose. Yet noone can even conjecture as to what purpose God had in mind when creating man. It is illogical.

--
M2

2121. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 15:16:34 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030404101634.08859.00000605@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 33535

IRAQ = OIL OIL OIL

AFGHANISTAN = OIL OIL OIL

2122. Re: Pozilla Software O'pps Web-Site Gone Again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 16:00:58 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <__hja.1834$Jc.1753@fed1read02>
Source record: 33537

HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA !!!

"No Brains" shows the world that he's too freaking stupid for words!!!

www.pozillasoft.com. Was just there. Works just fine.

When are you going to realize that the only person who really believes your BS ranting is you? The rest of this NG (myself at least) thinks you've got to be, bar none, the dumbest idiot on the planet.

How about it No Brains? Did you find a working serial for Arc780 yet? LOL!!!!

And while we're on the subject of your outright lies, I hate to break it to you "Army guy" but owning a GI-Joe lunchpail doesn't count as being active military.

ROTFL!!!

-me
Right back at ya - in spades.

"No Brains" <no@spam.org> wrote in message news:935361434nospamorg@216.168.3.44...

<snipped - who cares>

2123. For sale 400 micro-scanners Uhf 25 Euro p/p

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 16:43:49 UTC
From: bla <comsecnl@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9Dija.25091$A_1.1690622@amsnews03.chello.com>
Source record: 33538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

For sale 400 miniature-scanners price 25 Euro p/p

They are very small uhf scanners about the size of a pack of
camel-cigarettes without filter
New in box incl manual

Technical specs:
Model Scanap Ap-Micro 454
Freqrange: 454.0250 Mhz to 454.8250 Mhz (step 25 Khz)
33 channels
Lcd display
Sensitivity: 0,5 uV
If-freqs: 23,05Mhz and 450 khz
Powersupply: 2 x 1,5 penlites
Buttons and controls:
Volume/squelch/monitor/keylock/scan/up-down
Connectors: antenna/earphone
Inluded is 1 uhf-antenna

These scanners where made for the Uk "Wide Area Paging
Network" Maybe the can be used for something else or
modified,
frequencies are synthisized

Interested ? mail me at: comsecnl@hotmail

2124. Re: Pozilla Software O'pps Web-Site Gone Again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 17:16:22 UTC
From: John Bacon <news@djbitz.com>
Message-ID: <G5jja.72231$0g4.2250981@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 33539

I cant wait till Butel and Pozilla and his ISP get a hold of a message I sent the other day publishing a Serial Number that wasn't even real. Gommert Buysen of Butel was very happy to see that e-mail and said the Serial number is even close to what he designs and wouldn't work on any of his software. And for Pozilla. I hope they press charges on this guy "NO SPAM" for something. An now "No Spam's" ISP they will have a field day with this. I hope the ISP shuts this buy down for good.

****
"me" <me@none.com> wrote in message news:__hja.1834$Jc.1753@fed1read02...
> HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA !!!
>
> "No Brains" shows the world that he's too freaking stupid for words!!!
>
> www.pozillasoft.com. Was just there. Works just fine.
>
> When are you going to realize that the only person who really believes
your
> BS ranting is you? The rest of this NG (myself at least) thinks you've got
> to be, bar none, the dumbest idiot on the planet.
>
> How about it No Brains? Did you find a working serial for Arc780 yet?
> LOL!!!!
>
> And while we're on the subject of your outright lies, I hate to break it
to
> you "Army guy" but owning a GI-Joe lunchpail doesn't count as being active
> military.
>
> ROTFL!!!
>
> -me
> Right back at ya - in spades.
>
>
> "No Brains" <no@spam.org> wrote in message
> news:935361434nospamorg@216.168.3.44...
>
> <snipped - who cares>
>
>

2125. Re: Same weather radios, can you recommend one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 17:36:29 UTC
From: Dick <rhughes33@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <qigr8vsdi5t622i1ogm5fi3p6epbp0pbrs@4ax.com>
Source record: 33540

The Radio Shack 12-251 is an excellent radio. Very sensitive. Easy
to program, and the SAME feature works perfectly.

Dick

On Fri, 04 Apr 2003 16:28:55 GMT, Pills4ills@yahoo.com (Just Bob)
wrote:

>Can anyone in here recommend a good quality, easy to
>program, same weather alert radio?
>
>thank you,
>
>
>-------------------------
>-360/333/180---12/9/2002-
>Weather Phobia--> http://www.geocities.com/dnc_tn/
>Weather Info ---> http://www.geocities.com/weatherphobia/

2126. Re: No Brains can't spell "OOPS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 18:06:57 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <5Rjja.2240$Jc.1163@fed1read02>
Source record: 33541

heh heh, agreed. I'm sure they've received several.

-me

"John Bacon" <news@djbitz.com> wrote in message
news:G5jja.72231$0g4.2250981@news2.east.cox.net...
> I cant wait till Butel and Pozilla and his ISP get a hold of a message I
> sent the other day publishing a Serial Number that wasn't even real.
Gommert
> Buysen of Butel was very happy to see that e-mail and said the Serial
number
> is even close to what he designs and wouldn't work on any of his software.
> And for Pozilla. I hope they press charges on this guy "NO SPAM" for
> something. An now "No Spam's" ISP they will have a field day with this. I
> hope the ISP shuts this buy down for good.
>
> ***************
> "me" <me@none.com> wrote in message news:__hja.1834$Jc.1753@fed1read02...
> > HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA !!!
> >
> > "No Brains" shows the world that he's too freaking stupid for words!!!
> >
> > www.pozillasoft.com. Was just there. Works just fine.
> >
> > When are you going to realize that the only person who really believes
> your
> > BS ranting is you? The rest of this NG (myself at least) thinks you've
got
> > to be, bar none, the dumbest idiot on the planet.
> >
> > How about it No Brains? Did you find a working serial for Arc780 yet?
> > LOL!!!!
> >
> > And while we're on the subject of your outright lies, I hate to break it
> to
> > you "Army guy" but owning a GI-Joe lunchpail doesn't count as being
active
> > military.
> >
> > ROTFL!!!
> >
> > -me
> > Right back at ya - in spades.
> >
> >

> > "No Brains" <no@spam.org> wrote in message
> > news:935361434nospamorg@216.168.3.44...
> >
> > <snipped - who cares>
> >
> >
>
>

2127. BC 245XLT in Toronto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 18:14:08 UTC
From: Colin James <colin@blackgamma.com>
Message-ID: <QXjja.38589$7Im.22000@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 33542
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know of anywhere to get this unit in Toronto that actually has them in stock? I've been looking and have had no luck! new or used!

Thanks.

2128. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 18:16:00 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@home.scotland>
Message-ID: <b6ki4v$j6h$1@sparta.btinternet.com>
Source record: 33543
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:uHpia.41107$ja4.2499845@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

[snip]

Fair enough. :o)

2129. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 18:16:58 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <A_jja.275$Ru4.18916@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 33544
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

```
"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:k27ja.47341$0X.9088208@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
> news:3E8CA69E.6060907@mailcity.moc...
>
> > >>later John boy,
> > > Have a good one. I may join you. Tired of talking to brick walls.
> >
> > Go, you would make a good pair.
>
> ...of what?
>
>
Brick walls?
```

2130. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 18:29:37 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8DCF4E.399DE50A@email.com>
Source record: 33545
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Never anonymous Bud wrote:

> Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com> belched:
>
> >gaffo wrote:
> >
> >> > You will find tons of books on Hitler written by Jewish authors, but you can't
> >> > get the one Hitler wrote called "My New Order"
> >>
> >> I saw it here at B&N last yr.
> >
> >It is out of print. Even on Amazon.com you can only find used versions from
> >individuals selling them, not new ones.
>
> I just did a search, and found several copies on eBay, AND this link...
>
> http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/0374939187/inktomi-bkasin-20/102-2514916-8237726
>
> to new AND used copies on Amazon.

Look again for the exact title, there are no NEW copies from Amazon. It is OUT OF PRINT. Try to buy a NEW copy from Amazon. Ebay sells used copies and you did NOT just find several copies of it on Ebay! You are a LIAR. Anyone can go and check current and completed auctions and see what a liar you are. They come up on Ebay every so often, indeed. But there have not been any recently.

LIAR! and TROLL!

2131. Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 19:12:24 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8DD955.B5196CA9@email.com>
Source record: 33546
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

BEFORE the war, you would see all the troops on TV and they would all be interviewed and the
majority of them would be black. Every so often, you would see a white soldier walking around
in the background somewhere.

Now that the war is on. All the soldiers have turned white. I have only seen 2 black soldiers in our troops on TV during the war.

Does someone have an explanation to this very bizarre and mysterious observation?

Surely someone else has noticed this?

2132. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 19:28:07 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8DDD04.4C94906E@email.com>
Source record: 33447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

signalchaser wrote:

> I see your point and what you quoted as Scripture is in fact in that Book. I
> find it odd that such moral filth would be in a book stated as Holy.

All the while those that ban books that don't even come close to this filth, wave this pornographic book in the air while they protest the much less offensive books. Like Tom Sawyer and the like.

> Not to
> mention the Song of Solomon etc. My comment in regards to the morals in the
> Bible regards a few different stories and teachings. I find a lot of the
> book to be that of a cruel God who creates his people so that they can
> eventually fail and suffer in some lake of fire even if they do not know his
> son. I find that all strange.

And look at where it all starts. He creates a man and woman without any knowledge of right or wrong. He then places an apple tree in front of them and tells them not to eat any sweet tasty apples from it. Then he goes away. He creates the humans with curiosity, but not with knowledge, and of course he knows what is going to happen. They eat from the tree and THEN gain the knowledge that it was wrong to do so, only after doing it.

This is worse than when a cop posses as a prostitute to entrap someone, at least the victim had knowledge that prostitution is illegal. (dressing up as a prostitute and soliciting sex is also illegal, but oh well)

If God REALLY didn't want them to have knowledge and remain stupid, why did he put the tree right in front of them? If you don't want your 3 year old playing with guns and matches, do you put them right in front of the child and then tell them NOT to touch them and then leave the house? That is what God does! But only because god is evil and knows exactly what happens when you do something like that.

So, now that man did exactly what he programmed them to do, he punishes them and makes all life hard. No more garden of Eden, you have to deal with hard dirt to plant and grow crops, child birth becomes extremely painful, etc., etc., all as the good book mentions god deliberately did to make life so unenjoyable because now man has the knowledge to appreciate all this pain and grief.

> Its just like the fact that there is a verse
> where soldiers are commanded to smash babies heads into the wall and Moses
> is ordered to kill all kinds of people. In addition, if God needed Moses to
> kill those people, then why didnt he do them himself

He did. He flooded the world and drowned most of mankind. Then he realized it was WRONG to do that, and promised to never do it again. Yet when does a year go by that somewhere in the world a major flood kills more people? How often does his tornados plow through churches full of people worshiping him and kill them all? He is a child with a jar full of insects that he likes to torture one by one.

> > What moral message? You mean filth like this:
> >
> > Isaiah 36:12
> > Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the wall, that they may eat
> their
> > own dung, and drink their
> > own piss with you?
> >
> > Malachi 2:3
> > Behold, I will corrupt your seed, and spread dung upon your faces!
> >
> > And thou shalt eat it as barely cakes, and thou shalt bake it
> > with dung that cometh out of man..." - Ezekiel 4:12
> >
> > "Lo, I have given thee cow's dung for man's dung and thou
> > shalt prepare thy bread therewith" - Ezekiel 4:15
> >
> > Or do you mean the moral message from Jesus that we should all hate our
> mothers,
> > fathers, children, etc?
> >
> > "If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and
> wife and
> > children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot
> be my
> > disciple." - Luke 14:26
> >
> > > Its like in the Old Testament when God commanded Moses to kill, yet when
> one
> > > thinks about it, since God has all the power then why doesnt he kill
> them
> > > instead of commanding Moses.
> >
> > Why doesn't God just get rid of Satan? That would end all of our
> problems.
> >
> > If god can prevent evil, but doesn't, then He isn't all-loving.
> > If god intends to prevent evil, but cannot, then He isn't omnipotent.
> > If god both intends to prevent evil and is capable of doing so, then how
> can
> > evil exist?
> >
> > One of the following two statements MUST be true:
> >

> > 1) There is no god.
> > 2) God is evil.
> >
> >

2133. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 19:50:57 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8DE25E.A746231E@email.com>
Source record: 33448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Does it seem extraordinary that man has the phenomenal
> capacity to reason, imagine future consequences of his
> actions,

Just as a squirrel or dog does, by the way.

> and make moral choices from that awareness, if God
> wanted us to be slavish, ignorant drones that just follow a
> set of rules in a book?

God CLAIMS he wanted man to remain stupid and not have any knowledge at all. But then he places the tree of knowledge in front of them, creates them with curiosity, then goes away. Just like if you put a loaded gun in front of a stupid 3 year old and told the child NOT to play with it, then left the house.

He CLAIMS to be angered that they ate a TASTY SWEET APPLE from the tree and gained knowledge, but is he really angry? Why even put a tree with tasty apples in the garden of Eden that would give them knowledge?

> It seems to me that if God really wanted mindless dogmatic
> devotion, He would have given created intelligent doggies.

Dogs are intelligent. But of course if you have a human child and just keep it locked up in the basement, don't ever talk to it intelligently and shove it a bowl full of food and water once a day and tell it to shut up and get down all the time, it would end up pretty stupid too. Dogs don't have the vocal cords for speaking English, but they sure understand English if you bring it up and raise it like you would a human. I had a dog I could tell in straight monotone English to do things, get things for me, etc. etc. It had to use body language to talk back, but it was no different than communicating with a human without the ability to speak. Too bad a dog doesn't have the hands we do too, or it could have done so many more things.

Then there are the birds many of my friends have had that COULD speak English and communicate very well with humans. I will never forget the argument of the one bird who wanted a cup of coffee while the owner kept telling it to wait until they get home, and the bird would just complain that he wanted it NOW just like an impatient child would. Once you experience things like this, you realize that humans are not so special and superior to any other animals. Even spiders given only one way in a room to get to something it wants, will sit for a while, figure out how to get there, and then go and execute this plan. You learn a lot if you watch TLC and the Discovery Channel instead of Basketball Games.

> It's *really* hard to imagine a worse choice than mankind.
> Maybe cats. :)

What about the choice that many claim created man and cats? Wouldn't GOD be the worse choice for creating such evil in the first place? Why is Satan still allowed to exist? Because he likes it the way it is.

No species can live and survive without KILLING. Sure you can have someone do your killing for you, but something still has to die (be it animal or plant) for you to continue to live. Now add a commandment that you are not allowed to kill, and we have a situation where all man must be sinners. By the time you are old enough to figure out this trap, it is too late.

The fact that evil things like killing are required in order for life to continue shows that if the Universe was created by a God, then God is one sick evil demented piece of crap.

I would have designed a Universe without evil. No one can die, pain does not exist. So no need for commandments. Just go and TRY to kill your neighbor. Can't do it, because no one can die! Stab him with a knife! No problem, pain is something only an EVIL god would create.

Yes, I could have made a much nicer existence.

If ALL people sin, and no one is perfect, then what happens when people get to heaven? All of a sudden no one has any bad thoughts, no one ever tries to kill anyone anymore or do anything bad? No crime in heaven, no pain in heaven, just eternal bliss???

Why not just bypass the shit part down here and just create everyone in heaven to begin with?

See how ridiculous this whole religious mumbo jumbo bullshit is?

2134. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 19:51:54 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8DE297.75578FDC@email.com>
Source record: 33449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> And as long as a man will not humble himself.....he will not see God.

As long as man exists, he will not ever seen any gods.

2135. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 19:51:54 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8roiqhh99qh7d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33450
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

The military rewards them for valor by converting them. The government has a machine they received from the aliens at area 51 that does the job for them.

I can't believe you just noticed this. The rest of us were aware of this years ago. Do you think Clinton started out white? He was the test case, conversion and elevation to the presidency, to insure it removed all barriers.

For fear of losing their constituency, the NAACP is demonstrating to have an end put to this operation. They are appealing to the UN, characterizing it as ethnic cleansing. Condition Orange is in effect as we expect an invasion from the French shortly in support of the NAACP.

Your government has been hiding all these facts from you! You are a mind numbed robot of the Bush take over. I can provide you with web sites that prove every thing I said is true. Let me know if you dare...

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E8DD955.B5196CA9@email.com...
> BEFORE the war, you would see all the troops on TV and they would all be interviewed and the
> majority of them would be black. Every so often, you would see a white soldier walking around
> in the background somewhere.
>
> Now that the war is on. All the soldiers have turned white. I have only seen 2 black
> soldiers in our troops on TV during the war.
>
> Does someone have an explanation to this very bizarre and mysterious observation?
>
> Surely someone else has noticed this?
>
>
>
>

2136. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 19:54:23 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8DE32C.DEC70D31@email.com>
Source record: 33451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Pappy@USA.net wrote:

> Regarding the subject line:
>
> He said get back to RADIO and please stop trolling these off topic subjects in radio
> groups.

Sure thing Adolf. What does your reply have to do with the thread's topic? Stop posting off topic dictatorship commands in Jesus related threads.

2137. Re: BC 245XLT in Toronto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 20:27:38 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XQlja.39469$cB3.254095@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 33453
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Durham radio just outside of Toronto should have them.

www.durhamradio.com

--
Brad.
FeeD_BaK

"Colin James" <colin@blackgamma.com> wrote in message
news:QXjja.38589$7Im.22000@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
| Does anyone know of anywhere to get this unit in Toronto that actually has
| them in stock? I've been looking and have had no luck! new or used!
|
| Thanks.
|
|

2138. Re: Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 20:28:26 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <HRlja.39471$cB3.253579@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 33454

Lock them out.

--
Brad.
FeeD_BaK

"Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:0xmja.3205$Xp3.1605387@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
| OK I have a bearcat 200XLT. I know I can't do trunking on it but I get the
| channels with diffenernt noises on Them which I think that is the trunking
| thing for police channels cause on some of those channels I do get police
on
| others I just get the noise do I need to keep those channels or can I L/O
| them?
|
| --
| Lisha
|
| All incoming/outgoing email is checked
| with Norton's Anti Virus 2003
|
|

2139. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 20:33:14 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <e_lja.50932$0X.9781885@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33455
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

LOTS of books are out of print. That it was published in 1940 should tell you something. "My Boy Mo", published in 1959, is ALSO out of print.

Why insist on crying "conspiracy" or trying to "hush" something because it's out of print? Is the book not still available? Many books are out of print and can be purchased used. If someone was trying to prevent the sale or propagation of a certain text, there would be NO copies of the text available.

I'd tell you to use your head, but that would be pointless.

2140. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 20:34:16 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <c%lja.50933$0X.9781643@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33456
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed from his anus...

> BEFORE the war, you would see all the troops on TV and they would all be interviewed and the
> majority of them would be black. Every so often, you would see a white soldier walking around
> in the background somewhere.
>
> Now that the war is on. All the soldiers have turned white. I have only seen 2 black
> soldiers in our troops on TV during the war.
>
> Does someone have an explanation to this very bizarre and mysterious observation?

Selective vision and paranoia.

2141. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 20:38:02 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <K2mja.50935$0X.9785495@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33457
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v8roiqhh99qh7d@corp.supernews.com...
> The military rewards them for valor by converting them. The government has
a
> machine they received from the aliens at area 51 that does the job for
them.
>
> I can't believe you just noticed this. The rest of us were aware of this
> years ago. Do you think Clinton started out white? He was the test case,
> conversion and elevation to the presidency, to insure it removed all
> barriers.

Yeah, I remember Clinton once said, "I was born a por black child"...or was
that Steve Martin?

> For fear of losing their constituency, the NAACP is demonstrating to have
an
> end put to this operation. They are appealing to the UN, characterizing it
> as ethnic cleansing. Condition Orange is in effect as we expect an
invasion
> from the French shortly in support of the NAACP.

There efforts are doing no good. According to the Rev. Al Sharpton, Colin
Powell is in league with space aliens, hovering off the east coast, their
goal to eliminate all black people.

> Your government has been hiding all these facts from you! You are a mind
> numbed robot of the Bush take over. I can provide you with web sites that
> prove every thing I said is true. Let me know if you dare...

No, no need - we KNOW it's true - we have "johnny" to set us all straight!

> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8DD955.B5196CA9@email.com...
> > BEFORE the war, you would see all the troops on TV and they would all be
> interviewed and the
> > majority of them would be black. Every so often, you would see a white
> soldier walking around
> > in the background somewhere.
> >
> > Now that the war is on. All the soldiers have turned white. I have
> only seen 2 black
> > soldiers in our troops on TV during the war.
> >
> > Does someone have an explanation to this very bizarre and mysterious

> observation?
> >
> > Surely someone else has noticed this?
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2142. Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 21:10:20 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <0xmja.3205$Xp3.1605387@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33452

OK I have a bearcat 200XLT. I know I can't do trunking on it but I get the channels with diffenernt noises on Them which I think that is the trunking thing for police channels cause on some of those channels I do get police on others I just get the noise do I need to keep those channels or can I L/O them?

--
Lisha

All incoming/outgoing email is checked
with Norton's Anti Virus 2003

2143. FA : Last Day! ALINCO DR-135 T VHF (2M) mobile rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 21:12:03 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Dymja.848$Tu4.798742@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Last day for this one, new in box, reserve met!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3016077424

2144. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 21:16:37 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E8DF671.CFF60556@email.com>
Source record: 33458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> I did answer your question about Jesus NOT telling us to hate our fathers
> and mothers...etc. You look at the Bible as a collection of
> statements....verses if you will that stand alone. This is simply not the
> case.

So then why do YOU try and answer questions by quoting a collection of verses from the Bible and allow them to stand alone. Do as you say, not as you do.

This AGAIN does not answer why Jesus made the statement to hate our parents, children, etc. It is just an attempt to twist the subject to something else. The bible tells us what Jesus said. It is a horrible statement no matter how you try and "interpret" it. Can you admit that?

> Just like any other book, information must be taken in context and
> with whatever else is written about the subject. Read the rest of the
> commentary by Jesus in the above mentioned verses....

As if I could say that "your mother is a whore" or "everyone should kill their parents" and then say something elsewhere that could make this "out of context" statement that I made to mean anything different than what I said.

From now on, anytime anyone finds fault with any of my statements, I will just say that they were taken "out of context"

Jesus said a really horrible thing there, and nothing else can possibly change the meaning of that statement.

It is bad, it is wrong, it is evil, it is the words of your beloved Jesus.

> previous message, and see the answer to your question. If you cannot
> understand the scripture.......only God can help you with that.

Great cop out. Especially since we BOTH know God can not help anyone with anything, so that puts a quick end to that discussion for you, doesn't it?

I know where you can find EXACT PROOF that God does not exist, but only those who ask Santa Clause for the proof will ever get to hear the proof. And only those that TRULY believe in Santa without doubt of his existence will ever get to see or hear him.

Does that sound ridiculous to you? Replace the words "Santa" with either "God" or "Jesus" and try and understand how ridiculous it looks to the rest of us.

> Where exactly does the Bible say that the world is flat with four corners? I
> have not seen, or otherwise do not remember reading that.

I know. You never read the Bible all the way through like us Atheists have, or you would be an Atheist too. You just like the few handful of quotes that are read allowed in your cult as they skip over all of the ones that contradict observed reality of the world we live in.

I can't find the exact one I was thinking about and looking for, but a quick search turned up this:

"After this I saw four angels standing at the four corners of the earth. . ."
--Revelation 7:1
It says four corners in two of the Revelation passages. there are also 16 references to the Sun revolving
around the Earth in the Bible

Many more bizarre mistakes in the Bible can be seen here:
http://www.skepticsannotatedbible.com/gen/sci_list.html

Check out some other Bible Verses you would also not be aware of since you never read the whole book:

"There she lusted after her lovers, whose genitals were
like those of donkeys and whose emission was like that
of horses. So you longed for the lewdness of your youth,
when in Egypt your bosom was caressed and your
young breasts fondled." - Ezekiel 23:20-21

"Therefore fathers shall eat their sons in the midst of you
and sons shall eat their fathers...I will send famine and
wild beasts against you and they shall rob you of your
children; pestilence and blood shall pass through you;
and I will bring a sword upon you. I, the Lord, have
spoken." - Ezekiel 5:10, 5:17

"But if the thing is true, that the tokens of virginity were
not found in the young woman, then you shall bring out
the young woman to the door of her father's house, and
the men of her city shall stone her to death with
stones..." - Deuteronomy 22:20

"Now therefore, kill every male among the little ones,
and kill every woman who has known man by lying
with him. But all the young girls who have not known
man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves." -
Numbers 31:17 (Moses)

"Happy shall he be who takes your little ones and dashes
them against the rock!" - Psalms 137:9

"While the people of Israel were in the wilderness, they
found a man gathering sticks on the sabbath day...And
the Lord said to Moses, 'The man shall be put to death;
all the congregation shall stone him with stones outside
the camp.' And all the congregation brought him outside
the camp, and stoned him to death with stones, as the

Lord commanded Moses." - Numbers 15:32"

"...Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the
wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their
own piss with you?" - Isaiah 36:12

"I form the light, and create darkness: I make peace,
and create evil: I the LORD do all these things." - Isaiah 45:7

Yes, he creates evil all right. And straight from the Lord's mouth too.

> I am familiar with the dragons and unicorns.....both of which were seen in
> dreams and visions. Are all of your dreams true to life?

Yes these are dreams, visions and fantasy. So why were you making it appear
that you thought Jesus and God were reality before? Are you picking and
choosing which parts of the Bible you would like to be real and what you would
like to be fantasy? How do you make that determination? See your
problem? You admitted it is all dreams and fantasy, now you can't take any of
the rest of the book as fact or history. You lost the debate.

> I like to dunk
> basketballs in my dreams, and I could not be further from doing that in real
> life. The Bible is literal in places, figurative or allegorical in others.

And somehow, you are able to know which is which. God perhaps spoke to you and
no one else in the world for some reason? We both know you have never been
spoken to by God, have never seen God, and that you are trying to find a way to
justify why most of the Bible contains things so ridiculous that even you have
to admit they are just fantasy and dreams.

> In my own experience, and that of many many others, the only way to
> understand it is to have been enlightened by God.

Yet you have never been enlightened by God, so that makes no sense.

> I am sorry that you do not hear God.

So then you believe that it is a true fact. Good. Now why not pray real hard
to your God to speak to me, and then I can come back here and verify that he
really does exist and have the power to speak to humans.
I'll be waiting to hear from him.

> I know of no one who hears an audible
> voice. I do not hear one either.

There you go. Yet all the fictional characters in the Bible could. Doesn't
that seem strange?

> The best way for me to describe the
> communication is more like an intuitive thought or feeling where you just
> know something is true or happening.

How could Noah get the exact dimensions to build the Ark with an intuitive
thought or feeling? These were exact dimensions and specifications that God

spoke to him directly. Can you explain how that occurred, yet he has no desire to speak to a good dedicated follower of him as you are? I mean, we know why he won't talk to me, because I don't believe enough. But YOU do. So why is it he never spoke to YOU? Hmmmmmm?

> of hard knocks. I once read a thought by some random philosopher that read
> something like: "Why is it that we feel guilty about certain behavior even
> if we have not been taught that it is wrong?" It is a very good question.

An EASY question. For the same reason animals have the exact same feelings. From every action, you learn there is a reaction. An animal takes food from another animal, and that animal gets mad and attacks the animals that tried to take the food. After a while you LEARN that certain actions produce favorable results, and other actions produce unfavorable results. Animals don't need gods to know the difference between right and wrong.

When you first try to feed a bird or squirrel some food, it feels very guilty to take the food from you because of learned conditions. Once you finally convince it to take the food and show that you are giving it the food (something that no animal has ever done before) and runs away with it and sees you are not angry or going to chase it for taking your food, it learns the idea, and soon takes food our of your hand without any worry, fear, or guilt.

As a matter of fact, I would feed one squirrel every day at the same time of day. Usually I could see it out the window as it sat and waited for me. One day I thought I was going to be too busy and might not see it, so I just put the food outside for it. I did see the squirrel outside the window and figured it ate what I put out, and was waiting for me to bring more. To my surprise, when I opened the door and went outside, the food was still there. It looked at me, then went over and started to eat the food. It felt guilty to eat the food without my approval because it though it would have been stealing the food behind my back.

I have seen and experienced many situations of guilt in many types of animals as well as just about every other type of feeling we have. Gorillas that can talk to humans via sign language have told us how they feel sad when a loved one dies. This is documented fact and you can see it on television every so often, either on PBS, National Geographic, or such.

> I have been down this road with other Atheists before. You are not (nor am
> I) someone who's mind will be changed here.

So you are saying you don't have an open mind and will only believe one thing no matter what proof or contradictions can be shoved under your nose? We all start out as stupid children who are taught the religion of our parents and local society. It is only those that use their brains and figure things out, that find out that certain things they have been told do not make any sense with observed reality and don't fit the big puzzle.

> I write for the benefit of
> others. All I can tell you is 10 years ago I was searching for answers. It
> was very obvious to me that the world was not here by mistake.

But somehow God was just here by mistake? Why is it you are able to accept that?

> That who or
> whatever was responsible is so much wiser and more powerful than I am.

So then too, wouldn't there have to be someone so much wiser and powerful than God to have created God?

Don't you see the problem with your reasoning?

> Even
> before I believed what the bible teaches, it seemed extremely arrogant for
> me to question God. Call me crazy if you like, but waving my fist in God's
> face did not seem prudent.

What about when you did the same thing to Santa Clause? You didn't actually wave a fist in his face, but you gained the knowledge that Santa is not real and you no longer have to be good for his concern, you need to be good because it brings good results. Treat someone bad, and a not so favorable result may occur.

> I understand that you find the whole concept of God nonsense. It is not
> possible in my mind (however feeble you may believe it to be) that we are
> just here.....and will just die, the end.

And therefore, because you don't want to accept observed reality, you find the desire and need to create a theory to try and make death a less horrible to think about, because you now have a fantasy to help you through a very unpleasant reality that you don't want to accept.

Think about the Alzheimer's patient that doesn't know or remember one thing you tell them from the next. Their mind is rotting away and becoming defective. Yet somehow when they die and the brain completely gets destroyed, they will go to heaven and not have Alzheimer's anymore but full functioning minds again?

Try reading this carefully and see if you can understand how the human mind or "soul" works:

"Nothing enters our minds or determines our actions which is not directly or indirectly a response to stimuli
beating opon our sense organs from without. Owing to the similarity of our construction and the sameness of
our environment, we respond in like manner to similar stimuli, and from the concordance of our reactions,
understanding is born. In the course of ages, mechanisms of infinite complexity are developed, but what we
call "soul" is nothing more than the sum of the functioning's of the body. When this functioning ceases, the
"soul" ceases likewise."

"There are three ways by which the energy which determines human progress can be increased: First, we may
increase the mass. This in the case of humanity, would mean the improvement of living conditions, health,

eugenics, etc. Second, we may reduce the frictional forces which impede progress, such as ignorance,
insanity, and religious fanaticism. Third, we may multiply the energy of the human mass by enchaining the
forces of the universe, like those of the sun. the ocean, the winds and tides.

There is no conflict between the ideal of religion and the ideal of science, but science is opposed to theological
dogmas because science is founded on fact. The universe is simply a great machine which never came into
being and will never end.

> I think most people believe
> something is going on after this for a reason. I think it is because God
> gave them the desire to find out....to seek Him.

It is because our thinking and reasoning process has come to the point to where we can think about death and have the knowledge that we are going to die, and there is nothing anyone can do to stop it. You are going to die. This thought is so unbearable, that every civilization of humans comes up with some sort of god and theory of an afterlife to try and mute this very disturbing thought. But that also explains why every religion and god is so different throughout different civilizations. Yet they all seem to have a pleasant afterlife theory to comfort themselves with.

What is so wrong with just accepting death and realizing that all the pains and evil of life will be gone as soon as you die? That is not so horrible. It is scary if you have dependents and you worry for them, but for yourself there is nothing to fear. Eternal sleep with no work, no pain, no grief, no nothing.

> As I studied it all while taking philosophy courses, world religion
> courses etc....I saw that (as Ross Archer alluded to) the scientific
> explanations were every bit as and even more untenable than faith in a God.

No argument there. Do you know WHY that is? Because the church always had a strong control over science throughout history. Any scientist who had a theory that contradicted religion would be hung! Even today, it is forbidden to clone humans and abortions and stem cell research is still taboo.

But when science came up with the Big Bang Theory, the church embraced it, as it did not contradict the creationism theory, it only reinforced it. God created the Universe, the Universe was created with a Big Bang.

So your statement is correct, that today's science theories like the Big Bang Theory are nothing but bullshit.

The only reason we are allowed to think the world is round and not flat, is that you can't hide that reality anymore as we have photographic evidence of that now. We also don't hang scientists for theories anymore, so you will see the Big Bang Theory finally dropped, most likely, in your lifetime.

Without getting into a giant explanation into another topic, the Universe was not created, did not have a beginning or birth, and will not have an end. You can't NOT have existence. Else, no place to create or give birth to an existence, or any place for a god or creator to exist in. Simple really, if you just think about it.

> The more of the Bible I read, and the more genuinely humble I was towards
> God, the more I started to understand what I was reading.

Now that is very hard to believe. If you read the Bible, you see how evil and horrible the character of God behaves in the story and can not finish the book thinking any good thoughts of that character. Unless you are completely sadistic.

> There are others however who are
> reading and getting things from our debate.

And why I bother to take time to explain these things. If it wakes just one person up, it will have been worth it.

> I know that God's word is much
> better at explaining the truth than I am, so I try to leave it to Him and
> His word to do His work.

If every God believer would just hold to that thought, the religion would finally cease to exist.
Without Man, there is no one that can brainwash future generations with the Christian Mythology, or any other cult religion.

WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?

2145. 10-codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 21:50:16 UTC
From: skaw1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21390-3E8DFE18-316@storefull-2236.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 33462

I need help or a site i can use to print up my counties codes..........
Thanks for any help.....

2146. AOR 5000 FOR SALE E-BAY -canadians only

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 21:50:41 UTC
From: radiii@shaw.ca
Message-ID: <79vr8vsgo4tvktbd63uktr23dtin73sp2q@4ax.com>
Source record: 33461

Canadian purchaser only. This scanner is ungapped.
Won't clear U.S. Customs

http://cgi.ebay.ca/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3016820024&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:CA:1

2147. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 22:17:33 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <1wnja.22829$7L.1233182@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 33463

I totally agree and that would still make it illegal subjecting the participating countries to war crimes charges.

"JER1538A" <jer1538a@aol.com> wrote in message news:20030404101634.08859.00000605@mb-fc.aol.com...
> IRAQ = OIL OIL OIL
>
> AFGHANISTAN = OIL OIL OIL

2148. Re: Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 22:32:02 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <CJnja.3221$Xp3.1628350@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33460

OK thanks

"FeeD_BaK" <feed_bak@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:HRlja.39471$cB3.253579@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> Lock them out.
>
> --
> Brad.
> FeeD_BaK
>
>
> "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> news:0xmja.3205$Xp3.1605387@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> | OK I have a bearcat 200XLT. I know I can't do trunking on it but I get
the
> | channels with diffenernt noises on Them which I think that is the
trunking
> | thing for police channels cause on some of those channels I do get
police
> on
> | others I just get the noise do I need to keep those channels or can I
L/O
> | them?
> |
> | --
> | Lisha
> |
> | All incoming/outgoing email is checked
> | with Norton's Anti Virus 2003
> |
> |
>
>

2149. Re: Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 22:33:29 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZKnja.15819$Je.159105@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 33464

Depending on your local Trunking, the Control Channel (noisy channel) will change each day. Don't lock them all out or you will loose working channels. It's kind of a pain in the butt, but each day as the control channel changes, unlock that one and lock the new one.

I put up with this for a few years and got feed up and bought a Trunking radio. The added advantage is, the control channels are hidden and you get to follow all the talkgroup's conversations. With a conventional scanner, listening to the trunk frequencies can be broken and confusing.

Good luck,

Jim

"Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:0xmja.3205$Xp3.1605387@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> OK I have a bearcat 200XLT. I know I can't do trunking on it but I get the
> channels with diffenernt noises on Them which I think that is the trunking
> thing for police channels cause on some of those channels I do get police
on
> others I just get the noise do I need to keep those channels or can I L/O
> them?
>
> --
> Lisha
>
> All incoming/outgoing email is checked
> with Norton's Anti Virus 2003
>
>

2150. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-04 23:25:12 UTC
From: "Jim Shaffer, Jr." <jmshaffer@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <qn4s8vgv9i3ifmaevh6njqioldmtkoj56a@4ax.com>
Source record: 33466
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 3 Apr 2003 21:35:13 -0700, "MR" <nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com> wrote:

> I'm thinking about selling two scanners I have (Uniden BC890XLT and
>Radio Shack Pro-2026) to buy one of the receivers listed in the subject
>line. I realize the RX320 is HF only and the PCR-1000 goes through 1.3GHz.
>What I'm more interested in is the receive quality of the two. The
>assumption would be that the Ten-Tec receiver would be much better (noise
>suppression w/ DSP). I will be using the receiver w/ a laptop in a truck, so
>noise can be a problem, especially on HF. I would appreciate anyone's input
>on this...

I don't own one (yet), but from everything I've read, I don't think the RX320's DSP is used for noise reduction, just as a final IF filter and demodulator. You can buy a noise-reducing DSP for the PCR-1000 for about $100, but I don't have one in mine so I can't say whether it's very good.

If you're only using it for HF, I would definitely get the RX320. Everybody says it's great.

However, I've never attempted to receive HF in a vehicle. You might want some heavy-duty noise reduction.

2151. Re: Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 00:04:54 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <G4pja.3234$Xp3.1659388@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33465

Thanks Jim that is what the guy at Univeral Radio had told me too just wanted to make it was the same for non trunking one. I guess I need a new scanner lol

Lisha

"Jim Cassidy" <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com> wrote in message news:ZKnja.15819$Je.159105@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com...
> Depending on your local Trunking, the Control Channel (noisy channel) will
> change each day. Don't lock them all out or you will loose working channels.
> It's kind of a pain in the butt, but each day as the control channel
> changes, unlock that one and lock the new one.
>
> I put up with this for a few years and got feed up and bought a Trunking
> radio. The added advantage is, the control channels are hidden and you get
> to follow all the talkgroup's conversations. With a conventional scanner,
> listening to the trunk frequencies can be broken and confusing.
>
> Good luck,
>
> Jim
>
>
> "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
> news:0xmja.3205$Xp3.1605387@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > OK I have a bearcat 200XLT. I know I can't do trunking on it but I get the
> > channels with diffenernt noises on Them which I think that is the trunking
> > thing for police channels cause on some of those channels I do get police
> on
> > others I just get the noise do I need to keep those channels or can I L/O
> > them?
> >
> > --
> > Lisha
> >
> > All incoming/outgoing email is checked
> > with Norton's Anti Virus 2003
> >
> >
>

>

2152. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 00:17:48 UTC
From: r lee <me@you.edu>
Message-ID: <b6l7be$fv4$1@iruka.swcp.com>
Source record: 33467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
>r lee, respectfuly, what prize awaits you for being a "human being"? And
>what does my pride gain me if in the end I am dust?
>
>

I seek no prize, per se. The act of being, ultimately, is all we have. How we treat our neighbor, our children, our enemy will be our legacy. That legacy is not dust. It is real and tangible.

2153. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 00:20:01 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8s89gsphkci7b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It is the same unit used in the ICOM 706MKII ham transceiver. I find that it is of marginal use compared to the DSP unit in my Kenwood.

If HF is what your after, you can't beat the Ten-Tec.

"Jim Shaffer, Jr." <jmshaffer@alltel.net> wrote in message news:qn4s8vgv9i3ifmaevh6njqioldmtkoj56a@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 3 Apr 2003 21:35:13 -0700, "MR" <nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > I'm thinking about selling two scanners I have (Uniden BC890XLT and
> >Radio Shack Pro-2026) to buy one of the receivers listed in the subject
> >line. I realize the RX320 is HF only and the PCR-1000 goes through 1.3GHz.
> >What I'm more interested in is the receive quality of the two. The
> >assumption would be that the Ten-Tec receiver would be much better (noise
> >suppression w/ DSP). I will be using the receiver w/ a laptop in a truck, so
> >noise can be a problem, especially on HF. I would appreciate anyone's input
> >on this...
>
> I don't own one (yet), but from everything I've read, I don't think the RX320's
> DSP is used for noise reduction, just as a final IF filter and demodulator. You
> can buy a noise-reducing DSP for the PCR-1000 for about $100, but I don't have
> one in mine so I can't say whether it's very good.
>
> If you're only using it for HF, I would definitely get the RX320. Everybody
> says it's great.
>
> However, I've never attempted to receive HF in a vehicle. You might want some
> heavy-duty noise reduction.
>
>

2154. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 00:40:47 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3E8E260F.3A85F5BC@topnow.com>
Source record: 33469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Moore wrote:
>
> Ross Archer wrote:
> > It seems to me that if God really wanted mindless dogmatic
> > devotion, He would have given created intelligent doggies.
> > It's *really* hard to imagine a worse choice than mankind.
> > Maybe cats. :)
>
> Divine purpose is nothing but the projection of human need. Our vanity
> prevents us from accepting that we are no more than cosmic accidents.
> We need to know that we are here for some lofty purpose. Hence, the
> creation of a divine purpose. Yet noone can even conjecture as to what
> purpose God had in mind when creating man.

Curiosity? Boredom? :)

It seems that curiosity is often a hallmark of
intelligence. Perhaps we're nothing more than an
interesting science experiment. ;)

> It is illogical.

Life is illogical. ;)
>
> --
> M2

2155. Re: No Brains can't spell "OOPS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 00:45:09 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E8E285B.2050405@earthlink.net>
Source record: 33470

They are up in the North East. When I have the other computer running I will post the info.

Burr.

PS: Say "me", you a shame of your name?

2156. FS: Scanner, HT's, test equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 01:14:42 UTC
From: Buy-Sell <buysell@thepda.net>
Message-ID: <66qja.28810$yh1.2190718@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 33471

See http://members.cox.net/dccom/Items-For-Sale.htm for more info
Radio Scanners
BEARCAT BC210 Programmable Scanner, High, Low, UHF Bands
Realistic Pro-30 Scanner High, Low, Airline, UHF Bands
AOR AR 2515 Scanner, covers 5-1500 Megs!

Ham Radio Stuff
A Pair of 2 Meter Motorola HT-220's
160 to 40 meter long wire QRP match box

For the Professional Radio (and serious ham) Guys:
Cromco CEC-10 Universal Audio Generator/Synthesizer
Interceptor" Digital Radio Paging Decoder
Motorola D.C./R.F Alignment Meter and Dummy Load

I also have a bunch of 900 MHz. bandpass cavities.
Tunable through the 900 Meg ham bands. Let me know if you if there is any
interest and I'll post the info.

Computer Logic Fix'in types
Hewlett Packard 5011T logic test probes

2157. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 02:09:05 UTC
From: swl@techie.com
Message-ID: <605e107d.0304041809.76dbfeea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I concur. I have one too. It runs circles around the Icom.
Have you seen the new Winradio G303i shortwave receiver?
Heaps better than TenTec.

John

nospam@nospam.com (Richard Clemens) wrote in message
news:<3e8d7bac.13708065@news-server>...
> I tried both, but swear by my new WinRadio WR-1550e.
> IMHO this is a much better alternative to PCR. (Which I sold after
> acquiring the WinRadio.)
>
> See http://www.winradio.com/home/1550e.htm .
>
> Hope this helps
> Rich
>
>
> On Thu, 3 Apr 2003 21:35:13 -0700, "MR"
> <nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> > I'm thinking about selling two scanners I have (Uniden BC890XLT and
> >Radio Shack Pro-2026) to buy one of the receivers listed in the subject
> >line. I realize the RX320 is HF only and the PCR-1000 goes through 1.3GHz.
> >What I'm more interested in is the receive quality of the two. The
> >assumption would be that the Ten-Tec receiver would be much better (noise
> >suppression w/ DSP). I will be using the receiver w/ a laptop in a truck, so
> >noise can be a problem, especially on HF. I would appreciate anyone's input
> >on this...
> >
> >
> >
> >Thanks for your time!
> >
> >
> >
> >Mike
> >
> >nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com
> >
> >.
> >
> >

2158. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 02:15:20 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Y_qja.8842$ey1.713439@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I absolutely agree with your goals as a human being and share them. When I speak of dust, I speak of myself compared to God. That God said he made me from dust, and that I will return to it. Again, humility. Which goes far in following the most important commandment, Loving God with all your heart soul and mind, and loving your neighbor as yourself.
My main concern is what I will be doing after this life, and obviously with pleasing God in this one. If I thought my life were eternally over when I die, I really cant think of much reason to leave a legacy. Why not just do what ever I want? Look out for number one and have the most possible fun. I suppose I would go back to the partying lifestyle I once lived. What would it matter what someone thought of me when I died? They would just end up dead too.
I cant honestly imagine a life where all I have is what I did on earth. Where there would be nothing afterwards. Nothing learned for later use, no experience to pass on to others. No hope for tomorrow. I am so glad that I do not have to live like that anymore. I have hope. Hopeful expectation of what is next. Proof positive in my heart that I will be rewarded for being a good steward of what God has given me, and the blessed ability (and responsibility) to share that hope with others.

"r lee" <me@you.edu> wrote in message news:b6l7be$fv4$1@iruka.swcp.com...
>
> >
> >r lee, respectfuly, what prize awaits you for being a "human being"? And
> >what does my pride gain me if in the end I am dust?
> >
> >
>
>
> I seek no prize, per se. The act of being, ultimately, is all we have.
How
> we treat our neighbor, our children, our enemy will be our legacy. That
> legacy is not dust. It is real and tangible.
>
>
>
>

2159. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 02:26:36 UTC
From: Jeff Santee <Jeff352@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <w9rja.370159$sf5.698505@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33474

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:zhaja.13011$de.1632272@news2.telusplanet.net...
> I just wonder if the US and England will be charged with war crimes as that
> they are the invading countries and they invaded without provocation. I do
> note that they have almost the entire country and have not found any
> chemical or biological weapons and that was their only justification. Also
> Iraq has never done anything to the US or any of its treaty allies. Thus
> this makes it an illegal invasion subject to war crimes charges.
>
==========

LOL you've got to be kidding dweeb. Who the hell is going to bring
the charges?? Who's going to enforce it?? The UN?? LOLOLOL.
Get a brain will ya. They have used scuds and other missiles they werent
supposed to have, sure is funny how they keep finding NBC suits and gas
masks all over. Funny how they keep shooting their own people in the back.
Another troll ------ Plonk

2160. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 02:31:11 UTC
From: Jeff Santee <Jeff352@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Pdrja.17853$ug3.32661@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33475

"JER1538A" <jer1538a@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030404101634.08859.00000605@mb-fc.aol.com...
> IRAQ = OIL OIL OIL
>
> AFGHANISTAN = OIL OIL OIL
==========

Yep I read on some web site they're going to build a transcontinental
pipeline from these 2 countries right to the Bush estate in Texas.
Get fucking real, will ya?

2161. Re: uniden mr-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 02:54:59 UTC
From: Ken Wyatt <ken@wyattphoto.com>
Message-ID: <7Arja.9437$4P1.778522@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33476

You may also check my site for the (DOS) software download, plus a link to a Windows version. I can burn and mail a copy of the software instructions for a couple bucks. Check my Web for the address.

Ken
www.wyattphoto.com

"CWC12178" <cwc12178@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030403160249.03568.00000303@mb-fm.aol.com...
> Any know where i can get the programmng software & cable to program the
mr-8100
> via computer????? also looking for a used vertical radio
> rack...anyone??????????
> thanks chris

2162. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 03:02:03 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <LGrja.18046$ug3.33820@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33477
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cost heaps more too.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html
"John Partridge" <swl@techie.com> wrote in message
news:605e107d.0304041809.76dbfeea@posting.google.com...
> I concur. I have one too. It runs circles around the Icom.
> Have you seen the new Winradio G303i shortwave receiver?
> Heaps better than TenTec.
>
> John

2163. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 03:04:31 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3Jrja.22975$7L.1265976@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 33478

Who will bring the charges is the question but with the world wide community against the US it could come from a large group of countries and mean jail time for George, Tony and generals like Tommy.
I use to have a NBC suit and a gas mask and almost every military person is issued one in any army in the world. This is standard issue especially when you are going to war against the largest producer of biological, chemical and nuclear weapons in the world, (the US).
The US military has the same treatment for their people for desertion, they shoot them on the spot if they try to walk over to the other side but it is very seldom used.
Scuds vs. ICBMs, hum. You must agree that every country has the right to defend themselves from invasion by whatever means possible. This is the American way isn't it and kill anyone that doesn't agree with them.

==========
>
> LOL you've got to be kidding dweeb. Who the hell is going to
bring
> the charges?? Who's going to enforce it?? The UN?? LOLOLOL.
> Get a brain will ya. They have used scuds and other missiles they werent
> supposed to have, sure is funny how they keep finding NBC suits and gas
> masks all over. Funny how they keep shooting their own people in the
back.
> Another troll ------ Plonk
>
>

2164. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 03:17:01 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8silbo14dqe67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33479

Only the losers are tried for war crimes...

"Jeff Santee" <Jeff352@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:w9rja.370159$sf5.698505@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
>
> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:zhaja.13011$de.1632272@news2.telusplanet.net...
> > I just wonder if the US and England will be charged with war crimes as
that
> > they are the invading countries and they invaded without provocation. I
do
> > note that they have almost the entire country and have not found any
> > chemical or biological weapons and that was their only justification.
Also
> > Iraq has never done anything to the US or any of its treaty allies. Thus
> > this makes it an illegal invasion subject to war crimes charges.
> >
> ==========
>
> LOL you've got to be kidding dweeb. Who the hell is going to
bring
> the charges?? Who's going to enforce it?? The UN?? LOLOLOL.
> Get a brain will ya. They have used scuds and other missiles they werent
> supposed to have, sure is funny how they keep finding NBC suits and gas
> masks all over. Funny how they keep shooting their own people in the
back.
> Another troll ------ Plonk
>
>

2165. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 03:18:42 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8siogl84scsc7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33480

You give a whole new meaning to the term clueless...

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:3Jrja.22975$7L.1265976@news0.telusplanet.net...
> Who will bring the charges is the question but with the world wide
community
> against the US it could come from a large group of countries and mean jail
> time for George, Tony and generals like Tommy.
> I use to have a NBC suit and a gas mask and almost every military person
is
> issued one in any army in the world. This is standard issue especially
when
> you are going to war against the largest producer of biological, chemical
> and nuclear weapons in the world, (the US).
> The US military has the same treatment for their people for desertion,
they
> shoot them on the spot if they try to walk over to the other side but it
is
> very seldom used.
> Scuds vs. ICBMs, hum. You must agree that every country has the right to
> defend themselves from invasion by whatever means possible. This is the
> American way isn't it and kill anyone that doesn't agree with them.
>
> ==========
> >
> > LOL you've got to be kidding dweeb. Who the hell is going to
> bring
> > the charges?? Who's going to enforce it?? The UN?? LOLOLOL.
> > Get a brain will ya. They have used scuds and other missiles they
werent
> > supposed to have, sure is funny how they keep finding NBC suits and gas
> > masks all over. Funny how they keep shooting their own people in the
> back.
> > Another troll ------ Plonk
> >
> >
>
>

2166. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 04:02:24 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <kzsja.51495$0X.10218852@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Positive test for terror toxins in Iraq

Evidence of ricin, botulinum at Islamic militants' camp

SARGAT, Iraq, April 4 - MSNBC.com tests reveal evidence of the deadly toxins ricin and botulinum at a laboratory in a remote mountain region of northern Iraq allegedly used as a terrorist training camp by Islamic militants with ties to the al-Qaida terrorist network. The U.S. Central Intelligence Agency is conducting its own tests at the same area, but has not yet released the results, according to officials in northern Iraq.

MSNBC.COM'S TESTS were conducted over a two-day period at Sargat, an alleged terrorist training camp a mile from the Iraq-Iran border. The camp, set back in an isolated valley and surrounded by snowcapped peaks, was home to the radical Islamic militant group Ansar al-Islam, which counts among its some 700 followers scores of al- Qaida fighters.
In a Feb. 5 speech to the U.N. Security Council, U.S. Secretary of State Colin Powell showed a satellite photo of the Sargat camp and described Ansar al-Islam as "teaching its operatives how to produce ricin and other poisons." U.S. officials have repeated the allegations in recent weeks.
In an operation timed to coincide with the war on Iraq, U.S. special operations forces have targeted Ansar al- Islam's militants in northern Iraq. Hundreds of Islamists, including al-Qaida fighters who took refuge in northern Iraq after the fall of the Taliban in Afghanistan, have been killed.
Although U.S. officials for months have leveled charges that the Ansar al-Islam and al-Qaida militants were producing poisons in northern Iraq, it wasn't until this week that specialist American teams were able to gain access to the Sargat camp to test for traces of biological and chemical weapons.
Experts believe the Islamic group was producing the substances in the camp as both toxins can be created from everyday products and simple procedures.

TERRORISTS TEMPTED BY TOXINS
MSNBC.com's samples of ricin and botulinum, two deadly biological agents, were taken from the soles of a boot and a shoe recovered from the Sargat camp. The facility has been flattened by several Tomahawk cruise missiles, fired as part of the U.S. campaign against Ansar al-Islam.

The thick rubber boot twice tested positive for ricin, a toxin derived from castor beans. Ingesting a pinch of ricin, which causes shock and respiratory failure, can kill a human being within 72 hours. There is no cure.
A black running shoe, shredded by the U.S. bombing, tested positive for botulinum. U.S. officials say terrorists have a particular interest in botulinum and ricin toxins, which may be delivered through release in food

and water.
Botulism, the illness resulting from botulinum ingestion, is a muscle-paralyzing disease that can cause a person to stop breathing and die, according to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control.

Since Sept. 11, 2001, law enforcement officials have seen an increase in attempts to produce deadly toxins like ricin and botulinum.
In Britain, anti-terrorism authorities in January charged four men with producing deadly agents after they found traces of ricin in a north London apartment. More than a dozen arrests have been made in the investigation.
On Thursday, the FBI issued a warning to Americans that deadly agents like ricin and botulinum could be used to contaminate the nation's water or food supply.
And in France, police are on alert after recently finding traces of ricin in flasks in a train station locker in Paris.
The territory of northern Iraq where the traces of ricin were detected is not under the control of Iraqi leader Saddam Hussein.
Baghdad admitted to U.N. weapons inspectors in the 1990s that it had successfully weaponized ricin, botulinum and anthrax. There is no immediate evidence that suggests Saddam's regime provided the easily produced toxins to Ansar al-Islam or al-Qaida.
A test for anthrax at the Sargat camp gave a negative result.

WIDELY USED TEST
The tests, developed by Osborn Scientific Group in Lakeside, Ariz., are widely admired by experts. Called BioWarfare Agent Detection Devices, they were used by U.N. weapons inspectors in Iraq before their departure ahead of the U.S.-led war against Saddam.
Dr. Robert Bohannon, the inventor of the test, said in a phone interview that numerous U.S. federal agencies employ the tests in the field. He said the tests were developed to give a rapid "yes-no" result.
In recent days, specialist chemical-biological survey teams, some from the CIA, have collected samples from camps used by Islamic militants in northern Iraq. At least two teams visited the Sargat camp, taking similar rapid fields tests and collecting samples to be sent to the United States for further analysis, according to U.S. special operations forces officers speaking on condition of anonymity in northern Iraq.
"To swab a boot is perfectly acceptable," Bohannon said. "[The test] will tell you with almost 100 percent certainty if it is ricin or botulinum. There is a high probability they were there."
Bohannon, a former U.S. military scientist at the Dugway Proving Ground in Utah, said government experts would likely also subject their samples to a Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometer, an apparatus that gives breakdown of the elements and composition of the sample. The GCMS is used also used to analyze urine samples for the presence of drugs.
The Osborn Scientific Group's test is widely used by federal agencies as first step in the "evidentiary chain," Bohannon said. "It will tell you very, very fast if it's got a credible amount of material."
U.S. special operations forces officials said this week they had found recipes for ricin and other toxins at camps in northern Iraq.
In several visits to the Sargat camp, MSNBC.com uncovered material that

could be used for terrorist purposes, including a list of chemical elements frequently found in explosives.
The list, written in Arabic, also includes notations on where chemicals like nitric acid, which can be used to make components of the explosive Semtex, can commonly be found.

2167. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 04:15:27 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <zLsja.370980$sf5.699502@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

He says hate our parents because we have to Him above all else,. even our families. Don't let the word hate hang you up.
"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message news:3E8DF671.CFF60556@email.com...
> > I did answer your question about Jesus NOT telling us to hate our fathers
> > and mothers...etc. You look at the Bible as a collection of
> > statements....verses if you will that stand alone. This is simply not the
> > case.
>
> So then why do YOU try and answer questions by quoting a collection of verses
> from the Bible and allow them to stand alone. Do as you say, not as you do.
>
> This AGAIN does not answer why Jesus made the statement to hate our parents,
> children, etc. It is just an attempt to twist the subject to something else.
> The bible tells us what Jesus said. It is a horrible statement no matter how
> you try and "interpret" it. Can you admit that?
>
> > Just like any other book, information must be taken in context and
> > with whatever else is written about the subject. Read the rest of the
> > commentary by Jesus in the above mentioned verses....
>
> As if I could say that "your mother is a whore" or "everyone should kill their
> parents" and then say something elsewhere that could make this "out of context"
> statement that I made to mean anything different than what I said.
>
> From now on, anytime anyone finds fault with any of my statements, I will just
> say that they were taken "out of context"
>
> Jesus said a really horrible thing there, and nothing else can possibly change
> the meaning of that statement.
>
> It is bad, it is wrong, it is evil, it is the words of your beloved Jesus.

>
> > previous message, and see the answer to your question. If you cannot
> > understand the scripture.....only God can help you with that.
>
> Great cop out. Especially since we BOTH know God can not help anyone with
> anything, so that puts a quick end to that discussion for you, doesn't it?
>
> I know where you can find EXACT PROOF that God does not exist, but only
those
> who ask Santa Clause for the proof will ever get to hear the proof. And
only
> those that TRULY believe in Santa without doubt of his existence will ever
get
> to see or hear him.
>
> Does that sound ridiculous to you? Replace the words "Santa" with
either
> "God" or "Jesus" and try and understand how ridiculous it looks to the
rest of
> us.
>
> > Where exactly does the Bible say that the world is flat with four
corners? I
> > have not seen, or otherwise do not remember reading that.
>
> I know. You never read the Bible all the way through like us Atheists
have, or
> you would be an Atheist too. You just like the few handful of quotes
that are
> read allowed in your cult as they skip over all of the ones that
contradict
> observed reality of the world we live in.
>
> I can't find the exact one I was thinking about and looking for, but a
quick
> search turned up this:
>
> "After this I saw four angels standing at the four corners of the earth. .
."
> --Revelation 7:1
> It says four corners in two of the Revelation passages. there are also 16
> references to the Sun revolving
> around the Earth in the Bible
>
> Many more bizarre mistakes in the Bible can be seen here:
> http://www.skepticsannotatedbible.com/gen/sci_list.html
>
> Check out some other Bible Verses you would also not be aware of since you
never
> read the whole book:

>
> "There she lusted after her lovers, whose genitals were
> like those of donkeys and whose emission was like that
> of horses. So you longed for the lewdness of your youth,
> when in Egypt your bosom was caressed and your
> young breasts fondled." - Ezekiel 23:20-21
>
> "Therefore fathers shall eat their sons in the midst of you
> and sons shall eat their fathers...I will send famine and
> wild beasts against you and they shall rob you of your
> children; pestilence and blood shall pass through you;
> and I will bring a sword upon you. I, the Lord, have
> spoken." - Ezekiel 5:10, 5:17
>
> "But if the thing is true, that the tokens of virginity were
> not found in the young woman, then you shall bring out
> the young woman to the door of her father's house, and
> the men of her city shall stone her to death with
> stones..." - Deuteronomy 22:20
>
> "Now therefore, kill every male among the little ones,
> and kill every woman who has known man by lying
> with him. But all the young girls who have not known
> man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves." -
> Numbers 31:17 (Moses)
>
> "Happy shall he be who takes your little ones and dashes
> them against the rock!" - Psalms 137:9
>
> "While the people of Israel were in the wilderness, they
> found a man gathering sticks on the sabbath day...And
> the Lord said to Moses, 'The man shall be put to death;
> all the congregation shall stone him with stones outside
> the camp.' And all the congregation brought him outside
> the camp, and stoned him to death with stones, as the
> Lord commanded Moses." - Numbers 15:32"
>
> "...Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the
> wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their
> own piss with you?" - Isaiah 36:12
>
> "I form the light, and create darkness: I make peace,
> and create evil: I the LORD do all these things." - Isaiah 45:7
>
> Yes, he creates evil all right. And straight from the Lord's mouth too.
>
> > I am familiar with the dragons and unicorns.....both of which were seen
in
> > dreams and visions. Are all of your dreams true to life?
>

> Yes these are dreams, visions and fantasy. So why were you making it
appear
> that you thought Jesus and God were reality before? Are you picking and
> choosing which parts of the Bible you would like to be real and what you
would
> like to be fantasy? How do you make that determination? See your
> problem? You admitted it is all dreams and fantasy, now you can't take
any of
> the rest of the book as fact or history. You lost the debate.
>
> > I like to dunk
> > basketballs in my dreams, and I could not be further from doing that in
real
> > life. The Bible is literal in places, figurative or allegorical in
others.
>
> And somehow, you are able to know which is which. God perhaps spoke to
you and
> no one else in the world for some reason? We both know you have never
been
> spoken to by God, have never seen God, and that you are trying to find a
way to
> justify why most of the Bible contains things so ridiculous that even you
have
> to admit they are just fantasy and dreams.
>
> > In my own experience, and that of many many others, the only way to
> > understand it is to have been enlightened by God.
>
> Yet you have never been enlightened by God, so that makes no sense.
>
> > I am sorry that you do not hear God.
>
> So then you believe that it is a true fact. Good. Now why not pray
real hard
> to your God to speak to me, and then I can come back here and verify that
he
> really does exist and have the power to speak to humans.
> I'll be waiting to hear from him.
>
> > I know of no one who hears an audible
> > voice. I do not hear one either.
>
> There you go. Yet all the fictional characters in the Bible could.
Doesn't
> that seem strange?
>
> > The best way for me to describe the
> > communication is more like an intuitive thought or feeling where you
just

> > know something is true or happening.
>
> How could Noah get the exact dimensions to build the Ark with an intuitive
> thought or feeling? These were exact dimensions and specifications that
God
> spoke to him directly. Can you explain how that occurred, yet he has
no
> desire to speak to a good dedicated follower of him as you are? I mean,
we
> know why he won't talk to me, because I don't believe enough. But YOU
do. So
> why is it he never spoke to YOU? Hmmmmmm?
>
> > of hard knocks. I once read a thought by some random philosopher that
read
> > something like: "Why is it that we feel guilty about certain behavior
even
> > if we have not been taught that it is wrong?" It is a very good
question.
>
> An EASY question. For the same reason animals have the exact same
feelings.
> From every action, you learn there is a reaction. An animal takes food
from
> another animal, and that animal gets mad and attacks the animals that
tried to
> take the food. After a while you LEARN that certain actions produce
favorable
> results, and other actions produce unfavorable results. Animals don't
need
> gods to know the difference between right and wrong.
>
> When you first try to feed a bird or squirrel some food, it feels very
guilty to
> take the food from you because of learned conditions. Once you finally
> convince it to take the food and show that you are giving it the food
(something
> that no animal has ever done before) and runs away with it and sees you
are not
> angry or going to chase it for taking your food, it learns the idea, and
soon
> takes food our of your hand without any worry, fear, or guilt.
>
> As a matter of fact, I would feed one squirrel every day at the same time
of
> day. Usually I could see it out the window as it sat and waited for me.
One
> day I thought I was going to be too busy and might not see it, so I just
put the
> food outside for it. I did see the squirrel outside the window and

figured it
> ate what I put out, and was waiting for me to bring more. To my
surprise, when
> I opened the door and went outside, the food was still there. It looked
at
> me, then went over and started to eat the food. It felt guilty to eat
the
> food without my approval because it though it would have been stealing the
food
> behind my back.
>
> I have seen and experienced many situations of guilt in many types of
animals as
> well as just about every other type of feeling we have. Gorillas that
can
> talk to humans via sign language have told us how they feel sad when a
loved one
> dies. This is documented fact and you can see it on television every so
> often, either on PBS, National Geographic, or such.
>
> > I have been down this road with other Atheists before. You are not
(nor am
> > I) someone who's mind will be changed here.
>
> So you are saying you don't have an open mind and will only believe one
thing no
> matter what proof or contradictions can be shoved under your nose? We
all
> start out as stupid children who are taught the religion of our parents
and
> local society. It is only those that use their brains and figure things
out,
> that find out that certain things they have been told do not make any
sense with
> observed reality and don't fit the big puzzle.
>
>
> > I write for the benefit of
> > others. All I can tell you is 10 years ago I was searching for answers.
It
> > was very obvious to me that the world was not here by mistake.
>
> But somehow God was just here by mistake? Why is it you are able to
accept
> that?
>
> > That who or
> > whatever was responsible is so much wiser and more powerful than I am.
>
> So then too, wouldn't there have to be someone so much wiser and powerful

than
> God to have created God?
>
> Don't you see the problem with your reasoning?
>
> > Even
> > before I believed what the bible teaches, it seemed extremely arrogant
for
> > me to question God. Call me crazy if you like, but waving my fist in
God's
> > face did not seem prudent.
>
> What about when you did the same thing to Santa Clause? You didn't
actually
> wave a fist in his face, but you gained the knowledge that Santa is not
real and
> you no longer have to be good for his concern, you need to be good because
it
> brings good results. Treat someone bad, and a not so favorable result
may
> occur.
>
> > I understand that you find the whole concept of God nonsense. It is
not
> > possible in my mind (however feeble you may believe it to be) that we
are
> > just here.....and will just die, the end.
>
> And therefore, because you don't want to accept observed reality, you find
the
> desire and need to create a theory to try and make death a less horrible
to
> think about, because you now have a fantasy to help you through a very
> unpleasant reality that you don't want to accept.
>
> Think about the Alzheimer's patient that doesn't know or remember one
thing you
> tell them from the next. Their mind is rotting away and becoming
defective.
> Yet somehow when they die and the brain completely gets destroyed, they
will go
> to heaven and not have Alzheimer's anymore but full functioning minds
again?
>
> Try reading this carefully and see if you can understand how the human
mind or
> "soul" works:
>
> "Nothing enters our minds or determines our actions which is not directly
or

> indirectly a response to stimuli
> beating opon our sense organs from without. Owing to the similarity of
our
> construction and the sameness of
> our environment, we respond in like manner to similar stimuli, and from
the
> concordance of our reactions,
> understanding is born. In the course of ages, mechanisms of infinite
complexity
> are developed, but what we
> call "soul" is nothing more than the sum of the functioning's of the body.
When
> this functioning ceases, the
> "soul" ceases likewise."
>
> "There are three ways by which the energy which determines human progress
can be
> increased: First, we may
> increase the mass. This in the case of humanity, would mean the
improvement of
> living conditions, health,
> eugenics, etc. Second, we may reduce the frictional forces which impede
> progress, such as ignorance,
> insanity, and religious fanaticism. Third, we may multiply the energy of
the
> human mass by enchaining the
> forces of the universe, like those of the sun. the ocean, the winds and
tides.
>
> There is no conflict between the ideal of religion and the ideal of
science, but
> science is opposed to theological
> dogmas because science is founded on fact. The universe is simply a great
> machine which never came into
> being and will never end.
>
>
>
> > I think most people believe
> > something is going on after this for a reason. I think it is because God
> > gave them the desire to find out....to seek Him.
>
> It is because our thinking and reasoning process has come to the point to
where
> we can think about death and have the knowledge that we are going to die,
and
> there is nothing anyone can do to stop it. You are going to die. This
thought
> is so unbearable, that every civilization of humans comes up with some
sort of

> god and theory of an afterlife to try and mute this very disturbing
thought.
> But that also explains why every religion and god is so different
throughout
> different civilizations. Yet they all seem to have a pleasant afterlife
theory
> to comfort themselves with.
>
> What is so wrong with just accepting death and realizing that all the
pains and
> evil of life will be gone as soon as you die? That is not so horrible.
It
> is scary if you have dependents and you worry for them, but for yourself
there
> is nothing to fear. Eternal sleep with no work, no pain, no grief, no
> nothing.
>
> > As I studied it all while taking philosophy courses, world religion
> > courses etc....I saw that (as Ross Archer alluded to) the scientific
> > explanations were every bit as and even more untenable than faith in a
God.
>
> No argument there. Do you know WHY that is? Because the church always
had a
> strong control over science throughout history. Any scientist who had a
> theory that contradicted religion would be hung! Even today, it is
forbidden
> to clone humans and abortions and stem cell research is still taboo.
>
> But when science came up with the Big Bang Theory, the church embraced it,
as it
> did not contradict the creationism theory, it only reinforced it. God
created
> the Universe, the Universe was created with a Big Bang.
>
> So your statement is correct, that today's science theories like the Big
Bang
> Theory are nothing but bullshit.
>
> The only reason we are allowed to think the world is round and not flat,
is that
> you can't hide that reality anymore as we have photographic evidence of
that
> now. We also don't hang scientists for theories anymore, so you will see
the
> Big Bang Theory finally dropped, most likely, in your lifetime.
>
> Without getting into a giant explanation into another topic, the Universe
was
> not created, did not have a beginning or birth, and will not have an end.

> You can't NOT have existence. Else, no place to create or give birth to
an
> existence, or any place for a god or creator to exist in. Simple
really, if
> you just think about it.
>
> > The more of the Bible I read, and the more genuinely humble I was
towards
> > God, the more I started to understand what I was reading.
>
> Now that is very hard to believe. If you read the Bible, you see how
evil and
> horrible the character of God behaves in the story and can not finish the
book
> thinking any good thoughts of that character. Unless you are completely
> sadistic.
>
> > There are others however who are
> > reading and getting things from our debate.
>
> And why I bother to take time to explain these things. If it wakes just
one
> person up, it will have been worth it.
>
> > I know that God's word is much
> > better at explaining the truth than I am, so I try to leave it to Him
and
> > His word to do His work.
>
> If every God believer would just hold to that thought, the religion would
> finally cease to exist.
> Without Man, there is no one that can brainwash future generations with
the
> Christian Mythology, or any other cult religion.
>
> WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
>
>
>

2168. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 04:18:24 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <kOsja.18641$ug3.34950@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33483
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

We're still looking for weapons of mass destruction Remember?
I guess their bullets would count as a WMD, the same as Ricin, if they have enough bullets or beans.

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:kzsja.51495$0X.10218852@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> Positive test for terror toxins in Iraq
>
> Evidence of ricin, botulinum at Islamic militants' camp
>
> SARGAT, Iraq, April 4 - MSNBC.com tests reveal evidence of the deadly
> toxins ricin and botulinum at a laboratory in a remote mountain region of
> northern Iraq allegedly used as a terrorist training camp by Islamic
> militants with ties to the al-Qaida terrorist network. The U.S. Central
> Intelligence Agency is conducting its own tests at the same area, but has
> not yet released the results, according to officials in northern Iraq.
>
> MSNBC.COM'S TESTS were conducted over a two-day period at Sargat, an
> alleged terrorist training camp a mile from the Iraq-Iran border. The
camp,
> set back in an isolated valley and surrounded by snowcapped peaks, was
home
> to the radical Islamic militant group Ansar al-Islam, which counts among
its
> some 700 followers scores of al- Qaida fighters.
> In a Feb. 5 speech to the U.N. Security Council, U.S. Secretary of State
> Colin Powell showed a satellite photo of the Sargat camp and described
Ansar
> al-Islam as "teaching its operatives how to produce ricin and other
> poisons." U.S. officials have repeated the allegations in recent weeks.
> In an operation timed to coincide with the war on Iraq, U.S. special
> operations forces have targeted Ansar al- Islam's militants in northern
> Iraq. Hundreds of Islamists, including al-Qaida fighters who took refuge
in
> northern Iraq after the fall of the Taliban in Afghanistan, have been
> killed.
> Although U.S. officials for months have leveled charges that the Ansar
> al-Islam and al-Qaida militants were producing poisons in northern Iraq,
it
> wasn't until this week that specialist American teams were able to gain
> access to the Sargat camp to test for traces of biological and chemical
> weapons.
> Experts believe the Islamic group was producing the substances in the

camp
> as both toxins can be created from everyday products and simple
procedures.
>
> TERRORISTS TEMPTED BY TOXINS
> MSNBC.com's samples of ricin and botulinum, two deadly biological agents,
> were taken from the soles of a boot and a shoe recovered from the Sargat
> camp. The facility has been flattened by several Tomahawk cruise missiles,
> fired as part of the U.S. campaign against Ansar al-Islam.
>
> The thick rubber boot twice tested positive for ricin, a toxin derived
from
> castor beans. Ingesting a pinch of ricin, which causes shock and
respiratory
> failure, can kill a human being within 72 hours. There is no cure.
> A black running shoe, shredded by the U.S. bombing, tested positive for
> botulinum. U.S. officials say terrorists have a particular interest in
> botulinum and ricin toxins, which may be delivered through release in food
> and water.
> Botulism, the illness resulting from botulinum ingestion, is a
> muscle-paralyzing disease that can cause a person to stop breathing and
die,
> according to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control.
>
> Since Sept. 11, 2001, law enforcement officials have seen an increase in
> attempts to produce deadly toxins like ricin and botulinum.
> In Britain, anti-terrorism authorities in January charged four men with
> producing deadly agents after they found traces of ricin in a north London
> apartment. More than a dozen arrests have been made in the investigation.
> On Thursday, the FBI issued a warning to Americans that deadly agents
like
> ricin and botulinum could be used to contaminate the nation's water or
food
> supply.
> And in France, police are on alert after recently finding traces of
ricin
> in flasks in a train station locker in Paris.
> The territory of northern Iraq where the traces of ricin were detected
is
> not under the control of Iraqi leader Saddam Hussein.
> Baghdad admitted to U.N. weapons inspectors in the 1990s that it had
> successfully weaponized ricin, botulinum and anthrax. There is no
immediate
> evidence that suggests Saddam's regime provided the easily produced toxins
> to Ansar al-Islam or al-Qaida.
> A test for anthrax at the Sargat camp gave a negative result.
>
> WIDELY USED TEST
> The tests, developed by Osborn Scientific Group in Lakeside, Ariz.,
are

> widely admired by experts. Called BioWarfare Agent Detection Devices, they
> were used by U.N. weapons inspectors in Iraq before their departure ahead
of
> the U.S.-led war against Saddam.
> Dr. Robert Bohannon, the inventor of the test, said in a phone
interview
> that numerous U.S. federal agencies employ the tests in the field. He said
> the tests were developed to give a rapid "yes-no" result.
> In recent days, specialist chemical-biological survey teams, some from
> the CIA, have collected samples from camps used by Islamic militants in
> northern Iraq. At least two teams visited the Sargat camp, taking similar
> rapid fields tests and collecting samples to be sent to the United States
> for further analysis, according to U.S. special operations forces officers
> speaking on condition of anonymity in northern Iraq.
> "To swab a boot is perfectly acceptable," Bohannon said. "[The test]
> will tell you with almost 100 percent certainty if it is ricin or
botulinum.
> There is a high probability they were there."
> Bohannon, a former U.S. military scientist at the Dugway Proving Ground
in
> Utah, said government experts would likely also subject their samples to a
> Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometer, an apparatus that gives breakdown of
> the elements and composition of the sample. The GCMS is used also used to
> analyze urine samples for the presence of drugs.
> The Osborn Scientific Group's test is widely used by federal agencies
as
> first step in the "evidentiary chain," Bohannon said. "It will tell you
> very, very fast if it's got a credible amount of material."
> U.S. special operations forces officials said this week they had found
> recipes for ricin and other toxins at camps in northern Iraq.
> In several visits to the Sargat camp, MSNBC.com uncovered material
that
> could be used for terrorist purposes, including a list of chemical
elements
> frequently found in explosives.
> The list, written in Arabic, also includes notations on where
chemicals
> like nitric acid, which can be used to make components of the explosive
> Semtex, can commonly be found.
>
>
>

2169. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 04:21:43 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <rRsja.18677$ug3.34885@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Correction:

He says hate our parents because we have to LOVE Him above all else,. even
our
families. Don't let the word hate hang you up.

- Jesus never made a typo

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:zLsja.370980$sf5.699502@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> He says hate our parents because we have to Him above all else,. even our
> families. Don't let the word hate hang you up.
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8DF671.CFF60556@email.com...
> > > I did answer your question about Jesus NOT telling us to hate our
> fathers
> > > and mothers...etc. You look at the Bible as a collection of
> > > statements....verses if you will that stand alone. This is simply not
> the
> > > case.
> >
> > So then why do YOU try and answer questions by quoting a collection of
> verses
> > > from the Bible and allow them to stand alone. Do as you say, not as
you
> do.
> >
> > This AGAIN does not answer why Jesus made the statement to hate our
> parents,
> > children, etc. It is just an attempt to twist the subject to something
> else.
> > The bible tells us what Jesus said. It is a horrible statement no
matter
> how
> > you try and "interpret" it. Can you admit that?
> >
> > > Just like any other book, information must be taken in context and
> > > with whatever else is written about the subject. Read the rest of the
> > > commentary by Jesus in the above mentioned verses....
> >
> > As if I could say that "your mother is a whore" or "everyone should kill
> their
> > parents" and then say something elsewhere that could make this "out of
> context"

> > statement that I made to mean anything different than what I said.
> >
> > From now on, anytime anyone finds fault with any of my statements, I
will
> just
> > say that they were taken "out of context"
> >
> > Jesus said a really horrible thing there, and nothing else can possibly
> change
> > the meaning of that statement.
> >
> > It is bad, it is wrong, it is evil, it is the words of your beloved
Jesus.
> >
> > > previous message, and see the answer to your question. If you cannot
> > > understand the scripture.......only God can help you with that.
> >
> > Great cop out. Especially since we BOTH know God can not help anyone
with
> > anything, so that puts a quick end to that discussion for you, doesn't
it?
> >
> > I know where you can find EXACT PROOF that God does not exist, but only
> those
> > who ask Santa Clause for the proof will ever get to hear the proof.
And
> only
> > those that TRULY believe in Santa without doubt of his existence will
ever
> get
> > to see or hear him.
> >
> > Does that sound ridiculous to you? Replace the words "Santa" with
> either
> > "God" or "Jesus" and try and understand how ridiculous it looks to the
> rest of
> > us.
> >
> > > Where exactly does the Bible say that the world is flat with four
> corners? I
> > > have not seen, or otherwise do not remember reading that.
> >
> > I know. You never read the Bible all the way through like us Atheists
> have, or
> > you would be an Atheist too. You just like the few handful of quotes
> that are
> > read allowed in your cult as they skip over all of the ones that
> contradict
> > observed reality of the world we live in.
> >

> > I can't find the exact one I was thinking about and looking for, but a
> quick
> > search turned up this:
> >
> > "After this I saw four angels standing at the four corners of the earth.
.
> ."
> > --Revelation 7:1
> > It says four corners in two of the Revelation passages. there are also
16
> > references to the Sun revolving
> > around the Earth in the Bible
> >
> > Many more bizarre mistakes in the Bible can be seen here:
> > http://www.skepticsannotatedbible.com/gen/sci_list.html
> >
> > Check out some other Bible Verses you would also not be aware of since
you
> never
> > read the whole book:
> >
> > "There she lusted after her lovers, whose genitals were
> > like those of donkeys and whose emission was like that
> > of horses. So you longed for the lewdness of your youth,
> > when in Egypt your bosom was caressed and your
> > young breasts fondled." - Ezekiel 23:20-21
> >
> > "Therefore fathers shall eat their sons in the midst of you
> > and sons shall eat their fathers...I will send famine and
> > wild beasts against you and they shall rob you of your
> > children; pestilence and blood shall pass through you;
> > and I will bring a sword upon you. I, the Lord, have
> > spoken." - Ezekiel 5:10, 5:17
> >
> > "But if the thing is true, that the tokens of virginity were
> > not found in the young woman, then you shall bring out
> > the young woman to the door of her father's house, and
> > the men of her city shall stone her to death with
> > stones..." - Deuteronomy 22:20
> >
> > "Now therefore, kill every male among the little ones,
> > and kill every woman who has known man by lying
> > with him. But all the young girls who have not known
> > man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves." -
> > Numbers 31:17 (Moses)
> >
> > "Happy shall he be who takes your little ones and dashes
> > them against the rock!" - Psalms 137:9
> >
> > "While the people of Israel were in the wilderness, they

> > found a man gathering sticks on the sabbath day...And
> > the Lord said to Moses, 'The man shall be put to death;
> > all the congregation shall stone him with stones outside
> > the camp.' And all the congregation brought him outside
> > the camp, and stoned him to death with stones, as the
> > Lord commanded Moses." - Numbers 15:32"
> >
> > "...Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the
> > wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their
> > own piss with you?" - Isaiah 36:12
> >
> > "I form the light, and create darkness: I make peace,
> > and create evil: I the LORD do all these things." - Isaiah 45:7
> >
> > Yes, he creates evil all right. And straight from the Lord's mouth
too.
> >
> > > I am familiar with the dragons and unicorns.....both of which were
seen
> in
> > > dreams and visions. Are all of your dreams true to life?
> >
> > Yes these are dreams, visions and fantasy. So why were you making it
> appear
> > that you thought Jesus and God were reality before? Are you picking
and
> > choosing which parts of the Bible you would like to be real and what you
> would
> > like to be fantasy? How do you make that determination? See your
> > problem? You admitted it is all dreams and fantasy, now you can't
take
> any of
> > the rest of the book as fact or history. You lost the debate.
> >
> > > I like to dunk
> > > basketballs in my dreams, and I could not be further from doing that
in
> real
> > > life. The Bible is literal in places, figurative or allegorical in
> others.
> >
> > And somehow, you are able to know which is which. God perhaps spoke to
> you and
> > no one else in the world for some reason? We both know you have never
> been
> > spoken to by God, have never seen God, and that you are trying to find a
> way to
> > justify why most of the Bible contains things so ridiculous that even
you
> have

> > to admit they are just fantasy and dreams.
> >
> > > In my own experience, and that of many many others, the only way to
> > > understand it is to have been enlightened by God.
> >
> > Yet you have never been enlightened by God, so that makes no sense.
> >
> > > I am sorry that you do not hear God.
> >
> > So then you believe that it is a true fact. Good. Now why not pray
> real hard
> > to your God to speak to me, and then I can come back here and verify
that
> he
> > really does exist and have the power to speak to humans.
> > I'll be waiting to hear from him.
> >
> > > I know of no one who hears an audible
> > > voice. I do not hear one either.
> >
> > There you go. Yet all the fictional characters in the Bible could.
> Doesn't
> > that seem strange?
> >
> > > The best way for me to describe the
> > > communication is more like an intuitive thought or feeling where you
> just
> > > know something is true or happening.
> >
> > How could Noah get the exact dimensions to build the Ark with an
intuitive
> > thought or feeling? These were exact dimensions and specifications
that
> God
> > spoke to him directly. Can you explain how that occurred, yet he has
> no
> > desire to speak to a good dedicated follower of him as you are? I
mean,
> we
> > know why he won't talk to me, because I don't believe enough. But YOU
> do. So
> > why is it he never spoke to YOU? Hmmmmmm?
> >
> > > of hard knocks. I once read a thought by some random philosopher that
> read
> > > something like: "Why is it that we feel guilty about certain behavior
> even
> > > if we have not been taught that it is wrong?" It is a very good
> question.
> >

> > An EASY question. For the same reason animals have the exact same
> feelings.
> > From every action, you learn there is a reaction. An animal takes food
> from
> > another animal, and that animal gets mad and attacks the animals that
> tried to
> > take the food. After a while you LEARN that certain actions produce
> favorable
> > results, and other actions produce unfavorable results. Animals don't
> need
> > gods to know the difference between right and wrong.
> >
> > When you first try to feed a bird or squirrel some food, it feels very
> guilty to
> > take the food from you because of learned conditions. Once you finally
> > convince it to take the food and show that you are giving it the food
> (something
> > that no animal has ever done before) and runs away with it and sees you
> are not
> > angry or going to chase it for taking your food, it learns the idea, and
> soon
> > takes food our of your hand without any worry, fear, or guilt.
> >
> > As a matter of fact, I would feed one squirrel every day at the same
time
> of
> > day. Usually I could see it out the window as it sat and waited for
me.
> One
> > day I thought I was going to be too busy and might not see it, so I just
> put the
> > food outside for it. I did see the squirrel outside the window and
> figured it
> > ate what I put out, and was waiting for me to bring more. To my
> surprise, when
> > I opened the door and went outside, the food was still there. It
looked
> at
> > me, then went over and started to eat the food. It felt guilty to
eat
> the
> > food without my approval because it though it would have been stealing
the
> food
> > behind my back.
> >
> > I have seen and experienced many situations of guilt in many types of
> animals as
> > well as just about every other type of feeling we have. Gorillas
that

> can
> > talk to humans via sign language have told us how they feel sad when a
> loved one
> > dies. This is documented fact and you can see it on television every
so
> > often, either on PBS, National Geographic, or such.
> >
> > > I have been down this road with other Atheists before. You are not
> (nor am
> > > I) someone who's mind will be changed here.
> >
> > So you are saying you don't have an open mind and will only believe one
> thing no
> > matter what proof or contradictions can be shoved under your nose?
We
> all
> > start out as stupid children who are taught the religion of our parents
> and
> > local society. It is only those that use their brains and figure
things
> out,
> > that find out that certain things they have been told do not make any
> sense with
> > observed reality and don't fit the big puzzle.
> >
> >
> > > I write for the benefit of
> > > others. All I can tell you is 10 years ago I was searching for
answers.
> It
> > > was very obvious to me that the world was not here by mistake.
> >
> > But somehow God was just here by mistake? Why is it you are able to
> accept
> > that?
> >
> > > That who or
> > > whatever was responsible is so much wiser and more powerful than I am.
> >
> > So then too, wouldn't there have to be someone so much wiser and
powerful
> than
> > God to have created God?
> >
> > Don't you see the problem with your reasoning?
> >
> > > Even
> > > before I believed what the bible teaches, it seemed extremely arrogant
> for
> > > me to question God. Call me crazy if you like, but waving my fist in

> God's
> > > face did not seem prudent.
> >
> > What about when you did the same thing to Santa Clause? You didn't
> actually
> > wave a fist in his face, but you gained the knowledge that Santa is not
> real and
> > you no longer have to be good for his concern, you need to be good
because
> it
> > brings good results. Treat someone bad, and a not so favorable result
> may
> > occur.
> >
> > > I understand that you find the whole concept of God nonsense. It is
> not
> > > possible in my mind (however feeble you may believe it to be) that we
> are
> > > just here.....and will just die, the end.
> >
> > And therefore, because you don't want to accept observed reality, you
find
> the
> > desire and need to create a theory to try and make death a less horrible
> to
> > think about, because you now have a fantasy to help you through a very
> > unpleasant reality that you don't want to accept.
> >
> > Think about the Alzheimer's patient that doesn't know or remember one
> thing you
> > tell them from the next. Their mind is rotting away and becoming
> defective.
> > Yet somehow when they die and the brain completely gets destroyed, they
> will go
> > to heaven and not have Alzheimer's anymore but full functioning minds
> again?
> >
> > Try reading this carefully and see if you can understand how the human
> mind or
> > "soul" works:
> >
> > "Nothing enters our minds or determines our actions which is not
directly
> or
> > indirectly a response to stimuli
> > beating opon our sense organs from without. Owing to the similarity of
> our
> > construction and the sameness of
> > our environment, we respond in like manner to similar stimuli, and from
> the

> > concordance of our reactions,
> > understanding is born. In the course of ages, mechanisms of infinite
> complexity
> > are developed, but what we
> > call "soul" is nothing more than the sum of the functioning's of the
body.
> When
> > this functioning ceases, the
> > "soul" ceases likewise."
> >
> > "There are three ways by which the energy which determines human
progress
> can be
> > increased: First, we may
> > increase the mass. This in the case of humanity, would mean the
> improvement of
> > living conditions, health,
> > eugenics, etc. Second, we may reduce the frictional forces which impede
> > progress, such as ignorance,
> > insanity, and religious fanaticism. Third, we may multiply the energy
of
> the
> > human mass by enchaining the
> > forces of the universe, like those of the sun. the ocean, the winds and
> tides.
> >
> > There is no conflict between the ideal of religion and the ideal of
> science, but
> > science is opposed to theological
> > dogmas because science is founded on fact. The universe is simply a
great
> > machine which never came into
> > being and will never end.
> >
> >
> >
> > > I think most people believe
> > > something is going on after this for a reason. I think it is because
God
> > > gave them the desire to find out....to seek Him.
> >
> > It is because our thinking and reasoning process has come to the point
to
> where
> > we can think about death and have the knowledge that we are going to
die,
> and
> > there is nothing anyone can do to stop it. You are going to die. This
> thought
> > is so unbearable, that every civilization of humans comes up with some

> sort of
> > god and theory of an afterlife to try and mute this very disturbing
> thought.
> > But that also explains why every religion and god is so different
> throughout
> > different civilizations. Yet they all seem to have a pleasant
afterlife
> theory
> > to comfort themselves with.
> >
> > What is so wrong with just accepting death and realizing that all the
> pains and
> > evil of life will be gone as soon as you die? That is not so horrible.
> It
> > is scary if you have dependents and you worry for them, but for yourself
> there
> > is nothing to fear. Eternal sleep with no work, no pain, no grief, no
> > nothing.
> >
> > > As I studied it all while taking philosophy courses, world religion
> > > courses etc....I saw that (as Ross Archer alluded to) the scientific
> > > explanations were every bit as and even more untenable than faith in a
> God.
> >
> > No argument there. Do you know WHY that is? Because the church
always
> had a
> > strong control over science throughout history. Any scientist who had
a
> > theory that contradicted religion would be hung! Even today, it is
> forbidden
> > to clone humans and abortions and stem cell research is still taboo.
> >
> > But when science came up with the Big Bang Theory, the church embraced
it,
> as it
> > did not contradict the creationism theory, it only reinforced it. God
> created
> > the Universe, the Universe was created with a Big Bang.
> >
> > So your statement is correct, that today's science theories like the Big
> Bang
> > Theory are nothing but bullshit.
> >
> > The only reason we are allowed to think the world is round and not flat,
> is that
> > you can't hide that reality anymore as we have photographic evidence of
> that
> > now. We also don't hang scientists for theories anymore, so you will
see

> the
> > Big Bang Theory finally dropped, most likely, in your lifetime.
> >
> > Without getting into a giant explanation into another topic, the
Universe
> was
> > not created, did not have a beginning or birth, and will not have an
end.
> > You can't NOT have existence. Else, no place to create or give birth
to
> an
> > existence, or any place for a god or creator to exist in. Simple
> really, if
> > you just think about it.
> >
> > > The more of the Bible I read, and the more genuinely humble I was
> towards
> > > God, the more I started to understand what I was reading.
> >
> > Now that is very hard to believe. If you read the Bible, you see how
> evil and
> > horrible the character of God behaves in the story and can not finish
the
> book
> > thinking any good thoughts of that character. Unless you are
completely
> > sadistic.
> >
> > > There are others however who are
> > > reading and getting things from our debate.
> >
> > And why I bother to take time to explain these things. If it wakes
just
> one
> > person up, it will have been worth it.
> >
> > > I know that God's word is much
> > > better at explaining the truth than I am, so I try to leave it to Him
> and
> > > His word to do His work.
> >
> > If every God believer would just hold to that thought, the religion
would
> > finally cease to exist.
> > Without Man, there is no one that can brainwash future generations with
> the
> > Christian Mythology, or any other cult religion.
> >
> > WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
> >

> >
> >
>
>

2170. Re: FA: Radio Shack Pro-43 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 04:50:10 UTC
From: "Reginald P. Carstairs II" <"Reginald P.Carstairs II"@mail.com>
Message-ID: <34os8vc3sonvcj2o331vddks4mscu3rcob@4ax.com>
Source record: 33485
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

this the same one as you have for sell on Strong Signals?
On Fri, 04 Apr 2003 01:36:18 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
wrote:

>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3016965312
>

2171. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 04:57:20 UTC
From: N7MFR <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Qmtja.9114$ey1.736224@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E8DF671.CFF60556@email.com...
> > I did answer your question about Jesus NOT telling us to hate our
fathers
> > and mothers...etc. You look at the Bible as a collection of
> > statements....verses if you will that stand alone. This is simply not
the
> > case.
>
> So then why do YOU try and answer questions by quoting a collection of
verses
> from the Bible and allow them to stand alone. Do as you say, not as you
do.

What are you talking about? Did you even read the passages, or the answer? The point is you are not reading the whole point of Jesus in the passage, nor have you read or understood many other passages in scripture that deal with the same issue. Again, I cant help you if you cant understand things that are spiritualy discerned. Call it a cop out , call it whatever you like.

> This AGAIN does not answer why Jesus made the statement to hate our
parents,
> children, etc. It is just an attempt to twist the subject to something
else.
> The bible tells us what Jesus said. It is a horrible statement no matter
how
> you try and "interpret" it. Can you admit that?

Absolutely not. I understand what He means. Let me spell it out again. When you follow Jesus, you must be willing to forsake EVERYTHING to follow Him. That means that if your dad is a rebellious jerk, you avoid him. If your child worships Satan, or is an athiest, you have no real relationship with him. Its like oil and water. They do not go together. It is clear that our minds are nothing alike here. It is like we are speaking different languages.

Let me ask you something. Pick your best subject....whatever it may be. Lets say for the sake of giving me something, you said that your most understood topic in the whole world was Botany. You are an expert in the field (no pun intended). Now of all you know about Botany, what percentage of all there is to know about it do you actualy claim to know? Even the most learned Botanist in the world would have to say he or she knows only a small percentage of what is knowable on the subject. With that in mind, how much

of what is knowable in the universe do you know? Perhaps some microscopic fraction?
So then is seems quite unresonable to suggest that you have everything all figured out with respect to the Bible for one, and certainly our ultimate purpose on earth. Were you here when it started? Are you eternal as to know that the universe has always been? If the universe has always been, and always will be, how do you explain entropy? The fact that everything is in a state of decay? Everything including morality? I think it is the 2nd law of thermodynamics is it not?

> > Just like any other book, information must be taken in context and
> > with whatever else is written about the subject. Read the rest of the
> > commentary by Jesus in the above mentioned verses....
>
> As if I could say that "your mother is a whore" or "everyone should kill their
> parents" and then say something elsewhere that could make this "out of context"
> statement that I made to mean anything different than what I said.

Again, it is like you are reading a language that you have not learned, and are suddenly able to write a lexicon on the language. It is a spiritual language, and you have not learned the alphabet yet. I think you might benefit from a class or two in theology, then you would at least know what Christians "say" they understand from scripture....that way you could rip all of that to logical shreds too.

> From now on, anytime anyone finds fault with any of my statements, I will just
> say that they were taken "out of context"
>
> Jesus said a really horrible thing there, and nothing else can possibly change
> the meaning of that statement.
>
> It is bad, it is wrong, it is evil, it is the words of your beloved Jesus.

See statement above, and yes. He is my Lord and Savior, He is Lord, and will be back to explain it all to you with the wrath of God behind Him.

> > previous message, and see the answer to your question. If you cannot
> > understand the scripture.......only God can help you with that.
>
> Great cop out. Especially since we BOTH know God can not help anyone with
> anything, so that puts a quick end to that discussion for you, doesn't it?

Claiming to know what I really think deep down inside is pretty arrogant. I suppose that you are an empath then? If you think the rest of the world has the same thought proccess that you do, you are sadly mistaken. Equally as mistaken if you think that yours is the only logical answer....just because it seems so to you. There is very little about anything you are saying here that seems logical to me.

> I know where you can find EXACT PROOF that God does not exist, but only
those
> who ask Santa Clause for the proof will ever get to hear the proof. And
only
> those that TRULY believe in Santa without doubt of his existence will ever
get
> to see or hear him.

That in itself is an amazingly arrogant statement. There is no way any logical human being can state exact proof of anything that is not seen or experienced. Hence you have as much faith in nothing as I do in God. I thought faith was for the "week minded". At least I acknowledge it as faith.

> Does that sound ridiculous to you? Replace the words "Santa" with
either
> "God" or "Jesus" and try and understand how ridiculous it looks to the
rest of
> us.
Thats right.....just like the scripture says, the wisdom of God is foolishness to men. Check this out....and try to let it sink in:

1 Corinthians 1:18-21 For the preaching of the cross is to them that perish foolishness; but unto us which are saved it is the power of God. For it is written, I will destroy the wisdom of the wise, and will bring to nothing the understanding of the prudent. Where is the wise? where is the scribe? where is the disputer of this world? hath not God made foolish the wisdom of this world? For after that in the wisdom of God the world by wisdom knew not God, it pleased God by the foolishness of preaching to save them that believe.

> > Where exactly does the Bible say that the world is flat with four
corners? I
> > have not seen, or otherwise do not remember reading that.
>
> I know. You never read the Bible all the way through like us Atheists
have, or
> you would be an Atheist too. You just like the few handful of quotes
that are
> read allowed in your cult as they skip over all of the ones that
contradict
> observed reality of the world we live in.

Whew....there you go again. Guess you have a hidden camera in my house since you know all about me. Did it show you that I do not attend an organized church? Did you see me in my study EVERY morning at 5:30 am reading scripture? I have indeed read the Bible more than 20 times over. Your insight is obviously flawed. A cult is a group that among other things builds doctrine around single scriptures (sounds kinda like you making outrageous claims about the statements of Jesus of whom you know NOTHING). Your statements about what the average person who SAYS they are a Christian are generaly true. Most Christians do not read the Bible. Most do not put their butt behind what they say they believe. Most are fakes, and hypocrites. Until you stop by the house and hang out with me for a

while....do not assume anything.

> I can't find the exact one I was thinking about and looking for, but a
quick
> search turned up this:
>
> "After this I saw four angels standing at the four corners of the earth. .
."
> --Revelation 7:1

And from this you assume that the passage is saying that the world is flat? Have you ever read Shakespere? Are you familiar with any writing that tries to paint a vivid picture? Here is a passage to be taken literaly. First....a hint since you have not studied theology. The "Word" referenced here is speaking of Jesus. From the Greek word "logos". Try a theological search of that word....just for fun.

John 1:1-5 In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. The same was in the beginning with God. All things were made by him; and without him was not any thing made that was made. In him was life; and the life was the light of men. And the light shineth in darkness; and THE DARKNESS COMPREHENDED IT NOT. (caps added for emphasis, not shouting)

> It says four corners in two of the Revelation passages. there are also 16
> references to the Sun revolving
> around the Earth in the Bible
>
> Many more bizarre mistakes in the Bible can be seen here:
> http://www.skepticsannotatedbible.com/gen/sci_list.html

And a page for you www.jesus-is-lord.com

> Check out some other Bible Verses you would also not be aware of since you
never
> read the whole book:

> "There she lusted after her lovers, whose genitals were
> like those of donkeys and whose emission was like that
> of horses. So you longed for the lewdness of your youth,
> when in Egypt your bosom was caressed and your
> young breasts fondled." - Ezekiel 23:20-21
>
> "Therefore fathers shall eat their sons in the midst of you
> and sons shall eat their fathers...I will send famine and
> wild beasts against you and they shall rob you of your
> children; pestilence and blood shall pass through you;
> and I will bring a sword upon you. I, the Lord, have
> spoken." - Ezekiel 5:10, 5:17
>
> "But if the thing is true, that the tokens of virginity were
> not found in the young woman, then you shall bring out

> the young woman to the door of her father's house, and
> the men of her city shall stone her to death with
> stones..." - Deuteronomy 22:20
>
> "Now therefore, kill every male among the little ones,
> and kill every woman who has known man by lying
> with him. But all the young girls who have not known
> man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves." -
> Numbers 31:17 (Moses)
>
> "Happy shall he be who takes your little ones and dashes
> them against the rock!" - Psalms 137:9
>
> "While the people of Israel were in the wilderness, they
> found a man gathering sticks on the sabbath day...And
> the Lord said to Moses, 'The man shall be put to death;
> all the congregation shall stone him with stones outside
> the camp.' And all the congregation brought him outside
> the camp, and stoned him to death with stones, as the
> Lord commanded Moses." - Numbers 15:32"
>
> "...Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the
> wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their
> own piss with you?" - Isaiah 36:12
>
> "I form the light, and create darkness: I make peace,
> and create evil: I the LORD do all these things." - Isaiah 45:7
>
> Yes, he creates evil all right. And straight from the Lord's mouth too.
>
> > I am familiar with the dragons and unicorns.....both of which were seen
in
> > dreams and visions. Are all of your dreams true to life?
>
> Yes these are dreams, visions and fantasy. So why were you making it
appear
> that you thought Jesus and God were reality before? Are you picking and
> choosing which parts of the Bible you would like to be real and what you
would
> like to be fantasy? How do you make that determination? See your
> problem? You admitted it is all dreams and fantasy, now you can't take
any of
> the rest of the book as fact or history. You lost the debate.

I lost nothing, and you have absolutely no clue what you are talking about. You are the one who has not read the Bible. Nor have you tried to understand it. Much of the Bible, mainly the Old Testament, (where all of your quotes are coming from) is written in stories. Just like any other book, you need to read the whole story to know what the point is. Perhaps you should try reading it in a more modern version, so the old english does not cause you

problems. I will not bother here explaining every story to you. READ THE BIBLE. And maybe you will be able to take some of these scriptures off of your "what the hell does this mean" list.

> > I like to dunk
> > basketballs in my dreams, and I could not be further from doing that in real
> > life. The Bible is literal in places, figurative or allegorical in others.
>
> And somehow, you are able to know which is which. God perhaps spoke to you and
> no one else in the world for some reason? We both know you have never been
> spoken to by God, have never seen God, and that you are trying to find a way to
> justify why most of the Bible contains things so ridiculous that even you have
> to admit they are just fantasy and dreams.

Yes for the most part I do know which is which. And you could know it too. Even if you just studied theology and had others explain it to you. And.....no I am not the only one.

> > In my own experience, and that of many many others, the only way to
> > understand it is to have been enlightened by God.
>
> Yet you have never been enlightened by God, so that makes no sense.

And you know this because......all knowing John hath spoken?

> > I am sorry that you do not hear God.
>
> So then you believe that it is a true fact. Good. Now why not pray real hard
> to your God to speak to me, and then I can come back here and verify that he
> really does exist and have the power to speak to humans.
> I'll be waiting to hear from him.

I have no doubt that you do not hear Him. You turned Him off long ago. I WILL pray for you. For you to humble yourself for just 5 minutes, take yourself down from your "I am as wise as God" attitude, and ask God for wisdom.
I will also pray that when He starts pouring His wrath upon you and all of the other unbelievers, that you will then see that He is God and repent. AND you WILL hear from him....Every knee will bow (including yours) and every tounge confess that Jesus is Lord to the glory of the Father. Either willingly or by fear and trembling.

> > I know of no one who hears an audible
> > voice. I do not hear one either.

>
> There you go. Yet all the fictional characters in the Bible could.
Doesn't
> that seem strange?

No, if God wants to communicate to His creation one way at one time in
history and another way at another....who are we to question it?
> > The best way for me to describe the
> > communication is more like an intuitive thought or feeling where you
just
> > know something is true or happening.
>
> How could Noah get the exact dimensions to build the Ark with an intuitive
> thought or feeling? These were exact dimensions and specifications that
God
> spoke to him directly. Can you explain how that occurred, yet he has
no
> desire to speak to a good dedicated follower of him as you are? I mean,
we
> know why he won't talk to me, because I don't believe enough. But YOU
do. So
> why is it he never spoke to YOU? Hmmmmmm?

Uh.....he does. In my heart. I thought I said that already.

> > of hard knocks. I once read a thought by some random philosopher that
read
> > something like: "Why is it that we feel guilty about certain behavior
even
> > if we have not been taught that it is wrong?" It is a very good
question.
>
> An EASY question. For the same reason animals have the exact same
feelings.
> From every action, you learn there is a reaction. An animal takes food
from
> another animal, and that animal gets mad and attacks the animals that
tried to
> take the food. After a while you LEARN that certain actions produce
favorable
> results, and other actions produce unfavorable results. Animals don't
need
> gods to know the difference between right and wrong.

Cool, Im glad that you understand all of the deep things of philosophy....I
eagerly await your next book, so the world can worship you and I can watch
in amazement.

If there is no God, what good is right and wrong? Live it up baby! You
have nothing to prove to anyone or anything. Nor are you responsible to
anyone or anything. You have no legacy or "life of goodness" to leave to
anyone, cause they will just be dead anyway. And, after 200 years of

history, no one will ever really know what happened anyway, whether it did or not. I think you should kill anyone you want, sleep with anyone you want, take everyones money you want.....just party it up. Might as well. There is no controling moral authority anyway. Your values are good for you, and mine are good for me. So you wont mind when that prison escapee steps into your house and blows you away. Who are you to impose your morality on him? If he wants to blow you away thats his perogative. We cant send him to jail either, after all he thinks its ok to kill people.
So your goodness then gets you what? A longer wait to be fertilizer? Oh boy, where do I sign up. Thats what I want, who can delay the fertilization of the planet the longest. That would be a life not worth living......what a horible way to live....thinking that when you die there will be eternal nothingness......I really feel sorry for you.

> When you first try to feed a bird or squirrel some food, it feels very guilty to
> take the food from you because of learned conditions. Once you finally
> convince it to take the food and show that you are giving it the food (something
> that no animal has ever done before) and runs away with it and sees you are not
> angry or going to chase it for taking your food, it learns the idea, and soon
> takes food our of your hand without any worry, fear, or guilt.
>
> As a matter of fact, I would feed one squirrel every day at the same time of
> day. Usually I could see it out the window as it sat and waited for me. One
> day I thought I was going to be too busy and might not see it, so I just put the
> food outside for it. I did see the squirrel outside the window and figured it
> ate what I put out, and was waiting for me to bring more. To my surprise, when
> I opened the door and went outside, the food was still there. It looked at
> me, then went over and started to eat the food. It felt guilty to eat the
> food without my approval because it though it would have been stealing the food
> behind my back.

Boy, you are giving that squirrel alot of credit.

> I have seen and experienced many situations of guilt in many types of animals as
> well as just about every other type of feeling we have. Gorillas that can
> talk to humans via sign language have told us how they feel sad when a loved one

> dies. This is documented fact and you can see it on television every so
> often, either on PBS, National Geographic, or such.
>
> > I have been down this road with other Atheists before. You are not
(nor am
> > I) someone who's mind will be changed here.
>
> So you are saying you don't have an open mind and will only believe one
thing no
> matter what proof or contradictions can be shoved under your nose? We
all
> start out as stupid children who are taught the religion of our parents
and
> local society. It is only those that use their brains and figure things
out,
> that find out that certain things they have been told do not make any
sense with
> observed reality and don't fit the big puzzle.

Your mind is no more open than mine is. I am really exited about figuring out that the big puzzle is completely meaningless. I am particularly looking forward to teaching my son that other than the good stuff he does for people that no one will remember, his life will be over and thats it. And we wonder why kids want to kill themselves so often these days? WOW.

> > I write for the benefit of
> > others. All I can tell you is 10 years ago I was searching for answers.
It
> > was very obvious to me that the world was not here by mistake.
>
> But somehow God was just here by mistake? Why is it you are able to
accept
> that?

Because I am not arrogant enough to think that I must understand every concept in order for it to be so.

> > That who or
> > whatever was responsible is so much wiser and more powerful than I am.
>
> So then too, wouldn't there have to be someone so much wiser and powerful
than
> God to have created God?
>
> Don't you see the problem with your reasoning?

No, I can see the distinct possibility that I only understand a small fraction of what I see touch and feel.

Does an ant have any idea of the big picture and what is really happening when the Car rolls over him in the driveway? In the vast universe you live in, do you really think you understand much more than the ant does?

I suggest to you that God is higher above you than you are above the ant. If that is the case, do you really think you can understand anything fully without God's help?

> > Even
> > before I believed what the bible teaches, it seemed extremely arrogant for
> > me to question God. Call me crazy if you like, but waving my fist in God's
> > face did not seem prudent.
>
> What about when you did the same thing to Santa Clause? You didn't actually
> wave a fist in his face, but you gained the knowledge that Santa is not real and
> you no longer have to be good for his concern, you need to be good because it
> brings good results. Treat someone bad, and a not so favorable result may
> occur.

The Bible is not about santa, nor are all of the thousands of manuscripts of evidence suporting it. Santa was and is a pagan secular substitute for true worship of God, as is Easter. The two are not even slightly similar.

> > I understand that you find the whole concept of God nonsense. It is not
> > possible in my mind (however feeble you may believe it to be) that we are
> > just here.....and will just die, the end.
>
> And therefore, because you don't want to accept observed reality, you find the
> desire and need to create a theory to try and make death a less horrible to
> think about, because you now have a fantasy to help you through a very
> unpleasant reality that you don't want to accept.

It is not that I dont want to accept it, its that something inside tells me that death wont be the end. I have no trouble at all with death. I am actually kind of looking forward to it. How will you feel about it as you draw your last breath knowing that you are done forever? You can rest easy though. You are eternal, and you still have time to make that eternity pleasant.

> Think about the Alzheimer's patient that doesn't know or remember one thing you
> tell them from the next. Their mind is rotting away and becoming defective.
> Yet somehow when they die and the brain completely gets destroyed, they will go
> to heaven and not have Alzheimer's anymore but full functioning minds

again?

Lets see, it should make sense to you that if God can create someone from dirt.....he can make your old body new again.

> Try reading this carefully and see if you can understand how the human mind or
> "soul" works:
>
> "Nothing enters our minds or determines our actions which is not directly or
> indirectly a response to stimuli
> beating opon our sense organs from without. Owing to the similarity of our
> construction and the sameness of
> our environment, we respond in like manner to similar stimuli, and from the
> concordance of our reactions,
> understanding is born. In the course of ages, mechanisms of infinite complexity
> are developed, but what we
> call "soul" is nothing more than the sum of the functioning's of the body. When
> this functioning ceases, the
> "soul" ceases likewise."
>
> "There are three ways by which the energy which determines human progress can be
> increased: First, we may
> increase the mass. This in the case of humanity, would mean the improvement of
> living conditions, health,
> eugenics, etc. Second, we may reduce the frictional forces which impede
> progress, such as ignorance,
> insanity, and religious fanaticism. Third, we may multiply the energy of the
> human mass by enchaining the
> forces of the universe, like those of the sun. the ocean, the winds and tides.
>
> There is no conflict between the ideal of religion and the ideal of science, but
> science is opposed to theological
> dogmas because science is founded on fact. The universe is simply a great
> machine which never came into
> being and will never end.

Wow, that makes alot of sense now doesnt it? And my view is a cop out? Science has not proven anything. They cant even follow their own rules with regard to anything of importance. You have faith in nothing, and I have faith in God.

Since you have nothing to look forward to anyway....why not try to believe God? You have absolutely nothing to loose.
>
> > I think most people believe
> > something is going on after this for a reason. I think it is because God
> > gave them the desire to find out....to seek Him.
>
> It is because our thinking and reasoning process has come to the point to where
> we can think about death and have the knowledge that we are going to die, and
> there is nothing anyone can do to stop it. You are going to die. This thought
> is so unbearable, that every civilization of humans comes up with some sort of
> god and theory of an afterlife to try and mute this very disturbing thought.
> But that also explains why every religion and god is so different throughout
> different civilizations. Yet they all seem to have a pleasant afterlife theory
> to comfort themselves with.
>
> What is so wrong with just accepting death and realizing that all the pains and
> evil of life will be gone as soon as you die? That is not so horrible. It
> is scary if you have dependents and you worry for them, but for yourself there
> is nothing to fear. Eternal sleep with no work, no pain, no grief, no
> nothing.

Again.....why live then? And how will it impede you to believe in God? If I am right you will be all set.....If I am wrong you have lost nothing.....because you bet nothing.

> > As I studied it all while taking philosophy courses, world religion
> > courses etc....I saw that (as Ross Archer alluded to) the scientific
> > explanations were every bit as and even more untenable than faith in a God.
>
> No argument there. Do you know WHY that is? Because the church always had a
> strong control over science throughout history. Any scientist who had a
> theory that contradicted religion would be hung! Even today, it is forbidden
> to clone humans and abortions and stem cell research is still taboo.
>
> But when science came up with the Big Bang Theory, the church embraced it, as it
> did not contradict the creationism theory, it only reinforced it. God

created
> the Universe, the Universe was created with a Big Bang.
>
> So your statement is correct, that today's science theories like the Big
Bang
> Theory are nothing but bullshit.
>
> The only reason we are allowed to think the world is round and not flat,
is that
> you can't hide that reality anymore as we have photographic evidence of
that
> now. We also don't hang scientists for theories anymore, so you will see
the
> Big Bang Theory finally dropped, most likely, in your lifetime.
>
> Without getting into a giant explanation into another topic, the Universe
was
> not created, did not have a beginning or birth, and will not have an end.
> You can't NOT have existence. Else, no place to create or give birth to
an
> existence, or any place for a god or creator to exist in. Simple
really, if
> you just think about it.
>
> > The more of the Bible I read, and the more genuinely humble I was
towards
> > God, the more I started to understand what I was reading.
>
> Now that is very hard to believe. If you read the Bible, you see how
evil and
> horrible the character of God behaves in the story and can not finish the
book
> thinking any good thoughts of that character. Unless you are completely
> sadistic.

Actualy, in the Old Testament, God is just trying to get people to wake up and smell the coffee. They worship Him as God for a while...everything is fine....then they drift away to do their own thing again, He smacks them around and they come back. He is still doing that today. God is good way beyond measure, merciful beyond measure and has forgiven me way more than I deserve. If that makes me sadistic in your eyes....so be it.

> > There are others however who are
> > reading and getting things from our debate.
>
> And why I bother to take time to explain these things. If it wakes just
one
> person up, it will have been worth it.

Exactly

> > I know that God's word is much
> > better at explaining the truth than I am, so I try to leave it to Him
and
> > His word to do His work.
>
> If every God believer would just hold to that thought, the religion would
> finally cease to exist.
> Without Man, there is no one that can brainwash future generations with
the
> Christian Mythology, or any other cult religion.
>
> WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
>
>
This has all been very entertaining, and for some im sure very irritating.
I have said what I think has to be said and I think you have as well. This
will be my last post on this topic. Maybe next time we can talk about or
trade radios. Till then 73

--
Dave/N7MFR
TS-570, FT-101, Drake 2B,
HT-37, Pro 2053, Pro 2046
VX5R

2172. Re: BC 245XLT in Toronto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 05:14:53 UTC
From: carolinaboy424@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030405001453.08750.00000509@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 33488

I have one for sale to the right bidder. Its an awesome radio. Email me back

2173. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 05:37:04 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3E8E6C73.9050701@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 33489
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I just gotta say, " I love Celt Chic" She's got more cojones than the
rest of the winters in this group put together.

Celt Chic wrote:

> Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least I
> have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The only
> reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was the
> next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries that
> have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't give
> you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy will
> give you one: PLONK!
>
> ;)
>
> I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB and
> you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being nice
> to losers like you.
>
> I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go cry
> to Mommy.
>
> --
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> Radio Shack DX-402
> Radio Shack Pro-95
> Radio Shack TRC-499
> Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
>
> Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
>
>>Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be forgiven
>>for that, of course.
>>
>>Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed, when

>>you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
>>
> bills
>
>>and buy you toys.
>>
>>If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
>>
> website:
>
>>http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
>>
>>Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
>>
>>This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what you
>>want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort of
>>moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger naive.
>>
>>Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn
>>bills and buy your own damn toys?
>>
>>Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
>>radios if you're in a financial bind?
>>
>>Sickening...
>>
>>
>>"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>
>>>HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
>>>
>>someone
>>
>>>who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
>>>
> doesn't
>
>>>need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to help
>>>
>>him.
>>
>>>His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion! His
>>>question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for help.
>>>
>>He
>>
>>>only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
>>>
>>should

>>
>>>be ashamed.
>>>
>>
>
>

2174. NO WMD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 05:58:18 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <_fuja.369321$S_4.442893@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 33490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well, shoot. NO WMD.
How will we get them to spin (I mean we report you decide) it?
ah crap here goes another long one off the topic

2175. Uniden MR8100 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 05:58:53 UTC
From: Browning Mark FourA <sky.fourfourfour@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <a3ss8vc5rl0i2n8meh8je62n3lipq1m8ks@4ax.com>
Source record: 33491

Uniden MR8100 scanner

Used but excellent condition Uniden MR8100 scanner with 800mhz
etc.Works great,comes with manual and software for computer use.Comes
with computer cable also.see pics.

BEST REASONABLE OFFER

http://mysite.verizon.net/vze1p5vc/radios/mr8100.jpg

http://mysite.verizon.net/vze1p5vc/radios/mr81001.jpg

remove <SPAMSUCKS> to reply

2176. Re: Constitution is one of many "pillars" of our system of rights/justice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 07:00:19 UTC
From: gaffo <gaffo@usenet.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.04.06.25.08.631740@usenet.net>
Source record: 33486
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Fri, 04 Apr 2003 04:08:34 +0000, Q wrote:

> Path:
> sn-us!sn-xit-04!sn-xit-01!sn-xit-09!supernews.com!newshosting.com!news-xfer
> 2.atl.newshosting.com!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed2.easynews.com!newsfeed1
> .easynews.com!easynews.com!easynews!news-west.rr.com!cyclone.kc.rr.com!cycl
> one2.kc.rr.com!news2.kc.rr.com!twister.columbus.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
> Reply-To: "Q" <Q@Q.everywhere>
> From: "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
> Newsgroups:
> alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate
>
> References: <b62ihu$9u6$1@news1.kornet.net> <3E850026.7000804@mailcity.moc>
> <vHoha.254049$F1.46324@sccrnsc04> <3E8613DE.1010209@mailcity.moc>
> <3E88C5EC.7CF18C19@email.com> <3E88B0AF.40300@mailcity.moc>
> <3E89FAD6.38D975EB@email.com> <3E89E69D.80203@mailcity.moc>
> <3E8A12EA.C612B4A6@email.com> <b6d3re$8k9$1@news1.kornet.net>
> <3E8B39FC.45B0C968@email.com> <pan.2003.04.03.03.42.35.158030@usenet.net>
> <3E8C7620.C2412089@email.com> <pan.2003.04.04.04.24.54.531153@usenet.net>
> <9t0q8vg09m0asmir599qp5p051je8uvt5l@4ax.com>
> Subject: Re: Constitution is one of many "pillars" of our system of
> rights/justice
> Lines: 11
> X-Priority: 3
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> Message-ID: <6z7ja.47421$0X.9127731@twister.columbus.rr.com>
> Date: Fri, 04 Apr 2003 04:08:34 GMT
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.210.84.106
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
> X-Trace: twister.columbus.rr.com 1049429314 24.210.84.106 (Thu, 03 Apr 2003
> 23:08:34 EST)
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 Apr 2003 23:08:34 EST
> Organization: Road Runner High Speed Online -- Columbus
> Xref: sn-us alt.radio.scanner:176211 rec.radio.scanner:258177
> alt.radio.broadcasting:36205 alt.radio.pirate:87230
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
>
>
> "Dave Fouchey" <dafouchey@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:9t0q8vg09m0asmir599qp5p051je8uvt5l@4ax.com...

>> Well since this is the document under discussion perhaps a link to it
>> would help?
>>
>> http://www.law.emory.edu/FEDERAL/usconst.html
>
> Don't confuse johnny, gaffo et. al. with the facts. All they'll do is
> selectively pick and choose those parts they wish to decimate.

decimate?

I only see you worshiping Fascism and deficating on our
Constitution.

2177. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 07:09:45 UTC
From: swl@techie.com
Message-ID: <605e107d.0304042309.1edf92e4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33492
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dunno, but I do agree that we could argue forever about what "heaps"
means... :-)

For me, anything within roughly $300-$500 falls in the same price
category.
When buying a new radio I certainly would not sacrifice on performance
only to save $100 (and then live with the mediocre consequencies of my
decision for the next few years). While I do admit I would think hard
if the radio was say $1000 more, $100 or $200 really make no
difference if the result means greater listening indulgence and
operating pleasure every night... ;-)

John

"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<LGrja.18046$ug3.33820@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>...
> Cost heaps more too.
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html
> "John Partridge" <swl@techie.com> wrote in message
> news:605e107d.0304041809.76dbfeea@posting.google.com...
> > I concur. I have one too. It runs circles around the Icom.
> > Have you seen the new Winradio G303i shortwave receiver?
> > Heaps better than TenTec.
> >
> > John

2178. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 07:18:49 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <trvja.11541$B54.1408731@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 33493

I must agree but have we ever had a conflict like this one? A new type of conflict could bring a new set of punishments. One other thing didn't the US in the 70s pass a law that the military can't be used to assassinate heads of state of other countries? So Bush has not only violated international law but the laws of his own country. If I were an American I would be very worried about that.

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message news:v8silbo14dqe67@corp.supernews.com...
> Only the losers are tried for war crimes...
>
>
> "Jeff Santee" <Jeff352@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:w9rja.370159$sf5.698505@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> >
> > "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:zhaja.13011$de.1632272@news2.telusplanet.net...
> > > I just wonder if the US and England will be charged with war crimes as
> that
> > > they are the invading countries and they invaded without provocation.
I
> do
> > > note that they have almost the entire country and have not found any
> > > chemical or biological weapons and that was their only justification.
> Also
> > > Iraq has never done anything to the US or any of its treaty allies.
Thus
> > > this makes it an illegal invasion subject to war crimes charges.
> > >
> > ==========
> >
> > LOL you've got to be kidding dweeb. Who the hell is going to
> bring
> > the charges?? Who's going to enforce it?? The UN?? LOLOLOL.
> > Get a brain will ya. They have used scuds and other missiles they
werent
> > supposed to have, sure is funny how they keep finding NBC suits and gas
> > masks all over. Funny how they keep shooting their own people in the
> back.
> > Another troll ------ Plonk
> >
> >
>
>

2179. Re: NO WMD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 07:34:27 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <7Gvja.52301$0X.10392376@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Positive test for terror toxins in Iraq

Evidence of ricin, botulinum at Islamic militants' camp

SARGAT, Iraq, April 4 - MSNBC.com tests reveal evidence of the deadly toxins ricin and botulinum at a laboratory in a remote mountain region of northern Iraq allegedly used as a terrorist training camp by Islamic militants with ties to the al-Qaida terrorist network. The U.S. Central Intelligence Agency is conducting its own tests at the same area, but has not yet released the results, according to officials in northern Iraq.

MSNBC.COM'S TESTS were conducted over a two-day period at Sargat, an alleged terrorist training camp a mile from the Iraq-Iran border. The camp, set back in an isolated valley and surrounded by snowcapped peaks, was home to the radical Islamic militant group Ansar al-Islam, which counts among its some 700 followers scores of al- Qaida fighters.
In a Feb. 5 speech to the U.N. Security Council, U.S. Secretary of State Colin Powell showed a satellite photo of the Sargat camp and described Ansar al-Islam as "teaching its operatives how to produce ricin and other poisons." U.S. officials have repeated the allegations in recent weeks.
In an operation timed to coincide with the war on Iraq, U.S. special operations forces have targeted Ansar al- Islam's militants in northern Iraq. Hundreds of Islamists, including al-Qaida fighters who took refuge in northern Iraq after the fall of the Taliban in Afghanistan, have been killed.
Although U.S. officials for months have leveled charges that the Ansar al-Islam and al-Qaida militants were producing poisons in northern Iraq, it wasn't until this week that specialist American teams were able to gain access to the Sargat camp to test for traces of biological and chemical weapons.
Experts believe the Islamic group was producing the substances in the camp as both toxins can be created from everyday products and simple procedures.

TERRORISTS TEMPTED BY TOXINS
MSNBC.com's samples of ricin and botulinum, two deadly biological agents, were taken from the soles of a boot and a shoe recovered from the Sargat camp. The facility has been flattened by several Tomahawk cruise missiles, fired as part of the U.S. campaign against Ansar al-Islam.

The thick rubber boot twice tested positive for ricin, a toxin derived from castor beans. Ingesting a pinch of ricin, which causes shock and respiratory failure, can kill a human being within 72 hours. There is no cure.
A black running shoe, shredded by the U.S. bombing, tested positive for botulinum. U.S. officials say terrorists have a particular interest in botulinum and ricin toxins, which may be delivered through release in food

and water.
Botulism, the illness resulting from botulinum ingestion, is a muscle-paralyzing disease that can cause a person to stop breathing and die, according to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control.

Since Sept. 11, 2001, law enforcement officials have seen an increase in attempts to produce deadly toxins like ricin and botulinum.
In Britain, anti-terrorism authorities in January charged four men with producing deadly agents after they found traces of ricin in a north London apartment. More than a dozen arrests have been made in the investigation.
On Thursday, the FBI issued a warning to Americans that deadly agents like ricin and botulinum could be used to contaminate the nation's water or food supply.
And in France, police are on alert after recently finding traces of ricin in flasks in a train station locker in Paris.
The territory of northern Iraq where the traces of ricin were detected is not under the control of Iraqi leader Saddam Hussein.
Baghdad admitted to U.N. weapons inspectors in the 1990s that it had successfully weaponized ricin, botulinum and anthrax. There is no immediate evidence that suggests Saddam's regime provided the easily produced toxins to Ansar al-Islam or al-Qaida.
A test for anthrax at the Sargat camp gave a negative result.

WIDELY USED TEST
The tests, developed by Osborn Scientific Group in Lakeside, Ariz., are widely admired by experts. Called BioWarfare Agent Detection Devices, they were used by U.N. weapons inspectors in Iraq before their departure ahead of the U.S.-led war against Saddam.
Dr. Robert Bohannon, the inventor of the test, said in a phone interview that numerous U.S. federal agencies employ the tests in the field. He said the tests were developed to give a rapid "yes-no" result.
In recent days, specialist chemical-biological survey teams, some from the CIA, have collected samples from camps used by Islamic militants in northern Iraq. At least two teams visited the Sargat camp, taking similar rapid fields tests and collecting samples to be sent to the United States for further analysis, according to U.S. special operations forces officers speaking on condition of anonymity in northern Iraq.
"To swab a boot is perfectly acceptable," Bohannon said. "[The test] will tell you with almost 100 percent certainty if it is ricin or botulinum. There is a high probability they were there."
Bohannon, a former U.S. military scientist at the Dugway Proving Ground in Utah, said government experts would likely also subject their samples to a Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometer, an apparatus that gives breakdown of the elements and composition of the sample. The GCMS is used also used to analyze urine samples for the presence of drugs.
The Osborn Scientific Group's test is widely used by federal agencies as first step in the "evidentiary chain," Bohannon said. "It will tell you very, very fast if it's got a credible amount of material."
U.S. special operations forces officials said this week they had found recipes for ricin and other toxins at camps in northern Iraq.
In several visits to the Sargat camp, MSNBC.com uncovered material that

could be used for terrorist purposes, including a list of chemical elements frequently found in explosives.
The list, written in Arabic, also includes notations on where chemicals like nitric acid, which can be used to make components of the explosive Semtex, can commonly be found.

2180. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 07:41:15 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8t24qf8ed5i90@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33495

Saddam was not acting in his capacity as head of state. He was command and control of his armed services, thus he was fair game.

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:trvja.11541$B54.1408731@news1.telusplanet.net...
> I must agree but have we ever had a conflict like this one? A new type of
> conflict could bring a new set of punishments. One other thing didn't the
US
> in the 70s pass a law that the military can't be used to assassinate heads
> of state of other countries? So Bush has not only violated international
law
> but the laws of his own country. If I were an American I would be very
> worried about that.
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v8silbo14dqe67@corp.supernews.com...
> > Only the losers are tried for war crimes...
> >
> >
> > "Jeff Santee" <Jeff352@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> > news:w9rja.370159$sf5.698505@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > >
> > > "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
> > news:zhaja.13011$de.1632272@news2.telusplanet.net...
> > > > I just wonder if the US and England will be charged with war crimes
as
> > that
> > > > they are the invading countries and they invaded without
provocation.
> I
> > do
> > > > note that they have almost the entire country and have not found any
> > > > chemical or biological weapons and that was their only
justification.
> > Also
> > > > Iraq has never done anything to the US or any of its treaty allies.
> Thus
> > > > this makes it an illegal invasion subject to war crimes charges.
> > > >
> > > ==========
> > >
> > > LOL you've got to be kidding dweeb. Who the hell is going
to
> > bring

> > > the charges?? Who's going to enforce it?? The UN?? LOLOLOL.
> > > Get a brain will ya. They have used scuds and other missiles they
> werent
> > > supposed to have, sure is funny how they keep finding NBC suits and
gas
> > > masks all over. Funny how they keep shooting their own people in the
> > back.
> > > Another troll ------ Plonk
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2181. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 07:42:57 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8t283e0nak0ed@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33496
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Petulant child is probably searching for a new ISP after spamming the
newsgroup with copyrighted songs.

"johnb" <borregoj@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:3E8E6C73.9050701@sbcglobal.net...
> I just gotta say, " I love Celt Chic" She's got more cojones than the
> rest of the winters in this group put together.
>
>
> Celt Chic wrote:
>
> > Clip, what do you do for a living, work as a burger flipper? At least I
> > have a high paying job with a genius level IQ, what's yours, 2? The
only
> > reason I HAD (repeat, HAD) a cyber begging page up was because it was
the
> > next biggest thing on the internet. People were making money doing it.
> > Second of all, I was simply trying to get help for all of my surgeries
that
> > have put me in the bind. Third, someone already HAS bought my toy.
> > Obviously, prick, you need some toys. What's the matter, Mommy didn't
give
> > you a pacifier to keep your mouth shut? Here you go, baby doll, Mommy
will
> > give you one: PLONK!
> >
> > ;)
> >
> > I've had enough of these losers on the newsgroups. Clip you're an SOB
and
> > you're just like the rest of the SOB's on this board. I'm done being
nice
> > to losers like you.
> >
> > I happened to have COLON problems and I don't think that's any of your
> > business. I didn't put that on my web page. Grow up, little boy. Go
cry
> > to Mommy.
> >
> > --
> > ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> > Celt Chic - WA9012SWL - Shortwave Listener and Proud of it!
> > Radio Shack DX-402

> > Radio Shack Pro-95
> > Radio Shack TRC-499
> > Coby CX CB12 (cute!)
> > email me at internetstuff47129@nospamyahoo.com (but first remove nospam)
> > In the process of moving my web page from http://www.ius.edu/sdean to
> > http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/
> > Web page last updated March 20, 2003.
> >
> > Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:7F6dna7FStkZqhqjXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> >
> >>Firstly, you don't know the history behind this twit. You can be
forgiven
> >>for that, of course.
> >>
> >>Secondly, it takes some nerve to tell others they should be ashamed,
when
> >>you're a self-proclaimed cyber-beggar, asking others to help pay your
> >>
> > bills
> >
> >>and buy you toys.
> >>
> >>If you don't know what I'm talking about, this is from Celt Chic's
> >>
> > website:
> >
> >>http://home.att.net/~monster-masher/beg.htm
> >>
> >>Thankfully she has no takers - there is hope for people yet, I guess.
> >>
> >>This sort of thing rubs me the wrong way, and although you can do what
you
> >>want on your webpage, please don't come in here trying to take any sort
of
> >>moral high-ground stance, as it makes you look like an even bigger
naive.
> >>
> >>Why don't you get a friggin' job, or a better job, and pay your own damn
> >>bills and buy your own damn toys?
> >>
> >>Why not sell your computer, cancel your Internet access, and sell your
> >>radios if you're in a financial bind?
> >>
> >>Sickening...
> >>
> >>
> >>"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >>news:vhDha.34525$ja4.2220010@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>

> >>>HOW RUDE! HOW can someone come on here and say something so RUDE to
> >>>
> >>someone
> >>
> >>>who came to us to seek help! This man is clearly feeling pain and
> >>>
> > doesn't
> >
> >>>need someone to tell him his questions are "dumb!" He needs us to help
> >>>
> >>him.
> >>
> >>>His son is in Iraq, for goodness sake! Show a little compassion! His
> >>>question is NOT dumb! He has EVERY right to come here and ask for
help.
> >>>
> >>He
> >>
> >>>only wants a connection to his SON, someone he loves VERY much! You
> >>>
> >>should
> >>
> >>>be ashamed.
> >>>
> >>
> >
> >
>

2182. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 07:43:29 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <BOvja.52339$0X.10397661@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33397
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:2eps8vkttbijvq21i5159fvtsc2rdsh7km@4ax.com...
> Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
belched:
>
> >Positive test for terror toxins in Iraq
>
> Uh, NO ! ! !
>
> >SARGAT, Iraq, April 4 - MSNBC.com tests reveal evidence of the deadly
> >toxins ricin and botulinum at a laboratory in a remote mountain region of
> >northern Iraq allegedly used as a terrorist training camp by Islamic
> >militants with ties to the al-Qaida terrorist network. The U.S. Central
> >Intelligence Agency is conducting its own tests at the same area, but has
> >not yet released the results, according to officials in northern Iraq.
>
> MSNBC is saying (in a newer story) that an Army Colonel has reported
> NO such evidence was found.
>
> The material found and displayed was NOT a WMD in any way.

Uh, NO ! ! !

They said they haven't confirmed it (military) but there's no such update -
look at their website.

2183. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 07:47:28 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v8t2gfd3qmgle0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33398
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You have confused two different reports. The one your referring to is the materials found in the underground tunnels at the airport.

The materials found in the north have not been refutiated.

As I type this I am watching our tanks rolling through Baghdad. :>)

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:2eps8vkttbijvq21i5159fvtsc2rdsh7km@4ax.com...
> Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> belched:
>
> >Positive test for terror toxins in Iraq
>
> Uh, NO ! ! !
>
> >SARGAT, Iraq, April 4 - MSNBC.com tests reveal evidence of the deadly
> >toxins ricin and botulinum at a laboratory in a remote mountain region of
> >northern Iraq allegedly used as a terrorist training camp by Islamic
> >militants with ties to the al-Qaida terrorist network. The U.S. Central
> >Intelligence Agency is conducting its own tests at the same area, but has
> >not yet released the results, according to officials in northern Iraq.
>
> MSNBC is saying (in a newer story) that an Army Colonel has reported
> NO such evidence was found.
>
> The material found and displayed was NOT a WMD in any way.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2184. Re: NO WMD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 07:50:41 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <lVvja.52370$0X.10401853@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Brig. Gen. Vincent Brooks told reporters during a U.S. Central Command briefing in Qatar Friday that special forces in Iraq's western desert also found what they believed to be a training school for handling nuclear, chemical and biological warfare in the western desert of Iraq.

At least one bottle of the deadly chemical weapon, Tabun, was reportedly found. Tabun is a clear, colorless and tasteless liquid with a slightly fruity odor, and is lethal.

If skin absorption is great enough, death may occur in one to two minutes, or it may be delayed for one to two hours. Lethal respiratory dosages kill in one to 10 minutes, and liquid in the eye kills almost as rapidly.

2185. Re: NO WMD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 07:52:19 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <TWvja.52378$0X.10403178@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Earlier this week, Fox News reported that evidence was found in the regions of northern Iraq that the Islamic militant group Ansar al-Islam was working on three types of chlorine gas and ricin and has ties to Al Qaeda.

U.S. sources said that documents and equipment were found in the rubble of an Ansar facility were described as "a cookbook and kitchen" for chemical weapons

2186. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 07:57:20 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <A%vja.52399$0X.10405920@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33401
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Earlier this week, Fox News reported that evidence was found in the regions of northern Iraq that the Islamic militant group Ansar al-Islam was working on three types of chlorine gas and ricin and has ties to Al Qaeda.

U.S. sources said that documents and equipment were found in the rubble of an Ansar facility were described as "a cookbook and kitchen" for chemical weapons

Brig. Gen. Vincent Brooks told reporters during a U.S. Central Command briefing in Qatar Friday that special forces in Iraq's western desert also found what they believed to be a training school for handling nuclear, chemical and biological warfare in the western desert of Iraq.

At least one bottle of the deadly chemical weapon, Tabun, was reportedly found. Tabun is a clear, colorless and tasteless liquid with a slightly fruity odor, and is lethal.

(This HAS been confirmed)

Again, military analysts haven't yet CONFIRMED the other finds that MSNBC has analyzed. It's been reported that in addition to the ricin and botulinum mentioned, cyonide and mustard gas have been found as well at the Euphrates.

2187. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 07:58:28 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <E0wja.52405$0X.10406517@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33402
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote

> As I type this I am watching our tanks rolling through Baghdad. :>)

Rollin' Rollin' Rollin'
Keep those Bradleys Rollin'!

2188. Re: NO WMD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 11:36:29 UTC
From: Walt127@world.net
Message-ID: <3E8EBFBC.CDBEDE59@world.net>
Source record: 33403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

All disrespect intended "Q". If it doesn't come directly out of the mouth of a military officer I just don't believe it.

The media is in the business of hyping up the news to sell the news.

Now back to RADIOS when we should have been in the first place.

Q wrote:

> Positive test for terror toxins in Iraq
>
> Evidence of ricin, botulinum at Islamic militants' camp
>
> SARGAT, Iraq, April 4 - MSNBC.com tests reveal evidence of the deadly
> toxins ricin and botulinum at a laboratory in a remote mountain region of
> northern Iraq allegedly used as a terrorist training camp by Islamic
> militants with ties to the al-Qaida terrorist network. The U.S. Central
> Intelligence Agency is conducting its own tests at the same area, but has
> not yet released the results, according to officials in northern Iraq.
>
> MSNBC.COM'S TESTS were conducted over a two-day period at Sargat, an
> alleged terrorist training camp a mile from the Iraq-Iran border. The camp,
> set back in an isolated valley and surrounded by snowcapped peaks, was home
> to the radical Islamic militant group Ansar al-Islam, which counts among its
> some 700 followers scores of al- Qaida fighters.
> In a Feb. 5 speech to the U.N. Security Council, U.S. Secretary of State
> Colin Powell showed a satellite photo of the Sargat camp and described Ansar
> al-Islam as "teaching its operatives how to produce ricin and other
> poisons." U.S. officials have repeated the allegations in recent weeks.
> In an operation timed to coincide with the war on Iraq, U.S. special
> operations forces have targeted Ansar al- Islam's militants in northern
> Iraq. Hundreds of Islamists, including al-Qaida fighters who took refuge in
> northern Iraq after the fall of the Taliban in Afghanistan, have been
> killed.
> Although U.S. officials for months have leveled charges that the Ansar
> al-Islam and al-Qaida militants were producing poisons in northern Iraq, it
> wasn't until this week that specialist American teams were able to gain
> access to the Sargat camp to test for traces of biological and chemical
> weapons.
> Experts believe the Islamic group was producing the substances in the camp
> as both toxins can be created from everyday products and simple procedures.
>
> TERRORISTS TEMPTED BY TOXINS
> MSNBC.com's samples of ricin and botulinum, two deadly biological agents,

> were taken from the soles of a boot and a shoe recovered from the Sargat
> camp. The facility has been flattened by several Tomahawk cruise missiles,
> fired as part of the U.S. campaign against Ansar al-Islam.
>
> The thick rubber boot twice tested positive for ricin, a toxin derived from
> castor beans. Ingesting a pinch of ricin, which causes shock and respiratory
> failure, can kill a human being within 72 hours. There is no cure.
> A black running shoe, shredded by the U.S. bombing, tested positive for
> botulinum. U.S. officials say terrorists have a particular interest in
> botulinum and ricin toxins, which may be delivered through release in food
> and water.
> Botulism, the illness resulting from botulinum ingestion, is a
> muscle-paralyzing disease that can cause a person to stop breathing and die,
> according to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control.
>
> Since Sept. 11, 2001, law enforcement officials have seen an increase in
> attempts to produce deadly toxins like ricin and botulinum.
> In Britain, anti-terrorism authorities in January charged four men with
> producing deadly agents after they found traces of ricin in a north London
> apartment. More than a dozen arrests have been made in the investigation.
> On Thursday, the FBI issued a warning to Americans that deadly agents like
> ricin and botulinum could be used to contaminate the nation's water or food
> supply.
> And in France, police are on alert after recently finding traces of ricin
> in flasks in a train station locker in Paris.
> The territory of northern Iraq where the traces of ricin were detected is
> not under the control of Iraqi leader Saddam Hussein.
> Baghdad admitted to U.N. weapons inspectors in the 1990s that it had
> successfully weaponized ricin, botulinum and anthrax. There is no immediate
> evidence that suggests Saddam's regime provided the easily produced toxins
> to Ansar al-Islam or al-Qaida.
> A test for anthrax at the Sargat camp gave a negative result.
>
> WIDELY USED TEST
> The tests, developed by Osborn Scientific Group in Lakeside, Ariz., are
> widely admired by experts. Called BioWarfare Agent Detection Devices, they
> were used by U.N. weapons inspectors in Iraq before their departure ahead of
> the U.S.-led war against Saddam.
> Dr. Robert Bohannon, the inventor of the test, said in a phone interview
> that numerous U.S. federal agencies employ the tests in the field. He said
> the tests were developed to give a rapid "yes-no" result.
> In recent days, specialist chemical-biological survey teams, some from
> the CIA, have collected samples from camps used by Islamic militants in
> northern Iraq. At least two teams visited the Sargat camp, taking similar
> rapid fields tests and collecting samples to be sent to the United States
> for further analysis, according to U.S. special operations forces officers
> speaking on condition of anonymity in northern Iraq.
> "To swab a boot is perfectly acceptable," Bohannon said. "[The test]
> will tell you with almost 100 percent certainty if it is ricin or botulinum.
> There is a high probability they were there."

> Bohannon, a former U.S. military scientist at the Dugway Proving Ground in
> Utah, said government experts would likely also subject their samples to a
> Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometer, an apparatus that gives breakdown of
> the elements and composition of the sample. The GCMS is used also used to
> analyze urine samples for the presence of drugs.
> The Osborn Scientific Group's test is widely used by federal agencies as
> first step in the "evidentiary chain," Bohannon said. "It will tell you
> very, very fast if it's got a credible amount of material."
> U.S. special operations forces officials said this week they had found
> recipes for ricin and other toxins at camps in northern Iraq.
> In several visits to the Sargat camp, MSNBC.com uncovered material that
> could be used for terrorist purposes, including a list of chemical elements
> frequently found in explosives.
> The list, written in Arabic, also includes notations on where chemicals
> like nitric acid, which can be used to make components of the explosive
> Semtex, can commonly be found.

2189. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 11:46:02 UTC
From: Walt127@world.net
Message-ID: <3E8EC1FA.4F203366@world.net>
Source record: 33404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"NOW" back to ""RADIOS"", """"PLEASE""""

Cordell Ward wrote:

> Correction:
>
> He says hate our parents because we have to LOVE Him above all else,. even
> our
> families. Don't let the word hate hang you up.
>
> - Jesus never made a typo
>
> "Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
> news:zLsja.370980$sf5.699502@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > He says hate our parents because we have to Him above all else,. even our
> > families. Don't let the word hate hang you up.
> > "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E8DF671.CFF60556@email.com...
> > > > I did answer your question about Jesus NOT telling us to hate our
> > fathers
> > > > and mothers...etc. You look at the Bible as a collection of
> > > > statements....verses if you will that stand alone. This is simply not
> > the
> > > > case.
> > >
> > > So then why do YOU try and answer questions by quoting a collection of
> > verses
> > > > from the Bible and allow them to stand alone. Do as you say, not as
> you
> > do.
> > >
> > > This AGAIN does not answer why Jesus made the statement to hate our
> > parents,
> > > children, etc. It is just an attempt to twist the subject to something
> > else.
> > > > The bible tells us what Jesus said. It is a horrible statement no
> matter
> > how
> > > > you try and "interpret" it. Can you admit that?
> > >
> > > > Just like any other book, information must be taken in context and
> > > > with whatever else is written about the subject. Read the rest of the
> > > > commentary by Jesus in the above mentioned verses....
> > >

> > > As if I could say that "your mother is a whore" or "everyone should kill
> > their
> > > parents" and then say something elsewhere that could make this "out of
> > context"
> > > statement that I made to mean anything different than what I said.
> > >
> > > From now on, anytime anyone finds fault with any of my statements, I
> will
> > just
> > > say that they were taken "out of context"
> > >
> > > Jesus said a really horrible thing there, and nothing else can possibly
> > change
> > > the meaning of that statement.
> > >
> > > It is bad, it is wrong, it is evil, it is the words of your beloved
> Jesus.
> > >
> > > > previous message, and see the answer to your question. If you cannot
> > > > understand the scripture.......only God can help you with that.
> > >
> > > Great cop out. Especially since we BOTH know God can not help anyone
> with
> > > anything, so that puts a quick end to that discussion for you, doesn't
> it?
> > >
> > > I know where you can find EXACT PROOF that God does not exist, but only
> > those
> > > who ask Santa Clause for the proof will ever get to hear the proof.
> And
> > only
> > > those that TRULY believe in Santa without doubt of his existence will
> ever
> > get
> > > to see or hear him.
> > >
> > > Does that sound ridiculous to you? Replace the words "Santa" with
> > either
> > > "God" or "Jesus" and try and understand how ridiculous it looks to the
> > rest of
> > > us.
> > >
> > > > Where exactly does the Bible say that the world is flat with four
> > corners? I
> > > > have not seen, or otherwise do not remember reading that.
> > >
> > > I know. You never read the Bible all the way through like us Atheists
> > have, or
> > > you would be an Atheist too. You just like the few handful of quotes
> > that are

> > > read allowed in your cult as they skip over all of the ones that
> > contradict
> > > observed reality of the world we live in.
> > >
> > > I can't find the exact one I was thinking about and looking for, but a
> > quick
> > > search turned up this:
> > >
> > > "After this I saw four angels standing at the four corners of the earth.
> .
> > ."
> > > --Revelation 7:1
> > > It says four corners in two of the Revelation passages. there are also
> 16
> > > references to the Sun revolving
> > > around the Earth in the Bible
> > >
> > > Many more bizarre mistakes in the Bible can be seen here:
> > > http://www.skepticsannotatedbible.com/gen/sci_list.html
> > >
> > > Check out some other Bible Verses you would also not be aware of since
> you
> > never
> > > read the whole book:
> > >
> > > "There she lusted after her lovers, whose genitals were
> > > like those of donkeys and whose emission was like that
> > > of horses. So you longed for the lewdness of your youth,
> > > when in Egypt your bosom was caressed and your
> > > young breasts fondled." - Ezekiel 23:20-21
> > >
> > > "Therefore fathers shall eat their sons in the midst of you
> > > and sons shall eat their fathers...I will send famine and
> > > wild beasts against you and they shall rob you of your
> > > children; pestilence and blood shall pass through you;
> > > and I will bring a sword upon you. I, the Lord, have
> > > spoken." - Ezekiel 5:10, 5:17
> > >
> > > "But if the thing is true, that the tokens of virginity were
> > > not found in the young woman, then you shall bring out
> > > the young woman to the door of her father's house, and
> > > the men of her city shall stone her to death with
> > > stones..." - Deuteronomy 22:20
> > >
> > > "Now therefore, kill every male among the little ones,
> > > and kill every woman who has known man by lying
> > > with him. But all the young girls who have not known
> > > man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves." -
> > > Numbers 31:17 (Moses)
> > >

> > > "Happy shall he be who takes your little ones and dashes
> > > them against the rock!" - Psalms 137:9
> > >
> > > "While the people of Israel were in the wilderness, they
> > > found a man gathering sticks on the sabbath day...And
> > > the Lord said to Moses, 'The man shall be put to death;
> > > all the congregation shall stone him with stones outside
> > > the camp.' And all the congregation brought him outside
> > > the camp, and stoned him to death with stones, as the
> > > Lord commanded Moses." - Numbers 15:32"
> > >
> > > "...Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the
> > > wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their
> > > own piss with you?" - Isaiah 36:12
> > >
> > > "I form the light, and create darkness: I make peace,
> > > and create evil: I the LORD do all these things." - Isaiah 45:7
> > >
> > > Yes, he creates evil all right. And straight from the Lord's mouth
> too.
> > >
> > > > I am familiar with the dragons and unicorns.....both of which were
> seen
> > in
> > > > dreams and visions. Are all of your dreams true to life?
> > >
> > > Yes these are dreams, visions and fantasy. So why were you making it
> > appear
> > > that you thought Jesus and God were reality before? Are you picking
> and
> > > choosing which parts of the Bible you would like to be real and what you
> > would
> > > like to be fantasy? How do you make that determination? See your
> > > problem? You admitted it is all dreams and fantasy, now you can't
> take
> > any of
> > > the rest of the book as fact or history. You lost the debate.
> > >
> > > > I like to dunk
> > > > basketballs in my dreams, and I could not be further from doing that
> in
> > real
> > > > life. The Bible is literal in places, figurative or allegorical in
> > others.
> > >
> > > And somehow, you are able to know which is which. God perhaps spoke to
> > you and
> > > no one else in the world for some reason? We both know you have never
> > been
> > > spoken to by God, have never seen God, and that you are trying to find a

> > way to
> > > justify why most of the Bible contains things so ridiculous that even
> you
> > have
> > > to admit they are just fantasy and dreams.
> > >
> > > > In my own experience, and that of many many others, the only way to
> > > > understand it is to have been enlightened by God.
> > >
> > > Yet you have never been enlightened by God, so that makes no sense.
> > >
> > > > I am sorry that you do not hear God.
> > >
> > > So then you believe that it is a true fact. Good. Now why not pray
> > real hard
> > > to your God to speak to me, and then I can come back here and verify
> that
> > he
> > > really does exist and have the power to speak to humans.
> > > I'll be waiting to hear from him.
> > >
> > > > I know of no one who hears an audible
> > > > voice. I do not hear one either.
> > >
> > > There you go. Yet all the fictional characters in the Bible could.
> > Doesn't
> > > that seem strange?
> > >
> > > > The best way for me to describe the
> > > > communication is more like an intuitive thought or feeling where you
> > just
> > > > know something is true or happening.
> > >
> > > How could Noah get the exact dimensions to build the Ark with an
> intuitive
> > > thought or feeling? These were exact dimensions and specifications
> that
> > God
> > > spoke to him directly. Can you explain how that occurred, yet he has
> > no
> > > desire to speak to a good dedicated follower of him as you are? I
> mean,
> > we
> > > know why he won't talk to me, because I don't believe enough. But YOU
> > do. So
> > > why is it he never spoke to YOU? Hmmmmmm?
> > >
> > > > of hard knocks. I once read a thought by some random philosopher that
> > read
> > > > something like: "Why is it that we feel guilty about certain behavior

> > even
> > > > if we have not been taught that it is wrong?" It is a very good
> > question.
> > >
> > > An EASY question. For the same reason animals have the exact same
> > feelings.
> > > From every action, you learn there is a reaction. An animal takes food
> > from
> > > another animal, and that animal gets mad and attacks the animals that
> > tried to
> > > take the food. After a while you LEARN that certain actions produce
> > favorable
> > > results, and other actions produce unfavorable results. Animals don't
> > need
> > > gods to know the difference between right and wrong.
> > >
> > > When you first try to feed a bird or squirrel some food, it feels very
> > guilty to
> > > take the food from you because of learned conditions. Once you finally
> > > convince it to take the food and show that you are giving it the food
> > (something
> > > that no animal has ever done before) and runs away with it and sees you
> > are not
> > > angry or going to chase it for taking your food, it learns the idea, and
> > soon
> > > takes food our of your hand without any worry, fear, or guilt.
> > >
> > > As a matter of fact, I would feed one squirrel every day at the same
> time
> > of
> > > day. Usually I could see it out the window as it sat and waited for
> me.
> > One
> > > day I thought I was going to be too busy and might not see it, so I just
> > put the
> > > food outside for it. I did see the squirrel outside the window and
> > figured it
> > > ate what I put out, and was waiting for me to bring more. To my
> > surprise, when
> > > I opened the door and went outside, the food was still there. It
> looked
> > at
> > > me, then went over and started to eat the food. It felt guilty to
> eat
> > the
> > > food without my approval because it though it would have been stealing
> the
> > food
> > > behind my back.
> > >

> > > I have seen and experienced many situations of guilt in many types of
> > animals as
> > > well as just about every other type of feeling we have. Gorillas
> that
> > can
> > > talk to humans via sign language have told us how they feel sad when a
> > loved one
> > > dies. This is documented fact and you can see it on television every
> so
> > > often, either on PBS, National Geographic, or such.
> > >
> > > > I have been down this road with other Atheists before. You are not
> > (nor am
> > > > I) someone who's mind will be changed here.
> > >
> > > So you are saying you don't have an open mind and will only believe one
> > thing no
> > > matter what proof or contradictions can be shoved under your nose?
> We
> > all
> > > start out as stupid children who are taught the religion of our parents
> > and
> > > local society. It is only those that use their brains and figure
> things
> > out,
> > > that find out that certain things they have been told do not make any
> > sense with
> > > observed reality and don't fit the big puzzle.
> > >
> > >
> > > > I write for the benefit of
> > > > others. All I can tell you is 10 years ago I was searching for
> answers.
> > It
> > > > was very obvious to me that the world was not here by mistake.
> > >
> > > But somehow God was just here by mistake? Why is it you are able to
> > accept
> > > that?
> > >
> > > > That who or
> > > > whatever was responsible is so much wiser and more powerful than I am.
> > >
> > > So then too, wouldn't there have to be someone so much wiser and
> powerful
> > than
> > > God to have created God?
> > >
> > > Don't you see the problem with your reasoning?
> > >

> > > > Even
> > > > before I believed what the bible teaches, it seemed extremely arrogant
> > for
> > > > > me to question God. Call me crazy if you like, but waving my fist in
> > God's
> > > > > face did not seem prudent.
> > > >
> > > What about when you did the same thing to Santa Clause? You didn't
> > actually
> > > > wave a fist in his face, but you gained the knowledge that Santa is not
> > real and
> > > > you no longer have to be good for his concern, you need to be good
> because
> > it
> > > > brings good results. Treat someone bad, and a not so favorable result
> > may
> > > > occur.
> > > >
> > > > > I understand that you find the whole concept of God nonsense. It is
> > not
> > > > > possible in my mind (however feeble you may believe it to be) that we
> > are
> > > > > just here.....and will just die, the end.
> > > >
> > > And therefore, because you don't want to accept observed reality, you
> find
> > the
> > > > desire and need to create a theory to try and make death a less horrible
> > to
> > > > think about, because you now have a fantasy to help you through a very
> > > > unpleasant reality that you don't want to accept.
> > > >
> > > Think about the Alzheimer's patient that doesn't know or remember one
> > thing you
> > > > tell them from the next. Their mind is rotting away and becoming
> > defective.
> > > Yet somehow when they die and the brain completely gets destroyed, they
> > will go
> > > > to heaven and not have Alzheimer's anymore but full functioning minds
> > again?
> > > >
> > > Try reading this carefully and see if you can understand how the human
> > mind or
> > > > "soul" works:
> > > >
> > > "Nothing enters our minds or determines our actions which is not
> directly
> > or
> > > > indirectly a response to stimuli
> > > beating opon our sense organs from without. Owing to the similarity of

> > our
> > > construction and the sameness of
> > > our environment, we respond in like manner to similar stimuli, and from
> > the
> > > concordance of our reactions,
> > > understanding is born. In the course of ages, mechanisms of infinite
> > complexity
> > > are developed, but what we
> > > call "soul" is nothing more than the sum of the functioning's of the
> body.
> > When
> > > this functioning ceases, the
> > > "soul" ceases likewise."
> > >
> > > "There are three ways by which the energy which determines human
> progress
> > can be
> > > increased: First, we may
> > > increase the mass. This in the case of humanity, would mean the
> > improvement of
> > > living conditions, health,
> > > eugenics, etc. Second, we may reduce the frictional forces which impede
> > > progress, such as ignorance,
> > > insanity, and religious fanaticism. Third, we may multiply the energy
> of
> > the
> > > human mass by enchaining the
> > > forces of the universe, like those of the sun. the ocean, the winds and
> > tides.
> > >
> > > There is no conflict between the ideal of religion and the ideal of
> > science, but
> > > science is opposed to theological
> > > dogmas because science is founded on fact. The universe is simply a
> great
> > > machine which never came into
> > > being and will never end.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > I think most people believe
> > > > something is going on after this for a reason. I think it is because
> God
> > > > gave them the desire to find out....to seek Him.
> > >
> > > It is because our thinking and reasoning process has come to the point
> to
> > where
> > > we can think about death and have the knowledge that we are going to
> die,

> > and
> > > there is nothing anyone can do to stop it. You are going to die. This
> > thought
> > > is so unbearable, that every civilization of humans comes up with some
> > sort of
> > > god and theory of an afterlife to try and mute this very disturbing
> > thought.
> > > But that also explains why every religion and god is so different
> > throughout
> > > different civilizations. Yet they all seem to have a pleasant
> afterlife
> > theory
> > > to comfort themselves with.
> > >
> > > What is so wrong with just accepting death and realizing that all the
> > pains and
> > > evil of life will be gone as soon as you die? That is not so horrible.
> > It
> > > is scary if you have dependents and you worry for them, but for yourself
> > there
> > > is nothing to fear. Eternal sleep with no work, no pain, no grief, no
> > > nothing.
> > >
> > > > As I studied it all while taking philosophy courses, world religion
> > > > courses etc....I saw that (as Ross Archer alluded to) the scientific
> > > > explanations were every bit as and even more untenable than faith in a
> > God.
> > >
> > > No argument there. Do you know WHY that is? Because the church
> always
> > had a
> > > strong control over science throughout history. Any scientist who had
> a
> > > theory that contradicted religion would be hung! Even today, it is
> > forbidden
> > > to clone humans and abortions and stem cell research is still taboo.
> > >
> > > But when science came up with the Big Bang Theory, the church embraced
> it,
> > as it
> > > did not contradict the creationism theory, it only reinforced it. God
> > created
> > > the Universe, the Universe was created with a Big Bang.
> > >
> > > So your statement is correct, that today's science theories like the Big
> > Bang
> > > Theory are nothing but bullshit.
> > >
> > > The only reason we are allowed to think the world is round and not flat,
> > is that

> > > you can't hide that reality anymore as we have photographic evidence of
> > that
> > > now. We also don't hang scientists for theories anymore, so you will
> see
> > the
> > > Big Bang Theory finally dropped, most likely, in your lifetime.
> > >
> > > Without getting into a giant explanation into another topic, the
> Universe
> > was
> > > not created, did not have a beginning or birth, and will not have an
> end.
> > > You can't NOT have existence. Else, no place to create or give birth
> to
> > an
> > > existence, or any place for a god or creator to exist in. Simple
> > really, if
> > > you just think about it.
> > >
> > > > The more of the Bible I read, and the more genuinely humble I was
> > towards
> > > > God, the more I started to understand what I was reading.
> > >
> > > Now that is very hard to believe. If you read the Bible, you see how
> > evil and
> > > horrible the character of God behaves in the story and can not finish
> the
> > book
> > > thinking any good thoughts of that character. Unless you are
> completely
> > > sadistic.
> > >
> > > > There are others however who are
> > > > reading and getting things from our debate.
> > >
> > > And why I bother to take time to explain these things. If it wakes
> just
> > one
> > > person up, it will have been worth it.
> > >
> > > > I know that God's word is much
> > > > better at explaining the truth than I am, so I try to leave it to Him
> > and
> > > > His word to do His work.
> > >
> > > If every God believer would just hold to that thought, the religion
> would
> > > finally cease to exist.
> > > Without Man, there is no one that can brainwash future generations with
> > the

> > > Christian Mythology, or any other cult religion.
> > >
> > > WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

2190. WTB: PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 13:48:39 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <X8Bja.46815$ja4.2908138@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33405

Must be in good condition with bright backlight. Let me know what you have!

Frank

2191. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 14:14:35 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnb8tpuh.7bn.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 33406
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

- i don't buy *anything* with 'Win' in the product name...

- where are the open source drivers for the G303i?
(www.linradio.org does not have any, and its projects
appear dormant)

- Ten-Tec supplies docs on the protocols used for the RX-320,
and it works with *any* operating system, even PalmOS; this
means that the RX-320 will be useful and will work with any
OS for as long as the hardware works...

- i'm sure the G303i is a nice piece of hardware, but if it
comes to locking myself into a particular OS, forget it;
i'd rather do with less features and be able to use a
product with any or all platforms...

- this is one reason i like the pro-92 scanner, icom ic-r2,
yaesu vr-120d, and yaesu vr-500 wideband HHRs...

On 4 Apr 2003 18:09:05 -0800, John Partridge <swl@techie.com> wrote:
> I concur. I have one too. It runs circles around the Icom.
> Have you seen the new Winradio G303i shortwave receiver?
> Heaps better than TenTec.
>
> John
>
> nospam@nospam.com (Richard Clemens)
> wrote in message news:<3e8d7bac.13708065@news-server>...
>> I tried both, but swear by my new WinRadio WR-1550e.
>> IMHO this is a much better alternative to PCR. (Which I sold after
>> acquiring the WinRadio.)
>>
>> See http://www.winradio.com/home/1550e.htm .
>>
>> Hope this helps
>> Rich
>>
>>
>> On Thu, 3 Apr 2003 21:35:13 -0700, "MR"
>> <nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > I'm thinking about selling two scanners I have (Uniden BC890XLT and
>> >Radio Shack Pro-2026) to buy one of the receivers listed in the subject
>> >line. I realize the RX320 is HF only and the PCR-1000 goes through 1.3GHz.

>> >What I'm more interested in is the receive quality of the two. The
>> >assumption would be that the Ten-Tec receiver would be much better (noise
>> >suppression w/ DSP). I will be using the receiver w/ a laptop in a truck, so
>> >noise can be a problem, especially on HF. I would appreciate anyone's input
>> >on this...
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >Thanks for your time!
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >Mike
>> >
>> >nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com
>> >
>> >.
>> >
>> >

2192. Re: No Brains can't spell "OOPS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 15:06:54 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E8EF1CE.4070509@earthlink.net>
Source record: 33407

Sorry,
I don't have their new address but it is in the north east. I
think he runs it out of his ""house"".
I have a copy of the post about fixing things if any body
needs it.

2193. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 15:23:14 UTC
From: Jeff Santee <Jeff352@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <CxCja.374115$S_4.442263@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 33408

> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:3Jrja.22975$7L.1265976@news0.telusplanet.net...
> > Who will bring the charges is the question but with the world wide
> community
> > against the US it could come from a large group of countries and mean jail
> > time for George, Tony and generals like Tommy.
==========
No. 1 the whole world isnt against the US. Germany is even now coming around
to the US's position and even France is starting to put their tail between their legs.
There will be no war crimes trials against ANY of the coalition forces. Wont happen.
Any bets???

> > I use to have a NBC suit and a gas mask and almost every military person
> is
> > issued one in any army in the world. This is standard issue especially
> when
==========

Yea hell Ive got one hanging in my closet. And your term of "almost" every
military person being issued one is simply not true. Been there done that.

> > you are going to war against the largest producer of biological, chemical
> > and nuclear weapons in the world, (the US).
==========
The US has not produced any chemical, biological weapons in years if not decades.
We are now faced with the problem of how to safely get rid of the stores we have
produced years ago.

> > The US military has the same treatment for their people for desertion,
> they
> > shoot them on the spot if they try to walk over to the other side but it
> is
> > very seldom used.
==========
Watch the news dweeb,, we're not talking about shooting deserters we're talking
about the wholesale slaughter of civilians, that has been going on for 2 decades.
I think the count is up to about 2 million people hussein has had killed. Lets compare
apples to apples, which you are not doing, or dont have a clue about.

> > Scuds vs. ICBMs, hum. You must agree that every country has the right to
> > defend themselves from invasion by whatever means possible. This is the
> > American way isn't it and kill anyone that doesn't agree with them.
==========

The US is not prohibited to have ICBMs. Nor is the US a belligerant or aggressive
country, nor are we sponsors or backers of terrorists. Iraq by the UN resolution THEY

signed is/ was prohibited from having them. No they didnt have the right to have them. As well as the chemical/ biological agents that have been found within Iraq, not supposed to have them either by the same UN resolution they signed. Get a clue

2194. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 15:35:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E8EF7BB.2030708@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33410
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Never anonymous Bud wrote:
> Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> belched:
>
>
>>They said they haven't confirmed it (military) but there's no such update -
>>look at their website.
>
>
> I do realize the WEBSITE hasn't been updated,
> the update was on MSNBC, the cable TV channel.
>
> In fact, NOW they're saying (just minutes ago, 6:30am PST)
> that the white powder found is an antidote for cyanide poisoning.

That is the white powder at the airport. They never reported that
as a biological chemical. They first thought it might be something
used to make explosives.

2195. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 17:32:12 UTC
From: James Arnold <turniponion@canoemail.comNoSpam>
Message-ID: <v8u4rd2nl6l821@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Reverend Berilac of the Lake :) wrote:
>
> I have heard that muslims in many countries were very tolerant of other
> religions, including Christianity, and that people of many religions
> coexisted very peacefully and benficially with each other in those
> places. I don't know what happened, but I suspect that tolerant people
> die and take their tolerance with them because their offspring were very
> different.
>

This was before the colonization and take over of moslem countries by
european powers. Under threat, they became more extreme and intolerant.
Seems to happen when ever a country or nationality feels threatened.

2196. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 17:49:08 UTC
From: James Arnold <turniponion@canoemail.comNoSpam>
Message-ID: <v8u5r3rp0c8h58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

So you're trying to argue fundamentalism from a nontheistic standpoint. No educated person accepts the genesis stories as factual unless they are of the unique brand of radical protestantism termed fundamentalist, uniquely found only in the US, though unfortunately being spread throughout Latin America presently. These bible stories were attempts to make sense of the world, to understand, they are not facts in the same way as radio theory. Its a story of faith and reflects their understanding of god much of the time versus anything ultimate. We have other myths and stories now, b/c we have more information. None of this makes any difference to the basic spiritual beliefs of christianity. The bible is not a rule book, a reference of all truth, but one pillar, the others being tradition, faith and conduct. The fundamentalists spend far too much time arguing about ideas, leaving out things like ethical behavior both personal and beyond the individual. I think they have far too much influence on US culture and policy. And it appears they have caught you and had you argue with them within their confining little box.

We have endless freewill to make choices and often, lately, make poor ones both as individuals and societies. Interference from a deity would be to make plain the will of that god regarding your behavior, and you would not be free to choose a direction any more.

As for the song of solomon - sex IS dirty if you do it right!

John Simmons wrote:
> signalchaser wrote:
>
>
>>I see your point and what you quoted as Scripture is in fact in that Book. I
>>find it odd that such moral filth would be in a book stated as Holy.
>
>
> All the while those that ban books that don't even come close to this filth,
> wave this pornographic book in the air while they protest the much less
> offensive books. Like Tom Sawyer and the like.
>
>
>>Not to
>>mention the Song of Solomon etc. My comment in regards to the morals in the
>>Bible regards a few different stories and teachings. I find a lot of the
>>book to be that of a cruel God who creates his people so that they can
>>eventually fail and suffer in some lake of fire even if they do not know his
>>son. I find that all strange.

>
>
> And look at where it all starts. He creates a man and woman without any
> knowledge of right or wrong. He then places an apple tree in front of them and
> tells them not to eat any sweet tasty apples from it. Then he goes away. He
> creates the humans with curiosity, but not with knowledge, and of course he
> knows what is going to happen. They eat from the tree and THEN gain the
> knowledge that it was wrong to do so, only after doing it.
>
> This is worse than when a cop posses as a prostitute to entrap someone, at least
> the victim had knowledge that prostitution is illegal. (dressing up as a
> prostitute and soliciting sex is also illegal, but oh well)
>
> If God REALLY didn't want them to have knowledge and remain stupid, why did he
> put the tree right in front of them? If you don't want your 3 year old
> playing with guns and matches, do you put them right in front of the child and
> then tell them NOT to touch them and then leave the house? That is what God
> does! But only because god is evil and knows exactly what happens when you do
> something like that.
>
> So, now that man did exactly what he programmed them to do, he punishes them and
> makes all life hard. No more garden of Eden, you have to deal with hard dirt to
> plant and grow crops, child birth becomes extremely painful, etc., etc., all as
> the good book mentions god deliberately did to make life so unenjoyable because
> now man has the knowledge to appreciate all this pain and grief.
>
>
>>Its just like the fact that there is a verse
>>where soldiers are commanded to smash babies heads into the wall and Moses
>>is ordered to kill all kinds of people. In addition, if God needed Moses to
>>kill those people, then why didnt he do them himself
>
>
> He did. He flooded the world and drowned most of mankind. Then he realized it
> was WRONG to do that, and promised to never do it again. Yet when does a year
> go by that somewhere in the world a major flood kills more people? How often
> does his tornados plow through churches full of people worshiping him and kill
> them all? He is a child with a jar full of insects that he likes to torture
> one by one.
>
>
>>>What moral message? You mean filth like this:
>>>
>>>Isaiah 36:12
>>>Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the wall, that they may eat
>>
>>their
>>
>>>own dung, and drink their
>>>own piss with you?

>>>
>>>Malachi 2:3
>>>Behold, I will corrupt your seed, and spread dung upon your faces!
>>>
>>>And thou shalt eat it as barely cakes, and thou shalt bake it
>>>with dung that cometh out of man..." - Ezekiel 4:12
>>>
>>> "Lo, I have given thee cow's dung for man's dung and thou
>>>shalt prepare thy bread therewith" - Ezekiel 4:15
>>>
>>>Or do you mean the moral message from Jesus that we should all hate our
>>
>>mothers,
>>
>>>fathers, children, etc?
>>>
>>>"If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and
>>
>>wife and
>>
>>>children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot
>>
>>be my
>>
>>>disciple." - Luke 14:26
>>>
>>>
>>>>Its like in the Old Testament when God commanded Moses to kill, yet when
>>
>>one
>>
>>>>thinks about it, since God has all the power then why doesnt he kill
>>
>>them
>>
>>>>instead of commanding Moses.
>>>
>>>Why doesn't God just get rid of Satan? That would end all of our
>>
>>problems.
>>
>>>If god can prevent evil, but doesn't, then He isn't all-loving.
>>>If god intends to prevent evil, but cannot, then He isn't omnipotent.
>>>If god both intends to prevent evil and is capable of doing so, then how
>>
>>can
>>
>>>evil exist?
>>>
>>>One of the following two statements MUST be true:

>>>
>>>1) There is no god.
>>>2) God is evil.
>>>
>>>
>
>
>
>
>

2197. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 18:09:58 UTC
From: Ben <benf@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b6n65r$d93$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 33412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I Wonder is this jesus dude has a scanner?
"Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:3E8A7D9D.319D0BE6@topnow.com...
> N7MFR wrote:
> >
> > God's truth is absolute.
>
> You DO realize this is only a statement of opinion? No
> matter how strongly stated or believed?
>
> You'd be very hard-pressed to collect up any large number of
> Christians from different denominations and find agreement
> on what "God's Truth" actually was about much of anything,
> due to interpretations, translations, context, and different
> starting frameworks. So given that God's Truth is in fact
> absolute, given that there are so many different opinions
> out there, all claiming to be the truth, there's a
> vanishingly small chance that you are the one who possess
> that actual "God's truth." That doesn't even begin to
> address the chance that God's Truth might be Muslim, or
> Jewish, or Hindu, or Bhuddist.
>
> Face it, your views are philosophy and opinion. You may be
> right, but odds are your views are mostly wrong about what
> God wants unless you're VERY special.
>
> -- Ross
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> > "Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> > news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > In article <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> > "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish garoting a =
> > > >native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul ... for
=
> > > >them I guess it was just fun.

> > >
> > > I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying on
> > rewritten
> > > text books to glean this information. These same books usually fail to
> > mention
> > > the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged in
before
> > > contact with European men.
> > >
> > >
> > > The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that die in
> > grace
> > > will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a
difference.
> > Carl
> > > Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious.
> > Unconscious
> > > implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the subconscious
> > implies
> > > that the content is there but not currently being utilized by the
mind. If
> > God
> > > were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect health. We
> > don't.
> > >
> > > Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys at
work.
> > > Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a surprise.
> > >
> > >
> > > >Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming destruction
of
> > =
> > > >the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God will =
> > > >impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything and =
> > > >everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for the
right =
> > > >moment, and punishes everyone.
> > > >The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite beyond
=
> > > >imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause people to
=
> > > >seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's life, and
=
> > > >give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we are the
=
> > > >providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving sinners
all =
> > > >we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little mercy
=

> > > >and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> > > >
> > > >Earthlink
> > > >May you live as long as you want,
> > > >and never want as long as you live.
> > >
> > > Never say never.
> > > Nothing is absolute.
> > >

2198. Re: BC 245XLT in Toronto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 19:21:00 UTC
From: Derek <none@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <w0Gja.25496$az1.4548@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 33413
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radioworld.

"Colin James" <colin@blackgamma.com> wrote in message
news:QXjja.38589$7Im.22000@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Does anyone know of anywhere to get this unit in Toronto that actually has
> them in stock? I've been looking and have had no luck! new or used!
>
> Thanks.
>
>

2199. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 20:04:19 UTC
From: Dr Pepper <DrPepper@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <sjdu8vc29ki5kqlbvjl6fjs1jie9rhmu35@4ax.com>
Source record: 33414

And this crossposted message relates to rec.radio,scanner,
how?????????

On Sat, 05 Apr 2003 04:02:24 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>Positive test for terror toxins in Iraq
>
>Evidence of ricin, botulinum at Islamic militants' camp
>
>SARGAT, Iraq, April 4 - MSNBC.com tests reveal evidence of the deadly
>toxins ricin and botulinum at a laboratory in a remote mountain region of
>northern Iraq allegedly used as a terrorist training camp by Islamic
>militants with ties to the al-Qaida terrorist network. The U.S. Central
>Intelligence Agency is conducting its own tests at the same area, but has
>not yet released the results, according to officials in northern Iraq.
>
> MSNBC.COM'S TESTS were conducted over a two-day period at Sargat, an
>alleged terrorist training camp a mile from the Iraq-Iran border. The camp,
>set back in an isolated valley and surrounded by snowcapped peaks, was home
>to the radical Islamic militant group Ansar al-Islam, which counts among its
>some 700 followers scores of al- Qaida fighters.
> In a Feb. 5 speech to the U.N. Security Council, U.S. Secretary of State
>Colin Powell showed a satellite photo of the Sargat camp and described Ansar
>al-Islam as "teaching its operatives how to produce ricin and other
> poisons." U.S. officials have repeated the allegations in recent weeks.
> In an operation timed to coincide with the war on Iraq, U.S. special
>operations forces have targeted Ansar al- Islam's militants in northern
>Iraq. Hundreds of Islamists, including al-Qaida fighters who took refuge in
>northern Iraq after the fall of the Taliban in Afghanistan, have been
>killed.
> Although U.S. officials for months have leveled charges that the Ansar
>al-Islam and al-Qaida militants were producing poisons in northern Iraq, it
>wasn't until this week that specialist American teams were able to gain
>access to the Sargat camp to test for traces of biological and chemical
>weapons.
> Experts believe the Islamic group was producing the substances in the camp
>as both toxins can be created from everyday products and simple procedures.
>
>TERRORISTS TEMPTED BY TOXINS
> MSNBC.com's samples of ricin and botulinum, two deadly biological agents,
>were taken from the soles of a boot and a shoe recovered from the Sargat
>camp. The facility has been flattened by several Tomahawk cruise missiles,
>fired as part of the U.S. campaign against Ansar al-Islam.
>
> The thick rubber boot twice tested positive for ricin, a toxin derived from

>castor beans. Ingesting a pinch of ricin, which causes shock and respiratory
>failure, can kill a human being within 72 hours. There is no cure.
> A black running shoe, shredded by the U.S. bombing, tested positive for
>botulinum. U.S. officials say terrorists have a particular interest in
>botulinum and ricin toxins, which may be delivered through release in food
>and water.
> Botulism, the illness resulting from botulinum ingestion, is a
>muscle-paralyzing disease that can cause a person to stop breathing and die,
>according to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control.
>
>Since Sept. 11, 2001, law enforcement officials have seen an increase in
>attempts to produce deadly toxins like ricin and botulinum.
> In Britain, anti-terrorism authorities in January charged four men with
>producing deadly agents after they found traces of ricin in a north London
>apartment. More than a dozen arrests have been made in the investigation.
> On Thursday, the FBI issued a warning to Americans that deadly agents like
>ricin and botulinum could be used to contaminate the nation's water or food
>supply.
> And in France, police are on alert after recently finding traces of ricin
>in flasks in a train station locker in Paris.
> The territory of northern Iraq where the traces of ricin were detected is
>not under the control of Iraqi leader Saddam Hussein.
> Baghdad admitted to U.N. weapons inspectors in the 1990s that it had
>successfully weaponized ricin, botulinum and anthrax. There is no immediate
>evidence that suggests Saddam's regime provided the easily produced toxins
>to Ansar al-Islam or al-Qaida.
> A test for anthrax at the Sargat camp gave a negative result.
>
>WIDELY USED TEST
> The tests, developed by Osborn Scientific Group in Lakeside, Ariz., are
>widely admired by experts. Called BioWarfare Agent Detection Devices, they
>were used by U.N. weapons inspectors in Iraq before their departure ahead of
>the U.S.-led war against Saddam.
> Dr. Robert Bohannon, the inventor of the test, said in a phone interview
>that numerous U.S. federal agencies employ the tests in the field. He said
>the tests were developed to give a rapid "yes-no" result.
> In recent days, specialist chemical-biological survey teams, some from
>the CIA, have collected samples from camps used by Islamic militants in
>northern Iraq. At least two teams visited the Sargat camp, taking similar
>rapid fields tests and collecting samples to be sent to the United States
>for further analysis, according to U.S. special operations forces officers
>speaking on condition of anonymity in northern Iraq.
> "To swab a boot is perfectly acceptable," Bohannon said. "[The test]
>will tell you with almost 100 percent certainty if it is ricin or botulinum.
>There is a high probability they were there."
> Bohannon, a former U.S. military scientist at the Dugway Proving Ground in
>Utah, said government experts would likely also subject their samples to a
>Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometer, an apparatus that gives breakdown of
>the elements and composition of the sample. The GCMS is used also used to
>analyze urine samples for the presence of drugs.

> The Osborn Scientific Group's test is widely used by federal agencies as
>first step in the "evidentiary chain," Bohannon said. "It will tell you
>very, very fast if it's got a credible amount of material."
> U.S. special operations forces officials said this week they had found
>recipes for ricin and other toxins at camps in northern Iraq.
> In several visits to the Sargat camp, MSNBC.com uncovered material that
>could be used for terrorist purposes, including a list of chemical elements
>frequently found in explosives.
> The list, written in Arabic, also includes notations on where chemicals
>like nitric acid, which can be used to make components of the explosive
>Semtex, can commonly be found.
>
>

2200. PL tone help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 21:59:33 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <Vvqdna1uUZ4zzBKjXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 33415

I have a complete list of frequencies for my town. Problem is the
surrounding town share some of them. where can I find the PL tones?
any help would be appreciated

--
Anthony

2201. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 23:01:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB4CA84.15A3C%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33416
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" wrote:
>
> BEFORE the war, you would see all the troops
> on TV and they would all be interviewed and
> the majority of them would be black. Every
> so often, you would see a white soldier
> walking around in the background somewhere.
>
> Now that the war is on. All the soldiers
> have turned white. I have only seen 2 black
> soldiers in our troops on TV during the war.
>
> Does someone have an explanation to this
> very bizarre and mysterious observation?
>
> Surely someone else has noticed this?

Most in the military today are minorities (the majority). However, in units where skill standards are very high (special forces, armored, airborne - the units often featured on television), the majority are white. However, the military now seems aware of the impression this creates and is now using officers "of color" at many press conferences.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2202. Wanted - EF Johnson Mobile Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 23:28:57 UTC
From: n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net
Message-ID: <v8uprgoprp283e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33417

I'm looking for a couple EF Johnson Challenger Mobile radios ... in the VHF and UHF range. 15W, 40W or high power ... don't matter... just not the very low power 2W versions.

7151 VHF 15W
7152 VHF 40W
7155 VHF High Power
or various other versions

7171 UHF 15W
7172 UHF 35W
7175 UHF High Power
or various other versions

I'm also looking for a 1 or 2 of the remote Alpla heads for the challenger series radios.

Contact me at n9nlu_NO_SPAM_@charter.net .. .remove the _NO_SPAM_ when e-mailing

Thanks

Dave
N9NLU

2203. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-05 23:57:58 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3e8f6942.443814@news-server>
Source record: 33418
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I agree that the "win-" in the name may be somewhat misleading in this case. I am using WR-1550e both on my Windows PC as well as an experimental Red Hat - based Linux system. You can get open source protocols for the 1550e on http://www.linradio.com . Besides, Winradio even offer native software for the Mac (where is TenTec's equivalent for that?).

As to G303i, I have it from good sources that Linux support is coming soon. As with any Winradio product I have tried so far, I am sure it will be worth the wait. I the meantime I am enjoying their Windows interface which is better than anything anybody has done in PC-based radios to-date, plus RF performance I have never experienced even on much more expensive receivers. Read the review in the Feb 2003 issue of the Shortwave Magazine for details.

Richard

On Sat, 05 Apr 2003 14:14:35 GMT, bball@green.home.org wrote:

>
>- i don't buy *anything* with 'Win' in the product name...
>
>- where are the open source drivers for the G303i?
> (www.linradio.org does not have any, and its projects
> appear dormant)
>
>- Ten-Tec supplies docs on the protocols used for the RX-320,
> and it works with *any* operating system, even PalmOS; this
> means that the RX-320 will be useful and will work with any
> OS for as long as the hardware works...
>
>- i'm sure the G303i is a nice piece of hardware, but if it
> comes to locking myself into a particular OS, forget it;
> i'd rather do with less features and be able to use a
> product with any or all platforms...
>
>- this is one reason i like the pro-92 scanner, icom ic-r2,
> yaesu vr-120d, and yaesu vr-500 wideband HHRs...
>
>On 4 Apr 2003 18:09:05 -0800, John Partridge <swl@techie.com> wrote:
>> I concur. I have one too. It runs circles around the Icom.
>> Have you seen the new Winradio G303i shortwave receiver?
>> Heaps better than TenTec.
>>

>> John
>>
>> nospam@nospam.com (Richard Clemens)
>> wrote in message news:<3e8d7bac.13708065@news-server>...
>>> I tried both, but swear by my new WinRadio WR-1550e.
>>> IMHO this is a much better alternative to PCR. (Which I sold after
>>> acquiring the WinRadio.)
>>>
>>> See http://www.winradio.com/home/1550e.htm .
>>>
>>> Hope this helps
>>> Rich
>>>
>>>
>>> On Thu, 3 Apr 2003 21:35:13 -0700, "MR"
>>> <nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> > I'm thinking about selling two scanners I have (Uniden BC890XLT and
>>> >Radio Shack Pro-2026) to buy one of the receivers listed in the subject
>>> >line. I realize the RX320 is HF only and the PCR-1000 goes through 1.3GHz.
>>> >What I'm more interested in is the receive quality of the two. The
>>> >assumption would be that the Ten-Tec receiver would be much better (noise
>>> >suppression w/ DSP). I will be using the receiver w/ a laptop in a truck, so
>>> >noise can be a problem, especially on HF. I would appreciate anyone's input
>>> >on this...
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >Thanks for your time!
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >Mike
>>> >
>>> >nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com
>>> >
>>> >.
>>> >
>>> >

2204. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 00:54:38 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030405195438.25966.00000311@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 33419

http://www.worldpress.org/specials/pp/pipeline_timeline.htm

http://www.flora.org/library/wtc/flandersj2.html

2205. Re: PL tone help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 01:18:26 UTC
From: Richard G Amirault <amirault@shell01.TheWorld.com>
Message-ID: <b6nv92$27p$3@pcls4.std.com>
Source record: 33420

Anthony B. <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote:
: I have a complete list of frequencies for my town. Problem is the
: surrounding town share some of them. where can I find the PL tones?
: any help would be appreciated

It won't do you any good unless you have a receiver that can set PL tones (but I'll assume you do) .. I'll also assume that you prefer to listen to your own town's broadcasts .. so *those* are the PL tones you need, not the out-of-towners.

I seems a bit unusual for "surrounding" towns to share the same freq .. even if they have different PL tones. (unless they use that freq to talk to each other) PL does not stop interference ... it only stops you from hearing other signals if your local station is not transmitting.

That is to say .. if another station has a strong enough signal to interfere with a local station .. then it WILL interfere PL or not. All PL will do is keep your speaker quiet if the local station is not transmitting.

How to find the PL tones? Well, for starters, let us know where you are.

Richard in Boston, MA, USA

2206. Re: PL tone help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 01:35:38 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <9qqcnScqpP_SGRKjXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 33421

I am in Lyndhurts NJ and have BC89XLT.
The police around here sharer 155.73 at least 6 of them that come to mind.
any way i sent to the FCC web site and got a complete list of Frequncies for
my toem out of the 20 listed i have 4 tones.

2207. Re: PL tone help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 01:37:52 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <TaqcneXjI7IIGRKjXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 33422

hit the wrong button there.

thanks for any help
Anthony B.

2208. Re: PL tone help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 01:40:03 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-06E218.19400205042003@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 33423

In article <Vvqdna1uUZ4zzBKjXTWcqA@comcast.com>,
"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote:

> I have a complete list of frequencies for my town. Problem is the
> surrounding town share some of them. where can I find the PL tones?
> any help would be appreciated
>
> --
> Anthony
>
>

Depends on a couple things...

First, if you have the right scanner, such as a BC780/785/250 or perhaps a RS Pro92/2067 the radio can decode and display the PL or DPL used. You can then program that PL or DPL into the radio to exclude all others.

If your receiver does not have PL or DPL capability then you are out of luck anyway.

There are other devices that will display PL or DPL information but you need a scanner that will allow you to use that info.

Also, it is more common for neighboring towns to use the same PL on shared dispatch frequencies. This reduces problems with one town covering the other and allows for easier mutual aid. Sometimes "back channels" or secondary channels may have separate PL's however.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG rich (at) n9jig.com
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

In Deo speramus, ceteros omnes observamus

2209. Re: SC180B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 01:59:11 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <26a0f230.0304051759.444976ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33424
Cross-posted to: alt.internet.p2p,rec.radio.scanner

"Matt O." <jokermatt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:<g2Pea.2998$U96.747176@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>...

> What does everyone think of the SC180B used for in town scanning. I'm in
> need of a small channel scanner and saw this at Best Buy for $79.00.

I just bought one at a BB yesterday. Since it was the last one in the
store and missing some parts, BB sold it to me for $39.99 Here's a
review at Strong Signals.

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=rev&class=recv&
num=034

Check the Strong Signals message board for comments on the SC180B.

The first think I noticed about is that I heard the normally beyond
scanner range dispatcher on the old B&O Railroad.

2210. FS AOR 3000a Plus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 03:16:11 UTC
From: wb4una@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030405221611.16759.00000106@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 33425

this is a great scanner..looks and works like new..will sell it for 450.00 plus shipping....

Randy

ARS: WB4UNA
Randy Chavis
247 Goff Court
West Columbia
S.C. 29172

2211. Re: Looking for 800MHz Handheld Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 04:14:25 UTC
From: kilmister <kilmister@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BQNja.32529$az1.19620@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 33426

i have some motorola 'dummy loads' that are about 3.5" long....i believe
they are cut for 452Mhz. Let me know if you are interested. Bnc
connector.

"Michael" <mvp67@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:8n3ja.65311$j8.1976054@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> I have the Bearcat 250 now, great scanner but want to get a better 800 Mhz
> antenna for it. I had one for the Pro 43 but cant remeber where I bought
it.
> It was about 2 to 3 inch tall and work great. Any help.
>
> Thanks
> Mike
>
>

2212. Re: PL tone help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 04:15:16 UTC
From: dave911 <vfa192@dave911REMOVE.com>
Message-ID: <oRNja.18842$vl3.524266@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 33427

"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message
news:9qqcnScqpP_SGRKjXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> I am in Lyndhurts NJ and have BC89XLT.
> The police around here sharer 155.73 at least 6 of them that come to mind.
> any way i sent to the FCC web site and got a complete list of Frequncies
for
> my toem out of the 20 listed i have 4 tones.
>

Are you saying you have a BC895XLT? If it's an 895XLT and not 89XLT, try the following. Press the CTCSS button for 2 seconds. It will start scanning through the numbers when your station is talking. When it gets to the proper tone it will stop on that number. That will be the number you're needing.

Hope that helps.

2213. Re: No Brains can't spell "OOPS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 04:29:25 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <Z3Oja.1074$1k.364@fed1read07>
Source record: 33428

Yes. But I will share it with you anyway: Emilio Goldstein.
No, just kidding. ;-)

Let's just say that I choose to remain anonymous. Why? Because.

People who know me personally will know who I am. They will recognize my writing style. People that don't are welcome to guess.

-me

"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3E8E285B.2050405@earthlink.net...
> They are up in the North East. When I have the other computer running I
> will post the info.
>
> Burr.
>
> PS: Say "me", you a shame of your name?
>

2214. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 04:54:36 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB51D3C.15ABE%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33429
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

"TMartin831" wrote:
>
> Another question: Has there been any formal or informal
> policy adopted or imposed on the TV networks about
> showing flag draped coffins being unloaded from planes?
> Thankfully there have not been many fatalities, but I
> have not seen any TV coverage of the the deceased being
> brought home.

There has been some coverage. However, the deceased are being flown in and out of military bases during the trip back to the United States. Obviously, access to these military bases is restricted. On occasion, members of the press are allowed entry to cover special news events under very tight rules. In the case of coverage of deceased personnel, these rules include no identification until family members are notified, no photographs of the deceased, no description of the injuries, no mention of the deceased's final destination, and so on. In many cases, these rules are designed to protect the dignity of the deceased and the privacy of the surviving family members.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2215. FA: 80CH UHF PORTABLES & EXTRA'S!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 05:04:23 UTC
From: David & Daphne Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <j9dv8v4255ir554h4bmcb3njota944bifi@4ax.com>
Source record: 33347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

(2) Midland Model 70-255B 80ch *UHF* SCAN 2/5watt 450-470mhz,
(2) Model 70-C06 Rapid Chargers, (2) Leather Cases with belt-loop
design, (2) Model 70-M188 Lapel Spkr-mics with clip on back,
(4total) 70-B34 High Capacity Batteries 7.5v @ 1800mha!!
(2) NEW-IN BOX, (2) Used approx. 40hrs, (2) stubby UHF antennas.

All items are in excellent to perfect condition rates 9-10 or better.
Org. boxes and instructions for chargers. Radios are "FULLY
PROGRAMABLE" and include options like a back-lit display and priority
function. Full spec sheet included. Radios are fully functional
"except" the DTMF pad of which is only decorative cover. Ch's 1-3 are
on repeater freqs while Ch 4-11 are on "talk-around" or "simplex"
freqs. Multi-digital pics avail. Perfect for hunting, fishing,
security and many other applications.

$200USD + shipping

2216. Re: PL tone help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 05:30:52 UTC
From: Mark Robbins <W2MR@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <gYOja.15474$cO3.1109940@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33430

Anthony,

Most of the users of the old 155.73 MHz network have moved on. Here is the information.....

Lyndhurst 154.115/Rptr DPL-431
Carlstadt 155.805/Rptr 167.9 Hz
East Rutherford 472.850/Rptr 131.8 Hz
Hasbrouck Heights 151.250/Rptr 162.2 Hz
Rutherford 155.730 167.9 Hz
Wallington 501.0125/Rptr 123.0 Hz (also
501.8625/502.0625/502.4125)
Wood-Ridge 154.845/Rptr 173.8 Hz

Happy Scanning.....

Mark/W2MR

2217. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 06:03:19 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb8vgp7.dp.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 33431
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <slrnb8tpuh.7bn.bball@green.home.org>, bball@green.home.org wrote:
>
> - i don't buy *anything* with 'Win' in the product name...

I have bought one product that already had standard chipset,
and thus works under all majer operating systems.

> - where are the open source drivers for the G303i?
> (www.linradio.org does not have any, and its projects
> appear dormant)
>
> - Ten-Tec supplies docs on the protocols used for the RX-320,
> and it works with *any* operating system, even PalmOS; this
> means that the RX-320 will be useful and will work with any
> OS for as long as the hardware works...
>
> - i'm sure the G303i is a nice piece of hardware, but if it
> comes to locking myself into a particular OS, forget it;
> i'd rather do with less features and be able to use a
> product with any or all platforms...

I completely agree. People says they use their scanners/shortwave
radios for 20 years. I wonder how many in this newsgroups uses
even a 10 year old PC, or 10 year old OS (Windows 3.11).

> - this is one reason i like the pro-92 scanner, icom ic-r2,
> yaesu vr-120d, and yaesu vr-500 wideband HHRs...

Agree. Normally the only excuse for buying a win product
is stupidity, and that is a pretty bad excuse.

2218. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 06:37:19 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <9oiv8v0t09eurd51eip7lasbg72j21i40i@4ax.com>
Source record: 33351
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Sun, 06 Apr 2003 06:42:48 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>The following are from independent human rights organizations about Saddam's
>crimes:
>
>Human Rights Watch
>
>"The Iraqi government of President Saddam Hussain perpetrated widespread and
>gross human rights violations, including arbitrary arrests of suspected
>political opponents and their relatives, routine torture and ill-treatment
>of detainees, summary execution of military personnel and political
>detainees as part of a "prison cleansing" campaign, and forced expulsions of
>Kurds and Turkmen from Kirkuk and other regions." (2002 Report)
>
>UN Commission on Human Rights
>
>Adopted a resolution strongly condemning "the systematic, widespread and
>extremely grave violations of human rights and of international humanitarian
>law by the Government of Iraq [Saddam Hussein], resulting in an
>all-pervasive repression and oppression sustained by broad-based
>discrimination and widespread terror." (4/01)
>
>Amnesty International
>
>"Political prisoners and detainees were subjected to systematic torture. The
>bodies of many of those executed had evident signs of torture. Common
>methods of physical torture included electric shocks or cigarette burns to
>various parts of the body, pulling out of fingernails, rape, long periods of
>suspension by the limbs from either a rotating fan in the ceiling or from a
>horizontal pole, beating with cables, hosepipe or metal rods, and falaqa
>(beating on the soles of the feet). In addition, detainees were threatened
>with rape and subjected to mock execution. They were placed in cells where
>they could hear the screams of others being tortured and were deliberately
>deprived of sleep." (Latest Report)
>
>(Sermid Al-Sarraf is an Iraqi-American attorney and member of the Iraqi
>Jurist Association)
>

>
>

2219. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 06:42:48 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <I%Pja.56124$0X.11561506@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33432
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

The following are from independent human rights organizations about Saddam's crimes:

Human Rights Watch

"The Iraqi government of President Saddam Hussain perpetrated widespread and gross human rights violations, including arbitrary arrests of suspected political opponents and their relatives, routine torture and ill-treatment of detainees, summary execution of military personnel and political detainees as part of a "prison cleansing" campaign, and forced expulsions of Kurds and Turkmen from Kirkuk and other regions." (2002 Report)

UN Commission on Human Rights

Adopted a resolution strongly condemning "the systematic, widespread and extremely grave violations of human rights and of international humanitarian law by the Government of Iraq [Saddam Hussein], resulting in an all-pervasive repression and oppression sustained by broad-based discrimination and widespread terror." (4/01)

Amnesty International

"Political prisoners and detainees were subjected to systematic torture. The bodies of many of those executed had evident signs of torture. Common methods of physical torture included electric shocks or cigarette burns to various parts of the body, pulling out of fingernails, rape, long periods of suspension by the limbs from either a rotating fan in the ceiling or from a horizontal pole, beating with cables, hosepipe or metal rods, and falaqa (beating on the soles of the feet). In addition, detainees were threatened with rape and subjected to mock execution. They were placed in cells where they could hear the screams of others being tortured and were deliberately deprived of sleep." (Latest Report)

(Sermid Al-Sarraf is an Iraqi-American attorney and member of the Iraqi Jurist Association)

2220. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 07:14:42 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <CtQja.343029$3D1.186272@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 33433
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Gee that was all before Clinton.
Wow.

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:I%Pja.56124$0X.11561506@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> The following are from independent human rights organizations about
Saddam's
> crimes:
>
> Human Rights Watch
>
> "The Iraqi government of President Saddam Hussain perpetrated widespread
and
> gross human rights violations, including arbitrary arrests of suspected
> political opponents and their relatives, routine torture and ill-treatment
> of detainees, summary execution of military personnel and political
> detainees as part of a "prison cleansing" campaign, and forced expulsions
of
> Kurds and Turkmen from Kirkuk and other regions." (2002 Report)
>
> UN Commission on Human Rights
>
> Adopted a resolution strongly condemning "the systematic, widespread and
> extremely grave violations of human rights and of international
humanitarian
> law by the Government of Iraq [Saddam Hussein], resulting in an
> all-pervasive repression and oppression sustained by broad-based
> discrimination and widespread terror." (4/01)
>
> Amnesty International
>
> "Political prisoners and detainees were subjected to systematic torture.
The
> bodies of many of those executed had evident signs of torture. Common
> methods of physical torture included electric shocks or cigarette burns to
> various parts of the body, pulling out of fingernails, rape, long periods
of
> suspension by the limbs from either a rotating fan in the ceiling or from
a
> horizontal pole, beating with cables, hosepipe or metal rods, and falaqa
> (beating on the soles of the feet). In addition, detainees were threatened
> with rape and subjected to mock execution. They were placed in cells where
> they could hear the screams of others being tortured and were deliberately

> deprived of sleep." (Latest Report)
>
> (Sermid Al-Sarraf is an Iraqi-American attorney and member of the Iraqi
> Jurist Association)
>
>
>
>

2221. Frequencies Operation Iraqi Freedom.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 10:10:23 UTC
From: Huub Roem <huub.roem@zon_remove_net.nl>
Message-ID: <3e8ffd13$0$1143$4d4ebb8e@read.news.nl.uu.net>
Source record: 33435

Hi All,

Check my site http://home.zonnet.nl/huub.roem for the latest details of
'Operation Iraqi Freedom' with communication activity in the NW of Europe.

Frequencies, Callsigns and Recorded Audiofiles of the KC-135 from Mildenhall
and B-52 from Fairford!

Good Monitoring!

Huub
the Frequency Monitor Centre in the Netherlands.

2222. Re: PL tone help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 10:18:42 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-E62F41.05184206042003@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 33436

In article <dc3v8vku0obg8f0kcrmqu38bemnpg79c7t@4ax.com>,
Paladium <Paladium@paladium.pld> wrote:

> Rich Carlson, wrote:
>
> > Depends on a couple things...
> >
> > First, if you have the right scanner, such as a BC780/785/250 or perhaps
> > a RS Pro92/2067 the radio can decode and display the PL or DPL used. You
> > can then program that PL or DPL into the radio to exclude all others.
> >
> > If your receiver does not have PL or DPL capability then you are out of
> > luck anyway.
> >
> > There are other devices that will display PL or DPL information but you
> > need a scanner that will allow you to use that info.
> >
> > Also, it is more common for neighboring towns to use the same PL on
> > shared dispatch frequencies. This reduces problems with one town
> > covering the other and allows for easier mutual aid. Sometimes "back
> > channels" or secondary channels may have separate PL's however.
>
> Here is a chart of PL/CTCSS tones for Motorola radios:
> http://www.batlabs.com/plchart.html
>
>
> I am looking for a unit that is connected to a scanner through
> the earphone jack that will display the PL tone when the scanner
> recieves a tranmission. Does such a device exist?

Try the OptoElectronics OptoTracker or DC4xx series decoders or the CSI
CD-1 or CD-2 decoders. While they both require discriminator jacks
(pretty easy to install) they will display PL and DPL directly as soon
as the comm comes up.

I have 4 of the CD-1's and they work great.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG rich (at) n9jig.com
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

In Deo speramus, ceteros omnes observamus

2223. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 15:04:02 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@home.scotland>
Message-ID: <b6pfl1$gb9$1@hercules.btinternet.com>
Source record: 33437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:JdNia.5697$ey1.444941@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

[snip junk]

When the questions get tough, just quote the bible eh? :^)

2224. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 15:13:39 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@home.scotland>
Message-ID: <b6pg72$j1j$1@sparta.btinternet.com>
Source record: 33438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:i%Xia.6347$ey1.506300@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> Thats why He is God, and I am dust.

In which case, someone please pass me the vacuum cleaner... :O)

2225. recommendation for base unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 15:16:31 UTC
From: Brad <post@tothegroup.com>
Message-ID: <v90h6g30d3kbc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33439
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have an very old radio shack scanner and am looking to replace it. I don't want to spend hundreds of dollars, but I do want something that offers a lot of frequency range. What would you all recommend?

I'm told most modern scanners go over 900 mHz? Do any go higher? Do any link to a PC for easier programming (i.e. "upload" a frequency list to program.)

I'm open to any recommendations.

Thanks all.

2226. Re: Iraq Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 15:22:32 UTC
From: Clip <clip@comcastNOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <EZScnXtz3rTW2w2jXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 33440
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"johnb" <borregoj@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:3E8E6C73.9050701@sbcglobal.net...
> I just gotta say, " I love Celt Chic" She's got more cojones than the
> rest of the winters in this group put together.

Yes, it does take some cojones to put up a cyber-begging page and ask for handouts, especially when one is a highly-paid self-proclaimed mathematical genius.

It takes cojones to post a link to that page in a public newsgroup.

It takes cojones to act offended when someone points out said webpage, even though it stated clearly on that page that one is not ashamed to beg.

It takes cojones to spew forth hateful lies in response, even though one proclaimes to be a "lover."

It takes cojones to call oneself a mathematical genius with no proof whatsoever, while at the same time looking down one's nose at the rest of the world.

It takes cojones to claim that all of this is a gender-based issue, and that if I was a real "gentleman" I would have just "tipped my hat" and said nothing.

It takes cojones to insult the long-standing members of this board by calling the majority of them stupid rednecks.

It takes cojones to post song lyrics, acting as though one is making a profound statement, when actually one can not defend oneself for being the phony that one is.

Yep, that's a lot of cojones alright.

Here's what DOESN'T take any cajones at all: removing the webpage in question - although I will say that it was the right thing to do.

2227. Re: recommendation for base unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 15:25:43 UTC
From: Brad <post@tothegroup.com>
Message-ID: <v90hnod99d1pbc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33441
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looks like Radioshack sells the Uniden BC785D Digital Scanner for $370.

What do you all think about it?

"Brad" <post@tothegroup.com> wrote in message
news:v90h6g30d3kbc@corp.supernews.com...
> I have an very old radio shack scanner and am looking to replace it. I
> don't want to spend hundreds of dollars, but I do want something that
offers
> a lot of frequency range. What would you all recommend?
>
> I'm told most modern scanners go over 900 mHz? Do any go higher? Do any
> link to a PC for easier programming (i.e. "upload" a frequency list to
> program.)
>
> I'm open to any recommendations.
>
> Thanks all.
>
>

2228. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 15:26:19 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3e90421e.18958405@news-server>
Source record: 33442
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 6 Apr 2003 06:03:19 +0000 (UTC), "Povl H. Pedersen"
<povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local> wrote:

>In article <slrnb8tpuh.7bn.bball@green.home.org>, bball@green.home.org wrote:
>>
>> - i don't buy *anything* with 'Win' in the product name...
>
>I have bought one product that already had standard chipset,
>and thus works under all majer operating systems.

Wow, that's some immaculate logic indeed. :-)

>> - where are the open source drivers for the G303i?
>> (www.linradio.org does not have any, and its projects
>> appear dormant)
>>
>> - Ten-Tec supplies docs on the protocols used for the RX-320,
>> and it works with *any* operating system, even PalmOS; this
>> means that the RX-320 will be useful and will work with any
>> OS for as long as the hardware works...
>>
>> - i'm sure the G303i is a nice piece of hardware, but if it
>> comes to locking myself into a particular OS, forget it;
>> i'd rather do with less features and be able to use a
>> product with any or all platforms...
>
>I completely agree. People says they use their scanners/shortwave
>radios for 20 years. I wonder how many in this newsgroups uses
>even a 10 year old PC, or 10 year old OS (Windows 3.11).

So people says (sic) this, huh? ;-)

I wonder how many people on this NG will still use the same scanner
in 10 or 20 years. You're gotta be kidding. :-)

>> - this is one reason i like the pro-92 scanner, icom ic-r2,
>> yaesu vr-120d, and yaesu vr-500 wideband HHRs...
>
>Agree. Normally the only excuse for buying a win product
>is stupidity, and that is a pretty bad excuse.

Oh really? Let's see....

There is no difference between Icom PCR-1000 and Winradio WR-1550e
in terms of platform interfacing - they both have serial interface
(except the Winradio also has PCMCIA interface and optional USB

interface). Both also come with Windows software.

EXCEPT:

1. The Winradio already comes bundled with first class software, while the Icom comes with a shitty one, so any serious user must necessarily rely on third party software.

2. The Winradio is also supported under Mac (natively, free software available for download on their Web site), while Icom is not.

3. The Winradio is also supported under Linux (driver source codes available freely on their Web site), but Icom is not.

4. API for the Winradio is freely available on Winradio Web site, with many source code examples, for free. And guess where is Icom's support: non-existent.

5. There are plenty of *free* software utilities for the Winradio such as numerous XRS plugins, the Radio Basic, etc. (What, you have not heard of Radio Basic? Just don't ask Icom.... ;-)

There is more, but this should be enough. So you see, before you make any further ignorant comments referring to anyone's "stupidity" when making a purchasing decision, I would suggest that you get properly acquainted with the subject matter first.

Richard

2229. Re: recommendation for base unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 16:34:05 UTC
From: "Big Jim"@naut.net
Message-ID: <3E9056FD.834AFCC5@naut.net>
Source record: 33443
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I you don't need the digital board you can get the 780 at Universal Radio for $318.

Brad wrote:

> Looks like Radioshack sells the Uniden BC785D Digital Scanner for $370.
>
> What do you all think about it?
>
> "Brad" <post@tothegroup.com> wrote in message
> news:v90h6g30d3kbc@corp.supernews.com...
> > I have an very old radio shack scanner and am looking to replace it. I
> > don't want to spend hundreds of dollars, but I do want something that
> offers
> > a lot of frequency range. What would you all recommend?
> >
> > I'm told most modern scanners go over 900 mHz? Do any go higher? Do any
> > link to a PC for easier programming (i.e. "upload" a frequency list to
> > program.)
> >
> > I'm open to any recommendations.
> >
> > Thanks all.
> >
> >

2230. Re: Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 16:44:08 UTC
From: "Mike T." <mbtins_at_yahoo.com@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <r8m09v0brm8sc54n027c5pgrv5c2b7uoj6@4ax.com>
Source record: 33445

What is the point of changing the control channel everyday, anyway? I too have to put up with this as I am still scanning conventional and I am unwilling to buy anything else until I see what happens with the new digital systems that are going up in my locale.

Mike T

On Fri, 04 Apr 2003 22:33:29 GMT, "Jim Cassidy" <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com> wrote:

>Depending on your local Trunking, the Control Channel (noisy channel) will
>change each day. Don't lock them all out or you will loose working channels.
>It's kind of a pain in the butt, but each day as the control channel
>changes, unlock that one and lock the new one.

2231. Re: recommendation for base unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 16:48:12 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6UYja.240$d55.47058@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 33446
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

you can get it for less then that sure.
i'v seen places with it for 325. one of them is ebay.

i got my 250d for 275 :>

check out FreqOfNature's uniden digital scanner price watch page:

http://www.freqofnature.com/pricewatch/index.html

tho its not entirely up to date, it's still decent.

"Brad" <post@tothegroup.com> wrote in message
news:v90hnod99d1pbc@corp.supernews.com...
> Looks like Radioshack sells the Uniden BC785D Digital Scanner for $370.
>
> What do you all think about it?
>
> "Brad" <post@tothegroup.com> wrote in message
> news:v90h6g30d3kbc@corp.supernews.com...
> > I have an very old radio shack scanner and am looking to replace it. I
> > don't want to spend hundreds of dollars, but I do want something that
> offers
> > a lot of frequency range. What would you all recommend?
> >
> > I'm told most modern scanners go over 900 mHz? Do any go higher? Do
any
> > link to a PC for easier programming (i.e. "upload" a frequency list to
> > program.)
> >
> > I'm open to any recommendations.
> >
> > Thanks all.
> >
> >
>
>

2232. Re: Attention Commies: This is Rec.Radio.Scanner...Not "Im Still Pissed Cuz The Republicans Won"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 17:11:28 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4dZja.16000$cO3.1159819@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33348

NO jerk the war is not interrupting anything, you are! those who are
rambling here are spamming this group pls go away!

--
Brett K Powell KG6DJX
"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:7tDia.301979$F1.52060@sccrnsc04...
> Really sorry that your war is interrupting your entertainment.
>
>
> "Tra+cey" <tracey12_12@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8A9343.49294924@yahoo.com...
> > Get it? Stop with your political BS and lets talk scanners.
> >
> > If you want to rave on about how you hate Bush, and how you still have a
> > picture of Hillary over your bed,
> > fine. Just do it somewhere else so we wont have to stumble over your
> > junk in this group.
> >
> > Free speech is great. If you don't like what I just said, too bad.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2233. fs:radio shack pro 2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 17:29:00 UTC
From: kb9igg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030406132900.28505.00000666@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 33349

scanner is in excellent condition.with original box with manual and power cord.this scanner runs on 12v,it has the cell mod.$100+shipping

2234. Doing A Search

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 17:33:17 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <wxZja.3733$Xp3.2412915@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33444

Does anyone know how to do a search on a bearcat 200XLT. You know where it scanns through a series of frequencies? I can find the manual to mine and can't remember how to do it.

--
Lisha

All incoming/outgoing email is checked
with Norton's Anti Virus 2003

2235. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 18:20:05 UTC
From: HankG <none@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <InOdnZtAj4Zx8g2jXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 33350
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local> wrote in message news:slrnb8vgp7.dp.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local...
> In article <slrnb8tpuh.7bn.bball@green.home.org>, bball@green.home.org wrote:
> >
> > - i don't buy *anything* with 'Win' in the product name...
>
> I have bought one product that already had standard chipset,
> and thus works under all majer operating systems.
>
> > - where are the open source drivers for the G303i?
> > (www.linradio.org does not have any, and its projects
> > appear dormant)
> >
> > - Ten-Tec supplies docs on the protocols used for the RX-320,
> > and it works with *any* operating system, even PalmOS; this
> > means that the RX-320 will be useful and will work with any
> > OS for as long as the hardware works...
> >
> > - i'm sure the G303i is a nice piece of hardware, but if it
> > comes to locking myself into a particular OS, forget it;
> > i'd rather do with less features and be able to use a
> > product with any or all platforms...
>
> I completely agree. People says they use their scanners/shortwave
> radios for 20 years. I wonder how many in this newsgroups uses
> even a 10 year old PC, or 10 year old OS (Windows 3.11).

I have a 386SX (a secondary computer) which I still use for some DOS based programs, running Windows 3.0. To the best of my recollection, it's at least 15 years old, maybe more. I have an RX-320 running on a Pentium 100 mhz box. It's a great little radio--the noise has been tamed with an attic antenna using coaxial lead-in. I want to dedicate the 386 for use with the 320 but I need to lay my hands on a copy of Win 3.1. BTW-I still have an early Apple II and XT clone in working order.

HankG

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.467 / Virus Database: 266 - Release Date: 4/1/03

2236. Base scanner that does simultaneous conventional and trunked scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 19:29:14 UTC
From: Jim Taylor <jtaylor02@snet.net>
Message-ID: <ee%ja.12025$M21.3470595173@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33352

I want to know what is the best base scanner that will allow simultaneous conventional and trunked scanning. What would you recommend and why?

jtaylor02

2237. Re: PL tone help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 21:11:31 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <0p519v0cqn73bd75j3fqpti427p55rs2c9@4ax.com>
Source record: 33353

On Sun, 06 Apr 2003 02:35:14 GMT, Paladium <Paladium@paladium.pld>
wrote:

>I am looking for a unit that is connected to a scanner through
>the earphone jack that will display the PL tone when the scanner
>recieves a tranmission. Does such a device exist?

Sure, and you obvoiusly already own one. Just get the Wintone program
and run the audio into your soundcard. Works great with a
discriminator tap, though I've never tried it with the earphone out
jack.

2238. Wanted bc70xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 22:51:56 UTC
From: John Chenis <jgck8@rcn.com>
Message-ID: <b6qb2e$nt7$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 33354

Looking for a Regency (Uniden) BC-70-XLT at a reasonable price. Any suggestions?

2239. Re: Doing A Search

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 23:19:05 UTC
From: 0880@iname.com
Message-ID: <700e61c7.0304061519.77887e0a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33355

Here's a link to an on-line manual http://209.145.176.7/~090/awh/bearcatting.html

"Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:<wxZja.3733$Xp3.2412915@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> Does anyone know how to do a search on a bearcat 200XLT. You know where it
> scanns through a series of frequencies? I can find the manual to mine and
> can't remember how to do it.

2240. Re: Linux software for radio control (including trunking)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 23:30:27 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <not_for_mail@fake789.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.06.23.30.27.117143@fake789.invalid>
Source record: 33356
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, there is radio control software which works on Linux.
See:

http://parnass.com
http://radio.linux.org.au

On Sun, 06 Apr 2003 22:42:40 +0000, N9NWO wrote:

> Is there software for control various radios?
>
> I have an IC-PCR-1000, a Uniden BC-245 (plan on getting a BC-250) and
> several ham rigs (IC-706, VX-5R, FT-100).

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

2241. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-06 23:41:21 UTC
From: geezer@radio411.com
Message-ID: <3e90b8d0.179286082@news.la.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 33357
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Sat, 05 Apr 2003 18:01:40 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
wrote:

> Most in the military today are minorities (the majority).

Wrong. The enlisted ranks of the military services are about 60%
white, 22 percent black, the rest Latino and "other." Minorities may
be overrepresented in proportion to their share of the total
population, but they are not a majority of the military. The officer
ranks remain overwhelmingly white.

A more interesting issue is the class and geographical background of
service personnel. They are disproportionately from small towns,
inner cities, and the deep South, and from blue-collar families of
whatever ethnicity.

Mark Howell

2242. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 00:17:58 UTC
From: dangett@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <49206ac2.0304061617.587406d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33359
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

>
>
> Most in the military today are minorities (the majority). However, in
> units where skill standards are very high (special forces, armored, airborne
> - the units often featured on television), the majority are white. However,
> the military now seems aware of the impression this creates and is now using
> officers "of color" at many press conferences.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
That is COMPLETE nonsense. The military is about 10 percent
minority...less than the national average.

As far as General Brooks goes...he is a fine man! Where does the
misinformation come from?

2243. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 00:24:13 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Ny3ka.61145$0X.12386703@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Mark Howell" <geezer@radio411.com> wrote

> On Sat, 05 Apr 2003 18:01:40 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
> wrote:
>
>
> > Most in the military today are minorities (the majority).
>
> Wrong. The enlisted ranks of the military services are about 60%
> white, 22 percent black, the rest Latino and "other." Minorities may
> be overrepresented in proportion to their share of the total
> population, but they are not a majority of the military. The officer
> ranks remain overwhelmingly white.
>
> A more interesting issue is the class and geographical background of
> service personnel. They are disproportionately from small towns,
> inner cities, and the deep South, and from blue-collar families of
> whatever ethnicity.
>
> Mark Howell

Correct

2244. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 00:25:02 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <yz3ka.61153$0X.12386509@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33361
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dan Gett" <dangett@yahoo.com> wrote

> > Most in the military today are minorities (the majority). However, in
> > units where skill standards are very high (special forces, armored,
airborne
> > - the units often featured on television), the majority are white.
However,
> > the military now seems aware of the impression this creates and is now
using
> > officers "of color" at many press conferences.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
> >

> That is COMPLETE nonsense. The military is about 10 percent
> minority...less than the national average.
>
> As far as General Brooks goes...he is a fine man! Where does the
> misinformation come from?

From their warped little minds, of course...

2245. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 00:30:52 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <jlh19v8qsm2k2lavmje5o89fhm9t3sde1a@4ax.com>
Source record: 33364
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 00:24:13 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Mark Howell" <geezer@radio411.com> wrote
>
>> On Sat, 05 Apr 2003 18:01:40 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>> > Most in the military today are minorities (the majority).
>>
>> Wrong. The enlisted ranks of the military services are about 60%
>> white, 22 percent black, the rest Latino and "other." Minorities may
>> be overrepresented in proportion to their share of the total
>> population, but they are not a majority of the military. The officer
>> ranks remain overwhelmingly white.
>>
>> A more interesting issue is the class and geographical background of
>> service personnel. They are disproportionately from small towns,
>> inner cities, and the deep South, and from blue-collar families of
>> whatever ethnicity.
>>
>> Mark Howell
>
>Correct
>

2246. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 00:31:17 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <bmh19vobssgshe515i8f3ocv18fqm7g8j2@4ax.com>
Source record: 33365
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 00:25:02 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Dan Gett" <dangett@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>> > Most in the military today are minorities (the majority). However, in
>> > units where skill standards are very high (special forces, armored,
>airborne
>> > - the units often featured on television), the majority are white.
>However,
>> > the military now seems aware of the impression this creates and is now
>using
>> > officers "of color" at many press conferences.
>> >
>> >
>> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>> >
>> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>> >
>
>> That is COMPLETE nonsense. The military is about 10 percent
>> minority...less than the national average.
>>
>> As far as General Brooks goes...he is a fine man! Where does the
>> misinformation come from?
>
>From their warped little minds, of course...
>

2247. is this for real?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 00:46:17 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BU3ka.478$oJ2.130878@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 33362

i was browsing ebay and came across this scanner.

http://cgi.ebay.ca/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3017108140

could it really be from bill cheek?

check out all those LED's. damn!

looks like a christmas tree ;)

2248. Re: Doing A Search

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 00:55:57 UTC
From: Lisha <lisha@sky-pics.com>
Message-ID: <x04ka.4572$Xp3.2574115@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33358

Thanks found it

Lisha
"Jerry" <0880@iname.com> wrote in message
news:700e61c7.0304061519.77887e0a@posting.google.com...
> Here's a link to an on-line manual
http://209.145.176.7/~090/awh/bearcatting.html
>
>
> "Lisha" <lisha@sky-pics.com> wrote in message
news:<wxZja.3733$Xp3.2412915@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > Does anyone know how to do a search on a bearcat 200XLT. You know where
it
> > scanns through a series of frequencies? I can find the manual to mine
and
> > can't remember how to do it.

2249. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 01:04:16 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <k84ka.2546$fT3.288@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dan Gett" <dangett@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:49206ac2.0304061617.587406d2@posting.google.com...
> >
> >
> > Most in the military today are minorities (the majority). However, in
> > units where skill standards are very high (special forces, armored,
airborne
> > - the units often featured on television), the majority are white.
However,
> > the military now seems aware of the impression this creates and is now
using
> > officers "of color" at many press conferences.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
> >
> >
> >
> That is COMPLETE nonsense. The military is about 10 percent
> minority...less than the national average.

Where did you get that?

"Significant racial/ethnic differences exist among the Services.
Approximately 40 and 41 percent of Army and Navy accessions, respectively,
are minorities, as compared to 32 percent of Marine Corps recruits and 31
percent of Airforce recruits. The services have maintained their 37 percent
rate of minority recruitment. The proportion of Hispanics and "Other"
minorities increased as the proportions of African-American and
Anglo-American decreased, generally mirroring the trend in the comparable
civilian population. "

Minority participation in the armed services is well over 30%.

2250. WTB: Old scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 01:14:34 UTC
From: BiteFace <w6ibl@juno.com>
Message-ID: <_h4ka.11796$ey1.1000136@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33366

Still looking for Regency ACTR-106 scanner or single-channel Regency VHF Hi-Band receiver. These radios are 20+ year-old technology.

TNX

John

2251. Pro-43 Strange Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 01:24:40 UTC
From: cusack@zepa.net
Message-ID: <ee52fda3.0304061724.4c99d7b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33367

Got a weird problem I'm hoping someone can figure out. I have a Radio Shack (Realistic) Pro-43 scanner that has been modified to recieve the cell band. I was listening to some of the cells calls last night and after about 15 minutes I couldn't pick any of them up. I have the limit set from 868.000 - 896.000 and it just keeps searching and searching but will not pick up any of these calls. I can still listen to the 800 MHZ trunking police frequencies with no problem. Anyone know what could have happened to this thing?

Thanks,
Chris

2252. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 02:06:34 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030406220634.05622.00000231@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 33368

>
>> A more interesting issue is the class and geographical background of
>> service personnel. They are disproportionately from small towns,
>> inner cities, and the deep South, and from blue-collar families of
>> whatever ethnicity.

Indeed. Saw a statistic a few months ago that while blacks make up about 14% of the general population of N.Am., they make up nearly 23% of American military personnel. Not a HUGE difference, perhaps, but it is interesting.
But what it has to do with scanners, I don't know! :-)
Linus

2253. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 02:22:13 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <ph5ka.350130$L1.98783@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 33369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If you are dust, why do you spend so much time worrying about what will become of you after this life?

"Mefix" <mefix@home.scotland> wrote in message news:b6pg72$j1j$1@sparta.btinternet.com...
>
> "N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:i%Xia.6347$ey1.506300@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> > Thats why He is God, and I am dust.
>
> In which case, someone please pass me the vacuum cleaner... :O)
>
>

2254. Re: Pro-43 Strange Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 03:06:38 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030406230638.23108.00001026@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 33370

>Got a weird problem I'm hoping someone can figure out. I have a Radio
>Shack (Realistic) Pro-43 scanner that has been modified to recieve the
>cell band. I was listening to some of the cells calls last night and
>after about 15 minutes I couldn't pick any of them up. I have the
>limit set from 868.000 - 896.000 and it just keeps searching and
>searching but will not pick up any of these calls. I can still listen
>to the 800 MHZ trunking police frequencies with no problem. Anyone
>know what could have happened to this thing?

Maybe you spontaneously moved into the 21st century and everyone's cell phones
turned digital!? ;)

I am not sure that there is even any analog traffic on the cell frequencies
around here anymore.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

2255. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 03:25:23 UTC
From: Michael Beacom <mbeacom03@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mbeacom03-9784BD.23245806042003@nnrp06.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33371
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <slrnb8vgp7.dp.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>,
"Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local> wrote:

> In article <slrnb8tpuh.7bn.bball@green.home.org>, bball@green.home.org wrote:
> >
> > - i don't buy *anything* with 'Win' in the product name...
>
> I have bought one product that already had standard chipset,
> and thus works under all majer operating systems.
>
> > - where are the open source drivers for the G303i?
> > (www.linradio.org does not have any, and its projects
> > appear dormant)
> >
> > - Ten-Tec supplies docs on the protocols used for the RX-320,
> > and it works with *any* operating system, even PalmOS; this
> > means that the RX-320 will be useful and will work with any
> > OS for as long as the hardware works...
> >
> > - i'm sure the G303i is a nice piece of hardware, but if it
> > comes to locking myself into a particular OS, forget it;
> > i'd rather do with less features and be able to use a
> > product with any or all platforms...
>
> I completely agree. People says they use their scanners/shortwave
> radios for 20 years. I wonder how many in this newsgroups uses
> even a 10 year old PC, or 10 year old OS (Windows 3.11).
>
> > - this is one reason i like the pro-92 scanner, icom ic-r2,
> > yaesu vr-120d, and yaesu vr-500 wideband HHRs...
>
> Agree. Normally the only excuse for buying a win product
> is stupidity, and that is a pretty bad excuse.

But then, the winradio is the only one to supply Mac software...

2256. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 03:29:09 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E90F085.4060506@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33377
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Petty Arnett wrote for the umpteenth time"
> Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
>
> <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>
>
>
> The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> have access to. Decide for yourself.
>
> http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

<Rest of junk deleted>

This guy is really posting challenged. Must be JS's little brother.

2257. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 04:02:25 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <IL6ka.61932$0X.12614255@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33372
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven> spewed from his anus...

<snip>

Why does this scum insist on posting this garbage? Those pictures are from 1988, and had nothing to do with the US/UK, and certainly not the current actions.

How pathetic.

2258. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 04:04:13 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <45u19vgsj1t5egsfecfh0qqdf3mp72fu2u@4ax.com>
Source record: 33384
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 04:02:25 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven> spewed from his anus...
>
><snip>
>
>Why does this scum insist on posting this garbage? Those pictures are from
>1988, and had nothing to do with the US/UK, and certainly not the current
>actions.
>
>How pathetic.
>

2259. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 04:05:39 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <nO6ka.61955$0X.12618200@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33373
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven> for lack of any valid argument, insists on posting this garbage that has nothing to do with the US/UK, pictures from 1988 that had nothing to do with the current crisis.

Go figure...

2260. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 04:07:01 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <uau19vgpv7dghkld4c4jc46l9ta1qckpru@4ax.com>
Source record: 33385
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 04:05:39 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven> for lack of any valid argument, insists on
>posting this garbage that has nothing to do with the US/UK, pictures from
>1988 that had nothing to do with the current crisis.
>
>Go figure...
>

2261. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 04:28:25 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <J77ka.62116$0X.12643641@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33374
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote

> Where did you get that?
>
> "Significant racial/ethnic differences exist among the Services.
> Approximately 40 and 41 percent of Army and Navy accessions, respectively,
> are minorities, as compared to 32 percent of Marine Corps recruits and 31
> percent of Airforce recruits. The services have maintained their 37
percent
> rate of minority recruitment. The proportion of Hispanics and "Other"
> minorities increased as the proportions of African-American and
> Anglo-American decreased, generally mirroring the trend in the comparable
> civilian population. "
>
> Minority participation in the armed services is well over 30%.

Umm...
http://www.thesandiegochannel.com/news/1958753/detail.html
"Whites still make up the majority of those serving in the military, but by
a much smaller margin. In 2000, minorities made up 40 percent of the Army,
35 percent of the Navy, 32 percent of the Marine Corps, and 25 percent of
the Air Force. "

http://www.military.com/Resources/ResourceFileView?file=guard_help_pages.htm
The National Guard mirrors America more accurately than ever -- the Army
National Guard now consists of 26.1 percent minorities and 10.5 percent
women, while the Air National Guard is composed of 20.3 percent minorities
and 16.3 percent women.

2262. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 04:31:03 UTC
From: swl@techie.com
Message-ID: <605e107d.0304062031.3b60c023@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33375
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I am just about ready to buy the G303. Should I get the Professional
Demodulator option? Is it worth it?

John

nospam@nospam.com (Richard Clemens) wrote in message news:<3e8f6942.443814@news-server>...

<snip>

> As to G303i, I have it from good sources that Linux support is coming
> soon. As with any Winradio product I have tried so far, I am sure it
> will be worth the wait. I the meantime I am enjoying their Windows
> interface which is better than anything anybody has done in PC-based
> radios to-date, plus RF performance I have never experienced even on
> much more expensive receivers. Read the review in the Feb 2003 issue
> of the Shortwave Magazine for details.
>
> Richard

2263. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 04:31:21 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <iov19v8lrjsvr6i52f6l2jjv5262vnkqml@4ax.com>
Source record: 33386
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 04:28:25 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote
>
>> Where did you get that?
>>
>> "Significant racial/ethnic differences exist among the Services.
>> Approximately 40 and 41 percent of Army and Navy accessions, respectively,
>> are minorities, as compared to 32 percent of Marine Corps recruits and 31
>> percent of Airforce recruits. The services have maintained their 37
>percent
>> rate of minority recruitment. The proportion of Hispanics and "Other"
>> minorities increased as the proportions of African-American and
>> Anglo-American decreased, generally mirroring the trend in the comparable
>> civilian population. "
>>
>> Minority participation in the armed services is well over 30%.
>
>Umm...
>http://www.thesandiegochannel.com/news/1958753/detail.html
>"Whites still make up the majority of those serving in the military, but by
>a much smaller margin. In 2000, minorities made up 40 percent of the Army,
>35 percent of the Navy, 32 percent of the Marine Corps, and 25 percent of
>the Air Force. "
>
>http://www.military.com/Resources/ResourceFileView?file=guard_help_pages.htm
>The National Guard mirrors America more accurately than ever -- the Army
>National Guard now consists of 26.1 percent minorities and 10.5 percent
>women, while the Air National Guard is composed of 20.3 percent minorities
>and 16.3 percent women.
>
>

2264. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 04:54:56 UTC
From: "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b6rrb0$892b0$2@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What's the difference between a picture of Jesus, and the actual guy named Jesus???

Only takes ONE nail to hang the picture!!

What does INRI stand for???

I'm Nailed Right In

"Erol Gray" <JJ@alliswell.com> wrote in message news:4o9ia.322838$S_4.284739@rwcrnsc53...
> "Hey, I can see my house from here"
>
>

2265. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 05:14:46 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <aP7ka.352537$F1.56573@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 33376
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

That was pretty good.

"Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven> wrote in message
news:9oiv8v0t09eurd51eip7lasbg72j21i40i@4ax.com...
> Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
>
> <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>
>
>
> The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> have access to. Decide for yourself.
>
> http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm
>
>
> January 31, 2003
> A War Crime or an Act of War?
> By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE
>
>
> MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking
> smoking-gun evidence of
> Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to
> re-emphasize the moral case for
> an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most
> dangerous weapons has already
> used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens
> dead, blind or disfigured."
> The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its
> citizens is a familiar part of
> the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up
> concerns the gassing of Iraqi
> Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the
> eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
> President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people,"
> specifically at Halabja, as a
> reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
> But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded
> with poison gas that day

> at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical
> weapons killed the Kurds. This
> is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
> I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence
> Agency's senior political
> analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the
> Army War College from 1988
> to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed
> through Washington having
> to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army
> investigation into how the
> Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified
> version of the report went
> into great detail on the Halabja affair.
> This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came
> about in the course of a
> battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try
> to kill Iranians who had
> seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian
> border. The Kurdish
> civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that
> exchange. But they were not
> Iraq's main target.
> And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United
> States Defense Intelligence
> Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it
> circulated within the
> intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted
> that it was Iranian gas
> that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
> The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the
> battle around Halabja. The
> condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been
> killed with a blood
> agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use.
> The Iraqis, who are thought
> to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have
> possessed blood agents at the
> time.
> These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily,
> as often as the Halabja
> affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article
> in The New Yorker last
> March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report
> or consider that Iranian
> gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is
> brought up, there is
> usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American
> political favoritism
> toward Iraq in its war against Iran.

> I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He
> has much to answer for in
> the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own
> people at Halabja as an
> act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we
> have goes, all of the
> cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of
> war. There may be
> justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.
>
> In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has
> bearing on today might want to
> consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the
> town? A closer look may shed
> light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.
> We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest
> reserves of oil. But in a
> regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important
> that Iraq has the most
> extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris
> and Euphrates, there are
> the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country.
> Iraq was covered with
> irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the
> region.
> Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of
> dams and river control
> projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area.
> And it was this dam the
> Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In
> the 1990's there was much
> discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that
> would bring the waters of
> the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by
> extension, Israel. No
> progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi
> intransigence. With Iraq in American
> hands, of course, all that could change.
> Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that
> probably could not be
> challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by
> controlling its water.
> Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath
> Party is driven from power,
> many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.
> All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting,
> one that would be
> generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama
> bin Laden have proved
> inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also

> failed to create much
> resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United
> Nations sanctions - Iraq's
> conventional forces threaten no one.
> Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is
> that Saddam Hussein has
> committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most
> dramatic case are the
> accusations about Halabja.
> Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American
> people the full facts.
> And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
> show that they were not
> pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
> Revolutionary Guards. Until
> Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
> are we picking on Iraq
> on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
> repressive regimes
> Washington supports?
> Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
> System: Why America Went to
> War in the Persian Gulf."
>
>
>
> On Sun, 06 Apr 2003 06:42:48 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:
>
> >The following are from independent human rights organizations about
Saddam's
> >crimes:
> >
> >Human Rights Watch
> >
> >"The Iraqi government of President Saddam Hussain perpetrated widespread
and
> >gross human rights violations, including arbitrary arrests of suspected
> >political opponents and their relatives, routine torture and
ill-treatment
> >of detainees, summary execution of military personnel and political
> >detainees as part of a "prison cleansing" campaign, and forced expulsions
of
> >Kurds and Turkmen from Kirkuk and other regions." (2002 Report)
> >
> >UN Commission on Human Rights
> >
> >Adopted a resolution strongly condemning "the systematic, widespread and
> >extremely grave violations of human rights and of international
humanitarian
> >law by the Government of Iraq [Saddam Hussein], resulting in an

> >all-pervasive repression and oppression sustained by broad-based
> >discrimination and widespread terror." (4/01)
> >
> >Amnesty International
> >
> >"Political prisoners and detainees were subjected to systematic torture.
The
> >bodies of many of those executed had evident signs of torture. Common
> >methods of physical torture included electric shocks or cigarette burns
to
> >various parts of the body, pulling out of fingernails, rape, long periods
of
> >suspension by the limbs from either a rotating fan in the ceiling or from
a
> >horizontal pole, beating with cables, hosepipe or metal rods, and falaqa
> >(beating on the soles of the feet). In addition, detainees were
threatened
> >with rape and subjected to mock execution. They were placed in cells
where
> >they could hear the screams of others being tortured and were
deliberately
> >deprived of sleep." (Latest Report)
> >
> >(Sermid Al-Sarraf is an Iraqi-American attorney and member of the Iraqi
> >Jurist Association)
> >
> >
> >
>

2266. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 05:33:23 UTC
From: "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b6rrb1$892b0$3@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

So.... the following is God's word I guess....

Isaiah 36:12
Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the wall, that they may eat
their own dung, and drink their own piss with you?

Malachi 2:3
Behold, I will corrupt your seed, and spread dung upon your faces!

Ezekiel 4:12
And thou shalt eat it as barely cakes, and thou shalt bake it with dung that
cometh out of
man..."

Ezekiel 4:15
"Lo, I have given thee cow's dung for man's dung and thou shalt prepare thy
bread
therewith"

JOB 41:19.....
Out of this mouth go burning lamps, and sparks of fire leap out. Out of his
nostrils goeth smoke, as out of a seething pot of caldron. His breath
kindleth coals, and a flame goeth out of his mouth.

Isaiah 34:7
And the unicorns shall come down with them, and the bullocks with the bulls;
and their land shall be soaked with blood, and their dust made fat with
fatness.

Revelation 12:3 "...and behold a GREAT RED DRAGON, having SEVEN HEADS and
ten horns, and seven crowns upon his heads."

Luke 14:26
"If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and wife
and children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot
be my disciple." -

If god can prevent evil, but doesn't, then He isn't all-loving.
If god intends to prevent evil, but cannot, then He isn't omnipotent.
If god both intends to prevent evil and is capable of doing so, then how can
evil exist?

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:v8mj8qdcmbv76f@corp.supernews.com...
> Not only that. But if the Bible is 100 percent God's truth. Then why
hasn't

> it been presented to all people across the world now and in the past 2000
> years or so. Not only that fact but I know that some of my ancestry was
> American Indian and they didn't know anything about Christianity until the
> Europeans came here during the 1600's. You will also find that phenomenon
> occured in a multitude of cultures in the past 2000 years. Now how can
> something be absolute truth if hasn't been brought up to the mass of
people.
> For example, the Bible talks about eternal hell but how can you damn
someone
> to hell for an eternity for not knowing anything about that religion. If
you
> go back and read, you will find that most cultures across the world did
not
> have any contact with Christianity until the past 300 years or so as the
> colonialists moved into Asia, Africa, and South America. People have
existed
> in Vietnam, China, Burkina Faso, Congo, etc for thousands of years yet
have
> never heard anything of Christianity until the past 300 to 400 years at
> best. I suspect there are still some individuals in tribes in South
America
> or in the Pacific/Asia sphere that don't even have a Bible where they can
> study Gods word.
>
> "Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8A846A.BC570B2D@topnow.com...
> > N7MFR wrote:
> > >
> > > I did not say that my opinion is absolute. I said God's truth is
> absolute.
> >
> > Precisely. And that statement, "God's truth is absolute", is
> > just your opinion.
> >
> >
> > > And His Word through scripture is absolute, whether understood fully
or
> not.
> > > Obviously, since there is one truth, we best get about finding out
what
> God
> > > wants from us. I am NOT special. I am a sinner and my righteousness is
> as
> > > dirty rags. So is yours.
> > > I do not have all of the answers. But one thing I do know is that
> JESUS
> > > IS LORD. And the Muslims, Hindus and Buddhists reject that. When those
> > > groups confess that Jesus is the only way to salvation and into the
> kingdom
> > > of God, then they will know the truth and the truth will set them

free.
> > > AMEN.
> > > "Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3E8A7D9D.319D0BE6@topnow.com...
> > > > N7MFR wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > God's truth is absolute.
> > > > >
> > > > You DO realize this is only a statement of opinion? No
> > > > matter how strongly stated or believed?
> > > > >
> > > > You'd be very hard-pressed to collect up any large number of
> > > > Christians from different denominations and find agreement
> > > > on what "God's Truth" actually was about much of anything,
> > > > due to interpretations, translations, context, and different
> > > > starting frameworks. So given that God's Truth is in fact
> > > > absolute, given that there are so many different opinions
> > > > out there, all claiming to be the truth, there's a
> > > > vanishingly small chance that you are the one who possess
> > > > that actual "God's truth." That doesn't even begin to
> > > > address the chance that God's Truth might be Muslim, or
> > > > Jewish, or Hindu, or Bhuddist.
> > > > >
> > > > Face it, your views are philosophy and opinion. You may be
> > > > right, but odds are your views are mostly wrong about what
> > > > God wants unless you're VERY special.
> > > > >
> > > > -- Ross
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > In article
> <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> > > > > "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish
garoting
> a =
> > > > > > > >native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul
...
> for
> > > > =
> > > > > > > > >them I guess it was just fun.
> > > > > > > >

> > > > > > I trust that you were there when this happened, and not relying
on
> > > > > rewritten
> > > > > > text books to glean this information. These same books usually
> fail to
> > > > > mention
> > > > > > the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged
in
> > > before
> > > > > > contact with European men.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that
die
> in
> > > > > grace
> > > > > > will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a
> > > difference.
> > > > > Carl
> > > > > > Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the subconscious.
> > > > > Unconscious
> > > > > > implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the
> subconscious
> > > > > implies
> > > > > > that the content is there but not currently being utilized by
the
> > > mind. If
> > > > > God
> > > > > > were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect
health.
> We
> > > > > don't.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the guys
> at
> > > work.
> > > > > > Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a
> surprise.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming
> destruction
> > > of
> > > > > =
> > > > > > >the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that God
> will =
> > > > > > >impose will be universal, and will be experienced by everything
> and =
> > > > > > >everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for
the

> > > right =
> > > > > > >moment, and punishes everyone.
> > > > > > > >The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite
> beyond
> > > > =
> > > > > > > >imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause
people
> to
> > > > =
> > > > > > > >seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's
life,
> and
> > > > =
> > > > > > > >give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we
are
> the
> > > > =
> > > > > > > >providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving
> sinners
> > > all =
> > > > > > > >we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a little
> mercy
> > > =
> > > > > > > >and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Earthlink
> > > > > > > >May you live as long as you want,
> > > > > > > >and never want as long as you live.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Never say never.
> > > > > > > Nothing is absolute.
> > > > > > >
> > > > >
>
>

2267. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 06:13:28 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <cG8ka.62874$0X.12719428@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33378
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com>, obviously reading challenged and in desperate need of "Hooken On Phonics", spewed...

> Gee that was all before Clinton.
> Wow.
>
> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:I%Pja.56124$0X.11561506@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > The following are from independent human rights organizations about
> Saddam's
> > crimes:
> >
> > Human Rights Watch
> >
> > "The Iraqi government of President Saddam Hussain perpetrated widespread
> and
> > gross human rights violations, including arbitrary arrests of suspected
> > political opponents and their relatives, routine torture and
ill-treatment
> > of detainees, summary execution of military personnel and political
> > detainees as part of a "prison cleansing" campaign, and forced
expulsions
> of
> > Kurds and Turkmen from Kirkuk and other regions." (2002 Report)
> >
> > UN Commission on Human Rights
> >
> > Adopted a resolution strongly condemning "the systematic, widespread and
> > extremely grave violations of human rights and of international
> humanitarian
> > law by the Government of Iraq [Saddam Hussein], resulting in an
> > all-pervasive repression and oppression sustained by broad-based
> > discrimination and widespread terror." (4/01)
> >
> > Amnesty International
> >
> > "Political prisoners and detainees were subjected to systematic torture.
> The
> > bodies of many of those executed had evident signs of torture. Common
> > methods of physical torture included electric shocks or cigarette burns
to
> > various parts of the body, pulling out of fingernails, rape, long
periods

> of
> > suspension by the limbs from either a rotating fan in the ceiling or
from
> a
> > horizontal pole, beating with cables, hosepipe or metal rods, and falaqa
> > (beating on the soles of the feet). In addition, detainees were
threatened
> > with rape and subjected to mock execution. They were placed in cells
where
> > they could hear the screams of others being tortured and were
deliberately
> > deprived of sleep." (Latest Report)

(LATEST REPORT, Ltimo informe, dernier rapport, Der sp teste Bericht, la relazione recentissima, Het laat rapport, Ltimo relat rio, den seneste rapport, Ostateczne sprawozdanie)

> > (Sermid Al-Sarraf is an Iraqi-American attorney and member of the Iraqi
> > Jurist Association)

2268. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 06:20:23 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <s4629v8a7j4g7vktqu07cpa2fpd9p68lhf@4ax.com>
Source record: 33387
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 06:13:28 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com>, obviously reading challenged and in desperate
>need of "Hooken On Phonics", spewed...
>
>> Gee that was all before Clinton.
>> Wow.
>>
>> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
>> news:I%Pja.56124$0X.11561506@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>> > The following are from independent human rights organizations about
>> Saddam's
>> > crimes:
>> >
>> > Human Rights Watch
>> >
>> > "The Iraqi government of President Saddam Hussain perpetrated widespread
>> and
>> > gross human rights violations, including arbitrary arrests of suspected
>> > political opponents and their relatives, routine torture and
>ill-treatment
>> > of detainees, summary execution of military personnel and political
>> > detainees as part of a "prison cleansing" campaign, and forced
>expulsions
>> of
>> > Kurds and Turkmen from Kirkuk and other regions." (2002 Report)
>> >
>> > UN Commission on Human Rights
>> >
>> > Adopted a resolution strongly condemning "the systematic, widespread and
>> > extremely grave violations of human rights and of international
>> humanitarian
>> > law by the Government of Iraq [Saddam Hussein], resulting in an
>> > all-pervasive repression and oppression sustained by broad-based
>> > discrimination and widespread terror." (4/01)
>> >
>> > Amnesty International
>> >

>> > "Political prisoners and detainees were subjected to systematic torture.
>> The
>> > bodies of many of those executed had evident signs of torture. Common
>> > methods of physical torture included electric shocks or cigarette burns
>to
>> > various parts of the body, pulling out of fingernails, rape, long
>periods
>> of
>> > suspension by the limbs from either a rotating fan in the ceiling or
>from
>> a
>> > horizontal pole, beating with cables, hosepipe or metal rods, and falaqa
>> > (beating on the soles of the feet). In addition, detainees were
>threatened
>> > with rape and subjected to mock execution. They were placed in cells
>where
>> > they could hear the screams of others being tortured and were
>deliberately
>> > deprived of sleep." (Latest Report)
>
>(LATEST REPORT, ï¿½ltimo informe, dernier rapport, Der spï¿½teste Bericht, la
>relazione recentissima, Het laat rapport, ï¿½ltimo relatï¿½rio, den seneste
>rapport, Ostateczne sprawozdanie)
>
>> > (Sermid Al-Sarraf is an Iraqi-American attorney and member of the Iraqi
>> > Jurist Association)
>

2269. Re: Scanners becoming illegal in 8 states ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 07:16:06 UTC
From: "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b6rrb8$892b0$7@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33305

The basic intent of my post was..... If you listen to it (and listen only, no interfering with those signals..) and keep it to yourself, I see no problem with listening to anything. The problem comes when that information listened to is used. Simply put... have a scanner capable of cell-phone reception?? SHUT UP and enjoy the damned thing, don't tell anyone about it!!!

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
--. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
.. --. .... - . .-. ...

> Actually, after looking over the SC legislation, VCR's and music cassette
> recorders may be more threatened than the scanner. Scanners (and other radio
> devices - ham radios, CB's, etc) only seem threatened if they have been
> modified to receive frequencies outside their original design (including
> cellular phone, television, broadcast radio, and similar frequencies). The
> legislation excludes reception by air using a dish or antenna, but not
> modification of such equipment.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2270. Re: Pro-43 Strange Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 09:12:38 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB6B945.15BD7%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33379

"Cusack" wrote:

> Got a weird problem I'm hoping someone can
> figure out. I have a Radio Shack (Realistic)
> Pro-43 scanner that has been modified to
> recieve the cell band. I was listening to
> some of the cells calls last night and
> after about 15 minutes I couldn't pick any
> of them up. I have the limit set from 868.000
> - 896.000 and it just keeps searching and
> searching but will not pick up any of these
> calls. I can still listen to the 800 MHZ
> trunking police frequencies with no problem.
> Anyone know what could have happened to
> this thing?

You're right, that is strange. A defect in the circuitry for those frequencies would almost certainly affect the police frequencies also. Have you tried resetting the scanner (watch out - will erase all memories also)? Check your antenna and antenna connector also (especially if you were moving the scanner around at that time). Beyond that, I have no idea what to suggest.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2271. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 10:08:24 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB6C657.15BD9%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33380
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Mark Howell" wrote:

> Wrong. The enlisted ranks of the military services
> are about 60% white, 22 percent black, the rest
> Latino and "other." (snip)

You're looking too closely at race alone - minorities within the military include women, those of different ethnic backgrounds, those of different nationalities, and so on (with black males the largest minority group). A recent Stars and Stripes article showed the breakdown of active duty personnel by race, sex, nationality, ethnic background, rank, and so on. One of the key pronouncements of that article was that minorities were then the majority within the Army and quickly becoming so in the other branches (with charts showing past, present, and future growth of minorities with intersecting points where that had or was projected to change in each branch). After the first sentence, I focused on blacks and whites alone because that seemed to be what he was most interested in.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2272. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 10:09:18 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB6C68E.15BDA%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33381
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dan Gett" wrote:

> That is COMPLETE nonsense. The military is about 10
> percent minority...less than the national average.

See my response to Mark Howell.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2273. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 10:10:26 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB6C6D2.15BDB%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33382
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dan Gett" wrote:

> Where does the misinformation come from?

What misinformation?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2274. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 10:20:19 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Dhcka.64452$0X.12816386@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33383
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote

> "Dan Gett" wrote:
>
> > Where does the misinformation come from?
>
> What misinformation?

Anything that disagrees with anyone who is anti-American is
"misinformation".

(Sorry - gotta go - the black helos are hovering outside again...)

2275. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 10:21:16 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <k8k29vga1nck1a4gnqs0cg2huqrm92q8qs@4ax.com>
Source record: 33388
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 10:20:19 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote
>
>> "Dan Gett" wrote:
>>
>> > Where does the misinformation come from?
>>
>> What misinformation?
>
>Anything that disagrees with anyone who is anti-American is
>"misinformation".
>
>(Sorry - gotta go - the black helos are hovering outside again...)
>

2276. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 10:47:37 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <dHcka.64519$0X.12828801@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33389
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Keep it up - I bet your ISP is getting a kick out of each of these that gets forwarded to them...

2277. I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 11:08:12 UTC
From: Me <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <3E915C20.10302@privacy.net>
Source record: 33390

I've had all the shit I can take about Jesus, black persons, and all the rest of the shit that doesn't belong here about scanners. Does anyone care? Hell, NO! rec.radio.scanner is no longer in my news groups.
Bye Bye all you shit-heads.

2278. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 11:16:54 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3e915ca3.6328918@news-server>
Source record: 33391
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Standard Winradio G303 gives you a very good receiver. But I
became so addicted to the Professional Demodulator, that I can't
imagine my life without it. Especially the continuously variable IF
bandwidth. When you try this you will understand... ;-)

Richard

On 6 Apr 2003 21:31:03 -0700, swl@techie.com (John Partridge) wrote:

>I am just about ready to buy the G303. Should I get the Professional
>Demodulator option? Is it worth it?
>
>John
>
>
>nospam@nospam.com (Richard Clemens) wrote in message
news:<3e8f6942.443814@news-server>...
>
> <snip>
>
>> As to G303i, I have it from good sources that Linux support is coming
>> soon. As with any Winradio product I have tried so far, I am sure it
>> will be worth the wait. I the meantime I am enjoying their Windows
>> interface which is better than anything anybody has done in PC-based
>> radios to-date, plus RF performance I have never experienced even on
>> much more expensive receivers. Read the review in the Feb 2003 issue
>> of the Shortwave Magazine for details.
>>
>> Richard

2279. FS : YAESU VR-120D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 11:21:29 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Zadka.3334$py2.742@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33392
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

TAESU VR-120D wide coverage scanner / receiver. Brand new condition in box, with manual, etc. Also includes optional custom Yaesu soft leather case.

$100.00 shipped via UPS ground, insured.

PAYPAL, US Postal money order, certified bank / cahsier's check or personal check. (Personal check will delay shipping until it clears).

eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

E-Mail if interested.

Dan

2280. Re: Frequencies Operation Iraqi Freedom.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 11:35:56 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <wodka.64550$0X.12854599@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33393

"Huub Roem" <huub.roem@zon_remove_net.nl> wrote in message
news:3e8ffd13$0$1143$4d4ebb8e@read.news.nl.uu.net...
> Hi All,
>
> Check my site http://home.zonnet.nl/huub.roem for the latest details of
> 'Operation Iraqi Freedom' with communication activity in the NW of Europe.
>
> Frequencies, Callsigns and Recorded Audiofiles of the KC-135 from
Mildenhall
> and B-52 from Fairford!
>
> Good Monitoring!
>
> Huub
> the Frequency Monitor Centre in the Netherlands.

Hey - beam some of that over THIS way (USA)!
(Guess I'll have to wait a couple more days - going to Germany)

2281. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 11:37:49 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <hqdka.64551$0X.12854899@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33394

Just stay tuned, folks...

2282. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 11:47:30 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <mzdka.64553$0X.12861024@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33395

"Dr Pepper" <DrPepper@iwvisp.com> wrote in message
news:e70p8v8apu79p3o3ivq9qogafto1rdb2ec@4ax.com...
> Well, it seems to me that this thread is properly tiotled,
> This guy is about as illogical as that which he rails against.

(Next line explains it all)

> > NPR Commentary

2283. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 11:48:28 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <7cp29vou03i6pkl6cumi7n2sm6dm90bp2u@4ax.com>
Source record: 33222

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having
to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army

investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified
version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came
about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try
to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian
border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that
exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United
States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it
circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted
that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the
battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been
killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use.
The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have
possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily,
as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article
in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report
or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is
brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American
political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He
has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own
people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we
have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of
war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 11:47:30 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Dr Pepper" <DrPepper@iwvisp.com> wrote in message
>news:e70p8v8apu79p3o3ivq9qogafto1rdb2ec@4ax.com...
>> Well, it seems to me that this thread is properly tiotled,
>> This guy is about as illogical as that which he rails against.
>
>
>(Next line explains it all)
>
>> > NPR Commentary
>

2284. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 11:48:43 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <ncp29vcd7gca2nacml5mvn6q75pgme5lko@4ax.com>
Source record: 33223

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having
to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army

investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified
version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came
about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try
to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian
border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that
exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United
States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it
circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted
that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the
battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been
killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use.
The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have
possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily,
as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article
in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report
or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is
brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American
political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He
has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own
people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we
have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of
war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 11:37:49 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>Just stay tuned, folks...
>

2285. Re: Frequencies Operation Iraqi Freedom.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 11:48:57 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <4dp29vkeh66r6pdubm161u442531r9tt40@4ax.com>
Source record: 33224

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having
to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army

investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified
version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came
about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try
to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian
border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that
exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United
States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it
circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted
that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the
battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been
killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use.
The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have
possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily,
as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article
in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report
or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is
brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American
political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He
has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own
people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we
have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of
war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 11:35:56 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Huub Roem" <huub.roem@zon_remove_net.nl> wrote in message
>news:3e8ffd13$0$1143$4d4ebb8e@read.news.nl.uu.net...
>> Hi All,
>>
>> Check my site http://home.zonnet.nl/huub.roem for the latest details of
>> 'Operation Iraqi Freedom' with communication activity in the NW of Europe.
>>
>> Frequencies, Callsigns and Recorded Audiofiles of the KC-135 from
>Mildenhall
>> and B-52 from Fairford!
>>
>> Good Monitoring!
>>
>> Huub
>> the Frequency Monitor Centre in the Netherlands.
>
>Hey - beam some of that over THIS way (USA)!
>(Guess I'll have to wait a couple more days - going to Germany)
>

2286. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 11:49:13 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <hdp29vkn8962fh6dkheth2h7ndutk8fsu5@4ax.com>
Source record: 33225
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 10:47:37 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>Keep it up - I bet your ISP is getting a kick out of each of these that gets
>forwarded to them...
>

2287. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 11:59:08 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <gKdka.64566$0X.12868416@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33300

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote
> I just wonder if the US and England will be charged with war crimes as
that
> they are the invading countries and they invaded without provocation.

(Read UN Resolutions 1441, 678, and 687. I won't bother to post them here -
they're on the net).

> I do note that they have almost the entire country
> and have not found any chemical or biological
> weapons

Tune into the news...

> Iraq has never done anything to the US or any of its treaty allies.

Oh? It was another Hollywood stunt?

> Thus this makes it an illegal invasion subject to war crimes charges.

<sigh>

> > > NPR Commentary: Illogical

2288. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 12:00:36 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <ELdka.64569$0X.12869532@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33396

"Jeff Santee" <Jeff352@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:Pdrja.17853$ug3.32661@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...

> Yep I read on some web site they're going to build a transcontinental
> pipeline from these 2 countries right to the Bush estate in Texas.
> Get fucking real, will ya?

Yeah - heard that on NPR and Al-Jazeera...

2289. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 12:00:50 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <a3q29vg3uk2gvff7kn39npb89r65195euo@4ax.com>
Source record: 33226

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having
to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army

investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified
version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came
about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try
to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian
border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that
exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United
States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it
circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted
that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the
battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been
killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use.
The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have
possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily,
as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article
in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report
or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is
brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American
political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He
has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own
people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we
have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of
war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 11:59:08 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote
>> I just wonder if the US and England will be charged with war crimes as
>that
>> they are the invading countries and they invaded without provocation.
>
>(Read UN Resolutions 1441, 678, and 687. I won't bother to post them here -
>they're on the net).
>
>> I do note that they have almost the entire country
>> and have not found any chemical or biological
>> weapons
>
>Tune into the news...
>
>> Iraq has never done anything to the US or any of its treaty allies.
>
>Oh? It was another Hollywood stunt?
>
>> Thus this makes it an illegal invasion subject to war crimes charges.
>
><sigh>
>
>> > > NPR Commentary: Illogical
>

2290. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 12:01:11 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <24q29v0at0gcqe2b13vsogqalgrfce018i@4ax.com>
Source record: 33227

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having
to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army

investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified
version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came
about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try
to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian
border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that
exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United
States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it
circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted
that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the
battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been
killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use.
The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have
possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily,
as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article
in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report
or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is
brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American
political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He
has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own
people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we
have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of
war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 12:00:36 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Jeff Santee" <Jeff352@mchsi.com> wrote in message
>news:Pdrja.17853$ug3.32661@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>
>> Yep I read on some web site they're going to build a transcontinental
>> pipeline from these 2 countries right to the Bush estate in Texas.
>> Get fucking real, will ya?
>
>Yeah - heard that on NPR and Al-Jazeera...
>

2291. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 12:01:21 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <IMdka.64570$0X.12870134@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33297

Oh, brother...

2292. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 12:02:18 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <eNdka.64571$0X.12870473@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33298

"Jeff Santee" <Jeff352@mchsi.com> wrote

> LOL you've got to be kidding dweeb. Who the hell is going to
bring
> the charges?? Who's going to enforce it?? The UN?? LOLOLOL.
> Get a brain will ya. They have used scuds and other missiles they werent
> supposed to have, sure is funny how they keep finding NBC suits and gas
> masks all over. Funny how they keep shooting their own people in the
back.
> Another troll ------ Plonk

Well, they didn't get Chemical Ali and his bodyguard...lol...

2293. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 12:02:40 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <s6q29v0aj6b1bj6vf5ooluqnj81hmi2ibd@4ax.com>
Source record: 33228

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having
to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army

investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified
version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came
about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try
to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian
border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that
exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United
States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it
circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted
that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the
battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been
killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use.
The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have
possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily,
as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article
in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report
or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is
brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American
political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He
has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own
people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we
have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of
war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 12:01:21 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>Oh, brother...
>

2294. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 12:02:58 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <d7q29vc7r3p50gl04u51d6b049kea6rmrj@4ax.com>
Source record: 33229

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having
to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army

investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified
version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came
about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try
to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian
border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that
exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United
States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it
circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted
that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the
battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been
killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use.
The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have
possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily,
as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article
in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report
or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is
brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American
political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He
has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own
people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we
have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of
war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 12:02:18 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Jeff Santee" <Jeff352@mchsi.com> wrote
>
>> LOL you've got to be kidding dweeb. Who the hell is going to
>bring
>> the charges?? Who's going to enforce it?? The UN?? LOLOLOL.
>> Get a brain will ya. They have used scuds and other missiles they werent
>> supposed to have, sure is funny how they keep finding NBC suits and gas
>> masks all over. Funny how they keep shooting their own people in the
>back.
>> Another troll ------ Plonk
>
>Well, they didn't get Chemical Ali and his bodyguard...lol...
>

2295. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 12:03:16 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <u7q29vklngvpovuk5s1h2u92h020a3ansp@4ax.com>
Source record: 33230

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having
to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army

investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified
version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came
about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try
to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian
border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that
exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United
States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it
circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted
that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the
battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been
killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use.
The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have
possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily,
as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article
in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report
or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is
brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American
political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He
has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own
people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we
have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of
war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 12:03:27 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> spewed...
>
><snip>
>
>Good, grief!
>
>*PLONK*
>

2296. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 12:03:27 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <jOdka.64572$0X.12871556@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33299

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> spewed...

<snip>

Good, grief!

PLONK

2297. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 12:04:34 UTC
From: JohnW <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <Xns935652258CCA7JohnW@216.166.71.234>
Source record: 33301

Me <me@privacy.net> wrote in news:3E915C20.10302@privacy.net:

>
> I've had all the shit I can take about Jesus, black persons, and all the
> rest of the shit that doesn't belong here about scanners. Does anyone
> care? Hell, NO! rec.radio.scanner is no longer in my news groups.
> Bye Bye all you shit-heads.
>
>

Guess using a killfile and sending entire threads was too hard for him...
(shrug)

2298. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 12:15:12 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <kZdka.3092$5M6.2245@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33302
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BAB6C657.15BD9%stewart@say.net...
> "Mark Howell" wrote:
>
> > Wrong. The enlisted ranks of the military services
> > are about 60% white, 22 percent black, the rest
> > Latino and "other." (snip)
>
>
> You're looking too closely at race alone - minorities within the
military
> include women, those of different ethnic backgrounds, those of different
> nationalities, and so on (with black males the largest minority group). A
> recent Stars and Stripes article showed the breakdown of active duty
> personnel by race, sex, nationality, ethnic background, rank, and so on.
One
> of the key pronouncements of that article was that minorities were then
the
> majority within the Army and quickly becoming so in the other branches
(with
> charts showing past, present, and future growth of minorities with
> intersecting points where that had or was projected to change in each
> branch). After the first sentence, I focused on blacks and whites alone
> because that seemed to be what he was most interested in.

Nonetheless, extracting women that are not minority group members
themselves, the percentage of Blacks, Latinos and other minorities exceeds
the incidence of these groups in the general population. In other words,
theya re overrepresented.

2299. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 12:44:05 UTC
From: geezer@radio411.com
Message-ID: <3e917271.226814716@news.la.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 33306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 06:08:24 -0400, Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
wrote:

> You're looking too closely at race alone - minorities within the military
>include women, those of different ethnic backgrounds, those of different
>nationalities, and so on (with black males the largest minority group).

Women are not a minority. They are a majority of the U-S population.

Mark Howell

2300. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 12:57:29 UTC
From: Kayakkhan <kayakkhan@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <qqeka.8973$1s1.158411@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 33307
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ummm is this post complete?
I missed the bit about scanners, frequencies , or anything remotely related
to radio

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:kzsja.51495$0X.10218852@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> Positive test for terror toxins in Iraq
>
> Evidence of ricin, botulinum at Islamic militants' camp
>
> SARGAT, Iraq, April 4 - MSNBC.com tests reveal evidence of the deadly
> toxins ricin and botulinum at a laboratory in a remote mountain region of
ETC ETC ETC,,,,,,,,irrelevant diatribe.....snipped

2301. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 13:28:03 UTC
From: Ed N <"Ed N"@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <3E917CE7.4020200@nowhere.com>
Source record: 33308

On or about 4/7/2003 8:04 AM, JohnW penned the following:
> Me <me@privacy.net> wrote in news:3E915C20.10302@privacy.net:
>
>
>>I've had all the shit I can take about Jesus, black persons, and all the
>>rest of the shit that doesn't belong here about scanners. Does anyone
>>care? Hell, NO! rec.radio.scanner is no longer in my news groups.
>>Bye Bye all you shit-heads.
>>
>>
>
>
> Guess using a killfile and sending entire threads was too hard for him...
> (shrug)

Can't say that I blame him. 94.3% of messages in last week had nothing to do with scanners. Why waste the time to download a couple hundred of messages just to have them go to the bit bucket instead of reading them?

I think I'll join him and forget about this group also.

2302. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 13:55:07 UTC
From: Just Lou <Nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <f285880ffe7d40602d73cc4a2e54364d@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 33309

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 13:28:03 GMT, "Ed N" <"Ed N"@nowhere.com> wrote:

>On or about 4/7/2003 8:04 AM, JohnW penned the following:
>> Me <me@privacy.net> wrote in news:3E915C20.10302@privacy.net:
>>
>>
>>>I've had all the shit I can take about Jesus, black persons, and all the
>>>rest of the shit that doesn't belong here about scanners. Does anyone
>>>care? Hell, NO! rec.radio.scanner is no longer in my news groups.
>>>Bye Bye all you shit-heads.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> Guess using a killfile and sending entire threads was too hard for him...
>> (shrug)
>
>Can't say that I blame him. 94.3% of messages in last week had nothing
>to do with scanners. Why waste the time to download a couple hundred of
>messages just to have them go to the bit bucket instead of reading them?
>
>I think I'll join him and forget about this group also.

Considering that you and the original poster are the same, why are you
still here right now? You have time to reply to your own posts under
different aliases, but no time to create a killfile?

2303. Leesburg VA/Sterling VA/ Herndon VA Police Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 14:11:45 UTC
From: ron.sitnick@verizon.net
Message-ID: <52561206.0304070611.4e55ac78@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33310
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have good police/sheriff freqs for the Leesburg and Sterling VA area.
The ones (few) listed in Police Call never seem to be active.
Can find no listings for Herndon.

TIA

2304. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 14:26:59 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E918AF6.3070109@earthlink.net>
Source record: 33311

It's a shame that you choose to lower yourself to there level
by cussing.
Also you choose to open those post. I haven opened one yet.

Maybe you should rethink your own position, your the guy that
won't use your own name, your the person cussing in your
post so, who's the "NUT"

Burr

Me wrote:

> I've had all the shit I can take about Jesus, black persons, and all the
> rest of the shit that doesn't belong here about scanners. Does anyone
> care? Hell, NO! rec.radio.scanner is no longer in my news groups.
> Bye Bye all you shit-heads.
>
>

2305. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 14:29:30 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E918B89.80705@earthlink.net>
Source record: 33312

Cool Lou!!

Burr

2306. FA: Uniden Bearcat BC350A Scanner Base/Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 14:39:01 UTC
From: Paul McCoy <pm1072@netins.net>
Message-ID: <b6s2aptlo1@ins22.netins.net>
Source record: 33313

Uniden Bearcat BC350A Mobile/Base Scanner. Complete setup. Excellent Condition. If interested, please see the following auction link on E-bay:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3017591383

Thanks!

2307. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 14:50:50 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E919088.BD77F0A6@email.com>
Source record: 33314
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> "TMartin831" wrote:
> >
> > Another question: Has there been any formal or informal
> > policy adopted or imposed on the TV networks about
> > showing flag draped coffins being unloaded from planes?
> > Thankfully there have not been many fatalities, but I
> > have not seen any TV coverage of the the deceased being
> > brought home.

Bush Sr banned television from showing flag draped coffins, and later Clinton continued the ban. Apparently Bush Junior is continuing the ban as well.

Do a google search on keywords: bush clinton banned coffins tv (or) television for verification of this ban.

2308. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 14:53:44 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E919136.FD5611C0@email.com>
Source record: 33315
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> "John Simmons" wrote:
> >
> > BEFORE the war, you would see all the troops
> > on TV and they would all be interviewed and
> > the majority of them would be black. Every
> > so often, you would see a white soldier
> > walking around in the background somewhere.
> >
> > Now that the war is on. All the soldiers
> > have turned white. I have only seen 2 black
> > soldiers in our troops on TV during the war.
> >
> > Does someone have an explanation to this
> > very bizarre and mysterious observation?
> >
> > Surely someone else has noticed this?
>
> Most in the military today are minorities (the majority). However, in
> units where skill standards are very high (special forces, armored, airborne
> - the units often featured on television), the majority are white. However,
> the military now seems aware of the impression this creates and is now using
> officers "of color" at many press conferences.

Yes, the black at central command giving the press conferences is the only black I have seen on television in a military outfit during this war. With 40,000 illegal aliens in our military in a deal to get free citizenship into our country as a reward, thanks to the bill Bush signed allowing for this deal, you think you would see some of them in this war too. Where are they hiding them?

2309. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 14:59:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E919255.50409@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33342
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:

>
>
> Yes, the black at central command giving the press conferences is the only black
> I have seen on television in a military outfit during this war.

And you are dumber than a bag of hammers. There have been many
blacks seen on television with their military outfits. Your vision
is really selective isn't it?

2310. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:00:01 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E9192AF.8F55E0E0@email.com>
Source record: 33316
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> have access to. Decide for yourself.
>
> http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Thanks much for this link! I suggest everyone copy these pictures while these are still up. All the sources and websites that I found pictures from before have since been shut down. Save them to your hard drive or CD rom while you can, and email them to everyone you can. Bush can try to stop you from seeing the truth, but until he shuts down the internet, we can make sure as many people get to see what the rest of the world is seeing on their tv sets everyday in all the other countries.

I am so tired of hearing the US networks trying to show some clips from other international networks have to always say that they edited out certain pictures as they are too graphic to be shown on American television. Why is it that the "Most Free Country in the World" doesn't have the freedom to show video that is being shown on television in every other country of the world?

Tired of the censorship, propaganda and lies.

2311. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:00:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E919298.8060101@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33343
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
> The events unfolding today have to really upset you!
>
> WMD are discovered and shown on TV, the Iraqi people are rising up, Saddam
> is falling down...

Now you are going to get Johnnyboy all upset saying untruths (in
his little mind) like that.

2312. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:01:37 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E91930F.89C626EF@email.com>
Source record: 33317
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Petey Arnett wrote:

> Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
>
> <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

Can you post the actual information here regarding this?

2313. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:02:44 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E919352.DC8D3222@email.com>
Source record: 33318
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> have access to. Decide for yourself.
>
> http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

Thanks for posting this link. Pictures of the war Bush doesn't want anyone to see.

2314. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:05:42 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E919404.BA0475F8@email.com>
Source record: 33319
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> "Mark Howell" wrote:
>
> > Wrong. The enlisted ranks of the military services
> > are about 60% white, 22 percent black, the rest
> > Latino and "other." (snip)

Forget about trying to argue about the ACTUAL percentages. Look at the
TELEVISED percentages.

Before the PUBLICLY announced war started: 98% Black military
After the PUBLICLY announced start of the war: 100% White military

2315. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:06:49 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E919448.5114A270@email.com>
Source record: 33320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Petey Arnett wrote:

> Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
>
> <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>
>
> The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> have access to. Decide for yourself.
>
> http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>

Hey, some real nice pictures of the war that are censored and not allowed to be shown on US media.

Thanks for the link!

2316. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:08:03 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <nvgka.64683$0X.12992764@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33321
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed from his anus...

> > The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not

<snip>
> Thanks for posting this link. Pictures of the war Bush doesn't want
anyone to
> see.

What a maroon. You back? Looking at pictures from 1988 that had NOTHING to
do with what's happening NOW?

(Don't look for those "links" to be up for long...)

2317. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:14:56 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E9195F0.30405@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33344
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q wrote:
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed from his anus...
>
>
>>Forget about trying to argue about the ACTUAL percentages. Look at the
>>TELEVISED percentages.
>
>
> LMAO!!!!
>
> Forget facts!!! Look at the pictures on TV!!!!

First he says you can't believe anything reported on television,
then he say get the facts from television. Johnnyboy picks and
chooses what agrees with his argument and then presents it as fact
while everything else is false. This guy is a real piece of work.
Wonder how much Saddam pays him?

2318. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:18:18 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v935lpdk99im8d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33322
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

The events unfolding today have to really upset you!

WMD are discovered and shown on TV, the Iraqi people are rising up, Saddam is falling down...

Ain't life a bitc&...

May the fleas of Saddams moustache infest your tent.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message news:3E9192AF.8F55E0E0@email.com...
> > The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> > have access to. Decide for yourself.
> >
> > http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
> >
> > http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
> >
> > http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm
>
> Thanks much for this link! I suggest everyone copy these pictures while these
> are still up. All the sources and websites that I found pictures from before
> have since been shut down. Save them to your hard drive or CD rom while you
> can, and email them to everyone you can. Bush can try to stop you from seeing
> the truth, but until he shuts down the internet, we can make sure as many people
> get to see what the rest of the world is seeing on their tv sets everyday in all
> the other countries.
>
> I am so tired of hearing the US networks trying to show some clips from other
> international networks have to always say that they edited out certain pictures
> as they are too graphic to be shown on American television. Why is it that the
> "Most Free Country in the World" doesn't have the freedom to show video that is
> being shown on television in every other country of the world?
>

> Tired of the censorship, propaganda and lies.
>
>

2319. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:22:13 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v935t9n6jif5e5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33323

Most other newsgroups have weathered this same storm. Many of the others
have already returned to normal...

"Ed N" <"Ed N"@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:3E917CE7.4020200@nowhere.com...
> On or about 4/7/2003 8:04 AM, JohnW penned the following:
> > Me <me@privacy.net> wrote in news:3E915C20.10302@privacy.net:
> >
> >
> > >>I've had all the shit I can take about Jesus, black persons, and all the
> > >>rest of the shit that doesn't belong here about scanners. Does anyone
> > >>care? Hell, NO! rec.radio.scanner is no longer in my news groups.
> > >>Bye Bye all you shit-heads.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > > Guess using a killfile and sending entire threads was too hard for
him...
> > > (shrug)
>
> Can't say that I blame him. 94.3% of messages in last week had nothing
> to do with scanners. Why waste the time to download a couple hundred of
> messages just to have them go to the bit bucket instead of reading them?
>
> I think I'll join him and forget about this group also.
>

2320. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:26:41 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9365lfskg3r59@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You have quoted things not only out of context, but changed the wording as well.

But! you already knew that and are either a troll, or are too stupid to read the actual text.

My guess is that you have found this listed on one of the "God is dead sites" and quoted it verbatim with no investigation on your part.

Mores the pity...

"Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message news:b6rrb1$892b0$3@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de...
> So.... the following is God's word I guess....
>
> Isaiah 36:12
> Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the wall, that they may eat
> their own dung, and drink their own piss with you?
>
> Malachi 2:3
> Behold, I will corrupt your seed, and spread dung upon your faces!
>
> Ezekiel 4:12
> And thou shalt eat it as barely cakes, and thou shalt bake it with dung
that
> cometh out of
> man..."
>
> Ezekiel 4:15
> "Lo, I have given thee cow's dung for man's dung and thou shalt prepare
thy
> bread
> therewith"
>
> JOB 41:19.....
> Out of this mouth go burning lamps, and sparks of fire leap out. Out of
his
> nostrils goeth smoke, as out of a seething pot of caldron. His breath
> kindleth coals, and a flame goeth out of his mouth.
>
> Isaiah 34:7
> And the unicorns shall come down with them, and the bullocks with the
bulls;
> and their land shall be soaked with blood, and their dust made fat with

> fatness.
>
> Revelation 12:3 "...and behold a GREAT RED DRAGON, having SEVEN HEADS and
> ten horns, and seven crowns upon his heads."
>
> Luke 14:26
> "If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and
wife
> and children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he
cannot
> be my disciple." -
>
> If god can prevent evil, but doesn't, then He isn't all-loving.
> If god intends to prevent evil, but cannot, then He isn't omnipotent.
> If god both intends to prevent evil and is capable of doing so, then how
can
> evil exist?
>
>
>
>
>
> "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:v8mj8qdcmbv76f@corp.supernews.com...
> > Not only that. But if the Bible is 100 percent God's truth. Then why
> hasn't
> > it been presented to all people across the world now and in the past
2000
> > years or so. Not only that fact but I know that some of my ancestry was
> > American Indian and they didn't know anything about Christianity until
the
> > Europeans came here during the 1600's. You will also find that
phenomenon
> > occured in a multitude of cultures in the past 2000 years. Now how can
> > something be absolute truth if hasn't been brought up to the mass of
> people.
> > For example, the Bible talks about eternal hell but how can you damn
> someone
> > to hell for an eternity for not knowing anything about that religion. If
> you
> > go back and read, you will find that most cultures across the world did
> not
> > have any contact with Christianity until the past 300 years or so as the
> > colonialists moved into Asia, Africa, and South America. People have
> existed
> > in Vietnam, China, Burkina Faso, Congo, etc for thousands of years yet
> have
> > never heard anything of Christianity until the past 300 to 400 years at
> > best. I suspect there are still some individuals in tribes in South
> America

> > or in the Pacific/Asia sphere that don't even have a Bible where they
can
> > study Gods word.
> >
> > "Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E8A846A.BC570B2D@topnow.com...
> > > N7MFR wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I did not say that my opinion is absolute. I said God's truth is
> > absolute.
> > >
> > > Precisely. And that statement, "God's truth is absolute", is
> > > just your opinion.
> > >
> > >
> > > > And His Word through scripture is absolute, whether understood fully
> or
> > not.
> > > > Obviously, since there is one truth, we best get about finding out
> what
> > God
> > > > wants from us. I am NOT special. I am a sinner and my righteousness
is
> > as
> > > > dirty rags. So is yours.
> > > > I do not have all of the answers. But one thing I do know is that
> > JESUS
> > > > IS LORD. And the Muslims, Hindus and Buddhists reject that. When
those
> > > > groups confess that Jesus is the only way to salvation and into the
> > kingdom
> > > > of God, then they will know the truth and the truth will set them
> free.
> > > > AMEN.
> > > > "Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3E8A7D9D.319D0BE6@topnow.com...
> > > > > N7MFR wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > God's truth is absolute.
> > > > >
> > > > > You DO realize this is only a statement of opinion? No
> > > > > matter how strongly stated or believed?
> > > > >
> > > > > You'd be very hard-pressed to collect up any large number of
> > > > > Christians from different denominations and find agreement
> > > > > on what "God's Truth" actually was about much of anything,
> > > > > due to interpretations, translations, context, and different
> > > > > starting frameworks. So given that God's Truth is in fact
> > > > > absolute, given that there are so many different opinions
> > > > > out there, all claiming to be the truth, there's a

> > > > > vanishingly small chance that you are the one who possess
> > > > > that actual "God's truth." That doesn't even begin to
> > > > > address the chance that God's Truth might be Muslim, or
> > > > > Jewish, or Hindu, or Bhuddist.
> > > > >
> > > > > Face it, your views are philosophy and opinion. You may be
> > > > > right, but odds are your views are mostly wrong about what
> > > > > God wants unless you're VERY special.
> > > > >
> > > > > -- Ross
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > "Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > > In article
> > <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> > > > > > "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish
> garoting
> > a =
> > > > > > > >native who had just converted - they did so to save his soul
> ...
> > for
> > > > > =
> > > > > > > >them I guess it was just fun.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I trust that you were there when this happened, and not
relying
> on
> > > > > > rewritten
> > > > > > > text books to glean this information. These same books usually
> > fail to
> > > > > > mention
> > > > > > > the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were engaged
> in
> > > > before
> > > > > > > contact with European men.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those that
> die
> > in
> > > > > > grace
> > > > > > > will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a

> > > > difference.
> > > > > > Carl
> > > > > > > Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the
subconscious.
> > > > > > Unconscious
> > > > > > > implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the
> > subconscious
> > > > > > implies
> > > > > > > that the content is there but not currently being utilized by
> the
> > > > > mind. If
> > > > > > God
> > > > > > > were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect
> health.
> > We
> > > > > > don't.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the
guys
> > at
> > > > > work.
> > > > > > > Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a
> > surprise.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming
> > destruction
> > > > > of
> > > > > > =
> > > > > > > >the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that
God
> > will =
> > > > > > >impose will be universal, and will be experienced by
everything
> > and =
> > > > > > >everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits for
> the
> > > > > right =
> > > > > > >moment, and punishes everyone.
> > > > > > >The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is quite
> > beyond
> > > > > =
> > > > > > >imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause
> people
> > to
> > > > > =
> > > > > > >seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's
> life,
> > and
> > > > > =

> > > > > > > >give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that we
> are
> > the
> > > > =
> > > > > > > >providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving
> > sinners
> > > > all =
> > > > > > > >we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a
little
> > mercy
> > > > =
> > > > > > > >and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Earthlink
> > > > > > > >May you live as long as you want,
> > > > > > > >and never want as long as you live.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Never say never.
> > > > > > > Nothing is absolute.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

2321. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:36:09 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E919B27.801954CA@email.com>
Source record: 33325
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:

> The events unfolding today have to really upset you!
>
> WMD are discovered and shown on TV

Oh really? Then why didn't Iraq USE them on us?????????

Trust logic! If they had any chemical weapons, they would have used them.
What would they be saving them for? THINK! If any chemical weapons are
"found" they will be planted first.

Just try and come up with some sort of LOGICAL explanation as to why they didn't
USE any chemical weapons if they had any.

Plus this story is too new! If you haven't learned by now, this is what
happens. The US media announces something, then later it is proven false.
How many times a day over how many weeks until you figure this out?

2322. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:40:37 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E919BF5.3010302@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33199
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
>
>
>>The events unfolding today have to really upset you!
>>
>>WMD are discovered and shown on TV
>
>
> Oh really? Then why didn't Iraq USE them on us?????????
>
> Trust logic!

Something you and the Iraqi Minister of Mis-information completely
lack.

2323. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:44:41 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E919D27.C2610D76@email.com>
Source record: 33326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:

> You have quoted things not only out of context, but changed the wording as
> well.

Does it really matter what context "eating shit and drinking piss" came from?
Or Jesus telling us to HATE our family? What part of the rest could possible
change the meaning of these quotes? Perhaps something like:
John 3:876.2: "Oh, sorry, I was only kidding about that last part."

Perhaps all those quotes about needing to worship God, or follow Jesus were "out
of context" too.

The next time YOU try to quote anything from the Bible to help YOUR statements,
then we shall accept them as being not what they say either, because you took
them "out of context" and changed the wording!

For the record, THE WORDING WAS NOT CHANGED!! Go to the bookstore and pick up
a few Bibles to see that each Bible by each publishing company is worded
different. The part about DRINKING PISS was changed in some versions, because
they found the original text "offensive" Imagine, the word of God offensive!

> My guess is that you have found this listed on one of the "God is dead
> sites" and quoted it verbatim with no investigation on your part.

While you "guessed" that, now we all KNOW that you have never READ the bible or
bothered to look up the quotes to see they are indeed accurate.

2324. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:45:04 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9377v6sktan5f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33327
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John, go find a girl friend or boy friend(according to your sexual
preference) and leave the big kids alone...

You are rapidly becoming a petulant child and an annoying pest.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E919B27.801954CA@email.com...
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
>
> > The events unfolding today have to really upset you!
> >
> > WMD are discovered and shown on TV
>
> Oh really? Then why didn't Iraq USE them on us?????????
>
> Trust logic! If they had any chemical weapons, they would have used
them.
> What would they be saving them for? THINK! If any chemical weapons
are
> "found" they will be planted first.
>
> Just try and come up with some sort of LOGICAL explanation as to why they
didn't
> USE any chemical weapons if they had any.
>
> Plus this story is too new! If you haven't learned by now, this is what
> happens. The US media announces something, then later it is proven
false.
> How many times a day over how many weeks until you figure this out?
>
>
>
>

2325. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:48:41 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E919DD9.20906@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Simmons wrote:

>
>
> And when YOU try to show them quotes in the bible they don't like, then
> they claim you took them "out of context"!

Kinda like you do about the war with your buddy Saddam.

2326. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:48:45 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E919E1B.5AC6F504@email.com>
Source record: 33328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

James Arnold wrote:

> No educated person accepts the genesis stories as factual

Now we are starting to talk some sense.

> We have endless freewill to make choices

Just as much "freewill" as a slave had to disobey his master. You can call it "freewill" if that makes you feel better about life, but if certain "choices" result in punishment, then you really don't have any freewill at all.

"Hey niggah! Pick that cotton NOW! You have the freewill NOT to, and take my whip instead. Make your freewill choice!"

> >>I see your point and what you quoted as Scripture is in fact in that Book. I
> >>find it odd that such moral filth would be in a book stated as Holy.
> >
> >
> > All the while those that ban books that don't even come close to this filth,
> > wave this pornographic book in the air while they protest the much less
> > offensive books. Like Tom Sawyer and the like.
> >
> >
> >>Not to
> >>mention the Song of Solomon etc. My comment in regards to the morals in the
> >>Bible regards a few different stories and teachings. I find a lot of the
> >>book to be that of a cruel God who creates his people so that they can
> >>eventually fail and suffer in some lake of fire even if they do not know his
> >>son. I find that all strange.
> >
> >
> > And look at where it all starts. He creates a man and woman without any
> > knowledge of right or wrong. He then places an apple tree in front of them and
> > tells them not to eat any sweet tasty apples from it. Then he goes away. He
> > creates the humans with curiosity, but not with knowledge, and of course he
> > knows what is going to happen. They eat from the tree and THEN gain the
> > knowledge that it was wrong to do so, only after doing it.
> >
> > This is worse than when a cop posses as a prostitute to entrap someone, at least
> > the victim had knowledge that prostitution is illegal. (dressing up as a
> > prostitute and soliciting sex is also illegal, but oh well)
> >
> > If God REALLY didn't want them to have knowledge and remain stupid, why did he
> > put the tree right in front of them? If you don't want your 3 year old

> > playing with guns and matches, do you put them right in front of the child and
> > then tell them NOT to touch them and then leave the house? That is what God
> > does! But only because god is evil and knows exactly what happens when you do
> > something like that.
> >
> > So, now that man did exactly what he programmed them to do, he punishes them and
> > makes all life hard. No more garden of Eden, you have to deal with hard dirt to
> > plant and grow crops, child birth becomes extremely painful, etc., etc., all as
> > the good book mentions god deliberately did to make life so unenjoyable because
> > now man has the knowledge to appreciate all this pain and grief.
> >
> >
> >>Its just like the fact that there is a verse
> >>where soldiers are commanded to smash babies heads into the wall and Moses
> >>is ordered to kill all kinds of people. In addition, if God needed Moses to
> >>kill those people, then why didnt he do them himself
> >
> >
> > He did. He flooded the world and drowned most of mankind. Then he realized it
> > was WRONG to do that, and promised to never do it again. Yet when does a year
> > go by that somewhere in the world a major flood kills more people? How often
> > does his tornados plow through churches full of people worshiping him and kill
> > them all? He is a child with a jar full of insects that he likes to torture
> > one by one.
> >
> >
> >>>What moral message? You mean filth like this:
> >>>
> >>>Isaiah 36:12
> >>>Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the wall, that they may eat
> >>
> >>their
> >>
> >>>own dung, and drink their
> >>>own piss with you?
> >>>
> >>>Malachi 2:3
> >>>Behold, I will corrupt your seed, and spread dung upon your faces!
> >>>
> >>>And thou shalt eat it as barely cakes, and thou shalt bake it
> >>>with dung that cometh out of man..." - Ezekiel 4:12
> >>>
> >>> "Lo, I have given thee cow's dung for man's dung and thou
> >>>shalt prepare thy bread therewith" - Ezekiel 4:15
> >>>
> >>>Or do you mean the moral message from Jesus that we should all hate our
> >>
> >>mothers,
> >>
> >>>fathers, children, etc?

> >>>
> >>>"If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and
> >>
> >>wife and
> >>
> >>>children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he cannot
> >>
> >>be my
> >>
> >>>disciple." - Luke 14:26
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Its like in the Old Testament when God commanded Moses to kill, yet when
> >>
> >>one
> >>
> >>>>thinks about it, since God has all the power then why doesnt he kill
> >>
> >>them
> >>
> >>>>instead of commanding Moses.
> >>>
> >>>Why doesn't God just get rid of Satan? That would end all of our
> >>
> >>problems.
> >>
> >>>If god can prevent evil, but doesn't, then He isn't all-loving.
> >>>If god intends to prevent evil, but cannot, then He isn't omnipotent.
> >>>If god both intends to prevent evil and is capable of doing so, then how
> >>
> >>can
> >>
> >>>evil exist?
> >>>
> >>>One of the following two statements MUST be true:
> >>>
> >>>1) There is no god.
> >>>2) God is evil.
> >>>
> >>>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

2327. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:50:57 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E919E9F.1CE0E26@email.com>
Source record: 33329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mefix wrote:

> "N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:JdNia.5697$ey1.444941@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> [snip junk]
>
> When the questions get tough, just quote the bible eh? :^)

And when YOU try to show them quotes in the bible they don't like, then they claim you took them "out of context"!

There really is no way to take out of context the part about eating shit and drinking piss, or Jesus telling you to hate your parents and family, unless somewhere later in the bible it says: "Only kidding!" Which it doesn't.

2328. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:54:47 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E919F85.D826AF5C@email.com>
Source record: 33330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mefix wrote:

> "N7MFR" <pilotbutteradio@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:i%Xia.6347$ey1.506300@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> > Thats why He is God, and I am dust.
>

I will remember that the next time someone cries "murder" over an abortion. That is NOT a child or a baby, it is just DUST!

If you want to see REAL murder, take a look at what born again Christian Bush is doing to REAL children and adults in Iraq! That Dust is bleeding and losing their arms and legs.

LOOK at them here:
http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

Your Christian leader Bush doesn't want you to see these photos, but everyone else in the rest of the world has seen them, it is time you took a look.

2329. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:56:44 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E919FFA.CFA37883@email.com>
Source record: 33331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cordell Ward wrote:

> If you are dust, why do you spend so much time worrying about what will
> become of you after this life?

Why worry, the bible says you will return to the dust of the earth.
That's it, no afterlife in the upper atmosphere with the clouds and other
dead people with big white wings playing harps.

How come when you are in an airplane, you don't see any of these angels or
harps in or above the clouds?

2330. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 15:59:59 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E91A0BD.A76000E9@email.com>
Source record: 33332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cordell Ward wrote:

> Correction:
>
> He says hate our parents because we have to LOVE Him above all else,. even
> our
> families. Don't let the word hate hang you up.

Such bullshit. Then when he says Thou Shalt not Kill. He means you should
just not kill Him. Don't let the word KILL hang you up either.

You are changing the subject to something about loving him, when it does not say
that, YOU say that, and then you forget that even loving him does not change the
DIRECT ORDER from him to HATE our parents!

> - Jesus never made a typo

That's why the HATE part is very important and you need to realize he MEANT
HATE!

> > > WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?

2331. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:00:39 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E91A0E5.51A9B4B1@email.com>
Source record: 33333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Walt127@world.net wrote:

WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?

2332. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:18:48 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <lxhka.64686$0X.13029238@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33334
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Since you asked...
And feel free to post this anywhere you see the bilge from "Petey Arnette" and his ilk. The truth can be found below - to quote "Petey", "LOTS OF LINKS"

"Q"

http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html

(Go to this link:
http://www.krg.org/reference/halabja/index.asp
and click on the link on the right that says "Pictures tell the story - Slide Show)

Also on this web page:
Who was responsible?
Iraqi forces under the command of Ali Hassan Majid, infamously known as Ali Chemical by the Kurds, were responsible for this despicable act of inhumanity.

http://kurdistan.org/Multimedia/iraq.html

http://www.gendercide.org/case_anfal.html

http://www.after55.com/art10.htm

http://www.jewishagency-ed.org/actual/iraq/4.html

http://www.krg.org/reference/hrw-anfal/anfal9.asp#taymour

http://home.cogeco.ca/~konews/9-9-02-ali-chemical-in-algeria.html

http://www.krg.org/docs/articles/chivers-times-demonstrators-gas-masks-jan03.asp

http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2003/04/iraq/20030404-1.html

http://www.pbs.org/newshour/forum/september96/kurds_9-6.html

http://www.cooperativeresearch.org/wotiraq/saddamwarcriminal.htm

http://www.banneroflibertv.com/BOL-03MQC/3-31-2003.1.html

http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/middle_east/1100529.stm

http://www.emergency.com/hussein1.htm

http://www.nctimes.net/news/2003/20030126/53539.html

http://www.db.idpproject.org/Sites/idpSurvey.nsf/wViewCountries/9ACD49E9BEFFFADDC1256BD40028ED07

http://www.banneroflliberty.com/BOL-03MQC/2-21-2003.1.html

http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/iraq_wmd/Iraq_Oct_2002.htm#05

UP TO 7,000 KILLED INSTANTLY

Experts estimate that between 5,000 and 7,000 residents were killed immediately while tens of thousands more were exposed over the years by drinking contaminated water or eating contaminated food. A photograph of a man shielding an infant with his body -- both were killed by the gas -- has become an icon of Kurdish suffering and a monument here.

Halabja, a city some 150 miles northeast of Baghdad in the southern part of so-called Iraqi Kurdistan, is situated at the foot of mountains that separate Iraq from Iran. It is below the 36th parallel and thus outside the protected area of the American and British fighter-patrolled U.N. "no-fly" zone established after the Gulf War.

Halabja was once a vibrant market town of 80,000. Today, the population has dwindled to about 43,000. Doctors say residents suffer from a range of cancers, respiratory disorders such as asthma and pulmonary fibrosis, skin rashes, birth defects, Down syndrome, infertility and mental health problems.

Christine Gosden, head of Medical Genetics at Liverpool University in northwest England, is one of the few scientists to research the aftermath of the 1988 attack. She estimates that more than half the population suffers from respiratory problems and that major chromosomal disorders such as cleft palates and spina bifida appear in three times the number of people than in the nearby city of Sulaymaniyah, 10 times the size of Halabja.

Karem and Gosden co-wrote a 1998 study of the aftereffects of the Halabja incident. It showed that the situation gets worse each year as the chemicals alter a victim's DNA.

The Kurdish physician pointed out that in April, there were four stillborn and four anencephalic (born without most of the brain) babies out of 108 deliveries. "Even two years ago, it was not like this," he said.

The report also showed that the gas attack had a profound psychological effect in a city that lacks even a single mental health worker.

Depression and anxiety are common, and the rates of suicide are higher than average. It is not uncommon for some people to feel the need to keep a suitcase packed in case of another gas attack.

In 1999, Karem asked the World Health Organization to do an environmental study but was told the subject was too "sensitive." Although the Kurds run the local government, U.N. agencies are here by invitation of the Iraqi government, and such research could alienate their hosts.

Although new and clean, Halabja Martyrs Hospital lacks basic equipment such as surgical gloves and antibiotics, let alone chemotherapy to treat cancer or equipment to transplant a diseased lung. As a result, victims like Mohammed, now 84, receive no treatment and die slowly at home.

Mohammed, however, will not die in peace. He has a new worry -- another chemical attack by Iraqi planes if the United States opts to take out Hussein militarily. It's a fear that is not uncommon in Halabja.

"People here have a phobia for Saddam Hussein," said Karem. "And they have a phobia for the future."

2333. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:20:34 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <8a939vkst9mvt3ho5ccmuo5m3mrplav0hv@4ax.com>
Source record: 33208
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 16:18:48 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>Since you asked...
> And feel free to post this anywhere you see the bilge from "Petey Arnette"
>and his ilk. The truth can be found below - to quote "Petey", "LOTS OF
>LINKS"
>
>"Q"
>
>
>http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html
>
>(Go to this link:
>http://www.krg.org/reference/halabja/index.asp
>and click on the link on the right that says "Pictures tell the story -
>Slide Show)
>
>Also on this web page:
>Who was responsible?
>Iraqi forces under the command of Ali Hassan Majid, infamously known as Ali
>Chemical by the Kurds, were responsible for this despicable act of
>inhumanity.
>
>http://kurdistan.org/Multimedia/iraq.html
>
>http://www.gendercide.org/case_anfal.html
>
>http://www.after55.com/art10.htm
>
>http://www.jewishagency-ed.org/actual/iraq/4.html
>
>http://www.krg.org/reference/hrw-anfal/anfal9.asp#taymour
>
>http://home.cogeco.ca/~konews/9-9-02-ali-chemical-in-algeria.html
>
>http://www.krg.org/docs/articles/chivers-times-demonstrators-gas-masks-jan03
>.asp
>

>http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2003/04/iraq/20030404-1.html
>
>http://www.pbs.org/newshour/forum/september96/kurds_9-6.html
>
>http://www.cooperativeresearch.org/wotiraq/saddamwarcriminal.htm
>
>http://www.bannerofliberty.com/BOL-03MQC/3-31-2003.1.html
>
>http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/middle_east/1100529.stm
>
>http://www.emergency.com/hussein1.htm
>
>http://www.nctimes.net/news/2003/20030126/53539.html
>
>http://www.db.idpproject.org/Sites/idpSurvey.nsf/wViewCountries/9ACD49E9BEFF
>FADDC1256BD40028ED07
>
>http://www.bannerofliberty.com/BOL-03MQC/2-21-2003.1.html
>
>http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/iraq_wmd/Iraq_Oct_2002.htm#05
>
>
>UP TO 7,000 KILLED INSTANTLY
>
>Experts estimate that between 5,000 and 7,000 residents were killed
>immediately while tens of thousands more were exposed over the years by
>drinking contaminated water or eating contaminated food. A photograph of a
>man shielding an infant with his body -- both were killed by the gas -- has
>become an icon of Kurdish suffering and a monument here.
>
>Halabja, a city some 150 miles northeast of Baghdad in the southern part of
>so-called Iraqi Kurdistan, is situated at the foot of mountains that
>separate Iraq from Iran. It is below the 36th parallel and thus outside the
>protected area of the American and British fighter-patrolled U.N. "no-fly"
>zone established after the Gulf War.
>
>Halabja was once a vibrant market town of 80,000. Today, the population has
>dwindled to about 43,000. Doctors say residents suffer from a range of
>cancers, respiratory disorders such as asthma and pulmonary fibrosis, skin
>rashes, birth defects, Down syndrome, infertility and mental health
>problems.
>
>Christine Gosden, head of Medical Genetics at Liverpool University in
>northwest England, is one of the few scientists to research the aftermath of
>the 1988 attack. She estimates that more than half the population suffers
>from respiratory problems and that major chromosomal disorders such as cleft
>palates and spina bifida appear in three times the number of people than in
>the nearby city of Sulaymaniyah, 10 times the size of Halabja.
>
>Karem and Gosden co-wrote a 1998 study of the aftereffects of the Halabja

>incident. It showed that the situation gets worse each year as the chemicals
>alter a victim's DNA.
>
>The Kurdish physician pointed out that in April, there were four stillborn
>and four anencephalic (born without most of the brain) babies out of 108
>deliveries. "Even two years ago, it was not like this," he said.
>
>The report also showed that the gas attack had a profound psychological
>effect in a city that lacks even a single mental health worker.
>
>Depression and anxiety are common, and the rates of suicide are higher than
>average. It is not uncommon for some people to feel the need to keep a
>suitcase packed in case of another gas attack.
>
>In 1999, Karem asked the World Health Organization to do an environmental
>study but was told the subject was too "sensitive." Although the Kurds run
>the local government, U.N. agencies are here by invitation of the Iraqi
>government, and such research could alienate their hosts.
>
>Although new and clean, Halabja Martyrs Hospital lacks basic equipment such
>as surgical gloves and antibiotics, let alone chemotherapy to treat cancer
>or equipment to transplant a diseased lung. As a result, victims like
>Mohammed, now 84, receive no treatment and die slowly at home.
>
>Mohammed, however, will not die in peace. He has a new worry -- another
>chemical attack by Iraqi planes if the United States opts to take out
>Hussein militarily. It's a fear that is not uncommon in Halabja.
>
>"People here have a phobia for Saddam Hussein," said Karem. "And they have a
>phobia for the future."
>

2334. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:21:56 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <EAhka.64687$0X.13031010@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33335
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed from his anus...

> Forget about trying to argue about the ACTUAL percentages. Look at the
> TELEVISED percentages.

LMAO!!!!

Forget facts!!! Look at the pictures on TV!!!!

2335. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:23:41 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <hChka.64688$0X.13031624@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33336
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed from his anus...

> Petey Arnett wrote:
>
> > Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
> >
> > <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>
> >
> > The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> > have access to. Decide for yourself.
> >
> > http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
> >
>
> Hey, some real nice pictures of the war that are censored and not allowed
to be
> shown on US media.
>
> Thanks for the link!

You are a complete ass.
Want to know where this gutless, ignorant anal-retentive got those images
from?

http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html

I can't believe even YOU could believe such garbage.

"Q"

2336. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:25:17 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <NDhka.64689$0X.13032362@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33337
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed from his anus...

> Yes, the black at central command giving the press conferences is the only
black
> I have seen on television in a military outfit during this war. With
40,000
> illegal aliens in our military in a deal to get free citizenship into our
country
> as a reward, thanks to the bill Bush signed allowing for this deal, you
think you
> would see some of them in this war too. Where are they hiding them?

Your selective vision is at work again...

By the way...

Here's where "Petey" gets his garbage from:

http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html

2337. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:25:19 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <1j939v0stb8o0p5a6qqldsr7g27jsm1io7@4ax.com>
Source record: 33209
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 16:23:41 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed from his anus...
>
>> Petey Arnett wrote:
>>
>> > Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
>> >
>> > <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>
>> >
>> > The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
>> > have access to. Decide for yourself.
>> >
>> > http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>> >
>>
>> Hey, some real nice pictures of the war that are censored and not allowed
>to be
>> shown on US media.
>>
>> Thanks for the link!
>
>
>You are a complete ass.
>Want to know where this gutless, ignorant anal-retentive got those images
>from?
>
>http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html
>
>I can't believe even YOU could believe such garbage.
>
>"Q"
>
>

2338. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:26:18 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <uk939vgmg48nt3fbkmhgk6738l26ire1m4@4ax.com>
Source record: 33211
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 16:25:17 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed from his anus...
>
>> Yes, the black at central command giving the press conferences is the only
>black
>> I have seen on television in a military outfit during this war. With
>40,000
>> illegal aliens in our military in a deal to get free citizenship into our
>country
>> as a reward, thanks to the bill Bush signed allowing for this deal, you
>think you
>> would see some of them in this war too. Where are they hiding them?
>
>Your selective vision is at work again...
>
>By the way...
>
>Here's where "Petey" gets his garbage from:
>
>http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html
>

2339. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:27:19 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <HFhka.64690$0X.13033307@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33338
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed from his anus...

> Thanks much for this link! I suggest everyone copy these pictures while
these
> are still up. All the sources and websites that I found pictures from
before
> have since been shut down. Save them to your hard drive or CD rom while
you
> can, and email them to everyone you can. Bush can try to stop you from
seeing
> the truth, but until he shuts down the internet, we can make sure as many
people
> get to see what the rest of the world is seeing on their tv sets everyday
in all
> the other countries.
>
> I am so tired of hearing the US networks trying to show some clips from
other
> international networks have to always say that they edited out certain
pictures
> as they are too graphic to be shown on American television. Why is it
that the
> "Most Free Country in the World" doesn't have the freedom to show video
that is
> being shown on television in every other country of the world?
>
> Tired of the censorship, propaganda and lies.

Really? Why not look where these scum steal their pictures from and accuse
the US for it?

http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html

Now, tell us about how you appreciate the TRUTH.

brain dead.

2340. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:28:30 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <OGhka.64691$0X.13033812@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33339
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote

> The events unfolding today have to really upset you!
>
> WMD are discovered and shown on TV, the Iraqi people are rising up, Saddam
> is falling down...
>
>
> Ain't life a bitc&...
>
> May the fleas of Saddams moustache infest your tent.

And to set the record straight, come see where "Petey" and his ilk get their
pictures from:

http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html

> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E9192AF.8F55E0E0@email.com...
> > > The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> > > have access to. Decide for yourself.
> > >
> > > http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
> > >
> > > http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
> > >
> > > http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm
> >
> > Thanks much for this link! I suggest everyone copy these pictures
while
> these
> > are still up. All the sources and websites that I found pictures from
> before
> > have since been shut down. Save them to your hard drive or CD rom
while
> you
> > can, and email them to everyone you can. Bush can try to stop you
from
> seeing
> > the truth, but until he shuts down the internet, we can make sure as
many
> people
> > get to see what the rest of the world is seeing on their tv sets
everyday

> in all
> > the other countries.
> >
> > I am so tired of hearing the US networks trying to show some clips from
> other
> > international networks have to always say that they edited out certain
> pictures
> > as they are too graphic to be shown on American television. Why is it
> that the
> > "Most Free Country in the World" doesn't have the freedom to show video
> that is
> > being shown on television in every other country of the world?
> >
> > Tired of the censorship, propaganda and lies.
> >
> >
>
>

2341. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:29:14 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <dq939v4vfh8rbt7v0jl6oo6c5ks0ir4e3i@4ax.com>
Source record: 33212
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 16:27:19 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> spewed from his anus...
>
>> Thanks much for this link! I suggest everyone copy these pictures while
>these
>> are still up. All the sources and websites that I found pictures from
>before
>> have since been shut down. Save them to your hard drive or CD rom while
>you
>> can, and email them to everyone you can. Bush can try to stop you from
>seeing
>> the truth, but until he shuts down the internet, we can make sure as many
>people
>> get to see what the rest of the world is seeing on their tv sets everyday
>in all
>> the other countries.
>>
>> I am so tired of hearing the US networks trying to show some clips from
>other
>> international networks have to always say that they edited out certain
>pictures
>> as they are too graphic to be shown on American television. Why is it
>that the
>> "Most Free Country in the World" doesn't have the freedom to show video
>that is
>> being shown on television in every other country of the world?
>>
>> Tired of the censorship, propaganda and lies.
>
>Really? Why not look where these scum steal their pictures from and accuse
>the US for it?
>
>http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html
>
>Now, tell us about how you appreciate the TRUTH.
>
>brain dead.

>

2342. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:29:54 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <pr939v84m8q0qove8p8eamnja206apbt83@4ax.com>
Source record: 33213
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 16:28:30 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote
>
>> The events unfolding today have to really upset you!
>>
>> WMD are discovered and shown on TV, the Iraqi people are rising up, Saddam
>> is falling down...
>>
>>
>> Ain't life a bitc&...
>>
>> May the fleas of Saddams moustache infest your tent.
>
>And to set the record straight, come see where "Petey" and his ilk get their
>pictures from:
>
>http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html
>
>> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
>> news:3E9192AF.8F55E0E0@email.com...
>> > > The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
>> > > have access to. Decide for yourself.
>> > >
>> > > http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>> > >
>> > > http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
>> > >
>> > > http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm
>> >
>> > Thanks much for this link! I suggest everyone copy these pictures
>while
>> these
>> > are still up. All the sources and websites that I found pictures from
>> before
>> > have since been shut down. Save them to your hard drive or CD rom
>while
>> you

>> > can, and email them to everyone you can. Bush can try to stop you
>from
>> seeing
>> > the truth, but until he shuts down the internet, we can make sure as
>many
>> people
>> > get to see what the rest of the world is seeing on their tv sets
>everyday
>> in all
>> > the other countries.
>> >
>> > I am so tired of hearing the US networks trying to show some clips from
>> other
>> > international networks have to always say that they edited out certain
>> pictures
>> > as they are too graphic to be shown on American television. Why is it
>> that the
>> > "Most Free Country in the World" doesn't have the freedom to show video
>> that is
>> > being shown on television in every other country of the world?
>> >
>> > Tired of the censorship, propaganda and lies.
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>

2343. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:30:12 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <olhka.64692$0X.13034517@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33340
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote

> You are rapidly becoming a petulant child and an annoying pest.

BECOMING???

Here's his "truth":

http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html

THIS is where PETEY and his little scumbag girlfriends steal their pictures from.

ANY QUESTIONS????

"Q"

2344. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:30:31 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <vs939v0i80u580pp5nqtoi37hk8bi0mqci@4ax.com>
Source record: 33215
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 16:30:12 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote
>
>> You are rapidly becoming a petulant child and an annoying pest.
>
>BECOMING???
>
>Here's his "truth":
>
>http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html
>
>THIS is where PETEY and his little scumbag girlfriends steal their pictures
>from.
>
>ANY QUESTIONS????
>
>"Q"
>

2345. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:36:18 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <6Ohka.64694$0X.13037442@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33341
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven>, the anal-retentive moron, spewed...

> Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
>
>
> The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> have access to. Decide for yourself.
>
> http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

The pictures and the truth that "Petey" fails to tell everybody - one of the
links these scum steal their pictures from:

http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html

Decide for yourself.

Feces for brains.

2346. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 16:37:36 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <7aa39v0vobvf4h1tm7fnkqha2u0ahpomf1@4ax.com>
Source record: 33216
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 16:36:18 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven>, the anal-retentive moron, spewed...
>
>> Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
>>
>>
>> The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
>> have access to. Decide for yourself.
>>
>> http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>>
>> http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
>>
>> http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm
>
>The pictures and the truth that "Petey" fails to tell everybody - one of the
>links these scum steal their pictures from:
>
>http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html
>
>Decide for yourself.
>
>Feces for brains.
>

2347. Re: Leesburg VA/Sterling VA/ Herndon VA Police Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 17:21:50 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <Nsika.2295$1k.1536@fed1read07>
Source record: 33345
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Found Leesburg on trunkedradio.net, in the Loudon county ASTRO system. Hope you have a BC250D or 785D...

-me

"Ron" <ron.sitnick@verizon.net> wrote in message news:52561206.0304070611.4e55ac78@posting.google.com...
> Anyone have good police/sheriff freqs for the Leesburg and Sterling VA area.
> The ones (few) listed in Police Call never seem to be active.
> Can find no listings for Herndon.
>
> TIA

2348. Re: Pro-43 Strange Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 17:26:18 UTC
From: cusack@zepa.net
Message-ID: <ee52fda3.0304070926.3afa3944@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33346

Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net> wrote in message news:<BAB6B945.15BD7%stewart@say.net>...
> "Cusack" wrote:
>
> > Got a weird problem I'm hoping someone can
> > figure out. I have a Radio Shack (Realistic)
> > Pro-43 scanner that has been modified to
> > recieve the cell band. I was listening to
> > some of the cells calls last night and
> > after about 15 minutes I couldn't pick any
> > of them up. I have the limit set from 868.000
> > - 896.000 and it just keeps searching and
> > searching but will not pick up any of these
> > calls. I can still listen to the 800 MHZ
> > trunking police frequencies with no problem.
> > Anyone know what could have happened to
> > this thing?
>
>
> You're right, that is strange. A defect in the circuitry for those
> frequencies would almost certainly affect the police frequencies also. Have
> you tried resetting the scanner (watch out - will erase all memories also)?
> Check your antenna and antenna connector also (especially if you were moving
> the scanner around at that time). Beyond that, I have no idea what to
> suggest.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

Yep, I tried resetting it and it cleared everything out with no
problems. But still same problem. I've tried another antenna and that
didn't work. I am going to let a good griend of mine look at it who is
an electronics guru. Will keep everyone posted on what he finds with
it.

Chris

2349. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 17:38:03 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <%Hika.64715$0X.13077202@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33197
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote

> John Simmons wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Yes, the black at central command giving the press conferences is the
only black
> > I have seen on television in a military outfit during this war.
>
> And you are dumber than a bag of hammers. There have been many
> blacks seen on television with their military outfits. Your vision
> is really selective isn't it?

And why is he "the black" at central command? Don't know his name, johnny?
He does have a name. And a rank. A pretty HIGH one.

You dolt.

2350. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 17:39:15 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <7Jika.64716$0X.13077994@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33198
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote

> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
> > The events unfolding today have to really upset you!
> >
> > WMD are discovered and shown on TV, the Iraqi people are rising up,
Saddam
> > is falling down...
>
>
> Now you are going to get Johnnyboy all upset saying untruths (in
> his little mind) like that.

INFIDEL!!!
It's all holograms!!!
They made all that in Hollywood!!!

2351. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 17:47:49 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <9Rika.64718$0X.13084052@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33200
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote

> John Simmons wrote:
> > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
> >
> >>The events unfolding today have to really upset you!
> >>
> >>WMD are discovered and shown on TV
> >
> >
> > Oh really? Then why didn't Iraq USE them on us?????????
> >
> > Trust logic!
>
> Something you and the Iraqi Minister of Mis-information completely
> lack.

johnny...and logic...in the same sentence...

illogical...

Why didn't they use them?

BECAUSE SADDAM'S "ELITE" REPUBLICAN GUARDS WERE TOO BUSY RUNNING!!!

Iraqi EPWs pointed US troops to the cache. Look - these guys aren't THAT stupid - give them a LITTLE credit. Even THEY know that using that crap would be a REAL bad idea. Saddam might force them to serve in his military, but, especially NOW, they won't follow orders like THAT.

Just for once, johnny, TRY to use your head.

Oh, what's the use...

2352. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 17:49:54 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <the39v094fbfct5b2sgia0qb4n70cpb76c@4ax.com>
Source record: 33217
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 17:38:03 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote
>
>> John Simmons wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> > Yes, the black at central command giving the press conferences is the
>only black
>> > I have seen on television in a military outfit during this war.
>>
>> And you are dumber than a bag of hammers. There have been many
>> blacks seen on television with their military outfits. Your vision
>> is really selective isn't it?
>
>And why is he "the black" at central command? Don't know his name, johnny?
>He does have a name. And a rank. A pretty HIGH one.
>
>You dolt.
>
>

2353. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 17:50:17 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <jie39v8g86v6886263ksrj3inr8cjm4j0l@4ax.com>
Source record: 33218
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 17:39:15 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote
>
>> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
>> > The events unfolding today have to really upset you!
>> >
>> > WMD are discovered and shown on TV, the Iraqi people are rising up,
>Saddam
>> > is falling down...
>>
>>
>> Now you are going to get Johnnyboy all upset saying untruths (in
>> his little mind) like that.
>
>INFIDEL!!!
>It's all holograms!!!
>They made all that in Hollywood!!!
>
>

2354. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 17:51:16 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <fke39vcnia0kvksetpqi5upi77f0h25rj6@4ax.com>
Source record: 33219
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 17:47:49 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote
>
>> John Simmons wrote:
>> > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
>> >
>> >>The events unfolding today have to really upset you!
>> >>
>> >>WMD are discovered and shown on TV
>> >
>> >
>> > Oh really? Then why didn't Iraq USE them on us?????????
>> >
>> > Trust logic!
>>
>> Something you and the Iraqi Minister of Mis-information completely
>> lack.
>
>johnny...and logic...in the same sentence...
>
>illogical...
>
>Why didn't they use them?
>
>BECAUSE SADDAM'S "ELITE" REPUBLICAN GUARDS WERE TOO BUSY RUNNING!!!
>
>Iraqi EPWs pointed US troops to the cache. Look - these guys aren't THAT
>stupid - give them a LITTLE credit. Even THEY know that using that crap
>would be a REAL bad idea. Saddam might force them to serve in his military,
>but, especially NOW, they won't follow orders like THAT.
>
>Just for once, johnny, TRY to use your head.
>
>Oh, what's the use...
>

2355. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 18:00:04 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <E0jka.64728$0X.13091048@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote

> John Simmons wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > And when YOU try to show them quotes in the bible they don't like, then
> > they claim you took them "out of context"!
>
> Kinda like you do about the war with your buddy Saddam.

You mean like his "pictures"? The "proof"?
Like that?

2356. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 18:02:01 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <j8f39vgt0q2291j2o4ehjqou4em5b7feu5@4ax.com>
Source record: 33220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 18:00:04 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote
>
>> John Simmons wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> > And when YOU try to show them quotes in the bible they don't like, then
>> > they claim you took them "out of context"!
>>
>> Kinda like you do about the war with your buddy Saddam.
>
>You mean like his "pictures"? The "proof"?
>Like that?
>
>
>

2357. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 18:04:12 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v93fcr5sg98pd3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You have missed your calling, Baghdad Bob needs you!

I suspect, you would rather climb a cactus naked and tell a lie, rather than stand on the ground and tell the truth.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message news:3E919D27.C2610D76@email.com...
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
>
> > You have quoted things not only out of context, but changed the wording as
> > well.
>
> Does it really matter what context "eating shit and drinking piss" came from?
> Or Jesus telling us to HATE our family? What part of the rest could possible
> change the meaning of these quotes? Perhaps something like:
> John 3:876.2: "Oh, sorry, I was only kidding about that last part."
>
> Perhaps all those quotes about needing to worship God, or follow Jesus were "out
> of context" too.
>
> The next time YOU try to quote anything from the Bible to help YOUR statements,
> then we shall accept them as being not what they say either, because you took
> them "out of context" and changed the wording!
>
> For the record, THE WORDING WAS NOT CHANGED!! Go to the bookstore and pick up
> a few Bibles to see that each Bible by each publishing company is worded
> different. The part about DRINKING PISS was changed in some versions, because
> they found the original text "offensive" Imagine, the word of God offensive!
>
>
> > My guess is that you have found this listed on one of the "God is dead
> > sites" and quoted it verbatim with no investigation on your part.
>
> While you "guessed" that, now we all KNOW that you have never READ the bible or

> bothered to look up the quotes to see they are indeed accurate.
>
>

2358. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 18:18:32 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Yhjka.64730$0X.13103221@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote

> You have missed your calling, Baghdad Bob needs you!
>
> I suspect, you would rather climb a cactus naked and tell a lie, rather
than
> stand on the ground and tell the truth.

To johnny, truth is irrelevant. It means whatever he wants it to mean at any
given moment.

> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E919D27.C2610D76@email.com...
> > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
> >
> > > You have quoted things not only out of context, but changed the
wording
> as
> > > well.
> >
> > Does it really matter what context "eating shit and drinking piss" came
> from?
> > Or Jesus telling us to HATE our family? What part of the rest could
> possible
> > change the meaning of these quotes? Perhaps something like:
> > John 3:876.2: "Oh, sorry, I was only kidding about that last part."
> >
> > Perhaps all those quotes about needing to worship God, or follow Jesus
> were "out
> > of context" too.
> >
> > The next time YOU try to quote anything from the Bible to help YOUR
> statements,
> > then we shall accept them as being not what they say either, because you
> took
> > them "out of context" and changed the wording!
> >
> > For the record, THE WORDING WAS NOT CHANGED!! Go to the bookstore and
> pick up
> > a few Bibles to see that each Bible by each publishing company is worded
> > different. The part about DRINKING PISS was changed in some versions,
> because
> > they found the original text "offensive" Imagine, the word of God
> offensive!

> >
> >
> > > My guess is that you have found this listed on one of the "God is dead
> > > sites" and quoted it verbatim with no investigation on your part.
> >
> > While you "guessed" that, now we all KNOW that you have never READ the
> bible or
> > bothered to look up the quotes to see they are indeed accurate.
> >
> >
>
>

2359. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 18:19:25 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <79g39vc4kko8sq8f4irhbf6b9uajgfth49@4ax.com>
Source record: 33221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must
show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian
Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why
are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other
repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil
System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 18:18:32 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote
>
>> You have missed your calling, Baghdad Bob needs you!
>>
>> I suspect, you would rather climb a cactus naked and tell a lie, rather
>than
>> stand on the ground and tell the truth.
>
>To johnny, truth is irrelevant. It means whatever he wants it to mean at any
>given moment.
>
>
>> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
>> news:3E919D27.C2610D76@email.com...
>> > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
>> >
>> > > You have quoted things not only out of context, but changed the
>wording
>> as
>> > > well.
>> >
>> > Does it really matter what context "eating shit and drinking piss" came
>> from?
>> > Or Jesus telling us to HATE our family? What part of the rest could
>> possible
>> > change the meaning of these quotes? Perhaps something like:
>> > John 3:876.2: "Oh, sorry, I was only kidding about that last part."
>> >
>> > Perhaps all those quotes about needing to worship God, or follow Jesus
>> were "out
>> > of context" too.
>> >
>> > The next time YOU try to quote anything from the Bible to help YOUR
>> statements,
>> > then we shall accept them as being not what they say either, because you
>> took

>> > them "out of context" and changed the wording!
>> >
>> > For the record, THE WORDING WAS NOT CHANGED!! Go to the bookstore and
>> pick up
>> > a few Bibles to see that each Bible by each publishing company is worded
>> > different. The part about DRINKING PISS was changed in some versions,
>> because
>> > they found the original text "offensive" Imagine, the word of God
>> offensive!
>> >
>> >
>> > > My guess is that you have found this listed on one of the "God is dead
>> > > sites" and quoted it verbatim with no investigation on your part.
>> >
>> > While you "guessed" that, now we all KNOW that you have never READ the
>> bible or
>> > bothered to look up the quotes to see they are indeed accurate.
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>

2360. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 18:51:03 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E91C8D3.72E643B4@email.com>
Source record: 33206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> > So then why do YOU try and answer questions by quoting a collection of
> verses
> > from the Bible and allow them to stand alone. Do as you say, not as you
> do.
>
> What are you talking about? Did you even read the passages, or the answer?
> The point is you are not reading the whole point of Jesus in the passage,
> nor have you read or understood many other passages in scripture that deal
> with the same issue.

No, it is more that I understand them better than you do, mostly because you have never read the book from front to back in the first place! That was obvious when you wanted to know where the bible ever mentioned the earth had four corners when it is mentioned in that book more than once.

> Again, I cant help you if you cant understand things
> that are spiritualy discerned. Call it a cop out

You don't "spiritually discern" science, laws, or any documents that are to be taken seriously.

> > This AGAIN does not answer why Jesus made the statement to hate our
> parents,
> > children, etc. It is just an attempt to twist the subject to something
> else.
> > The bible tells us what Jesus said. It is a horrible statement no matter
> how
> > you try and "interpret" it. Can you admit that?
>
> Absolutely not.

And that is your problem. Until you can, you can not tell others anything about this topic as you are unable to accept what it says, and instead are changing parts you don't like, in the way that YOU decide they should be.

> Let me ask you something. Pick your best subject....whatever it may be.
> Lets say for the sake of giving me something, you said that your most
> understood topic in the whole world was Botany. You are an expert in the
> field (no pun intended). Now of all you know about Botany, what percentage
> of all there is to know about it do you actualy claim to know? Even the most
> learned Botanist in the world would have to say he or she knows only a small
> percentage of what is knowable on the subject. With that in mind, how much
> of what is knowable in the universe do you know? Perhaps some microscopic
> fraction?
> So then is seems quite unresonable to suggest that you have everything all

> figured out with respect to the Bible for one,

The Bible is hardly comparable to either of your other two examples. Here, this would be a BETTER and more REALISTIC analogy:

Let's say that someone claims to be an expert of "Twas the Night Before Christmas" and read the book several times. If he tells you that Santa came down a chimney, you can't argue that this is taken out of text, and that Santa really came through the front door. Knowing the story of Santa is no harder than knowing the story about Jesus.

Santa said "Merry Christmas" and Jesus said "Hate your mother and father"

Deal with it.

> and certainly our ultimate
> purpose on earth. Were you here when it started?

When what started? The universe did not start. The universe is not a container, it is existence.

> Are you eternal as to know
> that the universe has always been?

One only needs to THINK and realize that the universe can NOT have a beginning, to come to the only left conclusion that it therefore has always existed. So Simple.

> If the universe has always been, and
> always will be, how do you explain entropy? The fact that everything is in a
> state of decay?

What does one topic have to do with the other? Nothing. The universe is not an object that can decay, nor does anything that decays have anything to do with the fact that the universe (existence) did not have a beginning.

> > > Just like any other book, information must be taken in context and
> > > with whatever else is written about the subject. Read the rest of the
> > > commentary by Jesus in the above mentioned verses....
> >
> > As if I could say that "your mother is a whore" or "everyone should kill
> their
> > parents" and then say something elsewhere that could make this "out of
> context"
> > statement that I made to mean anything different than what I said.
>
> Again, it is like you are reading a language that you have not learned,

I know the English language well enough to understand what was said. I read the language and COMPREHEND the statements made. YOU take the text and twist it into some other meaning so that it means something that you like much better.

> > From now on, anytime anyone finds fault with any of my statements, I will
> just

> > say that they were taken "out of context"
> >
> > Jesus said a really horrible thing there, and nothing else can possibly
> change
> > the meaning of that statement.
> >
> > It is bad, it is wrong, it is evil, it is the words of your beloved Jesus.
>
> See statement above, and yes. He is my Lord and Savior, He is Lord, and will
> be back to explain it all to you with the wrath of God behind Him.

More threats from your "all loving" god. Don't you understand that the whole threats and fear thing are what gets weak minded people to follow this cult to begin with? Even with Santa, you get the threat of no presents if you don't do as his religion says. Why else would children with weak intelligence follow the orders of Santa or Jesus?

> > > previous message, and see the answer to your question. If you cannot
> > > understand the scripture.......only God can help you with that.
> >
> > Great cop out. Especially since we BOTH know God can not help anyone with
> > anything, so that puts a quick end to that discussion for you, doesn't it?
>
> Claiming to know what I really think deep down inside is pretty arrogant.
> I suppose that you are an empath then? If you think the rest of the world
> has the same thought proccess that you do, you are sadly mistaken. Equally
> as mistaken if you think that yours is the only logical answer....

It is a FACT that one of the following statements MUST be true:

1) There is no god.
2) God is evil.

We know just by using our eyes ears and brain and OBSERVING the world around us that no god fitting the Christian mythology exists. That is just pure fact that anyone can see right now.

> just
> because it seems so to you. There is very little about anything you are
> saying here that seems logical to me.

Then here is something else you won't be able to comprehend or understand:

Two plus two equals four.

> > I know where you can find EXACT PROOF that God does not exist, but only
> those
> > who ask Santa Clause for the proof will ever get to hear the proof. And
> only
> > those that TRULY believe in Santa without doubt of his existence will ever
> get
> > to see or hear him.
>

> That in itself is an amazingly arrogant statement. There is no way any
> logical human being can state exact proof of anything that is not seen or
> experienced.

That is the whole point. Yet you are the one talking about gods and jesus in a
way contradicting your own statement here. :)

> > Does that sound ridiculous to you? Replace the words "Santa" with
> either
> > "God" or "Jesus" and try and understand how ridiculous it looks to the
> rest of
> > us.
> Thats right.....just like the scripture says, the wisdom of God is
> foolishness to men. Check this out....and try to let it sink in:
>
> 1 Corinthians 1:18-21 For the preaching of the cross is to them that perish

You took all of this out of context. (see, two can play at that game) Plus
you forgot to INTERPRET it first, and change the meaning so that it ends up like
this:

"And he that believeth in gods is a complete fool and moron."

> > > Where exactly does the Bible say that the world is flat with four
> corners? I
> > > have not seen, or otherwise do not remember reading that.
> >
> > I know. You never read the Bible all the way through like us Atheists
> have, or
> > you would be an Atheist too. You just like the few handful of quotes
> that are
> > read allowed in your cult as they skip over all of the ones that
> contradict
> > observed reality of the world we live in.
>
> Whew....there you go again. Guess you have a hidden camera in my house
> since you know all about me.

You stated you have not seen or otherwise remember reading that in the bible,
even though it occurs more than once. No hidden camera is needed to now
conclude you have not even read the book you like to choose certain quotes out
of, and therefore are taking them out of context from the whole story.

> Did it show you that I do not attend an
> organized church? Did you see me in my study EVERY morning at 5:30 am
> reading scripture? I have indeed read the Bible more than 20 times over.

So either you are full of shit NOW with this statement, or you were full of shit
BEFORE when you said you don't remember any part about the earth having four
corners. Which is it? BOTH statements you made can not be true. You are a
liar in one of them, and now have just lost all your credibility in this
debate! :)

I only had to read the bible the first time to remember the parts about the earth being flat and having four corners. MOST people who read this don't forget it! How can anyone just read past that and not jump out of their seat and say "what the hell is THIS bullshit?!"

> > "After this I saw four angels standing at the four corners of the earth. .
> ."
> > --Revelation 7:1
>
> And from this you assume that the passage is saying that the world is flat?

Read the whole book. From a mountain top, you can see the entire world. This can only happen if the world is flat. In our REAL world, you can't see the entire world from a mountain top. Of course back in the stone age when this book was written, they didn't know the world was not flat yet. But one would think the god that created the world would know this, wouldn't you? Thus we know by PROOF that the book was written by primitive man, and not any god! PROOF!

> John 1:1-5 In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and
> the Word was God.

Where did God come from? Did he just appear from random occurrences or by accident? Did he evolve from Apes? If you go under the FALSE theory that there was a beginning, then you have to go back even farther to the beginning of God when he came into being.

Funny how you have no problem accepting that God always was, yet find it so hard to comprehend that the universe (existence) always was. God had to be in some sort of universe or existence in order to create a world.

> The same was in the beginning with God. All things were
> made by him; and without him was not any thing made that was made.

So then he made his parents??? We know by the book, that Man is in the exact image of God. Therefore, God has a penis, reproductive organs and everything man has. Thus he came from parents just like the rest of us did. In reality, man created God in his own image. Before any planets and gravity were created, he would not have any use for his legs.

> > It says four corners in two of the Revelation passages. there are also 16
> > references to the Sun revolving
> > around the Earth in the Bible
> >
> > Many more bizarre mistakes in the Bible can be seen here:
> > http://www.skepticsannotatedbible.com/gen/sci_list.html
>
> > Check out some other Bible Verses you would also not be aware of since you
> never
> > read the whole book:
>
> > "There she lusted after her lovers, whose genitals were
> > like those of donkeys and whose emission was like that
> > of horses. So you longed for the lewdness of your youth,

> > when in Egypt your bosom was caressed and your
> > young breasts fondled." - Ezekiel 23:20-21
> >
> > "Therefore fathers shall eat their sons in the midst of you
> > and sons shall eat their fathers...I will send famine and
> > wild beasts against you and they shall rob you of your
> > children; pestilence and blood shall pass through you;
> > and I will bring a sword upon you. I, the Lord, have
> > spoken." - Ezekiel 5:10, 5:17
> >
> > "But if the thing is true, that the tokens of virginity were
> > not found in the young woman, then you shall bring out
> > the young woman to the door of her father's house, and
> > the men of her city shall stone her to death with
> > stones..." - Deuteronomy 22:20
> >
> > "Now therefore, kill every male among the little ones,
> > and kill every woman who has known man by lying
> > with him. But all the young girls who have not known
> > man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves." -
> > Numbers 31:17 (Moses)
> >
> > "Happy shall he be who takes your little ones and dashes
> > them against the rock!" - Psalms 137:9
> >
> > "While the people of Israel were in the wilderness, they
> > found a man gathering sticks on the sabbath day...And
> > the Lord said to Moses, 'The man shall be put to death;
> > all the congregation shall stone him with stones outside
> > the camp.' And all the congregation brought him outside
> > the camp, and stoned him to death with stones, as the
> > Lord commanded Moses." - Numbers 15:32"
> >
> > "...Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the
> > wall, that they may eat their own dung, and drink their
> > own piss with you?" - Isaiah 36:12
> >
> > "I form the light, and create darkness: I make peace,
> > and create evil: I the LORD do all these things." - Isaiah 45:7
> >
> > Yes, he creates evil all right. And straight from the Lord's mouth too.
> >
> > > I am familiar with the dragons and unicorns.....both of which were seen
> in
> > > dreams and visions. Are all of your dreams true to life?
> >
> > Yes these are dreams, visions and fantasy. So why were you making it
> appear
> > that you thought Jesus and God were reality before? Are you picking and
> > choosing which parts of the Bible you would like to be real and what you

> would
> > like to be fantasy? How do you make that determination? See your
> > problem? You admitted it is all dreams and fantasy, now you can't take
> any of
> > the rest of the book as fact or history. You lost the debate.
>
> I lost nothing,

You sure have. You say you read the bible 20 times, you also said you don't remember any part about the world being flat and having four corners. You have been caught in a LIE.

> and you have absolutely no clue what you are talking about.
> You are the one who has not read the Bible.

Yet I am able to remember that the bible said this several times by memory.

You are a liar again.

> > > I like to dunk
> > > basketballs in my dreams, and I could not be further from doing that in
> real
> > > life. The Bible is literal in places, figurative or allegorical in
> others.
> >
> > And somehow, you are able to know which is which. God perhaps spoke to
> you and
> > no one else in the world for some reason? We both know you have never
> been
> > spoken to by God, have never seen God, and that you are trying to find a
> way to
> > justify why most of the Bible contains things so ridiculous that even you
> have
> > to admit they are just fantasy and dreams.
>
> Yes for the most part I do know which is which.

Even in your ridiculous reply, you admit you don't know all for sure. Yet, you are able to argue and discuss this with someone who does know as if you are qualified to do so, which you just admitted, in your own statement, that you are not.

> Even if you just studied theology and had others explain it to you.

Aha! That is your problem. You are not studying anything if afterwards you need someone to explain it to you. Instead of having someone brainwash me, I did study and research for myself. YOU can only go by what others have told you, I have observed fact for myself and KNOW.

> And.....no I am not the only one.

We all know that. Or else there would not be any churches anywhere.

> > > In my own experience, and that of many many others, the only way to
> > > understand it is to have been enlightened by God.
> >
> > Yet you have never been enlightened by God, so that makes no sense.
>
> And you know this because.....

What a predictable reply. Since we BOTH know you have never been. You need to be honest with yourself and ADMIT it. If you can't even be honest with your own self and mind, you will never figure anything out.

> > > I am sorry that you do not hear God.
> >
> > So then you believe that it is a true fact. Good. Now why not pray
> real hard
> > to your God to speak to me, and then I can come back here and verify that
> he
> > really does exist and have the power to speak to humans.
> > I'll be waiting to hear from him.
>
> I have no doubt that you do not hear Him.

Aha! Then just like any priest, you know he does not exist.

> You turned Him off long ago.

I have the power to turn off god? See how ridiculous that is. If there was a god, there is no way I could turn him off and not hear him if he WANTED to make himself known. And in the bible he is made out to be the type that is conceited and requires everyone to worship him, not the type that hides himself from everyone.

Before Christians traveled to this side of the planet, none of the Native Americans were told about Jesus and the Christian god either. So they had to live and die without hearing him as well. They must all be in hell now because they were not told about, and therefore unable to, ask Jesus to be saved.

> I
> WILL pray for you. I will also pray that when He starts pouring His wrath upon
> you and all

> of the other unbelievers, that you will then see that He is God and repent.
> AND you WILL hear from him....

Since you seem to know exactly what you god will do, by YOUR command, and all, perhaps you can give me an approximate time frame of when I should expect this to happen?

> Every knee will bow (including yours) and
> every tounge confess that Jesus is Lord to the glory of the Father. Either
> willingly or by fear and trembling.

You describe god the same way Bush describes Saddam. Think about it.

> > > I know of no one who hears an audible
> > > voice. I do not hear one either.
> >
> > There you go. Yet all the fictional characters in the Bible could.
> Doesn't
> > that seem strange?
>
> No, if God wants to communicate to His creation one way at one time in
> history and another way at another....who are we to question it?

We are us, and we are questioning it. If he has spoken to other humans in the past, and gives them some EVIDENCE that he exists so they know there is something to worship, and does not give us that same benefit, then he can not expect us to know about him or what he wants, especially with dozens of books and offshoot religions with contradicting rules and laws. I have read them all, and don't know which ones to follow. I surely am not going to follow ones that YOU tell me too. Why would YOU know any better than the thousands of Christians that contradict YOUR ideas of how he is supposed to be?

If there is a god, and he wants me to know what I am supposed to be doing (or else be punished by him for all of eternity) then perhaps he should take the time to TELL me.

When I take a job, the boss doesn't hide from all his employees and the employees all make up sets of work rules and projects that they should be doing all on their own. The boss makes himself known, and makes the rules very clear to EACH employee. You are not going to start doing things a certain way because some other employee told you that is what you should do, you are going to do what the BOSS told you.

The Big Boss has yet to introduce himself to any of us (including yourself) and tell us what he would like us to do.

Another thing, if the purpose of our whole existence is only to worship and please this god, then that doesn't sound like such a good deal. Our whole lives are only so that we can worship and serve this guy? If that is not SLAVERY, then what is?

Again, the question you NEVER seem to answer...

WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?????

Seems like a sick demented sadistic entity that made us just so that he has someone to worship and praise him to boost his ego. (a sin)

> > How could Noah get the exact dimensions to build the Ark with an intuitive
> > thought or feeling? These were exact dimensions and specifications that
> God
> > spoke to him directly. Can you explain how that occurred, yet he has
> no
> > desire to speak to a good dedicated follower of him as you are? I mean,
> we
> > know why he won't talk to me, because I don't believe enough. But YOU
> do. So

> > why is it he never spoke to YOU? Hmmmmmm?
>
> Uh.....he does. In my heart. I thought I said that already.

That's bullshit. Your heart pumps blood, it does not hear like your EARS do. How come he spoke to Noah and was able to give him EXACT DIMENSIONS to build an ARK with (which did not come through his Heart!) and who come YOU can not hear anything from him too??????

Just admit you don't know or something, don't be an idiot and say stupid shit like he talks to your heart or something even a 5 years old reading would know is bullshit and a cop out from answering the question!

> > > of hard knocks. I once read a thought by some random philosopher that
> read
> > > something like: "Why is it that we feel guilty about certain behavior
> even
> > > if we have not been taught that it is wrong?" It is a very good
> question.
> >
> > An EASY question. For the same reason animals have the exact same
> feelings.
> > From every action, you learn there is a reaction. An animal takes food
> from
> > another animal, and that animal gets mad and attacks the animals that
> tried to
> > take the food. After a while you LEARN that certain actions produce
> favorable
> > results, and other actions produce unfavorable results. Animals don't
> need
> > gods to know the difference between right and wrong.
>
> Cool, Im glad that you understand all of the deep things of philosophy....I
> eagerly await your next book, so the world can worship you and I can watch
> in amazement.

Nothing in this statement argues my statement. Next time, it would make you more of a man to admit that it makes sense, rather than the childish statement you came back with.

> If there is no God, what good is right and wrong?

Read the above again, as you obviously did not comprehend it. Do all atheists run around killing and raping and stealing? No? But if they don't believe in or fear god, then why do they know what is right and what is wrong, and why do they behave?

You learn after a while, that if you do certain things, they get positive results, and if you do other things, you get negative results. Punch someone in the face, the results will be very unpleasant to you. Do something nice to someone, and you get pleasant results. After a while, you LEARN that in order to get the pleasant results, you need to do the actions that best get them.

If a priest can lie to people and get rewarded with a collection plate full of money for it, then that is what he will most likely continue to do.

Again, why do you think animals know what is right and what is wrong?

The sad part is, you said: "If there is no God, what good is right and wrong?"

So you are only going to be good just because you think there is a god? If not for God, you would start acting evil?? That is very sad. And scary.
And why would something be right or wrong just because god decides that is the way it is going to be? Not very democratic. The God of your religion is a DICTATOR. People don't like dictators.

> Live it up baby! You
> have nothing to prove to anyone or anything. Nor are you responsible to
> anyone or anything.

I am responsible for myself and those that depend on me. I can't just live it up, I have to do, like every animal, what is required to make life as pleasant and enjoyable as possible. Gorillas don't need a god to make them love and take care of their offspring. They don't need a god to know that stealing a banana from another gorilla is a bad thing and will result in unpleasant results.

> You have no legacy or "life of goodness" to leave to
> anyone, cause they will just be dead anyway. And, after 200 years of
> history, no one will ever really know what happened anyway, whether it did
> or not.

Exactly. One day this planet and our solar system will die just as we OBSERVE others dying and others being born.

> I think you should kill anyone you want, sleep with anyone you want,
> take everyones money you want.....just party it up.

That is some sick and twisted way of "partying it up"!

But what about those Christians that kill in the name of God? Bush is killing thousands of people and even little children and praying to God to guide his troops so they can be successful in their bombing and killing, targeting all those homes with those "laser guided" missiles.

In fact, the countries and rulers that do the most killing, are always the most religious. This must be why you find killing a way to "party it up". I find it very disgusting and couldn't stomach the act of killing someone like that. I don't believe in any gods, or don't need any commandments to know or feel that way either.

> Might as well. There is
> no controling moral authority anyway. Your values are good for you, and mine
> are good for me.

You kill, the results are you get killed, or at least spend the rest of your life in jail. The controlling moral authority will see to it.

> So you wont mind when that prison escapee steps into your
> house and blows you away. Who are you to impose your morality on him?

How ridiculous! What about YOU? You are a god believer, so what if someone escapes from prison and comes to your house and kills you? What does that have to do with anything? Perhaps if there was a good god, there would be no death and no one could come and kill either of us. Perhaps no parents would ever DIE and leave their poor young children crying and arm less like those in Iraq.
http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm (see photos)

> If he
> wants to blow you away thats his perogative. We cant send him to jail
> either, after all he thinks its ok to kill people.

Bush is not going to jail for all his killing, is he? Since he knows he can never be punished, as the US does not recognize the world court and can not be held on war crimes, he is not afraid to kill and murder. He apparently does not believe in God either, or he would be very afraid of that God right now, wouldn't he be?

The fear of God did not stop Bush from murdering, and there are no laws or police to punish him for murders, and we see what that results in..... Him going on a murder spree.

> So your goodness then gets you what? A longer wait to be fertilizer?

All your goodness does, is makes life a bit more pleasant to go through. If you treat everyone like shit, then your life is not going to be very pleasant. If you think about it, most people are only being good out of the selfish desire to live through a more pleasant life. Then there are those that are only good so that they will have a more pleasant afterlife that they will never get.

> Oh
> boy, where do I sign up. Thats what I want, who can delay the fertilization
> of the planet the longest. That would be a life not worth living......what a
> horible way to live....thinking that when you die there will be eternal
> nothingness.....

That is a fact. A fact you can see when your parents get Alzheimer's and completely lost their minds and sole to the point they don't even know who you are. When the brain rots away or gets damaged, it is no different that when your computer processors gets bad. If your computer is left outside to rot and rust away, the programs that were in it do not float into heaven and operate outside of the computer. Your program can not operate outside of your brain either. When it gets damage or rots away, so does your "sole"

You can see this fact just by knowing someone when their brain gets injured or starts deteriorating. A good church going person suddenly kicks and pulls the hair out of the nurse trying to bathe that person! The person never would have done this before. They don't even know who you or god is anymore. Yet you want us to believe that when they finally die, their brain grows back together and they are their normal selves again and exist in heaven. If anything, they

are in heaven as the walking hair pulling zombies they were during their last few years on earth. Or perhaps god sent them to hell for this behavior due to brain damage.

If the soul could exist outside the body, then it could exist in the body during Alzheimer's too!

> .I really feel sorry for you.

Why, for knowing more than you do?

> > When you first try to feed a bird or squirrel some food, it feels very
> guilty to
> > take the food from you because of learned conditions. Once you finally
> > convince it to take the food and show that you are giving it the food
> (something
> > that no animal has ever done before) and runs away with it and sees you
> are not
> > angry or going to chase it for taking your food, it learns the idea, and
> soon
> > takes food our of your hand without any worry, fear, or guilt.
> >
> > As a matter of fact, I would feed one squirrel every day at the same time
> of
> > day. Usually I could see it out the window as it sat and waited for me.
> One
> > day I thought I was going to be too busy and might not see it, so I just
> put the
> > food outside for it. I did see the squirrel outside the window and
> figured it
> > ate what I put out, and was waiting for me to bring more. To my
> surprise, when
> > I opened the door and went outside, the food was still there. It looked
> at
> > me, then went over and started to eat the food. It felt guilty to eat
> the
> > food without my approval because it though it would have been stealing the
> food
> > behind my back.
>
> Boy, you are giving that squirrel alot of credit.

You need to observe things more and do more research. Man has communicated with gorillas via learned sign language and discussed all sorts of things like feelings of guilt, love and sorrow. Humans are not the exclusive animal with knowledge and feelings. This is proven fact. How come I have to debate only using things I know are proven facts, and you get to debate using the bible and fantasy that you can change and make up as you go along?

> > I have seen and experienced many situations of guilt in many types of
> animals as
> > well as just about every other type of feeling we have. Gorillas that

> can
> > talk to humans via sign language have told us how they feel sad when a
> loved one
> > dies. This is documented fact and you can see it on television every so
> > often, either on PBS, National Geographic, or such.
> >
> > > I have been down this road with other Atheists before. You are not
> (nor am
> > > I) someone who's mind will be changed here.
> >
> > So you are saying you don't have an open mind and will only believe one
> thing no
> > matter what proof or contradictions can be shoved under your nose? We
> all
> > start out as stupid children who are taught the religion of our parents
> and
> > local society. It is only those that use their brains and figure things
> out,
> > that find out that certain things they have been told do not make any
> sense with
> > observed reality and don't fit the big puzzle.
>
> Your mind is no more open than mine is.

If it wasn't, I would still be believing in god too. I was brought up and brainwashed into the same teachings you were. But when I grew up and started to seek answers to questions that didn't ever make any sense, I was able to find the answers. You have not even begun to look. You are happy with believing what you have been told by others.

Did you ever stop to think that if for some reason, you were stolen from the hospital and brought up by parents in China, you might not believe in Jesus at all, but would be worshiping Buddha? Perhaps some god in India?

Why do black people in the USA worship Jesus when their ancestors from Africa did not? Because of the society they live in now, they worship the white man's gods and religion because that is all they have been taught.

I find it stupid to believe in a Christian religion just because of what geographical location I am born in. I looked at all the religions to find the best one, then found all of them to be bullshit and contradict observed reality.

> I am really exited about figuring
> out that the big puzzle is completely meaningless.

Animals don't seem to have to even worry about this, yet are able to live their lives just fine. A fly can exist and live without having to worry about an afterlife too.

> I am particularly looking
> forward to teaching my son that other than the good stuff he does for people
> that no one will remember, his life will be over and thats it.

That is a fact that we can observe, and something you can not disprove.

> And we wonder
> why kids want to kill themselves so often these days? WOW.

Usually the ones that do, were brought up by strict religious teachings. Look into it. I did that research a few decades ago.

> > > I write for the benefit of
> > > others. All I can tell you is 10 years ago I was searching for answers.
> It
> > > was very obvious to me that the world was not here by mistake.
> >
> > But somehow God was just here by mistake? Why is it you are able to
> accept
> > that?
>
> Because I am not arrogant enough to think that I must understand every
> concept in order for it to be so.

Until you understand a concept, you are only going by what you have been told and taking it on blind faith. That will lead you into problems if you do that enough.

The problem here is this. I bothered to figure out these concepts and confirm them with observed reality. You choose not to bother yourself with logic or reasoning behind things, you will just think as you have been told. THEN, you have the ARROGANCE to argue and debate things that you admit you don't understand.

This last statement, to everyone reading this, shows you are not qualified to continue in this debate. At least if you were coming back with intelligent questions, but you state you don't know the answers and have the arrogance to argue my facts.

> > > That who or
> > > whatever was responsible is so much wiser and more powerful than I am.
> >
> > So then too, wouldn't there have to be someone so much wiser and powerful
> than
> > God to have created God?
> >
> > Don't you see the problem with your reasoning?
>
> No, I can see the distinct possibility that I only understand a small
> fraction of what I see touch and feel.

Then the debate is over. You are not qualified to debate something you only understand a small fraction of.
How people like you can enter into a debate without knowing what you are talking about never ceases to amaze me.

> Does an ant have any idea of the big picture and what is really happening
> when the Car rolls over him in the driveway? In the vast universe you live

> in, do you really think you understand much more than the ant does?

Look, just comparing me with two HUMANS will give different results. I may know more than one person, and not as much as another on something. I don't know what ant you are referring to. Compare an average ant to a retarded human. The ant would have more intelligence.

> I suggest to you that God is higher above you than you are above the ant.

Arrogance. Many animals have higher intelligence than a lot of humans. I have witness English conversations between people and BIRDS. Yet there are thousands of humans that do nothing but bang their heads against the wall and are unable to communicate with anyone.

Just as many children end up being more intelligent and much smarter than their parents, why can't you even entertain the idea that if there was a god, perhaps one of his children (humans) could become more intelligent that he is? Having the power (physical force) or supernatural abilities has nothing to do with intelligence either. He chose not to give us those powers, so we are handicapped in that aspect, but not in ability to think and reason.

> If that is the case, do you really think you can understand anything fully
> without God's help?

Look, there is no God, yet we are able to understand things. So are animals, and they don't go to church every week to burn candles and incense in some dark lit cult with a dead man hanging on a cross with nails through his wrists either. (not appropriate for little children to be brought to see, by the way. Because of the graphic content, one should be at least 18 before being allowed to go to a church and see that.)

> > > Even
> > > before I believed what the bible teaches, it seemed extremely arrogant
> for
> > > me to question God. Call me crazy if you like, but waving my fist in
> God's
> > > face did not seem prudent.
> >
> > What about when you did the same thing to Santa Clause? You didn't
> actually
> > wave a fist in his face, but you gained the knowledge that Santa is not
> real and
> > you no longer have to be good for his concern, you need to be good because
> it
> > brings good results. Treat someone bad, and a not so favorable result
> may
> > occur.
>
> The Bible is not about santa, nor are all of the thousands of manuscripts
> of evidence suporting it.

Everything contradicts it, no support. Even the shroud of Turin is fake, and proven so. We find the tomb and body of Egyptian kings that lived well before

your Jesus character, yet someone as important as him has no burial site that we can find. Nor does one single character in the bible. Nor does Santa.

> Santa was and is a pagan secular substitute for
> true worship of God, as is Easter. The two are not even slightly similar.

They are almost exact clones. Santa and God/Jesus were made up to keep children good and to make them "obey thy mother and father" Punishments are put in the stories for bad behavior, and rewards are promised for good behavior. Both can see you when you are sleeping or awake and both know if you have been bad or good. Both are connected with December 25th. Both have hard to believe wacky magic like making reindeer fly, or walking on water. Again, both were stories created for CHILDREN.

> > > I understand that you find the whole concept of God nonsense. It is
> not
> > > possible in my mind (however feeble you may believe it to be) that we
> are
> > > just here.....and will just die, the end.
> >
> > And therefore, because you don't want to accept observed reality, you find
> the
> > desire and need to create a theory to try and make death a less horrible
> to
> > think about, because you now have a fantasy to help you through a very
> > unpleasant reality that you don't want to accept.
>
> It is not that I dont want to accept it, its that something inside tells me
> that death wont be the end. I have no trouble at all with death. I am
> actually kind of looking forward to it.

So am I.

> How will you feel about it as you
> draw your last breath knowing that you are done forever?

I will be sooo happy that I don't have to work anymore, ever feel pain ever again, never have to see anymore of the horrors and tragedies on this planet, don't have to fill out my taxes every year, never have to go to the dentist or doctor anymore, have any laws take away anymore of my freedoms, etc., etc., etc. Why do you think most old people lying on their death bed say they have done everything, seen everything and have lived on this world enough. They just want to go to sleep and die. They say this with a smile on their face. I never understood it as a child, but the older I get, the more and more I understand it. Soon I will be ready to lie down and just go myself. I am very close.

> You can rest easy
> though. You are eternal, and you still have time to make that eternity
> pleasant.

Having to live for eternity would be a PUNISHMENT!

> > Think about the Alzheimer's patient that doesn't know or remember one
> thing you
> > tell them from the next. Their mind is rotting away and becoming
> defective.
> > Yet somehow when they die and the brain completely gets destroyed, they
> will go
> > to heaven and not have Alzheimer's anymore but full functioning minds
> again?
>
> Lets see, it should make sense to you that if God can create someone from
> dirt.....he can make your old body new again.

Not only does that not make sense that someone can be created from dirt (especially when we know for fact how people are created, and can prove it) but it doesn't make any sense that God would destroy their minds and make them as raving lunatics that go around pulling the hair our of nurses when they were quite peaceful people before the brain got old and sick, and then fix it again later after they are dead and don't need the brain anymore.

> > Try reading this carefully and see if you can understand how the human
> mind or
> > "soul" works:
> >
> > "Nothing enters our minds or determines our actions which is not directly
> or
> > indirectly a response to stimuli
> > beating opon our sense organs from without. Owing to the similarity of
> our
> > construction and the sameness of
> > our environment, we respond in like manner to similar stimuli, and from
> the
> > concordance of our reactions,
> > understanding is born. In the course of ages, mechanisms of infinite
> complexity
> > are developed, but what we
> > call "soul" is nothing more than the sum of the functioning's of the body.
> When
> > this functioning ceases, the
> > "soul" ceases likewise."
> >
> > "There are three ways by which the energy which determines human progress
> can be
> > increased: First, we may
> > increase the mass. This in the case of humanity, would mean the
> improvement of
> > living conditions, health,
> > eugenics, etc. Second, we may reduce the frictional forces which impede
> > progress, such as ignorance,
> > insanity, and religious fanaticism. Third, we may multiply the energy of
> the
> > human mass by enchaining the
> > forces of the universe, like those of the sun. the ocean, the winds and

> tides.
> >
> > There is no conflict between the ideal of religion and the ideal of
> science, but
> > science is opposed to theological
> > dogmas because science is founded on fact. The universe is simply a great
> > machine which never came into
> > being and will never end.
>
> Wow, that makes alot of sense now doesnt it?

It sure does, why not read it again until you get it? Every single though that goes through your mind is a direct result of what? Even TRY to prove otherwise.

> Science has not proven anything.

False statement.

> They cant even follow their own rules with
> regard to anything of importance. You have faith in nothing, and I have
> faith in God.

I have faith in observed reality, and things that do not contradict observed reality. Ghosts and tooth fairies contradict observed reality, so does the theory of any gods.

I don't have faith in something just because someone tells me something, no matter how many scientific degrees he or she may have. If it doesn't make sense in my own mind and fit with observed reality, then it is bullshit.

Any theory in the world can just be thought about, and can be proven true or false just by testing the theory against observed reality. God contradicts observed reality, Flying reindeer do, and so does the Big Bang Theory. All bullshit fantasy.

> Since you have nothing to look forward to anyway....why not try to believe
> God? You have absolutely nothing to loose.

For the same reason you don't believe in Santa Clause. You would have nothing to lose either, but you can't really believe in something you know is not real, can you? You are asking me to lie to my own mind just to satisfy YOUR religious beliefs.

> > > I think most people believe
> > > something is going on after this for a reason. I think it is because God
> > > gave them the desire to find out....to seek Him.
> >
> > It is because our thinking and reasoning process has come to the point to
> where
> > we can think about death and have the knowledge that we are going to die,
> and
> > there is nothing anyone can do to stop it. You are going to die. This

> thought
> > is so unbearable, that every civilization of humans comes up with some
> sort of
> > god and theory of an afterlife to try and mute this very disturbing
> thought.
> > But that also explains why every religion and god is so different
> throughout
> > different civilizations. Yet they all seem to have a pleasant afterlife
> theory
> > to comfort themselves with.
> >
> > What is so wrong with just accepting death and realizing that all the
> pains and
> > evil of life will be gone as soon as you die? That is not so horrible.
> It
> > is scary if you have dependents and you worry for them, but for yourself
> there
> > is nothing to fear. Eternal sleep with no work, no pain, no grief, no
> > nothing.
>
> Again.....why live then?

Because your parents made that choice for you, that's why. If I were given the choice, I would have chosen NOT to live and NOT to exist at all. If you mean why continue living, I have people that are dependent on me and require my financial assistance, which requires me to stay alive and work. I am living for their sake. If it was just me, I would have no reason to keep working everyday so that Bush could send a big chunk of my earnings to 140 foreign countries every year and to make his palaces more elegant. (all three or four of them)

> And how will it impede you to believe in God? If I
> am right you will be all set.....If I am wrong you have lost
> nothing.....because you bet nothing.

EIther way I don't lose as it is. If I am right, no life after death. If you are right, God exists, knows every thought in my head, and is able to read my mind and know that there is no way for me to believe in him from the intelligence I have gained, and it is not a matter of disbelieving out of just not wanting to acknowledge his existence. Now. Either after he realizes my thoughts, the following occurs....

If he is a good and fair god, he will be embarrassed that he did not make his existence more obvious to me, and most certainly will not punish me or send me to hell for his mistake.

If he is a bad god, he would punish me either way, if I believed in him or not, and will punish you too.

So, no matter if I believe in god or not, the results will be the same and out of my control. This is a FACT.

> > > As I studied it all while taking philosophy courses, world religion
> > > courses etc....I saw that (as Ross Archer alluded to) the scientific
> > > explanations were every bit as and even more untenable than faith in a
> God.
> >
> > No argument there. Do you know WHY that is? Because the church always
> had a
> > strong control over science throughout history. Any scientist who had a
> > theory that contradicted religion would be hung! Even today, it is
> forbidden
> > to clone humans and abortions and stem cell research is still taboo.
> >
> > But when science came up with the Big Bang Theory, the church embraced it,
> as it
> > did not contradict the creationism theory, it only reinforced it. God
> created
> > the Universe, the Universe was created with a Big Bang.
> >
> > So your statement is correct, that today's science theories like the Big
> Bang
> > Theory are nothing but bullshit.
> >
> > The only reason we are allowed to think the world is round and not flat,
> is that
> > you can't hide that reality anymore as we have photographic evidence of
> that
> > now. We also don't hang scientists for theories anymore, so you will see
> the
> > Big Bang Theory finally dropped, most likely, in your lifetime.
> >
> > Without getting into a giant explanation into another topic, the Universe
> was
> > not created, did not have a beginning or birth, and will not have an end.
> > You can't NOT have existence. Else, no place to create or give birth to
> an
> > existence, or any place for a god or creator to exist in. Simple
> really, if
> > you just think about it.
> >
> > > The more of the Bible I read, and the more genuinely humble I was
> towards
> > > God, the more I started to understand what I was reading.
> >
> > Now that is very hard to believe. If you read the Bible, you see how
> evil and
> > horrible the character of God behaves in the story and can not finish the
> book
> > thinking any good thoughts of that character. Unless you are completely
> > sadistic.
>
> Actualy, in the Old Testament, God is just trying to get people to wake up

> and smell the coffee.

No, he is angry and says so. He has such a violent temper, that some times
after he murders people, like in the great flood, he admits it was WRONG and BAD
and promises not to ever do it again. Then from observed reality during just
our lifetimes, we see he does do it again and murders more people via floods.

> They worship Him as God for a while...everything is
> fine....then they drift away to do their own thing again, He smacks them
> around and they come back.

So you admit he did not give us free will and the freedom to do as we want, we
must only serve and worship him our entire existence, or be brutally
punished. Slavery has NOT been abolished, has it?

> He is still doing that today. God is good way
> beyond measure, merciful beyond measure and has forgiven me way more than I
> deserve.

Punishing those that do not worship and serve him like some conceited dictator
is NOT the same as being "merciful" or "forgiving".

> If that makes me sadistic in your eyes....so be it.

In the eyes of anyone who thinks about it.

> > > There are others however who are
> > > reading and getting things from our debate.
> >
> > And why I bother to take time to explain these things. If it wakes just
> one
> > person up, it will have been worth it.
>
> > > I know that God's word is much
> > > better at explaining the truth than I am, so I try to leave it to Him
> and
> > > His word to do His work.
> >
> > If every God believer would just hold to that thought, the religion would
> > finally cease to exist.
> > Without Man, there is no one that can brainwash future generations with
> the
> > Christian Mythology, or any other cult religion.
> >
> > WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
> >
> >
> This has all been very entertaining, and for some im sure very irritating.

Irritating that at the end you ALWAYS ignore the best question of them all.

WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?????

If your god existed, he would need us a hell of a lot more than we would need him.

This realization alone, shows why religion or gods are in societies and why they are not reality.

Before you fall to sleep tonight, ask yourself this question and THINK about an answer to it...

WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE????

2361. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 18:52:02 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E91C910.A64A2955@email.com>
Source record: 33207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" wrote:

> What's the difference between a picture of Jesus, and the actual guy named
> Jesus???

One does not actually exist.

2362. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 18:58:16 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cTjka.47414$JI.13090459@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 33205

"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E918AF6.3070109@earthlink.net...
> It's a shame that you choose to lower yourself to there level
> by cussing.
> Also you choose to open those post. I haven opened one yet.

Guess it didn't occur to him that he didn't have to read any of stuff he's
griping about.

>
> Maybe you should rethink your own position, your the guy that
> won't use your own name, your the person cussing in your
> post so, who's the "NUT"
>
> Burr
>
> Me wrote:
>
> > I've had all the shit I can take about Jesus, black persons, and all the
> > rest of the shit that doesn't belong here about scanners. Does anyone
> > care? Hell, NO! rec.radio.scanner is no longer in my news groups.
> > Bye Bye all you shit-heads.
> >
> >
>

2363. That explains everything

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 20:00:09 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <dNkka.101553$OV.204527@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 33210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I heard on the radio that "Fox" is the network of choice for President Bush.
Holy crap, that explains a lot of the garbage they put out.

2364. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 20:01:59 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <XOkka.47333$ug3.88641@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33214
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh i get it - this newsgroup is supposed to be about scanners, just like the war was supposed to be about WMD, then it became ousting Sadaam, then it became "liberating the people of iraq", then it became about "stabilizing the region".

Don't ya hate that !!!!!!!!

"Kayakkhan" <kayakkhan@bigpond.com> wrote in message news:qqeka.8973$1s1.158411@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> Ummm is this post complete?
> I missed the bit about scanners, frequencies , or anything remotely related
> to radio
>
>
>
> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:kzsja.51495$0X.10218852@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > Positive test for terror toxins in Iraq
> >
> > Evidence of ricin, botulinum at Islamic militants' camp
> >
> > SARGAT, Iraq, April 4 - MSNBC.com tests reveal evidence of the deadly
> > toxins ricin and botulinum at a laboratory in a remote mountain region of
> ETC ETC ETC,,,,,,,,irrelevant diatribe.....snipped
>
>

2365. Looking for an inexspensive APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 20:22:58 UTC
From: Its Me! <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <C6lka.21374$D31.1158956@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 33231
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for an inexspensive APRS radio that I can afford.Let me know what you have and best price shipped to Mass.

2366. Re: Frequencies Operation Iraqi Freedom.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 20:27:48 UTC
From: wf3h@ptnospam.com
Message-ID: <3e91deb9.73259354@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 33232

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 07:48:57 -0400, Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven> wrote:

>Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
>
> <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>
>
>
>The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
>have access to. Decide for yourself.
>
>http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>
>http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
>
>http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm
>
>
>January 31, 2003
>A War Crime or an Act of War?
>By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE
>
>

of course he doesnt tell you that pelletiere's article was debunked a
week later by an article in the 'new republic'. that's one reason ONLY
pelletiere wrote about it, and his view hasnt made any ground.
----
to see who "wf3h" is, go to "qrz.com"
and enter 'wf3h' in the field

2367. Re: Looking for an inexspensive APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 21:15:37 UTC
From: n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net
Message-ID: <v93qpimtika43f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33233
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have some GE Delta 110W VHF High band radios - $20 each... but they are untested.
You can get crystals from West Crystal roughly $22 for the pair of TX and RX crystals (they are in Canada and the exchange rate works in our benifit) - there are no chanel elements to tune - the crystals plug right into the radio. If you dont want to run 110W, you can trun down the power and reduce the heat produced.

I just received the crystals from West so I can use one of these for APRS also....

Let me know if interested and I'll calculate shipping.

Dave
N9NLU

"Its Me!" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:C6lka.21374$D31.1158956@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I am looking for an inexspensive APRS radio that I can afford.Let me know
> what you have and best price shipped to Mass.
>
>

2368. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 21:30:30 UTC
From: rburke@mail.com
Message-ID: <9697f203.0304071330.3e1a23c3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33234
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

I see a whole lotta ad hominem here, and precious little refutation -- a tactic which is usually diversionary. It is also, in point of fact, a classic Rush-ism: if you don't have an answer start slagging the author, the publication, the source, blah blah blah. Anyone who needs a refresher can find any or all of these suffused throughout this thread or try conversing with a Dittohead.

So fine, if Hersh's credibility is a stumbling block for you, let's take it off the table by sticking to only those facts that are a matter of record and that don't rely on Hersh's unnamed sources:

September 24th:
Tony Blair's government made public a dossier containing much of the information that the Senate committee was being given in secretï¿½that Iraq had sought to buy "significant quantities of uranium" from an unnamed African country, "despite having no active civil nuclear power programme that could require it."

Sept 26th:
Colin Powell... cited Iraq's attempt to obtain uranium from Niger as evidence of its persistent nuclear ambitions.

December 19th:
Washington... publicly identified Niger as the alleged seller of the nuclear materials, in a State Department position paper that rhetorically asked, "Why is the Iraqi regime hiding their uranium procurement?"

January 28th:
President Bush cited the uranium deal, along with the aluminum tubes, in his State of the Union Message, while crediting Britain as the source of the information: "The British government has learned that Saddam Hussein recently sought significant quantities of uranium from Africa." He commented, "Saddam Hussein has not credibly explained these activities. He clearly has much to hide."

March 7th:
Mohamed ElBaradei... told the U.N. Security Council that the documents involving the Niger-Iraq uranium sale were fakes. "The I.A.E.A. has concluded, with the concurrence of outside experts, that these documents . . . are in fact not authentic," ElBaradei said.

ElBaradei's disclosure has not been disputed by any government or intelligence official in Washington or London.

The I.A.E.A. had first sought the documents last fall, shortly after the British government released its dossier. After months of pleading by the I.A.E.A., the United States turned them over to Jacques Baute, who is the director of the agency's Iraq Nuclear Verification Office.

It took Baute's team only a few hours to determine that the documents were fake. The agency had been given about a half-dozen letters and other communications between officials in Niger and Iraq, many of them written on letterheads of the Niger government. The problems were glaring. One letter, dated October 10, 2000, was signed with the name of Allele Habibou, a Niger Minister of Foreign Affairs and Coï¿½peration, who had been out of office since 1989.

March 9th:
Colin Powell, asked about the forgery during a television interview two days after ElBaradei's report, dismissed the subject by saying, "If that issue is resolved, that issue is resolved."

Asked to respond, [C.I.A. spokesman William] Harlow, said that the agency had not obtained the actual documents until early this year, after the President's State of the Union speech and after the congressional briefings, and therefore had been unable to evaluate them in a timely manner.

---end quote

Short version: the British, Powell and President Bush drew on faked documents when making certain public statements about one of Iraq's WMD programs. In Powell's case the faked material was cited during an appearance before congress; the president appears to have relied on this faked material in making an allegation about an Iraqi WMD program during the State of the Union address.

Moreover, the documents don't appear to have been very good fakes, as it took the IAEA only a short time to conclude they weren't authentic. It also appears to have taken an inordinately long time to get the documents from the US.

After the forgery had been discovered, Secretary Powell blew off a question about it, which, quite apart from the cavalier attitude about questions of truth or falsity it seems to demonstrate, we can take as tantamount to conceding the docs were drek. Also, it appears, if the CIA is to be believed [smile], that the secretary of state and the president go about citing evidence without soliciting the CIA's opinion as to its value and authenticity, and don't even give the evidence to the CIA until some time after they've quoted it in public. It's hard not to scratch your head about this one, since it suggests either major interbranch dysfunctionality or Keystone Koppery at levels too high to contemplate if you plan to go on sleeping soundly.

Now, if only this much is true, and I haven't heard the bare facts disputed, I have a problem with anyone who tries to minimize its importance. Since this thread has made such a big deal about credibility, it's fair to question the credibility of the other

evidence the administration has used to buttress its case for going to war: if it was this easy for junk intelligence to make its way, whether from incompetence or mischief, so far up the ladder that the president and the secretary of state end up citing it as fact, it's hard to know how much credence to give the rest of their claims. If Hersh's state department source is correct and Powell is indeed apoplectic, at least he realizes the Iraq/Niger forgery fiasco places his credibility in question even if others don't.

And frankly I don't see how any of this is answered by claiming Hersh is a fraud, Hersh is a liar, Hersh is unprincipled, blah blah blah. The publicly-acknowledged facts in the case don't rest on Hersh's credibility, and not answering them is a cheap evasion.

2369. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 22:04:46 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <1Cmka.2442$1k.1999@fed1read07>
Source record: 33235
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

OK, this one has merit and I will play along.

While they seem to not be in denial over the authenticity (or lack thereof) of these documents, do they know *who* faked them? This is more important than the forgery itself. Let's start with the key players.

1. If the US faked them, then this is clearly a vendetta against Saddam Hussein by the Bush administration. While tantalizing to imagine, it's thin. A bit too thin (at least I hope) for the US Government. Simply put we can - and have - done better. I don't think it was us.

2. If Britain faked them, then this is clearly an attempt to discredit the United States in the eyes of the world community while gaining *something* in return. What does Britain stand to gain from this action? Not enough to send the military in on and deal with daily protests in the streets. Some cheap oil, but then the US gains more than they do - if Britain wanted to screw us, they could do better. I don't think it was them.

3. If Saddam faked them, he may have done so to discredit the US and Britain (see theory 2) but why put that kind of heat on himself? It might have been a red herring to buy time, but again, it's wrong for the situation. It would have been a smarter play to point the finger at someone else - not himself. I don't think it was him.

4. Would Niger do it? What do they gain from war in Iraq? Don't they have their own oil fields that we buy oil from? Why add competition to the market? It's easy to rule them out - they didn't do it either.

That rules out the key players. Who else is involved?

Israel, Syria, Russia and France.

Israel hates Saddam with a passion - everyone knows it. But war in Iraq means increased threats of terrorism for them at home. While I bet they love the fact that Saddam is getting his ass handed to him, the risk of more terrorism further eroding their already unstable economy is just too great. They could have, but didn't, do it.

Syria hates us, likes Saddam, etc. The typical sidekick for the bad guy. So since Syria and Iraq are such pals, why would Syria want us in Iraq? They don't want us there any more than Saddam does. Wasn't them.

Now here's where it gets interesting. Russia has been supplying Iraq with weapons for decades and both they and France had numerous back door deals in the works for oil once sanctions were lifted.

Here's what I think. I think they passed an obvious phoney over on Blair and Bush knowing full well they'd be so excited to see it they wouldn't bother to verify it. I think they figured we'd take it to the UN (which we did) they'd determine it was a fake (which happened) and we'd look like idiots. We'd then get pissed off, draft a UN resolution, which they'd soundly veto and then the door is open for France and Russia to say "look at poor Iraq - we've been so bad to them... c'mon guys the sanctions don't work - lets lift them." In fact, both Russia and France have been trying to lift the sanctions for years. Can you guess who vetoed that decision every time?

We screwed up their plan by saying "hell with it" and going in anyhow. That's why the frenchies have their panties in a twist and the Russians are shaking their nuclear finger at us. We screwed up their oil deal.

That's what I think anyway. It could be totally wrong, I'll admit that. But it does seem to fit pretty well.....

-me

2370. Re: YAESU VR-120D - CORRECTION!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 22:11:45 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BImka.6805$n95.2812644@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33236
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

This radio is the VR-120, NOT the 120D as originally posted.

Sorry for the error.

Dan

> YAESU VR-120D wide coverage scanner / receiver. Brand new condition in
box,
> with manual, etc. Also includes optional custom Yaesu soft leather case.
>
> $100.00 shipped via UPS ground, insured.
>
> PAYPAL, US Postal money order, certified bank / cahsier's check or
personal
> check. (Personal check will delay shipping until it clears).
>
> eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
>
> E-Mail if interested.
>
> Dan
>
>

2371. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 22:25:19 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E91FB0D.EE20A32E@emai.com>
Source record: 33237
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Never anonymous Bud wrote:

> Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
belched:
>
> >The events unfolding today have to really upset you!
> >
> >WMD are discovered and shown on TV,

Those old rusty open oil drums? First off, they sure didn't hide them very well since they
are in
an open ditch. Second, if they were dangerous chemicals in those open containers, the
troops and
camera man should now be dead.

Interesting that Iraq did not USE these on our troops if they had them and they were
chemical
weapons, and interesting that qualified weapons inspectors find nothing after months of
searching,
but teenagers who aren't smart enough to find a job, so they join the military, are able to
find
these "hidden" chemical weapons right out in the open like that. They should be working for
the UN
weapons inspecting teams.

Iraq has NO chemical weapons left. If they did, they would have used them on American
troops. No
point in saving them for us to find after the war and say "Aha, we knew you had them!" If
we are
going to find them afterwards anyway, might as well use them on us when they had the
chance.

You people need to think.

2372. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 22:38:04 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@emai.com>
Message-ID: <3E91FE09.1098BB8F@emai.com>
Source record: 33238
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

> While they seem to not be in denial over the authenticity (or lack thereof)
> of these documents, do they know *who* faked them?

Hmmmm, who was the only one who wanted to show that Iraq had WMD so they could attack it??

Perhaps it was France, Germany, Russia, China, or one of the other countries in the world who faked the documents!

When someone is caught with a fake ID, who is usually responsible for having the fake ID produced?

This is not rocket science here.

> 1. If the US faked them, then this is clearly a vendetta against Saddam
> Hussein by the Bush administration.

No shit. Especially since there are no WMD in Iraq, or they would have used them by now.
If they were in Iraq, the US would find them after the war anyway, so might as well USE them on the US now.
But you can't use what you were forced to destroy 12 years ago.

> Now here's where it gets interesting. Russia has been supplying Iraq with
> weapons for decades

It was the USA that gave them the weapons, and CALIBRATED them for Iraq to use on Iran. Do a search and look for that picture of Rumsfeld shaking hands with Saddam in 1883.

2373. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-07 23:59:10 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9446dqduv7l7e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33239
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

My my, are we getting a case of PMS as the truth comes out? Take a Midol and call me when the war is over...

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:2sq39v81bn7euaup1sv09sl1kp2e5sq559@4ax.com...
> Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> belched:
>
> >The events unfolding today have to really upset you!
> >
> >WMD are discovered and shown on TV,
>
> You wouldn't recognize weapons of mass destruction
> if you were drinking from a glass filled with them.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2374. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 00:02:17 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v944c8hlutl6de@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33240
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Your absolutely correct, thank God you came forward in time to save
Saddam.... Oops, too late...

"Bernie Kolchak" <rburke@mail.com> wrote in message
news:9697f203.0304071330.3e1a23c3@posting.google.com...
> I see a whole lotta ad hominem here, and precious little refutation --
> a tactic which is usually diversionary. It is also, in point of fact,
> a classic Rush-ism: if you don't have an answer start slagging the
> author, the publication, the source, blah blah blah. Anyone who needs
> a refresher can find any or all of these suffused throughout this
> thread or try conversing with a Dittohead.
>
> So fine, if Hersh's credibility is a stumbling block for you, let's
> take it off the table by sticking to only those facts that are a
> matter of record and that don't rely on Hersh's unnamed sources:
>
> September 24th:
> Tony Blair's government made public a dossier containing much of the
> information that the Senate committee was being given in secret-that
> Iraq had sought to buy "significant quantities of uranium" from an
> unnamed African country, "despite having no active civil nuclear power
> programme that could require it."
>
> Sept 26th:
> Colin Powell... cited Iraq's attempt to obtain uranium from Niger as
> evidence of its persistent nuclear ambitions.
>
> December 19th:
> Washington... publicly identified Niger as the alleged seller of the
> nuclear materials, in a State Department position paper that
> rhetorically asked, "Why is the Iraqi regime hiding their uranium
> procurement?"
>
> January 28th:
> President Bush cited the uranium deal, along with the aluminum tubes,
> in his State of the Union Message, while crediting Britain as the
> source of the information: "The British government has learned that
> Saddam Hussein recently sought significant quantities of uranium from
> Africa." He commented, "Saddam Hussein has not credibly explained
> these activities. He clearly has much to hide."
>
> March 7th:
> Mohamed ElBaradei... told the U.N. Security Council that the documents

> involving the Niger-Iraq uranium sale were fakes. "The I.A.E.A. has
> concluded, with the concurrence of outside experts, that these
> documents . . . are in fact not authentic," ElBaradei said.
>
> ElBaradei's disclosure has not been disputed by any government or
> intelligence official in Washington or London.
>
> The I.A.E.A. had first sought the documents last fall, shortly after
> the British government released its dossier. After months of pleading
> by the I.A.E.A., the United States turned them over to Jacques Baute,
> who is the director of the agency's Iraq Nuclear Verification Office.
>
> It took Baute's team only a few hours to determine that the documents
> were fake. The agency had been given about a half-dozen letters and
> other communications between officials in Niger and Iraq, many of them
> written on letterheads of the Niger government. The problems were
> glaring. One letter, dated October 10, 2000, was signed with the name
> of Allele Habibou, a Niger Minister of Foreign Affairs and
> Co�peration, who had been out of office since 1989.
>
> March 9th:
> Colin Powell, asked about the forgery during a television interview
> two days after ElBaradei's report, dismissed the subject by saying,
> "If that issue is resolved, that issue is resolved."
>
> Asked to respond, [C.I.A. spokesman William] Harlow, said that the
> agency had not obtained the actual documents until early this year,
> after the President's State of the Union speech and after the
> congressional briefings, and therefore had been unable to evaluate
> them in a timely manner.
>
> ---end quote
>
> Short version: the British, Powell and President Bush drew on faked
> documents when making certain public statements about one of Iraq's
> WMD programs. In Powell's case the faked material was cited during an
> appearance before congress; the president appears to have relied on
> this faked material in making an allegation about an Iraqi WMD program
> during the State of the Union address.
>
> Moreover, the documents don't appear to have been very good fakes, as
> it took the IAEA only a short time to conclude they weren't authentic.
> It also appears to have taken an inordinately long time to get the
> documents from the US.
>
> After the forgery had been discovered, Secretary Powell blew off a
> question about it, which, quite apart from the cavalier attitude about
> questions of truth or falsity it seems to demonstrate, we can take as
> tantamount to conceding the docs were drek. Also, it appears, if the

> CIA is to be believed [smile], that the secretary of state and the
> president go about citing evidence without soliciting the CIA's
> opinion as to its value and authenticity, and don't even give the
> evidence to the CIA until some time after they've quoted it in public.
> It's hard not to scratch your head about this one, since it suggests
> either major interbranch dysfunctionality or Keystone Koppery at
> levels too high to contemplate if you plan to go on sleeping soundly.
>
> Now, if only this much is true, and I haven't heard the bare facts
> disputed, I have a problem with anyone who tries to minimize its
> importance. Since this thread has made such a big deal about
> credibility, it's fair to question the credibility of the other
> evidence the administration has used to buttress its case for going to
> war: if it was this easy for junk intelligence to make its way,
> whether from incompetence or mischief, so far up the ladder that the
> president and the secretary of state end up citing it as fact, it's
> hard to know how much credence to give the rest of their claims. If
> Hersh's state department source is correct and Powell is indeed
> apoplectic, at least he realizes the Iraq/Niger forgery fiasco places
> his credibility in question even if others don't.
>
> And frankly I don't see how any of this is answered by claiming Hersh
> is a fraud, Hersh is a liar, Hersh is unprincipled, blah blah blah.
> The publicly-acknowledged facts in the case don't rest on Hersh's
> credibility, and not answering them is a cheap evasion.

2375. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 00:04:34 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v944ghqglvqv2c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33241
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

I think you have put together a sound thesis.

"me" <me@none.com> wrote in message news:1Cmka.2442$1k.1999@fed1read07...
> OK, this one has merit and I will play along.
>
> While they seem to not be in denial over the authenticity (or lack
thereof)
> of these documents, do they know *who* faked them? This is more important
> than the forgery itself. Let's start with the key players.
>
> 1. If the US faked them, then this is clearly a vendetta against Saddam
> Hussein by the Bush administration. While tantalizing to imagine, it's
thin.
> A bit too thin (at least I hope) for the US Government. Simply put we
can -
> and have - done better. I don't think it was us.
>
> 2. If Britain faked them, then this is clearly an attempt to discredit the
> United States in the eyes of the world community while gaining *something*
> in return. What does Britain stand to gain from this action? Not enough to
> send the military in on and deal with daily protests in the streets. Some
> cheap oil, but then the US gains more than they do - if Britain wanted to
> screw us, they could do better. I don't think it was them.
>
> 3. If Saddam faked them, he may have done so to discredit the US and
Britain
> (see theory 2) but why put that kind of heat on himself? It might have
been
> a red herring to buy time, but again, it's wrong for the situation. It
would
> have been a smarter play to point the finger at someone else - not
himself.
> I don't think it was him.
>
> 4. Would Niger do it? What do they gain from war in Iraq? Don't they have
> their own oil fields that we buy oil from? Why add competition to the
> market? It's easy to rule them out - they didn't do it either.
>
> That rules out the key players. Who else is involved?
>
> Israel, Syria, Russia and France.
>
> Israel hates Saddam with a passion - everyone knows it. But war in Iraq

> means increased threats of terrorism for them at home. While I bet they
love
> the fact that Saddam is getting his ass handed to him, the risk of more
> terrorism further eroding their already unstable economy is just too
great.
> They could have, but didn't, do it.
>
> Syria hates us, likes Saddam, etc. The typical sidekick for the bad guy.
So
> since Syria and Iraq are such pals, why would Syria want us in Iraq? They
> don't want us there any more than Saddam does. Wasn't them.
>
> Now here's where it gets interesting. Russia has been supplying Iraq with
> weapons for decades and both they and France had numerous back door deals
in
> the works for oil once sanctions were lifted.
>
> Here's what I think. I think they passed an obvious phoney over on Blair
and
> Bush knowing full well they'd be so excited to see it they wouldn't bother
> to verify it. I think they figured we'd take it to the UN (which we did)
> they'd determine it was a fake (which happened) and we'd look like idiots.
> We'd then get pissed off, draft a UN resolution, which they'd soundly veto
> and then the door is open for France and Russia to say "look at poor
Iraq -
> we've been so bad to them... c'mon guys the sanctions don't work - lets
lift
> them." In fact, both Russia and France have been trying to lift the
> sanctions for years. Can you guess who vetoed that decision every time?
>
> We screwed up their plan by saying "hell with it" and going in anyhow.
> That's why the frenchies have their panties in a twist and the Russians
are
> shaking their nuclear finger at us. We screwed up their oil deal.
>
> That's what I think anyway. It could be totally wrong, I'll admit that.
But
> it does seem to fit pretty well.....
>
> -me
>
>
>
>
>
>

2376. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 00:54:04 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3e921cb6.1479929@news-server>
Source record: 33242

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 14:53:42 +0200, Stephan Walther Larsen <swl@c.dk>
wrote:

>On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 11:16:54 GMT, nospam@nospam.com (Richard Clemens)
>wrote:
>
>>The Standard Winradio G303 gives you a very good receiver. But I
>>became so addicted to the Professional Demodulator, that I can't
>>imagine my life without it. Especially the continuously variable IF
>>bandwidth. When you try this you will understand... ;-)
>>
>>Richard
>
>Hear that..Actually I might go for a second to mount in the same PC
>aswell...20" screen and two soundcards on Win XP.....imagine that !

Awesome! Which brings to me to a question I always wanted to ask:
How many sound cards can a PC support? And is there a difference
between Windows XP and 98 or 2000 in this regard?

Richard

>or get a second one once the external version is relased.
>
>
>
>
>Best Regards / Med Venlig Hilsen
>Stephan W.-Larsen / swl at c dot dk
>---
>Listening to the World from Denmark.
>Radio:NRD545G,HP3586A,ICR8500,WR-G303i/PD,UBC9000,AOR8200.
>Antenna: Wellbrook ALA1530,Dressler ARA2000,Discone.
>and Longwire plus various "testers"
>Software: Radioraft 3.21,SpectraPLUS,SkySpy/Hammc,Argo

2377. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 01:12:14 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <Olpka.49107$ug3.92755@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33243
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Yes, but where are the WMD that Bush already knew about.
Otherwise it's a crapshoot. Chances are that any given country has any of
this stuff.!!!!!!!!!

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v935lpdk99im8d@corp.supernews.com...
> The events unfolding today have to really upset you!
>
> WMD are discovered and shown on TV, the Iraqi people are rising up, Saddam
> is falling down...
>
>
> Ain't life a bitc&...
>
> May the fleas of Saddams moustache infest your tent.
>
>
>
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E9192AF.8F55E0E0@email.com...
> > > The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> > > have access to. Decide for yourself.
> > >
> > > http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
> > >
> > > http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
> > >
> > > http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm
> >
> > Thanks much for this link! I suggest everyone copy these pictures
while
> these
> > are still up. All the sources and websites that I found pictures from
> before
> > have since been shut down. Save them to your hard drive or CD rom
while
> you
> > can, and email them to everyone you can. Bush can try to stop you
from
> seeing
> > the truth, but until he shuts down the internet, we can make sure as
many
> people

> > get to see what the rest of the world is seeing on their tv sets
everyday
> in all
> > the other countries.
> >
> > I am so tired of hearing the US networks trying to show some clips from
> other
> > international networks have to always say that they edited out certain
> pictures
> > as they are too graphic to be shown on American television. Why is it
> that the
> > "Most Free Country in the World" doesn't have the freedom to show video
> that is
> > being shown on television in every other country of the world?
> >
> > Tired of the censorship, propaganda and lies.
> >
> >
>
>

2378. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 01:12:53 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3E922215.3040100@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 33244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ross Archer wrote:
> Michael Moore wrote:
>
>>Ross Archer wrote:
>>
>>>It seems to me that if God really wanted mindless dogmatic
>>>devotion, He would have given created intelligent doggies.
>>>It's *really* hard to imagine a worse choice than mankind.
>>>Maybe cats. :)
>>
>>Divine purpose is nothing but the projection of human need. Our vanity
>>prevents us from accepting that we are no more than cosmic accidents.
>>We need to know that we are here for some lofty purpose. Hence, the
>>creation of a divine purpose. Yet noone can even conjecture as to what
>>purpose God had in mind when creating man.
>
>
> Curiosity? Boredom? :)
>
> It seems that curiosity is often a hallmark of
> intelligence. Perhaps we're nothing more than an
> interesting science experiment. ;)

This kind of defeats the purpose of positing a god in the
first place, since being the product of an "interesting
science experiment" leaves us on about the same footing as
being the product of a cosmic accident.

--
M2

2379. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 01:17:42 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <dDOTbxx@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <jh849vgq49hiv52j3iompqg2migi7rmfak@4ax.com>
Source record: 33245

Right...that apparently didn't occur to him, but it occurred to him to
write a vulgar farewell. It's like a 5 year old at a gathering that
isn't getting enough attention, throws a fit and says their leaving.
Who cares? What response was he soliciting when he said good-bye?
It's not like he's family going off to Iraq and we are deeply moved
and concerned about his leaving.

The "gentleman" can come and go as he likes, we don't need him to
announce his intentions. We don't care.

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 18:58:16 GMT, "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:

>
>"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:3E918AF6.3070109@earthlink.net...
>> It's a shame that you choose to lower yourself to there level
>> by cussing.
>> Also you choose to open those post. I haven opened one yet.
>
>Guess it didn't occur to him that he didn't have to read any of stuff he's
>griping about.
>
>>
>> Maybe you should rethink your own position, your the guy that
>> won't use your own name, your the person cussing in your
>> post so, who's the "NUT"
>>
>> Burr
>>
>> Me wrote:
>>
>> > I've had all the shit I can take about Jesus, black persons, and all the
>> > rest of the shit that doesn't belong here about scanners. Does anyone
>> > care? Hell, NO! rec.radio.scanner is no longer in my news groups.
>> > Bye Bye all you shit-heads.
>> >
>> >
>>
>

2380. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 01:27:54 UTC
From: Lon Dortmer <ldortmer@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3E9225D7.8468EFFC@aol.com>
Source record: 33246
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> Yes, but where are the WMD that Bush already knew about.

The chemical weapons that Bush knew about, because he has the receipts from the 80s when the US sold them to Iraq, were destroyed per UN resolutions requiring Iraq to disarm. After Bush used the UN inspectors to make sure there were no weapons left, he attacked them knowing they could not fight back, and as we have seen, they didn't even have any scuds to shoot back at us, let alone any chemical weapons.

> Otherwise it's a crapshoot. Chances are that any given country has any of
> this stuff.!!!!!!!!!

Any country that has not been harassed by the UN for the past 12 years to disarm and make sure that they don't make or get any more weapons, that is.

Israel has nuclear weapons of mass destruction and is still occupying Palestine since 1948. The UN tried to pass resolutions against them, but the USA keeps vetoing those resolutions. The same thing they got mad at France for WANTING to do this time with a resolution that was not about disarming Iraq, but was for attacking them and overthrowing their government. No country was for that except for the US, Britain and Israel.

2381. A Question on restricted scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 01:35:15 UTC
From: coaststation@go.com
Message-ID: <5a77581d.0304071735.6e97a7ca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33247

As a foreigner, I know I can purchase restricted scanners through mail order from stores in USA to my country of origin.

But as a tourist, can I buy a restricted scanner over the counter in stores while I'm visiting the States?

Thank You.

Coast Station,
PD.

2382. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 02:24:20 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <opqka.72306$0X.13566072@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Unbelievable. This scum is STILL posting this garbage.

See the pictures Petey doesn't want you to know he and his hermaphrodite girlfriends stole from a Kurdish website:

(WARNING - GRAPHIC)

http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html

You pathetic pile of moose feces.

2383. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 02:25:05 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <5qqka.72313$0X.13567533@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Where the hermaphrodites steal their pictures from:

(WARNING - GRAPHIC)

http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html

2384. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 02:26:48 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <hpc49v449ovhk48d3mrdkmrr1bn4uj6aof@4ax.com>
Source record: 33250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

Responding to Bush's speech line by line, lie by lie

An Annotated Critique of President George W. Bush's March 17 Address Preparing the Nation for War

FPIF Middle Eastern Editor, Stephen Zunes, provides an annotated critique of the president's address to the nation about imminent war against Iraq. Given that the Democratic Party leadership in Congress declined to take their traditional opportuntity to offer a formal response, FPIF provides a response to all the president's arguments for
war. Line by line, argument by argument, falsehood by falsehood, Zunes responds to the president.

Stephen Zunes is an associate professor of politics and chair of the Peace & Justice Studies Program at the University of San Francisco.

(Editors' Note: Below is a transcript of President George W. Bush's address to the nation on Monday, March 17, announcing his readiness to order a U.S. invasion of Iraq followed by an analysis highlighting some
of the lies and misleading statements in the speech. Such an overview is
necessary since the Democratic Party leadership in Congress, which has pledged to support the president in the event of war, declined to take their traditional opportunity to offer a formal response. The Green Party, which opposes the war, was not given the opportunity by the networks to respond.)

"My fellow citizens, events in Iraq have now reached the final days of decision. For more than a decade, the United States and other nations have pursued patient and honorable efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime without war."
This is patently false. In 1998, President Bill Clinton successfully pressured UNSCOM director Richard Butler to withdraw inspectors without
authorization from the Secretary General or the Security Council--before
their mission was complete--in order to engage in a four-day heavy

bombing campaign against Iraq. As predicted at the time, this illegal use of military force--combined with revelations that the United States had abused the inspections process for espionage purposes--resulted in the Iraqi government barring the inspectors' return until a reorganized inspections commission known as UNMOVIC commenced inspections last year. UNMOVIC chairman Hans Blix and UN Secretary General Kofi Annan explicitly called upon the United States and the international community to give the inspectors more time to do their job, noting that it would take a number of months before their mission could be completed.

"That regime pledged to reveal and destroy all its weapons of mass destruction as a condition for ending the Persian Gulf War in 1991." Iraq was presented with this demand as part of UN Security Council resolution 687, which mandated Iraqi disarmament of its weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems. This was a unilateral decree from the Security Council which--while nominally part of the ceasefire agreement--was void of any explicit threat to continue prosecuting the war if Iraq did not agree to the disarmament provisions. It is noteworthy that the demand for Iraqi disarmament in the resolution was put forward within the context of a call for regional disarmament. The United States has refused to encourage any regional disarmament initiative, however, and remains a strong supporter of the Israeli and Pakistani governments, which have advanced nuclear arsenals among other weapons of mass destruction.

"Since then, the world has engaged in 12 years of diplomacy. We have passed more than a dozen resolutions in the United Nations Security Council. We have sent hundreds of weapons inspectors to oversee the disarmament of Iraq. Our good faith has not been returned. The Iraqi regime has used diplomacy as a ploy to gain time and advantage. It has uniformly defied Security Council resolutions demanding full disarmament." Iraq's cooperation has indeed been less than total, but most independent reports--even during UNSCOM's inspections regime between 1991 and 1998--conclude that cooperation was close to 90%. According to UNMOVIC, Iraq's cooperation since inspections resumed last year has been far better.

"Over the years, UN weapon inspectors have been threatened by Iraqi officials, electronically bugged, and systematically deceived." This was not an uncommon practice during the UNSCOM era, but there have been no reports from UNMOVIC of such harassment subsequently.

"Peaceful efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime have failed again and again--because we are not dealing with peaceful men."
Peaceful efforts at disarming Iraq have succeeded in eliminating somewhere between 95% and 100% of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction and
related materiel and delivery systems as a result of UN Security Council
resolution 687 and subsequent resolutions. The determination to go to war despite such success raises serious questions as to whether the United States is governed by peaceful men.

"Intelligence gathered by this and other governments leaves no doubt that the Iraq regime continues to possess and conceal some of the most lethal weapons ever devised."
If the United States really has evidence that the Iraqi government continues to possess and conceal weapons of mass destruction, why has the Bush administration refused to make such evidence public or pass such intelligence on to United Nations inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"This regime has already used weapons of mass destruction against Iraq's
neighbors and against Iraq's people."
Iraq did use chemical weapons against Iranian troops and Kurdish civilians back in the 1980s when Saddam Hussein's regime was being supported by the United States. The Reagan administration covered up for
the Halabja massacre and similar attacks against Kurdish civilians by falsely claiming that it was the Iranians--then the preferred enemy--who
were responsible. In addition, the U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency provided Iraq with U.S. satellite data to help Saddam Hussein's forces locate Iranian troop concentrations in the full knowledge that they were
using chemical weapons. Many of the key components of Iraq's chemical weapons program came from the United States, ostensibly for pesticides as part of taxpayer-funded agricultural subsidies, despite evidence that
these U.S.-manufactured chemicals were probably being diverted for use in illegal chemical weapons.

"The regime has a history of reckless aggression in the Middle East."
This is true, though Iraq's invasion of Iran in 1980 was quietly supported by the U.S. government and ambivalent signals by the U.S. ambassador to Iraq immediately prior to the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait may
have emboldened Saddam Hussein to conquer the sheikdom in 1990. Now, with Iraq's offensive military capability just a fraction of what is was
during that period and an unambiguous resolve by the international community to thwart such future aggression, there is little chance of Iraq invading another country again.

"It has a deep hatred of America and our friends."
Iraq willingly accepted U.S. support during the 1980s. The more belligerent posture of recent years is largely a result of the U.S. destruction of much of the country's military and civilian infrastructure in the 1991 Gulf War, which was supported by a number of other Middle Eastern states with which Iraq had also once collaborated and been on friendly terms. Subsequent U.S.-led sanctions, periodic bombing raids, and invasion threats have resulted in widespread suffering of the population that has intensified anti-American sentiment. Had the United States adopted a more enlightened policy, such deep hatred would likely have not developed.

"And it has aided, trained, and harbored terrorists, including operatives of al Qaeda."
Every independent investigation of every Bush administration claim of a connection between the secular Iraqi government and the Islamist al Qaeda network has found no evidence of any Iraqi aid, training, or harboring of al Qaeda terrorists. According to both published U.S. government reports and independent analyses, Iraq's support for international terrorism--which has always been restricted to secular nationalists like the radical Palestinian Abu Nidal faction--peaked in the 1980s.

"The danger is clear: using chemical, biological or, one day, nuclear weapons, obtained with the help of Iraq, the terrorists could fulfill their stated ambitions and kill thousands or hundreds of thousands of innocent people in our country, or any other. The United States and other nations did nothing to deserve or invite this threat. But we will do everything to defeat it. Instead of drifting along toward tragedy, we will set a course toward safety. Before the day of horror can come, before it is too late to act, this danger will be removed."
The Bush administration has failed to present any evidence that Iraq has the intention to pass on weapons of mass destruction to terrorists, an act that would inevitably lead to a U.S.-led invasion, only in this case with the support of the international community. This is the essence of deterrence, which protected the United States and its allies from Josef Stalin, Mao Zedung, and other leaders as tyrannical and far more powerful militarily than Saddam Hussein. And no country has the right to invade another on some far-fetched scenario that they might do something someday. Ironically, as the CIA has noted in a report released this past

October, Saddam Hussein would not likely use WMDs as a first strike, but
in the case of a U.S. invasion--with nothing to lose and the logic of
deterrence no longer in effect--would be far more likely to use whatever
WMDs he may possess. In other words, a U.S. invasion, rather than
preventing the use of weapons of mass destruction, would be the most
likely--and the only realistic--scenario that such horrible weapons
would be utilized.

"The United States of America has the sovereign authority to use force
in assuring its own national security. That duty falls to me, as
Commander-in-Chief, by the oath I have sworn, by the oath I will keep."
The oath of office also demands that the president uphold and defend the
Constitution of the United States, which forbids such an illegal use of
force. Virtually no international legal authority recognizes such an
invasion as an act of assuring legitimate national security interests.

"Recognizing the threat to our country, the United States Congress voted
overwhelmingly last year to support the use of force against Iraq."
The U.S. Congress--with the support of both the Republican and
Democratic leadership--did authorize the use of force against Iraq.
However, the resolution violates Article I, Section 8 of the U.S.
Constitution which does not allow Congress to grant such open-ended
warmaking authority to the president for an offensive military action.
Only a formal declaration of war in such a situation can be considered
legitimate. Furthermore, Article VI of the Constitution declares that
international treaties to which the United States is a party are to be
treated as supreme law, thereby proscribing Congress from passing any
resolution that violates the UN Charter, such as supporting an invasion
of a sovereign nation. As a result, this resolution is unconstitutional
and thereby invalid.

"America tried to work with the United Nations to address this threat
because we wanted to resolve the issue peacefully. We believe in the
mission of the United Nations."
Then why is the United States violating the UN Charter, which forbids
the use of military force unless a country finds itself under armed
attack or it is explicitly authorized by the UN Security Council? The
mission of the United Nations is to preserve international peace and
security, not to approve the invasion of one country by another.

"One reason the UN was founded after the Second World War was to
confront aggressive dictators, actively and early, before they can
attack the innocent and destroy the peace."

The United States refused to confront Saddam Hussein active and early when he was committing acts of aggression against Iranians and Kurds and opposed decisive action by the United Nations. Iraq's ability to attack the innocent and destroy the peace has already been reduced dramatically through a series of actions by the United Nations, including authorizing the use of force to remove Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait, placing strict military sanctions against the dictatorship, and overseeing the most aggressive unilateral disarmament effort and inspections regime in history.

"In the case of Iraq, the Security Council did act, in the early 1990s. Under Resolutions 678 and 687--both still in effect--the United States and our allies are authorized to use force in ridding Iraq of weapons of mass destruction. This is not a question of authority, it is a question of will."

The assertion that resolutions 678 and 687 give the United States the right to invade Iraq is patently false. Resolution 678 authorized the use of force to enforce prior UN Security Council resolutions demanding that Iraq remove its occupation forces from Kuwait. Once that was accomplished in late February 1991, the resolution became moot. Resolution 687 called for Iraqi disarmament of weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems, but--even though it was the most detailed resolution in the history of the United Nations--no enforcement mechanism was specified. According to United Nations Charter, such resolutions can be enforced militarily only if the Security Council as a whole recognizes that a country is in material breach, determines that all non-military means have been exhausted, and specifically authorizes the use of force. The Security Council has not done so subsequent to the passage of resolution 678 in late November 1990.

"Last September, I went to the UN General Assembly and urged the nations of the world to unite and bring an end to this danger. On November 8th, the Security Council unanimously passed Resolution 1441, finding Iraq in material breach of its obligations, and vowing serious consequences if Iraq did not fully and immediately disarm."

True, but it did not authorize the use of force. Article 14 of that

resolution specifically noted that the Security Council would "remain seized of the matter," reiterating that only the Security Council as a whole--not any one member state--has the power to determine whether military force can be legitimately utilized to enforce its resolution.

"Today, no nation can possibly claim that Iraq has disarmed."
There actually are some nations that believe that Iraq has disarmed under the resolutions. Though this is not likely the case, the Bush administration has been unable to present clear evidence to the contrary.

"And it will not disarm so long as Saddam Hussein holds power."
This is sheer speculation. As a dictator who has proven his desire to ruthlessly hold on to power at all costs, he very well could disarm to save his regime. However, the Bush administration has made clear its intention to invade anyway, thereby providing little incentive for Saddam Hussein to do so.

"For the last four-and-a-half months, the United States and our allies have worked within the Security Council to enforce that Council's long-
standing demands. Yet, some permanent members of the Security Council have publicly announced they will veto any resolution that compels the disarmament of Iraq. These governments share our assessment of the danger, but not our resolve to meet it."
Actually, most Security Council members do not believe that Iraq is the
imminent threat that the United States claims it to be, though, if convincing evidence were presented that Iraq indeed posed a threat to international peace and security, a clear majority of the Security Council--including France--have indicated their willingness to authorize
the use of force. A veto of the proposed U.S.-sponsored resolution by France, Russia, and China would probably not have been necessary since the United States was unable--despite enormous pressure, including promises of increased foreign aid, trade preferences, and other incentives--to convince a simple majority of nations on the Council that
it was necessary to take the unprecedented step of authorizing the United States to invade Iraq, overthrow the government, and replace it with one more to its liking.

"Many nations, however, do have the resolve and fortitude to act against
this threat to peace, and a broad coalition is now gathering to enforce
the just demands of the world."
There is nothing close to the broad coalition such as that which joined
the United States in ridding Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait in 1991, when Iraq clearly did constitute a threat to peace. As of this

writing, only one major power (Great Britain) and two minor powers (Spain and Australia) have offered to send troops. All three of these governments are doing so contrary to the sentiments of the vast majority
of their population and their combined participation still leaves the United States contributing at least 85% of combat forces. As columnist Maureen Dowd noted, since the Bush administration has driven virtually everyone from the schoolyard, it now has to rely on imaginary friends.

"The United Nations Security Council has not lived up to its responsibilities, so we will rise to ours."
In reality, the United Nations Security Council has gone to extraordinary efforts to minimize any threat to peace from Iraq, including authorizing the use of force in 1990 to enforce resolutions requiring an Iraqi withdrawal from occupied Kuwait, the imposition of strict sanctions against Iraq, and the creation of an inspections regime
that has been largely--if not 100%--effective. By contrast, it is not the responsibility of the United States or any country to invade a sovereign nation when it feels like it.

"In recent days, some governments in the Middle East have been doing their part. They have delivered public and private messages urging the dictator to leave Iraq, so that disarmament can proceed peacefully. He has thus far refused. All the decades of deceit and cruelty have now reached an end. Saddam Hussein and his sons must leave Iraq within 48 hours. Their refusal to do so will result in military conflict, commenced at a time of our choosing. For their own safety, all foreign nationals--including journalists and inspectors--should leave Iraq immediately."
President Bush has no authorization to demand that United Nations inspectors or foreign nationals leave Iraq. Nor does he have the right to demand that Saddam Hussein and his sons leave their country. No Security Council resolutions require that Saddam Hussein resign or that
he and any other member of his family go into exile. And neither the United States nor any other country has the right to commence an invasion of another country at the time of its choosing.

"Many Iraqis can hear me tonight in a translated radio broadcast, and I
have a message for them. If we must begin a military campaign, it will be directed against the lawless men who rule your country and not against you."
It is highly likely that a major U.S. military campaign--particularly one with such a heavy reliance on air power and the determination to seize by force a capital city of over five million people--will result in the deaths of thousands of innocent Iraqi civilians.

"As our coalition takes away their power, we will deliver the food and medicine you need."

In large part as a result of the U.S.-led sanctions, there are already severe shortages of food and medicines in Iraq. Strict and mostly equitable rationing have left few Iraqi families with more than a couple
of days' worth of food in storage. It is unlikely that the United States
will be able to supply most Iraqis with the food and medicine they need
in any timely manner.

"We will tear down the apparatus of terror and we will help you to build
a new Iraq that is prosperous and free. In a free Iraq, there will be no
more wars of aggression against your neighbors, no more poison factories, no more executions of dissidents, no more torture chambers and rape rooms."
The fact that the United States has supported scores of regimes--including a number in the Middle East--that have tortured, raped, and murdered dissidents raises serious questions as to whether the Bush administration really supports a free Iraq. The Bush administration's ongoing support of Moroccan occupation forces in Western Sahara, Turkish
occupation forces in northern Cyprus, and Israeli occupation forces in the West Bank, the Gaza Strip, and the Golan Heights raises serious questions as to whether the United States is actually bothered by countries that commit acts of aggression against neighbors. The United States also supports a number of Middle Eastern countries that are believed to have developed chemical weapons, similarly raising questions
as to whether the Bush administration is really worried about "poison factories."

"The tyrant will soon be gone. The day of your liberation is near."
Most Iraqis would certainly welcome the end of Saddam Hussein's regime.
But it is highly questionable whether a Western nation that has already
wrought enormous suffering for the Iraqi people, invades the country, and installs one of its own generals as a provisional military governor
will be seen as an act of liberation or a foreign occupation.

"It is too late for Saddam Hussein to remain in power. It is not too late for the Iraqi military to act with honor and protect your country by permitting the peaceful entry of coalition forces to eliminate weapons of mass destruction."
First, it is hard to imagine any national army--even under the most ruthless of dictators--that would not resist a foreign invasion. Second,
if the United States knows where these alleged weapons of mass

destruction are located, why haven't U.S. government officials informed
UNMOVIC inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"Our forces will give Iraqi military units clear instructions on actions
they can take to avoid being attacked and destroyed. I urge every member
of the Iraqi military and intelligence services, if war comes, do not
fight for a dying regime that is not worth your own life. And all Iraqi
military and civilian personnel should listen carefully to this warning.
In any conflict, your fate will depend on your action. Do not destroy
oil wells, a source of wealth that belongs to the Iraqi people. Do not
obey any command to use weapons of mass destruction against anyone,
including the Iraqi people. War crimes will be prosecuted. War criminals
will be punished. And it will be no defense to say, "I was just
following orders"."
The United States has actively undermined and refused to participate in
the International Criminal Court, which was designed to try and punish
war criminals like Saddam Hussein. As a result, any such trials will
likely be under the tutelage of an occupying American army, which will
be seen by the vast majority of the international community as
illegitimate. For a foreign occupation army to try and punish leaders of
an internationally recognized government--however reprehensible they may
be--is in itself a war crime and would make these thugs martyrs in the
eyes of much of the world.

"Should Saddam Hussein choose confrontation, the American people can
know that every measure has been taken to avoid war, and every measure
will be taken to win it."
Refusing an illegitimate order by a foreign government to surrender
power is not choosing confrontation. And, clearly, the Bush
administration has not taken "every measure to avoid war."

"Americans understand the costs of conflict because we have paid them in
the past. War has no certainty, except the certainty of sacrifice. Yet,
the only way to reduce the harm and duration of war is to apply the full
force and might of our military, and we are prepared to do so. If Saddam
Hussein attempts to cling to power, he will remain a deadly foe until
the end. In desperation, he and terrorists groups might try to conduct
terrorist operations against the American people and our friends.

These
attacks are not inevitable. They are, however, possible."
Then why prosecute and unnecessary and illegal war?

"And this very fact underscores the reason we cannot live under the
threat of blackmail. The terrorist threat to America and the world
will
be diminished the moment that Saddam Hussein is disarmed."
According to the CIA and other estimates, Iraq has not engaged in any anti-American terrorism since the alleged 1993 assassination attempt against former President George Bush and has already dramatically reduced his support for international terrorism since the 1980s, when the United States was supporting his government. By contrast, most intelligence analyses predict an increase in the terrorist threat to America and its allies should the United States invade Iraq.

"Our government is on heightened watch against these dangers. Just as
we
are preparing to ensure victory in Iraq, we are taking further actions to protect our homeland. In recent days, American authorities have expelled from the country certain individuals with ties to Iraqi intelligence services. Among other measures, I have directed
additional
security of our airports, and increased Coast Guard patrols of major seaports. The Department of Homeland Security is working closely with the nation's governors to increase armed security at critical
facilities
across America. Should enemies strike our country, they would be attempting to shift our attention with panic and weaken our morale
with
fear. In this, they would fail. No act of theirs can alter the course
or
shake the resolve of this country. We are a peaceful people--yet we're not a fragile people, and we will not be intimidated by thugs and killers. If our enemies dare to strike us, they and all who have aided them, will face fearful consequences."
The chances of the United States being attacked will be greatly increased if the U.S. attacks first. Indeed, if there was any logic behind the madness of 9/11, it was Osama bin Laden's hope that the United States would react in such a way that would only increase the popularity of anti-American extremists. History has shown that the
more
the United States has militarized the Middle East, the less secure we have become.

"We are now acting because the risks of inaction would be far greater. In one year, or five years, the power of Iraq to inflict harm on all free nations would be multiplied many times over. With these capabilities, Saddam Hussein and his terrorist allies could choose the moment of deadly conflict when they are strongest. We choose to meet that threat now, where it arises, before it can appear suddenly in our

skies and cities."
Iraq has never threatened to attack the United States nor does it have the ability to attack the United States. That country became a formidable military threat back in the 1980s as a result of support from
industrialized nations like the U.S., Great Britain, France, Germany, and Russia. With a strict military embargo imposed upon the country since 1990, it will be extremely difficult for Iraq to become a military
threat to the United States or any other country.

"The cause of peace requires all free nations to recognize new and undeniable realities. In the 20th century, some chose to appease murderous dictators, whose threats were allowed to grow into genocide and global war. In this century, when evil men plot chemical, biological
and nuclear terror, a policy of appeasement could bring destruction of a
kind never before seen on this earth."
The analogy with Hitler's Germany and other Axis powers is spurious. Germany was the most powerful industrialized country in the world in the
1930s. Iraq, by contrast, is a poor, third-world country that has had most of its military infrastructure destroyed and has been under the strictest military and economic sanctions in world history. The current
UN policy of inspections, sanctions, and the threat of UN-sanctioned war
if Iraq again threatens its neighbors can hardly be considered "appeasement." None of the Axis powers of the 1930s were ever subjected
to such international pressure until they had invaded and occupied dozens of nations in Europe, Asia, and Africa. Iraq has not invaded and
occupied any countries since its six-month occupation of Kuwait in 1990-
91.

"Terrorists and terror states do not reveal these threats with fair notice, in formal declarations--and responding to such enemies only after they have struck first is not self-defense, it is suicide. The security of the world requires disarming Saddam Hussein now."
Essentially, President Bush is saying that a country has the right to invade and occupy another country without any evidence that the targeted
country has the intention, willingness, or ability to strike first. This
would give virtually any country the right to invade any other. Most of
Iraq's neighbors do not consider Iraq to be a threat, either now or in the perceivable future.

"As we enforce the just demands of the world, we will also honor the deepest commitments of our country."
Violating the U.S. Constitution and international legal covenants to which the U.S. government is legally bound is, in reality, a dishonor to
the deepest commitments of the United States.

"Unlike Saddam Hussein, we believe the Iraqi people are deserving and capable of human liberty. And when the dictator has departed, they can set an example to all the Middle East of a vital and peaceful and self-
governing nation."
If the United States really believes the Iraqi people are deserving and
capable of human liberty, then why did the U.S. support Saddam Hussein during the height of his terror? And why are the leading candidates the
United States hopes to install in Baghdad to replace the current dictatorship lacking anything remotely resembling democratic credentials?

"The United States, with other countries, will work to advance liberty and peace in that region."
Then why does the United States support dictatorships in Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Oman, Kuwait, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, and other autocratic regimes? And why does the United States support Moroccan, Israeli, and Turkish occupation forces? Such policies belie any claim of support for
liberty and peace.

"Our goal will not be achieved overnight, but it can come over time. The
power and appeal of human liberty is felt in every life and every land.
And the greatest power of freedom is to overcome hatred and violence, and turn the creative gifts of men and women to the pursuits of peace."
To unleash bombs and missiles on cities, to engage in war-mongering, and
to lie to the American people and the world in order to rationalize such
an invasion is itself a form of hatred and violence.

"That is the future we choose. Free nations have a duty to defend our people by uniting against the violent. And tonight, as we have done before, America and our allies accept that responsibility. Good night, and may God continue to bless America."
And may God forgive President Bush and the congressional leaders of both
parties who are responsible for unleashing such horrific violence against the people of Iraq.

On Tue, 08 Apr 2003 02:24:20 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>Unbelievable. This scum is STILL posting this garbage.
>
>See the pictures Petey doesn't want you to know he and his hermaphrodite
>girlfriends stole from a Kurdish website:
>
>(WARNING - GRAPHIC)
>
>http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html
>
>You pathetic pile of moose feces.
>

2385. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 02:28:10 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <nrc49v8rcrlumvs9amj0hip0fa96v85vqg@4ax.com>
Source record: 33252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

Responding to Bush's speech line by line, lie by lie

An Annotated Critique of President George W. Bush's March 17 Address Preparing the Nation for War

FPIF Middle Eastern Editor, Stephen Zunes, provides an annotated critique of the president's address to the nation about imminent war against Iraq. Given that the Democratic Party leadership in Congress declined to take their traditional opportuntity to offer a formal response, FPIF provides a response to all the president's arguments for
war. Line by line, argument by argument, falsehood by falsehood, Zunes responds to the president.

Stephen Zunes is an associate professor of politics and chair of the Peace & Justice Studies Program at the University of San Francisco.

(Editors' Note: Below is a transcript of President George W. Bush's address to the nation on Monday, March 17, announcing his readiness to order a U.S. invasion of Iraq followed by an analysis highlighting some
of the lies and misleading statements in the speech. Such an overview is
necessary since the Democratic Party leadership in Congress, which has pledged to support the president in the event of war, declined to take their traditional opportunity to offer a formal response. The Green Party, which opposes the war, was not given the opportunity by the networks to respond.)

"My fellow citizens, events in Iraq have now reached the final days of decision. For more than a decade, the United States and other nations have pursued patient and honorable efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime without war."
This is patently false. In 1998, President Bill Clinton successfully pressured UNSCOM director Richard Butler to withdraw inspectors without
authorization from the Secretary General or the Security Council--before
their mission was complete--in order to engage in a four-day heavy

bombing campaign against Iraq. As predicted at the time, this illegal use of military force--combined with revelations that the United States had abused the inspections process for espionage purposes--resulted in the Iraqi government barring the inspectors' return until a reorganized inspections commission known as UNMOVIC commenced inspections last year. UNMOVIC chairman Hans Blix and UN Secretary General Kofi Annan explicitly called upon the United States and the international community to give the inspectors more time to do their job, noting that it would take a number of months before their mission could be completed.

"That regime pledged to reveal and destroy all its weapons of mass destruction as a condition for ending the Persian Gulf War in 1991." Iraq was presented with this demand as part of UN Security Council resolution 687, which mandated Iraqi disarmament of its weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems. This was a unilateral decree from the Security Council which--while nominally part of the ceasefire agreement--was void of any explicit threat to continue prosecuting the war if Iraq did not agree to the disarmament provisions. It is noteworthy that the demand for Iraqi disarmament in the resolution was put forward within the context of a call for regional disarmament. The United States has refused to encourage any regional disarmament initiative, however, and remains a strong supporter of the Israeli and Pakistani governments, which have advanced nuclear arsenals among other weapons of mass destruction.

"Since then, the world has engaged in 12 years of diplomacy. We have passed more than a dozen resolutions in the United Nations Security Council. We have sent hundreds of weapons inspectors to oversee the disarmament of Iraq. Our good faith has not been returned. The Iraqi regime has used diplomacy as a ploy to gain time and advantage. It has uniformly defied Security Council resolutions demanding full disarmament." Iraq's cooperation has indeed been less than total, but most independent reports--even during UNSCOM's inspections regime between 1991 and 1998--conclude that cooperation was close to 90%. According to UNMOVIC, Iraq's cooperation since inspections resumed last year has been far better.

"Over the years, UN weapon inspectors have been threatened by Iraqi officials, electronically bugged, and systematically deceived." This was not an uncommon practice during the UNSCOM era, but there have been no reports from UNMOVIC of such harassment subsequently.

"Peaceful efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime have failed again and again--because we are not dealing with peaceful men."
Peaceful efforts at disarming Iraq have succeeded in eliminating somewhere between 95% and 100% of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction and
related materiel and delivery systems as a result of UN Security Council
resolution 687 and subsequent resolutions. The determination to go to war despite such success raises serious questions as to whether the United States is governed by peaceful men.

"Intelligence gathered by this and other governments leaves no doubt that the Iraq regime continues to possess and conceal some of the most lethal weapons ever devised."
If the United States really has evidence that the Iraqi government continues to possess and conceal weapons of mass destruction, why has the Bush administration refused to make such evidence public or pass such intelligence on to United Nations inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"This regime has already used weapons of mass destruction against Iraq's
neighbors and against Iraq's people."
Iraq did use chemical weapons against Iranian troops and Kurdish civilians back in the 1980s when Saddam Hussein's regime was being supported by the United States. The Reagan administration covered up for
the Halabja massacre and similar attacks against Kurdish civilians by falsely claiming that it was the Iranians--then the preferred enemy--who
were responsible. In addition, the U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency provided Iraq with U.S. satellite data to help Saddam Hussein's forces locate Iranian troop concentrations in the full knowledge that they were
using chemical weapons. Many of the key components of Iraq's chemical weapons program came from the United States, ostensibly for pesticides as part of taxpayer-funded agricultural subsidies, despite evidence that
these U.S.-manufactured chemicals were probably being diverted for use in illegal chemical weapons.

"The regime has a history of reckless aggression in the Middle East."
This is true, though Iraq's invasion of Iran in 1980 was quietly supported by the U.S. government and ambivalent signals by the U.S. ambassador to Iraq immediately prior to the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait may
have emboldened Saddam Hussein to conquer the sheikdom in 1990. Now, with Iraq's offensive military capability just a fraction of what is was
during that period and an unambiguous resolve by the international community to thwart such future aggression, there is little chance of Iraq invading another country again.

"It has a deep hatred of America and our friends."
Iraq willingly accepted U.S. support during the 1980s. The more belligerent posture of recent years is largely a result of the U.S. destruction of much of the country's military and civilian infrastructure in the 1991 Gulf War, which was supported by a number of other Middle Eastern states with which Iraq had also once collaborated and been on friendly terms. Subsequent U.S.-led sanctions, periodic bombing raids, and invasion threats have resulted in widespread suffering of the population that has intensified anti-American sentiment. Had the United States adopted a more enlightened policy, such deep hatred would likely have not developed.

"And it has aided, trained, and harbored terrorists, including operatives of al Qaeda."
Every independent investigation of every Bush administration claim of a connection between the secular Iraqi government and the Islamist al Qaeda network has found no evidence of any Iraqi aid, training, or harboring of al Qaeda terrorists. According to both published U.S. government reports and independent analyses, Iraq's support for international terrorism--which has always been restricted to secular nationalists like the radical Palestinian Abu Nidal faction--peaked in the 1980s.

"The danger is clear: using chemical, biological or, one day, nuclear weapons, obtained with the help of Iraq, the terrorists could fulfill their stated ambitions and kill thousands or hundreds of thousands of innocent people in our country, or any other. The United States and other nations did nothing to deserve or invite this threat. But we will do everything to defeat it. Instead of drifting along toward tragedy, we will set a course toward safety. Before the day of horror can come, before it is too late to act, this danger will be removed."
The Bush administration has failed to present any evidence that Iraq has the intention to pass on weapons of mass destruction to terrorists, an act that would inevitably lead to a U.S.-led invasion, only in this case with the support of the international community. This is the essence of deterrence, which protected the United States and its allies from Josef Stalin, Mao Zedung, and other leaders as tyrannical and far more powerful militarily than Saddam Hussein. And no country has the right to invade another on some far-fetched scenario that they might do something someday. Ironically, as the CIA has noted in a report released this past

October, Saddam Hussein would not likely use WMDs as a first strike, but
in the case of a U.S. invasion--with nothing to lose and the logic of
deterrence no longer in effect--would be far more likely to use whatever
WMDs he may possess. In other words, a U.S. invasion, rather than
preventing the use of weapons of mass destruction, would be the most
likely--and the only realistic--scenario that such horrible weapons
would be utilized.

"The United States of America has the sovereign authority to use force
in assuring its own national security. That duty falls to me, as
Commander-in-Chief, by the oath I have sworn, by the oath I will keep."
The oath of office also demands that the president uphold and defend the
Constitution of the United States, which forbids such an illegal use of
force. Virtually no international legal authority recognizes such an
invasion as an act of assuring legitimate national security interests.

"Recognizing the threat to our country, the United States Congress voted
overwhelmingly last year to support the use of force against Iraq."
The U.S. Congress--with the support of both the Republican and
Democratic leadership--did authorize the use of force against Iraq.
However, the resolution violates Article I, Section 8 of the U.S.
Constitution which does not allow Congress to grant such open-ended
warmaking authority to the president for an offensive military action.
Only a formal declaration of war in such a situation can be considered
legitimate. Furthermore, Article VI of the Constitution declares that
international treaties to which the United States is a party are to be
treated as supreme law, thereby proscribing Congress from passing any
resolution that violates the UN Charter, such as supporting an invasion
of a sovereign nation. As a result, this resolution is unconstitutional
and thereby invalid.

"America tried to work with the United Nations to address this threat
because we wanted to resolve the issue peacefully. We believe in the
mission of the United Nations."
Then why is the United States violating the UN Charter, which forbids
the use of military force unless a country finds itself under armed
attack or it is explicitly authorized by the UN Security Council? The
mission of the United Nations is to preserve international peace and
security, not to approve the invasion of one country by another.

"One reason the UN was founded after the Second World War was to
confront aggressive dictators, actively and early, before they can
attack the innocent and destroy the peace."

The United States refused to confront Saddam Hussein active and early when he was committing acts of aggression against Iranians and Kurds and opposed decisive action by the United Nations. Iraq's ability to attack the innocent and destroy the peace has already been reduced dramatically through a series of actions by the United Nations, including authorizing the use of force to remove Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait, placing strict military sanctions against the dictatorship, and overseeing the most aggressive unilateral disarmament effort and inspections regime in history.

"In the case of Iraq, the Security Council did act, in the early 1990s. Under Resolutions 678 and 687--both still in effect--the United States and our allies are authorized to use force in ridding Iraq of weapons of mass destruction. This is not a question of authority, it is a question of will."

The assertion that resolutions 678 and 687 give the United States the right to invade Iraq is patently false. Resolution 678 authorized the use of force to enforce prior UN Security Council resolutions demanding that Iraq remove its occupation forces from Kuwait. Once that was accomplished in late February 1991, the resolution became moot. Resolution 687 called for Iraqi disarmament of weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems, but--even though it was the most detailed resolution in the history of the United Nations--no enforcement mechanism was specified. According to United Nations Charter, such resolutions can be enforced militarily only if the Security Council as a whole recognizes that a country is in material breach, determines that all non-military means have been exhausted, and specifically authorizes the use of force. The Security Council has not done so subsequent to the passage of resolution 678 in late November 1990.

"Last September, I went to the UN General Assembly and urged the nations of the world to unite and bring an end to this danger. On November 8th, the Security Council unanimously passed Resolution 1441, finding Iraq in material breach of its obligations, and vowing serious consequences if Iraq did not fully and immediately disarm."

True, but it did not authorize the use of force. Article 14 of that

resolution specifically noted that the Security Council would "remain seized of the matter," reiterating that only the Security Council as a whole--not any one member state--has the power to determine whether military force can be legitimately utilized to enforce its resolution.

"Today, no nation can possibly claim that Iraq has disarmed."
There actually are some nations that believe that Iraq has disarmed under the resolutions. Though this is not likely the case, the Bush administration has been unable to present clear evidence to the contrary.

"And it will not disarm so long as Saddam Hussein holds power."
This is sheer speculation. As a dictator who has proven his desire to ruthlessly hold on to power at all costs, he very well could disarm to save his regime. However, the Bush administration has made clear its intention to invade anyway, thereby providing little incentive for Saddam Hussein to do so.

"For the last four-and-a-half months, the United States and our allies have worked within the Security Council to enforce that Council's long-
standing demands. Yet, some permanent members of the Security Council have publicly announced they will veto any resolution that compels the disarmament of Iraq. These governments share our assessment of the danger, but not our resolve to meet it."
Actually, most Security Council members do not believe that Iraq is the
imminent threat that the United States claims it to be, though, if convincing evidence were presented that Iraq indeed posed a threat to international peace and security, a clear majority of the Security Council--including France--have indicated their willingness to authorize
the use of force. A veto of the proposed U.S.-sponsored resolution by France, Russia, and China would probably not have been necessary since the United States was unable--despite enormous pressure, including promises of increased foreign aid, trade preferences, and other incentives--to convince a simple majority of nations on the Council that
it was necessary to take the unprecedented step of authorizing the United States to invade Iraq, overthrow the government, and replace it with one more to its liking.

"Many nations, however, do have the resolve and fortitude to act against
this threat to peace, and a broad coalition is now gathering to enforce
the just demands of the world."
There is nothing close to the broad coalition such as that which joined
the United States in ridding Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait in 1991, when Iraq clearly did constitute a threat to peace. As of this

writing, only one major power (Great Britain) and two minor powers (Spain and Australia) have offered to send troops. All three of these governments are doing so contrary to the sentiments of the vast majority
of their population and their combined participation still leaves the United States contributing at least 85% of combat forces. As columnist Maureen Dowd noted, since the Bush administration has driven virtually everyone from the schoolyard, it now has to rely on imaginary friends.

"The United Nations Security Council has not lived up to its responsibilities, so we will rise to ours."
In reality, the United Nations Security Council has gone to extraordinary efforts to minimize any threat to peace from Iraq, including authorizing the use of force in 1990 to enforce resolutions requiring an Iraqi withdrawal from occupied Kuwait, the imposition of strict sanctions against Iraq, and the creation of an inspections regime
that has been largely--if not 100%--effective. By contrast, it is not the responsibility of the United States or any country to invade a sovereign nation when it feels like it.

"In recent days, some governments in the Middle East have been doing their part. They have delivered public and private messages urging the dictator to leave Iraq, so that disarmament can proceed peacefully. He has thus far refused. All the decades of deceit and cruelty have now reached an end. Saddam Hussein and his sons must leave Iraq within 48 hours. Their refusal to do so will result in military conflict, commenced at a time of our choosing. For their own safety, all foreign nationals--including journalists and inspectors--should leave Iraq immediately."
President Bush has no authorization to demand that United Nations inspectors or foreign nationals leave Iraq. Nor does he have the right to demand that Saddam Hussein and his sons leave their country. No Security Council resolutions require that Saddam Hussein resign or that
he and any other member of his family go into exile. And neither the United States nor any other country has the right to commence an invasion of another country at the time of its choosing.

"Many Iraqis can hear me tonight in a translated radio broadcast, and I
have a message for them. If we must begin a military campaign, it will be directed against the lawless men who rule your country and not against you."
It is highly likely that a major U.S. military campaign--particularly one with such a heavy reliance on air power and the determination to seize by force a capital city of over five million people--will result in the deaths of thousands of innocent Iraqi civilians.

"As our coalition takes away their power, we will deliver the food and medicine you need."

In large part as a result of the U.S.-led sanctions, there are already severe shortages of food and medicines in Iraq. Strict and mostly equitable rationing have left few Iraqi families with more than a couple
of days' worth of food in storage. It is unlikely that the United States
will be able to supply most Iraqis with the food and medicine they need
in any timely manner.

"We will tear down the apparatus of terror and we will help you to build
a new Iraq that is prosperous and free. In a free Iraq, there will be no
more wars of aggression against your neighbors, no more poison factories, no more executions of dissidents, no more torture chambers and rape rooms."
The fact that the United States has supported scores of regimes--including a number in the Middle East--that have tortured, raped, and murdered dissidents raises serious questions as to whether the Bush administration really supports a free Iraq. The Bush administration's ongoing support of Moroccan occupation forces in Western Sahara, Turkish
occupation forces in northern Cyprus, and Israeli occupation forces in the West Bank, the Gaza Strip, and the Golan Heights raises serious questions as to whether the United States is actually bothered by countries that commit acts of aggression against neighbors. The United States also supports a number of Middle Eastern countries that are believed to have developed chemical weapons, similarly raising questions
as to whether the Bush administration is really worried about "poison factories."

"The tyrant will soon be gone. The day of your liberation is near."
Most Iraqis would certainly welcome the end of Saddam Hussein's regime.
But it is highly questionable whether a Western nation that has already
wrought enormous suffering for the Iraqi people, invades the country, and installs one of its own generals as a provisional military governor
will be seen as an act of liberation or a foreign occupation.

"It is too late for Saddam Hussein to remain in power. It is not too late for the Iraqi military to act with honor and protect your country by permitting the peaceful entry of coalition forces to eliminate weapons of mass destruction."
First, it is hard to imagine any national army--even under the most ruthless of dictators--that would not resist a foreign invasion. Second,
if the United States knows where these alleged weapons of mass

destruction are located, why haven't U.S. government officials informed
UNMOVIC inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"Our forces will give Iraqi military units clear instructions on actions
they can take to avoid being attacked and destroyed. I urge every member
of the Iraqi military and intelligence services, if war comes, do not
fight for a dying regime that is not worth your own life. And all Iraqi
military and civilian personnel should listen carefully to this warning.
In any conflict, your fate will depend on your action. Do not destroy
oil wells, a source of wealth that belongs to the Iraqi people. Do not
obey any command to use weapons of mass destruction against anyone,
including the Iraqi people. War crimes will be prosecuted. War criminals
will be punished. And it will be no defense to say, "I was just
following orders"."
The United States has actively undermined and refused to participate in
the International Criminal Court, which was designed to try and punish
war criminals like Saddam Hussein. As a result, any such trials will
likely be under the tutelage of an occupying American army, which will
be seen by the vast majority of the international community as
illegitimate. For a foreign occupation army to try and punish leaders of
an internationally recognized government--however reprehensible they may
be--is in itself a war crime and would make these thugs martyrs in the
eyes of much of the world.

"Should Saddam Hussein choose confrontation, the American people can
know that every measure has been taken to avoid war, and every measure
will be taken to win it."
Refusing an illegitimate order by a foreign government to surrender
power is not choosing confrontation. And, clearly, the Bush
administration has not taken "every measure to avoid war."

"Americans understand the costs of conflict because we have paid them in
the past. War has no certainty, except the certainty of sacrifice. Yet,
the only way to reduce the harm and duration of war is to apply the full
force and might of our military, and we are prepared to do so. If Saddam
Hussein attempts to cling to power, he will remain a deadly foe until
the end. In desperation, he and terrorists groups might try to conduct
terrorist operations against the American people and our friends.

These
attacks are not inevitable. They are, however, possible."
Then why prosecute and unnecessary and illegal war?

"And this very fact underscores the reason we cannot live under the
threat of blackmail. The terrorist threat to America and the world
will
be diminished the moment that Saddam Hussein is disarmed."
According to the CIA and other estimates, Iraq has not engaged in any anti-American terrorism since the alleged 1993 assassination attempt against former President George Bush and has already dramatically reduced his support for international terrorism since the 1980s, when the United States was supporting his government. By contrast, most intelligence analyses predict an increase in the terrorist threat to America and its allies should the United States invade Iraq.

"Our government is on heightened watch against these dangers. Just as
we
are preparing to ensure victory in Iraq, we are taking further actions to protect our homeland. In recent days, American authorities have expelled from the country certain individuals with ties to Iraqi intelligence services. Among other measures, I have directed
additional
security of our airports, and increased Coast Guard patrols of major seaports. The Department of Homeland Security is working closely with the nation's governors to increase armed security at critical
facilities
across America. Should enemies strike our country, they would be attempting to shift our attention with panic and weaken our morale
with
fear. In this, they would fail. No act of theirs can alter the course
or
shake the resolve of this country. We are a peaceful people--yet we're not a fragile people, and we will not be intimidated by thugs and killers. If our enemies dare to strike us, they and all who have aided them, will face fearful consequences."
The chances of the United States being attacked will be greatly increased if the U.S. attacks first. Indeed, if there was any logic behind the madness of 9/11, it was Osama bin Laden's hope that the United States would react in such a way that would only increase the popularity of anti-American extremists. History has shown that the
more
the United States has militarized the Middle East, the less secure we have become.

"We are now acting because the risks of inaction would be far greater. In one year, or five years, the power of Iraq to inflict harm on all free nations would be multiplied many times over. With these capabilities, Saddam Hussein and his terrorist allies could choose the moment of deadly conflict when they are strongest. We choose to meet that threat now, where it arises, before it can appear suddenly in our

skies and cities."
Iraq has never threatened to attack the United States nor does it have the ability to attack the United States. That country became a formidable military threat back in the 1980s as a result of support from
industrialized nations like the U.S., Great Britain, France, Germany, and Russia. With a strict military embargo imposed upon the country since 1990, it will be extremely difficult for Iraq to become a military
threat to the United States or any other country.

"The cause of peace requires all free nations to recognize new and undeniable realities. In the 20th century, some chose to appease murderous dictators, whose threats were allowed to grow into genocide and global war. In this century, when evil men plot chemical, biological
and nuclear terror, a policy of appeasement could bring destruction of a
kind never before seen on this earth."
The analogy with Hitler's Germany and other Axis powers is spurious. Germany was the most powerful industrialized country in the world in the
1930s. Iraq, by contrast, is a poor, third-world country that has had most of its military infrastructure destroyed and has been under the strictest military and economic sanctions in world history. The current
UN policy of inspections, sanctions, and the threat of UN-sanctioned war
if Iraq again threatens its neighbors can hardly be considered "appeasement." None of the Axis powers of the 1930s were ever subjected
to such international pressure until they had invaded and occupied dozens of nations in Europe, Asia, and Africa. Iraq has not invaded and
occupied any countries since its six-month occupation of Kuwait in 1990-
91.

"Terrorists and terror states do not reveal these threats with fair notice, in formal declarations--and responding to such enemies only after they have struck first is not self-defense, it is suicide. The security of the world requires disarming Saddam Hussein now."
Essentially, President Bush is saying that a country has the right to invade and occupy another country without any evidence that the targeted
country has the intention, willingness, or ability to strike first. This
would give virtually any country the right to invade any other. Most of
Iraq's neighbors do not consider Iraq to be a threat, either now or in the perceivable future.

"As we enforce the just demands of the world, we will also honor the deepest commitments of our country."
Violating the U.S. Constitution and international legal covenants to which the U.S. government is legally bound is, in reality, a dishonor to
the deepest commitments of the United States.

"Unlike Saddam Hussein, we believe the Iraqi people are deserving and capable of human liberty. And when the dictator has departed, they can set an example to all the Middle East of a vital and peaceful and self-
governing nation."
If the United States really believes the Iraqi people are deserving and
capable of human liberty, then why did the U.S. support Saddam Hussein during the height of his terror? And why are the leading candidates the
United States hopes to install in Baghdad to replace the current dictatorship lacking anything remotely resembling democratic credentials?

"The United States, with other countries, will work to advance liberty and peace in that region."
Then why does the United States support dictatorships in Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Oman, Kuwait, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, and other autocratic regimes? And why does the United States support Moroccan, Israeli, and Turkish occupation forces? Such policies belie any claim of support for
liberty and peace.

"Our goal will not be achieved overnight, but it can come over time. The
power and appeal of human liberty is felt in every life and every land.
And the greatest power of freedom is to overcome hatred and violence, and turn the creative gifts of men and women to the pursuits of peace."
To unleash bombs and missiles on cities, to engage in war-mongering, and
to lie to the American people and the world in order to rationalize such
an invasion is itself a form of hatred and violence.

"That is the future we choose. Free nations have a duty to defend our people by uniting against the violent. And tonight, as we have done before, America and our allies accept that responsibility. Good night, and may God continue to bless America."
And may God forgive President Bush and the congressional leaders of both
parties who are responsible for unleashing such horrific violence against the people of Iraq.

On Tue, 08 Apr 2003 02:25:05 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>Where the hermaphrodites steal their pictures from:
>
>(WARNING - GRAPHIC)
>
>http://www.kdp.pp.se/chemical.html
>

2386. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 02:42:52 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <MGqka.72452$0X.13586836@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33253
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> spewed from his anus...

> Hmmmm, who was the only one who wanted
> to show that Iraq had WMD so they could
> attack it??

Nobody, you ignorant ass. "ONLY"? To use a quote from you,
> you are an idiot or a retard

> When someone is caught with a fake ID, who is usually
> responsible for having the fake ID produced?
> This is not rocket science here.

You're right. But talking about pond scum is way out of your league, too...

> No shit. Especially since there are no WMD in Iraq,
> or they would have used them by now.

By what piece of twisted logic do you come to THIS conclusion?

> If they were in Iraq, the US would find them after
> the war anyway, so might as well USE them on the
> US now. But you can't use what you were forced
> to destroy 12 years ago.

What a dolt. This doesn't even NEED a comment.

> It was the USA that gave them the weapons,
> and CALIBRATED them for Iraq to use on Iran.

THIS AGAIN? Don't you ever LEARN? here we go...

THE WAY THINGS REALLY WORK: Who Really Armed Saddam

March 20, 2003: SIPRI, a veteran Swedish disarmament group, compiles data on arms sales. Despite information published during the 1980s about where Iraq was getting its weapons from, the myth that Iraq was armed by the United States continues to survive. In fact, the major arms supplier for Iraq was the Soviet Union. And Iraq still owes the Russians over five billion dollars for arms delivered and not paid for. France and China are owed lesser amounts. Below are best estimates of the dollar amount of weapons sold to Iraq between 1973 and 1990.

Soviet Union- Over 25 billion dollars
France and China - at least five billion dollars each.
Czechoslovakia and Poland - about two billion dollars each
Brazil, Egypt and Romania - between $500 million and one billion dollars

each.
Denmark- Over $200 million.

Since 1990, there has been an arms embargo on Iraq. But weapons, and spare parts, are still smuggled in. Many of these appear to have come from Eastern Europe, and France.

March 16, 2003: The U.S. Marines brought some fifty chickens with them to Kuwait. The birds, which are easy to care for, but sensitive to chemical weapons, were thought to be perfect as live chemical attack monitors. If the bird drops, the thinking went, it's a chemical attack. But within days of arriving in Kuwait, most of the birds died anyway. A few marines figured out what was happening and saved the rest. It seems that chickens spend most of their time pecking at the ground for stray bits of food. But these American chickens had never been in the desert before and their encounter with the Kuwaiti sand led to them gobbling up enough of the sand to kill them. Birds are not very bright. So the surviving birds were saved by keeping them off the sand. With most of their two footed "chemical attack detectors" gone, the marines looked around for a replacement. And found one, a critter nearly as clueless (at least in a combat zone) as chickens. It seems that many, if not most, of the hundreds of journalists assigned to combat units can't be bothered with carrying around their chemical warfare equipment. So many reporters plan to use the journalists (at least the ones who refuse to take their chemical protection gear with them) as chicken replacements. On a more practical level, the marines are bringing in several hundred homing pigeons for chemical attack detection duty. The pigeons are smaller, easier to take care of and are known to survive quite well in the Persian Gulf area.

February 20, 2003: With war in Iraq looming, experts are showing up everywhere telling us how many people are going to be killed. What makes this interesting is that there is still no agreement on how many were killed in the 1991 war. The higher estimates (up to 100,000 Iraqi dead) were pure guesses made at the end of the fighting. When the battlefield, and Iraqi cities, were examined, it was found that the number of Iraqi military dead was probably less than 5,000 (remember all those Iraqis trying to surrender, very few actually fought, and when they did, the majority surrendered after some of their buddies were killed.) Civilian deaths were probably less than 1,000. Even anti-American advocacy groups that visited Iraq after the war were surprised at how precise the US bombing was, and how few civilians casualties resulted. But a lot of experts estimating deaths from the war like to add deaths from the Shia/Kurdish rebellion right after the war, and the food and medicine shortages of the 1990s. In these cases, the deaths were probably in the hundreds of thousands. But what is missed here is these deaths were caused by Iraqis killing other Iraqis. The rebellions right after the war were not the first by the Shias or Kurds. Both groups had rebelled against the Sunni minority many times in the previous decades (and centuries, for that matter.) The deaths from starvation and lack of medicine were deliberate moves by Saddam to suppress rebellious attitudes among Iraqis who didn't like him. Noting the pattern of deaths in the 1991 war, Saddam is openly stationing troops and weapons in residential neighborhoods of non-Sunni Iraqis. How that will play out in the next war is hard to say.

U.S. psychological warfare messages sent into Iraq over the last few months has made it clear that any officers hiding their troops among civilians will be held personally responsible if there are dead Iraqi civilians as a result. This could cause some strange military maneuvers when the fighting starts. The US is determined to limit civilian casualties in any new Iraq war, and has more precise weapons to achieve that goal. So it's a contest between Saddam trying to use Iraqi civilians as shields for his troops, and the US trying to avoid killing civilians.

February 14, 2003: A Maginot Line of Inspectors- There are many theories to explain the French position on Iraq. Some believe France is trying to retain its access to Iraqi oil. Others believe France is trying to hide its involvement in supplying Saddam's nuclear, biological, and chemical weapons. There are even reports of cash bribes to President Chirac from Baghdad. Many are simply ascribed to based on how low an observer's opinions are of the French. If this is not part of a duplicitous plot, what could the French be thinking?

The French have proven time and again throughout the 20th Century that their tactical and strategic vision is woefully outdated. During World War I, the French annihilated a generation of their own youth by relying on human wave attacks against machine-guns and modern artillery. Following the war, France used the peace process to so cripple Germany's economy that the nation was ripe for Hitler's hate mongering. The French insisted on carving up old empires and creating new countries that have lead to many of today's problems in the Balkans and the Middle-East.

Prior to World War II the French constructed massive concrete fortifications known as the Maginot Line to stop the Germans. The Germans simply drove around the Maginot Line and conquered France in only six weeks. France also had the largest and most modern army in the world; but its outdated tactics could not withstand the German blitzkrieg.

The democratic allies defeated imperialism in Italy, Germany, and Japan. The French response to their liberation was to enlist Nazi Prisoners of War into the Foreign Legion and dispatch them to enslave a new French Empire in Africa and Asia. This convinced many in the Third-World that the West intended to keep democracy and freedom for itself. In 1956 the French convinced Britain and Israel to join in its unilateral invasion of Egypt. This invasion was launched to embarrass President Nasser of Egypt for supporting Muslim rebels in the French colony Algeria. The only time in UN history that France used its Security Council veto power was to foil a UN resolution to stop their invasion of Egypt. The French unilateral wars against Algeria and Egypt are one of the primary causes of Arab distrust of the West.

Now the country that blundered its way through the 20th Century wants to decide how to deal with the Iraq crisis. They believe that inspectors and sanctions will contain Iraq's Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD)program. They are trying to build a Maginot Line of UN Inspectors to protect themselves from WMD attacks. While this line of defenses, like the last one, may look impressively strong; Saddam has simply mounted his production on trucks and

is driving them around the new Maginot Line.

Once again France's strategic thinking is decades out of date. In the past WMD production and research required huge industrial complexes. Today's facilities are truck mounted and can be hidden in a million places the inspectors will never look. In the first seven years of inspections the UN found documents to verify that Iraq may have produced ten billion lethal doses of biological toxins. The Iraqis have been able to hide these huge stockpiles from inspectors for twelve years.

In previous wars it took large artillery bombardments to make chemical weapons effective. Today the weapons are more potent and terrorists provide an effective delivery system. Al Qaeda has been caught with plans to add chemical weapons into building ventilation systems. We could assign a UN Inspector to guard every air conditioner in the free world; but would they have the will to stop the slaughter, or would they stand aside and watch the massacre like UN Peacekeepers did at UN Safe Havens in Bosnia.

During their 12 years of inspections the UN has proved Saddam had 36,000 WMD delivery systems he will not account for. The new round of inspections have found 18 of these weapons, with continued inspections they are on pace to find all of Saddam's weapons by September the 11th, of the year 2301. Only a fool or a Frenchman could believe we could contain the weapons for that long.

The French still don't realize that nations no longer need fleets of inspectable bombers and intercontinental missiles to attack their enemies. For the cost of 19 plane tickets the world's only superpower was brought to its knees. Now what if those 19 attackers had WMD, how much damage could they do? A Defense Department analyst found that one plane spraying 440 pounds of anthrax over Manhattan would kill 1,000,000 people. Zacarias Moussaoui had the research on his laptop and Mohamed Atta tried to buy the cropduster. Why would Atta try to buy the cropduster unless he had access to WMD? The Czech Republic still affirms that Mohamed Atta met with an Iraqi intelligence chief in early 2001. The Czechs expelled this "diplomat" BEFORE 9-11-01 for providing assistance to terrorists who were planning to attack American and Jewish targets. An intelligence agent, from a hostile nation that is hiding WMD, was assisting Islamic terrorists and met with a suicide bomber who was trying to buy a crop duster to spray WMD. These actions occurred two years ago; yet the French don't believe their ally Saddam and his alliance with terrorists is an "imminent" threat.

The French have proven they have no interest in containment. They have signed agreements with Saddam to develop Iraqi oil fields when UN sanctions are lifted. Even though the inspectors have proven that Saddam is still hiding WMD; the French have tried on several occasion to have the inspections and sanctions lifted. Hans Blix has stated that he will submit a plan in March to end inspections and lift the sanctions; ending the containment the French and their apologists say will work.

The Maginot Line did not protect France from Germany. It only forced Germany to invade three other countries to get around it. The Maginot Line of

Inspectors has failed to stop Saddam for twelve years, and their current pace requires 300 more years. The only question is which countries will be attacked with Iraq's Weapons of Mass Destruction, while they drive around this new Maginot Line to France. Recent terror alerts suggest Al Qaeda is planning to used WMD. Police throughout the world are on the look out for terrorists using light bulbs and fire extinguishers to spread deadly toxins. It's beginning to look like the Maginot Line has already been breached.

> Do a search and look for that picture of Rumsfeld
> shaking hands with Saddam in 1883.

You really enjoy twisting whatever you can to fit your needs. Alliances change. Look at the pictures of FDR shaking hands with Josef Stalin. Idiot. Then again, you think that those twisted feces-for-brains that steal images from a Kurdish website and attribute the atrocities to the United States is "truth" as well.

2387. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 02:47:47 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <nLqka.72480$0X.13592785@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33254
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote

> Your absolutely correct, thank God you came forward in time to save
> Saddam.... Oops, too late...

Yeah, and the black helicopters are still here...

2388. Re: A Question on restricted scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 02:56:30 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <yTqka.72531$0X.13602909@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33255

"Coast Station" <coaststation@go.com> wrote in message
news:5a77581d.0304071735.6e97a7ca@posting.google.com...
> As a foreigner, I know I can purchase restricted scanners through mail
> order from stores in USA to my country of origin.
>
> But as a tourist, can I buy a restricted scanner over the counter
> in stores while I'm visiting the States?
>
> Thank You.
> Coast Station,
> PD.

Well, technically, no. But All you have to do is look around a bit. They're
not SUPPOSED to sell them, but until they get reported, many do...
Ask around a bit. And mods are readily available, on the 'net and other
places.
(but *I* never said that...)

2389. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 02:59:41 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <xWqka.72541$0X.13607245@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33256
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote

> Is THAT the best you have when you're found to be wrong?

You're going to tell us you AGREE with johnny's babble?

Go figure...

2390. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 03:01:11 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <XXqka.72542$0X.13608626@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33257
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Lon Dortmer" <ldortmer@aol.com> spewed...

> The chemical weapons that Bush knew about, because he has the receipts
from the
> 80s when the US sold them to Iraq, were destroyed per UN resolutions
requiring
> Iraq to disarm.

Good grief - THIS again?

THE WAY THINGS REALLY WORK: Who Really Armed Saddam

March 20, 2003: SIPRI, a veteran Swedish disarmament group, compiles data on arms sales. Despite information published during the 1980s about where Iraq was getting its weapons from, the myth that Iraq was armed by the United States continues to survive. In fact, the major arms supplier for Iraq was the Soviet Union. And Iraq still owes the Russians over five billion dollars for arms delivered and not paid for. France and China are owed lesser amounts. Below are best estimates of the dollar amount of weapons sold to Iraq between 1973 and 1990.

Soviet Union- Over 25 billion dollars
France and China - at least five billion dollars each.
Czechoslovakia and Poland - about two billion dollars each
Brazil, Egypt and Romania - between $500 million and one billion dollars each.
Denmark- Over $200 million.

Since 1990, there has been an arms embargo on Iraq. But weapons, and spare parts, are still smuggled in. Many of these appear to have come from Eastern Europe, and France.

March 16, 2003: The U.S. Marines brought some fifty chickens with them to Kuwait. The birds, which are easy to care for, but sensitive to chemical weapons, were thought to be perfect as live chemical attack monitors. If the bird drops, the thinking went, it's a chemical attack. But within days of arriving in Kuwait, most of the birds died anyway. A few marines figured out what was happening and saved the rest. It seems that chickens spend most of their time pecking at the ground for stray bits of food. But these American chickens had never been in the desert before and their encounter with the Kuwaiti sand led to them gobbling up enough of the sand to kill them. Birds are not very bright. So the surviving birds were saved by keeping them off the sand. With most of their two footed "chemical attack detectors" gone, the marines looked around for a replacement. And found one, a critter nearly as clueless (at least in a combat zone) as chickens. It seems that many, if

not most, of the hundreds of journalists assigned to combat units can't be bothered with carrying around their chemical warfare equipment. So many reporters plan to use the journalists (at least the ones who refuse to take their chemical protection gear with them) as chicken replacements. On a more practical level, the marines are bringing in several hundred homing pigeons for chemical attack detection duty. The pigeons are smaller, easier to take care of and are known to survive quite well in the Persian Gulf area.

February 20, 2003: With war in Iraq looming, experts are showing up everywhere telling us how many people are going to be killed. What makes this interesting is that there is still no agreement on how many were killed in the 1991 war. The higher estimates (up to 100,000 Iraqi dead) were pure guesses made at the end of the fighting. When the battlefield, and Iraqi cities, were examined, it was found that the number of Iraqi military dead was probably less than 5,000 (remember all those Iraqis trying to surrender, very few actually fought, and when they did, the majority surrendered after some of their buddies were killed.) Civilian deaths were probably less than 1,000. Even anti-American advocacy groups that visited Iraq after the war were surprised at how precise the US bombing was, and how few civilians casualties resulted. But a lot of experts estimating deaths from the war like to add deaths from the Shia/Kurdish rebellion right after the war, and the food and medicine shortages of the 1990s. In these cases, the deaths were probably in the hundreds of thousands. But what is missed here is these deaths were caused by Iraqis killing other Iraqis. The rebellions right after the war were not the first by the Shias or Kurds. Both groups had rebelled against the Sunni minority many times in the previous decades (and centuries, for that matter.) The deaths from starvation and lack of medicine were deliberate moves by Saddam to suppress rebellious attitudes among Iraqis who didn't like him. Noting the pattern of deaths in the 1991 war, Saddam is openly stationing troops and weapons in residential neighborhoods of non-Sunni Iraqis. How that will play out in the next war is hard to say. U.S. psychological warfare messages sent into Iraq over the last few months has made it clear that any officers hiding their troops among civilians will be held personally responsible if there are dead Iraqi civilians as a result. This could cause some strange military maneuvers when the fighting starts. The US is determined to limit civilian casualties in any new Iraq war, and has more precise weapons to achieve that goal. So it's a contest between Saddam trying to use Iraqi civilians as shields for his troops, and the US trying to avoid killing civilians.

February 14, 2003: A Maginot Line of Inspectors- There are many theories to explain the French position on Iraq. Some believe France is trying to retain its access to Iraqi oil. Others believe France is trying to hide its involvement in supplying Saddam's nuclear, biological, and chemical weapons. There are even reports of cash bribes to President Chirac from Baghdad. Many are simply ascribed to based on how low an observer's opinions are of the French. If this is not part of a duplicitous plot, what could the French be thinking?

The French have proven time and again throughout the 20th Century that their tactical and strategic vision is woefully outdated. During World War I, the

French annihilated a generation of their own youth by relying on human wave attacks against machine-guns and modern artillery. Following the war, France used the peace process to so cripple Germany's economy that the nation was ripe for Hitler's hate mongering. The French insisted on carving up old empires and creating new countries that have lead to many of today's problems in the Balkans and the Middle-East.

Prior to World War II the French constructed massive concrete fortifications known as the Maginot Line to stop the Germans. The Germans simply drove around the Maginot Line and conquered France in only six weeks. France also had the largest and most modern army in the world; but its outdated tactics could not withstand the German blitzkrieg.

The democratic allies defeated imperialism in Italy, Germany, and Japan. The French response to their liberation was to enlist Nazi Prisoners of War into the Foreign Legion and dispatch them to enslave a new French Empire in Africa and Asia. This convinced many in the Third-World that the West intended to keep democracy and freedom for itself. In 1956 the French convinced Britain and Israel to join in its unilateral invasion of Egypt. This invasion was launched to embarrass President Nasser of Egypt for supporting Muslim rebels in the French colony Algeria. The only time in UN history that France used its Security Council veto power was to foil a UN resolution to stop their invasion of Egypt. The French unilateral wars against Algeria and Egypt are one of the primary causes of Arab distrust of the West.

Now the country that blundered its way through the 20th Century wants to decide how to deal with the Iraq crisis. They believe that inspectors and sanctions will contain Iraq's Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD)program. They are trying to build a Maginot Line of UN Inspectors to protect themselves from WMD attacks. While this line of defenses, like the last one, may look impressively strong; Saddam has simply mounted his production on trucks and is driving them around the new Maginot Line.

Once again France's strategic thinking is decades out of date. In the past WMD production and research required huge industrial complexes. Today's facilities are truck mounted and can be hidden in a million places the inspectors will never look. In the first seven years of inspections the UN found documents to verify that Iraq may have produced ten billion lethal doses of biological toxins. The Iraqis have been able to hide these huge stockpiles from inspectors for twelve years.

In previous wars it took large artillery bombardments to make chemical weapons effective. Today the weapons are more potent and terrorists provide an effective delivery system. Al Qaeda has been caught with plans to add chemical weapons into building ventilation systems. We could assign a UN Inspector to guard every air conditioner in the free world; but would they have the will to stop the slaughter, or would they stand aside and watch the massacre like UN Peacekeepers did at UN Safe Havens in Bosnia.

During their 12 years of inspections the UN has proved Saddam had 36,000 WMD delivery systems he will not account for. The new round of inspections have

found 18 of these weapons, with continued inspections they are on pace to find all of Saddam's weapons by September the 11th, of the year 2301. Only a fool or a Frenchman could believe we could contain the weapons for that long.

The French still don't realize that nations no longer need fleets of inspectable bombers and intercontinental missiles to attack their enemies. For the cost of 19 plane tickets the world's only superpower was brought to its knees. Now what if those 19 attackers had WMD, how much damage could they do? A Defense Department analyst found that one plane spraying 440 pounds of anthrax over Manhattan would kill 1,000,000 people. Zacarias Moussaoui had the research on his laptop and Mohamed Atta tried to buy the cropduster. Why would Atta try to buy the cropduster unless he had access to WMD? The Czech Republic still affirms that Mohamed Atta met with an Iraqi intelligence chief in early 2001. The Czechs expelled this "diplomat" BEFORE 9-11-01 for providing assistance to terrorists who were planning to attack American and Jewish targets. An intelligence agent, from a hostile nation that is hiding WMD, was assisting Islamic terrorists and met with a suicide bomber who was trying to buy a crop duster to spray WMD. These actions occurred two years ago; yet the French don't believe their ally Saddam and his alliance with terrorists is an "imminent" threat.

The French have proven they have no interest in containment. They have signed agreements with Saddam to develop Iraqi oil fields when UN sanctions are lifted. Even though the inspectors have proven that Saddam is still hiding WMD; the French have tried on several occasion to have the inspections and sanctions lifted. Hans Blix has stated that he will submit a plan in March to end inspections and lift the sanctions; ending the containment the French and their apologists say will work.

The Maginot Line did not protect France from Germany. It only forced Germany to invade three other countries to get around it. The Maginot Line of Inspectors has failed to stop Saddam for twelve years, and their current pace requires 300 more years. The only question is which countries will be attacked with Iraq's Weapons of Mass Destruction, while they drive around this new Maginot Line to France. Recent terror alerts suggest Al Qaeda is planning to used WMD. Police throughout the world are on the look out for terrorists using light bulbs and fire extinguishers to spread deadly toxins. It's beginning to look like the Maginot Line has already been breached.

2391. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 03:06:34 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <64f49v85icf6uhltlmk6a00vdreb62r08q@4ax.com>
Source record: 33259
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

Responding to Bush's speech line by line, lie by lie

An Annotated Critique of President George W. Bush's March 17 Address Preparing the Nation for War

FPIF Middle Eastern Editor, Stephen Zunes, provides an annotated critique of the president's address to the nation about imminent war against Iraq. Given that the Democratic Party leadership in Congress declined to take their traditional opportuntity to offer a formal response, FPIF provides a response to all the president's arguments for
war. Line by line, argument by argument, falsehood by falsehood, Zunes responds to the president.

Stephen Zunes is an associate professor of politics and chair of the Peace & Justice Studies Program at the University of San Francisco.

(Editors' Note: Below is a transcript of President George W. Bush's address to the nation on Monday, March 17, announcing his readiness to order a U.S. invasion of Iraq followed by an analysis highlighting some
of the lies and misleading statements in the speech. Such an overview is
necessary since the Democratic Party leadership in Congress, which has pledged to support the president in the event of war, declined to take their traditional opportunity to offer a formal response. The Green Party, which opposes the war, was not given the opportunity by the networks to respond.)

"My fellow citizens, events in Iraq have now reached the final days of decision. For more than a decade, the United States and other nations have pursued patient and honorable efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime without war."
This is patently false. In 1998, President Bill Clinton successfully pressured UNSCOM director Richard Butler to withdraw inspectors without
authorization from the Secretary General or the Security Council--before
their mission was complete--in order to engage in a four-day heavy

bombing campaign against Iraq. As predicted at the time, this illegal use of military force--combined with revelations that the United States had abused the inspections process for espionage purposes--resulted in the Iraqi government barring the inspectors' return until a reorganized inspections commission known as UNMOVIC commenced inspections last year. UNMOVIC chairman Hans Blix and UN Secretary General Kofi Annan explicitly called upon the United States and the international community to give the inspectors more time to do their job, noting that it would take a number of months before their mission could be completed.

"That regime pledged to reveal and destroy all its weapons of mass destruction as a condition for ending the Persian Gulf War in 1991." Iraq was presented with this demand as part of UN Security Council resolution 687, which mandated Iraqi disarmament of its weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems. This was a unilateral decree from the Security Council which--while nominally part of the ceasefire agreement--was void of any explicit threat to continue prosecuting the war if Iraq did not agree to the disarmament provisions. It is noteworthy that the demand for Iraqi disarmament in the resolution was put forward within the context of a call for regional disarmament. The United States has refused to encourage any regional disarmament initiative, however, and remains a strong supporter of the Israeli and Pakistani governments, which have advanced nuclear arsenals among other weapons of mass destruction.

"Since then, the world has engaged in 12 years of diplomacy. We have passed more than a dozen resolutions in the United Nations Security Council. We have sent hundreds of weapons inspectors to oversee the disarmament of Iraq. Our good faith has not been returned. The Iraqi regime has used diplomacy as a ploy to gain time and advantage. It has uniformly defied Security Council resolutions demanding full disarmament." Iraq's cooperation has indeed been less than total, but most independent reports--even during UNSCOM's inspections regime between 1991 and 1998--conclude that cooperation was close to 90%. According to UNMOVIC, Iraq's cooperation since inspections resumed last year has been far better.

"Over the years, UN weapon inspectors have been threatened by Iraqi officials, electronically bugged, and systematically deceived." This was not an uncommon practice during the UNSCOM era, but there have been no reports from UNMOVIC of such harassment subsequently.

"Peaceful efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime have failed again and again--because we are not dealing with peaceful men."
Peaceful efforts at disarming Iraq have succeeded in eliminating somewhere between 95% and 100% of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction and
related materiel and delivery systems as a result of UN Security Council
resolution 687 and subsequent resolutions. The determination to go to war despite such success raises serious questions as to whether the United States is governed by peaceful men.

"Intelligence gathered by this and other governments leaves no doubt that the Iraq regime continues to possess and conceal some of the most lethal weapons ever devised."
If the United States really has evidence that the Iraqi government continues to possess and conceal weapons of mass destruction, why has the Bush administration refused to make such evidence public or pass such intelligence on to United Nations inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"This regime has already used weapons of mass destruction against Iraq's
neighbors and against Iraq's people."
Iraq did use chemical weapons against Iranian troops and Kurdish civilians back in the 1980s when Saddam Hussein's regime was being supported by the United States. The Reagan administration covered up for
the Halabja massacre and similar attacks against Kurdish civilians by falsely claiming that it was the Iranians--then the preferred enemy--who
were responsible. In addition, the U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency provided Iraq with U.S. satellite data to help Saddam Hussein's forces locate Iranian troop concentrations in the full knowledge that they were
using chemical weapons. Many of the key components of Iraq's chemical weapons program came from the United States, ostensibly for pesticides as part of taxpayer-funded agricultural subsidies, despite evidence that
these U.S.-manufactured chemicals were probably being diverted for use in illegal chemical weapons.

"The regime has a history of reckless aggression in the Middle East."
This is true, though Iraq's invasion of Iran in 1980 was quietly supported by the U.S. government and ambivalent signals by the U.S. ambassador to Iraq immediately prior to the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait may
have emboldened Saddam Hussein to conquer the sheikdom in 1990. Now, with Iraq's offensive military capability just a fraction of what is was
during that period and an unambiguous resolve by the international community to thwart such future aggression, there is little chance of Iraq invading another country again.

"It has a deep hatred of America and our friends."
Iraq willingly accepted U.S. support during the 1980s. The more belligerent posture of recent years is largely a result of the U.S. destruction of much of the country's military and civilian infrastructure in the 1991 Gulf War, which was supported by a number of other Middle Eastern states with which Iraq had also once collaborated and been on friendly terms. Subsequent U.S.-led sanctions, periodic bombing raids, and invasion threats have resulted in widespread suffering of the population that has intensified anti-American sentiment. Had the United States adopted a more enlightened policy, such deep hatred would likely have not developed.

"And it has aided, trained, and harbored terrorists, including operatives of al Qaeda."
Every independent investigation of every Bush administration claim of a connection between the secular Iraqi government and the Islamist al Qaeda network has found no evidence of any Iraqi aid, training, or harboring of al Qaeda terrorists. According to both published U.S. government reports and independent analyses, Iraq's support for international terrorism--which has always been restricted to secular nationalists like the radical Palestinian Abu Nidal faction--peaked in the 1980s.

"The danger is clear: using chemical, biological or, one day, nuclear weapons, obtained with the help of Iraq, the terrorists could fulfill their stated ambitions and kill thousands or hundreds of thousands of innocent people in our country, or any other. The United States and other nations did nothing to deserve or invite this threat. But we will do everything to defeat it. Instead of drifting along toward tragedy, we will set a course toward safety. Before the day of horror can come, before it is too late to act, this danger will be removed."
The Bush administration has failed to present any evidence that Iraq has the intention to pass on weapons of mass destruction to terrorists, an act that would inevitably lead to a U.S.-led invasion, only in this case with the support of the international community. This is the essence of deterrence, which protected the United States and its allies from Josef Stalin, Mao Zedung, and other leaders as tyrannical and far more powerful militarily than Saddam Hussein. And no country has the right to invade another on some far-fetched scenario that they might do something someday. Ironically, as the CIA has noted in a report released this past

October, Saddam Hussein would not likely use WMDs as a first strike, but
in the case of a U.S. invasion--with nothing to lose and the logic of
deterrence no longer in effect--would be far more likely to use whatever
WMDs he may possess. In other words, a U.S. invasion, rather than
preventing the use of weapons of mass destruction, would be the most
likely--and the only realistic--scenario that such horrible weapons
would be utilized.

"The United States of America has the sovereign authority to use force
in assuring its own national security. That duty falls to me, as
Commander-in-Chief, by the oath I have sworn, by the oath I will keep."
The oath of office also demands that the president uphold and defend the
Constitution of the United States, which forbids such an illegal use of
force. Virtually no international legal authority recognizes such an
invasion as an act of assuring legitimate national security interests.

"Recognizing the threat to our country, the United States Congress voted
overwhelmingly last year to support the use of force against Iraq."
The U.S. Congress--with the support of both the Republican and
Democratic leadership--did authorize the use of force against Iraq.
However, the resolution violates Article I, Section 8 of the U.S.
Constitution which does not allow Congress to grant such open-ended
warmaking authority to the president for an offensive military action.
Only a formal declaration of war in such a situation can be considered
legitimate. Furthermore, Article VI of the Constitution declares that
international treaties to which the United States is a party are to be
treated as supreme law, thereby proscribing Congress from passing any
resolution that violates the UN Charter, such as supporting an invasion
of a sovereign nation. As a result, this resolution is unconstitutional
and thereby invalid.

"America tried to work with the United Nations to address this threat
because we wanted to resolve the issue peacefully. We believe in the
mission of the United Nations."
Then why is the United States violating the UN Charter, which forbids
the use of military force unless a country finds itself under armed
attack or it is explicitly authorized by the UN Security Council? The
mission of the United Nations is to preserve international peace and
security, not to approve the invasion of one country by another.

"One reason the UN was founded after the Second World War was to
confront aggressive dictators, actively and early, before they can
attack the innocent and destroy the peace."

The United States refused to confront Saddam Hussein active and early when he was committing acts of aggression against Iranians and Kurds and opposed decisive action by the United Nations. Iraq's ability to attack the innocent and destroy the peace has already been reduced dramatically through a series of actions by the United Nations, including authorizing the use of force to remove Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait, placing strict military sanctions against the dictatorship, and overseeing the most aggressive unilateral disarmament effort and inspections regime in history.

"In the case of Iraq, the Security Council did act, in the early 1990s. Under Resolutions 678 and 687--both still in effect--the United States and our allies are authorized to use force in ridding Iraq of weapons of mass destruction. This is not a question of authority, it is a question of will."

The assertion that resolutions 678 and 687 give the United States the right to invade Iraq is patently false. Resolution 678 authorized the use of force to enforce prior UN Security Council resolutions demanding that Iraq remove its occupation forces from Kuwait. Once that was accomplished in late February 1991, the resolution became moot. Resolution 687 called for Iraqi disarmament of weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems, but--even though it was the most detailed resolution in the history of the United Nations--no enforcement mechanism was specified. According to United Nations Charter, such resolutions can be enforced militarily only if the Security Council as a whole recognizes that a country is in material breach, determines that all non-military means have been exhausted, and specifically authorizes the use of force. The Security Council has not done so subsequent to the passage of resolution 678 in late November 1990.

"Last September, I went to the UN General Assembly and urged the nations of the world to unite and bring an end to this danger. On November 8th, the Security Council unanimously passed Resolution 1441, finding Iraq in material breach of its obligations, and vowing serious consequences if Iraq did not fully and immediately disarm."

True, but it did not authorize the use of force. Article 14 of that

resolution specifically noted that the Security Council would "remain seized of the matter," reiterating that only the Security Council as a whole--not any one member state--has the power to determine whether military force can be legitimately utilized to enforce its resolution.

"Today, no nation can possibly claim that Iraq has disarmed."
There actually are some nations that believe that Iraq has disarmed under the resolutions. Though this is not likely the case, the Bush administration has been unable to present clear evidence to the contrary.

"And it will not disarm so long as Saddam Hussein holds power."
This is sheer speculation. As a dictator who has proven his desire to ruthlessly hold on to power at all costs, he very well could disarm to save his regime. However, the Bush administration has made clear its intention to invade anyway, thereby providing little incentive for Saddam Hussein to do so.

"For the last four-and-a-half months, the United States and our allies have worked within the Security Council to enforce that Council's long-
standing demands. Yet, some permanent members of the Security Council have publicly announced they will veto any resolution that compels the disarmament of Iraq. These governments share our assessment of the danger, but not our resolve to meet it."
Actually, most Security Council members do not believe that Iraq is the
imminent threat that the United States claims it to be, though, if convincing evidence were presented that Iraq indeed posed a threat to international peace and security, a clear majority of the Security Council--including France--have indicated their willingness to authorize
the use of force. A veto of the proposed U.S.-sponsored resolution by France, Russia, and China would probably not have been necessary since the United States was unable--despite enormous pressure, including promises of increased foreign aid, trade preferences, and other incentives--to convince a simple majority of nations on the Council that
it was necessary to take the unprecedented step of authorizing the United States to invade Iraq, overthrow the government, and replace it with one more to its liking.

"Many nations, however, do have the resolve and fortitude to act against
this threat to peace, and a broad coalition is now gathering to enforce
the just demands of the world."
There is nothing close to the broad coalition such as that which joined
the United States in ridding Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait in 1991, when Iraq clearly did constitute a threat to peace. As of this

writing, only one major power (Great Britain) and two minor powers (Spain and Australia) have offered to send troops. All three of these governments are doing so contrary to the sentiments of the vast majority
of their population and their combined participation still leaves the United States contributing at least 85% of combat forces. As columnist Maureen Dowd noted, since the Bush administration has driven virtually everyone from the schoolyard, it now has to rely on imaginary friends.

"The United Nations Security Council has not lived up to its responsibilities, so we will rise to ours."
In reality, the United Nations Security Council has gone to extraordinary efforts to minimize any threat to peace from Iraq, including authorizing the use of force in 1990 to enforce resolutions requiring an Iraqi withdrawal from occupied Kuwait, the imposition of strict sanctions against Iraq, and the creation of an inspections regime
that has been largely--if not 100%--effective. By contrast, it is not the responsibility of the United States or any country to invade a sovereign nation when it feels like it.

"In recent days, some governments in the Middle East have been doing their part. They have delivered public and private messages urging the dictator to leave Iraq, so that disarmament can proceed peacefully. He has thus far refused. All the decades of deceit and cruelty have now reached an end. Saddam Hussein and his sons must leave Iraq within 48 hours. Their refusal to do so will result in military conflict, commenced at a time of our choosing. For their own safety, all foreign nationals--including journalists and inspectors--should leave Iraq immediately."
President Bush has no authorization to demand that United Nations inspectors or foreign nationals leave Iraq. Nor does he have the right to demand that Saddam Hussein and his sons leave their country. No Security Council resolutions require that Saddam Hussein resign or that
he and any other member of his family go into exile. And neither the United States nor any other country has the right to commence an invasion of another country at the time of its choosing.

"Many Iraqis can hear me tonight in a translated radio broadcast, and I
have a message for them. If we must begin a military campaign, it will be directed against the lawless men who rule your country and not against you."
It is highly likely that a major U.S. military campaign--particularly one with such a heavy reliance on air power and the determination to seize by force a capital city of over five million people--will result in the deaths of thousands of innocent Iraqi civilians.

"As our coalition takes away their power, we will deliver the food and medicine you need."

In large part as a result of the U.S.-led sanctions, there are already severe shortages of food and medicines in Iraq. Strict and mostly equitable rationing have left few Iraqi families with more than a couple
of days' worth of food in storage. It is unlikely that the United States
will be able to supply most Iraqis with the food and medicine they need
in any timely manner.

"We will tear down the apparatus of terror and we will help you to build
a new Iraq that is prosperous and free. In a free Iraq, there will be no
more wars of aggression against your neighbors, no more poison factories, no more executions of dissidents, no more torture chambers and rape rooms."
The fact that the United States has supported scores of regimes--including a number in the Middle East--that have tortured, raped, and murdered dissidents raises serious questions as to whether the Bush administration really supports a free Iraq. The Bush administration's ongoing support of Moroccan occupation forces in Western Sahara, Turkish
occupation forces in northern Cyprus, and Israeli occupation forces in the West Bank, the Gaza Strip, and the Golan Heights raises serious questions as to whether the United States is actually bothered by countries that commit acts of aggression against neighbors. The United States also supports a number of Middle Eastern countries that are believed to have developed chemical weapons, similarly raising questions
as to whether the Bush administration is really worried about "poison factories."

"The tyrant will soon be gone. The day of your liberation is near."
Most Iraqis would certainly welcome the end of Saddam Hussein's regime.
But it is highly questionable whether a Western nation that has already
wrought enormous suffering for the Iraqi people, invades the country, and installs one of its own generals as a provisional military governor
will be seen as an act of liberation or a foreign occupation.

"It is too late for Saddam Hussein to remain in power. It is not too late for the Iraqi military to act with honor and protect your country by permitting the peaceful entry of coalition forces to eliminate weapons of mass destruction."
First, it is hard to imagine any national army--even under the most ruthless of dictators--that would not resist a foreign invasion. Second,
if the United States knows where these alleged weapons of mass

destruction are located, why haven't U.S. government officials informed
UNMOVIC inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"Our forces will give Iraqi military units clear instructions on actions
they can take to avoid being attacked and destroyed. I urge every member
of the Iraqi military and intelligence services, if war comes, do not
fight for a dying regime that is not worth your own life. And all Iraqi
military and civilian personnel should listen carefully to this warning.
In any conflict, your fate will depend on your action. Do not destroy
oil wells, a source of wealth that belongs to the Iraqi people. Do not
obey any command to use weapons of mass destruction against anyone,
including the Iraqi people. War crimes will be prosecuted. War criminals
will be punished. And it will be no defense to say, "I was just
following orders"."
The United States has actively undermined and refused to participate in
the International Criminal Court, which was designed to try and punish
war criminals like Saddam Hussein. As a result, any such trials will
likely be under the tutelage of an occupying American army, which will
be seen by the vast majority of the international community as
illegitimate. For a foreign occupation army to try and punish leaders of
an internationally recognized government--however reprehensible they may
be--is in itself a war crime and would make these thugs martyrs in the
eyes of much of the world.

"Should Saddam Hussein choose confrontation, the American people can
know that every measure has been taken to avoid war, and every measure
will be taken to win it."
Refusing an illegitimate order by a foreign government to surrender
power is not choosing confrontation. And, clearly, the Bush
administration has not taken "every measure to avoid war."

"Americans understand the costs of conflict because we have paid them in
the past. War has no certainty, except the certainty of sacrifice. Yet,
the only way to reduce the harm and duration of war is to apply the full
force and might of our military, and we are prepared to do so. If Saddam
Hussein attempts to cling to power, he will remain a deadly foe until
the end. In desperation, he and terrorists groups might try to conduct
terrorist operations against the American people and our friends.

These
attacks are not inevitable. They are, however, possible."
Then why prosecute and unnecessary and illegal war?

"And this very fact underscores the reason we cannot live under the threat of blackmail. The terrorist threat to America and the world will
be diminished the moment that Saddam Hussein is disarmed."
According to the CIA and other estimates, Iraq has not engaged in any anti-American terrorism since the alleged 1993 assassination attempt against former President George Bush and has already dramatically reduced his support for international terrorism since the 1980s, when the United States was supporting his government. By contrast, most intelligence analyses predict an increase in the terrorist threat to America and its allies should the United States invade Iraq.

"Our government is on heightened watch against these dangers. Just as we
are preparing to ensure victory in Iraq, we are taking further actions to protect our homeland. In recent days, American authorities have expelled from the country certain individuals with ties to Iraqi intelligence services. Among other measures, I have directed additional
security of our airports, and increased Coast Guard patrols of major seaports. The Department of Homeland Security is working closely with the nation's governors to increase armed security at critical facilities
across America. Should enemies strike our country, they would be attempting to shift our attention with panic and weaken our morale with
fear. In this, they would fail. No act of theirs can alter the course or
shake the resolve of this country. We are a peaceful people--yet we're not a fragile people, and we will not be intimidated by thugs and killers. If our enemies dare to strike us, they and all who have aided them, will face fearful consequences."
The chances of the United States being attacked will be greatly increased if the U.S. attacks first. Indeed, if there was any logic behind the madness of 9/11, it was Osama bin Laden's hope that the United States would react in such a way that would only increase the popularity of anti-American extremists. History has shown that the more
the United States has militarized the Middle East, the less secure we have become.

"We are now acting because the risks of inaction would be far greater. In one year, or five years, the power of Iraq to inflict harm on all free nations would be multiplied many times over. With these capabilities, Saddam Hussein and his terrorist allies could choose the moment of deadly conflict when they are strongest. We choose to meet that threat now, where it arises, before it can appear suddenly in our

skies and cities."
Iraq has never threatened to attack the United States nor does it have the ability to attack the United States. That country became a formidable military threat back in the 1980s as a result of support from
industrialized nations like the U.S., Great Britain, France, Germany, and Russia. With a strict military embargo imposed upon the country since 1990, it will be extremely difficult for Iraq to become a military
threat to the United States or any other country.

"The cause of peace requires all free nations to recognize new and undeniable realities. In the 20th century, some chose to appease murderous dictators, whose threats were allowed to grow into genocide and global war. In this century, when evil men plot chemical, biological
and nuclear terror, a policy of appeasement could bring destruction of a
kind never before seen on this earth."
The analogy with Hitler's Germany and other Axis powers is spurious. Germany was the most powerful industrialized country in the world in the
1930s. Iraq, by contrast, is a poor, third-world country that has had most of its military infrastructure destroyed and has been under the strictest military and economic sanctions in world history. The current
UN policy of inspections, sanctions, and the threat of UN-sanctioned war
if Iraq again threatens its neighbors can hardly be considered "appeasement." None of the Axis powers of the 1930s were ever subjected
to such international pressure until they had invaded and occupied dozens of nations in Europe, Asia, and Africa. Iraq has not invaded and
occupied any countries since its six-month occupation of Kuwait in 1990-
91.

"Terrorists and terror states do not reveal these threats with fair notice, in formal declarations--and responding to such enemies only after they have struck first is not self-defense, it is suicide. The security of the world requires disarming Saddam Hussein now."
Essentially, President Bush is saying that a country has the right to invade and occupy another country without any evidence that the targeted
country has the intention, willingness, or ability to strike first. This
would give virtually any country the right to invade any other. Most of
Iraq's neighbors do not consider Iraq to be a threat, either now or in the perceivable future.

"As we enforce the just demands of the world, we will also honor the deepest commitments of our country."
Violating the U.S. Constitution and international legal covenants to which the U.S. government is legally bound is, in reality, a dishonor to
the deepest commitments of the United States.

"Unlike Saddam Hussein, we believe the Iraqi people are deserving and capable of human liberty. And when the dictator has departed, they can set an example to all the Middle East of a vital and peaceful and self-
governing nation."
If the United States really believes the Iraqi people are deserving and
capable of human liberty, then why did the U.S. support Saddam Hussein during the height of his terror? And why are the leading candidates the
United States hopes to install in Baghdad to replace the current dictatorship lacking anything remotely resembling democratic credentials?

"The United States, with other countries, will work to advance liberty and peace in that region."
Then why does the United States support dictatorships in Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Oman, Kuwait, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, and other autocratic regimes? And why does the United States support Moroccan, Israeli, and Turkish occupation forces? Such policies belie any claim of support for
liberty and peace.

"Our goal will not be achieved overnight, but it can come over time. The
power and appeal of human liberty is felt in every life and every land.
And the greatest power of freedom is to overcome hatred and violence, and turn the creative gifts of men and women to the pursuits of peace."
To unleash bombs and missiles on cities, to engage in war-mongering, and
to lie to the American people and the world in order to rationalize such
an invasion is itself a form of hatred and violence.

"That is the future we choose. Free nations have a duty to defend our people by uniting against the violent. And tonight, as we have done before, America and our allies accept that responsibility. Good night, and may God continue to bless America."
And may God forgive President Bush and the congressional leaders of both
parties who are responsible for unleashing such horrific violence against the people of Iraq.

On Tue, 08 Apr 2003 02:59:41 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote
>
>> Is THAT the best you have when you're found to be wrong?
>
>You're going to tell us you AGREE with johnny's babble?
>
>Go figure...
>

2392. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 03:07:27 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <l6f49vce301vu0qdmlgh6p796t8o7lsj6v@4ax.com>
Source record: 33260
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

Responding to Bush's speech line by line, lie by lie

An Annotated Critique of President George W. Bush's March 17 Address Preparing the Nation for War

FPIF Middle Eastern Editor, Stephen Zunes, provides an annotated critique of the president's address to the nation about imminent war against Iraq. Given that the Democratic Party leadership in Congress declined to take their traditional opportuntity to offer a formal response, FPIF provides a response to all the president's arguments for
war. Line by line, argument by argument, falsehood by falsehood, Zunes responds to the president.

Stephen Zunes is an associate professor of politics and chair of the Peace & Justice Studies Program at the University of San Francisco.

(Editors' Note: Below is a transcript of President George W. Bush's address to the nation on Monday, March 17, announcing his readiness to order a U.S. invasion of Iraq followed by an analysis highlighting some
of the lies and misleading statements in the speech. Such an overview is
necessary since the Democratic Party leadership in Congress, which has pledged to support the president in the event of war, declined to take their traditional opportunity to offer a formal response. The Green Party, which opposes the war, was not given the opportunity by the networks to respond.)

"My fellow citizens, events in Iraq have now reached the final days of decision. For more than a decade, the United States and other nations have pursued patient and honorable efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime without war."
This is patently false. In 1998, President Bill Clinton successfully pressured UNSCOM director Richard Butler to withdraw inspectors without
authorization from the Secretary General or the Security Council--before
their mission was complete--in order to engage in a four-day heavy

bombing campaign against Iraq. As predicted at the time, this illegal use of military force--combined with revelations that the United States had abused the inspections process for espionage purposes--resulted in the Iraqi government barring the inspectors' return until a reorganized inspections commission known as UNMOVIC commenced inspections last year. UNMOVIC chairman Hans Blix and UN Secretary General Kofi Annan explicitly called upon the United States and the international community to give the inspectors more time to do their job, noting that it would take a number of months before their mission could be completed.

"That regime pledged to reveal and destroy all its weapons of mass destruction as a condition for ending the Persian Gulf War in 1991." Iraq was presented with this demand as part of UN Security Council resolution 687, which mandated Iraqi disarmament of its weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems. This was a unilateral decree from the Security Council which--while nominally part of the ceasefire agreement--was void of any explicit threat to continue prosecuting the war if Iraq did not agree to the disarmament provisions. It is noteworthy that the demand for Iraqi disarmament in the resolution was put forward within the context of a call for regional disarmament. The United States has refused to encourage any regional disarmament initiative, however, and remains a strong supporter of the Israeli and Pakistani governments, which have advanced nuclear arsenals among other weapons of mass destruction.

"Since then, the world has engaged in 12 years of diplomacy. We have passed more than a dozen resolutions in the United Nations Security Council. We have sent hundreds of weapons inspectors to oversee the disarmament of Iraq. Our good faith has not been returned. The Iraqi regime has used diplomacy as a ploy to gain time and advantage. It has uniformly defied Security Council resolutions demanding full disarmament." Iraq's cooperation has indeed been less than total, but most independent reports--even during UNSCOM's inspections regime between 1991 and 1998--conclude that cooperation was close to 90%. According to UNMOVIC, Iraq's cooperation since inspections resumed last year has been far better.

"Over the years, UN weapon inspectors have been threatened by Iraqi officials, electronically bugged, and systematically deceived." This was not an uncommon practice during the UNSCOM era, but there have been no reports from UNMOVIC of such harassment subsequently.

"Peaceful efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime have failed again and again--because we are not dealing with peaceful men."
Peaceful efforts at disarming Iraq have succeeded in eliminating somewhere between 95% and 100% of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction and
related materiel and delivery systems as a result of UN Security Council
resolution 687 and subsequent resolutions. The determination to go to war despite such success raises serious questions as to whether the United States is governed by peaceful men.

"Intelligence gathered by this and other governments leaves no doubt that the Iraq regime continues to possess and conceal some of the most lethal weapons ever devised."
If the United States really has evidence that the Iraqi government continues to possess and conceal weapons of mass destruction, why has the Bush administration refused to make such evidence public or pass such intelligence on to United Nations inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"This regime has already used weapons of mass destruction against Iraq's
neighbors and against Iraq's people."
Iraq did use chemical weapons against Iranian troops and Kurdish civilians back in the 1980s when Saddam Hussein's regime was being supported by the United States. The Reagan administration covered up for
the Halabja massacre and similar attacks against Kurdish civilians by falsely claiming that it was the Iranians--then the preferred enemy--who
were responsible. In addition, the U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency provided Iraq with U.S. satellite data to help Saddam Hussein's forces locate Iranian troop concentrations in the full knowledge that they were
using chemical weapons. Many of the key components of Iraq's chemical weapons program came from the United States, ostensibly for pesticides as part of taxpayer-funded agricultural subsidies, despite evidence that
these U.S.-manufactured chemicals were probably being diverted for use in illegal chemical weapons.

"The regime has a history of reckless aggression in the Middle East."
This is true, though Iraq's invasion of Iran in 1980 was quietly supported by the U.S. government and ambivalent signals by the U.S. ambassador to Iraq immediately prior to the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait may
have emboldened Saddam Hussein to conquer the sheikdom in 1990. Now, with Iraq's offensive military capability just a fraction of what is was
during that period and an unambiguous resolve by the international community to thwart such future aggression, there is little chance of Iraq invading another country again.

"It has a deep hatred of America and our friends."
Iraq willingly accepted U.S. support during the 1980s. The more belligerent posture of recent years is largely a result of the U.S. destruction of much of the country's military and civilian infrastructure in the 1991 Gulf War, which was supported by a number of other Middle Eastern states with which Iraq had also once collaborated and been on friendly terms. Subsequent U.S.-led sanctions, periodic bombing raids, and invasion threats have resulted in widespread suffering of the population that has intensified anti-American sentiment. Had the United States adopted a more enlightened policy, such deep hatred would likely have not developed.

"And it has aided, trained, and harbored terrorists, including operatives of al Qaeda."
Every independent investigation of every Bush administration claim of a connection between the secular Iraqi government and the Islamist al Qaeda network has found no evidence of any Iraqi aid, training, or harboring of al Qaeda terrorists. According to both published U.S. government reports and independent analyses, Iraq's support for international terrorism--which has always been restricted to secular nationalists like the radical Palestinian Abu Nidal faction--peaked in the 1980s.

"The danger is clear: using chemical, biological or, one day, nuclear weapons, obtained with the help of Iraq, the terrorists could fulfill their stated ambitions and kill thousands or hundreds of thousands of innocent people in our country, or any other. The United States and other nations did nothing to deserve or invite this threat. But we will do everything to defeat it. Instead of drifting along toward tragedy, we will set a course toward safety. Before the day of horror can come, before it is too late to act, this danger will be removed."
The Bush administration has failed to present any evidence that Iraq has the intention to pass on weapons of mass destruction to terrorists, an act that would inevitably lead to a U.S.-led invasion, only in this case with the support of the international community. This is the essence of deterrence, which protected the United States and its allies from Josef Stalin, Mao Zedung, and other leaders as tyrannical and far more powerful militarily than Saddam Hussein. And no country has the right to invade another on some far-fetched scenario that they might do something someday. Ironically, as the CIA has noted in a report released this past

October, Saddam Hussein would not likely use WMDs as a first strike, but
in the case of a U.S. invasion--with nothing to lose and the logic of
deterrence no longer in effect--would be far more likely to use whatever
WMDs he may possess. In other words, a U.S. invasion, rather than
preventing the use of weapons of mass destruction, would be the most
likely--and the only realistic--scenario that such horrible weapons
would be utilized.

"The United States of America has the sovereign authority to use force
in assuring its own national security. That duty falls to me, as
Commander-in-Chief, by the oath I have sworn, by the oath I will keep."
The oath of office also demands that the president uphold and defend the
Constitution of the United States, which forbids such an illegal use of
force. Virtually no international legal authority recognizes such an
invasion as an act of assuring legitimate national security interests.

"Recognizing the threat to our country, the United States Congress voted
overwhelmingly last year to support the use of force against Iraq."
The U.S. Congress--with the support of both the Republican and
Democratic leadership--did authorize the use of force against Iraq.
However, the resolution violates Article I, Section 8 of the U.S.
Constitution which does not allow Congress to grant such open-ended
warmaking authority to the president for an offensive military action.
Only a formal declaration of war in such a situation can be considered
legitimate. Furthermore, Article VI of the Constitution declares that
international treaties to which the United States is a party are to be
treated as supreme law, thereby proscribing Congress from passing any
resolution that violates the UN Charter, such as supporting an invasion
of a sovereign nation. As a result, this resolution is unconstitutional
and thereby invalid.

"America tried to work with the United Nations to address this threat
because we wanted to resolve the issue peacefully. We believe in the
mission of the United Nations."
Then why is the United States violating the UN Charter, which forbids
the use of military force unless a country finds itself under armed
attack or it is explicitly authorized by the UN Security Council? The
mission of the United Nations is to preserve international peace and
security, not to approve the invasion of one country by another.

"One reason the UN was founded after the Second World War was to
confront aggressive dictators, actively and early, before they can
attack the innocent and destroy the peace."

The United States refused to confront Saddam Hussein active and early when he was committing acts of aggression against Iranians and Kurds and opposed decisive action by the United Nations. Iraq's ability to attack the innocent and destroy the peace has already been reduced dramatically through a series of actions by the United Nations, including authorizing the use of force to remove Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait, placing strict military sanctions against the dictatorship, and overseeing the most aggressive unilateral disarmament effort and inspections regime in history.

"In the case of Iraq, the Security Council did act, in the early 1990s. Under Resolutions 678 and 687--both still in effect--the United States and our allies are authorized to use force in ridding Iraq of weapons of mass destruction. This is not a question of authority, it is a question of will."

The assertion that resolutions 678 and 687 give the United States the right to invade Iraq is patently false. Resolution 678 authorized the use of force to enforce prior UN Security Council resolutions demanding that Iraq remove its occupation forces from Kuwait. Once that was accomplished in late February 1991, the resolution became moot. Resolution 687 called for Iraqi disarmament of weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems, but--even though it was the most detailed resolution in the history of the United Nations--no enforcement mechanism was specified. According to United Nations Charter, such resolutions can be enforced militarily only if the Security Council as a whole recognizes that a country is in material breach, determines that all non-military means have been exhausted, and specifically authorizes the use of force. The Security Council has not done so subsequent to the passage of resolution 678 in late November 1990.

"Last September, I went to the UN General Assembly and urged the nations of the world to unite and bring an end to this danger. On November 8th, the Security Council unanimously passed Resolution 1441, finding Iraq in material breach of its obligations, and vowing serious consequences if Iraq did not fully and immediately disarm."

True, but it did not authorize the use of force. Article 14 of that

resolution specifically noted that the Security Council would "remain seized of the matter," reiterating that only the Security Council as a whole--not any one member state--has the power to determine whether military force can be legitimately utilized to enforce its resolution.

"Today, no nation can possibly claim that Iraq has disarmed."
There actually are some nations that believe that Iraq has disarmed under the resolutions. Though this is not likely the case, the Bush administration has been unable to present clear evidence to the contrary.

"And it will not disarm so long as Saddam Hussein holds power."
This is sheer speculation. As a dictator who has proven his desire to ruthlessly hold on to power at all costs, he very well could disarm to save his regime. However, the Bush administration has made clear its intention to invade anyway, thereby providing little incentive for Saddam Hussein to do so.

"For the last four-and-a-half months, the United States and our allies have worked within the Security Council to enforce that Council's long-
standing demands. Yet, some permanent members of the Security Council have publicly announced they will veto any resolution that compels the disarmament of Iraq. These governments share our assessment of the danger, but not our resolve to meet it."
Actually, most Security Council members do not believe that Iraq is the
imminent threat that the United States claims it to be, though, if convincing evidence were presented that Iraq indeed posed a threat to international peace and security, a clear majority of the Security Council--including France--have indicated their willingness to authorize
the use of force. A veto of the proposed U.S.-sponsored resolution by France, Russia, and China would probably not have been necessary since the United States was unable--despite enormous pressure, including promises of increased foreign aid, trade preferences, and other incentives--to convince a simple majority of nations on the Council that
it was necessary to take the unprecedented step of authorizing the United States to invade Iraq, overthrow the government, and replace it with one more to its liking.

"Many nations, however, do have the resolve and fortitude to act against
this threat to peace, and a broad coalition is now gathering to enforce
the just demands of the world."
There is nothing close to the broad coalition such as that which joined
the United States in ridding Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait in 1991, when Iraq clearly did constitute a threat to peace. As of this

writing, only one major power (Great Britain) and two minor powers (Spain and Australia) have offered to send troops. All three of these governments are doing so contrary to the sentiments of the vast majority
of their population and their combined participation still leaves the United States contributing at least 85% of combat forces. As columnist Maureen Dowd noted, since the Bush administration has driven virtually everyone from the schoolyard, it now has to rely on imaginary friends.

"The United Nations Security Council has not lived up to its responsibilities, so we will rise to ours."
In reality, the United Nations Security Council has gone to extraordinary efforts to minimize any threat to peace from Iraq, including authorizing the use of force in 1990 to enforce resolutions requiring an Iraqi withdrawal from occupied Kuwait, the imposition of strict sanctions against Iraq, and the creation of an inspections regime
that has been largely--if not 100%--effective. By contrast, it is not the responsibility of the United States or any country to invade a sovereign nation when it feels like it.

"In recent days, some governments in the Middle East have been doing their part. They have delivered public and private messages urging the dictator to leave Iraq, so that disarmament can proceed peacefully. He has thus far refused. All the decades of deceit and cruelty have now reached an end. Saddam Hussein and his sons must leave Iraq within 48 hours. Their refusal to do so will result in military conflict, commenced at a time of our choosing. For their own safety, all foreign nationals--including journalists and inspectors--should leave Iraq immediately."
President Bush has no authorization to demand that United Nations inspectors or foreign nationals leave Iraq. Nor does he have the right to demand that Saddam Hussein and his sons leave their country. No Security Council resolutions require that Saddam Hussein resign or that
he and any other member of his family go into exile. And neither the United States nor any other country has the right to commence an invasion of another country at the time of its choosing.

"Many Iraqis can hear me tonight in a translated radio broadcast, and I
have a message for them. If we must begin a military campaign, it will be directed against the lawless men who rule your country and not against you."
It is highly likely that a major U.S. military campaign--particularly one with such a heavy reliance on air power and the determination to seize by force a capital city of over five million people--will result in the deaths of thousands of innocent Iraqi civilians.

"As our coalition takes away their power, we will deliver the food and medicine you need."

In large part as a result of the U.S.-led sanctions, there are already severe shortages of food and medicines in Iraq. Strict and mostly equitable rationing have left few Iraqi families with more than a couple
of days' worth of food in storage. It is unlikely that the United States
will be able to supply most Iraqis with the food and medicine they need
in any timely manner.

"We will tear down the apparatus of terror and we will help you to build
a new Iraq that is prosperous and free. In a free Iraq, there will be no
more wars of aggression against your neighbors, no more poison factories, no more executions of dissidents, no more torture chambers and rape rooms."
The fact that the United States has supported scores of regimes--including a number in the Middle East--that have tortured, raped, and murdered dissidents raises serious questions as to whether the Bush administration really supports a free Iraq. The Bush administration's ongoing support of Moroccan occupation forces in Western Sahara, Turkish
occupation forces in northern Cyprus, and Israeli occupation forces in the West Bank, the Gaza Strip, and the Golan Heights raises serious questions as to whether the United States is actually bothered by countries that commit acts of aggression against neighbors. The United States also supports a number of Middle Eastern countries that are believed to have developed chemical weapons, similarly raising questions
as to whether the Bush administration is really worried about "poison factories."

"The tyrant will soon be gone. The day of your liberation is near."
Most Iraqis would certainly welcome the end of Saddam Hussein's regime.
But it is highly questionable whether a Western nation that has already
wrought enormous suffering for the Iraqi people, invades the country, and installs one of its own generals as a provisional military governor
will be seen as an act of liberation or a foreign occupation.

"It is too late for Saddam Hussein to remain in power. It is not too late for the Iraqi military to act with honor and protect your country by permitting the peaceful entry of coalition forces to eliminate weapons of mass destruction."
First, it is hard to imagine any national army--even under the most ruthless of dictators--that would not resist a foreign invasion. Second,
if the United States knows where these alleged weapons of mass

destruction are located, why haven't U.S. government officials informed
UNMOVIC inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"Our forces will give Iraqi military units clear instructions on actions
they can take to avoid being attacked and destroyed. I urge every member
of the Iraqi military and intelligence services, if war comes, do not
fight for a dying regime that is not worth your own life. And all Iraqi
military and civilian personnel should listen carefully to this warning.
In any conflict, your fate will depend on your action. Do not destroy
oil wells, a source of wealth that belongs to the Iraqi people. Do not
obey any command to use weapons of mass destruction against anyone,
including the Iraqi people. War crimes will be prosecuted. War criminals
will be punished. And it will be no defense to say, "I was just
following orders"."
The United States has actively undermined and refused to participate in
the International Criminal Court, which was designed to try and punish
war criminals like Saddam Hussein. As a result, any such trials will
likely be under the tutelage of an occupying American army, which will
be seen by the vast majority of the international community as
illegitimate. For a foreign occupation army to try and punish leaders of
an internationally recognized government--however reprehensible they may
be--is in itself a war crime and would make these thugs martyrs in the
eyes of much of the world.

"Should Saddam Hussein choose confrontation, the American people can
know that every measure has been taken to avoid war, and every measure
will be taken to win it."
Refusing an illegitimate order by a foreign government to surrender
power is not choosing confrontation. And, clearly, the Bush
administration has not taken "every measure to avoid war."

"Americans understand the costs of conflict because we have paid them in
the past. War has no certainty, except the certainty of sacrifice. Yet,
the only way to reduce the harm and duration of war is to apply the full
force and might of our military, and we are prepared to do so. If Saddam
Hussein attempts to cling to power, he will remain a deadly foe until
the end. In desperation, he and terrorists groups might try to conduct
terrorist operations against the American people and our friends.

These
attacks are not inevitable. They are, however, possible."
Then why prosecute and unnecessary and illegal war?

"And this very fact underscores the reason we cannot live under the
threat of blackmail. The terrorist threat to America and the world
will
be diminished the moment that Saddam Hussein is disarmed."
According to the CIA and other estimates, Iraq has not engaged in any anti-American terrorism since the alleged 1993 assassination attempt against former President George Bush and has already dramatically reduced his support for international terrorism since the 1980s, when the United States was supporting his government. By contrast, most intelligence analyses predict an increase in the terrorist threat to America and its allies should the United States invade Iraq.

"Our government is on heightened watch against these dangers. Just as
we
are preparing to ensure victory in Iraq, we are taking further actions to protect our homeland. In recent days, American authorities have expelled from the country certain individuals with ties to Iraqi intelligence services. Among other measures, I have directed
additional
security of our airports, and increased Coast Guard patrols of major seaports. The Department of Homeland Security is working closely with the nation's governors to increase armed security at critical
facilities
across America. Should enemies strike our country, they would be attempting to shift our attention with panic and weaken our morale
with
fear. In this, they would fail. No act of theirs can alter the course
or
shake the resolve of this country. We are a peaceful people--yet we're not a fragile people, and we will not be intimidated by thugs and killers. If our enemies dare to strike us, they and all who have aided them, will face fearful consequences."
The chances of the United States being attacked will be greatly increased if the U.S. attacks first. Indeed, if there was any logic behind the madness of 9/11, it was Osama bin Laden's hope that the United States would react in such a way that would only increase the popularity of anti-American extremists. History has shown that the
more
the United States has militarized the Middle East, the less secure we have become.

"We are now acting because the risks of inaction would be far greater. In one year, or five years, the power of Iraq to inflict harm on all free nations would be multiplied many times over. With these capabilities, Saddam Hussein and his terrorist allies could choose the moment of deadly conflict when they are strongest. We choose to meet that threat now, where it arises, before it can appear suddenly in our

skies and cities."
Iraq has never threatened to attack the United States nor does it have the ability to attack the United States. That country became a formidable military threat back in the 1980s as a result of support from
industrialized nations like the U.S., Great Britain, France, Germany, and Russia. With a strict military embargo imposed upon the country since 1990, it will be extremely difficult for Iraq to become a military
threat to the United States or any other country.

"The cause of peace requires all free nations to recognize new and undeniable realities. In the 20th century, some chose to appease murderous dictators, whose threats were allowed to grow into genocide and global war. In this century, when evil men plot chemical, biological
and nuclear terror, a policy of appeasement could bring destruction of a
kind never before seen on this earth."
The analogy with Hitler's Germany and other Axis powers is spurious. Germany was the most powerful industrialized country in the world in the
1930s. Iraq, by contrast, is a poor, third-world country that has had most of its military infrastructure destroyed and has been under the strictest military and economic sanctions in world history. The current
UN policy of inspections, sanctions, and the threat of UN-sanctioned war
if Iraq again threatens its neighbors can hardly be considered "appeasement." None of the Axis powers of the 1930s were ever subjected
to such international pressure until they had invaded and occupied dozens of nations in Europe, Asia, and Africa. Iraq has not invaded and
occupied any countries since its six-month occupation of Kuwait in 1990-
91.

"Terrorists and terror states do not reveal these threats with fair notice, in formal declarations--and responding to such enemies only after they have struck first is not self-defense, it is suicide. The security of the world requires disarming Saddam Hussein now."
Essentially, President Bush is saying that a country has the right to invade and occupy another country without any evidence that the targeted
country has the intention, willingness, or ability to strike first. This
would give virtually any country the right to invade any other. Most of
Iraq's neighbors do not consider Iraq to be a threat, either now or in the perceivable future.

"As we enforce the just demands of the world, we will also honor the deepest commitments of our country."
Violating the U.S. Constitution and international legal covenants to which the U.S. government is legally bound is, in reality, a dishonor to
the deepest commitments of the United States.

"Unlike Saddam Hussein, we believe the Iraqi people are deserving and capable of human liberty. And when the dictator has departed, they can set an example to all the Middle East of a vital and peaceful and self-
governing nation."
If the United States really believes the Iraqi people are deserving and
capable of human liberty, then why did the U.S. support Saddam Hussein during the height of his terror? And why are the leading candidates the
United States hopes to install in Baghdad to replace the current dictatorship lacking anything remotely resembling democratic credentials?

"The United States, with other countries, will work to advance liberty and peace in that region."
Then why does the United States support dictatorships in Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Oman, Kuwait, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, and other autocratic regimes? And why does the United States support Moroccan, Israeli, and Turkish occupation forces? Such policies belie any claim of support for
liberty and peace.

"Our goal will not be achieved overnight, but it can come over time. The
power and appeal of human liberty is felt in every life and every land.
And the greatest power of freedom is to overcome hatred and violence, and turn the creative gifts of men and women to the pursuits of peace."
To unleash bombs and missiles on cities, to engage in war-mongering, and
to lie to the American people and the world in order to rationalize such
an invasion is itself a form of hatred and violence.

"That is the future we choose. Free nations have a duty to defend our people by uniting against the violent. And tonight, as we have done before, America and our allies accept that responsibility. Good night, and may God continue to bless America."
And may God forgive President Bush and the congressional leaders of both
parties who are responsible for unleashing such horrific violence against the people of Iraq.

On Tue, 08 Apr 2003 03:01:11 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Lon Dortmer" <ldortmer@aol.com> spewed...
>
>> The chemical weapons that Bush knew about, because he has the receipts
>from the
>> 80s when the US sold them to Iraq, were destroyed per UN resolutions
>requiring
>> Iraq to disarm.
>
>Good grief - THIS again?
>
>THE WAY THINGS REALLY WORK: Who Really Armed Saddam
>
>
>March 20, 2003: SIPRI, a veteran Swedish disarmament group, compiles data on
>arms sales. Despite information published during the 1980s about where Iraq
>was getting its weapons from, the myth that Iraq was armed by the United
>States continues to survive. In fact, the major arms supplier for Iraq was
>the Soviet Union. And Iraq still owes the Russians over five billion dollars
>for arms delivered and not paid for. France and China are owed lesser
>amounts. Below are best estimates of the dollar amount of weapons sold to
>Iraq between 1973 and 1990.
>
>Soviet Union- Over 25 billion dollars
>France and China - at least five billion dollars each.
>Czechoslovakia and Poland - about two billion dollars each
>Brazil, Egypt and Romania - between $500 million and one billion dollars
>each.
>Denmark- Over $200 million.
>
>Since 1990, there has been an arms embargo on Iraq. But weapons, and spare
>parts, are still smuggled in. Many of these appear to have come from Eastern
>Europe, and France.
>
>March 16, 2003: The U.S. Marines brought some fifty chickens with them to
>Kuwait. The birds, which are easy to care for, but sensitive to chemical
>weapons, were thought to be perfect as live chemical attack monitors. If the
>bird drops, the thinking went, it's a chemical attack. But within days of
>arriving in Kuwait, most of the birds died anyway. A few marines figured out
>what was happening and saved the rest. It seems that chickens spend most of
>their time pecking at the ground for stray bits of food. But these American
>chickens had never been in the desert before and their encounter with the
>Kuwaiti sand led to them gobbling up enough of the sand to kill them. Birds
>are not very bright. So the surviving birds were saved by keeping them off
>the sand. With most of their two footed "chemical attack detectors" gone,
>the marines looked around for a replacement. And found one, a critter nearly
>as clueless (at least in a combat zone) as chickens. It seems that many, if
>not most, of the hundreds of journalists assigned to combat units can't be
>bothered with carrying around their chemical warfare equipment. So many
>reporters plan to use the journalists (at least the ones who refuse to take
>their chemical protection gear with them) as chicken replacements. On a more
>practical level, the marines are bringing in several hundred homing pigeons

>for chemical attack detection duty. The pigeons are smaller, easier to take
>care of and are known to survive quite well in the Persian Gulf area.
>
>February 20, 2003: With war in Iraq looming, experts are showing up
>everywhere telling us how many people are going to be killed. What makes
>this interesting is that there is still no agreement on how many were killed
>in the 1991 war. The higher estimates (up to 100,000 Iraqi dead) were pure
>guesses made at the end of the fighting. When the battlefield, and Iraqi
>cities, were examined, it was found that the number of Iraqi military dead
>was probably less than 5,000 (remember all those Iraqis trying to surrender,
>very few actually fought, and when they did, the majority surrendered after
>some of their buddies were killed.) Civilian deaths were probably less than
>1,000. Even anti-American advocacy groups that visited Iraq after the war
>were surprised at how precise the US bombing was, and how few civilians
>casualties resulted. But a lot of experts estimating deaths from the war
>like to add deaths from the Shia/Kurdish rebellion right after the war, and
>the food and medicine shortages of the 1990s. In these cases, the deaths
>were probably in the hundreds of thousands. But what is missed here is these
>deaths were caused by Iraqis killing other Iraqis. The rebellions right
>after the war were not the first by the Shias or Kurds. Both groups had
>rebelled against the Sunni minority many times in the previous decades (and
>centuries, for that matter.) The deaths from starvation and lack of medicine
>were deliberate moves by Saddam to suppress rebellious attitudes among
>Iraqis who didn't like him. Noting the pattern of deaths in the 1991 war,
>Saddam is openly stationing troops and weapons in residential neighborhoods
>of non-Sunni Iraqis. How that will play out in the next war is hard to say.
>U.S. psychological warfare messages sent into Iraq over the last few months
>has made it clear that any officers hiding their troops among civilians will
>be held personally responsible if there are dead Iraqi civilians as a
>result. This could cause some strange military maneuvers when the fighting
>starts. The US is determined to limit civilian casualties in any new Iraq
>war, and has more precise weapons to achieve that goal. So it's a contest
>between Saddam trying to use Iraqi civilians as shields for his troops, and
>the US trying to avoid killing civilians.
>
>February 14, 2003: A Maginot Line of Inspectors- There are many theories to
>explain the French position on Iraq. Some believe France is trying to retain
>its access to Iraqi oil. Others believe France is trying to hide its
>involvement in supplying Saddam's nuclear, biological, and chemical weapons.
>There are even reports of cash bribes to President Chirac from Baghdad. Many
>are simply ascribed to based on how low an observer's opinions are of the
>French. If this is not part of a duplicitous plot, what could the French be
>thinking?
>
>The French have proven time and again throughout the 20th Century that their
>tactical and strategic vision is woefully outdated. During World War I, the
>French annihilated a generation of their own youth by relying on human wave
>attacks against machine-guns and modern artillery. Following the war, France
>used the peace process to so cripple Germany's economy that the nation was
>ripe for Hitler's hate mongering. The French insisted on carving up old

>empires and creating new countries that have lead to many of today's
>problems in the Balkans and the Middle-East.
>
>Prior to World War II the French constructed massive concrete fortifications
>known as the Maginot Line to stop the Germans. The Germans simply drove
>around the Maginot Line and conquered France in only six weeks. France also
>had the largest and most modern army in the world; but its outdated tactics
>could not withstand the German blitzkrieg.
>
>The democratic allies defeated imperialism in Italy, Germany, and Japan. The
>French response to their liberation was to enlist Nazi Prisoners of War into
>the Foreign Legion and dispatch them to enslave a new French Empire in
>Africa and Asia. This convinced many in the Third-World that the West
>intended to keep democracy and freedom for itself. In 1956 the French
>convinced Britain and Israel to join in its unilateral invasion of Egypt.
>This invasion was launched to embarrass President Nasser of Egypt for
>supporting Muslim rebels in the French colony Algeria. The only time in UN
>history that France used its Security Council veto power was to foil a UN
>resolution to stop their invasion of Egypt. The French unilateral wars
>against Algeria and Egypt are one of the primary causes of Arab distrust of
>the West.
>
>Now the country that blundered its way through the 20th Century wants to
>decide how to deal with the Iraq crisis. They believe that inspectors and
>sanctions will contain Iraq's Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD)program. They
>are trying to build a Maginot Line of UN Inspectors to protect themselves
>from WMD attacks. While this line of defenses, like the last one, may look
>impressively strong; Saddam has simply mounted his production on trucks and
>is driving them around the new Maginot Line.
>
>Once again France's strategic thinking is decades out of date. In the past
>WMD production and research required huge industrial complexes. Today's
>facilities are truck mounted and can be hidden in a million places the
>inspectors will never look. In the first seven years of inspections the UN
>found documents to verify that Iraq may have produced ten billion lethal
>doses of biological toxins. The Iraqis have been able to hide these huge
>stockpiles from inspectors for twelve years.
>
>In previous wars it took large artillery bombardments to make chemical
>weapons effective. Today the weapons are more potent and terrorists provide
>an effective delivery system. Al Qaeda has been caught with plans to add
>chemical weapons into building ventilation systems. We could assign a UN
>Inspector to guard every air conditioner in the free world; but would they
>have the will to stop the slaughter, or would they stand aside and watch the
>massacre like UN Peacekeepers did at UN Safe Havens in Bosnia.
>
>During their 12 years of inspections the UN has proved Saddam had 36,000 WMD
>delivery systems he will not account for. The new round of inspections have
>found 18 of these weapons, with continued inspections they are on pace to
>find all of Saddam's weapons by September the 11th, of the year 2301. Only a

>fool or a Frenchman could believe we could contain the weapons for that
>long.
>
>The French still don't realize that nations no longer need fleets of
>inspectable bombers and intercontinental missiles to attack their enemies.
>For the cost of 19 plane tickets the world's only superpower was brought to
>its knees. Now what if those 19 attackers had WMD, how much damage could
>they do? A Defense Department analyst found that one plane spraying 440
>pounds of anthrax over Manhattan would kill 1,000,000 people. Zacarias
>Moussaoui had the research on his laptop and Mohamed Atta tried to buy the
>cropduster. Why would Atta try to buy the cropduster unless he had access to
>WMD? The Czech Republic still affirms that Mohamed Atta met with an Iraqi
>intelligence chief in early 2001. The Czechs expelled this "diplomat" BEFORE
>9-11-01 for providing assistance to terrorists who were planning to attack
>American and Jewish targets. An intelligence agent, from a hostile nation
>that is hiding WMD, was assisting Islamic terrorists and met with a suicide
>bomber who was trying to buy a crop duster to spray WMD. These actions
>occurred two years ago; yet the French don't believe their ally Saddam and
>his alliance with terrorists is an "imminent" threat.
>
>The French have proven they have no interest in containment. They have
>signed agreements with Saddam to develop Iraqi oil fields when UN sanctions
>are lifted. Even though the inspectors have proven that Saddam is still
>hiding WMD; the French have tried on several occasion to have the
>inspections and sanctions lifted. Hans Blix has stated that he will submit a
>plan in March to end inspections and lift the sanctions; ending the
>containment the French and their apologists say will work.
>
>The Maginot Line did not protect France from Germany. It only forced Germany
>to invade three other countries to get around it. The Maginot Line of
>Inspectors has failed to stop Saddam for twelve years, and their current
>pace requires 300 more years. The only question is which countries will be
>attacked with Iraq's Weapons of Mass Destruction, while they drive around
>this new Maginot Line to France. Recent terror alerts suggest Al Qaeda is
>planning to used WMD. Police throughout the world are on the look out for
>terrorists using light bulbs and fire extinguishers to spread deadly toxins.
>It's beginning to look like the Maginot Line has already been breached.
>----------
>
>

2393. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 03:07:47 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <v94f849j7lice2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33258

Too lazy to learn to use the filters in your newsreader ? Then suffer the
consequences !

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cTjka.47414$JI.13090459@twister.neo.rr.com...
>
> "Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E918AF6.3070109@earthlink.net...
> > It's a shame that you choose to lower yourself to there level
> > by cussing.
> > Also you choose to open those post. I haven opened one yet.
>
> Guess it didn't occur to him that he didn't have to read any of stuff he's
> griping about.
>
> >
> > Maybe you should rethink your own position, your the guy that
> > won't use your own name, your the person cussing in your
> > post so, who's the "NUT"
> >
> > Burr
> >
> > Me wrote:
> >
> > > I've had all the shit I can take about Jesus, black persons, and all
the
> > > rest of the shit that doesn't belong here about scanners. Does anyone
> > > care? Hell, NO! rec.radio.scanner is no longer in my news groups.
> > > Bye Bye all you shit-heads.
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

2394. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 03:08:21 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <r8f49vofnaqtamuhj7hck6ekq8v4iejka6@4ax.com>
Source record: 33261
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

Responding to Bush's speech line by line, lie by lie

An Annotated Critique of President George W. Bush's March 17 Address Preparing the Nation for War

FPIF Middle Eastern Editor, Stephen Zunes, provides an annotated critique of the president's address to the nation about imminent war against Iraq. Given that the Democratic Party leadership in Congress declined to take their traditional opportuntity to offer a formal response, FPIF provides a response to all the president's arguments for
war. Line by line, argument by argument, falsehood by falsehood, Zunes responds to the president.

Stephen Zunes is an associate professor of politics and chair of the Peace & Justice Studies Program at the University of San Francisco.

(Editors' Note: Below is a transcript of President George W. Bush's address to the nation on Monday, March 17, announcing his readiness to order a U.S. invasion of Iraq followed by an analysis highlighting some
of the lies and misleading statements in the speech. Such an overview is
necessary since the Democratic Party leadership in Congress, which has pledged to support the president in the event of war, declined to take their traditional opportunity to offer a formal response. The Green Party, which opposes the war, was not given the opportunity by the networks to respond.)

"My fellow citizens, events in Iraq have now reached the final days of decision. For more than a decade, the United States and other nations have pursued patient and honorable efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime without war."
This is patently false. In 1998, President Bill Clinton successfully pressured UNSCOM director Richard Butler to withdraw inspectors without
authorization from the Secretary General or the Security Council--before
their mission was complete--in order to engage in a four-day heavy

bombing campaign against Iraq. As predicted at the time, this illegal use of military force--combined with revelations that the United States had abused the inspections process for espionage purposes--resulted in the Iraqi government barring the inspectors' return until a reorganized inspections commission known as UNMOVIC commenced inspections last year. UNMOVIC chairman Hans Blix and UN Secretary General Kofi Annan explicitly called upon the United States and the international community to give the inspectors more time to do their job, noting that it would take a number of months before their mission could be completed.

"That regime pledged to reveal and destroy all its weapons of mass destruction as a condition for ending the Persian Gulf War in 1991." Iraq was presented with this demand as part of UN Security Council resolution 687, which mandated Iraqi disarmament of its weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems. This was a unilateral decree from the Security Council which--while nominally part of the ceasefire agreement--was void of any explicit threat to continue prosecuting the war if Iraq did not agree to the disarmament provisions. It is noteworthy that the demand for Iraqi disarmament in the resolution was put forward within the context of a call for regional disarmament. The United States has refused to encourage any regional disarmament initiative, however, and remains a strong supporter of the Israeli and Pakistani governments, which have advanced nuclear arsenals among other weapons of mass destruction.

"Since then, the world has engaged in 12 years of diplomacy. We have passed more than a dozen resolutions in the United Nations Security Council. We have sent hundreds of weapons inspectors to oversee the disarmament of Iraq. Our good faith has not been returned. The Iraqi regime has used diplomacy as a ploy to gain time and advantage. It has uniformly defied Security Council resolutions demanding full disarmament." Iraq's cooperation has indeed been less than total, but most independent reports--even during UNSCOM's inspections regime between 1991 and 1998--conclude that cooperation was close to 90%. According to UNMOVIC, Iraq's cooperation since inspections resumed last year has been far better.

"Over the years, UN weapon inspectors have been threatened by Iraqi officials, electronically bugged, and systematically deceived." This was not an uncommon practice during the UNSCOM era, but there have been no reports from UNMOVIC of such harassment subsequently.

"Peaceful efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime have failed again and again--because we are not dealing with peaceful men."
Peaceful efforts at disarming Iraq have succeeded in eliminating somewhere between 95% and 100% of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction and
related materiel and delivery systems as a result of UN Security Council
resolution 687 and subsequent resolutions. The determination to go to war despite such success raises serious questions as to whether the United States is governed by peaceful men.

"Intelligence gathered by this and other governments leaves no doubt that the Iraq regime continues to possess and conceal some of the most lethal weapons ever devised."
If the United States really has evidence that the Iraqi government continues to possess and conceal weapons of mass destruction, why has the Bush administration refused to make such evidence public or pass such intelligence on to United Nations inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"This regime has already used weapons of mass destruction against Iraq's
neighbors and against Iraq's people."
Iraq did use chemical weapons against Iranian troops and Kurdish civilians back in the 1980s when Saddam Hussein's regime was being supported by the United States. The Reagan administration covered up for
the Halabja massacre and similar attacks against Kurdish civilians by falsely claiming that it was the Iranians--then the preferred enemy--who
were responsible. In addition, the U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency provided Iraq with U.S. satellite data to help Saddam Hussein's forces locate Iranian troop concentrations in the full knowledge that they were
using chemical weapons. Many of the key components of Iraq's chemical weapons program came from the United States, ostensibly for pesticides as part of taxpayer-funded agricultural subsidies, despite evidence that
these U.S.-manufactured chemicals were probably being diverted for use in illegal chemical weapons.

"The regime has a history of reckless aggression in the Middle East."
This is true, though Iraq's invasion of Iran in 1980 was quietly supported by the U.S. government and ambivalent signals by the U.S. ambassador to Iraq immediately prior to the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait may
have emboldened Saddam Hussein to conquer the sheikdom in 1990. Now, with Iraq's offensive military capability just a fraction of what is was
during that period and an unambiguous resolve by the international community to thwart such future aggression, there is little chance of Iraq invading another country again.

"It has a deep hatred of America and our friends."
Iraq willingly accepted U.S. support during the 1980s. The more belligerent posture of recent years is largely a result of the U.S. destruction of much of the country's military and civilian infrastructure in the 1991 Gulf War, which was supported by a number of other Middle Eastern states with which Iraq had also once collaborated and been on friendly terms. Subsequent U.S.-led sanctions, periodic bombing raids, and invasion threats have resulted in widespread suffering of the population that has intensified anti-American sentiment. Had the United States adopted a more enlightened policy, such deep hatred would likely have not developed.

"And it has aided, trained, and harbored terrorists, including operatives of al Qaeda."
Every independent investigation of every Bush administration claim of a connection between the secular Iraqi government and the Islamist al Qaeda network has found no evidence of any Iraqi aid, training, or harboring of al Qaeda terrorists. According to both published U.S. government reports and independent analyses, Iraq's support for international terrorism--which has always been restricted to secular nationalists like the radical Palestinian Abu Nidal faction--peaked in the 1980s.

"The danger is clear: using chemical, biological or, one day, nuclear weapons, obtained with the help of Iraq, the terrorists could fulfill their stated ambitions and kill thousands or hundreds of thousands of innocent people in our country, or any other. The United States and other nations did nothing to deserve or invite this threat. But we will do everything to defeat it. Instead of drifting along toward tragedy, we will set a course toward safety. Before the day of horror can come, before it is too late to act, this danger will be removed."
The Bush administration has failed to present any evidence that Iraq has the intention to pass on weapons of mass destruction to terrorists, an act that would inevitably lead to a U.S.-led invasion, only in this case with the support of the international community. This is the essence of deterrence, which protected the United States and its allies from Josef Stalin, Mao Zedung, and other leaders as tyrannical and far more powerful militarily than Saddam Hussein. And no country has the right to invade another on some far-fetched scenario that they might do something someday. Ironically, as the CIA has noted in a report released this past

October, Saddam Hussein would not likely use WMDs as a first strike, but
in the case of a U.S. invasion--with nothing to lose and the logic of
deterrence no longer in effect--would be far more likely to use whatever
WMDs he may possess. In other words, a U.S. invasion, rather than
preventing the use of weapons of mass destruction, would be the most
likely--and the only realistic--scenario that such horrible weapons
would be utilized.

"The United States of America has the sovereign authority to use force
in assuring its own national security. That duty falls to me, as
Commander-in-Chief, by the oath I have sworn, by the oath I will keep."
The oath of office also demands that the president uphold and defend the
Constitution of the United States, which forbids such an illegal use of
force. Virtually no international legal authority recognizes such an
invasion as an act of assuring legitimate national security interests.

"Recognizing the threat to our country, the United States Congress voted
overwhelmingly last year to support the use of force against Iraq."
The U.S. Congress--with the support of both the Republican and
Democratic leadership--did authorize the use of force against Iraq.
However, the resolution violates Article I, Section 8 of the U.S.
Constitution which does not allow Congress to grant such open-ended
warmaking authority to the president for an offensive military action.
Only a formal declaration of war in such a situation can be considered
legitimate. Furthermore, Article VI of the Constitution declares that
international treaties to which the United States is a party are to be
treated as supreme law, thereby proscribing Congress from passing any
resolution that violates the UN Charter, such as supporting an invasion
of a sovereign nation. As a result, this resolution is unconstitutional
and thereby invalid.

"America tried to work with the United Nations to address this threat
because we wanted to resolve the issue peacefully. We believe in the
mission of the United Nations."
Then why is the United States violating the UN Charter, which forbids
the use of military force unless a country finds itself under armed
attack or it is explicitly authorized by the UN Security Council? The
mission of the United Nations is to preserve international peace and
security, not to approve the invasion of one country by another.

"One reason the UN was founded after the Second World War was to
confront aggressive dictators, actively and early, before they can
attack the innocent and destroy the peace."

The United States refused to confront Saddam Hussein active and early when he was committing acts of aggression against Iranians and Kurds and opposed decisive action by the United Nations. Iraq's ability to attack the innocent and destroy the peace has already been reduced dramatically through a series of actions by the United Nations, including authorizing the use of force to remove Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait, placing strict military sanctions against the dictatorship, and overseeing the most aggressive unilateral disarmament effort and inspections regime in history.

"In the case of Iraq, the Security Council did act, in the early 1990s. Under Resolutions 678 and 687--both still in effect--the United States and our allies are authorized to use force in ridding Iraq of weapons of mass destruction. This is not a question of authority, it is a question of will."

The assertion that resolutions 678 and 687 give the United States the right to invade Iraq is patently false. Resolution 678 authorized the use of force to enforce prior UN Security Council resolutions demanding that Iraq remove its occupation forces from Kuwait. Once that was accomplished in late February 1991, the resolution became moot. Resolution 687 called for Iraqi disarmament of weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems, but--even though it was the most detailed resolution in the history of the United Nations--no enforcement mechanism was specified. According to United Nations Charter, such resolutions can be enforced militarily only if the Security Council as a whole recognizes that a country is in material breach, determines that all non-military means have been exhausted, and specifically authorizes the use of force. The Security Council has not done so subsequent to the passage of resolution 678 in late November 1990.

"Last September, I went to the UN General Assembly and urged the nations of the world to unite and bring an end to this danger. On November 8th, the Security Council unanimously passed Resolution 1441, finding Iraq in material breach of its obligations, and vowing serious consequences if Iraq did not fully and immediately disarm."

True, but it did not authorize the use of force. Article 14 of that

resolution specifically noted that the Security Council would "remain seized of the matter," reiterating that only the Security Council as a whole--not any one member state--has the power to determine whether military force can be legitimately utilized to enforce its resolution.

"Today, no nation can possibly claim that Iraq has disarmed."
There actually are some nations that believe that Iraq has disarmed under the resolutions. Though this is not likely the case, the Bush administration has been unable to present clear evidence to the contrary.

"And it will not disarm so long as Saddam Hussein holds power."
This is sheer speculation. As a dictator who has proven his desire to ruthlessly hold on to power at all costs, he very well could disarm to save his regime. However, the Bush administration has made clear its intention to invade anyway, thereby providing little incentive for Saddam Hussein to do so.

"For the last four-and-a-half months, the United States and our allies have worked within the Security Council to enforce that Council's long-
standing demands. Yet, some permanent members of the Security Council have publicly announced they will veto any resolution that compels the disarmament of Iraq. These governments share our assessment of the danger, but not our resolve to meet it."
Actually, most Security Council members do not believe that Iraq is the
imminent threat that the United States claims it to be, though, if convincing evidence were presented that Iraq indeed posed a threat to international peace and security, a clear majority of the Security Council--including France--have indicated their willingness to authorize
the use of force. A veto of the proposed U.S.-sponsored resolution by France, Russia, and China would probably not have been necessary since the United States was unable--despite enormous pressure, including promises of increased foreign aid, trade preferences, and other incentives--to convince a simple majority of nations on the Council that
it was necessary to take the unprecedented step of authorizing the United States to invade Iraq, overthrow the government, and replace it with one more to its liking.

"Many nations, however, do have the resolve and fortitude to act against
this threat to peace, and a broad coalition is now gathering to enforce
the just demands of the world."
There is nothing close to the broad coalition such as that which joined
the United States in ridding Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait in 1991, when Iraq clearly did constitute a threat to peace. As of this

writing, only one major power (Great Britain) and two minor powers (Spain and Australia) have offered to send troops. All three of these governments are doing so contrary to the sentiments of the vast majority
of their population and their combined participation still leaves the United States contributing at least 85% of combat forces. As columnist Maureen Dowd noted, since the Bush administration has driven virtually everyone from the schoolyard, it now has to rely on imaginary friends.

"The United Nations Security Council has not lived up to its responsibilities, so we will rise to ours."
In reality, the United Nations Security Council has gone to extraordinary efforts to minimize any threat to peace from Iraq, including authorizing the use of force in 1990 to enforce resolutions requiring an Iraqi withdrawal from occupied Kuwait, the imposition of strict sanctions against Iraq, and the creation of an inspections regime
that has been largely--if not 100%--effective. By contrast, it is not the responsibility of the United States or any country to invade a sovereign nation when it feels like it.

"In recent days, some governments in the Middle East have been doing their part. They have delivered public and private messages urging the dictator to leave Iraq, so that disarmament can proceed peacefully. He has thus far refused. All the decades of deceit and cruelty have now reached an end. Saddam Hussein and his sons must leave Iraq within 48 hours. Their refusal to do so will result in military conflict, commenced at a time of our choosing. For their own safety, all foreign nationals--including journalists and inspectors--should leave Iraq immediately."
President Bush has no authorization to demand that United Nations inspectors or foreign nationals leave Iraq. Nor does he have the right to demand that Saddam Hussein and his sons leave their country. No Security Council resolutions require that Saddam Hussein resign or that
he and any other member of his family go into exile. And neither the United States nor any other country has the right to commence an invasion of another country at the time of its choosing.

"Many Iraqis can hear me tonight in a translated radio broadcast, and I
have a message for them. If we must begin a military campaign, it will be directed against the lawless men who rule your country and not against you."
It is highly likely that a major U.S. military campaign--particularly one with such a heavy reliance on air power and the determination to seize by force a capital city of over five million people--will result in the deaths of thousands of innocent Iraqi civilians.

"As our coalition takes away their power, we will deliver the food and medicine you need."

In large part as a result of the U.S.-led sanctions, there are already severe shortages of food and medicines in Iraq. Strict and mostly equitable rationing have left few Iraqi families with more than a couple
of days' worth of food in storage. It is unlikely that the United States
will be able to supply most Iraqis with the food and medicine they need
in any timely manner.

"We will tear down the apparatus of terror and we will help you to build
a new Iraq that is prosperous and free. In a free Iraq, there will be no
more wars of aggression against your neighbors, no more poison factories, no more executions of dissidents, no more torture chambers and rape rooms."
The fact that the United States has supported scores of regimes--including a number in the Middle East--that have tortured, raped, and murdered dissidents raises serious questions as to whether the Bush administration really supports a free Iraq. The Bush administration's ongoing support of Moroccan occupation forces in Western Sahara, Turkish
occupation forces in northern Cyprus, and Israeli occupation forces in the West Bank, the Gaza Strip, and the Golan Heights raises serious questions as to whether the United States is actually bothered by countries that commit acts of aggression against neighbors. The United States also supports a number of Middle Eastern countries that are believed to have developed chemical weapons, similarly raising questions
as to whether the Bush administration is really worried about "poison factories."

"The tyrant will soon be gone. The day of your liberation is near."
Most Iraqis would certainly welcome the end of Saddam Hussein's regime.
But it is highly questionable whether a Western nation that has already
wrought enormous suffering for the Iraqi people, invades the country, and installs one of its own generals as a provisional military governor
will be seen as an act of liberation or a foreign occupation.

"It is too late for Saddam Hussein to remain in power. It is not too late for the Iraqi military to act with honor and protect your country by permitting the peaceful entry of coalition forces to eliminate weapons of mass destruction."
First, it is hard to imagine any national army--even under the most ruthless of dictators--that would not resist a foreign invasion. Second,
if the United States knows where these alleged weapons of mass

destruction are located, why haven't U.S. government officials informed
UNMOVIC inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"Our forces will give Iraqi military units clear instructions on actions
they can take to avoid being attacked and destroyed. I urge every member
of the Iraqi military and intelligence services, if war comes, do not
fight for a dying regime that is not worth your own life. And all Iraqi
military and civilian personnel should listen carefully to this warning.
In any conflict, your fate will depend on your action. Do not destroy
oil wells, a source of wealth that belongs to the Iraqi people. Do not
obey any command to use weapons of mass destruction against anyone,
including the Iraqi people. War crimes will be prosecuted. War criminals
will be punished. And it will be no defense to say, "I was just
following orders"."
The United States has actively undermined and refused to participate in
the International Criminal Court, which was designed to try and punish
war criminals like Saddam Hussein. As a result, any such trials will
likely be under the tutelage of an occupying American army, which will
be seen by the vast majority of the international community as
illegitimate. For a foreign occupation army to try and punish leaders of
an internationally recognized government--however reprehensible they may
be--is in itself a war crime and would make these thugs martyrs in the
eyes of much of the world.

"Should Saddam Hussein choose confrontation, the American people can
know that every measure has been taken to avoid war, and every measure
will be taken to win it."
Refusing an illegitimate order by a foreign government to surrender
power is not choosing confrontation. And, clearly, the Bush
administration has not taken "every measure to avoid war."

"Americans understand the costs of conflict because we have paid them in
the past. War has no certainty, except the certainty of sacrifice. Yet,
the only way to reduce the harm and duration of war is to apply the full
force and might of our military, and we are prepared to do so. If Saddam
Hussein attempts to cling to power, he will remain a deadly foe until
the end. In desperation, he and terrorists groups might try to conduct
terrorist operations against the American people and our friends.

These
attacks are not inevitable. They are, however, possible."
Then why prosecute and unnecessary and illegal war?

"And this very fact underscores the reason we cannot live under the
threat of blackmail. The terrorist threat to America and the world
will
be diminished the moment that Saddam Hussein is disarmed."
According to the CIA and other estimates, Iraq has not engaged in any anti-American terrorism since the alleged 1993 assassination attempt against former President George Bush and has already dramatically reduced his support for international terrorism since the 1980s, when the United States was supporting his government. By contrast, most intelligence analyses predict an increase in the terrorist threat to America and its allies should the United States invade Iraq.

"Our government is on heightened watch against these dangers. Just as
we
are preparing to ensure victory in Iraq, we are taking further actions to protect our homeland. In recent days, American authorities have expelled from the country certain individuals with ties to Iraqi intelligence services. Among other measures, I have directed
additional
security of our airports, and increased Coast Guard patrols of major seaports. The Department of Homeland Security is working closely with the nation's governors to increase armed security at critical
facilities
across America. Should enemies strike our country, they would be attempting to shift our attention with panic and weaken our morale
with
fear. In this, they would fail. No act of theirs can alter the course
or
shake the resolve of this country. We are a peaceful people--yet we're not a fragile people, and we will not be intimidated by thugs and killers. If our enemies dare to strike us, they and all who have aided them, will face fearful consequences."
The chances of the United States being attacked will be greatly increased if the U.S. attacks first. Indeed, if there was any logic behind the madness of 9/11, it was Osama bin Laden's hope that the United States would react in such a way that would only increase the popularity of anti-American extremists. History has shown that the
more
the United States has militarized the Middle East, the less secure we have become.

"We are now acting because the risks of inaction would be far greater. In one year, or five years, the power of Iraq to inflict harm on all free nations would be multiplied many times over. With these capabilities, Saddam Hussein and his terrorist allies could choose the moment of deadly conflict when they are strongest. We choose to meet that threat now, where it arises, before it can appear suddenly in our

skies and cities."
Iraq has never threatened to attack the United States nor does it have the ability to attack the United States. That country became a formidable military threat back in the 1980s as a result of support from
industrialized nations like the U.S., Great Britain, France, Germany, and Russia. With a strict military embargo imposed upon the country since 1990, it will be extremely difficult for Iraq to become a military
threat to the United States or any other country.

"The cause of peace requires all free nations to recognize new and undeniable realities. In the 20th century, some chose to appease murderous dictators, whose threats were allowed to grow into genocide and global war. In this century, when evil men plot chemical, biological
and nuclear terror, a policy of appeasement could bring destruction of a
kind never before seen on this earth."
The analogy with Hitler's Germany and other Axis powers is spurious. Germany was the most powerful industrialized country in the world in the
1930s. Iraq, by contrast, is a poor, third-world country that has had most of its military infrastructure destroyed and has been under the strictest military and economic sanctions in world history. The current
UN policy of inspections, sanctions, and the threat of UN-sanctioned war
if Iraq again threatens its neighbors can hardly be considered "appeasement." None of the Axis powers of the 1930s were ever subjected
to such international pressure until they had invaded and occupied dozens of nations in Europe, Asia, and Africa. Iraq has not invaded and
occupied any countries since its six-month occupation of Kuwait in 1990-
91.

"Terrorists and terror states do not reveal these threats with fair notice, in formal declarations--and responding to such enemies only after they have struck first is not self-defense, it is suicide. The security of the world requires disarming Saddam Hussein now."
Essentially, President Bush is saying that a country has the right to invade and occupy another country without any evidence that the targeted
country has the intention, willingness, or ability to strike first. This
would give virtually any country the right to invade any other. Most of
Iraq's neighbors do not consider Iraq to be a threat, either now or in the perceivable future.

"As we enforce the just demands of the world, we will also honor the deepest commitments of our country."
Violating the U.S. Constitution and international legal covenants to which the U.S. government is legally bound is, in reality, a dishonor to
the deepest commitments of the United States.

"Unlike Saddam Hussein, we believe the Iraqi people are deserving and capable of human liberty. And when the dictator has departed, they can set an example to all the Middle East of a vital and peaceful and self-
governing nation."
If the United States really believes the Iraqi people are deserving and
capable of human liberty, then why did the U.S. support Saddam Hussein during the height of his terror? And why are the leading candidates the
United States hopes to install in Baghdad to replace the current dictatorship lacking anything remotely resembling democratic credentials?

"The United States, with other countries, will work to advance liberty and peace in that region."
Then why does the United States support dictatorships in Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Oman, Kuwait, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, and other autocratic regimes? And why does the United States support Moroccan, Israeli, and Turkish occupation forces? Such policies belie any claim of support for
liberty and peace.

"Our goal will not be achieved overnight, but it can come over time. The
power and appeal of human liberty is felt in every life and every land.
And the greatest power of freedom is to overcome hatred and violence, and turn the creative gifts of men and women to the pursuits of peace."
To unleash bombs and missiles on cities, to engage in war-mongering, and
to lie to the American people and the world in order to rationalize such
an invasion is itself a form of hatred and violence.

"That is the future we choose. Free nations have a duty to defend our people by uniting against the violent. And tonight, as we have done before, America and our allies accept that responsibility. Good night, and may God continue to bless America."
And may God forgive President Bush and the congressional leaders of both
parties who are responsible for unleashing such horrific violence against the people of Iraq.

On Tue, 08 Apr 2003 02:42:52 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> spewed from his anus...
>
>> Hmmmm, who was the only one who wanted
>> to show that Iraq had WMD so they could
>> attack it??
>
>Nobody, you ignorant ass. "ONLY"? To use a quote from you,
>> you are an idiot or a retard
>
>> When someone is caught with a fake ID, who is usually
>> responsible for having the fake ID produced?
>> This is not rocket science here.
>
>You're right. But talking about pond scum is way out of your league, too...
>
>> No shit. Especially since there are no WMD in Iraq,
>> or they would have used them by now.
>
>By what piece of twisted logic do you come to THIS conclusion?
>
>> If they were in Iraq, the US would find them after
>> the war anyway, so might as well USE them on the
>> US now. But you can't use what you were forced
>> to destroy 12 years ago.
>
>What a dolt. This doesn't even NEED a comment.
>
>> It was the USA that gave them the weapons,
>> and CALIBRATED them for Iraq to use on Iran.
>
>THIS AGAIN? Don't you ever LEARN? here we go...
>
>THE WAY THINGS REALLY WORK: Who Really Armed Saddam
>
>
>March 20, 2003: SIPRI, a veteran Swedish disarmament group, compiles data on
>arms sales. Despite information published during the 1980s about where Iraq
>was getting its weapons from, the myth that Iraq was armed by the United
>States continues to survive. In fact, the major arms supplier for Iraq was
>the Soviet Union. And Iraq still owes the Russians over five billion dollars
>for arms delivered and not paid for. France and China are owed lesser
>amounts. Below are best estimates of the dollar amount of weapons sold to
>Iraq between 1973 and 1990.
>
>Soviet Union- Over 25 billion dollars
>France and China - at least five billion dollars each.
>Czechoslovakia and Poland - about two billion dollars each
>Brazil, Egypt and Romania - between $500 million and one billion dollars
>each.
>Denmark- Over $200 million.
>

>Since 1990, there has been an arms embargo on Iraq. But weapons, and spare
>parts, are still smuggled in. Many of these appear to have come from Eastern
>Europe, and France.
>
>March 16, 2003: The U.S. Marines brought some fifty chickens with them to
>Kuwait. The birds, which are easy to care for, but sensitive to chemical
>weapons, were thought to be perfect as live chemical attack monitors. If the
>bird drops, the thinking went, it's a chemical attack. But within days of
>arriving in Kuwait, most of the birds died anyway. A few marines figured out
>what was happening and saved the rest. It seems that chickens spend most of
>their time pecking at the ground for stray bits of food. But these American
>chickens had never been in the desert before and their encounter with the
>Kuwaiti sand led to them gobbling up enough of the sand to kill them. Birds
>are not very bright. So the surviving birds were saved by keeping them off
>the sand. With most of their two footed "chemical attack detectors" gone,
>the marines looked around for a replacement. And found one, a critter nearly
>as clueless (at least in a combat zone) as chickens. It seems that many, if
>not most, of the hundreds of journalists assigned to combat units can't be
>bothered with carrying around their chemical warfare equipment. So many
>reporters plan to use the journalists (at least the ones who refuse to take
>their chemical protection gear with them) as chicken replacements. On a more
>practical level, the marines are bringing in several hundred homing pigeons
>for chemical attack detection duty. The pigeons are smaller, easier to take
>care of and are known to survive quite well in the Persian Gulf area.
>
>February 20, 2003: With war in Iraq looming, experts are showing up
>everywhere telling us how many people are going to be killed. What makes
>this interesting is that there is still no agreement on how many were killed
>in the 1991 war. The higher estimates (up to 100,000 Iraqi dead) were pure
>guesses made at the end of the fighting. When the battlefield, and Iraqi
>cities, were examined, it was found that the number of Iraqi military dead
>was probably less than 5,000 (remember all those Iraqis trying to surrender,
>very few actually fought, and when they did, the majority surrendered after
>some of their buddies were killed.) Civilian deaths were probably less than
>1,000. Even anti-American advocacy groups that visited Iraq after the war
>were surprised at how precise the US bombing was, and how few civilians
>casualties resulted. But a lot of experts estimating deaths from the war
>like to add deaths from the Shia/Kurdish rebellion right after the war, and
>the food and medicine shortages of the 1990s. In these cases, the deaths
>were probably in the hundreds of thousands. But what is missed here is these
>deaths were caused by Iraqis killing other Iraqis. The rebellions right
>after the war were not the first by the Shias or Kurds. Both groups had
>rebelled against the Sunni minority many times in the previous decades (and
>centuries, for that matter.) The deaths from starvation and lack of medicine
>were deliberate moves by Saddam to suppress rebellious attitudes among
>Iraqis who didn't like him. Noting the pattern of deaths in the 1991 war,
>Saddam is openly stationing troops and weapons in residential neighborhoods
>of non-Sunni Iraqis. How that will play out in the next war is hard to say.
>U.S. psychological warfare messages sent into Iraq over the last few months
>has made it clear that any officers hiding their troops among civilians will

>be held personally responsible if there are dead Iraqi civilians as a
>result. This could cause some strange military maneuvers when the fighting
>starts. The US is determined to limit civilian casualties in any new Iraq
>war, and has more precise weapons to achieve that goal. So it's a contest
>between Saddam trying to use Iraqi civilians as shields for his troops, and
>the US trying to avoid killing civilians.
>
>February 14, 2003: A Maginot Line of Inspectors- There are many theories to
>explain the French position on Iraq. Some believe France is trying to retain
>its access to Iraqi oil. Others believe France is trying to hide its
>involvement in supplying Saddam's nuclear, biological, and chemical weapons.
>There are even reports of cash bribes to President Chirac from Baghdad. Many
>are simply ascribed to based on how low an observer's opinions are of the
>French. If this is not part of a duplicitous plot, what could the French be
>thinking?
>
>The French have proven time and again throughout the 20th Century that their
>tactical and strategic vision is woefully outdated. During World War I, the
>French annihilated a generation of their own youth by relying on human wave
>attacks against machine-guns and modern artillery. Following the war, France
>used the peace process to so cripple Germany's economy that the nation was
>ripe for Hitler's hate mongering. The French insisted on carving up old
>empires and creating new countries that have lead to many of today's
>problems in the Balkans and the Middle-East.
>
>Prior to World War II the French constructed massive concrete fortifications
>known as the Maginot Line to stop the Germans. The Germans simply drove
>around the Maginot Line and conquered France in only six weeks. France also
>had the largest and most modern army in the world; but its outdated tactics
>could not withstand the German blitzkrieg.
>
>The democratic allies defeated imperialism in Italy, Germany, and Japan. The
>French response to their liberation was to enlist Nazi Prisoners of War into
>the Foreign Legion and dispatch them to enslave a new French Empire in
>Africa and Asia. This convinced many in the Third-World that the West
>intended to keep democracy and freedom for itself. In 1956 the French
>convinced Britain and Israel to join in its unilateral invasion of Egypt.
>This invasion was launched to embarrass President Nasser of Egypt for
>supporting Muslim rebels in the French colony Algeria. The only time in UN
>history that France used its Security Council veto power was to foil a UN
>resolution to stop their invasion of Egypt. The French unilateral wars
>against Algeria and Egypt are one of the primary causes of Arab distrust of
>the West.
>
>Now the country that blundered its way through the 20th Century wants to
>decide how to deal with the Iraq crisis. They believe that inspectors and
>sanctions will contain Iraq's Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD)program. They
>are trying to build a Maginot Line of UN Inspectors to protect themselves
>from WMD attacks. While this line of defenses, like the last one, may look
>impressively strong; Saddam has simply mounted his production on trucks and

>is driving them around the new Maginot Line.
>
>Once again France's strategic thinking is decades out of date. In the past
>WMD production and research required huge industrial complexes. Today's
>facilities are truck mounted and can be hidden in a million places the
>inspectors will never look. In the first seven years of inspections the UN
>found documents to verify that Iraq may have produced ten billion lethal
>doses of biological toxins. The Iraqis have been able to hide these huge
>stockpiles from inspectors for twelve years.
>
>In previous wars it took large artillery bombardments to make chemical
>weapons effective. Today the weapons are more potent and terrorists provide
>an effective delivery system. Al Qaeda has been caught with plans to add
>chemical weapons into building ventilation systems. We could assign a UN
>Inspector to guard every air conditioner in the free world; but would they
>have the will to stop the slaughter, or would they stand aside and watch the
>massacre like UN Peacekeepers did at UN Safe Havens in Bosnia.
>
>During their 12 years of inspections the UN has proved Saddam had 36,000 WMD
>delivery systems he will not account for. The new round of inspections have
>found 18 of these weapons, with continued inspections they are on pace to
>find all of Saddam's weapons by September the 11th, of the year 2301. Only a
>fool or a Frenchman could believe we could contain the weapons for that
>long.
>
>The French still don't realize that nations no longer need fleets of
>inspectable bombers and intercontinental missiles to attack their enemies.
>For the cost of 19 plane tickets the world's only superpower was brought to
>its knees. Now what if those 19 attackers had WMD, how much damage could
>they do? A Defense Department analyst found that one plane spraying 440
>pounds of anthrax over Manhattan would kill 1,000,000 people. Zacarias
>Moussaoui had the research on his laptop and Mohamed Atta tried to buy the
>cropduster. Why would Atta try to buy the cropduster unless he had access to
>WMD? The Czech Republic still affirms that Mohamed Atta met with an Iraqi
>intelligence chief in early 2001. The Czechs expelled this "diplomat" BEFORE
>9-11-01 for providing assistance to terrorists who were planning to attack
>American and Jewish targets. An intelligence agent, from a hostile nation
>that is hiding WMD, was assisting Islamic terrorists and met with a suicide
>bomber who was trying to buy a crop duster to spray WMD. These actions
>occurred two years ago; yet the French don't believe their ally Saddam and
>his alliance with terrorists is an "imminent" threat.
>
>The French have proven they have no interest in containment. They have
>signed agreements with Saddam to develop Iraqi oil fields when UN sanctions
>are lifted. Even though the inspectors have proven that Saddam is still
>hiding WMD; the French have tried on several occasion to have the
>inspections and sanctions lifted. Hans Blix has stated that he will submit a
>plan in March to end inspections and lift the sanctions; ending the
>containment the French and their apologists say will work.
>

>The Maginot Line did not protect France from Germany. It only forced Germany
>to invade three other countries to get around it. The Maginot Line of
>Inspectors has failed to stop Saddam for twelve years, and their current
>pace requires 300 more years. The only question is which countries will be
>attacked with Iraq's Weapons of Mass Destruction, while they drive around
>this new Maginot Line to France. Recent terror alerts suggest Al Qaeda is
>planning to used WMD. Police throughout the world are on the look out for
>terrorists using light bulbs and fire extinguishers to spread deadly toxins.
>It's beginning to look like the Maginot Line has already been breached.
>---
>
>> Do a search and look for that picture of Rumsfeld
>> shaking hands with Saddam in 1883.
>
>You really enjoy twisting whatever you can to fit your needs. Alliances
>change. Look at the pictures of FDR shaking hands with Josef Stalin. Idiot.
>Then again, you think that those twisted feces-for-brains that steal images
>from a Kurdish website and attribute the atrocities to the United States is
>"truth" as well.
>
>

2395. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 03:08:35 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <e9f49v8mgk1e9nn90jc52v29onttvomjqj@4ax.com>
Source record: 33262
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having

to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use. The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily, as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

Responding to Bush's speech line by line, lie by lie

An Annotated Critique of President George W. Bush's March 17 Address Preparing the Nation for War

FPIF Middle Eastern Editor, Stephen Zunes, provides an annotated critique of the president's address to the nation about imminent war against Iraq. Given that the Democratic Party leadership in Congress declined to take their traditional opportuntity to offer a formal response, FPIF provides a response to all the president's arguments for
war. Line by line, argument by argument, falsehood by falsehood, Zunes responds to the president.

Stephen Zunes is an associate professor of politics and chair of the Peace & Justice Studies Program at the University of San Francisco.

(Editors' Note: Below is a transcript of President George W. Bush's address to the nation on Monday, March 17, announcing his readiness to order a U.S. invasion of Iraq followed by an analysis highlighting some
of the lies and misleading statements in the speech. Such an overview is
necessary since the Democratic Party leadership in Congress, which has pledged to support the president in the event of war, declined to take their traditional opportunity to offer a formal response. The Green Party, which opposes the war, was not given the opportunity by the networks to respond.)

"My fellow citizens, events in Iraq have now reached the final days of decision. For more than a decade, the United States and other nations have pursued patient and honorable efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime without war."
This is patently false. In 1998, President Bill Clinton successfully pressured UNSCOM director Richard Butler to withdraw inspectors without
authorization from the Secretary General or the Security Council--before
their mission was complete--in order to engage in a four-day heavy

bombing campaign against Iraq. As predicted at the time, this illegal use of military force--combined with revelations that the United States had abused the inspections process for espionage purposes--resulted in the Iraqi government barring the inspectors' return until a reorganized inspections commission known as UNMOVIC commenced inspections last year. UNMOVIC chairman Hans Blix and UN Secretary General Kofi Annan explicitly called upon the United States and the international community to give the inspectors more time to do their job, noting that it would take a number of months before their mission could be completed.

"That regime pledged to reveal and destroy all its weapons of mass destruction as a condition for ending the Persian Gulf War in 1991." Iraq was presented with this demand as part of UN Security Council resolution 687, which mandated Iraqi disarmament of its weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems. This was a unilateral decree from the Security Council which--while nominally part of the ceasefire agreement--was void of any explicit threat to continue prosecuting the war if Iraq did not agree to the disarmament provisions. It is noteworthy that the demand for Iraqi disarmament in the resolution was put forward within the context of a call for regional disarmament. The United States has refused to encourage any regional disarmament initiative, however, and remains a strong supporter of the Israeli and Pakistani governments, which have advanced nuclear arsenals among other weapons of mass destruction.

"Since then, the world has engaged in 12 years of diplomacy. We have passed more than a dozen resolutions in the United Nations Security Council. We have sent hundreds of weapons inspectors to oversee the disarmament of Iraq. Our good faith has not been returned. The Iraqi regime has used diplomacy as a ploy to gain time and advantage. It has uniformly defied Security Council resolutions demanding full disarmament." Iraq's cooperation has indeed been less than total, but most independent reports--even during UNSCOM's inspections regime between 1991 and 1998--conclude that cooperation was close to 90%. According to UNMOVIC, Iraq's cooperation since inspections resumed last year has been far better.

"Over the years, UN weapon inspectors have been threatened by Iraqi officials, electronically bugged, and systematically deceived." This was not an uncommon practice during the UNSCOM era, but there have been no reports from UNMOVIC of such harassment subsequently.

"Peaceful efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime have failed again and again--because we are not dealing with peaceful men."
Peaceful efforts at disarming Iraq have succeeded in eliminating somewhere between 95% and 100% of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction and
related materiel and delivery systems as a result of UN Security Council
resolution 687 and subsequent resolutions. The determination to go to war despite such success raises serious questions as to whether the United States is governed by peaceful men.

"Intelligence gathered by this and other governments leaves no doubt that the Iraq regime continues to possess and conceal some of the most lethal weapons ever devised."
If the United States really has evidence that the Iraqi government continues to possess and conceal weapons of mass destruction, why has the Bush administration refused to make such evidence public or pass such intelligence on to United Nations inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"This regime has already used weapons of mass destruction against Iraq's
neighbors and against Iraq's people."
Iraq did use chemical weapons against Iranian troops and Kurdish civilians back in the 1980s when Saddam Hussein's regime was being supported by the United States. The Reagan administration covered up for
the Halabja massacre and similar attacks against Kurdish civilians by falsely claiming that it was the Iranians--then the preferred enemy--who
were responsible. In addition, the U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency provided Iraq with U.S. satellite data to help Saddam Hussein's forces locate Iranian troop concentrations in the full knowledge that they were
using chemical weapons. Many of the key components of Iraq's chemical weapons program came from the United States, ostensibly for pesticides as part of taxpayer-funded agricultural subsidies, despite evidence that
these U.S.-manufactured chemicals were probably being diverted for use in illegal chemical weapons.

"The regime has a history of reckless aggression in the Middle East."
This is true, though Iraq's invasion of Iran in 1980 was quietly supported by the U.S. government and ambivalent signals by the U.S. ambassador to Iraq immediately prior to the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait may
have emboldened Saddam Hussein to conquer the sheikdom in 1990. Now, with Iraq's offensive military capability just a fraction of what is was
during that period and an unambiguous resolve by the international community to thwart such future aggression, there is little chance of Iraq invading another country again.

"It has a deep hatred of America and our friends."
Iraq willingly accepted U.S. support during the 1980s. The more belligerent posture of recent years is largely a result of the U.S. destruction of much of the country's military and civilian infrastructure in the 1991 Gulf War, which was supported by a number of other Middle Eastern states with which Iraq had also once collaborated and been on friendly terms. Subsequent U.S.-led sanctions, periodic bombing raids, and invasion threats have resulted in widespread suffering of the population that has intensified anti-American sentiment. Had the United States adopted a more enlightened policy, such deep hatred would likely have not developed.

"And it has aided, trained, and harbored terrorists, including operatives of al Qaeda."
Every independent investigation of every Bush administration claim of a connection between the secular Iraqi government and the Islamist al Qaeda network has found no evidence of any Iraqi aid, training, or harboring of al Qaeda terrorists. According to both published U.S. government reports and independent analyses, Iraq's support for international terrorism--which has always been restricted to secular nationalists like the radical Palestinian Abu Nidal faction--peaked in the 1980s.

"The danger is clear: using chemical, biological or, one day, nuclear weapons, obtained with the help of Iraq, the terrorists could fulfill their stated ambitions and kill thousands or hundreds of thousands of innocent people in our country, or any other. The United States and other nations did nothing to deserve or invite this threat. But we will do everything to defeat it. Instead of drifting along toward tragedy, we will set a course toward safety. Before the day of horror can come, before it is too late to act, this danger will be removed."
The Bush administration has failed to present any evidence that Iraq has the intention to pass on weapons of mass destruction to terrorists, an act that would inevitably lead to a U.S.-led invasion, only in this case with the support of the international community. This is the essence of deterrence, which protected the United States and its allies from Josef Stalin, Mao Zedung, and other leaders as tyrannical and far more powerful militarily than Saddam Hussein. And no country has the right to invade another on some far-fetched scenario that they might do something someday. Ironically, as the CIA has noted in a report released this past

October, Saddam Hussein would not likely use WMDs as a first strike, but
in the case of a U.S. invasion--with nothing to lose and the logic of
deterrence no longer in effect--would be far more likely to use whatever
WMDs he may possess. In other words, a U.S. invasion, rather than
preventing the use of weapons of mass destruction, would be the most
likely--and the only realistic--scenario that such horrible weapons
would be utilized.

"The United States of America has the sovereign authority to use force
in assuring its own national security. That duty falls to me, as
Commander-in-Chief, by the oath I have sworn, by the oath I will keep."
The oath of office also demands that the president uphold and defend the
Constitution of the United States, which forbids such an illegal use of
force. Virtually no international legal authority recognizes such an
invasion as an act of assuring legitimate national security interests.

"Recognizing the threat to our country, the United States Congress voted
overwhelmingly last year to support the use of force against Iraq."
The U.S. Congress--with the support of both the Republican and
Democratic leadership--did authorize the use of force against Iraq.
However, the resolution violates Article I, Section 8 of the U.S.
Constitution which does not allow Congress to grant such open-ended
warmaking authority to the president for an offensive military action.
Only a formal declaration of war in such a situation can be considered
legitimate. Furthermore, Article VI of the Constitution declares that
international treaties to which the United States is a party are to be
treated as supreme law, thereby proscribing Congress from passing any
resolution that violates the UN Charter, such as supporting an invasion
of a sovereign nation. As a result, this resolution is unconstitutional
and thereby invalid.

"America tried to work with the United Nations to address this threat
because we wanted to resolve the issue peacefully. We believe in the
mission of the United Nations."
Then why is the United States violating the UN Charter, which forbids
the use of military force unless a country finds itself under armed
attack or it is explicitly authorized by the UN Security Council? The
mission of the United Nations is to preserve international peace and
security, not to approve the invasion of one country by another.

"One reason the UN was founded after the Second World War was to
confront aggressive dictators, actively and early, before they can
attack the innocent and destroy the peace."

The United States refused to confront Saddam Hussein active and early when he was committing acts of aggression against Iranians and Kurds and opposed decisive action by the United Nations. Iraq's ability to attack the innocent and destroy the peace has already been reduced dramatically through a series of actions by the United Nations, including authorizing the use of force to remove Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait, placing strict military sanctions against the dictatorship, and overseeing the most aggressive unilateral disarmament effort and inspections regime in history.

"In the case of Iraq, the Security Council did act, in the early 1990s. Under Resolutions 678 and 687--both still in effect--the United States and our allies are authorized to use force in ridding Iraq of weapons of mass destruction. This is not a question of authority, it is a question of will."

The assertion that resolutions 678 and 687 give the United States the right to invade Iraq is patently false. Resolution 678 authorized the use of force to enforce prior UN Security Council resolutions demanding that Iraq remove its occupation forces from Kuwait. Once that was accomplished in late February 1991, the resolution became moot. Resolution 687 called for Iraqi disarmament of weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems, but--even though it was the most detailed resolution in the history of the United Nations--no enforcement mechanism was specified. According to United Nations Charter, such resolutions can be enforced militarily only if the Security Council as a whole recognizes that a country is in material breach, determines that all non-military means have been exhausted, and specifically authorizes the use of force. The Security Council has not done so subsequent to the passage of resolution 678 in late November 1990.

"Last September, I went to the UN General Assembly and urged the nations of the world to unite and bring an end to this danger. On November 8th, the Security Council unanimously passed Resolution 1441, finding Iraq in material breach of its obligations, and vowing serious consequences if Iraq did not fully and immediately disarm."

True, but it did not authorize the use of force. Article 14 of that

resolution specifically noted that the Security Council would "remain seized of the matter," reiterating that only the Security Council as a whole--not any one member state--has the power to determine whether military force can be legitimately utilized to enforce its resolution.

"Today, no nation can possibly claim that Iraq has disarmed."
There actually are some nations that believe that Iraq has disarmed under the resolutions. Though this is not likely the case, the Bush administration has been unable to present clear evidence to the contrary.

"And it will not disarm so long as Saddam Hussein holds power."
This is sheer speculation. As a dictator who has proven his desire to ruthlessly hold on to power at all costs, he very well could disarm to save his regime. However, the Bush administration has made clear its intention to invade anyway, thereby providing little incentive for Saddam Hussein to do so.

"For the last four-and-a-half months, the United States and our allies have worked within the Security Council to enforce that Council's long-
standing demands. Yet, some permanent members of the Security Council have publicly announced they will veto any resolution that compels the disarmament of Iraq. These governments share our assessment of the danger, but not our resolve to meet it."
Actually, most Security Council members do not believe that Iraq is the
imminent threat that the United States claims it to be, though, if convincing evidence were presented that Iraq indeed posed a threat to international peace and security, a clear majority of the Security Council--including France--have indicated their willingness to authorize
the use of force. A veto of the proposed U.S.-sponsored resolution by France, Russia, and China would probably not have been necessary since the United States was unable--despite enormous pressure, including promises of increased foreign aid, trade preferences, and other incentives--to convince a simple majority of nations on the Council that
it was necessary to take the unprecedented step of authorizing the United States to invade Iraq, overthrow the government, and replace it with one more to its liking.

"Many nations, however, do have the resolve and fortitude to act against
this threat to peace, and a broad coalition is now gathering to enforce
the just demands of the world."
There is nothing close to the broad coalition such as that which joined
the United States in ridding Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait in 1991, when Iraq clearly did constitute a threat to peace. As of this

writing, only one major power (Great Britain) and two minor powers (Spain and Australia) have offered to send troops. All three of these governments are doing so contrary to the sentiments of the vast majority
of their population and their combined participation still leaves the United States contributing at least 85% of combat forces. As columnist Maureen Dowd noted, since the Bush administration has driven virtually everyone from the schoolyard, it now has to rely on imaginary friends.

"The United Nations Security Council has not lived up to its responsibilities, so we will rise to ours."
In reality, the United Nations Security Council has gone to extraordinary efforts to minimize any threat to peace from Iraq, including authorizing the use of force in 1990 to enforce resolutions requiring an Iraqi withdrawal from occupied Kuwait, the imposition of strict sanctions against Iraq, and the creation of an inspections regime
that has been largely--if not 100%--effective. By contrast, it is not the responsibility of the United States or any country to invade a sovereign nation when it feels like it.

"In recent days, some governments in the Middle East have been doing their part. They have delivered public and private messages urging the dictator to leave Iraq, so that disarmament can proceed peacefully. He has thus far refused. All the decades of deceit and cruelty have now reached an end. Saddam Hussein and his sons must leave Iraq within 48 hours. Their refusal to do so will result in military conflict, commenced at a time of our choosing. For their own safety, all foreign nationals--including journalists and inspectors--should leave Iraq immediately."
President Bush has no authorization to demand that United Nations inspectors or foreign nationals leave Iraq. Nor does he have the right to demand that Saddam Hussein and his sons leave their country. No Security Council resolutions require that Saddam Hussein resign or that
he and any other member of his family go into exile. And neither the United States nor any other country has the right to commence an invasion of another country at the time of its choosing.

"Many Iraqis can hear me tonight in a translated radio broadcast, and I
have a message for them. If we must begin a military campaign, it will be directed against the lawless men who rule your country and not against you."
It is highly likely that a major U.S. military campaign--particularly one with such a heavy reliance on air power and the determination to seize by force a capital city of over five million people--will result in the deaths of thousands of innocent Iraqi civilians.

"As our coalition takes away their power, we will deliver the food and medicine you need."

In large part as a result of the U.S.-led sanctions, there are already severe shortages of food and medicines in Iraq. Strict and mostly equitable rationing have left few Iraqi families with more than a couple
of days' worth of food in storage. It is unlikely that the United States
will be able to supply most Iraqis with the food and medicine they need
in any timely manner.

"We will tear down the apparatus of terror and we will help you to build
a new Iraq that is prosperous and free. In a free Iraq, there will be no
more wars of aggression against your neighbors, no more poison factories, no more executions of dissidents, no more torture chambers and rape rooms."
The fact that the United States has supported scores of regimes--including a number in the Middle East--that have tortured, raped, and murdered dissidents raises serious questions as to whether the Bush administration really supports a free Iraq. The Bush administration's ongoing support of Moroccan occupation forces in Western Sahara, Turkish
occupation forces in northern Cyprus, and Israeli occupation forces in the West Bank, the Gaza Strip, and the Golan Heights raises serious questions as to whether the United States is actually bothered by countries that commit acts of aggression against neighbors. The United States also supports a number of Middle Eastern countries that are believed to have developed chemical weapons, similarly raising questions
as to whether the Bush administration is really worried about "poison factories."

"The tyrant will soon be gone. The day of your liberation is near."
Most Iraqis would certainly welcome the end of Saddam Hussein's regime.
But it is highly questionable whether a Western nation that has already
wrought enormous suffering for the Iraqi people, invades the country, and installs one of its own generals as a provisional military governor
will be seen as an act of liberation or a foreign occupation.

"It is too late for Saddam Hussein to remain in power. It is not too late for the Iraqi military to act with honor and protect your country by permitting the peaceful entry of coalition forces to eliminate weapons of mass destruction."
First, it is hard to imagine any national army--even under the most ruthless of dictators--that would not resist a foreign invasion. Second,
if the United States knows where these alleged weapons of mass

destruction are located, why haven't U.S. government officials informed
UNMOVIC inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"Our forces will give Iraqi military units clear instructions on actions
they can take to avoid being attacked and destroyed. I urge every member
of the Iraqi military and intelligence services, if war comes, do not
fight for a dying regime that is not worth your own life. And all Iraqi
military and civilian personnel should listen carefully to this warning.
In any conflict, your fate will depend on your action. Do not destroy
oil wells, a source of wealth that belongs to the Iraqi people. Do not
obey any command to use weapons of mass destruction against anyone,
including the Iraqi people. War crimes will be prosecuted. War criminals
will be punished. And it will be no defense to say, "I was just
following orders"."
The United States has actively undermined and refused to participate in
the International Criminal Court, which was designed to try and punish
war criminals like Saddam Hussein. As a result, any such trials will
likely be under the tutelage of an occupying American army, which will
be seen by the vast majority of the international community as
illegitimate. For a foreign occupation army to try and punish leaders of
an internationally recognized government--however reprehensible they may
be--is in itself a war crime and would make these thugs martyrs in the
eyes of much of the world.

"Should Saddam Hussein choose confrontation, the American people can
know that every measure has been taken to avoid war, and every measure
will be taken to win it."
Refusing an illegitimate order by a foreign government to surrender
power is not choosing confrontation. And, clearly, the Bush
administration has not taken "every measure to avoid war."

"Americans understand the costs of conflict because we have paid them in
the past. War has no certainty, except the certainty of sacrifice. Yet,
the only way to reduce the harm and duration of war is to apply the full
force and might of our military, and we are prepared to do so. If Saddam
Hussein attempts to cling to power, he will remain a deadly foe until
the end. In desperation, he and terrorists groups might try to conduct
terrorist operations against the American people and our friends.

These
attacks are not inevitable. They are, however, possible."
Then why prosecute and unnecessary and illegal war?

"And this very fact underscores the reason we cannot live under the threat of blackmail. The terrorist threat to America and the world will
be diminished the moment that Saddam Hussein is disarmed."
According to the CIA and other estimates, Iraq has not engaged in any anti-American terrorism since the alleged 1993 assassination attempt against former President George Bush and has already dramatically reduced his support for international terrorism since the 1980s, when the United States was supporting his government. By contrast, most intelligence analyses predict an increase in the terrorist threat to America and its allies should the United States invade Iraq.

"Our government is on heightened watch against these dangers. Just as we
are preparing to ensure victory in Iraq, we are taking further actions to protect our homeland. In recent days, American authorities have expelled from the country certain individuals with ties to Iraqi intelligence services. Among other measures, I have directed additional
security of our airports, and increased Coast Guard patrols of major seaports. The Department of Homeland Security is working closely with the nation's governors to increase armed security at critical facilities
across America. Should enemies strike our country, they would be attempting to shift our attention with panic and weaken our morale with
fear. In this, they would fail. No act of theirs can alter the course or
shake the resolve of this country. We are a peaceful people--yet we're not a fragile people, and we will not be intimidated by thugs and killers. If our enemies dare to strike us, they and all who have aided them, will face fearful consequences."
The chances of the United States being attacked will be greatly increased if the U.S. attacks first. Indeed, if there was any logic behind the madness of 9/11, it was Osama bin Laden's hope that the United States would react in such a way that would only increase the popularity of anti-American extremists. History has shown that the more
the United States has militarized the Middle East, the less secure we have become.

"We are now acting because the risks of inaction would be far greater. In one year, or five years, the power of Iraq to inflict harm on all free nations would be multiplied many times over. With these capabilities, Saddam Hussein and his terrorist allies could choose the moment of deadly conflict when they are strongest. We choose to meet that threat now, where it arises, before it can appear suddenly in our

skies and cities."
Iraq has never threatened to attack the United States nor does it have the ability to attack the United States. That country became a formidable military threat back in the 1980s as a result of support from
industrialized nations like the U.S., Great Britain, France, Germany, and Russia. With a strict military embargo imposed upon the country since 1990, it will be extremely difficult for Iraq to become a military
threat to the United States or any other country.

"The cause of peace requires all free nations to recognize new and undeniable realities. In the 20th century, some chose to appease murderous dictators, whose threats were allowed to grow into genocide and global war. In this century, when evil men plot chemical, biological
and nuclear terror, a policy of appeasement could bring destruction of a
kind never before seen on this earth."
The analogy with Hitler's Germany and other Axis powers is spurious. Germany was the most powerful industrialized country in the world in the
1930s. Iraq, by contrast, is a poor, third-world country that has had most of its military infrastructure destroyed and has been under the strictest military and economic sanctions in world history. The current
UN policy of inspections, sanctions, and the threat of UN-sanctioned war
if Iraq again threatens its neighbors can hardly be considered "appeasement." None of the Axis powers of the 1930s were ever subjected
to such international pressure until they had invaded and occupied dozens of nations in Europe, Asia, and Africa. Iraq has not invaded and
occupied any countries since its six-month occupation of Kuwait in 1990-
91.

"Terrorists and terror states do not reveal these threats with fair notice, in formal declarations--and responding to such enemies only after they have struck first is not self-defense, it is suicide. The security of the world requires disarming Saddam Hussein now."
Essentially, President Bush is saying that a country has the right to invade and occupy another country without any evidence that the targeted
country has the intention, willingness, or ability to strike first. This
would give virtually any country the right to invade any other. Most of
Iraq's neighbors do not consider Iraq to be a threat, either now or in the perceivable future.

"As we enforce the just demands of the world, we will also honor the deepest commitments of our country."
Violating the U.S. Constitution and international legal covenants to which the U.S. government is legally bound is, in reality, a dishonor to
the deepest commitments of the United States.

"Unlike Saddam Hussein, we believe the Iraqi people are deserving and capable of human liberty. And when the dictator has departed, they can set an example to all the Middle East of a vital and peaceful and self-
governing nation."
If the United States really believes the Iraqi people are deserving and
capable of human liberty, then why did the U.S. support Saddam Hussein during the height of his terror? And why are the leading candidates the
United States hopes to install in Baghdad to replace the current dictatorship lacking anything remotely resembling democratic credentials?

"The United States, with other countries, will work to advance liberty and peace in that region."
Then why does the United States support dictatorships in Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Oman, Kuwait, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, and other autocratic regimes? And why does the United States support Moroccan, Israeli, and Turkish occupation forces? Such policies belie any claim of support for
liberty and peace.

"Our goal will not be achieved overnight, but it can come over time. The
power and appeal of human liberty is felt in every life and every land.
And the greatest power of freedom is to overcome hatred and violence, and turn the creative gifts of men and women to the pursuits of peace."
To unleash bombs and missiles on cities, to engage in war-mongering, and
to lie to the American people and the world in order to rationalize such
an invasion is itself a form of hatred and violence.

"That is the future we choose. Free nations have a duty to defend our people by uniting against the violent. And tonight, as we have done before, America and our allies accept that responsibility. Good night, and may God continue to bless America."
And may God forgive President Bush and the congressional leaders of both
parties who are responsible for unleashing such horrific violence against the people of Iraq.

On Tue, 08 Apr 2003 02:47:47 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote
>
>> Your absolutely correct, thank God you came forward in time to save
>> Saddam.... Oops, too late...
>
>Yeah, and the black helicopters are still here...
>

2396. Re: A Question on restricted scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 03:09:27 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <0bf49v887amqaqor81nb5b9rktm8268f91@4ax.com>
Source record: 33263

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

January 31, 2003
A War Crime or an Act of War?
By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

MECHANICSBURG, Pa. - It was no surprise that President Bush, lacking smoking-gun evidence of
Iraq's weapons programs, used his State of the Union address to re-emphasize the moral case for
an invasion: "The dictator who is assembling the world's most dangerous weapons has already
used them on whole villages, leaving thousands of his own citizens dead, blind or disfigured."
The accusation that Iraq has used chemical weapons against its citizens is a familiar part of
the debate. The piece of hard evidence most frequently brought up concerns the gassing of Iraqi
Kurds at the town of Halabja in March 1988, near the end of the eight-year Iran-Iraq war.
President Bush himself has cited Iraq's "gassing its own people," specifically at Halabja, as a
reason to topple Saddam Hussein.
But the truth is, all we know for certain is that Kurds were bombarded with poison gas that day
at Halabja. We cannot say with any certainty that Iraqi chemical weapons killed the Kurds. This
is not the only distortion in the Halabja story.
I am in a position to know because, as the Central Intelligence Agency's senior political
analyst on Iraq during the Iran-Iraq war, and as a professor at the Army War College from 1988
to 2000, I was privy to much of the classified material that flowed through Washington having
to do with the Persian Gulf. In addition, I headed a 1991 Army

investigation into how the
Iraqis would fight a war against the United States; the classified
version of the report went
into great detail on the Halabja affair.
This much about the gassing at Halabja we undoubtedly know: it came
about in the course of a
battle between Iraqis and Iranians. Iraq used chemical weapons to try
to kill Iranians who had
seized the town, which is in northern Iraq not far from the Iranian
border. The Kurdish
civilians who died had the misfortune to be caught up in that
exchange. But they were not
Iraq's main target.
And the story gets murkier: immediately after the battle the United
States Defense Intelligence
Agency investigated and produced a classified report, which it
circulated within the
intelligence community on a need-to-know basis. That study asserted
that it was Iranian gas
that killed the Kurds, not Iraqi gas.
The agency did find that each side used gas against the other in the
battle around Halabja. The
condition of the dead Kurds' bodies, however, indicated they had been
killed with a blood
agent - that is, a cyanide-based gas - which Iran was known to use.
The Iraqis, who are thought
to have used mustard gas in the battle, are not known to have
possessed blood agents at the
time.
These facts have long been in the public domain but, extraordinarily,
as often as the Halabja
affair is cited, they are rarely mentioned. A much-discussed article
in The New Yorker last
March did not make reference to the Defense Intelligence Agency report
or consider that Iranian
gas might have killed the Kurds. On the rare occasions the report is
brought up, there is
usually speculation, with no proof, that it was skewed out of American
political favoritism
toward Iraq in its war against Iran.
I am not trying to rehabilitate the character of Saddam Hussein. He
has much to answer for in
the area of human rights abuses. But accusing him of gassing his own
people at Halabja as an
act of genocide is not correct, because as far as the information we
have goes, all of the
cases where gas was used involved battles. These were tragedies of
war. There may be
justifications for invading Iraq, but Halabja is not one of them.

In fact, those who really feel that the disaster at Halabja has bearing on today might want to consider a different question: Why was Iran so keen on taking the town? A closer look may shed light on America's impetus to invade Iraq.

We are constantly reminded that Iraq has perhaps the world's largest reserves of oil. But in a regional and perhaps even geopolitical sense, it may be more important that Iraq has the most extensive river system in the Middle East. In addition to the Tigris and Euphrates, there are the Greater Zab and Lesser Zab rivers in the north of the country. Iraq was covered with irrigation works by the sixth century A.D., and was a granary for the region.

Before the Persian Gulf war, Iraq had built an impressive system of dams and river control projects, the largest being the Darbandikhan dam in the Kurdish area. And it was this dam the Iranians were aiming to take control of when they seized Halabja. In the 1990's there was much discussion over the construction of a so-called Peace Pipeline that would bring the waters of the Tigris and Euphrates south to the parched Gulf states and, by extension, Israel. No progress has been made on this, largely because of Iraqi intransigence. With Iraq in American hands, of course, all that could change.

Thus America could alter the destiny of the Middle East in a way that probably could not be challenged for decades - not solely by controlling Iraq's oil, but by controlling its water.

Even if America didn't occupy the country, once Mr. Hussein's Baath Party is driven from power, many lucrative opportunities would open up for American companies.

All that is needed to get us into war is one clear reason for acting, one that would be generally persuasive. But efforts to link the Iraqis directly to Osama bin Laden have proved inconclusive. Assertions that Iraq threatens its neighbors have also failed to create much resolve; in its present debilitated condition - thanks to United Nations sanctions - Iraq's conventional forces threaten no one.

Perhaps the strongest argument left for taking us to war quickly is that Saddam Hussein has committed human rights atrocities against his people. And the most dramatic case are the accusations about Halabja.

Before we go to war over Halabja, the administration owes the American people the full facts.

And if it has other examples of Saddam Hussein gassing Kurds, it must show that they were not
pro-Iranian Kurdish guerrillas who died fighting alongside Iranian Revolutionary Guards. Until
Washington gives us proof of Saddam Hussein's supposed atrocities, why are we picking on Iraq
on human rights grounds, particularly when there are so many other repressive regimes
Washington supports?
Stephen C. Pelletiere is author of "Iraq and the International Oil System: Why America Went to
War in the Persian Gulf."

Responding to Bush's speech line by line, lie by lie

An Annotated Critique of President George W. Bush's March 17 Address Preparing the Nation for War

FPIF Middle Eastern Editor, Stephen Zunes, provides an annotated critique of the president's address to the nation about imminent war against Iraq. Given that the Democratic Party leadership in Congress declined to take their traditional opportuntity to offer a formal response, FPIF provides a response to all the president's arguments for
war. Line by line, argument by argument, falsehood by falsehood, Zunes responds to the president.

Stephen Zunes is an associate professor of politics and chair of the Peace & Justice Studies Program at the University of San Francisco.

(Editors' Note: Below is a transcript of President George W. Bush's address to the nation on Monday, March 17, announcing his readiness to order a U.S. invasion of Iraq followed by an analysis highlighting some
of the lies and misleading statements in the speech. Such an overview is
necessary since the Democratic Party leadership in Congress, which has pledged to support the president in the event of war, declined to take their traditional opportunity to offer a formal response. The Green Party, which opposes the war, was not given the opportunity by the networks to respond.)

"My fellow citizens, events in Iraq have now reached the final days of decision. For more than a decade, the United States and other nations have pursued patient and honorable efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime without war."
This is patently false. In 1998, President Bill Clinton successfully pressured UNSCOM director Richard Butler to withdraw inspectors without
authorization from the Secretary General or the Security Council--before
their mission was complete--in order to engage in a four-day heavy

bombing campaign against Iraq. As predicted at the time, this illegal use of military force--combined with revelations that the United States had abused the inspections process for espionage purposes--resulted in the Iraqi government barring the inspectors' return until a reorganized inspections commission known as UNMOVIC commenced inspections last year. UNMOVIC chairman Hans Blix and UN Secretary General Kofi Annan explicitly called upon the United States and the international community to give the inspectors more time to do their job, noting that it would take a number of months before their mission could be completed.

"That regime pledged to reveal and destroy all its weapons of mass destruction as a condition for ending the Persian Gulf War in 1991." Iraq was presented with this demand as part of UN Security Council resolution 687, which mandated Iraqi disarmament of its weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems. This was a unilateral decree from the Security Council which--while nominally part of the ceasefire agreement--was void of any explicit threat to continue prosecuting the war if Iraq did not agree to the disarmament provisions. It is noteworthy that the demand for Iraqi disarmament in the resolution was put forward within the context of a call for regional disarmament. The United States has refused to encourage any regional disarmament initiative, however, and remains a strong supporter of the Israeli and Pakistani governments, which have advanced nuclear arsenals among other weapons of mass destruction.

"Since then, the world has engaged in 12 years of diplomacy. We have passed more than a dozen resolutions in the United Nations Security Council. We have sent hundreds of weapons inspectors to oversee the disarmament of Iraq. Our good faith has not been returned. The Iraqi regime has used diplomacy as a ploy to gain time and advantage. It has uniformly defied Security Council resolutions demanding full disarmament." Iraq's cooperation has indeed been less than total, but most independent reports--even during UNSCOM's inspections regime between 1991 and 1998--conclude that cooperation was close to 90%. According to UNMOVIC, Iraq's cooperation since inspections resumed last year has been far better.

"Over the years, UN weapon inspectors have been threatened by Iraqi officials, electronically bugged, and systematically deceived." This was not an uncommon practice during the UNSCOM era, but there have been no reports from UNMOVIC of such harassment subsequently.

"Peaceful efforts to disarm the Iraqi regime have failed again and again--because we are not dealing with peaceful men."
Peaceful efforts at disarming Iraq have succeeded in eliminating somewhere between 95% and 100% of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction and
related materiel and delivery systems as a result of UN Security Council
resolution 687 and subsequent resolutions. The determination to go to war despite such success raises serious questions as to whether the United States is governed by peaceful men.

"Intelligence gathered by this and other governments leaves no doubt that the Iraq regime continues to possess and conceal some of the most lethal weapons ever devised."
If the United States really has evidence that the Iraqi government continues to possess and conceal weapons of mass destruction, why has the Bush administration refused to make such evidence public or pass such intelligence on to United Nations inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"This regime has already used weapons of mass destruction against Iraq's
neighbors and against Iraq's people."
Iraq did use chemical weapons against Iranian troops and Kurdish civilians back in the 1980s when Saddam Hussein's regime was being supported by the United States. The Reagan administration covered up for
the Halabja massacre and similar attacks against Kurdish civilians by falsely claiming that it was the Iranians--then the preferred enemy--who
were responsible. In addition, the U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency provided Iraq with U.S. satellite data to help Saddam Hussein's forces locate Iranian troop concentrations in the full knowledge that they were
using chemical weapons. Many of the key components of Iraq's chemical weapons program came from the United States, ostensibly for pesticides as part of taxpayer-funded agricultural subsidies, despite evidence that
these U.S.-manufactured chemicals were probably being diverted for use in illegal chemical weapons.

"The regime has a history of reckless aggression in the Middle East."
This is true, though Iraq's invasion of Iran in 1980 was quietly supported by the U.S. government and ambivalent signals by the U.S. ambassador to Iraq immediately prior to the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait may
have emboldened Saddam Hussein to conquer the sheikdom in 1990. Now, with Iraq's offensive military capability just a fraction of what is was
during that period and an unambiguous resolve by the international community to thwart such future aggression, there is little chance of Iraq invading another country again.

"It has a deep hatred of America and our friends."
Iraq willingly accepted U.S. support during the 1980s. The more belligerent posture of recent years is largely a result of the U.S. destruction of much of the country's military and civilian infrastructure in the 1991 Gulf War, which was supported by a number of other Middle Eastern states with which Iraq had also once collaborated and been on friendly terms. Subsequent U.S.-led sanctions, periodic bombing raids, and invasion threats have resulted in widespread suffering of the population that has intensified anti-American sentiment. Had the United States adopted a more enlightened policy, such deep hatred would likely have not developed.

"And it has aided, trained, and harbored terrorists, including operatives of al Qaeda."
Every independent investigation of every Bush administration claim of a connection between the secular Iraqi government and the Islamist al Qaeda network has found no evidence of any Iraqi aid, training, or harboring of al Qaeda terrorists. According to both published U.S. government reports and independent analyses, Iraq's support for international terrorism--which has always been restricted to secular nationalists like the radical Palestinian Abu Nidal faction--peaked in the 1980s.

"The danger is clear: using chemical, biological or, one day, nuclear weapons, obtained with the help of Iraq, the terrorists could fulfill their stated ambitions and kill thousands or hundreds of thousands of innocent people in our country, or any other. The United States and other nations did nothing to deserve or invite this threat. But we will do everything to defeat it. Instead of drifting along toward tragedy, we will set a course toward safety. Before the day of horror can come, before it is too late to act, this danger will be removed."
The Bush administration has failed to present any evidence that Iraq has the intention to pass on weapons of mass destruction to terrorists, an act that would inevitably lead to a U.S.-led invasion, only in this case with the support of the international community. This is the essence of deterrence, which protected the United States and its allies from Josef Stalin, Mao Zedung, and other leaders as tyrannical and far more powerful militarily than Saddam Hussein. And no country has the right to invade another on some far-fetched scenario that they might do something someday. Ironically, as the CIA has noted in a report released this past

October, Saddam Hussein would not likely use WMDs as a first strike, but
in the case of a U.S. invasion--with nothing to lose and the logic of
deterrence no longer in effect--would be far more likely to use whatever
WMDs he may possess. In other words, a U.S. invasion, rather than
preventing the use of weapons of mass destruction, would be the most
likely--and the only realistic--scenario that such horrible weapons
would be utilized.

"The United States of America has the sovereign authority to use force
in assuring its own national security. That duty falls to me, as
Commander-in-Chief, by the oath I have sworn, by the oath I will keep."
The oath of office also demands that the president uphold and defend the
Constitution of the United States, which forbids such an illegal use of
force. Virtually no international legal authority recognizes such an
invasion as an act of assuring legitimate national security interests.

"Recognizing the threat to our country, the United States Congress voted
overwhelmingly last year to support the use of force against Iraq."
The U.S. Congress--with the support of both the Republican and
Democratic leadership--did authorize the use of force against Iraq.
However, the resolution violates Article I, Section 8 of the U.S.
Constitution which does not allow Congress to grant such open-ended
warmaking authority to the president for an offensive military action.
Only a formal declaration of war in such a situation can be considered
legitimate. Furthermore, Article VI of the Constitution declares that
international treaties to which the United States is a party are to be
treated as supreme law, thereby proscribing Congress from passing any
resolution that violates the UN Charter, such as supporting an invasion
of a sovereign nation. As a result, this resolution is unconstitutional
and thereby invalid.

"America tried to work with the United Nations to address this threat
because we wanted to resolve the issue peacefully. We believe in the
mission of the United Nations."
Then why is the United States violating the UN Charter, which forbids
the use of military force unless a country finds itself under armed
attack or it is explicitly authorized by the UN Security Council? The
mission of the United Nations is to preserve international peace and
security, not to approve the invasion of one country by another.

"One reason the UN was founded after the Second World War was to
confront aggressive dictators, actively and early, before they can
attack the innocent and destroy the peace."

The United States refused to confront Saddam Hussein active and early when he was committing acts of aggression against Iranians and Kurds and opposed decisive action by the United Nations. Iraq's ability to attack the innocent and destroy the peace has already been reduced dramatically through a series of actions by the United Nations, including authorizing the use of force to remove Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait, placing strict military sanctions against the dictatorship, and overseeing the most aggressive unilateral disarmament effort and inspections regime in history.

"In the case of Iraq, the Security Council did act, in the early 1990s. Under Resolutions 678 and 687--both still in effect--the United States and our allies are authorized to use force in ridding Iraq of weapons of mass destruction. This is not a question of authority, it is a question of will."

The assertion that resolutions 678 and 687 give the United States the right to invade Iraq is patently false. Resolution 678 authorized the use of force to enforce prior UN Security Council resolutions demanding that Iraq remove its occupation forces from Kuwait. Once that was accomplished in late February 1991, the resolution became moot. Resolution 687 called for Iraqi disarmament of weapons of mass destruction and related delivery systems, but--even though it was the most detailed resolution in the history of the United Nations--no enforcement mechanism was specified. According to United Nations Charter, such resolutions can be enforced militarily only if the Security Council as a whole recognizes that a country is in material breach, determines that all non-military means have been exhausted, and specifically authorizes the use of force. The Security Council has not done so subsequent to the passage of resolution 678 in late November 1990.

"Last September, I went to the UN General Assembly and urged the nations of the world to unite and bring an end to this danger. On November 8th, the Security Council unanimously passed Resolution 1441, finding Iraq in material breach of its obligations, and vowing serious consequences if Iraq did not fully and immediately disarm."

True, but it did not authorize the use of force. Article 14 of that

resolution specifically noted that the Security Council would "remain seized of the matter," reiterating that only the Security Council as a whole--not any one member state--has the power to determine whether military force can be legitimately utilized to enforce its resolution.

"Today, no nation can possibly claim that Iraq has disarmed."
There actually are some nations that believe that Iraq has disarmed under the resolutions. Though this is not likely the case, the Bush administration has been unable to present clear evidence to the contrary.

"And it will not disarm so long as Saddam Hussein holds power."
This is sheer speculation. As a dictator who has proven his desire to ruthlessly hold on to power at all costs, he very well could disarm to save his regime. However, the Bush administration has made clear its intention to invade anyway, thereby providing little incentive for Saddam Hussein to do so.

"For the last four-and-a-half months, the United States and our allies have worked within the Security Council to enforce that Council's long-
standing demands. Yet, some permanent members of the Security Council have publicly announced they will veto any resolution that compels the disarmament of Iraq. These governments share our assessment of the danger, but not our resolve to meet it."
Actually, most Security Council members do not believe that Iraq is the
imminent threat that the United States claims it to be, though, if convincing evidence were presented that Iraq indeed posed a threat to international peace and security, a clear majority of the Security Council--including France--have indicated their willingness to authorize
the use of force. A veto of the proposed U.S.-sponsored resolution by France, Russia, and China would probably not have been necessary since the United States was unable--despite enormous pressure, including promises of increased foreign aid, trade preferences, and other incentives--to convince a simple majority of nations on the Council that
it was necessary to take the unprecedented step of authorizing the United States to invade Iraq, overthrow the government, and replace it with one more to its liking.

"Many nations, however, do have the resolve and fortitude to act against
this threat to peace, and a broad coalition is now gathering to enforce
the just demands of the world."
There is nothing close to the broad coalition such as that which joined
the United States in ridding Iraqi occupation forces from Kuwait in 1991, when Iraq clearly did constitute a threat to peace. As of this

writing, only one major power (Great Britain) and two minor powers (Spain and Australia) have offered to send troops. All three of these governments are doing so contrary to the sentiments of the vast majority
of their population and their combined participation still leaves the United States contributing at least 85% of combat forces. As columnist Maureen Dowd noted, since the Bush administration has driven virtually everyone from the schoolyard, it now has to rely on imaginary friends.

"The United Nations Security Council has not lived up to its responsibilities, so we will rise to ours."
In reality, the United Nations Security Council has gone to extraordinary efforts to minimize any threat to peace from Iraq, including authorizing the use of force in 1990 to enforce resolutions requiring an Iraqi withdrawal from occupied Kuwait, the imposition of strict sanctions against Iraq, and the creation of an inspections regime
that has been largely--if not 100%--effective. By contrast, it is not the responsibility of the United States or any country to invade a sovereign nation when it feels like it.

"In recent days, some governments in the Middle East have been doing their part. They have delivered public and private messages urging the dictator to leave Iraq, so that disarmament can proceed peacefully. He has thus far refused. All the decades of deceit and cruelty have now reached an end. Saddam Hussein and his sons must leave Iraq within 48 hours. Their refusal to do so will result in military conflict, commenced at a time of our choosing. For their own safety, all foreign nationals--including journalists and inspectors--should leave Iraq immediately."
President Bush has no authorization to demand that United Nations inspectors or foreign nationals leave Iraq. Nor does he have the right to demand that Saddam Hussein and his sons leave their country. No Security Council resolutions require that Saddam Hussein resign or that
he and any other member of his family go into exile. And neither the United States nor any other country has the right to commence an invasion of another country at the time of its choosing.

"Many Iraqis can hear me tonight in a translated radio broadcast, and I
have a message for them. If we must begin a military campaign, it will be directed against the lawless men who rule your country and not against you."
It is highly likely that a major U.S. military campaign--particularly one with such a heavy reliance on air power and the determination to seize by force a capital city of over five million people--will result in the deaths of thousands of innocent Iraqi civilians.

"As our coalition takes away their power, we will deliver the food and medicine you need."

In large part as a result of the U.S.-led sanctions, there are already severe shortages of food and medicines in Iraq. Strict and mostly equitable rationing have left few Iraqi families with more than a couple of days' worth of food in storage. It is unlikely that the United States will be able to supply most Iraqis with the food and medicine they need in any timely manner.

"We will tear down the apparatus of terror and we will help you to build a new Iraq that is prosperous and free. In a free Iraq, there will be no more wars of aggression against your neighbors, no more poison factories, no more executions of dissidents, no more torture chambers and rape rooms."

The fact that the United States has supported scores of regimes--including a number in the Middle East--that have tortured, raped, and murdered dissidents raises serious questions as to whether the Bush administration really supports a free Iraq. The Bush administration's ongoing support of Moroccan occupation forces in Western Sahara, Turkish occupation forces in northern Cyprus, and Israeli occupation forces in the West Bank, the Gaza Strip, and the Golan Heights raises serious questions as to whether the United States is actually bothered by countries that commit acts of aggression against neighbors. The United States also supports a number of Middle Eastern countries that are believed to have developed chemical weapons, similarly raising questions as to whether the Bush administration is really worried about "poison factories."

"The tyrant will soon be gone. The day of your liberation is near."

Most Iraqis would certainly welcome the end of Saddam Hussein's regime. But it is highly questionable whether a Western nation that has already wrought enormous suffering for the Iraqi people, invades the country, and installs one of its own generals as a provisional military governor will be seen as an act of liberation or a foreign occupation.

"It is too late for Saddam Hussein to remain in power. It is not too late for the Iraqi military to act with honor and protect your country by permitting the peaceful entry of coalition forces to eliminate weapons of mass destruction."

First, it is hard to imagine any national army--even under the most ruthless of dictators--that would not resist a foreign invasion. Second, if the United States knows where these alleged weapons of mass

destruction are located, why haven't U.S. government officials informed
UNMOVIC inspectors, who have the authority to destroy them?

"Our forces will give Iraqi military units clear instructions on actions
they can take to avoid being attacked and destroyed. I urge every member
of the Iraqi military and intelligence services, if war comes, do not
fight for a dying regime that is not worth your own life. And all Iraqi
military and civilian personnel should listen carefully to this warning.
In any conflict, your fate will depend on your action. Do not destroy
oil wells, a source of wealth that belongs to the Iraqi people. Do not
obey any command to use weapons of mass destruction against anyone,
including the Iraqi people. War crimes will be prosecuted. War criminals
will be punished. And it will be no defense to say, "I was just
following orders"."
The United States has actively undermined and refused to participate in
the International Criminal Court, which was designed to try and punish
war criminals like Saddam Hussein. As a result, any such trials will
likely be under the tutelage of an occupying American army, which will
be seen by the vast majority of the international community as
illegitimate. For a foreign occupation army to try and punish leaders of
an internationally recognized government--however reprehensible they may
be--is in itself a war crime and would make these thugs martyrs in the
eyes of much of the world.

"Should Saddam Hussein choose confrontation, the American people can
know that every measure has been taken to avoid war, and every measure
will be taken to win it."
Refusing an illegitimate order by a foreign government to surrender
power is not choosing confrontation. And, clearly, the Bush
administration has not taken "every measure to avoid war."

"Americans understand the costs of conflict because we have paid them in
the past. War has no certainty, except the certainty of sacrifice. Yet,
the only way to reduce the harm and duration of war is to apply the full
force and might of our military, and we are prepared to do so. If Saddam
Hussein attempts to cling to power, he will remain a deadly foe until
the end. In desperation, he and terrorists groups might try to conduct
terrorist operations against the American people and our friends.

These
attacks are not inevitable. They are, however, possible."
Then why prosecute and unnecessary and illegal war?

"And this very fact underscores the reason we cannot live under the
threat of blackmail. The terrorist threat to America and the world
will
be diminished the moment that Saddam Hussein is disarmed."
According to the CIA and other estimates, Iraq has not engaged in any anti-American terrorism since the alleged 1993 assassination attempt against former President George Bush and has already dramatically reduced his support for international terrorism since the 1980s, when the United States was supporting his government. By contrast, most intelligence analyses predict an increase in the terrorist threat to America and its allies should the United States invade Iraq.

"Our government is on heightened watch against these dangers. Just as
we
are preparing to ensure victory in Iraq, we are taking further actions to protect our homeland. In recent days, American authorities have expelled from the country certain individuals with ties to Iraqi intelligence services. Among other measures, I have directed
additional
security of our airports, and increased Coast Guard patrols of major seaports. The Department of Homeland Security is working closely with the nation's governors to increase armed security at critical
facilities
across America. Should enemies strike our country, they would be attempting to shift our attention with panic and weaken our morale
with
fear. In this, they would fail. No act of theirs can alter the course
or
shake the resolve of this country. We are a peaceful people--yet we're not a fragile people, and we will not be intimidated by thugs and killers. If our enemies dare to strike us, they and all who have aided them, will face fearful consequences."
The chances of the United States being attacked will be greatly increased if the U.S. attacks first. Indeed, if there was any logic behind the madness of 9/11, it was Osama bin Laden's hope that the United States would react in such a way that would only increase the popularity of anti-American extremists. History has shown that the
more
the United States has militarized the Middle East, the less secure we have become.

"We are now acting because the risks of inaction would be far greater. In one year, or five years, the power of Iraq to inflict harm on all free nations would be multiplied many times over. With these capabilities, Saddam Hussein and his terrorist allies could choose the moment of deadly conflict when they are strongest. We choose to meet that threat now, where it arises, before it can appear suddenly in our

skies and cities."
Iraq has never threatened to attack the United States nor does it have the ability to attack the United States. That country became a formidable military threat back in the 1980s as a result of support from
industrialized nations like the U.S., Great Britain, France, Germany, and Russia. With a strict military embargo imposed upon the country since 1990, it will be extremely difficult for Iraq to become a military
threat to the United States or any other country.

"The cause of peace requires all free nations to recognize new and undeniable realities. In the 20th century, some chose to appease murderous dictators, whose threats were allowed to grow into genocide and global war. In this century, when evil men plot chemical, biological
and nuclear terror, a policy of appeasement could bring destruction of a
kind never before seen on this earth."
The analogy with Hitler's Germany and other Axis powers is spurious. Germany was the most powerful industrialized country in the world in the
1930s. Iraq, by contrast, is a poor, third-world country that has had most of its military infrastructure destroyed and has been under the strictest military and economic sanctions in world history. The current
UN policy of inspections, sanctions, and the threat of UN-sanctioned war
if Iraq again threatens its neighbors can hardly be considered "appeasement." None of the Axis powers of the 1930s were ever subjected
to such international pressure until they had invaded and occupied dozens of nations in Europe, Asia, and Africa. Iraq has not invaded and
occupied any countries since its six-month occupation of Kuwait in 1990-
91.

"Terrorists and terror states do not reveal these threats with fair notice, in formal declarations--and responding to such enemies only after they have struck first is not self-defense, it is suicide. The security of the world requires disarming Saddam Hussein now."
Essentially, President Bush is saying that a country has the right to invade and occupy another country without any evidence that the targeted
country has the intention, willingness, or ability to strike first. This
would give virtually any country the right to invade any other. Most of
Iraq's neighbors do not consider Iraq to be a threat, either now or in the perceivable future.

"As we enforce the just demands of the world, we will also honor the deepest commitments of our country."
Violating the U.S. Constitution and international legal covenants to which the U.S. government is legally bound is, in reality, a dishonor to
the deepest commitments of the United States.

"Unlike Saddam Hussein, we believe the Iraqi people are deserving and capable of human liberty. And when the dictator has departed, they can set an example to all the Middle East of a vital and peaceful and self-
governing nation."
If the United States really believes the Iraqi people are deserving and
capable of human liberty, then why did the U.S. support Saddam Hussein during the height of his terror? And why are the leading candidates the
United States hopes to install in Baghdad to replace the current dictatorship lacking anything remotely resembling democratic credentials?

"The United States, with other countries, will work to advance liberty and peace in that region."
Then why does the United States support dictatorships in Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Oman, Kuwait, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, and other autocratic regimes? And why does the United States support Moroccan, Israeli, and Turkish occupation forces? Such policies belie any claim of support for
liberty and peace.

"Our goal will not be achieved overnight, but it can come over time. The
power and appeal of human liberty is felt in every life and every land.
And the greatest power of freedom is to overcome hatred and violence, and turn the creative gifts of men and women to the pursuits of peace."
To unleash bombs and missiles on cities, to engage in war-mongering, and
to lie to the American people and the world in order to rationalize such
an invasion is itself a form of hatred and violence.

"That is the future we choose. Free nations have a duty to defend our people by uniting against the violent. And tonight, as we have done before, America and our allies accept that responsibility. Good night, and may God continue to bless America."
And may God forgive President Bush and the congressional leaders of both
parties who are responsible for unleashing such horrific violence against the people of Iraq.

On Tue, 08 Apr 2003 02:56:30 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Coast Station" <coaststation@go.com> wrote in message
>news:5a77581d.0304071735.6e97a7ca@posting.google.com...
>> As a foreigner, I know I can purchase restricted scanners through mail
>> order from stores in USA to my country of origin.
>>
>> But as a tourist, can I buy a restricted scanner over the counter
>> in stores while I'm visiting the States?
>>
>> Thank You.
>> Coast Station,
>> PD.
>
> Well, technically, no. But All you have to do is look around a bit. They're
>not SUPPOSED to sell them, but until they get reported, many do...
> Ask around a bit. And mods are readily available, on the 'net and other
>places.
>(but *I* never said that...)
>
>

2397. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 03:18:24 UTC
From: "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b6ueon$9b1vk$2@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Actually neither side of the coin is true..... he does/did not exist but yet
he does. Non-believers cannot prove any more than the believers of any fact
regarding the whole issue..... It's kinda like a dog chasing its own tail.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
--. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
.. --. .... - . .-. ...

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E91C910.A64A2955@email.com...
> "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" wrote:
>
> > What's the difference between a picture of Jesus, and the actual guy
named
> > Jesus???
>
> One does not actually exist.
>
>

2398. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 03:22:21 UTC
From: "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b6ueop$9b1vk$3@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v9365lfskg3r59@corp.supernews.com...
> You have quoted things not only out of context, but changed the wording as
> well.

No.... its called "copy and paste" and there is no such thing as taking
ANYTHING out of the bible in or out of context. It is either right or
wrong, not inbetween. Sorry... No change in wording whatsoever on MY
part.

>
> But! you already knew that and are either a troll, or are too stupid to
read
> the actual text.

About as stupid as believing a myth eh?

>
> My guess is that you have found this listed on one of the "God is dead
> sites" and quoted it verbatim with no investigation on your part.
>

Wrong genius.... found it right here in one of these newsgroups.....

> "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:b6rrb1$892b0$3@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de...
> > So.... the following is God's word I guess....
> >
> > Isaiah 36:12
> > Hath he not sent me to the men that sit upon the wall, that they may eat
> > their own dung, and drink their own piss with you?
> >
> > Malachi 2:3
> > Behold, I will corrupt your seed, and spread dung upon your faces!
> >
> > Ezekiel 4:12
> > And thou shalt eat it as barely cakes, and thou shalt bake it with dung
> that
> > cometh out of
> > man..."
> >
> > Ezekiel 4:15
> > "Lo, I have given thee cow's dung for man's dung and thou shalt prepare
> thy
> > bread

> > therewith"
> >
> > JOB 41:19.....
> > Out of this mouth go burning lamps, and sparks of fire leap out. Out of
> his
> > nostrils goeth smoke, as out of a seething pot of caldron. His breath
> > kindleth coals, and a flame goeth out of his mouth.
> >
> > Isaiah 34:7
> > And the unicorns shall come down with them, and the bullocks with the
> bulls;
> > and their land shall be soaked with blood, and their dust made fat with
> > fatness.
> >
> > Revelation 12:3 "...and behold a GREAT RED DRAGON, having SEVEN HEADS
and
> > ten horns, and seven crowns upon his heads."
> >
> > Luke 14:26
> > "If any one comes to me and does not hate his own father and mother and
> wife
> > and children and brothers and sisters, yes, and even his own life, he
> cannot
> > be my disciple." -
> >
> > If god can prevent evil, but doesn't, then He isn't all-loving.
> > If god intends to prevent evil, but cannot, then He isn't omnipotent.
> > If god both intends to prevent evil and is capable of doing so, then how
> can
> > evil exist?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:v8mj8qdcmbv76f@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Not only that. But if the Bible is 100 percent God's truth. Then why
> > hasn't
> > > it been presented to all people across the world now and in the past
> 2000
> > > years or so. Not only that fact but I know that some of my ancestry
was
> > > American Indian and they didn't know anything about Christianity until
> the
> > > Europeans came here during the 1600's. You will also find that
> phenomenon
> > > occured in a multitude of cultures in the past 2000 years. Now how can
> > > something be absolute truth if hasn't been brought up to the mass of
> > people.

> > > For example, the Bible talks about eternal hell but how can you damn
> > someone
> > > to hell for an eternity for not knowing anything about that religion.
If
> > you
> > > go back and read, you will find that most cultures across the world
did
> > not
> > > have any contact with Christianity until the past 300 years or so as
the
> > > colonialists moved into Asia, Africa, and South America. People have
> > existed
> > > in Vietnam, China, Burkina Faso, Congo, etc for thousands of years yet
> > have
> > > never heard anything of Christianity until the past 300 to 400 years
at
> > > best. I suspect there are still some individuals in tribes in South
> > America
> > > or in the Pacific/Asia sphere that don't even have a Bible where they
> can
> > > study Gods word.
> > >
> > > "Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3E8A846A.BC570B2D@topnow.com...
> > > > N7MFR wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I did not say that my opinion is absolute. I said God's truth is
> > > absolute.
> > > >
> > > > Precisely. And that statement, "God's truth is absolute", is
> > > > just your opinion.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > And His Word through scripture is absolute, whether understood
fully
> > or
> > > not.
> > > > > Obviously, since there is one truth, we best get about finding out
> > what
> > > God
> > > > > wants from us. I am NOT special. I am a sinner and my
righteousness
> is
> > > as
> > > > > dirty rags. So is yours.
> > > > > I do not have all of the answers. But one thing I do know is
that
> > > JESUS
> > > > > IS LORD. And the Muslims, Hindus and Buddhists reject that. When
> those

> > > > > groups confess that Jesus is the only way to salvation and into
the
> > > kingdom
> > > > > of God, then they will know the truth and the truth will set them
> > free.
> > > > > AMEN.
> > > > > "Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3E8A7D9D.319D0BE6@topnow.com...
> > > > > > N7MFR wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > God's truth is absolute.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You DO realize this is only a statement of opinion? No
> > > > > > matter how strongly stated or believed?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You'd be very hard-pressed to collect up any large number of
> > > > > > Christians from different denominations and find agreement
> > > > > > on what "God's Truth" actually was about much of anything,
> > > > > > due to interpretations, translations, context, and different
> > > > > > starting frameworks. So given that God's Truth is in fact
> > > > > > absolute, given that there are so many different opinions
> > > > > > out there, all claiming to be the truth, there's a
> > > > > > vanishingly small chance that you are the one who possess
> > > > > > that actual "God's truth." That doesn't even begin to
> > > > > > address the chance that God's Truth might be Muslim, or
> > > > > > Jewish, or Hindu, or Bhuddist.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Face it, your views are philosophy and opinion. You may be
> > > > > > right, but odds are your views are mostly wrong about what
> > > > > > God wants unless you're VERY special.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > -- Ross
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Monologue" <Mysterious@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:v8jh518vdnms8d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > > > In article
> > > > > <Q3jia.3099$ey1.254260@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> > > > > > > "Reverend Berilac of the Lake :\)" <mky007@earthlink.net>
wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >As for American aggression, I am reminded of the Spanish
> > garoting
> > > > > a =
> > > > > > > >

soul
> > ...
> > > for
> > > > > =
> > > > > > > > > >them I guess it was just fun.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I trust that you were there when this happened, and not
> relying
> > on
> > > > > > > > > rewritten
> > > > > > > > > > text books to glean this information. These same books
usually
> > > fail to
> > > > > > > > > mention
> > > > > > > > > > the human sacrifices and cannibalism that natives were
engaged
> > in
> > > > > > > before
> > > > > > > > > > contact with European men.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > The destruction will be universal, but the souls of those
that
> > die
> > > > in
> > > > > > > > > grace
> > > > > > > > > > will be saved. God is not "fair", God is "Just". There is a
> > > > > > difference.
> > > > > > > > > Carl
> > > > > > > > > > Jung once differentiated the unconscious from the
> subconscious.
> > > > > > > Unconscious
> > > > > > > > > > implies a lack of accessibility to the content, but the
> > > subconscious
> > > > > > > > implies
> > > > > > > > > > that the content is there but not currently being utilized
by
> > the
> > > > > > mind. If
> > > > > > > > > God
> > > > > > > > > > were fair, we'd all live to 85 years old and be in perfect
> > health.
> > > We
> > > > > > > > > don't.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Be cautious of Anthropomorphism, God is not like one of the
> guys
> > > at
> > > > > > > work.
> > > > > > > > > > Don't attribute human qualities to Him lest you be in for a

> > > surprise.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >Those Christian fundamentalists who rejoice in the coming
> > > destruction
> > > > > of
> > > > > > > =
> > > > > > > > >the unsaved need not rejoice, because the destruction that
> God
> > > will =
> > > > > > > > >impose will be universal, and will be experienced by
> everything
> > > and =
> > > > > > > > >everyone. God is fair: if He is going to punish, He waits
for
> > the
> > > > > > right =
> > > > > > > > >moment, and punishes everyone.
> > > > > > > > >The kind of love and the world that awaits everyone, is
quite
> > > beyond
> > > > > > =
> > > > > > > > >imagining, and beyond human description. It does not cause
> > people
> > > to
> > > > > > =
> > > > > > > > >seek death, but to live, so it is possible to reform one's
> > life,
> > > and
> > > > > > =
> > > > > > > > >give comfort to our brothers. I have always believed that
we
> > are
> > > > > the
> > > > > > =
> > > > > > > > >providence of God, and that if God can give us undeserving
> > > sinners
> > > > > > all =
> > > > > > > > >we need before we deserve it, then we can all practice a
> little
> > > mercy
> > > > > > =
> > > > > > > > >and humilty - Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Jew and headhunter.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >Earthlink
> > > > > > > > >May you live as long as you want,
> > > > > > > > >and never want as long as you live.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Never say never.
> > > > > > > > Nothing is absolute.

> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2399. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 03:31:25 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <horka.403772$sf5.727965@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh please. I'ts not a big mystery here.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E91A0BD.A76000E9@email.com...
> Cordell Ward wrote:
>
> > Correction:
> >
> > He says hate our parents because we have to LOVE Him above all else,.
even
> > our
> > families. Don't let the word hate hang you up.
>
> Such bullshit. Then when he says Thou Shalt not Kill. He means you
should
> just not kill Him. Don't let the word KILL hang you up either.
>
> You are changing the subject to something about loving him, when it does
not say
> that, YOU say that, and then you forget that even loving him does not
change the
> DIRECT ORDER from him to HATE our parents!
>
> > - Jesus never made a typo
>
> That's why the HATE part is very important and you need to realize he
MEANT
> HATE!
>
> > > > WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
>

2400. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 03:37:23 UTC
From: "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b6ueor$9b1vk$4@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:Yhjka.64730$0X.13103221@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote
>
> > You have missed your calling, Baghdad Bob needs you!
> >
> > I suspect, you would rather climb a cactus naked and tell a lie, rather
> than
> > stand on the ground and tell the truth.
>
> To johnny, truth is irrelevant. It means whatever he wants it to mean at
any
> given moment.
>

And that is what I cannot stand about Christian hypocrisy..... using
scriptures as a person see's fit. That and, all you have to do is say "I'm
sorry... forgive me" and apparently everything is all hunky-dorey again.

Ryan

2401. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 03:45:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E9245BF.1020500@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33272
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q wrote:

>
>
> johnny...and logic...in the same sentence...

Yea, it's one of them epoxymorons.

2402. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 04:14:54 UTC
From: "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b6ueot$9b1vk$5@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33278

Well.... if you are that weak that an off-topic thread is gonna break ya, then I guess there is no loss for us. Guess that any possible ability to debate even a off-topic thread is above certain individuals. This happens from time to time, (the off-topic thing) and it comes back to normal in a little while.

(This is not directed to any one individual.... just in general)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
--. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
.. --. .... - . .-. ...

>
> > Guess using a killfile and sending entire threads was too hard for
him...
> > (shrug)
>
> Can't say that I blame him. 94.3% of messages in last week had nothing
> to do with scanners. Why waste the time to download a couple hundred of
> messages just to have them go to the bit bucket instead of reading them?
>
> I think I'll join him and forget about this group also.
>

2403. Go Figure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 04:54:49 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E92564A.6050400@earthlink.net>
Source record: 33267

I can't understand why all this bull about off topic post.
If you want to read radio then read it, if you want to
read the bull then read it. It's not hard to tell which is
which.
I have personally found all the good help I want just
about every time I have asked for help about radios and I
have learned a lot on this list.
I haven't trolled on this group because it seemed that there
wasn't much trolling and I didn't want to bring down the
"List Gods"
So what's all this "Bye" and "I'm leaving" stuff?

If you don't want to read it, DON'T OPEN IT!!!!

Burr

2404. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 05:10:57 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB7D221.15D45%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33268
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
>
> (snip) WMD are discovered and shown on TV (snip)

Where? I didn't watch television much of the day, so I must have missed that. All I saw was a General talking about pesticides, with a CNN reporter trying hard to twist that into "suspected chemical weapons material." The General corrected the reporter twice ("pesticides, not chemical agents"), yet the reporter continued in his attempts to twist the story (with the CNN show host here stretching it even further). Regardless, what was found could hardly be described as a WMD.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2405. Re: Pro-43 Strange Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 05:18:20 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB7D3DC.15D46%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33269

"Cusack" wrote:

> Yep, I tried resetting it and it cleared everything
> out with no problems. But still same problem. I've
> tried another antenna and that didn't work. I am
> going to let a good griend of mine look at it who is
> an electronics guru. Will keep everyone posted on
> what he finds with it.

Please do let us know. I have this scanner and may run into that in the
future.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2406. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 05:19:54 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <_Zska.362949$3D1.199188@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 33270
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

They've been finding "suspected chem weapons", or our sources "say" iraq may use WMD", or our troops "may have found..."
etc. etc etc. all along.

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message news:BAB7D221.15D45%stewart@say.net...
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
> >
> > (snip) WMD are discovered and shown on TV (snip)
>
>
> Where? I didn't watch television much of the day, so I must have missed
> that. All I saw was a General talking about pesticides, with a CNN reporter
> trying hard to twist that into "suspected chemical weapons material." The
> General corrected the reporter twice ("pesticides, not chemical agents"),
> yet the reporter continued in his attempts to twist the story (with the CNN
> show host here stretching it even further). Regardless, what was found could
> hardly be described as a WMD.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2407. Re: CDMA comes to Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 05:30:10 UTC
From: "Steven L. Bailey" <kbailey97@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wgWdnbIq87ONqQ-jXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 33251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

yea, and guess why ...

Qualcomm Inc., located in Issa's hometown of San Diego, owns the majority of
the patents for CDMA and therefore receives the majority of royalties for
CDMA deployments. Qualcomm is not in Issa's district, but the company gave
$5,500 to the congressman's last political campaign.

"Yahoo! Groups" <Yahoo!_Groups@groups.yahoo!.yg> wrote in message
news:i8449vstoo1lg21cv7impqmgau6ok7fjs1@4ax.com...
> > A California lawmaker wants to make sure American technology
> > is used in the wake of an American victory in Iraq,
>
> > Rep. Darrell Issa, R-Calif., proposed a bill to Congress, H.R. 1441,
> > that would require the use of CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access)
> > technology in lieu of GSM (Global System for Mobile Communications)
> > technology for any federally funded efforts to build a cell phone
network
> > in post-war Iraq.
>
> read the complete article:
> http://www.eweek.com/article2/0,3959,1007552,00.asp
>
> Take a look at H.R. 1441:
> http://www.congress.gov
>
> Type "H.R. 1441" in bill number box.

2408. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 05:33:30 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB7D76A.15D48%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33271
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Mark Howell" wrote:
>
> Women are not a minority. They are a majority of
> the U-S population.

The issue was minorities within the military, not the general population. The military is seeking ways to be as inclusive as possible. Women, for example, are well underrepresented (a minority within the military) with no idea how to effectively address that.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2409. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 06:18:26 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB7E1F2.15D4A%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33273
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"David Eduardo" wrote:
>
> Nonetheless, extracting women that are not minority
> group members themselves, the percentage of Blacks,
> Latinos and other minorities exceeds the incidence of
> these groups in the general population. In other
> words, they're overrepresented.

Looking at just race alone, minorities are indeed over represented. The goal seems to be equal representation, not proportion of population - equal numbers of blacks, whites, and others. The same goal extends to rank.

Of course, this is not working perfectly. In some areas, the proportions are heavily twisted. For example, in the E-6 to E-9 ranks, black women outnumber white women more than two to one. White women are finding their careers severely restricted by limited promotion opportunities as black women are given preference in promotions. Since promotion within a given time period is now a requirement for re-enlistment, this situation is pushing more and more white women out of the military, only increasing the disproportionate number of black women in these ranks.

This same mechanism of promotion within a given time period combined with preference given to blacks in promotions is also ending the careers of many white men. In 1999 (the last time I checked), in the E-6 to E-9 ranks, black men were only slightly less then the number of white men in those ranks. As the mechanism described above continues, the process of replacing whites with blacks accelerates.

Regardless, none of this has to do with radio, so I'll leave it at that. A quick answer to a question is one thing, but I didn't expect a discussion as a result.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2410. Re: Pro-43 Strange Problem - NOT Defective!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 07:38:55 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <3sGdne7Td_5Y4Q-jXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 33274

No defect in your Pro-43. If you are getting 800MHz
police, then your scanner is OK. The scanner uses the
same RF bandpass filter for the entire 800MHz bandplan
in the front-end. If you had problems, you would lose the
ENTIRE 800Mhz band. If you look at the service manual,
you can see the circuitry. (I have the service manual)

Most places have VERY little or no analog cell activity anymore.

--

2411. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 08:53:49 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <x6wka.15191$Zk6.110391332@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 33275
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

The Americans will "find" chemical weapons just as soon as they're delivered
to Iraq! IF the Iraqis had chemical or biological weapons, they would have
certainly used them by now.....

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice, except getting up in the morning!

Cordell Ward wrote:

> They've been finding "suspected chem weapons", or our sources "say" iraq
> may use WMD", or our troops "may have found..."
> etc. etc etc. all along.
>
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
> news:BAB7D221.15D45%stewart@say.net...
>> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
>> >
>> > (snip) WMD are discovered and shown on TV (snip)
>>
>>
>> Where? I didn't watch television much of the day, so I must have missed
>> that. All I saw was a General talking about pesticides, with a CNN
> reporter
>> trying hard to twist that into "suspected chemical weapons material." The
>> General corrected the reporter twice ("pesticides, not chemical agents"),
>> yet the reporter continued in his attempts to twist the story (with the
> CNN
>> show host here stretching it even further). Regardless, what was found
> could
>> hardly be described as a WMD.
>>
>>
>> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>>
>> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>>
>>

2412. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 09:17:50 UTC
From: Eric Oyen - N7ZZT <n7zzt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.08.09.17.50.164348@hotmail.com>
Source record: 33276

Sounds to me like "someone" here needs to develope a thicker hide for
these things...

Besides, all it is are electrons bouncing through the ether.

DE N7ZZT
Phoenix, Arizona

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 11:08:12 +0000, Me wrote:

>
> I've had all the shit I can take about Jesus, black persons, and all the
> rest of the shit that doesn't belong here about scanners. Does anyone
> care? Hell, NO! rec.radio.scanner is no longer in my news groups.
> Bye Bye all you shit-heads.

--
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

2413. Re: A Question on restricted scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 10:00:10 UTC
From: Frank <dbbamlrjix@ydxnqfdttfcndudg.com>
Message-ID: <01c2fdb5$9f80c700$0125250a@ydxnqfdttfcndudg>
Source record: 33265

Coast Station <5a77581d.0304071735.6e97a7ca@posting.google.com>...

^ But as a tourist, can I buy a restricted scanner over the counter
^ in stores while I'm visiting the States?

No, retailers aren't even permitted to buy them. They can only be shipped out
of the country.

Frank

2414. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 10:01:21 UTC
From: Frank <dbbamlrjix@ydxnqfdttfcndudg.com>
Message-ID: <01c2fdb5$cda33b90$0125250a@ydxnqfdttfcndudg>
Source record: 33264

Zombie Wolf <v94f849j7lice2@corp.supernews.com>...

^ Too lazy to learn to use the filters in your newsreader ? Then suffer the
^ consequences !

My newsreader does not have filters. The best filter is prevention.

Frank

2415. Bearcat BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 11:55:53 UTC
From: louienlila@netpci.com
Message-ID: <c983f73a.0304080355.51c02368@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33277

The bc895xlt is a wonderful unit and really fun to own. But 4 months ago when we were hit by a devastating typhoon my 895xlt was useless. I had no way of powering it up and using it. Does anyone know of a battery pack or backup power unit for the bc895xlt.

Louie

2416. Re: Bearcat BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 14:05:43 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E92D77B.1040402@earthlink.net>
Source record: 33282

I'm not at home looking at my 895 but "I think" it will run
off a 12v battery like your car, the same car plug from the
245 fits "I think", I also remember something about a
battery door on the radio, is this only for the memory?

Burr

Louienlila wrote:

> The bc895xlt is a wonderful unit and really fun to own. But 4 months
> ago when we were hit by a devastating typhoon my 895xlt was useless.
> I had no way of powering it up and using it. Does anyone know of a
> battery pack or backup power unit for the bc895xlt.
>
> Louie
>

2417. FS: Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 14:16:28 UTC
From: DAP <darrylperrin@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0RAka.3859$945.11264@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 33283

For Sale:

'Canadian' version with no blocked frequencies. Price is in Canadian dollars.

Icom R2 receiver. Receiver is in excellent condition and comes with charger, recharg batts, PC software and interface cable, manual and orig box.

You can receive just about anything with this tiny receiver. Fire, police, emergency services, aircraft, military, marine, security, cordless and cell phones, CB, HAM radio, TV audio and radio, and the list goes on.

Great radio! $275 OBO

http://www.icomcanada.com/products/receiver/r2/r2.htm

Contact darrylperrin@hotmail.com for more information.

2418. Re: Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 14:47:38 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <eiBka.52683$JI.14047477@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 33284

$199 shipped from www.bander.com

"DAP" <darrylperrin@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:0RAka.3859$945.11264@tor-nn1.netcom.ca...
> For Sale:
>
> 'Canadian' version with no blocked frequencies. Price is in Canadian
> dollars.
>
> Icom R2 receiver. Receiver is in excellent condition and comes with
charger,
> recharg batts, PC software and interface cable, manual and orig box.
>
> You can receive just about anything with this tiny receiver. Fire,
police,
> emergency services, aircraft, military, marine, security, cordless and
cell
> phones, CB, HAM radio, TV audio and radio, and the list goes on.
>
> Great radio! $275 OBO
>
>
> http://www.icomcanada.com/products/receiver/r2/r2.htm
>
> Contact darrylperrin@hotmail.com for more information.
>
>

2419. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 14:53:24 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3E92E264.2DA3AA0F@USA.net>
Source record: 33285

You know he is correct DeWayne. There are a lot of us that care about the cross posting to groups that have entirely nothing to do with scanner radios, and unrelated subjects in this group.

Why should we have to dig through the crap to read about the subject group when there are groups that their subjects are related too.

It doesn't matter what name one uses, some people like me don't want all that spam coming to ones email. I made the error and used my real address and I am now on a list where I get 30 to 50 spam emails daily and can't seem to stop it. That was over a year ago and they still continue today.

Now back to scanners.

DeWayne wrote:

> "Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E918AF6.3070109@earthlink.net...
> > It's a shame that you choose to lower yourself to there level
> > by cussing.
> > Also you choose to open those post. I haven opened one yet.
>
> Guess it didn't occur to him that he didn't have to read any of stuff he's
> griping about.
>
> >
> > Maybe you should rethink your own position, your the guy that
> > won't use your own name, your the person cussing in your
> > post so, who's the "NUT"
> >
> > Burr
> >
> > Me wrote:
> >
> > > I've had all the shit I can take about Jesus, black persons, and all the
> > > rest of the shit that doesn't belong here about scanners. Does anyone
> > > care? Hell, NO! rec.radio.scanner is no longer in my news groups.
> > > Bye Bye all you shit-heads.
> > >
> > >
> >

2420. Need 866 MHz Antenna Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 15:09:23 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0304080709.68ca8fb1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33286
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I need a very good directional antenna for the 800 MHz band. The frequency I'm interested in is 866.6 MHz. I need to hear a transmitter that I can just barely hear right now with a wideband discone.

The antenna will be mounted on the roof of a three story building with approximately 150 feet of coax to the receiver. Do I need a pre-amp?

I'd prefer something small, but I don't want to compromise performance for size. I don't mind spending a few hundred dollars for the antenna plus the cost of a rotator, cables, mounting hardware, etc.

Any rotator recommendations?

Should I shop the TV antenna stores, the Ham stores, or the commercial radio outlets?

Thanks!
John

2421. NE1 see this on the net??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 15:24:08 UTC
From: support@pozillasoft.com
Message-ID: <93577F5C5Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 33287

NE1 see this on the net??

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm

2422. Updated Live War Video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 15:31:30 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <mXBka.21435$7w2.15804@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 33289

I have updated my live Iraq war video feeds to now include NTV,NTV Baghdad
live cam video,Iran Live Tv,New MSNBC news video feeds.
Also at this site are live Iraq webcams and live video from BBC, CBS, CNN,
IRAQ, MSNBC, ABU DHABI, JORDON, TURKEY, IRAN
Also at this site live policescanners from around the world, Live online
police and fire CADS.This Site is updated frequently.
Tim
kg4jjs
Webmaster of the Tampa Bay Radio Page
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm

2423. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 15:32:30 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iYBka.52962$JI.14074079@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 33288

<Pappy@USA.net> wrote in message news:3E92E264.2DA3AA0F@USA.net...
> You know he is correct DeWayne. There are a lot of us that care about the
cross
> posting to groups that have entirely nothing to do with scanner radios,
and
> unrelated subjects in this group.

I care too and I think it's wrong, but to be realistic I don't think any
newsgroup is going to be exactly like we desire. I don't have any problem
sorting out what I don't want to read. When I see a thread I find
interesting I mark it. No problem!
DeWayne

>
> Why should we have to dig through the crap to read about the subject group
when
> there are groups that their subjects are related too.
>
> It doesn't matter what name one uses, some people like me don't want all
that
> spam coming to ones email. I made the error and used my real address and
I am
> now on a list where I get 30 to 50 spam emails daily and can't seem to
stop it.
> That was over a year ago and they still continue today.
>
> Now back to scanners.
>
>
> DeWayne wrote:
>
> > "Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3E918AF6.3070109@earthlink.net...
> > > It's a shame that you choose to lower yourself to there level
> > > by cussing.
> > > Also you choose to open those post. I haven opened one yet.
> >
> > Guess it didn't occur to him that he didn't have to read any of stuff
he's
> > griping about.
> >
> > >
> > > Maybe you should rethink your own position, your the guy that
> > > won't use your own name, your the person cussing in your
> > > post so, who's the "NUT"

> > >
> > > Burr
> > >
> > > Me wrote:
> > >
> > > > I've had all the shit I can take about Jesus, black persons, and all
the
> > > > rest of the shit that doesn't belong here about scanners. Does
anyone
> > > > care? Hell, NO! rec.radio.scanner is no longer in my news groups.
> > > > Bye Bye all you shit-heads.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
>

2424. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 16:05:28 UTC
From: Rich Wood <richbwood@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5ns59vkrht2tnvlh6s1bb0i76c45bnv489@4ax.com>
Source record: 33290
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 23:07:27 -0400, Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven> wrote:

>Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
>
> <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>
>
>
>The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
>have access to. Decide for yourself.
>
>http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>
>http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
>
>http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm
>
>
>January 31, 2003
>A War Crime or an Act of War?
>By STEPHEN C. PELLETIERE

How many more times are you going to post this excrutiatingly long
message?

Rich

2425. Re: Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 16:14:48 UTC
From: DAP <darrylperrin@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YzCka.3868$945.11307@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 33291

That was $275 Canadian. Which is $188 USD.

For $199 USD you can buy a new R2 with blocked frequencies, no interface cable and no software to program it.

Gotta compare apples to apples!

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message news:eiBka.52683$JI.14047477@twister.neo.rr.com...
> $199 shipped from www.bander.com
>
> "DAP" <darrylperrin@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:0RAka.3859$945.11264@tor-nn1.netcom.ca...
> > For Sale:
> >
> > 'Canadian' version with no blocked frequencies. Price is in Canadian
> > dollars.
> >
> > Icom R2 receiver. Receiver is in excellent condition and comes with
> charger,
> > recharg batts, PC software and interface cable, manual and orig box.
> >
> > You can receive just about anything with this tiny receiver. Fire,
> police,
> > emergency services, aircraft, military, marine, security, cordless and
> cell
> > phones, CB, HAM radio, TV audio and radio, and the list goes on.
> >
> > Great radio! $275 OBO
> >
> >
> > http://www.icomcanada.com/products/receiver/r2/r2.htm
> >
> > Contact darrylperrin@hotmail.com for more information.
> >
> >
>
>

2426. Re: Need 866 MHz Antenna Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 16:22:35 UTC
From: Arkmood <arkmood@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18fcc157c9c782cc98969b@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 33292
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

johnfofawn@hotmail.com says...
> Hi,
>
> I need a very good directional antenna for the 800 MHz band. The
> frequency I'm interested in is 866.6 MHz. I need to hear a transmitter
> that I can just barely hear right now with a wideband discone.
> ...

Multi element 800 mhz yagi, and some LMR400 cable, tv rotor will be fine as the elements for 800 are not great in length. If you can hear it using the discone, with the directional yagi and low loss cable it should boom in...

If you need a 6 element yagi I have one for sale cut for 800, or look on ebay, commercial two way radio shops, etc. they're not that hard to find... Good Luck.

2427. Any mods for the BC 250D?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 16:57:41 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com.goaway
Message-ID: <20030408125741.16902.00000163@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 33293

Anyone played with this enough to figure out if there is a way to convert it to cover the 9600 baud systems?
Just wondering...
Thanks in advance...

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

2428. Re: Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 17:22:07 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3zDka.53679$JI.14146638@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 33294

Not bad, but I just picked up a brand new one from AES for $99 that picks up anything the Canadian version will afaik.
DeWayne

"DAP" <darrylperrin@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:YzCka.3868$945.11307@tor-nn1.netcom.ca...
> That was $275 Canadian. Which is $188 USD.
>
> For $199 USD you can buy a new R2 with blocked frequencies, no interface
> cable and no software to program it.
>
> Gotta compare apples to apples!
>
> "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:eiBka.52683$JI.14047477@twister.neo.rr.com...
> > $199 shipped from www.bander.com
> >
> > "DAP" <darrylperrin@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:0RAka.3859$945.11264@tor-nn1.netcom.ca...
> > > For Sale:
> > >
> > > 'Canadian' version with no blocked frequencies. Price is in Canadian
> > > dollars.
> > >
> > > Icom R2 receiver. Receiver is in excellent condition and comes with
> > charger,
> > > recharg batts, PC software and interface cable, manual and orig box.
> > >
> > > You can receive just about anything with this tiny receiver. Fire,
> > police,
> > > emergency services, aircraft, military, marine, security, cordless and
> > cell
> > > phones, CB, HAM radio, TV audio and radio, and the list goes on.
> > >
> > > Great radio! $275 OBO
> > >
> > >
> > > http://www.icomcanada.com/products/receiver/r2/r2.htm
> > >
> > > Contact darrylperrin@hotmail.com for more information.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

>
>

2429. Re: Updated Live War Video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 17:25:05 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RBDka.25673$aQ3.14900@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 33295

Thanks for visiting the site. Actually the CNN feeds do work however not all
the time , check back frequently to see them . No way of knowing when they
will be running or not.
Tim
Webmaster Tampa Bay Radio Page
"Mark" <marks@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:c5s59vchukf97p5vrsmgol16tegb1ii6mr@4ax.com...
> None of the CNN links are valid. Nice site overall.
>
>
> On Tue, 08 Apr 2003 15:31:30 GMT, "mitbr" <mitbr@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I have updated my live Iraq war video feeds to now include NTV,NTV
Baghdad
> >live cam video,Iran Live Tv,New MSNBC news video feeds.
> >Also at this site are live Iraq webcams and live video from BBC, CBS,
CNN,
> >IRAQ, MSNBC, ABU DHABI, JORDON, TURKEY, IRAN
> >Also at this site live policescanners from around the world, Live online
> >police and fire CADS.This Site is updated frequently.
> >Tim
> >kg4jjs
> >Webmaster of the Tampa Bay Radio Page
> >http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm
> >
>

2430. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 17:44:05 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304080944.6c0a1cf5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message news:<3E8DF671.CFF60556@email.com>...
>
< S N I P >
>
> WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
>
< S N I P >
>

God's Purpose . . .
- The Heavens and the Earth
- - All of Creation
- - - For We are Star Stuff ~ Amen

~ RHF

.

2431. Re: A Question on restricted scanners.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 17:53:16 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9634are1p3pd5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33147

Yes, but it must be shipped to your home or business outside the US. You can not take delivery in the US.

"Coast Station" <coaststation@go.com> wrote in message news:5a77581d.0304071735.6e97a7ca@posting.google.com...
> As a foreigner, I know I can purchase restricted scanners through mail
> order from stores in USA to my country of origin.
>
> But as a tourist, can I buy a restricted scanner over the counter
> in stores while I'm visiting the States?
>
> Thank You.
>
>
> Coast Station,
> PD.

2432. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 17:57:42 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v963clace7am9e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33148
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Get off the Communist News Network and tune into FOX for fair and balanced coverage.

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message news:BAB7D221.15D45%stewart@say.net...
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
> >
> > (snip) WMD are discovered and shown on TV (snip)
>
>
> Where? I didn't watch television much of the day, so I must have missed
> that. All I saw was a General talking about pesticides, with a CNN reporter
> trying hard to twist that into "suspected chemical weapons material." The
> General corrected the reporter twice ("pesticides, not chemical agents"),
> yet the reporter continued in his attempts to twist the story (with the CNN
> show host here stretching it even further). Regardless, what was found could
> hardly be described as a WMD.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2433. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 18:02:29 UTC
From: rburke@mail.com
Message-ID: <9697f203.0304081002.514e981@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Presumably you mean "you're", Doc. And anyway, objection: relevance.
My ditto sense is tingling.

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:<v944c8hlutl6de@corp.supernews.com>...
> Your absolutely correct, thank God you came forward in time to save
> Saddam.... Oops, too late...

2434. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 18:04:11 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v963oqc2cojtda@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No different than what was required to be forgiven by your parents. What is so hard to understand?

"Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message news:b6ueor$9b1vk$4@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de...
> >
>
> And that is what I cannot stand about Christian hypocrisy..... using
> scriptures as a person see's fit. That and, all you have to do is say "I'm
> sorry... forgive me" and apparently everything is all hunky-dorey again.
>
> Ryan
>
>
>
>
>

2435. 900Mhx Tx help, anyone please??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 18:32:37 UTC
From: FrankRF2@cableone.net
Message-ID: <dc569v4mf8cmrpgsn9k293h0q7ghc54kp4@4ax.com>
Source record: 33151

Hi,

Saturday I jumped at a deal at a garage sale and bought two 5"
Remington monitors ($5 each) thinking the RCA plugs on the back were
Inputs. After getting home and doing some testing it turns out they
are outputs. It has a switch to select input freq C1 and C2. I got
online and found a spec sheet that says,

Transmitter:
Frequency
Input C1: 907MHz ± 0.5MHz
Input C2: 922MHz ± 0.5MHz
Transmission power: 94 dBu (FCC Spec)
Frequency stability: ±1MHz
Harmonic Radiation: <960MHz 46dBu Max(3m)
960MHz 54dBu Max(3m)

I need a video transmitter to hook to the ice-cube camera RCA jack to
send the signal for the 5" to pick up and display.

Any suggestions for who/where I might get a kit or circuit to build
some Tx's??

Thanks in advance.

--

Regards,
Frank R Firestone II

2436. discriminator parts questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 18:53:39 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <0TydnVIIAMjvhw6jXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 33152

I am looking for all the parts I need to install the discriminator tap on my BC895XLT.

I would also like to know what wire to use from radio to PC.

I have seen pictures, online, of the tap and all are "mono". The PC has stereo input so do I use a single input or get an adapter from mono to stereo?
thanks
Anthony

2437. Re: 900Mhx Tx help, anyone please??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 18:54:06 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b6v69a$f05$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 33154

There used to be a product on the market called the Rabbit that transmitted audio and video around 917MHz. I had a set and they worked well in a medium-sized house. The Rabbit consisted of a TX unit and RX unit, each with RCA input/output.

<FrankRF2@cableone.net> wrote in message
news:dc569v4mf8cmrpgsn9k293h0q7ghc54kp4@4ax.com...
> Hi,
>
> Saturday I jumped at a deal at a garage sale and bought two 5"
> Remington monitors ($5 each) thinking the RCA plugs on the back were
> Inputs. After getting home and doing some testing it turns out they
> are outputs. It has a switch to select input freq C1 and C2. I got
> online and found a spec sheet that says,
>
> Transmitter:
> Frequency
> Input C1: 907MHz ± 0.5MHz
> Input C2: 922MHz ± 0.5MHz
> Transmission power: 94 dBu (FCC Spec)
> Frequency stability: ±1MHz
> Harmonic Radiation: <960MHz 46dBu Max(3m)
> 960MHz 54dBu Max(3m)
>
> I need a video transmitter to hook to the ice-cube camera RCA jack to
> send the signal for the 5" to pick up and display.
>
> Any suggestions for who/where I might get a kit or circuit to build
> some Tx's??
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
>
> --
>
> Regards,
> Frank R Firestone II
>

2438. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 18:58:45 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <FZEka.409752$sf5.734458@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33153
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

hahahahah fair and balanced!!!

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v963clace7am9e@corp.supernews.com...
> Get off the Communist News Network and tune into FOX for fair and balanced
> coverage.
>
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
> news:BAB7D221.15D45%stewart@say.net...
> > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
> > >
> > > (snip) WMD are discovered and shown on TV (snip)
> >
> >
> > Where? I didn't watch television much of the day, so I must have
missed
> > that. All I saw was a General talking about pesticides, with a CNN
> reporter
> > trying hard to twist that into "suspected chemical weapons material."
The
> > General corrected the reporter twice ("pesticides, not chemical
agents"),
> > yet the reporter continued in his attempts to twist the story (with the
> CNN
> > show host here stretching it even further). Regardless, what was found
> could
> > hardly be described as a WMD.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
> ==----------
> > http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
> =-----
>
>

2439. Re: NE1 see this on the net??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 19:27:12 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <koFka.12$We.5@fed1read07>
Source record: 33155

Yeah NoSPERM we all know about your sorry ass web page.

Why don't you put a few nude pics of yourself on it and charge admission? You could show the whole world how Pozilla Software gave you VD. Sheee-it, blame them for your genital warts too - why not... they're guilty and they just don't care!!! ROTFL!!

Get a serial for Arc780 yet? No wait - it's only the 8th. Welfare checks don't come for another 7 days... my bad.

-me
NoBrains the gutless clod still has not made good on his threat to post the patch to WS780. Yawn.

"*ME*" <support@pozillasoft.com> wrote in message
news:93577F5C5Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> NE1 see this on the net??
>
> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm

2440. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 19:54:07 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <zNFka.15$We.14@fed1read07>
Source record: 33156
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

John, John, John....

So quick to form an opinion based on the obvious answer. Doesn't that seem a little too easy?

What part of my post did you fail to understand? Was it the F, the R, the A, the N, the C or the E that confused you?

You've found half of your answer - you really have. The french and russians are well aware of our hatred of Saddam, and Bush has a personal reason to hate him - after all, if someone tried to kill your father, wouldn't *you* want that person dead? I would...

Now, gee you can make all this money from Saddam, but dammit, there's all these pesky UN sanctions in the way 'cause he's such a monster... and everytime you try to lift them you get vetoed by the US... now how can you get what you want while getting someone else to do the dirty work?

I'll add another point to ponder for you. Once Saddam is gone and a US friendly government is in place, the UN (including, France, Germany, Russia, China) will all be involved in the rebuilding process. Now it's quiz time class: Who had a vested interest in Iraq *before* the war began? Well, those countries still do and now... gee no more sanctions in their way! How about that?

You said it yourself, this isn't rocket science John.

-me
"John Simmons" <jsimmons@emai.com> wrote in message
news:3E91FE09.1098BB8F@emai.com...
> > While they seem to not be in denial over the authenticity (or lack
thereof)
> > of these documents, do they know *who* faked them?
>
> Hmmmm, who was the only one who wanted to show that Iraq had WMD so they
could
> attack it??
>
> Perhaps it was France, Germany, Russia, China, or one of the other
countries in
> the world who faked the documents!
>
> When someone is caught with a fake ID, who is usually responsible for
having the
> fake ID produced?

>
> This is not rocket science here.
>
> > 1. If the US faked them, then this is clearly a vendetta against Saddam
> > Hussein by the Bush administration.
>
> No shit. Especially since there are no WMD in Iraq, or they would have
used
> them by now.
> If they were in Iraq, the US would find them after the war anyway, so
might as
> well USE them on the US now.
> But you can't use what you were forced to destroy 12 years ago.
>
> > Now here's where it gets interesting. Russia has been supplying Iraq
with
> > weapons for decades
>
> It was the USA that gave them the weapons, and CALIBRATED them for Iraq to
use
> on Iran. Do a search and look for that picture of Rumsfeld shaking hands
with
> Saddam in 1883.
>
>

2441. Re: BC 245XLT in Toronto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 20:03:55 UTC
From: Me <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <3e93314d@nntp01.splitrock.net>
Source record: 33158

This is a good radio, I have one also that I would be willing to part with for the right price. It has 2 battery packs and charger. E-mail me if interested.

"CarolinaBoy4 24" <carolinaboy424@aol.com> wrote in message news:20030405001453.08750.00000509@mb-fc.aol.com...
> I have one for sale to the right bidder. Its an awesome radio. Email me back

2442. Re: Any mods for the BC 250D?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 20:10:22 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <O0Gka.24$%96.19@fed1read02>
Source record: 33159

I've said it before and I'll say it again.

All we need for 9600B is a mod to TRUNKER - much easier to modify code than electronics... Then just use your 250 as the decode scanner and, as they say in tha 'hood, "You in bidness fool."

-me
"TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com.goaway> wrote in message news:20030408125741.16902.00000163@mb-cp.aol.com...
> Anyone played with this enough to figure out if there is a way to convert it to
> cover the 9600 baud systems?
> Just wondering...
> Thanks in advance...
>
> ** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
> **Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...**
> {{:-})> <({-:}}

2443. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 20:51:28 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v96difd7ed4436@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Andy Roonie mode on:

Didja ever notice those that so quickly tell you God is dead with no
evidence, are the same folks that demand DNA evidence that Sadaam is dead...

"Michael Reilly" <reillymj1@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:BAB89513.E094%reillymj1@ameritech.net...
> On 4/8/03 12:44 PM, in article
> 3ef6beca.0304080944.6c0a1cf5@posting.google.com, "RHF" <rhf-mail@usa.com>
> wrote:
>
> > John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> > news:<3E8DF671.CFF60556@email.com>...
> >>
> > < S N I P >
> >>
> >> WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
> >>
> > < S N I P >
> >>
> >
> > God's Purpose . . .
> > - The Heavens and the Earth
> > - - All of Creation
> > - - - For We are Star Stuff ~ Amen
> >
> > ~ RHF
> >
> > .
> God is dead
>

2444. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 20:59:19 UTC
From: Michael Reilly <reillymj1@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <BAB89513.E094%reillymj1@ameritech.net>
Source record: 33157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 4/8/03 12:44 PM, in article
3ef6beca.0304080944.6c0a1cf5@posting.google.com, "RHF" <rhf-mail@usa.com>
wrote:

> John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:<3E8DF671.CFF60556@email.com>...
>>
> < S N I P >
>>
>> WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
>>
> < S N I P >
>>
>
> God's Purpose . . .
> - The Heavens and the Earth
> - - All of Creation
> - - - For We are Star Stuff ~ Amen
>
> ~ RHF
>
> .
God is dead

2445. Scanner brands???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 21:05:48 UTC
From: kc5cqa@devtex.net
Message-ID: <3e983750.24350364@news.devtex.net>
Source record: 33103

Could you name some other brand scanners besides those of Radio Shack?
cl. thanks

2446. Re: Looking for an inexspensive APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 22:06:09 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@murs-usa.com
Message-ID: <36117900.0304081406.40fbcb01@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33161
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Its Me!" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<C6lka.21374$D31.1158956@news1.news.adelphia.net>...
> I am looking for an inexspensive APRS radio that I can afford.

What can you afford?

2447. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 23:04:04 UTC
From: Victor Newman <vsnewman@juno.net>
Message-ID: <EzIka.14706$ey1.1267020@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm a little confused about 1 thing, perhaps you could clear things up for me?

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message news:3E919DD9.20906@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> John Simmons wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > And when YOU try to show them quotes in the bible they don't like, then
> > they claim you took them "out of context"!
>
> Kinda like you do about the war with your buddy Saddam.

What does Saddam Husayn have to do with Jesus' last words?

Victor!!!!!!

2448. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-08 23:59:56 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <BoJka.82$fX1.4337@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 33163
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v963clace7am9e@corp.supernews.com...
> Get off the Communist News Network and tune into FOX for fair and balanced
> coverage.
>

Get off the Faux News Network and, while you're at it, don't watch TV news
at all. Get a life and look online for what's really happening, from
hundreds of sources worldwide.

John

2449. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 00:01:17 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <RpJka.83$fX1.4343@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 33164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Victor Newman" <vsnewman@juno.net> wrote in message
news:EzIka.14706$ey1.1267020@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I'm a little confused about 1 thing, perhaps you could clear things up for
> me?
>
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
> news:3E919DD9.20906@mailcity.moc...
> >
> >
> > John Simmons wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > And when YOU try to show them quotes in the bible they don't like,
then
> > > they claim you took them "out of context"!
> >
> > Kinda like you do about the war with your buddy Saddam.
>
> What does Saddam Husayn have to do with Jesus' last words?
>
>
> Victor!!!!!!
>
>

Maybe Jesus said, "I hope Saddam Hussein doesn't become the antichrist."

John

2450. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 00:13:20 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b6vmn0$9ue$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 33165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:RpJka.83$fX1.4343@eagle.america.net...
> Maybe Jesus said, "I hope Saddam Hussein doesn't become the antichrist."
>
> John

Not likely... I truly believe that title will ultimately fall to G.W. Bush.
I do not base that statement upon my personal dislike for Bush, but upon the
books of Daniel and Revelation and their description of endtime events.

2451. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 00:14:13 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b6vmok$9v5$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 33166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

P.S. I'm also praying that I am wrong.

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:b6vmn0$9ue$1@news1.kornet.net...
>
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:RpJka.83$fX1.4343@eagle.america.net...
> > Maybe Jesus said, "I hope Saddam Hussein doesn't become the antichrist."
> >
> > John
>
> Not likely... I truly believe that title will ultimately fall to G.W.
Bush.
> I do not base that statement upon my personal dislike for Bush, but upon
the
> books of Daniel and Revelation and their description of endtime events.
>
>

2452. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 00:31:11 UTC
From: BH <radiobillx@xgbronline.com>
Message-ID: <3E9369CF.6070104@xgbronline.com>
Source record: 33178
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:

>
> Actually, the issue was why on television, all the US military are white with no
> blacks, and just before the war started it was the exact opposite.

There you go, just like the Iraqi Mis-Information Minister
Mohammed Saeed al-Sahhaf. I just finished watching three news
channels and saw a number of black military personal. You can't
see very can you? Maybe you need to check with you eye doctor.

You have said what a nice guy you think Saddam is, here is a
little news item that shows just what a great guy he is.

****
Iraqis on the ground have started talking to U.S. troops,
detailing everything from the lack of adequate health care to the
execution of entire families as a means to silence children who
say aloud they don't like Saddam.

2453. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 00:32:24 UTC
From: BH <radiobillx@xgbronline.com>
Message-ID: <3E936A18.1000402@xgbronline.com>
Source record: 33179
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E936C91.9043444@email.com...
>
>>Actually, the issue was why on television, all the US military are white
>
> with no
>
>>blacks, and just before the war started it was the exact opposite. Not
>>anything about population, or even percentages of any group in the
>
> military, but
>
>>only the percentages of groups in the military according to what is being
>
> picked
>
>>up by television.
>>
>>Somehow, the blacks are not being used in the war, or there is some sort
>
> of
>
>>bizarre rule that they can not be shown on any television shots.
>>
>>
>
>
> All I can say is, you must not watch much television. I live in Korea, and
> the only channels I get in English are those that the AFRTS satellite
> carrys. We have AFN News on about 11 hours a day (they carry ABC, CBS, NBC,
> MSNBC, FOX News Channel, CNN, and Public Television news) and I see people
> of all races in those newscasts. Mind you, it's difficult to tell the
> difference when they use those video phones and/or night vision cameras...

He watches but he is in complete denial just like poor old Iraqi
Information Minister Mohammed Saeed al-Sahhaf.

2454. AR8200 MarkIII B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 00:38:34 UTC
From: "Jack." <hormel@makes-spam.com>
Message-ID: <eYJka.59415$ug3.117338@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33168

Hi there,

Anyone own one of these? I checked on Google.com's groups and only found one reference to anything posted on this scanner (the person who owned it, liked it).

I guess the thing I'd really like to know is, will this expensive scanner soon be made obsolete by digital communications/trunking? The frequencies I'm mainly interested in listening to are the ones that my ham radios won't receive, 470 MHz and above. The AR8200 MarkIII B receives up the 3 GHz. I don't know how much activity there is on the bands above the 23 cm ham bands (1.3 GHz). Anyone have any opinions about this scanner or about scanners of this type in general (non-digital scanners)?

73, Jackie

2455. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 00:41:56 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E936C91.9043444@email.com>
Source record: 33169
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> > Women are not a minority. They are a majority of
> > the U-S population.
>
> The issue was minorities within the military, not the general population.

Actually, the issue was why on television, all the US military are white with no blacks, and just before the war started it was the exact opposite. Not anything about population, or even percentages of any group in the military, but only the percentages of groups in the military according to what is being picked up by television.

Somehow, the blacks are not being used in the war, or there is some sort of bizarre rule that they can not be shown on any television shots.

2456. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 00:43:04 UTC
From: BH <radiobillx@xgbronline.com>
Message-ID: <3E936C98.9000000@xgbronline.com>
Source record: 33180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Simmons wrote:

> No parents are that idiotic.

When johnnyboy was born the doctor turned to his parents and said,
"Look, I just deliver them, I don't explain them."

2457. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 00:45:21 UTC
From: BH <radiobillx@xgbronline.com>
Message-ID: <3E936D21.60802@xgbronline.com>
Source record: 33181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Victor Newman wrote:
> I'm a little confused about 1 thing, perhaps you could clear things up for
> me?
>
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
> news:3E919DD9.20906@mailcity.moc...
>
>>
>>John Simmons wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>And when YOU try to show them quotes in the bible they don't like, then
>>>they claim you took them "out of context"!
>>
>>Kinda like you do about the war with your buddy Saddam.
>
>
> What does Saddam Husayn have to do with Jesus' last words?
>
Johnnyboy picks and chooses bits and pieces of news that agree
with his views and
ignores anything that doesn't.

2458. Re: 900Mhx Tx help, anyone please??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 00:46:33 UTC
From: FrankRF2@cableone.net
Message-ID: <iar69vcs0kbcsppf5c28oiq2349srr5b2i@4ax.com>
Source record: 33167

On Tue, 8 Apr 2003 14:54:06 -0400, "Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>>There used to be a product on the market called the Rabbit that transmitted

Thanks, I'll see if I can track it down.

--

Regards,
Frank R Firestone II

2459. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 00:55:14 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E936FAF.EA5AD451@email.com>
Source record: 33170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> And that is what I cannot stand about Christian hypocrisy..... using
> scriptures as a person see's fit. That and, all you have to do is say "I'm
> sorry... forgive me" and apparently everything is all hunky-dorey again.

By their own admission, I can live a life of crime, and as long as on my death bed I ask Jesus for forgiveness, I can go into heaven too.

Or, I could take the case to court, and explain that Jesus/God said that all men are sinners. Therefore, if anyone currently exists in heaven, that means not letting other sinners in would be discrimination and I would sue for damages and emotional distress.

2460. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 00:56:32 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E936FFD.EB056391@email.com>
Source record: 33171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> No different than what was required to be forgiven by your parents. What is
> so hard to understand?

That everything and everything can be forgiven just by asking for
forgiveness. No parents are that idiotic.

2461. Re: Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 00:58:13 UTC
From: rsman <rsman@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nOadnaAol7A67Q6jXTWcoA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 33172

I had one for a month or two and sold it. Great price and tiny size, but a pretty deaf little radio.

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message news:3zDka.53679$JI.14146638@twister.neo.rr.com...

> Not bad, but I just picked up a brand new one from AES for $99 that picks up
> anything the Canadian version will afaik.

2462. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 01:00:47 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E9370FC.3E593B74@email.com>
Source record: 33173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> > Maybe Jesus said, "I hope Saddam Hussein doesn't become the antichrist."
> >
> > John
>
> Not likely... I truly believe that title will ultimately fall to G.W. Bush.

Bush is a born again Christian, an "anti-christ" would more something closer to an "atheist"

But if you meant the word the way most misuse the language do, then we understand.

> I do not base that statement upon my personal dislike for Bush,

> but upon the
> books of Daniel and Revelation and their description of endtime events.

The Bible is a fictional science fiction novel, and Bush is an unfortunate reality.

2463. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 01:01:31 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E937128.17677E65@email.com>
Source record: 33174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Brenda Ann wrote:

> P.S. I'm also praying that I am wrong.

A wasted effort. The only thing prayer can do, is cure illnesses via the placebo effect.

2464. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 01:02:47 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E937174.42E267F2@email.com>
Source record: 33175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> > WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
> >
>
> God's Purpose . . .
> - The Heavens and the Earth

All alone by himself, these things would be worthless.

> - - All of Creation
> - - - For We are Star Stuff ~ Amen

Now you just entered MAN into the picture again.

WITHOUT man, what would God's purpose be?

2465. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 01:04:12 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E9371C8.854B82B9@email.com>
Source record: 33176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Reilly wrote:

> On 4/8/03 12:44 PM, in article
> 3ef6beca.0304080944.6c0a1cf5@posting.google.com, "RHF" <rhf-mail@usa.com>
> wrote:
>
> > John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> > news:<3E8DF671.CFF60556@email.com>...
> >>
> > < S N I P >
> >>
> >> WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
> >>
> > < S N I P >
> >>
> >
> > God's Purpose . . .
> > - The Heavens and the Earth
> > - - All of Creation
> > - - - For We are Star Stuff ~ Amen
> >
> > ~ RHF
> >
> > .
> God is dead

At least that would answer SOME of the contradictions with the mythology
against observed reality. It would explain why God was able to talk to
humans in the past, and not to anyone living now.

2466. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 01:55:24 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b6vsm9dgt1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 33177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E936C91.9043444@email.com...
> Actually, the issue was why on television, all the US military are white
with no
> blacks, and just before the war started it was the exact opposite. Not
> anything about population, or even percentages of any group in the
military, but
> only the percentages of groups in the military according to what is being
picked
> up by television.
>
> Somehow, the blacks are not being used in the war, or there is some sort
of
> bizarre rule that they can not be shown on any television shots.
>
>

All I can say is, you must not watch much television. I live in Korea, and the only channels I get in English are those that the AFRTS satellite carrys. We have AFN News on about 11 hours a day (they carry ABC, CBS, NBC, MSNBC, FOX News Channel, CNN, and Public Television news) and I see people of all races in those newscasts. Mind you, it's difficult to tell the difference when they use those video phones and/or night vision cameras...

2467. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 02:48:57 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3E938A19.8050203@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 33182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX wrote:
> Actually neither side of the coin is true.....

The law of the excluded middle forbids that outcome.

> he does/did not exist but yet
> he does. Non-believers cannot prove any more than the believers of any fact
> regarding the whole issue..... It's kinda like a dog chasing its own tail.

The burden of proof rests with the believer.

--
M2

2468. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 03:04:47 UTC
From: "Jay \"Boogieman\" Edwards" <boogie350@NOSPAMyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9357EAE0B68C1boogie350NOSPAMyahoo@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 33183
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in news:3E9245BF.1020500@mailcity.moc:

>
>
> Q wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> johnny...and logic...in the same sentence...
>
> Yea, it's one of them epoxymorons.

HA!! <wiping coffee off monitor>

2469. Re: Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 03:11:51 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <XbMka.15104$ey1.1300142@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33184

It's the antenna, not the radio...

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply.
Grundig S350, 780XLT, DX-392, 398, 440, YB400PE, FR-200,
Ray Jefferson 660ADF, R75, R2, VR-500,
SRIII, Halli S-38, 38e, Nc 60 special, H500, and too many Rat
Shack scanners to mention...

Who has a problem????
"rsman" <rsman@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:nOadnaAol7A67Q6jXTWcoA@gbronline.com...
> I had one for a month or two and sold it. Great price and tiny size, but a
> pretty deaf little radio.
>
> "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:3zDka.53679$JI.14146638@twister.neo.rr.com...
>
> > Not bad, but I just picked up a brand new one from AES for $99 that
picks
> up
> > anything the Canadian version will afaik.
>
>
>
>

2470. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 03:24:36 UTC
From: mhowellkuzz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <3e9391fe.6390629@news.la.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 33185
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

On Tue, 08 Apr 2003 01:33:30 -0400, Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
wrote:

>"Mark Howell" wrote:
>>
>> Women are not a minority. They are a majority of
>> the U-S population.
>
>
> The issue was minorities within the military, not the general population.
>The military is seeking ways to be as inclusive as possible. Women, for
>example, are well underrepresented (a minority within the military) with no
>idea how to effectively address that.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

You are playing word games. Women are not a minority. They come in
all ethnicities, last time I checked. You originally claimed falsely
that racial minorities are a majority in the military. By any
accepted definition this is untrue. This discussion has gotten
ridiculous. Thanks for playing, and goodbye.

2471. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 03:50:02 UTC
From: "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b714r5$9kl5r$2@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

At some point one has to learn from those experiences. I have and did not repeat those errors, in regards to with my parents, who I might add are real flesh 'n' blood people.

Ryan

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message news:v963oqc2cojtda@corp.supernews.com...
> No different than what was required to be forgiven by your parents. What is
> so hard to understand?
>
> "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:b6ueor$9b1vk$4@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de...
> > >
> >
> > And that is what I cannot stand about Christian hypocrisy..... using
> > scriptures as a person see's fit. That and, all you have to do is say
> "I'm
> > sorry... forgive me" and apparently everything is all hunky-dorey again.
> >
> > Ryan
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2472. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 03:55:02 UTC
From: rburke@mail.com
Message-ID: <9697f203.0304081955.740d54fc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33186
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

> While they seem to not be in denial over the authenticity (or lack thereof)
> of these documents, do they know *who* faked them? This is more important
> than the forgery itself.

Really? I tend to think exactly the opposite: presumably junk intelligence and bogus documents float around all the time. It's just that you hope they get filtered out by competent intelligence professionals as they make their way up the food chain, preferably at some point before the President cites them on TV. No, the troubling thing to me is that they got as far as a PM, a Secretary of State and a President in the first place, so that a PM, a President and a Secretary of State end up citing bad forgeries when making their case for war, and in the case of Powell at least, evidently citing them without ever laying eyes on the things. Oh yeah, and the CIA never saw them until after the President cited them on national TV. Christ, let's put on the red noses and floppy shoes and all pile into the little clown car to take a ride down to see if we can get jobs at State, the CIA or the White House.

Either somebody is goosing the Prez and the Secretary of State and the PM with cheap fakes (which it's not their job to pick out), or the most advanced intelligence services in the world can't, with six months' head start, spot clumsy forgeries the IAEA sniffed out in an afternoon. Take your pick. Neither is reassuring, and identifying the forgers doesn't make it any better.

<snip>

> 2. If Britain faked them, then this is clearly an attempt to discredit the
> United States in the eyes of the world community while gaining *something*
> in return. What does Britain stand to gain from this action? Not enough to
> send the military in on and deal with daily protests in the streets. Some
> cheap oil, but then the US gains more than they do - if Britain wanted to
> screw us, they could do better. I don't think it was them.

I don't get this. First of all, why do you think a state produced them? If reports of their quality are to be believed, you or I could've made them. I'm pretty sure I didn't make them. Did you? But more importantly, what makes you think that whoever did make them was seeking to discredit the United States? That only makes sense if you think the forger intended their forgery to be discovered after the US had accepted then as authentic, and why assume that? How do you create a forgery bad enough that it makes the people accepting it as authentic look like idiots, but on the other hand think it will make it to the President? Unless... that's it! It's an inside job, a

veteran intelligence officer who knows his colleagues are so stupid
none of them will figure out the fake before it lands on the HMS
Resolute and the President cites it before Congress. A brilliant
plan!

Seriously, I assume, absent evidence to the contrary, that a forger
wants whatever he forges to be taken as authentic. If he's a stupid
forger, the forgeries will be bad.

<snip>
> Who else is involved?
>
> Israel, Syria, Russia and France.
>
> Israel hates Saddam with a passion - everyone knows it. But war in Iraq
> means increased threats of terrorism for them at home. While I bet they love
> the fact that Saddam is getting his ass handed to him, the risk of more
> terrorism further eroding their already unstable economy is just too great.
> They could have, but didn't, do it.

Conventional Israeli wisdom, as I understand it, holds that a
strong(ish) Iraq holds Iran in check, and vice-versa, and on that
basis Israel wouldn't seem to have much to gain from a weakened Iraq
-- but this stuff lies well outside my bailiwick. At any rate,
Israeli wisdom of any kind at the government level has become
especially scarce in the recent past, and during that crisis of supply
the conventional wisdom appears to have been supplanted by
unconventional nuttery. Like this, for instance
http://www.israeleconomy.org/strat1.htm , which was prepared for the
incoming Netanyahu adminstration in 1996. Scroll down to the section
headed "Moving to a Traditional Balance of Power Strategy" and read
the part about Iraq. Then scroll down and read the authors' names.
Then go to the State Department and DOD websites and see if you can
find any of those names. Hint: you can. Second hint: Perle, Feith,
Wurmser. Note that 1996 was before Sept 11, 2001. Then recall that
the war in Iraq is part of the post-9/11 War on Terror... or
something. Since we're all into naming operations these days, I
propose calling this "Operation Bait and Switch".

<snip>
> Here's what I think. I think they [the Russians and/or French]
> passed an obvious phoney over on Blair and Bush knowing full
> well they'd be so excited to see it they wouldn't bother
> to verify it.

First of all, Bush and Blair are not intelligence professionals, and
are not in a position to verify anything: that's what they have
intelligence services for. Stuff as bad as these docs are alleged to
be should never get to the President or the PM in the first place,
unless:

a) people in said intelligence agencies want the President or PM to:
i) spread false information
ii) look like idiots
or iii) both

or

b) people in said intelligence agencies pass forgeries up the food chain because they are so incompetent they can't tell they're fake, the result of which is that the President and the PM:

i) spread false information
ii) look like idiots
or iii) both

Second, this scenario seems to presuppose that the war is being conducted for the reasons the respective governments have offered, which, y'know, considering their flimsiness...

> I think they figured we'd take it to the UN (which we did)
> they'd determine it was a fake (which happened) and we'd look like idiots.

Well, the very last part happened at least. As for the rest, somebody pass me my Ockham's razor: I think I need a shave. If fancy were wine you'd be driving the porcelain bus.

> That's why ... the Russians are shaking their nuclear finger at us.

Not happening.

> We screwed up their oil deal.

The amazing thing about this kind of claim, i.e. that the French and Russian govenments are really motivated by grubby mercantile interests, is not that it's not true, because it's perfectly plausible. Rather, it's that the people who make it never seem to want to take the obvious next step and consider whether the United States might not also be acting from similar motives. It just seems never to occur to them. No, you see it's the other guys who do stuff for nasty reasons, but we're just trying to bring 'em demokursy an' fraydum. Call me a cynic, but I don't think states act for reasons like that: states want stuff, and if they can, they go and get it. The rest is PR. And the United States isn't any different.

2473. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 03:56:54 UTC
From: "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b714r6$9kl5r$3@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Maybe not in other cases but with Saddam I would agree. Since he is known to have up to 40 impersonators, in this SPECIFIC case, that would be the only way to prove that he is actually the right one. Logic would also require in this specific situation to have an original sample of his actual DNA for comparision.

As to the first part of the statement, God is a concept, not a fact. It is a perception for those feeling small about themselves and need to form self-justification through an imaginary figure.

Ryan

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message news:v96difd7ed4436@corp.supernews.com...
> Andy Roonie mode on:
>
> Didja ever notice those that so quickly tell you God is dead with no
> evidence, are the same folks that demand DNA evidence that Sadaam is dead...
>

2474. Re: Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 03:57:30 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <20030408235730.08657.00000717@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 33187

>It's the antenna, not the radio...

Agree, the radio is great. The supplied antenna sucks.

Greg

2475. Re: discriminator parts questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 05:05:39 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <v97ahf498lr2bc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33188

What are you trying to connect to your disc.

2476. FS:COBRA 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 06:28:24 UTC
From: mag0355@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030409022824.08784.00000547@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 33189

RADIO IS IN MINT CONDITION,RADIO WORKS FINE NO DOA..WITH HIGH AND LOWS EXTRA
COMES WITH SPEAKER AND MIC.IF YOU ARE INTERESTED PLEASE EMAIL ME

2477. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 06:42:56 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <QhPka.76690$0X.14828983@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33190
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com>, once again after getting a brain recharge at the gas station tire pump, spewed...

> Actually, the issue was why on television, all the US military are white with no
> blacks, and just before the war started it was the exact opposite. Not
> anything about population, or even percentages of any group in the military, but
> only the percentages of groups in the military according to what is being picked
> up by television.
>
> Somehow, the blacks are not being used in the war, or there is some sort of
> bizarre rule that they can not be shown on any television shots.

The Al-Jazeera Guardian
April 9, 2003

Sources have learned that coalition forces are using blacks to fill craters caused by bombing at the airport in Baghdad. Quoting an unnamed general, "Yeah - we gotta get these runways up and running, and there's all these holes. We don't have any other type of black-top available, so we're taking all the black troops and sort of piling them in the holes and then we just kinda run a dozer over em to flatten it out."
The Al-Jazeera Guardian has been investigating this since an anonymous tip from an Internet newsgroup poster known only as "Johnny".
"We could not believe what we were seeing," said our reporter. "I mean, these infidels were just pushing all of these black people into those holes and running them over. Surely the United Nations must do something about this."
Upon receiving these reports, Hans Blix made a great effort to pass such a resolution, and the vote was unanimous. Enforcement of this resolution is expected to begin sometime in the year 2743, after more resolutions are passed.

2478. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 06:45:12 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <YjPka.76691$0X.14830340@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33191
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote

> All I can say is, you must not watch much television. I live in Korea, and
> the only channels I get in English are those that the AFRTS satellite
> carrys. We have AFN News on about 11 hours a day (they carry ABC, CBS,
NBC,
> MSNBC, FOX News Channel, CNN, and Public Television news) and I see people
> of all races in those newscasts. Mind you, it's difficult to tell the
> difference when they use those video phones and/or night vision cameras...

Wow. You're getting a better signal in Korea than Johnny is in his
trailer...
By the way - those aren't night-vision shots. They're pictures of our green
troops.

:-)

2479. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 06:46:37 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <hlPka.76692$0X.14831010@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33192
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"BH" <radiobillx@xgbronline.com> wrote

> He watches but he is in complete denial just like poor old Iraqi
> Information Minister Mohammed Saeed al-Sahhaf.

INFIDEL!!!
We are WINNING!!!
Johnny Simmons - come quick - Saddam has taken his Viagara!!
Johnny!!! Saddam NEEDS YOU!!!

2480. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 06:48:23 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <XmPka.76693$0X.14831846@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33193
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

What a gas. First, the libs want women labled as "minorities" so as to garnish the attention like other "minorities". Then, when they get that recognition, they lambaste people for calling them minorities.

I'm confused...

2481. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 06:52:34 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <SqPka.76694$0X.14834064@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33194
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote

> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v963clace7am9e@corp.supernews.com...
> > Get off the Communist News Network and tune into FOX for fair and
balanced
> > coverage.
> >
> Get off the Faux News Network and, while you're at it, don't watch TV news
> at all. Get a life and look online for what's really happening, from
> hundreds of sources worldwide.
>
> John

yeah - like...Al-Jazeera - "We Report, We've Already Decided!", or CBS - "We Report, We Tell You What To Decide, as You Are Too Stupid To Decide For Yourself", or ABC - "We Report, And Light Up The Beach During Military Landings in the Cover Of Dark", or NBC - "We Report, And Hire People Like Peter Arnett To Help Saddam Decide"...

2482. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 06:53:22 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <CrPka.76695$0X.14834244@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33195
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Jay "Boogieman" Edwards" wrote

> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in news:3E9245BF.1020500@mailcity.moc:
> >
> > Q wrote:
> >>
> >> johnny...and logic...in the same sentence...
> >
> > Yea, it's one of them epoxymorons.
>
> HA!! <wiping coffee off monitor>

Just so you didn't get it on the keyboard...

2483. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 07:01:41 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <h9h79vghiuvt1q2ca8anvtkahlros37p8a@4ax.com>
Source record: 33108
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

America didn't seem to mind poison gas
Joost R. Hiltermann IHT Friday, January 17, 2003
Halabja

AMMAN, Jordan In calling for regime change in Iraq, George W. Bush has accused Saddam Hussein of being a man who gassed his own people. Bush is right, of course. The public record shows that Saddam's regime repeatedly spread poisonous gases on Kurdish villages in 1987 and 1988 in an attempt to put down a persistent rebellion.
.
The biggest such attack was against Halabja in March 1988. According to local organizations providing relief to the survivors, some 6,800 Kurds were killed, the vast majority of them civilians.
.
It is a good thing that Bush has highlighted these atrocities by a regime that is more brutal than most. Yet it is cynical to use them as a justification for American plans to terminate the regime. By any measure, the American record on Halabja is shameful.
.
Analysis of thousands of captured Iraqi secret police documents and declassified U.S. government documents, as well as interviews with scores of Kurdish survivors, senior Iraqi defectors and retired U.S. intelligence officers, show (1) that Iraq carried out the attack on Halabja, and (2) that the United States, fully aware it was Iraq, accused Iran, Iraq's enemy in a fierce war, of being partly responsible for the attack. The State Department instructed its diplomats to say that Iran was partly to blame. The result of this stunning act of sophistry was that the international community failed to muster the will to condemn Iraq strongly for an act as heinous as the terrorist strike on the World Trade Center.
.
This was at a time when Iraq was launching what proved to be the final

battles of the war against Iran. Its wholesale use of poison gas against Iranian troops and Iranian Kurdish towns, and its threat to place chemical warheads on the missiles it was lobbing at Tehran, brought Iran to its knees.
.
Iraq had also just embarked on a counterinsurgency campaign, called the Anfal, against its rebellious Kurds. In this effort, too, the regime's resort to chemical weapons gave it a decisive edge, enabling the systematic killing of an estimated 100,000 men, women, and children.
.
The deliberate American prevarication on Halabja was the logical, although probably undesired, outcome of a pronounced six-year tilt toward Iraq, seen as a bulwark against the perceived threat posed by Iran's zealous brand of politicized Islam. The United States began the tilt after Iraq, the aggressor in the war, was expelled from Iranian territory by a resurgent Iran, which then decided to pursue its own, fruitless version of regime change in Baghdad. There was little love for what virtually all of Washington recognized as an unsavory regime, but Iraq was considered the lesser evil. Sealed by National Security Decision Directive 114 in 1983, the tilt included billions of dollars in loan guarantees and other credits to Iraq.
.
Sensing correctly that it had carte blanche, Saddam's regime escalated its resort to gas warfare, graduating to ever more lethal agents. Because of the strong Western animus against Iran, few paid heed. Then came Halabja.
.
Unfortunately for Iraq's sponsors, Iran rushed Western reporters to the blighted town. The horrifying scenes they filmed were presented on prime time television a few days later. Soon Ted Koppel could be seen putting the Iraqi ambassador's feet to the fire on Nightline.
.
In response, the United States launched the "Iran too" gambit. The story was cooked up in the Pentagon, interviews with the principals show. A newly declassified State Department document demonstrates that U.S. diplomats received instructions to press this line with U.S. allies, and to decline to discuss the details.
.
It took seven weeks for the UN Security Council to censure the Halabja attack. Even then, its choice of neutral language (condemning the "continued use of chemical weapons in the conflict between the Islamic Republic of Iran and Iraq," and calling on "both sides to refrain from the future use of chemical weapons") diffused the effect of its belated move. Iraq proceeded to step up its use of gas until the end of the war and even afterward, during the final stage of the Anfal campaign, to devastating effect. When I visited Halabja last spring, the town, razed by successive Iranian and Iraqi occupiers, had been rebuilt, but the physical and psychological wounds remained.
.

Some of those who engineered the tilt today are back in power in the
Bush administration.
.
They have yet to account for their judgment that it was Iran, not
Iraq, that posed the primary threat to the Gulf; for building up Iraq
so that it thought it could invade Kuwait and get away with it; for
encouraging Iraq's weapons of mass destruction programs by giving the
regime a de facto green light on chemical weapons use; and for turning
a blind eye to Iraq's worst atrocities, and then lying about it.
.
The writer is preparing a book on U.S. policy toward Iraq, with
partial support from the Open Society Institute and the MacArthur
Foundation.

On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 06:42:56 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com>, once again after getting a brain
>recharge at the gas station tire pump, spewed...
>
>> Actually, the issue was why on television, all the US military are white
>with no
>> blacks, and just before the war started it was the exact opposite. Not
>> anything about population, or even percentages of any group in the
>military, but
>> only the percentages of groups in the military according to what is being
>picked
>> up by television.
>>
>> Somehow, the blacks are not being used in the war, or there is some sort
>of
>> bizarre rule that they can not be shown on any television shots.
>
>The Al-Jazeera Guardian
>April 9, 2003
>
> Sources have learned that coalition forces are using blacks to fill craters
>caused by bombing at the airport in Baghdad. Quoting an unnamed general,
>"Yeah - we gotta get these runways up and running, and there's all these
>holes. We don't have any other type of black-top available, so we're taking
>all the black troops and sort of piling them in the holes and then we just
>kinda run a dozer over em to flatten it out."
> The Al-Jazeera Guardian has been investigating this since an anonymous tip
>from an Internet newsgroup poster known only as "Johnny".
> "We could not believe what we were seeing," said our reporter. "I mean,
>these infidels were just pushing all of these black people into those holes
>and running them over. Surely the United Nations must do something about
>this."
> Upon receiving these reports, Hans Blix made a great effort to pass such a
>resolution, and the vote was unanimous. Enforcement of this resolution is
>expected to begin sometime in the year 2743, after more resolutions are
>passed.

>
>
>

2484. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 07:01:58 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <vah79vcdtv7tkf5985a9cpftr9rn6c327i@4ax.com>
Source record: 33109
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

America didn't seem to mind poison gas
Joost R. Hiltermann IHT Friday, January 17, 2003
Halabja

AMMAN, Jordan In calling for regime change in Iraq, George W. Bush has accused Saddam Hussein of being a man who gassed his own people. Bush is right, of course. The public record shows that Saddam's regime repeatedly spread poisonous gases on Kurdish villages in 1987 and 1988 in an attempt to put down a persistent rebellion.
.
The biggest such attack was against Halabja in March 1988. According to local organizations providing relief to the survivors, some 6,800 Kurds were killed, the vast majority of them civilians.
.
It is a good thing that Bush has highlighted these atrocities by a regime that is more brutal than most. Yet it is cynical to use them as a justification for American plans to terminate the regime. By any measure, the American record on Halabja is shameful.
.
Analysis of thousands of captured Iraqi secret police documents and declassified U.S. government documents, as well as interviews with scores of Kurdish survivors, senior Iraqi defectors and retired U.S. intelligence officers, show (1) that Iraq carried out the attack on Halabja, and (2) that the United States, fully aware it was Iraq, accused Iran, Iraq's enemy in a fierce war, of being partly responsible for the attack. The State Department instructed its diplomats to say that Iran was partly to blame. The result of this stunning act of sophistry was that the international community failed to muster the will to condemn Iraq strongly for an act as heinous as the terrorist strike on the World Trade Center.
.
This was at a time when Iraq was launching what proved to be the final

battles of the war against Iran. Its wholesale use of poison gas against Iranian troops and Iranian Kurdish towns, and its threat to place chemical warheads on the missiles it was lobbing at Tehran, brought Iran to its knees.
.
Iraq had also just embarked on a counterinsurgency campaign, called the Anfal, against its rebellious Kurds. In this effort, too, the regime's resort to chemical weapons gave it a decisive edge, enabling the systematic killing of an estimated 100,000 men, women, and children.
.
The deliberate American prevarication on Halabja was the logical, although probably undesired, outcome of a pronounced six-year tilt toward Iraq, seen as a bulwark against the perceived threat posed by Iran's zealous brand of politicized Islam. The United States began the tilt after Iraq, the aggressor in the war, was expelled from Iranian territory by a resurgent Iran, which then decided to pursue its own, fruitless version of regime change in Baghdad. There was little love for what virtually all of Washington recognized as an unsavory regime, but Iraq was considered the lesser evil. Sealed by National Security Decision Directive 114 in 1983, the tilt included billions of dollars in loan guarantees and other credits to Iraq.
.
Sensing correctly that it had carte blanche, Saddam's regime escalated its resort to gas warfare, graduating to ever more lethal agents. Because of the strong Western animus against Iran, few paid heed. Then came Halabja.
.
Unfortunately for Iraq's sponsors, Iran rushed Western reporters to the blighted town. The horrifying scenes they filmed were presented on prime time television a few days later. Soon Ted Koppel could be seen putting the Iraqi ambassador's feet to the fire on Nightline.
.
In response, the United States launched the "Iran too" gambit. The story was cooked up in the Pentagon, interviews with the principals show. A newly declassified State Department document demonstrates that U.S. diplomats received instructions to press this line with U.S. allies, and to decline to discuss the details.
.
It took seven weeks for the UN Security Council to censure the Halabja attack. Even then, its choice of neutral language (condemning the "continued use of chemical weapons in the conflict between the Islamic Republic of Iran and Iraq," and calling on "both sides to refrain from the future use of chemical weapons") diffused the effect of its belated move. Iraq proceeded to step up its use of gas until the end of the war and even afterward, during the final stage of the Anfal campaign, to devastating effect. When I visited Halabja last spring, the town, razed by successive Iranian and Iraqi occupiers, had been rebuilt, but the physical and psychological wounds remained.
.

Some of those who engineered the tilt today are back in power in the
Bush administration.
.
They have yet to account for their judgment that it was Iran, not
Iraq, that posed the primary threat to the Gulf; for building up Iraq
so that it thought it could invade Kuwait and get away with it; for
encouraging Iraq's weapons of mass destruction programs by giving the
regime a de facto green light on chemical weapons use; and for turning
a blind eye to Iraq's worst atrocities, and then lying about it.
.
The writer is preparing a book on U.S. policy toward Iraq, with
partial support from the Open Society Institute and the MacArthur
Foundation.

On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 06:45:12 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote
>
>> All I can say is, you must not watch much television. I live in Korea, and
>> the only channels I get in English are those that the AFRTS satellite
>> carrys. We have AFN News on about 11 hours a day (they carry ABC, CBS,
>NBC,
>> MSNBC, FOX News Channel, CNN, and Public Television news) and I see people
>> of all races in those newscasts. Mind you, it's difficult to tell the
>> difference when they use those video phones and/or night vision cameras...
>
>Wow. You're getting a better signal in Korea than Johnny is in his
>trailer...
>By the way - those aren't night-vision shots. They're pictures of our green
>troops.
>
>:-)
>

2485. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 07:02:12 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <ebh79v433e4k9e6mn2gg3avm0rqvphaei5@4ax.com>
Source record: 33110
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

America didn't seem to mind poison gas
Joost R. Hiltermann IHT Friday, January 17, 2003
Halabja

AMMAN, Jordan In calling for regime change in Iraq, George W. Bush has accused Saddam Hussein of being a man who gassed his own people. Bush is right, of course. The public record shows that Saddam's regime repeatedly spread poisonous gases on Kurdish villages in 1987 and 1988 in an attempt to put down a persistent rebellion.
.
The biggest such attack was against Halabja in March 1988. According to local organizations providing relief to the survivors, some 6,800 Kurds were killed, the vast majority of them civilians.
.
It is a good thing that Bush has highlighted these atrocities by a regime that is more brutal than most. Yet it is cynical to use them as a justification for American plans to terminate the regime. By any measure, the American record on Halabja is shameful.
.
Analysis of thousands of captured Iraqi secret police documents and declassified U.S. government documents, as well as interviews with scores of Kurdish survivors, senior Iraqi defectors and retired U.S. intelligence officers, show (1) that Iraq carried out the attack on Halabja, and (2) that the United States, fully aware it was Iraq, accused Iran, Iraq's enemy in a fierce war, of being partly responsible for the attack. The State Department instructed its diplomats to say that Iran was partly to blame. The result of this stunning act of sophistry was that the international community failed to muster the will to condemn Iraq strongly for an act as heinous as the terrorist strike on the World Trade Center.
.
This was at a time when Iraq was launching what proved to be the final

battles of the war against Iran. Its wholesale use of poison gas against Iranian troops and Iranian Kurdish towns, and its threat to place chemical warheads on the missiles it was lobbing at Tehran, brought Iran to its knees.
.
Iraq had also just embarked on a counterinsurgency campaign, called the Anfal, against its rebellious Kurds. In this effort, too, the regime's resort to chemical weapons gave it a decisive edge, enabling the systematic killing of an estimated 100,000 men, women, and children.
.
The deliberate American prevarication on Halabja was the logical, although probably undesired, outcome of a pronounced six-year tilt toward Iraq, seen as a bulwark against the perceived threat posed by Iran's zealous brand of politicized Islam. The United States began the tilt after Iraq, the aggressor in the war, was expelled from Iranian territory by a resurgent Iran, which then decided to pursue its own, fruitless version of regime change in Baghdad. There was little love for what virtually all of Washington recognized as an unsavory regime, but Iraq was considered the lesser evil. Sealed by National Security Decision Directive 114 in 1983, the tilt included billions of dollars in loan guarantees and other credits to Iraq.
.
Sensing correctly that it had carte blanche, Saddam's regime escalated its resort to gas warfare, graduating to ever more lethal agents. Because of the strong Western animus against Iran, few paid heed. Then came Halabja.
.
Unfortunately for Iraq's sponsors, Iran rushed Western reporters to the blighted town. The horrifying scenes they filmed were presented on prime time television a few days later. Soon Ted Koppel could be seen putting the Iraqi ambassador's feet to the fire on Nightline.
.
In response, the United States launched the "Iran too" gambit. The story was cooked up in the Pentagon, interviews with the principals show. A newly declassified State Department document demonstrates that U.S. diplomats received instructions to press this line with U.S. allies, and to decline to discuss the details.
.
It took seven weeks for the UN Security Council to censure the Halabja attack. Even then, its choice of neutral language (condemning the "continued use of chemical weapons in the conflict between the Islamic Republic of Iran and Iraq," and calling on "both sides to refrain from the future use of chemical weapons") diffused the effect of its belated move. Iraq proceeded to step up its use of gas until the end of the war and even afterward, during the final stage of the Anfal campaign, to devastating effect. When I visited Halabja last spring, the town, razed by successive Iranian and Iraqi occupiers, had been rebuilt, but the physical and psychological wounds remained.
.

Some of those who engineered the tilt today are back in power in the
Bush administration.
.
They have yet to account for their judgment that it was Iran, not
Iraq, that posed the primary threat to the Gulf; for building up Iraq
so that it thought it could invade Kuwait and get away with it; for
encouraging Iraq's weapons of mass destruction programs by giving the
regime a de facto green light on chemical weapons use; and for turning
a blind eye to Iraq's worst atrocities, and then lying about it.
.
The writer is preparing a book on U.S. policy toward Iraq, with
partial support from the Open Society Institute and the MacArthur
Foundation.

On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 06:46:37 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"BH" <radiobillx@xgbronline.com> wrote
>
>> He watches but he is in complete denial just like poor old Iraqi
>> Information Minister Mohammed Saeed al-Sahhaf.
>
>INFIDEL!!!
>We are WINNING!!!
>Johnny Simmons - come quick - Saddam has taken his Viagara!!
>Johnny!!! Saddam NEEDS YOU!!!
>

2486. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 07:02:30 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <0ch79vgeute079m6kbeocqmgu2nf5ir41k@4ax.com>
Source record: 33111
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

America didn't seem to mind poison gas
Joost R. Hiltermann IHT Friday, January 17, 2003
Halabja

AMMAN, Jordan In calling for regime change in Iraq, George W. Bush has accused Saddam Hussein of being a man who gassed his own people. Bush is right, of course. The public record shows that Saddam's regime repeatedly spread poisonous gases on Kurdish villages in 1987 and 1988 in an attempt to put down a persistent rebellion.
.
The biggest such attack was against Halabja in March 1988. According to local organizations providing relief to the survivors, some 6,800 Kurds were killed, the vast majority of them civilians.
.
It is a good thing that Bush has highlighted these atrocities by a regime that is more brutal than most. Yet it is cynical to use them as a justification for American plans to terminate the regime. By any measure, the American record on Halabja is shameful.
.
Analysis of thousands of captured Iraqi secret police documents and declassified U.S. government documents, as well as interviews with scores of Kurdish survivors, senior Iraqi defectors and retired U.S. intelligence officers, show (1) that Iraq carried out the attack on Halabja, and (2) that the United States, fully aware it was Iraq, accused Iran, Iraq's enemy in a fierce war, of being partly responsible for the attack. The State Department instructed its diplomats to say that Iran was partly to blame. The result of this stunning act of sophistry was that the international community failed to muster the will to condemn Iraq strongly for an act as heinous as the terrorist strike on the World Trade Center.
.
This was at a time when Iraq was launching what proved to be the final

battles of the war against Iran. Its wholesale use of poison gas against Iranian troops and Iranian Kurdish towns, and its threat to place chemical warheads on the missiles it was lobbing at Tehran, brought Iran to its knees.
.
Iraq had also just embarked on a counterinsurgency campaign, called the Anfal, against its rebellious Kurds. In this effort, too, the regime's resort to chemical weapons gave it a decisive edge, enabling the systematic killing of an estimated 100,000 men, women, and children.
.
The deliberate American prevarication on Halabja was the logical, although probably undesired, outcome of a pronounced six-year tilt toward Iraq, seen as a bulwark against the perceived threat posed by Iran's zealous brand of politicized Islam. The United States began the tilt after Iraq, the aggressor in the war, was expelled from Iranian territory by a resurgent Iran, which then decided to pursue its own, fruitless version of regime change in Baghdad. There was little love for what virtually all of Washington recognized as an unsavory regime, but Iraq was considered the lesser evil. Sealed by National Security Decision Directive 114 in 1983, the tilt included billions of dollars in loan guarantees and other credits to Iraq.
.
Sensing correctly that it had carte blanche, Saddam's regime escalated its resort to gas warfare, graduating to ever more lethal agents. Because of the strong Western animus against Iran, few paid heed. Then came Halabja.
.
Unfortunately for Iraq's sponsors, Iran rushed Western reporters to the blighted town. The horrifying scenes they filmed were presented on prime time television a few days later. Soon Ted Koppel could be seen putting the Iraqi ambassador's feet to the fire on Nightline.
.
In response, the United States launched the "Iran too" gambit. The story was cooked up in the Pentagon, interviews with the principals show. A newly declassified State Department document demonstrates that U.S. diplomats received instructions to press this line with U.S. allies, and to decline to discuss the details.
.
It took seven weeks for the UN Security Council to censure the Halabja attack. Even then, its choice of neutral language (condemning the "continued use of chemical weapons in the conflict between the Islamic Republic of Iran and Iraq," and calling on "both sides to refrain from the future use of chemical weapons") diffused the effect of its belated move. Iraq proceeded to step up its use of gas until the end of the war and even afterward, during the final stage of the Anfal campaign, to devastating effect. When I visited Halabja last spring, the town, razed by successive Iranian and Iraqi occupiers, had been rebuilt, but the physical and psychological wounds remained.
.

Some of those who engineered the tilt today are back in power in the
Bush administration.
.
They have yet to account for their judgment that it was Iran, not
Iraq, that posed the primary threat to the Gulf; for building up Iraq
so that it thought it could invade Kuwait and get away with it; for
encouraging Iraq's weapons of mass destruction programs by giving the
regime a de facto green light on chemical weapons use; and for turning
a blind eye to Iraq's worst atrocities, and then lying about it.
.
The writer is preparing a book on U.S. policy toward Iraq, with
partial support from the Open Society Institute and the MacArthur
Foundation.

On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 06:48:23 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>What a gas. First, the libs want women labled as "minorities" so as to
>garnish the attention like other "minorities". Then, when they get that
>recognition, they lambaste people for calling them minorities.
>
>I'm confused...
>

2487. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 07:02:49 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <jch79v8kcchj4ru0etmu6pj0uaj3sju5h5@4ax.com>
Source record: 33112
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

America didn't seem to mind poison gas
Joost R. Hiltermann IHT Friday, January 17, 2003
Halabja

AMMAN, Jordan In calling for regime change in Iraq, George W. Bush has accused Saddam Hussein of being a man who gassed his own people. Bush is right, of course. The public record shows that Saddam's regime repeatedly spread poisonous gases on Kurdish villages in 1987 and 1988 in an attempt to put down a persistent rebellion.
.
The biggest such attack was against Halabja in March 1988. According to local organizations providing relief to the survivors, some 6,800 Kurds were killed, the vast majority of them civilians.
.
It is a good thing that Bush has highlighted these atrocities by a regime that is more brutal than most. Yet it is cynical to use them as a justification for American plans to terminate the regime. By any measure, the American record on Halabja is shameful.
.
Analysis of thousands of captured Iraqi secret police documents and declassified U.S. government documents, as well as interviews with scores of Kurdish survivors, senior Iraqi defectors and retired U.S. intelligence officers, show (1) that Iraq carried out the attack on Halabja, and (2) that the United States, fully aware it was Iraq, accused Iran, Iraq's enemy in a fierce war, of being partly responsible for the attack. The State Department instructed its diplomats to say that Iran was partly to blame. The result of this stunning act of sophistry was that the international community failed to muster the will to condemn Iraq strongly for an act as heinous as the terrorist strike on the World Trade Center.
.
This was at a time when Iraq was launching what proved to be the final

battles of the war against Iran. Its wholesale use of poison gas against Iranian troops and Iranian Kurdish towns, and its threat to place chemical warheads on the missiles it was lobbing at Tehran, brought Iran to its knees.
.
Iraq had also just embarked on a counterinsurgency campaign, called the Anfal, against its rebellious Kurds. In this effort, too, the regime's resort to chemical weapons gave it a decisive edge, enabling the systematic killing of an estimated 100,000 men, women, and children.
.
The deliberate American prevarication on Halabja was the logical, although probably undesired, outcome of a pronounced six-year tilt toward Iraq, seen as a bulwark against the perceived threat posed by Iran's zealous brand of politicized Islam. The United States began the tilt after Iraq, the aggressor in the war, was expelled from Iranian territory by a resurgent Iran, which then decided to pursue its own, fruitless version of regime change in Baghdad. There was little love for what virtually all of Washington recognized as an unsavory regime, but Iraq was considered the lesser evil. Sealed by National Security Decision Directive 114 in 1983, the tilt included billions of dollars in loan guarantees and other credits to Iraq.
.
Sensing correctly that it had carte blanche, Saddam's regime escalated its resort to gas warfare, graduating to ever more lethal agents. Because of the strong Western animus against Iran, few paid heed. Then came Halabja.
.
Unfortunately for Iraq's sponsors, Iran rushed Western reporters to the blighted town. The horrifying scenes they filmed were presented on prime time television a few days later. Soon Ted Koppel could be seen putting the Iraqi ambassador's feet to the fire on Nightline.
.
In response, the United States launched the "Iran too" gambit. The story was cooked up in the Pentagon, interviews with the principals show. A newly declassified State Department document demonstrates that U.S. diplomats received instructions to press this line with U.S. allies, and to decline to discuss the details.
.
It took seven weeks for the UN Security Council to censure the Halabja attack. Even then, its choice of neutral language (condemning the "continued use of chemical weapons in the conflict between the Islamic Republic of Iran and Iraq," and calling on "both sides to refrain from the future use of chemical weapons") diffused the effect of its belated move. Iraq proceeded to step up its use of gas until the end of the war and even afterward, during the final stage of the Anfal campaign, to devastating effect. When I visited Halabja last spring, the town, razed by successive Iranian and Iraqi occupiers, had been rebuilt, but the physical and psychological wounds remained.
.

Some of those who engineered the tilt today are back in power in the
Bush administration.
.
They have yet to account for their judgment that it was Iran, not
Iraq, that posed the primary threat to the Gulf; for building up Iraq
so that it thought it could invade Kuwait and get away with it; for
encouraging Iraq's weapons of mass destruction programs by giving the
regime a de facto green light on chemical weapons use; and for turning
a blind eye to Iraq's worst atrocities, and then lying about it.
.
The writer is preparing a book on U.S. policy toward Iraq, with
partial support from the Open Society Institute and the MacArthur
Foundation.

On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 06:52:34 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote
>
>> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
>> news:v963clace7am9e@corp.supernews.com...
>> > Get off the Communist News Network and tune into FOX for fair and
>balanced
>> > coverage.
>> >
>> Get off the Faux News Network and, while you're at it, don't watch TV news
>> at all. Get a life and look online for what's really happening, from
>> hundreds of sources worldwide.
>>
>> John
>
>yeah - like...Al-Jazeera - "We Report, We've Already Decided!", or CBS - "We
>Report, We Tell You What To Decide, as You Are Too Stupid To Decide For
>Yourself", or ABC - "We Report, And Light Up The Beach During Military
>Landings in the Cover Of Dark", or NBC - "We Report, And Hire People Like
>Peter Arnett To Help Saddam Decide"...
>

2488. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 07:03:04 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <2dh79vcre1omi1uhfa8ro7jkr1cdmefpdu@4ax.com>
Source record: 33113
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

America didn't seem to mind poison gas
Joost R. Hiltermann IHT Friday, January 17, 2003
Halabja

AMMAN, Jordan In calling for regime change in Iraq, George W. Bush has accused Saddam Hussein of being a man who gassed his own people. Bush is right, of course. The public record shows that Saddam's regime repeatedly spread poisonous gases on Kurdish villages in 1987 and 1988 in an attempt to put down a persistent rebellion.
.
The biggest such attack was against Halabja in March 1988. According to local organizations providing relief to the survivors, some 6,800 Kurds were killed, the vast majority of them civilians.
.
It is a good thing that Bush has highlighted these atrocities by a regime that is more brutal than most. Yet it is cynical to use them as a justification for American plans to terminate the regime. By any measure, the American record on Halabja is shameful.
.
Analysis of thousands of captured Iraqi secret police documents and declassified U.S. government documents, as well as interviews with scores of Kurdish survivors, senior Iraqi defectors and retired U.S. intelligence officers, show (1) that Iraq carried out the attack on Halabja, and (2) that the United States, fully aware it was Iraq, accused Iran, Iraq's enemy in a fierce war, of being partly responsible for the attack. The State Department instructed its diplomats to say that Iran was partly to blame. The result of this stunning act of sophistry was that the international community failed to muster the will to condemn Iraq strongly for an act as heinous as the terrorist strike on the World Trade Center.
.
This was at a time when Iraq was launching what proved to be the final

battles of the war against Iran. Its wholesale use of poison gas against Iranian troops and Iranian Kurdish towns, and its threat to place chemical warheads on the missiles it was lobbing at Tehran, brought Iran to its knees.
.
Iraq had also just embarked on a counterinsurgency campaign, called the Anfal, against its rebellious Kurds. In this effort, too, the regime's resort to chemical weapons gave it a decisive edge, enabling the systematic killing of an estimated 100,000 men, women, and children.
.
The deliberate American prevarication on Halabja was the logical, although probably undesired, outcome of a pronounced six-year tilt toward Iraq, seen as a bulwark against the perceived threat posed by Iran's zealous brand of politicized Islam. The United States began the tilt after Iraq, the aggressor in the war, was expelled from Iranian territory by a resurgent Iran, which then decided to pursue its own, fruitless version of regime change in Baghdad. There was little love for what virtually all of Washington recognized as an unsavory regime, but Iraq was considered the lesser evil. Sealed by National Security Decision Directive 114 in 1983, the tilt included billions of dollars in loan guarantees and other credits to Iraq.
.
Sensing correctly that it had carte blanche, Saddam's regime escalated its resort to gas warfare, graduating to ever more lethal agents. Because of the strong Western animus against Iran, few paid heed. Then came Halabja.
.
Unfortunately for Iraq's sponsors, Iran rushed Western reporters to the blighted town. The horrifying scenes they filmed were presented on prime time television a few days later. Soon Ted Koppel could be seen putting the Iraqi ambassador's feet to the fire on Nightline.
.
In response, the United States launched the "Iran too" gambit. The story was cooked up in the Pentagon, interviews with the principals show. A newly declassified State Department document demonstrates that U.S. diplomats received instructions to press this line with U.S. allies, and to decline to discuss the details.
.
It took seven weeks for the UN Security Council to censure the Halabja attack. Even then, its choice of neutral language (condemning the "continued use of chemical weapons in the conflict between the Islamic Republic of Iran and Iraq," and calling on "both sides to refrain from the future use of chemical weapons") diffused the effect of its belated move. Iraq proceeded to step up its use of gas until the end of the war and even afterward, during the final stage of the Anfal campaign, to devastating effect. When I visited Halabja last spring, the town, razed by successive Iranian and Iraqi occupiers, had been rebuilt, but the physical and psychological wounds remained.
.

Some of those who engineered the tilt today are back in power in the
Bush administration.
.
They have yet to account for their judgment that it was Iran, not
Iraq, that posed the primary threat to the Gulf; for building up Iraq
so that it thought it could invade Kuwait and get away with it; for
encouraging Iraq's weapons of mass destruction programs by giving the
regime a de facto green light on chemical weapons use; and for turning
a blind eye to Iraq's worst atrocities, and then lying about it.
.
The writer is preparing a book on U.S. policy toward Iraq, with
partial support from the Open Society Institute and the MacArthur
Foundation.

On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 06:53:22 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Jay "Boogieman" Edwards" wrote
>
>> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in news:3E9245BF.1020500@mailcity.moc:
>> >
>> > Q wrote:
>> >>
>> >> johnny...and logic...in the same sentence...
>> >
>> > Yea, it's one of them epoxymorons.
>>
>> HA!! <wiping coffee off monitor>
>
>Just so you didn't get it on the keyboard...
>

2489. HDTV/Digital TV Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 07:41:08 UTC
From: Ginger Eakle <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <v97jm4chp7lp43@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33196

Hi, does anyone know what frequencies are used for the High definition
digital TV channels?
If so could you post them?
Thanks

2490. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 08:01:27 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <rrQka.63469$ug3.133024@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

So you think that all God does is sit around and think about Man?

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E937174.42E267F2@email.com...
> > > WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
> > >
> >
> > God's Purpose . . .
> > - The Heavens and the Earth
>
> All alone by himself, these things would be worthless.
>
> > - - All of Creation
> > - - - For We are Star Stuff ~ Amen
>
> Now you just entered MAN into the picture again.
>
> WITHOUT man, what would God's purpose be?
>
>

2491. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 08:02:22 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <isQka.416686$sf5.741907@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

When I was born, the doctor slapped my mother.

"BH" <radiobillx@xgbronline.com> wrote in message
news:3E936C98.9000000@xgbronline.com...
>
>
> John Simmons wrote:
>
> > No parents are that idiotic.
>
> When johnnyboy was born the doctor turned to his parents and said,
> "Look, I just deliver them, I don't explain them."
>

2492. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 08:03:28 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <ktQka.63485$ug3.133154@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I think you could do extremely well if you were able to collect money for
your emotional distress.

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E936FAF.EA5AD451@email.com...
> > And that is what I cannot stand about Christian hypocrisy..... using
> > scriptures as a person see's fit. That and, all you have to do is say
"I'm
> > sorry... forgive me" and apparently everything is all hunky-dorey again.
>
> By their own admission, I can live a life of crime, and as long as on my
death
> bed I ask Jesus for forgiveness, I can go into heaven too.
>
> Or, I could take the case to court, and explain that Jesus/God said that
all
> men are sinners. Therefore, if anyone currently exists in heaven, that
means
> not letting other sinners in would be discrimination and I would sue for
> damages and emotional distress.
>
>
>

2493. off subject, 8track??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 08:10:07 UTC
From: kc5cqa@devtex.net
Message-ID: <3e96d545.5291774@news.devtex.net>
Source record: 33048

I know this is off subject, but there is a lot of good input here. I am posting for a friend, he has a Cobra 146gtl,SSB that was in storage for several years, and he would like to have a 'ballpart' idea of what to ask for the unit on sale.????? From my point of view apperance wise I would say "8 on a scale of 10'. There is NO mobil mount..
He also has two '8 track' Stereo Recorders, brand name ,,,, CRAIG about a 7 or 8 on 'the scale',,,,, the second 8 track recorder is a JVC, on the 'scale' about a 9.
I had 8 track when I was younger, but didn't know until I saw these units that there WERE 8 track recorders ''''outthere''''. I will relay any and all info about the sale value of these three units.
Thanks in advance. cl.

2494. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 08:54:19 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB957FB.15DF7%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33100
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dr. John" wrote:
>
> Get off the Faux News Network and, while you're at it,
> don't watch TV news at all. (snip)

Amen to that, John. I'm disgusted with the coverage by the cable and broadcast news channels. However, my preference is shortwave - my shortwave receiver is now working overtime. Each country obviously has a bias, but at least I hear different viewpoints with some basis in reality.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2495. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 08:56:37 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB95885.15DF8%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33101
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Cordell Ward" wrote:

> They've been finding "suspected chem weapons", or our
> sources "say" iraq may use WMD", or our troops "may
> have found..." etc. etc etc. all along.

Oh, I've heard all about the suspected, maybe, and might. What I haven't heard too much of is fact.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2496. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 09:02:35 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB959EB.15DFA%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:

> Get off the Communist News Network and tune into
> FOX for fair and balanced coverage.

Huh? Fox with fair and balanced coverage? You have got to be kidding. They are among the worst when it comes to twisting a story. Wait until something happens in your town that is covered by Fox. You'll quickly see how much they twist things to fit their views.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2497. Re: Pro-43 Strange Problem - NOT Defective!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 09:08:39 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB95B56.15DFC%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33106

"Skweezieweezie" wrote:
>
> Most places have VERY little or no analog cell
> activity anymore.

But that would not have happened so entirely in the moments he was listening. Even if one company switched to digital, competing companies would not likely have switched at the same moment. In other words, he should still hear something.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2498. Re: Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 09:11:36 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ctRka.54817$JI.14977140@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 33104

"Greg S" <ghs521@aol.comiamnot> wrote in message
news:20030408235730.08657.00000717@mb-fq.aol.com...
> >It's the antenna, not the radio...
>
>
> Agree, the radio is great. The supplied antenna sucks.

So which antenna do you use?

>
> Greg

2499. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 09:14:43 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7wRka.54818$JI.14978747@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 33105
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:XmPka.76693$0X.14831846@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> What a gas. First, the libs want women labled as "minorities" so as to
> garnish the attention like other "minorities". Then, when they get that
> recognition, they lambaste people for calling them minorities.
>
> I'm confused...

You're supposed to be!

>
>

2500. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 09:29:21 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304090129.381fc10c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

MM,

Ah... But 'you' Miss the Point.
- For the Believer it is a Matter of Faith.
- - Proof is NOT Required.
- - - Faith is What Matters.
- - - - And FAITH Is All That Is Needed
- - - - - For the True BELIEVER [.]
With FAITH in God {Allah}. . . All Things Are Possible.

God {Allah} is Good !

God {Allah} is Merciful !

God's {Allah's} Peace Be Upon the World.

God's {Allah's} Word Brings Knowledge and Understanding.

Peace is a Blessing from God {Allah}.
- Earned By Those Who Armor Themselves in His Word.
- - And Act Righteously in His Name.
- - - For They are Truly Blessed On-Earth and In-Heaven.

God {Allah} is Strong and Powerful !

God {Allah} Bless Our America Fighting Men and Women - Amen

God's {Allah's} Peace Be with America's HomeLand Defenders.

God {Allah} Watch Over Our America Fighting Men and Women and
Strengthen their Spirit and Resolve.

May God's {Allah's} Peace Be with America's Fallen Warriors and
Comfort their Families, Friends and Love Ones.

May God's {Allah's} Strength, Kindness, Mercy and Wisdom 'abide' with
America's Leaders - Amen.

For I Have FAITH and I Believe ~ RHF
.
.
= = = Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca> wrote in message
= = = news:<3E938A19.8050203@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>...
> Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX wrote:
> > Actually neither side of the coin is true.....
>
> The law of the excluded middle forbids that outcome.

>
>
> > he does/did not exist but yet
> > he does. Non-believers cannot prove any more than the believers of any fact
> > regarding the whole issue..... It's kinda like a dog chasing its own tail.
>
> The burden of proof rests with the believer.

2501. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 09:46:36 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAB9643B.15DFE%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33114
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Mark Howell" wrote:
>
> You are playing word games. Women are not a minority.
> They come in all ethnicities, last time I checked.

Within the military, and most other government agencies, minorities are broken down by race, sex, ethnic background, and so on (a long list). Women are a minority within the military. One only has to look to see that. If that doesn't convince you, discuss it with the equal opportunity offices of the various military branches or the Pentagon (I don't make the definitions).

> You originally claimed falsely that racial minorities
> are a majority in the military. By any accepted
> definition this is untrue.

I made no reference to race in that claim. Blacks within the military (the overall topic of this thread) are only one minority group. You yourself mentioned one or two others. My comment included all minorities within the military, including some you obviously don't recognize as such.

> This discussion has gotten ridiculous. Thanks for
> playing, and goodbye.

Well, sorry you're leaving so upset about this, Mark. Take care.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2502. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 10:45:11 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <7du79v43akjdkgr2fprc5g6p658dtep27m@4ax.com>
Source record: 33116
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

America didn't seem to mind poison gas
Joost R. Hiltermann IHT Friday, January 17, 2003
Halabja

AMMAN, Jordan In calling for regime change in Iraq, George W. Bush has accused Saddam Hussein of being a man who gassed his own people. Bush is right, of course. The public record shows that Saddam's regime repeatedly spread poisonous gases on Kurdish villages in 1987 and 1988 in an attempt to put down a persistent rebellion.
.
The biggest such attack was against Halabja in March 1988. According to local organizations providing relief to the survivors, some 6,800 Kurds were killed, the vast majority of them civilians.
.
It is a good thing that Bush has highlighted these atrocities by a regime that is more brutal than most. Yet it is cynical to use them as a justification for American plans to terminate the regime. By any measure, the American record on Halabja is shameful.
.
Analysis of thousands of captured Iraqi secret police documents and declassified U.S. government documents, as well as interviews with scores of Kurdish survivors, senior Iraqi defectors and retired U.S. intelligence officers, show (1) that Iraq carried out the attack on Halabja, and (2) that the United States, fully aware it was Iraq, accused Iran, Iraq's enemy in a fierce war, of being partly responsible for the attack. The State Department instructed its diplomats to say that Iran was partly to blame. The result of this stunning act of sophistry was that the international community failed to muster the will to condemn Iraq strongly for an act as heinous as the terrorist strike on the World Trade Center.
.
This was at a time when Iraq was launching what proved to be the final

battles of the war against Iran. Its wholesale use of poison gas against Iranian troops and Iranian Kurdish towns, and its threat to place chemical warheads on the missiles it was lobbing at Tehran, brought Iran to its knees.
.
Iraq had also just embarked on a counterinsurgency campaign, called the Anfal, against its rebellious Kurds. In this effort, too, the regime's resort to chemical weapons gave it a decisive edge, enabling the systematic killing of an estimated 100,000 men, women, and children.
.
The deliberate American prevarication on Halabja was the logical, although probably undesired, outcome of a pronounced six-year tilt toward Iraq, seen as a bulwark against the perceived threat posed by Iran's zealous brand of politicized Islam. The United States began the tilt after Iraq, the aggressor in the war, was expelled from Iranian territory by a resurgent Iran, which then decided to pursue its own, fruitless version of regime change in Baghdad. There was little love for what virtually all of Washington recognized as an unsavory regime, but Iraq was considered the lesser evil. Sealed by National Security Decision Directive 114 in 1983, the tilt included billions of dollars in loan guarantees and other credits to Iraq.
.
Sensing correctly that it had carte blanche, Saddam's regime escalated its resort to gas warfare, graduating to ever more lethal agents. Because of the strong Western animus against Iran, few paid heed. Then came Halabja.
.
Unfortunately for Iraq's sponsors, Iran rushed Western reporters to the blighted town. The horrifying scenes they filmed were presented on prime time television a few days later. Soon Ted Koppel could be seen putting the Iraqi ambassador's feet to the fire on Nightline.
.
In response, the United States launched the "Iran too" gambit. The story was cooked up in the Pentagon, interviews with the principals show. A newly declassified State Department document demonstrates that U.S. diplomats received instructions to press this line with U.S. allies, and to decline to discuss the details.
.
It took seven weeks for the UN Security Council to censure the Halabja attack. Even then, its choice of neutral language (condemning the "continued use of chemical weapons in the conflict between the Islamic Republic of Iran and Iraq," and calling on "both sides to refrain from the future use of chemical weapons") diffused the effect of its belated move. Iraq proceeded to step up its use of gas until the end of the war and even afterward, during the final stage of the Anfal campaign, to devastating effect. When I visited Halabja last spring, the town, razed by successive Iranian and Iraqi occupiers, had been rebuilt, but the physical and psychological wounds remained.
.

Some of those who engineered the tilt today are back in power in the
Bush administration.
.
They have yet to account for their judgment that it was Iran, not
Iraq, that posed the primary threat to the Gulf; for building up Iraq
so that it thought it could invade Kuwait and get away with it; for
encouraging Iraq's weapons of mass destruction programs by giving the
regime a de facto green light on chemical weapons use; and for turning
a blind eye to Iraq's worst atrocities, and then lying about it.
.
The writer is preparing a book on U.S. policy toward Iraq, with
partial support from the Open Society Institute and the MacArthur
Foundation.

On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 10:46:20 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:7wRka.54818$JI.14978747@twister.neo.rr.com...
>>
>> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
>> news:XmPka.76693$0X.14831846@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>> > What a gas. First, the libs want women labled as "minorities" so as to
>> > garnish the attention like other "minorities". Then, when they get that
>> > recognition, they lambaste people for calling them minorities.
>> >
>> > I'm confused...
>>
>> You're supposed to be!
>
>Oh, okay...
>
>:-)
>

2503. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 10:46:20 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <0SSka.77386$0X.14925219@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33115
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:7wRka.54818$JI.14978747@twister.neo.rr.com...
>
> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:XmPka.76693$0X.14831846@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > What a gas. First, the libs want women labled as "minorities" so as to
> > garnish the attention like other "minorities". Then, when they get that
> > recognition, they lambaste people for calling them minorities.
> >
> > I'm confused...
>
> You're supposed to be!

Oh, okay...

:-)

2504. FS : YAESU VR-120 Receiver / scanner - REDUCED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 11:02:45 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <p5Tka.163$mC1.220761@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33117
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am selling a new in the box condition YAESU VR-120 receiver / scanner.
Includes manual, all original accessories.

$75.00 plus $5.00 to cover UPS ground shipping, insured.

I can accept PAYPAL, US Postal money order, certified checks, or a personal
check. (Personal checks will delay shipping until they clear).

Check eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

NO TRADES.

E-Mail if interested.

Dan

2505. FS: 80CH UHF PORTABLES & EXTRA'S!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 11:29:50 UTC
From: David & Daphne Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <dv089vocbltnes64otq4iqmfmib0f7ibs2@4ax.com>
Source record: 33057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

(2) Midland Model 70-255B 80ch *UHF* SCAN 2/5watt 450-470mhz,
(2) Model 70-C06 Rapid Chargers, (2) Leather Cases with belt-loop ,
(2) Model 70-M188 Lapel Spkr-mics with clip on back,
(4) 70-B34 High Capacity Batteries 7.5v @ 1800mha!!
2- NEW-IN BOX, 2- Used approx. 40hrs
(2) stubby UHF antennas.

All items are in excellent to perfect condition rates 9-10 or better. Org. boxes and instructions for chargers. Radios are "FULLY PROGRAMABLE" and include options like a back-lit display and priority function. Full spec sheet included. Radios are fully functional "except" the DTMF pad of which is only decorative cover. Ch's 1-3 are on repeater freqs while Ch 4-11 are on "talk-around" or "simplex" freqs. Multi-digital pics avail. Perfect for hunting, fishing, security and many other applications.

$200USD + shipping

2506. Al-Jazeera's web support cancelled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 12:19:02 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <WcUka.77398$0X.14975585@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33118
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

08/04/2003

Akamai Technologies has cancelled its contract with Arabic satellite news channel Al-Jazeera. The company had briefly been providing web server services for the channel's Arabic- and recently launched English-language websites.

The company gave no reason why it had ended its relationship with the network.

Meanwhile, Yahoo is refusing to run advertising from Al-Jazeera due to "war-related sensitivity".

Al-Jazeera has not had much luck in the last few weeks, with massive traffic shutting down the network's websites followed by a wave of pro-war hacking attacks that completely blocked access to the channel's English site, and it 's reporters in Iraq have been denounced by the Iraqi government and bombed by the Americans.

2507. Re: Al-Jazeera's web support cancelled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 12:21:28 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <00489vsoi0v7ehvothar0oprjh0apevq9m@4ax.com>
Source record: 33145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Today the Twenty First Century starts with another blot on our collective soul. America, shining beacon to

the world, the land of democracy, liberty and truth, has just as often shown the world greed, death and

dictatorship.

All too often the United States has betrayed its highest ideals to wage wars of lust and greed. The native

peoples here when the Europeans arrived suffered through war, displacement and genocide because they

had the land and gold we wanted. Mexico owned the Texas and California that were our self-declared

destiny and we took them by force. We have fought Spain, Cuba, Columbia, the Philippines and Hawaii for

control of naval stations and shipping routes. More recently the U.S. has kept tight control of Middle

Eastern oil by propping up dictators in Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, and Pakistan.

The horrific events of 9/11 would make any country tremble. But with the right leadership we could have

shown the world our steady resolve and courage and we could have celebrated an American love and life

and liberty that no airplane could destroy. But President George W. Bush has had uses for terror. For

eighteen months he has beaten the drums of revenge till fear has become a second heartbeat in our

pysche. Simmer America over a low flame of fear and spice it with contempt for the world and you can

bring her and her people to cry hungrily for blood.

By now, most Americans have come to believe that Saddam Hussein was somehow behind 9/11. Like a

schoolboy crossing his fingers behind his back, President Bush has repeatedly hinted at a connection he

knows doesnï¿½t exist. He winks at reporters while he lies to the American people. George W. must have

been listening in class when he read Lincolnï¿½s assurance that you can fool most of the people at least

some of the time. ï¿½Remember 911!ï¿½ has become the rallying cry for this new war, a cry of fear and bullying

ignorance that takes its place in a large collection of presidential demagoguery, right next to ï¿½Remember

the Maine!ï¿½

Yet again America loses herself to this appetite for blood and oil.

Fortunately there are those who remember that this is not our destiny. There are those who know we stand

for more than fear and lust. With every war there have been people who have said No!, sometimes

immediately and sometimes after the glow fades from the advancing armyï¿½s glory. These protesters and

truth-tellers are the true foot-soldiers of American greatness. May God bless the protests, may we shout

out with righteous anger and irredeemable love that our country stands for a greatness that canï¿½t be

measured by our armies.

In peace,
Martin Kelley
Nonviolence.Org Publisher

On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 12:19:02 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>08/04/2003
>
>Akamai Technologies has cancelled its contract with Arabic satellite news
>channel Al-Jazeera. The company had briefly been providing web server

>services for the channel's Arabic- and recently launched English-language
>websites.
>
>The company gave no reason why it had ended its relationship with the
>network.
>
>Meanwhile, Yahoo is refusing to run advertising from Al-Jazeera due to
>"war-related sensitivity".
>
>Al-Jazeera has not had much luck in the last few weeks, with massive traffic
>shutting down the network's websites followed by a wave of pro-war hacking
>attacks that completely blocked access to the channel's English site, and it
>'s reporters in Iraq have been denounced by the Iraqi government and bombed
>by the Americans.
>

2508. Re: Icom R2/antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 12:46:25 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <20030409084625.28679.00000623@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 33119

>So which antenna do you use?

I mainly use a short rubber duck.
A Comet MH209, IIRC.

Greg

2509. !!!!!!!!!!!!! BYE BYE SADDAM !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 13:22:18 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <e8Vka.77420$0X.15018562@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33122

Over....and OUT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2510. Re: !!!!!!!!!!!!! BYE BYE SADDAM !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 13:27:17 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <VcVka.77444$0X.15022247@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33123

Iraqis are taking to the streets, carrying signs that say

GO HOME HUMAN SHIELDS!!!

LMAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2511. Re: !!!!!!!!!!!!! BYE BYE SADDAM !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 13:33:30 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <39889vgq455aq52fq6bea7odr9ms106bhh@4ax.com>
Source record: 33146

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Today the Twenty First Century starts with another blot on our collective soul. America, shining beacon to

the world, the land of democracy, liberty and truth, has just as often shown the world greed, death and

dictatorship.

All too often the United States has betrayed its highest ideals to wage wars of lust and greed. The native

peoples here when the Europeans arrived suffered through war, displacement and genocide because they

had the land and gold we wanted. Mexico owned the Texas and California that were our self-declared

destiny and we took them by force. We have fought Spain, Cuba, Columbia, the Philippines and Hawaii for

control of naval stations and shipping routes. More recently the U.S. has kept tight control of Middle

Eastern oil by propping up dictators in Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, and Pakistan.

The horrific events of 9/11 would make any country tremble. But with the right leadership we could have

shown the world our steady resolve and courage and we could have celebrated an American love and life

and liberty that no airplane could destroy. But President George W. Bush has had uses for terror. For

eighteen months he has beaten the drums of revenge till fear has become a second heartbeat in our

pysche. Simmer America over a low flame of fear and spice it with contempt for the world and you can

bring her and her people to cry hungrily for blood.

By now, most Americans have come to believe that Saddam Hussein was somehow behind 9/11. Like a

schoolboy crossing his fingers behind his back, President Bush has repeatedly hinted at a connection he

knows doesnï¿½t exist. He winks at reporters while he lies to the American people. George W. must have

been listening in class when he read Lincolnï¿½s assurance that you can fool most of the people at least

some of the time. ï¿½Remember 911!ï¿½ has become the rallying cry for this new war, a cry of fear and bullying

ignorance that takes its place in a large collection of presidential demagoguery, right next to ï¿½Remember

the Maine!ï¿½

Yet again America loses herself to this appetite for blood and oil.

Fortunately there are those who remember that this is not our destiny. There are those who know we stand

for more than fear and lust. With every war there have been people who have said No!, sometimes

immediately and sometimes after the glow fades from the advancing armyï¿½s glory. These protesters and

truth-tellers are the true foot-soldiers of American greatness. May God bless the protests, may we shout

out with righteous anger and irredeemable love that our country stands for a greatness that canï¿½t be

measured by our armies.

In peace,
Martin Kelley
Nonviolence.Org Publisher

On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 13:22:18 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>Over....and OUT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

2512. Re: !!!!!!!!!!!!! BYE BYE SADDAM !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 13:33:43 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <i9889vgl1fq58j63oqog2joh23cd8ute29@4ax.com>
Source record: 33047

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Today the Twenty First Century starts with another blot on our collective soul. America, shining beacon to

the world, the land of democracy, liberty and truth, has just as often shown the world greed, death and

dictatorship.

All too often the United States has betrayed its highest ideals to wage wars of lust and greed. The native

peoples here when the Europeans arrived suffered through war, displacement and genocide because they

had the land and gold we wanted. Mexico owned the Texas and California that were our self-declared

destiny and we took them by force. We have fought Spain, Cuba, Columbia, the Philippines and Hawaii for

control of naval stations and shipping routes. More recently the U.S. has kept tight control of Middle

Eastern oil by propping up dictators in Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, and Pakistan.

The horrific events of 9/11 would make any country tremble. But with the right leadership we could have

shown the world our steady resolve and courage and we could have celebrated an American love and life

and liberty that no airplane could destroy. But President George W. Bush has had uses for terror. For

eighteen months he has beaten the drums of revenge till fear has become a second heartbeat in our

pysche. Simmer America over a low flame of fear and spice it with contempt for the world and you can

bring her and her people to cry hungrily for blood.

By now, most Americans have come to believe that Saddam Hussein was somehow behind 9/11. Like a

schoolboy crossing his fingers behind his back, President Bush has repeatedly hinted at a connection he

knows doesnï¿½t exist. He winks at reporters while he lies to the American people. George W. must have

been listening in class when he read Lincolnï¿½s assurance that you can fool most of the people at least

some of the time. ï¿½Remember 911!ï¿½ has become the rallying cry for this new war, a cry of fear and bullying

ignorance that takes its place in a large collection of presidential demagoguery, right next to ï¿½Remember

the Maine!ï¿½

Yet again America loses herself to this appetite for blood and oil.

Fortunately there are those who remember that this is not our destiny. There are those who know we stand

for more than fear and lust. With every war there have been people who have said No!, sometimes

immediately and sometimes after the glow fades from the advancing armyï¿½s glory. These protesters and

truth-tellers are the true foot-soldiers of American greatness. May God bless the protests, may we shout

out with righteous anger and irredeemable love that our country stands for a greatness that canï¿½t be

measured by our armies.

In peace,
Martin Kelley
Nonviolence.Org Publisher

On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 13:27:17 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>Iraqis are taking to the streets, carrying signs that say
>
>GO HOME HUMAN SHIELDS!!!
>

>LMAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

2513. Re: Looking for an inexspensive APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 13:53:56 UTC
From: Its Me! <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UBVka.23542$D31.1724801@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 33124
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I would go up to about $250.00 for something decent.I don't like to pay new prices for a used radio if you know what I mean.I see used radios selling for same or more than a new one.
"Randy A. Hefner" <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@murs-usa.com> wrote in message news:36117900.0304081406.40fbcb01@posting.google.com...
> "Its Me!" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:<C6lka.21374$D31.1158956@news1.news.adelphia.net>...
> > I am looking for an inexspensive APRS radio that I can afford.
>
> What can you afford?

2514. Re: Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 13:59:25 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1HVka.15582$ey1.1354906@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33125

I use the Radio Shack center-loaded whip or for better H.F. reception, a
Maxxon WTA-1 whip.

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply.
Grundig S350, 780XLT, DX-392, 398, 440, YB400PE, FR-200,
Ray Jefferson 660ADF, R75, R2, VR-500,
SRIII, Halli S-38, 38e, Nc 60 special, H500, and too many Rat
Shack scanners to mention...

Who has a problem????
"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:ctRka.54817$JI.14977140@twister.neo.rr.com...
>
> "Greg S" <ghs521@aol.comiamnot> wrote in message
> news:20030408235730.08657.00000717@mb-fq.aol.com...
> > >It's the antenna, not the radio...
> >
> >
> > Agree, the radio is great. The supplied antenna sucks.
>
> So which antenna do you use?
>
> >
> > Greg
>
>

2515. arc780 and recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 14:20:42 UTC
From: loksim <loksim4@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <b71a7q$2ue$1@news.onet.pl>
Source record: 33126

hi

simple question: can arc780 record to wav or mp3 ?
I have looked for this options and nothing...

loksim

2516. Re: Base scanner that does simultaneous conventional and trunked scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 14:57:24 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <oxWka.3370$B4.55672@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 33127

I just purchased a BC780XLT because it does both. It also has the alpha tags
which I love.

Jim

"Jim Taylor" <jtaylor02@snet.net> wrote in message
news:ee%ja.12025$M21.3470595173@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com...
> I want to know what is the best base scanner that will allow simultaneous
> conventional and trunked scanning. What would you recommend and why?
>
>
> jtaylor02
>
>

2517. Re: Scanner brands???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 15:17:21 UTC
From: FrankRF2@cableone.net
Message-ID: <08e89vcr9jse8al6r6kg63vv6lf53b81c6@4ax.com>
Source record: 33128

On Tue, 08 Apr 2003 21:05:48 GMT, kc5cqa@devtex.net wrote:

>>Could you name some other brand scanners besides those of Radio Shack?
>>cl. thanks

More than happy to name one, I have a Uniden Bearcat Trunk Tracker II
and could not be happier. One of the main things I like about it is it
can be interfaced to the PC with free and/or chea software. Makes
programming a looooooot easier.

--

Regards,
Frank R Firestone II

2518. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 16:34:33 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E944BD7.CE116BD8@email.com>
Source record: 33129
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> "Cordell Ward" wrote:
>
> > They've been finding "suspected chem weapons", or our
> > sources "say" iraq may use WMD", or our troops "may
> > have found..." etc. etc etc. all along.
>
> Oh, I've heard all about the suspected, maybe, and might. What I haven't
> heard too much of is fact.

And the one time we were given any proof, it ended up being a forged document
from the US.

2519. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 16:37:53 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E944C9F.9029A25E@email.com>
Source record: 33130
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> "Dr. John" wrote:
> >
> > Get off the Faux News Network and, while you're at it,
> > don't watch TV news at all. (snip)
>
> Amen to that, John. I'm disgusted with the coverage by the cable and
> broadcast news channels. However, my preference is shortwave - my shortwave
> receiver is now working overtime. Each country obviously has a bias, but at
> least I hear different viewpoints with some basis in reality.

During the first Gulf War, it was reported that the sales of SW radios went up. More than a few people realized that to get the news, they would have to listen to other sources. This time the internet is being more used, although many are using the internet to download the streaming SW stations that they have a harder time pulling in with their $49 radio shack specials.

2520. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 16:44:53 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E944E44.F120A029@email.com>
Source record: 33131
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
>
> > Get off the Communist News Network and tune into
> > FOX for fair and balanced coverage.
>
> Huh? Fox with fair and balanced coverage?

He was just being sarcastic. Everyone knows the deal with Fox News.
Besides, when a news source has to tell you every 5 minutes, and end every story with "We are fair and balanced" or "the most trusted name in news" (cnn) a red flag should be shooting up to tell you something is wrong with the source having to tell you that.

Like if a kid comes up to his parents and after every sentence would say something like: "I have not done anything wrong today" or "I didn't take any cookies out of the cookie jar"

We immediately know the kid is up to something. Same with Fox News and CNN.
You don't need to TELL someone if you are fair and balanced if you don't support the war 100%.

2521. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 16:46:14 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v98jimfql4s8c2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Do you not sit around and think of YOUR children?

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:rrQka.63469$ug3.133024@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> So you think that all God does is sit around and think about Man?
>
>
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E937174.42E267F2@email.com...
> > > > WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?
> > > >
> > >
> > > God's Purpose . . .
> > > - The Heavens and the Earth
> >
> > All alone by himself, these things would be worthless.
> >
> > > - - All of Creation
> > > - - - For We are Star Stuff ~ Amen
> >
> > Now you just entered MAN into the picture again.
> >
> > WITHOUT man, what would God's purpose be?
> >
> >
>
>

2522. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 16:52:27 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E945009.3A7E84E9@email.com>
Source record: 33133
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> Iraqis on the ground have started talking to U.S. troops,
> detailing everything from the lack of adequate health care

On a recent American television program on A&E, they ran the Biography of Saddam and mentioned what poor shape Iraq was in before Saddam came to power. They mentioned how the economy greatly improved after his taking charge, and how Iraq then had one of the best health care systems in the Middle East.

It was the US sanctions that have been responsible for the lack of food and medicine over the past 12 years causing thousands of deaths. Then after making sure they don't have any food and medicine for 12 years, Bush comes in now with trucks of food and makes it appear he is the hero, when it was them who took the food away in the first place.

That would be like me punching you in the face for 12 years, then all of a sudden I stop punching you and you look to me as a hero and thank me for not punching you any more. (Oh, and blame the 12 years of punching you in the face on Saddam.)

> to the
> execution of entire families

This is being done by the US military.

2523. Re: Scanner brands???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 16:54:16 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.09.16.54.14.870289@njmc.com>
Source record: 33134

On Tue, 08 Apr 2003 21:05:48 +0000, kc5cq wrote:

> Could you name some other brand scanners besides those of Radio Shack?
> cl. thanks

Uniden
AOR
Alinco
Icom
Yaesu (VR-500)

The last three are very popular manufacturers of amateur radio
transceivers.

--
John LeMay
KC2KTH
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC | http://www.njmc.com | Phone 732-557-4848
Specializing in Microsoft and Unix based solutions

2524. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 17:16:28 UTC
From: rburke@mail.com
Message-ID: <9697f203.0304090916.75320029@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33135
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote

> Yeah, and the black helicopters are still here...

Wrong color helicopters: the black ones are the ones harrying the rightwing nutjobs, who think they belong to the UN, a communiz orgnizashun tryna make a wurld guv'mint tha'll take away our land 'n' guns, includin' the Leitner-Wise LW15/.499 ah goes a-huntin with.

And snide mockery in place of the ol' reliable ad hominem, but the song remains the same: still no refutation.

2525. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 17:35:47 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304090935.2319cd6b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ryan, Ryan. RYAN !

How Small Am I ?
- I AM AS GREAT AS THE UNIVERSE
- - FOR I AM MADE OF STAR STUFF
- - - AND MY GOD {ALLAH} IS REAL !

Ah... But 'you' Miss the Point.
- For the Believer it is a Matter of Faith.
- - Proof is NOT Required.
- - - Faith is What Matters.
- - - - And FAITH Is All That Is Needed
- - - - - For the True BELIEVER [.]
With FAITH in God {Allah}. . . All Things Are Possible.

For I Have FAITH and I Believe ~ RHF
.
.
= = = "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message
= = = news:<b714r6$9kl5r$3@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>...
>
>
> As to the first part of the statement, God is a concept, not a fact. It is
> a perception for those feeling small about themselves and need to form
> self-justification through an imaginary figure.
>
> Ryan
>
>

2526. Re: Need 866 MHz Antenna Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 17:55:29 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0304090955.7f6f6ee5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33137
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've narrowed my search down to either:

Maxrad MYA80612 Series 824-896MHz $144
or
Cushcraft PC8010N Series 824-894MHz $112

Any comments on who is better Maxrad or Cushcraft? I'm interested in
quality construction that's built to last.

I still need to find a good rotator.

Thanks!
John

2527. Re: WMD - Just Like WE ALL Thought:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 17:57:58 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <GaZka.121280$OV.220982@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 33138

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message news:...
> Rumsfeld is at this moment is describing how the WMD may have been "Moved
> out of the country"!
> hahahahahahah
>
> IT's a SHELL GAME
> gee ...dedoidedoi ... where'd they go?
>
>

2528. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 18:21:47 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E9464FA.F415C872@email.com>
Source record: 33139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cordell Ward wrote:

> So you think that all God does is sit around and think about Man?
>
> > > > WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?

No, I realize that there is not God sitting around thinking about man.

If you would try to ANSWER the question, you would realize that too.

WITHOUT MAN, WHAT WOULD GOD'S PURPOSE BE?

2529. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 18:25:31 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <tAZka.3230$Zd.2644@fed1read07>
Source record: 33140
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Bernie Kolchak" <rburke@mail.com> wrote in message
news:9697f203.0304081955.740d54fc@posting.google.com...

Wow. Truly a pleasure to read something intelligent on usenet...

You're absolutely right Bernie, in addition to my theory there could also be some motives in the CIA and other intelligence agencies to discredit Bush, especially with the presidential election coming next year. The US might also have a desire to see how our military fares in this war. One big live fire excercise where the military can stretch its' legs and test new toys without running much of a risk of heavy losses. If that's true then the question is what is this a "warm up" for?

I think you're on the right track, as am I, and the truth lies where we both are headed Bernie. I think each member of the "free" world is out to grab as much as they can while pointing the finger of accusation at the others. I think this is going to come to a head in the next few years and we are gonna see a global war like no other in history.

As for the Russians though, I woudln't count them out of this just yet. You refuted my statement that they are shaking their nuclear finger at us, and maybe they aren't in the literal sense of the word, but if you pay attention to Putin's statements, the threating posture of the former Soviet Union is very thinly veiled. I suspect when we are done in Iraq and we start making noise at North Korea, the Russians will be much more vocal than they were this time.

Again, just my opinion. I'm not a CIA agent and do not have access to any special information. I'm just really good at reading between lines....

-me

2530. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 18:41:18 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E94698C.5C0F99D8@email.com>
Source record: 33141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" wrote:

> Actually neither side of the coin is true..... he does/did not exist but yet
> he does.

The fact that you are stating that something both exists, and does not exist,
shows the fault in your statement.
Only one can be true.

> Non-believers cannot prove any more than the believers of any fact
> regarding the whole issue.

The fact that a believer can not prove it as fact, tends to prove that it is not
fact.

In everycase of an argument where no one can prove the existence of something,
it is up to the one who claims that something exists, to show proof.

For example:

I claim that a giant 3 ton 500 foot long invisible monster with 17 heads lives
and exists on planet Pluto.

I can not prove my belief, but now watch this..... YOU can NOT disprove that
this monster does NOT exist, can you? Go ahead and PROVE it doesn't exist.
TRY. You can not.

(just as Iraq could not PROVE it did NOT have any more chemical weapons if they
didn't have any more)

If I continue to argue that my monster exists, yet am not able to show any
proof, everyone with an intelligent brain would know I was crazy to believe in
something that no one can ever prove DOES exist!

Would you believe in my monster and worship it just because someone told you
to? This is what idiots do when told to believe in Gods! They have never
been spoken to, or seen, or have been shown anything in reality to prove there
is any God, yet they believe in it because they were TOLD to.

Most would agree that if I can not give any proof of my monster, that in itself
would be PROOF that it does not exist. Because I am not saying something that
would be possible, or normal to believe. People believed that Iraq still had
chemical weapons left from what the US gave them, only because that would not be
something that seems to impossible from reality. If you sad Iraq had a
machine that allowed them to travel through time, then people would say
bullshit, because this is way out of the standards of observed reality to
believe without any proof.

So, unless anyone can show proof of a God that can do impossible physical feats according to observed reality, the intelligent person knows that the lack of proof of this, and the proof that any believers are only believing in the God because they were brought up and told to, not because they themselves witness the God, is enough PROOF to know that this God does not exist.

> .... It's kinda like a dog chasing its own tail.

It is nothing even close to this analogy. It is more like someone believing in my invisible 17 headed monster living on planet pluto.

You can't prove that it does not exist, because I made sure to create him to be something that can not been seen (invisible) and is somewhere where you can not go to check or disprove it. Exactly like God!

God has evolved over human evolution. First Gods were statues. Then people could prove a statue was not a god. Then the Sun became a god. Now we have the intelligence and knowledge to know that the sun is not a god. So God evolved into something that can not been seen, touched, smelled, or observed by any means whatsoever, and is located in such a place that no one can go to and verify, or use the Hubbell telescope to find.

The fact that God has evolved like this over human civilizations, is again PROOF that no God exists.

2531. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 18:53:37 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <l%Zka.167$fX1.8418@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 33142
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E944C9F.9029A25E@email.com...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > "Dr. John" wrote:
> > >
> > > Get off the Faux News Network and, while you're at it,
> > > don't watch TV news at all. (snip)
> >
> > Amen to that, John. I'm disgusted with the coverage by the cable and
> > broadcast news channels. However, my preference is shortwave - my
shortwave
> > receiver is now working overtime. Each country obviously has a bias, but
at
> > least I hear different viewpoints with some basis in reality.
>
> During the first Gulf War, it was reported that the sales of SW radios
went up.
> More than a few people realized that to get the news, they would have to
listen
> to other sources. This time the internet is being more used, although
many
> are using the internet to download the streaming SW stations that they
have a
> harder time pulling in with their $49 radio shack specials.
>
>

LOL. Finally we have a tie-in to radio scanning!!!

2532. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 19:04:13 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E946EEA.3873BAA5@email.com>
Source record: 33143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Ah... But 'you' Miss the Point.
> - For the Believer it is a Matter of Faith.
> - - Proof is NOT Required.
> - - - Faith is What Matters.
> - - - - And FAITH Is All That Is Needed
> - - - - - For the True BELIEVER [.]

So then you will have faith and believe in the monster with 17 heads that is 200 feet long and weighs 3 tons and is invisible and can not be touched that is now living on planet pluto in our own solar system?

Don't you even dare ask ME for proof here either, as proof is not required and faith is all that matters.

Now..... Why do you have faith in your God, but not the monster I just told you exists?

> Peace is a Blessing from God {Allah}.

The fact that we never have peace in the world shows then that your God is not blessing us.

> God {Allah} is Strong and Powerful !

Yet unable to show himself to humans or speak to us. Not very strong and powerful after all.

> God {Allah} Bless Our America Fighting Men and Women - Amen

God blesses murderers?

> God's {Allah's} Peace Be with America's HomeLand Defenders.

He have no defenders, only aggressors that leave our homeland to make others defend their homelands from us.

> God {Allah} Watch Over Our America Fighting Men and Women and
> Strengthen their Spirit and Resolve.

Yes oh great God! Help our men and women to kill and slaughter more children in Iraq and Afghanistan today, and all those countries we attack after them. We realize that your commandment to not kill was mistranslated or misinterpreted and that you want us to continue killing in your name, oh great one.

> May God's {Allah's} Peace Be with America's Fallen Warriors and
> Comfort their Families, Friends and Love Ones.

Too late, their soles now belong to the great Satan that not even God can destroy or get rid of.

> May God's {Allah's} Strength, Kindness, Mercy and Wisdom 'abide' with
> America's Leaders - Amen.

And Hitler too.

> For I Have FAITH and I Believe ~ RHF

Just as you believed Iraq had any of the weapons they told you they didn't have. Now after all those people had to die, we see they don't have them or they would have used them by now, no need to save them to be discovered after they are taken over! If the US takes control of Iraq and is going to find the weapons anyway, might as well use them! But they are not using them. Because they don't have any, just like they said, and just like the UN weapons inspectors said after months of searching. Bush should have told them where to look since he seemed so sure of having proof that they were there. Of course you can't tell the inspectors to look in make-believe fantasy places that don't really exist.

Blind FAITH without proof that Iraq still had chemical weapons was the cause of many needless deaths and murders. So too have many died needlessly in the name of "god" and religion.

The only way to stop war and these murders, is to require proof next time, and not go on blind faith.

2533. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 19:13:14 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E947108.1F43C7E1@email.com>
Source record: 33144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

"Dr. John" wrote:

> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E944C9F.9029A25E@email.com...
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > > "Dr. John" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Get off the Faux News Network and, while you're at it,
> > > > don't watch TV news at all. (snip)
> > >
> > > Amen to that, John. I'm disgusted with the coverage by the cable and
> > > broadcast news channels. However, my preference is shortwave - my
> shortwave
> > > receiver is now working overtime. Each country obviously has a bias, but
> at
> > > least I hear different viewpoints with some basis in reality.
> >
> > During the first Gulf War, it was reported that the sales of SW radios
> went up.
> > More than a few people realized that to get the news, they would have to
> listen
> > to other sources. This time the internet is being more used, although
> many
> > are using the internet to download the streaming SW stations that they
> have a
> > harder time pulling in with their $49 radio shack specials.
> >
> >
>
> LOL. Finally we have a tie-in to radio scanning!!!

Just like how sometimes the war on CNN's financial programs like Lou Dobbs' Moneyline actually talks about the stock market when the war news starts getting slow.

You can't talk about scanners all the time, sometimes there are other more important things to talk about.

2534. Re: Looking for an inexspensive APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 20:11:35 UTC
From: KC7ZRU - Tate <73kc7zru73@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3E947E77.1080908@arrl.net>
Source record: 33049
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Its Me! wrote:
> I would go up to about $250.00 for something decent.I don't like to pay new
> prices for a used radio if you know what I mean.I see used radios selling
> for same or more than a new one.

Take a good, hard look at the Yaseu FT-1500M mobile rig.
http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/ft1500m.html

Well within your budget, very effective - tough as nails.

73

--
"The Dungeon" online at http://go.to/kc7zru
Casper, WY | CARC Repeater
DN62tt | 146.940-
http://www.trib.com/~carc

2535. FA : Last Day! Radio SHack Pro-43 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 20:34:46 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Gt%ka.858$TR5.7@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33050
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Last day for this beautiful Pro-43. Low reserve.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3016965312

2536. FA : Last Day! RELM RE2000 A;pha Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 20:36:06 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Wu%ka.859$TR5.226@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33051
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Last day for this MINT RE2000, low reserve!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3016966306

2537. Re: YAESU VR-120 Receiver / scanner - REDUCED! SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 21:04:00 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4V%ka.860$TR5.769@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 33052
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio has been SOLD.

Thanks to all who inquired.

Dan

> I am selling a new in the box condition YAESU VR-120 receiver / scanner.
> Includes manual, all original accessories.
>
> $75.00 plus $5.00 to cover UPS ground shipping, insured.
>
> I can accept PAYPAL, US Postal money order, certified checks, or a
personal
> check. (Personal checks will delay shipping until they clear).
>
> Check eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
>
> NO TRADES.
>
> E-Mail if interested.
>
> Dan
>
>

2538. Re: Looking for an inexspensive APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 22:15:58 UTC
From: Its Me! <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <yY0la.23734$D31.1831293@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 33054
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I guess some people don't have a clue as to what APRS is.
"KC7ZRU - Tate" <73kc7zru73@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3E947E77.1080908@arrl.net...
> Its Me! wrote:
> > I would go up to about $250.00 for something decent.I don't like to pay
new
> > prices for a used radio if you know what I mean.I see used radios
selling
> > for same or more than a new one.
>
> Take a good, hard look at the Yaseu FT-1500M mobile rig.
> http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/ft1500m.html
>
> Well within your budget, very effective - tough as nails.
>
> 73
>
> --
> "The Dungeon" online at http://go.to/kc7zru
> Casper, WY | CARC Repeater
> DN62tt | 146.940-
> http://www.trib.com/~carc
>

2539. Better price for new 780xlt than $270??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 23:05:09 UTC
From: Mike <coachmb@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <FG1la.2994$kd1.3047858@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 33053

Does anyone know of a better price for a new 780XLT than $270?

I found this price at Hobby Radio http://www.bearcat1.com/item0011.htm

If you know where I can get a new 780XLT cheaper please let me know.

TIA, Mike

2540. Re: Shut the F....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 23:22:02 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <sW1la.3412$Zd.1515@fed1read07>
Source record: 33055

"No... we need to keep going! Next stop: Vietnam! Surprise the f--k out of those people. 'Oh you make a movie?' Not this time pal..."

Dennis Leary in No Cure for Cancer.

-me
"Q" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message news:3ebd9ca0.3412044400@news.Q.everywhere...
> Find out what you can do to help.....
>
>
> http://www.stopwar.org.uk
>
> http://truemajority.org/

2541. Re: Shut the F....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 23:26:58 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <6%1Ia.79993$0X.15482878@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33056

Watch the Iraqis beat the Fedayeen...

2542. Re: Bearcat BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 23:35:25 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <%62la.3422$Zd.1340@fed1read07>
Source record: 33058

You can run one nonstop for days at a time on a fully charged car battery.
I've been meaning to buy one just for the shack incase of power outages...
Get a car battery and a trickle charger at any automotive parts store and
you'll be good to go. Your 895 should have come with a barrel plug attached
to bare leads - if not these can be purchase for next to nothing at any
radio shack store.
-me

"Louienlila" <louienlila@netpci.com> wrote in message
news:c983f73a.0304080355.51c02368@posting.google.com...
> The bc895xlt is a wonderful unit and really fun to own. But 4 months
> ago when we were hit by a devastating typhoon my 895xlt was useless.
> I had no way of powering it up and using it. Does anyone know of a
> battery pack or backup power unit for the bc895xlt.
>
> Louie

2543. Re: Shut the F....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 23:35:44 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <9ib99vc2nprb0ckr7fe8qbgd7us5bis201@4ax.com>
Source record: 33059

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Today the Twenty First Century starts with another blot on our collective soul. America, shining beacon to

the world, the land of democracy, liberty and truth, has just as often shown the world greed, death and

dictatorship.

All too often the United States has betrayed its highest ideals to wage wars of lust and greed. The native

peoples here when the Europeans arrived suffered through war, displacement and genocide because they

had the land and gold we wanted. Mexico owned the Texas and California that were our self-declared

destiny and we took them by force. We have fought Spain, Cuba, Columbia, the Philippines and Hawaii for

control of naval stations and shipping routes. More recently the U.S. has kept tight control of Middle

Eastern oil by propping up dictators in Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, and Pakistan.

The horrific events of 9/11 would make any country tremble. But with the right leadership we could have

shown the world our steady resolve and courage and we could have celebrated an American love and life

and liberty that no airplane could destroy. But President George W. Bush has had uses for terror. For

eighteen months he has beaten the drums of revenge till fear has become a second heartbeat in our

pysche. Simmer America over a low flame of fear and spice it with contempt for the world and you can

bring her and her people to cry hungrily for blood.

By now, most Americans have come to believe that Saddam Hussein was somehow behind 9/11. Like a

schoolboy crossing his fingers behind his back, President Bush has repeatedly hinted at a connection he

knows doesnï¿½t exist. He winks at reporters while he lies to the American people. George W. must have

been listening in class when he read Lincolnï¿½s assurance that you can fool most of the people at least

some of the time. ï¿½Remember 911!ï¿½ has become the rallying cry for this new war, a cry of fear and bullying

ignorance that takes its place in a large collection of presidential demagoguery, right next to ï¿½Remember

the Maine!ï¿½

Yet again America loses herself to this appetite for blood and oil.

Fortunately there are those who remember that this is not our destiny. There are those who know we stand

for more than fear and lust. With every war there have been people who have said No!, sometimes

immediately and sometimes after the glow fades from the advancing armyï¿½s glory. These protesters and

truth-tellers are the true foot-soldiers of American greatness. May God bless the protests, may we shout

out with righteous anger and irredeemable love that our country stands for a greatness that canï¿½t be

measured by our armies.

In peace,
Martin Kelley
Nonviolence.Org Publisher

On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 23:26:58 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>Watch the Iraqis beat the Fedayeen...
>

2544. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 23:53:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E94B27A.7050802@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Simmons wrote:

>
> For example:
>
> I claim that a giant 3 ton 500 foot long invisible monster with 17 heads lives
> and exists on planet Pluto.
>
> I can not prove my belief, but now watch this..... YOU can NOT disprove that
> this monster does NOT exist, can you? Go ahead and PROVE it doesn't exist.
> TRY. You can not.
>
> (just as Iraq could not PROVE it did NOT have any more chemical weapons if they
> didn't have any more)
>
> If I continue to argue that my monster exists, yet am not able to show any
> proof, everyone with an intelligent brain would know I was crazy to believe in
> something that no one can ever prove DOES exist!
>
> Would you believe in my monster and worship it just because someone told you
> to? This is what idiots do when told to believe in Gods! They have never
> been spoken to, or seen, or have been shown anything in reality to prove there
> is any God, yet they believe in it because they were TOLD to.
>
> Most would agree that if I can not give any proof of my monster, that in itself
> would be PROOF that it does not exist. Because I am not saying something that
> would be possible, or normal to believe. People believed that Iraq still had
> chemical weapons left from what the US gave them, only because that would not be
> something that seems to impossible from reality. If you sad Iraq had a
> machine that allowed them to travel through time, then people would say
> bullshit, because this is way out of the standards of observed reality to
> believe without any proof.
>
> So, unless anyone can show proof of a God that can do impossible physical feats
> according to observed reality, the intelligent person knows that the lack of
> proof of this, and the proof that any believers are only believing in the God
> because they were brought up and told to, not because they themselves witness
> the God, is enough PROOF to know that this God does not exist.
>
>
>>.... It's kinda like a dog chasing its own tail.
>
>

> It is nothing even close to this analogy. It is more like someone believing
> in my invisible 17 headed monster living on planet pluto.
>
> You can't prove that it does not exist, because I made sure to create him to be
> something that can not been seen (invisible) and is somewhere where you can not
> go to check or disprove it. Exactly like God!
>
> God has evolved over human evolution. First Gods were statues. Then people
> could prove a statue was not a god. Then the Sun became a god. Now we have
> the intelligence and knowledge to know that the sun is not a god. So God
> evolved into something that can not been seen, touched, smelled, or observed by
> any means whatsoever, and is located in such a place that no one can go to and
> verify, or use the Hubbell telescope to find.
>
> The fact that God has evolved like this over human civilizations, is again PROOF
> that no God exists.
>
>
>

2545. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-09 23:55:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E94B2DA.2020207@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 33069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Simmons wrote:

>
> The fact that God has evolved like this over human civilizations, is again PROOF
> that no God exists.

I will use your own warped logic. Just like your monster on Pluto,
I say God exists, now you prove he doesn't.

2546. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 00:13:51 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3E94B73F.1E98266C@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 33061
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:

> It was the US sanctions that have been responsible for the lack of food and medicine
> over the past 12 years causing thousands of deaths.

So the United Nations has been covering up for the U.S. by claiming they
imposed the sanctions as part of the cease-fire? Amazing what you can
discover on usenet.

Dave

2547. Re: discriminator parts questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 00:15:07 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <ncd99vksp861pl71bbo1cktpp61j15imh1@4ax.com>
Source record: 33060

On Tue, 8 Apr 2003 14:53:39 -0400, "Anthony B."
<anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote:

>I am looking for all the parts I need to install the discriminator tap on my
>BC895XLT.
>
>I would also like to know what wire to use from radio to PC.

RG174 works fine for me.

>I have seen pictures, online, of the tap and all are "mono". The PC has
>stereo input so do I use a single input or get an adapter from mono to
>stereo?

I have my Optocom and my AR3000A connectorized so that I can run
either tape out or discriminator into the right channel of my
soundcard's line in.

It works great with every decoder and recorder program I've tried,
including Scanstar Deluxe's Digital Audio Recorder, Microsoft's
Windows Media Encoder, and Wintone.

2548. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 00:25:40 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E94BA41.61999002@email.com>
Source record: 33062
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Dave Holford wrote:

> John Simmons wrote:
>
> > It was the US sanctions that have been responsible for the lack of food and medicine
> > over the past 12 years causing thousands of deaths.
>
> So the United Nations has been covering up for the U.S. by claiming they
> imposed the sanctions as part of the cease-fire?

Cease fire from what war started by who? The US. And don't give us any of that crap about Iraq attacking Kuwait. Saddam told the US his intentions of stopping Kuwait from stealing Iraq's oil with their slant drilling and April Glaspie gave her ok, saying it was none of the US's concern what he did with Kuwait. Sure, and now look who controls and occupies Kuwait, the US.

Anywho, the SANCTIONS are the cause of the lack of food medice and thousands of deaths. Interesting instead of arguing this, you realize it is true, but instead shift the subject to who placed the sanctions.

Who suggested and brought up that sanctions should be placed?
Who always is the only country to veto any sanctions to be placed on Israel every year?

Both have the same answer.

> Amazing what you can
> discover on usenet.

You spelled internet wrong, and yes it is a shame people only use it to look up and research porn and video games and instead of researching news, they just absorb television and radio propaganda and take that as the facts.

2549. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 00:42:51 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3E94BE0B.1696B563@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 33063
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

John Simmons wrote:
>
> Dave Holford wrote:

> > Amazing what you can
> > discover on usenet.
>
> You spelled internet wrong, and yes it is a shame people only use it to look up and
> research porn and video games and instead of researching news, they just absorb
television
> and radio propaganda and take that as the facts.

Oh dear - you don't know the difference!

Dave

2550. Re: off subject, 8track??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 00:46:06 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <g93la.3431$Zd.2191@fed1read07>
Source record: 33064

hee hee I wonder if this guy does that Dr. Evil finger quote movement when he uses quotes...

Sorry but reading this I pictured Mike Myers as Dr Evil talking about his "moon base" and his "laser"...

-me
<kc5cqa@devtex.net> wrote in message news:3e96d545.5291774@news.devtex.net...
> I know this is off subject, but there is a lot of good input here. I
> am posting for a friend, he has a Cobra 146gtl,SSB that was in
> storage for several years, and he would like to have a 'ballpart' idea
> of what to ask for the unit on sale.????? From my point of view
> apperance wise I would say "8 on a scale of 10'. There is NO mobil
> mount..
> He also has two '8 track' Stereo Recorders, brand name ,,,, CRAIG
> about a 7 or 8 on 'the scale',,,,, the second 8 track recorder is a
> JVC, on the 'scale' about a 9.
> I had 8 track when I was younger, but didn't know until I saw these
> units that there WERE 8 track recorders ''''outthere''''. I will
> relay any and all info about the sale value of these three units.
> Thanks in advance. cl.

2551. Re: Need 866 MHz Antenna Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 01:10:07 UTC
From: support@pozillasoft.com
Message-ID: <9358DA99AYomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 33065
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

johnfofawn@hotmail.com (John) wrote in
<d89364bd.0304080709.68ca8fb1@posting.google.com>:

>Hi,
>
>I need a very good directional antenna for the 800 MHz band. The
>frequency I'm interested in is 866.6 MHz. I need to hear a transmitter
>that I can just barely hear right now with a wideband discone.
>
No problem john;

http://www.grove-ent.com/BEAMII.html

There you go :-)

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm

>The antenna will be mounted on the roof of a three story building with
>approximately 150 feet of coax to the receiver. Do I need a pre-amp?
>
>I'd prefer something small, but I don't want to compromise performance
>for size. I don't mind spending a few hundred dollars for the antenna
>plus the cost of a rotator, cables, mounting hardware, etc.
>
>Any rotator recommendations?
>
>Should I shop the TV antenna stores, the Ham stores, or the commercial
>radio outlets?
>
>Thanks!
>John
>

2552. Re: Better price for new 780xlt than $270??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 01:15:27 UTC
From: support@pozillasoft.com
Message-ID: <9358D4C82Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 33066

Yea Mike $194.00 good enough??

http://www.grove-ent.com/bc895.html

heck for the $270.00 your looking at you can buy a BC780XLT :-)

http://www.grove-ent.com/bc780xlt.html

Adam

coachmb@ameritech.net (Mike) wrote in
<FG1la.2994$kd1.3047858@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>:

>Does anyone know of a better price for a new 780XLT than $270?
>
>I found this price at Hobby Radio http://www.bearcat1.com/item0011.htm
>
>If you know where I can get a new 780XLT cheaper please let me know.
>
>TIA, Mike
>
>
>

2553. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// END THE WAR STOP THE AGGRESSION \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 01:21:28 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v99hop7f5p0v3e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33067

Sign carried by two Iraqis

GO HOME
HUMAN SHIELDS
YOU US WANKERS

Along with a free Iraqi kissing a picture of George Bush...

"Q" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message
news:3ebd9ca0.3412044400@news.Q.everywhere...
> Find out what you can do to help.....
>
>
> http://www.stopwar.org.uk
>
> http://truemajority.org/

2554. Re: Better price for new 780xlt than $270??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 02:19:20 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Iw4la.7325$g27.146141@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 33070

What are you talking about? He is looking for the BC780XLT. I think $270 is
a pretty good price if it's NEW and not reconditioned. Otherwise it's about
right for a used one in really good shape. If used, you should probable
expect a cable and some software with it as well for that kind of money.

Jim

"Adam Notworking" <support@pozillasoft.com> wrote in message
news:9358D4C82Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> Yea Mike $194.00 good enough??
>
> http://www.grove-ent.com/bc895.html
>
> heck for the $270.00 your looking at you can buy a BC780XLT :-)
>
> http://www.grove-ent.com/bc780xlt.html
>
> Adam
>
> coachmb@ameritech.net (Mike) wrote in
> <FG1la.2994$kd1.3047858@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>:
>
> >Does anyone know of a better price for a new 780XLT than $270?
> >
> >I found this price at Hobby Radio http://www.bearcat1.com/item0011.htm
> >
> >If you know where I can get a new 780XLT cheaper please let me know.
> >
> >TIA, Mike
> >
> >
> >
>

2555. Re: I went to radio shack today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 02:28:52 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnb99mgm.cc7.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 33071

On Thu, 10 Apr 2003 01:22:17 GMT, Just Bob <Pills4ills@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I went to radio shack today and asked about 12-251 catalog
> unit and the manager acted like I should be honored to buy
> [at full price] the display model??????????
>
> Where does radio shack hire people? walmart parking lots?
>

i have had to visit six different Radio Shacks in my area...
out of six, only two spoke English, only one had clerks willing
to do searches for goods and call other stores, and only one
that was well-stocked...

you have to know what you want at the rat shack you visit...

in my area, there are Pakistanis, Indians, Russians, and
Ethiopians or Nigerians running the stores... one place in a mall
near my house has been run for at least two years by a really
obnoxious Arab woman - don't expect great customer service...

oh, and the stories about clerks being forced to query every
customer about satellite service or cell phones? it is
absolutely true (had a long discussion with a clerk at the one
'good' RS near me)...

RS is going down the tubes - quick... just take a look at its
product lines, lack of parts inventory, focus on limited
lifespans for consumer products - add it all up, and i think
we will see RS folding, absorbed, sold, or somehow transformed
into a different entity...

i'd love to bitch-slap some of the smarmy suits down in
Ft. Worth - they are ruining the store's reputation...

2556. Re: off subject, 8track??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 02:37:25 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <dDOTbxx@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <p2m99vomvqouvbok542grr920kh6ko35eb@4ax.com>
Source record: 33072

I don't know about the 8-tracks (I have a recorder myself...sitting in the garage attic) but you can probably find come Cobra's on eBay. Spend a couple of weeks looking for that model. If not, look for similarly equipped CB's (I don't know anything about 146GTL). That should give him an idea about what it's worth.

Sell the 8-tracks on eBay for $.99. Someone may or may not bid, but you won't be out much. Make sure you charge actual shipping so you're covered there. If no one buys them, donate them to a charity (Goodwill, DAV, etc.) and take a tax deduction.

On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 08:10:07 GMT, kc5cqa@devtex.net wrote:

>I know this is off subject, but there is a lot of good input here. I
>am posting for a friend, he has a Cobra 146gtl,SSB that was in
>storage for several years, and he would like to have a 'ballpart' idea
>of what to ask for the unit on sale.????? From my point of view
>apperance wise I would say "8 on a scale of 10'. There is NO mobil
>mount..
>He also has two '8 track' Stereo Recorders, brand name ,,,, CRAIG
>about a 7 or 8 on 'the scale',,,,, the second 8 track recorder is a
>JVC, on the 'scale' about a 9.
>I had 8 track when I was younger, but didn't know until I saw these
>units that there WERE 8 track recorders ''''outthere''''. I will
>relay any and all info about the sale value of these three units.
>Thanks in advance. cl.

2557. Re: arc780 and recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 03:05:29 UTC
From: John Bacon <news@djbitz.com>
Message-ID: <Zb5la.142015$0g4.4104004@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 33073

I would make a post to the arc780 newsgroup at
arc780-software@yahoogroups.com

@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@
"loksim" <loksim4@poczta.onet.pl> wrote in message
news:b71a7q$2ue$1@news.onet.pl...
> hi
>
> simple question: can arc780 record to wav or mp3 ?
> I have looked for this options and nothing...
>
> loksim
>
>

2558. Re: I went to radio shack today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 03:07:00 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bi5la.7731$N94.678099@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 33074

whoa whoa.
easy on the racist remarks

i agree that radioshack is going down the tubes.
however i don't agree with your heehaw ideals.

<bball@green.home.org> wrote in message
news:slrnb99mgm.cc7.bball@green.home.org...
> On Thu, 10 Apr 2003 01:22:17 GMT, Just Bob <Pills4ills@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > I went to radio shack today and asked about 12-251 catalog
> > unit and the manager acted like I should be honored to buy
> > [at full price] the display model??????????
> >
> > Where does radio shack hire people? walmart parking lots?
> >
>
> i have had to visit six different Radio Shacks in my area...
> out of six, only two spoke English, only one had clerks willing
> to do searches for goods and call other stores, and only one
> that was well-stocked...
>
> you have to know what you want at the rat shack you visit...
>
> in my area, there are Pakistanis, Indians, Russians, and
> Ethiopians or Nigerians running the stores... one place in a mall
> near my house has been run for at least two years by a really
> obnoxious Arab woman - don't expect great customer service...
>
> oh, and the stories about clerks being forced to query every
> customer about satellite service or cell phones? it is
> absolutely true (had a long discussion with a clerk at the one
> 'good' RS near me)...
>
> RS is going down the tubes - quick... just take a look at its
> product lines, lack of parts inventory, focus on limited
> lifespans for consumer products - add it all up, and i think
> we will see RS folding, absorbed, sold, or somehow transformed
> into a different entity...
>
> i'd love to bitch-slap some of the smarmy suits down in
> Ft. Worth - they are ruining the store's reputation...

2559. Re: I went to radio shack today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 04:13:56 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <8c6la.70478$ug3.148344@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33075

WHAT DOES ANY OF THIS HAVE TO DO WITH THE WAR????

"Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bi5la.7731$N94.678099@news20.bellglobal.com...
> whoa whoa.
> easy on the racist remarks
>
> i agree that radioshack is going down the tubes.
> however i don't agree with your heehaw ideals.
>
>
> <bball@green.home.org> wrote in message
> news:slrnb99mgm.cc7.bball@green.home.org...
> > On Thu, 10 Apr 2003 01:22:17 GMT, Just Bob <Pills4ills@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > I went to radio shack today and asked about 12-251 catalog
> > > unit and the manager acted like I should be honored to buy
> > > [at full price] the display model??????????
> > >
> > > Where does radio shack hire people? walmart parking lots?
> > >
> >
> > i have had to visit six different Radio Shacks in my area...
> > out of six, only two spoke English, only one had clerks willing
> > to do searches for goods and call other stores, and only one
> > that was well-stocked...
> >
> > you have to know what you want at the rat shack you visit...
> >
> > in my area, there are Pakistanis, Indians, Russians, and
> > Ethiopians or Nigerians running the stores... one place in a mall
> > near my house has been run for at least two years by a really
> > obnoxious Arab woman - don't expect great customer service...
> >
> > oh, and the stories about clerks being forced to query every
> > customer about satellite service or cell phones? it is
> > absolutely true (had a long discussion with a clerk at the one
> > 'good' RS near me)...
> >
> > RS is going down the tubes - quick... just take a look at its
> > product lines, lack of parts inventory, focus on limited
> > lifespans for consumer products - add it all up, and i think
> > we will see RS folding, absorbed, sold, or somehow transformed
> > into a different entity...
> >

> > i'd love to bitch-slap some of the smarmy suits down in
> > Ft. Worth - they are ruining the store's reputation...
>
>

2560. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 04:26:01 UTC
From: "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b73mli$aq1g9$1@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If that makes you happy and content, I am happy for you. Just don't force
it on me is my biggest issue.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"RHF" <rhf-mail@usa.com> wrote in message
news:3ef6beca.0304090935.2319cd6b@posting.google.com...
> ryan, Ryan. RYAN !
>
> How Small Am I ?
> - I AM AS GREAT AS THE UNIVERSE
> - - FOR I AM MADE OF STAR STUFF
> - - - AND MY GOD {ALLAH} IS REAL !
>
> Ah... But 'you' Miss the Point.
> - For the Believer it is a Matter of Faith.
> - - Proof is NOT Required.
> - - - Faith is What Matters.
> - - - - And FAITH Is All That Is Needed
> - - - - - For the True BELIEVER [.]
> With FAITH in God {Allah}. . . All Things Are Possible.
>
>
> For I Have FAITH and I Believe ~ RHF
> .
> .
> = = = "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message
> = = = news:<b714r6$9kl5r$3@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>...
> >
> >
> > As to the first part of the statement, God is a concept, not a fact. It
is
> > a perception for those feeling small about themselves and need to form
> > self-justification through an imaginary figure.
> >
> > Ryan
> >
> >

2561. Rumsfeld gives ominous warning about WMD seen from outer space

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 04:57:18 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <OQ6la.424220$sf5.753593@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 33076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Donald Rumsfeld in an unprecedented revelation, along with a team of scientists, disclosed Thursday the United States' plans to liberate outer space due to a "high incidence of WMD."
http://www.psrd.hawaii.edu/Dec97/impactBlast.html

2562. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 05:04:29 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <xX6la.420883$S_4.490683@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 33077
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Well, the Mayans were never wrong except about dying out , and so I put my money on 2012.

"me" <me@none.com> wrote in message news:tAZka.3230$Zd.2644@fed1read07...
>
> "Bernie Kolchak" <rburke@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:9697f203.0304081955.740d54fc@posting.google.com...
>
> Wow. Truly a pleasure to read something intelligent on usenet...
>
> You're absolutely right Bernie, in addition to my theory there could also be
> some motives in the CIA and other intelligence agencies to discredit Bush,
> especially with the presidential election coming next year. The US might
> also have a desire to see how our military fares in this war. One big live
> fire excercise where the military can stretch its' legs and test new toys
> without running much of a risk of heavy losses. If that's true then the
> question is what is this a "warm up" for?
>
> I think you're on the right track, as am I, and the truth lies where we both
> are headed Bernie. I think each member of the "free" world is out to grab as
> much as they can while pointing the finger of accusation at the others. I
> think this is going to come to a head in the next few years and we are gonna
> see a global war like no other in history.
>
> As for the Russians though, I woudln't count them out of this just yet. You
> refuted my statement that they are shaking their nuclear finger at us, and
> maybe they aren't in the literal sense of the word, but if you pay attention
> to Putin's statements, the threating posture of the former Soviet Union is
> very thinly veiled. I suspect when we are done in Iraq and we start making
> noise at North Korea, the Russians will be much more vocal than they were
> this time.
>
> Again, just my opinion. I'm not a CIA agent and do not have access to any
> special information. I'm just really good at reading between lines....
>
> -me
>

>
>
>

2563. Re: Rumsfeld gives ominous warning about WMD seen from outer space

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 05:23:44 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v99vv1pbifv6eb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It wasn't funny the first time either...

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:OQ6la.424220$sf5.753593@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Donald Rumsfeld in an unprecedented revelation, along with a team of
> scientists, disclosed Thursday the United States' plans to liberate outer
> space due to a "high incidence of WMD."
> http://www.psrd.hawaii.edu/Dec97/impactBlast.html
>
>

2564. Re: !!!!!!!!!!!!! BYE BYE SADDAM !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 05:29:31 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <v9a095730gipfa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33079

"Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven> wrote in message
news:39889vgq455aq52fq6bea7odr9ms106bhh@4ax.com...
>>
> In peace,
> Martin Kelley
> Nonviolence.Org Publisher

Pure Bullshit straight from the ACP.>
>

2565. Re: Rumsfeld gives ominous warning about WMD seen from outer space

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 06:39:46 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <9d4a9vgi5s20mm4ajvbljnoujm9jj3qp65@4ax.com>
Source record: 33084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

By Martin Kelley

What is the purpose of the United States military?
The large military of the U.S. is not needed for defense. The country has two peaceful and relatively-weak neighbors and hasn't seen an invasion since the War of 1812. On both sides, the United States is buffered by large oceans which make an oversees invasion all but impossible. There is no more invasion-proof country in the world as the United States.

For fifty years, the United States has used its military against much weaker, third world countries like Korea, Vietnam, Panama, Iraq. None of these wars has ever threatened the sovereign borders of the United States. But they have all revolved around access to resources and trading partners.

For example, activists were spotlighting human rights abuses in Saddam Hussein's Iraq for many years prior to the Gulf War. Rather than imposing embargos then, the U.S. government gave monetary and military support to Hussein in his war against Iran. It wasn't until Hussein invaded Kuwait and threatened American petroleum supplies that President Bush started a rhetoric of hate against Hussein, a rhetoric sponsored by American corporate greed.

The U.S. military serves to maintain U.S. control over the world's raw materials. The U.S. government has supported dictatorships (like Saudi Arabia, Indonesia, Zaire) and fought democracies (Chile, Nicaragua) in order to keep the price of raw materials down.

The military also serves domestically as an income-redirecting scheme. The $663 billion dollars that Americans pay in military-related income taxes (see the War Resisters League's Where Your Income Tax Money

Really Goes) is used to support industries that Americans don't need. The assault ships and stealth bombers are expensive toys, and the bill for them goes into the pockets of the military machine's wealthy stockholders.

This money would be better spent on a national health care system, on rebuilding our crumbling industrial infrastructure, and on reducing our taxes. How many have to die to maintain a U.S. standard of living that is based on such oppression? Is cheap gas really worth thousands of lives?

On Thu, 10 Apr 2003 07:57:14 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote
>
>> It wasn't funny the first time either...
>
>It's the best the left can do now...
>

2566. Re: Where did all the black soldiers go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 07:23:53 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <d_8la.17431$an3.126874691@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 33080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

Dave Holford wrote:

> Oh dear - you don't know the difference!
>
> Dave

Well, you slack-jawed, fat, ignorant Yanks can continue in your smug stupidity. You can continue to drive your fuel-wasters to the Mall for as long as the stolen Iraqi oil lasts. Then you'll have to "liberate" somewhere else with oil......

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice, except getting up in the morning!

2567. Re: Rumsfeld gives ominous warning about WMD seen from outer space

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 07:57:14 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <ut9la.81810$0X.16012903@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote

> It wasn't funny the first time either...

It's the best the left can do now...

2568. LIBERATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 08:08:33 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <5E9la.81812$0X.16018964@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33082

By David Horowitz
FrontPageMagazine.com | April 9, 2003

Baghdad is liberated. In the days to come let us not forget that if it was not for one man, and one man alone -- George Bush -- the people of Iraq would not be celebrating in the streets and pulling down Saddam's statues today. Instead the jails would still be full and the torture chambers still operating and the weapons mills still turning out the instruments of future destructin. In this war of liberation the liberals appeased the monster regime and the left offered themselves as human shields to be placed in the way of the liberating forces both in Iraq and here at home. My favorite moment this morning came when two Iraqis marched down Baghdad's main street holding a banner that said: "GO HOME, HUMAN SHIELDS: YOU U.S. WANKERS!"

Should we now get ready for apologies? Don't hold your breath. In The Nation today, Medea Benjamin, head of Global Exchange, pro-Castro communist and leader of the anti-American anti-war left, calls for a world-wide effort to send human shields to North Korea, Syria and Iran, the pillars of terrorist power, to give aid and comfort to the enemy.

We have come to a post-Cold War historic turning point. We have entered the era of a new civil war between the forces of freedom and the powers of Islamo-fascist and communist darkness, and once again the left is clearly detemined to take its stand on the other side. The good news is that America is back. Our military has performed superlatively. Our leadership has stood tall. We ourselves can celebrate over this and look confidently towards what lies ahead.

2569. Re: LIBERATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 08:09:15 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <6l9a9v0n1adthrn0dr2fg2epmp4sk5s7t2@4ax.com>
Source record: 33085

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

By Martin Kelley

What is the purpose of the United States military?
The large military of the U.S. is not needed for defense. The country has two peaceful and relatively-weak neighbors and hasn't seen an invasion since the War of 1812. On both sides, the United States is buffered by large oceans which make an oversees invasion all but impossible. There is no more invasion-proof country in the world as the United States.

For fifty years, the United States has used its military against much weaker, third world countries like Korea, Vietnam, Panama, Iraq. None of these wars has ever threatened the sovereign borders of the United States. But they have all revolved around access to resources and trading partners.

For example, activists were spotlighting human rights abuses in Saddam Hussein's Iraq for many years prior to the Gulf War. Rather than imposing embargos then, the U.S. government gave monetary and military support to Hussein in his war against Iran. It wasn't until Hussein invaded Kuwait and threatened American petroleum supplies that President Bush started a rhetoric of hate against Hussein, a rhetoric sponsored by American corporate greed.

The U.S. military serves to maintain U.S. control over the world's raw materials. The U.S. government has supported dictatorships (like Saudi Arabia, Indonesia, Zaire) and fought democracies (Chile, Nicaragua) in order to keep the price of raw materials down.

The military also serves domestically as an income-redirecting scheme. The $663 billion dollars that Americans pay in military-related income taxes (see the War Resisters League's Where Your Income Tax Money Really Goes) is used to support industries that Americans don't need.

The assault ships and stealth bombers are expensive toys, and the bill for them goes into the pockets of the military machine's wealthy stockholders.

This money would be better spent on a national health care system, on rebuilding our crumbling industrial infrastructure, and on reducing our taxes. How many have to die to maintain a U.S. standard of living that is based on such oppression? Is cheap gas really worth thousands of lives?

On Thu, 10 Apr 2003 08:08:33 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>By David Horowitz
>FrontPageMagazine.com | April 9, 2003
>
>
>Baghdad is liberated. In the days to come let us not forget that if it was
>not for one man, and one man alone -- George Bush -- the people of Iraq
>would not be celebrating in the streets and pulling down Saddam's statues
>today. Instead the jails would still be full and the torture chambers still
>operating and the weapons mills still turning out the instruments of future
>destructin. In this war of liberation the liberals appeased the monster
>regime and the left offered themselves as human shields to be placed in the
>way of the liberating forces both in Iraq and here at home. My favorite
>moment this morning came when two Iraqis marched down Baghdad's main street
>holding a banner that said: "GO HOME, HUMAN SHIELDS: YOU U.S. WANKERS!"
>
>Should we now get ready for apologies? Don't hold your breath. In The Nation
>today, Medea Benjamin, head of Global Exchange, pro-Castro communist and
>leader of the anti-American anti-war left, calls for a world-wide effort to
>send human shields to North Korea, Syria and Iran, the pillars of terrorist
>power, to give aid and comfort to the enemy.
>
>We have come to a post-Cold War historic turning point. We have entered the
>era of a new civil war between the forces of freedom and the powers of
>Islamo-fascist and communist darkness, and once again the left is clearly
>detemined to take its stand on the other side. The good news is that America
>is back. Our military has performed superlatively. Our leadership has stood
>tall. We ourselves can celebrate over this and look confidently towards
>what lies ahead.
>
>

2570. Re: FA : Last Day! Radio SHack Pro-43 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 09:15:06 UTC
From: mike <spamme0@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3E95361A.FD4722DD@juno.com>
Source record: 33083
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If you don't win the auction, you can buy mine.
Check it out here.
http://nm7u.tripod.com/homepage/sale.html.

Thanks, mike

Dan Conti wrote:
>
> Last day for this beautiful Pro-43. Low reserve.
>

2571. Re: FS: 80CH UHF PORTABLES & EXTRA'S!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 13:21:01 UTC
From: David & Daphne Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <qrra9vcb6rrg9vesmb39mg934eih48g5l9@4ax.com>
Source record: 33015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

That's ur opinion, and ur "fake" email apparent. hmmmmmmmmm

On Thu, 10 Apr 2003 18:16:20 -0600, Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
wrote:

>On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 08:29:50 -0300, David & Daphne Schertzer
><dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca> wrote:
>
>>(2) Midland Model 70-255B 80ch *UHF* SCAN 2/5watt 450-470mhz,
>>(2) Model 70-C06 Rapid Chargers, (2) Leather Cases with belt-loop ,
>>(2) Model 70-M188 Lapel Spkr-mics with clip on back,
>>(4) 70-B34 High Capacity Batteries 7.5v @ 1800mha!!
>>2- NEW-IN BOX, 2- Used approx. 40hrs
>>(2) stubby UHF antennas.
>>
>>All items are in excellent to perfect condition rates 9-10 or better.
>>Org. boxes and instructions for chargers. Radios are "FULLY
>>PROGRAMABLE" and include options like a back-lit display and priority
>>function. Full spec sheet included. Radios are fully functional
>>"except" the DTMF pad of which is only decorative cover. Ch's 1-3 are
>>on repeater freqs while Ch 4-11 are on "talk-around" or "simplex"
>>freqs. Multi-digital pics avail. Perfect for hunting, fishing,
>>security and many other applications.
>>
>>$200USD + shipping
>
>$200 is an improvement over the $300 you were originally asking, but
>still!
>
>Anything that old is not going to be reliable. And furthermore, that
>model was poorly designed to begin with.
>
>Rather than pawn off junk on some poor sucker, wouldn't it be better
>to just throw them away?

2572. Re: I went to radio shack today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 13:31:32 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnb9atbc.f2s.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 33087

On Thu, 10 Apr 2003 00:37:00 -0230,
Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote:
> whoa whoa.
> easy on the racist remarks

- i wasn't being racist - just stating facts...
- i made no disparaging comments regarding those citizens

>
> i agree that radioshack is going down the tubes.
> however i don't agree with your heehaw ideals.

- well, i guess my 'heehaw' ideals are being able to get
good service in a well-stocked, maintained store, and
to conduct a business transaction with someone who
speaks English...

- gee, i guess i'm asking for too much, huh?

>
>
><bball@green.home.org> wrote in message
> news:slrnb99mgm.cc7.bball@green.home.org...
>> On Thu, 10 Apr 2003 01:22:17 GMT, Just Bob <Pills4ills@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > I went to radio shack today and asked about 12-251 catalog
>> > unit and the manager acted like I should be honored to buy
>> > [at full price] the display model??????????
>> >
>> > Where does radio shack hire people? walmart parking lots?
>> >
>>
>> i have had to visit six different Radio Shacks in my area...
>> out of six, only two spoke English, only one had clerks willing
>> to do searches for goods and call other stores, and only one
>> that was well-stocked...
>>
>> you have to know what you want at the rat shack you visit...
>>
>> in my area, there are Pakistanis, Indians, Russians, and
>> Ethiopians or Nigerians running the stores... one place in a mall
>> near my house has been run for at least two years by a really
>> obnoxious Arab woman - don't expect great customer service...
>>
>> oh, and the stories about clerks being forced to query every
>> customer about satellite service or cell phones? it is
>> absolutely true (had a long discussion with a clerk at the one

>> 'good' RS near me)...
>>
>> RS is going down the tubes - quick... just take a look at its
>> product lines, lack of parts inventory, focus on limited
>> lifespans for consumer products - add it all up, and i think
>> we will see RS folding, absorbed, sold, or somehow transformed
>> into a different entity...
>>
>> i'd love to bitch-slap some of the smarmy suits down in
>> Ft. Worth - they are ruining the store's reputation...
>
>

2573. Re: Bearcat BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 13:43:00 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E957539.30509@earthlink.net>
Source record: 33088

I have an UPS and a RV Battery setup so I can keep going.

Say "ME" I thought you were gone!!!!

Burr

me wrote:

> You can run one nonstop for days at a time on a fully charged car battery.
> I've been meaning to buy one just for the shack incase of power outages...
> Get a car battery and a trickle charger at any automotive parts store and
> you'll be good to go. Your 895 should have come with a barrel plug attached
> to bare leads - if not these can be purchase for next to nothing at any
> radio shack store.
> -me
>
> "Louienlila" <louienlila@netpci.com> wrote in message
> news:c983f73a.0304080355.51c02368@posting.google.com...
>
>>The bc895xlt is a wonderful unit and really fun to own. But 4 months
>>ago when we were hit by a devastating typhoon my 895xlt was useless.
>>I had no way of powering it up and using it. Does anyone know of a
>>battery pack or backup power unit for the bc895xlt.
>>
>>Louie
>>
>
>

2574. *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 14:32:14 UTC
From: TIA <no1@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <v9b07cnrif2v18@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Ya know I always know better but for some reason this time I didn't heed my own warning. I had advertised a HR2600 with a chipswitch and 2 - 150 amps for sale. One having a preamp switch problem and needed a new switch but the amp side worked perfectly. And I got alot of action but no sales. Well after 2-3 months I got an email from

MITCH N2RADIO [mitch@n2radio.com] MITCHELL Robertson [MR_CPTN@militaryministry.net]

Stating that he had a 6900TB to and an Boomer 600 amp to trade for my stuff. I was like ok, no problem. I got everything packaged up in bubble wrap and boxed real well. And waited for ups to pick it up. And he was to do the same. Well he gave me a tracking number 2 days later and the package was on its way to me. Well mine left and went to him. I triple checked the operation of all the equipment before I packaged it up. Just because I did a trade earlier that month (Sept) and had a DOA problem with a scanner. So I made sure that the equip worked perfectly. Well I found out that Mitch was in the military and was being shipped out. And his family is moving. So they wouldn't be able to get email for some time.

Well the package arrived at completely the wrong address, which was intentional because Mitch and his wife Laura had both double-checked the shipping addy with me. I finally get the package and guess what? Its 3 Chilton's repair manuals no equipment to be found. Well did I get hosed but bad. So over the next 4 months I emailed both addresses well over a hundred times. And no response at all. Well I have a few connections in the military and I'm making some requests. I'll see what happens it should be interesting to say the least. The last email I sent Mitch and his wife I told him this and told him by Jan 1 If I didn't hear anything I would take action. Still no reply!!

Well as I'm typing guess what, I get a box in ups!! SO I open it up and there is my 2600 back and one 150 amp that I sent to him. And a note that the 2600 doesn't receive, the first amp is dead, and he kept the other amp and sent me 2 beat up 15.00 microphones one with an echo. DAM JUNK!! And a mini para dynamics meter DOUBLE JUNK!!! Then he has the balls to throw in a pc board for an amp kit. Well I just wanted EVERYONE to know what happened to me. So if you do business with him BEWARE I warned you.

Robert Mitchell AKA Mitch Robertson AKA deadbeat user

MITCH N2RADIO [mailto:mitch@n2radio.com]

MITCHELL Robertson [MR_CPTN@militaryministry.net]

Address I shipped to:

Mitch Robertson 3612 SODERBERG DR. FT. COLLINS CO. 80526

Address he shipped from:

Art Castings 511 8th St. SE Loveland Colorado 80537

2575. Re: LIBERATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 15:31:47 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030410113147.20477.00000857@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 33090

Why do people continue to think that rec.radio.scanner is a political
newsgroup?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

2576. Re: That explains everything

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 15:42:01 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304100742.672b1c46@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33091
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Your posts are so funny. Keep it up.

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message news:<dNkka.101553$OV.204527@rwcrnsc54>...
> I heard on the radio that "Fox" is the network of choice for President Bush.
> Holy crap, that explains a lot of the garbage they put out.

2577. Re: A Warmonger Explains War to a Peacenik

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 15:47:20 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304100747.67f902e2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33092
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.pirate

> You can't talk about scanners all the time, sometimes there are other more
> important things to talk about.

Now you have gone to far. Nothing more important than my scanner.
NOTHING! DO YOU HEAR ME - - NOTHING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2578. Re: Looking for an inexspensive APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 16:20:59 UTC
From: Steve KA4TMB <sheyde@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <gOicne2VEPFwBAijXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 33093
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Q: Isn't the Yaesu FT-1500M mobile rig not well suited for Packet (mobile or base w/PS)??
I checked out the specs. and it seems like it would work well . . .
I tried looking for info on APRS, but either the links didn't work or all they talked about for
"system requirements" were the 'software requirements', and not include the 'radio requirements'.

"I guess some people don't have a clue as to what APRS is."
Okay, you got me on that one :-)

Steve KA4TMB

"Its Me!" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:yY0la.23734$D31.1831293@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I guess some people don't have a clue as to what APRS is.
> "KC7ZRU - Tate" <73kc7zru73@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:3E947E77.1080908@arrl.net...
> > Its Me! wrote:
> > > I would go up to about $250.00 for something decent.I don't like to pay
> new
> > > prices for a used radio if you know what I mean.I see used radios
> selling
> > > for same or more than a new one.
> >
> > Take a good, hard look at the Yaesu FT-1500M mobile rig.
> > http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/ft1500m.html
> >
> > Well within your budget, very effective - tough as nails.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > --
> > "The Dungeon" online at http://go.to/kc7zru
> > Casper, WY | CARC Repeater
> > DN62tt | 146.940-
> > http://www.trib.com/~carc
> >
>
>

2579. Re: Need 866 MHz Antenna Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 16:35:00 UTC
From: for-sale <nospam@spam.net>
Message-ID: <i97b9v4l20re1nvgfd7e05iomftdel2774@4ax.com>
Source record: 33094

>johnfofawn@hotmail.com (John) wrote in
><d89364bd.0304080709.68ca8fb1@posting.google.com>:
>
>>Hi,
>>
>>I need a very good directional antenna for the 800 MHz band. The
>>frequency I'm interested in is 866.6 MHz. I need to hear a transmitter
>>that I can just barely hear right now with a wideband discone.
>>

Contact Ed at KMA Antennas (kmaantennas.com). They built me a custom
model for a specific frequency at a very reasonable price.
otr

2580. WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 16:39:28 UTC
From: jstev66954@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030410123928.08887.00000497@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 33095

THIS IS A RADIO SCANNER GROUP, NOT A SOUNDING BOARD FOR WAR COMMENTARY.

2581. Re: Looking for an inexspensive APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 17:18:09 UTC
From: KC7ZRU - Tate <73kc7zru73@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <lHhla.402737$F1.60119@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 33096
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Your vaugeness is obfuscating.

The FT-1500 is inexpensive, it works, it's rugged. There are more than just a few being used succesfuly as APRS trackers, I-gates and digipeaters all over the country.

Having been directly responsable for the installation and operation of: a majority of digipeaters in this state, APRS for high-alltitude balloon flights, HamHUDs, TinyTraks-II, I-gates and one of the longer continualy available Tier-2 servers, providing server access for an Antartic weather station - I may have just an idea or two as to what APRS is. At the very least I've made it work for me and many other hams in the area without spending over $200 for a radio.

What is it, exactly, that *you* think APRS is that an FT-1500 isn't a viable radio?

73

Its Me! wrote:
> I guess some people don't have a clue as to what APRS is.

--
"The Dungeon" online at http://go.to/kc7zru
Casper, WY | CARC Repeater
DN62tt | 146.940-
http://www.trib.com/~carc

2582. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 17:36:01 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eYhla.1833$1b1.237793@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 32998

hahaha shot down

"Mark" <marks@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:ti8b9vc57548umtk8jp1inngkpj8pulj5g@4ax.com...
> On 10 Apr 2003 16:39:28 GMT, jstev66954@aol.com (JStev66954) wrote:
>
> >THIS IS A RADIO SCANNER GROUP, NOT A SOUNDING BOARD FOR WAR COMMENTARY.
>
> So you felt the need to clutter it up with, yet another, irrelevant and
off
> topic thread?
>
> Thanks ever so much.
>
> My killfilter is getting extremely full these days.

2583. Re: Looking for an inexspensive APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 17:36:05 UTC
From: Brian Short <bshort4@cox.net>
Message-ID: <BABB1591.55FA%bshort4@cox.net>
Source record: 32997
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have an FT-1500 which I use on packet. Works great!

Right now on APRS I'm using a Kantronics data radio DVR-2.
It was cheap at a hamfest, but buying the crystals brought
the cost up.

I agree, the FT-1500 is a great packet/APRS radio.

See: http://www.k7on.com/

On 4/10/03 1:18 PM, in article lHhla.402737$F1.60119@sccrnsc04, "KC7ZRU -
Tate" <73kc7zru73@arrl.net> wrote:
> Your vaugeness is obfuscating.
>
> The FT-1500 is inexpensive, it works, it's rugged. There are more than
> just a few being used succesfuly as APRS trackers, I-gates and
> digipeaters all over the country.
>
> Having been directly responsable for the installation and operation of:
> a majority of digipeaters in this state, APRS for high-alltitude balloon
> flights, HamHUDs, TinyTraks-II, I-gates and one of the longer continualy
> available Tier-2 servers, providing server access for an Antartic
> weather station - I may have just an idea or two as to what APRS is. At
> the very least I've made it work for me and many other hams in the area
> without spending over $200 for a radio.
>
> What is it, exactly, that *you* think APRS is that an FT-1500 isn't a
> viable radio?
>
> 73
>
> Its Me! wrote:
>> I guess some people don't have a clue as to what APRS is.
>

2584. Re: LIBERATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 17:48:04 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9bbijcrt7pl54@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33001

"Petey", In my opinion, you are one of the most ignorant people who has ever posted a message on the internet.

If you had no armed forces, how long do you expect it would be before someone decided they wanted your utopia and took it?

Did you not learn a thing giving over your lunch money every day in school?

Kum-by-ya...

"Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven> wrote in message news:6l9a9v0n1adthrn0dr2fg2epmp4sk5s7t2@4ax.com...
> Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
>
> <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>
>
>
> The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> have access to. Decide for
>
> yourself.
>
> http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> By Martin Kelley
>
> What is the purpose of the United States military?
> The large military of the U.S. is not needed for defense. The country
> has two peaceful and relatively-weak neighbors and hasn't seen an
> invasion since the War of 1812. On both sides, the United States is
> buffered by large oceans which make an oversees invasion all but
> impossible. There is no more invasion-proof country in the world as
> the United States.
>

> For fifty years, the United States has used its military against much
> weaker, third world countries like Korea, Vietnam, Panama, Iraq. None
> of these wars has ever threatened the sovereign borders of the United
> States. But they have all revolved around access to resources and
> trading partners.
>
> For example, activists were spotlighting human rights abuses in Saddam
> Hussein's Iraq for many years prior to the Gulf War. Rather than
> imposing embargos then, the U.S. government gave monetary and military
> support to Hussein in his war against Iran. It wasn't until Hussein
> invaded Kuwait and threatened American petroleum supplies that
> President Bush started a rhetoric of hate against Hussein, a rhetoric
> sponsored by American corporate greed.
>
> The U.S. military serves to maintain U.S. control over the world's raw
> materials. The U.S. government has supported dictatorships (like Saudi
> Arabia, Indonesia, Zaire) and fought democracies (Chile, Nicaragua) in
> order to keep the price of raw materials down.
>
> The military also serves domestically as an income-redirecting scheme.
> The $663 billion dollars that Americans pay in military-related income
> taxes (see the War Resisters League's Where Your Income Tax Money
> Really Goes) is used to support industries that Americans don't need.
> The assault ships and stealth bombers are expensive toys, and the bill
> for them goes into the pockets of the military machine's wealthy
> stockholders.
>
> This money would be better spent on a national health care system, on
> rebuilding our crumbling industrial infrastructure, and on reducing
> our taxes. How many have to die to maintain a U.S. standard of living
> that is based on such oppression? Is cheap gas really worth thousands
> of lives?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> On Thu, 10 Apr 2003 08:08:33 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:
>
> >By David Horowitz
> >FrontPageMagazine.com | April 9, 2003
> > >
> > >
> >Baghdad is liberated. In the days to come let us not forget that if it
was
> >not for one man, and one man alone -- George Bush -- the people of Iraq
> >would not be celebrating in the streets and pulling down Saddam's statues
> >today. Instead the jails would still be full and the torture chambers
still

> >operating and the weapons mills still turning out the instruments of
future
> >destructin. In this war of liberation the liberals appeased the monster
> >regime and the left offered themselves as human shields to be placed in
the
> >way of the liberating forces both in Iraq and here at home. My favorite
> >moment this morning came when two Iraqis marched down Baghdad's main
street
> >holding a banner that said: "GO HOME, HUMAN SHIELDS: YOU U.S. WANKERS!"
> >
> >Should we now get ready for apologies? Don't hold your breath. In The
Nation
> >today, Medea Benjamin, head of Global Exchange, pro-Castro communist and
> >leader of the anti-American anti-war left, calls for a world-wide effort
to
> >send human shields to North Korea, Syria and Iran, the pillars of
terrorist
> >power, to give aid and comfort to the enemy.
> >
> >We have come to a post-Cold War historic turning point. We have entered
the
> >era of a new civil war between the forces of freedom and the powers of
> >Islamo-fascist and communist darkness, and once again the left is clearly
> >detemined to take its stand on the other side. The good news is that
America
> >is back. Our military has performed superlatively. Our leadership has
stood
> >tall. We ourselves can celebrate over this and look confidently towards
> >what lies ahead.
> >
> >
>

2585. Re: ////////////////////// BUSH SHOULD BE TRIED FOR WAR CRIMES \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 17:55:43 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b74abe$akvil$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32999

Sissy!

"Q" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message
news:3ee04ba1.3456844890@news.Q.everywhere...
> Find out what you can do to help.....

2586. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// SENSELESS WAR IS NOT THE ANSWER \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 17:56:59 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b74adq$auhqj$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33000

Tell that to the Iraqis celebrating in the streets you tosser!

"Q" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message
news:3ed049c3.3456367413@news.Q.everywhere...
> Find out what you can do to help.....
>
>
> http://www.stopwar.org.uk
>
> http://truemajority.org/
>
> www.endthewar.org

2587. Re: LIBERATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 18:47:21 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030410144721.27990.00000565@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 33002

>
>If you had no armed forces, how long do you expect it would be before
>someone decided they wanted your utopia and took it?

My question is what would we listen to on the military frequencies if there was
no armed forces?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

2588. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 18:47:30 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <G%ila.35$lh3.1876@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 33003
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Nope. Anyone who sides with a dumbass is a dumbass.

"Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> intellectual people in the media?
> I'd like to hear it!
> haha can't wait!
>
>

2589. 4sale AOR AR-5000+3 w/AS-5000, and Autek QF-1A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 19:38:14 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <v9bhq72jugeqce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33004

AOR AR-5000+3 Receiver - unblocked FULL coverage "DC to Daylight", MINT, with AOR AS-5000 Antenna Switch Module allows up to 4 antennas to be controlled at the front panel, or auto select via memory (2000!) or band plan - NICE!! Receiver, 12V P/S, manual, ant. switch, org box, to your door for $1,595.00 firm, USPS M/O only. Photo at http://www.cox-internet.com/tazman/ar5000.jpg
******* Autek Research QF-1A SSB/CW/AM audio filter, in excellent condition (only mark on it is a small ID name/# engraved in a corner of rear panel). Better than new as it's now got a pwr on LED, a 1/8" speaker input (along with 1/4"), and a auxiliary volume control to save wear and tear on the receiver. New knob even matches the original! Includes operator instructions & full schematic. To your door $50, USPS M/O only. Picture at http://www.cox-internet.com/tazman/autek.jpg.

Check my aBay feedback, username - arkytazman. IF THESE AREN'T SOLD BY 1800 SAT. 4/12/03 THEY GO ON EBAY... (then shpg charges will apply to compensate for commissions)

Thanks! tazman@cox-internet.com 870 741-3368

(If this appears twice, scuse me all to hell, think an earlier one got blitzed)

2590. Re: I went to radio shack today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 20:46:41 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9bm0t1c7bo2a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33005

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:8c6la.70478$ug3.148344@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> WHAT DOES ANY OF THIS HAVE TO DO WITH THE WAR????
>

Not a damn thing! Any it should stay that way too! This isn't the "Iraqi War Newsgroup" jack ass, it's a SCANNER newsgroup. If you want war, go screw your neighbors wife... That should bring about a pretty decent war!

PH

2591. Re: Looking for an inexspensive APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 23:22:27 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@murs-usa.com
Message-ID: <36117900.0304101522.2fa7ea9f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33006
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I think he wants the entire system for $250.

KC7ZRU - Tate <73kc7zru73@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<lHhla.402737$F1.60119@sccrnsc04>...
> Your vaugeness is obfuscating.
>
> The FT-1500 is inexpensive, it works, it's rugged. There are more than
> just a few being used succesfuly as APRS trackers, I-gates and
> digipeaters all over the country.
>
> Having been directly responsable for the installation and operation of:
> a majority of digipeaters in this state, APRS for high-alltitude balloon
> flights, HamHUDs, TinyTraks-II, I-gates and one of the longer continualy
> available Tier-2 servers, providing server access for an Antartic
> weather station - I may have just an idea or two as to what APRS is. At
> the very least I've made it work for me and many other hams in the area
> without spending over $200 for a radio.
>
> What is it, exactly, that *you* think APRS is that an FT-1500 isn't a
> viable radio?
>
> 73
>

2592. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// SENSELESS WAR IS NOT THE ANSWER \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 23:40:51 UTC
From: nosupport@shitasoft.com
Message-ID: <9359C1979Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 33007

What the heck does this have to do with scanners?? You must be another stupid rag-head.. Take you war crap and them god nuts to your god nut war moron group.

MNMiikkeW@aol.com (MnMikew) wrote in <b74adq$auhqj$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>:

>Tell that to the Iraqis celebrating in the streets you tosser!
>
>"Q" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message
>news:3ed049c3.3456367413@news.Q.everywhere...
>> Find out what you can do to help.........
>>
>>
>> http://www.stopwar.org.uk
>>
>> http://truemajority.org/
>>
>> www.endthewar.org

2593. Icom PCR1000 audio question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 23:54:17 UTC
From: Skipp bought an icom pcr1000 receiver <Illreplybypostingback@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b75079$k8u$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 33009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

OK Receiver and Scanner Head types.... I've got an Icom PCR1000 audio question to you owners of said beast.

Just got a new one... the Bonito supplied software loads up fine and seems to work pretty well.

My question is about the actual speaker audio which seems to be distorted, poor in quality or just plain bad. I've thumbed through various modes and frequency ranges... the audio just seems to be a garbled sounding voice. Yes the frequency selection works... I can pop in the local police with a honking loud signal, but again the audio seems to distort on peaks regardless of the selected bandwidth (set to 15Khz for typical two-way radio fm).

So I'd like to hear your opinions on the audio if you have an Icom PCR1000. So far, compared to a regular scanner.. the pcr audio is not cutting the mustard.

I couldn't get the supplied Icom software to work and I see there is now and updated version on the Icom web site. My next task is to download and try the lastest Icom supplied software.

The Bonito Software looks nice, but it also appears really "busy." Could just be me...

thanks, I'll read your follow up posts here on the news groups.

cheers
skipp

http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

2594. WANTED R3 trading TH78

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 23:55:52 UTC
From: MikeW <wolobmp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cwnla.59138$JI.16902378@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 33008

hello all. i have in mint condition the th78 dual band ht......comes with the drop in charger,wall charger,2 batteries(hold charge ok),hand mic, gain antenna, and pouch carrying case....also boxs and manuals for all. am interested in a trade for an icom r3 scanner/rcvr. i bought this ht for a friend, to get him re-interested in ham radio, let him use it, but he never used it after it just sitting....so i got it back and i have no use for it, as i have already few other hts. so if you have in mint condition an icom r3 and would like to swap...would like to hear from you. thanks for reading.........mike....wd8bmp

email is wolobmp@hotmail.com

2595. Re: How do I put my scanner online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-10 23:59:02 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <du0c9vk0m3u03k1nv1pfdemoi0qain8gn2@4ax.com>
Source record: 33010
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 10 Apr 2003 17:58:31 -0000, nosupport@shitasoft.com (Adam
Notworking) wrote:

>Well now that all the ass holes and morons have had there shots at you..
>It's easy. download SHOUTcast and Winamp.. You will need a connecter from
>your scanner, ether speaker out or tape out to your computers sound card
>ether mic in or aux in. Install the SHOUTcast software and follow the
>dirction. If your DSL or CABLE "NOT DIALUP MODEM" your on the air.. it's
>that simple..
>
> Adam
>
>Dubbin2@hotmail.com (Dwayne) wrote in
><gqzN8.6521$t%5.3055115@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>:
>
>>How do I go about putting my scanner online?
>>
>>

I hate to promote a Microsoft product, but they do give this one away
for free.

Microsoft's Windows Media Encoder is also easy to set up and run. That
way, people don't need to install Winamp, as they would have to with
shoutcast.

2596. Re: FS: 80CH UHF PORTABLES & EXTRA'S!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 00:16:20 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <qj1c9vo6eif6k9tkoeqg51lragjv6aomq9@4ax.com>
Source record: 33012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 09 Apr 2003 08:29:50 -0300, David & Daphne Schertzer
<dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca> wrote:

>(2) Midland Model 70-255B 80ch *UHF* SCAN 2/5watt 450-470mhz,
>(2) Model 70-C06 Rapid Chargers, (2) Leather Cases with belt-loop ,
>(2) Model 70-M188 Lapel Spkr-mics with clip on back,
>(4) 70-B34 High Capacity Batteries 7.5v @ 1800mha!!
>2- NEW-IN BOX, 2- Used approx. 40hrs
>(2) stubby UHF antennas.
>
>All items are in excellent to perfect condition rates 9-10 or better.
>Org. boxes and instructions for chargers. Radios are "FULLY
>PROGRAMABLE" and include options like a back-lit display and priority
>function. Full spec sheet included. Radios are fully functional
>"except" the DTMF pad of which is only decorative cover. Ch's 1-3 are
>on repeater freqs while Ch 4-11 are on "talk-around" or "simplex"
>freqs. Multi-digital pics avail. Perfect for hunting, fishing,
>security and many other applications.
>
>$200USD + shipping

$200 is an improvement over the $300 you were originally asking, but
still!

Anything that old is not going to be reliable. And furthermore, that
model was poorly designed to begin with.

Rather than pawn off junk on some poor sucker, wouldn't it be better
to just throw them away?

2597. Re: Looking for an inexspensive APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 00:34:40 UTC
From: PawPaw <PawPaw@granpa.com>
Message-ID: <A4ola.18549$4P1.1653384@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 33013
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

$49.95-

less 25%

:-)

"Randy A. Hefner" <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@murs-usa.com> wrote in message
news:36117900.0304101522.2fa7ea9f@posting.google.com...
> I think he wants the entire system for $250.
>
> KC7ZRU - Tate <73kc7zru73@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<lHhla.402737$F1.60119@sccrnsc04>...
> > Your vaugeness is obfuscating.
> >
> > The FT-1500 is inexpensive, it works, it's rugged. There are more than
> > just a few being used succesfuly as APRS trackers, I-gates and
> > digipeaters all over the country.
> >
> > Having been directly responsable for the installation and operation of:
> > a majority of digipeaters in this state, APRS for high-alltitude balloon
> > flights, HamHUDs, TinyTraks-II, I-gates and one of the longer continualy
> > available Tier-2 servers, providing server access for an Antartic
> > weather station - I may have just an idea or two as to what APRS is. At
> > the very least I've made it work for me and many other hams in the area
> > without spending over $200 for a radio.
> >
> > What is it, exactly, that *you* think APRS is that an FT-1500 isn't a
> > viable radio?
> >
> > 73
> >
>

2598. Re: How do I put my scanner online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 01:18:39 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <9359D0423Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 33014
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here you go a web-site with complete instructions on what to do with links
to everything you need!

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/radio.htm

George

nospam@dontsendit.com (Gary) wrote in
<du0c9vk0m3u03k1nv1pfdemoi0qain8gn2@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 10 Apr 2003 17:58:31 -0000, nosupport@shitasoft.com (Adam
>Notworking) wrote:
>
>>Well now that all the ass holes and morons have had there shots at
>>you.. It's easy. download SHOUTcast and Winamp.. You will need a
>>connecter from your scanner, ether speaker out or tape out to your
>>computers sound card ether mic in or aux in. Install the SHOUTcast
>>software and follow the dirction. If your DSL or CABLE "NOT DIALUP
>>MODEM" your on the air.. it's that simple..
>>
>> Adam
>>
>>Dubbin2@hotmail.com (Dwayne) wrote in
>><gqzN8.6521$t%5.3055115@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>:
>>
>>>How do I go about putting my scanner online?
>>>
>>>
>
>I hate to promote a Microsoft product, but they do give this one away
>for free.
>
>Microsoft's Windows Media Encoder is also easy to set up and run. That
>way, people don't need to install Winamp, as they would have to with
>shoutcast.
>

2599. Re: Rush Limbaugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 02:00:27 UTC
From: Lone Rider <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UAnla.16255$_g3.62703@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 33011
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

He's an ASSHOLE!

--
The older I get the better I was!
"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:G%ila.35$lh3.1876@eagle.america.net...
> Nope. Anyone who sides with a dumbass is a dumbass.
>
> "Pointing North" <PointingNorth@smellivision.com> wrote in message
> news:8THga.228837$eG2.36979@sccrnsc03...
> > Can anyone dispute that this guy and his followers are among the least
> > intellectual people in the media?
> > I'd like to hear it!
> > haha can't wait!
> >
> >
>
>

2600. Re: *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 02:09:33 UTC
From: AC6FR <onines@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xtpla.54819$ja4.3534033@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 33016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Suggest you contact his Commanding Officer at Fort Collins. He or She would have little or no legal pressure to assist but usually is interested in the actions of a member of the unit.
Sorry you got dinged.

Steve Onines, Major, USAF, Ret.
"TIA" <no1@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:v9b07cnrif2v18@corp.supernews.com...
> Ya know I always know better but for some reason this time I didn't heed my
> own warning. I had advertised a HR2600 with a chipswitch and 2 - 150 amps
> for sale. One having a preamp switch problem and needed a new switch but the
> amp side worked perfectly. And I got alot of action but no sales. Well after
> 2-3 months I got an email from
>
>
>
> MITCH N2RADIO [mitch@n2radio.com] MITCHELL Robertson
> [MR_CPTN@militaryministry.net]
>
>
>
> Stating that he had a 6900TB to and an Boomer 600 amp to trade for my stuff.
> I was like ok, no problem. I got everything packaged up in bubble wrap and
> boxed real well. And waited for ups to pick it up. And he was to do the
> same. Well he gave me a tracking number 2 days later and the package was on
> its way to me. Well mine left and went to him. I triple checked the
> operation of all the equipment before I packaged it up. Just because I did a
> trade earlier that month (Sept) and had a DOA problem with a scanner. So I
> made sure that the equip worked perfectly. Well I found out that Mitch was
> in the military and was being shipped out. And his family is moving. So they
> wouldn't be able to get email for some time.
>
> Well the package arrived at completely the wrong address, which was
> intentional because Mitch and his wife Laura had both double-checked the
> shipping addy with me. I finally get the package and guess what? Its 3
> Chilton's repair manuals no equipment to be found. Well did I get hosed

but
> bad. So over the next 4 months I emailed both addresses well over a
hundred
> times. And no response at all. Well I have a few connections in the
military
> and I'm making some requests. I'll see what happens it should be
interesting
> to say the least. The last email I sent Mitch and his wife I told him this
> and told him by Jan 1 If I didn't hear anything I would take action. Still
> no reply!!
>
>
>
> Well as I'm typing guess what, I get a box in ups!! SO I open it up and
> there is my 2600 back and one 150 amp that I sent to him. And a note that
> the 2600 doesn't receive, the first amp is dead, and he kept the other amp
> and sent me 2 beat up 15.00 microphones one with an echo. DAM JUNK!! And
a
> mini para dynamics meter DOUBLE JUNK!!! Then he has the balls to throw in
a
> pc board for an amp kit. Well I just wanted EVERYONE to know what happened
> to me. So if you do business with him BEWARE I warned you.
>
>
>
> Robert Mitchell AKA Mitch Robertson AKA deadbeat user
>
> MITCH N2RADIO [mailto:mitch@n2radio.com]
>
> MITCHELL Robertson [MR_CPTN@militaryministry.net]
>
>
>
> Address I shipped to:
>
> Mitch Robertson 3612 SODERBERG DR. FT. COLLINS CO. 80526
>
> Address he shipped from:
>
> Art Castings 511 8th St. SE Loveland Colorado 80537
>
>
>

2601. Re: Icom PCR1000 audio question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 02:24:32 UTC
From: kd4avp <kd4avp@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9c9qudiih292a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Skipp,

I owned one of these radios. It worked great except for one thing...some antennas made it overload the frontend of the radio. If I used my long wire, it was not a good match. I switched to a discone antenna with a whip for HF frequencies and that was an excellent match for the 1000. Just my experience....

Keith KD4AVP

Skipp bought an icom pcr1000 receiver wrote:
> OK Receiver and Scanner Head types.... I've got an Icom PCR1000 audio
> question to you owners of said beast.
>
> Just got a new one... the Bonito supplied software loads up fine and seems
> to work pretty well.
>
> My question is about the actual speaker audio which seems to be
> distorted, poor in quality or just plain bad. I've thumbed through
> various modes and frequency ranges... the audio just seems to be a garbled
> sounding voice. Yes the frequency selection works... I can pop in the
> local police with a honking loud signal, but again the audio seems to
> distort on peaks regardless of the selected bandwidth (set to 15Khz for
> typical two-way radio fm).
>
> So I'd like to hear your opinions on the audio if you have an Icom
> PCR1000. So far, compared to a regular scanner.. the pcr audio is not
> cutting the mustard.
>
> I couldn't get the supplied Icom software to work and I see there is now
> and updated version on the Icom web site. My next task is to download and
> try the lastest Icom supplied software.
>
> The Bonito Software looks nice, but it also appears really "busy." Could
> just be me...
>
> thanks, I'll read your follow up posts here on the news groups.
>
> cheers
> skipp
>
> http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

2602. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 03:10:03 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spectrumhog@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9359CD9701226Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 33018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

>
> The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather
> you not have access to. Decide for yourself.
>
> http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

You forgot the Al Jazeera WEB site. you can get about the same
quality of information from them, too.

Ed

2603. Sadaam -Pro 93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 03:52:01 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <BZqla.406693$3D1.221437@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 33019

Maybe it takes a dictator to keep those Looting Loonies in line. Maybe we liberated a bunch of gun totin, America bashing, banana brains.

2604. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 03:57:20 UTC
From: John Simmons <jsimmons@email.com>
Message-ID: <3E963D5D.E56D7B1A@email.com>
Source record: 33020
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article2842.htm

2605. Re: Sadaam -Pro 93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 04:07:01 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9cfr4f7ql8063@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33021

I think if you watched the "looters", as a group they were getting what they felt was their due.

You may have noted that there was no fighting, or stealing from each other. Most were grabbing up stupid stuff just to get it.

Compare this with the real looting in California, and these were gentle looters. No rampaging or disorder.

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:BZqla.406693$3D1.221437@sccrnsc01...
> Maybe it takes a dictator to keep those Looting Loonies in line. Maybe we
> liberated a bunch of gun totin, America bashing, banana brains.
>
>

2606. check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 04:23:24 UTC
From: mdriggan <mdriggan@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <80c062bff001cb7d4a67e7235b27b0c8@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 33022

www.ahotd.8m.com

2607. FS: Scanner radios - Also - we found Nuclear Weapons!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 04:32:40 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <lzrla.407130$3D1.221732@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 33023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

See http://www.ebay.com for scanners!

Our boys score again! NOT.
http://news.nasdaq.com/news/newsStory.aspx?&cpath=20030410\ACQDJON2003041016
45DOWJONESDJONLINE001083.htm

2608. Re: Sadaam -Pro 93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 04:33:08 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <8Arla.168028$Zo.33325@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 33024

Oh sure, they are pillars of civilization.
http://www.cnn.com/2003/WORLD/meast/04/10/sprj.irq.clerics.killed.ap/index.h
tml

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v9cfr4f7ql8063@corp.supernews.com...
> I think if you watched the "looters", as a group they were getting what
they
> felt was their due.
>
> You may have noted that there was no fighting, or stealing from each
other.
> Most were grabbing up stupid stuff just to get it.
>
> Compare this with the real looting in California, and these were gentle
> looters. No rampaging or disorder.
>
>
> "Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
> news:BZqla.406693$3D1.221437@sccrnsc01...
> > Maybe it takes a dictator to keep those Looting Loonies in line. Maybe
we
> > liberated a bunch of gun totin, America bashing, banana brains.
> >
> >
>
>

2609. Re: Scanner radios - Also - we found Nuclear Weapons!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 04:40:30 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <2Hrla.408753$F1.61525@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 33025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Same thing http://tinyurl.com/9a4g

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:Izrla.407130$3D1.221732@sccrnsc01...
> See http://www.ebay.com for scanners!
>
> Our boys score again! NOT.
>
http://news.nasdaq.com/news/newsStory.aspx?&cpath=20030410\ACQDJON2003041016
> 45DOWJONESDJONLINE001083.htm
>
>

2610. Re: Scanner radios - Also - we found Nuclear Weapons!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 05:20:15 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <jgsla.83741$0X.17088710@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> "Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
> news:Izrla.407130$3D1.221732@sccrnsc01...
> > See http://www.ebay.com for scanners!
> >
> > Our boys score again! NOT.
> >
>
http://news.nasdaq.com/news/newsStory.aspx?&cpath=20030410\ACQDJON2003041016
> > 45DOWJONESDJONLINE001083.htm

But an expert familiar...
Instead, the official said...
...get diverted," the expert said...
speaking on condition of anonymity.
for years, the official said.
the expert told AP.
...last four months," the expert said.
...and Z," the official added.

Now, I don't doubt that a mistake could have been made from these troops not having the right information, but why is it that these "experts" and "officials" want anonymity? I mean, if they feel they have a credible statement to make, why hide behind that? I think most of us would rather see something like "Professor Walter J. Knowitall, an expert familiar..."
How do you debate with all of these unknown entities, or perform some kind of "litmus test" on their credibility? (By that I mean simply give people an opportunity to check their references, if you will - their education, background, and what makes them an "expert".)
Like I said, errors could be made, especially if these troops are NOT supplied with the information mentioned. But when I read reports like this, I can't help but to replace words like "expert" and "official" with "The Easter Bunny".

2611. Re: How do I put my scanner online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 05:21:58 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <%hsla.6453$oJ2.947276@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 33027
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Good group to find this info out in is
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LiveScannerAudio

There's two tutorials on the main page.

"Gary" <nospam@dontsendit.com> wrote in message
news:du0c9vk0m3u03k1nv1pfdemoi0qain8gn2@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 10 Apr 2003 17:58:31 -0000, nosupport@shitasoft.com (Adam
> Notworking) wrote:
>
> >Well now that all the ass holes and morons have had there shots at you..
> >It's easy. download SHOUTcast and Winamp.. You will need a connecter from
> >your scanner, ether speaker out or tape out to your computers sound card
> >ether mic in or aux in. Install the SHOUTcast software and follow the
> >dirction. If your DSL or CABLE "NOT DIALUP MODEM" your on the air.. it's
> >that simple..
> >
> > Adam
> >
> >Dubbin2@hotmail.com (Dwayne) wrote in
> ><gqzN8.6521$t%5.3055115@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>:
> >
> >>How do I go about putting my scanner online?
> >>
> >>
>
> I hate to promote a Microsoft product, but they do give this one away
> for free.
>
> Microsoft's Windows Media Encoder is also easy to set up and run. That
> way, people don't need to install Winamp, as they would have to with
> shoutcast.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.470 / Virus Database: 268 - Release Date: 08/04/2003

2612. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 05:26:34 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <emsla.83742$0X.17094569@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33028
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E963D5D.E56D7B1A@email.com...
> http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article2842.htm

Another fine conspiracy-nut page. Add this to your "hotlist", along with
Al-Jazeera and where ever the hell Peter Arnett is...

2613. Re: Scanner radios - Also - we found Nuclear Weapons!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 05:34:36 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <9ukc9vkrk4k3ova6sc9afeturod2usbo24@4ax.com>
Source record: 33034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Endthewar.org Editorial

by Mike Zmolek

It's the defining moment the media has been waiting for, the toppling of a Stalinesque statue of Saddam Hussein by a smallish (200-300) crowd of cheering, angry Iraqis. Celebrations in Kurdish-controlled cities in northern Iraq were understandably larger and more exuberant. The pent up anger at the brutality of Saddam Hussein's dictatorship will no doubt be on display in US/UK held cities in the days to come, and should not be under-estimated.

The symbolic moment a million Iraqis will never see.

Absent from the celebrations were hundreds of thousands of Iraqi children who died quietly from easily treatable diseases like diarrhea or diphtheria, during twelve years of brutal economic sanctions that turned Iraq's hospitals into houses of mercy for the dying. Many estimate that sanctions claimed the lives of a million and a half Iraqis in all, some estimate over two million.

In 1996 then Secretary of State Madeleine Albright explained on CBS' Sixty Minutes that 500,000 children dying from sanctions were "worth it", worth the cost of containing Saddam "so that American soldiers do not have to go and re-fight the Gulf war." Now that the Gulf War has been re-fought, and thousands more Iraqis have died in battle, in marketplaces and hopitals, it bears asking what it was all "worth" to let Iraqis suffer and die in epic numbers all to "contain" and topple one dictator.

When anti-sanctions activists began hitting Congress hard with statistics, images and arguments for the end of sanctions in the late

'90s, the response they got from the far right in Washington was that it was all "Saddam's fault", often phrased as though Saddam Hussein had chosen to or had himself imposed sanctions on Iraq. A combination of chosen ignorance and denial had set in. The hawks did not even want to look at the numbers; or discuss the impact on the Iraqi people of the $15 billion dollars of supplies and medicines that were kept on hold at the request of the US representative to the UN's 661 committee. In more moderate offices, there was a greater willingness to accept that sanctions were an evil, but no commitment to act, to end the suffering and death caused by sanctions.

In short, Washington left itself no exit strategy on the issue of sanctions; none other than war. Enter the Bush team, who understood that the best defense is a good offense. By threatening to invade Iraq, the 'chickenhawk' gang of Cheney, Wolfowitz and friends managed to spin the Iraq crisis the other way. Like the Taliban, they picked an enemy guilty of gross and indefensible human rights violations. Like the Taliban, they picked an enemy that the US had previously supplied with arms and training, and had once considered a strategic ally, but now branded as 'evil' or 'terrorist'.

The sanctions that were imposed by the UN Security Council on August 6, 1990 set a condition that Iraq should vacate Kuwait. It is doubtful that such a policy would have passed had the Security Council known that the US would destroy much or most of Iraq's civilian infrastructure in the bombing that ensued. It was the bombing that made sanctions extremely lethal, and the Department of Defense knew this would happen. By taking out Iraq's water and sewage treatment plants, bombing Iraq's chlorine production plants (all three) and banning the importation of chlorine as a potential 'dual-use' item under sanctions, Iraq's water supply was quickly contaminated. Forced to drink water contaminated by untreated sewage and multiple water-borne diseases, Iraqi children fell prey to a host of diseases, most of which would be easily treatable, but which could not be treated under the curtailment of medical supplies under the (mostly arbitrary) 'dual-use' restrictions of sanctions. The death rate for Iraqi children soared.

While the US and its close ally in the UK, along with Israel, led the charge for sanctions, the international community as a whole must be faulted for turning their backs and closing their ears to the Iraqi peoples' cry for help, amplified by the voices of a growing anti-sanctions movement by the mid to late 1990s. We should be careful then about making too much of the international rifts that have developed over the threat of invasion, especially between France and the US; for such rifts are more a factor of US and French oil interests than any concern on either side for human rights.

That the Bush administration has managed to take Baghdad with 'merely' a few thousand lives lost (imagine anyone saying that about 9-11) will be celebrated as a major accomplishment, and the gloating has already

begun. Now the progressive movement which championed the human rights of Iraqis suffering under the brutality of both sanctions and dictatorship is being chided for not seeing that liberation flows from the barrel of a gun.

Or does it?

Once the major fighting is over, the political struggle begins. Since its founding in 1917 under British occupation, Iraq has been dominated by a Sunni majority. The Kurdish tribes were seen by the British as too unstable and unpredictable to govern, the Shi'a too likely to align Iraq with Shi'a dominated Iran. The biggest change among the players in Iraqi politics is the name of the occupying power.

The end of the dictatorship of Saddam Hussein opens the door to new possibilities for Iraqis. Ordinary Iraqis who have lost loved ones or who have suffered torture or political imprisonment under the Ba'ath regime have good reason to celebrate 'regime change'. And right now many Iraqis must have tears of sorrow mixed with hope that the end of sanctions is at hand. There are two reasons for this jubilation.

The progressive peace movement must now apply pressure to the Bush administration to live up to its promises of providing humanitarian aid and seeing to it that Iraq is rebuilt. The US has the resources not only to reverse the humanitarian crisis in Iraq, but to transform Iraq's infrastructure and economy to become vibrant and robust. But where Iraq is concerned, the major challenge for the progressive peace movement is to hold the Bush administration to live up to its claims that Iraq will experience democratization.

Does the US really intend to restore Iraq to Iraqi civilian control? A people living under house to house searches, an army acting as police force, and one that does not even speak the local language has a long road to travel toward true liberty, democracy and self-determination. Around the world, US-led coups and invasions has left a trail of dictatorships and oppressive regimes: Chile, Indonesia, Congo-Zaire, Nicaragua. And even the staunchest pro-war advocate should view with skepticism the contracts for rebuilding Iraq's oil fields being given to Dick Cheney's old boss, Halliburton. Congress is already launching a GAO investigation.

The Bush administration only began seriously talking up the 'liberation' line when it became clear that the international community would not support the invasion and was not buying the US's speculation about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. If the US wants to find evidence of weapons of mass destruction in Iraq, it should seek out the graveyards of hundreds of thousands of children who have died needlessly over the past twelve years from a weapon of mass destruction known as sanctions.

On Fri, 11 Apr 2003 05:20:15 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>> "Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
>> news:Izrla.407130$3D1.221732@sccrnsc01...
>> > See http://www.ebay.com for scanners!
>> >
>> > Our boys score again! NOT.
>> >
>>
>http://news.nasdaq.com/news/newsStory.aspx?&cpath=20030410\ACQDJON2003041016
>> > 45DOWJONESDJONLINE001083.htm
>
>But an expert familiar...
>Instead, the official said...
>...get diverted," the expert said...
>speaking on condition of anonymity.
>for years, the official said.
>the expert told AP.
>...last four months," the expert said.
>...and Z," the official added.
>
> Now, I don't doubt that a mistake could have been made from these troops
>not having the right information, but why is it that these "experts" and
>"officials" want anonymity? I mean, if they feel they have a credible
>statement to make, why hide behind that? I think most of us would rather see
>something like "Professor Walter J. Knowitall, an expert familiar..."
> How do you debate with all of these unknown entities, or perform some kind
>of "litmus test" on their credibility? (By that I mean simply give people an
>opportunity to check their references, if you will - their education,
>background, and what makes them an "expert".)
> Like I said, errors could be made, especially if these troops are NOT
>supplied with the information mentioned. But when I read reports like this,
>I can't help but to replace words like "expert" and "official" with "The
>Easter Bunny".
>
>
>
>

2614. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 05:34:59 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <ovkc9vg9r2ou0t6neousf78kasjql055oe@4ax.com>
Source record: 33035
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Endthewar.org Editorial

by Mike Zmolek

It's the defining moment the media has been waiting for, the toppling of a Stalinesque statue of Saddam Hussein by a smallish (200-300) crowd of cheering, angry Iraqis. Celebrations in Kurdish-controlled cities in northern Iraq were understandably larger and more exuberant. The pent up anger at the brutality of Saddam Hussein's dictatorship will no doubt be on display in US/UK held cities in the days to come, and should not be under-estimated.

The symbolic moment a million Iraqis will never see.

Absent from the celebrations were hundreds of thousands of Iraqi children who died quietly from easily treatable diseases like diarrhea or diphtheria, during twelve years of brutal economic sanctions that turned Iraq's hospitals into houses of mercy for the dying. Many estimate that sanctions claimed the lives of a million and a half Iraqis in all, some estimate over two million.

In 1996 then Secretary of State Madeleine Albright explained on CBS' Sixty Minutes that 500,000 children dying from sanctions were "worth it", worth the cost of containing Saddam "so that American soldiers do not have to go and re-fight the Gulf war." Now that the Gulf War has been re-fought, and thousands more Iraqis have died in battle, in marketplaces and hopitals, it bears asking what it was all "worth" to let Iraqis suffer and die in epic numbers all to "contain" and topple one dictator.

When anti-sanctions activists began hitting Congress hard with statistics, images and arguments for the end of sanctions in the late

'90s, the response they got from the far right in Washington was that it was all "Saddam's fault", often phrased as though Saddam Hussein had chosen to or had himself imposed sanctions on Iraq. A combination of chosen ignorance and denial had set in. The hawks did not even want to look at the numbers; or discuss the impact on the Iraqi people of the $15 billion dollars of supplies and medicines that were kept on hold at the request of the US representative to the UN's 661 committee. In more moderate offices, there was a greater willingness to accept that sanctions were an evil, but no commitment to act, to end the suffering and death caused by sanctions.

In short, Washington left itself no exit strategy on the issue of sanctions; none other than war. Enter the Bush team, who understood that the best defense is a good offense. By threatening to invade Iraq, the 'chickenhawk' gang of Cheney, Wolfowitz and friends managed to spin the Iraq crisis the other way. Like the Taliban, they picked an enemy guilty of gross and indefensible human rights violations. Like the Taliban, they picked an enemy that the US had previously supplied with arms and training, and had once considered a strategic ally, but now branded as 'evil' or 'terrorist'.

The sanctions that were imposed by the UN Security Council on August 6, 1990 set a condition that Iraq should vacate Kuwait. It is doubtful that such a policy would have passed had the Security Council known that the US would destroy much or most of Iraq's civilian infrastructure in the bombing that ensued. It was the bombing that made sanctions extremely lethal, and the Department of Defense knew this would happen. By taking out Iraq's water and sewage treatment plants, bombing Iraq's chlorine production plants (all three) and banning the importation of chlorine as a potential 'dual-use' item under sanctions, Iraq's water supply was quickly contaminated. Forced to drink water contaminated by untreated sewage and multiple water-borne diseases, Iraqi children fell prey to a host of diseases, most of which would be easily treatable, but which could not be treated under the curtailment of medical supplies under the (mostly arbitrary) 'dual-use' restrictions of sanctions. The death rate for Iraqi children soared.

While the US and its close ally in the UK, along with Israel, led the charge for sanctions, the international community as a whole must be faulted for turning their backs and closing their ears to the Iraqi peoples' cry for help, amplified by the voices of a growing anti-sanctions movement by the mid to late 1990s. We should be careful then about making too much of the international rifts that have developed over the threat of invasion, especially between France and the US; for such rifts are more a factor of US and French oil interests than any concern on either side for human rights.

That the Bush administration has managed to take Baghdad with 'merely' a few thousand lives lost (imagine anyone saying that about 9-11) will be celebrated as a major accomplishment, and the gloating has already

begun. Now the progressive movement which championed the human rights of Iraqis suffering under the brutality of both sanctions and dictatorship is being chided for not seeing that liberation flows from the barrel of a gun.

Or does it?

Once the major fighting is over, the political struggle begins. Since its founding in 1917 under British occupation, Iraq has been dominated by a Sunni majority. The Kurdish tribes were seen by the British as too unstable and unpredictable to govern, the Shi'a too likely to align Iraq with Shi'a dominated Iran. The biggest change among the players in Iraqi politics is the name of the occupying power.

The end of the dictatorship of Saddam Hussein opens the door to new possibilities for Iraqis. Ordinary Iraqis who have lost loved ones or who have suffered torture or political imprisonment under the Ba'ath regime have good reason to celebrate 'regime change'. And right now many Iraqis must have tears of sorrow mixed with hope that the end of sanctions is at hand. There are two reasons for this jubilation.

The progressive peace movement must now apply pressure to the Bush administration to live up to its promises of providing humanitarian aid and seeing to it that Iraq is rebuilt. The US has the resources not only to reverse the humanitarian crisis in Iraq, but to transform Iraq's infrastructure and economy to become vibrant and robust. But where Iraq is concerned, the major challenge for the progressive peace movement is to hold the Bush administration to live up to its claims that Iraq will experience democratization.

Does the US really intend to restore Iraq to Iraqi civilian control? A people living under house to house searches, an army acting as police force, and one that does not even speak the local language has a long road to travel toward true liberty, democracy and self-determination. Around the world, US-led coups and invasions has left a trail of dictatorships and oppressive regimes: Chile, Indonesia, Congo-Zaire, Nicaragua. And even the staunchest pro-war advocate should view with skepticism the contracts for rebuilding Iraq's oil fields being given to Dick Cheney's old boss, Halliburton. Congress is already launching a GAO investigation.

The Bush administration only began seriously talking up the 'liberation' line when it became clear that the international community would not support the invasion and was not buying the US's speculation about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. If the US wants to find evidence of weapons of mass destruction in Iraq, it should seek out the graveyards of hundreds of thousands of children who have died needlessly over the past twelve years from a weapon of mass destruction known as sanctions.

On Fri, 11 Apr 2003 05:26:34 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
>news:3E963D5D.E56D7B1A@email.com...
>> http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article2842.htm
>
>Another fine conspiracy-nut page. Add this to your "hotlist", along with
>Al-Jazeera and where ever the hell Peter Arnett is...
>

2615. Re: Scanner radios - Also - we found Nuclear Weapons!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 05:45:09 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <FDsla.408578$L1.117972@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 33029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

always something to pick at.

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:jgsla.83741$0X.17088710@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > "Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
> > news:Izrla.407130$3D1.221732@sccrnsc01...
> > > See http://www.ebay.com for scanners!
> > >
> > > Our boys score again! NOT.
> > >
> >
>
http://news.nasdaq.com/news/newsStory.aspx?&cpath=20030410\ACQDJON2003041016
> > > 45DOWJONESDJONLINE001083.htm
>
> But an expert familiar...
> Instead, the official said...
> ...get diverted," the expert said...
> speaking on condition of anonymity.
> for years, the official said.
> the expert told AP.
> ...last four months," the expert said.
> ...and Z," the official added.
>
> Now, I don't doubt that a mistake could have been made from these troops
> not having the right information, but why is it that these "experts" and
> "officials" want anonymity? I mean, if they feel they have a credible
> statement to make, why hide behind that? I think most of us would rather
see
> something like "Professor Walter J. Knowitall, an expert familiar..."
> How do you debate with all of these unknown entities, or perform some
kind
> of "litmus test" on their credibility? (By that I mean simply give people
an
> opportunity to check their references, if you will - their education,
> background, and what makes them an "expert".)
> Like I said, errors could be made, especially if these troops are NOT
> supplied with the information mentioned. But when I read reports like
this,
> I can't help but to replace words like "expert" and "official" with "The
> Easter Bunny".
>
>

>
>
>

2616. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 06:51:13 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BABBDE21.16891%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 33030

"JStev66954" wrote:

> WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Mine is on the desk next to my computer, not in a newsgroup. This newsgroup is for scanner users to discuss issues that interest them. Obviously, like most Americans, some scanner users here are interested in the war.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2617. Re: *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 07:31:15 UTC
From: peter@strg-alt-entf.org
Message-ID: <b75r03$b0dht$1@ID-31.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.amateur.dx TIA <no1@nowhere.com> wrote:
^^^ ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

I wouldn't do business with someone like you either.

Peter Lemken
Berlin
--
Brave New World:
http://www.observer.co.uk/worldview/story/0,11581,905992,00.html
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
http://govinfo.library.unt.edu/nssg/PhaseIIIFR.pdf

2618. Re: *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 09:20:15 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <b7618q01k6s@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 33032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Maybe if you worked with amateur radio equipment and not CB junk . . . . .

--

Regards,
Joe S.

"TIA" <no1@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:v9b07cnrif2v18@corp.supernews.com...
> Ya know I always know better but for some reason this time I didn't heed
my
> own warning. I had advertised a HR2600 with a chipswitch and 2 - 150 amps
> for sale. One having a preamp switch problem and needed a new switch but
the
> amp side worked perfectly. And I got alot of action but no sales. Well
after
> 2-3 months I got an email from
>
>
>
> MITCH N2RADIO [mitch@n2radio.com] MITCHELL Robertson
> [MR_CPTN@militaryministry.net]
>
>
>
> Stating that he had a 6900TB to and an Boomer 600 amp to trade for my
stuff.
> I was like ok, no problem. I got everything packaged up in bubble wrap and
> boxed real well. And waited for ups to pick it up. And he was to do the
> same. Well he gave me a tracking number 2 days later and the package was
on
> its way to me. Well mine left and went to him. I triple checked the
> operation of all the equipment before I packaged it up. Just because I did
a
> trade earlier that month (Sept) and had a DOA problem with a scanner. So I
> made sure that the equip worked perfectly. Well I found out that Mitch was
> in the military and was being shipped out. And his family is moving. So
they
> wouldn't be able to get email for some time.
>
> Well the package arrived at completely the wrong address, which was
> intentional because Mitch and his wife Laura had both double-checked the

> shipping addy with me. I finally get the package and guess what? Its 3
> Chilton's repair manuals no equipment to be found. Well did I get hosed
but
> bad. So over the next 4 months I emailed both addresses well over a
hundred
> times. And no response at all. Well I have a few connections in the
military
> and I'm making some requests. I'll see what happens it should be
interesting
> to say the least. The last email I sent Mitch and his wife I told him this
> and told him by Jan 1 If I didn't hear anything I would take action. Still
> no reply!!
>
>
>
> Well as I'm typing guess what, I get a box in ups!! SO I open it up and
> there is my 2600 back and one 150 amp that I sent to him. And a note that
> the 2600 doesn't receive, the first amp is dead, and he kept the other amp
> and sent me 2 beat up 15.00 microphones one with an echo. DAM JUNK!! And
a
> mini para dynamics meter DOUBLE JUNK!!! Then he has the balls to throw in
a
> pc board for an amp kit. Well I just wanted EVERYONE to know what happened
> to me. So if you do business with him BEWARE I warned you.
>
>
>
> Robert Mitchell AKA Mitch Robertson AKA deadbeat user
>
> MITCH N2RADIO [mailto:mitch@n2radio.com]
>
> MITCHELL Robertson [MR_CPTN@militaryministry.net]
>
>
>
> Address I shipped to:
>
> Mitch Robertson 3612 SODERBERG DR. FT. COLLINS CO. 80526
>
> Address he shipped from:
>
> Art Castings 511 8th St. SE Loveland Colorado 80537
>
>
>

2619. Re: Icom PCR1000 audio question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 10:03:15 UTC
From: Pat Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3E9692E3.C9FF52BB@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 33033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

I am having the same problem here as well as a friend also. Have not had much time to play with the PCR-1000 but I have just noticed the distortion on the local ham and law enforecement repeaters. Other modes appear fine and I have copied HF WEFAX out of New Orleans ok using just a group plane mounted two feet directly above the antenna. I will be experimenting with a outdoor mounter discone this weekend. Would anyone know if the distortion is there using Bonito 5.0? I am using 4.5. Interesting to note: the distortion is not there using the Icom supplied software or the PCtalk version. Let's keep this going. I would be most interested in anyone's success in using the PCR-1000 for satellite use. Thanks. pcox, K4AVO (All-Icom)

Skipp bought an icom pcr1000 receiver wrote:

> OK Receiver and Scanner Head types.... I've got an Icom PCR1000 audio
> question to you owners of said beast.
>
> Just got a new one... the Bonito supplied software loads up fine and seems
> to work pretty well.
>
> My question is about the actual speaker audio which seems to be
> distorted, poor in quality or just plain bad. I've thumbed through
> various modes and frequency ranges... the audio just seems to be a garbled
> sounding voice. Yes the frequency selection works... I can pop in the
> local police with a honking loud signal, but again the audio seems to
> distort on peaks regardless of the selected bandwidth (set to 15Khz for
> typical two-way radio fm).
>
> So I'd like to hear your opinions on the audio if you have an Icom
> PCR1000. So far, compared to a regular scanner.. the pcr audio is not
> cutting the mustard.
>
> I couldn't get the supplied Icom software to work and I see there is now
> and updated version on the Icom web site. My next task is to download and
> try the lastest Icom supplied software.
>
> The Bonito Software looks nice, but it also appears really "busy." Could
> just be me...
>
> thanks, I'll read your follow up posts here on the news groups.
>
> cheers
> skipp
>
> http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

2620. Re: Programming Radio Shack PRO-89 with computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 10:38:17 UTC
From: jmacdon <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3E969B19.38C9997F@mitre.org>
Source record: 33036

The computer interface cable most likely goes into the headphone jack,
Don't know any thing about
USB other than some times another cable/ interface may be available
to use with the scanner headphone computer port and the USB slot on
the computer.
I do not know if a adapter is enough, may need a different cable, which
also
my be an after market third party item.
Jim

LoneGunman wrote:

> This will probibly seem like an strange question (hey, I'm new to
> scanning...), but Ijust bought a Radio Shack PRO-89, and the data
> loading software and cable. So, where on the scanner does the data
> cable plug in? the book doesn't say, that I saw, and the only jack I
> see that it could fit is the headphone jack. Is that where it goes?
>
> Also, has anyone ever run the data cable to an RS-232 to USB adapter?
>
> Thanks,

2621. Re: *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 12:31:31 UTC
From: peter@strg-alt-entf.org
Message-ID: <b76cj3$bdji2$2@ID-31.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 33037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.amateur.dx Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:

> No, no, Peter .... the *real* truth is here:
>
> http://www.davidicke.com/icke/index1a.html

I prefer traceable pieces of information or - even better - official
documents from credible sources like the ones cited in my .sig.

Having said that, the URL above is great entertainment indeed.

Peter Lemken
Berlin
--
Brave New World:
http://www.observer.co.uk/worldview/story/0,11581,905992,00.html
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
http://govinfo.library.unt.edu/nssg/PhaseIIIFR.pdf

2622. Re: Scanner radios - Also - we found Nuclear Weapons!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 13:01:31 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9df4llt0pf11a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sick of your OT shit... Reported...

Abuse@attbi.com I sure will be more than happy to deal with you...

And no, your bogus wanna-be eBay line isn't going to save your ass...

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:Izrla.407130$3D1.221732@sccrnsc01...
> See http://www.ebay.com for scanners!
>
> Our boys score again! NOT.
>
http://news.nasdaq.com/news/newsStory.aspx?&cpath=20030410\ACQDJON2003041016
> 45DOWJONESDJONLINE001083.htm
>
>

2623. Re: *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 13:37:30 UTC
From: Doug Smith W9WI <w9wi@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <3E96C517.7060806@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 33039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Joe S. wrote:
> Maybe if you worked with amateur radio equipment and not CB junk . . . . .

I know of a guy at the FCC who might be interested in arranging the
proper disposal of this gear<grin>...
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

2624. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 14:28:43 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9dk8qg1kgku56@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 33040
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven> wrote in message
news:ovkc9vg9r2ou0t6neousf78kasjql055oe@4ax.com...
Snip all the bovine deification....

Sorry Petey, all you anti-war, pansy, commie loving, left wing, anal orifices have lost. Your rhetoric is dated and revealed for the pollyannaish nonsense it is..

I watched as a freed people carried signs saying "go home human shields...", I watched as screaming human shields that called the Marines baby killers had to be protected by those same Marines from the crowds of Iraqi's that could not understand their stupidity.

George Bush as re-attached the national gonads and all you touch feely types are irrelevant.

2625. Re: *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 14:42:56 UTC
From: where's that gear at??!?!? <greargrinderman@doubleclutchusa.com>
Message-ID: <d0ld9vkjc2jc5du08o0ks96lhnvhd915re@4ax.com>
Source record: 33041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 11 Apr 2003 05:20:15 -0400, "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> was
blabbling:

...Maybe if you worked with amateur radio equipment and not CB junk .
. . . .

Oh Bullcrap! There's con-artists in ham radio too.... ask Charlie
K8GWM about how he got ripped for $1500.00 by a ham from Texas
claiming he had a late s/n Icom 756pro.

have a good day!

2626. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 15:17:46 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <u0Bla.84576$0X.17490967@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 33042
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v9dk8qg1kgku56@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven> wrote in message
> news:ovkc9vg9r2ou0t6neousf78kasjql055oe@4ax.com...
> Snip all the bovine deification....
>
> Sorry Petey, all you anti-war, pansy, commie loving, left wing, anal
> orifices have lost. Your rhetoric is dated and revealed for the
pollyannaish
> nonsense it is..
>
> I watched as a freed people carried signs saying "go home human
shields...",
> I watched as screaming human shields that called the Marines baby killers
> had to be protected by those same Marines from the crowds of Iraqi's that
> could not understand their stupidity.
>
> George Bush as re-attached the national gonads and all you touch feely
types
> are irrelevant.

Well said.

2627. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 15:18:16 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <j5nd9vcnb0st98poq95i972hm7iahdmbp5@4ax.com>
Source record: 32953
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Endthewar.org Editorial

by Mike Zmolek

It's the defining moment the media has been waiting for, the toppling of a Stalinesque statue of Saddam Hussein by a smallish (200-300) crowd of cheering, angry Iraqis. Celebrations in Kurdish-controlled cities in northern Iraq were understandably larger and more exuberant. The pent up anger at the brutality of Saddam Hussein's dictatorship will no doubt be on display in US/UK held cities in the days to come, and should not be under-estimated.

The symbolic moment a million Iraqis will never see.

Absent from the celebrations were hundreds of thousands of Iraqi children who died quietly from easily treatable diseases like diarrhea or diphtheria, during twelve years of brutal economic sanctions that turned Iraq's hospitals into houses of mercy for the dying. Many estimate that sanctions claimed the lives of a million and a half Iraqis in all, some estimate over two million.

In 1996 then Secretary of State Madeleine Albright explained on CBS' Sixty Minutes that 500,000 children dying from sanctions were "worth it", worth the cost of containing Saddam "so that American soldiers do not have to go and re-fight the Gulf war." Now that the Gulf War has been re-fought, and thousands more Iraqis have died in battle, in marketplaces and hopitals, it bears asking what it was all "worth" to let Iraqis suffer and die in epic numbers all to "contain" and topple one dictator.

When anti-sanctions activists began hitting Congress hard with statistics, images and arguments for the end of sanctions in the late

'90s, the response they got from the far right in Washington was that it was all "Saddam's fault", often phrased as though Saddam Hussein had chosen to or had himself imposed sanctions on Iraq. A combination of chosen ignorance and denial had set in. The hawks did not even want to look at the numbers; or discuss the impact on the Iraqi people of the $15 billion dollars of supplies and medicines that were kept on hold at the request of the US representative to the UN's 661 committee. In more moderate offices, there was a greater willingness to accept that sanctions were an evil, but no commitment to act, to end the suffering and death caused by sanctions.

In short, Washington left itself no exit strategy on the issue of sanctions; none other than war. Enter the Bush team, who understood that the best defense is a good offense. By threatening to invade Iraq, the 'chickenhawk' gang of Cheney, Wolfowitz and friends managed to spin the Iraq crisis the other way. Like the Taliban, they picked an enemy guilty of gross and indefensible human rights violations. Like the Taliban, they picked an enemy that the US had previously supplied with arms and training, and had once considered a strategic ally, but now branded as 'evil' or 'terrorist'.

The sanctions that were imposed by the UN Security Council on August 6, 1990 set a condition that Iraq should vacate Kuwait. It is doubtful that such a policy would have passed had the Security Council known that the US would destroy much or most of Iraq's civilian infrastructure in the bombing that ensued. It was the bombing that made sanctions extremely lethal, and the Department of Defense knew this would happen. By taking out Iraq's water and sewage treatment plants, bombing Iraq's chlorine production plants (all three) and banning the importation of chlorine as a potential 'dual-use' item under sanctions, Iraq's water supply was quickly contaminated. Forced to drink water contaminated by untreated sewage and multiple water-borne diseases, Iraqi children fell prey to a host of diseases, most of which would be easily treatable, but which could not be treated under the curtailment of medical supplies under the (mostly arbitrary) 'dual-use' restrictions of sanctions. The death rate for Iraqi children soared.

While the US and its close ally in the UK, along with Israel, led the charge for sanctions, the international community as a whole must be faulted for turning their backs and closing their ears to the Iraqi peoples' cry for help, amplified by the voices of a growing anti-sanctions movement by the mid to late 1990s. We should be careful then about making too much of the international rifts that have developed over the threat of invasion, especially between France and the US; for such rifts are more a factor of US and French oil interests than any concern on either side for human rights.

That the Bush administration has managed to take Baghdad with 'merely' a few thousand lives lost (imagine anyone saying that about 9-11) will be celebrated as a major accomplishment, and the gloating has already

begun. Now the progressive movement which championed the human rights of Iraqis suffering under the brutality of both sanctions and dictatorship is being chided for not seeing that liberation flows from the barrel of a gun.

Or does it?

Once the major fighting is over, the political struggle begins. Since its founding in 1917 under British occupation, Iraq has been dominated by a Sunni majority. The Kurdish tribes were seen by the British as too unstable and unpredictable to govern, the Shi'a too likely to align Iraq with Shi'a dominated Iran. The biggest change among the players in Iraqi politics is the name of the occupying power.

The end of the dictatorship of Saddam Hussein opens the door to new possibilities for Iraqis. Ordinary Iraqis who have lost loved ones or who have suffered torture or political imprisonment under the Ba'ath regime have good reason to celebrate 'regime change'. And right now many Iraqis must have tears of sorrow mixed with hope that the end of sanctions is at hand. There are two reasons for this jubilation.

The progressive peace movement must now apply pressure to the Bush administration to live up to its promises of providing humanitarian aid and seeing to it that Iraq is rebuilt. The US has the resources not only to reverse the humanitarian crisis in Iraq, but to transform Iraq's infrastructure and economy to become vibrant and robust. But where Iraq is concerned, the major challenge for the progressive peace movement is to hold the Bush administration to live up to its claims that Iraq will experience democratization.

Does the US really intend to restore Iraq to Iraqi civilian control? A people living under house to house searches, an army acting as police force, and one that does not even speak the local language has a long road to travel toward true liberty, democracy and self-determination. Around the world, US-led coups and invasions has left a trail of dictatorships and oppressive regimes: Chile, Indonesia, Congo-Zaire, Nicaragua. And even the staunchest pro-war advocate should view with skepticism the contracts for rebuilding Iraq's oil fields being given to Dick Cheney's old boss, Halliburton. Congress is already launching a GAO investigation.

The Bush administration only began seriously talking up the 'liberation' line when it became clear that the international community would not support the invasion and was not buying the US's speculation about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. If the US wants to find evidence of weapons of mass destruction in Iraq, it should seek out the graveyards of hundreds of thousands of children who have died needlessly over the past twelve years from a weapon of mass destruction known as sanctions.

On Fri, 11 Apr 2003 15:17:46 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
>news:v9dk8qg1kgku56@corp.supernews.com...
>>
>> "Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven> wrote in message
>> news:ovkc9vg9r2ou0t6neousf78kasjql055oe@4ax.com...
>> Snip all the bovine deification....
>>
>> Sorry Petey, all you anti-war, pansy, commie loving, left wing, anal
>> orifices have lost. Your rhetoric is dated and revealed for the
>pollyannaish
>> nonsense it is..
>>
>> I watched as a freed people carried signs saying "go home human
>shields...",
>> I watched as screaming human shields that called the Marines baby killers
>> had to be protected by those same Marines from the crowds of Iraqi's that
>> could not understand their stupidity.
>>
>> George Bush as re-attached the national gonads and all you touch feely
>types
>> are irrelevant.
>
>Well said.
>

2628. Re: Sadaam -Pro 93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 15:35:50 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <qhBla.175923$Zo.33331@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 33043

I'm on my way!

"Back Scatter" <thomas!@earth-west-.org> wrote in message
news:CeBla.19462$4P1.1751992@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote:
>
> >Maybe it takes a dictator to keep those Looting Loonies
>
> Why don't you take your maniac-depressive ass to some mental health/ill
> newsgroup and post your rants for attention there?
>

2629. Re: Sadaam -Pro 93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 16:05:08 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030411120508.18618.00000250@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 33044

>Maybe it takes a dictator to keep those Looting Loonies in line. Maybe we
>liberated a bunch of gun totin, America bashing, banana brains.

What's that got to do with the Pro-93?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

2630. recommended antennas for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 16:07:04 UTC
From: curioandrelic@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <77dfd975.0304110807.5fcdfb1a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 33045

I have inherited a UNIDEN BC-60XLT hand-held digital scanner, as well as an older base unit (with big red blinking lights and crystals). I need recommendations for outdoor, indoor, and magnetic-mount vehicle antennas for these units.

2631. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 16:16:15 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <jTBla.98498$B54.2732908@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 33046

The US and its coalition still haven't found any chemical or biological weapons as of April 11th 2003. Iraq did disarm but they were invaded anyway.

Can we say the same about the biggest producers of weapons of mass destruction, (the US)? Why doesn't the US practice what it preaches and disarm itself?

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message news:gKdka.64566$0X.12868416@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote
> > I just wonder if the US and England will be charged with war crimes as
> that
> > they are the invading countries and they invaded without provocation.
>
> (Read UN Resolutions 1441, 678, and 687. I won't bother to post them
here -
> they're on the net).
>
> > I do note that they have almost the entire country
> > and have not found any chemical or biological
> > weapons
>
> Tune into the news...
>
> > Iraq has never done anything to the US or any of its treaty allies.
>
> Oh? It was another Hollywood stunt?
>
> > Thus this makes it an illegal invasion subject to war crimes charges.
>
> <sigh>
>
> > > > NPR Commentary: Illogical
>
>

2632. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 16:17:00 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030411121700.18618.00000253@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 32947

> Mine is on the desk next to my computer, not in a newsgroup. This
>newsgroup is for scanner users to discuss issues that interest them.
>Obviously, like most Americans, some scanner users here are interested in
>the war.

I thought it was to discuss scanners. I'm a scanner listener, but if I'm interested in banjo production in the south during the 1950's, is it appropriate to post about it here? No!

Is this post appropriate here? No.

There are political newsgroups. These jackoffs that are talking about the war should take it there. My kill file grows bigger and bigger every day.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

2633. Re: recommended antennas for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 16:22:57 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030411122257.18618.00000254@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 32948

>I have inherited a UNIDEN BC-60XLT hand-held digital scanner, as well
>as an older base unit (with big red blinking lights and crystals). I
>need recommendations for outdoor, indoor, and magnetic-mount vehicle
>antennas for these units.

Your post is too broad. Is there a range of frequencies you want to listen to,
or do you just want to be able to hear the normal range of frequencies (from
30mhz - 900 mhz)? How far away do you want to hear? What types of systems will
you listen to (simplex or repeaters)?

You can always buy a scanner antenna that is optimized for a particular portion
of the band, like one for the 150 mhz area, which is where a lot of public
safety stuff is at, or you can buy a general coverage antenna like a rubber
duck for the handheld, a basic scanner mobile antenna from Radio Shack and a
discone antenna for home use.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

2634. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 16:47:16 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304110847.6cd384b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32949
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

PA,

Here is the Other Side of the Coin - Now that 'Saddam Insane' is Gone.
CNN is admitting to withholding the TRUTH for Years about the former
Iraqi Regime of Saddam Hussein and the BAATH (NAZI) Party.

To Read about 'What CNN Did Not Report'
- The New York Times 11-APR-03
- - "The News We Kept to Ourselves" written by Eason Jordan
- - - NOTE: Eason Jordan is the 'Chief' News Executive at CNN.

GoTo=> http://www.nytimes.com/2003/04/11/opinion/11JORD.html?th

Evidently it was more important to CNN
- To "PRESENT the News" (Saddam's Propaganda)
- - Then To - 'Tell The Truth'
- - - Thank You CNN - For Twelve Years of LIES and Cover-Ups.

So Now We See the 'Liberal' CNN and NYT Admit the "Truth".

You Shall Know 'The TRUTH'... And It Shall Set You FREE ~ RHF
.
OBTW: Heard this on the Radio Today.
Looked it up on the NYTs to Verify the Report was True.
.
.
= = = Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven> wrote in message
= = = news:<r8f49vofnaqtamuhj7hck6ekq8v4iejka6@4ax.com>...
> Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
>
> <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>
>
>
> The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> have access to. Decide for yourself.
>
> http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
>
> http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm
>

2635. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 17:01:58 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <u7td9vgtm4doob7hqfkfrvtodr9hviucoj@4ax.com>
Source record: 32951
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Endthewar.org Editorial

by Mike Zmolek

It's the defining moment the media has been waiting for, the toppling of a Stalinesque statue of Saddam Hussein by a smallish (200-300) crowd of cheering, angry Iraqis. Celebrations in Kurdish-controlled cities in northern Iraq were understandably larger and more exuberant. The pent up anger at the brutality of Saddam Hussein's dictatorship will no doubt be on display in US/UK held cities in the days to come, and should not be under-estimated.

The symbolic moment a million Iraqis will never see.

Absent from the celebrations were hundreds of thousands of Iraqi children who died quietly from easily treatable diseases like diarrhea or diphtheria, during twelve years of brutal economic sanctions that turned Iraq's hospitals into houses of mercy for the dying. Many estimate that sanctions claimed the lives of a million and a half Iraqis in all, some estimate over two million.

In 1996 then Secretary of State Madeleine Albright explained on CBS' Sixty Minutes that 500,000 children dying from sanctions were "worth it", worth the cost of containing Saddam "so that American soldiers do not have to go and re-fight the Gulf war." Now that the Gulf War has been re-fought, and thousands more Iraqis have died in battle, in marketplaces and hopitals, it bears asking what it was all "worth" to let Iraqis suffer and die in epic numbers all to "contain" and topple one dictator.

When anti-sanctions activists began hitting Congress hard with statistics, images and arguments for the end of sanctions in the late

'90s, the response they got from the far right in Washington was that it was all "Saddam's fault", often phrased as though Saddam Hussein had chosen to or had himself imposed sanctions on Iraq. A combination of chosen ignorance and denial had set in. The hawks did not even want to look at the numbers; or discuss the impact on the Iraqi people of the $15 billion dollars of supplies and medicines that were kept on hold at the request of the US representative to the UN's 661 committee. In more moderate offices, there was a greater willingness to accept that sanctions were an evil, but no commitment to act, to end the suffering and death caused by sanctions.

In short, Washington left itself no exit strategy on the issue of sanctions; none other than war. Enter the Bush team, who understood that the best defense is a good offense. By threatening to invade Iraq, the 'chickenhawk' gang of Cheney, Wolfowitz and friends managed to spin the Iraq crisis the other way. Like the Taliban, they picked an enemy guilty of gross and indefensible human rights violations. Like the Taliban, they picked an enemy that the US had previously supplied with arms and training, and had once considered a strategic ally, but now branded as 'evil' or 'terrorist'.

The sanctions that were imposed by the UN Security Council on August 6, 1990 set a condition that Iraq should vacate Kuwait. It is doubtful that such a policy would have passed had the Security Council known that the US would destroy much or most of Iraq's civilian infrastructure in the bombing that ensued. It was the bombing that made sanctions extremely lethal, and the Department of Defense knew this would happen. By taking out Iraq's water and sewage treatment plants, bombing Iraq's chlorine production plants (all three) and banning the importation of chlorine as a potential 'dual-use' item under sanctions, Iraq's water supply was quickly contaminated. Forced to drink water contaminated by untreated sewage and multiple water-borne diseases, Iraqi children fell prey to a host of diseases, most of which would be easily treatable, but which could not be treated under the curtailment of medical supplies under the (mostly arbitrary) 'dual-use' restrictions of sanctions. The death rate for Iraqi children soared.

While the US and its close ally in the UK, along with Israel, led the charge for sanctions, the international community as a whole must be faulted for turning their backs and closing their ears to the Iraqi peoples' cry for help, amplified by the voices of a growing anti-sanctions movement by the mid to late 1990s. We should be careful then about making too much of the international rifts that have developed over the threat of invasion, especially between France and the US; for such rifts are more a factor of US and French oil interests than any concern on either side for human rights.

That the Bush administration has managed to take Baghdad with 'merely' a few thousand lives lost (imagine anyone saying that about 9-11) will be celebrated as a major accomplishment, and the gloating has already

begun. Now the progressive movement which championed the human rights of Iraqis suffering under the brutality of both sanctions and dictatorship is being chided for not seeing that liberation flows from the barrel of a gun.

Or does it?

Once the major fighting is over, the political struggle begins. Since its founding in 1917 under British occupation, Iraq has been dominated by a Sunni majority. The Kurdish tribes were seen by the British as too unstable and unpredictable to govern, the Shi'a too likely to align Iraq with Shi'a dominated Iran. The biggest change among the players in Iraqi politics is the name of the occupying power.

The end of the dictatorship of Saddam Hussein opens the door to new possibilities for Iraqis. Ordinary Iraqis who have lost loved ones or who have suffered torture or political imprisonment under the Ba'ath regime have good reason to celebrate 'regime change'. And right now many Iraqis must have tears of sorrow mixed with hope that the end of sanctions is at hand. There are two reasons for this jubilation.

The progressive peace movement must now apply pressure to the Bush administration to live up to its promises of providing humanitarian aid and seeing to it that Iraq is rebuilt. The US has the resources not only to reverse the humanitarian crisis in Iraq, but to transform Iraq's infrastructure and economy to become vibrant and robust. But where Iraq is concerned, the major challenge for the progressive peace movement is to hold the Bush administration to live up to its claims that Iraq will experience democratization.

Does the US really intend to restore Iraq to Iraqi civilian control? A people living under house to house searches, an army acting as police force, and one that does not even speak the local language has a long road to travel toward true liberty, democracy and self-determination. Around the world, US-led coups and invasions has left a trail of dictatorships and oppressive regimes: Chile, Indonesia, Congo-Zaire, Nicaragua. And even the staunchest pro-war advocate should view with skepticism the contracts for rebuilding Iraq's oil fields being given to Dick Cheney's old boss, Halliburton. Congress is already launching a GAO investigation.

The Bush administration only began seriously talking up the 'liberation' line when it became clear that the international community would not support the invasion and was not buying the US's speculation about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. If the US wants to find evidence of weapons of mass destruction in Iraq, it should seek out the graveyards of hundreds of thousands of children who have died needlessly over the past twelve years from a weapon of mass destruction known as sanctions.

On Fri, 11 Apr 2003 15:17:46 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
>news:v9dk8qg1kgku56@corp.supernews.com...
>>
>> "Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven> wrote in message
>> news:ovkc9vg9r2ou0t6neousf78kasjql055oe@4ax.com...
>> Snip all the bovine deification....
>>
>> Sorry Petey, all you anti-war, pansy, commie loving, left wing, anal
>> orifices have lost. Your rhetoric is dated and revealed for the
>pollyannaish
>> nonsense it is..
>>
>> I watched as a freed people carried signs saying "go home human
>shields...",
>> I watched as screaming human shields that called the Marines baby killers
>> had to be protected by those same Marines from the crowds of Iraqi's that
>> could not understand their stupidity.
>>
>> George Bush as re-attached the national gonads and all you touch feely
>types
>> are irrelevant.
>
>Well said.
>

2636. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 17:05:06 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <6BCla.85064$0X.17569784@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32950

You take a fairly simplistic view on this, and have yourself fallen to the drivel of those that are opposed to actions in Iraq. I'm not here to cast stones, but only to respond to your allegations that are based on a (deliberate?) simplification, if not abbreviation, of US policy.
The WMD issue was never the sole basis for the actions in Iraq, and wasn't stated to be so. The United States policy toward Iraq was clearly stated by Edward P. Djerejian, the Director of the Baker Institute For Public Policy, at Rice University. It was presented at the IISS/CEPS European Security Forum Meeting in Brussels on September 9, 2002.

"The U.S. decision to refocus the world's attention on Iraq and the threat it poses to international peace and security under Saddam Hussein results from the ongoing adjustment of U.S. strategy as a consequence of the attacks of 11 September 2001. The U.S. is leading the campaign against global terrorism - a campaign that can only be won by successful international coalition building. For some time before 11 September, the nexus between weapons of mass destruction (WMD) and terrorist groups and states that support terrorism had been identified as the major strategic threat. This definition is at the core of the new U.S. strategy. Due to the nature of this threat, this definition has also given rise to the concept of pre-emptive strikes, and it has implications for the established policies of containment and deterrence. For building and sustaining effective coalitions, the U.S. will have to work with its allies and partners to build on these changes of its national security strategy for the needed elaboration of an international strategic framework and agreed policy for dealing with the threat."

As the formulation of the Administration's policy on Iraq was evolving, a range of positions on the approach to Iraq has been expressed up to the summer of 2002 among Bush Administration officials. President George W. Bush himself established the link between Iraq and terrorism by stressing the need to deny sanctuary to terrorists anywhere in the world [1] and pointing to the totalitarian threat posed by state sponsors of terrorism with potential access to weapons of mass destruction.[2] He also declared that it was the stated policy of his government to have regime change in Iraq,[3] as U.S. legislation indeed calls for since 1998, believing that unlawful aggression and the pursuit of weapons of mass destruction are the essence of Saddam Hussein's rule and would not end as long as he was in power. However, Bush put the issue of full compliance with UN decisions on the dismantlement of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction programs at the center of his international efforts vis-�-vis Iraq, insisting that the issue here is not inspections but disarmament.[4] On September 15, President Bush will be addressing the subject of Iraq in a major speech at the United Nations.

At the same time, Vice-President Richard Cheney advocated determined U.S. leadership to force Saddam Hussein from power in his remarks before a veterans group in Nashville in August 2002. Cheney said that old security doctrines did not apply in the new strategic environment. Containment was not possible when dictators obtained weapons of mass destruction and were prepared to share them with terrorists who intend to inflict catastrophic casualties on the United States. He also claimed that "many of us are convinced that Saddam Hussein will acquire nuclear weapons fairly soon". A tentative U.S. approach to Iraq would mean that Saddam would simply be emboldened, and it would be even harder to assemble friends and allies to oppose him. In a post- Saddam world, however, moderates in the region would take heart and extremists would rethink their commitment to Jihad, and the broader cause of Middle East peace would be advanced. Cheney assured his audience that the Bush Administration would not simply look away, hope for the best and leave the matter for some future administration. He also promised that the U.S. would not turn its back on Iraq after Saddam's departure but would stay to help it rebuild with "territorial integrity" and to craft a democratic, pluralistic, ethnically representative government.

Secretary of State Colin Powell highlighted the need for the United States to lead the international community in its approach toward Iraq and build international support. He stressed the role of the UN Security Council and WMD inspectors as a first step toward enforcing compliance and disarmament on Saddam Hussein and his regime, with serious consequences if he does not comply.

NOTES

[1] "We have entered the second stage of the war on terror - a sustained campaign to deny sanctuary to terrorists who would threaten our citizens from anywhere in the world." (Remarks by President Bush at The White House, March 11, 2002).

[2] "The evil that has formed against us has been termed the new totalitarian threat. The authors of terror are seeking nuclear, chemical and biological weapons. Regimes that sponsor terror are developing these weapons and the missiles to deliver them. If these regimes and their terrorist allies were to perfect these capabilities, no inner voice of reason, no hint of conscience would prevent their use." (Speech by President Bush at the German Bundestag, May 23, 2002.)

[3] Press conference by President Bush, July 8, 2002.

[4] President Bush speaking to congressional leaders on Iraq, September 4, 2002.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

The outcry should be against those that use such arguments to further their own agendas, whatever they may be.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2637. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 17:06:58 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <ehtd9vksnng4p1ii29l10b4pqspb835epv@4ax.com>
Source record: 32952

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

<PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Endthewar.org Editorial

by Mike Zmolek

It's the defining moment the media has been waiting for, the toppling of a Stalinesque statue of Saddam Hussein by a smallish (200-300) crowd of cheering, angry Iraqis. Celebrations in Kurdish-controlled cities in northern Iraq were understandably larger and more exuberant. The pent up anger at the brutality of Saddam Hussein's dictatorship will no doubt be on display in US/UK held cities in the days to come, and should not be under-estimated.

The symbolic moment a million Iraqis will never see.

Absent from the celebrations were hundreds of thousands of Iraqi children who died quietly from easily treatable diseases like diarrhea or diphtheria, during twelve years of brutal economic sanctions that turned Iraq's hospitals into houses of mercy for the dying. Many estimate that sanctions claimed the lives of a million and a half Iraqis in all, some estimate over two million.

In 1996 then Secretary of State Madeleine Albright explained on CBS' Sixty Minutes that 500,000 children dying from sanctions were "worth it", worth the cost of containing Saddam "so that American soldiers do not have to go and re-fight the Gulf war." Now that the Gulf War has been re-fought, and thousands more Iraqis have died in battle, in marketplaces and hopitals, it bears asking what it was all "worth" to let Iraqis suffer and die in epic numbers all to "contain" and topple one dictator.

When anti-sanctions activists began hitting Congress hard with statistics, images and arguments for the end of sanctions in the late '90s, the response they got from the far right in Washington was that

it was all "Saddam's fault", often phrased as though Saddam Hussein had chosen to or had himself imposed sanctions on Iraq. A combination of chosen ignorance and denial had set in. The hawks did not even want to look at the numbers; or discuss the impact on the Iraqi people of the $15 billion dollars of supplies and medicines that were kept on hold at the request of the US representative to the UN's 661 committee. In more moderate offices, there was a greater willingness to accept that sanctions were an evil, but no commitment to act, to end the suffering and death caused by sanctions.

In short, Washington left itself no exit strategy on the issue of sanctions; none other than war. Enter the Bush team, who understood that the best defense is a good offense. By threatening to invade Iraq, the 'chickenhawk' gang of Cheney, Wolfowitz and friends managed to spin the Iraq crisis the other way. Like the Taliban, they picked an enemy guilty of gross and indefensible human rights violations. Like the Taliban, they picked an enemy that the US had previously supplied with arms and training, and had once considered a strategic ally, but now branded as 'evil' or 'terrorist'.

The sanctions that were imposed by the UN Security Council on August 6, 1990 set a condition that Iraq should vacate Kuwait. It is doubtful that such a policy would have passed had the Security Council known that the US would destroy much or most of Iraq's civilian infrastructure in the bombing that ensued. It was the bombing that made sanctions extremely lethal, and the Department of Defense knew this would happen. By taking out Iraq's water and sewage treatment plants, bombing Iraq's chlorine production plants (all three) and banning the importation of chlorine as a potential 'dual-use' item under sanctions, Iraq's water supply was quickly contaminated. Forced to drink water contaminated by untreated sewage and multiple water-borne diseases, Iraqi children fell prey to a host of diseases, most of which would be easily treatable, but which could not be treated under the curtailment of medical supplies under the (mostly arbitrary) 'dual-use' restrictions of sanctions. The death rate for Iraqi children soared.

While the US and its close ally in the UK, along with Israel, led the charge for sanctions, the international community as a whole must be faulted for turning their backs and closing their ears to the Iraqi peoples' cry for help, amplified by the voices of a growing anti-sanctions movement by the mid to late 1990s. We should be careful then about making too much of the international rifts that have developed over the threat of invasion, especially between France and the US; for such rifts are more a factor of US and French oil interests than any concern on either side for human rights.

That the Bush administration has managed to take Baghdad with 'merely' a few thousand lives lost (imagine anyone saying that about 9-11) will be celebrated as a major accomplishment, and the gloating has already begun. Now the progressive movement which championed the human rights

of Iraqis suffering under the brutality of both sanctions and dictatorship is being chided for not seeing that liberation flows from the barrel of a gun.

Or does it?

Once the major fighting is over, the political struggle begins. Since its founding in 1917 under British occupation, Iraq has been dominated by a Sunni majority. The Kurdish tribes were seen by the British as too unstable and unpredictable to govern, the Shi'a too likely to align Iraq with Shi'a dominated Iran. The biggest change among the players in Iraqi politics is the name of the occupying power.

The end of the dictatorship of Saddam Hussein opens the door to new possibilities for Iraqis. Ordinary Iraqis who have lost loved ones or who have suffered torture or political imprisonment under the Ba'ath regime have good reason to celebrate 'regime change'. And right now many Iraqis must have tears of sorrow mixed with hope that the end of sanctions is at hand. There are two reasons for this jubilation.

The progressive peace movement must now apply pressure to the Bush administration to live up to its promises of providing humanitarian aid and seeing to it that Iraq is rebuilt. The US has the resources not only to reverse the humanitarian crisis in Iraq, but to transform Iraq's infrastructure and economy to become vibrant and robust. But where Iraq is concerned, the major challenge for the progressive peace movement is to hold the Bush administration to live up to its claims that Iraq will experience democratization.

Does the US really intend to restore Iraq to Iraqi civilian control? A people living under house to house searches, an army acting as police force, and one that does not even speak the local language has a long road to travel toward true liberty, democracy and self-determination. Around the world, US-led coups and invasions has left a trail of dictatorships and oppressive regimes: Chile, Indonesia, Congo-Zaire, Nicaragua. And even the staunchest pro-war advocate should view with skepticism the contracts for rebuilding Iraq's oil fields being given to Dick Cheney's old boss, Halliburton. Congress is already launching a GAO investigation.

The Bush administration only began seriously talking up the 'liberation' line when it became clear that the international community would not support the invasion and was not buying the US's speculation about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. If the US wants to find evidence of weapons of mass destruction in Iraq, it should seek out the graveyards of hundreds of thousands of children who have died needlessly over the past twelve years from a weapon of mass destruction known as sanctions.

On Fri, 11 Apr 2003 17:05:06 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>You take a fairly simplistic view on this, and have yourself fallen to the
>drivel of those that are opposed to actions in Iraq. I'm not here to cast

>stones, but only to respond to your allegations that are based on a
>(deliberate?) simplification, if not abbreviation, of US policy.
> The WMD issue was never the sole basis for the actions in Iraq, and wasn't
>stated to be so. The United States policy toward Iraq was clearly stated by
>Edward P. Djerejian, the Director of the Baker Institute For Public Policy,
>at Rice University. It was presented at the IISS/CEPS European Security
>Forum Meeting in Brussels on September 9, 2002.
>
>"The U.S. decision to refocus the world's attention on Iraq and the threat
>it poses to international peace and security under Saddam Hussein results
>from the ongoing adjustment of U.S. strategy as a consequence of the attacks
>of 11 September 2001. The U.S. is leading the campaign against global
>terrorism - a campaign that can only be won by successful international
>coalition building. For some time before 11 September, the nexus between
>weapons of mass destruction (WMD) and terrorist groups and states that
>support terrorism had been identified as the major strategic threat. This
>definition is at the core of the new U.S. strategy. Due to the nature of
>this threat, this definition has also given rise to the concept of
>pre-emptive strikes, and it has implications for the established policies of
>containment and deterrence. For building and sustaining effective
>coalitions, the U.S. will have to work with its allies and partners to build
>on these changes of its national security strategy for the needed
>elaboration of an international strategic framework and agreed policy for
>dealing with the threat."
>
>As the formulation of the Administration's policy on Iraq was evolving, a
>range of positions on the approach to Iraq has been expressed up to the
>summer of 2002 among Bush Administration officials. President George W. Bush
>himself established the link between Iraq and terrorism by stressing the
>need to deny sanctuary to terrorists anywhere in the world [1] and pointing
>to the totalitarian threat posed by state sponsors of terrorism with
>potential access to weapons of mass destruction.[2] He also declared that it
>was the stated policy of his government to have regime change in Iraq,[3] as
>U.S. legislation indeed calls for since 1998, believing that unlawful
>aggression and the pursuit of weapons of mass destruction are the essence of
>Saddam Hussein's rule and would not end as long as he was in power. However,
>Bush put the issue of full compliance with UN decisions on the dismantlement
>of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction programs at the center of his
>international efforts vis-ï¿½-vis Iraq, insisting that the issue here is not
>inspections but disarmament.[4] On September 15, President Bush will be
>addressing the subject of Iraq in a major speech at the United Nations.
>
>At the same time, Vice-President Richard Cheney advocated determined U.S.
>leadership to force Saddam Hussein from power in his remarks before a
>veterans group in Nashville in August 2002. Cheney said that old security
>doctrines did not apply in the new strategic environment. Containment was
>not possible when dictators obtained weapons of mass destruction and were
>prepared to share them with terrorists who intend to inflict catastrophic
>casualties on the United States. He also claimed that "many of us are
>convinced that Saddam Hussein will acquire nuclear weapons fairly soon". A

>tentative U.S. approach to Iraq would mean that Saddam would simply be
>emboldened, and it would be even harder to assemble friends and allies to
>oppose him. In a post- Saddam world, however, moderates in the region would
>take heart and extremists would rethink their commitment to Jihad, and the
>broader cause of Middle East peace would be advanced. Cheney assured his
>audience that the Bush Administration would not simply look away, hope for
>the best and leave the matter for some future administration. He also
>promised that the U.S. would not turn its back on Iraq after Saddam's
>departure but would stay to help it rebuild with "territorial integrity" and
>to craft a democratic, pluralistic, ethnically representative government.
>
>Secretary of State Colin Powell highlighted the need for the United States
>to lead the international community in its approach toward Iraq and build
>international support. He stressed the role of the UN Security Council and
>WMD inspectors as a first step toward enforcing compliance and disarmament
>on Saddam Hussein and his regime, with serious consequences if he does not
>comply.
>
>NOTES
>
>[1] "We have entered the second stage of the war on terror - a sustained
>campaign to deny sanctuary to terrorists who would threaten our citizens
>from anywhere in the world." (Remarks by President Bush at The White House,
>March 11, 2002).
>
>[2] "The evil that has formed against us has been termed the new
>totalitarian threat. The authors of terror are seeking nuclear, chemical and
>biological weapons. Regimes that sponsor terror are developing these weapons
>and the missiles to deliver them. If these regimes and their terrorist
>allies were to perfect these capabilities, no inner voice of reason, no hint
>of conscience would prevent their use." (Speech by President Bush at the
>German Bundestag, May 23, 2002.)
>
>[3] Press conference by President Bush, July 8, 2002.
>
>[4] President Bush speaking to congressional leaders on Iraq, September 4,
>2002.
>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
>The outcry should be against those that use such arguments to further
>their own agendas, whatever they may be.
>
>

2638. How to make interface to link Alinco DJ-X3 with PC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 17:57:12 UTC
From: Belon <belon@chez.com>
Message-ID: <gfud9vcgpvjvh0b0ed0g2f8h4p40emv1qq@4ax.com>
Source record: 32954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Hello,
Do you know how to make an computer interface cable to link this scanner
with a serial PC port? It's not very easy to find ERW-4C interface.
Alinco's internet site say that a simple electric connection cable may
not work properly.
Thank's

Amicalement,
Belon

2639. Re: ////////////////////// BUSH SHOULD BE TRIED FOR WAR CRIMES \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 18:25:37 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BMDla.55748$ja4.3613311@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 32955

STOP SPAMMING THIS NEWS GROUP DIRT BAG!

--
BP
"Q" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message
news:3ee04ba1.3456844890@news.Q.everywhere...
> Find out what you can do to help.....
>
>
> http://www.stopwar.org.uk
>
> http://truemajority.org/
>
> www.endthewar.org
>
> www.antiwar.com

2640. Re: Bearcat BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 18:38:06 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <hYDla.1727$%96.3@fed1read02>
Source record: 32956

No. My contract was extended for another season. My agent must be keeping
the money though because I have yet to see any of it.

-me

"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E957539.30509@earthlink.net...
> I have an UPS and a RV Battery setup so I can keep going.
>
> Say "ME" I thought you were gone!!!!
>
> Burr
>
> me wrote:
>
> > You can run one nonstop for days at a time on a fully charged car
battery.
> > I've been meaning to buy one just for the shack incase of power
outages...
> > Get a car battery and a trickle charger at any automotive parts store
and
> > you'll be good to go. Your 895 should have come with a barrel plug
attached
> > to bare leads - if not these can be purchase for next to nothing at any
> > radio shack store.
> > -me
> >
> > "Louienlila" <louienlila@netpci.com> wrote in message
> > news:c983f73a.0304080355.51c02368@posting.google.com...
> >
> >>The bc895xlt is a wonderful unit and really fun to own. But 4 months
> >>ago when we were hit by a devastating typhoon my 895xlt was useless.
> >>I had no way of powering it up and using it. Does anyone know of a
> >>battery pack or backup power unit for the bc895xlt.
> >>
> >>Louie
> >>
> >
> >
>

2641. Re: LIBERATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 18:43:27 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <i1Ela.1734$%96.249@fed1read02>
Source record: 32957

Because people give them attention in here!

I too am guilty of OT posts about the war. But you guys are right - this is a scanner newsgroup so there will be no more war commentary from the great superior me in rrs and ars. Anyone wanting to see me rip peacenuts a new one is welcome to view my posts in alt.conspiracy where I have once again resumed the "War on Stupidity".

BTW: Anyone in Phoenix know what's shaking down at the courthouse? The police have a tactical channel reserved for it and are diverting traffic out of the area - seems a bit odd. PPD Channel 2 - 154.7550. Probably some peace march BS...

-me

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message news:20030410113147.20477.00000857@mb-fu.aol.com...
> Why do people continue to think that rec.radio.scanner is a political
> newsgroup?
> =-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

2642. Bearcat scanner products in the North Dallas area/ DFW area.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 19:38:27 UTC
From: DP <titan3600@ev1.net>
Message-ID: <m96e9vk0r9vqufs3u69pd2tnqco4jmddg4@4ax.com>
Source record: 32958

Does anyone know of a local merchant who normally carries a variety of Bearcat scanner products in the Dallas area metroplex/ Preferably in North Dallas area, Plano, McKinney, etc. Thanks!

2643. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 20:17:54 UTC
From: DP <titan3600@ev1.net>
Message-ID: <qm8e9v4blabatbr4oohn8mavqf8r5tjv2o@4ax.com>
Source record: 32959
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Maybe they should call it the MacRadio?

On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 03:25:23 GMT, Michael Beacom
<mbeacom03@earthlink.net> wrote:

>In article <slrnb8vgp7.dp.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>,
> "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local> wrote:
>
>> In article <slrnb8tpuh.7bn.bball@green.home.org>, bball@green.home.org wrote:
>> >
>> > - i don't buy *anything* with 'Win' in the product name...
>>
>> I have bought one product that already had standard chipset,
>> and thus works under all majer operating systems.
>>
>> > - where are the open source drivers for the G303i?
>> > (www.linradio.org does not have any, and its projects
>> > appear dormant)
>> >
>> > - Ten-Tec supplies docs on the protocols used for the RX-320,
>> > and it works with *any* operating system, even PalmOS; this
>> > means that the RX-320 will be useful and will work with any
>> > OS for as long as the hardware works...
>> >
>> > - i'm sure the G303i is a nice piece of hardware, but if it
>> > comes to locking myself into a particular OS, forget it;
>> > i'd rather do with less features and be able to use a
>> > product with any or all platforms...
>>
>> I completely agree. People says they use their scanners/shortwave
>> radios for 20 years. I wonder how many in this newsgroups uses
>> even a 10 year old PC, or 10 year old OS (Windows 3.11).
>>
>> > - this is one reason i like the pro-92 scanner, icom ic-r2,
>> > yaesu vr-120d, and yaesu vr-500 wideband HHRs...
>>
>> Agree. Normally the only excuse for buying a win product
>> is stupidity, and that is a pretty bad excuse.
>
>But then, the winradio is the only one to supply Mac software...

2644. Re: FS: Scanner radios - Also - we found Nuclear Weapons!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 20:31:53 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304111231.40eb1a4f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This was funnier than yesterdays. Wow I bet we will laugh at tommorrows post.
Even my scanner is laughing.

2645. Re: ////////////////////// BUSH SHOULD BE TRIED FOR WAR CRIMES \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 20:39:33 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304111239.5cc13748@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32961

You hail the winner and try the loser. Can't you guys get anything right.

2646. Need 866 MHz Coax Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-11 20:56:57 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0304111256.3a5da24a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32962
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I purchased a Maxrad 866 MHz yagi antenna. It should arrive next week.

I now need some coax. I need a piece that is 50' to run from the roof to the penthouse (where the lightening arrestors are). Then I need another 75' to get from the penthouse to the lab.

I have heard ecoflex 15 is good. What are your thoughts? I'm a coax dummy.

Once I select the coax I'll know what specs I need in a LNA that will be mounted on the mast with the antenna.

Thanks!
John

2647. RadioShack PRO 2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 00:00:10 UTC
From: Jon <oldmillspice@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <eGIla.872$rn.764171@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 32963
Cross-posted to: alt.electronics,alt.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Starting @ .99 cents Look Here

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3018425726

2648. One Radio Shack Down!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 00:31:28 UTC
From: Radio Demon <I_Like_Radios@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <I7Jla.9499$N94.920260@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 32968
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How many more to go?

W/S/F|46.26| 720 Bedford Rd; Bedford Hills; Westchester, NY|Fire @ the Radio Shack, num M/A to scene. |

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.470 / Virus Database: 268 - Release Date: 08/04/2003

2649. software recommendation for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 00:32:42 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <MnWdnRWjgdU4wwqjXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 32964

What's good software to use with the BC780XLT?
I want something that's easy to use. I have Unitrol for my 895, I want
something else I can use, NOT Trunk Manager.
anything out there?
--
Anthony Bonacarti
anthony@mostlyrockets.com
www.mostlyrockets.com

2650. Re: RadioShack PRO 2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 00:43:29 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030411204329.03495.00000566@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 32966

Invalid Item

The item you requested (3D301=) is invalid, still pending, or no longer in our database. Please check the number and try again. If this message persists, the item has either not started and is not yet available for viewing, or has expired and is no longer available.

2651. Re: RadioShack PRO 2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 00:43:43 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030411204343.03495.00000567@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 32967

Invalid Item

The item you requested (3D301=) is invalid, still pending, or no longer in our database. Please check the number and try again. If this message persists, the item has either not started and is not yet available for viewing, or has expired and is no longer available.

2652. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 00:44:13 UTC
From: JT <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <xjJla.151746$OV.235584@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 32965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Worship Satan.

"Antenna Head" <DXER@Radio> wrote in message
news:bm9ub3RtZQ==.162b98b330a75ed9c3b52d422de5a2b8@1049252514.cotse.net...
> Erol Gray wrote:
>
> > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> >
>
> A few points:
>
> 1. Since Jesus arose from the dead, and currently exists, He has yet to
> utter His last words. As a matter of fact, since He is eternal, He will
> never have any last words.
>
> 2. Some day you'll actually meet Jesus face-to-face, at which time you
> can try and convince Him that you meant no disrespect by your little
> juvenile "joke". Good luck. I strongly suggest you repent and follow
> Him before then.
>
>

2653. Re: LIBERATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 01:14:50 UTC
From: rocko <rocko@modernlife.com>
Message-ID: <3E97688A.8050305@modernlife.com>
Source record: 32969

Unarmed forces???

-cdb

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
> My question is what would we listen to on the military frequencies if there was
> no armed forces?

2654. Scan North taken down by Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 02:31:13 UTC
From: Living Daylights <johnwmalley@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_QKla.2127$3g2.207@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 32970
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm sorry to announce that following a letter from Northumbria Police's solicitors I have had to remove all police frequencies from Scan North. It was either this or have my entire website removed.

Scan North at http://www.northumberlandstreet.com for details.

Scan North Webmaster

2655. Re: software recommendation for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 03:52:09 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <6IqdndXRz6P4EAqjXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 32971

I am sitting her playing around with the 780 while listening to my 895.
I just realized that I have 800 channels here. What am I going to listen
too?? there is not much around here I guess the bug bit and I now have four
scanners. guess you can't have to many.
happy scanning!!
Anthony

2656. Yaesu VR-500 pocket communications receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 04:18:32 UTC
From: maybe1917 <maybe1917@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ssMla.452353$sf5.770568@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 32972

> FS: Yaesu VR-500 high performance pocket receiver/scanner - 100KHz-1.3GHz
> (cell blocked). SW(USB, LSB), AM, FM, WFM. Mint condition.
>
> New they are running $300 (best price) + $38 for cable/software
>
> my price $185 (radio) + $25 (extra software and programming cable)
>
> reviews
> http://www.transmission1.net/scanning/review-yaesu_vr500.html
>
> specs
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/0500spec.html
>
> maybe1917@yahoo.com
>
>

2657. Re: software recommendation for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 05:14:45 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <aoOdnXePFaJdPQqjXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 32973

Still new at this. I do need another handheld.
Anthony
"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:di7f9v493v4tu0m556619nre8d0mncbace@4ax.com...
> Responding to an al Jezeera Press Release, "Anthony B."
<anthony@mostlyrockets.com> belched:
>
> > I now have four scanners.
>
> ONLY 4??
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2658. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 09:10:01 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3e97d690.3997525@news-server>
Source record: 32974
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Actually, they already do: http://www.winradio.com/home/macradio.htm .
They have a LinRadio too: http://www.linradio.com.
Maybe they should change the name of the company from WinRadio to
AllRadio.... ;-)

Richard

On Fri, 11 Apr 2003 15:17:54 -0500, DP <titan3600@ev1.net> wrote:

>Maybe they should call it the MacRadio?
>
>On Mon, 07 Apr 2003 03:25:23 GMT, Michael Beacom
><mbeacom03@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>In article <slrnb8vgp7.dp.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>,
>> "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local> wrote:
>>
>>> In article <slrnb8tpuh.7bn.bball@green.home.org>, bball@green.home.org wrote:
>>> >
>>> > - i don't buy *anything* with 'Win' in the product name...
>>>
>>> I have bought one product that already had standard chipset,
>>> and thus works under all majer operating systems.
>>>
>>> > - where are the open source drivers for the G303i?
>>> > (www.linradio.org does not have any, and its projects
>>> > appear dormant)
>>> >
>>> > - Ten-Tec supplies docs on the protocols used for the RX-320,
>>> > and it works with *any* operating system, even PalmOS; this
>>> > means that the RX-320 will be useful and will work with any
>>> > OS for as long as the hardware works...
>>> >
>>> > - i'm sure the G303i is a nice piece of hardware, but if it
>>> > comes to locking myself into a particular OS, forget it;
>>> > i'd rather do with less features and be able to use a
>>> > product with any or all platforms...
>>>
>>> I completely agree. People says they use their scanners/shortwave
>>> radios for 20 years. I wonder how many in this newsgroups uses
>>> even a 10 year old PC, or 10 year old OS (Windows 3.11).
>>>
>>> > - this is one reason i like the pro-92 scanner, icom ic-r2,
>>> > yaesu vr-120d, and yaesu vr-500 wideband HHRs...

>>>
>>> Agree. Normally the only excuse for buying a win product
>>> is stupidity, and that is a pretty bad excuse.
>>
>>But then, the winradio is the only one to supply Mac software...
>

2659. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 11:58:01 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.19019f25466e533f98990f@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32976
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <3ef6beca.0304110847.6cd384b7@posting.google.com>, rhf-mail@usa.com says...
> PA,
>

CNN is a disgrace - not only did they not report on Iraq transgressions, to curry favor there, they failed to report that President Bush lied to us about Iraq trying to buy uranium, and turn it into atomic bombs, just to curry favor with the US regime.

Is it really necessary to cover up gross violations of these regimes?

I don't think so.

> Here is the Other Side of the Coin - Now that 'Saddam Insane' is Gone.
> CNN is admitting to withholding the TRUTH for Years about the former
> Iraqi Regime of Saddam Hussein and the BAATH (NAZI) Party.
>
> To Read about 'What CNN Did Not Report'
> - The New York Times 11-APR-03
> - - "The News We Kept to Ourselves" written by Eason Jordan
> - - - NOTE: Eason Jordan is the 'Chief' News Executive at CNN.
>
> GoTo=> http://www.nytimes.com/2003/04/11/opinion/11JORD.html?th
>
> Evidently it was more important to CNN
> - To "PRESENT the News" (Saddam's Propaganda)
> - - Then To - 'Tell The Truth'
> - - - Thank You CNN - For Twelve Years of LIES and Cover-Ups.
>
> So Now We See the 'Liberal' CNN and NYT Admit the "Truth".
>
>
> You Shall Know 'The TRUTH'... And It Shall Set You FREE ~ RHF
> .
> OBTW: Heard this on the Radio Today.
> Looked it up on the NYTs to Verify the Report was True.
> .
> .
> = = = Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven> wrote in message
> = = = news:<r8f49vofnaqtamuhj7hck6ekq8v4iejka6@4ax.com>...
> > Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
> >
> > <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

> >
> >
> > The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> > have access to. Decide for yourself.
> >
> > http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
> >
> > http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
> >
> > http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm
> >
>

2660. Re: Scan North taken down by Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 12:07:51 UTC
From: BrianEng@world.net
Message-ID: <3E980197.3DC2662A@world.net>
Source record: 32975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Don't the police understand your web site is just one source for their frequencies?

There are no secrets in communications, especially when they push the transmitter button.

If I had said frequencies I would post them for you. I reside in the U.S. and there is nothing they can do to me.

I am quite surprised the police don't have enough knowledge to know the source availability of radio information.

Living Daylights wrote:

> I'm sorry to announce that following a letter from Northumbria Police's
> solicitors I have had to remove all police frequencies from Scan North. It
> was either this or have my entire website removed.
>
> Scan North at http://www.northumberlandstreet.com for details.
>
> Scan North Webmaster

2661. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 12:28:03 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9g1hpnfnv79b4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32977

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BABBDE21.16891%stewart@say.net...
> This newsgroup is for scanner users to discuss issues that interest them.
> Obviously, like most Americans, some scanner users here are interested in
> the war.

Umm.. No.

This is a newsgroup who's topic is SCANNERS, not war stories... You want
war stories, go find the appropriate newsgroup(s) for it.

There is a reason why there are SO many different groups; one for nearly
every interest. Could imagine the whole world in this one single group
talking about whatever the hell they wanted? Wouldn't be a pretty site at
all...

Keep it on topic and the group will be a better place for everyone...

PH

2662. AOR AR-2800 problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 12:42:15 UTC
From: Brian Vind <_bv@oz1bv.dk_>
Message-ID: <3e9809a8$0$153$edfadb0f@dtext01.news.tele.dk>
Source record: 32978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello!

My AOR AR-2800 scanner have a problem with background noise. It has
increased suddenly, and it does not matter if the signal is strong or not.
The sensitivity is okay (measured with a RF-generator), and when the S-meter
is showing max the background noise is still quite high.

Anybody with ideeas how to fix this?

A schematic of the scanner would also be a great help.

/Brian

2663. Re: Scan North taken down by Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 13:24:05 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <b7943d$c5m4o$1@ID-172472.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

absolutely incredible,all the freqs sre on PROMA database/UKSD and other sources,to add to that Northumbria use secure Masc on some channels anyway.

and of course Airwave well on its way,have Northumbria no real crime to deal with???

i have run PROMA for over 10 years and never once been told to stop publishing freqs.

regards

--
--
Paul Wey
PROMA1
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

due to current world circumstances all files are request only from myself for main proma files sent to your inbox monthly join

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promafiles
For good prices on scanners go to

Stewart Aviation

http://www.stewart-aviation.co.uk/rs/index.html
"Living Daylights" <johnwmalley@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:_QKla.2127$3g2.207@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk...
> I'm sorry to announce that following a letter from Northumbria Police's
> solicitors I have had to remove all police frequencies from Scan North.
It
> was either this or have my entire website removed.
>
> Scan North at http://www.northumberlandstreet.com for details.
>
> Scan North Webmaster
>
>

2664. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// WE THE PEOPLE OPPOSE THE US INVASION OF IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 13:53:17 UTC
From: bljk8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030412095317.01842.00000855@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 32980

not this people

2665. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// WE THE PEOPLE OPPOSE THE US INVASION OF IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 14:17:20 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <QdVla.86432$0X.18669343@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32981

It's just a few malcontents that like to hide behind others' nyms...

2666. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// WE THE PEOPLE OPPOSE THE US INVASION OF IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 14:19:14 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <t18g9v4kvomu82d6tv5uo3u2vt5a04kum0@4ax.com>
Source record: 32986

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

Message ID: <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

wave of protest around the world that continues today.
From every continent the people have spoken: We will not fall in line with this outrage against the Iraqi

people and all of humanity and we are determined to stop it! U.S. embassies had to close because of

angry protests at their gates. And here in the U.S. the actions of tens of thousands resulted in No Business

as Usual across the country.

Most notably, in San Francisco over 1500 were arrested as they shut down the city, with people stepping

forward all day from 7 a.m. to 11 p.m. to fill the streets as earlier groups of protestors were hauled to jail.

In Chicago, thousands took over Lake Shore Drive.

In New York City, police barricades were broken through and thousands filled two solid blocks south of

42nd Street, tying up Times Square traffic for two hours.

People in city after city spoke with their voices and their bodies: We Do Not Want This War and We Mean

It! And everywhere the youth - walking out of high schools and off college campuses with their glorious

passion and righteous anger, full of determination to stop this murderous war and fight for another, better

world!

The U.S. has launched its preemptive war on Iraq.

But the people are not being silenced! "This is not being done with our consent!" (Expressed in many

different ways on radio interviews.) "I walked out with my students today!" (A teacher speaking in NYC's

Union Square at 12 noon.) "This is one of the most worthwhile things I have ever done with my life" (A

54-year-old teacher as he sat in a San Francisco intersection handcuffed by the police.)

The people learned together how to "cross the line" and step up resistance.

And now that massive bombing of Baghdad has begun, we must take those lessons, spread them

throughout society, and take our resistance to still greater heights of breadth, daring, creativity and

determination. In the days ahead we must show the would-be emperors - now standing naked in all their

viciousness and illegitimacy - that the people will not stop until we have stopped this war and their entire

war on the world.

On Sat, 12 Apr 2003 14:17:20 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>It's just a few malcontents that like to hide behind others' nyms...
>

2667. Re: *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 14:39:10 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935B69F55Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

You can do a few things in this case. You can contact the base provo
martial and file a complaint! You should also send a letter to the base
commander.. Because it went across state lines file a complaint with the US
Postal authorities, i.e. they regulate UPS... And you can also file a
complaint with the F.B.I. because he's in the millitary they handle it..

The Scanner Dude CWO/5 Ret 24 Years :-)

joe@schlatter.org (Joe S.) wrote in <b7618q01k6s@enews4.newsguy.com>:

>Maybe if you worked with amateur radio equipment and not CB junk . . . .
>.
>
>--
>
>----
>Regards,
>Joe S.
>----
>
>
>
>"TIA" <no1@nowhere.com> wrote in message
>news:v9b07cnrif2v18@corp.supernews.com...
>> Ya know I always know better but for some reason this time I didn't
>> heed
>my
>> own warning. I had advertised a HR2600 with a chipswitch and 2 - 150
>> amps for sale. One having a preamp switch problem and needed a new
>> switch but
>the
>> amp side worked perfectly. And I got alot of action but no sales. Well
>after
>> 2-3 months I got an email from
>>
>>
>>
>> MITCH N2RADIO [mitch@n2radio.com] MITCHELL Robertson
>> [MR_CPTN@militaryministry.net]
>>
>>
>>
>> Stating that he had a 6900TB to and an Boomer 600 amp to trade for my
>stuff.

>> I was like ok, no problem. I got everything packaged up in bubble wrap
>> and boxed real well. And waited for ups to pick it up. And he was to
>> do the same. Well he gave me a tracking number 2 days later and the
>> package was
>on
>> its way to me. Well mine left and went to him. I triple checked the
>> operation of all the equipment before I packaged it up. Just because I
>> did
>a
>> trade earlier that month (Sept) and had a DOA problem with a scanner.
>> So I made sure that the equip worked perfectly. Well I found out that
>> Mitch was in the military and was being shipped out. And his family is
>> moving. So
>they
>> wouldn't be able to get email for some time.
>>
>> Well the package arrived at completely the wrong address, which was
>> intentional because Mitch and his wife Laura had both double-checked
>> the shipping addy with me. I finally get the package and guess what?
>> Its 3 Chilton's repair manuals no equipment to be found. Well did I
>> get hosed
>but
>> bad. So over the next 4 months I emailed both addresses well over a
>hundred
>> times. And no response at all. Well I have a few connections in the
>military
>> and I'm making some requests. I'll see what happens it should be
>interesting
>> to say the least. The last email I sent Mitch and his wife I told him
>> this and told him by Jan 1 If I didn't hear anything I would take
>> action. Still no reply!!
>>
>>
>>
>> Well as I'm typing guess what, I get a box in ups!! SO I open it up
>> and
>> there is my 2600 back and one 150 amp that I sent to him. And a note
>> that the 2600 doesn't receive, the first amp is dead, and he kept the
>> other amp and sent me 2 beat up 15.00 microphones one with an echo.
>> DAM JUNK!! And
>a
>> mini para dynamics meter DOUBLE JUNK!!! Then he has the balls to throw
>> in
>a
>> pc board for an amp kit. Well I just wanted EVERYONE to know what
>> happened to me. So if you do business with him BEWARE I warned you.
>>
>>
>>
>> Robert Mitchell AKA Mitch Robertson AKA deadbeat user

>>
>> MITCH N2RADIO [mailto:mitch@n2radio.com]
>>
>> MITCHELL Robertson [MR_CPTN@militaryministry.net]
>>
>>
>>
>> Address I shipped to:
>>
>> Mitch Robertson 3612 SODERBERG DR. FT. COLLINS CO. 80526
>>
>> Address he shipped from:
>>
>> Art Castings 511 8th St. SE Loveland Colorado 80537
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

2668. Re: software recommendation for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 14:41:22 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935B659AAYomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32983

Well this is an easy answer, go to
http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm
and check outComputer Control Scanner Software Testing Theirs everything
you want to know about software for your BC780XLT :-)

anthony@mostlyrockets.com (Anthony B.) wrote in
<MnWdnRWjgdU4wwqjXTWcoA@comcast.com>:

>
>What's good software to use with the BC780XLT?
>I want something that's easy to use. I have Unitrol for my 895, I want
>something else I can use, NOT Trunk Manager.
>anything out there?
>--
>Anthony Bonacarti
>anthony@mostlyrockets.com
>www.mostlyrockets.com
>
>
>

2669. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 14:44:43 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935B68AC3Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

How about you and the war ass holes go suck some camel dick!! take your fucking God, Jesus and the rest of your crap to a god and jesus group!! Feed the fucking god and Jesus people to the lions...

k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com (JT) wrote in <xjJla.151746$OV.235584@rwcrnsc54>:

>Worship Satan.
>
>"Antenna Head" <DXER@Radio> wrote in message
>news:bm9ub3RtZQ==.162b98b330a75ed9c3b52d422de5a2b8@1049252514.cotse.net...
>> Erol Gray wrote:
>>
>> > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
>> >
>>
>> A few points:
>>
>> 1. Since Jesus arose from the dead, and currently exists, He has yet to
>> utter His last words. As a matter of fact, since He is eternal, He will
>> never have any last words.
>>
>> 2. Some day you'll actually meet Jesus face-to-face, at which time you
>> can try and convince Him that you meant no disrespect by your little
>> juvenile "joke". Good luck. I strongly suggest you repent and follow
>> Him before then.
>>
>>
>
>
>

2670. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 15:46:16 UTC
From: rhavedx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030412114616.20442.00000919@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 32985

This WAS a radio scanner group, now it is not worth reading. I am dropping this group from my list of favorites, scanning is a hobby; politics are not.

2671. Re: Scan North taken down by Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 15:54:00 UTC
From: nordland37@yahoo.fr
Message-ID: <3e98366f.18225892@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 32987
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 12 Apr 2003 03:31:13 +0100, "Living Daylights"
<johnwmalley@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I'm sorry to announce that following a letter from Northumbria Police's
>solicitors I have had to remove all police frequencies from Scan North. It
>was either this or have my entire website removed.
>
>Scan North at http://www.northumberlandstreet.com for details.

You should count yourself lucky you weren't prosecuted for
illegal listening.

--
Posted by J.

2672. Re: Scan North taken down by Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 15:57:40 UTC
From: nordland37@yahoo.fr
Message-ID: <3e9836cc.18319196@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 32988
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 12 Apr 2003 14:24:05 +0100, "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote:

>absolutely incredible,all the freqs sre on PROMA database/UKSD and other
>sources,to add to that Northumbria use secure Masc on some channels anyway.

Sorry, I had assumed he was putting scanner audio on
the net.

Mustn't jump to conclusions.

It isn't illegal to publish frequency information, otherwise
you would be serving your fifth term in the nick, Paul.

What about the various paper publications. They going to be
closed down as well.

--
Posted by J.

2673. Re: AOR AR-2800 problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 15:59:21 UTC
From: nordland37@yahoo.fr
Message-ID: <3e9837cb.18573609@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 32989
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 12 Apr 2003 14:42:15 +0200, "Brian Vind" <_bv@oz1bv.dk_> wrote:

> Hello!
>
>My AOR AR-2800 scanner have a problem with background noise. It has
>increased suddenly, and it does not matter if the signal is strong or not.
>The sensitivity is okay (measured with a RF-generator), and when the S-meter
>is showing max the background noise is still quite high.
>
>Anybody with ideeas how to fix this?
>
>A schematic of the scanner would also be a great help.

A R 2 8 0 0 ?

--
Posted by J.

2674. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 16:01:02 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9ge1si6f4gt7e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32990

Oh my God, I am heart broken that you are so offended.

"Rhavedx" <rhavedx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030412114616.20442.00000919@mb-fu.aol.com...
> This WAS a radio scanner group, now it is not worth reading. I am
dropping
> this group from my list of favorites, scanning is a hobby; politics are
not.

2675. Re: 4sale AOR AR-5000+3 w/AS-5000, and Autek QF-1A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 16:16:12 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <v9gencprlqcrbb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32991

AOR SOLD! AUTEK STILL AVAILABLE.......

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:v9bhq72jugeqce@corp.supernews.com...
> AOR AR-5000+3 Receiver - unblocked FULL coverage "DC to Daylight", MINT,
> with AOR AS-5000 Antenna Switch Module allows up to 4 antennas to be
> controlled at the front panel, or auto select via memory (2000!) or band
> plan - NICE!! Receiver, 12V P/S, manual, ant. switch, org box, to your
door
> for $1,595.00 firm, USPS M/O only. Photo at
> http://www.cox-internet.com/tazman/ar5000.jpg
> ******* Autek Research QF-1A SSB/CW/AM audio filter, in excellent
condition
> (only mark on it is a small ID name/# engraved in a corner of rear panel).
> Better than new as it's now got a pwr on LED, a 1/8" speaker input (along
> with 1/4"), and a auxiliary volume control to save wear and tear on the
> receiver. New knob even matches the original! Includes operator
instructions
> & full schematic. To your door $50, USPS M/O only. Picture at
> http://www.cox-internet.com/tazman/autek.jpg.
>
> Check my aBay feedback, username - arkytazman. IF THESE AREN'T SOLD BY
1800
> SAT. 4/12/03 THEY GO ON EBAY... (then shpg charges will apply to
compensate
> for commissions)
>
> Thanks! tazman@cox-internet.com 870 741-3368
>
>
> (If this appears twice, scuse me all to hell, think an earlier one got
> blitzed)
>
>

2676. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 16:38:12 UTC
From: Jim127@city.net
Message-ID: <3E9840F4.C0D2689B@city.net>
Source record: 32992

"AMEN"

Rhavedx wrote:

> This WAS a radio scanner group, now it is not worth reading. I am dropping
> this group from my list of favorites, scanning is a hobby; politics are not.

2677. Replacement battery for Uniden Bearcat 890XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 17:51:27 UTC
From: AES/newspost <siegman@stanford.edu>
Message-ID: <siegman-D0B0ED.10512712042003@news.stanford.edu>
Source record: 32995

Pulled out a Bearcat 890XLT (ac operated tabletop unit) the other day after it had been stored away in a closet for maybe 5 years or longer, and programmed in a bunch of stations.

Works fine -- except the stations all disappeared overnight, or after a day or two, even with the unit plugged in.

I assume this says the backup battery is dead; but the manual says the internal battery is not user replaceable. Any easy way to replace it? Or am I off to the local scanner service place?

(Out of curiousity, what would be reasonable eBay prices for Bearcat 890XLT or Realistic Pro 2006, excellent condition, original boxes and manuals?)

--
"Power tends to corrupt. Absolute power corrupts absolutely."
Lord Acton (1834-1902)
"Dependence on advertising tends to corrupt. Total dependence on advertising corrupts totally." (today's equivalent)

2678. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 17:55:55 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304120955.25d39b5a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32993

You really post some funny material. Everyone needs a laugh, so keep it up.

2679. Re: Sadaam -Pro 93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 17:57:41 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304120957.347ad1e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32994

This is the new joke of the day site. May win an award for funniest post

2680. Re: Replacement battery for Uniden Bearcat 890XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 18:58:35 UTC
From: Mac <cthirty7@nospam_hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v9gokkp1cm7653@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32996

It depends on how handy you are with a soldering iron. The battery is replaceable, and it really isn't all that difficult, just use caution if you do it yourself.

AES/newspost <siegman@stanford.edu> wrote in message news:siegman-D0B0ED.10512712042003@news.stanford.edu...
> Pulled out a Bearcat 890XLT (ac operated tabletop unit) the other day
> after it had been stored away in a closet for maybe 5 years or longer,
> and programmed in a bunch of stations.
>
> Works fine -- except the stations all disappeared overnight, or after a
> day or two, even with the unit plugged in.
>
> I assume this says the backup battery is dead; but the manual says the
> internal battery is not user replaceable. Any easy way to replace it?
> Or am I off to the local scanner service place?
>
> (Out of curiousity, what would be reasonable eBay prices for Bearcat
> 890XLT or Realistic Pro 2006, excellent condition, original boxes and
> manuals?)
>
> --
> "Power tends to corrupt. Absolute power corrupts absolutely."
> Lord Acton (1834-1902)
> "Dependence on advertising tends to corrupt. Total dependence on
> advertising corrupts totally." (today's equivalent)

2681. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 19:07:31 UTC
From: Jim127@city.net
Message-ID: <3E9863F3.B7071BF1@city.net>
Source record: 32897

Gill wrote:

> You really post some funny material. Everyone needs a laugh, so keep it up.

In a group that is suited for your topic.

2682. VR-5000 memory management software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 19:14:25 UTC
From: Bob <bob>
Message-ID: <3e98658d$0$29719$cc9e4d1f@news.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 32898

Memory management software for the Yaesu VR-5000 receiver can be found at

http://www.g4hfq.co.uk/ftbvr5k.html

A user guide which contains more detailed information is here

http://www.g4hfq.co.uk/vr5kv2help/ftbvr5kv2.htm

A 21 day trial can be found here

http://www.g4hfq.co.uk/download.html

2683. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 19:44:01 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <50_la.86684$0X.19030888@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32899

"Rhavedx" <rhavedx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030412114616.20442.00000919@mb-fu.aol.com...
> This WAS a radio scanner group, now it is not worth reading. I am
dropping
> this group from my list of favorites, scanning is a hobby; politics are
not.

Aren't politics part of scanning? Every time you hear a police call, every time you listen to military frequencies, every time you listen to about ANYTHING, it's about politics, in one way or another.
Do you listen to the TV and radio network frequencies - the reporters, and so forth? What, these days, are THEY talking about, for the most part? The police enforce laws, those laws are passed by politicians, and their actions reflect the mandates of the politicians.
Granted, there's been allot of posts regarding the war - but have you also blanked-out the military frequencies on your radio? If you've been blocking channels the way you want to block what you see in this group, I don't see how you are getting much enjoyment out of scanning, so your dropping out of this group would be no great loss.
I don't listen to certain frequencies myself, as some are rather boring. But like the choice you have of what frequencies you listen to, you also can use a kill filter to avoid the posts you have no interest in. As I ignore certain frequencies, I also ignore certain posts.

2684. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 19:48:01 UTC
From: Victor Newman <vsnewman@juno.net>
Message-ID: <R3_la.22084$4P1.1891300@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32900

I took the Logical approach, I filter the Messages thru
the view command and don't worry about the garbage that these guys BS
about!!!!!

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message
news:20030411121700.18618.00000253@mb-cf.aol.com...
> > Mine is on the desk next to my computer, not in a newsgroup. This
> >newsgroup is for scanner users to discuss issues that interest them.
> >Obviously, like most Americans, some scanner users here are interested in
> >the war.
>
> I thought it was to discuss scanners. I'm a scanner listener, but if I'm
> interested in banjo production in the south during the 1950's, is it
> appropriate to post about it here? No!
>
> Is this post appropriate here? No.
>
> There are political newsgroups. These jackoffs that are talking about the
war
> should take it there. My kill file grows bigger and bigger every day.
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

2685. Re: AOR AR-2800 problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 20:31:59 UTC
From: Marco <mjcook0@classicfm.net>
Message-ID: <3e986944_2@news1.vip.uk.com>
Source record: 32901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"J." <nordland37@yahoo.fr> wrote in message
news:3e9837cb.18573609@news.cis.dfn.de...
> On Sat, 12 Apr 2003 14:42:15 +0200, "Brian Vind"
<_bv@oz1bv.dk_> wrote:
>
> > Hello!
> >
> >My AOR AR-2800 scanner have a problem with
background noise. It has
> >increased suddenly, and it does not matter if
the signal is strong or not.
> >The sensitivity is okay (measured with a
RF-generator), and when the S-meter
> >is showing max the background noise is still
quite high.
> >
> >Anybody with ideeas how to fix this?
> >
> >A schematic of the scanner would also be a
great help.
>
> A R 2 8 0 0 ?

Yes, whats wrong with that?
They were good radios, (and still are no doubt)
Car radio sized, mobile and/or desktop!!!!!!!
>
> --
> Posted by J.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system
(http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.471 / Virus Database: 269 - Release
Date: 10/04/2003

2686. Re: Scan North taken down by Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 20:47:09 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spectrumhog@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns935B8CAB6FAC7Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 32902
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> I'm sorry to announce that following a letter from Northumbria
> Police's solicitors I have had to remove all police frequencies
> from Scan North. It was either this or have my entire website
> removed.
>
> Scan North at http://www.northumberlandstreet.com for details.
>

Lately, I have found myself getting upset over various
little "infringements" to my freedoms, here in the U.S. The above
posting gives me a dose of reality on what it is like in other parts
of the civilized world.

Ed

2687. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 22:48:50 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304121448.49e7cbf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

USER1 - Time to Use It (Your Brain that Is...)

who, Who. W H O !

Allowed CNN to go down the path of . . .
Cover-Ups, Lies and Distortion of the News.
- The Founder
- - Man at the Top
- - - Ted Turner

YES- Ted Tuner is now 'retire' and
- No longer is a 'Business Man'
- - But Ted Turner remains an "OWLES"
- - - One World Liberal Elite Socialist

As CNN's CEO Ted Tunner Knew . . .
About the Cover-Up, Lies and Distortion of the News.
- What Did Ted Turner Know ?
- - When Did He Know It ?
- - - Follow the Money !

We Need Congressional Hearings . . .
On the CNN Cover-Up Lies and Distortion of the News.
- Write the White House
- - Write Congress
- - - Write Jane Fonda :o)

~ RHF
.
.
= = = USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
= = = news:<MPG.19019f25466e533f98990f@news.newsguy.com>...
> In article <3ef6beca.0304110847.6cd384b7@posting.google.com>, rhf-
> mail@usa.com says...
> > PA,
> > >
> >
> > CNN is a disgrace -
> >
> > Is it really necessary to cover up gross violations of these regimes?
> >
> > I don't think so.
> >
> >
> > > Here is the Other Side of the Coin - Now that 'Saddam Insane' is Gone.

> > CNN is admitting to withholding the TRUTH for Years about the former
> > Iraqi Regime of Saddam Hussein and the BAATH (NAZI) Party.
> >
> > To Read about 'What CNN Did Not Report'
> > - The New York Times 11-APR-03
> > - - "The News We Kept to Ourselves" written by Eason Jordan
> > - - - NOTE: Eason Jordan is the 'Chief' News Executive at CNN.
> >
> > GoTo=> http://www.nytimes.com/2003/04/11/opinion/11JORD.html?th
> >
> > Evidently it was more important to CNN
> > - To "PRESENT the News" (Saddam's Propaganda)
> > - - Then To - 'Tell The Truth'
> > - - - Thank You CNN - For Twelve Years of LIES and Cover-Ups.
> >
> > So Now We See the 'Liberal' CNN and NYT Admit the "Truth".
> >
> >
> > You Shall Know 'The TRUTH'... And It Shall Set You FREE ~ RHF
> > .
> > OBTW: Heard this on the Radio Today.
> > Looked it up on the NYTs to Verify the Report was True.
> > .

2688. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// WE THE PEOPLE OPPOSE THE US INVASION OF IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 22:54:36 UTC
From: "Whats up"@nowhere.net
Message-ID: <v9h67g47qklj06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32904

I'm impressed by the following quote:

"Why of course the people don't want war. Why should some poor slob on a farm want to risk his life in a war when the best he can get out of it is to come back to his farm in one piece? Naturally the common people don't want war neither in Russia, nor in England, nor for that matter in Germany. That is understood. But, after all, it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it is a democracy, or a fascist dictatorship, or a parliament, or a communist dictatorship. Voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked, and denounce the peacemakers For lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger. It works the same in any country."

Hermann Goering, Hitler's Reich-Marshall, speaking at the Nuremberg Trials following WWII"

How about President Bush's "If you're not with us, you're against us"? This is not sound reasoning. You can be against the unjustified invasion of a country that has made no overt acts of aggression against this country without being "against this country". The above quote perfectly constrasts those that have been enticed into bloodlust against some unknown enemy, with those that are circumspect being labeled as anti-patriotic.

Regards

In article <t18g9v4kvomu82d6tv5uo3u2vt5a04kum0@4ax.com>, Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven> wrote:
> Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
>
>Message ID: <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>
>
>
>The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
>have access to. Decide for
>
>yourself.
>
>http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>
>http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
>
>http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Never say never.
Nothing is absolute.

2689. Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-12 23:12:58 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E9803C0.3010604@earthlink.net>
Source record: 32905

I wanted to put up my scanner antenna up today but the wind is blowing
so hard the guys chicken across the street laid the same egg three times.

Maybe next week.

Southern California desert.

Burr

2690. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// WE THE PEOPLE OPPOSE THE US INVASION OF IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 00:12:33 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9hb1ng93medb8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32907

If your so proud of this crap, why don't you put your name to it?

One more idiot flinging drive by crap that lacks the courage to let anyone know who he/she is.

<Whats up@nowhere.net (Monologue)> wrote in message news:v9h67g47qklj06@corp.supernews.com...
>
> I'm impressed by the following quote:
>
> "Why of course the people don't want war. Why should some poor slob on a farm
> want to risk his life in a war when the best he can get out of it is to come
> back to his farm in one piece? Naturally the common people don't want war
> neither in Russia, nor in England, nor for that matter in Germany. That is
> understood. But, after all, it is the leaders of the country who determine the
> policy and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it
> is a democracy, or a fascist dictatorship, or a parliament, or a communist
> dictatorship. Voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the
> bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is tell them they are
> being attacked, and denounce the peacemakers For lack of patriotism and
> exposing the country to danger. It works the same in any country."
>
> Hermann Goering, Hitler's Reich-Marshall, speaking at the Nuremberg Trials
> following WWII"
>
> How about President Bush's "If you're not with us, you're against us"? This is
> not sound reasoning. You can be against the unjustified invasion of a country
> that has made no overt acts of aggression against this country without being
> "against this country". The above quote perfectly constrasts those that have
> been enticed into bloodlust against some unknown enemy, with those that are
> circumspect being labeled as anti-patriotic.
>
> Regards

>
>
>
> In article <t18g9v4kvomu82d6tv5uo3u2vt5a04kum0@4ax.com>, Petey Arnett
> <News@ele.ven> wrote:
> > Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:
> >
> >Message ID: <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>
> >
> >
> >The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
> >have access to. Decide for
> >
> >yourself.
> >
> >http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
> >
> >http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
> >
> >http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm
>
> Never say never.
> Nothing is absolute.

2691. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// WE THE PEOPLE OPPOSE THE US INVASION OF IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 00:15:04 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <v9haufcodjoq77@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32906

"Petey Arnett" <News@ele.ven> wrote in message
news:t18g9v4kvomu82d6tv5uo3u2vt5a04kum0@4ax.com...
>
>
. Decide for
yourself.

I will decide for myself. And I will decide what I will read etc. I dont need you to regurgitate your crap at me.

2692. Scanner Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 00:30:05 UTC
From: andyshank@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ba43b87e.0304121630.d6f0895@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32908

Hello all, I'm a state trooper in Indiana and soon I'll be transferring to the Indianapolis area from the wonderful town of Gary. Most of the departments in the Indy area (Marion County) use what is called MECA (Metropolitan Emergency Communications Agency) which is an 800 Mhz Trunking system of 24 frequencies. I'm looking for a scanner I can use in my car that will be able to monitor the agencies in the area. Here are my requirements:

1) Must be able to monitor and follow the agencies' transmissions on MECA
2) Must have alphanumeric programming for each memory location so I can see who I'm listening to and not have to remember which department memory slot 47 is just from memory.
3) Must be able to be mounted in the center console of my car and powered by the car's 12v dc (i.e. not a handheld scanner)
4) Must be somewhat affordable! I'm not trying to spend over $150 or so.

If anyone has any recommended brands, models, or stores to look into I would greatly appreciate it. I'm not huge into any one brand so I'm not above using some lesser-known brand as long as it works!

Thanks in advance!
Andy

2693. Bill Cheek's Scanner FA Ends Monday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 01:17:10 UTC
From: r_55@webtv.net
Message-ID: <79-3E98BA96-535@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 32912

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3017108140&category=14956

2694. Re: software recommendation for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 01:36:06 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <0cScnSbp6dNxIwWjXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 32909

Great site, thanks for that link!
Anthony
"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
news:935B659AAYomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> Well this is an easy answer, go to
> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm
> and check outComputer Control Scanner Software Testing Theirs everything
> you want to know about software for your BC780XLT :-)
>
>
>
> anthony@mostlyrockets.com (Anthony B.) wrote in
> <MnWdnRWjgdU4wwqjXTWcoA@comcast.com>:
>
> >
> >What's good software to use with the BC780XLT?
> >I want something that's easy to use. I have Unitrol for my 895, I want
> >something else I can use, NOT Trunk Manager.
> >anything out there?
> >--
> >Anthony Bonacarti
> >anthony@mostlyrockets.com
> >www.mostlyrockets.com
> >
> >
> >
>

2695. FS: Uniden 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 01:36:06 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <aa3ma.26216$cO3.1906220@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 32910

Excellent condition. Not a scratch on it! Complete with original box, manual, and all accessories except mobile mount bracket (unit never used mobile).

Price is $225 plus shipping

2696. Data Slicer on the BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 01:41:13 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <WYKcnVdcoPi9XQWjXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 32911

Do I need a capacitor like used for the discriminator output?
Also I have seen multiple web sites all have different slicer tap points.
do I do one or two tap points?
thanks for any help
Anthony
--

2697. Ontario Scanner Web Page & Yahoogroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 05:00:46 UTC
From: Matthew Stone <mbstone99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kprh9vorfrfggs84os2ohk2atbotn6vkt3@4ax.com>
Source record: 32913

The Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide is dedicated to scanner radio hobbists. On here you will find lists of Ontario radio frequencies that are not listed on any web page, published in any scannner book (Haruteq or Scantrix) or listed in the government frequency list (Industry Canada's TAFL). The Ontario Frequency Guide mailing list (oufg) is for scanner radio hobbyists so they have a public forum to be able to chit chat with each other about anything relating to the radio scanner hobby in Ontario as well you will be able to recieve updates of what changes I have made to the Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide web pages.

Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide - http://oufg.webhop.net/
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide Yahoogroup (oufg) - http://groups.yahoo.com/group/oufg/

Matthew Stone
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide/oufg Yahoogroup
http://oufg.webhop.net/

2698. Re: Scanner Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 06:27:50 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@nowhere.edu>
Message-ID: <3E99044E.4090504@nowhere.edu>
Source record: 32914

Well if you need trunking then you have ONLY two choices. Radio Shack on
Uniden.
You are not going to get any new trunking scanner for $150 or so.
Welcome to reality.
GB

Andy wrote:

>Hello all, I'm a state trooper in Indiana and soon I'll be
>transferring to the Indianapolis area from the wonderful town of Gary.
> Most of the departments in the Indy area (Marion County) use what is
>called MECA (Metropolitan Emergency Communications Agency) which is an
>800 Mhz Trunking system of 24 frequencies. I'm looking for a scanner
>I can use in my car that will be able to monitor the agencies in the
>area. Here are my requirements:
>
>1) Must be able to monitor and follow the agencies' transmissions on
>MECA
>2) Must have alphanumeric programming for each memory location so I
>can see who I'm listening to and not have to remember which department
>memory slot 47 is just from memory.
>3) Must be able to be mounted in the center console of my car and
>powered by the car's 12v dc (i.e. not a handheld scanner)
>4) Must be somewhat affordable! I'm not trying to spend over $150 or
>so.
>
>If anyone has any recommended brands, models, or stores to look into I
>would greatly appreciate it. I'm not huge into any one brand so I'm
>not above using some lesser-known brand as long as it works!
>
>Thanks in advance!
>Andy
>
>

2699. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 09:08:29 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BABEA14C.16ACD%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32915

"VHFRadioBuff" wrote:
>
> I thought it was to discuss scanners. I'm a
> scanner listener, but if I'm interested in
> banjo production in the south during the
> 1950's, is it appropriate to post about it
> here? No!

Is banjo production of interest to the typical scanner user? No! The war this nation is involved in at the moment is. This newsgroup is a means of communications between scanner users. To limit that communications to just scanners if rather narrowly focused, don't you think? Taken even a little further, your position would exclude messages about frequencies (this is not scanners), power adapters (not scanners), antennas (not scanners), and so on.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2700. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 09:14:39 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BABEA2BE.16ACF%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32916

"Power House CB & Scanner" wrote:

> (snip) This is a newsgroup who's topic is SCANNERS,
> not war stories... You want war stories, go find
> the appropriate newsgroup(s) for it.
>
> There is a reason why there are SO many different
> groups; one for nearly every interest. (snip)

Okay, I'll gladly do that just as soon as you show me a newsgroup for scanner users to talk to other scanner users about significant events in the world. I looked, but I didn't find rec.radio.scannerusers.discussion or anything even similar to that.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2701. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 09:37:24 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <odama.88140$0X.19729523@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32917
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"RHF" <rhf-mail@usa.com> wrote

> USER1 - Time to Use It (Your Brain that Is...)
>
> who, Who. W H O !
>
> Allowed CNN to go down the path of . . .
> Cover-Ups, Lies and Distortion of the News.
> - The Founder
> - - Man at the Top
> - - - Ted Turner

You would expect less from a guy that was married to Hanoi Jane?

> YES- Ted Tuner is now 'retire' and
> - No longer is a 'Business Man'
> - - But Ted Turner remains an "OWLES"
> - - - One World Liberal Elite Socialist
>
> As CNN's CEO Ted Tunner Knew . . .
> About the Cover-Up, Lies and Distortion of the News.
> - What Did Ted Turner Know ?
> - - When Did He Know It ?
> - - - Follow the Money !
>
> We Need Congressional Hearings . . .
> On the CNN Cover-Up Lies and Distortion of the News.
> - Write the White House
> - - Write Congress
> - - - Write Jane Fonda :o)
>
>
> ~ RHF
> .
> .
> = = = USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
> = = = news:<MPG.19019f25466e533f98990f@news.newsguy.com>...
> > In article <3ef6beca.0304110847.6cd384b7@posting.google.com>, rhf-
> > mail@usa.com says...
> > > PA,
> > >
> >
> > CNN is a disgrace -
> >

> > Is it really necessary to cover up gross violations of these regimes?
> >
> > I don't think so.
> >
> >
> > > Here is the Other Side of the Coin - Now that 'Saddam Insane' is Gone.
> > > CNN is admitting to withholding the TRUTH for Years about the former
> > > Iraqi Regime of Saddam Hussein and the BAATH (NAZI) Party.
> > >
> > > To Read about 'What CNN Did Not Report'
> > > - The New York Times 11-APR-03
> > > - - "The News We Kept to Ourselves" written by Eason Jordan
> > > - - - NOTE: Eason Jordan is the 'Chief' News Executive at CNN.
> > >
> > > GoTo=> http://www.nytimes.com/2003/04/11/opinion/11JORD.html?th
> > >
> > > Evidently it was more important to CNN
> > > - To "PRESENT the News" (Saddam's Propaganda)
> > > - - Then To - 'Tell The Truth'
> > > - - - Thank You CNN - For Twelve Years of LIES and Cover-Ups.
> > >
> > > So Now We See the 'Liberal' CNN and NYT Admit the "Truth".
> > >
> > >
> > > You Shall Know 'The TRUTH'... And It Shall Set You FREE ~ RHF
> > > .
> > > OBTW: Heard this on the Radio Today.
> > > Looked it up on the NYTs to Verify the Report was True.

2702. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 09:39:53 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <h1ci9vk9sn1heu2i860hib967mpqr0t87o@4ax.com>
Source record: 32918
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

Message ID: <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Matthew Tempest
Tuesday February 4, 2003

Noam Chomsky: The [peace] demonstrations were another indication of a quite remarkable phenomenon. There is around the world and in the United States opposition to the coming war that is at a level that is completely unprecedented in US or European history both in scope and the parts of the population it draws on.

There's never been a time that I can think of when there's been such massive opposition to a war before it was even started. And the closer you get to the region, the higher the opposition appears to be. In Turkey, polls indicated close to 90% opposition, in Europe it's quite substantial, and in the United States the figures you see in polls, however, are quite misleading because there's another factor that isn't considered that differentiates the United States from the rest of the world. This is the only country where Saddam Hussein is not only reviled and despised but also feared, so since September polls have shown that something like 60-70% of the population literally think that Saddam Hussein is an imminent threat to their survival.

Now there's no objective reason why the US should be more frightened of Saddam than say the Kuwaitis, but there is a reason - namely that since September there's been a drumbeat of propaganda trying to bludgeon people into the belief that not only is Saddam a terrible person but in fact he's going to come after us tomorrow unless we stop him today. And that reaches people. So if you want to understand the actual opposition to the war in the US you have to extract that factor. The factor of completely irrational fear created by massive propaganda, and if you did I think you'd find it's much like everywhere else.

What is not pointed out in the press coverage is that there is simply no precedent, or anything like a precedent, for this kind of public opposition to a war. And it extends itself far more broadly, it's not just opposition to war it's a lack of faith in the leaderships. You may have seen a study released by the world economic forum a couple of days ago which estimated trust in leaders, and the lowest was in leaders of the United States. Trusted by little over quarter of the population, and I think that reflects concerns over the adventurism and violence and the threats that are perceived in the actions and plans of the current administration.

These are things that ought to be central. Even in the United States there is overwhelming opposition to the war and that corresponding decline in trust in the leadership that is driving the war. This has been developing for some time but it is now reaching an unusual state, and, just to get back to the demonstrations over the weekend, that's never happened before. If you compare it with the Vietnam war, the current stage of the war with Iraq is approximately like that of 1961 - that is, before the war actually was launched, as it was in 1962 with the US bombing of South Vietnam and driving millions of people into concentration camps and chemical warfare and so on, but there was no protest. In fact, so little protest that few people even remember.

The protests didn't begin to develop until several years later when large parts of south Vietnam were being subjected to saturation bombing by B-52s, hundreds of thousands of troops where there, hundreds of thousands had been killed, and then even after that, when the protests finally did develop in the US and Europe it was mostly focused on a side-issue - the bombing of north Vietnam which was undoubtedly a crime, it was far more intense in the south which was always the US target, and that's continued.

It's also, incidentally, recognised by the government. So when any administration comes into office the first thing it does is have a worldwide intelligence assessment - "What's the state of the world?" - provided by the intelligence services. These are secret and you learn about them 30 or 40 years later when they're declassified. When the first Bush administration came in 1989 parts of their intelligence assessment were leaked, and they're very revealing about what happened in the subsequent 10 years about precisely these questions.

The parts that were leaked said that it was about military confrontations with much weaker enemies, recognising they were the only kind we were going to be willing to face, or even exist. So in confrontations with much weaker enemies the United States must win "decisively and rapidly" because otherwise popular support will erode, because it's understood to be very thin. Not like the 1960s when the government could fight a long, brutal war for years and years practically destroying a country without any protest. Not now. Now they have to win. They have to terrify the population to feel there's some enormous threat to their existence and carry out a mircaculous, decisive and rapid victory over this enormous foe and march on to the

next one.

Remember the people now running the show in Washington are mostly recycled Reaganites, essentially reliving the script of the 1980s - that's an apt analogy. And in the 1980s they were imposing domestic programmes which were quite harmful to the general population and which were unpopular. People opposed most of their domestic programmes. And the way they succeeded in ramming it through was by repeatedly keeping the population in a state of panic.

So one year it was an airbase in Grenada which the Russians were going to use to bomb the United States. It sounds ludicrous but that was the propaganda lie and it worked.

Nicaragua was "two days' marching time from Texas" - a dagger pointed at the heart of Texas, to borrow Hitler's phrase. Again, you'd think the people would collapse with laughter. But they didn't. That was continually brought up to frighten us - Nicargua might conquer us on it's way to conquer the hemisphere. A national emergency was called because of the threat posed to national security by Nicargua. Libyan hitmen were wandering the streets of Washington to assassinate our leader - hispanic narco-terrorists. One thing after another was conjured up to keep the population in a state of constant fear while they carried out their major terrorist wars.

Remember, the same people declared a war on terror in 1981 that was going to be the centrepiece of US foreign policy focused primarily on central America, and they carried out a war on terror in central America where they ended up killing about 200,000 people, leaving four countries devastated. Since 1990, when the US took them over again, they've declined still further into deep poverty. Now they're doing the same thing for the same purposes - they are carrying out domestic programmes to which the population is strongly opposed because they're being harmed by them.

But the international adventurism, the conjuring up of enemies that are about to destroy us, that's second nature, very familiar. They didn't invent it, others have done the same thing, others have done it in history but they became masters of this art and are now doing it again.

I don't want to suggest that they have no reasons for wanting to take over Iraq. Of course they do - long-standing reasons that everyone knows. Controlling Iraq will put the US in a very powerful position to extend it domination of the major energy resources of the world. That's not a small point.

But look at the specific timing. It's rather striking that the propaganda drumbeat began in September - what happened in September? Well, it's when the Congressional campaign began and it was certain that the Republicans were not going to win it by allowing social and economic issues to dominate. They would have been smashed. They had to

do exactly what they did in the '80s. Replace them by security issues and in the case of a threat to security people tend to rally around the president - a strong figure who'll protect us from horrible dangers.

The more likely direction this will take [after a war with Iraq] will be Iran, and possibly Syria. North Korea is a different case. What they are demonstrating to the world with great clarity is that if you want to deter US aggression you better have weapons of mass destruction [WMD], or else a credible threat of terror. There's nothing else that will deter them - they can't be deterred by conventional forces. That's a terrible lesson to teach, but it's exactly what's being taught.

For years, experts in the mainstream have been pointing out that the US is causing weapons proliferation by its adventures since others cannot protect themselves except by WMD or the threat of terror. Kenneth Waltz is one who recently pointed this out. But years ago, even before the Bush administration, leading commentators like Samuel Huntington in Foreign Affairs, the main establishment journal, were pointing out that the United States is following a dangerous course. He was talking about the Clinton administration but he pointed out that, for much of the world, the US is now regarded as a rogue state and the leading threat to their existence. In fact one of the striking things about the opposition to the war now, again unprecedented, is how broadly it extends across the political spectrum, so the two major foreign policy journals, Foreign Affairs and Foreign Policy have just in their recent issues run very critical articles by distinguished mainstream figures opposing the resort to war in this case.

The American Academy of Arts and Sciences rarely takes a position on controversial current issues has just published a long monogram on this issue by its committee on international security giving as sympathetic as possible an account of the Bush administration position then simply dismantling it line by line on very narrow grounds - much narrower than I would prefer - but nevertheless successfully.

On Sun, 13 Apr 2003 09:37:24 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"RHF" <rhf-mail@usa.com> wrote
>
>> USER1 - Time to Use It (Your Brain that Is...)
>>
>> who, Who. W H O !
>>
>> Allowed CNN to go down the path of . . .
>> Cover-Ups, Lies and Distortion of the News.
>> - The Founder
>> - - Man at the Top
>> - - - Ted Turner
>
>You would expect less from a guy that was married to Hanoi Jane?

>
>> YES- Ted Tuner is now 'retire' and
>> - No longer is a 'Business Man'
>> - - But Ted Turner remains an "OWLES"
>> - - - One World Liberal Elite Socialist
>>
>> As CNN's CEO Ted Tunner Knew . . .
>> About the Cover-Up, Lies and Distortion of the News.
>> - What Did Ted Turner Know ?
>> - - When Did He Know It ?
>> - - - Follow the Money !
>>
>> We Need Congressional Hearings . . .
>> On the CNN Cover-Up Lies and Distortion of the News.
>> - Write the White House
>> - - Write Congress
>> - - - Write Jane Fonda :o)
>>
>>
>> ~ RHF
>> .
>> .
>> = = = USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
>> = = = news:<MPG.19019f25466e533f98990f@news.newsguy.com>...
>> > In article <3ef6beca.0304110847.6cd384b7@posting.google.com>, rhf-
>> > mail@usa.com says...
>> > > PA,
>> > > >
>> > >
>> > > CNN is a disgrace -
>> > >
>> > > Is it really necessary to cover up gross violations of these regimes?
>> > >
>> > > I don't think so.
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > > Here is the Other Side of the Coin - Now that 'Saddam Insane' is Gone.
>> > > > CNN is admitting to withholding the TRUTH for Years about the former
>> > > > Iraqi Regime of Saddam Hussein and the BAATH (NAZI) Party.
>> > > >
>> > > > To Read about 'What CNN Did Not Report'
>> > > > - The New York Times 11-APR-03
>> > > > - - "The News We Kept to Ourselves" written by Eason Jordan
>> > > > - - - NOTE: Eason Jordan is the 'Chief' News Executive at CNN.
>> > > >
>> > > > GoTo=> http://www.nytimes.com/2003/04/11/opinion/11JORD.html?th
>> > > >
>> > > > Evidently it was more important to CNN
>> > > > - To "PRESENT the News" (Saddam's Propaganda)
>> > > > - - Then To - 'Tell The Truth'

>> > > - - - Thank You CNN - For Twelve Years of LIES and Cover-Ups.
>> > >
>> > > So Now We See the 'Liberal' CNN and NYT Admit the "Truth".
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > You Shall Know 'The TRUTH'... And It Shall Set You FREE ~ RHF
>> > > .
>> > > OBTW: Heard this on the Radio Today.
>> > > Looked it up on the NYTs to Verify the Report was True.
>

2703. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// WE THE PEOPLE OPPOSE THE US INVASION OF IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 10:56:44 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <rtvi9vosukkvtj5qsbg5hq9gf6fff8oumq@4ax.com>
Source record: 32938

"Patriotism means to stand by the country. It does NOT mean to stand
by the President or any other public official save exactly to the
degree in which he himself stands by the country. It is patriotic to
support him insofar as he efficiently serves the country. It is
unpatriotic not to oppose him to the exact extent that by inefficiency
or otherwise he fails in his duty to stand by the country."

Theodore Roosevelt

On Sat, 12 Apr 2003 22:54:36 GMT, Whats up@nowhere.net (Monologue)
wrote:

>
>I'm impressed by the following quote:
>
>"Why of course the people don't want war. Why should some poor slob on a farm
>want to risk his life in a war when the best he can get out of it is to come
>back to his farm in one piece? Naturally the common people don't want war
>neither in Russia, nor in England, nor for that matter in Germany. That is
>understood. But, after all, it is the leaders of the country who determine the
>policy and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it
>is a democracy, or a fascist dictatorship, or a parliament, or a communist
>dictatorship. Voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the
>bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is tell them they are
>being attacked, and denounce the peacemakers For lack of patriotism and
>exposing the country to danger. It works the same in any country."
>
>Hermann Goering, Hitler's Reich-Marshall, speaking at the Nuremberg Trials
>following WWII"
>
>How about President Bush's "If you're not with us, you're against us"? This is
>not sound reasoning. You can be against the unjustified invasion of a country
>that has made no overt acts of aggression against this country without being
>"against this country". The above quote perfectly constrasts those that have
>been enticed into bloodlust against some unknown enemy, with those that are
>circumspect being labeled as anti-patriotic.
>
>Regards
>
>
>
>In article <t18g9v4kvomu82d6tv5uo3u2vt5a04kum0@4ax.com>, Petey Arnett
><News@ele.ven> wrote:
>> Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

>>
>>Message ID: <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>
>>
>>
>>The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not
>>have access to. Decide for
>>
>>yourself.
>>
>>http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links
>>
>>http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm
>>
>>http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm
>
>Never say never.
>Nothing is absolute.

2704. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// "Q" SEZ THE OCCUPATION OF IRAQ IS IMMORAL AND ILLEGAL \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 12:02:50 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Klcma.88166$0X.19793763@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32919

"Q" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message
news:3f7b46ff.3717803358@news.Q.everywhere...
> I live in Columbus Ohio

You don't even live in the United States. Use your own nym to post this
trash.

2705. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// "Q" SEZ THE OCCUPATION OF IRAQ IS IMMORAL AND ILLEGAL \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 12:03:15 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <qfki9v4k3hvc3sbjnpjaf62qfh5liq9vdj@4ax.com>
Source record: 32921

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

Message ID: <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Matthew Tempest
Tuesday February 4, 2003

Noam Chomsky: The [peace] demonstrations were another indication of a quite remarkable phenomenon. There is around the world and in the United States opposition to the coming war that is at a level that is completely unprecedented in US or European history both in scope and the parts of the population it draws on.

There's never been a time that I can think of when there's been such massive opposition to a war before it was even started. And the closer you get to the region, the higher the opposition appears to be. In Turkey, polls indicated close to 90% opposition, in Europe it's quite substantial, and in the United States the figures you see in polls, however, are quite misleading because there's another factor that isn't considered that differentiates the United States from the rest of the world. This is the only country where Saddam Hussein is not only reviled and despised but also feared, so since September polls have shown that something like 60-70% of the population literally think that Saddam Hussein is an imminent threat to their survival.

Now there's no objective reason why the US should be more frightened of Saddam than say the Kuwaitis, but there is a reason - namely that since September there's been a drumbeat of propaganda trying to bludgeon people into the belief that not only is Saddam a terrible person but in fact he's going to come after us tomorrow unless we stop him today. And that reaches people. So if you want to understand the actual opposition to the war in the US you have to extract that factor. The factor of completely irrational fear created by massive propaganda, and if you did I think you'd find it's much like everywhere else.

What is not pointed out in the press coverage is that there is simply no precedent, or anything like a precedent, for this kind of public opposition to a war. And it extends itself far more broadly, it's not just opposition to war it's a lack of faith in the leaderships. You may have seen a study released by the world economic forum a couple of days ago which estimated trust in leaders, and the lowest was in leaders of the United States. Trusted by little over quarter of the population, and I think that reflects concerns over the adventurism and violence and the threats that are perceived in the actions and plans of the current administration.

These are things that ought to be central. Even in the United States there is overwhelming opposition to the war and that corresponding decline in trust in the leadership that is driving the war. This has been developing for some time but it is now reaching an unusual state, and, just to get back to the demonstrations over the weekend, that's never happened before. If you compare it with the Vietnam war, the current stage of the war with Iraq is approximately like that of 1961 - that is, before the war actually was launched, as it was in 1962 with the US bombing of South Vietnam and driving millions of people into concentration camps and chemical warfare and so on, but there was no protest. In fact, so little protest that few people even remember.

The protests didn't begin to develop until several years later when large parts of south Vietnam were being subjected to saturation bombing by B-52s, hundreds of thousands of troops where there, hundreds of thousands had been killed, and then even after that, when the protests finally did develop in the US and Europe it was mostly focused on a side-issue - the bombing of north Vietnam which was undoubtedly a crime, it was far more intense in the south which was always the US target, and that's continued.

It's also, incidentally, recognised by the government. So when any administration comes into office the first thing it does is have a worldwide intelligence assessment - "What's the state of the world?" - provided by the intelligence services. These are secret and you learn about them 30 or 40 years later when they're declassified. When the first Bush administration came in 1989 parts of their intelligence assessment were leaked, and they're very revealing about what happened in the subsequent 10 years about precisely these questions.

The parts that were leaked said that it was about military confrontations with much weaker enemies, recognising they were the only kind we were going to be willing to face, or even exist. So in confrontations with much weaker enemies the United States must win "decisively and rapidly" because otherwise popular support will erode, because it's understood to be very thin. Not like the 1960s when the government could fight a long, brutal war for years and years practically destroying a country without any protest. Not now. Now they have to win. They have to terrify the population to feel there's some enormous threat to their existence and carry out a mircaculous, decisive and rapid victory over this enormous foe and march on to the

next one.

Remember the people now running the show in Washington are mostly recycled Reaganites, essentially reliving the script of the 1980s - that's an apt analogy. And in the 1980s they were imposing domestic programmes which were quite harmful to the general population and which were unpopular. People opposed most of their domestic programmes. And the way they succeeded in ramming it through was by repeatedly keeping the population in a state of panic.

So one year it was an airbase in Grenada which the Russians were going to use to bomb the United States. It sounds ludicrous but that was the propaganda lie and it worked.

Nicaragua was "two days' marching time from Texas" - a dagger pointed at the heart of Texas, to borrow Hitler's phrase. Again, you'd think the people would collapse with laughter. But they didn't. That was continually brought up to frighten us - Nicargua might conquer us on it's way to conquer the hemisphere. A national emergency was called because of the threat posed to national security by Nicargua. Libyan hitmen were wandering the streets of Washington to assassinate our leader - hispanic narco-terrorists. One thing after another was conjured up to keep the population in a state of constant fear while they carried out their major terrorist wars.

Remember, the same people declared a war on terror in 1981 that was going to be the centrepiece of US foreign policy focused primarily on central America, and they carried out a war on terror in central America where they ended up killing about 200,000 people, leaving four countries devastated. Since 1990, when the US took them over again, they've declined still further into deep poverty. Now they're doing the same thing for the same purposes - they are carrying out domestic programmes to which the population is strongly opposed because they're being harmed by them.

But the international adventurism, the conjuring up of enemies that are about to destroy us, that's second nature, very familiar. They didn't invent it, others have done the same thing, others have done it in history but they became masters of this art and are now doing it again.

I don't want to suggest that they have no reasons for wanting to take over Iraq. Of course they do - long-standing reasons that everyone knows. Controlling Iraq will put the US in a very powerful position to extend it domination of the major energy resources of the world. That's not a small point.

But look at the specific timing. It's rather striking that the propaganda drumbeat began in September - what happened in September? Well, it's when the Congressional campaign began and it was certain that the Republicans were not going to win it by allowing social and economic issues to dominate. They would have been smashed. They had to

do exactly what they did in the '80s. Replace them by security issues and in the case of a threat to security people tend to rally around the president - a strong figure who'll protect us from horrible dangers.

The more likely direction this will take [after a war with Iraq] will be Iran, and possibly Syria. North Korea is a different case. What they are demonstrating to the world with great clarity is that if you want to deter US aggression you better have weapons of mass destruction [WMD], or else a credible threat of terror. There's nothing else that will deter them - they can't be deterred by conventional forces. That's a terrible lesson to teach, but it's exactly what's being taught.

For years, experts in the mainstream have been pointing out that the US is causing weapons proliferation by its adventures since others cannot protect themselves except by WMD or the threat of terror. Kenneth Waltz is one who recently pointed this out. But years ago, even before the Bush administration, leading commentators like Samuel Huntington in Foreign Affairs, the main establishment journal, were pointing out that the United States is following a dangerous course. He was talking about the Clinton administration but he pointed out that, for much of the world, the US is now regarded as a rogue state and the leading threat to their existence. In fact one of the striking things about the opposition to the war now, again unprecedented, is how broadly it extends across the political spectrum, so the two major foreign policy journals, Foreign Affairs and Foreign Policy have just in their recent issues run very critical articles by distinguished mainstream figures opposing the resort to war in this case.

The American Academy of Arts and Sciences rarely takes a position on controversial current issues has just published a long monogram on this issue by its committee on international security giving as sympathetic as possible an account of the Bush administration position then simply dismantling it line by line on very narrow grounds - much narrower than I would prefer - but nevertheless successfully.

On Sun, 13 Apr 2003 12:02:50 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Q" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message
>news:3f7b46ff.3717803358@news.Q.everywhere...
>> I live in Columbus Ohio
>
>You don't even live in the United States. Use your own nym to post this
>trash.
>

2706. Re: ////////////////////// "Q" SEZ TAKE ACTION AGAINST US and UK WAR CRIMINALS \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 12:03:31 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <nmcma.88167$0X.19794062@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32920

"Q" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote

> I live in Columbus Ohio

No, you don't. Use your own nym.

2707. Re: ////////////////////// "Q" SEZ TAKE ACTION AGAINST US and UK WAR CRIMINALS \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 12:03:31 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <bgki9vg6m2kbkk74mahab68q0f87tg6v9h@4ax.com>
Source record: 32922

Q Q@Q.invalid.com snitches on poster he disagrees with:

Message ID: <PCQja.56213$0X.11597335@twister.columbus.rr.com>

The pictures and the truth that Q Q@Q.invalid.com would rather you not have access to. Decide for

yourself.

http://regulareverydaypeople.com/ Lots of links

http://www.robert-fisk.com/iraqwarvictims_mar2003.htm

http://www.robert-fisk.com/articles207.htm

Matthew Tempest
Tuesday February 4, 2003

Noam Chomsky: The [peace] demonstrations were another indication of a quite remarkable phenomenon. There is around the world and in the United States opposition to the coming war that is at a level that is completely unprecedented in US or European history both in scope and the parts of the population it draws on.

There's never been a time that I can think of when there's been such massive opposition to a war before it was even started. And the closer you get to the region, the higher the opposition appears to be. In Turkey, polls indicated close to 90% opposition, in Europe it's quite substantial, and in the United States the figures you see in polls, however, are quite misleading because there's another factor that isn't considered that differentiates the United States from the rest of the world. This is the only country where Saddam Hussein is not only reviled and despised but also feared, so since September polls have shown that something like 60-70% of the population literally think that Saddam Hussein is an imminent threat to their survival.

Now there's no objective reason why the US should be more frightened of Saddam than say the Kuwaitis, but there is a reason - namely that since September there's been a drumbeat of propaganda trying to bludgeon people into the belief that not only is Saddam a terrible person but in fact he's going to come after us tomorrow unless we stop him today. And that reaches people. So if you want to understand the actual opposition to the war in the US you have to extract that factor. The factor of completely irrational fear created by massive propaganda, and if you did I think you'd find it's much like everywhere else.

What is not pointed out in the press coverage is that there is simply no precedent, or anything like a precedent, for this kind of public opposition to a war. And it extends itself far more broadly, it's not just opposition to war it's a lack of faith in the leaderships. You may have seen a study released by the world economic forum a couple of days ago which estimated trust in leaders, and the lowest was in leaders of the United States. Trusted by little over quarter of the population, and I think that reflects concerns over the adventurism and violence and the threats that are perceived in the actions and plans of the current administration.

These are things that ought to be central. Even in the United States there is overwhelming opposition to the war and that corresponding decline in trust in the leadership that is driving the war. This has been developing for some time but it is now reaching an unusual state, and, just to get back to the demonstrations over the weekend, that's never happened before. If you compare it with the Vietnam war, the current stage of the war with Iraq is approximately like that of 1961 - that is, before the war actually was launched, as it was in 1962 with the US bombing of South Vietnam and driving millions of people into concentration camps and chemical warfare and so on, but there was no protest. In fact, so little protest that few people even remember.

The protests didn't begin to develop until several years later when large parts of south Vietnam were being subjected to saturation bombing by B-52s, hundreds of thousands of troops where there, hundreds of thousands had been killed, and then even after that, when the protests finally did develop in the US and Europe it was mostly focused on a side-issue - the bombing of north Vietnam which was undoubtedly a crime, it was far more intense in the south which was always the US target, and that's continued.

It's also, incidentally, recognised by the government. So when any administration comes into office the first thing it does is have a worldwide intelligence assessment - "What's the state of the world?" - provided by the intelligence services. These are secret and you learn about them 30 or 40 years later when they're declassified. When the first Bush administration came in 1989 parts of their intelligence assessment were leaked, and they're very revealing about what happened in the subsequent 10 years about precisely these questions.

The parts that were leaked said that it was about military confrontations with much weaker enemies, recognising they were the only kind we were going to be willing to face, or even exist. So in confrontations with much weaker enemies the United States must win "decisively and rapidly" because otherwise popular support will erode, because it's understood to be very thin. Not like the 1960s when the government could fight a long, brutal war for years and years practically destroying a country without any protest. Not now. Now they have to win. They have to terrify the population to feel there's some enormous threat to their existence and carry out a mircaculous, decisive and rapid victory over this enormous foe and march on to the

next one.

Remember the people now running the show in Washington are mostly recycled Reaganites, essentially reliving the script of the 1980s - that's an apt analogy. And in the 1980s they were imposing domestic programmes which were quite harmful to the general population and which were unpopular. People opposed most of their domestic programmes. And the way they succeeded in ramming it through was by repeatedly keeping the population in a state of panic.

So one year it was an airbase in Grenada which the Russians were going to use to bomb the United States. It sounds ludicrous but that was the propaganda lie and it worked.

Nicaragua was "two days' marching time from Texas" - a dagger pointed at the heart of Texas, to borrow Hitler's phrase. Again, you'd think the people would collapse with laughter. But they didn't. That was continually brought up to frighten us - Nicargua might conquer us on it's way to conquer the hemisphere. A national emergency was called because of the threat posed to national security by Nicargua. Libyan hitmen were wandering the streets of Washington to assassinate our leader - hispanic narco-terrorists. One thing after another was conjured up to keep the population in a state of constant fear while they carried out their major terrorist wars.

Remember, the same people declared a war on terror in 1981 that was going to be the centrepiece of US foreign policy focused primarily on central America, and they carried out a war on terror in central America where they ended up killing about 200,000 people, leaving four countries devastated. Since 1990, when the US took them over again, they've declined still further into deep poverty. Now they're doing the same thing for the same purposes - they are carrying out domestic programmes to which the population is strongly opposed because they're being harmed by them.

But the international adventurism, the conjuring up of enemies that are about to destroy us, that's second nature, very familiar. They didn't invent it, others have done the same thing, others have done it in history but they became masters of this art and are now doing it again.

I don't want to suggest that they have no reasons for wanting to take over Iraq. Of course they do - long-standing reasons that everyone knows. Controlling Iraq will put the US in a very powerful position to extend it domination of the major energy resources of the world. That's not a small point.

But look at the specific timing. It's rather striking that the propaganda drumbeat began in September - what happened in September? Well, it's when the Congressional campaign began and it was certain that the Republicans were not going to win it by allowing social and economic issues to dominate. They would have been smashed. They had to

do exactly what they did in the '80s. Replace them by security issues and in the case of a threat to security people tend to rally around the president - a strong figure who'll protect us from horrible dangers.

The more likely direction this will take [after a war with Iraq] will be Iran, and possibly Syria. North Korea is a different case. What they are demonstrating to the world with great clarity is that if you want to deter US aggression you better have weapons of mass destruction [WMD], or else a credible threat of terror. There's nothing else that will deter them - they can't be deterred by conventional forces. That's a terrible lesson to teach, but it's exactly what's being taught.

For years, experts in the mainstream have been pointing out that the US is causing weapons proliferation by its adventures since others cannot protect themselves except by WMD or the threat of terror. Kenneth Waltz is one who recently pointed this out. But years ago, even before the Bush administration, leading commentators like Samuel Huntington in Foreign Affairs, the main establishment journal, were pointing out that the United States is following a dangerous course. He was talking about the Clinton administration but he pointed out that, for much of the world, the US is now regarded as a rogue state and the leading threat to their existence. In fact one of the striking things about the opposition to the war now, again unprecedented, is how broadly it extends across the political spectrum, so the two major foreign policy journals, Foreign Affairs and Foreign Policy have just in their recent issues run very critical articles by distinguished mainstream figures opposing the resort to war in this case.

The American Academy of Arts and Sciences rarely takes a position on controversial current issues has just published a long monogram on this issue by its committee on international security giving as sympathetic as possible an account of the Bush administration position then simply dismantling it line by line on very narrow grounds - much narrower than I would prefer - but nevertheless successfully.

On Sun, 13 Apr 2003 12:03:31 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Q" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote
>
>> I live in Columbus Ohio
>
>No, you don't. Use your own nym.
>

2708. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 13:12:31 UTC
From: BrianEng@world.net
Message-ID: <3E99623F.1F0EF443@world.net>
Source record: 32923

Q wrote:

> Aren't politics part of scanning? Every time you hear a police call, every
> time you listen to military frequencies, every time you listen to about
> ANYTHING, it's about politics, in one way or another.
> Do you listen to the TV and radio network frequencies - the reporters, and
> so forth? What, these days, are THEY talking about, for the most part? The
> police enforce laws, those laws are passed by politicians, and their actions
> reflect the mandates of the politicians.
> Granted, there's been allot of posts regarding the war - but have you also
> blanked-out the military frequencies on your radio? If you've been blocking
> channels the way you want to block what you see in this group, I don't see
> how you are getting much enjoyment out of scanning, so your dropping out of
> this group would be no great loss.
> I don't listen to certain frequencies myself, as some are rather boring.
> But like the choice you have of what frequencies you listen to, you also can
> use a kill filter to avoid the posts you have no interest in. As I ignore
> certain frequencies, I also ignore certain posts.

My fellow scanner enthusiast "Q". I have a few questions for you. When you go to church (you do?) do you talk scanners or world utility frequencies or do you talk to your GOD??? I know, that's a hard one but I have every confidence that you will be able to answer. When I go to the voting booth or a political rally I talk politics not scanners. What do they do in Columbus?
You see, that's just respect for the program at hand or you wouldn't be there in the first place.

The police are hired by politicians to enforce laws that the politicians made. But, the police are not permitted by the code of ethics and in some cases law to take part in any political rally while on duty or in uniform.

Come on "Q" and Whoever, I know you see what the majority of posters/readers in these radio groups are saying. We would just like to stop the "OFF" topic posting and get back to our hobby of radios. You know there are groups for all subjects, we only ask that you or whoever take the off topic threads to the appropriate group it fits in.

I love my country, (hell, i'm an old Veteran) but don't have to talk about it where it doesn't fit in.

Please reconsider what you post and in which group.

God Bless America and My Fellow Americans

2709. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// NO BLOOD FOR OIL WITHDRAW FROM IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 14:29:50 UTC
From: "Whats up"@nowhere.net
Message-ID: <v9it0m8sbudsae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32924

Though I oppose the war, it's unlikely that any action at this point will end the coalition involvement. While listening to a Canadian shortwave station, the guest was asked what criteria would be used to declare an end to the war and the restoration of stability in the region. He replied that the U.S. (actually I believe he should have said coalition) is now in control of 5 times more oil than is available in the U.S., and that he doesn't believe that they'll relinquish this control anytime too soon. He wasn't bitter in his speech and inflections, simply stating this as a matter of fact.

I suspect that this is true.

In article <3f079fbb.3543911034@news.Q.everywhere>, Q@Q.everywhere wrote:
>ind out what you can do to help.....
>
>
>http://www.stopwar.org.uk
>
>http://truemajority.org/
>
>www.endthewar.org
>
>www.antiwar.com
>
>www.notinourname.net

Never say never.
Nothing is absolute.

2710. Scanners and FM, AM Radio Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 14:43:20 UTC
From: lost@aol.com
Message-ID: <6kti9v4m1got9er9hba167ntef2qhfh1nm@4ax.com>
Source record: 32925

Could someone explain why scanners do not pick up FM and AM commerical radio stations ? Sort of like a all in one reciever ?

Are there any scanners that do ? For instance, the Uniden 250D I believe has continuous coverage from 25mhz to 1.3ghz. Does this mean that it can get the FM freq. of 88 to 107mhz ?

2711. Pro 95 and BC250D battery Life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 14:45:49 UTC
From: lost@aol.com
Message-ID: <dsti9vscr5m4s5l1djv9coanm3gkce2a4v@4ax.com>
Source record: 32926

Could anyone provide some commentary on the expected battery life of a Pro 94 (4 AA) batteries and the BC 250D Nickle Cadium battery? Basically, I am wondering how long each unit will run on a set of batteries or on a charge. Also, with the NC battery, how fast does it start to deteriorate in terms ability to hold a charge.

2712. Pennsylvania monitoring/reporting volunteers wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 14:50:34 UTC
From: kb3fxi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3e997336.416686046@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 32927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The long-term goal of PA-SitRep is to provide rapid
emergency situation reporting service, free of
charge, to any interested served agencies, as well
as our own Emergency Alert list, much in the same
way that SKYWARN provides weather situation
reports for the National Weather Service.

PA-SitRep (Pennsylvania Situation Report) is developing
a group of PA-SitRep Contacts, most of whom (49 so far)
are Amateur Radio Operators and or scanner enthusiasts.
We are seeking additional volunteers.

PA-SitRep Contacts are asked to monitor emergency
situations from their local areas and post only major
emergency situation reports to the PA-SitRep
Discussion e-mail list which is used, in part, for
monitoring major developing emergency situations.
If warranted, PA-SitRep Administrators will move the
emergency situation reports to our main emergency alert
PA-SitRep list where the alert is distributed to over 500
subscribers throughout the state of Pennsylvania.

If you're interested in volunteering as a PA-SitRep
Contact, or have any questions regarding PA-SitRep,
please visit the website to learn more:
http://www.pa-sitrep.com

-David Kleber, KB3FXI
PA-SitRep List Owner and PA-SitRep.com Founder
www.PA-SitRep.com

PA-SitRep website and mail lists are
100% non-commercial / non-profit.

2713. Re: Scanners and FM, AM Radio Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 14:58:55 UTC
From: please-nospam <nobody@home.com>
Message-ID: <PWema.26998$cO3.1967492@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 32928

Icom r-3 is a general coverage scanner, up to about 2.5GHz, that also receives AM, FM (although not in stereo, unfortunately), and TV, displaying the picture on a 2" color LCD screen. All this, in a small, lightweight package... I love mine, even though it's a bear to program using the buttons (I use a software package and cable from my computer's serial port). Hope this helps....

Marvin, in Atlanta
m9876c@yahoo.com

2714. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////// WE THE PEOPLE OPPOSE THE US INVASION OF IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 15:28:36 UTC
From: porky <porky@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Emfma.34429$D15.776693@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 32929

If you oppose the war in Iraq, does that mean you are against freeing the tortured, impoverished, suppressed and "scared to death to speak out" citizens of Iraq, or that you are against us dethroning the murderous, torturous Iraqi regime? I'm not quite sure I understand where you stand? Please enlighten me. I know you have valuable things to say about this so I want to completely understand your grounds for protest.
I don't know if you have been keeping up with the current events over in Iraq but just to bring you up to date here, I have been seeing a lot of happy faced Iraqi citizens lately hugging, praising and embracing our allied troops that are liberating them! Gee, sure doesn't seem like we are doing something that is not needed and/or justified! Maybe you few protesters that have been looking for something to do other than being a good, patriotic citizen of this great, free country of ours, have selective vision and hearing. Don't forget, it's battles just like this one that, over the many years of our existence as a "free nation", has secured our rights and freedoms. If, during the last 25 years, an Iraqi citizen would have spoken out such as you and your few ill advised comrades are doing, do you think they would have gotten away with these outbursts as you can and will? Think about it. We were needed badly in Iraq. Thank God our President Bush didn't knuckle under to his opposition in this controversial matter. Nuff said.

2715. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////// WE THE PEOPLE OPPOSE THE US INVASION OF IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 15:30:40 UTC
From: Petey Arnett <News@ele.ven>
Message-ID: <g40j9v04op5m29stb6oj5ukcgbvfldo9br@4ax.com>
Source record: 32939

On Sun, 13 Apr 2003 15:28:36 GMT, "porky" <porky@cfl.rr.com> wrote:

> If you oppose the war in Iraq, does that mean you are against freeing
>the tortured, impoverished, suppressed and "scared to death to speak out"
>citizens of Iraq, or that you are against us dethroning the murderous,
>torturous Iraqi regime? I'm not quite sure I understand where you stand?
>Please enlighten me. I know you have valuable things to say about this so I
>want to completely understand your grounds for protest.
> I don't know if you have been keeping up with the current events over in
>Iraq but just to bring you up to date here, I have been seeing a lot of
>happy faced Iraqi citizens lately hugging, praising and embracing our allied
>troops that are liberating them! Gee, sure doesn't seem like we are doing
>something that is not needed and/or justified! Maybe you few protesters that
>have been looking for something to do other than being a good, patriotic
>citizen of this great, free country of ours, have selective vision and
>hearing. Don't forget, it's battles just like this one that, over the many
>years of our existence as a "free nation", has secured our rights and
>freedoms. If, during the last 25 years, an Iraqi citizen would have spoken
>out such as you and your few ill advised comrades are doing, do you think
>they would have gotten away with these outbursts as you can and will? Think
>about it. We were needed badly in Iraq. Thank God our President Bush didn't
>knuckle under to his opposition in this controversial matter. Nuff said.
>

From the News reports I would say the country is in anarchy. That's
definetly a step up from a dictatorship wouldn't you say?

If you believe those people are going to embrace us in the long run
you're dreaming. Our culture will always fly in the face of theirs.
There will be a signifigant number who will always resent us taking
over their land. The seeds of terrorism are continuing to be sewn. We
just made thousands more enemies. Is that what we are trying to do?
Make more enemies?

To quote a marine who stood by and watched as a gang of Iraqis loot a
store. When the merchant asked the marine "Is this your liberation?"
The marine replied "Hell, it ain't my job to stop them" "Goddamn
Iraqis will steal anything if you let them. Look at them."

If that's the attitude of the average Joe. What kind of liberation is
that? Who is being liberated? The rabble?

And the meek shall inherit Iraq.

"Patriotism means to stand by the country. It does NOT mean to stand by the President or any other public official save exactly to the degree in which he himself stands by the country. It is patriotic to support him insofar as he efficiently serves the country. It is unpatriotic not to oppose him to the exact extent that by inefficiency or otherwise he fails in his duty to stand by the country."

Theodore Roosevelt

2716. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// WE THE PEOPLE OPPOSE THE US INVASION OF IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 15:34:04 UTC
From: porky <porky@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Mrfma.34431$D15.774990@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 32930

I believe the great state of New Hampshire has said it best: "America, Love It Or Leave It"!

2717. Re: *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 15:46:05 UTC
From: porky <porky@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1Dfma.34433$D15.778938@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 32931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Is that to say that "all" CB equipment is junk or that the specified
equipment in this situation is junk? Could you please clarify on this?

2718. Re: Scan North taken down by Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 16:15:05 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935C78B74Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32932
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Goto http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm send these guys your frequ's and they will be more then happy to post them for you! You can then use a link to the page they setup and that should take care of that problem.. And anyone eles having this problem in the UK please feel free to do the same :-}

George Bush

johnwmalley@hotmail.com (Living Daylights) wrote in <_QKla.2127$3g2.207@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>:

>http://www.northumberlandstreet.com

2719. Re: How to make interface to link Alinco DJ-X3 with PC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 16:52:24 UTC
From: Helge Wunderlich <helgeww.spamtrap@start.no>
Message-ID: <96ai9vc1s0maesvtihjt5di5i6jse574ve@4ax.com>
Source record: 32933

On Fri, 11 Apr 2003 19:57:12 +0200, Belon <belon@chez.com> wrote:

>Do you know how to make an computer interface cable to link this scanner
>with a serial PC port?

There are, of course, many ways to do it. The simplest is probably to use Maxim's MAX232 or one of its successors. You also need a power supply for the chip, which you can either leech from the serial port or supply from an external source.

>It's not very easy to find ERW-4C interface.

There is a cable from Icom that is compatible. I forgot the name, but a bit of googling should find it. You may also want to take a look at the DJ-X3 mail group at http://groups.yahoo.com. The archive contains some information about the cable. Also, the files section contains a diagram for building your own interface.

>Alinco's internet site say that a simple electric connection cable may
>not work properly.

They are right. In fact, "may not" is not entirely correct. "Will not" is more accurate. The X3 communicates using 0V/5V signals, while the serial port needs +/- 5 to +/- 15 V. Additionally, the signal must be inverted, so that 0 V gives +15 V, and 5 V gives -15 V.

--
Helge Wunderlich
Please remove obvious part of address to send mail

2720. Re: recommended antennas for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 16:54:38 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935C8BFD6Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32934

Why to easy ask a harder question next time :-)

http://users.cis.net/kingpop/Scantenna.htm

There you the best antenna on the market today and the best price.

George

curioandrelic@yahoo.com (curioandrelic) wrote in
<77dfd975.0304110807.5fcdfb1a@posting.google.com>:

>I have inherited a UNIDEN BC-60XLT hand-held digital scanner, as well
>as an older base unit (with big red blinking lights and crystals). I
>need recommendations for outdoor, indoor, and magnetic-mount vehicle
>antennas for these units.
>

2721. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 16:57:07 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935C825ADYomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32935

Them fuggin rag-heads should be glad I'm not running the war!! I'd MOAB em into dust fuggem let there god sort em out. And take your war crap to the fuggin war group this is a scanner group you dum rag-head. Move your damn camel to the right news group.. what a moron

nojunk@mail.com (WG) wrote in
<jTBla.98498$B54.2732908@news1.telusplanet.net>:

>The US and its coalition still haven't found any chemical or biological
>weapons as of April 11th 2003. Iraq did disarm but they were invaded
>anyway.
>
>Can we say the same about the biggest producers of weapons of mass
>destruction, (the US)? Why doesn't the US practice what it preaches and
>disarm itself?
>
>"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
>news:gKdka.64566$0X.12868416@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote
>> > I just wonder if the US and England will be charged with war crimes
>> > as
>> that
>> > they are the invading countries and they invaded without
>> > provocation.
>>
>> (Read UN Resolutions 1441, 678, and 687. I won't bother to post them
>here -
>> they're on the net).
>>
>> > I do note that they have almost the entire country
>> > and have not found any chemical or biological
>> > weapons
>>
>> Tune into the news...
>>
>> > Iraq has never done anything to the US or any of its treaty allies.
>>
>> Oh? It was another Hollywood stunt?
>>
>> > Thus this makes it an illegal invasion subject to war crimes
>> > charges.
>>
>> <sigh>
>>
>> > > > NPR Commentary: Illogical

>>
>>
>
>
>

2722. Re: Scanner Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 17:00:50 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935C8D516Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32936

To easy Andy get a BC 780XLT or a BC785D. You can get a good deal on ether or at http://www.grove-ent.com/scanners.html and you can get an antenna in your own backyard from http://users.cis.net/kingpop/Scantenna.htm

There you go :-)

George

andyshank@hotmail.com (Andy) wrote in
<ba43b87e.0304121630.d6f0895@posting.google.com>:

>Hello all, I'm a state trooper in Indiana and soon I'll be
>transferring to the Indianapolis area from the wonderful town of Gary.
> Most of the departments in the Indy area (Marion County) use what is
>called MECA (Metropolitan Emergency Communications Agency) which is an
>800 Mhz Trunking system of 24 frequencies. I'm looking for a scanner
>I can use in my car that will be able to monitor the agencies in the
>area. Here are my requirements:
>
>1) Must be able to monitor and follow the agencies' transmissions on
>MECA
>2) Must have alphanumeric programming for each memory location so I
>can see who I'm listening to and not have to remember which department
>memory slot 47 is just from memory.
>3) Must be able to be mounted in the center console of my car and
>powered by the car's 12v dc (i.e. not a handheld scanner)
>4) Must be somewhat affordable! I'm not trying to spend over $150 or
>so.
>
>If anyone has any recommended brands, models, or stores to look into I
>would greatly appreciate it. I'm not huge into any one brand so I'm
>not above using some lesser-known brand as long as it works!
>
>Thanks in advance!
>Andy
>

2723. Re: Data Slicer on the BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 17:02:12 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935C896EAYomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32937

Here you go Tony
http://webpages.charter.net/moment/mods.htm
easy as that
George

anthony@mostlyrockets.com (Anthony B.) wrote in
<WYKcnVdcoPi9XQWjXTWcqQ@comcast.com>:

>
>Do I need a capacitor like used for the discriminator output?
>Also I have seen multiple web sites all have different slicer tap points.
>do I do one or two tap points?
>thanks for any help
>Anthony
>--
>
>
>

2724. Re: Scanners and FM, AM Radio Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 17:07:39 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935C8BC48Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32940

The better question would be "why would you want to??" all FM radio stations broadcast in stereo not mono like every scanner in the world is.. And take that bubble gum out of your pocket AM?? this is the year 2003 not 1959.. The only thing on AM is god shows and talk shows.. buy a cheap $2.50 radio and you have both AM & FM, is another good reasion why you don't have AM/FM on a scanner :-)

lost@aol.com wrote in <6kti9v4m1got9er9hba167ntef2qhfh1nm@4ax.com>:

>Could someone explain why scanners do not pick up FM and AM commerical
>radio stations ? Sort of like a all in one reciever ?
>
>Are there any scanners that do ? For instance, the Uniden 250D I
>believe has continuous coverage from 25mhz to 1.3ghz. Does this mean
>that it can get the FM freq. of 88 to 107mhz ?
>

2725. Re: *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 17:32:28 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Mahma.1276$wi3.82659545@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 32941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
news:935B69F55Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> You can do a few things in this case. You can contact the base provo
> martial and file a complaint! You should also send a letter to the base
> commander.. Because it went across state lines file a complaint with the US
> Postal authorities, i.e. they regulate UPS... And you can also file a
> complaint with the F.B.I. because he's in the millitary they handle it..
>
> The Scanner Dude CWO/5 Ret 24 Years :-)

Good response, I was going to say the exact
thing, beat me to it.

Landshark

--
Any day riding, is better than
being a newsgroupie

73 Baja Stroppe Bronco
98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
99 Ford F-150 Supercab 4X4 (antenna platform)

2726. Re: \\\\\\\\\\/////////// "Q" SEZ WHY ARE WE KILLING INNOCENT IRAQIS? FOR THEIR OIL! \\\\\\\\\\/////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 17:56:03 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <jW2dnUknI_LfOASjXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 32942

"Q" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message
news:3f9c5a49.3722741359@news.Q.everywhere...
> I live in Columbus Ohio and if you live anywhere near Columbus you can
help by visiting the Columbus Progressive Alliance:

Can I visit you personally a punch you in your Anti-American mouth?

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought
to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is banjocat - Gandalf Grey -
gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to
tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater

ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden /
David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Andy and Barry - Timetrav2@webtv.net - rec.radio.shortwave
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
Iraqi Information Minister)

The Newsest Moron is: banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't
back up his claims with credible sources)

2727. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 18:09:30 UTC
From: JT <k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <uJhma.466926$S_4.525024@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 32943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Satan!

"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
news:935B68AC3Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> How about you and the war ass holes go suck some camel dick!! take your
> fucking God, Jesus and the rest of your crap to a god and jesus group!!
> Feed the fucking god and Jesus people to the lions...
>
> k6tp@spamsuxattbi.com (JT) wrote in <xjJla.151746$OV.235584@rwcrnsc54>:
>
> >Worship Satan.
> >
> >"Antenna Head" <DXER@Radio> wrote in message
>
>news:bm9ub3RtZQ==.162b98b330a75ed9c3b52d422de5a2b8@1049252514.cotse.net...
> >> Erol Gray wrote:
> >>
> >> > "Hey, I can see my house from here"
> >> >
> >>
> >> A few points:
> >>
> >> 1. Since Jesus arose from the dead, and currently exists, He has yet to
> >> utter His last words. As a matter of fact, since He is eternal, He will
> >> never have any last words.
> >>
> >> 2. Some day you'll actually meet Jesus face-to-face, at which time you
> >> can try and convince Him that you meant no disrespect by your little
> >> juvenile "joke". Good luck. I strongly suggest you repent and follow
> >> Him before then.
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
> > >
>

2728. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 18:21:34 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <OUhma.62417$JI.20592104@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32944

"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
news:935C825ADYomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> Them fuggin rag-heads should be glad I'm not running the war!! I'd MOAB em
> into dust fuggem let there god sort em out. And take your war crap to the
> fuggin war group this is a scanner group you dum rag-head. Move your damn
> camel to the right news group.. what a moron

So you wanna be just like Saddam.

>
> nojunk@mail.com (WG) wrote in
> <jTBla.98498$B54.2732908@news1.telusplanet.net>:
>
> >The US and its coalition still haven't found any chemical or biological
> >weapons as of April 11th 2003. Iraq did disarm but they were invaded
> >anyway.
> >
> >Can we say the same about the biggest producers of weapons of mass
> >destruction, (the US)? Why doesn't the US practice what it preaches and
> >disarm itself?
> >
> >"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> >news:gKdka.64566$0X.12868416@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> >> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote
> >> > I just wonder if the US and England will be charged with war crimes
> >> > as
> >> that
> >> > they are the invading countries and they invaded without
> >> > provocation.
> >>
> >> (Read UN Resolutions 1441, 678, and 687. I won't bother to post them
> >here -
> >> they're on the net).
> >>
> >> > I do note that they have almost the entire country
> >> > and have not found any chemical or biological
> >> > weapons
> >>
> >> Tune into the news...
> >>
> >> > Iraq has never done anything to the US or any of its treaty allies.
> >>
> >> Oh? It was another Hollywood stunt?
> >>
> >> > Thus this makes it an illegal invasion subject to war crimes

> >> > charges.
> >>
> >> <sigh>
> >>
> >> > > > NPR Commentary: Illogical
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
>

2729. Re: *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 18:43:55 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E99AFEB.9050203@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 32847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

porky wrote:
> Is that to say that "all" CB equipment is junk

Pretty well sums it up.

2730. Re: software recommendation for BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 20:31:31 UTC
From: John Bacon <news@djbitz.com>
Message-ID: <DOjma.189505$0g4.5551117@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 32945

Also Try the Butel's version called ARC780
it's at www.butel.nl

JOHN
"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message
news:MnWdnRWjgdU4wwqjXTWcoA@comcast.com...
>
> What's good software to use with the BC780XLT?
> I want something that's easy to use. I have Unitrol for my 895, I want
> something else I can use, NOT Trunk Manager.
> anything out there?
> --
> Anthony Bonacarti
> anthony@mostlyrockets.com
> www.mostlyrockets.com
>
>

2731. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 20:45:03 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304131245.658a5d78@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jesus speaks every day. He is alive, not dead. I sure am glad we
have not heard his last words.

2732. FS: Uniden BC350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 20:47:06 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <PMCcnSmMONs_UQSjXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 32848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I bought it off eBay in an action with my 780.
I will not be using it so I would like to sell it to someone who will enjoy it.
I have pictures available for you.
It is the scanner, antenna and print out of the owners manual only. It does not have the feet or mounting bracket.
I will ship it UPS for free. I prefer paypal. My eBay id is anthonyb013, check my feedback if you wish
price is $50.

Anthony

2733. Scanner web-rings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 21:32:54 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935CB0D42Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32849

Only one thing to say :-)

CLICK

http://n.webring.com/hub?ring=scannercontrolso&id=1&hub

George

2734. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 21:38:06 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935CB6DD7Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32850

Yes I do if that would stop this bull shit war and god posting in the Scanner news groups!! It's to bad the world trade center was not full of telemarketers, god freaks and people like you with your damn posting in a news group no one wants to hear you in!!! I bet your IQ is what 10?? 12??

k9kz@indy.rr.com (DeWayne) wrote in
<OUhma.62417$JI.20592104@twister.neo.rr.com>:

>
>"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
>news:935C825ADYomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
>> Them fuggin rag-heads should be glad I'm not running the war!! I'd
>> MOAB em into dust fuggem let there god sort em out. And take your war
>> crap to the fuggin war group this is a scanner group you dum rag-head.
>> Move your damn camel to the right news group.. what a moron
>
>So you wanna be just like Saddam.
>
>>
>> nojunk@mail.com (WG) wrote in
>> <jTBla.98498$B54.2732908@news1.telusplanet.net>:
>>
>> >The US and its coalition still haven't found any chemical or
>> >biological weapons as of April 11th 2003. Iraq did disarm but they
>> >were invaded anyway.
>> >
>> >Can we say the same about the biggest producers of weapons of mass
>> >destruction, (the US)? Why doesn't the US practice what it preaches
>> >and disarm itself?
>> >
>> >"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
>> >news:gKdka.64566$0X.12868416@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>> >> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote
>> >> > I just wonder if the US and England will be charged with war
>> >> > crimes as
>> >> that
>> >> > they are the invading countries and they invaded without
>> >> > provocation.
>> >>
>> >> (Read UN Resolutions 1441, 678, and 687. I won't bother to post
>> >> them
>> >here -
>> >> they're on the net).
>> >>
>> >> > I do note that they have almost the entire country

>> >> > and have not found any chemical or biological
>> >> > weapons
>> >>
>> >> Tune into the news...
>> >>
>> >> > Iraq has never done anything to the US or any of its treaty
>> >> > allies.
>> >>
>> >> Oh? It was another Hollywood stunt?
>> >>
>> >> > Thus this makes it an illegal invasion subject to war crimes
>> >> > charges.
>> >>
>> >> <sigh>
>> >>
>> >> > > > NPR Commentary: Illogical
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
>

2735. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 21:41:06 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <SPkma.89003$0X.20309369@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32851

<BrianEng@world.net> wrote in message news:3E99623F.1F0EF443@world.net...
>
>
> Q wrote:
>
> > Aren't politics part of scanning? Every time you hear a police call,
every
> > time you listen to military frequencies, every time you listen to about
> > ANYTHING, it's about politics, in one way or another.
> > Do you listen to the TV and radio network frequencies - the reporters,
and
> > so forth? What, these days, are THEY talking about, for the most part?
The
> > police enforce laws, those laws are passed by politicians, and their
actions
> > reflect the mandates of the politicians.
> > Granted, there's been allot of posts regarding the war - but have you
also
> > blanked-out the military frequencies on your radio? If you've been
blocking
> > channels the way you want to block what you see in this group, I don't
see
> > how you are getting much enjoyment out of scanning, so your dropping out
of
> > this group would be no great loss.
> > I don't listen to certain frequencies myself, as some are rather
boring.
> > But like the choice you have of what frequencies you listen to, you also
can
> > use a kill filter to avoid the posts you have no interest in. As I
ignore
> > certain frequencies, I also ignore certain posts.

> My fellow scanner enthusiast "Q". I have a few questions for you. When
you go
> to church (you do?) do you talk scanners or world utility frequencies or
do you
> talk to your GOD???

When did a newsgroup become a church?

> I know, that's a hard one but I have every confidence that
> you will be able to answer. When I go to the voting booth or a political
rally
> I talk politics not scanners.

Funny. I talk about whatever anyone wants to talk about. After all, we all KNOW why we're there. I never heard a sould tell me that conversations that were not political were not allowed at a polling place. Thanks for the heads-up - next time I'm at the polls, I'll chastise everyone who talks about anything but politics. That may be a problem, though - most conversati ons are about anything BUT politics - weather, grocery prices, gas prices, even - GASP! - radio.

> What do they do in Columbus?

I've been all over the states, and many different countries, and never heard of any restrictions on conversation that was "off-topic". I've been to various conventions, and most of the conversation has nothing to do with the subject of the convention. I'm sure most have waited in line at motor vehicles, and other than complaints about the wait, I don't recall many people actually talking about why they were there.

> The police are hired by politicians to enforce laws that the politicians made.
> But, the police are not permitted by the code of ethics and in some cases law to
> take part in any political rally while on duty or in uniform.

You missed the point. Their very activity is political in nature.

> Come on "Q" and Whoever, I know you see what the majority of posters/readers in
> these radio groups are saying. We would just like to stop the "OFF" topic
> posting and get back to our hobby of radios.

First point, if that were so, there would be no responses to OT posts. Second, the complaints themself are OT. Third, as you have filters in your radio(s), you also have filters for NGs.

> You know there are groups for all subjects, we only ask
> that you or whoever take the off topic threads to the
> appropriate group it fits in.

So now we have censors? Nobody is flooding the NG with OT posts. I see several threads - and again, they wouldn't be there if people weren't responding and interested in the subject.

> I love my country, (hell, i'm an old Veteran) but don't have to talk about it
> where it doesn't fit in.

So don't.

> Please reconsider what you post and in which group.

Most of my "OT" posts have been responses to others. The bogus "Q" has been blasting his nonsense to many NGs. Again, if there was no interest in the topic, the threads would die. When you go to work, are you going to tell me that all you talk about at your job IS your job?

> God Bless America and My Fellow Americans

Well, we can at least agree on THAT.

:-)

2736. Re: \\\\\\\\\/////// "Q" SEZ WHY ARE WE KILLING INNOCENT IRAQIS? FOR THEIR OIL! \\\\\\\\\\/////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 21:42:21 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <1Rkma.89016$0X.20310424@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32852

"Q" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message
news:3fa0aae4.3743376020@news.alt.net...

> I am brain-dead, and living in Canada. Can anyone help me?

no.

2737. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// WE THE PEOPLE OPPOSE THE US INVASION OF IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 21:47:46 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <6Wkma.89058$0X.20314578@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32853

"porky" <porky@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Emfma.34429$D15.776693@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> If you oppose the war in Iraq, does that mean you are against freeing
> the tortured, impoverished, suppressed and "scared to death to speak out"
> citizens of Iraq, or that you are against us dethroning the murderous,
> torturous Iraqi regime? I'm not quite sure I understand where you stand?

Don't confuse him with facts.

> Please enlighten me. I know you have valuable things to say about this so
I
> want to completely understand your grounds for protest.

Brain damage.

> I don't know if you have been keeping up with the current events over
in
> Iraq but just to bring you up to date here, I have been seeing a lot of
> happy faced Iraqi citizens lately hugging, praising and embracing our
allied
> troops that are liberating them!

Irrelevant to him - just like the signs they held up telling the "wanker"
human shields to g ohome. They just don't get it - they've as much as SAID
they don't want that kind of help, so their point is, well, pointless.

> Gee, sure doesn't seem like we are doing something that is not
> needed and/or justified! Maybe you few protesters that
> have been looking for something to do other than being a good, patriotic
> citizen of this great, free country of ours, have selective vision and
> hearing.

And most are too young to have memories of Hitler or Stalin, and the way
they teach in schools these days, they probably never learned about those
times.

> Don't forget, it's battles just like this one that, over the many
> years of our existence as a "free nation", has secured our rights and
> freedoms. If, during the last 25 years, an Iraqi citizen would have spoken
> out such as you and your few ill advised comrades are doing, do you think
> they would have gotten away with these outbursts as you can and will?
Think
> about it. We were needed badly in Iraq. Thank God our President Bush
didn't
> knuckle under to his opposition in this controversial matter. Nuff said.

Yeah, and he didn't get involved in a military action to deflect interest away from getting caught with his pants down...

Go figure...

2738. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 21:50:27 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <DYkma.89078$0X.20317915@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32854

"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
news:935CB6DD7Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> Yes I do if that would stop this bull shit war and god posting in the
> Scanner news groups!! It's to bad the world trade center was not full of
> telemarketers, god freaks and people like you with your damn posting in a
> news group no one wants to hear you in!!! I bet your IQ is what 10?? 12??

I don't agree with the racist nonsense, but...aren't you expressing YOUR
freedom of speech, and responding to OT posts? Living in a glass house?
You're contributing to the thread, you know...

> >> >> > > > NPR Commentary: Illogical

2739. Re: Scanners and FM, AM Radio Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 22:05:27 UTC
From: Leonard Beecroft <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <Halma.13918$BQi.7076@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 32855

The DJ-X3 also picks up AM and FM radio stations.

2740. OT Uniden 785 D need help with software availability.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 22:21:22 UTC
From: "Whats up"@nowhere.net
Message-ID: <v9jokomef5271e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32856

Ok, I'm going nuts. I have the Uniden 785D, the programming cable, and a totally useless E-Scanner program from Uniden. If I get this correctly, to use the E-Scanner (classic), I have to buy the databases so that I can choose the desired frequencies and copy them, eventually, over to the 785D.

Or I can get an online software copy of BulTel's ACR-250, via a download. Or I can go to Scannermaster, and get a download.

How the hell do I get a version of anything on a friggin CD, so that I can keep of copy of it in case my computer crashes, being that I don't own a cd burner.

All I want to do is to be able to use my computer to write to the memories of the 785D, I don't want remote control of the radio, I don't want a spectrograph, I just want a relatively simple interface that costs less then $100, is available on CD, and that I can send a money order to purchase it with.

Why did Uniden include a totally useless program with the 785. Sheesh, the radio is expensive enough without all these games.

Any Help WILL BE GREATLY APPRECIATED.

Regards.

Never say never.
Nothing is absolute.

2741. ID Tracker Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 22:24:50 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <_qlma.28526$q2.20095@fe08.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 32857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ID Tracker has been updated. Improvements have been made to the
sound recording function. An audio playback function has been added
with time indexes. Please note that previous audio files must be removed
for the indexes to work properly.

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net or @arrl.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

2742. Re: Scanners and FM, AM Radio Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 22:39:21 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3e99e753.1708909@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 32858

The Yaesu VR-120 picks up AM and FM, and the AM reception is VERY
good.

On Sun, 13 Apr 2003 14:43:20 GMT, lost@aol.com wrote:

>Could someone explain why scanners do not pick up FM and AM commerical
>radio stations ? Sort of like a all in one reciever ?
>
>Are there any scanners that do ? For instance, the Uniden 250D I
>believe has continuous coverage from 25mhz to 1.3ghz. Does this mean
>that it can get the FM freq. of 88 to 107mhz ?

2743. Re: OT Uniden 785 D need help with software availability.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 22:41:51 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <PIlma.20297$g27.403025@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 32859

The different software programs available are VERY small. You don't need a CD burner. If you want to keep a copy as a back up, save it to a floppy. There are lots of good programs, many are free and others are inexpensive, well under $100.

I don't know what you mean about buying the database. All the frequencies you would ever want are all over the internet for free.

Good luck,

Jim

<Whats up@nowhere.net (Monologue)> wrote in message news:v9jokomef5271e@corp.supernews.com...
> Ok, I'm going nuts. I have the Uniden 785D, the programming cable, and a
> totally useless E-Scanner program from Uniden. If I get this correctly, to use
> the E-Scanner (classic), I have to buy the databases so that I can choose the
> desired frequencies and copy them, eventually, over to the 785D.
>
> Or I can get an online software copy of BulTel's ACR-250, via a download. Or I
> can go to Scannermaster, and get a download.
>
> How the hell do I get a version of anything on a friggin CD, so that I can
> keep of copy of it in case my computer crashes, being that I don't own a cd
> burner.
>
> All I want to do is to be able to use my computer to write to the memories of
> the 785D, I don't want remote control of the radio, I don't want a
> spectrograph, I just want a relatively simple interface that costs less then
> $100, is available on CD, and that I can send a money order to purchase it
> with.
>
> Why did Uniden include a totally useless program with the 785. Sheesh, the
> radio is expensive enough without all these games.
>
> Any Help WILL BE GREATLY APPRECIATED.
>
> Regards.
>

> Never say never.
> Nothing is absolute.

2744. Canton, OH TRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 22:53:07 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <nTlma.205160$Zo.39044@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 32860

According to www.scanohio.com, Canton's TRS is supposed to be part digital and part analog. When I was up there this weekend on a short trip, I didn't hear any of the analog talkgroups on this system. Boy, I wish I had a BC250D to take with me on this trip. Too rich for my blood though.

2745. Re: Scanner Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 23:00:46 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <y_lma.20415$g27.404190@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 32861

BC 780 XLT would be a great choice. A little more than $150 though. I did see one on this post a few days ago used for $225. Seems like a pretty good price. They are between $299 and $399 new, but all websites and stores seem to have them on back order right now. I don't know why.

Good luck,

Jim

"Andy" <andyshank@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:ba43b87e.0304121630.d6f0895@posting.google.com...
> Hello all, I'm a state trooper in Indiana and soon I'll be
> transferring to the Indianapolis area from the wonderful town of Gary.
> Most of the departments in the Indy area (Marion County) use what is
> called MECA (Metropolitan Emergency Communications Agency) which is an
> 800 Mhz Trunking system of 24 frequencies. I'm looking for a scanner
> I can use in my car that will be able to monitor the agencies in the
> area. Here are my requirements:
>
> 1) Must be able to monitor and follow the agencies' transmissions on
> MECA
> 2) Must have alphanumeric programming for each memory location so I
> can see who I'm listening to and not have to remember which department
> memory slot 47 is just from memory.
> 3) Must be able to be mounted in the center console of my car and
> powered by the car's 12v dc (i.e. not a handheld scanner)
> 4) Must be somewhat affordable! I'm not trying to spend over $150 or
> so.
>
> If anyone has any recommended brands, models, or stores to look into I
> would greatly appreciate it. I'm not huge into any one brand so I'm
> not above using some lesser-known brand as long as it works!
>
> Thanks in advance!
> Andy

2746. Re: Scanners and FM, AM Radio Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 23:16:32 UTC
From: M_K <radiobuff@NoSPAMnetzero.net>
Message-ID: <3E99EFD0.AC419A2@NoSPAMnetzero.net>
Source record: 32862

Actually, there are several reasons why broadcast AM/FM are a good
idea on a scanner(although admittantly, not crucial for most people)..

1.in some parts of the country, there is still worthwhile programming
on AM.

2.Even though the sound quality is not good, it IS handy to be able
to listen to the local music/talk/news/sports station without having
to carry an extra box.

3.In a emergency, the more frequencies covered, the better.
AND in a MAJOR emergency, AM will probably still be more reliable
as A source of news than FM.
(since in many major markets, the AM transmitters are outside of
town, while the FM transmitters tend to be right in the center of
town.
So an event that takes the FM ones out may well not take out the AM
stations.

4.AM travels further, as the frequencies used "skip" well at night, so
you may have a chance to get news from out of town, even if the local
stuff is down.

As an aside, to answer the poster's question-the better scanners DO get
broadcast-FM mostly, but some AM too(many of the ones that get AM also
receive short wave too(also useful both for convience, and for
emergencies).

The reason all scanners do not cover broadcast freqs-one word, MONEY.
It costs more to design a broadband receiver than one with gaps.
Also, for FM(and TV audio too) you need a wider filter, else distortion
will result(it's not as critical on TV, as the deviation is somewhat
narrower than that of FM radio, but it's still an issue).
So the scanner has to be able to select FM wide(another expense).
BTW, as I'm typing this, I'm listening to a local FM music station
on a Sony Wavehawk(ICF-SC1) scanner.

George Bush wrote:
>
> The better question would be "why would you want to??" all FM radio
> stations broadcast in stereo not mono like every scanner in the world is..
> And take that bubble gum out of your pocket AM?? this is the year 2003 not
> 1959.. The only thing on AM is god shows and talk shows.. buy a cheap $2.50
> radio and you have both AM & FM, is another good reasion why you don't have
> AM/FM on a scanner :-)
>

> lost@aol.com wrote in <6kti9v4m1got9er9hba167ntef2qhfh1nm@4ax.com>:
>
> >Could someone explain why scanners do not pick up FM and AM commerical
> >radio stations ? Sort of like a all in one reciever ?
> >
> >Are there any scanners that do ? For instance, the Uniden 250D I
> >believe has continuous coverage from 25mhz to 1.3ghz. Does this mean
> >that it can get the FM freq. of 88 to 107mhz ?
> >

2747. Re: Scanners and FM, AM Radio Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-13 23:57:32 UTC
From: Frank <lydzrobhel@lknpanmvxmejmmfz.com>
Message-ID: <01c30218$6d3e83b0$0125250a@lknpanmvxmejmmfz>
Source record: 32863

M_K <3E99EFD0.AC419A2@NoSPAMnetzero.net>...
^ Actually, there are several reasons why broadcast AM/FM are a good
^ idea on a scanner(although admittantly, not crucial for most people)..

Great reply M_K! You win the On Topic Post of the Month award!

Frank

2748. Re: Scanners and FM, AM Radio Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 00:33:52 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <QInma.41343$de.4135531@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 32864

"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
news:935C8BC48Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> The better question would be "why would you want to??" all FM radio
> stations broadcast in stereo not mono like every scanner in the world is..
> And take that bubble gum out of your pocket AM?? this is the year 2003 not
> 1959.. The only thing on AM is god shows and talk shows.. buy a cheap
$2.50
> radio and you have both AM & FM, is another good reasion why you don't
have
> AM/FM on a scanner :-)

Better yet with most stations having a feed into the computer, just set your
PC to receive what you would like to hear
particularly if you have high speed ADSL or cable feed. Most major stations
in North America have internet feeds.

I would agree that AM is only good for god shows and talk shows like Rush
Limbaugh and what otehr personally spins your
antenna. Some of the larger AM stations are now stereo.

The only other important purpose of AM stations (muich more important than
Rush Limbaugh) is broadcasting hockey games.

2749. Re: OT Uniden 785 D need help with software availability.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 00:53:25 UTC
From: "Whats up"@nowhere.net
Message-ID: <v9k1hrgjipmaa8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32865

I downloaded a program from BulTel Software (limited use free version), the program is 5Mb in size. The program from Uniden is 6MB in size. The BulTel programs go for $39 and $69, and the Uniden E-Scanner PLUS goes for $99.

I am probably missing something here, as I am very new to this, being more an aficionado of shortwave radios in the past then computer programming of police scanners. Due to the large number of channels that the 785 has, and the alpha-tagging capability, programming the scanner via the computer seems very attractive.

Apparently, as far as I can tell, the E-Scanner free version that comes with the 785D from Uniden requires access to an organized database, tailored either by Uniden or by a Communication magazine that they name, specifically for the frequencies available in the area that you decide. In both cases, you have to pay for the database. When you have the database, you load the database into the free E-Scanner software, select the frequencies that you want, and they are copied and pasted into another section of the E-Scanner program. From this second section, you can edit the entries, and then, when done, load them into the Radio's memories.

Here's the catch, Uniden offers the E-Scanner Plus that permits "the manual entering of frequencies and Alpha Tags" into the software program. How nice. Unless I'm wrong, without an additional purchase, the thing that Uniden supplies with their $350 scanner is nothing more than a Frisbee.

All I want is to see essentially a spreadsheet, enter the requisite data, and upload it to the appropriate scanner memories.

If you or anyone else know of a simple program to do this, I would be grateful for a link to the page.

Thanks.

Jim

In article <PIlma.20297$g27.403025@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>, "Jim Cassidy" <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com> wrote:
>The different software programs available are VERY small. You don't need a
>CD burner. If you want to keep a copy as a back up, save it to a floppy.
>There are lots of good programs, many are free and others are inexpensive,
>well under $100.
>
>I don't know what you mean about buying the database. All the frequencies
>you would ever want are all over the internet for free.
>
>Good luck,
>

>Jim

Never say never.
Nothing is absolute.

2750. Re: OT Uniden 785 D need help with software availability.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 01:40:17 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5koma.21633$g27.413365@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 32867

I can't speak for the 785, but I have the 780 and the software packages for it, including the BulTel ARC780 are under 1 MB in size. I'm not sure why the 785 software would be so large. There are several others out there and they are small too.

If BulTel 785 software works the same as their ARC780, you don't have to worry about loosing it if your hard drive crashes. You can always download it again. When you pay, they give you a KEY to unlock the demo version and make it a full version. Just print out your KEY number and keep it safe.

As far as your other comments, here is how the 780 works and I think the 785 would be similar. You can go to each channel on the radio and put in each freq or trunk and then put in alpha tags. This would be slow and cumbersome. The other option is to put the same info into a program and download them into the radio via a serial port and cable. It's that simple. You can get the Frequencies, Trunks and Alpha tags from many different sources on the internet. No need to buy them.

I think Uniden has a program where you pay them and they will download them to you. I don't see why you would want or need to do that. They are just looking for a couple of bucks for something that is free. You would also do well to find local clubs that keep the local frequencies list up to date. It would be more accurate than the Uniden list they want to sell you.

Good luck,

Jim

<Whats up@nowhere.net (Monologue)> wrote in message
news:v9k1hrgjipmaa8@corp.supernews.com...
> I downloaded a program from BulTel Software (limited use free version),
the
> program is 5Mb in size. The program from Uniden is 6MB in size. The
BulTel
> programs go for $39 and $69, and the Uniden E-Scanner PLUS goes for $99.
>
> I am probably missing something here, as I am very new to this, being more
an
> aficionado of shortwave radios in the past then computer programming of
police
> scanners. Due to the large number of channels that the 785 has, and the
> alpha-tagging capability, programming the scanner via the computer seems
very
> attractive.
>
> Apparently, as far as I can tell, the E-Scanner free version that comes

with
> the 785D from Uniden requires access to an organized database, tailored
either
> by Uniden or by a Communication magazine that they name, specifically for
the
> frequencies available in the area that you decide. In both cases, you have
to
> pay for the database. When you have the database, you load the database
into
> the free E-Scanner software, select the frequencies that you want, and
they
> are copied and pasted into another section of the E-Scanner program. From
this
> second section, you can edit the entries, and then, when done, load them
into
> the Radio's memories.
>
> Here's the catch, Uniden offers the E-Scanner Plus that permits "the
manual
> entering of frequencies and Alpha Tags" into the software program. How
nice.
> Unless I'm wrong, without an additional purchase, the thing that Uniden
> supplies with their $350 scanner is nothing more than a Frisbee.
>
> All I want is to see essentially a spreadsheet, enter the requisite data,
and
> upload it to the appropriate scanner memories.
>
> If you or anyone else know of a simple program to do this, I would be
grateful
> for a link to the page.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jim
>
> In article <PIlma.20297$g27.403025@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>, "Jim Cassidy"
> <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com> wrote:
> >The different software programs available are VERY small. You don't need
a
> >CD burner. If you want to keep a copy as a back up, save it to a floppy.
> >There are lots of good programs, many are free and others are
inexpensive,
> >well under $100.
> >
> >I don't know what you mean about buying the database. All the frequencies
> >you would ever want are all over the internet for free.
> >
> >Good luck,
> >

> >Jim
>
> Never say never.
> Nothing is absolute.

2751. Re: Canton, OH TRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 02:32:24 UTC
From: Scott Berringer <scanman@scanland.org>
Message-ID: <Xns935CE54869387scanmanscanlandorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32868

Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com> wrote in news:nTlma.205160$Zo.39044
@sccrnsc03:

> According to www.scanohio.com, Canton's TRS is supposed to be part
> digital and part analog. When I was up there this weekend on a short
> trip, I didn't hear any of the analog talkgroups on this system. Boy, I
> wish I had a BC250D to take with me on this trip. Too rich for my blood
> though.
>
>

The police & Fire units are ASTRO Digital. Most of the Police stuff is also
encrypted. The only Analog groups are the public service groups. Water
Dept, Streets, etc.....

2752. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 02:44:08 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Yfpma.63072$JI.21099467@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32869

"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
news:935CB6DD7Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> Yes I do if that would stop this bull shit war and god posting in the
> Scanner news groups!! It's to bad the world trade center was not full of
> telemarketers, god freaks and people like you with your damn posting in a
> news group no one wants to hear you in!!! I bet your IQ is what 10?? 12??

If your IQ was just a little higher you would know you're doing exactly what
you're accusing others of doing :)

>
> k9kz@indy.rr.com (DeWayne) wrote in
> <OUhma.62417$JI.20592104@twister.neo.rr.com>:
>
> >
> >"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
> >news:935C825ADYomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> >> Them fuggin rag-heads should be glad I'm not running the war!! I'd
> >> MOAB em into dust fuggem let there god sort em out. And take your war
> >> crap to the fuggin war group this is a scanner group you dum rag-head.
> >> Move your damn camel to the right news group.. what a moron
> >
> >So you wanna be just like Saddam.
> >
> >>
> >> nojunk@mail.com (WG) wrote in
> >> <jTBla.98498$B54.2732908@news1.telusplanet.net>:
> >>
> >> >The US and its coalition still haven't found any chemical or
> >> >biological weapons as of April 11th 2003. Iraq did disarm but they
> >> >were invaded anyway.
> >> >
> >> >Can we say the same about the biggest producers of weapons of mass
> >> >destruction, (the US)? Why doesn't the US practice what it preaches
> >> >and disarm itself?
> >> >
> >> >"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:gKdka.64566$0X.12868416@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> >> >> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote
> >> >> > I just wonder if the US and England will be charged with war
> >> >> > crimes as
> >> >> that
> >> >> > they are the invading countries and they invaded without
> >> >> > provocation.
> >> >>

> >> >> (Read UN Resolutions 1441, 678, and 687. I won't bother to post
> >> >> them
> >> >here -
> >> >> they're on the net).
> >> >>
> >> >> > I do note that they have almost the entire country
> >> >> > and have not found any chemical or biological
> >> >> > weapons
> >> >>
> >> >> Tune into the news...
> >> >>
> >> >> > Iraq has never done anything to the US or any of its treaty
> >> >> > allies.
> >> >>
> >> >> Oh? It was another Hollywood stunt?
> >> >>
> >> >> > Thus this makes it an illegal invasion subject to war crimes
> >> >> > charges.
> >> >>
> >> >> <sigh>
> >> >>
> >> >> > > > NPR Commentary: Illogical
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
>

2753. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 03:00:45 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <xvpma.90382$0X.20654947@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32870

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Yfpma.63072$JI.21099467@twister.neo.rr.com...
>
> "George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
> news:935CB6DD7Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> > Yes I do if that would stop this bull shit war and god posting in the
> > Scanner news groups!! It's to bad the world trade center was not full of
> > telemarketers, god freaks and people like you with your damn posting in
a
> > news group no one wants to hear you in!!! I bet your IQ is what 10??
12??
>
> If your IQ was just a little higher you would know you're doing exactly
what
> you're accusing others of doing :)

lol...

"Lying is forbidden in Iraq. President Saddam Hussein will tolerate nothing
but truthfulness as he is a man of great honor and integrity. Everyone is
encouraged to speak freely of the truths evidenced in their eyes and
hearts."

Mohammed Saeed al-Sahaf, Iraqi Minister of Information

2754. scanner differences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 03:17:23 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <EaWdnUq9sa6FtQejXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 32871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

--
I set my scanners bc895xlt and the 780xlt in trunking mode.
They are receiving the same ID but they display different ID numbers. not on
all just about 4 or 5 of them.
The 895 is off the 780 match to the list I have.
anyone else experience this?
Anthony
anthony@mostlyrockets.com
www.mostlyrockets.com

2755. Re: Data Slicer on the BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 03:18:49 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <aYmcnTgY9MDytQejXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 32872

Thanks George, that's a great site.
much appreciated
Anthony
"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
news:935C896EAYomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> Here you go Tony
> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/mods.htm
> easy as that
> George
>
> anthony@mostlyrockets.com (Anthony B.) wrote in
> <WYKcnVdcoPi9XQWjXTWcqQ@comcast.com>:
>
> >
> >Do I need a capacitor like used for the discriminator output?
> >Also I have seen multiple web sites all have different slicer tap
points.
> >do I do one or two tap points?
> >thanks for any help
> >Anthony
> >--
> >
> >
> >
>

2756. FS: Pro-2004 w/mods and a DISCRIMINATOR JACK...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 04:25:35 UTC
From: nick and moe <renauds@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <j6oma.4204$EK2.143715@wagner.videotron.net>
Source record: 32866

Sweet sweet scanner. Pro-2004 with the cell mod and 400channel mod and a squelch mod. This unit has also been outfitted with a discriminator jack. Built like a rock.
Best offers.

2757. Re: OT Uniden 785 D need help with software availability.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 04:30:16 UTC
From: iexbetarocks@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1c927739.0304132030.1e70b617@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32873

Try going here:
http://www.strongsignals.net/access/boards/frequency/board.cgi

Scroll down to Related Links. There will be links to the FCC website where you can get frequency info for free. Also, lot of scanner clubs from all over the country are listed. Strong Signals is a really good site. As long as the FCC data is available for nothing, I'll never PAY to get the info. In addition, www.airnav.com has all the FAA freqs you may need.

If you're really desperate, go to Radio Shack and get a copy of Police Call 2003. It comes with a CD of all the frquency data nationwide. I find the info horribly outdated at times, but some people swear by it.

I have never used computer software with my scanner. Anyway, I really don't want Windows interacting with a $1300 investment (250D, 785D, digital cards). All it takes is one power surge. Zapp! To each his/her own, I guess.

Also, ARC250 is available on a CD from butel.nl, but they jack the price up $15(US) to do it. Gougers!

73s

2758. Re: OT Uniden 785 D need help with software availability.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 04:36:49 UTC
From: iexbetarocks@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1c927739.0304132036.7a1a55ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32874

Forgot to add this link. Several software programs listed. Good luck ;)

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/content/software.html

2759. Re: Shut the F....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 07:53:43 UTC
From: "QQ.invalid" <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <dc5372455f48461aa7613d4a058896ef.124750@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 32875

yeesh

--
Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

2760. FS: 80CH UHF PORTABLES & EXTRA'S!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 08:27:01 UTC
From: David & Daphne Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <g6sk9vs0tcdam8ijfsf0r90m5ilmdpnncr@4ax.com>
Source record: 32893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

(2) Midland Model 70-255B 80ch *UHF* SCAN 2/5watt 450-470mhz,
(2) Model 70-C06 Rapid Chargers, (2) Leather Cases with belt-loop ,
(2) Model 70-M188 Lapel Spkr-mics with clip on back,
(4) 70-B34 High Capacity Batteries 7.5v @ 1800mha!!
2- NEW-IN BOX, 2- Used approx. 40hrs
(2) stubby UHF antennas.

All items are in excellent to perfect condition rates 9-10 or better. Org. boxes and instructions for chargers. Radios are "FULLY PROGRAMABLE" and include options like a back-lit display and priority function. Full spec sheet included. Radios are fully functional "except" the DTMF pad of which is only decorative cover. Ch's 1-3 are on repeater freqs while Ch 4-11 are on "talk-around" or "simplex" freqs. Multi-digital pics avail. Perfect for hunting, fishing, security and many other applications.

$200USD + shipping

2761. Re: AOR AR-2800 problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 09:06:52 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK (http://www.javiation.co.uk)" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3GF8cBBsonm+EwGw@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 32876
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3e9809a8$0$153$edfadb0f@dtext01.news.tele.dk>, Brian Vind
<_bv@oz1bv.dk_> writes

>A schematic of the scanner would also be a great help.

You might try asking AOR UK as they may be able to help as I'm sure they
may still be able to supply a a copy:

http://www.aoruk.com

I'm sure they would also be able to take a look at it for you should you
wish send it to them although I realise you appear to be in Denmark.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
01274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2762. Re: AOR AR-2800 problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 09:14:53 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK (http://www.javiation.co.uk)" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <sW96YPBNwnm+EwFI@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 32877
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3e9837cb.18573609@news.cis.dfn.de>, J. <nordland37@yahoo.fr>
writes

>A R 2 8 0 0 ?

Desktop/Mobile receiver housed in the same casing as the AR2500 and
earlier AR950- same "features" as AR1500 that included a BFO for SSB.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
01274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2763. Re: Scanners and FM, AM Radio Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 11:33:21 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAC014C1.16D5A%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32878

"lost@aol.com" wrote:
>
> Could someone explain why scanners do not pick
> up FM and AM commerical radio stations ? Sort
> of like a all in one reciever ?

Tradition is probably the best answer. Of course, the earliest scanners were AM, but were mainly targeted towards business and government agencies (neither of which had much use for broadcast AM).

Later, during the switch to higher frequency FM for communications, scanners with FM quickly dominated the marketplace. At this point, we started to see scanners targeted more towards consumers. Sadly, broadcast AM (520-1710 kHz) was located well below the frequencies covered by those scanners, so was left behind. Beyond the cost of adding AM to a mainly FM scanner, the audio quality was simply not that great for music reception (which is probably why broadcast FM was also rarely added).

However, AM did eventually return to scanners. Of course, it was AM within the frequencies easily covered by these scanners - the VHF AM frequencies used mainly by aircraft.

Interestingly, just as desktop and mobile scanners reached a stage where it became relatively easy and cheap to add broadcast AM/FM, with more beginning to appear with that, consumers generally refocused their attention to handheld scanners.

Of course, things are changing today. It is now fairly easy to add more and more to even handheld scanners, with better speakers to improve audio quality. Obviously, low cost scanners remain centered around the traditional frequencies (the tradition I mentioned above). But, on the more expensive scanners, you will now find very wide frequency coverage, including medium-wave (mainly broadcast AM), shortwave, VHF/UHF, broadcast FM, and even television audio reception.

> (snip) the Uniden 250D I believe has continuous
> coverage from 25mhz to 1.3ghz. Does this mean that
> it can get the FM freq. of 88 to 107mhz ?

Download the owner's manual here...

http://www.usascan.com/files/manuals.html

...for complete details on this scanner (many other owner's manuals are also available on this site).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2764. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 11:49:29 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAC01888.16D5C%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32879

"Never anonymous Bud" wrote:
>
> There are REASONS why there are topics to newsgroups.

Yes, so people with similar interests can gather to discuss whatever is on their minds. Since when did that outlaw anything not specifically listed in the newsgroup title?

> SO, XXXX YES this group SHOULD be limited to posts
> about scanners!

Actually, if you're that concerned, posts talking about off-topic posts probably outnumber the off-topic posts in this newsgroup.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2765. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 13:33:41 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030414093341.04559.00000668@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 32880

> Is banjo production of interest to the typical scanner user? No! The war
>this nation is involved in at the moment is

And what made you the authority on what interests scanner listeners? It might amaze you, but most homes here in the US actually have TV's. We can watch MSNBC or CNN or Fox News...

>This newsgroup is a means of
>communications between scanner users. To limit that communications to just
>scanners if rather narrowly focused, don't you think?

No. That's the purpose of the newsgroup - to discuss SCANNER RELATED issues.

>Taken even a little
>further, your position would exclude messages about frequencies (this is not
>scanners), power adapters (not scanners), antennas (not scanners), and so
>on.

Scanners are used to monitor frequencies, power adapters are used to power scanners and antennas are used to recieve the frequencies on the scanners.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

2766. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 13:37:45 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030414093745.04559.00000669@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 32881

>Okay, I'll gladly do that just as soon as you show me a newsgroup for
>scanner users to talk to other scanner users about significant events in the
>world. I looked, but I didn't find rec.radio.scannerusers.discussion or
>anything even similar to that.

Check out the alt.politics newsgroups. I am sure you'll find people that will
talk about "significant events in the world" and you won't be limited to
scanner users!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

2767. Re: AOR AR-2800 problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 14:24:19 UTC
From: nordland37@yahoo.fr
Message-ID: <3e9ac44f.16937030@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 32882
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Apr 2003 10:14:53 +0100, "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK (http://www.javiation.co.uk)" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk> wrote:

>In article <3e9837cb.18573609@news.cis.dfn.de>, J. <nordland37@yahoo.fr>
>writes
>
>>A R 2 8 0 0 ?
>
>Desktop/Mobile receiver housed in the same casing as the AR2500 and
>earlier AR950- same "features" as AR1500 that included a BFO for SSB.

Indeed? I have an AR8200 which caused the confusion. I'll go and
lie down for a bit...

--
Posted by J.

2768. FA: Uniden Bearcat BC60XLT-1 eBay CHEAP CHEAP CHEAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 15:19:14 UTC
From: auctionri2003@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ae65ec4e.0304140719.4567a81d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32883

This Uniden Bearcat BC60XLT-1 30 Channel Hand held Police scanner is pretty much new. It has no scratches or dents on it. It comes with the plug, directions and box. It's been laying around in my closet in the box for the past year. The box has a little tear in it. Here's a description of the scanner: -30 Channels, 10 Bands -20 to 512 Megahertz -New Programmable Chain Search -Priority Channel -Full Frequency LCD Display -One Touch Weather -Channel Lockout -Low Battery Indicator -Keypad Lock Feature -AC/DC Operation -Manual Channel Access -Track Tuning -Built-In Scan Delay -Memory Backup

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=3018280899

2769. Re: ////////////////////// "Q" SEZ TAKE ACTION AGAINST US and UK WAR CRIMINALS \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 16:31:47 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304140831.7d006d30@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32884

What antiwar protest. They couldn't get enough people to have a real protest. The support your troops meetings have drawn 10 times as many people. The last poll in San Francisco showed overwhelming support for the war. Get real.

2770. Space Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 16:58:13 UTC
From: Pat Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3E9AE8A5.3F77E920@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 32885

Transmitting right now on 145.800 1656Z or 1256 PM 4/14 K4AVO

2771. Space Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 17:04:03 UTC
From: Pat Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3E9AEA02.754EF305@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 32886

Just heard the International Space Station on a pass over the eastern seaboard of the United States. Tracked the ISS from the Gulf of Mexico out over Cape Cod. Good signal on the 145.800 mhz downlink. In QSO with students I assume. pcox, K4AVO (All Icom)

2772. Bearcat BC-2500XLT F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 17:16:39 UTC
From: Radio <gadgetgru@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3E9AECF7.5050001@netscape.net>
Source record: 32887

Hi,
I have a Uniden Bearcat BC2500XLT in fair to good condition for sale,
Comes with manual, antenna, belt clip and a new NiMH battery pack
Can send pics if you are interested
$150
e-mail me directly if you are interested
gadgetgru@netscape.net
Thanks

2773. FA: Icom TV-R7100 TV/FM Adapter on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 17:52:48 UTC
From: cozyoak@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030414135248.26027.00001518@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 32888

For auction and ending today (4/14/03), is a MINT Icom TV-R7100 adapter for your R7100 and R8500 receivers. This gives your receiver the capability to receive video signals via a monitor. Also provides FM stereo. Output of the adapter is RCA

For further information go to the following link . .

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40061&item=3017636247

Jim Peters
Portland, Oregon
cozyoak@aol.com

2774. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 18:44:07 UTC
From: Wayne Daniels <wdaniels@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 32889
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

> CNN is a disgrace -

Yeah, I especially like the part about once we pass this imaginary red line around Baghdad, that Iraq would start using the chemical weapons they don't have against us.
http://www.counterpunch.org/rooij04112003.html

Has anyone in U.S. read history of Iraq?
Dubai | By Neena Gopal | 12/04/2003

If there are lessons that the U.S. administration must learn, they are the lessons of Iraq's history, replete with storied tales of bloody uprisings, brutal repression and deceit. There is no need to turn the pages too far.

Pre-Baathist, so called free Iraq, saw some half a dozen governments come and go in the space of 40 years, marked by the particularly horrific regicide of the youthful King Faisal II, who was dismembered and dragged through the streets of Baghdad. He was seen, as was his father, as a British puppet, and therefore unacceptable.

Certainly, Saddam Hussain's acceptance by the Iraqi people in the early years stemmed from his systematic
suppression of all opposition, but it was also partly because Saddam was the first Iraqi leader who was seen as
having come up the ranks, and partly because the socialist ideology of the Baathists that he initially espoused held out the promise of an equitable distribution of Iraq's oil wealth.

"From the seventies onwards, Baghdad had expressways, free education and health care, Iraqis had a higher
standard of living than anyone in the Arab world," says Ahmed Samarrai, a young Iraqi economist who lives in the UAE, and a strong critic of U.S. intervention in his homeland.

The U.S. must pause to reflect on whether it would be more prudent to tackle the pressing issues of restoring peace and order, and supplies of clean water, electricity, food and medicine rather than rush to impose a government, that would be seen anyway, as tainted by Washington's long shadow.

2775. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 18:44:59 UTC
From: Wayne Daniels <wdaniels@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3E9B01EA.8289E879@hotmail.com>
Source record: 32890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Gill wrote:

> Jesus speaks every day. He is alive, not dead. I sure am glad we
> have not heard his last words.

I want some of the stuff you are on right now. Where did you get it?

2776. Re: Data Slicer on the BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 19:21:09 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <CSDma.79$VM2.46@fed1read07>
Source record: 32891

Yeah great site.

Hey "George" I noticed that on your "great site" you got a letter from Pozilla. In it, it says they offered you a refund and you didn't take it. How come? Maybe cause you're a moron?

Mmmm hmmm. Thought so.

I have the software and it works. It's not perfect (none of them are) but it does work. Other people in this group concur. Some like it, some don't but all agree that it worked, or they got their money back if it didn't work for them. Only you seem to believe your own spew.

Plus their website is up, and their support is answering email. It's slow, but they are answering. YOU however, want everyone to believe they're not. Why is that? What did they do to you other than offer you a refund when it became obvious you were just too stupid to use the software?

What are the odds that even after "George" gets his refund he'll still use the software, still beg for warez, and still post his bullshit lies? If I ran Pozilla I woudn't give "George" a 10 cent food stamp.

-me

"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message
news:aYmcnTgY9MDytQejXTWcrg@comcast.com...
> Thanks George, that's a great site.
> much appreciated
> Anthony
> "George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
> news:935C896EAYomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> > Here you go Tony
> > http://webpages.charter.net/moment/mods.htm
> > easy as that
> > George
> >
> > anthony@mostlyrockets.com (Anthony B.) wrote in
> > <WYKcnVdcoPi9XQWjXTWcqQ@comcast.com>:
> >
> > >
> > >Do I need a capacitor like used for the discriminator output?
> > >Also I have seen multiple web sites all have different slicer tap
> points.
> > >do I do one or two tap points?
> > >thanks for any help
> > >Anthony

> > >--
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

2777. Re: OT Uniden 785 D need help with software availability.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 19:47:45 UTC
From: Eric Jensen <spam@spam.com>
Message-ID: <3e9b11ad$0$49102$e4fe514c@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 32892

According to butels website they now also have ARC250 cd's available. Call their dealer scannermaster and ask for the cd version...

<Whats up@nowhere.net (Monologue)> schreef in bericht news:v9jokomef5271e@corp.supernews.com...
> Ok, I'm going nuts. I have the Uniden 785D, the programming cable, and a
> totally useless E-Scanner program from Uniden. If I get this correctly, to use
> the E-Scanner (classic), I have to buy the databases so that I can choose the
> desired frequencies and copy them, eventually, over to the 785D.
>
> Or I can get an online software copy of BulTel's ACR-250, via a download. Or I
> can go to Scannermaster, and get a download.
>
> How the hell do I get a version of anything on a friggin CD, so that I can
> keep of copy of it in case my computer crashes, being that I don't own a cd
> burner.
>
> All I want to do is to be able to use my computer to write to the memories of
> the 785D, I don't want remote control of the radio, I don't want a
> spectrograph, I just want a relatively simple interface that costs less then
> $100, is available on CD, and that I can send a money order to purchase it
> with.
>
> Why did Uniden include a totally useless program with the 785. Sheesh, the
> radio is expensive enough without all these games.
>
> Any Help WILL BE GREATLY APPRECIATED.
>
> Regards.
>
> Never say never.
> Nothing is absolute.

2778. Antenna Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 21:16:59 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935DA70E1Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32894

We have been ding some antenna testing over the last 5 months and so far this is whar we have found.

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/scantenna.htm

George

2779. Re: Illogical reasoning of a war against Iraq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 21:17:47 UTC
From: David Starr <davestarr2@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <t49m9vg5rfudneclddchr52btn0kk1eokb@4ax.com>
Source record: 32895

On Mon, 14 Apr 2003 03:00:45 GMT, "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote:

>"Lying is forbidden in Iraq. President Saddam Hussein will tolerate nothing
>but truthfulness as he is a man of great honor and integrity. Everyone is
>encouraged to speak freely of the truths evidenced in their eyes and
>hearts."
>
>Mohammed Saeed al-Sahaf, Iraqi Minister of Information
>

Y'know, I miss seeing ol' Baghdad Bob on the TV. He was good for a
lot of laughs. Anybody that can lie like that withb a straight face
would have a heck of a future in politics. :-)

For a list of his quotes & a link to a place selling t-shirts & coffee
mugs with his quotes on them.

www.welovetheiraqiinformationminister.com

Not connected in any way to the site; I just think it's a hoot.

- -
Professional Shop Rat: 13,961 days in a GM plant.
- -

2780. Re: Antenna Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 21:42:21 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <_WFma.126$VM2.84@fed1read07>
Source record: 32896

What you've been doing is spamming this newsgroup and trolling for warez.
The "5 month" review looks more like a "5 Minute" one to me.

Just say no to losers who beg for warez.

-me

"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
news:935DA70E1Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> We have been ding some antenna testing over the last 5 months and so far
> this is whar we have found.
>
> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/scantenna.htm
>
> George

2781. Re: Antenna Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 22:26:13 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935DB98CAYomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32797

well there are morons and then theirs you!! We don't need any socalled
wazes we own all our software. And I think are boss would flip out if he
saw something *WE* bust people for on any of our systems.. Now run along
child no one want to hear you.

George

me@none.com (me) wrote in <_WFma.126$VM2.84@fed1read07>:

>What you've been doing is spamming this newsgroup and trolling for warez.
>The "5 month" review looks more like a "5 Minute" one to me.
>
>Just say no to losers who beg for warez.
>
>-me
>
>"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
>news:935DA70E1Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
>> We have been ding some antenna testing over the last 5 months and so far
>> this is whar we have found.
>>
>> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/scantenna.htm
>>
>> George
>
>
>

2782. Re: Antenna Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-14 23:40:39 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <TFHma.159$VM2.29@fed1read07>
Source record: 32798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

"I think are boss".... ROTFLMAO!!!

What a friggin 'tard.

"*WE* bust people for"? Who is "WE"? You and your sock puppet?

You're a joke and a maroon.

Just say no to losers who beg for warez. YES I'm talking about YOU.

-me

"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
news:935DB98CAYomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> well there are morons and then theirs you!! We don't need any socalled
> wazes we own all our software. And I think are boss would flip out if he
> saw something *WE* bust people for on any of our systems.. Now run along
> child no one want to hear you.
>
> George
>
>
> me@none.com (me) wrote in <_WFma.126$VM2.84@fed1read07>:
>
> >What you've been doing is spamming this newsgroup and trolling for warez.
> >The "5 month" review looks more like a "5 Minute" one to me.
> >
> >Just say no to losers who beg for warez.
> >
> >-me
> >
> >"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
> >news:935DA70E1Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> >> We have been ding some antenna testing over the last 5 months and so
far
> >> this is whar we have found.
> >>
> >> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/scantenna.htm
> >>
> >> George
> >
> >
> >
>

2783. Buyer Beware: Avoid "letsgodigital" online store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 00:23:53 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <tilma.72536$Xd1.25009490@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 32799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I recently became aware of an unethical online store known as the "Let's Go Digital Superstore" (www.ldgsuperstore.com)

I ordered a Sony ICF-SW7600GR portable shortwave receiver from them, and when they confirmed the order by e-mail, they stated "will ship within 24 hours", which is also what their order status web page showed. After three days, no shipping info. When I inquired, they replied, "the order was sent to the warehouse for shipping; we will have tracking information in 2-4 business days". OK, not that bad, but what's up with the "24 hours" thing?

After complaining about their inaccurate shipping status information, they sent me a web page that indicated "Usually ships in 2-3 days". OK, fair enough, but then on that page the price was $10 lower than what I just paid a few days before! I requested that they refund the difference according to their price-matching policy. They replied that the current price is still what I paid, even though the advertised price on their page was $10 less!

This warranted a little research...when I checked their shipping cost, they quoted shipping as $10 HIGHER than what I paid, making the total the same, but making the unit price APPEAR cheaper. That's a little too much game-playing in my opinion. Incidentally, the shipping cost was $27 for UPS Ground from NY to NJ! Ridiculous.

My advice: Avoid "ldgsuperstore", which is a Dealznet site. Look for a more reputable source. I do a lot of online ordering, and I've never dealt with such an unscrupulous outfit. Overall, not a big deal, I admit, but it felt sleazy. Your mileage may vary.

2784. Local Stores in NJ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 00:43:59 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <vDmdnZGU9NQEyAajXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 32800

I live in Bergen County, NJ.
I need to know if there is a good electronic store close by I can get an antenna from.
I need a decent hand held telescopic type unit. I want to go and get one tomorrow, Wednesday 4/15/03.

Thanks
Anthony Bonacarti
anthony@mostlyrockets.com
www.mostlyrockets.com

2785. Re: Local Stores in NJ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 00:50:52 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <pjensen@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <v9mmb6dla8kh29@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32801

> I live in Bergen County, NJ.
> I need to know if there is a good electronic store close by I can get an
> antenna from.
> I need a decent hand held telescopic type unit. I want to go and get one
> tomorrow, Wednesday 4/15/03.

Radio Shack has one for around ten bucks that I've always been pretty happy
with.

2786. Interested In Putting Your Scanner Online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 01:01:41 UTC
From: Online Scanner Listener <Do_You_Listen_To_Scanners_Online@LiveScannerAudioYahoogroups.com>
Message-ID: <aSIma.2647$8T6.222008@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 32802
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You can learn how to do so at Http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LiveScannerAudio

You can do it for free if ya know what you're doing.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.470 / Virus Database: 268 - Release Date: 08/04/2003

2787. Re: ////////////////////// "Q" SEZ TAKE ACTION AGAINST US and UK WAR CRIMINALS \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 01:20:37 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9mnj3r8vui717@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32803

One need only follow the money trail to determine the source of the protests. In this case they all lead back to socialist groups.

The groups play on the emotions of the young people, especially the women. The guys follow naturally as they have learned that the easiest way to get some trim is to attend a protest with the lady of their choice.

War protests are bogus, created by the same socialists that have failed to inculcate their brand of utopia in any part of the world...

"Gill" <glineberry@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:b234376e.0304140831.7d006d30@posting.google.com...
> What antiwar protest. They couldn't get enough people to have a real
> protest. The support your troops meetings have drawn 10 times as many
> people. The last poll in San Francisco showed overwhelming support
> for the war. Get real.

2788. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 01:42:31 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304141558.27b5fa69@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm so glad you asked. I get it at my church. It is wonderful. YOu
should get some and reap the benefits.

Wayne Daniels <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<3E9B01EA.8289E879@hotmail.com>...
> I want some of the stuff you are on right now. Where did you get it?

2789. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// NO BLOOD FOR OIL WITHDRAW FROM IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 01:45:18 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304141623.6d7451b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32805

Better find something new to whine about. In fact get some french cheese to go with that french whine, American's are already meeting with Iraqi citizens to help them form a government. There will be no blood for oil. It will take money, food & medicine.

2790. Re: Bill Cheek's Scanner FA Ends Monday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 01:45:51 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304141628.2389300f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32806

I was tempted to bid. I think it would be interesting to see all of
the modifications and add ons that he did on the scanner. gill

r_55@webtv.net wrote in message
news:<79-3E98BA96-535@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3017108140&category=14956

2791. Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 02:04:01 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9mq5jbr5u4550@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32807
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just wondering if there is a kind sole out there, that would risk their job, to run some tags for me? I don't necessarily need the whole works like address, etc (thought it would be nice!), but I'm mostly looking the name of the owner...

Michigan tag, 462 XNH

Would be greatly appreciated!
PH

2792. Re: OT Uniden 785 D need help with software availability.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 02:10:49 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <LKJma.1757$88.15@fe07.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 32808

You did not post your e-mail, but if you can use an Excel 97 workbook,
I have one that I wrote to program my scanners.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net or @arrl.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

<Whats up@nowhere.net (Monologue)> wrote in message
news:v9jokomef5271e@corp.supernews.com...
> Ok, I'm going nuts. I have the Uniden 785D, the programming cable, and a
> totally useless E-Scanner program from Uniden. If I get this correctly, to
use
> the E-Scanner (classic), I have to buy the databases so that I can choose
the
> desired frequencies and copy them, eventually, over to the 785D.
>
> Or I can get an online software copy of BulTel's ACR-250, via a download.
Or I
> can go to Scannermaster, and get a download.
>
> How the hell do I get a version of anything on a friggin CD, so that I can
> keep of copy of it in case my computer crashes, being that I don't own a
cd
> burner.
>
> All I want to do is to be able to use my computer to write to the memories
of
> the 785D, I don't want remote control of the radio, I don't want a
> spectrograph, I just want a relatively simple interface that costs less
then
> $100, is available on CD, and that I can send a money order to purchase it
> with.
>
> Why did Uniden include a totally useless program with the 785. Sheesh, the
> radio is expensive enough without all these games.
>
> Any Help WILL BE GREATLY APPRECIATED.
>

> Regards.
>
> Never say never.
> Nothing is absolute.

2793. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 02:25:36 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <A4Kma.93874$0X.21765572@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32809
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Wayne Daniels" <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com...
> > CNN is a disgrace -
>
> Yeah, I especially like the part about once we pass this imaginary red
line
> around Baghdad, that Iraq would start using the chemical weapons they
don't
> have against us.
> http://www.counterpunch.org/rooij04112003.html

<sigh>
For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead of the inspectors. Unfettered acess? They had to let Hussein know where they were going before they went there - what a joke. As for the "imaginary line", there were plenty that picked-up that radio and cell-phone traffic regarding that.

> ----------
> Has anyone in U.S. read history of Iraq?
> Dubai | By Neena Gopal | 12/04/2003
>
> If there are lessons that the U.S. administration must learn, they are the
> lessons of Iraq's history, replete with storied tales of bloody uprisings,
> brutal repression and deceit. There is no need to turn the pages too far.
>
> Pre-Baathist, so called free Iraq, saw some half a dozen governments come
and
> go in the space of 40 years, marked by the particularly horrific regicide
of
> the youthful King Faisal II, who was dismembered and dragged through the
> streets of Baghdad. He was seen, as was his father, as a British puppet,
and
> therefore unacceptable.
>
> Certainly, Saddam Hussain's acceptance by the Iraqi people in the early
years
> stemmed from his systematic
> suppression of all opposition, but it was also partly because Saddam was
the
> first Iraqi leader who was seen as
> having come up the ranks, and partly because the socialist ideology of the
> Baathists that he initially espoused held out the promise of an equitable
> distribution of Iraq's oil wealth.

>
> "From the seventies onwards, Baghdad had expressways, free education and
> health care, Iraqis had a higher
> standard of living than anyone in the Arab world," says Ahmed Samarrai, a
> young Iraqi economist who lives in the UAE, and a strong critic of U.S.
> intervention in his homeland.
>
> The U.S. must pause to reflect on whether it would be more prudent to
tackle
> the pressing issues of restoring peace and order, and supplies of clean
water,
> electricity, food and medicine rather than rush to impose a government,
that
> would be seen anyway, as tainted by Washington's long shadow.
>
>

2794. Re: ////////////////////// "Q" SEZ TAKE ACTION AGAINST US and UK WAR CRIMINALS \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 02:31:07 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <L9Kma.93877$0X.21772617@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32810

"Gill" <glineberry@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:b234376e.0304140831.7d006d30@posting.google.com...
> What antiwar protest. They couldn't get enough people to have a real
> protest. The support your troops meetings have drawn 10 times as many
> people. The last poll in San Francisco showed overwhelming support
> for the war. Get real.

Not to mention the fact that the Iraqi people have stated that they don't
WANT their kind of "support", so it makes one wonder exactly WHO it is
they're doing it for...

2795. Want Sony 2010

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 02:34:43 UTC
From: Jerry <n6vg@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3e9b70d5@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 32811

Please reply off list to n6vg@lanset.com
Jerry in Sacramento, CA.

2796. Re: Antenna Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 02:36:02 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E9B7012.8070708@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 32812

me wrote:
> What you've been doing is spamming this newsgroup and trolling for warez.
> The "5 month" review looks more like a "5 Minute" one to me.
>
> Just say no to losers who beg for warez.
>
> -me
>
> "George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
> news:935DA70E1Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
>
>>We have been ding some antenna testing over the last 5 months and so far
>>this is whar we have found.
>>
>>http://webpages.charter.net/moment/scantenna.htm
>>
>> George
>

Actually I must say that I enjoyed the antenna test page. Every comment he made was right on the money. I have two ScanTennas, 1 ScannerBeam (both these antennas were bought from AntennaWarehouse). And the Create Log P. I couldn't have agreed any more with what was said on this webpage, it was right on the money. I use all these antennas everyday here in the Flat Lands of Florida, even with the ScanTenna I'll be listening to Navy F14's or AirForce F15's engaged in Mock Dogfights nearly 200 miles away. The sigs are so strong you would think they were next door.

My qustion is this: Please give us detail on how you stacked two ScannerBeams? I would love to try this....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com
http://www.MilAirComms.com/shack.html <-Shack Picture & Antenna Farm

2797. Re: Local Stores in NJ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 03:28:51 UTC
From: No <123@noone.net>
Message-ID: <T%Kma.73625$Xd1.25603364@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 32813

Advanced Specialties on Market St in Lodi (about 1/2 mile west of Rt 17 overpass on left). They carry many scanner/two way radios, antennas, and accessories.

George

"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message news:vDmdnZGU9NQEyAajXTWcqQ@comcast.com...
> I live in Bergen County, NJ.
> I need to know if there is a good electronic store close by I can get an
> antenna from.
> I need a decent hand held telescopic type unit. I want to go and get one
> tomorrow, Wednesday 4/15/03.
>
> Thanks
> Anthony Bonacarti
> anthony@mostlyrockets.com
> www.mostlyrockets.com
>
>

2798. Radio Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 04:00:04 UTC
From: Rich
Message-ID: <IUSdnciMytnCHgajXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 32814

Look at how it's coming this is the first day
http://community.webshots.com/photo/50669411/69514174MuHlXy

As a member of the Pennsylvania National Fire Museum.
http://members.aol.com/JCW37/FRmuseum.html

I am setting up a communication display. I want you folks to look in your junk draws. And send me some old non functional control heads, or dash type radios. I have a corner assigned to me. I have costume built shelves and will mount all type and brands of radio heads. I will have some stored old audio coming out of these speakers.

Please take it as a gift to the museum and cover the postage. I would like to see all types and brands in this display.

Richard E. Lenker
3540 N 6 th St
Harrisburg, Pa. 17110

Or
The Pennsylvania National Fire Museum
1820 N fourth St
Harrisburg, pa. 17104

In Care Of Richard E. Lenker

Thank You

2799. Yellowstone Park still using analog?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 04:09:58 UTC
From: xray0272@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030415000958.08816.00000610@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 32815

I will be going to Yellowstone this summer and I'm wondering if they are still analog. Or have they switched to APCO 25 modulation like all National Parks are supposed to eventually? Thanks for any info.

2800. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 04:53:50 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <yfMma.53846$7L.3383237@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 32816
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
in message news:v9mq5jbr5u4550@corp.supernews.com...
> Just wondering if there is a kind sole out there, that would risk their
job,
> to run some tags for me? I don't necessarily need the whole works like
> address, etc (thought it would be nice!), but I'm mostly looking the name
of
> the owner...
>
> Michigan tag, 462 XNH

I don't know what the laws are in Michigan, but it is generally against the law in most jurisidictions to run plates by a civilian to find an owner.

Case in point, a pro-life person had a friend in a local police department who help the pro-life guy and kept running plates of those who were at a women's center (just so the pro-life people could know who the cars belongs to). That friend in the police department lost his job immediately and was hit with a large fine.

In other instance a person was collecting information on plate registrations using the agency's system for his own personal
use. He too was dismissed immediately and was charged.

It's not worth the risk to lose a job (and perhaps a fine and a record) to run plates just to find out who the owner of the registration is. If there is some kind of legal conflict, go to the police and let them do it.

Some states do allow some searches to take place grantedunder certain conditions and they do it. Best to go to the Motor Vehicle office and go that route, rather than try a candlestine route and have someone risk losing their job.

All jurisdictions have a means of recording who accesses the motor vehicle registration databank and when, so it would
be extremely easy to get caught being there doing something when you're not supposed to be doing that.

PK

2801. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 05:26:13 UTC
From: iexbetarocks@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1c927739.0304142126.d095@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32817
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey, how the hell did you get my plate number!!!

j/k ;)

2802. Re: Buyer Beware: Avoid "letsgodigital" online store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 05:32:54 UTC
From: iexbetarocks@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1c927739.0304142132.15ebb564@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks for the info. By the way, you switched the 2nd and 3rd letter in the url.

2803. Re: Antenna Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 08:02:25 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <0tSdnflr-sEPIQajXTWcoA@ctc.net>
Source record: 32819

> My qustion is this: Please give us detail on how you stacked two
> ScannerBeams? I would love to try this....
>

I would like to see how that is done with wide band antennas also. I know
how to do it with antennas that operate over a small bandwidth.

2804. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 09:01:17 UTC
From: Nitespark <"nitespark\\@nosp@m-cox.net>">
Message-ID: <xTPma.111253$yh1.6309685@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 32820
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Power House CB & Scanner wrote:
> Just wondering if there is a kind sole out there, that would risk their job,
> to run some tags for me? I don't necessarily need the whole works like
> address, etc (thought it would be nice!), but I'm mostly looking the name of
> the owner...
>
> Michigan tag, 462 XNH
>
> Would be greatly appreciated!
> PH

So tell us WHY a person should be willing to risk their job for someone
they don't even know for a reason you won't even explain???

2805. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 11:27:05 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.19058c60b49c5cc2989954@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32821
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <A4Kma.93874$0X.21765572@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> "Wayne Daniels" <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com...
> > > CNN is a disgrace -
> > >
> > Yeah, I especially like the part about once we pass this imaginary red
> line
> > around Baghdad, that Iraq would start using the chemical weapons they
> don't
> > have against us.
> > http://www.counterpunch.org/rooij04112003.html
> >
> <sigh>
> For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead of
> the inspectors.

How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable to swabs of inspectors.

Their manufacture has many other signatures - buildings with tell-tale characteristics, processes with tell-tale indicators.

You can make a point of trying to hide - but you can't really do it.

We here countless excuses as to why we have never seen a single WMD, nor even a shred of real evidence that they exist - oh, Iraq is a large country, Blix is incompetent, we have only been looking for weeks, on and on.

Unfettered acess? They had to let Hussein know where they
> were going before they went there - what a joke.

How did Saddam manage to persuade the molecules to exit from the paint on the walls?

To flee from the air floating at a site?

How did Saddam persuade the soil to decontaminate itself?

Excuses excuses excuses. You guys always have lame excuses.

That on reflection are total bullshit.

We have satellites able to see folks scurrying around should they have
done so. Our satellites can see inside most buildings to see scurrying
in them.

Where is the support for your constant stream of lame excuses?

As for the "imaginary
> line", there were plenty that picked-up that radio and cell-phone traffic
> regarding that.
>
> > ----------
> > Has anyone in U.S. read history of Iraq?
> > Dubai | By Neena Gopal | 12/04/2003
> >
> > If there are lessons that the U.S. administration must learn, they are the
> > lessons of Iraq's history, replete with storied tales of bloody uprisings,
> > brutal repression and deceit. There is no need to turn the pages too far.
> >
> > Pre-Baathist, so called free Iraq, saw some half a dozen governments come
> and
> > go in the space of 40 years, marked by the particularly horrific regicide
> of
> > the youthful King Faisal II, who was dismembered and dragged through the
> > streets of Baghdad. He was seen, as was his father, as a British puppet,
> and
> > therefore unacceptable.
> >
> > Certainly, Saddam Hussain's acceptance by the Iraqi people in the early
> years
> > stemmed from his systematic
> > suppression of all opposition, but it was also partly because Saddam was
> the
> > first Iraqi leader who was seen as
> > having come up the ranks, and partly because the socialist ideology of the
> > Baathists that he initially espoused held out the promise of an equitable
> > distribution of Iraq's oil wealth.
> >
> > "From the seventies onwards, Baghdad had expressways, free education and
> > health care, Iraqis had a higher
> > standard of living than anyone in the Arab world," says Ahmed Samarrai, a
> > young Iraqi economist who lives in the UAE, and a strong critic of U.S.
> > intervention in his homeland.

2806. Re: Local Stores in NJ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 11:54:22 UTC
From: No <123@noone.net>
Message-ID: <OpSma.4949$MB4.979086@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 32822

Thanks for the correction, Must have been half asleep!

George

"Darrin" <darrin99@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:le5n9vcm9hsul0sfcn08nshmi5s7c0sjmh@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 15 Apr 2003 03:28:51 GMT, "No" <123@noone.net> wrote:
>
> >Advanced Specialties on Market St in Lodi (about 1/2 mile west of Rt 17
> >overpass on left). They carry many scanner/two way radios, antennas, and
> >accessories.
> >
> >George
>
> They are located at 114 Essex St., not Market St. ....Great Store.
>
>
> >"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message
> >news:vDmdnZGU9NQEyAajXTWcqQ@comcast.com...
> >> I live in Bergen County, NJ.
> >> I need to know if there is a good electronic store close by I can get
an
> >> antenna from.
> >> I need a decent hand held telescopic type unit. I want to go and get
one
> >> tomorrow, Wednesday 4/15/03.
> >>
> >> Thanks
> >> Anthony Bonacarti
> >> anthony@mostlyrockets.com
> >> www.mostlyrockets.com
> >>
> >>
> >
>

2807. antenna questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 13:07:55 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <4bednWQ0__ZvngGjXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 32823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking to place an antenna in my attic, I rent in a 2 family house and
the roof is off limits.
Anyway I was thinking of the Scantenna,
Will it work in an attic?
What can I get or build to use one antenna on two scanners?
thanks

--
Anthony Bonacarti
anthony@mostlyrockets.com
www.mostlyrockets.com

2808. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 13:27:28 UTC
From: sideshowhaas@netscape.net
Message-ID: <d1166559.0304150527.3de192b4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32824
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just go down to your local police station and explain how this car is parked in your parking lot/in front of your home/etc... You know that your neighbor is out of town and are curious if the vehicle belongs to a relative of theirs. You, being the considerate neighbor, don't want to have the vehicle ticketed or towed unless the vehicle really should not be there. If they decide to check it out themselves, the vehicle must have moved.

2809. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 13:38:44 UTC
From: Pappy@usa.net
Message-ID: <3E9C0B64.712B1E50@usa.net>
Source record: 32825
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Q", numerous people in the radio groups have made numerous requests that you not post your off topic items in said groups.

What's the problem here, you just intent on being stubborn, telling them I shall do as I please?

WE prefer to read about radio in radio groups, that's why we subscribe to them.

When we want to read about Iraq, War, etc. we shall go to one of those groups, as you know there are several.

Q wrote:

> "Wayne Daniels" <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com...
> > > CNN is a disgrace -
> >
> > Yeah, I especially like the part about once we pass this imaginary red
> line
> > around Baghdad, that Iraq would start using the chemical weapons they
> don't
> > have against us.
> > http://www.counterpunch.org/rooij04112003.html
>
> <sigh>
> For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead of
> the inspectors. Unfettered acess? They had to let Hussein know where they
> were going before they went there - what a joke. As for the "imaginary
> line", there were plenty that picked-up that radio and cell-phone traffic
> regarding that.
>
> > --
> > Has anyone in U.S. read history of Iraq?
> > Dubai | By Neena Gopal | 12/04/2003
> >
> > If there are lessons that the U.S. administration must learn, they are the
> > lessons of Iraq's history, replete with storied tales of bloody uprisings,
> > brutal repression and deceit. There is no need to turn the pages too far.
> >
> > Pre-Baathist, so called free Iraq, saw some half a dozen governments come
> and
> > go in the space of 40 years, marked by the particularly horrific regicide
> of
> > the youthful King Faisal II, who was dismembered and dragged through the
> > streets of Baghdad. He was seen, as was his father, as a British puppet,

> and
> > therefore unacceptable.
> >
> > Certainly, Saddam Hussain's acceptance by the Iraqi people in the early
> years
> > stemmed from his systematic
> > suppression of all opposition, but it was also partly because Saddam was
> the
> > first Iraqi leader who was seen as
> > having come up the ranks, and partly because the socialist ideology of the
> > Baathists that he initially espoused held out the promise of an equitable
> > distribution of Iraq's oil wealth.
> >
> > "From the seventies onwards, Baghdad had expressways, free education and
> > health care, Iraqis had a higher
> > standard of living than anyone in the Arab world," says Ahmed Samarrai, a
> > young Iraqi economist who lives in the UAE, and a strong critic of U.S.
> > intervention in his homeland.
> >
> > The U.S. must pause to reflect on whether it would be more prudent to
> tackle
> > the pressing issues of restoring peace and order, and supplies of clean
> water,
> > electricity, food and medicine rather than rush to impose a government,
> that
> > would be seen anyway, as tainted by Washington's long shadow.
> >
> >

2810. Re: antenna questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 13:42:10 UTC
From: Pappy@usa.net
Message-ID: <3E9C0C31.A129672@usa.net>
Source record: 32826

Yes it will work, as long as the area between the roof and antenna don't have anything to impede the signals from getting to the antenna. No foil lined insulation, heavy wiring, you get the idea.

"Anthony B." wrote:

> I am looking to place an antenna in my attic, I rent in a 2 family house and
> the roof is off limits.
> Anyway I was thinking of the Scantenna,
> Will it work in an attic?
> What can I get or build to use one antenna on two scanners?
> thanks
>
> --
> Anthony Bonacarti
> anthony@mostlyrockets.com
> www.mostlyrockets.com

2811. Re: Radio Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 13:54:17 UTC
From: John Bacon <news@djbitz.com>
Message-ID: <daUma.217808$0g4.6251240@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 32827

Humm the radio on the bottom right. I have one of them.

John

<Rich> wrote in message news:IUSdnciMytnCHgajXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> Look at how it's coming this is the first day
> http://community.webshots.com/photo/50669411/69514174MuHlXy
>
> As a member of the Pennsylvania National Fire Museum.
> http://members.aol.com/JCW37/FRmuseum.html
>
> I am setting up a communication display. I want you folks to look in
> your junk draws. And send me some old non functional control heads,
> or dash type radios. I have a corner assigned to me. I have costume
> built shelves and will mount all type and brands of radio heads. I
> will have some stored old audio coming out of these speakers.
>
> Please take it as a gift to the museum and cover the postage. I would
> like to see all types and brands in this display.
>
> Richard E. Lenker
> 3540 N 6 th St
> Harrisburg, Pa. 17110
>
> Or
> The Pennsylvania National Fire Museum
> 1820 N fourth St
> Harrisburg, pa. 17104
>
> In Care Of Richard E. Lenker
>
> Thank You
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2812. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 14:52:18 UTC
From: JT <satanboy@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <C0Vma.489683$S_4.543069@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 32828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I think Jesus last words were probably, "When I get down from here, I am going to kick your asses. Thanks for giving me hands that I can use as a fucking whistle".

Zealots.

Satanboy

"Gill" <glineberry@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:b234376e.0304131245.658a5d78@posting.google.com...
> Jesus speaks every day. He is alive, not dead. I sure am glad we
> have not heard his last words.

2813. Re: antenna questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 15:01:56 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <wAWdncFs85c3gwGjXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 32829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Just a thought..
the antenna that came with the scanners I have are working fine. Uniden bc780xlt and bc895xlt. I was wondering, What if I just put something in my bay window up on the first floor? What can I put there? are there any 3 or 4 foot tall whip type antenna's I can try.
I am not looking forward to running an antenna in the attic. looking for an easy way out.
"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message news:4bednWQ0__ZvngGjXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> I am looking to place an antenna in my attic, I rent in a 2 family house and
> the roof is off limits.
> Anyway I was thinking of the Scantenna,
> Will it work in an attic?
> What can I get or build to use one antenna on two scanners?
> thanks
>
> --
> Anthony Bonacarti
> anthony@mostlyrockets.com
> www.mostlyrockets.com
>
>

2814. Re: antenna questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 15:18:52 UTC
From: "news.verizon.net" <james.dockery@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <wpVma.17465$Gb1.14910@nwrdny01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 32830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi Anthony,

Two things. I clicked on your website due to its name. Very nicely done. We have the "same point of view" when it comes to toy selection. Although, based on he who dies with the most toys wins concept, you are a head of me right now. :-)

I took a look at the Scantenna and spotted that its overall size is 101 inches. That is 8 feet, five inches. Most ceilings are 8 foot. Your attic may be more (or less). Considering the town you live in and my not knowing where you are in town, you may be in either new or old construction. Older construction may have more than 8 feet at the gable where newer construction is probably 8 feet on the nose.

I guess what I'm saying is measure before you buy. Make sure it will fit.

If you are in older construction where most of the supports are wooden / non-conductive and surrounded by cement and a lot of metal, you may do well.

I don't know what your listening habits are but there should be a lot of action in that region to include eavesdropping on the west side of NYC.

I am fortunate enough to live on a hilltop at the north western corner of the same county you live in. The built in antenna suits my listening needs in my scanner.

For other items, I am a ham and use the G5RV I have strung up in the trees in the woods behind my house.

Hope this helps. Get out the tape measure.

Jim, WB2HBZ

"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message
news:4bednWQ0__ZvngGjXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> I am looking to place an antenna in my attic, I rent in a 2 family house
and
> the roof is off limits.
> Anyway I was thinking of the Scantenna,
> Will it work in an attic?
> What can I get or build to use one antenna on two scanners?
> thanks
>
> --
> Anthony Bonacarti
> anthony@mostlyrockets.com

> www.mostlyrockets.com
>
>

2815. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 15:29:10 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030415112910.03495.00000725@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 32831

>Just go down to your local police station and explain how this car is
>parked in your parking lot/in front of your home/etc... You know that
>your neighbor is out of town and are curious if the vehicle belongs to
>a relative of theirs. You, being the considerate neighbor, don't want
>to have the vehicle ticketed or towed unless the vehicle really should
>not be there. If they decide to check it out themselves, the vehicle
>must have moved.

Wow...the police officers in your community must *really* be gullible.

Last time I ran a license plate through NCIC, I had to log in with my name, social security number, password, requesting officer's name, unit, badge number, and reason for the query. All of this is due to people abusing the database like you suggest, and yes, abuse of the system can land you in serious trouble.

And the corny story about the 'parked car' could be construed as false reporting, in itself a criminal act in many jurisdictions.

No thanks!

James S. Prine
www.therealpolice.com

2816. BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 15:50:59 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32832
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous, (of course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets these little weasels.

Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the groups, and others were for auction on eBay.

If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for auction, it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.

Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to my ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they LIED!

They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a commercial nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations. Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and lord knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling your equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify the complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to the above, recent for sale, for auction postings.

As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of my agreement.
They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are also in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my account will be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the newsgroup Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired off to my ISP.

So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for debate, discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is imminent at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their shoulder, to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions not at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated without a word of complaint that I am aware of.

Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately in the future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent STRONG

SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.

Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup, and that is a shame.

Dan

2817. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 16:24:08 UTC
From: Spider <TheSipider@mac.com>
Message-ID: <TheSipider-E07E6A.11252415042003@zeus-ge0.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 32833
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com>,
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:

> Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous, (of
> course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
> postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets these
> little weasels.
>
> Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
> groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
>
> If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for auction,
> it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
>
> Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
> postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to my
> ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they LIED!
>
> They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a commercial
> nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
> cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
> Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial
> service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and lord
> knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling your
> equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify the
> complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged
> infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to the
> above, recent for sale, for auction postings.
>
> As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk
> e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of my
> agreement.
> They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are also
> in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my account will
> be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the newsgroup
> Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired off to
> my ISP.
>
> So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for debate,
> discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is imminent
> at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their shoulder,
> to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions not
> at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated without a

> word of complaint that I am aware of.
>
> Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very
> reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately in the
> future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent STRONG
> SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
>
> Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup, and
> that is a shame.
>
> Dan
>
>

Good letter Dan. It's about time someone started to speak out against
these self righteous so called hams. They act that way on the ham band
also. I think it's gonna get uglyer before it gets better.

s

2818. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 16:45:01 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hGWma.10281$TR5.9132@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32834
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> If you enjoy usenet so much (which you seem to), consider getting a
> "professional" account through someone like newsguy.com

I USED to enjoy the USENET, radio related newsgroups. I should not have to get a "professional" anything. I did nothing wrong.

> They mask your source, so your ISP is not involved. Any/all spam reports must
> go to them - because any whiner can't see beyond them.
>
> Good thing is, the people that run news servers for a business understand that
> this type of thing ISN'T a problem and will just ignore complaints from such
> whiners. IF the whiners lie - let the good folks know about it and give them
> your message ID's of your posts to prove they lied about the content of your
> messages. I know newsguy.com takes the time and will get to the bottom of it.
> Once they do - you can consider the source whiner ignored - and they may even
> file a complaint with his ISP !

The whiner didn't file a complaint with the news server, he filed it with my ISP, and lied, of course.

> The only reason I don't use them anymore is because my home ISP ended up
> getting a decent news server and I couldn't see paying twice for the same
> content.

2819. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 16:53:49 UTC
From: Spider <TheSipider@mac.com>
Message-ID: <TheSipider-094D2B.11550615042003@zeus-ge0.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 32835
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <hGWma.10281$TR5.9132@twister.nyc.rr.com>,
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:

> > If you enjoy usenet so much (which you seem to), consider getting a
> > "professional" account through someone like newsguy.com
>
> I USED to enjoy the USENET, radio related newsgroups. I should not have to
> get a "professional" anything. I did nothing wrong.
>
> > They mask your source, so your ISP is not involved. Any/all spam reports
> must
> > go to them - because any whiner can't see beyond them.
> >
> > Good thing is, the people that run news servers for a business understand
> that
> > this type of thing ISN'T a problem and will just ignore complaints from
> such
> > whiners. IF the whiners lie - let the good folks know about it and give
> them
> > your message ID's of your posts to prove they lied about the content of
> your
> > messages. I know newsguy.com takes the time and will get to the bottom of
> it.
> > Once they do - you can consider the source whiner ignored - and they may
> even
> > file a complaint with his ISP !
>
> The whiner didn't file a complaint with the news server, he filed it with my
> ISP, and lied, of course.
>
> > The only reason I don't use them anymore is because my home ISP ended up
> > getting a decent news server and I couldn't see paying twice for the same
> > content.
>
>

Dan, do you know who it was or do the accusers get protection. If you
know who it was post it.
s

2820. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 17:04:17 UTC
From: No <123@noone.net>
Message-ID: <IYWma.7214$MB4.1651104@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 32836
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dan,

Ask your ISP to show proof you violated their terms of service.\

George

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:hGWma.10281$TR5.9132@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>
> > If you enjoy usenet so much (which you seem to), consider getting a
> > "professional" account through someone like newsguy.com
>
> I USED to enjoy the USENET, radio related newsgroups. I should not have
to
> get a "professional" anything. I did nothing wrong.
>
> > They mask your source, so your ISP is not involved. Any/all spam
reports
> must
> > go to them - because any whiner can't see beyond them.
> >
> > Good thing is, the people that run news servers for a business
understand
> that
> > this type of thing ISN'T a problem and will just ignore complaints from
> such
> > whiners. IF the whiners lie - let the good folks know about it and give
> them
> > your message ID's of your posts to prove they lied about the content of
> your
> > messages. I know newsguy.com takes the time and will get to the bottom
of
> it.
> > Once they do - you can consider the source whiner ignored - and they may
> even
> > file a complaint with his ISP !
>
> The whiner didn't file a complaint with the news server, he filed it with
my
> ISP, and lied, of course.
>
> > The only reason I don't use them anymore is because my home ISP ended up
> > getting a decent news server and I couldn't see paying twice for the
same

> > content.
>
>

2821. Re: antenna questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 17:05:39 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3E9C3BE3.5010708@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 32837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

news.verizon.net wrote:
> Hi Anthony,
>
> Two things. I clicked on your website due to its name. Very nicely done.
> We have the "same point of view" when it comes to toy selection. Although,
> based on he who dies with the most toys wins concept, you are a head of me
> right now. :-)
>
> I took a look at the Scantenna and spotted that its overall size is 101
> inches. That is 8 feet, five inches. Most ceilings are 8 foot. Your attic
> may be more (or less). Considering the town you live in and my not knowing
> where you are in town, you may be in either new or old construction. Older
> construction may have more than 8 feet at the gable where newer construction
> is probably 8 feet on the nose.
>
> I guess what I'm saying is measure before you buy. Make sure it will fit.
>
> If you are in older construction where most of the supports are wooden /
> non-conductive and surrounded by cement and a lot of metal, you may do well.
>
> I don't know what your listening habits are but there should be a lot of
> action in that region to include eavesdropping on the west side of NYC.
>
> I am fortunate enough to live on a hilltop at the north western corner of
> the same county you live in. The built in antenna suits my listening needs
> in my scanner.
>
> For other items, I am a ham and use the G5RV I have strung up in the trees
> in the woods behind my house.
>
> Hope this helps. Get out the tape measure.
>
> Jim, WB2HBZ

I have an outdoor ScanTenna and its the best omni-directional ant I've
ever had. Now I have a friend who put a ScanTenna in his attic. He
had to cut the longest element to make it fit. Since his main interest
was MilAir he cut the longest element down to about 4' (halfwave of 300
MHz). The only thing he possibably lost was VHF-Lo which we didn't
care about in our area. By cutting the longest element the antenna
still worked great. His in his attic would be about 30' above ground
level, mine is mounted outside at only 20' The preform pretty much equal.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

2822. PRO-95 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 17:18:50 UTC
From: Kenneth Oakman <keno@cac.washington.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.WNT.4.53.0304151017060.1272@brooklynxp_tc.nebula.washington.edu>
Source record: 32842

I have a Radio Shack PRO-95 scanner. Does any body have any
software they can recommend for loading frequencies and groups to the
scanner? I have seen the software that RS has but on their web site it
does not include the PRO-95 as one of the scanners that works with it.

2823. I need help picking out a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 17:21:16 UTC
From: Export <Export_export@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e9c3f8d$0$164$a1866201@authen.newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 32838

Hi all,

I am new to this scanner world and I was hoping you "experts" could help me pick out a scanner. All I want to be able to do is find out what is going on when I hear sirens. I also don't want to spend a boatload of money.

Thanks,
Ex

2824. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 17:45:10 UTC
From: GF Jones <gfjones@scummail.com>
Message-ID: <3E9C4567.3197F0B5@scummail.com>
Source record: 32839
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

> > For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead of
> > the inspectors.
>
> How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float
> into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
> molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable
> to swabs of inspectors.

Right. Remember how long it took to clean out those government buildings in the US when there was just a little bit of that white powder around from a piece of mail. After a complete evacuation and cleaning, there was still some traces detected and they had to clean again.

> Their manufacture has many other signatures - buildings with tell-tale
> characteristics, processes with tell-tale indicators.
>
> You can make a point of trying to hide - but you can't really do it.
>
> We here countless excuses as to why we have never seen a single WMD, nor
> even a shred of real evidence that they exist - oh, Iraq is a large
> country, Blix is incompetent, we have only been looking for weeks, on
> and on.
>
> Unfettered acess? They had to let Hussein know where they
> > were going before they went there - what a joke.

No, not for the last several months. They could go anywhere they wanted to without notice. Blix said so.

> How did Saddam manage to persuade the molecules to exit from the paint
> on the walls?
>
> To flee from the air floating at a site?
>
> How did Saddam persuade the soil to decontaminate itself?
>
> Excuses excuses excuses. You guys always have lame excuses.
>
> We have satellites able to see folks scurrying around should they have
> done so. Our satellites can see inside most buildings to see scurrying
> in them.

Yes, they claim to know and see when one person like Saddam goes into a building, yet they can't see an entire arsenal of chemical weapons being moved around in trucks.

Have you heard the latest? Now Syria has chemical weapons of mass destruction too. So now the US has to spend more tax dollars destroying that country so that Cheny's company can profit from rebuilding it too.

When are we going to destroy Israel for having nuclear weapons of mass destruction? They are not exactly a peace loving country. At least the whole world would be behind this, as they the UN resolutions that are constantly being tried to pass every year show, but the US is the only one who always uses their veto to stop the resolutions from passing.

> Where is the support for your constant stream of lame excuses?
>
> As for the "imaginary
> > line", there were plenty that picked-up that radio and cell-phone traffic
> > regarding that.

Of course, and you know that how? The same way that Iraq knows about it, it is constantly stated all over the media. Think for a minute. After how many times of the US saying they picked up information on where Saddam was, or what their plans were, from radio and cell phone traffic, are the Iraqis going to continue giving this information to the US?

The Iraqis use this now as a tool. They say over their radios and cell phones that Saddam is in one building while he is really somewhere else, to see the US response. But the red line thing was completely made up by the retired generals who now are CNN anchor hosts that have no access to current intelligence, but are just speculating on everything. Their theory of chemical weapons when the US passes this imaginary red line was comical before the US ever passed it, because most intelligent people realized that Iraq doesn't have any chemical weapons anymore. If they did, they would have used it on the US. Why save it for it to be found after the war is over and give the US the satisfaction of showing they were right? Saddam and Iraq would never allow that to happen! Before that, they would surely have used anything they had to at least get some satisfaction of killing some of the US troops.

Not using any chemical weapons, but saving them so that the US could find them after the war and make Bush look like a hero is not something Saddam would have allowed to happen. At least give him that much credit.

2825. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 17:51:19 UTC
From: GF Jones <gfjones@scummail.com>
Message-ID: <3E9C46D7.E8ECB982@scummail.com>
Source record: 32840
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Pappy@usa.net wrote:

> "Q", numerous people in the radio groups have made numerous requests that you
> not post your off topic items in said groups.
>
> What's the problem here, you just intent on being stubborn, telling them I shall
> do as I please?

The problem is people like yourself that keep reading and replying to his posts showing that it really bothers you. Trolls LIVE for that kind of attention. Thanks a lot. Everyone now has YOU to thank for insuring those posts will now continue.

Do as the rest of us do, and just don't reply to ANY posts that are of a nature you don't approve of.

And don't reply to this one either, let the thread die now.
Remember, from now on, don't even READ posts from people like that, if no one clicks on them and reads them, they will go away. Posts like yours showing how angry you are is only like giving them a giant gold trophy and begging them to continue.

I had this guy filtered out a few weeks ago, and thought he was gone. Now thanks to people like yourself, who I have not yet filtered out, I see that this guy is still trolling about. Thanks a lot!

2826. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 17:51:35 UTC
From: L L O Y D D A V I E S <firebottle@n0vfp.cm>
Message-ID: <3e9c429a$1_3@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 32841
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup,
and
> that is a shame.
>
> Dan
>
>

That is not good. It's time for the people who do that kind of dirty stuff
to pay for their misdeeds. Please Dan, post the name of the person, and
their call sign too. Their call can be looked up in QRZ.com and I will only
say, let your imagination run its course on what to do to such hateful
creatures.

--
Lloyd/N0VFP
"Finest No-Coder to key up a handie talkie"
Ft-817, ATS 909

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2827. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 18:06:20 UTC
From: Pappy@usa.net
Message-ID: <3E9C4A1B.FF10F4A0@usa.net>
Source record: 32843
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

GF your wrong. That is the first time I have exercised "my opinion" on the subject. Don't accuse someone unless you know the facts next time. Your pretty fast at pointing the finger. You can get it broken off.

Now go pester someone else with your BS (bovine stockology).

GF Jones wrote:

> Pappy@usa.net wrote:
>
> > "Q", numerous people in the radio groups have made numerous requests that you
> > not post your off topic items in said groups.
> >
> > What's the problem here, you just intent on being stubborn, telling them I shall
> > do as I please?
>
> The problem is people like yourself that keep reading and replying to his posts
> showing that it really bothers you. Trolls LIVE for that kind of attention.
> Thanks a lot. Everyone now has YOU to thank for insuring those posts will now
> continue.
>
> Do as the rest of us do, and just don't reply to ANY posts that are of a nature you
> don't approve of.
>
> And don't reply to this one either, let the thread die now.
> Remember, from now on, don't even READ posts from people like that, if no one clicks
> on them and reads them, they will go away. Posts like yours showing how angry you
> are is only like giving them a giant gold trophy and begging them to continue.
>
> I had this guy filtered out a few weeks ago, and thought he was gone. Now thanks
> to people like yourself, who I have not yet filtered out, I see that this guy is
> still trolling about. Thanks a lot!

2828. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 18:08:54 UTC
From: Pappy@usa.net
Message-ID: <3E9C4AB6.FCE6E673@usa.net>
Source record: 32844
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

What a two faced SOB. You just jumped on me to responding to the off topic posts.

Here you are, spurting out your gibberish.

GF Jones wrote:

> > > For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead of
> > > the inspectors.
> >
> > How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float
> > into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
> > molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable
> > to swabs of inspectors.
>
> Right. Remember how long it took to clean out those government buildings in the
> US when there was just a little bit of that white powder around from a piece of
> mail. After a complete evacuation and cleaning, there was still some traces
> detected and they had to clean again.
>
> > Their manufacture has many other signatures - buildings with tell-tale
> > characteristics, processes with tell-tale indicators.
> >
> > You can make a point of trying to hide - but you can't really do it.
> >
> > We here countless excuses as to why we have never seen a single WMD, nor
> > even a shred of real evidence that they exist - oh, Iraq is a large
> > country, Blix is incompetent, we have only been looking for weeks, on
> > and on.
> >
> > Unfettered acess? They had to let Hussein know where they
> > > were going before they went there - what a joke.
>
> No, not for the last several months. They could go anywhere they wanted to
> without notice. Blix said so.
>
> > How did Saddam manage to persuade the molecules to exit from the paint
> > on the walls?
> >
> > To flee from the air floating at a site?
> >
> > How did Saddam persuade the soil to decontaminate itself?
> >
> > Excuses excuses excuses. You guys always have lame excuses.
> >

> > We have satellites able to see folks scurrying around should they have
> > done so. Our satellites can see inside most buildings to see scurrying
> > in them.
>
> Yes, they claim to know and see when one person like Saddam goes into a building,
> yet they can't see an entire arsenal of chemical weapons being moved around in
> trucks.
>
> Have you heard the latest? Now Syria has chemical weapons of mass destruction
> too. So now the US has to spend more tax dollars destroying that country so that
> Cheny's company can profit from rebuilding it too.
>
> When are we going to destroy Israel for having nuclear weapons of mass
> destruction? They are not exactly a peace loving country. At least the whole
> world would be behind this, as they the UN resolutions that are constantly being
> tried to pass every year show, but the US is the only one who always uses their
> veto to stop the resolutions from passing.
>
> > Where is the support for your constant stream of lame excuses?
> >
> > As for the "imaginary
> > > line", there were plenty that picked-up that radio and cell-phone traffic
> > > regarding that.
>
> Of course, and you know that how? The same way that Iraq knows about it, it is
> constantly stated all over the media. Think for a minute. After how many times
> of the US saying they picked up information on where Saddam was, or what their
> plans were, from radio and cell phone traffic, are the Iraqis going to continue
> giving this information to the US?
>
> The Iraqis use this now as a tool. They say over their radios and cell phones
> that Saddam is in one building while he is really somewhere else, to see the US
> response. But the red line thing was completely made up by the retired
> generals who now are CNN anchor hosts that have no access to current intelligence,
> but are just speculating on everything. Their theory of chemical weapons when
> the US passes this imaginary red line was comical before the US ever passed it,
> because most intelligent people realized that Iraq doesn't have any chemical
> weapons anymore. If they did, they would have used it on the US. Why save it
> for it to be found after the war is over and give the US the satisfaction of
> showing they were right? Saddam and Iraq would never allow that to happen!
> Before that, they would surely have used anything they had to at least get some
> satisfaction of killing some of the US troops.
>
> Not using any chemical weapons, but saving them so that the US could find them
> after the war and make Bush look like a hero is not something Saddam would have
> allowed to happen. At least give him that much credit.

2829. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 18:30:53 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xdYma.10286$TR5.6342@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32845
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In my post I state that my ISP did not identify the complaining party NOR did they provide examples of the offens(s).

Dan

> Dan, do you know who it was or do the accusers get protection. If you
> know who it was post it.
> s

2830. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 18:33:34 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2gYma.10287$TR5.2582@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32846
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for the input Dave. My blood pressure is fine.
It is just a shame that a few morons ruin it for everyone.

I won't spend any extra money. I simply will not post FS or FA items here
to the loss of the newsgroups. PERIOD.

Will my items still sell? Yes. eBay is great.

Dan

Dan
>
>
> If you go this route will it cost you extra money? Yes. Will it expose
this
> liar/whiner? Yes. Will it keep your blood pressure down? Yes.
>
> It's a coin toss, but an option I thought you should know about.

2831. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 18:33:52 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kgYma.10288$TR5.6364@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32747
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I already did...

> Dan,
>
> Ask your ISP to show proof you violated their terms of service.\
>
> George
>
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:hGWma.10281$TR5.9132@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> >
> > > If you enjoy usenet so much (which you seem to), consider getting a
> > > "professional" account through someone like newsguy.com
> >
> > I USED to enjoy the USENET, radio related newsgroups. I should not have
> to
> > get a "professional" anything. I did nothing wrong.
> >
> > > They mask your source, so your ISP is not involved. Any/all spam
> reports
> > must
> > > go to them - because any whiner can't see beyond them.
> > >
> > > Good thing is, the people that run news servers for a business
> understand
> > that
> > > this type of thing ISN'T a problem and will just ignore complaints
from
> > such
> > > whiners. IF the whiners lie - let the good folks know about it and
give
> > them
> > > your message ID's of your posts to prove they lied about the content
of
> > your
> > > messages. I know newsguy.com takes the time and will get to the
bottom
> of
> > it.
> > > Once they do - you can consider the source whiner ignored - and they
may
> > even
> > > file a complaint with his ISP !

> >
> > The whiner didn't file a complaint with the news server, he filed it
with
> my
> > ISP, and lied, of course.
> >
> > > The only reason I don't use them anymore is because my home ISP ended
up
> > > getting a decent news server and I couldn't see paying twice for the
> same
> > > content.
> >
> >
>
>

2832. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 18:34:55 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jhYma.10289$TR5.7020@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32748
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I would post the party but the information was not provided to me by my ISP.

> >
> > Dan
> >
> >
>
> That is not good. It's time for the people who do that kind of dirty
stuff
> to pay for their misdeeds. Please Dan, post the name of the person, and
> their call sign too. Their call can be looked up in QRZ.com and I will
only
> say, let your imagination run its course on what to do to such hateful
> creatures.
>
>
> --
> Lloyd/N0VFP
> "Finest No-Coder to key up a handie talkie"
> Ft-817, ATS 909
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

2833. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 18:42:26 UTC
From: GF Jones <"GF Jones"@scummail.com>
Message-ID: <3E9C52D3.479459E4@scummail.com>
Source record: 32749
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Pappy@usa.net wrote:

> GF your wrong.

Not at all. Everything I said was right. You just don't like that what you just did was pointed out for what it was and it made you feel embarrassed when you realized it.

> That is the first time I have exercised "my opinion" on the subject.

What does the number of times have to do with anything? It only takes one time to be able to point out that it was hypocritical.

> Don't accuse someone unless you know the facts next time.

The facts are you dedicated an off topic post to bring attention to someone the rest of us have been successful in ignoring, until posts like yours bring them up to our attention again.

> Your pretty fast at pointing
> the finger. You can get it broken off.

Now you are sounding just like the other person. Sort of brings more credibility to the theory that you are the same person, else why would you even dedicate a post or thread to bring the attention to the "other person".

Interesting.

> Now go pester someone else with your BS (bovine stockology).

Thanks for proving my point.

Now on to ignore you as well as your alter ego.

"PLONK"

2834. CONTACT MY ISP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 18:42:47 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <HoYma.10293$TR5.5293@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Regarding my post concerning comaplints to ISPs about for sale, for auction postings on the groups....

If you wish to contact my ISP on my behalf, in support, or to just set them straight on what is going on, e-mail them as follows:

internet.security@twcable.com

Remember, the NEXT complaint, (LIE) filed to an ISP may be about YOU!

Dan

2835. Re: PRO-95 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 18:46:52 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnb9olo7.n8o.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 32751

On Tue, 15 Apr 2003 10:18:50 -0700,
Kenneth Oakman <keno@cac.washington.edu> wrote:
>
>
> I have a Radio Shack PRO-95 scanner. Does any body have any
> software they can recommend for loading frequencies and groups to the
> scanner? I have seen the software that RS has but on their web site it
> does not include the PRO-95 as one of the scanners that works with it.

- there's only one software package i'm aware of:

Don Starr's excellent Win95....

don't buy the RS package - the cable and software WON'T work...

hth

>
> ____
>
>

2836. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 19:01:47 UTC
From: ja <turniponion@canoemail.comPOST>
Message-ID: <v9olrkkc0lj772@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32752
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti wrote:
>>If you enjoy usenet so much (which you seem to), consider getting a
>>"professional" account through someone like newsguy.com
>
>
> I USED to enjoy the USENET, radio related newsgroups. I should not have to
> get a "professional" anything. I did nothing wrong.
>
You're right. This is akin to being forced to get permit (and maybe
property tax reassessment) for wanting to put an antenna.

2837. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 19:05:50 UTC
From: ja <turniponion@canoemail.comPOST>
Message-ID: <v9om386v2gmcfd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32753
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti wrote:
> In my post I state that my ISP did not identify the complaining party NOR
> did they provide examples of the offens(s).
>
> Dan
>

I'm no lawyer, but I thought complainants _have_ to be identified and
the nature of the complaint specified to follow simple due process. I
mean, you can't defend a complaint against which you haven't even seen
the evidence! I wonder if there any lawyer radio people who might be
able to enlighten us here. -JA

2838. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 19:28:01 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <not_for_mail@fake789.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.15.19.27.59.921673@fake789.invalid>
Source record: 32754
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

It was probably the same person who used the same tactic
because I announced the availability of free, open
source radio software I wrote to share with other
hobbyists.

No good deed shall go unpunished.

On Tue, 15 Apr 2003 15:50:59 +0000, Dan Conti wrote:

> Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous,
> (of course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
> postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets
> these little weasels.

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

2839. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 19:28:09 UTC
From: Spider <TheSipider@mac.com>
Message-ID: <TheSipider-FF5C7C.14292615042003@zeus-ge0.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 32755
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <xdYma.10286$TR5.6342@twister.nyc.rr.com>,
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:

> In my post I state that my ISP did not identify the complaining party NOR
> did they provide examples of the offens(s).
>
> Dan
>
> > Dan, do you know who it was or do the accusers get protection. If you
> > know who it was post it.
> > s

Yea, sorry, I was so pissed to read this that I went right by it. There
is something not right here and I hope you can get it resolved.
s

2840. Re: PRO-95 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 20:20:34 UTC
From: Bob <rareeder@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3EC3F7A2.4000501@earthlink.net>
Source record: 32756

You can find Win95 at:

http://www.starrsoft.com/

Bob

bball@green.home.org wrote:

>On Tue, 15 Apr 2003 10:18:50 -0700,
>Kenneth Oakman <keno@cac.washington.edu> wrote:
>
>
>> I have a Radio Shack PRO-95 scanner. Does any body have any
>>software they can recommend for loading frequencies and groups to the
>>scanner? I have seen the software that RS has but on their web site it
>>does not include the PRO-95 as one of the scanners that works with it.
>>
>>
>
>- there's only one software package i'm aware of:
>
>Don Starr's excellent Win95....
>
>don't buy the RS package - the cable and software WON'T work...
>
>hth
>
>
>
>> --
>>
>>
>>
>>

2841. Re: I need help picking out a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 20:58:26 UTC
From: pjw <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Sn_ma.9975$MB4.2207265@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 32757

Then I'd buy an ICOM R2. Cheap, very well-built and runs on two AA's.
Around $100.

Paul

"Export" <Export_export@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3e9c3f8d$0$164$a1866201@authen.newsreader.visi.com...
> Hi all,
>
> I am new to this scanner world and I was hoping you "experts" could help
me
> pick out a scanner. All I want to be able to do is find out what is going
> on when I hear sirens. I also don't want to spend a boatload of money.
>
> Thanks,
> Ex
>
>

2842. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 20:58:39 UTC
From: BlackWater <bw@barrk.net>
Message-ID: <9aso9vg6kmj4gkdiacc0mqtl9qpicg8l12@4ax.com>
Source record: 32758
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote:

>In article <A4Kma.93874$0X.21765572@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
>Q@Q.invalid.com says...
>> "Wayne Daniels" <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com...
>> > > CNN is a disgrace -
>> >
>> > Yeah, I especially like the part about once we pass this imaginary red
>> line
>> > around Baghdad, that Iraq would start using the chemical weapons they
>> don't
>> > have against us.
>> > http://www.counterpunch.org/rooij04112003.html
>>
>> <sigh>
>> For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead of
>> the inspectors.
>
>How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float
>into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
>molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable
>to swabs of inspectors.

You forget how MUCH air there is in Iraq ... and how MUCH
sand there is for those molecules to stick to BEFORE they
get to any sensor.

>Their manufacture has many other signatures - buildings with tell-tale
>characteristics, processes with tell-tale indicators.

Unless you work underground or intentionally
disguise the chemical works. As for bio weapons,
they can be made most anywhere and have no
archetectural fingerprint.

>You can make a point of trying to hide - but you can't really do it.

Sure you can.

Actually, the hiding doesn't even have to
be *perfect*, just good enough to ensure
a state of doubt.

Anyway ... everybody was screaming how the
UN inspectors really needed several extra

months to do even a half-assed survey -
well, the US/UK people will need time too.
Give it a month or two - THEN complain if
need-be.

2843. Re: Buyer Beware: Avoid "letsgodigital" online store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 21:10:37 UTC
From: "Mike T." <Mike@rrs.com>
Message-ID: <v9ot75q561j126@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You'll love that radio (When you finally receive it that is!...LOL) I've owned mine for about a year...it's been a proven performer. Nice radio.

Mike T

"DougSlug" <dougslug@optonline.net> wrote in message news:tiIma.72536$Xd1.25009490@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> I recently became aware of an unethical online store known as the "Let's Go
> Digital Superstore" (www.ldgsuperstore.com)
>
> I ordered a Sony ICF-SW7600GR portable shortwave receiver from them, and
> when they confirmed the order by e-mail, they stated "will ship within 24
> hours", which is also what their order status web page showed. After three
> days, no shipping info. When I inquired, they replied, "the order was sent
> to the warehouse for shipping; we will have tracking information in 2-4
> business days". OK, not that bad, but what's up with the "24 hours" thing?
>
> After complaining about their inaccurate shipping status information, they
> sent me a web page that indicated "Usually ships in 2-3 days". OK, fair
> enough, but then on that page the price was $10 lower than what I just paid
> a few days before! I requested that they refund the difference according to
> their price-matching policy. They replied that the current price is still
> what I paid, even though the advertised price on their page was $10 less!
>
> This warranted a little research...when I checked their shipping cost, they
> quoted shipping as $10 HIGHER than what I paid, making the total the same,
> but making the unit price APPEAR cheaper. That's a little too much
> game-playing in my opinion. Incidentally, the shipping cost was $27 for UPS
> Ground from NY to NJ! Ridiculous.
>
> My advice: Avoid "ldgsuperstore", which is a Dealznet site. Look for a more
> reputable source. I do a lot of online ordering, and I've never dealt with
> such an unscrupulous outfit. Overall, not a big deal, I admit, but it felt

> sleazy. Your mileage may vary.
>
>

2844. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 21:26:35 UTC
From: Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <87n0ire9kk.fld@barrow.com>
Source record: 32760
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ja <turniponion@canoemail.comPOST> wrote:
>Dan Conti wrote:
>> In my post I state that my ISP did not identify the complaining party NOR
>> did they provide examples of the offens(s).
>>
>> Dan
>>
>
>I'm no lawyer, but I thought complainants _have_ to be identified and
>the nature of the complaint specified to follow simple due process. I
>mean, you can't defend a complaint against which you haven't even seen
>the evidence! I wonder if there any lawyer radio people who might be
>able to enlighten us here. -JA

I am not a lawyer, though one of my kids is and I play one on
Usenet now and then.

The ISP didn't *do* anything... therefore they have no obligation
to identify anyone.

Without actually seeing the email that Dan received from the ISP
it is difficult to determine exactly what position they actually
are taking. Clearly he is justified in his anger, but the devil
is in the details that were not provided. It sounds as if what
he actually received was a "boilerplate" response meant to fill
all cracks and crannies while not actually taking any real action
at all. What they did was "warn" (their term) him (or in his
terms, "threaten" him) in a way that leaves no doubt they don't
want him to do any of those nasty things, even if he has never
done them before but most certainly if he has.

The wording is chosen that way so that they do not have to
actually spend any time or money investigating the truth of the
complaint. They are off the hook, no matter what happens. If,
as is likely in Dan's case, there never was any real problem...
well they didn't do anything so they are not in any trouble for
taking a wrong action. If the complaint had been correct, well
they are off the hook on that one too, because if the miscreant
continues they can honestly say they issued a warning.

I do hope that what Dan told them was that *if* they ever so
much as lift a finger in any way that causes him damages, they
had damned well better make sure of their reasons first, because
they *will* be explaining it to a judge. It helps if you can 1)
provide an attorney's name to forward future correspondence to,

or 2) better yet, have said attorney send the letter explaining
that scenario to them.

--
Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

2845. Re: antenna questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 21:45:59 UTC
From: Frank <iteveicsbh@opqxkrtybndwfpim.com>
Message-ID: <01c30398$5ee8c010$0125250a@opqxkrtybndwfpim>
Source record: 32761

Anthony B. <wAWdncFs85c3gwGjXTWcqA@comcast.com>...

^ ... What if I just put something in my bay window up on the first floor?
^ What can I put there? are there any 3 or 4 foot tall whip type antenna's
^ I can try.

Make your own wire antenna. I listen to frequencies in the areas of 40 MHz, 120 MHz, 150 MHz, 300 MHz, and 860 MHz all with a six inch dipole I made. Get enough RG-58 coax to run from your radio to the top of your bay window. One end of the coax should have a connector that will attach to your radio's antenna connector. At the other end of the coax expose about an inch of both the shielding and the center conductor and attach a length of speaker wire to each. The lengths are not really important since the radio will only be receiving, not transmitting. Align the two lengths so that they are roughly in a vertical line, then tape the antenna somewhere high and where it will not be an eyesore.

```
|
|
|
=======
|
|
|
```

= Coax
| Speaker wire

The above is the minimum that should be necessary for most local stations that you listen to. You could do more but the important thing is that the antenna be placed where it will be exposed to RF signals.

If one of the stations you listen to has a very weak signal you may wish to cut your speaker wires to a length more suitable for that frequency.

Frank

2846. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 21:54:14 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb9ovs5.238.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 32762
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com>, Dan Conti wrote:
> They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a commercial
> nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
> cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
...
> As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk
> e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of my
> agreement.

If you are talking about RR, then they don't care. I have a honeypot
mailserverthat received 100.000+ e-mails a day from some of their
customer adresses, and they just ignores it.

Guess the problem is that you dp not have a pink slip contract
(standard UUNet practice witg spammers).

> They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are also
> in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my account will
> be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the newsgroup
> Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired off to
> my ISP.

Anybody has free speech, but must face the consequences.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

2847. Re: I need help picking out a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 21:59:24 UTC
From: Pat Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3E9C80BC.BD5AE73B@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 32763

Icom and nothing but! K4AVO

Export wrote:

> Hi all,
>
> I am new to this scanner world and I was hoping you "experts" could help me
> pick out a scanner. All I want to be able to do is find out what is going
> on when I hear sirens. I also don't want to spend a boatload of money.
>
> Thanks,
> Ex

2848. Re: I need help picking out a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 21:59:43 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e9c80d6$0$150$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 32764

You need to find some information first. There are essentially three types of radio systems your local police etc may be on.

1. conventional
2. trunked (some trunked will do conventional also)
3. digital with the sub group of digital that you cannot at the current time pick up at all

You need to find out which since it will dictate what type of scanner and the cost. An older conventional scanner you can get very cheap, digital can run as high as $700, trunked in the middle. If your area is trunked you need to find out which kind of trunked, there are a few different kinds. The best scanner resource on the Internet is http://www.strongsignals.net.

There are message boards over there where you can find out what kind of radio system your area has and what the frequencies are.

Greg
"Export" <Export_export@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3e9c3f8d$0$164$a1866201@authen.newsreader.visi.com...
> Hi all,
>
> I am new to this scanner world and I was hoping you "experts" could help
me
> pick out a scanner. All I want to be able to do is find out what is going
> on when I hear sirens. I also don't want to spend a boatload of money.
>
> Thanks,
> Ex
>
>

2849. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 22:03:10 UTC
From: Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <87el43e7vl.fld@barrow.com>
Source record: 32765
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>Thanks for the input Dave. My blood pressure is fine.
>It is just a shame that a few morons ruin it for everyone.
>
>I won't spend any extra money. I simply will not post FS or FA items here
>to the loss of the newsgroups. PERIOD.
>
>Will my items still sell? Yes. eBay is great.
>
>Dan

That means the asshole wins. Why not fight?

However, I would note that Dave is not correct in what he says.
First off, he posts from a Statestreet IP address, so an ISP is
not really hidden. But look at the efforts he goes to to remain
anonymous! He has no email address showing, and has an
X-noarchive header.

You obviously can't sell anything with an article posted like
that! Paranoia is not the answer...

The real answer is grow (up, with) a thick skin. If some
asshole complains about *valid* articles being posted, *IGNORE*
the twit and go on with life. Just make damned sure the
complaint really is groundless (though that is the wrong way
to correct someone any way), because if you _are_ wrong, then
you are the twit. And to be honest, trying to figure out what
is "right" on Usenet is not easy at all. Years ago it was,
because 99.99% of all users knew and were doing it right. But
today 50% of the users don't have any idea why something is
right or wrong, 50% of those are doing it wrong and setting a
bad example. Just look at all the complaints about "don't
crosspost"! They don't know what "multipost" means...

My understanding (based on your description only, as I didn't
go look at your previous postings) is that what you posted was
exactly correct. The *best* thing you can do is to *continue*
to post correctly, thus providing a good example for others.

I'd be real interested in seeing an exact copy of what your ISP
sent you too! It's too late now to give specific
recommendations as to a response, but others might be interested
for future use. BTW, I was once upon a time a consultant to an
ISP, and amongst the things they consulted with me about were

complaints such as you've described. There were three of us
that would discuss the options, and then the head honcho would
do what he felt was right. The best one ever was when I decided
to "get" a particular set of net assholes who were using a
secret mailing list to bully a newsgroup (coordinating attacks
on newbies in the mailing list!). I found out about it, and
posted several Usenet articles with a signature that had "Spam
targets: xxx.com yyy.com zzz.com" with about a dozen IP
addresses, every other one of which was the address of that
mailing list. I casually told the ISP people they could expect
a complaint, but didn't say why. You'd have loved the laughter
it caused when the complaints (two or three) rolled in. These
guys were old friends that had known me personally and on Usenet
both for many years, and lets just say they were very impressed
by my ability to poke assholes with a sharp stick!

:-)

>Dan
>>
>>
>> If you go this route will it cost you extra money? Yes. Will it expose
>this
>> liar/whiner? Yes. Will it keep your blood pressure down? Yes.
>>
>> It's a coin toss, but an option I thought you should know about.
>
>

--
Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

2850. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 22:07:40 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <jim.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <Mo%ma.493162$S_4.543684@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 32766
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Just wondering, what does it take to create a new newgroup. Probably not much.

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message news:DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous, (of
> course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
> postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets these
> little weasels.
>
> Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
> groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
>
> If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for auction,
> it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
>
> Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
> postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to my
> ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they LIED!
>
> They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a commercial
> nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
> cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
> Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial
> service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and lord
> knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling your
> equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify the
> complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged
> infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to the
> above, recent for sale, for auction postings.
>
> As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk
> e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of my
> agreement.
> They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are also
> in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my account will
> be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the

newsgroup
> Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired off
to
> my ISP.
>
> So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for
debate,
> discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is imminent
> at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their
shoulder,
> to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions not
> at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated without a
> word of complaint that I am aware of.
>
> Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very
> reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately in
the
> future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent STRONG
> SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
>
> Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup,
and
> that is a shame.
>
> Dan
>
>

2851. attic antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 22:44:30 UTC
From: Wedgew <wedgew@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3E9C8B4E.3050907@rochester.rr.com>
Source record: 32767

I have aluminum siding on the hose will that have much effect on reception?

2852. BC780XLT Priority Scan in conventional mode?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-15 23:48:28 UTC
From: 6MtrSSB <nospam@tome.com>
Message-ID: <gT0na.30473$cO3.2234754@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 32768
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have two BC780XLT's, I also have 2-BC9000's, along with many other radio's. My question is, how do you get priority to work in conventional mode?
Its working fine in trunk mode, but it doesn't want to come on while in conventional mode.
I select the priority channel, turn the scan on, press the PRI button, and nothing!
Any idea's would be greatly appreciated.

thnx
Lou

2853. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 00:04:06 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <W51na.88$dh1.35726@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 32769

"Mark" <marks@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:8mco9voh74icchn1bkr35qc1fen2hhp0s7@4ax.com...
> On 15 Apr 2003 15:29:10 GMT, jsprine@aol.comnospam (James S. Prine) wrote:
>
> >>Just go down to your local police station and explain how this car is
> >>parked in your parking lot/in front of your home/etc... You know that
> >>your neighbor is out of town and are curious if the vehicle belongs to
> >>a relative of theirs. You, being the considerate neighbor, don't want
> >>to have the vehicle ticketed or towed unless the vehicle really should
> >>not be there. If they decide to check it out themselves, the vehicle
> >>must have moved.
> >
> >Wow...the police officers in your community must *really* be gullible.
>
> I had them run a plate for me once - but it was legit (although it could
have
> easily been made up). I had a fender bender one day. Me and the other
guy
> were in a hurry and we quickly wrote down each other's info. I forgot to
get
> his insurance carrier - so I went to my local station and asked them to
run
> the plate and just give me the insurance co. The cop handed me the entire
> printout with everything.

That's a little different from an ambigious request for a plate on the basis of a made up story written to make it look good for the police to use. You had the guys name and address and stated clearly and distinctly that the reason for the search for the insurance carrier was because of an accident. The information no doubt included both your and his driver license number, so there wasn't much suspicion on part of the cop. Besides if the other person contested the search, the cop would be implicated.

So getting back to the original non scanner nature of the post..

Someone wants an unknown usenet user to run a Michigan registered plate and does not state the reason, and no doubt someone's job would surely be at risk, not to mention a fine?

Somethin' ain't right on that one. If I had access to the registration system, I wouldn't do it.

Anywho.. Getting back to scanners, what would be a good mobile antenna? A Firestick on a magnetic mount? I have
a small mag mount antenna, but it seems to be deterioating in quality as a rubber ducky antenna I bought for my BC245XLT

does alot better than the cell phone like wire antenna I bought for $20.00

How about an equivalent indoor antenna for my BC780XLT? I don't want a spider web of antenna inside the apartment,
but a good pwoerful indoor one that would replace the telescopic antenna that the 780 came with.

I like the 780XLT in my truck, but the 245XLT can bring in a larger spread of frequencies such as the airport when the
780XLT can't.

Any ideas??

PK

2854. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 00:04:36 UTC
From: Den6N <den6n@rcn.com>
Message-ID: <b7i6mu$614$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 32770

Hey, is there a police officer out there that will allow me the use of a patrol car for a few hours? I just wanna scare a couple of friends with it...

den6n
==========
"Mark" <marks@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:8mco9voh74icchn1bkr35qc1fen2hhp0s7@4ax.com...
> On 15 Apr 2003 15:29:10 GMT, jsprine@aol.comnospam (James S. Prine) wrote:
>
> >>Just go down to your local police station and explain how this car is
> >>parked in your parking lot/in front of your home/etc... You know that
> >>your neighbor is out of town and are curious if the vehicle belongs to
> >>a relative of theirs. You, being the considerate neighbor, don't want
> >>to have the vehicle ticketed or towed unless the vehicle really should
> >>not be there. If they decide to check it out themselves, the vehicle
> >>must have moved.
> >
> >Wow...the police officers in your community must *really* be gullible.
>
> I had them run a plate for me once - but it was legit (although it could have
> easily been made up). I had a fender bender one day. Me and the other guy
> were in a hurry and we quickly wrote down each other's info. I forgot to get
> his insurance carrier - so I went to my local station and asked them to run
> the plate and just give me the insurance co. The cop handed me the entire
> printout with everything.

2855. Re: Pic of Soldier with FRS or GMRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 00:11:54 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b7i7itlof1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 32771

It is probably ISRS radios...my unit has been using them since around 1999.
They look just like FRS and TX with 500mW, except they utilize 380-395MHz.
Personally I hate the audio on them (small dynamic range) but then again we
still use some of the original ones ever made and I suppose the newer ones
are improved.

t <t@t.org> wrote in message news:k_ucnSKnobv97gGjXTWcpg@comcast.com...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> Hi,
>
> I saw a pic of a soldier in Iraq and he had either an FRS or a GMRS radio
on
> his lapel. I was curious as to why they'd use such unsecure
communications?
>
>

2856. Re: antenna questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 00:31:52 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9p93lg74cfh91@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32772

Have you found a way to repeal the law of reciprocity?

I do not agree with your statement. A number of wires cut to the center of each band of interest and attached as you would your six inch pair would be much more effective.

To imply that the requirements of an antenna differ for receiving is simply incorrect.

"Frank" <iteveicsbh@opqxkrtybndwfpim.com> wrote in message news:01c30398$5ee8c010$0125250a@opqxkrtybndwfpim...
> Anthony B. <wAWdncFs85c3gwGjXTWcqA@comcast.com>...
* SNIP *
> the shielding and the center conductor and attach a length of speaker wire to
> each. The lengths are not really important since the radio will only be
> receiving, not transmitting. Align the two lengths so that they are roughly
> in a vertical line, then tape the antenna somewhere high and where it will
> not be an eyesore.
>
> |
> |
> |
> =======
> |
> |
> |
>
> = Coax
> | Speaker wire
>
>
> The above is the minimum that should be necessary for most local stations
> that you listen to. You could do more but the important thing is that the
> antenna be placed where it will be exposed to RF signals.
>
> If one of the stations you listen to has a very weak signal you may wish to
> cut your speaker wires to a length more suitable for that frequency.
>
> Frank
>

2857. Re: I need help picking out a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 00:36:19 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9p9bvnrvf3s64@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32773

Friends don't let friends buy Icom! W4JLE

"Pat Cox" <peacox@gloryroad.net> wrote in message
news:3E9C80BC.BD5AE73B@gloryroad.net...
> Icom and nothing but! K4AVO

2858. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 00:37:14 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <_A1na.123$dh1.42039@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 32774

"Den6N" <den6n@rcn.com> wrote in message
news:b7i6mu$614$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> Hey, is there a police officer out there that will allow me the use of a
patrol car for a
> few hours? I just wanna scare a couple of friends with it...
>

Actually did that to my best friend on a wet and cold day when I was a
teenager once.

The local RCMP detachment had a ghost car of the same year and model and
almost the same colour as my Dad's
1973 Plymouth Gran Fury. The RCMP back then always had the big boats.

I saw my best friend (who like me knew the local police cars, both marked
and the two ghost cars they had) in front of me driving his mon's car, so I
sped up a bit to catch up with him but stayed back a car length, and
snapped on the high beam lights.

He was obviously startled as he slowed down to just exactly the speed limit.
The rear window was partially fogged up
so he could see the car, but not in exact detail. He turned and I turned. He
went straight and I went straight. He kept to
exactly 30 MPH, I kept exactly to 30 MPH.

He pulled into a store and stayed there. I pulled into the store behind him.

He never moved as I carefuly walked up along the left side of the car.

He just got his driver's licence a month before.

He actually thought I was the local police and said he figured I was the
RCMP ghost car as he could see most of it
in the rear window.

You should have heard him swear. He was usually pretty quiet but boy did he
honk those swear words.

Had a great laugh over it though 8-)

2859. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 00:51:08 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E9CA8FC.6060605@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 32785
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Spider wrote:

>
>
> Good letter Dan. It's about time someone started to speak out against
> these self righteous so called hams. They act that way on the ham band
> also. I think it's gonna get uglyer before it gets better.

What information do you have to think this was the work of some ham?

2860. Re: I need help picking out a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 00:57:09 UTC
From: pjw <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <FT1na.12441$MB4.2935482@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 32775

Why?

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v9p9bvnrvf3s64@corp.supernews.com...
> Friends don't let friends buy Icom! W4JLE
>
> "Pat Cox" <peacox@gloryroad.net> wrote in message
> news:3E9C80BC.BD5AE73B@gloryroad.net...
> > Icom and nothing but! K4AVO
>
>

2861. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 01:46:32 UTC
From: ja <turniponion@canoemail.comPOST>
Message-ID: <v9pdiieukcv19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32776
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Well you sound like a lawyer! It would fool me that you ain't one.

Floyd Davidson wrote:
> ja <turniponion@canoemail.comPOST> wrote:
>
>>Dan Conti wrote:
>>
>>>In my post I state that my ISP did not identify the complaining party NOR
>>>did they provide examples of the offens(s).
>>>
>>>Dan
>>>
>>
>>I'm no lawyer, but I thought complainants _have_ to be identified and
>>the nature of the complaint specified to follow simple due process. I
>>mean, you can't defend a complaint against which you haven't even seen
>>the evidence! I wonder if there any lawyer radio people who might be
>>able to enlighten us here. -JA
>
>
> I am not a lawyer, though one of my kids is and I play one on
> Usenet now and then.
>
>
> The ISP didn't *do* anything... therefore they have no obligation
> to identify anyone.
>
> Without actually seeing the email that Dan received from the ISP
> it is difficult to determine exactly what position they actually
> are taking. Clearly he is justified in his anger, but the devil
> is in the details that were not provided. It sounds as if what
> he actually received was a "boilerplate" response meant to fill
> all cracks and crannies while not actually taking any real action
> at all. What they did was "warn" (their term) him (or in his
> terms, "threaten" him) in a way that leaves no doubt they don't
> want him to do any of those nasty things, even if he has never
> done them before but most certainly if he has.
>
> The wording is chosen that way so that they do not have to
> actually spend any time or money investigating the truth of the
> complaint. They are off the hook, no matter what happens. If,
> as is likely in Dan's case, there never was any real problem...
> well they didn't do anything so they are not in any trouble for

> taking a wrong action. If the complaint had been correct, well
> they are off the hook on that one too, because if the miscreant
> continues they can honestly say they issued a warning.
>
> I do hope that what Dan told them was that *if* they ever so
> much as lift a finger in any way that causes him damages, they
> had damned well better make sure of their reasons first, because
> they *will* be explaining it to a judge. It helps if you can 1)
> provide an attorney's name to forward future correspondence to,
> or 2) better yet, have said attorney send the letter explaining
> that scenario to them.
>

2862. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 01:56:17 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5L2na.25151$ey1.2268880@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32777
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote
> How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float
> into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
> molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable
> to swabs of inspectors.

Wrong. Only so - called "high - persistence agents" "stick," as you put it.
Hey, I actually remember something from my NBC days!

73,

Steve Lawrence
Burnsville, MN

2863. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 02:05:47 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190659f8a5848442989962@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32782
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <9aso9vg6kmj4gkdiacc0mqtl9qpicg8l12@4ax.com>, bw@barrk.net
says...
> USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote:
>
> >In article <A4Kma.93874$0X.21765572@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
> >Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> >> "Wayne Daniels" <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com...
> >> > > CNN is a disgrace -
> >> >
> >> > Yeah, I especially like the part about once we pass this imaginary red
> >> line
> >> > around Baghdad, that Iraq would start using the chemical weapons they
> >> don't
> >> > have against us.
> >> > http://www.counterpunch.org/rooij04112003.html
> >>
> >> <sigh>
> >> For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead of
> >> the inspectors.
> >
> >How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float
> >into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
> >molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable
> >to swabs of inspectors.
>
> You forget how MUCH air there is in Iraq ... and how MUCH
> sand there is for those molecules to stick to BEFORE they
> get to any sensor.

No. Literally the next breath you take will contain molecules from the
dying breath of Julius Ceasar. No shit. That is because there are a
truly amazing number of molecules in a given volume - molecules are real
tiny and real numerous. Thus one or two or three of them will be
floating about. In a lab which produced bio-chem weapons. Such that a
sample taken from a suspected site will contain the tell-tale evil stuff
- if such stuff was made there.
>
> >Their manufacture has many other signatures - buildings with tell-tale
> >characteristics, processes with tell-tale indicators.
>
> Unless you work underground or intentionally
> disguise the chemical works. As for bio weapons,

> they can be made most anywhere and have no
> archetectural fingerprint.
>
They leak. They have other signatures that our billions spent developing ways to detect them can detect them.

The notion that Iraq could make such weapons in volume sufficiently to threaten us, without detection, is laughable.

But it works as a con to trick folks like you into supporting a "defensive" war - so the Bush Administration trotted it out - despite how completely absurd the argument is.

> >You can make a point of trying to hide - but you can't really do it.
>
> Sure you can.

No. Bio-chem weapons sufficient to threaten us must be made. Making them is detectable. Molecules inevitably leak - so as to be detectable by our sensors in Satellites, on the ground, in U-2 planes, and so on.

We have zero evidence of production.

It is POSSIbLE Iraq has invisible Martians hidden in caves - or that they have such weapons made without detection yet in volume sufficient to threaten us - but I don't think the odds are good for that.

>
> Actually, the hiding doesn't even have to
> be *perfect*, just good enough to ensure
> a state of doubt.
>
You are the one proposing that Iraq has Martians.

Shouldn't you provide some proof?

> Anyway ... everybody was screaming how the
> UN inspectors really needed several extra
> months to do even a half-assed survey -
> well, the US/UK people will need time too.
> Give it a month or two - THEN complain if
> need-be.

We have been looking at Iraq for many years, with devices costing billions.

Not a shred of evidence that those Martians are really there. OR that WMD are really there.

Could be the place is loaded with Martians or WMD - but I am skeptical, given that our sensors have turned up - zip.

2864. Re: I need help picking out a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 02:08:11 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e9cbb12$0$160$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 32778

But totally worthless if the person's area is trunked or digital.

GR

"pjw" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:Sn_ma.9975$MB4.2207265@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Then I'd buy an ICOM R2. Cheap, very well-built and runs on two AA's.
> Around $100.
>
> Paul
>
> "Export" <Export_export@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3e9c3f8d$0$164$a1866201@authen.newsreader.visi.com...
> > Hi all,
> >
> > I am new to this scanner world and I was hoping you "experts" could help
> me
> > pick out a scanner. All I want to be able to do is find out what is
going
> > on when I hear sirens. I also don't want to spend a boatload of money.
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Ex
> >
> >
>
>

2865. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 02:10:18 UTC
From: radioranch <radioranch@qth.com>
Message-ID: <3E9CBB8A.8050108@qth.com>
Source record: 32779
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Move to QTH. com pay a little and get MUCH MORE!
Very little whining or BS allowed there but you can durn sure
sell your radio, and get a new ISP Dan. There are many...M

Dan Conti wrote:
> Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous, (of
> course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
> postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets these
> little weasels.
>
> Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
> groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
>
> If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for auction,
> it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
>
> Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
> postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to my
> ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they LIED!
>
> They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a commercial
> nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
> cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
> Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial
> service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and lord
> knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling your
> equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify the
> complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged
> infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to the
> above, recent for sale, for auction postings.
>
> As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk
> e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of my
> agreement.
> They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are also
> in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my account will
> be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the newsgroup
> Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired off to
> my ISP.
>
> So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for debate,
> discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is imminent
> at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their shoulder,

> to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions not
> at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated without a
> word of complaint that I am aware of.
>
> Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very
> reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately in the
> future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent STRONG
> SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
>
> Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup, and
> that is a shame.
>
> Dan
>
>

2866. Anyone do business with this guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 02:24:22 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b7if9k$ai4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 32780

I sent N9NLU payment via paypal for a radio over two weeks ago and have not heard back. Anyone else do business with him? He's not responding to emails and I am about to take things to the next level...

----- Original Message -----
From: <n9nlu_REMOVE_THIS_@charter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Sent: Monday, March 31, 2003 12:04 PM
Subject: Shack Cleaning Sale!!!

> Spring cleaning - SHACK SALE!
>
> March 31, 2003
>
> ORIGINAL POST - Watch For Updates & Additions
>
>
>
>
>
> *** Communication Specialists TP-154 Repeater Controller ***
>
>
>
> Encodes / Decodes PL, DPL and I think inverted PL
>
> Has a courtesy tone
>
> Has an ID'er
>
> Programmable time limits for system and for each tone/code
>
> Lots of other features
>
> Manual with programming, operation and hook up data included
>
> Programmable over the air or via programming jack - uses a touchtone phone.
>
> A great controller for starter / basic systems
>
>
>
> PICTURE AT:
>

> http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-027S.JPG
>
>
>
> *** Asking $165 Shipped - OR OFFER ***
>
>
>
> -------- ------------ ---------- ------------- ----------------- ------
--
> -------- -------------
>
>
> *** Radio Shack PRO-2067 Base / Mobile Scanner ***
>
> 500 Channels
> Trunk Tracking - Motorola type I, II. I/II Hybrid, LTR, EDACS
> SAME Technology for Weather alerting
> PC Programmable
> Direct keypad frequency input
> CTCSS / DPL decode
> 4 line Alpha display
> BNC antenna connector
> Plus much much more features
>
> *** Comes with the following: ***
> Mounting bracket
> Original user manual
> Wall wart power pack - for base use
> Serial programming cable
> Programming software
>
> This scanner has been tested and works just beautifully. Condition is Very
> good - non-smoker, never mobile. I bought 2 of them, I just need one
now...
> so
> one has to go.
>
>
>
> PICTURE AT:
>
> http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-018S.JPG
>
>
> *** I'm asking $180 Shipped - OR OFFER for the whole setup ready to plug
and
> play... sent 2-3 day priority mail ***
>
>

>
> -------- ------------ ---------- ------------- ---------------- ------
--
> -------- -------------
>
>
>
> *** Motorola P200 UHF 2 Channel Handheld Radio***
>
>
>
> Only problem .. the antenna has some shrink tubing on it because the
plastic
> on the antenna is cracking.
>
> Has been tested - is in good working condition
>
> Physical condition is VERY GOOD with exception to the antenna.
>
> Battery condition is EXCELLENT!
>
> Currently programmed in the 460Mhz area
>
> ## Easily converts to 6 channel ##
>
>
>
> PICTURE AT:
>
> http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-019S.JPG
>
>
>
> *** Asking $60.00 or offer - shipped ***
>
>
>
> -------- ------------ ---------- ------------- ---------------- ------
--
> -------- -------------
>
>
>
> *** Palm IIIx PDA ***
>
>
>
> Just your basic Palm PDA
>
> WORKS GREAT!

>
> Maybe it could find a use on APRS or used with a GPS unit.
>
>
>
> PICTURE AT:
>
> http://www.kmcnet.net/Auction_Stuff/MVC-021S.JPG
>
>
>
> *** $40.00 shipped - or offer ***
>
>
>
> -------- ------------ ----------- -------------- ----------------- ------
--
> -------- -------------
>
>

2867. Re: BC780XLT Priority Scan in conventional mode?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 02:32:06 UTC
From: Jerry <fccgrant@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E9CC0A7.349B4BD9@nospam.yahoo.com>
Source record: 32781

Select the channel you want to have the priority set on.

Press and hold the PRI key until you hear 3 beeps and the P indicator is on
for the selected channel.

Verify that PRI is displayed on the LCD screen.

Unsquelch the receiver while it is in scan mode. If the scanner switches to the priority channel
set in the bank you are in then it is working. (I believe you can have a priority channel in each
bank.)

Jerry

6MtrSSB wrote:
>
> I have two BC780XLT's, I also have 2-BC9000's, along with many other
> radio's. My question is, how do you get priority to work in conventional
> mode?
> Its working fine in trunk mode, but it doesn't want to come on while in
> conventional mode.
> I select the priority channel, turn the scan on, press the PRI button, and
> nothing!
> Any idea's would be greatly appreciated.
>
> thnx
> Lou

--
Jerry Smith
Midwest Grant Pages
http://ilgrant.home.att.net

The #1 Chicago Area Scanner Resource on the Web
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Assoc. http://www.carmachicago.com

2868. Re: I need help picking out a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 02:36:41 UTC
From: Jerry <fccgrant@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E9CC1BA.635B0FF6@nospam.yahoo.com>
Source record: 32783

You will need to find out what frequencies are in your area. This can be done by going to the FCC
web site and searching out the town you live in or by using a search engine for the state / area of
interest.

The next thing will be to find out what kind of radio systems are in your area. Again, a search
engine will be pretty helpful here. If it is your run of the mill radio system then you may be able
to get away with an inexpensive scanner. If your area has a 'trunked' system then you will have to
pony up some additional dollars.

What state/area are you in? This may help to get more knowlegable people in the area to assist
further.

Export wrote:
>
> Hi all,
>
> I am new to this scanner world and I was hoping you "experts" could help me
> pick out a scanner. All I want to be able to do is find out what is going
> on when I hear sirens. I also don't want to spend a boatload of money.
>
> Thanks,
> Ex

--
Jerry Smith
Midwest Grant Pages
http://ilgrant.home.att.net

The #1 Chicago Area Scanner Resource on the Web
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Assoc. http://www.carmachicago.com

2869. Re: antenna questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 02:45:07 UTC
From: Frank <ufuvhfdhug@znffscnugsluslyf.com>
Message-ID: <01c303c2$2924c440$0125250a@znffscnugsluslyf>
Source record: 32784

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <v9p93lg74cfh91@corp.supernews.com>...

^ I do not agree with your statement.

I have been using wire antennas of arbitrary length for more than ten years and in several geographic areas and I have never not been able to adequately receive any local station. When dealing with weak signals the antenna characteristics become more important, but for most people an expensive antenna is unnecessary. In many cases a finger across the antenna connector provides a sufficient receive antenna.

Frank

2870. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 03:00:53 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304151900.1d8e7d8e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

He forgave them even from the cross. That's my Jesus.

2871. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 03:11:08 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030415231108.01912.00001003@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 32787

Sure, a car is legally parked on public property and the police would worry
about getting it moved. Sure.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

2872. FS: Pro2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 03:14:10 UTC
From: Gregg Alemy <txembalmer817@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <6U3na.1181$qa5.930230@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 32795

I have for sale a Pro2053 trunktracker for sale, it comes with the
programming cable also, this unit is in excellent condition. 135.00 shipped
priority mail in the US
paypal accepted

please email me at
gregg at alemy dot net

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.471 / Virus Database: 269 - Release Date: 4/10/2003

2873. NYCOMCO and Orange County NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 03:18:23 UTC
From: Wendy and Matt <mapwam@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <3Y3na.2298$wS1.1916@news02.roc.ny.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 32788

I am looking for information on the NYCOMCO system in Orange County, NY. I have found two or three different sites, both with lots of info, but some of it conflicting. I am looking for the Montgomery town and village talk groups. Any info or help would be greatly appreciated. Thanks.

Matthew
KC2KEI

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.471 / Virus Database: 269 - Release Date: 4/10/03

2874. Re: NYCOMCO and Orange County NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 03:32:55 UTC
From: Scott Berringer <scanman@scanland.org>
Message-ID: <Xns935EEF81A6A45scanmanscanlandorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32789

"Wendy and Matt" <mapwam@frontiernet.net> wrote in
news:3Y3na.2298$wS1.1916@news02.roc.ny.frontiernet.net:

> I am looking for information on the NYCOMCO system in Orange County,
> NY. I have found two or three different sites, both with lots of info,
> but some of it conflicting. I am looking for the Montgomery town and
> village talk groups. Any info or help would be greatly appreciated.
> Thanks.
>
> Matthew
> KC2KEI
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.471 / Virus Database: 269 - Release Date: 4/10/03
>
>
>

try http://www.trunkedradio.net

2875. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 03:34:25 UTC
From: Mick <mick686@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <pHidnUw4a8f2UgGjXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 32790
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Dan, If my ISP did that I would close my account... You tell them you want to close your account due to that warning that was due to a unverified claim of wrong doing by you in a news group, bla bla bla... I am pretty sure you can get someone there to tell you that they are sorry. (Talk to a manager ONLY) Don't do anything by email, use the phone!

Mick

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous,
(of
> course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
> postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets
these
> little weasels.
>
> Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
> groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
>
> If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for auction,
> it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
>
> Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
> postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to my
> ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they
LIED!
>
> They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a
commercial
> nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
> cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
> Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial
> service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and lord
> knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling your
> equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify the
> complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged
> infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to the
> above, recent for sale, for auction postings.
>
> As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk
> e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of my
> agreement.
> They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are

also
> in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my account
will
> be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the
newsgroup
> Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired off
to
> my ISP.
>
> So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for
debate,
> discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is imminent
> at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their
shoulder,
> to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions not
> at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated without a
> word of complaint that I am aware of.
>
> Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very
> reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately in
the
> future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent STRONG
> SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
>
> Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup,
and
> that is a shame.
>
> Dan
>
>

2876. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 03:38:45 UTC
From: Mick <mick686@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sjydnW0NscfzTQGjXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 32791
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just call the PD and report the car being in front of your house! Ask for a car to come out... Listen to the scanner... They will most likely tell the car who comes out who it is registered to.? Right? You better hope it's not a undercover car or a cop though!

Mick

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message news:v9mq5jbr5u4550@corp.supernews.com...
> Just wondering if there is a kind sole out there, that would risk their job,
> to run some tags for me? I don't necessarily need the whole works like
> address, etc (thought it would be nice!), but I'm mostly looking the name of
> the owner...
>
> Michigan tag, 462 XNH
>
> Would be greatly appreciated!
> PH
>
>
>

2877. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 03:52:49 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3E9CD391.2080302@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 32793
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

GF Jones wrote:
> "PLONK"

I always liked the sound of that.

<Plonk!>

2878. Re: Scanners and FM, AM Radio Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 03:56:48 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304151956.5075809@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32792

Go to Walgreens and buy a $5.00 am fm portable. I would not pay another 50 or 100 dollars for a scanner to have it locked on an am/fm radio station.

2879. newbie rec

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 04:14:42 UTC
From: Simpledog <simpledogs@mminternet.com>
Message-ID: <v9pm7ur73j8od0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32794

Recommendation on a computer controlled base station scanner?

2880. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 04:49:26 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qh5na.5043$Up3.2948663@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32796
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Rest assured that I was tempted to do just what you suggest, however, this is the BEST internet service I have ever had.

It is cable service, and works flawlessly. They have a monopoly in this area, so it's there way or the highway. Do not want to go back to DSL as it was a horror.

Dan

> Dan, If my ISP did that I would close my account... You tell them you
want
> to close your account due to that warning that was due to a unverified
claim
> of wrong doing by you in a news group, bla bla bla... I am pretty sure
you
> can get someone there to tell you that they are sorry. (Talk to a manager
> ONLY) Don't do anything by email, use the phone!
>
> Mick
>

2881. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 05:17:07 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030416011707.25685.00000864@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 32697

>He actually thought I was the local police and said he figured I was the
>RCMP ghost car as he could see most of it
>in the rear window.
>
>You should have heard him swear. He was usually pretty quiet but boy did he
>honk those swear words.

Yes, and just think how funny it would have been if a *real* bad-ass had stolen your friend's car and, upon seeing you approach, pull out a 12-gauge shotgun and decorate the area with your intestines!

Now, getting back to the topic <g>, does anyone have a good mobile magnetic antenna solution for a PRO-95? Nothing too ostentatious, of course.

James S. Prine
www.therealpolice.com

2882. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 05:28:08 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <IR5na.442$4P1.134039@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 32698

"James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20030416011707.25685.00000864@mb-fg.aol.com...
> >He actually thought I was the local police and said he figured I was the
> >RCMP ghost car as he could see most of it
> >in the rear window.
> >
> >You should have heard him swear. He was usually pretty quiet but boy did
he
> >honk those swear words.
>
> Yes, and just think how funny it would have been if a *real* bad-ass had
stolen
> your friend's car and, upon seeing you approach, pull out a 12-gauge
shotgun
> and decorate the area with your intestines!

I doubt that would have happened. We were in a western Canadian small town (9,000 people) about 25 miles from one of our larger cities , and that type of gun stuff rarely happened if at all. The small town was not even on the major east west route into the city. It was at the time - during the 1960's - when everyone left their front door open duringhte summer and kept the screen door closed and there wasn't any fear of robberies, etc. It was a real safe small town, heck even in the larger city there was very little gun violence compared to the U.S.

2883. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 05:29:04 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAC2625F.16FA9%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32699
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"L L O Y D D A V I E S" wrote:
>
> That is not good. It's time for the people
> who do that kind of dirty stuff to pay for
> their misdeeds. Please Dan, post the name of
>the person, and their call sign too. Their
> call can be looked up in QRZ.com (snip)

Lloyd, what makes you think a ham operator was involved? He said nothing about ham operators and his message was not posted to a ham radio newsgroup (two scanner newsgroups, one shortwave, and one swap). If anything, you should be looking for your "suspect" in one of the groups he listed.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2884. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 06:27:05 UTC
From: JT <blowme@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <ZI6na.498739$S_4.551004@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 32700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jesus is the Hispanic guy that works on my lawn. His last words were, "You owe me a peso Senior".

"Gill" <glineberry@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:b234376e.0304151900.1d8e7d8e@posting.google.com...
> He forgave them even from the cross. That's my Jesus.

2885. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 06:41:47 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAC2736B.16FAB%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32701
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Spider" wrote:
>
> Good letter Dan. It's about time someone started
> to speak out against these self righteous so called
> hams. (snip)

What makes you think a ham operator was involved? He said nothing about ham operators and his message was not posted to a ham radio newsgroup (two scanner newsgroups, one shortwave, and one swap).

> They act that way on the ham band also. (snip)

The FCC strongly encourages the concept of self-policing by operators in the ham radio frequencies. Most operators agree with that policy because it is in their own best interest and the absence of policing is not.

The use of those frequencies by illegal operators, for example, results in the loss of the frequencies used by those illegal operators and often the use of neighboring frequencies due to the poorly designed or poorly modified equipment so often used by illegal operators. As such, ham operators have a clear reason to keep illegal operators off their allocated frequencies. Self-policing provides a means to do that.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2886. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 07:09:06 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <mk7na.98058$0X.23165840@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32702
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote

> Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> > "Wayne Daniels" <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com...
> > <sigh>
> > For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead
of
> > the inspectors.
>
> How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float
> into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
> molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable
> to swabs of inspectors.
>
> Their manufacture has many other signatures - buildings with tell-tale
> characteristics, processes with tell-tale indicators.
>
> You can make a point of trying to hide - but you can't really do it.

Was reading an article about just that - they were trying to make the same point you did, and the experts they questioned stated that it's not as easy as that - for example, there are biological entities all over the room you are in right now. Look at the false positives they've already had. There was also a segment on the news about this. Also, if you've ever been to that (or any other) desert, you know how the winds are.
Second point - the search for WMDs is really just starting - that was NOT part of the operational plan (combat). The coalition didn't send in weapons inspectors, they sent in SOLDIERS.

> We here countless excuses as to why we have never seen a single WMD, nor
> even a shred of real evidence that they exist - oh, Iraq is a large
> country, Blix is incompetent, we have only been looking for weeks, on
> and on.

Again, we haven't really been looking - the military action - getting rid of the regime - was the primary focus.

> Unfettered access? They had to let Hussein know where they
> > were going before they went there - what a joke.
>
> How did Saddam manage to persuade the molecules to exit from the paint
> on the walls?

Existing detection systems have tended to be fairly large and not terribly accurate, and to require humans to operate them.
While many of the technologies show promise and while dozens of research groups in the United States and elsewhere chip away at refinements, it will take much more time and effort to produce a truly reliable battlefield detector.
(Christopher Aston, New York University Medical Center)

The components of biological warfare are found in nature, in the soil, in the air and even inside human beings. The presence of these organisms does not necessarily connote a sinister motive. They are used for many peaceful purposes such as routine studies against disease, the creation of vaccines, and the study of defensive measures against a biological attack. Components of biological weapons are, by nature, dual use. Operators of clandestine offensive BW programs can claim any materials are for peaceful purposes or easily clean up the evidence by using no more sophisticated means than household bleach. Detecting violations is nearly impossible; proving a violation is impossible. Traditional arms control measures are based on detecting violations and then taking action -- military or diplomatic -- to restore compliance. Traditional arms control measures are not effective against biology. Using them, we could prove neither non-compliance nor compliance.
John R. Bolton, Under Secretary for Arms Control and International Security

Andrew McGill, head of the functional materials and devices section at the Naval Research Laboratory, also talked about pattern recognition. The sensors he described are based on sorption vapor detection. In these sensors, an analyte-selective coating is placed on a resonant transducer such as a surface acoustic wave device. Shifts in the device resonant frequency that occur when target compounds are sorbed to the polymers coating the surface allow the detection of vapors and gases. Arrays of devices coated with different sorptive polymers are needed to generate "nose" prints of gases. These patterns, when combined with pattern recognition techniques, allow identification of target analytes.

Array sensors are "dumb" without training, McGill pointed out. The interferences expected for a given application are an important part of that training. The range of potential interferences increases greatly when the application is homeland defense rather than military. "The answer," McGill said, "is not a single technology, but orthogonal technologies."

As fermentation technology continues to improve, detecting the clandestine production of BW agents at dual-capable facilities, such as vaccine plants, will become even more difficult. Continuous fermentation tanks can produce militarily significant quantities of a pathogen from a seed culture in a matter of days.

Advanced biopharmaceutical plants use "clean-in-place" systems that flush fermenters and pipes with microcidal chemicals and hot water. Such systems could eliminate all traces of a BW agent in a few hours. Thus, even short-notice inspections may not turn up conclusive evidence of illicit production.

Outline of the UN Inspections Mechanism in Iraq
Victor Mizin, Senior Research Associate
Center for Nonproliferation Studies
March 2003

The UN inspections and monitoring mechanism in Iraq was recently reinforced in November 2002 by the adoption of Security Council Resolution 1441 (SCR 1441). Prior to SCR 1441, the United Nations Security Council set forth the scope of WMD inspections in SCR 687 (1990), which specified cease fire plans and detailed Iraq's obligations to destroy all chemical, biological, and nuclear weapons, and all missiles with a range in excess of 150 kilometers.[1] Iraqi non-compliance with SCR 687 included an extensive and officially sanctioned network of deception, denial, and, at times, physical disruption of the inspection process, which led to subsequent UN resolutions.[2] Additionally, the Iraqi final, full, and complete disclosures (FFCD) of WMD capabilities repeatedly fell short of what inspections actually found and what transpired according to defector reports and other intelligence sources.[3] Questions remain regarding nuclear, chemical, biological, and missile systems that are still unaccounted for or that lack any official Iraqi documentation certifying their destruction.[4]

More than 10 years after the Gulf war, gaps in Iraqi accounting and current production capabilities strongly suggest that Iraq maintains a stockpile of chemical agents, probably VX,[3] sarin, cyclosarin,[4] and mustard.

Baghdad never supplied adequate evidence to support its claims that it destroyed all of its CW agents and munitions. Thousands of tons of chemical precursors and tens of thousands of unfilled munitions, including Scud-variant missile warheads, remain unaccounted for.

UNSCOM discovered a document at Iraqi Air Force headquarters in July 1998 showing that Iraq overstated by at least 6,000 the number of chemical bombs it told the UN it had used during the Iran-Iraq War-bombs that remain are unaccounted for.

Iraq has not accounted for 15,000 artillery rockets that in the past were its preferred means for delivering nerve agents, nor has it accounted for about 550 artillery shells filled with mustard agent.

Baghdad continues to rebuild and expand dual-use infrastructure that it could divert quickly to CW production. The best examples are the chlorine and phenol plants at the Fallujah II facility. Both chemicals have legitimate civilian uses but also are raw materials for the synthesis of precursor chemicals used to produce blister and nerve agents. Iraq has three other chlorine plants that have much higher capacity for civilian production; these plants and Iraqi imports are more than sufficient to meet Iraq's civilian needs for water treatment. Of the 15 million kg of chlorine imported under the UN Oil-for-Food Program since 1997, Baghdad used only 10 million kg and has 5 million kg in stock, suggesting that some domestically produced chlorine has been diverted to such proscribed activities as CW agent production.

Fallujah II was one of Iraq's principal CW precursor production facilities before the Gulf war. In the last two years the Iraqis have upgraded the facility and brought in new chemical reactor vessels and shipping containers with a large amount of production equipment. They have expanded chlorine output far beyond pre-Gulf war production levels-capabilities that can be diverted quickly to CW production. Iraq is seeking to purchase CW agent precursors and applicable production equipment and is trying to hide the activities of the Fallujah plant.

After four years of claiming that they had conducted only "small-scale, defensive" research, Iraqi officials finally admitted to inspectors in 1995 to production and weaponization of biological agents. The Iraqis admitted this only after being faced with evidence of their procurement of a large volume of growth media and the defection of Husayn Kamil, former director of Iraq's military industries.

Baghdad did not provide persuasive evidence to support its claims that it unilaterally destroyed its BW agents and munitions. Experts from UNSCOM assessed that Baghdad's declarations vastly understated the production of biological agents and estimated that Iraq actually produced two-to-four times the amount of agent that it acknowledged producing, including Bacillus anthracis-the causative agent of anthrax-and botulinum toxin.

The improvement or expansion of a number of nominally "civilian" facilities that were directly associated with biological weapons indicates that key aspects of Iraq's offensive BW program are active and most elements more advanced and larger than before the 1990-1991 Gulf war.

The al-Dawrah Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD) Vaccine Facility is one of two known Biocontainment Level-3-facilities in Iraq with an extensive air handling and filtering system. Iraq admitted that before the Gulf war Al-Dawrah had been a BW agent production facility. UNSCOM attempted to render it useless for BW agent pro-duction in 1996 but left some production equipment in place because UNSCOM could not prove it was connected to previous BW work. In 2001, Iraq announced it would begin renovating the plant without UN approval, ostensibly to produce a vaccine to combat an FMD outbreak. In fact, Iraq easily can import all the foot-and-mouth vaccine it needs through the UN.

The Amiriyah Serum and Vaccine Institute is an ideal cover location for BW re-search, testing, production, and storage. UN inspectors discovered documents related to BW research at this facility, some showing that BW cultures, agents, and equipment were stored there during the Gulf war. Of particular concern is the plant's new storage capacity, which greatly exceeds Iraq's needs for legitimate medical storage.

The Fallujah III Castor Oil Production Plant is situated on a large complex with an historical connection to Iraq's CW program. Of immediate BW concern is the potential production of ricin toxin.[7] Castor bean pulp, left over from castor oil production, can be used to extract ricin toxin. Iraq admitted to UNSCOM that it manufactured ricin and field-tested it in artillery shells before the Gulf war. Iraq operated this plant for legitimate purposes under UNSCOM scrutiny before 1998 when UN inspectors

left the country. Since 1999, Iraq has rebuilt major structures destroyed during Operation Desert Fox. Iraqi officials claim they are making castor oil for brake fluid, but verifying such claims without UN inspections is impossible.

UNSCOM uncovered a document on Iraqi Military Industrial Commission letterhead indicating that Iraq was interested in developing mobile fermentation units, and an Iraqi scientist admitted to UN inspectors that Iraq was trying to move in the direction of mobile BW production.

Iraq has now established large-scale, redundant, and concealed BW agent production capabilities based on mobile BW facilities.

Baghdad has established a large-scale, redundant, and concealed BW agent production capability, which includes mobile facilities; these facilities can evade detection, are highly survivable, and can exceed the production rates Iraq had prior to the Gulf war.

Baghdad in 1996 negotiated with UNSCOM Executive Chairman Ekeus modalities that it used to delay inspections, to restrict to four the number of inspectors allowed into any site Baghdad declared as "sensitive," and to prohibit them altogether from sites regarded as sovereign. These modalities gave Iraq leverage over individual inspections.

Iraq generally accommodated UN monitors at declared sites but occasionally obstructed access and manipulated monitoring cameras. UNSCOM and IAEA monitoring of Iraq's WMD programs does not have a specified end date under current UN resolutions.

Iraq was negotiating contracts for procuring-outside of UN controls-dual-use items with WMD applications. The UN lacks the staff needed to conduct thorough inspections of goods at Iraq's borders and to monitor imports inside Iraq.

Baghdad consistently sought to impede and limit UNSCOM's mission in Iraq by blocking access to numerous facilities throughout the inspection process, often sanitizing sites before the arrival of inspectors and routinely attempting to deny inspectors access to requested sites and individuals. At times, Baghdad would promise compliance to avoid consequences, only to renege later.

SCR 1441 returns to previous requirements imposed on Iraq under resolutions SCR 707 (http://www.un.org/Depts/unscom/Chronology/resolution707.htm) and SCR 715 (http://www.un.org/Depts/unscom/Chronology/resolution715.htm). This stringent approach was eroded by the conclusion of the "special arrangement" between Iraq and the United Nations. The United Nations was anxious to give Baghdad some incentives to comply by conceding to narrow the scope of the UN's mandate by inhibiting inspections of "sensitive sites--presidential palaces and premises related to national sovereignty" and requiring inspectors to give 24 hours' notice prior to inspections. SCR 707 recognizes Iraq's material breach of resolution 687. A number of serious violations occurred, including failure to provide full, final, and complete disclosure of all aspects of its WMD and missile programs at the start of UNSCOM's

inspections in 1991.[9]

There are no signs that Iraq has dismantled its concealment mechanism, which has been used to avoid Security Council-imposed obligations over the past twelve years. This period of time allowed Iraq to fine-tune the means of deception by surveying and studying the inspectors and the inspection process.[18] It is an organized and comprehensive system sanctioned by the highest level of Iraqi leadership and implemented by the Iraqi National Inspections Directorate, Iraqi secret services, specifically the Special Security Organization, and its Special Republican Guard.[19] The Iraqi goal is to bog down the inspections process while providing, from time to time, insignificant pieces of evidence to demonstrate its cooperation, so that it will be able to retain its WMD capabilities and related assets, and finally to have sanctions lifted by the Security Council, which will be forced to do so in the absence of any major discovery by UNMOVIC. According to Dr. John Yurechko of the Defense Intelligence Agency, "It's a highly centralized effort. The program encompasses intelligence and security services, the Special Republican Guard, the military-industrial commission, and the ministry of information."[20] Concealing weapons facilities in residential areas, mosques, hospitals, or underground facilities and presenting fraudulent declarations in violation of Security Council resolutions represent normal Iraqi behavior during inspections.[21]

Regarding chemical weapons:

Once the Western militaries figured out that CW agents were being used in attacks, the implementation of simple protective measures (masks) made chlorine by itself largely ineffective throughout the rest of the war. Furthermore, being gaseous at room temperature, these toxic compounds quickly dissipated into the atmosphere. Until mustard was introduced in 1917, the myriad CW agents used at the time were largely incapable of causing much injury except through inhalation.

The term "dusty mustard" or "dusty VX" refers to the use of a carrier particle such as talc or diatomaceous earth in order to form a particulate aerosol out of these liquid agents. There are commercial applications for similar preparations, such as insecticides used in households and gardens against pests such as ants, fleas, and ticks. Ortho® Ant-Stop, for example, uses an organophosphate (chlorpyrifos) insecticide impregnated on an inert carrier that is safe for use around mammals and people. This dust is prepared in a relatively fine particle size distribution,[24] and can be delivered into tight spaces. The dust finds its way into nooks and crannies that other types of delivery could not reach.

Steven D. Christesen, a research chemist at Edgewood Chemical Biological Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, in Maryland, discussed the use of Raman spectroscopy to detect nerve agents, mustard gas (a blister agent), and cyanide (a blood agent) in water samples. Christesen reported that the lower limit of detection for CN- is roughly 2 ppb, which is lower than the military's detection goals, but added that the measurements exhibit poor reproducibility. He proposed that the inconsistencies may be due to subtle

differences in the surface properties of the metal substrates. Mustard gas can be detected at concentrations as low as 50 ppm, Christesen said, but it hydrolyzes quickly to thioldiglycol, low levels of which are not easily detected.

Highly sensitive detection methods already exist for some analytes. Yet the all-in-one field-portable detection tool desired by the military has still not been developed.
(MITCH JACOBY, C&EN CHICAGO)

> We have satellites able to see folks scurrying around should they have
> done so. Our satellites can see inside most buildings to see scurrying
> in them.

Even the Iraqis know when the satellites are overhead. How do you think terrorist training camps hide? Do you have ANY idea what it would cost to have enough satellites to watch every inch of Iraq every moment of the day? Use your head.

> Where is the support for your constant stream of lame excuses?

Learn to read a bit, and do some research.

2887. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 07:12:50 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAC27AB2.16FAD%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32703

"VHFRadioBuff" wrote:

> No. That's the purpose of the newsgroup - to
> discuss SCANNER RELATED issues.

That is your interpretation of the purpose of this newsgroup. My interpretations - a means of communications between scanner users or a place for scanner users to gather to discuss whatever is on their minds - are just as valid. Regardless, since both of us easily control what messages we read, there is room for both interpretations. If you don't like messages with scanner users discussing politics, don't read them (nobody is forcing you to do otherwise).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

2888. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 07:58:41 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <R28na.98208$0X.23187882@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32704
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Ahem...
I did not start the thread, and I'm not the only one responding to it. I don't see you complaining to every other responder here, OR the person who STARTED the thread. If there was no interest in the thread, it would die.

2889. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 10:08:13 UTC
From: Spider <TheSipider@mac.com>
Message-ID: <TheSipider-AE868F.05093116042003@zeus-ge0.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 32705
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <BAC2625F.16FA9%stewart@say.net>,
Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net> wrote:

> "L L O Y D D A V I E S" wrote:
> >
> > That is not good. It's time for the people
> > who do that kind of dirty stuff to pay for
> > their misdeeds. Please Dan, post the name of
> >the person, and their call sign too. Their
> > call can be looked up in QRZ.com (snip)
>
>
> Lloyd, what makes you think a ham operator was involved? He said nothing
> about ham operators and his message was not posted to a ham radio newsgroup
> (two scanner newsgroups, one shortwave, and one swap). If anything, you
> should be looking for your "suspect" in one of the groups he listed.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

So Dwight are you one of those frequency police guys that check peoples
spelling, typos and grammar? I think they're called control freaks.

s

2890. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 10:37:34 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030416063734.18831.00000005@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 32706

>I doubt that would have happened. We were in a western Canadian small town
>(9,000 people) about 25 miles from one of our larger cities , and that type
>of gun stuff rarely happened if at all.

Sounds like a wonderful place!

>It was at the time - during the
>1960's - when everyone left their front door open duringhte summer and kept
>the screen door closed and there wasn't any fear of robberies, etc.

Here in New Orleans, we *still* leave the doors open. Makes it easier for the
Crime Scene people to access the scene <g>.

But I've been to places in the southern U.S. --- recently --- that are very
much like you remember. Unlocked doors, and a sense of peace and tranquility
that's quite absent in urban areas.

James S. Prine
www.therealpolice.com

2891. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 11:00:22 UTC
From: Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <87y92avha1.fld@barrow.com>
Source record: 32707
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

daviesc238@nospam.alvillage.net (Christy D) wrote:
>On Tue, 15 Apr 2003 15:50:59 GMT, Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>> Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous, (of
>> course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
>> postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets these
>> little weasels.
>
>Stop whining. You are among the many who think that you can do
>anything at all on Usenet, including things you'd NEVER do in real
>life. Instead your hands got slapped, because someone knew how to get
>in touch with RoadRunner.
>
>Get over it and straighten your act out.
>
>== Christy

Dan has a lot of opinions that I find obnoxious. He posts them
to Usenet.

But, because of what you said above, I just did a cursory search
of rec.radio.* articles that he has posted, and didn't find any
that were something he was unlikely to "do in real life", or
that he should have his hands slapped for.

Could you point me at something he has done on Usenet that fits
your requirement that he straighten out his act?

--
Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

2892. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 11:27:28 UTC
From: Stap Rinks <sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ubfq9vsjqd7h1pgknookk1d4f8co49i0o6@4ax.com>
Source record: 32708
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Wow.. your ISP guys are acting stupid.

The vast majority of ISP folks recognize them, and see right
through the whinings of net trolls.

There are these trolls throughout Usenet that pull such stupidity all
the time. Most major ISPs have employees that are savvy enough to know
that they should just ignore such idiots who cannot supply proof to
their claims.

It sounds like you need to get a better ISP anyway!

Best thing is don't get in a pissing contest with these stupid
bastards that roam Usenet with nothing better to do than cuase
trouble, in the first place!

On Tue, 15 Apr 2003 15:50:59 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> was
like:

>Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous, (of
>course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
>postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets these
>little weasels.
>
>Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
>groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
>
>If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for auction,
>it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
>
>Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
>postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to my
>ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they LIED!
>
>They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a commercial
>nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
>cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
>Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial
>service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and lord
>knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling your
>equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify the
>complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged
>infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to the
>above, recent for sale, for auction postings.
>

>As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk
>e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of my
>agreement.
>They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are also
>in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my account will
>be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the newsgroup
>Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired off to
>my ISP.
>
>So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for debate,
>discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is imminent
>at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their shoulder,
>to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions not
>at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated without a
>word of complaint that I am aware of.
>
>Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very
>reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately in the
>future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent STRONG
>SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
>
>Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup, and
>that is a shame.
>
>Dan
>

2893. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 11:31:40 UTC
From: Stap Rinks <sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jlfq9vc1gfqrovuesu5nmoe0ebl09htieg@4ax.com>
Source record: 32709
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 15 Apr 2003 13:05:50 -0600, ja <turniponion@canoemail.comPOST>
was like:

>
>
>Dan Conti wrote:
>> In my post I state that my ISP did not identify the complaining party NOR
>> did they provide examples of the offens(s).
>>
>> Dan
>>
>
>I'm no lawyer, but I thought complainants _have_ to be identified and
>the nature of the complaint specified to follow simple due process. I
>mean, you can't defend a complaint against which you haven't even seen
>the evidence! I wonder if there any lawyer radio people who might be
>able to enlighten us here. -JA

No, the internet is like the wild west. the law is established by
whoever is the most powerful (or THINKS that they are) - in this case,
an ISP who wants to be a god. They set their own rules - usual;ly 27
pages long written by some turd lawyer - and who has time to read it.
You HAVE to agree, or not get the service.

What some ISPs need is MORE COMPETITION.

2894. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 11:36:47 UTC
From: Stap Rinks <sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4ufq9vo2im5fvg9k66eulirrhu1gjck4sn@4ax.com>
Source record: 32710
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Dan, the bottom line is DON'T be intimidated by "net bullies."

One thing you need to kow is that THEY are in legal jeopardy if they
THREATEN legal action that they had no real intention of acrrying out.

DON'T let them make you stop posting your FS and FA ads here or
anywhere!

We had a couple of guys on some other groups trying the same stunts a
couple of years ago. They will shut up after a while if you don't roll
over and play dead as easily as they expect!!

Talk to your attorney and turn the tables on the bastard!

On Tue, 15 Apr 2003 13:03:05 -0400, David <marks@nospam.org> was like:

>"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>> If you enjoy usenet so much (which you seem to), consider getting a
>>> "professional" account through someone like newsguy.com
>>
>>I USED to enjoy the USENET, radio related newsgroups. I should not have to
>>get a "professional" anything. I did nothing wrong.
>
>I understand, but it's an option. If you want to stick to principles and just
>keep what you have....well...he wins and you don't get to sell your stuff here
>like you always did.
>
>
>>> They mask your source, so your ISP is not involved. Any/all spam reports
>>must
>>> go to them - because any whiner can't see beyond them.
>>>
>>> Good thing is, the people that run news servers for a business understand
>>that
>>> this type of thing ISN'T a problem and will just ignore complaints from
>>such
>>> whiners. IF the whiners lie - let the good folks know about it and give
>>them
>>> your message ID's of your posts to prove they lied about the content of
>>your
>>> messages. I know newsguy.com takes the time and will get to the bottom of
>>it.
>>> Once they do - you can consider the source whiner ignored - and they may

>>even
>>> file a complaint with his ISP !
>>
>>The whiner didn't file a complaint with the news server, he filed it with my
>>ISP, and lied, of course.
>
>Understood. But I am trying to explain that he would have no idea what your
>ISP is with a service like newsguy.com. They mask all your headers so the
>only thing a whiner can see is that the post originated at newsguy.com. Even
>if he just made the assumption that you made the post from the same ISP that
>you have now - your ISP would tell him to contact newsguy.com because that's
>what the headers will indicate.
>
>You could use AOL at home and joescompany.com at work - both going through
>newsuy.com and the whiner would see both posts as being identical with regards
>to the origin of newsguy.com
>
>
>If you go this route will it cost you extra money? Yes. Will it expose this
>liar/whiner? Yes. Will it keep your blood pressure down? Yes.
>
>It's a coin toss, but an option I thought you should know about.

2895. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 11:44:31 UTC
From: Trol Knotrol <spiderspel@yahoo.gov>
Message-ID: <jcgq9v49sb5f3j4qo1r043qjn2d9votjjn@4ax.com>
Source record: 32711
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 16 Apr 2003 10:08:13 GMT, Spider <TheSipider@mac.com> was
like:

>So Dwight are you one of those frequency police guys that check peoples
>spelling, typos and grammar? I think they're called control freaks.

Dwite kan spoel an use whtevah kinda grmur hi wan to an if it difaklt
for yoo two unerstan then tuff coz at lees you ain a control freke
dude. I sae ue kan spel howeva yu wan spel an youse wot eva gramer yu
wan use an fuk th contro frekes.

2896. Re: attic antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 11:48:32 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3E9D4310.93A9DDAA@mitre.org>
Source record: 32712

Yes, and also, if the end caps or roof eaves are covered with
aluminum siding
then this will cut down on the ability of an antenna's reception, if
it's installed in an attic location.

If the aluminum siding is just around
the four sides of the house and the end caps/roofing are wooden, then a
attic
antenna installation will work great! If you are unable to place a
antenna out side of the dwelling, which would be the best situation
because of the aluminum siding, then try placing the antenna centering
it, inside, in front of a window. If it's a two story house then put the
antenna in a upstairs window!
Jim
Wedgew wrote:

> I have aluminum siding on the hose will that have much effect on
> reception?

2897. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 12:28:00 UTC
From: thelasian@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <848aafc.0304160428.3f70803b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32713
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Iraqis given anthrax secrets by Porton Down scientists

By Shyam Bhatia, Diplomatic Editor

The Observer (Guardian) Sunday January 25, 1998

The father of Iraq's biological warfare programme benefited from a three-day anthrax workshop hosted by scientists from the Government's chemical and biological research centre at Porton Down, Wiltshire.

The embarrassing British link to Iraq's deadly anthrax research, revealed by United Nations sources, comes only days after Foreign Secretary Robin Cook's assertion that Saddam Hussein makes enough anthrax every week to fill two missile warheads.

President Clinton warned last week on American TV: "Think how many people can be killed by just a tiny bit of anthrax and think about how it's not just that Saddam Hussein might put it on a Scud and send it to the city he wants to destroy. Think about all the terrorists and other bad actors who could parade through Baghdad and pick up their stores if we don't take action."

Anthrax toxin is classified as a weapon of mass destruction capable of killing millions within days. Exposure to minute amounts leads to an excruciatingly painful death. UN inspections of suspected storage sites have been suspended because Iraq has blocked access and the chief UN weapons inspector, Richard Butler, says his talks with the Iraqi authorities were characterised by "moments of abuse and denigration" of his staff.

The West's role in providing Iraq with anthrax know-how began at a key workshop in Winchester in 1988. Among 80 scientists from around the world were Dr Nasser el-Hindawi and his assistant, Dr Thamer Abdel Rahman, microbiologists working for Iraq's secret biological weapons programme. The programme's aim was to develop weapons to spread anthrax, gas gangrene, botulism toxin, brucellosis, rabbit foot and tetanus.

Hindawi, who is still active in Iraq, was the academic supervisor of another British-trained Iraqi, Dr Rihab el-Taha, widely known as Dr Death. Taha, trained at the

University of East Anglia, was in charge of the Al Hakam biological weapons factory blown up in 1996 by UN inspectors.

As a professor at Baghdad University, Hindawi was commissioned by Iraq's ruling Baath Party to help develop biological weapons in the shortest possible time. By the time he arrived in Winchester, he had a shopping list of what was needed.

UN officials describe the workshop as a "Who's Who of anthrax research". They say the three-day meeting was devoted entirely to anthrax with "a full exchange of ideas and materials". Among the British participants was Harry Smith, now emeritus professor of microbiology at Birmingham University. A world authority on anthrax, he says: "To be perfectly frank, I didn't know [the Iraqis] were there."

"My God, it's quite astounding that no one caught on," said Dr Alastair Hay, reader in chemical pathology at Leeds University, who has been following Iraq's chemical and biological programme. "By 1985, Iran had already accused Iraq of carrying out chemical warfare and Iraq's interest in weapons of mass destruction had already been flagged up. Why bring these people here?"

UN inspectors say the Iraqis launched their biological warfare programme in mid-1986 at Salman Pak, outside Baghdad. Soon after, the Iraqis started large-scale production of anthrax for deployment in bombs and Scud missiles.

Germ culture to grow the anthrax was freely imported from the US military's centre for chemical and biological research at Fort Detrick, Maryland, via civilian laboratories operated by ATCC, the American Type Culture Collection.

American investigators have established that several shipments of biological material, including 21 batches of anthrax, were licensed for export from the US to Iraq between 1985 and 1988. They were sent to the Ministry of Higher Education and the Ministry of Trade in Baghdad.

Copyright Guardian Media Group plc 1998

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:<mk7na.98058$0X.23165840@twister.columbus.rr.com>...
> "USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote
>
> > Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> > > "Wayne Daniels" <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com...

> > > <sigh>
> > > For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead
> of
> > > the inspectors.
> >
> > How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float
> > into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
> > molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable
> > to swabs of inspectors.
> >
> > Their manufacture has many other signatures - buildings with tell-tale
> > characteristics, processes with tell-tale indicators.
> >
> > You can make a point of trying to hide - but you can't really do it.
>
> Was reading an article about just that - they were trying to make the same
> point you did, and the experts they questioned stated that it's not as easy
> as that - for example, there are biological entities all over the room you
> are in right now. Look at the false positives they've already had. There was
> also a segment on the news about this. Also, if you've ever been to that (or
> any other) desert, you know how the winds are.
> Second point - the search for WMDs is really just starting - that was NOT
> part of the operational plan (combat). The coalition didn't send in weapons
> inspectors, they sent in SOLDIERS.
>
> > We here countless excuses as to why we have never seen a single WMD, nor
> > even a shred of real evidence that they exist - oh, Iraq is a large
> > country, Blix is incompetent, we have only been looking for weeks, on
> > and on.
>
> Again, we haven't really been looking - the military action - getting rid of
> the regime - was the primary focus.
>
> > Unfettered access? They had to let Hussein know where they
> > > were going before they went there - what a joke.
> >
> > How did Saddam manage to persuade the molecules to exit from the paint
> > on the walls?
>
> Existing detection systems have tended to be fairly large and not terribly
> accurate, and to require humans to operate them.
> While many of the technologies show promise and while dozens of research
> groups in the United States and elsewhere chip away at refinements, it will
> take much more time and effort to produce a truly reliable battlefield
> detector.
> (Christopher Aston, New York University Medical Center)
>
> The components of biological warfare are found in nature, in the soil, in
> the air and even inside human beings. The presence of these organisms does
> not necessarily connote a sinister motive. They are used for many peaceful

> purposes such as routine studies against disease, the creation of vaccines,
> and the study of defensive measures against a biological attack. Components
> of biological weapons are, by nature, dual use. Operators of clandestine
> offensive BW programs can claim any materials are for peaceful purposes or
> easily clean up the evidence by using no more sophisticated means than
> household bleach. Detecting violations is nearly impossible; proving a
> violation is impossible. Traditional arms control measures are based on
> detecting violations and then taking action -- military or diplomatic -- to
> restore compliance. Traditional arms control measures are not effective
> against biology. Using them, we could prove neither non-compliance nor
> compliance.
> John R. Bolton, Under Secretary for Arms Control and International Security
>
> Andrew McGill, head of the functional materials and devices section at the
> Naval Research Laboratory, also talked about pattern recognition. The
> sensors he described are based on sorption vapor detection. In these
> sensors, an analyte-selective coating is placed on a resonant transducer
> such as a surface acoustic wave device. Shifts in the device resonant
> frequency that occur when target compounds are sorbed to the polymers
> coating the surface allow the detection of vapors and gases. Arrays of
> devices coated with different sorptive polymers are needed to generate
> "nose" prints of gases. These patterns, when combined with pattern
> recognition techniques, allow identification of target analytes.
>
> Array sensors are "dumb" without training, McGill pointed out. The
> interferences expected for a given application are an important part of that
> training. The range of potential interferences increases greatly when the
> application is homeland defense rather than military. "The answer," McGill
> said, "is not a single technology, but orthogonal technologies."
>
> As fermentation technology continues to improve, detecting the clandestine
> production of BW agents at dual-capable facilities, such as vaccine plants,
> will become even more difficult. Continuous fermentation tanks can produce
> militarily significant quantities of a pathogen from a seed culture in a
> matter of days.
>
> Advanced biopharmaceutical plants use "clean-in-place" systems that flush
> fermenters and pipes with microcidal chemicals and hot water. Such systems
> could eliminate all traces of a BW agent in a few hours. Thus, even
> short-notice inspections may not turn up conclusive evidence of illicit
> production.
>
> Outline of the UN Inspections Mechanism in Iraq
> Victor Mizin, Senior Research Associate
> Center for Nonproliferation Studies
> March 2003
>
> The UN inspections and monitoring mechanism in Iraq was recently reinforced
> in November 2002 by the adoption of Security Council Resolution 1441 (SCR
> 1441). Prior to SCR 1441, the United Nations Security Council set forth the

> scope of WMD inspections in SCR 687 (1990), which specified cease fire plans
> and detailed Iraq's obligations to destroy all chemical, biological, and
> nuclear weapons, and all missiles with a range in excess of 150
> kilometers.[1] Iraqi non-compliance with SCR 687 included an extensive and
> officially sanctioned network of deception, denial, and, at times, physical
> disruption of the inspection process, which led to subsequent UN
> resolutions.[2] Additionally, the Iraqi final, full, and complete
> disclosures (FFCD) of WMD capabilities repeatedly fell short of what
> inspections actually found and what transpired according to defector reports
> and other intelligence sources.[3] Questions remain regarding nuclear,
> chemical, biological, and missile systems that are still unaccounted for or
> that lack any official Iraqi documentation certifying their destruction.[4]
>
> More than 10 years after the Gulf war, gaps in Iraqi accounting and current
> production capabilities strongly suggest that Iraq maintains a stockpile of
> chemical agents, probably VX,[3] sarin, cyclosarin,[4] and mustard.
>
> Baghdad never supplied adequate evidence to support its claims that it
> destroyed all of its CW agents and munitions. Thousands of tons of chemical
> precursors and tens of thousands of unfilled munitions, including
> Scud-variant missile warheads, remain unaccounted for.
>
> UNSCOM discovered a document at Iraqi Air Force headquarters in July 1998
> showing that Iraq overstated by at least 6,000 the number of chemical bombs
> it told the UN it had used during the Iran-Iraq War-bombs that remain are
> unaccounted for.
>
> Iraq has not accounted for 15,000 artillery rockets that in the past were
> its preferred means for delivering nerve agents, nor has it accounted for
> about 550 artillery shells filled with mustard agent.
>
> Baghdad continues to rebuild and expand dual-use infrastructure that it
> could divert quickly to CW production. The best examples are the chlorine
> and phenol plants at the Fallujah II facility. Both chemicals have
> legitimate civilian uses but also are raw materials for the synthesis of
> precursor chemicals used to produce blister and nerve agents. Iraq has
> three other chlorine plants that have much higher capacity for civilian
> production; these plants and Iraqi imports are more than sufficient to meet
> Iraq's civilian needs for water treatment. Of the 15 million kg of chlorine
> imported under the UN Oil-for-Food Program since 1997, Baghdad used only 10
> million kg and has 5 million kg in stock, suggesting that some domestically
> produced chlorine has been diverted to such proscribed activities as CW
> agent production.
>
> Fallujah II was one of Iraq's principal CW precursor production facilities
> before the Gulf war. In the last two years the Iraqis have upgraded the
> facility and brought in new chemical reactor vessels and shipping containers
> with a large amount of production equipment. They have expanded chlorine
> output far beyond pre-Gulf war production levels-capabilities that can be
> diverted quickly to CW production. Iraq is seeking to purchase CW agent

> precursors and applicable production equipment and is trying to hide the
> activities of the Fallujah plant.
>
> After four years of claiming that they had conducted only "small-scale,
> defensive" research, Iraqi officials finally admitted to inspectors in 1995
> to production and weaponization of biological agents. The Iraqis admitted
> this only after being faced with evidence of their procurement of a large
> volume of growth media and the defection of Husayn Kamil, former director of
> Iraq's military industries.
>
> Baghdad did not provide persuasive evidence to support its claims that it
> unilaterally destroyed its BW agents and munitions. Experts from UNSCOM
> assessed that Baghdad's declarations vastly understated the production of
> biological agents and estimated that Iraq actually produced two-to-four
> times the amount of agent that it acknowledged producing, including Bacillus
> anthracis-the causative agent of anthrax-and botulinum toxin.
>
> The improvement or expansion of a number of nominally "civilian" facilities
> that were directly associated with biological weapons indicates that key
> aspects of Iraq's offensive BW program are active and most elements more
> advanced and larger than before the 1990-1991 Gulf war.
>
> The al-Dawrah Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD) Vaccine Facility is one of two
> known Biocontainment Level-3-facilities in Iraq with an extensive air
> handling and filtering system. Iraq admitted that before the Gulf war
> Al-Dawrah had been a BW agent production facility. UNSCOM attempted to
> render it useless for BW agent pro-duction in 1996 but left some production
> equipment in place because UNSCOM could not prove it was connected to
> previous BW work. In 2001, Iraq announced it would begin renovating the
> plant without UN approval, ostensibly to produce a vaccine to combat an FMD
> outbreak. In fact, Iraq easily can import all the foot-and-mouth vaccine it
> needs through the UN.
>
> The Amiriyah Serum and Vaccine Institute is an ideal cover location for BW
> re-search, testing, production, and storage. UN inspectors discovered
> documents related to BW research at this facility, some showing that BW
> cultures, agents, and equipment were stored there during the Gulf war. Of
> particular concern is the plant's new storage capacity, which greatly
> exceeds Iraq's needs for legitimate medical storage.
>
> The Fallujah III Castor Oil Production Plant is situated on a large complex
> with an historical connection to Iraq's CW program. Of immediate BW concern
> is the potential production of ricin toxin.[7] Castor bean pulp, left over
> from castor oil production, can be used to extract ricin toxin. Iraq
> admitted to UNSCOM that it manufactured ricin and field-tested it in
> artillery shells before the Gulf war. Iraq operated this plant for
> legitimate purposes under UNSCOM scrutiny before 1998 when UN inspectors
> left the country. Since 1999, Iraq has rebuilt major structures destroyed
> during Operation Desert Fox. Iraqi officials claim they are making castor
> oil for brake fluid, but verifying such claims without UN inspections is

> impossible.
>
> UNSCOM uncovered a document on Iraqi Military Industrial Commission
> letterhead indicating that Iraq was interested in developing mobile
> fermentation units, and an Iraqi scientist admitted to UN inspectors that
> Iraq was trying to move in the direction of mobile BW production.
>
> Iraq has now established large-scale, redundant, and concealed BW agent
> production capabilities based on mobile BW facilities.
>
> Baghdad has established a large-scale, redundant, and concealed BW agent
> production capability, which includes mobile facilities; these facilities
> can evade detection, are highly survivable, and can exceed the production
> rates Iraq had prior to the Gulf war.
>
> Baghdad in 1996 negotiated with UNSCOM Executive Chairman Ekeus modalities
> that it used to delay inspections, to restrict to four the number of
> inspectors allowed into any site Baghdad declared as "sensitive," and to
> prohibit them altogether from sites regarded as sovereign. These modalities
> gave Iraq leverage over individual inspections.
>
> Iraq generally accommodated UN monitors at declared sites but occasionally
> obstructed access and manipulated monitoring cameras. UNSCOM and IAEA
> monitoring of Iraq's WMD programs does not have a specified end date under
> current UN resolutions.
>
> Iraq was negotiating contracts for procuring-outside of UN controls-dual-use
> items with WMD applications. The UN lacks the staff needed to conduct
> thorough inspections of goods at Iraq's borders and to monitor imports
> inside Iraq.
>
> Baghdad consistently sought to impede and limit UNSCOM's mission in Iraq by
> blocking access to numerous facilities throughout the inspection process,
> often sanitizing sites before the arrival of inspectors and routinely
> attempting to deny inspectors access to requested sites and individuals. At
> times, Baghdad would promise compliance to avoid consequences, only to
> renege later.
>
> SCR 1441 returns to previous requirements imposed on Iraq under resolutions
> SCR 707 (http://www.un.org/Depts/unscom/Chronology/resolution707.htm) and
> SCR 715 (http://www.un.org/Depts/unscom/Chronology/resolution715.htm). This
> stringent approach was eroded by the conclusion of the "special arrangement"
> between Iraq and the United Nations. The United Nations was anxious to give
> Baghdad some incentives to comply by conceding to narrow the scope of the
> UN's mandate by inhibiting inspections of "sensitive sites--presidential
> palaces and premises related to national sovereignty" and requiring
> inspectors to give 24 hours' notice prior to inspections. SCR 707 recognizes
> Iraq's material breach of resolution 687. A number of serious violations
> occurred, including failure to provide full, final, and complete disclosure
> of all aspects of its WMD and missile programs at the start of UNSCOM's

> inspections in 1991.[9]
>
> There are no signs that Iraq has dismantled its concealment mechanism, which
> has been used to avoid Security Council-imposed obligations over the past
> twelve years. This period of time allowed Iraq to fine-tune the means of
> deception by surveying and studying the inspectors and the inspection
> process.[18] It is an organized and comprehensive system sanctioned by the
> highest level of Iraqi leadership and implemented by the Iraqi National
> Inspections Directorate, Iraqi secret services, specifically the Special
> Security Organization, and its Special Republican Guard.[19] The Iraqi goal
> is to bog down the inspections process while providing, from time to time,
> insignificant pieces of evidence to demonstrate its cooperation, so that it
> will be able to retain its WMD capabilities and related assets, and finally
> to have sanctions lifted by the Security Council, which will be forced to do
> so in the absence of any major discovery by UNMOVIC. According to Dr. John
> Yurechko of the Defense Intelligence Agency, "It's a highly centralized
> effort. The program encompasses intelligence and security services, the
> Special Republican Guard, the military-industrial commission, and the
> ministry of information."[20] Concealing weapons facilities in residential
> areas, mosques, hospitals, or underground facilities and presenting
> fraudulent declarations in violation of Security Council resolutions
> represent normal Iraqi behavior during inspections.[21]
>
> Regarding chemical weapons:
>
> Once the Western militaries figured out that CW agents were being used in
> attacks, the implementation of simple protective measures (masks) made
> chlorine by itself largely ineffective throughout the rest of the war.
> Furthermore, being gaseous at room temperature, these toxic compounds
> quickly dissipated into the atmosphere. Until mustard was introduced in
> 1917, the myriad CW agents used at the time were largely incapable of
> causing much injury except through inhalation.
>
> The term "dusty mustard" or "dusty VX" refers to the use of a carrier
> particle such as talc or diatomaceous earth in order to form a particulate
> aerosol out of these liquid agents. There are commercial applications for
> similar preparations, such as insecticides used in households and gardens
> against pests such as ants, fleas, and ticks. Orthoï¿½ Ant-Stop, for example,
> uses an organophosphate (chlorpyrifos) insecticide impregnated on an inert
> carrier that is safe for use around mammals and people. This dust is
> prepared in a relatively fine particle size distribution,[24] and can be
> delivered into tight spaces. The dust finds its way into nooks and crannies
> that other types of delivery could not reach.
>
> Steven D. Christesen, a research chemist at Edgewood Chemical Biological
> Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, in Maryland, discussed the use of Raman
> spectroscopy to detect nerve agents, mustard gas (a blister agent), and
> cyanide (a blood agent) in water samples. Christesen reported that the lower
> limit of detection for CN- is roughly 2 ppb, which is lower than the
> military's detection goals, but added that the measurements exhibit poor

> reproducibility. He proposed that the inconsistencies may be due to subtle
> differences in the surface properties of the metal substrates. Mustard gas
> can be detected at concentrations as low as 50 ppm, Christesen said, but it
> hydrolyzes quickly to thioldiglycol, low levels of which are not easily
> detected.
>
> Highly sensitive detection methods already exist for some analytes. Yet the
> all-in-one field-portable detection tool desired by the military has still
> not been developed.
> (MITCH JACOBY, C&EN CHICAGO)
>
> > We have satellites able to see folks scurrying around should they have
> > done so. Our satellites can see inside most buildings to see scurrying
> > in them.
>
> Even the Iraqis know when the satellites are overhead. How do you think
> terrorist training camps hide? Do you have ANY idea what it would cost to
> have enough satellites to watch every inch of Iraq every moment of the day?
> Use your head.
>
> > Where is the support for your constant stream of lame excuses?
>
> Learn to read a bit, and do some research.

2898. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 12:32:17 UTC
From: Barry9124@city.net
Message-ID: <3E9D4D51.94B07514@city.net>
Source record: 32714

Mick wrote:

> Just call the PD and report the car being in front of your house! Ask for a
> car to come out... Listen to the scanner... They will most likely tell the
> car who comes out who it is registered to.? Right? You better hope it's not
> a undercover car or a cop though!
>
> Mick

Listening to the scanner will do no good if the patrol car has a computer in
it.

I can't believe that someone would make such a request.
No police officer is going to put his job on the line for any one.

2899. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 12:56:19 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9qmifctp0ci10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32716
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Paul Keenleyside" <nospambcpl8z@telus.net> wrote in message
news:yfMma.53846$7L.3383237@news0.telusplanet.net...
>
> "Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
> in message news:v9mq5jbr5u4550@corp.supernews.com...
> > Just wondering if there is a kind sole out there, that would risk their
> job,
> > to run some tags for me? I don't necessarily need the whole works like
> > address, etc (thought it would be nice!), but I'm mostly looking the
name
> of
> > the owner...
> >
> > Michigan tag, 462 XNH
>
> I don't know what the laws are in Michigan, but it is generally against
the
> law in most jurisidictions to run plates by a civilian to find an owner.
>
Yup, that is exactly why I stated they would possibly be risking their
job...

PH

2900. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 12:59:42 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9qmiflntni811@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32715
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Nitespark" <""nitespark\"@nosp@m-cox.net> wrote in message
news:xTPma.111253$yh1.6309685@news1.east.cox.net...
>
>
> Power House CB & Scanner wrote:
> > Just wondering if there is a kind sole out there, that would risk their
job,
> > to run some tags for me? I don't necessarily need the whole works like
> > address, etc (thought it would be nice!), but I'm mostly looking the
name of
> > the owner...
> >
> > Michigan tag, 462 XNH
> >
> > Would be greatly appreciated!
> > PH
>
>
> So tell us WHY a person should be willing to risk their job for someone
> they don't even know for a reason you won't even explain???
>
Because they are kind? Besides, they are running ONE plate and the chances
of getting caught doing that are basically zero... And why, well if someone
can guaranty the info, I will explain the reason why, and then they can
decided for themselves... All you have to do is ask, it's not that hard is
it? I'm not going to type a whole story for everyone to read, they don't
need to know. The only one that needs to know is the one whole would be
supplying the info...

PH

2901. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 13:13:28 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9qmigdeurvq14@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32718

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BABEA2BE.16ACF%stewart@say.net...
> "Power House CB & Scanner" wrote:
>
> > (snip) This is a newsgroup who's topic is SCANNERS,
> > not war stories... You want war stories, go find
> > the appropriate newsgroup(s) for it.
> >
> > There is a reason why there are SO many different
> > groups; one for nearly every interest. (snip)
>
>
> Okay, I'll gladly do that just as soon as you show me a newsgroup for
> scanner users to talk to other scanner users about significant events in
the
> world. I looked, but I didn't find rec.radio.scannerusers.discussion or
> anything even similar to that.
>

If your that bent on a groups like that, then create one! I bet you will be
the only person posting in it as those that are interested in talking about
scanners will do it here, and those interested in talking about politics
will do that in an appropriate group for such discussions...

PH

2902. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 13:20:03 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9qmigkl4o8n15@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32719

"Paul Keenleyside" <nospambcpl8z@telus.net> wrote in message
news:W51na.88$dh1.35726@news0.telusplanet.net...
> Someone wants an unknown usenet user to run a Michigan registered plate
and
> does not state the reason, and no doubt someone's job would surely be at
> risk, not to mention a fine?
>
> Somethin' ain't right on that one. If I had access to the registration
> system, I wouldn't do it.

The reason isn't given because the whole world doesn't need to know. The
only person that needs to know is the one that is willing to get me a lousy
name... Plain and simple.

PH

2903. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 13:23:50 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9qmigrpvr9c16@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32717

<Barry9124@city.net> wrote in message news:3E9D4D51.94B07514@city.net...
> Listening to the scanner will do no good if the patrol car has a computer
in
> it.
>
> I can't believe that someone would make such a request.
> No police officer is going to put his job on the line for any one.
>

Honestly, if it's anything like around here, there wouldn't be any problem at all with it... Around here they radio in a plate and ask for the info, give their location, and that's it.. Plate info comes back, no questions asked, nothing suspicious at all... Just an everyday routine thing.

PH

2904. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 13:31:03 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1906faf799cea35798996d@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32721
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <5L2na.25151$ey1.2268880@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
73steve@earthlink.net says...
>
> "USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote
> > How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float
> > into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
> > molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable
> > to swabs of inspectors.
>
> Wrong. Only so - called "high - persistence agents" "stick," as you put it.
> Hey, I actually remember something from my NBC days!

Do they float?

THere are many signatures from making WMD - we have failed to find even
one.

We have spent lots of money learning how to listen in to phone
conversations, to listen in to conversations in buildings, we have
agents, we have cameras looking down, vibration sensors seeking specific
patterns indicative of making certain things.

Now how does Saddam make all these indicators - molecules floating and
sticking - or just landing on the floor or on tables, sticky or not -
the vibration patterns, the visual signs seen from above, the
conversations thought to be private - follow the bouncing ball to hide
the stuff when an inspector is discovered to be driving over to the old
WMD plant?

Why do you persist in believing something so implausible?
>
> 73,
>
> Steve Lawrence
> Burnsville, MN
>
>
>

2905. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 13:33:44 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1906fb97c2dc3dea98996e@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32722
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <mk7na.98058$0X.23165840@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> "USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote
>
> > Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> > > "Wayne Daniels" <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com...
> > > <sigh>
> > > For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead
> of
> > > the inspectors.
> >
> > How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float
> > into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
> > molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable
> > to swabs of inspectors.
> >
> > Their manufacture has many other signatures - buildings with tell-tale
> > characteristics, processes with tell-tale indicators.
> >
> > You can make a point of trying to hide - but you can't really do it.
>
> Was reading an article about just that - they were trying to make the same
> point you did, and the experts they questioned stated that it's not as easy
> as that - for example, there are biological entities all over the room you
> are in right now. Look at the false positives they've already had. There was
> also a segment on the news about this. Also, if you've ever been to that (or
> any other) desert, you know how the winds are.
> Second point - the search for WMDs is really just starting - that was NOT
> part of the operational plan (combat). The coalition didn't send in weapons
> inspectors, they sent in SOLDIERS.

That's false. We created special teams with super high tech devices
specifically to find sites immediately. They have been scouring the
country from the very first day - probably even before the first day -
looking for WMD. Not a single WMD has been found - despite all our
spying information gleaned from many years of spying on Iraq.

Finding WMD rapidly is one of our HIGHEST priorities in this war.

Almost up there with guarding oil assets from looters.

>
> > We here countless excuses as to why we have never seen a single WMD, nor

> > even a shred of real evidence that they exist - oh, Iraq is a large
> > country, Blix is incompetent, we have only been looking for weeks, on
> > and on.
>
> Again, we haven't really been looking - the military action - getting rid of
> the regime - was the primary focus.
>
> > Unfettered access? They had to let Hussein know where they
> > > were going before they went there - what a joke.
> >
> > How did Saddam manage to persuade the molecules to exit from the paint
> > on the walls?
>
> Existing detection systems have tended to be fairly large and not terribly
> accurate, and to require humans to operate them.
> While many of the technologies show promise and while dozens of research
> groups in the United States and elsewhere chip away at refinements, it will
> take much more time and effort to produce a truly reliable battlefield
> detector.

2906. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 13:44:34 UTC
From: Spider <TheSipider@mac.com>
Message-ID: <TheSipider-5786A2.08454916042003@zeus-ge0.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 32720
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <jcgq9v49sb5f3j4qo1r043qjn2d9votjjn@4ax.com>,
Trol Knotrol <spiderspel@yahoo.gov> wrote:

> On Wed, 16 Apr 2003 10:08:13 GMT, Spider <TheSipider@mac.com> was
> like:
>
> >So Dwight are you one of those frequency police guys that check peoples
> >spelling, typos and grammar? I think they're called control freaks.
>
> Dwite kan spoel an use whtevah kinda grmur hi wan to an if it difaklt
> for yoo two unerstan then tuff coz at lees you ain a control freke
> dude. I sae ue kan spel howeva yu wan spel an youse wot eva gramer yu
> wan use an fuk th contro frekes.
>

Yea i here ya bud lets keep this sucka goin

2907. Re: antenna questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 14:13:52 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935F6A91FYomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You can always use a mobile whip but the Super Discone Antenna
http://users.cis.net/kingpop/Superdiscone.htm is only 3 feet tall and
should work just fine in your attic or in a window.

George

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm

anthony@mostlyrockets.com (Anthony B.) wrote in
<4bednWQ0__ZvngGjXTWcpg@comcast.com>:

>I am looking to place an antenna in my attic, I rent in a 2 family house
>and the roof is off limits.
>Anyway I was thinking of the Scantenna,
>Will it work in an attic?
>What can I get or build to use one antenna on two scanners?
>thanks
>
>--
>Anthony Bonacarti
>anthony@mostlyrockets.com
>www.mostlyrockets.com
>
>

2908. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 14:27:41 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <935F69C00Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32724
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hey Dan, just a thought.. Start your own news group and call it "stuff 4-sall" hehehe that should shut the damn nazy's up :-)I to had a problem by posting a antenna test we did in alt.radio.scanner some child with the nic of me was yelling off topic off topic warz scammer, I have no idea what a warzs scammer is but I thought most scanners needed an antenna to work?? Us guys here in the office are trying to figur-out what he was yelling about. Then one of the guys pointed out He's just a 10 year old looking for attention because his mommy don't love him.. Any way my point is you will always find a discontented child looking for attention no matter what you do on the net.. So the only way to stop this is a simple one, i.e. start your own news group and you moderate it :-) just delete there crap..

George.

dconti@nj.rr.com (Dan Conti) wrote in
<DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com>:

>Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous,
>(of course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of
>any postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it
>upsets these little weasels.
>
>Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
>groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
>
>If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for
>auction, it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
>
>Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
>postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to
>my ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK,
>they LIED!
>
>They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a
>commercial nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they
>may be cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail
>solicitations. Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not
>a commercial service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio
>dealer, and lord knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a
>profit selling your equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my
>ISP did not identify the complainant, nor did they supply proof or
>examples of my alleged infractions. So, I can only assume that the
>complaint was related to the above, recent for sale, for auction
>postings.

>
>As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk
>e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of
>my agreement.
>They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are
>also in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my
>account will be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks
>to the newsgroup Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return
>letter I fired off to my ISP.
>
>So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for
>debate, discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists
>is imminent at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on
>their shoulder, to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly
>political discussions not at all related to the topic of the group, yet
>continue unabated without a word of complaint that I am aware of.
>
>Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very
>reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately in
>the future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent
>STRONG SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
>
>Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup,
>and that is a shame.
>
>Dan
>
>
>

2909. AOR scanner fore sale -ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 14:48:02 UTC
From: radiii@shaw.ca
Message-ID: <58rq9v8tb30ud8vilhv8thm3iufa59cocj@4ax.com>
Source record: 32725

AOR 5000 scanner for sale on ebay
great opportunity to get a high end scanner
at a great price.
sorry Americans - won't pass US customs
http://cgi.ebay.ca/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3018682285

2910. AOR 5000 scanner for sale on ebay - sorry Americans -

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 14:53:36 UTC
From: radiii@shaw.ca
Message-ID: <3jrq9vc3gqp9se3jkhh322fcqqih609au1@4ax.com>
Source record: 32726

AOR 5000 scanner for sale on ebay - sorry Americans - won't pass US
customs
http://cgi.ebay.ca/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3018682285

2911. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 14:55:31 UTC
From: BlackWater <bw@barrk.net>
Message-ID: <t0qq9vsiikdjda4ibj5mngim3d70c65apj@4ax.com>
Source record: 32727
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote:

>In article <9aso9vg6kmj4gkdiacc0mqtl9qpicg8l12@4ax.com>, bw@barrk.net
>says...
>> USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote:
>>
>> >In article <A4Kma.93874$0X.21765572@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
>> >Q@Q.invalid.com says...
>> >> "Wayne Daniels" <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com...
>> >> > > CNN is a disgrace -
>> >> >
>> >> > Yeah, I especially like the part about once we pass this imaginary red
>> >> line
>> >> > around Baghdad, that Iraq would start using the chemical weapons they
>> >> don't
>> >> > have against us.
>> >> > http://www.counterpunch.org/rooij04112003.html
>> >>
>> >> <sigh>
>> >> For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead of
>> >> the inspectors.
>> >
>> >How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float
>> >into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
>> >molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable
>> >to swabs of inspectors.
>>
>> You forget how MUCH air there is in Iraq ... and how MUCH
>> sand there is for those molecules to stick to BEFORE they
>> get to any sensor.
>
>No. Literally the next breath you take will contain molecules from the
>dying breath of Julius Ceasar. No shit. That is because there are a
>truly amazing number of molecules in a given volume - molecules are real
>tiny and real numerous. Thus one or two or three of them will be
>floating about. In a lab which produced bio-chem weapons. Such that a
>sample taken from a suspected site will contain the tell-tale evil stuff
>if such stuff was made there.

You are assuming we have sensors sensitive to
single-molecule quantities of the various types
of nerve gasses. Note also that these substances
degrade in the presence of oxygen, ozone, moisture

and chemicals like chlorine. After a thorough job
of decontamination, plus a year or two, there may
not BE a single molecule of VX left intact. We
would then have to have a sensor for the breakdown
products ... which may be the same as for a number
of ordinary insecticides.

>> >Their manufacture has many other signatures - buildings with tell-tale
>> >characteristics, processes with tell-tale indicators.
>>
>> Unless you work underground or intentionally
>> disguise the chemical works. As for bio weapons,
>> they can be made most anywhere and have no
>> archetectural fingerprint.
>>
>They leak. They have other signatures that our billions spent developing
>ways to detect them can detect them.

I think you're vastly over-estimating the sensitivity
of availible detection techniques and vastly under-
estimating the sheer SIZE of the sampling problem.
Hell, they couldn't even track-down our local anthrax
mailer - and they *really* wanted to.

>The notion that Iraq could make such weapons in volume sufficiently to
>threaten us, without detection, is laughable.

You're overestimating our intelligence-gathering
capabilities. North Korea was able to put together
an entire uranium-enrichment program completely
undetected ... and that was with UN(/cia) inspectors
milling around the area.

>But it works as a con to trick folks like you into supporting a
>"defensive" war - so the Bush Administration trotted it out - despite
>how completely absurd the argument is.

We can't even find bin-Laden ...

Nothing 'absurd' about the administration claims
at all.

>> >You can make a point of trying to hide - but you can't really do it.
>>
>> Sure you can.
>
>No. Bio-chem weapons sufficient to threaten us must be made. Making them
>is detectable. Molecules inevitably leak - so as to be detectable by our
>sensors in Satellites, on the ground, in U-2 planes, and so on.
>
>We have zero evidence of production.

We've been through this already ...

>It is POSSIbLE Iraq has invisible Martians hidden in caves - or that
>they have such weapons made without detection yet in volume sufficient
>to threaten us - but I don't think the odds are good for that.

It's the VOLUME, or potential volume, that's of
most intererest to me. To justify a large invasion
like we did, there had better be a LOT of the evil
stuff - militarily-significant quantities - or the
capability to MAKE such amounts quickly (1-6 month
timeframe) from precursors.

If the quantities are piddly, a sneakier, 'low impact',
method of deposing Husseins government should have been
used. Hussein STILL deserved his fate, but the need for
such a large-scale effort wouldn't have been there since
he wouldn't have had the stuff to retaliate with.

>> Actually, the hiding doesn't even have to
>> be *perfect*, just good enough to ensure
>> a state of doubt.
>>
>You are the one proposing that Iraq has Martians.

No ... but I've got a neighbor who is into UFOs.
Ask him that question.

>Shouldn't you provide some proof?

You are the one going against what several large
'democratic' governments have told us ... I'd say
the burden of proof may be yours.

However, Bush/Blair & Co are gonna have to produce
proof themselves within the next month or so - and
nobody is gonna just take their word for it. They
are going to have to satisfy rather a lot of other
governments and their internal critics too.

>> Anyway ... everybody was screaming how the
>> UN inspectors really needed several extra
>> months to do even a half-assed survey -
>> well, the US/UK people will need time too.
>> Give it a month or two - THEN complain if
>> need-be.
>
>
>We have been looking at Iraq for many years, with devices costing
>billions.

Well, they may have COST billions ... but that's
government contracts for you :-)

>Not a shred of evidence that those Martians are really there. OR that
>WMD are really there.

I think we're not the all-seeing/all-knowing power
that we like others to believe we are.

>Could be the place is loaded with Martians or WMD - but I am skeptical,
>given that our sensors have turned up - zip.

Hopefully it was the martians, not vandals, who
nabbed all that stuff from the museum. We would
have a better chance of getting it back ...

2912. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 15:26:30 UTC
From: Essle <essle@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190794af458bcc298968c@news.telia.net>
Source record: 32728
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Paul Keenleyside" <nospambcpl8z@telus.net> wrote in message
news:yfMma.53846$7L.3383237@news0.telusplanet.net...

> I don't know what the laws are in Michigan, but it is generally against the
> law in most jurisidictions to run plates by a civilian to find an owner.

In Sweden the registrant of a car is a public record, available to
anyone (even Americans).
Why is it against the law in most (American?) jurisdictions?
Any given reason?

2913. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 15:39:09 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <wOena.1800$X44.997@fed1read07>
Source record: 32729
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Dan,

You'll never stop them. People ask me all the time why I am anonymous, well this is one reason why. I want to be able to speak freely, on whatever subject, in whatever NG, whenever I choose. Being anonymous allows this.

As for your ISP, I really wouldn't worry about the letter. It's just "don't sue us" material - if you started posting kiddie porn or other nasty stuff and they got sued over it, they could produce that letter and say they were doing their part to prevent it. Chances are that's all it is.

Bottom line is they want and respect your monthly service payments much more than a complaint or two from some cowardly bozo.

Change your header info and go undercover - you'll be glad you did and then the trolls can go pick on their own kind over in alt.retards.

-me

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous,
(of
> course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
> postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets
these
> little weasels.
>
> Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
> groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
>
> If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for auction,
> it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
>
> Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
> postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to my
> ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they
LIED!
>
> They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a
commercial
> nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
> cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
> Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial
> service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and lord

> knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling your
> equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify the
> complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged
> infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to the
> above, recent for sale, for auction postings.
>
> As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk
> e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of my
> agreement.
> They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are
also
> in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my account
will
> be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the
newsgroup
> Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired off
to
> my ISP.
>
> So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for
debate,
> discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is imminent
> at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their
shoulder,
> to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions not
> at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated without a
> word of complaint that I am aware of.
>
> Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very
> reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately in
the
> future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent STRONG
> SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
>
> Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup,
and
> that is a shame.
>
> Dan
>
>

2914. Re: attic antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 15:40:55 UTC
From: Wedgew <wedgew@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3E9D7988.7090500@rochester.rr.com>
Source record: 32730

thanks the ant is above the level of the siding now and works great!

Jim Mac Donald wrote:
> Yes, and also, if the end caps or roof eaves are covered with
> aluminum siding
> then this will cut down on the ability of an antenna's reception, if
> it's installed in an attic location.
>
> If the aluminum siding is just around
> the four sides of the house and the end caps/roofing are wooden, then a
> attic
> antenna installation will work great! If you are unable to place a
> antenna out side of the dwelling, which would be the best situation
> because of the aluminum siding, then try placing the antenna centering
> it, inside, in front of a window. If it's a two story house then put the
> antenna in a upstairs window!
> Jim
> Wedgew wrote:
>
>
>>I have aluminum siding on the hose will that have much effect on
>>reception?
>
>

2915. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 15:47:45 UTC
From: st599@ecs.soton.ac.uk
Message-ID: <3E9D7B21.D4B94B01@ecs.soton.ac.uk>
Source record: 32731
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Anthrax is a naturally occurring substance found in soil, therefore you
can't just swab for it, it will appear naturally, it becomes worrying
when concentrated and weaponised. To be honest, I think that there
probably was a red line, but the Iraqi's saw what was coming and decided
it wasn't worth it, hence the poor attempt to defend Baghdad.

BlackWater wrote:
>
> USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote:
>
> >In article <A4Kma.93874$0X.21765572@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
> >Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> >> "Wayne Daniels" <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com...
> >> > > CNN is a disgrace -
> >> >
> >> > Yeah, I especially like the part about once we pass this imaginary red
> >> line
> >> > around Baghdad, that Iraq would start using the chemical weapons they
> >> don't
> >> > have against us.
> >> > http://www.counterpunch.org/rooij04112003.html
> >>
> >> <sigh>
> >> For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead of
> >> the inspectors.
> >
> >How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float
> >into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
> >molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable
> >to swabs of inspectors.
>
> You forget how MUCH air there is in Iraq ... and how MUCH
> sand there is for those molecules to stick to BEFORE they
> get to any sensor.
>
> >Their manufacture has many other signatures - buildings with tell-tale
> >characteristics, processes with tell-tale indicators.
>
> Unless you work underground or intentionally
> disguise the chemical works. As for bio weapons,
> they can be made most anywhere and have no
> archetectural fingerprint.

>
> >You can make a point of trying to hide - but you can't really do it.
>
> Sure you can.
>
> Actually, the hiding doesn't even have to
> be *perfect*, just good enough to ensure
> a state of doubt.
>
> Anyway ... everybody was screaming how the
> UN inspectors really needed several extra
> months to do even a half-assed survey -
> well, the US/UK people will need time too.
> Give it a month or two - THEN complain if
> need-be.

2916. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 16:21:42 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qqfna.1219$YE2.17334@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 32732
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Too many stalkers and crazy people out there....

"Essle" <essle@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.190794af458bcc298968c@news.telia.net...
> "Paul Keenleyside" <nospambcpl8z@telus.net> wrote in message
> news:yfMma.53846$7L.3383237@news0.telusplanet.net...
>
> > I don't know what the laws are in Michigan, but it is generally against
the
> > law in most jurisidictions to run plates by a civilian to find an owner.
>
> In Sweden the registrant of a car is a public record, available to
> anyone (even Americans).
> Why is it against the law in most (American?) jurisdictions?
> Any given reason?
>

2917. Re: I need help picking out a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 16:24:39 UTC
From: Export <Export_export@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e9d83c7$0$153$a1866201@authen.newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 32733

The area I am looking at is Andover, MN. It is a suburb of Minneapolis, MN.
I have been checking the FCC website, but I am not sure how to tell if it is
trunked.

Thanks for the help,
Ex

"Jerry" <fccgrant@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3E9CC1BA.635B0FF6@nospam.yahoo.com...
> You will need to find out what frequencies are in your area. This can be
done by going to the FCC
> web site and searching out the town you live in or by using a search
engine for the state / area of
> interest.
>
> The next thing will be to find out what kind of radio systems are in your
area. Again, a search
> engine will be pretty helpful here. If it is your run of the mill radio
system then you may be able
> to get away with an inexpensive scanner. If your area has a 'trunked'
system then you will have to
> pony up some additional dollars.
>
> What state/area are you in? This may help to get more knowlegable people
in the area to assist
> further.
>
>
> Export wrote:
> >
> > Hi all,
> >
> > I am new to this scanner world and I was hoping you "experts" could help
me
> > pick out a scanner. All I want to be able to do is find out what is
going
> > on when I hear sirens. I also don't want to spend a boatload of money.
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Ex
>
> --
> Jerry Smith
> Midwest Grant Pages
> http://ilgrant.home.att.net

>
> The #1 Chicago Area Scanner Resource on the Web
> Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Assoc. http://www.carmachicago.com

2918. Listening is fun but I need to be able to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 16:35:22 UTC
From: Davey Jones <djones@park.org>
Message-ID: <dDfna.1802$X44.1584@fed1read07>
Source record: 32734

Hey I just bought me a ham radio HT from a buddy of mine. It's cool - I can use it like a scanner and listen to the cops but it won't transmit on their channels. I want that ability in case I spot a drunk or a burglar while I deliver my morning newspapers. Can I modify my HT to do this?

Thanks!
Davey

2919. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 16:57:17 UTC
From: "chris holt"
Message-ID: <b7k21dgta1@ucsnew1.ncl.ac.uk>
Source record: 32737
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

BlackWater wrote:

> Anyway ... everybody was screaming how the
> UN inspectors really needed several extra
> months to do even a half-assed survey -
> well, the US/UK people will need time too.
> Give it a month or two - THEN complain if
> need-be.

Turn it around; if the US/(UK?) people need so much time,
why did they refuse it to the UN inspectors?

--

chris.holt@ncl.ac.uk http://www.cs.ncl.ac.uk/people/chris.holt

2920. Re: newbie rec

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 17:06:51 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b7k1nd$1m1f4$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32735

Howdy 'dog. How you gonna mount that on your ATV? :-)
How much $$ do you have to spend on one?

Can't go wrong with a Uniden BC780xlt for around $225-$270. Or for a bit
more you can get the new BC785D without the digital card for around $350.

"Simpledog" <simpledogs@mminternet.com> wrote in message
news:v9pm7ur73j8od0@corp.supernews.com...
> Recommendation on a computer controlled base station scanner?
>
>

2921. Re: attic antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 17:09:03 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b7k1rg$1qi04$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32736

Would vinyl siding effect the signal? I want to put a longwire under my
fascia edging.

"Wedgew" <wedgew@rochester.rr.com> wrote in message
news:3E9D7988.7090500@rochester.rr.com...
> thanks the ant is above the level of the siding now and works great!
>
> Jim Mac Donald wrote:
> > Yes, and also, if the end caps or roof eaves are covered with
> > aluminum siding
> > then this will cut down on the ability of an antenna's reception, if
> > it's installed in an attic location.
> >
> > If the aluminum siding is just around
> > the four sides of the house and the end caps/roofing are wooden, then a
> > attic
> > antenna installation will work great! If you are unable to place a
> > antenna out side of the dwelling, which would be the best situation
> > because of the aluminum siding, then try placing the antenna centering
> > it, inside, in front of a window. If it's a two story house then put the
> > antenna in a upstairs window!
> > Jim
> > Wedgew wrote:
> >
> >
> >>I have aluminum siding on the hose will that have much effect on
> >>reception?
> >
> >
>

2922. Re: Listening is fun but I need to be able to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 17:25:13 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9r4fk3das5a07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32738

Absolutely, I would be sure to make sure you have the repeater input freqs for all the cops within 100 miles.

The police really enjoy having those reports coming over their freqs. Be sure when you modify it you say "Testing 1...2...3 " at least twenty times in a row. Some of the hard nose supervisors will get upset, ignore them as you want as many folks as possible to respond. After all it is your right to the airwaves, haven't they learned anything from CB?

Next you need to get known, so first get a catchy unit number i.e. "Batman77" or what ever suits your personality. Be sure to report every violation you see, show them you are a serious, concerned citizen.

Find the local code for "officer down"and use it at the beginning of all your transmissions. This will insure your transmissions are not interfered with by mundane stuff, such as a 7-11 robbery.

Do not be intimidated by the nay sayers that will try to tell you this is illegal, they are only trying to interfere with your constitutional right to free speech.

I am proud of you Davey and look forward to reading about your exploits in the newspaper. I am jealous that you will get to meet really neat folks like Riley Hollinsworth , and others, that I could only hope to glimpse in my lifetime.

"Davey Jones" <djones@park.org> wrote in message
news:dDfna.1802$X44.1584@fed1read07...
> Hey I just bought me a ham radio HT from a buddy of mine. It's cool - I
can
> use it like a scanner and listen to the cops but it won't transmit on
their
> channels. I want that ability in case I spot a drunk or a burglar while I
> deliver my morning newspapers. Can I modify my HT to do this?
>
> Thanks!
> Davey
>
>

2923. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 17:29:11 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <Hpgna.510472$sf5.820600@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 32739
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Gee we haven't heard from Power House CB & Scanner about this discussion.

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous,
(of
> course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
> postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets
these
> little weasels.
>
> Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
> groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
>
> If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for auction,
> it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
>
> Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
> postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to my
> ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they
LIED!
>
> They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a
commercial
> nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
> cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
> Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial
> service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and lord
> knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling your
> equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify the
> complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged
> infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to the
> above, recent for sale, for auction postings.
>
> As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk
> e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of my
> agreement.
> They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are
also
> in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my account
will
> be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the
newsgroup

> Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired off
to
> my ISP.
>
> So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for
debate,
> discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is imminent
> at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their
shoulder,
> to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions not
> at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated without a
> word of complaint that I am aware of.
>
> Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very
> reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately in
the
> future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent STRONG
> SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
>
> Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup,
and
> that is a shame.
>
> Dan
>
>

2924. Re: How to make interface to link Alinco DJ-X3 with PC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 17:39:08 UTC
From: Belon <belon@chez.com>
Message-ID: <b45r9v05saheek7gv2bkjkthrk5579lpco@4ax.com>
Source record: 32740

Le Sun, 13 Apr 2003 18:52:24 +0200, Helge Wunderlich
<helgeww.spamtrap@start.no> wrote:

>On Fri, 11 Apr 2003 19:57:12 +0200, Belon <belon@chez.com> wrote:
>
>>Do you know how to make an computer interface cable to link this scanner
>>with a serial PC port?
>
>There are, of course, many ways to do it. The simplest is probably to
>use Maxim's MAX232 or one of its successors. You also need a power
>supply for the chip, which you can either leech from the serial port
>or supply from an external source.
>
>>It's not very easy to find ERW-4C interface.
>
>There is a cable from Icom that is compatible. I forgot the name, but
>a bit of googling should find it. You may also want to take a look at
>the DJ-X3 mail group at http://groups.yahoo.com. The archive contains
>some information about the cable. Also, the files section contains a
>diagram for building your own interface.
>
>>Alinco's internet site say that a simple electric connection cable may
>>not work properly.
>
>They are right. In fact, "may not" is not entirely correct. "Will not"
>is more accurate. The X3 communicates using 0V/5V signals, while the
>serial port needs +/- 5 to +/- 15 V. Additionally, the signal must be
>inverted, so that 0 V gives +15 V, and 5 V gives -15 V.

Thank you for your reply, in fact, I found it at
www.ccintlgrp.com/djx10/schematic.htm
but, there is a bug in Alinco's software. I can download some setup
pages from DJ-X3 but not some others (software eject me)
I will look at Yahoo.

Amicalement,
Belon

2925. Re: Listening is fun but I need to be able to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 17:55:36 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com>
Message-ID: <sOgna.53$va.80587@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 32741

"Davey Jones" <djones@park.org> wrote in message
news:dDfna.1802$X44.1584@fed1read07...
> Hey I just bought me a ham radio HT from a buddy of mine. It's cool - I
can
> use it like a scanner and listen to the cops but it won't transmit on
their
> channels. I want that ability in case I spot a drunk or a burglar while I
> deliver my morning newspapers. Can I modify my HT to do this?

Why would you want to go to jail?

Transmit on the cops' frequencies, and they WILL arrest you, and the FCC
will lift any licenses you might have.

No, the 'emergency' clauses won't save you. This is something they flatly
do not tolerate.

(Probably a troll, but, in case he was serious)

Local comment (paraphrased) when someone (a ham) did this, and was arrested
and his gear confiscated, by FCC: If someone wants to claim an emergency,
they better be on a boat that's ON FIRE and SINKING. Nothing less will
save them.

2926. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 17:59:43 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <iSgna.1807$X44.445@fed1read07>
Source record: 32742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OK, if you really want this done, here's how.

Get an old cell phone. Something not traceable to you. Call 911, say you are Emilio Goldstein, or some other made up name and advise you're following a drunk driver and give them that plate #.

Then listen up on your scanner and you will hear what you want to know. They usually run plates of drunks incase they head for home.

Gee - why didn't you think of that? Have fun.
-me

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message news:v9mq5jbr5u4550@corp.supernews.com...
> Just wondering if there is a kind sole out there, that would risk their job,
> to run some tags for me? I don't necessarily need the whole works like
> address, etc (thought it would be nice!), but I'm mostly looking the name of
> the owner...
>
> Michigan tag, 462 XNH
>
> Would be greatly appreciated!
> PH
>
>
>

2927. Re: I need help picking out a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 18:02:19 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <KUgna.1809$X44.185@fed1read07>
Source record: 32743

Best bet would be to open up the yellow pages and find a scanner or ham radio dealer in your area - then go there, check out what's available and make your purchase. The people there will know what kind of scanner to buy for your area.

-me

"Export" <Export_export@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3e9d83c7$0$153$a1866201@authen.newsreader.visi.com...
> The area I am looking at is Andover, MN. It is a suburb of Minneapolis, MN.
> I have been checking the FCC website, but I am not sure how to tell if it is
> trunked.
>
> Thanks for the help,
> Ex
>
>
> "Jerry" <fccgrant@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3E9CC1BA.635B0FF6@nospam.yahoo.com...
> > You will need to find out what frequencies are in your area. This can be
> done by going to the FCC
> > web site and searching out the town you live in or by using a search
> engine for the state / area of
> > interest.
> >
> > The next thing will be to find out what kind of radio systems are in your
> area. Again, a search
> > engine will be pretty helpful here. If it is your run of the mill radio
> system then you may be able
> > to get away with an inexpensive scanner. If your area has a 'trunked'
> system then you will have to
> > pony up some additional dollars.
> >
> > What state/area are you in? This may help to get more knowlegable people
> in the area to assist
> > further.
> >
> >
> > Export wrote:
> > >

> > > Hi all,
> > >
> > > I am new to this scanner world and I was hoping you "experts" could
help
> me
> > > pick out a scanner. All I want to be able to do is find out what is
> going
> > > on when I hear sirens. I also don't want to spend a boatload of
money.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > Ex
> >
> > --
> > Jerry Smith
> > Midwest Grant Pages
> > http://ilgrant.home.att.net
> >
> > The #1 Chicago Area Scanner Resource on the Web
> > Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Assoc. http://www.carmachicago.com
>
>

2928. Video-Motion-Detector who can make ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 18:23:43 UTC
From: bla <comsecnl@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Pchna.40870$qm4.6327681@amsnews03.chello.com>
Source record: 32745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Who can make or design a " Video-Motion-Detector" ?

A "Video-Motion-Detector" is an electronic device that can be connected to a videocamera, when a movement in the video-picture is
detected the unit will switch a relay
wich can be used to switch an alarm or a timelapse-videorecorder.

Such a unit is very hard to find !... specially when it should run on 12 Volts (just try it on the internet)

It should be a small box with 2 connectors (video in,video out)
and 1 adjuster for sensitivity

A later and more luxurious model should have the possibility to
adjust for a certain part of the screen (field) so it will only react to movements in this part of the field (this field could be an
adjustable square wich will be visible on the connected monitor)

The idea is to make a lowcost unit in a small box (not based on windows software on a pc)
maybe a pic-processor can be used or old-fashioned anolog parts

I am willing to pay good for a good design or working model

Any tips or ideas are also welcome

Please respond to: comsecnl@hotmail.com

Thanks in advance

2929. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 19:00:25 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1907482431cff67e98997d@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32746
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <t0qq9vsiikdjda4ibj5mngim3d70c65apj@4ax.com>, bw@barrk.net
says...
> USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote:
>
> >In article <9aso9vg6kmj4gkdiacc0mqtl9qpicg8l12@4ax.com>, bw@barrk.net
> >says...
> >> USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >In article <A4Kma.93874$0X.21765572@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
> >> >Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> >> >> "Wayne Daniels" <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com...
> >> >> > > CNN is a disgrace -
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Yeah, I especially like the part about once we pass this imaginary red
> >> >> line
> >> >> > around Baghdad, that Iraq would start using the chemical weapons they
> >> >> don't
> >> >> > have against us.
> >> >> > http://www.counterpunch.org/rooij04112003.html
> >> >>
> >> >> <sigh>
> >> >> For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead of
> >> >> the inspectors.
> >> >
> >> >How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float
> >> >into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
> >> >molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable
> >> >to swabs of inspectors.
> >>
> >> You forget how MUCH air there is in Iraq ... and how MUCH
> >> sand there is for those molecules to stick to BEFORE they
> >> get to any sensor.
> >
> >No. Literally the next breath you take will contain molecules from the
> >dying breath of Julius Ceasar. No shit. That is because there are a
> >truly amazing number of molecules in a given volume - molecules are real
> >tiny and real numerous. Thus one or two or three of them will be
> >floating about. In a lab which produced bio-chem weapons. Such that a
> >sample taken from a suspected site will contain the tell-tale evil stuff
> >if such stuff was made there.
>
> You are assuming we have sensors sensitive to

> single-molecule quantities of the various types
> of nerve gasses. Note also that these substances
> degrade in the presence of oxygen, ozone, moisture
> and chemicals like chlorine. After a thorough job
> of decontamination, plus a year or two, there may
> not BE a single molecule of VX left intact.

Thus the argument that the stuff could be hidden in the three hours from a UN inspector revealing he plans to go to Plant X to the time the inspector arrives - would not be like that.

How come we never find any of the things?

Even with inspections after minimal notice?

We
> would then have to have a sensor for the breakdown
> products ... which may be the same as for a number
> of ordinary insecticides.

I am talking about current production. The stuff produced way way back in time - is not likely to have retained much punch, given that it was weaponized simply rather than with elaborate storage strategies in mind.

I think we would have proof of current production - recent production.

We have no proof.

Therefore I think it unlikely that there has been recent production.

Therefore I think the argument that Iraq posed a serious threat to us is not correct.

2930. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 19:05:26 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1907495325c7f8d698997e@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32648
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <3E9D7B21.D4B94B01@ecs.soton.ac.uk>, st599@ecs.soton.ac.uk
says...
> Anthrax is a naturally occurring substance found in soil, therefore you
> can't just swab for it, it will appear naturally, it becomes worrying
> when concentrated and weaponised. To be honest, I think that there
> probably was a red line, but the Iraqi's saw what was coming and decided
> it wasn't worth it, hence the poor attempt to defend Baghdad.
>
>
>
There are now a huge number of excuses for the failure of any one to
find even one WMD.

We have excuses like - Blix must be incompetent - that is why he can't
find WMD.

Or Iraq is real big - big as California - so that is why we can't find
any WMD.

Or Iraq decided it would be futile, so they didn't use them.

Or ...on and on and on.

After a while, a mountain of excuses should make you wonder whether
there just aren't any WMD to begin with.

That we were the victims of one of the biggest frauds in history.

2931. Anyone had any dealings with john_lalonde@sympatico.ca?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 19:23:38 UTC
From: "Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com>
Message-ID: <acbr9voklr9cdsb9aoskpgrrhec2a7jfoh@4ax.com>
Source record: 32744

Had a trade deal on some equipment with john_lalonde@sympatico.ca .
Four weeks ago I shipped a package to him at an address in Canada.

I've never received my package and now his e-mail bounces. Bottom
line: I've been had. Anyone else had any dealings with him? By the
way, he signed his e-mail with the name "Mike". Guess I should have
seen this one coming.

73 de Jim, W8EMS/9

2932. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 19:24:07 UTC
From: wartime ramalane <ramalane@ramalaneTINR.com>
Message-ID: <ZZhna.6705$MU4.2145@fe03.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 32647
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

```
"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1907482431cff67e98997d@news.newsguy.com...
: In article <t0qq9vsiikdjda4ibj5mngim3d70c65apj@4ax.com>, bw@barrk.net
: says...
: > USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote:
: >
: > >In article <9aso9vg6kmj4gkdiacc0mqtl9qpicg8l12@4ax.com>, bw@barrk.net
: > >says...
: > >> USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote:
: > >>
: > >> >In article <A4Kma.93874$0X.21765572@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
: > >> >Q@Q.invalid.com says...
: > >> >> "Wayne Daniels" <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
: > >> >> news:3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com...
: > >> >> > > CNN is a disgrace -
: > >> >> >
: > >> >> > Yeah, I especially like the part about once we pass this
imaginary red
: > >> >> line
: > >> >> > around Baghdad, that Iraq would start using the chemical weapons
they
: > >> >> don't
: > >> >> > have against us.
: > >> >> > http://www.counterpunch.org/rooij04112003.html
: > >> >>
: > >> >> <sigh>
: > >> >> For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step
ahead of
: > >> >> the inspectors.
: > >> >
: > >> >How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which
float
: > >> >into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
: > >> >molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces
abailable
: > >> >to swabs of inspectors.
: > >>
: > >> You forget how MUCH air there is in Iraq ... and how MUCH
: > >> sand there is for those molecules to stick to BEFORE they
: > >> get to any sensor.
: > >
: > >No. Literally the next breath you take will contain molecules from the
: > >dying breath of Julius Ceasar. No shit. That is because there are a
```

: > >truly amazing number of molecules in a given volume - molecules are
real
: > >tiny and real numerous. Thus one or two or three of them will be
: > >floating about. In a lab which produced bio-chem weapons. Such that a
: > >sample taken from a suspected site will contain the tell-tale evil
stuff
: > >if such stuff was made there.
: >
: > You are assuming we have sensors sensitive to
: > single-molecule quantities of the various types
: > of nerve gasses. Note also that these substances
: > degrade in the presence of oxygen, ozone, moisture
: > and chemicals like chlorine. After a thorough job
: > of decontamination, plus a year or two, there may
: > not BE a single molecule of VX left intact.
:
: Thus the argument that the stuff could be hidden in the three hours from
: a UN inspector revealing he plans to go to Plant X to the time the
: inspector arrives - would not be like that.
:
: How come we never find any of the things?
:
: Even with inspections after minimal notice?
:
:
: We
: > would then have to have a sensor for the breakdown
: > products ... which may be the same as for a number
: > of ordinary insecticides.
:
: I am talking about current production. The stuff produced way way back
: in time - is not likely to have retained much punch, given that it was
: weaponized simply rather than with elaborate storage strategies in mind.
:
: I think we would have proof of current production - recent production.
:
: We have no proof.
:
: Therefore I think it unlikely that there has been recent production.
:
: Therefore I think the argument that Iraq posed a serious threat to us is
: not correct.

What would you consider a serious threat...a mushroom cloud over Israel?

/ramalane

--
Member of The Brotherhood
http://www.nancyboi.com

+++alt.2600/#hack FAQ (by The Voyager)+++
http://www.hackfaq.org

2933. what % of police departments encrypt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 19:36:50 UTC
From: Dan Jacobson <jidanni@dman.ddts.net>
Message-ID: <87brz6z12l.fsf@jidanni.org>
Source record: 32664

What percentage of police departments encrypt communications these days?

For a new equipment order, would the choice to encrypt not add much to
the price or degrade much from performance?

From a brief reading of old news here in Taiwan, it seems they were going
to go digital but then backtracked to analog due to performance
problems.

As they are big buyers of Motorola GM 950s, could they say go for the
"Transcrypt Scrambling Option Board facilitates completely private
communication through Hopping Code Frequency Inversion Technique",
or might that jack up costs or degrade reliability such that they
wouldn't lightheartedly go that route cutting off scanner listeners?
--
http://jidanni.org/ Taiwan(04)25854780

2934. Re: Video-Motion-Detector who can make ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 19:37:26 UTC
From: me <savvy@westlock.au>
Message-ID: <b7kbbg$n4b$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 32649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

what you need is a webcame with software that actually does the motion
detection for you.
i use A LOGITEC PRO 4000 CAMERA WITH THE SOFTWARE and it comes with
software to detect motion,time delaymotion,start stop motion.
only 90 quid approx from argos.

john

"bla" <comsecnl@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Pchna.40870$qm4.6327681@amsnews03.chello.com...
> Who can make or design a " Video-Motion-Detector" ?
>
> A "Video-Motion-Detector" is an electronic device that can be connected
to a videocamera, when a movement in the video-picture is
> detected the unit will switch a relay
> wich can be used to switch an alarm or a timelapse-videorecorder.
>
> Such a unit is very hard to find !... specially when it should run on 12
Volts (just try it on the internet)
>
> It should be a small box with 2 connectors (video in,video out)
> and 1 adjuster for sensitivity
>
> A later and more luxurious model should have the possibility to
> adjust for a certain part of the screen (field) so it will only react to
movements in this part of the field (this field could be an
> adjustable square wich will be visible on the connected monitor)
>
> The idea is to make a lowcost unit in a small box (not based on windows
software on a pc)
> maybe a pic-processor can be used or old-fashioned anolog parts
>
> I am willing to pay good for a good design or working model
>
> Any tips or ideas are also welcome
>
> Please respond to: comsecnl@hotmail.com
>
> Thanks in advance
>
>
>

2935. Re: Anyone had any dealings with john_lalonde@sympatico.ca?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 19:54:23 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Pxina.90146$JI.24240330@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32650

You Canadians should help track this guy down!

"Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com> wrote in message
news:acbr9voklr9cdsb9aoskpgrrhec2a7jfoh@4ax.com...
> Had a trade deal on some equipment with john_lalonde@sympatico.ca .
> Four weeks ago I shipped a package to him at an address in Canada.
>
> I've never received my package and now his e-mail bounces. Bottom
> line: I've been had. Anyone else had any dealings with him? By the
> way, he signed his e-mail with the name "Mike". Guess I should have
> seen this one coming.
>
> 73 de Jim, W8EMS/9

2936. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 20:07:58 UTC
From: baghdad_bob_2003@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <70b8937a.0304161207.2aaa39f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32651
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Now even the American command is under siege. We are hitting it from the north, east, south and west. We chase them here and they chase us there. But at the end we are the people who are laying siege to them. And it is not them who are besieging us."

2937. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 20:13:34 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <OPina.101099$0X.23599378@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32652
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"wartime ramalane" <ramalane@ramalaneTINR.com> wrote

> : > You are assuming we have sensors sensitive to
> : > single-molecule quantities of the various types
> : > of nerve gasses. Note also that these substances
> : > degrade in the presence of oxygen, ozone, moisture
> : > and chemicals like chlorine. After a thorough job
> : > of decontamination, plus a year or two, there may
> : > not BE a single molecule of VX left intact.
> :
> : Thus the argument that the stuff could be hidden in the three hours from
> : a UN inspector revealing he plans to go to Plant X to the time the
> : inspector arrives - would not be like that.
> :
> : How come we never find any of the things?
> :
> : Even with inspections after minimal notice?

Ever hear of mobile facilities?

DOH!

> : I think we would have proof of current production - recent production.
> :
> : We have no proof.

Don't count on it. There have been allot of samples sent to labs - no conclusions yet. And as I mentioned before, the primary objective was to get rid of the regime - FIRST - THEN the REAL inspections can begin. Soldiers are trained to be SOLDIERS, not weapons inspectors. Hell, we're just now going back to cities we bypassed on the way to Baghdad et. al.

> : Therefore I think it unlikely that there has been recent production.

Your logic is flimsy at best.

> : Therefore I think the argument that Iraq posed a serious threat to us is
> : not correct.

Oh? And they haven't harbored terrorists either, right?

> What would you consider a serious threat...a mushroom cloud over Israel?
> /ramalane

2938. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 20:24:40 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <c_ina.101128$0X.23607952@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32653
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> babbled...

> In article <3E9D7B21.D4B94B01@ecs.soton.ac.uk>, st599@ecs.soton.ac.uk
> says...
> > Anthrax is a naturally occurring substance found in soil, therefore you
> > can't just swab for it, it will appear naturally, it becomes worrying
> > when concentrated and weaponised. To be honest, I think that there
> > probably was a red line, but the Iraqi's saw what was coming and decided
> > it wasn't worth it, hence the poor attempt to defend Baghdad.
> >
> >
> >
> There are now a huge number of excuses for the failure of any one to
> find even one WMD.

Are you REALLY that thick? The primary objective was never to just run around looking for WMDs - did the coalition send in weapons inspectors?

DOH!

> We have excuses like - Blix must be incompetent - that is why he can't
> find WMD.

Do a bit of research, and you'll see how Hussein has obstructed the inspection process.

> Or Iraq is real big - big as California - so that is why we can't find
> any WMD.

Ever been to the desert in California? Tell ya what - I'm going to bury a truck in the Mojave, and I want you to find it - let me know when you do.

> Or Iraq decided it would be futile, so they didn't use them.
>
> Or ...on and on and on.

Let me ask you something - if YOU were in your country's military, through no real choice of your own, and were in a combat situation - in your own country - would YOU follow orders to use chem/bio weapons? Hell, most of those monkeys wouldn't even use CONVENTIONAL weapons - they dropped them, took off their uniforms, and hauled ass. Use your head.

> After a while, a mountain of excuses should make you wonder whether
> there just aren't any WMD to begin with.

What excuses? I didn't see a "Weapons Inspection Battalion" anywhere, in anybody's forces. Saw lots of weapons, but no inspectors. Don't recall

hearing of any orders to search every nook and cranny and THEN to advance.
Use your head.

2939. Re: Anyone had any dealings with john_lalonde@sympatico.ca?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 20:27:44 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <41jna.101129$0X.23609555@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32654

"Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com> wrote in message
news:acbr9voklr9cdsb9aoskpgrrhec2a7jfoh@4ax.com...
> Had a trade deal on some equipment with john_lalonde@sympatico.ca .
> Four weeks ago I shipped a package to him at an address in Canada.
>
> I've never received my package and now his e-mail bounces. Bottom
> line: I've been had. Anyone else had any dealings with him? By the
> way, he signed his e-mail with the name "Mike". Guess I should have
> seen this one coming.
>
> 73 de Jim, W8EMS/9

Another one of "those" Canadians...

2940. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 20:53:27 UTC
From: BlackWater <bw@barrk.net>
Message-ID: <4bfr9v0nhab554g0e6no9b3mer5f3vqlv5@4ax.com>
Source record: 32655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote:

>In article <t0qq9vsiikdjda4ibj5mngim3d70c65apj@4ax.com>, bw@barrk.net
>says...
>> USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote:
>>
>> >In article <9aso9vg6kmj4gkdiacc0mqtl9qpicg8l12@4ax.com>, bw@barrk.net
>> >says...
>> >> USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >In article <A4Kma.93874$0X.21765572@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
>> >> >Q@Q.invalid.com says...
>> >> >> "Wayne Daniels" <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:3E9B01B6.F18556B8@hotmail.com...
>> >> >> > > CNN is a disgrace -
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Yeah, I especially like the part about once we pass this imaginary red
>> >> >> line
>> >> >> > around Baghdad, that Iraq would start using the chemical weapons they
>> >> >> don't
>> >> >> > have against us.
>> >> >> > http://www.counterpunch.org/rooij04112003.html
>> >> >>
>> >> >> <sigh>
>> >> >> For years, Hussein's regime has made it a point to stay one step ahead of
>> >> >> the inspectors.
>> >> >
>> >> >How can they do that? Bio-chemical weapons emit molecules which float
>> >> >into the air, where they can be detected by remote US sensors. Such
>> >> >molecules stick in paint on the walls, stick to table surfaces abailable
>> >> >to swabs of inspectors.
>> >>
>> >> You forget how MUCH air there is in Iraq ... and how MUCH
>> >> sand there is for those molecules to stick to BEFORE they
>> >> get to any sensor.
>> >
>> >No. Literally the next breath you take will contain molecules from the
>> >dying breath of Julius Ceasar. No shit. That is because there are a
>> >truly amazing number of molecules in a given volume - molecules are real
>> >tiny and real numerous. Thus one or two or three of them will be
>> >floating about. In a lab which produced bio-chem weapons. Such that a
>> >sample taken from a suspected site will contain the tell-tale evil stuff
>> >if such stuff was made there.

>>
>> You are assuming we have sensors sensitive to
>> single-molecule quantities of the various types
>> of nerve gasses. Note also that these substances
>> degrade in the presence of oxygen, ozone, moisture
>> and chemicals like chlorine. After a thorough job
>> of decontamination, plus a year or two, there may
>> not BE a single molecule of VX left intact.
>
>Thus the argument that the stuff could be hidden in the three hours from
>a UN inspector revealing he plans to go to Plant X to the time the
>inspector arrives - would not be like that.

I agree they couldn't have hid ready-2-use weapons
or chemical containers of nerve gas on short notice
without leaving some residues.

The sensitivity and selectivity of the detection
techniques remains an issue however. The difference
between certain pesticides and human nerve agents is
very small. Indeed, pesticides might be used to mask
the verboten chemicals, overwhelming the sensors or
chemical tests. Even something as whiz-bang as an
antibody to VX may be *sensitive* enough, but not
selective enough.

>How come we never find any of the things?
>Even with inspections after minimal notice?

Might be they were never where we were inspecting.
Doesn't mean they're *nowhere* however. A lot more
digging around is in order.

>> We
>> would then have to have a sensor for the breakdown
>> products ... which may be the same as for a number
>> of ordinary insecticides.
>
>I am talking about current production. The stuff produced way way back
>in time - is not likely to have retained much punch, given that it was
>weaponized simply rather than with elaborate storage strategies in mind.

No reason VX would 'go bad', at least not seriously
so, even if stored inside a shell for a decade. It's
a fairly stable and non-reactive substance. There are
some other nerve agents that are less stable and will
break-down within hours if exposed to the environment
or likely a year or two inside a shell.

>I think we would have proof of current production - recent production.
>
>We have no proof.

Not yet ... but then, as I said, depending on the
nerve agent ... "recent" can be a decade or more.

>Therefore I think it unlikely that there has been recent production.
>
>Therefore I think the argument that Iraq posed a serious threat to us is
>not correct.

There are all sorts of "threats" ... directly bombing
the USA or one of our allies with nerve gas is only
one variety of "threat". Supplying 3rd-parties with
small quantities of chem/bio agents is another kind
of 'threat'. The 9/11 attacks only knocked down a few
buildings, but the political and especially economic
impact was enourmous. Ergo, even relatively small,
indirect attacks can be devastating in their way.
Direct loss of life is not the ONLY relevant measure
of "threat".

Saddam was getting himself into the Israel/Palestine
thing in increasingly larger ways during the past
few years ... recently giving many cash rewards to
the families of Palestinian 'marytrs'. It's clear
that the megalomaniacal Hussein saw this conflict
as a means to secure himself a glorious place in
arabic history ... but cash alone wasn't gonna do it.

He would have to get behind 'spectacular' attacks on
Israelis, do a LOT of damage to them and (eventually)
claim credit. Chem/bio weapons, even in fairly small
quantities, were the quickest way to slaughter a LOT
of Israelis (and maybe some Americans too). I think
he had revealed his path, and it was a journey we HAD
to cut short before it reached its logical end.

So yes, there WAS "threat". Plenty of threat. Motive,
means and soon the opportunity.

2941. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 20:55:48 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb9rgqk.28s.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 32656

In article <v9qmigrpvr9c16@corp.supernews.com>, Power House CB & Scanner wrote:
>
><Barry9124@city.net> wrote in message news:3E9D4D51.94B07514@city.net...
>> Listening to the scanner will do no good if the patrol car has a computer
> in
>> it.
>>
>> I can't believe that someone would make such a request.
>> No police officer is going to put his job on the line for any one.
>>
>
> Honestly, if it's anything like around here, there wouldn't be any problem
> at all with it... Around here they radio in a plate and ask for the info,
> give their location, and that's it.. Plate info comes back, no questions
> asked, nothing suspicious at all... Just an everyday routine thing.

Same here in Denmark. They also tell the address of owner, his
driver license status, his criminal record etc etc.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

2942. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 21:06:22 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9rhe9b2qu91c4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32657
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That just might work... If I can't get the info through some other means, I may just have to resort to that... I've heard these types of calls before, so I they do run the plates... Humm...

PH

"me" <me@none.com> wrote in message news:iSgna.1807$X44.445@fed1read07...
> OK, if you really want this done, here's how.
>
> Get an old cell phone. Something not traceable to you. Call 911, say you are
> Emilio Goldstein, or some other made up name and advise you're following a
> drunk driver and give them that plate #.
>
> Then listen up on your scanner and you will hear what you want to know. They
> usually run plates of drunks incase they head for home.
>
> Gee - why didn't you think of that? Have fun.
> -me
>
> "Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
> in message news:v9mq5jbr5u4550@corp.supernews.com...
> > Just wondering if there is a kind sole out there, that would risk their
> job,
> > to run some tags for me? I don't necessarily need the whole works like
> > address, etc (thought it would be nice!), but I'm mostly looking the name
> of
> > the owner...
> >
> > Michigan tag, 462 XNH
> >
> > Would be greatly appreciated!
> > PH
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2943. Term Localizer and Localize for ATC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 21:27:36 UTC
From: kevinjdelaney@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <bb7f6f5a.0304161327.2d886cd6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32658

Hi All
I recently started to listen to ATC at London Heathrow and I am hearing the term localize and localizer for aircraft approaching for landing.. Could someone help me in explaining this term and if possible point me to some glossary that lists all these terms! Thanks for any help!

Kevin
London

2944. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 21:38:57 UTC
From: b7spectra <webmaster@scanatlanta.com>
Message-ID: <R3kna.158380$ug3.293024@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 32659
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

10-28 is on Jack Kevorkian

--

/\/\|k.e

Michael B. Martin
webmaster@scanatlanta.com
mm505@comcast.net

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
in message news:v9mq5jbr5u4550@corp.supernews.com...
> Just wondering if there is a kind sole out there, that would risk their
job,
> to run some tags for me? I don't necessarily need the whole works like
> address, etc (thought it would be nice!), but I'm mostly looking the name
of
> the owner...
>
> Michigan tag, 462 XNH
>
> Would be greatly appreciated!
> PH
>
>
>

2945. Re: Listening is fun but I need to be able to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 21:47:43 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9rjrqj25bp25c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32660

While this doesn't pertain to scanners, I noticed on your website that you are interested in Gaming. You indicate that you are looking for a Commodore 64. I have a number of these units, as well as the drives, a couple printers, and tons of software/games for them. You still looking for one? I'd be willing to strike a deal with you, but I must warn you that they aren't the lightest machines, and might cost a bit to ship...

Let me know through the group here if you interested, and if you are, I will email you directly...

PH

"Celt Chic" <internetstuff47129@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:yIjna.63391$ja4.4206278@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi Skip,
>
> I just wanted to say thank you for posting a serious response to the
> question that was asked about transmitting on police frequencies. Even if
> it was a troll who posted the question in humour, there is always the
> possibility that it wasn't. It could be a newbie in this hobby. Or there
> is always the chance that when a newbie comes to learn about the hobby,
they
> will learn through your response. I'd hate to see someone coming to this
> newsgroup to learn about scanners and ham radios only to read that they
ARE
> allowed to transmit on those frequencies, even if it is a big joke! Some
> people are easily confused, especially if they have no previous knowledge
> about the radio hobby.
>
> Thanks again! You're a good samaritan!
>
> --
>
> ~~~~~~~Surfing Radio Frequency Waves~~~~~~~
> Celt Chic
> http://monster-masher.home.att.net/
> Website last updated April 13, 2003
>
>
> "Skip Sanders" <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:sOgna.53$va.80587@twister.socal.rr.com...
> >
> > "Davey Jones" <djones@park.org> wrote in message
> > news:dDfna.1802$X44.1584@fed1read07...
> > > Hey I just bought me a ham radio HT from a buddy of mine. It's cool -

I
> > can
> > > use it like a scanner and listen to the cops but it won't transmit on
> > their
> > > channels. I want that ability in case I spot a drunk or a burglar
while
> I
> > > deliver my morning newspapers. Can I modify my HT to do this?
> >
> > Why would you want to go to jail?
> >
> > Transmit on the cops' frequencies, and they WILL arrest you, and the FCC
> > will lift any licenses you might have.
> >
> > No, the 'emergency' clauses won't save you. This is something they
flatly
> > do not tolerate.
> >
> > (Probably a troll, but, in case he was serious)
> >
> > Local comment (paraphrased) when someone (a ham) did this, and was
> arrested
> > and his gear confiscated, by FCC: If someone wants to claim an
emergency,
> > they better be on a boat that's ON FIRE and SINKING. Nothing less will
> > save them.
> >
> >
>
>

2946. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 21:57:17 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <0lkna.1855$X44.388@fed1read07>
Source record: 32661
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

No, his is Arizona personalized: DIE2DAY

-me

"b7spectra" <webmaster@scanatlanta.com> wrote in message
news:R3kna.158380$ug3.293024@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> 10-28 is on Jack Kevorkian
>
> --
>
> /\/\|k.e
>
> Michael B. Martin
> webmaster@scanatlanta.com
> mm505@comcast.net
>
> "Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
> in message news:v9mq5jbr5u4550@corp.supernews.com...
> > Just wondering if there is a kind sole out there, that would risk their
> job,
> > to run some tags for me? I don't necessarily need the whole works like
> > address, etc (thought it would be nice!), but I'm mostly looking the
name
> of
> > the owner...
> >
> > Michigan tag, 462 XNH
> >
> > Would be greatly appreciated!
> > PH
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2947. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 22:16:47 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <hDkna.1858$X44.1538@fed1read07>
Source record: 32662
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
This is the thread that never ends... it just goes on and on my friends....
-me
"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:Hpgna.510472$sf5.820600@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Gee we haven't heard from Power House CB & Scanner about this discussion.
>
>
>
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> > Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous,
> (of
> > course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
> > postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets
> these
> > little weasels.
> >
> > Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
> > groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
> >
> > If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for
auction,
> > it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
> >
> > Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
> > postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to
my
> > ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they
> LIED!
> >
> > They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a
> commercial
> > nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
> > cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
> > Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial
> > service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and lord
> > knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling
your
> > equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify
the
> > complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged
> > infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to
the
```

> > above, recent for sale, for auction postings.
> >
> > As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk
> > e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of
my
> > agreement.
> > They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are
> also
> > in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my account
> will
> > be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the
> newsgroup
> > Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired
off
> to
> > my ISP.
> >
> > So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for
> debate,
> > discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is
imminent
> > at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their
> shoulder,
> > to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions
not
> > at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated without
a
> > word of complaint that I am aware of.
> >
> > Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very
> > reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately in
> the
> > future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent STRONG
> > SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
> >
> > Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup,
> and
> > that is a shame.
> >
> > Dan
> >
> >
>
>

2948. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 22:27:08 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030416182708.18877.00000022@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 32663

>That just might work... If I can't get the info through some other means, I
>may just have to resort to that... I've heard these types of calls before,
>so I they do run the plates... Humm...

It *might* work, at that, provided your local troops aren't running tags via
mobile data terminals.

James S. Prine
www.therealpolice.com

2949. Re: I need help picking out a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 23:17:30 UTC
From: "Jack." <hormel@makes-spam.com>
Message-ID: <awlna.509497$S_4.552906@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 32665

"Export" <Export_export@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3e9d83c7$0$153$a1866201@authen.newsreader.visi.com...
> The area I am looking at is Andover, MN. It is a suburb of Minneapolis,
MN.
> I have been checking the FCC website, but I am not sure how to tell if it
is
> trunked.

Hi Ex,

Here is one place to start:

http://www.visi.com/~gwolfe/radio/scanfreq.shtml

This lists some trunked systems. The Andover area does not have a lot of
trunked systems yet. I am just south of Andover, and I can hear most of the
Anoka County frequencies on a non-trunked capability radio.

You might also ask here: http://www.scanfan.com/. Lots of good Metro Area
info to be found here.

Very 73, Jackie

2950. Re: Term Localizer and Localize for ATC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 23:18:14 UTC
From: Mark Robbins <W2MR@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Wwlna.63506$ja4.4217983@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 32666

Hi Kevin,

As a private pilot I have some knowledge of the Instrument Landing System (ILS).

First there is the Glideslope and Localizer. The Localizer operates in the 108 to 118 MHz range and operates in conjunction with a paired Glideslope frequency in the 300 MHz range. That is to say , if you want to use the course at Teterboro (TEB) Airport in NJ you dial 108.9 MHz and another receiver in the plane automatically dials up the correct 300 MHz frequency.The Localizer advises left/right of course and the Glideslope advises above/below course by using highly directive antennas and two transmitters modulated at 90 Hz and 150 Hz. This information is displayed with horizontal and vertical needles in the planes "Flight Coordinator". There is a "Compass Locater" 5 miles from the runway in the 200 kHz to 400 kHz range and sends the first two letters of the ILS course (T-E) in this case.This is collocated with the "Outer Marker" which is a low power beacon on 75 MHz and lights a blue light on the planes panel. The "Middle Marker" is about 2000 feet from the runway and has a 75 MHz beacon and lights a yellow light in the plane. There may be a MF beacon here and it would ID with the last two letters of the ILS course (E-B) here. The "Inner Marker" is at the threshold and the 75 MHz beacon lights a white light in the plane. The Outer marker has a dash-dash (400 Hz) sound, the Middle marker has a dot-dash sound with a higher pitch and the Inner marker has a dot-dot sound with an even higher pitch.

Well...that's a start. I am sure that there is a web site-that explains it better!

Mark

2951. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 23:21:08 UTC
From: bulova <bulova@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tKacneAGV796eACjXTWcog@gbronline.com>
Source record: 32667
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Man... this thread has been growing for 2 days being hammered back and forth. You're not going to find anyone here willing to give you that info.

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message news:v9rhe9b2qu91c4@corp.supernews.com...

> That just might work... If I can't get the info through some other means, I
> may just have to resort to that... I've heard these types of calls before,
> so I they do run the plates... Humm...

2952. Re: Scan North taken down by Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 23:33:44 UTC
From: Seneschal <cjmaxwell@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <iClna.15945$1s1.258454@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 32668
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just checked the site.
Was the letter asking for the removal of just the frequencies?
If so replace the channel and callsign details and just leave out the actual frequency.

Not only will it stick it up them but it will still allow some of your members to determine what is what as they listen and use what info you can provide.

Just a thought
Either way commiserations and good luck.

"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message news:935C78B74Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> Goto http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm send these guys your
> frequ's and they will be more then happy to post them for you! You can then
> use a link to the page they setup and that should take care of that
> problem.. And anyone eles having this problem in the UK please feel free
> to do the same :-}
>
> George Bush
>
> johnwmalley@hotmail.com (Living Daylights) wrote in
> <_QKla.2127$3g2.207@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>:
>
> >http://www.northumberlandstreet.com
>

2953. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 23:52:28 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b7kof3$4lq$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 32669
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"me" <me@none.com> wrote in message news:hDkna.1858$X44.1538@fed1read07...
> This is the thread that never ends... it just goes on and on my
friends....
> -me

Is that the Brakian version of the tune? :)

2954. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-16 23:53:44 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <b2mna.2047$X44.777@fed1read07>
Source record: 32670
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Yes. No. Maybe. I don't know... can you repeat the question?

-me
"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:b7kof3$4lq$1@news1.kornet.net...
> "me" <me@none.com> wrote in message news:hDkna.1858$X44.1538@fed1read07...
> > This is the thread that never ends... it just goes on and on my
> friends....
> > -me
>
> Is that the Brakian version of the tune? :)
>
>

2955. Re: what % of police departments encrypt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 00:24:37 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <snsr9v0iplfehd9ufgr1s1t3v0jco4tgi1@4ax.com>
Source record: 32671

On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 03:36:50 +0800, Dan Jacobson
<jidanni@dman.ddts.net> wrote:

>What percentage of police departments encrypt communications these days?

Not many.

>For a new equipment order, would the choice to encrypt not add much to
>the price or degrade much from performance?

Adds cost and degrades performance.

>As they are big buyers of Motorola GM 950s, could they say go for the
>"Transcrypt Scrambling Option Board facilitates completely private
>communication through Hopping Code Frequency Inversion Technique",
>or might that jack up costs or degrade reliability such that they
>wouldn't lightheartedly go that route cutting off scanner listeners?

It would add some privacy, not security.

No one uses analog scrambling unless they have to. It decreases
intelligibility, decreases range, adds cost and complexity and makes
the radios special purpose items usually locking you into a particular
vendor.

Digital encryption (note difference in terms) cannot pass through a
standard repeater, adds a lot of cost and complexity, adds necessity
for key control, frequently reduces range and almost always reduces
intelligibility.

Either decreases interoperability. Every person with whom you
communicate must have the identical scrambling/encryption, same keys,
etc.

Regards ... Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

2956. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 00:30:22 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@remailer.privacy.at>
Message-ID: <0648cfde8cd7a4d284732e0e18f87c3d@remailer.privacy.at>
Source record: 32672
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com>
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:

Dan Cunti says, waaaaaaaaaaaaaa waw aww awa wa wa
waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawa www aw
awawawawwaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa

2957. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 00:56:51 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9ruued402to5b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32674
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Following closely I see...

Today is the first chance I have had to read and respond to the replies I received to the original posting. Some of us have jobs and lives to lead; we can't spend every second glued to our computer screens reading the newsgroup(s)... Because of such, I'm sure there are a number of regulars here that have yet to even read it, therefore your comments are uncalled for. If you don't want to help out or if this thread bothers you that much, you can just skip by it in the future and keep your useless, uncalled-for comments to yourself...

PH

"bulova" <bulova@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:tKacneAGV796eACjXTWcog@gbronline.com...
> Man... this thread has been growing for 2 days being hammered back and
> forth. You're not going to find anyone here willing to give you that info.
>
> "Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
> in message news:v9rhe9b2qu91c4@corp.supernews.com...
>
> > That just might work... If I can't get the info through some other means,
> I
> > may just have to resort to that... I've heard these types of calls
> before,
> > so I they do run the plates... Humm...
>
>
>

2958. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 00:57:20 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9ruvb87ss5r73@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32675
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"b7spectra" <webmaster@scanatlanta.com> wrote in message
news:R3kna.158380$ug3.293024@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> 10-28 is on Jack Kevorkian
>
Yeah, sure... Not even close.

2959. Re: Many Thanks to all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 01:01:24 UTC
From: "Whats up"@nowhere.net
Message-ID: <v9rv4l6tclraf3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32676

Many Many thanks to all that responded. Hopefully you'll see this.

Jim

In article <v9jokomef5271e@corp.supernews.com>, Whats up@nowhere.net
(Monologue) wrote:

Never say never.
Nothing is absolute.

2960. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 01:04:06 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9s0939buefd9b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32678

"James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20030416182708.18877.00000022@mb-m25.aol.com...
> >That just might work... If I can't get the info through some other
means, I
> >may just have to resort to that... I've heard these types of calls
before,
> >so I they do run the plates... Humm...
>
> It *might* work, at that, provided your local troops aren't running tags
via
> mobile data terminals.
>

Nothing that advanced in this area... Everything is by the radio, except for a few things they discuss using ancient speech inversion scrambling or those old analog bag cell phones. Still looking for a decently priced scanner that can pick up the cells.

PH

2961. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 01:07:10 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v9rvhvn22gbs69@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The book by Christian theologian, doctor, and musician Albert Schweitzer called the "Quest for Historical Jesus" would be a good investigation into your faith.

"Gill" <glineberry@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:b234376e.0304141558.27b5fa69@posting.google.com...
> I'm so glad you asked. I get it at my church. It is wonderful. YOu
> should get some and reap the benefits.
>
>
> Wayne Daniels <wdaniels@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<3E9B01EA.8289E879@hotmail.com>...
> > I want some of the stuff you are on right now. Where did you get it?

2962. Want SANGEAN ATS-909

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 01:24:46 UTC
From: Jerry <n6vg@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3e9e038a@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 32679

Please reply off list to n6vg@lanset.com

Let me know what you have, age, condition etc and price.
Jerry in Sacramento, CA.

2963. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 01:30:25 UTC
From: "David A. Frantz" <wizard@eznet.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.17.01.30.12.752296.9119@eznet.net>
Source record: 32673
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi BBall;

You hit the nail on the head so to speak. Even if TenTec where to go
out of business we could continue to use their radios for years. That
can not be said for hardware that is not doumented publicly.

As wonderful as WinRadios are they are completely useless to those of us
that use alternative hardware or operating systems. So as good as
WinRadios are they don't have a chance in hell of selling to this guy.

Thanks
dave

On Sat, 05 Apr 2003 09:14:35 -0500, bball wrote:

> - i don't buy *anything* with 'Win' in the product name...
>
> - where are the open source drivers for the G303i?
> (www.linradio.org does not have any, and its projects
> appear dormant)
>
> - Ten-Tec supplies docs on the protocols used for the RX-320,
> and it works with *any* operating system, even PalmOS; this means that
> the RX-320 will be useful and will work with any OS for as long as the
> hardware works...
>
> - i'm sure the G303i is a nice piece of hardware, but if it
> comes to locking myself into a particular OS, forget it; i'd rather do
> with less features and be able to use a product with any or all
> platforms...
>
> - this is one reason i like the pro-92 scanner, icom ic-r2,
> yaesu vr-120d, and yaesu vr-500 wideband HHRs...
>
> On 4 Apr 2003 18:09:05 -0800, John Partridge <swl@techie.com> wrote:
>> I concur. I have one too. It runs circles around the Icom. Have you
>> seen the new Winradio G303i shortwave receiver? Heaps better than
>> TenTec.
>>
>> John
>>
>> nospam@nospam.com (Richard Clemens)
>> wrote in message news:<3e8d7bac.13708065@news-server>...
>>> I tried both, but swear by my new WinRadio WR-1550e. IMHO this is a
>>> much better alternative to PCR. (Which I sold after acquiring the

>>> WinRadio.)
>>>
>>> See http://www.winradio.com/home/1550e.htm .
>>>
>>> Hope this helps
>>> Rich
>>>
>>>
>>> On Thu, 3 Apr 2003 21:35:13 -0700, "MR"
>>> <nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> > I'm thinking about selling two scanners I have (Uniden BC890XLT
>>> > and
>>> >Radio Shack Pro-2026) to buy one of the receivers listed in the
>>> >subject line. I realize the RX320 is HF only and the PCR-1000 goes
>>> >through 1.3GHz. What I'm more interested in is the receive quality of
>>> >the two. The assumption would be that the Ten-Tec receiver would be
>>> >much better (noise suppression w/ DSP). I will be using the receiver
>>> >w/ a laptop in a truck, so noise can be a problem, especially on HF.
>>> >I would appreciate anyone's input on this...
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >Thanks for your time!
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >Mike
>>> >
>>> >nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com
>>> >
>>> >.
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2964. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 01:52:12 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <yNnna.83$V2.4238@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32680
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I'm a ham and I resent all blanket comments made about hams.

John

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E9CA8FC.6060605@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> Spider wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Good letter Dan. It's about time someone started to speak out against
> > these self righteous so called hams. They act that way on the ham band
> > also. I think it's gonna get uglyer before it gets better.
>
> What information do you have to think this was the work of some ham?
>

2965. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 01:55:19 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <sQnna.86$V2.4246@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32681
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

You stole my catchphrase for this situation!!!

"me" <me@none.com> wrote in message news:hDkna.1858$X44.1538@fed1read07...
> This is the thread that never ends... it just goes on and on my
friends....
> -me
> "Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
> news:Hpgna.510472$sf5.820600@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > Gee we haven't heard from Power House CB & Scanner about this
discussion.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> > > Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more
anonymous,
> > (of
> > > course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
> > > postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets
> > these
> > > little weasels.
> > >
> > > Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
> > > groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
> > >
> > > If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for
> auction,
> > > it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
> > >
> > > Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
> > > postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to
> my
> > > ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they
> > LIED!
> > >
> > > They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a
> > commercial
> > > nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
> > > cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
> > > Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial
> > > service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and
lord

> > > knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling
> your
> > > equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify
> the
> > > complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged
> > > infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to
> the
> > > above, recent for sale, for auction postings.
> > >
> > > As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the
bulk
> > > e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of
> my
> > > agreement.
> > > They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are
> > also
> > > in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my
account
> > will
> > > be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the
> > newsgroup
> > > Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired
> off
> > to
> > > my ISP.
> > >
> > > So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for
> > debate,
> > > discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is
> imminent
> > > at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their
> > shoulder,
> > > to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions
> not
> > > at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated
without
> a
> > > word of complaint that I am aware of.
> > >
> > > Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very
> > > reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately
in
> > the
> > > future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent
STRONG
> > > SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
> > >
> > > Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY
newgroup,
> > and

> > > that is a shame.
> > >
> > > Dan
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2966. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 02:03:12 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <AXnna.5554$Up3.3219000@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Your point is well taken, but I would not buy radio equipment from an anonymous newsgroup poster, and neither would many other hobbyists here with any sense.

Dan

> Dan,
>
> You'll never stop them. People ask me all the time why I am anonymous, well
> this is one reason why. I want to be able to speak freely, on whatever
> subject, in whatever NG, whenever I choose. Being anonymous allows this.
>
> As for your ISP, I really wouldn't worry about the letter. It's just "don't
> sue us" material - if you started posting kiddie porn or other nasty stuff
> and they got sued over it, they could produce that letter and say they were
> doing their part to prevent it. Chances are that's all it is.
>
> Bottom line is they want and respect your monthly service payments much more
> than a complaint or two from some cowardly bozo.
>
> Change your header info and go undercover - you'll be glad you did and then
> the trolls can go pick on their own kind over in alt.retards.
>
> -me
>
>
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> > Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous,
> (of
> > course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
> > postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets
> these
> > little weasels.
> >
> > Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
> > groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
> >
> > If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for

auction,
> > it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
> >
> > Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
> > postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to
my
> > ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they
> LIED!
> >
> > They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a
> commercial
> > nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
> > cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
> > Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial
> > service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and lord
> > knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling
your
> > equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify
the
> > complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged
> > infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to
the
> > above, recent for sale, for auction postings.
> >
> > As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk
> > e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of
my
> > agreement.
> > They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are
> also
> > in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my account
> will
> > be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the
> newsgroup
> > Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired
off
> to
> > my ISP.
> >
> > So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for
> debate,
> > discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is
imminent
> > at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their
> shoulder,
> > to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions
not
> > at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated without
a
> > word of complaint that I am aware of.

> >
> > Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very
> > reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately in
> the
> > future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent STRONG
> > SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
> >
> > Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup,
> and
> > that is a shame.
> >
> > Dan
> >
> >
>
>

2967. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 02:04:36 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <UYnna.5555$Up3.3219406@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32683
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Anonymous" <

Guess that says it all.

>
> Dan Cunti says, waaaaaaaaaaaaa waw aww awa wa wa
> waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaawa www aw
> awawawawwaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
>

2968. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 02:30:10 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnb9s59d.v9a.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 32684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 16 Apr 2003 21:30:25 -0400, David A. Frantz <wizard@eznet.net> wrote:
> Hi BBall;

hi there!

> You hit the nail on the head so to speak. Even if TenTec where to go
> out of business we could continue to use their radios for years. That
> can not be said for hardware that is not doumented publicly.

nothing like having useless computer gear, right? IMHO, Linux, and
open hardware keeps a lot of gear out of the landfill...

> As wonderful as WinRadios are they are completely useless to those of us
> that use alternative hardware or operating systems. So as good as
> WinRadios are they don't have a chance in hell of selling to this guy.

you got that right... i'm also now looking at handheld, multi-band
transceivers with open-source support... so far, this list falls down to:

Icom IC-Q7A - supported by all operating systems, due to Bob Parnass'
great efforts and tk client...

Yaesu VX-5R - supported by a pair of command-line clients for UNIX, any
UNIX-like OS, such as FreeBSD, OpenBSD, or NetBSD - and Linux!

i'm in the market for a nice 2M handheld... but no way will i buy any
piece of gear not supported by Linux... cross-platform gear is the
only way to go!

>
> Thanks
> dave
>
>
> On Sat, 05 Apr 2003 09:14:35 -0500, bball wrote:
>
>
>> - i don't buy *anything* with 'Win' in the product name...
>>
>> - where are the open source drivers for the G303i?
>> (www.linradio.org does not have any, and its projects
>> appear dormant)
>>
>> - Ten-Tec supplies docs on the protocols used for the RX-320,
>> and it works with *any* operating system, even PalmOS; this means that
>> the RX-320 will be useful and will work with any OS for as long as the

>> hardware works...
>>
>> - i'm sure the G303i is a nice piece of hardware, but if it
>> comes to locking myself into a particular OS, forget it; i'd rather do
>> with less features and be able to use a product with any or all
>> platforms...
>>
>> - this is one reason i like the pro-92 scanner, icom ic-r2,
>> yaesu vr-120d, and yaesu vr-500 wideband HHRs...
>>
>> On 4 Apr 2003 18:09:05 -0800, John Partridge <swl@techie.com> wrote:
>>> I concur. I have one too. It runs circles around the Icom. Have you
>>> seen the new Winradio G303i shortwave receiver? Heaps better than
>>> TenTec.
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> nospam@nospam.com (Richard Clemens)
>>> wrote in message news:<3e8d7bac.13708065@news-server>...
>>>> I tried both, but swear by my new WinRadio WR-1550e. IMHO this is a
>>>> much better alternative to PCR. (Which I sold after acquiring the
>>>> WinRadio.)
>>>>
>>>> See http://www.winradio.com/home/1550e.htm .
>>>>
>>>> Hope this helps
>>>> Rich
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> On Thu, 3 Apr 2003 21:35:13 -0700, "MR"
>>>> <nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > I'm thinking about selling two scanners I have (Uniden BC890XLT
>>>> > and
>>>> >Radio Shack Pro-2026) to buy one of the receivers listed in the
>>>> >subject line. I realize the RX320 is HF only and the PCR-1000 goes
>>>> >through 1.3GHz. What I'm more interested in is the receive quality of
>>>> >the two. The assumption would be that the Ten-Tec receiver would be
>>>> >much better (noise suppression w/ DSP). I will be using the receiver
>>>> >w/ a laptop in a truck, so noise can be a problem, especially on HF.
>>>> >I would appreciate anyone's input on this...
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >Thanks for your time!
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >Mike

>>>> >
>>>> >nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com
>>>> >
>>>> >.
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2969. Re: I need help picking out a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 02:44:30 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e9e1519$0$153$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 32686

The 7 county metro area Twin Cities is in the process of going digital and the kind of digital that currently cannot be scanned. Most of Hennepin county has already converted with the Minneapolis Police and the State Patrol not far behing. The St. Paul part of the Metro will be a little bit slower to convert.

the best local scanner site is

http://www.scanfan.com

Greg
White Bear Lake, MN
"Export" <Export_export@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3e9d83c7$0$153$a1866201@authen.newsreader.visi.com...
> The area I am looking at is Andover, MN. It is a suburb of Minneapolis, MN.
> I have been checking the FCC website, but I am not sure how to tell if it is
> trunked.
>
> Thanks for the help,
> Ex
>
>
> "Jerry" <fccgrant@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3E9CC1BA.635B0FF6@nospam.yahoo.com...
> > You will need to find out what frequencies are in your area. This can be
> done by going to the FCC
> > web site and searching out the town you live in or by using a search
> engine for the state / area of
> > interest.
> >
> > The next thing will be to find out what kind of radio systems are in your
> area. Again, a search
> > engine will be pretty helpful here. If it is your run of the mill radio
> system then you may be able
> > to get away with an inexpensive scanner. If your area has a 'trunked'
> system then you will have to
> > pony up some additional dollars.
> >
> > What state/area are you in? This may help to get more knowlegable people
> in the area to assist

> > further.
> >
> >
> > Export wrote:
> > >
> > > Hi all,
> > >
> > > I am new to this scanner world and I was hoping you "experts" could
help
> me
> > > pick out a scanner. All I want to be able to do is find out what is
> going
> > > on when I hear sirens. I also don't want to spend a boatload of
money.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > Ex
> > >
> > --
> > Jerry Smith
> > Midwest Grant Pages
> > http://ilgrant.home.att.net
> >
> > The #1 Chicago Area Scanner Resource on the Web
> > Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Assoc. http://www.carmachicago.com
>
>

2970. Re: Anyone had any dealings with john_lalonde@sympatico.ca?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 02:47:29 UTC
From: J <shyguy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <8Bona.7843$h%2.620002@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 32685

what is his address, i live in canada
and i will check it out and give you the
phone number of our head postoffice and
RCMP police fraud section.

"Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com> wrote in message
news:acbr9voklr9cdsb9aoskpgrrhec2a7jfoh@4ax.com...
> Had a trade deal on some equipment with john_lalonde@sympatico.ca .
> Four weeks ago I shipped a package to him at an address in Canada.
>
> I've never received my package and now his e-mail bounces. Bottom
> line: I've been had. Anyone else had any dealings with him? By the
> way, he signed his e-mail with the name "Mike". Guess I should have
> seen this one coming.
>
> 73 de Jim, W8EMS/9

2971. Re: 900 mhz cordless phone reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 03:06:13 UTC
From: Bung Hole Betty <YaWannaDoIt@Bunghole.com>
Message-ID: <KSona.3460$f34.629530@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 32687
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you had a BC760 XLT without the Cell band in it, then anything above 900 MHz is analogue for both Cell phones and cordless phones.

I search 900-920

"Les Chancey" <chance@fairfieldi.com> wrote in message news:mFpna.10$55.298@news...
> Is there any receiver/scanner available either current or past production
> that can either receive or be modified to receive signals on the 900 mhz
> cordless phone frequencies? If there is, where might one find the procedures
> to modify the scanner to be able toreceive the signals?
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.470 / Virus Database: 268 - Release Date: 08/04/2003

2972. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 03:22:40 UTC
From: iexbetarocks@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1c927739.0304161922.1ed179df@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32688
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Why not you just give me your name and home address and I'll find out
for you. Honest, I will ;)

2973. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 03:49:14 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1907c411117644a098998c@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32690
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <ZZhna.6705$MU4.2145@fe03.atl2.webusenet.com>,
ramalane@ramalaneTINR.com says...
>
> : I am talking about current production. The stuff produced way way back
> : in time - is not likely to have retained much punch, given that it was
> : weaponized simply rather than with elaborate storage strategies in mind.
> :
> : I think we would have proof of current production - recent production.
> :
> : We have no proof.
> :
> : Therefore I think it unlikely that there has been recent production.
> :
> : Therefore I think the argument that Iraq posed a serious threat to us is
> : not correct.
>
> What would you consider a serious threat...a mushroom cloud over Israel?

That would be bad. So would giant Spiders from Mars unleashed by Saddam
eating up all Jewish chilren in the world.

The evidence for the Spiders is as good as the evidence that Saddam has
the ability to produce a mushroom cloud.

Let's stick to things not in the realm of idiocy - ok?

Like - bio-chem weapons that Iraq is ALLEGED to have. Of the sort that
they once produced.

There is no evidence they still have any which still can kill anyone.
The weapons we know they made - wear out with time.

Like anthrax weaponized in liquid.

But again - what if they do have them? Does attacking Iraq make us
safer?

Terrorists are MORE likely to attack us after Iraq - not less likely.

We have proven bin Laden correct. We have taken his bait, in effect.

So good muslims will now think - gosh, bin Laden was right. The US
really is a modern Crusader. We must fight them.

And they will use the weapons which can work on us - such as bio-chem
weapons.

And they can make their own - even though we have now invaded Iraq.

Now that is a world of reasonable comment.

Giant spiders from Mars, or Iraqi nukes over Jerusalem, are not.

>
> /ramalane
>
> --
> Member of The Brotherhood
> http://www.nancyboi.com
>
> +++alt.2600/#hack FAQ (by The Voyager)+++
> http://www.hackfaq.org
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2974. Ocean and Burlington County, NJ frequency questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 03:53:32 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030416235332.19078.00000048@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 32689

I was wondering if anyone knew if either Ocean or Burlington Counties, NJ use PL tones on the 4 SPEN frequencies and their EMS and Fire dispatch frequencies. If so, could you provide me with a listing of the PL tones used for each frequency?

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

2975. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 03:54:35 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1907c557ffca833098998d@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32691
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <OPina.101099$0X.23599378@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> "wartime ramalane" <ramalane@ramalaneTINR.com> wrote
>
> > : > You are assuming we have sensors sensitive to
> > : > single-molecule quantities of the various types
> > : > of nerve gasses. Note also that these substances
> > : > degrade in the presence of oxygen, ozone, moisture
> > : > and chemicals like chlorine. After a thorough job
> > : > of decontamination, plus a year or two, there may
> > : > not BE a single molecule of VX left intact.
> > :
> > : Thus the argument that the stuff could be hidden in the three hours from
> > : a UN inspector revealing he plans to go to Plant X to the time the
> > : inspector arrives - would not be like that.
> > :
> > : How come we never find any of the things?
> > :
> > : Even with inspections after minimal notice?
>
> Ever hear of mobile facilities?

> DOH!

Gosh, you apparently have never heard of spy satellites able to watch
mobile facilities.

Mobile facilities, lacking extreme measures to avoid seepage, would spit
out tell-tale molecules, detectable by US snooping devices.

We have never seen any such thing - or you and I would know about it.

The US has many resources to spy - we listen in on phones, we listen in
even where there are no phones. We can see inside buildings, we have
people who tell us things because they do not like Saddam, or because
they like our money, or because we blackmail them in various ways.

It is possible that there are mobile labs which somehow avoid releasing
tell-tale signs of their efforts.

It is also possible that Saddam has giant spiders from Mars waiting to
kill us all.

But I think we should see some evidence before we say - oh, that must be
the case.

You always have some lame excuse - maybe his dog ate the WMD, maybe
this, maybe that.

Excuses, excuses, excuses.

Give it up pal.

>
> > : I think we would have proof of current production - recent production.
> > :
> > : We have no proof.
>
> Don't count on it. There have been allot of samples sent to labs - no
> conclusions yet. And as I mentioned before, the primary objective was to get
> rid of the regime - FIRST - THEN the REAL inspections can begin. Soldiers
> are trained to be SOLDIERS, not weapons inspectors. Hell, we're just now
> going back to cities we bypassed on the way to Baghdad et. al.
>
> > : Therefore I think it unlikely that there has been recent production.
>
> Your logic is flimsy at best.
>
> > : Therefore I think the argument that Iraq posed a serious threat to us is
> > : not correct.
>
> Oh? And they haven't harbored terrorists either, right?
>
> > What would you consider a serious threat...a mushroom cloud over Israel?
> > /ramalane
>
>
>

2976. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 04:15:48 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1907c930d6b093fd98998e@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32692
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <4bfr9v0nhab554g0e6no9b3mer5f3vqlv5@4ax.com>, bw@barrk.net
says...
>
> >Therefore I think the argument that Iraq posed a serious threat to us is
> >not correct.
>
> There are all sorts of "threats" ... directly bombing
> the USA or one of our allies with nerve gas is only
> one variety of "threat". Supplying 3rd-parties with
> small quantities of chem/bio agents is another kind
> of 'threat'. The 9/11 attacks only knocked down a few
> buildings, but the political and especially economic
> impact was enourmous. Ergo, even relatively small,
> indirect attacks can be devastating in their way.
> Direct loss of life is not the ONLY relevant measure
> of "threat".

And attacking Iraq makes them more likely - not less likely.

If terrorists wanted a scary attack with bio-chem stuff, they can do it
- without Iraqi help.

There are many scary bio-chem weapons terrorists can make up themselves.

There is a ten-lane road to that result. Shutting down the one lane -
via Iraq - leaves nine other lanes available for the traffic.

It is not going to stop the attack of that sort.

And since attacking Iraq proves that bin Laden was correct - that the US
really is a modern day Crusader - many more good muslims are going to
conclude that it is their moral duty to fight us. And, of course, they
will fight with weapons which work against us - such as bio-chem stuff.

So attacking the threat you mention - makes it more likely to happen.

>
> Saddam was getting himself into the Israel/Palestine
> thing in increasingly larger ways during the past
> few years ... recently giving many cash rewards to
> the families of Palestinian 'marytrs'. It's clear
> that the megalomaniacal Hussein saw this conflict
> as a means to secure himself a glorious place in
> arabic history ... but cash alone wasn't gonna do it.

Alternatively, you could say that he, like about all arab muslims, saw that Israel is doing bad things, and that the Palestinians are getting screwed and deserve his aid.

You don't have to demonize Saddam any more - the invasion has taken place.

We can get back to normal discourse - where such Saddam-is-Satan in every possible way propaganda is no longer needed.

Giving aid to the families of those who die in the Palestinian conflict - and Iraq gives to all of those who have someone die, terrorist or not - is a normal sort of thing for someone with their view to do. You don't have to be Satan, or motivated in strange ways, to think it's the right thing to do. I am sure that Saddam is not the only one offering aid.

>
> He would have to get behind 'spectacular' attacks on
> Israelis, do a LOT of damage to them and (eventually)
> claim credit. Chem/bio weapons, even in fairly small
> quantities, were the quickest way to slaughter a LOT
> of Israelis (and maybe some Americans too). I think
> he had revealed his path, and it was a journey we HAD
> to cut short before it reached its logical end.
>
> So yes, there WAS "threat". Plenty of threat. Motive,
> means and soon the opportunity.

You mean "motive" rather than threat. Iraq doesn't actually have WMD - haven't you read the papers lately?

At least there sure is no evidence they have the stuff - despite a mountain of effort to find some evidence.

But assume that Iraq could give aid to Palestinians in the bio-chem realm.

How come we have to fight Israel's war for them?

Do they have oil?

No. So why exactly are we on their side instead of on the side of the oil producers?

Why not switch sides? It's not as if the Israelis are saintly in this conflict and the Palestinians are evil. Far more Palestinians get killed than Israelis. Why not support the oppressed - instead of the oppressor?

So our national interests lie with the Arabs - they have the oil. And the moral interests - are muddled, at best. So why fight Israel's war for them?

Can't they protect themselves?

And of course, if Palestinians wanted to gas Jews, they would do it. With or without Iraq.

So that argument - we are protecting Israelis - is bogus.

Ten lanes open to bio-chem attacks - closing the Iraq lane won't shut down the others. Indeed, it will motivate more to take the drive.

You argue well. Thanks.

>

2977. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 04:22:30 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1907cbe155a5734598998f@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32694
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <c_ina.101128$0X.23607952@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> "USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> babbled...
>
> > In article <3E9D7B21.D4B94B01@ecs.soton.ac.uk>, st599@ecs.soton.ac.uk
> > says...
> > > Anthrax is a naturally occurring substance found in soil, therefore you
> > > can't just swab for it, it will appear naturally, it becomes worrying
> > > when concentrated and weaponised. To be honest, I think that there
> > > probably was a red line, but the Iraqi's saw what was coming and decided
> > > it wasn't worth it, hence the poor attempt to defend Baghdad.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > There are now a huge number of excuses for the failure of any one to
> > find even one WMD.
>
> Are you REALLY that thick? The primary objective was never to just run
> around looking for WMDs - did the coalition send in weapons inspectors?
>
> DOH!

You mean - Palau - the Island in the middle of the Pacific with no
military listed as part of the coalition? No, the coalition did not send
in weapons inspectors. They don't have soldiers or weapons inspectors in
Palau.

But the US military sure did send in weapons inspectors. We have sent in
special highly-trained teams with super high tech devices to scour the
country to find the WMD. Loaded up with our very best intelligence as to
how to find the things.

It is a high priority of the war. Those teams have gone to MANY sites we
thought might have WMD - not a single hit yet.

You must get your "news" just from Fox News. If you read the papers, you
wouldn't have made that mistake.
>
> > We have excuses like - Blix must be incompetent - that is why he can't
> > find WMD.
>
> Do a bit of research, and you'll see how Hussein has obstructed the
> inspection process.

Again - too bad you don't read the papers. Iraq has let the UN
inspectors go anyplace in the country, go behind any door they want.

There is no place unavailable to the UN inspectors.

The UN inspectors have been guided by the US - and not a single
suggestion of the US turned out to be worth anything. No WMD at all -
every single idea of the US - with its fabulous spying resources -
turned out to be garbage.

Again -you just have excuse after excuse after excuse. No weapons
inspectors - another lame and fales excuse. Hussein obstructed - another
lame and false excuse.

Nothing but excuses.

>
> > Or Iraq is real big - big as California - so that is why we can't find
> > any WMD.
>
> Ever been to the desert in California? Tell ya what - I'm going to bury a
> truck in the Mojave, and I want you to find it - let me know when you do.
>
> > Or Iraq decided it would be futile, so they didn't use them.
> >
> > Or ...on and on and on.
>
> Let me ask you something - if YOU were in your country's military, through
> no real choice of your own, and were in a combat situation - in your own
> country - would YOU follow orders to use chem/bio weapons? Hell, most of
> those monkeys wouldn't even use CONVENTIONAL weapons - they dropped them,
> took off their uniforms, and hauled ass. Use your head.
>
> > After a while, a mountain of excuses should make you wonder whether
> > there just aren't any WMD to begin with.
>
> What excuses? I didn't see a "Weapons Inspection Battalion" anywhere, in
> anybody's forces. Saw lots of weapons, but no inspectors. Don't recall
> hearing of any orders to search every nook and cranny and THEN to advance.
> Use your head.
>

2978. FS> BC 250D Scanner & Apco P-25 Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 04:42:32 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com.goaway
Message-ID: <20030417004232.18858.00000052@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 32693

For sale...

Uniden BC 250D Apco P-25 Digital Police Scanner with Apco P-25 Card
Monitors Apco P-25 conventional, trunked 3600 & mixed mode,
Digital communications.
The unit is only 1 1/2 months old and is in mint condition.
Unit works great. I need to sell it because the agencies in my area went to
a different system.
Comes with all the original paperwork, box, battery pack & charger.
I will not split up the card and scanner.
I paid $650.00 and must take the loss and sell it as used.
So if are looking for one of these, here is a chance to get it at a great
price.
you can check my feedback on Ebay user rating tramd300@aol.com
use this link for a picture of unit
http://members.aol.com/TRAMD300/BC250Scanner.jpg
And use this link for the specifications of the unit
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/factsheet.htm
You get this all for $550.00 shipped in the USA only.
I will accept postal money orders only or if you wish to use Pay-Pal.
Price is firm...
Please email me direct for more info.
I check my mail every day.
Thank you...
tramd300@aol.com

2979. Re: FS> BC 250D Scanner & Apco P-25 Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 05:02:42 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xzqna.5709$8T6.533991@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 32695

what system did they goto?!

"TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com.goaway> wrote in message
news:20030417004232.18858.00000052@mb-m10.aol.com...
> For sale...
>
> Uniden BC 250D Apco P-25 Digital Police Scanner with Apco P-25 Card
> Monitors Apco P-25 conventional, trunked 3600 & mixed mode,
> Digital communications.
> The unit is only 1 1/2 months old and is in mint condition.
> Unit works great. I need to sell it because the agencies in my area went
to
> a different system.
> Comes with all the original paperwork, box, battery pack & charger.
> I will not split up the card and scanner.
> I paid $650.00 and must take the loss and sell it as used.
> So if are looking for one of these, here is a chance to get it at a great
> price.
> you can check my feedback on Ebay user rating tramd300@aol.com
> use this link for a picture of unit
> http://members.aol.com/TRAMD300/BC250Scanner.jpg
> And use this link for the specifications of the unit
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/factsheet.htm
> You get this all for $550.00 shipped in the USA only.
> I will accept postal money orders only or if you wish to use Pay-Pal.
> Price is firm...
> Please email me direct for more info.
> I check my mail every day.
> Thank you...
> tramd300@aol.com

2980. Software for R-8500 Free/Share Ware?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 05:11:19 UTC
From: Brian Short <bshort4@cox.net>
Message-ID: <BAC3A173.65C2%bshort4@cox.net>
Source record: 32696

Looking for free and/or shareware program for Icom
R-8500 receiver.

Mailto:bshort4@cox.net

2981. CTCSS table for the person who was asking...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 05:39:50 UTC
From: dave911 <vfa192@dave911REMOVE.com>
Message-ID: <G6rna.92341$vl3.3350443@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 32547
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Someone was looking for a CTCSS table a few days ago but I can't find the original post. Here's one...
http://209.145.176.7/~090/awh/bc-780xlt.html#pl

Dave

2982. Re: newbie rec

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 05:43:52 UTC
From: Simpledog <simpledogs@mminternet.com>
Message-ID: <v9sforpgqt6454@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32548

hehe, I have a sport ATV, 400EX.

thanks for the recs

"MnMikew" <MNMiikkeW@aol.com> wrote in message
news:b7k1nd$1m1f4$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de...
> Howdy 'dog. How you gonna mount that on your ATV? :-)
> How much $$ do you have to spend on one?
>
> Can't go wrong with a Uniden BC780xlt for around $225-$270. Or for a bit
> more you can get the new BC785D without the digital card for around $350.
>
>
> "Simpledog" <simpledogs@mminternet.com> wrote in message
> news:v9pm7ur73j8od0@corp.supernews.com...
> > Recommendation on a computer controlled base station scanner?
> >
> >
>
>

2983. Re: Want SANGEAN ATS-909

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 05:50:08 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <26a0f230.0304162150.62d6fdd5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32549

Ask at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/DX398/ .

2984. Re: Term Localizer and Localize for ATC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 07:47:34 UTC
From: kevinjdelaney@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <bb7f6f5a.0304162347.f3bf6b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32550

Thanks Mark

Very good explanation and it's got me some new definitions and terms
that i need to lookup!

A good site for the above topic!
http://www.allstar.fiu.edu/aero/ILS.htm

2985. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 07:50:25 UTC
From: Arkmood <arkmood@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190826d0844e30a598969c@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 32587

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme says...
> I was wondering if anyone knew if Ocean County and Burlington County, NJ use PL
> tones on the SPEN frequencies and the EMS and Fire dispatch frequencies. If so,
> what are they?

131.8 is statewide for SPEN. Ocean County low band Fire 1 Dispatch
(33.780) is 146.2, OC EMS mostly use the 500 trunk system now... prior
to that EMS 1 Dispatch/Operations (155.205) was 186.2

2986. Scanner Antenna Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 08:18:07 UTC
From: andyshank@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ba43b87e.0304170018.2ea189e2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32551

Ok guys, thanks for the help with my previous message. I ended up buying the Uniden Bearcat 780xlt. As most of you stated, it was well above my hopeful spending plateau of $150, but as far as I've found, it's pretty much the best. On to my next challenge: an antenna for my car.

I'm looking for recommended manufacturers or models of antennas that will make my scanner shine. My department uses an 800 Mhz trunking system, while some in the area use systems in the 400s, while others are still in the 150s. I need an antenna versatile enough to encompass all these ranges. The other requirement: it can't be magnet mounted. The mag mounts don't tend to stay on very well at 130 MPH! The trunk lip mount is preferable to me unless someone has a better idea. Once again, I'm not looking to spend a ton of money on my antenna, just something that will give me acceptable performance. Any help would be greatly appreciated!

Thanks!

Andy

2987. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 09:16:02 UTC
From: Spider <TheSipider@mac.com>
Message-ID: <TheSipider-D55FBC.04160217042003@hermes-ge0.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 32552
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <yNnna.83$V2.4238@eagle.america.net>,
"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote:

> I'm a ham and I resent all blanket comments made about hams.
>
> John

Thats just too bad. Now go to your room little boy.

2988. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 10:31:59 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304170231.20fd039@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32553
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

WHY "CNN" WHEN WRONG ?

"We are the ones that determine what the people's attitudes are.
- It's in our hands"
- - Ted Turner,
- - - CNN Founder and President, speaking to a broadcasters group.

"Christianity is a Religion for Losers."
- Ted Turner,
- - CNN Founder and President, to the Dallas Morning News, 1989.

~ RHF
.
.
= = = rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) wrote in message
= = = news:<3ef6beca.0304121448.49e7cbf@posting.google.com>...
> USER1 - Time to Use It (Your Brain that Is...)
>
> who, Who. W H O !
>
> Allowed CNN to go down the path of . . .
> Cover-Ups, Lies and Distortion of the News.
> - The Founder
> - - Man at the Top
> - - - Ted Turner
>
> YES- Ted Tuner is now 'retire' and
> - No longer is a 'Business Man'
> - - But Ted Turner remains an "OWLES"
> - - - One World Liberal Elite Socialist
>
> As CNN's CEO Ted Tunner Knew . . .
> About the Cover-Up, Lies and Distortion of the News.
> - What Did Ted Turner Know ?
> - - When Did He Know It ?
> - - - Follow the Money !
>
> We Need Congressional Hearings . . .
> On the CNN Cover-Up Lies and Distortion of the News.
> - Write the White House
> - - Write Congress
> - - - Write Jane Fonda :o)
>
>

> ~ RHF
> .
> .
> = = = USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
> = = = news:<MPG.19019f25466e533f98990f@news.newsguy.com>...
> > In article <3ef6beca.0304110847.6cd384b7@posting.google.com>, rhf-
> > mail@usa.com says...
> > > PA,
> > >
> >
> > CNN is a disgrace -
> >
> > Is it really necessary to cover up gross violations of these regimes?
> >
> > I don't think so.
> >
> >
> > > Here is the Other Side of the Coin - Now that 'Saddam Insane' is Gone.
> > > CNN is admitting to withholding the TRUTH for Years about the former
> > > Iraqi Regime of Saddam Hussein and the BAATH (NAZI) Party.
> > >
> > > To Read about 'What CNN Did Not Report'
> > > - The New York Times 11-APR-03
> > > - - "The News We Kept to Ourselves" written by Eason Jordan
> > > - - - NOTE: Eason Jordan is the 'Chief' News Executive at CNN.
> > >
> > > GoTo=> http://www.nytimes.com/2003/04/11/opinion/11JORD.html?th
> > >
> > > Evidently it was more important to CNN
> > > - To "PRESENT the News" (Saddam's Propaganda)
> > > - - Then To - 'Tell The Truth'
> > > - - - Thank You CNN - For Twelve Years of LIES and Cover-Ups.
> > >
> > > So Now We See the 'Liberal' CNN and NYT Admit the "Truth".
> > >
> > >
> > > You Shall Know 'The TRUTH'... And It Shall Set You FREE ~ RHF
> > > .
> > > OBTW: Heard this on the Radio Today.
> > > Looked it up on the NYTs to Verify the Report was True.
> > > .

2989. Re: Video-Motion-Detector who can make ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 11:08:37 UTC
From: bob <bob@erehwon.com>
Message-ID: <bXvna.515$AJ4.454@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 32554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

bla wrote:
> Who can make or design a " Video-Motion-Detector" ?
>
> A "Video-Motion-Detector" is an electronic device that can be connected to a videocamera, when a movement in the video-picture is
> detected the unit will switch a relay
> wich can be used to switch an alarm or a timelapse-videorecorder.
>
> Such a unit is very hard to find !... specially when it should run on 12 Volts (just try it on the internet)
>
> It should be a small box with 2 connectors (video in,video out)
> and 1 adjuster for sensitivity
>
> A later and more luxurious model should have the possibility to
> adjust for a certain part of the screen (field) so it will only react to movements in this part of the field (this field could be an
> adjustable square wich will be visible on the connected monitor)
>
> The idea is to make a lowcost unit in a small box (not based on windows software on a pc)
> maybe a pic-processor can be used or old-fashioned anolog parts
>
> I am willing to pay good for a good design or working model
>
> Any tips or ideas are also welcome
>
> Please respond to: comsecnl@hotmail.com
>
> Thanks in advance
>
>
>
hmmm - use a PIR to check for motion (unless you are using a super zoom
on the camera). Have the PIR detect the movement, switch on the infrared
lights, and switch the VCR record on...choose your delay and Robert is
your proverbial....

2990. F/S BC-780xlt and Software package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 12:40:26 UTC
From: see-signature@nospam.net
Message-ID: <3e9ea0a4.235204926@news.east.cox.net>
Source record: 32555

For Sale:
Uniden 780xlt

Radio is in excellent condition and has a discriminator output connector(RCA). Comes with all original packaging and manual.

I will also include a CD with WinScan, AFS 780, Police Call 2003 and computer to radio cable...

.jpg available

$250
Jeff.
Rhode Island
jad1@cox.net

2991. Re: 900 mhz cordless phone reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 12:47:33 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <936053958Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Cordless, most any scanner today will recevie the 900MHz cordless band, not
the cell phone band but the cordless band. A list of that band can be found
at the bottom of this page: http://webpages.charter.net/moment/frequ.htm
And mmy bc780XLT and my Pro-2006 do it just fine.

George

YaWannaDoIt@Bunghole.com (Bung Hole Betty) wrote in
<KSona.3460$f34.629530@news20.bellglobal.com>:

>If you had a BC760 XLT without the Cell band in it, then anything above
>900 MHz is analogue for both Cell phones and cordless phones.
>
>I search 900-920
>
>"Les Chancey" <chance@fairfieldi.com> wrote in message
>news:mFpna.10$55.298@news...
>> Is there any receiver/scanner available either current or past
>> production that can either receive or be modified to receive signals
>> on the 900 mhz cordless phone frequencies? If there is, where might
>> one find the
>procedures
>> to modify the scanner to be able toreceive the signals?
>>
>>
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.470 / Virus Database: 268 - Release Date: 08/04/2003
>
>
>

2992. Re: Scanner Antenna Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 13:04:01 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <9360598D9Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32557

Hey Andy I no I'm about to get a lot of flack on this but, most mobel antennas are the same thing with a different name :-) you can get a window mount antenna from Radio Shack that works just fine at a 130 MPH. And you can always take a mag mount and pop-rivet the outer edge to your trunk :-) We use the windows mount on our cars, works just fine.. But dude 130mph?? use the radio thats what it's for :-)

George

andyshank@hotmail.com (Andy) wrote in
<ba43b87e.0304170018.2ea189e2@posting.google.com>:

>Ok guys, thanks for the help with my previous message. I ended up
>buying the Uniden Bearcat 780xlt. As most of you stated, it was well
>above my hopeful spending plateau of $150, but as far as I've found,
>it's pretty much the best. On to my next challenge: an antenna for my
>car.
>
>I'm looking for recommended manufacturers or models of antennas that
>will make my scanner shine. My department uses an 800 Mhz trunking
>system, while some in the area use systems in the 400s, while others
>are still in the 150s. I need an antenna versatile enough to
>encompass all these ranges. The other requirement: it can't be magnet
>mounted. The mag mounts don't tend to stay on very well at 130 MPH!
>The trunk lip mount is preferable to me unless someone has a better
>idea. Once again, I'm not looking to spend a ton of money on my
>antenna, just something that will give me acceptable performance. Any
>help would be greatly appreciated!
>
>Thanks!
>
>Andy
>

2993. Re: Video-Motion-Detector who can make ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 13:06:21 UTC
From: Mike <m.j.willis@rl.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <b7m8v6$vpe@newton.cc.rl.ac.uk>
Source record: 32558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

If you use a webcam and a cheap PC - say an old notebook if you need 12V,
there is a software package for Linux called Motion which does exactly this.
It will even Email you the video.

2994. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 13:10:38 UTC
From: Arkmood <arkmood@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190871ddc6a97aa298969d@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 32524

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme says...

> EMS in Ocean County is still dispatched and they
> communicate on 155.205. Only a few of the smaller police departments
> (Beachwood, Pine Beach, South Toms River) have gone to the 500 mhz trunked
> system.

Talkgroup 0928 OCSD Channel#5

2995. Re: Which is better : Ten-Tec RX-320 or PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 13:51:11 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3e9eb001.11203067@news-server>
Source record: 32559
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 16 Apr 2003 21:30:25 -0400, "David A. Frantz"
<wizard@eznet.net> wrote:

>Hi BBall;
>
>You hit the nail on the head so to speak. Even if TenTec where to go
>out of business we could continue to use their radios for years. That
>can not be said for hardware that is not doumented publicly.
>
>As wonderful as WinRadios are they are completely useless to those of us
>that use alternative hardware or operating systems. So as good as
>WinRadios are they don't have a chance in hell of selling to this guy.

You can surely enjoy your alternative operating systems in hell, as
you say... ;-) But right at this moment I feel like in heaven
enjoying my WinRadio G303 - the best radio I have ever had - running
on a mainstream operating system, as I am typing this... :-)

Richard

>
>On Sat, 05 Apr 2003 09:14:35 -0500, bball wrote:
>
>
>> - i don't buy *anything* with 'Win' in the product name...
>>
>> - where are the open source drivers for the G303i?
>> (www.linradio.org does not have any, and its projects
>> appear dormant)
>>
>> - Ten-Tec supplies docs on the protocols used for the RX-320,
>> and it works with *any* operating system, even PalmOS; this means that
>> the RX-320 will be useful and will work with any OS for as long as the
>> hardware works...
>>
>> - i'm sure the G303i is a nice piece of hardware, but if it
>> comes to locking myself into a particular OS, forget it; i'd rather do
>> with less features and be able to use a product with any or all
>> platforms...
>>
>> - this is one reason i like the pro-92 scanner, icom ic-r2,
>> yaesu vr-120d, and yaesu vr-500 wideband HHRs...
>>
>> On 4 Apr 2003 18:09:05 -0800, John Partridge <swl@techie.com> wrote:

>>> I concur. I have one too. It runs circles around the Icom. Have you
>>> seen the new Winradio G303i shortwave receiver? Heaps better than
>>> TenTec.
>>>
>>> John
>>>
>>> nospam@nospam.com (Richard Clemens)
>>> wrote in message news:<3e8d7bac.13708065@news-server>...
>>>> I tried both, but swear by my new WinRadio WR-1550e. IMHO this is a
>>>> much better alternative to PCR. (Which I sold after acquiring the
>>>> WinRadio.)
>>>>
>>>> See http://www.winradio.com/home/1550e.htm .
>>>>
>>>> Hope this helps
>>>> Rich
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> On Thu, 3 Apr 2003 21:35:13 -0700, "MR"
>>>> <nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> > I'm thinking about selling two scanners I have (Uniden BC890XLT
>>>> > and
>>>> >Radio Shack Pro-2026) to buy one of the receivers listed in the
>>>> >subject line. I realize the RX320 is HF only and the PCR-1000 goes
>>>> >through 1.3GHz. What I'm more interested in is the receive quality of
>>>> >the two. The assumption would be that the Ten-Tec receiver would be
>>>> >much better (noise suppression w/ DSP). I will be using the receiver
>>>> >w/ a laptop in a truck, so noise can be a problem, especially on HF.
>>>> >I would appreciate anyone's input on this...
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >Thanks for your time!
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >Mike
>>>> >
>>>> >nospamthe_storm68@hotmail.com
>>>> >
>>>> >.
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>
>>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

>------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2996. WTT - Arcade Bartop / Tabletop Video Game (Pit Boss) for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 14:17:17 UTC
From: eg9k@aol.com
Message-ID: <1508acc5.0304170617.3e9c5812@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32560

Have a Exdellent condition, 100% working Pit Boss Arcade type Video game for trade on a "GOOD" scanner. The Pit Boss has about a dozen games in it that can be played like - Race Horse, Sex Trivia, Poker, Blackjack etc: As mentioned it is a Bartop or Tabletop game and has a swivel base. I've had it in our rec room for the past few years. It has a bright clear picture on a 10" picture tube. I will provide pictures if interested. I will not ship, it will have to be picked up. I'm located in southern Indiana, near Bloomington. Please email me direct at eg9k@aol.com - Ph.# 812-825- 2851 Thanks for reading - Harold 73's

2997. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 14:51:16 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304170651.5f12579f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Schweitzer certainly wrote a lot. He was not thought to be in the main stream by many other theologians. He was entitled to his opinion. I think in his book The Mystery of the Kingdom of God he was easier to follow. That said, I think his view that Jesus belief centered on the forthcoming end of the world is wrong. In fact what I read in Jesus is that it makes no difference if or when the world ends. We lead our lives and increase or knowledge and beliefs as if the end was today or if it is a thousand years from now. I am happy because He lived, He lives and in a few days we celebrate Easter. What a wonderful time. MY JESUS LIVES......

2998. Re: what % of police departments encrypt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 15:03:02 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304170703.101a4b07@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32562

Very few agencies use encryption today. But you will see the number greatly increase in the future. Up until now there have been very few digital systems. And digital is the key to real encryption. Not only will the number of digital increase, I think they will be mandated in the generation of radios that operate in 700 MHz. The early DES/DVP did have a problem with degraded signals. but that is no longer the case. As far as interoperability, those types of communication may well remain analog. Also a digital radio can operate both in encrypted or non=encrypted modes. In fact in 700 MHz the rules permit wideband interoperability channels. With the new systems being digital, the cost of encryption is greatly reduced. Also with the new digital scanners you will see either encryption or proprietary systems like ProVoice in greater use. That's the way I see it. Gill

2999. Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 15:17:24 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030417111724.18914.00000105@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 32563

I was wondering if anyone knew if Ocean County and Burlington County, NJ use PL tones on the SPEN frequencies and the EMS and Fire dispatch frequencies. If so, what are they?

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

3000. Re: Scanners and FM, AM Radio Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 15:21:43 UTC
From: Richard G Amirault <amirault@shell01.TheWorld.com>
Message-ID: <b7mgq7tkn2@pcls4.std.com>
Source record: 32564

Radios that do this are more often called COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVERS than
"scanners". For instance I have the Yaseu VR-500 hand held receiver
that has 1000 channels and receives AM, FM, CW, and SSB. It receives AM
boradcast and well as shortwave, FM broadcast, VHF and UHF TV audio
(basically everything except cell phones) and fits in the palm of my hand.

Richard in Boston, MA, USa

lost@aol.com wrote:
: Could someone explain why scanners do not pick up FM and AM commerical
: radio stations ? Sort of like a all in one reciever ?

: Are there any scanners that do ? For instance, the Uniden 250D I
: believe has continuous coverage from 25mhz to 1.3ghz. Does this mean
: that it can get the FM freq. of 88 to 107mhz ?

3001. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 16:31:21 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304170831.3da3e988@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
These are not JESUS' Last Words...
- But they were say
- - by the dimi-god of CNN
- - - Ted Turner (an Anti-Christ Want-To-Be)

"Christianity is a Religion for Losers."
- Ted Turner,
- - CNN Founder and President,
- - - Said to the Dallas Morning News, 1989.

~ RHF
.
.
= = = rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) wrote in message
= = = news:<3ef6beca.0304090129.381fc10c@posting.google.com>...
> MM,
>
> Ah... But 'you' Miss the Point.
> - For the Believer it is a Matter of Faith.
> - - Proof is NOT Required.
> - - - Faith is What Matters.
> - - - - And FAITH Is All That Is Needed
> - - - - - For the True BELIEVER [.]
> With FAITH in God {Allah}. . . All Things Are Possible.
>
> God {Allah} is Good !
>
> God {Allah} is Merciful !
>
> God's {Allah's} Peace Be Upon the World.
>
> God's {Allah's} Word Brings Knowledge and Understanding.
>
> Peace is a Blessing from God {Allah}.
> - Earned By Those Who Armor Themselves in His Word.
> - - And Act Righteously in His Name.
> - - - For They are Truly Blessed On-Earth and In-Heaven.
>
> God {Allah} is Strong and Powerful !
>
> God {Allah} Bless Our America Fighting Men and Women - Amen
>
> God's {Allah's} Peace Be with America's HomeLand Defenders.
>
```

> God {Allah} Watch Over Our America Fighting Men and Women and
> Strengthen their Spirit and Resolve.
>
> May God's {Allah's} Peace Be with America's Fallen Warriors and
> Comfort their Families, Friends and Love Ones.
>
> May God's {Allah's} Strength, Kindness, Mercy and Wisdom 'abide' with
> America's Leaders - Amen.
>
>
> For I Have FAITH and I Believe ~ RHF
> .
> .

3002. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 16:36:21 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <9KAna.491292$L1.142201@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 32566
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Power House CB & Scanner - I'm so sick of your off topic posts, and stupid logic, and asking others to commit crimes, and use of obscene language, and reporting to ISP .Will you please stick a scanner. I mean please stick to scanners.

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message news:v9qmiflntni811@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Nitespark" <""nitespark\"@nosp@m-cox.net> wrote in message
> news:xTPma.111253$yh1.6309685@news1.east.cox.net...
> >
> >
> > Power House CB & Scanner wrote:
> > > Just wondering if there is a kind sole out there, that would risk their
> job,
> > > to run some tags for me? I don't necessarily need the whole works like
> > > address, etc (thought it would be nice!), but I'm mostly looking the
> name of
> > > the owner...
> > >
> > > Michigan tag, 462 XNH
> > >
> > > Would be greatly appreciated!
> > > PH
> >
> >
> > So tell us WHY a person should be willing to risk their job for someone
> > they don't even know for a reason you won't even explain???
> >
> Because they are kind? Besides, they are running ONE plate and the chances
> of getting caught doing that are basically zero... And why, well if someone
> can guaranty the info, I will explain the reason why, and then they can
> decided for themselves... All you have to do is ask, it's not that hard is
> it? I'm not going to type a whole story for everyone to read, they don't
> need to know. The only one that needs to know is the one whole would be
> supplying the info...
>
> PH

>
>
>

3003. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 16:43:30 UTC
From: Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu>
Message-ID: <jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net>
Source record: 32567
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been moved to Syria. And the US has known this all along. If any are found at this point then they are in the same category as the dropped gun at a crime scene -- planted evidence. I am reminded of a cartoon many months ago. Two military guys in a planning office. "Do they really have weapons of mass destruction?" says on. Says the other: "Of course not! Do you think we would attack if they did?"

Bear in mind that the US and UK have had little or no diplomatic, commercial, cultural or any other sort of contact with Iraq for ten years or more. The only sources thay have had for information about the country have been covert or remote, neither of which is any substitute for assets on the ground. Defectors are notorioulsy unreliable and so are traitors. Many other nations, on the otbher hand, have had continuing diplomatic, commercial, cultural and even hisorical ties to Iraq during all iof this time. They were in a hell of a lot better position to see and know what was really going on in that country just through day to day contact. Ecery one went out of its way to stat e not once but many times that they could not cooroborate the assertions of the US and UK governments. And then we find out that the US and UK are working with forged documents and plagiarized ten-year-old university theses.

The US will be embarrassed when it can turn up nothing. But anyone watching Rumsfeld and Bush knows that will hardly bother them. The war had nothing to do with WMD, from the very start. Or with 9/11. I remain puzzled. What was it all about? What justified destroying a stable and cohesive society and economy? Anyone watching TV and seeing those highways, buildings, broad boulevards, traffic lights, street lights, opulent residential neighborhoods could see this was no backwater of civilization and did not deserve wanton destruction.

But the jingoistic yahoos who populate cable network news and a lot of the discussion here and elsewhere don't want to think about any of that. It is enough that US might has squashed a flea at the cost of many thousands of lives -- lives, apparently, that the US considers less than human since it can't even be bothered estimating how nmany berevaed families there are in Iraq.

3004. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 16:46:32 UTC
From: Ambrose <ambrose@intac.com>
Message-ID: <3E9EDA07.76560169@intac.com>
Source record: 32568

as far as I know, the spen pl is 138.8 state wide.

VHFRadioBuff wrote:

> I was wondering if anyone knew if Ocean County and Burlington County, NJ use PL
> tones on the SPEN frequencies and the EMS and Fire dispatch frequencies. If so,
> what are they?
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

3005. Original Unblocked Scanner Price..?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 17:01:27 UTC
From: Skipp likes good cabs <spammenotyouheathen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b7mml7$4hi$3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 32569

OK, quick question for the masses.

Would an original Radio Shack branded Scanner/Receiver made by or similar to a Uniden unit (similar if not exact model still made and sold) before the cell band be worth the $269 original price..?

This is the desktop unit which has the included PL tone decoder option, bought new. The PL board option was installed and tested, then the unit placed in the original box and set in a closet. I believe the pl board option was another $49 or something like that...?

Anyway, the owner of the estate is asking me for a value before he tries to sell them.

I just want to know if a New In Box Condition pre ban scanner/receiver would be worth anything more or less than a current model unit without the 800Mhz cell band..?

Would Ebay be a good place to list and sell said beasts... there are three of these units comming up.

Post your follow up here when you can, I'll read it.

thanks

cheers
skipp

3006. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 17:25:04 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <QrBna.5223$X44.2439@fed1read07>
Source record: 32570
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Well you got a point there. I didn't think of that.

I guess you're damned if you do and damned if you don't then. That's why I don't sell things on usenet. Just plop them on ebay and let people find them there.

That's what I would do.

-me

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message news:AXnna.5554$Up3.3219000@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Your point is well taken, but I would not buy radio equipment from an
> anonymous newsgroup poster, and neither would many other hobbyists here with
> any sense.
>
> Dan
>
> > Dan,
> >
> > You'll never stop them. People ask me all the time why I am anonymous, well
> > this is one reason why. I want to be able to speak freely, on whatever
> > subject, in whatever NG, whenever I choose. Being anonymous allows this.
> >
> > As for your ISP, I really wouldn't worry about the letter. It's just "don't
> > sue us" material - if you started posting kiddie porn or other nasty stuff
> > and they got sued over it, they could produce that letter and say they were
> > doing their part to prevent it. Chances are that's all it is.
> >
> > Bottom line is they want and respect your monthly service payments much more
> > than a complaint or two from some cowardly bozo.
> >
> > Change your header info and go undercover - you'll be glad you did and then
> > the trolls can go pick on their own kind over in alt.retards.
> >
> > -me
> >

> >
> > "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> > > Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more
anonymous,
> > (of
> > > course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
> > > postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets
> > these
> > > little weasels.
> > >
> > > Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
> > > groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
> > >
> > > If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for
> auction,
> > > it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
> > >
> > > Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
> > > postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to
> my
> > > ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they
> > LIED!
> > >
> > > They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a
> > commercial
> > > nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
> > > cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
> > > Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial
> > > service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and
lord
> > > knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling
> your
> > > equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify
> the
> > > complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged
> > > infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to
> the
> > > above, recent for sale, for auction postings.
> > >
> > > As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the
bulk
> > > e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of
> my
> > > agreement.
> > > They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are
> > also
> > > in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my
account
> > will

> > > be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the
> > newsgroup
> > > Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired
> off
> > to
> > > my ISP.
> > >
> > > So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for
> > debate,
> > > discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is
> imminent
> > > at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their
> > shoulder,
> > > to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions
> not
> > > at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated
without
> a
> > > word of complaint that I am aware of.
> > >
> > > Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very
> > > reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately
in
> > the
> > > future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent
STRONG
> > > SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
> > >
> > > Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY
newgroup,
> > and
> > > that is a shame.
> > >
> > > Dan
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3007. Where I live.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 17:33:46 UTC
From: 76416.2331@chiropractice.com
Message-ID: <22f9bb62.0304170933.7a421f37@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32571

I am buying my first scanner. I live in Muskogee, Oklahoma; near Tulsa and a friend advised I post here to get an idea of what equipment I need for my area. I am lookin on eBay at scanners.

I am interested in Police, Fire, Ambulance, HAM Radio, Aircraft/Airports, Trains,etc.

Help appreciated.

3008. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 17:48:19 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32572
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Kum-by-ya...

"Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been moved
to

3009. Re: FS> BC 250D Scanner & Apco P-25 Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 17:49:26 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com.goaway
Message-ID: <20030417134926.18909.00000055@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 32574

>what system did they goto?!

They switched to the 9600 baud system.
really bumbed me out...
Thanks for the reply...

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

3010. Re: Software for R-8500 Free/Share Ware?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 17:51:30 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <not_for_mail@fake789.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.17.17.51.28.801535@fake789.invalid>
Source record: 32573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Consider tk8500 open source software.
It works on Linux, MacOS X, BSD, Unix, Windows (95 and later),
etc.

You can download it from http://parnass.com
and read more about it in April 2002 Monitoring Times
and November 2002 Shortwave Magazine (a British magazine).

I don't warrant tk8500, but it won't cost you anything.

On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 05:11:19 +0000, Brian Short wrote:

> Looking for free and/or shareware program for Icom R-8500 receiver.
> Mailto:bshort4@cox.net

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

3011. Re: Original Unblocked Scanner Price..?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 17:54:34 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9tqiljbm9f4ae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32575

Since most cellphones are now digital, it has no special value, if indeed it ever did.

That being said, some fool on e-bay will pay twice what it is worth if you say it covers the cell phone band. You need to word this carefully to insure the auction is not terminated.

A realistic price can be determined by doing a google search for that particular model. You may also search past auctions on e-bay to see what it brought.

"Skipp likes good cabs" <spammenotyouheathen@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:b7mml7$4hi$3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
>
> OK, quick question for the masses.
>
> Would an original Radio Shack branded Scanner/Receiver made by or
> similar to a Uniden unit (similar if not exact model still made and sold)
> before the cell band be worth the $269 original price..?
>
> This is the desktop unit which has the included PL tone decoder option,
> bought new. The PL board option was installed and tested, then the unit
> placed in the original box and set in a closet. I believe the pl board
> option was another $49 or something like that...?
>
> Anyway, the owner of the estate is asking me for a value before he tries
> to sell them.
>
> I just want to know if a New In Box Condition pre ban scanner/receiver
> would be worth anything more or less than a current model unit without the
> 800Mhz cell band..?
>
> Would Ebay be a good place to list and sell said beasts... there are three
> of these units comming up.
>
> Post your follow up here when you can, I'll read it.
>
> thanks
>
> cheers
> skipp

3012. Re: Scanner Antenna Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 18:03:10 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9tr2phatorl2a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32576

A mag mount will adequately hold a scanner antenna at 130 MPH. I had a 5/8 2 meter whip on a Porsche and on several occasions tested it (the Porsche) to speeds in excess of 140MPH :>)) and never lost a mag mount.

"Andy" <andyshank@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:ba43b87e.0304170018.2ea189e2@posting.google.com...
> Ok guys, thanks for the help with my previous message. I ended up
> buying the Uniden Bearcat 780xlt. As most of you stated, it was well
> above my hopeful spending plateau of $150, but as far as I've found,
> it's pretty much the best. On to my next challenge: an antenna for my
> car.
>
> I'm looking for recommended manufacturers or models of antennas that
> will make my scanner shine. My department uses an 800 Mhz trunking
> system, while some in the area use systems in the 400s, while others
> are still in the 150s. I need an antenna versatile enough to
> encompass all these ranges. The other requirement: it can't be magnet
> mounted. The mag mounts don't tend to stay on very well at 130 MPH!
> The trunk lip mount is preferable to me unless someone has a better
> idea. Once again, I'm not looking to spend a ton of money on my
> antenna, just something that will give me acceptable performance. Any
> help would be greatly appreciated!
>
> Thanks!
>
> Andy

3013. Re: Scanners and FM, AM Radio Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 18:13:22 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9trlui1fg47f7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32577

My YUPI MVT-7100 SCANNER covers from 100KHz to 1.3+ GHz... Basically DC to daylight.

"Richard G Amirault" <amirault@shell01.TheWorld.com> wrote in message news:b7mgq7tkn2@pcls4.std.com...
> Radios that do this are more often called COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVERS than
> "scanners". For instance I have the Yaseu VR-500 hand held receiver
> that has 1000 channels and receives AM, FM, CW, and SSB. It receives AM
> boradcast and well as shortwave, FM broadcast, VHF and UHF TV audio
> (basically everything except cell phones) and fits in the palm of my hand.
>
> Richard in Boston, MA, USa
>
> lost@aol.com wrote:
> : Could someone explain why scanners do not pick up FM and AM commerical
> : radio stations ? Sort of like a all in one reciever ?
>
> : Are there any scanners that do ? For instance, the Uniden 250D I
> : believe has continuous coverage from 25mhz to 1.3ghz. Does this mean
> : that it can get the FM freq. of 88 to 107mhz ?

3014. Re: Buyer Beware: Avoid "letsgodigital" online store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 18:18:40 UTC
From: Sasquatch <sasquatch_roadkill@myshoes*hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <b7mr4e$8cf$1@nnrp.atgi.net>
Source record: 32578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've been doing some comparison "window" shopping on the 7600GR, helping to make my wife's birthday gift to me easier ;)

Called Universal Radio, they qouted me a fair price, that's probably average for anywhere. I asked about shipping to Oregon- 9.95.

What is also an important consideration: what if the radio is DOA? I don't want to hassle with a fly-by-night online retailer where I might get stiffed.

"DougSlug" <dougslug@optonline.net> wrote in message news:tiIma.72536$Xd1.25009490@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> I recently became aware of an unethical online store known as the "Let's Go
> Digital Superstore" (www.ldgsuperstore.com)
>
> I ordered a Sony ICF-SW7600GR portable shortwave receiver from them, and
> when they confirmed the order by e-mail, they stated "will ship within 24
> hours", which is also what their order status web page showed. After three
> days, no shipping info. When I inquired, they replied, "the order was sent
> to the warehouse for shipping; we will have tracking information in 2-4
> business days". OK, not that bad, but what's up with the "24 hours" thing?
>
> After complaining about their inaccurate shipping status information, they
> sent me a web page that indicated "Usually ships in 2-3 days". OK, fair
> enough, but then on that page the price was $10 lower than what I just paid
> a few days before! I requested that they refund the difference according to
> their price-matching policy. They replied that the current price is still
> what I paid, even though the advertised price on their page was $10 less!
>
> This warranted a little research...when I checked their shipping cost, they
> quoted shipping as $10 HIGHER than what I paid, making the total the same,
> but making the unit price APPEAR cheaper. That's a little too much
> game-playing in my opinion. Incidentally, the shipping cost was $27 for UPS
> Ground from NY to NJ! Ridiculous.
>
> My advice: Avoid "ldgsuperstore", which is a Dealznet site. Look for a

more
> reputable source. I do a lot of online ordering, and I've never dealt
with
> such an unscrupulous outfit. Overall, not a big deal, I admit, but it
felt
> sleazy. Your mileage may vary.
>
>

3015. MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 18:37:42 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <WvCna.11528$mC1.3354814@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32579
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I received this e-mail from my ISP today:

Dear Mr.Conti
We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am enclosing a
document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.

Sincerely yours,

Gilbert Roman
Time Warner Cable
Internet Security

Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all the
trouble.....

-Forwarded----

Path:

nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnilin
k

.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com!
h

ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr.
c

om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not-
f

or-mail

From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>

Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006

Lines: 14

X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106

Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>

Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT

NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228

X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com

X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar 2003

01:30:29 EST)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST

Organization: Road Runner - NYC

Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009 rec.radio.scanner:32530

rec.radio.swap:55272

X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)

I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in

Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the

cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.

$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.

PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.

Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.

eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.

E-mail if interested.

Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that , according to
HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that the
posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.

Go figure.

Dan

3016. Rehobeth Beach, Delaware freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 18:43:21 UTC
From: tomcatgbmi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030417144321.19055.00000065@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 32580

what are the PD, FD and EMS freqs for Rehobeth Beach, Delaware and the surrounding county?

3017. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 18:48:08 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <0GCna.142$V2.7081@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32581
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an ASSHOLE!

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com...
> Kum-by-ya...
>
> "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been
moved
> to
>
>
>

3018. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 18:51:19 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <HICna.107256$0X.24662793@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32582
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote

> Kum-by-ya...

Yeah...pass the grape Kool-Aid...

> "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...

<expert, irrefutable information snipped>
lol

3019. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 18:52:54 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <aKCna.107257$0X.24663104@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32583
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote

> FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an ASSHOLE!

Typical. If they say it, nothing to support it other than delusional babble, especially since they were wrong in their stance, they resort to this tactic.

Keep going.

3020. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 19:04:20 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <dVCna.143$V2.6899@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Spider" <TheSipider@mac.com> wrote in message
news:TheSipider-D55FBC.04160217042003@hermes-ge0.rdc-kc.rr.com...
> In article <yNnna.83$V2.4238@eagle.america.net>,
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote:
>
> > I'm a ham and I resent all blanket comments made about hams.
> >
> > John
>
>
> Thats just too bad. Now go to your room little boy.

I have integrity and try to follow the law (so do most hams). You obviously just want to slam hams (rhyme not intended) for whatever reason, because of your:

1) accusing "self-righteous so-called hams" for being the culprit of Dan's problems. He did not crosspost his message to any ham NG's or explicitly accuse hams within the text of his message.

2) resorting to calling me a child for sticking up for the vast majority of humble, honest, and kind hams (I am in this majority) and making innacurate statements, such as "they act that way [self-righteous] on the ham band also." 'They' is an all-inclusive word; you include every single ham, good or bad, in such a statement.

I have no idea what goes on CB, so I can't say for sure how good or bad the people on that band are. Some may say it's the "criminal band", even if they have never heard it. I won't join that crowd.

Perhaps you should get a clue about the radio hobby you are talking about. Until then, please do not spread your delusions over the world-wide web.

John

3021. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 19:20:14 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@remailer.privacy.at>
Message-ID: <9da645186ecefb46b181dabfacd2c49f@remailer.privacy.at>
Source record: 32585
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <WvCna.11528$mC1.3354814@twister.nyc.rr.com>
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:

Did you forget the part of the message that says:
"this is an auto-generated email and don't take anything personally."

3022. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 19:41:39 UTC
From: Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <871y00rjws.fld@barrow.com>
Source record: 32586
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>I received this e-mail from my ISP today:

Was that the total of what they sent you?

I'm unsure how much of the "attitude" is your translation of his words as opposed to his attitude. If you are not adjusting it in the process of relaying it, it stinks of total incompetence. (The wording used in his reply below tends to suggest this is a person who is not logical and is not able to read or write with anything near enough precision to be interpreting a user agreement!)

I am completely dumbfounded at the idea that something is "commercial" simply because it is posted to Usenet. That just does not make sense, so I'm not sure what the intent is.

Clearly your post was appropriate in at least rec.radio.swap, and while I don't read the other two groups (and don't know if there is a stated policy in rec.radio.scanner for example against posting items for sale), it seems a bit of a stretch would be required to see any violation of Usenet norms, never mind to the extent that it would violate a user agreement with an ISP.

My advice would be to challenge Mr. Roman to demonstrate where and how such a posting violates your contract with the ISP. And I would remind him that *he* is legally bound by that contract too, and that if he violates it you have the option of legal action against him personally as well as the company. You might quote the respective portions of the user agreement which relate to email and Usenet; however, I would *not* make any statement about what it means or whether your posts do or do not fit the contract language. Instead, *demand* that he demonstrate where there is a specific problem. You should be very general, and insist that he be very specific (with the clearly implied threat that if he is wrong you will eat him for breakfast).

Again, as long as he takes no action which causes you damage, he can say anything he likes about what it all means. He can warn you about future actions, etc. etc., as long as he does not actually take any such actions. I would interpret something like "we are keeping this on file" as an action, and so would "one more instance and your contract will be terminated". But a broader statement like "if this continues we may..." is OK.

One other suggestion too, is to not deal with this fellow for
more than about one exchange. If his next response is anything
like these appear to be, insist on contacting his supervisor
to complain about *him* as well as this whole business of your
Usenet posts.

>Dear Mr.Conti
>We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am enclosing a
>document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.
>
>Sincerely yours,
>
>Gilbert Roman
>Time Warner Cable
>Internet Security
>
>
>
>Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all the
>trouble.....
>
>
>
>-Forwarded----
>
>Path:
>
>nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnilin
>k
>
>.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com!
>h
>
>ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr.
>c
>
>om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not-
>f
>
>or-mail
>
>From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
>
>Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
>
>Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
>
>Lines: 14
>
>X-Priority: 3
>

>X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>
>X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
>
>X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
>
>Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
>
>Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228
>
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
>
>X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar 2003
>
>01:30:29 EST)
>
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
>
>Organization: Road Runner - NYC
>
>Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009 rec.radio.scanner:32530
>
>rec.radio.swap:55272
>
>X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
>
>
>
>I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
>
>Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
>
>cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
>
>
>
>$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
>
>
>
>PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
>
>Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
>
>
>
>eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
>

>
>
>E-mail if interested.
>
>
>Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that , according to
>HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that the
>posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
>
>Go figure.
>
>Dan
>
>

--
Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

3023. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 19:57:52 UTC
From: 4-1000@bigamp.com
Message-ID: <4-1000-45D62F.14575217042003@hermes-ge0.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 32588
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Are you sure this is authentic? Anybody can write and send a letter.
I'd landline RR and ask to speak with Mr. Roman.

4-1000

In article <WvCna.11528$mC1.3354814@twister.nyc.rr.com>,
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:

> I received this e-mail from my ISP today:
>
>
>
>
>
> Dear Mr.Conti
> We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am enclosing a
> document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.
>
> Sincerely yours,
>
> Gilbert Roman
> Time Warner Cable
> Internet Security
>
>
>
> Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all the
> trouble.....
>
>
>
> -Forwarded----
>
> Path:
>
> nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnilin
> k
>
> .net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com!
> h
>
> ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr.
> c
>

> om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not-
> f
>
> or-mail
>
> From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
>
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
>
> Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
>
> Lines: 14
>
> X-Priority: 3
>
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
>
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
>
> Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
>
> Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228
>
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
>
> X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar 2003
>
> 01:30:29 EST)
>
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
>
> Organization: Road Runner - NYC
>
> Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009 rec.radio.scanner:32530
>
> rec.radio.swap:55272
>
> X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
>
>
>
> I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
>
> Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
>
> cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.

>
>
>
> $250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
>
>
>
> PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
>
> Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
>
>
>
> eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
>
>
>
> E-mail if interested.
>
>
> Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that , according to
> HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that the
> posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
>
> Go figure.
>
> Dan
>
>

3024. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 20:02:48 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <7MDna.144$V2.7391@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32589
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:aKCna.107257$0X.24663104@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote
>
> > FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an ASSHOLE!
>
> Typical. If they say it, nothing to support it other than delusional
babble,
> especially since they were wrong in their stance, they resort to this
> tactic.
>
> Keep going.
>
>

Show me the weapons, Q! Show me the f-in weapons!

But no, you can't, because there isn't a single WMD in Iraq that has been
reported so far.

I said FOAD because he was making fun of people who have the total absence
of WMD on their side. We were right for opposing the war because it was
based on false evidence of WMD that DON'T EXIST. PERIOD.

John

3025. Re: Video-Motion-Detector who can make ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 20:10:23 UTC
From: Seven Trees <7@3.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.19091a8a3f2f31cf9896b8@news.freeserve.com>
Source record: 32590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

In article <b7kbbg$n4b$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>, savvy@westlock.au says...
> what you need is a webcame with software that actually does the motion
> detection for you.
> i use A LOGITEC PRO 4000 CAMERA WITH THE SOFTWARE and it comes with
> software to detect motion,time delaymotion,start stop motion.
> only 90 quid approx from argos.
>
> john

Search for Pryme (Free) or Tincam, even better software for your
Logitech.

--
ST

"The computer can't tell you the emotional story.
It can give you the exact mathematical design,
but what's missing is the eyebrows."

3026. Re: Software for R-8500 Free/Share Ware?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 20:36:55 UTC
From: Brian Short <bshort4@cox.net>
Message-ID: <BAC47A64.670F%bshort4@cox.net>
Source record: 32591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Very good. I did not realize it was so easy to have it work
With Windows... I had thought it was Linux only.

Thank you so much for the information and for making this available.

On 4/17/03 1:51 PM, in article
pan.2003.04.17.17.51.28.801535@fake789.invalid, "Bob Parnass, AJ9S"
<not_for_mail@fake789.invalid> wrote:

> Consider tk8500 open source software.
> It works on Linux, MacOS X, BSD, Unix, Windows (95 and later),
> etc.
>
> You can download it from http://parnass.com
> and read more about it in April 2002 Monitoring Times
> and November 2002 Shortwave Magazine (a British magazine).
>
> I don't warrant tk8500, but it won't cost you anything.
>
>
> On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 05:11:19 +0000, Brian Short wrote:
>
>> Looking for free and/or shareware program for Icom R-8500 receiver.
>> Mailto:bshort4@cox.net

3027. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 21:02:02 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9u5i6bqla7fe7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32592
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

my lord Kum-by-ya...

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:0GCna.142$V2.7081@eagle.america.net...
> FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an ASSHOLE!
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com...
> > Kum-by-ya...
> >
> > "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> > news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been
> moved
> > to
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3028. Re: Scanner Antenna Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 21:24:16 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <3YEna.5262$X44.2408@fed1read07>
Source record: 32593

130MPH?? Why?! Are you a wheelman or something?

I have a larsen 144/440 Mhz trunk mount amateur radio antenna. I spend about $60 on it in total. It's definitely worth the money however because I get excellent reception from aircraft on 118 Mhz all the way up to trunked apco systems in 866 Mhz.

-me

"Andy" <andyshank@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:ba43b87e.0304170018.2ea189e2@posting.google.com...
> Ok guys, thanks for the help with my previous message. I ended up
> buying the Uniden Bearcat 780xlt. As most of you stated, it was well
> above my hopeful spending plateau of $150, but as far as I've found,
> it's pretty much the best. On to my next challenge: an antenna for my
> car.
>
> I'm looking for recommended manufacturers or models of antennas that
> will make my scanner shine. My department uses an 800 Mhz trunking
> system, while some in the area use systems in the 400s, while others
> are still in the 150s. I need an antenna versatile enough to
> encompass all these ranges. The other requirement: it can't be magnet
> mounted. The mag mounts don't tend to stay on very well at 130 MPH!
> The trunk lip mount is preferable to me unless someone has a better
> idea. Once again, I'm not looking to spend a ton of money on my
> antenna, just something that will give me acceptable performance. Any
> help would be greatly appreciated!
>
> Thanks!
>
> Andy

3029. Re: Scanner Antenna Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 21:24:42 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <uYEna.5263$X44.56@fed1read07>
Source record: 32594

Damn that must be some magnet....

-me

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v9tr2phatorl2a@corp.supernews.com...
> A mag mount will adequately hold a scanner antenna at 130 MPH. I had a 5/8
2
> meter whip on a Porsche and on several occasions tested it (the Porsche)
to
> speeds in excess of 140MPH :>)) and never lost a mag mount.
>
>
> "Andy" <andyshank@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ba43b87e.0304170018.2ea189e2@posting.google.com...
> > Ok guys, thanks for the help with my previous message. I ended up
> > buying the Uniden Bearcat 780xlt. As most of you stated, it was well
> > above my hopeful spending plateau of $150, but as far as I've found,
> > it's pretty much the best. On to my next challenge: an antenna for my
> > car.
> >
> > I'm looking for recommended manufacturers or models of antennas that
> > will make my scanner shine. My department uses an 800 Mhz trunking
> > system, while some in the area use systems in the 400s, while others
> > are still in the 150s. I need an antenna versatile enough to
> > encompass all these ranges. The other requirement: it can't be magnet
> > mounted. The mag mounts don't tend to stay on very well at 130 MPH!
> > The trunk lip mount is preferable to me unless someone has a better
> > idea. Once again, I'm not looking to spend a ton of money on my
> > antenna, just something that will give me acceptable performance. Any
> > help would be greatly appreciated!
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> > Andy
>
>

3030. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 21:29:02 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <v9u74v2trf4b9c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32595
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yea, OK... I think your just a tad bit lost... You better look at your own posts, as the only subjects you have ever posted anything under have all been completely off-topic. I think you goofed here though, as this subject is more on-topic than any of the others you've ever posted under...

Obscene language? Where? Reporting to ISP's? <sarcasm>You bet, every day...</sarcasm> Running tags? I here those on the scanner every day, multiple times of day, can't more on-topic than that...

PH

P.S. Got a problem, kill-file me... I'll do the same for you if you like, as I've never seen anything useful from you anyway, so I won't miss you..

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:9KAna.491292$L1.142201@sccrnsc02...
> Power House CB & Scanner - I'm so sick of your off topic posts, and stupid
> logic, and asking others to commit crimes, and use of obscene language,
and
> reporting to ISP .Will you please stick a scanner. I mean please stick to
> scanners.
>
>

3031. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 21:41:59 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <HcFna.11573$mC1.3404279@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32596
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

That is an EXACT copy of what I got...

Here is his second reply...
Dear Mr.Conti
It is not my job to judge you or your actions. Our intent was to let you
know that there has been a complaint made against your actions on this
newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party who filed the complaint.
We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
newsgroups because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner account
if we receive any more complaints. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Gilbert Roman
Time Warner Cable
Internet Security

> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
> >I received this e-mail from my ISP today:
>
> Was that the total of what they sent you?
>
> I'm unsure how much of the "attitude" is your translation of his
> words as opposed to his attitude. If you are not adjusting it
> in the process of relaying it, it stinks of total incompetence.
> (The wording used in his reply below tends to suggest this is a
> person who is not logical and is not able to read or write with
> anything near enough precision to be interpreting a user
> agreement!)
>
> I am completely dumbfounded at the idea that something is
> "commercial" simply because it is posted to Usenet. That just
> does not make sense, so I'm not sure what the intent is.
>
> Clearly your post was appropriate in at least rec.radio.swap,
> and while I don't read the other two groups (and don't know if
> there is a stated policy in rec.radio.scanner for example
> against posting items for sale), it seems a bit of a stretch
> would be required to see any violation of Usenet norms, never
> mind to the extent that it would violate a user agreement with
> an ISP.
>
> My advice would be to challenge Mr. Roman to demonstrate where
> and how such a posting violates your contract with the ISP. And
> I would remind him that *he* is legally bound by that contract

> too, and that if he violates it you have the option of legal
> action against him personally as well as the company. You might
> quote the respective portions of the user agreement which relate
> to email and Usenet; however, I would *not* make any statement
> about what it means or whether your posts do or do not fit the
> contract language. Instead, *demand* that he demonstrate where
> there is a specific problem. You should be very general, and
> insist that he be very specific (with the clearly implied threat
> that if he is wrong you will eat him for breakfast).
>
> Again, as long as he takes no action which causes you damage, he
> can say anything he likes about what it all means. He can warn
> you about future actions, etc. etc., as long as he does not
> actually take any such actions. I would interpret something
> like "we are keeping this on file" as an action, and so would
> "one more instance and your contract will be terminated". But a
> broader statement like "if this continues we may..." is OK.
>
> One other suggestion too, is to not deal with this fellow for
> more than about one exchange. If his next response is anything
> like these appear to be, insist on contacting his supervisor
> to complain about *him* as well as this whole business of your
> Usenet posts.
>
>
> >Dear Mr.Conti
> >We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am enclosing a
> >document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.
> >
> >Sincerely yours,
> >
> >Gilbert Roman
> >Time Warner Cable
> >Internet Security
> >
> >
> >
> >Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all
the
> >trouble.....
> >
> >
> >
> >-Forwarded----
> >
> >Path:
> >
>
>nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnili
n

> >k
> >
>
>.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com
!
> >h
> >
>
>ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr
.
> >c
> >
>
>om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not
-
> >f
> >
> >or-mail
> >
> >From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> >
> >Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
> >
> >Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
> >
> >Lines: 14
> >
> >X-Priority: 3
> >
> >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> >
> >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> >
> >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> >
> >Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
> >
> >Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
> >
> >NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228
> >
> >X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
> >
> >X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar 2003
> >
> >01:30:29 EST)
> >
> >NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
> >
> >Organization: Road Runner - NYC

> >
> >Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009
rec.radio.scanner:32530
> >
> >rec.radio.swap:55272
> >
> >X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
> >
> >
> >
> >I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
> >
> >Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
> >
> >cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
> >
> >
> >
> >$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
> >
> >
> >
> >PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
> >
> >Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
> >
> >
> >
> >eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
> >
> >
> >
> >E-mail if interested.
> >
> >
> >Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that , according
to
> >HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that the
> >posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
> >
> >Go figure.
> >
> >Dan
> >
> >
>
> --
> Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
> Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

3032. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 21:44:22 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <WeFna.11574$mC1.3405000@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32497
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I am not going to get into semantics over whether I am fabricating anything.

I will tell you that what I post is an exact copy of what I got. If you doubt me, or my intentions here, I can't help you. My only motivation is to make folks aware of just how bad the newsgroups have gotten, and warn that what happened to me could happen to anyone ...

Dan
>
> Are you sure this is authentic? Anybody can write and send a letter.
> I'd landline RR and ask to speak with Mr. Roman.
>
> 4-1000
>
>
>
>
> In article <WvCna.11528$mC1.3354814@twister.nyc.rr.com>,
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
> > I received this e-mail from my ISP today:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Dear Mr.Conti
> > We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am enclosing
a
> > document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.
> > >
> > Sincerely yours,
> > >
> > Gilbert Roman
> > Time Warner Cable
> > Internet Security
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all
the
> > trouble.....
> > >
> > >

> >
> > -Forwarded----
> >
> > Path:
> >
> >
nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnilin
> > k
> >
> >
.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com!
> > h
> >
> >
ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr.
> > c
> >
> >
om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not-
> > f
> >
> > or-mail
> >
> > From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> >
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
> >
> > Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
> >
> > Lines: 14
> >
> > X-Priority: 3
> >
> > X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> >
> > X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> >
> > X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> >
> > Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
> >
> > Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
> >
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228
> >
> > X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
> >
> > X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar 2003
> >
> > 01:30:29 EST)

> >
> > NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
> >
> > Organization: Road Runner - NYC
> >
> > Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009
rec.radio.scanner:32530
> >
> > rec.radio.swap:55272
> >
> > X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
> >
> >
> >
> > I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
> >
> > Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
> >
> > cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
> >
> >
> >
> > $250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
> >
> >
> >
> > PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
> >
> > Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
> >
> >
> >
> > eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
> >
> >
> >
> > E-mail if interested.
> >
> >
> > Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that , according
to
> > HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that the
> > posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
> >
> > Go figure.
> >
> > Dan
> >
> >

3033. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 21:53:22 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3E9F2252.69936DA6@nospam.iserv.net>
Source record: 32498
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti wrote:

> That is an EXACT copy of what I got...
>
> Here is his second reply...
> Dear Mr.Conti
> It is not my job to judge you or your actions. Our intent was to let you
> know that there has been a complaint made against your actions on this
> newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party who filed the complaint.
> We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
> newsgroups because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner account
> if we receive any more complaints. Thank you.
>
> Sincerely,
> Gilbert Roman
> Time Warner Cable
> Internet Security
>
> > "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
> > >I received this e-mail from my ISP today:
> > >
> > Was that the total of what they sent you?
> > >
> > I'm unsure how much of the "attitude" is your translation of his
> > words as opposed to his attitude. If you are not adjusting it
> > in the process of relaying it, it stinks of total incompetence.
> > (The wording used in his reply below tends to suggest this is a
> > person who is not logical and is not able to read or write with
> > anything near enough precision to be interpreting a user
> > agreement!)
> > >
> > I am completely dumbfounded at the idea that something is
> > "commercial" simply because it is posted to Usenet. That just
> > does not make sense, so I'm not sure what the intent is.
> > >
> > Clearly your post was appropriate in at least rec.radio.swap,
> > and while I don't read the other two groups (and don't know if
> > there is a stated policy in rec.radio.scanner for example
> > against posting items for sale), it seems a bit of a stretch
> > would be required to see any violation of Usenet norms, never
> > mind to the extent that it would violate a user agreement with
> > an ISP.
> > >

> > My advice would be to challenge Mr. Roman to demonstrate where
> > and how such a posting violates your contract with the ISP. And
> > I would remind him that *he* is legally bound by that contract
> > too, and that if he violates it you have the option of legal
> > action against him personally as well as the company. You might
> > quote the respective portions of the user agreement which relate
> > to email and Usenet; however, I would *not* make any statement
> > about what it means or whether your posts do or do not fit the
> > contract language. Instead, *demand* that he demonstrate where
> > there is a specific problem. You should be very general, and
> > insist that he be very specific (with the clearly implied threat
> > that if he is wrong you will eat him for breakfast).
> >
> > Again, as long as he takes no action which causes you damage, he
> > can say anything he likes about what it all means. He can warn
> > you about future actions, etc. etc., as long as he does not
> > actually take any such actions. I would interpret something
> > like "we are keeping this on file" as an action, and so would
> > "one more instance and your contract will be terminated". But a
> > broader statement like "if this continues we may..." is OK.
> >
> > One other suggestion too, is to not deal with this fellow for
> > more than about one exchange. If his next response is anything
> > like these appear to be, insist on contacting his supervisor
> > to complain about *him* as well as this whole business of your
> > Usenet posts.
> >
> >
> > >Dear Mr.Conti
> > >We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am enclosing a
> > >document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.
> > >
> > >Sincerely yours,
> > >
> > >Gilbert Roman
> > >Time Warner Cable
> > >Internet Security

Gilbert Roman probably makes $5.15 per hour, typical security guy!

>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all
> the
> > >trouble.....
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >-Forwarded----

> > >
> > >Path:
> > >
> >
> >nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnili
> n
> > >k
> > >
> >
> >.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com
> !
> > >h
> > >
> >
> >ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr
> .
> > >c
> > >
> >
> >om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not
> -
> > >f
> > >
> > >or-mail
> > >
> > >From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> > >
> > >Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
> > >
> > >Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
> > >
> > >Lines: 14
> > >
> > >X-Priority: 3
> > >
> > >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> > >
> > >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> > >
> > >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> > >
> > >Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
> > >
> > >Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
> > >
> > >NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228
> > >
> > >X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
> > >
> > >X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar 2003

> > >
> > >01:30:29 EST)
> > >
> > >NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
> > >
> > >Organization: Road Runner - NYC
> > >
> > >Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009
> rec.radio.scanner:32530
> > >
> > >rec.radio.swap:55272
> > >
> > >X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
> > >
> > >Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
> > >
> > >cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
> > >
> > >Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >E-mail if interested.
> > >
> > >
> > >Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that , according
> to
> > >HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that the
> > >posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
> > >
> > >Go figure.
> > >
> > >Dan
> > >

> > >
> >
> > --
> > Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
> > Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

3034. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 21:56:19 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030417175619.18914.00000073@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 32499

>131.8 is statewide for SPEN. Ocean County low band Fire 1 Dispatch
>(33.780) is 146.2, OC EMS mostly use the 500 trunk system now... prior
>to that EMS 1 Dispatch/Operations (155.205) was 186.2

Thanks for the info. EMS in Ocean County is still dispatched and they communicate on 155.205. Only a few of the smaller police departments (Beachwood, Pine Beach, South Toms River) have gone to the 500 mhz trunked system.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

3035. MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 21:56:37 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pqFna.11575$mC1.3408417@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32500
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering everyone with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of importance to all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.

As a result of the position taken by my ISP, I will no longer post any FOR SALE, or FOR AUCTION threads on the newsgroups. According the logic of Time Warner Cable, if I post on eBay or Strong Signals, I am commercial and in violation there as well.

BUT, until I hear different, that is where I will post future equipment.

Since I also admittedly have a big mouth, and often take the irritating side of an issue, I will simply lurk here and not make future postings of any type for fear of being turned in to my ISP. Love me or hate me, I always thought we had fun, and a good debate. To the little children who turned me in, I surrender, you win. I am silenced.

The following is my response to the latest e-mail from the fellow at Time Warner. It is exactly how it was sent. The only other posting you will see from me in the future is IF and WHEN I get a final reply from them, I will pass it along. DAN

>Dear Mr.Conti
>It is not my job to judge you or your actions.

Yet you or someone in your unit sends me a threatening letter. Please put me in touch with someone who CAN make a final judgement in this matter.

>Our intent was to let you know that there has been a complaint made against your >actions on this newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party who filed the >complaint.

It is unfortunate that you take the word of someone who is most likely NOT a Time Warner customer, over that of a good customer for many years. Is that good customer Relations?

Because a complaint was made, it doesn't mean it is valid. I should have the right to dispute the complaint reasonably with someone of authority at your company.
Additionally, I have the right to question your interpretation of your user greement as it relates to this matter, and if necessary, my attorney will certainly have the right to review it as well. All I get is short answers apparently in 100% agreement with the complainant.

>We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on newsgroups >because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner account

if we receive any >more complaints. Thank you.

In effect you are curtailing my usage of your service without allowing me the opportunity to contest your position. I need to know specifically how to be careful, and why I must be carefulm and where.....

My initial instinct, and those others, would be to take your equipment and throw it on the front lawn where you could pick it up, and terminate your service.

Quite frankly, your service has been good, so I do not want to do that. If there were another service available as good or better, I would.

According to your position, if I post a For Sale or For Auction item to a newsgroup which is a private sale, and not a regular occurrence, and not for profit, it is considered commerical by Time Warner, and jeapordizes my account.

If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?

If I post something for sale, or for auction on a web site, am I in violation?

You mean to tell me that your Time Wanrer customers posting on eBay are in jeapordy of being cancelled?

This entire matter is ridiculous, and you know it. You are obviously intelligent, and internet saavy. You KNOW I did nothing wrong. I did not violate newsgroups rules or charters, nor am I a commercial user of your service.

I think you guys should back down on this stuff, tell the whiners that there is NO violation, and let your customers enjoy the service they pay PREMIUM prices for every month. You are opening up a can of worms here that should stay closed.

All you need is a big mouth like me, and my big moth attorney to push this issue, get publicity, and TIme Warner will look ridiculous as a result.

I am sure you are aware that I already have a good portion of the radio hobby newsgroup members up in arms.....

I do not consider this matter resolved. I want the complaint removed from my record, and someone of authority at Time Warner to review the matter impartially and make a final determination one way or the other, relative to the cogent hypothetical points I made above.

Sincerely,

Daniel M. Conti

Sincerely,
Gilbert Roman
Time Warner Cable
Internet Security

3036. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 22:05:25 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3E9F2524.7E8CB171@nospam.iserv.net>
Source record: 32501
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti wrote:

> I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering everyone
> with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of importance to
> all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.
>
> As a result of the position taken by my ISP, I will no longer post any FOR
> SALE, or FOR AUCTION threads on the newsgroups. According the logic of Time
> Warner Cable, if I post on eBay or Strong Signals, I am commercial and in
> violation there as well.
>
> BUT, until I hear different, that is where I will post future equipment.
>
> Since I also admittedly have a big mouth, and often take the irritating side
> of an issue, I will simply lurk here and not make future postings of any
> type for fear of being turned in to my ISP. Love me or hate me, I always
> thought we had fun, and a good debate. To the little children who turned me
> in, I surrender, you win. I am silenced.
>
> The following is my response to the latest e-mail from the fellow at Time
> Warner. It is exactly how it was sent. The only other posting you will see
> from me in the future is IF and WHEN I get a final reply from them, I will
> pass it along. DAN
>
> >Dear Mr.Conti
> >It is not my job to judge you or your actions.
>
> Yet you or someone in your unit sends me a threatening letter. Please put
> me in touch with someone who CAN make a final judgement in this matter.
>
> >Our intent was to let you know that there has been a complaint made against
> your >actions on this newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party
> who filed the >complaint.
>
> It is unfortunate that you take the word of someone who is most likely NOT a
> Time Warner customer, over that of a good customer for many years. Is that
> good customer Relations?
>
> Because a complaint was made, it doesn't mean it is valid. I should have
> the right to dispute the complaint reasonably with someone of authority at
> your company.
> Additionally, I have the right to question your interpretation of your user
> greement as it relates to this matter, and if necessary, my attorney will

> certainly have the right to review it as well. All I get is short answers
> apparently in 100% agreement with the complainant.
>
> >We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
> newsgroups >because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner account
> if we receive any >more complaints. Thank you.
>
> In effect you are curtailing my usage of your service without allowing me
> the opportunity to contest your position. I need to know specifically how
> to be careful, and why I must be carefulm and where.....
>
> My initial instinct, and those others, would be to take your equipment and
> throw it on the front lawn where you could pick it up, and terminate your
> service.
>
> Quite frankly, your service has been good, so I do not want to do that. If
> there were another service available as good or better, I would.
>
> According to your position, if I post a For Sale or For Auction item to a
> newsgroup which is a private sale, and not a regular occurrence, and not for
> profit, it is considered commerical by Time Warner, and jeapordizes my
> account.
>
> If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?
>
> If I post something for sale, or for auction on a web site, am I in
> violation?
>
> You mean to tell me that your Time Wanrer customers posting on eBay are in
> jeapordy of being cancelled?
>
> This entire matter is ridiculous, and you know it. You are obviously
> intelligent, and internet saavy. You KNOW I did nothing wrong. I did not
> violate newsgroups rules or charters, nor am I a commercial user of your
> service.
>
> I think you guys should back down on this stuff, tell the whiners that
> there is NO violation, and let your customers enjoy the service they pay
> PREMIUM prices for every month. You are opening up a can of worms here that
> should stay closed.
>
> All you need is a big mouth like me, and my big moth attorney to push this
> issue, get publicity, and TIme Warner will look ridiculous as a result.
>
> I am sure you are aware that I already have a good portion of the radio
> hobby newsgroup members up in arms.....
>
> I do not consider this matter resolved. I want the complaint removed from
> my record, and someone of authority at Time Warner to review the matter
> impartially and make a final determination one way or the other, relative to

> the cogent hypothetical points I made above.
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Daniel M. Conti
>
> Sincerely,
> Gilbert Roman
> Time Warner Cable
> Internet Security

I'd find another internet provider if they think they can cancel you out for posting on eBay. What a bunch of retards.

3037. Re: FS> BC 250D Scanner & Apco P-25 Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 22:09:37 UTC
From: Scott Berringer <scanman@scanland.org>
Message-ID: <Xns9360B8BA07442scanmanscanlandorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32502

tramd300@aol.com.goaway (TRAMD300) wrote in
news:20030417134926.18909.00000055@mb-m15.aol.com:

>>what system did they goto?!
>
> They switched to the 9600 baud system.
> really bumbed me out...
> Thanks for the reply...
>
> ** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes
> down**
> **Life is too short... Enjoy the
> ride...**
> {{:-})> <({-:}}
>

You can still monitor the system. You just won't be able to track it.

3038. F/S Uniden 780xlt with software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 22:17:45 UTC
From: see-signature@nospam.net
Message-ID: <3e9f27b4.269780373@news.east.cox.net>
Source record: 32503

For Sale:
Uniden 780xlt

Radio is in excellent condition and has a discriminator output connector(RCA). Comes with all original packaging and manual.

I will also include a CD with WinScan, AFS 780, Police Call 2003 and computer to radio cable...

.jpg available

$250
Jeff.
Rhode Island
jad1@cox.net

3039. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 22:23:31 UTC
From: Its Me! <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DPFna.1525$392.545503@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 32504
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Why are you wasting up bandspace with this Bullshit?Who gives a shit?
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:pqFna.11575$mC1.3408417@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering
everyone
> with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of importance to
> all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.
>
> As a result of the position taken by my ISP, I will no longer post any FOR
> SALE, or FOR AUCTION threads on the newsgroups. According the logic of
Time
> Warner Cable, if I post on eBay or Strong Signals, I am commercial and in
> violation there as well.
>
> BUT, until I hear different, that is where I will post future equipment.
>
> Since I also admittedly have a big mouth, and often take the irritating
side
> of an issue, I will simply lurk here and not make future postings of any
> type for fear of being turned in to my ISP. Love me or hate me, I always
> thought we had fun, and a good debate. To the little children who turned
me
> in, I surrender, you win. I am silenced.
>
> The following is my response to the latest e-mail from the fellow at Time
> Warner. It is exactly how it was sent. The only other posting you will
see
> from me in the future is IF and WHEN I get a final reply from them, I will
> pass it along. DAN
>
> >Dear Mr.Conti
> >It is not my job to judge you or your actions.
>
> Yet you or someone in your unit sends me a threatening letter. Please put
> me in touch with someone who CAN make a final judgement in this matter.
>
> >Our intent was to let you know that there has been a complaint made
against
> your >actions on this newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party
> who filed the >complaint.
>
> It is unfortunate that you take the word of someone who is most likely NOT
a

> Time Warner customer, over that of a good customer for many years. Is
that
> good customer Relations?
>
> Because a complaint was made, it doesn't mean it is valid. I should have
> the right to dispute the complaint reasonably with someone of authority at
> your company.
> Additionally, I have the right to question your interpretation of your
user
> greement as it relates to this matter, and if necessary, my attorney will
> certainly have the right to review it as well. All I get is short
answers
> apparently in 100% agreement with the complainant.
>
>
> >We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
> newsgroups >because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner
account
> if we receive any >more complaints. Thank you.
>
> In effect you are curtailing my usage of your service without allowing me
> the opportunity to contest your position. I need to know specifically how
> to be careful, and why I must be carefulm and where.....
>
> My initial instinct, and those others, would be to take your equipment and
> throw it on the front lawn where you could pick it up, and terminate your
> service.
>
> Quite frankly, your service has been good, so I do not want to do that.
If
> there were another service available as good or better, I would.
>
> According to your position, if I post a For Sale or For Auction item to a
> newsgroup which is a private sale, and not a regular occurrence, and not
for
> profit, it is considered commerical by Time Warner, and jeapordizes my
> account.
>
> If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?
>
> If I post something for sale, or for auction on a web site, am I in
> violation?
>
> You mean to tell me that your Time Wanrer customers posting on eBay are
in
> jeapordy of being cancelled?
>
> This entire matter is ridiculous, and you know it. You are obviously
> intelligent, and internet saavy. You KNOW I did nothing wrong. I did not
> violate newsgroups rules or charters, nor am I a commercial user of your

> service.
>
> I think you guys should back down on this stuff, tell the whiners that
> there is NO violation, and let your customers enjoy the service they pay
> PREMIUM prices for every month. You are opening up a can of worms here
that
> should stay closed.
>
> All you need is a big mouth like me, and my big moth attorney to push this
> issue, get publicity, and TIme Warner will look ridiculous as a result.
>
> I am sure you are aware that I already have a good portion of the radio
> hobby newsgroup members up in arms.....
>
> I do not consider this matter resolved. I want the complaint removed from
> my record, and someone of authority at Time Warner to review the matter
> impartially and make a final determination one way or the other, relative
to
> the cogent hypothetical points I made above.
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
> Daniel M. Conti
>
>
> Sincerely,
> Gilbert Roman
> Time Warner Cable
> Internet Security
>
>

3040. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 22:25:14 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3E9F29C9.1116A937@nospam.iserv.net>
Source record: 32505
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Its Me!" wrote:

> Why are you wasting up bandspace with this Bullshit?Who gives a shit?

Why did you waste 'bandspace' with your reply retard?

>
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:pqFna.11575$mC1.3408417@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> > I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering
> everyone
> > with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of importance to
> > all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.
> >
> > As a result of the position taken by my ISP, I will no longer post any FOR
> > SALE, or FOR AUCTION threads on the newsgroups. According the logic of
> Time
> > Warner Cable, if I post on eBay or Strong Signals, I am commercial and in
> > violation there as well.
> >
> > BUT, until I hear different, that is where I will post future equipment.
> >
> > Since I also admittedly have a big mouth, and often take the irritating
> side
> > of an issue, I will simply lurk here and not make future postings of any
> > type for fear of being turned in to my ISP. Love me or hate me, I always
> > thought we had fun, and a good debate. To the little children who turned
> me
> > in, I surrender, you win. I am silenced.
> >
> > The following is my response to the latest e-mail from the fellow at Time
> > Warner. It is exactly how it was sent. The only other posting you will
> see
> > from me in the future is IF and WHEN I get a final reply from them, I will
> > pass it along. DAN
> >
> > >Dear Mr.Conti
> > >It is not my job to judge you or your actions.
> >
> > Yet you or someone in your unit sends me a threatening letter. Please put
> > me in touch with someone who CAN make a final judgement in this matter.
> >
> > >Our intent was to let you know that there has been a complaint made
> against

> > your >actions on this newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party
> > who filed the >complaint.
> >
> > It is unfortunate that you take the word of someone who is most likely NOT
> a
> > Time Warner customer, over that of a good customer for many years. Is
> that
> > good customer Relations?
> >
> > Because a complaint was made, it doesn't mean it is valid. I should have
> > the right to dispute the complaint reasonably with someone of authority at
> > your company.
> > Additionally, I have the right to question your interpretation of your
> user
> > greement as it relates to this matter, and if necessary, my attorney will
> > certainly have the right to review it as well. All I get is short
> answers
> > apparently in 100% agreement with the complainant.
> >
> >
> > >We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
> > newsgroups >because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner
> account
> > if we receive any >more complaints. Thank you.
> >
> > In effect you are curtailing my usage of your service without allowing me
> > the opportunity to contest your position. I need to know specifically how
> > to be careful, and why I must be carefulm and where.....
> >
> > My initial instinct, and those others, would be to take your equipment and
> > throw it on the front lawn where you could pick it up, and terminate your
> > service.
> >
> > Quite frankly, your service has been good, so I do not want to do that.
> If
> > there were another service available as good or better, I would.
> >
> > According to your position, if I post a For Sale or For Auction item to a
> > newsgroup which is a private sale, and not a regular occurrence, and not
> for
> > profit, it is considered commerical by Time Warner, and jeapordizes my
> > account.
> >
> > If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?
> >
> > If I post something for sale, or for auction on a web site, am I in
> > violation?
> >
> > You mean to tell me that your Time Wanrer customers posting on eBay are
> in

> > jeapordy of being cancelled?
> >
> > This entire matter is ridiculous, and you know it. You are obviously
> > intelligent, and internet saavy. You KNOW I did nothing wrong. I did not
> > violate newsgroups rules or charters, nor am I a commercial user of your
> > service.
> >
> > I think you guys should back down on this stuff, tell the whiners that
> > there is NO violation, and let your customers enjoy the service they pay
> > PREMIUM prices for every month. You are opening up a can of worms here
> that
> > should stay closed.
> >
> > All you need is a big mouth like me, and my big moth attorney to push this
> > issue, get publicity, and TIme Warner will look ridiculous as a result.
> >
> > I am sure you are aware that I already have a good portion of the radio
> > hobby newsgroup members up in arms.....
> >
> > I do not consider this matter resolved. I want the complaint removed from
> > my record, and someone of authority at Time Warner to review the matter
> > impartially and make a final determination one way or the other, relative
> to
> > the cogent hypothetical points I made above.
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> >
> > Daniel M. Conti
> >
> >
> > Sincerely,
> > Gilbert Roman
> > Time Warner Cable
> > Internet Security
> >
> >

3041. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 22:42:26 UTC
From: itsme2 <itsme2@att.net>
Message-ID: <0dbu9vc2tmj9spn63i9sr09c5tahpaps51@4ax.com>
Source record: 32506
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Fuck Off you slimy piece of shit N8kdv

On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 18:25:14 -0400, N8KDV <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net>
wrote:

>
>
>"Its Me!" wrote:
>
>> Why are you wasting up bandspace with this Bullshit?Who gives a shit?
>
>Why did you waste 'bandspace' with your reply retard?
>
>>
>> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
>> news:pqFna.11575$mC1.3408417@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>> > I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering
>> everyone
>> > with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of importance to
>> > all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.
>> >
>> > As a result of the position taken by my ISP, I will no longer post any FOR
>> > SALE, or FOR AUCTION threads on the newsgroups. According the logic of
>> Time
>> > Warner Cable, if I post on eBay or Strong Signals, I am commercial and in
>> > violation there as well.
>> >
>> > BUT, until I hear different, that is where I will post future equipment.
>> >
>> > Since I also admittedly have a big mouth, and often take the irritating
>> side
>> > of an issue, I will simply lurk here and not make future postings of any
>> > type for fear of being turned in to my ISP. Love me or hate me, I always
>> > thought we had fun, and a good debate. To the little children who turned
>> me
>> > in, I surrender, you win. I am silenced.
>> >
>> > The following is my response to the latest e-mail from the fellow at Time
>> > Warner. It is exactly how it was sent. The only other posting you will
>> see
>> > from me in the future is IF and WHEN I get a final reply from them, I will
>> > pass it along. DAN
>> >
>> > >Dear Mr.Conti

>> > >It is not my job to judge you or your actions.
>> >
>> > Yet you or someone in your unit sends me a threatening letter. Please put
>> > me in touch with someone who CAN make a final judgement in this matter.
>> >
>> > >Our intent was to let you know that there has been a complaint made
>> against
>> > your >actions on this newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party
>> > who filed the >complaint.
>> >
>> > It is unfortunate that you take the word of someone who is most likely NOT
>> a
>> > Time Warner customer, over that of a good customer for many years. Is
>> that
>> > good customer Relations?
>> >
>> > Because a complaint was made, it doesn't mean it is valid. I should have
>> > the right to dispute the complaint reasonably with someone of authority at
>> > your company.
>> > Additionally, I have the right to question your interpretation of your
>> user
>> > greement as it relates to this matter, and if necessary, my attorney will
>> > certainly have the right to review it as well. All I get is short
>> answers
>> > apparently in 100% agreement with the complainant.
>> >
>> >
>> > >We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
>> > newsgroups >because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner
>> account
>> > if we receive any >more complaints. Thank you.
>> >
>> > In effect you are curtailing my usage of your service without allowing me
>> > the opportunity to contest your position. I need to know specifically how
>> > to be careful, and why I must be carefulm and where.....
>> >
>> > My initial instinct, and those others, would be to take your equipment and
>> > throw it on the front lawn where you could pick it up, and terminate your
>> > service.
>> >
>> > Quite frankly, your service has been good, so I do not want to do that.
>> If
>> > there were another service available as good or better, I would.
>> >
>> > According to your position, if I post a For Sale or For Auction item to a
>> > newsgroup which is a private sale, and not a regular occurrence, and not
>> for
>> > profit, it is considered commerical by Time Warner, and jeapordizes my
>> > account.
>> >

>> > If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?
>> >
>> > If I post something for sale, or for auction on a web site, am I in
>> > violation?
>> >
>> > You mean to tell me that your Time Wanrer customers posting on eBay are
>> in
>> > jeapordy of being cancelled?
>> >
>> > This entire matter is ridiculous, and you know it. You are obviously
>> > intelligent, and internet saavy. You KNOW I did nothing wrong. I did not
>> > violate newsgroups rules or charters, nor am I a commercial user of your
>> > service.
>> >
>> > I think you guys should back down on this stuff, tell the whiners that
>> > there is NO violation, and let your customers enjoy the service they pay
>> > PREMIUM prices for every month. You are opening up a can of worms here
>> that
>> > should stay closed.
>> >
>> > All you need is a big mouth like me, and my big moth attorney to push this
>> > issue, get publicity, and TIme Warner will look ridiculous as a result.
>> >
>> > I am sure you are aware that I already have a good portion of the radio
>> > hobby newsgroup members up in arms.....
>> >
>> > I do not consider this matter resolved. I want the complaint removed from
>> > my record, and someone of authority at Time Warner to review the matter
>> > impartially and make a final determination one way or the other, relative
>> to
>> > the cogent hypothetical points I made above.
>> >
>> > Sincerely,
>> >
>> >
>> > Daniel M. Conti
>> >
>> >
>> > Sincerely,
>> > Gilbert Roman
>> > Time Warner Cable
>> > Internet Security
>> >
>> >

3042. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 22:44:54 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3E9F2E66.23D056CD@nospam.iserv.net>
Source record: 32507
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

itsme2 wrote:

> Fuck Off you slimy piece of shit N8kdv

Once again, the question is, why are you 'wasting bandspace' with your reply,
retard?

>
>
> On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 18:25:14 -0400, N8KDV <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >"Its Me!" wrote:
> >
> >> Why are you wasting up bandspace with this Bullshit?Who gives a shit?
> >
> >Why did you waste 'bandspace' with your reply retard?
> >
> >>
> >> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> >> news:pqFna.11575$mC1.3408417@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> >> > I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering
> >> everyone
> >> > with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of importance to
> >> > all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.
> >> >
> >> > As a result of the position taken by my ISP, I will no longer post any FOR
> >> > SALE, or FOR AUCTION threads on the newsgroups. According the logic of
> >> Time
> >> > Warner Cable, if I post on eBay or Strong Signals, I am commercial and in
> >> > violation there as well.
> >> >
> >> > BUT, until I hear different, that is where I will post future equipment.
> >> >
> >> > Since I also admittedly have a big mouth, and often take the irritating
> >> side
> >> > of an issue, I will simply lurk here and not make future postings of any
> >> > type for fear of being turned in to my ISP. Love me or hate me, I always
> >> > thought we had fun, and a good debate. To the little children who turned
> >> me
> >> > in, I surrender, you win. I am silenced.
> >> >

> >> > The following is my response to the latest e-mail from the fellow at Time
> >> > Warner. It is exactly how it was sent. The only other posting you will
> >> see
> >> > from me in the future is IF and WHEN I get a final reply from them, I will
> >> > pass it along. DAN
> >> >
> >> > >Dear Mr.Conti
> >> > >It is not my job to judge you or your actions.
> >> >
> >> > Yet you or someone in your unit sends me a threatening letter. Please put
> >> > me in touch with someone who CAN make a final judgement in this matter.
> >> >
> >> > >Our intent was to let you know that there has been a complaint made
> >> against
> >> > your >actions on this newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party
> >> > who filed the >complaint.
> >> >
> >> > It is unfortunate that you take the word of someone who is most likely NOT
> >> a
> >> > Time Warner customer, over that of a good customer for many years. Is
> >> that
> >> > good customer Relations?
> >> >
> >> > Because a complaint was made, it doesn't mean it is valid. I should have
> >> > the right to dispute the complaint reasonably with someone of authority at
> >> > your company.
> >> > Additionally, I have the right to question your interpretation of your
> >> user
> >> > greement as it relates to this matter, and if necessary, my attorney will
> >> > certainly have the right to review it as well. All I get is short
> >> answers
> >> > apparently in 100% agreement with the complainant.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > >We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
> >> > newsgroups >because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner
> >> account
> >> > if we receive any >more complaints. Thank you.
> >> >
> >> > In effect you are curtailing my usage of your service without allowing me
> >> > the opportunity to contest your position. I need to know specifically how
> >> > to be careful, and why I must be carefulm and where.....
> >> >
> >> > My initial instinct, and those others, would be to take your equipment and
> >> > throw it on the front lawn where you could pick it up, and terminate your
> >> > service.
> >> >
> >> > Quite frankly, your service has been good, so I do not want to do that.
> >> If
> >> > there were another service available as good or better, I would.

> >> >
> >> > According to your position, if I post a For Sale or For Auction item to a
> >> > newsgroup which is a private sale, and not a regular occurrence, and not
> >> for
> >> > profit, it is considered commerical by Time Warner, and jeapordizes my
> >> > account.
> >> >
> >> > If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?
> >> >
> >> > If I post something for sale, or for auction on a web site, am I in
> >> > violation?
> >> >
> >> > You mean to tell me that your Time Wanrer customers posting on eBay are
> >> in
> >> > jeapordy of being cancelled?
> >> >
> >> > This entire matter is ridiculous, and you know it. You are obviously
> >> > intelligent, and internet saavy. You KNOW I did nothing wrong. I did not
> >> > violate newsgroups rules or charters, nor am I a commercial user of your
> >> > service.
> >> >
> >> > I think you guys should back down on this stuff, tell the whiners that
> >> > there is NO violation, and let your customers enjoy the service they pay
> >> > PREMIUM prices for every month. You are opening up a can of worms here
> >> that
> >> > should stay closed.
> >> >
> >> > All you need is a big mouth like me, and my big moth attorney to push this
> >> > issue, get publicity, and TIme Warner will look ridiculous as a result.
> >> >
> >> > I am sure you are aware that I already have a good portion of the radio
> >> > hobby newsgroup members up in arms.....
> >> >
> >> > I do not consider this matter resolved. I want the complaint removed from
> >> > my record, and someone of authority at Time Warner to review the matter
> >> > impartially and make a final determination one way or the other, relative
> >> to
> >> > the cogent hypothetical points I made above.
> >> >
> >> > Sincerely,
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Daniel M. Conti
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Sincerely,
> >> > Gilbert Roman
> >> > Time Warner Cable
> >> > Internet Security
> >> >

> >> >

3043. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 22:51:39 UTC
From: Scanman <unidenman2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b7nb5q02cb8@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32509

Me thinks you need to get over it and get a life!

All your postings have NOTHING to do with this newsgroup and just subject
you to more complaints!

If you don't like what happened then don't come here! There will always be
idiots in the world and you
are just doing exactly what they want you to do, Make a big thing out of
NOTHING!

Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:pqFna.11575$mC1.3408417@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering
everyone
> with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of importance to
> all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.
>
> As a result of the position taken by my ISP, I will no longer post any FOR
> SALE, or FOR AUCTION threads on the newsgroups. According the logic of
Time
> Warner Cable, if I post on eBay or Strong Signals, I am commercial and in
> violation there as well.
>
> BUT, until I hear different, that is where I will post future equipment.
>
> Since I also admittedly have a big mouth, and often take the irritating
side
> of an issue, I will simply lurk here and not make future postings of any
> type for fear of being turned in to my ISP. Love me or hate me, I always
> thought we had fun, and a good debate. To the little children who turned
me
> in, I surrender, you win. I am silenced.
>
> The following is my response to the latest e-mail from the fellow at Time
> Warner. It is exactly how it was sent. The only other posting you will
see
> from me in the future is IF and WHEN I get a final reply from them, I will
> pass it along. DAN
>
> >Dear Mr.Conti
> >It is not my job to judge you or your actions.
>
> Yet you or someone in your unit sends me a threatening letter. Please put
> me in touch with someone who CAN make a final judgement in this matter.
>

> >Our intent was to let you know that there has been a complaint made
against
> your >actions on this newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party
> who filed the >complaint.
>
> It is unfortunate that you take the word of someone who is most likely NOT
a
> Time Warner customer, over that of a good customer for many years. Is
that
> good customer Relations?
>
> Because a complaint was made, it doesn't mean it is valid. I should have
> the right to dispute the complaint reasonably with someone of authority at
> your company.
> Additionally, I have the right to question your interpretation of your
user
> greement as it relates to this matter, and if necessary, my attorney will
> certainly have the right to review it as well. All I get is short
answers
> apparently in 100% agreement with the complainant.
>
>
> >We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
> newsgroups >because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner
account
> if we receive any >more complaints. Thank you.
>
> In effect you are curtailing my usage of your service without allowing me
> the opportunity to contest your position. I need to know specifically how
> to be careful, and why I must be carefulm and where.....
>
> My initial instinct, and those others, would be to take your equipment and
> throw it on the front lawn where you could pick it up, and terminate your
> service.
>
> Quite frankly, your service has been good, so I do not want to do that.
If
> there were another service available as good or better, I would.
>
> According to your position, if I post a For Sale or For Auction item to a
> newsgroup which is a private sale, and not a regular occurrence, and not
for
> profit, it is considered commerical by Time Warner, and jeapordizes my
> account.
>
> If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?
>
> If I post something for sale, or for auction on a web site, am I in
> violation?
>

> You mean to tell me that your Time Wanrer customers posting on eBay are
in
> jeapordy of being cancelled?
>
> This entire matter is ridiculous, and you know it. You are obviously
> intelligent, and internet saavy. You KNOW I did nothing wrong. I did not
> violate newsgroups rules or charters, nor am I a commercial user of your
> service.
>
> I think you guys should back down on this stuff, tell the whiners that
> there is NO violation, and let your customers enjoy the service they pay
> PREMIUM prices for every month. You are opening up a can of worms here
that
> should stay closed.
>
> All you need is a big mouth like me, and my big moth attorney to push this
> issue, get publicity, and TIme Warner will look ridiculous as a result.
>
> I am sure you are aware that I already have a good portion of the radio
> hobby newsgroup members up in arms.....
>
> I do not consider this matter resolved. I want the complaint removed from
> my record, and someone of authority at Time Warner to review the matter
> impartially and make a final determination one way or the other, relative
to
> the cogent hypothetical points I made above.
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
> Daniel M. Conti
>
>
> Sincerely,
> Gilbert Roman
> Time Warner Cable
> Internet Security
>
>

3044. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 23:15:49 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spectrumhog@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9360A59959D48Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 32508
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> I'm a ham and I resent all blanket comments made about hams.
>
> John
>

I'm a ham, too, John. And when its cold, I use plenty of
"blankets". I don't resent anyone making "blanket" comments on that,
either.

Ed

3045. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 23:36:05 UTC
From: swl_yb400pe@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3e9f39a1.116991701@News.CIS.DFN.DE>
Source record: 32511
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 21:56:37 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
wrote:

>I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering everyone
>with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of importance to
>all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.
>

I use this sevice, it's free,and they stay out of my business.
http://news.cis.dfn.de/
You might consider something like this, and just stay out of AOL's
services as much as possible.

3046. yaesu vr-120d mint FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-17 23:39:48 UTC
From: keith <mke65@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <6oeu9vgghuke2ue70je8pgu0c1utg4cqfu@4ax.com>
Source record: 32510

I have the radio on ebay. Buy it now for 130 includes shown stubby and free shipping.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3019470605

3047. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 00:20:11 UTC
From: Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette>
Message-ID: <mvfu9vs96giv4lu0hdcjrcub0i1ead8234@4ax.com>
Source record: 32512
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Here are the official charters for the three newsgroups. These
documents are the law in a newsgroup. They are the reason a newsgroup
is formed.

They can always be found at: ftp://ftp.isc.org.pub/usenet/control/

They do not specifically state that you cannot offer items for sale.
So I don't see how anyone can complain. If there was a statement to
the effect that these groups were not for the sale of radio related
items then he might have a point. If you wanted to get real
technical with Gilbert you could offer these up to him but he's
probably too ignorant to understand.

REC RADIO SWAP

From jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu Fri Aug 2 01:10:29 1991
Path:
rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!wuarchive!bcm!lib!thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
From: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu (Jay Maynard)
Newsgroups: rec.ham-radio.swap.ctl
Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
Date: 13 Feb 91 11:42:28 GMT
Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
Sender: usenet@lib.tmc.edu
Organization: University of Texas Medical School at Houston
Lines: 18
Approved: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
Nntp-Posting-Host: thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
Originator: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu

This group was approved as part of the rec.ham-radio.* reorganization,
whose vote results were posted over a week ago in
news.announce.newsgroups.
No objections have been raised as to the results.

Please alias the group rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap. B news
sites may do this by adding the following line to
/usr/lib/news/aliases:
rec.ham-radio.swap rec.radio.swap
C news sites may alias the group by changing the 'n' at the end of the
entry for rec.ham-radio.swap in /usr/lib/news/active to
'=rec.radio.swap'. Be sure to lock the news system with locknews

before editing the active file.

Thanks for your cooperation.
--
Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which
can
jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu | adequately be explained by
stupidity.
"Today is different from yesterday." -- State Department spokesman
Margaret
Tutwiler, 17 Jan 91, explaining why they won't negotiate with Saddam
Hussein

From tale@rpi.edu Fri Aug 2 01:12:08 1991
Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
Path: rpi!tale
From: tale@rpi.edu (David C Lawrence)
Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
Sender: tale@cs.rpi.edu
Nntp-Posting-Host: cs.rpi.edu
Date: 13 Mar 91 15:23:30 GMT
Approved: tale@rpi.edu
Lines: 8

rec.radio.swap is an unmoderated group which passed its vote for
creation by 177:44 as announced in news.announce.newgroups on 3 Feb
91.

For your newsgroups file:
rec.radio.swap Offers to trade and swap radio equipment.

This newsgroup replaces rec.ham-radio.swap. You will probably want to
continue to alias or refile rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap.

From news@compass.com Thu May 23 15:33:37 1991
Path: rpi!think.com!compass!news
From: news@compass.com (news)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
Message-ID: <5968@compass.com>
Date: 23 May 91 17:20:07 GMT
Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
Distribution: world
Organization: Compass, Inc., Wakefield, MA
Lines: 1
Approved: news@compass.com

ffers to trade and swap radio equipment.

From news@tumif.UUCP Wed Jun 19 22:27:54 1991
Path:
rpi!think.com!samsung!caen!ox.com!math.fu-berlin.de!fub!ivcmd!tumif!news

From: news@tumif.UUCP (News-Administrator)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
Message-ID: <330@tumif.UUCP>
Date: 18 Jun 91 22:05:27 GMT
Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
Distribution: UniDo
Organization: IVC Magdeburg
Lines: 0
Approved: wega@tumif.UUCP

From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 11:47:07 1992
Path:
rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf!steve
From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
Message-ID: <16580@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
Date: 26 Apr 92 00:26:49 GMT
Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
Distribution: umcs
Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
Lines: 0
Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU

From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:44 1995
Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
Path:
uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
From: ske@pkmab.se
Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
Distribution: oerebro
Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:29 GMT
Approved: ske@pkmab.se
Message-ID: <DD1Cu7.1p6@berenice.pkmab.se>
Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
Lines: 4
Xref: uunet control.newgroup:225

Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.swap
--
Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
Sweden
Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se

From news@news.scnet.nsk.su Fri Nov 24 17:22:06 1995
Path:
uunet!in2.uu.net!EU.net!news.eunet.fi!KremlSun!fagot!news.scnet.nsk.su!news.scnet.nsk.su!n
ews

Date: 25 Nov 1995 03:00:38 NSK
From: news@news.scnet.nsk.su
Subject: cmsg newgroup rec.radio.swap
Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
Message-ID:
<news-rec.radio.swap-25-Nov-1995-030038-NSK@news.scnet.nsk.su>
Approved: news
Distribution: world
Lines: 2
Xref: uunet control.newgroup:4480

For your newsgroups file:
rec.radio.swap

REC RADIO SCANNER

From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Path: uunet!tale
From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT
Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
Lines: 16
Xref: uunet control:707675

rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
for
creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
1993

For your newsgroups file:
rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
The charter, culled from the call for votes:

rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
many who do not have access to USENET news.

From tale@uunet.uu.net Fri Aug 19 13:40:22 1994
Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Path: uunet!tale
From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
Date: Fri, 19 Aug 1994 17:40:47 GMT
Message-ID: <CusMG0.4r2@uunet.uu.net>
Lines: 16
Xref: uunet control:1137891

rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
for
creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
1993.

For your newsgroups file:
rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.

The charter, culled from the call for votes:

rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
many who do not have access to USENET news.

From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:28 1995
Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner.ctl
Path:
uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
From: ske@pkmab.se
Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
Distribution: oerebro
Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:05 GMT
Approved: ske@pkmab.se
Message-ID: <DD1CtH.1H3@berenice.pkmab.se>
Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
Lines: 4
Xref: uunet control.newgroup:223

Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.scanner
-- Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26
Oerebro, Sweden
Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se

---

ALT RADIO SCANNER

From sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu Wed Mar 11 14:55:50 1992
Path: rpi!usc!sdd.hp.com!wupost!kuhub.cc.ukans.edu!sloane
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Message-ID: <1992Mar11.133544.38477@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
From: <sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
Date: 11 Mar 92 13:35:43 CST
Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Approved: sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu
Lines: 3

Per the discussion in alt.config. For you newsgroups file:

alt.radio.scanner Discussion of scanning radio receivers

From damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU Wed Apr 1 13:12:46 1992
Path:
rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!mips!pacbell.com!att!princeton!udel!darwin.sura.net!jvnc.net
!yale.edu!cmcl2!exchange!damir
From: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU (Damir Mijacika)
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Message-ID: <23203@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU>
Date: 1 Apr 92 16:12:22 GMT
Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Distribution: nyu
Organization: NYU Stern School of Business
Lines: 0
Approved: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU

From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:11:37 1992
Path:
rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!sdd.hp.com!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf
!steve
From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Message-ID: <16929@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
Date: 26 Apr 92 00:51:43 GMT
Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Distribution: umcs
Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
Lines: 0
Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU

From root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:18:05 1992
Path:
rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf!root
From: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (The root of ALL evil)
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Message-ID: <17078@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
Date: 26 Apr 92 01:12:46 GMT
Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Distribution: umcs
Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
Lines: 0
Approved: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU

From news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet Tue Jul 7 23:02:29 1992
Path:
rpi!think.com!mips!nec-gw!nec-tyo!wnoc-tyo-news!sh.wide!wnoc-kyo!wnoc-fukuoka-news!shiwasu
!scorpio!kusu!ai!news
From: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet (news)
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Message-ID: <5637@ai.ai.kyutech.junet>
Date: 8 Jul 92 02:33:44 GMT
Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Distribution: ai
Organization: Dept. of AI, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Iizuka,
Japan
Lines: 0
Approved: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet

From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Wed Feb 2 18:43:07 1994
Path:
uunet!pipex!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!csn!tali.hsc.c
olorado.edu!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!news
From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner
Subject: cmsg rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
Date: 2 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
Lines: 7
Distribution: inet
Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpik@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
Xref: uunet control:842064

The time has passed fro this group, either remove it or alias
it to
rec.radio.scanner.
--
Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu

==
Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?

From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Mon Feb 7 22:21:06 1994
Path:
uunet!news2.uunet.ca!ionews.io.org!gts!feline!halt!lookitthat!willya!tali.hsc.colorado.edu
!news
From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
Subject: cmsg newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Date: 3 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpikl@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
Xref: uunet control:846934

The time has passed fro this group, alias it to
rec.radio.scanner.
--
Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
==
Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?

From lee@hsh.com Wed Mar 23 21:02:40 1994
Path: uunet!spcuna!spcvxb!hsh!lee
Newsgroups: control,alt.config
Subject: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
Message-ID: <1994Mar23.150044.3822@hsh>
From: lee@hsh.com (Lee Havemann)
Date: 23 Mar 94 15:00:44 EST
Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
Distribution: world
Organization: HSH Associates
Summary: duplication is a waste
Approved: lee@hsh.com
Lines: 15
Xref: uunet control:889055

Why are we duplicating a viable rec. newsgroup? At last count, at
least 64%
of all articles in alt.radio.scanner are crossposted to
rec.radio.scanner!
rec.radio.scanner also has 4 times more traffic that a.r.s does.

Isn't this a waste of bandwidth??

I hearby rmgroup alt.radio.scanner. :-)

--

Lee Havemann, Comp Ops Dir. HSH Associates (201) 838-3330
Internet: lee@hsh.com Compuserve: 70410,3507 AOL: HSH Assoc
"Any opinions expressed are not necessarily those of anyone else,
including myself."

From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:32:26 1995
Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
Path:
uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
From: ske@pkmab.se
Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
Distribution: oerebro
Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:53:22 GMT
Approved: ske@pkmab.se
Message-ID: <DD1Coz.4o@berenice.pkmab.se>
Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
Lines: 4
Xref: uunet control.newgroup:211

Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: alt.radio.scanner
--
Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
Sweden
Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se

On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 18:37:42 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
wrote:

>I received this e-mail from my ISP today:
>
>
>
>
>
>Dear Mr.Conti
>We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am enclosing a
>document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.
>
>Sincerely yours,
>
>Gilbert Roman
>Time Warner Cable
>Internet Security
>
>
>
>Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all the
>trouble.....
>
>

>
>-Forwarded----
>
>Path:
>
>nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnilin
>k
>
>.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com!
>h
>
>ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr.
>c
>
>om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not-
>f
>
>or-mail
>
>From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
>
>Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
>
>Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
>
>Lines: 14
>
>X-Priority: 3
>
>X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>
>X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
>
>X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
>
>Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
>
>Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228
>
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
>
>X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar 2003
>
>01:30:29 EST)
>
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
>
>Organization: Road Runner - NYC

>
>Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009 rec.radio.scanner:32530
>
>rec.radio.swap:55272
>
>X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
>
>
>
>I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
>
>Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
>
>cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
>
>
>
>$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
>
>
>
>PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
>
>Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
>
>
>
>eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
>
>
>
>E-mail if interested.
>
>
>Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that , according to
>HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that the
>posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
>
>Go figure.
>
>Dan
>

3048. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 00:22:54 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3E9F455D.2D4DA98E@nospam.iserv.net>
Source record: 32513
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Know it All wrote:

> Here are the official charters for the three newsgroups. These
> documents are the law in a newsgroup. They are the reason a newsgroup
> is formed.

I, and others, might contend that they are not 'the law'. 'Laws' are passed by governments.
You might dream otherwise, but it is so,

>
>
> They can always be found at: ftp://ftp.isc.org.pub/usenet/control/
>
> They do not specifically state that you cannot offer items for sale.
> So I don't see how anyone can complain. If there was a statement to
> the effect that these groups were not for the sale of radio related
> items then he might have a point. If you wanted to get real
> technical with Gilbert you could offer these up to him but he's
> probably too ignorant to understand.
>
> ----------

>
> REC RADIO SWAP
>
> From jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu Fri Aug 2 01:10:29 1991
> Path:
> rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!wuarchive!bcm!lib!thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> From: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu (Jay Maynard)
> Newsgroups: rec.ham-radio.swap.ctl
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Date: 13 Feb 91 11:42:28 GMT
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Sender: usenet@lib.tmc.edu
> Organization: University of Texas Medical School at Houston
> Lines: 18
> Approved: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> Nntp-Posting-Host: thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> Originator: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
>
> This group was approved as part of the rec.ham-radio.* reorganization,
> whose vote results were posted over a week ago in
> news.announce.newsgroups.
> No objections have been raised as to the results.

>
> Please alias the group rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap. B news
> sites may do this by adding the following line to
> /usr/lib/news/aliases:
> rec.ham-radio.swap rec.radio.swap
> C news sites may alias the group by changing the 'n' at the end of the
> entry for rec.ham-radio.swap in /usr/lib/news/active to
> '=rec.radio.swap'. Be sure to lock the news system with locknews
> before editing the active file.
>
> Thanks for your cooperation.
> --
> Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which
> can
> jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu | adequately be explained by
> stupidity.
> "Today is different from yesterday." -- State Department spokesman
> Margaret
> Tutwiler, 17 Jan 91, explaining why they won't negotiate with Saddam
> Hussein
>
> From tale@rpi.edu Fri Aug 2 01:12:08 1991
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> Path: rpi!tale
> From: tale@rpi.edu (David C Lawrence)
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Sender: tale@cs.rpi.edu
> Nntp-Posting-Host: cs.rpi.edu
> Date: 13 Mar 91 15:23:30 GMT
> Approved: tale@rpi.edu
> Lines: 8
>
> rec.radio.swap is an unmoderated group which passed its vote for
> creation by 177:44 as announced in news.announce.newgroups on 3 Feb
> 91.
>
> For your newsgroups file:
> rec.radio.swap Offers to trade and swap radio equipment.
>
> This newsgroup replaces rec.ham-radio.swap. You will probably want to
> continue to alias or refile rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap.
>
> From news@compass.com Thu May 23 15:33:37 1991
> Path: rpi!think.com!compass!news
> From: news@compass.com (news)
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Message-ID: <5968@compass.com>
> Date: 23 May 91 17:20:07 GMT

> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Distribution: world
> Organization: Compass, Inc., Wakefield, MA
> Lines: 1
> Approved: news@compass.com
>
> ffers to trade and swap radio equipment.
>
> From news@tumif.UUCP Wed Jun 19 22:27:54 1991
> Path:
> rpi!think.com!samsung!caen!ox.com!math.fu-berlin.de!fub!ivcmd!tumif!news
> From: news@tumif.UUCP (News-Administrator)
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Message-ID: <330@tumif.UUCP>
> Date: 18 Jun 91 22:05:27 GMT
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Distribution: UniDo
> Organization: IVC Magdeburg
> Lines: 0
> Approved: wega@tumif.UUCP
>
> From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 11:47:07 1992
> Path:
> rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf!steve
> From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Message-ID: <16580@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> Date: 26 Apr 92 00:26:49 GMT
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Distribution: umcs
> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> Lines: 0
> Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
>
> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:44 1995
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> Path:
> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> From: ske@pkmab.se
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> Distribution: oerebro
> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:29 GMT
> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> Message-ID: <DD1Cu7.1p6@berenice.pkmab.se>
> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> Lines: 4

> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:225
>
> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.swap
> --
> Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
> Sweden
> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
>
> From news@news.scnet.nsk.su Fri Nov 24 17:22:06 1995
> Path:
> uunet!in2.uu.net!EU.net!news.eunet.fi!KremlSun!fagot!news.scnet.nsk.su!news.scnet.nsk.su
!news
> Date: 25 Nov 1995 03:00:38 NSK
> From: news@news.scnet.nsk.su
> Subject: cmsg newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> Message-ID:
> <news-rec.radio.swap-25-Nov-1995-030038-NSK@news.scnet.nsk.su>
> Approved: news
> Distribution: world
> Lines: 2
> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:4480
>
> For your newsgroups file:
> rec.radio.swap
>
> --
>
> REC RADIO SCANNER
>
>

>
> From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Path: uunet!tale
> From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
> Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT
> Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
> Lines: 16
> Xref: uunet control:707675
>
> rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> for

> creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> 1993
>
> For your newsgroups file:
> rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> The charter, culled from the call for votes:
>
> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> many who do not have access to USENET news.
>
> From tale@uunet.uu.net Fri Aug 19 13:40:22 1994
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Path: uunet!tale
> From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> Date: Fri, 19 Aug 1994 17:40:47 GMT
> Message-ID: <CusMG0.4r2@uunet.uu.net>
> Lines: 16
> Xref: uunet control:1137891
>
> rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> for
> creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> 1993.
>
> For your newsgroups file:
> rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
>
> The charter, culled from the call for votes:
>
> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> many who do not have access to USENET news.
>
> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:28 1995
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner

> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner.ctl
> Path:
> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> From: ske@pkmab.se
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> Distribution: oerebro
> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:05 GMT
> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> Message-ID: <DD1CtH.1H3@berenice.pkmab.se>
> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> Lines: 4
> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:223
>
> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.scanner
> -- Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26
> Oerebro, Sweden
> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
>
> ----------
>
> ALT RADIO SCANNER
>
> ----------
>
> From sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu Wed Mar 11 14:55:50 1992
> Path: rpi!usc!sdd.hp.com!wupost!kuhub.cc.ukans.edu!sloane
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Message-ID: <1992Mar11.133544.38477@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
> From: <sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
> Date: 11 Mar 92 13:35:43 CST
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Approved: sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu
> Lines: 3
>
> Per the discussion in alt.config. For you newsgroups file:
>
> alt.radio.scanner Discussion of scanning radio receivers
>
> From damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU Wed Apr 1 13:12:46 1992
> Path:
> rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!mips!pacbell.com!att!princeton!udel!darwin.sura.net!jvnc.net!yale.edu!cmcl2!exchange!damir
> From: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU (Damir Mijacika)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Message-ID: <23203@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU>

> Date: 1 Apr 92 16:12:22 GMT
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Distribution: nyu
> Organization: NYU Stern School of Business
> Lines: 0
> Approved: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU
>
> From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:11:37 1992
> Path:
> rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!sdd.hp.com!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!ganda
lf!steve
> From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Message-ID: <16929@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> Date: 26 Apr 92 00:51:43 GMT
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Distribution: umcs
> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> Lines: 0
> Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
>
> From root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:18:05 1992
> Path:
> rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf!root
> From: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (The root of ALL evil)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Message-ID: <17078@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> Date: 26 Apr 92 01:12:46 GMT
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Distribution: umcs
> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> Lines: 0
> Approved: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
>
> From news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet Tue Jul 7 23:02:29 1992
> Path:
> rpi!think.com!mips!nec-gw!nec-tyo!wnoc-tyo-news!sh.wide!wnoc-kyo!wnoc-fukuoka-news!shiwa
su!scorpio!kusu!ai!news
> From: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet (news)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Message-ID: <5637@ai.ai.kyutech.junet>
> Date: 8 Jul 92 02:33:44 GMT
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Distribution: ai
> Organization: Dept. of AI, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Iizuka,
> Japan
> Lines: 0

> Approved: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet
>
> From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Wed Feb 2 18:43:07 1994
> Path:
> uunet!pipex!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!csn!tali.hsc
.colorado.edu!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!news
> From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner
> Subject: cmsg rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Date: 2 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
> Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
> Lines: 7
> Distribution: inet
> Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpik@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> Xref: uunet control:842064
>
> The time has passed fro this group, either remove it or alias
> it to
> rec.radio.scanner.
> --
> Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
> chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> ==
> Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
>
> From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Mon Feb 7 22:21:06 1994
> Path:
> uunet!news2.uunet.ca!ionews.io.org!gts!feline!halt!lookitthat!willya!tali.hsc.colorado.e
du!news
> From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Subject: cmsg newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Date: 3 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
> Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
> Lines: 6
> Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpikl@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> Xref: uunet control:846934
>
> The time has passed fro this group, alias it to
> rec.radio.scanner.
> --
> Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
> chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> ==
> Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
>

> From lee@hsh.com Wed Mar 23 21:02:40 1994
> Path: uunet!spcuna!spcvxb!hsh!lee
> Newsgroups: control,alt.config
> Subject: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Message-ID: <1994Mar23.150044.3822@hsh>
> From: lee@hsh.com (Lee Havemann)
> Date: 23 Mar 94 15:00:44 EST
> Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Distribution: world
> Organization: HSH Associates
> Summary: duplication is a waste
> Approved: lee@hsh.com
> Lines: 15
> Xref: uunet control:889055
>
> Why are we duplicating a viable rec. newsgroup? At last count, at
> least 64%
> of all articles in alt.radio.scanner are crossposted to
> rec.radio.scanner!
> rec.radio.scanner also has 4 times more traffic that a.r.s does.
>
> Isn't this a waste of bandwidth??
>
> I hearby rmgroup alt.radio.scanner. :-)
>
> --
>
> Lee Havemann, Comp Ops Dir. HSH Associates (201) 838-3330
> Internet: lee@hsh.com Compuserve: 70410,3507 AOL: HSH Assoc
> "Any opinions expressed are not necessarily those of anyone else,
> including myself."
>
> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:32:26 1995
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Path:
> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> From: ske@pkmab.se
> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> Distribution: oerebro
> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:53:22 GMT
> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> Message-ID: <DD1Coz.4o@berenice.pkmab.se>
> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> Lines: 4
> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:211
>
> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: alt.radio.scanner
> --

> Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
> Sweden
> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
>
> On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 18:37:42 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I received this e-mail from my ISP today:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Dear Mr.Conti
> >We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am enclosing a
> >document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.
> >
> >Sincerely yours,
> >
> >Gilbert Roman
> >Time Warner Cable
> >Internet Security
> >
> >
> >
> >Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all the
> >trouble.....
> >
> >
> >
> >-Forwarded----
> >
> >Path:
> >
> >nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnilin
> >k
> >
> >.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com!
> >h
> >
> >ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr.
> >c
> >
> >om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not-
> >f
> >
> >or-mail
> >
> >From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> >

> >Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
> >
> >Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
> >
> >Lines: 14
> >
> >X-Priority: 3
> >
> >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> >
> >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> >
> >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> >
> >Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
> >
> >Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
> >
> >NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228
> >
> >X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
> >
> >X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar 2003
> >
> >01:30:29 EST)
> >
> >NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
> >
> >Organization: Road Runner - NYC
> >
> >Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009 rec.radio.scanner:32530
> >
> >rec.radio.swap:55272
> >
> >X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
> >
> >
> >
> >I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
> >
> >Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
> >
> >cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
> >
> >
> >
> >$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
> >
> >
> >

> >PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
> >
> >Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
> >
> >
> >
> >eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
> >
> >
> >
> >E-mail if interested.
> >
> >
> >Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that , according to
> >HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that the
> >posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
> >
> >Go figure.
> >
> >Dan
> >

3049. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 00:32:39 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <4JHna.166$V2.8404@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32514
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I couldn't access that link.

"Know it All" <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote in message
news:mvfu9vs96giv4lu0hdcjrcub0i1ead8234@4ax.com...
> Here are the official charters for the three newsgroups. These
> documents are the law in a newsgroup. They are the reason a newsgroup
> is formed.
>
> They can always be found at: ftp://ftp.isc.org.pub/usenet/control/
>
> They do not specifically state that you cannot offer items for sale.
> So I don't see how anyone can complain. If there was a statement to
> the effect that these groups were not for the sale of radio related
> items then he might have a point. If you wanted to get real
> technical with Gilbert you could offer these up to him but he's
> probably too ignorant to understand.
>
> --

>
> REC RADIO SWAP
>
> From jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu Fri Aug 2 01:10:29 1991
> Path:
> rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!wuarchive!bcm!lib!thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> From: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu (Jay Maynard)
> Newsgroups: rec.ham-radio.swap.ctl
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Date: 13 Feb 91 11:42:28 GMT
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Sender: usenet@lib.tmc.edu
> Organization: University of Texas Medical School at Houston
> Lines: 18
> Approved: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> Nntp-Posting-Host: thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> Originator: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
>
> This group was approved as part of the rec.ham-radio.* reorganization,
> whose vote results were posted over a week ago in
> news.announce.newsgroups.
> No objections have been raised as to the results.
>
> Please alias the group rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap. B news

> sites may do this by adding the following line to
> /usr/lib/news/aliases:
> rec.ham-radio.swap rec.radio.swap
> C news sites may alias the group by changing the 'n' at the end of the
> entry for rec.ham-radio.swap in /usr/lib/news/active to
> '=rec.radio.swap'. Be sure to lock the news system with locknews
> before editing the active file.
>
> Thanks for your cooperation.
> --
> Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which
> can
> jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu | adequately be explained by
> stupidity.
> "Today is different from yesterday." -- State Department spokesman
> Margaret
> Tutwiler, 17 Jan 91, explaining why they won't negotiate with Saddam
> Hussein
>
>
> From tale@rpi.edu Fri Aug 2 01:12:08 1991
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> Path: rpi!tale
> From: tale@rpi.edu (David C Lawrence)
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Sender: tale@cs.rpi.edu
> Nntp-Posting-Host: cs.rpi.edu
> Date: 13 Mar 91 15:23:30 GMT
> Approved: tale@rpi.edu
> Lines: 8
>
> rec.radio.swap is an unmoderated group which passed its vote for
> creation by 177:44 as announced in news.announce.newgroups on 3 Feb
> 91.
>
> For your newsgroups file:
> rec.radio.swap Offers to trade and swap radio equipment.
>
> This newsgroup replaces rec.ham-radio.swap. You will probably want to
> continue to alias or refile rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap.
>
> From news@compass.com Thu May 23 15:33:37 1991
> Path: rpi!think.com!compass!news
> From: news@compass.com (news)
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Message-ID: <5968@compass.com>
> Date: 23 May 91 17:20:07 GMT
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap

> Distribution: world
> Organization: Compass, Inc., Wakefield, MA
> Lines: 1
> Approved: news@compass.com
>
> ffers to trade and swap radio equipment.
>
> From news@tumif.UUCP Wed Jun 19 22:27:54 1991
> Path:
> rpi!think.com!samsung!caen!ox.com!math.fu-berlin.de!fub!ivcmd!tumif!news
> From: news@tumif.UUCP (News-Administrator)
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Message-ID: <330@tumif.UUCP>
> Date: 18 Jun 91 22:05:27 GMT
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Distribution: UniDo
> Organization: IVC Magdeburg
> Lines: 0
> Approved: wega@tumif.UUCP
>
>
> From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 11:47:07 1992
> Path:
>
rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf
!steve
> From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Message-ID: <16580@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> Date: 26 Apr 92 00:26:49 GMT
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Distribution: umcs
> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> Lines: 0
> Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
>
>
> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:44 1995
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> Path:
> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> From: ske@pkmab.se
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> Distribution: oerebro
> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:29 GMT
> Approved: ske@pkmab.se

> Message-ID: <DD1Cu7.1p6@berenice.pkmab.se>
> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> Lines: 4
> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:225
>
> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.swap
> --
> Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
> Sweden
> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
>
> From news@news.scnet.nsk.su Fri Nov 24 17:22:06 1995
> Path:
>
uunet!in2.uu.net!EU.net!news.eunet.fi!KremlSun!fagot!news.scnet.nsk.su!news.
scnet.nsk.su!news
> Date: 25 Nov 1995 03:00:38 NSK
> From: news@news.scnet.nsk.su
> Subject: cmsg newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> Message-ID:
> <news-rec.radio.swap-25-Nov-1995-030038-NSK@news.scnet.nsk.su>
> Approved: news
> Distribution: world
> Lines: 2
> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:4480
>
> For your newsgroups file:
> rec.radio.swap
>
> ---

>
>
> REC RADIO SCANNER
>
> ---

>
> From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Path: uunet!tale
> From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
> Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT

> Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
> Lines: 16
> Xref: uunet control:707675
>
>
> rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> for
> creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> 1993
>
> For your newsgroups file:
> rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> The charter, culled from the call for votes:
>
> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> many who do not have access to USENET news.
>
>
> From tale@uunet.uu.net Fri Aug 19 13:40:22 1994
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Path: uunet!tale
> From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> Date: Fri, 19 Aug 1994 17:40:47 GMT
> Message-ID: <CusMG0.4r2@uunet.uu.net>
> Lines: 16
> Xref: uunet control:1137891
>
>
> rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> for
> creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> 1993.
>
> For your newsgroups file:
> rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
>
>
> The charter, culled from the call for votes:
>
> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"

> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> many who do not have access to USENET news.
>
>
> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:28 1995
> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner.ctl
> Path:
> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> From: ske@pkmab.se
> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> Distribution: oerebro
> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:05 GMT
> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> Message-ID: <DD1CtH.1H3@berenice.pkmab.se>
> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> Lines: 4
> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:223
>
> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.scanner
> -- Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26
> Oerebro, Sweden
> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
>
>
>
> ---

>
> ALT RADIO SCANNER
>
> ---

>
>
>
> From sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu Wed Mar 11 14:55:50 1992
> Path: rpi!usc!sdd.hp.com!wupost!kuhub.cc.ukans.edu!sloane
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Message-ID: <1992Mar11.133544.38477@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
> From: <sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
> Date: 11 Mar 92 13:35:43 CST
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner

> Approved: sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu
> Lines: 3
>
> Per the discussion in alt.config. For you newsgroups file:
>
> alt.radio.scanner Discussion of scanning radio receivers
>
> From damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU Wed Apr 1 13:12:46 1992
> Path:
>
rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!mips!pacbell.com!att!princeton!udel!darwin.sur
a.net!jvnc.net!yale.edu!cmcl2!exchange!damir
> From: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU (Damir Mijacika)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Message-ID: <23203@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU>
> Date: 1 Apr 92 16:12:22 GMT
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Distribution: nyu
> Organization: NYU Stern School of Business
> Lines: 0
> Approved: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU
>
>
> From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:11:37 1992
> Path:
>
rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!sdd.hp.com!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.mai
ne.edu!gandalf!steve
> From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Message-ID: <16929@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> Date: 26 Apr 92 00:51:43 GMT
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Distribution: umcs
> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> Lines: 0
> Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
>
>
> From root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:18:05 1992
> Path:
>
rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf
!root
> From: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (The root of ALL evil)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Message-ID: <17078@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>

> Date: 26 Apr 92 01:12:46 GMT
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Distribution: umcs
> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> Lines: 0
> Approved: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
>
>
> From news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet Tue Jul 7 23:02:29 1992
> Path:
>
rpi!think.com!mips!nec-gw!nec-tyo!wnoc-tyo-news!sh.wide!wnoc-kyo!wnoc-fukuok
a-news!shiwasu!scorpio!kusu!ai!news
> From: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet (news)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Message-ID: <5637@ai.ai.kyutech.junet>
> Date: 8 Jul 92 02:33:44 GMT
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Distribution: ai
> Organization: Dept. of AI, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Iizuka,
> Japan
> Lines: 0
> Approved: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet
>
>
> From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Wed Feb 2 18:43:07 1994
> Path:
>
uunet!pipex!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!
csn!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!news
> From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner
> Subject: cmsg rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Date: 2 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
> Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
> Lines: 7
> Distribution: inet
> Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpik@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> Xref: uunet control:842064
>
> The time has passed fro this group, either remove it or alias
> it to
> rec.radio.scanner.
> --
> Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
> chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
>

```
================================================================================
=
> Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
>
>
> From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Mon Feb 7 22:21:06 1994
> Path:
>
uunet!news2.uunet.ca!ionews.io.org!gts!feline!halt!lookitthat!willya!tali.hs
c.colorado.edu!news
> From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Subject: cmsg newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Date: 3 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
> Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
> Lines: 6
> Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpikl@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> Xref: uunet control:846934
>
> The time has passed fro this group, alias it to
> rec.radio.scanner.
> --
> Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
> chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
>
================================================================================
=
> Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
>
> From lee@hsh.com Wed Mar 23 21:02:40 1994
> Path: uunet!spcuna!spcvxb!hsh!lee
> Newsgroups: control,alt.config
> Subject: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Message-ID: <1994Mar23.150044.3822@hsh>
> From: lee@hsh.com (Lee Havemann)
> Date: 23 Mar 94 15:00:44 EST
> Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Distribution: world
> Organization: HSH Associates
> Summary: duplication is a waste
> Approved: lee@hsh.com
> Lines: 15
> Xref: uunet control:889055
>
> Why are we duplicating a viable rec. newsgroup? At last count, at
> least 64%
> of all articles in alt.radio.scanner are crossposted to
> rec.radio.scanner!
```

> rec.radio.scanner also has 4 times more traffic that a.r.s does.
>
> Isn't this a waste of bandwidth??
>
> I hearby rmgroup alt.radio.scanner. :-)
>
> --
>
> Lee Havemann, Comp Ops Dir. HSH Associates (201) 838-3330
> Internet: lee@hsh.com Compuserve: 70410,3507 AOL: HSH Assoc
> "Any opinions expressed are not necessarily those of anyone else,
> including myself."
>
> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:32:26 1995
> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> Path:
> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> From: ske@pkmab.se
> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> Distribution: oerebro
> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:53:22 GMT
> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> Message-ID: <DD1Coz.4o@berenice.pkmab.se>
> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> Lines: 4
> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:211
>
> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: alt.radio.scanner
> --
> Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
> Sweden
> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
>
>
>
>
> On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 18:37:42 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I received this e-mail from my ISP today:
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Dear Mr.Conti
> >We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am enclosing a
> >document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.

> >
> >Sincerely yours,
> >
> >Gilbert Roman
> >Time Warner Cable
> >Internet Security
> >
> >
> >
> >Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all
the
> >trouble.....
> >
> >
> >
> >-Forwarded----
> >
> >Path:
> >
>
>nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnili
n
> >k
> >
>
>.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com
!
> >h
> >
>
>ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr
.
> >c
> >
>
>om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not
-
> >f
> >
> >or-mail
> >
> >From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> >
> >Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
> >
> >Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
> >
> >Lines: 14
> >
> >X-Priority: 3

> >
> >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> >
> >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> >
> >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> >
> >Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
> >
> >Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
> >
> >NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228
> >
> >X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
> >
> >X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar 2003
> >
> >01:30:29 EST)
> >
> >NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
> >
> >Organization: Road Runner - NYC
> >
> >Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009
rec.radio.scanner:32530
> >
> >rec.radio.swap:55272
> >
> >X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
> >
> >
> >
> >I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
> >
> >Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
> >
> >cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
> >
> >
> >
> >$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
> >
> >
> >
> >PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
> >
> >Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
> >
> >
> >

> >eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
> >
> >
> >
> >E-mail if interested.
> >
> >
> >Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that , according
to
> >HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that the
> >posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
> >
> >Go figure.
> >
> >Dan
> >
>

3050. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 00:33:22 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mJHna.28046$ey1.2503231@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32515
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

You'd wish death on someone, simply because they
disagree with you???

Outrageous.

Kumbaya, my Lord....Kumbayaaaaaaaa.....

Steve Lawrence
Burnsville, MN

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:0GCna.142$V2.7081@eagle.america.net...
> FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an ASSHOLE!
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com...
> > Kum-by-ya...
> >
> > "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> > news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been
> moved
> > to
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3051. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 00:37:00 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <3NHna.169$V2.8476@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32516
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

The more you say something that only pro-war people say makes you look like
an idiot.

John

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v9u5i6bqla7fe7@corp.supernews.com...
> my lord Kum-by-ya...
>
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:0GCna.142$V2.7081@eagle.america.net...
> > FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an ASSHOLE!
> >
> > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Kum-by-ya...
> > >
> > > "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> > > news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> > > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been
> > moved
> > > > to
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

3052. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 00:44:28 UTC
From: Royce Dean <Royce345@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <MTHna.230252$OV.286698@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 32517
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I would get another ISP. After all, you pay for the service. How do they have the right to tell you, you can't post equipment for sale?

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message news:pqFna.11575$mC1.3408417@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering everyone
> with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of importance to
> all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.
>
> As a result of the position taken by my ISP, I will no longer post any FOR
> SALE, or FOR AUCTION threads on the newsgroups. According the logic of Time
> Warner Cable, if I post on eBay or Strong Signals, I am commercial and in
> violation there as well.
>
> BUT, until I hear different, that is where I will post future equipment.
>
> Since I also admittedly have a big mouth, and often take the irritating side
> of an issue, I will simply lurk here and not make future postings of any
> type for fear of being turned in to my ISP. Love me or hate me, I always
> thought we had fun, and a good debate. To the little children who turned me
> in, I surrender, you win. I am silenced.
>
> The following is my response to the latest e-mail from the fellow at Time
> Warner. It is exactly how it was sent. The only other posting you will see
> from me in the future is IF and WHEN I get a final reply from them, I will
> pass it along. DAN
>
> >Dear Mr.Conti
> >It is not my job to judge you or your actions.
>
> Yet you or someone in your unit sends me a threatening letter. Please put
> me in touch with someone who CAN make a final judgement in this matter.
>
> >Our intent was to let you know that there has been a complaint made against
> your >actions on this newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party
> who filed the >complaint.
>

> It is unfortunate that you take the word of someone who is most likely NOT
a
> Time Warner customer, over that of a good customer for many years. Is
that
> good customer Relations?
>
> Because a complaint was made, it doesn't mean it is valid. I should have
> the right to dispute the complaint reasonably with someone of authority at
> your company.
> Additionally, I have the right to question your interpretation of your
user
> greement as it relates to this matter, and if necessary, my attorney will
> certainly have the right to review it as well. All I get is short
answers
> apparently in 100% agreement with the complainant.
>
>
> >We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
> newsgroups >because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner
account
> if we receive any >more complaints. Thank you.
>
> In effect you are curtailing my usage of your service without allowing me
> the opportunity to contest your position. I need to know specifically how
> to be careful, and why I must be carefulm and where.....
>
> My initial instinct, and those others, would be to take your equipment and
> throw it on the front lawn where you could pick it up, and terminate your
> service.
>
> Quite frankly, your service has been good, so I do not want to do that.
If
> there were another service available as good or better, I would.
>
> According to your position, if I post a For Sale or For Auction item to a
> newsgroup which is a private sale, and not a regular occurrence, and not
for
> profit, it is considered commerical by Time Warner, and jeapordizes my
> account.
>
> If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?
>
> If I post something for sale, or for auction on a web site, am I in
> violation?
>
> You mean to tell me that your Time Wanrer customers posting on eBay are
in
> jeapordy of being cancelled?
>
> This entire matter is ridiculous, and you know it. You are obviously

> intelligent, and internet saavy. You KNOW I did nothing wrong. I did not
> violate newsgroups rules or charters, nor am I a commercial user of your
> service.
>
> I think you guys should back down on this stuff, tell the whiners that
> there is NO violation, and let your customers enjoy the service they pay
> PREMIUM prices for every month. You are opening up a can of worms here
that
> should stay closed.
>
> All you need is a big mouth like me, and my big moth attorney to push this
> issue, get publicity, and TIme Warner will look ridiculous as a result.
>
> I am sure you are aware that I already have a good portion of the radio
> hobby newsgroup members up in arms.....
>
> I do not consider this matter resolved. I want the complaint removed from
> my record, and someone of authority at Time Warner to review the matter
> impartially and make a final determination one way or the other, relative
to
> the cogent hypothetical points I made above.
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
> Daniel M. Conti
>
>
> Sincerely,
> Gilbert Roman
> Time Warner Cable
> Internet Security
>
>

3053. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 00:48:46 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OXHna.28072$ey1.2541604@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32518
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

....Someone's cryin', my Lord, Kumbaya....ohhhh...
Looooord.....Kumbayaaaaaaa...

73,

Steve Lawrence
Burnsville, MN

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:3NHna.169$V2.8476@eagle.america.net...
> The more you say something that only pro-war people say makes you look
like
> an idiot.
>
> John
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v9u5i6bqla7fe7@corp.supernews.com...
> > my lord Kum-by-ya...
> >
> > "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> > news:0GCna.142$V2.7081@eagle.america.net...
> > > FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an ASSHOLE!
> > >
> > > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > news:v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Kum-by-ya...
> > > >
> > > > "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> > > > news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> > > > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have
been
> > > moved
> > > > to
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3054. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 00:50:33 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tZHna.28076$ey1.2542662@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32519
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Como se dice "File Transfer Protocol" in Ingles?

73,

Steve Lawrence
Burnsville, MN

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:4JHna.166$V2.8404@eagle.america.net...
> I couldn't access that link.
>
> "Know it All" <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote in message
> news:mvfu9vs96giv4lu0hdcjrcub0i1ead8234@4ax.com...
> > Here are the official charters for the three newsgroups. These
> > documents are the law in a newsgroup. They are the reason a newsgroup
> > is formed.
> >
> > They can always be found at: ftp://ftp.isc.org.pub/usenet/control/
> >
> > They do not specifically state that you cannot offer items for sale.
> > So I don't see how anyone can complain. If there was a statement to
> > the effect that these groups were not for the sale of radio related
> > items then he might have a point. If you wanted to get real
> > technical with Gilbert you could offer these up to him but he's
> > probably too ignorant to understand.
> >
>
> --
> --
> >
> > REC RADIO SWAP
> >
> > From jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu Fri Aug 2 01:10:29 1991
> > Path:
> > rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!wuarchive!bcm!lib!thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> > From: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu (Jay Maynard)
> > Newsgroups: rec.ham-radio.swap.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Date: 13 Feb 91 11:42:28 GMT
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Sender: usenet@lib.tmc.edu
> > Organization: University of Texas Medical School at Houston
> > Lines: 18
> > Approved: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu

> > Nntp-Posting-Host: thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> > Originator: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> >
> > This group was approved as part of the rec.ham-radio.* reorganization,
> > whose vote results were posted over a week ago in
> > news.announce.newsgroups.
> > No objections have been raised as to the results.
> >
> > Please alias the group rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap. B news
> > sites may do this by adding the following line to
> > /usr/lib/news/aliases:
> > rec.ham-radio.swap rec.radio.swap
> > C news sites may alias the group by changing the 'n' at the end of the
> > entry for rec.ham-radio.swap in /usr/lib/news/active to
> > '=rec.radio.swap'. Be sure to lock the news system with locknews
> > before editing the active file.
> >
> > Thanks for your cooperation.
> > --
> > Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which
> > can
> > jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu | adequately be explained by
> > stupidity.
> > "Today is different from yesterday." -- State Department spokesman
> > Margaret
> > Tutwiler, 17 Jan 91, explaining why they won't negotiate with Saddam
> > Hussein
> >
> >
> > From tale@rpi.edu Fri Aug 2 01:12:08 1991
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > Path: rpi!tale
> > From: tale@rpi.edu (David C Lawrence)
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Sender: tale@cs.rpi.edu
> > Nntp-Posting-Host: cs.rpi.edu
> > Date: 13 Mar 91 15:23:30 GMT
> > Approved: tale@rpi.edu
> > Lines: 8
> >
> > rec.radio.swap is an unmoderated group which passed its vote for
> > creation by 177:44 as announced in news.announce.newgroups on 3 Feb
> > 91.
> >
> > For your newsgroups file:
> > rec.radio.swap Offers to trade and swap radio equipment.
> >
> > This newsgroup replaces rec.ham-radio.swap. You will probably want to
> > continue to alias or refile rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap.

> >
> > From news@compass.com Thu May 23 15:33:37 1991
> > Path: rpi!think.com!compass!news
> > From: news@compass.com (news)
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Message-ID: <5968@compass.com>
> > Date: 23 May 91 17:20:07 GMT
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Distribution: world
> > Organization: Compass, Inc., Wakefield, MA
> > Lines: 1
> > Approved: news@compass.com
> >
> > ffers to trade and swap radio equipment.
> >
> > From news@tumif.UUCP Wed Jun 19 22:27:54 1991
> > Path:
> > rpi!think.com!samsung!caen!ox.com!math.fu-berlin.de!fub!ivcmd!tumif!news
> > From: news@tumif.UUCP (News-Administrator)
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Message-ID: <330@tumif.UUCP>
> > Date: 18 Jun 91 22:05:27 GMT
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Distribution: UniDo
> > Organization: IVC Magdeburg
> > Lines: 0
> > Approved: wega@tumif.UUCP
> >
> >
> > From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 11:47:07 1992
> > Path:
> >
>
rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf
> !steve
> > From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Message-ID: <16580@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> > Date: 26 Apr 92 00:26:49 GMT
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Distribution: umcs
> > Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> > Lines: 0
> > Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
> >
> >
> > From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:44 1995

> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > Path:
> > uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> > From: ske@pkmab.se
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> > Distribution: oerebro
> > Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:29 GMT
> > Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> > Message-ID: <DD1Cu7.1p6@berenice.pkmab.se>
> > Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> > Lines: 4
> > Xref: uunet control.newgroup:225
> >
> > Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.swap
> > --
> > Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
> > Sweden
> > Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
> >
> > From news@news.scnet.nsk.su Fri Nov 24 17:22:06 1995
> > Path:
> >
>
uunet!in2.uu.net!EU.net!news.eunet.fi!KremlSun!fagot!news.scnet.nsk.su!news.
> scnet.nsk.su!news
> > Date: 25 Nov 1995 03:00:38 NSK
> > From: news@news.scnet.nsk.su
> > Subject: cmsg newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > Message-ID:
> > <news-rec.radio.swap-25-Nov-1995-030038-NSK@news.scnet.nsk.su>
> > Approved: news
> > Distribution: world
> > Lines: 2
> > Xref: uunet control.newgroup:4480
> >
> > For your newsgroups file:
> > rec.radio.swap
> >
>
> --
> -------------
> >
> >
> > REC RADIO SCANNER
> >
>

> --
> ---------------
> >
> > From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> > Path: uunet!tale
> > From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> > Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> > Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
> > Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT
> > Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
> > Lines: 16
> > Xref: uunet control:707675
> >
> >
> > rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> > for
> > creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> > 1993
> >
> > For your newsgroups file:
> > rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> > The charter, culled from the call for votes:
> >
> > rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> > traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> > and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> > rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> > newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> > rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> > created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> > many who do not have access to USENET news.
> >
> >
> > From tale@uunet.uu.net Fri Aug 19 13:40:22 1994
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> > Path: uunet!tale
> > From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> > Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> > Date: Fri, 19 Aug 1994 17:40:47 GMT
> > Message-ID: <CusMG0.4r2@uunet.uu.net>
> > Lines: 16
> > Xref: uunet control:1137891
> >

> >
> > rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> > for
> > creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> > 1993.
> >
> > For your newsgroups file:
> > rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> >
> >
> > The charter, culled from the call for votes:
> >
> > rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> > traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> > and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> > rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> > newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> > rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> > created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> > many who do not have access to USENET news.
> >
> >
> > From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:28 1995
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Path:
> > uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> > From: ske@pkmab.se
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> > Distribution: oerebro
> > Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:05 GMT
> > Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> > Message-ID: <DD1CtH.1H3@berenice.pkmab.se>
> > Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> > Lines: 4
> > Xref: uunet control.newgroup:223
> >
> > Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.scanner
> > -- Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26
> > Oerebro, Sweden
> > Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> ----------------------------------
> >
> > ALT RADIO SCANNER

> >
>
> ---
> ---
> >
> >
> >
> > From sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu Wed Mar 11 14:55:50 1992
> > Path: rpi!usc!sdd.hp.com!wupost!kuhub.cc.ukans.edu!sloane
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Message-ID: <1992Mar11.133544.38477@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
> > From: <sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
> > Date: 11 Mar 92 13:35:43 CST
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Approved: sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu
> > Lines: 3
> >
> > Per the discussion in alt.config. For you newsgroups file:
> >
> > alt.radio.scanner Discussion of scanning radio receivers
> >
> > From damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU Wed Apr 1 13:12:46 1992
> > Path:
> > >
>
rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!mips!pacbell.com!att!princeton!udel!darwin.sur
> a.net!jvnc.net!yale.edu!cmcl2!exchange!damir
> > From: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU (Damir Mijacika)
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Message-ID: <23203@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU>
> > Date: 1 Apr 92 16:12:22 GMT
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Distribution: nyu
> > Organization: NYU Stern School of Business
> > Lines: 0
> > Approved: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU
> >
> >
> > From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:11:37 1992
> > Path:
> > >
>
rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!sdd.hp.com!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.mai
> ne.edu!gandalf!steve
> > From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Message-ID: <16929@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>

> > Date: 26 Apr 92 00:51:43 GMT
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Distribution: umcs
> > Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> > Lines: 0
> > Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
> >
> >
> > From root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:18:05 1992
> > Path:
> >
>
rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf
> !root
> > From: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (The root of ALL evil)
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Message-ID: <17078@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> > Date: 26 Apr 92 01:12:46 GMT
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Distribution: umcs
> > Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> > Lines: 0
> > Approved: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
> >
> >
> > From news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet Tue Jul 7 23:02:29 1992
> > Path:
> >
>
rpi!think.com!mips!nec-gw!nec-tyo!wnoc-tyo-news!sh.wide!wnoc-kyo!wnoc-fukuok
> a-news!shiwasu!scorpio!kusu!ai!news
> > From: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet (news)
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Message-ID: <5637@ai.ai.kyutech.junet>
> > Date: 8 Jul 92 02:33:44 GMT
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Distribution: ai
> > Organization: Dept. of AI, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Iizuka,
> > Japan
> > Lines: 0
> > Approved: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet
> >
> >
> > From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Wed Feb 2 18:43:07 1994
> > Path:
> >
>
uunet!pipex!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!

> csn!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!news
> > From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner
> > Subject: cmsg rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Date: 2 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
> > Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
> > Lines: 7
> > Distribution: inet
> > Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpik@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> > Xref: uunet control:842064
> >
> > The time has passed fro this group, either remove it or alias
> > it to
> > rec.radio.scanner.
> > --
> > Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
> > chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> >
>
==========
> =
> > Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
> >
> >
> > From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Mon Feb 7 22:21:06 1994
> > Path:
> >
>
uunet!news2.uunet.ca!ionews.io.org!gts!feline!halt!lookitthat!willya!tali.hs
> c.colorado.edu!news
> > From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Subject: cmsg newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Date: 3 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
> > Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
> > Lines: 6
> > Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpikl@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> > Xref: uunet control:846934
> >
> > The time has passed fro this group, alias it to
> > rec.radio.scanner.
> > --
> > Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
> > chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> >
>

```
================================================================================
> =
> > Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
> >
> > From lee@hsh.com Wed Mar 23 21:02:40 1994
> > Path: uunet!spcuna!spcvxb!hsh!lee
> > Newsgroups: control,alt.config
> > Subject: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Message-ID: <1994Mar23.150044.3822@hsh>
> > From: lee@hsh.com (Lee Havemann)
> > Date: 23 Mar 94 15:00:44 EST
> > Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Distribution: world
> > Organization: HSH Associates
> > Summary: duplication is a waste
> > Approved: lee@hsh.com
> > Lines: 15
> > Xref: uunet control:889055
> >
> > Why are we duplicating a viable rec. newsgroup? At last count, at
> > least 64%
> > of all articles in alt.radio.scanner are crossposted to
> > rec.radio.scanner!
> > rec.radio.scanner also has 4 times more traffic that a.r.s does.
> >
> > Isn't this a waste of bandwidth??
> >
> > I hearby rmgroup alt.radio.scanner. :-)
> >
> > --
> >
> > Lee Havemann, Comp Ops Dir. HSH Associates (201) 838-3330
> > Internet: lee@hsh.com Compuserve: 70410,3507 AOL: HSH Assoc
> > "Any opinions expressed are not necessarily those of anyone else,
> > including myself."
> >
> > From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:32:26 1995
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Path:
> > uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> > From: ske@pkmab.se
> > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> > Distribution: oerebro
> > Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:53:22 GMT
> > Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> > Message-ID: <DD1Coz.4o@berenice.pkmab.se>
> > Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> > Lines: 4
```

> > Xref: uunet control.newgroup:211
> >
> > Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: alt.radio.scanner
> > --
> > Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
> > Sweden
> > Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 18:37:42 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >I received this e-mail from my ISP today:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Dear Mr.Conti
> > >We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am enclosing
a
> > >document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.
> > >
> > >Sincerely yours,
> > >
> > >Gilbert Roman
> > >Time Warner Cable
> > >Internet Security
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all
> the
> > >trouble.....
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >-Forwarded----
> > >
> > >Path:
> > >
> >
>
>nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnili
> n
> > >k
> > >
> >

>
>.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com
> !
> > >h
> > >
> >
>
>ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr
> .
> > >c
> > >
> >
>
>om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not
> -
> > >f
> > >
> > >or-mail
> > >
> > >From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> > >
> > >Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
> > >
> > >Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
> > >
> > >Lines: 14
> > >
> > >X-Priority: 3
> > >
> > >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> > >
> > >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> > >
> > >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> > >
> > >Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
> > >
> > >Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
> > >
> > >NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228
> > >
> > >X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
> > >
> > >X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar 2003
> > >
> > >01:30:29 EST)
> > >
> > >NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
> > >
> > >Organization: Road Runner - NYC

> > >
> > >Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009
> rec.radio.scanner:32530
> > >
> > >rec.radio.swap:55272
> > >
> > >X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
> > >
> > >Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
> > >
> > >cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
> > >
> > >Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >E-mail if interested.
> > >
> > >
> > >Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that ,
according
> to
> > >HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that
the
> > >posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
> > >
> > >Go figure.
> > >
> > >Dan
> > >
> >
>
>

3055. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 00:54:39 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <j1Ina.175062$ug3.323119@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 32520
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

You might have missed his point. Are you sure Road Runner sent it, not some jerk?

--
Brian Denley
http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:WeFna.11574$mC1.3405000@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> I am not going to get into semantics over whether I am fabricating
anything.
>
> I will tell you that what I post is an exact copy of what I got. If you
> doubt me, or my intentions here, I can't help you. My only motivation is
to
> make folks aware of just how bad the newsgroups have gotten, and warn that
> what happened to me could happen to anyone ...
>
> Dan
> >
> > Are you sure this is authentic? Anybody can write and send a letter.
> > I'd landline RR and ask to speak with Mr. Roman.
> >

3056. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 00:55:43 UTC
From: Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <87smsgpqsw.fld@barrow.com>
Source record: 32521
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering everyone
>with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of importance to
>all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.
>
>As a result of the position taken by my ISP, I will no longer post any FOR
>SALE, or FOR AUCTION threads on the newsgroups. According the logic of Time
>Warner Cable, if I post on eBay or Strong Signals, I am commercial and in
>violation there as well.

So, you roll over and they win. You've been treed by a two bit
clerk that answer's letters (poorly) and wants to bully you.

Your response the Mr. Roman is *way* too wordy and *way* too
scattered. A simple "Fuck you, because me and my lawyer are
going to continue appropriately using Usenet, and if you don't
like it we'll see you in court." would have been better.

Somewhere in between being that terse and being as wordy as you
were, there is a point that is perfect. Unlike on Usenet, spelling
does count in email. So does style, and just about everything
else. Do ten re-writes, and take a nap or go for a long hike in
between a couple of them. Don't send it until it is the most
effective document you can produce.

Other than this business of doing as he wants regarding Usenet posting,
what you asked for (a final action by somebody with half a brain) is
good!

>BUT, until I hear different, that is where I will post future equipment.
>
>Since I also admittedly have a big mouth, and often take the irritating side
>of an issue, I will simply lurk here and not make future postings of any
>type for fear of being turned in to my ISP. Love me or hate me, I always
>thought we had fun, and a good debate. To the little children who turned me
>in, I surrender, you win. I am silenced.
>
>The following is my response to the latest e-mail from the fellow at Time
>Warner. It is exactly how it was sent. The only other posting you will see
>from me in the future is IF and WHEN I get a final reply from them, I will
>pass it along. DAN
>
>>Dear Mr.Conti
>>It is not my job to judge you or your actions.
>

>Yet you or someone in your unit sends me a threatening letter. Please put
>me in touch with someone who CAN make a final judgement in this matter.
>
>>Our intent was to let you know that there has been a complaint made against
>your >actions on this newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party
>who filed the >complaint.
>
>It is unfortunate that you take the word of someone who is most likely NOT a
>Time Warner customer, over that of a good customer for many years. Is that
>good customer Relations?
>
>Because a complaint was made, it doesn't mean it is valid. I should have
>the right to dispute the complaint reasonably with someone of authority at
>your company.
>Additionally, I have the right to question your interpretation of your user
>greement as it relates to this matter, and if necessary, my attorney will
>certainly have the right to review it as well. All I get is short answers
>apparently in 100% agreement with the complainant.
>
>
>>We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
>newsgroups >because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner account
>if we receive any >more complaints. Thank you.
>
>In effect you are curtailing my usage of your service without allowing me
>the opportunity to contest your position. I need to know specifically how
>to be careful, and why I must be carefulm and where.....
>
>My initial instinct, and those others, would be to take your equipment and
>throw it on the front lawn where you could pick it up, and terminate your
>service.
>
>Quite frankly, your service has been good, so I do not want to do that. If
>there were another service available as good or better, I would.
>
>According to your position, if I post a For Sale or For Auction item to a
>newsgroup which is a private sale, and not a regular occurrence, and not for
>profit, it is considered commerical by Time Warner, and jeapordizes my
>account.
>
>If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?
>
>If I post something for sale, or for auction on a web site, am I in
>violation?
>
>You mean to tell me that your Time Wanrer customers posting on eBay are in
>jeapordy of being cancelled?
>
>This entire matter is ridiculous, and you know it. You are obviously
>intelligent, and internet saavy. You KNOW I did nothing wrong. I did not

>violate newsgroups rules or charters, nor am I a commercial user of your
>service.
>
>I think you guys should back down on this stuff, tell the whiners that
>there is NO violation, and let your customers enjoy the service they pay
>PREMIUM prices for every month. You are opening up a can of worms here that
>should stay closed.
>
>All you need is a big mouth like me, and my big moth attorney to push this
>issue, get publicity, and TIme Warner will look ridiculous as a result.
>
>I am sure you are aware that I already have a good portion of the radio
>hobby newsgroup members up in arms.....
>
>I do not consider this matter resolved. I want the complaint removed from
>my record, and someone of authority at Time Warner to review the matter
>impartially and make a final determination one way or the other, relative to
>the cogent hypothetical points I made above.
>
>Sincerely,
>
>
>Daniel M. Conti
>
>
>Sincerely,
>Gilbert Roman
>Time Warner Cable
>Internet Security
>
>

--
Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

3057. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 00:57:40 UTC
From: Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <87of34pqpn.fld@barrow.com>
Source record: 32522
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Its Me!" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:
>Why are you wasting up bandspace with this Bullshit?Who gives a shit?

A number of people do. If you don't, I suggest you either
ignore it, not read any of the newsgroups it is posted to,
killfile the thread or all participants, or commit suicide.

Take your pick.

>"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:pqFna.11575$mC1.3408417@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>> I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering
>everyone
>> with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of importance to
>> all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.
>>
>> As a result of the position taken by my ISP, I will no longer post any FOR
>> SALE, or FOR AUCTION threads on the newsgroups. According the logic of
>Time
>> Warner Cable, if I post on eBay or Strong Signals, I am commercial and in
>> violation there as well.
>>
>> BUT, until I hear different, that is where I will post future equipment.
>>
>> Since I also admittedly have a big mouth, and often take the irritating
>side
>> of an issue, I will simply lurk here and not make future postings of any
>> type for fear of being turned in to my ISP. Love me or hate me, I always
>> thought we had fun, and a good debate. To the little children who turned
>me
>> in, I surrender, you win. I am silenced.
>>
>> The following is my response to the latest e-mail from the fellow at Time
>> Warner. It is exactly how it was sent. The only other posting you will
>see
>> from me in the future is IF and WHEN I get a final reply from them, I will
>> pass it along. DAN
>>
>> >Dear Mr.Conti
>> >It is not my job to judge you or your actions.
>>
>> Yet you or someone in your unit sends me a threatening letter. Please put
>> me in touch with someone who CAN make a final judgement in this matter.
>>

>> >Our intent was to let you know that there has been a complaint made
>against
>> your >actions on this newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party
>> who filed the >complaint.
>>
>> It is unfortunate that you take the word of someone who is most likely NOT
>a
>> Time Warner customer, over that of a good customer for many years. Is
>that
>> good customer Relations?
>>
>> Because a complaint was made, it doesn't mean it is valid. I should have
>> the right to dispute the complaint reasonably with someone of authority at
>> your company.
>> Additionally, I have the right to question your interpretation of your
>user
>> greement as it relates to this matter, and if necessary, my attorney will
>> certainly have the right to review it as well. All I get is short
>answers
>> apparently in 100% agreement with the complainant.
>>
>>
>> >We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
>> newsgroups >because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner
>account
>> if we receive any >more complaints. Thank you.
>>
>> In effect you are curtailing my usage of your service without allowing me
>> the opportunity to contest your position. I need to know specifically how
>> to be careful, and why I must be carefulm and where.....
>>
>> My initial instinct, and those others, would be to take your equipment and
>> throw it on the front lawn where you could pick it up, and terminate your
>> service.
>>
>> Quite frankly, your service has been good, so I do not want to do that.
>If
>> there were another service available as good or better, I would.
>>
>> According to your position, if I post a For Sale or For Auction item to a
>> newsgroup which is a private sale, and not a regular occurrence, and not
>for
>> profit, it is considered commerical by Time Warner, and jeapordizes my
>> account.
>>
>> If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?
>>
>> If I post something for sale, or for auction on a web site, am I in
>> violation?
>>

>> You mean to tell me that your Time Wanrer customers posting on eBay are
>in
>> jeapordy of being cancelled?
>>
>> This entire matter is ridiculous, and you know it. You are obviously
>> intelligent, and internet saavy. You KNOW I did nothing wrong. I did not
>> violate newsgroups rules or charters, nor am I a commercial user of your
>> service.
>>
>> I think you guys should back down on this stuff, tell the whiners that
>> there is NO violation, and let your customers enjoy the service they pay
>> PREMIUM prices for every month. You are opening up a can of worms here
>that
>> should stay closed.
>>
>> All you need is a big mouth like me, and my big moth attorney to push this
>> issue, get publicity, and TIme Warner will look ridiculous as a result.
>>
>> I am sure you are aware that I already have a good portion of the radio
>> hobby newsgroup members up in arms.....
>>
>> I do not consider this matter resolved. I want the complaint removed from
>> my record, and someone of authority at Time Warner to review the matter
>> impartially and make a final determination one way or the other, relative
>to
>> the cogent hypothetical points I made above.
>>
>> Sincerely,
>>
>>
>> Daniel M. Conti
>>
>>
>> Sincerely,
>> Gilbert Roman
>> Time Warner Cable
>> Internet Security
>>
>>
>
>

--
Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

3058. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 01:12:14 UTC
From: Victor Newman <vsnewman@juno.com>
Message-ID: <OhIna.28111$ey1.2545364@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32523
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Damn, What Nerve, LOL!!!!!!!!!

Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote in
message news:v9u74v2trf4b9c@corp.supernews.com...
> Yea, OK... I think your just a tad bit lost... You better look at your
own
> posts, as the only subjects you have ever posted anything under have all
> been completely off-topic. I think you goofed here though, as this
subject
> is more on-topic than any of the others you've ever posted under...
>
> Obscene language? Where? Reporting to ISP's? <sarcasm>You bet, every
> day...</sarcasm> Running tags? I here those on the scanner every day,
> multiple times of day, can't more on-topic than that...
>
> PH
>
> P.S. Got a problem, kill-file me... I'll do the same for you if you
like,
> as I've never seen anything useful from you anyway, so I won't miss you..
>
>
> "Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
> news:9KAna.491292$L1.142201@sccrnsc02...
> > Power House CB & Scanner - I'm so sick of your off topic posts, and
stupid
> > logic, and asking others to commit crimes, and use of obscene language,
> and
> > reporting to ISP .Will you please stick a scanner. I mean please stick
to
> > scanners.
> >
> >
>
>
>

3059. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 01:21:58 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <WqIna.529188$sf5.841903@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 32525
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Power House CB & Scanner: I'm trying to understand why someone would visit
your site at: http://powerhousecb.tripod.com/, but I can't get past all he
typos.

I don't have a problem with off topic posts, You do. Many of us don't
either. Give us a break it was a war. And gee whiz, the powers that be set
the newsgroups OUR Way not yours. Go find a moderated newsgroup.

Illegally running plates is not a scanner topic. I think what you did is
a... uhh.. letmme look it up.. oh yah it's a ..crime! I hear arson on the
radio as well, but I don't ask people to commit it, then claim that it is
has to do with scanners.

Here's where you reported me:
"Sick of your OT shit... Reported...

Abuse@attbi.com I sure will be more than happy to deal with you...

And no, your bogus wanna-be eBay line isn't going to save your ass...
"

Here's a post from you with obscene language:
" If you want war, go
screw your neighbors wife... That should bring about a pretty decent war!

PH"

And another rude one:
"Obviously you haven't been looking too hard then... They are going for
OVER
a thousand...

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3012678115&category=1501
"

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
in message news:v9u74v2trf4b9c@corp.supernews.com...
> Yea, OK... I think your just a tad bit lost... You better look at your
own
> posts, as the only subjects you have ever posted anything under have all
> been completely off-topic. I think you goofed here though, as this
subject
> is more on-topic than any of the others you've ever posted under...
>
> Obscene language? Where? Reporting to ISP's? <sarcasm>You bet, every
> day...</sarcasm> Running tags? I here those on the scanner every day,

> multiple times of day, can't more on-topic than that...
>
> PH
>
> P.S. Got a problem, kill-file me... I'll do the same for you if you
like,
> as I've never seen anything useful from you anyway, so I won't miss you..
>
>
> "Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
> news:9KAna.491292$L1.142201@sccrnsc02...
> > Power House CB & Scanner - I'm so sick of your off topic posts, and
stupid
> > logic, and asking others to commit crimes, and use of obscene language,
> and
> > reporting to ISP .Will you please stick a scanner. I mean please stick
to
> > scanners.
> >
> >
>
>

3060. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 01:23:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E9F5376.6020704@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 32545
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Gogarty wrote:
> There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been moved to
> Syria.

Is this some fact you can back up with reliable sources you can
reference us to, or just conjecture on your part?

3061. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 01:24:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E9F53C1.3010408@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 32546
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Dr. John wrote:
>
>
>
> Show me the weapons, Q! Show me the f-in weapons!
>
> But no, you can't, because there isn't a single WMD in Iraq that has been
> reported so far.

As you say, so far.

3062. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 01:28:57 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E9F54D9.2050500@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 32598
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Dr. John wrote:

> How do you hide 100 trillion tons of stuff that has very little to
> no weight,

Quick, which weighs the most, a pound of lead or a pound of feathers.
If it weighs 100 trillion tons it weighs 100 trillion tons no
matter what it is. Are you for real? Sure you last name isn't
Simmions?

3063. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 01:30:17 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAC4CD68.1724C%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32526
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Dr. John" wrote:
>
> I have integrity and try to follow the law (so
> do most hams). You obviously just want to slam
> hams (rhyme not intended) for whatever reason,
> because of your: (snip)

Don't waste your time, John. He is trying to provoke fights with ham operators. He knows others in the newsgroup will as likely blame the ham operator as himself for these fights. And that only harms the image of ham radio, especially if he can keep the fight focused solely on ham radio (his goal - notice he has not mentioned CB, his own actions, or anything else).

Of course, we know his attitudes are consistent with those of most outlaw CB'ers (which strongly suggests he is one), but he is counting on the fact that other readers in this newsgroup will not know that. Instead, he is hoping others will see him as a regular guy, just like the typical reader, out to expose the "evil deeds" of those "horrible" ham operators (the "evil deeds" in this case is compliance with the rules and regulations of this nation).

Like so many today, he feels he has a right to violate this nation's rules and regulations without negative reaction from others. Instead, he is totally absorbed in the quest to please himself without regard for others.

No amount of argument is going to change that attitude. More civilized people know a society has to work together within the framework of rules and regulations for the benefit of all, including themselves - that each person gains when all consider the impact of their own actions on others around them. This concept is alien to the person focused solely on himself, so he instead sees it as an affront when Ham Operators object to his (and his friend's) often harmful actions (to radio and other operators).

My advice is simply respond to any worthwhile issues he might raise and ignore the rest. You will serve ham radio far more by doing so.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3064. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 01:37:19 UTC
From: Larry Petrilla <fph@pghmail.com>
Message-ID: <jFIna.26621$883.9181@nwrdny02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 32527
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Where does it say that you are not allowed to post something for sale?
Newsgroups Policy
This document outlines Road Runner acceptable use guidelines as they apply to newsgroups. It outlines the conduct that should be employed when using the newsgroups as well as procedures that Road Runner members can use to avoid newsgroup abuses. It also gives pointers to other resources available to learn more about newsgroup culture.
Guidelines
It is important for members to make themselves aware of the various conventions, guidelines and local culture in newsgroups before becoming an active participant.

Newsgroup Terms of Service Violations
The following actions would violate the acceptable use policy when utilizing news services through the Road Runner network, backbone and/or infrastructure.

Road Runner provides an uncensored newsfeed. As such, Road Runner does not control the content of the newsgroups available to you. Road Runner believes that decisions about which newsgroups and messages to read are best left to its customers. Screening software is available from third parties, and it is your responsibility to use such tools if desired. Road Runner is not responsible for the content of any newsgroup message, whether or not a Road Runner customer posted the message.

If newsgroup postings are in violation of the acceptable use policy, Road Runner at times may restrict or cancel subsequent postings if they affect the service.

The sending of multiple similar articles to newsgroups constitutes a violation.

Harassing others by "news-bombing". "News bombing" constitutes sending more than 10 MB of data to a newsgroup.

The cross-posting of advertisements for products, items, or services which exceeds the commonly held thresholds and guidelines of the USENET community, will not be tolerated. Article posts should be on-topic to the particular newsgroup charter. Articles should not disrupt any newsgroup with frivolous, excessively vulgar or repetitious postings is not allowed.

Road Runner filters newsgroups for spam based upon commonly accepted Internet criteria, a copy of which can be found at http://www.killfile.org/~tskirvin/faqs/spam.html. We filter binaries from non-binary groups, and filter HTML from all newsgroups except the

microsoft.* hierarchy and local groups.

We are not responsible for the content in any of the newsgroups. Like all content on the Internet, some newsgroups may not be suitable for all users. Each user and/or primary account holder should keep this in mind when accessing the newsgroups. There is commercial software available at most computer retailers that can provide "filtering" (screening out newsgroups or content which may be objectionable).

Terms of Service Actions

Upon receiving a complaint from another customer, newsgroup facilitator or another third party, Road Runner will promptly investigate the issue in question and determine the appropriate action, if any. All involved parties will be notified of the decision, action, and rationale. Examples of potential actions follow:

a.. Most valid complaints will generally result in the offending article(s) being cancelled and the Road Runner member receiving a Terms of Service warning. Additionally, your access to newsgroups may be restricted depending on the nature and severity of the violation.
b.. Second instances of abuse will generally result in your account being restricted from newsgroups access. Second instances of commercial abuse of newsgroups or of spamming/chain letters will result in your termination from Road Runner.
c.. Third instances, any combination of abuse, or particularly serious offenses will generally result in your termination from Road Runner.
Submitting Complaints
To report a violation of Road Runner's Newsgroup Terms of Service by a Road Runner customer, send a complete copy of the article or e-mail message to abuse@rr.com.

If you are reporting a spamming incident (Usenet), it is important that you forward the suspected spam with FULL headers. Without it, we will be unable to discern where the Usenet post originated, and will not be able to assist you.

To obtain full headers in:

Outlook / Outlook Express 4.x:

1.. Open the Usenet post and select Options from the View menu.
2.. You will see a box labeled Internet Headers. Highlight and copy (right-click and copy) these into the e-mail you send to us.
Outlook / Outlook Express 5.x:

1.. Open the Usenet post and select Properties from the File menu.
2.. A box will appear with General and Details tabs. Select the Details tab and copy the headers of the message from there.
Netscape:

1.. Open the Usenet post and go to the View menu. Pull the Headers menu over and click All.

2.. Highlight the headers displayed in the post and copy them into the e-mail you send to us.
Gravity:

1.. Open the Usenet post. Go to the Article menu and click Show Full Header.
2.. Highlight the headers displayed in the post and copy them into the e-mail you send us.
Facilitation and Time Warner Communications Employee Participation
Road Runner newsgroups may have a facilitator, which could be either a Time Warner Communications employee or a customer. In general, the facilitator is responsible for:

a.. Maintaining the FAQs for the newsgroup. The FAQ is one of the central pieces of value of the newsgroup, as it is the mechanism by which we capture the learnings from the group over time. It will include answers to the most common questions discussed in the group as well as capturing any valuable threads. The FAQ will be reposted on a regular basis in the newsgroup.
b.. Ensuring that postings are closely related to the main newsgroup topics.
c.. Deleting posts which he/she deems are inappropriate. This will be done only in extreme circumstances as outlined in the NEWSGROUP TERMS OF SERVICE VIOLATIONS above.
d.. In addition to the facilitators, other Time Warner Communications employees may participate in various forums at their own discretion. Unless specifically noted, comments and postings made by Time Warner Communications employees who are not designated facilitators are those of the employee and not necessarily those of Time Warner Communications.
Expire Intervals
We will attempt to maintain a 45-day expire interval for local Road Runner newsgroups. We will attempt to maintain a 3-day expire interval for binary newsgroups and a 7-day expire interval for non-binary newsgroups. This may vary depending upon the size of the USENET feed received on any particular day.

We reserve the right to reduce the expire intervals on any of the groups if volume becomes excessive.

Questions
If you have questions about newsgroups or an article you would like to post, you have several avenues you can pursue, including:

a.. Checking for FAQs and Charters in a given Newsgroup.
b.. Asking the facilitator of the specific Newsgroup.
c.. Refer to Help & Member Services.
d.. Contact us at 866-321-2225 (Voice). Hearing impaired customers can contact 877-311-8333 (TTY) for technical support.
Time Warner Communications reserves the right to modify newsgroup policies and procedures, including but not limited to, imposing additional restrictions at any time. Further, Time Warner Communications has no

liability regarding information contained in the newsgroups, including but not limited to: viruses, content, and parental control.

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message news:pqFna.11575$mC1.3408417@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering everyone
> with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of importance to
> all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.
>
> As a result of the position taken by my ISP, I will no longer post any FOR
> SALE, or FOR AUCTION threads on the newsgroups. According the logic of Time
> Warner Cable, if I post on eBay or Strong Signals, I am commercial and in
> violation there as well.
>
> BUT, until I hear different, that is where I will post future equipment.
>
> Since I also admittedly have a big mouth, and often take the irritating side
> of an issue, I will simply lurk here and not make future postings of any
> type for fear of being turned in to my ISP. Love me or hate me, I always
> thought we had fun, and a good debate. To the little children who turned me
> in, I surrender, you win. I am silenced.
>
> The following is my response to the latest e-mail from the fellow at Time
> Warner. It is exactly how it was sent. The only other posting you will see
> from me in the future is IF and WHEN I get a final reply from them, I will
> pass it along. DAN
>
> >Dear Mr.Conti
> >It is not my job to judge you or your actions.
>
> Yet you or someone in your unit sends me a threatening letter. Please put
> me in touch with someone who CAN make a final judgement in this matter.
>
> >Our intent was to let you know that there has been a complaint made against
> your >actions on this newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party
> who filed the >complaint.
>
> It is unfortunate that you take the word of someone who is most likely NOT a
> Time Warner customer, over that of a good customer for many years. Is that
> good customer Relations?
>
> Because a complaint was made, it doesn't mean it is valid. I should have

> the right to dispute the complaint reasonably with someone of authority at
> your company.
> Additionally, I have the right to question your interpretation of your
user
> greement as it relates to this matter, and if necessary, my attorney will
> certainly have the right to review it as well. All I get is short
answers
> apparently in 100% agreement with the complainant.
>
>
> >We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
> newsgroups >because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner
account
> if we receive any >more complaints. Thank you.
>
> In effect you are curtailing my usage of your service without allowing me
> the opportunity to contest your position. I need to know specifically how
> to be careful, and why I must be carefulm and where.....
>
> My initial instinct, and those others, would be to take your equipment and
> throw it on the front lawn where you could pick it up, and terminate your
> service.
>
> Quite frankly, your service has been good, so I do not want to do that.
If
> there were another service available as good or better, I would.
>
> According to your position, if I post a For Sale or For Auction item to a
> newsgroup which is a private sale, and not a regular occurrence, and not
for
> profit, it is considered commerical by Time Warner, and jeapordizes my
> account.
>
> If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?
>
> If I post something for sale, or for auction on a web site, am I in
> violation?
>
> You mean to tell me that your Time Wanrer customers posting on eBay are
in
> jeapordy of being cancelled?
>
> This entire matter is ridiculous, and you know it. You are obviously
> intelligent, and internet saavy. You KNOW I did nothing wrong. I did not
> violate newsgroups rules or charters, nor am I a commercial user of your
> service.
>
> I think you guys should back down on this stuff, tell the whiners that
> there is NO violation, and let your customers enjoy the service they pay
> PREMIUM prices for every month. You are opening up a can of worms here

that
> should stay closed.
>
> All you need is a big mouth like me, and my big moth attorney to push this
> issue, get publicity, and TIme Warner will look ridiculous as a result.
>
> I am sure you are aware that I already have a good portion of the radio
> hobby newsgroup members up in arms.....
>
> I do not consider this matter resolved. I want the complaint removed from
> my record, and someone of authority at Time Warner to review the matter
> impartially and make a final determination one way or the other, relative
to
> the cogent hypothetical points I made above.
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
> Daniel M. Conti
>
>
> Sincerely,
> Gilbert Roman
> Time Warner Cable
> Internet Security
>
>

3065. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 01:43:50 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9um2hs444l08d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32528
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Some one's crying lord Kum-by-ya...

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:3NHna.169$V2.8476@eagle.america.net...
> The more you say something that only pro-war people say makes you look
like
> an idiot.
>
> John
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v9u5i6bqla7fe7@corp.supernews.com...
> > my lord Kum-by-ya...
> >
> > "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> > news:0GCna.142$V2.7081@eagle.america.net...
> > > FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an ASSHOLE!
> > >
> > > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > news:v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Kum-by-ya...
> > > >
> > > > "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> > > > news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> > > > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have
been
> > > moved
> > > > to
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3066. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 01:52:55 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <XTIna.108298$0X.25099995@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32529
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote

> I said FOAD because he was making fun of people who have the total absence
> of WMD on their side. We were right for opposing the war because it was
> based on false evidence of WMD that DON'T EXIST. PERIOD.
>
> John

You need to do a bit of research and see EXACTLY what the US policy toward Iraq IS and HAS been. Nothing has changed. You liberals want to latch on to the WMD aspect - and we're just STARTING to look for those - the coalition didn't send in armies of weapons inspectors, and that wasn't their primary objective. How many years AFTER WWII were the atrocities of the Nazis still being discovered? And what makes you so certain that Iraq DIDN'T move WMDs to Syria? Doesn't fit into your little world?

Again, the WMD thing is an overplayed issue, and only a SMALL PART of the US policy toward Iraq. And I don't think that anyone can debate the fact that Hussein did NOT comply with all of the UN resolutions.

3067. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 01:53:42 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <GUIna.108299$0X.25100674@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32530
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v9u5i6bqla7fe7@corp.supernews.com...
> my lord Kum-by-ya...

(we're running out of grape Kool-Aid...)

3068. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 01:54:34 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <uVIna.108300$0X.25101594@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32531
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote

> The more you say something that only
> pro-war people say makes you look like
> an idiot.
>
> John

Funny...that's what most are saying about the liberal protesters...

3069. Re: Buyer Beware: Avoid "letsgodigital" online store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 02:23:55 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAC4D9FB.1724E%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Sasquatch" wrote:
>
> "DougSlug" wrote:
>>
>> I recently became aware of an unethical online store known
>> as "Let's Go Digital Superstore" (www.ldgsuperstore.com)
>
> I've been doing some comparison "window" shopping on the
> 7600GR, helping to make my wife's birthday gift to me
> easier ;)
>
> Called Universal Radio, they qouted me a fair price, that's
> probably average for anywhere. I asked about shipping to
> Oregon- 9.95.
>
> What is also an important consideration: what if the radio
> is DOA? I don't want to hassle with a fly-by-night online
> retailer where I might get stiffed.

Universal Radio (www.universal-radio.com) has been around a very, very, long time. My father used to order stuff from them many years ago and I've ordered stuff from them many times over the years. In fact, I plan to buy my next shortwave from them in a few months (the Sony ICF-SW100S or perhaps the 7600GR). I've never had any problems with Universal Radio and I seriously doubt you will either.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3070. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 02:41:05 UTC
From: KG4FBG <kg4fbg_ns@onemain.com>
Message-ID: <5BJna.29709$4P1.2684839@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32533
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

My question to Dan's ISP was.. If the newsgroup allows this type of posting
and they (ISP) don't, then WHY do they carry this newsgroup?
I consider SPAM as being e-mail sent to my e-mail address that I did not
ask to receive..
When I visit a newsgroup, I have the option to read the posting of others
or NOT..
IT'S MY OPTION!!! Just as He/She had the option to do so....
(My thinking) Maybe someone offered a price to Dan for the 2006 that he
thought he should buy it for and Dan did not accept or just an A HOLE..
Probably the latter..

The ISP's should read the newsgroups rules & regulations and base it from
there if there is a question... If it's not within their rules, then they
should not carry it...
Good luck Dan..
Darrell~kg4fbg

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:4JHna.166$V2.8404@eagle.america.net...
> I couldn't access that link.
>
> "Know it All" <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote in message
> news:mvfu9vs96giv4lu0hdcjrcub0i1ead8234@4ax.com...
> > Here are the official charters for the three newsgroups. These
> > documents are the law in a newsgroup. They are the reason a newsgroup
> > is formed.
> >
> > They can always be found at: ftp://ftp.isc.org.pub/usenet/control/
> >
> > They do not specifically state that you cannot offer items for sale.
> > So I don't see how anyone can complain. If there was a statement to
> > the effect that these groups were not for the sale of radio related
> > items then he might have a point. If you wanted to get real
> > technical with Gilbert you could offer these up to him but he's
> > probably too ignorant to understand.
> >
>
> --
> --
> >
> > REC RADIO SWAP
> >
> > From jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu Fri Aug 2 01:10:29 1991
> > Path:

> > rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!wuarchive!bcm!lib!thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> > From: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu (Jay Maynard)
> > Newsgroups: rec.ham-radio.swap.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Date: 13 Feb 91 11:42:28 GMT
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Sender: usenet@lib.tmc.edu
> > Organization: University of Texas Medical School at Houston
> > Lines: 18
> > Approved: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> > Nntp-Posting-Host: thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> > Originator: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> >
> > This group was approved as part of the rec.ham-radio.* reorganization,
> > whose vote results were posted over a week ago in
> > news.announce.newsgroups.
> > No objections have been raised as to the results.
> >
> > Please alias the group rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap. B news
> > sites may do this by adding the following line to
> > /usr/lib/news/aliases:
> > rec.ham-radio.swap rec.radio.swap
> > C news sites may alias the group by changing the 'n' at the end of the
> > entry for rec.ham-radio.swap in /usr/lib/news/active to
> > '=rec.radio.swap'. Be sure to lock the news system with locknews
> > before editing the active file.
> >
> > Thanks for your cooperation.
> > --
> > Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which
> > can
> > jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu | adequately be explained by
> > stupidity.
> > "Today is different from yesterday." -- State Department spokesman
> > Margaret
> > Tutwiler, 17 Jan 91, explaining why they won't negotiate with Saddam
> > Hussein
> >
> >
> > From tale@rpi.edu Fri Aug 2 01:12:08 1991
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > Path: rpi!tale
> > From: tale@rpi.edu (David C Lawrence)
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Sender: tale@cs.rpi.edu
> > Nntp-Posting-Host: cs.rpi.edu
> > Date: 13 Mar 91 15:23:30 GMT
> > Approved: tale@rpi.edu
> > Lines: 8

> >
> > rec.radio.swap is an unmoderated group which passed its vote for
> > creation by 177:44 as announced in news.announce.newgroups on 3 Feb
> > 91.
> >
> > For your newsgroups file:
> > rec.radio.swap Offers to trade and swap radio equipment.
> >
> > This newsgroup replaces rec.ham-radio.swap. You will probably want to
> > continue to alias or refile rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap.
> >
> > From news@compass.com Thu May 23 15:33:37 1991
> > Path: rpi!think.com!compass!news
> > From: news@compass.com (news)
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Message-ID: <5968@compass.com>
> > Date: 23 May 91 17:20:07 GMT
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Distribution: world
> > Organization: Compass, Inc., Wakefield, MA
> > Lines: 1
> > Approved: news@compass.com
> >
> > ffers to trade and swap radio equipment.
> >
> > From news@tumif.UUCP Wed Jun 19 22:27:54 1991
> > Path:
> > rpi!think.com!samsung!caen!ox.com!math.fu-berlin.de!fub!ivcmd!tumif!news
> > From: news@tumif.UUCP (News-Administrator)
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Message-ID: <330@tumif.UUCP>
> > Date: 18 Jun 91 22:05:27 GMT
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Distribution: UniDo
> > Organization: IVC Magdeburg
> > Lines: 0
> > Approved: wega@tumif.UUCP
> >
> >
> > From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 11:47:07 1992
> > Path:
> >
>
rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf
> !steve
> > From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap

> > Message-ID: <16580@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> > Date: 26 Apr 92 00:26:49 GMT
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Distribution: umcs
> > Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> > Lines: 0
> > Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
> >
> >
> > From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:44 1995
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > Path:
> > uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> > From: ske@pkmab.se
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> > Distribution: oerebro
> > Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:29 GMT
> > Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> > Message-ID: <DD1Cu7.1p6@berenice.pkmab.se>
> > Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> > Lines: 4
> > Xref: uunet control.newgroup:225
> >
> > Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.swap
> > --
> > Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
> > Sweden
> > Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
> >
> > From news@news.scnet.nsk.su Fri Nov 24 17:22:06 1995
> > Path:
> >
>
uunet!in2.uu.net!EU.net!news.eunet.fi!KremlSun!fagot!news.scnet.nsk.su!news.
> scnet.nsk.su!news
> > Date: 25 Nov 1995 03:00:38 NSK
> > From: news@news.scnet.nsk.su
> > Subject: cmsg newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > Message-ID:
> > <news-rec.radio.swap-25-Nov-1995-030038-NSK@news.scnet.nsk.su>
> > Approved: news
> > Distribution: world
> > Lines: 2
> > Xref: uunet control.newgroup:4480
> >
> > For your newsgroups file:

> > rec.radio.swap
> >
>
> --
> -------------
> >
> >
> > REC RADIO SCANNER
> >
>
> --
> ---------------
> >
> > From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> > Path: uunet!tale
> > From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> > Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> > Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
> > Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT
> > Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
> > Lines: 16
> > Xref: uunet control:707675
> >
> >
> > rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> > for
> > creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> > 1993
> >
> > For your newsgroups file:
> > rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> > The charter, culled from the call for votes:
> >
> > rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> > traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> > and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> > rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> > newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> > rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> > created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> > many who do not have access to USENET news.
> >
> >
> > From tale@uunet.uu.net Fri Aug 19 13:40:22 1994
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner

> > Path: uunet!tale
> > From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> > Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> > Date: Fri, 19 Aug 1994 17:40:47 GMT
> > Message-ID: <CusMG0.4r2@uunet.uu.net>
> > Lines: 16
> > Xref: uunet control:1137891
> >
> >
> > rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> > for
> > creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> > 1993.
> >
> > For your newsgroups file:
> > rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> >
> >
> > The charter, culled from the call for votes:
> >
> > rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> > traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> > and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> > rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> > newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> > rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> > created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> > many who do not have access to USENET news.
> >
> >
> > From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:28 1995
> > Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Path:
> > uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> > From: ske@pkmab.se
> > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> > Distribution: oerebro
> > Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:05 GMT
> > Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> > Message-ID: <DD1CtH.1H3@berenice.pkmab.se>
> > Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> > Lines: 4
> > Xref: uunet control.newgroup:223
> >
> > Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.scanner
> > -- Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26

> > Oerebro, Sweden
> > Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> ----------------------------------
> >
> > ALT RADIO SCANNER
> >
>
> --
> ----------------------------------
> >
> >
> >
> > From sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu Wed Mar 11 14:55:50 1992
> > Path: rpi!usc!sdd.hp.com!wupost!kuhub.cc.ukans.edu!sloane
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Message-ID: <1992Mar11.133544.38477@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
> > From: <sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
> > Date: 11 Mar 92 13:35:43 CST
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Approved: sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu
> > Lines: 3
> >
> > Per the discussion in alt.config. For you newsgroups file:
> >
> > alt.radio.scanner Discussion of scanning radio receivers
> >
> > From damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU Wed Apr 1 13:12:46 1992
> > Path:
> >
>
rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!mips!pacbell.com!att!princeton!udel!darwin.sur
> a.net!jvnc.net!yale.edu!cmcl2!exchange!damir
> > From: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU (Damir Mijacika)
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Message-ID: <23203@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU>
> > Date: 1 Apr 92 16:12:22 GMT
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Distribution: nyu
> > Organization: NYU Stern School of Business
> > Lines: 0
> > Approved: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU
> >
> >

> > From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:11:37 1992
> > Path:
> >
>
rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!sdd.hp.com!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.mai
> ne.edu!gandalf!steve
> > From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Message-ID: <16929@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> > Date: 26 Apr 92 00:51:43 GMT
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Distribution: umcs
> > Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> > Lines: 0
> > Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
> >
> >
> > From root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:18:05 1992
> > Path:
> >
>
rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf
> !root
> > From: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (The root of ALL evil)
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Message-ID: <17078@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> > Date: 26 Apr 92 01:12:46 GMT
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Distribution: umcs
> > Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> > Lines: 0
> > Approved: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
> >
> >
> > From news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet Tue Jul 7 23:02:29 1992
> > Path:
> >
>
rpi!think.com!mips!nec-gw!nec-tyo!wnoc-tyo-news!sh.wide!wnoc-kyo!wnoc-fukuok
> a-news!shiwasu!scorpio!kusu!ai!news
> > From: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet (news)
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Message-ID: <5637@ai.ai.kyutech.junet>
> > Date: 8 Jul 92 02:33:44 GMT
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Distribution: ai
> > Organization: Dept. of AI, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Iizuka,

> > Japan
> > Lines: 0
> > Approved: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet
> >
> >
> > From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Wed Feb 2 18:43:07 1994
> > Path:
> >
>
uunet!pipex!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!
> csn!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!news
> > From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner
> > Subject: cmsg rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Date: 2 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
> > Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
> > Lines: 7
> > Distribution: inet
> > Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpik@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> > Xref: uunet control:842064
> >
> > The time has passed fro this group, either remove it or alias
> > it to
> > rec.radio.scanner.
> > --
> > Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
> > chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> >
>
==========
> =
> > Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
> >
> >
> > From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Mon Feb 7 22:21:06 1994
> > Path:
> >
>
uunet!news2.uunet.ca!ionews.io.org!gts!feline!halt!lookitthat!willya!tali.hs
> c.colorado.edu!news
> > From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Subject: cmsg newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Date: 3 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
> > Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
> > Lines: 6
> > Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpikl@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>

> > NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> > Xref: uunet control:846934
> >
> > The time has passed fro this group, alias it to
> > rec.radio.scanner.
> > --
> > Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
> > chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> >
>
==========
> =
> > Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
> >
> > From lee@hsh.com Wed Mar 23 21:02:40 1994
> > Path: uunet!spcuna!spcvxb!hsh!lee
> > Newsgroups: control,alt.config
> > Subject: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Message-ID: <1994Mar23.150044.3822@hsh>
> > From: lee@hsh.com (Lee Havemann)
> > Date: 23 Mar 94 15:00:44 EST
> > Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Distribution: world
> > Organization: HSH Associates
> > Summary: duplication is a waste
> > Approved: lee@hsh.com
> > Lines: 15
> > Xref: uunet control:889055
> >
> > Why are we duplicating a viable rec. newsgroup? At last count, at
> > least 64%
> > of all articles in alt.radio.scanner are crossposted to
> > rec.radio.scanner!
> > rec.radio.scanner also has 4 times more traffic that a.r.s does.
> >
> > Isn't this a waste of bandwidth??
> >
> > I hearby rmgroup alt.radio.scanner. :-)
> >
> > --
> >
> > Lee Havemann, Comp Ops Dir. HSH Associates (201) 838-3330
> > Internet: lee@hsh.com Compuserve: 70410,3507 AOL: HSH Assoc
> > "Any opinions expressed are not necessarily those of anyone else,
> > including myself."
> >
> > From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:32:26 1995
> > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > Path:

> > uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> > From: ske@pkmab.se
> > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> > Distribution: oerebro
> > Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:53:22 GMT
> > Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> > Message-ID: <DD1Coz.4o@berenice.pkmab.se>
> > Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> > Lines: 4
> > Xref: uunet control.newgroup:211
> >
> > Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: alt.radio.scanner
> > --
> > Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
> > Sweden
> > Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 18:37:42 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >I received this e-mail from my ISP today:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Dear Mr.Conti
> > >We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am enclosing
a
> > >document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.
> > >
> > >Sincerely yours,
> > >
> > >Gilbert Roman
> > >Time Warner Cable
> > >Internet Security
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all
> the
> > >trouble.....
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >-Forwarded----

> > >
> > >Path:
> > >
> >
>
>nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnili
> n
> > >k
> > >
> >
>
>.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com
> !
> > >h
> > >
> >
>
>ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr
> .
> > >c
> > >
> >
>
>om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not
> -
> > >f
> > >
> > >or-mail
> > >
> > >From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> > >
> > >Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
> > >
> > >Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
> > >
> > >Lines: 14
> > >
> > >X-Priority: 3
> > >
> > >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> > >
> > >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> > >
> > >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> > >
> > >Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
> > >
> > >Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
> > >
> > >NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228

> > >
> > >X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
> > >
> > >X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar 2003
> > >
> > >01:30:29 EST)
> > >
> > >NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
> > >
> > >Organization: Road Runner - NYC
> > >
> > >Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009
> rec.radio.scanner:32530
> > >
> > >rec.radio.swap:55272
> > >
> > >X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
> > >
> > >Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
> > >
> > >cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
> > >
> > >Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >E-mail if interested.
> > >
> > >
> > >Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that ,
according
> to
> > >HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that
the

> > >posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
> > >
> > >Go figure.
> > >
> > >Dan
> > >
> >
>
>

3071. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 02:43:11 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <CDJna.196$V2.8718@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32534
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

No, I have a full knowledge of FTP, however the anonymous FTP login would
not work.

John

"Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:tZHna.28076$ey1.2542662@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Como se dice "File Transfer Protocol" in Ingles?
>
> 73,
>
> Steve Lawrence
> Burnsville, MN
>
>
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:4JHna.166$V2.8404@eagle.america.net...
> > I couldn't access that link.
> >
> > "Know it All" <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote in message
> > news:mvfu9vs96giv4lu0hdcjrcub0i1ead8234@4ax.com...
> > > Here are the official charters for the three newsgroups. These
> > > documents are the law in a newsgroup. They are the reason a newsgroup
> > > is formed.
> > >
> > > They can always be found at: ftp://ftp.isc.org.pub/usenet/control/
> > >
> > > They do not specifically state that you cannot offer items for sale.
> > > So I don't see how anyone can complain. If there was a statement to
> > > the effect that these groups were not for the sale of radio related
> > > items then he might have a point. If you wanted to get real
> > > technical with Gilbert you could offer these up to him but he's
> > > probably too ignorant to understand.
> > >
> > >
>
> --
> > --
> > >
> > > REC RADIO SWAP
> > >
> > > From jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu Fri Aug 2 01:10:29 1991
> > > Path:
> > >

rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!wuarchive!bcm!lib!thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> > > From: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu (Jay Maynard)
> > > Newsgroups: rec.ham-radio.swap.ctl
> > > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Date: 13 Feb 91 11:42:28 GMT
> > > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Sender: usenet@lib.tmc.edu
> > > Organization: University of Texas Medical School at Houston
> > > Lines: 18
> > > Approved: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> > > Nntp-Posting-Host: thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> > > Originator: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> > >
> > > This group was approved as part of the rec.ham-radio.* reorganization,
> > > whose vote results were posted over a week ago in
> > > news.announce.newsgroups.
> > > No objections have been raised as to the results.
> > >
> > > Please alias the group rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap. B news
> > > sites may do this by adding the following line to
> > > /usr/lib/news/aliases:
> > > rec.ham-radio.swap rec.radio.swap
> > > C news sites may alias the group by changing the 'n' at the end of the
> > > entry for rec.ham-radio.swap in /usr/lib/news/active to
> > > '=rec.radio.swap'. Be sure to lock the news system with locknews
> > > before editing the active file.
> > >
> > > Thanks for your cooperation.
> > > --
> > > Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which
> > > can
> > > jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu | adequately be explained by
> > > stupidity.
> > > "Today is different from yesterday." -- State Department spokesman
> > > Margaret
> > > Tutwiler, 17 Jan 91, explaining why they won't negotiate with Saddam
> > > Hussein
> > >
> > >
> > > From tale@rpi.edu Fri Aug 2 01:12:08 1991
> > > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > > Path: rpi!tale
> > > From: tale@rpi.edu (David C Lawrence)
> > > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Sender: tale@cs.rpi.edu
> > > Nntp-Posting-Host: cs.rpi.edu
> > > Date: 13 Mar 91 15:23:30 GMT
> > > Approved: tale@rpi.edu
> > > Lines: 8

> > >
> > > rec.radio.swap is an unmoderated group which passed its vote for
> > > creation by 177:44 as announced in news.announce.newgroups on 3 Feb
> > > 91.
> > >
> > > For your newsgroups file:
> > > rec.radio.swap Offers to trade and swap radio equipment.
> > >
> > > This newsgroup replaces rec.ham-radio.swap. You will probably want to
> > > continue to alias or refile rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap.
> > >
> > > From news@compass.com Thu May 23 15:33:37 1991
> > > Path: rpi!think.com!compass!news
> > > From: news@compass.com (news)
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Message-ID: <5968@compass.com>
> > > Date: 23 May 91 17:20:07 GMT
> > > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Distribution: world
> > > Organization: Compass, Inc., Wakefield, MA
> > > Lines: 1
> > > Approved: news@compass.com
> > >
> > > ffers to trade and swap radio equipment.
> > >
> > > From news@tumif.UUCP Wed Jun 19 22:27:54 1991
> > > Path:
> > >
rpi!think.com!samsung!caen!ox.com!math.fu-berlin.de!fub!ivcmd!tumif!news
> > > From: news@tumif.UUCP (News-Administrator)
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Message-ID: <330@tumif.UUCP>
> > > Date: 18 Jun 91 22:05:27 GMT
> > > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Distribution: UniDo
> > > Organization: IVC Magdeburg
> > > Lines: 0
> > > Approved: wega@tumif.UUCP
> > >
> > >
> > > From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 11:47:07 1992
> > > Path:
> > >
> >
>
rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf
> > !steve
> > > From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)

> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Message-ID: <16580@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> > > Date: 26 Apr 92 00:26:49 GMT
> > > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Distribution: umcs
> > > Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> > > Lines: 0
> > > Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
> > >
> > >
> > > From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:44 1995
> > > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > > Path:
> > > uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> > > From: ske@pkmab.se
> > > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> > > Distribution: oerebro
> > > Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:29 GMT
> > > Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> > > Message-ID: <DD1Cu7.1p6@berenice.pkmab.se>
> > > Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> > > Lines: 4
> > > Xref: uunet control.newgroup:225
> > >
> > > Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.swap
> > > --
> > > Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
> > > Sweden
> > > Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
> > >
> > > From news@news.scnet.nsk.su Fri Nov 24 17:22:06 1995
> > > Path:
> > >
> >
>
uunet!in2.uu.net!EU.net!news.eunet.fi!KremlSun!fagot!news.scnet.nsk.su!news.
> > scnet.nsk.su!news
> > > Date: 25 Nov 1995 03:00:38 NSK
> > > From: news@news.scnet.nsk.su
> > > Subject: cmsg newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> > > Message-ID:
> > > <news-rec.radio.swap-25-Nov-1995-030038-NSK@news.scnet.nsk.su>
> > > Approved: news
> > > Distribution: world
> > > Lines: 2

> > > Xref: uunet control.newgroup:4480
> > >
> > > For your newsgroups file:
> > > rec.radio.swap
> > >
> >
>
> --
> > -------------
> > >
> > >
> > > REC RADIO SCANNER
> > >
> >
>
> --
> > ---------------
> > >
> > > From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
> > > Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> > > Path: uunet!tale
> > > From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> > > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > > Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> > > Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> > > Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
> > > Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT
> > > Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
> > > Lines: 16
> > > Xref: uunet control:707675
> > >
> > >
> > > rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> > > for
> > > creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> > > 1993
> > >
> > > For your newsgroups file:
> > > rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> > > The charter, culled from the call for votes:
> > >
> > > rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> > > traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> > > and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> > > rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> > > newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> > > rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> > > created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> > > many who do not have access to USENET news.

> > >
> > >
> > > From tale@uunet.uu.net Fri Aug 19 13:40:22 1994
> > > Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> > > Path: uunet!tale
> > > From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> > > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > > Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> > > Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> > > Date: Fri, 19 Aug 1994 17:40:47 GMT
> > > Message-ID: <CusMG0.4r2@uunet.uu.net>
> > > Lines: 16
> > > Xref: uunet control:1137891
> > >
> > >
> > > rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> > > for
> > > creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> > > 1993.
> > >
> > > For your newsgroups file:
> > > rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> > >
> > >
> > > The charter, culled from the call for votes:
> > >
> > > rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> > > traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> > > and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> > > rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> > > newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> > > rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> > > created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> > > many who do not have access to USENET news.
> > >
> > >
> > > From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:28 1995
> > > Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner.ctl
> > > Path:
> > > uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> > > From: ske@pkmab.se
> > > Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> > > Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> > > Distribution: oerebro
> > > Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:05 GMT
> > > Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> > > Message-ID: <DD1CtH.1H3@berenice.pkmab.se>
> > > Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)

> > > Lines: 4
> > > Xref: uunet control.newgroup:223
> > >
> > > Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.scanner
> > > -- Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26
> > > Oerebro, Sweden
> > > Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
> --
> > ----------------------------------
> > >
> > > ALT RADIO SCANNER
> > >
> >
>
> --
> > ----------------------------------
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > From sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu Wed Mar 11 14:55:50 1992
> > > Path: rpi!usc!sdd.hp.com!wupost!kuhub.cc.ukans.edu!sloane
> > > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Message-ID: <1992Mar11.133544.38477@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
> > > From: <sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
> > > Date: 11 Mar 92 13:35:43 CST
> > > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Approved: sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu
> > > Lines: 3
> > >
> > > Per the discussion in alt.config. For you newsgroups file:
> > >
> > > alt.radio.scanner Discussion of scanning radio receivers
> > >
> > > From damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU Wed Apr 1 13:12:46 1992
> > > Path:
> > >
> >
>
rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!mips!pacbell.com!att!princeton!udel!darwin.sur
> > a.net!jvnc.net!yale.edu!cmcl2!exchange!damir
> > > From: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU (Damir Mijacika)
> > > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Message-ID: <23203@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU>

> > > Date: 1 Apr 92 16:12:22 GMT
> > > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Distribution: nyu
> > > Organization: NYU Stern School of Business
> > > Lines: 0
> > > Approved: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU
> > >
> > >
> > > From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:11:37 1992
> > > Path:
> > >
> >
>
rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!sdd.hp.com!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.mai
> > ne.edu!gandalf!steve
> > > From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
> > > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Message-ID: <16929@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> > > Date: 26 Apr 92 00:51:43 GMT
> > > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Distribution: umcs
> > > Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> > > Lines: 0
> > > Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
> > >
> > >
> > > From root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:18:05 1992
> > > Path:
> > >
> >
>
rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf
> > !root
> > > From: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (The root of ALL evil)
> > > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Message-ID: <17078@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> > > Date: 26 Apr 92 01:12:46 GMT
> > > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Distribution: umcs
> > > Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> > > Lines: 0
> > > Approved: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
> > >
> > >
> > > From news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet Tue Jul 7 23:02:29 1992
> > > Path:
> > >
> >

>
rpi!think.com!mips!nec-gw!nec-tyo!wnoc-tyo-news!sh.wide!wnoc-kyo!wnoc-fukuok
> > a-news!shiwasu!scorpio!kusu!ai!news
> > > From: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet (news)
> > > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Message-ID: <5637@ai.ai.kyutech.junet>
> > > Date: 8 Jul 92 02:33:44 GMT
> > > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Distribution: ai
> > > Organization: Dept. of AI, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Iizuka,
> > > Japan
> > > Lines: 0
> > > Approved: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet
> > >
> > >
> > > From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Wed Feb 2 18:43:07 1994
> > > Path:
> > >
> >
>
uunet!pipex!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!
> > csn!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!news
> > > From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
> > > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner
> > > Subject: cmsg rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Date: 2 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
> > > Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
> > > Lines: 7
> > > Distribution: inet
> > > Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpik@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
> > > NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> > > Xref: uunet control:842064
> > >
> > > The time has passed fro this group, either remove it or alias
> > > it to
> > > rec.radio.scanner.
> > > --
> > > Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
> > > chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> > >
> >
>
==========
> > =
> > > Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
> > >
> > >
> > > From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Mon Feb 7 22:21:06 1994

> > > Path:
> > >
> >
>
uunet!news2.uunet.ca!ionews.io.org!gts!feline!halt!lookitthat!willya!tali.hs
> > c.colorado.edu!news
> > > From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
> > > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > > Subject: cmsg newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Date: 3 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
> > > Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
> > > Lines: 6
> > > Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpikl@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
> > > NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> > > Xref: uunet control:846934
> > >
> > > The time has passed fro this group, alias it to
> > > rec.radio.scanner.
> > > --
> > > Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
> > > chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> > >
> >
>
==========
> > =
> > > Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
> > >
> > > From lee@hsh.com Wed Mar 23 21:02:40 1994
> > > Path: uunet!spcuna!spcvxb!hsh!lee
> > > Newsgroups: control,alt.config
> > > Subject: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Message-ID: <1994Mar23.150044.3822@hsh>
> > > From: lee@hsh.com (Lee Havemann)
> > > Date: 23 Mar 94 15:00:44 EST
> > > Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Distribution: world
> > > Organization: HSH Associates
> > > Summary: duplication is a waste
> > > Approved: lee@hsh.com
> > > Lines: 15
> > > Xref: uunet control:889055
> > >
> > > Why are we duplicating a viable rec. newsgroup? At last count, at
> > > least 64%
> > > of all articles in alt.radio.scanner are crossposted to
> > > rec.radio.scanner!
> > > rec.radio.scanner also has 4 times more traffic that a.r.s does.
> > >

> > > Isn't this a waste of bandwidth??
> > >
> > > I hearby rmgroup alt.radio.scanner. :-)
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > > Lee Havemann, Comp Ops Dir. HSH Associates (201) 838-3330
> > > Internet: lee@hsh.com Compuserve: 70410,3507 AOL: HSH Assoc
> > > "Any opinions expressed are not necessarily those of anyone else,
> > > including myself."
> > >
> > > From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:32:26 1995
> > > Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> > > Path:
> > > uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> > > From: ske@pkmab.se
> > > Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> > > Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> > > Distribution: oerebro
> > > Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:53:22 GMT
> > > Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> > > Message-ID: <DD1Coz.4o@berenice.pkmab.se>
> > > Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> > > Lines: 4
> > > Xref: uunet control.newgroup:211
> > >
> > > Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: alt.radio.scanner
> > > --
> > > Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
> > > Sweden
> > > Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 18:37:42 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >I received this e-mail from my ISP today:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Dear Mr.Conti
> > > >We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am
enclosing
> a
> > > >document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.

> > > >
> > > >Sincerely yours,
> > > >
> > > >Gilbert Roman
> > > >Time Warner Cable
> > > >Internet Security
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused
all
> > the
> > > >trouble.....
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >-Forwarded----
> > > >
> > > >Path:
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnili
> > n
> > > >k
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com
> > !
> > > >h
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr
> > .
> > > >c
> > > >
> > >
> >
>
>om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not
> > -
> > > >f
> > > >
> > > >or-mail
> > > >

> > > >From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> > > >
> > > >Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
> > > >
> > > >Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
> > > >
> > > >Lines: 14
> > > >
> > > >X-Priority: 3
> > > >
> > > >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> > > >
> > > >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> > > >
> > > >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> > > >
> > > >Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
> > > >
> > > >Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
> > > >
> > > >NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228
> > > >
> > > >X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
> > > >
> > > >X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar
2003
> > > >
> > > >01:30:29 EST)
> > > >
> > > >NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
> > > >
> > > >Organization: Road Runner - NYC
> > > >
> > > >Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009
> > rec.radio.scanner:32530
> > > >
> > > >rec.radio.swap:55272
> > > >
> > > >X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is
in
> > > >
> > > >Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with
the
> > > >
> > > >cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
> > > >
> > > >Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >E-mail if interested.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that ,
> according
> > to
> > > >HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that
> the
> > > >posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
> > > >
> > > >Go figure.
> > > >
> > > >Dan
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3072. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 02:45:21 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <sFJna.198$V2.8543@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32535
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Alrighty. I'm pronouncing this thread dead, at least for me. There's nothing more that I think I'd want to respond to, so it goes to the thread killfile.

73's
John (KG6OXA)

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BAC4CD68.1724C%stewart@say.net...
> "Dr. John" wrote:
> >
> > I have integrity and try to follow the law (so
> > do most hams). You obviously just want to slam
> > hams (rhyme not intended) for whatever reason,
> > because of your: (snip)
>
>
> Don't waste your time, John. He is trying to provoke fights with ham
> operators. He knows others in the newsgroup will as likely blame the ham
> operator as himself for these fights. And that only harms the image of ham
> radio, especially if he can keep the fight focused solely on ham radio
(his
> goal - notice he has not mentioned CB, his own actions, or anything else).
>
> Of course, we know his attitudes are consistent with those of most
outlaw
> CB'ers (which strongly suggests he is one), but he is counting on the fact
> that other readers in this newsgroup will not know that. Instead, he is
> hoping others will see him as a regular guy, just like the typical reader,
> out to expose the "evil deeds" of those "horrible" ham operators (the
"evil
> deeds" in this case is compliance with the rules and regulations of this
> nation).
>
> Like so many today, he feels he has a right to violate this nation's
rules
> and regulations without negative reaction from others. Instead, he is
> totally absorbed in the quest to please himself without regard for others.
>
> No amount of argument is going to change that attitude. More civilized
> people know a society has to work together within the framework of rules
and
> regulations for the benefit of all, including themselves - that each
person
> gains when all consider the impact of their own actions on others around

> them. This concept is alien to the person focused solely on himself, so he
> instead sees it as an affront when Ham Operators object to his (and his
> friend's) often harmful actions (to radio and other operators).
>
> My advice is simply respond to any worthwhile issues he might raise and
> ignore the rest. You will serve ham radio far more by doing so.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

3073. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 02:53:05 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <KMJna.199$V2.8670@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32536
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:XTIna.108298$0X.25099995@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote
>
> > I said FOAD because he was making fun of people who have the total
absence
> > of WMD on their side. We were right for opposing the war because it was
> > based on false evidence of WMD that DON'T EXIST. PERIOD.
> >
> > John
>
> You need to do a bit of research and see EXACTLY what the US policy toward
> Iraq IS and HAS been. Nothing has changed. You liberals want to latch on
to
> the WMD aspect - and we're just STARTING to look for those - the coalition
> didn't send in armies of weapons inspectors, and that wasn't their primary
> objective. How many years AFTER WWII were the atrocities of the Nazis
still
> being discovered? And what makes you so certain that Iraq DIDN'T move WMDs
> to Syria? Doesn't fit into your little world?
>
> Again, the WMD thing is an overplayed issue, and only a SMALL PART of the
US
> policy toward Iraq. And I don't think that anyone can debate the fact that
> Hussein did NOT comply with all of the UN resolutions.
>
>
The disarming of Iraq was the reason for invading. Nothing else. Their
purpose changed to the liberation of Iraq midstream. The WMD disarmament
should remain their primary concern, as if there were any actual WMD
floating around, they would be extremely dangerous falling into the hands of
looters. And if there are 100 trillion tons of WMD (a independent analyst's
research indicated that is how much Iraq COULD have), then where the fuck is
it all? How do you hide 100 trillion tons of stuff that has very little to
no weight, such anthrax particles, gases, smallpox (microscopic).
It is rampant speculation that Syria was given the WMD. No real proof, or
surveillance indicating that is so.
Sure, Hussein didn't comply with all of the UN resos, but neither did
Israel. We aren't attacking Israel for their known WMD. How are we so sure
that they won't fall into bad hands?

John

3074. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 02:54:27 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <_NJna.201$V2.8858@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32537
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:uVIna.108300$0X.25101594@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote
>
> > The more you say something that only
> > pro-war people say makes you look like
> > an idiot.
> >
> > John
>
> Funny...that's what most are saying about the liberal protesters...
>
>

And who talks about liberal protesters in such a derogatory manner? Pro-war people.

John

3075. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 02:55:13 UTC
From: chawtrey@hotpop.com
Message-ID: <3e9f6552.16235132@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 32538
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 18:05:25 -0400, N8KDV <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net>
wrote:

>I'd find another internet provider if they think they can cancel you out for
>posting on eBay. What a bunch of retards.

According to the evidence given in Mr. Conti's post, they DIDN'T say
they would cancel his account for posting on eBay. It was Mr. Conti
who posed the hypothetical question,

>If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?

The ISP merely said

>>We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what
>>you post on newsgroups because this could affect the status
>>of your Roadrunner account if we receive any more complaints.

In other words "we received a complaint, so please be careful in the
future." That doesn't seem so terribly unreasonable.

I for one would prefer that for-sale posts be kept in the newsgroups
designed specifically for that purpose -- it's best not to get started
down the slippery slope. But I recognize that this opinion seems to
be in the minority here.

(And no, I wasn't the person who made the complaint. :-))

regards
C.

3076. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 02:55:23 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <SOJna.202$V2.8743@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32539
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Alright, you've lost me, people... Are those the lyrics? I honestly don't know.

John

"Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:OXHna.28072$ey1.2541604@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> ....Someone's cryin', my Lord, Kumbaya....ohhhh...
> Looooord.....Kumbayaaaaaaa...
>
> 73,
>
> Steve Lawrence
> Burnsville, MN
>
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:3NHna.169$V2.8476@eagle.america.net...
> > The more you say something that only pro-war people say makes you look
> like
> > an idiot.
> >
> > John
> >
> > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:v9u5i6bqla7fe7@corp.supernews.com...
> > > my lord Kum-by-ya...
> > >
> > > "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:0GCna.142$V2.7081@eagle.america.net...
> > > > FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an
ASSHOLE!
> > > >
> > > > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > Kum-by-ya...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> > > > > news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> > > > > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have
> been
> > > > moved
> > > > > to
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3077. Original Unblocked Scanner Price..?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 02:59:00 UTC
From: J&D Schnoor <jimdianes@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <URJna.5247$1H3.4910@news01.roc.ny.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 32540

Is it the Radio Shack PRO-2036 or PRO-2045 by any chance? I think the Pro-2036 had the full 800 mHz, but was only double-conversion, but the newer PRO-2045 was triple-conversion, but did not cover the full 800 mHz band. I have a European PRO-2045 and it is a great scanner. I, too, have the CTCSS board and it greatly enhances it in my opinion.

Jim

3078. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 03:00:20 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <zTJna.204$V2.8516@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32541
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

For one, it was a command, not a wish.

For two, saying "Kum-ba-ya" isn't disagreeing. That's a way of taunting and discrediting anyone who even remembers, 4 decades ago, when "kum-ba-ya" was even used regularly as a chant in protests.

That is what is outrageous.

John

"Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:mJHna.28046$ey1.2503231@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> You'd wish death on someone, simply because they
> disagree with you???
>
> Outrageous.
>
> Kumbaya, my Lord....Kumbayaaaaaaaa.....
>
> Steve Lawrence
> Burnsville, MN
>
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:0GCna.142$V2.7081@eagle.america.net...
> > FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an ASSHOLE!
> >
> > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Kum-by-ya...
> > >
> > > "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> > > news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> > > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been
> > moved
> > > > to
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3079. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 03:17:58 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9urj0q0pj7h1e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32542
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In order to lead you from the darkness of ignorance, I will explain,
Kum-by-ya was not a protest chant.

It was a song sung by all the pot smoking, LSD using hippies. It epitomizes
the position of people who think all the worlds problems will be solved if
we just sing and are touch feely enough.

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:zTJna.204$V2.8516@eagle.america.net...
> For one, it was a command, not a wish.
>
> For two, saying "Kum-ba-ya" isn't disagreeing. That's a way of taunting
and
> discrediting anyone who even remembers, 4 decades ago, when "kum-ba-ya"
was
> even used regularly as a chant in protests.
>
> That is what is outrageous.
>
> John
>
> "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:mJHna.28046$ey1.2503231@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > You'd wish death on someone, simply because they
> > disagree with you???
> >
> > Outrageous.
> >
> > Kumbaya, my Lord....Kumbayaaaaaaaa.....
> >
> > Steve Lawrence
> > Burnsville, MN
> >
> > "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> > news:0GCna.142$V2.7081@eagle.america.net...
> > > FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an ASSHOLE!
> > >
> > > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > news:v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Kum-by-ya...
> > > >
> > > > "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> > > > news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> > > > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have

been
> > > moved
> > > > to
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3080. Re: Uniden 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 03:22:21 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <NbKna.66014$ja4.4363304@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 32543

Deal Pending

"Frank Bals" <fjb@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:aa3ma.26216$c03.1906220@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Excellent condition. Not a scratch on it! Complete with original box,
> manual, and all accessories except mobile mount bracket (unit never used
> mobile).
>
> Price is $225 plus shipping
>
>

3081. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 03:23:27 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3E9F6FAF.26BDA8D3@nospam.iserv.net>
Source record: 32544
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:

> In order to lead you from the darkness of ignorance, I will explain,
> Kum-by-ya was not a protest chant.
>
> It was a song sung by all the pot smoking, LSD using hippies. It epitomizes
> the position of people who think all the worlds problems will be solved if
> we just sing and are touch feely enough.

That is not true at all. I recall singing that song around campfires in The Boy Scouts long before LSD and Pot were thought of in the general population.

Nice try (Dr.) lol,

Steve
Holland, MI

> >
> > "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:zTJna.204$V2.8516@eagle.america.net...
> > > For one, it was a command, not a wish.
> > >
> > > For two, saying "Kum-ba-ya" isn't disagreeing. That's a way of taunting
> and
> > > discrediting anyone who even remembers, 4 decades ago, when "kum-ba-ya"
> was
> > > even used regularly as a chant in protests.
> > >
> > > That is what is outrageous.
> > >
> > > John
> > >
> > > "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:mJHna.28046$ey1.2503231@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > You'd wish death on someone, simply because they
> > > > disagree with you???
> > > >
> > > > Outrageous.
> > > >
> > > > Kumbaya, my Lord....Kumbayaaaaaaaa.....
> > > >
> > > > Steve Lawrence
> > > > Burnsville, MN
> > > >

> > > "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:0GCna.142$V2.7081@eagle.america.net...
> > > > FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an ASSHOLE!
> > > >
> > > > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > Kum-by-ya...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> > > > > news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> > > > > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have
> been
> > > > moved
> > > > > to
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

3082. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 03:27:35 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9us52bcso3g81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32597
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Different context, what year were you in scouts?

The slaves also sang it during the civil war period. Again not in context.

In reference to the anti-everything folks, my example was in context and I stand by it, your protestation to the contrary. Q.E.D.

"N8KDV" <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net> wrote in message
news:3E9F6FAF.26BDA8D3@nospam.iserv.net...
>
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
>
> > In order to lead you from the darkness of ignorance, I will explain,
> > Kum-by-ya was not a protest chant.
> >
> > It was a song sung by all the pot smoking, LSD using hippies. It
epitomizes
> > the position of people who think all the worlds problems will be solved
if
> > we just sing and are touch feely enough.
>
> That is not true at all. I recall singing that song around campfires in
The Boy
> Scouts long before LSD and Pot were thought of in the general population.
>
> Nice try (Dr.) lol,
>
> Steve
> Holland, MI
>
> >
> >
> > "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> > news:zTJna.204$V2.8516@eagle.america.net...
> > > For one, it was a command, not a wish.
> > >
> > > For two, saying "Kum-ba-ya" isn't disagreeing. That's a way of
taunting
> > and
> > > discrediting anyone who even remembers, 4 decades ago, when
"kum-ba-ya"
> > was
> > > even used regularly as a chant in protests.

> > >
> > > That is what is outrageous.
> > >
> > > John
> > >
> > > "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:mJHna.28046$ey1.2503231@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > You'd wish death on someone, simply because they
> > > > disagree with you???
> > > >
> > > > Outrageous.
> > > >
> > > > Kumbaya, my Lord....Kumbayaaaaaaaa.....
> > > >
> > > > Steve Lawrence
> > > > Burnsville, MN
> > > >
> > > > "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:0GCna.142$V2.7081@eagle.america.net...
> > > > > FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an
ASSHOLE!
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > Kum-by-ya...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> > > > > > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none
have
> > been
> > > > > > moved
> > > > > > > to
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

3083. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 03:37:10 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030417233710.02108.00000058@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 32599

>Talkgroup 0928 OCSD Channel#5

And what's that?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

3084. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 03:56:10 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <uHKna.108332$0X.25254031@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32600
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

<sigh>

The WMD issue was never the sole basis for the actions in Iraq, and wasn't stated to be so. The United States policy toward Iraq was clearly stated by Edward P. Djerejian, the Director of the Baker Institute For Public Policy, at Rice University. It was presented at the IISS/CEPS European Security Forum Meeting in Brussels on September 9, 2002.

"The U.S. decision to refocus the world's attention on Iraq and the threat it poses to international peace and security under Saddam Hussein results from the ongoing adjustment of U.S. strategy as a consequence of the attacks of 11 September 2001. The U.S. is leading the campaign against global terrorism - a campaign that can only be won by successful international coalition building. For some time before 11 September, the nexus between weapons of mass destruction (WMD) and terrorist groups and states that support terrorism had been identified as the major strategic threat. This definition is at the core of the new U.S. strategy. Due to the nature of this threat, this definition has also given rise to the concept of pre-emptive strikes, and it has implications for the established policies of containment and deterrence. For building and sustaining effective coalitions, the U.S. will have to work with its allies and partners to build on these changes of its national security strategy for the needed elaboration of an international strategic framework and agreed policy for dealing with the threat."

As the formulation of the Administration's policy on Iraq was evolving, a range of positions on the approach to Iraq has been expressed up to the summer of 2002 among Bush Administration officials. President George W. Bush himself established the link between Iraq and terrorism by stressing the need to deny sanctuary to terrorists anywhere in the world [1] and pointing to the totalitarian threat posed by state sponsors of terrorism with potential access to weapons of mass destruction.[2] He also declared that it was the stated policy of his government to have regime change in Iraq,[3] as U.S. legislation indeed calls for since 1998, believing that unlawful aggression and the pursuit of weapons of mass destruction are the essence of Saddam Hussein's rule and would not end as long as he was in power. However, Bush put the issue of full compliance with UN decisions on the dismantlement of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction programs at the center of his international efforts vis-�-vis Iraq, insisting that the issue here is not inspections but disarmament.[4] On September 15, President Bush will be addressing the subject of Iraq in a major speech at the United Nations.

At the same time, Vice-President Richard Cheney advocated determined U.S. leadership to force Saddam Hussein from power in his remarks before a

veterans group in Nashville in August 2002. Cheney said that old security doctrines did not apply in the new strategic environment. Containment was not possible when dictators obtained weapons of mass destruction and were prepared to share them with terrorists who intend to inflict catastrophic casualties on the United States. He also claimed that "many of us are convinced that Saddam Hussein will acquire nuclear weapons fairly soon". A tentative U.S. approach to Iraq would mean that Saddam would simply be emboldened, and it would be even harder to assemble friends and allies to oppose him. In a post- Saddam world, however, moderates in the region would take heart and extremists would rethink their commitment to Jihad, and the broader cause of Middle East peace would be advanced. Cheney assured his audience that the Bush Administration would not simply look away, hope for the best and leave the matter for some future administration. He also promised that the U.S. would not turn its back on Iraq after Saddam's departure but would stay to help it rebuild with "territorial integrity" and to craft a democratic, pluralistic, ethnically representative government.

Secretary of State Colin Powell highlighted the need for the United States to lead the international community in its approach toward Iraq and build international support. He stressed the role of the UN Security Council and WMD inspectors as a first step toward enforcing compliance and disarmament on Saddam Hussein and his regime, with serious consequences if he does not comply.

NOTES

[1] "We have entered the second stage of the war on terror - a sustained campaign to deny sanctuary to terrorists who would threaten our citizens from anywhere in the world." (Remarks by President Bush at The White House, March 11, 2002).

[2] "The evil that has formed against us has been termed the new totalitarian threat. The authors of terror are seeking nuclear, chemical and biological weapons. Regimes that sponsor terror are developing these weapons and the missiles to deliver them. If these regimes and their terrorist allies were to perfect these capabilities, no inner voice of reason, no hint of conscience would prevent their use." (Speech by President Bush at the German Bundestag, May 23, 2002.)

[3] Press conference by President Bush, July 8, 2002.

[4] President Bush speaking to congressional leaders on Iraq, September 4, 2002.
~~~~~~~~~~
Hussein thumbed his nose at the UN, and only a fool would believe he complied with all UN resolutions. You people want to whine, and have no idea what you're talking about.

Wait longer? Give it more time? How long has the world tried to deal diplomatically with North Korea? What's that you say? Since the 1950's? Oh, allot of good THAT did - now they threaten that entire REGION, and even have the CHICOMS nervous.
~~~~~~~~~~

Before you fall into the trap of repeating liberal babble, do some homework.

3085. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 03:57:11 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <rIKna.108333$0X.25255456@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32601
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"JJ" <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E9F54D9.2050500@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> Dr. John wrote:
>
> > How do you hide 100 trillion tons of stuff that has very little to
> > no weight,
>
> Quick, which weighs the most, a pound of lead or a pound of feathers.
> If it weighs 100 trillion tons it weighs 100 trillion tons no
> matter what it is. Are you for real? Sure you last name isn't
> Simmions?

Dr. John...John Smmons...hmm...?

3086. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 04:02:44 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <ENKna.108335$0X.25261981@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32602
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:_NJna.201$V2.8858@eagle.america.net...
> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:uVIna.108300$0X.25101594@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote
> >
> > > The more you say something that only
> > > pro-war people say makes you look like
> > > an idiot.
> > >
> > > John
> >
> > Funny...that's what most are saying about the liberal protesters...
> >
> >
>
> And who talks about liberal protesters in such a derogatory manner?
Pro-war
> people.

No, Americans - and the supporters of the coalition, which consist of a much higher number of countries than the handful of insignificant countries that oppose the actions in Iraq, and each one of THOSE has it's own reasons for opposing it - monetary reasons, that is...

That's one of the things that drives france so nuts - more European countries SUPPORT actions in Iraq than OPPOSE it - france doesn't want to recognize those countries as even being a PART of Europe, as the more countries enter the EU, the less significant france gets (like they were ever significant in the FIRST place).

France is full of itself, and only wants what it can get on it's OWN terms - they use their veto power to thwart an action that should have taken place LONG ago, yet thumb THEIR noses at the UN when it comes to THEIR actions in places like Africa.

3087. Bearcat scanner FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 04:03:46 UTC
From: Chip <chip448@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <hPKna.62313$NV.1503537@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 32603
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For sale - Bearcat SC-150 "sportcat" scanner. 100 channels, excellent physical and operational condition. Black case. Includes scanner, antenna, battery, belt clip. Asking $100.

Thanks
Chip

3088. THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 04:04:39 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rPKna.5983$Up3.3681191@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 32606
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Once again, apologies to all for this continued diatribe as I know that folks like "Pappy" are busting with good and informative radio related information, but I just felt the final chapter in this matter had to be told...

As a result if the last admittadly long e-mail sent to Gilbert at my ISP, he responded by sending me their Newsgroup Use Policy. I will not take up bandwith with it's entirety, mostly legal mumbo jumbo, but the two most cogent points relative to this matter are listed below:

The sending of multiple similar articles to newsgroups constitutes a violation.

The cross-posting of advertisements for products, items, or services which exceeds the commonly held thresholds and guidelines of the USENET community, will not be tolerated. Article posts should be on-topic to the particular newsgroup charter. Articles should not disrupt any newsgroup with frivolous, excessively vulgar or repetitious postings is not allowed.

Upon serious reflection and soul searching, I must be a man here and plead guilty.

During my last flurry of radio sales, I did in fact cross post my ads to numerous groups at once, and except for rec.radio.swap, technically, FS or FA posts ARE off-topic in the other radio groups.

Now, I realize that there has been much debate about this, and opinions. The fact that it is done all the time is no excuse in the eys of the ISP. They put these use policies in place to protect themselves, then basically turn a blind eye, until they receive a complaint. At that point they are obligated to take action, which basically in the first offense is to put the customer on notice. That is what happened to me.

Does this then absolve the newsgroup Nazis of their stupidity? No. It would be better if we self-policed the groups rather then running to ISPs.

I informed Gilbert at the ISP that had he simply given this explanation up front, in the original letter, rather then running off about "commercial postings", we could have saved each other much grief.

This has certainly been a learning experience for me and hopefully all users here. I think that in the future, FS or FA postings to rec.radio.swap ONLY would be allowable by the ISP, and should satisfy the girly girls as well.

Other posters will continue to do as they want, but unfortunately I am now on notice, so I will abide by the rules.

Thanks for all the support offered here.

Dan

3089. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 04:04:44 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <wPKna.108339$0X.25264041@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32604
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:zTJna.204$V2.8516@eagle.america.net...
> For one, it was a command, not a wish.
>
> For two, saying "Kum-ba-ya" isn't disagreeing. That's a way of taunting
and
> discrediting anyone who even remembers, 4 decades ago, when "kum-ba-ya"
was
> even used regularly as a chant in protests.

And it's just as ridiculous NOW as it was THEN, when the hippies were all tokin' it up and had no IDEA what was really going on. They were stoned out of their skulls and lost touch with reality.

Same thing today.

3090. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 04:05:37 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <IQKna.108342$0X.25265162@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"N8KDV" <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net> wrote in message
news:3E9F6FAF.26BDA8D3@nospam.iserv.net...
>
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:
>
> > In order to lead you from the darkness of ignorance, I will explain,
> > Kum-by-ya was not a protest chant.
> >
> > It was a song sung by all the pot smoking, LSD using hippies. It
epitomizes
> > the position of people who think all the worlds problems will be solved
if
> > we just sing and are touch feely enough.
>
> That is not true at all. I recall singing that song around campfires in
The Boy
> Scouts long before LSD and Pot were thought of in the general population.

True, but it became the anthem of the left.

3091. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 04:10:08 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <AUKna.108358$0X.25270610@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32607
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"JJ" <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E9F5376.6020704@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> Gogarty wrote:
> > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been
moved to
> > Syria.
>
> Is this some fact you can back up with reliable sources you can
> reference us to, or just conjecture on your part?

Sure - like Baghdad Bob told us the truth, the Syrian bozo is ALSO telling the truth - even though intelligence operatives from several countries have noted members of the former Iraqi regime in Syria, Syria says "no - it isn't true!".

Right. And as Baghdad Bob said, ""I can assure you that those villains will recognize, will discover in appropriate time in the future how stupid they are and how they are pretending things which have never taken place."

Get a grip.

3092. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 04:24:45 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <h6Lna.526596$S_4.569033@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 32608
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I too, learned a valuable lesson. Your letter should be kept on hand as a
reminder to people like the former me, as I have turned a new leaf.

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:rPKna.5983$Up3.3681191@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Once again, apologies to all for this continued diatribe as I know that
> folks like "Pappy" are busting with good and informative radio related
> information, but I just felt the final chapter in this matter had to be
> told...
>
> As a result if the last admittadly long e-mail sent to Gilbert at my ISP,
he
> responded by sending me their Newsgroup Use Policy. I will not take up
> bandwith with it's entirety, mostly legal mumbo jumbo, but the two most
> cogent points relative to this matter are listed below:
>
> _____
> The sending of multiple similar articles to newsgroups constitutes a
> violation.
>
> The cross-posting of advertisements for products, items, or services which
> exceeds the commonly held thresholds and guidelines of the USENET
community,
> will not be tolerated. Article posts should be on-topic to the particular
> newsgroup charter. Articles should not disrupt any newsgroup with
frivolous,
> excessively vulgar or repetitious postings is not allowed.
>
> _____
>
> Upon serious reflection and soul searching, I must be a man here and plead
> guilty.
>
> During my last flurry of radio sales, I did in fact cross post my ads to
> numerous groups at once, and except for rec.radio.swap, technically, FS or
> FA posts ARE off-topic in the other radio groups.
>
> Now, I realize that there has been much debate about this, and opinions.
> The fact that it is done all the time is no excuse in the eys of the ISP.
> They put these use policies in place to protect themselves, then basically
> turn a blind eye, until they receive a complaint. At that point they are
> obligated to take action, which basically in the first offense is to put
the

> customer on notice. That is what happened to me.
>
> Does this then absolve the newsgroup Nazis of their stupidity? No. It
> would be better if we self-policed the groups rather then running to ISPs.
>
> I informed Gilbert at the ISP that had he simply given this explanation up
> front, in the original letter, rather then running off about "commercial
> postings", we could have saved each other much grief.
>
> This has certainly been a learning experience for me and hopefully all
users
> here. I think that in the future, FS or FA postings to rec.radio.swap
ONLY
> would be allowable by the ISP, and should satisfy the girly girls as well.
> Other posters will continue to do as they want, but unfortunately I am now
> on notice, so I will abide by the rules.
>
> Thanks for all the support offered here.
>
> Dan
>
>

3093. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 04:28:41 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <v9uvnk54vr2fbc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32609
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

And with the sound of U.S. Tanks rumbling in the background - "The infidels are committing suicide at the city gates, I tell you no infidels are in Baghdad"

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:AUKna.108358$0X.25270610@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "JJ" <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
> news:3E9F5376.6020704@mailcity.moc...
> >
> >
> > Gogarty wrote:
> > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been
> moved to
> > > Syria.
> >
> > Is this some fact you can back up with reliable sources you can
> > reference us to, or just conjecture on your part?
>
> Sure - like Baghdad Bob told us the truth, the Syrian bozo is ALSO telling
> the truth - even though intelligence operatives from several countries
have
> noted members of the former Iraqi regime in Syria, Syria says "no - it
isn't
> true!".
>
> Right. And as Baghdad Bob said, ""I can assure you that those villains
will
> recognize, will discover in appropriate time in the future how stupid they
> are and how they are pretending things which have never taken place."
>
> Get a grip.
>
>

3094. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 04:37:24 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <8iLna.108452$0X.25300169@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32610
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v9uvnk54vr2fbc@corp.supernews.com...
> And with the sound of U.S. Tanks rumbling in the background - "The
infidels
> are committing suicide at the city gates, I tell you no infidels are in
> Baghdad"

Correct. And no WMDs are in Syria. And no terrorists in the Bekaa Valley...

> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:AUKna.108358$0X.25270610@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > "JJ" <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
> > news:3E9F5376.6020704@mailcity.moc...
> > >
> > >
> > > Gogarty wrote:
> > > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been
> > moved to
> > > > > Syria.
> > >
> > > Is this some fact you can back up with reliable sources you can
> > > reference us to, or just conjecture on your part?
> >
> > Sure - like Baghdad Bob told us the truth, the Syrian bozo is ALSO
telling
> > the truth - even though intelligence operatives from several countries
> have
> > noted members of the former Iraqi regime in Syria, Syria says "no - it
> isn't
> > true!".
> >
> > Right. And as Baghdad Bob said, ""I can assure you that those villains
> will
> > recognize, will discover in appropriate time in the future how stupid
they
> > are and how they are pretending things which have never taken place."
> >
> > Get a grip.
> >
> >
>
>

3095. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 04:39:54 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304172039.27ab5306@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32611
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

```
The Lies from CNN Continue.

Dick Cheney is Dead !
- A Mistake ?
- - A Simple Lie ?
- - - Manipulation of the Stock Market ?
GoTo=> http://thesmokinggun.com/doc_o_day/doc_o_day.shtml

~ RHF
.
.
= = = rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) wrote in message
= = = news:<3ef6beca.0304170231.20fd039@posting.google.com>...
> WHY "CNN" WHEN WRONG ?
>
> "We are the ones that determine what the people's attitudes are.
> - It's in our hands"
> - - Ted Turner,
> - - - CNN Founder and President, speaking to a broadcasters group.
>
> "Christianity is a Religion for Losers."
> - Ted Turner,
> - - CNN Founder and President, to the Dallas Morning News, 1989.
>
> ~ RHF
> .
> .
> = = = rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) wrote in message
> = = = news:<3ef6beca.0304121448.49e7cbf@posting.google.com>...
> > USER1 - Time to Use It (Your Brain that Is...)
> >
> > who, Who. W H O !
> >
> > Allowed CNN to go down the path of . . .
> > Cover-Ups, Lies and Distortion of the News.
> > - The Founder
> > - - Man at the Top
> > - - - Ted Turner
> >
> > YES- Ted Tuner is now 'retire' and
> > - No longer is a 'Business Man'
> > - - But Ted Turner remains an "OWLES"
> > - - - One World Liberal Elite Socialist
> >
```

> > As CNN's CEO Ted Tunner Knew . . .
> > About the Cover-Up, Lies and Distortion of the News.
> > - What Did Ted Turner Know ?
> > - - When Did He Know It ?
> > - - - Follow the Money !
> >
> > We Need Congressional Hearings . . .
> > On the CNN Cover-Up Lies and Distortion of the News.
> > - Write the White House
> > - - Write Congress
> > - - - Write Jane Fonda :o)
> >
> >
> > ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> > = = = USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
> > = = = news:<MPG.19019f25466e533f98990f@news.newsguy.com>...
> > > In article <3ef6beca.0304110847.6cd384b7@posting.google.com>, rhf-
> > > mail@usa.com says...
> > > > PA,
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > CNN is a disgrace -
> > > >
> > > > Is it really necessary to cover up gross violations of these regimes?
> > > >
> > > > I don't think so.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > Here is the Other Side of the Coin - Now that 'Saddam Insane' is Gone.
> > > > > CNN is admitting to withholding the TRUTH for Years about the former
> > > > > Iraqi Regime of Saddam Hussein and the BAATH (NAZI) Party.
> > > > >
> > > > > To Read about 'What CNN Did Not Report'
> > > > > - The New York Times 11-APR-03
> > > > > - - "The News We Kept to Ourselves" written by Eason Jordan
> > > > > - - - NOTE: Eason Jordan is the 'Chief' News Executive at CNN.
> > > > >
> > > > > GoTo=> http://www.nytimes.com/2003/04/11/opinion/11JORD.html?th
> > > > >
> > > > > Evidently it was more important to CNN
> > > > > - To "PRESENT the News" (Saddam's Propaganda)
> > > > > - - Then To - 'Tell The Truth'
> > > > > - - - Thank You CNN - For Twelve Years of LIES and Cover-Ups.
> > > > >
> > > > > So Now We See the 'Liberal' CNN and NYT Admit the "Truth".
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > You Shall Know 'The TRUTH'... And It Shall Set You FREE ~ RHF

> > > > .
> > > > OBTW: Heard this on the Radio Today.
> > > > Looked it up on the NYTs to Verify the Report was True.
> > > > .

3096. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 04:42:16 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304172042.54bb2a95@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32612
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

The Lies from CNN Continue.

Dick Cheney is Dead !
- A Mistake ?
- - A Simple Lie ?
- - - Manipulation of the Stock Market ?
GoTo=> http://thesmokinggun.com/doc_o_day/doc_o_day.shtml

~ RHF
.
.
= = = rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) wrote in message
= = = news:<3ef6beca.0304170231.20fd039@posting.google.com>...
> WHY "CNN" WHEN WRONG ?
>
> "We are the ones that determine what the people's attitudes are.
> - It's in our hands"
> - - Ted Turner,
> - - - CNN Founder and President, speaking to a broadcasters group.
>
> "Christianity is a Religion for Losers."
> - Ted Turner,
> - - CNN Founder and President, to the Dallas Morning News, 1989.
>
> ~ RHF
> .
> .
> = = = rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) wrote in message
> = = = news:<3ef6beca.0304121448.49e7cbf@posting.google.com>...
> > USER1 - Time to Use It (Your Brain that Is...)
> >
> > who, Who. W H O !
> >
> > Allowed CNN to go down the path of . . .
> > Cover-Ups, Lies and Distortion of the News.
> > - The Founder
> > - - Man at the Top
> > - - - Ted Turner
> >
> > YES- Ted Tuner is now 'retire' and
> > - No longer is a 'Business Man'
> > - - But Ted Turner remains an "OWLES"
> > - - - One World Liberal Elite Socialist
> >

> > As CNN's CEO Ted Tunner Knew . . .
> > About the Cover-Up, Lies and Distortion of the News.
> > - What Did Ted Turner Know ?
> > - - When Did He Know It ?
> > - - - Follow the Money !
> >
> > We Need Congressional Hearings . . .
> > On the CNN Cover-Up Lies and Distortion of the News.
> > - Write the White House
> > - - Write Congress
> > - - - Write Jane Fonda :o)
> >
> >
> > ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> > = = = USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
> > = = = news:<MPG.19019f25466e533f98990f@news.newsguy.com>...
> > > In article <3ef6beca.0304110847.6cd384b7@posting.google.com>, rhf-
> > > mail@usa.com says...
> > > > PA,
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > CNN is a disgrace -
> > > >
> > > > Is it really necessary to cover up gross violations of these regimes?
> > > >
> > > > I don't think so.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > Here is the Other Side of the Coin - Now that 'Saddam Insane' is Gone.
> > > > > CNN is admitting to withholding the TRUTH for Years about the former
> > > > > Iraqi Regime of Saddam Hussein and the BAATH (NAZI) Party.
> > > > >
> > > > > To Read about 'What CNN Did Not Report'
> > > > > - The New York Times 11-APR-03
> > > > > - - "The News We Kept to Ourselves" written by Eason Jordan
> > > > > - - - NOTE: Eason Jordan is the 'Chief' News Executive at CNN.
> > > > >
> > > > > GoTo=> http://www.nytimes.com/2003/04/11/opinion/11JORD.html?th
> > > > >
> > > > > Evidently it was more important to CNN
> > > > > - To "PRESENT the News" (Saddam's Propaganda)
> > > > > - - Then To - 'Tell The Truth'
> > > > > - - - Thank You CNN - For Twelve Years of LIES and Cover-Ups.
> > > > >
> > > > > So Now We See the 'Liberal' CNN and NYT Admit the "Truth".
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > You Shall Know 'The TRUTH'... And It Shall Set You FREE ~ RHF

> > > > .
> > > > OBTW: Heard this on the Radio Today.
> > > > Looked it up on the NYTs to Verify the Report was True.
> > > > .

3097. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 05:10:06 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <mNLna.209$V2.9183@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32613
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"JJ" <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3E9F54D9.2050500@mailcity.moc...
>
>
> Dr. John wrote:
>
> > How do you hide 100 trillion tons of stuff that has very little to
> > no weight,
>
> Quick, which weighs the most, a pound of lead or a pound of feathers.
> If it weighs 100 trillion tons it weighs 100 trillion tons no
> matter what it is. Are you for real? Sure you last name isn't
> Simmions?
>
>

Simmions? No. Simmons? No. But my long lost relatives are the simians (monkeys), and technically so are yours.

The distinction is that a pound of lead has higher weight per molecule (by stored volume) than the molecules in feathers do, and would acquire such a pound in a smaller stored volume than a pound of feathers would.

The hypothesis (flaws or not) is that 100 trillion tons of, lets say, anthrax, would need to be in a much larger stored volume to acquire such a weight.

You get me?

John

3098. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 05:10:37 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <QNLna.210$V2.9412@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32614
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Nope, I'm definately not in the habit of having multiple personalities.

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:rIKna.108333$0X.25255456@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "JJ" <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
> news:3E9F54D9.2050500@mailcity.moc...
> >
> >
> > Dr. John wrote:
> >
> > > How do you hide 100 trillion tons of stuff that has very little to
> > > no weight,
> >
> > Quick, which weighs the most, a pound of lead or a pound of feathers.
> > If it weighs 100 trillion tons it weighs 100 trillion tons no
> > matter what it is. Are you for real? Sure you last name isn't
> > Simmions?
>
> Dr. John...John Smmons...hmm...?
>
>

3099. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 05:12:43 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <RPLna.212$V2.9404@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32615
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

```
"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:ENKna.108335$0X.25261981@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:_NJna.201$V2.8858@eagle.america.net...
> > "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> > news:uVIna.108300$0X.25101594@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > > "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote
> > >
> > > > The more you say something that only
> > > > pro-war people say makes you look like
> > > > an idiot.
> > > >
> > > > John
> > >
> > > Funny...that's what most are saying about the liberal protesters...
> > >
> > >
> >
> > And who talks about liberal protesters in such a derogatory manner?
> Pro-war
> > people.
>
> No, Americans - and the supporters of the coalition, which consist of a
much
> higher number of countries than the handful of insignificant countries
that
> oppose the actions in Iraq, and each one of THOSE has it's own reasons for
> opposing it - monetary reasons, that is...
>
> That's one of the things that drives france so nuts - more European
> countries SUPPORT actions in Iraq than OPPOSE it - france doesn't want to
> recognize those countries as even being a PART of Europe, as the more
> countries enter the EU, the less significant france gets (like they were
> ever significant in the FIRST place).
>
> France is full of itself, and only wants what it can get on it's OWN
terms -
> they use their veto power to thwart an action that should have taken place
> LONG ago, yet thumb THEIR noses at the UN when it comes to THEIR actions
in
> places like Africa.
>
>
```

>

You people are the first and only "Americans" that I've seen or heard using
such a derrogatory manner toward people that oppose death and destruction,
Faux News excluded.
Don't get me started about money (or lack therof) or France.

John

3100. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 05:21:35 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <8YLna.215$V2.9412@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32616
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Looks like it's a war of the Doctors!!! Muwahahahahaha. Well this war of words is taking up all my spare time and I'd rather be doing something else. So please consider your navel while I don't reply to your anti-"anti-everything" posts.

BTW, I'm also anti-illegal drugs (and favor keeping them that way) and cigarettes (total ban). How does that fit? I'm not a liberal, I'm not a conservative, so what am I????

John

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message news:v9urj0q0pj7h1e@corp.supernews.com...
> In order to lead you from the darkness of ignorance, I will explain,
> Kum-by-ya was not a protest chant.
>
> It was a song sung by all the pot smoking, LSD using hippies. It epitomizes
> the position of people who think all the worlds problems will be solved if
> we just sing and are touch feely enough.
>
>
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:zTJna.204$V2.8516@eagle.america.net...
> > For one, it was a command, not a wish.
> >
> > For two, saying "Kum-ba-ya" isn't disagreeing. That's a way of taunting
> and
> > discrediting anyone who even remembers, 4 decades ago, when "kum-ba-ya"
> was
> > even used regularly as a chant in protests.
> >
> > That is what is outrageous.
> >
> > John
> >
> > "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:mJHna.28046$ey1.2503231@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > You'd wish death on someone, simply because they
> > > disagree with you???
> > >
> > > Outrageous.
> > >
> > > Kumbaya, my Lord....Kumbayaaaaaaaa.....

> > >
> > > Steve Lawrence
> > > Burnsville, MN
> > >
> > > "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:0GCna.142$V2.7081@eagle.america.net...
> > > > FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an
ASSHOLE!
> > > >
> > > > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > Kum-by-ya...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> > > > > news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> > > > > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have
> been
> > > > moved
> > > > > to
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3101. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 05:34:58 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <H8Mna.217$V2.9593@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32617
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:uHKna.108332$0X.25254031@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> <sigh>
>
> The WMD issue was never the sole basis for the actions in Iraq, and wasn't
> stated to be so. The United States policy toward Iraq was clearly stated
by
> Edward P. Djerejian, the Director of the Baker Institute For Public
Policy,
> at Rice University. It was presented at the IISS/CEPS European Security
> Forum Meeting in Brussels on September 9, 2002.

I will quote from a speech he made to the United Nations General Assembly on
12 September 2002. This is the first paragraph of what he (the United States
and the United Nations) "now expects of the Iraqi regime."
"If the Iraqi regime wishes peace, it will immediately and unconditionally
forswear, disclose, and remove or destroy all weapons of mass destruction,
long-range missiles, and all related material. If the Iraqi regime wishes
peace, it will immediately end all support for terrorism and act to suppress
it, as all states are required to do by U.N. Security Council resolutions."

I revoke my previous statment about it being the ONLY reason for invaded.
However, in my educated opinion, putting THAT paragraph sure elevates the
cause of WMD above anything and everything else, including the "Liberation
of Iraq" catchphrase the Pentagon chunked out when it became evident that
their top-priority of disarming Iraq of it's WMD would not be enough to sway
the American public to their, to this day, unfruitful cause.

<snip>

> Hussein thumbed his nose at the UN, and only a fool would believe he
> complied with all UN resolutions. You people want to whine, and have no
idea
> what you're talking about.

Whoever said he complied with all UN resos?

>
> Wait longer? Give it more time? How long has the world tried to deal
> diplomatically with North Korea? What's that you say? Since the 1950's?
Oh,
> allot of good THAT did - now they threaten that entire REGION, and even
have
> the CHICOMS nervous.

Apparently long enough. The brute show of force in Iraq was enough to convince them to deal diplomatically with us.

>
> Before you fall into the trap of repeating liberal babble, do some homework.
>

I didn't repeat liberal babble, it was just me repeating myself, actually. And apparently I needed to rethink my repeated original point of view.

John

3102. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 05:37:33 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <5bMna.218$V2.9479@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32618
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:AUKna.108358$0X.25270610@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "JJ" <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
> news:3E9F5376.6020704@mailcity.moc...
> >
> >
> > Gogarty wrote:
> > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been
> moved to
> > > Syria.
> >
> > Is this some fact you can back up with reliable sources you can
> > reference us to, or just conjecture on your part?
>
> Sure - like Baghdad Bob told us the truth, the Syrian bozo is ALSO telling
> the truth - even though intelligence operatives from several countries
have
> noted members of the former Iraqi regime in Syria, Syria says "no - it
isn't
> true!".
>
> Right. And as Baghdad Bob said, ""I can assure you that those villains
will
> recognize, will discover in appropriate time in the future how stupid they
> are and how they are pretending things which have never taken place."
>
> Get a grip.
>
>

Hey, everybody's got their own "Baghdad Bob". Just as we based the top priority in the war on Iraq on WMD that were identified to be at certain places and turned out to not be at those places, or even moved from those places.
Faulty intel does not make for a good war.

John

3103. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 05:40:24 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <PdMna.219$V2.9531@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32619
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Lies from CNN my arse. They were prepping obit pages in case of these people actually dying.

And yes, the stock market would probably crash if Dick Cheney, big-business's man in the White House, was gone.

John

"RHF" <rhf-mail@usa.com> wrote in message news:3ef6beca.0304172042.54bb2a95@posting.google.com...
> The Lies from CNN Continue.
>
> Dick Cheney is Dead !
> - A Mistake ?
> - - A Simple Lie ?
> - - - Manipulation of the Stock Market ?
> GoTo=> http://thesmokinggun.com/doc_o_day/doc_o_day.shtml
>
> ~ RHF
> .
> .
> = = = rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) wrote in message
> = = = news:<3ef6beca.0304170231.20fd039@posting.google.com>...
> > WHY "CNN" WHEN WRONG ?
> >
> > "We are the ones that determine what the people's attitudes are.
> > - It's in our hands"
> > - - Ted Turner,
> > - - - CNN Founder and President, speaking to a broadcasters group.
> >
> > "Christianity is a Religion for Losers."
> > - Ted Turner,
> > - - CNN Founder and President, to the Dallas Morning News, 1989.
> >
> > ~ RHF
> > .
> > .
> > = = = rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) wrote in message
> > = = = news:<3ef6beca.0304121448.49e7cbf@posting.google.com>...
> > > USER1 - Time to Use It (Your Brain that Is...)
> > >
> > > who, Who. W H O !
> > >
> > > Allowed CNN to go down the path of . . .

> > > Cover-Ups, Lies and Distortion of the News.
> > > - The Founder
> > > - - Man at the Top
> > > - - - Ted Turner
> > >
> > > YES- Ted Tuner is now 'retire' and
> > > - No longer is a 'Business Man'
> > > - - But Ted Turner remains an "OWLES"
> > > - - - One World Liberal Elite Socialist
> > >
> > > As CNN's CEO Ted Tunner Knew . . .
> > > About the Cover-Up, Lies and Distortion of the News.
> > > - What Did Ted Turner Know ?
> > > - - When Did He Know It ?
> > > - - - Follow the Money !
> > >
> > > We Need Congressional Hearings . . .
> > > On the CNN Cover-Up Lies and Distortion of the News.
> > > - Write the White House
> > > - - Write Congress
> > > - - - Write Jane Fonda :o)
> > >
> > >
> > > ~ RHF
> > > .
> > > .
> > > = = = USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
> > > = = = news:<MPG.19019f25466e533f98990f@news.newsguy.com>...
> > > > In article <3ef6beca.0304110847.6cd384b7@posting.google.com>, rhf-
> > > > mail@usa.com says...
> > > > > PA,
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > CNN is a disgrace -
> > > > >
> > > > > Is it really necessary to cover up gross violations of these
regimes?
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't think so.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > Here is the Other Side of the Coin - Now that 'Saddam Insane' is
Gone.
> > > > > > CNN is admitting to withholding the TRUTH for Years about the
former
> > > > > > Iraqi Regime of Saddam Hussein and the BAATH (NAZI) Party.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > To Read about 'What CNN Did Not Report'
> > > > > > - The New York Times 11-APR-03
> > > > > > - - "The News We Kept to Ourselves" written by Eason Jordan

> > > > > - - - NOTE: Eason Jordan is the 'Chief' News Executive at CNN.
> > > > >
> > > > > GoTo=> http://www.nytimes.com/2003/04/11/opinion/11JORD.html?th
> > > > >
> > > > > Evidently it was more important to CNN
> > > > > - To "PRESENT the News" (Saddam's Propaganda)
> > > > > - - Then To - 'Tell The Truth'
> > > > > - - - Thank You CNN - For Twelve Years of LIES and Cover-Ups.
> > > > >
> > > > > So Now We See the 'Liberal' CNN and NYT Admit the "Truth".
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > You Shall Know 'The TRUTH'... And It Shall Set You FREE ~ RHF
> > > > > .
> > > > > OBTW: Heard this on the Radio Today.
> > > > > Looked it up on the NYTs to Verify the Report was True.
> > > > > .

3104. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 05:56:36 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <osMna.101988$JI.26171639@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32620
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

How about a class action suit against Roadrunner, forcing them to reveal the identity of the RAT?

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message news:rPKna.5983$Up3.3681191@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Once again, apologies to all for this continued diatribe as I know that
> folks like "Pappy" are busting with good and informative radio related
> information, but I just felt the final chapter in this matter had to be
> told...
>
> As a result if the last admittadly long e-mail sent to Gilbert at my ISP, he
> responded by sending me their Newsgroup Use Policy. I will not take up
> bandwith with it's entirety, mostly legal mumbo jumbo, but the two most
> cogent points relative to this matter are listed below:
>
> ____
> The sending of multiple similar articles to newsgroups constitutes a
> violation.
>
> The cross-posting of advertisements for products, items, or services which
> exceeds the commonly held thresholds and guidelines of the USENET community,
> will not be tolerated. Article posts should be on-topic to the particular
> newsgroup charter. Articles should not disrupt any newsgroup with frivolous,
> excessively vulgar or repetitious postings is not allowed.
>
> ____
>
> Upon serious reflection and soul searching, I must be a man here and plead
> guilty.
>
> During my last flurry of radio sales, I did in fact cross post my ads to
> numerous groups at once, and except for rec.radio.swap, technically, FS or
> FA posts ARE off-topic in the other radio groups.
>
> Now, I realize that there has been much debate about this, and opinions.
> The fact that it is done all the time is no excuse in the eys of the ISP.
> They put these use policies in place to protect themselves, then basically
> turn a blind eye, until they receive a complaint. At that point they are
> obligated to take action, which basically in the first offense is to put the

> customer on notice. That is what happened to me.
>
> Does this then absolve the newsgroup Nazis of their stupidity? No. It
> would be better if we self-policed the groups rather then running to ISPs.
>
> I informed Gilbert at the ISP that had he simply given this explanation up
> front, in the original letter, rather then running off about "commercial
> postings", we could have saved each other much grief.
>
> This has certainly been a learning experience for me and hopefully all
users
> here. I think that in the future, FS or FA postings to rec.radio.swap
ONLY
> would be allowable by the ISP, and should satisfy the girly girls as well.
> Other posters will continue to do as they want, but unfortunately I am now
> on notice, so I will abide by the rules.
>
> Thanks for all the support offered here.
>
> Dan
>
>

3105. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 06:09:26 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b7o2tn$r3v$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 32621
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Dan....

Illigitimus non tatum carborundum...

:)

Brenda Ann

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:pqFna.11575$mC1.3408417@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering
everyone
> with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of importance to
> all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.
>
> As a result of the position taken by my ISP, I will no longer post any FOR
> SALE, or FOR AUCTION threads on the newsgroups. According the logic of
Time
> Warner Cable, if I post on eBay or Strong Signals, I am commercial and in
> violation there as well.
>
> BUT, until I hear different, that is where I will post future equipment.
>
> Since I also admittedly have a big mouth, and often take the irritating
side
> of an issue, I will simply lurk here and not make future postings of any
> type for fear of being turned in to my ISP. Love me or hate me, I always
> thought we had fun, and a good debate. To the little children who turned
me
> in, I surrender, you win. I am silenced.
>
> The following is my response to the latest e-mail from the fellow at Time
> Warner. It is exactly how it was sent. The only other posting you will
see
> from me in the future is IF and WHEN I get a final reply from them, I will
> pass it along. DAN
>
> >Dear Mr.Conti
> >It is not my job to judge you or your actions.
>
> Yet you or someone in your unit sends me a threatening letter. Please put
> me in touch with someone who CAN make a final judgement in this matter.
>
> >Our intent was to let you know that there has been a complaint made

against
> your >actions on this newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the party
> who filed the >complaint.
>
> It is unfortunate that you take the word of someone who is most likely NOT
a
> Time Warner customer, over that of a good customer for many years. Is
that
> good customer Relations?
>
> Because a complaint was made, it doesn't mean it is valid. I should have
> the right to dispute the complaint reasonably with someone of authority at
> your company.
> Additionally, I have the right to question your interpretation of your
user
> greement as it relates to this matter, and if necessary, my attorney will
> certainly have the right to review it as well. All I get is short
answers
> apparently in 100% agreement with the complainant.
>
>
> >We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
> newsgroups >because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner
account
> if we receive any >more complaints. Thank you.
>
> In effect you are curtailing my usage of your service without allowing me
> the opportunity to contest your position. I need to know specifically how
> to be careful, and why I must be carefulm and where.....
>
> My initial instinct, and those others, would be to take your equipment and
> throw it on the front lawn where you could pick it up, and terminate your
> service.
>
> Quite frankly, your service has been good, so I do not want to do that.
If
> there were another service available as good or better, I would.
>
> According to your position, if I post a For Sale or For Auction item to a
> newsgroup which is a private sale, and not a regular occurrence, and not
for
> profit, it is considered commerical by Time Warner, and jeapordizes my
> account.
>
> If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?
>
> If I post something for sale, or for auction on a web site, am I in
> violation?
>
> You mean to tell me that your Time Wanrer customers posting on eBay are

in
> jeapordy of being cancelled?
>
> This entire matter is ridiculous, and you know it. You are obviously
> intelligent, and internet saavy. You KNOW I did nothing wrong. I did not
> violate newsgroups rules or charters, nor am I a commercial user of your
> service.
>
> I think you guys should back down on this stuff, tell the whiners that
> there is NO violation, and let your customers enjoy the service they pay
> PREMIUM prices for every month. You are opening up a can of worms here
that
> should stay closed.
>
> All you need is a big mouth like me, and my big moth attorney to push this
> issue, get publicity, and TIme Warner will look ridiculous as a result.
>
> I am sure you are aware that I already have a good portion of the radio
> hobby newsgroup members up in arms.....
>
> I do not consider this matter resolved. I want the complaint removed from
> my record, and someone of authority at Time Warner to review the matter
> impartially and make a final determination one way or the other, relative
to
> the cogent hypothetical points I made above.
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
> Daniel M. Conti
>
>
> Sincerely,
> Gilbert Roman
> Time Warner Cable
> Internet Security
>
>

3106. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 07:38:18 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <KXNna.108910$0X.25427051@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32622
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote

> You people are the first and only "Americans" that I've seen or heard
using
> such a derrogatory manner toward people that oppose death and destruction,
> Faux News excluded.
> Don't get me started about money (or lack therof) or France.
>
> John

Oh, come on - against death and destruction? What the HELL are the French doing in Africa - especially the Ivory Coast? Don't be so sanctimonious. We've been cleaning up their messes for generations, and now they want their "own" organization, "LIKE" the Un, to serve the ideals of the Francophone.

France against death and destruction? is that why they shipped so many Jews off to death camps to appease their Fuhrer? The french have ALWAYS used military issues to get their own way - hell, why do you think we ended up in french Indochina? It was the only way to get their support for NATO. Think that's bull? Look it up. If france is so peaceful, why did they sell Mirage fighters to Iraq? They KNEW they wouldn't be used for DEfensive purposes. What about the puppet government that france set up in Mexico in 1864? What of the Empires of King Louis XIV and Napoleon Bonaparte? What of france's unconditional support for Rwanda until the early days of that nation's 1994 genocide? France's role in Rwanda's 1994 genocide stands as the Fifth Republic's bloodiest foreign campaign, which is all the more ironic being that it failed. The policy was a bipartisan effort led by a Socialist, President Francois Mitterrand. French troops then returned to Rwanda under hastily granted U.N. auspices to establish a safe haven that, while no doubt protecting many innocent Hutu refugees, also protected countless former regime members turned genocidaires. Although human-rights groups already knew the names of many of the lead suspects, French troops did not apprehend even one. Today France, as part of its regional efforts to build anti-American alliances, is pursuing a similar strategy in the Balkans, where French troops have consistently failed to arrest Serbian war-crimes suspects who seem to move about freely within France's U.N.-authorized zones of control.
What of the bloody battles and deaths inflicted by the french in their attempt to hold onto Algeria? After eight years of savage struggle against French colonial rule, Algeria won independence - at the cost of nearly one million lives. Today, Algeria's split personalities are at war, as those who want to return and find rebirth - or just solid ground - in the nation's traditional roots battle to the death against the Europeanized elite. France plays a central role in this struggle by providing money, arms, intelligence

and diplomatic support to the military junta, led by President Liamine Zeroual. Paris is determined to maintain its hold over Algeria's oil and gas, and to block any popular Islamic government that might inflame the millions of downtrodden Muslims in France's industrial slums. Maintaining control of Algeria through proxy rulers is an essential part of France's strategy to retain its discreet, neo-colonial empire in West Africa and the Sahara. Free elections and human rights may be fine for some nations, but not Arabic-speaking ones. France keeps authoritarian regimes in power in Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia and Chad. Democracy, according to the french, is for those without natural resources. France bears a heavy responsibility for the continuation of the barbarity and slaughter in Algeria.
France's intervention in New Caledonia - initially a counter-insurgency operation against pro-independence guerrillas - later became especially controversial owing to the use of the islands as a base for French nuclear tests in 1995. These were resumed by the newly elected Gaullist president, Jacques Chirac, in the face of almost unanimous international opposition and by overturning a moratorium imposed by Mitterrand.

From the African Security review:

"Post-Cold War rivalry between France and the Anglophone world in Africa takes many forms. At the one end of the spectrum are the ongoing attempts to insert a Francophone facilitator (in this case President Omar Bongo from Gabon) either to replace or at least 'co-facilitate' in the peace processes in Burundi and/or the Democratic Republic of Congo. In both instances, the intention is to usurp or arrange for a Francophone successor to former president of Botswana, Sir Ketumile Masire (in the case of the DRC), or former President Nelson Mandela of South Africa (in the Arusha peace process on Burundi). On the basis that a large number of people in both countries are English-speaking, Botswana and South Africa are defined, ipso facto, as instruments of American foreign policy in French eyes. This simplistic categorization can have unintended effects in some instances. A recent example is the active support to the Omega Plan of President Abdoulaye Wade as a 'Francophone' alternative to the 'Anglophone' Millennium African Recovery Program. The two plans were merged only days before the July Summit meeting of the Organization of African States (OAU) in Lusaka to constitute what is now called the African Initiative. In the process, French action frustrated South African foreign policy ambitions for no apparent strategic purpose apart from opposition to what is perceived to be Anglophone. Thus, Paris set itself up as an obstruction rather than a potential South African ally in the region."

Talk about arrogance and paranoia? WHO is the "imperialist"? Because Iraq is on the front pages and all the news, the aggressions and ambitions of France seem to go unnoticed, or deliberately ignored.

See also:
http://www.gopusa.com/caroldevinemolin/cdm_0210.shtml
http://www.studentsforwar.org/mainpage.asp
http://www.washingtonpost.com/ac2/wp-dyn?pagename=article&node=&contentId=A14219-2003Feb27&notFound=true

"A Frenchman's home is where another man's wife is. There is nothing lower than the human race except the French."

Mark Twain
1878-1879 Journal entry

3107. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 07:41:35 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <P_Nna.108920$0X.25428509@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32623
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote

> Hey, everybody's got their own "Baghdad Bob". Just as we based the top
> priority in the war on Iraq on WMD that were identified to be at certain
> places and turned out to not be at those places, or even moved from those
> places.
> Faulty intel does not make for a good war.
>
> John

Where do you come up with this stuff? Haven't you EVER read the US policy
toward Iraq? Read some of the other posts - I've already posted it here.

3108. Re: Listening is fun but I need to be able to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 07:45:42 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <3EA047B1.209AA7E2@mchsi.com>
Source record: 32624

>
>
> Next you need to get known, so first get a catchy unit number i.e.
> "Batman77" or what ever suits your personality. Be sure to report every
> > Davey
>

I think Batman77 is just the ticket. Good choice , very catchy and attention
getting. That is all ya need really..... oh yeah and just a transmitter.
P.S. when you stop transmitting say " StarTrek OUT!" really loud.

3109. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 07:56:35 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <TcOna.108961$0X.25435075@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32625
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote

> "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:uHKna.108332$0X.25254031@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > <sigh>
> >
> > The WMD issue was never the sole basis for the actions in Iraq, and
wasn't
> > stated to be so. The United States policy toward Iraq was clearly stated
> by
> > Edward P. Djerejian, the Director of the Baker Institute For Public
> Policy,
> > at Rice University. It was presented at the IISS/CEPS European Security
> > Forum Meeting in Brussels on September 9, 2002.

> I will quote from a speech he made to the United Nations General Assembly
on
> 12 September 2002. This is the first paragraph of what he (the United
States
> and the United Nations) "now expects of the Iraqi regime."
> "If the Iraqi regime wishes peace, it will immediately and unconditionally
> forswear, disclose, and remove or destroy all weapons of mass destruction,
> long-range missiles, and all related material. If the Iraqi regime wishes
> peace, it will immediately end all support for terrorism and act to
suppress
> it, as all states are required to do by U.N. Security Council
resolutions."

Well, as stated before, the inspections/searches for WMDs are only just beginning - the coalition never sent armies of "inspectors" in. We're just now back-tracking and cleaning up cities that were by-passed to get to major objectives (Baghdad, et. al.) I have a hard time understanding why some people persist in the belief that all things would, could or SHOULD be done all at once, with immediate results. Let's wait to see what transpires in the weeks ahead before crying out for "proof" of the WMDs. General Franks has many weapons at his disposal, but none of them is a magic wand, and he still hasn't found the blonde in the bottle that can immediately grant his every wish. One of the things that bothers me is the hypocrisy that now exists regarding the old sanctions - the same people that were convinced that there were no WMDs are now saying that the sanctions should not be lifted because there has still been no full disclosure regarding Iraq's WMDs...

HUH???

> I revoke my previous statment about it being the ONLY reason for invaded.
> However, in my educated opinion, putting THAT paragraph sure elevates the
> cause of WMD above anything and everything else, including the "Liberation
> of Iraq" catchphrase the Pentagon chunked out when it became evident that
> their top-priority of disarming Iraq of it's WMD would not be enough to
sway
> the American public to their, to this day, unfruitful cause.
>
> <snip>
>
> > Hussein thumbed his nose at the UN, and only a fool would believe he
> > complied with all UN resolutions. You people want to whine, and have no
> idea
> > what you're talking about.
>
> Whoever said he complied with all UN resos?

The implication is made by those that say the war in Iraq is "illegal". A
comprehensive study of the resolutions many just seem to want to TALK about
would tell you otherwise.

> > Wait longer? Give it more time? How long has the world tried to deal
> > diplomatically with North Korea? What's that you say? Since the 1950's?
> Oh,
> > allot of good THAT did - now they threaten that entire REGION, and even
> have
> > the CHICOMS nervous.
>
> Apparently long enough. The brute show of force in Iraq was enough to
> convince them to deal diplomatically with us.

Funny how that works, isn't it? bin-Laden had stated that the United States
had the greatest military in the world, but not the stomach to use it - thus
9/11. It's about time to stop dilly-dallying with these people. In that
region, in particular, force is the ONLY thing they understand. Force shoul
always be used as a last resort, but you have to know when to say when...

> > Before you fall into the trap of repeating liberal babble, do some
> homework.
> >
>
> I didn't repeat liberal babble, it was just me repeating myself, actually.
> And apparently I needed to rethink my repeated original point of view.
>
> John

Well..okay, then...

:-)

3110. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 08:08:52 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <ooOna.108998$0X.25440330@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32626
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:b7o2tn$r3v$1@news1.kornet.net...
> Dan....
>
> Illigitimus non tatum carborundum...
>
> :)
>
> Brenda Ann

Aw, come on - I'm sure SOMEONE gave birth to them...

3111. Re: Listening is fun but I need to be able to transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 08:41:51 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb9veih.2e6.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 32627

In article <dDfna.1802$X44.1584@fed1read07>, Davey Jones wrote:
> Hey I just bought me a ham radio HT from a buddy of mine. It's cool - I can
> use it like a scanner and listen to the cops but it won't transmit on their
> channels. I want that ability in case I spot a drunk or a burglar while I
> deliver my morning newspapers. Can I modify my HT to do this?

What you are looking for is called a cell phone.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

3112. Re: Original Unblocked Scanner Price..?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 08:50:27 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb9vf2k.2e6.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 32628

In article <b7mml7$4hi$3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Skipp likes good cabs wrote:
>
> OK, quick question for the masses.
>
> Would an original Radio Shack branded Scanner/Receiver made by or
> similar to a Uniden unit (similar if not exact model still made and sold)
> before the cell band be worth the $269 original price..?

All scanners (or almost all) sold outside the USA are sold to
people who are assumed to be law obidient citizens. And since the
law says we may not listen to phone calls, there is no reason to
block the scanners.

Buy your scanner in Canada or Europe if you want to bypass the
rules made by the nation that in the last 10 years has limited
freedom more than any other nation.
--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

3113. Re: Scanners and FM, AM Radio Stations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 09:03:28 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnb9vfr1.2e6.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 32629

In article <b7mgq7tkn2@pcls4.std.com>, Richard G Amirault wrote:
> Radios that do this are more often called COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVERS than
> "scanners". For instance I have the Yaseu VR-500 hand held receiver
> that has 1000 channels and receives AM, FM, CW, and SSB. It receives AM
> boradcast and well as shortwave, FM broadcast, VHF and UHF TV audio
> (basically everything except cell phones) and fits in the palm of my hand.

My Trident TRX-200 covers 100KHz all the way up to 2195 MHz,
no holes, AM, FM, WideFM, CW, SSB (USB+LSB). Slightly larger
than the VR500 I think. Slightly worse built quality, more
features.
--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

3114. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 09:48:59 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b7ofpc$c9n$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 32630
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:mNLna.209$V2.9183@eagle.america.net...
> Simmions? No. Simmons? No. But my long lost relatives are the simians
> (monkeys), and technically so are yours.
>
>

Actually, if you buy into the whole evolution gig, we are descended not from simians (monkeys) but from the Great Apes.. big difference. Our closest relatives are the chimpanzees, among the most intelligent of the Great Apes.

3115. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 10:00:06 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b7oge7ckm1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 32631
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I find this part of the quote fascinating...

The cross-posting of advertisements for products, items, or services which
exceeds the commonly held thresholds and guidelines of the USENET community,
will not be tolerated.

Especially the part of "commonly held thresholds and guidelines" of the
USENET community. As FS and FA postings ARE a common occurence within hobby
related newsgroups... it would seem to me that it DOES INDEED constitute
precedent within the community for such posts. They made a mistake by
putting that proviso into the charter. They let the community at large
decide the standards. It's called democracy. :)

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:rPKna.5983$Up3.3681191@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Once again, apologies to all for this continued diatribe as I know that
> folks like "Pappy" are busting with good and informative radio related
> information, but I just felt the final chapter in this matter had to be
> told...
>
> As a result if the last admittadly long e-mail sent to Gilbert at my ISP,
he
> responded by sending me their Newsgroup Use Policy. I will not take up
> bandwith with it's entirety, mostly legal mumbo jumbo, but the two most
> cogent points relative to this matter are listed below:
>
> ____
> The sending of multiple similar articles to newsgroups constitutes a
> violation.
>
> The cross-posting of advertisements for products, items, or services which
> exceeds the commonly held thresholds and guidelines of the USENET
community,
> will not be tolerated. Article posts should be on-topic to the particular
> newsgroup charter. Articles should not disrupt any newsgroup with
frivolous,
> excessively vulgar or repetitious postings is not allowed.
>
> ____
>
> Upon serious reflection and soul searching, I must be a man here and plead
> guilty.
>
> During my last flurry of radio sales, I did in fact cross post my ads to

> numerous groups at once, and except for rec.radio.swap, technically, FS or
> FA posts ARE off-topic in the other radio groups.
>
> Now, I realize that there has been much debate about this, and opinions.
> The fact that it is done all the time is no excuse in the eys of the ISP.
> They put these use policies in place to protect themselves, then basically
> turn a blind eye, until they receive a complaint. At that point they are
> obligated to take action, which basically in the first offense is to put
the
> customer on notice. That is what happened to me.
>
> Does this then absolve the newsgroup Nazis of their stupidity? No. It
> would be better if we self-policed the groups rather then running to ISPs.
>
> I informed Gilbert at the ISP that had he simply given this explanation up
> front, in the original letter, rather then running off about "commercial
> postings", we could have saved each other much grief.
>
> This has certainly been a learning experience for me and hopefully all
users
> here. I think that in the future, FS or FA postings to rec.radio.swap
ONLY
> would be allowable by the ISP, and should satisfy the girly girls as well.
> Other posters will continue to do as they want, but unfortunately I am now
> on notice, so I will abide by the rules.
>
> Thanks for all the support offered here.
>
> Dan
>
>

3116. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 10:00:59 UTC
From: Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette>
Message-ID: <85jv9vkvbv3ubve4838el70kbu2f3sok6o@4ax.com>
Source record: 32632
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I'm really sorry. I should have tested it first

ftp://ftp.isc.org/pub/usenet/control

On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 17:32:39 -0700, "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
wrote:

>I couldn't access that link.
>
>"Know it All" <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote in message
>news:mvfu9vs96giv4lu0hdcjrcub0i1ead8234@4ax.com...
>> Here are the official charters for the three newsgroups. These
>> documents are the law in a newsgroup. They are the reason a newsgroup
>> is formed.
>>
>> They can always be found at: ftp://ftp.isc.org.pub/usenet/control/
>>
>> They do not specifically state that you cannot offer items for sale.
>> So I don't see how anyone can complain. If there was a statement to
>> the effect that these groups were not for the sale of radio related
>> items then he might have a point. If you wanted to get real
>> technical with Gilbert you could offer these up to him but he's
>> probably too ignorant to understand.
>>
>> --
>>--
>>
>> REC RADIO SWAP
>>
>> From jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu Fri Aug 2 01:10:29 1991
>> Path:
>> rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!wuarchive!bcm!lib!thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
>> From: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu (Jay Maynard)
>> Newsgroups: rec.ham-radio.swap.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Date: 13 Feb 91 11:42:28 GMT
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Sender: usenet@lib.tmc.edu
>> Organization: University of Texas Medical School at Houston
>> Lines: 18
>> Approved: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
>> Nntp-Posting-Host: thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
>> Originator: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
>>

>> This group was approved as part of the rec.ham-radio.* reorganization,
>> whose vote results were posted over a week ago in
>> news.announce.newsgroups.
>> No objections have been raised as to the results.
>>
>> Please alias the group rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap. B news
>> sites may do this by adding the following line to
>> /usr/lib/news/aliases:
>> rec.ham-radio.swap rec.radio.swap
>> C news sites may alias the group by changing the 'n' at the end of the
>> entry for rec.ham-radio.swap in /usr/lib/news/active to
>> '=rec.radio.swap'. Be sure to lock the news system with locknews
>> before editing the active file.
>>
>> Thanks for your cooperation.
>> --
>> Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which
>> can
>> jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu | adequately be explained by
>> stupidity.
>> "Today is different from yesterday." -- State Department spokesman
>> Margaret
>> Tutwiler, 17 Jan 91, explaining why they won't negotiate with Saddam
>> Hussein
>>
>>
>> From tale@rpi.edu Fri Aug 2 01:12:08 1991
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
>> Path: rpi!tale
>> From: tale@rpi.edu (David C Lawrence)
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Sender: tale@cs.rpi.edu
>> Nntp-Posting-Host: cs.rpi.edu
>> Date: 13 Mar 91 15:23:30 GMT
>> Approved: tale@rpi.edu
>> Lines: 8
>>
>> rec.radio.swap is an unmoderated group which passed its vote for
>> creation by 177:44 as announced in news.announce.newgroups on 3 Feb
>> 91.
>>
>> For your newsgroups file:
>> rec.radio.swap Offers to trade and swap radio equipment.
>>
>> This newsgroup replaces rec.ham-radio.swap. You will probably want to
>> continue to alias or refile rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap.
>>
>> From news@compass.com Thu May 23 15:33:37 1991
>> Path: rpi!think.com!compass!news

>> From: news@compass.com (news)
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Message-ID: <5968@compass.com>
>> Date: 23 May 91 17:20:07 GMT
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Distribution: world
>> Organization: Compass, Inc., Wakefield, MA
>> Lines: 1
>> Approved: news@compass.com
>>
>> ffers to trade and swap radio equipment.
>>
>> From news@tumif.UUCP Wed Jun 19 22:27:54 1991
>> Path:
>> rpi!think.com!samsung!caen!ox.com!math.fu-berlin.de!fub!ivcmd!tumif!news
>> From: news@tumif.UUCP (News-Administrator)
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Message-ID: <330@tumif.UUCP>
>> Date: 18 Jun 91 22:05:27 GMT
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Distribution: UniDo
>> Organization: IVC Magdeburg
>> Lines: 0
>> Approved: wega@tumif.UUCP
>>
>>
>> From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 11:47:07 1992
>> Path:
>>
>rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf
>!steve
>> From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Message-ID: <16580@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
>> Date: 26 Apr 92 00:26:49 GMT
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Distribution: umcs
>> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
>> Lines: 0
>> Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
>>
>>
>> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:44 1995
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
>> Path:
>> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske

>> From: ske@pkmab.se
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
>> Distribution: oerebro
>> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:29 GMT
>> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
>> Message-ID: <DD1Cu7.1p6@berenice.pkmab.se>
>> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
>> Lines: 4
>> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:225
>>
>> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.swap
>> --
>> Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
>> Sweden
>> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
>>
>> From news@news.scnet.nsk.su Fri Nov 24 17:22:06 1995
>> Path:
>>
>uunet!in2.uu.net!EU.net!news.eunet.fi!KremlSun!fagot!news.scnet.nsk.su!news.
>scnet.nsk.su!news
>> Date: 25 Nov 1995 03:00:38 NSK
>> From: news@news.scnet.nsk.su
>> Subject: cmsg newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
>> Message-ID:
>> <news-rec.radio.swap-25-Nov-1995-030038-NSK@news.scnet.nsk.su>
>> Approved: news
>> Distribution: world
>> Lines: 2
>> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:4480
>>
>> For your newsgroups file:
>> rec.radio.swap
>>
>> --
>-------------
>>
>>
>> REC RADIO SCANNER
>>
>> --
>---------------
>>
>> From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>> Path: uunet!tale

>> From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>> Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
>> Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
>> Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
>> Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT
>> Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
>> Lines: 16
>> Xref: uunet control:707675
>>
>>
>> rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
>> for
>> creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
>> 1993
>>
>> For your newsgroups file:
>> rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
>> The charter, culled from the call for votes:
>>
>> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
>> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
>> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
>> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
>> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
>> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
>> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
>> many who do not have access to USENET news.
>>
>>
>> From tale@uunet.uu.net Fri Aug 19 13:40:22 1994
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>> Path: uunet!tale
>> From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>> Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
>> Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
>> Date: Fri, 19 Aug 1994 17:40:47 GMT
>> Message-ID: <CusMG0.4r2@uunet.uu.net>
>> Lines: 16
>> Xref: uunet control:1137891
>>
>>
>> rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
>> for
>> creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
>> 1993.
>>
>> For your newsgroups file:

>> rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
>>
>>
>> The charter, culled from the call for votes:
>>
>> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
>> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
>> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
>> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
>> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
>> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
>> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
>> many who do not have access to USENET news.
>>
>>
>> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:28 1995
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Path:
>> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
>> From: ske@pkmab.se
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
>> Distribution: oerebro
>> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:05 GMT
>> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
>> Message-ID: <DD1CtH.1H3@berenice.pkmab.se>
>> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
>> Lines: 4
>> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:223
>>
>> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.scanner
>> -- Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26
>> Oerebro, Sweden
>> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>----------------------------------
>>
>> ALT RADIO SCANNER
>>
>> --
>----------------------------------
>>
>>
>>
>> From sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu Wed Mar 11 14:55:50 1992
>> Path: rpi!usc!sdd.hp.com!wupost!kuhub.cc.ukans.edu!sloane

>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Message-ID: <1992Mar11.133544.38477@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
>> From: <sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
>> Date: 11 Mar 92 13:35:43 CST
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Approved: sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu
>> Lines: 3
>>
>> Per the discussion in alt.config. For you newsgroups file:
>>
>> alt.radio.scanner Discussion of scanning radio receivers
>>
>> From damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU Wed Apr 1 13:12:46 1992
>> Path:
>>
>rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!mips!pacbell.com!att!princeton!udel!darwin.sur
>a.net!jvnc.net!yale.edu!cmcl2!exchange!damir
>> From: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU (Damir Mijacika)
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Message-ID: <23203@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU>
>> Date: 1 Apr 92 16:12:22 GMT
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Distribution: nyu
>> Organization: NYU Stern School of Business
>> Lines: 0
>> Approved: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU
>>
>>
>> From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:11:37 1992
>> Path:
>>
>rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!sdd.hp.com!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.mai
>ne.edu!gandalf!steve
>> From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Message-ID: <16929@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
>> Date: 26 Apr 92 00:51:43 GMT
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Distribution: umcs
>> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
>> Lines: 0
>> Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
>>
>>
>> From root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:18:05 1992
>> Path:
>>

>rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf
>!root
>> From: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (The root of ALL evil)
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Message-ID: <17078@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
>> Date: 26 Apr 92 01:12:46 GMT
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Distribution: umcs
>> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
>> Lines: 0
>> Approved: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
>>
>>
>> From news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet Tue Jul 7 23:02:29 1992
>> Path:
>>
>rpi!think.com!mips!nec-gw!nec-tyo!wnoc-tyo-news!sh.wide!wnoc-kyo!wnoc-fukuok
>a-news!shiwasu!scorpio!kusu!ai!news
>> From: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet (news)
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Message-ID: <5637@ai.ai.kyutech.junet>
>> Date: 8 Jul 92 02:33:44 GMT
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Distribution: ai
>> Organization: Dept. of AI, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Iizuka,
>> Japan
>> Lines: 0
>> Approved: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet
>>
>>
>> From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Wed Feb 2 18:43:07 1994
>> Path:
>>
>uunet!pipex!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!
>csn!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!news
>> From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner
>> Subject: cmsg rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Date: 2 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
>> Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
>> Lines: 7
>> Distribution: inet
>> Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpik@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
>> NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
>> Xref: uunet control:842064
>>
>> The time has passed fro this group, either remove it or alias

>> it to
>> rec.radio.scanner.
>> --
>> Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
>> chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
>>
>==
>=
>> Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
>>
>>
>> From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Mon Feb 7 22:21:06 1994
>> Path:
>>
>uunet!news2.uunet.ca!ionews.io.org!gts!feline!halt!lookitthat!willya!tali.hs
>c.colorado.edu!news
>> From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Subject: cmsg newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Date: 3 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
>> Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
>> Lines: 6
>> Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpikl@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
>> NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
>> Xref: uunet control:846934
>>
>> The time has passed fro this group, alias it to
>> rec.radio.scanner.
>> --
>> Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
>> chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
>>
>==
>=
>> Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
>>
>> From lee@hsh.com Wed Mar 23 21:02:40 1994
>> Path: uunet!spcuna!spcvxb!hsh!lee
>> Newsgroups: control,alt.config
>> Subject: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Message-ID: <1994Mar23.150044.3822@hsh>
>> From: lee@hsh.com (Lee Havemann)
>> Date: 23 Mar 94 15:00:44 EST
>> Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Distribution: world
>> Organization: HSH Associates
>> Summary: duplication is a waste
>> Approved: lee@hsh.com
>> Lines: 15

>> Xref: uunet control:889055
>>
>> Why are we duplicating a viable rec. newsgroup? At last count, at
>> least 64%
>> of all articles in alt.radio.scanner are crossposted to
>> rec.radio.scanner!
>> rec.radio.scanner also has 4 times more traffic that a.r.s does.
>>
>> Isn't this a waste of bandwidth??
>>
>> I hearby rmgroup alt.radio.scanner. :-)
>>
>> --
>>
>> Lee Havemann, Comp Ops Dir. HSH Associates (201) 838-3330
>> Internet: lee@hsh.com Compuserve: 70410,3507 AOL: HSH Assoc
>> "Any opinions expressed are not necessarily those of anyone else,
>> including myself."
>>
>> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:32:26 1995
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Path:
>> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
>> From: ske@pkmab.se
>> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
>> Distribution: oerebro
>> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:53:22 GMT
>> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
>> Message-ID: <DD1Coz.4o@berenice.pkmab.se>
>> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
>> Lines: 4
>> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:211
>>
>> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: alt.radio.scanner
>> --
>> Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
>> Sweden
>> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 18:37:42 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >I received this e-mail from my ISP today:
>> >
>> >

>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >Dear Mr.Conti
>> >We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am enclosing a
>> >document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.
>> >
>> >Sincerely yours,
>> >
>> >Gilbert Roman
>> >Time Warner Cable
>> >Internet Security
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all
>the
>> >trouble.....
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >-Forwarded----
>> >
>> >Path:
>> >
>>
>>nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnili
>n
>> >k
>> >
>>
>>.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com
>!
>> >h
>> >
>>
>>ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr
>.
>> >c
>> >
>>
>>om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not
>-
>> >f
>> >
>> >or-mail
>> >
>> >From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
>> >
>> >Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>> >
>> >Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
>> >
>> >Lines: 14
>> >
>> >X-Priority: 3
>> >
>> >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>> >
>> >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
>> >
>> >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
>> >
>> >Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
>> >
>> >Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
>> >
>> >NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228
>> >
>> >X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
>> >
>> >X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar 2003
>> >
>> >01:30:29 EST)
>> >
>> >NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
>> >
>> >Organization: Road Runner - NYC
>> >
>> >Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009
>rec.radio.scanner:32530
>> >
>> >rec.radio.swap:55272
>> >
>> >X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
>> >
>> >Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
>> >
>> >cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
>> >
>> >
>> >

>> >PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
>> >
>> >Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >E-mail if interested.
>> >
>> >
>> >Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that , according
>to
>> >HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that the
>> >posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
>> >
>> >Go figure.
>> >
>> >Dan
>> >
>>
>

3117. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 10:26:32 UTC
From: Arkmood <arkmood@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.19099cde7e314b2098969e@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 32633

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme says...

> >Talkgroup 0928 OCSD Channel#5
>
> And what's that?

Paramedic Dispatch on the OC 500mhz trunk system, TG 1184 is EMS
admin...

3118. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 10:30:17 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304180230.66288b69@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32634
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

DC,

Here's "The Plan"

1. Post a FS/FT to Rec.Radio.SWAP.

2. Have a Friend pose a 'question' to you on
Rec.Radio.Scanner/Shortwave about your Rec.Radio.SWAP Listing.

3. Subject Title "Saw a this FS/FT Listed on RR.SWAP Any opinions on
the items or values of same ?"

Just because the Front & Back Doors each have Two Locks and a Dead
Bolt.
- Does not mean that a Window
- - Is not Wide Open
- - - The Window of Opportunity

jm2cw ~ RHF
.
.
= = = "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
= = = news:<rPKna.5983$Up3.3681191@twister.nyc.rr.com>...
> Once again, apologies to all for this continued diatribe as I know that
> folks like "Pappy" are busting with good and informative radio related
> information, but I just felt the final chapter in this matter had to be
> told...
>
> As a result if the last admittadly long e-mail sent to Gilbert at my ISP, he
> responded by sending me their Newsgroup Use Policy. I will not take up
> bandwith with it's entirety, mostly legal mumbo jumbo, but the two most
> cogent points relative to this matter are listed below:
>
> ____
> The sending of multiple similar articles to newsgroups constitutes a
> violation.
>
> The cross-posting of advertisements for products, items, or services which
> exceeds the commonly held thresholds and guidelines of the USENET community,
> will not be tolerated. Article posts should be on-topic to the particular
> newsgroup charter. Articles should not disrupt any newsgroup with frivolous,
> excessively vulgar or repetitious postings is not allowed.
>
> ____
>

> Upon serious reflection and soul searching, I must be a man here and plead
> guilty.
>
> During my last flurry of radio sales, I did in fact cross post my ads to
> numerous groups at once, and except for rec.radio.swap, technically, FS or
> FA posts ARE off-topic in the other radio groups.
>
> Now, I realize that there has been much debate about this, and opinions.
> The fact that it is done all the time is no excuse in the eys of the ISP.
> They put these use policies in place to protect themselves, then basically
> turn a blind eye, until they receive a complaint. At that point they are
> obligated to take action, which basically in the first offense is to put the
> customer on notice. That is what happened to me.
>
> Does this then absolve the newsgroup Nazis of their stupidity? No. It
> would be better if we self-policed the groups rather then running to ISPs.
>
> I informed Gilbert at the ISP that had he simply given this explanation up
> front, in the original letter, rather then running off about "commercial
> postings", we could have saved each other much grief.
>
> This has certainly been a learning experience for me and hopefully all users
> here. I think that in the future, FS or FA postings to rec.radio.swap ONLY
> would be allowable by the ISP, and should satisfy the girly girls as well.
> Other posters will continue to do as they want, but unfortunately I am now
> on notice, so I will abide by the rules.
>
> Thanks for all the support offered here.
>
> Dan

3119. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 10:44:29 UTC
From: Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette>
Message-ID: <oqiv9v4cr2c9aourk9acfrg1vr8a7788hj@4ax.com>
Source record: 32635
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 20:22:54 -0400, N8KDV <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net>
wrote:

>
>
>Know it All wrote:
>
>> Here are the official charters for the three newsgroups. These
>> documents are the law in a newsgroup. They are the reason a newsgroup
>> is formed.
>
>I, and others, might contend that they are not 'the law'. 'Laws' are passed by
governments. You might dream otherwise, but it is so,

Since you didn't create any of the three newsgroups in question and
obviously don't have the mental capacity to comprehend the newsgroup
formation process your contentions are meaningless and idiotic.

You are obviously a troll and probably one of the wankers who
compained to his ISP.

You will be ignored in the future.

>
>>
>>
>> They can always be found at: ftp://ftp.isc.org/pub/usenet/control
>>
>> They do not specifically state that you cannot offer items for sale.
>> So I don't see how anyone can complain. If there was a statement to
>> the effect that these groups were not for the sale of radio related
>> items then he might have a point. If you wanted to get real
>> technical with Gilbert you could offer these up to him but he's
>> probably too ignorant to understand.
>>
>> --

>>
>> REC RADIO SWAP
>>
>> From jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu Fri Aug 2 01:10:29 1991
>> Path:
>> rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!wuarchive!bcm!lib!thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
>> From: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu (Jay Maynard)
>> Newsgroups: rec.ham-radio.swap.ctl

>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Date: 13 Feb 91 11:42:28 GMT
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Sender: usenet@lib.tmc.edu
>> Organization: University of Texas Medical School at Houston
>> Lines: 18
>> Approved: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
>> Nntp-Posting-Host: thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
>> Originator: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
>>
>> This group was approved as part of the rec.ham-radio.* reorganization,
>> whose vote results were posted over a week ago in
>> news.announce.newsgroups.
>> No objections have been raised as to the results.
>>
>> Please alias the group rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap. B news
>> sites may do this by adding the following line to
>> /usr/lib/news/aliases:
>> rec.ham-radio.swap rec.radio.swap
>> C news sites may alias the group by changing the 'n' at the end of the
>> entry for rec.ham-radio.swap in /usr/lib/news/active to
>> '=rec.radio.swap'. Be sure to lock the news system with locknews
>> before editing the active file.
>>
>> Thanks for your cooperation.
>> --
>> Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which
>> can
>> jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu | adequately be explained by
>> stupidity.
>> "Today is different from yesterday." -- State Department spokesman
>> Margaret
>> Tutwiler, 17 Jan 91, explaining why they won't negotiate with Saddam
>> Hussein
>>
>> From tale@rpi.edu Fri Aug 2 01:12:08 1991
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
>> Path: rpi!tale
>> From: tale@rpi.edu (David C Lawrence)
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Sender: tale@cs.rpi.edu
>> Nntp-Posting-Host: cs.rpi.edu
>> Date: 13 Mar 91 15:23:30 GMT
>> Approved: tale@rpi.edu
>> Lines: 8
>>
>> rec.radio.swap is an unmoderated group which passed its vote for
>> creation by 177:44 as announced in news.announce.newgroups on 3 Feb
>> 91.

>>
>> For your newsgroups file:
>> rec.radio.swap Offers to trade and swap radio equipment.
>>
>> This newsgroup replaces rec.ham-radio.swap. You will probably want to
>> continue to alias or refile rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap.
>>
>> From news@compass.com Thu May 23 15:33:37 1991
>> Path: rpi!think.com!compass!news
>> From: news@compass.com (news)
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Message-ID: <5968@compass.com>
>> Date: 23 May 91 17:20:07 GMT
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Distribution: world
>> Organization: Compass, Inc., Wakefield, MA
>> Lines: 1
>> Approved: news@compass.com
>>
>> ffers to trade and swap radio equipment.
>>
>> From news@tumif.UUCP Wed Jun 19 22:27:54 1991
>> Path:
>> rpi!think.com!samsung!caen!ox.com!math.fu-berlin.de!fub!ivcmd!tumif!news
>> From: news@tumif.UUCP (News-Administrator)
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Message-ID: <330@tumif.UUCP>
>> Date: 18 Jun 91 22:05:27 GMT
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Distribution: UniDo
>> Organization: IVC Magdeburg
>> Lines: 0
>> Approved: wega@tumif.UUCP
>>
>> From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 11:47:07 1992
>> Path:
>> rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf!steve
>> From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Message-ID: <16580@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
>> Date: 26 Apr 92 00:26:49 GMT
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Distribution: umcs
>> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
>> Lines: 0
>> Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
>>

>> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:44 1995
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
>> Path:
>> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
>> From: ske@pkmab.se
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
>> Distribution: oerebro
>> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:29 GMT
>> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
>> Message-ID: <DD1Cu7.1p6@berenice.pkmab.se>
>> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
>> Lines: 4
>> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:225
>>
>> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.swap
>> --
>> Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
>> Sweden
>> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
>>
>> From news@news.scnet.nsk.su Fri Nov 24 17:22:06 1995
>> Path:
>> uunet!in2.uu.net!EU.net!news.eunet.fi!KremlSun!fagot!news.scnet.nsk.su!news.scnet.nsk.s
u!news
>> Date: 25 Nov 1995 03:00:38 NSK
>> From: news@news.scnet.nsk.su
>> Subject: cmsg newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
>> Message-ID:
>> <news-rec.radio.swap-25-Nov-1995-030038-NSK@news.scnet.nsk.su>
>> Approved: news
>> Distribution: world
>> Lines: 2
>> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:4480
>>
>> For your newsgroups file:
>> rec.radio.swap
>>
>> --
>>
>> REC RADIO SCANNER
>>
>>

>>
>> From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner

>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>> Path: uunet!tale
>> From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>> Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
>> Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
>> Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
>> Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT
>> Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
>> Lines: 16
>> Xref: uunet control:707675
>>
>> rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
>> for
>> creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
>> 1993
>>
>> For your newsgroups file:
>> rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
>> The charter, culled from the call for votes:
>>
>> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
>> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
>> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
>> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
>> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
>> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
>> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
>> many who do not have access to USENET news.
>>
>> From tale@uunet.uu.net Fri Aug 19 13:40:22 1994
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>> Path: uunet!tale
>> From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>> Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
>> Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
>> Date: Fri, 19 Aug 1994 17:40:47 GMT
>> Message-ID: <CusMG0.4r2@uunet.uu.net>
>> Lines: 16
>> Xref: uunet control:1137891
>>
>> rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
>> for
>> creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
>> 1993.
>>
>> For your newsgroups file:
>> rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.

>>
>> The charter, culled from the call for votes:
>>
>> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
>> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
>> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
>> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
>> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
>> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
>> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
>> many who do not have access to USENET news.
>>
>> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:28 1995
>> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Path:
>> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
>> From: ske@pkmab.se
>> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
>> Distribution: oerebro
>> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:05 GMT
>> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
>> Message-ID: <DD1CtH.1H3@berenice.pkmab.se>
>> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
>> Lines: 4
>> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:223
>>
>> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.scanner
>> -- Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26
>> Oerebro, Sweden
>> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
>>
>> --

>>
>> ALT RADIO SCANNER
>>
>> --

>>
>> From sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu Wed Mar 11 14:55:50 1992
>> Path: rpi!usc!sdd.hp.com!wupost!kuhub.cc.ukans.edu!sloane
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Message-ID: <1992Mar11.133544.38477@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
>> From: <sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
>> Date: 11 Mar 92 13:35:43 CST
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Approved: sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu

>> Lines: 3
>>
>> Per the discussion in alt.config. For you newsgroups file:
>>
>> alt.radio.scanner Discussion of scanning radio receivers
>>
>> From damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU Wed Apr 1 13:12:46 1992
>> Path:
>> rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!mips!pacbell.com!att!princeton!udel!darwin.sura.net!jvnc.
net!yale.edu!cmcl2!exchange!damir
>> From: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU (Damir Mijacika)
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Message-ID: <23203@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU>
>> Date: 1 Apr 92 16:12:22 GMT
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Distribution: nyu
>> Organization: NYU Stern School of Business
>> Lines: 0
>> Approved: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU
>>
>> From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:11:37 1992
>> Path:
>> rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!sdd.hp.com!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gand
alf!steve
>> From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Message-ID: <16929@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
>> Date: 26 Apr 92 00:51:43 GMT
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Distribution: umcs
>> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
>> Lines: 0
>> Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
>>
>> From root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:18:05 1992
>> Path:
>> rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandalf!root
>> From: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (The root of ALL evil)
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Message-ID: <17078@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
>> Date: 26 Apr 92 01:12:46 GMT
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Distribution: umcs
>> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
>> Lines: 0
>> Approved: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
>>

>> From news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet Tue Jul 7 23:02:29 1992
>> Path:
>> rpi!think.com!mips!nec-gw!nec-tyo!wnoc-tyo-news!sh.wide!wnoc-kyo!wnoc-fukuoka-news!shiw
asu!scorpio!kusu!ai!news
>> From: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet (news)
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Message-ID: <5637@ai.ai.kyutech.junet>
>> Date: 8 Jul 92 02:33:44 GMT
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Distribution: ai
>> Organization: Dept. of AI, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Iizuka,
>> Japan
>> Lines: 0
>> Approved: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet
>>
>> From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Wed Feb 2 18:43:07 1994
>> Path:
>> uunet!pipex!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!csn!tali.hs
c.colorado.edu!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!news
>> From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner
>> Subject: cmsg rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Date: 2 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
>> Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
>> Lines: 7
>> Distribution: inet
>> Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpik@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
>> NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
>> Xref: uunet control:842064
>>
>> The time has passed fro this group, either remove it or alias
>> it to
>> rec.radio.scanner.
>> --
>> Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
>> chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
>> ==
>> Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
>>
>> From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Mon Feb 7 22:21:06 1994
>> Path:
>> uunet!news2.uunet.ca!ionews.io.org!gts!feline!halt!lookitthat!willya!tali.hsc.colorado.
edu!news
>> From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Subject: cmsg newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Date: 3 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700

>> Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
>> Lines: 6
>> Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpikl@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
>> NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
>> Xref: uunet control:846934
>>
>> The time has passed fro this group, alias it to
>> rec.radio.scanner.
>> --
>> Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
>> chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
>> ==
>> Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
>>
>> From lee@hsh.com Wed Mar 23 21:02:40 1994
>> Path: uunet!spcuna!spcvxb!hsh!lee
>> Newsgroups: control,alt.config
>> Subject: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Message-ID: <1994Mar23.150044.3822@hsh>
>> From: lee@hsh.com (Lee Havemann)
>> Date: 23 Mar 94 15:00:44 EST
>> Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Distribution: world
>> Organization: HSH Associates
>> Summary: duplication is a waste
>> Approved: lee@hsh.com
>> Lines: 15
>> Xref: uunet control:889055
>>
>> Why are we duplicating a viable rec. newsgroup? At last count, at
>> least 64%
>> of all articles in alt.radio.scanner are crossposted to
>> rec.radio.scanner!
>> rec.radio.scanner also has 4 times more traffic that a.r.s does.
>>
>> Isn't this a waste of bandwidth??
>>
>> I hearby rmgroup alt.radio.scanner. :-)
>>
>> --
>>
>> Lee Havemann, Comp Ops Dir. HSH Associates (201) 838-3330
>> Internet: lee@hsh.com Compuserve: 70410,3507 AOL: HSH Assoc
>> "Any opinions expressed are not necessarily those of anyone else,
>> including myself."
>>
>> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:32:26 1995
>> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
>> Path:

>> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
>> From: ske@pkmab.se
>> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
>> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
>> Distribution: oerebro
>> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:53:22 GMT
>> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
>> Message-ID: <DD1Coz.4o@berenice.pkmab.se>
>> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
>> Lines: 4
>> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:211
>>
>> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: alt.radio.scanner
>> --
>> Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
>> Sweden
>> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
>>
>> On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 18:37:42 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >I received this e-mail from my ISP today:
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >Dear Mr.Conti
>> >We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am enclosing a
>> >document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.
>> >
>> >Sincerely yours,
>> >
>> >Gilbert Roman
>> >Time Warner Cable
>> >Internet Security
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all the
>> >trouble.....
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >-Forwarded----
>> >
>> >Path:
>> >
>> >nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnilin
>> >k

>> >
>> >.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.com!
>> >h
>> >
>> >ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.rr.
>> >c
>> >
>> >om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!not-
>> >f
>> >
>> >or-mail
>> >
>> >From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
>> >
>> >Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
>> >
>> >Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
>> >
>> >Lines: 14
>> >
>> >X-Priority: 3
>> >
>> >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>> >
>> >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
>> >
>> >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
>> >
>> >Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
>> >
>> >Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
>> >
>> >NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228
>> >
>> >X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
>> >
>> >X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar 2003
>> >
>> >01:30:29 EST)
>> >
>> >NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
>> >
>> >Organization: Road Runner - NYC
>> >
>> >Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009 rec.radio.scanner:32530
>> >
>> >rec.radio.swap:55272
>> >
>> >X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
>> >

>> >
>> >
>> >I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
>> >
>> >Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
>> >
>> >cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
>> >
>> >Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >E-mail if interested.
>> >
>> >
>> >Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that , according to
>> >HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that the
>> >posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
>> >
>> >Go figure.
>> >
>> >Dan
>> >

3120. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 10:46:49 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3E9FD799.CA662837@mitre.org>
Source record: 32636
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Stick with Strongsignals
Jim

Dan Conti wrote:

> Once again, apologies to all for this continued diatribe as I know that
> folks like "Pappy" are busting with good and informative radio related
> information, but I just felt the final chapter in this matter had to be
> told...
>
> As a result if the last admittadly long e-mail sent to Gilbert at my ISP, he
> responded by sending me their Newsgroup Use Policy. I will not take up
> bandwith with it's entirety, mostly legal mumbo jumbo, but the two most
> cogent points relative to this matter are listed below:
>
> ____
> The sending of multiple similar articles to newsgroups constitutes a
> violation.
>
> The cross-posting of advertisements for products, items, or services which
> exceeds the commonly held thresholds and guidelines of the USENET community,
> will not be tolerated. Article posts should be on-topic to the particular
> newsgroup charter. Articles should not disrupt any newsgroup with frivolous,
> excessively vulgar or repetitious postings is not allowed.
>
> ____
>
> Upon serious reflection and soul searching, I must be a man here and plead
> guilty.
>
> During my last flurry of radio sales, I did in fact cross post my ads to
> numerous groups at once, and except for rec.radio.swap, technically, FS or
> FA posts ARE off-topic in the other radio groups.
>
> Now, I realize that there has been much debate about this, and opinions.
> The fact that it is done all the time is no excuse in the eys of the ISP.
> They put these use policies in place to protect themselves, then basically
> turn a blind eye, until they receive a complaint. At that point they are
> obligated to take action, which basically in the first offense is to put the
> customer on notice. That is what happened to me.
>
> Does this then absolve the newsgroup Nazis of their stupidity? No. It
> would be better if we self-policed the groups rather then running to ISPs.
>

> I informed Gilbert at the ISP that had he simply given this explanation up
> front, in the original letter, rather then running off about "commercial
> postings", we could have saved each other much grief.
>
> This has certainly been a learning experience for me and hopefully all users
> here. I think that in the future, FS or FA postings to rec.radio.swap ONLY
> would be allowable by the ISP, and should satisfy the girly girls as well.
> Other posters will continue to do as they want, but unfortunately I am now
> on notice, so I will abide by the rules.
>
> Thanks for all the support offered here.
>
> Dan

3121. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 10:48:29 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <jim.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <1KQna.506628$3D1.273193@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 32637
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

The way I read it is "Don't cross post and all is well"!

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:rPKna.5983$Up3.3681191@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> Once again, apologies to all for this continued diatribe as I know that
> folks like "Pappy" are busting with good and informative radio related
> information, but I just felt the final chapter in this matter had to be
> told...
>
> As a result if the last admittadly long e-mail sent to Gilbert at my ISP,
he
> responded by sending me their Newsgroup Use Policy. I will not take up
> bandwith with it's entirety, mostly legal mumbo jumbo, but the two most
> cogent points relative to this matter are listed below:
>
> ____
> The sending of multiple similar articles to newsgroups constitutes a
> violation.
>
> The cross-posting of advertisements for products, items, or services which
> exceeds the commonly held thresholds and guidelines of the USENET
community,
> will not be tolerated. Article posts should be on-topic to the particular
> newsgroup charter. Articles should not disrupt any newsgroup with
frivolous,
> excessively vulgar or repetitious postings is not allowed.
>
> ____
>
> Upon serious reflection and soul searching, I must be a man here and plead
> guilty.
>
> During my last flurry of radio sales, I did in fact cross post my ads to
> numerous groups at once, and except for rec.radio.swap, technically, FS or
> FA posts ARE off-topic in the other radio groups.
>
> Now, I realize that there has been much debate about this, and opinions.
> The fact that it is done all the time is no excuse in the eys of the ISP.
> They put these use policies in place to protect themselves, then basically
> turn a blind eye, until they receive a complaint. At that point they are
> obligated to take action, which basically in the first offense is to put
the
> customer on notice. That is what happened to me.

>
> Does this then absolve the newsgroup Nazis of their stupidity? No. It
> would be better if we self-policed the groups rather then running to ISPs.
>
> I informed Gilbert at the ISP that had he simply given this explanation up
> front, in the original letter, rather then running off about "commercial
> postings", we could have saved each other much grief.
>
> This has certainly been a learning experience for me and hopefully all
users
> here. I think that in the future, FS or FA postings to rec.radio.swap
ONLY
> would be allowable by the ISP, and should satisfy the girly girls as well.
> Other posters will continue to do as they want, but unfortunately I am now
> on notice, so I will abide by the rules.
>
> Thanks for all the support offered here.
>
> Dan
>
>

3122. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 11:07:55 UTC
From: Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <871y00oygk.fld@barrow.com>
Source record: 32638
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Jim Douglas" <jim.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote:
>The way I read it is "Don't cross post and all is well"!

However, that is simply wrong. Crossposting is a *good* thing.
(Please note that *you* crossposted your article to several
newsgroups, as I am doing with this reply.)

You are mistaking that with either multiple posting (a bad thing),
or with posting off topic articles in newsgroups where they do not
belong (also a bad thing).

I don't see that Dan was guilty of an violation of his customer
service agreement, nor of any widely recognized Usenet rules.

>"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:rPKna.5983$Up3.3681191@twister.nyc.rr.com...
>> Once again, apologies to all for this continued diatribe as I know that
>> folks like "Pappy" are busting with good and informative radio related
>> information, but I just felt the final chapter in this matter had to be
>> told...
>>
>> As a result if the last admittadly long e-mail sent to Gilbert at my ISP,
>he
>> responded by sending me their Newsgroup Use Policy. I will not take up
>> bandwith with it's entirety, mostly legal mumbo jumbo, but the two most
>> cogent points relative to this matter are listed below:
>>
>> ____
>> The sending of multiple similar articles to newsgroups constitutes a
>> violation.
>>
>> The cross-posting of advertisements for products, items, or services which
>> exceeds the commonly held thresholds and guidelines of the USENET
>community,
>> will not be tolerated. Article posts should be on-topic to the particular
>> newsgroup charter. Articles should not disrupt any newsgroup with
>frivolous,
>> excessively vulgar or repetitious postings is not allowed.
>>
>> ____
>>
>> Upon serious reflection and soul searching, I must be a man here and plead
>> guilty.
>>

>> During my last flurry of radio sales, I did in fact cross post my ads to
>> numerous groups at once, and except for rec.radio.swap, technically, FS or
>> FA posts ARE off-topic in the other radio groups.
>>
>> Now, I realize that there has been much debate about this, and opinions.
>> The fact that it is done all the time is no excuse in the eys of the ISP.
>> They put these use policies in place to protect themselves, then basically
>> turn a blind eye, until they receive a complaint. At that point they are
>> obligated to take action, which basically in the first offense is to put
>the
>> customer on notice. That is what happened to me.
>>
>> Does this then absolve the newsgroup Nazis of their stupidity? No. It
>> would be better if we self-policed the groups rather then running to ISPs.
>>
>> I informed Gilbert at the ISP that had he simply given this explanation up
>> front, in the original letter, rather then running off about "commercial
>> postings", we could have saved each other much grief.
>>
>> This has certainly been a learning experience for me and hopefully all
>users
>> here. I think that in the future, FS or FA postings to rec.radio.swap
>ONLY
>> would be allowable by the ISP, and should satisfy the girly girls as well.
>> Other posters will continue to do as they want, but unfortunately I am now
>> on notice, so I will abide by the rules.
>>
>> Thanks for all the support offered here.
>>
>> Dan
>>
>>
>
>

--
Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

3123. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 11:13:29 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030418071329.18987.00000149@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 32639

As mentioned by another, 155.205 / 186.2 is still in use ... atleast as of this January.

Have logged fire-related communications on 154.965 / 127.3. County Emergency Services is licensed for repeater ops on the channel.

Brick Twp Police use 453.050 / 127.3 and 453.250 / 127.3.

Brick Twp Rescue use 460.525 / 127.3.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

3124. Re:BC-300 Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 11:46:48 UTC
From: Pappy@usa.net
Message-ID: <3E9FE5A8.2ED16CE8@usa.net>
Source record: 32640
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

My BC-300 is acting up again. When I turn it on the display flutters, I punch manual lock on to any channel and all it ok. When I push scan it goes back to fluttering. Any ideas? I really don't want to junk it, it's a good scanner. Since I bought it, eons ago I have had it repaired and I repaired it about 4 times.

Brenda Ann wrote:

> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:mNLna.209$V2.9183@eagle.america.net...
> > Simmions? No. Simmons? No. But my long lost relatives are the simians
> > (monkeys), and technically so are yours.
> >
> >
>
> Actually, if you buy into the whole evolution gig, we are descended not from
> simians (monkeys) but from the Great Apes.. big difference. Our closest
> relatives are the chimpanzees, among the most intelligent of the Great Apes.

3125. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed! (can we vote)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 12:47:35 UTC
From: Arthritic old man <billman@hevanet.com>
Message-ID: <v9vsv093dbns63@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32641
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I came to this news group so I can learn about scanners , and part of that learning is the market value of scanners . Seeing the price and hearing the Group talk of the value and performance of the scanner has helped me immensely . Were else do you go ? To a store were what they sell is the best ?
I would vote to keep the (for sale) on the news group !

3126. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 12:59:16 UTC
From: Robert <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <b7osqb$16m$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 32413
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Floyd Davidson" <floyd@ptialaska.net> wrote

> I don't see that Dan was guilty of an violation of his customer
> service agreement, nor of any widely recognized Usenet rules.

Me neither.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.473 / Virus Database: 271 - Release Date: 4/17/2003

3127. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 13:05:20 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <936150222Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32642
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Dan,

You have web space with your ISP so build a web site and post everything
there you did here and let the world have a look..

dconti@nj.rr.com (Dan Conti) wrote in
<pqFna.11575$mC1.3408417@twister.nyc.rr.com>:

>I will preface this by saying to all that I apologize for bothering
>everyone with this continuing saga, but I truly believe that it is of
>importance to all who use the radio groups, and have to deal with ISPs.
>
>As a result of the position taken by my ISP, I will no longer post any
>FOR SALE, or FOR AUCTION threads on the newsgroups. According the logic
>of Time Warner Cable, if I post on eBay or Strong Signals, I am
>commercial and in violation there as well.
>
>BUT, until I hear different, that is where I will post future equipment.
>
>Since I also admittedly have a big mouth, and often take the irritating
>side of an issue, I will simply lurk here and not make future postings
>of any type for fear of being turned in to my ISP. Love me or hate me,
>I always thought we had fun, and a good debate. To the little children
>who turned me in, I surrender, you win. I am silenced.
>
>The following is my response to the latest e-mail from the fellow at
>Time Warner. It is exactly how it was sent. The only other posting you
>will see from me in the future is IF and WHEN I get a final reply from
>them, I will pass it along. DAN
>
>>Dear Mr.Conti
>>It is not my job to judge you or your actions.
>
>Yet you or someone in your unit sends me a threatening letter. Please
>put me in touch with someone who CAN make a final judgement in this
>matter.
>
>>Our intent was to let you know that there has been a complaint made
>>against
>your >actions on this newsgroup. It is our policy to not reveal the
>party who filed the >complaint.
>
>It is unfortunate that you take the word of someone who is most likely
>NOT a Time Warner customer, over that of a good customer for many years.

> Is that good customer Relations?
>
>Because a complaint was made, it doesn't mean it is valid. I should
>have the right to dispute the complaint reasonably with someone of
>authority at your company.
>Additionally, I have the right to question your interpretation of your
>user greement as it relates to this matter, and if necessary, my
>attorney will certainly have the right to review it as well. All I get
>is short answers apparently in 100% agreement with the complainant.
>
>
>>We just ask you to be more careful in the future of what you post on
>newsgroups >because this could affect the status of your Roadrunner
>account if we receive any >more complaints. Thank you.
>
>In effect you are curtailing my usage of your service without allowing
>me the opportunity to contest your position. I need to know
>specifically how to be careful, and why I must be carefulm and
>where.....
>
>My initial instinct, and those others, would be to take your equipment
>and throw it on the front lawn where you could pick it up, and terminate
>your service.
>
>Quite frankly, your service has been good, so I do not want to do that.
>If there were another service available as good or better, I would.
>
>According to your position, if I post a For Sale or For Auction item to
>a newsgroup which is a private sale, and not a regular occurrence, and
>not for profit, it is considered commerical by Time Warner, and
>jeapordizes my account.
>
>If I post something for auction on eBay then, am I in violation?
>
>If I post something for sale, or for auction on a web site, am I in
>violation?
>
>You mean to tell me that your Time Wanrer customers posting on eBay are
>in jeapordy of being cancelled?
>
>This entire matter is ridiculous, and you know it. You are obviously
>intelligent, and internet saavy. You KNOW I did nothing wrong. I did
>not violate newsgroups rules or charters, nor am I a commercial user of
>your service.
>
>I think you guys should back down on this stuff, tell the whiners that
>there is NO violation, and let your customers enjoy the service they pay
>PREMIUM prices for every month. You are opening up a can of worms here
>that should stay closed.
>

>All you need is a big mouth like me, and my big moth attorney to push
>this issue, get publicity, and TIme Warner will look ridiculous as a
>result.
>
>I am sure you are aware that I already have a good portion of the radio
>hobby newsgroup members up in arms.....
>
>I do not consider this matter resolved. I want the complaint removed
>from my record, and someone of authority at Time Warner to review the
>matter impartially and make a final determination one way or the other,
>relative to the cogent hypothetical points I made above.
>
>Sincerely,
>
>
>Daniel M. Conti
>
>
>Sincerely,
>Gilbert Roman
>Time Warner Cable
>Internet Security
>
>
>

3128. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 13:33:32 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030418093332.18912.00000140@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 32643

>Paramedic Dispatch on the OC 500mhz trunk system, TG 1184 is EMS
>admin...
>

Paramedics in Ocean County are dispatched on 155.205 by Ocean County Sheriff's dept, as is most of the first aid squads with a few exceptions (Dover, Seaside, Brick). I have first hand knowledge of this. If they are listed as users of the 500 mhz system, they haven't begun using it.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

3129. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 13:37:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3E9FFF95.2020908@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 32452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Q wrote:

> Dr. John...John Smmons...hmm...?
>

He did claim he could do a heart transplant.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

3130. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 13:39:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3EA0001F.2030904@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 32453
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Q wrote:

>
> France is full of itself, and only wants what it can get on it's OWN terms -
> they use their veto power to thwart an action that should have taken place
> LONG ago, yet thumb THEIR noses at the UN when it comes to THEIR actions in
> places like Africa.

And now that we have cleaned Iraq of Saddam and his ilk, they are
sucking up to Bush. You can count on the French to always been
there when they need us.

3131. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 13:46:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3EA001C2.8010302@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 32455
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

USER1 wrote:

>
> Ton of good ideas - how much do they weigh?
>
> Les than a ton of feathers.

An idea is not a physical thing.

3132. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 14:22:45 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1909aa10f7d372929899aa@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32645
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net>, Gogarty@Dublin.edu says...
> There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been moved to
> Syria. And the US has known this all along. If any are found at this point
> then they are in the same category as the dropped gun at a crime scene --
> planted evidence. I am reminded of a cartoon many months ago. Two military
> guys in a planning office. "Do they really have weapons of mass destruction?"
> says on. Says the other: "Of course not! Do you think we would attack if they
> did?"
>
> Bear in mind that the US and UK have had little or no diplomatic, commercial,
> cultural or any other sort of contact with Iraq for ten years or more. The
> only sources thay have had for information about the country have been covert
> or remote, neither of which is any substitute for assets on the ground.
> Defectors are notorioulsy unreliable and so are traitors. Many other nations,
> on the otbher hand, have had continuing diplomatic, commercial, cultural and
> even hisorical ties to Iraq during all iof this time. They were in a hell of a
> lot better position to see and know what was really going on in that country
> just through day to day contact. Ecery one went out of its way to stat e not
> once but many times that they could not cooroborate the assertions of the US
> and UK governments. And then we find out that the US and UK are working with
> forged documents and plagiarized ten-year-old university theses.
>
> The US will be embarrassed when it can turn up nothing. But anyone watching
> Rumsfeld and Bush knows that will hardly bother them. The war had nothing to
> do with WMD, from the very start. Or with 9/11. I remain puzzled. What was it
> all about? What justified destroying a stable and cohesive society and
> economy? Anyone watching TV and seeing those highways, buildings, broad
> boulevards, traffic lights, street lights, opulent residential neighborhoods
> could see this was no backwater of civilization and did not deserve wanton
> destruction.

Saudi was going to kick our military out, because of the heat from bin
Laden types, and because they no longer felt threatened that Iraq was
strong enough to invade them and take their oil.

So we needed a place to park our military for the times we needed to go
to war there. Iraq was available. In addition, a large military presence
would pressure Iran to behave - just as Finland always felt pressured to
not annoy a neighboring USSR. In addition, though Iraq posed no threat
to us, it posed some threat to Israel, and we may want to help them out.

In addition, we want to scare other nations out of proliferation - Iraq
shows we are willing to use the military.

In addition, we wanted to beef up support for military adventures, so that we can attack in other places without having to worry about peaceniks at home. Iraq is a good place because our odds of winning were 100 percent, and winning a war makes folks happy to go to war again.

So it's a good starter for the hegemony thing.

And of course we want to rule the world because we can - now that the USSR has collapsed we have a huge advantage, so let's wrap up the world as a US colony while the wrapping is good. Anyone who thinks about developing weapons which can counter our own - will be invaded. We plan to disarm all potential opponents of our Sheriff's rule.

I think that's the idea.
>
> But the jingoistic yahoos who populate cable network news and a lot of the
> discussion here and elsewhere don't want to think about any of that. It is
> enough that US might has squashed a flea at the cost of many thousands of
> lives -- lives, apparently, that the US considers less than human since it
> can't even be bothered estimating how nmany berevaed families there are in
> Iraq.
>
>

3133. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 14:28:27 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1909ab64276a09e99899ab@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32646
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <aKCna.107257$0X.24663104@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote
>
> > FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an ASSHOLE!
>
> Typical. If they say it, nothing to support it other than delusional babble,
> especially since they were wrong in their stance, they resort to this
> tactic.

Right. No evidence for WMD. It's easy to say Iraq has them - just as its
easy to say Iraq has killer clowns from outer space.

Where's some evidence? I mean real evidence - not crude forgeries and so
on.

Is there ANY EVIDENCE at all?

I guess there is this - long ago, Iraq could make a lot of x and y, and
we have no proof they destroyed what they MIGHT have made.

BUt x and y, in the forms we know Iraq had them, go bad over time. And
the mere CAPACITY to make so much X doesn't mean they really used their
full capacity.

Isn't that the only evidence? That long ago Iraq COULD have made a whole
bunch of the stuff and we can't prove it got destroyed or tossed out?

Pretty weak evidence.
>
> Keep going.
>
>
>

3134. Icom R2 RF Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 14:32:51 UTC
From: Ken Finney <kenneth.c.finney@boeing.com>
Message-ID: <HDJn2u.F3I@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 32448

A friend has an R2 that he needs to boost the reception capability of a little. Are there amps that he could put between the antenna and the radio, or maybe someone has a recommendation for a better antenna?

3135. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 14:32:58 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1909ac729beee1de9899ac@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32447
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <3E9F53C1.3010408@mailcity.moc>, radiobuffo@mailcity.moc
says...
>
>
> Dr. John wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > Show me the weapons, Q! Show me the f-in weapons!
> >
> > But no, you can't, because there isn't a single WMD in Iraq that has been
> > reported so far.
>
> As you say, so far.
>
>
Ah, they MIGHT have giant spiders from mars, invisible to humans - we
just haven't spotted one yet...

Good argument you have.

What exactly makes you think that they HAVE giant spiders, or WMD?

Why do you believe in them any more than you believe in ghosts?

3136. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 14:35:25 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1909ace22fa419409899ad@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32449
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <3E9F54D9.2050500@mailcity.moc>, radiobuffo@mailcity.moc
says...
>
>
> Dr. John wrote:
>
> > How do you hide 100 trillion tons of stuff that has very little to
> > no weight,
>
> Quick, which weighs the most, a pound of lead or a pound of feathers.
> If it weighs 100 trillion tons it weighs 100 trillion tons no
> matter what it is. Are you for real? Sure you last name isn't
> Simmions?
>
>
>
Ton of good ideas - how much do they weigh?

Les than a ton of feathers.

3137. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 14:47:21 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <va03vns1aabc48@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32644
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Oh my God! Talk about nit-picking... Reply within...

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:WqIna.529188$sf5.841903@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Power House CB & Scanner: I'm trying to understand why someone would
visit
> your site at: http://powerhousecb.tripod.com/, but I can't get past all he
> typos.
>

Typos? Please give an example, I'd be very interested... BTW, "all he
typos." ??

> I don't have a problem with off topic posts, You do.
>

If that isn't obvious by the number of them you do..

> Illegally running plates is not a scanner topic. I think what you did is
> a... uhh.. letmme look it up.. oh yah it's a ..crime! I hear arson on the
> radio as well, but I don't ask people to commit it, then claim that it is
> has to do with scanners.
>

There is a difference here. The act of arson is illegal; the act of running
someones plates is not. It's what you do with the information afterwards
that could potentialy be illegal.

> Here's where you reported me:
> "Sick of your OT shit... Reported...
>
> Abuse@attbi.com I sure will be more than happy to deal with you...
>
> And no, your bogus wanna-be eBay line isn't going to save your ass...
> "
>

Great, so it was you who kept spewing forth those garbage posts...

> Here's a post from you with obscene language:
> " If you want war, go
> screw your neighbors wife... That should bring about a pretty decent war!
>

Boy if that's obscene to you, then you must have really lived a sheltered,
non-existant life!

> And another rude one:
> "Obviously you haven't been looking too hard then... They are going for
> OVER
> a thousand...

Sarcasm can sometimes be mistaken for rudeness by those that are emotionally imbalanced and unstable. For a little while there I actually thought you had a grip on life, however, based upon what you consider to be obscene and/or rude, I guess I misjudged you in the beginning. You really don't have a grip. Those initial posts of yours should have tipped me off, however, I just thought you were another troublemaker playing around with his new found ability to post on Usenet...

Please accept my apology as I don't want to be the one to push you over the edge. I'd hate for you to try to take your own life or something... We all hear about your kind (the emotionally unstable types) taking their lives on our scanners for time to time...

PH

3138. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 14:56:38 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <va0545o1r56s67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32450
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Its Me!" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:DPFna.1525$392.545503@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Why are you wasting up bandspace with this Bullshit?Who gives a shit?

Just perhaps YOU might give a "shit" someday if you happen to have something
that you would like to sell, and some jack-ass turns you in to your ISP...
You just MIGHT give a "shit" then...

PH

P.S. You didn't help the bandwidth any by quoting his entire post within
your 2-bit reply... Better look in the mirror when you bitch about wasting
bandwidth!

3139. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 15:15:32 UTC
From: Arkmood <arkmood@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1909e09c1bc4962e98969f@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 32451

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme says...

> Paramedics in Ocean County are dispatched on 155.205 by Ocean County Sheriff's
> dept, as is most of the first aid squads with a few exceptions (Dover, Seaside,
> Brick). I have first hand knowledge of this. If they are listed as users of the
> 500 mhz system, they haven't begun using it.

Best give a listen to TG 0928 - Ocean County Sheriff Dept. Channel#5-
Paramedics Dispatch (in use) if you have the capabilities...

3140. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 15:41:57 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030418114157.19022.00000185@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 32454

>Best give a listen to TG 0928 - Ocean County Sheriff Dept. Channel#5-
>Paramedics Dispatch (in use) if you have the capabilities...

I do have the capabilities (I monitor Ocean County police south) and I hear them being dispatched on 155.205 all the time. I am also a member of a first aid squad, so I know what frequency they are dispatched on. If you are hearing something on the trunked system, it isn't paramedic dispatch for Ocean County.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

3141. Re: Video-Motion-Detector who can make ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 16:05:40 UTC
From: skeets1@netscape.net
Message-ID: <3ea021e8.361965288@News.CIS.DFN.DE>
Source record: 32456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

The ATI Radeon All-In-Wonder 7500 graphics card that I bought comes
with this type of software. When it senses a difference in the images,
it'll save the images to the harddrive of the computer. I've not tried
it since I don't have a camera of this type.

On Wed, 16 Apr 2003 18:23:43 GMT, "bla" <comsecnl@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Who can make or design a " Video-Motion-Detector" ?
>
>A "Video-Motion-Detector" is an electronic device that can be connected to a videocamera,
when a movement in the video-picture is
>detected the unit will switch a relay
>wich can be used to switch an alarm or a timelapse-videorecorder.
>
>Such a unit is very hard to find !... specially when it should run on 12 Volts (just try
it on the internet)
>
>It should be a small box with 2 connectors (video in,video out)
>and 1 adjuster for sensitivity
>
>A later and more luxurious model should have the possibility to
>adjust for a certain part of the screen (field) so it will only react to movements in this
part of the field (this field could be an
>adjustable square wich will be visible on the connected monitor)
>
>The idea is to make a lowcost unit in a small box (not based on windows software on a pc)
>maybe a pic-processor can be used or old-fashioned anolog parts
>
>I am willing to pay good for a good design or working model
>
>Any tips or ideas are also welcome
>
>Please respond to: comsecnl@hotmail.com
>
>Thanks in advance
>
>
>

3142. FS* Uniden BC 250D with Apco P-25 Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 16:29:22 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com.goaway
Message-ID: <20030418122922.19022.00000086@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 32457

For sale...

Uniden BC 250D Apco P-25 Digital Police Scanner with Apco P-25 Card
Monitors Apco P-25 conventional, trunked 3600 & mixed mode,
Digital communications.
The unit is only 1 1/2 months old and is in mint condition.
Unit works great. I need to sell it because the agencies in my area went to
a different system.
Comes with all the original paperwork, box, battery pack & charger.
I will not split up the card and scanner.
I paid $650.00 and must take the loss and sell it as used.
So if are looking for one of these, here is a chance to get it at a great
price.
you can check my feedback on Ebay user rating tramd300@aol.com
use this link for a picture of unit
http://members.aol.com/TRAMD300/BC250Scanner.jpg
And use this link for the specifications of the unit
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/factsheet.htm
You get this all for $550.00 shipped in the USA only.
I will accept postal money orders only or if you wish to use Pay-Pal.
Price is firm...
Please email me direct for more info.
I check my mail every day.
Thank you...
tramd300@aol.com

3143. Re: NYCOMCO and Orange County NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 16:39:26 UTC
From: dad2dandt@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030418123926.18876.00000211@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 32458

what info do you need

3144. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 17:05:50 UTC
From: Arkmood <arkmood@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1909fa7bd098c6559896a1@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 32459

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme says...
> I do have the capabilities (I monitor Ocean County police south)
> <Snip>

0320 (OCP South) isn't TG 0928 (OC Paramedics Dispatch) - give it a try,
you may be surprised at what you hear!

3145. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 17:09:50 UTC
From: rob_taylor_@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ad0184f9.0304180909.4ee0853b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32460
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

WHAT??? Why the f*!k would I put my certification on the line for your
dumb ass? I have a family to feed, loser. I don't really care what
your reason is for needing that plate information, but unless you work
in law enforcement, you'd better not get it, and you have no right to
it. Give me a good reason why someone would risk their job for YOU?

Some nerve..

Go try that in alt.police or alt.lawenforcement or something and see
what kind of reception you get.

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:<v9mq5jbr5u4550@corp.supernews.com>...
> Just wondering if there is a kind sole out there, that would risk their job,
> to run some tags for me? I don't necessarily need the whole works like
> address, etc (thought it would be nice!), but I'm mostly looking the name of
> the owner...
>
> Michigan tag, 462 XNH
>
> Would be greatly appreciated!
> PH

3146. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 17:13:09 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <va0ch026uv9a80@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32461
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

It had to happen...

http://www.herobuilders.com/vilacfig1.html

3147. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 17:19:52 UTC
From: rob_taylor_@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ad0184f9.0304180919.3e0f3aa1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32462
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You know what actually, I think I'll run the plate and call the owner
and tell him some ass on the internet is trying to solicit information
the way you are. I'm sure he'll be pleased.

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:<v9ruvb87ss5r73@corp.supernews.com>...
> "b7spectra" <webmaster@scanatlanta.com> wrote in message
> news:R3kna.158380$ug3.293024@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > 10-28 is on Jack Kevorkian
> >
> Yeah, sure... Not even close.

3148. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 17:23:05 UTC
From: Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu>
Message-ID: <MaudnZk8P5fhqT2jXTWcrg@bway.net>
Source record: 32463
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <ENKna.108335$0X.25261981@twister.columbus.rr.com>, Q@Q.invalid.com says...

>No, Americans - and the supporters of the coalition,

What coalition? Anybody heard of that poor Spanish prime minister since the so-called summit in the Azores? He sure as hell was not invited to Beklfast, was he? Only Blair, to get his marching orders. Coalition? My sides are splitting from laughing.
>
>That's one of the things that drives france so nuts - more European
>countries SUPPORT actions in Iraq than OPPOSE it

Yeah, the jackals gathered around the kill hoping for crumbs. Some leaders wishing to curry favor weith the US supported the war but their populations overhwelmingly did not and do not. Fercrissake, the letter from the Vilnius Ten was writrten by US lobbyists.

>France is full of itself, and only wants what it can get on it's OWN terms -
>they use their veto power to thwart an action that should have taken place
>LONG ago, yet thumb THEIR noses at the UN when it comes to THEIR actions in
>places like Africa.
>
Cursed are the peacemakers for they shall be reviled. I just don't understand the depth of hostility to France. Perhaps it's based on envy.

3149. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 17:26:56 UTC
From: Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu>
Message-ID: <MaudnZg8P5f9qD2jXTWcrg@bway.net>
Source record: 32464
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <XTIna.108298$0X.25099995@twister.columbus.rr.com>, Q@Q.invalid.com says...

>Again, the WMD thing is an overplayed issue, and only a SMALL PART of the US >policy toward Iraq.

Hmmm. Seems to me all I heard for twelve months was an endless mantra "Sadaam must disarm or we will disarm him." There was no other stated issue, though side issues kept popping up depending on what day it was. To now say that WMD is not the issue is disingenuous, sorta like "Where's Osama?" Osama? Osama who?

3150. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 17:29:25 UTC
From: Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu>
Message-ID: <MaudnZs8P5doqD2jXTWcrg@bway.net>
Source record: 32465
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <3E9F54D9.2050500@mailcity.moc>, radiobuffo@mailcity.moc
says...
>

>Quick, which weighs the most, a pound of lead or a pound of feathers.
>If it weighs 100 trillion tons it weighs 100 trillion tons no
>matter what it is. Are you for real? Sure you last name isn't
>Simmions?

The issue is density, not weight. One can hide a pound of lead a lot
easier than a pound of feathers or anthrax.
>
>

3151. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 17:35:21 UTC
From: Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu>
Message-ID: <MaudnZo8P5fHqj2jXTWcrg@bway.net>
Source record: 32466
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <3E9F5376.6020704@mailcity.moc>, radiobuffo@mailcity.moc says...
>
>
>
>
>Gogarty wrote:
>> There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been moved
to
>> Syria.
>
>Is this some fact you can back up with reliable sources you can
>reference us to, or just conjecture on your part?

Essentially quoting Hans Blix from an interview yesterday. But also based on the FACT that absolutely nothing has been found after nearly two months of ample opportunity and, more important, that no other state with long and extensive ties to Iraq was ever able to correlate anything the US asserted. Don't you think that if Iraq had such weapns and intended to use them that they would have been moved to the battle front?

3152. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 17:42:19 UTC
From: Kevin Gowen <kgowenNOSPAM@myfastmail.com>
Message-ID: <b7pddu$3a9jp$1@ID-105084.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32467
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Gogarty wrote:
> Cursed are the peacemakers for they shall be reviled. I just don't
> understand the depth of hostility to France. Perhaps it's based on
> envy.

Envy of what? You have it exactly backwards.

Everyone knows that the French are the most arrogant people on earth.
However, no one knows why.

--
Kevin Gowen

3153. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 17:55:46 UTC
From: Still Searching <public@e.mail>
Message-ID: <va0f124r7sog5c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32470
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.iraq

Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in
news:MaudnZg8P5f9qD2jXTWcrg@bway.net:

> Hmmm. Seems to me all I heard for twelve months was an endless mantra
> "Sadaam must disarm or we will disarm him." There was no other stated
> issue, though side issues kept popping up depending on what day it
> was. To now say that WMD is not the issue is disingenuous, sorta like
> "Where's Osama?" Osama? Osama who?

Let me see if I can help your little brain understand what has happened
with an analogy.

Let's say you're a drug dealer in central Mexico with piles of drugs spread
out around your villa. One day you hear the police are going to visit your
villa in a months time and search for the drugs. Will they find any?
Probably not. It will take alot of detailed searching. It may even
require a search of your friends "place" next door.

Did this little story help you understand what has happend? Do you feel
like pulling your head out of your ass now? No?

3154. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 17:57:24 UTC
From: "Clifton T. Sharp Jr." <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <3EA03C84.2CB7FE33@clifto.com>
Source record: 32471
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Dan Conti wrote:
> Does this then absolve the newsgroup Nazis of their stupidity? No. It
> would be better if we self-policed the groups rather then running to ISPs.

Someone who I recognized from meat-space spammed several newsgroups a while back. Rather than send a complaint, I sent him a polite e-mail *asking* him not to do so and telling him why it wasn't kosher. He flashed back a nasty e-mail calling me a netcop, informing me that his business was doing quite well selling things on the newsgroups and telling me to mind my own business.

The kind of self-policing you suggest doesn't work. Anyone rude enough to ignore the newsgroup charters is rude enough to tell you to quit interfering with their spamming.

(Don't look at me. I quit trying to get rid of the 20% advertising in this newsgroup long before someone pointed out to me that the charter doesn't forbid selling stuff here.)

--
"Since I was a small boy, two states have been added to our country, and two words have been added to the Pledge of Allegiance: Under God. Wouldn't it be a pity if someone said that is a prayer, and that would be eliminated from schools, too?" -- Red Skelton, 1969

3155. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 17:58:27 UTC
From: dave911 <vfa192@dave911REMOVE.com>
Message-ID: <71Xna.99362$vl3.3891989@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 32472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I would rather someone crosspost as opposed to multiple posting. That way,
when I read it in one group it's marked as read in the other groups.

"Floyd Davidson" <floyd@ptialaska.net> wrote in message
news:871y00oygk.fld@barrow.com...
> "Jim Douglas" <jim.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote:
> >The way I read it is "Don't cross post and all is well"!
>
> However, that is simply wrong. Crossposting is a *good* thing.
> (Please note that *you* crossposted your article to several
> newsgroups, as I am doing with this reply.)
>
> You are mistaking that with either multiple posting (a bad thing),
> or with posting off topic articles in newsgroups where they do not
> belong (also a bad thing).
>
> I don't see that Dan was guilty of an violation of his customer
> service agreement, nor of any widely recognized Usenet rules.
>
> >"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> >news:rPKna.5983$Up3.3681191@twister.nyc.rr.com...
> >> Once again, apologies to all for this continued diatribe as I know that
> >> folks like "Pappy" are busting with good and informative radio related
> >> information, but I just felt the final chapter in this matter had to be
> >> told...
> >>
> >> As a result if the last admittadly long e-mail sent to Gilbert at my
ISP,
> >he
> >> responded by sending me their Newsgroup Use Policy. I will not take up
> >> bandwith with it's entirety, mostly legal mumbo jumbo, but the two most
> >> cogent points relative to this matter are listed below:
> >>
> >> ____
> >> The sending of multiple similar articles to newsgroups constitutes a
> >> violation.
> >>
> >> The cross-posting of advertisements for products, items, or services
which
> >> exceeds the commonly held thresholds and guidelines of the USENET
> >community,
> >> will not be tolerated. Article posts should be on-topic to the
particular

> >> newsgroup charter. Articles should not disrupt any newsgroup with
> >frivolous,
> >> excessively vulgar or repetitious postings is not allowed.
> >>
> >> ____
> >>
> >> Upon serious reflection and soul searching, I must be a man here and
plead
> >> guilty.
> >>
> >> During my last flurry of radio sales, I did in fact cross post my ads
to
> >> numerous groups at once, and except for rec.radio.swap, technically, FS
or
> >> FA posts ARE off-topic in the other radio groups.
> >>
> >> Now, I realize that there has been much debate about this, and
opinions.
> >> The fact that it is done all the time is no excuse in the eys of the
ISP.
> >> They put these use policies in place to protect themselves, then
basically
> >> turn a blind eye, until they receive a complaint. At that point they
are
> >> obligated to take action, which basically in the first offense is to
put
> >the
> >> customer on notice. That is what happened to me.
> >>
> >> Does this then absolve the newsgroup Nazis of their stupidity? No. It
> >> would be better if we self-policed the groups rather then running to
ISPs.
> >>
> >> I informed Gilbert at the ISP that had he simply given this explanation
up
> >> front, in the original letter, rather then running off about
"commercial
> >> postings", we could have saved each other much grief.
> >>
> >> This has certainly been a learning experience for me and hopefully all
> >users
> >> here. I think that in the future, FS or FA postings to rec.radio.swap
> >ONLY
> >> would be allowable by the ISP, and should satisfy the girly girls as
well.
> >> Other posters will continue to do as they want, but unfortunately I am
now
> >> on notice, so I will abide by the rules.
> >>
> >> Thanks for all the support offered here.

> >>
> >> Dan
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
> >
> --
> Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
> Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

3156. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 18:00:20 UTC
From: Arkmood <arkmood@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190a073f174854399896a2@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 32473

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme says...

<Snip>
> I am also a member of a first
> aid squad, so I know what frequency they are dispatched on. If you are hearing
> something on the trunked system, it isn't paramedic dispatch for Ocean County.

Ocean County Public Safety Trunked System monitoring TG 0928 Ocean
county Paramedics Dispatch :

13:20 711 En route to CMH

13:22 Squad dispatched Bayville nursing home - chest pains

13:24 Squad dispatched Crestwood Village - fall

13:25 Squad out at CMH

13:26 711 arrive at CMH

etc., etc., all day/night long...

3157. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 18:02:21 UTC
From: "Clifton T. Sharp Jr." <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <3EA03DAD.44CDA6C8@clifto.com>
Source record: 32474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Floyd Davidson wrote:
> However, that is simply wrong. Crossposting is a *good* thing.
> (Please note that *you* crossposted your article to several
> newsgroups, as I am doing with this reply.)
>
> You are mistaking that with either multiple posting (a bad thing),
> or with posting off topic articles in newsgroups where they do not
> belong (also a bad thing).

Right, to a point. Multi-posting makes multiple copies of the same thing on all news servers world-wide, while cross-posting allows decently written news servers to keep one copy of the article and serve it to multiple newsgroups.

But cross-posting (and multi-posting) can be rude if the article is off-topic in any of the newsgroups, or requests for information are involved (in the latter case, it's best to at least set followups to one group).

Cross-posting can stifle good discussion; replies end up distributed among several newsgroups, which not all people interested in the discussion subscribe to, and often people don't even notice the cross-posting and don't know to look for other replies in the other newsgroups.

--
"Since I was a small boy, two states have been added to our country, and two words have been added to the Pledge of Allegiance: Under God. Wouldn't it be a pity if someone said that is a prayer, and that would be eliminated from schools, too?" -- Red Skelton, 1969

3158. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 18:06:20 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b7pduo$39ae4$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32468

You look like an idiot everytime you post.

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:3NHna.169$V2.8476@eagle.america.net...
> The more you say something that only pro-war people say makes you look
like
> an idiot.

3159. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 18:07:01 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b7pe01$38njd$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32469

ohhhhh Lord........

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:v9um2hs444l08d@corp.supernews.com...
> Some one's crying lord Kum-by-ya...
>
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:3NHna.169$V2.8476@eagle.america.net...
> > The more you say something that only pro-war people say makes you look
> like
> > an idiot.
> >
> > John
> >
> > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:v9u5i6bqla7fe7@corp.supernews.com...
> > > my lord Kum-by-ya...
> > >
> > > "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> > > news:0GCna.142$V2.7081@eagle.america.net...
> > > > FOAD! It's the truth and for you to mock it means you are an
ASSHOLE!
> > > >
> > > > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:v9tq6ufct4ii47@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > Kum-by-ya...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> > > > > news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
> > > > > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have
> been
> > > > moved
> > > > > to
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3160. Pro 2004 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 18:18:26 UTC
From: mlangen@execpc.com
Message-ID: <6658a6d3.0304181018.53fc1266@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32476

Can anyone help me diagnose this RS Pro 2004 problem:

For several years, I have heard a very occasional, loud metallic "tink, tink" sound over strong NBFM signals. Recently, this has evolved to much-more-frequent (but still intermittent) crackling and crashing, sounding very much like strong QRN (atmospheric noise). It is not QRN, nor is it noisy pots (either AF gain or squelch). It is usually heard over a strong signal -- the stronger the signal, the more likely the problem. It is never heard without a signal breaking the squelch, nor while scanning among vacant channels. It is loudest in the NBFM mode, but can be heard faintly in WFM and fainter yet in AM.

Any guesses or suggestions?

Thanks,

Mark

3161. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 18:25:00 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b7pf1o$3casf$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32475
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I'll second that.

"yachtboy!" <swl_yb400pe@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3e9f39a1.116991701@News.CIS.DFN.DE...
>
> I use this sevice, it's free,and they stay out of my business.
> http://news.cis.dfn.de/
> You might consider something like this, and just stay out of AOL's
> services as much as possible.
>

3162. LNKSYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 18:52:13 UTC
From: Kevin Gunther <beeltee@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <xPXna.35734$MB4.13798421@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 32478

Does anyone know the frequency(ies) of LNKSYS wireless??

thanks

3163. FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 18:59:09 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <va0innhvni50bf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32479
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

This is a 2 month old MVT-7100, I am upgrading to the 9300 and will sell this one for $375.00 delivered to your door. It is mint with all original boxes and manuals. The manual has never been used.

In addition I am including a notebook with after market manuals and 8 1800 MAH Nickle metal hydride rechargable cells as well as the 4 original 650 MAH ni-cads that have never been used. A radio shack adapter to charge the battries is included. As this was a European version, it came without a charger.

This scanner covers from 100Khz to 1.3 Ghz with no gaps. All modes (AM, FM, WFM, USB,LSB on any freq). See http://strongsignals.net for reviews of this fine receiver.

3164. FS: Pro 2050 and Pro 92 scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 19:25:18 UTC
From: L L O Y D D A V I E S <firebottle@n0vfp.cm>
Message-ID: <3ea05428$1_3@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 32483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have for sale:

Pro 2050 scanner. 300 channels Date code 01A00 cat no# 20-430A
Good shape and works well $125 plus ship

Pro 92 scanner Ver 1.00 on scanner. 500 channels comes with Box, antenna,
nicads and AA case.
$125 plus ship.

e-mail lloyd_vfp@msn.com

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3165. Re: Anyone had any dealings with john_lalonde@sympatico.ca?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 19:29:00 UTC
From: "Jim Wardrip, W8EMS" <w8ems@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uek0av88lch4draqvohe9m7te79j74k2bp@4ax.com>
Source record: 32477

I want to thank everyone who repsonded to my message concerning john_lalonde@sympatico.ca both by e-mail and in the groups. Special thanks to the Canadian writers who offered information and help.

I have been able to determine that this person saw my ad posted in mics.emergency.services and not on the ham groups. While several persons admit to knowing him no one has been able to give his address.

Unfortunately, I had already deleted the e-mail containing his mailing address from my computer once I had mailed my package to him and he said his was sent to me, keeping only his e-mail address to confirm when the package arrived. Big mistake. No ham call, no mailing address, bouncing e-mail.

Again thanks to everyone,

Jim, W8EMS/9

3166. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 19:43:41 UTC
From: Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <87znmna8wi.fld@barrow.com>
Source record: 32480
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Clifton T. Sharp Jr." <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:
>Floyd Davidson wrote:
>> However, that is simply wrong. Crossposting is a *good* thing.
>> (Please note that *you* crossposted your article to several
>> newsgroups, as I am doing with this reply.)
>>
>> You are mistaking that with either multiple posting (a bad thing),
>> or with posting off topic articles in newsgroups where they do not
>> belong (also a bad thing).
>
>Right, to a point. Multi-posting makes multiple copies of the same thing
>on all news servers world-wide, while cross-posting allows decently written
>news servers to keep one copy of the article and serve it to multiple
>newsgroups.
>
>But cross-posting (and multi-posting) can be rude if the article is off-
>topic in any of the newsgroups, or requests for information are involved
>(in the latter case, it's best to at least set followups to one group).
>
>Cross-posting can stifle good discussion; replies end up distributed
>among several newsgroups, which not all people interested in the discussion
>subscribe to, and often people don't even notice the cross-posting and
>don't know to look for other replies in the other newsgroups.

You've confused cross-posting with multi-posting in your last
two paragraphs. Setting Followups-To only makes sense if the
subject is mostly off topic (for example due to subject drift)
in some newsgroups and not in others. Cross-posting does *not* stifle
discussion, it encourages it. Setting Followups-To to a single
group is what discourages discussion. It is true that often
people don't notice the cross-posting, but that has no effect at
all until somebody sets the Followups-to. At that point everyone
loses continuity because they are unaware that they should be
looking elsewhere for replies.

Setting Followups-to is counter productive to discussion, and
doing it without specifically stating so in the text of a
message is just plain rude and crude.

--
Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

3167. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 19:46:41 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <BCYna.109667$0X.25996061@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote

> Cursed are the peacemakers for they shall be reviled. I just don't
understand
> the depth of hostility to France. Perhaps it's based on envy.

LMAO!!!!!!!!!!!!
Envy of what? That they save water by not bathing? That they know how to
surrender better than any country in the world?

3168. what % of military aviation communications encrypt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 19:51:46 UTC
From: Dan Jacobson <jidanni@dman.ddts.net>
Message-ID: <87ptnjfust.fsf_-_@jidanni.org>
Source record: 32484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

>>>>> "S" == Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com> writes:

>> What percentage of police departments encrypt communications these days?

S> Not many...Adds cost and degrades performance...

This got me thinking, how about military aircraft? They must have
some communication in clear mode to talk sometimes to civil air
control. (When going beyond their personal Restricted Area, they must
say something to civil air authorities, no?)

And towers at military airports are merely at different frequencies,
e.g. 200~300 etc. Mhz here in Taiwan, vs. 118~136 civil, but not
encrypted?

Or do they have alternative frequencies with encryption when going into
"war mode"?

Can someone summarize the communications of a some typical peacetime military
flights, from the point of view of how much we scanner enthusiasts can
hear and how much we're missing?
--
http://jidanni.org/ Taiwan(04)25854780

3169. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 19:54:20 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <MJYna.109669$0X.26003352@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32482
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote

> In article <3E9F5376.6020704@mailcity.moc>, radiobuffo@mailcity.moc
says...
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Gogarty wrote:
> >> There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been
moved
> to
> >> Syria.
> >
> >Is this some fact you can back up with reliable sources you can
> >reference us to, or just conjecture on your part?
>
> Essentially quoting Hans Blix from an interview yesterday.

Oh, now THERE'S a credible source. He STILL hasn't explained why he tried to conceal inforamtion in the reports.

> But also based on the FACT that absolutely
> nothing has been found after nearly two months of
> ample opportunity

<sigh>

The coalition did NOT send in armies of WEAPONS INSPECTORS. They are just NOW starting to look, and are STILL back-tracking to do clean-up operations. Why do you idiots insist that everything should be done at once, and if it ISN'T, well, that just proves your point??? The primary objective was to oust the regime of Saddam Hussein - nobody was given orders to stop along the way and search every nook and cranny to see what they can find.

> Don't you think that if Iraq had such weapns
> and intended to use them that they
> would have been moved to the battle front?

They had been playing a shell game with UN inspectors, and obstructing them at every opportunity - there's plenty of info on the net about that. Now, if YOU were forced to serve in your military, and THEN given orders to use chem/bio weapons - in your OWN country, would you FOLLOW those orders? Hell, most of the "elite" troops wouldn't even use their CONVENTIONAL weapons - they dropped them, took off their uniforms, and hauled ass.

Use your head.

3170. publishing frequencies hurts police/fire/ambulance depts.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 20:28:54 UTC
From: Dan Jacobson <jidanni@dman.ddts.net>
Message-ID: <87lly7ft2x.fsf@jidanni.org>
Source record: 32485

Some folks here in Taiwan say that if I post the police/fire/ambulance frequencies my friends and I have found, this will encourage pranksters to go and interfere on those frequencies. I say those who wish to transmit interference on those frequencies would have long ago transmitted, without needing our little lists.

They say I will hurt those cash starved police/fire/ambulance departments who can't afford fancier equipment (spread spectrum, I assume?). I say with a budget scanner within moments one knows the frequencies and even content of police/fire/ambulance communications so what's the big deal about a frequency list.

Anyway, it seems hushing up frequency lists just makes it take a little longer for us scanner enthusiasts to figure out what organization is saying "Unit 7, go to Central St."

Sounds like old restrictions on maps now that we are in the age of satellite images.
--
http://jidanni.org/ Taiwan(04)25854780

3171. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 21:05:53 UTC
From: Snicker@BurgerkingBeck
Message-ID: <7rp0avcfvtmrvll4jpgc09m3nvaf4r7sr1@4ax.com>
Source record: 32486
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.iraq

On Fri, 18 Apr 2003 17:55:46 -0000, Still
Searching <public@e.mail> wrote:
>Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in
>news:MaudnZg8P5f9qD2jXTWcrg@bway.net:
>
>> Hmmm. Seems to me all I heard for twelve months was an endless mantra
>> "Sadaam must disarm or we will disarm him." There was no other stated
>> issue, though side issues kept popping up depending on what day it
>> was. To now say that WMD is not the issue is disingenuous, sorta like
>> "Where's Osama?" Osama? Osama who?
>
>
>Let me see if I can help your little brain understand what has happened
>with an analogy.
>
>Let's say you're a drug dealer in central Mexico with piles of drugs spread
>out around your villa. One day you hear the police are going to visit your
>villa in a months time and search for the drugs. Will they find any?
>Probably not. It will take alot of detailed searching. It may even
>require a search of your friends "place" next door.
>
>Did this little story help you understand what has happend? Do you feel
>like pulling your head out of your ass now? No?

No, because the gist of the story requires us to
believe something that hasn't been proven true.

In our society, merely "believing" or "suspecting"
is not sufficient to cause an attack, no matter
what the cause.----unless, of course your top
advisors are un indicted ENRON and Big Oil execs,
and your daddy was made to look a fool.

It still remains.

The gravity of the original reasons why your
rat-fuck idiot started this war are NOT evident,
Nearly ALL the justification and promotion of this
war is centered around the "emotional appeal" of
"freedom" for Iraqis which was never sufficient by
itself to support a war.

Even a marginal idiot can't be fooled----or at
least I thought so until you posted.

"The Afghan Mujahadeens are the moral equivalent
of the Founding Fathers of America."

-Ronald Reagan

3172. Re: publishing frequencies hurts police/fire/ambulance depts.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 21:37:39 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030418173739.18839.00000181@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 32487

>Some folks here in Taiwan say that if I post the police/fire/ambulance
>frequencies my friends and I have found, this will encourage
>pranksters to go and interfere on those frequencies.

The points are well taken, but I think, as you seem to think, that publishing lists of freq's are not any threat to authorities. In fact, I think it is better for the public to know where they can monitor their public servants, and keep them in check.
If they've got nothing to hide (encrypted comm's, and other modes which are often used in the name of security and confidentiality notwithstanding) then they should be glad that the public knows where they can listen and evaluate them, and hear the job they do.
Linus

3173. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 21:55:48 UTC
From: Rene <deleterene.altena@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <b7ps94$3fn8n$1@ID-153362.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
news:3E8DE32C.DEC70D31@email.com...
> Pappy@USA.net wrote:
>
> > Regarding the subject line:
> >
> > He said get back to RADIO and please stop trolling these off topic
subjects in radio
> > groups.
>
> Sure thing Adolf. What does your reply have to do with the thread's
topic? Stop
> posting off topic dictatorship commands in Jesus related threads.
>

You don't know anything about Adolf, you American.

Rene

3174. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 22:11:34 UTC
From: JT <blowme@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <qK_na.514292$3D1.280173@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 32489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Fuck you Frenchie. Worship Satan while listening to cellular traffic on your scanner!

"Rene" <deleterene.altena@gmx.net> wrote in message news:b7ps94$3fn8n$1@ID-153362.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "John Simmons" <jsimmons@email.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8DE32C.DEC70D31@email.com...
> > Pappy@USA.net wrote:
> >
> > > Regarding the subject line:
> > >
> > > He said get back to RADIO and please stop trolling these off topic
> subjects in radio
> > > groups.
> >
> > Sure thing Adolf. What does your reply have to do with the thread's
> topic? Stop
> > posting off topic dictatorship commands in Jesus related threads.
> >
>
> You don't know anything about Adolf, you American.
>
> Rene
>
>
>

3175. Re: MY ISP HAS ANSWERED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 22:30:45 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <b1%na.268$V2.12714@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 32490
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Thanks a hundred.

John

"Know it All" <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote in message
news:85jv9vkvbv3ubve4838el70kbu2f3sok6o@4ax.com...
>
> I'm really sorry. I should have tested it first
>
> ftp://ftp.isc.org/pub/usenet/control
>
>
>
>
> On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 17:32:39 -0700, "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
> wrote:
>
> >I couldn't access that link.
> >
> >"Know it All" <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote in message
> >news:mvfu9vs96giv4lu0hdcjrcub0i1ead8234@4ax.com...
> >> Here are the official charters for the three newsgroups. These
> >> documents are the law in a newsgroup. They are the reason a newsgroup
> >> is formed.
> >>
> >> They can always be found at: ftp://ftp.isc.org.pub/usenet/control/
> >>
> >> They do not specifically state that you cannot offer items for sale.
> >> So I don't see how anyone can complain. If there was a statement to
> >> the effect that these groups were not for the sale of radio related
> >> items then he might have a point. If you wanted to get real
> >> technical with Gilbert you could offer these up to him but he's
> >> probably too ignorant to understand.
> >>
>
>> ---
-
> >---
> >>
> >> REC RADIO SWAP
> >>
> >> From jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu Fri Aug 2 01:10:29 1991
> >> Path:
> >> rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!wuarchive!bcm!lib!thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu

> >> From: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu (Jay Maynard)
> >> Newsgroups: rec.ham-radio.swap.ctl
> >> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Date: 13 Feb 91 11:42:28 GMT
> >> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Sender: usenet@lib.tmc.edu
> >> Organization: University of Texas Medical School at Houston
> >> Lines: 18
> >> Approved: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> >> Nntp-Posting-Host: thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> >> Originator: jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu
> >>
> >> This group was approved as part of the rec.ham-radio.* reorganization,
> >> whose vote results were posted over a week ago in
> >> news.announce.newsgroups.
> >> No objections have been raised as to the results.
> >>
> >> Please alias the group rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap. B news
> >> sites may do this by adding the following line to
> >> /usr/lib/news/aliases:
> >> rec.ham-radio.swap rec.radio.swap
> >> C news sites may alias the group by changing the 'n' at the end of the
> >> entry for rec.ham-radio.swap in /usr/lib/news/active to
> >> '=rec.radio.swap'. Be sure to lock the news system with locknews
> >> before editing the active file.
> >>
> >> Thanks for your cooperation.
> >> --
> >> Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which
> >> can
> >> jmaynard@thesis1.hsch.utexas.edu | adequately be explained by
> >> stupidity.
> >> "Today is different from yesterday." -- State Department spokesman
> >> Margaret
> >> Tutwiler, 17 Jan 91, explaining why they won't negotiate with Saddam
> >> Hussein
> >>
> >>
> >> From tale@rpi.edu Fri Aug 2 01:12:08 1991
> >> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> >> Path: rpi!tale
> >> From: tale@rpi.edu (David C Lawrence)
> >> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Sender: tale@cs.rpi.edu
> >> Nntp-Posting-Host: cs.rpi.edu
> >> Date: 13 Mar 91 15:23:30 GMT
> >> Approved: tale@rpi.edu
> >> Lines: 8
> >>

> >> rec.radio.swap is an unmoderated group which passed its vote for
> >> creation by 177:44 as announced in news.announce.newgroups on 3 Feb
> >> 91.
> >>
> >> For your newsgroups file:
> >> rec.radio.swap Offers to trade and swap radio equipment.
> >>
> >> This newsgroup replaces rec.ham-radio.swap. You will probably want to
> >> continue to alias or refile rec.ham-radio.swap to rec.radio.swap.
> >>
> >> From news@compass.com Thu May 23 15:33:37 1991
> >> Path: rpi!think.com!compass!news
> >> From: news@compass.com (news)
> >> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> >> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Message-ID: <5968@compass.com>
> >> Date: 23 May 91 17:20:07 GMT
> >> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Distribution: world
> >> Organization: Compass, Inc., Wakefield, MA
> >> Lines: 1
> >> Approved: news@compass.com
> >>
> >> ffers to trade and swap radio equipment.
> >>
> >> From news@tumif.UUCP Wed Jun 19 22:27:54 1991
> >> Path:
> >>
rpi!think.com!samsung!caen!ox.com!math.fu-berlin.de!fub!ivcmd!tumif!news
> >> From: news@tumif.UUCP (News-Administrator)
> >> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> >> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Message-ID: <330@tumif.UUCP>
> >> Date: 18 Jun 91 22:05:27 GMT
> >> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Distribution: UniDo
> >> Organization: IVC Magdeburg
> >> Lines: 0
> >> Approved: wega@tumif.UUCP
> >>
> >>
> >> From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 11:47:07 1992
> >> Path:
> >>
>
>rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandal
f
> >!steve
> >> From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
> >> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl

> >> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Message-ID: <16580@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> >> Date: 26 Apr 92 00:26:49 GMT
> >> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Distribution: umcs
> >> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> >> Lines: 0
> >> Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
> >>
> >>
> >> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:44 1995
> >> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> >> Path:
> >> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> >> From: ske@pkmab.se
> >> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> >> Distribution: oerebro
> >> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:29 GMT
> >> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> >> Message-ID: <DD1Cu7.1p6@berenice.pkmab.se>
> >> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> >> Lines: 4
> >> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:225
> >>
> >> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.swap
> >> --
> >> Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
> >> Sweden
> >> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
> >>
> >> From news@news.scnet.nsk.su Fri Nov 24 17:22:06 1995
> >> Path:
> >>
>
>uunet!in2.uu.net!EU.net!news.eunet.fi!KremlSun!fagot!news.scnet.nsk.su!news
.
> >scnet.nsk.su!news
> >> Date: 25 Nov 1995 03:00:38 NSK
> >> From: news@news.scnet.nsk.su
> >> Subject: cmsg newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Control: newgroup rec.radio.swap
> >> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap.ctl
> >> Message-ID:
> >> <news-rec.radio.swap-25-Nov-1995-030038-NSK@news.scnet.nsk.su>
> >> Approved: news
> >> Distribution: world
> >> Lines: 2
> >> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:4480

> >>
> >> For your newsgroups file:
> >> rec.radio.swap
> >>
>
>> --
-
> >-------------
> >>
> >>
> >> REC RADIO SCANNER
> >>
>
>> --
-
> >---------------
> >>
> >> From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
> >> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> >> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> >> Path: uunet!tale
> >> From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> >> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> >> Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> >> Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> >> Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
> >> Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT
> >> Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
> >> Lines: 16
> >> Xref: uunet control:707675
> >>
> >>
> >> rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> >> for
> >> creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> >> 1993
> >>
> >> For your newsgroups file:
> >> rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> >> The charter, culled from the call for votes:
> >>
> >> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> >> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> >> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> >> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> >> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> >> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> >> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> >> many who do not have access to USENET news.
> >>

> >>
> >> From tale@uunet.uu.net Fri Aug 19 13:40:22 1994
> >> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> >> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> >> Path: uunet!tale
> >> From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> >> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> >> Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> >> Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> >> Date: Fri, 19 Aug 1994 17:40:47 GMT
> >> Message-ID: <CusMG0.4r2@uunet.uu.net>
> >> Lines: 16
> >> Xref: uunet control:1137891
> >>
> >>
> >> rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> >> for
> >> creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> >> 1993.
> >>
> >> For your newsgroups file:
> >> rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> >>
> >>
> >> The charter, culled from the call for votes:
> >>
> >> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> >> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> >> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> >> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> >> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> >> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> >> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> >> many who do not have access to USENET news.
> >>
> >>
> >> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:33:28 1995
> >> Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> >> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner.ctl
> >> Path:
> >> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> >> From: ske@pkmab.se
> >> Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> >> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> >> Distribution: oerebro
> >> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:56:05 GMT
> >> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> >> Message-ID: <DD1CtH.1H3@berenice.pkmab.se>
> >> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> >> Lines: 4

> >> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:223
> >>
> >> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: rec.radio.scanner
> >> -- Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26
> >> Oerebro, Sweden
> >> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
> >>
> >>
> >>
>
>> --
-
> >----------------------------------
> >>
> >> ALT RADIO SCANNER
> >>
>
>> --
-
> >----------------------------------
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> From sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu Wed Mar 11 14:55:50 1992
> >> Path: rpi!usc!sdd.hp.com!wupost!kuhub.cc.ukans.edu!sloane
> >> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> >> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Message-ID: <1992Mar11.133544.38477@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
> >> From: <sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu>
> >> Date: 11 Mar 92 13:35:43 CST
> >> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Approved: sloane@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu
> >> Lines: 3
> >>
> >> Per the discussion in alt.config. For you newsgroups file:
> >>
> >> alt.radio.scanner Discussion of scanning radio receivers
> >>
> >> From damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU Wed Apr 1 13:12:46 1992
> >> Path:
> >>
>
>rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!mips!pacbell.com!att!princeton!udel!darwin.su
r
> >a.net!jvnc.net!yale.edu!cmcl2!exchange!damir
> >> From: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU (Damir Mijacika)
> >> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> >> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Message-ID: <23203@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU>
> >> Date: 1 Apr 92 16:12:22 GMT

> >> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Distribution: nyu
> >> Organization: NYU Stern School of Business
> >> Lines: 0
> >> Approved: damir@exchange.GBA.NYU.EDU
> >>
> >>
> >> From steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:11:37 1992
> >> Path:
> >>
>
>rpi!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!sdd.hp.com!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.ma
i
> >ne.edu!gandalf!steve
> >> From: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (Steve E. Goldsmith)
> >> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> >> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Message-ID: <16929@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> >> Date: 26 Apr 92 00:51:43 GMT
> >> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Distribution: umcs
> >> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> >> Lines: 0
> >> Approved: steve@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
> >>
> >>
> >> From root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU Sun Apr 26 13:18:05 1992
> >> Path:
> >>
>
>rpi!usenet.coe.montana.edu!decwrl!bu.edu!dartvax!mars.caps.maine.edu!gandal
f
> >!root
> >> From: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU (The root of ALL evil)
> >> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> >> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Message-ID: <17078@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU>
> >> Date: 26 Apr 92 01:12:46 GMT
> >> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Distribution: umcs
> >> Organization: University of Maine Department of Computer Science
> >> Lines: 0
> >> Approved: root@gandalf.UMCS.Maine.EDU
> >>
> >>
> >> From news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet Tue Jul 7 23:02:29 1992
> >> Path:
> >>
>
>rpi!think.com!mips!nec-gw!nec-tyo!wnoc-tyo-news!sh.wide!wnoc-kyo!wnoc-fukuo

k
> >a-news!shiwasu!scorpio!kusu!ai!news
> >> From: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet (news)
> >> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> >> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Message-ID: <5637@ai.ai.kyutech.junet>
> >> Date: 8 Jul 92 02:33:44 GMT
> >> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Distribution: ai
> >> Organization: Dept. of AI, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Iizuka,
> >> Japan
> >> Lines: 0
> >> Approved: news@ai.ai.kyutech.junet
> >>
> >>
> >> From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Wed Feb 2 18:43:07 1994
> >> Path:
> >>
>
>uunet!pipex!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com
!
> >csn!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!tali.hsc.colorado.edu!news
> >> From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
> >> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner
> >> Subject: cmsg rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Date: 2 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
> >> Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
> >> Lines: 7
> >> Distribution: inet
> >> Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpik@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
> >> NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> >> Xref: uunet control:842064
> >>
> >> The time has passed fro this group, either remove it or alias
> >> it to
> >> rec.radio.scanner.
> >> --
> >> Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
> >> chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> >>
>
>==
=
> >=
> >> Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
> >>
> >>
> >> From chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu Mon Feb 7 22:21:06 1994
> >> Path:

> >>
>
>uunet!news2.uunet.ca!ionews.io.org!gts!feline!halt!lookitthat!willya!tali.h
s
> >c.colorado.edu!news
> >> From: chris@tali.hsc.colorado.edu (Chris Yoder)
> >> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> >> Subject: cmsg newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Date: 3 Feb 1994 16:20:33 -0700
> >> Organization: Univ. of Colo, Health Sciences Center
> >> Lines: 6
> >> Message-ID: <2ipcg1INNpikl@tali.hsc.colorado.edu>
> >> NNTP-Posting-Host: tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> >> Xref: uunet control:846934
> >>
> >> The time has passed fro this group, alias it to
> >> rec.radio.scanner.
> >> --
> >> Chris Yoder is chris@tali.hsc.colorado or
> >> chris-urgent@tali.hsc.colorado.edu
> >>
>
>==
=
> >=
> >> Are we living in a land where sex and horror are the new gods?
> >>
> >> From lee@hsh.com Wed Mar 23 21:02:40 1994
> >> Path: uunet!spcuna!spcvxb!hsh!lee
> >> Newsgroups: control,alt.config
> >> Subject: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Message-ID: <1994Mar23.150044.3822@hsh>
> >> From: lee@hsh.com (Lee Havemann)
> >> Date: 23 Mar 94 15:00:44 EST
> >> Control: rmgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Distribution: world
> >> Organization: HSH Associates
> >> Summary: duplication is a waste
> >> Approved: lee@hsh.com
> >> Lines: 15
> >> Xref: uunet control:889055
> >>
> >> Why are we duplicating a viable rec. newsgroup? At last count, at
> >> least 64%
> >> of all articles in alt.radio.scanner are crossposted to
> >> rec.radio.scanner!
> >> rec.radio.scanner also has 4 times more traffic that a.r.s does.
> >>
> >> Isn't this a waste of bandwidth??

> >>
> >> I hearby rmgroup alt.radio.scanner. :-)
> >>
> >> --
> >>
> >> Lee Havemann, Comp Ops Dir. HSH Associates (201) 838-3330
> >> Internet: lee@hsh.com Compuserve: 70410,3507 AOL: HSH Assoc
> >> "Any opinions expressed are not necessarily those of anyone else,
> >> including myself."
> >>
> >> From ske@pkmab.se Wed Aug 9 05:32:26 1995
> >> Control: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.ctl
> >> Path:
> >> uunet!in2.uu.net!sunic!sunic.sunet.se!newsfeed.tip.net!berenice!ske
> >> From: ske@pkmab.se
> >> Subject: newgroup alt.radio.scanner
> >> Organization: Peridot Data AB, Oerebro, Sweden
> >> Distribution: oerebro
> >> Date: Wed, 9 Aug 1995 08:53:22 GMT
> >> Approved: ske@pkmab.se
> >> Message-ID: <DD1Coz.4o@berenice.pkmab.se>
> >> Sender: ske@berenice.pkmab.se (Kristoffer Eriksson)
> >> Lines: 4
> >> Xref: uunet control.newgroup:211
> >>
> >> Komplettering av lokala newsgruppslistor: alt.radio.scanner
> >> --
> >> Kristoffer Eriksson, IMS Peridot AB, Stallgatan 2, S-702 26 Oerebro,
> >> Sweden
> >> Phone: +46 19-33 13 00 ! Fax: +46 19-33 13 30 ! e-mail: ske@pkmab.se
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> On Thu, 17 Apr 2003 18:37:42 GMT, "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I received this e-mail from my ISP today:
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Dear Mr.Conti
> >> >We did receive your letter and thank you for responding. I am
enclosing a
> >> >document of the email in question for you to look over. Thanks.
> >> >
> >> >Sincerely yours,

> >> >
> >> >Gilbert Roman
> >> >Time Warner Cable
> >> >Internet Security
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Apparently, this is the e-mail they got from the whiner who caused all
> >the
> >> >trouble.....
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >-Forwarded----
> >> >
> >> >Path:
> >> >
> >>
>
>>nwrdny01.gnilink.net!cycny02.gnilink.net!cycny01.gnilink.net!cyclone2.gnil
i
> >n
> >> >k
> >> >
> >>
>
>>.net!cyclone1.gnilink.net!newsfeed.news2me.com!newsfeed-west.nntpserver.co
m
> >!
> >> >h
> >> >
> >>
>
>>ub1.meganetnews.com!nntpserver.com!news-west.rr.com!news-server.columbus.r
r
> >.
> >> >c
> >> >
> >>
>
>>om!cyclone.rdc-nyc.rr.com!news-out.nyc.rr.com!twister.nyc.rr.com.POSTED!no
t
> >-
> >> >f
> >> >
> >> >or-mail
> >> >
> >> >From: "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com>
> >> >
> >> >Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> >> >
> >> >Subject: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2006
> >> >
> >> >Lines: 14
> >> >
> >> >X-Priority: 3
> >> >
> >> >X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> >> >
> >> >X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
> >> >
> >> >X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
> >> >
> >> >Message-ID: <9uAba.126325$ma2.23495482@twister.nyc.rr.com>
> >> >
> >> >Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 06:30:29 GMT
> >> >
> >> >NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.168.140.228
> >> >
> >> >X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
> >> >
> >> >X-Trace: twister.nyc.rr.com 1047450629 24.168.140.228 (Wed, 12 Mar
2003
> >> >
> >> >01:30:29 EST)
> >> >
> >> >NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:30:29 EST
> >> >
> >> >Organization: Road Runner - NYC
> >> >
> >> >Xref: cyclone1.gnilink.net alt.radio.scanner:26009
> >rec.radio.scanner:32530
> >> >
> >> >rec.radio.swap:55272
> >> >
> >> >X-Received-Date: Wed, 12 Mar 2003 01:36:01 EST (nwrdny01.gnilink.net)
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >I have a Radio Shack Pro-2005 base scanner for sale. This radio is in
> >> >
> >> >Excellent condition, non-smoking environment, bright display, with the
> >> >
> >> >cellular and scan speed-up mods. Includes box and manual.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >$250.00 Shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
> >> >
> >> >

> >> >
> >> >PAYPAL accepted and preferred, but will take a US Postal money order.
> >> >
> >> >Personal check OK but the scanner ships when the check clears.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >eBay feedbak under OFFDAN.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >E-mail if interested.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Mr. Roman also left me a phone message, where he stated that ,
according
> >to
> >> >HIM, and I guess he is speaking for Time Warner. The MERE FACT that
the
> >> >posting was aon a newsgroup deems it to be commercial.
> >> >
> >> >Go figure.
> >> >
> >> >Dan
> >> >
> >>
> >
>

3176. Re: LNKSYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 23:14:46 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3ea086e6$0$187$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 32491

I do not know the exact frequencies but they are in the 2.4 gig range.

Greg
"Kevin Gunther" <beeltee@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:xPXna.35734$MB4.13798421@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Does anyone know the frequency(ies) of LNKSYS wireless??
>
> thanks
>
>

3177. Re: LNKSYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 23:49:28 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <ba0oa.515430$3D1.281524@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 32492

grenner wrote:
> I do not know the exact frequencies but they are in the 2.4 gig range.
>
> Greg
> "Kevin Gunther" <beeltee@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:xPXna.35734$MB4.13798421@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
>
>>Does anyone know the frequency(ies) of LNKSYS wireless??
>>
>>thanks
>>
>>
>
>
>
Why would one want to know about these anyway. IMHO Listening to the
data transmissions from these on a scanner isn't what I call exciting
and trying to pick up this stuff on your PC would be near impossible
because of the encryption that most of the people who use these put into
place.

3178. Pro 2052 Backlight dosen't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-18 23:55:36 UTC
From: WR <impact@snet.net>
Message-ID: <5d41av8v6vei08cih47nfpoa2kv17ut744@4ax.com>
Source record: 32493

Dear Scanner Group

I have a RS Pro 2052 and the backlight dosen't work
Is this easy to replace ? Is the part available at RS stores or does
it have to be ordered

Thanks for your help
Bill

3179. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 00:21:36 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <iE0oa.8870$X44.7805@fed1read07>
Source record: 32494
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

I prefer

<FFFFLLLLLUUUUUUSSSSSHHHHH!!!!>

--
-me

"Choose your weapon! You choose.... spoon!"
(maybe you don't understand question)
"Michael Moore" <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca> wrote in message
news:3E9CD391.2080302@NOSPAMutoronto.ca...
> GF Jones wrote:
> > "PLONK"
>
> I always liked the sound of that.
>
> <Plonk!>
>
>

3180. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 00:22:07 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <va1636r65poic5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32495
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"RobTaylor" <rob_taylor_@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ad0184f9.0304180909.4ee0853b@posting.google.com...
> WHAT??? Why the f*!k would I put my certification on the line for your
> dumb ass? I have a family to feed, loser. I don't really care what
> your reason is for needing that plate information, but unless you work
> in law enforcement, you'd better not get it, and you have no right to
> it. Give me a good reason why someone would risk their job for YOU?
>

If you lived around here, you wouldn't be risking your job the slightest
bit. They give that crap out over the radio all day long, no questions
asked...

> Some nerve..
>
You certainly do. What an ignorant and uncalled for responce.

> Go try that in alt.police or alt.lawenforcement or something and see
> what kind of reception you get.

Thanks for the suggestion, I will...

3181. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 01:02:09 UTC
From: MarylouR@city.net
Message-ID: <3EA0A010.132E10B6@city.net>
Source record: 32496
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can we dispense with this thread.

WE are about radios.

RobTaylor wrote:

> You know what actually, I think I'll run the plate and call the owner
> and tell him some ass on the internet is trying to solicit information
> the way you are. I'm sure he'll be pleased.
>
> "Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:<v9ruvb87ss5r73@corp.supernews.com>...
> > "b7spectra" <webmaster@scanatlanta.com> wrote in message
> > news:R3kna.158380$ug3.293024@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > 10-28 is on Jack Kevorkian
> > >
> > Yeah, sure... Not even close.

3182. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 01:05:39 UTC
From: MarylouR@city.net
Message-ID: <3EA0A0E3.3F5095FD@city.net>
Source record: 32397
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

What about RADIO people? Or, have you forgotten that is the groups you posted in?

Kevin Gowen wrote:

> Gogarty wrote:
> > Cursed are the peacemakers for they shall be reviled. I just don't
> > understand the depth of hostility to France. Perhaps it's based on
> > envy.
>
> Envy of what? You have it exactly backwards.
>
> Everyone knows that the French are the most arrogant people on earth.
> However, no one knows why.
>
> --
> Kevin Gowen

3183. Re: what % of military aviation communications encrypt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 01:21:57 UTC
From: heavyfrigate@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c8e41214.0304181721.5012f68b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.military

Dan Jacobson <jidanni@dman.ddts.net> wrote in message
news:<87ptnjfust.fsf_-_@jidanni.org>...
> >>>>> "S" == Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com> writes:
>
> >> What percentage of police departments encrypt communications these days?
>
> S> Not many...Adds cost and degrades performance...
>
> This got me thinking, how about military aircraft? They must have
> some communication in clear mode to talk sometimes to civil air
> control. (When going beyond their personal Restricted Area, they must
> say something to civil air authorities, no?)
>
> And towers at military airports are merely at different frequencies,
> e.g. 200~300 etc. Mhz here in Taiwan, vs. 118~136 civil, but not
> encrypted?
>
> Or do they have alternative frequencies with encryption when going into
> "war mode"?
>
> Can someone summarize the communications of a some typical peacetime military
> flights, from the point of view of how much we scanner enthusiasts can
> hear and how much we're missing?

there's a bombing and gunnery range nearby, and between range control,
the forward air controllers and the strike aircraft, on any given
morning its like listening to a private war. there are milair
frequency lists on the net. don't assume they are current though.

3184. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 01:25:53 UTC
From: Kevin Gowen <kgowenNOSPAM@myfastmail.com>
Message-ID: <b7q8j6$3lelm$1@ID-105084.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32399
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

MarylouR@city.net wrote:
> What about RADIO people? Or, have you forgotten that is the groups
> you posted in?
>
> Kevin Gowen wrote:
>
>> Gogarty wrote:
>>> Cursed are the peacemakers for they shall be reviled. I just don't
>>> understand the depth of hostility to France. Perhaps it's based on
>>> envy.
>>
>> Envy of what? You have it exactly backwards.
>>
>> Everyone knows that the French are the most arrogant people on earth.
>> However, no one knows why.
>>
>> --
>> Kevin Gowen

No. I have forgotten how to top-post and how to end a sentence in a
preposition.

--
Kevin Gowen

3185. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 01:48:30 UTC
From: Pappy@usa.net
Message-ID: <3EA0AAEE.4E3D1973@usa.net>
Source record: 32400
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

me wrote:

> I prefer
>
> <FFFFLLLLLUUUUUUSSSSSHHHHH!!!!>
>

WOW - that BS (bovine stockology) made a whole lot of sense.

3186. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 01:56:46 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030418215646.19078.00000197@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 32401

>Ocean County Public Safety Trunked System monitoring TG 0928 Ocean
>county Paramedics Dispatch :
>
>13:20 711 En route to CMH
>
>13:22 Squad dispatched Bayville nursing home - chest pains
>
>13:24 Squad dispatched Crestwood Village - fall
>
>13:25 Squad out at CMH
>
>13:26 711 arrive at CMH
>
>etc., etc., all day/night long...
>

They must be re-broadcasting 155.205 because that's what's heard there. The
radios in our ambulances and our handhelds all operate on 155.205.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

3187. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 02:11:53 UTC
From: Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu>
Message-ID: <6LmdnfUBh_jwLT2jXTWcpA@bway.net>
Source record: 32402
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.iraq

In article <va0f124r7sog5c@corp.supernews.com>, public@e.mail says...

>Let's say you're a drug dealer in central Mexico with piles of drugs spread
>out around your villa. One day you hear the police are going to visit your
>villa in a months time and search for the drugs. Will they find any?
>Probably not. It will take alot of detailed searching. It may even
>require a search of your friends "place" next door.
>
We are not talking about a well in the backyard and the local yokel sheriff.
We are talking about the vaunted intelligence services of the US and UK and
the might of the US military and 200,000 troops on the ground for nearly two
months now. Your analogy fails on all counts.

3188. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 02:19:36 UTC
From: Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu>
Message-ID: <NjCdnQxqZ_KmLz2jXTWcpQ@bway.net>
Source record: 32403
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <MJYna.109669$0X.26003352@twister.columbus.rr.com>, Q@Q.invalid.com says...
>

>
>The coalition did NOT send in armies of WEAPONS INSPECTORS. They are just
>NOW starting to look, and are STILL back-tracking to do clean-up operations.

Uhhmmm. Seems to me if you start a war about something the first thing you do is justify the reason for the war. They have been looking, since day one. Zilch.

As for Blix, he did not hide, he did not emphasize items that seemed irrelavant and indeed turned out to be so

The primary objective was to
>oust the regime of Saddam Hussein

Yeah, well, depending on which day it was. That was the first reason, then it became WMD, then it became ... well, take your pick.

- nobody was given orders to stop along
>the way and search every nook and cranny to see what they can find.

Wrong! From the start the US has emphasized that it had teams on the ground even before the war started looking for WMD
>
>> Don't you think that if Iraq had such weapns
>> and intended to use them that they
>> would have been moved to the battle front?
>
>They had been playing a shell game with UN inspectors, and obstructing them
>at every opportunity

Nope. Considering thje national humiliation about the whole thing, they were remarkably cooperative.

>Use your head.

I am. Are you?
>
>

3189. need help picking a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 02:37:06 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <opednW5oL-7YKz2jXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 32404

What I need to know is what Radio Shack scanners that are similar to the BC80XLT? I need 800MHZ, I DO NOT need trunking. I listen to 150's 400's and a handful of 800 MHZ frequencies.
Thanks

--
Anthony B.
anthony@mostlyrockets.com
www.mostlyrockets.com

3190. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 02:46:39 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3EA0B88F.9050707@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 32405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JT wrote:
> Fuck you Frenchie. Worship Satan while listening to cellular traffic on your
> scanner!

Huh?

3191. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 03:06:25 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190a5d103a4eaa309899ce@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32406
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <3E9CD391.2080302@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>,
m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca says...
> GF Jones wrote:
> > "PLONK"
>
> I always liked the sound of that.
>
> <Plonk!>
>
>
>
Me too. It means I have crushed another mental dwarf.

3192. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 03:39:48 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3EA0C504.9060203@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 32410
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

FS and FA ads should be placed in the .swap and .marketplace
groups where they belong and leave the discussion groups for
discussion.

David Stinson wrote:
> What your ISP says concerns you.
> Mine has a different point of view.
> For us, if it isn't specifically prohibitied by group charter,
> it's allowed. FA and FS have been and ever more shall be posted
> to the various hobby groups. If your ISP is anal retentive about it,
> I suggest getting a better ISP.
> D.S.

3193. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 04:42:28 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3EA0D3B4.E79E0941@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 32407
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

What your ISP says concerns you.
Mine has a different point of view.
For us, if it isn't specifically prohibitied by group charter,
it's allowed. FA and FS have been and ever more shall be posted
to the various hobby groups. If your ISP is anal retentive about it,
I suggest getting a better ISP.
D.S.

3194. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 05:26:44 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <265oa.10594$X44.5732@fed1read07>
Source record: 32408
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

You know what?

Everyone has missed the boat here.

The answer is so simple, I can almost feel Mr. Roman willing you to just shut up and play the game...

This is how it works. ISP receives complaint, rolls eyes skyward then sends you the letter just so they can tell the accuser "See, we are doing something about it".

You respond to this letter and just say "No problem. Thanks for the tip."

That's it. Now your ISP can tell your accuser "See we asked him to stop and he said OK. That's the best we can do."

Next time you want to sell an item, post it as you always do. Like it never even happened.

That's the game you play.
--
-me

"Choose your weapon! You choose... spoon!"
(maybe you not understand question)

3195. Re: need help picking a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 05:37:33 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <xg5oa.94541$dh1.807677@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 32409

"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message
news:opednW5oL-7YKz2jXTWcog@comcast.com...
> What I need to know is what Radio Shack scanners that are similar to the
> BC80XLT? I need 800MHZ, I DO NOT need trunking. I listen to 150's 400's
and
> a handful of 800 MHZ frequencies.
> Thanks

A Uniden 780XLT or the handheld equivalent BC 245XLT will do just fine.
Both are trunk, but do just jim dandy on
standard frequencies.

No need to go and buy a Radio Snack.

3196. Re: LNKSYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 06:11:34 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnba1q4m.2mv.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 32411

In article <xPXna.35734$MB4.13798421@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, Kevin Gunther wrote:
> Does anyone know the frequency(ies) of LNKSYS wireless??

Same frequency as all other 802.11b networks, approx 2.4GHz.
It uses spread spectrum, so to catch the signal, you need
a SS receiver, that will receive all 5 (or more ?) frequencies
at once.

The cheapest is a $50 wlan card.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

3197. birdie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 07:06:27 UTC
From: Cordell Ward <dd@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <Tz6oa.521208$L1.152186@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 32412
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Since all scanners have birdies - Are the same birdies always found in the same model of scanner???

Ahh,

3198. FS: 16CH UHF 5WATT FREE SHIPPING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 10:10:03 UTC
From: David & Daphne Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <3282avcl9bpv1svr18ipvnmg95uu96i50r@4ax.com>
Source record: 32256

Midland 70-254B 16ch UHF - SCAN - 5watt 410-470mhz
****
"USER DTMF PROGRAMABLE" No programmer needed!! User programmable !!!
****
(1) Model 70- C11A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) Model 70-B24 13.2V 450mah battery (30hr use only)
(1) Programming spec sheet

All items are in excellent to perfect condition rates 9-10 or better.
The only flaw is one of the switch rubber covers is "cut" (see pic)

Org. box for radio avail. Has back-lit display and priority function.
Full program spec sheet avail. Radio is fully functional.

Multi-digital pics avail. Email for questions or comments.
Asking price $200USD FREE "AIR EXPRESS" SHIPPING!

3199. Re: birdie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 10:10:17 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <0UydnewcqrsWvTyjXTWcpw@ctc.net>
Source record: 32414
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Cordell Ward" <dd@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:Tz6oa.521208$L1.152186@sccrnsc02...
> Since all scanners have birdies - Are the same birdies always found in the
> same model of scanner???
>

While you can not say always, for most scanners they will have the birdies
in the same place.

3200. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 10:55:45 UTC
From: Arkmood <arkmood@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190af53ed3994809896a3@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 32415

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme says...

> They must be re-broadcasting 155.205 because that's what's heard there. The
> radios in our ambulances and our handhelds all operate on 155.205.

Congratulations!! You've figured it out! Known as 'simulcasting', it's
happening over in Manchester (OC) also while their new trunk system is
being implemented and their old system phased out...

3201. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 11:09:02 UTC
From: Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette>
Message-ID: <6482av814s9kri5p8mvqtj9hctm2o58utb@4ax.com>
Source record: 32416
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

That's your opinion and only an opinion.... a decade late. Since there is nothing in the charters prohibiting the sale or swap of radios or radio related equipment then it doesn't matter what your opinion is because the newsgroups have already been formed and the charters created. Perhaps you should have spoken up when the newsgroups were created to include in the charter that the swap and sale of radio related equipment was prohibited.

You didn't, case closed!

The reason you have someplace to post your opinion is because someone formed these newsgroups. When they formed these newsgroups they created charters to govern what is, and what is not acceptable for people to post here.

If you want to form your own newsgroup called rec.radio.scanner.d or alt.radio.scanner.d and create a charter that says the newsgroup will be used for discussion only and never for the sale or swap of radio related equipment then you are free to do so. Your opinion will then have some weight with the news providers. Until then you are using something that someone else created and following the rules, called charters, that were put in place when the newsgroup was formed.

I hope this cleared things up for you.

On Fri, 18 Apr 2003 23:39:48 -0400, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote:

>FS and FA ads should be placed in the .swap and .marketplace
>groups where they belong and leave the discussion groups for
>discussion.
>
>David Stinson wrote:
>> What your ISP says concerns you.
>> Mine has a different point of view.
>> For us, if it isn't specifically prohibitied by group charter,
>> it's allowed. FA and FS have been and ever more shall be posted
>> to the various hobby groups. If your ISP is anal retentive about it,
>> I suggest getting a better ISP.
>> D.S.

3202. Re: LNKSYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 11:12:03 UTC
From: Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette>
Message-ID: <1mb2avguln7fabo3gk94lio4p2179ia21l@4ax.com>
Source record: 32417

Maybe this will help?

http://www.qsl.net/n9zia/trans/index.html

or this?

http://www.qsl.net/n9zia/dsss-channels.html

On Fri, 18 Apr 2003 18:52:13 GMT, "Kevin Gunther"
<beeltee@optonline.net> wrote:

>Does anyone know the frequency(ies) of LNKSYS wireless??
>
>thanks
>

3203. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 11:25:56 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8naoa.108349$JI.27740687@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32418
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
news:3EA0C504.9060203@mailcity.moc...
> FS and FA ads should be placed in the .swap and .marketplace
> groups where they belong and leave the discussion groups for
> discussion.

Why??? You don't have to read them!
DeWayne

3204. Re: Where I live.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 11:56:30 UTC
From: Den6N <den6n@rcn.com>
Message-ID: <b7rdiu$1g$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 32419

I don't your area frequency-wise, but do a Google search for "Muskogee Oklahoma frequencies" and a lot of info comes up...

den6n
==========
"Doc" <76416.2331@chiropractice.com> wrote in message
news:22f9bb62.0304170933.7a421f37@posting.google.com...
> I am buying my first scanner. I live in Muskogee, Oklahoma; near Tulsa
> and a friend advised I post here to get an idea of what equipment I
> need for my area. I am lookin on eBay at scanners.
>
> I am interested in Police, Fire, Ambulance, HAM Radio,
> Aircraft/Airports, Trains,etc.
>
> Help appreciated.

3205. Re: Pro 2052 Backlight dosen't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 12:12:22 UTC
From: Den6N <den6n@rcn.com>
Message-ID: <b7regn$1un$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 32420

There's a Yahoo group for the 2052 - someone there may know th details of repairing
or replacing it. I wouldn't think it would be too difficult.

den6n
==========
"WR" <impact@snet.net> wrote in message news:5d41av8v6vei08cih47nfpoa2kv17ut744@4ax.com...
> Dear Scanner Group
>
> I have a RS Pro 2052 and the backlight dosen't work
> Is this easy to replace ? Is the part available at RS stores or does
> it have to be ordered
>
> Thanks for your help
> Bill

3206. Re: Pricecheck: Possibly WTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 12:14:38 UTC
From: Den6N <den6n@rcn.com>
Message-ID: <b7reku$26s$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 32421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I've seen reviews on eham.net that include price range info for various items - check there.

den6n
==========
"swl" <swl@c.dk> wrote in message news:r462avga45rirhb6ug0lbfd2rn5p77rqgf@4ax.com...
> Hi list
>
> Im figuring out to raise money for a Ten-tec RX-340.
>
> Im allowed but wife wants me to part with some of my radios then.
>
> What would be a resonably non negotiable price to issue on:
>
> Icom R-8500 (no gaps) with voice unit (original packing and manual)
> spotless appearance and working condition. Original owner.
>
> NRD 545 spotless condition and operation.
>
> Both radios have always been cared and valued for.
>
> Im also slightly getting less interested in the scanning part and more
> and more into HF/LF and Ute DXing.
>
> Id prefer to sell face to face or prepayed.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Best Regards / Med Venlig Hilsen
> Stephan W.-Larsen / swl at c dot dk
> ---
> Listening to the World from Denmark.
> Radio:NRD545G,HP3586A,ICR8500,WR-G303i/PD
> Antenna:Wellbrook ALA1530&ALA100,Dressler ARA2000
> Software: Radioraft,SpectraPLUS,Argo,WWSU

3207. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 12:49:34 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030419084934.19113.00000198@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 32422

It might be helpful for both of you to broaden your thinking about the purpose of the talk group carrying EMS comms.

At this time, there MAY actually be no EMS personnel or squads actually equipped to operate on the trunked system. Or maybe just some EMS supervisors have radios.

It might still serve a useful purpose to patch 155.205 into a TRS talk group. Sheriffs department units would then be able to monitor EMS calls, as well as go "direct" with EMS units, if needed. This would be more-useful if S-O units are routinely dispatched for EMS calls in the county, or carry AED units in the patrol vehicles.

It may also be a matter of politics and money. The county may want EMS and the squads to move to the trunked system, but the agencies may be reluctant if they have to buy the radios, and the current radios work well.

Prior to visiting family in Ocean County in January, I noted that one online source showed talk group 00928 as "Sheriffs channel 5 / MICU" ... which is part of the reason I mentioned above that this talk group may be for the use / benefit of the sheriffs officers.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

3208. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 12:56:47 UTC
From: You Gotta be Kidding <ohno@notme.net>
Message-ID: <8rh2av0a55v8d8oevjbhff0tsetibpd4fr@4ax.com>
Source record: 32423
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 19 Apr 2003 11:25:56 GMT, "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:

>
>"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
>news:3EA0C504.9060203@mailcity.moc...
>> FS and FA ads should be placed in the .swap and .marketplace
>> groups where they belong and leave the discussion groups for
>> discussion.
>
>Why??? You don't have to read them!
>DeWayne
>

And you don't have to read comments about them, idiot.

3209. Scantenna ST2 Antenna Opinions Requested ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 12:59:21 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsrose@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <b7rh7d$kq6$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 32424

Hi,

Presently using a R/S Discone in my attic to scan 30MHz to 1.3GHz, or so.
Seems to work surprisingly well, but of course I really can't compare it
against anything.

Was wondering if anyone might have any opinions on the Scantenna ST2 ?
Have heardthat it "greatly" outperforms the Discones over this range for the
normal fm, and also the am aviation stuff.

I do need the broadband capability, as I listen all over that wide range
usually.

Any opinions on whether I should consider replacing the R/S Discone with the
ST2
would be greatly appreciated.

Might as well also ask: Any others in the $100 max range I should consider?
It will have to go in my attic (2 story Colonial).

Actually, I'm not at all sure that I can get the ST2 in the attic, as its
specs seem to say
that it takes a true 8-1/2 foot vertical clearance. True ?

Thanks,
Bob

3210. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 13:18:07 UTC
From: Jeff C <jcamp2@cox.net>
Message-ID: <j0coa.188914$S14.184851@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 32425
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mine is the pretty much the same. If the charter allows it or the intent of the group implies that you can sell or swap, then it's OK, although they say you can't use your ISP account with them for commercial purposes. You have to upgrade to a commercial account instead. I can't imagine anyone making a real living selling radio equipment here, although I just watched a Drake TR-5 sell for $1600 on eBay. Wish I had kept mine...

73,
Jeff
N0WJP

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3EA0D3B4.E79E0941@ix.netcom.com...
> What your ISP says concerns you.
> Mine has a different point of view.
> For us, if it isn't specifically prohibitied by group charter,
> it's allowed. FA and FS have been and ever more shall be posted
> to the various hobby groups. If your ISP is anal retentive about it,
> I suggest getting a better ISP.
> D.S.

3211. Re: Pro 2052 Backlight dosen't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 13:30:00 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <93626868FYomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32426

Everything you ever wanted to know about a Pro-2052 :-)

http://www.radioshack.com/ProdSupport/DocumentDetail/DocumentDetailIndex.asp?P=support_electronics&D=2849&T=htm

Yes it's an easy fix, i.e. about 10min 9min to take it apart and put it back together 1 min to replace the part. The EL backlight is $18.00 from Radio Shack.

impact@snet.net (WR) wrote in <5d41av8v6vei08cih47nfpoa2kv17ut744@4ax.com>:

>Pro 2052

3212. Re: Scantenna ST2 Antenna Opinions Requested ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 13:34:01 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <936260CCDYomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32427

Well Bob your question is to easy to answer. Click..

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/scantenna.htm

or

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm

And 4th link from the bottom "Base Antenna Testing"

George

rgsrose@tiac.net (Robert11) wrote in
<b7rh7d$kq6$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>:

>Hi,
>
>Presently using a R/S Discone in my attic to scan 30MHz to 1.3GHz, or
>so. Seems to work surprisingly well, but of course I really can't
>compare it against anything.
>
>Was wondering if anyone might have any opinions on the Scantenna ST2 ?
>Have heardthat it "greatly" outperforms the Discones over this range for
>the normal fm, and also the am aviation stuff.
>
>I do need the broadband capability, as I listen all over that wide range
>usually.
>
>Any opinions on whether I should consider replacing the R/S Discone with
>the ST2
>would be greatly appreciated.
>
>Might as well also ask: Any others in the $100 max range I should
>consider? It will have to go in my attic (2 story Colonial).
>
>Actually, I'm not at all sure that I can get the ST2 in the attic, as
>its specs seem to say
>that it takes a true 8-1/2 foot vertical clearance. True ?
>
>Thanks,
>Bob
>

3213. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 13:39:17 UTC
From: Texas Steven <rothrock@stic.net>
Message-ID: <3ea15152$0$43848$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 32428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Give it a rest...people!

TexasSteven

3214. Re: Pricecheck: Possibly WTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 13:55:15 UTC
From: "K.S.Blake" <polar@tiscali.com>
Message-ID: <b7rkhe$ai8$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 32429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

If I were you, I'd get rid of the wife!

--

K.S.Blake
MW1001SWL
MW1FCP
.

"swl" <swl@c.dk> wrote in message
news:r462avga45rirhb6ug0lbfd2rn5p77rqgf@4ax.com...
> Hi list
>
> Im figuring out to raise money for a Ten-tec RX-340.
>
> Im allowed but wife wants me to part with some of my radios then.
>
> What would be a resonably non negotiable price to issue on:
>
> Icom R-8500 (no gaps) with voice unit (original packing and manual)
> spotless appearance and working condition. Original owner.
>
> NRD 545 spotless condition and operation.
>
> Both radios have always been cared and valued for.
>
> Im also slightly getting less interested in the scanning part and more
> and more into HF/LF and Ute DXing.
>
> Id prefer to sell face to face or prepayed.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Best Regards / Med Venlig Hilsen
> Stephan W.-Larsen / swl at c dot dk
> --
> Listening to the World from Denmark.

> Radio:NRD545G,HP3586A,ICR8500,WR-G303i/PD
> Antenna:Wellbrook ALA1530&ALA100,Dressler ARA2000
> Software: Radioraft,SpectraPLUS,Argo,WWSU

3215. Re: Pro 2004 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 14:04:48 UTC
From: Jerry <fccgrant@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4Icoa.68470$ja4.4536322@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 32430

Mark-

Are you using an outside antenna or are you using a telescopic
antenna that is connected to the back of the 2004? Have you tried
moving the scanner to a different location?

Mark Langenfeld wrote:
> Can anyone help me diagnose this RS Pro 2004 problem:
>
> For several years, I have heard a very occasional, loud metallic
> "tink, tink" sound over strong NBFM signals. Recently, this has
> evolved to much-more-frequent (but still intermittent) crackling and
> crashing, sounding very much like strong QRN (atmospheric noise). It
> is not QRN, nor is it noisy pots (either AF gain or squelch). It is
> usually heard over a strong signal -- the stronger the signal, the
> more likely the problem. It is never heard without a signal breaking
> the squelch, nor while scanning among vacant channels. It is loudest
> in the NBFM mode, but can be heard faintly in WFM and fainter yet in
> AM.
>
> Any guesses or suggestions?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Mark

--
Jerry Smith
Midwest Grant Pages
http://ilgrant.home.att.net

The #1 Chicago Area Scanner Resource on the Web
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Assoc. http://www.carmachicago.com

3216. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 14:05:03 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3EA1578F.ECC3E23D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 32431
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

JJ wrote:
>
> FS and FA ads should be placed in the .swap and .marketplace
> groups where they belong and leave the discussion groups for

Your opinion is noted. C-Ya

3217. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 14:06:15 UTC
From: Arkmood <arkmood@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190b21dead1864139896a4@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 32432

jamulter@aol.com says...
>
> It might be helpful for both of you to broaden your thinking about the purpose
> of the talk group carrying EMS comms.

It also may be helpful (for you) if you read the full thread before
commenting.

<Snip>

> I noted that one online
> source showed talk group 00928 as "Sheriffs channel 5 / MICU" ... which is part
> of the reason I mentioned above that this talk group may be for the use /
> benefit of the sheriffs officers.

It's not - as my posted monitoring log of TG 0928 demonstrated...

3218. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 14:07:41 UTC
From: Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <87u1cu8tsi.fld@barrow.com>
Source record: 32433
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>JJ wrote:
>>
>> FS and FA ads should be placed in the .swap and .marketplace
>> groups where they belong and leave the discussion groups for
>
>Your opinion is noted. C-Ya

You left out the significant part:

Noted, and totally ignored because it isn't sensible...

--
Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

3219. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 14:39:53 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304190639.6eda34df@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32434
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

FWIW: Its not about being in compliance with or a violation of the
ISP's 'Terms of Usage Rules".

Its about 'The Power' of the ISP to Yank Your Cord [.]

The ISP has 'The Power' and they whip you with it; right or wrong.

The Use of 'The Power' is about...
- Making a 'Bad Example'
- - A "Good Example"
- - - By the Use of Your Power.

Simply getting people to talk about 'Your Power': Amplifies and
Magnifies 'Your Power' in the eyes of the people you are attempting to
control. It is what people 'think you can do' that controls them the
most: Not what you actually do. 'The Power' is often simply
Perception and not seldom Reality. It is the Illusion of "The Power"
that Controls: Thereby minimizing the need for the Use of 'the power'.

NOTE: Most ISPs act like a police agency and use 'Their Power' (Fear)
by putting out a lot of plywood police cars {Caution/Warning
Notices/Reminders) on the side of the road.
[Hey - Gets people to slow down and stay within the limits.]

Peace Through Strength ~ RHF
Old Inspectors Never Die...
They Simply Check-Out !
.
.
= = = "Robert" <rdbake@prtcnet.org> wrote in message
= = = news:<b7osqb$16m$1@nd.eastky.net>...
> "Floyd Davidson" <floyd@ptialaska.net> wrote
>
> > I don't see that Dan was guilty of an violation of his customer
> > service agreement, nor of any widely recognized Usenet rules.
>
> Me neither.
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.473 / Virus Database: 271 - Release Date: 4/17/2003

3220. Icom R-10 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 14:44:06 UTC
From: Steve <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org>
Message-ID: <Wgdoa.189247$S14.20418@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 32435

Looking for Icom R-10 programing software.

Thanks!

3221. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 14:50:59 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3EA16253.7030806@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 32439
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Know it All wrote:
> That's your opinion and only an opinion.... a decade late. Since
> there is nothing in the charters prohibiting the sale or swap of
> radios or radio related equipment then it doesn't matter what your
> opinion is because the newsgroups have already been formed and the
> charters created. Perhaps you should have spoken up when the
> newsgroups were created to include in the charter that the swap and
> sale of radio related equipment was prohibited.
>
> You didn't, case closed!

And that is your opinion an only an opinion, case not closed!!

3222. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 15:09:25 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030419110925.18952.00000158@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 32436

>Congratulations!! You've figured it out! Known as 'simulcasting', it's
>happening over in Manchester (OC) also while their new trunk system is
>being implemented and their old system phased out...

Well, I think it'll be a white for the first aid squads to switch. Generally
the towns don't want to fork out that kind of money to volunteer organizations
to upgrade their radio systems and the squads usually don't have that kind of
money to spend either. Hell, county fire is still using a VHF-Lo (30mhz) band
for communications!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

3223. Wanted-Pro 2042 Manual's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 16:00:11 UTC
From: lawnjocke@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030419120011.02146.00000140@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 32437

Hi, I'm looking for a Pro 2042 Service and Owners manuals. Let me know if you have them to sell. Thank you, Tom

3224. Re: LNKSYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 16:08:12 UTC
From: grenner <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3ea1746d$0$200$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 32438

I do not have a clue why anyone would want to listen to a wireless network.
It would rank right up there with listening to garage door openers.

"Jeff Seale" <jseale18@insightbb.com> wrote in message
news:ba0oa.515430$3D1.281524@sccrnsc01...
> grenner wrote:
> > I do not know the exact frequencies but they are in the 2.4 gig range.
> >
> > Greg
> > "Kevin Gunther" <beeltee@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > news:xPXna.35734$MB4.13798421@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> >
> >>Does anyone know the frequency(ies) of LNKSYS wireless??
> >>
> >>thanks
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> Why would one want to know about these anyway. IMHO Listening to the
> data transmissions from these on a scanner isn't what I call exciting
> and trying to pick up this stuff on your PC would be near impossible
> because of the encryption that most of the people who use these put into
> place.
>

3225. Re: LNKSYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 17:15:55 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <9362805BCYomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32440

They run in the 2.4GHz range

http://www.linksys.com

beeltee@optonline.net (Kevin Gunther) wrote in
<xPXna.35734$MB4.13798421@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>:

>Does anyone know the frequency(ies) of LNKSYS wireless??
>
>thanks
>
>

3226. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 17:25:48 UTC
From: Terry <iggy@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <evfoa.18024$B51.10483@fe09.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 32441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

There is NO god 'allah'! Thre is only one GOD the GOD of creation! Jesus himself the GOD the Father The Son and the holly spirit. And believe it, he will come again. He said so..

"RHF" <rhf-mail@usa.com> wrote in message news:3ef6beca.0304170831.3da3e988@posting.google.com...
> These are not JESUS' Last Words...
> - But they were say
> - - by the dimi-god of CNN
> - - - Ted Turner (an Anti-Christ Want-To-Be)
>
>
> "Christianity is a Religion for Losers."
> - Ted Turner,
> - - CNN Founder and President,
> - - - Said to the Dallas Morning News, 1989.
>
>
> ~ RHF
> .
> .
> = = = rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) wrote in message
> = = = news:<3ef6beca.0304090129.381fc10c@posting.google.com>...
> > MM,
> >
> > Ah... But 'you' Miss the Point.
> > - For the Believer it is a Matter of Faith.
> > - - Proof is NOT Required.
> > - - - Faith is What Matters.
> > - - - - And FAITH Is All That Is Needed
> > - - - - - For the True BELIEVER [.]
> > With FAITH in God {Allah}. . . All Things Are Possible.
> >
> > God {Allah} is Good !
> >
> > God {Allah} is Merciful !
> >
> > God's {Allah's} Peace Be Upon the World.
> >
> > God's {Allah's} Word Brings Knowledge and Understanding.
> >
> > Peace is a Blessing from God {Allah}.
> > - Earned By Those Who Armor Themselves in His Word.

> > - - And Act Righteously in His Name.
> > - - - For They are Truly Blessed On-Earth and In-Heaven.
> >
> > God {Allah} is Strong and Powerful !
> >
> > God {Allah} Bless Our America Fighting Men and Women - Amen
> >
> > God's {Allah's} Peace Be with America's HomeLand Defenders.
> >
> > God {Allah} Watch Over Our America Fighting Men and Women and
> > Strengthen their Spirit and Resolve.
> >
> > May God's {Allah's} Peace Be with America's Fallen Warriors and
> > Comfort their Families, Friends and Love Ones.
> >
> > May God's {Allah's} Strength, Kindness, Mercy and Wisdom 'abide' with
> > America's Leaders - Amen.
> >
> >
> > For I Have FAITH and I Believe ~ RHF
> > .
> > .

3227. Re: need help picking a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 17:26:52 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <936282AB0Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32442

Why do you want a Radio Shit I mean Shack scanner Tony?? The biggest bang for the buck in a new scanner is the Uniden BC895XLT $194.95 You can get one from the guy at Grove Electronics http://www.grove-ent.com/bc895.html
or you can always check out eBay for a used rig
http://listings.ebay.com/aw/listings/list/category14956/index.html
I see a BC9000XLT on there right now going for $155.00
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3018762785&category=14956
this was Uniden's Caddy just a few years ago

George.

anthony@mostlyrockets.com (Anthony B.) wrote in
<opednW5oL-7YKz2jXTWcog@comcast.com>:

>What I need to know is what Radio Shack scanners that are similar to the
>BC80XLT? I need 800MHZ, I DO NOT need trunking. I listen to 150's 400's
>and a handful of 800 MHZ frequencies.
>Thanks
>
>--
>Anthony B.
>anthony@mostlyrockets.com
>www.mostlyrockets.com
>
>
>

3228. Re: Icom R-10 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 17:29:31 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@home.scotland>
Message-ID: <b7s11miqa1@sparta.btinternet.com>
Source record: 32444

"Steve" <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org> wrote in message
news:Wgdoa.189247$S14.20418@news1.central.cox.net...
> Looking for Icom R-10 programing software.
>
> Thanks!
>
>

Try here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Icom_R-10/ :^)

3229. Re: Wanted-Pro 2042 Manual's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 17:29:40 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <9362883EAYomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32443

Here you go Tom every manual Radio Shack has:

http://www.radioshack.com/ProdSupport/DocumentDetail/DocumentDetailIndex.asp?P=support_electronics&D=2849&T=htm

lawnjocke@aol.com (LAWNJOCK E) wrote in
<20030419120011.02146.00000140@mb-m01.aol.com>:

>Hi, I'm looking for a Pro 2042 Service and Owners manuals. Let me know
>if you have them to sell. Thank you, Tom
>

3230. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 17:40:09 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZRfoa.108791$JI.28118663@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32445
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"You Gotta be Kidding" <ohno@notme.net> wrote in message
news:8rh2av0a55v8d8oevjbhff0tsetibpd4fr@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 19 Apr 2003 11:25:56 GMT, "DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
> >news:3EA0C504.9060203@mailcity.moc...
> >> FS and FA ads should be placed in the .swap and .marketplace
> >> groups where they belong and leave the discussion groups for
> >> discussion.
> >
> >Why??? You don't have to read them!
> >DeWayne
> >
>
> And you don't have to read comments about them, idiot.

You're the one bitching about OT! Did you forget?

>

3231. Re: Icom R-10 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 18:02:30 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@home.scotland>
Message-ID: <b7s2vlles1@sparta.btinternet.com>
Source record: 32446

```
"Mefix" <mefix@home.scotland> wrote in message
news:b7s11m$iqa$1@sparta.btinternet.com...
>
> "Steve" <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org> wrote in message
> news:Wgdoa.189247$S14.20418@news1.central.cox.net...
> > Looking for Icom R-10 programing software.
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> >
>
>
> Try here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Icom_R-10/ :^)
>
>

I should have added that you'll have to sign up for the group before you can
download the software, it's in the files section.
```

3232. Re: Pro 2052 Backlight dosen't work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 18:22:57 UTC
From: WR <impact@snet.net>
Message-ID: <5953av49fdd949um19ugi4sfi06ipmk1t5@4ax.com>
Source record: 32247

George I found this on there website
PL001 10791416 LAMP,PILOT 8V 0.2A L0200
Is this the correct part ?
It is the only part I can see that relates to any lighting RS says
part is $4.87 plus $2.50 shipping

Regards,
Bill

On Sat, 19 Apr 2003 13:30:00 -0000, pleasespamme@microsoft.com (George
Bush) wrote:

>Everything you ever wanted to know about a Pro-2052 :-)
>
>http://www.radioshack.com/ProdSupport/DocumentDetail/DocumentDetailIndex.as
>p?P=support_electronics&D=2849&T=htm
>
>Yes it's an easy fix, i.e. about 10min 9min to take it apart and put it
>back together 1 min to replace the part. The EL backlight is $18.00 from
>Radio Shack.
>
>impact@snet.net (WR) wrote in <5d41av8v6vei08cih47nfpoa2kv17ut744@4ax.com>:
>
>>Pro 2052

3233. Re: Video-Motion-Detector who can make ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 20:07:15 UTC
From: Rocket <26hb896@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9362D6B237EF726hb896lineonenet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 32248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

According to Bill Gates, on Wed, 16 Apr 2003 18:23:43 GMT, "bla"
<comsecnl@hotmail.com> knelt at the big white telephone and heaved this
into uk.radio.amateur:

> Who can make or design a " Video-Motion-Detector" ?
>
> A "Video-Motion-Detector" is an electronic device that can be
> connected to a videocamera, when a movement in the video-picture is
> detected the unit will switch a relay
> wich can be used to switch an alarm or a timelapse-videorecorder.
>
> Such a unit is very hard to find !... specially when it should run on
> 12 Volts (just try it on the internet)
>
> It should be a small box with 2 connectors (video in,video out)
> and 1 adjuster for sensitivity
>
> A later and more luxurious model should have the possibility to
> adjust for a certain part of the screen (field) so it will only react
> to movements in this part of the field (this field could be an
> adjustable square wich will be visible on the connected monitor)
>
> The idea is to make a lowcost unit in a small box (not based on
> windows software on a pc) maybe a pic-processor can be used or
> old-fashioned anolog parts
>
> I am willing to pay good for a good design or working model
>
> Any tips or ideas are also welcome
>
> Please respond to: comsecnl@hotmail.com
>
> Thanks in advance
>
>
>
>

Buy a dog.
HTH HAND Etc.

--
Mark Russell "If you enjoy it, it's probably illegal"
26hb896 on ebay

Guzzi V50 Monza
M3RCT

3234. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 21:05:37 UTC
From: Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette>
Message-ID: <jkb3avseuoqq11qgvtq10psm762fogan9e@4ax.com>
Source record: 32249
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 19 Apr 2003 10:50:59 -0400, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
wrote:

>
>
>Know it All wrote:
>> That's your opinion and only an opinion.... a decade late. Since
>> there is nothing in the charters prohibiting the sale or swap of
>> radios or radio related equipment then it doesn't matter what your
>> opinion is because the newsgroups have already been formed and the
>> charters created. Perhaps you should have spoken up when the
>> newsgroups were created to include in the charter that the swap and
>> sale of radio related equipment was prohibited.
>>
>> You didn't, case closed!
>
>And that is your opinion an only an opinion, case not closed!!

Good comeback JJ. I love an intelligent response. You know the old
saying better to say nothing and leave them guessing?

Since you have a few misconceptions about how newsgroups work you
might want to read a bit more on the newsgroup creation process.

http://www.faqs.org/faqs/usenet/creating-newsgroups/part1/

Here is the charter for rec.radio.scanner . It is very simple and
general and as you can see doesn't say much about what isn't
acceptable.

---

rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
for
creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
1993

For your newsgroups file:
rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
The charter, culled from the call for votes:

rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the

rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
many who do not have access to USENET news.

You may also want to look at what a good charter looks like. This
charter for alt.astrology.moderated spells out in great detail what is
and what is not acceptable. As you can see the charter for
rec.radio.scanner is vague compared to this one.

Moderator submission address: astrology@stump.algebra.com
Moderator contact address: aam-board@stump.algebra.com
Technical problems contact address: aam-admin@stump.algebra.com

Charter: alt.astrology.moderated is a moderated newsgroup for
the discussion of all things astrological, including theory,
traditions, innovations, questions, study, practice, and
connections to related disciplines. There are no restrictions
regarding methods, systems, philosophies, or techniques.

Submission Rules: This group is intended specifically as a
forum for students and practitioners of astrology. If you are
uncertain about what is acceptable after reading this post,
please contact the moderators at <aam-board@stump.algebra.com>.

You may notice a delay in the appearance of your posts; this
is normal in moderated newsgroups. The moderators will try to
be prompt about processing the mail, and hope to have no more
than a 24 hour delay in sending your posts to the newsgroup.
Some posters may be robo-moderated, which means their posts will
appear more quickly on the newsgroup. Refused postings will
be returned to posters for modification, if their overall content
falls within the acceptable category as outlined below. This
does not include commercial solicitations, abuse, flames or
malicious provocation, or repeats of recently submitted posts.
Posts which appear on the newsgroup that have not been approved
by the moderators will be cancelled. The moderators reserve the
right to prohibit access from posters in habitual violation
of the rules. We define "habitual violation" to be no fewer
than three disallowed (as outlined below) posts, submitted within
thirty days or less. Abusive email sent to moderators will also
result
in the sender's prohibition from the newsgroup, as well as possible
actions taken by his or her ISP to terminate his or her account.

The following types of posts are not allowed:

1) Posts containing binary files, with the exception of PGP keys
(or similar schemes). Binaries should be posted in the
appropriate binary group with appropriate pointers.
2) Crossposts to more than four groups, to groups unrelated to
the topic, or to other moderated groups.
3)
a) Commercial advertisements and solicitations (more specifically,
Spam) except identification in signature lines, or legitimate
conference, book, publications, and educational courses
notifications.
b) Product and service advertisements unless a fee is paid for
such, in the sum of five United States dollars per ad, which
will be applied to the newsgroup's yearly technical expenses.
The option to advertise for this fee may be offered within
an appended comment to the refused post. Advertisers may
then respond to their refusal notice, to receive instructions
as to how to pay the fee, and when they may re-post their ad.
Please note that this offer applies *only* to products and
services of interest to the astrological community, and that our
stipulation, and acceptance, of the fee in no way indicates that
the moderators of this newsgroup personally will endorse your
product or service. This option of ads for fees is entirely
within the domain of moderator discretion and will be offered
according to the judgment of each or any moderator on duty.
4) Non-English language posts (without translation), posts
formatted in HTML, posts with signature lines exceeding the
USENet recommended five lines, or posts which the moderators
deem to be incoherent.
5) Posts that violate copyright. You must own the copyright, or
have permission to use copyrighted materials that do not
originate from USENet. Only short excerpts from copyrighted
materials are allowed under "fair use", with full author and
publisher credits.
6) Previously composed articles that have already been posted
within the last month. You may post pointers to articles in
response to requests, or offer to send articles via email.
7)
a) All personal attacks, abuse, and flames, including those based
on astrology. Claims of methodological, ideological, or
systemical sovereignty, or other forms of provocation, are also
prohibited. (Flaming is here defined as abuse, insults,
harassment, and suggestive slurs. Provocation is here defined
as content primarily intended to incite aggravation, indignation,
or outrage. All of the preceding is subject to the judgment
of the moderators.)
b) Any comments pertaining to any chart placement of another poster
in the course of a discussion without receiving prior consent
or invitation to make such comments.
8) Posts skeptical of the general validity of astrology, which are
more properly posted to sci.skeptic, or other newsgroups.
9) Comments pertaining to moderation policy or performance.

Questions,
comments, or complaints regarding moderation policies or
performance
must be mailed directly to aam-board@stump.algebra.com. Questions
or complaints regarding the modbot and technical difficulties may
be addressed to aam-admin@stump.algebra.com.
Complaints will be dealt with as promptly as schedules permit,
usually within a few days. Abuse of moderators, either in posts
submitted to this newsgroup, or in email, is strictly prohibited.
Abusive mail can and will be used as grounds for imposing bans.
See rules 7a, and 7b, for the current overview of what is
here considered abuse.

On-topic posts include discussions of techniques and applications
of astrology and related disciplines, including history, traditions,
and innovations. Questions from novices are welcome, along with
requests for horoscope and chart interpretations which are
accompanied by full birth data. However, please note that
while astrologers do often answer such posts, this constitutes
a form of pro bono (free) service and, as many posters are
professional
astrologers, they may not have the time or interest to do free work
on the newsgroup. Professional astrologers are as serious about
their work as any other set of professionals and frequently devote
hours
of preparation time for a single client consultation. It is not
difficult
to understand that this amount of work (and it *is* work!) can be
burdensome and self-defeating for an astrologer in the absence of
remuneration or compensation. Please do not expect that any response
to a request for astrological advice will be as comprehensive or
adequate for your needs, as would be a full consultation with a
professional, certified or peer-esteemed, astrologer. Also, please
note that astrologers, like psychologists, can be very individual
and eclectic in terms of the techniques they use and favor. The
moderators of alt.astrology.moderated may or may not be in agreement
with any statements or advice offered in response to questions on
the newsgroup, and we (the moderators) are not responsible for the
astrological opinions and advice which other posters offer on the
newsgroup, whether those opinions be offered by professional
astrologers,
amateur astrologers, or beginning, intermediate, or advanced students
of
astrology.

Additionally welcome are posts pertaining, and related, to the field
of
astrology, such as ethics, counseling, psychology, mythology,
metaphysics, divination, prediction, history, spirituality, education,
and
certification programs. Although this is a moderated group,

moderators
will not attempt to inhibit the free flow of ideas or self expression
posted in accordance with the rules. This forum expects, and
accepts, the civil expression of disagreements and criticisms
pertaining to the symbological interpretations, methods, theories,
and ideas encountered both here, in the posts of others, and in
materials published elsewhere.

Acting Moderators:
Michael Rideout
Scott Ransom

Stand-by:
Gail Klein

Newsgroup Administration:
Michael Rideout
Igor Chudov

Technical Counsel and Services:
Igor Chudov

3235. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 21:11:30 UTC
From: Texas Steven <rothrock@stic.net>
Message-ID: <3ea1bb4d$0$43855$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 32250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<snip>

Jesus ,himself the GOD the Father The Son and the holly spirit. And believe
it, he will come again. He said so.....

<snip>

Yes, just like Santa Claus...once a year!

: o)

Tex

3236. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 21:13:49 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030419171349.19043.00000255@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 32251

>It also may be helpful (for you) if you read the full thread before commenting.

I have followed 18 posts regarding this thread, prior to this one.

>It's not - as my posted monitoring log of TG 0928 demonstrated..

All your post indicated was that comms were being aired over both the VHF channel and the trunked system.

And you, in two early posts (messages 5 and 10), have also referred to the talk group as OCSO channel 5, rather than an EMS channel.

Until your last post, which mentioned that Manchester was actually using some trunked radios, neither you or anyone else indicated they had seen EMS or a rescue squad will 500 MHz radios.

Don't you think that MIGHT have been helpful to mention at the beginning? It probably would have saved 8 or more posts!

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

3237. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 21:17:56 UTC
From: Tony Hwang <dragon40@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <3EA1BD04.5080802@shaw.ca>
Source record: 32252
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hi,
I am wondering what this thread has to do anything with radios?
Tony, VE6CGX

Know it All wrote:
>
>
> On Sat, 19 Apr 2003 10:50:59 -0400, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>Know it All wrote:
>>
>>> That's your opinion and only an opinion.... a decade late. Since
>>>there is nothing in the charters prohibiting the sale or swap of
>>>radios or radio related equipment then it doesn't matter what your
>>>opinion is because the newsgroups have already been formed and the
>>>charters created. Perhaps you should have spoken up when the
>>>newsgroups were created to include in the charter that the swap and
>>>sale of radio related equipment was prohibited.
>>>
>>>You didn't, case closed!
>>
>>And that is your opinion an only an opinion, case not closed!!
>
>
>
> Good comeback JJ. I love an intelligent response. You know the old
> saying better to say nothing and leave them guessing?
>
> Since you have a few misconceptions about how newsgroups work you
> might want to read a bit more on the newsgroup creation process.
>
> http://www.faqs.org/faqs/usenet/creating-newsgroups/part1/
>
>
> Here is the charter for rec.radio.scanner . It is very simple and
> general and as you can see doesn't say much about what isn't
> acceptable.
>
> --
>

> rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> for
> creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> 1993
>
> For your newsgroups file:
> rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> The charter, culled from the call for votes:
>
> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> many who do not have access to USENET news.
>
>
> --

>
> You may also want to look at what a good charter looks like. This
> charter for alt.astrology.moderated spells out in great detail what is
> and what is not acceptable. As you can see the charter for
> rec.radio.scanner is vague compared to this one.
>
> --

>
> Moderator submission address: astrology@stump.algebra.com
> Moderator contact address: aam-board@stump.algebra.com
> Technical problems contact address: aam-admin@stump.algebra.com
>
> Charter: alt.astrology.moderated is a moderated newsgroup for
> the discussion of all things astrological, including theory,
> traditions, innovations, questions, study, practice, and
> connections to related disciplines. There are no restrictions
> regarding methods, systems, philosophies, or techniques.
>
> Submission Rules: This group is intended specifically as a
> forum for students and practitioners of astrology. If you are
> uncertain about what is acceptable after reading this post,
> please contact the moderators at <aam-board@stump.algebra.com>.
>
> You may notice a delay in the appearance of your posts; this
> is normal in moderated newsgroups. The moderators will try to
> be prompt about processing the mail, and hope to have no more
> than a 24 hour delay in sending your posts to the newsgroup.
> Some posters may be robo-moderated, which means their posts will

> appear more quickly on the newsgroup. Refused postings will
> be returned to posters for modification, if their overall content
> falls within the acceptable category as outlined below. This
> does not include commercial solicitations, abuse, flames or
> malicious provocation, or repeats of recently submitted posts.
> Posts which appear on the newsgroup that have not been approved
> by the moderators will be cancelled. The moderators reserve the
> right to prohibit access from posters in habitual violation
> of the rules. We define "habitual violation" to be no fewer
> than three disallowed (as outlined below) posts, submitted within
> thirty days or less. Abusive email sent to moderators will also
> result
> in the sender's prohibition from the newsgroup, as well as possible
> actions taken by his or her ISP to terminate his or her account.
>
> The following types of posts are not allowed:
>
> 1) Posts containing binary files, with the exception of PGP keys
> (or similar schemes). Binaries should be posted in the
> appropriate binary group with appropriate pointers.
> 2) Crossposts to more than four groups, to groups unrelated to
> the topic, or to other moderated groups.
> 3)
> a) Commercial advertisements and solicitations (more specifically,
> Spam) except identification in signature lines, or legitimate
> conference, book, publications, and educational courses
> notifications.
> b) Product and service advertisements unless a fee is paid for
> such, in the sum of five United States dollars per ad, which
> will be applied to the newsgroup's yearly technical expenses.
> The option to advertise for this fee may be offered within
> an appended comment to the refused post. Advertisers may
> then respond to their refusal notice, to receive instructions
> as to how to pay the fee, and when they may re-post their ad.
> Please note that this offer applies *only* to products and
> services of interest to the astrological community, and that our
> stipulation, and acceptance, of the fee in no way indicates that
> the moderators of this newsgroup personally will endorse your
> product or service. This option of ads for fees is entirely
> within the domain of moderator discretion and will be offered
> according to the judgment of each or any moderator on duty.
> 4) Non-English language posts (without translation), posts
> formatted in HTML, posts with signature lines exceeding the
> USENet recommended five lines, or posts which the moderators
> deem to be incoherent.
> 5) Posts that violate copyright. You must own the copyright, or
> have permission to use copyrighted materials that do not
> originate from USENet. Only short excerpts from copyrighted
> materials are allowed under "fair use", with full author and
> publisher credits.

> 6) Previously composed articles that have already been posted
> within the last month. You may post pointers to articles in
> response to requests, or offer to send articles via email.
> 7)
> a) All personal attacks, abuse, and flames, including those based
> on astrology. Claims of methodological, ideological, or
> systemical sovereignty, or other forms of provocation, are also
> prohibited. (Flaming is here defined as abuse, insults,
> harassment, and suggestive slurs. Provocation is here defined
> as content primarily intended to incite aggravation, indignation,
> or outrage. All of the preceding is subject to the judgment
> of the moderators.)
> b) Any comments pertaining to any chart placement of another poster
> in the course of a discussion without receiving prior consent
> or invitation to make such comments.
> 8) Posts skeptical of the general validity of astrology, which are
> more properly posted to sci.skeptic, or other newsgroups.
> 9) Comments pertaining to moderation policy or performance.
> Questions,
> comments, or complaints regarding moderation policies or
> performance
> must be mailed directly to aam-board@stump.algebra.com. Questions
> or complaints regarding the modbot and technical difficulties may
> be addressed to aam-admin@stump.algebra.com.
> Complaints will be dealt with as promptly as schedules permit,
> usually within a few days. Abuse of moderators, either in posts
> submitted to this newsgroup, or in email, is strictly prohibited.
> Abusive mail can and will be used as grounds for imposing bans.
> See rules 7a, and 7b, for the current overview of what is
> here considered abuse.
>
> On-topic posts include discussions of techniques and applications
> of astrology and related disciplines, including history, traditions,
> and innovations. Questions from novices are welcome, along with
> requests for horoscope and chart interpretations which are
> accompanied by full birth data. However, please note that
> while astrologers do often answer such posts, this constitutes
> a form of pro bono (free) service and, as many posters are
> professional
> astrologers, they may not have the time or interest to do free work
> on the newsgroup. Professional astrologers are as serious about
> their work as any other set of professionals and frequently devote
> hours
> of preparation time for a single client consultation. It is not
> difficult
> to understand that this amount of work (and it *is* work!) can be
> burdensome and self-defeating for an astrologer in the absence of
> remuneration or compensation. Please do not expect that any response
> to a request for astrological advice will be as comprehensive or
> adequate for your needs, as would be a full consultation with a

> professional, certified or peer-esteemed, astrologer. Also, please
> note that astrologers, like psychologists, can be very individual
> and eclectic in terms of the techniques they use and favor. The
> moderators of alt.astrology.moderated may or may not be in agreement
> with any statements or advice offered in response to questions on
> the newsgroup, and we (the moderators) are not responsible for the
> astrological opinions and advice which other posters offer on the
> newsgroup, whether those opinions be offered by professional
> astrologers,
> amateur astrologers, or beginning, intermediate, or advanced students
> of
> astrology.
>
> Additionally welcome are posts pertaining, and related, to the field
> of
> astrology, such as ethics, counseling, psychology, mythology,
> metaphysics, divination, prediction, history, spirituality, education,
> and
> certification programs. Although this is a moderated group,
> moderators
> will not attempt to inhibit the free flow of ideas or self expression
> posted in accordance with the rules. This forum expects, and
> accepts, the civil expression of disagreements and criticisms
> pertaining to the symbological interpretations, methods, theories,
> and ideas encountered both here, in the posts of others, and in
> materials published elsewhere.
>
> Acting Moderators:
> Michael Rideout
> Scott Ransom
>
> Stand-by:
> Gail Klein
>
> Newsgroup Administration:
> Michael Rideout
> Igor Chudov
>
> Technical Counsel and Services:
> Igor Chudov
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3238. Re: need help picking a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 21:42:26 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <ALOdncF4Rts3XzyjXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 32253

I already have the 895 and a 780. I want a handheld for travel. I was looking into the BC80XLT.
Anthony

"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message news:936282AB0Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> Why do you want a Radio Shit I mean Shack scanner Tony?? The biggest bang for
> the buck in a new scanner is the Uniden BC895XLT $194.95 You can get one from
> the guy at Grove Electronics http://www.grove-ent.com/bc895.html
> or you can always check out eBay for a used rig
> http://listings.ebay.com/aw/listings/list/category14956/index.html
> I see a BC9000XLT on there right now going for $155.00
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3018762785&category=14956
> this was Uniden's Caddy just a few years ago
>
>
> George.
>
> anthony@mostlyrockets.com (Anthony B.) wrote in
> <opednW5oL-7YKz2jXTWcog@comcast.com>:
>
> >What I need to know is what Radio Shack scanners that are similar to the
> >BC80XLT? I need 800MHZ, I DO NOT need trunking. I listen to 150's 400's
> >and a handful of 800 MHZ frequencies.
> >Thanks
> >
> >--
> >Anthony B.
> >anthony@mostlyrockets.com
> >www.mostlyrockets.com
> >
> >
> >
>

3239. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 21:49:42 UTC
From: Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <87ista88eh.fld@barrow.com>
Source record: 32254
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Tony Hwang <dragon40@shaw.ca> wrote:
>Hi,
>I am wondering what this thread has to do anything with radios?
>Tony, VE6CGX

The subject of what is or is not on topic in a newsgroup is
always an on topic subject for that newsgroup.

We might, however, ask that you trim excessive quoted text from
you responses though... There seems to be little point in
quoting 200+ lines that you do not make any reference to.

--
Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

3240. ID Tracker Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 21:50:44 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <mnjoa.24099$iO6.22977@fe10.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 32255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ID Tracker has been updated. This release corrects some issues
with conventional scanning.

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

Also, a new section was added to the Version History to document
know issues.

http://bellsouthpwp.net/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTrackerVersion.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://home.bellsouth.net/p/PWP-k5dmh

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net or @arrl.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

3241. Re: attic antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 22:19:32 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.190ac903c120c1779896e4@newstest2.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32257

In article <3E9C8B4E.3050907@rochester.rr.com>, wedgew@rochester.rr.com says...
> I have aluminum siding on the hose will that have much effect on
> reception?

Probably won't help things, although if the transmitter is not far away it may not make a difference. Better to get an outside antenna if at all possible, though. You can put one up just about anyplace you would put a regular TV antenna, just as inconspicuous (if you have to worry about CC&Rs or cranky neighbors or whatnot) and will do wonders for your reception.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net
Web: http://home.earthlink.net/~kb6ojs_steve

3242. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-19 22:39:45 UTC
From: Tom Betz <tbetz@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9362BDD89D104greenriverordinance@166.84.1.69>
Source record: 32258
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Quoth "wartime ramalane" <ramalane@ramalaneTINR.com> in
news:ZZhna.6705$MU4.2145@fe03.atl2.webusenet.com:

>
> What would you consider a serious threat...a mushroom cloud over Israel?

That would be a good start.

(Note followups redirected to talk.politics.misc, where they have some
semblance of relevance...)

3243. Re: Icom R-10 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 00:23:35 UTC
From: Steve <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org>
Message-ID: <bMloa.195610$S14.50716@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 32259

Thanks Mefix!

"Mefix" <mefix@home.scotland> wrote in message
news:b7s2vlles1@sparta.btinternet.com...
>
> "Mefix" <mefix@home.scotland> wrote in message
> news:b7s11miqa1@sparta.btinternet.com...
> >
> > "Steve" <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org> wrote in message
> > news:Wgdoa.189247$S14.20418@news1.central.cox.net...
> > > Looking for Icom R-10 programing software.
> > >
> > > Thanks!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Try here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Icom_R-10/ :^)
> > >
> > >
>
> I should have added that you'll have to sign up for the group before you
can
> download the software, it's in the files section.
>
>

3244. Re: need help picking a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 00:35:12 UTC
From: Steve <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org>
Message-ID: <4Xloa.195767$S14.173177@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 32260

If you going to travel with your new handheld scanner, I would get one that will trunk track and
can be programmed by computer software. Surely you will be traveling to places that are trunked
and programming the scanner with computer software is nice. I have different scanner computer
setup files and load the scanner for where I am traveling. I use a Pro-92 and GRE software.
Will you be traveling to places that use APCO 25 digital?, if so you might look at the Uniden
digital handheld or the up comming GRE/RS digital scanner.

Steve

"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message
news:ALOdncF4Rts3XzyjXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
> I already have the 895 and a 780. I want a handheld for travel. I was
> looking into the BC80XLT.
> Anthony
>
> "George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
> news:936282AB0Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> > Why do you want a Radio Shit I mean Shack scanner Tony?? The biggest bang
> for
> > the buck in a new scanner is the Uniden BC895XLT $194.95 You can get one
> from
> > the guy at Grove Electronics http://www.grove-ent.com/bc895.html
> > or you can always check out eBay for a used rig
> > http://listings.ebay.com/aw/listings/list/category14956/index.html
> > I see a BC9000XLT on there right now going for $155.00
> >
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3018762785&category=14956
> > this was Uniden's Caddy just a few years ago
> >
> >
> > George.
> >
> > anthony@mostlyrockets.com (Anthony B.) wrote in
> > <opednW5oL-7YKz2jXTWcog@comcast.com>:
> >
> > >What I need to know is what Radio Shack scanners that are similar to the
> > >BC80XLT? I need 800MHZ, I DO NOT need trunking. I listen to 150's 400's

> > >and a handful of 800 MHZ frequencies.
> > >Thanks
> > >
> > >--
> > >Anthony B.
> > >anthony@mostlyrockets.com
> > >www.mostlyrockets.com
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

3245. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 00:37:23 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <va3r66qlj1qq28@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32261
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<MarylouR@city.net> wrote in message news:3EA0A010.132E10B6@city.net...
> Can we dispense with this thread.
>
> WE are about radios.
>

No, WE are about RADIO SCANNERS... Big difference...

PH

3246. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 00:52:11 UTC
From: Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette>
Message-ID: <8ir3avoqj81bgfvnp9mr3m7kvcreim00eb@4ax.com>
Source record: 32262
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

<excessive quoted text trimmed from responses>

understood, but the charter doesn't cover that so it must be ok to do.

3247. New 245XLT scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 01:33:16 UTC
From: niincdj@cableone.net
Message-ID: <qpq3av0psjqvmftlufmbsr9a2sq05713b0@4ax.com>
Source record: 32263

Hi guys,

ScannerDeeBee.

Well the subject says it all. We have finally finished our last beat
version for the scanner database.

This is very easy to use and can store around 200 million records if
your hard drive has enough room.

It can upload and download from the scanner in banks.

You can import from comma delimited text files.

You can transfer by cutting and pasting.

You can sort the data according to about 6 or 8 different criteria and
a whole bunch more.

Where do you get it??

Right here...
http://aluminator.tierranet.com/softservo/scannerdeebee/mainpage.htm

Please let me know what you think. My email address is at the bottom
of the "temporary help" page of the software.

--
Regards
Dave Johnson
VP R&D
Nolan Innovation Inc.
****
http://aluminator.tierranet.com
http://www.motorcyclecrazy.com
http://www.shadowstuff.com
****

3248. Re: need help picking a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 01:54:35 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030419215435.18899.00000241@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 32264

I would definitely not buy a Bea***** oops. I almost said the "b" word. Radio Shack units (in my experience) are the best for your buck. The newest Pro-95 is usually on sale for around $250. It is computer controlled for downloading/storing frequencies. Trust me. Don't go the 'cheap' route with the 'other' scanner "B"rand. Realistics rule. If you pay cheap, you get cheap. If it is a used (e-bay) purchase, you are stuck with no refund posibilities.
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

3249. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 02:10:33 UTC
From: Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette>
Message-ID: <kqr3avcbe3ci7fbvk46mqa4j2dppgmr13n@4ax.com>
Source record: 32265
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

You haven't been paying attention again Tony! If it weren't for
newsgroups you wouldn't be here asking this question. Threads make up
a newsgroup. If there were no threads like this then the newsgroup
would be dead. You can choose to listen to the chatter in this thread
or you can find another thread that is more suited to your tastes.
That's the beauty of usenet. Freedom of Choice!

On Sat, 19 Apr 2003 21:17:56 GMT, Tony Hwang <dragon40@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Hi,
>I am wondering what this thread has to do anything with radios?
>Tony, VE6CGX
>
>Know it All wrote:
>>
>>
>> On Sat, 19 Apr 2003 10:50:59 -0400, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Know it All wrote:
>>>
>>>> That's your opinion and only an opinion.... a decade late. Since
>>>>there is nothing in the charters prohibiting the sale or swap of
>>>>radios or radio related equipment then it doesn't matter what your
>>>>opinion is because the newsgroups have already been formed and the
>>>>charters created. Perhaps you should have spoken up when the
>>>>newsgroups were created to include in the charter that the swap and
>>>>sale of radio related equipment was prohibited.
>>>>
>>>>You didn't, case closed!
>>>
>>>And that is your opinion an only an opinion, case not closed!!
>>
>>
>>
>> Good comeback JJ. I love an intelligent response. You know the old
>> saying better to say nothing and leave them guessing?
>>
>> Since you have a few misconceptions about how newsgroups work you
>> might want to read a bit more on the newsgroup creation process.
>>
>> http://www.faqs.org/faqs/usenet/creating-newsgroups/part1/

>>
>>
>> Here is the charter for rec.radio.scanner . It is very simple and
>> general and as you can see doesn't say much about what isn't
>> acceptable.
>>
>> ----------
>>
>> rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
>> for
>> creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
>> 1993
>>
>> For your newsgroups file:
>> rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
>> The charter, culled from the call for votes:
>>
>> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
>> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
>> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
>> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
>> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
>> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
>> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
>> many who do not have access to USENET news.
>>
>>
>> ----------

>>
>> You may also want to look at what a good charter looks like. This
>> charter for alt.astrology.moderated spells out in great detail what is
>> and what is not acceptable. As you can see the charter for
>> rec.radio.scanner is vague compared to this one.
>>
>> ----------

>>
>> Moderator submission address: astrology@stump.algebra.com
>> Moderator contact address: aam-board@stump.algebra.com
>> Technical problems contact address: aam-admin@stump.algebra.com
>>
>> Charter: alt.astrology.moderated is a moderated newsgroup for
>> the discussion of all things astrological, including theory,
>> traditions, innovations, questions, study, practice, and
>> connections to related disciplines. There are no restrictions
>> regarding methods, systems, philosophies, or techniques.
>>
>> Submission Rules: This group is intended specifically as a
>> forum for students and practitioners of astrology. If you are

>> uncertain about what is acceptable after reading this post,
>> please contact the moderators at <aam-board@stump.algebra.com>.
>>
>> You may notice a delay in the appearance of your posts; this
>> is normal in moderated newsgroups. The moderators will try to
>> be prompt about processing the mail, and hope to have no more
>> than a 24 hour delay in sending your posts to the newsgroup.
>> Some posters may be robo-moderated, which means their posts will
>> appear more quickly on the newsgroup. Refused postings will
>> be returned to posters for modification, if their overall content
>> falls within the acceptable category as outlined below. This
>> does not include commercial solicitations, abuse, flames or
>> malicious provocation, or repeats of recently submitted posts.
>> Posts which appear on the newsgroup that have not been approved
>> by the moderators will be cancelled. The moderators reserve the
>> right to prohibit access from posters in habitual violation
>> of the rules. We define "habitual violation" to be no fewer
>> than three disallowed (as outlined below) posts, submitted within
>> thirty days or less. Abusive email sent to moderators will also
>> result
>> in the sender's prohibition from the newsgroup, as well as possible
>> actions taken by his or her ISP to terminate his or her account.
>>
>> The following types of posts are not allowed:
>>
>> 1) Posts containing binary files, with the exception of PGP keys
>> (or similar schemes). Binaries should be posted in the
>> appropriate binary group with appropriate pointers.
>> 2) Crossposts to more than four groups, to groups unrelated to
>> the topic, or to other moderated groups.
>> 3)
>> a) Commercial advertisements and solicitations (more specifically,
>> Spam) except identification in signature lines, or legitimate
>> conference, book, publications, and educational courses
>> notifications.
>> b) Product and service advertisements unless a fee is paid for
>> such, in the sum of five United States dollars per ad, which
>> will be applied to the newsgroup's yearly technical expenses.
>> The option to advertise for this fee may be offered within
>> an appended comment to the refused post. Advertisers may
>> then respond to their refusal notice, to receive instructions
>> as to how to pay the fee, and when they may re-post their ad.
>> Please note that this offer applies *only* to products and
>> services of interest to the astrological community, and that our
>> stipulation, and acceptance, of the fee in no way indicates that
>> the moderators of this newsgroup personally will endorse your
>> product or service. This option of ads for fees is entirely
>> within the domain of moderator discretion and will be offered
>> according to the judgment of each or any moderator on duty.
>> 4) Non-English language posts (without translation), posts

>> formatted in HTML, posts with signature lines exceeding the
>> USENet recommended five lines, or posts which the moderators
>> deem to be incoherent.
>> 5) Posts that violate copyright. You must own the copyright, or
>> have permission to use copyrighted materials that do not
>> originate from USENet. Only short excerpts from copyrighted
>> materials are allowed under "fair use", with full author and
>> publisher credits.
>> 6) Previously composed articles that have already been posted
>> within the last month. You may post pointers to articles in
>> response to requests, or offer to send articles via email.
>> 7)
>> a) All personal attacks, abuse, and flames, including those based
>> on astrology. Claims of methodological, ideological, or
>> systemical sovereignty, or other forms of provocation, are also
>> prohibited. (Flaming is here defined as abuse, insults,
>> harassment, and suggestive slurs. Provocation is here defined
>> as content primarily intended to incite aggravation, indignation,
>> or outrage. All of the preceding is subject to the judgment
>> of the moderators.)
>> b) Any comments pertaining to any chart placement of another poster
>> in the course of a discussion without receiving prior consent
>> or invitation to make such comments.
>> 8) Posts skeptical of the general validity of astrology, which are
>> more properly posted to sci.skeptic, or other newsgroups.
>> 9) Comments pertaining to moderation policy or performance.
>> Questions,
>> comments, or complaints regarding moderation policies or
>> performance
>> must be mailed directly to aam-board@stump.algebra.com. Questions
>> or complaints regarding the modbot and technical difficulties may
>> be addressed to aam-admin@stump.algebra.com.
>> Complaints will be dealt with as promptly as schedules permit,
>> usually within a few days. Abuse of moderators, either in posts
>> submitted to this newsgroup, or in email, is strictly prohibited.
>> Abusive mail can and will be used as grounds for imposing bans.
>> See rules 7a, and 7b, for the current overview of what is
>> here considered abuse.
>>
>> On-topic posts include discussions of techniques and applications
>> of astrology and related disciplines, including history, traditions,
>> and innovations. Questions from novices are welcome, along with
>> requests for horoscope and chart interpretations which are
>> accompanied by full birth data. However, please note that
>> while astrologers do often answer such posts, this constitutes
>> a form of pro bono (free) service and, as many posters are
>> professional
>> astrologers, they may not have the time or interest to do free work
>> on the newsgroup. Professional astrologers are as serious about
>> their work as any other set of professionals and frequently devote

>> hours
>> of preparation time for a single client consultation. It is not
>> difficult
>> to understand that this amount of work (and it *is* work!) can be
>> burdensome and self-defeating for an astrologer in the absence of
>> remuneration or compensation. Please do not expect that any response
>> to a request for astrological advice will be as comprehensive or
>> adequate for your needs, as would be a full consultation with a
>> professional, certified or peer-esteemed, astrologer. Also, please
>> note that astrologers, like psychologists, can be very individual
>> and eclectic in terms of the techniques they use and favor. The
>> moderators of alt.astrology.moderated may or may not be in agreement
>> with any statements or advice offered in response to questions on
>> the newsgroup, and we (the moderators) are not responsible for the
>> astrological opinions and advice which other posters offer on the
>> newsgroup, whether those opinions be offered by professional
>> astrologers,
>> amateur astrologers, or beginning, intermediate, or advanced students
>> of
>> astrology.
>>
>> Additionally welcome are posts pertaining, and related, to the field
>> of
>> astrology, such as ethics, counseling, psychology, mythology,
>> metaphysics, divination, prediction, history, spirituality, education,
>> and
>> certification programs. Although this is a moderated group,
>> moderators
>> will not attempt to inhibit the free flow of ideas or self expression
>> posted in accordance with the rules. This forum expects, and
>> accepts, the civil expression of disagreements and criticisms
>> pertaining to the symbological interpretations, methods, theories,
>> and ideas encountered both here, in the posts of others, and in
>> materials published elsewhere.
>>
>> Acting Moderators:
>> Michael Rideout
>> Scott Ransom
>>
>> Stand-by:
>> Gail Klein
>>
>> Newsgroup Administration:
>> Michael Rideout
>> Igor Chudov
>>
>> Technical Counsel and Services:
>> Igor Chudov
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

3250. Re: need help picking a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 02:28:41 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <va41ejdelfsh53@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32266

I am usually amazed when I see this kind of thing, particularly as a number of the Radio Shack units were made by Uniden and are simply re-badged Bearcats.

The Pro-95 is indeed a fine receiver. I purchased mine when they were on sale at $149.00 and it is the best buy I have ever made. It happens to be made by GRE.

I also have an AOR, Yupiteru, and a Bearcat. Each was selected for a different use and all perform the task they were purchased for. To state that any scanner is better or worse than another is meaningless with out the use being defined. My Pro-95 is totally useless for the Mil-Air Band, does that make it bad? My Yupi doesn't trunk...

You get the point, define the area of interest, then pick the scanner that meets your needs. If several brands meet your needs, compare specs. If your not sure how to do that go to http://strongsignals.net and read the reviews.

To dismiss a manufacture that has successfully manufactured and sold multi-thousands of scanners smacks of bias rather than information.

"Eric ....." <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20030419215435.18899.00000241@mb-m04.aol.com...
> I would definitely not buy a Bea***** oops. I almost said the "b" word.
> Radio Shack units (in my experience) are the best for your buck. The
newest
> Pro-95 is usually on sale for around $250. It is computer controlled for
> downloading/storing frequencies. Trust me. Don't go the 'cheap' route
with
> the 'other' scanner "B"rand. Realistics rule. If you pay cheap, you get
> cheap. If it is a used (e-bay) purchase, you are stuck with no refund
> posibilities.
> Eric G.
> education CANNOT buy common sense

3251. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 02:50:03 UTC
From: Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <878yu5992c.fld@barrow.com>
Source record: 32267
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote:
><excessive quoted text trimmed from responses>
>
>understood, but the charter doesn't cover that so it must be ok to do.

Every single text on Netiquette _does_ cover it. The charter for
a newsgroup only covers what is unique to that newsgroup and is
not required to contain a list of Usenet do's and don'ts.

If you want to play net lawyer, you'd best have read the rules
or you'll get disbarred your first day in court.

--
Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

3252. FA:UBC 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 03:17:40 UTC
From: Browning Mark FourA <sky.fourfourfour@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1a44avkrboe6988savg5jm8adciag780jm@4ax.com>
Source record: 32268

FA:UBC 200

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/73669353?aucview=0x31

remove <SPAMSUCKS> to reply

3253. FA: Pro-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 03:19:41 UTC
From: Browning Mark FourA <sky.fourfourfour@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <td44avo8jligt4f8enned3ecsbcicj1qjs@4ax.com>
Source record: 32269

FA: Pro-2026

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/73669473?aucview=0x31

remove <SPAMSUCKS> to reply

3254. Re: New 245XLT scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 03:57:59 UTC
From: Teranews <N/A>
Message-ID: <ff6d2ae3e58f939f448b2fb59e7dcffb@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 32270

Looks good but you might want to mention to people its a Beta.

<niincdj@cableone.net> wrote in message
news:qpq3av0psjqvmftlufmbsr9a2sq05713b0@4ax.com...
> Hi guys,
>
> ScannerDeeBee.
>
> Well the subject says it all. We have finally finished our last beat
> version for the scanner database.
>
> This is very easy to use and can store around 200 million records if
> your hard drive has enough room.
>
> It can upload and download from the scanner in banks.
>
> You can import from comma delimited text files.
>
> You can transfer by cutting and pasting.
>
> You can sort the data according to about 6 or 8 different criteria and
> a whole bunch more.
>
> Where do you get it??
>
> Right here...
> http://aluminator.tierranet.com/softservo/scannerdeebee/mainpage.htm
>
> Please let me know what you think. My email address is at the bottom
> of the "temporary help" page of the software.
>
>
> --
> Regards
> Dave Johnson
> VP R&D
> Nolan Innovation Inc.
> ******************************
> http://aluminator.tierranet.com
> http://www.motorcyclecrazy.com
> http://www.shadowstuff.com
> ******************************
>

3255. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 04:39:35 UTC
From: Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette>
Message-ID: <qp54avg7bkd4iiefkd8evr2rdphm214qga@4ax.com>
Source record: 32271
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 19 Apr 2003 18:50:03 -0800, Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
wrote:

>Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote:
>><excessive quoted text trimmed from responses>
>>
>>understood, but the charter doesn't cover that so it must be ok to do.
>
>Every single text on Netiquette _does_ cover it. The charter for
>a newsgroup only covers what is unique to that newsgroup and is
>not required to contain a list of Usenet do's and don'ts.

>If you want to play net lawyer, you'd best have read the rules
>or you'll get disbarred your first day in court.

FYI: Usenet rules are learned they are not read.

That is called being overruled.

3256. Re: Race frequency clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 05:42:45 UTC
From: gray-beard <gray-beard@nowhere.edu>
Message-ID: <3EA233BA.1060907@nowhere.edu>
Source record: 32272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am not sure if this is exactly what you are looking for but maybe it's
worth looking at:

http://motorsports.thepaddock.com/freqs.html

Bob

LoneGunman wrote:

>I was looking into the various frequency clubs, to get up to date race
>frequencies, in particular NASCAR, and Grand Am Rolex.
>
>Anyone have any experience with them? opinions? ie:
>
>http://www.fanscan.com
>
>http://www.racescanners.com
>
>(these are the only two that mention having the Grand Am freqs.)
>
>Thanks
>
>
>

3257. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 06:34:42 UTC
From: Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
Message-ID: <87y9257k3h.fld@barrow.com>
Source record: 32273
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote:
>
>>If you want to play net lawyer, you'd best have read the rules
>>or you'll get disbarred your first day in court.
>
>FYI: Usenet rules are learned they are not read.
>
>That is called being overruled.

And that is called just plain stupid. You have to read the
rules to learn them. It would be a good idea if you did.

--
Floyd L. Davidson <http://www.ptialaska.net/~floyd>
Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

3258. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 06:42:19 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304192242.3cbf003f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32274
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ok, Ok. OK! - Here's the Answer !

I have CHANNELED the "Founders" (The Wise Old Ones) of the 'original' UseNet News Groups. It has been a REVELATION to me to be "Guided" by their Un-Common Wisdom and Plain Common Sense. These 'Spirits' were the creative force of the progenitors of Rec.Radio.Shortwave, Rec.Radio.Swap and many more News Groups that are now maintained [Hosted] at Google Groups.

Revelation #1: The Old Rules were for the past millennium.

Revelation #2: The New Millennium 'requires' New Community Guide Lines (Not Hard and Fast Rules).

Revelation #3: Anyone Who - Post's To /or/ Post's On the "Rec.Radio.Shortwave" News Group is 'By Definition' is a MEMBER and should Read and Follow the Spirit of Guide Lines as Revealed by "The Wise Old Ones'.

Guide Line #1 (A Revelation) The General TOPIC is the Recreational Use of Radios for Shortwave and Broadcast Listening Related Activities. Amateurs (Hams) Are Welcome. Hence the Name of the News Group is Rec.Radio.Shortwave. Normal Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group Activities are the Exchange and Sharing of Information, News, Events, Questions, Answers, Replies, ETC.

Guide Line #2 (A Revelation) The Comments and Observations about Current Events derived from personal listening activities of Shortwave, Broadcast and other forms of media are "Not Off Topic": But are 'not' the primary focus of the Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group.

Guide Line #3 (A Revelation) "Private Party" 'For Swap/Trade/Sale/ETC' Radio Equipment and Accessory Materials that are Post On/To and 'Listed On' the Rec.Radio.Shortwave and Rec.Radio.Swap News Groups are acceptable as long as they are limited to an average of one per month in any three month period; not to exceed five to ten items per posting/listing.

Guide Line #4 (A Revelation) These "Private Party" (Are Defined as Non-Commercial Activities) are 'subject to' the "Honor System" by individual's themselves; and it is not the providence of any Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group Member to monitor, police, or report and alleged violation by others of this Specific Guide Line.

Guide Line #5 (A Revelation) Group Members who do attempt to monitor, police, or report and alleged violation to an other members ISP are

themselves "In Violation" of the Guide Lines of the Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group.

Guide Line #6 (A Revelation) Any Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group Member may provide Documented Evidence of 'Commercial Activity' that Violates the Guide Lines of the Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group to a Members ISP; provided they follow these specific procedures. 1. Provide a Copy of these Rec.Radio.Shortwave Guide Lines to the ISP along with a Copy of the "Alleged" Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group Posting that Violates these Guide Lines. 2. Provide the "Accuser's" Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group Membership (Screen) Name; A Valid E-Mail Address; and Full Legal Name and Complete Address. This is 'required' so that the "ACCUSED" can Factually Address the Issues of the Allegation and Resolve the Matters Raised by their Accuser; as is their Right of Due Process Governed by these Guide Lines.

Guide Line #7 (A Revelation) Membership Conduct - Sticks and Stones May Brake My Bones... Buy Names Will Never Hurt Me. The Golden Rule Applies with 'respect' to Your Self Conduct and Your Conduct Towards Others.

Guide Line #8 (A Revelation) [Behind "The Eight Ball" Activities] Criminal Activity that In-Fact Violates a Published Public Law should be reported to the Appropriate Governmental Body having Jurisdiction over such matters and not to ISPs and other non-governmental organizations. Any News Group Member may provide Documented Evidence of 'Criminal Activity' that Violates a Published Public Law; provided they follow these specific procedures. 1. Provide a Copy of the Alleged Rec.Radio.Shortwave Posting that Violates a Published Public Law. 2. Provide the "Accuser's" Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group Membership (Screen) Name; A Valid E-Mail Address; and Full Legal Name and Complete Address. This is 'required' so that the "ACCUSED" can Factually Address the Issues of the Allegation and Resolve the Matters Raised by their Accuser; as is "Their Right of Due Process Under the Law".

Guide Line #9 (A Revelation) Frequency of Individual, Multi and Cross Linked Postings to two or three News Groups of the same content/message: Can be an efficient use of the News Group 'resources' for the Members and the Service Providers. However, it should not be a habitual modus operandi. With 'respect' to the Frequency of Individual, Multi and Cross Linked Postings: Individual Members are 'subject to' the "Honor System" by the individual's themselves; and it is 'not' the providence of any Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group Member to monitor, police, or report and alleged violation by others of this Specific Guide Line.

oh no, Oh No. OH NO! - What was the Question ?

Just for the FUN of It ~ RHF
- The Right Honorable Faker of Revelations
- - In-the-Spirit of the 'The Old Wise Ones' of the

- - - Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group.

.

.

= = = "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
= = = news:<DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com>...
> Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous, (of
> course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
> postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets these
> little weasels.
>
> Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
> groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
>
> If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for auction,
> it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
>
> Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
> postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to my
> ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they LIED!
>
> They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a commercial
> nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
> cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
> Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial
> service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and lord
> knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling your
> equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify the
> complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged
> infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to the
> above, recent for sale, for auction postings.
>
> As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk
> e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of my
> agreement.
> They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are also
> in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my account will
> be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the newsgroup
> Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired off to
> my ISP.
>
> So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for debate,
> discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is imminent
> at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their shoulder,
> to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions not
> at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated without a
> word of complaint that I am aware of.
>
> Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very

> reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately in the
> future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent STRONG
> SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
>
> Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup, and
> that is a shame.
>
> Dan

3259. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 07:08:13 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xHroa.109639$JI.28895336@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32275
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Know it All" <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote in message
news:kqr3avcbe3ci7fbvk46mqa4j2dppgmr13n@4ax.com...
> You haven't been paying attention again Tony! If it weren't for
> newsgroups you wouldn't be here asking this question. Threads make up
> a newsgroup. If there were no threads like this then the newsgroup
> would be dead. You can choose to listen to the chatter in this thread
> or you can find another thread that is more suited to your tastes.
> That's the beauty of usenet. Freedom of Choice!

As far as FS ads in the radio news groups, if we don't buy radios the groups
would be kind of useless.

>
>
> On Sat, 19 Apr 2003 21:17:56 GMT, Tony Hwang <dragon40@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
> >Hi,
> >I am wondering what this thread has to do anything with radios?
> >Tony, VE6CGX
> >
> >Know it All wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> On Sat, 19 Apr 2003 10:50:59 -0400, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>
> >>>Know it All wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> That's your opinion and only an opinion.... a decade late. Since
> >>>>there is nothing in the charters prohibiting the sale or swap of
> >>>>radios or radio related equipment then it doesn't matter what your
> >>>>opinion is because the newsgroups have already been formed and the
> >>>>charters created. Perhaps you should have spoken up when the
> >>>>newsgroups were created to include in the charter that the swap and
> >>>>sale of radio related equipment was prohibited.
> >>>>
> >>>>You didn't, case closed!
> >>>
> >>>And that is your opinion an only an opinion, case not closed!!
> >>
> >>
> >>

> >> Good comeback JJ. I love an intelligent response. You know the old
> >> saying better to say nothing and leave them guessing?
> >>
> >> Since you have a few misconceptions about how newsgroups work you
> >> might want to read a bit more on the newsgroup creation process.
> >>
> >> http://www.faqs.org/faqs/usenet/creating-newsgroups/part1/
> >>
> >>
> >> Here is the charter for rec.radio.scanner . It is very simple and
> >> general and as you can see doesn't say much about what isn't
> >> acceptable.
> >>
>
>> ---

> >>
> >> rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote
> >> for
> >> creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug
> >> 1993
> >>
> >> For your newsgroups file:
> >> rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> >> The charter, culled from the call for votes:
> >>
> >> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> >> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> >> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> >> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> >> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> >> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> >> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> >> many who do not have access to USENET news.
> >>
> >>
>
>> ---

> >>
> >> You may also want to look at what a good charter looks like. This
> >> charter for alt.astrology.moderated spells out in great detail what is
> >> and what is not acceptable. As you can see the charter for
> >> rec.radio.scanner is vague compared to this one.
> >>
>
>> ---

> >>
> >> Moderator submission address: astrology@stump.algebra.com

> >> Moderator contact address: aam-board@stump.algebra.com
> >> Technical problems contact address: aam-admin@stump.algebra.com
> >>
> >> Charter: alt.astrology.moderated is a moderated newsgroup for
> >> the discussion of all things astrological, including theory,
> >> traditions, innovations, questions, study, practice, and
> >> connections to related disciplines. There are no restrictions
> >> regarding methods, systems, philosophies, or techniques.
> >>
> >> Submission Rules: This group is intended specifically as a
> >> forum for students and practitioners of astrology. If you are
> >> uncertain about what is acceptable after reading this post,
> >> please contact the moderators at <aam-board@stump.algebra.com>.
> >>
> >> You may notice a delay in the appearance of your posts; this
> >> is normal in moderated newsgroups. The moderators will try to
> >> be prompt about processing the mail, and hope to have no more
> >> than a 24 hour delay in sending your posts to the newsgroup.
> >> Some posters may be robo-moderated, which means their posts will
> >> appear more quickly on the newsgroup. Refused postings will
> >> be returned to posters for modification, if their overall content
> >> falls within the acceptable category as outlined below. This
> >> does not include commercial solicitations, abuse, flames or
> >> malicious provocation, or repeats of recently submitted posts.
> >> Posts which appear on the newsgroup that have not been approved
> >> by the moderators will be cancelled. The moderators reserve the
> >> right to prohibit access from posters in habitual violation
> >> of the rules. We define "habitual violation" to be no fewer
> >> than three disallowed (as outlined below) posts, submitted within
> >> thirty days or less. Abusive email sent to moderators will also
> >> result
> >> in the sender's prohibition from the newsgroup, as well as possible
> >> actions taken by his or her ISP to terminate his or her account.
> >>
> >> The following types of posts are not allowed:
> >>
> >> 1) Posts containing binary files, with the exception of PGP keys
> >> (or similar schemes). Binaries should be posted in the
> >> appropriate binary group with appropriate pointers.
> >> 2) Crossposts to more than four groups, to groups unrelated to
> >> the topic, or to other moderated groups.
> >> 3)
> >> a) Commercial advertisements and solicitations (more specifically,
> >> Spam) except identification in signature lines, or legitimate
> >> conference, book, publications, and educational courses
> >> notifications.
> >> b) Product and service advertisements unless a fee is paid for
> >> such, in the sum of five United States dollars per ad, which
> >> will be applied to the newsgroup's yearly technical expenses.
> >> The option to advertise for this fee may be offered within

> >> an appended comment to the refused post. Advertisers may
> >> then respond to their refusal notice, to receive instructions
> >> as to how to pay the fee, and when they may re-post their ad.
> >> Please note that this offer applies *only* to products and
> >> services of interest to the astrological community, and that our
> >> stipulation, and acceptance, of the fee in no way indicates that
> >> the moderators of this newsgroup personally will endorse your
> >> product or service. This option of ads for fees is entirely
> >> within the domain of moderator discretion and will be offered
> >> according to the judgment of each or any moderator on duty.
> >> 4) Non-English language posts (without translation), posts
> >> formatted in HTML, posts with signature lines exceeding the
> >> USENet recommended five lines, or posts which the moderators
> >> deem to be incoherent.
> >> 5) Posts that violate copyright. You must own the copyright, or
> >> have permission to use copyrighted materials that do not
> >> originate from USENet. Only short excerpts from copyrighted
> >> materials are allowed under "fair use", with full author and
> >> publisher credits.
> >> 6) Previously composed articles that have already been posted
> >> within the last month. You may post pointers to articles in
> >> response to requests, or offer to send articles via email.
> >> 7)
> >> a) All personal attacks, abuse, and flames, including those based
> >> on astrology. Claims of methodological, ideological, or
> >> systemical sovereignty, or other forms of provocation, are also
> >> prohibited. (Flaming is here defined as abuse, insults,
> >> harassment, and suggestive slurs. Provocation is here defined
> >> as content primarily intended to incite aggravation, indignation,
> >> or outrage. All of the preceding is subject to the judgment
> >> of the moderators.)
> >> b) Any comments pertaining to any chart placement of another poster
> >> in the course of a discussion without receiving prior consent
> >> or invitation to make such comments.
> >> 8) Posts skeptical of the general validity of astrology, which are
> >> more properly posted to sci.skeptic, or other newsgroups.
> >> 9) Comments pertaining to moderation policy or performance.
> >> Questions,
> >> comments, or complaints regarding moderation policies or
> >> performance
> >> must be mailed directly to aam-board@stump.algebra.com. Questions
> >> or complaints regarding the modbot and technical difficulties may
> >> be addressed to aam-admin@stump.algebra.com.
> >> Complaints will be dealt with as promptly as schedules permit,
> >> usually within a few days. Abuse of moderators, either in posts
> >> submitted to this newsgroup, or in email, is strictly prohibited.
> >> Abusive mail can and will be used as grounds for imposing bans.
> >> See rules 7a, and 7b, for the current overview of what is
> >> here considered abuse.
> >>

> >> On-topic posts include discussions of techniques and applications
> >> of astrology and related disciplines, including history, traditions,
> >> and innovations. Questions from novices are welcome, along with
> >> requests for horoscope and chart interpretations which are
> >> accompanied by full birth data. However, please note that
> >> while astrologers do often answer such posts, this constitutes
> >> a form of pro bono (free) service and, as many posters are
> >> professional
> >> astrologers, they may not have the time or interest to do free work
> >> on the newsgroup. Professional astrologers are as serious about
> >> their work as any other set of professionals and frequently devote
> >> hours
> >> of preparation time for a single client consultation. It is not
> >> difficult
> >> to understand that this amount of work (and it *is* work!) can be
> >> burdensome and self-defeating for an astrologer in the absence of
> >> remuneration or compensation. Please do not expect that any response
> >> to a request for astrological advice will be as comprehensive or
> >> adequate for your needs, as would be a full consultation with a
> >> professional, certified or peer-esteemed, astrologer. Also, please
> >> note that astrologers, like psychologists, can be very individual
> >> and eclectic in terms of the techniques they use and favor. The
> >> moderators of alt.astrology.moderated may or may not be in agreement
> >> with any statements or advice offered in response to questions on
> >> the newsgroup, and we (the moderators) are not responsible for the
> >> astrological opinions and advice which other posters offer on the
> >> newsgroup, whether those opinions be offered by professional
> >> astrologers,
> >> amateur astrologers, or beginning, intermediate, or advanced students
> >> of
> >> astrology.
> >>
> >> Additionally welcome are posts pertaining, and related, to the field
> >> of
> >> astrology, such as ethics, counseling, psychology, mythology,
> >> metaphysics, divination, prediction, history, spirituality, education,
> >> and
> >> certification programs. Although this is a moderated group,
> >> moderators
> >> will not attempt to inhibit the free flow of ideas or self expression
> >> posted in accordance with the rules. This forum expects, and
> >> accepts, the civil expression of disagreements and criticisms
> >> pertaining to the symbological interpretations, methods, theories,
> >> and ideas encountered both here, in the posts of others, and in
> >> materials published elsewhere.
> >>
> >> Acting Moderators:
> >> Michael Rideout
> >> Scott Ransom
> >>

> >> Stand-by:
> >> Gail Klein
> >>
> >> Newsgroup Administration:
> >> Michael Rideout
> >> Igor Chudov
> >>
> >> Technical Counsel and Services:
> >> Igor Chudov
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
>

3260. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 10:13:36 UTC
From: cooncat@privacy.nu
Message-ID: <3ea2721e.1897208@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 32276
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

who gives a republican rat's ass !!!

On 19 Apr 2003 23:42:19 -0700, rhf-mail@usa.com (RHF) wrote:

>ok, Ok. OK! - Here's the Answer !
>
>
>I have CHANNELED the "Founders" (The Wise Old Ones) of the 'original'
>UseNet News Groups. It has been a REVELATION to me to be "Guided" by
>their Un-Common Wisdom and Plain Common Sense. These 'Spirits' were
>the creative force of the progenitors of Rec.Radio.Shortwave,
>Rec.Radio.Swap and many more News Groups that are now maintained
>[Hosted] at Google Groups.
>
>Revelation #1: The Old Rules were for the past millennium.
>
>Revelation #2: The New Millennium 'requires' New Community Guide
>Lines (Not Hard and Fast Rules).
>
>Revelation #3: Anyone Who - Post's To /or/ Post's On the
>"Rec.Radio.Shortwave" News Group is 'By Definition' is a MEMBER and
>should Read and Follow the Spirit of Guide Lines as Revealed by "The
>Wise Old Ones'.
>
>
>Guide Line #1 (A Revelation) The General TOPIC is the Recreational Use
>of Radios for Shortwave and Broadcast Listening Related Activities.
>Amateurs (Hams) Are Welcome. Hence the Name of the News Group is
>Rec.Radio.Shortwave. Normal Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group Activities
>are the Exchange and Sharing of Information, News, Events, Questions,
>Answers, Replies, ETC.
>
>Guide Line #2 (A Revelation) The Comments and Observations about
>Current Events derived from personal listening activities of
>Shortwave, Broadcast and other forms of media are "Not Off Topic":
>But are 'not' the primary focus of the Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group.
>
>Guide Line #3 (A Revelation) "Private Party" 'For Swap/Trade/Sale/ETC'
>Radio Equipment and Accessory Materials that are Post On/To and
>'Listed On' the Rec.Radio.Shortwave and Rec.Radio.Swap News Groups are
>acceptable as long as they are limited to an average of one per month
>in any three month period; not to exceed five to ten items per
>posting/listing.
>

>Guide Line #4 (A Revelation) These "Private Party" (Are Defined as
>Non-Commercial Activities) are 'subject to' the "Honor System" by
>individual's themselves; and it is not the providence of any
>Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group Member to monitor, police, or report
>and alleged violation by others of this Specific Guide Line.
>
>Guide Line #5 (A Revelation) Group Members who do attempt to monitor,
>police, or report and alleged violation to an other members ISP are
>themselves "In Violation" of the Guide Lines of the
>Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group.
>
>Guide Line #6 (A Revelation) Any Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group
>Member may provide Documented Evidence of 'Commercial Activity' that
>Violates the Guide Lines of the Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group to a
>Members ISP; provided they follow these specific procedures. 1.
>Provide a Copy of these Rec.Radio.Shortwave Guide Lines to the ISP
>along with a Copy of the "Alleged" Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group
>Posting that Violates these Guide Lines. 2. Provide the "Accuser's"
>Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group Membership (Screen) Name; A Valid
>E-Mail Address; and Full Legal Name and Complete Address. This is
>'required' so that the "ACCUSED" can Factually Address the Issues of
>the Allegation and Resolve the Matters Raised by their Accuser; as is
>their Right of Due Process Governed by these Guide Lines.
>
>Guide Line #7 (A Revelation) Membership Conduct - Sticks and Stones
>May Brake My Bones... Buy Names Will Never Hurt Me. The Golden Rule
>Applies with 'respect' to Your Self Conduct and Your Conduct Towards
>Others.
>
>Guide Line #8 (A Revelation) [Behind "The Eight Ball" Activities]
>Criminal Activity that In-Fact Violates a Published Public Law should
>be reported to the Appropriate Governmental Body having Jurisdiction
>over such matters and not to ISPs and other non-governmental
>organizations. Any News Group Member may provide Documented Evidence
>of 'Criminal Activity' that Violates a Published Public Law; provided
>they follow these specific procedures. 1. Provide a Copy of the
>Alleged Rec.Radio.Shortwave Posting that Violates a Published Public
>Law. 2. Provide the "Accuser's" Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group
>Membership (Screen) Name; A Valid E-Mail Address; and Full Legal Name
>and Complete Address. This is 'required' so that the "ACCUSED" can
>Factually Address the Issues of the Allegation and Resolve the Matters
>Raised by their Accuser; as is "Their Right of Due Process Under the
>Law".
>
>Guide Line #9 (A Revelation) Frequency of Individual, Multi and Cross
>Linked Postings to two or three News Groups of the same
>content/message: Can be an efficient use of the News Group
>'resources' for the Members and the Service Providers. However, it
>should not be a habitual modus operandi. With 'respect' to the
>Frequency of Individual, Multi and Cross Linked Postings: Individual

>Members are 'subject to' the "Honor System" by the individual's
>themselves; and it is 'not' the providence of any Rec.Radio.Shortwave
>News Group Member to monitor, police, or report and alleged violation
>by others of this Specific Guide Line.
>
>
>oh no, Oh No. OH NO! - What was the Question ?
>
>
>Just for the FUN of It ~ RHF
>- The Right Honorable Faker of Revelations
>- - In-the-Spirit of the 'The Old Wise Ones' of the
>- - - Rec.Radio.Shortwave News Group.
>
>.
>
>.
>
>= = = "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
>= = = news:<DTVma.10277$TR5.6806@twister.nyc.rr.com>...
>> Just wanted to warn everyone that as a result of one or more anonymous, (of
>> course), whining little girly girls, your ISP will be informed of any
>> postings you make on these groups, albeit totally legal, if it upsets these
>> little weasels.
>>
>> Recently I sold some radio equipment. Some were for sale here in the
>> groups, and others were for auction on eBay.
>>
>> If it was for sale here, it was listed as a FS, and if it was for auction,
>> it was listed as a FA, with a simple link to the auction.
>>
>> Apparently some little pissant mutant didn't like the fact that these
>> postings were made on "their" groups, so they fired off a complaint to my
>> ISP. In this complaint they misrepresented the truth. Well, OK, they LIED!
>>
>> They told my ISP that I was issuing unsolicited, bulk e-mail of a commercial
>> nature. As far as I know, newsgroup postings, although they may be
>> cross-posted, are NOT e-mail, nor are they bulk e-mail solicitations.
>> Additionally, the private sale of radio equipment is not a commercial
>> service or product as far as I know. I am not a radio dealer, and lord
>> knows, as do we all, that you certainly do not make a profit selling your
>> equipment on the secondary market. Of course, my ISP did not identify the
>> complainant, nor did they supply proof or examples of my alleged
>> infractions. So, I can only assume that the complaint was related to the
>> above, recent for sale, for auction postings.
>>
>> As a result, my ISP accused me of violating their rules against the bulk
>> e-mail solicitation of commercial services or products in violation of my
>> agreement.
>> They also stated that USENET newsgroup postings of the same nature are also

>> in violation of my agreement, and if the practice continues, my account will
>> be suspended or cancelled. So much for free speech thanks to the newsgroup
>> Nazis. You can only imagine the content of the return letter I fired off to
>> my ISP.
>>
>> So, the continued death of these newsgroups, once a great place for debate,
>> discussion, and dissemination of information between hobbyists is imminent
>> at that hands of sorry fools with no life and a huge chip on their shoulder,
>> to be replaced by ads for pornography, and silly political discussions not
>> at all related to the topic of the group, yet continue unabated without a
>> word of complaint that I am aware of.
>>
>> Many fellow hobbyists acquired very nice equipment from me at very
>> reasonable prices during my latest "spring cleaning". Unfortunately in the
>> future, only those with sense enough to search eBay, or frequent STRONG
>> SIGNALS will be aware of future sales from me and others.
>>
>> Apparently, I can no longer post my equipment FOR SALE in ANY newgroup, and
>> that is a shame.
>>
>> Dan

3261. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 12:21:23 UTC
From: Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette>
Message-ID: <nn05av01nlkq955vaa9j9id80k3121hg42@4ax.com>
Source record: 32277
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 19 Apr 2003 22:34:42 -0800, Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
objected to the to the assertion that rules are learned, not read when
he uttered the following saglunerk :
:

>Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote:
>>
>>>If you want to play net lawyer, you'd best have read the rules
>>>or you'll get disbarred your first day in court.
>>
>>FYI: Usenet rules are learned they are not read.
>>
>>That is called being overruled.
>
>And that is called just plain stupid. You have to read the
>rules to learn them. It would be a good idea if you did.

illitsuitok? We hardly know each other and you think me stupid?

Tell me, Oh Great Noob from the North, can I borrow your copy of the
all inclusive Official Handbook of Usenet Rulez? I seem to have
misplaced mine.

I'm eager to learn :)

krauyimatauyok Know it All has overruled you again. :)

3262. Re: attic antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 13:03:23 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <93635A42AYomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32278

As long as the siding does not go up past the start of your roof you will have no problem. But if it does, i.e. like say front and back you will have a directional antenna "side to side" only working in the direction where the siding is not.

George

kb6ojs@arrl.net (Steve Silverwood) wrote in <MPG.190ac903c120c1779896e4@newstest2.earthlink.net>:

>In article <3E9C8B4E.3050907@rochester.rr.com>, wedgew@rochester.rr.com >says...
>> I have aluminum siding on the hose will that have much effect on
>> reception?
>
>Probably won't help things, although if the transmitter is not far away >it may not make a difference. Better to get an outside antenna if at >all possible, though. You can put one up just about anyplace you would >put a regular TV antenna, just as inconspicuous (if you have to worry >about CC&Rs or cranky neighbors or whatnot) and will do wonders for your >reception.
>

3263. Re: Icom R-10 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 13:08:09 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@home.scotland>
Message-ID: <b7u63o$hi2$1@sparta.btinternet.com>
Source record: 32279

"Steve" <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org> wrote in message
news:bMloa.195610$S14.50716@news1.central.cox.net...
> Thanks Mefix!
>

No problem :)

3264. Re: Scantenna ST2 Antenna Opinions Requested ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 13:11:38 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3EA29C8A.2010006@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 32280

George Bush wrote:
> Well Bob your question is to easy to answer. Click..
>
> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/scantenna.htm
>
> or
>
> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/winscan780.htm
>
> And 4th link from the bottom "Base Antenna Testing"
>
> George
>
>

I know that I've said many times that the Discone is probably the most OVER-RATED antenna used by scanner hobbiest. I've had a few Discones (before I know better). Now I have the ScanTenna. You can't even compare!

My ScanTenna pickups up aircraft nearly 300 mile away. Everyday I'm listening to F15's engaged in mock dog fights over the Atlantic in an area up to 200 miles north of me. Also with the same antenna I'm listening to bombing runs on a range about 150 miles south of me. Even the $100+ discones can't come close.

As far as an Omni-Directional ant goes you can't beat a ScanTenna. I use mine all the time to listen to 30-50 VHF Skip, 118-136 AM Civil Air, 138-144 AM MilAir, 225-400 AM MilAir and some 800 MHz trunking (BTW it pickup up an 800 MHz trunking system that's nearly 50 miles away just fine!).

Currently I have 2 ScanTenns, 1 AntennaWarehouse Scanner Beam (another really nice antenna), and 1 Create Log Periodic ($300 ant, its good but as far as receiving receives no better than the $60.00 AntennaWarehouse Scanner Beam). I find myself using the ScanTennas more than any others.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com
http://www.MilAirComms.com/shack.html <-Shack & Antenna Pictures

3265. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 13:33:04 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190c4167eca49dda989a0a@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32281
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <HICna.107256$0X.24662793@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote
>
> > Kum-by-ya...
>
> Yeah...pass the grape Kool-Aid...

Kool-aid was not used in Jonestown.

It was flavor-aide.

Don't make that mistake again.
>
> > "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
> > news:jB-dnbYO08svRAOjXTWcow@bway.net...
>
> <expert, irrefutable information snipped>
> lol
>
>
>

3266. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 13:37:35 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190c4278350a2358989a0b@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32282
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <XTIna.108298$0X.25099995@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote
>
> > I said FOAD because he was making fun of people who have the total absence
> > of WMD on their side. We were right for opposing the war because it was
> > based on false evidence of WMD that DON'T EXIST. PERIOD.
> >
> > John
>
> You need to do a bit of research and see EXACTLY what the US policy toward
> Iraq IS and HAS been. Nothing has changed. You liberals want to latch on to
> the WMD aspect - and we're just STARTING to look for those -

We've been looking for years, of course. Spying on Iraq top to bottom,
from the skies, from space, from the ground, with machines and with
humans.

We have looked and looked and looked - for years.

Nada.

the coalition
> didn't send in armies of weapons inspectors, and that wasn't their primary
> objective.

Sent in highly trained teams of experts with super high tech devices.
First thing. Real high priority. Been to lots of sites now. Nada.

How many years AFTER WWII were the atrocities of the Nazis still
> being discovered? And what makes you so certain that Iraq DIDN'T move WMDs
> to Syria? Doesn't fit into your little world?

We have drones and spy satellites watching folks going to Syria.

But I suppose it is possible Iraq sent a bunch of Giant Spiders from
Mars to Syria while we weren't looking.

Let's look at how logical your theory is though - Iraq builds weapons -
then when it's attacked it either buries them - so that they can't be
used - or moves them to another country - so that they couldn't use
them.

That makes a lot of sense. Build weapons - so you can bury them or throw
them away.

Right.

>
> Again, the WMD thing is an overplayed issue, and only a SMALL PART of the US
> policy toward Iraq. And I don't think that anyone can debate the fact that
> Hussein did NOT comply with all of the UN resolutions.
>
>
>

3267. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 13:41:46 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190c437932fcf063989a0c@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32283
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <TcOna.108961$0X.25435075@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote
>
> > "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> > news:uHKna.108332$0X.25254031@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > > <sigh>
> > >
> > > The WMD issue was never the sole basis for the actions in Iraq, and
> wasn't
> > > stated to be so. The United States policy toward Iraq was clearly stated
> > by
> > > Edward P. Djerejian, the Director of the Baker Institute For Public
> > Policy,
> > > at Rice University. It was presented at the IISS/CEPS European Security
> > > Forum Meeting in Brussels on September 9, 2002.
>
> > I will quote from a speech he made to the United Nations General Assembly
> on
> > 12 September 2002. This is the first paragraph of what he (the United
> States
> > and the United Nations) "now expects of the Iraqi regime."
> > "If the Iraqi regime wishes peace, it will immediately and unconditionally
> > forswear, disclose, and remove or destroy all weapons of mass destruction,
> > long-range missiles, and all related material. If the Iraqi regime wishes
> > peace, it will immediately end all support for terrorism and act to
> suppress
> > it, as all states are required to do by U.N. Security Council
> resolutions."
>
> Well, as stated before, the inspections/searches for WMDs are only just
> beginning - the coalition never sent armies of "inspectors" in.

And again - false. We have looked for years. We have had many months to
tell the UN inspectors where they are. We have amazing spy technology to
see the damned things. Nada. We have agents on the ground who hate
Saddam - never a clue as to where even ONE wmd might be.

We sent in teams FIRST THING with super high tech devices, armed with
our best intelligence gleaned over the years. Nope.

We have captured folks who absolutely know about this - top official for
weapons. Has every reason to say what US wants. Says - we destroyed
them.

Top bio folk - we destroyed them.

We have rewards out now - with Saddam gone - Iraqis who drove trucks to
hide the things? Don't seem to like money.

We're just
> now back-tracking and cleaning up cities that were by-passed to get to major
> objectives (Baghdad, et. al.) I have a hard time understanding why some
> people persist in the belief that all things would, could or SHOULD be done
> all at once, with immediate results. Let's wait to see what transpires in
> the weeks ahead before crying out for "proof" of the WMDs.

And your theory is - Iraq made weapons - so that when attacked they
could bury them where they couldn't be used?

Why exactly do we have to wait to laugh in your face at such an
OBVIOUSLY STUPID idea?

General Franks
> has many weapons at his disposal, but none of them is a magic wand, and he
> still hasn't found the blonde in the bottle that can immediately grant his
> every wish. One of the things that bothers me is the hypocrisy that now
> exists regarding the old sanctions - the same people that were convinced
> that there were no WMDs are now saying that the sanctions should not be
> lifted because there has still been no full disclosure regarding Iraq's
> WMDs...
>
> HUH???
>
> > I revoke my previous statment about it being the ONLY reason for invaded.
> > However, in my educated opinion, putting THAT paragraph sure elevates the
> > cause of WMD above anything and everything else, including the "Liberation
> > of Iraq" catchphrase the Pentagon chunked out when it became evident that
> > their top-priority of disarming Iraq of it's WMD would not be enough to

3268. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 13:45:38 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190c445f527e5271989a0d@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32285
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.iraq

In article <va0f124r7sog5c@corp.supernews.com>, public@e.mail says...
> Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in
> news:MaudnZg8P5f9qD2jXTWcrg@bway.net:
>
> > Hmmm. Seems to me all I heard for twelve months was an endless mantra
> > "Sadaam must disarm or we will disarm him." There was no other stated
> > issue, though side issues kept popping up depending on what day it
> > was. To now say that WMD is not the issue is disingenuous, sorta like
> > "Where's Osama?" Osama? Osama who?
>
>
> Let me see if I can help your little brain understand what has happened
> with an analogy.
>
> Let's say you're a drug dealer in central Mexico with piles of drugs spread
> out around your villa. One day you hear the police are going to visit your
> villa in a months time and search for the drugs. Will they find any?
> Probably not. It will take alot of detailed searching. It may even
> require a search of your friends "place" next door.
>
> Did this little story help you understand what has happend? Do you feel
> like pulling your head out of your ass now? No?

Why not make the analogy a better fit?

You claim that Iraq made these weapons so that when attacked - they
could bury them and never use them.

Right?

Is that your final answer?

In addition, the US has been searching for years with high tech stuff in
the sky and on the ground, with informants who hate Saddam. Never found
even one WMD.

We had inspectors in there, from the UN, for months - never found one,
even though they were free to go anywhere in the country, look behind
any door.

And moving the stuff - would still leave detectable residues which
inspectors, with high tech stuff, could spot.

The evidence for the existence of WMD is just about zero.

AND we can't find even one, even after years of looking.

AND the very idea that they would make them - and then HIDE THEM WHEN
ATTACKED is completely stupid.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3269. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 13:48:30 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190c4506c06275bf989a0e@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32284
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <8iLna.108452$0X.25300169@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:v9uvnk54vr2fbc@corp.supernews.com...
> > And with the sound of U.S. Tanks rumbling in the background - "The
> infidels
> > are committing suicide at the city gates, I tell you no infidels are in
> > Baghdad"
>
> Correct. And no WMDs are in Syria.

Syria has not denied it has chemical weapons.

With a nuclear armed Israel next door - they would be derelict not to
have them.

And no terrorists in the Bekaa Valley...
>
> > "Q" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> > news:AUKna.108358$0X.25270610@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > > "JJ" <radiobuffo@mailcity.moc> wrote in message
> > > news:3E9F5376.6020704@mailcity.moc...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Gogarty wrote:
> > > > > There are no weapons of mass destruction in Iraq and none have been
> > > moved to
> > > > > Syria.
> > > >
> > > > Is this some fact you can back up with reliable sources you can
> > > > reference us to, or just conjecture on your part?
> > >
> > > Sure - like Baghdad Bob told us the truth, the Syrian bozo is ALSO
> telling
> > > the truth - even though intelligence operatives from several countries
> > have
> > > noted members of the former Iraqi regime in Syria, Syria says "no - it
> > isn't
> > > true!".
> > >
> > > Right. And as Baghdad Bob said, ""I can assure you that those villains
> > will
> > > recognize, will discover in appropriate time in the future how stupid
> they

> > > are and how they are pretending things which have never taken place."
> > >
> > > Get a grip.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3270. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 13:55:51 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190c46bff6298235989a0f@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32287
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <b7pddu$3a9jp$1@ID-105084.news.dfncis.de>,
kgowenNOSPAM@myfastmail.com says...
> Gogarty wrote:
> > Cursed are the peacemakers for they shall be reviled. I just don't
> > understand the depth of hostility to France. Perhaps it's based on
> > envy.
>
> Envy of what? You have it exactly backwards.
>
> Everyone knows that the French are the most arrogant people on earth.
> However, no one knows why.

So true. The quality of life in Paris certainly can't match that in
Cleveland.

Just look at the two cities - it's obvious that American culture is
right up there.

France is beautiful. They do not have a nation consisting mainly of ugly
strip malls with boring and banal franchised stores selling inferior
goods.

So they have a right to feel superior to us - because they ARE superior
to us.

It's an obvious fact - just drive around the two countries for a while
and you will see that I am correct.

Of course, a comparison to the really ugly and really embarrassingly
banal USA is not a fair comparison - we are pretty much at the bottom of
the barrel among nations. Were you to say that the French had no right
to feel superior to the Italians - well, you might have a point.

But it is genuinely funny that Americans view themselves as somehow
superior - given what obvious low lifers we are. We are basically a
nation of Bufort T Hayseeds. With a few exceptions in PARTS of New York,
San Francisco, Vermont, and so on. I mean look - we have a President
who can't even speak correctly, and who is so stupid he launches
unprovoked wars against defenseless nations - causing the whole world to
hate us.

They have every right to feel superior - they really do. I love many
aspects of America - but I have to admit - we are pretty much all bozos
on this bus.
>
> --

> Kevin Gowen
>
>

3271. RX - AOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 14:18:06 UTC
From: Aaron Lewis <aaronlewis@staind.com>
Message-ID: <y_xoa.53574$iy5.1567908@twister2.libero.it>
Source record: 32286
Cross-posted to: it.hobby.radioamatori,it.hobby.radio-cb,rec.radio.scanner

Salve,
un po' di tempo fa avevo un AR8000 che poi decisi di vendere dopo averlo consumato un po' tanto :-) Essendomi
tornata la voglia di un ricevitore ho cominciato a cercare in giro per i negozi e su internet apparati tipo AR8000 o
AR8200 e ho scoperto che sono introvabili e sono abbastanza rari. Ora le mie domande sono:

Chi distribuisce questi ricevitori in Italia?
Come mai non si trovano da nessuna parte?

Mi sfugge qualcosa che dovrei sapere su questi apparati o marca?
Ciao e grazie per una eventuale risposta.

3272. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 14:25:57 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <V5yoa.33876$4P1.3029955@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32288
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote:

> What coalition? Anybody heard of that poor Spanish prime minister since
the
> so-called summit in the Azores? He sure as hell was not invited to
Beklfast,
> was he?

Is Beklfast in Irekland?

(sorry - couldn't resist)

(now *MY* sides are splitting!)

73,

Steve Lawrence
Burnsville, MN

3273. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 14:29:43 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <r9yoa.33882$4P1.3030513@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:zTJna.204$V2.8516@eagle.america.net...
> For one, it was a command, not a wish.
>
> For two, saying "Kum-ba-ya" isn't disagreeing. That's a way of taunting
and
> discrediting anyone who even remembers, 4 decades ago, when "kum-ba-ya"
was
> even used regularly as a chant in protests.
>
> That is what is outrageous.
>
> John

"The answer, my friend, is blowing in the wind....."

(blame it on burritos late last night)

73,

Steve Lawrence
Burnsville, MN

3274. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 14:31:25 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1byoa.33884$4P1.3030607@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32290
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote:

> BTW, I'm also anti-illegal drugs (and favor keeping them that way) and
> cigarettes (total ban). How does that fit? I'm not a liberal, I'm not a
> conservative, so what am I????

Let's see....a Fascist?

(ooh! What did I win?)

73,

S.L.

3275. Re: New 245XLT scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 14:40:49 UTC
From: niincdj@cableone.net
Message-ID: <m1c5av4ou1n0mqvi3t2cvginc7gh5fsujd@4ax.com>
Source record: 32291

On Sun, 20 Apr 2003 03:57:59 GMT, "Teranews" <N/A> wrote:

>>Looks good but you might want to mention to people its a Beta.

<g> I did! only thing wrong was I misspelled it.

>>> Well the subject says it all. We have finally finished our last beat
>>> version for the scanner database.

What was, "our last beat" should have been "our last beta." Living
with dyslexia can make for an interesting time. <vbg>

I often get "sue" and "use" interchanged, sometimes with alarming
results. Until someone comes up with a dyslexic's spell checker I am
stuck with transpositions.

--
Regards
Dave Johnson
VP R&D
Nolan Innovation Inc.
****
http://aluminator.tierranet.com
http://www.motorcyclecrazy.com
http://www.shadowstuff.com
****

3276. Re: Jesus' last words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 15:00:21 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9Cyoa.33965$4P1.3034228@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Rene" <deleterene.altena@gmx.net> wrote

> You don't know anything about Adolf, you American.
>
> Rene

But you do, don't you, smelly Frog? Your countrymen
cheered when the Nazis marched in...then, when it was
convenient, cheered when the Americans came marching.
Yours is a nation of greasy, smelly liver - eating swine.

(tongue in cheek)

73,

Steve Lawrence
Burnsville, MN

3277. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 15:13:58 UTC
From: No <123@noone.net>
Message-ID: <WOyoa.55118$MB4.22170215@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 32293

Actually it is called multicasting, not simucasting.

George

"Arkmood" <arkmood@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.190af53ed3994809896a3@news.exit109.com...
> vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme says...
>
> > They must be re-broadcasting 155.205 because that's what's heard there.
The
> > radios in our ambulances and our handhelds all operate on 155.205.
>
> Congratulations!! You've figured it out! Known as 'simulcasting', it's
> happening over in Manchester (OC) also while their new trunk system is
> being implemented and their old system phased out...

3278. Scantenna ST2: Another Few Questions On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 15:17:27 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsrose@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <b7udmbsor1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 32294

Hello:

Looks like I'll be purchasing the Antennacraft Scantenna ST2

a. A bit confused over coverage though.

Their specs imply that it has "continuous" coverage from 30MHz to 1.3 GHz.

But, on one of their distributors web pages, it lists the coverage
as being in discrete frequency range blocks from:

"Frequency Range:30-50, 148-174, 450-470, 470-512, 800-1300"

Also:

b. Am going to have a hard time sneaking it in my attic, Apparently, it
has an 8-1/2 feet vertical height length.

If I cut, e.g., an inch or two off the vertical element(s), what is
effected? (coverage, gain, etc.?)
Is this a reasonable practical approach; looks like I need another few
inches shorter, even if I place it directly under the attic's peak.
Or, shouldn't I even think about doing this?

c. Is this antenna truly omni-directional?

Thanks,
Bob

3279. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 15:20:19 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <va5ejj3emgvs7c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32295
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Lets make USER1, whoever the hell he is, President of the world. He is soooo smart. He could solve all our problems worldwide, take care of all of us. We would feel so good and safe and live happily everafter.

"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.190a5d103a4eaa309899ce@news.newsguy.com...
> In article <3E9CD391.2080302@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>,
> m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca says...
> > GF Jones wrote:
> > > "PLONK"
> >
> > I always liked the sound of that.
> >
> > <Plonk!>
> >
> >
> >
> Me too. It means I have crushed another mental dwarf.

3280. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 15:54:57 UTC
From: J <None@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <lpzoa.125$OR7.67737672@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 32298

Hey Arkmood,

Do you know if the Manchester trunked system will be Digital or analog.
BTW, I hear EMS on 1218 and 928 on the OCPS 500MHZ system.
"Arkmood" <arkmood@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.190af53ed3994809896a3@news.exit109.com...
> vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme says...
>
> > They must be re-broadcasting 155.205 because that's what's heard there.
The
> > radios in our ambulances and our handhelds all operate on 155.205.
>
> Congratulations!! You've figured it out! Known as 'simulcasting', it's
> happening over in Manchester (OC) also while their new trunk system is
> being implemented and their old system phased out...

3281. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 15:58:24 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Aszoa.124352$0X.28176579@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32296
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote

> And again - false. We have looked for years. We have had many months to
> tell the UN inspectors where they are. We have amazing spy technology to
> see the damned things. Nada. We have agents on the ground who hate
> Saddam - never a clue as to where even ONE wmd might be.

We? The UN? lol...

> We sent in teams FIRST THING with super high tech devices, armed with
> our best intelligence gleaned over the years. Nope.

Here we go - do you have a link or something to back this up? And I don't
mean some conspiracy-nut page...?

> We have captured folks who absolutely know about this - top official for
> weapons. Has every reason to say what US wants. Says - we destroyed
> them.

Why would they have every reason? The dissidents from Iraq that have been on
the news and stated that Iraq HAS such things are summarily dismissed by you
folks. Sure - they can't point them to where things are NOW, as they've been
gone awhile.

> Top bio folk - we destroyed them.

And they would tell the truth, like Baghdad Bob. Gimme a break...

> We have rewards out now - with Saddam gone - Iraqis who drove trucks to
> hide the things? Don't seem to like money.

Actually, most of them don't WANT money, and I'm sure some are still worried
about the status of hussein and his henchmen. You don't live in fear for
your life (and that of your family) for DECADES and just forget about it
overnight. Talk to some of the people who survived Hitler's regime. Many
still have nightmares to this day.

> We're just
> > now back-tracking and cleaning up cities that were by-passed to get to
major
> > objectives (Baghdad, et. al.) I have a hard time understanding why some
> > people persist in the belief that all things would, could or SHOULD be
done
> > all at once, with immediate results. Let's wait to see what transpires
in
> > the weeks ahead before crying out for "proof" of the WMDs.
>

> And your theory is - Iraq made weapons - so that when attacked they
> could bury them where they couldn't be used?

<sigh>

What makes you think they would use them in a war with the US? And, as I asked before, if YOU were forced into military service, then given orders to use chem/bio weapons - IN YOUR OWN COUNTRY - are you going to tell me you would follow those orders? They wouldn't even use their CONVENTIONAL weapons in many cases - they just played french - dropped their weapons, took off their uniforms, and hauled ass. Use your head.

> Why exactly do we have to wait to laugh in your face at such an
> OBVIOUSLY STUPID idea?

> General Franks
> > has many weapons at his disposal, but none of them is a magic wand, and
he
> > still hasn't found the blonde in the bottle that can immediately grant
his
> > every wish. One of the things that bothers me is the hypocrisy that now
> > exists regarding the old sanctions - the same people that were convinced
> > that there were no WMDs are now saying that the sanctions should not be
> > lifted because there has still been no full disclosure regarding Iraq's
> > WMDs...
> >
> > HUH???

3282. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 16:04:26 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <eyzoa.124390$0X.28182308@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32297
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.iraq

"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote

> In article <va0f124r7sog5c@corp.supernews.com>, public@e.mail says...
> > Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in
> > news:MaudnZg8P5f9qD2jXTWcrg@bway.net:
> >
> > > Hmmm. Seems to me all I heard for twelve months was an endless mantra
> > > "Sadaam must disarm or we will disarm him." There was no other stated
> > > issue, though side issues kept popping up depending on what day it
> > > was. To now say that WMD is not the issue is disingenuous, sorta like
> > > "Where's Osama?" Osama? Osama who?
> >
> >
> > Let me see if I can help your little brain understand what has happened
> > with an analogy.
> >
> > Let's say you're a drug dealer in central Mexico with piles of drugs
spread
> > out around your villa. One day you hear the police are going to visit
your
> > villa in a months time and search for the drugs. Will they find any?
> > Probably not. It will take alot of detailed searching. It may even
> > require a search of your friends "place" next door.
> >
> > Did this little story help you understand what has happend? Do you feel
> > like pulling your head out of your ass now? No?
>
> Why not make the analogy a better fit?
>
> You claim that Iraq made these weapons so that when attacked - they
> could bury them and never use them.
> Right?

No, your twisted little mind translates it that way. you are pathetic.

> Is that your final answer?
>
> In addition, the US has been searching for years with high tech stuff in
> the sky and on the ground, with informants who hate Saddam. Never found
> even one WMD.
>
> We had inspectors in there, from the UN, for months - never found one,
> even though they were free to go anywhere in the country, look behind
> any door.

Look, Mr. Rocketscientist...if it were THAT easy, there wouldn't be any terrorists, either. Nobody has enough satellites to cover every inch of the world every second of the day in realtime. The terrorists have known this for years, and hide when satellites go by. The Russians did it with their military installations - especially the ones they didn't want anybody to know about. Satellite antennae have been listening to the cosmos for years - but are you going to tell us that because we haven't found anything yet that we are the only intelligent life in teh universe?

> AND we can't find even one, even after years of looking.

Who is this "WE"? Why do you turn a blind eye to the fact that hussein has done his damnest to obstruct the inspections? There's plenty of info on the net and elsewhere regarding that.

> AND the very idea that they would make them - and then HIDE THEM WHEN
> ATTACKED is completely stupid.

You are hopeless.

3283. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 16:05:51 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <zzzoa.124401$0X.28183081@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32299
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net> wrote

> "Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote:
>
> > What coalition? Anybody heard of that poor Spanish prime minister since
> the
> > > so-called summit in the Azores? He sure as hell was not invited to
> Beklfast,
> > > was he?
>
> Is Beklfast in Irekland?
>
> (sorry - couldn't resist)
>
> (now *MY* sides are splitting!)

Maybe he meant "breakfast"...

3284. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 16:08:14 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <OBzoa.124416$0X.28185776@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32300
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote

> In article <HICna.107256$0X.24662793@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
> Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote
> >
> > > Kum-by-ya...
> >
> > Yeah...pass the grape Kool-Aid...
>
> Kool-aid was not used in Jonestown.
>
> It was flavor-aide.
>
> Don't make that mistake again.

hey - we like Kool-Aide...
"Kum-by-aaaaaaaaaaaaa..."

3285. Re: Scantenna ST2: Another Few Questions On

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 16:11:54 UTC
From: pleasespamme@microsoft.com
Message-ID: <93637D280Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32301

Well Bob for it to work right you can't cut just one end, i.e. you will
have to trim 1" off each end to keep it in faze. And yes it is continuous
coverage from 30MHz to 1.3GHz and last, yes it truly is omni directional.
And the best deal on the net is

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3018802735&category=4672

$40.00 free shipping from Antenna Warehouse on eBay :-) they have a better
deal on eBay then on there web-page, i.e. it's $37.00 + $10.00 shipping on
there web-page, on eBay it's a flat $40.00 with shopping.

George

rgsrose@tiac.net (Robert11) wrote in
<b7udmbsor1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>:

>Hello:
>
>Looks like I'll be purchasing the Antennacraft Scantenna ST2
>
>a. A bit confused over coverage though.
>
>Their specs imply that it has "continuous" coverage from 30MHz to 1.3 GHz.
>
>But, on one of their distributors web pages, it lists the coverage
>as being in discrete frequency range blocks from:
>
> "Frequency Range:30-50, 148-174, 450-470, 470-512, 800-1300"
>
>Also:
>
>b. Am going to have a hard time sneaking it in my attic, Apparently, it
>has an 8-1/2 feet vertical height length.
>
>If I cut, e.g., an inch or two off the vertical element(s), what is
>effected? (coverage, gain, etc.?)
>Is this a reasonable practical approach; looks like I need another few
>inches shorter, even if I place it directly under the attic's peak.
>Or, shouldn't I even think about doing this?
>
>c. Is this antenna truly omni-directional?
>
>Thanks,
>Bob
>
>

>

3286. Re: LNKSYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 17:27:13 UTC
From: Jeffrey Loomis <jloomis2k@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <iCCdnVKI0JCsQT-jXTWcqg@wideopenwest.com>
Source record: 32302

I found the info below on the linksys web site. I recently saw a table that displayed the low, center, and high frequency for each channel. I can't remember if I saw that online or in one of my magazines. It's possible that table had nothing to do with Linksys. I'll let you know if I find it.

Linksys Knowledge Base Article ID: KB10934215

Instant Wireless equipment uses DSSS [Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum] technology. In North America, 11 channels are available. The breakdown of the frequencies is as follows:

Channel 1 - 2.412GHz
Channel 2 - 2.417GHz
Channel 3 - 2.422GHz
Channel 4 - 2.427GHz
Channel 5 - 2.432GHz
Channel 6 - 2.437GHz
Channel 7 - 2.442GHz
Channel 8 - 2.447GHz
Channel 9 - 2.452GHz
Channel 10 - 2.457GHz
Channel 11 - 2.462GHz

"Kevin Gunther" <beeltee@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:xPXna.35734$MB4.13798421@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Does anyone know the frequency(ies) of LNKSYS wireless??
>
> thanks
>
>

3287. Re: How do I put my scanner online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 18:58:57 UTC
From: Melv <melvyn.rattenburynoshit@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <z5Coa.895$WH4.524@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 32303
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Any more I should know if behind a router?
"George Bush" <pleasespamme@microsoft.com> wrote in message
news:9359D0423Yomommazilla@216.168.3.44...
> Here you go a web-site with complete instructions on what to do with links
> to everything you need!
>
> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/radio.htm
>
> George
>
> nospam@dontsendit.com (Gary) wrote in
> <du0c9vk0m3u03k1nv1pfdemoi0qain8gn2@4ax.com>:
>
> >On Thu, 10 Apr 2003 17:58:31 -0000, nosupport@shitasoft.com (Adam
> >Notworking) wrote:
> >
> >>Well now that all the ass holes and morons have had there shots at
> >>you.. It's easy. download SHOUTcast and Winamp.. You will need a
> >>connecter from your scanner, ether speaker out or tape out to your
> >>computers sound card ether mic in or aux in. Install the SHOUTcast
> >>software and follow the dirction. If your DSL or CABLE "NOT DIALUP
> >>MODEM" your on the air.. it's that simple..
> >>
> >> Adam
> >>
> >>Dubbin2@hotmail.com (Dwayne) wrote in
> >><gqzN8.6521$t%5.3055115@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>:
> >>
> >>>How do I go about putting my scanner online?
> >>>
> >>>
> >
> >I hate to promote a Microsoft product, but they do give this one away
> >for free.
> >
> >Microsoft's Windows Media Encoder is also easy to set up and run. That
> >way, people don't need to install Winamp, as they would have to with
> >shoutcast.
> >
>

3288. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 19:08:53 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.moc>
Message-ID: <3EA2F045.9020100@mailcity.moc>
Source record: 32308
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

USER1 wrote:

>
> They have every right to feel superior - they really do. I love many
> aspects of America - but I have to admit - we are pretty much all bozos
> on this bus.

Then I suggest you take the next bus to france.

3289. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 19:22:58 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-0FF61D.12225820042003@newssvr13-ext.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 32304
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <nn05av01nlkq955vaa9j9id80k3121hg42@4ax.com>,
Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote:

> On 19 Apr 2003 22:34:42 -0800, Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
> objected to the to the assertion that rules are learned, not read when
> he uttered the following saglunerk :
> :
>
> >Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote:
> >>
> >>>If you want to play net lawyer, you'd best have read the rules
> >>>or you'll get disbarred your first day in court.
> >>
> >>FYI: Usenet rules are learned they are not read.
> >>
> >>That is called being overruled.
> >
> >And that is called just plain stupid. You have to read the
> >rules to learn them. It would be a good idea if you did.
>
> illitsuitok? We hardly know each other and you think me stupid?
>
> Tell me, Oh Great Noob from the North, can I borrow your copy of the
> all inclusive Official Handbook of Usenet Rulez? I seem to have
> misplaced mine.
>
> I'm eager to learn :)

Here you go
http://www.faqs.org/faqs/radio/monitoring/shortwave-faq/

--
Telamon(TM)
Ventura, California

3290. yaesu vr-120d fa $76

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 19:45:05 UTC
From: keith <mke65@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <q4u5avgrjvpp8rn43vru9i66rh127e2kvs@4ax.com>
Source record: 32305

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3019470605

3291. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 20:13:47 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <va5vrk843jkgf7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

As you are so enamoured with the French, drop me a private e-mail and I will buy you a one-way ticket to France. You need only sign a contract that states should you ever return to the US, you will pay me $10,000.

As you would never want to return to such a crappy, inferior country this should be no problem for you.

Put up or shut up!

"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message news:MPG.190c46bff6298235989a0f@news.newsguy.com...
> In article <b7pddu$3a9jp$1@ID-105084.news.dfncis.de>,
> kgowenNOSPAM@myfastmail.com says...
> > Gogarty wrote:
> > > Cursed are the peacemakers for they shall be reviled. I just don't
> > > understand the depth of hostility to France. Perhaps it's based on
> > > envy.
> >
> > Envy of what? You have it exactly backwards.
> >
> > Everyone knows that the French are the most arrogant people on earth.
> > However, no one knows why.
>
> So true. The quality of life in Paris certainly can't match that in
> Cleveland.
>
> Just look at the two cities - it's obvious that American culture is
> right up there.
>
> France is beautiful. They do not have a nation consisting mainly of ugly
> strip malls with boring and banal franchised stores selling inferior
> goods.
>
> So they have a right to feel superior to us - because they ARE superior
> to us.
>
> It's an obvious fact - just drive around the two countries for a while
> and you will see that I am correct.
>
> Of course, a comparison to the really ugly and really embarrassingly
> banal USA is not a fair comparison - we are pretty much at the bottom of
> the barrel among nations. Were you to say that the French had no right
> to feel superior to the Italians - well, you might have a point.
>

> But it is genuinely funny that Americans view themselves as somehow
> superior - given what obvious low lifers we are. We are basically a
> nation of Bufort T Hayseeds. With a few exceptions in PARTS of New York,
> San Francisco, Vermont, and so on. I mean look - we have a President
> who can't even speak correctly, and who is so stupid he launches
> unprovoked wars against defenseless nations - causing the whole world to
> hate us.
>
> They have every right to feel superior - they really do. I love many
> aspects of America - but I have to admit - we are pretty much all bozos
> on this bus.
> >
> > --
> > Kevin Gowen
> >
> >

3292. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 20:47:15 UTC
From: John P Vassel <kc8ske@cybiko.com>
Message-ID: <va61qj7o5jjg9e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32307

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
in news:v9qmigrpvr9c16@corp.supernews.com:

>
> <Barry9124@city.net> wrote in message news:3E9D4D51.94B07514@city.net...
>> Listening to the scanner will do no good if the patrol car has a
computer
> in
>> it.
>>
>> I can't believe that someone would make such a request.
>> No police officer is going to put his job on the line for any one.
>>
>

The Secretary of State in Michigan would be happy to run this plate for
him. He just needs to stop into one with the appropriate fee. They'll mail
him the results in 10 days or so, as well as mail his info to the owner of
the plate. Since it's just for curiosity reasons, whats the harm right??

John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD.

3293. Re: THE FINAL WORD ON THIS ISP SITUATION, I promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 22:16:24 UTC
From: Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette>
Message-ID: <tu66av4asiv1t8kg9sij7ikagt5lrplu24@4ax.com>
Source record: 32309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 20 Apr 2003 19:22:58 GMT, Telamon
<telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote:

>In article <nn05av01nlkq955vaa9j9id80k3121hg42@4ax.com>,
> Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote:
>
>> On 19 Apr 2003 22:34:42 -0800, Floyd Davidson <floyd@ptialaska.net>
>> objected to the to the assertion that rules are learned, not read when
>> he uttered the following saglunerk :
>> :
>>
>> >Know it All <Newsgroup@etiqu.ette> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>If you want to play net lawyer, you'd best have read the rules
>> >>>or you'll get disbarred your first day in court.
>> >>
>> >>FYI: Usenet rules are learned they are not read.
>> >>
>> >>That is called being overruled.
>> >
>> >And that is called just plain stupid. You have to read the
>> >rules to learn them. It would be a good idea if you did.
>>
>> illitsuitok? We hardly know each other and you think me stupid?
>>
>> Tell me, Oh Great Noob from the North, can I borrow your copy of the
>> all inclusive Official Handbook of Usenet Rulez? I seem to have
>> misplaced mine.
>>
>> I'm eager to learn :)
>
>Here you go
>http://www.faqs.org/faqs/radio/monitoring/shortwave-faq/

What is this? You're like Tony. You haven't been paying attention.

3294. Re: New 245XLT scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 22:54:28 UTC
From: Jim <jlisson@velocitus.net>
Message-ID: <3EA32524.988FC35C@velocitus.net>
Source record: 32310

> >>Looks good but you might want to mention to people its a Beta.
>
> <g> I did! only thing wrong was I misspelled it.
>
> >>> Well the subject says it all. We have finally finished our last beat
> >>> version for the scanner database.
>
> What was, "our last beat" should have been "our last beta." Living
> with dyslexia can make for an interesting time. <vbg>

With a very big grin I will caution you not to try to
write any code in machine language. ;-)

3295. Re: Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 23:11:40 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <e8a6avg0p4pdeitenmb9vo1a4oontem72e@4ax.com>
Source record: 32311
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

On Fri, 18 Apr 2003 18:48:59 +0900, "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> spake forth with a thunderous, almost god-like tone:

>
>"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
>news:mNLna.209$V2.9183@eagle.america.net...
>> Simmions? No. Simmons? No. But my long lost relatives are the simians
>> (monkeys), and technically so are yours.
>>
>>
>
>Actually, if you buy into the whole evolution gig, we are descended not from
>simians (monkeys) but from the Great Apes.. big difference. Our closest
>relatives are the chimpanzees, among the most intelligent of the Great Apes.
>
>

Brown or black furry things with or without the vestigial tail. Whatever. Back to not reading the
thread.

John

3296. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 23:19:24 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030420191924.18831.00000205@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 32312

>The Secretary of State in Michigan would be happy to run this plate for
>him. He just needs to stop into one with the appropriate fee. They'll mail
>him the results in 10 days or so, as well as mail his info to the owner of
>the plate. Since it's just for curiosity reasons, whats the harm right?

No harm, unless the 'curious one' is a serial killer or rapist looking for the address and other information about a potential victim who happened to catch his eye.

There are many other reasons.

I really didn't think that the information I provided to a government agency would ever become a commodity, but perhaps you're right...we're not a free people who allow our government to perform its tasks with minimal impact upon our civil liberties, no, we're sheep paying handsomely for the privilege of being sheared.

James S. Prine
"WhoreMonica: An instrument that sucks to make itself known."

3297. Re: Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 23:25:51 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <nta6avoulaq3crcbq3sa4ilmi3hf9tm6do@4ax.com>
Source record: 32313
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

On Sun, 20 Apr 2003 14:31:25 GMT, "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <73steve@earthlink.net> spake forth with a
thunderous, almost god-like tone:

>
>"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote:
>
>> BTW, I'm also anti-illegal drugs (and favor keeping them that way) and
>> cigarettes (total ban). How does that fit? I'm not a liberal, I'm not a
>> conservative, so what am I????
>
>Let's see....a Fascist?
>
>(ooh! What did I win?)
>
>73,
>
>S.L.
>

Wrong. And it was a rhetorical question. You can't answer that without knowing all my political
beliefs. I believe in the second amendment for at the most, personal defensive firearms and hunting
rifles and family.
You win absolutely nothing except a pile of flaming shit, dumbass.

3298. Re: Thank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-20 23:54:33 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <va6cpfla4q1695@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32314
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In any case...

Thanks to all who replied, even to those 95% that gave useless and/or ignorant responses. I have acquired my information through other means.

I can't believe the number of idiots in here... Guess it takes a legit, but unusual, request like mine to weed out just who the real idiots are...

PH

3299. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 01:59:50 UTC
From: John P Vassel <kc8ske@cybiko.com>
Message-ID: <va6k4mogm6ki33@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32315

jsprine@aol.comnospam (James S. Prine) wrote in
news:20030420191924.18831.00000205@mb-m26.aol.com:

> No harm, unless the 'curious one' is a serial killer or rapist looking
for the
> address and other information about a potential victim who happened to
catch
> his eye.
>

Since your info is sent to the owner of the plate, in an 'exchange' of information, as well as recorded as being the person to request such info, I'd highly suspect this venue as being the one a criminal would take to get info on a plate. Criminals would probably just ask someone via usenet ;)

John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD

3300. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 02:27:24 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAC8CF4C.1759B%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32316
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.iraq

"Q" wrote:
>
> Look, Mr. Rocketscientist...if it were THAT easy, there
> wouldn't be any terrorists, either. Nobody has enough
> satellites to cover every inch of the world every
> second of the day in realtime. The terrorists have
> known this for years, and hide when satellites go by.

He is making sense, Q. This isn't about watching the whole world - we have concentrated much effort and equipment on this one country for many years. It also isn't about hiding stuff while satellites go by - most spy satellites today are geo-stationary.

And the United States does have high-tech equipment on both aircraft and satellites to detect nuclear material. We've seen reports of such technology in magazines, newspapers, and other media (NEST, which uses such technology, has been well covered in many media outlets - and the military certainly has additional capability).

Even without such technology, it would be difficult to keep this stuff entirely secret, especially over time (the same with chemical and biological materials). For example, one way to locate this stuff is to simply follow the people involved - Iraq's scientists. These guys have to work on this stuff somewhere. You could also watch recent graduates from universities (those with such skills will be employed somewhere).

The ingredients and machinery to make up these materials have to be purchased somewhere, and shipped to where they can be used. With spy satellites, it shouldn't be too difficult to follow these shipments (if it is, we need better spy satellites).

Nuclear material is especially difficult to hide. It stands out like a sore thumb on most infrared film, even when located inside a building.

> (snip) ...and hide when satellites go by. The Russians
> did it with their military installations - especially
> the ones they didn't want anybody to know about. (snip)

In the early sixties perhaps. Satellite technology has advanced since then. After those original satellites, both countries used a series of satellites to keep a near constant watch over a region. Today, most spy satellites are geo-stationary - watching 24 hrs a day. Several are sitting over your house, watching at this very moment.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3301. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 04:17:24 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <ohq6avout00c80i9i2j29q28u7g0dsai03@4ax.com>
Source record: 32317
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.iraq

Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net> wrote:

> "Q" wrote:
> >
> > Look, Mr. Rocketscientist...if it were THAT easy, there
> > wouldn't be any terrorists, either. Nobody has enough
> > satellites to cover every inch of the world every
> > second of the day in realtime. The terrorists have
> > known this for years, and hide when satellites go by.
>
>
> He is making sense, Q. This isn't about watching the whole world - we have
> concentrated much effort and equipment on this one country for many years.
> It also isn't about hiding stuff while satellites go by - most spy
> satellites today are geo-stationary.

Bzzzt.

>
> And the United States does have high-tech equipment on both aircraft and
> satellites to detect nuclear material. We've seen reports of such technology
> in magazines, newspapers, and other media (NEST, which uses such technology,
> has been well covered in many media outlets - and the military certainly has
> additional capability).

Bzzzt.

>
> Even without such technology, it would be difficult to keep this stuff
> entirely secret, especially over time (the same with chemical and biological
> materials). For example, one way to locate this stuff is to simply follow
> the people involved - Iraq's scientists. These guys have to work on this
> stuff somewhere. You could also watch recent graduates from universities
> (those with such skills will be employed somewhere).

Bzzzt.

>
> The ingredients and machinery to make up these materials have to be
> purchased somewhere, and shipped to where they can be used. With spy
> satellites, it shouldn't be too difficult to follow these shipments (if it
> is, we need better spy satellites).
>
> Nuclear material is especially difficult to hide. It stands out like a
> sore thumb on most infrared film, even when located inside a building.

Bzzzzt!

... AFAIK, the only geostationary "spy" satellites are the comm relays and *possibly* the ferrets. The others are low orbiting polar jobbers.

...there are some *very* creative ways of getting nuclear materiel, especially if you are an "educational" institute.

Even so, granite will have a higher background level than a properly shielded vault, so a background level within a processing plant may in fact be lower than that of a city street. Weird but true.

The hardest part is getting the material. Tritium would be really hard. But fissionable material, not so hard. I guarantee you that you have some high-grade material in your home right now. The tools to work it, however, can be built or bought anywhere.

Just because it was hard work to do it the first time doesn't make it hard work today. Child's play? No, but not the proverbial rocket science either.

3302. Re: Thank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 04:59:29 UTC
From: iexbetarocks@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1c927739.0304202059.2127458e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32318
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

and the pot called the kettle black...

3303. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 05:43:02 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030421014302.19006.00000328@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 32319

>Since your info is sent to the owner of the plate, in an 'exchange' of
>information, as well as recorded as being the person to request such info,
>I'd highly suspect this venue as being the one a criminal would take to get
>info on a plate.

I know of a case where a law enforcement employee *was* charging hefty fees to 'erase' criminal database information, and for other unique services, but she *was* caught pretty swiftly and yes, she got a healthy prison sentence for it.

On the other hand, I've worked more than a few homicides where 'Restraining Orders' and other official impedimenta meant little to a determined lawbreaker. But, that goes with the territory.

>Criminals would probably just ask someone via usenet ;)

LOL...yes, that's as good a way as any, I guess.

James S. Prine
"WhoreMonica: An instrument that sucks to make itself known."

3304. Re: Thank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 05:44:24 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030421014424.19006.00000329@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 32320

>Guess it takes a legit, but
>unusual, request like mine to weed out just who the real idiots are...

Remember, some of those 'idiots' are the ones who might some day prosecute you for something or other. Worse...some of those *idiots* might comprise your jury <g>.

James S. Prine
"WhoreMonica: An instrument that sucks to make itself known."

3305. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 10:40:22 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAC942D5.175BB%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32321
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.iraq

"Eric F. Richards" wrote:
>
> ... AFAIK, the only geostationary "spy" satellites
> are the comm relays and *possibly* the ferrets. The
> others are low orbiting polar jobbers.

That was certainly true years ago, but I was under the impression we had more geostationary satellites up now (at least that is the impression I get when reading about this). Of course, since spy satellite capability is probably one of the military's best kept secrets, there is no way to know for sure. In other words, your guess is as good as mine.

> ...there are some *very* creative ways of getting
> nuclear materiel, especially if you are an "educational"
> institute. (snip)

The stuff to enrich into weapons grade material and the volume necessary to do so? I doubt it, at lease not without attracting a lot of attention.

> The hardest part is getting the material. Tritium would
> be really hard. But fissionable material, not so hard.
> I guarantee you that you have some high-grade material
> in your home right now. The tools to work it, however,
> can be built or bought anywhere. (snip)

Again, in the volume necessary to produce weapons grade material? I don't think so. If that were the case, every country would have nuclear weapons and the nuclear countries would not be working so hard to prevent the sales of materials and machinery to build nuclear weapons.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3306. Want SONY ICF-SW55

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 13:00:47 UTC
From: Jerry <n6vg@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3ea3eca9@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 32322

Please reply off list to n6vg@lanset.com

Let me know condition, what comes with it and price etc.
Take care. Jerry in Sacramento, CA.

3307. TAC CHANNEL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 14:50:54 UTC
From: Ken Harris <k5kdi@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <va81cdlno4r23d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32324

my area police keep talking about a tactical channel on the scanner, and i cant find it..... anyone have any idea how i could get the freq. that my area uses??? and they have a "secure" button on the base radio, any idea how i might hear them when they flip the "secure" channel???

Thanks!
k5kdi@cableone.net

3308. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 15:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Gowen <kgowenNOSPAM@myfastmail.com>
Message-ID: <b8111q$55ucr$2@ID-105084.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32325
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.iraq

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> "Eric F. Richards" wrote:
>
>>... AFAIK, the only geostationary "spy" satellites
>>are the comm relays and *possibly* the ferrets. The
>>others are low orbiting polar jobbers.
>
>
>
> That was certainly true years ago, but I was under the impression we had
> more geostationary satellites up now (at least that is the impression I get
> when reading about this). Of course, since spy satellite capability is
> probably one of the military's best kept secrets, there is no way to know
> for sure. In other words, your guess is as good as mine.

He's right about the geostationary spy satellites being comm relays.
This is because the geostationary orbit is above the equator. Not much
use for other "spy" applications unless you want to take a bunch of
pictures of Uganda.

Spy satellites for taking pictures tend to be low orbitters, as Eric
said. The people have this idea of the CIA launching a satellite to
hover over Iceland or wherever, but that just isn't the case. A number
of nations are located such that you cannot get a geosynchronous
satellite directly over them (Iceland, for example, is too far north).
There is also the fact that the geosynchronous orbit is over 22,000
miles above the surface of the earth. Not exactly ideal for taking pics
of the terrorists in Crapistan.

>>...there are some *very* creative ways of getting
>>nuclear materiel, especially if you are an "educational"
>>institute. (snip)
>
>
>
> The stuff to enrich into weapons grade material and the volume necessary
> to do so? I doubt it, at lease not without attracting a lot of attention.
>
>
>
>>The hardest part is getting the material. Tritium would
>>be really hard. But fissionable material, not so hard.
>>I guarantee you that you have some high-grade material

>>in your home right now. The tools to work it, however,
>>can be built or bought anywhere. (snip)
>
>
>
> Again, in the volume necessary to produce weapons grade material? I don't
> think so. If that were the case, every country would have nuclear weapons
> and the nuclear countries would not be working so hard to prevent the sales
> of materials and machinery to build nuclear weapons.

You seem to be forgetting that materials alone do not allow a state to
construct nuclear weapons. They also have to have the knowledge. The
group of scientists who have this knowledge is rather small, which is
one reason why the Nuclear Club is such an exclusive one. If I dropped
an ACME Do-It-Yourself Nuclear Bomb Kit on Barbados today, do you really
think they could just whip up a weapon?

> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

- Kevin

3309. Re: TAC CHANNEL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 15:33:43 UTC
From: Elephant <elephant@the-zoo.com>
Message-ID: <3EA40F57.1D1@the-zoo.com>
Source record: 32326

Ken Harris wrote:
>
> my area police keep talking about a tactical channel on the scanner, and i
> cant find it..... anyone have any idea how i could get the freq. that my
> area uses??? and they have a "secure" button on the base radio, any idea how
> i might hear them when they flip the "secure" channel???
>
> Thanks!
> k5kdi@cableone.net

Ken,
You might want to let us know what city you are in.
It's difficult to give you any info when there are
so many police departments and they all have different
channel assignments.

3310. WTB-ICOM R8500 +

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 15:49:53 UTC
From: Ponderosa Sports <ponder@velocitus.net>
Message-ID: <DqToa.57530$c42.51243@fe01.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 32323

Do any wholesalers have these in stock?

I am in need of -
ICOM R8500
discone antenna with 100' of cable
AD-55/A/V AC ADAPTER
2 DC power cords
RS-R8500 software with the included OPC-770 cable (Windows 2000)
IC-MB12 MOBILE MOUNTING BRACKET

--
Cliff Harrison
cliff@ponderosasports.com
http://www.ponderosasports.com
Ponderosa Sports
6854 Hwy 55
Horseshoe Bend, Idaho 83629
Open 9am-6pm Thu-Sun
Closed Mon-Wed
208-793-3121

3311. Recommend an LNA (Mast mount) to go with AOR DA3000 (Grove ANT11)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 16:26:51 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0304210826.334054b1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,

I have an AOR DA3000 discone which covers 25 MHz to 2 GHz.

Can someone recommend a good pre-amp (I'm willing to spend several hundred dollars) that work over this freq range.

If a pre-amp covers from 500 MHz to 1.5 GHz (for instance) then will it attenuate outside that band, or will the signals appear as if there was no pre-amp?

Thanks,
John

3312. R8500 User Group, Forum, or Mailing List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 16:48:55 UTC
From: Brian Short <bshort4@cox.net>
Message-ID: <BAC98AFB.6E55%bshort4@cox.net>
Source record: 32328

Is anyone aware of an Icom R-8500 Mailing List, User Group, or Forum?

Mailto:bshort4@cox.net

http://www.k7on.com/

3313. Re: R8500 User Group, Forum, or Mailing List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 17:34:02 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <not_for_mail@fake789.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.21.17.34.02.713575@fake789.invalid>
Source record: 32329

Suggest you join the "IC-R8500" group at http://yahoogroups.com

On Mon, 21 Apr 2003 16:48:55 +0000, Brian Short wrote:

> Is anyone aware of an Icom R-8500 Mailing List, User Group, or Forum?
> Mailto:bshort4@cox.net
> http://www.k7on.com/

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S GNU/Linux User http://parnass.com

3314. Re: TAC CHANNEL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 17:49:43 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XaWoa.18027$Yj2.419137@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 32330

A TAC channel is just a second channel that they use rather than the dispatch channel. It is a second feq our talkgourp ID. They use it to talk between themselves as not to tie up the dispatch channel. It's not secret or hidden.

You should be able to find the local TAC channels in your area from the same place you found the main channels.

Good luck,

Jim

"Ken Harris" <k5kdi@cableone.net> wrote in message
news:va81cdlno4r23d@corp.supernews.com...
> my area police keep talking about a tactical channel on the scanner, and i
> cant find it..... anyone have any idea how i could get the freq. that my
> area uses??? and they have a "secure" button on the base radio, any idea
how
> i might hear them when they flip the "secure" channel???
>
> Thanks!
> k5kdi@cableone.net
>
>

3315. Re: TAC CHANNEL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 18:40:16 UTC
From: Steve <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org>
Message-ID: <kWWoa.225378$S14.7352@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 32331

You should check the FCC database of your town at

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

Pay close attention to the service code of PW. Also the TAC channel might
be a
repeater out put frequency, but at at low power.

"Ken Harris" <k5kdi@cableone.net> wrote in message
news:va81cdlno4r23d@corp.supernews.com...
> my area police keep talking about a tactical channel on the scanner, and i
> cant find it..... anyone have any idea how i could get the freq. that my
> area uses??? and they have a "secure" button on the base radio, any idea
how
> i might hear them when they flip the "secure" channel???
>
> Thanks!
> k5kdi@cableone.net
>
>

3316. Fire Dept. Frequencies NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 19:04:17 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <E1adnbtD94kR3TmjXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 32332

I am looking for the correct frequencies and CTCSS tones for towns in Southern Bergen County NJ. I need the tones for all the towns using 154.600. The listings I find on the web are almost all wrong. Can anyone help

thanks

Anthony Bonacarti
anthony@mostlyrockets.com
www.mostlyrockets.com

3317. Re: How do I put my scanner online?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 19:36:03 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <jqh8av4ugc0n45d0phagrgm0qkus3u04mv@4ax.com>
Source record: 32333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 20 Apr 2003 19:58:57 +0100, "Melv"
<melvyn.rattenburynoshit@ntlworld.com> wrote:

The tutorial I used was:

http://www.scancapecod.net/livescan/feedtutorial.html

And on my router, I have service port 8080 opened up to 192.168.0.90,
the IP address the router has assigned to the computer running the
feed.

3318. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 19:52:07 UTC
From: Arkmood <arkmood@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190e15efd07d58479896a5@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 32334

jamulter@aol.com says...

> Don't you think that MIGHT have been helpful to mention at the beginning? It
> probably would have saved 8 or more posts!

Absolutely! However, I felt it more important (from the perspective of
scanning enthusiasts), to address the assertion that the OC trunked
system was 'not' being used for County EMS traffic.

3319. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 19:54:58 UTC
From: Arkmood <arkmood@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190e169ded09565b9896a6@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 32335

@noone.net says...

> Actually it is called multicasting, not simucasting.

Either or - depending upon how it's being applied...

3320. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 20:00:09 UTC
From: Arkmood <arkmood@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190e17d75a7f6f619896a7@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 32336

None@nospam.com says...
> Hey Arkmood,
>
> Do you know if the Manchester trunked system will be Digital or analog.

As far as I know digital...

3321. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 20:06:37 UTC
From: Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu>
Message-ID: <TlWdnbumZqHV0jmjXTWcpw@bway.net>
Source record: 32337
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <zzzoa.124401$0X.28183081@twister.columbus.rr.com>, Q@Q.invalid.com says...

>
>Maybe he meant "breakfast"...

That too. But it was supposed to be Belfast. And that poor Spaniard, who is now in deep doodoo at home, was nowhere to be seen, in Belfast or at breakfast.
>
>

3322. Scan Record?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 20:43:20 UTC
From: Tom Pamin <scnrfrq@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3EA457E9.1030506@adelphia.net>
Source record: 32338

Does anyone know if you can still purchase the tape recorder that hooks up to a scanner and only records when the scanner is receiving? Maybe it was called Scan Record?

3323. Icom PRC-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 21:26:34 UTC
From: Pat Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3EA4620A.128A1790@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 32339

Want to buy your unused copy of Bonito 5.0 software for this Icom receiver. K4AVO

3324. Bonito 5.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 21:27:25 UTC
From: Pat Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3EA4623C.12406700@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 32340

Want to buy your unused copy of this software program for the Icom
PCR-1000. K4AVO

3325. Re: Pricecheck: Possibly WTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 21:31:37 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <VqZoa.12703$X44.9600@fed1read07>
Source record: 32341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"...I knew I'd miss her,
so I had to keep her,
she's buried right in my backyard." - Axel Rose

--
-me

"Choose your weapon! You choose.... spoon!"
(maybe you don't understand question)
"swl" <swl@c.dk> wrote in message
news:3kl2avo55ga39mj2kdv4n38berro6esiug@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 19 Apr 2003 14:55:15 +0100, "K.S.Blake" <polar@tiscali.com>
> wrote:
>
> >If I were you, I'd get rid of the wife!
>
>
> Allready evaluated that option...but my kids seem to like her ..figure
> id miss her to; though I have seen better S/N on my radios at times :P
>
>
>
>
> Best Regards / Med Venlig Hilsen
> Stephan W.-Larsen / swl at c dot dk
> ---
> Listening to the World from Denmark.
> Radio:NRD545G,HP3586A,ICR8500,WR-G303i/PD
> Antenna:Wellbrook ALA1530&ALA100,Dressler ARA2000
> Software: Radioraft,SpectraPLUS,Argo,WWSU

3326. small parts supplier needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 21:34:38 UTC
From: Vic <nospam@bogusaddress.com>
Message-ID: <ZjZoa.64991$7i5.10038@fe02.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 32342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Just received an order from Hosfelt Electronics (888-264-6464). I've bought from them for years. Small parts (walwarts, dc coax
connectors, wire, solder, transistors etc.). But the last two orders have been incomplete due to sold out or discontinued items.
Their service is great, you can order a $ .50 item, they have no minimum. Shipping is reasonable ... but they have less and less of
what I want, hence, who else sells small electronic hobbyist parts with NO MINIMUM, reasonable shipping and good service (prefer
they have a catalog)?

3327. Re: small parts supplier needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 21:46:21 UTC
From: Rich
Message-ID: <5cmdnTW_kYHb-zmjXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 32343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

http://www.mouser.com/
Look here We use them, with good luck.

"Vic" <nospam@bogusaddress.com> wrote in message
news:ZjZoa.64991$7i5.10038@fe02.atl2.webusenet.com...
> Just received an order from Hosfelt Electronics (888-264-6464). I've
bought from them for years. Small parts (walwarts, dc coax
> connectors, wire, solder, transistors etc.). But the last two orders have
been incomplete due to sold out or discontinued items.
> Their service is great, you can order a $ .50 item, they have no minimum.
Shipping is reasonable ... but they have less and less of
> what I want, hence, who else sells small electronic hobbyist parts with NO
MINIMUM, reasonable shipping and good service (prefer
> they have a catalog)?
>
>
>

3328. Re: small parts supplier needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 21:56:36 UTC
From: Joe Strain <jstrain1@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <oOZoa.202601$j8.4075698@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 32344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

http://www.halted.com/

(url for nuts and volts magazine
-the successor to popular electronics)

http://www.allelectronics.com/index.html

http://skycraftsurplus.com/

http://www.goldmine-elec.com/

yodar

==========
"Vic" <nospam@bogusaddress.com> wrote in message
news:ZjZoa.64991$7i5.10038@fe02.atl2.webusenet.com...
> Just received an order from Hosfelt Electronics (888-264-6464). I've
bought from them for years. Small parts (walwarts, dc coax
> connectors, wire, solder, transistors etc.). But the last two orders have
been incomplete due to sold out or discontinued items.
> Their service is great, you can order a $ .50 item, they have no minimum.
Shipping is reasonable ... but they have less and less of
> what I want, hence, who else sells small electronic hobbyist parts with NO
MINIMUM, reasonable shipping and good service (prefer
> they have a catalog)?
>
>
>
>

3329. Re: cell phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 22:06:14 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <mXZoa.12704$X44.3569@fed1read07>
Source record: 32345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

All kidding aside, I don't see how any of this is going to tell this guy how
to modify a scanner.

--
-me

"Choose your weapon! You choose.... spoon!"
(maybe you don't understand question)
"Suspicious Behavior" <Suspicious_Behavior@925.org> wrote in message
news:k5o8avcdt1ctatmh24934kchgo09a5em2h@4ax.com...
> Evans wrote:
> >
> > Can some one tell me how to modify a scanner
> > to pick up cell phones?
>
> Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (CALEA)
>
> Public Law No. 103-414, 108 Stat. 4279 (10/25/1994)
> also known as "The Digital Telephony Act".
>
> 103d CONGRESS, 2d Session
> H. R. 4922 Sponsor: Rep Edwards, Don
> S.2375 Sponsor: Sen Leahy, Patrick J
>
> Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 119, Section 2225:
> Enforcement of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act."
>
> http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/2522.html
>
>
> SEC. 202. CORDLESS TELEPHONES.
> (a) Definitions.--Section 2510 of title 18, United States
> Code, is amended--
>
> (1) in paragraph (1), by striking ", but such term does not
> include" and all that follows through "base unit"; and
>
> (2) in paragraph (12), by striking subparagraph (A) and
> redesignating subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D) as
> subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), respectively.
>
> http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/2510.html
>
> (b) Penalty.--Section 2511 of title 18, United States Code,

> is amended--
>
> (1) in subsection (4)(b)(i) by inserting "a cordless
> telephone communication that is transmitted between the
> cordless telephone handset and the base unit," after
> "cellular telephone communication,"; and
>
> (2) in subsection (4)(b)(ii) by inserting "a cordless
> telephone communication that is transmitted between the
> cordless telephone handset and the base unit," after
> "cellular telephone communication,".
> http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/2511.html
>
> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES
> Subpart C--Intentional Radiators (cordless telephones)
> Sec. 15.233 Operation within the bands 43.71-44.49 MHz,
> 46.60-46.98 MHz, 48.75-49.51 MHz and 49.66-50.0 MHz.
> http://tinyurl.com/9zxp
>
> Electronic Fontier Foundation's report on CALEA
> http://www.eff.org/Privacy/Digital_Telephony_FBI/digtel94.act
>
> FCC's Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (CALEA)
> http://www.fcc.gov/calea/
>
> Welcome to AskCALEA
> http://www.askcalea.com/

3330. Re: Video-Motion-Detector who can make ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 22:14:24 UTC
From: Harvey Johnstone <harveyjohnstone@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <b81qg0$1v1$1@hercules.btinternet.com>
Source record: 32346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

These have been available commercialy for a long time. No need to make them!!

regards

Harv
"bla" <comsecnl@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:Pchna.40870$qm4.6327681@amsnews03.chello.com...
> Who can make or design a " Video-Motion-Detector" ?
>
> A "Video-Motion-Detector" is an electronic device that can be connected to a videocamera, when a movement in the video-picture is
> detected the unit will switch a relay
> wich can be used to switch an alarm or a timelapse-videorecorder.
>
> Such a unit is very hard to find !... specially when it should run on 12 Volts (just try it on the internet)
>
> It should be a small box with 2 connectors (video in,video out)
> and 1 adjuster for sensitivity
>
> A later and more luxurious model should have the possibility to
> adjust for a certain part of the screen (field) so it will only react to movements in this part of the field (this field could be an
> adjustable square wich will be visible on the connected monitor)
>
> The idea is to make a lowcost unit in a small box (not based on windows software on a pc)
> maybe a pic-processor can be used or old-fashioned anolog parts
>
> I am willing to pay good for a good design or working model
>
> Any tips or ideas are also welcome
>
> Please respond to: comsecnl@hotmail.com
>
> Thanks in advance
>
>
>

3331. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 22:22:31 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190e0f05cdadd27f989a31@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32348
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <va5ejj3emgvs7c@corp.supernews.com>, yooww2002@yahoo.com says...
> Lets make USER1, whoever the hell he is, President of the world. He is
> soooo smart. He could solve all our problems worldwide, take care of all of
> us. We would feel so good and safe and live happily everafter.

No one can predict the future very well, so even the best among us can't assure a smooth ride.

But for god's sake - I sure could do better than President Bush - which is admittedly faint self-praise.

He has destroyed the government's finances. Each new estimate comes in far worse than the previous one. Did you see the latest? That in the FIRST SIX MONTHS of this fiscal year - we're already about 250 billion in the hole? And that doesn't even carve out the SS trust fund surplus - set it aside and it's 350 billion or so? In SIX MONTHS!

And the numbers are going to just keep getting worse.

Because President Bush lies about the real costs of his proposals. Take the war in Iraq - in December, to get congressional support and to make believe that we can afford his boondoggles, he said it might cost 50 to sixty billion a year. Guess what? He has asked for 75 billion - for the FIRST SIX MONTHS. He lied through his teeth - as usual.

Well, you know the score - from four straight years of surplusses - he took us right into the ditch.

Or how about this war? He said we had to go - for our safety. What total crap. Iraq is a total weakling. Boxed in by US and UK planes so that they couldn't even put soldiers outside of the Bagdad area. And the WMD? Nukes? Proven lies. Others - well, terrorists can get them anywhere. Attacking Iraq doesn't stop them. PLUS - Iraq doesn't even seem to have any of these readily-available things.

So it was a BRAIN DEAD war.

Now - you don't have to be a rocket scientist to understand that.

The costs are apparent - world hates us, arabs furious - which will likely lead to more terrorists, deficts worse, alliances damaged, fundamentalists strengthened.

Now - what do we gain from the war? Freedom - from a few dilapidated tanks which never were a risk.

So what can I say except - it's not that I'm so smart. All this is
obvious. It's that President Bush is really stupid.

He can't even speak English without constant blunders.

So you are confused - it's not that I say I'm smart.

It's that I say Bush is a moron.

And the facts back me up in spades.

In an amazingly short time President bush has completely wrecked the
government
>
>
> "USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.190a5d103a4eaa309899ce@news.newsguy.com...
> > In article <3E9CD391.2080302@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>,
> > m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca says...
> > > GF Jones wrote:
> > > > "PLONK"
> > >
> > > I always liked the sound of that.
> > >
> > > <Plonk!>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Me too. It means I have crushed another mental dwarf.
>
>
>

3332. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 22:33:12 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190e1185b6da7284989a32@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32347
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <3EA2F045.9020100@mailcity.moc>, radiobuff0@mailcity.moc
says...
>
>
> USER1 wrote:
>
> >
> > They have every right to feel superior - they really do. I love many
> > aspects of America - but I have to admit - we are pretty much all bozos
> > on this bus.
>
> Then I suggest you take the next bus to france.
>
>
Too many depend on me here.

Otherwise I would move to Costa Rica. ANd not because I don't like America. Parts of America are grand. But other places are sometimes even better. France, for instance, is wonderful. Go there. Hang out. It's a country both beautiful and really dedicated to falling in love. A wonderful combo.

I mean - America's fine. But it certainly isn't the best country in the world. This story we tell ourselves is nonsense. I mean - we have a big economy. We are wonderfully generous on an individual level. Parts of the natural appearance of America are gorgeous - like Monument Valley. Very interesting place.

But we're not number one.

For instance, we're constantly launching wars - sort of a bad trait, actually. The Viet Nam war - was evil. We tend to do that sort of thing from time to time. Like - 130 times since 1890 someone said - and posted the list. Nice big dog - who sometimes shits in the living room - not the very best dog.

Sorry to be the one to break that news to you.

Being number 23 or 37 or whatever we are is not really all that bad if you think about it. But this America worship, as if we were God Himself - is silly - and stupid.

3333. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 22:42:35 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.190e13b6f5ceb1ae989a33@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <va5vrk843jkgf7@corp.supernews.com>, w4jle@charter.net
says...
> As you are so enamoured with the French, drop me a private e-mail and I will
> buy you a one-way ticket to France. You need only sign a contract that
> states should you ever return to the US, you will pay me $10,000.

You like Wal-marts sprouting up all over?

Fine. That sort of proves my point.

America is fine - but it's not number one. To think otherwise simply
means that you don't know what you're talking about.

And the French do happen to be superior to us. It's just a fact. Why
deny it? Drive around France. Then drive around the US.

Be honest at the end of it.

But again - Americans are fine. Standard poodles are the best dogs - but
that doesn't mean that Golden Retrievers aren't great too.

We don't really have to be number one. IT's ok that some other people
are superiour to us.

Really.

Why does it bug you to face the obvious reality that Americans are sort
of primitive in some ways?

PRetty good - but not at the top of the cultural scale?

Why does that bug you? THat fact?

I don't want to live in France because there are things I value more
than culture - which is to say - nature. And because I have skills which
allow me to work an hour a day and live happily in the US - but for
which there is little market elsewhere.

But Costa Rica, oddly, needs what I do. And I here it's pretty grand.

I don't look into moving there - though I hear it's beautiful and
cultured. - because family members depend on me here, and until they die
off - which might take another five or ten years - I'll postpone
checking it out.

But it's a big wide world out there - check it out. You might be
surprised at what you find.

America - number 23! It's not all that bad.

This odd desperation to be number one - is an odd sort of sickness. A trick of evolution, I suspect, which works for the species - but which screws up individuals.

Any way - the French ARE superior - they really are. It's a fact, and denying it is stupid.

Not that Americans aren't great!

> As you would never want to return to such a crappy, inferior country this
> should be no problem for you.
>
> Put up or shut up!
>
> "USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.190c46bff6298235989a0f@news.newsguy.com...
> > In article <b7pddu$3a9jp$1@ID-105084.news.dfncis.de>,
> > kgowenNOSPAM@myfastmail.com says...
> > > Gogarty wrote:
> > > > Cursed are the peacemakers for they shall be reviled. I just don't
> > > > understand the depth of hostility to France. Perhaps it's based on
> > > > envy.
> > >
> > > Envy of what? You have it exactly backwards.
> > >
> > > Everyone knows that the French are the most arrogant people on earth.
> > > However, no one knows why.
> >
> > So true. The quality of life in Paris certainly can't match that in
> > Cleveland.
> >
> > Just look at the two cities - it's obvious that American culture is
> > right up there.
> >
> > France is beautiful. They do not have a nation consisting mainly of ugly
> > strip malls with boring and banal franchised stores selling inferior
> > goods.
> >
> > So they have a right to feel superior to us - because they ARE superior
> > to us.
> >
> > It's an obvious fact - just drive around the two countries for a while
> > and you will see that I am correct.
> >
> > Of course, a comparison to the really ugly and really embarrassingly
> > banal USA is not a fair comparison - we are pretty much at the bottom of
> > the barrel among nations. Were you to say that the French had no right
> > to feel superior to the Italians - well, you might have a point.
> >

> > But it is genuinely funny that Americans view themselves as somehow
> > superior - given what obvious low lifers we are. We are basically a
> > nation of Bufort T Hayseeds. With a few exceptions in PARTS of New York,
> > San Francisco, Vermont, and so on. I mean look - we have a President
> > who can't even speak correctly, and who is so stupid he launches
> > unprovoked wars against defenseless nations - causing the whole world to

3334. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 23:10:27 UTC
From: Kevin Gowen <kgowenNOSPAM@myfastmail.com>
Message-ID: <b81tp7$5k716$1@ID-105084.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32350
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

USER1 wrote:

> In article <3EA2F045.9020100@mailcity.moc>, radiobuff0@mailcity.moc
> says...
>
>>
>>USER1 wrote:
>>
>>
>>>They have every right to feel superior - they really do. I love many
>>>aspects of America - but I have to admit - we are pretty much all bozos
>>>on this bus.
>>
>>Then I suggest you take the next bus to france.
>>
>>
>
> Too many depend on me here.
>
> Otherwise I would move to Costa Rica. ANd not because I don't like
> America. Parts of America are grand. But other places are sometimes even
> better. France, for instance, is wonderful. Go there. Hang out. It's a
> country both beautiful and really dedicated to falling in love. A
> wonderful combo.
>
> I mean - America's fine. But it certainly isn't the best country in the
> world. This story we tell ourselves is nonsense. I mean - we have a big
> economy. We are wonderfully generous on an individual level. Parts of
> the natural appearance of America are gorgeous - like Monument Valley.
> Very interesting place.

I find your emphasis on the importance of scenery and natural beauty
when such things are totally irrelevant to the quality of a nation. For
the sake of discussion, let's pretend that Ireland is a better country
than Yemen. If the two countries were to switch places (i.e. all the
people, government, and institutions of one country are moved to within
the borders of the other), would the quality of Ireland go down by the
same factor that the quality of Yemen went up? Of course not. The
country is the people and its institutions, not its land.

> But we're not number one.

Since there is no objective measure of the quality of a nation, your
statement is nonsense.

> For instance, we're constantly launching wars - sort of a bad trait,
> actually.

That would depend on the purpose of the war. War is not de facto bad.

> The Viet Nam war - was evil. We tend to do that sort of thing
> from time to time. Like - 130 times since 1890 someone said - and posted
> the list. Nice big dog - who sometimes shits in the living room - not
> the very best dog.

The same could be said of any number of states. For example, look into
the actions of your French buddies in Africa.

> Sorry to be the one to break that news to you.

What news?

> Being number 23 or 37 or whatever we are is not really all that bad if
> you think about it. But this America worship, as if we were God Himself
> - is silly - and stupid.

Wow. That's quite a straw man you've knocked down, there.

- Kevin

3335. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 23:52:34 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <va911r5t1ev622@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32351
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

It is really going to twist your nickers, when in spite of your protestations, he is re-elected.

"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message news:MPG.190e0f05cdadd27f989a31@news.newsguy.com...
> In article <va5ejj3emgvs7c@corp.supernews.com>, yooww2002@yahoo.com
> says...
> > Lets make USER1, whoever the hell he is, President of the world. He is
> > soooo smart. He could solve all our problems worldwide, take care of all of
> > us. We would feel so good and safe and live happily everafter.
>
> No one can predict the future very well, so even the best among us can't
> assure a smooth ride.
>
> But for god's sake - I sure could do better than President Bush - which
> is admittedly faint self-praise.
>
> He has destroyed the government's finances. Each new estimate comes in
> far worse than the previous one. Did you see the latest? That in the
> FIRST SIX MONTHS of this fiscal year - we're already about 250 billion
> in the hole? And that doesn't even carve out the SS trust fund surplus -
> set it aside and it's 350 billion or so? In SIX MONTHS!
>
> And the numbers are going to just keep getting worse.
>
> Because President Bush lies about the real costs of his proposals. Take
> the war in Iraq - in December, to get congressional support and to make
> believe that we can afford his boondoggles, he said it might cost 50 to
> sixty billion a year. Guess what? He has asked for 75 billion - for the
> FIRST SIX MONTHS. He lied through his teeth - as usual.
>
> Well, you know the score - from four straight years of surplusses - he
> took us right into the ditch.
>
> Or how about this war? He said we had to go - for our safety. What total
> crap. Iraq is a total weakling. Boxed in by US and UK planes so that
> they couldn't even put soldiers outside of the Bagdad area. And the WMD?
> Nukes? Proven lies. Others - well, terrorists can get them anywhere.
> Attacking Iraq doesn't stop them. PLUS - Iraq doesn't even seem to have
> any of these readily-available things.
>
> So it was a BRAIN DEAD war.

>
> Now - you don't have to be a rocket scientist to understand that.
>
> The costs are apparent - world hates us, arabs furious - which will
> likely lead to more terrorists, deficts worse, alliances damaged,
> fundamentalists strengthened.
>
> Now - what do we gain from the war? Freedom - from a few dilapidated
> tanks which never were a risk.
>
> So what can I say except - it's not that I'm so smart. All this is
> obvious. It's that President Bush is really stupid.
>
> He can't even speak English without constant blunders.
>
> So you are confused - it's not that I say I'm smart.
>
> It's that I say Bush is a moron.
>
> And the facts back me up in spades.
>
> In an amazingly short time President bush has completely wrecked the
> government
> >
> >
> > "USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
> > news:MPG.190a5d103a4eaa309899ce@news.newsguy.com...
> > > In article <3E9CD391.2080302@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>,
> > > m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca says...
> > > > GF Jones wrote:
> > > > > "PLONK"
> > > >
> > > > I always liked the sound of that.
> > > >
> > > > <Plonk!>
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Me too. It means I have crushed another mental dwarf.
> >
> >
> >

3336. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 23:56:06 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <va918fh9k3mid0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32352
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Nice job of crawfishing...

"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.190e13b6f5ceb1ae989a33@news.newsguy.com...
> In article <va5vrk843jkgf7@corp.supernews.com>, w4jle@charter.net
> says...
> > As you are so enamoured with the French, drop me a private e-mail and I
will
> > buy you a one-way ticket to France. You need only sign a contract that
> > states should you ever return to the US, you will pay me $10,000.
>
> You like Wal-marts sprouting up all over?
>
> Fine. That sort of proves my point.
>
> America is fine - but it's not number one. To think otherwise simply
> means that you don't know what you're talking about.
>
> And the French do happen to be superior to us. It's just a fact. Why
> deny it? Drive around France. Then drive around the US.
>
> Be honest at the end of it.
>
> But again - Americans are fine. Standard poodles are the best dogs - but
> that doesn't mean that Golden Retrievers aren't great too.
>
> We don't really have to be number one. IT's ok that some other people
> are superiour to us.
>
> Really.
>
> Why does it bug you to face the obvious reality that Americans are sort
> of primitive in some ways?
>
> PRetty good - but not at the top of the cultural scale?
>
> Why does that bug you? THat fact?
>
> I don't want to live in France because there are things I value more
> than culture - which is to say - nature. And because I have skills which
> allow me to work an hour a day and live happily in the US - but for
> which there is little market elsewhere.
>

> But Costa Rica, oddly, needs what I do. And I here it's pretty grand.
>
> I don't look into moving there - though I hear it's beautiful and
> cultured. - because family members depend on me here, and until they die
> off - which might take another five or ten years - I'll postpone
> checking it out.
>
> But it's a big wide world out there - check it out. You might be
> surprised at what you find.
>
> America - number 23! It's not all that bad.
>
> This odd desperation to be number one - is an odd sort of sickness. A
> trick of evolution, I suspect, which works for the species - but which
> screws up individuals.
>
> Any way - the French ARE superior - they really are. It's a fact, and
> denying it is stupid.
>
> Not that Americans aren't great!
>
> >
> > As you would never want to return to such a crappy, inferior country
this
> > should be no problem for you.
> >
> > Put up or shut up!
> >
> > "USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
> > news:MPG.190c46bff6298235989a0f@news.newsguy.com...
> > > In article <b7pddu$3a9jp$1@ID-105084.news.dfncis.de>,
> > > kgowenNOSPAM@myfastmail.com says...
> > > > Gogarty wrote:
> > > > > Cursed are the peacemakers for they shall be reviled. I just don't
> > > > > understand the depth of hostility to France. Perhaps it's based on
> > > > > envy.
> > > >
> > > > Envy of what? You have it exactly backwards.
> > > >
> > > > Everyone knows that the French are the most arrogant people on
earth.
> > > > However, no one knows why.
> > >
> > > So true. The quality of life in Paris certainly can't match that in
> > > Cleveland.
> > >
> > > Just look at the two cities - it's obvious that American culture is
> > > right up there.
> > >
> > > France is beautiful. They do not have a nation consisting mainly of

ugly
> > > strip malls with boring and banal franchised stores selling inferior
> > > goods.
> > >
> > > So they have a right to feel superior to us - because they ARE
superior
> > > to us.
> > >
> > > It's an obvious fact - just drive around the two countries for a while
> > > and you will see that I am correct.
> > >
> > > Of course, a comparison to the really ugly and really embarrassingly
> > > banal USA is not a fair comparison - we are pretty much at the bottom
of
> > > the barrel among nations. Were you to say that the French had no right
> > > to feel superior to the Italians - well, you might have a point.
> > >
> > > But it is genuinely funny that Americans view themselves as somehow
> > > superior - given what obvious low lifers we are. We are basically a
> > > nation of Bufort T Hayseeds. With a few exceptions in PARTS of New
York,
> > > San Francisco, Vermont, and so on. I mean look - we have a President
> > > who can't even speak correctly, and who is so stupid he launches
> > > unprovoked wars against defenseless nations - causing the whole world
to

3337. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-21 23:57:25 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <va9194b4sjs9e3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32353
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.190e0f05cdadd27f989a31@news.newsguy.com...
> In article <va5ejj3emgvs7c@corp.supernews.com>, yooww2002@yahoo.com
> says...
> > Lets make USER1, whoever the hell he is, President of the world. He is
> > soooo smart. He could solve all our problems worldwide, take care of
all of
> > us. We would feel so good and safe and live happily everafter.
>
> No one can predict the future very well, so even the best among us can't
> assure a smooth ride.
>
> But for god's sake - I sure could do better than President Bush - which
> is admittedly faint self-praise.
>

Beyond all your smoke and distortions, bottom line is:
Clinton was a scumbag, Gore lost, you lost.
Keep spouting like this for the DNC and you will surely lose again.
Their current politicizing tactic of obstruction, distortions and criticism
is doomed to fail.

3338. Re: Scan Record?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 00:08:48 UTC
From: Jeffrey Loomis <jloomis2k@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Z-mdnb2lWYRDFTmjXTWcqA@wideopenwest.com>
Source record: 32355

I think this is what your looking for: http://www.davee.com/scanrec/
Scan Recorder version 1.8.1

Jeffrey

"Tom Pamin" <scnrfrq@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3EA457E9.1030506@adelphia.net...
> Does anyone know if you can still purchase the tape recorder that hooks
> up to a scanner and only records when the scanner is receiving? Maybe it
> was called Scan Record?
>

3339. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 00:08:54 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b81v96psj1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 32354
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:va911r5t1ev622@corp.supernews.com...
> It is really going to twist your nickers, when in spite of your
> protestations, he is re-elected.

Hell, Slick Willy was re-elected.. and he was a proven pervert and immoral twit.. it would not surprise me in the least if Bubya were re-elected. For one thing, Americans are too lazy to get out and vote. What was the percentage in the last election? Something like 40%???

3340. Best car scanner for driving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 00:19:07 UTC
From: Philip Verdieck <philipv@hal-pc.org>
Message-ID: <3ea48a19$0$1974$a726171b@news.hal-pc.org>
Source record: 32356

I recently purchased my 1st scanner. It is a Bearcat BC350A.

I got it to have a scanner for a trip that involved fast driving.

I was wondering

1) Is this a good model, or should I get something else
2) Is it not worth spending much because all police will be going
digital encryption soon
3) What is available that has good size for an car (standard DIN?)
4) Does it piss off a cop to see a scanner in your car?
5) What is the best antenna mounting options for a car? Attempt to
connect to existing? Install another motorized?
Thanks.

I have read a couple FAQs, but found nothing specific to this, and I
also searched the messages, but only
found a couple people selling their BC350As, which makes me think it
isn't all too hot, but what do you expect from
Walmart for 100.00. I love its size though, since my car (94 RX-7) has
a concealed storage bin, that will fit this model with only minor
modification

3341. How To Open Scannocular ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 00:40:33 UTC
From: Gary Jacek <gary-jacek@telus.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <11159255ROBOTLX@198.80.55.250>
Source record: 32357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone know the trick to opening
the scanner case on a scannocular?

3342. Re: small parts supplier needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 00:44:31 UTC
From: AL KA5JGV <ABurzynski@nojunk.satx.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Pf0pa.111775$Q27.6498984@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 32358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Try here:

http://www.oselectronics.com/

they also have a good catalog in pdf format.
Al
KA5JGV

> what I want, hence, who else sells small electronic hobbyist parts with NO
MINIMUM, reasonable shipping and good service (prefer they have a catalog)?

3343. Re: Ocean and Burlington Counties, NJ frequency question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 01:07:20 UTC
From: J <None@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <cB0pa.288$9_3.149196948@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 32359

Hopefully it will be P25.

Thanks
"Arkmood" <arkmood@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.190e17d75a7f6f619896a7@news.exit109.com...
> None@nospam.com says...
> > Hey Arkmood,
> >
> > Do you know if the Manchester trunked system will be Digital or analog.
>
> As far as I know digital...

3344. Re: small parts supplier needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 01:59:58 UTC
From: Tom Nelson <nelsonca@stormnet.com>
Message-ID: <3EA4A21E.9080902@stormnet.com>
Source record: 32364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Try Dan's. He has a lot of odd parts not found at Hosfelt, Mouser, etc.
Much of his stock might be considered obsolete except when you really
need it!

http://www.danssmallpartsandkits.net/

Vic wrote:

>Just received an order from Hosfelt Electronics (888-264-6464). I've bought from them for
years. Small parts (walwarts, dc coax
>connectors, wire, solder, transistors etc.). But the last two orders have been incomplete
due to sold out or discontinued items.
>Their service is great, you can order a $ .50 item, they have no minimum. Shipping is
reasonable ... but they have less and less of
>what I want, hence, who else sells small electronic hobbyist parts with NO MINIMUM,
reasonable shipping and good service (prefer
>they have a catalog)?
>
>
>
>
>

3345. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 02:16:18 UTC
From: Q <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <SB1pa.142283$0X.30004741@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:b81v96psj1@news1.kornet.net...
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:va911r5t1ev622@corp.supernews.com...
> > It is really going to twist your nickers, when in spite of your
> > protestations, he is re-elected.
>
> Hell, Slick Willy was re-elected.. and he was a proven pervert and immoral
> twit.. it would not surprise me in the least if Bubya were re-elected. For
> one thing, Americans are too lazy to get out and vote. What was the
> percentage in the last election? Something like 40%???

On the contrary - it was one of the highest turnouts in recent history. The reason Slick Willy served two terms was because of low voter turnout - I wasn't too enthralled with Dole, but he would have been the lesser of two evils, and would have surrounded himself with qualified individuals, instead of the fruitcakes that Willy called his "staff", and with no viable third-party candidate, there was no point in just pissing away a vote. Many didn't show for that election for the same reason - lack of interest. On the other hand, there was allot more interest in the LAST election.

And don't start crying about Florida - if you know anything about how the electoral college works and why it exists, you know why the election went the way it did.

3346. Re: small parts supplier needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 02:21:09 UTC
From: funkbastler <funkbastler@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <qj99avkd7bjcoua6v04fp5a5q5j2v8kekq@4ax.com>
Source record: 32361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 21 Apr 2003 17:34:38 -0400, "Vic" <nospam@bogusaddress.com>
wrote:

>Just received an order from Hosfelt Electronics (888-264-6464). I've bought from them for
years. Small parts (walwarts, dc coax
>connectors, wire, solder, transistors etc.). But the last two orders have been incomplete
due to sold out or discontinued items.
>Their service is great, you can order a $ .50 item, they have no minimum. Shipping is
reasonable ... but they have less and less of
>what I want, hence, who else sells small electronic hobbyist parts with NO MINIMUM,
reasonable shipping and good service (prefer
>they have a catalog)?
>
>

There's always Digi-Key (http://www.digikey.com)

No minimum, but they'll hit you for an extra $5.00 on orders
less than $25.00. For me, it's never a problem finding
enough stuff to put on the order to get over the $25 mark ;-)

-fb-

3347. Re: Best car scanner for driving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 02:30:31 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <va9a9vsq2d9991@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32362

The biggest problem with it, it doesn't cover the 800 MHz band. Most of the cops live there today.

On the otherhand it is a great scanner for the airband and is the best one I have found for copying digital aircraft signals without a discriminator tap.

Good place to get your feet wet, just don't depend on it for your initially stated purpose.
"Philip Verdieck" <philipv@hal-pc.org> wrote in message news:3ea48a19$0$1974$a726171b@news.hal-pc.org...
> I recently purchased my 1st scanner. It is a Bearcat BC350A.
>
> I got it to have a scanner for a trip that involved fast driving.
>
> I was wondering
>
> 1) Is this a good model, or should I get something else
> 2) Is it not worth spending much because all police will be going
> digital encryption soon
> 3) What is available that has good size for an car (standard DIN?)
> 4) Does it piss off a cop to see a scanner in your car?
> 5) What is the best antenna mounting options for a car? Attempt to
> connect to existing? Install another motorized?
> Thanks.
>
> I have read a couple FAQs, but found nothing specific to this, and I
> also searched the messages, but only
> found a couple people selling their BC350As, which makes me think it
> isn't all too hot, but what do you expect from
> Walmart for 100.00. I love its size though, since my car (94 RX-7) has
> a concealed storage bin, that will fit this model with only minor
> modification
>

3348. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 02:35:02 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <va9aifbou456@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Probably more like thirty percent when you eliminate all the Democrats that voted multiple times.

On the other hand, we have states like Illinois, that feature resurrection of the dead to increase participation.

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message news:b81v96psj1@news1.kornet.net...
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:va911r5t1ev622@corp.supernews.com...
> > It is really going to twist your nickers, when in spite of your
> > protestations, he is re-elected.
>
> Hell, Slick Willy was re-elected.. and he was a proven pervert and immoral
> twit.. it would not surprise me in the least if Bubya were re-elected. For
> one thing, Americans are too lazy to get out and vote. What was the
> percentage in the last election? Something like 40%???
>
>
>

3349. Scanner Audio from Down Under

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 03:22:10 UTC
From: Garry <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <XM2pa.19841$1s1.298627@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 32368

We have updated our web page with more audio files containing the communications of our local emergency services in New South Wales - Australia.

Visit http://newcastlescan.tripod.com and click on the "soundbytes" link to find our recordings.

Please sign our guest book

Regards
Mick and Garry

3350. Australian Scanner Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 03:22:22 UTC
From: Garry <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <YM2pa.19842$1s1.298627@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 32369

We have updated our web page with more audio files containing the communications of our local emergency services in New South Wales - Australia.

Visit http://newcastlescan.tripod.com and click on the "soundbytes" link to find our recordings.

Please sign our guest book

Regards
Mick and Garry

3351. Looking for an older handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 03:25:58 UTC
From: John Robertson <cybrw0lf@rapedgeek.net>
Message-ID: <va9di78g2nc38@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32365

My boss brought in an old Radio Shack Pro -xx before i quit my last job and would let him pick up cell phones, and he said he did not have to modify it, i was wondering if you guys could help me find the model, and if you can't what is a good hand held scanner that will pick up cell phone freqs withouth having to be modified. I found a good Radio Shack Pro -64 on ebay, but I have to modify it. Thanks
John

3352. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 03:31:32 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3EA4B794.6070108@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 32367
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Justin Time wrote:
> "USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.190e0f05cdadd27f989a31@news.newsguy.com...
>
>>In article <va5ejj3emgvs7c@corp.supernews.com>, yooww2002@yahoo.com
>>says...
>>
>>>Lets make USER1, whoever the hell he is, President of the world. He is
>>>soooo smart. He could solve all our problems worldwide, take care of
>>
> all of
>
>>>us. We would feel so good and safe and live happily everafter.
>>
>>No one can predict the future very well, so even the best among us can't
>>assure a smooth ride.
>>
>>But for god's sake - I sure could do better than President Bush - which
>>is admittedly faint self-praise.
>>
>
>
> Beyond all your smoke and distortions, bottom line is:
> Clinton was a scumbag, Gore lost, you lost.
> Keep spouting like this for the DNC and you will surely lose again.
> Their current politicizing tactic of obstruction, distortions and criticism
> is doomed to fail.
>
>

3353. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 03:35:40 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3EA4B88C.7060803@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 32370
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Justin Time wrote:
> Keep spouting like this for the DNC and you will surely lose again.
> Their current politicizing tactic of obstruction, distortions and criticism
> is doomed to fail.

And if that doesn't work, you can always appeal to the US
Supreme Court. LOL!

--
M2

3354. Re: small parts supplier needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 03:37:02 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <b82dcu$k35$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 32366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Tom Nelson (nelsonca@stormnet.com) writes:
> Try Dan's. He has a lot of odd parts not found at Hosfelt, Mouser, etc.
> Much of his stock might be considered obsolete except when you really
> need it!
>
> http://www.danssmallpartsandkits.net/
>
>
>
He is good because he stocks the sorts of things that people building
radio stuff would want, saving someone from ordering (with minimum
orders) toroids from here, and variable capacitors from there, and
semiconductors from a third source. For a lot of simple things, one
can get everything from one source.

Of course, it is a limited stock. So you won't get the latest
ICs, won't even get more than the most popular ICs. And it's not
a general supplier, so I'm not sure it's the place to get power
transformers.

I've bought from him, and one of these days I will get around
to ordering again.

Michael

> Vic wrote:
>
>>Just received an order from Hosfelt Electronics (888-264-6464). I've bought from them for
years. Small parts (walwarts, dc coax
>>connectors, wire, solder, transistors etc.). But the last two orders have been incomplete
due to sold out or discontinued items.
>>Their service is great, you can order a $ .50 item, they have no minimum. Shipping is
reasonable ... but they have less and less of
>>what I want, hence, who else sells small electronic hobbyist parts with NO MINIMUM,
reasonable shipping and good service (prefer
>>they have a catalog)?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

3355. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 03:37:39 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3EA4B903.2030100@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 32371
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Q wrote:
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:b81v96psj1@news1.kornet.net...
>
>>"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
>>news:va911r5t1ev622@corp.supernews.com...
>>
>>>It is really going to twist your nickers, when in spite of your
>>>protestations, he is re-elected.
>>
>>Hell, Slick Willy was re-elected.. and he was a proven pervert and immoral
>>twit.. it would not surprise me in the least if Bubya were re-elected. For
>>one thing, Americans are too lazy to get out and vote. What was the
>>percentage in the last election? Something like 40%???
>
>
> On the contrary - it was one of the highest turnouts in recent history. The
> reason Slick Willy served two terms was because of low voter turnout - I
> wasn't too enthralled with Dole, but he would have been the lesser of two
> evils, and would have surrounded himself with qualified individuals, instead
> of the fruitcakes that Willy called his "staff", and with no viable
> third-party candidate, there was no point in just pissing away a vote. Many
> didn't show for that election for the same reason - lack of interest. On the
> other hand, there was allot more interest in the LAST election.
>
> And don't start crying about Florida - if you know anything about how the
> electoral college works and why it exists, you know why the election went
> the way it did.

You mean the US Supreme Court is part of the electoral
college?

--
M2

3356. Re: Need help with Pro-25 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 05:56:26 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <eQ4pa.481$gM2.130@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 32199
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In most parts of the country, frequencies expire after a set period of time (depending on the wavelength). Frequencies that you may be using go out of circulation, and become inactive, until they are reninitialized by the FCC on your area frequency grid. The PRO25 is pretty smart for a low end scanner. It will keep track of which frequencies have gone dormant, and which are "brought up".

It's actally a pretty smart system. By stopping cars, a traffic light eventually allows all cars to eventually go through the intersection. Similarly, by rotating frequencies, more radio traffic is eventually facilitated.

Hats off to you for noticing what is going on!

"Devang Devani" <ddevani@chat.carleton.ca> wrote in message news:b82c07$t79$1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca...
>
> I'm having a problem with my Realistic Pro-25 scanner. All the
> frequencies I program into it seem to get erased after a couple of days of
> not using the unit. Does anybody what the problem may be?

3357. Re: Best car scanner for driving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 06:52:27 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.22.06.52.22.888899@njmc.com>
Source record: 32372

On Mon, 21 Apr 2003 19:19:07 -0500, Philip Verdieck wrote:

> 4)
> Does it piss off a cop to see a scanner in your car?

No, but since it is illegal in many (most?) states to have a scanner in your vehicle (attached to in some states) unless you are a ham or public service volunteer/employee (fire, ems, police, etc) it will likely get confiscated if you are caught with it - expecially if you were speeding at the time. Using a scanner during the commission of a crime (speeding) is a pretty tough charge in some states.

--
John LeMay
KC2KTH
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC | http://www.njmc.com | Phone 732-557-4848
Specializing in Microsoft and Unix based solutions

3358. Re: LNKSYS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 06:56:03 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.22.06.56.01.838305@njmc.com>
Source record: 32373

On Sat, 19 Apr 2003 17:15:55 +0000, George Bush wrote:

> They run in the 2.4GHz range
>
> http://www.linksys.com

Not all of them. 802.11a runs in the 5GHz range, IIRC. 802.11a and g are
the 2.4GHz solutions.

--
John LeMay
KC2KTH
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC | http://www.njmc.com | Phone 732-557-4848
Specializing in Microsoft and Unix based solutions

3359. Re: Icom R-10 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 09:36:46 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK (http://www.javiation.co.uk)" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <qRaLpGBu0Qp+EwMU@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 32374

In article <Wgdoa.189247$S14.20418@news1.central.cox.net>, Steve
<NoSpam123@NoSpam.org> writes

>Looking for Icom R-10 programing software.

You can download the Icom CS-R10 package from the Icom Japan web site
at:

http://www.icom.co.jp/downloadfile/csr10/csr10npk.exe

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
http://www.javiation.co.uk

3360. Wanted-Pro 2042 Manual's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 09:39:27 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation, Bradford, UK (http://www.javiation.co.uk)" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <sByKtQBP3Qp+Ewsc@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 32375

In article <20030419120011.02146.00000140@mb-m01.aol.com>, LAWNJOCK E
<lawnjocke@aol.com> writes

>Hi, I'm looking for a Pro 2042 Service

Tandy National Parts may still have the PRO-2035 Service Manual
available. There was addendum for the 2042 to go with it.

>and Owners manuals. Let me know if you
>have them to sell. Thank you, Tom

The operating instructions for most units are available at:

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.htm

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
http://www.javiation.co.uk

3361. BC245xlt: Multiple Type II's in a bank?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 12:34:53 UTC
From: skeets1@netscape.net
Message-ID: <3ea53669.89739548@News.CIS.DFN.DE>
Source record: 32376

Is it possible to put multiple Type II systems in the same memory bank? If so, how are the frequencies entered?

I thought I read a post regarding this in the BC245XLT group in Yahoo but couldn't find the message.

Thanks,

Skeeter

3362. Re: Fire Dept. Frequencies NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 13:38:03 UTC
From: No <123@noone.net>
Message-ID: <%Abpa.77078$MB4.30375897@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 32377

Several of the towns in southern Bergen County have moved off 154.16 for fire. I know Rutherford and Lyndhurst have put up their own repeater systems.

Try www.bergenscanner.com for a list of freqs for Bergen County. I do not know how accurate the list is.

George

"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message news:E1adnbtD94kR3TmjXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> I am looking for the correct frequencies and CTCSS tones for towns in
> Southern Bergen County NJ. I need the tones for all the towns using
> 154.600. The listings I find on the web are almost all wrong. Can anyone
> help
>
> thanks
>
> Anthony Bonacarti
> anthony@mostlyrockets.com
> www.mostlyrockets.com
>
>

3363. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 14:05:20 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304220605.5687ffe3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32378
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

MM,

"You mean the US Supreme Court is part of the electoral college?"

NO - The Florida and US Supreme Courts are not part of the Electoral College; but they are 'By Law' the "Arbiters" of the 'electoral process'. Remember 'under the law' the Final Arbitrator of the Election and the Electoral College Process is (was) the 'elected representatives of the people "The US Congress". The US Congress 'Accepted' the Results of the Election and that in itself made the Election Legal and GW Bush the Legitimate President of the US(A).

omlptr ~ RHF
.
.
= = = Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca> wrote in message
= = = news:<3EA4B903.2030100@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>...
> Q wrote:
> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> > news:b81v96psj1@news1.kornet.net...
> >
> >>"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> >>news:va911r5t1ev622@corp.supernews.com...
> >>
> >>>It is really going to twist your nickers, when in spite of your
> >>>protestations, he is re-elected.
> >>
> >>Hell, Slick Willy was re-elected.. and he was a proven pervert and immoral
> >>twit.. it would not surprise me in the least if Bubya were re-elected. For
> >>one thing, Americans are too lazy to get out and vote. What was the
> >>percentage in the last election? Something like 40%???
> >
> >
> > On the contrary - it was one of the highest turnouts in recent history. The
> > reason Slick Willy served two terms was because of low voter turnout - I
> > wasn't too enthralled with Dole, but he would have been the lesser of two
> > evils, and would have surrounded himself with qualified individuals, instead
> > of the fruitcakes that Willy called his "staff", and with no viable
> > third-party candidate, there was no point in just pissing away a vote. Many
> > didn't show for that election for the same reason - lack of interest. On the
> > other hand, there was allot more interest in the LAST election.
> >
> > And don't start crying about Florida - if you know anything about how the
> > electoral college works and why it exists, you know why the election went

> > the way it did.
>
> You mean the US Supreme Court is part of the electoral
> college?

3364. Re: Looking for an older handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 14:47:20 UTC
From: Alvin Negron-Paez <espia@cox.net>
Message-ID: <aCcpa.5965$kj.744@fed1read05>
Source record: 32379

"John Robertson" <cybrw0lf@rapedgeek.net> wrote in message
news:va9di78g2nc38@corp.supernews.com...
> My boss brought in an old Radio Shack Pro -xx before i quit my last job
and
> would let him pick up cell phones, and he said he did not have to modify
it,
> i was wondering if you guys could help me find the model, and if you can't
> what is a good hand held scanner that will pick up cell phone freqs
withouth
> having to be modified. I found a good Radio Shack Pro -64 on ebay, but I
> have to modify it. Thanks
> John

I wouldn't even try to pick up cellphones... because (as of 1996) it's not
legal.

Alvin

3365. Re: Looking for an older handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 14:59:35 UTC
From: John Robertson <cybrw0lf@rapedgeek.net>
Message-ID: <vaam6s6dc55616@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32380

dont know if that was a hint to buy a scanner pre-1996 or if you were being serious.
thanks eithier way
"Alvin Negron-Paez" <espia@cox.net> wrote in message
news:aCcpa.5965$kj.744@fed1read05...
>
> "John Robertson" <cybrw0lf@rapedgeek.net> wrote in message
> news:va9di78g2nc38@corp.supernews.com...
> > My boss brought in an old Radio Shack Pro -xx before i quit my last job
> and
> > would let him pick up cell phones, and he said he did not have to modify
> it,
> > i was wondering if you guys could help me find the model, and if you
can't
> > what is a good hand held scanner that will pick up cell phone freqs
> withouth
> > having to be modified. I found a good Radio Shack Pro -64 on ebay, but I
> > have to modify it. Thanks
> > John
>
> I wouldn't even try to pick up cellphones... because (as of 1996) it's not
> legal.
>
> Alvin
>
>

3366. FS* Uniden BC 250D & Apco P-25 Card (Price reduced...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 16:08:38 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com.goaway
Message-ID: <20030422120838.18985.00000455@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 32381

For sale...

Uniden BC 250D Apco P-25 Digital Police Scanner with Apco P-25 Card
Monitors Apco P-25 conventional, trunked 3600 & mixed mode,
Digital communications.
The unit is only 1 1/2 months old and is in mint condition.
Unit works great. I need to sell it because the agencies in my area went to
a different system.
Comes with all the original paperwork, box, battery pack & charger.
I will not split up the card and scanner.
I paid $650.00 and must take the loss and sell it as used at $500.00
So if are looking for one of these, here is a chance to get it at a great
price.
you can check my feedback on Ebay user rating tramd300@aol.com
use this link for a picture of unit
http://members.aol.com/TRAMD300/BC250Scanner.jpg
And use this link for the specifications of the unit
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/factsheet.htm
You get this all for $500.00 shipped in the USA only.
I will accept postal money orders only or if you wish to use Pay-Pal.
Price is firm...
Please email me direct for more info.
I check my mail every day.
Thank you...
tramd300@aol.com

3367. Re: Looking for an older handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 16:25:27 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.22.16.25.25.671340@njmc.com>
Source record: 32382

On Tue, 22 Apr 2003 09:59:35 -0500, John Robertson wrote:

> dont know if that was a hint to buy a scanner pre-1996 or if you were
> being serious.
> thanks eithier way

Truth is that you will only be able to hear the old analog phones. You will not be able to hear any of the digital phones that have been common for a few years now. With many people throwing away their phones after a year to get the best deal on a new contract, how many analog phones are actually left to listen to?

--
John LeMay
KC2KTH
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC | http://www.njmc.com | Phone 732-557-4848
Specializing in Microsoft and Unix based solutions

3368. Re: Best car scanner for driving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 16:31:46 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.22.16.31.44.799464@njmc.com>
Source record: 32383

On Tue, 22 Apr 2003 07:09:44 -0400, Mark wrote:

>>No, but since it is illegal in many (most?) states to have a scanner in
>>your vehicle
>
> Quite the opposite.

Wow, I stand corrected. I was under the impression banning scanners in
cars was much more widespread.

thanks for the link!

--
John LeMay
KC2KTH
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC | http://www.njmc.com | Phone 732-557-4848
Specializing in Microsoft and Unix based solutions

3369. Trunking for dummies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 16:35:52 UTC
From: Kenneth Oakman <keno@cac.washington.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.WNT.4.53.0304220932290.3372@brooklynxp_tc.nebula.washington.edu>
Source record: 32384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I recently downloaded the win95 software for programing my Radio
Shack Pro-95 scanner and thank all for pointing me towards that software.
It works GREAT.

Since I have been mostly out of scanning since the Seattle PD went
to a trunked system, I am very new to trunking systems. Is there a site on
the net or a publication for newbies that explains how to program trunking
frequencies, and how to assign "tags" and for that matter what tags are.

It would be nice if there was a book, "scanning trunked systems
for dummies". Thanks in advanced for your help. So far I am very pleased
with the Radioshack pro-95.

3370. Re: Looking for an older handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 17:31:11 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b83tci$612hu$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32385

"John LeMay" <jlemay@njmc.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2003.04.22.16.25.25.671340@njmc.com...
> On Tue, 22 Apr 2003 09:59:35 -0500, John Robertson wrote:
> how many analog phones are actually left to listen to?

You'd be amazed.

3371. Re: Best car scanner for driving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 17:33:34 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b83th0$65n2r$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32386

"John LeMay" <jlemay@njmc.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2003.04.22.16.31.44.799464@njmc.com...
> On Tue, 22 Apr 2003 07:09:44 -0400, Mark wrote:
>
> >>No, but since it is illegal in many (most?) states to have a scanner in
> >>your vehicle
> >
> > Quite the opposite.
>
> Wow, I stand corrected. I was under the impression banning scanners in
> cars was much more widespread.
>
> thanks for the link!

There a no-no here in MN as well. Hope to take my tech test this summer. :-)

3372. Re: Recommend an LNA (Mast mount) to go with AOR DA3000 (Grove ANT11)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 18:04:19 UTC
From: "K.chattenton" <k.chattenton@btopenwold.com>
Message-ID: <b84072$n6j$1@titan.btinternet.com>
Source record: 32387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi John, all,
"John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d89364bd.0304210826.334054b1@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> I have an AOR DA3000 discone which covers 25 MHz to 2 GHz.
>
> Can someone recommend a good pre-amp (I'm willing to spend several
> hundred dollars) that work over this freq range.
>
> If a pre-amp covers from 500 MHz to 1.5 GHz (for instance) then will
> it attenuate outside that band, or will the signals appear as if there
> was no pre-amp?
>
> Thanks,
> John

If you have that amount to spend on your listening then go for a good
antenna instead of a wide band pre-amp.

I would recommend you go for a wide band, 'Log Periodic', say one that
covers the frequency range of, 100MHz to around 1.5GHz.
If you have a certain band that you listen to then by all means get a
pre-amp, but make sure it is low noise and only covers the frequencies of
interest John.

remember, more gain = more noise. Much better to put your money into the ant
system (good ant,
low noise pre-amp and most important LOW LOSS coax).
One last thing John, start with a good RX !!.....cheers, Ken, G4KIR.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.474 / Virus Database: 272 - Release Date: 18/04/2003

3373. Re: Pricecheck: Possibly WTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 18:20:09 UTC
From: "K.S.Blake" <polar@tiscali.com>
Message-ID: <b8416r$d11$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 32388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Miss her!!!!,
I sure would not miss mine :-).....especially if I had a grenade launcher,
the only thing I do not like about my radios and scanners is they can't
bloody cook or clean, Still you can't have it all.

--

K.S.Blake
MW1001SWL
MW1FCP
.

"me" <me@none.com> wrote in message news:VqZoa.12703$X44.9600@fed1read07...
> "...I knew I'd miss her,
> so I had to keep her,
> she's buried right in my backyard." - Axel Rose
>
> --
> -me
>
> "Choose your weapon! You choose.... spoon!"
> (maybe you don't understand question)
> "swl" <swl@c.dk> wrote in message
> news:3kl2avo55ga39mj2kdv4n38berro6esiug@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 19 Apr 2003 14:55:15 +0100, "K.S.Blake" <polar@tiscali.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >If I were you, I'd get rid of the wife!
> >
> >
> > Allready evaluated that option...but my kids seem to like her ..figure
> > id miss her to; though I have seen better S/N on my radios at times :P
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Best Regards / Med Venlig Hilsen
> > Stephan W.-Larsen / swl at c dot dk
> > ---
> > Listening to the World from Denmark.
> > Radio:NRD545G,HP3586A,ICR8500,WR-G303i/PD
> > Antenna:Wellbrook ALA1530&ALA100,Dressler ARA2000
> > Software: Radioraft,SpectraPLUS,Argo,WWSU
>

>

3374. Re: cell phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 18:25:09 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <932bav8hjmkrimspktam38pvarbv1n4cps@4ax.com>
Source record: 32389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 21 Apr 2003 21:25:21 GMT, Suspicious Behavior
<Suspicious_Behavior@925.org> wrote:

>Evans wrote:
>>
>> Can some one tell me how to modify a scanner to
>> pick up cell phones?
>
>Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 (ECPA)

[snip]

Just where in the original question do you see a request for some
unreasonable, and generally unenforcable, laws to be quoted?

3375. Re: Thank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 18:44:36 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vab3m5162qn828@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32390
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Look in the mirror pal.
PH

"MinnesotaKid" <iexbetarocks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1c927739.0304202059.2127458e@posting.google.com...
> and the pot called the kettle black...

3376. Re: Can someone run some tags for me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 18:51:55 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vab3pr4rvgr09d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32391

"John P Vassel" <kc8ske@cybiko.com> wrote in message
news:va6k4mogm6ki33@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Since your info is sent to the owner of the plate, in an 'exchange' of
> information, as well as recorded as being the person to request such info,
> I'd highly suspect this venue as being the one a criminal would take to
get
> info on a plate. Criminals would probably just ask someone via usenet ;)
>

That's really funny... Ha Ha..

PH

3377. Re: Thank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 18:57:03 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vab43er66s2qc6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32392

"James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20030421014424.19006.00000329@mb-m06.aol.com...
> >Guess it takes a legit, but
> >unusual, request like mine to weed out just who the real idiots are...
>
> Remember, some of those 'idiots' are the ones who might some day prosecute
you
> for something or other. Worse...some of those *idiots* might comprise
your
> jury <g>.
>

I'm not real worried about that fact as there has not been, and never will
be, any sort of crime committed...

PH

3378. Re: Best car scanner for driving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 19:02:01 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vab4cpcl749kdd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sounds just like the Michigan scanner law... Only applies to Michigan, however...

We just happen to be one of those FEW states. We also happen to be the one with the worst law in the books with regard to their use in a motor vehicle...

PH

"New Ideas" <New_Ideas@new-ideas.tv> wrote in message news:okmaav4lg8ntqktbr9k5klcsgf85ogkpqh@4ax.com...
>
> VEHICLES EQUIPPED WITH SHORT WAVE LENGTH
> RADIO RECEIVING SETS
>
> (MCL 750.508)
> Sec. 508. Any person who shall equip a vehicle with a radio receiving
> set that will receive signals sent on frequenciesassigned by the Federal
> Communications Commission of the United States of America for police
> purposes, or use the same in this state unless such vehicle is used or owned
> by a peace officer or a bona fide amateur radio operator holdinga technician
> class, general, advanced, or extra class amateur license issued by the federal
> communications commission, without first securing a permit to do so from the
> director of the department of state police upon application as he or she may
> prescribe, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by imprisonment in
> the county jail for not more than 1 year, or by a fine of not more than $500.00,
> or by both fine and imprisonment in the discretion of the court.
> (2) This section shall not be construed as restricting the use of radar detectors.
>
> http://www.mpscs.com/com-022.pdf (.PDF file)

3379. Re: Looking for an older handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 19:11:10 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vab4ttol9t3h2d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32394

About 70% of them are still analog in my area, including the bag phone still
in use in the police cars...

"John LeMay" <jlemay@njmc.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2003.04.22.16.25.25.671340@njmc.com...
> On Tue, 22 Apr 2003 09:59:35 -0500, John Robertson wrote:

> With many people throwing away their phones after a
> year to get the best deal on a new contract, how many analog phones are
> actually left to listen to?
>

3380. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 19:12:00 UTC
From: Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu>
Message-ID: <ELKdnV0oBKqdCTijXTWcrg@bway.net>
Source record: 32395
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Would somebody please tell me what this war was all about? Does anyone know?

So, a retired general is supposed to put Iraq back together again. We'll see. Iraq is not Japan and whatsisname is not MacArthur. We had a highly touted retired US general come to Kosovo to take over the much troubled nothern part of the province, Mitrovica, where the Serbs, under the disinterested eyes of the Fremch who had that part of the provinmce as their peace-keeping responsibility, were (an are) making big trouble. He lasted about a month. Then there was the Danish former minister of defense who took over from the one really effective administrator, Special Represntative of the Secretary General Dr. Bernard Kushner, who lasted less than a year. Iraq isn't Kosovo either. It will be interesting. But why did we smash up the place in the first place? You and I will pay to put it back together.

3381. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 19:39:13 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vab6iqbqdaf85a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32396
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Welcome to the world Rip Van Winkle...

If you are unclear at this point, I don't think any of us can help you...
Sorry!

"Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in message
news:ELKdnV0oBKqdCTijXTWcrg@bway.net...
> Would somebody please tell me what this war was all about? Does anyone
know?
>
> So, a retired general is supposed to put Iraq back together again. We'll
see.
> Iraq is not Japan and whatsisname is not MacArthur. We had a highly touted
> retired US general come to Kosovo to take over the much troubled nothern
part
> of the province, Mitrovica, where the Serbs, under the disinterested eyes
of
> the Fremch who had that part of the provinmce as their peace-keeping
> responsibility, were (an are) making big trouble. He lasted about a month.
> Then there was the Danish former minister of defense who took over from
the one
> really effective administrator, Special Represntative of the Secretary
General
> Dr. Bernard Kushner, who lasted less than a year. Iraq isn't Kosovo
either. It
> will be interesting. But why did we smash up the place in the first place?
You
> and I will pay to put it back together.
>

3382. Re: Fire Dept. Frequencies NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 20:06:06 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <XfednRYyGO3hPTijXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 32197

they are both still listed as 154.16. The only one I received in the
frequency was Carlstadt.
searching and search the web and none really match up.
Once I compile a list I will make it available.
Anthony
"No" <123@noone.net> wrote in message
news:%Abpa.77078$MB4.30375897@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Several of the towns in southern Bergen County have moved off 154.16 for
> fire. I know Rutherford and Lyndhurst have put up their own repeater
> systems.
>
> Try www.bergenscanner.com for a list of freqs for Bergen County. I do not
> know how accurate the list is.
>
> George
>
> "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message
> news:E1adnbtD94kR3TmjXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> > I am looking for the correct frequencies and CTCSS tones for towns in
> > Southern Bergen County NJ. I need the tones for all the towns using
> > 154.600. The listings I find on the web are almost all wrong. Can anyone
> > help
> >
> > thanks
> >
> > Anthony Bonacarti
> > anthony@mostlyrockets.com
> > www.mostlyrockets.com
> >
> >
>
>

3383. Re: Thank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 20:27:10 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <yAhpa.12989$X44.661@fed1read07>
Source record: 32200

So how'd ya do it? Did you get a local to run it or did you have to resort
to other, "more creative means?"

Just curious, if you wouldn't mind sharing how you got your info.

--
-me

Saddam bad. War good.
Mmmmmmm... war......

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
in message news:vab43er66s2qc6@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20030421014424.19006.00000329@mb-m06.aol.com...
> > >Guess it takes a legit, but
> > >unusual, request like mine to weed out just who the real idiots are...
> >
> > Remember, some of those 'idiots' are the ones who might some day
prosecute
> you
> > for something or other. Worse...some of those *idiots* might comprise
> your
> > jury <g>.
> >
>
> I'm not real worried about that fact as there has not been, and never will
> be, any sort of crime committed...
>
> PH
>
>

3384. FS: Scanner antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 21:06:16 UTC
From: Mike <coachmb@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <c9ipa.2516$%_3.2036816@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 32198

I have 2 new Metz scanner antennas for sale.
http://www.metzcommunication.com/scanner.htm

They have a bracket mount instead of a mag mount.

Key Features Stainless Steel 17-7ph Whip
Stainless Steel Housing
Gold plated support
UV resistant Cap
All connections soldered
Compression Whip retainer
8 oz total weight
Coax Connector
Lifetime Warranty on antenna coil

Technical Specifications
Frequency Range - 30-512 Mhz
Whip length 34 inches

I will sell each for the best offer or you can buy both of them.

I also have 2 new marine band VHF whips only.

I am located in Oswego, Il.

Please email me at antenna@mikebentley.com

3385. Re: small parts supplier needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 21:53:42 UTC
From: Rich
Message-ID: <CaicnWhIc6XiJDijXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 32201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

https://www.jameco.com/"

I just got my order and I love it.

3386. Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 22:54:32 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 32202

So this asshole cop pulls me over today and starts asking me why I have a scanner in my car. I tell him it's a hobby and that NJ state scanner law states that having a scanner in your vehicle is not illegal unless it's used for commiting a crime or evading police. Which is true. It's says so right here:

http://www.siscanner.8m.com/laws.html

and here:

http://www.maxpages.com/frequencies/NJ_Laws

and here:

http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/nj-laws.html

That wasn't good enough for that asshole, so after he writes me for not having a seat belt on, he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that he has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having it in my car.

What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this asshole harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey - gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to
tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden /
David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe

Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige though.)

3387. For Sale Uniden 780Xlt with Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 22:58:14 UTC
From: see-signature@nospam.net
Message-ID: <3ea5c8b7.1433110@news.east.cox.net>
Source record: 32203

For Sale:
Uniden 780xlt

Radio is in excellent condition and has a discriminator output
connector(RCA). Comes with all original packaging and manual.

I will also include a CD with WinScan, AFS 780, Police Call 2003 and
computer to radio cable...

Get it while you can, These are hard to come by from the dealers.

.jpg available

$250
Jeff.
Rhode Island
jad1@cox.net
Jeff.
Rhode Island
jad1@cox.net

3388. Re: Places to find cheap BC780s ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 23:10:59 UTC
From: say_no_to@spam.org
Message-ID: <9365CFAEBnospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32204

$299.95 at http://www.grove-ent.com/bc780xlt.html

Phill

dasflies1@comcast.net (Dave Staples) wrote in
<jN6cndv8jZmxVv-jXTWc3w@comcast.com>:

>Hello,
>
> Im looking for legit places that sell used or new BC780s. I think
> ive
>decided to go with one of them, as opposed to forking over $360 for the
>scanner and $300 for the card (even though Philly is digital... im
>really in a bind as to what to do!)
>
>Dave KB3HIU
>dasflies1@comcast.net
>
>

3389. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 23:11:29 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <B_jpa.98638$dh1.1662140@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 32205

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
news:XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> So this asshole cop pulls me over today and starts asking me why I have a
> scanner in my car. I tell him it's a hobby and that NJ state scanner law
> states that having a scanner in your vehicle is not illegal unless it's
used
> for commiting a crime or evading police. Which is true. It's says so right
> here:

From looking at it, it may be true that the laws in NJ don't clearly and
distinctly prohibit the possession of a scanner, unless
that scanner is used in the committing of a crime, but best to look for the
most up to date version of NJ laws as laws
change and one of the pages is dated 1995.

> That wasn't good enough for that asshole, so after he writes me for not
> having a seat belt on,

he gotcha there. Bad Boy, bad boy, watcha' gonna do when they come for you.

he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
> hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
> fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that
he
> has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having it
> in my car.

Then I would seek legal advice. Don't try to do that on your own. There's no
crime for actually having it unless you
commit a crime.

> What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this
asshole
> harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.

Legal advice. Don't try to play TV lawyer. Best thing to do is wait to see
if he does something. But I'll bet he was just
talking to get you rattled. He pulled you over because you weren't wearing
your seat belt. I'd be surpised if he even
remembers you on his next shift. He'll remember that box of hot and tasty
Krispy Kremes before he remembers you ;-)

You were polite and respectful to the flat foot er I mean officer, right?

> --
> Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people,
brought

> to you by the greatest country on Earth.

"The greatest country on Earth"?? That's a bit of a stretch. I'd rethink
that one.

3390. Re: Pro 2006 and a computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 23:17:53 UTC
From: say_no_to@spam.org
Message-ID: <9365C3CB4nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32206

Yup a nice computer controll board
http://www.optoelectronics.com/

inkaddict@aol.com (Ink addict) wrote in
<20030304132139.19003.00000001@mb-fd.aol.com>:

>I just dug my old pro2006 out of a box from the attic and I would like
>to know if it is possible to control it through my pc.
>Thanks Bob

3391. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 23:36:38 UTC
From: Stu Gotz <aaskfjgj@sfjl.alfj>
Message-ID: <sekbavc083am5a813pbrmjqsaamiq9pqbo@4ax.com>
Source record: 32207

>What should I do?

Do us all a favor and hang yourself in your cell.

3392. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-22 23:48:25 UTC
From: Steve Fleckenstein <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net>
Message-ID: <dxkpa.316$345.222@news01.roc.ny.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 32208

get a good lawyer and deal with it

--

>> Remove "zz" from e-mail address to direct reply. <<

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
news:XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> So this asshole cop pulls me over today and starts asking me why I have a
> scanner in my car. I tell him it's a hobby and that NJ state scanner law
> states that having a scanner in your vehicle is not illegal unless it's
used
> for commiting a crime or evading police. Which is true. It's says so right
> here:
>
> http://www.siscanner.8m.com/laws.html
>
> and here:
>
> http://www.maxpages.com/frequencies/NJ_Laws
>
> and here:
>
> http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/nj-laws.html
>
> That wasn't good enough for that asshole, so after he writes me for not
> having a seat belt on, he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
> hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
> fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that
he
> has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having it
> in my car.
>
> What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this
asshole
> harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.
>
> --
> Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people,
brought
> to you by the greatest country on Earth.
>
> Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!
>
> God Bless The U.S.A.!

>
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html
>
>
> (Re-worded entirely)
> The following is a list of morons.
> That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
> what they've done or what they said,
> it's all been equally moronic.
>
> The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
>
> The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
> gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
> Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
> top)
>
> Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
> around)
> banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Fuck you and you threats)
> Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened
to
> tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
> Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
> for listening to Rock & Roll)
> Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
> Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
> MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
> Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
> GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
> Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden

/
> David Koresh Crossbreed)
> M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
> A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
> Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
> Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
> Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
> pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
> dictator Saddam Hussein)
> Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
> Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
> Iraqi Information Minister)
> banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
> claims with credible sources)
> gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
> comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
> Saddam.)
> Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
> Newsgroup Moderator)
> Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
> opinions from Hollywood talking heads)
>
> The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
> rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to
oblige
> though.)
>
>

3393. FS: Bearcat BC9000XLT w/tone board. $200.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 00:15:28 UTC
From: 6MtrSSB <nospam@tome.com>
Message-ID: <AWkpa.40517$cO3.3073663@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 32209
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bearcat BC9000XLT mobile base scanner with tone squelch board. This unit is
in excellent condition and comes complete with box, manual, & power supply.
I don't have the factory antenna.

500 channels
250 alpha memories
tone squelch board $40.00 new, just installed
25-1200 mhz (cell blocked)
AM,FM & WFM

$200.00 plus shipping

please email direct

Lou

3394. Re: New 245XLT scanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 00:48:20 UTC
From: niincdj@cableone.net
Message-ID: <lhobav0glrie6rd8ho0ieiotprg2aghvuu@4ax.com>
Source record: 32210

On Sun, 20 Apr 2003 15:54:28 -0700, Jim <jlisson@velocitus.net> wrote:

>>With a very big grin I will caution you not to try to
>>write any code in machine language. ;-)

<g> I do, well I did write a lot in assembler back in the dos days,
and also C can get quite interesting some times.

OK, back to deebee...

Thanks for trying it, any thoughts, likes, dislikes, suggestions?? The
idea of beta is to test final operability but also give others a
chance to look at it and comment.

Is it usefull? We may never get to final if no-one thinks they can use
it. <g>

--
Regards
Dave Johnson
VP R&D
Nolan Innovation Inc.
****
http://aluminator.tierranet.com
http://www.motorcyclecrazy.com
http://www.shadowstuff.com
****

3395. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 01:02:01 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dClpa.130298$JI.32492652@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32211

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
news:XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> So this asshole cop pulls me over today and starts asking me why I have a
> scanner in my car. I tell him it's a hobby and that NJ state scanner law
> states that having a scanner in your vehicle is not illegal unless it's
used
> for commiting a crime or evading police. Which is true. It's says so right
> here:
>
> http://www.siscanner.8m.com/laws.html
>
> and here:
>
> http://www.maxpages.com/frequencies/NJ_Laws
>
> and here:
>
> http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/nj-laws.html
>
> That wasn't good enough for that asshole, so after he writes me for not
> having a seat belt on, he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
> hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
> fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that
he
> has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having it
> in my car.
>
> What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this
asshole
> harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.

You think you have rights but you don't, only if they are too busy picking
on others to mess with you.

>
> --
> Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people,
brought
> to you by the greatest country on Earth.
>
> Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!
>
> God Bless The U.S.A.!
>
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

>
>
> (Re-worded entirely)
> The following is a list of morons.
> That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
> what they've done or what they said,
> it's all been equally moronic.
>
> The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
>
> The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
> gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
> Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
> top)
>
> Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
> around)
> banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Fuck you and you threats)
> Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened
to
> tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
> Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
> for listening to Rock & Roll)
> Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
> Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
> MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
> Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
> GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
> Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden
/
> David Koresh Crossbreed)

> M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
> A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
> Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
> Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
> Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
> pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
> dictator Saddam Hussein)
> Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
> Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
> Iraqi Information Minister)
> banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
> claims with credible sources)
> gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
> comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
> Saddam.)
> Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
> Newsgroup Moderator)
> Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
> opinions from Hollywood talking heads)
>
> The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
> rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to
oblige
> though.)
>
>

3396. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 01:03:59 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3Elpa.130300$JI.32495297@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32212

"Steve Fleckenstein" <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net> wrote in message
news:dxkpa.316$345.222@news01.roc.ny.frontiernet.net...
> get a good lawyer and deal with it

Yeah, get your wallet out!!! Or take out a loan.

>
> --
>
>
> >> Remove "zz" from e-mail address to direct reply. <<
>
>
>
> "RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
> news:XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> > So this asshole cop pulls me over today and starts asking me why I have
a
> > scanner in my car. I tell him it's a hobby and that NJ state scanner law
> > states that having a scanner in your vehicle is not illegal unless it's
> used
> > for commiting a crime or evading police. Which is true. It's says so
right
> > here:
> >
> > http://www.siscanner.8m.com/laws.html
> >
> > and here:
> >
> > http://www.maxpages.com/frequencies/NJ_Laws
> >
> > and here:
> >
> > http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/nj-laws.html
> >
> > That wasn't good enough for that asshole, so after he writes me for not
> > having a seat belt on, he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
> > hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
> > fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that
> he
> > has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having
it
> > in my car.
> >
> > What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this

> asshole
> > harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.
> >
> > --
> > Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people,
> brought
> > to you by the greatest country on Earth.
> >
> > Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!
> >
> > God Bless The U.S.A.!
> >
> > http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html
> >
> >
> > (Re-worded entirely)
> > The following is a list of morons.
> > That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
> > what they've done or what they said,
> > it's all been equally moronic.
> >
> > The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
> >
> > The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
> > gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Yes
> > Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at
the
> > top)
> >
> > Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
> > alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
> > around)
> > banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> > (Fuck you and you threats)
> > Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> > (Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
> > Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner
(Threatened
> to
> > tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
> > Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills
people
> > for listening to Rock & Roll)
> > Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
> > Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
> > MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
> > Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com -

> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> > Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> > ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> > TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
> > Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> > John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
> > alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> > DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> > Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
> > GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
> > Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> > Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> > Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
> > alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> > X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Bin-Laden
> /
> > David Koresh Crossbreed)
> > M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> > HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
> > A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
> > Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
> > Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
> > Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
> > pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> > B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
> > alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> > Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
> > dictator Saddam Hussein)
> > Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
> > alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
> > Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
> > Iraqi Information Minister)
> > banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
> > claims with credible sources)
> > gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
> > comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
> > Saddam.)
> > Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
> > Newsgroup Moderator)
> > Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
> > opinions from Hollywood talking heads)
> >
> > The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
> > rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to
> oblige
> > though.)
> >
> >

>
>

3397. Re: Thank...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 01:10:43 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vabq1s28igvt3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32213

Found out that a friend of mine at work is related to a member of the
Michigan State Police. I gave it to my friend, with the explanation of the
need, and he had his police friend run it for me. Had I known this before
hand, I could have saved myself a lot of grief from the idiots in here...
Oh well, live and learn...

PH

"me" <me@none.com> wrote in message news:yAhpa.12989$X44.661@fed1read07...
> So how'd ya do it? Did you get a local to run it or did you have to resort
> to other, "more creative means?"
>
> Just curious, if you wouldn't mind sharing how you got your info.
>
> --
> -me
>
> Saddam bad. War good.
> Mmmmmmm... war......
>
> "Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
> in message news:vab43er66s2qc6@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > news:20030421014424.19006.00000329@mb-m06.aol.com...
> > > >Guess it takes a legit, but
> > > >unusual, request like mine to weed out just who the real idiots
are...
> > >
> > > Remember, some of those 'idiots' are the ones who might some day
> prosecute
> > you
> > > for something or other. Worse...some of those *idiots* might comprise
> > your
> > > jury <g>.
> > >
> >
> > I'm not real worried about that fact as there has not been, and never
will
> > be, any sort of crime committed...
> >
> > PH
> >
> >
>

>

3398. Re: Recommend an LNA (Mast mount) to go with AOR DA3000 (Grove ANT11)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 01:30:51 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0304221730.635c62d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"K.chattenton" <k.chattenton@btopenwold.com> wrote in message
news:<b84072$n6j$1@titan.btinternet.com>...
> Hi John, all,
> "John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:d89364bd.0304210826.334054b1@posting.google.com...
> > Hi,
> >
> > I have an AOR DA3000 discone which covers 25 MHz to 2 GHz.
> >
> > Can someone recommend a good pre-amp (I'm willing to spend several
> > hundred dollars) that work over this freq range.
> >
> > If a pre-amp covers from 500 MHz to 1.5 GHz (for instance) then will
> > it attenuate outside that band, or will the signals appear as if there
> > was no pre-amp?
> >
> > Thanks,
> > John
>
> If you have that amount to spend on your listening then go for a good
> antenna instead of a wide band pre-amp.
>
> I would recommend you go for a wide band, 'Log Periodic', say one that
> covers the frequency range of, 100MHz to around 1.5GHz.
> If you have a certain band that you listen to then by all means get a
> pre-amp, but make sure it is low noise and only covers the frequencies of
> interest John.
>
> remember, more gain = more noise. Much better to put your money into the ant
> system (good ant,
> low noise pre-amp and most important LOW LOSS coax).
> One last thing John, start with a good RX !!.....cheers, Ken, G4KIR.

Thanks for your insight. I need an omni because I have to
simultaneously receive signals from all directions.

I'm using LMR-600 coax.

I still need a pre-amp. Do you know of one that covers 25 Mhz to 2
GHz?

Thanks,
John

>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.474 / Virus Database: 272 - Release Date: 18/04/2003

3399. Re: MY ANSWER TO MY ISP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 01:47:03 UTC
From: "radio4sale@noisp.com" <radio4sale@noisp.com>
Message-ID: <3EA5F073.14CF@noisp.com>
Source record: 32215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dan, why worry about your cable ISP? Do what I did when I was posting to the NG news.admin.net-abuse.email some yrs back. I picked up an extra account for $9.99 a month, some ISP's had free ones yrs ago if you used their branded browser, limited hrs per month maybe but who cares.

I knew I'd be pissing off spammers whose accounts I was trying to get canceled for spamming and I was actively breaking into their servers and screwing with their web sites to create any problems could for them.

Didn't give a rats ass if they got one of my accounts canceled! Ditto the current one. I use cable for the legit stuff, modem for other things. Cancel my dial-up account, I simply get another dial-up because there are so many of them available, it's that simple. Do the same Dan. Get a cheap dial up to post ads. There ain't nothin' the whiners can do at that point to your current Time Warner Cable account, it can be used for anything but online auctions directly.

Continue posting ads for EBay or personal sales, let the whiners complain, usually dial-up ISP's are hands off on complaints unless they can be proven to be legit. Cable companies seem much more touchy about complaints.

3400. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 02:16:52 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vabtscrg1dpa11@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32216

Why do I suspect your attitude may have contributed to your problems?

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
news:XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> So this asshole cop pulls me over today and starts asking me why I have a
> scanner in my car. I tell him it's a hobby and that NJ state scanner law
> states that having a scanner in your vehicle is not illegal unless it's
used
> for commiting a crime or evading police. Which is true. It's says so right
> here:
>
> http://www.siscanner.8m.com/laws.html
>
> and here:
>
> http://www.maxpages.com/frequencies/NJ_Laws
>
> and here:
>
> http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/nj-laws.html
>
> That wasn't good enough for that asshole, so after he writes me for not
> having a seat belt on, he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
> hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
> fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that
he
> has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having it
> in my car.
>
> What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this
asshole
> harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.
>
> --
> Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people,
brought
> to you by the greatest country on Earth.
>
> Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!
>
> God Bless The U.S.A.!
>
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html
>

>
> (Re-worded entirely)
> The following is a list of morons.
> That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
> what they've done or what they said,
> it's all been equally moronic.
>
> The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
>
> The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
> gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
> Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
> top)
>
> Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
> around)
> banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Fuck you and you threats)
> Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened
to
> tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
> Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
> for listening to Rock & Roll)
> Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
> Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
> MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
> Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
> GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
> Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden
/
> David Koresh Crossbreed)
> M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater

> HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
> A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
> Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
> Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
> Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
> pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
> dictator Saddam Hussein)
> Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
> Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
> Iraqi Information Minister)
> banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
> claims with credible sources)
> gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
> comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
> Saddam.)
> Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
> Newsgroup Moderator)
> Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
> opinions from Hollywood talking heads)
>
> The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
> rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to
oblige
> though.)
>
>

3401. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 03:31:03 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <mVCdnTrGFYU0lDujXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 32217

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:vabtscrg1dpa11@corp.supernews.com...
> Why do I suspect your attitude may have contributed to your problems?

Because you're an asshole too? Yep, that's gotta be it.

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought
to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to
tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner

Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden /
David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige
though.)

3402. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 03:41:26 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BACB83A5.17717%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32218

"RedXIII" wrote:
>
> So this asshole cop pulls me over today and starts
> asking me why I have a scanner in my car. I tell
> him it's a hobby and that NJ state scanner law states
> that having a scanner in your vehicle is not illegal
> unless it's used for commiting a crime or evading
> police. (snip)

Does New Jersey define a traffic violation as a crime? If so, even a seat belt violation could be covered in the legislation you quote. Section 2C:33-22 is perhaps the most relevant because it doesn't require the actual use of a scanner - only possession while in the course of committing or attempting to commit a crime.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3403. Re: Looking for an older handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 03:51:48 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BACB8614.17719%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32219

"John LeMay" wrote:

> (snip) ...how many analog phones are actually
> left to listen to?

Depends on where you live. In my area, the cellular band is full of analog
phone conversations, with very few digital phone conversations.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3404. Re: Best car scanner for driving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 03:52:43 UTC
From: "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b864as$6li2u$1@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I might add, that if you have an ham license, you are technically covered in this matter, but I wanted an extra mesure of security and applied and recieved the scanner permit. It actually was fairly easy to get.

In the narrative section as to why you want the permit in the first place, I stated something to the effect that I was deeply concerned that if I travel somewhere in Michigan, usually less populated, I might run into someone who is clearly not knowledge-able of ham radio, and a clear understanding of the law (listed below) I didn't want to go through a huge hassle to get my equipment back, which by law I had a right to possess under the terms of the law. And I got the permit!!!

For those that aren't hams (worth getting the license alone for those in Michigan) and also are not affiliated with a police or fire department agency, I don't know what the solution or justification could be..... Like I said, it's worth filling out the application (link listed below) anyways! :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
--. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
.. --. .... - . .-. ...

"New Ideas" <New_Ideas@new-ideas.tv> wrote in message
news:okmaav4lg8ntqktbr9k5klcsgf85ogkpqh@4ax.com...
> John LeMay wrote:
> >
> > No, but since it is illegal in many (most?) states to have
> > a scanner in your vehicle
>
> Never anonymous Bud wrote
> >
> > Very FEW states, actually.
>
>
> VEHICLES EQUIPPED WITH SHORT WAVE LENGTH
> RADIO RECEIVING SETS
>
> (MCL 750.508)
> Sec. 508. Any person who shall equip a vehicle with a radio receiving
> set that will receive signals sent on frequenciesassigned by the Federal
> Communications Commission of the United States of America for police

> purposes, or use the same in this state unless such vehicle is used or
owned
> by a peace officer or a bona fide amateur radio operator holdinga
technician
> class, general, advanced, or extra class amateur license issued by the
federal
> communications commission, without first securing a permit to do so from
the
> director of the department of state police upon application as he or she
may
> prescribe, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by imprisonment
in
> the county jail for not more than 1 year, or by a fine of not more than
$500.00,
> or by both fine and imprisonment in the discretion of the court.
> (2) This section shall not be construed as restricting the use of radar
detectors.
>
> http://www.mpscs.com/com-022.pdf (.PDF file)

3405. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 04:25:04 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vac5cp4fud3gb0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32220

I will pass on to you a bit of advice that has worked well for my four children, six grand-children, and two great-grand-children.

The three most important things for success in life are attitude, attitude, and attitude.

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message news:mVCdnTrGFYU0lDujXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:vabtscrg1dpa11@corp.supernews.com...
> > Why do I suspect your attitude may have contributed to your problems?
>
> Because you're an asshole too? Yep, that's gotta be it.
>
> --
> Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought
> to you by the greatest country on Earth.
>
> Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!
>
> God Bless The U.S.A.!
>
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html
>
>
> (Re-worded entirely)
> The following is a list of morons.
> That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
> what they've done or what they said,
> it's all been equally moronic.
>
> The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
>
> The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
> gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
> Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
> top)
>
> Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
> around)
> banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Fuck you and you threats)
> Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net -

alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened
to
> tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
> Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
> for listening to Rock & Roll)
> Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
> Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
> MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
> Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
> GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
> Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden
/
> David Koresh Crossbreed)
> M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
> A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
> Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
> Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
> Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
> pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
> dictator Saddam Hussein)
> Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
> Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
> Iraqi Information Minister)
> banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
> claims with credible sources)
> gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
> comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
> Saddam.)
> Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe

> Newsgroup Moderator)
> Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
> opinions from Hollywood talking heads)
>
> The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
> rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to
oblige
> though.)
>
>

3406. R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 04:47:32 UTC
From: nickle911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030423004732.25657.00000037@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 32221

Like new Icom R10 software and interface with box manual a/c plug all for 210 dollars shipped to mainland US.Email if interested.Phil

3407. Re: Looking for an older handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 04:54:01 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030423005401.18830.00000290@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 32222

99% analog around Lee County Alabama

3408. Re: Looking for an older handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 05:30:18 UTC
From: "Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b864b5$6li2u$8@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32234

Now one question.... If YOU modify your scanner or have one that normally
does recieve those ranges, who the hell is gonna know what you are
listening to unless you go blabbing about it??? Or use the information
heard for personal gain??

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
--. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
.. --. .... - . .-. ...

>
> I wouldn't even try to pick up cellphones... because (as of 1996) it's not
> legal.
>
> Alvin
>
>

3409. Re: Looking for an older handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 05:44:41 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnbaca2g.3bf.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 32223

In article <va9di78g2nc38@corp.supernews.com>, John Robertson wrote:
> My boss brought in an old Radio Shack Pro -xx before i quit my last job and
> would let him pick up cell phones, and he said he did not have to modify it,
> i was wondering if you guys could help me find the model, and if you can't
> what is a good hand held scanner that will pick up cell phone freqs withouth
> having to be modified. I found a good Radio Shack Pro -64 on ebay, but I
> have to modify it. Thanks

Almost anything from the free world is sold without any blocks
in the scan range. It is only the americans that has all those stupid
blocks.

Freedom of speech, but only as long as nobody listens.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

3410. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 05:53:14 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <eTppa.130394$JI.32846400@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32224

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
news:mVCdnTrGFYU0lDujXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:vabtscrg1dpa11@corp.supernews.com...
> > Why do I suspect your attitude may have contributed to your problems?
>
> Because you're an asshole too? Yep, that's gotta be it.
>
> --
> Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people,
brought
> to you by the greatest country on Earth.
>
> Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

You belong with Saddam!!! You actually love him, don't you?

>
> God Bless The U.S.A.!
>
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html
>
>
> (Re-worded entirely)
> The following is a list of morons.
> That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
> what they've done or what they said,
> it's all been equally moronic.
>
> The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
>
> The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
> gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
> Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
> top)
>
> Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
> around)
> banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Fuck you and you threats)
> Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened

to
> tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
> Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
> for listening to Rock & Roll)
> Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
> Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
> MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
> Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
> GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
> Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden
/
> David Koresh Crossbreed)
> M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
> A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
> Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
> Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
> Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
> pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
> dictator Saddam Hussein)
> Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
> Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
> Iraqi Information Minister)
> banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
> claims with credible sources)
> gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
> comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
> Saddam.)
> Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
> Newsgroup Moderator)
> Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
> opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

>
> The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
> rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to
oblige
> though.)
>
>

3411. Re: Asshole C*P

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 10:56:19 UTC
From: RamDog <PathOfTheRamDog@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PrWdnQp9Tr9EHTujXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 32225

The fact that the cop gave himself 30 days to "go back to the station and pull the code book" should tell you something. If he knew it was illegal, he would have impounded it then and there. He just gave himself an out, that's all. He's ignorant of the law and THAT could be dangerous. I'd just make an entry in your OWN records of the incident (document everything) and keep it handy in case he tries something stupid.

Notes taken at the scene BY YOU have as much weight in court as Officer Nastie's.

Peter

3412. Antenna Coax Impedance Mis-Matches (for receiving antennas) Quest. ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 12:04:24 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsrose@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <b85vge$r00$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 32226

Hello:

Will be purchasing a new Antennacraft Scantenna ST2 antenna for receiving 30 MHz to 1.3 GHz.

This antenna is quoted as having a 75 ohm output (comes with a 300 ohm to 75 ohm Balun, apparently).

Of course, my receiver only has a 50 ohm input for this range. (always something)
Let me ask my question two ways for clarity:

a. I already have a 50 ohm coax run from my attic (replacing a R/S Discone) to my receiver input which I
would like to utilize again, if practical.

Can someone please describe the effects of this mis-match, if I just plug the new 75 ohm antenna into this 50 ohm cable?
(about a 50 ft run) I do listening only)

b. If I do go to the trouble of running a new 75 ohm coax line from the new antenna to my receiver, what are the effects of using
this to the 50 ohm receiver input ? "Meaningful losses" ?

What's the best approach for me?

As always, much thanks for help,
Bob

3413. Re: best wideband scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 12:23:54 UTC
From: say_no_to@spam.org
Message-ID: <9366587A0nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32227

300 pounds, wowya that would be one heavy scanner

definity@heartz.com (Cyber Punk) wrote in
<30ceeac3.0303030850.75b1f710@posting.google.com>:

>what the scanner that can go the widest for uder 300 dollors or pounds

3414. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 12:27:29 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vad1kujbdel647@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32228

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
news:XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com...

> he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
> hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
> fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that
he
> has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having it
> in my car.

Inquire with another police agency as to whether or not you can be charged with a "traffic violation" after the fact. You cold also as them what their take is on the scanner law if you like.

Generally an officer can't charge you with something later on, unless he wrote you up for it at the time you were pulled over. If he didn't give you any type of "ticket" for your scanner, I'd have to guess that you are free and clear of any charges. My best guess would be that he was just using a scare tactic on you to try to get you remove it from your vehicle... Apparently he has something against them...

Please note that the above is only an educated guess, and shouldn't be considered factual as I know nothing of the laws of your state...

PH

3415. Re: This Group Is Officially Dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 12:29:55 UTC
From: say_no_to@spam.org
Message-ID: <936657BCCnospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32229

Well if Q and ME would go elsewhere, i.e. to the news groups where they belong and take the god people with them this would be a better place.

tracey12_12@yahoo.com (Tra+cey) wrote in <3E8A93FC.482A7C53@yahoo.com>:

>Yep, I've been coming by here for a few years, but as you can see,
>there is far more political bs and name calling
>than there is info on scanners, so I declare this scanner newsgroup Dead
>On Arrival.
>
>

3416. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 12:44:31 UTC
From: say_no_to@spam.org
Message-ID: <93665F0A7nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32230

looks like the Jehovah's Witnesses, the Green Wieny's and Peace Wieny's ether have no clue or they can't read.. Or maybe they have been banded from all the other news groups and this is the only one they get.. Just filter them like the rest of us do...

Phill

stewart@say.net (Dwight Stewart) wrote in
<BABEA2BE.16ACF%stewart@say.net>:

>"Power House CB & Scanner" wrote:
>
>> (snip) This is a newsgroup who's topic is SCANNERS,
>> not war stories... You want war stories, go find
>> the appropriate newsgroup(s) for it.
>>
>> There is a reason why there are SO many different
>> groups; one for nearly every interest. (snip)
>
>
> Okay, I'll gladly do that just as soon as you show me a newsgroup for
>scanner users to talk to other scanner users about significant events in
>the world. I looked, but I didn't find rec.radio.scannerusers.discussion
>or anything even similar to that.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
>------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
>==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
>=-----
>

3417. Re: \\\\\\\\\\\\\/////////////// NO BLOOD FOR OIL WITHDRAW FROM IRAQ \\\\\\\\\\\\\///////////////

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 12:49:55 UTC
From: say_no_to@spam.org
Message-ID: <93665C632nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32231

Last time I looked, this was the scanner news group, what the heck has anything you have been ranting about have to do with scanners???

Q@Q.everywhere (Q) wrote in <3f079fbb.3543911034@news.Q.everywhere>:

>ind out what you can do to help.........
>
>
>http://www.stopwar.org.uk
>
>http://truemajority.org/
>
>www.endthewar.org
>
>www.antiwar.com
>
>www.notinourname.net
>

3418. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 13:02:53 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1awpa.132875$JI.33128013@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32232

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
in message news:vad1kujbdel647@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
> news:XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
> > he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
> > hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
> > fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that
> he
> > has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having
it
> > in my car.
>
> Inquire with another police agency as to whether or not you can be charged
> with a "traffic violation" after the fact. You cold also as them what
their
> take is on the scanner law if you like.
>
> Generally an officer can't charge you with something later on, unless he
> wrote you up for it at the time you were pulled over. If he didn't give
you
> any type of "ticket" for your scanner, I'd have to guess that you are free
> and clear of any charges. My best guess would be that he was just using a
> scare tactic on you to try to get you remove it from your vehicle...
> Apparently he has something against them...
>
> Please note that the above is only an educated guess, and shouldn't be
> considered factual as I know nothing of the laws of your state...

Lot's of guessing going one here.

>
> PH
>
>
>

3419. Movie crew in the house

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 13:13:29 UTC
From: Android Cat <androidcat99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0kwpa.4781$145.491402@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 32235

There's a movie crew shooting a film "That Touch of Pink" in my building this week. I notice that the chief crew have radio headsets. Any guesses about where I should start searching for frequencies?

Ron of that ilk.

3420. 4sale AOR AR-5000+3 w/Collins filters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 13:46:20 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <vad67vel9oime0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32236

AOR AR-5000+3 unblocked, NO gap, all mode, dc to daylight (10KHz - 2.6GHz)receiver, with all THREE Collins mechanical filters installed (CW 500Hz, SSB 2,500Hz, AM 5,500 Hz - about $400 optional installed!!), with 12VDC power supply, manual, original box, and shipped double boxed to your door $1,650..... That\'s about $1,000 less than you\'d pay if ordered from Universal, etc., today!! Absolutely MINT condition.. It don\'t get much better than this without tripling the price!!! Photo www.cox-internet.com/tazman/5000.jpg tazman@cox-internet.com

3421. FS: 80CH UHF PORTABLES & EXTRA'S!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 13:48:24 UTC
From: David & Daphne Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <3d6dav8crvvcp4lnlve297ck2crdcim7u2@4ax.com>
Source record: 32166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

(2) Midland Model 70-255B 80ch *UHF* SCAN 2/5watt 450-470mhz,
(2) Model 70-C06 Rapid Chargers, (2) Leather Cases with belt-loop ,
(2) Model 70-M188 Lapel Spkr-mics with clip on back,
(4) 70-B34 High Capacity Batteries 7.5v @ 1800mha!!
2- NEW-IN BOX, 2- Used approx. 40hrs
(2) stubby UHF antennas.

All items are in excellent to perfect condition rates 9-10 or better. Org. boxes and instructions for chargers. Radios are "FULLY PROGRAMABLE" and include options like a back-lit display and priority function. Full spec sheet included. Radios are fully functional "except" the DTMF pad of which is only decorative cover. Ch's 1-3 are on repeater freqs while Ch 4-11 are on "talk-around" or "simplex" freqs. Multi-digital pics avail. Perfect for hunting, fishing, security and many other applications.

$200USD + shipping

3422. FS: 16CH UHF 5WATT FREE SHIPPING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 13:48:47 UTC
From: David & Daphne Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <sd6dav8v6n7un2p3u7b8uf7fbjrt99hh48@4ax.com>
Source record: 32167

Midland 70-254B 16ch UHF - SCAN - 5watt 410-470mhz
****
"USER DTMF PROGRAMABLE" No programmer needed!! User programmable !!!
****
(1) Model 70- C11A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) Model 70-B24 13.2V 450mah battery (30hr use only)
(1) Programming spec sheet

All items are in excellent to perfect condition rates 9-10 or better.
The only flaw is one of the switch rubber covers is "cut" (see pic)

Org. box for radio avail. Has back-lit display and priority function.
Full program spec sheet avail. Radio is fully functional.

Multi-digital pics avail. Email for questions or comments.
Asking price $200USD FREE "AIR EXPRESS" SHIPPING!

3423. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 13:55:41 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.19103b34146dbbb4989a76@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32239
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

```
In article <SB1pa.142283$0X.30004741@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:b81v96$psj$1@news1.kornet.net...
> >
> > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:va911r5t1ev622@corp.supernews.com...
> > > It is really going to twist your nickers, when in spite of your
> > > protestations, he is re-elected.
> >
> > Hell, Slick Willy was re-elected.. and he was a proven pervert and immoral
> > twit.. it would not surprise me in the least if Bubya were re-elected. For
> > one thing, Americans are too lazy to get out and vote. What was the
> > percentage in the last election? Something like 40%???
>
> On the contrary - it was one of the highest turnouts in recent history. The
> reason Slick Willy served two terms was because of low voter turnout

It's odd that Republican strategists think low turnout helps the
Republican vote, yet you think the opposite.

- I
> wasn't too enthralled with Dole, but he would have been the lesser of two
> evils, and would have surrounded himself with qualified individuals, instead
> of the fruitcakes that Willy called his "staff", and with no viable
> third-party candidate, there was no point in just pissing away a vote. Many
> didn't show for that election for the same reason - lack of interest. On the
> other hand, there was allot more interest in the LAST election.
>
> And don't start crying about Florida - if you know anything about how the
> electoral college works and why it exists, you know why the election went
> the way it did.
>
>
>
```

3424. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 13:57:06 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030423095706.18984.00000609@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 32237

I'd print all that out and send a copy to the chief of police and the mayor
with an explanation of the traffic stop.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

3425. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 13:59:11 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030423095911.18984.00000610@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 32238

>And you should have interrupted him right there and said, "get your Sgt. down
>here. Now."

And do you know what the reply would have been? "Go to court if you think
you're right."

That's always my answer.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

3426. Re: Asshole Cop?????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 14:22:12 UTC
From: JackieM@city.net
Message-ID: <3EA6A194.FA1E9FB3@city.net>
Source record: 32241

DeWayne wrote:

> "Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
> in message news:vad1kujbdel647@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
> > news:XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> >
> > > he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
> > > hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
> > > fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that
> > he
> > > has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having
> it
> > > in my car.
> >
> > Inquire with another police agency as to whether or not you can be charged
> > with a "traffic violation" after the fact. You cold also as them what
> their
> > take is on the scanner law if you like.
> >
> > Generally an officer can't charge you with something later on, unless he
> > wrote you up for it at the time you were pulled over. If he didn't give
> you
> > any type of "ticket" for your scanner, I'd have to guess that you are free
> > and clear of any charges. My best guess would be that he was just using a
> > scare tactic on you to try to get you remove it from your vehicle...
> > Apparently he has something against them...
> >
> > Please note that the above is only an educated guess, and shouldn't be
> > considered factual as I know nothing of the laws of your state...
>
> Lot's of guessing going one here.
>
> >
> > PH
> >

Seems we have a newsgroup full of radio scanning lawyers.

Depending on what state you're in, laws change from state to state.
You can get a citation in the mail after the police let you go.

3427. Re: Movie crew in the house

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 14:28:03 UTC
From: RamDog <PathOfTheRamDog@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OtKdnX_lNMpGPDujXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 32240

Used to be between
452.975 - 453.000 for the film crews, but prolly...
896.000 - 901.000 (in 12.5 kHz steps)

"Android Cat" <androidcat99@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:0kwpa.4781$145.491402@news20.bellglobal.com...
> There's a movie crew shooting a film "That Touch of Pink" in my building
> this week. I notice that the chief crew have radio headsets. Any guesses
> about where I should start searching for frequencies?
>
> Ron of that ilk.
>
>

3428. Re: Movie crew in the house

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 15:24:53 UTC
From: Android Cat <androidcat99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dfypa.4827$145.502745@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 32242

RamDog wrote:
> Used to be between
> 452.975 - 453.000 for the film crews, but prolly...
> 896.000 - 901.000 (in 12.5 kHz steps)
>
> "Android Cat" <androidcat99@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:0kwpa.4781$145.491402@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> There's a movie crew shooting a film "That Touch of Pink" in my
>> building this week. I notice that the chief crew have radio
>> headsets. Any guesses about where I should start searching for
>> frequencies?

Thanks, I'll give it a try.

Lights! Scanner! Action!

(Huh, I moved my 2006 to the shelf on top the computer desk. Never
noticed that the display is invisible viewing from below.)

Ron of that ilk.

3429. Re: Movie crew in the house

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 15:58:08 UTC
From: RamDog <PathOfTheRamDog@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1q-cnf4v_6toKzujXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 32243

"Android Cat" said in a previous post:
<androidcat99@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:dfypa.4827$145.502745@news20.bellglobal.com...
>..<
> (Huh, I moved my 2006 to the shelf on top the computer desk. Never
> noticed that the display is invisible viewing from below.)
>
> Ron of that ilk.
>

Had to tilt my 2035 overhead installation so's I could read it too. Plate has gotta be facing the eyes. Some have a polarity adjuster - I couldn't find one on the 2035, but I know they're out there.

Peter

3430. Re: small parts supplier needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 18:18:23 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304231018.4bd9099a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I buy a lot of connectors, wire etc from Tower Electronics they have
an online catalog at http://www.pl-259.com/catalog.html

3431. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 19:03:03 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <FrBpa.13508$X44.2677@fed1read07>
Source record: 32245

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
news:XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> So this asshole cop pulls me over today and starts asking me why I have a
> scanner in my car. I tell him it's a hobby and that NJ state scanner law
> states that having a scanner in your vehicle is not illegal unless it's
used
> for commiting a crime or evading police.

Big mistake.

This is an Officer of the Law. As such, he and most cops feel they are
experts on the subject.

Had you simply said "really? I didn't know that - thanks for telling me!"
you probably wouldn't have even gotten the ticket, let alone the lecture on
the law.

> What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this
asshole
> harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.

No, he harrassed you because you came off like "I know the law and you're
just a dumb cop". If you had been the one to play dumb you'd be on your
merry way probably without the ticket. Trust me, I do it all the time.

The conversation usually goes like this. The cop says "that scanner's
illegal" and I say "really? I didn't know that. I just like to listen in -
it's so interesting. Say, were you working the other night when I heard..."
and I name something that is usually pretty funny, like someone sweeping
their driveway during a thunderstorm... in the nude. (You laugh but I really
heard that once). Many times I get to hear the parts of the story that
DIDN'T get broadcast over the air. The cop and I have a good laugh and guess
what? He says "Tell you what, I'll just let you go with a warning tonight.
Have a good evening."

Put it to you this way - I should have gotten about a dozen more speeding
tickets than I actually have over the years. Can you say the same?

--
-me

Saddam bad. War good.
Mmmmmmm... war......

3432. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 19:52:19 UTC
From: Don Forsling <ddforsling@msn.com>
Message-ID: <vadrnk5qheqqf0@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 32246

--

>
> Inquire with another police agency as to whether or not you can be charged
> with a "traffic violation" after the fact. You cold also as them what
their
> take is on the scanner law if you like.
>

What does _that_ mean? _All_ charges are made "after the fact." Have you
ever heard of anybody being charged _before_ the fact? I thought not.

> Generally an officer can't charge you with something later on, unless he
> wrote you up for it at the time you were pulled over.

And your authority is?

3433. Using 2m mobile ham antenna for base use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 20:54:37 UTC
From: John Robertson <cybrw0lf@rapedgeek.net>
Message-ID: <vadvce4ifqb5cf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32147

Hi while looking through the local pawn shops I picked up a couple of items, one was a Radio Shack 2m Ham Antenna, but for mobile use, I got it for $5, and its just like the one they sell in Radio Shack. Can I modify or use it with my 2m base station? We have a local ham chat tonight so Im going to try it out. The other item I got was a BC350A for $15, just needed a power cord, which I had already at the house. Thanks for any advice and solutions. If I can't use the antenna I would be willing to trade it for a 2m base antenna, even if its a homebrew j-pole. Thanks
John
KD5TLQ

3434. NE1 Looking for MOD's??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 21:11:42 UTC
From: me@aol.com
Message-ID: <9366A6396nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32148

Click:

http://webpages.charter.net/moment/mods.htm

me

3435. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 21:14:53 UTC
From: me@aol.com
Message-ID: <9366ACCDEnospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well 1st off these add-ons are all junk, i.e. you have to yell at the phone
and the user on the other end sounds like crap on them! 2nd they only send
for about 2 feet so if your scanner could do FM you would not hear it.

me

Swampthing@mac.com (Swampthing) wrote in
<220320031800312164%Swampthing@mac.com>:

>
>Watching all those interesting commercials for hands-free operation of
>cell phones these days makes me wonder. They tell you to just tune
>into a certain station on your FM dial, and you'll hear your
>conversation perfectly... If that is the case, shouldn't it also be
>easy for a scanner to pick up that same FM signal? Has anyone tried
>this? Any idea what freqs are they using?
>

3436. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 21:16:00 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030423171600.16280.00000048@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 32150

>What does _that_ mean? _All_ charges are made "after the fact." Have you
>ever heard of anybody being charged _before_ the fact? I thought not.
>

LOL...you might acquaint yourself with some of the more 'interesting' portions
of the Patriot Act. Seems that most of what we *used* to be guaranteed by the
Constitution have been rendered obsolete, in our righteous quest to rid the
U.S. of terrorists.

<sarcasm mode switched OFF>

For that matter, go take a look at the Electronic Communications Privacy Act.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3437. Re: Movie crew in the house

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 21:17:04 UTC
From: Android Cat <androidcat99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <opDpa.4961$145.530310@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 32152

RamDog wrote:
> "Android Cat" said in a previous post:
> <androidcat99@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:dfypa.4827$145.502745@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> ..<
>> (Huh, I moved my 2006 to the shelf on top the computer desk. Never
>> noticed that the display is invisible viewing from below.)
>
> Had to tilt my 2035 overhead installation so's I could read it too.
> Plate has gotta be facing the eyes. Some have a polarity adjuster - I
> couldn't find one on the 2035, but I know they're out there.

I suppose it's a good excuse to shift to computer control. (Got the discriminator output jacked, but I haven't tried monitoring MDT traffic yet. Probably all encrypted by now, wah! Maybe they use double ROT-13 encryption?)

Didn't pick up anything movie related, although there was a damned strong carrier on one frequency. (Full squelch strong.) Gone now. Tomorrow's supposed to be their last day of shooting, so I'll give it another try. (Hmm, crash wrap party, it's a plan. ;^) (I just have this thing about female techies.)

Ron of that ilk.

3438. Re: NE1 Looking for ARC780??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 21:22:03 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <_tDpa.13533$X44.490@fed1read07>
Source record: 32151

Oh and I almost forgot...

If you'd be so kind to visit the email link on the page and email me the key to unlock ARC780 full version I'd be so appreciative since I'm too cheap and stupid to actually *buy* the software.

Thanks!

-me

"me"/"George Bush"/"No Spam" the name changing troll <me@aol.com> wrote in message news:9366A6396nospamorg@216.168.3.44...
> Click:
>
> http://webpages.charter.net/moment/mods.htm
> where you can see some mods found easily by any search
> engine and read my big long ridiculous rants about WinScan.
> Big bad pozilla is out to get me because I'm too stupid to
> own a computer!!!!
> HELP!
> me

3439. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 21:30:22 UTC
From: Android Cat <androidcat99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RBDpa.4972$145.531887@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 32153

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr wrote:
> I will pass on to you a bit of advice that has worked well for my four
> children, six grand-children, and two great-grand-children.
>
> The three most important things for success in life are attitude,
> attitude, and attitude.

Well, I think he's got the attitude part down pat. Perhaps you should be
more specific about what kind of attitude? :^)

Ron of that ilk.

3440. Re: Using 2m mobile ham antenna for base use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 21:57:37 UTC
From: Dick <rhughes33@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <4h2eavckg6bfj53phi9kpnttrr6khj8b2n@4ax.com>
Source record: 32154

Don't know what style of antenna it is, but if it is meant to go through a hole in the roof or a mount, just get a pizza pan or similar and drill a hole through the center for the antenna. If it's a mag-mount, stick it on top of a refrigerator or file cabinet. You can also make a ground plane out of 3 or 4 pieces of coat hanger wire 19" long. Attach them to the base of the antenna (where the coax attaches, not the antenna.) There are all kinds of solutions. Just remember that, if it didn't come with any kind of ground plane, and isn't a half-wave vertical, then you need something to give it a ground to work against. I have used mobile antennas of all kinds in the house since 2-meters became popular back in the late 50's and early 60's.

Dick - W6CCD

On Wed, 23 Apr 2003 15:54:37 -0500, "John Robertson" <cybrw0lf@rapedgeek.net> wrote:

>Hi while looking through the local pawn shops I picked up a couple of items,
>one was a Radio Shack 2m Ham Antenna, but for mobile use, I got it for $5,
>and its just like the one they sell in Radio Shack. Can I modify or use it
>with my 2m base station? We have a local ham chat tonight so Im going to try
>it out. The other item I got was a BC350A for $15, just needed a power cord,
>which I had already at the house. Thanks for any advice and solutions. If I
>can't use the antenna I would be willing to trade it for a 2m base antenna,
>even if its a homebrew j-pole. Thanks
>John
>KD5TLQ
>

3441. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 22:11:33 UTC
From: rhf-mail@usa.com
Message-ID: <3ef6beca.0304231411.7c273b7f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32155
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

FWIW,

IMHO: Single Greatest Thing to Improve Voter Turn-Out would be to
Have NONE of the Above as a Choice. IF, "None of the Above" Got the
Most Votes: Then All of the Above Candidates could not run and the
Parties would have to come up with New Candidates to Stand for
Election.

GIVE THE VOTERS A REAL CHOICE: "NONE OF THE ABOVE" and they will Vote
!

~ RHF
.
.
= = = USER1 <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
= = = news:<MPG.19103b34146dbbb4989a76@news.newsguy.com>...
> In article <SB1pa.142283$0X.30004741@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
> Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> > news:b81v96psj1@news1.kornet.net...
> > >
> > > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > news:va911r5t1ev622@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > It is really going to twist your nickers, when in spite of your
> > > > protestations, he is re-elected.
> > >
> > > Hell, Slick Willy was re-elected.. and he was a proven pervert and immoral
> > > twit.. it would not surprise me in the least if Bubya were re-elected. For
> > > one thing, Americans are too lazy to get out and vote. What was the
> > > percentage in the last election? Something like 40%???
> >
> > On the contrary - it was one of the highest turnouts in recent history. The
> > reason Slick Willy served two terms was because of low voter turnout
>
> It's odd that Republican strategists think low turnout helps the
> Republican vote, yet you think the opposite.
>
>
> - I
> > wasn't too enthralled with Dole, but he would have been the lesser of two
> > evils, and would have surrounded himself with qualified individuals, instead
> > of the fruitcakes that Willy called his "staff", and with no viable
> > third-party candidate, there was no point in just pissing away a vote. Many
> > didn't show for that election for the same reason - lack of interest. On the

> > other hand, there was allot more interest in the LAST election.
> >
> > And don't start crying about Florida - if you know anything about how the
> > electoral college works and why it exists, you know why the election went
> > the way it did.
> >
> >
> >

3442. FS 9 db 6 Element 896-970 mhz Yagis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 22:11:59 UTC
From: Its Me! <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <PcEpa.4011$Jf.1947487@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 32156
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have 8 of these Yagis that are well built.The model number is Y8966.I know they are made in USA but thats all I know.They cover 896-970 Mhz.They are 6 element and have a 9db gain.I don't have a clue as to what they are worth so I am asking here.If you are interested I can send you picts of them.Make me a resonable offer on one or all 8.I also do swaps for something that may interest me.Email me n1rsp@qsl.net

3443. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-23 22:40:32 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ADEpa.136630$JI.33612699@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32157

"James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20030423171600.16280.00000048@mb-m28.aol.com...
> >What does _that_ mean? _All_ charges are made "after the fact." Have
you
> >ever heard of anybody being charged _before_ the fact? I thought not.
> >
>
> LOL...you might acquaint yourself with some of the more 'interesting'
portions
> of the Patriot Act. Seems that most of what we *used* to be guaranteed by
the
> Constitution have been rendered obsolete, in our righteous quest to rid
the
> U.S. of terrorists.

When judges, prosecutors, police, and social workers have absolute immunity
citizens only think they have rights!!!
DeWayne

>
> <sarcasm mode switched OFF>
>
> For that matter, go take a look at the Electronic Communications Privacy
Act.
>
>
> James S. Prine
> "Ignorance is Blix"
>
>
>
>

3444. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 00:45:52 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <lnbeavc1cqm4uditqrran33iie0jgmbkoa@4ax.com>
Source record: 32158

On Tue, 22 Apr 2003 18:54:32 -0400, "RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote:

>so after he writes me for not having a seat belt on,

Typical. If a cop doesn't like you, he'll find something to write you
up for.

A lot of people find themselves charged with disorderly conduct for
telling a cop, "I'm not doing anything wrong, and you've got no
business hasseling me". Vague, broadly defined charges, like
disorderly conduct allow, the cops to make the law as they go.

And sadly, the jury will vote for conviction.

>has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having it
>in my car.

Also typical, intimidation technique.

>What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this asshole
>harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.

Learn from your experience.

On the street, you are at a serious disadvantage. Don't lecture cops
on the law. The less you say to them the better. Be wise to their
game of, "I'm going to take you to jail, unless you admit to something
I can take you to jail for". And most important, do whatever ass
kissing is necessary to end the confrontation as quickly as possible.

You can call his supervisor, but keep in mind, these people stand
behind each other, right or wrong.

3445. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 01:16:46 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vaeels691nulb9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32159

I have never had any of the problems with cops that you guys describe.
Gee, I wonder why they pick on you?

"Gary" <nospam@dontsendit.com> wrote in message
news:lnbeavc1cqm4uditqrran33iie0jgmbkoa@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 22 Apr 2003 18:54:32 -0400, "RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote:
>
> >so after he writes me for not having a seat belt on,
>
> Typical. If a cop doesn't like you, he'll find something to write you
> up for.
>
> A lot of people find themselves charged with disorderly conduct for
> telling a cop, "I'm not doing anything wrong, and you've got no
> business hasseling me". Vague, broadly defined charges, like
> disorderly conduct allow, the cops to make the law as they go.
>
> And sadly, the jury will vote for conviction.
>
> >has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having
it
> >in my car.
>
> Also typical, intimidation technique.
>
> >What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this
asshole
> >harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.
>
> Learn from your experience.
>
> On the street, you are at a serious disadvantage. Don't lecture cops
> on the law. The less you say to them the better. Be wise to their
> game of, "I'm going to take you to jail, unless you admit to something
> I can take you to jail for". And most important, do whatever ass
> kissing is necessary to end the confrontation as quickly as possible.
>
> You can call his supervisor, but keep in mind, these people stand
> behind each other, right or wrong.

3446. Re: WHAT THE HELL HAPPENED TO RADIO SCANNERS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 01:21:45 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vaef0ll9ic066@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32160

Already done...

PH

"Phill" <say_no_to@spam.org> wrote in message
news:93665F0A7nospamorg@216.168.3.44...
> looks like the Jehovah's Witnesses, the Green Wieny's and Peace Wieny's
> ether have no clue or they can't read.. Or maybe they have been banded
from
> all the other news groups and this is the only one they get.. Just filter
> them like the rest of us do...
>
> Phill

3447. Re: Asshole Cop?????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 01:25:00 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vaef6njgj3plc8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32161

<JackieM@city.net> wrote in message news:3EA6A194.FA1E9FB3@city.net...
> Seems we have a newsgroup full of radio scanning lawyers.
>
> Depending on what state you're in, laws change from state to state.
> You can get a citation in the mail after the police let you go.
>

Well, that is why I said that it's only a guess. I don't know the laws in
his state, as I stated, however getting a citation in the mail after the
fact sounds like a bunch of BS to me. If they don't do it on the spot, then
they shouldn't be able to do it at all...

PH

3448. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 01:27:10 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vaefapel4via0c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32162

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:1awpa.132875$JI.33128013@twister.neo.rr.com...
>
> "Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
> in message news:vad1kujbdel647@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
> > news:XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> >
> > > he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
> > > hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
> > > fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and
that
> > he
> > > has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of
having
> it
> > > in my car.
> >
> > Inquire with another police agency as to whether or not you can be
charged
> > with a "traffic violation" after the fact. You cold also as them what
> their
> > take is on the scanner law if you like.
> >
> > Generally an officer can't charge you with something later on, unless he
> > wrote you up for it at the time you were pulled over. If he didn't give
> you
> > any type of "ticket" for your scanner, I'd have to guess that you are
free
> > and clear of any charges. My best guess would be that he was just using
a
> > scare tactic on you to try to get you remove it from your vehicle...
> > Apparently he has something against them...
> >
> > Please note that the above is only an educated guess, and shouldn't be
> > considered factual as I know nothing of the laws of your state...
>
> Lot's of guessing going one here.
>

Well, one can only make guess or speculate to the intent of the officer if
they don't know anything about the laws of the given state... I'm sure that
you couldn't have done much better unless you lived in or near the state in

question, or at least has some vague idea of the laws of the state.

PH

3449. Re: Hey! Does anyone like cooking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 01:27:15 UTC
From: me@aol.com
Message-ID: <9366D44B3nospamorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Just add a Pro-2004 and a BC210XLT to your peanut butter and toss in a cup of rice crispees bake at 400 for 3 houes.. What the heck does this have to do with Scanners??

dd@asdf.com (Cordell Ward) wrote in <jqOia.48806$OV.164992@rwcrnsc54>:

>I'm looking for a recipe for rice crispees and peanut butter.
>Thanks!
>
>
>

3450. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 01:34:42 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b87d26$97k$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 32164
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"RHF" <rhf-mail@usa.com> wrote in message
news:3ef6beca.0304231411.7c273b7f@posting.google.com...
> FWIW,
>
> IMHO: Single Greatest Thing to Improve Voter Turn-Out would be to
> Have NONE of the Above as a Choice. IF, "None of the Above" Got the
> Most Votes: Then All of the Above Candidates could not run and the
> Parties would have to come up with New Candidates to Stand for
> Election.
>
> GIVE THE VOTERS A REAL CHOICE: "NONE OF THE ABOVE" and they will Vote
> !
>

Now THERE is an idea I can get behind.. :)

3451. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 01:35:24 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vaefq7dsdejj1f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32165

"Don Forsling" <ddforsling@msn.com> wrote in message
news:vadrnk5qheqqf0@news.supernews.com...
> >
> > Inquire with another police agency as to whether or not you can be
charged
> > with a "traffic violation" after the fact. You cold also as them what
> their
> > take is on the scanner law if you like.
> >
>
> What does _that_ mean? _All_ charges are made "after the fact." Have you
> ever heard of anybody being charged _before_ the fact? I thought not.
>

You obviously mis understood what I was saying. What I meant was, that through my experience, I've never heard of an officer giving someone a traffic ticket after the stop has been completed; i.e., after both parties have departed from the stop. If you don't get the ticket for something on the spot, durring the stop, then they shouldn't be able to issue one "after the fact." Just doesn't sound right to me, nor have I ever heard of it happening.

PH

3452. Re: Asshole C*P

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 02:11:04 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseauREMOVETHIS.org>
Message-ID: <MPG.191103fe50a703a989681@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32168

In article <PrWdnQp9Tr9EHTujXTWcqg@comcast.com>,
PathOfTheRamDog@comcast.net says...

> Notes taken at the scene BY YOU have as much weight in court as Officer
> Nastie's.

Credibility, though ... now that's another matter!
--
Appease a tyrant? Not in my name!
Dan Morisseau - N 38°34'53", W 90°22'32", 680'
Cardinal Nation: On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
"¡Y tu madre tambien!"

3453. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 02:32:24 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <sBCdnRvl-oDx0DqjXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 32170

"me" <me@none.com> wrote in message news:FrBpa.13508$X44.2677@fed1read07...
> No, he harrassed you because you came off like "I know the law and you're
> just a dumb cop".

No he harrassed me because he's an arrogant pig that thinks he's above the law, but I know the law and I called him on it. He can talk all the shit he want's but the law in New Jersey that made it illegal to have a scanner in your car was repealed in 1995 if not earlier. He's nothing but a goose stepping KKKop that will embarass himself if he fucks with me.

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey - gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner

Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden /
David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige
though.)

3454. Wanted: Good CB Radio with Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 02:34:50 UTC
From: John Robertson <cybrw0lf@rapedgeek.net>
Message-ID: <vaejaep8dih41b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32169

I was wondering if anyone has a good CB radio with antenna and mic, i dont care if its base or mobile, let me know if you guys have something.
John

3455. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 02:35:44 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <sludnaS0uOKu0zqjXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 32171

"Gary" <nospam@dontsendit.com> wrote in message
news:lnbeavc1cqm4uditqrran33iie0jgmbkoa@4ax.com...
> On the street, you are at a serious disadvantage. Don't lecture cops
> on the law. The less you say to them the better.

Fuck that. I ain't no pussy and I'm not going out like that. I'll make a pig look like the fool he is, I've done it before. Obviously this one doesn't get the hint that his card is gonna be pulled if he plays his little game of "I know the law and the citizens don't."

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey - gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner

Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden /
David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige
though.)

3456. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 02:36:32 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <TfacnUPaq4r-0zqjXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 32172

"Justin Time" <yooww2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:vaeels691nulb9@corp.supernews.com...
> I have never had any of the problems with cops that you guys describe.
> Gee, I wonder why they pick on you?

Do you by chance kiss their goose stepping asses?

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought
to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to
tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater

TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden /
David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige
though.)

3457. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 02:46:38 UTC
From: rogers@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <b87j6e$ap3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 32173
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <ELKdnV0oBKqdCTijXTWcrg@bway.net>,
Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote:
>Would somebody please tell me what this war was all about? Does anyone know?

That's really a multiple choice question, and the possible answers are
It was about:

(a) oil
(b) diverting attention from a failing economy
(c) saving Israel's bacon
(d) testing some dandy new weapons
(e) all of the above

> But why did we smash up the place in the first place?

See above. (I prefer (e) myself.

>You and I will pay to put it back together.

Yeah, but our buddies at Halliburton and Bechtel will put our tax money to
good use. Boola Boola Boy will need big bucks if he expects to get re-elected
in 2004. Is this a great political system or what!

Larry Rogers

--
Lawrence Rogers
Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4091

Re <Tokyo Stories> see http://www.ucpress.edu/books/pages/8407.html

3458. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 02:55:00 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3EA75204.1030605@NOSPAMutoronto.ca>
Source record: 32175
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

RHF wrote:
> FWIW,
>
> IMHO: Single Greatest Thing to Improve Voter Turn-Out would be to
> Have NONE of the Above as a Choice. IF, "None of the Above" Got the
> Most Votes: Then All of the Above Candidates could not run and the
> Parties would have to come up with New Candidates to Stand for
> Election.
>
> GIVE THE VOTERS A REAL CHOICE: "NONE OF THE ABOVE" and they will Vote
> !
>
> ~ RHF

I like the idea in principle. You could however have a real
dilemna if "None of the Above" keeps winning (not to mention
the costs of reelections). In addition, it would be
interesting where the vote was close and seeing a candidate
appeal to the court for a recount -- we could have some real
fun with this.

--
M2

3459. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 03:07:52 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vael83qnb1943f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32174
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

No bias on your part is there?

"Lawrence Rogers" <rogers@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:b87j6e$ap3$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> In article <ELKdnV0oBKqdCTijXTWcrg@bway.net>,
> Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote:
> >Would somebody please tell me what this war was all about? Does anyone
know?
>
> That's really a multiple choice question, and the possible answers are
> It was about:
>
> (a) oil
> (b) diverting attention from a failing economy
> (c) saving Israel's bacon
> (d) testing some dandy new weapons
> (e) all of the above
>
> > But why did we smash up the place in the first place?
>
> See above. (I prefer (e) myself.
>
> >You and I will pay to put it back together.
>
> Yeah, but our buddies at Halliburton and Bechtel will put our tax money to
> good use. Boola Boola Boy will need big bucks if he expects to get
re-elected
> in 2004. Is this a great political system or what!
>
> Larry Rogers
>
> --
> Lawrence Rogers
> Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4091
>
> Re <Tokyo Stories> see http://www.ucpress.edu/books/pages/8407.html

3460. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 03:36:13 UTC
From: "\"Q\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <NYIpa.151953$0X.32474969@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32176
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:vael83qnb1943f@corp.supernews.com...
> No bias on your part is there?

No. Just paranoid delusions. Typical liberal. Wants to use the same scare
tactics they used regarding Social Security, and everything ELSE...

> "Lawrence Rogers" <rogers@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
> news:b87j6e$ap3$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> > In article <ELKdnV0oBKqdCTijXTWcrg@bway.net>,
> > Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote:
> > >Would somebody please tell me what this war was all about? Does anyone
> know?
> >
> > That's really a multiple choice question, and the possible answers are
> > It was about:
> >
> > (a) oil
> > (b) diverting attention from a failing economy
> > (c) saving Israel's bacon
> > (d) testing some dandy new weapons
> > (e) all of the above
> >
> > > But why did we smash up the place in the first place?
> >
> > See above. (I prefer (e) myself.
> >
> > >You and I will pay to put it back together.
> >
> > Yeah, but our buddies at Halliburton and Bechtel will put our tax money
to
> > good use. Boola Boola Boy will need big bucks if he expects to get
> re-elected
> > in 2004. Is this a great political system or what!
> >
> > Larry Rogers
> >
> > --
> > Lawrence Rogers
> > Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4091
> >
> > Re <Tokyo Stories> see http://www.ucpress.edu/books/pages/8407.html
>
>

3461. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 03:36:18 UTC
From: Pappy@UAS.net
Message-ID: <3EA75BB2.54984A27@UAS.net>
Source record: 32177

Let's get down the real business Butt Breath.

Next time your rotten butt is in big trouble who the hell are you going to call?

Remember, your the one bashing the cops.

As far as morons go, your the one that brought this bull shit into this group
and wanted the readers to cry for you.

Stand up and at the least act like a man. Take you ticket and pay it, stop the
damn crying.

Ignorance of the law is no excuse.

RedXIII wrote:

> No he harrassed me because he's an arrogant pig that thinks he's above the
> law, but I know the law and I called him on it. He can talk all the shit he
> want's but the law in New Jersey that made it illegal to have a scanner in
> your car was repealed in 1995 if not earlier. He's nothing but a goose
> stepping KKKop that will embarass himself if he fucks with me.
>
> --
> Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought
> to you by the greatest country on Earth.
>
> Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!
>
> God Bless The U.S.A.!
>
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html
>
> (Re-worded entirely)
> The following is a list of morons.
> That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
> what they've done or what they said,
> it's all been equally moronic.
>
> The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
>
> The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
> gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
> Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
> top)
>
> Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -

> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
> around)
> banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Fuck you and you threats)
> Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to
> tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
> Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
> for listening to Rock & Roll)
> Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
> Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
> MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
> Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
> GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
> Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden /
> David Koresh Crossbreed)
> M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
> A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
> Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
> Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
> Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
> pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
> dictator Saddam Hussein)
> Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
> Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
> Iraqi Information Minister)
> banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
> claims with credible sources)
> gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
> comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
> Saddam.)
> Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe

> Newsgroup Moderator)
> Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
> opinions from Hollywood talking heads)
>
> The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
> rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige
> though.)

3462. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 03:38:02 UTC
From: "\"Q\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <u_Ipa.151955$0X.32477924@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32178
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.19103b34146dbbb4989a76@news.newsguy.com...
> In article <SB1pa.142283$0X.30004741@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
> Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> > news:b81v96psj1@news1.kornet.net...
> > >
> > > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > news:va911r5t1ev622@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > It is really going to twist your nickers, when in spite of your
> > > > protestations, he is re-elected.
> > >
> > > Hell, Slick Willy was re-elected.. and he was a proven pervert and
immoral
> > > twit.. it would not surprise me in the least if Bubya were re-elected.
For
> > > one thing, Americans are too lazy to get out and vote. What was the
> > > percentage in the last election? Something like 40%???
> >
> > On the contrary - it was one of the highest turnouts in recent history.
The
> > reason Slick Willy served two terms was because of low voter turnout
>
> It's odd that Republican strategists think low turnout helps the
> Republican vote, yet you think the opposite.

Never heard that one. The rabid libs do their best to get the highest voter
turnout they can. Unless you have a link or something regarding these
"Republican strategists"?

3463. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 03:42:41 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030423234241.19055.00000469@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 32179

>You obviously mis understood what I was saying. What I meant was, that
>through my experience, I've never heard of an officer giving someone a
>traffic ticket after the stop has been completed; i.e., after both parties
>have departed from the stop. If you don't get the ticket for something on
>the spot, durring the stop, then they shouldn't be able to issue one "after
>the fact." Just doesn't sound right to me, nor have I ever heard of it
>happening.

There are times when this is neccessary, like if an officer tickets someone for speeding and NCIC is down or the driver's state DMV isn't responding, then after the stop, it's discovered the person is driving on a suspended license. You'd be a fool to believe that he wouldn't get a ticket in the mail and an invitation to meet the local jodge.

3464. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 04:33:36 UTC
From: keith <mke65@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <l1qeavgq6m2mqoqo51fosjhs5mfnaam6vo@4ax.com>
Source record: 32180

amen,

this is not worthy of a lawyer. it is cut and dry. go to a judge if
this dickwad tries to cite you and most likely his write up will be
crap and illegible and the judge will throw it out based on that not
to mention the law. cops for the most part have an education level of
12 and that is it. not too bright. this one is no exception.

keith
On Wed, 23 Apr 2003 06:54:54 -0400, Mark <marks@nospam.org> wrote:

>On Tue, 22 Apr 2003 23:48:25 GMT, "Steve Fleckenstein"
><spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net> wrote:
>
>>get a good lawyer and deal with it
>
>What is with you people and all the "get a lawyer" crap? This guy already did
>enough research and can probably recite these laws more accurately than any
>lawyer can. It's very straightforward. The law was not written for just
>lawyers to deal with - it was written so anyone can deal with it.
>
>Go to the station and raise a little hell - only if you are confident you are
>in the right. Jeez....

3465. Re: Recommend an LNA (Mast mount) to go with AOR DA3000 (Grove ANT11)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 04:37:47 UTC
From: "K.chattenton" <k.chattenton@btopenwold.com>
Message-ID: <b87pmq$e7g$1@hercules.btinternet.com>
Source record: 32181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi once again John, all,
"John" <johnfofawn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d89364bd.0304221730.635c62d1@posting.google.com...
> "K.chattenton" <k.chattenton@btopenwold.com> wrote in message
news:<b84072> I still need a pre-amp. Do you know of one that covers 25 Mhz
to 2
> GHz?
>
> Thanks,
> John
>
Sorry, I don't know of any really good low noise (that's the most important
bit) preamps that cover that range John.
You could try; 'Down East Microwaves' and see what they have on their web
site, cheers and good luck.....Ken, G4KIR.

> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.474 / Virus Database: 272 - Release Date: 18/04/2003

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.474 / Virus Database: 272 - Release Date: 18/04/2003

3466. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 05:20:07 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030424012007.02146.00000499@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 32182

>You can call his supervisor, but keep in mind, these people stand
>behind each other, right or wrong.

You've obviously never spent any time at all as a New Orleans police officer. The rank doesn't stand behind their troops, they stand *on top* of them, if it'll make points. There are exceptions, to be sure, but don't bank on it.

>Vague, broadly defined charges, like
>disorderly conduct allow, the cops to make the law as they go.

Yes, I can see a policeman in front of the screening unit at the District Attorney's Office 'making the law' while trying to arrange for the DA to prosecute the case. Yeah, right.

>Be wise to their
>game of, "I'm going to take you to jail, unless you admit to something
>I can take you to jail for".

Wow...you really must have had some *interesting* experiences with law enforcement, to get you spouting this sort of stuff.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3467. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 05:24:13 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vaet7n4gik90f4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32183

What is with you folks? Why all the animosity towards the police. They are enforcing YOUR laws. Then to state that people with just a high school education, all border on the illiterate level. is really supercilious.

I get really disgusted with people that make a mountain out of a molehill.

He did not have his seatbelt on, this started the chain of events. His obnoxious attitude pushed him down the slipper slope.

Next he comes in here with tears as big as horse turds rolling down both cheeks. A little consideration for a fellow human would have gone a long way towards preventing the problem.

He is a rectal orifice and getting exactly what he deserves. Your mileage may vary.

Note to Keith, people in glass houses... R.E. Education

"keith" <mke65@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:l1qeavgq6m2mqoqo51fosjhs5mfnaam6vo@4ax.com...
> amen,
>
> this is not worthy of a lawyer. it is cut and dry. go to a judge if
> this dickwad tries to cite you and most likely his write up will be
> crap and illegible and the judge will throw it out based on that not
> to mention the law. cops for the most part have an education level of
> 12 and that is it. not too bright. this one is no exception.
>
> keith

3468. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 05:24:59 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030424012459.02146.00000500@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 32184

>If you don't get the ticket for something on
>the spot, durring the stop, then they shouldn't be able to issue one "after
>the fact." Just doesn't sound right to me, nor have I ever heard of it
>happening.

It happens in Louisiana. As a matter of fact, I've issued 'after the fact' citations myself, not that I wanted to. This is usually done after a traffic accident, invariably with injury or substantial property damage.

The investigation is reviewed by higher authority and *usually* State Police officials, and it's not uncommon for an officer to be ordered to issue a citation when one wasn't issued at the time of the initial incident. Sometimes, individuals are hospitalized and it's not very nice to cite injured parties.

In other instances, facts come to light during the course of the investigation...witnesses sometimes come forward, or additional information or physical evidence is retrieved, that makes issuing a citation mandatory.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3469. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 05:30:53 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030424013053.02146.00000501@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 32185

>this is not worthy of a lawyer. it is cut and dry. go to a judge if
>this dickwad tries to cite you and most likely his write up will be
>crap and illegible and the judge will throw it out based on that not
>to mention the law. cops for the most part have an education level of
>12 and that is it. not too bright. this one is no exception.

Yes, one can tell from your splendid usage of the English language that you've obviously earned your Ph.D. in some esoteric discipline.

As for your assertion that 'most cops have an education level of 12', that's untrue, and it has been for a number of years.

More and more departments require that *recruits* hold university degrees, just to get through the application process.

Of course, in tiny municipal or some county departments, the standards are invariably lower, but even then you might be surprised.

As for your recto-cranial inversion problem, I humbly suggest that you seek immediate professional assistance <g>.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3470. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 05:50:10 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vaeumgasokrme3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32186

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
news:TfacnUPaq4r-0zqjXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> "Justin Time" <yooww2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:vaeels691nulb9@corp.supernews.com...
> > I have never had any of the problems with cops that you guys describe.
> > Gee, I wonder why they pick on you?
>
> Do you by chance kiss their goose stepping asses?

You are an angry little fellow. I think you better double up on your meds.

3471. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 06:39:18 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qELpa.138514$JI.34087258@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32187

<Pappy@UAS.net> wrote in message news:3EA75BB2.54984A27@UAS.net...
> Let's get down the real business Butt Breath.
>
> Next time your rotten butt is in big trouble who the hell are you going to
call?
>
> Remember, your the one bashing the cops.
>
> As far as morons go, your the one that brought this bull shit into this
group
> and wanted the readers to cry for you.
>
> Stand up and at the least act like a man. Take you ticket and pay it,
stop the
> damn crying.
>
> Ignorance of the law is no excuse.

Do you know ALL the laws? Hell no! Nobody does, not even judges. The laws are used to make EVERYONE who doesn't kiss government asses criminals! Do you obey ALL the laws ALL the time? NO! Guess you're a criminal too.
DeWayne

3472. Re: Asshole C*P

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 08:42:19 UTC
From: RamDog <PathOfTheRamDog@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <mWWdnVOQKO0OPzqjXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 32188

>> Notes taken at the scene BY YOU have as much weight in court as Officer
>> Nastie's.

>Credibility, though ... now that's another matter!

If credibility is an issue, then there may be something else going on.

3473. Re: Movie crew in the house

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 09:22:59 UTC
From: RamDog <PathOfTheRamDog@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Gp-cnamKi7-_MTqjXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 32189

> I suppose it's a good excuse to shift to computer control. (Got the
> discriminator output jacked, but I haven't tried monitoring MDT traffic
> yet. Probably all encrypted by now, wah! Maybe they use double ROT-13
> encryption?)

Don't think so.

Some of us whose hobby is poking around the RF spectrum to explore what is out there have been trying to make this point about a number of widely used rf links for many many years. Nice to see the issue hit something a bit more mainstream.

Most of the MDTs used by police departments are not encrypted in any meaningful sense, all the complexity of their signal formats is there to provide error correction and detection and efficient use of the radio spectrum. VHF and UHF rf links to moving vehicles are prone to both high error rates and bursts of errors (fades) and both MDT and pager protocols were designed to use very heavy (rate 1/2 or more) forward error correction and interleaving to help cope with this. This and the synchronous transmission mode used to reduce overall bandwidth make MDT signals complex and non-standard by comparison with simple ASCII async start stop stuff.

And some of the providers were silly enough to sell customers on the "security" of their systems by trying to convince them that the error correcting, interleaved, randomized (scrambled, for better signal statistics, not security), signals were so complex that nobody would be able to figure them out.

But if you think that police MDTs running in the open are somewhat shocking, you should also realize that virtually all pager traffic, including email sent via pager systems, is also completely unencrypted and transmitted using protocols designed for robustness in high error environments rather than security. And software for monitoring pagers with a scanner has also been widely circulated around the net for several years. Included is the capability to target particular pagers or monitor everything on the channel and grep for interesting traffic.

And the Mobitex protocol used by ARDIS and RAM mobile for wireless email is another example of something that is complex for error correction and robustness but has essentially no security. And software for monitoring this circulates around the net as well. ARDIS does use XORing with a 32 bit constant of the day to provide some fig leaf of security, but obviously determining the constant is trivial...
And this only scratches the surface of what can be found out in the ether and intercepted with relatively simple gear and some software ingenuity...

From: Dave Emery <die@die.com>

3474. Scanner Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 11:03:47 UTC
From: Jim Douglas <jim.douglas@genesis-software.com>
Message-ID: <nwPpa.624170$S_4.679025@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 32190

I am new to scanning, have been SW listener for a while. I want to be able to listen to local stuff in the DFW area, In particular I am in Carrollton, TX. I have been reading about the various features of scanners and feel somewhat lost?
What are some of the features that I must have? And can live without?

Thanks,

3475. Re: Movie crew in the house

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 11:37:23 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <X%Ppa.3363$Zj2.691884@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 32192

touch of pink huh? i'm assuming its a pr0n ;)

"Android Cat" <androidcat99@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:0kwpa.4781$145.491402@news20.bellglobal.com...
> There's a movie crew shooting a film "That Touch of Pink" in my building
> this week. I notice that the chief crew have radio headsets. Any guesses
> about where I should start searching for frequencies?
>
> Ron of that ilk.
>
>

3476. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 11:43:54 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030424074354.24150.00000001@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 32191

Isn't that always the way? People violate the law and then get upset when the actually get a ticket for it. They're also the same people that complain when they feel the police take too long to get to them when the call.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

3477. Dayton Jamvention 2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 12:48:02 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@nox.lemuria.org>
Message-ID: <3126bb2e944117ca3148eda5c89f229a@nox.lemuria.org>
Source record: 32193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.scanner,alt.2600

Well folks, it's that time of year again. Yes, it's time for the annual Dayton Jamvention, the largest gathering of radio jammers in the entire world! Learn more about this years events, including the world amateur television premiere showing of Deep Throat, at the Jamvention homepage http://jamvention.4t.com We hope to see, and hear you all at Jamvention 2003!

3478. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 13:00:43 UTC
From: HankG <none@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <lSudnS7nsYOZfTqjXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 32194
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"me" <me@aol.com> wrote in message news:9366ACCDEnospamorg@216.168.3.44...
> Well 1st off these add-ons are all junk, i.e. you have to yell at the
phone
> and the user on the other end sounds like crap on them! 2nd they only send
> for about 2 feet so if your scanner could do FM you would not hear it.
>
> me
>
> Swampthing@mac.com (Swampthing) wrote in
> <220320031800312164%Swampthing@mac.com>:
>
> >
> >Watching all those interesting commercials for hands-free operation of
> >cell phones these days makes me wonder. They tell you to just tune
> >into a certain station on your FM dial, and you'll hear your
> >conversation perfectly... If that is the case, shouldn't it also be
> >easy for a scanner to pick up that same FM signal? Has anyone tried
> >this? Any idea what freqs are they using?

Probably one of the UNUSED freqs in your area.
> >
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.474 / Virus Database: 272 - Release Date: 4/18/03

3479. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 13:21:40 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vafp6do6f9ku08@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32195

"James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20030424012459.02146.00000500@mb-m01.aol.com...
> >If you don't get the ticket for something on
> >the spot, durring the stop, then they shouldn't be able to issue one
"after
> >the fact." Just doesn't sound right to me, nor have I ever heard of it
> >happening.
>
> It happens in Louisiana. As a matter of fact, I've issued 'after the
fact'
> citations myself, not that I wanted to. This is usually done after a
traffic
> accident, invariably with injury or substantial property damage.
>
> The investigation is reviewed by higher authority and *usually* State
Police
> officials, and it's not uncommon for an officer to be ordered to issue a
> citation when one wasn't issued at the time of the initial incident.
> Sometimes, individuals are hospitalized and it's not very nice to cite
injured
> parties.
>
> In other instances, facts come to light during the course of the
> investigation...witnesses sometimes come forward, or additional
information or
> physical evidence is retrieved, that makes issuing a citation mandatory.
>

OK, apparently it is possible, even though it still doesn't sound right to
me. In any case, thanks for explaining it a bit better. Far nicer to read
then some of the useless and completely rude comments others seem so eager
to dish out. Really makes them look likes asses... :)

PH

3480. FS: 80CH UHF PORTABLES - REDUCED!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 13:47:05 UTC
From: David & Daphne Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <blqfav87v2glohbo9aeael4fsmt5rq8pm2@4ax.com>
Source record: 32127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

(2) Midland Model 70-255B 80ch *UHF* SCAN 2/5watt 450-470mhz,
(2) Model 70-C06 Rapid Chargers, (2) Leather Cases with belt-loop ,
(2) Model 70-M188 Lapel Spkr-mics with clip on back,
(4) 70-B34 High Capacity Batteries 7.5v @ 1800mha!!
2- NEW-IN BOX, 2- Used approx. 40hrs
(2) stubby UHF antennas.

All items are in excellent to perfect condition rates 9-10 or better. Org. boxes and instructions for chargers. Radios are "FULLY PROGRAMABLE" and include options like a back-lit display and priority function. Full spec sheet included. Radios are fully functional "except" the DTMF pad of which is only decorative cover. Ch's 1-3 are on repeater freqs while Ch 4-11 are on "talk-around" or "simplex" freqs. Multi-digital pics avail. Perfect for hunting, fishing, security and many other applications.

$150USD + shipping (Org price $200USD)

3481. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 13:47:14 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vafqs3do13df62@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32196

"James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20030424012007.02146.00000499@mb-m01.aol.com...
> >Be wise to their
> >game of, "I'm going to take you to jail, unless you admit to something
> >I can take you to jail for".
>
> Wow...you really must have had some *interesting* experiences with law
> enforcement, to get you spouting this sort of stuff.
>

While I generally agree with most everything you write, James, I have to go with the other party on this one, especially when it relates to the Michigan State police. On occasion, I personally believe that the MSP are worse criminals than the criminals themselves. If they can't pin something on you, they make up laws that don't exist, or they twist and contort the laws that do exist so they fit a situation, etc.

Case in Point:

Situation: Five (5) lane hi-way. (Two lanes each way with a turning lane in the middle), 55MPH zone. Evening hour, (it was dark out) on a stretch of hi-way with no lights of any kind (street lights, etc.). Person was heading West, officer heading East. Person not speeding, and was traveling in the outside (closest to the shoulder) lane. Officer whips around and pulls this person over. Goes through the usual routine of this and that. Person asks why they were pulled over. Officer refuses to answer the question. Officer takes the paper work bad to his vehicle and runs everything. Everything checks out, and he returns to the car. Person again asks why he was pulled over. Officer tells him he was pulled over because he "has a faulty left front tire".

Yup, that cop was able to tell that this person had a faulty front tire, in the dark, three lanes away from each other, and going in opposite directions, each at or near 55MPH... Son of a bitch must have infrared binocular vision, let me tell you! Nope, it was just another case of harassment by the MSP. They didn't have a real reason to pull this person over, so they made something up after the stop... A fairly common occurrence when it comes to them. Lying is a BIG part of their job apparently, as is harassment...

BTW, no, this "person" was not me. It was someone I know, however, I too have had my fair share of run-ins with these people. Corruption run rampant in the MSP!

PH

3482. FS: 16CH *UHF* PORTABLE - REDUCED!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 13:50:54 UTC
From: David & Daphne Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <2tqfav02f45ipdphrliutgcjtklpbr67k6@4ax.com>
Source record: 32128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Midland 70-254B 16ch UHF - SCAN - 5watt 410-470mhz
****
"USER DTMF PROGRAMABLE" No programmer needed!! User programmable !!!
****
(1) Model 70- C11A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) Model 70-B24 13.2V 450mah battery (30hr use only)
(1) Programming spec sheet

All items are in excellent to perfect condition rates 9-10 or better.
The only flaw is one of the switch rubber covers is "cut" (see pic)

Org. box for radio avail. Has back-lit display and priority function.
Full program spec sheet avail. Radio is fully functional.

Multi-digital pics avail. Email for questions or comments.
Asking price $150USD + shipping (Org price $200USD)

3483. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 14:45:25 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030424104525.06209.00000013@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 32097

>BTW, no, this "person" was not me. It was someone I know, however, I too
>have had my fair share of run-ins with these people. Corruption run rampant
>in the MSP!

They sound like they need some professional oversight. I was with the NOPD when they were the essence of corruption; they became the first (and I believe still the only) municipal police department in America to have an FBI Special Agent assigned full-time to the Public Integrity Division.

As for not having a 'real reason' for the stop, it was possible that the Officer might have been radioed a description of a wanted subject, and the person you mention, might have matched the description. But, the Officer should have had the professionalism to let the individual know that, before leaving, since apparently no arrest was made, or even a citation issued.

Then again, there are *far* too many screwballs running around with badges, most of them harmless, some of them a fatality looking for a scene.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3484. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 14:48:56 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030424104856.06209.00000015@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 32098

>OK, apparently it is possible, even though it still doesn't sound right to
>me. In any case, thanks for explaining it a bit better. Far nicer to read
>then some of the useless and completely rude comments others seem so eager
>to dish out. Really makes them look likes asses... :)

LOL...agreed! Some other poster mentioned communications system failures, and that, too, is a big problem. Criminal databases *do* fail, and often, especially when systems are down due to weekly NCIC 'purges', etc., and it's a pain to deal with, particularly if you're dealing with an obvious nutcase.

When I was an Officer, I always tried to err on the side of caution, not to mention 'common courtesy', and it usually paid dividends. Not always, of course <g>.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3485. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 15:01:03 UTC
From: Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu>
Message-ID: <v3ednbcKxsG9YTqjXTWcqw@bway.net>
Source record: 32099
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <b87j6e$ap3$1@news.hawaii.edu>, rogers@Hawaii.Edu says...
>
>
>In article <ELKdnV0oBKqdCTijXTWcrg@bway.net>,
>Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote:
>>Would somebody please tell me what this war was all about? Does anyone know?
>
>That's really a multiple choice question, and the possible answers are
>It was about:
>
>(a) oil
>(b) diverting attention from a failing economy
>(c) saving Israel's bacon
>(d) testing some dandy new weapons
>(e) all of the above
>
Most glaringly not on your list: Weapons of Mass Destruction and disarmament of Iraq. Because of course, there never were and are not now any WMDs.

Also not on your list: Ego. And the need to remove the yoke from the suffering Iraqi people. And if you believe that was a reason, I have a nice bridge for sale.

But actually, while all of the above is probably correct, none of these is reason enough for rational people. There is something truly evil going on here and it's not in the Axis of Evil..

3486. Re: Antenna for the office

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 15:22:51 UTC
From: fccinfo@fcc.gov
Message-ID: <93677F2B9FCC@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 32100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

To easy http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT22.html
price ain't bad ether :-)

George

reply.to@group (A) wrote in <7J2dnRbAMY7gy_GjXTWc-g@speakeasy.net>:

>I want to purchase/build an antenna to use in my office scanner. I'm on
>the first floor of my building. I'd prefer something that Radio Shack
>carries or I can build myself, as my BC250D came without an antenna (it
>won't arrive for a while I'm betting). I mostly need 800 mhz trunking.
>(~860 mhz) Infact that alone would be enough for me. There is a real
>ham store, but I don't want to deal with the crummy Seattle traffic to
>get to HamMall or ABC Communications. If they have something that would
>be "perfect" for a reasonable price, then I'll go for it.
>
>The only other things I am interested in (having these would be nice,
>but not a deal breaker):
>150-158 mhz
>~450 mhz (more important than 150)
>
>Thompson

3487. New 250D/785D Software Available!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 16:09:19 UTC
From: Pozilla Software <support.xxx.no.spam@pozillasoft.com>
Message-ID: <P_Tpa.149914$lt5.35547@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 32101
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

WinScanDP, programming software for the BC250D and the 785D is now available for sale and immediate download from our website. The software features a very user friendly interface and many features not found on other software. The price of the software is $24.95.

For more information on WinScanDP, please visit our website at http://www.pozillasoft.com

Thank you.

Warmest Regards,

Steve
Pozilla Software

One last note - We would like to thank all of the people on Usenet who signed up and assisted us during the beta test of the software. While not all of you were selected for the beta test, those that were selected were very helpful in testing the software, catching bugs, and making suggestions on making the software better. Again, thank you very much!

3488. Career in Air Traffic Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 17:20:34 UTC
From: kevinjdelaney@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <bb7f6f5a.0304240920.35c38c4d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 32102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi All
Would anyone have any resources for becoming an air traffic
controller? Anything at all would be beneficial..

Thanks
Kevin

3489. Re: Career in Air Traffic Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 17:35:31 UTC
From: Mike Smith <mike@realtimetesting.com>
Message-ID: <1v7gav06s66ri4niam8h6ppb6mnrld8gm6@4ax.com>
Source record: 32103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If you are in the US then www.faa.gov if you are in the UK then
www.nats.co.uk

For a Forum with mainly UK ATC guys in then go here
http://www.pprune.org/forums/forumdisplay.php?s=666ce440a4563efeddf3fa6ec9705c0f&forumid=1
8

Hope this starts you off ok.

On 24 Apr 2003 10:20:34 -0700, kevinjdelaney@hotmail.com
(kevindelaney) wrote:

>Hi All
> Would anyone have any resources for becoming an air traffic
>controller? Anything at all would be beneficial..
>
>Thanks
>Kevin

3490. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 18:00:43 UTC
From: Marylou@city.net
Message-ID: <3EA8264B.956C0BB4@city.net>
Source record: 32104

Mark wrote:

> On 24 Apr 2003 11:43:54 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:
>
> >Isn't that always the way? People violate the law and then get upset when the
> >actually get a ticket for it.
>
> Go read it again. He DIDN'T violate any scanner law. That's the whole
> problem.

So he didn't violate NJ law, and he "DIDN'T" get a ticket.

So what's the problem?

So he got stopped, drivers get stopped every day.
That tells me the police are doing what we pay them to do.

3491. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 18:43:12 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030424144312.25654.00000013@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 32106

>Go read it again. He DIDN'T violate any scanner law. That's the whole
>problem.

I seem to remember a few comments about the seatbelt ticket.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

3492. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 18:43:46 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030424144346.25654.00000014@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 32105

>So he didn't violate NJ law, and he "DIDN'T" get a ticket.
>
>So what's the problem?
>
>So he got stopped, drivers get stopped every day.
>That tells me the police are doing what we pay them to do.

Actually, I think he got a ticket for the seatbelt.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

3493. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 19:01:22 UTC
From: "\"Q\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <6wWpa.153578$0X.33122783@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32107
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Morphed again moron? Why insist on using my nym, even when I change it?

""Q"" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message
news:40643d10.369252877@news.Q.everywhere...
> On Wed, 23 Apr 2003 23:07:52 -0400, "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr"
<w4jle@charter.net> wrote:
>
> >No bias on your part is there?
>
> The events speak for themselves.
> Bush thought he could waltz in, destroy Saddam and everyone would think he
was a hero.
> Now he can't install a puppet because the majority of Iraqis have their
own ideas about how they want to be ruled.
> Democracy isn't one of them.
> Bush will never be re elected.
>
>
> >
> >
> >"Lawrence Rogers" <rogers@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
> >news:b87j6e$ap3$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> >> In article <ELKdnV0oBKqdCTijXTWcrg@bway.net>,
> >> Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote:
> >> >Would somebody please tell me what this war was all about? Does anyone
> >know?
> >>
> >> That's really a multiple choice question, and the possible answers are
> >> It was about:
> >>
> >> (a) oil
> >> (b) diverting attention from a failing economy
> >> (c) saving Israel's bacon
> >> (d) testing some dandy new weapons
> >> (e) all of the above
> >>
> >> > But why did we smash up the place in the first place?
> >>
> >> See above. (I prefer (e) myself.
> >>
> >> >You and I will pay to put it back together.
> >>
> >> Yeah, but our buddies at Halliburton and Bechtel will put our tax money

to
> >> good use. Boola Boola Boy will need big bucks if he expects to get
> >re-elected
> >> in 2004. Is this a great political system or what!
> >>
> >> Larry Rogers
> >>
> >> --
> >> Lawrence Rogers
> >> Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4091
> >>
> >> Re <Tokyo Stories> see http://www.ucpress.edu/books/pages/8407.html
> >
>

3494. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 19:15:03 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vagdtegel2ht3e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32108
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

While I had not realized that you and Miss Cleo were related, I have been known to partake in a friendly wager.

What say you?

""Q"" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message news:40643d10.369252877@news.Q.everywhere...
> On Wed, 23 Apr 2003 23:07:52 -0400, "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote:
>
> >No bias on your part is there?
snip
> Bush will never be re elected.

3495. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 19:16:52 UTC
From: "\"Q\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <EKWpa.153588$0X.33133421@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32109
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote

> Most glaringly not on your list: Weapons of Mass Destruction and
disarmament of
> Iraq. Because of course, there never were and are not now any WMDs.

You people kill me. You are the same folks that were screaming about giving the UN weapons inspectors more time, and now, you expect some instant discovery of the things. If you wanted to give the UN inspectors more time, why not show OUR inspectors the same?

Curious...

3496. Re: Career in Air Traffic Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 19:42:38 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <s1fgavcspr5f4dfb5hg0v8ce629rd4ppq0@4ax.com>
Source record: 32110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

AMEN, and I hope he gets started soon.

Remember the famous PATCO strike where Ronald Reagan fired all the striking controllers? Well, most of the folks who came on board after that strike are nearing retirement. There is going to be a HUGE need for replacement air traffic controllers in the next several years. The opportunity for advancement is certainly there.

As one of the many pilots out there at the other end of the radar beam, I'd like to wish Kevin the best of luck and I hope he succeeds.

73,

Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ FME
Amateur Radio Station AB3A

3497. Re: Career in Air Traffic Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 21:48:29 UTC
From: Mike Smith <mike@realtimetesting.com>
Message-ID: <cqmgav0788bklj334jg4uiq4de1en6hrnm@4ax.com>
Source record: 32111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Me too.

I used to do the job here in the UK in the Royal Air Force, i now
work on the Engineering side of the ATC tube designing new systems.

On Thu, 24 Apr 2003 15:42:38 -0400, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
wrote:

>AMEN, and I hope he gets started soon.
>
>Remember the famous PATCO strike where Ronald Reagan fired all the
>striking controllers? Well, most of the folks who came on board after
>that strike are nearing retirement. There is going to be a HUGE need
>for replacement air traffic controllers in the next several years.
>The opportunity for advancement is certainly there.
>
>As one of the many pilots out there at the other end of the radar
>beam, I'd like to wish Kevin the best of luck and I hope he succeeds.
>
>73,
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
>PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ FME
>Amateur Radio Station AB3A

3498. Re: New 250D/785D Software Available!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 22:14:07 UTC
From: JT <blowme@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <PkZpa.629217$S_4.680819@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 32112
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Let's see: great support on the last bit of software? NO! Any updates on the original 780 software as promised? No!

Screw you.

JT
"Pozilla Software" <support.xxx.no.spam@pozillasoft.com> wrote in message news:P_Tpa.149914$It5.35547@news2.central.cox.net...
> WinScanDP, programming software for the BC250D and the 785D is now available
> for sale and immediate download from our website. The software features a
> very user friendly interface and many features not found on other software.
> The price of the software is $24.95.
>
> For more information on WinScanDP, please visit our website at
> http://www.pozillasoft.com
>
> Thank you.
>
> Warmest Regards,
>
> Steve
> Pozilla Software
>
> One last note - We would like to thank all of the people on Usenet who
> signed up and assisted us during the beta test of the software. While not
> all of you were selected for the beta test, those that were selected were
> very helpful in testing the software, catching bugs, and making suggestions
> on making the software better. Again, thank you very much!
>
>

3499. Re: Recommend an LNA (Mast mount) to go with AOR DA3000 (Grove ANT11)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 22:31:12 UTC
From: Boudewijn de Best <Boudewijn.deBest@zonnet.nl>
Message-ID: <pBZpa.18017$Wd5.2512491@zonnet-reader-1>
Source record: 32113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm working with an LNA-3000 and it works fantastic. Freq. range 50 - 3000 MHz. It is also very good low noise. I've mounted it in the mast direct under the antennas.

Succes
Boudewijn
The Netherlands

3500. Re: Career in Air Traffic Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 22:33:17 UTC
From: gg <gg@net.com>
Message-ID: <3EA8662C.F5817683@net.com>
Source record: 32114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A lot of the the people they take are ex military ATCers.

kevindelaney wrote:

> Hi All
> Would anyone have any resources for becoming an air traffic
> controller? Anything at all would be beneficial..
>
> Thanks
> Kevin

3501. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-24 23:52:28 UTC
From: rogers@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <b89tbsrmv1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 32115
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <v3ednbcKxsG9YTqjXTWcqw@bway.net>,
Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote:

>But actually, while all of the above is probably correct, none of these is
>reason enough for rational people. There is something truly evil going on
>here and it's not in the Axis of Evil..

George Bush evil?! Impossible!! He speaks English.

L. Rogers

--
Lawrence Rogers
Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4091

Re <Tokyo Stories> see http://www.ucpress.edu/books/pages/8407.html

3502. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 00:00:23 UTC
From: rogers@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <b89tqn$s4l$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 32116
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <EKWpa.153588$0X.33133421@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
\"Q\" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote:
>"Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote
>
>> Most glaringly not on your list: Weapons of Mass Destruction and
>disarmament of
>> Iraq. Because of course, there never were and are not now any WMDs.
>
>You people kill me. You are the same folks that were screaming about giving
>the UN weapons inspectors more time, and now, you expect some instant
>discovery of the things. If you wanted to give the UN inspectors more time,
>why not show OUR inspectors the same?

Come, come, you know the answer to that. You can't have the fox counting the chickens in the henhouse. It's very much in Bush's interest to 'find' weapons of mass destruction because that was the reason -- well, ok -- the main reason for invading Iraq. And to put the ugly truth simply, the UN is more trustworthy than the Bush administration.

L. Rogers

--
Lawrence Rogers
Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4091

Re <Tokyo Stories> see http://www.ucpress.edu/books/pages/8407.html

3503. Scannocular and Trunker Re: How To Open Scannocular ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 00:39:20 UTC
From: ve7ggj@rac.nospam.ca
Message-ID: <11157836ROBOTLX@198.80.55.250>
Source record: 32117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> Does anyone know the trick to opening
> the scanner case on a scannocular?
>

Scannoculars are a nice inexpensive 800MHz-capable receiver
that can be used as the control channel receiver
with TRUNKER. (Why dedicate an expensive scanner?)

I picked mine up for $27CA on clearance at the Tillicum
London Drugs here in Victoria, BC on April 21. The advertised price
was $49, but if you ask, you can get them for less.

Here is the procedure for installing a discriminator tap
into a Scannoculars receiver.

Remove the screws holding the binoculars in place.
Set the binoculars aside.

Remove the battery door and batteries.
Remove the 4 screws holding the case together.

With the 4 screws removed, and the ring taken off the
BNC connector, you need to push in 4 release catches
as you prise the black shell and orange shell apart.

Start with the catch that is dead center at the
battery door end of the unit. With this one released
and the shell partly released, move to the releases
on the left and right sides which are exactly 4 cm
from the bottom corner on the bnc connector side and
4.5cm on the volume control side.

Finally, pop the catch which is dead center at the
top and it should open right up. There are no
wires connected to the black shell, so it can be
set aside easily.

The circuit board is copyright 1999 Uniden,
for those who want to know.

Remove the 3 screws indicated by arrows on the circuit board.

Gently pull away the top circuit board, being aware
that there is a 20 pin connector located in the
top corner nearest the volume control.

Turn the board over carefully so as not to damage
the power cable and note the black ooze covering
most of the interesting bits.

Between the 20 pin connector and the volume control
you will see IC2--a 16 pin surface mount IC labelled MC3361CD.

Checking my handy discriminator chip guide (courtesy Bill C.)
we find MC-3361 is a commonly used discriminator chip.
We want to tap Pin 9 for baseband audio.

Viewing the chip from above, look for a dot or line at
one end. Orient the chip so the dot/line is farthest
from you.

Pin 1 is just left of the dot/line in the corner position.
Count the pins off as you move counter-clockwise around the chip.

You will find Pin 9 in the corner diagonally opposite pin 1.

Follow the circuit board traces from Pin 9 and try to locate
a place away from critical components to place your tap.
Solder directly onto IC2 as a last resort.

If you look for TP6 there is a tiny dot of solder
a millimeter towards the battery compartment from it.
You should be able to follow the circuit tracing from
the solder point back to IC2 Pin 9 to confirm you
have the right location.
This is a good place to put the tap.

You will also need to find a nearby ground for
your shielded wire and figure out how to externalise
the connection given the limited space inside your scannoculars.

If anyone wants a photo of IC2 and TP6, just email me.

rac.ca
@
ve7ggj

3504. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 00:49:16 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b89uoqqqu1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 32118
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Lawrence Rogers" <rogers@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:b89tbsrmv1@news.hawaii.edu...
> George Bush evil?! Impossible!! He speaks English.

Well, sort of.. ROFL!

3505. Re: TAC CHANNEL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 00:53:37 UTC
From: Ken Harris <k5kdi@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <vah1qm2ge37r7d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32119

i am in odessa,tx.....

Ken.
"Elephant" <elephant@the-zoo.com> wrote in message
news:3EA40F57.1D1@the-zoo.com...
> Ken Harris wrote:
> >
> > my area police keep talking about a tactical channel on the scanner, and
i
> > cant find it..... anyone have any idea how i could get the freq. that my
> > area uses??? and they have a "secure" button on the base radio, any idea
how
> > i might hear them when they flip the "secure" channel???
> >
> > Thanks!
> > k5kdi@cableone.net
>
>
> Ken,
> You might want to let us know what city you are in.
> It's difficult to give you any info when there are
> so many police departments and they all have different
> channel assignments.

3506. Re: TAC CHANNEL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 00:58:34 UTC
From: Ken Harris <k5kdi@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <vah23v85n0l249@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32120

all i get is "o rows retrieved" maybe i am not doing something correct....

Ken.
"Steve" <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org> wrote in message
news:kWWoa.225378$S14.7352@news1.central.cox.net...
> You should check the FCC database of your town at
>
> http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
>
> Pay close attention to the service code of PW. Also the TAC channel might
> be a
> repeater out put frequency, but at at low power.
>
>
> "Ken Harris" <k5kdi@cableone.net> wrote in message
> news:va81cdlno4r23d@corp.supernews.com...
> > my area police keep talking about a tactical channel on the scanner, and
i
> > cant find it..... anyone have any idea how i could get the freq. that my
> > area uses??? and they have a "secure" button on the base radio, any idea
> how
> > i might hear them when they flip the "secure" channel???
> >
> > Thanks!
> > k5kdi@cableone.net
> >
> >
>
>

3507. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 01:02:52 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vah29ko2kv3j5f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32121
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

The very same inspectors that tipped of Sadaam where they were going next? Get a grip, if you trust that pack more than Bush, you have serious problems that can't be solved here.

In short, you would rather Bush look bad, than protect the US. You Sir are an airhead...

"Lawrence Rogers" <rogers@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:b89tqn$s4l$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> In article <EKWpa.153588$0X.33133421@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
> \"Q\" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote:
> >"Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote
> >
> >> Most glaringly not on your list: Weapons of Mass Destruction and
> >disarmament of
> >> Iraq. Because of course, there never were and are not now any WMDs.
> >
> >You people kill me. You are the same folks that were screaming about
giving
> >the UN weapons inspectors more time, and now, you expect some instant
> >discovery of the things. If you wanted to give the UN inspectors more
time,
> >why not show OUR inspectors the same?
>
> Come, come, you know the answer to that. You can't have the fox counting
the
> chickens in the henhouse. It's very much in Bush's interest to 'find'
> weapons of mass destruction because that was the reason -- well, ok -- the
> main reason for invading Iraq. And to put the ugly truth simply, the UN
is
> more trustworthy than the Bush administration.
>
> L. Rogers
>
> --
> Lawrence Rogers
> Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4091
>
> Re <Tokyo Stories> see http://www.ucpress.edu/books/pages/8407.html

3508. How can I find Data terminal Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 01:10:03 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vah2n25v5p8mb5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32122

Can anyone give me a hint as to where the mobile data terminals receive? I have searched the 800 MHz band and I either do not recognize the signal or missed it. The system for normal communications is a Motorola Trunking System here in Beaufort, SC.

Thanks for any hints.

3509. For Sale 780Xlt and Software package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 01:33:14 UTC
From: see-signature@nospam.net
Message-ID: <3ea89043.103276944@news.east.cox.net>
Source record: 32124

For Sale:
Uniden 780xlt

Radio is in excellent condition and has a discriminator output connector(RCA). Comes with all original packaging and manual.

I will also include a CD with WinScan, AFS 780, Police Call 2003 and computer to radio cable...

.jpg available

$250
Jeff.
Rhode Island
jad1@cox.net

3510. Re: Career in Air Traffic Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 01:33:23 UTC
From: cathexis@yourhaterols.com
Message-ID: <3ea88fbd.41320357@news.starpower.net>
Source record: 32123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What kind of salaries do they make ??
And are they real lGov't employees (FRS or CSRS)
and not some contract hires like the Programmers, etc.
that Commerce and other Depts. use ?
I'm already a Gov't worker in non-related area.

Andrew

Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

3511. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 01:34:06 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vah42bonehrs8e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32125
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:vah29ko2kv3j5f@corp.supernews.com...
> The very same inspectors that tipped of Sadaam where they were going next?
> Get a grip, if you trust that pack more than Bush, you have serious
problems
> that can't be solved here.
>
> In short, you would rather Bush look bad, than protect the US. You Sir are
> an airhead...
>

An airhead, yes. More precisely, he does not care about Iraq, Iraqi people,
the best interest of US, terrorists, compassion, WMDs etc. All he does care
about is finding a distorted way to discredit Bush. Purely a political
tactic. The DNC etal. is angry because Clinton was a scumbag, Gore lost,
they lost in Congress and they continue to lose power.

3512. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 01:49:49 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BACE0C7C.17AC1%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32126

"Mark" wrote:
>
> Go read it again. He DIDN'T violate any scanner
> law. That's the whole problem.

He also DIDN'T get a violation relating to the scanner laws. So that isn't the "whole" problem. Instead, he got a ticket for not wearing a seat belt, which is probably what he was pulled over for. While he was pulled over, the police officer asked about the scanner. After determining no scanner related violation existed, the officer let him go with just the seat belt violation. What is the problem with that?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3513. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 02:06:45 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BACE1074.17AC3%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32129

"Mark" wrote:
>
> In this case, the cop either completely misinterpreted
> the law as written, or had no clue about it at all.
> THAT'S the gripe. (snip)

What's the gripe? He didn't get a ticket for having a scanner. Instead, he got a ticket for not wearing a seat belt. While he was being ticketed for the seat belt violation, he was also questioned about the scanner. After the officer decided no scanner violation existed, the guy went on his way with just the seat belt ticket. I'm sorry, but I just don't see anything there to gripe about.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3514. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 02:51:16 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BACE1AE4.17AC5%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32130

"Power House CB & Scanner" wrote:
>
> (snip) ...the Michigan State police. On occasion, I
> personally believe that the MSP are worse criminals
> than the criminals themselves. (snip)

Really? So, when you or your family needs help, you believe it is better to call criminals than the Michigan State police? Unless you're a criminal yourself (afraid to call the police), I seriously doubt it.

> (snip) Yup, that cop was able to tell that this person
> had a faulty front tire, in the dark, three lanes away
> from each other, and going in opposite directions, each
> at or near 55MPH... (snip)

> (snip) Corruption run rampant in the MSP! (snip)

And that story is enough make you believe the Michigan State police are "worse criminals than the criminals themselves?" Either you have lived a very sheltered life or the criminals are really terrific in Michigan.

Your friend got pulled over because of some suspicion on the part of the officer (a drug neighborhood, thought speeding, vehicle similar to a wanted vehicle, erratic driving, or whatever). After your friend checked out okay, he was allowed to go on his way. Why slander the Michigan State police over such a minor incident?

> (snip) however, I too have had my fair share of run-ins
> with these people. (snip)

Ah, perhaps that explains it. And, since you didn't tell the story of your own run-ins with the Michigan State police, perhaps you were not as innocent as the friend in the story you did tell.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3515. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 03:24:05 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <XLednY05psqTNjWjXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 32131

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BACE0C7C.17AC1%stewart@say.net...
> After determining no scanner related
> violation existed, the officer let him go with just the seat belt
violation.
> What is the problem with that?

The KKKop didn't determine anything. He claimed that it was illegal to have a scanner in my car unless I was a tow truck driver, EMT, firefighter...etc. Then he threaten to charge me with a crime in the next 30 days, but only if he felt like it. Besides him being total wrong, what kind of bullshit is that?

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey - gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people for listening to Rock & Roll)

Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden /
David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige
though.)

3516. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 03:39:14 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BACE2622.17AC7%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32132
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

""Q"" wrote:

> You people kill me. You are the same folks that were
> screaming about giving the UN weapons inspectors more
> time, and now, you expect some instant discovery of
> the things. If you wanted to give the UN inspectors
> more time, why not show OUR inspectors the same?

Let me count the ways...

1. This government, not Saddam, controls that entire country.

2. Nobody is "interfering" with our "inspections" - the reason repeatedly offered to explain why the initial inspectors did not finding anything.

3. There are several hundred thousand soldiers, not just a few inspectors, roaming all over that country with orders to report anything suspicious they find. Darn little has been reported - certainly nothing to justify an invasion.

4. Unlike the initial inspectors, those soldiers have to ability to question anyone, kick down any door, search any person or vehicle, shoot anyone who resists, in the search for these weapons. Again, darn little has been found.

5. Our government claimed they "knew" about those weapons and justified an invasion of a country based on those claims.

6. More than a hundred of our young men and women, and god knows how many people in Iraq, died, with many more on both sides injured, maimed, or crippled, as a result of that invasion. Any civilized person, who cared at all (even if only for our young men and women in uniform who died), would now expect proof all that was justified. Claims, and a few rusted cans of insecticide, is hardly that proof.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3517. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 03:59:24 UTC
From: JF <zbyte@cwnet.com>
Message-ID: <vahckm7fgo4qee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32133
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

And don't start crying about Florida - if you know anything about how the
> > electoral college works and why it exists, you know why the election
went
> > the way it did.

What does the electro college have to do with any thing that happened in
Florida? Bush stole his way in and that is that. Vote republican if you
can't read.
"USER1" <user1@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.19103b34146dbbb4989a76@news.newsguy.com...
> In article <SB1pa.142283$0X.30004741@twister.columbus.rr.com>,
> Q@Q.invalid.com says...
> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> > news:b81v96psj1@news1.kornet.net...
> > >
> > > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > > news:va911r5t1ev622@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > It is really going to twist your nickers, when in spite of your
> > > > protestations, he is re-elected.
> > >
> > > Hell, Slick Willy was re-elected.. and he was a proven pervert and
immoral
> > > twit.. it would not surprise me in the least if Bubya were re-elected.
For
> > > one thing, Americans are too lazy to get out and vote. What was the
> > > percentage in the last election? Something like 40%???
> >
> > On the contrary - it was one of the highest turnouts in recent history.
The
> > reason Slick Willy served two terms was because of low voter turnout
>
> It's odd that Republican strategists think low turnout helps the
> Republican vote, yet you think the opposite.
>
>
> - I
> > wasn't too enthralled with Dole, but he would have been the lesser of
two
> > evils, and would have surrounded himself with qualified individuals,
instead
> > of the fruitcakes that Willy called his "staff", and with no viable
> > third-party candidate, there was no point in just pissing away a vote.
Many

> > didn't show for that election for the same reason - lack of interest. On
the
> > other hand, there was allot more interest in the LAST election.
> >
> > And don't start crying about Florida - if you know anything about how
the
> > electoral college works and why it exists, you know why the election
went
> > the way it did.
> >
> >
> >

3518. Re: TAC CHANNEL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 05:26:53 UTC
From: Elephant <elephant@the-zoo.com>
Message-ID: <3EA8C71D.668E@the-zoo.com>
Source record: 32134

Ken Harris wrote:
>
> i am in odessa,tx.....
>
> Ken.

Ken, follow the link below. It will take you to a listing of the frequencies in Odessa as well as the Talk Groups. The listing also shows the TAC channels you were looking for.

Remember, when programming EDACS, enter the frequencies in the order shown.

http://www.trunkedradio.net/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=105

3519. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 05:30:50 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <eK3qa.156557$0X.33751737@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32135
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote

> ""Q"" wrote:
>
> > You people kill me. You are the same folks that were
> > screaming about giving the UN weapons inspectors more
> > time, and now, you expect some instant discovery of
> > the things. If you wanted to give the UN inspectors
> > more time, why not show OUR inspectors the same?
>
>
> Let me count the ways...
>
> 1. This government, not Saddam, controls that entire country.
Again, why the double-standard? Not EVERY citizen of Iraq is convinced that Hussein is gone for good. Then there's the matter of translation - think every soldier speaks Arabic?

> 2. Nobody is "interfering" with our "inspections" - the reason repeatedly
> offered to explain why the initial inspectors did not finding anything.
So? Why do you insist on instant results? And what makes you so certain that nothing has been found to this point? Because nothing was released to YOU? Get real.

> 3. There are several hundred thousand soldiers, not just a few inspectors,
> roaming all over that country with orders to report anything suspicious
they
> find. Darn little has been reported - certainly nothing to justify an
> invasion.

<sigh>
FIRST of all, it wasn't called "Operation Find WMDs in Iraq", now, was it? Second, and again, several thousand (not exactly) soldiers that are not proficient in Arabic, and whatever has been reported does not justify your allegation that nothing has been FOUND. If, for example, one site was found, you same people would THEN start on about how it was only one site, and make the same lame arguments. As others have stated, your only desire is to discredit the Bush administration.

> 4. Unlike the initial inspectors, those soldiers have to ability to
question
> anyone, kick down any door, search any person or vehicle, shoot anyone who
> resists, in the search for these weapons. Again, darn little has been
found.

Same answer - to the same reworded question. You're not making separate points, you're rewording the same thing over and over.

> 5. Our government claimed they "knew" about those weapons and justified an
> invasion of a country based on those claims.

<sigh>
All you can think about is your hatred for Bush, and the facts be damned. The US policy on Iraq has remained consistent, but you choose to latch on to one aspect of it, because it's the only thing you have - and again - you are not privy to what information the government has at this point. it doesn't mean they DON'T have anything.

> 6. More than a hundred of our young men and women, and god knows how many
> people in Iraq, died, with many more on both sides injured, maimed, or
> crippled, as a result of that invasion. Any civilized person, who cared at
> all (even if only for our young men and women in uniform who died), would
> now expect proof all that was justified.

The freedom of the people isn't enough for you? The idea that they can now live without the fear of torture or death? Again - you don't give a damn about those people.

> Claims, and a few rusted cans of
> insecticide, is hardly that proof.

And the government need not divulge every piece of information they have based on what YOU feel is a "timely manner".

3520. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 05:32:05 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <pL3qa.156562$0X.33752804@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32136
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"JF" <zbyte@cwnet.com> wrote

> What does the electro college have to do with any thing that happened in
> Florida? Bush stole his way in and that is that. Vote republican if you
> can't read.

lol...do you know that that particular type of ballot was designed by a
Democrat?

Go figure...

3521. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 05:36:39 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b8afjl$ak8$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 32137
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"""Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:eK3qa.156557$0X.33751737@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> The freedom of the people isn't enough for you? The idea that they can now
> live without the fear of torture or death? Again - you don't give a damn
> about those people.

The Iraqi people are NOT free. They cannot choose their own form of
government. The majority of Iraqis, the Shiia, want to have an Islamic
Theocracy. Rumsfeld and others have been on television saying "I don't see
that happening". Free people choose their own leaders, their own
government. Not what WE want.

3522. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 05:38:40 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b8afndals1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 32138
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"""Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:pL3qa.156562$0X.33752804@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "JF" <zbyte@cwnet.com> wrote
>
> > What does the electro college have to do with any thing that happened in
> > Florida? Bush stole his way in and that is that. Vote republican if
you
> > can't read.
>
> lol...do you know that that particular type of ballot was designed by a
> Democrat?
>
> Go figure...

All that means is that Democrats are idiots TOO.. neither party has a corner
on the market of being clueless.

3523. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 05:52:04 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vahj7refk1dpd0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32139
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Brenda, the form of government defined by us is a democracy. Within that framework they may pick who ever they desire.

Is your definition of freedom that the majority (the Shiia) may choose what ever form they want, to the detriment of all other Iraqi citizens?

You need to reexamine your position, the simplistic Kum-by-ya stuff does not work in a real world.

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:b8afjl$ak8$1@news1.kornet.net...
>
> """Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:eK3qa.156557$0X.33751737@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > The freedom of the people isn't enough for you? The idea that they can
now
> > live without the fear of torture or death? Again - you don't give a damn
> > about those people.
>
> The Iraqi people are NOT free. They cannot choose their own form of
> government. The majority of Iraqis, the Shiia, want to have an Islamic
> Theocracy. Rumsfeld and others have been on television saying "I don't
see
> that happening". Free people choose their own leaders, their own
> government. Not what WE want.
>
>
>

3524. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 05:54:25 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <l44qa.156650$0X.33768567@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32140
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote

> """Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:eK3qa.156557$0X.33751737@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > The freedom of the people isn't enough for you? The idea that they can
now
> > live without the fear of torture or death? Again - you don't give a damn
> > about those people.
>
> The Iraqi people are NOT free. They cannot choose their own form of
> government. The majority of Iraqis, the Shiia, want to have an Islamic
> Theocracy. Rumsfeld and others have been on television saying "I don't
see
> that happening". Free people choose their own leaders, their own
> government. Not what WE want.

Because it's NOT happening. The Shiias are being influenced by Iranians. The LAST thing that is needed is to trade one dictator for another, one oppressive regime for another. The Shiias aren't the only game in town there, and forming such a theocracy would stifle the freedom of others there - the same as Hussein did. You suggest they trade one rabid dog for another. Even you should agree that every person in Iraq should have the right to practice whatever religion they CHOOSE. You need to keep in mind that the networks will sensationalize whatever they choose. Remember - while crowds of people were gathering in Baghdad, preparing to take down that statue, an ABC anchor was saying, in a somber tone, that he only sees a handful of people. Just because a couple of demonstrations in less than a handful of towns show up is no reason to start crying about the sky falling.

3525. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 05:55:42 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <y54qa.156658$0X.33769718@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32141
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote

> """Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:pL3qa.156562$0X.33752804@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > "JF" <zbyte@cwnet.com> wrote
> >
> > > What does the electro college have to do with any thing that happened
in
> > > Florida? Bush stole his way in and that is that. Vote republican if
> you
> > > can't read.
> >
> > lol...do you know that that particular type of ballot was designed by a
> > Democrat?
> >
> > Go figure...
>
> All that means is that Democrats are idiots TOO.. neither party has a
corner
> on the market of being clueless.

Maybe so - but that doesn't justify the immature response of "Bush stole the
election".

3526. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 06:17:38 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b8ai0g$c2u$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 32142
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:vahj7refk1dpd0@corp.supernews.com...
> Brenda, the form of government defined by us is a democracy. Within that
> framework they may pick who ever they desire.
>
> Is your definition of freedom that the majority (the Shiia) may choose
what
> ever form they want, to the detriment of all other Iraqi citizens?
>
> You need to reexamine your position, the simplistic Kum-by-ya stuff does
not
> work in a real world.

Is not our government by choice of the majority? If those people elect a
theocratic government, that is their choice, not ours, to make.

3527. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 06:28:12 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b8aikacjg1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 32143
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"""Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message news:l44qa.156650> Because it's NOT happening. The Shiias are being influenced by Iranians. The
> LAST thing that is needed is to trade one dictator for another, one
> oppressive regime for another. The Shiias aren't the only game in town
> there, and forming such a theocracy would stifle the freedom of others
> there - the same as Hussein did. You suggest they trade one rabid dog for
> another. Even you should agree that every person in Iraq should have the
> right to practice whatever religion they CHOOSE. You need to keep in mind
> that the networks will sensationalize whatever they choose. Remember - while
> crowds of people were gathering in Baghdad, preparing to take down that
> statue, an ABC anchor was saying, in a somber tone, that he only sees a
> handful of people. Just because a couple of demonstrations in less than a
> handful of towns show up is no reason to start crying about the sky falling.
>
>

Don't recall saying that the sky is falling. All I'm saying is that WE have no right to tell the PEOPLE OF IRAQ what form of legal government they can or cannot elect. If the people of Iraq democratically elect a theocratic government, that's their business. And for your information, a theocratic government does not mean a totalitarian regime (though I will admit that it DOES happen that way). Saddam's government allowed freedom of religion (unless you happened to be Shiia) while oppressing ethnic MAJORITIES within the country. His own Tikriti tribe, and his Sunni sect were and are in the minority there, but managed to sieze power anyway.

How would you like it if I were to come up to you with a gun in my hand, and tell you that you can vote for whomever you like, as long as it wasn't a Republican, Democrat, Socialist, et. al.? Wouldn't feel a lot like freedom to you, now would it? Those people wanting to be led in a certain way is no different than those of us in the US choosing to be lead by big business ass-kissing conservatives or money grabbing tree kissing Democrats... it's our right to choose, majority rules, and it is theirs as well.

3528. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 06:28:13 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vahlbnnn2lgu96@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

If ours were truly by majority, women wouldn't be voting and slavery would still be in vogue.

Ours country is a Republic, we do not have a democratic form of government. If the majority ruled, Gore would be president. Fortunately that is not the case.

Did Sesame Street not cover the electoral college?

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message news:b8ai0g$c2u$1@news1.kornet.net...
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:vahj7refk1dpd0@corp.supernews.com...
> > Brenda, the form of government defined by us is a democracy. Within that
> > framework they may pick who ever they desire.
> >
> > Is your definition of freedom that the majority (the Shiia) may choose
> what
> > ever form they want, to the detriment of all other Iraqi citizens?
> >
> > You need to reexamine your position, the simplistic Kum-by-ya stuff does
> not
> > work in a real world.
>
> Is not our government by choice of the majority? If those people elect a
> theocratic government, that is their choice, not ours, to make.
>
>
>

3529. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 06:33:24 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b8aiu2$cp0$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 32145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:vahlbnnn2lgu96@corp.supernews.com...
> If ours were truly by majority, women wouldn't be voting and slavery would
> still be in vogue.
>
> Ours country is a Republic, we do not have a democratic form of
government.
> If the majority ruled, Gore would be president. Fortunately that is not
the
> case.
>
> Did Sesame Street not cover the electoral college?

I'm quite familiar with the electoral college. Also, I don't know what
planet you are from, but on the planet Earth, and in every country on her,
women are in the MAJORITY.

3530. Re: Scanner Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 07:14:44 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Ef5qa.31174$4P1.3078064@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 32146

And if it is Trunked, Encrypted Digital then it is un-scannable. You forgot
to mention that.

"Back Scatter" <thomas!@earth-west-.org> wrote in message
news:HzSpa.38467$ey1.3506200@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Jim Douglas" <jim.douglas@genesis-software.com> wrote:
>
> >What are some of the features that I must have? And can live without?
>
> Check out http://strongsignals.com/
> You will need to find out if the fire, police and ems in your area are
> trunked analog, trunked digital, digital or analog. Then you will need to
buy
> the proper scanner. If you need trunked analog check the radio shack
Pro-95,
> it is a good price and supports analog voice and trunked systems with 1000
> channels.
> If the local area is trunked digital or digital you will need the BC-785D
or
> BC-250D with the digital plug in card.
>
> This web site indicates you will need the Radio Shack Pro-95.
> http://www.lcblanton.com/dfw.htm
>
> Cities of Carrollton, Addison, and Farmers Branch - These cities share a
> Motorola Type IIi Hybrid Smartnet trunked radio system for all public
safety
> communications (Police/Fire/EMS). This system is currently transitioning
from
> a Motorola Type I system..
>
> You will need to go to http://www.trunkedradio.net to get more
information on
> the system you want to monitor.
>
>

3531. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 07:16:44 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <wh5qa.157094$0X.33817103@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 31997
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote

> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:vahlbnnn2lgu96@corp.supernews.com...
> > If ours were truly by majority, women wouldn't be voting and slavery
would
> > still be in vogue.
> >
> > Ours country is a Republic, we do not have a democratic form of
> government.
> > If the majority ruled, Gore would be president. Fortunately that is not
> the
> > case.
> >
> > Did Sesame Street not cover the electoral college?
>
> I'm quite familiar with the electoral college. Also, I don't know what
> planet you are from, but on the planet Earth, and in every country on her,
> women are in the MAJORITY.

So...why don't we live in a matriarchy?

3532. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 07:23:19 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Hn5qa.157121$0X.33820374@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 31998
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote

> Don't recall saying that the sky is falling. All I'm saying is that WE
have
> no right to tell the PEOPLE OF IRAQ what form of legal government they can
> or cannot elect. If the people of Iraq democratically elect a theocratic
> government, that's their business. And for your information, a theocratic
> government does not mean a totalitarian regime (though I will admit that
it
> DOES happen that way). Saddam's government allowed freedom of religion
> (unless you happened to be Shiia) while oppressing ethnic MAJORITIES
within
> the country. His own Tikriti tribe, and his Sunni sect were and are in
the
> minority there, but managed to sieze power anyway.

So where was the freedom of religion there? didn't you read anything about
what he did to Catholics and others?

> How would you like it if I were to come up to you with a gun in my hand,
and
> tell you that you can vote for whomever you like, as long as it wasn't a
> Republican, Democrat, Socialist, et. al.? Wouldn't feel a lot like
freedom
> to you, now would it?

That's ridiculous. Nobody is holding a gun to anyone's head - unless they're
one of those coming from other countries (such as Iran). As was explained
before, the totalitarian rulers in that region are afraid of an "outbreak"
of democracy, and will do everything they can to stop it. A free and
democratic iraq would set a precident - one that the rulers of other Arab
nations would NOT want to see.

> Those people wanting to be led in a certain way is no
> different than those of us in the US choosing to be lead by big business
> ass-kissing conservatives or money grabbing tree kissing Democrats... it's
> our right to choose, majority rules, and it is theirs as well.

You avoid one point - a theocracy of the type you suggest would stifle the
religious freedoms of everyone that doesn't adhere to their beliefs. I don't
know where you live, but I'm certain that you wouldn't appreciate your
government telling you what religion is the one you will belong to. And...as
a woman, I don't think you would support such a theocracy if you understood
how women are treated under such a theocracy.

3533. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 07:25:01 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b8aluq$j1r$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 31999
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"""Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:wh5qa.157094$0X.33817103@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> So...why don't we live in a matriarchy?

Because MEN have siezed the power. Originally by force, more recently by
convention...

3534. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 07:33:23 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <7x5qa.157169$0X.33825022@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32000
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote

> """Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:wh5qa.157094$0X.33817103@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > So...why don't we live in a matriarchy?
>
> Because MEN have siezed the power. Originally by force, more recently by
> convention...

Come now. Women control men - in one way or another. They know how to use
their bodies as Weapons of Mind Destruction.

3535. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 07:38:47 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vahpfv30jb7e25@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32001
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Right, but you had to get the vote before it meant anything.

What are you, a walking poster child for outcome based education?

Someone give you a keyboard and now you think you know something?

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:b8aiu2$cp0$1@news1.kornet.net...
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:vahlbnnn2lgu96@corp.supernews.com...
> > If ours were truly by majority, women wouldn't be voting and slavery
would
> > still be in vogue.
> >
> > Ours country is a Republic, we do not have a democratic form of
> government.
> > If the majority ruled, Gore would be president. Fortunately that is not
> the
> > case.
> >
> > Did Sesame Street not cover the electoral college?
>
> I'm quite familiar with the electoral college. Also, I don't know what
> planet you are from, but on the planet Earth, and in every country on her,
> women are in the MAJORITY.
>
>
>

3536. Re: Possible to Listen to Cell Phones via FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 10:46:50 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3EA9121A.CCEA6A02@mitre.org>
Source record: 32002
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Its relayed onto the CAR'S FM RADIO so you will need a 88 MHZ to 108 MHz Wide band FM capable scanner
with the ability to lock out frequencies during a search. Lock out
the broadcast FM stations as your doing a scan /search, in the broad cast FM band
check what's left to see if any one is using a device like your talking about.
You may also pick up CD players or other scanners they
also us stuff like that.

Or just try the Search/Scan button on your car's digital read out radio,
if its has this capability!
And the brute force method... just tune the FM band with the car radio. Try all of this if and when you see some one talking
to them selves in the next vehicle over when your stuck in traffic, you know,
with out an earbud planted aside there head of a cell phone
plasters up side the head Even if they appear to be talking to themselves, it could be they are singing along with their radio!
You may have more luck, and hear some communications using a FRS transceiver in scan mode or a scanner programed
with those frequencies while your in traffic. Try the interstate system on a week end or holiday.
Jim

HankG wrote:

> "me" <me@aol.com> wrote in message news:9366ACCDEnospamorg@216.168.3.44...
> > Well 1st off these add-ons are all junk, i.e. you have to yell at the
> phone
> > and the user on the other end sounds like crap on them! 2nd they only send
> > for about 2 feet so if your scanner could do FM you would not hear it.
> >
> > me
> >
> > Swampthing@mac.com (Swampthing) wrote in
> > <220320031800312164%Swampthing@mac.com>:
> >
> > >
> > >Watching all those interesting commercials for hands-free operation of
> > >cell phones these days makes me wonder. They tell you to just tune
> > >into a certain station on your FM dial, and you'll hear your
> > >conversation perfectly... If that is the case, shouldn't it also be
> > >easy for a scanner to pick up that same FM signal? Has anyone tried
> > >this? Any idea what freqs are they using?
>

> Probably one of the UNUSED freqs in your area.
> > >
> >
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.474 / Virus Database: 272 - Release Date: 4/18/03

3537. United Kingdom Frequency List Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 10:50:52 UTC
From: David Norris <david.norris23@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <_p8qa.324$rA3.179@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 32003

If anyone living in, or visiting, the UK would like an up-to-date frequency list (VHF/UHF), I have one available from my webserver. Visit http://80.4.4.163/index.htm and follow the 'Radio' link.
I have updated my own local frequencies myself, and others have sent me frequencies to add/remove from the list. It currently includes just over 7000 entries.
The list is in Excel 2000 format (zipped).
RGDS, D Norris, site administrator.

3538. Radio frequencies for the Riverside County, California Sheriff Department????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 11:16:28 UTC
From: KIM & CHRIS <MANY.PAWS@VERIZON.NET>
Message-ID: <gO8qa.33994$yO5.14601@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 32004

Radio frequencies for the Riverside County, California Sheriff Department????

3539. Re: Movie crew in the house

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 12:03:50 UTC
From: Robert <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <b8b86f$6tn$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 32064

"Woolridge" <robwoolridge@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:X%Ppa.3363$Zj2.691884@news20.bellglobal.com...

> touch of pink huh? i'm assuming its a pr0n ;)

Hehehe...probably, with a play on the title "That Touch Of Mink".
The IMDB doesn't show a movie in production by that title, so it's either
porn/extreme low budget/unknown type thing, _or_ it's a fake name, sometimes
done so people don't know what the project really is. Or the IMDB just
doesn't have the info.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.474 / Virus Database: 272 - Release Date: 4/18/2003

3540. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 12:06:53 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BACE9D1C.17B24%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32005

"RedXIII" wrote:
>
> The KKKop didn't determine anything. He claimed that
> it was illegal to have a scanner in my car unless I
> was a tow truck driver, EMT, firefighter...etc. Then
> he threaten to charge me with a crime in the next 30
> days, but only if he felt like it. Besides him being
> total wrong, what kind of bullshit is that?

Your story just doesn't ring true. If the officer believed your scanner was a violation, enough to make a big issue out of it according to you, he would have done something about it on the spot - he would not have threatened to do something at some later date. The fact that he didn't do anything clearly suggests your version of the story is not exactly the whole story.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3541. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 12:10:34 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BACE9DF9.17B26%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32006

"Mark" wrote:
>
> No, the arrogant and ignorant comments (snip)

What arrogant and ignorant comments? You're hearing only one side of the story and are verbally attacking the police officer based on that. Read over his version of the story again - it just doesn't ring true.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3542. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 12:16:19 UTC
From: Robert <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <b8b8tu$74k$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 32065

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message
news:20030423234241.19055.00000469@mb-m17.aol.com...
> >You obviously mis understood what I was saying. What I meant was, that
> >through my experience, I've never heard of an officer giving someone a
> >traffic ticket after the stop has been completed; i.e., after both
parties
> >have departed from the stop. If you don't get the ticket for something
on
> >the spot, durring the stop, then they shouldn't be able to issue one
"after
> >the fact." Just doesn't sound right to me, nor have I ever heard of it
> >happening.
>
> There are times when this is neccessary, like if an officer tickets
someone for
> speeding and NCIC is down or the driver's state DMV isn't responding, then
> after the stop, it's discovered the person is driving on a suspended
license.
> You'd be a fool to believe that he wouldn't get a ticket in the mail and
an
> invitation to meet the local jodge.

Good grief, could we use some common sense here? He's obviously
talking about anything incident to the stop itself, ie: getting pulled over
for speeding, getting a ticket, and then later on getting a bunch of "Oh, by
the way" tickets in the mail _related to that stop_. I could stretch your
interpretation to the point where he's implying that getting past a cop once
clears your record. "Hannibal Lecter, you're under arrest!" "Ah-hah! You
can't! I was pulled over yesterday and the copper didn't know who I was, so
I'M A FREE MAN NOW, bwahahahaha!"

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.474 / Virus Database: 272 - Release Date: 4/18/2003

3543. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 12:26:22 UTC
From: Robert <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <b8b9gm$7be$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 32066

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote

> And that story is enough make you believe the Michigan State police are
> "worse criminals than the criminals themselves?" Either you have lived a
> very sheltered life or the criminals are really terrific in Michigan.

Corruption and lawless behavior by those in official capacities,
particularly those with color of authority and access to deadly force, is
far, far worse than any sort of criminal behavior amongst the citizens.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.474 / Virus Database: 272 - Release Date: 4/18/2003

3544. Re: Radio frequencies for the Riverside County, California Sheriff Department????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 12:46:00 UTC
From: KF6DGN <davidtork@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d6aqa.10600$GD6.5311@fed1read02>
Source record: 32007

Check out: http://www.qsl.net/ke6alv/rvctrunk.html

Happy scanning,

David
KF6DGN
"KIM & CHRIS" <MANY.PAWS@VERIZON.NET> wrote in message
news:gO8qa.33994$yO5.14601@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> Radio frequencies for the Riverside County, California Sheriff
> Department????
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.474 / Virus Database: 272 - Release Date: 04/18/2003

3545. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 12:46:21 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BACEA65D.17B28%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 32008
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"""Q""" wrote:
>
> (snip) As others have stated, your only desire is to
> discredit the Bush administration. (snip)
>
> (snip) All you can think about is your hatred for Bush,
> and the facts be damned. (snip)

Sorry, my friend, you must be thinking of someone else - I openly, fully, and quite verbally, supported Bush during the last election in this newsgroup, other newsgroups, and elsewhere. This is not about some vendetta against Bush. Instead, it is about the credibility of the United States Government - my government.

> (snip) Not EVERY citizen of Iraq is convinced that
> Hussein is gone for good. Then there's the matter of
> translation - think every soldier speaks Arabic?

Neither of those are required to find weapons. Buildings, vehicles, people, and storage facilities, can be searched without either of those.

> And what makes you so certain that nothing has been
> found to this point? Because nothing was released
> to YOU?

Can you offer even one reason why this government would keep a discovery of WMD quiet, especially with their credibility concerning the justification for this invasion openly being questioned around the world?

> FIRST of all, it wasn't called "Operation Find WMDs
> in Iraq", now, was it?

It has repeatedly been reported by the press, and said by military officials, that soldiers have been given orders to report anything suspicious they find. With several hundred thousand soldiers there, searching factories, buildings, people, and vehicles, something clearly should have been found by now.

> The freedom of the people isn't enough for you? The
> idea that they can now live without the fear of
> torture or death? Again - you don't give a damn
> about those people.

Give me a break. You, and most other supporters of this war, could not care less about the Iraqi people. They lived under Saddam's rule for decades without you, or those others, losing a moment of sleep over their situation.

To now throw this around like it truly means something to you reeks of utter hypocrisy.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3546. Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 13:02:18 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3EA931DA.C45ED44B@USA.net>
Source record: 32009

What the hell are you Mark, his attorney? You seem to be speaking for him. So he got hassled, how many people in your/our life have we hassled. What the hell are a few words, it didn't cost and didn't hurt, unless this guy has such shin skin. Which from all the crying he does. He is acting like a little child who got his hands slapped. Cry- Cry- Cry. Get a life and move on.

Your last par Mark. Is that what the officer said? I "really" doubt that unless the officer is looking for an unpaid vacation. Like it or not, when police officers graduate from the academy they know the law. Any doubts, give it a try.

Why not go to the officer at his station and talk to him instead of posting this bull shit here. NO balls and looking for sympathy.

Go else where little boy.

Mark wrote:

> On Thu, 24 Apr 2003 14:00:43 -0400, Marylou@city.net wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Mark wrote:
> >
> >> On 24 Apr 2003 11:43:54 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:
> >>
> >> >Isn't that always the way? People violate the law and then get upset when the
> >> >actually get a ticket for it.
> >>
> >> Go read it again. He DIDN'T violate any scanner law. That's the whole
> >> problem.
> >>
> >>So he didn't violate NJ law, and he "DIDN'T" get a ticket.
>
> His bitch was about getting hastled about the scanner. The seabelt ticket was
> a side NOTE and not the complaint.
>
> >So what's the problem?
>
> I was going to ask you that.
>
> >So he got stopped, drivers get stopped every day.
> >That tells me the police are doing what we pay them to do.
>
> I didn't realize the police were being paid to tell citizens that certain
> state laws were junk and they would choose their own version of the law.
> Interesting...

3547. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 13:06:00 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3EA932B8.7EE006CF@USA.net>
Source record: 32010

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:

> Right, but you had to get the vote before it meant anything.
>
> What are you, a walking poster child for outcome based education?
>
> Someone give you a keyboard and now you think you know something?

Look around Freddie, we all think we know everything.

I continue "not to cross post".

Now back to radio scanning.

3548. Re: United Kingdom Frequency List Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 13:09:20 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3EA93380.22876414@USA.net>
Source record: 32011

David Norris wrote:

> If anyone living in, or visiting, the UK would like an up-to-date frequency
> list (VHF/UHF), I have one available from my webserver. Visit
> http://80.4.4.163/index.htm and follow the 'Radio' link.
> I have updated my own local frequencies myself, and others have sent me
> frequencies to add/remove from the list. It currently includes just over
> 7000 entries.
> The list is in Excel 2000 format (zipped).
> RGDS, D Norris, site administrator.

I thought you had to have written permission from Scotland Yard to use a
scanner in the UK?

3549. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 14:31:53 UTC
From: JF <zbyte@cwnet.com>
Message-ID: <vaihmfa7cqb9d2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32012
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

```
"""Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:y54qa.156658$0X.33769718@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote
>
> > """Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> > news:pL3qa.156562$0X.33752804@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > > "JF" <zbyte@cwnet.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > What does the electro college have to do with any thing that
happened
> in
> > > > Florida? Bush stole his way in and that is that. Vote republican
if
> > you
> > > > can't read.
> > >
> > > lol...do you know that that particular type of ballot was designed by
a
> > > Democrat?
> > >
> > > Go figure...
> >
> > All that means is that Democrats are idiots TOO.. neither party has a
> corner
> > on the market of being clueless.
>
> Maybe so - but that doesn't justify the immature response of "Bush stole
the
> election".
>

Bush did steal the election, no matter how blind you choose to believe, and
50 years from now history will reflect this. I will not be lead into the
gas chamber like you Third Reich Conservatives would like all Americans to.
World domination is all that matters to this ego centered so called
president of the United States. When they hand you over the authorities to
be plugged with the chip, I'm sure you'll be first in line.
```

3550. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 14:32:46 UTC
From: JF <zbyte@cwnet.com>
Message-ID: <vaiho7rhrb7v1c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

```
"""Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:y54qa.156658$0X.33769718@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote
>
> > """Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> > news:pL3qa.156562$0X.33752804@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > > "JF" <zbyte@cwnet.com> wrote
> > >
> > > > What does the electro college have to do with any thing that
happened
> in
> > > > Florida? Bush stole his way in and that is that. Vote republican
if
> > you
> > > > can't read.
> > >
> > > lol...do you know that that particular type of ballot was designed by
a
> > > Democrat?
> > >
> > > Go figure...
> >
> > All that means is that Democrats are idiots TOO.. neither party has a
> corner
> > on the market of being clueless.
>
> Maybe so - but that doesn't justify the immature response of "Bush stole
the
> election".
>

Bush did steal the election, no matter how blind you choose to believe, and
50 years from now history will reflect this. I will not be lead into the
gas chamber like you Third Reich Conservatives would like all Americans to.
World domination is all that matters to this ego centered so called
president of the United States. When they hand you over the authorities to
be plugged with the chip, I'm sure you'll be first in line.
```

3551. Re: TAC CHANNEL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 15:10:28 UTC
From: Casey <enforcement@FBI.GOV>
Message-ID: <Edcqa.1096$NW7.31886475@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 32014

Here's a link to some info regarding Odessa talk group numbers for the TAC channels:

http://www.fortunecity.com/meltingpot/nigeria/1211/id17.htm

Casey

"Ken Harris" <k5kdi@cableone.net> wrote in message news:vah1qm2ge37r7d@corp.supernews.com...
> i am in odessa,tx.....
>
> Ken.
> "Elephant" <elephant@the-zoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3EA40F57.1D1@the-zoo.com...
> > Ken Harris wrote:
> > >
> > > my area police keep talking about a tactical channel on the scanner, and
> i
> > > cant find it..... anyone have any idea how i could get the freq. that my
> > > area uses??? and they have a "secure" button on the base radio, any idea
> how
> > > i might hear them when they flip the "secure" channel???
> > >
> > > Thanks!
> > > k5kdi@cableone.net
> >
> >
> > Ken,
> > You might want to let us know what city you are in.
> > It's difficult to give you any info when there are
> > so many police departments and they all have different
> > channel assignments.
>
>

3552. FA: Uniden Bearcat 800XLT Scanner- 40 Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 15:52:23 UTC
From: Paul McCoy <pm1072@netins.net>
Message-ID: <b8blif$bb2$1@ins22.netins.net>
Source record: 32015

FA: (1) Uniden Bearcat 800XLT 40 Channel Scanner. Unit is in Excellent Condition.

Will do Aircraft, Public Safety, 800MHz, and much more. Complete Coverage. Included with this scanner is AC power cord, short antenna that plugs into the top rear Motorola style jack for 800MHz, as well as the screw in antenna for the top of the unit. I have used the scanner indoors in a non-smoking environment.

To find out more, please click on the following link to the auction on E-bay:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3020897681&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

3553. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 16:34:58 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vaiothid3b23b2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32016

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BACE1AE4.17AC5%stewart@say.net...
> "Power House CB & Scanner" wrote:
> >
> > (snip) ...the Michigan State police. On occasion, I
> > personally believe that the MSP are worse criminals
> > than the criminals themselves. (snip)
>
>
> Really? So, when you or your family needs help, you believe it is better
> to call criminals than the Michigan State police? Unless you're a criminal
> yourself (afraid to call the police), I seriously doubt it.
>

You missed the whole point... You made up your own version of it so you could type that lame-ass answer that has absolutely nothing to do with what I said.

>
> > (snip) Yup, that cop was able to tell that this person
> > had a faulty front tire, in the dark, three lanes away
> > from each other, and going in opposite directions, each
> > at or near 55MPH... (snip)
>
> > (snip) Corruption run rampant in the MSP! (snip)
>
>
> Your friend got pulled over because of some suspicion on the part of the
> officer (a drug neighborhood, thought speeding, vehicle similar to a
wanted
> vehicle, erratic driving, or whatever).

There was no suspicion, just the normal harassment. None of your theories even hold a candle. "Drug neighborhood?" In the middle of nowhere on a hi-way. Got that one right... "Thought speeding?" What the hell do I pay taxes for if the cops aren't going to use their cancer-causing radar guns that they used my money to buy? Sorry, wrong answer again... Similar vehicle? Nope. It was pitch black outside with glaring headlights coming at him, an equivalent speed of about 110MPH, and 3-lanes way... Sorry, he would have never seen a thing... "Erratic Driving?" Sorry... Wasn't any erratic driving... Keep guessing, as that's all your doing...

> After your friend checked out okay, he was allowed to go on his way.

Umm, yeah... Not even close. He was given a warning ticket for his front right tire. That one that the officer saw with his infrared binocular

vision that allowed him to make the stop in the first place.

> Why slander the Michigan State police over such a minor incident?

Minor? You call non-stop harassment minor? You must be a cop yourself.
What I stated was ONE example of MANY MANY harassment problems around here.

What your referring to as "slander" is nothing but the truth and therefore
is NOT slander. Then again, slander is spoken, so it wouldn't be slander
anyway, as this is written...

> > (snip) however, I too have had my fair share of run-ins
> > with these people. (snip)
>
> Ah, perhaps that explains it. And, since you didn't tell the story of
your
> own run-ins with the Michigan State police, perhaps you were not as
innocent
> as the friend in the story you did tell.
>

That explains nothing except that all you like to do is argue with people.
You should take you delusional mind and go find a flame war newsgroup
somewhere as the general populous of this newsgroup doesn't appreciate your
hostile attitude. You remind me of a couple of crabby, 90 year old women I
know... Always bitching and arguing about something... Ever seen the movie
"Grumpy Old Men"? You'd fit right in...

You want my story? Here's a briefing of it:
I could tell you my story of how I was talking with a group of friends after
work one night. We all saw the MSP driving down the main street of town (a
US hi-way mind you) at about 11PM, with their lights off, only to pull into
the lot we were standing in and turn them on just as they were pulling in.
There were 8 witnesses to the incident, and the officers just kept denying
the whole thing. I could also tell you about how during this same incident
they decided they wanted to harass my about the stereo I have in my car. It
was just an after-market tape deck I had installed about 3-years prior;
nothing fancy. They questioned me to no end about it. One of the questions
was where I got it from. They used the information I gave them to claim
that a number of the same units had recently been stolen from that
particular store. Funny thing is, the unit I had had been discontinued at
that store 2-years prior to this incident. No other units by that
manufacturer, or even another unit that even came close to looking like
mine, had been sold at that store since they discontinued it. They
continued to harass me about it, and wanted me to rip my dash apart and
remove it so they could "inspect" it; check out the serial number, etc. I
could also tell you that they threatened to rip it out themselves if I
couldn't prove that I had purchased it by providing a receipt.

Of course, I'm not the only one that was getting harassed, the others were
as well, but you just wanted MY story...

There you go! How's that grab ya? Hope it grabbed your balls and stretched them up over your head so as to mute your arguing ass!

I hope your happy now, there is a brief run-through of one of my harassment incidents. Need I provide more? Nah, didn't think so... Needless to say, the two asses, oops, I mean MSP officers, didn't stay in the area long, they were transferred to another posts due to the number of complaints that were filed against them. They weren't the first, and certainly haven't been the last...

PH

3554. ebay/ BC-2500XLT Scanner 400 channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 16:38:40 UTC
From: Radio <gadgetgru@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3EA96490.80502@netscape.net>
Source record: 32017

Uniden Bearcat BC-2500XLT
25-1300Mhz
400 channels 800Mhz
Nicad pack
Nimh pack (NEW)
manual
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=3019844542&rd=1

3555. Houston fire scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 16:55:25 UTC
From: Marvin Suggs <msuggs@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1Mdqa.12465$q02.729517@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 32018

Just wanted to invite you to join our Houston FD Yahoo group:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/houstonfd/

Lots of interesting fire service conversation, and you can listen to our
live fire scanner. Please check us out!
Thanks,
Marvin Suggs
msuggs@houston.rr.com

--
Houston Fire Dept Yahoogroup:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/houstonfd/

Live fire scanner:
http://www.groovyghosthunters.com/houstonfire.asx
or:
copy and paste this link into Windows Media Player and it will work
fine.

http://24.162.38.103:8080/

3556. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 17:03:14 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <mTdqa.158637$0X.34165977@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32019
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"JF" <zbyte@cwnet.com> babbled...

> Bush did steal the election, no matter how blind you choose to believe,
and
> 50 years from now history will reflect this. I will not be lead into the
> gas chamber like you Third Reich Conservatives would like all Americans
to.
> World domination is all that matters to this ego centered so called
> president of the United States. When they hand you over the authorities
to
> be plugged with the chip, I'm sure you'll be first in line.

Your comments would be better suited for alt.conspiracy. If you understood
what the Third Reich was about, you'd know that they were FAR from what you
refer to as "Conservative".

3557. Re: How can I find Data terminal Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 17:05:54 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <PVdqa.13835$X44.13222@fed1read07>
Source record: 32020

Pull an FCC report on your agency and compare the freq's listed to any that
are making odd noises on your scanner.

--
-me

Saddam bad. War good.
Mmmmmmm... war......
"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:vah2n25v5p8mb5@corp.supernews.com...
> Can anyone give me a hint as to where the mobile data terminals receive? I
> have searched the 800 MHz band and I either do not recognize the signal or
> missed it. The system for normal communications is a Motorola Trunking
> System here in Beaufort, SC.
>
> Thanks for any hints.
>
>

3558. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 17:13:18 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <O0eqa.158639$0X.34173883@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32021
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote

> > (snip) Not EVERY citizen of Iraq is convinced that
> > Hussein is gone for good. Then there's the matter of
> > translation - think every soldier speaks Arabic?
>
> Neither of those are required to find weapons. Buildings, vehicles,
> people, and storage facilities, can be searched without either of those.

If it were only that easy. Have you seen the tunnels they've been finding? If what the world is searching for was in a readily available building, it would have been found long ago.

> > And what makes you so certain that nothing has been
> > found to this point? Because nothing was released
> > to YOU?
>
> Can you offer even one reason why this government would keep a discovery
> of WMD quiet, especially with their credibility concerning the
justification
> for this invasion openly being questioned around the world?

Again, they can disclose what they have when they feel the time is right - not by YOUR timetable. And, once again, it wasn't called "Operation Find Iraq's WMDs".

> It has repeatedly been reported by the press, and said by military
> officials, that soldiers have been given orders to report anything
> suspicious they find.

True. But it was never their PRIMARY mission. Getting rid of Hussein's regime was.

> With several hundred thousand soldiers there,
> searching factories, buildings, people, and vehicles, something clearly
> should have been found by now.

You take a fairly naive view of this - they were NEVER given orders to search every inch of Iraq on the way to their objective. Why aren't you willing to give them at LEAST the amount of time that those that opposed this war were willing to give the UN inspectors?

> > The freedom of the people isn't enough for you? The
> > idea that they can now live without the fear of
> > torture or death? Again - you don't give a damn
> > about those people.

>
> Give me a break. You, and most other supporters of this war, could not
> care less about the Iraqi people. They lived under Saddam's rule for
decades
> without you, or those others, losing a moment of sleep over their
situation.
> To now throw this around like it truly means something to you reeks of
utter
> hypocrisy.

No, you're wrong. This is ridiculous - because nothing had been done to this point, nothing should have been done? It's hypocricy to correct a problem? If you have a weed in your back yard and ignore it, then it becomes a larger weed and takes over your flower bed, are you then a hypocrite to then take out the weed? If you have a problem with your vehicle and ignore it until it becomes a major problem, are you not going to fix it? When Hitler came into power and the world ignored/turned a blind-eye to what HE was doing, was it wrong to take HIM and HIS regime out of power?

3559. Re: New 250D/785D Software Available!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 18:39:54 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnbaj16c.rem.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 32022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 24 Apr 2003 22:14:07 GMT, JT <blowme@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote:
> Let's see: great support on the last bit of software? NO! Any updates on the
> original 780 software as promised? No!
>
> Screw you.
>
> JT

yet another reason i never buy any product with 'Win' in its name...

going by the scathing remarks about this company by so many users,
i'm surprised it hasn't changed its name...

> "Pozilla Software" <support.xxx.no.spam@pozillasoft.com> wrote in message
> news:P_Tpa.149914$It5.35547@news2.central.cox.net...
>> WinScanDP, programming software for the BC250D and the 785D is now
> available
>> for sale and immediate download from our website. The software features a
>> very user friendly interface and many features not found on other
> software.
>> The price of the software is $24.95.
>>
>> For more information on WinScanDP, please visit our website at
>> http://www.pozillasoft.com
>>
>> Thank you.
>>
>> Warmest Regards,
>>
>> Steve
>> Pozilla Software
>>
>> One last note - We would like to thank all of the people on Usenet who
>> signed up and assisted us during the beta test of the software. While not
>> all of you were selected for the beta test, those that were selected were
>> very helpful in testing the software, catching bugs, and making
> suggestions
>> on making the software better. Again, thank you very much!
>>
>>
>
>

3560. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 18:43:48 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <H5ycndJTW90sHzSjXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 32023

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BACE9ACE.17B22%stewart@say.net...
Without a known violation, the officer let him go on his way
> with a warning there may be a follow-up if a violation existed.

No, he did make note that I was in violation of the law by having the
scanner in my car, but instead of taking action then, he choose to keep me
in distress by stating that if he feels like charging me for a breaking a
law that doesn't exsist, he'll do it within 30 days. I'm going to pay the
fine for the ticket today and I will be bringing the matter of the scanner
up to the Chief of Police.

I think your problem is that you're an ex-cop, one that most likely would
pull the same scare tactic shit that the one I encountered did.

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought
to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to
tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)

Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden / David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige though.)

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BACE9ACE.17B22%stewart@say.net...
> "Mark" wrote:
>
> > How about when they are treated unjustly and
> > harassed about something that they were well
> > within their rights and the law on?
>
>
> He wasn't treated unjustly or harassed. He got a ticket for a seat belt
> violation. During that stop, the police officer questioned the legality of
> his scanner. Without a known violation, the officer let him go on his way
> with a warning there may be a follow-up if a violation existed. If no
> violation exists, nothing else is going to happen. How can you describe
that
> as unjust treatment or harassment?
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

3561. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 19:26:54 UTC
From: Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu>
Message-ID: <a5idnY4dbtidETSjXTWcpA@bway.net>
Source record: 32024
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <O0eqa.158639$0X.34173883@twister.columbus.rr.com>, Q@Q.invalid.com says...
>

>If it were only that easy. Have you seen the tunnels they've been finding?
>If what the world is searching for was in a readily available building, it
>would have been found long ago.

What tunnels? last I heard all this stuff was su[pposed to be hidden in and under the presidentail palaces. Where is it? Last I heard also is that all the so-called tunnels are mostly innter building passageways in the governmental complex just like in, say, Washington, DC.
>
>Again, they can disclose what they have when they feel the time is right -
>not by YOUR timetable. And, once again, it wasn't called "Operation Find
>Iraq's WMDs".

Yeah , but it should have been because it certainly had nothing to do with UIraqi Freedom. And do yu think for one minute that if they even came yup with a single Scud missile -- and they have neot -- that it would not be on every wire service in seconds? The US credibility at this point is ZERO!
>
>True. But it was never their PRIMARY mission. Getting rid of Hussein's
>regime was.

Depends on which day it was. And by what right does the US get rid of any regime?
>
>You take a fairly naive view of this - they were NEVER given orders to
>search every inch of Iraq on the way to their objective. Why aren't you
>willing to give them at LEAST the amount of time that those that opposed
>this war were willing to give the UN inspectors?

You're going to go with this to your grave, aren't you?

3562. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 19:33:40 UTC
From: Frank <wvzhavxpqo@wwvgvqfgpcpawrte.com>
Message-ID: <01c30b36$c60a01f0$0125250a@wwvgvqfgpcpawrte>
Source record: 32025

<3EA931DA.C45ED44B@USA.net>...

^ You seem to be speaking for him. So he got hassled, how many
^ people in your/our life have we hassled. What the hell are a
^ few words, it didn't cost and didn't hurt, unless this guy has
^ such shin skin.

The point is that the cop was violating the public trust -- probably the greatest crime a cop can commit. A violation of the public trust is an action worse than those that we pay them to protect us from. We do not pay cops to harass us, we pay them to keep our lives peaceful and safe. The cops actions in this case were contrary to that. A cop lying to a citizen is a violation of the trust we place in them. This particular cop should either be disciplined or have his badge taken away.

^ Go else where little boy.

Ah, you must be a cop! If you can't do your job without creating as much terror as the criminals then quit -- leave the job for someone better than you.

Frank

3563. Re: How can I find Data terminal Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 19:55:30 UTC
From: Frank <iknogwuvwv@fojilmqidgavwsfc.com>
Message-ID: <01c30b64$9b23b6b0$0125250a@fojilmqidgavwsfc>
Source record: 32027

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <vah2n25v5p8mb5@corp.supernews.com>...

^ Can anyone give me a hint as to where the mobile data terminals receive?
^ The system for normal communications is a Motorola Trunking System ...

I delayed in answering because I'm fairly certain I can't help. I'm not familiar with Motorola but if it works similar to EDACS then the data transmissions will be transmitted on the next available frequency in the trunking system, same as the voice transmissions. My Radio Shack scanners filter out the data transmissions and send only the voice transmissions to audio. When I scan the trunking frequencies normally, not trunked, then I can intercept the data transmissions.

I think the program you may be using was written for a dedicated data frequency, so if Motorola is similar to EDACS you may find that it's useless.

Frank

3564. Re: United Kingdom Frequency List Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 19:55:56 UTC
From: David Norris <david.norris23@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <ipgqa.993$rA3.632@newsfep3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 32026

That's not quite true; the wireless telegraphy act does allow their use on 'public' areas of the radio spectrum (Ownership, manufacture, sale or import do not contravene the law). Private communications mustn't be listened to without permission of the user. You must be a little careful generally, as radio scanners had some bad press a few years ago. I just feel it's a shame that a minority of scanner owners misuse them, when they have so many legitimate uses too! For example, for a radio amateur, they are much more capable at monitoring multiple frequencies across several amateur bands - something which purpose built equipment either cannot do, or is very cumbersome at doing so. You can find out more about the legality of scanning over here at http://www.radio.gov.uk/publication/ra_info/ra169.htm RGDS, DN

<Pappy@USA.net> wrote in message news:3EA93380.22876414@USA.net...
>
>
> David Norris wrote:
>
> > If anyone living in, or visiting, the UK would like an up-to-date
frequency
> > list (VHF/UHF), I have one available from my webserver. Visit
> > http://80.4.4.163/index.htm and follow the 'Radio' link.
> > I have updated my own local frequencies myself, and others have sent me
> > frequencies to add/remove from the list. It currently includes just over
> > 7000 entries.
> > The list is in Excel 2000 format (zipped).
> > RGDS, D Norris, site administrator.
>
> I thought you had to have written permission from Scotland Yard to use a
> scanner in the UK?
>

3565. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 21:02:03 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3EA9A24B.1D5B180D@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 32029

Power House CB & Scanner wrote:

> It was pitch black outside with glaring headlights coming
> at him, an equivalent speed of about 110MPH, and 3-lanes way...
> Sorry, he would have never seen a thing...

And yet for some reason he chose to stop YOU?
Is there something special about your lights?

3566. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 21:05:41 UTC
From: MnMikew <MNMiikkeW@aol.com>
Message-ID: <b8c72h$8jrm0$1@ID-181491.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32028

"JF" <zbyte@cwnet.com> wrote in message
news:vaiho7rhrb7v1c@corp.supernews.com...
> Bush did steal the election, no matter how blind you choose to believe,
and
> 50 years from now history will reflect this. I will not be lead into the
> gas chamber like you Third Reich Conservatives would like all Americans
to.
> World domination is all that matters to this ego centered so called
> president of the United States. When they hand you over the authorities
to
> be plugged with the chip, I'm sure you'll be first in line.
>
Put that bong down hippie.

3567. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 21:35:44 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vajafetc8rgn43@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32030
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"JF" <zbyte@cwnet.com> wrote in message
news:vaiho7rhrb7v1c@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Bush did steal the election, no matter how blind you choose to believe,
and
> 50 years from now history will reflect this.

That will be reflected only if people like you manage to rewrite history to
suit them. I see that happening now. If history doesnt fit your agenda,
you try to rewrite it.

I will not be lead into the
> gas chamber like you Third Reich Conservatives would like all Americans
to.
> World domination is all that matters to this ego centered so called
> president of the United States. When they hand you over the authorities
to
> be plugged with the chip, I'm sure you'll be first in line.

Again, bottom line is: Gore lost, you lost, the left lost. You will
continue to lose as long as you all hang on to defective political
philosphy, delusions and underhanded defective tactics.

3568. Re: New 250D/785D Software Available!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 21:36:12 UTC
From: JT <blowme@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <gThqa.618211$3D1.338280@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 32031
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm surprised they still exist. I wonder how many people will actually buy
their software this time around. Count me among those who won't. Cunts.

JT
<bball@green.home.org> wrote in message
news:slrnbaj16c.rem.bball@green.home.org...
> On Thu, 24 Apr 2003 22:14:07 GMT, JT <blowme@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote:
> > Let's see: great support on the last bit of software? NO! Any updates on
the
> > original 780 software as promised? No!
> >
> > Screw you.
> >
> > JT
>
> yet another reason i never buy any product with 'Win' in its name...
>
> going by the scathing remarks about this company by so many users,
> i'm surprised it hasn't changed its name...
>
>
> > "Pozilla Software" <support.xxx.no.spam@pozillasoft.com> wrote in
message
> > news:P_Tpa.149914$It5.35547@news2.central.cox.net...
> >> WinScanDP, programming software for the BC250D and the 785D is now
> > available
> >> for sale and immediate download from our website. The software
features a
> >> very user friendly interface and many features not found on other
> > software.
> >> The price of the software is $24.95.
> >>
> >> For more information on WinScanDP, please visit our website at
> >> http://www.pozillasoft.com
> >>
> >> Thank you.
> >>
> >> Warmest Regards,
> >>
> >> Steve
> >> Pozilla Software
> >>
> >> One last note - We would like to thank all of the people on Usenet who

> >> signed up and assisted us during the beta test of the software. While
not
> >> all of you were selected for the beta test, those that were selected
were
> >> very helpful in testing the software, catching bugs, and making
> > suggestions
> >> on making the software better. Again, thank you very much!
> >>
> >>
> >
> >

3569. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 21:42:44 UTC
From: Frank <soyfrailjh@erujpdojihaoysyz.com>
Message-ID: <01c30b73$91060020$0125250a@erujpdojihaoysyz>
Source record: 32032

<3EA931DA.C45ED44B@USA.net>...

^ You seem to be speaking for him. So he got hassled, how many
^ people in your/our life have we hassled. What the hell are a
^ few words, it didn't cost and didn't hurt, unless this guy has
^ such shin skin.

The point is that the cop was violating the public trust -- probably the greatest crime a cop can commit. A violation of the public trust is an action worse than those that we pay them to protect us from. We do not pay cops to harass us, we pay them to keep our lives peaceful and safe. The cops actions in this case were contrary to that. A cop lying to a citizen is a violation of the trust we place in them. This particular cop should either be disciplined or have his badge taken away.

^ Go else where little boy.

Ah, you must be a cop! If you can't do your job without creating as much terror as the criminals then quit -- leave the job for someone better than you.

Frank

3570. Re: Wanted-Pro 2042 Manual's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 22:03:10 UTC
From: David & Daphne Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <d4cjavc4itaape558iq9uiidlovbsgo49l@4ax.com>
Source record: 32067

www.radioshack.com

there are there

On 19 Apr 2003 16:00:11 GMT, lawnjocke@aol.com (LAWNJOCK E) wrote:

>Hi, I'm looking for a Pro 2042 Service and Owners manuals. Let me know if you
>have them to sell. Thank you, Tom

3571. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 22:28:58 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vajdl16238j300@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32033

Doubtful, anyone that has seen his signature line will recognize that he spends his entire life pushing on doors marked pull. I would bet he "copped" an attitude with the cop.

Officer: "What is that thing in your car?

Whiney Baby: "It's a scanner so I can listen to you pigs out here harassing people, what's it to ya"

"Frank" <soyfrailjh@erujpdojihaoysyz.com> wrote in message news:01c30b73$91060020$0125250a@erujpdojihaoysyz...
> <3EA931DA.C45ED44B@USA.net>...
>
> ^ You seem to be speaking for him. So he got hassled, how many
> ^ people in your/our life have we hassled. What the hell are a
> ^ few words, it didn't cost and didn't hurt, unless this guy has
> ^ such shin skin.
>
> The point is that the cop was violating the public trust -- probably the
> greatest crime a cop can commit. A violation of the public trust is an action
> worse than those that we pay them to protect us from. We do not pay cops to
> harass us, we pay them to keep our lives peaceful and safe. The cops actions
> in this case were contrary to that. A cop lying to a citizen is a violation
> of the trust we place in them. This particular cop should either be
> disciplined or have his badge taken away.
>
>
> ^ Go else where little boy.
>
> Ah, you must be a cop! If you can't do your job without creating as much
> terror as the criminals then quit -- leave the job for someone better than
> you.
>
> Frank
>
>

3572. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 22:36:12 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vaje2jcnhm175f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32034
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

The real freedom of the internet is that anyone with a keyboard may opine,
in spite of the lack of both knowledge and logical thought.

I get this mental picture of many typing like mad, singing "if I only had a
brain", as they regurgitate the latest MTV rant.

"""Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:mTdqa.158637$0X.34165977@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "JF" <zbyte@cwnet.com> babbled...
>
> > Bush did steal the election, no matter how blind you choose to believe,
> and
> > 50 years from now history will reflect this. I will not be lead into
the
> > gas chamber like you Third Reich Conservatives would like all Americans
> to.
> > World domination is all that matters to this ego centered so called
> > president of the United States. When they hand you over the authorities
> to
> > be plugged with the chip, I'm sure you'll be first in line.
>
> Your comments would be better suited for alt.conspiracy. If you understood
> what the Third Reich was about, you'd know that they were FAR from what
you
> refer to as "Conservative".
>
>

3573. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 22:37:58 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vaje5ss6eth6af@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32035

May it have been the fact his lights were bouncing up and down from the bump on his may pops?

"Dave Holford" <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote in message news:3EA9A24B.1D5B180D@sympatico.ca...
>
>
> Power House CB & Scanner wrote:
>
> > It was pitch black outside with glaring headlights coming
> > at him, an equivalent speed of about 110MPH, and 3-lanes way...
> > Sorry, he would have never seen a thing...
>
>
> And yet for some reason he chose to stop YOU?
> Is there something special about your lights?

3574. Blue Angel Pilot Trash-Talks the Florida Central-East Coast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 22:47:46 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3EA9BB12.40202@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 32036

Sunday is an airshow at the Patrick AFB in Florida. Both the USAF Thunderbirds and USN Blue Angels will be among the many performers at Sundayï¿½s show. Today (Thursday) the USN Blue Angels fly into town to get ready for their performance.

During one of their practice/orientation flights today I caught a very interesting comm of one of the Blue Angel pilots. Iï¿½m not sure which Blue this was however the air-air comms was taking place on 263.3500 MHz.

At 45 seconds and again at about 150 seconds into the audio youï¿½ll hear what these Blue Angel pilots really think of Florida! Here are some words they used ï¿½trashy, dirty, and redneckï¿½. Take a minute and listen for yourself. You can find the audio at http://www.MilAirComms.com/audiolibary

Listen and be as amazed as I wasï¿½.
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3575. Confirmed Blue Angel Freqs during inbound flight!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 23:15:34 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3EA9C196.9070800@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 32037

Today the USN Blue Angels arrive at the Patrick AFB to get ready for Sunday's airshow. I snagged their inbound comms which you can listen to at http://www.MilAirComms.com/audiolibary. I've included a list of Blue Angel frequencies you might want to use to monitor practice and Sunday's show.

142.0000 Ground Support (comm cart)
142.6250 Ground Support
143.6000 Ground Support
164.9000* Ground Support CH 6
168.9000 Ground Support CH 3
170.9000 Ground Support CH 1
236.4500 Unknown CH 17
238.1500* Diamond A-A CH 16
251.6000 Solo A-A
256.2500 Unknown
263.3500* Fat Albert CH 10
263.5000 Unknown
264.5500 Unknown
268.0000 Unknown
273.3500 Diamond A-A
275.3500 Diamond A-A CH 9
307.7000 Air-Ground BOSS
345.9000* Solo A-A CH 8

Freqs marked with a * I actually hear being used on 4/25/03 as they were arriving to Patrick and flying the area. .. Keep in mind there probably are more however I live 70 miles north of Patrick AFB and these were the comms I was able to catch at that distance.

For those interested in USAF Thunderbird freqs here's a list.

140.2000 Support Aircraft Cross Country Air-Air
141.4000 4 Plane Formation
141.8500* 4 Plane Formation Air-Air Linked to PA system V1
143.8500 4 Plane Formation Air-Air Linked to PA system V2
235.2500* Thunderbird Control
269.9000* Thunderbird Control to Air Boss
322.9500* Plane 5-6 Solo's Air-Air
413.0250 Maintenance/Ground Teams Channel 1
413.1000* Maintenance/Ground Teams Channel 2

Freqs marked with a * were confirmed by me during the November 2001 Thunderbird performance at Daytona Beach, FL. Full audio of this performance can be heard here: http://www.milaircomms.com/audio_library.html

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3576. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 23:32:21 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <b8cgi5$e0n$0@63.162.246.145>
Source record: 32038

> And you should have interrupted him right there and said, "get your Sgt.
down
> here. Now." The discussion with him ends right there. You don't have to
say
> a word to him after this.
>

Telling the officer to "get your Sergeant down here. Now" does
not stop any discussion, nor does the officer have to comply. He
can simply advise you if you have an issue how to contact his
supervisor.

If he wants to write you, then you get the ticket. In this case, that
is exactly what happened, he got a ticket for the violation he was
pulled over for.

Attitude.... As others have said.

3577. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-25 23:37:32 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <b8cgrseif0@63.162.246.145>
Source record: 32039

> Generally an officer can't charge you with something later on, unless he
> wrote you up for it at the time you were pulled over. If he didn't give
you
> any type of "ticket" for your scanner, I'd have to guess that you are free
> and clear of any charges. My best guess would be that he was just using a
> scare tactic on you to try to get you remove it from your vehicle...
> Apparently he has something against them...
>

While it may be an uneducated guess, it is still wrong. If the officer
feels it may be a crime, he can research the law, and then make an
arrest, (if the situation calls for it).

Done that way all the time.

3578. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 00:09:05 UTC
From: Frank <uwhfgupwnq@neygryvwdqsrzwmm.com>
Message-ID: <01c30b88$0703c050$0125250a@neygryvwdqsrzwmm>
Source record: 32040

Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr <vajdl16238j300@corp.supernews.com>...

^ I would bet he "copped" an attitude with the cop.

That isn't a valid excuse for the cops behavior. They're (at least they were)
trained to ignore such attitudes.

Frank

3579. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 00:28:30 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vajkl87f6rk65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32041
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Will the real "Q"please stand up?

"""""Q""""" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message
news:40e9ba61.504646633@news.Q.everywhere...
> On Fri, 25 Apr 2003 18:36:12 -0400, "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr"
<w4jle@charter.net> wrote:
>
> >The real freedom of the internet is that anyone with a keyboard may
opine,
> >in spite of the lack of both knowledge and logical thought.
>
> How profound!
>
> I bet in real life you would never lower yourself to communicate with such
scum huh doc?
>
> >
> >I get this mental picture of many typing like mad, singing "if I only had
a
> >brain", as they regurgitate the latest MTV rant.
>
> I get this mental picture of this old Fred MacMurray geezer typing away
madly regurgitating his creamed corn
> down his chin when I think of you.
>
> So who cares what you think, Doc?
>
>
> >
> >
> >"""Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> >news:mTdqa.158637$0X.34165977@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> >> "JF" <zbyte@cwnet.com> babbled...
> >>
> >> > Bush did steal the election, no matter how blind you choose to
believe,
> >> and
> >> > 50 years from now history will reflect this. I will not be lead
into
> >the
> >> > gas chamber like you Third Reich Conservatives would like all
Americans
> >> to.

> >> > World domination is all that matters to this ego centered so called
> >> > president of the United States. When they hand you over the
authorities
> >> to
> >> > be plugged with the chip, I'm sure you'll be first in line.
> >>
> >> Your comments would be better suited for alt.conspiracy. If you
understood
> >> what the Third Reich was about, you'd know that they were FAR from what
> >you
> >> refer to as "Conservative".
> >>
> >>
> >
>

3580. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 00:39:31 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@notreally.att.net>
Message-ID: <acf4a3cb46c8bf059d9c82ed8c31f35e@TeraNews>
Source record: 32042

On 25 Apr 2003 23:32:21 GMT, "Blake Bowers" <bbowers@townsqr.com>
wrote:
>
>Attitude.... As others have said.
>
Yep. It is a saying among police officers -"You can't talk your way
out of a ticket - but you can always talk yourself into one!

Amen!

Neil Bell

For e-mail replies remove the "notreally."

3581. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 01:11:46 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mymail@mymail.net>
Message-ID: <3EA9DCD2.8020609@mymail.net>
Source record: 32049
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Brenda Ann wrote:

>
> Don't recall saying that the sky is falling. All I'm saying is that WE have
> no right to tell the PEOPLE OF IRAQ what form of legal government they can
> or cannot elect. If the people of Iraq democratically elect a theocratic
> government, that's their business. And for your information, a theocratic
> government does not mean a totalitarian regime (though I will admit that it
> DOES happen that way). Saddam's government allowed freedom of religion
> (unless you happened to be Shiia) while oppressing ethnic MAJORITIES within
> the country. His own Tikriti tribe, and his Sunni sect were and are in the
> minority there, but managed to sieze power anyway.
>
> How would you like it if I were to come up to you with a gun in my hand, and
> tell you that you can vote for whomever you like, as long as it wasn't a
> Republican, Democrat, Socialist, et. al.? Wouldn't feel a lot like freedom
> to you, now would it? Those people wanting to be led in a certain way is no
> different than those of us in the US choosing to be lead by big business
> ass-kissing conservatives or money grabbing tree kissing Democrats... it's
> our right to choose, majority rules, and it is theirs as well.

You obviously do not have a grasp of the situation in Iraq (are we
suprised?). It the Shiia who want to choose *their* way of
government, to be ruled by Shiia cleric, over any wishes of the
rest of the Iraqi people. That is not the people of Iraq making
the choice, just the Shiia.

3582. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 02:23:14 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030425222314.13277.00000089@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 32043

>Yep. It is a saying among police officers -"You can't talk your way
>out of a ticket - but you can always talk yourself into one!

Ours is, "You might beat the rap, but you can't beat the ride."

In other words, if you really want to make a total ass of yourself *and* talk yourself into a cell, we'll be glad to oblige <g>.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3583. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 02:29:47 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030425222947.13277.00000091@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 32044

> A cop lying to a citizen is a violation
>of the trust we place in them.

I've lied to 'citizens' more times than I can count. When I'm making a case on a violent felon, there's no law that says I have to play fair or tell the truth all the time.

All I have to do is obey the guidelines of the law, not make scumbags happy. I'd rather play it nice, but all the incomprehensible restrictions...with more being enacted all the time...make 'playing fair' virtually impossible, amigo.

If that's a 'violation of trust', so be it...my karma can take the dents. Of course, we can play it *your* way and wind up with anarchy.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3584. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 02:34:39 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030425223439.13277.00000092@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 32045

>Telling the officer to "get your Sergeant down here. Now" does
>not stop any discussion, nor does the officer have to comply. He
>can simply advise you if you have an issue how to contact his
>supervisor.

When I was working 'on the street', I often called my sergeant(s) anyway...we were required to get a ranking officer's signature on the arrest paperwork before transport, if it was possible.

Of course, I quickly learned that if an individual was really making himself into an anal orifice, any Sergeant on the scene would *demand* an arrest, rather than issue a citation. Lieutenants were worse.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3585. Re: New 250D/785D Software Available!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 02:39:13 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3ea9f1a0.11005311@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 32046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

And the usual high level of support?

On Thu, 24 Apr 2003 16:09:19 GMT, "Pozilla Software"
<support.xxx.no.spam@pozillasoft.com> wrote:

>WinScanDP, programming software for the BC250D and the 785D is now available
>for sale and immediate download from our website. The software features a
>very user friendly interface and many features not found on other software.
>The price of the software is $24.95.
>
>For more information on WinScanDP, please visit our website at
>http://www.pozillasoft.com
>
>Thank you.
>
>Warmest Regards,
>
>Steve
>Pozilla Software
>
>One last note - We would like to thank all of the people on Usenet who
>signed up and assisted us during the beta test of the software. While not
>all of you were selected for the beta test, those that were selected were
>very helpful in testing the software, catching bugs, and making suggestions
>on making the software better. Again, thank you very much!
>
>

3586. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 02:39:28 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030425223928.13277.00000093@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 32047

>While it may be an uneducated guess, it is still wrong. If the officer
>feels it may be a crime, he can research the law, and then make an
>arrest, (if the situation calls for it).

Folks, it's easier than that. I carry a PDA with the statutes plainly listed
and cross-referenced in it.

A more comprehensive version resides in my laptop computer.

I also have a current copy of my State's statutory criminal law &
procedure...the exact same lawbook the judges and district attorneys use, and
yes, I buy a new one each year, at my own expense.

And, if I can't find what I'm looking for in all that, I just pick up the
cellphone and consult with an Assistant District Attorney.
BTW, in my State (Louisiana), all the criminal statutes are listed and easily
accessed via the Attorney Geenral's website.

Not all that hard to research the current laws, is it?

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3587. WTB: Regency VHF rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 03:07:12 UTC
From: BiteFace <w6ibl@juno.com>
Message-ID: <AJmqa.40825$ey1.3732759@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 32048

WTB:

Old-timer Regency single frequency VHF Hi-Band receivers. Typical model number: ACT-R1. Must be VHF Hi-Band with no mods and in working condition. Cosmetics not important.

TNX

John

3588. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 03:22:15 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <lKacnV9D2-yqYTSjXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 32051

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:vajdl16238j300@corp.supernews.com...
> Doubtful, anyone that has seen his signature line will recognize that he
> spends his entire life pushing on doors marked pull. I would bet he
"copped"
> an attitude with the cop.

Hey don't be mad at those not on the list just because you're a moron and
they aren't.

You were just like that KKKop. That's why you're on the list to begin with.
You tried some scare tactic bullshit with me talking about, "I'ma report you
to yer ISP on accounta ya'll is cussin'." It didn't work though did it
Jethro? NOPE! :)

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought
to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to
tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)

Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden / David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige though.)

3589. Re: Antenna Coax Impedance Mis-Matches (for receiving antennas) Quest. ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 03:25:02 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@cox.net>
Message-ID: <noujavovc3cthtrrqklebp0q2c0n5jal5p@4ax.com>
Source record: 32050

On Wed, 23 Apr 2003 08:04:24 -0400, "Robert11" <rgsrose@tiac.net>
wrote:

>Hello:
>
>Will be purchasing a new Antennacraft Scantenna ST2 antenna for receiving 30
>MHz to 1.3 GHz.
>
>This antenna is quoted as having a 75 ohm output (comes with a 300 ohm to
>75 ohm Balun, apparently).
>
>Of course, my receiver only has a 50 ohm input for this range. (always
>something)
>Let me ask my question two ways for clarity:
>
>a. I already have a 50 ohm coax run from my attic (replacing a R/S Discone)
>to my receiver input which I
> would like to utilize again, if practical.
>
> Can someone please describe the effects of this mis-match, if I just
>plug the new 75 ohm antenna into this 50 ohm cable?
> (about a 50 ft run) I do listening only)
For a 50 foot run, the loss in the Coax at frequencies above 150Mhz is
likely to dwarf any losses from the mismatch. The input to your
scanner is a nomial 50 ohms. In reality these are often simply
referred to as Lo-Z, because to be blunt, the input impedance wanders
significantly over the tuning range of the scanner. The actual loss
at the front end as a result of using a 72 or 75 ohm versus into a 50
ohm feed line is very small. It is at most a 1.5:1 VSWR, and by most
standards that is a pretty good match. The difference in signal level
is on the order of 1 db, and that assumes the input really is 50 ohms
across the spectrum.

If VHF and UHF reception are key, forget about the 50 and 72 ohm
parts, pick the cable with the lowest loss, because over 50 feet, that
is going to far larger then the loss from the imedance mismatch.

3590. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 03:28:13 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <xQmdnZFMuIIHYDSjXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 32052

"James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20030425222314.13277.00000089@mb-m10.aol.com...
> Ours is, "You might beat the rap, but you can't beat the ride."

Yours is "Sieg Heil". Fucking Nazi pig.
--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought
to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to
tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner

John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden /
David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige
though.)

"James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20030425222314.13277.00000089@mb-m10.aol.com...
> >Yep. It is a saying among police officers -"You can't talk your way
> >out of a ticket - but you can always talk yourself into one!
>
> Ours is, "You might beat the rap, but you can't beat the ride."
>
> In other words, if you really want to make a total ass of yourself *and*
talk
> yourself into a cell, we'll be glad to oblige <g>.
>

>
>
> James S. Prine
> "Ignorance is Blix"
>
>
>
>

3591. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 04:32:50 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <qmsjavo2n2m37k88lh1k3jh6fg80u2lo78@4ax.com>
Source record: 32053

On 24 Apr 2003 05:20:07 GMT, jsprine@aol.comnospam (James S. Prine)
wrote:

>>You can call his supervisor, but keep in mind, these people stand
>>behind each other, right or wrong.
>
>You've obviously never spent any time at all as a New Orleans police officer.
>The rank doesn't stand behind their troops, they stand *on top* of them, if
>it'll make points. There are exceptions, to be sure, but don't bank on it.

Ok, politics will be in play in a city that large, but what about your
immediate sergeant? If you told a victim there is insufficient
grounds for an arrest, couldn't you count on him to tell the victim
the same, even if he would have made the arrest had the been the one
to respond? Would he really take action if someone reported to him
that you did something minor, like swearing at them?

>>Vague, broadly defined charges, like
>>disorderly conduct allow, the cops to make the law as they go.
>
>Yes, I can see a policeman in front of the screening unit at the District
>Attorney's Office 'making the law' while trying to arrange for the DA to
>prosecute the case. Yeah, right.

Situation: I was part of a group of pro-life protestors, and we were
spread out along a busy public street. One of our members was
approached by a young cop. He was holding a sign and passing out
handbills. He had no megaphone, and was not yelling. He told the cop
he was peacefully exercising his constitutional rights, and that there
were no grounds to confront him. The cop demanded ID, and my friend
pulled out his wallet and lightly tossed it at the cop, bouncing off
the cop's chest. He then found his arm grabbed, forcefully twisted
behind his back, cuffs slapped on, and off to jail he went.

The DA filed the charges, and argued in court that my friend had
indeed done something wrong and criminal. (People in the justice
system do back each other up, right or wrong). The jury found him
guilty of disorderly conduct and obstructing a sidewalk.

The same guy also tells of an incident where he was patted down for
weapons, simply because, "Pro-Lifers are sometimes known to be
violent".

And funny you mention DA's. The papers told of one of the attorney's
in our local DA's office was convicted of disorderly conduct a few
years ago. He was in a liquor store and had forgotten his ID. The

sale was refused, and the unhappy attorney said the words f--- y---
several times to the clerk. An officer was present in the store, and
followed him into the parking lot and cited him.

As I said, vague, broadly defined charges, like disorderly conduct,
enable cops on the spot to declare anything they do not like to be
illegal.

>
>>Be wise to their
>>game of, "I'm going to take you to jail, unless you admit to something
>>I can take you to jail for".
>
>Wow...you really must have had some *interesting* experiences with law
>enforcement, to get you spouting this sort of stuff.

Right, I'm concerned about police excess, therefore I must be a
criminal. The fact that I do not have a criminal record should
effectively serve to refute this notion.

I simply know what it was like to have lived as a teenage boy in
suburban California, where any group of teenage boys hanging out in a
parking lot "must be up to something".

Can you honestly say that in your LE career, you never confronted
someone you suspected, but did not have grounds to make an arrest, and
used his fear of going to jail to get him to admit to something
incriminating?

3592. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 04:35:20 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <503kavcgr18ksdjnj473o1tufdmop77k0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 32054

On Wed, 23 Apr 2003 22:35:44 -0400, "RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote:

>"Gary" <nospam@dontsendit.com> wrote in message
>news:lnbeavc1cqm4uditqrran33iie0jgmbkoa@4ax.com...
>> On the street, you are at a serious disadvantage. Don't lecture cops
>> on the law. The less you say to them the better.
>
>and I'm not going out like that. I'll make a pig
>look like the fool he is, I've done it before. Obviously this one doesn't
>get the hint that his card is gonna be pulled if he plays his little game of
>"I know the law and the citizens don't."

It doesn't matter how right you are, or how good you are at debate.
You will not win an argument with a cop in the street.

3593. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 04:56:24 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <q43kavo70rrod6d5tneu2k61efurduoa6t@4ax.com>
Source record: 32055

On Wed, 23 Apr 2003 18:16:46 -0700, "Justin Time"
<yooww2002@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I have never had any of the problems with cops that you guys describe.
>Gee, I wonder why they pick on you?

I'll bet at the sobriety checkpoint, they just wave you through. Now
the guy with the long hair, or the brown skin, with the dog slobbering
all over his upholstery, he must be a scumbag who deserves it, right?

3594. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 05:08:06 UTC
From: Kevin Gowen <kgowenNOSPAM@myfastmail.com>
Message-ID: <b8d483$8h63f$1@ID-105084.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32056
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:vahj7refk1dpd0@corp.supernews.com...
>> Brenda, the form of government defined by us is a democracy. Within
>> that framework they may pick who ever they desire.
>>
>> Is your definition of freedom that the majority (the Shiia) may
>> choose what ever form they want, to the detriment of all other Iraqi
>> citizens?
>>
>> You need to reexamine your position, the simplistic Kum-by-ya stuff
>> does not work in a real world.
>
> Is not our government by choice of the majority?

No, it is not. Democrats learned this the hard way in 2000, yet they still
whine.

> If those people
> elect a theocratic government, that is their choice, not ours, to
> make.

Gee, I guess we really did Japan wrong then.

--
Kevin Gowen

3595. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 05:33:58 UTC
From: Kevin Gowen <kgowenNOSPAM@myfastmail.com>
Message-ID: <b8d5rb$8k6q2$1@ID-105084.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 32057
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:vahlbnnn2lgu96@corp.supernews.com...
>> If ours were truly by majority, women wouldn't be voting and slavery
>> would still be in vogue.
>>
>> Ours country is a Republic, we do not have a democratic form of
>> government. If the majority ruled, Gore would be president.
>> Fortunately that is not the case.
>>
>> Did Sesame Street not cover the electoral college?
>
> I'm quite familiar with the electoral college. Also, I don't know
> what planet you are from, but on the planet Earth,

Wrong. The worldwide sex ration is about 106males:100 females i.e. 51.4% of
the world is male.

> and in every
> country on her, women are in the MAJORITY.

Wrong again. For example, in Qatar, men outnumber women almost 2 to 1.
http://www.geographyiq.com/ranking/ranking_Sex_Ratio_total_population_dall.htm

Women like you say goofy shit and then wonder why they can't get any
respect.

--
Kevin Gowen

3596. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 06:06:48 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vak8dkflkd5p47@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32058

"Gary" <nospam@dontsendit.com> wrote in message
news:qmsjavo2n2m37k88lh1k3jh6fg80u2lo78@4ax.com...

<snipped>

I cant decide if I will cry for you now or later or both.

3597. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 07:27:11 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030426032711.21914.00000127@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 32059

>If you told a victim there is insufficient
>grounds for an arrest, couldn't you count on him to tell the victim
>the same, even if he would have made the arrest had the been the one
>to respond?

Well...we don't usually arrest victims, unless they've committed a criminal offense. It *does* happen.

>Would he really take action if someone reported to him
>that you did something minor, like swearing at them?

Depending upon circumstances, the sergeant may, or may not. But we have a Public Integrity Division, the Office of Municipal Investigation, the Metropolitan Crime Commission, and the Civil Rights Division of the F.B.I., not to mention the State Attorney General's Office, who can enter into the proceedings if actual police misconduct is determined. And all complaints are entered into the Officer's personnel jacket, and a history of complaints is handled by retraining, counseling, or discipline, including termination or, if warranted, criminal and/or civil prosecution.

>The jury found him
>guilty of disorderly conduct and obstructing a sidewalk.

I'd have also charged him with simple battery, which is a misdemeanor here. He obviously had no legitimate reason to 'toss his wallet' at the Officer, did he?

As for 'confronting' the man in the first place, even you mentioned that your group was 'spread out' along a busy public street.
For all you know, the Officer may have been responding to a valid complaint from passing motorists, or trying to maintain orderly traffic flow. He might have been ordered to check on the group, by senior Officers. He might have been concerned about the group being so close to passing traffic...accidents *do* happen, and I can tell you that if a Police Officer is merely *in* an area and someone gets injured, it's automatically assumed that the individual Officer is somehow responsible, and that a civil suit must ensue. I can attest to that from personal experience.

Certainly we have the First Amendment in effect, but that doesn't guarantee that a 'group' can endanger passing traffic, or themselves, in their zeal to make themselves heard.

>The DA filed the charges, and argued in court that my friend had
>indeed done something wrong and criminal.

And I agree. But, if this incident troubles you so much, why not file a complaint with the police department...or the city, or the state, or the F.B.I.'s Civil Rights Division? Probably because the police actions were

deemed to be within legal guidelines.

>The same guy also tells of an incident where he was patted down for
>weapons, simply because, "Pro-Lifers are sometimes known to be
>violent".

Sounds suspicious, if it were limited to merely that, but the U.S. Supreme Court has ruled that a Police Officer may legally 'pat down' an individual he is 'officially interacting' with, for the purpose of ensuring the Officer's safety.

>An officer was present in the store, and
>followed him into the parking lot and cited him.

Sounds like the Officer performed his duties correctly, and the D.A.'s official was, quite properly, convicted for his criminal offense.

>As I said, vague, broadly defined charges, like disorderly conduct,
>enable cops on the spot to declare anything they do not like to be
>illegal.

If you've read any of my post(s), you'd see that Police Officers are *rigidly* limited in how they can perform their duties. 'Disorderly Conduct' is hardly a nebulous concept in any event.

>Right, I'm concerned about police excess, therefore I must be a
>criminal. The fact that I do not have a criminal record should
>effectively serve to refute this notion

I never said that. Within the confines of what you've shown here, you seemingly feel that *all police* are corrupt, because you feel they limit your freedom to do whatever you wish, in any matter you desire. Sorry.
>I simply know what it was like to have lived as a teenage boy in
>suburban California, where any group of teenage boys hanging out in a
>parking lot "must be up to something".

I was a teenager myself, once upon a time. And, from personal experience, both as a teenager and, later, as a police patrolman, yes, most of the time, when you find a group of teenage boys 'hanging out in a parking lot', they *are* up to something.

>Can you honestly say that in your LE career, you never confronted
>someone you suspected, but did not have grounds to make an arrest, and
>used his fear of going to jail to get him to admit to something
>incriminating?

Hell no! That would be quite stupid, and totally unprofessional. *Real* bad guys don't give a damn about going to jail, and I've had more than a few experiences of people committing crimes just *to get* into jail...usually because they needed medical attention, or wanted to fatten up a while.

No, my technique is to build a solid case, with physical evidence if possible, and whatever corrobation is available, and, after the case is more or less 'made', *then* talk to a suspect. If pays to do your homework in the business.

I'm not so hard up to *make cases* that I feel I have to browbeat average citizens who just want to get along in life. Most experiences cops I know feel exactly the same way.

Certainly, bad eggs get recruited and make it through the Academy and even the Field Training program, but they get caught sooner or later, and prosecuted if at all possible. DA's LOVE to convict cops.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3598. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 07:29:50 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030426032950.21914.00000128@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 32060

>I'll bet at the sobriety checkpoint, they just wave you through. Now
>the guy with the long hair, or the brown skin, with the dog slobbering
>all over his upholstery, he must be a scumbag who deserves it, right?

Funny how 'intellectuals' like you always describe Police Officers as
'pigs'...and always portray them as bigots and racists.

If you want to see a *true* bigot at work...look in the mirror, amigo.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3599. OT Pagers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 07:34:01 UTC
From: Lou <Tech@DP.NET>
Message-ID: <b8dcq8jsv1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 32061

Have 2 - low band - 46.40 MHz Motorola Minitor 1s here and a charger / amp. ALL were removed from service working. Will need only your tone reeds and new batteries. There are 2 sets of batteries with them, but old. Want to sell as set only. $45 plus shipping for all. Money Orders only. Check my e-bay profile for honesty. 100% positive. Let me know if interested, and we'll go from there.

Have also:

1 - 979.9 Hz reed from above $12.50 "shipped".
1 - 688.3 Hz reed from above $12.50 "shipped".

Interested? E-mail me directly

Thanks.

--
Lou/Tech@DP.NET

3600. Re: Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 09:07:01 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3EAA4C35.B2EEB636@USA.net>
Source record: 32062

RedXIII wrote:

> Hey don't be mad at those not on the list just because you're a moron and
> they aren't.
>
> You were just like that KKKop. That's why you're on the list to begin with.
> You tried some scare tactic bullshit with me talking about, "I'ma report you
> to yer ISP on accounta ya'll is cussin'." It didn't work though did it
> Jethro? NOPE! :)
>

You really need to get a life. You posted your bull shit traffic stop in a radio scanner group, when the readers posted their comments in opposition to your wants you sat and cried, listed posters like a little child playing a game, refereed to the police as KKK's. What the hell is wrong with you? Didn't your Mommy love you enough when.....

This thread has gotten way out of hand. First of all, it doesn't belong in this group, it does belong in some psychiatric bull shit group where people can air their problems and all posters there cry together. Then take their prozac.

3601. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 09:11:15 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3EAA4D33.8B855775@USA.net>
Source record: 32063

"James S. Prine" wrote:

> >While it may be an uneducated guess, it is still wrong. If the officer
> >feels it may be a crime, he can research the law, and then make an
> >arrest, (if the situation calls for it).
>
> Folks, it's easier than that. I carry a PDA with the statutes plainly listed
> and cross-referenced in it.
>
> A more comprehensive version resides in my laptop computer.
>
> I also have a current copy of my State's statutory criminal law &
> procedure...the exact same lawbook the judges and district attorneys use, and
> yes, I buy a new one each year, at my own expense.
>
> And, if I can't find what I'm looking for in all that, I just pick up the
> cellphone and consult with an Assistant District Attorney.
> BTW, in my State (Louisiana), all the criminal statutes are listed and easily
> accessed via the Attorney Geenral's website.
>
> Not all that hard to research the current laws, is it?
>
> James S. Prine
> "Ignorance is Blix"

"Outstanding" post James. We have a similar operation in PA.

The only "REAL" color here is "B L U E".

Fraternally, Pappy

3602. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 10:30:24 UTC
From: Frank <uhesffwtly@bmwfcycddnhqjdvr.com>
Message-ID: <01c30bde$cb32dfa0$0125250a@bmwfcycddnhqjdvr>
Source record: 32068

James S. Prine <20030425222947.13277.00000091@mb-m10.aol.com>...
^ > A cop lying to a citizen is a violation
^ >of the trust we place in them.
^
^ I've lied to 'citizens' more times than I can count. When I'm making a
^ case on a violent felon, there's no law that says I have to play fair
^ or tell the truth all the time.

The entire Constitution of the United States is based on fairness and truth. Justice is by definition fairness -- what you are claiming is a travesty of justice.

^ All I have to do is obey the guidelines of the law, not make scumbags
^ happy.

What is a scumbag? Someone who you believe to be a criminal but who in actuality may or may not be?

^ I'd rather play it nice, but all the incomprehensible restrictions...
^ with more being enacted all the time...make 'playing fair' virtually
^ impossible, amigo.

Those restrictions are placed there to protect me, the innocent guy, and it is the job of a cop to protect me from injustice by using those restrictions.

James S. Prine:
^ If that's a 'violation of trust', so be it...
Pappy:
^ The only "REAL" color here is "B L U E".

A cop is sworn to protect the people. That is why we have cops. What you and Pappy are suggesting here is that you only protect yourselves and that the people are an enemy. This is why you are seeing so many complaints here. Ideally (though perhaps somewhat unrealistically) you should be protecting us above yourselves, just as the military does, yet you have turned law enforcement into a private community that protects only its own interests and desires for the neighborhood and country.

^ course, we can play it *your* way and wind up with anarchy.

In my 50 years of life I have been victimized only once (articles stolen from my vehicle) by someone who was not a cop (I assume), but I have been victimized countless times by the people who we trust to protect us from victimization, our own cops. You and Pappy are presenting a false dilemma: you are suggesting that we either have abusive cops or we have anarchy. There are many police departments across the US who do not subscribe to this false dilemma, who have very good relationships with the people, and who are able

to satisfactorily suppress crime.

Frank

3603. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 12:30:46 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vakuvjhaoqmaf1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32069

"Dave Holford" <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3EA9A24B.1D5B180D@sympatico.ca...
>
>
> Power House CB & Scanner wrote:
>
> > It was pitch black outside with glaring headlights coming
> > at him, an equivalent speed of about 110MPH, and 3-lanes way...
> > Sorry, he would have never seen a thing...
>
>
> And yet for some reason he chose to stop YOU?
> Is there something special about your lights?

Don't read part of the posts, read them all! He didn't stop me; this has nothing to do with me at all... If you aren't going to get the whole story, then you have no right to thrown in your uninformed 2...

PH

3604. Trunked systems in NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 12:39:14 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <tOmcnZpUu-gU4DejXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 32070

Aside from the State police are there any other Trunked systems in Northern NJ?

--
Anthony B
anthony@mostlyrockets.com
www.mostlyrockets.com

3605. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 13:16:31 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <PEvqa.160967$0X.35177606@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32071
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Kevin Gowen" <kgowenNOSPAM@myfastmail.com> wrote

> Brenda Ann wrote:
> > "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:vahlbnnn2lgu96@corp.supernews.com...
> >> If ours were truly by majority, women wouldn't be voting and slavery
> >> would still be in vogue.
> >>
> >> Ours country is a Republic, we do not have a democratic form of
> >> government. If the majority ruled, Gore would be president.
> >> Fortunately that is not the case.
> >>
> >> Did Sesame Street not cover the electoral college?
> >
> > I'm quite familiar with the electoral college. Also, I don't know
> > what planet you are from, but on the planet Earth,
>
> Wrong. The worldwide sex ration is about 106males:100 females i.e. 51.4%
of
> the world is male.
>
> > and in every
> > country on her, women are in the MAJORITY.
>
> Wrong again. For example, in Qatar, men outnumber women almost 2 to 1.
>
http://www.geographyiq.com/ranking/ranking_Sex_Ratio_total_population_dall.h
tm
>
> Women like you say goofy shit and then wonder why they can't get any
> respect.
>
> --
> Kevin Gowen

lol...

3606. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 13:19:51 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <XHvqa.161044$0X.35181327@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32072
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"""Q""" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote

<nothing important>

Why don't you quit morphing and use your own nym?

3607. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 13:20:39 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <HIvqa.161063$0X.35182177@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32073
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

The two of you should seek help for your paranoia.

3608. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 13:22:05 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <1Kvqa.161096$0X.35183607@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32074
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote

> The real freedom of the internet is that anyone with a keyboard may opine,
> in spite of the lack of both knowledge and logical thought.
>
> I get this mental picture of many typing like mad, singing "if I only had
a
> brain", as they regurgitate the latest MTV rant.

But where else would they get their "facts"? Oh, I forgot - there IS the
guardian...

3609. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 13:22:44 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <EKvqa.161117$0X.35184255@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32075
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote

> Will the real "Q"please stand up?

lol...present...no forged headers here...

3610. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 13:23:57 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <NLvqa.161143$0X.35185487@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32076
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

The alt.conspiracy nuts have spoken...Or was that the NASCAR crowd?

3611. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 13:27:28 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <4Pvqa.161224$0X.35189027@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 32077
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Justin Time" <yooww2002@yahoo.com> wrote

> "JF" <zbyte@cwnet.com> wrote in message
> news:vaiho7rhrb7v1c@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > Bush did steal the election, no matter how blind you choose to believe,
> and
> > 50 years from now history will reflect this.
>
> That will be reflected only if people like you manage to rewrite history
to
> suit them. I see that happening now. If history doesnt fit your agenda,
> you try to rewrite it.

Look at how they tried to rewrite what happened during WWII. Can you blame
the vets for taking exception at what the Smithsonian tried to do?

> I will not be lead into the
> > gas chamber like you Third Reich Conservatives would like all Americans
> to.
> > World domination is all that matters to this ego centered so called
> > president of the United States. When they hand you over the authorities
> to
> > be plugged with the chip, I'm sure you'll be first in line.
>
> Again, bottom line is: Gore lost, you lost, the left lost. You will
> continue to lose as long as you all hang on to defective political
> philosphy, delusions and underhanded defective tactics.

That's why they're all foaming at the mouth over the tax cut - they KNOW it
will boost the economy, and that's the LAST thing they want - for one thing,
they don't want anything good to happen on President Bush's watch, for
another, you'll be taking money away from all of their pet social programs -
the only thing that keeps ANY of them in office.

3612. Re: 4sale AOR AR-5000+3 w/AS-5000, and Autek QF-1A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 13:31:03 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <val2f4c8m06h66@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32078

AUTEK SOLD, BUT HAVE ANOTHER +3 W/COLLINS FILTER FS!!!

3613. Re: 4sale AOR AR-5000+3 w/Collins filters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 13:31:55 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <val2gohv6u8pbd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32079

Make that $1,595.00

Note: I'll be out of town and unable to check/answer e-mail 4/29 thru
5/6/03, however during that period the earliest offer gets it! Check out my
+ feedback on eBay for "arkytazman"... tazman@cox-internet.com

3614. Re: New 250D/785D Software Available!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 14:41:06 UTC
From: No <123@noone.net>
Message-ID: <6Uwqa.141073$MB4.47457154@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 32080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hmmm,
Was on beta. Had submitted list of bugs with the RC9 and RC1 release, Never got any acknowledge of the bugs and never received any information back aside from the two RC releases. Very poorly run beta..

George

"Pozilla Software" <support.xxx.no.spam@pozillasoft.com> wrote in message news:P_Tpa.149914$It5.35547@news2.central.cox.net...
> WinScanDP, programming software for the BC250D and the 785D is now available
> for sale and immediate download from our website. The software features a
> very user friendly interface and many features not found on other software.
> The price of the software is $24.95.
>
> For more information on WinScanDP, please visit our website at
> http://www.pozillasoft.com
>
> Thank you.
>
> Warmest Regards,
>
> Steve
> Pozilla Software
>
> One last note - We would like to thank all of the people on Usenet who
> signed up and assisted us during the beta test of the software. While not
> all of you were selected for the beta test, those that were selected were
> very helpful in testing the software, catching bugs, and making suggestions
> on making the software better. Again, thank you very much!
>
>

3615. Re: Trunked systems in NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 14:45:30 UTC
From: No <123@noone.net>
Message-ID: <eYwqa.141130$MB4.47467552@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 32081

Check out the trs database on www.trunkedradio.net

George

"Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com> wrote in message
news:tOmcnZpUu-gU4DejXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> Aside from the State police are there any other Trunked systems in
Northern
> NJ?
>
> --
> Anthony B
> anthony@mostlyrockets.com
> www.mostlyrockets.com
>
>

3616. scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 15:25:13 UTC
From: JohnG <nospam-vi@freemail.gr>
Message-ID: <b8e8ce$20jm$1@ulysses.noc.ntua.gr>
Source record: 32082

Hi
I want to buy a good scanner antenna for my IC-R2.

In my area there is not much activity so I need a powerfull
antenna. I have conclude to buy Austin Spectra
http://www.rfwiz.com/AustinAntenna/AustinAntennaSpectra.htm

or Nil-Jon Multiband Antenna http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT10MBS.html

I am interesting to receive from 118Mhz to 400Mhz

Which one is better? Any other suggestion?
Thanks a lot

3617. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 15:49:31 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fUxqa.161834$JI.37049810@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 32083

"Robert" <rdbake@prtcnet.org> wrote in message
news:b8b9gm$7be$1@nd.eastky.net...
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote
>
> > And that story is enough make you believe the Michigan State police
are
> > "worse criminals than the criminals themselves?" Either you have lived a
> > very sheltered life or the criminals are really terrific in Michigan.
>
> Corruption and lawless behavior by those in official capacities,
> particularly those with color of authority and access to deadly force, is
> far, far worse than any sort of criminal behavior amongst the citizens.

You are so right! The prosecutors are often worse criminals than those they
prosecute! Of course they have absolute immunity. As I said before, I was
told personally by a Federal Magistrate that county prosecutors have
absolute immunity to threaten the lives of witnesses.
DeWayne

>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.474 / Virus Database: 272 - Release Date: 4/18/2003
>
>

3618. Re: scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 16:01:03 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3EAAAD3F.9060201@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 32084

JohnG wrote:
> Hi
> I want to buy a good scanner antenna for my IC-R2.
>
> In my area there is not much activity so I need a powerfull
> antenna. I have conclude to buy Austin Spectra
> http://www.rfwiz.com/AustinAntenna/AustinAntennaSpectra.htm
>
> or Nil-Jon Multiband Antenna http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT10MBS.html
>
> I am interesting to receive from 118Mhz to 400Mhz
>
> Which one is better? Any other suggestion?
> Thanks a lot
>

I have the NilJon antenna, their mobile ant is about the best you can find for 118-400 (95% of my listening is 225-400, 138-144). However for a base antenna you can do a bit better.

Check this out: http://users.cis.net/kingpop/Scantenna.htm Its the ScanTenna. I have two of these and for an Omni-directional antenna I don't think you could find an antenna to beat it! I've tried many omni-directional ants including $100+ discones and nothing can come close. About the only way to get something better would be to do to a beam such as http://users.cis.net/kingpop/Scan-log.htm (I have one of these too) and its a great beam for the money! It compares to my Create Log Periodic (a $300+ antenna) but doesn't cost nearly as much. You can see my shack and antennas at http://www.milaircomms.com/shack.html

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3619. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 16:01:52 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030426120152.25654.00000091@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 32085

>The entire Constitution of the United States is based on fairness and truth.
>Justice is by definition fairness -- what you are claiming is a travesty of
>justice.

Not at all, what you are playing at here is semantics, not justice. In case you weren't aware of it, countless attorneys and legislators have been subverting the Constitution for years. Justice is an ideal, not a reality.

>What is a scumbag? Someone who you believe to be a criminal but who in
>actuality may or may not be?
>

No, a 'scumbag' is an individual who is a professional criminal...the sort we see time and again, with a multi-page rapsheet, and usually a veteran of several prison terms. We don't create scumbags, they create themselves.

>Those restrictions are placed there to protect me, the innocent guy, and it
>is the job of a cop to protect me from injustice by using those restrictions.

No, not all of them, and you are, yet again, playing with semantics. Those 'restrictions' are what allows murderers and other violent criminals out on the street, time and again, because of the revolving door 'justice system' that's been allowed to proliferate. You really have this thing with 'justice', don't you?

>A cop is sworn to protect the people.

No, a cop is sworn to enforce laws. In reality, the 'cop' is protecting 'society', not individual people. Of course, if an Officer sticks his/her neck out in exigent circumstances to 'save' someone, the Officer is usually rewarded for it...by a lawsuit, or discipline. You know...part of those 'restrictions' we were discussing earlier.

>What you and
>Pappy are suggesting here is that you only protect yourselves and that the
>people are an enemy.

No, grasshopper, not at all. If I wanted to protect *myself*, I'd just quit and stay at home. The 'people' aren't the enemy, but the 'people' who prey upon them *are*. We call them 'criminals', and they don't worry about people at all, other than as being victims.

>This is why you are seeing so many complaints here.

No, we are seeing so many complaints because people like you have so many odd ideas about law enforcement. That is due, no doubt, to decades of social programming and idiotic Hollywood representations of police work.

>you should be protecting us
>above yourselves, just as the military does, yet you have turned law
>enforcement into a private community that protects only its own interests and
>desires for the neighborhood and country.

I wonder what sort of tortured logic you devised to construct this odd bit of reasoning? Law enforcement as a 'private community'? Protecting only it's 'own interests'? C'mon...you're from San francisco, right?

>In my 50 years of life I have been victimized only once (articles stolen from
>my vehicle) by someone who was not a cop (I assume),

Very cute...your hatred of law enforcers is showing itself a little here, be careful...

>I have been
>victimized countless times by the people who we trust to protect us from
>victimization, our own cops.

I wish you'd expand on that. Countless times? Interesting.

>you are suggesting that we either have abusive cops or we have anarchy.

Not at all...I've never said anything of the sort. People like you portray cops as 'abusive' just for going about their business in a normal, professional fashion, obeying all the laws, statutes, rules, and regulations, and risking their lives, time and again, in pursuit of their tasks.

>There
>are many police departments across the US who do not subscribe to this false
>dilemma, who have very good relationships with the people, and who are able
>to satisfactorily suppress crime.

You *must* live in a truly wonderful little paradise...hardly the real world, and definitely not the world I've lived in for the past 52 years, but go ahead, it sounds wonderful, Frank. I might retire and move there myself!

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3620. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 17:29:30 UTC
From: qwerty <nospam@all.com>
Message-ID: <_Izqa.1380$g67.58870930@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 32086
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Sir Circumference" <mymail@mymail.net> wrote in message
news:3EA9DCD2.8020609@mymail.net...
>
>
> Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> > >
> > > Don't recall saying that the sky is falling. All I'm saying is that WE
have
> > > no right to tell the PEOPLE OF IRAQ what form of legal government they
can
> > > or cannot elect. If the people of Iraq democratically elect a
theocratic
> > > government, that's their business. And for your information, a
theocratic
> > > government does not mean a totalitarian regime (though I will admit that
it
> > > DOES happen that way). Saddam's government allowed freedom of religion
> > > (unless you happened to be Shiia) while oppressing ethnic MAJORITIES
within
> > > the country. His own Tikriti tribe, and his Sunni sect were and are in
the
> > > minority there, but managed to sieze power anyway.
> > >
> > > How would you like it if I were to come up to you with a gun in my hand,
and
> > > tell you that you can vote for whomever you like, as long as it wasn't a
> > > Republican, Democrat, Socialist, et. al.? Wouldn't feel a lot like
freedom
> > > to you, now would it? Those people wanting to be led in a certain way is
no
> > > different than those of us in the US choosing to be lead by big business
> > > ass-kissing conservatives or money grabbing tree kissing Democrats...
it's
> > > our right to choose, majority rules, and it is theirs as well.
> >
> > You obviously do not have a grasp of the situation in Iraq (are we
> > suprised?). It the Shiia who want to choose *their* way of
> > government, to be ruled by Shiia cleric, over any wishes of the
> > rest of the Iraqi people. That is not the people of Iraq making
> > the choice, just the Shiia.

Sorry, but it's YOU who fails to grasp the situation in Iraq! The Shiia represent about 60% of the population of Iraq which makes them the clear majority. Iraq has been ruled by the Sunni's for decades who only are about 10% of the Iraqi population, with 20% being Kurds. The Shiia are demanding their right to rule as the majority and I don't think you can deny them that after decades of suppression of that right.

3621. "NXX" on 389 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 19:04:11 UTC
From: DougSlug <dougslug@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <LKAqa.143543$MB4.48420715@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 32087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I heard "NXX" being transmitted in CW continuously about every 8 seconds on 389 kHz. This was a very strong signal at my central NJ location. Is this some sort of aeronautical radio beacon? How would this signal be used?

- Doug

3622. Re: "NXX" on 389 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 19:23:34 UTC
From: helmsman <helmsman@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <o0nlavs3lvmurarbh68oi4jken9orevrpc@4ax.com>
Source record: 32088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 26 Apr 2003 19:04:11 GMT, "DougSlug" <dougslug@optonline.net>
wrote:

>I heard "NXX" being transmitted in CW continuously about every 8 seconds on
>389 kHz. This was a very strong signal at my central NJ location. Is this
>some sort of aeronautical radio beacon? How would this signal be used?
>
> - Doug
>
At 19.21 Z
I hear "X" very weakly sent singly over and over. No other letters or
numbers. Near Detroit.
.
BOYCOTT French products & DON'T vacation in France!
BOYCOTT
ï¿½ Air France. Air Liquide. Airbus. Alcatel. Allegra (allergy medication). Aqualung (including: Spirotechnique, Technisub, US Divers, and SeaQuest). AXA Advisors
ï¿½ Bank of the West (owned by BNP Paribas). Beneteau (boats). BF Goodrich (owned by Michelin). BIC (razors, pens and lighters). Biotherm (cosmetics). Black Bush. Bollinger (champagne).
ï¿½ Car & Driver Magazine. Cartier. Chanel. Chivas Regal (scotch). Christian Dior. Club Med (vacations). Culligan (owned by Vivendi).
ï¿½ Dannon (yogurt and dairy foods). DKNY. Dom Perignon. Durand Crystal
ï¿½ Elle Magazine. Essilor Optical Products. Evian.
ï¿½ Fina gas stations and Fina Oil (billions invested in Iraqi oil fields). First Hawaiian Bank.
ï¿½ George Magazine. Givenchy. Glenlivet (scotch).
ï¿½ Hennessy. Houghton Mifflin (books).
ï¿½ Jacobs Creek (owned by Pernod Ricard since 1989). Jameson (whiskey). Jerry Springer (talk show)
ï¿½ Krups (coffee and cappuccino makers).
ï¿½ Lancome. Le Creuset (cookware). L'Oreal (health and beauty products). Louis Vuitton.
ï¿½ Marie Claire. Martel Cognac. Maybelline. Mï¿½phisto (shoes and clothes). Michelin (tires and auto parts). Mikasa (crystal and glass). Moet (champagne). Motel 6. Motown Records. MP3.com. Mumms (champagne).
ï¿½ Nissan (cars; majority owned by Renault). Nivea. Normany Butter.
ï¿½ Parents Magazine. Peugeot (automobiles). Pierre Cardin. Playstation Magazine. ProScan (owned by Thomson Electronics, France). Publicis Group (including Saatchi & Saatchi Advertising).
ï¿½ RCA (televisions and electronics; owned by Thomson Electronics). Red Magazine. Red Roof Inns (owned by Accor group in France). Renault (automobiles). Road & Track Magazine. Roquefort cheese (all Roquefort cheese is made in France). Rowenta (toasters, irons, coffee makers, etc.). Royal Canadian.

ï¿½ Salomon (skis). Seagram's Gin. Sierra Software and Computer Games. Smart & Final. Sofitel (hotels, owned by Accor). Sparkletts (water, owned by Danone). Spencer Gifts. Sundance Channel.
ï¿½ Taylor Made (golf). Technicolor. T-Fal (kitchenware). Total gas stations.
ï¿½ UbiSoft (computer games). Uniroyal. Universal Studios (music, movies and amusement parks; owned by Vivendi-Universal). USFilter.
ï¿½ Veritas Group. Veuve Clicquot Champagne. Vittel. Vivendi.
ï¿½ Wild Turkey (bourbon). Woman's Day Magazine.
ï¿½ Yoplait (The French company Sodiaal owns a 50 percent stake). Yves Saint Laurent.
ï¿½ Zodiac Inflatable Boats.

3623. Re: "NXX" on 389 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 19:48:33 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <valok7nnlhnh47@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 32089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

NDB's are used for instrument flight to mark some intersections as well as being used for non-precision approaches.

In other areas of the world they are used much more than in the US. They have an advantage where there is long distances between points. For example over water, it may be impossible at low altitudes to pick up a VOR, but NDB's work well.
"DougSlug" <dougslug@optonline.net> wrote in message news:LKAqa.143543$MB4.48420715@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> I heard "NXX" being transmitted in CW continuously about every 8 seconds on
> 389 kHz. This was a very strong signal at my central NJ location. Is this
> some sort of aeronautical radio beacon? How would this signal be used?
>
> - Doug
>
>

3624. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 20:09:04 UTC
From: USER1 <user1@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.19148738f5d7b8ca989af5@news.newsguy.com>
Source record: 32091
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <_lzqa.1380$g67.58870930@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>,
nospam@all.com says...
>
> "Sir Circumference" <mymail@mymail.net> wrote in message
> news:3EA9DCD2.8020609@mymail.net...
> >
> >
> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Don't recall saying that the sky is falling. All I'm saying is that WE
> have
> > > no right to tell the PEOPLE OF IRAQ what form of legal government they
> can
> > > or cannot elect. If the people of Iraq democratically elect a
> theocratic
> > > government, that's their business. And for your information, a
> theocratic
> > > government does not mean a totalitarian regime (though I will admit that
> it
> > > DOES happen that way). Saddam's government allowed freedom of religion
> > > (unless you happened to be Shiia) while oppressing ethnic MAJORITIES
> within
> > > the country. His own Tikriti tribe, and his Sunni sect were and are in
> the
> > > minority there, but managed to sieze power anyway.
> > >
> > > How would you like it if I were to come up to you with a gun in my hand,
> and
> > > tell you that you can vote for whomever you like, as long as it wasn't a
> > > Republican, Democrat, Socialist, et. al.? Wouldn't feel a lot like
> freedom
> > > to you, now would it? Those people wanting to be led in a certain way is
> no
> > > different than those of us in the US choosing to be lead by big business
> > > ass-kissing conservatives or money grabbing tree kissing Democrats...
> it's
> > > our right to choose, majority rules, and it is theirs as well.
> >
> > You obviously do not have a grasp of the situation in Iraq (are we
> > suprised?). It the Shiia who want to choose *their* way of
> > government, to be ruled by Shiia cleric, over any wishes of the
> > rest of the Iraqi people. That is not the people of Iraq making

> > the choice, just the Shiia.
>
> Sorry, but it's YOU who fails to grasp the situation in Iraq! The Shiia
> represent about 60% of the population of Iraq which makes them the clear
> majority. Iraq has been ruled by the Sunni's for decades who only are about
> 10% of the Iraqi population, with 20% being Kurds. The Shiia are demanding
> their right to rule as the majority and I don't think you can deny them that
> after decades of suppression of that right.
>
THen you don't think all that well.

It's the BUSH administration - remember?

They didn't go all the way to Iraq to let the Iraqis vote for a bunch of
religious people. We are going to bring them democracy the USA way -
where they will have the right to vote for any American Puppet they
please.

Or else.

3625. Re: "NXX" on 389 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 20:28:44 UTC
From: Pat Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3EAAEBFB.9CFEA0CD@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 32090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

NDB's: the ultimate low band, low power DX. I have heard over 700 in 30 states and 26 countries...before the advent of the internet and realitve ease in which one can be identified today. The first few nights of Fall when the temperature really drops for the first time and ushers cold weather into your listening area are incredible nights for listening and also just before dawn. In a horserace one night with another ham op I found over 50 new ones due to a temperature drop among other things. Used nothing anymore than a longwire antenna and an Icom R71A. Still take a Sony 2010 along on trips just to find a new one from time to time. Pat, K4AVO (All-Icom)

DougSlug wrote:

> I heard "NXX" being transmitted in CW continuously about every 8 seconds on
> 389 kHz. This was a very strong signal at my central NJ location. Is this
> some sort of aeronautical radio beacon? How would this signal be used?
>
> - Doug

3626. Re: "NXX" on 389 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 20:37:56 UTC
From: Aspiring Elmer <elmer@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <E6Cqa.3288$oI2.3286@fed1read01>
Source record: 32092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Doug -- it is a NDB (Non Directional Beacon) descriptions of these can be found at URL:
http://members.aol.com/trekkspill/aerobcn.html

Airport Locator info is at URL:
http://www.airnav.com/navaids/

It sez NXX is
FAA Identifier: NXX
Lat/Long: 40-12-01.390N / 075-08-52.622W
40-12.02317N / 075-08.87703W
40.2003861 / -75.1479506
(estimated)
Elevation: 362 ft. / 110.3 m (surveyed)
Variation: 12W (1985)
From city: 4 miles NW of WILLOW GROVE, PA

--

73 From AC6V
Rod In Oceanside, CA
Home Page http://ac6v.com
DX Book http://ac6v.com/DXSAMPLE.htm
FM Beginners Book http://ac6v.com/FM101.htm
==========
"DougSlug" <dougslug@optonline.net> wrote in message news:LKAqa.143543$MB4.48420715@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> I heard "NXX" being transmitted in CW continuously about every 8 seconds on
> 389 kHz. This was a very strong signal at my central NJ location. Is this
> some sort of aeronautical radio beacon? How would this signal be used?
>
> - Doug
>
>

3627. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 21:18:13 UTC
From: Frank <drbhzbinvp@vyjletslvprecxsw.com>
Message-ID: <01c30c39$2bca8e30$0125250a@vyjletslvprecxsw>
Source record: 32093

James S. Prine <20030426120152.25654.00000091@mb-m21.aol.com>...

Semantics are a necessary part of language. If you ignore semantics then you are ignoring the language.

^ >The entire Constitution of the United States is based on fairness
^ >and truth. Justice is by definition fairness -- what you are
^ >claiming is a travesty of justice.
^
^ Not at all, what you are playing at here is semantics, not justice. In case
^ you weren't aware of it, countless attorneys and legislators

... and cops ...

^ have been subverting the Constitution for years. Justice is an ideal,
^ not a reality.

Precisely our complaint.

^ >Those restrictions are placed there to protect me, the innocent guy,
^ >and it is the job of a cop to protect me from injustice by using those
^ >restrictions.
^
^ No, not all of them, and you are, yet again, playing with semantics.
^ Those 'restrictions' are what allows murderers and other violent
^ criminals out on the street, time and again, because of the revolving
^ door 'justice system' that's been allowed to proliferate.

So you're suggesting that it's better to harass, terrorize, and convict innocent people just so that one criminal doesn't go free? Hogwash! You can't get them all all of the time and it is better to let some get by than to violate an innocent person's liberties. There are innocent people in prison -- even on death row! -- and by your implication that is much better than taking the chance of letting a criminal go free! You should not have been a cop and I'm glad that you no longer are -- I just hope that you didn't move to another position in the justice/legal system somewhere.

^ You really have this thing with 'justice', don't you?

Yes!

I could continue with the remainder of your counter arguments but I think the foregoing should be sufficient.

Frank

3628. repeater - splt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 21:50:40 UTC
From: Bob Purcell <robspur@mentallyimpaired.com>
Message-ID: <QaDqa.364089$OV.383334@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 32094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I wanna listen to a repeater - there is a split of 5 mhz - what the heck does that mean to me.

3629. Re: repeater - splt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 22:14:04 UTC
From: RamDog <PathOfTheRamDog@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <O-icnceEO6TtnjajXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 32095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Bob Purcell" <robspur@mentallyimpaired.com> wrote in message
news:QaDqa.364089$OV.383334@rwcrnsc54...
> I wanna listen to a repeater - there is a split of 5 mhz - what the heck
> does that mean to me.

A repeater's job is to take your weak incoming signal and retransmit it at a higher wattage. To do this in real time without feedback, the repeater transmits your signal on a different frequency.

In other words, if you are not transmitting to the repeater, but only listening, only one of the pair will "work".

RamDog

3630. Radio Shack HTX-10 FS $50

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 22:27:18 UTC
From: John Miller <bfdp1@msn.com>
Message-ID: <4312fc07260374e567db8d3a7ef073e4.54632@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 32096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

25 Watt, LNIB. Used less than an hour. Original box, manual, mike, power cable.

$50 Shipped

--
Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

3631. Re: repeater - splt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 22:40:38 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vam2mrbiljsm84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The difference between the repeater input and output freq.

"Bob Purcell" <robspur@mentallyimpaired.com> wrote in message
news:QaDqa.364089$OV.383334@rwcrnsc54...
> I wanna listen to a repeater - there is a split of 5 mhz - what the heck
> does that mean to me.
>
>

3632. Re: Looking for 800MHz Handheld Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 23:02:24 UTC
From: fccoutfo@fcc.usa
Message-ID: <9369C653CFCC@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 31948

Here you go Mike
http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT22.html

George

mvp67@tampabay.rr.com (Michael) wrote in
<8n3ja.65311$j8.1976054@twister.tampabay.rr.com>:

>I have the Bearcat 250 now, great scanner but want to get a better 800
>Mhz antenna for it. I had one for the Pro 43 but cant remeber where I
>bought it. It was about 2 to 3 inch tall and work great. Any help.
>
>Thanks
>Mike
>
>

3633. Re: repeater - splt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 23:11:17 UTC
From: Bob Purcell <robspur@mentallyimpaired.com>
Message-ID: <pmEqa.658239$S_4.706085@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 31949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

so which one do i listen to?

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:vam2mrbiljsm84@corp.supernews.com...
> The difference between the repeater input and output freq.
>
> "Bob Purcell" <robspur@mentallyimpaired.com> wrote in message
> news:QaDqa.364089$OV.383334@rwcrnsc54...
> > I wanna listen to a repeater - there is a split of 5 mhz - what the heck
> > does that mean to me.
> >
> >
>
>

3634. Re: TAC CHANNEL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 23:16:22 UTC
From: fccoutfo@fcc.usa
Message-ID: <9369C2D34FCC@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 31950

every frequ in Odessa can be found at http://www.cityfreq.com:81/tx/odessa/

George

k5kdi@cableone.net (Ken Harris) wrote in
<va81cdlno4r23d@corp.supernews.com>:

>my area police keep talking about a tactical channel on the scanner, and
>i cant find it..... anyone have any idea how i could get the freq. that
>my area uses??? and they have a "secure" button on the base radio, any
>idea how i might hear them when they flip the "secure" channel???
>
>Thanks!
>k5kdi@cableone.net
>
>

3635. Re: Fire Dept. Frequencies NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 23:17:47 UTC
From: fccoutfo@fcc.usa
Message-ID: <9369C17D6FCC@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 31951

Every frequ for every town in N.J. can be found here
http://www.cityfreq.com:81/nj/

George

anthony@mostlyrockets.com (Anthony B.) wrote in
<E1adnbtD94kR3TmjXTWcqA@comcast.com>:

>I am looking for the correct frequencies and CTCSS tones for towns in
>Southern Bergen County NJ. I need the tones for all the towns using
>154.600. The listings I find on the web are almost all wrong. Can anyone
>help
>
>thanks
>
>Anthony Bonacarti
>anthony@mostlyrockets.com
>www.mostlyrockets.com
>
>
>

3636. Re: repeater - splt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 23:40:10 UTC
From: RamDog <PathOfTheRamDog@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <gcecnR4eDYMJijajXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 31952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> > > > I wanna listen to a repeater - there is a split of 5 mhz - what the heck
> > > > does that mean to me.

> > > if you're listening, only one of the pair will "work".

> so which one do i listen to?

The one that is -all quiet- nobody talking on it. It will detect you are listening and "pipe up" for your entertainment.

3637. Re: repeater - splt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 23:44:38 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <xwSdneDCzd96hDajXTWcoQ@ctc.net>
Source record: 31953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

.> I wanna listen to a repeater - there is a split of 5 mhz - what the heck
> does that mean to me.
>

The repeater is receiving on one frequency and either 5 mhz above or below
that frequency it is transmitting. YOU need to be on the transmitting
frequency. If not then you will only hear some of the closer stations to
you.

3638. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-26 23:53:59 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030426195359.28141.00000221@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 31954

>Constitution for years. Justice is an ideal,
>^ not a reality.
>
>Precisely our complaint.

It's too bad. I'd like to live in an ideal world, too...who wouldn't? ---but I have to live in the real world instead.

>So you're suggesting that it's better to harass, terrorize, and convict
>innocent people just so that one criminal doesn't go free? Hogwash!

Hogwash indeed...I never said *anything* about harrassing, terrorizing, and convicting inocent people...of anything. I go after criminals, not innocent people. Why, indeed, would I risk losing my career, paying a fine, imprisonment, and dishonor, merely to try to intimidate, harass, or terrorize innocent people, when there are so many truly dangerous wackos out there?

>You can't
>get them all all of the time and it is better to let some get by than to
>violate an innocent person's liberties.

Are you being deliberately obtuse? Again...let me emphasize this...again, I go after violent criminals, *not* innocent people. Can you understand that simple idea? I don't work traffic, I don't write parking tickets, I don't put people in jail for simple little nonviolent crimes, my friend.

>There are innocent people in prison
>-- even on death row! -- and by your implication that is much better than
>taking the chance of letting a criminal go free!

You've apparently turned Blackstone on his head, and opine that it's better that 100 innocent people be murdered than one violent felon be inconvenienced by actually going to prison.

>You should not have been a
>cop and I'm glad that you no longer are -- I just hope that you didn't move
>to another position in the justice/legal system somewhere.

Well, you're entitled to your opinion, even if you are rather a simple-minded twit with pretensions of lucidity. You probably even mean well, but you're as ineffectual and starry-eyed as most of you people usually are. Of course, you say that you've been on the wrong side of law enforcers 'thousands of times', so your reasoning might be in question, but that's neither here nor there.

>I could continue with the remainder of your counter arguments but I think the
>foregoing should be sufficient.

Yes, and the old Roman adage, which I personally utilize in my duties, might prove of interest to you: "salus populi suprema lex esto"...which you might better understand as 'the welfare of the people is the greatest law'.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3639. Re: TAC CHANNEL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 00:01:06 UTC
From: RamDog <PathOfTheRamDog@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <89WcnfG4YJjngTajXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 31955

If you key in -all- the public service frequencies listed in a radioshack guide for your area, even the ones not marked (p)olice or (f)ire - you will usually get the TACtical channels the police are currently using.

Assuming it's not a trunked system, all I can think of for a "privacy" feature is a dispatch console switch that changes both frequencies to a pair of frequencies that come up on a rotating list. Usualy a county or city has available frequencies on its license it can do this with. All those frequencies are listed with the FCC or whatever they call themselves nowadays.

RamDog

"George Bush" <fccoutfo@fcc.usa> wrote in message
news:9369C2D34FCC@216.168.3.44...
> every frequ in Odessa can be found at
http://www.cityfreq.com:81/tx/odessa/
>
> George
>
> k5kdi@cableone.net (Ken Harris) wrote in
> <va81cdlno4r23d@corp.supernews.com>:
>
> >my area police keep talking about a tactical channel on the scanner, and
> >i cant find it..... anyone have any idea how i could get the freq. that
> >my area uses??? and they have a "secure" button on the base radio, any
> >idea how i might hear them when they flip the "secure" channel???
> >
> >Thanks!
> >k5kdi@cableone.net
> >
> >
>
>

3640. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 01:33:28 UTC
From: Frank <lsfviimniq@mifqwgdxkjxchjhr.com>
Message-ID: <01c30c5c$fb32a270$0125250a@mifqwgdxkjxchjhr>
Source record: 31957

James S. Prine <20030426195359.28141.00000221@mb-m14.aol.com>...

^ I'd like to live in an ideal world, too...who wouldn't? ---but I
^ have to live in the real world instead.

We are constantly changing the real world. I'm glad you've given up -- it'll make our task just that much easier.

^ Are you being deliberately obtuse? Again...let me emphasize
^ this...again, I go after violent criminals, *not* innocent people.
^ Can you understand that simple idea?

This discussion isn't about you -- it's about being harassed over a scanner after being stopped for a seatbelt violation and other such incidents. Possession of a scanner is not a crime and failure to properly wear a seatbelt is not violent.

^ You've apparently turned Blackstone on his head, and opine that it's
^ better that 100 innocent people be murdered than one violent felon be
^ inconvenienced by actually going to prison.

I have suggested no such thing, that would also be a false dilemma. What I suggested is actually the practice in many places.

^ Well, you're entitled to your opinion, even if you are rather a simple-
^ minded twit with pretensions of lucidity.
^ ... you're as ineffectual and starry-eyed as most of you people
^ usually are.

You are definitely typical of most cops, in that you are resorting to abuse in an attempt to force your desires.

^ Of course, you say that you've been on the wrong side
^ of law enforcers 'thousands of times', so your reasoning might be in
^ question, but that's neither here nor there.

I said no such thing, I said "I have been victimized countless times by the people who we trust to protect us", and my reasoning is from experience in military law enforcement.

Frank

3641. Re: 4sale AOR AR-5000+3 w/Collins filters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 01:33:51 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <vamcq7gq4tap51@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31956

Damn, shouldn't have lowered the price - SOLD!!!

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:val2gohv6u8pbd@corp.supernews.com...
> Make that $1,595.00
>
> Note: I'll be out of town and unable to check/answer e-mail 4/29 thru
> 5/6/03, however during that period the earliest offer gets it! Check out
my
> + feedback on eBay for "arkytazman"... tazman@cox-internet.com
>
>

3642. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 02:19:04 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vamfejh0nh964@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31958

"Frank" <lsfviimniq@mifqwgdxkjxchjhr.com> wrote in message
news:01c30c5c$fb32a270$0125250a@mifqwgdxkjxchjhr...
> James S. Prine <20030426195359.28141.00000221@mb-m14.aol.com>...
>
>
> You are definitely typical of most cops, in that you are resorting to
abuse
> in an attempt to force your desires.

From the above statement: Its obvious to me that you dont know your ass from
your elbow.

3643. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 02:22:40 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vamflb8kdotj4b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31959

I think Frank is a troll.

"Frank" <wvzhavxpqo@wwvgvqfgpcpawrte.com> wrote in message
news:01c30b36$c60a01f0$0125250a@wwvgvqfgpcpawrte...
> <3EA931DA.C45ED44B@USA.net>...
>
>

3644. Re: TAC CHANNEL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 02:42:45 UTC
From: Ken Harris <k5kdi@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <vamgvdsp0eicf4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31960

what about a "privacy" or possably a scrambled channel?? whats the chances
of that??

this is only a town of 94,000 so i would not expect to find them with
something like that, when i went to the sheriff's office and looked around
they have a switch next to the console labeled "private channel" and i was
told that no one can listen to them when they flip that switch..... anyone
with any info on that?!?!?

thanks all!
Ken.
"RamDog" <PathOfTheRamDog@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:89WcnfG4YJjngTajXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> If you key in -all- the public service frequencies listed in a radioshack
> guide for your area, even the ones not marked (p)olice or (f)ire - you
will
> usually get the TACtical channels the police are currently using.
>
> Assuming it's not a trunked system, all I can think of for a "privacy"
> feature is a dispatch console switch that changes both frequencies to a
pair
> of frequencies that come up on a rotating list. Usualy a county or city
has
> available frequencies on its license it can do this with. All those
> frequencies are listed with the FCC or whatever they call themselves
> nowadays.
>
> RamDog
>
>
>
> "George Bush" <fccoutfo@fcc.usa> wrote in message
> news:9369C2D34FCC@216.168.3.44...
> > every frequ in Odessa can be found at
> http://www.cityfreq.com:81/tx/odessa/
> >
> > George
> >
> > k5kdi@cableone.net (Ken Harris) wrote in
> > <va81cdlno4r23d@corp.supernews.com>:
> >
> > >my area police keep talking about a tactical channel on the scanner,
and
> > >i cant find it..... anyone have any idea how i could get the freq. that
> > >my area uses??? and they have a "secure" button on the base radio, any

> > >idea how i might hear them when they flip the "secure" channel???
> > >
> > >Thanks!
> > >k5kdi@cableone.net
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3645. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 03:26:12 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030426232612.13095.00000228@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 31961

>We are constantly changing the real world. I'm glad you've given up -- it'll
>make our task just that much easier.

I have hardly given up. And this little piece you've just penned sounds much like one of the rants of old man Kruschev.

>This discussion isn't about you -- it's about being harassed over a scanner
>after being stopped for a seatbelt violation and other such incidents.
>Possession of a scanner is not a crime and failure to properly wear a
>seatbelt is not violent.

Pardon, but you *made* this discussion about me, so I merely made my position clear. And, contrary to your contention that 'possession of a scanner is not a crime', that is patently untrue, in many jurisdictions, and in many circumstances. As for the seatbelt violation, I agree with you...it certainly isn't a violent crime.

Here in Louisiana, the legislature, in its infinite wisdom, passed legislation that made driving an automobile without a seatbelt a criminal offense, and, in the same session, did away with the law that required motorcyclists to wear helmets.
However, if you don't like the laws, then by all means change them.

>^ You've apparently turned Blackstone on his head, and opine that it's
>^ better that 100 innocent people be murdered than one violent felon be
>^ inconvenienced by actually going to prison. I have suggested no such thing,
that would also be a false dilemma. What I
>suggested is actually the practice in many places.

Yes...Afghanistan, Syria, South Africa....

>You are definitely typical of most cops, in that you are resorting to abuse
>in an attempt to force your desires.

Abuse? Hardly. I'm not trying to 'force my desires' upon you or anyone else. I couldn't care less what you or your cronies do or think...unless you violate the laws I've been sworn to enforce.

>I said no such thing, I said "I have been victimized countless times by the
>people who we trust to protect us", and my reasoning is from experience in
>military law enforcement.

Ah...military law enforcement. That is truly interesting. Apples and oranges. So sorry, my ESP module is out for service, and I wasn't aware that you were actually equating military law enforcement with civilian law enforcement. Point of fact, is that most civilian law enforcement agencies don't count military law enforcement as legitimate experience, because of the vast

diversity in philosophies and methods, when hiring recruits.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3646. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 03:32:27 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030426233227.13095.00000230@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 31962

>I think Frank is a troll.

I don't know. I like to come here and read about scanning, because I'm a radio enthusiast myself, and have been for many years...even before I went into law enforcement.

I dislike people mindlessly bashing cops, especially when I've seen so many good policemen badmouthed by people who count for little in *any* society.

As I've posted before, certainly lousy cops are out there, and I feel they must be identified and removed from service whenever possible, as swiftly as possible.

All this talk of 'justice' is nonsense, pure and simple. I was amazed and disgusted when I was a rookie, when I saw the real effects of plea bargains and how the 'justice system' is nothing more than lousy compromises, often for political reasons.

However, I digress...back to radio scanning, for God's sake....

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3647. police 10-codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 03:52:22 UTC
From: spanky@lilrascals.com
Message-ID: <3eab537f.127994735@news.alt.net>
Source record: 31963

Is there a web site where I can get the police 10-codes for
Camden,N.J.
Thanks

3648. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 03:55:39 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAD0CCFA.17C07%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 31964

"Power House CB & Scanner" wrote:
>
> You missed the whole point... (snip)

I missed nothing. You said the Michigan State police are "worse criminals than the criminals themselves." I'm still waiting for even a single example to support that charge. If you can provide it, I'll gladly join you in criticizing the Michigan State police. If you can't, you have no business saying outrageous garbage you can't support.

> There was no suspicion, just the normal harassment.
> None of your theories even hold a candle. (snip)

I have no idea why your friend was actually pulled over, which is why I said "or whatever." But I do know your claim of harassment is far less logical than anything I suggested as a possible reason.

> Umm, yeah... Not even close. He was given a warning
> ticket for his front right tire. (snip)

Was his front tire bad? If so, he should have gotten a warning ticket. Where is the harassment in that? If the officer really wanted to harass, he probably could have impounded the unsafe vehicle and told your friend to find other transportation until the tire was fixed. Instead, your friend drove away with a warning to fix a defective tire - a tire that should have been fixed anyway.

> (snip) I hope your happy now, there is a brief run-
> through of one of my harassment incidents. (snip)

Not really. What you say doesn't affect my happiness. However, I'm still waiting for an example to support your claim that the Michigan State police are "worse criminals than the criminals themselves."

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3649. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 03:58:05 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAD0CD8D.17C08%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 31965

"Robert" wrote:

> Corruption and lawless behavior by those in
> official capacities, particularly those with
> color of authority and access to deadly force,
> is far, far worse than any sort of criminal
> behavior amongst the citizens.

But he didn't say anything about corruption and lawless behavior, Robert.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3650. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 04:03:29 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAD0CED1.17C0A%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 31966

"RedXIII" wrote:

> No, he did make note that I was in violation of
> the law by having the scanner in my car, but
> instead of taking action then, he choose to keep
> me in distress by stating that if he feels like
> charging me for a breaking a law that doesn't
> exsist, he'll do it within 30 days.

He said it exactly that way - you're violating the law? If so, why didn't he do something about it on the spot?

Or did he instead say I "believe" you're violating the law, but I'm going to check on it? That would better explain why he didn't do anything while he had your pulled over.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3651. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 04:17:25 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vammec5h9omea0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31967

Damn Dwight, how can you not understand being stopped by a cop for a bad tire is exactly the same as someone entering your home in the middle of the night, and killing your entire family.

Where is your compassion for those that were inconvenienced by the terrible cops?

I should be able to treat a cop like scum and have him suppress any animosity towards me. After all it is MY taxes earned flipping burgers that pays his salary.

Besides he should be out chasing real criminals and leaving me alone. It's my choice if I want to smoke dope and drive on may pops. Their all the same, they only took the job to hassle people.

What more proof could you possible need? If you disagree, you are probably a right wing, communist, Nazi.

(Removing tongue from cheek for the humor impaired)

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BAD0CCFA.17C07%stewart@say.net...
> "Power House CB & Scanner" wrote:
> >
> > You missed the whole point... (snip)
>
>
> I missed nothing. You said the Michigan State police are "worse
criminals
> than the criminals themselves." I'm still waiting for even a single
example
> to support that charge. If you can provide it, I'll gladly join you in
> criticizing the Michigan State police. If you can't, you have no business
> saying outrageous garbage you can't support.
>
>
> > There was no suspicion, just the normal harassment.
> > None of your theories even hold a candle. (snip)
>
>
> I have no idea why your friend was actually pulled over, which is why I
> said "or whatever." But I do know your claim of harassment is far less
> logical than anything I suggested as a possible reason.
>
>
> > Umm, yeah... Not even close. He was given a warning

> > ticket for his front right tire. (snip)
>
>
> Was his front tire bad? If so, he should have gotten a warning ticket.
> Where is the harassment in that? If the officer really wanted to harass,
he
> probably could have impounded the unsafe vehicle and told your friend to
> find other transportation until the tire was fixed. Instead, your friend
> drove away with a warning to fix a defective tire - a tire that should
have
> been fixed anyway.
>
>
> > (snip) I hope your happy now, there is a brief run-
> > through of one of my harassment incidents. (snip)
>
>
> Not really. What you say doesn't affect my happiness. However, I'm still
> waiting for an example to support your claim that the Michigan State
police
> are "worse criminals than the criminals themselves."
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------
> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

3652. Re: Fire Dept. Frequencies NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 04:28:48 UTC
From: "Anthony B." <anthony@mostlyrockets.com>
Message-ID: <inWdnQ2-YOMTwTajXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 31968

I need he PL tones. around here several surrounding towns share. I want to
split them up, then there are others that moved to different frequencies.
Anthony
"George Bush" <fccoutfo@fcc.usa> wrote in message
news:9369C17D6FCC@216.168.3.44...
> Every frequ for every town in N.J. can be found here
> http://www.cityfreq.com:81/nj/
>
> George
>
> anthony@mostlyrockets.com (Anthony B.) wrote in
> <E1adnbtD94kR3TmjXTWcqA@comcast.com>:
>
> >I am looking for the correct frequencies and CTCSS tones for towns in
> >Southern Bergen County NJ. I need the tones for all the towns using
> >154.600. The listings I find on the web are almost all wrong. Can anyone
> >help
> >
> >thanks
> >
> >Anthony Bonacarti
> >anthony@mostlyrockets.com
> >www.mostlyrockets.com
> >
> >
> >
>

3653. Re: Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 05:03:16 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAD0DCD3.17C0C%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 31970

"Frank" wrote:
>
> The point is that the cop was violating the public
> trust -- probably the greatest crime a cop can
> commit. A violation of the public trust is an action
> worse than those that we pay them to protect us
> from. We do not pay cops to harass us, we pay them
> to keep our lives peaceful and safe. (snip)

Read that over again in the context of this discussion - a person stopped for a seat belt violation also being questioned about the scanner in his vehicle. Once you're finished reading, please explain how it is a violation of the public trust for a police officer to confront a person about a possible scanner law violation. Then explain how that confrontation denied that person of his peaceful and safe life?

> (snip) A cop lying to a citizen is a violation of
> the trust we place in them. This particular cop
> should either be disciplined or have his badge
> taken away.

A little extreme, don't you think, Frank? You read one person's interpretation of what a police officer said (what he wrote wasn't exactly a tape recording) and now you want the officer's badge taken away.

Yes, because it does undermine public trust, officers should avoid lying. However, just like any other citizen, a police officer is only required to tell the absolute truth in signed statements of fact or on the witness stand. Beyond that, is is simply in the best interests of the officer and his department to tell the truth where possible.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3654. Re: repeater - splt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 05:06:35 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <vrJqa.172$Z3.5001@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 31969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

5 MHz split sounds like UHF, generally the input is the higher frequency.
Example: my local PD uses 460.325 MHz with a 5 MHz split, that means the
repeater input is 465.325 MHz and the output (what you would want to listen
to) is 460.325 MHz. OK?

Mark

"Bob Purcell" <robspur@mentallyimpaired.com> wrote in message
news:pmEqa.658239$S_4.706085@rwcrnsc53...
> so which one do i listen to?
>
>
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:vam2mrbiljsm84@corp.supernews.com...
> > The difference between the repeater input and output freq.
> >
> > "Bob Purcell" <robspur@mentallyimpaired.com> wrote in message
> > news:QaDqa.364089$OV.383334@rwcrnsc54...
> > > I wanna listen to a repeater - there is a split of 5 mhz - what the
heck
> > > does that mean to me.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3655. DJ-X3 Wide Band Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 05:48:57 UTC
From: Len Beecroft <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <dbKqa.164409$BQi.161388@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 31971

Hello All,

I'm sitting here Listening to my DJ-X3 and i'm in scanning mode and i'm listening to our local police frequency (866.2250 MHz).

I don't know what division i have on but it's really weird not only am i hearing lets say 31 division but i'm getting 12, 13, 14, 22, 54, 55, division police station frequencies. Also I'm getting Fire Department calls too.

Is this normal ?

Thank-you

3656. Re: repeater - splt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 05:54:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAD0E8C1.17C0E%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 31972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Bob Purcell" wrote:

> so which one do i listen to?

The frequency listed is most often the repeater's transmit frequency - the frequency you want to listen to. The repeater receives on a frequency 5 MHz above or below that frequency (listed with a "+5" for above or "-5" for below the receive frequency).

The use of two frequencies allows the repeater to receive at the same time it transmits. In other words, it retransmits what it receives as it is receiving it.

This system is very useful for those using a weaker handheld radio. The repeater receives what the handheld transmits and retransmits it with more power using a much taller antenna. This allows the transmission from the handheld to be heard over much greater distances than would be possible with just the handheld's low power output and small antenna.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3657. Re: Bush Aims to Say Military Part of War Over Next Week, Aides Say

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 07:15:43 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <1gKdncfTIIjyGTajXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 31973

Happy?

http://quote.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=10000087&sid=aE6i82jdgsUg&refer=top_world_news

Bush Aims to Say Military Part of War Over Next Week, Aides Say
By Richard Keil and Washington
Washington, April 25 (Bloomberg) -- President George W. Bush intends to use a speech aboard a U.S. Navy aircraft carrier next week to declare that the military phase of the toppling of Saddam Hussein's regime in Iraq has come to an end, two administration officials said.

The speech, still being crafted, will say that while the armed conflict with an organized Iraqi army has come to an end, U.S. forces face potential sniper attacks and skirmishes with loosely organized Hussein loyalists or anti-U.S. fighters from Iraq and other Middle Eastern nations, the aides said.

Bush has said he won't declare an end to the war until General Tommy Franks, the U.S. armed forces commander in Iraq, tells him that a military victory has been achieved. Bush's plan to fly to the carrier Abraham Lincoln to deliver the May 1 speech marks a shift of focus to rebuilding Iraq and helping install a democratic government after 35 years of dictatorship.

Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld said today's war is different from ``World War I or World War II that starts and then ends.'' In Afghanistan, ``we moved from major military activities to a point where at the present time, the vast majority of the country is in a stabilization security mode, it's not in a major military activity mode, except along the Pakistan border.''

``How it will shake out in Iraq remains to be seen,'' Rumsfeld told reporters. ``We're still having people killed. We'll get there.''

Terror War Link

The Geneva Convention, an international code of conduct for wars and military conflict, generally requires that victorious armies release any prisoners of war captured during battle. The Bush administration has portrayed its Iraq campaign as an outgrowth of the war on terrorism.

Hundreds of pro-Taliban soldiers and militiamen captured during the campaign to oust Afghanistan's government in 2001 remain in the custody of U.S. military forces in Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, because of their potential ties to terrorist networks.

While Franks has not yet told Bush that the battle is over, the administration aides said, the commander's reports from the field -- and the capitulation of Hussein's government -- have convinced Bush and his top

advisers that barring a sudden, unforeseen uprising from organized resistance forces in Iraq or a major battle setback, it's time to deliver a high-profile speech outlining the status of hostilities.

While the exact language has yet to be agreed upon, the two White House officials said Bush would repeat assurances that the U.S. government is committed to helping rebuild Iraq, and foster democracy in the country with the world's second largest oil reserves.

Bush will discuss that theme April 28 in a roundtable with Arab-Americans and Iraqi exiles in Dearborn, Michigan.

Carrier Headed Home

He'll travel May 1 to San Diego, then fly to the carrier Lincoln, now making its way back to its home port after serving as a base for bombing missions against Iraq. The carrier deployed to the Persian Gulf 10 months ago.

Bush intends to say the search for weapons of mass destruction - the removal of which the U.S. used as justification for the war - will continue, while leaving open the possibility that Hussein may have destroyed or sneaked out of Iraq many of his chemical and biological weapons agents before the war began.

Bush raised the possibility yesterday in a speech at General Dynamics Corp. tank construction plant in Lima, Ohio, to suggest for the first time that the U.S. might not discover massive stores of chemical or biological weapons agents.

White House Press Secretary Ari Fleischer said the Lincoln was supposed to return to port at the end of its typical six-month deployment but stayed in the Gulf region when its tour ended in January because of the possibility of war with Iraq.

Last Updated: April 25, 2003 15:54 EDT

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey - gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden / David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his

claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige though.)

""Q"" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message news:40ba88fc.422973303@news.Q.everywhere...
> The Associated Press
>
> DAMASCUS, Syria (April 24, 2003 5:24 p.m. EDT) - Arab officials
> Thursday called for U.S. and British troops to leave Iraqi
> immediately, in a statement issued after talks on reviving an
> economic boycott of Israel.
>
> Representatives of 15 Arab states urged "an immediate and conditional
> withdrawal of the invading forces from Iraqi territories" and said
> Iraq should be governed by Iraqis.
>
> The statement, issued after four days of meetings in the Syrian
> capital, also condemned the Bush administration's recent accusations
> that Syria sheltered fugitive members of Saddam Hussein's ousted
> government and possessed chemical weapons.
>
> The criticism amounted to "a dangerous precedent in international
> relations that threatens world peace and stability," the statement
> said.
>
> Syria said last week it had closed its border to Iraqi fugitives, and
> the United States said Damascus was beginning to cooperate.
>
> The meeting also renewed a call for Arab states to revive their
> economic boycott of Israel to retaliate for its actions against the
> Palestinians.
>
> The boycott, which the Arab League began in 1951, has waned
> substantially with Egypt and Jordan making peace with Israel and the
> Palestinian peace process.
>
> The meeting was attended by officials from Syria, Saudi Arabia,
> Sudan, Algeria, Bahrain, the United Arab Emirates, Yemen, Morocco,
> Libya, Lebanon, Kuwait, Qatar, Palestine, Oman and Somalia.

3658. Re: DJ-X3 Wide Band Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 07:22:15 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnban197.3v6.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 31974

In article <dbKqa.164409$BQi.161388@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Len Beecroft wrote:
> Hello All,
>
> I'm sitting here Listening to my DJ-X3 and i'm in scanning mode and i'm
> listening to our local police frequency (866.2250 MHz).
>
> I don't know what division i have on but it's really weird not only am i
> hearing lets say 31 division but i'm getting 12, 13, 14, 22, 54, 55,
> division police station frequencies. Also I'm getting Fire Department calls
> too.
>
> Is this normal ?

Sounds like an anolog trunking system, i.e. equipment uses one channel
to negotiate which other channelt o jump to. This allows lots of
companies/customers etc to use relatively few frequencies, as long as
not all of them are using it at the same time.
--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

3659. Re: Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 07:22:43 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <OdicndN6m_mOGzajXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 31975

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BAD0DCD3.17C0C%stewart@say.net...

> Once you're finished reading, please explain how it is a violation
> of the public trust for a police officer to confront a person about a
> possible scanner law violation.

Because there is no violation of the states scanner law. So just the fact
that the KKKop didn't know the law he was supposed to be enforcing is a
violation of public trust. If a KKKop starts harrassing me about a law that
was repealed 8 years ago, then that is not working within the public trust.

Guess that's why they're cops and not lawyers. One actually knows the law
while the other doesn't.

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought
to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to
tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people

for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden /
David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige
though.)

3660. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 07:24:14 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <FI6cnThmJY3yGzajXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 31976

"James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20030426120152.25654.00000091@mb-m21.aol.com...
> Not at all, what you are playing at here is semantics, not justice. In
case
> you weren't aware of it, countless attorneys and legislators have been
> subverting the Constitution for years. Justice is an ideal, not a
reality.

You really are a prick ya know that? It's too bad one of those violent
criminals didn't take you out when you were on the force.

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought
to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to
tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner

MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden /
David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige
though.)

"James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20030426120152.25654.00000091@mb-m21.aol.com...
> >The entire Constitution of the United States is based on fairness and
truth.
> >Justice is by definition fairness -- what you are claiming is a travesty

of
> >justice.
>
> Not at all, what you are playing at here is semantics, not justice. In
case
> you weren't aware of it, countless attorneys and legislators have been
> subverting the Constitution for years. Justice is an ideal, not a
reality.
>
> >What is a scumbag? Someone who you believe to be a criminal but who in
> >actuality may or may not be?
> >
>
> No, a 'scumbag' is an individual who is a professional criminal...the sort
we
> see time and again, with a multi-page rapsheet, and usually a veteran of
> several prison terms. We don't create scumbags, they create themselves.
>
> >Those restrictions are placed there to protect me, the innocent guy, and
it
> >is the job of a cop to protect me from injustice by using those
restrictions.
>
> No, not all of them, and you are, yet again, playing with semantics.
Those
> 'restrictions' are what allows murderers and other violent criminals out
on the
> street, time and again, because of the revolving door 'justice system'
that's
> been allowed to proliferate. You really have this thing with 'justice',
don't
> you?
>
> >A cop is sworn to protect the people.
>
> No, a cop is sworn to enforce laws. In reality, the 'cop' is protecting
> 'society', not individual people. Of course, if an Officer sticks his/her
neck
> out in exigent circumstances to 'save' someone, the Officer is usually
rewarded
> for it...by a lawsuit, or discipline. You know...part of those
'restrictions'
> we were discussing earlier.
>
> >What you and
> >Pappy are suggesting here is that you only protect yourselves and that
the
> >people are an enemy.
>
> No, grasshopper, not at all. If I wanted to protect *myself*, I'd just

quit
> and stay at home. The 'people' aren't the enemy, but the 'people' who
prey
> upon them *are*. We call them 'criminals', and they don't worry about
people
> at all, other than as being victims.
>
> >This is why you are seeing so many complaints here.
>
> No, we are seeing so many complaints because people like you have so many
odd
> ideas about law enforcement. That is due, no doubt, to decades of social
> programming and idiotic Hollywood representations of police work.
>
> >you should be protecting us
> >above yourselves, just as the military does, yet you have turned law
> >enforcement into a private community that protects only its own interests
and
> >desires for the neighborhood and country.
>
> I wonder what sort of tortured logic you devised to construct this odd bit
of
> reasoning? Law enforcement as a 'private community'? Protecting only
it's
> 'own interests'? C'mon...you're from San francisco, right?
>
> >In my 50 years of life I have been victimized only once (articles stolen
from
> >my vehicle) by someone who was not a cop (I assume),
>
> Very cute...your hatred of law enforcers is showing itself a little here,
be
> careful...
>
> >I have been
> >victimized countless times by the people who we trust to protect us from
> >victimization, our own cops.
>
> I wish you'd expand on that. Countless times? Interesting.
>
> >you are suggesting that we either have abusive cops or we have anarchy.
>
> Not at all...I've never said anything of the sort. People like you
portray
> cops as 'abusive' just for going about their business in a normal,
professional
> fashion, obeying all the laws, statutes, rules, and regulations, and
risking
> their lives, time and again, in pursuit of their tasks.
>

> >There
> >are many police departments across the US who do not subscribe to this
false
> >dilemma, who have very good relationships with the people, and who are
able
> >to satisfactorily suppress crime.
>
> You *must* live in a truly wonderful little paradise...hardly the real
world,
> and definitely not the world I've lived in for the past 52 years, but go
ahead,
> it sounds wonderful, Frank. I might retire and move there myself!
>
>
>
> James S. Prine
> "Ignorance is Blix"
>
>
>
>

3661. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 07:26:47 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <fxmcnU9eQ8aVGjajXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 31977

"James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20030426232612.13095.00000228@mb-m10.aol.com...
> I couldn't care less what you or your cronies do or think...unless you
violate
> the laws I've been sworn to enforce.

You don't enforce shit now since you've already stated that you formaly were
an officer of the law. You're nothing but a citizen now, asshole.

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought
to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to
tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater

Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden /
David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige
though.)

"James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20030426232612.13095.00000228@mb-m10.aol.com...
> >We are constantly changing the real world. I'm glad you've given up --
it'll
> >make our task just that much easier.
>
> I have hardly given up. And this little piece you've just penned sounds

much
> like one of the rants of old man Kruschev.
>
> >This discussion isn't about you -- it's about being harassed over a
scanner
> >after being stopped for a seatbelt violation and other such incidents.
> >Possession of a scanner is not a crime and failure to properly wear a
> >seatbelt is not violent.
>
> Pardon, but you *made* this discussion about me, so I merely made my
position
> clear. And, contrary to your contention that 'possession of a scanner is
not a
> crime', that is patently untrue, in many jurisdictions, and in many
> circumstances. As for the seatbelt violation, I agree with you...it
certainly
> isn't a violent crime.
>
> Here in Louisiana, the legislature, in its infinite wisdom, passed
legislation
> that made driving an automobile without a seatbelt a criminal offense,
and, in
> the same session, did away with the law that required motorcyclists to
wear
> helmets.
> However, if you don't like the laws, then by all means change them.
>
>
>
> >^ You've apparently turned Blackstone on his head, and opine that it's
> >^ better that 100 innocent people be murdered than one violent felon be
> >^ inconvenienced by actually going to prison. I have suggested no such
thing,
> that would also be a false dilemma. What I
> >suggested is actually the practice in many places.
>
> Yes...Afghanistan, Syria, South Africa....
>
> >You are definitely typical of most cops, in that you are resorting to
abuse
> >in an attempt to force your desires.
>
> Abuse? Hardly. I'm not trying to 'force my desires' upon you or anyone
else.
> I couldn't care less what you or your cronies do or think...unless you
violate
> the laws I've been sworn to enforce.
>
> >I said no such thing, I said "I have been victimized countless times by
the

> >people who we trust to protect us", and my reasoning is from experience
in
> >military law enforcement.
>
> Ah...military law enforcement. That is truly interesting. Apples and
oranges.
> So sorry, my ESP module is out for service, and I wasn't aware that you
were
> actually equating military law enforcement with civilian law enforcement.
> Point of fact, is that most civilian law enforcement agencies don't count
> military law enforcement as legitimate experience, because of the vast
> diversity in philosophies and methods, when hiring recruits.
>
>
>
>
> James S. Prine
> "Ignorance is Blix"
>
>
>
>

3662. Re: Bush Aims to Say Military Part of War Over Next Week, Aides Say

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 08:16:59 UTC
From: Paul Keenleyside <nospambcpl8z@telus.net>
Message-ID: <%lMqa.110045$dh1.2799602@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 31978

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
news:1gKdncfTIIjyGTajXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> Happy?

I'll be happy when there is a Pro-Voice capable card so I can buy a BC250D, and listen in on the
local fire service and police which both broadcast on a trunked digital system that uses Pro-Voice.

But I'm happy with the BC245XLT and the BC780XLT I have. Soon it will be time to take the BC245XLT out on a
road test (meaning taking my vehicle out for a burn on the freeway doing a check ride. 150 miles round trip).

Will also be happy when Americans build strong American homes...

...by using top quality British Columbia lumber products.

I'll pass on the rest of the commentary. I get my American news fix through ABC.

3663. Sat Help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 10:06:23 UTC
From: Ralph <ralph201@hotmail.new>
Message-ID: <mhKdnUbcJtYRNjajXTWcqw@giganews.com>
Source record: 31979

Hello,
The apartment complex that I live in is going to install a distributed Dish system in order to get rid of the dish's that tenants like myself have on the balconies.
I want to keep my dish and I need ideas from you all that I can use to keep it!
I already know that federal law states that I can have a dish but mine is now mounted to the building.
So, I remove the dish from the building and put it back on a tripod, can the apartment owner say anything about it??
I also have other radio antennas outside and I am looking for ideas that would allow me to also keep my dish.

Thanks To All,

Ralph

3664. Re: uniden mr-8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 10:25:53 UTC
From: dancounsil@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c7de5d7.0304270225.e8eb98a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 31980

Frank,
I want to send you an e-mail regarding an old thread on the BC200 but cannot find out how to reply to an old thread. Can you please send me your e-mail address.
Thanks,
dancounsil@hotmail.com

3665. Re: scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 11:16:21 UTC
From: Tom <nospam-vi@freemail.gr>
Message-ID: <b8ge5m$o8b$1@usenet.otenet.gr>
Source record: 31981

> Check this out: http://users.cis.net/kingpop/Scantenna.htm Its the
> ScanTenna. I have two of these and for an Omni-directional antenna I

Is this the same with the ST2 scantenna from antennacraft?

3666. For sale ALINCO DJ-X3 europe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 12:05:50 UTC
From: Johnny Gregor <redviper@yahoo.fr>
Message-ID: <b8gh2j$li8$1@news-reader11.wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 31982

I propose a compact scanner ALINCO DJ-X3 E without frequencies holes (europa model) with PC interface and software

250 euros or 250 $ in mandate cash international

3667. Re: Sat Help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 12:13:43 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YPPqa.22$Jy3.10975@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 31984

i would hope so.

i'v seen what you are describing, and it looks no more conspicuos then a chair or a flower pot.

however, some if not most condo/apartment complex's are extremely anal over stuff like that.

wish you the best of luck.

-- Rob

>"Ralph" <ralph201@hotmail.new> wrote in message news:mhKdnUbcJtYRNjajXTWcqw@giganews.com...
> Hello,
> The apartment complex that I live in is going to install a distributed Dish
> system in order to get rid of the dish's that tenants like myself have on
> the balconies.
> I want to keep my dish and I need ideas from you all that I can use to keep
> it!
> I already know that federal law states that I can have a dish but mine is
> now mounted to the building.
> So, I remove the dish from the building and put it back on a tripod, can the
> apartment owner say anything about it??
> I also have other radio antennas outside and I am looking for ideas that
> would allow me to also keep my dish.
>
> Thanks To All,
>
> Ralph
>
>
>

3668. Re: scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 12:27:36 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3EABCCB8.4030000@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 31983

Tom wrote:
>>Check this out: http://users.cis.net/kingpop/Scantenna.htm Its the
>>ScanTenna. I have two of these and for an Omni-directional antenna I
>
>
> Is this the same with the ST2 scantenna from antennacraft?
>
>
Yes, same antenna.
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3669. Re: repeater - splt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 12:29:42 UTC
From: Attila The Hun <attila@nts.net>
Message-ID: <vanj9vk0spue26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Listen to the one where you hear BOTH sides of the conversation.

Bob Purcell wrote:
> so which one do i listen to?
>
>
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:vam2mrbiljsm84@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>The difference between the repeater input and output freq.
>>
>>"Bob Purcell" <robspur@mentallyimpaired.com> wrote in message
>>news:QaDqa.364089$OV.383334@rwcrnsc54...
>>
>>>I wanna listen to a repeater - there is a split of 5 mhz - what the heck
>>>does that mean to me.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3670. Re: Bush Aims to Say Military Part of War Over Next Week, Aides Say

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 14:13:34 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vanpc9b8m3jt8c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31986

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
news:1gKdncfTIIjyGTajXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> Happy?
>

Yes!

3671. Re: Sat Help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 14:21:20 UTC
From: Frank <rqagkonhqh@rlehcsvhsmvfvdjj.com>
Message-ID: <01c30cc8$1c9b7b10$0125250a@rlehcsvhsmvfvdjj>
Source record: 31987

Ralph <mhKdnUbcJtYRNjajXTWcqw@giganews.com>...

^ So, I remove the dish from the building and put it back on a tripod,
^ can the apartment owner say anything about it??

I think that depends entirely on your contact with them. If the antenna and tripod are on your patio or balcony and you drape a sheet or thin tablecloth over it then there might never be a problem.

Frank

3672. Trunked Radio Information Homepage Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 14:42:44 UTC
From: Lindsay Blanton <Lindsay_Blanton@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <rvqnavsgqn0i2rlb5p036ggp2mgmm4o87g@4ax.com>
Source record: 31988
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello Everyone!

I want to take a quick moment and bring everyone up to speed on the status of www.trunkedradio.net. Over the past 4 years, this site has become one of the premier places to find information regarding trunked radio and digital systems. All of the success of this resource is due to the tireless efforts of our database administrators, and contributors such as yourselves who submit quality updated information to the database.

Here is a list of some of the accomplishments the database has achieved over the past 4 years:

- Detailed information for 2,445 systems worldwide
- Over 60,000 talkgroups in the database
- Over 39282 trunked frequencies in the database
- 34 volunteer database administrators to manage the data
- Totally automated on-line submission interface for changes/additions/feedback
- Over 12,000 posts in the discussion forums from over 14,000 Registered Members.

Moving forward, www.trunkedradio.net needs your help. We are always looking for volunteers to help with the management of the trunked radio data in the database. Would you like to be a part of this wonderful resource? Send us a message to webmaster at trunkedradio.net if you are interested in being a database administrator.

Also, we need everyone's assistance to verify that the data in the database is up-to-date and accurate. Please take a moment to look at your local systems, and submit any changes to us that you feel would increase the quality of the database.

...and as always, feedback, comments, and suggestions for improvement are always welcome!!

Thanks for all your assistance and support!

Lindsay Blanton

Lindsay C. Blanton III
The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://www.trunkedradio.net

3673. Re: Re:Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 14:45:58 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vanr74hcg2o447@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31989

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
news:FI6cnThmJY3yGzajXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
> You really are a prick ya know that? It's too bad one of those violent
> criminals didn't take you out when you were on the force.

Obvious big time TROLL. RedXIII is a waste of skin.

3674. Scanstar explorer, scan*star,spectrum explorer information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 15:31:02 UTC
From: DP <general@NOSAPMev1.net>
Message-ID: <dttnavk9lbv26vlvslnaefqjb9fko29jhu@4ax.com>
Source record: 31990

Anyone know where I can find a trial version or copy of scanstar explorer (scan*star) for scanners? If this is not the board, could you point me to a better one. Thanks.

Scanstar explorer, scan*star,spectrum explorer information

3675. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 15:44:03 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <vanulvqumhl974@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31991

First off, cops do this sort of thing a lot. If they dont like something, they will start spouting off about some "law" concerning it that doesnt exist. Tell the guy to write you the ticket for it, then and there. He will have to answer for it when this gets to court, and it gets thrown out (this is providing that it ever gets that far, which I doubt). Most cops, although they will try to bullshit you, will not write the actual violation up, since it will seriously hurt their credibility , not only with their boss, but with the courts and judges as well. Cops can't afford this sort of thing. Remember, to even write you up for the violation, he has to quote a valid statute for the law that he is referring to right on the ticket .

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
news:XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> So this asshole cop pulls me over today and starts asking me why I have a
> scanner in my car. I tell him it's a hobby and that NJ state scanner law
> states that having a scanner in your vehicle is not illegal unless it's
used
> for commiting a crime or evading police. Which is true. It's says so right
> here:
>
> http://www.siscanner.8m.com/laws.html
>
> and here:
>
> http://www.maxpages.com/frequencies/NJ_Laws
>
> and here:
>
> http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/nj-laws.html
>
> That wasn't good enough for that asshole, so after he writes me for not
> having a seat belt on, he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
> hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
> fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that
he
> has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having it
> in my car.
>
> What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this
asshole
> harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.
>
> --
> Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people,

brought
> to you by the greatest country on Earth.
>
> Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!
>
> God Bless The U.S.A.!
>
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html
>
>
> (Re-worded entirely)
> The following is a list of morons.
> That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
> what they've done or what they said,
> it's all been equally moronic.
>
> The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
>
> The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
> gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
> Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
> top)
>
> Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
> around)
> banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Fuck you and you threats)
> Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened
to
> tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
> Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
> for listening to Rock & Roll)
> Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
> Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
> MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
> Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner

> GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
> Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden
/
> David Koresh Crossbreed)
> M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
> A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
> Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
> Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
> Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
> pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
> dictator Saddam Hussein)
> Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
> Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
> Iraqi Information Minister)
> banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
> claims with credible sources)
> gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
> comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
> Saddam.)
> Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
> Newsgroup Moderator)
> Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
> opinions from Hollywood talking heads)
>
> The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
> rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to
oblige
> though.)
>
>

3676. Re: I've Had It - Goodbye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 15:59:57 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <vanvjq6p1fosce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31992

Then get one that DOES have filters, and use them. What do you think ? this is the only news group with obnoxious/off-topic/nutcase posts ? Guess Again ! You will be needing those filters for as long as you want to download news groups. Sorry, but the world is not going to change just because you want it to.....

"Frank" <dbbamlrjix@ydxnqfdttfcndudg.com> wrote in message news:01c2fdb5$cda33b90$0125250a@ydxnqfdttfcndudg...
> Zombie Wolf <v94f849j7lice2@corp.supernews.com>...
>
> ^ Too lazy to learn to use the filters in your newsreader ? Then suffer the
> ^ consequences !
>
> My newsreader does not have filters. The best filter is prevention.
>
> Frank
>

3677. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 16:02:48 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030427120248.26988.00000201@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 31993

>First off, cops do this sort of thing a lot. If they dont like something,
>they will start spouting off about some "law" concerning it that doesnt
>exist.

Yes...as reprehensible as a 'usenet attorney' spouting off about laws he knows nothing about.

Zombie, please increase your meds and go back to group.

<g>

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3678. Re: Trunked Radio Information Homepage Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 16:49:08 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aSTqa.97$fg3.44579@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 31994
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

i love your site. hope it stays alive for many years to come :)

"Lindsay Blanton" <Lindsay_Blanton@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:rvqnavsgqn0i2rlb5p036ggp2mgmm4o87g@4ax.com...
> Hello Everyone!
>
> I want to take a quick moment and bring everyone up to speed on the
> status of www.trunkedradio.net. Over the past 4 years, this site has
> become one of the premier places to find information regarding trunked
> radio and digital systems. All of the success of this resource is
> due to the tireless efforts of our database administrators, and
> contributors such as yourselves who submit quality updated information
> to the database.
>
> Here is a list of some of the accomplishments the database has
> achieved over the past 4 years:
>
> - Detailed information for 2,445 systems worldwide
> - Over 60,000 talkgroups in the database
> - Over 39282 trunked frequencies in the database
> - 34 volunteer database administrators to manage the data
> - Totally automated on-line submission interface for
> changes/additions/feedback
> - Over 12,000 posts in the discussion forums from over 14,000
> Registered Members.
>
> Moving forward, www.trunkedradio.net needs your help. We are always
> looking for volunteers to help with the management of the trunked
> radio data in the database. Would you like to be a part of this
> wonderful resource? Send us a message to webmaster at
> trunkedradio.net if you are interested in being a database
> administrator.
>
> Also, we need everyone's assistance to verify that the data in the
> database is up-to-date and accurate. Please take a moment to look at
> your local systems, and submit any changes to us that you feel would
> increase the quality of the database.
>
> ...and as always, feedback, comments, and suggestions for improvement
> are always welcome!!
>
> Thanks for all your assistance and support!
>

> Lindsay Blanton
>
> ---------------------------------------
> Lindsay C. Blanton III
> The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
> http://www.trunkedradio.net
>

3679. Re: Sat Help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 16:50:12 UTC
From: Woolridge <robwoolridge@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aTTqa.98$fg3.44430@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 31995

yeah, HINT HINT ;)

"Frank" <rqagkonhqh@rlehcsvhsmvfvdjj.com> wrote in message
news:01c30cc8$1c9b7b10$0125250a@rlehcsvhsmvfvdjj...
> Ralph <mhKdnUbcJtYRNjajXTWcqw@giganews.com>...
>
> ^ So, I remove the dish from the building and put it back on a tripod,
> ^ can the apartment owner say anything about it??
>
> I think that depends entirely on your contact with them. If the antenna
and
> tripod are on your patio or balcony and you drape a sheet or thin
tablecloth
> over it then there might never be a problem.
>
> Frank
>

3680. Re: TAC CHANNEL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 17:18:33 UTC
From: fccoutfo@fcc.usa
Message-ID: <936A8C177FCC@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 31996

I think they where pullin your leg!! Or you have been watching to much SiFi :-) In any case you wont know what you can or can not listen in on if you don't punch some frequ's into your scanner. You can buy the "Mr Scanner's CD Rom, A Raw dump of the FCC Database for the U.S. & Territories, Coverage from 25 MHz to 1300 MHz, Includes longitude & latitude of transmitters Entire database in excess of 3 Million records" a complete list of every frequ in North America for like $25.00. From http://www.grove-ent.com/SFT10.html . All frequ's in the USA are public knowledge and available to any US ciizen :-)

George

k5kdi@cableone.net (Ken Harris) wrote in <vamgvdsp0eicf4@corp.supernews.com>:

>what about a "privacy" or possably a scrambled channel?? whats the
>chances of that??
>
>this is only a town of 94,000 so i would not expect to find them with
>something like that, when i went to the sheriff's office and looked
>around they have a switch next to the console labeled "private channel"
>and i was told that no one can listen to them when they flip that
>switch..... anyone with any info on that?!?!?
>
>thanks all!
>Ken.
>"RamDog" <PathOfTheRamDog@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:89WcnfG4YJjngTajXTWcqA@comcast.com...
>> If you key in -all- the public service frequencies listed in a
>> radioshack guide for your area, even the ones not marked (p)olice or
>> (f)ire - you
>will
>> usually get the TACtical channels the police are currently using.
>>
>> Assuming it's not a trunked system, all I can think of for a "privacy"
>> feature is a dispatch console switch that changes both frequencies to
>> a
>pair
>> of frequencies that come up on a rotating list. Usualy a county or
>> city
>has
>> available frequencies on its license it can do this with. All those
>> frequencies are listed with the FCC or whatever they call themselves
>> nowadays.
>>

>> RamDog
>>
>>
>>
>> "George Bush" <fccoutfo@fcc.usa> wrote in message
>> news:9369C2D34FCC@216.168.3.44...
>> > every frequ in Odessa can be found at
>> http://www.cityfreq.com:81/tx/odessa/
>> >
>> > George
>> >
>> > k5kdi@cableone.net (Ken Harris) wrote in
>> > <va81cdlno4r23d@corp.supernews.com>:
>> >
>> > >my area police keep talking about a tactical channel on the
>> > >scanner,
>and
>> > >i cant find it..... anyone have any idea how i could get the freq.
>> > >that my area uses??? and they have a "secure" button on the base
>> > >radio, any idea how i might hear them when they flip the "secure"
>> > >channel???
>> > >
>> > >Thanks!
>> > >k5kdi@cableone.net
>> > >
>> > >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>
>

3681. Re: Radio frequencies for the Riverside County, California Sheriff Department????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 17:24:27 UTC
From: fccoutfo@fcc.usa
Message-ID: <936A838B3FCC@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 31897

Here you go:

http://www.cityfreq.com:81/ca/riverside/

George

MANY.PAWS@VERIZON.NET (KIM & CHRIS) wrote in
<gO8qa.33994$yO5.14601@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>:

>Radio frequencies for the Riverside County, California Sheriff
>Department????
>
>
>

3682. Re: repeater - splt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 17:25:14 UTC
From: Texas Steven <rothrock@stic.net>
Message-ID: <3eac127c$0$43856$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 31898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Question: What's the difference between the repeater input and output freq.
I wanna listen to a repeater - there is a split of 5 mhz -
what the heck does that mean to me

Answer: A repeater 's split is the input channel and a output channel. In order a "repeater" to work it's function is to utilize a input channel (yes...usually 5 mhz apart from the output channel. But let's go a little bit back to basics 1st. You know with most radio services it's usually "simplex". Meaning one person keys up and transmits and then unkeys and then the other person keys up and talks....on the same channel (or frequency) Now the range is usually very, very limited bercause one is at ground level.

Now if you could use a repeater. your range will be increased because a repeater's antenna is usually on a water tower or radio stucture or building or any other source. The way a repeater works is that it has a input frequency and a output frequency and will transmit what it receives simuatanously (sp)

I have such a repeater. Set your scanner to the output channel (frequency) which is 147.160 mhz. When someone "get into it" and brings it up they must use the receiving frequency which is 147.760 which in this case just 600 khz above the output channel of 147.160

They call this a 600 khz split.

I also have a UHF repeater

444.500 output
449.500 input

meaning...that someone transmits on 449.500 (with low power such as a walkie talkie for example) and come out 444.500 MOST of the time..you do NOT hear anyone of the input channel because they ARE using such low power.. Why do one needs high power...let the repeater work for you, unless, of course one is far from the repeater and they have to use HIGH power to "get into" and reach the repeater.

So find out which frequency is the OUTPUT of what you want to listen to and set your scanner to the OUTPUT channel.

Good Luck!!

TexasSteven
N5YEO

147.160
444.500

repeaters
Universal City * San Antonio, Texas
--==USA==--

3683. Re: Sat Help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 17:30:00 UTC
From: fccoutfo@fcc.usa
Message-ID: <936A880B5FCC@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 31899

Well Ralph:

Thats the price you pay when the property you live in is not you'rs.. If they apartment owners say get it off the property you can ether get it off the property or move your choice.

George

ralph201@hotmail.new (Ralph) wrote in
<mhKdnUbcJtYRNjajXTWcqw@giganews.com>:

>Hello,
>The apartment complex that I live in is going to install a distributed
>Dish system in order to get rid of the dish's that tenants like myself
>have on the balconies.
>I want to keep my dish and I need ideas from you all that I can use to
>keep it!
>I already know that federal law states that I can have a dish but mine
>is now mounted to the building.
>So, I remove the dish from the building and put it back on a tripod, can
>the apartment owner say anything about it??
>I also have other radio antennas outside and I am looking for ideas that
>would allow me to also keep my dish.
>
>Thanks To All,
>
>Ralph
>
>
>
>

3684. 780xlt vs. 895xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 17:57:30 UTC
From: Harv <jusacupofjava@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <BKUqa.32953$C%4.30011@fe10.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 31900

hello all
i've been lurking her for a little while and looked up some info but it
didnt really answer the questions i had about the 780 and 895 which i am
looking at purchasing one or the other
what does one do that the other doesnt , whats the pros and cons?
whats the advantages of controlling the units by computer remote?
one i have picked up on is the channel increase it seems for both units...
is there a preferred software package for one and not the other?
is uniden going or already stop support for these two since they are
introducing the "d" units?

thanks for everyones input in advance
harvey

3685. Re: Trunked Radio Information Homepage Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 18:20:38 UTC
From: fccoutfo@fcc.usa
Message-ID: <936A93401FCC@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 31901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well if you don't mind 20 pop-ups in your face and 10 cookies being added to your hard drive... I'm one of them guys that thinks people that do that should be, hung, shot, stabed, electrocuted, skined, gased, drawn and quartered, and then tossed into a mill wheel :-) but thats just me hahahaha

That other George :-)

P.S. get rid of all them pop-ups and cookie adders ...

Lindsay_Blanton@yahoo.com (Lindsay Blanton) wrote in <rvqnavsgqn0i2rlb5p036ggp2mgmm4o87g@4ax.com>:

>Hello Everyone!
>
>I want to take a quick moment and bring everyone up to speed on the
>status of www.trunkedradio.net. Over the past 4 years, this site has
>become one of the premier places to find information regarding trunked
>radio and digital systems. All of the success of this resource is
>due to the tireless efforts of our database administrators, and
>contributors such as yourselves who submit quality updated information
>to the database.
>
>Here is a list of some of the accomplishments the database has
>achieved over the past 4 years:
>
>- Detailed information for 2,445 systems worldwide
>- Over 60,000 talkgroups in the database
>- Over 39282 trunked frequencies in the database
>- 34 volunteer database administrators to manage the data
>- Totally automated on-line submission interface for
>changes/additions/feedback
>- Over 12,000 posts in the discussion forums from over 14,000
>Registered Members.
>
>Moving forward, www.trunkedradio.net needs your help. We are always
>looking for volunteers to help with the management of the trunked
>radio data in the database. Would you like to be a part of this
>wonderful resource? Send us a message to webmaster at
>trunkedradio.net if you are interested in being a database
>administrator.
>
>Also, we need everyone's assistance to verify that the data in the
>database is up-to-date and accurate. Please take a moment to look at
>your local systems, and submit any changes to us that you feel would

>increase the quality of the database.
>
>...and as always, feedback, comments, and suggestions for improvement
>are always welcome!!
>
>Thanks for all your assistance and support!
>
>Lindsay Blanton
>
>---------------------------------------
>Lindsay C. Blanton III
>The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
>http://www.trunkedradio.net
>
>

3686. Anyone ever use a GPS jammmer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 19:02:49 UTC
From: gg <gg@net.com>
Message-ID: <3EAC2959.E2C7ADE@net.com>
Source record: 31902

.

3687. Re: Trunked Radio Information Homepage Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 20:14:32 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3EAC3A2D.AA31CAC7@optonline.net>
Source record: 31903

Why is it that I don't see these alleged pop-upos that you keep
blubberinbg about? If you don't like cookies, turn them off. Most
users find them useful.

Walt

That other George wrote:
>
> Well if you don't mind 20 pop-ups in your face and 10 cookies being added
> to your hard drive... I'm one of them guys that thinks people that do that
> should be, hung, shot, stabed, electrocuted, skined, gased, drawn and
> quartered, and then tossed into a mill wheel :-) but thats just me hahahaha
>
> That other George :-)
>
> P.S. get rid of all them pop-ups and cookie adders ...
>
> Lindsay_Blanton@yahoo.com (Lindsay Blanton) wrote in
> <rvqnavsgqn0i2rlb5p036ggp2mgmm4o87g@4ax.com>:
>
> >Hello Everyone!
> >
> >I want to take a quick moment and bring everyone up to speed on the
> >status of www.trunkedradio.net. Over the past 4 years, this site has
> >become one of the premier places to find information regarding trunked
> >radio and digital systems. All of the success of this resource is
> >due to the tireless efforts of our database administrators, and
> >contributors such as yourselves who submit quality updated information
> >to the database.
> >
> >Here is a list of some of the accomplishments the database has
> >achieved over the past 4 years:
> >
> >- Detailed information for 2,445 systems worldwide
> >- Over 60,000 talkgroups in the database
> >- Over 39282 trunked frequencies in the database
> >- 34 volunteer database administrators to manage the data
> >- Totally automated on-line submission interface for
> >changes/additions/feedback
> >- Over 12,000 posts in the discussion forums from over 14,000
> >Registered Members.
> >
> >Moving forward, www.trunkedradio.net needs your help. We are always
> >looking for volunteers to help with the management of the trunked
> >radio data in the database. Would you like to be a part of this

> >wonderful resource? Send us a message to webmaster at
> >trunkedradio.net if you are interested in being a database
> >administrator.
> >
> >Also, we need everyone's assistance to verify that the data in the
> >database is up-to-date and accurate. Please take a moment to look at
> >your local systems, and submit any changes to us that you feel would
> >increase the quality of the database.
> >
> >...and as always, feedback, comments, and suggestions for improvement
> >are always welcome!!
> >
> >Thanks for all your assistance and support!
> >
> >Lindsay Blanton
> >
> >---------------------------------------
> >Lindsay C. Blanton III
> >The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
> >http://www.trunkedradio.net
> >
> >

3688. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 20:42:30 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <b8hfbmrug0@63.162.246.235>
Source record: 31904

"James S. Prine" <jsprine@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20030425223928.13277.00000093@mb-m10.aol.com...
> >While it may be an uneducated guess, it is still wrong. If the officer
> >feels it may be a crime, he can research the law, and then make an
> >arrest, (if the situation calls for it).
>
> Folks, it's easier than that. I carry a PDA with the statutes plainly
listed
> and cross-referenced in it.
>
> A more comprehensive version resides in my laptop computer.
>
Nice tools, but not available to all agencies, espically the lower
budget rural agencies. They are lucky to have portable radios.

> I also have a current copy of my State's statutory criminal law &
> procedure...the exact same lawbook the judges and district attorneys use,
and
> yes, I buy a new one each year, at my own expense.
>
> And, if I can't find what I'm looking for in all that, I just pick up the
> cellphone and consult with an Assistant District Attorney.

All we have are part time prosecuting attornies, our local policy
says write a report, and forward it to the PA's office, then they
can decide if they wish to prosecute. (unless fear of imminent flight,
in a felony case)

3689. Re: Sat Help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 20:47:28 UTC
From: Frank <rwtnbpaaky@pgahcnbspiypurjt.com>
Message-ID: <01c30cfe$142d3780$0125250a@pgahcnbspiypurjt>
Source record: 31905

Frank <01c30cc8$1c9b7b10$0125250a@rlehcsvhsmvfvdjj>...
^ I think that depends entirely on your contact ...

Oops! Change "contact" to "contract".

Frank

3690. Re: Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 21:04:31 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3EAC45DF.8D869658@USA.net>
Source record: 31906

RedXIII wrote:

> Because there is no violation of the states scanner law. So just the fact
> that the KKKop didn't know the law he was supposed to be enforcing is a
> violation of public trust. If a KKKop starts harrassing me about a law that
> was repealed 8 years ago, then that is not working within the public trust.
>
> Guess that's why they're cops and not lawyers. One actually knows the law
> while the other doesn't.
>

All the unrelated bull shit eliminated. Red exactly what town in NJ did all this so called crap with the police happen.

To aide you, I have taken this a step further. I printed this crap and am willing to send it to that police chief so he knows just how his officer has violated your rights.

I can't hear YOU!!! What town did you say? Frankly this crap here has to stop.

3691. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 21:12:28 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030427171228.21676.00000175@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 31907

>Nice tools, but not available to all agencies, espically the lower
>budget rural agencies. They are lucky to have portable radios.

I bought all that at my own expense, along with my body armor and other
'frills' the department doesn't bother issuing.

>All we have are part time prosecuting attornies, our local policy
>says write a report, and forward it to the PA's office, then they
>can decide if they wish to prosecute. (unless fear of imminent flight,
>in a felony case)

Unfortunate. I'd still cultivate contacts with the PA's office, it's nice to
know what's going on with current legislation and, more particularly, local
interpetation <g>.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3692. Is there an Integrated GPS Scanner with freq database?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 21:27:19 UTC
From: Jeff Kelly <jkelly@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <XWXqa.5155$D%4.1693@nwrdny03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 31908

So that if commanded the scanner would automatically switch frequencies
to the area you are traveling in?

Jeff

3693. Re: Anyone ever use a GPS jammmer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 21:41:07 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnbaojjk.46a.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 31909

In article <3EAC2959.E2C7ADE@net.com>, gg wrote:
> .
>
They are readily available, and so is a room with barfred windows.

They are not legal in Denmark, and probably nowhere else.
And since there is 3 active systsems, you need to jam all 3,
the US civilian, military and the russian.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

3694. Re: police 10-codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 21:50:44 UTC
From: core_dump <corenospamdump@corenodumpspamcentral.org>
Message-ID: <Xns936AB58DB4854coredumpcoredumpcent@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 31910

spanky@lilrascals.com wrote in news:3eab537f.127994735@news.alt.net:

>
> Is there a web site where I can get the police 10-codes for
> Camden,N.J.
> Thanks
>

Try www.fordyce.org

--
-core_dump

-----BEGIN GEEK CODE BLOCK-----
Version: 3.12
GCS/CC d- s-:+ a--- C+++ UL+ P L++++ E--- W++ N+++ o? K? w(--)
O? M-- V? PS+(---) PE Y+ PGP- t 5? X+ R tv b DI- D+(---) G e h! r--(---)
z--
------END GEEK CODE BLOCK------
Segmentation fault (core dumped)

3695. Re: Sat Help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 22:25:06 UTC
From: radiosay <radiosay@universal.net>
Message-ID: <niadnTVsg4LbxDGjXTWcpw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 31911

What are you suppose to gain (or lose) by going to the distributed dish?

"Ralph" <ralph201@hotmail.new> wrote in message
news:mhKdnUbcJtYRNjajXTWcqw@giganews.com...

> Hello,
> The apartment complex that I live in is going to install a distributed
Dish
> system in order to get rid of the dish's that tenants like myself have on
> the balconies.

3696. Re: Trunked Radio Information Homepage Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 22:30:43 UTC
From: Scott Berringer <scanman@scanland.org>
Message-ID: <Xns936ABC41A57FBscanmanscanlandorg@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 31912
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you don't want the popups, make a donation and they disappear.

As for the cookies, if you don't keep them, you have to re-log in every time you go to the site.

fccoutfo@fcc.usa (That other George) wrote in news:936A93401FCC@216.168.3.44:

> Well if you don't mind 20 pop-ups in your face and 10 cookies being
> added to your hard drive... I'm one of them guys that thinks people
> that do that should be, hung, shot, stabed, electrocuted, skined,
> gased, drawn and quartered, and then tossed into a mill wheel :-) but
> thats just me hahahaha
>
> That other George :-)
>
> P.S. get rid of all them pop-ups and cookie adders ...
>
> Lindsay_Blanton@yahoo.com (Lindsay Blanton) wrote in
> <rvqnavsgqn0i2rlb5p036ggp2mgmm4o87g@4ax.com>:
>
>>Hello Everyone!
>>
>>I want to take a quick moment and bring everyone up to speed on the
>>status of www.trunkedradio.net. Over the past 4 years, this site has
>>become one of the premier places to find information regarding trunked
>>radio and digital systems. All of the success of this resource is
>>due to the tireless efforts of our database administrators, and
>>contributors such as yourselves who submit quality updated information
>>to the database.
>>
>>Here is a list of some of the accomplishments the database has
>>achieved over the past 4 years:
>>
>>- Detailed information for 2,445 systems worldwide
>>- Over 60,000 talkgroups in the database
>>- Over 39282 trunked frequencies in the database
>>- 34 volunteer database administrators to manage the data
>>- Totally automated on-line submission interface for
>>changes/additions/feedback
>>- Over 12,000 posts in the discussion forums from over 14,000
>>Registered Members.
>>
>>Moving forward, www.trunkedradio.net needs your help. We are always

>>looking for volunteers to help with the management of the trunked
>>radio data in the database. Would you like to be a part of this
>>wonderful resource? Send us a message to webmaster at
>>trunkedradio.net if you are interested in being a database
>>administrator.
>>
>>Also, we need everyone's assistance to verify that the data in the
>>database is up-to-date and accurate. Please take a moment to look at
>>your local systems, and submit any changes to us that you feel would
>>increase the quality of the database.
>>
>>...and as always, feedback, comments, and suggestions for improvement
>>are always welcome!!
>>
>>Thanks for all your assistance and support!
>>
>>Lindsay Blanton
>>
>>---------------------------------------
>>Lindsay C. Blanton III
>>The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
>>http://www.trunkedradio.net
>>
>>
>
>

3697. Re: Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 23:03:57 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <geooav083apa7psl3vs0ce6l982g2flg9h@4ax.com>
Source record: 31913
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Will the real Saddam please stand up? http://www.toccionline.com/creations/realhussein/

John

On Fri, 25 Apr 2003 20:28:30 -0400, "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> belch-spoke these
words:

>Will the real "Q"please stand up?
>
>"""Q""" <Q@Q.everywhere> wrote in message
>news:40e9ba61.504646633@news.Q.everywhere...
>> On Fri, 25 Apr 2003 18:36:12 -0400, "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr"
><w4jle@charter.net> wrote:
>>
>> >The real freedom of the internet is that anyone with a keyboard may
>opine,
>> >in spite of the lack of both knowledge and logical thought.
>>
>> How profound!
>>
>> I bet in real life you would never lower yourself to communicate with such
>scum huh doc?
>>
>> >
>> >I get this mental picture of many typing like mad, singing "if I only had
>a
>> >brain", as they regurgitate the latest MTV rant.
>>
>> I get this mental picture of this old Fred MacMurray geezer typing away
>madly regurgitating his creamed corn
>> down his chin when I think of you.
>>
>> So who cares what you think, Doc?
>>
>>
>> >
>> >
>> >"""Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
>> >news:mTdqa.158637$0X.34165977@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>> >> "JF" <zbyte@cwnet.com> babbled...
>> >>
>> >> > Bush did steal the election, no matter how blind you choose to
>believe,

>> >> and
>> >> > 50 years from now history will reflect this. I will not be lead
>into
>> >the
>> >> > gas chamber like you Third Reich Conservatives would like all
>Americans
>> >> to.
>> >> > World domination is all that matters to this ego centered so called
>> >> > president of the United States. When they hand you over the
>authorities
>> >> to
>> >> > be plugged with the chip, I'm sure you'll be first in line.
>> >>
>> >> Your comments would be better suited for alt.conspiracy. If you
>understood
>> >> what the Third Reich was about, you'd know that they were FAR from what
>> >you
>> >> refer to as "Conservative".
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>>
>

3698. Re: "NXX" on 389 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 23:27:24 UTC
From: Jim Vecchiola <jimkr2t@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <3EAC675C.45C4F6AB@magpage.com>
Source record: 31914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This is Willow Grove NAS - puts out a very good signal over the metro Philly area. Signals in the 200 - 400 kHz area are used as NDB's - non-directional beacons. Aircraft "home in" on the signal to get to its location.

KR2T

DougSlug wrote:
>
> I heard "NXX" being transmitted in CW continuously about every 8 seconds on
> 389 kHz. This was a very strong signal at my central NJ location. Is this
> some sort of aeronautical radio beacon? How would this signal be used?
>
> - Doug

3699. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 23:41:35 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <b8hprfqtp0@63.162.247.142>
Source record: 31915

> >Nice tools, but not available to all agencies, espically the lower
> >budget rural agencies. They are lucky to have portable radios.
>
> I bought all that at my own expense, along with my body armor and other
> 'frills' the department doesn't bother issuing.
>

Our road deputies are considered high paid for this area, at 21-26k.

Next county over the deputies make 18, and drive their own cars.

3700. AOR 5000 one day left on e-bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-27 23:43:38 UTC
From: radiii@shaw.ca
Message-ID: <koqoavkg198n91f14br9ddi1hc8mlk7uvi@4ax.com>
Source record: 31916

AOR 5000 one day left on e-bay

http://cgi.ebay.ca/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3020661063

3701. Connecticut State Police Digital Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 00:41:20 UTC
From: WR <impact@snet.net>
Message-ID: <lfuoav4eh692uahlups3j3r3ae8gpkls8h@4ax.com>
Source record: 31917

I was wondering if anyone has tried the new Uniden Digitals and was
receiving CSP frequencies ok now ?
Does it work OK

Regards,
Bill

3702. Re: Anyone ever use a GPS jammmer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 01:02:01 UTC
From: Steve <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org>
Message-ID: <d4%qa.11000$Cm3.2357@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 31918

The Iraqis did when we were bombing them. The GPS jammers had not effect on the GPS bombs.

3703. Re: Scanstar explorer, scan*star,spectrum explorer information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 01:03:31 UTC
From: Steve <NoSpam123@NoSpam.org>
Message-ID: <D5%qa.11017$Cm3.1806@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 31919

http://www.scanstar.com/products/explorer.htm

"DP" <general@NOSAPMev1.net> wrote in message
news:dttnavk9lbv26vlvslnaefqjb9fko29jhu@4ax.com...
> Anyone know where I can find a trial version or copy of scanstar
> explorer (scan*star) for scanners? If this is not the board, could
> you point me to a better one. Thanks.
>
> Scanstar explorer, scan*star,spectrum explorer information

3704. Re: Trunked Radio Information Homepage Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 01:50:43 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com.goaway
Message-ID: <20030427215043.26815.00000160@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 31920

I enjoy the site that has very useful information...
It has been a great tool.
But for those of you that don't like it, well you don't have to go there.
I mean, what's a few interuptions compaired to the FREE information.
Oh well, just my 2 cents...

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

3705. Re: 780xlt vs. 895xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 02:05:45 UTC
From: John Bacon <news@djbitz.com>
Message-ID: <Z%%qa.30968$xB4.819303@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 31921

OK, I can help you on that.
I had an 895 It was ok and then I got the 780 it was better.
Here are the reasons.
1. Can scan Conventional and Trunk at the same time.
2. More user friendly.
3. Programming cable is easier to get along with the software
4. More commonly used.

I like to listen to Mass SP and my regular towns using low band and what
not. So I made group 1-5 my State Police and 6-10 my Police,FD and what not.

I'd personally think about the 785 so you can use APCO-25 in the future if
need be.

Good Luck,
JOHN
"Harv" <jusacupofjava@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:BKUqa.32953$C%4.30011@fe10.atl2.webusenet.com...
> hello all
> i've been lurking her for a little while and looked up some info but it
> didnt really answer the questions i had about the 780 and 895 which i am
> looking at purchasing one or the other
> what does one do that the other doesnt , whats the pros and cons?
> whats the advantages of controlling the units by computer remote?
> one i have picked up on is the channel increase it seems for both units...
> is there a preferred software package for one and not the other?
> is uniden going or already stop support for these two since they are
> introducing the "d" units?
>
> thanks for everyones input in advance
> harvey
>
>
>

3706. Re: Connecticut State Police Digital Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 02:07:59 UTC
From: JohnW <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <Xns936AE1233E90DJohnW@216.166.71.234>
Source record: 31922

WR <impact@snet.net> wrote in news:lfuoav4eh692uahlups3j3r3ae8gpkls8h@
4ax.com:

> I was wondering if anyone has tried the new Uniden Digitals and was
> receiving CSP frequencies ok now ?
> Does it work OK
>
>
> Regards,
> Bill
>

yes. yes and YES.

3707. Re: "NXX" on 389 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 02:11:03 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <X40ra.644974$3D1.358486@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 31923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jim Vecchiola wrote:
> This is Willow Grove NAS - puts out a very good signal over the metro
> Philly area. Signals in the 200 - 400 kHz area are used as NDB's -
> non-directional beacons. Aircraft "home in" on the signal to get to its
> location.
>
> KR2T
>
> DougSlug wrote:
>
>>I heard "NXX" being transmitted in CW continuously about every 8 seconds on
>>389 kHz. This was a very strong signal at my central NJ location. Is this
>>some sort of aeronautical radio beacon? How would this signal be used?
>>
>> - Doug

The Minneapolis area has just such an NDB. Can't remember the frequency
though. Av WX reports are also broadcast on that NDB freq.

3708. Re: Trunked Radio Information Homepage Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 02:37:49 UTC
From: fccoutfo@fcc.usa
Message-ID: <936AEF918FCC@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 31924

Funny the guy that owns th web-site sees them, i.e.

"If you don't want the popups, make a donation and they disappear.

As for the cookies, if you don't keep them, you have to re-log in every
time you go to the site.

fccoutfo@fcc.usa (That other George) wrote in
news:936A93401FCC@216.168.3.44: "

D'oh

The other George...

wbertram@optonline.net (Walt Bertram) wrote in
<3EAC3A2D.AA31CAC7@optonline.net>:

>Why is it that I don't see these alleged pop-upos that you keep
>blubberinbg about? If you don't like cookies, turn them off. Most
>users find them useful.
>
>Walt
>
>That other George wrote:
>>
>> Well if you don't mind 20 pop-ups in your face and 10 cookies being
>> added to your hard drive... I'm one of them guys that thinks people
>> that do that should be, hung, shot, stabed, electrocuted, skined,
>> gased, drawn and quartered, and then tossed into a mill wheel :-) but
>> thats just me hahahaha
>>
>> That other George :-)
>>
>> P.S. get rid of all them pop-ups and cookie adders ...
>>
>> Lindsay_Blanton@yahoo.com (Lindsay Blanton) wrote in
>> <rvqnavsgqn0i2rlb5p036ggp2mgmm4o87g@4ax.com>:
>>
>> >Hello Everyone!
>> >
>> >I want to take a quick moment and bring everyone up to speed on the
>> >status of www.trunkedradio.net. Over the past 4 years, this site has
>> >become one of the premier places to find information regarding
>> >trunked radio and digital systems. All of the success of this
>> >resource is due to the tireless efforts of our database
>> >administrators, and contributors such as yourselves who submit

>> >quality updated information to the database.
>> >
>> >Here is a list of some of the accomplishments the database has
>> >achieved over the past 4 years:
>> >
>> >- Detailed information for 2,445 systems worldwide
>> >- Over 60,000 talkgroups in the database
>> >- Over 39282 trunked frequencies in the database
>> >- 34 volunteer database administrators to manage the data
>> >- Totally automated on-line submission interface for
>> >changes/additions/feedback
>> >- Over 12,000 posts in the discussion forums from over 14,000
>> >Registered Members.
>> >
>> >Moving forward, www.trunkedradio.net needs your help. We are always
>> >looking for volunteers to help with the management of the trunked
>> >radio data in the database. Would you like to be a part of this
>> >wonderful resource? Send us a message to webmaster at
>> >trunkedradio.net if you are interested in being a database
>> >administrator.
>> >
>> >Also, we need everyone's assistance to verify that the data in the
>> >database is up-to-date and accurate. Please take a moment to look at
>> >your local systems, and submit any changes to us that you feel would
>> >increase the quality of the database.
>> >
>> >...and as always, feedback, comments, and suggestions for improvement
>> >are always welcome!!
>> >
>> >Thanks for all your assistance and support!
>> >
>> >Lindsay Blanton
>> >
>> >---------------------------------------
>> >Lindsay C. Blanton III
>> >The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
>> >http://www.trunkedradio.net
>> >
>> >

3709. Re: Scanstar explorer, scan*star,spectrum explorer information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 02:44:52 UTC
From: fccoutfo@fcc.usa
Message-ID: <936AEB24EFCC@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 31925

You might try the folks that make it :-)

http://www.scanstar.com/products/explorer.htm

right there at the bottem of the page...

The other George

general@NOSAPMev1.net (DP) wrote in
<dttnavk9lbv26vlvslnaefqjb9fko29jhu@4ax.com>:

>Anyone know where I can find a trial version or copy of scanstar
>explorer (scan*star) for scanners? If this is not the board, could
>you point me to a better one. Thanks.
>
>Scanstar explorer, scan*star,spectrum explorer information
>

3710. Re: DJ-X3 Wide Band Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 02:49:08 UTC
From: fccoutfo@fcc.usa
Message-ID: <936AE7CE5FCC@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 31926

Hey Len it's because of that damn UFO parked on your roof :-)

lbeecroft8041@rogers.com (Len Beecroft) wrote in
<dbKqa.164409$BQi.161388@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>:

>Hello All,
>
>I'm sitting here Listening to my DJ-X3 and i'm in scanning mode and i'm
>listening to our local police frequency (866.2250 MHz).
>
>I don't know what division i have on but it's really weird not only am i
>hearing lets say 31 division but i'm getting 12, 13, 14, 22, 54, 55,
>division police station frequencies. Also I'm getting Fire Department
>calls too.
>
>Is this normal ?
>
> Thank-you
>
>
>

3711. Re: scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 02:50:39 UTC
From: fccoutfo@fcc.usa
Message-ID: <936AEC0FAFCC@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 31927

That is the ST2 made by Antenna Craft :-)

nospam-vi@freemail.gr (Tom) wrote in <b8ge5m$o8b$1@usenet.otenet.gr>:

>
>> Check this out: http://users.cis.net/kingpop/Scantenna.htm Its the
>> ScanTenna. I have two of these and for an Omni-directional antenna I
>
>Is this the same with the ST2 scantenna from antennacraft?
>
>
>

3712. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 05:40:12 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030428014012.22139.00000262@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 31928

>Our road deputies are considered high paid for this area, at 21-26k.

Here in metro New Orleans, there is St. Bernard Parish, where the deputies must supply their own uniforms, leather gear, raincoats, jackets, sidearms, batons, you name it. Yes, they have to buy their own radios, too. And they subsist on the princely sum of approx. $875.00 a month, plus $350 or so a month, after a year, in State Supplemental pay.

What boggles the mind is that people actually *want* the job!

You can listen to them on 453.900 MHz. or 453.650 MHz. in the metro area.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3713. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 09:07:32 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAD26794.17D0B%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 31929

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" wrote:

> Damn Dwight, how can you not understand being
> stopped by a cop for a bad tire is exactly the
> same as someone entering your home in the middle
> of the night, and killing your entire family.
>
> Where is your compassion for those that were
> inconvenienced by the terrible cops?
>
> I should be able to treat a cop like scum and
> have him suppress any animosity towards me. After
> all it is MY taxes earned flipping burgers that
> pays his salary.
>
> Besides he should be out chasing real criminals
> and leaving me alone. It's my choice if I want
> to smoke dope and drive on may pops. Their all
> the same, they only took the job to hassle
> people.
>
> What more proof could you possible need? If you
> disagree, you are probably a right wing, communist,
> Nazi.
>
> (Removing tongue from cheek for the humor impaired)

LOL. I must be getting old. I don't understand his mentality at all. Are there bad cops out there? Of course. Should we be concerned about that? Of course. But THIS stuff (a warning to fix a bad tire or a discussion about a scanner) is just not something to rant about.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3714. Best mount distributor for auto's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 12:51:23 UTC
From: Rod <cabletek73@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <N1qdnaGj16uUuTCjXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 31930

Looking for a mount for my HT in my car...

Anyone have any good places to find an assortment of different mounts? Ive heard of panavise, anyone have any others?

Thanks
cabletek73@comcast.net

3715. Re: Connecticut State Police Digital Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 13:00:28 UTC
From: WR <impact@snet.net>
Message-ID: <2q9qav4tvr6ivom31j6ta6t97c02cbpads@4ax.com>
Source record: 31931

Thank you,
I think I'll still wait for those prices to drop though
Can't justify that cost I have listened to them since they switched so
I guess I can wait longer

Bill

On Sun, 27 Apr 2003 21:07:59 -0500, JohnW <no@no.no> wrote:

>WR <impact@snet.net> wrote in news:1fuoav4eh692uahlups3j3r3ae8gpkls8h@
>4ax.com:
>
>> I was wondering if anyone has tried the new Uniden Digitals and was
>> receiving CSP frequencies ok now ?
>> Does it work OK
>>
>>
>> Regards,
>> Bill
>>
>
>yes. yes and YES.

3716. Re: Asshole Cop? Maybe it's the driver? Nope. It was the cop.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 14:48:30 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@say.net>
Message-ID: <BAD2B77E.17D24%stewart@say.net>
Source record: 31932

"Mark" wrote:

> There is a difference between being questioned
> and then harassed by being told the NJ laws are
> bunk by an official sworn to uphold them. Take
> your own advice and "read it again". You
> clearly didn't do that the first time.

I'll try this one more time - and since you don't like my words, we'll use your own. How is being "told the NJ laws are bunk by an official sworn to uphold them" harassment? In what way was anyone "harassed" by those words?

This is not harassment, Mark. During a traffic stop, the officer asked about the scanner in his vehicle. A 'discussion' ensued, during which, according to the side of the story we've heard, the officer supposedly made that statement. That 'discussion' eventually ended and the driver went on his way. I don't see the harassment in all that.

Instead, based on what I've read here, the guy has a major attitude. If he displayed that same attitude during that traffic stop, I suspect the officer felt more harassed than the person (the driver) complaining here.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3717. 4 SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 14:51:05 UTC
From: kb9igg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030428105105.25088.00000245@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 31933

RADIO SHACK PRO 2026 IN EXCELLENT CONDITION.I HAVE TOO MANY SCANNERS.I HAVE THE
BOX MANUAL THE POWER CORD.100 CH.PROGRAMMBLE SCANNER.THIS SCANNER RUNS ON 12
VOLTS.BASE OR MOBILE.29 TO 54 MHZ 108 TO 174 MHZ 406 TO 512 MHZ 806 TO 896.
IT HAS THE CELL MOD.$100+SHIPPING PRIORITY MAIL

3718. Trunk Tracker Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 15:30:45 UTC
From: mkelton@highergroundinc.com
Message-ID: <3ead4822.1021857783@news.la.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 31934
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If I want to set up something like the Trunker program just to see the activity on the data channels of an 800 MHz Motorola system, do I need to have a trunk tracker scanner, or will any scanner that can tune to those frequencies do? I mean, if I am not using the scanner to actually tune into the talk groups, do I need the trunk tracking feature at all?

3719. Re: Trunked Radio Information Homepage Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 16:01:38 UTC
From: Elephant <elephant@the-zoo.com>
Message-ID: <3EAD5062.3718@the-zoo.com>
Source record: 31935
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That other George wrote:
>
> Well if you don't mind 20 pop-ups in your face and 10 cookies being added
> to your hard drive...

What in the world are you talking about. Never, I repeat, NEVER,
have I ever had one single pop up add from the trunk tracker web site.

I quit doing drugs a long time ago. That's when I stopped seeing
things that weren't there!

3720. GMRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 16:22:02 UTC
From: Len Beecroft <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <Kycra.14080$M81.677@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 31936

Hello,

Don't know if this helps but I have a GRS.

Licensee is hereby authorized to establish and operate a GRS land or mobile
station at: Home Address here in Canada.

Our Call Signs in Canada are (or) use to be XM4138221<---this one is mine...
In Canada you don't need to have a licence to use a Cb..

3721. Re: Trunked Radio Information Homepage Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 17:03:23 UTC
From: JohnW <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <Xns936B84CEECE52JohnW@216.166.71.234>
Source record: 31937
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Elephant <elephant@the-zoo.com> wrote in news:3EAD5062.3718@the-zoo.com:

> That other George wrote:
>>
>> Well if you don't mind 20 pop-ups in your face and 10 cookies being
>> added to your hard drive...
>
>
> What in the world are you talking about. Never, I repeat, NEVER,
> have I ever had one single pop up add from the trunk tracker web site.
>
> I quit doing drugs a long time ago. That's when I stopped seeing
> things that weren't there!
>

See, I don't see them either... Hell, I dont even understand why he is
bashing the site!

3722. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 17:27:25 UTC
From: leedesi56@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c38f2a17.0304280927.2e44b039@posting.google.com>
Source record: 31938

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message news:<XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com>...
> So this asshole cop pulls me over today and starts asking me why I have a
> scanner in my car. I tell him it's a hobby and that NJ state scanner law
> states that having a scanner in your vehicle is not illegal unless it's used
> for commiting a crime or evading police. Which is true. It's says so right
> here:
>
> http://www.siscanner.8m.com/laws.html
>
> and here:
>
> http://www.maxpages.com/frequencies/NJ_Laws
>
> and here:
>
> http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/nj-laws.html
>
> That wasn't good enough for that asshole, so after he writes me for not
> having a seat belt on, he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
> hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
> fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that he
> has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having it
> in my car.
>
> What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this asshole
> harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.
>
Try smearing yourself with Crisco and prostrate yourself at the feet of
Attorney general Ashcroft. Maybe he can fix it for you.
Then move to Iraq and join the Shiites. I'll take care of your bitch.

3723. Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to chose?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 18:25:40 UTC
From: EDesign <edesign1@takas.lt>
Message-ID: <b8jrnh$afq2f$1@ID-164367.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 31939
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Fellows,
I am interesting in buying a new scanner for myself. I am a beginner so not qualified in choosing one of few scanners.
The question is: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to chose?
The prices in my country for these model is: IC-R5 - 290 euro; VR-120D - 205 euro and DJ-X3 - 180 euro.
I would like to have more for less price:-)
Your opinion, suggestions are warmly welcome.
Thanks in advice,
Edgar

3724. *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 19:36:46 UTC
From: TIA <no1@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <var0qfo1q9qi58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Ya know I always know better but for some reason this time I didn't heed my own warning. I had advertised a HR2600 with a chipswitch and 2 - 150 amps for sale. One having a preamp switch problem and needed a new switch but the amp side worked perfectly. And I got alot of action but no sales. Well after 2-3 months I got an email from

MITCH N2RADIO [mitch@n2radio.com] MITCHELL Robertson [MR_CPTN@militaryministry.net]

Stating that he had a 6900TB to and an Boomer 600 amp to trade for my stuff. I was like ok, no problem. I got everything packaged up in bubble wrap and boxed real well. And waited for ups to pick it up. And he was to do the same. Well he gave me a tracking number 2 days later and the package was on its way to me. Well mine left and went to him. I triple checked the operation of all the equipment before I packaged it up. Just because I did a trade earlier that month (Sept) and had a DOA problem with a scanner. So I made sure that the equip worked perfectly. Well I found out that Mitch was in the military and was being shipped out. And his family is moving. So they wouldn't be able to get email for some time.

Well the package arrived at completely the wrong address, which was intentional because Mitch and his wife Laura had both double-checked the shipping addy with me. I finally get the package and guess what? Its 3 Chilton's repair manuals no equipment to be found. Well did I get hosed but bad. So over the next 4 months I emailed both addresses well over a hundred times. And no response at all. Well I have a few connections in the military and I'm making some requests. I'll see what happens it should be interesting to say the least. The last email I sent Mitch and his wife I told him this and told him by Jan 1 If I didn't hear anything I would take action. Still no reply!!

Well as I'm typing guess what, I get a box in ups!! SO I open it up and there is my 2600 back and one 150 amp that I sent to him. And a note that the 2600 doesn't receive, the first amp is dead, and he kept the other amp and sent me 2 beat up 15.00 microphones one with an echo. DAM JUNK!! And a mini para dynamics meter DOUBLE JUNK!!! Then he has the balls to throw in a pc board for an amp kit. Well I just wanted EVERYONE to know what happened to me. So if you do business with him BEWARE I warned you.

Robert Mitchell AKA Mitch Robertson AKA deadbeat user

MITCH N2RADIO [mailto:mitch@n2radio.com]

MITCHELL Robertson [MR_CPTN@militaryministry.net]

Address I shipped to:

Mitch Robertson 3612 SODERBERG DR. FT. COLLINS CO. 80526

Address he shipped from:

Art Castings 511 8th St. SE Loveland Colorado 80537

3725. All Scanners, Radio's and TV stations live on your PC updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 19:54:15 UTC
From: MXStreamplanet <info@pi6alk.nl>
Message-ID: <var1n96oa66024@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31941
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,nl.radio.scanners,nl.radio.scanners.112-berichten,rec.radio.scanner

The radio, scanners and television section of MXStreamplanet has been upgraded.

www.mxstreamplanet.com

3726. Re: Scanner Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 20:51:10 UTC
From: Len Beecroft <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <2vgra.16096$M81.897@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 31942
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A. Police/Fire/Ambulance.

D. Aircraft/Rail

G. Taxi's

3727. Re: Trunked Radio Information Homepage Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 21:12:13 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <MOgra.2430$27.2201@fed1read07>
Source record: 31943

(to Walt)
There usually is one pop up when you first go in. Then you're pop-up free.

(to all the whiners in this thread)

Popups and banners are no big deal to me! *somebody* has to pay for the site! If you don't want to see them then YOU can help pay for it.

Whiners, show us all your website that gives better info than this one, without the cookies and pop ups.

Oh that's right - you don't have one..... exclamation point.
--
-me

I see stupid people. They're everywhere.
They don't even know that they're dumb.

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3EAC3A2D.AA31CAC7@optonline.net...
> Why is it that I don't see these alleged pop-upos that you keep
> blubberinbg about? If you don't like cookies, turn them off. Most
> users find them useful.
>
> Walt
>
> That other George wrote:
> >
> > Well if you don't mind 20 pop-ups in your face and 10 cookies being added
> > to your hard drive... I'm one of them guys that thinks people that do that
> > should be, hung, shot, stabed, electrocuted, skined, gased, drawn and
> > quartered, and then tossed into a mill wheel :-) but thats just me hahahaha
> >
> > That other George :-)
> >
> > P.S. get rid of all them pop-ups and cookie adders ...
> >
> > Lindsay_Blanton@yahoo.com (Lindsay Blanton) wrote in
> > <rvqnavsgqn0i2rlb5p036ggp2mgmm4o87g@4ax.com>:
> >
> > >Hello Everyone!
> > >
> > >I want to take a quick moment and bring everyone up to speed on the

> > >status of www.trunkedradio.net. Over the past 4 years, this site has
> > >become one of the premier places to find information regarding trunked
> > >radio and digital systems. All of the success of this resource is
> > >due to the tireless efforts of our database administrators, and
> > >contributors such as yourselves who submit quality updated information
> > >to the database.
> > >
> > >Here is a list of some of the accomplishments the database has
> > >achieved over the past 4 years:
> > >
> > >- Detailed information for 2,445 systems worldwide
> > >- Over 60,000 talkgroups in the database
> > >- Over 39282 trunked frequencies in the database
> > >- 34 volunteer database administrators to manage the data
> > >- Totally automated on-line submission interface for
> > >changes/additions/feedback
> > >- Over 12,000 posts in the discussion forums from over 14,000
> > >Registered Members.
> > >
> > >Moving forward, www.trunkedradio.net needs your help. We are always
> > >looking for volunteers to help with the management of the trunked
> > >radio data in the database. Would you like to be a part of this
> > >wonderful resource? Send us a message to webmaster at
> > >trunkedradio.net if you are interested in being a database
> > >administrator.
> > >
> > >Also, we need everyone's assistance to verify that the data in the
> > >database is up-to-date and accurate. Please take a moment to look at
> > >your local systems, and submit any changes to us that you feel would
> > >increase the quality of the database.
> > >
> > >...and as always, feedback, comments, and suggestions for improvement
> > >are always welcome!!
> > >
> > >Thanks for all your assistance and support!
> > >
> > >Lindsay Blanton
> > >
> > >--
> > >Lindsay C. Blanton III
> > >The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
> > >http://www.trunkedradio.net
> > >
> > >

3728. Re: Anyone ever use a GPS jammmer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 21:14:38 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <1Rgra.2508$27.1145@fed1read07>
Source record: 31944

All the time. They make dandy paperweights. Especially the kind with 3 m's
in the word "jammer".

--
-me

I see stupid people. They're everywhere.
They don't even know that they're dumb.

"gg" <gg@net.com> wrote in message news:3EAC2959.E2C7ADE@net.com...
> .
>

3729. Re: Scanner Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 22:30:30 UTC
From: Barry Adair <barry@puredamntexas.com>
Message-ID: <vararta2094n72@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31945
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

would it not be better to place a poll on a web page instead of in the news
group

"Len Beecroft" <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:2vgra.16096$M81.897@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> A. Police/Fire/Ambulance.
>
> D. Aircraft/Rail
>
> G. Taxi's
>
>

3730. Re: BC245xlt: Multiple Type II's in a bank?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-28 22:45:03 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <O9ira.3684$27.1329@fed1read07>
Source record: 31946

Yes! I've done it before, and as long as each system is far enough from the
others (so that only one data channel is active at one time) it works great.

--
-me

I see stupid people. They're everywhere.
They don't even know that they're dumb.

<skeets1@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:3ea53669.89739548@News.CIS.DFN.DE...
> Is it possible to put multiple Type II systems in the same memory
> bank? If so, how are the frequencies entered?
>
> I thought I read a post regarding this in the BC245XLT group in Yahoo
> but couldn't find the message.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Skeeter

3731. Re: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to chose?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 00:07:41 UTC
From: kevcat <KevAlone@dodo.com.au>
Message-ID: <3EADC491.EBD91C8C@dodo.com.au>
Source record: 31847
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

the DJ-X3 is a basic radio has lots less than the others
but it is cheap
I'd go for the Icom being the newest of the lot

Kev

EDesign wrote:
>
> Fellows,
> I am interesting in buying a new scanner for myself. I am a beginner so not
> qualified in choosing one of few scanners.
> The question is: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to
> chose?
> The prices in my country for these model is: IC-R5 - 290 euro; VR-120D - 205
> euro and DJ-X3 - 180 euro.
> I would like to have more for less price:-)
> Your opinion, suggestions are warmly welcome.
> Thanks in advice,
> Edgar

3732. Re: *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 00:15:49 UTC
From: JohnW <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <Xns936BCE1EDDD6BJohnW@216.166.71.234>
Source record: 31848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"TIA" <no1@nowhere.com> wrote in news:var0qfo1q9qi58@corp.supernews.com:

> Ya know I always know better but for some reason this time I didn't
> heed my own warning.

<snip>

Do you plan on reposting this weekly? and for how long?

When I clicked on this, I expected an update. Not the exact post I saw a
week ago.

3733. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 00:52:35 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nmar@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3EADCCBB.62DF1ED0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 31849
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Daniel and others,

The same thing happend to me. Some nitwit complained on rec.radio.scanner that I posted inappropriate and ofensive material. My ISP (earthlink) actually banned me from newsgroup postings. I sent copies of my posts to them and the moderator but that didn't matter one whit. The post violated one of their rules. The post in question was a FA on ebay for a scanning transceiver. I had to apologize to earthlink and promise never to mis-behave again.

The power that the complainers and whiners have is tremendous. Posting For Sale items on rec.radio.swap is within the boundries of this group, but it was questionable on rec.radio.scanner. So, consider me educated....

Nick
WB4SQI

Dan Conti wrote:

> Thanks for the input Dave. My blood pressure is fine.
> It is just a shame that a few morons ruin it for everyone.
>
> I won't spend any extra money. I simply will not post FS or FA items here
> to the loss of the newsgroups. PERIOD.
>
> Will my items still sell? Yes. eBay is great.
>
> Dan
>
> Dan
> >
> >
> > If you go this route will it cost you extra money? Yes. Will it expose
> this
> > liar/whiner? Yes. Will it keep your blood pressure down? Yes.
> >
> > It's a coin toss, but an option I thought you should know about.

3734. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 01:14:46 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3EADD206.E9D4C408@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 31850
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Nick Marsh wrote:

> Daniel and others,
>
> The same thing happend to me. Some nitwit complained on rec.radio.scanner that
> I posted inappropriate and ofensive material. My ISP (earthlink) actually
> banned me from newsgroup postings....

Nick:
You have to escalate the issue past the first little
phone monkey that handles the problem. Never take "no"
from a grunt that doesn't have the authority to say "yes."
They are trained to "make the problem go away," not
actually solve it. If you go over the first grunt's head-
and sometimes even the second grunt's head-
Earthlink will check the group charter and,
assuming sales are not banned by the charter, be reasonable.

3735. Fast food frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 01:36:45 UTC
From: harry_johnson_MD@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <df01e205.0304281736.1ee6662f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 31851

I was searching Google to find fast food frequencies, but most lists seem to be very old and out of date. Anyone know where to find updated stuff?

I wanted to tune in using my new Icom R5 (which I love, BTW). Thought the kids would get a kick out of it the next time we go to Chik-fil-a.

Thanks, Harry

3736. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 03:22:16 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ldmra.175443$JI.40259224@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 31852
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Nick Marsh" <nmar@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3EADCCBB.62DF1ED0@earthlink.net...
> Daniel and others,
>
> The same thing happend to me. Some nitwit complained on rec.radio.scanner
that
> I posted inappropriate and ofensive material. My ISP (earthlink) actually
> banned me from newsgroup postings. I sent copies of my posts to them and
the
> moderator but that didn't matter one whit. The post violated one of their
> rules. The post in question was a FA on ebay for a scanning transceiver. I
had
> to apologize to earthlink and promise never to mis-behave again.

I think I'd rather pay a lawyer to sue em.

>
> The power that the complainers and whiners have is tremendous. Posting For
> Sale items on rec.radio.swap is within the boundries of this group, but it
was
> questionable on rec.radio.scanner. So, consider me educated....
>
> Nick
> WB4SQI
>
> Dan Conti wrote:
>
> > Thanks for the input Dave. My blood pressure is fine.
> > It is just a shame that a few morons ruin it for everyone.
> >
> > I won't spend any extra money. I simply will not post FS or FA items
here
> > to the loss of the newsgroups. PERIOD.
> >
> > Will my items still sell? Yes. eBay is great.
> >
> > Dan
> >
> > Dan
> > >
> > >
> > > If you go this route will it cost you extra money? Yes. Will it
expose
> > this

> > > liar/whiner? Yes. Will it keep your blood pressure down? Yes.
> > >
> > > It's a coin toss, but an option I thought you should know about.
>

3737. Re: Term Localizer and Localize for ATC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 03:32:09 UTC
From: Genghis Kahn <warrior@china.com>
Message-ID: <vat8pnipqcmh65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31870

Kevin,

Here's a lnk (actually two) from the US Federal Aviation Administration Publication "Aeronautical Information Manual"

This link deals specifically with the ILS:

http://www1.faa.gov/ATpubs/AIM/Chap1/aim0101.html#1-1-9

And this link is the table of contents for the whole book:

http://www1.faa.gov/ATpubs/AIM/index.htm

Thia will give you alot (maybe too much!) information.

Hope this helps,

Genghis Kahn
Air Traffic Controller

3738. Re: Scanner Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 03:39:49 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b8lr90$bags9$4@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 31859
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Nope. Great place for it. Newsgroups are supposed to be for interaction
and information sharing.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
--. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
.. --. .... - . .-. ...

"Barry Adair" <barry@puredamntexas.com> wrote in message
news:vararta2094n72@corp.supernews.com...
> would it not be better to place a poll on a web page instead of in the
news
> group
>
>
> "Len Beecroft" <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:2vgra.16096$M81.897@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > A. Police/Fire/Ambulance.
> >
> > D. Aircraft/Rail
> >
> > G. Taxi's
> >
> >
>
>

3739. Re: Best mount distributor for auto's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 03:50:52 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <b8lr91$bags9$5@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 31860

Try making one..... a little sheet metal, for the clip to clip onto, is not
a hard project. Screw/velcro/doubleside tape it to a surface in the vehicle
and you are all set!

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
--. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
.. --. .... - . .-. ...

"Rod" <cabletek73@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:N1qdnaGj16uUuTCjXTWcoA@comcast.com...
> Looking for a mount for my HT in my car...
>
> Anyone have any good places to find an assortment of different mounts?
Ive
> heard of panavise, anyone have any others?
>
> Thanks
> cabletek73@comcast.net
>
>
>

3740. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 04:13:46 UTC
From: keith <mke65@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <ruurav4da0lma0238jqc7mpnadpjdc2m5f@4ax.com>
Source record: 31853

here is a fine story of a great career officer holding up the law.

keith
On 28 Apr 2003 10:27:25 -0700, leedesi56@yahoo.com (ad lib) wrote:

>"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message news:<XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com>...
>> So this asshole cop pulls me over today and starts asking me why I have a
>> scanner in my car. I tell him it's a hobby and that NJ state scanner law
>> states that having a scanner in your vehicle is not illegal unless it's used
>> for commiting a crime or evading police. Which is true. It's says so right
>> here:
>>
>> http://www.siscanner.8m.com/laws.html
>>
>> and here:
>>
>> http://www.maxpages.com/frequencies/NJ_Laws
>>
>> and here:
>>
>> http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/nj-laws.html
>>
>> That wasn't good enough for that asshole, so after he writes me for not
>> having a seat belt on, he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
>> hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
>> fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that he
>> has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having it
>> in my car.
>>
>> What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this asshole
>> harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.
>>
>Try smearing yourself with Crisco and prostrate yourself at the feet of
>Attorney general Ashcroft. Maybe he can fix it for you.
>Then move to Iraq and join the Shiites. I'll take care of your bitch.

3741. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 04:14:30 UTC
From: keith <mke65@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <b0vravct5io5fj5i6ovm2u1i1lrjaghiv5@4ax.com>
Source record: 31855

http://www.komotv.com/stories/24522.htm

On 28 Apr 2003 10:27:25 -0700, leedesi56@yahoo.com (ad lib) wrote:

>"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message news:<XiGdnQpVrN5FVTijXTWcpw@comcast.com>...
>> So this asshole cop pulls me over today and starts asking me why I have a
>> scanner in my car. I tell him it's a hobby and that NJ state scanner law
>> states that having a scanner in your vehicle is not illegal unless it's used
>> for commiting a crime or evading police. Which is true. It's says so right
>> here:
>>
>> http://www.siscanner.8m.com/laws.html
>>
>> and here:
>>
>> http://www.maxpages.com/frequencies/NJ_Laws
>>
>> and here:
>>
>> http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/nj-laws.html
>>
>> That wasn't good enough for that asshole, so after he writes me for not
>> having a seat belt on, he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
>> hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
>> fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that he
>> has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having it
>> in my car.
>>
>> What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this asshole
>> harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.
>>
>Try smearing yourself with Crisco and prostrate yourself at the feet of
>Attorney general Ashcroft. Maybe he can fix it for you.
>Then move to Iraq and join the Shiites. I'll take care of your bitch.

3742. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 04:14:36 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3EADFC49.8060800@earthlink.net>
Source record: 31854
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Nick,
There are some sorry SOB's on these groups and they are
ROFLTAO right now that they hurt you.

I hope the very worst for them and they know who they are.

What goes around, comes around.

Burr

3743. Re: Fast food frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 09:07:07 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3EAE40BB.CC30E19F@mitre.org>
Source record: 31856

Try doing a search on the web at <http://www.cityfreq.com:81/>
for companies in the area. They may be listed under holding firms or
communications providers with BDAs at the end of the listings. Some
business frequencies will have multiples users and
wrap around for several lines of text! And tune the R-5 in the
Wide band FM mode, around 902 MHz to 929 MHz, new head sets are showing
up here. Also turn or search around the frequencies
you already have found. You may find the drive through on other
frequencies close by!

Once you find a frequency do a TONE SEARCH with the R-5 to see if any
tone coded squelch
is present. I have an R-2 and just got a Uniden® BC250 D with the BC25iD
digital card.
Last week I found a Mcd's around 469.3875 with the SERVICE SEARCH
"SPECIAL" feature with
the BC 250 D. I had tried the same location with the R-2 around 902-928
MHz with out amy luck.
Several donut shops and fast food drive throughs in Southern NH are in
the 902-928 MHz
range using WIDE FM mode and PL tones; have fun.
Jim

"Dr. Harry Johnson" wrote:

> I was searching Google to find fast food frequencies, but most lists
> seem to be very old and out of date. Anyone know where to find
> updated stuff?
>
> I wanted to tune in using my new Icom R5 (which I love, BTW). Thought
> the kids would get a kick out of it the next time we go to Chik-fil-a.
>
> Thanks, Harry

3744. Re: *******BEWARE OF SALES OR TRADING WITH "Mitch Roberston"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 11:08:16 UTC
From: L Harris <bennyc03@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <A2tra.46879$4P1.4371490@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 31857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

maybe he needs a chunk of concrete or IRON mailed to him postage DUE....

"TIA" <no1@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:var0qfo1q9qi58@corp.supernews.com...
> Ya know I always know better but for some reason this time I didn't heed
my
> own warning. I had advertised a HR2600 with a chipswitch and 2 - 150 amps
> for sale. One having a preamp switch problem and needed a new switch but
the
> amp side worked perfectly. And I got alot of action but no sales. Well
after
> 2-3 months I got an email from
>
>
>
> MITCH N2RADIO [mitch@n2radio.com] MITCHELL Robertson
> [MR_CPTN@militaryministry.net]
>
>
>
> Stating that he had a 6900TB to and an Boomer 600 amp to trade for my
stuff.
> I was like ok, no problem. I got everything packaged up in bubble wrap and
> boxed real well. And waited for ups to pick it up. And he was to do the
> same. Well he gave me a tracking number 2 days later and the package was
on
> its way to me. Well mine left and went to him. I triple checked the
> operation of all the equipment before I packaged it up. Just because I did
a
> trade earlier that month (Sept) and had a DOA problem with a scanner. So I
> made sure that the equip worked perfectly. Well I found out that Mitch was
> in the military and was being shipped out. And his family is moving. So
they
> wouldn't be able to get email for some time.
>
> Well the package arrived at completely the wrong address, which was
> intentional because Mitch and his wife Laura had both double-checked the
> shipping addy with me. I finally get the package and guess what? Its 3
> Chilton's repair manuals no equipment to be found. Well did I get hosed
but
> bad. So over the next 4 months I emailed both addresses well over a
hundred
> times. And no response at all. Well I have a few connections in the

military
> and I'm making some requests. I'll see what happens it should be
interesting
> to say the least. The last email I sent Mitch and his wife I told him this
> and told him by Jan 1 If I didn't hear anything I would take action. Still
> no reply!!
>
>
>
> Well as I'm typing guess what, I get a box in ups!! SO I open it up and
> there is my 2600 back and one 150 amp that I sent to him. And a note that
> the 2600 doesn't receive, the first amp is dead, and he kept the other amp
> and sent me 2 beat up 15.00 microphones one with an echo. DAM JUNK!! And
a
> mini para dynamics meter DOUBLE JUNK!!! Then he has the balls to throw in
a
> pc board for an amp kit. Well I just wanted EVERYONE to know what happened
> to me. So if you do business with him BEWARE I warned you.
>
>
>
> Robert Mitchell AKA Mitch Robertson AKA deadbeat user
>
> MITCH N2RADIO [mailto:mitch@n2radio.com]
>
> MITCHELL Robertson [MR_CPTN@militaryministry.net]
>
>
>
> Address I shipped to:
>
> Mitch Robertson 3612 SODERBERG DR. FT. COLLINS CO. 80526
>
> Address he shipped from:
>
> Art Castings 511 8th St. SE Loveland Colorado 80537
>
>
>
>
>
>

3745. Today in Newcastle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 12:07:01 UTC
From: Garry <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <1Xtra.24826$1s1.379881@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 31858

There was a house fire today in the Newcastle suburb of Bar Beach.

You can see pictures and hear a recording from the scene on our web page.

It's great to see our emergency services working so well together, getting
the job done

Visit http://newcastlescan.tripod.com
then click on the link on our front page called Bar Beach Red Red Red

Please sign our guest book

Garry & Mick

3746. Re: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to chose?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 12:48:37 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@home.scotland>
Message-ID: <b8lsb4$rb2$1@hercules.btinternet.com>
Source record: 31861
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"EDesign" <edesign1@takas.lt> wrote in message
news:b8jrnh$afq2f$1@ID-164367.news.dfncis.de...
> Fellows,
> I am interesting in buying a new scanner for myself. I am a beginner so
not
> qualified in choosing one of few scanners.
> The question is: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to
> chose?
> The prices in my country for these model is: IC-R5 - 290 euro; VR-120D -
205
> euro and DJ-X3 - 180 euro.
> I would like to have more for less price:-)
> Your opinion, suggestions are warmly welcome.
> Thanks in advice,
> Edgar
>
>

The IC-R5 looks nice but I've used one and wasn't impressed; it had lots of
wandering birdies and the memory system is, well, bloody awkward. However if
you can find an IC-R2 then bag it, it's a great radio which I can't fault
(how Icom managed to bugger things up so completely with the R5 is beyond
me.)

3747. Re: BEWARE! Your ISP has been informed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 12:52:37 UTC
From: STB <telegrapher@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <b8lsj4$nc3$1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 31862
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Earthlink has their nerve! Their horrible service I experienced caused me to dump them 3 years ago.

If you really want to have your eyes opened, read their so-called "privacy agreement." You've just about agreed to sign away everything to them except your birthday. Oppressive policies like theirs is what is killing the Internet by degrees. These outfits know this thing is a bubble that will implode so they are trying to make their cash grab now and it will serve them right. Fortunately there are still a few decent ISPs around. Try www.ambitlink.net. Above all else, keep in mind that you are their client...their CUSTOMER and THEY NEED YOU. It is NOT the other way around, regardless of what they try to make you think.

Vote with your feet and walk away...

Smokey

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Idmra.175443$JI.40259224@twister.neo.rr.com...
>
> "Nick Marsh" <nmar@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3EADCCBB.62DF1ED0@earthlink.net...
> > Daniel and others,
> >
> > The same thing happend to me. Some nitwit complained on
rec.radio.scanner
> that
> > I posted inappropriate and ofensive material. My ISP (earthlink)
actually
> > banned me from newsgroup postings. I sent copies of my posts to them and
> the
> > moderator but that didn't matter one whit. The post violated one of
their
> > rules. The post in question was a FA on ebay for a scanning transceiver.
I
> had
> > to apologize to earthlink and promise never to mis-behave again.
>
> I think I'd rather pay a lawyer to sue em.
>
> >
> > The power that the complainers and whiners have is tremendous. Posting
For
> > Sale items on rec.radio.swap is within the boundries of this group, but

it
> was
> > questionable on rec.radio.scanner. So, consider me educated....
> >
> > Nick
> > WB4SQI
> >
> > Dan Conti wrote:
> >
> > > Thanks for the input Dave. My blood pressure is fine.
> > > It is just a shame that a few morons ruin it for everyone.
> > >
> > > I won't spend any extra money. I simply will not post FS or FA items
> here
> > > to the loss of the newsgroups. PERIOD.
> > >
> > > Will my items still sell? Yes. eBay is great.
> > >
> > > Dan
> > >
> > > Dan
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > If you go this route will it cost you extra money? Yes. Will it
> expose
> > > this
> > > > liar/whiner? Yes. Will it keep your blood pressure down? Yes.
> > > >
> > > > It's a coin toss, but an option I thought you should know about.
> >
>
>

3748. Garmin Map76S with extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 13:21:34 UTC
From: Its Me! <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <y%ura.7905$Jf.3999917@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 31863
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,sci.geo.satellite-nav

Take a look.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=34289&item=3021351623
&rd=1

3749. Re: Garmin Map76S with extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 14:21:31 UTC
From: Its Me! <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <LTvra.7948$Jf.4016953@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 31864
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,sci.geo.satellite-nav

Sorry for the double post
"Its Me!" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:y%ura.7905$Jf.3999917@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Take a look.
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=34289&item=3021351623
> &rd=1
>
>
>

3750. Re: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to chose?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 14:30:50 UTC
From: Melv <melvyn.rattenburynojunk@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <o0wra.740$gn2.660@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 31865
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Get the software it makes programming a hell lot easier
"Mefix" <mefix@home.scotland> wrote in message
news:b8lsb4$rb2$1@hercules.btinternet.com...
>
> "EDesign" <edesign1@takas.lt> wrote in message
> news:b8jrnh$afq2f$1@ID-164367.news.dfncis.de...
> > Fellows,
> > I am interesting in buying a new scanner for myself. I am a beginner so
> not
> > qualified in choosing one of few scanners.
> > The question is: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to
> > chose?
> > The prices in my country for these model is: IC-R5 - 290 euro; VR-120D -
> 205
> > euro and DJ-X3 - 180 euro.
> > I would like to have more for less price:-)
> > Your opinion, suggestions are warmly welcome.
> > Thanks in advice,
> > Edgar
> >
> >
>
>
> The IC-R5 looks nice but I've used one and wasn't impressed; it had lots
of
> wandering birdies and the memory system is, well, bloody awkward. However
if
> you can find an IC-R2 then bag it, it's a great radio which I can't fault
> (how Icom managed to bugger things up so completely with the R5 is beyond
> me.)
>
>

3751. Mark W. Gress Rips people off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 14:44:03 UTC
From: Its Me! <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Tcwra.7977$Jf.4023766@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 31866
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.laptops.alcam,comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.scanner

He got me for $57.78.For junk hard drive.
His name is Mark and he is an outrite crook.He sold me a hard drive that don't work at all on Ebay.Now he says they are sold as is.He would not give me a new one or my money back.He says send it to manufacturer for replacement.Now he hides from emails ,his phone is disconnected.and his address is a fake.
I have filed complaints with Ebay and Paypal.
What I do know is payment was sent to:
3715 W.Weaver Rd.
Brookline Station,Mo. 65619
according to Paypal records.
Enclosed is his mugshot he provides on his MSN profile.What a loser.
Mark W. Gress
Emails are:
gresmw@hotmail.com
mark44@hotmail.com

3752. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 15:11:11 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304290711.2a677b82@posting.google.com>
Source record: 31867
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Come on folks, let it die. This is really boring.

3753. Re: Career in Air Traffic Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 15:53:42 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <fb7tavgecp4kjj94dh3rt79kbk32jh20b4@4ax.com>
Source record: 31868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 25 Apr 2003 01:33:23 GMT, cathexis@yourhaterols.com
(Reticulum) wrote:

> What kind of salaries do they make ??
>And are they real lGov't employees (FRS or CSRS)
>and not some contract hires like the Programmers, etc.
>that Commerce and other Depts. use ?
>I'm already a Gov't worker in non-related area.

I don't know for certain what the salaries are like, but you won't
starve. Air traffic controllers have special regulations dealing with
just their pay scales. Yes, they are government employees, but this
is no ordinary government job. The big difference is that the higher
salaries only come with being able to handle more traffic. This
translates to stress. Whatever they earn, they deserve for having to
put up with the sort of stuff they deal with every day.

Air traffic controllers are paid reasonably well for their work; but
if you're looking to get rich, you can forget about this line of work.

Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ FME
Amateur Radio Station AB3A

3754. Re: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to chose?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 15:54:10 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@home.scotland>
Message-ID: <b8m772$hg3$1@sparta.btinternet.com>
Source record: 31869
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Melv" <melvyn.rattenburynojunk@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:o0wra.740$gn2.660@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Get the software it makes programming a hell lot easier

Tried that already - the R5 memory system is unwieldy and unworkable. At least with the R2 I can scan a bank without having to waste time linking channels together. Why Icom didn't just divide the 1000 channels into 10 or 20 banks and enable banks to be scanned individually or in tandem is beyond me. I'll stick with my R2...tried and tested. :)

3755. CE-232 schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 16:53:29 UTC
From: JQ <jameson71nospam@yahoonospam.com>
Message-ID: <Xns936C8322BD04Fjameson71yahoocom@204.60.203.24>
Source record: 31873

Anyone know where I could find the schematics for a CE-232 interface for my pro-2005? All the Bill Cheek FAQ's I find reffer to a non existant ftp and web site. I have been searching for the better part of today for this :(Please help.
TIA!

3756. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 17:38:26 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <b8mdai$840$0@63.162.247.92>
Source record: 31871

"keith" <mke65@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:b0vravct5io5fj5i6ovm2u1i1lrjaghiv5@4ax.com...
> http://www.komotv.com/stories/24522.htm

I am sure there is some significance to your posting, I just
can't seem to find it.

I guess I could start posting links to stories about plumbers who
kill their wife to the thread also.....

3757. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 17:43:09 UTC
From: Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu>
Message-ID: <1RicndzgtIkyJDOjXTWcoA@bway.net>
Source record: 31872
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <b234376e.0304290711.2a677b82@posting.google.com>,
glineberry@prodigy.net says...
>
>
>Come on folks, let it die. This is really boring.

Not to the families of those 13 (or was it 15 -- they are only Iraqis)
who got killed today and the additional 50 or 60 wounded.

3758. ICOM IC-R75 in Italy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 18:13:54 UTC
From: Tik Tak <tigreTOGLIQUESTO@virgilio.it>
Message-ID: <b8mfdm$1sr2$1@stargate1.inet.it>
Source record: 31874
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello everybody!
I'm from Italy and I am going to buy a scanner for my work. I must listen (only listen, of course) Police, Carabinieri and hospital frequencies. I am a beginner. A friend of mine suggests ICOM IC-R75.
Do you agree?
Stupid question: frequencies change in different regions of Italy?
Waiting your suggestions and answers...
Thanks
- Luca

3759. Re: What freq is this ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 18:50:50 UTC
From: Boris Yakoffalot <boris@mail.com>
Message-ID: <7Qzra.4159$KF1.88148@amstwist00>
Source record: 31875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.scanner

??

"Ray Radloff" <r.radloff@gmx.de> skrev i melding
news:lb3p8voj27coqf70nd3go073pfo9eugftq@4ax.com...
> Hi !
> coaststation@go.com (Coast Station) wrote:
>
>
> > You read it right the first time. It is 144.900 Mhz. Traffic is
> >very active (almost non-stop) from 10.00 till 23.00 UTC. Mostly it's
> >European and American Ham's. Signal is weak but readable.I'm using a
> >Diamond 130J as antenna. I've already checked AMSAT.org but found
> >nothing. Never heard Satellites doing this low freqs.
> >
>
> Believe me! It is an EchoLink-Connection to the HAM-Frequency you are
listening
> to.
>
> It's NOT a satellite-signal what you are receiving !
>
> Look at http://www.synergenics.com/el/
>
> CUL
>
> Ray
>
>
> http://hfdx.com
>
>
>
>

3760. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 19:37:17 UTC
From: Frank <ktbryjaygz@zhfxatrnsuegdkay.com>
Message-ID: <01c30e86$b5c1a840$0125250a@zhfxatrnsuegdkay>
Source record: 31876

Blake Bowers <b8mdai$840$0@63.162.247.92>...

^ I am sure there is some significance to your posting, I just
^ can't seem to find it.

The scumbag (a term I learned from an ex-cop) had problems. Unfortunately, because he was a cop and later the Chief of Police, he was not in and out of jail/prison like other scumbags are. It shows that there is a problem with the way we are monitoring the people we are entrusting with our peace, safety, and security. Police have too much power and authority and too many exemptions from the laws that we the people are subject to to allow them to go unmonitored. They asked that we let them monitor themselves, and we do, but it has become apparent that they are not doing so well enough. Far from it in fact. Things (the real world) need to change soon because the conditions are only getting worse.

You asked.

Frank

3761. Re: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to chose?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 19:41:29 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnbatlb9.g6.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 31877
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <b8jrnh$afq2f$1@ID-164367.news.dfncis.de>, EDesign wrote:
> Fellows,
> I am interesting in buying a new scanner for myself. I am a beginner so not
> qualified in choosing one of few scanners.
> The question is: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to
> chose?
> The prices in my country for these model is: IC-R5 - 290 euro; VR-120D - 205
> euro and DJ-X3 - 180 euro.
> I would like to have more for less price:-)

Most features for the bucks is Trident TRX-200 aka Camnis HSC350
aka Stabo 1810 for 298 Euro. It has 1000 memories, has all
the frequency steps, including 8.33 and 6.25 KHz. Does
SSB (USB+LSB) as well as CW on top of the normal NFM/WFM/AM.
Has a full keypad. Can be fully remote controlled from the
computer. Covers 100kHz to 2149 MHz. Shortwave reception sucks though.
Using my Sony 7600GR for that.

Overall, I am happy with my unit which I have had for around a month.

I was also considering the R5 and the VR-500 instead of the 120,
and ended up with the Trident, which Herbert Thieking (owner of
www.thiecom.de) recommended, and is using himself.

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

3762. Re: Anyone ever use a GPS jammmer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 19:48:31 UTC
From: "Povl H. Pedersen" <povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Message-ID: <slrnbatlog.g6.povlhp@povl-h-pedersens-computer.local>
Source record: 31878

In article <d4%qa.11000$Cm3.2357@news1.central.cox.net>, Steve wrote:
> The Iraqis did when we were bombing them. The GPS jammers
> had not effect on the GPS bombs.

Normal gyro systems can do a pretty good work if they know where
they start out. And it is my guess that US missiles have a fallback
routine in case the GPS signal disappears, like aroound a nuke site..

--
To get blacklisted please mail to listme@listme.dsbl.org
Writing to the above address will blacklist your mailserver.
Hvis du skriver til ovenstï¿½ende e-mail bliver din mailserver blacklistet.

3763. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 20:23:17 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030429162317.21949.00000320@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 31879

>The scumbag (a term I learned from an ex-cop) had problems.

Seriously Frank, if you literally learned the term 'scumbag' from an ex-cop, you really don't get around much. Perhaps you might consider climbing down out of that ivory tower of yours and join reality.

>It shows that there is a problem with
>the way we are monitoring the people we are entrusting with our peace,
>safety, and security.

Yes, unless and until we get emotionless flawless robots to enforce the laws, these unfortunate instances will occur.

>Police have too much power and authority and too many
>exemptions from the laws that we the people are subject to to allow them to
>go unmonitored.

Unmonitored? In my area, cops' garbage and trash is examined 'just in case', private investigators follow cops and their families 'just in case', and other rights you and most people take for granted...are denied to cops...merely because they *are* cops.

>Things (the real world) need to change soon because the
>conditions are only getting worse.

Yes, please do all of us a favor, and move to France, where conditions seem to be more to your liking <g>.

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3764. Re: Trunked Radio Information Homepage Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 21:08:16 UTC
From: b7spectra <webmaster@scanatlanta.com>
Message-ID: <3RBra.130973$Si4.111689@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 31880
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My POPUP KILLER takes care of them!

--

/\/\|k.e

Michael B. Martin
webmaster@scanatlanta.com
mm505@comcast.net

"That other George" <fccoutfo@fcc.usa> wrote in message
news:936A93401FCC@216.168.3.44...
> Well if you don't mind 20 pop-ups in your face and 10 cookies being added
> to your hard drive... I'm one of them guys that thinks people that do
that
> should be, hung, shot, stabed, electrocuted, skined, gased, drawn and
> quartered, and then tossed into a mill wheel :-) but thats just me
hahahaha
>
> That other George :-)
>
> P.S. get rid of all them pop-ups and cookie adders ...
>
> Lindsay_Blanton@yahoo.com (Lindsay Blanton) wrote in
> <rvqnavsgqn0i2rlb5p036ggp2mgmm4o87g@4ax.com>:
>
> >Hello Everyone!
> >
> >I want to take a quick moment and bring everyone up to speed on the
> >status of www.trunkedradio.net. Over the past 4 years, this site has
> >become one of the premier places to find information regarding trunked
> >radio and digital systems. All of the success of this resource is
> >due to the tireless efforts of our database administrators, and
> >contributors such as yourselves who submit quality updated information
> >to the database.
> >
> >Here is a list of some of the accomplishments the database has
> >achieved over the past 4 years:
> >
> >- Detailed information for 2,445 systems worldwide
> >- Over 60,000 talkgroups in the database
> >- Over 39282 trunked frequencies in the database
> >- 34 volunteer database administrators to manage the data
> >- Totally automated on-line submission interface for

> >changes/additions/feedback
> >- Over 12,000 posts in the discussion forums from over 14,000
> >Registered Members.
> >
> >Moving forward, www.trunkedradio.net needs your help. We are always
> >looking for volunteers to help with the management of the trunked
> >radio data in the database. Would you like to be a part of this
> >wonderful resource? Send us a message to webmaster at
> >trunkedradio.net if you are interested in being a database
> >administrator.
> >
> >Also, we need everyone's assistance to verify that the data in the
> >database is up-to-date and accurate. Please take a moment to look at
> >your local systems, and submit any changes to us that you feel would
> >increase the quality of the database.
> >
> >...and as always, feedback, comments, and suggestions for improvement
> >are always welcome!!
> >
> >Thanks for all your assistance and support!
> >
> >Lindsay Blanton
> >
> >---------------------------------------
> >Lindsay C. Blanton III
> >The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
> >http://www.trunkedradio.net
> >
> >
>

3765. Re: Trunked Radio Information Homepage Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 22:25:09 UTC
From: Ted <toppdog@tcinternet.net>
Message-ID: <3eaefbc6$0$6266$8f4e7992@newsreader.goldengate.net>
Source record: 31881
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Copied right from the site.

Members who donate a minimum of $5 will not see banner ads, pop-ups, or
pop-under ads on this site! Plus, as an added bonus "MyTRS" Personalization
functions are available to paid contributors.

I see em,
Ted
"b7spectra" <webmaster@scanatlanta.com> wrote in message
news:3RBra.130973$Si4.111689@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> My POPUP KILLER takes care of them!
>
> --
>
> /\/\|k.e
>
> Michael B. Martin
> webmaster@scanatlanta.com
> mm505@comcast.net
>
> "That other George" <fccoutfo@fcc.usa> wrote in message
> news:936A93401FCC@216.168.3.44...
> > Well if you don't mind 20 pop-ups in your face and 10 cookies being
added
> > to your hard drive... I'm one of them guys that thinks people that do
> that
> > should be, hung, shot, stabed, electrocuted, skined, gased, drawn and
> > quartered, and then tossed into a mill wheel :-) but thats just me
> hahahaha
> >
> > That other George :-)
> >
> > P.S. get rid of all them pop-ups and cookie adders ...
> >
> > Lindsay_Blanton@yahoo.com (Lindsay Blanton) wrote in
> > <rvqnavsgqn0i2rlb5p036ggp2mgmm4o87g@4ax.com>:
> >
> > >Hello Everyone!
> > >
> > >I want to take a quick moment and bring everyone up to speed on the
> > >status of www.trunkedradio.net. Over the past 4 years, this site has
> > >become one of the premier places to find information regarding trunked
> > >radio and digital systems. All of the success of this resource is
> > >due to the tireless efforts of our database administrators, and

> > >contributors such as yourselves who submit quality updated information
> > >to the database.
> > >
> > >Here is a list of some of the accomplishments the database has
> > >achieved over the past 4 years:
> > >
> > >- Detailed information for 2,445 systems worldwide
> > >- Over 60,000 talkgroups in the database
> > >- Over 39282 trunked frequencies in the database
> > >- 34 volunteer database administrators to manage the data
> > >- Totally automated on-line submission interface for
> > >changes/additions/feedback
> > >- Over 12,000 posts in the discussion forums from over 14,000
> > >Registered Members.
> > >
> > >Moving forward, www.trunkedradio.net needs your help. We are always
> > >looking for volunteers to help with the management of the trunked
> > >radio data in the database. Would you like to be a part of this
> > >wonderful resource? Send us a message to webmaster at
> > >trunkedradio.net if you are interested in being a database
> > >administrator.
> > >
> > >Also, we need everyone's assistance to verify that the data in the
> > >database is up-to-date and accurate. Please take a moment to look at
> > >your local systems, and submit any changes to us that you feel would
> > >increase the quality of the database.
> > >
> > >...and as always, feedback, comments, and suggestions for improvement
> > >are always welcome!!
> > >
> > >Thanks for all your assistance and support!
> > >
> > >Lindsay Blanton
> > >
> > >---------------------------------------
> > >Lindsay C. Blanton III
> > >The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
> > >http://www.trunkedradio.net
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

3766. Re: ICOM IC-R75 in Italy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 22:37:17 UTC
From: Carlo Alberto <carlo15@libero.it>
Message-ID: <b8muqp$b22$1@newsreader.mailgate.org>
Source record: 31882
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

L'ascolto delle bande riservate ai servizi di pubblica utilit&#65533; &#65533; reato . Fai attenzione !

3767. Re: Sat Help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-29 23:07:07 UTC
From: Ralph <ralph201@hotmail.new>
Message-ID: <xwednWB9Gr76mDKjXTWcpQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 31883

I guess the main thing I would loose would be the fact that I have power backup good for about 16 hours that runs most of my electronic gear including tv/sat system. Also, I don't know how well the people will be about after hour repairs. I can now reach my dish to replace a faulty lnb for example.
I know that usually these things are very reliable so I will most likely let them hook me up the the master dish and then only complain if they have frequent problems.
Since I wrote the original message, the building maintenance man informed me that it is really being setup to supply people that don't have a clear view to the sats needed. Basically giving those people a choice over cable.
Thanks Everyone!

Ralph

"radiosay" <radiosay@universal.net> wrote in message
news:niadnTVsg4LbxDGjXTWcpw@gbronline.com...
> What are you suppose to gain (or lose) by going to the distributed dish?
>
> "Ralph" <ralph201@hotmail.new> wrote in message
> news:mhKdnUbcJtYRNjajXTWcqw@giganews.com...
>
> > Hello,
> > The apartment complex that I live in is going to install a distributed
> Dish
> > system in order to get rid of the dish's that tenants like myself have
on
> > the balconies.
>
>
>

3768. Re: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to chose?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 02:26:18 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3EAF344A.B98F72A3@mitre.org>
Source record: 31884
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You can scan the banks in tandem with the ICOM IC R-2 its just either
one (1) bank at a time by selecting BANK or ALL for the TEN BANKS AT THE SAME
TIME!
The way around this is to keep the channels loaded in say three (3) banks of
the R-2 small in number and just us three (3) or four (4) of the banks, with
the ALL setting.
Then when you select ALL it will only have to go through 3 banks.
With the computer software allowing for quick programing of memories its easy
to taylor the channels/banks in this way and change them on the fly.

I agree not being able to link several banks or just punch a bank number
to toggle them in and out of the scanning sequence is a pain! I think this is
what
ICOM was trying to do with the bank set up and frequency link up on the R-5.
Jim

Mefix wrote:

> "Melv" <melvyn.rattenburynojunk@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:o0wra.740$gn2.660@newsfep1-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > Get the software it makes programming a hell lot easier
>
> Tried that already - the R5 memory system is unwieldy and unworkable. At
> least with the R2 I can scan a bank without having to waste time linking
> channels together. Why Icom didn't just divide the 1000 channels into 10 or
> 20 banks and enable banks to be scanned individually or in tandem is beyond
> me. I'll stick with my R2...tried and tested. :)

3769. Philadelphia PA Police Dept ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 02:54:42 UTC
From: pitmd@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20030429225442.04469.00000400@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 31885

I know they've gone to a different format. What scanner receives the freqs?
Thanks,
mike

3770. Re: 780xlt vs. 895xlt questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 03:20:17 UTC
From: Jim Cassidy <jimcassidy@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <RhHra.62651$Yj2.1107662@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 31886

"Harv" <jusacupofjava@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:BKUqa.32953$C%4.30011@fe10.atl2.webusenet.com...
> hello all
> i've been lurking her for a little while and looked up some info but it
> didnt really answer the questions i had about the 780 and 895 which i am
> looking at purchasing one or the other
> what does one do that the other doesnt , whats the pros and cons?
> whats the advantages of controlling the units by computer remote?
> one i have picked up on is the channel increase it seems for both units...
> is there a preferred software package for one and not the other?
> is uniden going or already stop support for these two since they are
> introducing the "d" units?
>
> thanks for everyones input in advance
> harvey
>
>
I like the 780 over the 895 for six reasons: Digital might be OK but not
needed anywhere around where I live. Years from now, if I need it, there
will be newer and better scanners to buy then.

1. 500 vs. 300 channels (only a big deal if you need them)
2. You can scan trunk and conventional at the same time (BIG DEAL)
3. Alpha Tags (ANOTHER BIG DEAL)
4. More types of trunking can be scanned. (only a big deal if you need them)
5. Much more popular, more software available, better cable.
6. It's cooler to say you have the best. :)

3771. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 04:02:38 UTC
From: Gary <nospam@dontsendit.com>
Message-ID: <dofuav0krc08in09g3ng3s0ddl9o3dqkbp@4ax.com>
Source record: 31887

You think that the proper response to a non-violent expression of resentment is to place your hands on the individual in a pain inflicting manner, then charge him with battery.

You also think that swearing at someone, then promptly departing, no threats, no refusal to leave, does sufficient harm in society that misdemeanor criminal charges are warranted. Not just an employer reprimand for unprofessional conduct, but criminal charges.

This serves to confirm to me that my two premises are correct.

#1 Police stand behind police. Just how outrageous must an officer's conduct be before you will no longer support him?

#2 Our laws and law enforcers go beyond what is necessary for the protection of society. They interfere with, and sometimes even charge, people whose activities are not causing any real harm or endangerment. And we've just been dealing with minor issues, we haven't even touched the serious abuses, civil forfeiture, no-knock entry tactics, random vehicle searches. Certainly we need laws and police, but we need reasonable laws and reasonable police. In 2003 (Orwell missed the mark, but not by much) people are more likely to be involved in a traffic stop which escalates into a drug search, then they are to be a victim of crime. That's unreasonable and infringing.

I have little sympathy for some idiot who has a witness report seeing him loading drugs into a car, who drives around in a car reeking of pot, or who says, "Hello Officer", with a joint tucked behind his ear. But to be searched because you fit some "profile", are driving through the "wrong" neighborhood, or because a passenger tells a different story of where you've been or where you're going. That constitutes government abusing it's power, and government abusing it's citizens.

If this is what it takes to lower the crime rate, I'd prefer to put up with a little more crime.

Furthermore, you claim that you are rigidly limited in how you can perform your duties. But in the same post you admit that you may legally pat down any individual you are officially interacting with. This kind of wide latitude does not reflect rigid limitations.

3772. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 04:26:18 UTC
From: John Flink <jflink@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3EAF50B5.B1F3988E@aol.com>
Source record: 31888
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Gill wrote:

> Come on folks, let it die. This is really boring.

How is that anywhere NEAR boring?! Bush lies about Iraq having weapons of mass destruction so he can invade and take over an oil country, then the world finds out there really were no WMD, and all those people had to die for nothing. I keep thinking of that poor kid who now has no arms or parents for the rest of his life and people like you say this is boring. :(

What goes around, comes around.

3773. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 04:46:49 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <ZyIra.176670$0X.39577498@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 31889
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"John Flink" <jflink@aol.com> babbled...

> Gill wrote:
>
> > Come on folks, let it die. This is really boring.
>
> How is that anywhere NEAR boring?! Bush lies about Iraq having
> weapons of mass destruction so he can invade and take over an oil
> country, then the world finds out there really were no WMD, and all
> those people had to die for nothing. I keep thinking of that poor kid
> who ...

You're not thinking at all. You whiners wanted to give the UN inspectors "more time", and won't show anybody else the same courtesy NOW. The UN folks didn't stumble upon anything (not like they WOULD, especially with everything being moved and now captured Iraqi officials admitting they lied to UN inspectors) and we won't just happen across anything, either. But I really doubt that once the proof is in front of you that you'll apologize for your ignorance.
You're not interested in that "poor little kid" or anything else other than bashing the President of the United States of America.

3774. Re: Mark W.Gress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 04:52:12 UTC
From: LL <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <0EIra.58229$cO3.3953186@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 31890
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You posting binaries to a text group says a lot.

"Its Me!" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:zUEra.8360$Jf.4175910@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> His picture says a lot.
>
>
>

3775. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 05:24:22 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <b8nko7ira1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 31891
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"""Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
news:ZyIra.176670$0X.39577498@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> "John Flink" <jflink@aol.com> babbled...
>
> > Gill wrote:
> >
> > > Come on folks, let it die. This is really boring.
> >
> > How is that anywhere NEAR boring?! Bush lies about Iraq having
> > weapons of mass destruction so he can invade and take over an oil
> > country, then the world finds out there really were no WMD, and all
> > those people had to die for nothing. I keep thinking of that poor kid
> > who ...
>
> You're not thinking at all. You whiners wanted to give the UN inspectors
> "more time", and won't show anybody else the same courtesy NOW. The UN
folks
> didn't stumble upon anything (not like they WOULD, especially with
> everything being moved and now captured Iraqi officials admitting they
lied
> to UN inspectors) and we won't just happen across anything, either. But I
> really doubt that once the proof is in front of you that you'll apologize
> for your ignorance.
> You're not interested in that "poor little kid" or anything else other
than
> bashing the President of the United States of America.
>
>

Hey, I'll admit > I < was wrong if they ever find any WMD.. will you? Will
you apologize to everyone you called a whiner?

3776. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 05:41:09 UTC
From: jsprine@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20030430014109.16083.00000417@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 31892

>You think that the proper response to a non-violent expression of
>resentment is to place your hands on the individual in a pain
>inflicting manner, then charge him with battery.

Where did this come from?

>You also think that swearing at someone, then promptly departing, no
>threats, no refusal to leave, does sufficient harm in society that
>misdemeanor criminal charges are warranted. Not just an employer
>reprimand for unprofessional conduct, but criminal charges.

What initiated all this? Apparently this from an odd tangent.

>#1 Police stand behind police. Just how outrageous must an officer's
>conduct be before you will no longer support him?
>

If your premise is correct, explain the institution of Internal Affairs units,
civilian oversight organizations, the Civil Rights Division of the FBI, etc.,
etc.

>#2 Our laws and law enforcers go beyond what is necessary for the
>protection of society. They interfere with, and sometimes even
>charge, people whose activities are not causing any real harm or
>endangerment.

You can't have it both ways. If a law enforcement officer merely performs
his/her duties, you dislike it, *because* he/she is performing those duties.
Blame the legislatorsw, not the police, for making the laws you dislike.

Police aren't paid to make people happy, they're paid to enforce the laws, like
it or not. And if they *don't* perform their duties, then it's a criminal
offense in most jurisdictions...we call it 'malfeasance in office, and it's a
felony.

>Certainly we need laws and
>police, but we need reasonable laws and reasonable police.

Therein lies the rub! What is reasonable to one individual, is not...to
another. Certainly unfortunate incidents occur. But, unless we get a robot
police force, human beings are going to make human errors.

>In 2003
>(Orwell missed the mark, but not by much) people are more likely to be
>involved in a traffic stop which escalates into a drug search, then
>they are to be a victim of crime. That's unreasonable and infringing.

A traffic stop only ensues after 'probable cause' is demonstrated, correct?
And I wonder where you got your figures to make such a statement.

>But to be searched because you fit some "profile", are driving
>through the "wrong" neighborhood, or because a passenger tells a
>different story of where you've been or where you're going. That
>constitutes government abusing it's power, and government abusing it's
>citizens.

Any department that still allows its officers to make 'profile' stops does so
at its great risk...the Federal government has seen to that, like it or not.
And, if you *are* stopped, and your passenger tells 'a different story' than
the driver does, don't you think that would arouse an Officer's suspicions?
Hardly abuse.

>If this is what it takes to lower the crime rate, I'd prefer to put up
>with a little more crime.

Perhaps other people would prefer it another way. I, for one, detest the
outrageous tomfoolery that goes on in airports these days, in the name of
'security precautions'...it's incredible that the American people would allow
themselves to become cattle, all for the sake of 'security', so I can well
understand and sympathize with your concerns with overzealous traffic
enforcement.

>Furthermore, you claim that you are rigidly limited in how you can
>perform your duties. But in the same post you admit that you
>may legally pat down any individual you are officially interacting
>with.

Yes...and the Supreme Court of the United States allows it...it isn't something
a bunch of bored or overzealous cops came up with, just to intimidate or harass
anyone.

I have every right to protect myself and other members of the public when I
officially interact with people. Would you have it another way?

> This kind of wide latitude does not reflect rigid limitations.

Certainly it does! The pat-down is limited to weapons only...not drugs or drug
paraphernalia, etc. And, if an Officer sees fit to investigate further, then
he/she had better be able to articulate probable cause to do so. But you
already know this, do you not?

James S. Prine
"Ignorance is Blix"

3777. Re: Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 05:45:59 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <tlouav03cjm1g36kkogtv2s44drbqq4l4v@4ax.com>
Source record: 31893
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

On Wed, 30 Apr 2003 14:24:22 +0900, "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> belch-spoke these words:

>
>"""Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
>news:ZyIra.176670$0X.39577498@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>> "John Flink" <jflink@aol.com> babbled...
>>
>> > Gill wrote:
>> >
>> > > Come on folks, let it die. This is really boring.
>> >
>> > How is that anywhere NEAR boring?! Bush lies about Iraq having
>> > weapons of mass destruction so he can invade and take over an oil
>> > country, then the world finds out there really were no WMD, and all
>> > those people had to die for nothing. I keep thinking of that poor kid
>> > who ...
>>
>> You're not thinking at all. You whiners wanted to give the UN inspectors
>> "more time", and won't show anybody else the same courtesy NOW. The UN
>folks
>> didn't stumble upon anything (not like they WOULD, especially with
>> everything being moved and now captured Iraqi officials admitting they
>lied
>> to UN inspectors) and we won't just happen across anything, either. But I
>> really doubt that once the proof is in front of you that you'll apologize
>> for your ignorance.
>> You're not interested in that "poor little kid" or anything else other
>than
>> bashing the President of the United States of America.
>>
>>
>
>Hey, I'll admit > I < was wrong if they ever find any WMD.. will you? Will
>you apologize to everyone you called a whiner?
>
>

Not in a million years. Probably won't even aplogize to God when he walks up to the pearly gates and
will get shot straight down to hell, too. (tic) It's against the conservative code to admit one's
failures or weaknesses.

John

3778. Re: Is there an Integrated GPS Scanner with freq database?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 08:43:23 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3EAF8CAB.CD710FD4@mitre.org>
Source record: 31894

Jeff;
None that I know of., at this time. This would be a great set up. Even
if the only
channel controlled by the GPS coordinates was the PRIORITY so
when you entered a new area it would be up on the air! Or by bank, so when
again entering a different location the appropriate bank of frequencies
would be scanning.

I also don't know of any software application and hardware "black box"
that would
mate a radio scanner, GPS receiver and computer(also a frequency counter
would be nice)
to do this! The only thing that comes close is form Optolectronics
<http://www.optoelectronics.com/optolinx.htm> but again finding the
software application to
mate all this up would be the hard part.I don't see GPS suppor,t it is not
mentioned in the linx's description.
The market for such a set up may be quite small and limited, most folks
just us their radios
around town. And this set up would be geared for the "road warrior" !
May be in the next round on high tech improvements they will put a scanner
and GPS together.
Jim

Jeff Kelly wrote:

> So that if commanded the scanner would automatically switch frequencies
> to the area you are traveling in?
>
> Jeff

3779. Re: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to chose?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 09:06:31 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3EAF9217.D219A5CA@mitre.org>
Source record: 31895
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Edgar;

Before you buy one of the radios.... do a web search for the on line owners manuals. Then down load them all and look over the features and specifications , do a comparison of each of them, so that you can make a informed purchase.

Also make sure the software and programming cable that work with the radio your interested in buying is available. Many software programs are also available on line for evaluation check them out b fore you buy. The software is just as important as the radio itself! Software makes a world of difference in the usability of these small radio scanning receivers. One accessory, if you want to do any shortwave HF work is an antenna tuner and about 50 Feet of wire!
Jim

EDesign wrote:

> Fellows,
> I am interesting in buying a new scanner for myself. I am a beginner so not
> qualified in choosing one of few scanners.
> The question is: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to
> chose?
> The prices in my country for these model is: IC-R5 - 290 euro; VR-120D - 205
> euro and DJ-X3 - 180 euro.
> I would like to have more for less price:-)
> Your opinion, suggestions are warmly welcome.
> Thanks in advice,
> Edgar

3780. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 09:13:07 UTC
From: Eric Oyen - N7ZZT <n7zzt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.04.30.09.13.06.842439@hotmail.com>
Source record: 31896

On Tue, 22 Apr 2003 18:54:32 +0000, RedXIII wrote:

> So this asshole cop pulls me over today and starts asking me why I have a
> scanner in my car. I tell him it's a hobby and that NJ state scanner law
> states that having a scanner in your vehicle is not illegal unless it's used
> for commiting a crime or evading police. Which is true. It's says so right
> here:
>
> http://www.siscanner.8m.com/laws.html
>
> and here:
>
> http://www.maxpages.com/frequencies/NJ_Laws
>
> and here:
>
> http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/nj-laws.html
>
> That wasn't good enough for that asshole, so after he writes me for not
> having a seat belt on, he tells me that the "NJ scanner law stuff is
> hogwash" and that unless I'm a tow truck driver, EMT, fire
> fighter....(insert own bullshit) that I can't have it in my car and that he
> has 30 days to decide if he wants to charge me with the crime of having it
> in my car.
>
> What should I do? I know for a fact that it's not illegal and this asshole
> harrassed me just cuz he was bored i suppose.
>
well,
first thing to think about is this:
do you have that police officers name and ID #?
2. can you get a lawyer to subpeona the video tape in his car (they keep
those for up to a year)
3. I have read the NJ scanner law. That cop is definitely in the wrong.
His statements may actually qualify as "threat under color of law".

best thing you can do is file a complaint and have a lawyer handy.

DE N7ZZT
Phoenix, Arizona

--
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

3781. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 12:24:53 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vavg43j15nfc05@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31797

Both of your need to grow up... I'm not hear to discuss you drug problem, or the fact that you can't seem to hold a job other than burger flipping...

Unfortunately, you are another that has no real right to comment on a story you have not read in it's entirety. He was NOT pulled over for a "bad tire"! That was the bogus bullshit that the cop MADE UP AFTERWARDS because he couldn't find anything else to warrant the stop! Learn how to read before you stick your foot in your ass! Oops, I mean you mouth! (One in the same anyway, as the only thing coming out of either is shit!)

PH

"Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message news:vammec5h9omea0@corp.supernews.com...
> Damn Dwight, how can you not understand being stopped by a cop for a bad
> tire is exactly the same as someone entering your home in the middle of the
> night, and killing your entire family.
>
> Where is your compassion for those that were inconvenienced by the terrible
> cops?
>
> I should be able to treat a cop like scum and have him suppress any
> animosity towards me. After all it is MY taxes earned flipping burgers that
> pays his salary.
>
> Besides he should be out chasing real criminals and leaving me alone. It's
> my choice if I want to smoke dope and drive on may pops. Their all the same,
> they only took the job to hassle people.
>
> What more proof could you possible need? If you disagree, you are probably a
> right wing, communist, Nazi.
>
> (Removing tongue from cheek for the humor impaired)
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
> news:BAD0CCFA.17C07%stewart@say.net...
> > "Power House CB & Scanner" wrote:
> > >
> > > You missed the whole point... (snip)
> >

> >
> > I missed nothing. You said the Michigan State police are "worse
> criminals
> > than the criminals themselves." I'm still waiting for even a single
> example
> > to support that charge. If you can provide it, I'll gladly join you in
> > criticizing the Michigan State police. If you can't, you have no
business
> > saying outrageous garbage you can't support.
> >
> >
> > > There was no suspicion, just the normal harassment.
> > > None of your theories even hold a candle. (snip)
> >
> >
> > I have no idea why your friend was actually pulled over, which is why
I
> > said "or whatever." But I do know your claim of harassment is far less
> > logical than anything I suggested as a possible reason.
> >
> >
> > > Umm, yeah... Not even close. He was given a warning
> > > ticket for his front right tire. (snip)
> >
> >
> > Was his front tire bad? If so, he should have gotten a warning ticket.
> > Where is the harassment in that? If the officer really wanted to harass,
> he
> > probably could have impounded the unsafe vehicle and told your friend to
> > find other transportation until the tire was fixed. Instead, your friend
> > drove away with a warning to fix a defective tire - a tire that should
> have
> > been fixed anyway.
> >
> >
> > > (snip) I hope your happy now, there is a brief run-
> > > through of one of my harassment incidents. (snip)
> >
> >
> > Not really. What you say doesn't affect my happiness. However, I'm
still
> > waiting for an example to support your claim that the Michigan State
> police
> > are "worse criminals than the criminals themselves."
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
> >

> >
> >
> > ----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
> ==----------
> > http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
> =-----
>
>

3782. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 12:34:40 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vavgmesjgado98@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31798

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
news:BAD26794.17D0B%stewart@say.net...
> LOL. I must be getting old. I don't understand his mentality at all. Are
> there bad cops out there? Of course. Should we be concerned about that? Of
> course. But THIS stuff (a warning to fix a bad tire or a discussion about
a
> scanner) is just not something to rant about.
>

Hello? The whole tire thing was the ONLY thing that he could claim was the reason for the stop because he couldn't find anything else to have warranted it! Don't you people read? Must all be a bunch of illiterate idiots!

Unfortunately for him, yes, he DID have what could be classified as a bad tire, it was pretty worn out. BUT, had he not had a bad tire, what the hell would have the made up excuse been then? I can tell you what... It would have been a MADE UP (fake) LAW! That is where the harassment is comes in. There was no legit reason for the stop in the first place, other than to harass. Around here, they pull people over, THEN FIND an excuse afterwards, whether it be legit, or made up. In any case, since the "reason" was found AFTER the stop, even if it was a legit thing, it could still be classified as "made up" due to the fact that the "problem", whatever it may be, was determined AFTER the stop.

Understand now? Probably not, your all think skulled!

PH

3783. Re: Asshole Cop - EXCELLENT Informational Link

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 12:48:14 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vavhftasvgvdd2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31799

In case anybody is interested, here is a nice little link that some might be interested in. It has LOTS of good info that those of us who have actually experienced problems with police offers can reflect upon. Might also be a good read for those that actually believe in the fairy tale that there isn't any problems of corruption, harassment, and lawlessness in today's law enforcement personnel...

It's more common place than most actually know or want to believe...

PH

http://www.copwatch.com/

"Robert" <rdbake@prtcnet.org> wrote in message
news:b8b9gm$7be$1@nd.eastky.net...
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote
>
> > And that story is enough make you believe the Michigan State police
are
> > "worse criminals than the criminals themselves?" Either you have lived a
> > very sheltered life or the criminals are really terrific in Michigan.
>
> Corruption and lawless behavior by those in official capacities,
> particularly those with color of authority and access to deadly force, is
> far, far worse than any sort of criminal behavior amongst the citizens.
>

3784. Re: Asshole Cop - EXCELLENT Informational Link

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 13:37:44 UTC
From: Power House CB & Scanner <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <vavkcmqksarsb2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31800

I'm sorry to hear that. Unfortunately, you are missing out on a LOT of good information. Ever heard the phrase, "Don't judge a book by it's cover"? Same goes for websites... Of course, unless you are a cop, feel free to let your rights be taken from you... It's your choice. Isn't freedom great?! Exercise it now while you still have it.

PH

"Mark" <marks@nospam.org> wrote in message news:j6ivav4s65aovh3s4iqmht667n4ro03ec6@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 30 Apr 2003 07:48:14 -0500, "Power House CB & Scanner"
> <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>
> >In case anybody is interested, here is a nice little link that some might be
> >interested in. It has LOTS of good info that those of us who have actually
> >experienced problems with police offers can reflect upon. Might also be a
> >good read for those that actually believe in the fairy tale that there isn't
> >any problems of corruption, harassment, and lawlessness in today's law
> >enforcement personnel...
> >
> >It's more common place than most actually know or want to believe...
> >
> >PH
> >
> >http://www.copwatch.com/
>
> That site is pathetic. I'm in agreement that the police need a body to
> oversee them in many occasions, but this site is just an outright
> embarrassment. How anyone could take them seriously is beyond me.
>
> This quote from the first page of site shows how rogue they are:
>
> "Here are some Copwatch.com handbills for you to print and distribute.
> (Requires regular 8.5" x 11" paper). Simply print from the screen to your
> printer. You can pass them out on streetcorners, "
>
>
> I just stopped reading after this. No point.

3785. Re: How can I find Data terminal Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 14:35:00 UTC
From: glineberry@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <b234376e.0304300635.2447a35c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 31801

I sent you an email with info I had, but it was undeliverable! Gill N4VOX

3786. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 14:35:27 UTC
From: Gogarty <Gogarty@Dublin.edu>
Message-ID: <7tqcnfCXcpOvQjKjXTWcpQ@bway.net>
Source record: 31802
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In article <ZyIra.176670$0X.39577498@twister.columbus.rr.com>, Q@Q.invalid.com says...
>
>
>You're not thinking at all. You whiners wanted to give the UN inspectors
>"more time", and won't show anybody else the same courtesy NOW.

They should have been given more time, all the time in the world. They weren't stumbling, they were searching methodically, often with useless information suppplied by the US that wasted time and resources. The US now has had almost as much time with far greater resources to find WMD, including custdy of all the people who would have had anything to do with and any knoweldge of any asuch programs. And what have they come up with? Zilch. Nada. Nothing.

>really doubt that once the proof is in front of you that you'll apologize
>for your ignorance.

I'll apologize. Will you?

> You're not interested in that "poor little kid" or anything else other than
>bashing the President of the United States of America.

Now that's a presumptious statement. You certainly have no concern for the dead and bereft. Since no WMD have been found and none are likely to be -- it has to be clear even to you at this point that even if such programs existed they were no threat to anyone -- can yuio thden tell us the real reasons for this war? Iraqi freedom? Does that mean you have concern for the armless child, etc.? Not to mention the fifteen or so killed yesterday.
>
>

3787. Re: *******BEWARE OF L Harris

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 14:35:31 UTC
From: TIA <no1@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <vavntk49nleb26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Just trying to look out for others there, Rambo..... CB rambo that is. Never heard of Newsreaders & ISP's deleting messages? Huh newbie to newsgroups or something? Its ok there Rambo, it shouldnt last too long.

"L Harris" <bennyc03@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:A2tra.46879$4P1.4371490@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> maybe he needs a chunk of concrete or IRON mailed to him postage DUE....
>
>

3788. Re: Asshole Cop - EXCELLENT Informational Link

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 14:46:43 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vavoe8tp3aot31@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31804

LOTS of distortions, anecdotal info etc. WHERE is all the info on who
REALLY is behind that website? Why isnt that included????

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
in message news:vavhftasvgvdd2@corp.supernews.com...

PROPAGANDA

3789. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 14:52:21 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vavomtj2u1q2e0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31805

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
in message news:vavgmesjgado98@corp.supernews.com...
>
>> it! Don't you people read? Must all be a bunch of illiterate idiots!
>
I would be an idiot if I didnt realize that the police are not your main
concern in life. When you divulge your real story and agenda, then I will
listen intently. Meantime, for the most part, you and your propaganda isnt
worth the keystrokes.

3790. Re: Scanstar explorer, scan*star,spectrum explorer information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 15:02:42 UTC
From: CP <me@noplace.com>
Message-ID: <rapvavcudmm8r65fm54m0od5dlo81mdm7j@4ax.com>
Source record: 31806

Speaking of this program does anyone have a cracked version of this
software?? Ive used it for the entire trial period and love it but I
can neither afford nor justify the $160 they want for this program

On Mon, 28 Apr 2003 02:44:52 -0000, fccoutfo@fcc.usa (That other
George) wrote:

>You might try the folks that make it :-)
>
>http://www.scanstar.com/products/explorer.htm
>
>right there at the bottem of the page...
>
>The other George
>
>general@NOSAPMev1.net (DP) wrote in
><dttnavk9lbv26vlvslnaefqjb9fko29jhu@4ax.com>:
>
>>Anyone know where I can find a trial version or copy of scanstar
>>explorer (scan*star) for scanners? If this is not the board, could
>>you point me to a better one. Thanks.
>>
>>Scanstar explorer, scan*star,spectrum explorer information
>>

3791. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 15:28:29 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <xYRra.177132$0X.39977973@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 31807
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote

> """Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> news:ZyIra.176670$0X.39577498@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> > "John Flink" <jflink@aol.com> babbled...
> >
> > > Gill wrote:
> > >
> > > > Come on folks, let it die. This is really boring.
> > >
> > > How is that anywhere NEAR boring?! Bush lies about Iraq having
> > > weapons of mass destruction so he can invade and take over an oil
> > > country, then the world finds out there really were no WMD, and all
> > > those people had to die for nothing. I keep thinking of that poor
kid
> > > who ...
> >
> > You're not thinking at all. You whiners wanted to give the UN inspectors
> > "more time", and won't show anybody else the same courtesy NOW. The UN
> folks
> > didn't stumble upon anything (not like they WOULD, especially with
> > everything being moved and now captured Iraqi officials admitting they
> lied
> > to UN inspectors) and we won't just happen across anything, either. But
I
> > really doubt that once the proof is in front of you that you'll
apologize
> > for your ignorance.
> > You're not interested in that "poor little kid" or anything else other
> than
> > bashing the President of the United States of America.
> >
> >
>
> Hey, I'll admit > I < was wrong if they ever find any WMD.. will you?
Will
> you apologize to everyone you called a whiner?

Every last person - individually, if necessary. I just want all the
hypocrites to give the current unfettered inspections the time they'll need.
Even Hans admitted he doubted that the UN people would just "stumble" upon
anything, and they would need the cooperation of scientists and government
officials. Some within that old regime have already admitted to lying, and
at least one is seeking asylum in exchange for information.

3792. Re: Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 15:30:04 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <0_Rra.177140$0X.39978618@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 31808
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote

> On Wed, 30 Apr 2003 14:24:22 +0900, "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com>
belch-spoke these words:
>
> >
> >"""Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
> >news:ZyIra.176670$0X.39577498@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> >> "John Flink" <jflink@aol.com> babbled...
> >>
> >> > Gill wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > Come on folks, let it die. This is really boring.
> >> >
> >> > How is that anywhere NEAR boring?! Bush lies about Iraq having
> >> > weapons of mass destruction so he can invade and take over an oil
> >> > country, then the world finds out there really were no WMD, and all
> >> > those people had to die for nothing. I keep thinking of that poor
kid
> >> > who ...
> >>
> >> You're not thinking at all. You whiners wanted to give the UN
inspectors
> >> "more time", and won't show anybody else the same courtesy NOW. The UN
> >folks
> >> didn't stumble upon anything (not like they WOULD, especially with
> >> everything being moved and now captured Iraqi officials admitting they
> >lied
> >> to UN inspectors) and we won't just happen across anything, either. But
I
> >> really doubt that once the proof is in front of you that you'll
apologize
> >> for your ignorance.
> >> You're not interested in that "poor little kid" or anything else other
> >than
> >> bashing the President of the United States of America.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >Hey, I'll admit > I < was wrong if they ever find any WMD.. will you?
Will
> >you apologize to everyone you called a whiner?
> >

> >
>
> Not in a million years. Probably won't even aplogize to God when he walks up to the pearly gates and
> will get shot straight down to hell, too. (tic) It's against the conservative code to admit one's
> failures or weaknesses.
>
> John

I've always been the first to admit when I'm wrong. you're just hanging on to what ever you can to try to discredit the President. I'm sure YOU will go silent once the evidence is found.

3793. Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 15:32:35 UTC
From: "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com>
Message-ID: <n0Sra.177150$0X.39980249@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 31809
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Gogarty" <Gogarty@Dublin.edu> wrote in

> >really doubt that once the proof is in front of you that you'll apologize
> >for your ignorance.
>
> I'll apologize. Will you?
>
> > You're not interested in that "poor little kid" or anything else other
than
> >bashing the President of the United States of America.
>
> Now that's a presumptious statement. You certainly have no concern for the
> dead and bereft. Since no WMD have been found and none are likely to be --
it
> has to be clear even to you at this point that even if such programs
existed
> they were no threat to anyone -- can yuio thden tell us the real reasons
for
> this war? Iraqi freedom? Does that mean you have concern for the armless
> child, etc.? Not to mention the fifteen or so killed yesterday.

See? You'll hold on to whatever you can. And to make a statement like "if
such programs existed they were no threat to anyone" shows your ignorance
and lack of education.

3794. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 17:03:20 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <b8ovko$k4g$0@63.162.246.190>
Source record: 31810

> ^ I am sure there is some significance to your posting, I just
> ^ can't seem to find it.
>
> The scumbag (a term I learned from an ex-cop) had problems. Unfortunately,

Hmm. A cop on TV maybe?

Cops, like every other groups, have problems. Should we perhaps
use robots instead?

> because he was a cop and later the Chief of Police, he was not in and out
of
> jail/prison like other scumbags are. It shows that there is a problem with
And many other civilians are....

> You asked.

And never got an answer that made sense.

3795. Uniden BC 250D with Apco P-25 Card $475.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 17:10:06 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com.goaway
Message-ID: <20030430131006.24185.00000497@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 31811

For sale...

Uniden BC 250D Apco P-25 Digital Police Scanner with Apco P-25 Card
Monitors Apco P-25 conventional, trunked 3600 & mixed mode,
Digital communications.
The unit is only 1 1/2 months old and is in mint condition.
Unit works great. I need to sell it because the agencies in my area went to
a different system.
Comes with all the original paperwork, box, battery pack & charger.
I will not split up the card and scanner.
I paid $650.00 and must take the loss and sell it as used at $475.00
So if are looking for one of these, here is a chance to get it at a great
price.
you can check my feedback on Ebay user rating tramd300@aol.com
use this link for a picture of unit
http://members.aol.com/TRAMD300/BC250Scanner.jpg
And use this link for the specifications of the unit
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/factsheet.htm
You get this all for $475.00 shipped in the USA only.
I will accept postal money orders only or if you wish to use Pay-Pal.
Price is firm...
Please email me direct for more info.
I check my mail every day.
Thank you...
tramd300@aol.com

3796. Re: Philadelphia PA Police Dept ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 17:40:55 UTC
From: RedXIII <x@x.com>
Message-ID: <FNmdnR6a3PQJli2jXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 31812

"PitMD" <pitmd@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20030429225442.04469.00000400@mb-m06.aol.com...
> I know they've gone to a different format. What scanner receives the
freqs?

They went digital. Probably encrypted. I say it's all an outrage. I demand
the right to listen to what the cops are up to.

--
Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people, brought
to you by the greatest country on Earth.

Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!

God Bless The U.S.A.!

http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html

(Re-worded entirely)
The following is a list of morons.
That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter
what they've done or what they said,
it's all been equally moronic.

The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)

The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
top)

Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
around)
banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Fuck you and you threats)
Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
(Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened to
tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
for listening to Rock & Roll)
Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater

ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden /
David Koresh Crossbreed)
M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq
Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
dictator Saddam Hussein)
Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
Iraqi Information Minister)
banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
claims with credible sources)
gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
Saddam.)
Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
Newsgroup Moderator)
Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
opinions from Hollywood talking heads)

The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to oblige
though.)

3797. FS 9 db 6 Element 896-970 mhz Yagis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 18:45:02 UTC
From: Its Me! <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <OQUra.9315$Jf.4415595@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 31813
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have 7 of these Yagis that are well built.The model number is Y8966.I know they are made in USA but thats all I know.They cover 896-970 Mhz.They are 6 element and have a 9db gain.Iwill sell these all at one price of $150.00 or $25.00 each plus shipping..If you are interested I can send you picts of them..I also do swaps for something that may interest me.Email me n1rsp@qsl.net

3798. Re: Antenna Coax Impedance Mis-Matches (for receiving antennas) Quest. ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 18:46:38 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <3EB0B49E.7D3198F@mchsi.com>
Source record: 31814

Try some quad shielded RG-6 it is just awesome.

3799. Re:RedX is an Asshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 18:53:06 UTC
From: True_Blue@cop.net
Message-ID: <3EB01B91.3551035D@cop.net>
Source record: 31815

He gets stopped and has to bitch about it.
Grow the hell up and act like the man you're supposed to be.

Who do people that hate cops call when their ass is on the line?

Mark wrote:

> It's not included because they are brave behind a keyboard. They expect
> people to stand on the street and pass out fliers for their website - yet they
> aren't doing the same thing.
>
> Pathetic.
>
> ====================
>
> Registrant:
> N.A.L.E.P c/o Law Office
> PO BOX 1274
> Bremerton, WA 98337-0508
> US
>
> Domain Name: COPWATCH.COM
>
> Administrative Contact, Technical Contact, Zone Contact:
> N.A.L.E.P c/o Law Office
> N.A.L.E.P.
> PO BOX 1274
> Bremerton, WA 98337-0508
> US
> no phone available
> copwatch@lokmail.com
>
> On Wed, 30 Apr 2003 07:46:43 -0700, "Justin Time" <yooww2002@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >LOTS of distortions, anecdotal info etc. WHERE is all the info on who
> >REALLY is behind that website? Why isnt that included????
> >
> >"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote
> >in message news:vavhftasvgvdd2@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> >PROPAGANDA
> >

3800. FS 9 db 6 Element 896-970 mhz Yagis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 18:58:33 UTC
From: Its Me! <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <t1Vra.9326$Jf.4417990@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 31816
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have 7 of these Yagis that are well built.The model number is Y8966.I know they are made in USA but thats all I know.They cover 896-970 Mhz.They are 6 element and have a 9db gain.Iwill sell these all at one price of $150.00 or $25.00 each plus shipping..If you are interested I can send you picts of them..I also do swaps for something that may interest me.Email me n1rsp@qsl.net

3801. Re: Philadelphia PA Police Dept ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 19:31:39 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <vwVra.4793$27.825@fed1read07>
Source record: 31817

Monitoring them with a scanner in real time is not your right. People often mistake that with Freedom of Information. To comply with FOIA, all philly has to do is provide you, upon written request, a tape recording of that day's radio traffic and they have met their obligation.

Is it something I agree with? No, of course not. I love listening to my scanner and if my area encrypted all comms I'd be very upset over it. However, they do have the legal right to secure their systems anytime they wish. Sorry but that's just the way the cookie crumbles. *Legally* if they have encrypted there is nothing you can do about it. Outside of the law, there are ways. That's all I can say about that - if that's your choice then the internet contains a vast wealth of information that you might find useful. </hint>
--
-me

I see stupid people. They're everywhere.
They don't even know that they're dumb.

"RedXIII" <x@x.com> wrote in message
news:FNmdnR6a3PQJli2jXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
>
> "PitMD" <pitmd@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
> news:20030429225442.04469.00000400@mb-m06.aol.com...
> > I know they've gone to a different format. What scanner receives the
> freqs?
>
> They went digital. Probably encrypted. I say it's all an outrage. I demand
> the right to listen to what the cops are up to.
>
> --
> Get all the lastest info on the effort to liberate the Iraqi people,
brought
> to you by the greatest country on Earth.
>
> Death to Islam! Death to Saddam!
>
> God Bless The U.S.A.!
>
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/RedXIII1/Info.html
>
>
> (Re-worded entirely)
> The following is a list of morons.
> That's all. Just morons. Doesn't matter

> what they've done or what they said,
> it's all been equally moronic.
>
> The moron list: (Now in order of highest to lowest stupidity)
>
> The top moron in any group on Usenet is Gandalf Grey -
> gandalfgrey@infectedmail.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Yes
> Hanson. I do post your name in every one of my posts and now you're at the
> top)
>
> Bush Busta / A.K.A Jim Kennemur - BushBusta@America.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Usually giving Gandalf the reach
> around)
> banjocat - banjocat@bellsouth.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Fuck you and you threats)
> Gary Roselles - rosell19@enetis.net -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> (Escapee from Rapid City Regional Hospital's psychiatric unit)
> Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr - w4jle@charter.net - rec.radio.scanner (Threatened
to
> tell my ISP cuz I was cussin' on Usenet. Didn't work)
> Al Patrick - arp@inet4u.com - rec.radio.shortwave (Thinks God kills people
> for listening to Rock & Roll)
> Usenet User - pkeller@mil.edu - rec.radio.scanner
> Jon Van Allen - kf7yn@qwest.net - rec.radio.scanner
> MnMikew - MNMiikkeW@aol.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Lou Scannon - scannon@lmountain.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Steve Hiner - shiner@tampabay.rr.com -
alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> ad lib - leedesi56@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> TW. - tandb80@comcast.net - rec.radio.scanner
> Never anonymous Bud - thekat@san.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> John T. Kennedy - jtk3@no-treason.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> DeWayne - k9kz@indy.rr.com - rec.radio.scanner
> Willy - willycrawford@hotSPAM.com - rec.radio.scanner
> GrtPmpkin32 - grtpmpkin32@aol.com - rec.radio.shortwave
> Baudolino - Baudolino@wi.rr.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Aozotorp - aozotorp@aol.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Donald L Ferrt - wolfbat359@mindspring.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> X98 - x98@earthlink.net - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Bin-Laden
/
> David Koresh Crossbreed)
> M. Yoda - minion032@yahoo.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> HH2000 - hh2000@aol.com - soc.culture.iraq
> A Voice of Reason - furious@whereintheworld.org - soc.culture.iraq
> Tempest - tempest@hotmail.com -soc.culture.iraq
> Tim Vanhoof - timvanhoof@gmx.net - soc.culture.iraq

> Trebor - trebby@my-deja.com - soc.culture.france
> pkgojak - pkgojak@mydeja.com - alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> B. Nice - seattledemocracy@hotmail.com -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater
> Dr. Politiko - drpolitiko@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Likes defeated
> dictator Saddam Hussein)
> Zombix - zombixTRATARATIRA@zombix.ma.cx -
> alt.current-events.clinton.whitewater (Just another America hater)
> Nick - knick@otenet.gr - soc.culture.iraq (Self -Proclaimed stand in for
> Iraqi Information Minister)
> banana - banana@borve.demon.co.uk - soc.culture.iraq (Can't back up his
> claims with credible sources)
> gorgonzola2924 - gorgonzola2924@hotmail.com - soc.culture.iraq (Thinks
> comedy spoofs of the fallen Iraqi regime are unfunny, because he loves
> Saddam.)
> Christy D - daviesc238@alvillage.net - rec.radio.shortwave (Wannabe
> Newsgroup Moderator)
> Road Warrior - 66.74.74.29 - soc.culture.iraq (Get's all his news and
> opinions from Hollywood talking heads)
>
> The Newsest Moron is: Pappy@usa.net - 4.pwlr2.xdsl.nauticom.net -
> rec.radio.shortwave (Jealous cuz he wasn't on the list. I'm happy to
oblige
> though.)
>
>

3802. Re: Re: Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 19:38:52 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <pb90bv4l786jhgjgr87ncr6imf56eqjdb2@4ax.com>
Source record: 31818
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

```
On Wed, 30 Apr 2003 15:30:04 GMT, "\"\"Q\"\"" <Q@Q.invalid.com> belch-spoke these words:

>"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote
>
>> On Wed, 30 Apr 2003 14:24:22 +0900, "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com>
>belch-spoke these words:
>>
>> >
>> >"""Q""" <Q@Q.invalid.com> wrote in message
>> >news:ZyIra.176670$0X.39577498@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>> >> "John Flink" <jflink@aol.com> babbled...
>> >>
>> >> > Gill wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > > Come on folks, let it die. This is really boring.
>> >> >
>> >> > How is that anywhere NEAR boring?! Bush lies about Iraq having
>> >> > weapons of mass destruction so he can invade and take over an oil
>> >> > country, then the world finds out there really were no WMD, and all
>> >> > those people had to die for nothing. I keep thinking of that poor
>kid
>> >> > who ...
>> >>
>> >> You're not thinking at all. You whiners wanted to give the UN
>inspectors
>> >> "more time", and won't show anybody else the same courtesy NOW. The UN
>> >folks
>> >> didn't stumble upon anything (not like they WOULD, especially with
>> >> everything being moved and now captured Iraqi officials admitting they
>> >lied
>> >> to UN inspectors) and we won't just happen across anything, either. But
>I
>> >> really doubt that once the proof is in front of you that you'll
>apologize
>> >> for your ignorance.
>> >> You're not interested in that "poor little kid" or anything else other
>> >than
>> >> bashing the President of the United States of America.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >Hey, I'll admit > I < was wrong if they ever find any WMD.. will you?
>Will
```

>> >you apologize to everyone you called a whiner?
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Not in a million years. Probably won't even aplogize to God when he walks
>up to the pearly gates and
>> will get shot straight down to hell, too. (tic) It's against the
>conservative code to admit one's
>> failures or weaknesses.
>>
>> John
>
>I've always been the first to admit when I'm wrong. you're just hanging on
>to what ever you can to try to discredit the President. I'm sure YOU will go
>silent once the evidence is found.
>

Wanna bet $1,000 on that? Well I guess not, because I'm saying right here and now that you'd lose
that bet.

I don't need to hang on to anything. His shitty record stands for itself.

Once any evidence is found, and proved and authenticated without a doubt to be Iraq's WMD, then I'll
cheer whoever found it and proved me wrong. But the way things are going, it's not going into happen
in my lifetime, so I won't have to. Let the UN wep inspectors back in and I'll raise the odds that
they will be found and proven to be real.

John

3803. Re: Re: Re: Found! Weapons of Mass Destruction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 19:47:32 UTC
From: Justin Time <yooww2002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vb0a0d3t8nr46@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31819
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

"Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:pb90bv4l786jhgjgr87ncr6imf56eqjdb2@4ax.com...
> >I've always been the first to admit when I'm wrong. you're just hanging
on
> >to what ever you can to try to discredit the President. I'm sure YOU will
go
> >silent once the evidence is found.
> >
>
> Wanna bet $1,000 on that? Well I guess not, because I'm saying right here
and now that you'd lose
> that bet.
>
> I don't need to hang on to anything. His shitty record stands for itself.
>
> Once any evidence is found, and proved and authenticated without a doubt
to be Iraq's WMD, then I'll
> cheer whoever found it and proved me wrong. But the way things are going,
it's not going into happen
> in my lifetime, so I won't have to. Let the UN wep inspectors back in and
I'll raise the odds that
> they will be found and proven to be real.
>
> John

I am sure he wont go silent: It all has nothing to do with WMDs, Iraqi
people etc. Like you said, it is just to try and discredit Bush. Purely
political. So when one issue is proven, he will move on and find another
distortion to spout. Hollow.

3804. Re: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to chose?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 20:43:08 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnbb0eav.2on.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 31820
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 30 Apr 2003 05:06:31 -0400, Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org> wrote:
> Edgar;
>
> Before you buy one of the radios.... do a web search for the on line
> owners manuals. Then down load them all and look over the features and
> specifications , do a comparison of each of them, so that you can make a
> informed purchase.
>
> Also make sure the software and programming cable that work with the radio your
> interested in buying is available. Many software programs are also available on
> line for evaluation check them out b fore you buy. The software is just as
> important as the radio itself! Software makes a world
> of difference in the usability of these small radio scanning receivers. One
> accessory, if you want
> to do any shortwave HF work is an antenna tuner and about 50 Feet of wire!
> Jim

- excellent advice... here are my views (i own an r2, vr120d, and vr500):

r2 - small, good audio, free programming software (tk2), decent battery life
downside is no alpha tags, but still a good radio... slightly deaf on
broadcast AM w/ducky.. green backlight...

vr120d - small, good audio, alpha tags, unbelievable battery life and has
ability to toggle int/ext AM bar antenna - my personal recommendation!
cool orange backlight, free programming software (tk120)

vr500 - small, keypad, good audio, broadcast AM reception on a par w/the r2,
BUT has a hidden 'narrow AM' mode toggle, making reception a tad better
expensive, but i got mine used from grove so i saved some $$$... good
battery life, free programming software (tk500)

i use one programming cable: RT Systems, CT-29A ($25)... these radios are
Linux compatible, which is a bonus as i won't let Windows infect any of
my computers...

hth
>
> EDesign wrote:
>
>> Fellows,
>> I am interesting in buying a new scanner for myself. I am a beginner so not
>> qualified in choosing one of few scanners.
>> The question is: Icom IC-R5, Yaesu VR-120D and Alinco DJ-X3 with one to
>> chose?

>> The prices in my country for these model is: IC-R5 - 290 euro; VR-120D - 205
>> euro and DJ-X3 - 180 euro.
>> I would like to have more for less price:-)
>> Your opinion, suggestions are warmly welcome.
>> Thanks in advice,
>> Edgar
>

3805. blind folks vs. police/fire/utilities scanner radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 21:05:43 UTC
From: Dan Jacobson <jidanni@dman.ddts.net>
Message-ID: <878ytr7l20.fsf@jidanni.org>
Source record: 31828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.disability.blind.social

I was thinking that for blind folks, listening to a
"police/fire/ambulance scanner radio" might be a lot of fun. However
I can't imagine how they could use more than 10% of the functionality.

However, if a local friend say "cloned" an updated list of selected
frequencies into their scanner, oh maybe once a year, then the blind
person would seemingly only need to know the on/off button, and a
scan/hold button...
--
http://jidanni.org/ Taiwan(04)25854780

3806. Re: FS 9 db 6 Element 896-970 mhz Yagis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 21:13:24 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yahoo.bomb>
Message-ID: <3EB03C74.CC547617@yahoo.bomb>
Source record: 31821
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Its Me!" wrote:
>
> I have 7 of these Yagis that are well built.The model number is Y8966.I know
> they are made in USA but thats all I know.They cover 896-970 Mhz.They are 6
> element and have a 9db gain.Iwill sell these all at one price of $150.00 or
> $25.00 each plus shipping..If you are interested I can send you picts of
> them..I also do swaps for something that may interest me.Email me
> n1rsp@qsl.net

Can it be made for 856 to 861 MHz trunked radio system?

3807. Re: FS 9 db 6 Element 896-970 mhz Yagis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 22:28:10 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_5Yra.7553$8Z3.130162@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 31822
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Scott" <scott_unit_69@yahoo.bomb> wrote in message
news:3EB03C74.CC547617@yahoo.bomb...
>
>
> "Its Me!" wrote:
> >
> > I have 7 of these Yagis that are well built.The model number is Y8966.I
know
> > they are made in USA but thats all I know.They cover 896-970 Mhz.They
are 6
> > element and have a 9db gain.Iwill sell these all at one price of $150.00
or
> > $25.00 each plus shipping..If you are interested I can send you picts of
> > them..I also do swaps for something that may interest me.Email me
> > n1rsp@qsl.net
>
>
> Can it be made for 856 to 861 MHz trunked radio system?

Certainly, but you'll need to lengthen the elements. The spacing will
probably be OK, although maybe not quite optimal.

3808. Re: Asshole Cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 22:37:01 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vb0k013ghu5a88@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31823

Thank you for your cogent reply. I am sure it is representative of your best efforts, and accept as such.

"Power House CB & Scanner" <Power_House_CB_&_Scanner@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message news:vavg43j15nfc05@corp.supernews.com...
> Both of your need to grow up... I'm not hear to discuss you drug problem,
> or the fact that you can't seem to hold a job other than burger flipping...
>
> Unfortunately, you are another that has no real right to comment on a story
> you have not read in it's entirety. He was NOT pulled over for a "bad
> tire"! That was the bogus bullshit that the cop MADE UP AFTERWARDS because
> he couldn't find anything else to warrant the stop! Learn how to read
> before you stick your foot in your ass! Oops, I mean you mouth! (One in
> the same anyway, as the only thing coming out of either is shit!)
>
> PH
>
>
>
> "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:vammec5h9omea0@corp.supernews.com...
> > Damn Dwight, how can you not understand being stopped by a cop for a bad
> > tire is exactly the same as someone entering your home in the middle of
> the
> > night, and killing your entire family.
> >
> > Where is your compassion for those that were inconvenienced by the
> terrible
> > cops?
> >
> > I should be able to treat a cop like scum and have him suppress any
> > animosity towards me. After all it is MY taxes earned flipping burgers
> that
> > pays his salary.
> >
> > Besides he should be out chasing real criminals and leaving me alone.
It's
> > my choice if I want to smoke dope and drive on may pops. Their all the
> same,
> > they only took the job to hassle people.
> >

> > What more proof could you possible need? If you disagree, you are
probably
> a
> > right wing, communist, Nazi.
> >
> > (Removing tongue from cheek for the humor impaired)
> >
> > "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@say.net> wrote in message
> > news:BAD0CCFA.17C07%stewart@say.net...
> > > "Power House CB & Scanner" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > You missed the whole point... (snip)
> > >
> > >
> > > I missed nothing. You said the Michigan State police are "worse
> > criminals
> > > than the criminals themselves." I'm still waiting for even a single
> > example
> > > to support that charge. If you can provide it, I'll gladly join you in
> > > criticizing the Michigan State police. If you can't, you have no
> business
> > > saying outrageous garbage you can't support.
> > >
> > >
> > > > There was no suspicion, just the normal harassment.
> > > > None of your theories even hold a candle. (snip)
> > >
> > >
> > > I have no idea why your friend was actually pulled over, which is
why
> I
> > > said "or whatever." But I do know your claim of harassment is far less
> > > logical than anything I suggested as a possible reason.
> > >
> > >
> > > > Umm, yeah... Not even close. He was given a warning
> > > > ticket for his front right tire. (snip)
> > >
> > >
> > > Was his front tire bad? If so, he should have gotten a warning
ticket.
> > > Where is the harassment in that? If the officer really wanted to
harass,
> > he
> > > probably could have impounded the unsafe vehicle and told your friend
to
> > > find other transportation until the tire was fixed. Instead, your
friend
> > > drove away with a warning to fix a defective tire - a tire that should
> > have

> > > been fixed anyway.
> > >
> > >
> > > > (snip) I hope your happy now, there is a brief run-
> > > > through of one of my harassment incidents. (snip)
> > >
> > >
> > > Not really. What you say doesn't affect my happiness. However, I'm
> still
> > > waiting for an example to support your claim that the Michigan State
> > police
> > > are "worse criminals than the criminals themselves."
> > >
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
> > >
> > > http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
> > ==----------
> > > http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
World!
> > > -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
> > =-----
> >
> >
>
>

3809. For Any Scanteena ST 2 Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 22:37:55 UTC
From: Robert11 <rgsrose@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <b8pja3$ju9$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 31824

Hello:

For any Scantenna ST 2 antenna users:

Was wondering: are you using it (for receiving only) with the RG6 / SAT coax that came with the antenna, or a different coax ?

Thanks,

Bob

3810. Re: Scanstar explorer, scan*star,spectrum explorer information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 22:43:30 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vb0kc6iobg394c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31825

As you probably can't afford a new Corvette either, would you like the address of a dealer where you can steal one?

"CP" <me@noplace.com> wrote in message news:rapvavcudmm8r65fm54m0od5dlo81mdm7j@4ax.com...
> Speaking of this program does anyone have a cracked version of this
> software?? Ive used it for the entire trial period and love it but I
> can neither afford nor justify the $160 they want for this program

3811. FOR SALE: uniden sc180 race scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 22:43:39 UTC
From: bobar8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030430184339.04469.00000446@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 31826

sc180 in brand new condition (about 2 months old)
100 alphanumeric channels
has auto-light (squelch turns on backlight automatically)
have brand new leather glove fit case with clear plastic window
over keypad and display. Also has swivel belt clip. Box, docs and all original
accessories.
(i got a 250d so im not using it anymore)
thanks

$135.00

3812. Manual serial port switch box for programming scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 22:47:02 UTC
From: Jeffrey Loomis <jloomis2k@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PaycndsUXI-xzi2jXTWcpQ@wideopenwest.com>
Source record: 31827

I just picked up a 4 way manual switch box for serial (9 pin) cables. The idea is to hook it up to my computer and each of my scanner programming cables. Then whenever I need to program a scanner I just switch the box to the correct cable. This would save me from crawling under my desk every time I wanted to program a different scanner.

Do I need to worry about blowing out/frying my scanners when I switch the box from one scanner to another? I have read that you should not hook laser printers up to manual switch boxes for that very reason. I'd rather not destroy any of my scanners!

My Pro 2042/Opto535 is the only scanner that would be connected all of the time. I can plug in the other handheld scanners after I have already switched to the correct port on the switch box.

Thanks,

Jeffrey

3813. Re: blind folks vs. police/fire/utilities scanner radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2003-04-30 23:09:42 UTC
From: "Dr. Fred Hambrecht Sr" <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <vb0ltagno951b7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 31829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.disability.blind.social

With today's technology, voiced internet and instruction manuals, you might
do well to ask your blind friend to program your scanner for you.

"Dan Jacobson" <jidanni@dman.ddts.net> wrote in message
news:878ytr7l20.fsf@jidanni.org...
> I was thinking that for blind folks, listening to a
> "police/fire/ambulance scanner radio" might be a lot of fun. However
> I can't imagine how they could use more than 10% of the functionality.
>
> However, if a local friend say "cloned" an updated list of selected
> frequencies into their scanner, oh maybe once a year, then the blind
> person would seemingly only need to know the on/off button, and a
> scan/hold button...
> --
> http://jidanni.org/ Taiwan(04)25854780